

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**


SHERRY ELLIS, ET AL.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
vs.	)	NO. CIV-16-0019-HE
	)	
DANIEL HOLTZCLAW, ET AL.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

For the reasons stated more fully at the telephonic hearing held this date, plaintiff Copeland’s motion for protective order [Doc. #161] is **GRANTED** to the extent that plaintiff will be prevented from inquiring into the specifics of the rape that was the subject of the state court conviction; the motion is otherwise **DENIED**. Plaintiff Copeland’s motion to stay [Doc. #162] is **STRICKEN** as **MOOT**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September, 2020.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 JOE HEATON  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE