STATE OF WISC	CONSIN,	
	PLAINTIFF,	MOTION HEARING
vs.		Case No. 05 CF 381
STEVEN A. AVE	ERY,	
	DEFENDANT.	
DATE: JANU	JARY 4, 2007	
	Patrick L. Willi uit Court Judge	.s
APPEARANCES:	_	,
AFFEARANCES.	Special Prosecut	
		e State of Wisconsin.
	NORMAN A. GAHN Special Prosecut	
		e State of Wisconsin.
	DEAN A. STRANG Attorney at Law On behalf of the	Defendant
	JEROME F. BUTING Attorney at Law	
		e State of Wisconsin.
	STEVEN A. AVERY Defendant	
	Appeared in pers	son.
	* * * * *	* * *
	TRANSCRIPT OF F	PROCEEDINGS
Re	eported by Diane '	Tesheneck, RPR

THE COURT: At this time the Court calls

State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF

381. This matter is scheduled this afternoon for a
hearing on a motion that was filed yesterday by the

State; specifically, a motion to exclude blood vial
evidence, or in the alternative, to analyze the vial
of blood. Will the parties present state their
appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State appears by

Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz,

appearing as Special Prosecutor. Norm Gahn also

appears as Special Prosecutor. And I should alert

the Court that this is Mr. Gahn's motion.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good afternoon. Steven

Avery is here in person this afternoon. Jerome

Buting of Buting and Williams appears on his behalf,

as do I, Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton.

I think that covers it.

THE COURT: All right. I will indicate for the record that I have received -- I received by fax, it probably came in last night, I read it this morning, that is, the State's motion and the memorandum that was submitted in support of the motion.

I have also received and read the

defendant's response to the State's motion to exclude the blood vial evidence. I will give the parties a opportunity to briefly supplement the memoranda with oral argument, if they wish.

Mr. Gahn.

believe that the Court can make an analysis under the cited cases in our brief of State v. Richardson and State v. Denny. The State will concede that there may be some relevance to this vial of blood to this trial, but I think that the analysis must go further by the Court and to look at the probative value of this and then to make a determination under 904.03 whether this would be a delay of the trial, confusion of issues for the jury. And this complete analysis must be done by the Court.

I note from the response by the defense that at no time do they suggest or state that there is -- this vial of blood is admissible.

And I believe that under the case law, that the Court should rule that this is inadmissible evidence for these reasons.

Conceding there may be some relevancy, I believe that the probative value is very low.

And if one makes an analysis akin to the analysis

the Court made in **State v. Richardson**, you have to look at all the assumptions that a jury is going to have to make about this vial of blood.

Now, I'm making these assumptions along a Richardson analysis knowing that the defense has not filed or given any type of offer of proof of how they plan to connect the vial of blood to Teresa Halbach's SUV. But the jury is going to have to make the assumption that the blood in the vial is Steven Avery's. They are going to have to assume that it was planted some time between November 3rd and November 5th, or if they -- maybe it was planted October 31st, or November 1st, or 2nd. But that type of assumption implies that perhaps a police officer murdered Teresa Halbach and cut up her body and planted this to try and frame Steven Avery.

They would have to assume that the police, or whoever planted it, knew that Teresa Halbach was dead. And how could they know that. The only way they could possibly know that would be is if Steven Avery told them, or Mr. Dassey told them -- and Mr. Dassey didn't say anything until March 1st -- or one of the police actually did the killing, or perhaps they got an anonymous

tip.

But there are so many factors out here, and so many assumptions that would have to be made, that this lends itself to confusion of issues and misleading the jury and, really, a purposeful attempt to distract their attention from focusing on the true issue in this case, and that is, whether Steven Avery murdered Teresa Halbach.

But there's also going to have to be assumptions made that some law enforcement officer had access to this vial of blood somehow, or was there complicity by the Clerk of Court's in Manitowoc County, or was it just --

This isn't a case of negligence we're talking about, you know, an intentional crime committed by law enforcement officers, and possibly along with the Clerk of Court's. This is an appalling allegation that's being made.

And there's so many assumptions, as I said, would have to be made by the jury, that I believe that this is a very low probative value to this evidence. And when you have a low probative value to the evidence, the analysis under a 904.03 examination certainly shows how

this would be such a waste of time and confusion of issues and distraction to the jury.

Because the Court, I believe from prior ruling, especially when we argued the 904.04 (b) other acts, other wrongs and crimes evidence, the Court wants this trial to focus on whether Steven Avery murdered Teresa Halbach and not get sidetracked on other issues and collateral issues. But if this vial of evidence comes in, it is just fraught with other issues such as is it Steven Avery's, who drew it, what happened when it was at Laboratory Corporation of America, who had access to it, what are the security procedures at the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's Office.

There are just so many side issues and collateral issues, that I believe that it necessitates under a 904.03 analysis that this evidence lacks, number one, probative value and also would be a waste of time and confusion of issues, for the jury. And we ask the Court to not allow this evidence to come in.

I also want to address, I guess, sort of a preemptive strike I would like to make on this knowledge on our part, the State, and our access

to this vial of blood and the untimeliness of notifying us about the existence of it.

I want the Court to know that the prosecution team, I believe, exercised due diligence in looking for this vial -- a vial of blood. We recognized this early on and asked our detectives to search for it. And we have searched in all the places that one would expect to find a vial of blood, crime labs, Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, law enforcement.

And as I said, we exercised due diligence looking for it.

This vial of blood turns out to be in existence, but there's really a few people who knew about it. It was never in the control of law enforcement. And to try and associate the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's office with law enforcement is a stretch. This is a public service. They serve the public. These are people who have taken, I imagine, an oath of office, and they have jobs, civil, and criminal, and all the other things that go along with the Clerk of Court's. They are not associated with law enforcement at all.

And I was very surprised to see that a

vial of blood, to turn up there. But we did look, and we looked to try and find it, because we felt that if they want to pursue a planting defense, fine, but how do you plant evidence if there is no blood.

Now they have come up with this, but this is information that was in the possession of Mr. Avery, he could tell them, hey, blood was drawn from me up in Fox Lake, or whatever it was, in 1996. And this was also a Innocence Project case, and that is something I think the defense is more aligned with than prosecution are aligned with.

And they had more of an opportunity to know and find out the existence of this vial of blood. And they knew about it at the latest in July, July 20th. It could have been earlier.

But I believe that they viewed -- if they viewed it so importantly, and wanted it sealed, they should have told us about it.

I think they had a responsibility under 971.23, the discovery statute, to tell us about this and give us the opportunity to test this.

Because this is -- this is the crux of the case, this vial of blood now. And we need to meet the

defense and have the opportunity to test this vial to meet their defense.

And the defense in this case, and I just want to reiterate to the Court that, you're talking -- this is -- you're talking about people's reputations here. There is an allegation that are going to be made by the defense, and they have made them already, that perhaps some law enforcement officer, someone from Manitowoc County, who is sworn to protect the public, to serve the public, took this vial of blood -- and so callously disregard for the Halbach family -- planted this evidence in a car and didn't care who murdered Teresa Halbach.

This is appalling. This is a despicable defense.

And also they are saying that someone in that Manitowoc County Courthouse, whether it be through complicity, or slipshod operations, that this place was just wide open for anybody to willy-nilly walk in and get access to it. And that's not what I found when I visited the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's Office. I didn't find that at all. And it's just a despicable allegation and defense and we need to meet it, your Honor. We need to meet it full on.

And I'm asking the Court, first, to rule that under a *Richardson* and also a *Denny* analysis, how is the defense going to connect the vial of blood in the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's Office to the SUV of Teresa Halbach. How do they make that connection? Just by saying so, it exists?

I mean, you could make that same argument that if Mr. Avery donated blood, or one was taken for a medical procedure, or blood was drawn for any myriad of reasons, that, oh, just because it exists, therefore, somehow, under all those possible scenarios, the blood was taken by someone and planted in the SUV. The connection is there. It is not there. They have not met the law under *Denny* or under a *Richardson* analysis, so it should be excluded.

But if the Court does not wish to exclude it, we ask the Court to allow us time to test it. And we want to test it with the FBI.

That may take three to four months to test it, so we would be asking for a continuance.

And the other concerns that we have are the many, many potential appellate issues that could come up, especially under a *Hicks*, *Moran*,

and Armstrong analysis on whether it be in the 1 interest of justice, or ineffective assistance of 2 counsel. There is evidence, a blood vial that 3 can be tested chemically, that can be 4 5 scientifically tested. And it can tell us whether the blood in Teresa Halbach's car came 7 from that vial of blood. And I believe this case is too important, we have come too far, too long, 8 9 and too many vicious allegations, against people 10 who are public servants or law enforcement officers, have been made, that we must have the 11 12 opportunity to have that vial and do the testing that we believe is suitable to meet their 13 14 defense. May I just have one moment, your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Go ahead. 16 ATTORNEY GAHN: That's all I have. 17 you. 18 19 from Mr. Mr. Buting; is it Mr. Strang?

THE COURT: Mr. Buting. Or I got the brief

ATTORNEY BUTING: We may both respond at different times, depending on the issues that come up, but Mr. Strang will take the lead here.

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY STRANG: One of the good things, your Honor, that 8 or 900 years of history, with the English common law and coming across the Atlantic to the United States, has done for us is to make combat in a courtroom ritual. And when the language becomes very charged and the emotions become very charged, as inevitably they will in a case in which the most serious, horrible, and heinous crime is alleged, it's good to have this tradition of civility, and ritual control of a combat, to fall back upon.

And I agree that the potential implications, as opposed to the allegations that we made, because we have made very few allegations, we have tried to present facts at this point and to explore things that we have found in the Manitowoc County Circuit Court. But I agree with my friend, Mr. Gahn, that the potential inferences from this are, indeed, despicable in the sense of being unspeakable, in the sense of being horrible, and in the sense, particularly, impossibly true.

And I go back to the starting point here in noting the allegations that Steven Avery murdered Teresa Halbach are despicable in the very same way. The allegation that he had sex against this young woman's will with her are despicable, and vicious, in the very same way.

And unlike every law enforcement officer of Manitowoc County, Mr. Avery doesn't go home at night while he is under these sorts of allegations. Presumed innocent though he may be, he sits here today in custody.

And in large part, the issues that the State raises now, the Court already has addressed, after thorough briefing from both sides, briefs filed in June, State's may have actually been filed in May, I don't remember. I know ours in response to the State's motion to prohibit evidence of third party liability was filed on or about June 26th.

And the Court has ruled, on July 10 of last year, just exactly what the disclosure obligation was on the defense for extrinsic evidence of planting, has ruled on what inferences we might pursue, or argue, without extrinsic evidence of planting. And I am glad to hear this afternoon that counsel for the State does not reiterate the written argument made yesterday, that our disclosure was untimely, under this Court's orders. Because by the time this Court set a schedule on July 10 for disclosure of this sort of evidence, the trial

had been moved to October 16. That meant July 10 set a September 16 deadline.

Long before that deadline arrived,
August 22 arrived, and the trial was moved to
February 5. And after very thorough discussion
and disagreement to be worked through and an
exchange of drafts and going round and round and
making at least two, and maybe three trips,
between Mr. Buting and the defense investigators
and the Manitowoc County Circuit Court, we
decided to disclose this extrinsic evidence, or
arguably extrinsic evidence of possible planting
of Steven Avery's blood, to the State.

Not 30 days before trial as the Court's order required, but 60. And not to pursue this ex parte, as we had intimated in chambers we were considering at one point, but to pursue it in open court, in an unsealed fashion, and with service upon our adversaries.

Those weren't easy decisions, but the fact is that disclosure was not just timely here, it was 30 days before the deadline that the Court set after considering exactly the *Richardson* argument and the *Holmes vs. South Carolina* argument to which Mr. Gahn harkens back today.

The issue, as I understand it here, primarily, is disclosure, and now, where do we go from there. To the extent the State is arguing to exclude evidence of possible planting, the Court's ruled on that. I don't know, unless there are questions from the Court that there's a need to revisit the briefing and the rulings on that earlier, particularly since the timing of disclosure now ought be resolved, because we more than complied with the Court's timing order.

The vial clearly will be admissible.

Its availability and proximity to members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department comes in on undisputed facts to the extent of the location of the Clerk's Office, the location of the Sheriff's Department, the location within the Clerk's Office of two boxes or cartons that contain the 1985 Avery file.

And the 904.03 analysis, I think,
benefits in a sense, from stepping back just a
little bit, again, and understanding that from
the beginning counsel for the State has
estimated, as I recall, that it would take four
to five weeks to present the State's
case-in-chief against Mr. Avery on the despicable

allegations that the State hopes to prove. And that perhaps a week would be sufficient for the defense case-in-chief in responding to those allegations.

Now, recently the State has suggested that if there aren't some stipulations from the defense this maybe four to five weeks is tight for the State's case-in-chief. And I will say this, one week is still adequate, or better than adequate, for the defense case.

So if we're to have a discussion about all the linkages that would have to be made, and all the witnesses that would have -- who would have to be called, the balance pretty clearly here tips in favor of the defense and against an argument that this is collateral, or a waste of time.

This evidence goes directly to the integrity of some of the most damning evidence against Mr. Avery that the State intends to offer. And that's the very small amounts of his dried blood that the State will say were found in Teresa Halbach's Toyota.

He's been saying from the beginning, to anybody with a microphone and TV camera,

initially in early November, 2005, that if his blood was in the Toyota, somebody planted it. So that hasn't been any secret about his defense and his view of the facts.

We, as his agents, to a large extent, played the hand that he dealt us, looked down the road to which he pointed us. That the State didn't look in the same places we did, alters not one wit this irreducible fact, the evidence here uncovered in the Manitowoc County Circuit Court, in the Clerk of Court's Office, was as available to the State, or to a member of the public, as it was to the defense.

I don't know that I'm going to go farther on arguing admissibility, because that's not primarily what we're here for today. But I do want to address the matter of further testing and an adjournment, and I think Mr. Buting is better equipped to speak to the specifics of possible testing.

We have tried and failed to get him,

Steven Avery out on bail. It's been 14 months.

It's been solitary confinement. And it's been under conditions where the taping of his every word, other than to counsel, for one reason, has

been used assiduously for the other reason, of gathering evidence by the State. And if he is to remain in custody, we will and do oppose the adjournment of this trial. We want it to go forward on February 5, if he is to remain in custody.

Now, the question of the State's ability or interest in testing can be separated from an adjournment. And, again, after talking about it with Mr. Buting, and his conversations with Mr. Gahn, who is a candid and accessible adversary, we believe that the blood in the vial that was found in the Clerk's Office can be partitioned, divided in a way that does not prejudice the defense and that would allow the State to undertake the testing it seeks to do.

I am assured by Mr. Gahn, and I accept his word entirely that, moreover, even the very small amounts of dried blood in the Toyota RAV are sufficient to allow partitioning or to allow testing by the State, without full consumption or spoliation of that dried blood evidence. I take him at his word. He is an expert in the area of blood and DNA.

So I think, that as a matter of testing,

the Court can fashion conditions that do not prejudice Steven Avery and that would allow the State to pursue the course of testing, any course of testing it may wish. The admissibility or relevance of the results of that testing, I cannot address and the Court cannot address at this point. Nobody has briefed it. We know very little about the proposed EDTA testing.

The track record of admissibility in case law is not good, but it is also not terribly extensive. But, again, this is a separable issue, in the sense that testing can go forward. Admissibility and relevance of results of testing, or opinions formed on the basis of testing, can be addressed later, when there's more, factually, to work with.

But if the State wants to test, and if Mr. Avery is to remain in custody, the trial ought go forward while the testing process is going forward. If Mr. Avery is instead to be released on stringent conditions that would assure the community's safety, and realistically remove any slight risk of flight he may represent, then the calculous changes entirely for the defense.

We would not oppose an adjournment under those circumstances. We don't pursue testing ourselves. We don't know that we will. We aren't asking to. But we understand why the State wants to pursue that testing. And we also understand the potential ramifications, largely unknowable now, but certainly imaginable, of testing results that might cast doubt about a verdict previously rendered in this case.

So, if this man could go home at night, as the law enforcement officers do, and as the rest of us do, with a GPS bracelet on his ankle, or checking in every day to the Two Rivers Police Department, or whatever the conditions are that send him home, we would not oppose the State's request for an adjournment to test.

We may well oppose in the end the admissibility, the relevance of those test results, but that, again, is something the Court could address with the benefit of knowledge of the test results, presumably, and a chance to look at the type of testing that was done, the protocols, and what the case law may have to say about the admissibility of similar tests.

We have not sought defense testing at

this point ourselves, because as Mr. Buting said the last time we were in court, we don't know of a test that can be done that would be productive or helpful. A federal decision from 2005 that Mr. Buting uncovered, has a federal judge writing in her decision that the FBI stopped doing the very testing that Mr. Gahn now says the FBI will do.

Mr. Gahn's information is fresher and, again, this is a man who knows what he's doing and is candid. But our best information had been that the FBI wasn't in this business.

Mr. Buting can address the other lab
that the State has identified as being a possible
site for testing, and I have no basis on which to
dispute the State's assertion that there are, in
this whole country, but two laboratories capable,
presently, of doing this testing, the FBI and a
private laboratory the State has named.
Realistically, for reasons Mr. Buting can
address, the private laboratory may not be a good
choice for either the State or the defense.

So, I hope I have been clear. I understand why the State wants to test. If the presumption of innocence that he enjoys were

undergirded and backstopped here by letting him sleep where innocent people, or presumptively innocent people sleep, we would not oppose an adjournment.

The Court may deny the adjournment for its own reasons, but not over our objection, if bail were modified so that he didn't spend 4 more months, after 14, in jail, presumptively innocent, in solitary confinement, and with his every word to his loved ones listened to by police, for potential evidence.

If that state of life is to continue until he is tried, then Steven Avery opposes an adjournment, thinks that testing could go forward without prejudice to him, but reserves the right to challenge or support, conceivably, admissibility or relevance of test results and opinions flowing from those test results.

And I would like to turn it over to Mr. Buting to go give the Court a little bit better sense of why the 30 day testing option with a private lab may, in the end, not be feasible for either the State or the defense.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, just to give you a

little bit of background, I looked into this. And part of what we were doing when we discovered the possibility that Mr. Avery's blood vial may be in the Clerk's Office and recall that all we knew was that there was a box that said it was in there, the books we did not open until the Court granted permission in December.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But in my research, it did not appear that there was a credible lab available to do the kind of testing that Mr. Gahn now says the FBI is And I don't know anything about their doing. protocol and whether this is credible or not. But what I do know is that the kind of test he is talking about, this EDTA test, to be able to try and measure whether there is this preservative that is found in blood vials, certain blood vials, whether that can be detected in a bloodstain at a crime scene, never came up until the middle of the O.J. Simpson trial, at which point the FBI, for the first time, while the trial was going on, developed some sort of testing protocol.

Their expert was called, actually by the defense in the O.J. case, and was very helpful to the defense, and ultimately very embarrassing to

the FBI, who was part of the whistle blower allegations in the very lengthy investigation that the FBI lab did of misconduct, or negligence, or sloppy practices in their lab. And that analyst, who had testified about the EDTA test, was called to task for that very testimony and that very test.

Since that case, a few cases have gone forward where it's almost -- in fact, it is always the defense that seeks to use this kind of a test to determine -- and in most cases I think it's been post-conviction -- but to determine whether or not the blood may have been planted that was found at the crime scene.

The alternate lab that the State mentions in their motion, National Medical Services located in Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, has been severely discredited. And for that reason, we didn't come to the Court and ask that they do such a test.

The federal case that Mr. Strang was referring to, for some reason I could not find on Westlaw, but it is in public record. It is on the website, PACER website, for the United States District Court and the Southern District of

California, it's **Kevin Cooper vs. Jill Brown**,
Warden of San Quentin. And the District Court
Judge issued a very thorough, 160-page decision,
describing the protocol that was used for EDTA
test in that case.

About 26 pages of the 160 concerned that one issue, the EDTA protocol, how it was devised. There were affidavits filed by the FBI in that case, that federal judge says in a footnote that, Although the FBI had been testing during the O.J. Simpson case, they were no longer in the business of doing EDTA tests. So when I saw that, my knowledge was that, really, there was nobody credible still doing these kinds of tests.

THE COURT: What's the year of the case?

ATTORNEY BUTING: The decision came out in

June of 2005. I didn't copy the whole 160 pages so

I don't have that, but the Case No. -- the local

Case No. is 04-CV-656 and I have a PDF I could

certainly forward to the Court, that I was able to

download from their website.

In that case the defense used

Dr. Ballard from this National Medical Services.

And he was so severely discredited by not only
this court, but a prior court, New Jersey vs.

Pompey, that I just want to read this so that you realize that, frankly, that alternative is not on the table as far as I can see, from either side, to try and submit testing to there.

What the court found in **Pompey**, as repeated in this **Cooper** case, is that Dr. Ballard's analytical methods were haphazard and unreliable.

In sum, he used valid science, gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, to obtain a product, glibly and unscientifically dismissed EDTA sources other than the purple-topped tubes, and took a gargantuan leap to a conclusion that is unsupported by science, facts in the record, or even common sense.

Ballard skewed the presentation of his data, obscured the significance of his findings, and changed his hypotheses to suit defendant's tampering theory. Ballard did not demonstrate that his conclusions were predicated on a reliable foundation. Rather, his constant equivocations discredited his method of reasoning and, thus, rendered his ultimate conclusion worthless.

So I say this just so that it is very

clear, I do not see that lab as any option for either side. And, therefore, we're left with, if Mr. Gahn's information is correct, I have no reason to doubt, but his information apparently now is that the FBI is back in the business of doing this. I don't know how they do it. And I would certainly reserve the opportunity to challenge the reliability or methods of protocol that they use, and may want to discuss with this Court further, how that should be done if that's -- testing is granted. But, clearly, the FBI is the only option, so I can understand why that is the State's preference. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Just very briefly, your Honor. I think you can see that the defense at least agrees that there's something important about doing this testing, that traditionally it's been requested by the defense and has generally come up on post-conviction motions. The FBI does do this testing. I have spoken with them on a number of occasions, yesterday was the latest that I spoke with the chemist, toxicologist who would be doing this testing for us.

I do not, as I stated in the brief, for

the Court's information, I said there were two places. I do not care to send it to National Medical Services. We want to send it to the FBI. That's where I believe the history, and experience, and methodology used by them is -- will be to our benefit, should there be an admissibility hearing down the road.

But I think that the defense recognizes the importance of doing this testing. And if, as they say, this vial of blood goes to the integrity of our evidence, we have to test it, your Honor. And we have to test it at a credible, meaningful laboratory.

I don't think there is any way around this. We either test it now, or test it later. And the cases, I believe, under whether it be ineffective assistance of counsel, or whether it be the interest of justice, it's going to be tested later. That's my belief. And I think that our -- the history of these cases in Wisconsin indicates that it would be prudent to do it now instead of later.

THE COURT: Do I understand that, although the National Medical Services Laboratory is mentioned in your brief, that you share Mr. Buting's

opinion of their capabilities of doing this testing?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Let me put it this way, I share that there has been prior cases, or especially the case, the *Cooper* case, Mr. Buting, that that is in existence, and the National Medical Services, Dr. Ballard, did not fair well. Yes, I agree. And I do not care to send it there. Now, whether they have -- No, I agree, I do not believe that that is an appropriate lab to send it to.

THE COURT: And with respect to the FBI testing, has something changed at the FBI since Mr. Buting indicates they were criticized in the previous case.

ATTORNEY GAHN: I don't know, your Honor I don't know that they stopped doing it. I'm not aware of that. When I talked to the FBI -- I just do not know about that. All I know is that they can test it and they can quantify it.

I want to say something else. There are a few differences, though. And I know that Dr. Ballard did get beat up in some courts, and he did make some stretches and leaps in his conclusions. But as I recall the cases I read, and they are probably the same that Mr. Buting read, you were talking about, he was a defense

witness.

And they were talking about blood that was on fabrics, like on a person's shirt. There is a diffusion of that blood throughout the shirt, and it is difficult to try to determine the volume of what that blood would be, or what would be the volume of the EDTA in that, as compared to the volume of EDTA that's in the blood.

I don't ever recall a case that I read where they had the actual purple-topped tube where they say it's coming from to make a comparison, so that's a difference.

The other difference is is that according to the records that the defense provided me, Laboratory Corporation of America, two days after this blood was drawn by the nurse, made a spot card of it. So that is almost a control that would be very helpful in the interpretation of this case, if that control is still in existence.

Now, I have a call out to Laboratory

Corporation of America. I talked to a Meghan

Clement, and as I said in my brief, there are a

lot of questions still we have to investigate and

look at. But if that spot card is available, then you have sort of like a control, that was taken right after the blood was drawn.

And that spot card, usually, are very fresh. They are free of any type of contaminates. And that's the purpose of them.

You could get an EDTA level right there. And the other thing is, that we have blood that is on, like, the vinyl of the car, on the metal portion, and good photographs of it, things that there isn't any excuses, that one could possibly make a rational determination of the volume that is there.

And that's the difference with this case, than the ones I read where Dr. Ballard, I think did make some leaps, a few leaps from this fabric evidence, and whether it contained blood that would have come from a purple-topped tube. But we have the tube here. I also believe that if we get it, we could make some type of quantitation.

The problem is this, if you look on that Exhibit 3, that the defense sent us in their initial brief, from Laboratory Corporation of America, I can't tell whether they removed one or

two milliliters. I don't know what tube this is.

I don't know if the nurse drew the full container

of the tube. I don't even know the size of the

tube.

All these questions we are trying to answer. And we have only -- And it's been very difficult over the Christmas and New Year's holidays finding people, mostly everyone is operating under a skeletal crew in their offices. We are trying to do, which in contact people, that had we known about this back in July we could have done it. And apparently the defense has not pursued any of that.

And I believe that they just like the fact that there's this vial there. And they are going to just draw their conclusions and try and get the jury to speculate what all the possibilities could have happened to that vial. That's a -- We want to get to the truth. We want to test this. And I believe we must test it.

THE COURT: And what's the -- your brief indicates that -- that the FBI will require three to four months, is that because the test takes that long or some other reason?

ATTORNEY GAHN: I think it's a

recalibration of their instrumentation.

of why it's three to four months.

THE COURT: Explain that to me.

accreditation, you have to recalibrate all your instruments that you do whatever your tests are on. They are in that process of doing the recalibration of their instrumentation. That's my understanding

THE COURT: And is there -- Do you know whether or not there's anything that can accelerate that schedule? Do they understand that this case is scheduled to go to trial in a month?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Yes, I have made that clear as far as -- and I asked and, no, they cannot do that within that time frame.

THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to do today is take under advisement this weekend the request of the State to adjourn the trial. That's one of the issues that's raised here. And I want to spend some time to think about that.

With respect to the other issue that's raised concerning the frame-up evidence, if you will, I did go back and take a look at my notes from July. And this is one case where I didn't

pay enough attention to my own notes. I did indicate in my notes to myself, that if there was going to be evidence introduced in support of a frame-up defense, that it should be dealt with by a motion in limine ahead of time.

At this point, the Court has been informed by the defense that the blood vial in the Clerk's Office would form the basis, or maybe the key element, of a defense case regarding an alleged frame-up. I don't know what other evidence the defense may be contemplating introducing as part of that defense. And in order to conduct an appropriate analysis under Richardson as to whether such evidence should be admissible, I have to know what it is.

We have a motions hearing scheduled for January 19th. What I'm going to order is that the defense provide the Court, in the form of a motion in limine, that whatever evidence it intends to introduce on the issue of a frame-up defense, by next Friday, so that I can review that evidence and we can be prepared to deal with the motion on the 19th of January. I will, on the issue of the request for and adjournment, get back to the parties early next week --

Is there anything else today, keeping in mind we still have, and I think we're still going to keep it, the 9:00 status conference tomorrow?

I want a telephone status conference. I want to -- just to inventory things that have to be addressed before we proceed on the 19th.

ATTORNEY STRANG: There's one more thing today. We have, tomorrow, at the end of the day, a deadline on expert disclosure and also *Denny* disclosure. I think we can hit -- I think we can hit the expert disclosure.

We could hit the *Denny* disclosure, but I have lost a lot of time this week because of this issue, and an unexpected trip to court, and also because of the cancellation of a flight on Tuesday morning, back from a weekend away, and would like the opportunity to file, by Monday at noon, the *Denny* response, rather than by tomorrow at 4:30. I ran that by Mr. Kratz, I'm sure he is not wild about it but, as always, he is courteous and I think doesn't have any objection, but of course that's the Court's call.

THE COURT: Any objection from the State?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's a professional accommodation I'm willing to provide, Judge, not a

1	problem.
2	THE COURT: All right. That's acceptable
3	to the Court. Anything else today?
4	ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, not today, Judge.
5	Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Very we'll, we're adjourned for
7	today.
8	(Proceedings concluded.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
2)ss COUNTY OF MANITOWOC)
3	
4	I, Diane Tesheneck, Official Court
5	Reporter for Circuit Court Branch 1 and the State
6	of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported
7	the foregoing matter and that the foregoing
8	transcript has been carefully prepared by me with
9	my computerized stenographic notes as taken by me
10	in machine shorthand, and by computer-assisted
11	transcription thereafter transcribed, and that it
12	is a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings had in said matter to the best of my
14	knowledge and ability.
15	Dated this 19th day of February, 2007.
16	
17	
18	
19	Diane Tesheneck, RPR Official Court Reporter
20	official coafe Reported
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

0	adjourned [1] 36/6	are [28] 4/10 5/2 6/13 6/16 7/19
04-CV-656 [1] 25/19	adjournment [9] 17/18 18/4 18/9 20/1 20/16 22/4 22/5 22/14 34/24	7/23 8/12 9/7 9/16 10/23 11/10 12/16 12/22 12/24 15/6 18/20
05 [2] 1/5 2/2	admissibility [8] 17/15 19/4 19/9	20/14 21/16 29/19 29/24 30/24
1	19/13 20/18 20/24 22/17 28/7	31/4 31/5 32/5 32/10 32/15 33/6
10 [3] 13/14 13/24 14/1	admissible [3] 3/19 15/11 34/15 adversaries [1] 14/19	33/7 area [1] 18/23
14 [2] 17/22 22/8	adversaries [1] 14/19 adversary [1] 18/12	area[1] 16/23 aren't [2] 16/6 20/4
16 [2] 14/1 14/2	advisement [1] 33/18	arguably [1] 14/12
160 [2] 25/6 25/17		argue [1] 13/18
160-page [1] 25/3	after [7] 13/8 14/5 14/23 18/9 22/8 30/17 31/3	argued [1] 6/4 arguing [2] 15/3 17/15
1996 [1] 8/10	afternoon [4] 2/3 2/14 2/15 13/20	argument [6] 3/4 10/9 13/21 14/24
19th [4] 34/17 34/23 35/6 37/15	again [5] 15/21 18/9 19/11 20/19	14/25 16/16
1st [2] 4/14 4/24	21/10 against [5] 11/9 12/24 15/25 16/15	Armstrong [1] 11/1
2	16/20	arrived [2] 14/3 14/4
2005 [3] 17/1 21/4 25/17	agents [1] 17/5	as [35] 2/11 2/12 2/17 5/20 6/10
2007 [2] 1/9 37/15	agree [4] 12/9 12/15 29/6 29/8 agrees [1] 27/17	7/11 12/4 12/10 14/14 14/16 15/1 15/23 17/5 17/11 17/12 18/25
22 [1] 14/4	ahead [2] 11/15 34/5	20/11 20/11 21/1 21/14 26/3 26/3
26 [1] 25/6	akin [1] 3/25	26/5 27/1 27/25 28/9 29/23 30/7
26th [1] 13/13	alert [1] 2/12 aligned [2] 8/12 8/12	30/24 33/15 33/15 34/12 34/14 35/20 37/9
3	all [17] 2/19 4/2 7/8 7/22 7/24	ask [3] 6/21 10/19 24/19
	9/23 10/12 11/16 16/12 16/13	asked [2] 7/6 33/15
30 [3] 14/14 14/22 22/21 31st [1] 4/13	23/4 29/17 32/5 32/17 33/5 33/17 36/2	asking [3] 10/1 10/22 20/4 assertion [1] 21/16
381 [2] 1/5 2/3		assiduously [1] 18/1
3rd [1] 4/12	allegations [8] 11/9 12/10 12/12	assistance [2] 11/2 28/17
4	12/21 13/4 16/1 16/4 24/2	assisted [1] 37/10
4:30 [1] 35/19	alleged [2] 12/6 34/10 allow [6] 6/22 10/19 18/15 18/20	associate [1] 7/16 associated [1] 7/23
5	18/20 19/2	assume [2] 4/11 4/18
5th [1] 4/12	almost [2] 24/9 30/18	assumption [2] 4/9 4/15
6	along [3] 4/4 5/18 7/22 already [2] 9/8 13/7	assumptions [5] 4/2 4/4 5/3 5/11 5/20
	also [13] 2/11 2/25 5/10 6/20 6/23	assure [1] 19/22
60 [1] 14/15 656 [1] 25/19		assured [1] 18/17
9	35/9 35/14 alternate [1] 24/15	at [33] 1/16 1/18 2/1 3/12 3/18 4/2 6/12 6/14 7/24 8/16 9/23 11/20
900 [1] 11/24	alternative [2] 2/6 26/2	12/12 13/2 14/8 14/17 18/23 19/6
904.03 [4] 3/14 5/25 6/18 15/19	alters [1] 17/8	20/10 20/22 20/25 23/18 23/19
904.04 [1] 6/4	although [2] 25/10 28/23 always [2] 24/10 35/20	24/14 27/16 28/12 29/11 31/1 33/24 34/6 35/8 35/17 35/19
971.23 [1] 8/22 9:00 status [1] 35/3	am [2] 13/19 18/17	Atlantic [1] 11/25
	America [4] 6/12 30/16 30/23	attempt [1] 5/6
Α	31/25 amounts [2] 16/21 18/19	attention [2] 5/6 34/1 Attorney [3] 1/16 1/18 2/10
ability [2] 18/7 37/14 able [2] 23/14 25/20	analysis [13] 3/7 3/11 3/16 3/25	August [1] 14/4
about [26] 4/3 5/16 7/2 7/15 8/16		August 22 [1] 14/4
8/20 8/22 9/5 13/13 16/11 17/3	11/1 15/19 34/13 analyst [1] 24/5	availability [1] 15/12 available [3] 17/11 23/9 31/1
18/9 19/8 20/8 20/24 23/11 23/14 24/5 25/6 27/17 29/17 29/25 30/2	analytical [1] 26/7	AVERY [20] 1/6 1/19 2/2 2/15 4/17
32/11 33/21 35/20	analyze [1] 2/6	4/22 5/8 6/7 8/8 10/9 12/21 13/2
accelerate [1] 33/11	ankle [1] 20/12 anonymous [1] 4/25	15/18 15/25 16/20 17/22 19/2 19/18 19/20 22/13
accept [1] 18/17	answer [1] 32/6	Avery's [4] 4/10 6/11 14/13 23/3
acceptable [1] 36/2 access [4] 5/12 6/13 6/25 9/20	any [12] 4/6 10/11 17/3 19/3 19/23	aware [1] 29/16
accessible [1] 18/11	27/1 28/14 31/5 31/11 32/13 35/21 35/23	away [1] 35/16
accommodation [1] 35/25	anybody [2] 9/19 16/25	В
according [1] 30/15 accreditation [1] 33/5	anything [5] 4/23 23/11 33/11	back [9] 12/8 12/20 14/25 15/20
across [1] 11/25	35/1 36/3 appalling [2] 5/19 9/15	27/5 32/11 33/24 34/25 35/16 background [1] 23/1
acts [1] 6/5	apparently [2] 27/4 32/12	backstopped [1] 22/1
actual [1] 30/11 actually [3] 4/24 13/10 23/23	appear [1] 23/8	bail [2] 17/22 22/7
address [7] 6/23 17/17 19/6 19/6	appearances [2] 1/11 2/8 Appeared [1] 1/20	balance [1] 16/14 Ballard [6] 25/23 26/16 26/19 29/6
20/20 21/13 21/21	appeared [1] 1/20	29/21 31/15
addressed [3] 13/8 19/15 35/6 adequate [2] 16/9 16/10	appears [3] 2/9 2/12 2/16	Ballard's [1] 26/7
adjourn [1] 33/19	appellate [1] 10/24 appropriate [2] 29/9 34/13	basis [3] 19/14 21/15 34/8 be [53]
	appropriate [2] 29/9 34/13	וכר]
•	•	

В	candid [2] 18/11 21/11	concerning [1] 33/23
beat [1] 29/21	cannot [3] 19/6 19/6 33/15 capabilities [1] 29/1	concerns [1] 10/23 concluded [1] 36/8
because [12] 6/3 8/2 8/24 10/12	capable [1] 21/17	conclusion [2] 26/13 26/23
12/11 13/23 15/9 17/15 21/1	car [3] 9/13 11/6 31/9	conclusions [3] 26/20 29/23 32/16
32/23 35/13 35/15	card [3] 30/18 31/1 31/4	conditions [4] 17/24 19/1 19/21
become [1] 12/3 becomes [1] 12/3	care [3] 9/14 28/2 29/7 carefully [1] 37/8	20/14 conduct [1] 34/13
been [21] 8/17 11/11 13/10 14/1	Carolina [1] 14/24	conference [2] 35/3 35/4
16/24 17/3 17/22 17/23 17/23	cartons [1] 15/17	confinement [2] 17/23 22/9
18/1 21/11 21/23 24/12 24/13	case [37] 1/5 2/2 3/20 5/7 5/15	confusion [4] 3/15 5/4 6/1 6/20
24/18 25/10 27/18 29/3 32/6 34/6	8/11 8/24 9/3 11/7 12/4 15/25	connect [2] 4/7 10/3
37/8 before [5] 1/10 14/3 14/14 14/22	16/3 16/8 16/10 19/10 20/9 20/23 23/24 24/8 24/21 25/5 25/9 25/11	connection [2] 10/6 10/14 considering [2] 14/17 14/23
35/6	25/15 25/18 25/19 25/22 26/6	constant [1] 26/21
beginning [2] 15/22 16/24	29/4 29/4 29/13 30/10 30/20	consumption [1] 18/21
behalf [5] 1/12 1/14 1/16 1/18	31/15 33/12 33/25 34/9	contact [1] 32/10
2/16 being [4] 5/19 12/17 12/18 21/14	case-in-chief [3] 15/25 16/3 16/8 cases [7] 3/8 24/8 24/11 28/16	contain [1]
belief [1] 28/19	28/20 29/3 29/23	contained [1] 31/17 container [1] 32/2
believe [17] 3/7 3/20 3/24 5/22 6/3		contaminates [1] 31/6
6/17 7/4 8/18 11/7 11/13 18/12	certain [1] 23/16	contemplating [1] 34/11
28/4 28/16 29/8 31/19 32/14	certainly [4] 5/25 20/7 25/20 27/7	continuance [1] 10/22
32/20 benefit [2] 20/20 28/6	certify [1] 37/6 CF [2] 1/5 2/2	continue [1] 22/12 control [5] 7/15 12/7 30/19 30/20
benefits [1] 15/20	Challenge [2] 22/16 27/8	31/2
best [2] 21/11 37/13	chambers [1] 14/16	conversations [1] 18/10
better [3] 16/9 17/19 22/21	chance [1] 20/21	conviction [2] 24/12 27/20
between [2] 4/11 14/9 bit [3] 15/21 22/20 23/1	changed [2] 26/18 29/11 changes [1] 19/24	Cooper [3] 25/1 26/6 29/4 copy [1] 25/17
blood [47]	charges [1] 19/24 charged [2] 12/3 12/4	Corporation [4] 6/12 30/16 30/23
bloodstain [1] 23/18	checking [1] 20/13	31/24
blower [1] 24/1	chemically [1] 11/4	correct [2] 27/3 37/12
body [1] 4/16 books [1] 23/6	chemist [1] 27/23	could [17] 4/20 4/21 8/8 8/17 10/8
both [2] 11/20 13/8	chief [3] 15/25 16/3 16/8 choice [1] 21/22	10/25 20/10 20/20 22/14 24/22 25/19 31/7 31/11 31/20 32/12
box [1] 23/5	Christmas [1] 32/7	32/18 35/12
boxes [1] 15/17	chromatography [1] 26/10	counsel [5] 11/3 13/20 15/22
bracelet [1] 20/12 BRANCH [2] 1/1 37/5	chromatography/mass [1] 26/10	17/25 28/17
brief [7] 3/8 11/18 27/25 28/25	CIRCUIT [6] 1/1 1/10 12/14 14/10 17/10 37/5	country [1] 21/17 COUNTY [16] 1/1 2/10 5/14 6/14
30/24 31/24 32/21	circumstances [1] 20/2	7/10 7/17 9/10 9/17 9/22 10/4
briefed [1] 19/7	cited [1] 3/8	12/14 13/2 14/10 15/13 17/10
briefing [2] 13/8 15/7	civil [1] 7/21	37/2
briefly [2] 3/3 27/15 briefs [1] 13/9	civility [1] 12/7 clear [3] 21/23 27/1 33/14	course [3] 19/3 19/3 35/22 court [49]
Brown [1] 25/1	clearly [3] 15/11 16/14 27/11	Court's [14] 5/13 5/18 6/15 7/17
Burish [1] 2/17	Clement [1] 30/24	7/23 9/22 10/5 13/23 14/14 15/5
business [3] 21/12 25/11 27/5	Clerk [8] 5/13 5/18 6/14 7/17 7/23	15/10 17/11 28/1 35/22
but [43] BUTING [17]	9/22 10/4 17/11 Clerk's [5] 15/15 15/16 18/13 23/4	courteous [1] 35/20 Courthouse [1] 9/17
11/19 14/9 17/18 18/10 21/1 21/5	34/8	courtroom [1] 12/2
21/13 21/20 22/20 22/24 29/4	collateral [3] 6/8 6/17 16/16	courts [1] 29/21
29/12 29/24	combat [2] 12/1 12/7	covers [1] 2/18
Buting's [1] 28/25	come [8] 6/22 8/6 10/25 11/8 11/21 24/19 27/19 31/18	credible [4] 23/9 23/12 25/14 28/13
С	comes [2] 6/9 15/13	crew [1] 32/9
calculous [1] 19/24	coming [2] 11/25 30/12	crime [5] 5/16 7/9 12/5 23/18
California [1] 25/1 call [2] 30/22 35/22	committed [1] 5/17	24/14
called [3] 16/14 23/23 24/6	common [2] 11/25 26/15 community's [1] 19/22	crimes [1] 6/5 criminal [1] 7/21
callously [1] 9/12	compared [1] 30/8	criticized [1] 29/12
calls [1] 2/1	comparison [1] 30/13	crux [1] 8/24
Calumet [1] 2/10 came [4] 2/21 11/6 23/18 25/16	complete [1] 3/15	custody [4] 13/5 18/3 18/6 19/18
came [4] 2/21 11/6 23/18 23/16	complicity [2] 5/13 9/18 complied [1] 15/10	cut [1] 4/16 CV [1] 25/19
can [23] 3/7 11/4 11/4 11/5 18/8	computer [1] 37/10	
18/13 19/1 19/12 19/15 21/3	computer-assisted [1] 37/10	D
21/13 21/20 23/17 26/3 27/12	computerized [1] 37/9	damning [1] 16/19
27/16 29/17 29/18 33/11 34/21 34/22 35/10 35/10	concede [1] 3/9 Conceding [1] 3/23	Dassey [2] 4/22 4/23 data [1] 26/17
can't [1] 31/25	conceivably [1] 22/16	DATE [1] 1/9
cancellation [1] 35/15	concerned [1] 25/6	Dated [1] 37/15

D	23/11 25/12 25/14 27/6 27/18	expect [1] 7/8
day [4] 20/13 22/21 35/8 37/15	27/23 28/9 29/1 29/15 33/7 don't [17] 13/10 15/5 17/14 20/2	experience [1] 28/5 expert [4] 18/23 23/23 35/9 35/11
days [3] 14/14 14/22 30/17	20/3 21/2 23/11 25/18 27/6 28/14	Explain [1] 33/2
dead [1] 4/20 deadline [4] 14/2 14/3 14/22 35/9	29/14 29/15 30/10 32/1 32/2 32/3 34/10	explore [1] 12/13 extensive [1] 19/11
deal [1] 34/22	donated [1] 10/9	extent [3] 15/3 15/14 17/5
dealt [2] 17/6 34/4	done [6] 3/16 12/1 20/22 21/3	extrinsic [4] 13/16 13/19 14/11
DEAN [2] 1/15 2/17 December [1] 23/7	27/10 32/12 doubt [2] 20/8 27/4	14/12 F
decided [1] 14/11	down [2] 17/6 28/7	=
decision [4] 21/4 21/6 25/3 25/16 decisions [1] 14/20	download [1] 25/21 Dr. [5] 25/23 26/7 29/6 29/21	fabric [1] 31/17 fabrics [1] 30/3
DEFENDANT [3] 1/7 1/16 1/20	31/15	fact [4] 14/21 17/9 24/9 32/15
defendant's [2] 3/1 26/18 defense [43]	Dr. Ballard [4] 25/23 29/6 29/21 31/15	factors [1] 5/2 facts [4] 12/12 15/14 17/4 26/14
delay [1] 3/14	Dr. Ballard's [1] 26/7	factually [1] 19/16
demonstrate [1] 26/19	drafts [1] 14/7	failed [1] 17/21
Denny [6] 3/9 10/2 10/16 35/9 35/12 35/18	draw [1] 32/16 drawn [4] 8/9 10/11 30/17 31/3	fair [1] 29/6 fall [1] 12/7
deny [1] 22/5	drew [2] 6/11 32/2	family [1] 9/13
Department [4] 7/10 15/13 15/16 20/14	dried [3] 16/22 18/19 18/22	far [3] 11/8 26/3 33/15 farther [1] 17/15
depending [1] 11/21	due [2] 7/4 7/11 during [2] 25/10 33/4	fashion [2] 14/18 19/1
describing [1] 25/4	E	favor [1] 16/15
despicable [6] 9/15 9/24 12/17 12/22 12/25 15/25	earlier [2] 8/17 15/8	fax [1]
detected [1] 23/17	early [3] 7/6 17/1 34/25	21/18 23/10 23/20 24/1 24/3 25/8
detectives [1] 7/7 determination [2] 3/13 31/12	easy [1] 14/20 EDTA [10] 19/8 23/14 24/6 25/4	25/10 27/5 27/11 27/20 28/3 29/10 29/11 29/16 32/22
determine [3] 24/11 24/12 30/5	25/7 25/12 26/12 30/7 30/8 31/7	feasible [1] 22/23
developed [1] 23/21	either [5] 21/22 22/23 26/3 27/2	February [3] 14/5 18/5 37/15
devised [1] 25/7 Diane [3] 1/24 37/4 37/19	28/15 element [1] 34/9	February 5 [2] 14/5 18/5 federal [4] 21/4 21/5 24/21 25/9
did [13] 4/25 8/1 17/8 23/6 23/8	else [3] 29/19 35/1 36/3	felt [1] 8/3
24/3 26/19 29/6 29/21 29/22 31/16 33/24 34/1	embarrassing [1] 23/25 emotions [1] 12/3	few [5] 7/14 12/11 24/8 29/20 31/16
	end [3] 20/17 22/22 35/8	file [2] 15/18 35/17
24/19 25/17 33/25	enforcement [10] 5/11 5/17 7/10	filed [6] 2/4 4/6 13/9 13/10 13/13
difference [3] 30/13 30/14 31/14 differences [1] 29/20	7/16 7/18 7/24 9/9 11/10 13/1 20/11	25/8 find [5] 7/9 8/2 8/15 9/23 24/22
different [1] 11/21	English [1] 11/25	finding [1] 32/8
difficult [2] 30/5 32/7 diffusion [1] 30/4	enjoys [1] 21/25 enough [1] 34/1	findings [1] 26/17 fine [1] 8/4
diligence [2] 7/5 7/11	entirely [2] 18/18 19/24	first [2] 10/1 23/20
directly [1] 16/18 disagreement [1] 14/6	equipped [1] 17/19 equivocations [1] 26/22	five [2] 15/24 16/7 flight [2] 19/23 35/15
disclose [1] 14/11	especially [3] 6/4 10/25 29/3	flowing [1] 22/18
disclosure [10] 13/15 13/22 13/25	estimated [1] 15/23	focus [1] 6/6
14/21 15/2 15/9 35/9 35/10 35/11 35/12	even [3] 18/18 26/15 32/3 ever [1] 30/10	focusing [1] 5/7 footnote [1] 25/9
discovered [1] 23/2	every [5] 13/1 17/24 20/13 22/10	foregoing [2] 37/7 37/7
discovery [1] 8/22 discredited [3] 24/18 25/24 26/22	33/4 everyone [1] 32/8	form [2] 34/8 34/18 formed [1] 19/14
discuss [1] 27/9	evidence [33] 2/6 3/2 3/22 5/23	forward [7] 18/5 19/12 19/19
discussion [2] 14/5 16/11	5/24 6/5 6/9 6/19 6/22 8/4 9/13	19/20 22/14 24/9 25/20
dismissed [1] 26/11	11/3 13/12 13/17 13/19 13/25 14/11 14/12 15/4 16/18 16/19	found [7] 9/21 12/14 16/22 18/13 23/16 24/14 26/5
disregard [1] 9/12	17/9 18/2 18/22 22/11 28/11	foundation [1] 26/21
distract [1] 5/6 distraction [1] 6/2	31/17 33/23 34/3 34/11 34/14 34/19 34/22	four [5] 10/21 15/23 16/7 32/23 33/9
District [4] 2/10 24/25 24/25 25/2	ex [1] 14/16	Fox [1] 8/9
divided [1] 18/14 DNA [1] 18/24	exactly [2] 13/15 14/23 examination [1] 5/25	frame [6] 4/17 33/16 33/23 34/4 34/10 34/20
do [33] 2/17 3/18 8/4 10/6 11/12	exchange [1] 14/7	frame-up [4] 33/23 34/4 34/10
15/2 17/17 18/3 18/16 19/1 20/11	exclude [4] 2/5 3/2 10/19 15/4	34/20 frankly [1] 26/2
20/12 21/8 23/9 23/13 24/20 27/1 27/6 27/20 27/25 28/2 28/22	excluded [1] 10/17 excuses [1] 31/11	frankly [1] 26/2 fraught [1] 6/10
28/23 29/7 29/8 29/17 32/10 33/6	exercised [2] 7/4 7/11	free [1] 31/5
33/10 33/12 33/15 33/18 37/6 does [4] 10/18 13/21 18/14 27/20	Exhibit [1] 31/23 existence [5] 7/2 7/14 8/15 29/5	fresh [1] 31/5 fresher [1] 21/9
doesn't [2] 13/2 35/21	30/21	Friday [1] 34/21
doing [14] 21/6 21/10 21/18 23/2	exists [2] 10/7 10/12	friend [1] 12/15
-	•	•

F	17/5 17/24 18/10 18/18 18/23 20/12 22/9 22/10 26/16 26/17	issue [8] 5/7 15/1 19/12 25/7 33/22 34/20 34/24 35/14
full [3] 9/25 18/21 32/2 further [3] 3/12 17/17 27/10	26/18 26/20 26/21 26/22 26/23	issued [1] 25/3
G	27/4 29/22 history [3] 11/24 28/4 28/20	issues [13] 3/15 5/5 6/2 6/8 6/9 6/10 6/16 6/17 6/21 10/24 11/21
GAHN [10] 1/13 2/11 3/5 12/15	hit [3] 35/10 35/11 35/12 holidays [1] 32/8	13/6 33/20 it [90]
14/25 18/11 18/17 21/7 23/10 27/14	Holmes [1] 14/24 home [3] 13/2 20/10 20/15	it's [14] 9/23 12/6 17/22 17/23 17/23 24/9 24/12 25/1 27/18
Gahn's [3] 2/13 21/9 27/3	Hon [1] 1/10	28/18 30/12 32/6 32/25 33/9
gargantuan [1] 26/13 gas [1] 26/9	Honor [7] 3/6 9/25 11/14 11/24 27/16 28/12 29/14	its [2] 15/12 22/6 itself [1] 5/4
gathering [1] 18/2 generally [1] 27/19	hope [1] 21/23 hopes [1] 16/1	J
get [9] 6/7 9/20 17/21 29/21 31/7	horrible [2] 12/5 12/18	jail [1] 22/8
31/20 32/17 32/19 34/24 give [4] 3/2 8/23 22/20 22/25	how [9] 4/7 4/20 5/25 8/4 10/3 10/5 25/7 27/6 27/10	JANUARY [3] 1/9 34/17 34/23 January 19th [1] 34/17
given [1] 4/6 glad [1] 13/19	Hurley [1] 2/17 hypotheses [1] 26/18	JEROME [2] 1/17 2/15 Jersey [1] 25/25
glibly [1] 26/11		Jill [1] 25/1
go [15] 3/11 7/22 11/15 12/20 13/2 15/2 17/14 18/4 19/12 19/19	l'm [8] 4/4 10/1 17/14 29/15 33/17	jobs [1] 7/21 judge [7] 1/10 21/5 22/25 25/3
20/10 22/14 22/20 33/13 33/24 goes [2] 16/18 28/10	34/17 35/19 35/25 identified [1] 21/14	25/9 35/25 36/4 July [7] 8/17 8/17 13/14 13/24
going [16] 4/3 4/8 4/10 5/10 9/7	if [32] 3/4 3/25 4/12 4/22 6/9 8/3	14/1 32/11 33/25
10/3 14/7 17/14 19/20 23/21 28/18 32/16 33/17 34/3 34/17	8/4 8/18 10/9 10/18 16/6 16/11 17/1 18/2 18/5 19/17 19/17 19/20	July 10 [3] 13/14 13/24 14/1 July 20th [1] 8/17
35/2 gone [1] 24/8	20/10 21/24 22/6 22/12 27/2 27/10 28/9 30/20 31/1 31/20	June [3] 13/9 13/13 25/17 June 26th [1] 13/13
good [6] 2/14 11/23 12/6 19/10	31/22 32/2 33/23 34/2	jury [8] 3/15 4/2 4/8 5/5 5/21 6/2
21/21 31/10 got [2] 4/25 11/18	imaginable [1] 20/7 imagine [1] 7/20	6/21 32/17 just [20] 5/14 6/10 6/16 9/3 9/19
GPS [1] 20/12 granted [2] 23/6 27/11	implications [1] 12/10 implies [1] 4/15	9/23 10/6 10/11 11/14 13/15 14/21 15/20 22/25 26/1 26/25
Grove [1] 24/17	importance [1] 28/9	27/15 29/16 32/14 32/16 35/5
guess [1] 6/23 H	important [2]	justice [2] 11/2 28/18
I 🗖		I K
	impossibly [1] 12/19	K keep [1] 35/3
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20 hearing [4] 1/4 2/4 28/7 34/16 heinous [1] 12/5	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18 interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10 KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 L lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20 hearing [4] 1/4 2/4 28/7 34/16 heinous [1] 12/5 helpful [3] 21/4 23/24 30/19	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18 interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16 into [1] 23/1	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10 KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 L lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4 24/15 27/1 29/9
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20 hearing [4] 1/4 2/4 28/7 34/16 heinous [1] 12/5 helpful [3] 21/4 23/24 30/19 her [3] 4/16 12/24 21/6 here [14] 2/15 5/2 9/6 11/22 12/20	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18 interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16 into [1] 23/1 introduce [1] 34/20 introduced [1] 34/3	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10 KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 L lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4 24/15 27/1 29/9 laboratories [1] 21/17 laboratory [8] 6/12 21/19 21/21
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20 hearing [4] 1/4 2/4 28/7 34/16 heinous [1] 12/5 helpful [3] 21/4 23/24 30/19 her [3] 4/16 12/24 21/6 here [14] 2/15 5/2 9/6 11/22 12/20 13/5 14/21 15/1 16/15 17/9 17/16 22/1 31/19 33/20	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18 interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16 into [1] 23/1 introduce [1] 34/20 introduced [1] 34/3 introducing [1] 34/12 inventory [1] 35/5	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10 KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 L lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4 24/15 27/1 29/9 laboratories [1] 21/17 laboratory [8] 6/12 21/19 21/21 28/13 28/24 30/16 30/22 31/24 labs [1] 7/9
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20 hearing [4] 1/4 2/4 28/7 34/16 heinous [1] 12/5 helpful [3] 21/4 23/24 30/19 her [3] 4/16 12/24 21/6 here [14] 2/15 5/2 9/6 11/22 12/20 13/5 14/21 15/1 16/15 17/9 17/16	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18 interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16 into [1] 23/1 introduce [1] 34/20 introduced [1] 34/3 introducing [1] 34/12 inventory [1] 35/5 investigate [1] 30/25	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10 KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 L lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4 24/15 27/1 29/9 laboratories [1] 21/17 laboratory [8] 6/12 21/19 21/21 28/13 28/24 30/16 30/22 31/24
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20 hearing [4] 1/4 2/4 28/7 34/16 heinous [1] 12/5 helpful [3] 21/4 23/24 30/19 her [3] 4/16 12/24 21/6 here [14] 2/15 5/2 9/6 11/22 12/20 13/5 14/21 15/1 16/15 17/9 17/16 22/1 31/19 33/20 hereby [1] 37/6 hey [1] 8/8 Hicks [1] 10/25	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18 interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16 into [1] 23/1 introduce [1] 34/20 introduced [1] 34/3 introducing [1] 34/12 inventory [1] 35/5 investigate [1] 30/25 investigators [1] 14/9	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10 KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 L lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4 24/15 27/1 29/9 laboratories [1] 21/17 laboratory [8] 6/12 21/19 21/21 28/13 28/24 30/16 30/22 31/24 labs [1] 7/9 lacks [1] 6/19 Lake [1] 8/9 language [1] 12/2
had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 9/14 10/5 12/22 Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 hand [1] 17/6 haphazard [1] 26/7 happened [2] 6/11 32/18 harkens [1] 14/25 has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 32/13 34/6 37/8 hasn't [1] 17/3 have [68] he [21] 8/8 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 he's [2] 16/24 21/10 hear [1] 13/20 hearing [4] 1/4 2/4 28/7 34/16 heinous [1] 12/5 helpful [3] 21/4 23/24 30/19 her [3] 4/16 12/24 21/6 here [14] 2/15 5/2 9/6 11/22 12/20 13/5 14/21 15/1 16/15 17/9 17/16 22/1 31/19 33/20 hereby [1] 37/6 hey [1] 8/8	impossibly [1] 12/19 in [133] inadmissible [1] 3/21 indeed [1] 12/16 indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 inevitably [1] 12/4 inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 27/3 27/4 28/1 informed [1] 34/7 initial [1] 31/24 initially [1] 17/1 innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 instead [2] 19/20 28/22 instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 instruments [1] 33/6 integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 intends [2] 16/20 34/20 intentional [1] 5/16 interest [3] 11/2 18/8 28/18 interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16 into [1] 23/1 introduce [1] 34/20 introduced [1] 34/3 introduced [1] 34/3 introduced [1] 34/3 introducing [1] 34/12 inventory [1] 35/5 investigate [1] 30/25 investigators [1] 14/9 irreducible [1] 17/9 is [114]	keep [1] 35/3 keeping [1] 35/1 Ken [1] 2/10 KENNETH [1] 1/11 Kevin [1] 25/1 key [1] 34/9 killing [1] 4/25 kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 kinds [1] 25/14 knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 33/10 34/10 34/15 knowing [1] 4/5 knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 37/14 known [1] 32/11 knows [1] 21/10 KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 L lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4 24/15 27/1 29/9 laboratories [1] 21/17 laboratory [8] 6/12 21/19 21/21 28/13 28/24 30/16 30/22 31/24 labs [1] 7/9 lacks [1] 6/19 Lake [1] 8/9

	medical [6] 10/10 24/16 25/23	New [2] 25/25 32/7
later [4] 19/15 28/15 28/19 28/22	28/3 28/24 29/5 meet [5] 8/25 9/2 9/25 9/25 11/13	next [2] 34/21 34/25 night [3] 2/21 13/3 20/10
latest [2] 8/16 27/22	Meghan [1] 30/23	nilly [1] 9/20
law [17] 1/16 1/18 3/20 5/11 5/17 7/10 7/16 7/17 7/24 9/9 10/16	member [1] 17/12 members [1] 15/12	no [12] 1/5 2/2 3/18 8/5 21/15
11/10 11/25 13/1 19/10 20/11	memoranda [1] 3/4	25/11 25/18 25/19 27/3 29/8 33/15 36/4
20/23	memorandum [1] 2/23	nobody [2] 19/7 25/13
lead [1] 11/22 leap [1] 26/13	mentioned [1] 28/25	noon [1] 35/18
leaps [3] 29/22 31/16 31/16	mentions [1] 24/16 met [1] 10/15	Norm [1] 2/11 NORMAN [1] 1/13
least [2] 14/8 27/17	metal [1] 31/9	not [46]
left [1] 27/2 lends [1] 5/4	method [1] 26/22 methodology [1] 28/5	note [1] 3/17 notes [4] 33/24 34/1 34/2 37/9
lengthy [1] 24/2	methods [2] 26/7 27/8	notifying [1] 7/2
Let [1] 29/2	microphone [1] 16/25	noting [1] 12/21
letting [1] 22/1 level [1] 31/7	middle [1] 23/19 might [2] 13/18 20/8	November [4] 4/12 4/12 4/14 17/1 November 1st [1] 4/14
liability [1] 13/12	milliliters [1] 32/1	November 3rd [1] 4/12
life [1] 22/12 like [7] 6/24 22/19 30/3 31/2 31/9	mind [1] 35/2	November 5th [1] 4/12 now [16] 4/4 8/6 8/25 13/7 15/2
32/14 35/17	misconduct [1] 24/3 misleading [1] 5/5	15/9 16/5 18/7 20/7 21/7 23/10
limine [2] 34/5 34/19	modified [1] 22/7	27/5 28/15 28/22 29/7 30/22
linkages [1] 16/12 listened [1] 22/10	moment [1] 11/14 Monday [1] 35/17	number [2] 6/19 27/21 nurse [2] 30/17 32/2
little [4] 15/21 19/8 22/20 23/1	month [1] 33/13	0
local [1] 25/18	months [5] 10/21 17/22 22/8 32/23	
located [1] 24/17 location [3] 15/14 15/15 15/16	33/9 Moran [1] 10/25	O.J [3] 23/19 23/24 25/10 oath [1] 7/20
long [3] 11/8 14/3 32/24	more [6] 8/12 8/14 15/9 19/16	objection [3] 22/6 35/21 35/23
longer [1] 25/11 look [8] 3/12 4/2 8/2 17/8 20/22	22/7 35/7 moreover [1] 18/18	obligation [1] 13/16 obscured [1] 26/17
31/1 31/22 33/24	morning [2] 2/22 35/16	obtain [1] 26/10
looked [3] 8/2 17/6 23/1	most [3] 12/5 16/19 24/11	occasions [1] 27/22
looking [2] 7/5 7/11 lost [1] 35/13	mostly [1] 32/8 motion [12] 1/4 2/4 2/5 2/13 2/22	October [2] 4/13 14/1 October 16 [1] 14/1
lot [2] 30/25 35/13	2/24 3/1 13/11 24/16 34/5 34/19	October 31st [1] 4/13
loved [1] 22/10 low [3] 3/24 5/22 5/23	34/23 motions [2] 27/20 34/16	offer [2] 4/6 16/21 office [11] 6/15 7/17 7/21 9/22
M	moved [2] 14/1 14/4	10/5 15/15 15/17 17/11 18/13
machine [1] 37/10	Mr [1] 22/24	23/4 34/8 officer [4] 4/15 5/12 9/9 13/1
made [14] 4/1 5/4 5/11 5/19 5/21	Mr. [40] Mr. Avery [7] 8/8 10/9 13/2 15/25	officers [3] 5/17 11/11 20/11
9/7 9/8 11/11 12/11 12/11 13/21	16/20 19/18 19/20	offices [1] 32/9
16/12/30/18/33/14 make [13] 3/7/3/13/4/3/4/9/6/24	Mr. Avery's [1] 23/3 Mr. Buting [12] 11/18 14/9 17/18	Official [3] 1/25 37/4 37/19 oh [1] 10/11
10/6 10/8 12/1 29/22 30/12 31/11	18/10 21/1 21/5 21/13 21/20	on [50]
31/16 31/20	22/20 29/4 29/12 29/24	one [17] 3/25 4/24 6/19 7/8 10/9
makes [1] 3/25 making [2] 4/4 14/8	Mr. Buting's [1] 28/25 Mr. Dassey [2] 4/22 4/23	11/14 11/23 14/17 16/9 17/9 17/25 25/7 31/11 31/25 33/20
man [2] 20/10 21/10	Mr. Gahn [8] 3/5 12/15 14/25	33/25 35/7
MANITOWOC [15] 1/1 5/14 6/14 7/9 7/17 9/10 9/17 9/22 10/4	18/11 18/17 21/7 23/10 27/14 Mr. Gahn's [3] 2/13 21/9 27/3	ones [2] 22/10 31/15 only [4] 4/21 25/24 27/12 32/6
12/14 13/2 14/10 15/13 17/10	Mr. Kratz [1] 35/19	open [3] 9/19 14/18 23/6
37/2 many [7] 5/2 5/3 5/20 6/16 10/24	Mr. Mr. Buting [1] 11/19	operating [1] 32/9
10/24 11/9	Mr. Strang [3] 11/19 11/22 24/21 murdered [5] 4/16 5/8 6/7 9/14	operations [1] 9/18 opinion [1] 29/1
March [1] 4/24	12/22	opinions [2] 19/14 22/18
March 1st [1] 4/24 mass [1] 26/10	must [4] 3/11 3/16 11/11 32/20 my [12] 12/15 23/8 25/12 28/19	opportunity [7] 3/3 8/14 8/23 9/1 11/12 27/7 35/17
matter [5] 2/3 17/17 18/25 37/7	30/24 33/3 33/8 33/24 34/1 34/2	oppose [5] 18/3 20/1 20/15 20/17
37/13 may [19] 3/10 3/23 10/21 11/14	37/9 37/13	22/3
11/20 13/4 13/9 13/10 19/4 19/23	myriad [1] 10/11 myself [1] 34/2	opposed [1] 12/10 opposes [1] 22/13
20/17 20/23 21/21 22/5 22/22	N	option [3] 22/21 27/1 27/12
23/3 24/13 27/9 34/11 maybe [4] 4/13 14/8 16/7 34/8	named [1] 21/19	or [55] oral [1] 3/4
me [6] 8/9 29/2 30/16 33/2 37/8	National [5] 24/16 25/23 28/2	order [4] 14/15 15/10 34/13 34/17
37/9 mean [1] 10/8	28/24 29/5 necessitates [1] 6/18	orders [1] 13/23 other [15] 6/5 6/5 6/8 6/10 7/22
meaningful [1] 28/13	need [4] 8/25 9/24 9/25 15/7	10/23 17/25 18/1 21/13 26/12
meant [1] 14/1	negligence [2] 5/15 24/4	30/14 31/8 32/24 33/22 34/10
measure [1] 23/15	never [2] 7/15 23/18	ought [2] 15/9 19/19

0	presumably [1] 20/21	recalibrate [1] 33/5
0	Presumed [1] 13/4	recalibration [2] 33/1 33/7
our [11] 3/8 6/25 6/25 7/6 13/22	presumption [1] 21/25	recall [4] 15/23 23/4 29/23 30/10
14/19 21/11 22/6 28/6 28/11 28/20	presumptively [2] 22/2 22/8 pretty [1] 16/14	received [3] 2/20 2/20 2/25 recently [1] 16/5
ours [1] 13/11	previous [1] 29/13	recognized [1] 7/6
ourselves [2] 20/3 21/1	previously [1] 20/9	recognizes [1] 28/8
out [6] 5/2 7/13 8/15 17/22 25/16	primarily [2] 15/2 17/16	record [5] 2/8 2/20 19/9 24/23
30/22 over [3] 22/6 22/19 32/7	prior [3] 6/3 25/25 29/3 private [3] 21/19 21/21 22/22	26/14 records [1] 30/15
own [2] 22/6 34/1	probably [2] 2/21 29/24	referring [1] 24/22
P	probative [5] 3/12 3/24 5/22 5/24	regarding [1] 34/9
PACER [1] 24/24	6/19	reiterate [2] 9/4 13/21
page [1] 25/3	problem [2] 31/22 36/1 procedure [1] 10/10	released [1] 19/21 relevance [5] 3/10 19/5 19/13
pages [2] 25/6 25/17	procedures [1] 6/14	20/18 22/17
part [5] 6/25 13/6 23/2 24/1 34/12	proceed [1] 35/6	relevancy [1] 3/23
parte [1] 14/16 particularly [2] 12/19 15/8	proceedings [3] 1/23 36/8 37/13	reliability [1] 27/8
parties [3] 2/7 3/3 34/25	process [2] 19/19 33/7 product [1] 26/11	reliable [1] 26/21 remain [3] 18/3 18/5 19/18
partitioned [1] 18/14	productive [1] 21/3	remember [1] 13/10
partitioning [1] 18/20	professional [1] 35/24	remove [1] 19/23
party [1] 13/12 Patrick [1] 1/10	prohibit [1] 13/12 Project [1] 8/10	removed [1] 31/25
		rendered [2] 20/9 26/23 repeated [1] 26/6
PDF [1] 25/19	proposed [1] 19/8	reported [2] 1/24 37/6
Pennsylvania [1] 24/17	prosecution [2] 7/4 8/12	Reporter [3] 1/25 37/5 37/19
people [7] 7/14 7/20 11/9 22/2 22/3 32/8 32/10	Prosecutor [4] 1/12 1/14 2/11 2/12	
people's [1] 9/6	protect [1] 9/10	reputations [1] 9/6 request [3] 20/16 33/19 34/24
perhaps [4] 4/15 4/25 9/9 16/2	27/8	requested [1] 27/19
permission [1] 23/7	protocols [1] 20/23	require [1] 32/22
person [2] 1/20 2/15 person's [1] 30/3	prove [1] 16/1 provide [2] 34/18 35/25	required [1] 14/15
photographs [1] 31/10	provide [2] 34/18/33/23 provided [1] 30/16	research [1] 23/8 reserve [1] 27/7
place [1] 9/19	proximity [1] 15/12	reserves [1] 22/15
places [3] 7/8 17/8 28/2	prudent [1] 28/21	resolved [1] 15/9
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4 plan [1] 4/7	public [7] 7/18 7/19 9/11 9/11 11/10 17/12 24/23	respect [2] 29/10 33/22 respond [1] 11/20
plant [1] 4/7	11/10 17/12 24/23 purple [3] 26/12 30/11 31/18	respond [1] 11/20
planted [8] 4/11 4/13 4/17 4/19	purple-topped [3] 26/12 30/11	response [4] 3/1 3/17 13/11 35/18
9/13 10/14 17/2 24/13	31/18	responsibility [1] 8/21
planting [5] 8/3 13/17 13/19 14/12 15/4	purpose [1] 31/6 purposeful [1] 5/6	rest [1] 20/12 results [7] 19/5 19/13 20/8 20/19
played [1] 17/6	purposerui [1] 3/6 pursue [7] 8/3 13/18 14/15 14/17	20/21 22/17 22/18
please [1] 2/8	19/3 20/2 20/5	review [1] 34/21
point [7] 12/13 12/20 14/17 19/7	pursued [1] 32/13	revisit [1] 15/7
21/1 23/20 34/6 pointed [1] 17/7	put [1] 29/2	Richardson [7] 3/8 4/1 4/5 10/2 10/16 14/23 34/14
police [5] 4/15 4/19 4/24 20/13	Q	right [6] 2/19 22/15 31/3 31/7
22/11	quantify [1] 29/18	33/17 36/2
Pompey [2] 26/1 26/5 portion [1] 31/9	quantitation [1] 31/21 Quentin [1] 25/2	risk [1] 19/23
possession [1] 8/7	question [1] 25/2	ritual [2] 12/2 12/7 Rivers [1] 20/13
possibilities [1] 32/18	questions [3] 15/6 30/25 32/5	road [2] 17/7 28/7
possibility [1] 23/3	R	round [2] 14/7 14/7
possible [5] 10/13 14/12 15/4 17/20 21/14	raised [2] 33/20 33/23	RPR [2] 1/24 37/19
possibly [3] 4/21 5/18 31/11	raises [1] 13/7	rule [2] 3/21 10/1 ruled [3] 13/14 13/17 15/5
post [2] 24/12 27/20	ramifications [1] 20/6	ruling [1] 6/4
post-conviction [2] 24/12 27/20	ran [1] 35/19	rulings [1] 15/7
potential [5] 10/24 12/9 12/16 20/6 22/11	rather [2] 26/21 35/18 rational [1] 31/12	S
practices [1] 24/4	RAV [1] 18/19	safety [1] 19/22
predicated [1] 26/20	read [7] 2/21 2/25 26/1 29/23	said [7] 5/21 7/11 21/1 23/5 28/1
preemptive [1] 6/24	29/25 30/10 31/15	30/24 37/13
preference [1] 27/13 prejudice [3] 18/15 19/2 22/15	realistically [2] 19/22 21/20 realize [1] 26/2	same [5] 10/8 12/23 12/25 17/8 29/24
prepared [2] 34/22 37/8	really [3] 5/5 7/14 25/13	San [1] 25/2
present [3] 2/7 12/12 15/24	reason [6] 17/25 18/1 24/19 24/22	saw [1] 25/12
presentation [1] 26/16	27/4 32/24	say [8] 4/23 16/8 16/22 20/23
presently [1] 21/18 preservative [1] 23/15	reasoning [1] 26/22 reasons [4] 3/22 10/11 21/20 22/6	26/25 28/10 29/19 30/12 saying [3] 9/16 10/6 16/24
	3/22 10/11 21/20 22/0	347.119 [3] 3/10 10/0 10/24
I		

S	sought [1] 20/25	Teresa [10] 4/8 4/16 4/19 5/8 6/7
says [3] 21/7 23/10 25/9	sources [1] 26/12	9/14 10/5 11/6 12/22 16/23
	South [1] 14/24 Southern [1] 24/25	terribly [1] 19/10 Tesheneck [3] 1/24 37/4 37/19
scene [2] 23/18 24/14	speak [1] 17/19	test [28] 8/23 9/1 10/20 10/20
schedule [2] 13/24 33/12	Special [4] 1/12 1/14 2/11 2/12	10/21 19/17 20/16 20/18 20/21
scheduled [3] 2/3 33/13 34/16	specifically [1] 2/5	21/3 21/24 22/17 22/18 23/13
science [2] 26/9 26/14 scientifically [1] 11/5	specifics [1] 17/19 spectrometry [1] 26/10	23/14 24/6 24/7 24/11 24/20 25/5 28/11 28/12 28/15 28/15 29/18
sealed [1] 8/19	speculate [1] 32/17	32/20 32/20 32/23
search [1] 7/7	spend [2] 22/7 33/21	tested [3] 11/4 11/5 28/19
searched [1] 7/8 secret [1] 17/3	spoke [1] 27/22	testified [1] 24/5 testimony [1] 24/7
	spoken [1] 27/21 spoliation [1] 18/22	testinony [1] 24/7 testing [36] 11/12 17/17 17/20
see [4] 7/25 26/3 27/1 27/16	spot [3] 30/18 31/1 31/4	18/8 18/16 18/21 18/25 19/3 19/4
seeks [2] 18/16 24/10	ss [1] 37/1	19/5 19/8 19/12 19/14 19/15
send [5] 20/15 28/2 28/3 29/7 29/9 sense [7] 12/17 12/18 12/18 15/20	Stanton [1] 2/1/	19/19 20/2 20/5 20/8 20/22 20/25 21/7 21/15 21/18 22/14 22/21
19/12 22/21 26/15	state [43]	23/10 23/22 25/10 26/4 27/11
sent [1] 31/23	State's [10] 2/22 3/1 13/9 13/11	27/18 27/21 27/24 28/9 29/1
separable [1] 19/11	15/24 16/8 18/7 20/15 21/16	29/11
separated [1] 18/8 September [1] 14/2	27/13 stated [1] 27/25	tests [4] 20/24 25/12 25/14 33/6 than [7] 8/12 15/10 16/9 17/25
September 16 [1] 14/2	States [2] 12/1 24/24	26/12 31/15 35/18
serious [1] 12/5	status [2] 35/3 35/4	Thank [4] 3/6 11/16 27/13 36/5
servants [1] 11/10 serve [2] 7/19 9/11	statute [1] 8/22	that [246]
	stenographic [1] 37/9 stepping [1] 15/20	that's [20] 5/19 9/21 11/16 16/21 17/15 27/10 28/4 28/19 30/8
Services [5] 24/17 25/23 28/3	STEVEN [15] 1/6 1/19 2/2 2/14	30/13 31/6 31/14 32/19 33/8
28/24 29/5	4/10 4/17 4/22 5/8 6/6 6/11 12/21	33/19 33/20 33/22 35/22 35/24
set [3] 13/24 14/2 14/23 severely [2] 24/18 25/24	14/13 17/22 19/2 22/13 still [6]	36/2 their [15] 2/7 5/6 9/2 11/13 23/11
sex [1] 12/23	35/2 35/2	23/23 24/4 24/16 25/21 29/1
share [2] 28/25 29/3	stipulations [1] 16/6	31/23 32/9 32/16 33/1 33/8
Sheriff's [3] 7/10 15/13 15/15 shirt [2] 30/3 30/5	stopped [2] 21/6 29/15 STRANG [5] 1/15 2/17 11/19 11/22	them [7] 4/22 4/23 8/8 9/8 27/21
	24/21	28/5 31/6 then [4] 3/13 19/24 22/13 31/2
should [8] 2/12 3/21 8/20 10/17	stretch [1] 7/18	theory [1] 26/19
27/10 28/6 34/4 34/14	stretches [1] 29/22	there [38]
shows [1] 5/25 side [3] 6/16 26/3 27/2	strike [1] 6/24 stringent [1] 19/21	there's [9] 5/10 5/20 7/14 15/6 19/15 27/17 32/15 33/11 35/7
sides [1] 13/9	submit [1] 26/4	thereafter [1] 37/11
sidetracked [1] 6/8	submitted [1] 2/23	therefore [2] 10/12 27/2
significance [1] 26/17 similar [1] 20/24	such [4] 6/1 6/10 24/20 34/14 sufficient [2] 16/2 18/20	these [7] 3/22 4/4 7/19 13/3 25/14 28/20 32/5
Simpson [2] 23/19 25/11	suggest [1] 3/18	they [46]
since [3] 15/8 24/8 29/11	suggested [1] 16/5	thing [2] 31/8 35/7
site [1] 21/15 sits [1] 13/5	suit [1] 26/18 suitable [1] 11/13	things [5] 7/22 11/23 12/13 31/10
size [1] 32/3		35/5 think [19] 2/18 3/11 8/11 8/21
skeletal [1] 32/9	supplement [1] 3/3	15/19 17/18 18/25 24/11 27/16
skewed [1] 26/16	support [3] 2/23 22/16 34/3	28/8 28/14 28/19 31/16 32/25
sleep [2] 22/2 22/3 slight [1] 19/23	sure [1] 35/19 surprised [1] 7/25	33/21 35/2 35/10 35/10 35/21 thinks [1] 22/14
slipshod [1] 9/18	SUV [3] 4/8 10/5 10/14	third [1] 13/12
sloppy [1] 24/4	sworn [1] 9/10	this [112]
small [2]	T	thorough [3] 13/8 14/5 25/3 those [6] 10/13 14/20 16/3 20/2
9/12 10/6 10/17 10/21 16/11 17/2	table [1] 26/3	20/18 22/18
18/25 20/10 21/23 22/7 25/12	take [6] 10/21 11/22 15/23 18/22	though [2] 13/4 29/20
25/17 25/24 26/1 26/25 26/25 27/12 30/13 30/18 34/21	33/18 33/24 taken [5] 7/20 10/10 10/13 31/3	three [4] 10/21 14/8 32/22 33/9 through [2] 9/18 14/6
27/12 30/13 30/18 34/21 solitary [2] 17/23 22/9	37/9	through [2] 9/18 14/6 throughout [1] 30/4
some [15] 3/10 3/23 4/11 5/11 9/9	takes [1] 32/23	thus [1] 26/23
16/6 16/19 23/21 24/22 29/21	talked [2] 29/16 30/23	tight [1] 16/7
29/22 31/16 31/20 32/24 33/21 somebody [1] 17/2	talking [7] 5/16 9/5 9/5 18/9 23/14 29/25 30/2	time [14] 2/1 3/18 4/11 6/1 6/20 10/19 13/23 16/17 21/2 23/20
somehow [2] 5/12 10/12	tampering [1] 26/19	33/16 33/21 34/5 35/13
someone [3] 9/9 9/16 10/14	taping [1] 17/24	timely [1] 14/21
something [5] 8/11 20/19 27/17 29/11 29/19	task [1] 24/6 team [1] 7/4	times [1] 11/21 timing [2] 15/8 15/10
29/11/29/19 sort [4] 6/23 13/25 23/21 31/2	telephone [1] 35/4	tip [1] 5/1
sorts [1] 13/3	tell [4] 8/8 8/22 11/5 31/25	tips [1] 16/15
	<u> </u>	

use [2] 24/10 27/9 24/1 24/5 27/23 used [5] 18/1 25/4 25/22 26/9 28/5 whoever [1] 4/19 today [9] 13/5 14/25 17/16 33/18 usually [1] 31/4 whole [2] 21/17 25/17 35/1 35/8 36/3 36/4 36/7 why [5] 20/4 21/24 22/21 27/12 told [3] 4/22 4/23 8/20 33/9 tomorrow [3] 35/3 35/8 35/18 valid [1] 26/9 wide [1] 9/19 too [4] 11/8 11/8 11/8 11/9 value [5] 3/13 3/24 5/22 5/24 6/19 wild [1] 35/20 took [2] 9/11 26/13 verdict [1] 20/9 will [17] 2/7 2/19 3/2 3/9 11/22 topped [3] 26/12 30/11 31/18 very [25] 3/24 5/22 7/25 12/3 12/3 12/4 12/24 15/11 16/8 16/22 18/3 toxicologist [1] 27/23 12/11 12/23 12/25 14/5 16/21 20/3 21/7 28/6 32/22 33/24 34/23 **Toyota [3]** 16/23 17/2 18/19 18/18 19/7 21/7 23/24 23/25 24/2 Williams [1] 2/16 track [1] 19/9 24/6 24/7 25/3 26/25 27/15 30/19 willing [1] 35/25 tradition [1] 12/6 31/4 32/6 36/6 Willis [1] 1/10 traditionally [1] 27/18 Willow [1] 24/17 vial [31] 2/5 2/6 3/2 3/10 3/19 4/3 transcribed [1] 37/11 4/7 4/10 5/12 6/9 7/1 7/5 7/5 7/9 willy [1] 9/20 willy-nilly [1] 9/20 transcript [3] 1/23 37/8 37/12 7/13 8/1 8/15 8/25 9/2 9/11 10/4 transcription [1] 37/11 11/3 11/7 11/12 15/11 18/12 23/3 WISCONSIN [9] 1/1 1/3 1/12 1/14 trial [12] 3/11 3/14 6/6 13/25 14/4 28/10 32/15 32/18 34/7 1/18 2/2 28/21 37/1 37/6 14/14 18/4 19/18 23/19 23/21 vials [2] 23/16 23/17 wish [3] 3/4 10/18 19/4 33/13 33/19 wit [1] 17/9 vicious [2] 11/9 12/25 tried [3] 12/12 17/21 22/13 view [1] 17/4 within [2] 15/16 33/16 without [3] 13/18 18/21 22/15 witness [1] 30/1 trip[1] 35/14 viewed [2] 8/18 8/18 trips [1] 14/8 vinyl [1] 31/9 true [3] 5/7 12/19 37/12 visited [1] 9/21 witnesses [1] 16/13 volume [4] 30/6 30/7 30/8 31/12 truth [1] 32/19 woman's [1] 12/24 try [7] 4/17 7/16 8/2 23/14 26/4 word [4] 17/25 18/18 18/23 22/10 W 30/5 32/16 work [1] 19/16 walk [1] 9/20 trying [2] 32/5 32/10 worked [1] 14/6 want [16] 6/23 7/3 8/3 9/4 10/20 tube [6] 30/11 31/18 31/19 32/1 worthless [1] 26/24 17/17 18/4 26/1 27/9 28/3 29/19 32/3 32/4 would [32] 3/14 4/18 4/21 5/3 32/19 32/19 33/20 35/4 35/4 tubes [1] 26/12 5/21 6/1 6/20 6/24 7/8 10/22 wanted [1] 8/19 Tuesday [1] 35/16 15/23 16/2 16/12 16/13 16/13 18/15 19/2 19/21 20/1 20/15 21/3 turn [2] 8/1 22/19 wants [4] 6/6 19/17 20/5 21/24 22/3 22/19 27/7 27/23 28/21 30/6 turns [1] 7/13 Warden [1] 25/2 TV[1] 16/25 was [53] 30/7 30/19 31/18 34/8 35/17 wasn't [1] 21/12 two [7] 14/8 15/17 20/13 21/17 writing [1] 21/5 waste [3] 6/1 6/20 16/16 28/1 30/17 32/1 written [1] 13/21 way [6] 4/21 12/23 12/25 18/14 type [5] 4/6 4/14 20/22 31/5 31/20 wrongs [1] 6/5 28/14 29/2 we [79] **year [2]** 13/15 25/15 ultimate [1] 26/23 we'll [1] 36/6 ultimately [1] 23/25 we're [6] 5/15 16/11 17/16 27/2 Year's [1] 32/7 uncovered [2] 17/10 21/5 35/2 36/6 years [1] 11/24 under [19] 3/7 3/13 3/20 5/25 6/18 website [3] 24/24 24/24 25/21 **Yes [2]** 29/6 33/14 week [4] 16/2 16/9 34/25 35/13 8/21 10/2 10/12 10/16 10/16 yesterday [3] 2/4 13/22 27/22 10/25 13/3 13/23 17/24 20/1 weekend [2] 33/18 35/16 you [21] 3/6 4/1 5/16 5/23 8/4 28/16 32/9 33/18 34/13 weeks [2] 15/24 16/7 10/8 11/17 22/25 26/1 27/13 well [3] 20/17 29/6 33/3 undergirded [1] 22/1 27/16 28/25 29/25 31/2 31/7 understand [7] 15/1 20/4 20/6 were [14] 14/16 16/22 21/2 21/25 31/22 33/5 33/6 33/10 33/23 36/5 21/24 27/12 28/23 33/12 22/7 23/2 25/8 25/11 26/7 26/20 you're [2] 9/4 9/5 young [1] 12/24 understanding [3] 15/21 33/4 33/8 28/1 29/12 29/25 30/2 undertake [1] 18/16 weren't [1] 14/20 your [11] 3/6 9/25 11/14 11/24 undisputed [1] 15/14 Westlaw [1] 24/23 27/15 28/12 28/25 29/14 32/21 what [19] 6/11 6/13 9/21 13/15 unexpected [1] 35/14 33/5 33/6 United [2] 12/1 24/24 13/17 17/16 20/23 21/10 23/2 unknowable [1] 20/7 23/13 26/5 30/6 30/6 32/1 32/17 33/17 34/10 34/15 34/17 unless [1] 15/5 unlike [1] 13/1 what's [2] 25/15 32/21 unreliable [1] 26/8 whatever [4] 8/9 20/14 33/6 34/19 unscientifically [1] 26/11 when [9] 5/23 6/4 6/12 9/21 12/2 unsealed [1] 14/18 19/15 23/2 25/12 29/16 unspeakable [1] 12/17 where [9] 15/2 17/24 22/2 24/9 unsupported [1] 26/14 28/4 30/11 30/12 31/15 33/25 until [4] 4/24 22/13 23/6 23/18 whether [17] 3/14 5/8 6/6 9/17 11/1 11/6 23/12 23/15 23/17 untimeliness [1] 7/1 24/13 28/16 28/17 29/7 31/17 untimely [1] 13/22 **up [13]** 4/16 8/1 8/6 8/9 10/25 31/25 33/11 34/14 11/22 23/18 27/19 29/21 33/23 which [6] 12/4 14/25 17/7 21/15 34/4 34/10 34/20 23/19 32/10 while [3] 13/3 19/19 23/20 upon [2] 12/8 14/19 us [12] 7/2 8/20 8/22 8/23 10/19 whistle [1] 24/1 who [13] 6/11 6/13 7/14 7/20 9/10 11/5 12/1 17/6 17/7 20/12 27/24 9/14 11/10 16/13 18/11 21/10 31/23