1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3	
4	JANNIE LIGONS, SHANDAYREON HILL,) TABATHA BARNES, TERRI MORRIS,)
5	SYRITA BOWEN, CARLA JOHNSON,) KALA LYLES,)
6	Plaintiffs,)
7) No.
8	vs.) CIV-16-184-HE
9	CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY, a municipal) corporation, DANIEL HOLTZCLAW,)
10	BILL CITTY, BRIAN BENNETT, ROCKY) GREGORY, JOHN AND JANE DOES, all) in their individual capacity,)
11	
12	Defendants.)
13	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ROCKY GREGORY
15	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS
16	IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
17	ON JANUARY 17, 2019
18	
19	REPORTED BY: KAREN B. JOHNSON, CSR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 115

- 1 the simplest, I believe, idea of touch DNA. Take
- 2 Holtzclaw, he touches Gardner with his hand on her
- 3 face, and then he puts his hand on his pants
- 4 subsequent to that touching of Gardner, you
- 5 understand the science to be that Gardner's DNA
- 6 could transfer to his pants through that, that way;
- 7 correct?
- 8 MR. SMITH: Object to the form. You can
- 9 answer if you understand it.
- 10 THE WITNESS: As far as all of that and my
- 11 experience, I would say no.
- 12 Q (By Mr. Johnson) Okay.
- 13 A It's such a needle in a haystack on that.
- 14 Now, if you would say like on the original one, he
- 15 has sex with Gardner and it's -- it's there on his
- 16 pants, yes, that's a direct contact. But, no, I
- 17 don't -- I'm not believing as far as like touching
- 18 the shoulder or anything on Gardner that it was like
- 19 a transfer on that. I'm no expert on that, but I
- 20 just know my luck with DNA, out of all the hundreds
- 21 of cases I've dealt with, I have not seen that, but
- 22 I'm not an expert.
- 23 Q Okay. So you don't believe that DNA could
- 24 be transferred from Gardner to Holtzclaw's pants
- 25 unless Holtzclaw did something sexually improper

```
Page 116
1
    with her; correct?
2
               No, not necessarily. I mean, if he sat
 3
     there and was -- not necessarily just directly with
4
     sexual assault. I understand on this one, it is
5
     from a sexual assault, but I mean, I don't have the
 6
     expertise to sit there and say, yes, it is or, no,
7
     it isn't, I just -- I don't know.
8
               Okay. So you would agree then that
9
     Gardner's DNA could get on Holtzclaw's pants through
     appropriate police to victim/suspect contact?
10
11
               MR. SMITH: Object, object to the form.
12
               THE WITNESS: No. You're going to have to
13
     rephrase that.
               (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. I'm just -- I
14
15
     quess let's try it this way, you've investigated
16
     cases that involved touch DNA; correct?
               It's -- it's -- it's so rare for the touch
17
18
     DNA, what I've dealt with. Touch DNA does exist if
19
     that's what you're asking.
20
               Okay. And you understand that; correct?
21
               I do understand that. But you're asking
          Α
22
    me what I think in this scenario, and this scenario,
23
     I'm not going to --
               I'm asking you not what -- I'm asking you
2.4
25
     about possibilities, not necessarily your opinion, I
```

```
Page 117
1
     know your opinion is that Holtzclaw violated
 2
     Gardner, I understand that. What I'm asking you,
 3
     though, is, is it possible, pursuant to the science
 4
     of touch DNA as far as you know it, not as a
 5
     forensic chemist, but as a investigator, is it
 6
     possible that if Holtzclaw touched Gardner for
 7
     legitimate reasons and then touched his pants, that
8
     her DNA could get on his pants?
9
               I don't believe that that's the case here.
     I just -- I don't.
10
11
               I know you don't. What I'm saying,
12
     though, is now, and the record is very clear, that's
13
     not what you believe happened, I understand, but
14
     what I'm asking you now is what's possible.
15
     possible that if Holtzclaw touched Gardner for
16
     completely legitimate reasons during that stop, then
17
     he could transfer her DNA to his pants?
18
               MR. SOLOMON-SIMMONS:
                                     Object to form.
19
                             Rephrase this.
               THE WITNESS:
                             I can't -- I don't think I
20
               MR. JOHNSON:
21
     can make it any better than that, if the court
22
     reporter could read it back.
23
                                "What I'm saying, though,
               COURT REPORTER:
2.4
     is now, and the record is very clear, that's not
25
     what you believe happened, I understand, but what
```

```
Page 119
1
     after touching her legitimately?
2
               MR. SOLOMON-SIMMONS: Object to form.
 3
               MR. SMITH: Object to form.
 4
                             You're going to have to
               THE WITNESS:
5
     rephrase it.
 6
               (By Mr. Johnson) I can't rephrase it.
7
    The question is, is it possible if Holtzclaw touched
8
     Gardner for completely legitimate reasons and then
9
    he touched his pants, could his DN -- her DNA get on
    his pants?
10
11
               MR. SOLOMON-SIMMONS: Same objection.
12
               THE WITNESS: I don't know enough about
13
     DNA and transfer to even say one way or the other.
14
               (By Mr. Johnson) Okay. So it's fair to
     say that you never considered the possibility that
15
16
     Gardner's DNA got on Holtzclaw's pants for
17
     legitimate reasons; correct?
18
               From what I understand on this, it wasn't
19
     the way that you're saying it happened. From what I
20
     understand on, it came from when he had sex with
21
     Gardner, that's how he got that DNA on there.
22
     I can't say one way or the other, that's what I was
23
     told, Gardner wasn't my case, but that's what I was
     told was the outcome.
2.4
25
               Okay. So you never considered the
          Q
```

```
Page 120
1
    possibility that Gardner's DNA was on Holtzclaw's
     pants for legitimate reasons?
2
 3
               MR. SMITH: Object to the form.
 4
               THE WITNESS:
                             In dealing with all the --
5
               (By Mr. Johnson) I'm just asking if you
 6
     considered that?
7
               I've never had that really come across, I
8
    mean, we just -- transfer is like a needle in a
9
    haystack as far as that. Now, direct touch, now,
10
     that's something else. But, no, no, we just got to
11
     go off what we knew and what we were told by the lab
     and everything, so.
12
13
               What did the lab tell you?
14
               Well, they -- they told Kim, and then Kim
15
     told me as far as like what the findings were, that
16
     it was DNA from Gardner is on his pants, that was
17
     the unknown profile that we were looking for.
18
               I just want to ask you a question about
19
     when you talked to Morris at the jail, you mentioned
20
     that she said "Detective Gregory" when you met, you
21
     recall that testimony?
22
          Α
               I do.
23
               And isn't it true, though, that subsequent
2.4
     to that in the written transcript, she called you
25
    Mr. Williams?
```

```
Page 143
1
     aware to the extent or whatever of what she took?
 2
               No.
          Α
 3
               Okay. Is it your understanding all of the
          0
 4
     victims you believe Holtzclaw violated were women?
 5
          Α
               Do I believe they were all women? Is that
 6
     correct?
               Yeah.
          0
 8
          Α
               Yes.
 9
          0
                     Do you have any reason to believe
     he assaulted any men?
10
11
          Α
               No.
12
               Is it your understanding -- let's take the
13
     pants of his uniform pants, and you know his fly was
14
     forensically tested for DNA, do you recall that?
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               Is it your understanding, as you sit here
17
     today, that there was unidentified male DNA on his
18
     fly?
19
               Yes.
          Α
20
               Okay. And what led you to believe that?
          Q
21
               I thought I was told that there was some
22
     mixture or something.
23
               When were you told that?
          Q
               I think that was fairly -- I think --
2.4
25
     actually, I don't remember, it was past the middle
```

```
Page 144
1
     of the investigation or -- I don't remember when,
 2
     honestly.
 3
               It was certain -- it was certainly before
 4
     the trial; correct?
 5
               It surely was.
          А
 6
               Okay. And who told you that?
               I don't remember, it could have been Kim,
          Α
8
     I don't remember.
9
               Could it have been Ms. Taylor?
10
               I don't think so, because on dealing with
          A
11
     the DNA on that, that was more Kim on that one. And
12
     I know Elaine would have gotten with Kim.
13
               Have you talked to Elaine -- when is the
14
     last time you talked to Elaine Taylor?
15
               Last time I talked to her, oh, it's been a
16
     couple weeks ago.
17
               Do you know if she's been deposed in this
18
     case?
19
               Yes.
          Α
20
          Q
               And you know it was two days ago?
21
               Yes.
          Α
22
          Q
               How did you learn that?
23
          Α
               Well, I learned that actually through
2.4
     Rick.
25
               All right. I don't want to go into any
          Q
```

```
Page 145
1
     communications with your attorney, but have you
2
     spoken with Ms. Taylor personally since her
 3
     deposition?
 4
          Α
               No.
5
               Other than what you talked to your
 6
     attorney about, have you learned about what
7
    Ms. Taylor said during her deposition?
8
               Other than what my attorney has said?
9
               Yeah. I don't want to know anything about
          0
     that, okay. What else do you know about the male
10
11
     DNA that was found on Mr. Holtzclaw's fly, and by
12
     that I mean, do you know who it was believed it
13
    belonged to?
14
               I just knew it was supposed to be some --
     some mixture, I -- I don't know, that's all I --
15
16
     that's all I really recall about it.
17
               Okay. Were you involved in any
     discussions about whether or not that would create a
18
19
    hurdle to the prosecution of Mr. Holtzclaw if there
20
    was male DNA on his fly?
21
               No, I don't remember.
          Α
22
          0
               Were you ever told that it could be
23
    Holtzclaw's own DNA?
2.4
               I really -- I really don't remember much
25
     about the -- that part of the DNA deal, I just
```

```
Page 146
1
     remember the Gardner deal, I don't remember.
 2
               Okay.
          Q
 3
               I really don't.
 4
               Do you recall if Holtzclaw's own DNA was
          Q
5
     found on his fly or anywhere on his pants?
 6
               Actually, I -- I don't remember.
 7
                     Would you agree with me that the
8
     fact that there was male DNA on Holtzclaw's pants,
9
     does that suggest to you that it could have been
     your DNA?
10
11
               Well, no, I mean, she had it tested, I
          Α
     mean, they have my DNA, so I don't think it's my
12
13
          So they would have had it, they would have --
14
               The lab does have you?
          Q
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               Does the lab have your DNA?
          Q
17
          Α
               Yes.
18
                     Is that just pursuant to policy for
               Okav.
19
     elimination purposes?
20
               No, I think way early on, it was just some
          Α
21
     DNA tests, needed some like, oh, like reference
22
     samples, they were doing procedures, volunteers,
23
     sometimes they do that with the new recruits, things
2.4
     like that, so.
25
               So they took your DNA back in 2000 when
          Q
```

Rocky Gregory

January 17, 2019

```
Page 147
1
     you began?
2
          Α
               At some point, at some point.
 3
               Okay. If they -- do you believe that they
          0
4
    made -- strike that.
5
               Do you believe that they ran tests on the
    male DNA found on Holtzclaw's fly to eliminate you
 6
7
     as a possible contributor to the mixture?
8
               Eliminate me? Well, I -- I would have
9
    been told by now if I was on there, I would have
     thought, so I don't know, I don't know what all the
10
11
     tests involved on that was.
12
                     Do you recall, have you ever heard
               Okav.
13
     of that male DNA being tested against anyone in the
14
    Holtzclaw case?
15
               I mean, I don't -- I don't know, I don't
16
     know what Kim did, because that was -- I don't
17
     remember, because that was such in reference to
18
     Gardner, Kim handled that part.
19
               Okay. You would agree with me, and I'm
20
     not trying to be stupid or silly, but you would
21
     agree with me that if your DNA was that male DNA on
22
     Holtzclaw's pants, it was transferred through
23
    non-intimate contact?
2.4
               Yes. That's correct.
          Α
25
                      Thank you. Do you -- do you have
          Q
               Okay.
```

```
Page 178
1
    pretrial conference like for prelim, and Shane had
2
     went with me to try to locate a couple of them, they
 3
     were having a hard time finding. That's the only
4
     thing I really remember Shane doing is just kind of
5
     going with me to help find some of the women.
 6
               Just on that occasion?
          Α
               Yeah.
8
               Is that like on one day, just on one
9
     single occasion?
10
               There was probably three or four days, but
11
     not a lot. Not -- he didn't have anything to do
12
     with the investigation.
13
               Okay. I'm going to cruise through some
     DNA questions because I think I know the answers, I
14
15
     don't want to put you into a situation where I'm
16
     asking things you don't feel comfortable answering.
17
     Let me see, did you ever review the physical DNA
18
     reports in this case personally?
19
               I don't believe so.
20
               Do you -- do you recall whether or not
21
     you -- well, strike that.
22
               Do you have an opinion one way or the
23
     other about what the DNA results in this case
2.4
    mean -- case meant?
25
               Well, I -- I knew that Gardner's DNA was
          Α
```

```
Page 179
1
     on Holtzclaw's pants, and past that, and then later
2
     on hearing about the -- the mixture with the male
 3
     profile.
 4
               Did you ever review any reports that
          Q
5
     showed the male profile on the pants?
 6
               No, I don't remember that.
7
                     Have you been trained in the
               Okav.
8
     collection and packaging of forensic evidence?
9
               Trained, very little. We have CSI do all
     our stuff. They're the ones we use to package.
10
11
               Okay. So you've never received a
          0
     certificate or any specialized treatment -- any
12
13
     specialized training in the collection and packaging
14
     of evidence?
15
          Α
               No certificate, no.
16
               Okay. Are you judged -- strike that.
          Q
17
               Are there records kept as to your
18
     clearance rate with regard to closing cases, any
19
     statistics, let's take when you were in SVU?
20
               No, not like -- I'm not aware of like the
21
     FBI statistics like they are for homicide, I'm sure
22
     there's something, I don't remember the supervisors
23
     talking about it. We didn't have a -- just a known
2.4
     clearance rate on what it is, no.
25
               Are you judged on how many cases are
          Q
```