EVALUATION REPORT FOR Brendan (assument)
DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION

(If you need this notice in a different language or communicated in a different way, or have questions about this notice, please contact Kris Schoenenberger-Gross	
Date on which determination was made: 9/19/05	
This student meets criteria for one or more of the following impairments:	
Autism Cognitive disability Orthopedically impaired Other health impairment Emotional Behavioral Disability Traumatic brain injury Visual impairment (complete "Need for Braille") Significant development delay (first consider other areas as the primary disability) Significant development delay (first consider other areas as the primary disability) Specific learning disability (complete "Additional Documentation Required for Learning Disabilities") Specch or language impairment Hearing impairment None found (complete section III on page 2)	
1. For each impairment identified, document how the student meets the criteria; in his bancheading and leading Comprehengion is surday Continued to demonstrate delay in class norm achievement in the second and and information processing deficit in the area of manipulation (d. thiculties summaizing and intermediate intermediate intermediate), difficulties in tenno information sufficiently enderstanding multiple contexts intermediate intermediate intermediate intermediate summaizing and but the supplicant delays in his receptive and expressive language but with memory weakland sentence comprehensions, pragmatics and areas of abstract with memory weakland sentence comprehensions, pragmatics and areas of abstract him language (City Context Cont) !
II. By reason of the impairment(s) identified, does this student need or continue to need special education?	
∑íYes □ No	
To guide this analysis, consider whether the child's needs can be met in the regular education program as structured at the time of the evaluation; whether there are modifications that can be made to the regular education program to meet the child's needs and to allow the child to access the general education curriculum and meet the educational standards that apply to all children; and, any additions or modifications that the child needs which are not provided through the general education curriculum including replacement content, expanded core curriculum, or other supports. Survival Continues to communicate delays in his banched heading Continues to continue to contin	



EVALUATION REPORT FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION

(student)

III. ·	Were impairments considered and rejected?	Yes	No			
If yes, document which one(s) and how the student did not meet the criteria:						

	NAME AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	AGREE	DISAGREE
	Marci Waldran-Kuhn	M. Woldigul	-1/	
- 16. - 16.	Barbana Janda	Barbara China		
Each IEP team participant must sign at the right and indicate		MayMan		
whether he/she agrees with this evaluation report. If this	axy dotave Secusionsi	0 6 1	V	
	for Syrucham Gosslehostl	hat Schalus been Gross	X	
IEP team participant must also attach a statement with his/her	0	, 0		
conclusions.				
				1

EVALUATION REPORT FOR <u>Sundan Pasself</u> (student) INFORMATION FROM ADDITIONAL TESTS & OTHER EVALUATION MATERIALS

(If you need this notice in a different language or communicated in a different way, or have questions about this notice, please contact Kris Schoenenberger-Gross at

Summary of information from additional tests and other evaluation materials given. Attach other pages as needed, and also attach participant summaries of findings which will assist in program planning. (Note: only those IEP team participants who administered additional tests and other evaluation materials must complete a summary of findings to be attached)

Were tests or other evaluation materials administered in accordance with the instructions provided by the publisher or producer of the tests?

If no, describe the extent to which there were variations from standard conditions such as qualifications of the evaluator or methods of test administration:

CAFU

Core

Receptive = 70

Expressive = 63

Language Memory = 51

*Brendan demonstrates topificant

Jelaus in his language and language

Language

*Brendan demonstrates dispificant

Jelaus in his language and language

Memory of the tests?

In publisher or producer or methods of test administration:

In publisher or producer or methods of test administration:

In publisher or producer or methods of test administration:

In publisher or producer or methods of test administration:

In publisher or producer or methods of test administration:

In publisher or producer or methods of test administration:

In publisher or producer or methods of test administration:

In publisher or producer or methods or producer or methods or producer or m

EVALUATION REPORT FOR: Brendan Casseystudent)

(If you need this notice in a different language or communicated in a different way, or have questions about this notice, please contact: Kris Schoenenberger-Gross at

Type of Evaluation:										
☐ Initial										
K	Reevaluation		on more production (one of the contract of the							
Y	Information from review of existing data	X	Additional documentation required when child is evaluated for learning disabilities	Υ	Determination of eligibility for special education					
X	Information from new or additional tests		Recommendations for child found not to be a child with a disability (impairments and need for special education)		Documentation for determining Braille needs for a child with a visual impairment					
又	Participant summaries of findings (only required if new or addition	al tests	or other evaluation materials were administered)							
A. Summary of previous evaluations in deplember 1991 elevated overall well below overage to below average Cognitive ability bolon a specific teaming study it and selection in the nation of the language skills. Brandan met citetia for B. Information provided by parents. B. Information provided by parents.										
B. Information provided by parents. B. Information provided by parents. Soundarys mother participated in the lefteam process; she indicated hat the has no specific concerns about bundan at this time.										
C. Previous/interventions and the effects of those interventions. Wead to to pecual education services and supports (helpful)										
D.	Current classroom based assessments and observed the fall of some automotification of the answer he	ations and which	na, quiet and introverted in class, to migs his shoulders, late and missing	est ig d	ficipates or class my when called an a	nd nd				
E. (Observations by teachers and related service provides much imallely contact, gos withingly participated in speciallangu	ders. Fur lags	es and variation of pitch in conversely	tro	in the classing the classing	Ţ				

A Summary of frenois Evaluations

Three year revaluation results from November 1909 revealed Everall well below average, to below average counties about delays in Brendans language, reading, evitten language, and spelling skills, and average most now. Brendan continued to be in need of services—three year revaluation results from October 2003 revealed bridge to below average cognitive of ity average math 1818, and delay in Brendans language, reading and without spreasons is kills. Brendans confined to meet sinferia to a special claiming dispositify and speech language impairment and he continued to be in need of special observation services.

WORKSHEET FOR CONSIDERATION OF EXISTING DATA TO DETERMINE IF ADDITIONAL TESTS OR EVALUATION MATERIALS ARE NEEDED

(If you need this notice in a different language or communicated in a different way, or have questions about this notice, please contact Kris Schoenenberger-Gross at (920)755-4633 Ext. 210) Name of student: Note: It is optional to have an IEP team meeting to consider existing data. If a meeting is held and this form is used as documentation of that meeting, complete a Cover Sheet (1.2) and Sections I and II below. If no meeting is held, this form is used to document the input and decision of the IEP team participants. Complete sections I. II, III, and IV and the name of the person completing the form. List of information reviewed: Information in cumand special education files 1. 11. Action to be taken as a result of review and considering the existing information/data: Additional tests or other evaluation materials are needed No additional tests or other evaluation materials are needed Documentation of parent involvement (including dates and method) and their input:

H horre Calls 911/05, 918/05, and 9113/05; Consents 9/2/05 and 9/13/05

9/14/05 Bundaris mother degree consent agreeing to additional testing 111. IV. necessare in ordes to determine it Brendan it