1

```
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
           FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
   JANNIE LIGONS, SHANDAYREON HILL,
   TABATHA BARNES, TERRI MORRIS,
 5 SYRITA BOWEN, CARLA JOHNSON,
   KALA LYLES,
 6
             Plaintiffs,
 7
                                        ) No.
                                        ) CIV-16-184-HE
   VS.
 8
   CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY, a municipal )
 9 corporation, DANIEL HOLTZCLAW,
   BILL CITTY, BRIAN BENNETT, ROCKY
10 GREGORY, JOHN AND JANE DOES, all
   in their individual capacity,
11
            Defendants.
12
13
14
      VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ELAINE MARIE TAYLOR
             TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS
15
16
                 IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
                    ON JANUARY 15, 2019
17
18
19
           REPORTED BY: KAREN B. JOHNSON, CSR
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 54
1
     on Rosetta Grate's case on the underwear and you got
 2
     a positive result, do you remember that, for acid
 3
     phosphatase?
 4
               Let me get her file out.
 5
          0
               Sure.
 6
               On Item Number 2, the underwear, yes, I
 7
     did get a positive ALS.
8
          0
               Yes. And then I think the acid
9
     phosphatase test then was negative for the seminal
10
     fluid?
11
               Yes. That is --
          Α
12
               Do you see that?
          Q
13
               That's correct.
          Α
14
               What I want to ask -- is there anything
          Q
15
     else you want to add?
16
               On all -- all three samples.
          Α
17
          Q
               So why did you not test Holtzclaw's
     uniform pants for body fluids?
18
               Because I was only looking for touch DNA
19
20
     on the front, I wasn't looking for a liquid, like
21
     saliva.
22
          0
               So even though there was an allegation of
23
     oral sodomy, you weren't looking for a liquid like
2.4
     saliva?
25
               Not on the front of his pants. Like I
          Α
```

```
Page 55
1
     said, I -- I was specifically looking for touch DNA
2
     where I thought maybe she would have kind of
 3
     steadied herself, I don't know if women do that or
 4
     not, but I was just trying to think of the idea of,
5
     you know, what all -- what could have happened at
 6
     that point.
7
               And I think that you testified, you said
8
     at trial, "At the time, I felt that an amylase test
9
     would not have aided anybody in the investigation of
     this particular case," that was your trial
10
11
     testimony, that's at Page 4092. Why did you think
     that doing the amylase test would not have
12
13
    potentially helped the investigation?
               Because amylase is found in more than just
14
          Α
15
     saliva.
16
               Did anyone ever request that you test the
          Q
17
    pants for saliva?
18
          Α
               Yes.
19
               And who was that?
          Q
20
               Detective Davis, but our lab --
          Α
21
               She asked you to --
          Q
22
          Α
               Excuse me.
23
               Okay. I was just trying to track what
          0
24
     you're saying. So Detective Davis asked you to test
25
     the pants for saliva, and then what -- what was your
```

Page 98 1 analyzed. Now, you know, criticize me all night and 2 all day for not doing something, but I followed 3 policies and procedures that our laboratory has set 4 in stone, which includes we cannot do a saliva test 5 because we're not proficiency tested on that. 6 What about the alternative light source, 7 because you used it on Grate's test, but -- on her 8 examination of evidence, but then you didn't use it 9 on Ligons and, you know, why did you decide one time to use it, then you didn't use it? 10 11 On Grate's case, I -- I was specifically Α told that the lady told Kim Davis that after the 12 13 oral sodomy, she spit it in her hand and she wiped it on that chair back, okay, it's black, it's 14 15 doesn't appear to have anything on it, so I used the 16 alternate light source and I circled some areas that 17 were maybe a little questionable, and then I did AP 18 spot on them and they were negative. 19 difference is, I was looking for a specific body 20 fluid that was stated to have been on that chair, 21 where I wasn't looking for a specific body fluid on 22 his pants, I was looking for touch DNA, so. 23 You were looking for the victim's touch 0 DNA on his pants? 24 25 Yes, that is correct. Α

```
Page 99
1
                     So when you were swabbing -- let me
          0
               Okav.
2
     go back, let me check, did you -- did you quantify
 3
     how much DNA was in items 17Q3 and 17Q4, the inside
     of the fly, right and left side, was there a
 4
5
     quantification done?
 6
               I would had to have done a quant. Yes, on
7
     Page 10-B there is a quant done and actually, those
8
     items, it looks like, yeah, looks like those were
9
     the only items that were run, and that's in my case
10
     file.
11
               Can you tell me what the -- okay.
     were the quantities on those two, Q3, 17Q3 and Q4?
12
13
               2.19 times 10 to the minus 1, and 2.60
14
     times 10 to the minus 1. Or .219 and .260.
15
          Q
               And were those nanograms or what were
16
     those?
17
          Α
               I believe that's the quantity, point.
                     .219 nanograms, .260 nanograms?
18
               Yeah.
          Q
19
               Yes.
          Α
20
               Okay. So did the DNA quantification step
21
     calculate the concentration of male DNA in addition
22
     to the total DNA?
23
               Yes. And it was quanted at .0102 and
          Α
2.4
     .0117.
            And the male to female ratio was 1 to 20
25
     for -- for Q3 and 1 to 21 for Q4.
```