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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon, Assistant District Attorney Norm Gahn, all appearing as Special Prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning, Steven Avery is here in person. He's represented by Jerome Buting of Buting and Williams, and Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton.

THE COURT: Very well. We had proceedings set today to begin at 8:30 for the purpose of administering jury questionnaires. Late last week there were a few motions filed which needed to be addressed before we begin the process of administering the jury questionnaires. Therefore, the Court set this matter for a hearing this morning.

The first item to take up is a motion that was filed by the defense to dismiss the sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment charges. Mr. Strang, I believe you
will be heard with respect to that motion.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you. In chambers, the Court had reached an understanding with counsel that the State, as I understand that, that agreement we reached in chambers, the State would announce it's intention to call Brendan Dassey in its case-in-chief, or not, by the close of business on January 22, 2007, a week ago.

When that day passed and the next, without word from the State, I concluded that silence had to be taken as an intention not to call young Mr. Dassey in the State's case-in-chief and considered, then, what to do about that.

This is something that has been close in mind for me since March 10, 2006, the filing of the Amended Information and the substantial disagreement that the parties had over the requirement, if any, that Wisconsin law imposed for the State to show, at least probable cause, for the first degree sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment charges that the Amended Information added, apparently by timing and by content of the Dassey Complaint, solely or almost solely on the strength of Brendan Dassey's
statements inculpating himself.
Now, with the benefit of about 10 months, since March 10, and a review of the discovery, it appears to me, at least, that absent Mr. Dassey's testimony in Steven Avery's trial, there is no evidence, none, to support the charges of first degree sexual assault and kidnapping.

And while it is, I concede, a somewhat closer call, I don't think the State can support the charge of false imprisonment either, which includes an essential element of confinement or restraint. And because coercion is another essential element of false imprisonment, necessarily, means confinement or restraint during someone's lifetime, in their consciousness, or at least during their lifetime.

I think the State's evidence of that, if not naught, is clearly insufficient to sustain any reasonable fact finder in concluding that one or both of those essential elements of false imprisonment could be proven here.

I, therefore, on January 24 , submitted our motion to dismiss the three counts that the Amended Information added. And, indeed, as I
understand the evidence the State has disclosed to the defense to date, as of March 10, 2006, not only did the State have no corroboration for the essential details of the first degree sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment charges filed by Amended Information, no corroboration beyond Brendan Dassey, the physical evidence that was known, or surely should have been known to the State, for months, on March 10, 2006, tended to disprove, conclusively, some of Brendan Dassey's allegations.

So when Brendan Dassey said that I got off that school bus, and as picking up the narrative that the special prosecutor regaled a live TV audience with, and went down to the Steven Avery trailer, and claimed that he heard screaming coming from inside, and that he knocked on the door and there was no answer for awhile, and eventually a sweaty Steven Avery came to the door, and there in the back bedroom, manacled to a bed, was Teresa Halbach.

When those claims were being made on
live television, the State knew that the school bus driver, who had dropped Brendan Dassey off that very time, and has no conceivable reason to
have lied, being a school bus driver, said that when she dropped him off, she saw Teresa Halbach, or a woman, out taking photographs of a van. I guess she wasn't manacled to a bed in Steven Avery's trailer if she was standing outside taking photographs as Brendan Dassey got off that bus.

And on March 10, when this Amended Information was filed, following eight and nine days after the successive live news conferences, the State had physical evidence, in its possession, making it impossible to believe that someone had been stabbed and slashed repeatedly on Steven Avery's bed. There was no blood in that bedroom.

And when Brendan Dassey said that we cut off some of her hair, or I did, at Mr. Avery's request, with a large knife, the State knew, or should have known, that not one strand of Teresa Halbach's hair was found anywhere in Steven Avery's trailer; indeed, not one detectable trace of Teresa Halbach's DNA, hair, blood, anything else, anywhere in his trailer. Not an iota of physical evidence to support the proposition that she was ever in the trailer, let alone raped
there, imprisoned falsely there, held there as the victim of a kidnapping. None.

Is there any other evidence in the massive discovery, that $I$ commend opposing counsel for providing to us, any other evidence at all, to support a claim of first degree sexual assault, if we set aside Brendan Dassey as a witness in this case? To my eyes, the answer is no. None. Zero.

In 20 minutes, we're going to have a jury panel brought in here and they will need to be told, if we are to understand sensibly their answers, and if they are to answer sensibly, a 12 or 15 page questionnaire, whatever it is. They will need to be told what charges are to be tried, what charges are we to hear, what allegations is the State making against the man accused.

And where there's no evidence,
evidently, I will stand corrected if there is, and I'm sure counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. But where in my line of sight there is no evidence to support a first degree sexual assault charge, or a kidnapping charge and insufficient evidence at best to support a false imprisonment
charge, this jury should not be told that those charges exist and, indeed, they ought be dismissed.

We can address, then, whether that's a sufficient remedy. I think it's not. I think some further action will have to be taken by this Court to counteract the affect of allegations made against one young man, Defendant $A$, in a separate case, that were imported, although inadmissible, imported in the public mind, to impugn the presumed innocence of Defendant $B$, in an entirely separate case.

But the issue, first, is dismissal and, then, what more would be required.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. After the State files an Information, the Defense has an opportunity, and in most instances an obligation, to bring a motion to dismiss. Mr. Strang did that on the 3rd of May, when he brought a motion to dismiss those three additional counts in the Information.

And this Court, after finding probable cause, believed that the six counts against Mr. Avery were supported by evidence that, if a jury was to hear, could be believed and could
sustain a conviction. Let me remind the Court, let me remind Mr . Strang, that it is the State's decision what charges go forward against a State defendant, not the defense attorney's and with all due respect, Judge, not the Court's.

The decision, however, on what to go to trial with and when that decision has to be made, is something that is ripe for discussion this morning. And although not a decision for the Court, and not a decision at the urging of the defense, this Court has to consider what factors the State must take into consideration when determining what charges go forward.

Necessarily in this case, and quite unfortunately in this case, we then have to talk about Brendan Dassey. I say, unfortunately, because under most circumstances, in fact, save this circumstance, I can't think of a time when it would be appropriate, in open court, on television, to discuss the status and the nature of plea negotiations in an ongoing case, in an ongoing criminal prosecution. I'm talking about Mr. Dassey's prosecution.

But Mr. Strang has made some of those things public. And in the Dassey case, some of
those things have been made public. So I'm going to limit my discussion of the plea negotiations in Dassey's case, to that which has already been public.

This Court already knows that in May of last year, 2006, a plea agreement had all been reached with Mr. Dassey, which included Mr. Dassey's deal, decision, agreement, to testify truthfully, in the Steven Avery case. When Mr. Strang so eloquently stands up and says the State has no proof, perhaps Mr. Strang forgets those times, or back to the time when Mr. Dassey had, in effect and in and through his lawyer, agreed to enter a plea and to testify against Mr. Avery.

It wasn't until Mr. Kachinsky, through a whole different story, was stripped of his ability to handle felony prosecutions by the State Public Defender's Office which, by the way, was almost instantaneously reinstated after Mr. Kachinsky agreed to get off of the Dassey case, that new lawyers were appointed in the case and plea negotiations began anew with Mr. Dassey.

This Court knows that those plea negotiations have been ongoing. And this Court
knows that the deadline for those plea negotiations was the 22 nd of January. I agree with Mr. Strang that that was the time when the State was to hear from Mr. Fremgen, who is Mr. Dassey's new lawyer, as to whether or not, first of all, Mr. Dassey was going to change his plea; and, secondly, and perhaps more importantly, that if called as a witness in the Avery case, what posture, what position, would Mr. Dassey take.

This Court knows, that on the 24 th of January, two days after the deadline, I received notification from Mr. Fremgen. I alerted the Court, I sent the Court and counsel a copy of Mr. Fremgen's letter setting forth what Mr. Dassey's position would be, if he was called.

Importantly, Judge, that letter indicates that Mr. Dassey, although if called in the Avery case would invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self incrimination, if granted use immunity by the Court, presumably upon a direction by the State, that Mr. Dassey would testify, would testify in the State's case-in-chief, would testify on rebuttal, or would testify when the State chooses to call him.

And, therefore, there is evidence as to the two counts that Mr. Strang claims, again, so fervently, that there's no evidence for, that Mr. Dassey would testify.

Now, that doesn't stop the analysis by the State. The three attorneys at this table have had long discussions about whether we should proceed with all six counts, or whether we should proceed with the four counts that don't require Brendan Dassey's testimony. That, again, Judge, is a decision solely within the province of the State, solely in the province of this table, as a matter of fact, as to whether or not we're going to proceed.

That decision doesn't have to be made by law until jeopardy attaches, until the jury is instructed by the Court as to what the charges are. Now, I understand that it is this Court's preference to tell the jury, today, what those charges are going to be, that within the context of the supplemental jury questionnaire to alert the jurors as to what those counts are going to be.

And so that puts us in a dilemma, if you will, in that the State wished to wait until

Friday, the 2nd, as we have told you in correspondence, as to whether or not we intended to call Brendan Dassey. If put to that question today, our inclination would be not to call Brendan Dassey, to either save Brendan Dassey for our case-in-chief, upon a grant of use immunity, or to save Brendan for rebuttal testimony, again, upon a grant of use immunity.

Certainly, though, this Court would agree that he is available to testify in the Steven Avery case. And so, if we're going to instruct the jury as to what the charges against Mr. Avery are going to be, it is the safer position to just name the four charges from which we do not need Brendan Dassey. Again, our preference, if $I$ can reiterate, is to wait until the 2nd, is to wait until Friday, when the State can decide how to proceed.

Would, or is it possible that Brendan Dassey would change his mind about a plea agreement, between now and Friday, absolutely. Given the ongoing nature of the agreements, that's always possible. But, for practical purposes, if the Court wishes to know, wishes an inclination by the State, I'm happy to give that.

But the bottom line is, this Court does not have the authority to dismiss those two counts. The State still could, if it chose, call Mr. Dassey. Mr. Dassey was named as a witness. When it was time to name our lay witnesses, Brendan Dassey was named. He's included on our witness list and so there shouldn't be any surprise as to whether we can proceed with Mr. Dassey or not.

We may choose to call any of those witnesses that we have named. We may choose to only call a subset of those witnesses, and Brendan Dassey is certainly one of those people that's within that category.

But to suggest that the State has no evidence, to suggest even further than that, that there need be some, what the defense has called, "strong curative instruction", the State believes is absolutely absurd. Any time use immunity is conferred in a case, when there is two defendants, which isn't unusual, those of us that have been practicing criminal law for a long time know that happens once in awhile, especially when one defendant has given an inculpatory statement, which inculpates another, those are called
interlocking confessions. And when that happens, it is not usual for use immunity to be conferred. To suggest, though, that the jury has to be somehow informed, even before they are selected, as to the nuance of the use immunity statute, as to whether the State chooses to call a witness or not, and, specifically, in this case, that there should be some negative inference taken, by the fact that the State chooses not to call somebody, or that the State chooses not to proceed on two counts, is simply not supported by law.

We're asking, then, that the Court reject, first of all, the Defense motion to dismiss. We're asking that the Court reject the inclination to provide some curative instruction, which certainly would prejudice the State; the prejudice to the State, Judge, should be obvious.

If we have to start this case swimming upstream, if you will, in the face of some instruction given to the jury that they should be taking some negative view of the State, then we intend to proceed on all six counts. We will. If that's what this Court's inclination is, we will go forward on all six counts. We will ask
the Court to grant Mr. Dassey use immunity and we will proceed on those six counts.

If, however, the State is not so inclined -- excuse me -- if the Court is not so inclined, if the Court, like every other case, is going to proceed to jury selection without providing this strong curative instruction, or not further contaminating the potential jury pool, which recent pre-trial publicity, the State believes and the State's argued already has, then it is our inclination to proceed on the four counts. Again, those four counts that do not require Brendan Dassey, allowing us, as is within our province, to make a decision as the trial proceeds whether we call Brendan in our case-in-chief, whether we call him in rebuttal, or whether we choose not to call him at all. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Before I turn it back to Mr. Strang, within your argument is there a motion to dismiss the sexual assault and kidnapping charges, or not?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not until the Judge rules on this motion. And -- And I don't mean to be cute with the Court, and I think you understand, Judge,
that it's the curative instruction, your inclination that causes the State the most concern. I am, and I have, as you know, been candid with the Court, as a matter of courtesy. I have told you all along what our intention was going to be.

Without that curative instruction, the State, I will tell the Court, as I have told you candidly, intends to proceed on four counts, rather than six. But, if the Court is going to give a curative instruction, then we do have that objection.

THE COURT: I understand. Mr. Strang, briefly.

ATTORNEY STRANG: All due respect to counsel, the State is supposed to start every criminal case swimming upstream. And the strong current against which the State is supposed to be swimming is a presumption of innocence. That presumption of innocence has been eroded, if not eliminated, here, by the spectre of Brendan Dassey offering admissible, as opposed to inadmissible evidence.

It is too late to sit on the fence here. We can't very well tell this jury that there are four counts to be tried, and then tell them on
next Monday, oh, by the way, there is also a rape and a kidnapping. You can't do it. Neither can either side, as a practical matter, give an opening statement in this case, without knowing whether the alleged accomplice is testifying or not.

Now, Mr. Kratz was candid enough to concede, if one listened carefully, that Mr. Dassey is available to him, legally. The State can move for use immunity, the Court, then, grants that immunity. The case law suggests that the Defense has very little to say about that State request.

It is just too late, now, 10 months after these charges were filed, to say we'll tell you later. Because the jury questionnaire process is beginning and we can't rely on the answers these jurors give to whether they can try this case fairly, unless they know what it is they are trying, in outline. It is just too late.

And I agree, as an abstract matter, with much of what Mr. Kratz says about the black letter. The State decides what charges it will push forward on and which it will not.

And I, also, let me be clear, if Brendan Dassey takes the witness stand on a similar chair, one county over, he has admissible evidence to offer, once he's sworn under oath. It may hurt Mr. Avery; it may help Mr. Avery; it may do a little of both. But it is admissible evidence, once he takes the stand. And until he sits down in a chair like that, nothing he's got to say is admissible on the State's offering, or at the State's instance, or over Defense objection.

So the State has all the information it needs today, it's had it for months, to decide whether it's calling Mr. Dassey, to prove the three charges it added in the Amended Information, or not. This Court has a right, in managing this trial, and in selecting a jury, and ideally having it not be a waste of time, to know what charges it's trying, with the jury it's picking. And counsel have a right to be able to assess the answers these jurors give, on the knowledge that the jurors were given accurate information about something as basic as what the allegations are.

THE COURT: All right.

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's time to decide.
THE COURT: First, a couple of comments with respect to the procedure for jury selection in this case. Because of the large number of jurors in the panel, the parties have previously agreed that we're going to be using a procedure in which, in large part, the questionnaire is taking the place of questions that would typically be asked on general voir dire.

It's a little different in the sense that the questionnaire is going to be filled out by the parties, off the record. But there certainly are similarities between the questions that are on the questionnaire and what typically is asked in general voir dire.

In order for the jurors to give accurate answers, and for the parties to assess those answers, I think it's necessary that the jurors be aware of the charges that the State will be pursuing against the defendant. That's why I would not feel comfortable giving the jurors a preliminary instruction, before they fill out the questionnaire, without the jurors knowing what the charges are going to be against the defendant in this case. So I don't think we can post --
postpone the time within which the jurors are going to know the charges.

With respect to the curative instruction issue, I will make the following comments: At this point it would be difficult for the Court to consider giving some type of curative instruction, based on the evidence or lack of evidence that the State has available to it to produce that relates to the charges.

To be sure, in the course of these proceedings, the Court has gleaned some information concerning evidence which each side may choose to produce, but that's not been fleshed out in any great detail. I understand from the representations that have been made to the Court that the State has, or has not, as time has gone on, had an idea of what type of evidence it might hope to gain from Mr. Dassey.

I don't -- I'm not aware of anything to suggest that the State has been acting in bad faith in any regard. And quite simply put, I do not believe that the State has engaged in any conduct to this point which would warrant the giving of some type of instruction unfavorable to the State, should the State decide at this point
to dismiss some of the charges that have been filed. And so I'm not going to -- Whatever action the Court takes this morning, I'm not going to give some type of an extra instruction, I just haven't heard anything that would warrant it.

With that background --
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, may I be heard then before --

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: -- you rule further?
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I could just have one more moment.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: With those findings, Judge, the State is, at this time, because we believe it within the province of the State, moving that Counts, I believe it's 4 and 5, that is, the first degree sexual assault as a party to the crime, and the kidnapping, Count No. 5, be dismissed.

The State intends to proceed, then, on Counts 1, 2, 3, and 6. I will be happy to provide a Court with what will be called a second Amended Information, which will actually make

Count 6, Count 4, so that the jury isn't confused as to the number of counts or why there may be a gap in the -- those charges. Count 4 will be false imprisonment.

And the State intends, then, to proceed on those four counts rather than -- rather than the six counts. And I believe then, that relieves the Court of the obligation of having to rule upon this motion.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, from the defense's standpoint I understand that you, based on your motion, were requesting the administration of the curative instruction as well, but I take it that the defense has no objection, given the Court's ruling on the instruction issue, to the dismissal of Counts 4 and 5.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Provided that's a dismissal with prejudice, which is the Court's prerogative, once the State has moved to dismiss, not the State's; we don't object to a dismissal with prejudice of Counts 4 and 5.

THE COURT: I have dealt with this issue before. There's a, frankly, question as to how much power the Court has in that regard when a dismissal is granted at this stage in the trial.

At this point, my inclination would be to -- simply to grant the State's motion to dismiss those counts. I'm not going to rule at this time, nor do we have time to address the issue, as to whether or not they would be with or without prejudice. The law is, that the dismissal, absent some other finding by the Court, I believe is without prejudice. And I'm not prepared today to do any more than that.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Here's the problem, and here's why the Court needs to take some further curative action. Since March 10, up through the WFRV report last night, for example, Steven Avery has been presented as the man who allegedly raped, mutilated and murdered Teresa Halbach.

Now, the first question, $I$ guess in a bigger scale, this raises, is how many times will Steven Avery be charged in Manitowoc County with rapes he didn't commit, this makes two. And the public, for 10 months, has been led to believe that he's a rapist, in addition to all else they might think about him.

Where do we go, you know, forget getting the 18 years back on the first one, where do we go to get the last 10 months back? Where do we
go to get our presumption of innocence back, from a public who believes and has heard time and again that he is an alleged rapist, even before murder?

THE COURT: Right. I'm not precluding you from advancing that argument at some point in the future; I'm just saying I'm not prepared to make that ruling today.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I want to be clear, then, on the record, that we are heading into a potential for mistrial by going forward on the false imprisonment count, if the Court's ruling permits the State to do that. And if the State believes that it will avail itself of the dismissal without prejudice, later to call Brendan Dassey, and to argue that there is no surprise and, therefore, under Wisconsin Statutes, an amendment of the Information should be permitted, or argue that it wants the Information to conform to the evidence it plans to adduce by calling Mr. Dassey, there will be a mistrial motion, and it will be a serious motion.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may interrupt, Judge. THE COURT: Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will concede, just out of fairness to Mr. Strang and to the defense team,
that during the course of this trial, the State will not ask the Court reinstate those charges, will not ask the Court add the additional counts, even if Brendan Dassey is allowed to testify, that should at least get us through this proceeding.

THE COURT: All right. And with respect to the defense extra motion to dismiss the false imprisonment charge, I previously ruled, that given the law in the State of Wisconsin on preliminary examinations and the Court's duty and the ability of the State to add a charge that's factually related to the bindover, that the State has the right to do that. And I'm also not, obviously, at this point in the proceedings, in a position to say that the -there's no evidence that the State can produce to sustain that charge.

So the Court is denying the defense motion to dismiss the false imprisonment charge. I will grant the State's motion to dismiss Count's 4 and 5, the first degree sexual assault charge and the kidnapping charge.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That said, the State's proposal of a second Amended Information makes sense, given the state of the record and the Court's rulings.

THE COURT: All right. It was my understanding Mr. Fallon also wished to be heard on the jury selection process before we bring the jurors in for the questionnaires.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, thank you, Judge. Just a couple of preliminary thoughts. And I realize, at least $I$ recollect from our phone discussion on Friday, that $I$ think the balance of this will have to be addressed on Friday afternoon, I believe.

But I just wanted to point out that the Court's intent to limit the parties to 10 to 15 minutes of individual voir dire, to follow up on the juror questionnaire, which is about to be filled out by the jurors today, would arguably, and I note counsel and I, I think, are in agreement on this, that the 15 minute was arguably sufficient; although, I think both of us had serious concerns about that.

But when I replied to the defense submission on January 5th, as I represented I would and hearing no objection from the Defense and no objection from the Court, I was surprised that many of the submitted questions by the State were deleted, many were accepted.

So my concern is that, at best case scenario, 15 minute individual voir dire was marginally sufficient given what I expected the supplemental juror questionnaire to contain; and that is, many of the suggestions or several of the suggestions that I agreed with from the Defense, as well as many of the State, the Court on its own chose to disregard several of the submissions of the State.

And, presumably, that's in the Court's prerogative as I'm aware that jury selection, there is a vast amount of discretion afforded to the trial court. But, again, not hearing any objection from either the Court or opposing counsel, I expected to see a little more robust supplemental juror questionnaire.

However, the Court has exercised that discretion and determined otherwise. Our comment is that I would like the Court to address the follow up voir dire procedures on Friday afternoon, because I'm not sure, quite frankly, that 10 to 15 minutes will allow counsel adequate time to explore other matters not included in the general -- or in the supplemental juror questionnaire.

As I indicated earlier on, although it's unusual and not advisable to use the supplemental juror questionnaire as a stand in for general voir dire, it certainly is possible, but -- and I understand the Court's desire to not create an unwieldily document for the prospective jurors. But I would ask the Court to reconsider it's idea as to how the follow-up voir dire is going to occur.

I'm very concerned, that at least from the State's perspective, that we will not have adequate time to pursue other matters in that time frame.

THE COURT: All right. We'll address that further at the final pre-trial on Friday.

At this time, I think I'm going to ask the parties to remain here. I will go back and have the clerk bring in the jurors, then I will come back out on the bench and give the jurors the preliminary information prior to their completion of the questionnaire.

And I believe there is agreement that after the Court completes that process, we'll take a short break to clear the courtroom so that members of the press and members of the public
will be excused, since during the time the jurors will be completing the actual questionnaires, court will not be in session, and neither the parties nor $I$ will be present.

So if you just sit tight, I will check on the process of the jurors and we'll get started. I think all of the seating in the courtroom, except for whatever is left in the back, will be needed for members of the jury panel.
(Brief recess.)
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this morning we are commencing the jury selection process in the case of State of Wisconsin vs. Steven A. Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. This is a criminal case. In a moment $I$ will read to you the crimes charged in the Information in this case.

The Information is nothing more than a written, formal accusation against a defendant, charging him with the commission of criminal acts. You are not to consider the Information as evidence against the defendant in any way. It does not raise an inference of guilt.

The Information in this case charges the defendant, Steven Avery, with being a party to
the crime of first degree intentional homicide of Teresa Halbach. Mr. Avery is also charged with party to the crime of mutilating her corpse, false imprisonment, and felon in possession of a firearm.

To each of the charges $I$ have just read, Mr. Avery has entered a plea of not guilty, which means the State must prove every element of the offenses charged, beyond a reasonable doubt. The law presumes every person charged with the commission of an offense to be innocent. This presumption attends the defendant throughout the trial, and prevails at its close, unless overcome by evidence which satisfies the jury of his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to prove his innocence.

The burden of proving the defendant guilty of every element of the crimes charged is upon the State. Before you can return a verdict of guilty on any count, the State must prove, to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty on that count.

The case is being prosecuted by Special Prosecutor Kenneth Kratz. Mr. Kratz, if you can please stand up.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good morning.
THE COURT: Thomas Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Norman Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Good morning.
THE COURT: The defendant in this case is Steven Avery.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: And Mr. Avery is being defended by attorneys, Dean Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Jerome Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Good morning.
THE COURT: The trial itself is expected to take approximately six weeks. Sixteen members of the jury panel will be selected to serve on the jury. While only 12 members of the jury will arrive at the final verdict, 4 additional jurors are being selected to hear the case in the event members of the jury should have to be excused before the jury retires to deliberate. Should there be more than 12 jurors remaining at the close of the evidence, the alternate jurors will be selected by lot.

The process we are about to engage in is
called voir dire. Its purpose is to assure that the jury ultimately impaneled to hear this case is comprised of people who are not biased or prejudiced. The jurors must be citizens who can fairly and impartially decide the facts of the case, based solely upon the evidence presented in court, and will then follow my instructions as to the law applicable to those facts.

We are using the proceeding today to have all prospective jurors complete a written questionnaire. Some jurors will be called back for further individual questioning beginning next Monday, February 5th. Whether and in what order you will be called back is determined by a random computer selection process.

Should you be required to return, the Clerk's Office will contact you by telephone with a time and date to return. Some of you may be excused without participating in individual voir dire. The voir dire process is going to require that you disclose publicly, a certain amount of personal information about yourselves and your families, that you may otherwise want to keep to yourself. It is, unfortunately, necessary. In special circumstances, exceptions may
sometimes be made to insure the privacy of your answers. You will have to indicate on the questionnaire if you think you have a valid reason to ask for an exception.

This morning we will proceed as follows: In a few minutes the Clerk will hand each of you a written questionnaire which you are to complete under oath. Please take your time and answer all questions as completely and accurately as you can. If you are uncertain about how to answer any question, please note your uncertainty in your written answer.

I will not be available to individually answer any questions you may have as you complete the questionnaire.

When you have completed and signed your questionnaire, return it to the Clerk, who will turn all the completed questionnaires over to me.

After you complete your questionnaire this morning, you will be excused for the remainder of the day.

After some prospective jurors have been brought in and questioned individually, next week, the lawyers and I will confer to decide if any juror should be excused for cause, that is,
for any legally sufficient reason. If I rule that any prospective juror is to be excused for cause, that particular panel member will be told and released from further service in this case.

After a sufficient number of prospective number of jurors have been questioned individually, the lawyers will be permitted to exercise their peremptory challenges upon those remaining on the panel. Once the peremptory challenges have been exhausted, a jury of 16 persons will be seated to hear the trial.

The process of jury selection will take some time, as you can see. I ask you to be patient while we are concerned with matters that don't involve you personally. I strongly suggest that you bring something back to read while you wait next week, should you be called back for individual voir dire.

It is estimated by the lawyers that it will take six weeks to try the case once the jury has been selected. You should all have received a letter asking you to request to be excused in advance, if a case of that length would cause you any extraordinary personal hardship.

Those who responded that it would, and
whose reasons were found to be sufficient, have already been excused. The Court understands that serving on a jury for six weeks presents a significant inconvenience to most people. That is an unfortunate but necessary price we pay for the system of justice that we enjoy.

If any of you has a reason, now, to believe that you would suffer some exceptional hardship, other than the convenience (sic) jury service causes everyone to sit on a case of this duration, please give specific reasons in your response to the pertinent question on the questionnaire.

This case has received a substantial amount of publicity in the newspapers, and on radio and television. That you are aware of the publicity does not, by itself, disqualify you. If, however, that publicity has caused you to form some opinions about the case already, and you think you might be unable to put those opinions aside and listen to the evidence with an open mind, please be candid about it on your answers to the relevant questions on the questionnaire, and when you are questioned individually. essential that the jurors who are chosen for the case learn nothing whatsoever about it from any source, other than the evidence presented in the courtroom. The jury will be obliged to that end not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of the trial, nor to talk or let anyone else, including one another, talk to them, about any aspect of the case, until it is over.

I also instruct you that, for so long as we are engaged in the process of jury selection, you are not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of this case or of the proceedings, nor talk to anyone or let anyone else talk to you about any aspect of the case. That means not listening to radio accounts about the case, not reading any newspaper accounts, and not watching television news coverage of this matter.

As we conduct the voir dire process, you will naturally be meeting each other and talking among yourselves. It is extremely important, however, that you do not discuss this case, including the voir dire questions, or your answers to any of those questions.

Do not share anything that you may know,
or any opinions or impressions you may have, about the case, with any other prospective juror. Do not talk about your individual answers to the questionnaire or any questions you are asked in open court with anyone. Both the State and Mr. Avery are entitled to have a completely fair, openminded and impartial jury sit in judgment in this case.

You will be asked many questions intended to enable me and the lawyers to draw some inferences about your attitudes. As you answer the questions, I ask you to ask yourself, do I have any reason to question my own impartiality, to suspect that I might be prejudiced for or against the State or the defendant, for any reason.

In other words, are you aware of anything which would prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict, based solely on the evidence presented in this courtroom, and the instructions $I$ will give you at the end of the trial so -- as to the law applicable to this case. If so, please be truthful in making that known to us.

Finally, I want you to know that the

Court recognizes that each of the jurors selected to serve in this case is being asked to perform an important civic duty at a significant personal sacrifice of time and attention. While it's not the same as serving in the military, for example, in a sense the jurors are being drafted into government service for six weeks.

Your service in this case imposes a greater burden than we ask of most citizens. I would ask you to keep in mind, however, the deep personal satisfaction that you can receive from knowing, should you be selected as a juror, that you answered the call of your government and served with honor by rendering a verdict based not on any preconceived motions -- or notions, but only on the evidence introduced at trial and the instructions given to you by the Court.

At this time, before we break, I'm going to ask the Clerk to swear the panel.

THE CLERK: Would all of the jurors please rise and raise your right hand.
(Jury panel sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury panel, in a minute you are going to be coming back
here to complete your questionnaires. Because of the fact Court will not be in session during that time, we're going to clear the courtroom of everyone else before we ask you to do so.

So at this time the clerks and the bailiffs will escort you back to the other courtroom that you started in. You will be there for few minutes. You can take a rest stop if you need to, and then you will be brought back here to complete your questionnaires. You may stand at this time and be escorted out.
(Jury panel not present.)
THE COURT: All right. The prospective jurors have now all left the courtroom. Counsel, anything else further before we go off the record?

ATTORNEY STRANG: The Court's instructing on publicity, it probably would be a good idea to add the internet.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Right, that was the only thought I had.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We will adjourn at this time, and $I$ will see you back at 10:30.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
(Court in recess.)
(Second half of jury panel present.)
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this morning we are commencing the jury selection process in the case of State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. This is a criminal case. In a moment I will read to you the crimes charged in the Information in this case.

The Information is nothing more than a written formal accusation against a defendant, charging him with a commission of criminal acts. You are not to consider the Information as evidence against the defendant in any way. It does not raise an inference of guilt.

The Information in this case charges that the defendant, Steven Avery, or the Information charges the defendant, Steven Avery, with being a party to the crime of first degree intentional homicide of Teresa Halbach. Mr. Avery is also charged with party to the crime of mutilating her corpse, false imprisonment and felon in possession of a firearm.

To each of the charges $I$ have just read, Mr. Avery has entered a plea of not guilty, which means the State must proof every element of the offenses charged, beyond a reasonable doubt. The
law presumes every person charged with the commission of an offense to be innocent. This presumption attends the defendant throughout the trial and prevails at its close, unless overcome by evidence which satisfies the jury of his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to prove his innocence.

The burden of proving the defendant guilty of every element of the crimes charged is upon the State. Before you can return a verdict of guilty on any count, the State must prove, to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty of that count.

This case will be prosecuted by Special Prosecutor Kenneth Kratz. Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good morning.
THE COURT: Attorney Thomas Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Attorney Norman Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Good morning.
THE COURT: The defendant, Steven Avery, is present in court today.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: Mr. Avery, will be represented
by Attorney Dean Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Attorney Jerome Buting. ATTORNEY BUTING: Hello.

THE COURT: The trial itself is expected to take approximately six weeks. Sixteen members of the jury panel will be selected to serve on the jury. While only 12 members of the jury will arrive at the final verdict, 4 additional jurors are being selected to hear the case in the event members of the jury should have to be excused before the jury retires to deliberate. Should there be more than 12 jurors remaining at the close of the evidence, the remaining alternate jurors will be selected by lot.

The process we're about to engage in is called voir dire. Its purpose is to assure that the jury ultimately impaneled to hear this case is comprised of people who are not biased or prejudiced. The jurors must be citizens who can fairly and impartially decide the facts of the case, based solely on the evidence presented in court, and who will then follow my instructions as to the law applicable to those facts.

We are -- We are using the proceedings today to have all prospective jurors complete a
written questionnaire. Some jurors will be called back for further individual questioning beginning next Monday, February 5. Whether and in what order you will be called back is determined by a random computer selection process.

Should you be required to return, the Clerk's Office will contact you by telephone with a time and date to return. Some of you may be excused without participating in individual voir dire. The voir dire process is going to require that you disclose publicly, a certain amount of personal information about yourself and your families, that you might otherwise want to keep to yourself. It is, unfortunately, necessary.

In special circumstances, exceptions may sometimes be made to insure the privacy of your answers. You will have to indicate on the questionnaire if you believe you have a valid reason to ask for an exception.

We'll proceed as follows: In a few minutes the Clerk will hand each of you a written questionnaire which you are to complete under oath. Please take your time and answer all questions as completely and accurately as you
can. If you are uncertain about how to answer any question, please note your uncertainty in your written answer.

I will not be available to individually answer any questions you may have.

When you have completed and signed your questionnaire, return it to the Clerk, who will turn all the completed questionnaires over to me. After you complete your questionnaire, you will be excused for the remainder of the day.

After some prospective jurors have been brought in and questioned individually, next week, the lawyers and I will confer to decide if any should be excused for cause, that is, for any legally sufficient reason. If I rule that any prospective juror is to be excused for cause, that particular panel member will be told and released from further service in this case.

After a sufficient number of prospective jurors have been questioned individually, the lawyers will be permitted to exercise their peremptory challenges upon those remaining on the panel. Once the peremptory challenges have been exhausted, a jury of 16 persons will be seated to hear the case.

The process of jury selection will take some time, as you can see. I ask you to be patient while we are concerned with matters that don't involve you personally. I strongly suggest that you bring something back to read while you wait, should you be called back for individual voir dire next week.

It is estimated by the lawyers that it will take six weeks to try the case once the jury has been selected. You should all have received a letter asking you to request to be excused in advance if a case of that length would cause you any extraordinary personal hardship.

Those who responded that it would, and whose reasons were found to be sufficient, have already been excused. The Court understands that serving on a jury for six weeks presents a significant inconvenience to most people. That is an unfortunate but necessary price we pay for the system of justice that we enjoy.

If any of you has a reason, now, to believe that you would suffer some exceptional hardship, other than the convenience (sic) jury service causes everyone to sit on a case of this duration, please give specific reasons in your
response to the pertinent question on the questionnaire.

This case has received a substantial amount of publicity in the newspapers, and on radio and television. That you are aware of the publicity does not, by itself, disqualify you. If, however, that publicity has caused you to form some opinions about the case already, and you think you might be unable to put those opinions aside and listen to the evidence with an open mind, please be candid about it in your answers to the relevant questions on the questionnaire and when you are individually questioned.

After the trial starts, it is absolutely essential that the jurors who are chosen for the case learn nothing whatsoever about it from any source, other than the evidence presented in this courtroom. The jury will be obliged to that end not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of the trial, nor to talk or let anyone else, including one another, talk to them, about any aspect of the case, until it is over.

I also instruct you that, for so long as we are engaged in the process of jury selection,
you are not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of the case or of the proceedings, nor talk to anyone or let anyone else talk to you about any aspect of the case. That means not listening to the radio news accounts about the case, not reading any newspaper accounts, not watching television news coverage of this matter and not searching the internet for information about the case.

As we conduct the voir dire process, you will naturally be meeting each other and talking among yourselves. It is extremely important, however, that you do not discuss this case, including the voir dire questions, or your answers to those questions.

Do not share anything you may know, or any opinions or impressions you may have, about the case, with any other prospective juror. Do not talk about your individual answers to the questionnaire or to any questions you are asked in open court with anyone, including your fellow jurors. Both the State and Mr. Avery are entitled to have a completely fair, open-minded and impartial jury sit in judgment upon this case.

You will be asked many questions intended to enable me and the lawyers to draw some inferences about your attitudes. As you answer the questions, I ask you to ask yourself, do I have any reason to question my own impartiality, to suspect that I might be prejudiced for or against the State or the defendant for any reason.

In other words, are you aware of anything which would prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict, based solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom, and the instructions I will give you at the end of trial as to the law applicable to the case. If so, please be truthful in making that known to us.

Finally, I want you to know that the Court recognizes each of the jurors selected to serve in this case are being asked to perform an important civic duty at a significant personal sacrifice of time and attention. While it is not the same as serving in the military, in a sense the jurors are being drafted into government service for six weeks.

Your service in this case imposes a
greater burden than we ask of most citizens. I would ask you to keep in mind, however, the deep personal satisfaction you can receive from knowing, should you be selected as a juror, that you answered the call of your government and serve with honor by rendering a verdict based not on any preconceived notions, but only on the evidence introduced at trial and the instructions given you by the Court.

At this time $I$ will ask the Clerk to swear the members of the jury panel. Will you all please stand.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. (Jury panel sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Members of the jury panel, because you are going to be completing a questionnaire while court is not in session, we're going to take a few minutes to clear the courtroom.

At this point, I'm going to ask you all to stand and be escorted by the bailiffs and court personnel back to the other courtroom for a few minutes. Once the courtroom here is cleared, you will be brought back here and given your questionnaires.

As indicated earlier, after your questionnaires are completed, you can hand them to the Clerk and you will be free to leave for the day. You may rise and be escorted back to the other courtroom at this time.
(Jury panel not present.)
THE COURT: You may be seated. The members of the jury panel have now left for the other courtroom and the courtroom is clear.

Counsel, I would ask, this is our last time on the record for today, I would ask you to stop back in chambers after we're done, just so we can clarify the agenda for the pre-trial on Friday.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, can I make one question here?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: It didn't occur to me when you read it the first time and as I listened this time; do the jurors know that they will not be sequestered for the full six weeks?

THE COURT: Well, they haven't been told that they would be sequestered. They haven't been told that they have not been. I suppose we'll have to address that in individual voir dire. I will
notify them of that fact at the outset.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. Might just be something to be aware of if we get questionnaires where people talk about hardships, that maybe they are thinking it would be a bigger burden than it really will be, since they will be able to go home. Probably should have discussed this earlier, but it just slipped my mind.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, anything else?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.
THE COURT: Very well, we're off the record for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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certain [2] 33/21 44/12
certainly [5] 13/9 14/13 15/17
20/13 29/4
certify [1] 53/6
CF [4] 1/5 2/2 30/15 41/5
chair [2] 19/3 19/8
challenges [4] 35/8 35/10 45/22 45/23
chambers [3] 3/2 3/5 51/12
change [2] 11/6 13/20
charge [10] 4/11 7/24 7/24 8/1 26/8 26/11 26/16 26/18 26/21 26/21
charged [11] 24/18 30/16 31/2 31/9 31/10 31/1841/641/19 41/25 42/1 42/9
charges [30] 2/25 3/22 4/7 5/6 7/15 7/16 8/2 9/3 9/13 12/17 12/20 13/12 13/14 16/22 18/15 18/24 19/15 19/19 20/19 20/24 21/2 21/9 22/1 23/3 26/2 30/24 31/641/1441/1641/22
charging [2] 30/20 41/10
check [1] 30/5
chief [5] 3/7 3/13 11/24 13/6 16/16
choose [4] 14/10 14/11 16/17

21/13
chooses [4] 11/25 15/6 15/10 15/11
chose [2] 14/3 28/8
chosen [2] 37/2 47/16
CIRCUIT [3] $1 / 1$ 1/10 53/5
circumstance [1] 9/18
circumstances [3] 9/17 33/25
44/16
citizens [4] 33/4 39/9 43/19 50/1
civic [2] 39/3 49/20
claim [1] 7/6
claimed [1] 5/16
claims [2] 5/22 12/2
clarify [1] 51/13
clear [6] 19/1 25/9 29/24 40/3
50/19 51/9
cleared [1] 50/23
clearly [1] 4/19
clerk [8] 29/18 34/6 34/17 39/19
44/22 45/7 50/10 51/3
Clerk's [2] 33/17 44/8
clerks [1] 40/5
close [6] 3/7 3/15 31/13 32/23
42/4 43/13
closer [1] 4/10
coercion [1] 4/13
come [1] 29/19
comfortable [1] 20/21
coming [2] $5 / 1739 / 25$
commencing [2] 30/13 41/3
commend [1] 7/4
comment [1] 28/18
comments [2] 20/2 21/4
commission [4] 30/20 31/11 41/10
42/2
commit [1] 24/19
Complaint [1] 3/24
complete [9] 33/10 34/7 34/14
34/19 40/1 40/10 43/25 44/23
45/9
completed [5] 34/16 34/18 45/6
45/8 51/2
completely [4] 34/9 38/6 44/25
48/23
completes [1] 29/23
completing [2] 30/2 50/17
completion [1] 29/21
comprised [2] 33/3 43/18
computer [3] 33/15 44/5 53/10
computer-assisted [1] 53/10
computerized [1] 53/9
concede [3] 4/9 18/8 25/24
conceivable [1] 5/25
concern [2] 17/2 28/1
concerned [3] 29/10 35/14 46/3
concerning[1] 21/12
concerns [1] 27/19
concluded [2] 3/10 52/14
concluding [1] 4/20
conclusively [1] 5/10
conduct [3] 21/23 37/19 48/10
confer [2] 34/24 45/13
conferences [1] 6/10
conferred [2] 14/20 15/2
confessions [1] 15/1
confinement [2] 4/12 4/15
conform [1] 25/19
confused [1] 23/1
consciousness [1] 4/17
consider [4] 9/11 21/6 30/21 41/11
consideration [1] 9/12
considered [1] 3/13

## C

contact [2] 33/17 44/8
contain [1] 28/4
contaminating [1] 16/8
content [1] 3/24
context [1] 12/20
convenience [2] 36/9 46/23
conviction [1] 9/1
copy [1] 11/14
corpse [2] 31/3 41/20
correct [2] 7/21 53/12
corrected [1] 7/20
correspondence [1] 13/2
corroboration [2] 5/3 5/7
could [5] 4/22 8/25 8/25 14/3
22/13
counsel [11] 3/3 7/5 7/21 11/14
17/15 19/20 27/16 28/15 28/22 40/14 51/10
count [9] 22/21 23/1 23/1 23/3
25/12 31/20 31/22 42/11 42/13
Count's [1] 26/20
counteract [1] 8/7
counts [25] $4 / 248 / 218 / 2312 / 2$
12/8 12/9 12/22 14/3 15/11 15/23
15/25 16/2 16/12 16/12 17/8
17/25 22/19 22/23 23/2 23/6 23/7
23/15 23/21 24/3 26/3
county [5] 1/1 2/6 19/3 24/18 53/2
couple [2] 20/2 27/6
course [2] 21/10 26/1
court [73]
Court's [12] 9/5 12/18 15/24 23/14
23/18 25/12 26/10 26/24 27/12 28/10 29/5 40/16
courtesy [1] 17/4
courtroom [15] 29/24 30/8 37/5
38/20 40/3 40/7 40/14 47/19
49/12 50/19 50/22 50/23 51/5 51/9 51/9
coverage [2] 37/18 48/7
create [1] 29/5
crime [5] 22/20 31/1 31/3 41/17 41/19
crimes [4] 30/16 31/18 41/6 42/9
criminal [7] 9/22 14/22 17/16
30/15 30/20 41/5 41/10
curative [10] 14/18 15/16 16/7
17/1 17/6 17/10 21/3 21/6 23/13 24/12
current [1] 17/17
cut [1] 6/16
cute [1] 16/24
D
Dassey [40] 3/6 3/12 3/24 5/7 5/12 5/24 6/6 6/16 7/7 9/16 9/25 10/7
10/12 10/21 10/23 11/6 11/10
$\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 18 & 1 & 1 / 22 & 12 / 4 & 13 / 3 & 13 / 5\end{array} 13 / 5$
13/15 13/20 14/4 14/4 14/6 14/9
14/13 16/1 16/13 17/20 18/9 19/2
19/14 21/18 25/15 25/20 26/4
Dassey's [9] 3/25 4/5 5/11 9/23
10/3 10/8 $11 / 511 / 1612 / 10$
date [4] $1 / 8$ 5/2 33/1 8 44/9
Dated [1] 53/15
day [5] 3/9 34/21 45/10 51/4 53/15 days[2] 6/10 11/12
deadline [2] 11/1 11/12
deal [1] 10/8
dealt [1] 23/22
DEAN [4] 1/17 2/12 32/11 43/1
decide [8] $13 / 18$ 19/13 20/1 $21 / 25$
$33 / 5$ 34/24 43/20 45/13
decides [1] 18/24
decision [9] 9/3 9/6 9/7 9/9 9/10
10/8 12/11 12/15 16/14
deep [2] 39/10 50/2
defendant [29] 1/7 1/18 1/20 1/21
8/8 8/11 9/4 14/24 20/20 20/24
$30 / 1930 / 2230 / 2531 / 1231 / 15$
31/17 31/22 32/6 38/16 41/9
$41 / 1241 / 1541 / 1642 / 342 / 642 / 8$
42/13 42/21 49/8
defendants [1] 14/21
defended [1] 32/10
Defender's [1] 10/19
defense [16] 2/23 5/2 8/17 9/4
9/11 14/17 15/14 18/12 19/10
23/14 25/25 26/7 26/17 27/20
27/22 28/7
defense's [1] 23/10
degree [9] 3/21 4/7 5/4 7/6 7/23
22/20 26/20 31/1 41/17
deleted [1] 27/25
deliberate [2] 32/22 43/12
denying [1] 26/17
desire [1] 29/5
detail [1] 21/14
details [1] 5/4
detectable [1] 6/21
determined [3] 28/18 33/14 44/5
determining [1] 9/13
Diane [3] 1/24 53/4 53/19
did [3] $5 / 36 / 178 / 19$
didn't [2] 24/19 51/18
different [2] 10/17 20/10
difficult [1] 21/5
dilemma [1] 12/24
dire [20] 20/9 20/15 27/13 28/2
28/20 29/4 29/8 33/1 33/20 33/20
$35 / 1837 / 1937 / 2343 / 1644 / 11$
44/1 1 46/7 48/10 48/14 51/25
direction [1] 11/22
disagreement [1] 3/18
disclose [2] 33/21 44/12
disclosed [1] 5/1
discovery [2] 4/4 7/4
discretion [2] 28/12 28/18
discuss [3] $9 / 2037 / 2248 / 13$
discussed [1] 52/7
discussion[3] 9/8 10/2 27/8
discussions [1] 12/7
dismiss [13] 2/23 4/24 8/19 8/20
14/2 $15 / 15$ 16/21 $22 / 1$ 23/19 24/3 26/7 26/1 8 26/19
dismissal [7] 8/13 23/15 23/18
23/20 23/24 24/7 25/14
dismissed [2] 8/3 22/21
disprove [1] 5/10
disqualify [2] 36/17 47/6
disregard [1] 28/8
District [2] 2/6 2/8
DNA [1] 6/22
do [25] 3/13 13/15 16/12 17/10
18/2 19/6 $21 / 21$ 24/4 24/9 24/23
24/24 24/25 25/13 26/12 37/22
37/25 38/3 38/13 40/4 48/13
48/16 48/18 49/5 51/20 53/6
document [1] 29/6
does [5] 14/1 30/23 36/17 41/13 47/6
doesn't [2] 12/5 12/15
don't [8] 4/10 12/9 16/24 20/25
21/19 23/20 35/15 46/4
done [1] 51/12
door [2] 5/18 5/20
doubt [6] 31/9 31/15 31/21 41/25 42/6 42/12
down [2] 5/15 19/8
drafted [2] 39/6 49/23
draw [2] 38/10 49/2
driver [2] 5/24 6/1
dropped [2] 5/24 6/2
due [2] 9/5 17/14
duration [2] 36/11 46/25
during [5] 4/16 4/17 26/1 30/1
40/2
duty [3] 26/10 39/3 49/20

## E

each [9] $21 / 1231 / 634 / 637 / 20$
39/1 41/22 44/22 48/11 49/18
earlier [3] 29/1 51/1 52/7
effect [1] 10/13
eight [1] 6/9
either [4] $4 / 1113 / 518 / 328 / 14$
element [6] $4 / 124 / 1431 / 831 / 18$ 41/24 42/9
elements [1] 4/21
eliminated [1] 17/20
eloquently[1] 10/10
else [9] $6 / 23$ 24/21 $37 / 837 / 14$
40/4 40/15 47/22 48/3 52/10
enable [2] 38/10 49/2
end [4] 37/5 38/21 47/19 49/13
engage [2] 32/25 43/15
engaged [3] $21 / 2237 / 11$ 47/25
enjoy [2] 36/6 46/20
enough [1] 18/7
enter [1] 10/14
entered [2] 31/7 41/23
entirely [1] 8/12
entitled [2] 38/6 48/23
eroded [1] 17/19
escort [1] 40/6
escorted [3] 40/11 50/21 51/4
especially [1] 14/23
essential [6] 4/12 4/14 4/21 5/4
37/2 47/16
estimated [2] 35/19 46/8
even [4] 14/16 15/4 25/3 26/3
event [2] $32 / 2043 / 10$
eventually [1] 5/19
ever [1] 6/25
every [8] 16/5 17/15 31/8 31/10
31/1841/24 42/1 42/9
everyone [3] 36/10 40/3 46/24
evidence [40] $4 / 64 / 185 / 15 / 8$
6/11 6/24 7/3 7/5 7/19 7/23 7/25
$\begin{array}{llllll}8 / 24 & 12 / 1 & 12 / 3 & 14 / 16 & 17 / 22 & 19 / 4\end{array}$
19/7 21/7 21/8 21/12 21/17 25/19
26/15 30/22 31/14 32/23 33/6
$36 / 21$ 37/4 38/20 39/16 41/12
$42 / 543 / 1343 / 2147 / 1047 / 18$
49/12 50/8
evidently [1] 7/20
examinations [1] 26/10
example [2] 24/13 39/5
except [1] 30/8
exception [2] 34/4 44/20
exceptional [2] 36/8 46/22
exceptions [2] 33/25 44/16
excuse [1] 16/4
excused [15] 30/1 $32 / 21 \quad 33 / 19$
$34 / 2034 / 2535 / 235 / 2236 / 2$
$43 / 11$ 44/10 45/10 45/14 45/16
$46 / 11$ 46/16

| E | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 25 / 11 \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $51 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| exercise [2] 35/8 45/21 | four [7] 12/9 13/14 16/11 16/12 | happens [2] 14/23 15/1 |
| exercised [1] 28/17 | 17/8 17/25 23/6 | happy [2] 13/25 22/23 |
| exhausted [2] 35/10 45/24 | frame [1] 29/13 | hardship [4] 35/24 36/9 46/13 |
| exist [1] 8/2 | frankly [2] 23/23 28/21 | 46/23 |
| expected [4] 28/3 28/15 32/15 | free [1] 51/3 | hardships [1] 52/4 |
| 43/5 | Fremgen [2] 11/4 11/13 | has [45] 3/15 5/1 5/25 8/17 9/7 |
| explore [1] 28/23 | Fremgen's [1] 11/15 | 9/11 9/24 10/3 10/11 14/15 14/17 |
| extra [2] 22/4 26/7 | Friday [8] 13/1 13/17 13/21 27/8 | 14/24 15/3 16/10 17/19 18/12 |
| extraordinary [2] 35/24 46/13 | 27/9 28/20 29/15 51/14 | 19/3 19/12 19/16 21/8 21/11 |
| extremely [2] 37/21 48/12 | full [1] 51/21 | 21/16 21/16 21/1721/20 21/22 |
| eyes [1] 7/8 | further [11] 8/6 14/16 16/8 22/11 | 23/14 23/19 23/24 24/14 24/20 |
| F | 24/11 29/15 33/12 35/4 40/15 | 25/2 26/12 28/1731/7 35/21 36/7 |
|  | 44/2 45/18 | 36/14 36/18 41/23 46/10 46/21 |
| face [1] 15/20 | future [1] 25/7 | 47/3 47/7 53/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fact [6] } 5 / 20 \quad 9 / 17 \quad 12 / 13 \quad 15 / 940 / 2 \\ & 52 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | G | have [65] |
| factors [1] 9/11 | GAHN [4] 1 | having [2] 19/18 23/8 |
| facts [4] 33/5 33/8 43/20 43/23 | gain [1] 21/18 | he [10] $5 / 165 / 178 / 2011 / 1613 / 10$ |
| factually [1] 26/11 | gap [1] 23/3 | 19/3 19/7 19/7 24/19 25/3 |
| fair [4] 38/6 38/19 48/23 49/11 | general [5] 2/7 20/8 20/15 28/24 | he's [5] 2/11 14/6 19/4 19/8 24/21 |
| fairly [3] 18/19 33/5 43/20 | 29/3 [4] 30/12 32/9 41/2 | heading [1] 25/10 |
| fairness [1] 25/25 | gentlemen [4] 30/12 32/9 41/2 | hear [9] 7/16 8/25 11/4 32/20 33/2 |
| faith [1] 21/21 | 42/24 | 35/11 43/10 43/17 45/25 |
| FALLON [5] 1/13 2/7 27/2 32/2 | get [6] 10/21 24/25 25/1 26/5 30/6 | heard [6] 3/1 5/16 22/5 22/8 25/2 |
| 42/17 | 52/3 | $27 / 2$ |
| false[13] 2/24 3/22 4/11 4/14 4/21 | getting [1] 24/23 | hearing [4] 1/4 2/20 27/22 28/13 |
| 5/5 7/25 23/4 25/11 26/7 26/18 |  | held [1] 7/1 |
| 31/4 41/20 | 19/21 20/16 22/4 29/19 36/11 | Hello [1] 43/4 |
| falsely [1] 7/1 | 38/21 46/25 49/13 | help [1] 19/5 |
| families [2] 33/23 44/14 | given [11] 13/22 14/24 15/21 | her [3] 6/17 31/3 41/20 |
| February [2] 33/13 44/3 | 19/22 23/14 26/8 26/24 28/3 | here[11] 2/11 4/22 7/11 17/20 |
| February 5 [1] 44/3 | 39/17 50/9 50/24 | 17/23 29/17 40/1 40/9 50/23 |
| February 5th [1] 33/13 | giving [3] 20/21 21/6 21/24 | 50/24 51/16 |
| feel [1] 20/21 | gleaned [1] 21/11 | here's [2] 24/10 24/11 |
| fellow [1] 48/21 | go [11] 9/3 9/6 9/13 15/25 22/15 | hereby [1] 53/6 |
| felon [2] 31/4 41/2 | 24/23 24/25 25/1 29/17 40/15 | him [8] 6/2 11/25 16/16 16/17 |
| felony [1] 10/18 | 52/6 | 18/9 24/22 30/20 41/10 |
| fence [1] 17/23 | going [29] 7/10 10/1 11/6 12/13 | himself [1] 4/1 |
| fervently [1] 12/3 | 12/20 12/22 13/11 13/13 16/6 | his [10] 6/23 10/13 10/17 11/6 |
| few [6] 2/17 34/6 40/8 44/21 50/19 | 17/5 17/9 20/6 20/11 20/24 21/2 | 11/19 13/20 31/14 31/16 42/5 |
| 50/23 | 22/2 22/4 24/3 25/11 29/8 29/16 | 42/7 |
| Fifth [1] 11/19 | 33/20 39/18 39/25 40/3 44/11 | home [1] 52/6 |
| filed [6] 2/17 2/23 5/6 6/9 18/15 | 50/17 50/19 50/20 | homicide [2] 31/1 41/18 |
| 22/2 | gone [1] 21/17 | Hon [1] 1/9 |
| files [1] 8/17 | good [13] 2/10 32/1 32/3 32/5 | honor [2] 39/14 50/6 |
| filing [1] 3/16 | 32/8 32/12 32/14 40/17 42/16 | hope [1] 21/18 |
| fill [1] 20/22 | 42/18 42/20 42/23 43/2 | how [6] 13/18 23/23 24/17 29/8 |
| filled [2] 20/11 27/15 | got [3] 5/12 6/6 19/8 | 34/10 45/1 |
| final [3] 29/15 32/19 43/9 | government [4] 39/7 39/13 49/23 | however [9] 9/6 16/3 28/17 36/18 |
| Finally [2] 38/25 49/17 | 50/5 | 37/22 39/10 47/7 48/13 50/2 |
| finder [1] 4/20 | grant [5] 13/6 13/8 16/1 24/2 | Hurley [1] 2/13 |
| finding [2] 8/22 24/7 | 26/19 | hurt [1] 19/5 |
| findings[1] 22/16 | granted [2] 11/20 23/25 | I |
| firearm [2] 31/5 41/21 | grants [1] 18/11 |  |
| first [17] 2/22 3/21 4/7 5/4 7/6 | great [1] 21/14 | [20] 7/21 7/21 9/22 10/1 13/25 |
| 7/23 8/13 11/6 15/14 20/2 22/20 | greater [2] 39/9 50/1 | 21/19 22/2 22/3 24/3 24/8 25/5 |
| 24/16 24/24 26/20 31/1 41/17 | guess [2] 6/4 24/16 | 25/7 25/7 26/13 28/11 28/21 |
| 51/19 | guilt [4] 30/23 31/15 41/13 42/6 | 29/10 29/16 39/18 50/20 |
| fleshed [1] 21/14 | guilty [8] 31/7 31/18 31/20 31/22 | idea [3] 21/17 29/7 40/17 |
| follow [5] 27/13 28/20 29/8 33/7 | 41/23 42/9 42/11 42/13 | ideally [1] 19/18 |
| 43/22 | H | if [54] |
| following [2] 6/9 21/4 | had [15] 2/14 | 14/19 15/2 $15 / 516 / 118 / 1018 / 11$ |
| follows [2] 34/5 44/21 | 6/11 6/13 10/6 10/13 12/7 19/13 | impaneled [2] 33/2 43/17 |
| foregoing [2] 53/7 53/7 | 21/17 27/19 40/20 53/13 | impartial [4] 38/7 38/19 48/24 |
| forget [1] 24/23 | hair [3] 6/17 6/20 6/22 | 49/11 |
| forgets [1] 10/11 | Halbach [5] 5/21 6/2 24/15 31/2 | impartiality [2] 38/14 49/6 |
| form [2] 36/19 47/8 | 41/18 | impartially [2] 33/5 43/20 |
| formal [2] 30/19 41/9 | Halbach's [2] 6/20 6/22 | important [4] 37/21 39/3 48/12 |
| forth [1] 11/15 | half [1] 41/1 | 49/20 |
| forward [5] 9/3 9/13 15/25 18/25 | hand [5] 34/6 39/21 44/22 50/13 | importantly [2] 11/8 11/17 |


|  | involve [2] 35/15 46/4 | Iater [2] 18/16 25/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | is [129] | 14/22 15/12 18/11 24/6 26/9 |
|  | isn't [2] 14/21 23/1 | $31 / 10$ 33/8 38/22 42/1 43/23 |
|  | issue [5] 8/13 21/4 23/15 23/22 | 49/14 |
|  | 24/5 | lawyer [2] 10/13 11/5 |
|  | it [72] | lawyers [9] 10/22 34/24 35/7 |
|  | it's [14] 3/6 8/5 17/1 19/13 19/14 | 35/19 38/10 45/13 45/21 46/8 |
|  | 19/19 19/19 20/1 20/10 20/18 | 49/2 |
|  | 22/19 29/1 29/7 39/4 | lay [1] 14/5 |
| 26/8 26/18 31/4 41/20 | item [1] 2/22 | learn [2] 37/3 47/17 |
| impugn [1] 8/11 | its [7] 3/6 6/11 $28 / 831 / 1333 / 1$ | least [6] 3/20 4/4 4/17 26/5 27/7 |
|  | 42/4 43/16 | 29/10 |
| inadmissible [2] 8/10 17/21 | If [5] 25/14 32/15 36/1743/5 | leave [1] 51/3 |
| inclination $[7]$ 13/4 $13 / 25 \quad 15 / 16$ <br> $15 / 2416 / 11$ <br> $17 / 1$ | 47/6 | led [1] 24/20 |
|  | J | ] 30/8 40/14 51/8 |
|  |  |  |
| included [3] 10/7 14/6 28/23 includes [1] 4/12 | JANUARY[6] 1/8 3/8 4/23 11/2 | length [2] 35/23 46/12 |
|  | 11/12 27/21 | let [8] 6/25 9/1 9/2 19/1 37/7 |
| including [5] 37/8 37/23 47/22$48 / 1448 / 21$ | January 22 [1] 3/8 | 37/14 47/21 48/3 |
|  | January 24 [1] 4/23 | letter [5] 11/15 11/17 |
| inconvenience [2] 36/4 46/18 | jeopardy [1] 12/16 | 46/11 |
|  | JEROME [4] 1/19 2/11 32/13 43/3 | lied [1] 6/1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { inculpates [l] } \\ \text { inculpating [1] } & 14 / 25 \\ \text { i/1 }\end{array}$ | Judge [14] 1/10 8/16 9/5 11/17 | lifetime [2] 4/16 4/17 |
|  | 12/10 15/18 16/18 16/23 16/25 | like [3] 16/5 19/8 28/19 |
|  | 22/8 22/17 25/22 27/5 51/15 | limit [2] 10/2 27/12 |
|  | judgment [2] 38/7 48/24 | line [2] 7/22 14/1 |
|  | juror [13] 1/4 27/14 28/4 28/16 | list [1] 14/7 |
| indicated [2] 29/1 51/1 | 28/24 29/3 34/25 35/2 38/2 39/12 | listen [6] 36/21 37/6 37/12 47/10 |
| lindicates [1] $11 / 18$ | 45/16 48/18 50/4 | 47/20 48/1 |
|  | jurors [43] 12/22 18/18 19/21 | listened [2] 18/8 51/19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33 / 1935 / 1838 / 344 / 244 / 1046 / 6 \\ & 48 / 1951 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 19/22 20/4 20/16 20/18 20/21 | listening [2] 37/16 48/5 |
|  | 20/23 21/1 27/4 27/15 29/6 29/18 | little [4] 18/12 19/6 20/10 28/15 |
| individually [8] 34/13 34/23 35/7$36 / 2545 / 445 / 1245 / 2047 / 13$ | 29/19 30/1 30/6 32/19 32/23 | live [3] 5/15 5/23 6/10 |
|  | 32/24 33/4 33/10 33/11 34/22 | ng [4] 12/7 14/22 37/10 47/24 |
| 36/25 45/4 45/12 45/20 47/13 inference [3] 15/9 30/23 41/13 | 35/6 37/2 39/1 39/6 39/20 40/14 | lot [2] 32/24 43/14 |
| $\text { inferences [2] } 38 / 1149 / 3$ <br> information [28] 3/17 3/23 4/25 | 43/9 43/13 43/14/43/19 43/25 | M |
|  | 44/1 45/11 45/20 47/16 48/22 |  |
| $5 / 66 / 98 / 178 / 2119 / 1219 / 16$$19 / 2321 / 1222 / 2525 / 1825 / 19$ | 49/1849/23 51/20 | machine [1] 53/10 |
|  | jury [63] | made [9] 5/22 8/8 9/7 9/24 10/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 23 & 21 / 12 & 22 / 25 & 25 / 18 & 25 / 19 \\ 26 / 23 & 29 / 20 & 30 / 17 & 30 / 18 & 30 / 21\end{array}$ | just [15] 13/14 18/14 18/20 22/5 | 12/15 21/15 34/1 44/17 |
| $30 / 2433 / 2241 / 741 / 841 / 11$$41 / 1441 / 1644 / 1348 / 8$ | 22/13 25/7 25/24 27/6 27/11 30/5 | make [5] 16/14 21/4 22/25 25/7 |
|  | 31/6 41/22 51/12 52/2 52/8 | 51/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { informed [1] } & 15 / 4 & & \\ \text { innocence [6] } & 8 / 11 & 17 / 18 & 17 / 19\end{array}$ | justice [2] 36/6 46/20 | makes |
|  | K | making [4] 6/12 7/17 38/23 49/15 |
| ( $42 / 2$ | Kachinsky [2] 10/16 10/21 | acled [2] 5/20 |
|  | keep [4] 33/23 39/10 44/14 50/2 | managing [1] |
|  | Ken [1] $2 / 6$ | MANITOWOC [3] 1/1 |
|  | KENNETH [3] 1/11 $31 / 24$ 42/15 | many [7] 24/17 $27 / 24$ 27/25 28/5 |
| instantaneously [1] 10/20 instruct [3] 13/12 37/10 47/24 instructed [1] 12/17 | kidnapping [10] 2/24 3/21 4/8 5/5 | 28/7 38/9 49/1 |
|  | 7/2 7/24 16/21 18/2 22/21 26/21 | March [6] 3/16 |
|  | knew [2] 5/23 6/18 | 24/12 |
| instructing [1] 40/16 | knife [1] 6/18 | March 10 [6] 3/16 4/3 5/2 5/9 6/8 |
| instruction [14] 14/18 15/16 15/21 | knocked [1] 5/17 | 24/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 / 717 / 117 / 6 \quad 17 / 1020 / 2221 / 3 \\ & 21 / 721 / 2422 / 423 / 1323 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | know [12] 13/24 14/23 17/3 18/19 | marginall |
|  | 19/18 21/2 24/23 37/25 38/25 | massive [1] |
| instructions [6] $33 / 738 / 2139 / 17$$43 / 2249 / 1350 / 8$ | 48/16 49/17 51/20 | matter [9] $2 / 2012 / 1317 / 418 / 3$ |
|  | knowing [4] 18/4 20/23 39/12 50/4 | 18/22 37/18 48/7 53/7 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { insufficient [2] } & 4 / 19 \\ \text { insure [2] } & 34 / 1 \\ 44 / 17\end{array}$ | knowledge [2] 19/22 53/14 | matters [4] 28/23 29/12 35/14 |
|  | known [5] 5/8 5/9 6/19 38/24 | 46/3 |
| intend [1] 15/23 | 49/15 | may[25] 8/20 10/5 14/10 14/11 |
| (intended [3] $13 / 238 / 1049 / 2$ | knows [4] 10/5 10/24 11/1 11/11 | 19/5 19/5 19/6 21/13 22/8 23/2 |
|  | KRATZ [9] 1/11 $2 / 6$ 8/15 18/7 | 25/22 33/18 33/23 33/25 34/14 |
| intent [1] 27/12 | 18/23 31/24 31/24 42/15 42/15 | 7/25 38/1 40/10 44/9 44/16 45/5 |
| intention [3] $3 / 63 / 1117 / 5$ intentional [2] 31/1 41/18 | L | 48/16 48/17 51/4 51/7 |
| interlocking [1] 15/1 |  |  |
|  | ladies [4] 30/12 32/8 41/2 42/2 | 19/1 34/18 38/10 45/8 49/2 51/1 |
|  | large [3] 6/18 20/4 20/7 | 53/8 53/9 |
| into [4] 9/12 25/10 39/6 49/2 | last [5] 2/16 10/6 24/13 24/25 | mean [1] 16/24 |
| introduced [2] 39/16 50/8 invoke [1] 11/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}51 / 10 & & & 18 / 23 & 18 / 14 & 18 / 21\end{array}$ | $\underset{48 / 4}{\operatorname{means}[5] ~ 4 / 15 ~ 31 / 8 ~ 37 / 15 ~ 41 / 24 ~}$ |
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|  |  | reading [2] 37/17 48/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | proof [2] 10/11 41/21 | ally [1] 52 |
|  | proposal [1] 26/23 | ason [11] 5/25 34/4 35/1 36/7 |
|  | 11 | 38/13 38/16 44/20 45/15 46/21 |
|  | ed [2] 31/23 | 49/5 49/8 |
|  | prosecution [2] 9/22 | easonable |
|  |  |  |
| please [17] $2 / 431 / 2534 / 834 / 11$ | prosecutor [6] 1/11 1/1 | reasons [4] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36 / 11136 / 2238 / 2339 / 2039 / 23 \\ & 44 / 2445 / 246 / 2547 / 1149 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | 46/25 |
|  |  | rebuttal |
|  | prospective [12] 29/6 33 | receive [2] 39/11 50/3 |
|  | $35 / 235 / 538 / 240 / 1343 / 2545 / 1$ | received [5] 11/12 35/21 36/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { point }[8] \quad 21 / 5 \quad 21 / 2321 / 25 \quad 24 / 1 \\ & 25 / 626 / 1327 / 1150 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | 45/1645/19 48/18 | 46/1047/3 |
| pool [1] 16/9 | [6] 19/14 31/8 | ent [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { position [4] } \\ & 26 / 14\end{aligned} 11 / 9$ 11/16 13/14 | 11 | 1/1 |
| possession [3] 6/12 31/4 41/21 <br> possible [3] 13/19 13/23 29/4 | provide [2] 15/16 | recollect [1] 27 |
|  | Pro | reconsider [1] 29/7 |
| possible [3] 13/19 13/23 29/4 post [1] 20/25 |  | d [7] |
|  | province [4] 12/11 | 40/15 51/1 |
| postpone [1] 21/1 | 22/18 | regaled [1] 5/14 |
|  | proving | regard [2] 21/21 23 |
|  |  | nstate [1] 26/2 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \text { power [1] } 23 / 24 \\ \text { practical }[2] & 13 / 2318 / 3 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{lll}10 / 19 & 24 / 20 & 25 / 2 \\ \text { publicity } & \text { 2] } & 16 / 9 \\ 36 / 25\end{array}$ | [1 |
| ( ${ }^{\text {practicing }}$ pre [3] $16 / 929 / 1551 / 13$ |  |  |
|  | palis | ated [1] 26 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { pre-trial [3] } 16 / 929 / 1551 / 13 \\ & \text { precluding [1] } 25 / 5 \end{aligned}\right.$ <br> preconceived [2] 39/15 50/7 | pu | relates [1] |
|  |  | released [2] 35/4 45/18 |
| preconceived [2] 39/15 50/7 preference [2] 12/19 13/16 prejudice [7] 15/17 15/18 23/18 23/21 24/6 24/8 25/15 | pu | relevant [2] 36/23 47/12 |
|  | pursuing [1] 20/20 | relieves [1] 23/8 |
|  |  | rely [1] 18/17 |
| prejudiced [4] 33/4 38/15 43/19 49/7 <br> preliminary [4] 20/22 26/9 27/6 | put [4] 13/3 21/21 36/20 47/9 puts [1] 12/24 | remain [1] 29/17 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Q |  |
| prepared [3] 24/9 25/7 53/8 <br> prerogative [2] 23/19 28/11 <br> present[5] 30/4 40/12 41/1 42/22 | ques | remed |
|  | $34 / 1136 / 1238 / 1345 / 247 / 14$ | remind [2] 9/1 9/2 <br> rendering [4] 38/18 39/14 |
| 51/6 | questioned [6] 34/23 |  |
| presented [7] 24/14 33/6 37/4 <br> 38/20 43/21 47/18 49/12 | 45/12 45/20 47/14 | repeated |
|  | questioning [2] 33/12 44/2 | replied [1] 27/20 |
| 价 $\begin{aligned} & \text { presents [2] } 36 / 3 \text { 46/17 } \\ & \text { press [1] 29/25 }\end{aligned}$ | questionnaire [32] 1/4 7/14 12/21 | report [1] 24/13 |
|  | 18/16 20/7 20/11 20/14 20/23 | reported [2] 1/24 53/6 |
|  | 27/14 28/4 28/16 28/25 29/3 | Reporter [3] 1/25 53/5 53/ |
|  | 29/21 33/11 34/3 34/7 | representations [1] 21/15 |
| presumes [2] 31/10 42/1 <br> presumption [5] 17/18 17/19 25/1 | 34/17 34/19 36/13 36/24 38/4 | represented [3] 2/11 27/2 |
|  | 44/1 44/19 44/23 45/7 45/9 47 <br> 47/13 48/20 50/18 | request [4] 6/18 18/13 35/22 4 requesting [1] 23/12 |
| 31/12 42/3 | questionnaires [11] 2/16 2/19 27/4 | require [4] 12/9 16/13 33/20 |
| - ${ }^{\text {prevent }} \mathbf{\text { prevent }}$ [2] 38/18 49/10 | 50/25 | requir |
|  | 51/2 $2 / 1 / 3$ | 44/7 |
| - price [2] $36 / 546 / 19$ | questions [19] 20/8 20/13 27/24 | require |
|  | /24 | respect [6] 3/1 9/5 17/14 20/3 |
|  | 38/4 38/9 38/12 44/25 45/5 47/12 | 21/3 26/6 |
| privacy[2] $34 / 144 / 17$ | $\begin{array}{ll}48 / 1448 / 15 & 48 / 2049 / 149 / 4 \\ \text { quite } & 61421 / 21\end{array}$ | responded [2] 35/25 46/ response [2] 36/12 47/1 |
| probably [2] 40/17 52/7 problem [1] 24/10 | R | t[1] 40/8 |
| procedure [2] 20/3 20/6 <br> procedures [1] 28/20 <br> proceed [15] 12/8 12/9 12/ |  | straint [2] 4/ |
|  | radio [4] 36/16 37/16 47/5 48/5 raise [4] 30/23 39/21 41/13 50/13 | retires [2] $32 / 2$ return [8] $31 / 19$ |
| 13/18 14/8 $15 / 11$ 15/23 16/2 16/6 16/11 $17 / 8$ 22/22 23/5 34/5 44/21 | raises [1] 24/17 | 42/10 |
|  | random [2] 33/1 | view |
| proceeding [2] 26/5 33/9 <br> proceedings [9] 1/23 2/14 21/11 | rape [1] 18/1 | ht [16] 11/20 19/16 19/20 19/1 |
|  | raped [2] 6/25 24/14 | 25/5 26/6 26/12 27/1 29/14 39/21 |
| 26/14 37/13 43/24 48/2 52/14 | rapes [1] 24/19 | 39/24 40/13 40/19 40/21 50/13 |
|  | rapist [2] 24/21 |  |
|  | rather [3] 17/1 | ripe [1] |
|  | reached [3] 3/3 |  |
| 29/23 30/6 30/13 32/25 33/15 | 30/16 31/6 35/16 37/6 |  |
|  | 37/12 41/6 41/22 46/5 47/20 48/1 | RPR [2] 1/24 53/1 |
| 43/15 44/6 44/11 46/1 47/25 |  |  |
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strength [1] 3/25
stripped [1] 10/17
strong [3] 14/18 16/7 17/16
strongly [2] 35/15 46/4
submission [1] 27/21
submissions [1] 28/9
submitted [2] 4/23 27/24
subset [1] 14/12
substantial [3] 3/17 36/14 47/3
successive [1] 6/10
suffer [2] 36/8 46/22
sufficient [9] $8 / 5$ 27/18 28/3 35/1
35/5 36/1 45/15 45/19 46/15
suggest [6] 14/15 14/16 15/3
21/20 35/15 46/4
suggestions [2] 28/5 28/6
suggests [1] 18/11
supplemental [5] 12/21 28/4 28/16 28/24 29/2
support [6] 4/6 4/10 6/24 7/6 7/23
7/25
supported [2] 8/24 15/12
suppose [1] 51/24
supposed [2] 17/15 17/17
sure [3] 7/21 21/10 28/21
surely [1] 5/8
surprise [2] 14/8 25/16
surprised [1] 27/23
suspect [2] 38/14 49/6
sustain [3] 4/19 9/1 26/16
swear [2] 39/19 50/11
sweaty [1] 5/19
swimming [3] 15/19 17/16 17/18
sworn [3] 19/4 39/22 50/14
system [2] 36/6 46/20
T
table [2] 12/6 12/12
take[16] 2/22 9/12 11/10 23/13
24/1 1 29/24 32/16 34/8 35/12
35/20 40/8 43/6 44/24 46/1 46/9 50/19
taken [4] 3/11 8/6 15/9 53/9
takes [3] 19/2 19/7 22/3
taking [4] 6/3 6/6 15/22 20/7
talk[12] 9/15 37/7 37/8 37/14
37/14 38/3 47/21 47/22 48/3 48/3 48/19 52/4
talking [3] 9/22 37/20 48/11
team [1] 25/25
telephone [2] 33/17 44/8
television [6] 5/23 9/20 36/16 37/18 47/5 48/7
tell [5] 12/19 17/7 17/24 17/25
18/15
tended [1] 5/10
Teresa [7] 5/21 6/2 6/19 6/22 24/15 31/2 41/18
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 53/4 53/19
testify [9] 10/8 10/14 11/23 11/23
11/24 11/25 12/4 13/10 26/4
testifying [1] 18/5
testimony [3] 4/5 12/10 13/7
than [16] 14/16 17/9 23/6 23/6
24/9 30/1 8 32/22 36/9 37/4 39/9
$41 / 843 / 1246 / 2347 / 1850 / 152 / 5$
thank[7] 3/2 8/16 16/18 27/5
40/21 40/24 52/9

| T | 23/25 26/1 28/13 29/15 31/13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| that [228] | 39/16 42/4 43/5 47/15 47/21 | [27] 2/23 5/85/185/21 6/5 |
| that's [9] 8/4 13/23 14/14 15/24 | 49/14 $40 / 851 / 13$ | 6/14 6/20 6/25 8/25 10/17 |
| 20/20 21/13 23/17 26/111 28/10 | d [2] 7/16 17/25 | /20 11 |
|  | true [1] 53/12 | /4 14/5 14/6 17/5 18/7 27 |
| /8 45/21 | truthful [2] 38 | /17 27/23 28/2 40/19 |
| em [5] 17/25 | [1] | [2] |
| 52/1 | try [3] 18/18 35/20 46/9 | [4] |
| then [19] 3/138/48/149/1515/13$15 / 2216 / 1017 / 1017 / 2518 / 10$$22 / 822 / 2223 / 523 / 725 / 1029 / 18$$33 / 740 / 943 / 22$ | trying [2] 18/20 19/19 | h [4] 37/6 37/12 47/20 48/ |
|  |  | hing [2] 37/17 48/7 |
|  | TV | way [4] 10/19 18/1 30/22 |
|  | two [6] 11/12 12/1 14/2 14/20 | we [65] |
| there [28] 2/17 4/6 5/18 5/20 6/14 7/1 7/1 7/1 7/3 7/20 7/22 12/1 14/7 14/17 14/20 15/8 16/20 |  | we'll [6] |
|  | typ | 44/21 51/2 |
|  | typically [2] 20/8 20/14 | 11] 7/10 12/13 13/1 |
| 17/24 18/1 20/12 23/2 25/16 | U | 43/15 50/1 |
| 43/12 there's [4] 7/19 12/3 23/23 26/15 thereafter [1] 53/11 |  | $121$ |
|  |  | /13 46/7 |
|  | uncertain [2] 34/10 45/1 | weeks [9] 32/1 |
| thereafter [1] 53/11 <br> therefore [4] $2 / 19$ 4/23 12/1 25/16 | uncertainty [2] 34/11 45/2 | 43/6 46/9 46/17 49/24 51/21 |
| athese [4] $18 / 1518 / 1819 / 2121 / 10$they[19] $7 / 117 / 137 / 148 / 215 / 4$$15 / 2118 / 1818 / 1918 / 2020 / 22$ | under [5] 9/17 19/4 25/17 |  |
|  | 44/23 | 51/22 52 |
|  | understand [9] 3/4 5/1 7/12 | went [1] 5/15 |
| 24/5 24/21 51/20 51/22 51/23 <br> 51/23 51/24 52/4 52/6 | 16/25 | were [12] 2/17 |
|  | [2] $3 / 3$ 27/2 | 18/15 19/1 |
|  | understands [2] 36/2 46/16 | 36/1 46/15 |
| think [17] 4/10 4/18 8/5 8/5 9/18 | unfavorable [1] 21 | FRV [1] 24 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 25 & 20 / 18 & 20 / 25 & 24 / 22 \\ 27 / 16 & 27 / 1 & 29 / 16 & 30 / 7 \\ 34 / 3\end{array}$ | unfortunate [2] 36/5 46/19 | what [31] 3/13 7 |
|  | unfortunately [4] 9/15 9/16 | 9/3 9/6 9/11 9/13 11/9 11/9 11/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 27 / 1627 / 1829 / 1630 / 734 / 3 \\ & 36 / 2047 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | 44/15 | 2/19 12/22 13/12 14/17 |
| thinking [1] 52/5 | unless [3] | 15/24 17/4 18/19 18/23 18/24 |
|  | until [10] 10/16 12/16 12/16 | 19/19 19/23 20/14 20/23 21/17 |
|  | 13/16 13/17 16/23 19/7 37/9 | 22/24 28 |
|  | 47/23 | whatever [3] 7/14 22/2 30/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { those }[35] 4 / 215 / 228 / 18 / 219 / 24 \\ & 10 / 110 / 1210 / 2411 / 112 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | un | hatsoever [2] 37/3 47/17 |
| 12/22 14/2 14/10 14/12 14/13 |  | 3/9 5/12 5/22 6/2 6/8 |
| $14 / 2114 / 2516 / 216 / 1220 / 17$$22 / 1623 / 3$$23 / 6$$24 / 3$$26 / 2$3 | up [8] 2/22 5/13 10/10 24/1 | 6/16 8/20 9/7 9/12 9/18 10/9 |
|  | 27/13 28/20 29/8 31/25 | 10/12 11/3 11/25 13/17 14/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 22 / 16 & 23 / 3 & 23 / 6 \\ 35 / 8 & 24 / 3 & 26 / 2 \\ 35 / 25 & 36 / 20 & 37 / 24 \\ 43 / 23 \end{array}$ | upon[10] 11/21 13/6 13/8 23/9 | 14/20 14/23 15/1 23/24 27/20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 / 835 / 2536 / 2037 / 2443 / 23 \\ & 45 / 2246 / 1447 / 948 / 15 \\ & \text { though [2] } 13 / 915 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | 31/19 33/6 35/8 42/10 45/22 | 34/16 36/24 45/6 47/13 51/19 |
|  | /24 | where [6] 7/19 7/22 24/23 24/2 |
| though [2] 13/9 15/3 <br> thought [1] 40/20 | upstream | 1/25 |
| lthoughts [1] $27 / 6$three [4] $4 / 248 / 2112 / 619 / 15$ | urging [1] 9/10 | whether [17] 8/4 11/5 12/7 12/8 |
|  | us [8] 7/5 12/24 14/21 16/13 26/5 | 12/13 13/2 14/8 15/6 16/15 |
| three [4] 4/24 8/21 12/6 19/15 <br> through [4] 10/13 10/16 24/12 <br> 26/5 | 27/18 38/24 49/16 | 16/17 18/5 18/18 19/14 24 |
|  | use [9] 11/20 13/6 13/8 | 33/13 44/3 |
| throughout [2]tight [1] 30/5 | 15/5 16/1 18/10 29/2 | which [27] 2/174/11 10/3 10/7 |
|  | using [3] 20/6 33/9 43/2 | 10/19 13/14 14/21 14/25 $15 / 17$ |
| time [39] $2 / 15 / 259 / 1810 / 1211 / 3$ | usual [1] 15/2 | 1718/25 20/6 21/1 21/ |
| 14/5 14/1914/22 19/18 20/1 21/1 | V | /23 22/25 23/18 27/14 31/7 |
|  |  |  |
| 29/12 29/13 29/16 30/1 33/18 | valid [2] 34/3 44/19 | 44/23 49/10 |
| $34 / 835 / 13$ 39/4 39/18 40/3 40/5$40 / 1140 / 2244 / 944 / 246 / 2$ | van [1] $6 / 3$ | while [10] 4/9 32/18 35/14 35/16 |
|  | vast [1] $28 / 12$ | 39/4 43/8 46/3 46/5 49/21 50/18 |
| $40 / 11$ 40/22 44/9 44/24 46/2 <br> 49/21 50/10 51/5 51/11 51/19 | verdict [8] 31/19 32/19 38/19 | [15] 5/24 11/4 24/14 25/2 |
| 51/20 | 50/6 | 33/3 33/4 34/17 35/25 37/2 43/18 |
| times [2] 10/12 $24 / 17$timing [1]$3 / 23$ | 2/14 5/25 17/24 18/1 | 3/19 43/22 45/7 46/14 47/16 |
|  | 29/10 52/12 |  |
| today [12] $2 / 15$ $12 / 19$ <br> $13 / 4$ $19 / 13$  | victio [1] 7/2 | whose[2] 36/1 46/15 |
| 24/9 25/8 27/15 33/9 42/22 43/25 51/1152/13 | view [1] 15/22 | why [3] 20/20 23/2 24/1 |
|  | voir [20] 20/9 20/15 27/13 28/2 | will [104] |
| told [10] 7/12 7/15 8/1 13/1 17/417/7 35/3 45/17 51/22 51/24 | 28/20 29/4 29/8 33/1 33/19 33/20 | Williams [1] 2/1 |
|  | 35/18 37/19 38/23 43/16 44/25 | Willis [1] 1/9 |
| Tom [1] $2 / 7$ | 44/11 46/7 48/10 48/14 51/25 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { too [3] 17/23 18/14 18/20 } \\ & \text { trace [1] } 6 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | W | /17 26/9 30/14 |
|  | [5] 12/25 |  |
|  |  | wishes [2] 13/24 13/24 |
|  | want [5] 25/9 33/23 38/25 44/14 49/17 | within [7] 12/11 $12 / 20$ 14/14 16/13 16/20 21/1 22/18 |


| W |
| :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { without... [6] } 20 / 23 \text { 24/6 } 24 / 8 \\ & 25 / 1433 / 1944 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { witness [6] } 7 / 8 \quad 11 / 8 \text { 14/4 } 14 / 7 \\ & 15 / 7 \quad 19 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| witnesses [3] 14/5 14/11 14/12 woman [1] 6/3 |
| word [1] 3/10 |
| words [2] 38/17 49/9 |
| would [43] 3/5 8/14 9/19 11/9 |
| 11/16 11/19 11/22 11/23 11/24 |
| 11/25 12/4 13/4 13/9 13/19 13/20 |
| 15/17 20/8 20/21 21/5 21/23 22/5 |
| 24/1 24/5 27/15 27/22 28/19 29/7 |
| 35/23 35/25 36/8 38/18 39/10 |
| 39/20 40/17 46/12 46/14 46/22 |
| 49/10 50/2 51/1051/11 51/23 |
| 52/5 |
| written [8] 30/19 33/10 34/7 34/12 |
| 41/9 44/1 44/22 45/3 |
| wrong [1] 7/22 |
| Y |
| $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline \text { year [1] } & 10 / 6 \\ \text { years [1] } & 24 / 24 \end{array}$ |
| Yes[5] 22/10 22/12 25/23 27/5 |
| 51/17 |
| you [158] |
| young [2] 3/12 8/8 |
| your [40] 16/20 17/1 23/11 31/21 |
| 33/22 34/1 34/8 34/11 34/12 |
| 34/16 34/19 36/11 36/22 37/23 |
| 38/3 38/11 39/8 39/13 39/21 40/1 |
| 40/10 42/12 44/13 44/17 44/24 |
| 45/2 45/3 45/6 45/9 46/25 47/11 |
| 48/14 48/19 48/21 49/3 49/25 |
| 50/5 50/13 50/24 51/1 |
| yourself [5] 33/24 38/12 44/13 |
| 44/15 49/4 |
| yourselves [3] 33/22 37/21 48/12 |
| Z |
| Zero [1] 7/9 |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

