1	STATE OF WISCONSIN CIR	CUIT COURT MANITOWOC COUNTY	
2	STATE OF WISCONSIN,		
3	Plaintiff,		
4	-vs-	TRANSCRIPT OF DAY 3 OF	
5	STEVEN A. AVERY,	12-PERSON JURY TRIAL	
6	Defendant.	Case No. 05-CF-381	
7		L. WILLIS RESIDING	
8		PEARANCES:	
9		TY. KENNETH R. KRATZ, Special	
10	Pr	osecutor, from Calumet County, sconsin, ATTY. THOMAS FALLON,	
11	from State of Wisconsin Attorney General's Office, and ATTY.		
12	NORMAN GAHN, from Milwaukee County, appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.		
13			
14 15	į fi:	TY. JEROME F. BUTING, of the rm, Buting & Williams, of	
16	DE	ookfield, Wisconsin, and ATTY. AN A. STRANG, of the firm,	
17	Hurley, Hurish, & Stanton, of Madison, Wisconsin, appearing on behalf of the Defendant.		
18	per	lalf of the Defendant.	
19			
20			
21			
22	Date of Proceedings: Februa	ary 14, 2007	
23			
24		- Salah dan 1917 - 1918 Zasar dan salah salah	
25	Steven d Court Re	J. Platkowski	
	298	The state of the s	
	(- 1 -)		

	INDEX OF WITNESSES: (page	ge numbers)
1	FOD MILD OFFICE	
2	Nicole Sturm 4 - 1	
3	31 -	12 12 - 31 32
4	Bobby Dassey 33 - 89 -	67 (motions) 99
5	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS:	(page numbers)
6 7	Motion for mistrial Motions about cross exam of Bobby	
8	I INDEX OF WITHERCORD	ge numbers)
9	FOR THE STATE: Dire (Krat	<u>Cross</u> (Strang)
10	Timothy Austin 114 -	144 145 - 171
11	INDEX OF EXHIBITS: (page numbe	
12	Exhibits 37 through 78 (photographs) (exhibit 70 not reces	
13 14	Exhibits 79 through 88 (photographs)	off record off record
15 16	Exhibit 89 (pages 515 & 516 police report,	off record off record
17	Exhibit 90 (report of Trooper Tim Austin)	off record off record
18 19	Exhibits 91 through 116 (photographs)	141 143
20	Exhibit 117 (animation exhibit)	143 173
21	Exhibit 118 (photograph)	off record off record
22	Exhibits 119 through 121 (photographs)	169 170
24 25	Exhibit 122 (animation disk)	off record off record
	- 2 -	

(Proceedings commenced at approximately 9:00 a.m.) 1 THE CLERK: All rise. THE COURT: You may be seated. At this time 3 the Court once again calls the case of State of 4 Wisconsin versus Steven Avery, case 05-CF-381. 5 Would the parties state their appearances for the 6 record? MR. KRATZ: Is the sound system not on? 8 THE COURT: 9 Nope. It's not on at all? MR. KRATZ: No. 10 THE COURT: Okay. It's on now. 11 Terrific. Mr. Kratz, appearances for the State? 12 MR. KRATZ: Your Honor, the State appears by 13 Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, 14 appearing as special prosecutor. Also appearing in 15 this case is Mr. Fallon, Assistant Attorney General, 16 and Mr. Gahn, Assistant District Attorney from 17 Milwaukee County. 18 MR. BUTING: Good morning, your Honor. 19 Attorney Jerome Buting, and Attorney Dean Strang, 20 appearing with Mr. Avery, who is present. 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz, are you 22 going to call your next witness? 23 MR. KRATZ: I don't know if this is working, 24 Judge.

1 THE COURT: Does that help at all? Check the plug under the desk. (At which time the sound system started 3 working after the electric cord for the microphone 4 was plugged into a different outlet under the 5 District Attorney's table.) 6 MR. KRATZ: That is probably going to be a 7 little loud. But the State calls Nicole Sturm, Judge. 9 THE CLERK: If you would raise your right 10 hand? 11 NICOLE STURM, being first duly sworn on oath 12 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 13 the truth, testified as follows: 14 THE CLERK: Please state your full name and 15 spell your last name please. 16 THE WITNESS: Nicole Sturm, S-T-U-R-M. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KRATZ: 18 Good morning, Ms. Sturm. Thank you for coming 19 this morning. Let me first ask you if you know a woman 20 by the name of Pam Sturm? 21 Α Yes. 22 Who is Pam? 23 She is my mother. 24 And were you with Pam on the morning hours of 25

November fifth of 2005?

A Yes, I was.

- Q Would you tell the jury where you went that morning please?
- A We first went to Teresa's house, to enter the search, to become volunteers for the search.
- Q All right. Thereafter, Ms. Sturm, did you accompany your mother to a property which is known as the Avery Auto Salvage Yard?
- A Yes, I did.
- And after arriving at that scene, we understand from your mother that you received, you and she, that is, received permission to search for the vehicle on that property; is that correct?
- A Yes. We received permission from Earl Avery.
- Q Tell the jury, as best you can remember, what efforts you made to search for Teresa's car that morning?
- A We started out heading toward the south of the auto salvage yard. We went through, looking inside vehicles, looking for anything that we could distinguish, anything to do with Teresa, whether it be Teresa herself, or any articles that would be "as to her".
- Q Sometime just before 10:30 that morning, I

understand you found something; is that right?

A Yes, my mom indicated that she found a RAV-4 Toyota

vehicle that didn't exactly match the description on the search form that we had, but looked very similar, and was distinguishing because it was covered up with auto salvage materials, as well as branches. So, she definitely called me over, and I went running.

Q All right. When you ran toward your mother's location, can you tell the jury what you saw please?

A I saw a blueish green RAV-4 with salvage parts up against it, and branches over the top and on other areas, definitely a vehicle that looked like someone was trying to hide.

Now, there is an exhibit which has been marked in this case and received as Exhibit Number 31. I direct your attention to the large screen here to your right, and ask if that, in fact, is the vehicle that you saw that morning?

A Yes, that is the vehicle.

Q Now, your mother explained --

MR. BUTING: Objection, counsel is leading the witness by saying "your mother did this, your mother did that". She should be asked open-ended

questions, and let the witness explain what she saw and what she did.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection to the form of the question.

MR. KRATZ: That's fine, Judge.

BY MR. KRATZ:

- Q Ms. Sturm, who took the photographs of these vehicles?
- A I took the photographs.
- Q And, other than taking the photographs, can you tell the jury what else you did around that vehicle?
- A With a tissue, I checked all four doors to see if any of the doors were open. They were all locked. So, none of the four doors were open. I also used my cell phone to call the Sheriff's Office.
- Q Can you describe this "tissue" a little bit better?
- A Sure. Because it was a cold November day, I brought tons of tissues with me, because my nose was running, and "what not". I had clean tissues within my pockets. My mom stated, "Please use -- I don't want to disturb anything, so please use your shirt sleeve, or whatever you have to avoid touching the vehicle. I thought I better, that I had clean

tissues in my pocket, so I used that. I did check all four doors to see if anything was open. They were all locked.

Q Ms. Sturm, you did have occasion to look inside of this; correct.

- A Yes, I did look in at every angle, and saw a Wild Cherry Pepsi in the front, and a bottle of Aquafina, but I didn't see Teresa, or anything that I could identify that it was definitely Teresa's vehicle at that point.
- Q Could you describe the lighting conditions inside of the vehicle please?
- Well, the area that the vehicle is in, you can see there are trees, and "what not". It was a rather cloudy day. It was definitely dark. You couldn't visably see. We did not have flashlights to be able to intricately look at details within the vehicle.
- Q Did you check for a vehicle identification number?
- Yes, I did look. I didn't know exactly where they were located. So, it did take a little while to locate the VIN number. But we be did find the VIN number on the driver's side, near the windshield. It was a black interior, and a black dashboard, but also the metal that the VIN number was on was black

metal, as well. It was a little difficult to 1 actually read the VIN number, as well as the fact 2 that I am a little bit short, so I had to try to 3 reach over the top of the vehicle to read the VIN number, without touching the vehicle, or actually 5 touching or rubbing up against the vehicle. 6 After the telephone call was made to law Q 7 enforcement authorities, where did you go? 8 I stayed right near my mom, right near the vehicle, Α and, after law enforcement was contacted, we moved a 10 little bit away from this vehicle, but we kept our 11 eyes on the vehicle at all times, just to make sure 12 that no one else was coming. We didn't want to draw 13 attention to ourselves, in case someone would come 14 after us, because we did find the vehicle. 15 Was there any fixed object or any place that you 16 were standing, while you waited for law 17

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- enforcement to arrive?
- We were near a car crusher, the machinery that Α crushes cars in the salvage yard.
- While you were waiting for law enforcement, Q did any individuals approach that vehicle?
- No one was near the vehicle.
- How long was it before law enforcement arrived, if you can remember?

I would say approximately 20 minutes, at the most. 1 Α After law enforcement's arrival, can you tell us what you did please? 3 When law enforcement arrived, we pointed to where 4 the vehicle was, and we stayed on the scene to be 5 able to give statements. 6 When law enforcement arrived, where were you Q 7 looking, and what were you doing? 8 We stayed right near the car crusher area, and we Α 9 were waiting for the actual identification that it 10 was Teresa's vehicle, and for them to come back and 11 identify it as Teresa's vehicle, because at that 12 point in time we still were unaware, if it was her 13 vehicle or not. 14 When law enforcement arrived, were you also Q 15 watching the vehicle? 16 Yes, we kept our eyes on the vehicle at all times. Α 17 Did anybody enter the vehicle? Q 18 Α No one entered the vehicle. 19 Did anybody touch the vehicle, that you saw? 0 20 No one touched the vehicle. 21 Ms. Sturm, did you know the difference between the 22 Manitowoc County Sheriff's Deputies and the 23 Calumet County Sheriff's Deputies? 24

Yes, they did identify themselves, with their names

Α

and which department they were from. 1 Do you know which department was the first to 2. Q arrive on the scene? 3 The first to arrive on the scene was Manitowoc 4 County. However, they had an officer that stood 5 near the vehicle and did not touch the vehicle. He 6 did not really approach the vehicle. He just "stood 7 guard", to make sure it was not touched until 8 Calumet County did arrive. 9 About how long after Manitowoc's arrival did Q 10 Calumet arrive? 11 Just a few minutes. They were right behind. 12 After the Calumet County officers arrived, did you 13 continue to watch the vehicle? 14 Yes, we did. 15 Once again, Ms. Sturm, did anybody either 16 approach, touch the vehicle, or enter the vehicle, 17 while you were there? 18 No. No one touched the vehicle, or entered the Α 19 vehicle while we were there. 20 How long were you there before you actually Q 21 left that scene? 22 We were there until like noon or "12:30-ish" before Α 23 we left the scene. So, two hours, or two and a half 24 hours.

1	Q	In that two hours that you were there, or two
2		and a half hours that you were there, that entire
3		time, did you see anybody enter or touch that
4		vehicle?
5	A	No. No one entered or touched the vehicle.
6	Q	Ms. Sturm, you mentioned that you had checked the
7		four doors. What four doors are you talking
8		about?
9	A	The driver's side, front and passenger doors, and
10		the actual passenger, front and back.
11	Q	All four were locked?
12	A	All four were locked.
13	Q	Were you asked to give either a verbal or a
14		written statement to law enforcement that day?
15	A	Yes, I gave a written statement to law enforcement.
16	Q	Did you tell law enforcement that you checked the
17		doors and they were locked?
18	А	Yes, and I indicated that I did use a tissue, that I
19		didn't use my bare hands to check the doors.
20		MR. KRATZ: I believe that's all I have.
21		Thank you.
22		THE COURT: Mr. Buting?
23		MR. BUTING: Thank you, your Honor.
24		CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTING:
25	Q	Good morning, ma'am?

Α 1 Good morning. Now, you talked about when you first arrived, that 2. you began looking through some vehicles before 3 your mom discovered this SUV; is that right? Α That's correct. 5 And, in fact, before you did that, were you there 6 when she asked permission, or did you ask permission yourself of anybody who appeared to be 8 on the property? 9 I wasn't there when my mom asked Earl Avery for Α 10 permission to search the property. 11 And he gave permission; right? Freely? Q 12 Yes, he gave permission. 13 Is there some reason you look at them every time Q 14 you answer, other than looking at me? 15 Α No. 16 Have you been told to do that by somebody from the 17 District Attorney's office? 18 Α No. 19 Did you prepare for your testimony today? 20 No, I did not. 21 Okay. So, he gave you permission; right? 22 Α Yes. He gave us permission. 23 Did you get his name? 24 Α Yes.

```
1
      0
          What was it?
 2
      Α
           Earl.
           Okay. He didn't hesitate to give you permission
 3
           to check anywhere you wanted; right?
 4
           No, he did not hesitate.
 5
           Okay. It didn't appear as if he had anything to
 6
           hide from you?
     Α
          No.
           Okay.
     Α
          Not to my knowledge.
10
           You said as you were going through the beginning
11
           vehicles, you were looking for either Teresa, or
12
           any articles that would appear to be
13
          hers; right?
14
     Α
          Correct.
15
          So, you were looking carefully inside each
16
          vehicle, not just looking at the outside of the
17
          vehicles; right?
18
          We were trying to glance into the vehicles, and look
    Α
19
          anywhere that was open. Some of the trunks were
20
          open.
21
          Did you open any doors?
     Q
22
    Α
         No.
23
          You never opened up any doors of the other
     Q
24
          vehicles?
25
```

1 A No.

3

5

6

8

9

10

18

22

23

24

- Q Okay. But you were looking inside those vehicles, even though they are were not, obviously, not Teresa's vehicle; right?
 - A No, they didn't fit the description of Teresa's vehicle.
 - I understand. Even though they didn't fit the description, you were looking inside those vehicles, as well, to see if you could see anything; right?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q Anything like "Teresa herself"; right? Her body?
- 13 A Correct.
- Q Or anything that would look like hers?
- 15 A Correct.
- Okay. Then, when you got to the RAV-4, your mom was not sure if it was the same one or not?
 - A Correct.
- And so you were looking inside that vehicle to see, again, if there was anything that would indicate to you any articles of Teresa's?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. So, you were looking carefully inside there, because you were trying at that point to make some determination of whether that was,

really was Teresa's vehicle; right? I was looking for things like the license plate, or 2 anything that we could look at, that we had 3 information about, to be able to identify if the 4 vehicle was hers, or not. 5 Or anything, any writing that might have her name 0 6 on it; right? Α Yeah. Any personal effects that you could use to help you identify that vehicle was actually Teresa's; 10 right? 11 Correct. 12 So, it was important to look inside the vehicle, 13 not just at the outside; right? 14 Correct. Α 15 You saw no blocd whatsoever, did you? 16 It was a dark, or "very dark" interior. 17 Ma'am, did you see any blood? Q 18 MR. KRATZ: Judge, I will object as 19 "argumentative". She is trying to answer the 20 question. If it's not "yes" or "no", she should be able to explain. THE COURT: That is a "yes" or "no" question. If the explanation is not sufficient on

cross, you can ask it on redirect.

1

21

22

23

24

1		MR. KRATZ: I will do that, Judge.
2		BY MR. BUTING:
3	Q	So, "yes" or "no"? Did you see any blood?
4	A	No.
5	Q	You didn't have a flashlight with you, you said;
6	-	right?
7	A	No. I said I did not have a flashlight, yes.
8	Q	Nevertheless, we are talking about a Saturday
9		morning; right? It was daylight?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	In fact, it was 10:30 in the morning, something
12		like that?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	It was not raining?
15	А	No, it was not raining.
16	Q	It was not terribly dark? It was a typical cloudy
17		November day, at 10:30 in the morning; right?
18	A	It was a cloudy day at 10:30 in the morning.
19	Q	Okay. And the trees that you mentioned were
20		around it, none of the trees had leaves on them,
21		did they?
22	A	No, but it was a very brushy area with trees, versus
23		the other flat area that we were looking at, where
24		there was nothing blocking the other vehicles.
25	Q	All right. Now, you knew that, in fact, your
- 1		

mother warned you that it was important that you 1 not touch the vehicle, the RAV-4 that you found; 2 3 right? Α Correct. You were doing your best not to alter the scene; 5 correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 And not only did you not want to touch the 8 vehicle, you didn't want to touch any of the 9 branches, or debris, or anything else up against 10 it either, did you? 11 Not with my bare hands, no. Α 12. Okay. The only thing you ever touched, even with 13 a tissue, were the door handles; is that right? 14 To reach one of the door handles, I did have to pull Α 15 back, I believe it was a salvage part, to be able to 16 reach the door handle. I did that with the tissue, 17 as well. 18 All right. So, did you move something that was Q 19 against the vehicle itself? 20 I just took the tissue and pulled it back, so I Α 21 would be able to reach the door handle. 22 That would be the hood, that was propped up 23 against it? 24 I would have to see the picture to identify it. Α

1		MR. BUTING: Would you put up Exhibit 30,
2		Counsel?
3		(At which time Exhibit 30 was shown on the
4		big screen in the courtroom.)
5		BY MR. BUTING:
6	Q	I think that is it, right there up on the screen?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. It was some salvage part, that in order for
9		to you get at the door handle on that right rear
10		door, you had to move that panel?
11	A	I did not necessarily physically move it. I just
12		pulled it back and checked the door.
13	Q	And reached over?
14	A	Right.
15		MR. BUTING: Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 29
16		please.
17		(At which time, Exhibit 29 was shown in the
18		courtroom on the big screen.)
19		BY MR. BUTING:
20	Q	Do you see Exhibit 29 up there?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	That is a photo of the rear of the RAV-4?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And there is a door handle on that door too;
25		correct?

Α Correct. 1 You didn't check that one, to see if it was 2 locked, did you? No, I did not. Α 4 MR. BUTING: And if you could go to Exhibit 5 33 please? Thank you. 6 (At which time Exhibit 33 was shown in the 7 courtroom on the big screen.) 8 BY MR. BUTING: 9 Thank you. That is a picture -- By the way, you Q 10 are the one who took all of these pictures? 11 Α That's correct. 12 Okay. That is a picture of -- It shows sort of Q 13 the driver's side area of the RAV-4; right? 14 Correct. Α 15 There is sort of a walkway, or a walk area I Q 16 suppose, between the RAV-4 and this red vehicle, 17 whatever it is? 18 Α Correct. 19 By the way, just so we're clear, this RAV-4 was 20 found up along the ridge area, of the border of 21 the property; right? 22 I wouldn't know whether it was the border. Α 23 Okay. Well, there is a single row of cars up 24 along that area; right?

1 A Correct.

3

9

10

11

12

23

24

- Q And there they were all sort of "bumper to bumper", lined up like you would parallel park a bunch of cars along a street; is that correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- This was the only one that was sort of "double parked", where it was next to, or where it was "two by two"; right?
 - A I don't recall at this time if it was the only one that was doubled parked.
 - Q Okay. But it was the only one with any kind of debris piled on it; right?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q It was the only one with any kind of branches 15 piled on it; correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- You said to us that it was obvious that it appeared that somebody was trying to conceal it; is that correct?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 In that sense then, that made it very different than any of the other vehicles; right?
 - A It made us suspicious, yes.
 - Q It made it stand out, the fact that it was, because it was the only one that was covered like

1 that; right? That, and the fact that it was similar to the Α 2 description of the vehicle that was Teresa's. 3 Okay. So, it was not so concealed that you 4 couldn't tell what it was when you got near it; 5 right? 6 Can you rephrase that? Α 7 It was not so covered up with items that, when you 8 got anywhere near it, that you were unable to determine it was even a RAV-4, was it? 10 No, I could tell it was a RAV-4. Α 11 Okay. So, it was just sitting there, for anyone 12 to find, who would be looking through the salvage 13 area; right? 14 If you were on foot searching, yes. Α 15 Okay. Now, from Exhibit 33, you said that you 16 you were trying to get the VIN number off of the 17 vehicle; right? Correct. And the VIN number, for this particular vehicle, Q in fact, or for most, I think, are, it's on the dash, kind of at the very end of the windshield,

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

correct?

That was where we were looking on this vehicle, yes. Α

kind of down by the windshield wipers; is that

I don't know if you knew that before, but you 1 Q learned it that day; is that correct? 2 Α 3 Yes. You were told by the officers, that your mom was talking to on the phone, that is where you should 5 direct your attention? 6 After searching elsewhere on the vehicle, yes. Α Okay. By the way, that VIN is stamped? Q 8 It's in the dash, in black, right there in black 9 metal? 10 Α Correct. 11 The VIN itself is a black number, just kind of 12 raised? 13 Α Correct. 14 Or recessed, bumps, something like that; right? Q 15 So, to see it, you have to get pretty close, don't 16 you? 17 Within, you know, eyesight, yes. Α 18 In order to do that, you have to walk around to --Q 19 Where did you walk along, from the rear? Did you 20 approach it from the rear, or did you approach it 21 from the front? 22. I don't recall that at this time, which way I Α 23 approached the vehicle, to check the VIN number. 24

Can you get to it either way?

Q

1 А (Pause) I mean, could you come around to the front, and lean over, and look from there? Or did you have 3 to go around the rear, and come up alongside of the vehicle, in order to get to the front? 5 I don't recall at this time. Α 6 Okay. That's fair. Now, you said you waited Q around 20 minutes or so, before the first officers 8 arrived? 9 Α Approximately 20 minutes. 10 But during that time you had retreated over near 11 where the crusher was? 12 Yes, correct. 13 That is what, about 900 feet, or a thousand feet 14 away, or something like that? 15 I can only make an approximation. I would say it 16 would probably be, by blocks, maybe four blocks, 17 city blocks. 18 Okay. Then the first to arrive were the Manitowoc 19 officers? 20 Α That's correct. 21 And did you see a Manitowoc officer walk up toward 22 the vehicle? 23 Α Correct. 24 More than one? Q

- 1 A I recall one officer --
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A -- approaching the vehicle.
- 4 Q How many Manitowoc officers arrived first?
- 5 A (Pause)
- 6 Q One or two?
- 7 A I don't recall the exact number of officers that arrived.
- 9 Q Several?
- I made contact with the one officer, and I remember the other officer walked to the vehicle.
- 12 Q Well, you were watching carefully, you said, to see what was going on; right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q Were you watching because you were concerned that
 16 the police might somehow plant something in that
 17 vehicle?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q That thought never occurred to you, did it?
- 20 A No.

- Of course not. So, your only concern was if
 anybody other than police officers would come to
 the scene of the RAV-4 and disturb it in any way;
 right? That was your focus?
 - A That, and the focus that we needed to make sure it

1 was her vehicle. 2 Sure. So, once the Manitowoc police officers arrived, you were put at ease a little bit, that 3 at least some law enforcement was there; correct? I wouldn't say we were "put at ease". 5 Well, you were no longer alone at this strange 6 auto salvage yard, with other people milling about; correct? Α Correct. Now, there was law enforcement there; right? 10 Α Correct. 11 And they had guns; right? 12 I would assume they had their guns. A 13 So, your real interest at that point was if this Q 14 was really Teresa's car? That is what you were 15 concerned about? 16 Correct. 17 And at some point did one of the officers come Q 18 back and tell you that? One of the Manitowoc 19 officers? 20 I don't recall if it was a Manitowoc officer, or Α 21 Calumet, at that time. 22 How long was it before the Calumet Q 23 officers arrived on the scene, if you know? 24

I can only make an approximation. I would say it

Α

1 was a few minutes. Okay. How many of them arrived? I don't recall the exact number of officers that 3 arrived. Were they in marked squads? Or unmarked squads? 5 I don't recall if it was unmarked or marked. 6 Were they uniformed? Or not uniformed? The detective that I spoke to was an un-uniformed Α 8 officer. 9 "Un-uniformed", did you say? Q 10 Α Yeah. 11 Plain clothes? Did you see any marked Calumet 12 squads arrive there in the area of the RAV-4? 13 I don't recall if it was marked or unmarked. Α 14 So, you don't know whether any officers that were Q 15 coming or going were Manitowoc or Calumet; right? 16 Not unless they identified themselves. The plain 17 clothes detective identified himself as Calumet. 18 So, the one detective identified himself; right? 19 Α Yes. 20 And the others didn't? Or they did? 21 I did not have direct contact with all of the Α 22 officers who arrived at the scene. 23 So, you don't recall if they were marked cars, and 24

you don't remember seeing any Calumet uniforms?

You don't know if the officers who arrived were 1 from Calumet or Manitowoc; right? 2 They don't have distinguishing uniforms. They were Α 3 all brown. So, they were just "police officers"; right? 5 Correct. 6 But at some point, I believe, on direct, you said that you did see some -- Well, let me go back and 8 ask it this way: Did you see any Manitowoc 9 uniformed officers at the scene? 10 As I said, I didn't distinguish based on their Α 11 uniforms. They are all brown. So, at that point in 12 time, the people that I spoke to had identified 13 themselves as Manitowoc, or Calumet. But I didn't 14 speak with every officer that was there. 15 When you say "the people that you spoke to", it Q 16 was one person that you spoke to; right? 17 No, I spoke to both Manitowoc and Calumet County Α 18 officers. 19 You did? 0 20 Α Correct. 21 Maybe I am misunderstanding. I apologize. 22 they wearing uniforms? Or plain clothes? 23 Plain-clothes detectives. Α 24 So, you talked to two plain-clothes detectives? Q

One said he was from Manitowoc, and one said he 1 was from Calumet? That's right. So, you saw somebody that was uniformed approach the RAV-4 or not? 5 A uniformed officer did approach the RAV-4. Α 6 Okay. Did you ever see somebody that you could Q readily discern was a Calumet County officer, as 8 opposed to a Manitowoc officer, come up and 9 relieve the Manitowoc officer, who was near the 10 RAV-4? 11 I couldn't discern whether they were Manitowoc or 12 Calumet. 13 Okay. So, you don't know if or when any Calumet Q 14 officers took over responsibility for that RAV-4 15 itself, do you? 16 Α No. 17 You said you were there at the property, the Avery Q 18 salvage property until about noon or 12:30? 19 That's correct. 20 But before that time, you and your mother had 21 sort of retreated from the car crusher area, 22 farther north, over toward the entryway, didn't 23 you?

No, we stayed near the car crusher area.

24

25

Α

So, you stayed near the car crusher, when you 1 gave your statements? 3 Α Yes. And the entire time you were on that property, you were just right near the car crusher? 5 Α 6 Yes. You never went anywhere else further away to talk 7 with officers by any other vehicles? 8 By what vehicles? 9 By any other vehicles, on any other area of the 10 lot? 11 Α No. 12 You were standing next to your mother the whole 13 time? 14 Α Yes. 15 Now, Ms. Sturm, have you ever locked 16 yourself out of your car? 17 Α Yes. 18 Did you call the police to help you get in? Q 19 Α No. 20 Do you know anybody else who has? Q 21 Α No. 22 Do you know, if you needed to, if you couldn't find 23 anyone else to get your keys, to get into your 24 vehicle, you could call a police officer, and 25

1		he would help you get into your vehicle; right?
2	A	I called a locksmith.
3	Q	Okay. But do you know you could call an
4		officer? Many times people do call officers for
5		that purpose?
6	А	No. When it happened to me, I called the locksmith.
7		MR. BUTING: Okay. Thank you. No further
8		questions.
9		THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?
10		REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KRATZ:
11	Q	Ms. Sturm, the defense attorney had asked you
12		about looking in the vehicle, and whether you
13		could see inside the vehicle. Do you remember
14		those questions?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Was it easy to see into those windows?
17	А	No, it was not easy.
18	Q	I will have you take a look at Exhibit 33,
19		although we will be looking at some others. Is
20		there anything different about the rear windows,
21		than the front driver's windows?
22	А	The rear windows had a tint to them, and, as you can
23		see from the picture here, as I'm sure other people
24		have done, there is glare in the windows. So, if
25		you really wanted to see inside, and if you weren't

concerned about not trying to disrupt the scene at 1 all, you would have to cup your hands over the vehicle, to make sure you were blocking out the 3 glare, and the shadows, which we didn't do. You did not do? 5 Α No. 6 I will show you Exhibit number 30, and ask you to describe the tint on the back windows? 8 The rear and back windows were darkly tinted. The 9 front windshield and the driver's and passenger's 10 doors were not. So, once again, you can see there 11 is definitely a "glare". 12 Ms. Sturm, whether the officers were Calumet Q 13 County or Manitowoc County, did any officer 14 approach that vehicle? 15 No officer touched the vehicle while we were 16 present. 17 Did you see any officer pull out a device, or try 18 to pry open the door, or anything like that, while 19 you were there? 20 Α No. 21 If that would have happened, would you have 22 seen it? 23 Yes, definitely. 24

25

MR. KRATZ: Okay. That's all I have of Ms.

1		Sturm.
2		MR. BUTING: Nothing further.
3		THE COURT: Very well, you are excused.
4		MR. KRATZ: The State will call Bobby Dassey
5		to the stand.
6		BOBBY DASSEY, being first duly sworn on oath
7		to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
8		the truth, testified as follows:
9		THE CLERK: State your full name and spell
10		your last name please.
11		THE WITNESS: Bobby Dassey, D-A-S-S-E-Y.
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KRATZ:
13	Q Mr. Dassey, how old are you?	
14	A	20.
15	Q	Can you tell us where you live please?
16	А	Right outside of Michicot on Avery Road.
17	Q	Do you know the defendant, Steven Avery?
18	А	Yes, he is my uncle.
19	Q	You have to speak up just a little bit please?
20	А	Yes, he is my uncle.
21	Q	Is he in the courtroom here at this time?
22	A	Yes, he is.
23	Q	Would you point him out for the record, and tell
24		the Judge where he is seated?
25	А	Right over there, to my right.

Sitting next to his two attorneys? Q 1 Α Yes. 3 MR. KRATZ: Judge, I would ask the record to reflect the defendant's identification. 4 THE COURT: The record will reflect the 5 witness identified Mr. Avery. 6 7 BY MR. KRATZ: Mr. Dassey, do you know where, at least during the Q 8 fall of 2005, prior to November fifth, where your 9 uncle lived? 10 Yes. He lived right next door to us. Α 11 I will ask you to describe the kind of residence 12. that, first of all, that you live in? 13 We live in, I would say, a mobile home, a A 14 three-bedroom house, mobile home. 15 On October thirty-first, 2005, who all lived in Q 16 your home? 17 My mom, my step-dad, and my two younger brothers. Α 18 What were the names of your younger brothers? Q 19 Blaine and Brendan. Α 20 Blaine and Brandon? 21 Α Brendan. 22 Bobby, do you recall October thirty-first of 2005? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Could you tell the jury if you were employed 25

1 at that time? Yes, I was. I worked at Fischer Hamilton's, third 2. shift. What time would you start work on any day? I would start at ten at night and work until six in Α 5 the morning. 6 On October thirty-first of 2005, could you tell 7 the jury if you were home during the daytime 8 hours? 9 Yes, I was. 10 And how late, or how long were you home until? 11 I was home until 2:30 that day. Α 12 What were you doing before 2:30? Q 13 I was sleeping. 14 When you say "2:30", are you talking about the 15 afternoon or morning? 16 In the afternoon. 17 To your knowledge, Bobby, was anybody else at home 18 with you? 19 Α No. 20 Do you remember anything unusual that happened at Q 21 about 2:30 that afternoon? 22 A vehicle had drove up, and started taking pictures Α 23 of the van. 24 All right. Let's back up just a minute. Were you 25

still sleeping? Or did you wake up? 1 I was up by 2:30, yeah. Α 2 At 2:30, did you see something? 3 Α Yes. Q What did you see? 5 I seen a vehicle pull up in our driveway. Α 6 Do you recall which window you were looking from? Q 7 Through the front window, in front of the kitchen Α table. 9 Bobby, could you describe that vehicle for the 10 jury please? 11 It was a light green SUV, like a "teal" color. Α 12 How do you know that it was about 2:30 in the Q 13 afternoon? 14 Because I was going hunting that night, so that was Α 15 the time I wanted to get up. I got up at "two". 16 All right. From which way did this blue or teal Q 17 SUV drive in, as you were looking out the window? 18 Α Toward the west it would be. 19 Can you tell the jury please from which 20 direction your uncle's trailer is from your house? 21 Α The west. 22 Did you know what kind of SUV it was? Q 23 Α Not at the time. 24 All right. After seeing that vehicle driving up 25

the roadway, tell the jury what you saw then? 1 I seen Teresa Halbach get out of the vehicle, and started taking pictures. 3 What was she taking pictures of? Α A maroon van. 5 A what? 6 Α A maroon van. Can you tell us about this vehicle? Where was it 8 parked? 9 It was parked right in front of our house. 10 Now you told this jury it was Teresa Halbach that 11 had taken the pictures. How do you know that? 12 Now, I know that. At the time, I didn't. 13 What did this woman look like? 14 She was about maybe five-eight. She had brown, 15 shorter-like hair. She had a black coat on, that 16 went past the hips. 17 Was she wearing pants, or a skirt? Q 18 Α She was wearing pants. 19 Now, about this van, what can you tell the jury Q 20 about that van? 21 It was a 1989 Plymouth Voyager. Α It had lots of 22 miles on it. It was my mom's van. She had it for 23 a couple of years. I don't know really much more

24

25

about it.

1	Q	All right. As you were looking out the window,
2		you said that you saw a woman taking pictures.
3		Can you describe that please?
4	А	Well, I seen her take one picture of the front of
5		the van. Then I went in and took a shower.
6	Q	Okay. After seeing her taking some pictures, did
7		you see her do anything else?
8	А	She started Before I got in the shower, she
9		actually started walking over to Steven's trailer.
10	Q	You could see that from your location?
11	А	Yeah. Through the window, yeah.
12	Q	You said, "walking toward Steven's trailer". What
13		does that mean?
14	А	She walked toward it, to the door.
15	Q	How close to the door did she get, before you
16		stopped watching?
17	А	Maybe 25 yards.
18	Q	Did you see her enter your uncle's trailer?
19	А	No.
20	Q	Why not?
21	А	Because I wanted to take a shower. I didn't pay no
22		attention to it.
23	Q	All right. Was there anybody with her at that
24		time?
25	А	No.

Was there anybody outside, or making contact with Q 1 her, outside by the vehicle? Α No. 3 After seeing this woman walking toward your Uncle 4 Steven's trailer, did you ever see this woman 5 again? 6 Α No. How long was it that you were in the shower? 8 Do you remember? 9 Maybe three minutes, or four minutes. 10 Okay. What did you do then? Q 11 Got dressed, and left, to go hunting. Α 12 Now, when you left to go hunting, did you have a 13 vehicle on the premises? 14 Α Yes. 15 Can you tell the jury what kind of vehicle it was? 16 A black Chevy Blazer. 17 Where was that parked? 18 It was parked right between the house and the Α 19 garage. 20 About what time do you think you left to go Q 21 hunting? 22 Probably twenty to three, quarter to three. Α 23 Quarter to three? Bobby, how do you know that 24 was the time? Why is that time important as it

```
relates to hunting?
1
          Well, that is when the deer start moving.
2
                                                         They go
          on their feeding patterns then.
3
           Pardon?
     Q
          They go on their feeding patterns then.
     Α
5
          Where did you go hunting that day?
6
          It was actually maybe two miles up the road from my
     Α
          house.
8
          What kind of hunting was it?
9
          Deer hunting. Bow hunting.
10
          Mr. Dassey, when you walked out to your vehicle
11
          to go bow hunting, did you notice if that teal or
12
          blue SUV was still in the driveway?
13
    Α
          Yes, it was.
14
     Q
          It was?
15
    Α
          Yes.
16
          Did you see Ms. Halbach?
     Q
17
    Α
          No.
18
          Did you see any signs of her at all?
     Q
19
    Α
         Nope.
20
     Q
          What did you do then?
21
         I proceeded to leave. I got in my vehicle and I \,
    Α
22
         left.
23
          Did you hunt that day?
24
    Α
         Yes.
25
```

Did you get anything that day? Q 1 I seen two deer. I didn't get anything, no. Α 2 All right. After deer hunting, what did you do? 3 Came home, and I laid down, and I went to sleep Α again. 5 What time did you get home? Do you recall? 6 Α It was "five-ish". 7 Now, when you drove back home at about five Q 8 o'clock in the afternoon, was Ms. Halbach's 9 vehicle still visible? 10 Α No. 11 What did you do when you got home? Q 12 I watched TV for a little bit, then I went to bed. Α 13 Did you go to sleep? 14 Α Yes. 15 How long did you sleep? Q 16 Α Probably three hours. 17 Let me back up just a few minutes, Bobby. At any 18 time during the morning or early afternoon hours, 19 did you receive any phone calls at your residence? 20 Not that I am aware of. Α No. 21 Why don't you tell us what that means, "not that Q 22 you are aware of"? 23 I am a real deep sleeper. When I sleep, I don't Α

24

25

hear nothing.

1	Q	If the phone rings, would you have heard it?
2	A	No.
3	Q	After getting up that afternoon, did you check for
4		any messages, or check the answering machine?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Now, you mentioned that, while you were home that
7		day, you didn't think anybody was home when you
8		got up and when you left. After you went bow
9		hunting, was anybody home at that time?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Are you familiar with your brothers' schedules,
12		that is, your brothers that were at school?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	What brothers went to school at that time?
15	A	Blaine and Brendan.
16	Q	Are you familiar with when Blaine and Brendan
17		usually got home from school?
18	А	They usually got home at quarter to four.
19	Q	That would be 3:45?
20	А	3:45, yeah.
21	Q	So, about an hour after you would have left to go
22		deer hunting?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	When you got home at about five o'clock, Bobby,
25		were Blaine and Brendan home?

```
Α
           I can't recall.
 1
           All right. Now, you have a fourth brother, or a
      Q
 2
           third brother; is that correct?
 3
     Α
           Yes.
 4
           What is his name?
5
          His name is Brian.
6
           Do you know where Brian was at the time?
7
          He was at work.
     Α
8
          Now, was Brian living at your home at the time
9
           too?
10
     Α
          No.
11
          Where was Brian staying at the time?
     Q
12
          He lived in Manitowoc with his girlfriend.
     Α
13
          What is your mother's name?
14
    Α
          Barb.
15
          And is Barb related to Mr. Avery, if you know?
     Q
16
    Α
          Yes.
17
          How?
18
          Sister. Brother and sister.
    Α
19
          When you got up and went bow hunting, and left for
20
          bow hunting, was your mother home at that time?
21
         No, she was at work.
    Α
22
          When you got home from deer hunting, was your
    Q
23
          mother home yet?
24
    Α
         No.
25
```

Do you recall your mom coming home, or seeing Q 1 her that night? When I got up at nine o'clock, I seen her. 3 All right. I think I asked this, Bobby. I'm 4 sorry. But what time did you start work? 5 I started work at ten. 6 Q Ten p.m.? 7 Α Yep, ten p.m. 8 Where is the place that you work? What city is it Q 9 located in? 10 Α Two Rivers. 11 Bobby, the rest of that week, after the Q 12. thirty-first of October, do you recall the rest of 13 that week? 14 Α Some of it. 15 That weekend, that Friday and Saturday, the fourth 16 and fifth, did other family members go somewhere 17 that weekend? 18 Yeah, they went up north. Α 19 What does "up north" mean? 20 Up to Crivitz. 21 Do you know what is up in Crivitz? 22 My grandpa has a cabin up there. Α 23 Do you know what family members went up north that 24

weekend?

Steven, Brendan went, and Brian went, and my grandma 1 and grandpa were up there. 2 Steven, Brendan, and Brian? You didn't go? Q 3 Α Nope. 4 Do you remember why you didn't go? Q 5 I had to work that Sunday night. А 6 Let's go back to the thirty-first, your work Q 7 schedule. You said that you worked at ten o'clock; is that right? 9 Yes. Α 10 Do you remember what time you left home for work? 11 Α Probably about 9:30. 12 At 9:30 p.m. on the thirty-first of October, did 13 you see anything when you left your house? 14 Α Not that I recall. 15 Did you see anything by your uncle's? Q 16 I didn't even look. 17 Now, that weekend, the Saturday, the fifth of Q 18 November, do you recall that day at all? 19 А No. 20 Do you remember something being found on the Avery 21 property that Saturday? 22 Α Her vehicle. 23 That is the day I'm talking about. Do you now 24

remember that day?

Α Not really. 1 All right. Do you remember where you were when Q 2 that vehicle was found? 3 I was by my friend's house. Α 4 What is your friend's first name? Q 5 Α Mike. 6 How were you notified, if you remember, that Q 7 Teresa's vehicle was found on the Avery property? 8 Α The TV and my mom. 9 Now, Bobby, at the time, back on the thirty-first 10 of October, did you have any pets? 11 Yes, I had just got a black lab. She was two months Α 12 old. 13 Was that an "inside", or "outside" dog? 14 Inside dog. Α 15 On the thirty-first of October, when you went 16 hunting, did you take your dog with you? 17 Α No. 18 When you went to your friend Mike's house on Q 19 Saturday, the fifth, did you take your black lab 20 with you? 21 Α No. 22 Were you able to get back home on the fifth of Q 23 November? 24 Α No.

- 1 | Q Tell us why not?
- 2 A They had the road all blocked off. They wouldn't let anybody in there.
 - Q Who is "they"?
- 5 A The police officers.
- 9 How about your dog? What happened to your black lab?
- A I had to wait, like, three hours, in order to get her.
- 10 Q In order to get her?
- 11 A Yeah.

21

24

- 12 Q How did you get her?
- A I had to give my statement and everything. Then the investigator went in and got her.
 - Q They got your dog for you?
- 16 A Basically, yeah.
- Now, Bobby, on the third of November, that would be a Thursday, I believe, do you recall having a conversation with your Uncle Steven regarding a body?
 - A Yes.
- Q Could you tell us what your Uncle Steven told you that day?
 - A Well, my buddy, Mike, was over too, and he asked us, it sounded like he was joking, honestly, he asked us

```
if we wanted to help get rid of a body.
1
           Your Uncle Steven asked you if you wanted to help
2
           get rid of a body?
3
     Α
          Yeah.
           What was your response?
5
     Α
          "No".
          Bobby, are you familiar with a Suzuki Samurai, a
7
           gray Suzuki Samurai?
8
     Α
          Yes.
9
          Where was that vehicle please?
10
          That was in Steven's garage. He was going to fix it
11
          up.
12
          On the thirty-first of October, do you recall
13
          where that Suzuki Samurai was?
14
          I don't even know.
15
          Do you remember, generally, where it was parked?
16
         No.
17
          If you don't know, that's fine.
18
    Α
         I don't know.
19
         All right. Bobby, have you ever seen a fire, like
    Q
20
         a bonfire, by your Uncle Steven's?
21
    Α
         Yes.
22
         Do you remember the last time you saw a bonfire by
    Q
23
         your Uncle Steven's?
24
         Maybe two weeks before that, before this all
25
```

happened.

- Q There is -- Let me just ask you: Is there some place where your Uncle Steven would have fires?
- A Yes, right behind his garage.
- Q Can you describe that area for us?
- A It was just a mound of gravel that he, basically, burned stuff on.

MR. KRATZ: If I could have a moment with counsel, Judge?

(At which time Mr. Kratz consulted with other counsel about some photographs.)

BY MR. KRATZ:

Bobby, we have had some photographs marked. I will have you identify some of these, in fact, all of them, to help the jury understand what we are talking about. The first exhibit I want you to take a look at is Exhibit Number 37. Can you tell us what that is please?

(While the witness was looking at the exhibit, and before he began his answer, Mr. Strang made the following statement.)

MR. STRANG: While he is doing that, your Honor, I have a concern that I want noted now, and that I would like the raise outside of the jury's presence, between the direct and cross of Mr.

Dassey. 1 THE COURT: Are you saying you would like to 2 raise it out of the jury's presence now? Or later? 3 MR. STRANG: No, not now. If we can take 4 that up between the direct and the cross, that would 5 be fine. 6 THE COURT: Very well. 7 BY MR. KRATZ: 8 Tell us what Exhibit 37 is please? Q 9 That is Steven's trailer. 10 Q Steven --11 -- Avery's trailer. Α 12 (At which time Exhibit 37 was shown in the 13 courtroom on the big screen.) 14 I will let the jury now see Exhibit 37. Can you Q 15 tell us kind of what else is shown there? What 16 are we looking at? 17 Well, the red thing is Steven's trailer. The garage Α 18 is to the left. That is his truck sitting there, 19 and that is his burning barrel right in front of the 20 house. 21 MR. KRATZ: If I could have one moment, 22 Judge? 23 (At which time Mr. Kratz had a brief 24 discussion with Mr. Strang, in low tones, off the

record, out of the hearing of everyone else in the 1 2 courtroom.) BY MR. KRATZ: 3 I will give you a laser pointer. There is a Q button there. Using the laser pointer, again, 5 Bobby, can you show us where Steven's trailer is? 6 Steven's trailer is right there. Α Okay. You mentioned a burning barrel? Q The burning barrel would be right there. Α Whose burning barrel is that? 10 That would be Steven's burning barrel. Α 11 Q Where is Steven's garage? 12 Α Right there. 13 All right. The next exhibit is number 38. 14 you tell us what that is please? 15 Α (Pause). 16 It's in front of the next picture, 38? 17 Just another picture of Steven's trailer and his Α 18 garage. 19 It's a little different angle; is that right? Q 2.0 Α Yes. 21 I will now let the jury look at Exhibit 38. Q 22 Tell us what we are looking at please? 23 Basically, just looking at Steven's trailer again, Α 24 and his garage, and his truck. 25

1	Q	What is Exhibit 39 please?
2	A	It's a closer shot of Steven's trailer.
3	Q	Now we're letting the jury see Exhibit 39. Where
4		would Steven's main entrance be? How do you get
5		in the trailer?
6	А	Through the door right by the step right there.
7	Q	The record will reflect that you are pointing to
8		the entrance furthest to the right of this
9		trailer; is that correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Does the photograph help you, or could you
12		describe for the jury about how close Ms. Halbach
13		was to that trailer, when you stopped watching her
14		approach?
15	А	She was probably right about there.
16	Q	Looking which way?
17	А	Toward the trailer, west.
18	Q	Exhibit 40 is the next exhibit. Would you
19		describe what that is please?
20	А	Just a closer view of Steven's front door.
21	Q	That is the door we were talking about?
22		MR. KRATZ: We lost our batteries here,
23		Judge.
24		(The photographs which had been shown on the

large screen in the courtroom, were not able to be

seen, until new batteries could be installed in the 1 remote control device.) 2 BY MR. KRATZ: 3 While we are getting new batteries for our remote Q 4 control, I will ask you some other questions, 5 First of all, how often would your Uncle 6 Steven have fires at his property? Maybe one or two every month. А You mentioned that Steven -- Or you identified, at Q least, Steven's garage. Who uses Steven's garage? 10 Α Steven does. 11 Does anybody else have access to it? Q 12 Α At that time, no. 13 All right. Even though the batteries are not 14 changed yet, or they are kind of going bad, we 15 will do the best we can until we get some new 16 ones. This is Exhibit 40, that you have 17 identified. What are we looking at here? 18 That is Steven's front door, the main entrance. 19 There is what looks like a deck that goes around Q 20 the trailer. Can you explain that please? 21 Yes, it is basically a deck that runs around the Α 22 whole trailer. He has got a little "plateau" at the

8

9

23

24

25

end.

53

All right. Do you see the next picture in front

```
of you, Exhibit 41?
1
2
          Yes.
           Can you tell us what that is please?
3
          That is just a picture of the door to the far left
     Α
          of the trailer.
5
          All right. We are now letting the jury look at
          Exhibit 41. Again, what are we looking at?
          That is just another door to Steven's trailer.
     Α
8
         Now, on the left there, the left-most edge of
9
          Exhibit Number 41, is that the door that you are
10
          talking about?
11
          Yes.
12
          Do you know where that door goes?
13
          It goes into the hallway of the trailer, closest to
    Α
14
          the bedroom in the back.
15
          Have you been in Steven's trailer before?
     Q
16
    Α
         Yes.
17
          On the thirty-first of October, did you have
     Q
18
          keys to Steven's trailer?
19
    Α
         No.
20
          Do you know if anyone else from your home had
21
          keys to his trailer?
22
    Α
         No.
23
         No, "you don't know"? Or, no, "they didn't"?
24
         No one did.
    Α
25
```

1	Q	All right. The next exhibit in front of you is
2		Exhibit 42. Tell us what that is?
3	A	This is a picture of the back of Steven's trailer.
4	Q	Do you want to take the laser pointer, now that
5		the jury can see Exhibit 42? Why don't you tell
6		us what we are looking at?
7	A	This is the back side. This side faces north. That
8		is the back of Steven's trailer. That is his pool.
9	Q	Now, there is a back patio door on that trailer?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Can you show us that please?
12	A	That would be right there.
13		MR. KRATZ: Just so the record is clear,
14		Judge, he is pointing at what would be just about
15		the very middle of the trailer.
16		BY MR. KRATZ:
17	Q	That is the patio door; is that correct, Bobby?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	What kind of pool is that?
20	А	Just a pool that is like four feet deep.
21	Q	Okay. Exhibit 43 is the next exhibit in front of
22		you. Can you tell us what that is?
23	А	Just another view of the back of Steven's trailer.
24	Q	Now we will let the jury take a look. It's a
25		different angle, pretty much the same as Exhibit

```
42?
 1
     Α
           Yes.
 2
           Which way have we moved around? Or the camera?
3
     Α
           Which way?
     Q
           Yeah.
5
          It has moved to the right, south.
     Α
6
           Exhibit 43 shows Steven's back patio door?
     Q
     Α
          Yes.
           Could you show us that please?
     Q
     Α
          Right there.
10
          Now, behind Steven's trailer, Exhibit -- Excuse
     Q
11
                Behind Steven's garage, did Steven have a
12
          dog house?
13
          Yes.
14
          Does Exhibit 43 show that?
15
    Α
          Yes.
16
          If you could point out the dog house for us
17
          please?
18
    Α
          Right there.
19
          Directly behind the dog house, what is that area?
     Q
20
         That would be the burning pit.
    Α
21
          You had mentioned, Bobby, before about seeing the
    Q
22.
          fires at Steven's.
                              Where on this property did you
23
          see those fires?
24
    Α
         Right there.
25
```

Directly behind this garage? 1 Α Yes. 2 The next exhibit that we are asking you to 3 identify is Exhibit 44. 4 Tell us what that is please? 5 This is another shot of Steven's house and the Α 6 garage. 7 Now the jury can see Exhibit 44. 8 What are we looking at? 9 Basically, you are looking at Steven's trailer 10 again, the garage, and the dog house, and his truck. 11 Again, right there is where he had his burning pit. 12 His burning pit? 13 Α Yeah. 14 Now, this exhibit, that is Exhibit 44, do you know 15 about where this was taken from? 16 This actually was taken right in front of our house. 17 Is this east, or west, or north, or south of 18 Steven's trailer? Do you know? 19 It would be east. A 20 Okay. The next exhibit is Exhibit 45. Can you Q 21 tell us what that is please? 22 That is a picture of Steven's garage. Α 23 Again, now that the jury can see Exhibit Number 24 45, why don't you take the laser pointer and

- tell us what we are looking at? 1 Basically, that is just Steven's garage again, and Α 2 3 his truck. That is the door he mainly used to the garage. 4 That is what? You have to speak up. 5 That is the door he mainly used for the garage. Α Kind of a service door? Q 7 Α Yeah. 8 Q All right. The next exhibit is Number 46. 9 you tell us what that is please? 10 Another picture of Steven's garage. Α 11 All right. Exhibit 46, now that the jury can Q 12 see it, again, can you explain what we are looking 13 at? 14 Just another picture of Steven's garage. Α 15 Is the service door open, or closed? 16 Α It's open. 17 That burning pit, or burn area, can you tell us 18 where that is on this picture? 19 It would be right there, where that white gravel is. Α 20 Q Right behind the garage? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q What is Exhibit 47? 23 A picture of Steven's burning pit. Α
 - All right. Now that the jury can see Exhibit 47,

	1	
1		why don't you tell us what we are looking at?
2	A	You are just looking at Steven's burning pit,
3		directly behind the garage.
4	Q	Do you recognize anything else in this picture?
5	A	There is a seat.
6	Q	What do you mean, "a seat"?
7	А	From a vehicle, right there, and his trailer is
8		there. The dog coop is there.
9	Q	Bobby, had you seen that seat out by there by your
10		Uncle Steven's house?
11	A	Not that I recall.
12	Q	Now on Exhibit Number 47, if you can show us, more
13		specifically, where the burning happened, where
14	E	the burn area is?
15	А	Right around there.
16	Q	There is a blackened area, which would be just
17		west and just right of the car seat. Is that the
18		place that you are pointing?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	What is the next exhibit?
21	А	The picture directly behind Steven's garage.
22	Q	That is Exhibit 48; is that right?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Now that the jury can see Exhibit 48, tell us what
25		we're looking at?
1		

```
Basically, the back of Steven's trailer.
     Α
 1
           the dog coop again. That is where his burning pit
           would be.
 3
           The dog "what"?
 4
          The dog "coop".
     Α
5
           The dog coop, C-O-O-P?
6
     Α
          Yeah, or dog house.
7
           Where is Steven's garage?
     Q
     Α
          Right there.
9
          That is the building to the very right of the
10
           exhibit; is that correct?
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
          Where is Steven's trailer?
     Q
13
     Α
          Right there.
14
          So, the red building, just to the west; is that
15
          correct?
16
    Α
          Yes.
17
          Again, where is the burn area?
18
         This is partially shown in the picture, to the far
    Α
19
         south of the picture.
20
          The next exhibit is Number 49.
     Q
                                            Tell the jury
21
          what this is please?
22
         Another picture of behind Steven's garage.
    Α
23
         Now that the jury can see Exhibit 49, would you
24
          again tell us what we are looking at?
25
```

Just looking at the same picture as before, that dog Α 1 house, the garage, his trailer. 2 That is where the burning pit would be. 3 What is the next exhibit, 50? Q 4 A picture of the burning pit, just at a different Α 5 angle. 6 There is something else in this picture, as well; 7 is that correct? 8 Α Yes. 9 What is that? 10 That is his dog. 11 Do you know the name of that dog? Q 12 Α His name is "Bear". 13 "Bear"? Q 14 Α Yes. 15 Whose dog was that? Q 16 Α Steven's. 17 Pointing to Exhibit 50, can you show us the area 18 where things were burned? 19 Α Right there. 20 That would be directly in front of the dog? 21 Α Yes. 22 Can you tell us about "Bear"? Would the animal, Q 23 the dog of Steven Avery, usually be an inside dog 24 or an outside dog? 25

Α Outside dog. 1 Do you know how long Bear's chain was, where 2 Bear would be able to wander? 3 Α Fifteen feet. Okay. Now in the back of this picture, the 5 background of Exhibit 50, do you see any vehicles? 6 Α Yes. 7 What do you see? Q 8 Α The maroon Plymouth Voyager. 9 Can you point that out? 10 Yes, right there. Α 11 That car is not far, or just to the right of the Q 12 garage? 13 Α Yes. 14 That is the same vehicle you saw Teresa Halbach Q 15 taking photos of? 16 Α Yes. 17 I don't know if you are able to answer this, Q 18 Bobby, but I will ask you. What was Bear's 19 personality, or demeanor like, if you know? 20 you know what I'm asking you? 21 He was really calm. Α 22 The next picture is Exhibit 51. Q What is that 23 please? 24 That is a picture of Steven's burn barrel. Α 25

- 1 Q Where was this burn barrel located?
- 2 A Northwest of Steven's trailer.
- In the background of that picture, you also see a vehicle; is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What vehicle is that?
- 7 A That is the Plymouth Voyager again.
- 8 Q You also see a road between the burn barrel and the vehicle; is that correct?
- $_{10}$ A Yes.
- Q Can you describe that road for us please?
- 12 A That would be the driveway leading down to Steven's trailer.
- Now, Mr. Dassey, does Exhibit 51 help you, or could you help explain or show the jury where you saw Ms. Halbach walking, before you took your shower?
- A She was walking right about there, walked right through there.
- Q Walking in a westerly direction toward Steven's?
- $_{21}$ A Yes.

- 22 The next exhibit is Exhibit 52. Tell us what that is please?
- A That would be a picture of our burning barrels.
 - Q What do you mean "our burning barrels"?

- 1 | A My mom's burning barrels.
- Q How many were there?
- 3 A Three.
- Q Are you sure?
- 5 A I don't know. I thought there was three.
- 6 Q Okay. Where were the burn barrels located?
- 7 A In our back yard, right behind our garage.
- 8 Q And this picture has some people in it. Do you know who those people are?
 - A No.

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Now, to the left, or behind the burn barrels are some vehicles. Do you know how far away those are? In other words, how close to your property we are looking at?
- 15 A (Pause)
 - Q That's a bad question. Let me try it again. The burn barrels, how close were they to the edge of what would be your mom's property?
 - A 25 yards, or 30 yards.
 - Q The next exhibit is Exhibit 53. This is going to look familiar, but what is that?
- A Just another view of Steven's trailer.
 - Q From a little different angle; is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What is Exhibit 54?

- 1 A That is a picture of our house, my mom's house.
- Q Let's talk about that a little bit, now that the jury can see Exhibit 54. Please take the laser pointer and tell them what they are looking at?
 - A This is, basically, my mom's house. That is our golf cart.
- 7 Q Your what?
 - A Our golf cart.
- Q Okay.

6

16

17

18

19

21

22

- 10 A This would be the side of the house to the
 11 farthest west. The burning barrels would be right
 12 back there. You can't see them on there.
- Could you tell us, or show us in this picture, the window that you were looking out when you watched Ms. Halbach?
 - A That window right there.
 - Q Okay. And I know that the vehicle for sale is not shown on this picture, but which direction was it?

 Can you kind of show us?
- A It would be right over there.
 - Q To the right, off screen?
 - A Yes.
- 23 Q The next exhibit is Exhibit 55, can you tell us what that would be?
 - A That would be my mom's answering machine.

Where was that located? 1 Q In our living room. Α Again, now that the jury is able to see Exhibit 3 55, what kind of answering machine was it? Do you 4 know? 5 Α No. 6 Is there a phone that went with it? Q 7 Α Yes. 8 Where did the phone go? 9 Α I don't know. 10 But, what I mean is, was the phone placed on Q 11 a cradle, or something, on this phone? 12 Α Yes. 13 Where is that? 14 It's not on there. Α 15 Okay. Had you ever used that answering machine? 16 No, I don't use it at all. 17 Have you ever retreived a message from that 18 answering machine? 19 Α Yes. 20 How do you retreive a message from it? 21 You just hit "play". Α 22 The next exhibit for the jury is Exhibit 56. Q 23 Have you ever seen that before? 24 Α That would be my grandmother's. 25

THE COURT: Just a second. I think, Mr. Kratz, it's 10:30. I think we will take our morning break.

MR. KRATZ: We can certainly do that, Judge.

THE COURT: I will remind you, again,

members of the jury, not to discuss the case at any
time during the course of the trial, including
during any breaks. We will see you in 15 minutes.

(At which time the jury left the courtroom. Then the following proceedings continued immediately in the courtroom, out of the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. Mr. Kratz, did you have something first?

MR. KRATZ: Yes. Since there are several photos, I believe we have received a stipulation as to their admissibility. I understand that each should be shown one at a time, but I think the Court knows the technological limitations that we are having, trying to toggle through this remote control, so that only one is shown at a time, or only after Mr. Dassey identifies what it is.

I'm wondering if there is a better way, or if there is an opportunity that we may show these in a more efficient fashion, perhaps one photo after another, without having to go to a blue screen every

time.

I can certainly do that. It's Mr. Strang's call. I understand that, Judge. This is simply a request to make it a little easier for the jury to watch these, and look at these exhibits, which I believe had been stipulated as to their admissibility.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang?

MR. STRANG: I think we should continue to do it this way. There is a concern, or I imagine there are many witnesses, who will have as many photographs to go through. The concern is not the authenticity of the photographs, or their admissibility, but rather the kind of things that appear on the screen.

When Mr. Kratz has the lap top on his desk, he can stop the projecting of them up there before one exhibit has been selected, and, you know, this is just a good method to do it, because we may get some photographs where, for some reason or not, they ought not to be published to the jury, whether because the witness can't identify it, or whatever reason.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KRATZ: That's fine. All I can do is

ask, Judge. I understand.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, was there something else you wished to take up?

MR. STRANG: There was. There is the matter that I raised, and noted that I wanted it recorded, that I had raised the matter, but it could wait for our break to raise it. I wanted to do some checking through the discovery too, before I did it.

My recollection of Bobby Dassey's testimony was that he said that on Thursday, November 3, 2005, in the presense of Bobby Dassey, and his friend,
Mike -- he didn't identify him further than as
"Mike" -- that Steven Avery asked if they wanted to help get rid of a body, or "the body". I'm not clear. I didn't write this down better.

But at the time Bobby said that he thought Steve was joking about that, and it was shortly after that testimony that I interjected briefly, as I did.

We have no written summary of an interview of Bobby Dassey, in which that statement is recited. So, the immediate concern was disclosure of oral statements of the defendant, that the State intends to use at trial, I think, under Section 971.23(1)(b).

We do have a Calumet County Sheriff's

Department report of a contact with Michael

Osmunson, O-S-M-U-N-S-O-N, where, for counsel's

benefit, and the Court's, is page 259 of the Calumet

County Sheriff's Department report. That report,

which is not an interview of Bobby Dassey, recites a

statement of this Michael Osmunson, that he and

Bobby were inside the Dassey garage, when Steven

came over, and he goes on from there.

I think probably here, the best thing for me to do is, what I will do is just read this for the Court's benefit now, and make this a Court Exhibit, page 259 of the report. The first chance I get, we will make a copy of it. I will read the relevant paragraph in its entirety.

"Michael indicated the only time he had been at the Avery property between 10-31-05 and 11-14-05 was on Thursday, 11-10-05. He stated he and Bobby were inside the Dassey garage when Steven came over. Michael indicated he was aware Steven was one of the last people to see the missing girl, and jokingly asked Steven if Steven had her (the missing girl) in a closet. At this point Steven asked Michael if Michael wanted to "help bury the body", and they laughed about this together. Michael stated he had

just learned about the missing girl on the Tuesday prior to that. He once again indicated he thought Steven might have been the last one to see the missing girl." End of the relevant paragraph.

Although the following one sentence paragraph says, "According to Michael, Steven stated people go missing all the time and this girl may have left, or may, quote, "have left for Mexico." Period, close quote.

Now, although we have been told that Bobby is in the garage at the same time, there is no indication that Bobby overhears the statement.

Moreover, there was a different context for the statement laid out here than what Bobby gave. That is, Michael himself is joking with Steven, jesting with him about having the girl in the closet. This is clearly a joking response to the jest.

We have "help bury the body", instead of "help get rid of the body". But, most significant of all, this conversation clearly takes place on Thursday, November tenth, 2005, not Thursday, November 3, 2005. And Michael Osmunson says he had just learned about the missing girl on the Tuesday prior to that. Well, that has to be Tuesday, November eighth, because on November 1, no one had

reported Teresa Halbach missing.

I was not concerned about Michael Osmunson being a witness in this case. Why? Because Steven Avery was arrested on November 9, 2005, and he has been continuously in custody since then, and was not in the Dassey garage, or Janda garage, or anyone else's garage, on Thursday, November 10, 2005.

Now we have a different witness, to whom this statement has never been attributed, of which we have no summary identifying him as someone who overheard the statement, or identifying a statement, as having been Bobby Dassey, as the individual identified, or critically identifying the statement as having been made on November 3, as a time when Steven Avery was not in custody, was at home, or in the salvage yard property, and the implication is this may have been before Teresa Halbach even is reported missing.

In large part, that implication arises because we didn't have the joke that was made to Steven Avery as the precursor of this. So, what I'm left with is this jury having heard testimony from the first blood relative of Mr. Avery to testify here, his nephew and next-door neighbor, that amounts to a confession of a crime, and under

the circumstances, although, technically, because
Bobby is listed in the report of contact with
Michael Osmunson, technically, the discovery statute
here may have been complied with. I have not looked
at the case law under the discovery statutes, but,
setting that aside, this comes as an unfair
surprise.

It's materially different than the summary or the statement of which we have been given notice. There is no way to unwind this from the juror's minds. It has enormous unfair prejudicial impact. I can think of no remedy, short of asking for a mistrial, on the introduction of this testimony by the State on the direct examination of Bobby Dassey, without having been invited by the defense, or the defense otherwise having opened the door, or done anything to which you could say this would be an invited response.

I move, therefore, for a mistrial on the grounds I have explained.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?

MR. KRATZ: Well, Judge, after Mr. Strang concedes that the discovery statute was complied with, or I guess in his words, "may very well have been complied with", I will leave the legal analysis

to the Court. A summary of this conversation was provided, and although it appears that this Michael fellow got the Thursday wrong, as far as being the third versus the tenth, because Mr. Strang is correct, that as of the tenth, Steven Avery was in custody.

This witness did testify consistently with what the story was, that he believed his Uncle Steven was joking, that it was said in a joking manner, and, if Mr. Strang wishes to inquire as to the context of it, he may do so on cross examination. That is what cross examination is for.

It certainly does not rise to the level of material that requires a mistrial. We would ask that the Court not do that.

THE COURT: Before I go back to Mr. Strang, did I understand that the State indicated they gave that to the defense, not only the Michael Osmunson statement, but also information that Mr. Dassey would testify as he did today? I thought that is what I heard you start to say.

MR. KRATZ: No, he got it from -- He got page 259, with all of the other discovery, including Mr. Dassey's. I don't know if the conversation is included in Mr. Dassey's report, but it was in Mr.

Osmunson's on page 259.

MR. STRANG: This page 259 is the only notice I had of any discovery, any conversation, of anything at all in any form. Indeed, I had asked for any statements of Bobby Dassey. I see no mention of a Mike, or Michael, or Michael Osmunson, anywhere in the report itself, that concerns what Bobby Dassey had to say. So, there, I will tell you this caught me completely unaware.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, I guess I am not sure, what was the State expecting, that Mr. Dassey was going to testify to a different date today, to the tenth, rather than the third?

MR. KRATZ: I don't understand the question, Judge. It was the third. This witness testified that this conversation with Mike, because Steven was not in custody then, this witness testified it was on the third.

THE COURT: Right. But it's my understanding, from what I have been told, and you folks have the benefit of that here, I have not seen the report, that is the report from Mr. Osmunson, that indicated the conversation took place on the tenth.

MR. KRATZ: We will probably have to get

2.

1

3

4

5

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25

page 259. I don't have that in here. Perhaps I can make a better record about that. Perhaps the Court can read all of page 259.

MR. STRANG: Mr. Buting, I think, can go across the hall to the Clerk's office, and get copies of page 259 for everyone, including the Court, and counsel for the State.

THE COURT: All right. We will take our Somebody can have the document brought back to my chambers. I will take a look at it.

MR. KRATZ: That's fine. Thank you.

(After a short recess, the following proceedings took place in the courtroom, outside of the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. Is there anything further from either party concerning the defense motion?

MR. STRANG: I have one thing to add, Your This is because this is serious, and I want to get it right. I did not say this until I doublechecked on the break.

But the further reason that I was not concerned, and set aside the possibility of the Osmunson statement, or the oral statement of the defendant, through Osmunson coming in, is that the State does not list Michael Osmunson on their witness list. Neither did the defense. His name, nowhere, appears on either party's witness list, and what we have here then, on reviewing this, is a materially different statement, made at an entirely different time, and impossible to have been made on the day that Mr. Osmunson says it was made that day.

So, it's not entirely consistent, in the sense that he expresses no uncertainty about it having been November tenth, Thursday, and says that is because he had learned of Teresa Halbach being missing on the preceding Tuesday, which only could mean not earlier than Tuesday, November eighth, as the Court notes. On that record, I can stand.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?

MR. KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. First of all, the Court needs to note there was no violation, at least a statutory discovery violation. The fact that Mr. Strang indicates the impossibility of November tenth, '05, as being the date of this conversation, actually plays in the State's favor, and does beg the question: Why the defense is claiming surprise?

Why didn't they do something with this statement? The defense had this information

available to them. If the context, and the subject matter, and if the dates are wrong, there are many remedies available to the defense. They could have interviewed Osmunson, and apparently have chosen not to. They certainly have had access to Bobby Dassey, and the entire Dassey family, and I don't know if Mr. Strang has indicated, or is representing that they did not interview Bobby Dassey, but that, I think, should be part of the record, as well.

Secondly, and next, it's important to note, the jury is not going to be misled at all in this case. A mistrial is reserved, as this Court knows, in serious cases of prosecutorial misconduct, or breach, or when some other remedy is not going to be available. Given the fact there is no violation, no discovery violation, certainly a mistrial is not at all appropriate.

Let me offer, if there are concerns that the Court still has, if the Court believes that, on cross-examination, cannot be dealt with, Mr. Strang still has available to him, either: To interview Mr. Dassey, if the Court wants to grant a brief continuance. Or to have Mr. Dassey come back, to shore this up. Or interview Mr. Osmunson. Or to secure Mr. Osmunson's appearance.

But the notice of the conversation was provided. The fact that defense did nothing with it, the fact that defense failed to interview, or failed to appreciate, even if every belief was that it was in a joking manner, the poor joke, that the off-color remark that Mr. Avery apparently made, regarding the remains of Ms. Halbach, I think that is something perhaps that the defense should have done. But it's not something the Court should attribute to the State. Nor should a mistrial be the remedy that the Court chooses in this case. That is all I have, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang?

MR. STRANG: First, we have not interviewed Bobby Dassey. Second, the report, which the Court now has a copy of, and I ask to be made part of the court record as a Court Exhibit, again, is that he, Michael, and Bobby were inside the Dassey garage, but, from that point forward, describes this as a conversation between Michael and Steven.

So, the remedy here is not to repeat this statement, again and again in front of the jury, or call another witness to change the date. The remedy here is a mistrial, or something that effectively would erase this testimony from the jury's

consideration, because, again, it's a materially different statement, under different circumstances, on a different date. That has an all-together different meaning, and it becomes something a killer would have done, as we sit here.

As the statement was presented to us, it was impossible to have been made. It was false on its face. So, if there were a remedy, short of a mistrial, and I don't think that there is. But if there were a remedy, short of that, it would be something like the Court instructing the members of the jury that testimony was given concerning a statement that Mr. Avery supposedly made to Bobby and Mike, his friend, on November 3, and that the statement was not made, the testimony was false.

It was not made, and the testimony about it was false, and at that point, the Court, I think, if it were going to adopt a remedy, short of a mistrial, would roll into it, with that instruction, and connect it with the Falsus in Uno Instruction, about Bobby Dassey's testimony, inviting, but not instructing the jury that it may disregard, as false, all of Bobby Dassey's testimony because of his false testimony on this point.

I don't know if that would suffice, but

that comes much closer to a remedy than repeating it, and, you know, remaking the statement over and over, again, on cross examination, or with another witness, in front of the jury.

I don't have case law at hand, on whether the page 259 of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department report, technically, suffices under Section 971.23(1)(b) or not. I'm assuming here, for the sake of argument, because we are told that Bobby is somewhere in the garage when the statement purportedly is made, I'm assuming, for the sake of argument, that the State is just on the right side of the line on discovery.

But, I have also laid out the practical problems, and a good argument could be made, and I will make it, if there is case law to support it, a good argument can be made that the statement testified to here is so materially different in date, time, and content, than the statement of which we were given notice, that they are not the same oral statement. But, in any event, I don't think the Court has to resolve that. The damages and the problems are clear, and are serious.

THE COURT: All right. The starting point here is the discovery statute, Section 971.23(1)(b).

That statute requires that the prosecution provide to the defense a written summary of all oral statements of the defendant. That would be statements of Mr. Avery, which the District Attorney plans to use in the course of the trial, and the names of witnesses, who the defendant made the oral statements to.

In this case, the Court is satisfied that, at least, literally, that statute has been complied with, that the disputed testimony involves a statement attributed to the defendant, and there is no dispute that the discovery information provided by the State to the defense included information indicating that both Mr. Osmunson and Bobby Dassey were present at the time the defendant made the statement.

What is different is the date on which the statement was made, and as noted by defense counsel in his argument, that can have a significant difference here, because it can affect the credibility of the statements themselves.

I would note at the outset that, in terms of assessing the problems presented to the defense here, both from page 259 which was presented to the Court, and based on the testimony of Mr. Dassey,

both of the witnesses to the defendant's statement indicated that they thought he was just joking at the time. That is another element in which the information provided to the defense and the testimony given today on the witness stand are consistent with each other.

I do agree that I would not fault the defense, saying they didn't take the opportunity to simply follow up on the information on page 259, because by reading page 259, they would have been led to believe that the information contained could have been easily attacked, on the basis the defendant could not have made that statement on November tenth.

But they did have information to suggest the statement was made. It's not unusual for a witness to be mistaken about dates.

In the Court's opinion, I think that the defense is entitled to some consideration in the form of having an adequate opportunity to prepare to cross examine the witness about the statement that was made today.

I don't believe, first of all, there was, strictly speaking, a violation. And, secondly, given the nature of the testimony, that the

22.

statement was made in jest, it would not rise to the level of something that would warrant the granting of a mistrial.

Q

The Court would be inclined to grant the defense an opportunity, first of all, to cross examine the witness, in an attempt to attack the statement today, if the defense wishes. The Court will also require the State to make the witness available for the defense to consult at a later date, if the defense feels it needs additional time in which to prepare to cross examine the witness on this issue.

The Court may also consider giving an instruction to the jury at some later point, if it is deemed to be warranted. But I think it's premature at this stage to speculate as to whether or not such an instruction may be required, or what the content of such an instructions might be.

So, I am giving the defense an alternative opportunity. You are free to cross examine the witness today. You are free to also, if you cross examine him today, to have him brought back at a later date, after you have had more time to digest the testimony today. You can also postpone your cross examination of the witness, if you wish.

MR. STRANG: I understand the Court's rulings. Those are three choices that present some complicated weighing for us to do. I guess, as a first step, what I would like to do is have 30 minutes, or something, to talk with Mr. Buting about that.

2.

And I would like at this point the Court to, until Mr. Dassey has completed whatever testimony he is going to give in this case, I would like the Court to order that the State, and any agent of the State, including members of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, or either of the two lead investigators, or anyone acting on their behalf, not discuss with Bobby Dassey any aspect of his testimony, have no contact with him about his testimony actually given already, or anticipated further, on direct or cross examination.

So, in other words, I would like him sequestered. That agents of the State would include the District Attorney's office, anyone involved on the prosecution's side of the case, or acting at the prosecution's direction, and I also will not talk to Mr. Dassey either, without approaching the Court, and telling the Court and counsel that we intend, or we are asking to be able to interview him, while his

testimony is ongoing, in the sense that he has not been released from his appearance here.

But I would like to, at a minimum, to "freeze the frame", so to speak, where it is, and to start with, like I said, I think 30 minutes for Mr. Buting and I to talk about which, of the three options the Court has afforded us immediately, we best should choose in representing Steven Avery.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?

MR. KRATZ: I do have a suggestion, Judge.

My direct examination of Bobby, as the Court may
have already predicted, will be concluded with Bobby
identifying the balance of the photos that are in
front of him. I don't intend to ask him any other
questions. That seems to be seamless enough that it
would allow Mr. Strang an opportunity over the lunch
hour.

Perhaps the Court could even grant a little extension over the lunch hour for the defense to discuss their strategy, so the jury could at least hear the rest of the direct testimony, which is just the identification of the other photographs and exhibits. I think that would be fair for Mr. Strang, to give him an opportunity to discuss that.

MR. STRANG: Well, if it's really just

2.

22.

finishing identifying the photographs, and there is no summary or windup at the end, then that is a reasonable suggestion. I think we could, if I could get 90 minutes for lunch today, Mr. Buting and I could incorporate the discussion, I'm suggesting we need to have, over the lunch hour. So, I don't have any quarrel, if I understand Bobby Dassey really does end on direct examination, when the last photograph is identified and explained.

MR. KRATZ: Counsel has just reminded me, Judge, that if, during the course of Bobby's identification of some photographs, he says something, I may ask a clarifying question. That may raise some areas of inquiry.

So, although my intent is to ask about these photographs, and what is depicted in them, it's possible that it could go into other areas of information, on the property and the like. But I don't envision, certainly don't envision revisiting this "statement issue". But I was just trying to come up with a suggestion that could at least complete the direct examination before lunch.

MR. STRANG: Perhaps it would be useful to know, your Honor, how many photographs we have left to go, and about what time the prosecution expects

its continued direct examination will consume.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?

MR. KRATZ: I think we have 20 pictures left, Judge. I think the questions will be what you heard so far, is what we are looking at.

THE COURT: Why don't we finish up on that and take a lunch break at that point?

MR. STRANG: And I do I think I would like a ruling about -- I know I would like a ruling on my request that Mr. Dassey, at this point, until a further order of the Court, not be questioned in any way by any agent of the State.

He will have to have some incidental contact with Julie Leverenz, who is the Victim-Witness Coordinator from the Calumet County District Attorney's Office. I odn't have any practical objection to that, "When does he need to back?", or whatever.

THE COURT: Any objection from the State?

MR. KRATZ: No.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will order that no attorney or representative of either side have any contact with Mr. Dassey until his testimony is completed. And the Victim-Witness Coordinator is not to discuss any aspect of his testimony with him.

With that, I believe we can bring the jury back 1 in and complete the direct examination of Mr. 2 Dassey. MR. KRATZ: The record should reflect that I 4 have instructed the Victim-Witness Coordinator as to 5 what to do. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 (The jury was brought back to the courtroom, 8 and the following proceedings continued in the 9 courtroom, in the presence of the jury.) 10 THE COURT: You may be seated. 11 Mr. Kratz, at this time you may resume your 12 direct examination. 13 MR. KRATZ: Thank you. 14 BY MR. KRATZ: 15 Bobby, we left off on Exhibit Number 56, that was 16 in front of you. I think you started to tell us 17 what Exhibit 56 was. Can you tell us again 18 please? 19 That is my Grandma's house. Α 20 Now that the jury can see exhibit 56, where is Q 21 your Grandma's house located in relationship to 22 you? 23 To the east.

Α

24

25

Okay. We will see an overview, or at least the

1		jury has. But how far down that road is your
2		Grandma's trailer located? Do you know?
3	A	Maybe six tenth's of a mile.
4	Q	And then they have a road, or more of a "long
5		driveway"; is that correct?
6	А	Yes, it is a long driveway.
7	Q	The next exhibit is Number 57. Can you tell us
8		what that is please?
9	A	It's another picture of my Grandma's house.
10	Q	From a different angle?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And, again, now that the jury can see Exhibit
13		number 57, how are we looking at her trailer?
14	А	That would be, if you are coming into the driveway,
15		that would be the east side of it, the northeast
16		side of it.
17	Q	So, if you are coming from the main road, on
18		Highway 147, you are coming toward the end of it?
19	А	Yes. That would be the front.
20	Q	What is the next exhibit, Exhibit 58?
21	А	That is the office area, inside the shop, of the
22		salvage yard.
23	Q	Now, as I get that picture up, if I can, in fact,
24		do that, you are talking about the shop?
25	А	Where they do all of their business. Where they fix

```
the cars.
1
          On the Avery Salvage property, this is other than
          just residences; is that correct?
3
          Yes.
4
          Do you know how many other buildings there are,
     Q
5
          other than residences?
    Α
          Three.
7
          Exhibit Number 58, is that one of them?
8
    Α
          Yes.
9
          The main office area, if somebody did business
10
          with the salvage place, he would come into this
11
          room here?
12
    Α
         Yes.
13
          All right. Tell us what Exhibit 59 is?
14
         Just another picture of the Plymouth Voyager van.
15
          Now, Exhibit 59, where was that taken from?
16
          you know?
17
         From the driveway.
18
          Do you still have the laser pointer up there?
    Q
19
    Α
         Yes.
20
         Can you show us with the laser pointer where your
    Q
21
         mother's and your home would be located in
22
         relationship to this picture?
23
         It would on this side of the picture.
    Α
24
    Q
         The left side?
25
```

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And that is the maroon van, again, the van that
3		you saw Teresa taking pictures of?
4	A	Yes.
5		MR. STRANG: Objection, asked and answered.
6		The testimony is getting cumulative, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?
8		MR. KRATZ: Judge, although I understand I
9		have asked the questions, these are all different
10		angles. They will have a use later in the trial. I
11		suspect, with this picture, that is
12		self-explanatory.
13		THE COURT: I will allow the one question,
14		to put the picture in context.
15		BY MR. KRATZ:
16	Q	That was the vehicle that Teresa was taking a
17		picture of?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	All right. The next exhibit is Exhibit Number 60?
20	A	That is another picture of the van, just a different
21		angle.
22	Q	What we are showing on the screen is Exhibit 60?
23		MR. STRANG: Objection, cumulative and a
24		waste of time, under Section 904.03.
25		THE COURT: Are you referring to the
- 1		

description of the photo, or the photo itself? 1 MR. STRANG: The photo and the description 2. of it. 3 THE COURT: It's my understanding at this 4 time we are going through these photos because they 5 may be used later. It's a bit difficult for the 6 Court to say, in advance, that a photo may be a 7 waste of time, since I don't know the purpose for 8 which it may be used. So, I'm not going to rule on 9 the objection for now. 10 MR. KRATZ: All right. 11 BY MR. KRATZ: 12 Exhibit 61? 0 13 Another picture of the van at a different angle. 14 What is in the background of Exhibit 61? Q 15 Α My mom's house. 16 When looking at Exhibit 61, could you again point 17 out the picture, or, excuse me, the window that 18 you looked out and watched things from? 19 It would be that window there. Α 20 The left-most window on the trailer; is that 0 21 correct? 22 Α Yes. 23 Exhibit 62 is the front of -- What is that? Q 24

That would be Steven's car.

Α

What kind of car is that? 1 Q Pontiac Grand Am. You mentioned that Steven had a truck, as well? 3 Α Yes. We saw that in a previous picture; is that Q 5 correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 This blue car, that Grand Am, is, obviously, a Q different vehicle. Did Steven drive this car? 9 Α Yes. 10 Q What is Exhibit 63? 11 That would be the yard's flatbed, the salvage yard Α 12 flatbed. 13 What is a flatbed, for those of us, who don't 14 know? 15 For hauling cars, for picking up cars. 16 MR. STRANG: Your Honor, I would like to be 17 heard briefly at side bar, if I may? 18 THE COURT: Very well. 19 (At which time a bench conference was held 20 off the record, in low tones, between the Court and 21 the attorneys, out of the hearing of everyone else 22 in the courtroom.) 23 BY MR. KRATZ: 24 What is the next picture of, in front of you, 25

```
Exhibit 64?
1
          The would be my Grandma's golf golf.
     Α
2
           Your Grandma's what?
     0
3
     Α
          Golf cart.
4
          And, again, on the large screen, that is a
     Q
5
           representation of the photo that is in front of
6
           you?
7
     Α
          Yes.
8
          Do you know where that golf cart was normally
     Q
9
           kept?
10
    Α
          In her garage.
11
          What is Exhibit 65?
12
    Α
          My mom's golf cart.
13
         Again, directing your attention to the large
     Q
14
          screen, where was that normally kept?
15
    Α
          Mostly outside.
16
         Outside of what?
17
    Α
          Our house.
18
          The next photo is Exhibit 66. Can you tell us
19
          what that is?
20
         That would be the car crusher.
    A
21
          Where on the property was that located?
    Q
22
          know?
23
         Down in the pit.
    Α
24
         What does "down in the pit" mean?
25
```

It would actually be on the east side of the pit, Α 1 the far side. The east side of the property? 3 Α Yes. 4 Bobby, did you ever operate this piece of Q 5 equipment? 6 Α No. 7 Q I think he have this on a couple of 8 different angles, but can you tell us about 9 Exhibit 67? 10 Just the front view of the crusher, again. 11 Actually, I am not even going to post these to the 12 jury. Just tell me we what it is. 13 Just a front view of the crusher. Α 14 And 68? Q 15 Α A back view of the crusher. 16 Q And Exhibit 69? 17 A picture of the whole background. Α 18 Of the pit that you were talking about? Q 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. I will show this one. Tell us what we are 21 looking at here. 22 There is the far east side of the property. Α 23 Can you show us, with the laser pointer, the 24 crusher please? 25

- Α The crusher is right there. 1 Is there a body of water, or a pond, right there? 2. Yes. That would be right around there.
- Now, do you know what is on the other side of that Q pond? 5
- Α Just an embankment. 6
- Are there vehicles on the other side? Q 7
- A There is, a little lower, and there is the 8 embankment. 9
- Could you show us that, with your laser pointer, 10 what you are talking about? 11
- There is the embankment, and there is the road that 12 runs on this side of the pond. 13
- Okay. What is Exhibit 70? 14
- Crushed cars. Α 15
- Had you seen any crushed cars on the property? 16
- No. 17

3

- You were not involved in the business at all? 18
 - A No.

19

- What is the next picture, number 71? Q 20
- A picture of tires. Α 21
- And what are picture 71, the tires, or where were Q 22 they located? 23
- Α Just north of the crusher. 24
 - What is Exhibit 72?

Α A pile of tires. 1 Do you know where those tires were located? 2. That is just southwest of Steven's trailer, in the Α 3 back corner. Exhibit 73, what is that? 0 5 Just the Avery Salvage sign. Α 6 Where was that sign located, now that the jury is Q seeing it on Exhibit 73? At the end of Avery's Road. 9 What does Avery Road connect with? 10 Α Highway 147. 11 So, this sign, that is on Exhibit 73, would be at 12 that intersection; is that correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 The next exhibit is Exhibit 74. What is that? Q 15 Just an overview of the salvage yard. Α 16 Can you describe that any further? 17 Directly south from Steven's trailer. Α 18 MR. KRATZ: I think this will be the last 19 picture I will show. There are some others up 20 there. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 BY MR. KRATZ: 23 Exhibit 74, what are you looking at? Q 24 This is maybe half of the salvage yard, with cars. Α

- 1 Q Those vehicles are located throughout the salvage property?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And, in fact, what is Exhibit 75?
- 5 A More pictures of cars in the junk yard.
- 6 Q And Exhibit 76?
- 7 A Just another picture of an overview of the yard.
 - Q And 77?
 - A The same thing, another picture of the overview.
- 10 Q More junk cars?
 - A Yes.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q More junk cars? Finally, Exhibit 78, in front of you?
- A More junk cars.

MR. KRATZ: With that, Judge, I will move admission of Exhibits 37 through 78, as identified by Mr. Dassey.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang?

MR. STRANG: Number 70, I think he said he has never seen these crushed cars before. So, I don't think that we even got to the relevancy of number 70. I think many of the others are cumulative. But as a practical matter, some of that we can address later. I don't have any questions about their authenticity.

24

THE COURT: All right. The Court will at this time allow all of the exhibits in, except for Exhibit 70, based upon laying a foundation.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, do you have any further questions for this witness?

MR. KRATZ: No, not at this time, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, counsel and I have a few things to take up before we continue. So, we are going to take a break at this time, early for lunch, and resume at one o'clock.

Again, I will remind you not to discuss the case in any fashion during the break.

(The jury left the courtroom. The following proceedings continued in the courtroom, outside of the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. We are now outside of the presence of the jury. Mr. Strang, you requested a brief side bar during the witness' testimony. Did you wish to put that on the record?

MR. BUTING: Yes, I do. We had two photographs identified and published to the jury. These were, by my notes, numbers 22 and 63, in which obvious police crime-scene evidence yellow tape is wrapped around, first, what was identified as Mr. Avery's Grand Am, and, secondly, the witness

identified a flatbed truck. The implication of the evidence tape, obviously, is that there is something of evidentiary value, inculpatory presumably, since the State is offering these, and it appears to be police tape.

That is an unfair implication as to both the Grand Am and the flatbed truck. I cannot imagine what the probative value of the photographs of these two vehicles is. In any event, certainly the State has photographs of the Grand Am, without evidence tape, or other suggestions there is something to be preserved, or something incriminating, or inculpatory, about it.

So, I think those ought not come in, for those reasons. That is a much longer version of what I said at the side bar, but that was the issue I was raising at side bar, off the record, and I will note that, although I am aware, under State versus Miniero, M-I-N-I-E-R-O, and other cases, the Appellate Courts of the State are not fond at all of off-the-record side bars, I'm the one who requested the side bar, and I knew that it would be off the record. So, I will try to make clear, what I think I said, and the side bar, then immediately, has to be on the record, but I appreciate the Court giving

me a chance now to elaborate much further on the issue I raised in summary at side bar.

THE COURT: All right. I will say the Court is aware, we have been admonished by the Appeals Courts to avoid side bars, whenever possible, and whenever one is held, to make a record of it. I try the remember to do that, as best I can.

Mr. Kratz, any response to the defense's concern about the two exhibits?

MR. KRATZ: Just briefly, Judge. Those items were, in fact, as soon as both of them were inspected, one of them, the blue Pontiac was processed, and the items therein tested by the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory, and a DNA profile was developed from within, the fact that Steven Avery's blood is near the console of that vehicle, as well as in Ms. Halbach's vehicle, the State intends to include in evidence in this case.

Especially, if the defense intends to pursue their "planting of Mr. Avery's blood" evidence, and the Court, I'm sure, on its own, can surmise the argument that the State may make, if, in fact, "planting evidence" is going to continue to be advanced in this case.

The negative evidence, that is, the lack of

evidence in the flatbed, has similar evidentiary value, and whether the State intends to argue directly the implication of negative evidence, or just the fact that the State looked, the fact that the State, in fact, did a thorough investigation, all has at least some relevance in this case, and the showing of these two photographs are not, in and of themselves, prejudicial.

They will both be referred to later in this trial, and at this time I think it's proper for the Court to not reject or exclude those photographs as exhibits. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I'm satisfied that, at least at this stage of the trial, both of the photos offered have at least the potential for probative value, for the reasons stated. Among other things, the thoroughness of the State's investigation can be put into play later in this case.

I do have one question, however. Does the State have photos of either the Pontiac Grand Am or the flatbed, that doesn't have evidence tape involved?

MR. KRATZ: I can check, Judge. I don't know that we have the flatbed. The Grand Am, we may

2.

have photos at the crime lab, and that is something that I can certainly inquire into. But these were the first photos. I don't know that evidence tape, in and of themselves, since the jury will hear they were processed, is anything prejudicial at all. But I will check for the Court.

THE COURT: All right. Let's have the parties report back at one o'clock. Then the first order of business will be hearing from the defense?

MR. STRANG: Yeah. I would like a little more time than one o'clock. If I could get 90 minutes, I think that would be enough.

THE COURT: All right. We will give you until 1:15.

MR. STRANG: Okay.

(After the noon recess, the following proceedings resumed in the courtroom, outside of the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. At this time we're back on the record, outside of the presence of the jury. I will indicate for the record that I met with counsel briefly in chambers before we began. It's my understanding, I believe that we have an agreement on how we are going to proceed this afternoon, and that involves, specifically, taking a

witness out of order. Is that correct, counsel?

MR. KRATZ: The state understands, Your Honor, that the defense has asked for an opportunity to defer its cross examination of Bobby Dassey until the beginning of court tomorrow. We understand that the State, excuse me, the defense, wishes an opportunity to interview Mr. Dassey sometime yet this afternoon, and the State is prepared to call Trooper Austin, and have him present his testimony before the cross-examination begins, which, as I understand, the defense has asked for leave to commence that tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang?

MR. STRANG: I had suggested in chambers that our conclusion and the defense's statement was that we did need to interview Bobby Dassey before cross examining him, and that we wish to cross examine him only once, so as not to draw undue attention to him, or to any part of his testimony. Because that is an interview that is of unpredictable length, and will take us in different possible directions, some of which I can predict as possibilities, and I'm not sure I can predict all of the possibilities, I had asked that we have the balance of the day to do that, and that we start

tomorrow morning with the cross examination of Bobby Dassey, so that, for the jury, this just flows sequentially, and, you know, the fact that the Court had to resolve some issues is all the jury would know.

In chambers, as I understood the Court, it was unwilling to adjourn for the afternoon and start up again tomorrow morning. I object to taking another witness, out of order, because I expect that, as I understand this trial, then Bobby Dassey will be the only witness, whose cross examination did not immediately follow in order with his direct examination. That is, it was broken up by another witness.

The Court, of course, controls the mode and the order of the interrogation of witnesses. But this does tend to highlight him, and also it leaves us attending to Trooper Austin, which that time probably would be better used and should be used in interviewing Bobby Dassey, and adjusting the cross examination of Bobby Dassey.

Accordingly, doing a one-and-a-half, or one-hour witness, is better than having no time to interview Bobby Dassey at all, to be sure. But I don't think this is an adequate remedy, and as I

2.

forecasted in chambers, and won't repeat here, there are a number of possible issues that we may need to visit or revisit, depending on the results of the conversation with Bobby Dassev.

But, in any event, I do ask the Court then to exempt us, or to carve an exception for Mr. Buting, and myself, and our defense investigator, this afternoon, so that we can talk to Bobby Dassey here, during the midst of his testimony, so to speak.

But we would ask that the Court's earlier order remain in place, for agents of the State, other than Ms. Leverenz, who, of course, as a practical matter, has to have some communication with Bobby Dassey about the Court's schedule and plans.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?

MR. KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. As the Court know, in chambers it was the State's request that the defense proceed directly with cross examination. I will have an exhibit marked for the Court, which I alerted the Court, and reminded counsel that they already had in their possession, pages 516 and 517 of the Sheriff's Department discovery, which is now a second place within the material that Mr. Strang

already had, which alerted the defense counsel to the substance of, and the surrounding circumstances of the interview that Mr. Dassey mentioned in his direct testimony.

To suggest to this Court, at this time, that it was not until this very moment that the defense realized the significance of Bobby Dassey, when they have known for well over a year that Bobby Dassey was the last person, other than their client, to see Ms. Halbach alive, and walking toward Mr. Avery's trailer, to suggest it's only now that they believe it important to interview Mr. Dassey, the State believes to be disingenuous.

That not withstanding, Your Honor, we understand why the defense has made this request. We do disagree that, after Mr. Strang and Mr. Buting have an opportunity to interview Mr. Dassey, that the State should somehow be prohibited or precluded from, ourselves, interviewing or speaking with Mr. Dassey. That is a separate issue, of course.

And with that exhibit now having been provided to the Court, we don't believe that we have any further need to make any further record on this issue. Thank you, Judge.

MR. STRANG: I acknowledge that I have had

in my possession pages 516 and 517 of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department report. It's not well over a year, of course, because Mr. Buting and I have first entered our appearances less than a year ago in this case. But we have had those, that report, and those two pages, for months, and, in any event, I wish that the question of the interviewing of Bobby Dassey were as easy as whether he is important or not.

That is not the question. There are a whole lot of other dynamics at work here, such as the availability of witnesses, and the allocation of resources, and some of those things. We don't need to rehash all of the arguments about why the defense had wanted a trial date later than February 5, 2007. But it's not all that easy.

I can say, and should say, that we have not interviewed Bobby Dassey at any time, and we hope to be able to do that today. And the issue, in the end, I think under Section 971.23(1)(b) comes down to the meaning of "witness", and whether here we were provided notice by the State that Bobby Dassey will be a witness to testify to the oral statement at issue, materially different as it is from Mr.

Osmunson's rendition, and some differences from the

second-hand rendition from another witness, in pages 516 and 517, in just recounting to a law enforcement agent what Bobby Dassey supposedly said to him, about what Steven Avery said, in Bobby Dassey's presence.

So, I think we have got a record. I have made, and I will renew the mistrial motion, and the request for lesser relief, with the least-favored alternative being given the afternoon here to attend just to Bobby Dassey, and resuming the trial with the jury tomorrow morning, with Bobby Dassey's cross-examination.

I understand the Court has ruled on that. I just wanted to make our position clear.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, the Court has already denied the motion for a mistrial, and I have heard nothing to make me change that. Since the matter was brought up on the record this morning, the State has presented the Court with another piece of discovery, which makes reference a little more directly to the statement that was the subject of the defense motion here.

Given the fact, never the less, that the witness himself, in his own statements, apparently, did not make reference to the information contained

in the other two documents, that highlighted the witness' exposure to this alleged statement on the part of the defendant, I agree that the defendant should be permitted some time to explore this issue and to interview the witness

I have not been presented a reason why such an interview should require hours of preparation, since the defense has had the opportunity to interview this witness for as long as this case has been pending. There is only one narrow issue, specifically, the witness' testimony as to one statement on the part of the defendant, that gives rise to the need for another interview.

I'm confident, if we break a little earlier today, and the defense has an opportunity to interview the witness, that should be sufficient to address any problem that may exist.

I do agree, under the circumstances, that the defense should have the right to interview Mr. Dassey first. But, under the circumstances, I see no reason to prohibit any representative of the State from interviewing Mr. Dassey later.

Again, for those reasons that have been stated earlier, we are talking about one fairly narrow statement here, that is referenced in at

least two different spots in the discovery that was admittedly provided to the defense. I think the remedy the Court has ordered should be sufficient to address this issue.

Likewise, I don't believe -- The Court will simply inform the jury, for the convenience of the parties and the witnesses, we are going to hear from Officer Austin, and the cross examination of Mr. Dassey will be completed tomorrow morning. That happens on a fairly routine basis in many trials for a variety of reasons, and the Court has never known that to be something that is likely to influence the jury in any way.

So, at this time we will bring the jurors back in. Then, Mr. Kratz, you can call your witness.

MR. KRATZ: Thank you

THE COURT: What was the exhibit number of pages 516 and 517?

THE CLERK: Exhibit 89.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STRANG: Don't we have another issue?

THE COURT: There is another issue that I will take up tomorrow morning. I don't believe it was required to be taken up now.

MR. STRANG: Okay.

MR. KRATZ: Judge, regarding Mr. Austin, I told the Court that Mr. Austin intends to explain his process through a power point demonstration, which, after we're concluded, I will mark and make part of the record. I do have a hard copy for the Court to follow along. I have provided Mr. Buting and Mr. Strang with a copy, as well, so they can follow along.

And, finally, as I alerted the Court, later in this trial, some of these computer images may be, in fact, referred to in a small sub-set of these images. I also have some four-by-six images made to complete the record. I will provide those and have those marked, as well, to be identified by this witness, at the conclusion of his testimony.

But I will give the Court and the Clerk the exhibits here, and the Court can follow along with the power point demonstration, as well.

We are prepared to proceed then.

THE COURT: Very well.

(At which time the jury came into the courtroom. The following proceeding continued in the courtroom, in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. Members of

the jury, for reasons relating to availability of witnesses, we are going to take a witness out of order at this time. The defense will be conducting its cross examination of Mr. Dassey tomorrow morning, and the State is going to call another witness at this time.

Mr. Kratz?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ KRATZ: We will call Trooper Tim Austin at this time.

TIMOTHY AUSTIN, being first duly sworn on oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Timothy Austin, A-U-S-T-I-N.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KRATZ:

- Q Mr. Austin, how are you employed?
- A I am employed as a trooper with the Wisconsin State Patrol. I'm assigned to the Wisconsin State Patrol Academy, to the Technical Reconstruction Unit.
- Q Can you tell us what the Technical Reconstruction Unit is?
- A The Technical Reconstruction Unit is a specialized unit, if you will, of persons that work with crash

reconstructions and crime scene reconstructions for the Division of the State Patrol.

- Q Could you briefly set forth your education, training, and experience in the areas of scene modeling?
- A Yes, sir. I have been trained and certified as an instructor in the field of forensic diagramming, which includes the use of computer-aided drafting or drawing software, and a Geodimeter, which is, basically, an electronic surveying device for collecting measurements.
- Now, Trooper Austin, we will be referring to the large screen here in the courtroom. This is what is known as a "power point presentation", or PC presentation software. Could you, first of all, just tell the jury what we will be looking at during your testimony, and how this was created?
- Yes. To assist with my testimony, under the direction of Mr. Kratz, I created a power point presentation. What you will see is a series of slides that will help to bring us through the forensic mapping project that I did in this particular case. You will see some images in two dimensions, and some three-dimensional computer scenes that I generated.

- Q All right. What is forensic mapping?
- A Forensic mapping is the science, if you will, of collecting measurements at a crash or crime scene, and then putting them together later, in either a two-dimensional diagram or three-dimensional models. Such was my objective in this particular case, to collect measurements at the given property, and later to bring those measurements into the office to create two-dimensional diagrams and some three-dimensional models.
- Specifically, Trooper Austin, on November fifth of 2005, were you asked to proceed to, and did you, in fact, proceed to a property known as the Avery Salvage Yard?
- A Yes. On November fifth of 2005, I was requested by local law enforcement to go to the Avery property in Manitowoc County for the purpose of forensic mapping.
- Q How long were you at that property?
- A The forensic mapping activities went from November fifth through November twelfth of that year.
- You mentioned, I think, earlier that this forensic mapping process requires the taking of measurements. Can you describe that process, and how many measurements were involved?

- Q
 - Yes. As I said before, we utilized an electronic measuring device, in addition to recording some measurements by hand, with tape measures, if you will. But the bulk of the measurements were taken using an electronic device known as the Geodimeter, G-E-O-D-I-M-E-T-E-R, or the total station. In total, we documented over 4100 measurements at the Avery property.
 - Q Tell us about the "total station" process please?

 A Certainly. The total station, as I said before, is an electronic device composed of an electronic distance measuring system, which essentially measures distance, distance from the total station to a given evidentiary point. It also has a theodolite, which measures angles. It also has a data collector and a prism.
 - Q Just for the record, and for the reporter's benefit, a hard copy of this will be provided for the spellings of everything you said. This is a picture of the Geodimeter; is that correct?
 - A Yes.

- Q And those other devices that are used to create these measurements are included within the total station package itself; is that correct?
- A Yes, that is correct. Hence, the name, "total

station". It measures not just angles. Like a transit would, it also measures distance, and that is because we have a Theodolite and a distance measuring system in there. We have a total package, or, hence, a "total station".

- Q I'm sure Mr. Platkowski would like you to slow down just a little more. That would be terrific. Can you tell us about the information storage and retrieval?
- Certainly. Again, what the total station does is Α measures the distance and angles to a particular location. For example, if I were measuring an item of evidentiary value where Mr. Kratz is, I would ask him to hold the prism over that item of evidentiary The total station would recognize the angle value. to Mr. Kratz, both horizontally and vertically. would then measure the distance. What it will document is that particular point's location in 3-D space. In other words, along an X-axis, a Y-axis, and a Z-axis, based on where the total station is located. If there is another point on the other side of the courtroom, it would do the same thing, distance and angle to that particular point. stores that data in an on-board data collector, which you see in the lower pictures.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Is that basically a computer?

- A Yes, it is, and it does convert these horizontal and vertical angles to X, Y, and Z coordinates, for later retrieval.
- Q All right. Let's talk about the total station's accuracy. Can you tell the jury: Just how accurate is this process?
- A This particular total station has a maximum angular error or induced error from instruments of three seconds. So, what that means, as I said before, it measures in angles. If I take a circle, that circle is divided into 360 degrees. Each degree is divided into 60 minutes. Each minute is divided into 60 seconds. So, this particular instrument is accurate to within three of those seconds.

Mr. Kratz, at this particular scene, the longest shot distance was about 1200 feet. If I calculate it out mathematically, that means our maximum error induced by the instrument is less than a half inch.

All right. Let's talk about the location, the Avery salvage property itself. I have put your next slide on the screen, and feel free to use the laser pointer, or whatever you may need, to explain or describe for this jury please the

mapping locations?

2.

A In this slide I put an aerial photograph of the Avery property. The purpose of this was to show that we had actually forensically mapped out this entire location. That is, everything that you see here on the screen was mapped out, using that Geodimeter total station.

This second slide, Mr. Kratz, shows us a different view, another aerial photograph of the same property. This time we have changed it, so north is to the left side of the screen, and in the box is where it shows the Avery salvage property. That map was created, and I put this slide up because, in addition to mapping the Avery salvage property, we also completed some forensic mapping at what is best described as the "deer camp".

I was not privy as to who owns the deer camp. I know it's an unrelated person. We did forensic mapping at this location too, and also a cul-de-sac at the end of Kuss Road. The areas we mapped were somewhat considerable in terms of geographic location, if you will.

- Q Are you familiar with a term called "CAD" drawing?

 A Yes, sir.
- Q From a two-dimensional stand point, describe that

for the jury please?

What Mr. Kratz is referring to as "CAD", is

"computer-aided drawing". In addition, we are doing
all of our diagraming on the computer, and we refer
to two-dimensional drawings. What that is is an
orthogonal view, meaning looking from the top
straight down. That is how most of the Avery
property was diagramed, so that when you look at the
computer drawing, it's essentially as if you were
way above that scene, looking straight down at it.

What that allows you to do is take specific locations and "zoom in on it", if you will, to look at those locations in detail.

Q Let's look at some of the two-dimensional drawings. What are we looking at now?

MR. KRATZ: By the way, Judge, I will, as we go through this, I will refer to the hard copy. This will be the bottom of page 7, just so the record is clear, as this witness testifies.

BY MR. KRATZ:

- Q Go ahead, Mr. Austin.
- A What we are looking at here is that two-dimensional CAD drawing that was created, showing the Avery property that we saw in the aerial photographs, and, again, we have the ability to zoom in on specific

areas, if we wanted to see the details of a different location in that entire over-all salvage property.

- Q Were you able, with your total station measuring, are you able to tell the jury the perimeter, if you will, in distances?
- Yes. Not directly with the total station, Mr.

 Kratz. However, once we bring it into the computer environment, we can take measurements to be able to determine the extent of that. In this case here, I want to say the "rough measurements", because the property is not perfectly square. But north and south, the measurement is approximately 1260 feet, and east and west, the measurement is approximately 1300 feet. That comes out to be just under 40 acres.
- All right. Let's talk about some of the two-dimensional views that you created then. This image, again, which would be on the bottom of page 8, is the entire Avery property; is that correct?

 A Yes, sir. And I will put this image back in here again to show you that we're going to zoom in, if

you will, on certain sections on those two-dimensional diagrams. So, with this portion of that drawing, we are now going to look at the

two-dimensional drawing, which shows the northeastern corner of the property. In that northeastern corner of the property are the business buildings, if you will, for the Avery Salvage Yard.

What we are looking at here, sir, there are blue-colored buildings down here, is the main business or main shop. There are also some other storage locations. This would be private residences located here.

- Q Were you also asked to do a two-dimensional view of where Theresa Halbach's vehicle was located?
- Yes. The scene we were just at, was at the northeastern corner. We are now going to go down, where you see a yellow box, to the southeastern corner of the property. The very first night of forensic mapping, the RAV-4 was there. Therefore, we did, forensically, map its location, and a two-dimensional diagram was generated, and we can zoom in on that area on the two-dimensional diagram, to see that location.
- Q Then on the top of page 11 now, the screen that the jury is viewing, why don't you tell us what we are looking at?
- A What we are looking at here are details of that southeastern corner of the property, and what we see

here is the RAV-4, that Mr. Kratz has asked me about, positioned here. Now, we did, actually, using the total station, locate pieces of wood or other debris that was leaning up against or on top of the vehicle. That is why you see, in this two-dimensional drawing, there are some items on that view of the car.

Q Were you asked to determine the location and the distance between the RAV-4 and a fixed object which is known as a "car crusher"?

A Yes. To assist with that, I will put the aerial photo back on, to, again, show that. The RAV-4 was located down there in this location, and the vehicle crusher is located where you now see the yellow square. If you look at the CAD drawing of this, we can see where the vehicle crusher is related to the position of the RAV-4. Now, if I take a direct, "as the crow flies", measurement, if you will, from the RAV-4 straight across, and there is a pond area here, but straight across to the vehicle crusher is about 380 feet away.

Again, for the jury, when you say about 380 feet away, you can actually tell them within a half inch how far away that is, can't you?

A If you were to ask me, Mr. Kratz, specific

locations, say this spot on the RAV-4 to this spot on the crusher, we could get very accurate. I rounded this off to the nearest foot, just because I'm taking an approximate position on the RAV-4 to an arbitrary position on the vehicle crusher.

- Q Were you also asked to do two-dimensional views of the area closer to Steven Avery's residence itself?
- Yes, sir. Mr. Kratz, if we move from the vehicle crusher here to the northwestern area of the salvage yard, there are two private residences in that area, one belonging to Mr. Avery, and we can, again, on the two-dimensional drawings, zoom in, if you will, on this location to view a scaled scenic representation of that area.
- Q On the top of page 14 then is our first two-dimensional view. Why don't you show us what you are looking at?
- A Again, the northwestern portion of that property, what we are seeing here is a private residence.

 This would be Mr. Avery's residence. There is a garage next to it, and a little further east is another private residence and garage.
- Q I think you may have testified, just in passing, but so the jury understands, were you personally

involved in taking all of these measurements?

A Yes, sir.

- Q How many measurements did you take?
- A For the Avery salvage property, there are over 4100 measurements that were documented.
- Q How long were you there? How many days?
- A It would be November fifth through the twelfth, about a week. This slide, Mr. Kratz, shows that two-dimensional CAD drawing of the Avery salvage yard, and I have highlighted or labeled, if you will, these areas that we just saw details of, the location of the RAV-4 in the southeastern corner, the vehicle crusher, the business office we saw first in the northeastern corner, and in the northwest, this is Mr. Avery's residence.
- Q Were you asked to determine some distances for us?
- A Yes, I was asked to determine some general distances. Again, as we saw earlier, these are point-to-point measurements. They do not account for the fact that we could not really walk from the business office to the RAV-4. There are some obstructions in here. But if you took a direct measurement, you would see it's about almost 1100 feet from the RAV-4 to the business office.

- I will just move forward a couple of slides, because the last slide, the slide on the bottom of page 16, shows all of those measurements. don't you go head, Mr. Austin, and tell us what all of those measurements are?
- Yes, sir. The other measurements I added on here, Α like the distance from the RAV-4 to Mr. Avery's residence, again straight across the property, is approximately 1480 feet. The distance back from Mr. Avery's residence to the business office is about 1100 feet.

For the final measurement, what I did is take the "driving distance", if you would, if we were to go from Mr. Avery's residence, drive around, and come around from the eastern portion of the property, down to the RAV-4 location, would be about 2600 feet of distance.

I have to add, Mr. Kratz, this doesn't account for changes in "grade". In other words, the Avery property is not just a flat surface. are some elevations in there. That doesn't account for traveling down a hill, or traveling up a hill. So, the actual distance might be slightly greater than 2600 feet.

How far is that in miles? Q

24

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A Well, a mile is 5,280 feet, so this would be close to a half mile.
- Now, these two-dimensional views, the charts or the diagrams, if you will, have been used in trials. But are you able, with this software, are you able, with your training and experience, to convert these measurements, and to convert these two-dimensional images into more three-dimensional scenes or models?
- Yes. As I mentioned before, the total station actually records measurements in 3-D space, that is not just our flat X and Y axis, but also a Z axis, up and down, so we can come up with the elevations, or the height of specific objects, which was done in this case using a different software package.
- Q Let's talk about that software.
- The software package utilized is known as Forensic 3-D. It's a computer-aided drawing program. It's powered by a CAD engine called Rhinoceros. It's typically used in the marine industry, and the jewelry-design industry.

What this does is allows a user to actually draw in 3-D space. With the three-dimensional drawings, it allows us to better understand, as I wrote up here, the spacial and geometrical

relationships between objects. In other words, we are not just looking straight down, and it's something where we can examine another vantage point or perspective.

- Q So the jury understands, eventually where we are going, I know I'm fast-forwarding, and we will get there, but will this allow us to go through an animation, to actually do a tour or walk-through of various locations?
- A Yes. As we work our way through enough of the slides I have here, we will eventually get to using the software to create an animation or walk-through, if you will, of the physical scene.
- Q All right. Let's talk about assigning texture maps?
- A With the software package, the user utilizes a process called texture mapping to create a drawing like the one you see here. What that means is, if I create a three-dimensional model from this software, I can apply a texture to that, to make it more realistic looking, if you will.

In this case, for example, what was done is a photograph was taken of the siding of Mr. Avery's residence. Using that photograph of the siding, it was pasted onto a three-dimensional model, so that

actual siding is what you see in this model that was rendered.

Now, when we look at things such as grass or wood, that was taken from a personal library that I had, if you will. That way, I can make something look similar to grass or look similar to wood. But there are certain aspects of this property that the texture mapping was done by using the actual photographs that we had of the siding of the residence, the siding of the garage, the floor in the bathroom, and the floor in the bedroom.

- Q All right. What areas were you asked to do three-dimensional modeling in?
- A Although the entire property, again, was forensically mapped, the three-dimensional modeling was done in the northwestern area, as that area is the area of the two residences that we have discussed earlier.
- Q The first scene model is from the bottom of page 19. Why don't you walk us through this briefly?
- A What we are looking at here is an overview of the entire area that was done in three dimensions. For what we are seeing here, north would be to the bottom of the image. In other words, we are looking

22.

to the south, and on the right-hand side we see Mr. Avery's residence. We see the garage next to that, and we have to talk about these other residences too, private residences here, and then the garage and the vehicles and the other items that were in place when the forensic mapping was completed.

- Q You talked about Mr. Avery's residence, and I think you also mentioned this residence. Do you know what that is?
- This residence that we are seeing on the left side of the screen, which would be to the east, is the residence of, I believe, Barb Janda, and Mr. Dassey. Here we have that previous slide that we showed, with a box around that, and next we have essentially zoomed in, if you will, or moved closer, to get a close-up view of that Janda-Dassey residence.
- Q Is this view the front of that residence?
- A Yes. This would be the front of that residence.

 Again, we are at "north", looking south. What is nice about using the forensic or three-dimensional models here, we can actually take that and go around to view the back side. We are not limited just to certain views of this location.
- Let me ask you, Trooper Austin, the advantage, recognizing it's not a photograph, but is the

advantage of incident scene mapping that it does allow perspective, or views, that perhaps the naked eye can't see?

Yes. The first part of the question is very important. These are not photographs. These are again, scene models that were created and compiled based on the measurements taken at the scene. But where they are advantageous, we can change the views and change the perspective.

This one, for example, I don't know how high up the camera is in the air, probably somewhere around 50 to 75 feet. But, obviously, it's not a view that I could take or obtain from being out there at the scene.

- Q What are we looking at now?
- As I stated before, with these models, we can actually change our location to gain a different perspective. In this case, we moved behind the Janda-Dassey residence just to get a good look at the back side of that house, to see the geometric relationships of objects there.
- We are talking about the Janda property. Were you also asked to do a scene model for the Avery property, and what is called the curtilage, the area around it, as well?

A Yes. Just as we did with the Janda property, we can do the same thing with the Mr. Avery's residence, over on the west side. What you are seeing here is another view of the larger model, where we are zooming in on Mr. Avery's residence. Just as we did previously, we can move around to the back side, to view the spacial relationships there. So, again, the advantage is that we can take any particular view or perspective we want, to look at it, and see that, using the three-dimensional models.

- Now, these are exterior views, that is, on the outside of the property. Were you asked to, in effect, do the same process inside of the buildings?
- A Yes, that is correct. Exterior models were created of Mr. Avery's residence and the garage next to it. It's the same process that I did for the exteriors. Most of the measurements that we talked about were taken inside the residence. What I can do with this software is actually go in closer on that residence, fade in or out, or remove the roof, so that we can see all of the various rooms inside the residence. Again, it's a view that we could not have through normal photographs of the buildings.
- Q At the bottom of page 24, the first interior scene

model, why don't you tell us what we are looking at?

- A This particular model shows Mr. Avery's residence, and, again, what we have done here, the roof was hidden, which allows us to gain a perspective of how the house is laid out, where these various rooms are in relationship to each other, and where some of the main pieces of furniture that were measured are located.
- Q Are you able, and can you, in fact, take specific rooms and give us better views of a particular room?
- A Yes. Actually all of the rooms to the one side I had originally created images showing the interiors of the rooms. For example, in this case, we can look at the back bedroom, which you can see outlined here in yellow. We can zoom in closer from another angle to gain a perspective of how that particular room is laid out.
- What I'm showing you is the bottom of page 25.

 Is that the layout, or more details of the images of Mr. Avery's master bedroom, or what you called the back bedroom?
- A Yes. However, I wouldn't say "more details" because it's the same model that we saw before. All we have

done is changed our perspective, moved a little
closer, to see the objects that were placed in the
room. It's not necessarily any more detailed. It's
just that we have moved to a new perspective. We
can now see the spacial relationships of the objects

in that location.

12.

- You said you were asked to do the same interior modeling with the garage? Can you tell us about that?
- Yes, sir. The exact same process was utilized with the garage. However, these measurements here were also documented using that total station, and when this was mapped, we picked out the main or the larger items that were in the garage to place them into the model, and what you are seeing here is an overview of the garage with the roof removed, so we can see what is in that garage.
- On March first and second of 2006, additional information was brought to your attention, and the prosecution then, that is, myself, Mr. Gahn, and Mr. Fallon, asked you to include or create some additional images; is that correct?
- A Yes, that is correct, Mr. Kratz. Additional measurements were recorded by other law enforcement officers in March of 2006, and I was asked to

include two of those evidentiary items in the scale model, and those items were denoted by what is described as being "evidence markers".

So, to help show those locations, using their measurements, I placed the evidence markers in the model, so that we can see where the items were positioned.

- Now, so that the jury understands the later use of these in the coming weeks, this image we are looking at, from the bottom of page 27, although not a photograph, does provide, again, a perspective that the naked eye cannot see; is that correct?
- That's correct, Mr. Kratz, because, if you recall, a few slides ago, as you showed what was in the garage, there was a Suzuki Samurai in this position, and in the middle there is a snowmobile in this particular view. That Suzuki Samurai and the snowmobile were removed. That was done by me with the intention of being better able to view the locations of these items of evidentiary value.

In addition, we see one marked as 23A.

Previous to this slide, there was an air compressor over that particular location. To help to be able to see that location better, I hid or "turned off",

if you will, that air compressor, so you don't see it in this particular view.

- Now, you have made a point before to distinguish between these models and photography. Your next slide attempts to describe that distinction a little bit further. Why don't you go ahead and do that?
- Yes. Again, as in the previous question from Mr. Kratz, he asked me if these were photographs. They are not photographs. They should not be taken as being photographs. What they are, are three-dimensional models.

All that a photograph is going to show you is the scene as it was observed when the picture was taken. In the models, what you are seeing is a scaled geometric perspective, where we can remove the roof. We can hide the air compressor, or gain different views to better see particular items.

- All right. The next area I would like you to discuss is an area behind the garage of Mr.

 Avery's. Were you asked to provide some modeling of that?
- A Yes. As we did with the two houses, we can take a particular area. In this case, you see, again, a yellow box showing an area behind the garage. We

can, essentially, zoom in, or change our perspective 1 to see what some of the items are behind that 2 garage. Again, this is an image, which is at the bottom of 4 page 29. Do those include observations not only 5 that you made, but measurements that you were involved in taking sometime between the fifth and the twelfth of November? 8 This particular slide shows some items behind 9 Mr. Avery's garage, that were based on measurements 10 that were recorded by me or other observations. 11 Now, you said we were able to kind of shift 12 things around to get different perspectives, and 13 the top of page 30 and 31 are examples of that; is 14 that correct? 15 Α What we have done is essentially stayed in the 16 same area, but we have shifted our "camera", if you 17 will, or our position, so you can gain another 18 perspective, or another view of the items located 19 behind the garage. 20 Were you asked, Mr. Austin, to provide the jury Q 21 with some perspective between the areas behind Mr. 22 Avery's garage and some of the back yard areas of 23 the Janda property? 24

Yes, I actually moved over the location from that

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

area about behind the garage to this area behind the Janda-Dassey residence. Again, it was the same thing we have seen before. We can shift our perspective, and our view, to show this location behind that particular residence. This view here is one that is an elevated view, looking to the -- This would be the northwest, and we see not just the area behind the Janda-Dassey property, but also Mr. Avery's residence, further into the image.

- Once again, were you asked to create the same separate angle, if you will, or separate perspective about what would be the north of Mr. Avery's property?
- If I move our focal point, if you will, to Α this particular location, that is being shown on this screen, we can gain yet another perspective showing the northern areas, if you will, the areas in front of Mr. Avery's residence.
- Can you show us -- This is the top of page 33. What are we looking at here?
- What you are looking at is the view looking from the Α north to the southwest, and we see Mr. Avery's residence here, and the garage that we looked at previously. Up, from the main portion of the image, we are seeing a Dodge Caravan, and there was also a

burn barrel positioned over here.

12.

Q Finally, Trooper Austin, were you asked to provide some additional measurements in this quadrant, or this area of the property?

Yes. I was asked to provide some measurements to gain an understanding of the distances here. What you are seeing in this view is that three-dimensional model, but we are looking straight down on it. Again, the original view is very similar to the two-dimensional drawings we saw previously.

But this helps us to better show the measurements, and the measurements that we have in here also is the distance from the main entrance of Mr. Avery's residence to the burn barrel. That is approximately 106 feet, and the measurements taken from the burn area behind the garage to some burn barrels behind the Janda-Dassey residence were a distance of about 236 feet.

- It's your understanding, or at least your directions came from the prosecution, to explain or to indicate those measurements for the jury on this diagram; is that correct?
- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q The last area of inquiry, Mr. Austin, has to do

with skeletal models. Although they are clearly 1 not within your area of expertise, and you will not be testifying about these models, would you tell the jury at least what you were asked to do and how this may come into play later in this

trial?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I was asked to assist the forensic anthropologist, Α Dr. Leslie Eisenberg, in the creation of models of a human skeleton, to help identify the location of various bones. Now, to accomplish this, I met with Dr. Eisenberg, and worked with her, directly under her supervision, to create the models as to these bone locations.

The initial skeletal model I obtained from the FBI in Quantico, Virginia. I took that model's texture, and as I said before, with the property, I made it look more of a bony texture, if you will, and under Dr. Eisenberg's supervision, again, I created various models showing that human skeleton, and I saw some of the bones on it.

(Plaintiff's Exhibits 91 through 116 marked for identification.)

Trooper Austin, as I have asked you before, and Q with the witnesses that will come after you, that are going to be using your animation, or, excuse

25

me, your skeletal and scene models, have you been 1 asked to create 4 by 6 prints of the models? 2 Yes, I was asked to create that for most of the 3 images that we saw on the power point, to create 4 4 by 6 prints of these renditions; yes. 5 The first image that I have for you is, I think, Q 6 Exhibit 91; is that correct? 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 And it goes through image, or, excuse me, Exhibit 9 116? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 Exhibit 91, if you can just take a moment, 12 through Exhibit 116, are those the scene model 13 images, both being included in your power point, 14 and that you created as part of this total station 15 project? 16 Yes, sir, with the exception of, there are several 17 aerial photographs that were utilized in my initial 18 report that are shown here. Those are not scene 19 They are photographs taken from aircraft. models. 20 Those are actually identical to some that were Q 21 already received from other law enforcement? Is 22 that your understanding? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 MR. KRATZ: I would move admission of 25

Exhibits 91 through 116.

MR. STRANG: No objection.

THE COURT: Those exhibits are admitted.

BY MR. KRATZ:

- Q Then, Trooper Austin, we are going to move on to your animation. That is the culmination of all of these scene models. Tell the jury please how this animation was created?
- A The intention here was to create an overview or a walk-through of the scene from the models that we saw previously. Now, to create motion, what we have is a series of these images. In fact, it shows 30 of them per second to show or to make it appear as if we're moving through that scene. To create the animation, that I believe we're about to see, over 5,250 pictures were brought together at 30 per second to move us through the location in question. I saved these and made a DVD, which was turned over to Mr. Kratz.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 117 marked for identification.)

What we are going to show then, Trooper Austin, is Exhibit Number 117, which I believe is the animation that you are referring to. I would ask that during the presentation of this animation

that you not interrupt, that you remain silent, and I will ask you just a few follow-up questions after that?

A I understand.

MR. KRATZ: If I may, Judge, the only thing left in my direct examination was the playing of the animation.

(The animation CD was attempted to be played, but the equipment did not work properly, and the CD was not able to be shown.)

MR. KRATZ: It appears that it won't play on this laptop. With my apologies to Mr. Strang, if he could begin his cross examination. Then if I could play it at the end, I would appreciate that.

Otherwise, I have no further questions of this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, are you in agreement with that procedure?

MR. BUTING: Why are we playing the animation at all?

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, is that something that the State intends to use later in the trial? So, you need some foundation, to get it accepted?

MR. KRATZ: This is actually, Judge, to assist the jury in understanding the relationship of

these objects. I did intend to play it now. If you want to take just a couple of minutes for a break, we can certainly have that set up, and I can play it now.

MR. STRANG: I don't mind starting my cross. I think we will see the animation later. We have had the foundation for it. I don't know that we need to play it now. I can begin my cross.

THE COURT: Very well.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. STRANG:

- Q Good afternoon.
- A Good afternoon, sir.
- Q I will turn my "mike" on. How is that? All right. So, on November 5 you get to the Avery Salvage Yard; right?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And one of the first orders of business is to map the area around the Toyota RAV-4?
- A Yes. The first place we mapped was around the RAV-4.
- Q All right. That involved taking the little prism, and going up, and putting that on or over certain objects around the Toyota?
- A Yes, sir. Yes, that's correct.
- Q Did it also involve putting the little prism,

sometimes sticking it in a certain area on the 1 Toyota, or over it directly? 2 Over it directly. Not directly on that particular Α 3 item. Okay. And then somebody else stood somewhere else 5 with the "total station", as you call it, and it 6 shined the little laser and bounced it back to the prism; is that correct? Yes. 9 And so this was a two-person process? 10 Yes, it is a two-man operation. 11 And you took a number of measurements around the 12 Toyota? 13 Α Yes. 14 And objects around it? 15 Α Yes. 16 Then a number of measurements of the Toyota 17 itself? 18 The Toyota itself, we would have had four Α 19 measurements. 20 Just the four corners? Q 21 No, when we map the location of vehicles, we utilize Α 22 the position of the wheels. We can get data showing 23 us later the exact size of a particular vehicle, for 24 axle 1 and axle 2. 25

Okay. Then what you do is you later give the Q 1 computer some information about the model of the 2 vehicle? 3 No, I will look up that elsewhere, to get the Α 4 dimensions. I will use the dimensions to draw the 5 vehicle. I don't tell the computer, "It's this 6 particular vehicle", and it draws it. I have to do 7 a little research to get some information about it. 8 Then the computer fills in a "Toyota", in effect? 9 Either I draw that, or I use an existing Toyota, and 10 specify which items to fill in. There is a lot more 11 manual work than there is that the computer does by 12 itself. 13 All right. The point is, what you are doing here 14 is, you have already said this, but let's explore 15 it a little bit. There is no camera involved 16 in any of this; correct? 17 Α No. 18 Not an old-fashioned camera, or a digital camera? Q 19 Α No camera. 20 There are a couple of prisms and a laser? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 And you have a computer that calculates angles and Q 23 distances? 24 The total station does that. 25

1	Ç	Then at your desk top, you probably have a laptop
2		computer, something sort of like this; right?
3	A	Yes, I use a computer.
4	Q	And you can open various files, and the computer
5		allows you to add a color?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And a texture?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And to pick standard sorts of objects that might
10		be included?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Like a tree?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Your computer even has a little file you can open
15		up and pick the type of tree you want?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	Whether it has leaves, or does not have leaves?
18	A	I can pick the global season, yes.
19	Q	You can turn the sun on?
20	A	That's correct, I can.
21	Q	You can turn the sun off?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	If you want to put the sun in the northern sky, on
24		November 5, or the northern hemisphere, you can do
25		that?
۷.	!	

- - A Yes.

12.

- Q You could have the sun rise in the west, if that was your choice on a given day; is that correct?
 - A If it was my intention to change science, yes, I could move the sun.
 - Q Okay. So, what we have got here is a model; right?
- A Yes, a three-dimensional model, yes.
- Actually, it's a two-dimensional model; right, that looks three-dimensional, by the use of perspective? Everything I saw was projected on this surface? Am I right?
- A Well, the screen, yes, is two-dimensional.
- Q Right. The model itself looks three-dimensional, by the use of perspective?
- A Well, it's not a physical model, like the cups here. I can't bring it in to you. It's a computer model, but I'm drawing it in 3-D space, and assigning it to an X and Y axis, but I don't have a physical axis to give you.
- Q In that sense, it's not three-dimensional? It's two-dimensional, but by using the vanishing point

and the principles of perspective, we can give it 1 the illusion of three-dimensional space on a flat 2 screen? 3 It's difficult for me to follow you. We do our work 4 in 3-D, but you could say the same is true of 5 photography, that photography is two-dimensional. 6 Q Sure. If that is what you are saying, I will agree with Α 8 you. 9 When you say you do your work in three-dimensions, Q 10 you are not actually building a model, in any 11 I mean that you didn't use something like 12 this box for Barb Janda's trailer, I assume? 13 No, it's not a physical stick-built model, if you Α 14 will, or plastic model. It's a virtual computer 15 environment. 16 Okay. Got it. Now, we are in the virtual Q 17 environment. Let's go back to what you and I both 18 agree is two-dimensional space. Okay? We will go 19 to what you call your orthogonal views. Got me? 20 Sure. Orthogonal. Α 21 "Looking straight down", in other words? 22 Α Yes. 23 Orthogonal is a fancy, \$64 word, for looking Q 24 straight down at something? 25

Α Yes. 1 Now, you told us early on, and actually 2 showed us a pretty slide of the areas that you did 3 some orthogonal mapping, forensic mapping of. 4 First, we had the Avery Salvage Property; right? 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. And then we had -- Let's do it this way. Q 7 I'm just going to take the piece of paper from 8 your report. I'm going to put it on this "gizmo". 9 Then I will "zoom it". (Using viewing machine, 10 showing document on screen.) Okay. Now, that is 11 hard to see, but down at the bottom, do you see 12. that area, "hunting camp"? 13 Α Yes. 14 That was an area you said you also mapped? 15 Α Yes. 16 So, there you could give us an overhead view? Q 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 Okay. Now, there were some other areas that you 19 also were asked to map back on November fifth 20 through November 12, 2005? Some other areas off 21 the Avery property; true? 22 There were specific evidentiary spots that were Α 23 mapped, using a completely different instrument, and

24

25

not by me, of those particular things that may have

been of evidentiary value in surrounding quarries. 1 Those were not done by me, but I have knowledge of that. 3 That is -- These maps were -- Mapping coordinates Q were provided to you; correct? 5 I do have GPS coordinates for other items, yes, I 6 do. 7 All right. Q Let's back up. Probably half of 8 our jury knows what "GPS" is. The other half 9 doesn't. That is "Global Positioning Satellite"? 10 That's correct. The other instrument uses a total Α 11 station also, but that also utilizes a GPS, global 12 positioning satellite system. Those were specific, 13 I believe, 11 or 12 points out in the quarries 14 identified by the search teams. 15 And someone else did that mapping, I understand, Q 16 using a different technology? 17 Α Yes, sir. That is correct. 18 Using actually satellites that have Q 19 geo-syncronized orbits; is that correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 To use a fancy term, that allows anybody who gets 22 access to the system to know where you are, by 23 degrees of latitude and longitude, down to a 24 matter of seconds anywhere on the globe? 25

- A Yes, that's correct, sir.
- Q All right. And the purpose of taking these GPS measurements was to provide them to you for your forensic mapping project?
- A Yes. Should they become necessary later for mapping, we had it available to us, if such was needed.
- Q I will show you figure 50 from your report. Okay. I will put that up here. But first I will just ask you: That is your figure 50?
- A Yes.

- Q That is a page of your report, labeled figure 50? You have seen it before?
- A Yes.
- Q Indeed, you made it; is that correct?
- A Yes, sir, I did.
- Q All right. Now, that is up on the little gizmo called an "Elmo". Tell us what you see. Tell us what you have in your figure 50?
- This is a map, I believe from Microsoft Streets, or maybe from Delorme Atlas. I would have to see what program I used. It shows the roads in the vicinity of the Avery property. What I have done here, I indicated with a red box the approximate location of the Avery Salvage Yard, and the approximate location

of Steven Avery's residence. There is also a 1 reference to GPS coordinates, which I believe is for 2 the surrounding quarry. 3 Q Okay. And you put in a little red flag? Α What I did, in this mapping program, I gave 5 it the GPS coordinates, and it gave me a little red 6 flag, that is seen on the screen in that particular 7 location. Then, using Microsoft Word, I generated 8 my report. I labeled that flag as being those 9 particular GPS coordinates. 10 Okay. You gave the jury a little lesson before Q 11 about degrees, minutes, and seconds. If I read 12 the coordinates that you have given us here, or 13 not given by you, but which were included here, we 14 have longitudinal 44 degrees north; right? 15 Α Yes. 16 In the northern hemisphere; right? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 And 14 minutes right? Q 19 Α Yes. 20 And 51 seconds? Q 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 And a latitude of 87 degrees west? Q 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 West of the Greenwich Mean line? 25

That is my understanding, sir. Α 1 41 minutes and 51 seconds? 0 2 Yes, sir. Α 3 Okay. And you got only one of these areas, but Q 4 did I understand you, that you actually were 5 provided 11 or 12 specific GPS sites, GPS 6 coordinates outside of the Avery Salvage Yard 7 property? 8 This one that you are showing is not one of the ones 9 that was provided to me by the GPS mapping team. 10 This is one that was provided to me when I was 11 working with Dr. Eisenberg. So I don't want any 12 confusion, or to imply or suggest to you this is 13 from the team that used the GPS total station. 14 Q Thank you. I didn't understand that. 15 don't want any confusion either. So this is one 16 you got from or with Dr. Eisenberg? 17 Α Yes. 18 But there were 11 or 12 other GPS coordinates? Q 19 From that other team, yes. When the search teams Α 20 located things they thought could be "something", 21 those were indicated to me. 22 Something of interest? Q 23 Yes. Α 24 Okay. Do you have any way of knowing, as you sit Q 25

here today, what the distance is from the red flag 1 site, or the site represented by the red flag 2 here, to either the salvage yard or Mr. Avery's 3 residence? I do not. But if you would like me to, I can Α 5 certainly figure it out for you. 6 I will bet you can. (Laughing) If anything, I bet Q 7 you can. Is that a lot of trouble? 8 If you want to bring me back later, I can Α 9 certainly give you that number. 10 I don't know if I will or not. But do you have a Q 11 sense at all, as of now, just ball-parking? 12 Α No, I don't. I wouldn't feel comfortable. 13 Okay. Fine. North is "up" in this figure? 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 Q So, the flag looks like it is southwest, 16 essentially, of the Avery Salvage property? 17 Α Yes. 18 Now, let's go back to what we are calling the 0 19 three-dimensional or perspective images that give 20 us the illusion of depth and height and width. 21 The virtual environment of three dimensions Okay. 22 on those, you couldn't possibly map with your 23 total station and its prism stick, every little 24 item that a photograph might pick up; correct? 25

A No, sir.

Q It's just a matter -- I guess you could, possibly, but there it becomes an issue of time and manpower resources; right?

- A Yes, I agree.
- Q And how did the decision get made on what things to map and what not to map?
- I can give you a particular location, in reference to the garage, for example, I really didn't have guidance. With the garage, I picked, or at least I wrote in my report, a page of the larger items that were there.

In terms of the interior of the house, I was told "main pieces of furniture", bed, dresser, desk, that type of thing.

- And once you have mapped them, things can be taken out, so to speak, just "pheeew" (sound effect noise) out of the computer model?
- A Yes. They can be hidden, or as I said, "turned off", so we no longer see it in that model.
- Q You gave one example of the air compressor in the garage. Do you remember that?
- A Yes. The thing is, Mr. Strang, there are layers over objects, separate layers. We can, essentially, turn off one layer, so we don't see it in our model.

That was done in the garage with the air compressor, 1 the Suzuki Samurai, and the snowmobile. 2 "Now it's here. Now it's not." That is a Q Okay. 3 matter of a few strokes or clicks of a mouse --4 Α Yes. 5 -- for you? I think I have it. Who gives you directions as to what actual items that were 7 present should be included in the model and what 8 are not? 9 Guidance was given from the lead team of 10 investigators for the prosecution. 11 They wanted the compressor out, so you took it Q 12 out? 13 Α Yes. 14 They wanted the Samurai in, so you left the 15 Samurai in? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. Now, I will show you a photograph. I don't Q 18 think that we have seen this before. I will ask 19 if you recognize it? 20 It's very possible that I may have taken this Α 21 photograph. This appears to be the southeastern 22 area of the salvage yard, the location where the 23 RAV-4 was located. It appears that is either in the 24 process of covering or uncovering it, with the large 25

blue tarp. 1 Very good. Whether you took it or not, you Q 2 recognize the photograph? 3 Α Yes. 4 There is a good old-fashioned photograph, this 5 photo? 6 Α Yes. MR. STRANG: I will mark this as an exhibit. 8 (Exhibit 118 marked for identification) 9 BY MR. STRANG: 10 It's now Exhibit 118. I would like to let the Q 11 jury see it. Okay. That is the tarp over the 12 Toyota RAV-4? 13 Yes, that is my recollection that night, that the Α 14 vehicle was covered. 15 So, what we are seeing under the blue tarp is the Q 16 car right where it was when you arrived on 17 November 5? 18 Α Yes. 19 And are we looking, generally, "toward the car 20 crusher", or "from the car crusher"? 21 In this position, sir, we are looking to the west. Α 22 The car crusher would be off to our right and 23 perhaps slightly behind us to the northeast. 24 Okay. So the car crusher is back off this way? Q 25

If we are standing here, snapping the picture, 1 back off behind us? 2 No. It would be more to our right. If we are Α 3 looking straight at that photograph, as we're 4 looking straight to the west, it's not far to the 5 right, just slightly behind. That is the direction 6 the crusher would be. 7 All right. What we would see is, there is a whole Q lot of trees, it looks like, and underbrush, and that kind of thing, that extends along this line 10 of cars? 11 Α 12

- Yes. There are some smaller, if you will, "trees", kind of along the other side of the pond. The pond would be off to our right also.
- Okay. We are up on a ridge, if you will, above Q the pond?
- The pond is pretty -- set down pretty low. Α So we're higher in elevation than the pond.
- There is actually sort of a berm here, behind to Q the left of the Toyota and that line of cars; is that correct?
- Yes. You can actually see that in the photograph Α there. I'm just pointing to the berm. Over on the opposite side of this begins some of the quarry type property.

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q To the south of the Avery property?

- A Yes. South would be to our left in this particular image.
- All right. So, when, for example, you mapped the area around the Toyota and the car crusher in the southeastern corner of the property, and created models of it, you simply omitted most or all of the trees that we see in the photographs of the area?
- A I, actually, perhaps -- I don't want to be a stickler on verbiage. I didn't create models. We have the two-dimensional orthogonal diagrams, but "models" usually refers to the three-dimensional model, for which we use the prism of the total station to essentially trace the exterior of the tree line. So each individual tree was not collected. But we do see on the two-dimensional view just what that width would be, as far as we can go to the trees.
- Q Okay. I think you essentially outlined the area where there would be trees?
- A Correct. Just to better explain that, if I may. If the walls on the sides of the courtroom were the trees, I would probably take a point in the back and a point in the front just to show that is the tree

line, as opposed to every individual tree.

- And where you did that, let's see. We are looking at one of your orthogonal views of the rear area we have been discussing?
- A Yes.

- Q All right. What you have shown us is some representation of the trees to the south?
- Yes. That is the best way to describe the squiggly line that you just referred to. That would have been taken along that south tree line that you are referring to now. If you look just north of that, there is another squiggly line that is tougher to see.
- Q This image right here that I'm referring to?
- A Right. That would be the edge of the other trees there.
- Q It's very faint, but you are saying you provided some line indicating there were trees along there?
- Yes. It might be better if I had the color version of this. The ground, if you will, I believe is two separate colors here, which helps us to observe that difference. But that is how the mapping was done also on the eastern side here, along the tree line. That is another tall berm there.
- Q But if one were standing on the crusher in the

spot where the arrow comes down, you would get the 1 impression, from this orthogonal diagram, you may be able to see straight to at least the car that 3 seems to intersect the line of sight in front of 4 the Toyota. This would look like a line of sight 5 on your drawing; correct? 6 That was not my intention at all, Mr. Strang. Α just wanted to show the distance from the RAV-4 to 8 the crusher. 9 Absolutely. I understand that was not your Q 10 intention. I understand. In fact, my point 11 This would not represent the line of sight; 12 correct? 13 Α 14 15

A No. I don't -- I would have to go back and look at the other photographs to see how thick the tree line is. I don't want to infer that I could see the RAV-4 from the crusher, which is 380 feet. There are smaller trees that you would have to look through. I just don't know.

- Q So, there is no way to know, because, really, other than showing a squiggly line, you left out the trees and underbrush and anything else in the way?
- A That's correct, I did.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Was this the only car, if you will, on the

north side of that line, or near the north tree line?

Based on looking at the diagram, it appears that it was. I would like to go back and review the photographs, but I don't recall leaving out any vehicles on here that were, specifically, mapped.

Just to point out these areas over here, these are all of the vehicles over here. Obviously, we didn't map any of those. We just traced around that row of cars.

But down in this area, I believe I mapped out the location of all of the vehicles here, except where you see where we have this pattern again.

- Q Blank spots, so to speak?
- A Yeah. They appear to be blank here.
- Again, I'm not a witness. I don't have a perfect memory. I am not just saying I think you left any cars out. I don't think you did either. But I just wondered if you had a recollection, if that was, in fact the only car on the north side of that little lane?
- A I can't -- Just looking at the drawing, I would say it was the only car right there.
- Q Okay.
- A I would feel more comfortable referring to some of

the photographs, but I believe that is it. 1 Mr. Buting just indicated there appears to be a Q 2 second car, kind of hidden by the label of the 3 RAV-4? 4 Α Yes, there is. 5 Okay. All right. If we were going to get a Q 6 real line of sight, we would really want to use 7 photographs, not something that you and your 8 computer generated? 9 If we wanted to look at this and say: Could you see 10 the RAV-4 from the crusher? No, this, right now, in 11 this form, is probably not the best medium to use. 12 If you wanted to see: What is the distance? 13 is why I had it here. 14 As the crow flies? Q 15 Α Right. 16 I will not pester Mr. Kratz to go back to the power Q 17 point presentation, but I will just use my black 18 and white copy of the sheet that you folks 19 provided me. 20 What page are you on, sir? Α 21 27, at the bottom. Q 22 THE COURT: Mr. Strang, just for break 23 purposes, do you know how much time you have left? 24 MR. STRANG: Five minutes, something like 25

that. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, what about the State? MR. KRATZ: My presentation is about a 3 minute and a half, Judge. 4 THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, 5 after we are done with this portion of the 6 proceedings today, we are going to let you go early. 7 That why I kept it going. But if you want a break 8 now, you can have a break now. 9 THE JURY: No. 10 THE COURT: I see heads nodding "No", so we 11 will plunge ahead. All right. Mr. Strang? 12 BY MR. STRANG: 13 All right. Our jury has already seen a slide of Q 14 this, that garage, sort of; right? 15 Yes. This is my model of the garage. Α 16 Q And what you have done for us is help us make 17 believe that the garage had no roof? 18 I don't like the words "make believe", but, yes. Α 19 The roof was removed so we could see inside the 20 garage. 21 Then we can also see it as if we were hovering 20 Q 22 or 30 feet up, something like that, whatever this 23 is? 24 Α Yes.

Taller than any of us? Q 1 Α Yes. Okay. And this is a pretty clean looking 3 garage, the model; is that correct? 4 Α Yes. 5 You have got a view of a John Deere tractor? Q 6 А Yes, it is. And it's a vivid, electric green in color; is that Q correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 But if people look at the garage, the John Deere 11 tractor is there? If we look at an actual picture 12 of the garage, we see the John Deere tractor? 13 Α Yes. 14 With some other things; right? 15 Α Yes. 16 A whole lot of clutter? 17 Yes. A snowmobile is in there. There is a table 18 with the items in the back. I know we are seeing a 19 lot more clutter in the actual scene or the 20 photograph than we have seen in the model, but we 21 have two different purposes for this too. 22 Okay. Is this thing down here the seat, and then Q 23 the wind screen of one of the snowmobiles? 24 Α Yes.

Does the photograph show the garage as you Q 1 actually saw it the week of November 5 to November 2 12? 3 Yes, it does. 4 And how about this? Does that take us to the 5 other side of the garage, the east side of the 6 garage? Yes, that shows the east wall of the garage. Α 8 a snowmobile, some tables. There is a freezer back 9 over here too. That is how I recall the garage. 10 There is a snowmobile under there somewhere? Q 11 Yeah. Do you see this green stripe here? That is Α 12 the wind screen that you saw on the other one. 13 Okay. What is the gray thing on the right side of Q 14 the photo? 15 Are you referring to this here, sir? Α 16 Q Yes? 17 That is the Suzuki Samurai. Α 18 Q All right. That is the garage as it actually 19 looked to you November 5 through November 12? 20 Yes, that is how I recall the garage. Α 21 Let's look at the south wall of the garage, or 22 part of the south wall. That is how it looked to 23 you, November 5 through November 12? 24 Yes, it does. Again, I see a tool chest, the air Α 25

1		COmpressor to talk a
1		compressor we talked about before. There is a
2		weeder, with a waste basket. That definitely fits,
3		my recollection of the garage.
4	Q	So, if you use the laser pointer, the air
5		compressor is gone?
6	A	It's this green item down here near the center of
7		the photograph.
8	Q	That thing that you took out of the photo is the
9		little evidence tent that said "23-A"?
10	A	Yes, I did.
11	Q	Now, the photograph, actually, you can see pretty
12		well under the compressor in the photograph, can't
13		you?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	That is one of the few places in the garage that
16	ı	appears not to be cluttered?
17	А	With the exception we cannot see behind the wheel.
18		I can see some flooring under it from this view.
19		MR. STRANG: All right. I'm going to mark
20		the photographs that you have just shown us and then
21		I'm done.
22		(Exhibits 119 through 121 marked for
23		identification.)
		BY MR. STRANG:
24	Q	Okay. Real quick, just so the record is clear,
25		, Jass so the record is clear,

I'm doing them in the same order I just showed 1 them to you. Exhibit 119 has the John Deere 2 tractor in it; is that correct? Α Yes, it does. Exhibit 120 is the side of the garage with the 5 snowmobile that you pointed out and part of the gray Samurai? Α Yes. And Exhibit 121 is the south part, the south wall Q of the garage, with the air compressor? 10 Yes, sir. 11 MR. STRANG: Okay. I move the exhibits that 12 have been offered with Mr. Austin, Your Honor, and 13 that's all I have. 14 THE COURT: Any objection to the exhibits? 15 MR. KRATZ: No. 16 THE COURT: Very well. The exhibits are 17 admitted. Mr. Kratz? 18 (At which time Mr. Kratz made an attempt to 19 show the video presentation to the jury again, but 20 it was not successful.) 21 MR. KRATZ: Judge, we seem destined to not 22 have this work today. We will put it in through 23 another witness. I will have this animation marked, however, and if it is received without objection,

6

8

9

24

then I have no further questions of this witness.
Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang?

MR. STRANG: That's fine. We can mark it and I think it can be received for purposes of today.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court will order it received, but not admitted. Is that it, counsel?

MR. STRANG: Yes, I think, for now.

THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, that is all the jury work we have for you today. So I'm excusing you for today.

Before we do that, I will remind you that the Court has previously ordered that you do not watch the local news on television, or listen to the local news on the radio, or read the newspaper, unless you have someone first remove any articles related to the case from the newspaper. In addition to videos, internet web sites, or web logs, which includes any information about the case.

If you are involuntarily exposed to any information about the case from any source, please take steps to immediately avoid any further exposure.

With that, you are excused for today.

22.

(At which time the jury left the courtroom for the day. The following proceedings continued in the courtroom, outside of the presence of the jury, with the attorneys and the defendant being present.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. Counsel, is there anything to put on the record before we conclude today?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ STRANG: What exhibit number did you give the animation?

MR. KRATZ: The animation was Exhibit 117.

Judge, we did offer that, but the power point itself, although the hard copy was previously marked, I alerted the Court that I was going to ask that the actual disk be received. For purposes of the record, that is Exhibit 122, and I will offer that at this time.

THE COURT: Are there any exhibits, that either party may move admission for, that we should address at this time?

MR. KRATZ: I have moved all of them, Judge. I think they have been authenticated and identified.

MR. STRANG: I think we have addressed all of them. I know I moved Exhibits 118 through 121, and those were admitted. My notes suggest that Mr. Kratz has moved his exhibits, and the Court has

ruled on everything that he discussed or has shown today.

MR. FALLON: Can I ask the Clerk what Exhibit 90 was?

THE REPORTER: Is this on the record? I don't know who is speaking right now.

MR. KRATZ: That's Mr. Fallon.

THE REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.

THE CLERK: Mr. Austin's report is Exhibit 90, and the power point is Exhibit 122.

MR. FALLON: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, has the State moved admission of the animation disk?

MR. KRATZ: Yes.

THE COURT: I think that was viewed in the motion hearing before we started. Does the defense agree that can be admitted? That was the thing they didn't get to work today. But I believe it previously has been shown in court as part of a motion hearing.

MR. STRANG: Right. That is Exhibit 117. It was offered and received. I don't think that it was admitted yet, because nobody identified it or has seen it. Isn't that Exhibit 117?

MR. KRATZ: Yes.

THE COURT: I take it, authentication is not going to be a problem. So, it will be admitted when it is viewed in front of the jury.

MR. STRANG: Right.

THE COURT: All right. Very well. Anything else today? If not, I would like to see counsel for just a couple minutes in chambers before you leave.

(Proceedings concluded for the day.)

STATE OF WISCONSIN) SS MANITOWOC COUNTY I, Steven J. Platkowski, hereby certify that I reported in Stenograph the proceedings held before the Court on the 14th day of February, 2007, and that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct copy of the said Stenograph notes, and of the whole thereof. Dated at Chilton, Wisconsin, this seventh day of September, 2007.