Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA JANNIE LIGONS, SHANDAYREON HILL,) TABATHA BARNES, TERRI MORRIS, SYRITA BOWEN, CARLA JOHNSON, KALA LYLES, Plaintiffs, ) CASE NO. CIV-16-184-HE -vs-CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY, a municipal corporation, DANIEL HOLTZCLAW, BILL CITTY, BRIAN BENNETT, ROCKY GREGORY, JOHN AND JANE DOES, all in their individual capacity, Defendants. VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MIKE HOSKINS TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA ON APRIL 25, 2019 REPORTED BY: TRENA K. BLOYE, CSR

Page 39 1 specific steps involved in investigating a use of force 2 that may not be relevant and even come into place with 3 investigating a complaint against a police employee. 4 Okay? 5 Isn't it true that a use of force complaint 0 6 against an officer, pursuant to your procedures, or Oklahoma City Police Department procedures, is initiated 8 by the officer that participated in a use of force 9 informing his supervisor of the incident? 10 MS. GOOCH: Object to the form. 11 MR. SMITH: Same objection. I'm not clear on what you're asking me. 12 13 (By Mr. Solomon-Simmons) Is it your 14 understanding that there is a policy or procedure that requires -- strike that. 15 16 I understand you to say that there is a 17 use-of-force investigation procedure and then there is a complaint against officer procedure; correct? 18 19 Complaint against police employees procedures, 20 and then there are procedures for conducting use-of-force investigations. Two different things. 21 22 Sure. Who -- are citizens -- according to 23 Oklahoma City Police Department procedures how are use-of-force investigations initiated? 24 25 Α Several ways. The most common practice is the

Page 40 1 officer that's involved in use of force, by procedure, 2 is to notify his immediate supervisor as soon as that use of force has occurred, and that supervisor initiates 3 4 the initial use of force followup investigation. Okay? 5 But there can be other avenues that a 6 supervisor can be informed of a use of force that took place by a police officer. And it could be, for 8 example, if a group of teenagers are sitting on the side 9 of the road on a Friday night drinking beer. And they get home and they tell mom or dad that the cops showed 10 up and they put us against the car and mom and dad felt 11 12 like their son got ruffed up or whatever, they could 13 call in and allege a use of force took place and get the 14 ball rolling for a supervisor to contact them to see, if 15 fact, a use of force did take place. So there's several 16 different ways it can be actually initiated. 17 Q I see. 18 But the most common is, by policy or by 19 procedure, is officers involved in the use of force are 20 to notify their immediate supervisor immediately. 21 Would you agree that pursuant to this policy Q 22 143 that when -- if the parents called in -- in your 23 scenario, if the parents called in to make a complaint 24 against an officer for his interaction with their children that that would be considered a citizen's 25

Page 41 1 complaint against a police department employee? 2 I would not, because they are alleging a use of force took place. So I would -- as a supervisor I 3 4 would -- the track I take on that is a use-of-force investigation. It's a complaint of a use of force that 5 6 took place. Okay? Now, if they called and said, The officer was 8 at my house and when he or she left to get in their car 9 they picked up a kid toy off my yard and put it in the trunk of their car, that's a complaint against an 10 officer that may involve some kind of criminal conduct. 11 12 So that goes a different route than what you do a use of 13 force complaint. 14 So if you receive a call about a complaint 15 against an officer that may involve a use of force, but 16 also involve other potential crimes then that would be, 17 in your understanding, investigated pursuant to 143 as a 18 complaint against a police department employee? 19 MR. SMITH: Object to the form. 20 MS. GOOCH: Same objection. 21 So let me simplify it a little bit. So if I'm Α 22 a supervisor and I get called to go meet a party about a 23 possible use of force, and during my course of 24 investigating that and talking to that person then I 25 identify that possibly some other allegations exist that

Page 97 1 investigator that's providing or putting together the 2 investigative report should attempt to locate potential witnesses to the use of force incident? 3 4 Α The investigating supervisor? 5 Yes. 0 6 Α Yes. What's the purpose -- strike that. 0 8 If you have the witness -- I'm sorry. If vou 9 have the subject's (sic.) of the use of force statement and you have the officer's statement, in your mind what 10 is the purpose of having the supervisor's narrative also 11 a part of the use of force investigative packet? 12 13 Often time, I mean, the supervisor is the one 14 who responds to the incident location. He or she is the 15 one that actually conducts the interview with the officer, the other involved party, suspect, other 16 17 witnesses. So that narrative can kind of connect the dots 18 19 a little bit, if you will, between here is what the 20 victim or suspect alleges, here is what the officer's 21 account is. Based on the physical evidence, based on 22 the witness statements it's my opinion that this took 23 place type information. 24 In your experience, if you have a scenario 25 where you have a victim saying one thing and an officer

Page 98 saying another thing, what is the best way to reconcile 1 2 what is actually -- whose version is correct? 3 MR. SMITH: Object to the form. 4 Α Sometimes it's difficult to do, especially if 5 there are no independent witnesses present. In today's 6 day and age with the amount of video surveillance that's out there, if there is video surveillance that you can 8 look and see, sometimes it can be difficult. 9 Oftentimes you've got to actually break down each step of that altercation that took place and see, 10 based on what the victim says, suspect, based on what 11 12 the officer says, is that physically possible to have 13 taken place? 14 Sometimes they may not be able to do that, so 15 they go with what the officer gives them as far as their 16 account of the force that took place and why they used 17 the force and use that as their basis on determining if 18 it was justified and appropriate or not. 19 MR. SOLOMON-SIMMONS: I think this is Exhibit 2. 2.0 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 was marked for 21 22 identification and made a part of the 23 record.) 24 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 25 (By Mr. Solomon-Simmons) Major Hoskins, have