IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN MILWAUKEE DIVISION

ANDREW L. COLBORN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Civil No.: 19-CV-484-BHL

NETFLIX, INC.; CHROME MEDIA LLC, F/K/A SYNTHESIS FILMS, LLC; LAURA RICCIARDI; AND MOIRA DEMOS,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT NETFLIX, INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO TEMPORARILY RESTRICT

Pursuant to General Local Rule 79(d)(3), Defendant Netflix, Inc. ("Netflix") submits this

Response to Plaintiff Andrew Colborn's Motion to Temporarily Restrict (Dkt. 322) and states as

follows:

1. On November 4, 2022, Plaintiff filed his opposition to Netflix's Motion for

Summary Judgment and documents related thereto.

2. Because Plaintiff did not request to meet and confer with Netflix prior to filing his

papers, Netflix had no earlier opportunity to consider whether any of the documents Plaintiff

intended to file in connection therewith should be filed under restricted status.

3. Now having had the opportunity, Netflix has no objection to the following

documents being filed publicly and without redactions:

a. Plaintiff's Brief In Opposition To Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 327);

- b. Plaintiff's Additional Proposed Findings of Fact (Dkt. 325);¹
- c. The excerpted pages of the licensing agreement attached as an exhibit to the Declaration of April Rockstead Barker (Dkt. 330-22); or
- 4. Netflix takes no position on whether any other documents filed by Plaintiff in

connection with his opposition to either Netflix's or the Producer Defendants' Motions for

Summary Judgment should be filed under restriction or with redactions.

Dated: November 14, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

<u>s/ Leita Walker</u> Leita Walker Isabella Salomão Nascimento Ballard Spahr LLP 2000 IDS Center, 80 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-2119 T: (612) 371-6222 F: (612) 371-6222 F: (612) 371-3207 walkerl@ballardspahr.com salamaonascimentoi@ballardspahr.com

Matthew E. Kelley Emmy Parsons Ballard Spahr LLP 1909 K Street, NW, Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20006-1157 T: (202) 508-1112 F: (202) 661-2299 kelleym@ballardspahr.com parsonse@ballardspahr.com

¹ Although in his Motion to Restrict, Plaintiff represents that his Additional Proposed Findings of Fact (Dkt. 325) either contains redactions and/or is currently filed under restriction, neither is correct: that docket entry is currently accessible to the public, and the public version contains no redactions. Regardless, Netflix has no objection to it remaining that way.

James A. Friedman Godfrey & Kahn, S.C. One East Main Street Suite 500 Madison, WI 53703-3300 T: (608) 284-2617 F. (608) 257-0609 jfriedman@gklaw.com

Counsel for Netflix, Inc.