

ORIGINAL



IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA
FILED
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

JUN - 2 2017

MICHAEL S. RICHIE
CLERK

DANIEL K. HOLTZCLAW,

Appellant,

v.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,

Appellee.

No. F 2016-0062

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

On May 25, 2017, James L. Hankins, counsel for Amici Curiae Peter Gill, Jane Goodman-Delanhunty, Suzanna Ryan, Moses S. Schanfield, George Schiro and Brent E. Turvey, filed a motion for leave to file an *amicus curiae* brief “to further discuss important issues implicating DNA evidence and other scientific issues” in the above styled appeal. Movant states that the “proposed *amicus* brief will provide helpful background to the Court in the complex areas of DNA evidence and serology, including accepted scientific methods for its collection, testing, and analysis, beyond the material advanced in the parties’ briefs.”

Rule 3.4(F)(4), Rules of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals, Title 22, Ch.18, App. (2017), directs that a motion to the Court requesting authorization to file an *amicus curiae* brief shall set out with specificity the basis in law or fact why an *amicus curiae* brief would be of assistance to the Court in deciding the issue presented. After reviewing the motion, Movant’s request for leave to file an *amicus curiae* brief is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

WITNESS OUR HANDS AND THE SEAL OF THIS COURT this 2nd

day of June, 2017.

[Signature]
GARY L. LUMPKIN, Presiding Judge

[Signature] - CIR
DAVID B. LEWIS, Vice Presiding Judge; *See my writing in the order of 3/31/17*

NOT PARTICIPATING

ARLENE JOHNSON, Judge

[Signature]
CLANCY SMITH, Judge

[Signature]
ROBERT L. HUDSON, Judge

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Clerk

NF