STATE OF WIS	CONSIN,		
	PLAINTIFF,	BAIL HEARING & INITIAL APPEARANCE	
vs.		Case No. 06 CF 88	
BRENDAN R. D.	ASSEY,		
	DEFENDANT.		
DATE: MAR	CH 3, 2006	MANITOWOC COUNTY STATE OF WISCONSIN	
BEFORE: Hon. Jerome L. Fox Circuit Court Judge MAR 1 0 2006			
APPEARANCES:		CLERX OF CIRCUIT COURT	
KENNETH R. KRATZ SPECIAL PROSECUTOR On behalf of the State of Wisconsin.			
RALPH SCZYGELSKI Attorney at Law On behalf of the Defendant.			
	NDAN R. DASSEY		
	endant eared in person.		
* * * * * *			
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
Reported by Jennifer K. Hau, RPR			
Official Court Reporter			

1	THE COURT: This is in the matter of the
2	State of Wisconsin vs. Brendan R. Dassey.
3	Appearances.
4	MR. KRATZ: The State appears by Calumet
5	County District Attorney Ken Kratz. I'm
6	appearing as special prosecutor in this matter.
7	MR. SCZYGELSKI: Mr. Dassey appears with
8	Ralph Sczygelski.
9	THE COURT: Yeah. This is Case No.
10	06 CF 88. It's set today for an initial
11	appearance, uh, as well as bail, presumably. Uh,
12	Mr. Kratz.
13	MR. KRATZ: That's correct, Judge. The
14	first, uh, item of housekeeping, uh, Judge
15	Willis, uh, had signed an order upon a request to
16	District Attorney Rohrer to have me appointed in
17	this matter as special prosecutor. I have that
18	original form, Judge. I'd ask the Court to place
19	that in the file, if you will.
20	THE COURT: Go right ahead.
21	MR. KRATZ: This is the initial
22	appearance, Judge. This is a time for, um,
23	Mr. Dassey to receive the, um, original Criminal
24	Complaint. It's, uh, my understanding, based

upon conversations with Counsel, that he's

1	already received a copy of the Complaint.
2	THE COURT: Is that correct, Counsel?
3	MR. SCZYGELSKI: We have, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Have you had an opportunity to
5	review it?
6	MR. SCZYGELSKI: Yes, we have, and we'll
7	waive its formal reading.
8	THE COURT: All right. Um, the next
9	matter, then, would be to set a preliminary
10	examination.
11	MR. SCZYGELSKI: We would normally, Judge.
12	My client and I are waiving that right.
13	THE COURT: You're handing me a waiver
14	form?
15	MR. SCZYGELSKI: I am, Judge.
16	THE COURT: And you went over this with
17	your client?
18	MR. SCZYGELSKI: Yes, I did.
19	THE COURT: Did he appear to understand
20	what he was waiving?
21	MR. SCZYGELSKI: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Dassey, I'm going to ask
23	I'm going to show you this form. Is that your
24	signature on the front page?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Did you have an opportunity
2	to go over it with your lawyer?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Did you understand it?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
6	THE COURT: Do you understand that under
7	Wisconsin law you are entitled to what is called a
8	preliminary hearing?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: And at that hearing, the State
11	would have to produce evidence to show that there's
12	probable cause to believe that a felony has been
13	committed and that you have committed a felony. Do
14	you understand that?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: And by waiving, or giving up
17	your right to a preliminary hearing, you are, in
18	effect, relieving the State of making that proof.
19	Do you understand that?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: Is it your desire to waive the
22	preliminary hearing?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Anybody promised you anything
25	in order to get you to do that?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No.
2	THE COURT: Threaten you in any way?
3	THE DEFENDANT: No.
4	THE COURT: Court is going to find that the
5	waiver of the preliminary hearing is freely and
6	voluntarily given. Uh, accordingly, the Court is
7	going to bind this defendant over for trial.
8	MR. KRATZ: Judge, uh, the State is
9	prepared this morning to file with the Court the
LO	original criminal Information. This becomes the
11	official charging document, then, regarding
12	Mr. Dassey. It includes the identical charges as
13	found in the Criminal Complaint. Those including
14	party to the crime first-degree intentional
15	homicide, party to the crime mutilation of a
16	corpse, and party to the crime of first-degree
17	sexual assault. I'm providing Mr. Sczygelski a
18	copy of that Information and I'll provide the
L 9	Court the original, sir.
20	MR. SCZYGELSKI: I acknowledge receipt,
21	Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: You want the Information to be
23	read, Mr. Sczygelski, or are you going to waive the
24	reading of it and enter a plea?

MR. SCZYGELSKI: We'll waive the reading of

Um, I am concerned that once we that, Your Honor. 1 complete the arraignment that certain deadlines run. 2 As long as the Court is going to be amenable to 3 flexibility down the road regarding those deadlines, such as change of venue, um, we will be willing to enter a plea of not guilty to all three charges at this time. THE COURT: Well, we can, uh, set a formal arraignment down the line some time. Uh, Mr. District Attorney, any objection to doing that? 10 11

MR. KRATZ: Judge, uh, I have, uh, no objection to Mr. Sczygelski at this time, uh, noting the reservations of, uh, those, uh, statutory rights that, uh, uh, need to be, uh, implicated at the time of the arraignment, including substitution of, uh -of Judge and others. Uh, if Mr. Sczygelski wishes, then, that, uh, with the reservation of those statutory rights that the matter be set for a -- a continued arraignment at a future date, I have no objection to that procedure.

MR. SCZYGELSKI: That sounds --

THE COURT: Is that your --

MR. SCZYGELSKI: -- fine.

THE COURT: Is that your request,

Counsel?

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCZYGELSKI: That's fine, Judge.

THE COURT: Uh, upon agreement of counsel, the Court will do exactly that. We'll have a continued arraignment here. Uh, it's not, uh, an interminable continued arraignment, under -- uh, arraignment, understand, but, uh, we're going to at least, uh, do this in an effort to accommodate the -- the time lines. Now, bail?

MR. KRATZ: Judge, we do have a, uh -- a request, uh, regarding, um -- regarding bail. Uh, this is a, uh -- a case, uh, that includes the, um -- as, uh -- as indicated in the Complaint, uh, the homicide, uh, mutilation, and sexual assault, uh, of a young woman, although the defendant is a -- 16 years of age, uh, this Court understands, as does Mr. Sczygelski, that there is an original adult court jurisdiction, and, therefore, the same bail or bond considerations as set forth in Section 969.01(4) apply to Mr. Dassey as would any other defendant.

Those, in this case, uh, include the nature, gravity, uh, and number of offenses. The potential penalty that Mr., uh, Dassey faces, which includes a potential life imprisonment, the degree of violence involved, which is, uh, set

out, uh, in detail in the, uh -- the Complaint, as well as the character and strength, uh, of the evidence, uh, presented, which includes not only physical evidence but inculpatory statements being made by Mr. Dassey, uh, given, uh, those factors, Your Honor, uh, given the, uh, risk of flight that is inherent, uh, in any, uh, case of, uh, this magnitude, the State is going to request cash bail in the amount of \$250,000.

In lieu of Mr. Dassey, uh, uh, being able to post, uh, the \$250,000 cash bail as requested by the State, uh, I am prepared, if necessary, to argue pretrial detention and, um, the nature and place of that detention. That's all I have, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Sczygelski.

MR. SCZYGELSKI: Your Honor, as my, um -- As the Court, I'm sure, is well aware, my client has cooperated with the police, and he has provided a lengthy statement, which is the basis, in large part, for what is in the Complaint and for these charges.

He is a juvenile. He is a, uh, sophomore at, uh, Mishicot High School. As I understand it, he's a B/C student. Um, he has

never been in trouble in high school as far as I know, or any type of school. He has no juvenile record, whatsoever. Certainly no criminal record.

He has indicated that -- in his statement to the authorities that this was a result, in large part, of being threatened by Mr. Avery. That this was something that was not done willingly by him.

Based upon those circumstances, Your
Honor, I think a \$250,000 cash bail is excessive.
He does not have those means. Few high school
students would. I believe that a far more modest
bail would be appropriate. Potentially, even
having sign-in conditions, if necessary, to make
sure that there's no flight risk. Um, and that,
uh, that be done instead.

In sum, Your Honor, I believe that this is a case that involves a young man who was threatened by his own uncle. And because of that, uh, this is not somebody who came up with this horrible idea on his own. This is somebody who, uh, himself, and, essentially, has been victimized by Mr. Avery as well. I would ask for a far more modest cash bail, if any. Thank you.

THE COURT: Response?

MR. KRATZ: Only, Judge, that, uh, as the State, uh, perceives, and, perhaps, without surprise to Mr. Sczygelski or the Court, there is only one victim in this case. That's Miss Halbach. Uh, Mr. Dassey, at least, has, uh, indicated, uh, himself, had opportunities, uh, not only to extricate himself from this situation, but to, uh, assist in, uh -- in, uh, the ultimate, uh, commission, uh, of this crime.

With that having been said, uh, the cash bond that I've, uh, recommended I believe to be fair under the circumstances, not excessive.

Would ask the Court impose same.

THE COURT: All right. Uh, the Court -- So far as the Court knows at this stage, uh, there are a number of items alleged in the Complaint. Whether there was -- was threats of, uh -- of reprisals on the part of another defendant here, I don't know. Uh, District Attorney is correct, under Section 938.183, the Court has original jurisdiction -- in adult court, has original jurisdiction of this juvenile because the allegations relate to, uh, a charge under 940.01, first degree intentional homicide, uh, committed after the age of 15 years,

and, in that sense, he is to be treated as an adult.

There are a number of factors that the Court is supposed to consider in setting bail.

Prominent among those factors in this case, based on this very limited record, are:

The nature, the number of crimes, the gravity of the offenses, the potential penalties this defendant faces, the degree of violence involved, uh, his involvement in this — in this, uh — in these allegations, and the Court believes on — on all of those factors, uh, a significant bail is warranted in this case, and it will set it at \$250,000 cash. Anything else, gentlemen?

MR. KRATZ: Just that, uh, we schedule a status conference, Judge. Or, excuse me, the arraignment. I guess that's the next -- next hearing, uh, to be scheduled. Uh, whether that is to be done at this time or whether at a scheduling or status conference so we can accomplish it at that time as well.

THE COURT: Well, I think we have a -- we have informally set a status conference, uh, couple of weeks down the line prior to coming into court today. Let us set the arraignment. Without

objection from either counsel, can we use that as the time to set the arraignment?

MR. KRATZ: That would be fine, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCZYGELSKI: Your Honor, the other issue is that my client, um, can be either placed in juvenile detention or can be placed in an adult, uh, jail, um, if he cannot raise bail, which I doubt that he'll be able to do. I would ask that he be allowed to stay in a juvenile facility, and he would like to be staying here in Manitowoc so he can be close to his family.

THE COURT: Mr. District Attorney any --

MR. KRATZ: Judge, uh, as long as, uh, whatever facility Mr. Dassey is placed in pretrial, uh, includes a, uh -- a secure facility. Uh, whether it's in, uh, Manitowoc or, uh, elsewhere, uh, is something I don't, uh, have an opinion about. It should be -- of course be a, uh -- a secure facility. And whether he co-mingles with, uh, adults or, uh, other juvenile offenders, uh, the State doesn't have a position about.

THE COURT: The Court will order that he be placed in a secure juvenile facility. Uh, I -- I'm reluctant to order whether it be here in Manitowoc

1	or Sheboygan Counties, but it it whichever
2	place, it it's going to be a juvenile facility.
3	MR. SCZYGELSKI: Okay.
4	THE COURT: Anything else?
5	MR. KRATZ: No, Judge. The date of the
6	the arraignment? The
7	THE COURT: We were going to set it on the
8	17th.
9	MR. KRATZ: Is that it? March 17 at
10	THE COURT: Well, no, we were going to have
11	a status conference on March 17 and set the
12	arraignment at that time.
13	MR. KRATZ: So we're not going to do the
14	arraignment on the 17th?
15	MR. SCZYGELSKI: No.
16	THE COURT: We can.
17	MR. SCZYGELSKI: I believe that may be
18	difficult for law enforcement. It will be two
19	courtrooms they'd have to secure, because you're
20	you're on Mr. Avery's case that same day.
21	MR. KRATZ: That's fine.
22	THE COURT: All right. But it will be
23	shortly thereafter.
24	MR. KRATZ: Seventeenth at one
25	THE COURT: Fifteen.

1	MR. KR	ATZ: One-f	fifteen for	the	status.	
2	That's fine, Ju	dge. Than	you.			
3	THE CO	URT: Okay.				
4	(PROCE	EDINGS CONC	CLUDED.)			
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	1					

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN))SS.
2	COUNTY OF MANITOWOC)
3	
4	I, Jennifer K. Hau, Official Court
5	Reporter for Circuit Court Branch 3 and the State
6	of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported
7	the foregoing matter and that the foregoing
8	transcript has been carefully prepared by me with
9	my computerized stenographic notes as taken by me
10	in machine shorthand, and by computer-assisted
11	transcription thereafter transcribed, and that it
12	is a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings had in said matter to the best of my
14	knowledge and ability.
15	Dated this 10th day of March, 2006.
16	
17	
18	lancita de ditarre
19	Jennifer K. Hau, RPR Official Court Reporter
20	Official Court Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	