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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls two matters that are scheduled for initial appearance today, both entitled State of Wisconsin vs. Steven A. Avery, Case No. 05 CF 375 and 05 CF 381. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, the State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz. I appear in each case as Special Prosecutor.

ATTORNEY LOY: Steven Avery appears personally with his attorneys, Erik Loy and Craig Johnson, your Honor. We would note this is a special appearance subject to objection of the jurisdiction of the Court.

THE COURT: Has the defendant received a copy of the Complaint in each of these matters?

ATTORNEY LOY: We have, your Honor. We just received a signed copy of the Complaint in 05 CF 381. Previously, I obtained a copy of the Complaint in the other felony matter in that case. At this time, Mr. Avery is not eligible for our services. We'll be looking into that further, but at this time he's not eligible for our services on that case.

THE COURT: He is not eligible on one case, but is on the other?

ATTORNEY LOY: That's correct.
THE COURT: What's the basis for that?
ATTORNEY LOY: There's an asset.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you representing him on that matter for purposes of today's hearing?

ATTORNEY LOY: We aren't allowed to due to the eligibility standards, your Honor. I guess it's up to the Court whether the Court wants to appoint counsel in that case.

THE COURT: To this point, I haven't received an application for appointment of counsel. And I was not aware until this moment that you would not be representing him on both cases. But a defendant is entitled to be represented by an attorney at all stages of these proceedings. Are you asking for a continuance on that case?

ATTORNEY LOY: Perhaps that case could be continued and the Court could hold a status conference on it on the next date of the other case.

THE COURT: All right. You have received a copy of the Complaint in 05 CF 381?

ATTORNEY LOY: Yes, your Honor. We'll waive reading of that Complaint, request a
preliminary hearing. We are willing to waive time limits on that case.

THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Kratz, how much time do you anticipate will be required for the preliminary examination?

ATTORNEY LOY: I would ask for a half a day, Judge.

THE COURT: I should indicate for the record, I met briefly with counsel, before we began today, concerning their schedules. And it's my understanding that 1:00 on Tuesday, December 6th works for both parties.

ATTORNEY LOY: That works fine for us, I believe, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court will schedule the preliminary examination in this matter, then, for 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 6th. I do not believe that bail has been set yet; what is the State's request on bail?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just prior to talking about bail, Judge, I know that the Court has put aside the felon in possession case, obviously the evidence which would lead to potential probable cause finding of the Court is similar in that file as well.

I don't know if the Court is in a position to have a continued initial appearance between now and then, perhaps use that same time as the preliminary hearing date, if and when Mr. Avery is afforded the assistance of counsel. That would certainly be our request, that it be a joint preliminary hearing. And once that decision is made by the Court, I'm prepared to be heard on bond. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy, I'm not sure, you mentioned, he didn't qualify on 375 because of an asset. Doesn't he have the same asset on the other case?

ATTORNEY LOY: The standard for eligibility are different for the two types of cases, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do this, I want to look into the matter on 375 a little further, but I am going to schedule the preliminary examination, at least on 381, for 1:00 on the 6th. And it may be, depending on my review, that the prelims can be joined between now and then or may not, because I, frankly, was not ready for this issue today. Moving on, then, to the question of bail.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. Judge, the State is recommending a substantial cash bond in this case. As the Court knows, there are statutory factors that the Court need consider when deciding the issue of bond, including the gravity of the offense; potential penalties; the degree of violence involved; prior record, if any; character and strength of the evidence that is to be presented; whether a defendant has substantial ties to the community.

Of those, Mr. Avery, the State argues, can only claim that he has ties to the community in that he does live and work within the community. The rest of the factors are strong indicators of the need for a substantial cash bond. The homicide nature and mutilation of a corpse speaks to the gravity of the offense with potential imprisonment that Mr. Avery faces includes life, plus 22 and a half years.

The act that is alleged in the Complaint, as well as the destruction of evidence, is all of a highly violent nature. Mr. Avery comes before this Court with a prior criminal history including a 1986 conviction for endangering safety, which was a case involving
pointing a firearm at a citizen; includes a 1980 and 1981 burglary conviction; as well as a 1982 conviction for the criminal offense of cruelty to an animal.

The State argues that the character and strength of evidence in this case is substantial, as alleged in the Complaint. There's been a DNA match, or series of matches implicating Mr. Avery in the commission of each of these offenses.

And for all of those reasons, especially given the likelihood that Mr. Avery would flee so as not to be made available for future court appearances, the State is asking for a $\$ 1$ million cash bond. That's all I have this afternoon. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, this case was being investigated for several days and Mr. Avery was free to come and go as he pleased. Despite the fact that Mr . Avery knew about this investigation, knew that he was a suspect, he didn't go anywhere.

He has substantial ties to the community, numerous family members, a number of them are here in court today. The convictions that Mr. Kratz mentioned are all cases that are
quite old. I would ask the Court, if the Court is going to set a cash bail on this case, to set a more reasonable amount that perhaps Mr. Avery and his family might have some hope of posting, perhaps in the neighborhood of $\$ 50,000$. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. The -- As counsel points out, there are factors the Court is to consider that cut both ways in this case. I think Mr. Avery has had ties to this community as not indicated -- or there's been no indications that he is inclined to flee.

On the other hand, he does have a prior criminal record, as the State points out. At this early proceeding, there's some indications in the Complaint of corroborating elements to the evidence, but $I$ think the primary factor here is the severity of the offenses that are charged, in terms of warranting a significant cash bail.

So the Court is going to set cash bail in this case at $\$ 500,000$. Is there anything further from either party this afternoon?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, is there an opportunity that the Court would like to discuss the 375 case, or perhaps in a phone conference, or
something along those lines? My concern, and I appreciate the Court's dilemma, my concern is that, especially the victim's family, doesn't have to go through the prelim process twice.

THE COURT: All right. How about a telephone status conference this coming Monday at 4:00?

ATTORNEY LOY: It's possible I will be involved in a trial then, your Honor; although it's doubtful.

THE COURT: Let's do this, we'll tentatively set it for 4:00. It's only going to be a status conference. The defendant need not be present; we won't be going on the record. If for some reason, Mr. Loy, you are in trial and unavailable, let my judicial assistant know and we'll arrange it for a different time.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's the 21st, Judge?
THE COURT: Correct.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Who should initiate that call? I'm happy to do it, Judge, if you want me to do it.

THE COURT: That will be fine.
ATTORNEY LOY: I will probably just come over to your chambers.

THE COURT: Anything else this afternoon? ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all for today. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: At this time the bail is set to cover both files. I will make the bail decision at this time even though the initial appearance is continued on Case 375. If there's nothing further, we're adjourned for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls Case Nos. 05 CF 375 and 05 CF 381, State of Wisconsin vs. Steven A. Avery. These matters are scheduled for a preliminary examination this afternoon. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz. I'm appearing as Special Prosecutor in the matter.

ATTORNEY LOY: Steven Avery appears personally, with his attorneys, Erik Loy and Craig Johnson. Your Honor, I would, at the outset, move to separate witnesses.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: We have instructed our witnesses as to sequestration, Judge, that's already been done.

THE COURT: Have they also been instructed not to speak to each other until they are done testifying?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Until they are what?
THE COURT: Any witness who --
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Any witness who testifies will not speak with the witnesses who have not been in court, Judge.

THE COURT: Correct.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And, Counsel, I believe there's agreement that, although we're dealing with two case files today, the State is going to present evidence at the prelim related to both files and the Court, then, will make a separate finding with respect to each file, following the conclusion of the hearing. Mr. Kratz, is that your understanding?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's my understanding, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY LOY: That's our understanding also, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's acceptable to the State and the defense?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes.
ATTORNEY LOY: Yes.
THE COURT: The Court has also received a motion for bail reduction and I will take that up at the conclusion of the preliminary examination. Anything else before we proceed, Counsel?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not from the State, your Honor.

ATTORNEY LOY: Not from us, your Honor.

THE COURT: If not, Mr. Kratz, you may call your first witness.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State will call Pam Sturm to the stand.

THE CLERK: Please remain standing. Raise your right hand.

PAMELA STURM, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Pamela Sturm, last name, $S-t-u-r-m$.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Ms Sturm, I'm going to ask you some questions regarding involvement in a case in the early part of November of this year. First of all, were you familiar with a young woman by the name of Theresa Halbach?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. You will need to speak up just a little bit for us, please. Ms Sturm, can you tell us how you knew Teresa Halbach.
A. Tom is my first cousin, so Teresa would be my second cousin.
Q. You will need to tell us who Tom is?
A. Tom Halbach is Teresa's father.
Q. Ms Sturm, at some time about the 4 th of November, about Friday, the 4th of November, did you become aware of a search effort that was ongoing for Ms Halbach?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And on the next morning then, on the 5th of November, did you agree to participate in that search effort?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Could you tell the Court, please, what you did or what you agreed to do that morning.
A. I went to Teresa's house and Ryan and Scott were there. And most of the searchers were gone already. So they had some maps on the table, so I took a map. And he said, well, they are going to search there and there and there. And I said, well, I would like to go to, you know, where Teresa was last, which was the Avery Salvage. And he said, well, that's up to you. So that's where we went.
Q. When you say we, could you tell me who you went
with?
A. My daughter was with me.
Q. And your daughter's name?
A. Nicole Sturm.
Q. About how old is Nicole?
A. 25 .
Q. And did you and Nicole then proceed to a piece of property west of Mishicot, Wisconsin, property is known as Avery Auto Salvage?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. About what time did you get there, if you remember?
A. Approximately 10 to 10 a.m.
Q. And at about 10 to 10 did you make contact with anybody on that property?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Who was that?
A. I talked to Earl Avery.
Q. And did Earl Avery identify himself or tell you how he might be associated with that property?
A. I asked him if he was an Avery and he said, yes, Earl. And on the side of the building, it says that Earl is one of the co-owners. And he did indicate that he is one of the owners of the property.
Q. All right. So, in talking to Earl Avery, did you ask him anything, specifically?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did you ask him?
A. I asked him if it would be okay if my daughter and I searched the salvage yard for Teresa Halbach's vehicle.
Q. Could you just describe the nature of that conversation. And, Counsel, and, the Court, I'm certainly not asking for the truth of the matter, but what she may have done as a result of that conversation. What did you talk about, Ms Sturm?
A. Well, we talked about -- Well, at first I said, maybe since it's deer hunting season some of the hunters may go out in the woods and maybe they will find some evidence of Teresa. And Earl indicated that they go deer hunting up in the Crivitz area, that they have a cottage up there.
Q. Ms Sturm, I don't mean to interrupt, but I'm going to. Why don't you tell us, at the conclusion of your conversation with Earl, did you ask for any permission to do anything?
A. Yes, we asked if we could walk around the property and search for Teresa's vehicle.
Q. And did Earl Avery give you permission to search
the Avery Salvage Yard, specifically, to try to find Teresa's vehicle?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did you and your daughter, Nicole, then begin a search of the Avery Salvage property?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Could you describe, generally, what you recall about that morning and the size, if you will, of the Avery property.
A. Well, we came into the property and there are three roads leading into the property. So we went on the center road and there are quite a few huge buildings there. And it looks like a huge quarry. And, evidently, it's 40 acres and it's just a lot of vehicles.
Q. All right. Ms Sturm, you said that you began searching the property; do you recall what portion of the property you began to search?
A. Well, if you come in the driveway, we started the sweep from left to right. So we started in the southwest corner, I believe.
Q. And did you have a specific intent, you and your daughter, regarding that search, what your plan was that day?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your intent?
A. We were going to look in each and every vehicle to make sure that Teresa wasn't in there.
Q. All right. Ms Sturm, before you completed, or I should say, before you began your search at the Avery Auto Salvage, did you have a description of the vehicle that Teresa Halbach had last been driving?
A. Yes, we did. We obtained some slips from Ryan and Scott and the vehicle description was on there.
Q. Basically, what kind of a car were you looking for?
A. It was a Rav 4 Toyota.
Q. Small SUV; is that right?
A. It's a small SUV, yes.
Q. Ms Sturm, then, when your daughter, Nicole, and you began searching some of the vehicles, did you happen to come across a vehicle that you believed, generally, fit the description of the vehicle that Teresa had been driving?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Could you tell the Court, please, how you came across that vehicle and what it looked like.
A. Well, we had searched at least 50 vehicles and

RV's and trucks. And my daughter was in the row on the right side of me. And I was going to search my row. And up on an incline, there were approximately seven or eight vehicles. And I thought, well, I have to go up there and search those and make sure it wasn't up there.
Q. What do you mean by "up on an incline"?
A. Well, if you can picture the quarry, it's like a bowl shape and on top of the ridge they had a little driveway of sorts. And on there, they had like seven or eight cars.
Q. All right. I think that you had mentioned that this was on the southern portion of the Avery property?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. When you came across one of those cars, can you tell us what you saw and what you thought.
A. Well, it was so unusual because there were branches leaning up against this vehicle. And I came closer and there was a hood of the vehicle braced up against the driver's side of this vehicle. So I went around to the back and it says Rav 4 on it, Toyota. And I just -- I thought, well, yeah, it's got to be that car, but it appeared to be blue.
Q. Ms Sturm, let me interrupt, again. When you found this vehicle, or when you saw that it was a Rav 4, how was it that you determined that?
A. I looked at the back of the vehicle and it's printed, Rav 4, on the back of the vehicle. And it also says Toyota on it.
Q. All right. About what time was it that you came across this vehicle?
A. About 10:20 a.m.
Q. So, shortly after you began your search; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. What did you do then?
A. I looked to see if there were license plates on the vehicle. And there weren't any on the front, so I went to the back and there weren't any on the back. And I couldn't see my daughter, so I walked towards my daughter, because she has the cell phone.

And I said, Nicole, Nicole. Come here, come here. And she came running. And I said, look at this vehicle. This has got to be the vehicle. It's camouflaged even. She said, yeah, ma, $I$ think it is, but it looks kind of blue.
Q. Were you able -- Let me ask you a question first.

Are you familiar with identifications on vehicles, which are called VIN numbers --
A. Yes.
Q. -- vehicle identification number. I will need to finish asking the question first, sorry. How is it that you are familiar with the VIN numbers?
A. Because I have 10 years experience in the investigative field.
Q. All right. Ms Sturm, were you able to obtain, at least partially, the VIN number for this vehicle?
A. Well, actually, my daughter read the number, because I forgot my glasses.
Q. All right. Were you able to, and did you, in fact, try to verify the VIN number, or try to verify the identification of this vehicle with any law enforcement authorities?
A. Yes, we did. We called the Calumet County Sheriff's Office and attempted to speak with Mr. Pagel. And they gave me voice mail. So I went back to the operator and I said, no, I need to speak to Mr. Pagel, in person now, I think we found the vehicle.

So she gave me Mr. Pagel immediately. And I told Mr. Pagel, I believe we found the vehicle in the Avery Salvage Yard. And he said,
could you read the VIN number to us, but don't touch anything. Don't touch anything. Try not to touch anything.

So, my daughter read the four last numbers, she could see those. And then he said, well, could you read the rest of the numbers. And she read those off to me, so I told Mr. Pagel the VIN number.
Q. Were you instructed to do anything at that time?
A. We were instructed to step away from the vehicle. Don't touch anything. And go as far away as you can from the vehicle and just wait for the police, they are on their way.
Q. All right. Did the law enforcement officer indicate to you on the phone that the VIN numbers had matched?
A. No, he would not tell me.
Q. Just told you, get away from the vehicle?
A. That's right.
Q. Now, Ms Sturm, when you and Nicole walked onto the property, did you have any photographic equipment with you?
A. Yes, we did. I borrowed a digital camera from Scott because I forgot my camera. And Nicole placed it under her clothing before we went into
the yard.
Q. After reading off the VIN numbers, did you believe it was important to take a digital photo of the vehicle which you had found and how you found it?
A. Yes, we thought we should take photos immediately, in case they told us to get off the property. So we did take four or five pictures.
Q. All right. Ms Sturm, if you would be so kind as to look behind you; I'm showing you what's been marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1. Could you tell us what that is.
A. That is the vehicle that we found at Avery Salvage Yard.
Q. Could you just kind of point to that exhibit as best you can. This might be a little bit difficult without a microphone, but point to an area and tell us what it was that you found and what you thought was significant.
A. As you can see, there's a -- there are branches leaning up against the vehicle and also the front of a -- I can't think of the name of it. And then the VIN number is on the right-hand side of the vehicle, on the drivers's side.
Q. Ms Sturm, importantly, Exhibit No. 1, is that the
same as -- as you observed the vehicle sometime after 10:00 in the morning on the 5th of November?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Were you able to determine, during this whole process, whether or not the vehicle was open or whether or not it was locked?
A. My daughter tried the doors and the doors were locked.
Q. How did she try the doors?
A. Well, we took the sleeves of our sweats and we pulled on the door handle.
Q. And the -- all the doors of the vehicle were locked, it was enclosed --
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. -- is that right? Ms Sturm, after being told at that point to step away from the vehicle, did you wait for law enforcement officers to arrive?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. About how long did it take for law enforcement officers to arrive at the scene, if you remember?
A. Approximately 20 minutes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right. Your Honor, I will move the admission of Exhibit 1 at this time. I don't have any further questions of Ms Sturm.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Loy. First of all, does defense have any objection to admission of the exhibit?

ATTORNEY LOY: No objection for purposes of today's hearing.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions for this witness?

ATTORNEY LOY: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: You may proceed.
ATTORNEY LOY: Thank you, Judge.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY LOY:
Q. Ms Sturm, Exhibit 1, is that a photo that you took?
A. That is a photo that my daughter, Nicole, took.
Q. Okay. With the camera that the two of you had with you?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And the two of you had taken a few other photos that day?
A. Four or five photos of the car.
Q. When you first got to the salvage yard, where did you find Earl Avery?
A. Well, we walked into the main building to see if
we could find one of the Averys and we couldn't. So we came back outside and there were two individuals in the parking lot. And when they got finished with the conversation, I said, are you an Avery. And at that time, he identified himself.
Q. One of the two individuals?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the other person identify himself?
A. No, he had walked away at that point.
Q. When you drove into the Avery property, were there any gates or anything preventing you from entering?
A. We just drove into the property, up to the building. We didn't drive any further than that. But there were no blockades or anything, if that's what you are asking.
Q. And there was no one standing there watching who was going in and out of the property?
A. No, sir.
Q. So, did you park by the main office building?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, that's where you went in first to try to find someone?
A. That's correct.
Q. When you went down into the area where all the cars are, it was kind of a pit or a quarry; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you drive down there or did you walk?
A. We walked down there.
Q. Okay. And was there anything, any gates or anything, preventing you from walking down into that area?
A. No.
Q. Did you see any other people down there?
A. There were a couple people in the salvage yard, yes.
Q. And did you find out who those people were?
A. No, sir.
Q. Was there anyone else searching the salvage yard that day, besides you and your daughter?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. As far as you know, the only people there searching were the two of you; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. When you went in the main office, you didn't see anybody there?
A. No, we didn't.
Q. Did you touch anything in that office?
A. No, sir.
Q. How long did you stay in there?
A. Maybe about two seconds.
Q. Okay. All right. What did the person who was talking with Earl Avery look like? Can you give me a description of him?
A. He was approximately 5 foot 8, 170 pounds, light brown hair.
Q. Where were these people standing?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Where were the two of them standing, Earl and this person he was talking to?
A. They were out in the parking lot.
Q. In --
A. Right in front of the building.
Q. In front of the main office area?
A. I believe that's the main office.
Q. Okay. Was the door to the main office unlocked?
A. Yes.
Q. When you saw this Rav 4 vehicle, did you -- did you move any of the items that were -- that were covering it or on top of it?
A. The only thing that we moved was the hood. And if you can picture, the hood has got a real thin part where you can just move it a little bit away
from the car. And, again, we used our sleeves to pull it away from the car.
Q. When you say the hood, you are talking about a hood off another vehicle?
A. Correct.
Q. It wasn't the hood of the Rav 4?
A. No.
Q. It was some other hood, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you -- How far did you move it?
A. We just moved it off. It was leaning against it and we just moved it off to see if we could, you know, see anything else.
Q. All right. And your daughter tried to open the doors of the Rav 4?
A. That's correct.
Q. And she used her sleeve?
A. Yes.
Q. Did either of you use tissues or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. The vehicle was locked?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did -- Were all the doors tried?
A. The two, the passenger door and the driver's
door, were tried.
Q. For the front seat?
A. Pardon me?
Q. For the front seat?
A. There are only two doors.
Q. Oh, it's only a two-door vehicle?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. Were you aware of any plans to have anyone else search the salvage yard that day?
A. I don't believe so. I never heard anything.
Q. The people who were down in the salvage yard, that you saw, can you give me a description of them?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I understand Mr. Loy may want this information for discovery purposes, but it goes beyond the scope of the preliminary hearing. I will interpose an objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY LOY: He is right, we would like to learn more about these gentlemen.

THE COURT: All right. I will sustain the objection.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Loy) When you opened the door to the office, did you grab the doorknob and pull it
open, or how did you get into the office?
A. I believe the door goes in.
Q. So, you pushed the door in?
A. No, I think I grabbed the doorknob.
Q. Grabbed the doorknob and turned it and pushed the door in; is that how it went?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. When you first got there, you didn't see anyone outside, right?
A. Yes, we saw two men conversing by the car.
Q. Okay. So you saw these two guys conversing by a car, but you went in the main office door to find someone, and then -- is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then, when you didn't find anyone in the office, you went outside and talked to the two guys?
A. That's correct.
Q. And where were they standing?
A. They were standing to the right of my vehicle, in between two other vehicles.
Q. And did you park your vehicle sort of in a courtyard area near the door to the main office?
A. I parked almost directly in front of the door to the office.
Q. When you were talking with Mr. Avery, Mr. Earl Avery, about your plans to search, what did you tell him about that?
A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.
Q. You told Mr. Earl Avery that you wanted to search the salvage yard; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you tell him where you wanted to search?
A. I told him that we wanted to search the whole yard.
Q. Okay. And did he -- What did he say in reply to that?
A. He said that, well, you really shouldn't take your car because the roads are really bad down there, so I would recommend that you walk.
Q. Okay. Did he say anything else?
A. No.
Q. What did you say as far as why you wanted to do the search?
A. I said it would relieve Tom and Karen's minds if we could determine if the car was on the property or it wasn't on the property.
Q. Okay. When you did the search, you were looking for Teresa and for her vehicle; would that be fair to say?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did Earl Avery have any response when you said it would relieve the parent's minds if you could look for the car? Did he have any response to that?
A. He just said, I know how it feels, because we lost a nephew a year ago and I know how they feel.
Q. Earl Avery was very cooperative with you?
A. Yes.
Q. He didn't give you any problems with searching the property?
A. Well, at first he said he thought somebody else was there already to search, but they took a vehicle through the yard. And he kind of said, well, you know, they searched already.
Q. Did he say anything about what these other searchers did or found?
A. No, he didn't.
Q. Did he say it was okay, then, for you to do the search?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did Earl Avery say anything about where to start the search, or anything along those lines, give you any direction?
A. No, sir.
Q. He did say you should go on foot?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. How did you and your daughter decide where you were going to start searching?
A. We didn't, we just said we would go from left to right.

ATTORNEY LOY: Nothing further, your Honor.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge.
THE COURT: The witness is excused.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Call Tom Fassbender to the stand.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
THOMAS FASSBENDER, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. State your name for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: Thomas Fassbender, F-a-s-s-b-e-n-d-e-r.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. Mr. Fassbender, how are you employed?
A. I'm a Special Agent with the Wisconsin Department of Justice, Division of Criminal Investigation.
Q. Sometime in early November of this year, were you asked to participate in a search for a missing person and, thereafter, participate in a criminal investigation regarding Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. How were you asked to participate in that?
A. The Calumet County Sheriff's Department requested DCI services.
Q. Agent Fassbender, is it fair to indicate that you, together with Calumet County Investigator Mark Wiegert, served as lead investigators in this investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. Agent Fassbender, let me first have you direct your attention to what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2, the aerial photograph to your immediate right. Since other witnesses are going to be using that exhibit, could you tell us what that is, please.
A. That's an aerial photograph of the Avery Salvage Yard.
Q. How is it that you recognize that?
A. Because $I$ was at that scene for about seven days.
Q. About how many hours a day were you there?
A. Probably averaged about 16.
Q. All right. So it's fair that you're pretty familiar with almost every inch of that property; isn't that true?
A. Well, I'm familiar with it, I wouldn't say every inch.
Q. All right. Agent, I'm going to hand you a laser pointer, it's the yellow button that is in the middle of this particular device. Why don't you just kind of give us a lay of the land, how is it that you come in from the highway onto the Avery property and, perhaps, point out those areas that are marked on Exhibit No. 2.
A. Okay. Avery Road would be coming in right here from, I believe, the north. They kept going upward there. And the highway is up there to the north.

If you go to what would be, I believe, the west, along this driveway or road here, and down to the end where you see the little circle here, down here you have the residence that Steve Avery stayed in. His sister, Barbara Avery, lived next door to him. Steve's garage would be right there and Barbara's garage right there. And then, down here, $I$ believe this was Mr . and Mrs. Avery's house right back in here. If you
take this road --
Q. If I could just stop you, by Mr. and Mrs. Avery, you mean Steven's parents; is that right?
A. Yes, Steven's parents, Al, and I think it's Delores.
Q. Go ahead. Who else lived there?
A. And then this road down here, to the south, would take you down in to what I believe they call the pit, or the salvage yard area. You go by, I think this is what would be Chuck Avery's residence, which is Steve Avery's brother, right along there. And then these are shops. And the office, I believe, is right there, the main office, and then shops where they would do their work -- their work -- their salvage work.

And then down here, there was a crusher located down in the pit. I think it was down in this area, right in here, to crush cars. And then there's a small road that goes along the south end. There's a big berm back here. On the other side of that berm is what would be a gravel pit or whatever. And --
Q. Let me just stop you. At the bottom most portion of Exhibit No. 2, that would be where the Avery property ends; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, when you were asked to assist in the investigation, in fact, very early in this investigation, Saturday, the 5th of November, had you received, and did you assist in the execution of a search warrant for the entire Avery property, all vehicles on the Avery property, all residences and all out buildings?
A. Yes.
Q. Agent Fassbender, I understand that on the 5th of November, law enforcement took control, if you will, of the Avery property. Do you know when it was that law enforcement relinquished control, that is, when the dozens of search warrants that were eventually executed there concluded? Do you recall?
A. It was on a Saturday and -- the 12th, I believe.
Q. Okay. About a week; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, throughout that week, Agent Fassbender, you were aware of various items, that you believed had evidentiary value, being found. And as lead investigator, were you kept apprised of not only when those items were found but, specifically, where they were found upon this property?
A. Yes, most of the time.
Q. You mentioned that there is a residence of Steven Avery; do you know the address of that residence?
A. 12932 Avery Road, Town of Gibson, Manitowoc County, State of Wisconsin.
Q. Later, that is, several days into the investigation, you had occasion to make personal contact with Steven Avery; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that gentleman in the courtroom this afternoon?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you point him out for the record.
A. To your right, wearing the black and white striped outfit.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, I would ask that the record reflect the defendant's identification.

THE COURT: The record will reflect that the witness identified Mr. Avery.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Now, Agent Fassbender, very shortly after Ms Sturm found what was believed to be Teresa Halbach's vehicle, did you become involved that same day, and did you proceed to the Avery Salvage Yard, to assist in this
investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. During the course of your investigation, did you have occasion to review official reports of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation and were you able to determine ownership of the Rav 4 that was located on the Steven Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. I've handed you what's been marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4. Can you tell us what that is, please.
A. That's a Certificate of Record Copy from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, pertaining to a 1990 Toyota truck, registration -- registered by Wisconsin license plate number SWH582 to Teresa M. Halbach and vehicle identification number JT3HP10V5X7113044.
Q. And on the 5th of November and, in fact, on days thereafter, were you able to determine whether the Rav 4 found on Steven Avery's property, in fact, was the same Rav 4 that was owned by Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. And does Exhibit No. 4, that is, the certified Department of Transportation Record, verify that
fact?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Would move the admission of Exhibit 4 at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY LOY: No objection for purposes of this hearing, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 4 is
admitted. Counsel, I'm going to ask you to approach here, briefly.
(Side bar taken.)
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry, Judge, did you rule on it's admissibility?

THE COURT: The defense admitted that -- or defense did not object to admission of the exhibit and I did admit it.

And I will indicate, for the benefit of everybody else, that I was handed a note indicating that, as part of the broadcast of the proceedings, we have been told that at times the microphones are apparently sensitive enough so that the people on the broadcast can hear what the attorneys are saying to each other. So make sure you press the mute button when you talk to each other, or lean back far enough away from the
microphone so that won't happen.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Try not to give away any secrets. That's fine, Judge.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Mr. Fassbender, on the 5th of November, were you also involved in the processing or review of the Rav 4 that was found upon that property?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you able to view where, upon that property, the Rav 4 was located?
A. Yes.
Q. And using the laser pointer, again, and referring to Exhibit No. 2, if you could, again, give us a orientation as to where the different buildings, residences may be, and where Teresa Halbach's Rav 4 was found?
A. Again, Steve Avery's residence up on the northwest corner of the property; his sister, Barb; his parents; brother, Chuck; the buildings where they have their shops and offices; the road going south into the pit; the extreme south side of or edge of their property. And down towards the southeast portion of the property, on a small trail, I guess you would call it, her vehicle was located alongside that trail.
Q. After the search warrant was exec -- excuse me -authorized, did you participate in viewing the vehicle and did you assist members of the Wisconsin State Crime Lab in processing and deciding how to search that vehicle?
A. Yes, to a certain degree.
Q. What was decided regarding the processing or search of the Rav 4?
A. That the vehicle would be, essentially, transported as it was found and not processed at the scene, transported through Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory in an enclosed trailer and processed in Madison, at the Crime Laboratory in Madison.
Q. I'm going to show you, on the picture behind you, what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5; can you tell us what that is, please.
A. That's a picture of a portion of that RAV 4 vehicle as it was located, or found, on the Avery property, I believe.
Q. This is a picture that's apparently taken in the evening or early evening hours. Is that the same or similar as it appeared to you just prior to the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory processing and, in fact, loading up that vehicle to take it to Madison?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I move the admission of Exhibit 5, Judge.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY LOY: None for this hearing.
THE COURT: Exhibit 5 is admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Agent Fassbender, could you remind those in this room, who weren't at that scene, what the weather became like just after, perhaps, taking that picture?
A. It became very nasty. It rained extremely hard. Shortly after that picture, obviously, it was dark, it was night, visibility was pretty much zero, except for the lights, the spotlights that had been put up by the Sheriff's Department.
Q. Excuse me. The impending weather, did that play into your's and the Crime Lab's decision to also remove that vehicle and have it searched in a more pristine area, that being at the Madison Crime Lab?
A. Certainly that played into that decision.
Q. Now, when that vehicle was located, it did not bear Teresa Halbach's registration plates or vehicle plates; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. During the course of the investigation, and in the next several days after the 5 th of November, did you seek the assistance, and receive the assistance, of literally hundreds of law enforcement and other professionals to search, virtually, all of the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. During one of those search efforts, are you familiar that in a detailed search of the interior of all of those vehicles at the Avery property, that the license plates for Teresa's Rav 4 were located?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you describe for the Court where they were located, please.
A. Alongside the entry road going down to Steven Avery's residence and Barbara Avery's residence, on the south side of that road, there was a salvaged vehicle, or a vehicle located right there, that law enforcement personnel that were doing the search located the two license plates inside that vehicle. And they were crinkled up or rolled up, in that type of condition.
Q. All right. And so that the Court is aware, is it
your understanding that literally every vehicle on that salvage yard was searched, not once but at least two times during the course of the week, not only for the body of Teresa Avery, but also for -- excuse me -- Teresa Halbach, but also any items that may have what might be evidentiary value; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. Agent Fassbender, I'm going to ask you to direct your attention to the upper left hand corner of Exhibit \#2. There are items which are identified as burn barrels. Could you describe what those are, please.
A. This burn barrel, just to the north of Steven Avery's garage and residence area, had been identified by Mr. Avery as his burn barrel. And then, there are some burn barrels that were located more to the east and south, or southeast of Barbara Avery's house. And then, also a burn pit, or burn area, directly behind Steven Avery's garage, or to the south of his garage.
Q. The last item, or one of the last items identified on Exhibit No. 2, is something called a Plymouth Voyager. Can you tell us where that is and what significance that has.
A. The Plymouth Voyager is located right here, somewhat in between Steven Avery's residence and Barbara Avery's residence. That was a vehicle that Steven Avery had called the Auto Trader and asked them to have someone come out and take a picture of to put in their magazine, for sale.
Q. We'll talk about Mr. Avery's statements later, but did Mr. Avery himself admit that Teresa Halbach took pictures of that Plymouth Voyager on the afternoon of the 31st of October, of 2005?
A. Took a picture of it, yes.

ATTORNEY LOY: I'm going to object on grounds of foundation, your Honor. We haven't heard any testimony that this admission by Mr. Avery was made to this gentleman or to someone else.

THE COURT: Sustained.
ATTORNEY LOY: And move to strike.
THE COURT: The answer is stricken from the record at this point.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Did Mr. Avery make those admissions in your presence?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Agent Fassbender, also, while in -- Well, let's just jump a little forward. On the 8th of November, 2005, did you have occasion to make
contact with Steven Avery and, in fact, execute an arrest warrant for Steven Avery for a charge of being a felon in possession of a firearm?
A. I believe it was the 9th, but I did do that activity, but $I$ thought it was on the $9 t h$ of November. Was it Wednesday, the 9th?
Q. The -- Agent Fassbender, I'm going to hand you, or show you, what's been marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6. Can you tell us what that is, please.
A. That is a Miranda Warning Form used by law enforcement to read people their Miranda warning before questioning them. And the date on this shows that it was November 8, 2005, at 12:50 p.m., that these rights were read to Steven Avery.
Q. When you read Mr. Avery those rights, after taking him into custody, did he tell you that he understood his Miranda warnings?
A. Investigator Wiegert actually read the rights to Steven Avery and, yes, he said he understood the rights.
Q. Just so everybody is clear, were you and Investigator Wiegert together in the room and did you observe the, and participate in the,
interview with Mr. Avery?
A. Yes, the rights were read to him in my vehicle, in my presence.
Q. Is that form signed?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is it signed by?
A. Steven Avery.
Q. Is it witnessed by anybody?
A. Myself and Investigator Wiegert.
Q. And upon signing Exhibit No. 6, did Mr. Avery agree to answer questions for you?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I would move the admission of Exhibit 6 at this time?

THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibit 6?
ATTORNEY LOY: Not for this hearing, your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibit 6 is admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Agent Fassbender, during the contact you had with Steven Avery on the day you took him into custody, did you also make any observations of Mr. Avery, of a physical nature, whether Mr. Avery had any signs of injury upon his body?
A. Yes.
Q. Any injuries noted on Mr. Avery that you believed were significant?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe those for the Court, please.
A. There was what appeared to be a scabbed over cut, fairly substantial cut, on his middle finger of his right hand.
Q. During the course of your contact, also, with Mr. Avery, did you obtain from him a sample of his saliva on something called a buccal swab, that it was later transported to the Wisconsin State Crime Lab for DNA analysis?
A. Yes, I was present when a registered nurse at the Aurora Medical Center in Two Rivers obtained those samples.
Q. Now, you are not a DNA analyst, are you?
A. No.
Q. Is that the kind of analysis that needs to be conducted by a forensic scientist, or at least somebody with expertise, at a laboratory like the Wisconsin State Crime Lab?
A. Yes.
Q. And, Agent Fassbender, talking about your conversation with Mr. Avery, was Mr. Avery specifically asked questions regarding his
contact with Teresa Halbach on the 31st of October?
A. Yes.
Q. What did Mr. Avery tell you?
A. Mr. Avery told us that, sometime between 8:00 and 8:30 in the morning, he made a call to the Auto Trader to see if he could have a picture taken of that Plymouth Voyager in question, to put in the Auto Trader.

Eventually, he indicated that he made some other calls to Auto Trader and to Teresa Halbach, attempting to determine whether she was going to come out to take those pictures of that vehicle. And that on about -- or at about 2 to 2:30 in the afternoon that day she, in fact, did come out and took a picture of that vehicle.

That when she did that, he came out of the house, saw that she had taken the picture and was recording the serial number on that vehicle. And that he went out to that location outside of that van and paid her $\$ 40$ dollars in cash for that. And then he said she walked over to her vehicle. He accompanied her and she got in her vehicle, gave her a copy -- or gave him a copy of an Auto Trader Magazine, which he took, and he
returned to his house.
Q. Based upon Mr. Avery's admissions of talking to Ms Halbach on the afternoon of the 31st, and based upon your posture as lead investigator in this case, have you determined whether anybody saw Ms Halbach alive after that conversation?
A. No.

ATTORNEY LOY: I'm going to object, your Honor, on grounds of foundation. I think it also calls for an opinion on his part.

THE COURT: Well, he can speak to his own knowledge on the issue. I think how much value it has is dependent on greater foundation, but I will allow him to answer the question.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Do you understand the question?
A. Yes. To my knowledge, I had no knowledge that she was seen alive after that point in time.
Q. Was Mr. Avery, specifically, asked about burning items upon his property and, specifically, if he had burned anything on the 31st of October, or anytime thereafter?
A. Yes, he was asked.
Q. What did he tell you?
A. He was asked about the burn barrel, which he
identified as his burn barrel, right there to the north of his residence and garage area. He said that he had not burned in that burn barrel in over a week, or prior to the week before, or prior to the day Teresa came to his house to take that picture.

The burn area, or burn pit behind his garage, which he identified as being right where his dog was, which is where the dog was, right behind the garage, he, again, said he had not burned there either in at least more than a week. And, actually, it was the burn pit area, I'm going to correct myself, the burn pit area that he said that he had not burned in prior -- until prior -- his last burn was prior to the day that Teresa had come on the 31st.

The burn barrel was quite some time, or quite awhile, he said. And he designated at least more than a week, or prior to the week before. And, again, he said that he had not burned in that burn barrel on the night of the 31 st or the day of the 31 st, October 31 st.
Q. Agent, I'm going to ask you to look at a diagram to the left of Exhibit No. 2, that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3; do you recognize
that diagram?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the Court what that is, please.
A. That's a diagram put together by Wisconsin State Patrol. I think it is based off what they call a total station and, basically, usually done to -I can't think of the word.
Q. Scale?
A. Scale. Done to scale.
Q. Okay.
A. Of some of the Avery property, including Steven Avery's house and residence area.
Q. And, specifically, the area depicted in Exhibit No. 3, is that a larger depiction of what would be the northern most part of Exhibit No. 2, and do you believe it will help explain some of the evidence that is to be described and some of the explanations of the relationship of the evidence to various property, throughout this and other hearings?
A. Yes.
Q. I may have asked you, Agent, Exhibit No. 2 and Exhibit No. 3, do those appear to be accurate reflections and representations of the Avery property and, specifically, as they looked and as
they were laid out between the 5 th of November and the 11th of November, of 2005?
A. Definitely.
Q. Finally, Agent Fassbender, when -- or were there attempts made to identify what were believed to be human remains found upon the Avery property and compare them to standards, or exemplars, of the victim in this case, Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. During the course of that process, did you participate in obtaining an exemplar, or what's called an intimate sample, of Teresa Halbach to transport to the Crime Lab for analysis?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY LOY: I'm going to object, your Honor, there's been no foundation for these questions. There's been no testimony that any samples were found at this point.

THE COURT: Sustained.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Do you want me to recall this witness, Judge, after seven or eight witnesses testify. My point, Judge, is that I appreciate the objection, but as to the transport of the exemplars, that's the only part of the DNA part of this case that this witness will testify. If the Court would
be so kind as to at least hear the testimony and reserve ruling on the objection when that evidence is presented at that time.

THE COURT: Well, as I understand Mr. Loy's objection, if the witness is going to answer yes to this, he could at least explain where they came from. I don't know that -- if I understand your objection correctly, Mr. Loy.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: He said there was no testimony about any remains being found yet. There will be other witnesses that will testify to that, Judge. It's the analysis and matching of those remains later that I'm just asking this witness if he obtained what's called an intimate sample, for the victim.

THE COURT: Okay. But there hasn't been any testimony about where they came from, even from where he understood they came from.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right. I can certainly do that, Judge, and then we'll have other witnesses testify about that.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Agent Fassbender, did you become aware, as lead investigator, that human remains were found upon the Avery property during the execution of the search warrant between the

5th and 11th of November of this year?
A. Yes.
Q. And could you describe and point, for the Court, the area where you not only were aware, but where you were shown, human remains were found on this property.
A. That area would be the burn area, or identified as the burn area, right behind Steven Avery's garage, or to the south of his garage. That was that burn pit area, right there.
Q. And what was the nature, to the best that you observed --

ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, just -- I would like to interpose an objection at this point, we still don't have foundation. I don't know if this witness is testifying about things that he found or that somebody else found. And I understand what Mr. Kratz is trying to do, but I think we may be trying to put the cart before the horse here in terms of having this testimony.

THE COURT: Well, $I$ will let this witness testify at this time what he did with whatever he was told. I will reserve ruling on your objection. I'm obviously not going to take his answers as evidence of actual transport of remains without
there being tied in with subsequent testimony. I think that addresses your objection, which at this point $I$ view as very well taken.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just as an offer of proof, Judge, there will be testimony from at least three witnesses as to the actual excavation and recovery of that scene. I intend to ask this witness, as a result of that, did he obtain what was commonly called an exemplar, from the victim, thereafter.

THE COURT: I think you do have to ask this witness, though, and establish a foundation how he got whatever it was that he sent in, for his role, and then you can --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm going to do that, Judge.

THE COURT: All right.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Agent Fassbender, again, with just what you were told and what you observed, what was the nature of the human remains found in what's referred on Exhibit \#2 as the burn area, it's also referred to the same thing on Exhibit 3?
A. What was found -- The nature of what was found was charred bones, human bones and some tissue.
Q. Did you understand that those remains were
transported, for analysis, to places including the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory, for what's commonly referred to as a DNA analysis?
A. Yes.
Q. And regarding that process, were you asked to identify, obtain, and, thereafter, have transported to the Wisconsin Crime Laboratory, again, for analysis, what's referred to as an intimate sample of Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you able to identify, and were you able to find, such a sample?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you tell the Judge how you did that, please.
A. Initially, I looked into Teresa Halbach's health and medical history to see if we could find some such samples. And I was able to locate pap smear slides that she had provided, or were taken from her, at the Bellin Health up in Green Bay and were being maintained at the Bellin Health Lab in Green Bay. They identified three or four such samples that were taken during the past five years. They maintain those samples for five years.
Q. And your role in the identification of those such samples -- I should say, recognizing that role, were you then also asked to retrieve, and have transported, those intimate samples to, specifically, Sherry Culhane, at the Crime Lab in Madison, for analysis?
A. Yes, I obtained them from Bellin Health Lab. They were packaged and sealed. I put them in another envelope and sealed them and they were, ultimately, transported to the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory.
Q. All right. Agent Fassbender, the scene itself, that is, the Avery property, when the search warrants were done being executed and the analysis of all these areas was completed, was that then turned back over to the Avery family?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right. For this hearing, Judge, that's all the questions I have of Agent Fassbender. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY LOY: Thank you, your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY LOY:
Q. Officer Fassbender, were you the officer in
charge of this investigation?
A. No. Not in its entirety, no.
Q. Were you one of the two officers leading the investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were present when the search warrants were executed at the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Including the warrant that led to the search of Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that trailer was searched three times; is that correct, pursuant to warrants?
A. Two or three. One of the actual searches may have been more gathering of evidence that was identified on one of the searches, depends if you want to call it a search or --
Q. And you and the other investigators first started searching the Avery property on November 5th; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. You have mentioned some bones and some tissue; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you the one that found those?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you recall the date those were found?
A. I'm going to say I'm not sure, 8th or 9th, November 8 th or 9 th.
Q. You indicated that there were a number of people who assisted in searching the Avery property; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And there were hundreds of these people?
A. Over the course of that week, certainly.
Q. At any one time, how many people would usually be there searching through things?
A. I don't know if $I$ can answer that right now.
Q. I mean, could it be that there were at least 50 people on the grounds of Avery Salvage, searching?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what were these people doing? Where were they searching?
A. It depends what day you are talking about and what time. Sometimes they were -- we had people searching the vehicles in the pit area. We had people searching in the office area. We had teams searching in the residences.
Q. Was pretty much every square inch of the property
searched?
A. I can't say every square inch of property was searched, but the property was searched, the entirety of the property was searched.
Q. Searched pretty thoroughly?
A. I believe so.
Q. And who were these people, that were doing the searching?
A. Primarily law enforcement personnel, to include the State Patrol, upwards of 50 or 60 members of the State Patrol, on at least two days. And then, I believe, members of the fire department, professional fire department, came out and assisted also.
Q. Fire departments from where?
A. Most of them -- I'm not going to say. I don't know for sure.
Q. You don't know for sure. Okay. Um, were there officers in charge, monitoring what these searchers were doing?
A. Yes.
Q. Was somebody from the Calumet Sheriff's Department or from DCI watching every searcher?
A. Watching every searcher?
Q. Yes.
A. I would have to say no to that. When you have got 60 troopers in the back searching cars, there wasn't someone from Calumet or DCI with each of those troopers, no.
Q. What about the searchers who were looking in areas other than the cars, the residences, the business areas, up, basically, where we have the scaled diagram? How many people were searching that area and how were they monitored?
A. I can't say for sure how many people were searching that area, but when it came to searching the residences and the buildings, there was a DCI agent or a Calumet County personnel with them.
Q. You testified that her license plates were found in a vehicle, and I think there is an arrow pointing to it on a diagram; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you find those or did someone else?
A. Someone else.
Q. Okay. Do you know who found those plates?
A. By name, no, but $I$ believe it was a trooper.
Q. Who -- It looks like you gave Mr. Avery his Miranda warning; is that correct?
A. No, Investigator Wiegert read his Miranda
warnings to him.
Q. And you signed as a witness?
A. Yes.
Q. Where was Mr. Avery when this Miranda warning was given?
A. He was in the back seat of my car, as was Investigator Wiegert. I was in the front seat behind the wheel. And it was at his -- in the driveway of his brother's residence, Earl Avery.
Q. Were any other officers in the car with -- with the three of you?
A. No.
Q. Was Mr. Avery under arrest at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. He had just been arrested on the gun charge?
A. Yes.
Q. And was he in handcuffs?
A. No.
Q. Did he, at that time, indicate that he wanted to see an attorney?
A. No.
Q. Did he indicate that he didn't wish to speak with you?
A. No, not at that time.
Q. Were you aware that Mr. Avery was represented by
attorneys?
A. I believe I was aware that he had a civil suit going and he probably had attorneys on that civil suit.
Q. Were you aware of any efforts made by those attorneys to contact Mr . Avery or to contact you?
A. No.
Q. Why wasn't Mr. Avery cuffed after his arrest?
A. We spoke with him and Investigator Wiegert asked him if he would continue to cooperate in the sense that he wouldn't get physical or anything and, if so, he would not be handcuffed. And he agreed to do that.
Q. Now, at the time of his arrest, was he only arrested for the gun charge?
A. Yes.
Q. He wasn't arrested at that time for anything related to a homicide or anything related to Teresa Halbach; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And he was in the backseat of the squad?
A. My vehicle, it is a state owned vehicle, but it's not a marked squad or anything. There's no barriers or anything between the front and the back seats.
Q. And Officer Wiegert was in the back seat with Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were driving?
A. Yes.
Q. You observed a scabbed over cut on the middle finger of Mr. Avery's right hand; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Avery told you how he got that cut, didn't he?
A. If he said it, when he said it, I wasn't present, no. He didn't tell me.
Q. Nothing that you heard?
A. No.
Q. Was Mr. Avery cooperative with you and Officer Wiegert?
A. Yes.
Q. He answered your questions?
A. Yes.
Q. And he told you that Ms Halbach had been there and had taken a picture?
A. Yes.
Q. And then she had driven away?
A. Yes, he said about less than five minutes she was there.
Q. When did you first arrive on the Avery property?
A. Saturday, November 5, mid-afternoon. I'm thinking it was around 3, 2:30, 3 p.m.
Q. And what did you do when you first arrived?
A. Met with the investigators, the sheriff of Calumet County, in a command post at the Avery property and became -- got briefed on what was happening up to that point.
Q. And you were told that the Rav 4 had been found?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. At that point, there hadn't been any other evidence found, other than the Rav 4?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. Okay. And this command post, was it inside one of the Avery buildings?
A. No.
Q. Where was it?
A. They had a trailer out there, command post trailer from the Sheriff's Department.
Q. From the Calumet Sheriff's Department?
A. They had one from each. I don't know if Calumet's was there yet. But they had one from Manitowoc County and Calumet County brought one also.
Q. So, after you got briefed, what did you do next?
A. I believe -- I'm not sure, but I believe the search warrant was being obtained for the property at around that time, that the search warrant was obtained and executed.

I went down by where the Rav 4 was located, along with an investigator from Calumet County, and observed its location and the state it was in. After making those observations, I believe we walked -- Some time after that, I don't know exactly how long after that, we walked that berm, on the other side of that berm, with one of the cadaver dogs that had arrived at the scene.
Q. When you went down by the Rav 4, was anyone else there?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was there?
A. There were deputies from Calumet County that were standing in the vicinity, securing that location, securing that vehicle.
Q. About how many?
A. I know one, for sure, that was near that vehicle.
Q. Anybody else, other than Calumet County deputies?
A. Around that vehicle, not that $I$ know of.
Q. Were other people down in the pit area besides
the deputies who were securing the vehicle?
A. When I went down there?
Q. Yes.
A. There was another vehicle near the crusher, one or two vehicles. And there were deputies there, staged there also. I just can't remember who else went down with me, if they did. The sheriff may have come down with me also.
Q. Now, you have testified that you were involved in processing the Rav 4; is that correct?
A. Making the decisions on the processing of the Rav 4.
Q. You didn't actually do any of the actual processing yourself?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you were part of the decision that was made to take the entire vehicle to the crime lab; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you supervise that processing?
A. I was -- I took the tow truck that went down there. I escorted them down there, along with the vehicle and the trailer that the Rav 4 was transported in. I then maintained a position near the crusher, in the pit area.

The Crime Lab personnel then accompanied the tow truck to the Rav 4 and oversaw that as they removed it from its location, back to my location, where it was backed into the trailer.
Q. Where was the tow truck from?
A. I do not know.
Q. So, the Rav 4 was towed from the location it was found, to the location where the -- where the trailer was. Maybe you could show us that on the map.
A. Okay. The Rav 4 is down here, the southeast area. The tow truck, along with the truck pulling the trailer, and myself, came down here into this pit area. And then the crusher is somewhere in this location here. And we staged right in this area right here.

The tow truck had to go back in there with the Crime Lab personnel, picked up the vehicle, brought it back out to this area where I was again. They backed that Rav 4 into that enclosed trailer.

Now, the trailer and the truck pulling the trailer and the driver were from Calumet County. I'm not sure about the tow truck operator. I had the name of the tow truck and I
just can't remember it right now.
Q. Were you present when the Rav 4 was hooked up to the tow truck?
A. No, I was staged here. Crime lab personnel were with the vehicle.
Q. When you first saw the Rav 4, did it still have branches and other things covering it?
A. When $I$ first saw it?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, I take it that once the vehicle was towed to your location, although it was no longer covered with any branches or anything, right?
A. Yes, that's correct. The Crime Lab personnel told me that they inspected and processed those items. Some of them were transported with the vehicle, the others were left at the scene after being inspected.
Q. And you supervised loading the Rav 4 into the trailer?
A. Crime Lab personnel and myself, I was present.
Q. And how was that done?
A. That was backed into the trailer by the tow truck operator.
Q. Okay. So, just pushed into the trailer?
A. Yes, with a big -- a rather large enclosed trailer with a ramp. And the tow truck operator backed it right up that ramp into the enclosed trailer.

ATTORNEY LOY: No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge. I am, however, with his testimony of the Exhibits 2 and 3 being accurate depictions of, one, a photograph and, one, a diagram of the Avery property, I will move their admission into evidence. I believe I have already with Exhibit 4; is that correct?

THE CLERK: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Or 6, I'm sorry.
THE CLERK: Four you did and six.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I have with 6 as well?
THE CLERK: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right. And 2 and 3, I'm moving their admission, Judge.

THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibits 2 and 3?

ATTORNEY LOY: No objection for purposes of this hearing, your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibits 2 and 3 are admitted.

The witness is excused. The State may call it's next witness.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State would call Dan Kucharski to the stand.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
DEPUTY DAN KUCHARSKI, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Daniel J. Kucharski, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{i}$.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Mr. Kucharski, how are you employed?
A. I'm employed with the Calumet County Sheriff's Office as a patrol deputy.
Q. What are your duties as a patrol deputy?
A. Routine patrol and I'm also an evidence tech for the department.
Q. Just briefly, tell us what a evidence tech is, please.
A. Search for, identify, and collect evidence at crime scenes.
Q. Deputy Kucharski, between the 5th of November,

2005, and the 11th of November, 2005, were you asked to serve in that capacity, as an evidence tech, and did you, in fact, search residences, out buildings, and other areas of the Avery property?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. I'm going to, specifically, ask you about the residence of Steven Avery. Let's refer to Exhibit No. 3. It's a little bit bigger. It's a diagram which has a depiction of something called Steven Avery's residence; do you recognize that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And if you can take that device that's in front of you, the yellow button in the middle of it would be the laser pointer part of it. Could you tell us if you have had occasion, during that relevant time frame, to search the interior of what's identified on that diagram as the Steven Avery residence?
A. Yes, on two separate occasions I was inside the Steven Avery evidence (sic), searching and collecting evidence.
Q. You said on two separate occasions, are you aware that Mr. Avery's residence and, in fact, many of the residences, out buildings, office, and the
like, were searched on more than one occasion?
A. Yes.
Q. Perhaps you can explain, for the Court, the nature of those searches and why more than one search was done, specifically, of Steven Avery's residence.
A. On the 6th, that would have been Sunday, myself and my search team were given the task to collect two weapons, some bedding, and a vacuum from the Avery residence.
Q. All right. Let's talk about that for a minute. This residence, can you describe what kind of a residence it is.
A. It was a trailer home, the address was 12932 Avery Road, it was red in color.
Q. The interior of this residence, did it include rooms that normal residences would have, that is, bedrooms, bathrooms, kitchen, things like that?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Was one of the rooms an area that you believe to be that of Steven Avery's bedroom?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the Court why you believe that to be Mr. Avery's bedroom.
A. We did find what we called identifiers inside the
bedroom: Papers, pictures, things with Steven Avery's name on it, photos of Steven Avery.
Q. All right. In that room, that included these personal identifiers and pictures of Mr. Avery, did you find any firearms?
A. Yes, we did. We located and collected two rifles that were on the wall above the bed in that room.
Q. Describe those rifles for me, please.
A. One was a Glenfield Model 60, . 22 caliber, semi-automatic rifle. The other was a Connecticut Valley Arms, . 50 caliber, muzzleloading black powder rifle.
Q. Were you the officer who actually seized and collected those items?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, Officer Kucharski, before we go any further, I'm going to show you what's been marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibits 7, 8, and 9, ask if you can identify those exhibits and tell us what they are, please.
A. These are State of Wisconsin court records, Judgments of Convictions against Steven Avery. Q. Specifically, Exhibit No. 7, is that a record, certified record, regarding a Manitowoc County case, No. 80 CR 773?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And does it list a conviction dated March 23 --

THE COURT: Just a second.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Loy, does the defense require details on these exhibits?

ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, I think the Court could take judicial notice, based on the certified convictions, that Mr. Avery does have at least one felony conviction.

THE COURT: All right. Is the State offering Exhibits 7,8, and 9?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I am, Judge. And if there is a stipulation, I will be happy to accept that, as Mr. Avery having prior convictions that remain, of record, unreversed.

THE COURT: For purposes of this hearing, any objection from the defense?

ATTORNEY LOY: No objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Seven, eight and nine are admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Deputy Kucharski, in a further search of Mr. Avery's property, did you have occasion to search what's identified on Diagram 3, at least, with a gray box and a
similar representation on the photograph, Exhibit No. 2, Mr. Avery's garage?
A. Yes, I did. That was also on the 6th of November. Myself and my search team were asked to search and collect any evidence that we found inside that garage.
Q. And did you do that?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And upon searching the garage, did you find any evidence which may be relevant to firearms?
A. Yes, we did. We found empty . 22 caliber, long rifle casings.
Q. What are referred to as spent shell casings?
A. That's correct.
Q. How many of those did you find in that garage?
A. Ten of them.
Q. Now, Deputy Kucharski, you talked about different, or additional searches of Mr. Avery's residence, or his property, did that occur by you?
A. Yes, on the 8th, we were given the task -- myself and my team were given the task to collect a few specific pieces of evidence at the Steven Avery residence and then conduct a thorough search of the residence.
Q. When we're talking about a thorough search of the residence, could you describe for the Court why that search may have been different than previous searches that you had performed of Avery's residence?
A. The first time we were sent into the residence, we were specifically told just to collect the three separate items: The weapons, the bedding, and the vacuum, from the middle bedroom. We did not search it at all.
Q. So the Court is clear, at least on that first day, you didn't do what you would call, at least, a thorough search of Mr. Avery's residence; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. What day did you do that?
A. That was on Tuesday, the 8th.
Q. And during the search of Mr. Avery's residence, including his bedroom, what, if anything, did you find of interest?
A. We collected pornographic material. We collected ammunition that we found in the bedroom. And then, at one point, we found a key that appeared to be from a Toyota vehicle, collected that.
Q. Could you tell me within the residence, or within
the bedroom, where that Toyota key was found?
A. Toyota key would have been found about 2 feet away from the door entering into the residence, next to the bed. It was on the floor when we found it, next to a cabinet that my team had been searching.
Q. Did you have occasion to collect that key?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And did anybody, to your knowledge, other than you, with the use of latex gloves or some other protective device, anybody ever touch that key, other than you?
A. Not while it was in my possession.
Q. After processing the key, after collecting it and placing it into an evidence bag and sealing it, did you have that key sent to the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory for further analysis?
A. Yes, I did.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all the questions I have of Deputy Kucharski, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY LOY:
Q. Officer Kucharski, did you review any reports of any prior searches before you did your search on
the 8th?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you talk with any officer who had done any previous searches?
A. Not about the search of the residence, no.
Q. Who else was searching with you?
A. At which time?
Q. On the 8th, when you testified you found a key.
A. That would be Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colburn?
Q. And were the three of you in the bedroom at the same time?
A. Yes, we were.
Q. And how were you conducting this search? What were the three of you doing?
A. Generally, start top to bottom. You work your way methodically through the room, open everything, look under everything, look through everything.
Q. Would that include, for instance, sorting through everything that you found in a drawer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And taking each item out of the drawer and looking at it and then putting it back; is that how you do it?
A. To a certain point.
Q. Okay. During this search, were you and the other officers wearing gloves?
A. Yes, we were.
Q. And did you wear the same gloves throughout the search?
A. No.
Q. How often would the three of you change gloves?
A. I can only testify as to what I did there. As I would be writing or photographing, it was tough to do with the gloves on so I would take them off periodically and take fresh gloves then.
Q. So, how often did you change your gloves, do you think?
A. In a day, or just a time period?
Q. Well, I'm talking, specifically, about the search on November 8th?
A. Okay. I did several searches on that day. The search of the Avery residence, Steven Avery's residence, I probably changed my gloves approximately two or three times.
Q. All right. When did you change those gloves?
A. Like I said, usually it was to -- if I had to write something, or if $I$ was photographing.
Q. Do you remember the specific times when you
changed the gloves?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember changing gloves around the time that the key was found?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what would you -- what could you tell us about that?
A. We were finishing up the search in the room. I had finished up the section that $I$ was searching, the night stand. I took off my gloves. Lieutenant Lenk was making a phone call. That was why I took off my gloves. We had finished up the search of that room, were finishing up the search of that room.
Q. Were you and the other searchers watching each other during this search?
A. During this specific time that we were in Steven Avery's bedroom, we were, at maximum, three or four feet away from each other. It's a small bedroom. We were always in each other's peripheral vision.
Q. But you weren't watching each other, were you?
A. We were searching, correct.
Q. You were looking at what you were searching; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, this key, you are saying, was found on the floor?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it underneath anything?
A. Not when we saw it, no.
Q. Okay. You had been in the room for how long before the key was noticed?
A. I'm not sure. It was less than an hour.
Q. Okay. It was just -- When you saw it there, it was sitting out there in plain view, right on the floor; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And no one had seen it for at least an hour?
A. Correct.
Q. And when you had been at the residence before, on November 6th, no one had seen the key then either, right?
A. I didn't see the key then. I can't testify to anybody else.
Q. No one mentioned seeing it?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I'm going to interpose an objection. I think this officer said he didn't search on the 6th. I think that is his testimony.

THE COURT: Well, he was in the bedroom to pick up some items. He didn't thoroughly search it, I think that was his testimony.
Q. (By Attorney Loy) Just to clarify, on the 6th of November, you did go in the bedroom; is that right?
A. Yes. I walked into the bedroom. I looked at the weapons on the wall, then I walked out into the living room.
Q. Okay. And you went in there with Lieutenant Lenk, Detective Remiker, and Sergeant Colburn; is that right?
A. On the 6th, that's correct.
Q. On the 6th. And Lieutenant Lenk and Officer Colburn are officers of Calumet County?
A. No, Manitowoc County.
Q. And Officer Remiker is also from Manitowoc County?
A. Also, yes.
Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, no one saw this key sitting on the floor at that time?
A. Not that $I$ know of.
Q. When you finally did see the key, was it pretty obvious?
A. Yes, it was laying out in the open.
Q. Okay. And do you have any explanation for -Strike that. Now, there was also a search done on November 5th; is that right?
A. Search of?
Q. Of Mr. Avery's residence, Steve Avery's residence?
A. I don't know for sure.
Q. Is that the day when -- Let me just find it here. Is that the day when you took the vacuum cleaner?
A. No.
Q. That was the day when Steve Avery's residence was searched pursuant to a warrant; is that right?
A. I'm not aware on the 5 th; I was searching the junk yard with cadaver dogs.
Q. So, you weren't present for the search on the 5th?
A. If there was one, correct, I was not at the Steven Avery residence on the 5th.
Q. Okay. And so you don't know if a thorough search was done on that day or not?
A. Correct.
Q. What's a thorough search? Could you describe that.
A. Again, starting top to bottom, open everything,
look under everything.
Q. Had you talked with any officers about -- about the search on the 5th?
A. Again, not about the search on the 5th, no.
Q. Were you aware there had been a search done on the 5th?
A. I don't remember at what point I heard about any searches.
Q. Were you aware that there was also a search done, with Mr. Avery's consent, on November 4th?
A. No.
Q. But as far as you know, no one saw this key until November 8 th; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, when you saw the key, what did you do next?
A. It was actually Lieutenant Lenk that saw the key first.
Q. Okay.
A. He pointed to the floor and said, there's a key there. We all kind of looked at the key. I said, stop, everybody stop their searching. I took the camera, photographed the key, put on a pair of gloves and took the key into custody.
Q. Okay. And how do you do that?
A. I put it inside a paper bag that I brought into
the residence from my evidence kit. It was a new paper bag.
Q. Okay. This evidence kit, what was in your evidence kit?
A. Evidence collection materials.
Q. What kinds of things?
A. I have a tackle box full of equipment, fingerprint equipment. And then, in the back seat of the squad, $I$ also put plastic bags, paper bags, boxes, that type of thing.
Q. Was there any other evidence in this kit?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And were the bags in the kit?
A. The bags were inside a plastic bag, sealed in a plastic bag like you get from a store. I opened up the plastic bag when $I$ started taking things into evidence.
Q. What kind of bag did you put the key in?
A. Just a paper, kind of like a lunch bag.
Q. Okay.
A. Brown paper.
Q. Can you describe the process you went through in collecting the key. What did you do?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, I'm going to interpose an objection. I haven't until this point,
but this is well beyond probable cause determination. This is all discovery.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY LOY: Well, your Honor, I think this is a pretty crucial piece of evidence. I think it's important in determining plausibility, to determine how this piece of evidence was found and what was done with it. That's what I'm trying to determine here.

THE COURT: Well, the question here at a prelim is plausibility rather than credibility. I think questions on both sides have probably gone beyond what's normally involved in the scope of a prelim. I'm going to sustain the objection. I understand that eventually this may be crucial for the defense, but for purposes of prelim, I'm going to sustain the objection.

ATTORNEY LOY: Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Loy) How long were you in Mr. Avery's residence on the 8th?
A. I would have to look at my report to see the exact time. It was several hours.
Q. At least a couple hours?
A. Yes.
Q. And the other officers were also in there at the
same time --
A. Yes.
Q. -- with you? Okay. Those were two other officers?
A. On the 8th, correct, the other people in my search team.
Q. That was Officer Colburn and Officer Lenk?
A. Sergeant Colburn, Lieutenant Lenk, yes.
Q. Would you be willing to just draw us a diagram of exactly where this key was found?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, same objection. This is just what the Court, I think, had hoped wouldn't happen, goes well beyond the preliminary hearing scope.

ATTORNEY LOY: I think it goes to plausibility, your Honor. It seems that this key was within obvious sight and I guess it's surprising and somewhat disturbing that the key hadn't been noticed before. So I'm just trying to develop more information about the location of the key.

THE COURT: Well, the witness has already testified that the key was out in the open. I clearly understand your point on credibility. But on plausibility, there's nothing to prevent it. I mean -- so I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Loy) The key was near some bedroom slippers?
A. Yes.
Q. How close to the bedroom slippers was it?
A. A couple inches away.
Q. And there's a desk, there's a cabinet right next to the desk; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, next to that, was some bedroom slippers?
A. Next to the cabinet was the key and then the bedroom slippers.
Q. All right. Were the bedroom slippers moved during the search?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's something that you ordinarily would do, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you remember, did you move the bedroom slippers, or did someone else?
A. One of the other search team members moved the slippers.
Q. And those slippers were moved before the key was seen?
A. Yes.
Q. And the first time they were moved, nobody saw the key?
A. The key wasn't there the first time they were moved.
Q. Do you have any idea how the key got there?
A. Yes, we were searching the cabinet. Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colburn were searching the cabinet next to the desk. They were pulling books in and out of the cabinet, photographs in and out of the cabinet.

They were moving the cabinet, eventually
putting the books and photographs and things back into the cabinet, banging things around, moving it. We believe it either fell out of the cabinet or from some place hidden inside the cabinet or underneath the cabinet, or in back of the cabinet.
Q. You didn't actually see this happen, though?
A. No.
Q. You didn't hear anything fall to the ground?
A. It was carpeted. No, we didn't hear anything.
Q. Okay. And did you go back and look in the cabinet again to try to figure out where the key might have come from?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So, your testimony today about where the key might have come from, that's -- that's an educated guess on your part; would that be fair to say?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY LOY: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not for this hearing, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. The witness is excused. We'll take our afternoon break at this time.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: How long, Judge?
THE COURT: After 10 minutes, I would like counsel to see me in chambers.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, you may call your next witness.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. State would call Tom Sturdivant to the stand.

THE CLERK: Please stand.
SPECIAL AGENT THOMAS ALLEN STURDIVANT, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
follows:
THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Special Agent Thomas Allen Sturdivant, $S-t-u-r-d-i-v-a-n-t$.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Mr. Sturdivant, how are you employed?
A. Employed as a Special Agent with the Wisconsin Department of Justice, Division of Criminal Investigation.
Q. In that capacity, did you assist other law enforcement officers in the property known as Avery Auto Salvage, sometime between the 5th of November and the 11 th of November?
A. I did.
Q. During that relevant time period, did you notice, and did you thereafter observe, an area which is now being known as the burn area?
A. I did.
Q. On Exhibits No. 3, which is the scaled diagram, and on the photo, which is Exhibit No. 2, which both have been received into evidence, do you see that area on those exhibits?
A. I do.
Q. Could you describe for the Court, please, what, if any, observations you made of that burn area.
A. I was out looking at various locations that were discovered, pointed out by the search teams. I eventually made my way over to Mr. Avery's property.

And behind the detached garage was a mound of dirt, which was new to the landscape, not necessarily recently, but was a pile or mound of dirt which consisted of probably rock and sand and other materials. Behind his garage, at the end of that mound of dirt, on the south side, was a area that had been scraped out and contained charred matter.
Q. During -- By the way, what day was it that you observed or closer -- or in a closer manner inspected that area?
A. That was on November 8th.
Q. After inspecting that charred matter, did you request the assistance of any members of the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory, Field Response Unit, to further look at that area?
A. I did.
Q. And tell us what happened, please.
A. Myself and three members of the Wisconsin State

Laboratory team, and another officer from Manitowoc County, looked at that debris. And my initial observation was that it appeared to be bone matter, so I had summoned the assistance of the Crime Lab.

As I looked closer, it appeared to me that there was more bone matter within the charred material. So I asked their assistance, realizing that they had sifting equipment. We decided at that point it would be easier to sift through that matter and pick out any bone matter, to include teeth, that we had identified.
Q. The sifting of that particular burn area, did that result in the recovery of what you believed to be -- to be human remains, including bone, teeth and tissue?
A. Yes.
Q. After the recovery of that material, did you, with the assistance of the Field Response Unit of the Wisconsin Crime Lab, package up those materials and send them, not only to the Crime Lab but also to a forensic anthropologist, for further analysis?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Agent Sturdivant, I understand that the recovery
process took at least a couple of days; is that correct?
A. It did. We sifted the charred debris on the 8th. The stuff that we had recovered, we packaged up and put into a secured trailer. Then, on Thursday, we sifted through that debris again locating additional bone fragments and teeth. And Thursday afternoon there were other members from the Division of Criminal Investigation that also processed the so called pit, if you will.
Q. Just so the Court is aware, the recovery of some of the soil and some of the other ash and other materials, that remains to be further analyzed in Madison; is that correct?
A. That's correct.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: For this hearing, Judge, that's all the questions I have of Agent Sturdivant.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Actually, Judge, I'm going to be.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Mr. Johnson.
ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY JOHNSON:
Q. Agent Sturdivant, you are a special agent with

DCI; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And when were you assigned to go up to this scene?
A. That was on Thursday, November 8th. I'm sorry, Tuesday, November 8th.
Q. What time of day?
A. I had gone over there probably 8:00 in the morning.
Q. You work out of Madison?
A. No, I work out of Wausau.
Q. And Agent -- the other agent who was there, Fassbender, does he work with you out of that same office?
A. Tom Fassbender is out of the Appleton office. I used to work out of the Appleton office, but now I'm out of Wausau.
Q. So, agents from both Appleton and Wausau were called to the scene?
A. As well as other agents from other offices throughout the state.
Q. You got there, then, on the 8th. Do you remember what time of day?
A. I'm thinking it was roughly 8 a.m.
Q. Okay. And you went first to the -- was there
like a trailer set up as the headquarters or crime scene headquarters?
A. We referred to it as a command post, yes.
Q. Okay. Where was that?
A. That was towards the entrance to the Avery Salvage Yard.
Q. Towards the entrance, do you have an exact location where that was? I mean, when you say towards the entrance, what do you mean?
A. I can point it out on the map, if you would prefer.
Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: There's a pointer right in front of you.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The yellow button right in the middle is the laser part of the pointer. Might be upside down. The other way, Tom, point it the other way. I think it's pointing towards you.
A. I believe the command post was set up in this area.
Q. Is that a DCI command post, or Calumet County, or what is that?
A. I guess I would consider it a joint command post. There were a number of different vehicles there from Manitowoc, Calumet, but DCI does not have a
command post vehicle.
Q. So, there's a Manitowoc County Sheriff's vehicle?
A. I believe there were several vehicles there. But I think the primary -- the primary command post, I believe it was a Calumet County trailer that they had positioned at the entrance.
Q. Okay. And at what time of day were you -- did you go over to the area you referred to as the burn area?
A. Well, it was approximately 1:30. The search teams had located a variety of items of interest, if you will. And myself and two other agents were assigned to go out and take a look at those things, whether they be earthen piles, disturbed ground, what have you. We went out to assess those things to see if there was anything of evidentiary value.
Q. You're talking about now 1:30 you did that?
A. Yes.
Q. What had you been doing between 8 a.m. and 1:30?
A. Between 8 a.m. and 1:30, my first responsibility was to execute a search warrant at the office.
Q. And you did that?
A. I did that, yes.
Q. And who was there doing that with you?
A. I was there, it was myself, Special Agent Deb Straus and Special Agent Joe Kapitany arrived at the latter part of the search.
Q. How long did that take?
A. That took -- I think I was completed with that sometime around noontime.
Q. Okay. And then, at $1: 30$ is when you were called out to the burn area?
A. That is correct, approximately 1:30.
Q. And when you got there, who was there at that burn area?
A. Again, I made my way across -- across the salvage yard. And when I arrived, there was a deputy from Manitowoc County by the name of Jason Jost.
Q. Okay. Anybody else?
A. There was another female officer from, I forget what department, but there was another female officer that was monitoring the emptying of the septic system.
Q. Okay.
A. And Special Agent Deb Strauss was also there.
Q. So, those three people. Anybody else?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery.
A. There were a lot of people throughout the area.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I interposed an objection.

This is discovery.
THE COURT: Sustained.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Who was actually doing the sifting, or the examination of that burn area?
A. Well, the sifting was conducted by the three members of the Wisconsin Crime Lab, myself, and Deputy Jason Jost.
Q. Okay. When you first got there, you indicate that you saw a mound of dirt and you described it as new to the landscape. What do you mean by that?
A. The first thing I saw was a piece of bone that Jason Jost had pointed out to me, that was away from the debris pile. What I mean by the mound of dirt, it is not natural to the landscape. You have got the grass and it appears as though several yards of dirt had been dumped on top of the existing landscape, that being the grass, and built up behind the garage. There was probably, I think I estimated it to be 20 feet by 20 feet, or 30 feet by 30 feet, but it was as wide as the garage, the two-car garages.
Q. Okay. And you say that this deputy pointed out something to you; is that right?
A. That's correct. It was a red flag. And I don't know who actually discovered that piece of material, but there was a red flag there and Deputy Jost pointed that out to me.
Q. And Deputy Jost, again, he was from Manitowoc County?
A. I believe so.
Q. And he -- How did he point that out to you? He said, look? Can you describe that.
A. Well, as I made my way over to some of these areas that had been identified, or marked, he had pointed out there was a red flag there. And I think he made the same observation I did, that it looked like a piece of bone.
Q. And where was that in relation to this -- the mound that you described?
A. I estimated that to be about 8 feet south of that mound.
Q. Okay. Um, now, there's sort of a -- there's sort of a lip there, where it goes down into the pit of the -- where all the cars are, right?
A. Well, that pit is a substantial distance away from the back side of the house.
Q. Okay. So that was my next question. Where is it in relation to the sort of -- the edge of the
pit?
A. I'm not certain I'm following your question.
Q. Well, um, if you refer to exhibit, um, Exhibit 2, um, which is the area photograph, the burn area is marked on there, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, then, I guess my question is, where was this first red flagged piece of bone or --
A. Okay.
Q. Where was that, I guess, on that diagram?
A. If this is the edge of that mound of dirt, that piece of bone was approximately 8 feet south of that.
Q. Okay.
A. And directly south of that so called burn pit.
Q. Okay. So -- And you are not sure who had first discovered that?
A. I am not.
Q. Okay. How was this deputy dressed?
A. He was dressed in a -- I think a jumpsuit.
Q. Okay. What else?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Okay. So, then there's -the Crime Lab people are already there at that burn pit, or burn area; is that right?
A. Well, they eventually arrived, after I requested their assistance.
Q. Okay. So when you first get there, the Crime Lab people are not there?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Um, and so after discovering this bone, and seeing this mound of dirt, or dug up area that you describe as being new to the landscape, then -- so at that point you call in the Crime Lab people?
A. No. What happened is, that after discovering that bone, I looked to the north, where I observed other bone fragments. And I have sifted through bone fragments in the past. So, I made the observation that it appeared to me that there were additional bone fragments within that debris. And I did call the Crime Lab; however, they were busy recovering debris from other burn barrels, so they weren't there immediately.
Q. When you say you made the observation, was that looking into this burn area from the outside, or did you physically walk through the burn area, or
what did you do?
A. From the 8 feet south of that burn pit, I could clearly see that there was some bone matter located around the debris pile. Then I walked over and took a closer look at the charred debris and realized that there was quite a bit of bone matter within the debris, as well as intertwined within the steel belts of burned tires, and so forth.
Q. Okay. Can you describe more specifically what you saw when you say bone matter. What exactly does it look like?
A. Well, in this case, it just looked like different lengths and different sizes of bone fragments. Could I determine at that point what they were, what type of bone? I could not. But I could determine that it was bone matter.
Q. And you say you have sifted through this kind of thing before; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And approximately how many times?
A. Twice.
Q. Two times?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And when were those two times?
A. They were both fire scenes. One was in Winnebago, the other one was up in Brown County.
Q. When was that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery, Judge.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) How wide of an area were these fragments spread over?
A. I would estimate that the size of that burn pit was probably 6 feet by 6 feet, roughly. Again, that's an estimate.
Q. And they were throughout that 6 feet by 6 feet area?
A. They were concentrated in the middle. In the middle was a pile of charred debris. So the debris was probably more centered in that 6 by 6 foot area that had been dug out from the earth and berm.
Q. How were you dressed?
A. I was dressed -- I believe I had a jumpsuit on as well.
Q. Does that cover your feet?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Does that cover your feet?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Um, what's your understanding, or what's your knowledge of, if that area had been searched before? I mean, are you aware of any search, or any other times people had looked in that area?
A. I was not aware of what had been accomplished prior to my arrival.
Q. What was the weather like that day?
A. It was a beautiful day. It was clear. It was sunny, might have been a little cool, but it was a beautiful day.
Q. Any idea of the temperature?
A. I don't, but $I$ know that it was getting cold as the sun was going down.
Q. Um, it's your impression that these -- this area, this burn area, I guess, for lack of a better word, you described that as new to the landscape; you don't know when that was done?
A. That's correct.
Q. I mean, did it seem freshly dug, like within the last day or so?
A. I couldn't tell you.
Q. When you say -- When you use the term, new to the landscape, what do you have in mind when you use that term?
A. It was added to the existing landscape. Again,
you have got a lawn, and at some point somebody had to dump gravel or aggregate, if you will, up behind the garage. Looks as though it was spread out, but it was raised up about 2 feet from the natural landscape or the yard, if you will.
Q. Now, is there like black sort of charred matter all over that, I mean, like you would see it like where more a campfire was, or something like that?
A. Throughout the mound of dirt?
Q. Right.
A. No.
Q. Where was that? I mean, what part of the burn area was filled with sort of --
A. May I point to it.
Q. Sure.
A. Right there is -- that is -- that is here where the burn matter was. And, again, if I can make this a bit clearer, you have a mound of dirt that has been added to the landscape.

At the south end of that, it looks like somebody came in with a 6 foot shovel, 6 foot wide, 6 foot deep, and lifted out or removed that dirt, so you had kind of a concave area at the edge of that mound. And that's where the debris
pile was located.
Q. Okay. So, I mean, so it looked like someone had sort of made a clearing there by adding dirt to this lawn area; is that a fair statement?
A. Yes.
Q. And, then, in the southern part of that, they had scooped out an area where there were fires, or there was burn material there?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And you also saw the remains of tires and things there; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. What exactly did you see?
A. Well, I saw a variety of things. I saw the steel belt, the wire, the remnants of a steel belt, and there was bone matter intertwined with that steel belt wire. There was a hack saw blade in the debris. There was a hammer. There was, I believe, a shovel. I can't recall if it was a spade or a flathead.

There was another tire that had not been burned. Also, there was a car seat that had been consumed down to the metal. And I think I found there was a piece of a zipper, some other metal grommets, other things within the debris.
Q. You say a car seat, like a seat from a car? You're not talking about a baby car seat, you're talking about an actual seat from a car?
A. Would be a bench seat.
Q. Bench seat. Okay. And there were other pieces of material. Could you tell -- I mean, was there a way for you to tell if all that stuff had been recently burned, or if some of it was older; for instance, did the car seat have any rust on it, or anything like that?
A. The car seat, it did have some rust on it, but it probably oxidized due to the weather. But my opinion is that the burn site, and it looked fairly -- that site didn't look like it was used that often, looked like it was fairly new.
Q. Okay. Were you there when the crime scene people came to process that scene, I mean the Crime Lab people?
A. At what point? I was there when they initially sifted the material.
Q. Okay. Did they dig down?
A. We did not.
Q. So, you didn't -- you couldn't tell how deep, like the charred dirt, or charred materials, would go down into the ground?
A. Well, we scraped it. My opinion was, it was a hard surface, compacted. Didn't look as though that it had been worked over in the past. So, my opinion was that it wasn't something that had been used that often.
Q. Okay. So, then at what point did the Crime Lab people get there?
A. The Crime Lab people might have arrived around 3 p.m., approximately.
Q. Okay. And then what did they do? What did you observe them do?
A. We assisted the Crime Lab. We set up a sifting apparatus. We took the debris from the pile, sifted it in three different types of sifting screens, sorted or picked through it and removed what we felt were bones, as well as teeth, and a zipper and some metal grommets. And stuff that fell through the sifter, we collected on a tarp.
Q. Okay. Where did the tarp come from?
A. The tarp came from the Crime Lab.
Q. Okay. Did they have like a van or something there?
A. They did, yes.
Q. And you did that throughout the afternoon of the 8th; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so, I assume for a couple hours, until it got dark, from 3 to 5, 5:30 type of thing?
A. Yes.
Q. And what happened to the stuff that was on the tarp?
A. The stuff on the tarp was collected, double bagged in a black bag and then placed into a secure trailer that was in control of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department.
Q. Okay. What kind of bags were used?
A. Large, black, plastic garbage bags.
Q. So you put it in large, plastic garbage bags?
A. It all fit in one bag. We just double bagged it.
Q. Okay. And you say you used like three -- three different strainers; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Sort of sift through the dirt, get all the dirt out?
A. What you do is, there are different sifters. You want some of the material to fall through and you want to capture other material.
Q. So, how wide are we talking about?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery. THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Were you there when the stuff was taken off the tarp and bagged up?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were you there that whole time, throughout the afternoon?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So then, that stuff is taken off the tarp, it is put in these plastic -- this one plastic bag, double bagged, sealed; is that right?
A. It's not sealed, per se. It's tied and then it's placed in the Calumet County van that was in control of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department. And Rick Reimer was the person that secured it.
Q. Okay. And then did it, that particular burn area scene, did that continue to get processed the next day?
A. I don't believe it was actually processed until Thursday.
Q. Okay. So, what about Wednesday, what happened there?
A. Wednesday, I had to appear in court in Monroe County, so, I wasn't available. And then I came back on Thursday and assisted Special Agent Rodney Pevytoe with sifting debris.
Q. To your knowledge, nobody did anything with that particular part of this site on Wednesday?
A. Not to the best of my knowledge.
Q. Okay. Then you came back on Thursday. Did you spend the whole day doing the sifting on that date?
A. The first thing we did was, Thursday morning we set up at the Calumet County Sheriff's Department and sifted, again, through the debris that we had collected on the tarp on Tuesday. And after completing that, we then went back to the crime scene and began to process that thoroughly.
Q. You say you went back, you mean back to that burn area; is that what you are talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, basically, same process as on Tuesday or?
A. Well, I was -- I wasn't intimately involved with any of the processing of the scene. We had a number of other agents that arrived from the Arson Bureau that then processed the scene.
Q. Okay. Are you an arson investigator?
A. I was at once upon a time.
Q. And when was that?
A. That was approximately two years ago.
Q. For how long?

THE COURT: I'm going to interpose my own objection.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) How long have you been with DCI?
A. Since 1998.
Q. And before that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.
ATTORNEY JOHNSON: That's all the questions
I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Absolutely not. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Witness is excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: State will call Leslie Eisenberg to the stand.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
LeSLIE EISENBERG, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state
your name, spell your last name for the record.
THE WITNESS: My name is Leslie Eisenberg, E-i-s-e-n-b-e-r-g.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. How are you employed, ma'am?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. How are you employed?
A. I am currently employed by the Wisconsin Historical Society in Madison and as program coordinator for the State's Burial Site Preservation Program.
Q. Do you enjoy any titles at this time?
A. Yes, I have a doctorate in anthropology and am also board certified in forensic anthropology.
Q. In front of you, Dr. Eisenberg, is an exhibit. It's actually a 16 page exhibit, which is referred to as a curriculum vitae, or what most people would call a resume, a 16 page resume. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Does that resume include your educational background, your training and your experience, including writings that you have personally and collaboratively been involved in that allow you
to speak as an expert witness in matters of anthropology?
A. It does, sir.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Ask for a stipulation as to her qualifications. Move the admission of Exhibit 10, Judge.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY LOY: No objection.
THE COURT: Court will accept her as an expert and admit Exhibit 10.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Dr. Eisenberg, were you asked to review some material that was found at what's now been referred to as the Avery Salvage Yard and did you, in fact, agree to review that material?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Could you answer, please, how it was that you were asked and, specifically, what it was that you did with that material?
A. I was initially contacted on Wednesday, November 9th, through a telephone message by a Special Agent from the Division of Criminal Investigation, State of Wisconsin, Department of Justice, who indicated that she would be bringing to me a box of items that were recovered from the

Avery property, for my examination.
I took possession of those remains on November 10th and transferred them to the Dane County Coroner's Office in Madison, the Morgue Facility, where I examined those remains and sorted them into and identified them as human remains, and other items, which were bagged separately.
Q. All right. Did you then separate the human remains from what you believed to be non-human remains?
A. I did.
Q. And regarding the human remains, could you describe in a little more detail what it was that you observed?
A. Yes. When I opened the box containing all the material I first examined on November 10th, there were many fragments of human bones, some as small or smaller than the size of a nickel, other fragments perhaps as long as 4 or 5 inches. None -- None of those fragments represented an entire bone, but they did represent almost every segment of the human skeleton, at least in part.
Q. Do you know how many bone fragments, just roughly, it is that you have examined?
A. I have not made a count at this time. And the reason I have not done that is because I am in the process of trying to refit those fragments together, to look for any other evidence, other than the burning, on the fragments that I have observed.
Q. You indicated that there were fragments, both small and larger, but none, at least to your independent recollection, longer than 4 or 5 inches; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did it appear to you that these fragments, either intentionally or otherwise, had somehow degraded or been changed from their original skeletal state?
A. Yes, sir, they have. Because of the breakage and the coloration, it was obvious to me when I opened the box that the human remains, the bone fragments, had undergone considerable heat and burning.
Q. And so we're clear, from what is commonly referred to as mutilation, the question that I have of you is, did these bones appear to have been altered in some way, again, rather -- either by human or other forces, so that they took a
shape other than what a corpse may look like that was not altered? Do you understand the question?
A. I do. And in response, I would say that the normal skeleton that $I$ would expect to find was so altered by a burning episode as to render that skeleton into fragments.
Q. All right. Dr. Eisenberg, were you able and are you able, based upon your training, experience, education, and to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty, identify those remains as being either male or female?
A. Yes, I have been able to make a determination of sex.
Q. And what, first of all, is that determination?
A. That determination is that the fragmentary human remains presented to me for examination are those of an adult female.
Q. And by an adult female, are you able to approximate the age of those remains; in other words, the age of the individual from which those remains come?
A. At this juncture, $I$ would like to reserve comment on that until $I$ have completed my examination.
Q. All right. So the Court is clear, that examination, um, continues your analysis, not
only for the -- for this particular case but for the Division of Criminal Investigation, you have asked to remain involved; is that right?
A. That is correct.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: For this hearing, Judge, that's all the questions $I$ have of Dr. Eisenberg. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Johnson. ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY JOHNSON:
Q. Dr. Eisenberg, are there -- is there scientific literature that you reviewed in conjunction with the examination that you made in this case?
A. Well, as I mentioned, I have not completed my examination, but, yes, there is research that I have referred to and research that I already know of, based on my years in the field.
Q. And in conjunction with this examination, what literature did you refer to, what scientific literature?
A. Literature involving signatures of possible antemortem bone trauma in burned remains.
Q. Do you have the name of those articles or those treatises? Judge.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Um, the examination that you did -- First of all, you received these items from a DCI agent; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And who was that?
A. The name of the Special Agent was Duranda Freymiller, $F-r-e-y-m-i-l-l-e-r$.
Q. And you had received a phone call prior to receiving these, $I$ take it?
A. That is correct.
Q. And who was the phone call from?
A. It was a voice mail message left for me on my work answering machine, from Special Agent Freymiller.
Q. And you returned that call?
A. I did not return that call because when $--I$ was out of town when the call came in. And I picked up that message in transit back to Madison.
Q. Okay.
A. After hours.
Q. Okay. And then, when did you actually receive the items?
A. On Thursday morning, November 10th.
Q. Okay. And this agent came to your workplace?
A. The remains were left for me at my workplace, signed for by a coworker, and left in my locked office, awaiting my arrival.
Q. Okay. And they were in a box; is that what you said?
A. At the time, I did not know it was in a box, but, yes, I did receive a white flat rectangular box identified with labels and other information on that box. And the box was in a brown grocery type bag, presumably to transmit the box.
Q. Okay. And then you take that box, then, to the morgue, the Dane County Morgue; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And that's where you opened it?
A. That is correct.
Q. And, um, inside that box, are the items packaged inside that box, separately?
A. Within the box there was one plastic bag into which several bone fragments had been placed by another forensic anthropologist, Dr. Kenneth Bennett, to whom the box was initially brought, for a brief examination, to determine whether the fragments in the box were of human origin.
Q. Okay. And the color of that plastic bag was?
A. Was clear.
Q. Okay. Was that plastic bag sealed?
A. In what way?
Q. Sealed, I mean taped shut, there was some sort of a seal on it, anything like that?
A. There was not a seal on that bag, but the bag was within the sealed box that was brought to me. And the box was sealed with evidence tape and had -- was intact upon my receipt of the box.
Q. Okay. And how many items were there in the plastic bag?
A. I did not count them. I simply laid them out during the sorting procedure $I$ followed.
Q. Okay. But 10, more than 10, more than 20? Do you have an estimate?
A. I don't recall. I'm sorry.
Q. Okay. How do you do an examination like this? Can you just sort of take me through the steps.
A. The first thing that $I$ do is, wearing appropriate gloves and mask and gown and so on, remove piece by piece from the box and sort them into piles representing human bone fragments and items of non-biological origin, like metal, plant material and so on.
Q. And how many items of non-biological origin were there here?
A. I did not count them. I simply, with the initial sorting, segregated them into separate piles that then were put into separate bags that were labeled.
Q. Okay. And then what do you do next?
A. Well, this is -- it's a fairly slow process. There were many fragments in the box. And what I did was, at the end of the evening, grouped all of the human bone fragments together, was able to sort out dental structures, which may have represented fragments of jawbone and/or tooth structures, and bagged those separately.

At the end of the evening I had several bags, one representing human bone and bone from different areas of the body, in addition to other non-biological types of items.
Q. Okay. And you put each group of items in a separate bag?
A. A separate labeled bag, that's correct.
Q. And then, did you continue this examination the next day, I assume?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And what's the next stage in it?
A. If I may, Judge, may I refer to my timeline?
Q. Is there something that would refresh your recollection?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, perhaps I'll just interpose the objection that this goes well beyond the direct examination and the purpose for which it's being offered at the preliminary hearing.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Judge, if there's expert testimony that's been offered, I think on cross-examination I'm entitled to go into the data on which that expert testimony is being offered, under 907.05.

THE COURT: If there's a document that she's used to refresh her memory to testify today, I believe the defense is entitled to see that. Is it here?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Has he asked that question?

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Well, I guess, let me --
ATTORNEY KRATZ: She asked if she could look at it.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Let me see if I can clarify this.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Would it refresh your recollection to refer to the timeline that you
prepared in conjunction with this examination?
A. It would, but certainly I can speak through the timeline. From the initial receipt of the remains on November 10th, the remains actually coming into my possession, on successive days, after the 10 th, I spent several to many hours continuing with my examination, not only of the remains that were initially brought to me on November 9th, but additional remains that had been collected by the Division of Criminal Investigation on the same property, from which the original box of fragments came.
Q. Okay.
A. So, the examination continued using the same basic sorting procedure.
Q. Okay. The question that I had asked was: The next day, what did you do? And I guess that's -that's my question at this point. The next day, how did you continue that process?
A. The next day $I$ continued to sort. This is a very slow process. It's a very detailed and meticulous process and not something that's easily completed in just several hours.
Q. Okay. And are you consulting anything as you are doing this sorting, or any treatises, any
material, any reference material?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. How long would you say this process of sorting took you? Do you have an estimate at all?
A. Well, $I$ am still in the process of sorting.
Q. Okay. Is this a situation where you, basically, are sorting these fragments and you can offer an opinion as to their origin purely by a -- a visual examination?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. There's no -- Is there any other type of testing that you would do to confirm that visual examination?
A. None that I believe is necessary, given my experience in analyzing human remains not only from a forensic context but from some of the disaster context with which I have been involved in this country.
Q. Okay. And I'm sorry if I have -- this has been answered before, how long have you been doing this? How many years?
A. I began consulting in forensic anthropology in 1986 for the office of Chief Medical Examiner in New York.
Q. Okay. Now, you are able to offer an opinion that these remains, you believe, come from an adult female; is that right?
A. That is correct, sir.
Q. And how do you come to that conclusion? What is it about them?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I'm going to -well --

THE COURT: I'm going to allow this question. She can explain how she came to her conclusion.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine.
A. There are, as forensic anthropologists, certain landmarks and certain shapes, what we call morphology, that differ between male and female skeletons. And there are certain landmarks that I find -- even though they are fragmentary -- in the human remains, that $I$ have been able to identify as being of the shape and form and size that I believe to come from a female.
Q. And what landmarks, specifically, are you referring to?
A. Two in particular, although, because my analysis is not completed, there may yet be others. But there are fragments from what we call the brow
ridge, above the eye socket, on both sides of the skull, above both orbits, as well as an elbow joint at the top end of one of the lower arm bones, the radius.
Q. And what would be -- what would be the difference? I mean, how would that lead you to the conclusion it was a female?
A. In female skulls, the shape of the upper rim of the eye socket is not very robust, or very heavy looking. It's actually fairly gracile, very small and has a sharp margin. And I see that sharp margin on both fragments of the skull, of the upper eye area.

And the head of the radius, or the part of the lower -- one of the lower arm bones that forms part of the elbow joint, is also of a very small size.
Q. Now, is there a particular standard by which you would judge this to be a female? I mean, a certain -- below a certain size it has got to be a female, above a certain size you would offer the opinion it's a male? I'm talking about the radius.
A. That's correct, that would apply to the radial head, the radius head. And there has -- there
has been a study done that addresses just that issue.
Q. And what is that size?
A. At the present time, I don't have that number in my head to report to you.
Q. Okay. And would that -- That study you referred to, do you know the name of that study?
A. I don't know the name of the article. I do know the name of the author. I'm not quite sure if $I$ know how to pronounce the last name. First name is Emily. The last name is something like Barasbieta (phonetic). It's an article that was published, I believe, in the Journal of Forensic Science.
Q. And is that dealing with averages or -- I mean, is there sort of like a cut off? Do you understand my question?
A. Yes, I do.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, excuse me. My objection is, this goes more to weight than to admissibility.

THE COURT: At this point, the Court will sustain the objection.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) What about with regard to
the orbital bone, is there a similar type of standard there, as to what you described, that would lead you to believe it's a female?
A. It's not a metric or measurable kind of standard. It's an observational kind of standard that we all base our work on.
Q. So, it's a subjective call on your part?
A. I do not believe it's a subjective call simply because it cannot be measured.
Q. Okay. What are you looking for in that particular bone, the thickness, the length from side to side?
A. To which bone are you referring, sir?
Q. The orbital, I'm sorry.
A. It's -- It's the sharp edge, the fact that there's not a heavy and protruding brow ridge on top of it.
Q. Okay. And so that would be true, generally, of females?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And is that same article that you referred to before the basis for -- for your opinion on that bone as well, or is --
A. The article previously mentioned about the radius head does not at all address using the orbital
bone to determine sex.
Q. Okay. Is there another learned treatise or piece of scientific literature that you would have reviewed relative to the orbital bone?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Now, are there other tests that you have performed on these -- these items, other like chemical tests, or anything like --
A. No, sir.
Q. All just been a visual examination?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay.
A. May I qualify that? I have also had an opportunity to have the fragments of the skull that were presented for analysis, x-rayed.
Q. Okay. And where did that take place?
A. That took place on November 17th, at the Middleton Memorial Veteran's Hospital in Madison.
Q. Okay. And what did you find out as a result of those $x$-rays?
A. As a result of those x-rays, several of the cranial fragments, at least seven of them, showed evidence for areas with a greater density than
the bone itself. In other words, they were small tiny areas, almost flecks, that appeared whiter in the $x$-ray than the surrounding bone.
(Court reporter asked for clarification.)
A. Whiter.
Q. You examined these x-rays yourself; is that right?
A. That's correct, but $I$ was not the only one in the room at the time.
Q. Okay. Was there a radiologist or someone who was helping you interpret those?
A. There was a radiology technician. There was also a forensic pathologist in the room at the same time.
Q. Okay. Who was that?
A. His name is Dr. Michael Stier, $S-t-i-e-r$.
Q. Is he -- He is with Dane county?
A. He is with --

THE COURT: The Court is going to impose it's own objection.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Okay.
THE COURT: It's discovery.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) And what do those findings tell you, if anything?
A. At the moment, $I$ have -- I am not at a point
where $I$ can comment on what those mean. At this point, there are -- there are areas in those bones that are more dense than the bone itself. It's unknown at this time what those densities represent.
Q. Okay. And do you know what part of the skull those came from?

THE COURT: Again, just a minute, the Court is going to interpose its own objection. The witness has testified that, at least at this point, the significance of it is unknown, so it adds nothing to this hearing.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Do you recall what the substance of the voice mail message was that was left for you by the Special Agent?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery, your Honor.

THE COURT: What's the purpose of the question?

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Well, I'm trying to figure out if there was something that was told to her about the items that she was going to be asked to look at. Was it told to her that these were human remains? Remains of unknown origin? What
exactly was told to her.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may, Judge, and if they were, that would go to weight, not admissibility.

THE COURT: The Court agrees, the objection is sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Were these items that you examined, were they -- you indicate that they were all badly burned; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And that's -- you can see that through a visual examination?
A. That is correct. Most all of the bone was blackened from burning.
Q. Okay. Is there any kind of chemical analysis you did to confirm that?
A. No, and that is really outside of the range of my expertise.
Q. Okay. So, this is, again, just -- it's a visual examination that tells you, through your training and experience, that this is from burns?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you have had experience in examining human remains before, from burn victims?
A. Yes, I have had a number of forensic cases as
well as some of the federal disaster work I have done involving a train crash in Illinois, the aftermath of the World Trade Center disaster. And so I have had occasion to look at many types of burned and fragmentary human remains.
Q. Would you have an estimate as to how many times?
A. I do not have an exact number for you.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Thank you. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge, thank you.
THE COURT: The witness is excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: State will call Sherry Culhane to the stand. May we approach, Judge, just briefly.

THE COURT: Yes.
THE CLERK: If you would raise your right hand.

SHERRY CULHANE, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Sherry Culhane,

$$
\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e} .
$$

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Ms Culhane, how are you employed?
A. I work for the Wisconsin State Crime Laboratory as a DNA analyst in the DNA Section.
Q. In front of you is a document which is marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11, would you tell us what that is.
A. Yes, it is a copy of my curriculum vitae.
Q. Does that curriculum vitae include your current position, the duties with the Crime Lab, your prior education, training, experience, that entitles you to hold the position of DNA analyst?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Move admission of Exhibit 11 and ask she be considered an expert, at least in that particular field.

THE COURT: Any objection from the defense?
ATTORNEY JOHNSON: No.
THE COURT: The exhibit is admitted. The Court will accept the witness as an expert.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Ms Culhane, you have been asked to participate in the investigation regarding DNA analysis of samples regarding the
case involving Mr. Avery and Ms Halbach; is that correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. As part of that investigative process, did you have occasion to individually search and recover items from a 1999 Rav 4 SUV, in Madison?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. In searching the back of that vehicle, were you able to observe and did you collect various material, various stains, that you believed included human blood?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 12. Excuse me. Could you tell us what that is, please.
A. This is the back cargo area of that vehicle. And I recovered a portion of a large reddish brown stain that was where that yellow area is.
Q. All right. That stain recovery, is that something that you do as a member of the Crime Lab, as a DNA analyst?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Were you also asked to examine, and did you recover, a reddish stain that was located near
the ignition portion of the front of that same SUV?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And could you look at what's been marked as Exhibit No. 13 and tell us what, if anything, that depicts?
A. This is a photograph of the inside of the Rav 4. And that squiggly --

THE COURT: Excuse me, there's a laser pointer floating around.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I have got it. The yellow button.
A. Thank you. This area right here is where I recovered a portion of this reddish brown stain.
Q. Ms Culhane, did you also receive in evidence and were you asked to process and identify whether any DNA material was located on a vehicle key, which has been referred to as a Toyota key?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. By the way, once receiving that key at the Crime Lab, did you do anything with the key and test it as it might relate to this particular '99 Rav 4?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did you do?
A. I placed it in the ignition and turned it. The
key turned the ignition and I also tested it on the locked front driver's side door, and it did open the door.
Q. And so the Court is aware what key we are talking about, is this the same key at least identified, and identified in the evidence package, as having been collected from the bedroom of Steven Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. Ms Culhane, the key itself, did you process that for the recovery of possible DNA material?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Can you tell us what that entails, please.
A. I, basically, took a sterile cotton swab and swabbed the black rubberized portion of the key that you would use to crank the key. I swabbed all the surfaces and I did my analysis from that cotton swab.
Q. Ms Culhane, the analysis of this vehicle, where did that take place at?
A. At the Wisconsin State Crime Lab in Madison.
Q. All right. We're going to be showing you what's been marked for identification as Exhibits No. 14 and 15. And as you testify about your analysis, I would invite you to refer to those exhibits and tell us if -- if you need to read from them.

But let me ask you if you were able to develop what's commonly referred to as a DNA profile from the bloodstain that was obtained from the back of the Rav 4 SUV?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Were you able to identify and develop a profile of any DNA material that was found on the Toyota key that we have heard about?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Ms Culhane, have you received from law enforcement officials what are commonly referred to as exemplars, or sometimes intimate samples that are referred to, from both Mr. Avery and that of Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And were you able, specifically, from a buccal swab saliva sample of Mr. Avery, to develop a DNA profile?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And, specifically, from a slide, a pap smear of the victim in this case, Teresa Halbach, were you able to develop a DNA profile?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Did you also, Ms Culhane, receive from the Crime Lab various bone and tissue material which was
represented to you as having been collected from a burn area on the Avery property?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Did you attempt to develop a DNA profile from those charred remains of bone and tissue?
A. Yes.
Q. Referring then, first, to Exhibit No. 14 --

THE COURT: Just a minute, did she say she tried? What was the --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: She said she did, Judge, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: You were able to read the DNA from the charred remains; is that what you said? THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) Ms Culhane, then, regarding the profiling, or regarding the analysis that you performed, were you able to compare the DNA profile from the key found in Mr. Avery's bedroom, from the blood found near the ignition inside of Ms Halbach's vehicle, and compare that with Mr. Avery's DNA exemplar?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. What were the results of that analysis?
A. The profile developed from the buccal swabs that were taken from Steven Avery was consistent with
the DNA profile that I developed from both the Toyota key and the apparent blood stains that were taken from the ignition.
Q. Were you able to develop and compare the DNA profiles from the blood found in the back of the Rav 4 and compare that with the DNA profile, the pap smear from Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. What were those results?
A. The profile from the pap smear was also consistent with the stain from the back cargo area of the Rav 4.
Q. And so the Court is clear, there was also a soda can that was found in the front of Teresa's vehicle, that you developed a DNA profile from; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And what, if anything, did that match, or what was that consistent with?
A. That was also consistent with the profile developed from the pap smear of Teresa Halbach.
Q. And, finally, Ms Culhane, were you able to compare the DNA profile from the pap smear of Teresa Halbach and compare those to the charred tissue and charred remains found on the Avery property?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Could you describe the results for us, please.
A. The profile -- The DNA profile from the charred remains was a partial profile and, mainly, because that was a very degraded sample of DNA. That partial profile was consistent with the profile developed from the pap smear of Teresa Halbach.
Q. Were you able, Ms Culhane, to speak as to statistics, or a frequency of occurrence, that is, between the partial profile and the known or exemplar sample of Ms Halbach?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Could you describe how that analysis occurs and what, if any, statistical data you can provide in that regard?
A. When we do this type of DNA analysis, we're looking at several different locations on the DNA molecule. The more locations, the more areas of the DNA we test, the more discriminating and the more specific that profile becomes.

Because the profile from the charred remains was a partial profile, it was only -- it matched the pap smear sample at seven different
locations. Statistically, if we look at how rare this profile occurs in the population, we can statistically look at all those different areas, and combining those, we come up with a composite statistic that characterizes this sample and how rare it is in the population.

In the case of the partial profile from the charred remains, it occurs one person in one billion in the Caucasian population -- I have to refer to the exact numbers -- one person in two billion in the African/American and southeastern Hispanic populations and one person in three billion in the southwestern Hispanic population.
Q. And at least for our purposes, regarding whether or not those charred remains are in fact those of Teresa Halbach, is it a fair statement, then, with the analysis that you have provided, to indicate that the chances, if you will, that it is not Teresa Halbach would be one in one billion? Is that roughly a restatement of what you are telling us?
A. Basically, it just means that this profile occurs in the general Caucasian population one person out of a billion and it is consistent with Teresa.
Q. So, the seven out of seven loci, in other words, the DNA analysis, at least to the frequency of one in one billion, matched between that of Teresa Halbach and that of the charred remains; is that correct?
A. That's correct.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all I have of this witness, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson.
ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Thank you.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY JOHNSON:
Q. When did this car arrive at the Crime Lab?
A. I got involved in it on November 7th --
Q. Do you know --
A. -- 2005 .
Q. I'm sorry, 2005, is that what you said?
A. Yup.
Q. Okay. When did it arrive, to your knowledge?
A. I believe it arrived the day before, on a Sunday.
Q. Okay. And then you didn't have anything to do with it until that day?
A. Correct.
Q. And where was it when you first saw it?
A. It was in the garage of our laboratory.
Q. Okay. And it was parked there? Was it in a van? How was it?
A. No, it was parked in the garage and it was being photographed by the photographer in the laboratory.
Q. Okay. Who else was there?
A. Myself, Mike Riddle, who is another analyst in the lab, Nick Stahlke and Ron Groffy.
Q. And those are other Crime Lab personnel?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were any of those people people who had been on the scene when the car was recovered?
A. I don't recall if they were there or not.
Q. Okay. And was the car -- were the doors open and the hatch open?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Okay. And they were taking photographs; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And were they processing the vehicle otherwise? Were they looking like for fingerprints, doing things like that?
A. Usually when we process a vehicle like this, as a DNA analyst, $I$ look at it first for any biological material. In this case, I was
interested in any blood stains, apparent blood stains, that $I$ might find. So, I was involved in the beginning.

The car is photographed, first. Then I was involved in looking for blood stains, apparent blood stains. And after I was completed with my portion of it, then it would have been processed for fingerprints.
Q. Okay. So the car is there, there are a number of people around it. Are the doors open and things like that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so someone had done that prior to your arrival?
A. As far as I recall, yes.
Q. Okay. And so you get there. And what do you have with you?
A. Pardon me?
Q. What do you have with you? I mean, what materials do you have?
A. I have the supplies that I use to process the car: Cotton swabs, chemicals that I use to look for presumptive test for blood, my notes, that sort of thing. And I begin -- flashlight -- by actually visually looking at the car to see if
there is any blood stains.
Q. Okay. And how are you dressed?
A. I have a lab coat on.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't remember what else.
Q. Okay. And you have --
A. Gloves, lab coat, gloves, yup.
Q. Okay. Anything covering your mouth, or anything like that?
A. Not my mouth, but I had safety goggles, glasses.
Q. Okay. And so what's the first thing you do --
(Court reporter asked to have the question repeated)
Q. I'm sorry. What's the first thing you do in relation to this car?

THE COURT: Just a second. Mr. Kratz, can you turn off the photo machine, it will make life easier for the reporter.
A. The first thing $I$ do is begin to take notes. I verify that the car that's in the garage is the car that I'm actually supposed to be looking at. I write down the VIN number, what type of car it is, and then I begin, basically, by -- with a flashlight, looking on the inside of the car.

As I come across stains that appear to be consistent with blood stains, I diagram and
note where those stains are. I collect those stains by using a sterile cotton swab that's in -- dipped in sterile water and I swab the area. In some cases those are photographed. Not all stains from every car is photographed. And I, basically, write my notes as I go along.
Q. Okay. Now, do you speak to anybody before you start this process?
A. I'm usually -- I have usually been told what case it is, some of the background on the case. In this particular case, I was told by one of the supervisors what kind of case this was, where this car was found, and what we were interested in looking at.
Q. Okay. So you knew -- you knew some background about the case, where the car was found, you knew it was the victim's car?
A. Correct.
Q. And you knew that -- that -- that it was, potentially, you would expect to find blood stains there?
A. Correct.
Q. And other potential DNA material?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's all before you go out and start
processing the car?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, then, does anybody point out, like different possibilities about what stains you might want to look at, or do you just do that yourself?
A. Actually, in this case, Nick Stahlke, who is another analyst, he is a blood spatter analyst as well as a document analyst, we were sort of doing it in conjunction, and we were kind of looking at the stains together.
Q. Okay. And so you -- you -- do you recall which stain you looked at first?
A. Yes, the first one was the one in the back cargo area, because it was the largest and the most obvious.
Q. How big an area was that stain?
A. I didn't measure it exactly. That was the photograph you were shown earlier. I would guess about that big.
Q. And you are holding your hands --
A. -- about six inches across.
Q. About six inches. Would you say --
A. Approximately.
Q. Would you say six inches around, I mean, like
sort of a circular type of stain?
A. Yes.
Q. And what do you do to process that stain?
A. We do a test that is a presumptive test for blood. It is not specific for human blood, but we use it as a screening tool. It's a --
Q. What test is that?
A. It's phenolphthalein.
Q. Okay.
A. It is a color test. If you -- If it's probably blood, you get a bright pink color.
Q. Okay.
A. So, I did that on the stain. It appeared to be that it was consistent with a possible bloodstain, so I collected that one.
Q. Okay. And, then, to collect it, what do you do?
A. I took a sterile cotton swab, wet it with sterile water and just, basically, wiped it over the surface until I had enough on the cotton swab that I felt I could get a DNA profile from.
Q. Okay. And is that cotton swab, the tip of it, like saturated then, or just a small amount of the bright pink on it, or?
A. I use a different swab. I use the swab -- a swab for the phenolphthalein to test that. Then I
throw that away. Then I take a another swab to actually take my sample for DNA extraction.
Q. Okay. You throw that away, where do you throw that away?
A. Into a biohazard box.
Q. And then what do you do? Then you take a different cotton swab and you swab the stain?
A. Correct.
Q. Then what do you do with that swab?
A. I allow it to air dry and then $I$ put it into a paper coin envelope and I mark on the outside the item designation, the laboratory number. Any evidence or any case that's opened into the laboratory has a unique number and we use that number to identify everything associated with that case.

So I put the lab number on it. It was Item A, and it was the first stain that I collected so it was A1.
Q. Okay. And you allow it to air dry; how long does that take?
A. It wasn't very wet. I probably -- What I have is a rack and this -- the cotton swabs, as I collect the stains, are put into this rack and they are left there until I'm completed. Then I put them
all into a envelope.
Q. And how big is this rack, a foot long?
A. No, not that long.
Q. Six inches?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. So, like square, six by six?
A. Yeah.
Q. How many different cotton swabs can fit in there?
A. I usually put about three, then I use another one.
Q. And then you put those, where? Is there like a table or something there you put them on?
A. Yes, it's an area that I designated as my work surface. It has paper down and it has all the tools, the forceps and everything that I use for examination.
Q. Okay. And that little holder stand sits on that table?
A. Correct.
Q. And, um -- So you take the cotton swab, put it in the stand, you go on to the next stain, then, at that point?
A. Correct.
Q. What do you do with that stain, the next stain, same process?
A. Same process, correct.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is it the same thing with the blood test first, the presumptive blood test first?
A. Yes. And then that's discarded into a biohazard box and then I take my actual sample.
Q. Where is that biohazard box; is that also on that little table, the work table?
A. It's in a -- It's a box on the floor, it's a big biohazard box right by where I am.
Q. Is it like covered, or?
A. What do you mean by cov -- No, it's open.
Q. It's just an open box?
A. An open box, yeah.
Q. Okay. You're sort of pitching stuff into?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Do you remember which stain you went to next?
A. Yes, I do. I did not -- I believe there were a total of 10 stains that were -- I actually swabbed and collected. I only did DNA on four of them. The next stain was on the cargo area, the back cargo area of the car. There's a little plastic strip that you get into the car. I don't
know exactly what it's called, but that was the second stain that $I$ took.
Q. That's sort of like the -- the rear of the car, where the sort of carpeting ends and there's sort of a little plastic area there?
A. That's correct.
Q. Right where the hatch back opens?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And that's -- that's the stain you did next?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. How do you get access to the stain in the interior of the cargo portion? Did you go through the door, or how did you get to that?
A. No, I just leaned over.
Q. You leaned over. Okay. How big of a lean is that?
A. I don't recall, maybe a few feet.
Q. Okay.
A. Just a reach. I could comfortably reach it.
Q. Are you touching anything else when you are doing that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So, then this strip, you do that one next. How big is that stain?
A. I don't recall. I would have to look at my notes to see exactly.
Q. Okay. You would refresh your recollection by looking at your notes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: I'm going to interpose my own objection here. Is this one of the ones you analyzed?

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: For purposes of this hearing, I don't believe it's relevant.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Okay. So, this is not one of the stains you analyzed for DNA?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. Why don't we go to the next stain you analyzed for DNA?
A. Okay.
Q. Where was that?
A. There was a stain on the driver's seat. It was actually in the fabric of the seat. I cut that out.
Q. Okay. Using what?
A. A pair of scissors.
Q. Okay. Where did the scissors come from?
A. In the laboratory, my work scissors.
Q. Are they from that same work area?
A. Yes. Yes, all the tools that I use for my processing and examination are all in the same area.
Q. Okay. That's that little table where the little stand for the swabs is sitting?
A. Correct.
Q. And how big is that table, again?
A. I don't recall exactly, probably maybe 3 feet by 2 feet.
Q. Okay.
A. It's a laboratory counter.
Q. Okay. All right. So -- So you get the pair of scissors and your, um, and, um, you cut out that -- that stain out of that driver's, uh, seat; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And how big is that stain?
A. It's probably the size of my thumbnail.
Q. Okay. Which is half an inch? I mean, an inch?
A. Quarter of an inch.
Q. Quarter of an inch. Okay. You cut that out; what do you do with it?
A. I put that in a coin envelope and also label it
with a case number, the date, and my initials, and the item designation that $I$ give it, which in this case $I$ believe it was a six.
Q. Okay.
A. It was the sixth thing I took.
Q. So that -- now would that stain -- You don't take a swab of that stain right there?
A. No.
Q. When do you -- So what do you do with that envelope?
A. That's put on my work surface.
Q. Okay.
A. It's folded over and sealed and put on my work surface.
Q. Okay. In the meantime, you collected, I assume, four other stains?
A. Correct.
Q. And those are all with Q-tips?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Okay. And those Q-tips are stored in that same little --
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. That's the same little stand, Q-tip drying stand or something?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Um, and, um, and then what's the next -next thing you do?
A. The next stain that I process?
Q. Yes.
A. The next stain that $I$ collected, that I actually did DNA on, was the stain that was right to the right of the ignition.
Q. Okay. And how do you get that stain?
A. Again, $I$ collected that on a cotton swab.
Q. Okay. Is that after you have done the presumptive test?
A. Yes, same process with each one of these. Each one of these stains I do a presumptive test first and then $I$ do the actual collection of the sample.
Q. Okay. And how do you decide which ones you are -- I mean are you taking swabs that you intend to do DNA analysis --
A. Yes.
Q. -- on from each one of these stains?
A. All of the stains that are on a hard surface, like the dashboard or a metal part of a car, we can't actually cut that metal out, so the way to remove those stains is to use a cotton swab and actually take the stain off.

If it's a stain on a piece of fabric -We don't -- it's much more efficient and we get more sample out of it when we cut the stain. So all the stains that were collected from like cloth seats, the stain was actually cut. If it was a stain that was on a hard surface, it was collected with a cotton swab.
Q. Okay. And -- But did you not analyze some of these stains later, for DNA, or did you analyze all of them?
A. No, I didn't. I only chose four to analyze.
Q. Okay. And how did you decide which four?
A. Um, it was -- I basically just took a random sampling of some of the stains -- as I said, Nick Stahlke, one of the other analysts in the laboratory, is proficient in blood spatter -under his direction. He said that some of the stains appeared to be possible drips. Those stains I collected for sure. And that's how I decided which ones to actually look at.
Q. Okay. So, he -- he basically told you which ones he thought looked like blood?
A. Right.
Q. Okay.
A. Not looked like blood, but looked like they were
drips of blood. The stain -- I collected -- All the stains I collected gave me a positive phenolphthalein for blood and were consistent with the appearance of blood.
Q. Okay.
A. I chose to do DNA on certain stains, the ones that appeared to be drip marks or that appeared to be isolated stains, not with the larger bloodstain. I only did one of those.
Q. Okay. And the reason for that was because they appeared to have dripped from the other stains? I guess I'm --
A. Well, I mean they could have appeared to have dripped from anything, from someone that was injured, from an object, from a weapon, from anything. They just appeared to be different.

A lot of times when we process cars, or any kind of evidence, that's part of the process, is to decide which stains maybe look different, which stains are separated from other stains, which stains might be -- give a little more probative evidence than the --

For instance, in this case, the large stain in the back, $I$ took that stain, but there were other smaller stains associated with that.

I took that stain, but I didn't take, you know, four or five samples from that stain, I just took one sample from that stain.

The other samples, perhaps they were from another source of the blood. So that's why we choose certain samples to take and certain ones not to examine.
Q. Okay. So the -- And how long does this process take?
A. To process a car?
Q. Right.
A. My portion of it, it took me most of the day.
Q. Okay.
A. And then someone else had to process it for fingerprints. You know, it depends on the vehicle. Sometimes they take a day, sometimes it may be two or three days. It just depends.
Q. And so there is a total of 10 stains that you get presumptive positive blood tests from?
A. Correct.
Q. And I think you said four of those you also swabbed for -- with a swab, a cotton like, for DNA?
A. All of them -- All of those 10 I collected.
Q. For DNA?
A. Right --
Q. Okay.
A. -- with a swab --
Q. Okay.
A. -- but I only chose to examine four of them --
Q. Four. Okay.
A. -- for DNA.
Q. All right. And you go through the same process in each one?
A. Yes.
Q. And how many of them are drying -- drying at the same time?
A. Well, I don't recall exactly, but as they are dry, I take them out and put them into the envelope as I, you know, as I go along.
Q. Okay. And how can you tell if they are dry; do you like feel them?
A. Actually, I put them in the envelope and then I reopen them. I reopened them at my desk and let them air dry.
Q. Okay. So they are not quite dry, you put them in the envelope --
A. They weren't -- I mean, they were put in the envelope, then I took them up to my desk and I opened -- the end of them was opened so the air
could circulate. But they were never taken out of the envelope again.
Q. Okay. When you do that, how are you carrying them up to your office?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery, Judge. This is well beyond the preliminary hearing. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Um, okay. So then, um, you are finished processing the car and, um, you are going to do the, uh, the analysis of these stains; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Um, and how do you do that?
A. Um, these stains that I recovered?
Q. Right.
A. I take each of the stains. I cut a small portion of the stain. I put it in a tube and I do a procedure that basically extracts the DNA from the cells. Um, I go through a process where I clean it up. And in the end, I end up with a vial with about 45, 50 microliters of liquid that has nothing but DNA in it.
Q. Okay.
A. I take that DNA. I quantitate it, which means I try and find out how much DNA, what the
concentration is of the DNA. Some samples have a lot of DNA. Some samples don't have very much. So I have to quantitate it to find out how much is in there. I then set up these samples to be amplified for DNA.

In this type of DNA analysis, we're looking for specific fragments of DNA. These fragments have to be amplified, which I set them up for that process in the laboratory. Then I run them on an instrument that detects those fragments of DNA that we're looking for. When the data comes off of the instrument, what I get is a composite profile of DNA fragments that characterizes that particular stain.
Q. Okay. So with the amplification, that's the PCR; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then you do, um, the -- the process by which you look for the -- the -- the repeats, is that right, the short tandem repeats?
A. Yes. It's a PCR based system. And STRs are the fragments we're looking for. We use a commercially prepared kit that tags those fragments with a fluorescent dye and then our instrumentation detects that dye and that's how
we get the actual size that comes out of the fragments.
Q. Okay. And then, um, that ultimately ends up, you -- you look for, is it 13 different locations?
A. There are 13 core loci. In our laboratory we use a kit that is produced by Promega, which has, actually, two extra ones. There are no statistics associated with those extra ones, but we do the 13 core loci that the FBI requires, in addition to two extra ones.
Q. Okay. So, basically, when you talk about core loci, what you are talking about is 15 different points, 15 different locations, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then you are looking for what's at those particular locations?
A. You are looking at fragments of different sizes. For instance, at a particular locus, say D5, I might be a 14/15, someone else is a 15/16. So they have one fragment that's a little bit bigger. Those fragments are determined -- The difference in those fragments is determined by the size of them.
Q. And this is all done by a computer, right, a
machine?
A. It's done by a 310 capillary electrophoresis instrument?
(Court reporter asks her to repeat her answer.)
A. 310 capillary electrophoresis instrument. And that detects the fragments as it goes through the instrument with the dyes attached to it and that tells us what the size of those fragments are.
Q. Do you get like a printout then --
A. Yes.
Q. -- with some peaks on it?
A. With peaks on it, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And those peaks represent the fragments.
Q. Right. So, like if you -- you get sort of a chart and one particular area there's -- which represents a particular location on the DNA loci, or locus, basically, I guess, if you are talking about one, then you look for two peaks, if there is two, or one if there's one and you -- and that's the 14 , or the 15 , or the 16 , or whatever?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And for each of these 13 loci, you will get a reading of however many peaks there are in that particular DNA sample?
A. Correct.
Q. At that -- at that locus?
A. Correct.
Q. And do you do the -- the reading of that graph, that -- that chart with the peaks?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. So -- So, you are the one who -- who looks and says that -- the computer tells you --
A. Well, we actually have a computer program, but I actually analyze -- I mean, each analyst is responsible for looking at their own data and making those interpretations.
Q. Okay. So, that's your call as to whether a particular peak actually represents the presence of an allele there versus some background noise and things like that that sometimes gets printed out as well?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Um, and you go through this process for each of these 13 core loci that the FBI tells you to look at, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And plus, this particular commercially manufactured thing, this is the PowerPlex 16; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. It gives you two more, that's like penta E and penta D, or something like that; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And then -- So you look for those additional loci as well?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And then, um, and then do you also look to see if there's a, um, a genetic marker for sex?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you did that with the samples that you got, four of them; is that right?
A. Yes, from that item of evidence.
Q. Okay. And do you remember which four?
A. I believe it was A6, A8, A9 and A12. Um, A6 was a stain from the driver's side front seat. A8 was a stain near the ignition. A9 was on the front seat in the passenger side. And A12 was a stain that was, um, it was between -- it was on the passenger side, between the front and back door, that metal panel. Um, I don't know what you call it. It was on the passenger side, the metal piece that -- that -- where the door closes.
Q. Okay. Like the frame?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. On the side of the frame.
Q. Okay. And that was on the side of the frame on the passenger --
A. Yes.
Q. -- side in the rear?
A. Right.
Q. Is this a four-door or two-door?
A. Four-door.
Q. Four-door. Do you ever do any other test with regard to whether or not it is blood?
A. No, we do not.
Q. Now, you generate a DNA profile based on the -the presence of different alleles at these different loci, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, then, did you compare that to, um, the DNA Data Bank, Wisconsin DNA Data Bank?
A. Originally, yes.
Q. So that was the first thing you did?
A. Um, after I generated the profile, I requested a keyboard search, um, through the Milwaukee Data Bank.
Q. Okay. Why did you do that?
A. Because I was asked to do that.
Q. By who?
A. The police department. Um, there was -- My initial testing indicated that it was a male individual. Um, I did not have any samples from anyone, um, so we thought someone may be in there that matched, so we did a keyboard search. Um, I think between myself and the DCI agents -- and I don't remember who -- we had a discussion and it was decided that we would do it.
Q. Okay. How -- How broad a keyboard search did you do?
A. I did not actually do it. Dan Haase did it, who is the -- in charge of the data bank over in Milwaukee. I sent him the profile that I developed from these stains. Um, and he searched all the state -- the convicted offender -- State Convicted Offender Data Base.
Q. Okay. But did you do, um, a search -- I mean, do you know if he did a keyboard search just based on those specific alleles at each one of those different loci, or did he do a broader search whereby he would put in more than those two alleles for each loci? Do you know what I'm asking you?
A. No, you would just --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I'm going to object. Whatever he's asking is well beyond a preliminary hearing.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained; it's discovery.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Now, with regard to the key, when did you get the key?
A. I believe it was the 8th. Let me check my notes to make sure.
Q. You are using your notes there to refresh your recollection; is that correct?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Can I just -- Can we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.
(Side bar taken.)
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Does that refresh your recollection as to when you got the key?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. When did you get it?
A. November 8th.
Q. Okay. And how did it come to you?
A. It came into the laboratory from a DCI agent, Matthew Joy.
Q. How was it packaged?
A. In a brown paper bag.
Q. Okay.
A. With evidence tape. I opened it up and then --
Q. I'm sorry, where was this taking place, in your office?
A. No, in the garage.
Q. In the garage?
A. Yes.
Q. Same garage?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And is there like a table or something that's set up to do this kind of thing?
A. No, I believe it was taken in by an evidence specialist and then it was brought down to me, because they wanted it swabbed before it could be tested on the car to see if it -- it would turn the ignition.
Q. Okay.
A. So they gave it to me. I had gloves on. I opened the package. I swabbed the area, the black part of the key that does the crank, with a cotton swab. There was no visible staining on it. That's when $I$ took the key and put it in the ignition and tested the lock on the door.
Q. Okay. So you, um, you swabbed the black part; is
that the only part you swabbed?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then what do you do with that swab?
A. That was also laid out in my work space to air dry.
Q. Okay. I assume there's -- that's the one swab there at this time?
A. Yes, this was the next day.
Q. Okay. Um, what is the procedure? Is this the same work space that you used down in this garage all the time or was this sort of a temporary set up?
A. It was a temporary set up. It's not my work space that $I$ use all the time. It's just set up, an area set up for processing cars.
Q. Okay. And -- And it was brought to you there because the car was still there and you expected to -- to eventually try the key on the car; is that the idea?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this was -- Um, what's the procedure for dealing with that work space in between the time you examined the car and the time you examined this key?
A. Well, it's used by multiple people, I mean,
whoever needs the space to work on the car. Before I start working on it, I clean it down with bleach and put down white paper.
Q. Okay. And what about the little Q-tip holder?
A. That's also cleaned down with bleach, at my desk.
Q. You do that personally?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so you -- you -- you do that everyday at the end of the day, or how do you do that?
A. We do it several times a day, depending on what kind of evidence we have out, what we're working on. We clean our pipettes. We clean our work bench. We clean our forceps, our -- everything, numerous times.
Q. Okay. Do you know how many times this was cleaned?
A. No, I don't.
Q. In between the car and the key, you don't know?
A. No, I don't know how many times. It was cleaned, because I always clean it every time I use it.
Q. Okay. You clean it right at the beginning of processing any piece of evidence?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. And so then you swab this, this air drys, and then what do you do with the key?
A. Then I put it back into the paper container and I believe I retained custody of it.
Q. Were you the person that tried it in the ignition then, too?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you did that right after you swabbed it?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. Immediately --
A. I -- As soon as $I$ was done swabbing it, I tried it in the car.
Q. Okay. And you tried it in the ignition and you said, also, in the door; is that right?
A. Yes. I locked the door and it opened the lock.
Q. Okay. And then you turned the ignition and it turned over?
A. Actually, it didn't turn over because, I believe -- It didn't crank. I believe the battery was disconnected?
Q. Oh.
A. But it -- I mean it turned completely over. It just didn't crank the engine.
Q. Okay. Um, and then, um, when do you get the, um, the samples from -- Mr. Kratz referred to them as the intimate samples -- when do you get those
things?
A. Um, the buccal swabs?
Q. Right.
A. The standard samples?
Q. Right.
A. I will have to check my notes and evidence.
Q. Go ahead.
A. There were three buccal swabs that were submitted, and they were all three submitted on the 11th of November.
Q. Okay. And who were those from?
A. Allan Avery, Bryan Dassey, and Steven Avery.
Q. Okay. Those are the three that you got?
A. Buccal swabs, yes.
Q. Okay. And those -- And you generated profiles off of those three?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. And that's the same process that you did with generating the profile from the -- from the stains in the car?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did those -- those swabs come to you?
A. How are they packaged?
Q. Right. How did you get them?
A. They came into the laboratory --

THE COURT: I'm going to impose my own objection here, again. This goes to discovery. ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) Now, the partial profile that you received from the, um, the charred materials, when did you receive those?
A. The 11th as well.
Q. Okay. And, um, you generated a partial profile, that's because you could only -- there was only enough DNA material to -- to find seven out of the 13 loci; is that the idea there?
A. No, I suspect in this case it was because the DNA was degraded.
Q. Okay.
A. It was not a very, um, good sample for DNA.
Q. Okay. And so what does that do to it?
A. Um, when you look at a DNA profile, as you are, um, the larger -- when a DNA sample -- when DNA begins to degrade, the larger fragments will begin to break up and we don't detect them.

So, when you look at a sample where the smaller fragments are detected, which was the case in this particular instance, if it's a degraded sample, you usually see the larger fragments drop out. So, I only got fragments at
seven loci instead of 13.
Q. Okay. And -- and, um, so those -- those -those, um, fragments that you got on the seven loci would have been larger fragments?
A. Smaller fragments.
Q. Smaller fragments. The larger ones would drop out?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. The loci would be present, you just wouldn't see any peaks in those particular places; do I have that right?
A. Well, the loci basically just refers to a location.
Q. Right.
A. The peaks are the actual fragments that are there, but any time a biological sample starts to -- such as DNA -- starts to degrade and break up, it basically just breaks apart. And so the DNA at those places are beginning to break apart.
Q. So you don't -- So what, are there no peaks at --
A. Nothing.
Q. -- those loci?
A. Right. There's nothing.
Q. Nothing --
A. Nothing.
Q. -- on the printout, there's just nothing there?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Using those -- At those seven different loci, did you get two alleles at each one; do you know?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, discovery, Judge.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Johnson) How do you come up with the calculation of one in a billion in the Caucasian population?
A. We use the FBI's Data Bank and, basically, each one of these fragments are inherited independently of each other. So, we can multiple the frequencies of each one of these fragments, how frequent -- how common or how rare that particular fragment is in the population.

So, by combining those numbers, by multiplying the frequencies of the fragments of the alleles that I did get, and comparing that to the FBI's data base, the frequency is one in a billion in the Caucasian and the other numbers that I stated.
Q. At any particular loci, you will get two alleles, correct?
A. If that person is a heterozygote, yes.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
A. It means they inherit -- You always inherit half of your DNA from your mother and half from your father. If you are heterozygote, you inherit a different fragment of DNA from your mother and a different from your mother -- from your father.

If you are homozygote, then you inherit the same one from each parent.
Q. So, then you would have two 14's at the same locus?
A. You would only have one peak.
Q. Okay.
A. And it would be 14.
Q. Okay.
A. So that person is homozygote.
Q. Okay. Um, if the DNA is not degraded, it doesn't take much to get a profile; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. How much?
A. When we amplify the DNA, we usually shoot for around one nanogram.
Q. And how much is that?
A. I don't recall exactly.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry, I think I may have asked this,

I'm not sure. When you process the vehicle, you wear a lab coat; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Gloves?
A. Yes.
Q. And safety glasses?
A. Yes.
Q. And -- And do you wear a face mask?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Other people there wearing face masks?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Other people there wearing gloves?
A. Yes, everybody wears gloves.
Q. Okay. And -- And any other protective clothing?
A. I don't recall what the other people were wearing.
Q. Okay. But you, yourself, no other protective clothing, nothing like on your feet, anything like that?
A. No, I didn't have anything like that on.
Q. Okay. And you wear gloves throughout the entire process?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. The witness is excused.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We will move the admission of Exhibits 12, 13, 14, and 15 at this time.

THE COURT: Are there -- Is there any objection to 12, 13, 14 and 15? I believe 12 and 13 are photos and 14 and 15 are lab results.

ATTORNEY LOY: No objection for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: All right. Those exhibits are admitted.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, the last piece of evidence that's being offered, and is by stipulation, is Exhibit No. 16, which is a certified copy of a death certificate -- death certificate for that of Teresa M. Halbach, having date of birth, March 22nd, 1980.

That death certificate was filed by Michael Klaeser, Calumet County Medical Examiner. It was filed in the Manitowoc County Register of Deeds Office today. And the designation of cause of death is that of a homicide. I will provide the Court with Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 at this
time.
THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibit 16?
ATTORNEY LOY: Not for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 16 is received. Is there anything further from the State?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State rests, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is there any evidence to be offered from the defense?

ATTORNEY LOY: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do the parties -- either party wish to make argument with respect to either of these cases?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I do not, Judge. I'm going to move the Court for bindover, asking the Court, with the evidence and the reasonable inferences, to find probable cause that a felony has been committed, that the defendant probably committed that felony, and bind him over for trial.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy, or Mr. Johnson?
ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, we'll simply move to dismiss, for the record.

THE COURT: All right. Based on the evidence presented, the Court is going to determine that there's probable cause to believe that a felony
has been committed in each of these cases, that is, 05 CF 375 and 05 CF 381. The Court will schedule these matters for an arraignment. I will ask the clerk to get me my calendar.

Counsel, $I$ know there is a bail
reduction motion as well. We have run out of time to hear it today. I can hear it at the same time as the arraignment, if the parties wish.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, I could try to be very brief with my bail motion.

THE COURT: I'm not -- I said 5:00 was the deadline today. We stretched that a bit already. ATTORNEY LOY: I appreciate that, your Honor. That's fine.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may, Judge, and I understand that the Court had anticipated this, the State is going to file with the Court at this time a three count Criminal Information in case No. 05 CF 381. We are merging the three counts from the two different Complaints into one Information. It was contemplated that Mr . Loy would ask for a separate arraignment date and we obviously have no objection to that.

ATTORNEY LOY: We have been served with a copy of the Information, your Honor. We would ask for an arraignment at a later date.

THE COURT: All right. Well, the choices are as follows: Next week I have some civil trials scheduled. I do not know the status. There's also some criminal trials, actually. The calendar is thinning out a bit, but $I$ have no days that are open at this time. So, if you want to take a contingent date next week, I can look at that, otherwise we're looking into January. I can give you -- How about 3:00 on Monday, January 9th.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm actually in a jury trial that afternoon. Did the Court have something -- did you say next week Tuesday or Wednesday?

THE COURT: Well, what $I$ said is, at this time I have got trials scheduled next week. I have got two trials scheduled for Tuesday and one for Wednesday.

ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, actually, we would prefer a January date because there are some things we need to do.

THE COURT: All right. How about 3:00 on Thursday, the 12th.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry, Judge, I'm unavailable then, as well. The entire next week I am available, as well as the week of the 23 rd .

THE COURT: All right. How about 9:00 on the 17th?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That would be fine. ATTORNEY LOY: That's fine with us. THE COURT: Works fine with both parties, very well, that would be for the arraignment and bail reduction hearing.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just so the parties -should we anticipate bringing our calendars to schedule future matters at that time as well, your Honor?

THE COURT: That would probably be advisable.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. ATTORNEY LOY: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: January 17th. We are adjourned for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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ash [1] 90/12
ask[19] $5 / 17$ 8/2 8/4 8/22 12/25 31/16 33/9 38/9 45/23 50/7 50/10

67/7 69/19 111/4 132/17 136/1 181/3 181/23 182/2
asked [32] 7/21 8/5 8/23 27/2 27/6 30/2 39/5 42/25 44/19 44/23
44/25 46/22 51/5 52/3 58/9 67/2
71/4 89/8 111/11111/18115/3
120/17 120/20 121/16 128/4
129/23 132/24 133/24 134/16
144/12 167/1 177/25
asking [7] 8/10 13/5 18/17 48/13
167/25 168/3 180/15
asks [1] 163/4
assess [1] 93/15
assigned [2] 91/3 93/13
assist [5] 30/2 30/5 31/25 35/3 87/12
assistance [7] 37/4 37/5 88/20 89/4 89/8 89/19 98/5
assisted [5] 54/6 55/14 105/12
107/24 184/10
associated [4] 7/20 148/15 157/25 162/9
assume [4] 106/2 119/23 154/15 170/6
at [205]
attached [1] 163/7
attempt [1] 137/4
attempted [1] 13/18
attempting [1] 43/12
attempts [1] 47/5
attention [2] 27/15 38/10
 2/9 2/11 2/12 2/15 2/16 2/18 2/19 2/22 2/23 3/8 22/24 31/21 34/4 36/8 39/20 41/19 44/15 48/22
50/17 57/20 70/22 78/5 82/19 84/2 95/4 98/1 100/7 107/1 109/5 111/11 116/4 120/24 125/25 127/8 128/23 129/14 130/7 132/23
137/15 152/13 160/8 168/7 168/17 174/4 176/9
attorneys [5] 3/11 33/23 58/1 58/3 58/6
Aurora [1] 42/14
author [1] 125/9
authorities [1] 13/16
authorized [1] 35/2
Auto [8] 7/9 10/6 39/4 43/6 43/9 43/11 43/25 87/14
automatic [1] 69/10
available [2] 107/23 183/3
averaged [1] 27/25
averages [1] 125/15
AVERY [122] 1/6 1/19 3/3 3/10 6/22 7/9 7/18 7/19 7/21 8/1 8/25 9/1 9/5 9/9 10/6 $11 / 13$ 13/25 15/13 17/24 18/5 18/11 20/5 24/1 24/2 24/5 25/2 25/9 25/23 27/20 28/10 28/13 28/21 28/21 29/2 29/24 30/6 30/7 30/12 31/3 31/4
$31 / 831 / 2031 / 2532 / 735 / 1937 / 7$ $37 / 1138 / 438 / 16$ 39/4 39/8 39/14 39/20 40/1 40/2 40/16 40/17 40/21 41/1 41/7 41/10 41/20 41/22 41/23 42/1 42/9 42/24 42/24 43/4 43/5 44/19 46/11 46/24 47/6 48/24 52/13 52/16 53/7 53/19 54/6 54/15 56/23 57/4 57/9 57/13 57/25 58/6 58/8 59/2 59/9 59/15 60/1 60/6 60/15 65/11 67/4 67/8 67/19 67/21 68/10 68/15 69/2 69/4 69/22 70/9 70/15 71/23 75/19 79/19 87/14 92/5
$111 / 13112 / 1$ 133/1 135/7 136/13
136/17 137/2 137/25 138/25
173/12 173/12
Avery's [40] 28/25 29/10 29/11 32/20 34/17 37/18 37/18 38/15 $38 / 19$ 38/20 39/2 39/3 39/7 44/2 46/12 49/8 53/10 59/7 67/11 67/24 68/5 68/21 68/24 69/2 70/23 71/2 71/18 72/4 72/13 72/18 75/19 76/18 79/6 79/6 79/12 80/10 82/20 88/5 137/18 137/21
Averys [1] 18/1
awaiting [1] 117/5
aware [17] 6/7 22/8 30/21 37/25
48/23 49/4 57/25 58/2 58/5 67/23 79/14 80/5 80/9 90/11 101/3 101/5 135/4
away[18] 14/10 14/11 14/18 16/17 18/10 20/25 21/2 33/25 34/2 59/23 73/3 76/19 84/6 95/14 96/22 148/1 148/3 148/4
awhile [1] 45/18
B
baby [1] 104/2
back[41] 11/22 12/4 12/5 12/16 12/17 13/20 18/2 28/25 29/20 33/25 52/16 56/2 57/6 58/25 59/1 63/3 63/17 63/19 74/24 81/8 85/13 85/17 85/23 96/23 107/24 108/4 108/11 108/13 108/13 116/21 133/8 133/17 136/4 138/5 138/11 146/14 150/24 151/7 157/24 165/20 172/1
backed [4] 63/4 63/20 64/23 65/3
background [4] 110/23 145/10 145/15 164/15
backseat [1] 58/21
bad [1] 24/14
badly [1] 130/9
bag [21] 73/15 80/25 81/2 81/14 81/15 81/16 81/18 81/19 106/8 106/14 107/9 117/12 117/20 118/1 118/3118/7118/7118/12119/20 119/21 169/1
bagged [6] 106/8 106/14 107/2 107/9 112/7 119/14
bags [9] 81/9 81/10 81/13 81/14 106/11 106/12 106/13 119/5 119/16
bail [4] 4/20 181/5 181/12 183/10
banging [1] 85/14
bank[5] 166/19 166/19 166/24 167/14 176/12
bar [2] 33/11 168/16
Barasbieta [1] 125/12
Barb [1] 34/19
Barbara [4] 28/21 37/18 38/19 39/3
Barbara's [1] 28/23
barrel [7] 38/14 38/16 44/25 45/1 45/3 45/17 45/21
barrels [3] 38/12 38/17 98/22
barriers [1] 58/24
base [3] 126/6 167/18 176/21
based [10] 44/2 44/4 46/5 70/8 114/8 $115 / 18$ 161/21 166/14 167/20 180/23
basic [1] 121/15
basically [18] 10/12 46/6 56/7
108/16 122/7 135/13 140/22
144/22 145/6 147/18 156/13
basically... [7] 156/21 160/18 162/12 163/18 175/12 175/18 176/12
basis [1] 126/22
bathrooms [1] 68/18
battery [1] 172/19
Bay [2] 51/20 51/22
be [96] 5/11 6/1 7/20 8/5 11/24 11/25 12/22 15/9 15/16 24/24 26/18 27/18 28/13 28/17 28/22 29/10 29/21 29/24 31/23 34/15 35/9 38/6 42/5 42/18 46/15 46/17 46/23 47/6 48/1 48/1 1 49/7 49/1 8 50/5 54/11 54/14 58/12 66/9 67/15 68/21 68/23 70/14 71/10
72/24 74/9 75/10 82/15 83/9 86/4 87/2 89/3 89/10 89/15 89/15
90/13 90/20 92/17 93/14 95/21
96/17 104/4 109/25 111/24 112/10 123/24 124/5 124/5 124/19 124/20 126/9 126/18 129/23 131/23
132/17 135/21 140/19 144/20
144/25 147/13 156/18 157/7 157/8 157/16 157/21 158/17 161/4 161/8 162/20 167/6 169/15 175/9 177/14 180/8 181/12 183/6 183/9 183/15
bear [1] 36/24
beautiful [2] 101/8 101/10
became [3] 36/10 36/12 60/7
because [25] 11/18 12/18 13/7
13/12 14/24 24/14 25/6 27/23
113/2 113/16 116/19 123/23 126/9
139/6 139/23 146/15 157/10 167/1
169/15 170/17 171/20 172/17
174/9 174/12 182/22
become [3] 6/6 31/23 48/23
becomes [1] 139/22
bed [2] 69/7 73/4
bedding [2] 68/9 72/8
bedroom [21] 68/21 68/24 69/1 72/9 72/19 72/22 73/1 74/11 $76 / 18$ 76/20 78/2 78/6 78/8 84/2 $84 / 584 / 1084 / 1384 / 1484 / 21$ 135/7 137/19
bedrooms [1] 68/18
been [82] 3/17 3/18 3/24 5/8 10/7
10/21 15/10 26/16 27/15 32/9 $33 / 2035 / 1636 / 1638 / 1540 / 8$ 45/24 47/16 47/17 48/16 53/15 57/15 59/20 60/9 60/11 66/7 68/7 69/17 72/3 73/2 73/5 77/7 77/17 80/5 83/18 86/24 87/23 88/13 93/20 95/18 96/11 100/17 101/2 101/5 101/9 102/20 103/21 103/22 104/7 105/3 105/5 109/5 109/23 110/25 111/13 113/14 113/24 114/12 117/21 120/9 121/10 122/18 122/20 122/21 123/18 125/1 127/12 131/21 132/23 133/13 134/4 134/18 135/7 135/22 137/1 142/12 143/7 145/9 175/4 180/18 181/1 182/1 184/8 before [26] $1 / 114 / 22$ 10/4 10/5 14/25 40/13 45/4 45/20 49/19 69/16 73/25 77/8 77/17 83/19 84/24 99/19 101/3 109/8 122/21 126/22 130/24 141/20 145/7 145/25 169/15 171/2
began [7] 9/16 9/18 10/5 10/18 12/10 108/12 122/23 begin [5] 9/4 143/24 144/18
$144 / 22$ 174/20
beginning [3] 143/3 171/21 175/19 begins [1] 174/19
behalf [3] 1/14 1/16 1/18
behind [11] $15 / 1035 / 1538 / 20$ 45/7 45/10 49/8 57/8 88/7 88/1 1 95/20 102/3
being [21] 16/16 30/22 36/20 40/3 45/8 48/10 50/1 51/21 52/14 61/2 64/18 65/9 87/19 95/19 98/11 114/11 120/7 120/11 123/19 142/3 179/15
believe [57] 4/3 9/21 13/24 15/3
19/18 20/17 22/7 22/10 23/2
28/14 28/17 28/24 29/8 29/13
30/17 35/20 40/4 46/16 55/6
55/12 56/22 58/2 60/13 61/1 61/1
61/9 65/12 68/20 68/23 85/15
92/19 93/3 93/5 96/7 100/20
103/19 107/18 120/15 122/15
123/2 123/20 125/13 126/3 126/8 141/20 142/16 150/20 152/12
154/3 165/15 168/9 169/13 172/2 172/18 172/18 179/8 180/25
believed [8] 10/20 30/21 31/22
42/1 47/5 89/14 112/10 133/10
Bellin [3] 51/20 51/21 52/7
below [1] 124/20
belt [3] 103/15 103/15 103/17
belts [1] 99/8
bench [3] 104/4 104/5 171/13
benefit [1] 33/17
Bennett [1] 117/23
berm [5] 29/20 29/21 61/11 61/11 100/18
besides [2] 19/17 61/25
best [4] $15 / 16$ 49/11 $108 / 3184 / 13$
better [1] 101/15
between [18] 23/21 39/2 43/5 47/1
48/25 58/24 66/25 87/14 93/20
93/21 123/15 139/12 141/3 165/19
165/20 167/8 170/22 171/18
beyond [7] 22/16 82/1 82/13 83/13 120/5 160/6 168/3
big [10] 29/20 65/1 146/17 146/20 149/2 150/10 151/16 151/25 153/9 153/19
bigger [2] 67/9 162/22
billion [8] 140/9 140/11 140/13
140/20 140/24 141/3 176/10 176/22
bind [1] 180/19
bindover [1] 180/15
biohazard [4] 148/5 150/6 150/8
150/11
biological [5] 118/24 119/1 119/18 142/25 175/16
birth [1] 179/18
bit [9] 5/23 15/16 20/25 67/9 99/6
102/19 162/21 181/14 182/8
black [8] 31/14 69/12 102/6 106/8
106/12 135/14 169/21 169/25
blackened [1] 130/14
blade [1] 103/17
bleach [2] 171/3 171/5
blockades [1] 18/16
blood [27] 133/11 137/19 138/2 138/5 143/1 143/1 143/5 143/6 143/23 $144 / 1 \quad 144 / 25 \quad 145 / 20 \quad 146 / 8$ 147/5 147/5 147/11 150/4 150/5 156/16 156/22 156/25 157/1 157/3 157/4 158/5 158/19 166/12
bloodstain [3] 136/3 147/15 157/9
blue [2] 11/25 12/24
board [1] 110/15
body [3] 38/4 41/24 119/17
bone [42] 89/4 89/7 89/11 89/15
90/7 95/13 96/14 97/8 97/12 98/9
98/15 98/16 98/17 98/19 99/3
99/6 99/11 99/14 99/16 99/17
103/16 112/22 112/24 113/18
115/23 117/21 118/23 119/11
119/16 119/16 126/1 126/11
126/13 126/23 127/1 127/4 128/1
128/3 129/3 130/13 136/25 137/5
bones [9] 50/24 50/24 53/22
105/16 112/18 113/23 124/4 124/15 129/3
books [2] 85/10 85/13
borrowed [1] 14/23
both[12] $4 / 6$ 82/12 87/23 91/18 100/1 $113 / 7$ 124/1 124/2 124/12 136/13 138/1 183/8
bottom [3] 29/23 74/16 79/25
bowl [1] 11/9
box[30] 70/25 81/7 111/25 112/16 113/18117/6 117/8 117/9117/11 117/11 117/12 117/13 117/18 117/19 117/20 117/23 117/25 118/8118/9118/10118/22119/9 121/12 148/5 150/7 150/8 150/10 150/11 150/14150/15
boxes [1] 81/10
braced [1] 11/21
BRANCH [2] $1 / 1184 / 5$
branches [4] 11/19 15/20 64/7 64/13
break [4] 86/12 174/20 175/17 175/19
breakage [1] 113/16
breaks [1] 175/18
brief [2] 117/24 181/12
briefed [2] 60/7 60/25
briefly [3] 33/10 66/21 131/16
bright [2] 147/11 147/23
bringing [2] 111/24 183/12
broad [1] 167/11
broadcast [2] 33/19 33/22
broader [1] 167/22
brother [2] 29/11 34/19
brother's [1] 57/9
brought [8] 60/23 63/19 80/25
117/23 118/8 121/8 169/14 170/16
brow [2] 123/25 126/16
brown [7] 20/8 81/21 100/2 117/11
133/18 134/14 169/1
Bryan [1] 173/12
buccal[6] 42/10 136/16 137/24
173/2 173/8 173/14
building [5] $7 / 22 \quad 17 / 25 \quad 18 / 15$ 18/21 20/15
buildings [8] 9/13 30/8 34/14
34/19 56/12 60/15 67/4 67/25
built [1] 95/20
Bureau [1] 108/21
Burial [1] 110/11
burn [45] 38/12 38/14 38/16 38/17
$38 / 19$ 38/20 44/25 45/1 45/3 45/7
$45 / 745 / 1245 / 1345 / 1545 / 17$
45/21 49/7 49/8 49/10 50/20
87/19 88/2 89/13 93/9 94/8 94/11
95/5 97/4 97/15 98/3 98/3 98/21
98/24 98/25 99/2 100/9 101/15
102/13 102/18 103/8 104/13
107/15 108/13 130/24 137/2
burned [11] 44/21 45/3 45/11
burned... [8] 45/14 45/21 99/8
103/22 104/8 115/23 130/9 131/5
burning [5] 44/19 113/5 113/20 114/5 130/14
burns [1] 130/21
business [1] 56/7
busy [1] 98/21
but [78] 8/11 8/19 11/24 12/24 14/1 15/17 18/16 22/16 23/12 25/14 30/24 38/2 38/4 38/5 39/8
40/4 40/5 44/13 47/23 48/16 49/4 49/18 55/3 56/11 56/22 58/22 60/22 61/1 76/22 80/12 82/1 82/16 83/23 88/9 89/22 91/16 92/25 93/3 94/17 95/22 96/3 99/16 101/9 101/12 102/4 104/11 104/12 112/22 113/8 $115 / 1115 / 16$ 117/8 118/7118/15 121/2 121/9 122/17 123/24 128/8 136/1 144/10 147/5 151/1 156/8 156/25 157/24 158/1 159/5 159/13 160/1 160/22
162/9 164/9 167/19 172/21 175/16 178/17182/8
button [5] 28/7 33/24 67/14 92/15 134/12
C
C-u-l-h-a-n-e [1] 132/1
cabinet [14] 73/5 84/7 84/12 85/7
85/9 85/10 85/11 85/12 85/14
85/15 85/16 85/17 85/18 85/24
cadaver [2] 61/12 79/15
calculation [1] 176/10
calendar [2] 181/4 182/7
calendars [1] 183/12
caliber [3] 69/9 69/11 71/11
call [30] 5/1 5/3 26/12 29/8 34/24
43/6 46/5 53/17 66/1 66/3 72/12
76/11 86/18 86/21 98/12 98/20
109/19 110/19 116/11 116/14
116/18 116/19 116/20 123/14
123/25 126/7 126/8 131/14 164/13 165/22
called [21] 5/7 13/2 13/17 26/15
38/23 39/4 42/10 47/12 48/14 50/9 66/6 67/10 68/25 86/24 90/10 91/19 94/7 97/15 109/22 131/20 151/1
calls [3] 3/1 43/11 44/10
Calumet [24] 3/8 13/17 27/7 27/10 55/22 56/3 56/13 60/6 60/20 60/23 61/6 61/18 61/23 63/23 66/16 78/16 92/21 92/25 93/5 106/9 107/11 107/12 108/8 179/21 Calumet's [1] 60/22
came [27] 9/10 10/23 11/16 11/20
12/7 12/21 18/2 43/17 45/5 48/6 $48 / 1748 / 1855 / 1356 / 1163 / 13$
102/22 104/17 105/20 107/23
108/4 116/20 117/2 121/12 123/10 129/7 168/23 173/25
camera [4] 14/23 14/24 17/17 80/22
camouflaged [1] 12/23
campfire [1] 102/8
can [52] 5/24 11/8 11/16 14/12
15/16 $15 / 20$ 20/5 20/24 20/25
22/12 32/10 33/22 35/17 38/24 40/9 42/4 44/1 1 46/3 48/19 50/13 54/13 67/13 68/3 68/12 68/23 69/19 75/9 81/22 92/10 96/9

99/10 102/18 $118 / 19$ 120/22 121/2|
122/8 123/10 129/1 130/11 135/12
138/14 139/16 140/2 144/15 149/8 159/16 168/14 168/14 176/14 181/7 182/10 182/11
can't [9] 15/22 46/7 55/2 56/10
62/6 64/1 77/20 103/19 155/23
cannot [1] 126/9
capacity [2] 67/2 87/12
capillary [2] 163/2 163/5
capture [1] 106/22
car [51] 10/12 11/24 17/22 21/1
21/2 23/10 23/12 24/14 24/21
25/4 57/6 57/10 95/23 103/22
104/1 104/1 104/2 104/3 104/9
104/11 141/13 142/12 142/14
143/4 143/9 143/22 143/25 144/14
144/19 144/20 144/21 144/23
145/5 145/13 145/16 145/17 146/1
150/24 150/25 151/3 155/22
158/10 160/9 169/16 170/17
170/18 170/23 171/1 171/18
172/11 173/20
carefully [1] 184/8
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { cargo [6] } & 133 / 17 & 138 / 11 & 146 / 14\end{array}$ 150/23 150/24 151/13
carpeted [1] 85/22
carpeting [1] 151/4
carrying [1] 160/3
cars [9] 11/1111/16 19/2 29/18 56/2 56/6 96/21 $157 / 17170 / 15$ cart [1] 49/19
case [30] $1 / 5$ 3/2 4/5 5/18 $15 / 7$
44/5 47/8 47/24 69/25 99/13
115/1 115/14133/1 136/21 140/7
142/25 145/9 145/10 145/11
145/12 145/16 146/7 148/13
148/16 $154 / 1 \quad 154 / 3157 / 23174 / 12$
174/23 181/20
cases [4] 130/25 145/4 180/13
181/1
cash [1] 43/21
casings [2] 71/12 71/13
Caucasian [4] 140/9 140/23 176/10 176/22
cause [4] 82/1 179/23 180/17
180/25
cell [1] 12/19
cells [1] 160/19
center [3] 9/12 42/14 131/3
centered [1] 100/16
certain [12] 35/6 75/1 97/2 123/13
123/14 123/16 124/20 124/20
124/21 157/6 158/6 158/6
certainly [5] 8/10 36/22 48/20
54/10 121/2
certainty [1] 114/10
certificate [4] 32/12 179/17 179/17 179/20
certified [5] 32/24 69/24 70/9
110/15 179/16
certify [1] 184/6
 181/20
chambers [1] 86/16
chances [1] 140/18
change [3] 75/8 75/13 75/22
changed [3] 75/20 76/1 $113 / 14$
changing [1] 76/3
characterizes [2] 140/5 161/14
charge [6] 40/2 53/1 55/19 57/15 58/15 167/14
charred [20] 50/24 88/14 88/19

89/8 90/3 99/5 100/15 102/6
104/24 104/24 137/5 137/13
138/24 138/25 139/4 139/23 140/8
140/15 141/4 174/5
chart [2] 163/16 164/5
check [2] 168/9 173/6
chemical [2] 127/10 130/15
chemicals [1] 143/22
Chief [1] 122/24
choices [1] 182/4
choose [1] 158/6
chose [3] 156/11 157/6 159/5
Chuck [2] 29/10 34/19
circle [1] 28/19
CIRCUIT [3] $1 / 11 / 11184 / 5$
circular [1] 147/1
circulate [1] 160/1
civil [3] 58/2 58/3 182/5
clarification [1] 128/4
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { clarify [2] } & 78 / 5 & 120 / 23\end{array}$
clean [7] 160/20 171/2 171/12
171/12 171/13 171/20 171/21
cleaned [3] 171/5 171/16 171/19
cleaner [1] 79/10
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { clear [7] } 40 / 23 & 72 / 11 & 101 / 8 & 113 / 21\end{array}$
114/24 118/2 138/13
clearer [1] 102/19
clearing [1] 103/3
clearly [2] 83/23 99/3
clerk [1] 181/4
close [1] 84/5
closer[5] 11/20 88/16 88/16 89/6
99/5
closes [1] 165/24
cloth [1] 156/5
clothing [3] $14 / 25$ 178/14 $178 / 18$
co [1] 7/23
co-owners [1] 7/23
coat [3] 144/3 144/7 178/2
coin [2] 148/11 153/25
Colburn [6] 74/10 78/12 78/16
83/7 83/8 85/8
cold [1] 101/12
collaboratively [1] 110/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { collect [10] } & 66 / 23 & 68 / 8 & 71 / 5 \\ 71 / 22\end{array}$
72/7 73/7 133/9 145/1 147/16 148/23
collected [23] 69/6 69/14 72/21
72/21 72/24 105/18 106/7 108/10 121/10 135/7 137/1 147/15 148/19 150/22 154/15 155/5 155/9 156/4
156/7 156/19 157/1 157/2 158/24
collecting [3] 67/22 73/14 81/23
collection [2] 81/5 155/14
color [4] 68/15 118/1 147/10 147/11
coloration [1] 113/17
combining [2] 140/4 176/18
come [23] 9/19 10/19 12/20 12/21
28/10 39/5 43/13 43/16 45/16
62/8 85/25 86/3 105/19 114/21
123/2 123/5 123/20 140/4 144/24
152/25 168/22 173/22 176/9
comes [2] 161/12 162/1
comfortably [1] 151/20
coming [2] 28/13 121/5
command [9] 60/6 60/14 60/18
92/3 92/19 92/21 92/23 93/1 93/4
comment [2] 114/22 129/1
commercially [2] 161/23 164/23
committed [3] 180/18 180/19
181/1
common [1] 176/16
commonly [5] 50/8 51/3 113/21
136/2 136/11
compacted [1] 105/2
compare [8] 47/7 137/17 137/20
138/4 138/6 138/23 138/24 166/18
comparing [1] 176/20
Complaints [1] 181/22
completed [9] 10/4 52/15 94/5
114/23 115/15 121/23 123/24
143/6 148/25
completely [1] 172/21
completing [1] 108/11
composite [2] 140/4 161/13
computer [4] 162/25 164/8 164/9
184/10
computer-assisted [1] 184/10
computerized [1] 184/9
concave [1] 102/24
concentrated [1] 100/14
concentration [1] 161/1
concluded [2] 30/15 183/21
conclusion [6] 4/8 4/21 8/21 123/5
123/11 124/7
condition [1] 37/24
conduct [1] 71/24
conducted [2] 42/19 95/6
conducting [1] 74/14
confirm [2] 122/13 130/16
conjunction [4] 115/13115/19
121/1 146/10
Connecticut [1] 69/11
consent [1] 80/10
consider [1] 92/23
considerable [1] 113/19
considered [1] 132/17
consisted [1] 88/10
consistent [9] 137/25 138/11
138/19 138/20 139/7 140/24
144/25 147/14 157/3
consulting [2] 121/24 122/23
consumed [1] 103/23
contact [8] 7/14 31/8 40/1 41/20
42/8 43/1 58/6 58/6
contacted [1] 111/20
contained [1] 88/13
container [1] 172/1
containing [1] 112/16
contemplated [1] 181/23
context [2] 122/17 122/18
contingent [1] 182/9
continue [4] 58/10 107/16 119/22
121/19
continued [2] 121/14 121/20
continues [1] 114/25
continuing [1] 121/7
control [4] 30/11 30/13 106/9
107/12
conversation [6] 8/9 8/12 8/21
18/4 42/24 44/6
conversing[2] 23/10 23/11
convicted [2] 167/17 167/18
conviction [2] 70/2 70/10
convictions [3] 69/22 70/9 70/15
cool [1] 101/9
cooperate [1] 58/10
cooperative [2] 25/9 59/15
coordinator [1] 110/11
copy [6] 32/12 43/24 43/24 132/10 179/17 182/2
core [4] 162/6 162/10 162/12 164/20
corner [3] $9 / 2134 / 1838 / 10$
Coroner's [1] 112/4
corpse [1] 114/1
correct [102] 4/1 11/15 16/15 17/19 18/25 19/21 21/5 21/16 $21 / 2323 / 1423 / 1824 / 725 / 1$ 29/25 30/1 $31 / 8$ 36/25 37/1 45/13 53/13 53/23 54/7 54/8 56/17 56/24 58/19 58/20 60/13 62/10 62/15 62/19 64/14 65/13 71/14 $72 / 15$ 76/23 77/16 78/14 79/18 79/22 80/14 83/5 90/2 90/14 90/15 91/2 94/9 96/1 97/5 98/8 99/20 101/18 103/9 106/1 $113 / 11$ 115/4 116/7 116/13 117/15 117/17 119/21 122/11 123/4 124/24 126/20 127/13 128/8 130/10 $130 / 13$ 130/22 133/2 135/8 141/5 141/6 141/23 145/18 145/22 145/24 148/8 149/19 149/23 150/1 150/17 151/6 151/8 152/15 153/8 154/17 158/20 163/22 164/1 164/3 164/1 8 164/22 165/4 165/7 166/16 168/12 176/2 176/25 177/19 184/12
correctly [1] 48/8
cottage [1] 8/18
cotton [16] 135/13 135/17 143/22
145/2 147/17 147/19 147/21 148/7
148/23 149/8 149/20 155/9 155/24 156/7 158/22 169/22
could [55] 6/14 6/25 8/8 8/23 9/7 $10 / 2314 / 114 / 514 / 615 / 1215 / 15$ 18/1 21/12 24/21 25/3 27/18 29/2 $31 / 13$ 34/13 36/8 37/15 38/12 43/7 48/6 49/3 51/14 51/17 54/14 63/9 67/15 70/8 72/2 72/25 76/6 79/23 88/1 99/2 99/15 99/16 99/16 104/6 $111 / 17112 / 13$ 120/20 133/15 134/4 139/3 139/15 147/20 151/20 157/13 160/1 169/15 174/9 181/11
couldn't [4] 12/17 18/1 101/21 104/23
counsel [6] 4/3 4/22 8/9 33/9 86/16 181/5
count [4] 113/1 118/13 119/3 181/20
counter [1] 153/13
country [1] 122/19
counts [1] 181/21
county [37] $1 / 13 / 8$ 13/17 27/7 27/10 31/5 56/13 60/6 60/23 60/23 61/7 61/18 61/23 63/24 66/16 69/24 78/16 78/17 78/19 89/2 92/21 93/2 93/5 94/14 96/6 100/2 106/10 107/11 107/12 107/23 108/8 $112 / 4$ 117/14 128/17 179/21 179/22 184/2
couple [5] 19/12 82/23 84/6 90/1 106/2
course [6] 32/3 37/2 38/3 42/8 47/10 54/10
court [49] 1/1 $1 / 1111 / 253 / 13 / 25$ $4 / 74 / 196 / 148 / 910 / 2337 / 15$ 37/25 42/4 46/3 47/25 49/3 68/3 68/23 69/21 70/8 72/2 72/11 83/12 88/1 90/11 107/22 111/9 114/24 125/22 128/4 128/19 129/8 130/5 132/22 135/4 138/13 144/12 163/4 179/25 180/15 180/16 180/24 181/2 181/18 181/19 182/14 184/4 184/5 184/19
courtroom [1] 31/10
courtyard [1] 23/23
cousin [2] 6/1 6/2
cov [1] 150/13
cover [2] 100/22 100/24
covered [2] 64/13 150/12
covering [3] 20/22 64/7 144/8
coworker[1] 117/4
CR [1] 69/25
CRAIG [2] 1/173/11
cranial [1] 127/24
crank [4] 135/15 169/21 $172 / 18$ 172/22
crash [1] 131/2
credibility [2] 82/11 83/23
crime [46] $35 / 435 / 1235 / 1335 / 24$
$36 / 1836 / 2142 / 1242 / 2147 / 13$ $51 / 251 / 752 / 552 / 1162 / 1763 / 1$ 63/18 64/4 64/14 64/21 66/24 $73 / 17$ 88/21 89/5 89/20 89/21 92/2 95/7 98/2 98/6 98/12 98/20 104/16 104/17 105/6 105/8 105/12 105/20 108/11 132/5 132/12 133/21 134/20 135/20 136/24 141/13 142/9
criminal [9] 26/25 27/3 87/10 90/9
111/22 115/2 121/10 181/20 182/7 crinkled [1] 37/23
Crivitz [1] 8/18
cross [13] 2/5 2/9 2/12 2/16 2/19
2/23 17/12 52/23 73/22 90/23
115/10 120/10 141/11
cross-examination [13] 2/5 2/9
2/12 2/16 2/19 2/23 17/12 52/23
73/22 90/23 $115 / 10$ 120/10 141/11
crucial [2] $82 / 582 / 15$
crush [1] 29/18
crusher [4] 29/16 62/4 62/25 63/14
cuffed [1] 58/8
CULHANE [15] 2/21 52/5 131/15
131/20 131/25 132/4 132/23
134/15 135/9 135/18 136/10
136/24 137/15 138/22 139/10
current [1] 132/11
currently [1] 110/9
curriculum [3] 110/18 132/10 132/11
custody [4] 40/18 41/21 80/23 172/2
cut [12] 42/5 42/6 59/6 59/9
125/16 152/21 153/15 153/23 155/23 156/3 156/5 160/16

## D

D5 [1] 162/19
DAN [4] 2/10 66/3 66/6 167/13
Dane [3] 112/3 117/14 128/17
Daniel [1] 66/11
dark[2] 36/14 106/3
dashboard [1] 155/22
Dassey [1] 173/12
data [11] 120/10 139/16 161/12
164/11 166/19 166/19 166/23
167/14 167/18 176/12 176/21
date [10] $1 / 1040 / 1354 / 2$ 108/6
154/1 179/18 181/24 182/3 182/10 182/22
dated [2] 70/2 184/15
daughter [15] 7/2 8/5 9/4 9/23
10/17 11/1 12/17 12/18 13/11 14/4 16/8 17/16 19/17 21/14 26/4
daughter's [1] 7/3
day[42] 9/24 17/21 19/17 22/9 27/24 31/24 41/20 43/15 45/5 45/15 45/22 54/20 72/12 72/16 75/15 75/18 79/9 79/10 79/12 79/21 88/15 91/7 91/23 93/7 101/7 101/8 101/10 101/20 107/17 108/5 119/23 121/17 121/18 121/20 141/20 141/22 158/12 158/16 170/8 171/9 171/10 184/15 days [9] $27 / 2331 / 632 / 1837 / 3$ 55/11 90/1 121/5 158/17182/8 DCI [11] 27/8 55/23 56/3 56/13 91/1 92/21 92/25 109/6 116/6 167/8 168/23
deadline [1] 181/14
dealing [3] 4/4 125/15 170/22
death [4] 179/17 179/17 179/20 179/24
Deb [2] 94/1 94/21
debris [17] 89/2 90/3 90/6 95/15 98/20 98/21 99/4 99/5 99/7 100/15 100/16 102/25 103/18 103/25 105/13 107/25 108/9
DECEMBER [1] 1/10
decide [4] 26/4 155/16 156/12 157/19
decided [4] 35/7 89/10 156/20 167/10
deciding [1] 35/5
decision [3] 36/18 36/22 62/16
decisions [1] 62/11
Deeds [1] 179/23
deep [2] 102/23 104/23
deer [2] 8/14 8/17
defendant [5] 1/7 1/16 1/18 1/20 180/18
defendant's [1] 31/17
defense [10] 4/16 17/3 33/14 33/15 70/5 70/18 82/16 120/15 132/19 180/9
Definitely [1] 47/3
degrade [2] 174/19 175/17
degraded [5] 113/13 139/6 174/13 174/24 177/17
degree [2] 35/6 $114 / 9$
Delores [1] 29/5
dense [1] 129/3
densities [1] 129/4
density [1] 127/25
dental [1] 119/12
department [19] 26/24 27/7 32/5
32/13 32/25 36/16 55/12 55/13
55/23 60/19 60/20 66/20 87/10
94/17 106/10 107/13 108/8 111/23

## 167/3

departments [1] 55/15
dependent [1] 44/13
depending[1] 171/10
depends [4] 53/16 54/20 158/15 158/17
depicted [1] 46/13
depiction [2] 46/14 67/10
depictions [1] 65/10
depicts [1] 134/6
deputies [4] 61/18 61/23 62/1 62/5
deputy [14] 2/10 66/6 66/17 66/18
66/25 70/22 71/17 73/20 94/13 95/8 95/24 96/4 96/5 97/19
describe [18] 8/8 9/7 37/15 38/12 $42 / 4$ 49/3 68/12 69/8 72/2 79/23 81/22 88/1 96/9 98/11 99/10
$112 / 14139 / 3139 / 15$
described [5] 46/17 95/10 96/16 101/16 126/2
description [5] 10/6 10/10 10/20 20/6 22/12
designated [2] 45/18 149/13
designation [3] 148/12 154/2 179/23
desk[6] 84/7 84/8 85/9 159/19
159/24 171/5
detached [1] 88/7
detail [1] 112/14
detailed [2] 37/10 121/21
details [1] 70/6
detect [1] 174/20
detected [1] 174/22
Detective [1] 78/12
detects[3] 161/10 161/25 163/6
determination [4] 82/2 114/12
114/14114/15
determine [12] 16/5 24/21 32/6
32/19 43/12 82/7 82/9 99/15
99/17 117/24 127/1 180/24
determined [4] 12/3 44/5 162/22 162/23
determining [1] 82/6
develop [7] 83/19 136/2 136/6 136/17 136/22 137/4 138/4
developed [6] 137/24 138/1 138/15 138/21 139/8 167/16
device [3] 28/8 67/13 73/11
diagram [13] 45/23 46/1 46/4 56/8 56/17 65/11 67/10 67/18 70/25 83/9 87/21 97/10 144/25
Diane [3] 1/24 184/4 184/19
did [279]
didn't [31] 18/15 19/22 19/24 23/8 23/15 25/11 25/19 26/6 57/22 59/10 59/12 62/13 72/12 77/20 $77 / 2578 / 385 / 1985 / 2185 / 22$ 104/14 104/23 105/2 141/21 146/18 156/1 1 158/1 $172 / 17$ 172/18 172/22 178/9 178/20
differ [1] 123/15
difference [2] 124/6 162/23
different [30] 34/14 71/18 72/3
92/24 99/13 99/14 105/14 106/16 106/20 119/17 $139 / 19139 / 25$
140/3 146/4 147/24 148/7 149/8
157/16 157/19 162/4 162/13
162/14 162/18 166/15 166/16
167/22 176/3 177/6 177/7 181/22
difficult [1] 15/17
dig [1] 104/21
digital [2] 14/23 15/3
dipped [1] 145/3
direct [15] 2/4 2/8 2/11 $2 / 15$ 2/18 $2 / 225 / 15$ 26/22 27/14 38/9 66/13 87/6 110/4 120/6 132/2
direction [2] 25/25 156/17
directly [3] 23/24 38/20 97/15
dirt [15] $88 / 8$ 88/10 88/12 95/10 95/16 95/18 97/11 98/10 102/10 102/19 102/24 103/3 104/24 106/18 106/18
disaster [3] 122/18 131/1 131/3
discarded [1] 150/6
disconnected [1] 172/19
discovered [3] 88/4 96/2 97/17
discovering [2] 98/9 98/14
discovery [16] 22/15 82/2 94/23
95/1 97/22 100/4 106/24 109/9
116/1 127/5 128/22 129/17 160/5

168/6 174/2 176/6
discriminating [1] 139/21
discussion [1] 167/9
dismiss [1] 180/22
distance [1] 96/22
District [1] 3/8
disturbed [1] 93/14
disturbing [1] 83/18
Division [6] 26/25 87/10 90/9
111/22 115/2 121/10
DNA [72] 42/12 42/16 47/24 51/3
132/6 132/6 132/14 132/25 133/22
134/17 135/10 136/2 136/7 136/17
136/22 137/4 137/12 137/17
137/21 138/1 $138 / 4$ 138/6 138/15
138/23 139/4 139/6 139/18 139/19
139/21 141/2 142/24 145/23
147/20 148/2 150/22 152/14
152/17 155/6 155/18 156/9 157/6
158/23 158/25 159/7 160/18
160/22 160/24 160/25 161/1 161/2
161/5 161/6 161/7 161/11 161/13
163/17 163/25 166/14 166/19
166/19 174/10 174/12 174/15
174/17 174/18 174/18 175/17
175/19 177/4 177/6 177/17177/21
do [181] 5/10 6/15 8/22 9/17 11/7
12/13 14/9 17/7 24/18 25/20
29/14 30/12 30/15 31/3 40/4
44/15 45/25 46/16 46/23 47/20 48/20 49/18 50/10 50/14 54/2 56/21 58/13 60/4 60/25 62/13 63/6 67/11 67/12 71/7 72/12 $72 / 1674 / 2575 / 1175 / 1375 / 25$ $76 / 379 / 280 / 15$ 80/24 80/24 81/23 84/18 84/20 85/6 87/23 87/25 91/22 92/7 92/9 95/11 99/1 101/23 105/10 105/11 106/20 110/13 110/19 110/21 112/24 114/2 114/3115/24118/15118/18 118/18118/20 119/7119/7121/17 122/4 122/13 123/5 125/7 125/8 125/16 $125 / 18$ 126/8 $128 / 23129 / 6$ 129/14 131/7 133/21 134/21
134/24 139/18 141/15 141/21 143/16 143/19 143/20 144/11 144/13 144/18 145/7 146/5 146/5 146/12 147/3 147/3 147/4 147/16 147/16 148/3 148/6 148/6 148/9 148/9 149/24 149/24 150/13 150/18 150/20 151/12 151/24 153/24 153/24 154/9 154/9 154/9 155/2 155/8 155/13 155/14 155/16 155/18 157/6 159/16 160/3 160/10 160/13 160/13 160/17 161/18 162/10 163/9 164/4 164/4 164/6 165/8 165/14 166/11 166/11 166/13 166/25 167/1 167/10 167/12 167/13 167/19 167/19 167/22 167/24 169/12 170/3 170/3 171/6 171/8 171/9 171/9 171/10 171/15 171/23 171/25 171/25 172/23 172/25 174/16 175/11 176/4 176/9 178/8 180/11 180/14 182/6 182/23 184/6
doctorate [1] 110/14
document [3] 120/13 132/7 146/9
does [21] 17/2 32/24 70/2 70/5
70/9 91/13 92/25 99/12 100/22
100/24 110/22 111/3 126/25
132/11 146/3 148/20 158/8 168/17
169/21 174/16 177/2
doesn't [1] 177/17
dog [2] 45/9 45/9
dogs [2] 61/12 79/15
doing [14] 37/22 54/18 55/7 55/20 74/15 93/20 93/25 95/4 108/5 121/25 122/21 142/21 146/9 151/21
dollars [1] 43/21
don't [49] 8/19 8/20 14/1 14/2 14/1 1 16/25 19/18 22/10 24/4 28/8 48/7 49/15 49/15 54/13 55/16 55/18 60/21 61/10 79/8 79/20 80/7 96/1 101/12 101/17 107/18 $118 / 17$ 125/4 125/8 142/13 144/5 150/25 151/18 152/1 152/12 152/16 153/10 154/6 156/2 159/13 161/2 165/21 167/9 171/17 171/18 171/19 174/20 175/20 177/24 178/15
done [23] 3/17 3/19 8/11 46/6 46/9 52/14 64/22 68/5 74/3 79/3 79/21 80/5 80/9 82/8 101/17 113/2 125/1 131/2 143/13 155/10 162/25 163/2 172/10
door [26] 16/12 20/18 21/25 22/1 22/6 22/24 23/2 23/3 23/6 23/12 23/23 23/24 28/22 73/3 135/2 135/3 151/14 165/21 165/23 166/9 166/9 166/10 166/11 169/24 172/13 172/14
doorknob [3] 22/25 23/4 23/5 doors [9] $16 / 8 \quad 16 / 8 \quad 16 / 10 \quad 16 / 13$ 21/15 21/24 22/5 142/14 143/10 double [3] 106/7 106/14 107/9 down [40] 19/1 19/5 19/6 19/8 19/11 22/11 24/14 28/19 28/20 28/24 29/7 29/8 29/16 29/17 29/17 34/22 37/1761/5 61/14 61/25 62/2 62/7 62/8 62/21 62/22 63/11 63/13 92/17 96/20 101/13 103/23 104/21 104/25 144/21
149/14 169/14 170/10 171/2 171/3 171/5
dozens [1] 30/14
Dr. [7] 110/16 111/11 114/7 115/6 115/12 117/22 128/16
Dr. Eisenberg [5] 110/16 111/11
114/7 115/6 115/12
Dr. Kenneth [1] 117/22
Dr. Michael [1] 128/16
draw [1] 83/9
drawer [2] 74/21 74/23
dressed [5] 97/19 97/20 100/19
100/20 144/2
drip [1] 157/7
dripped [2] 157/11 157/14
drips [2] 156/18 157/1
drive [2] 18/15 19/5
driven [1] 59/23
driver [1] 63/23
driver's [6] 11/21 21/25 135/2
152/20 153/16 165/16
drivers's [1] 15/24
driveway [4] 9/19 11/10 28/18 57/9
driving [3] 10/8 10/21 59/4
drop [2] 174/25 175/6
drove [2] 18/11 18/14
dry [7] 148/10 148/20 159/14
159/16 159/20 159/21 170/5
drying [3] 154/23 159/11 159/11 drys [1] 171/24
due [1] 104/12
dug [3] 98/10 100/17 101/19
duly [6] 5/8 26/16 66/7 86/25
109/23 131/21
dump [1] 102/2
dumped [1] 95/18
Duranda [1] 116/9
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { during [19] } & 16 / 5 \quad 32 / 3 ~ 37 / 2 ~ 37 / 9\end{array}$
38/3 41/19 42/8 47/10 48/24
51/23 67/16 72/18 75/2 76/16
76/17 84/15 87/17 88/15 118/14
duties [2] 66/18 132/12
dye [2] 161/24 161/25
dyes [1] 163/7
E
E-i-s-e-n-b-e-r-g [1] 110/3
each [29] 3/19 4/8 10/2 33/23
33/25 56/3 60/21 74/23 76/15
76/19 76/20 76/22 119/19 155/12
155/12 155/20 159/9 160/16
163/23 164/10 164/20 167/21
167/24 176/4 176/12 176/14
176/15 177/9 181/1
Earl [17] 7/18 7/19 7/22 7/23 8/1
8/16 8/21 8/25 17/24 20/5 20/11
24/1 24/5 25/2 25/9 25/23 57/9
earlier [1] 146/19
early [4] 5/18 27/1 30/3 35/22
earth [1] 100/17
earthen [1] 93/14
easier [2] 89/10 144/17
easily [1] 121/23
east [1] 38/18
edge [5] $34 / 22 ~ 96 / 25 ~ 97 / 11 ~ 102 / 25 ~$
126/15
educated [1] 86/4
education [2] 114/9 132/13
educational [1] 110/22
efficient [1] 156/2
effort [2] 6/7 6/12
efforts [2] 37/9 58/5
eight [4] 11/4 11/11 47/21 70/20
EISENBERG [9] 2/17 109/20 109/22
110/2 110/16 111/11114/7115/6 115/12
either [9] $21 / 1945 / 11$ 77/19 85/15 113/12 113/24 114/11 180/11 180/12
elbow [2] 124/2 124/16
electrophoresis [2] 163/2 163/5
else [26] 4/22 19/16 21/13 22/9
24/16 25/13 29/6 33/18 39/15
49/17 56/19 56/20 61/14 61/23
62/7 74/6 77/21 84/21 94/15
94/22 97/21 142/6 144/5 151/21
158/14 162/20
Emily [1] 125/11
employed [9] 26/23 66/15 66/16
87/8 87/9 110/6 110/8 110/9
132/4
empty [1] 71/11
emptying [1] 94/18
enclosed [5] 16/14 35/12 63/21 65/1 65/3
end [11] 28/19 29/20 88/12 102/21
119/10 119/15 124/3 159/25
160/20 160/20 171/9
ends [3] 29/25 151/4 162/3
enforcement [12] 13/16 14/14
16/18 16/20 30/11 30/13 37/6
37/21 40/12 55/9 87/13 136/11
engine [1] 172/22
|enjoy [1] 110/13
enough [4] 33/21 33/25 147/19 174/10
entails [1] 135/12
entering [2] 18/13 73/3
entire [5] 30/6 62/17 112/22
178/21 183/2
entirety [2] 53/2 55/4
entitled [2] 120/10 120/15
entitles [1] 132/14
entrance [4] 92/5 92/7 92/9 93/6
entry [1] 37/17
envelope [10] $52 / 9$ 148/11 $149 / 1$
153/25 154/10 159/15 159/18
159/22 159/24 160/2
episode [1] 114/5
equipment [4] 14/22 81/7 81/8 89/9
ERIK [2] 1/15 3/11
escorted [1] 62/22
essentially [1] 35/9
establish [1] 50/11
estimate [5] 100/9 100/11 118/16 122/4 131/6
estimated [2] 95/21 96/17
even [3] 12/23 48/17 123/17
evening [4] 35/22 35/22 119/10
119/15
eventually [7] 30/15 43/10 82/15
85/12 88/5 98/4 170/18
ever [2] 73/11 166/11
every [11] $10 / 2 \quad 28 / 2 \quad 28 / 438 / 1$
54/25 55/2 55/23 55/24 112/22
145/5 171/20
everybody [4] 33/18 40/23 80/21 178/13
everyday [1] 171/8
everything [9] 74/18 74/18 74/19 74/21 79/25 80/1 148/15 149/15 171/13
evidence [46] $4 / 6$ 8/16 46/17 46/18
48/2 49/25 53/15 60/12 65/12
66/19 66/21 66/23 67/2 67/21
67/22 71/5 71/10 71/23 73/15
81/1 81/3 81/4 81/5 81/11 81/17
82/5 82/7 87/23 113/4 118/9 127/25 134/15 135/6 148/13 157/18 157/22 165/13 169/3 169/13 171/11 171/22 173/6 179/15 180/8 180/16 180/24
evidentiary [3] 30/22 38/6 93/17 evidently [1] 9/14
exact [4] 82/22 92/7 131/7 140/10 exactly[11] 61/10 83/10 99/11
103/13 130/1 146/18 151/1 152/2 153/10 159/13 177/24
examination [51] 1/4 2/4 2/5 $2 / 8$
2/9 2/11 2/12 2/15 2/16 2/18 2/19 2/22 2/23 3/4 4/21 5/15 17/12 26/22 52/23 66/13 73/22 87/6 90/23 95/5 110/4 112/1 114/16 114/23 114/25 115/10 115/14 115/16 115/19116/4117/24 118/18 119/22 120/6 120/10 121/1 121/7 121/14 122/10 122/14 127/12 130/12 130/20 132/2 141/11 149/16153/4
examine [3] 133/24 158/7 159/5
examined [13] 5/9 26/17 66/8
86/25 109/24 112/5 112/17 112/25 128/6 130/8 131/22 170/23 170/24
Examiner [2] 122/24 179/21
examining [1] 130/23
excavation [1] 50/6
except [1] 36/15
excuse [7] 35/1 36/17 38/5 90/21
125/19 133/15 134/9
excused [6] 26/11 66/1 86/12
109/17 131/12 179/4
exec [1] 35/1
execute [2] 40/1 93/22
executed [4] 30/15 52/14 53/7 61/4
execution [2] 30/5 48/25
exemplar [4] 47/11 50/9 137/21
139/13
exemplars [3] 47/7 47/23 136/12
exhibit [55] 15/11 15/15 15/25
16/24 17/4 17/14 27/16 27/18
28/12 29/24 32/10 32/24 33/4
$33 / 8$ 33/15 34/13 35/16 36/4 36/7
38/11 38/23 40/9 41/10 41/14
41/15 41/18 45/24 45/25 46/13
46/15 46/22 46/23 50/20 50/22
65/13 67/9 69/23 71/1 87/22 97/3
97/3 110/16 110/17 111/6 111/10
132/8 132/16 132/21 133/14 134/5
137/7 179/16 179/25 180/2 180/5
Exhibit 10 [2] 111/6 111/10
Exhibit 3 [1] 50/22
Exhibit 4 [2] 33/4 33/8
Exhibit 6 [2] $41 / 1541 / 18$
exhibits [13] 65/9 65/21 65/25
69/1 8 69/19 70/6 70/12 87/21
87/24 135/22 135/24 179/6 179/12
Exhibits 2 [1] 65/25
existing [2] 95/19 101/25
expect [2] 114/4 145/20
expected [1] 170/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { experience [7] } & 13 / 7 & 110 / 23 & 114 / 8\end{array}$
122/16 130/21 130/23 132/13
expert [6] 111/1 111/10 120/8
120/11 132/17 132/22
expertise [2] 42/20 130/18
explain [4] 46/16 48/6 68/3 123/10
explanation [1] 79/2
explanations [1] 46/18
extra [3] 162/8 162/9 162/11
extraction [1] 148/2
extracts [1] 160/18
extreme [1] 34/21
extremely [1] 36/12
eye [3] 124/1 124/9 124/13
F
F-a-s-s-b-e-n-d-e-r [1] 26/21
F-r-e-y-m-i-I-I-e-r [1] 116/10
fabric [2] 152/21 156/1
face [2] 178/8 178/10
Facility [1] 112/5
fact [13] $13 / 1430 / 332 / 1832 / 21$
33/1 35/25 40/1 43/15 67/3 67/24
111/14 126/15 140/15
fair [7] 24/25 27/9 28/1 38/7 86/4 103/4 140/16
fairly [5] 42/6 104/14 104/15
119/8 124/10
fall[2] 85/21 106/21
familiar [6] 5/20 $13 / 1 \quad 13 / 6$ 28/2
28/4 37/10
family [1] 52/16
far [7] 14/11 19/19 21/10 24/18
$33 / 25$ 80/12 143/15
FASSBENDER[25] 2/7 26/12 26/15

26/20 26/23 27/9 27/14 30/10
30/20 31/21 34/4 36/8 38/9 39/23
40/7 41/19 42/23 47/4 48/22
50/1752/12 52/20 52/25 91/13
91/15
father [3] 6/4 177/5 177/7
FBI [2] 162/10 164/20
FBI's [2] 176/12 176/21
federal [1] 131/1
feel [2] $25 / 8$ 159/17
feels [1] 25/6
feet [20] 73/2 76/19 95/21 95/21
95/22 95/22 96/17 97/12 99/2
100/10 100/10 100/12 100/12
100/22 100/24 102/4 151/18
153/10 153/11 178/18
fell [2] 85/15 105/18
felon [1] 40/3
felony [4] 70/10 180/17 180/19
180/25
felt [2] 105/16 147/20
female [13] 94/16 94/17114/11
114/17 $114 / 18$ 123/3 123/15
123/20 124/7 124/8 124/19 124/21
126/3
females [1] 126/19
few [4] 9/12 17/20 71/22 151/18
field [5] $13 / 8 \quad 88 / 2189 / 19115 / 18$ 132/18
figure [2] 85/24 129/22
file [2] 4/8 181/19
filed [2] 179/20 179/22
files [2] $4 / 54 / 6$
filled [1] 102/14
finally [3] 47/4 78/24 138/22
find [26] 8/16 9/2 $17 / 24$ 18/1
18/24 19/14 23/12 23/15 51/12
51/17 56/19 68/25 69/5 71/9
71/15 72/20 79/9 114/4 123/17
127/21 143/2 145/20 160/25 161/3
174/10 180/17
finding [1] 4/7
findings [1] 128/23
fine [7] 34/3 123/12 181/9 181/16 183/6 183/7 183/8
finger [2] 42/6 59/7
fingerprint [1] 81/8
fingerprints [3] 142/21 $143 / 8$
158/15
finish [1] 13/5
finished [4] 18/4 76/9 76/12 160/9
finishing [2] 76/8 76/13
fire [4] 55/12 55/13 55/15 100/1
firearm [1] 40/3
firearms [2] 69/5 71/10
fires [1] 103/7
first [55] 5/2 5/8 5/19 6/1 8/13
12/25 13/5 17/2 17/23 18/23 23/8 25/13 26/16 27/14 53/18 60/1
60/4 64/6 64/8 66/7 72/6 72/11
80/17 85/2 85/4 86/24 91/25
93/21 95/9 95/13 97/8 97/16 98/6
108/7 109/23 $112 / 17114 / 14116 / 5$
118/20 125/10 131/21 137/7
141/24 142/24 143/4 144/11
144/13 144/18 146/13 146/14
148/18 150/5 150/5 155/13 166/21
fit [3] 10/20 106/14 149/8
five [6] 15/8 17/22 51/23 51/24
59/24 158/2
flag [3] 96/1 96/3 96/12
flagged [1] 97/8
flashlight [2] 143/24 144/23
flat [1] 117/9
flathead [1] 103/20
flecks [1] 128/2
floating [1] 134/10
floor [6] 73/4 77/3 77/12 78/22
80/19 150/10
fluorescent [1] 161/24
folded [1] 154/13
followed [1] 118/14
following [2] 4/8 97/2
follows [7] 5/9 26/17 66/8 87/1 109/24 131/22 182/5
foot [7] 20/7 26/2 100/17 102/22 102/22 102/23 149/2
forceps [2] 149/15 171/13
forces [1] 113/25
foregoing [2] 184/7 184/7
forensic [10] 42/19 89/22 110/15
117/22 122/17 122/23 123/13 125/13 128/13 130/25
forget [1] 94/16
forgot [2] 13/12 14/24
form [3] 40/11 41/4 123/19
forms [1] 124/16
forth [1] 99/9
forward [1] 39/24
found [57] 12/2 13/22 13/24 15/4 15/5 15/13 15/18 25/18 30/22 $30 / 2430 / 2531 / 2232 / 2034 / 6$ 34/16 35/10 35/19 47/6 47/18 48/10 48/24 49/5 49/16 49/17 50/19 50/23 50/23 53/25 54/2 56/15 56/21 60/9 60/12 63/8 71/5 $71 / 11$ 72/22 72/23 73/1 73/2 73/5 74/8 74/21 76/4 77/2 82/7 83/10 103/23 $111 / 12$ 136/7 137/18 137/19 138/5 138/14 138/25 145/13 145/16
foundation [6] 39/13 44/9 44/13 47/16 49/15 50/11
four [19] 14/4 15/8 17/22 51/22
65/16 76/19 150/22 154/16 156/11 156/12 158/2 158/21 159/5 159/6 165/12 165/14 166/9 166/10 166/1 1
four feet [1] 76/19
four-door [3] 166/9 166/10 166/11
fragment [3] 162/21 176/17 177/6
fragmentary [3] 114/15 123/17 131/5
fragments [53] 90/7 98/16 98/17
$98 / 19$ 99/14 100/8 112/18 112/20 112/21 112/24 113/3 113/5 113/7 113/12 113/19 114/6 117/21
117/25 118/23 119/9 119/11
119/13 121/12 122/8 123/25
124/12 127/16 127/24 161/7 161/8 161/11 161/13 161/22 161/24 162/2 162/18 162/22 162/23 163/6 163/8 163/14 174/19 174/22 174/25 174/25 175/3 175/4 175/5 175/6 175/15 176/13 176/15 176/19
frame [4] 67/17 165/25 166/3 166/4
frequencies [2] 176/15 176/19
frequency [3] 139/11 141/2 176/21
frequent [1] 176/16
fresh [1] 75/12
freshly [1] 101/19
Freymiller [2] 116/10116/17
Friday [1] 6/6
front [19] 12/15 15/21 20/15 20/16

| F | 54/3 55/16 58/3 67/7 69/17 77/23 | $36$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| front... [15] 22/2 22/4 23/24 57/7 | 101/13 109/2 123/7 123/9 128/19 |  |
| 58/24 67/13 92/14 110/16 132/7 | 129/9 129/23 133/13 135/21 152/7 | hand [11] 5/6 15/23 26/14 28/6 |
| 134/1 135/2 138/14 165/16 165/18 | 160/10 168/2 174/1 180/15 180/24 | 38/10 40/7 42/7 59/7 66/5 109/21 |
| 165/20 | 181/19 | 131/19 |
| full [1] 81/7 | gone [3] 6/17 82/12 91/8 | handcuffed [1] 58/12 |
| further [12] 16/25 18/15 26/8 65/5 | good [1] 174/15 | handcuffs [1] 57/17 |
| 69/16 70/23 73/17 86/7 88/22 | got [29] 11/24 12/22 17/23 18/4 | handed [2] 32/9 33/18 |
| 89/23 90/13 180/6 | 20/24 23/8 43/23 50/12 56/2 59/9 | handle [1] 16/12 |
| future [1] 183/13 | 60/7 60/25 85/6 91/22 94/10 95/9 | hands [1] 146/21 |
| G | 95/17 102/1 106/3 124/20 134/11 | happen [4] 10/19 34/1 83/13 85/19 |
| garage [26] 28/22 28/23 38/15 | 174/25 175/3 182/18 182/19 | 107/20 |
| 38/21 38/21 45/2 45/8 45/10 49/9 | gown [1] 118/21 | happening [1] 60/8 |
| 49/9 71/2 71/6 71/9 71/15 88/7 | grab [1] 22/25 | happy [1] 70/14 |
| 88/11 95/20 95/23 102/3 141/25 | grabbed [2] 23/4 23/ | hard [4] 36/12 105/2 155/21 156/6 |
| 142/3 144/19 169/6 169/7 169/9 | gracile [1] 124/10 | has [25] 4/19 12/18 12/22 20/24 |
| 170/10 | graph [1] 164/4 | 38/25 44/13 67/10 83/21 102/20 |
| garages [1] 95/23 | grass [2] 95/17 95/ | 120/17 122/20 124/11 124/20 |
| garbage [2] 106/12 106/13 | gravel [2] 29/21 102/2 | 124/25 125/1 129/10 134/18 |
| gates [2] 18/12 19/7 | gray [1] 70/25 | 148/14 149/14 149/14 160/22 |
| gathering [1] 53/15 | greater [2] 44/13 | 162/7 180/17 181/1 184/8 |
| gave [7] 13/19 13/23 43/24 43/24 | Green [2] 51/20 51/22 | hasn't [1] 48/16 |
| 56/23 157/2 169/19 | grocery [1] 117/11 | hatch [2] 142/15 151/7 |
| general [1] 140/23 | Groffy [1] 142/8 | have[164] 3/15 3/183/24 8/11 |
| generally [4] 9/7 10/20 74/16 | grommets [2] 103 | 8/18 9/22 10/6 11/5 13/7 14/21 |
| 126/18 | ground [3] 85/21 93/15 104/25 | $16 / 25 \quad 17 / 317 / 7$ 22/8 25/2 25/4 |
| generate [1] 166/14 | grounds [3] 39/13 44/9 54/15 | 27/14 28/20 32/4 33/20 34/20 |
| generated [3] 166/22 173/15 174/8 | group [1] 119/19 | 36/19 38/6 39/5 39/25 43/7 44/5 |
| generating [1] 173/19 | grouped [1] 119/10 | 46/22 48/20 49/15 50/10 51/6 |
| genetic [1] 165/9 | guess [12] 34/24 83/17 86/4 92/23 | 52/3 52/19 53/15 53/22 56/1 56/1 |
| gentleman [2] 31/10 39/15 | 97/7 97/10 101/15 120/19 121/17 | 56/7 62/8 62/9 64/6 65/12 65/17 |
| gentlemen [1] 22/20 | 146/19 157/12 163/18 | 67/16 68/7 68/17 70/9 70/24 72/3 |
| get [35] 7/11 14/18 15/7 23/1 | gun [2] 57/15 58/15 | 73/2 73/7 73/16 73/20 79/2 81/7 |
| 58/11 81/15 98/6 105/7 106/18 | guys[2] 23/11 23/17 | $82 / 1282 / 2185 / 685 / 2586 / 3$ |
| 107/16 143/16 147/11 147/20 | H | 87/23 90/17 92/7 92/25 93/15 |
| 150/25 151/12 151/14 153/14 | H | 95/17 98/16 99/18 101/9 101/23 |
| 155/8 156/2 158/18 161/12 162/1 | Haase [1] 167/13 | 102/1 102/19 104/9 104/11 105/8 |
| 163/9 163/15 163/24 168/8 168/20 | hack [1] 103/17 | 105/21 109/5 109/13 110/14 |
| 172/23 172/25 173/24 176/4 | had [111] 6/18 10/7 10/21 10/25 | 110/24 112/25 113/1 113/2 113/5 |
| 176/20 176/24 177/18 181/4 | 11/9 11/10 11/12 14/16 15/4 | 113/16 113/23 113/23 114/12 |
| gets [1] 164/16 | 17/17 17/20 18/10 30/4 30/22 | 114/23 115/2 115/6115/15 115/17 |
| getting [1] 101/12 | 31/7 36/16 38/15 39/4 41/20 | 115/24 118/16 119/12 122/4 |
| Gibson [1] 31/4 | 41/23 43/18 44/17 44/21 45/3 | 122/18 122/20 122/21 123/18 |
| give [11] 8/25 20/5 22/12 25/11 | 45/10 45/14 45/16 45/20 51/19 | 125/4 127/3 127/9 127/15 127/16 |
| 25/24 28/9 34/2 34/13 154/2 | 54/21 54/22 54/23 57/15 58/2 | 128/25 130/23 130/25 131/1 131/4 |
| 157/21 182/11 | 58/3 59/20 59/21 59/23 60/9 | 131/6 131/7 131/9 132/23 133/5 |
| given [5] 57/5 68/8 71/21 71/22 | 60/18 60/21 60/22 61/12 63/17 | 134/11 136/8 136/10 136/15 140/9 |
| 122/15 | 63/25 67/16 72/4 73/5 74/3 75/23 | 140/17 141/7 141/21 143/7143/17 |
| gives [1] 165/2 | 76/9 76/12 77/7 77/14 77/17 | 143/19 143/20 143/21 144/3 144/6 |
| glasses [3] 13/12 144/10 178/6 | 77/18 80/2 80/5 83/12 88/13 89/4 | 144/12 145/9 148/22 152/1 155/10 |
| Glenfield [1] 69/9 | 89/9 89/12 90/4 91/8 93/6 93/11 | 157/11 157/13 157/13 161/1 161/2 |
| gloves [22] 73/10 75/3 75/5 75/8 | 93/20 95/14 95/18 96/11 96/11 | 161/3 161/8 162/21 164/9 167/5 |
| 75/11 75/12 75/13 75/20 75/22 | 97/16 100/17 100/20 101/2 101/4 | 171/11 173/6 175/4 175/11 177/10 |
| 76/1 76/3 76/10 76/12 80/23 | 101/5 102/2 102/24 103/2 103/6 | 177/12 177/25 178/20 178/25 |
| 118/21 144/7 144/7 169/19 178/4 | 103/2 1 103/22 104/7 105/3 105/4 | 181/6 181/24 182/1 182/5 182/8 |
| 178/12 178/13 178/21 | 107/22 108/9 108/19 113/13 | 182/14182/18182/18 |
| go [30] 6/21 8/15 8/17 11/5 14/11 | 113/19 116/11 117/21118/10 | haven't [2] 39/13 81/25 |
| 26/2 26/6 28/17 29/6 29/9 63/17 | 119/15 121/9 121/16 127/15 | having [11] 5/8 26/16 49/20 66/7 |
| 69/16 78/6 85/23 91/3 93/8 93/13 | 130/23 130/25 131/4 142/11 | 70/15 86/24 109/23 131/21 135/6 |
| 104/25 120/10 130/3 145/6 145/25 | 143/13 144/10 147/19 158/14 | 137/1 179/18 |
| 149/21 151/13 152/16 159/8 | 167/9 169/19 181/18 184/13 | he [122] 6/19 6/23 7/20 7/21 7/21 |
| 159/15 160/19 164/19 173/7 | hadn't [2] 60/11 83/18 | 7/23 7/24 9/3 13/25 14/5 14/17 |
| goes [10] 22/16 23/2 29/19 83/13 | hair [1] 20/8 | 18/5 18/10 20/7 20/12 22/19 |
| 83/15 96/20 120/5 125/20 163/6 | Halbach [31] 5/21 5/25 6/4 6/8 | 24/11 24/11 24/13 24/16 25/4 |
| 174/2 | 10/7 27/4 32/16 32/22 38/5 39/9 | 25/6 25/11 25/13 25/13 25/15 |
| goggles [1] 144/10 | 43/1 43/12 44/3 44/6 47/8 47/12 | 25/17 25/19 25/20 25/22 26/2 |
| going [53] 4/5 5/17 6/19 8/20 10/2 | 51/9 58/19 59/20 133/1 136/14 | 26/3 40/18 40/18 40/21 40/21 |
| 11/2 18/19 26/5 27/18 28/6 28/14 | 136/21 138/7 138/21 138/24 139/9 | 43/6 43/7 43/10 43/10 43/17 |
| 33/9 34/21 35/15 37/17 38/9 | 139/13 140/16 140/19 141/4 | 43/20 43/22 43/23 43/25 43/25 |
| 39/12 40/7 43/13 44/8 45/13 | 179/18 | 44/1 1 44/20 44/23 44/24 44/25 |
| 45/23 47/15 48/5 49/24 50/14 | Halbach's [6] 8/7 31/23 34/15 | $44 / 2545 / 245 / 345 / 845 / 1045 / 10$ |

he... [65] 45/14 45/14 45/18 45/18 45/20 45/20 48/6 48/9 48/14 48/18 49/16 49/22 49/22 50/8 50/11 50/12 57/6 57/15 57/17 57/19 57/19 57/22 57/22 58/2 $58 / 358 / 1058 / 1158 / 1258 / 12$ 58/14 58/17 58/21 59/9 59/10 59/11 59/11 59/12 59/18 59/20 59/24 77/25 78/2 78/3 80/19 91/13 96/5 96/8 96/8 96/8 96/11 96/13 97/20 120/17 128/17 128/17 128/18 146/8 156/17 156/21
156/21 156/22 167/16 167/20 167/22 167/23
he's [1] 168/3
head [5] 124/14 124/25 124/25

## 125/5 126/25

headquarters [2] 92/1 92/2
health [4] 51/16 51/20 51/21 52/7
hear [6] 33/22 48/1 85/21 85/22 181/7 181/7
heard [5] 22/10 39/13 59/13 80/7 136/8
hearing [21] 4/9 17/6 22/17 33/7 36/6 41/16 52/19 65/24 70/17 83/14 86/9 90/16 $115 / 5$ 120/7 129/12 152/11 160/6 168/4 179/11 180/4 183/10
hearings [1] 46/20
heat [1] 113/19
heavy [2] 124/9 126/16
help [1] 46/16
helping [1] 128/11
her [20] 14/25 21/17 24/24 34/24 43/21 43/22 43/23 43/23 43/24 51/20 56/15 111/5 111/9 120/14 123/10 129/23 129/24 130/1 163/4 163/4
here [29] $12 / 2012 / 2128 / 1328 / 18$ 28/20 28/20 28/24 28/25 29/7 29/16 29/1 8 29/20 33/10 39/1 49/19 63/11 63/13 63/15 63/16 64/4 79/9 82/9 82/10 102/17 119/2 120/16 134/13 152/8 174/2 hereby [1] 184/6
herein [6] $5 / 8$ 26/16 66/7 86/24
109/23 131/21
heterozygote [2] 177/1 177/5
hidden [1] 85/16
highway [2] 28/10 28/15
him [21] 7/21 8/2 8/4 8/5 20/6
24/3 24/8 24/9 28/22 31/13 40/18 $41 / 241 / 2142 / 943 / 2444 / 1457 / 1$ 58/9 58/10 167/15 180/19
himself [4] 7/19 18/6 18/9 39/8 his [39] 3/11 $28 / 2134 / 1834 / 19$ 38/16 38/21 40/19 41/24 42/6 $42 / 7$ 42/10 42/25 44/1 44/10 44/11 44/20 45/1 45/2 45/5 45/7 45/9 45/15 49/9 49/24 50/12
56/23 56/25 57/8 57/9 58/8 58/14 65/9 71/19 72/19 77/25 78/4 88/11 128/16 156/17
Hispanic [2] 140/12 140/13
Historical [1] 110/10
history [1] 51/17
hold [1] 132/14
holder [2] 149/17 171/4
holding [1] 146/21
home [1] 68/14
homicide [2] 58/18 179/24
homozygote [2] 177/8 177/16
Hon [1] 1/11
Honor [38] 3/12 4/11 4/14 4/24 $\begin{array}{llll}4 / 25 & 16 / 23 & 17 / 9 & 26 / 8 \\ 31 / 16 & 33 / 4\end{array}$ 33/7 39/13 41/17 44/9 47/16 49/13 52/22 65/6 65/24 70/7 70/19 81/24 82/4 83/16 84/1 97/23 109/10 129/18 179/14 180/7 180/10 180/21 181/11 181/16 182/2 182/21 183/14 183/18
hood [7] 11/20 20/23 20/24 21/3 21/4 21/6 21/8
hooked [1] 64/2
hoped [1] $83 / 12$
horse [1] 49/19
Hospital [1] 127/20
hour [2] 77/9 77/15
hours [8] 27/24 35/22 82/22 82/23 106/2 116/23 121/6 121/23
house [8] 6/16 28/25 38/19 43/18 44/1 45/5 46/12 96/23
how [120] 5/24 7/5 7/20 10/23
12/3 13/5 15/4 16/10 16/20 20/2 21/10 23/1 23/6 25/6 25/7 26/4 26/23 27/6 27/22 27/24 28/9 35/5 $44 / 1250 / 1151 / 1454 / 1156 / 8$ 56/9 56/10 59/9 61/10 61/21 64/22 66/15 71/15 74/14 74/25 $75 / 875 / 13$ 77/7 80/24 82/7 82/19 84/5 85/6 86/14 87/8 94/4 96/8 97/19 99/21 100/7 100/19 104/23 106/23 109/1 109/5 110/6 110/8 111/17112/24118/11118/18 119/1 121/19 122/3 122/21 122/22 123/5 123/10 124/6 125/10 131/6 132/4 139/15 140/1 140/5 142/2 144/2 146/17 148/20 149/2 149/8 151/12 151/14 151/16151/25 153/9 153/19 155/8 155/16 156/12 156/19 158/8 159/11 159/16 160/3 160/13 160/25 161/3 161/25 167/11 167/11 168/22 168/25 171/9 171/15 171/19 173/22 173/23 173/24 176/9 176/16 176/16 176/16 177/20 177/23 182/11 182/24 183/4
however [3] 65/8 98/20 163/24
huge [2] 9/13 9/13
human [26] 47/6 48/23 49/5 50/19 50/24 89/15 112/6 112/9 112/10 112/13 112/18 112/23 113/18 113/25 114/15 117/25 118/23 119/11 119/16122/16123/18 129/25 130/23 131/5 133/11 147/5 hundreds [2] 37/5 54/9
hunters [1] 8/15
hunting [2] $8 / 148 / 17$
I
I'II [1] 120/4
I'm [84] 3/8 5/17 8/9 8/19 15/10 20/10 24/4 26/24 28/4 28/6 33/9 $33 / 1235 / 1538 / 939 / 1240 / 744 / 8$ 45/12 45/23 47/15 48/13 49/24 $50 / 14$ 54/3 54/3 55/16 60/2 61/1 63/24 65/15 65/20 66/16 66/19 67/7 69/17 70/4 75/16 77/9 77/23 79/14 81/24 82/8 82/14 82/16 83/19 83/25 90/19 91/5 91/17 91/24 97/2 97/2 100/23 109/2 110/7 $118 / 17$ 120/10 122/20 123/7 123/9 124/22 125/9 126/14 129/21 133/13 137/11 141/17144/13
$144 / 20145 / 9148 / 25152 / 7157 / 12$ 167/24 168/2 169/4 174/1 177/25 178/1 180/14 181/13 182/13 183/1 183/1
I've [1] 32/9
idea [4] 85/6 101/11 170/19 174/11
identification [11] $13 / 413 / 15$ 15/11 31/18 32/10 32/17 40/9 52/1 69/18 $133 / 14$ 135/22
identifications [1] 13/1
identified [18] 18/5 31/20 38/11
$38 / 16$ 38/23 45/1 45/8 49/7 51/22 53/16 67/18 70/24 89/12 96/11 112/6 117/10 135/5 135/6 identifiers [2] 68/25 69/4 identify [12] 7/19 18/9 47/5 51/6 51/11 66/23 69/19 114/10 123/19 134/16 136/6 148/15
if [104] $5 / 17 / 117 / 218 / 58 / 58 / 23$ 9/8 9/19 11/8 12/14 15/9 16/21 17/25 18/16 20/24 21/12 24/20 24/21 25/3 28/17 28/25 29/2 30/11 34/13 43/7 44/20 47/25 48/5 48/7 48/13 49/15 51/17 $53 / 1654 / 1358 / 1058 / 1259 / 11$ 60/21 62/7 67/13 67/16 69/19 70/13 72/19 75/23 75/24 79/18 79/20 88/2 90/10 92/10 93/12 93/16 97/3 97/11 101/2 102/2 102/5 102/18 103/19 104/7 104/8 120/1 120/8 120/13 120/20 120/22 122/20 125/9 128/24 129/22 130/2 130/2 $131 / 18$ 134/5 135/25 135/25 136/1 138/18 139/16 140/1 140/18 142/13 143/25 147/10 147/10 156/1 156/5 159/16 163/15 163/18 163/19 163/20 165/9 167/20 169/16 174/23 177/1 177/5 177/8 177/17 181/8 181/17182/9
ignition [12] 134/1 134/25 135/1 137/19 138/3 155/7 165/17 169/17 169/24 172/3 172/12 172/15
Illinois [1] 131/2
immediate [1] 27/17
immediately [4] 13/23 15/7 98/22 172/9
impending [1] 36/17
important [2] 15/3 82/6
importantly [1] 15/25
impose [2] 128/19 174/1
impression [1] 101/14
in [386]
inch [8] 28/2 28/5 54/25 55/2
153/21 153/21 153/22 153/23
inches [7] 84/6 112/20 113/10
146/22 146/23 146/25 149/4
incline [2] 11/3 11/7
include [6] 55/9 68/16 74/20 89/12
110/22132/11
included [2] 69/3 133/11
including [6] 46/11 51/1 53/9
72/19 89/15 110/24
independent [1] 113/9
independently [1] 176/14
indicate [9] 7/24 14/15 27/9 33/17
57/19 57/22 95/9 130/8 140/18
indicated [6] 8/17 43/10 54/5
111/24 113/7 167/4
indicating [1] 33/19
individual [2] 114/20 167/5
individually [1] 133/5
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { individuals }[2] & 18 / 3 & 18 / 7\end{array}$
$141 / 14143 / 2143 / 5$
involvement [1] 5/18
involving [3] $115 / 22$ 131/2 $133 / 1$
is [360]
isn't [1] 28/3
isolated [1] 157/8
issue [2] 44/12 125/2
it [395]
it's [68] 8/14 9/14 9/14 10/16 11/8 11/24 12/4 12/23 22/6 28/1 28/7 29/4 33/13 48/12 50/21 58/22
66/1 67/9 67/9 76/19 82/6 83/17
92/18 101/14 107/10 107/10
107/10 $110 / 17119 / 8$ 120/7 121/21
124/10 124/22 125/12 126/3 126/4 126/5 126/7 126/8 126/15 126/15
128/20 128/22 129/4 130/19 147/6 147/8 147/10 149/13 150/10
150/10 150/10 150/13 150/14
151/1 152/12 153/13 153/20
154/13 156/1 156/2 161/21 163/2
168/6 170/13 170/14 170/25
174/23
item [6] 38/22 74/23 148/12
148/18 154/2 165/13
items [26] 20/21 30/21 30/24 38/6 $38 / 1138 / 22$ 44/20 64/16 69/14
72/8 78/3 93/11 111/25 112/7
116/5 116/25 117/18118/11
118/23 119/1 119/18 119/19 127/9 129/23 130/7 133/6
its [4] 53/2 61/7 63/3 129/9

| itself [4] | $52 / 12$ | $128 / 1$ | $129 / 3$ | $135 / 9$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | J

January [5] 182/11 182/12 182/22 183/19 184/15
January 17th [1] 183/19
January 9th [1] 182/12
Jason [3] 94/14 95/8 95/14
jawbone [1] 119/13
Joe [1] 94/2
JOHNSON [27] 1/17 2/16 2/19 2/23 3/12 90/21 95/4 98/1 100/7 107/1 109/5 115/8 116/4 120/24 125/25 127/8 128/23 129/14 130/7 141/9 152/13 160/8 168/7 168/17 174/4 176/9 180/20
joint [3] 92/23 124/3 124/16
Jost [5] 94/14 95/8 95/14 96/4 96/5
Journal [1] 125/13
Joy [1] 168/24
JT3HP10V5X7113044[1] 32/17
judge [57] $1 / 113 / 163 / 2517 / 11$ 22/14 26/10 33/12 34/3 36/4 41/13 47/21 47/22 48/12 48/20 50/5 50/15 51/14 52/19 65/8 65/20 70/4 70/13 73/20 77/23
$83 / 11$ 86/10 86/14 86/20 90/16 90/19 100/5 109/4 109/16 111/6 115/5 116/2 120/1 120/4 120/8 123/7 124/19 125/19 125/24 130/2 131/11 131/15 137/10 141/8 160/6 168/2 176/7 179/2 180/14 181/9 181/17 183/1 183/17
Judgments [1] 69/22
judicial [1] 70/8
jump [1] 39/24
jumpsuit [2] 97/20 100/20
juncture [1] 114/22
junk [1] 79/15
|just [75] 5/23 8/8 9/15 11/23
14/12 14/18 15/15 18/14 20/25
21/11 21/12 25/6 26/6 28/9 29/2
29/23 35/23 36/10 38/14 39/24
40/23 48/13 49/13 50/4 50/18 57/15 62/6 64/1 64/25 66/21 70/3 $72 / 7$ 75/15 77/10 78/5 79/9 81/19 83/9 83/12 83/19 90/11 99/13 106/14 112/24 118/19 120/4 121/23 125/1 127/12 129/8 130/19 131/15 137/8 140/22 144/15 146/5 147/18 147/22 150/14 151/15
151/20 156/13 157/16 158/2
158/17 167/20 168/1 168/14
170/14 172/22 175/9 175/12
175/18 176/1 183/11
Justice [3] 26/25 87/10 111/24
K
K-u-c-h-a-r-s-k-i [1] 66/12
Kapitany [1] 94/2
Karen's [1] 24/20
Ken [1] 3/8
KENNETH [2] 1/13 117/22
kept [2] 28/14 30/23
key [59] 72/23 73/1 73/2 73/7 $73 / 1173 / 1473 / 1674 / 876 / 477 / 2$ 77/8 77/18 77/20 78/21 78/24 $80 / 1280 / 1580 / 16$ 80/19 80/20 80/22 80/23 81/18 81/23 83/10 83/16 83/1 8 83/20 83/22 84/2 $84 / 1284 / 2485 / 385 / 485 / 685 / 24$ 86/3 134/17 134/18 134/20 134/21 135/1 135/4 135/5 135/9 135/14 135/15 136/8 137/18 138/2 168/8 168/8 168/18 169/21 169/23 170/18 170/24 171/18 171/25
keyboard [4] 166/23 167/7 167/11 167/20
kind [24] 10/12 12/24 15/9 15/15 19/2 25/15 28/9 42/18 48/1 68/12 80/20 81/18 81/19 99/18 102/24 106/11 126/4 126/5 130/15 145/12 146/10 157/18 169/12 171/11 kinds [1] $81 / 6$
kit [7] 81/1 81/3 81/4 81/11 81/13
161/23 162/7
kitchen [1] 68/18
Klaeser [1] 179/21
knew [5] 5/25 145/15 145/15 145/16 145/19
know [48] 6/21 19/19 21/13 25/6 25/7 25/16 30/12 31/3 48/7 49/15 54/13 55/1755/18 56/21 60/21 61/10 61/22 61/24 63/6 78/23 79/8 79/20 80/12 96/2 101/12 101/17 112/24 $115 / 17$ 117/8 125/7 125/8 125/8 125/10 129/6 141/15 151/1 158/1 158/15 159/15 165/21 167/20 167/24 171/15 171/18 171/19 176/5 181/5 182/6
knowledge [10] 44/12 44/17 44/17 73/9 78/21 101/2 108/1 108/3 141/19 184/14
known [4] 7/9 87/13 87/19 139/12
KRATZ [27] $1 / 132 / 42 / 82 / 112 / 15$
2/18 2/22 3/8 3/14 4/9 5/1 31/21 $34 / 436 / 839 / 2041 / 1944 / 15$ 48/22 49/18 50/17 70/22 86/18 $111 / 11$ 132/23 137/15 144/15 172/24
KUCHARSKI [11] 2/10 66/4 66/6 66/11 66/15 66/25 69/16 70/22

KUCHARSKI... [3] 71/17 73/20 73/24
L
lab [40] 35/4 36/21 42/12 42/21
47/13 51/21 52/5 52/7 62/17 63/1
63/18 64/4 64/14 64/21 89/5
89/20 89/22 95/7 98/2 98/6 98/13
98/20 104/17 105/6 105/8 105/12
105/20 132/12 133/22 134/21
135/20 136/25 141/13 142/8 142/9
144/3 144/7 148/17 178/2 179/9
Lab's [1] 36/18
label [1] 153/25
labeled [2] 119/6 119/21
labels [1] 117/10
laboratory [22] 35/12 35/13 35/24
42/20 51/2 51/7 52/11 73/17
88/21 89/1 132/5 141/25 142/5
148/12 148/14 153/1 153/13
156/16 161/9 162/6 168/23 173/25
lack [1] 101/15
laid [3] 47/1 $118 / 13$ 170/4
land [1] 28/9
landmarks [3] 123/14 123/16 123/21
landscape [10] 88/8 95/11 95/16 95/19 98/11 101/16 101/23 101/25 102/5 102/20
large [5] 65/1 106/12 106/13 133/18 157/23
larger [8] 46/14 $113 / 8$ 157/8
174/18 174/19 174/24 175/4 175/6
largest [1] 146/15
laser [5] 28/6 34/12 67/15 92/16 134/9
last[16] 5/12 5/13 6/22 10/7 14/4
38/22 38/22 45/15 66/10 87/3
101/20 110/1 125/10 125/11
131/24 179/14
later [6] 31/6 39/7 42/11 48/13
156/9 182/3
latex [1] 73/10
latter [1] 94/3
law [14] 1/16 1/18 13/16 14/14 16/18 16/20 30/11 30/13 37/5
37/21 40/11 55/9 87/12 136/10
lawn [2] 102/1 103/4
lay[1] 28/9
laying [1] 79/1
lead [6] 27/1 1 30/22 44/4 48/23
124/6 126/3
leading [2] 9/11 53/3
lean [2] 33/25 151/16
leaned [2] 151/15 151/16
leaning[3] 11/19 15/21 21/11
learn [1] 22/20
learned [1] 127/2
least [26] 10/25 13/10 38/3 42/19
45/11 45/19 48/1 48/6 50/5 54/14
55/11 70/10 70/25 72/11 72/12 77/14 82/23 90/1 112/23 113/8
127/24 129/10 132/17 135/5
140/14 141/2
led [1] 53/9
left [10] $9 / 2026 / 638 / 1045 / 24$
64/17 116/15 117/3 117/4 129/16 148/25
length [1] 126/11
lengths [1] 99/14
Lenk [8] 74/9 76/11 78/12 78/15

80/16 83/7 83/8 85/8
LESLIE [4] 2/17 109/19 109/22 110/2 less [2] 59/24 77/9
let [11] 12/1 12/25 27/14 29/23 49/21 79/9 120/19 120/22 136/1 159/19 168/9
let's [3] 39/24 67/8 68/11
license [5] 12/14 32/15 37/12 37/22 56/15
Lieutenant [7] 74/9 76/11 78/11
78/15 80/16 83/8 85/7
life [1] 144/16
lifted [1] 102/23
light [1] 20/7
lights [1] 36/15
like [75] 6/21 9/13 10/24 11/8
11/11 20/5 21/19 22/19 36/10
42/20 49/14 56/23 68/1 68/18
75/23 81/15 81/19 86/15 92/1
96/14 99/12 99/13 101/7 101/19
102/6 102/7 102/7 102/8 102/21
103/2 104/1 104/10 104/14 104/15
104/24 105/21 106/15 114/1
114/22 118/6 118/18118/24
125/11 125/16 127/10 127/10
142/21 142/22 142/23 143/11
144/9 146/3 146/25 147/22 149/6
149/11 150/12 151/3 155/22 156/4
156/22 156/25 156/25 158/22
159/17 163/9 163/15 164/16 165/2
165/3 165/25 169/11 178/18
178/19 178/20
lines [1] 25/24
$\operatorname{lip}$ [1] $96 / 20$
liquid [1] 160/21
list [1] 70/2
literally [2] 37/5 38/1
literature [5] 115/13 115/20
115/21 115/22 127/3
little [20] 5/23 11/10 15/16 20/25
28/19 39/24 67/9 101/9 112/14
149/17 150/9 150/24 151/5 153/6
153/6 154/21 154/23 157/21
162/21 171/4
lived [2] 28/22 29/6
living [1] 78/10
loading [2] 35/25 64/19
locate [1] 51/18
located [19] 29/17 32/7 34/10
$34 / 2535 / 1936 / 2337 / 1337 / 16$
37/20 37/22 38/18 39/1 61/6 69/6
93/11 99/4 103/1 133/25 134/17
locating [1] 90/7
location [13] 43/20 61/7 61/19
63/3 63/4 63/7 63/8 63/15 64/12
83/20 92/8 163/17 175/13
locations [7] 88/3 139/19 139/20
140/1 162/5 162/14 162/17
loci [19] 141/1 162/6 162/10
162/13 163/17 163/23 164/20
165/6 166/16 167/22 167/24
174/11 175/1 175/4 175/9 175/12
175/22 176/4 176/24
lock [2] 169/24 172/14
locked [7] 16/7 16/9 16/14 21/22
117/4 135/2 172/14
locus [4] 162/19 163/18 164/2
177/11
long [17] 16/20 20/2 61/10 71/11
77/7 82/19 86/14 94/4 109/1
109/5 112/20 122/3 122/21 148/20
149/2 149/3 158/8
longer [2] 64/12 $113 / 9$
look [41] 10/2 12/22 15/10 20/5
25/4 45/23 74/18 74/18 80/1
82/21 85/23 88/22 93/13 96/9
99/5 99/12 104/14 105/2 113/4
114/1 120/21 129/24 131/4 134/4
140/1 140/3 142/24 143/22 146/5
152/1 156/20 157/19 161/19 162/4
163/19 164/21 165/5 165/8 174/17
174/21 182/10
looked [20] 10/24 12/4 12/14 46/25 51/16 78/8 80/20 89/2 89/6 96/14 98/15 99/13 101/4 103/2
104/13 104/15 146/13 156/22 156/25 156/25
looking [25] 10/12 24/23 56/5
74/24 76/24 88/3 98/24 124/10 126/10 139/19 142/21 143/5 143/25 144/20 144/23 145/14 146/10 152/4 161/7 161/11161/22 162/16 162/18 164/11 182/11
looks [6] 9/13 12/24 56/23 102/3
102/21 164/7
lost [1] 25/7
 157/17 161/2
lower [3] 124/3 124/15 124/15
LOY [22] 1/15 2/5 2/9 2/12 3/11
$4 / 1217 / 2 \quad 22 / 15$ 22/18 22/24 48/8
52/21 70/5 73/21 78/5 82/3 82/19
84/2 90/18 180/20 181/10 181/23
Loy's [1] 48/4
lunch [1] 81/19
M
ma [1] 12/24
ma'am [1] 110/6
machine [4] 116/16 144/16 163/1 184/10
made [16] 39/15 43/6 43/10 47/5
58/5 62/17 88/2 88/5 94/12 96/10
96/13 98/17 98/23 103/3 113/1
115/14
Madison [13] 35/13 35/14 36/1
36/20 52/6 90/14 91/10 110/10
112/4 116/21 127/20 133/6 135/20
magazine [2] 39/6 43/25
mail [3] 13/19 116/15 129/15
main [9] $17 / 2518 / 21 \quad 19 / 22 \quad 20 / 16$
20/17 20/1 8 23/12 23/23 29/13
mainly [1] 139/5
maintain [1] 51/24
maintained [2] 51/21 62/24
make [14] 4/7 7/14 10/3 11/6 31/7
33/23 39/20 39/25 41/21 102/18
114/12 144/16 168/10 180/12
making [4] 61/8 62/11 76/11
164/12
male [4] 114/11 123/15 124/22 167/4
MANITOWOC [13] 1/1 31/4 60/23
69/24 78/17 78/18 89/2 92/25
93/2 94/14 96/5 179/22 184/2
manner [1] 88/16
manufactured [1] 164/24
many [22] 27/24 54/11 56/8 56/10
61/21 67/24 71/15 99/21 112/18
112/24 118/11 119/1 119/9 121/6 122/22 131/4 131/6 149/8 159/11
163/24 171/15 171/19
map [3] 6/19 63/10 92/10
maps [1] 6/18
March [2] 70/2 179/19

March 22nd [1] 179/19
margin [2] 124/11 124/12
mark [2] 27/11 148/11
marked [15] 15/11 27/15 28/12
32/9 35/16 40/8 45/24 58/23
69/17 96/11 97/5 132/7 133/13
134/4 135/22
marker [1] 165/9
marks [1] 157/7
mask[2] 118/21 178/8
masks [1] 178/10
match [1] 138/18
matched [4] 14/16 139/25 141/3 167/7
matching [1] 48/12
material [24] $72 / 21 \quad 89 / 8$ 89/18
96/3 103/8 104/6 104/20 106/21
106/22 111/12 111/15 111/19
112/17 118/24 122/1 122/1 133/10 134/17 135/10 136/7 136/25 142/25 145/23 174/10
materials [7] 81/5 88/11 89/21
90/13 104/24 143/20 174/6
matter [17] 3/9 8/10 88/14 88/19 89/4 89/7 89/11 89/11 99/3 99/7 99/11 99/17 102/6 102/18 103/16 184/7 184/13
matters [4] 3/3 $111 / 1$ 181/3
183/13
Matthew [1] 168/24
maximum [1] 76/18
may[29] 5/1 8/11 8/15 17/10 22/15 34/15 38/6 46/22 49/18 53/14 62/8 66/1 71/10 72/3 82/15 86/18 102/15 $114 / 1119 / 12$ 120/1 120/1 123/24 127/15 130/2 131/15 158/17 167/6 177/25 181/17 maybe [7] 8/14 8/15 20/3 63/9 151/18 153/10157/19
me[54] 6/25 7/2 11/2 12/1 12/25
13/19 13/23 14/7 14/17 20/6 22/3
22/12 27/14 29/23 35/1 36/17
38/5 47/20 59/12 62/7 62/8 64/15 69/8 72/25 79/9 86/16 89/6 90/21 95/14 96/4 98/18 111/25 113/17 114/16 116/15 117/3 118/8118/19 120/19 120/22 121/8 125/19
133/15 134/9 136/1 143/18 157/2 158/12 168/9 169/14 169/19 181/4 184/8 184/9
mean [34] 8/19 11/7 29/3 54/14 83/25 92/8 92/9 95/11 95/15 101/3 101/19 102/7 102/13 103/2 104/6 104/17 108/13 $118 / 5$ 124/6 124/19 125/15 129/1 143/19 146/25 150/13 153/21 155/17 157/13 159/23 164/10 167/19 170/25 172/21 177/2
means [3] 140/22 160/24 177/3
meantime [1] 154/15
measurable [1] 126/4
measure [1] 146/18
measured [1] 126/9
medical [4] 42/14 51/17 122/24 179/21
member [1] 133/21
members [8] 35/3 55/10 55/12
84/22 88/20 88/25 90/8 95/7
Memorial [1] 127/20
memory [1] 120/14
men [1] 23/10
mentioned [6] $11 / 1231 / 2$ 53/22
77/22 $115 / 15$ 126/24
merging [1] 181/21
message [4] 111/21 116/15 116/21
129/15
Met [1] 60/5
metal [8] 103/23 103/24 105/17
118/24 155/22 155/23 165/21
165/23
methodically [1] 74/17
meticulous [1] 121/22
metric [1] 126/4
Michael [2] 128/16 179/21
microliters [1] 160/21
microphone [2] 15/17 34/1
microphones [1] 33/21
mid [1] 60/2
mid-afternoon [1] 60/2
middle [8] 28/8 42/6 59/6 67/14
72/9 92/16 100/14 100/15
Middleton [1] 127/20
might [13] $7 / 2015 / 1638 / 685 / 25$
86/3 92/16 101/9 105/8 134/22
143/2 146/5 157/21 162/20
Mike [1] 142/7
Milwaukee [2] 166/23 167/15
mind [1] 101/23
minds [2] 24/20 25/3
minute [3] 68/11 129/8 137/8
minutes [3] 16/22 59/24 86/15
Miranda [6] 40/11 40/12 40/19
56/24 56/25 57/4
Mishicot [1] 7/8
missing [1] 27/2
Model [1] 69/9
molecule [1] 139/20
moment [1] 128/25
Monday [1] 182/12
monitored [1] 56/9
monitoring [2] 55/19 94/18
Monroe [1] 107/22
more [27] 22/20 36/20 38/18 45/11 45/19 53/15 68/1 68/4 83/19 89/7 99/10 100/16 102/8 112/14 118/15
118/15 125/20 129/3 139/20
139/20 139/21 139/22 156/2 156/3
157/21 165/2 167/23
morgue [3] 112/4 117/14 117/14
morning [8] 6/10 6/15 9/8 16/2
43/6 91/9 108/7 117/1
morphology [1] 123/15
most [9] 6/17 29/23 31/1 46/15 55/16 110/18 130/13 146/15 158/12
mother [3] 177/4 177/6 177/7
motion [3] 4/20 181/6 181/12
mound [12] 88/8 88/9 88/12 95/10 95/15 96/16 96/18 97/11 98/10 102/10 102/19 102/25
mouth [2] 144/8 144/10
move[16] 3/12 16/24 20/21 20/25 21/10 33/3 36/3 39/17 41/13 65/11 84/20 $111 / 5$ 132/16 179/5 180/15 180/22
moved [8] 20/23 21/11 21/12
84/14 84/22 84/24 85/2 85/5
moving [3] 65/20 85/12 85/14
Mr [6] 17/2 39/7 48/4 71/2 72/13 72/18
Mr. [82] 3/14 4/9 4/12 5/1 13/19
13/21 13/23 13/24 14/7 22/15
$22 / 18$ 24/1 $24 / 1 \quad 24 / 5 \quad 26 / 23 \quad 28 / 24$
29/2 31/20 34/4 38/16 39/8 39/14

39/20 40/17 41/1 41/10 41/22
41/23 42/1 42/9 42/24 42/24 43/4
43/5 44/2 44/19 48/8 49/18 52/21 53/10 56/23 57/4 57/13 57/25 58/6 58/8 59/2 59/7 59/9 59/15 66/15 67/24 68/24 69/4 70/5 70/9 $70 / 15$ 70/23 71/18 73/21 79/6 80/10 82/3 82/20 86/18 87/8 88/5 90/18 90/21 115/8 133/1 136/13 136/17 137/18 137/21 141/9 144/15 172/24 180/20 180/20 181/10 181/23
Mr. and [2] 28/24 29/2
Mr. Avery [33] 24/1 31/20 38/16 39/8 39/14 39/20 40/17 41/1 41/10 41/22 41/23 42/1 42/9 42/24 42/24 43/4 43/5 44/19 56/23 57/4 57/13 57/25 58/6 58/8 59/2 59/9 59/15 69/4 70/9 70/15 133/1 136/13 136/17
Mr. Avery's [13] 44/2 53/10 59/7 67/24 68/24 70/23 71/18 79/6 80/10 82/20 88/5 137/18 137/21
Mr. Earl [2] 24/1 24/5
Mr. Fassbender [2] 26/23 34/4
Mr. Johnson [4] 90/21 $115 / 8$ 141/9 180/20
Mr. Kratz [7] 3/14 4/9 5/1 49/18 86/18 144/15 172/24
Mr. Kucharski [1] 66/15
Mr. Loy [12] 4/12 22/15 22/18 48/8 52/21 70/5 73/21 82/3 90/18 180/20 181/10 181/23
Mr. Pagel [5] 13/19 13/21 13/23 13/24 14/7
Mr. Sturdivant [1] 87/8
Mrs. [2] 28/25 29/2
Mrs. Avery [1] 29/2
Mrs. Avery's [1] 28/25
Ms [34] 5/17 5/24 6/5 6/7 8/12 8/19 9/16 10/4 10/17 12/1 13/9 14/20 15/9 15/25 16/16 16/25 17/14 31/22 44/3 44/6 59/20 132/4 132/23 133/1 $134 / 15$ 135/9 135/18 136/10 136/24 137/15 137/20 138/22 139/10 139/13
much [10] 36/14 44/12 54/25 156/2 160/25 161/2 161/3 177/18 177/20 177/23
multiple [2] 170/25 176/14
multiplying [1] 176/19
mute [1] 33/24
mutilation [1] 113/22
muzzleloading [1] 69/12
my [98] 4/10 6/1 6/1 7/2 8/5 11/1 11/3 12/17 12/18 13/11 13/12 14/4 14/24 16/8 17/16 23/20 41/2 $41 / 3$ 44/17 47/22 57/6 58/22 63/3 68/8 71/4 71/22 73/5 73/13 75/20 76/10 76/12 81/1 82/21 83/5 88/5 89/2 93/21 94/12 96/10 96/24 97/7 101/6 104/12 105/1 105/3 108/3 109/2 110/2 112/1 114/23 115/15 115/18 116/15117/3117/4 117/5 118/10 120/1 121/5 121/7 121/18 122/15 123/23 125/5 125/17 125/19 130/17 132/10 135/16 143/7 143/23 144/10 145/6 148/2 149/13 150/7 152/1 152/7 153/1 153/3 153/20 154/1 154/11 154/13 158/12 159/19 159/24 167/3 168/9 170/4 170/13 171/5 173/6 174/1 181/4 181/12 184/9

## M

my... [1] 184/13
myself [13] 41/9 45/13 63/13 64/21 68/7 71/4 71/21 88/25
93/12 94/1 95/7 142/7 167/8
N
name [29] 5/12 5/12 5/13 5/20 7/3 15/22 26/19 56/22 63/25 66/10 66/10 69/2 87/3 87/3 94/14 110/1
110/1 110/2 115/24 116/9 125/7
125/8 125/9 125/10 125/10 125/11
128/16 131/24 131/24
nanogram [1] 177/22
nasty [1] 36/12
natural [2] 95/16 102/5
nature [6] 8/8 41/22 49/11 50/19
50/23 68/4
near [8] 23/23 61/22 62/4 62/25
84/2 133/25 137/19 165/17
necessarily [1] 88/9
necessary [1] 122/15
need [6] 5/23 6/3 13/4 13/20
135/25 182/23
needs [2] 42/18 171/1
nephew [1] 25/7
never [2] 22/10 160/1
new [8] 81/1 88/8 95/11 98/11 101/16 101/22 104/15 122/25 next [38] 6/10 28/22 37/3 60/25 66/2 73/4 73/5 80/15 84/7 84/10 84/12 85/9 86/19 96/24 107/17 119/7 119/23 119/25 121/17 121/18 121/20 149/21 149/24 150/19 150/23 151/10 151/24 152/16 155/1 155/2 155/3 155/5 170/8 182/5 182/10 182/15 182/18 183/2
Nick [3] 142/8 146/7 156/14 nickel [1] 112/19
Nicole [10] 7/4 7/5 7/7 9/4 10/17 12/20 12/20 14/20 14/24 17/16
night [3] 36/14 45/21 76/10 nine [1] 70/21
no [117] 1/5 13/20 14/17 17/5 18/10 18/16 18/18 18/20 19/10 19/15 19/24 20/1 $21 / 7$ 21/21 23/4 24/17 25/19 26/1 26/10 28/12 $32 / 1033 / 634 / 1335 / 1638 / 23$ 40/9 42/17 44/7 44/17 45/25 46/14 46/15 46/22 47/16 47/17 48/9 53/2 53/2 54/1 56/1 56/4 56/22 56/25 57/12 57/18 57/21 57/24 58/7 58/23 59/12 59/14 60/1 6 64/4 64/12 65/5 65/8 65/23 67/9 69/25 70/19 74/2 74/5 75/7 76/2 77/6 77/14 77/18 77/22 78/17 78/21 79/11 80/4 80/11 $80 / 12$ 81/12 85/20 85/22 86/1 91/11 98/14 100/25 102/12 111/8 122/2 122/12 127/11 130/17 131/11 132/20 134/5 142/3 149/3 150/13 151/15 151/23 152/10 154/8 156/11 162/8 166/13 168/1 169/6 169/13 169/22 171/17 171/19 174/12 175/20 178/9 178/11 178/17 178/20 179/2 179/10 180/10 181/24 182/8 No. [18] 15/12 15/25 27/16 29/24 32/24 41/10 45/24 46/23 69/23 $71 / 2$ 87/21 87/22 132/8 133/14 135/22 137/7 179/16 181/20

No. 05 [1] 181/20
No. 1 [2] 15/12 15/25
No. 11 [1] 132/8
No. 12 [1] 133/14
No. 14 [2] 135/22 137/7
No. 16 [1] 179/16
No. 2 [5] 27/16 29/24 45/24 71/2 87/22
No. 3 [2] 46/23 87/21
No. 4 [1] 32/24
No. 6 [1] $41 / 10$
No. 7 [1] 69/23
nobody [2] 85/2 108/1
noise [1] 164/15
non [4] 112/10 118/24 119/1
119/18
non-biological [3] 118/24 119/1 119/18
non-human [1] 112/10
 122/15
noontime [1] 94/6
normal [2] 68/17 114/4
normally [1] 82/13
north [5] 28/14 28/16 38/14 45/2 98/1 5
northern [1] 46/15
northwest [1] 34/18
Nos [1] 3/2
not [114] 3/19 3/24 3/24 4/23 4/25 5/1 8/10 14/2 14/17 16/6 16/7 $30 / 2333 / 1534 / 235 / 1036 / 23$
$38 / 2$ 38/4 41/16 42/16 45/3 45/10 45/14 45/20 49/4 49/24 53/2 54/3 55/16 57/24 58/12 58/23 61/1 61/24 63/6 63/24 72/10 73/13 74/2 74/5 77/6 77/9 78/23 79/14 79/1 8 79/21 80/4 86/9 88/9 89/21 92/25 95/16 97/2 97/16 97/18 98/7 99/16 101/5 103/21 104/2 104/22 107/10 108/3 109/15 113/1 113/2 114/2 114/25 115/15 116/19 117/8118/7118/13119/3121/7 121/22 122/16 123/24 124/9 125/9 126/4 126/8 126/16 126/25 128/8 128/25 130/3 131/7 140/15 140/19 142/13 144/10 145/4 147/5 149/3 150/20 152/13 156/8 156/25 157/8 158/7 159/21 166/12 166/13 167/5 167/13 170/13 174/15 177/17
178/1 180/3 180/14 181/13 182/6 note [2] 33/18 145/1
noted [1] 42/1
notes [9] 143/23 144/18 145/6 152/1 152/4 168/9 168/11 173/6 184/9
nothing [12] 26/8 59/13 83/24 86/7 129/12 160/22 175/21 175/23 175/24 175/25 176/1 178/18
notice [2] 70/8 87/17
noticed [2] 77/8 83/19
November [44] 5/19 6/5 6/6 6/11 16/3 27/1 30/4 30/11 32/18 34/5 37/3 39/25 40/6 40/14 47/1 47/2 49/1 53/19 54/4 60/2 66/25 67/1 $71 / 475 / 1777 / 1878 / 679 / 480 / 10$ 80/13 87/15 87/15 88/18 91/5 91/6 111/21 112/3 112/17117/1 121/4 121/9 127/19 141/14 168/21 173/10
November 10th [2] 112/17 117/1
November 17th [1] 127/19
November 4th [1] 80/10

November 5th [2] 53/19 79/4
November 6th [1] 77/18
November 8 [1] 40/14
November 8th [4] 54/4 75/17 80/13 88/18
November 9th [1] 111/21
now [31] 13/21 14/20 30/2 30/20 31/21 36/23 42/16 54/13 58/14 62/9 63/22 64/1 69/16 71/17 77/2 79/3 80/15 87/19 91/16 93/18 96/19 102/6 $111 / 13$ 123/1 124/18 127/8 145/7 154/6 166/14 168/7 174/4
number [23] 13/4 13/10 13/11 13/14 14/1 14/8 15/23 32/16 $32 / 17$ 43/19 54/5 92/24 108/20 125/4 130/25 131/7 143/9 144/21 148/12 148/14 148/15 148/17 154/1
numbers [9] $13 / 2 \quad 13 / 6 \quad 14 / 5 \quad 14 / 6$ 14/15 15/2 140/10 176/18 176/22
numerous [1] 171/14
nurse [1] 42/13
0
object [6] 33/15 39/12 44/8 47/15 157/15 168/3
objection [56] 17/3 17/5 22/17 22/22 33/5 33/6 36/5 41/15 47/23 $48 / 248 / 548 / 849 / 1449 / 23$ 50/2 65/21 65/23 70/18 70/19 77/24 81/25 82/14 82/17 83/11 83/25 94/23 94/25 97/22 100/4 100/6 106/24 106/25 109/3 109/9 111/7 111/8 116/1 120/5 125/20 125/23 127/5 127/6 128/20 129/9 129/17 130/5 132/19 152/8 160/5 168/5 174/2 176/6 179/8 179/10 180/2 181/24
observation [4] 89/3 96/13 98/18 98/23
observational [1] 126/5
observations [3] $41 / 22$ 61/8 88/2
observe [4] 40/25 87/18 105/11
133/9
observed [9] 16/1 49/12 50/18
59/6 61/7 88/16 98/16 112/15 113/6
obtain [4] 13/9 42/9 50/8 51/6
obtained [7] 10/9 42/14 48/14 52/7 61/2 61/4 136/3
obtaining [1] 47/11
obvious [4] 78/25 83/17 113/17 146/16
obviously [3] 36/13 49/24 181/24
occasion [9] 31/7 32/4 39/25 67/16
68/1 70/24 73/7 131/4 133/5
occasions [2] 67/20 67/23
occur [1] 71/19
occurrence [1] 139/11
occurs [4] 139/15 140/2 140/8 140/22
October [4] 39/10 43/2 44/21 45/22
October 31 st [1] 45/22
off [17] 14/7 15/2 15/7 21/4 21/11 21/12 46/5 75/11 76/10 76/12 107/2 107/7 125/16 144/16 155/25 161/12 173/16
offender [2] 167/17 167/18

offered [5] 120/7 120/9 120/11
179/15 180/9
offering [1] 70/12
office [28] 13/18 $18 / 21$ 19/22
19/25 20/16 20/17 20/18 22/25
23/1 23/12 23/16 23/23 23/25
29/13 29/14 54/23 66/17 67/25
91/14 91/15 91/16 93/22 112/4
117/5 122/24 160/4 169/5 179/23
officer [17] 14/14 52/25 52/25
59/1 59/15 69/13 69/16 73/24
74/3 77/24 78/15 78/18 83/7 83/7
89/1 94/16 94/18
officers [11] 16/18 16/21 53/3
55/19 57/10 75/3 78/16 80/2
82/25 83/4 87/13
offices [2] 34/20 91/20
official [4] $1 / 25 \quad 32 / 4184 / 4184 / 19$
officials [1] 136/11
often [4] 75/8 75/13 104/15 105/5
Oh [2] 22/6 172/20
okay [263]
old [1] 7/5
older [1] 104/8
on [255]
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { once [4] } & 38 / 2 & 64 / 11 & 108 / 23 & 134 / 20\end{array}$
one [86] 7/23 7/24 11/16 18/1
18/7 18/18 37/9 38/22 53/3 53/14
53/16 53/25 54/11 60/14 60/21
60/22 60/23 61/12 61/22 62/4
65/10 65/10 68/1 68/4 68/20 69/9
70/10 72/23 77/14 77/18 77/22
78/21 79/18 80/12 84/22 100/1
100/2 106/14 107/8 117/20 119/16
124/3 124/15 128/8 140/8 140/8
140/10 140/12 140/19 140/19
140/23 141/3 141/3 145/11 146/14
146/14 147/15 149/10 151/24
152/8 152/13 155/12 155/13
155/20 156/15 157/9 158/3 159/9 162/21 163/16 163/19 163/20 163/20 164/7 167/21 170/6 176/4 176/10 176/13 176/15 176/21 177/9 177/12 177/22 181/22 182/19
ones [10] 152/8 155/16 156/20
156/21 157/6 158/7 162/8 162/9 162/11 175/6
ongoing [1] 6/7
only [25] 19/19 20/23 22/5 22/6
30/23 38/4 47/24 49/4 58/14 75/9
89/21 115/1 121/7 122/16 128/8
139/24 150/22 156/11 157/9 159/5
170/1 174/9 174/9 174/25 177/12
onto [2] 14/20 28/10
open [15] 16/6 21/14 23/1 74/17 79/1 79/25 83/22 135/3 142/14 142/15 143/10 150/13 150/14 150/15 182/8
opened [11] 22/24 81/15 112/16
113/18 $117 / 16$ 148/13 159/25
159/25 169/3 169/20 172/14
opens [1] 151/7
operator [4] 13/20 63/25 64/24 65/2
opinion [8] 44/10 104/13 105/1
105/4 122/9 123/1 124/22 126/22
opportunity [1] 127/16
or [178] 6/14 7/19 10/4 11/4 11/11 12/2 13/14 15/8 16/6 16/6 16/7 17/22 18/12 $18 / 16$ 19/2 19/5 19/7 20/22 21/19 23/1 24/22 25/18 25/24 28/18 29/9 29/22 33/14
$33 / 2534 / 634 / 2235 / 735 / 19$
$35 / 2235 / 2336 / 2437 / 2037 / 24$
$38 / 1838 / 2038 / 2138 / 2239 / 15$ 40/8 42/19 43/14 43/24 44/21 45/4 45/4 45/7 45/17 45/19 45/22 $47 / 447 / 7$ 47/11 47/21 49/7 49/9 49/1651/19 51/22 53/14 53/17
$54 / 354 / 455 / 1055 / 2356 / 356 / 13$ 56/19 58/6 58/11 58/18 58/23 58/24 62/5 64/13 65/15 71/18 71/19 72/25 73/10 75/10 75/15 75/21 75/24 76/18 79/21 84/21 85/16 85/16 85/17 88/9 88/16 88/16 92/1 92/21 92/21 95/5 95/22 96/11 97/8 98/3 98/10 98/24 98/25 101/1 101/3 101/20 102/2 102/5 102/8 102/23 103/7 103/20 104/8 104/10 104/24 105/15 105/21 108/17 110/18 112/19 112/20 113/9 113/13 113/14113/25 114/11 115/24 119/13 121/25 124/9 124/14
125/15 126/4 126/23 127/2 127/10 128/10 136/12 137/16 138/18 139/11 139/12 140/15 142/13 144/8 146/5 147/22 147/23 148/13 149/12 150/12 151/14 154/24
155/22 156/9 157/7 157/17 158/2 158/17 163/18 163/20 163/21
163/21 163/21 165/3 166/9 166/12 167/22 169/11 170/11 171/9
176/16 180/20 182/15
orbital [4] 126/1 126/14 126/25 127/4
orbits [1] 124/2
ordinarily [1] 84/17
orientation [1] 34/14
origin [5] 117/25 118/24 119/1 122/9 129/25
original [2] 113/14 121/12
Originally [1] 166/20
other [90] 3/19 17/20 18/9 19/11 21/8 23/21 25/17 27/17 29/21 $33 / 2333 / 2537 / 643 / 1146 / 19$
$48 / 11$ 48/20 53/18 56/6 57/10 60/11 60/12 61/11 61/23 61/25 64/7 67/4 69/10 73/9 73/10 73/12
75/2 76/15 76/16 76/19 76/22
81/11 82/25 83/3 83/5 84/22
87/12 88/11 90/8 90/12 90/12
91/12 91/20 91/20 92/17 92/18 93/12 98/16 98/21 100/2 101/4 103/24 103/25 104/5 106/22
108/20 112/7 112/19 113/4 113/4 113/25 114/1 114/19117/10 119/17 122/12 127/8 127/10 128/1 141/1 142/9 145/23 154/16 156/15 157/11 157/20 157/25 158/4
166/11 176/14 176/22 178/10
178/12 178/14178/15 178/17
other's [1] 76/20
others [2] 64/17 123/24
otherwise [3] 113/13 142/20 182/10
our[15] 3/15 4/13 16/11 21/1
86/12 126/6 140/14 141/25 161/24
162/6 171/12 171/12 171/13
171/13 183/12
out [79] 8/15 18/19 19/14 20/13
28/11 30/8 31/13 39/5 43/13
$43 / 16$ 43/17 43/20 47/1 55/13 60/1 8 63/19 67/4 67/25 74/23 $77 / 11$ 78/9 79/1 83/22 85/10
$85 / 1185 / 1585 / 2488 / 388 / 4$
$88 / 13$ 89/11 91/10 91/11 91/13
91/15 91/16 91/17 92/10 93/13
93/15 94/8 95/14 95/24 96/4 96/8
96/12 100/17 102/4 102/23 103/7
106/19 116/20 118/13 119/12
127/21 129/22 140/24 141/1
145/25 146/3 152/22 153/15
153/16 153/23 155/23 156/3
159/14 160/1 160/25 161/3 162/1
164/17 170/4 171/11 174/10
174/25 175/7 181/6 182/8
outfit [1] 31/15
outset [1] 3/12
outside [7] 18/2 23/9 23/16 43/20
98/24 130/17 148/11
over [23] $42 / 543 / 2245 / 452 / 16$ 54/10 59/6 88/5 91/8 93/8 96/10 99/5 100/8 102/7 105/3 147/18 151/15 151/16 154/13 167/14 172/16 172/17 172/21 180/19
oversaw [1] 63/2
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { own [7] } & 44 / 11 & 109 / 2 & 128 / 20 & 129 / 9\end{array}$
152/7 164/11 174/1
owned [2] 32/21 58/22
owners [2] 7/23 7/24
ownership [1] 32/6
oxidized [1] 104/12
P
p.m [3] 40/15 60/3 105/9
package [3] 89/20 135/6 169/20
packaged [5] 52/8 90/4 117/18
168/25 173/23
page [3] 2/2 110/17 110/19
$\begin{array}{llllll} \\ \text { Pagel } & {[5]} & 13 / 19 & 13 / 21 & 13 / 23 & 13 / 24\end{array}$ 14/7
paid [1] 43/21
pair [3] 80/23 152/24 153/14
Pam [1] 5/3
PAMELA [3] 2/3 5/7 5/13
panel [1] 165/21
pap [8] 51/18 136/20 138/7 138/10
138/21 138/23 139/8 139/25
paper [10] 80/25 81/2 81/9 81/19
81/21 148/11 149/14 169/1 171/3
172/1
Papers [1] 69/1
Pardon [2] 22/3 143/18
parent [1] 177/9
parent's [1] 25/3
parents [3] 29/3 29/4 34/19
park [2] 18/21 23/22
parked [3] 23/24 142/1 142/3
parking[2] 18/3 20/13
part [26] $5 / 18$ 20/25 33/19 44/10
46/15 47/24 47/24 62/16 67/15 86/4 92/16 94/3 102/13 103/6 108/2 $112 / 23$ 124/14 124/16 126/7 129/6 133/4 155/22 157/18 169/21 169/25 170/1
partial [7] 139/5 139/7 139/12 139/24 140/7 174/4 174/8
partially [1] 13/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { participate }[8] & 6 / 11 & 27 / 2 & 27 / 3 \\ 27 / 6\end{array}$
35/2 40/25 47/11 132/24
particular [23] 28/8 89/13 107/15
108/2 $115 / 1$ 123/23 124/18 126/11
132/18 134/22 145/11 161/14
162/17 162/19 163/16 163/17
163/25 164/14 164/23 174/23
175/10 176/17176/24
parties [5] 3/5 180/11 $181 / 8$ 183/8

| P | $96$ | position [3] 62/24 132/12 132/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| parties... [1] 183/11 | 171/22 179/14 | positive [2] 157/2 158/19 |
| party [1] 180/11 | pieces [2] 71/23 104/ | possession [4] 40/3 73/13 112/2 |
| passenger [5] 21/25 165/18 165/20 | pile [6] 88/9 95/15 99/4 100/15 | 121/5 |
| 65/22 166/5 | 103/1 105/13 | possibilities [1] 146/4 |
| past [3] 51/23 98/17 105/3 | piles[3] 93/14 118/22 119/4 | possible [4] 115/22 135/10 147/14 |
| pathologist [1] 128/13 | pink [2] 147/11 147 | 156/18 |
| Patrick [1] 1/11 | pipettes [1] 171/12 | post [9] 60/6 60/14 60/1 8 92/3 |
| patrol [6] 46/5 55/10 55/11 66/17 | pit [22] 19/2 29/9 29/1 | 92/19 92/21 92/23 93/1 93/4 |
| 66/18 66/19 | 34/21 38/20 45/7 45/12 45/13 | posture [1] 44/4 |
| PCR [2] 161/1 | 49/10 54/22 61/25 62/25 63/14 | potential [1] 145/23 |
| peak[2] 164/14 177/12 | 90/10 96/20 96/22 97/1 97/15 | potentially [1] 145/20 |
| peaks [9] 163/11 163/12 163/14 | 98/3 99/2 100/9 | pounds [1] 20/7 |
| 163/19 163/24 164/5 175/10 | pitching [1] 150/16 | powder [1] 69/12 |
| 175/15 175/20 | place [5] 85/16 127/18 | PowerPlex[1] 164/24 |
| penta [2] 165/2 165/3 | 135/19 169/4 | prefer [2] 92/11 182/22 |
| people [38] 19/11 19/12 | placed [5] 14/25 | prelim [4] 4/6 82/11 82 |
| 19/19 20/9 22/11 33/22 40/12 | 117/21 134/25 | preliminary [8] 1/4 3/4 4 |
| 54/5 54/9 54/11 54/15 54/18 | places [3] 51/1 175/11 175/19 | 83/13 120/7 160/6 168/4 |
| 54/21 54/23 55/7 56/8 56/10 | placing [1] 73/15 | prepared [3] 121/1 161/23 184/8 |
| 61/25 83/5 94/22 94/24 98/2 98/7 | plain [1] 77/11 | presence [4] 39/21 41/3 164/14 |
| 98/13 101/4 104/16 104/18 105/7 | PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4 | 166/15 |
| 105/8 110/19 142/11 142/11 | Plaintiff's [10] 15/11 27/16 | present [9] $4 / 542 / 13$ 53/6 59/11 |
| 143/10 170/25 178/10 178/12 | 35/16 40/9 45/25 69/18 132/8 | 64/2 64/21 79/16 125/4 175/9 |
| 178/15 | 133/14 179/25 | presented [4] 48/3 114/16 127/1 |
| per [1] 107/10 | plan [1] 9/23 | 180/24 |
| performed [3] | plans [2] 22/8 | Preservation [1] 110/12 |
| perhaps [6] 28/11 36/1 | plant [1] | press [1] 33/24 |
| 112/20 120/4 158/4 | plastic[14] 81/9 81/14 81/15 | presumably [1] 117/12 |
| period [2] 75/15 87/17 | 81/16 106/12 106/13 107/8 107/8 | presumptive [6] 143/23 147/4 |
| periodically [1] 75/12 | 117/20 118/1 118/3118/12150/25 | 150/5 155/11 155/13158/19 |
| peripheral [1] 76/21 | 151/5 | pretty [6] 28/1 36/14 54/25 55/5 |
| permission [2] 8/22 8/25 | plate [1] 32/16 | 78/24 82/5 |
| person [14] 1/20 13/21 18/9 20/4 | plates[7] 12/14 36/24 36/25 37/12 | prevent [1] 83/24 |
| 20/12 27/3 107/13 140/8 140/10 | 37/22 56/15 56/21 | preventing [2] 18/12 19/8 |
| 140/12 140/23 172/3 177/1 177/16 | plausibility [4] 82/6 82/11 83/16 | previous [2] 72/3 74/4 |
| personal [2] 31/7 69/4 | 83/24 | viously [1] 126/24 |
| personally [3] 3/11 110/24 | play [1] 36/17 | Primarily [1] 55/9 |
| personnel [9] 37/21 55/9 56/13 | played [1] 36/22 | primary [2] 93/4 93/4 |
| $63 / 163 / 1864 / 464 / 1464 / 21$ | please [38] 3/6 5/5 5/11 5/11 5/24 | printed [2] 12/5 164/16 |
| 142/9 | 6/14 10/23 26/14 26/18 26/19 | printout [2] 163/9 176/1 |
| pertaining [1] 32/14 | 27/19 32/11 35/17 37/16 38/13 | prior [13] 35/23 45/4 45/5 45/14 |
| Pevytoe [1] 107/25 | 40/10 42/4 46/3 51/15 66/9 66/9 | 45/15 45/15 45/19 70/15 73/25 |
| phenolphthalein [3] 147/8 147/25 | 66/22 69/8 69/20 86/22 87/2 87/2 | 101/6 116/11 132/13 143/13 |
| 157/3 | 88/1 88/24 109/21 109/25 109/25 | pristine [1] 36/20 |
| phone [5] 12/19 | 111/17 131/23 131/23 133/16 | probable [3] 82/1 180/17 180/25 |
| 116/11 116/14 | 135/12 139/3 | probably [16] 27/25 58/3 75/20 |
| phonetic [1] 125/12 | plus [1] 164/23 | 82/12 88/10 91/8 95/20 100/10 |
| photo [5] 15/3 17/14 17/16 87/22 | Plymouth [4] 38/24 39/1 39/9 43/8 | 100/16 104/12 147/10 148/22 |
| 144/16 | point [37] 15/15 15/17 16/17 | 153/10 153/20 180/18 183/15 |
| photograph [7] 27/16 27/20 65/10 | 18/10 28/11 31/13 39/19 44/18 | probative [1] 157/22 |
| 71/1 97/4 134/7 146/19 | 47/18 47/22 49/3 49/14 50/3 60/8 | problems [1] 25/11 |
| photographed [5] 80/22 142/4 | 60/11 72/23 75/1 80/7 81/25 | procedure [5] 118/14 121/15 |
| 143/4 145/4 145/5 | 83/23 89/10 92/10 92/17 96/8 | 160/18 170/9 170/21 |
| photographer [1] 142 | 98/12 99/15 102/1 102/15 104/19 | proceed [4] 4/22 7/7 |
| photographic [1] 14/21 | 105/6 121/18 125/22 128/25 129/2 | proceedings [4] 1/23 33/20 183/21 |
| photographing [2] 75/10 75/24 | 129/10 146/3 149/22 | 184/13 |
| photographs [3] 85/10 85/13 | pointed [6] 80/19 88/4 | process [39] 16/6 47/10 51/5 |
| 142/17 | 95/24 96/4 96/12 | 81/22 90/1 104/17 108/12 108/16 |
| photos [5] 15/6 17/21 17/22 69/2 | pointer [6] 28/7 34/12 67/15 92/13 | 113/3 119/8 121/19 121/21 121/22 |
| 179/9 | 92/16 134/10 | 122/3 122/6 133/4 134/16 135/9 |
| physical [2] 41/22 58 | pointing [2] 56/17 92/18 | 142/23 143/21 145/8 147/3 149/25 |
| hysically [1] 98/25 | points [1] 162/14 | 150/1 155/3 155/12 157/17157/18 |
| ck [2] 78/3 89/11 | police [2] 14/13 167/3 | 158/8 158/10 158/14 159/8 160/19 |
| ked [3] 63/18 105/15 116/2 | population [7] 140/2 140/6 140/9 | 161/9 161/18 164/19 173/18 178/1 |
| picture [14] 11/8 20/24 35/15 | 140/13 140/23 176/11 176/17 | 178/22 |
| $35 / 1835 / 2136 / 1136 / 13$ 39/6 | populations [1] 140/12 | processed [8] 35/10 35/13 64/15 |
| 39/11 43/7 43/16 43/18 45/6 | pornographic [1] 72/21 | 90/10 107/16 107/18 108/21 143/8 |
| 59/21 | portion [13] 9/18 11/13 29/23 | processing [16] 34/6 35/4 35/7 |
| pictures [5] 15/8 39/9 43/13 69/1 | 34/23 35/18 133/18 134/1 134/14 | 35/24 62/10 62/11 62/14 62/20 |
| 69/4 | 135/14 143/7 151/13 158/12 | 73/14 108/19 142/20 146/1 153/4 |
| piece [16] 7/7 82/5 82/7 95/13 | 160/16 | 160/9 170/15 171/22 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| produced [1] $162 / 7$ <br> professional [1] $55 / 13$ <br> professionals [1] $37 / 6$ <br> proficient 1$] 156 / 16$ <br> profile [35] $136 / 3136 / 6136 / 18$ <br> $136622137 / 4137 / 18137 / 24138 / 1$ <br> 138/6 | 65/5 73/19 82/12 90/17 109/12 <br> $115 / 6131 / 9178 / 24$ <br> quite $[6] 9 / 1245 / 1745 / 1899 / 6$ <br> $125 / 9159 / 21$ | 5] $68 / 15$ 96/1 96/3 96/12 97/8 ish [3] 133/18 133/25 134/1 ect [6] 26/9 65/7 86/8 109/1 |
|  |  |  |
|  | rack [3] 148/23 148/24 149/2 radial [1] 124/24 | reduction [3] 4/20 181/6 183/10 refer [7] 67/8 97/3 115/20 120/1 120/25 135/24 140/10 |
| 9/8 139/12 139/21 |  |  |
| 9/24 140/2 140/7 140/22 147/20 | gy [1] 128 | red [18] 50/20 50/21 51/3 |
|  | radius [5] 124/4 124/14 124/2 | 71/13 92/3 93/8110/18 |
| /19 174/4 174/8 | 25 126/24 | /13113/22 115/17125/6 |
|  | d [1] 36/12 | 6/21 134/18 136/2 |
| profiling [1] 137/16 | raise[5] 5/5 26/14 66/5 109/2 | 36/13 172/24 |
| gram [3] 110/10 110/12 164/9 |  | 34 |
| Promega [1] 162/7 | raised [1] 102/4 |  |
| nounce [1] 125/10 | ramp [2] | refers [1] |
|  |  |  |
| perty [66] 7 | range [1] 130 | reflect [2] 31/1731/19 |
| 7/25 8/24 9/5 9/9 9/10 9/11 9 1 | rare [3] 140/1 140 | reflections [1] 46/24 |
| 11/1414/21 15/8 18/11 | rather [3] 65/1 | esh [6] 120/2 120/14 |
| 814 18/19 24/21 24/22 25/12 | $\operatorname{Rav}[36]$ 10/14 11/23 12/3 12/5 | 152/3 168/11 168/1 |
| /2 28/11 29/25 30/7 30/7 30/12 | 20/20 21/6 21/15 32/6 32/20 | regard [4] 125/25 139/17 166/1 |
| /25 32/7 32/20 34/7 34/9 34/1 | 32/21 34/6 34/10 34/15 35/8 | 168/7 |
| 34/22 34/23 35/20 37/7 37/12 | 35/18 37/13 60/9 60/12 61/5 | 1 |
| 44/20 46/11 46/19 46/25 47/6 | 61/14 62/10 62/11 62/23 63/2 | 42/25 51/5 69/24 112/13 132/25 |
| /24 49/6 52/13 53/7 53/19 54/ | 63/7 63/11 63/20 64/2 64/6 64/19 | 2/25 137/15 137/16 140/14 |
| 54/25 55/2 55/3 55/4 60/1 60/7 |  |  |
| 19 |  | registered [2] 32/15 42/13 |
| (137/2 |  | tion [2] 32/15 36/2 |
|  | rayed [1] 127/ |  |
| PROSECUTOR [2] 1/14 3/9 <br> protective [3] 73/11 178/14 178/17 | rays [3] 127/22 | 退 |
|  |  | related [3] 4/6 58/18 58/18 |
|  |  | /25 144/ |
| e [2] | 14/7 40/12 40/15 40/17 40/20 41/2 56/25 135/25 137/12 | relationship [1] 46/18 relative [1] 127/4 |
| published [1] 125/13 | $164 / 2$ | [4] |
| $1222 / 2$ |  | 12 |
| /13 |  | ve[2] $24 / 2$ |
| pulling [3] purely [1] $63 / 122 / 9$ | realizing [1] 89/ |  |
| purely [1] 122/9 <br> purpose [2] 120/6 | really [3] 24/13 24/14 rear [2] 151/3 166/7 | [3] $5 / 570 / 151$ |
| purposes [10] 17/5 22/16 33/6 | son [2] 113/2 15 | 49/25 50/19 50/25 |
| /23 70/17 82/16 140/14 152/1 | nable [2] 114/9 | 10112/2/112/5 |
| 179/10 180/3 | 9/17129/42/420 | $12 / 410$ |
| suant [2] | 103/19 118/17129/14142/3 | $18114 / 10$ |
| pushed [3] 23/3 23/5 64/25 | 1/1 | 114/21 115/23 117/3 121/4 121/4 |
| [35] 36/16 39/6 43/8 46/4 | /10 159/13 177/24 178/1 | 121/8 121/9 122/16 123/2 123/18 |
| /19 52/8 80/22 80/25 81/9 | receipt [2] 118/10 121/3 | 1-21/8 |
| 8/18 90/5 106/13107/81/9/5 | receive [6] 37/4 116/24 117/9 | 13/13 138/25 139/5 139/24 140/8 |
| 9/19 148/10 148/17 148/24 | 134/15 136/24 174/6 | /4 |
| 8/25 149/9 149/11149/12 | received [8] 4/19 30/5 87/23 116/5 |  |
| 149/20 153/25 154/11 154/13 | 116/11 136/10 174/5 180/6 | 64/1 75/25 76/3 80/7 84/20 91/22 |
| 159/14 159/18 159/21 159/23 | receiving [2] 116/12 134/20 | 18165/14 167/9 |
| 160/17 167/23 169/23 171/3 172/1 |  |  |
| putting [2] 74/24 85/13 | Recess [1] 86/17 | [1] 36/9 |
| Q | ```recognize [3] 27/22 45/25 67/11 recognizing [1] 52/2 recollection [6] 113/9 120/3 120/25 152/3 168/12 168/18 recommend [1] 24/15 record [17] 3/6 5/12 26/19 31/13 31/17 31/19 32/12 32/25 39/19 66/10 69/23 69/24 70/16 87/3 110/1 131/24 180/22 recording [1] 43/19 records [1] 69/21 recover [2] 133/5 133/25 recovered [6] 90/4 111/25 133/18 134/14 142/12 160/14 recovering [1] 98/21``` |  |
| QQ-tip [2] 154/23 171/4Q-tips [2] 154/18 $154 / 20$qualifications [1] $111 / 5$qualify [1] $127 / 15$quantitate $[2] 160 / 24161 / 3$quarry [3] $9 / 1411 / 19192$Quarter [2] $153 / 22153 / 23$question [19] $122 / 2513 / 524 / 443 / 8$$44 / 1444 / 1682 / 1096 / 2497 / 2$$97 / 7113 / 22114 / 2120 / 18121 / 16$$121 / 18123 / 10125 / 17129 / 20$$144 / 12$questioning [1] $40 / 13$ |  | removed [3] 63/3 102/23 105/15 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | ed [1] 159 |
|  |  | repeat [1] 163/4 |
|  |  | repeated [1] 144/1 |
|  |  | repeats [2] 161/19 161/20 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | reporter [7] 1/25 128/4 144/1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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slide [1] 136/20
slides [1] 51/19
slippers [8] 84/3 84/5 84/11 84/13
84/14 84/21 84/23 84/24
slips [1] 10/9
slow [2] 119/8 121/21
small [12] 10/15 10/16 29/19
34/23 76/19 112/18113/8 124/11
124/17 128/1 147/22 160/16
smaller [5] 112/19 157/25 174/22
175/5 175/6
smear [8] 51/18 136/20 138/7
138/10 138/21 138/23 139/8 139/25
so[153] 6/1 6/18 6/18 6/23 8/1
9/11 9/20 11/18 11/22 12/10 12/16 12/17 13/19 13/23 14/4 14/7 $15 / 815 / 918 / 218 / 2119 / 18$
22/7 22/10 23/3 23/11 24/15 28/1 33/21 33/23 34/1 37/25 40/23
48/1 55/6 58/12 60/25 63/7 64/11 64/25 72/11 75/11 75/13 79/16
79/20 83/19 83/25 86/2 89/4 89/8 90/10 90/11 91/18 93/2 94/22
96/7 96/24 97/1 5 97/16 98/1 98/6 98/9 98/12 98/17 98/22 99/8 100/15 101/20 102/24 103/2 103/2 104/23 105/3 105/6 106/2 106/13 106/23 107/7 107/20 107/23 113/21 113/25 114/5 114/24
118/21 118/25 121/14 126/7
126/18 $129 / 11130 / 19131 / 4135 / 4$
138/13 141/1 142/16 143/2 143/9
143/13 143/16 144/11 145/15
146/3 146/12 147/13 147/15
148/17 148/19 149/6 149/20
151/24 152/13 153/14 153/14
154/6 154/9 155/23 156/3 156/21
158/5 158/8 158/18 159/21 159/25
160/8 161/3 161/15 162/12 162/20
163/15 164/7 164/7 164/13 165/5
166/21 167/6 167/7 169/19 169/25
171/8 171/24 174/16 174/21
174/25 175/2 175/18 175/20
175/20 176/14 176/18 177/10
177/16 182/9 183/11
Society [1] 110/10
socket [2] 124/1 124/9
soda [1] 138/13
soil [1] 90/12
some [55] $5 / 17$ 6/5 6/18 8/14 8/16 10/9 10/18 $21 / 8$ 38/17 43/11
some... [45] 45/17 46/11 46/16 46/1750/24 51/17 53/22 53/22 61/9 64/16 68/9 73/10 78/3 84/2 84/10 85/16 90/11 90/12 96/10 99/3 102/1 103/24 104/8 104/11 105/17 106/21 $111 / 12$ 112/18 113/24 118/5 122/17 131/1 145/4 145/10 145/15 156/8 156/14 156/17 161/1 161/2 163/11 164/15 182/5 182/7 182/22
somebody [6] 25/13 42/20 49/17 55/22 102/1 102/22
somehow [1] 113/13
someone [15] 18/24 23/13 39/5 39/15 56/3 56/19 56/20 84/21 103/2 128/10 143/13 157/14 158/14 162/20 167/6
something [19] 38/23 42/10 67/10 75/24 84/17 95/25 102/8 105/4 105/21 120/2 121/22 125/11 129/22 133/21 149/12 154/24 165/3 169/11 182/15
sometime [5] 16/1 27/1 43/5 87/14 94/6
sometimes [5] 54/21 136/12
158/16 158/16 164/16
somewhat [2] 39/2 83/18
somewhere [1] 63/15
soon [1] 172/10
sorry [18] 13/5 20/10 24/4 33/12
65/15 70/4 91/5 100/23 110/7
118/17 122/20 126/14 137/11
141/17 144/13 169/4 177/25 183/1
sort [23] 23/22 96/19 96/19 96/25
102/6 102/14 103/3 106/18 118/5
118/19 118/22 119/12 121/20
125/16 143/24 146/9 147/1 150/16
151/3 151/4 151/4 163/15 170/11
sorted [2] 105/15 112/6
sorting [8] 74/20 118/14 119/4
121/15 121/25 122/4 122/6 122/8
sorts [1] 11/10
source [1] 158/5
south [14] 29/7 29/20 34/21 34/21 37/19 38/18 38/21 49/9 88/12
96/17 97/12 97/15 99/2 102/21
southeast [3] 34/23 38/18 63/11
southeastern [1] 140/11
southern [2] 11/13 103/6
southwest [1] 9/21
southwestern [1] 140/13
space [5] 170/4 170/10 170/14 170/22 171/1
spade [1] 103/20
spatter [2] 146/8 156/16
speak [11] 3/19 3/24 5/23 13/18
13/21 44/11 57/22 111/1 121/2 139/10 145/7
special [17] 1/14 2/7 2/14 3/9 26/24 86/23 87/4 87/9 90/25 94/1 94/2 94/21 107/24 111/22 116/9 116/16 129/16
specialist [1] 169/14
specific [8] 9/22 71/23 75/25
76/17 139/22 147/5 161/7 167/21
specifically [19] 8/2 9/1 30/24
42/25 44/19 44/20 46/13 46/25
52/5 67/7 68/5 69/23 72/7 75/16
99/10 $111 / 18$ 123/21 136/16
136/20
spell [5] 5/12 66/10 87/3 110/1
$131 / 24$
spend [1] 108/5
spent [2] 71/13 121/6
spoke [1] 58/9
spotlights [1] 36/15
spread [2] 100/8 102/3
squad [3] 58/21 58/23 81/9
square [3] 54/25 55/2 149/6
squiggly [1] 134/8
ss [1] 184/1
stage [1] 119/25
staged [3] 62/6 63/15 64/4
Stahlke [3] 142/8 146/7 156/15
stain [47] 133/19 133/20 133/25
134/14 138/11 146/13 146/17
147/1 147/3 147/13 148/7148/18
149/21 149/24 149/24 150/18
150/23 151/2 151/9151/12151/25
152/16 152/20 153/16 153/19
154/6 154/7 155/3 155/5 155/6
155/8 155/25 156/1 156/3 156/5
156/6 157/1 157/24 157/24 158/1
158/2 158/3 160/17 161/14 165/16
165/17 165/19
staining [1] 169/22
stains [43] $133 / 10 \quad 138 / 2 \quad 143 / 1$
143/2 143/5 143/6 144/1 144/24
144/25 145/1 $145 / 2$ 145/5 145/21
146/4 146/11 148/24 150/21
152/14 154/16 155/13 155/20
155/21 155/24 156/4 156/9 156/14
156/18 156/19 157/2 157/6 157/8
157/11 157/19 157/20 157/20
157/21 157/25 158/18 160/11
160/14 160/16 167/16 173/20
stand [13] 5/4 26/13 66/4 76/10
86/21 86/22 109/20 131/15 149/17
149/21 153/7 154/23 154/24
standard [5] 124/18 126/2 126/4
126/5 173/4
standards [1] 47/7
standing [7] 5/5 18/18 20/9 20/11
23/19 23/20 61/19
start [6] 25/23 26/5 74/16 145/8
145/25 171/2
started [4] 9/19 9/20 53/18 81/16
starting [1] 79/25
starts [2] 175/16 175/17
state [51] 1/1 1/3 1/14 3/2 3/5 3/7
$4 / 54 / 154 / 235 / 35 / 1126 / 1831 / 5$
35/4 35/11 35/24 42/12 42/21
46/4 51/2 52/10 55/10 55/11
58/22 61/7 66/1 66/3 66/9 69/21
70/1 1 73/16 86/20 87/2 88/21
88/25 91/21 109/19 109/25 111/23
113/15 131/14 131/23 132/5
135/20 167/17 167/17 180/6 180/7
181/19 184/1 184/5
State's [1] 110/11
stated [1] 176/23
statement [2] 103/4 140/16
statements [1] 39/7
station [1] 46/6
statistic [1] 140/5
statistical [1] 139/16
statistically [2] 140/1 140/3
statistics [2] 139/11 162/9
status [1] 182/6
stay [1] 20/2
stayed [1] 28/21
steel [4] 99/8 103/14 103/15
103/16
stenographic [1] 184/9
step [2] 14/10 16/17
steps [1] 118/19
sterile [5] 135/13 145/2 145/3
147/17147/17
Steve [5] 28/20 29/11 34/17 79/6 79/12
Steve's [1] 28/22
STEVEN [37] $1 / 6$ 1/19 3/3 3/10
$31 / 231 / 832 / 732 / 2037 / 1738 / 14$
38/20 39/2 39/4 40/1 40/2 40/15
40/21 41/7 41/20 46/11 49/8 67/8
67/11 67/18 67/21 68/5 68/21
69/1 69/2 69/22 71/23 75/19
76/17 79/19 135/7 137/25 173/12
Steven's [2] 29/3 29/4
Stier [1] 128/16
still [4] 49/15 64/6 122/6 170/17
stipulation [3] 70/14 111/4 179/16
stop [4] 29/2 29/23 80/21 80/21
store [1] 81/15
stored [1] 154/20
strainers [1] 106/16
Straus [1] 94/2
Strauss [1] 94/21
stretched [1] 181/14
stricken [1] 39/18
strike [2] 39/17 79/3
strip [2] 150/25 151/24
striped [1] 31/15
STRs [1] 161/21
structures [2] 119/12 119/14
study [3] 125/1 125/6 125/7
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { stuff [8] } & 90 / 4 & 104 / 7 & 105 / 17 & 106 / 5\end{array}$
106/7 107/2 107/7 150/16
STURDIVANT [8] 2/14 86/21 86/23
87/5 87/8 89/25 90/17 90/25
STURM [22] $2 / 35 / 35 / 75 / 135 / 17$
5/24 6/5 7/4 8/12 8/19 9/16 10/4
10/17 12/1 $13 / 914 / 20$ 15/9 15/25
16/16 16/25 17/14 31/22
subjective [2] 126/7 126/8
submitted [2] 173/9 173/9
subsequent [1] 50/1
substance [1] 129/15
substantial [2] 42/6 96/22
successive [1] 121/5
such [5] 51/12 51/18 51/22 52/1
175/17
suit [2] 58/2 58/4
summoned [1] 89/4
sun [1] 101/13
Sunday [2] 68/7 141/20
sunny [1] 101/9
supervise [1] 62/20
supervised [1] 64/19
supervisors [1] 145/12
supplies [1] 143/21
supposed [1] 144/20
sure [18] 10/3 11/6 33/24 54/3
55/1755/18 56/10 61/1 61/22
63/24 77/9 79/8 97/16 102/16 125/9 156/19 168/10 178/1
surface [7] 105/2 147/19 149/14
154/11 154/14 155/21 156/6
surfaces [1] 135/16
surprising [1] 83/17
surrounding [1] 128/3
suspect [1] 174/12
sustain [5] 22/21 82/14 82/17
83/25 125/23
sustained [13] 39/16 47/19 95/2
97/24 100/6 106/25 109/11 116/3
127/6 130/6 160/7 168/5 176/8

SUV [5] 10/15 10/16 133/6 134/2 136/4
swab [27] 42/10 135/13 135/17
136/17 145/2 145/3 147/17 147/19
147/21 147/24 147/24 147/24
148/1 148/7 148/7 148/9 149/20
154/7 155/9 155/24 156/7 158/22
159/3 169/22 170/3 170/6 171/24
swabbed [9] 135/14 135/15 150/22
158/22 169/15 169/20 169/25 170/1 172/6
swabbing [1] 172/10
swabs [10] 137/24 143/22 148/23
149/8 153/7 155/17 173/2 173/8
173/14 173/22
sweats [1] 16/11
sweep [1] 9/20
SWH582 [1] 32/16
sworn [6] 5/8 26/16 66/7 86/25 109/23 131/21
system [2] 94/19 161/21
T
table [8] 6/18 149/12 149/18 150/9
150/9 153/6 153/9 169/11
tackle [1] 81/7
tags [1] 161/23
take [45] $4 / 20 \quad 15 / 315 / 615 / 8$
16/20 24/13 29/1 29/8 35/25 39/5 43/13 45/5 49/24 62/1764/11 67/13 70/8 75/11 75/12 86/12 93/13 94/4 116/12 117/13118/19 127/18 135/19 $144 / 18148 / 1$ 148/2 148/6 148/21 149/20 150/7 154/6 155/25 158/1 158/6 158/9 158/16 159/14 160/16 160/24 177/18 182/9
taken [18] 17/20 33/11 35/21 43/7 43/18 50/3 51/1951/23 59/21
86/17 107/2 107/7 137/25 138/3 160/1 168/16 169/13 184/9
taking [7] 36/11 40/18 74/23 81/16 142/17 155/17 169/4
talk [6] 8/12 33/24 39/7 68/11
74/3 162/12
talked [5] 7/18 8/13 23/16 71/17 80/2
talking [19] 8/1 20/5 20/12 21/3
24/1 42/23 44/2 54/20 72/1 75/16 93/1 8 104/2 104/3 106/23 108/14 124/22 135/4 162/13 163/18
tandem [1] 161/20
tape [2] 118/9 169/3
taped [1] 118/5
$\operatorname{tarp}[8]$ 105/18 105/19 105/20
106/6 106/7 107/2 107/7 108/10
task [3] 68/8 71/21 71/22
team [7] 68/8 71/4 71/22 73/5 83/6 84/22 89/1
teams [3] 54/24 88/4 93/11
tech [3] 66/19 66/21 67/3
technician [1] 128/12
teeth [4] 89/12 89/16 90/7 105/16
telephone [1] 111/21
tell [42] 5/24 6/3 6/14 6/25 7/19 8/20 10/23 11/17 14/17 15/12 15/18 24/3 24/8 27/19 32/11 35/17 38/24 40/10 40/18 43/4 44/24 46/3 51/14 59/12 66/21 67/16 68/23 69/20 72/25 76/6 88/24 101/21 104/6 104/7 104/23

128/24 132/8 $133 / 15$ 134/5 135/12 135/25 159/16
telling [1] 140/21
tells [4] 130/20 163/8 164/8 164/20
temperature [1] 101/11
temporary [2] 170/11 170/13
Ten [1] 71/16
Teresa [38] 5/25 6/1 6/22 8/6 8/16
10/3 10/7 10/21 24/24 27/4 31/23
$32 / 1632 / 2134 / 1536 / 2438 / 4$
$38 / 5$ 39/8 43/1 43/11 45/5 45/16
47/8 47/12 51/9 51/16 58/19
136/14 136/21 138/7 138/21
138/24 139/8 140/16 140/19
140/25 141/4 179/18
Teresa's [6] 6/4 6/16 8/24 9/2
37/12 138/14
term [2] 101/22 101/24
terms [1] 49/20
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 184/4 184/19
test [13] 134/21 139/21 143/23
147/4 147/4 147/7 147/10 147/25
150/4 150/5 155/11 155/13 166/11
tested [3] 135/1 169/16 169/24
testified [11] 5/9 26/17 56/15 62/9
66/8 74/8 83/22 86/25 109/24
129/10 131/22
testifies [1] 3/23
testify [9] 47/22 47/25 48/11 48/21 49/22 75/9 77/20 120/14 135/23
testifying [2] 3/20 49/16
testimony [14] 39/14 47/17 48/1
48/10 48/17 49/20 50/1 50/5 65/9
78/1 78/4 86/2 120/9 120/11
testing [2] 122/13 167/4
tests [3] 127/8 127/10 158/19
than [26] 18/15 45/11 45/19 56/6
59/24 60/12 61/23 68/1 68/4 72/3
73/9 73/12 77/9 82/11 $112 / 19$
113/5 113/9 114/1 118/15 118/15
125/20 127/25 128/3 129/3 157/22
167/23
thank [30] 17/1 17/11 22/23 52/20
52/22 73/20 84/1 86/20 90/22
92/12 95/3 97/25 109/4 109/13
109/15 109/18 $115 / 7115 / 9$ 125/24
127/7 131/8 131/9131/11131/13
134/13 141/8 141/10 178/25
183/17183/18

## that [950]

that's [104] 3/16 4/10 4/13 4/15 6/23 6/23 11/15 14/19 16/15 17/19 18/17 18/23 18/25 19/21 20/17 21/16 21/23 23/14 23/18 24/7 25/1 27/20 30/1 32/12 34/3 35/18 35/21 37/1 45/24 46/4 47/24 52/19 54/8 60/13 62/15 64/14 67/13 71/14 72/15 73/19 78/14 82/8 84/17 86/3 86/3 90/15 90/17 96/1 98/8 99/20 100/11 101/18 102/25 103/9 106/1 109/12 113/11 115/6 117/16119/21 120/9 121/17 121/18 121/22 123/12 124/24 127/13 128/8 130/11 131/8 141/6 141/7 144/19 145/2 145/25 148/13 150/6 151/3 151/6 151/9 151/9 153/6 154/11 154/23 156/19 157/18 158/5 161/15 161/25
162/21 163/21 164/13 165/2
169/12 169/23 170/6 171/5 173/18 174/9 178/24 179/15 181/9 181/16 183/7
their [17] $3 / 5 \quad 14 / 1329 / 1429 / 15$ 29/15 34/20 34/22 39/6 40/12 65/11 65/20 80/21 89/8 98/5 113/14 122/9 164/11
them [52] 20/11 22/13 39/5 40/13 47/7 52/7 52/8 52/9 55/16 56/14 62/22 64/16 71/16 75/11 89/21
105/11 112/3 112/6 112/6 $118 / 13$ 118/13 118/22 119/3 119/4 123/6 127/24 135/25 148/25 149/12 150/23 156/10 158/24 159/5 159/11 159/14 159/14 159/17 159/18159/19159/19159/20 159/21 159/24 159/25 160/4 161/8 161/10 162/24 165/12 172/24 173/24 174/20
then [118] 4/7 6/10 7/7 9/4 10/17
12/13 14/5 15/23 18/23 23/13
23/15 25/20 28/24 29/7 29/12 29/14 29/16 29/19 38/17 38/19 43/22 48/20 50/13 52/3 52/16 $55 / 12$ 59/23 62/24 63/1 63/14 $71 / 24$ 72/23 74/24 75/12 77/18 77/20 78/9 81/8 84/10 84/12 90/5 91/22 94/7 97/7 98/1 98/12 99/4 103/6 105/6 105/10 106/8 107/7 107/10 107/15 107/23 108/4 108/11 108/21 112/9 116/24 117/13 $117 / 13119 / 5119 / 7119 / 22$ 137/7 137/15 140/16 141/21 143/4 143/7 144/22 146/3 147/16 147/22 147/25 148/1 148/6 148/6 148/9 148/10 148/25 149/9 149/11 149/21 150/6 150/7 151/24 155/1 155/14 158/14 159/18 159/24 160/8 161/4 161/9 161/18 161/24 162/3 162/16 163/9 163/19 165/5 165/8 165/8 166/18 169/3 169/14 170/3 171/24 171/25 172/1 172/4 172/15 172/23 177/8 177/10 183/2 there [240]
there's [31] 4/4 15/20 29/19 29/20 47/16 47/17 58/23 80/19 83/24 84/7 84/7 92/13 93/2 96/19 96/19
98/1 120/8 120/13 122/12 126/16 134/9 150/24 151/4 163/16 163/20 165/9 170/6 175/23 176/1 180/25 182/6
thereafter [7] 27/3 32/19 44/22 50/9 51/6 87/18 184/11
Theresa [1] 5/21
these [48] 3/3 20/9 22/20 23/11 25/17 29/12 40/15 47/16 52/15 54/9 54/18 55/7 55/19 69/3 69/21 70/6 96/10 100/8 101/14 107/8 113/12 113/23 116/5 116/12 122/8 123/2 127/9 127/9 128/6 129/24 130/7 $155 / 12155 / 13155 / 20156 / 9$ 160/10 160/14 161/4 161/7 163/23 164/20 166/15 167/16 176/13 176/15 180/13 181/1 181/3
they[103] $3 / 183 / 193 / 216 / 18$ $6 / 198 / 158 / 178 / 1811 / 911 / 10$ 13/19 14/13 15/7 18/3 20/13 23/19 23/20 25/7 25/14 25/16 28/14 29/8 29/14 30/25 34/20 37/1 5 37/23 46/5 46/25 47/1 48/6 48/17 48/18 51/22 51/24 52/8 52/9 54/19 54/21 56/9 60/18 60/21 60/22 62/7 63/3 63/20 64/1 5 69/20 85/2 85/4 85/9 85/12 89/9 93/6 93/14 98/4 98/21 98/22 99/15 100/1 100/12 100/14 103/6

## T

they... [40] 104/19 104/21 105/10 105/21 105/23 112/22 113/16
113/25 117/6 123/17 128/1 130/3
130/8 130/8 142/13 142/17 142/20 142/21 148/24 153/2 154/19
156/25 157/10 157/13 157/16
158/4 158/16 159/13 159/16
159/21 159/23 159/23 160/1
162/21 169/15 169/19 173/9
173/23 173/25 177/3
thickness [1] 126/11
thin [1] 20/24
thing [18] 20/23 50/21 81/10 95/13 99/19 106/3 108/7 $118 / 20$ 143/24 144/11 144/13 144/18 150/4 154/5 155/2 164/24 166/21 169/12
things [19] 49/16 54/12 64/7 68/18 69/1 81/6 81/16 85/13 85/14 93/14 93/16 103/10 103/14 103/25 142/22 143/10 164/16 173/1 182/23
think[37] 11/12 12/24 13/21
15/22 23/4 29/4 29/10 29/17 44/9 44/12 46/5 46/7 49/18 50/2 50/10 56/16 70/7 75/14 77/24 77/25
78/4 82/4 82/5 82/12 83/12 83/15
92/18 93/4 94/5 95/21 96/13
97/20 103/23 120/9 158/21 167/8
177/25
thinking [2] 60/3 91/24
thinning [1] 182/8
this [213]
THOMAS[6] 2/7 2/14 26/15 26/20
86/23 87/4
thorough [5] 71/24 72/1 72/13 79/20 79/23
thoroughly [3] 55/5 78/3 108/12
those [116] 11/6 11/16 14/5 14/7
19/14 25/24 28/11 30/24 36/9 37/9 37/1 1 38/12 39/20 40/17 $42 / 442 / 1543 / 1346 / 2348 / 12$
50/25 51/24 52/1 52/4 53/25 54/2 56/4 56/19 56/21 58/5 61/8 64/15 68/4 69/8 69/14 69/19 71/15 75/22 83/3 84/24 87/24 89/20 93/13 93/16 94/22 99/25 112/2 112/5 112/21 113/3114/10114/16 114/19 114/20 115/24 115/24 119/14 127/22 127/23 128/11 128/23 129/1 129/2 129/4 129/7 135/24 137/5 138/9 138/24 140/3 140/4 140/15 140/15 142/9 142/11 145/1 145/1 145/4 149/11 154/18 154/20 155/24 156/18 157/9 158/21 158/24 161/10 161/23 162/9 162/17 162/22 162/23 163/8 163/14 164/12 165/5 167/21 167/21 167/23 172/25 173/11 173/13 173/15 173/16 173/22 173/22 174/6 175/2 175/2 175/3 175/10 175/19 175/22 176/3 176/3 176/18 179/12
though [6] 50/11 85/19 95/17
102/3 105/2 123/17
thought [9] $11 / 5$ 11/17 11/24 15/6 15/19 25/13 40/5 156/22 167/6 three [27] 9/11 50/5 51/22 53/12 53/14 57/11 72/8 74/11 74/15 75/8 75/21 76/18 88/25 94/22 95/6 105/14 106/15 106/15 140/12

149/9 158/17 173/8 173/9 173/13 173/16 181/20 181/21
through [28] 25/15 35/11 54/12 74/17 74/18 74/20 81/22 89/11 90/6 98/17 98/25 99/18 105/15 105/18 106/18 106/21 108/9 111/21 118/19 121/2 130/11 130/20 151/14 159/8 160/19 163/6 164/19 166/23
throughout [10] 30/20 46/19 75/5 91/21 94/24 100/12 102/10 105/24 107/4 178/21
throw [3] 148/1 148/3 148/3
thumbnail [1] 153/20
Thursday [9] 90/6 90/8 91/5 107/19 107/24 108/4 108/7 117/1 182/25
tied [2] 50/1 107/10
time [65] 3/1 6/5 7/111 $12 / 7$ 14/9
16/24 18/5 31/1 33/4 41/14 44/18 45/17 48/3 49/22 54/11 54/21 57/13 57/19 57/24 58/14 58/17 61/3 61/9 67/17 72/6 74/7 74/12 75/15 76/3 76/17 78/22 82/22
83/1 85/2 85/4 86/13 87/17 91/7
91/23 93/7 107/4 108/23 110/13
113/1 117/8 125/4 128/9 128/14
129/4 159/12 170/7 170/11 170/14
170/23 170/23 171/20 175/16
179/6 180/1 181/7 181/8 181/19
182/9 182/18 183/13
timeline [3] 120/1 120/25 121/3
times [15] 33/20 38/3 53/12 75/21
75/25 99/21 99/23 99/25 101/4
131/6 157/17 171/10 171/14
171/15 171/19
tiny [1] 128/2
tip [3] 147/21 154/23 171/4
tips [2] $154 / 18154 / 20$
tire [1] 103/21
tires [2] 99/8 103/10
tissue [6] 50/24 53/22 89/16
136/25 137/5 138/25
tissues [1] 21/19
titles [1] 110/13
today[7] 4/5 86/2 120/14 179/23
181/7 181/14 183/20
today's [1] 17/6
together [6] 27/10 40/24 46/4
113/4 119/11146/11
told [22] 13/24 14/7 14/18 15/7
16/16 24/5 24/9 33/20 43/5 49/23
50/1 8 59/9 59/20 60/9 64/15 72/7
129/22 129/24 130/1 145/9 145/11
156/21
Tom [8] 6/1 6/3 6/4 24/20 26/12
86/21 91/15 92/17
too [1] 172/4
took[36] 6/19 16/11 17/15 17/16
25/14 30/11 39/9 39/11 41/21
43/16 43/25 62/21 76/10 76/12
79/10 80/22 80/23 90/1 94/5 99/5
105/13 112/2 113/25 122/4 127/19
135/13 147/17 151/2 154/5 156/13
157/24 158/1 158/2 158/12 159/24
169/23
tool [1] 147/6
tools [2] 149/15 153/3
tooth [1] 119/13
top [7] 11/9 20/22 74/16 79/25
95/18 124/3 126/17
total [3] 46/6 150/21 $158 / 18$
touch [6] 14/2 14/2 14/3 14/11
$19 / 2573 / 11$
touching [1] 151/21
tough [1] $75 / 10$
tow [10] 62/21 63/2 63/5 63/12
63/17 63/24 63/25 64/3 64/23 65/2
towards [6] 12/18 34/22 92/5 92/7
92/9 92/1 8
towed [2] 63/7 64/12
town [2] 31/4 116/20
Toyota [10] 10/14 11/23 12/6
32/14 72/24 73/1 73/2 134/18 136/7 138/2
Trade [1] 131/3
Trader [5] 39/4 43/7 43/9 43/11 43/25
trail [2] 34/24 34/25
trailer [22] $35 / 1253 / 1053 / 12$
60/1 8 60/19 62/23 63/4 63/9
63/13 63/21 63/22 63/23 64/20
64/23 64/25 65/2 65/4 68/14 90/5
92/1 93/5 106/9
train [1] 131/2
training [4] 110/23 114/8 130/20 132/13
transcribed [1] 184/11
transcript [3] $1 / 23$ 184/8 184/12
transcription [1] 184/11
transferred [1] 112/3
transit [1] 116/21
transmit [1] 117/12
transport [3] 47/13 47/23 49/25
Transportation [3] 32/5 32/13 32/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { transported [9] } 35 / 1035 / 11 & 42 / 11\end{array}$
51/1 51/7 52/4 52/10 62/24 64/16
trauma [1] 115/23
treatise [1] 127/2
treatises [2] 115/25 121/25
trial [2] 180/19 182/14
trials [4] $182 / 5 \quad 182 / 7 \quad 182 / 18$
182/19
tried [8] 16/8 21/14 21/24 22/1
137/9 172/3 172/10 172/12
trooper [1] 56/22
troopers [2] 56/2 56/4
truck [13] 32/14 62/21 63/2 63/5
63/12 63/12 63/17 63/22 63/24
63/25 64/3 64/23 65/2
trucks [1] 11/1
true [3] 28/3 126/18 184/12
truth [1] $8 / 10$
try [11] $9 / 1 \quad 13 / 1413 / 1414 / 2$
16/10 18/23 34/2 85/24 160/25
170/18 181/11
trying [6] 49/1 8 49/19 82/8 83/19
113/3 129/21
tube [1] 160/17
Tuesday [6] 72/17 91/6 108/10 108/16 182/15 182/19
turn [3] 144/16 169/16 172/17
turned [7] 23/5 52/16 134/25
135/1 172/15 172/16 172/21
Twice [1] 99/22
two [48] $4 / 5$ 17/17 17/20 18/2 $18 / 7$ 19/20 20/3 20/11 21/25 22/5 22/6 23/10 23/11 23/16 23/21 37/22 $38 / 342 / 1453 / 353 / 1455 / 1162 / 5$ 67/20 67/23 68/9 69/6 75/21 83/3 93/12 95/23 99/23 99/25 108/25 123/23 140/10 158/17 162/8 162/11 163/19 163/20 165/2 166/9 167/23 176/4 176/24 177/10

| T |  | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82/19 | 14 | wait [2] 14/12 16/18 |
| 23 | 149/15 153/3 155/24 161/22 162/6 | walk [4] 8/23 19/5 24/15 98/25 |
|  |  |  |
| [11] 37/24 81/10 99/16 106/3 | 1] 21/1 21/17 40 | 19/10 19/6 43/22 61/9 61/10 78/8 |
|  |  | 78/9 99/4 |
|  |  |  |
| thes[3] 105/14 119/18 131/4 | 12 | 78 |
| U |  | want [7] 22 |
|  | 142/23 145/9 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 / 5 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | V |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | value [4] 30/22 38/7 | 53/9 61/2 61/4 79/13 93/22 |
|  | van [4] 43/21 105/21 107/11 142/1 | warrants [4] 30/14 52/14 53 |
|  |  |  |
|  | various [6] 30/21 46/19 88/3 133/9 | was [478] |
|  | 133/10 136/25 | 't [13] |
|  |  |  |
|  | 10/10 10/19 10/21 10/24 11/19 | 107/23 108/18 148/22 |
|  | 11/20 11/22 12/2 | watching [5] 18/18 |
|  |  |  |
|  | $13 / 1513 / 22$ 13/25 14/10 14/1 | water [2] 145/3 |
|  |  | Wausau [3] 91/ |
|  | 16/1 16/6 16/13 16/17 20/20 2 |  |
|  | 21/22 22/6 23/20 23/22 24/24 | 92/17 92/18 94/12 96/10 104/7 |
|  | 25/15 31/23 32/1734/24 35/3 | 113/24 118/4 134/20 155/23 |
|  |  | 5 |
|  | 36/23 36/25 37/20 37/20 37/23 | 8/13 8/23 8/23 9/6 9/10 9/11 9/19 |
|  | 38/1 39/3 41/2 43/14 43/16 43/1 | 9/20 10/2 10/9 10/9 10/25 13/17 |
|  | 43/23 43/24 56/16 58/22 58/22 |  |
|  | 61/20 61/22 61/24 62/1 62/4 | 14/25 15/6 15/6 $15 / 8 / 5 / 13 / 16 / 81$ |
|  | 62/17 62/23 63/19 64/5 64/1 | //25 18/1 18/1 18/2 |
|  | 64/17 72/24 93/1 93/2 133/8 |  |
|  | 133/17 134/17 135/18 137/20 | 21/11 21/12 21/12 22/19 |
|  | 17811 | 24/9 24/21 25/6 26/6 26/6 |
|  |  |  |
|  | ve | / |
|  | 11/4 13/2 23/21 30/7 37/11 5 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | verify [4] 13/14 | (6)1772/9 72/21 |
|  | 144/19 | 13 |
|  |  |  |
|  | very [17] 25/9 30/3 31/21 36/12 | 76/20 76/23 77/6 80/20 85/7 |
|  | 50/3 121/20 121/21 124/9 124/9 | 85/15 85/22 89/9 89/12 89/24 |
|  |  | 90/3 90/4 90/4 90/6 92/3 93/15 |
|  | 174/15 181/12 183/9 | 104/22 105/1 105/12 105/12 |
|  | Veteran's [1] 12 | 105/13 105/16 105/18 106/14 |
|  |  | 1081 |
|  | vicinity [1] 61/19 | 108/19 123/14 123/25 126/5 |
|  | victim [4] 47/8 48/15 50/9 136/2 | 131/15 135/4 136/8 139/18 139/21 |
|  | victim's [1] 145/17 |  |
|  | victims [1] | 8/14 |
|  | view [3] 34/9 | 6/3 |
|  | viewing [1] |  |
|  | $\operatorname{VIN}$ [10] 13/2 1 | 167/7 |
|  | 14/1 14/8 14/15 15/2 15/23 | 167/9 167/10 168/14 171/10 |
|  |  |  |
|  | virtually [1] | 174/20 176/12 176/14 177/21 |
|  | visibility [1] 36/14 | 177/21 179/5 181/6 181/14 181/21 |
|  | visible [1] 16 |  |
|  | vision [1] 76 | 183/12 $83 / 19$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Voyager [4] 38/24 39/1 39/9 43/8 |  |
|  |  |  |

wearing [6] 31/14 75/3 118/20
178/10 178/12 178/16
wears [1] 178/13
weather [4] 36/10 36/17 101/7
104/12
Wednesday [7] 40/6 107/20 107/22
108/2 $111 / 20$ 182/16 182/20
week [15] 30/18 30/20 38/3 45/4 45/4 45/11 45/19 45/19 54/10 182/5 182/10 182/15 182/18 183/2 183/3
weight [2] 125/20 130/3
well [75] 6/19 6/21 6/23 8/13 8/13 9/10 9/19 10/25 11/5 11/8 11/18 11/24 13/11 14/6 16/11 17/25 24/13 25/13 25/16 28/4 39/23 44/11 48/4 49/21 50/3 65/17 $75 / 16$ 78/2 82/1 82/4 82/10 83/13 83/21 91/20 93/10 95/6 96/10 96/22 97/3 98/4 99/7 99/13 100/21 103/14 105/1 105/16 108/18 $115 / 15119 / 8$ 120/5 120/19 122/6 123/8 124/2 126/23 129/21 131/1 146/9 157/13 159/13 160/6 164/9 164/17 165/6 168/3 170/25 174/7 175/12 181/6 182/4 182/17 183/2 183/3 183/9 183/13
went [27] 6/16 6/24 6/25 9/12 11/22 12/16 13/20 14/25 18/23 19/1 19/22 23/6 23/12 23/16 43/20 61/5 61/14 62/2 62/7 62/21 78/11 81/22 91/25 93/15 108/11 108/13 150/18
were [247]
weren't [7] 12/15 12/16 36/9 76/22 79/16 98/22 159/23
west [2] 7/8 28/18
wet [2] 147/17 148/22
what [211]
what's [29] 15/10 27/15 32/9 35/16 40/8 47/11 48/14 50/20 51/2 51/8 67/18 69/17 70/24 79/23 82/13
101/1 101/1 111/12 119/25 129/19 133/13 134/4 135/21 136/2 144/11 144/13 155/1 162/16 170/21
whatever [5] 29/22 49/22 50/12
163/21 168/3
wheel [1] 57/8
when [107] 6/25 10/17 11/16 12/1 12/2 14/20 $17 / 23$ 18/3 18/11 $19 / 1$ 19/22 20/20 21/3 22/24 23/8 23/15 24/1 24/23 25/2 30/2 30/12 30/14 30/24 33/24 36/23 40/17 $42 / 13$ 43/17 47/4 48/2 52/13 53/6 56/1 56/11 57/4 59/11 60/1 60/4 61/14 62/2 64/2 64/6 64/8 72/1 73/4 74/8 75/22 75/25 77/6 77/10 77/17 78/24 79/9 79/10 79/12 80/15 81/16 91/3 92/8 94/7 94/10 94/13 95/9 98/6 98/23 99/11
99/25 100/3 101/17 101/22 101/22 101/23 104/16 104/19 107/1 108/24 112/16 113/17 116/19 116/20 116/24 139/18 141/13 141/19 141/24 142/12 142/23 151/21 154/9 156/3 157/17 160/3 161/11 162/12 168/8 168/18 168/20 169/23 172/23 172/25 174/6 174/17 174/18 174/18 174/21 177/21 178/1
where [84] 6/21 6/24 17/23 18/23

19/1 20/9 20/11 20/25 23/19 19 25/23 26/4 28/19 29/14 29/24 30/25 34/9 34/14 34/15 34/20 37/15 38/24 45/8 45/9 48/6 48/17 48/18 49/4 49/4 54/18 55/15 56/7 57/4 60/17 61/5 63/4 63/5 63/8 63/8 63/19 73/1 83/10 85/24 86/2 92/4 92/8 96/15 96/20 96/21 96/24 97/7 97/10 98/15 102/8 102/13 102/17 102/25 103/7
105/19 112/5 117/16 122/7 127/18 129/1 133/19 134/13 135/18 141/24 145/1 $145 / 12$ 145/16 148/3 149/11 150/8 150/11 151/4 151/7 152/19 152/25 153/6 160/19
165/23 169/4 174/21
whereby [1] 167/23
whether [12] 16/6 16/7 32/19 41/23 43/12 44/5 93/14 117/24 134/16 140/14 164/13 166/12 which [53] 6/22 13/2 15/4 29/11
38/1 1 43/25 44/25 45/8 45/9 50/2
67/10 71/10 74/7 87/18 87/21
87/22 87/22 88/8 88/10 97/4
110/17 112/7 114/20 117/21
119/12 120/6 120/11 121/11
122/18 124/18 126/13 132/7
134/18 136/25 146/12 150/18
153/21 154/2 155/16 156/12
156/20 156/21 157/19 157/20
157/21 160/24 161/8 161/19 162/7
163/16 165/14 174/22 179/16
while [2] 39/23 73/13
white [3] 31/14 117/9 171/3
whiter [2] 128/2 128/5
who [45] 3/22 3/23 3/24 6/3 6/25
$7 / 17$ 18/18 19/14 20/4 22/11 29/6 36/9 41/6 54/6 55/7 56/5 56/21 56/23 61/17 62/1 62/6 69/13 74/3 74/6 91/12 93/25 94/10 95/4 96/2 97/16 $111 / 24116 / 8116 / 14128 / 10$ 128/15 142/6 142/7 142/11 146/7 164/7 164/7 167/2 167/9 167/13 173/11
whoever [1] 171/1
whole [4] 16/5 24/9 107/4 108/5
whom [1] 117/23
why [11] $8 / 2024 / 1828 / 858 / 8$ 68/4 68/23 72/2 76/12 152/16 158/5 166/25
wide [4] 95/22 100/7 102/23
106/23
Wiegert [9] 27/11 40/20 40/24 41/9 56/25 57/7 58/9 59/1 59/16 will [45] 3/5 3/24 4/7 4/20 5/3 5/23 6/3 8/16 9/8 13/4 16/24 22/17 22/21 30/12 31/19 33/17 44/13 46/16 47/25 48/11 48/11 49/21 49/23 50/5 65/11 70/14 90/10 93/12 102/2 102/5 109/19 111/9 125/22 131/14 132/22
140/18 144/16 163/23 173/6
174/19 176/24 179/5 179/24 181/2
181/3
willing [1] 83/9
Willis [1] $1 / 11$
Winnebago [1] 100/2
wiped [1] 147/18
wire [2] 103/15 103/17
WISCONSIN [33] $1 / 1 \quad 1 / 31 / 143 / 3$
$7 / 8$ 26/24 31/5 32/5 32/13 32/15 35/4 35/11 35/24 42/11 42/21
46/4 51/2 51/7 52/10 69/21 73/16

87/9 88/21 88/25 89/20 95/7
110/9 111/23 132/5 135/20 166/19 184/1 184/6
wish [3] 57/22 180/12 181/8
within [11] 72/25 72/25 83/17 89/7 98/19 99/7 99/8 101/19 103/25 117/20 118/8
without [2] 15/17 49/25
witness [33] 3/22 3/23 5/2 5/7
17/8 26/11 26/15 31/20 47/21
47/25 48/5 48/13 49/16 49/21
50/7 50/11 57/2 66/1 66/2 66/7
83/21 86/11 86/19 86/24 109/17
109/22 $111 / 1$ 129/10 131/12
131/20 132/22 141/8 179/3
witnessed [1] 41/8
witnesses [9] $2 / 23 / 133 / 163 / 24$
27/17 47/21 48/11 48/21 50/6
woman [1] 5/20
won't [1] 34/1
woods [1] $8 / 15$
word [2] 46/7 101/16
words [3] 114/20 128/1 141/1
work [24] 29/15 29/15 29/15 74/16
91/10 91/11 91/13 91/16 116/16 126/6 131/1 132/5 149/13 150/9 153/1 153/2 154/11 154/13 170/4 170/10 170/13 170/22 171/1 171/12
worked [1] 105/3
working[2] 171/2 171/11
workplace [2] 117/2 117/3
Works [1] 183/8
World [1] 131/3
would [109] 3/12 6/1 6/21 8/5 14/17 15/9 22/19 24/15 24/20 24/24 25/3 26/6 28/13 28/17 28/22 29/7 29/10 29/14 29/21 29/24 31/16 33/3 34/24 35/9 $41 / 13$ 46/14 47/25 49/7 49/13 $54 / 1156 / 158 / 1058 / 1266 / 3$ 67/15 68/7 68/17 72/12 73/2 74/9 74/20 75/8 75/10 75/11 76/6 82/2 $183 / 984 / 1786 / 486 / 15$ 86/21 89/10 92/10 92/23 100/9 102/7 104/4 104/25 110/19 111/24 114/3 114/4 114/22 120/2 120/24 121/2 122/3 122/13 124/5 124/5 124/6 124/19 124/21 124/24 125/6 126/3 126/18 127/3 130/3 131/6 131/18 132/8 135/15 135/24 140/19 143/7 145/20 146/19 146/23 146/25 152/1 152/3 154/6 167/10 167/23 168/1 169/16 175/4 175/6 175/9 177/10 177/12 177/14 181/23 182/2 182/22 183/6 183/9 183/15
wouldn't [4] 28/4 58/11 83/13 175/10
write [3] 75/24 144/21 145/6 writing [1] 75/10
writings [1] 110/24
X
x-ray [1] 128/3
x-rayed [1] 127/17

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X$ | -rays [3] | $127 / 22$ | $127 / 23$ | Y

yard [22] 8/6 9/1 13/25 15/1 15/14 17/23 19/12 19/16 22/9 22/11
24/6 24/10 25/15 27/21 29/9
31/25 38/2 79/15 92/6 94/13
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No.'s 05 CF 375 and 05 CF 381. These matters are scheduled for an arraignment and a bail modification motion hearing today. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz appearing as Special Prosecutor.

ATTORNEY LOY: Steven Avery appears personally and by his Attorneys Erik Loy and Craig Johnson, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. It's my understanding that the State has filed an Information in Case 05 CF 381, but has not filed an Information in 05 CF 375; is that correct, Mr. Kratz?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That is correct, Judge. We have joined the three counts, the two counts from 381, and the single count in 375 , in a single Information in 05 CF 381.

THE COURT: All right. The law is, then, in the case of 05 CF 375, that if the Information is not filed within 30 days of the bindover, the matter is dismissed without prejudice. Does either party
have any objection to the Court dismissing that matter?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge, that should occur.

ATTORNEY LOY: No objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Then the Court will dismiss Case 05 CF 375. Mr. Loy, has your client received the Information in Case 05 CF 381?

ATTORNEY LOY: We have the Information, your Honor, we'll waive its reading and enter pleas of not guilty to all charges, reserving our right to object to the jurisdiction of the court and, particularly, to object to adding the felon with a gun charge to the Information in 381.

THE COURT: Very well. And before I accept your plea, it's my understanding that the defendant also wishes to file a motion to change of venue; is that correct?

ATTORNEY LOY: We do, your Honor. We are filing that today, pursuant to the statute; however, we are also reserving our right to withdraw that motion and to -- for Mr. Avery to insist on his constitutional right to be tried by a jury from this county.

THE COURT: Very well, the Court will note
that the motion has been timely filed. I will receive it at this time. I will accept the defendant's not guilty plea to the three charges in the Information.

And at this time, before proceeding to the bail modification motion, for purposes of scheduling, I would like to set a deadline by which pretrial motions, if any, if there be any others, should be filed. I will hear the parties with respect to that issue at this time. Mr. Loy, how much time do you anticipate the defense would need for such filing?

ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, there are a number of potential motions in this case. I believe there were 15 or 20 search warrants; we have to look at those. Mr. Kratz has been very good about providing us with discovery and we -- I think we have the majority of those materials. I believe there's still some more to come, though, and it's a rather high stack of paper work.

What we would ask the Court to do is to give us approximately two months to file motions and perhaps set a status date near the end of that time period. At that time, then, the Court will know what we filed and scheduling could be
done.
THE COURT: All right. I started out earlier with my calendar, but my judicial assistant got it before I came out. So I will get my calendar at this time.

I'm looking at Friday, March 17th, then, as a date for filing of motions. And I could set a status conference -- let's see -- how about 10:00 on Friday, March 10th; will that work for the parties?

ATTORNEY LOY: I was wondering if you would want to set the status conference for after the deadline, that's the question I have.

THE COURT: All right. I misunderstood, I thought you were leaving open the possibility you might ask for a longer period. All right. Let's set a status conference then for -- how about March 23rd, at 10:00?

ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, I'm scheduled to be gone that day. Most of the rest of that week I'm free, if the Court has any other time.

THE COURT: All right. Friday, the 24 th, at 10:00?

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: That's fine, unless we could do it a little bit earlier.

THE COURT: Earlier in the morning?
ATTORNEY JOHNSON: By like 9:00.
THE COURT: I have got a sentencing set for 9 and a plea date for 9:30.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: That's fine, then, 10 will work.

THE COURT: Okay. Otherwise, I could do it at 8:30, but $I$ know some folks are traveling to get here. I would be happy to do it at 8:30, if it works with the parties.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: 8:30 is fine with me.
THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: It doesn't matter to me, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. 8:30 it is, on Friday, the 24 th.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: So I understand, Judge, we will be scheduling the motion hearings at that time and will we at least begin to discuss potential trial dates?

THE COURT: Yes. And I will ask the parties at this time to -- after you have had a chance to review the discovery, have some idea of how long each of you believe the trial will take, to have that information ready for the Court at the
time of the status conference so we can look at scheduling this matter for trial at that time, along with setting a motion date for any motions that are filed.
(Partial Transcript begins here.)
At this time, then, the Court will move on to the defendant's motion for modification of bail. Mr. Loy, or Mr. Johnson, which one of you will be heard on that motion?

ATTORNEY LOY: Your Honor, we filed -actually, we recently filed an amended motion for bail reduction. And the amendment, we're asking the Court to consider allowing sureties. And the sureties would be Mr. Avery's family.

They are here in the courtroom today. I have talked with them. They are willing to guarantee a recognizance bond. They have property in the county. They own Avery Salvage Yard, business and the land. And I believe that that's worth somewhere in the neighborhood of 200 to $\$ 250,000$, if not more.

Mr. Avery is a lifetime resident of Manitowoc County, accept during a period of time when he was incarcerated. He has very substantial ties to this community. His parents,
his siblings, his children, all reside in this county. And most tellingly, your Honor, early on in this investigation, when evidence was found at the Avery Salvage Yard, and Mr. Avery was questioned, he was cooperative with law enforcement.

He, despite knowing that he was at least a person of interest, he didn't go anywhere. He was up, I believe, in Crivitz at a family cabin and he came back. There's no reason to believe that -- that Mr. Avery would flee, um, given his behavior before he was incarcerated.

Um, he talked with law enforcement officers. He allowed law enforcement officers access to his residence. I don't see, um, any reason to think that Mr . Avery is likely to flee.

He has not been able to post the bail that's currently set. I believe the bail right at this time is $\$ 500,000$. Your Honor, we would ask the Court to reduce the bail to a smaller amount, perhaps a hundred thousand dollars, or we would ask the Court -- and this, actually, would be our preference -- to set the bail as a recognizance bail, but a recognizance bail that has to be guaranteed by, I think the wording of
the statute is solvent sureties. And the solvent sureties would be his parents and other family members.

I don't think that Mr. Avery would be likely to violate his bail if he knew that, you know, his family's livelihood was on the line if he did so. So, your Honor, that would be our request.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. When the original bond issue was brought up, the State cited Section 969.01 (4), the factors that this Court should consider when determining bond. The State had argued at that time for a $\$ 1$ million cash bond. The Court did set $\$ 500,000$ noting the gravity of the offense, the penalties involved, the degree of violence that was used in this case, the degree of violence he used in evidence hiding and destruction, defendant's prior felony criminal record, the character and strength of the evidence, his history on release, and his ties to the community.

Of those, the defendant only has a positive consideration in ties to the community. The rest of those factors weigh heavily in favor
of the Court not modifying the $\$ 500,000$ cash bond. The only change since the last time the Court visited the issue of bond is the Court has now found probable cause that the defendant has committed a felony offenses.

Defendant's attempts to raise bond money have been well publicized. He's attempting to raise bond money from sources unrelated to him. And if raised -- if successful in raising money from strangers or other sources that's, of course, a factor for this Court to consider. There's no incentive at all to comply with bond, no financial incentive or other risk to violate that particular kind of bond.

The suggestion, also, that a salvage yard could be put up as a surety, does not provide the incentive for Mr . Avery to not flee, to be made available for future court
appearances. This Court also must consider community safety; the degree of violence, again, involved; the fact that this was a stranger, or at the very best, casual acquaintance homicide allegation. Risk of future violence to additional victims or other members of the community is substantial. For all of those
factors, I'm asking the Court to deny the defense motion at this time. Thank you.

ATTORNEY LOY: Just a brief response, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Roy.
ATTORNEY LOY: I think it's worth noting that Mr. Avery is, at this point, presumed innocent. The State hasn't proved his guilt to a jury. So I think it may be premature to assume any guilt on his part of the allegations against him.

Regarding the efforts to raise bail money, I think I can tell the Court that those efforts have not met with a great deal of success. I don't think that there's much chance that -- that strangers are going to be donating anything even remotely close to the amount of money needed for bail here.

What we're really asking the Court to do is to allow Mr. Avery's family to be sureties. And Mr. Avery, I'm sure, would not want to imperil his family's business by violating his bail. And, um, that's -- that's all I have to say at this point, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The factors that the Court is to consider in setting bail are set
forth in Section 969.01 (4). Each of the parties have touched on those factors in their arguments.

And there are a number of the factors that warrant consideration of Mr. Avery's request; specifically, his inability to make bail as it is set now, and his lifelong residence in Manitowoc County, and the fact that there's no record that he's ever tried to flee before, and was apparently cooperative with officers earlier in the investigation of this matter.

There are also factors that support the State's argument; specifically, the Court is to consider the number and gravity of the offenses. In this case, the defendant is charged with three felonies including, most significantly, first degree intentional homicide, which carries with it a penalty of life in prison if convicted.

The Court also considers the -- whether the alleged acts were violent in nature. And the Court certainly, at this time, is making no determination or venturing no opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, but the allegations are of a crime which is certainly violent in nature.

And with respect to the strength of the
evidence, the Court has already found probable cause to believe that the defendant committed a felony and bound the defendant over for trial. Based primarily on those considerations, the Court feels that its initial determination as to the appropriate bail amounts, or amount, is still appropriate. So I'm not going to modify bail; I'm going to leave it at $\$ 500,000$.

I will, however, indicate that in lieu of cash, the Court would consider a mortgage of property of the defendant's family, if that's what's offered, providing there was a sufficient showing of the equity in the property and its fair market value to meet a part or all of the $\$ 500,000$.

Mr. Kratz, I will direct you to prepare the order with respect to the Court's decision in this case. Is there anything further today before we adjourn? Mr. Kratz?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I don't believe so, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Loy.
ATTORNEY LOY: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. If not, we're adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY JOHNSON: Thank you.

ATTORNEY LOY: Thank you, your Honor.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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| S | victims [1] 10/24 <br> violate [2] 9/5 10/13 |
| :---: | :---: |
| sufficient [1] $13 / 12$ <br> suggestion [1] $10 / 15$ <br> support [1] $12 / 11$ <br> sure [1] 11/20 <br> sureties [5] $7 / 137 / 149 / 19 / 2$ <br> $11 / 19$ <br> surety [1] $10 / 16$ | violating [1] 11/21 |
|  | violence [4] 9/17 9/18 10/20 10/23 |
|  | violent [2] 12/19 12/24 |
|  | visited [1] 10/3 |
|  | W |
|  |  |
| T | want [2] 5/12 11/20 |
| take [1] 6/24 | warrants [1] 4/15 |
| taken [1] 15/9 | was [14] 5/11 7/24 8/3 8/4 8/5 8/7 |
| talked [2] 7/16 8/13 | 8/9 8/12 9/6 9/11 9/17 10/21 12/9 |
| tell [1] 11/12 | 13/12 |
| tellingly [1] 8/2 | we [19] |
| Tesheneck[3] 1/24 15/4 15/19 | we'll [1] 3/10 |
| Thank[5] 9/10 11/2 13/21 14/1 | we're [3] 7/12 11/18 13/24 |
| 14/2 | week [1] 5/20 |
| that [54] | weigh [1] 9/25 |
| that's [9] 5/13 5/24 6/5 7/20 8/18 | well [4] $2 / 133 / 15$ 3/25 10/7 |
| 10/10 11/22 11/22 13/11 | were[3] $4 / 15$ 5/15 $12 / 19$ |
| their [2] $2 / 5$ 12/2 | what [3] 4/21 4/25 11/18 |
| them [1] 7/16 | what's [1] 13/12 |
| then [7] 2/22 3/6 4/24 5/6 5/17 6/5 | when [4] 7/24 8/3 9/10 9/13 |
| 7/6 | whether [1] 12/18 |
| there [7] 4/8 4/13 4/15 12/3 12/11 | which [4] 4/8 7/8 12/16 12/23 |
| 13/12 13/18 | will [18] |
| there's [5] 4/19 8/10 10/12 11/14 | willing [1] 7/16 |
| 12/7 | Willis [1] 1/11 |
| thereafter [1] 15/11 | WISCONSIN [7] 1/1 1/3 1/14 2/2 |
| These [1] 2/3 | 2/7 15/1 15/6 |
| They [4] 7/15 7/16 7/17 7/18 | wishes [1] 3/17 |
| think [8] 4/17 8/16 8/25 9/4 11/6 | withdraw [1] 3/21 |
| 11/9 11/12 11/14 | within [1] 2/24 |
| this [28] | without [1] 2/25 |
| those [8] 4/16 4/18 9/23 9/25 | wondering [1] 5/11 |
| 10/25 11/12 12/2 13/4 | wording [1] 8/25 |
| though [1] 4/19 | work [3] 4/20 5/9 6/6 |
| thought [1] 5/15 | works [1] 6/10 |
| thousand [1] 8/21 | worth [2] 7/20 11/6 |
| three [3] 2/19 4/3 12/14 | would [15] 4/7 4/12 4/21 5/11 6/9 |
| ties [3] 7/25 9/21 9/24 time [20] | $7 / 148 / 118 / 198 / 228 / 229 / 29 / 4$ |
| time [20] | 9/7 11/20 13/10 |
| timely [1] 4/1 <br> today [5] 2/5 3/20 7/15 13/18 | Y |
| $13 / 25$ | yard [3] 7/19 8/4 10/16 |
| touched [1] 12/2 | Yes [2] 6/21 11/5 |
| transcribed [1] 15/11 | you [14] 4/11 5/11 5/15 5/15 6/22 |
| transcript [4] 1/23 7/5 15/8 15/12 | 6/24 7/8 9/5 9/10 11/2 13/16 |
| transcription [1] 15/11 | 13/21 14/1 14/2 |
| traveling [1] 6/8 | your [16] |
| trial [4] 6/20 6/24 7/2 13/3 |  |
| tried [2] 3/23 12/8 |  |
| true [1] 15/12 |  |
| two [2] 2/19 4/22 |  |
| U |  |
| um [4] 8/11 8/13 8/15 $11 / 22$ <br> understand [1] 6/17 <br> understanding [2] $2 / 143 / 16$ <br> unless [1] 5/24 <br> unrelated [1] 10/8 <br> up [3] $8 / 99 / 1110 / 16$ <br> us [2] $4 / 174 / 22$ <br> used [2] $9 / 179 / 18$ |  |
| V |  |
| ```value [1] 13/14 venturing [1] 12/21 venue [1] 3/17 very[6] 2/13 3/15 3/25 4/16 7/24 10/22``` |  |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery. It's Case No. 05 CF 381. We're in court this morning to deal with a number of motions that have been filed -- or this afternoon. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz. I appear as Special Prosecutor and lead counsel on the case.

Seated with me is Norm Gahn, G-a-h-n, Assistant District Attorney from Milwaukee County. Mr. Gahn has been appointed by this Court, also, as Special Prosecutor. The record should further reflect that Jeff Froehlich, Assistant District Attorney from Calumet County, also is present in the courtroom.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Good afternoon, your Honor, this is Attorney Jerome Buting of Buting and Williams appearing with Mr. Avery. I'm co-counsel.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And good afternoon, as well. Steve Avery is here to the far right at the table, Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish, Stanton on his behalf as well.

THE COURT: Very well. I will indicate for the record that I met with counsel briefly in chambers before beginning today. The first order of business that we are going to take up is the defendant's motion for an order limiting public disclosure. And I will also indicate for the record that I had a brief telephone conference with counsel about this motion a week or so ago.

I indicated at that time that $I$ believed, that under Supreme Court Rule 20:3.6, that it's the Court's understanding that further trial publicity, in the form of press releases or other conversations with the press by counsel, would be extremely limited by that rule. And I encouraged the attorneys to meet with each other and try and work out an agreement for any press contacts that they felt were necessary before the Court would take up the issue as a contested matter.

But I can indicate for the benefit of everyone, that under that rule, the circumstances in which counsel for either party are permitted to communicate with the press during the course of legal proceedings are quite limited. And the Court expects, and I have received assurances
from attorneys from both sides, that they are fully aware of the rule and intend to comply with it.

There are some exceptions in the rule that apply, including discussion regarding information contained in public records. And it's my understanding, from speaking with the attorneys, that that's the matter they are intending to meet with each other about to reach an agreement. Counsel, I will give each of you a chance to comment on the record to confirm that fact.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I do confirm that we have discussed this matter. Mr. Strang and I are, I think, very close in our positions regarding Rule 3.6 and public dissemination of information. I'm quite confident that should there be any need for any additional information, or should there need to be an agreement reached on filing of public information, that that can be accomplished between Mr. Strang and myself.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I think now that the Court has reiterated the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 20:3.6 and both counsel have acknowledged
those, that the Court, at the moment, need take no further action on that motion. I would add that, it's my recollection and understanding, in the course of an off the record conversation, that the State agreed, that for purposes of this motion and the agreement such as it is that we have reached, that the Calumet County Sheriff, other agents of the state or of the Sheriff's Department, would be embraced within the scope of our agreement.

Like Mr. Kratz, I also think that, with a little bit of further talking, we ought not have difficulty reaching some understanding on future filings, or invited response for that matter, which is something that I understand Mr. Kratz also thinks worthy of discussion, and I'm happy to do that.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, is that correct?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That is, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. The Court, for the time being at least, will hold that motion in abeyance, with the consent of the parties and, hopefully, you will be able to come to an agreement that will resolve that matter.

The next motion has to do with the State's motion to amend the Complaint and the

Information in this case and the filing in opposition of that motion from the defense. I have received both the State's request to amend and a memorandum in opposition from the defense. Before I get to you, Mr. Strang, I guess technically it's Mr. Kratz's motion to amend.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I wondered if I might have a moment's indulgence, since we're being broadcast as I understand and may be streamed, or whatever the word is, on the web. I wonder if the Court, perhaps, ought not address media rules here, particularly intrusion into counsel table on either side, filming during recesses, that sort of thing, before we move forward.

THE COURT: Right. It was brought to my attention, and normally we have been dealing with the media matters through the media coordinator and representatives of the television broadcast stations, and there are a few things that counsel asked me to bring up, which I will later.

The one that should be brought up immediately is that the papers that are on the desk of either counsel often contain privileged information. So I'm going to ask the cameras who are present in the courtroom, or the --
specifically, the video camera, not to zoom in on papers on table which may be confidential. Is that what you are looking for today, Mr. Strang?

ATTORNEY STRANG: That and the recess issue, but the Court can deal with that at a time of its choice.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, I will hear from you then, first, on your request to amend the Complaint.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. As the Court knows, the State has filed a motion to both amend the Criminal Complaint and the Criminal Information, which is the formal charging document in felony prosecutions. The State has cited two separate cases authorizing, alternatively, the filing of the Amended Complaint, and also the requirement, or lack of requirement, for an additional preliminary hearing upon the filing of new charges.

The State reiterates that these new offenses charging Mr. Avery with three separate counts -- including first-degree sexual assault, false imprisonment, and kidnapping -- are not wholly unrelated to the original series of charges.

I understand Mr. Strang has filed with
the Court a motion challenging, not the timing of the filings, but the sufficiency of the information contained in the Complaint. And with approval of the Court, I will address those issues at this time, unless the Court wants me to wait until Mr. Strang makes his argument. I have received his written argument and I'm prepared to address the sufficiency of the Complaint argument at this time, if the court would prefer I do that.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, as we discussed in chambers, it's my understanding the defense is not challenging the State's right to file, at this time, an Amended Complaint and Information, but rather the sufficiency of the Amended Complaint and Information that's been proposed; is that correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's the bottom line on that point. The State, of course, needs leave of the Court to file an Amended Complaint, or for that matter, an Amended Information. Leave would be withheld if the timing, or some other aspect of the filing, were prejudicial to the defense, in and of itself, and it's not. That's not my concern. It's really the reliability, or the sufficiency of the

Complaint, with which I am concerned.
THE COURT: All right. I'm not sure which one of you wishes to be heard first. Mr. Kratz is proposing the amendment, and I'm sure he feels that the Amended Complaint with the language included is sufficient, but, Mr. Kratz, I will -- since it's your motion, I'm going to let you start and briefly summarize for the Court why you feel it is, and then I will hear from Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. Your Honor, as this Court knows, any Complaint needs to present probable cause, or proof to the level of probable cause which requires a Court to look at the facts contained within the four corners of the Complaint, together with any reasonable inferences that may be drawn therefrom.

Mr. Strang has complained about the sufficiency of the information in the Complaint. I might -- I might add that Mr. Strang, in his written motion and -- excuse me -- in his written pleadings, as well as other information, contains a great deal of criticism of the State for providing too much information within the four corners of this Amended Complaint.

But this Court understands that
reliability of information within the four corners of the Complaint is something that the Court must find. And so the State, in providing the detail that it did, argues that that was necessary, absolutely required, for this Court to make that finding of reliability.

Mr. Strang also argues that there are no claims of physical evidence or other corroboration in the Amended Complaint. The State obviously disagrees. There is a lengthy list of physical evidence that has been recovered, that was seized, and in fact analyzed in this case, which is all recited in the Amended Complaint.

I'm not going to go item by item, but the statements of now co-actor, Brendan Dassey, in his recounting the behavior of himself and of Mr. Avery that ultimately led to the death of Teresa Halbach, as well as the other criminal behavior, is quite detailed indeed. The State does argue, Judge, that within those details, and as I have mentioned, those details are corroborated by physical evidence which is recited for the Court within the four corners of the Complaint.

Finally, Mr. Strang argues that if Dassey's statement alone was the basis for this particular prosecution, that at trial, it could not stand besides the Lilly as well as the Crawford case. And the State concedes that, at trial, if the State intended to convict Mr. Avery on Mr. Dassey's statements alone, without any physical evidence, that they may be unable to do so. Or if we attempted to introduce a co-actor's statement, without an opportunity to cross-examine, that would also be problematical, require an advanced ruling.

But those are trial issues, Judge. Those are issues that apply to Sixth Amendment Confrontation and are unique to trial. I think Mr. Strang, at page 9 of his brief, concedes that, that although those rules appear to the trial and not to an analysis under the Criminal Complaint, Mr. Strang invites this Court to, nonetheless, throw out the Complaint, just in case, I guess, just in case the State intended to only provide that statement. Well, the State does not intend to provide only that evidence at trial. But, again, these are trial arguments, it has nothing to do with the Criminal Complaint.

When this Court reviews the four corners of the Criminal Complaint, it will find probable cause that the defendant committed each of the violations as set forth. And as I have cited in the Burke case, $B-u-r-k-e, ~ a n ~ a d d i t i o n a l ~$ preliminary hearing is not required, based upon the connection, or nexus, of the six criminal behaviors that our alleged, that is, that they are not wholly unrelated. We will ask the Court grant leave to file the Amended Complaint and Information. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The proposed Amended Complaint founder is not, of course, on a posit of detail here but rather on the unreliability of the detail that is included. Let me -- let me walk sequentially here through the problem that confronts the Court.

There was an original Complaint, of course, that started this criminal case back in November. It charged three crimes: First-degree intentional homicide, mutilation of a corpse, felon in possession of a firearm. The time to challenge that Complaint, or probable cause showing, is gone.

We don't -- It's established for purposes of this motion and today's proceedings. I raise it because, now, in it's proposed Amended Complaint, that the State has incorporated by reference -- as lawyers are fond of saying -- the factual allegations in the original Complaint. So, let's start there.

No one, no one presumably at the table to my left, would contend that the original Complaint, without the March 2 or whenever it was additions, made out probable cause to believe that Steve Avery committed first-degree sexual assault.

No one, I assume, in the courtroom, would contend that the original Complaint's factual basis made out probable cause, or anything close to it, on kidnapping or false imprisonment, which are the other two new counts in the proposed Amended Complaint.

So the incorporation of the factual basis in the first Complaint, while I acknowledge it, really adds nothing at all to our problem here today, focusing maybe most usefully on the first-degree sexual assault allegation that the State wishes to toss into the mix of this case now.

What is new in the proposed Amended Complaint, as counsel acknowledges, is a statement that Brendan Dassey gave, evidently, to law enforcement officers in response to law enforcement questioning, out of Steve Avery's presence, and that now the State would -- would like to use as a reliable basis for a finding that he probably committed first-degree sexual assault, and kidnapping, false imprisonment. Again, it's not -- it's not a lack of detail, there's a great deal of ugly detail that the police say Mr. Dassey provided here. It's the reliability as to Steve Avery that's the problem. I'm delighted to hear counsel acknowledge, in a fashion here today, that the Dassey statement would be inadmissible, not allowed, at trial, against Mr. Avery, absent a chance to cross-examine Mr . Dassey in that witness chair, or some similar chair. Not admissible evidence against him.

I also acknowledge that a Complaint can include the inadmissible. The Court can look at that within the four corners of the Complaint. But as Knudson and a variety of other Wisconsin
decisions, at least back to 1968 with State ex rel. Evanow against Seraphim, and I'm sure decisions before that, before $I$ was born, as the Court knows, hearsay or not, the factual assertions in a Complaint have to be reliable.

The State here, in the Complaint, alleges that these are presumptively reliable, presumed truthful and reliable, $I$ think is the exact wording of the Complaint. In fact, of course, the presumption is just the opposite. It's just the opposite as a matter of law. The U.S. Supreme Court has made that clear at least by Bruton back in 1968, while we're on 1968 cases, Lee, Williamson, Lilly.

This is sort of beyond repetition at this point. This is really very clearly established, that when an accomplice or someone claims he is an accomplice, is questioned by the police and says, yeah, I was involved, you know, but he was -- he was involved too, he did this and that, and points to someone else, that's unreliable stuff.

It's blame shifting. It's literally finger pointing. And it's a very effective way for an accomplice, caught cold or not, to sound
credible by acknowledging some involvement, but to lay off blame, in part, or in large part, on another person. Are the risks of that particularly high when we're talking about a 16 year old boy who may be slow and being questioned by two police officers, presumably without a parent or a lawyer around? Yes, the risks of that are particularly high, if we get into specifics on reliability.

But the Court's, including the Wisconsin Court of Appeals in Myren, which Mr. Buting and I have cited, has been very clear that this stuff is inherently suspect, inherently unreliable, presumptively unreliable, just to quote bits and pieces. So the State really can't claim the presumption that the amendment tenders.

Neither does the Complaint offer anything more than a presumption that Brendan Dassey's statements are truthful and reliable, not as to Brendan Dassey, but as to Steve Avery. And that's where the problem is. They simply are not, as a matter of law, reliable as to Steven Avery; hence, the motion asking the Court, not to strike the original three charges, of course, but to deny the State leave here to file the three
new charges.
Timing is not prejudicial, that's not the issue, as we have agreed. The reliability, or rather the unreliability of the entire factual basis here, there being as to first-degree sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment, nothing but an inadmissible, unreliable statement by Brendan Dassey to support this Complaint.

Allowing it to be filed would require the Court only later, when $I$ filed a motion challenging probable cause, to dismiss those three counts. Again, there's no reason to do that. The Court, on the grounds we have articulated, ought deny leave to file this proposed Amended Complaint, at least as to the three new charges. That ruling would necessarily moot inquiry into what is procedurally a subsequent document in Wisconsin criminal courts, which is an Amended Information.

We also don't have to get to the question of a preliminary examination, if the Court denies leave to file the Amended Complaint, because there's nothing on which to have a preliminary examination at that point.

I could pause and let the Court say
something, but I will note that I very much disagree were this Amended Complaint to be filed with leave of the Court and then to withstand a motion to dismiss on probable cause grounds; I very much disagree that a preliminary examination would be unnecessary, legally, as to those three counts.

And I can get into Burke, and more illuminating, Bailey, a decision that Burke extends, or at least endorses. It's the facts of both of those cases, suggest why, although their new counts were not wholly unrelated to the evidence adduced at the preliminary examination here, these new counts would be wholly unrelated, at least the first-degree sexual assault, and the kidnapping. May not need to get there, so I will yield the microphone.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, anything in rebuttal?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just a couple of things, briefly, Judge. Once again, if Mr. Strang and the defense is allowed to extend these trial confrontation principles to the Criminal Complaint analysis, State argues that you would never, or at least would be very difficult to ever charge
co-defendants, at least when one of the defendants makes a statement and the other does not.

Again, they simply are not Complaint principles, these are trial confrontation principles. Let me also talk, then, to the reliability question that Mr. Strang raises. Reliability of statements of a co-declarant in Lilly and in other cases cited by Mr. Strang, don't just inculpate the co-defendant, don't just point the finger at somebody else, but they are also meant to exonerate the declarant.

That's not what we have here. Mr. Dassey's statement in no respect, at least as cited in the Amended Complaint, intended to exonerate Mr. Dassey at all. Mr. Dassey inculpates himself. He says I acted together with my uncle, Steven, without threat, without reprisal, knowingly and voluntarily engaging in the same kinds of behaviors.

So when a defendant -- when a suspect makes a statement, that against their own penal interests, they deserve reliability. And that is much distinguished from the kinds of statements, again, that were offered in Lilly and others. That's all I have got, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, there have been a number of reported decisions, especially United States Supreme Court decisions, in recent years involving the admissibility of the statements of co-defendants at trial where the State seeks to introduce the statement, not through the actual person of the co-defendant, but as hearsay testimony.

And the law in that regard has changed a good deal in recent years against the State and in favor of the defense, culminating with the Crawford case, which held, as close as you can, as a black letter rule, that if a co-defendant's testimony is going to be used against the defendant, the co-defendant in virtually all cases has to testify.

But I think it's dangerous to simply equate those cases to the situation where you are dealing with a Complaint and whether or not the statements of a co-defendant can be used as a basis for a Complaint. The closest case from Wisconsin on the facts, that $I$ could find, is a 1974 case called Ruff versus State, which dealt precisely with this issue, that is, whether or not a Complaint could be based on the statement
of a co-defendant that implicated the defendant.
I will read a little bit from that decision. The Court asked: Was the Complaint legally sufficient to establish probable cause? The defendant admits the sufficiency of the Complaint to establish probable cause that the alleged crimes had been committed, but challenges the sufficiency to establish probable cause that the defendant committed the crimes.

The part of the Complaint which names the defendant is based upon statements made to police officers by the defendant's accomplices, Charles Flowers and Willy Payne. Such statements were hearsay, but a Criminal Complaint may be based on hearsay.

The Court goes on in that case to hold that, the statements against penal interest made by a co-defendant can be used as a basis for probable cause in a Complaint where the statement is not the statement of the co-defendant essentially attempting to exculpate himself, that is, there can be cases where a co-defendant is simply trying to blame someone else.

But where the statements are interrelated, such that the co-defendant is
implicating himself at the same time he's implicating someone else, I believe the law in Wisconsin, as it applies to Criminal Complaints, remains, that such statements can be used where, when considered in context, they have sufficient indicia of reliability. And based on my review of the Complaint, I do believe that that's the case here.

I recognize that some of that rationale has been criticized in the cases that have led to testimony in those cases not being admitted where it doesn't come from the co-defendant himself at trial. But that's based primarily on confrontation clause issues under the United States Constitution. And I'm not aware of any decision that has used the same rationale to say that the statements of a co-defendant cannot be used to supply a probable cause in a Complaint. So, for that reason, I believe that the statements of Mr. Dassey contained in the proposed Amended Complaint can be used as a basis for the Complaint. And I believe that with those statements, the Complaints are sufficient as they have been filed. I believe that's the only basis on which the Amended Complaint is really being
challenged. So, the Court is going to grant the State's motion to file the Amended Complaint.

I think implicit in Mr. Strang's argument is that he may have other issues related to that matter that he wishes to argue. So, Mr. Kratz, I will direct you to prepare the order allowing you to file your Amended Complaint. And, Mr. Strang, I will give you the opportunity to file additional pleadings, if you wish, regarding whether your client is entitled to a preliminary examination, based on the Amended Complaint.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I can do that within 10 days after the order is signed, if that's sufficient for the Court.

THE COURT: All right. I will allow you to do that. At the end of today's proceedings, I can see we may have to do some scheduling. But for now, I will give you 10 days to file your motion in that respect. Let me ask this, do the parties anticipate any additional issues other than the defendant's right to a preliminary examination on the Amended Complaint, relating strictly to the Complaint and the proposed Amended Information?

ATTORNEY STRANG: We can short circuit the
one I would see which is, I will move now to dismiss the three new counts for want of probable cause, relying on the arguments I have already made. And if $I$ heard correctly, the Court ruled that, with the Dassey statements in, as a factual basis, there is probable cause. I disagree and I will make the motion, for the purpose of making it clear, that I do want those three counts dismissed once the Amended Complaint is filed.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: But don't need to brief it separately.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything further on the Complaint issue before we move on?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.
THE COURT: All right. The next issue that the Court will take up is the defendant's motion to assure fair forensic testing, which involves a request by the defense to either be present when the State Crime Lab performs analysis on items that have been seized in the course of the investigation in this case, or in the alternative, to have the testing procedures videotaped. And if I understand correctly, Mr. Buting, you will be making the defense argument on this issue?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: I will hear from you at this time.

ATTORNEY BUTING: The defense motion is somewhat unusual, but $I$ think given the nature of this case and it's unique history, I think it's appropriate, especially in light of concerns that were raised earlier, before either Mr. Strang or myself became involved in the case, about possible bias from law enforcement, that I would think the State would actually welcome efforts to make the testing process more transparent.

And that would be by allowing a defense representative to be present during any portions of the testing where they are handled -- where the evidence gets handled by the analyst or technicians and/or to videotape those portions of the testing process to ensure, or at least to limit the possibility of there being any contamination that may occur of the evidence in the lab once it gets there, either accidental or otherwise.

I believe that, although there are no cases that have specifically addressed this issue, $I$ think the Court does have authority to
do so by considering Statutes 165.79 and 971.23 together. The first allows the Court to order the Crime Lab to perform tests on the defense behalf under certain circumstances.

And if the Court has the authority to do that, then this is a lesser remedy, or request, which is simply to allow the defense to participate in observing, not to interfere with the process itself, and to necessarily then be present during the generation of the results of the tests, which are disclosable anyway under 971.23. And all this would do is move up the time when those rules get disclosed, that is, at the time that the State learns them, the defense representative would also be there and also learn them.

There are, I think, very unusual circumstances in this case that warrant that. The remedy that the State suggests in their response objecting to our motion, is independent testing. Independent testing can work in some instances and to some degree, but not if material is already contaminated.

A repeated independent test of contaminated evidence does nothing towards
getting at the truth, it simply repeats or confirms the original erroneous results. The State also suggests that, in addition to that, by the way, having independent tests done subsequent to the State's test, can also build an additional delay.

I don't know how long it's going to take for the State to complete the tests that they have not yet done. That would be, presumably, on items that were seized in the March 2 nd, I think it was, search warrant. But an independent test would necessarily have to take place after that. And that could be while Mr. Avery is at least presently incarcerated.

The bail issue, we'll be dealing with later, but if he remains incarcerated then that works to his disadvantage by requiring him to sit in jail longer, just because the State resists transparency in the process, at this point, allowing a defense view of what goes on in the Crime Lab. So independent testing is not a adequate remedy to the concerns that the defense has in this particular case.

The State also, in it's written objection, complained that somehow this would
jeopardize the accreditation of the State Crime Lab if an outside observer were allowed in. And I don't see that at all. Nothing that they cite in their written brief indicates that.

Accreditation requires that a lab comply with security and control and methods, which are not always done, by the way, despite the fact that they are supposed to be accredited. But those could also be complied with very easily with the defense expert who might be present.

I have spoken with a defense expert who has done this in other labs, in various states, including recently Illinois, I believe also Maine. It's done very easily. He is clothed in surgical type scrubs, mask, same way that the State analyst should or would be.

He is also -- has no objection to the State's concern that -- that the Crime Lab has a process whereby their staff provides their own DNA genotype, so that in the event results should come up, or would come up, that would show that there's some other DNA in it, if it turns out to be the analyst's, then it could be discarded as evidence -- as indication of contamination. The defense expert would also be willing to do that.

So, I don't see anything about the way that the Crime Lab is set up in it's testing that would prohibit, or make it somehow a threat or jeopardy to their accreditation to allow a defense representative to be present simply observing what's going on. The State also cites in their written opposition a number of older cases where the Courts did rule that it was not -- or they denied defense motions to do similar types of observations.

But one reason that this motion is brought in this case is because of what we have learned, what the public has learned, about Crime Labs all over the nation in the last five, six years. I cite to some law review articles that talk about the studies that have been done.

Now 17 states, Crime Labs in 17 different states, have been found to have either had fraudulent behavior by some of the analysts, or erroneous test results, incompetence, everything, the entire spectrum of problems that result in false tests, that, in some instances in Kansas, resulted in the correct suspect being released, going out and committing another offense. And in other instances, innocent people
being wrongly identified through DNA testing and only later, fortuitously, was it determined that the mistake was made.

The FBI lab, once considered the most prestigious, elite lab in the world, went through a horrible scandal of disclosure of, not just mistakes -- and there were many, many instances of that -- but also deliberate, fraudulent conduct resulting in one of their analysts being convicted of a misdemeanor for fraudulent reporting on DNA reports. That went on for two years before the lab discovered it.

Now, I'm sure the State will say more different, this is Wisconsin, we have a very fine lab here, it's never been proven to have fraudulently or erroneously come up with test results that have affected a case. But I am also quite sure that the prosecutors in courts and public believe that in all of those other states, in each of those cases.

And yet we now know otherwise. We now know that these kinds of mistakes do take place and there is worldwide discussion on what to do about the problems with Crime Labs. DNA evidence has considered this with programs like CSI on TV
and other things like that, considered this the ultimate proof, the pristine evidence one way or the other. But that's only true so much as the Crime Labs in this country and in this state are competent, fair, and able to produce correct results.

Therefore, what we're suggesting is, given the implications of what has gone on in this case, or what was implied anyway, earlier, before we became involved, we think that the best way to resolve, to assure that that doesn't extend further into the testing process, is to simply allow transparency.

That's all we're asking, no interference, just transparency to allow a defense representative to be present during the handling of the evidence, or in the alternative, a less favored alternative. But at a minimum, something that certainly wouldn't cause any contamination, would be to videotape at any time when the analysts are handling the evidence itself.

There's periods of time when it's sort of cooking in the incubator, and it wouldn't need to be filming that portion, but when it's taken
out, when it's moved from one step in the process to the next, that could certainly be recorded and preserved and that would, I think, lessen the likelihood of there being any implications of wrong doing or mistakes down the road. I think there is authority for it. It's in the Court's discretion to grant it and that's what we ask. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, do I understand that Mr. Gahn is going to be addressing this issue? Mr. Kratz, I'm sorry.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, Mr. Gahn.
THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Thank you, your Honor. I'm going to rely upon the brief that $I$ filed in response to their request to be present for the testing or, in the alternative, to have it videotaped and just amplify a few portions of that brief.

Again, the defense has cited no authority, or any statutory authority, or case precedent, to authorize them into the Crime Laboratory, or for videotaping of the procedures that go on in the Crime Laboratory. I must emphasize to the Court that in a Crime

Laboratory, especially with DNA testing, the issues of security and contamination are just of the utmost importance.

And they are so very important in the accreditation process of a Crime Laboratory. And any time that you lessen that security, or allow the potential to introduce other contaminants into the Crime Laboratory, that's going to place that accreditation into some jeopardy.

The State has cited three cases where that issue has come before appellate courts and they have ruled against the defense. I guess, your Honor, you have to understand what happens at the Crime Laboratory. We're talking about a huge number of items here of evidence. This is not just one item that is coming into the Crime Lab. It's just a huge number of items.

And when the Crime Lab gets these items of evidence, they are going to be screened, first of all, and that can take a couple of days. And once it's been screened, and they believe there may be something of potential value to submit to some type of DNA testing, then that's when the extraction process takes place. And that can take, also, a couple of days.

The problem is, once the extraction process is finished, the items are batched. And what happens is, other analysts may batch, with this case, items that they are testing for their cases. And then there's what's called the quantitation. And this is a very important process, which is, again, days and days later. And once they realize how much DNA is present, whether there is a certain quantity, then the analysts, again, determine which are going to be set up for the amplification process. And then you still have a number of other processes that can take two to three weeks to complete.

The intrusiveness, the burdensome nature of their request, would make it almost impossible for a Crime Lab to operate when you are looking at so many items of evidence, and the process, and how the process -- how the analysis process takes place.

The Crime Lab is accredited. They follow very strict, stringent, national standards. And one of the reasons for writing such strict national standards is that the defense is given, in their discovery process, and
it's routinely done in Wisconsin, they are given the bench notes. They are given the protocol. They are allowed to see the quality assurance guidelines as followed, the gene scan data, the genotype RE-data.

It's all designed so that an outside expert can look at the protocol, can look at the process, the analysis that was done, and determine whether it was followed, so that defense is not left without anything in this case. They are open to all the paperwork and the analytic process through the DNA typing. Having someone in and trying to video tape it, again, would be so burdensome and such an order were granted, I think the Court can appreciate, if every defendant were allowed to have an expert go in to look, or a videotaping done, you could almost shut down the Crime Laboratory.

There are so many sensitive items that are out at the Crime Lab. It's evidence from cases all over the State of Wisconsin. It's a very, very, sensitive issue and security is paramount. So I would ask the Court --

One other issue I would like to address
is the unnamed independent expert. I don't know what were the circumstances of this independent expert, or what the circumstances were to go into an Illinois Crime Lab and observe. In all candor, I will admit to the Court, I have heard of cases where that is done, or there's an agreement between the defense and the prosecution to send the item for independent testing. But those generally are cases where there is one critical piece of evidence and there will be a total consumption of that evidence.

Then you get into issues of what is materially relevant, what is potentially exculpatory evidence, and you get into an Arizona vs. Youngblood analysis. That's generally where those cases come about, where it is just one piece of evidence that could be inculpatory, or it could be exculpatory. And the defendant has no other comparable means of getting that evidence analyzed.

Under those circumstances, I have heard of where the defense and the State would get together and maybe agree on an independent lab to do it, or perhaps agree upon the -- a defense expert viewing that process. But that is the
rare case. And -- From my understanding and from the knowledge that I have.

So I would ask the Court to grant our position and that the defense not be allowed in to observe the testing, or to videotape it, mostly because of just the burdensome nature it would have upon the Crime Lab and the security issues and just the integrity of the whole Crime Lab set up. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting, anything else?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Just briefly, your Honor. As to the question of burdensome, there being so many items, I seriously doubt that in this case, because from what I understand from prosecution, that most, if not all, of the items originally seized back in November in this case have been tested. So, we're really only talking about items that are seized as a result of the most recent search warrant.

And I don't think there are that many of them that were seized, and probably a very small percentage of them that, that when looked at, will have any area that would be worthwhile to test. So there may only be a handful, five, six items perhaps, that in this case will still be tested.

So I don't understand the argument that it's so burdensome, because there are so many items. There's no reason those can't all be run at the same time. That would not -- In fact, it would probably be the normal course, if there's one analyst working on this case, which I understand there would be.

Yes, through discovery, the State does provide bench notes and raw data and that sort of thing, which can be helpful to an expert, but it says nothing about the potential of contamination, cross-contamination between items of evidence. None of that can be found after the fact. That's the problem.

That's why, it's that very reason that the State, in order to become accredited, has to take all kinds of precautions to try and prevent that. But accreditation, some of these other labs, where these problems have been developed, have been accredited, and have thought that they had very good, sound protocols that were being followed and, low and behold, they discover that's not the case.

Finally, as to the question of whether
there is -- It's true that perhaps these motions are more likely granted or agreements made when there is one item of evidence that will be all used up in the course of the testing, but at this point $I$ don't know whether that's going to be the case here or not. I don't know yet.

I don't think the State knows that, that there is sufficient, or that there would be, if they find an area that would be worthy of searching for DNA, that it would be sufficient to guarantee a separate half of it, or whatever sample, for a subsequent, independent test. And I don't think they are going to know that until a number of things happen --

One, they eyeball it and look at it, whether it's cloth, or concrete, or whatever it may be. And, secondly, only after they have run it through a process to determine whether there is an amount that's quantifiable, that's enough, enough DNA present to try and test it further. So we may find ourselves in that situation where there is nothing left for the defense to test, once the State completes its.

The last point is that $I$ would ask, or I guess maybe to make clear as a matter of a Brady

Demand, orally, I can follow up with something in writing. In the event the Court does not grant this motion, $I$ do want to make it clear that we do consider raw data, notes, charts, things of that matter, and preservation of sufficient quantities of future testing to be considered Brady material that could be exculpatory, that could point to other individuals.

And that would include DNA fingerprints, all types of forensic evidence. That would also include, particularly in this case, any test results that prove positive for law enforcement DNA, which in most cases are simply discarded as erroneous mistakes, but in this case, given the history, we view as Brady material that should be preserved for subsequent review by the defense. So with that I would ask the Court to grant the motion.

THE COURT: All right. For purposes of today's hearing, I'm taking up the motion as it's been filed. I'm not going to comment on the last items that you mentioned. I'm specifically dealing with the defense request to either observe testing by the State Crime Lab or to have that testing videotaped.

The first issue I looked at was whether or not there was a due process right on the part of the defendant to observe such testing. I, actually, before $I$ got the State's response, looked at the New York case that's cited, that is New York vs. Monigas, which is a case that involved a request, I believe, precisely identical to that that was made here. And the Court in that case ruled that there was not such a due process right. I have not been able to find any case that creates a constitutional right to observe testing in cases like this. And I don't understand the defense to be arguing that there is any such authority.

I next look at the Wisconsin Statutes. We do have a statute that has been mentioned, I think by both parties, that deals with this issue; specifically, Section 165.79 (1). That reads in relevant part as follows:

Evidence, information and analyses of evidence obtained from law enforcement officers by the laboratories -- and I understand it to mean the State Crime Lab there -- is privileged and not available to persons other than law enforcement officers. Nor is the defendant
entitled to an inspection of information and evidence submitted to the laboratories by the State, or of the laboratory's findings, or to examine laboratory personnel as witnesses concerning the same, prior to trial, except to the extent that the same is used by the State at a preliminary hearing and except as provided in Section 971.23.

Upon request of a defendant in a felony action, approved by the presiding judge, the laboratories shall conduct analyses of evidence on behalf of a defendant. No prosecuting officer is entitled to an inspection of the information or evidence submitted to the laboratories by the defendant, or of the laboratory's finding, or to examine laboratory personnel as witnesses concerning the same, prior to trial, except to the extent that the same is used by the accused at a preliminary hearing and except as provided in Section 971.23.

The statute was discussed in the case of

## State of Wisconsin vs. Franszczak,

F-r-a-n-s-z-c-z-a-k, a 2002 Wisconsin Court of Appeals case. And in that case, the Court essentially says that the statute means what it
says and that is, that the State Crime Lab performs testing on behalf of the State. It's not subject to disclosure or discovery, except as provided by the statute. And, likewise, if it provides discovery on behalf of the defendant, that the State can't get at the information, except in the circumstances provided for in the statute.

I'm not going to decide today whether or not there might be some special circumstances under which the Court could grant the request made by the defense in this case. I don't see anything in the statute that expressly prohibits it, but at least the statute seems to suggest that, in the ordinary course of things, absent some extenuating circumstances at a minimum, the legislature doesn't contemplate the statute granting a request like this.

I will also note that, although there have been incidents of mistakes in other Crime Labs, and I think any time you are dealing with human beings that's always a possibility, I'm not aware that our State Crime Lab has ever been involved in this type of a thing. And as the State noted in the brief, it was actually the

State Crime Lab's tests in the defendant's prior case that resulted in him being released from prison after being wrongfully convicted. And the State fully acknowledges that fact.

So based -- For those reasons, I don't believe there's a basis here for granting the defendant's request and I'm going to deny the State's motion regarding forensic testing.

ATTORNEY GAHN: The defense motion, your Honor.

THE COURT: The defense motion for forensic testing. I'm certainly not foreclosing the parties from coming to an agreement, if they do. Anything that expedites the process and makes both parties feel assured the testing is being done properly is a benefit to all. But in the absence of that, the statute seems to contemplate, as a general rule, a different approach and, therefore, the Court is denying the defendant's motion.

The last item to deal with today is the motions that have been made by each of the parties for modification of bail in this case. The defense made its motion first, so $I$ will hear from the defense first. Will that be Mr. Strang or Mr. Buting?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Mr. Strang.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: We have no quarrel
with -- today with the reasonableness of the amount of bail set by the Court here, working off of the half million dollar number. Our motion goes to the surety or the security that the Court would accept, as a financial condition, to reasonably assure Mr. Avery's appearance in court, as he is required, and the safety of the community.

And what we're asking here is for the Court to allow the posting of property, the tendering of a mortgage, or can be done with a Quitclaim Deed that then is not filed by the County Clerk unless bond conditions are violated. But there are different ways to accomplish using real property as security to meet the financial aspect of the bail condition.

Mr. and Mrs. Avery, who are behind me, are willing to post all of the property they own in the world, the Manitowoc County property, the Marinette County property. We have had that appraised for fair market value. The combined, unincumbered value of those properties well exceeds the half million dollars in bail that the

Court has set.
These are solvent sureties, in other words, and the Wisconsin Statutes have absolutely no presumption against, or bias against, the use of property to secure appearance and compliance with bail conditions, as opposed to cash. Real property as opposed to cash or other personalty. The Corporation Counsel for the County of Manitowoc filed a letter of his own raising concerns. And I think that Corporation Counsel misapprehends the very purpose of posting property. The issue here is not what value the Avery Salvage Yard or a property near Crivitz might have to Manitowoc County. The issue is its fair market value and, more importantly, it's value to Steve Avery or the people he loves and care about him.

The point, of course, is not for the property to come into legal ownership of Manitowoc County. The point is that if he didn't follow conditions of bond, his parents would lose, literally, the farm. That's the level that we have to assure a defendant's compliance with conditions of release, that the Court sets. So, without wading into DNR issues or
other issues really, fundamentally, the Corporation Counsel's concerns miss the point of Chapter 969. There's no real question here that the fair market value, regardless of what Manitowoc County might pay for the property, the fair market value of the property well exceeds the half million dollars in cash.

As a practical matter, unless the Court modifies bail, he is not getting out. As a practical matter. Now, the Court knows, I know, perhaps some in the public or some in the media even have forgotten, that he's innocent. As he sits here today, he is legally presumed innocent.

I mean, we can dress him up in something that makes him look like he, you know, jumped off a Monopoly game board or something. He's a get-out-of-jail-free card come to life. But he is innocent as he sits here today.

And, you know, he had his Thanksgiving meal, as a presumptively innocent man, in the jail. He had his Christmas meal, as a presumptively innocent man, in the County Jail. He is heading toward his Easter meal, as a presumptively innocent man.

And all of this delay is necessary,
nobody is quarreling with the delay. But the earliest, as $I$ understand it, that he's going to have a full chance to be heard, and to put the State to its burden of proof here to prove what it alleges, will be after his Labor Day meal, as things stand, if he is not out.

Ten months is a long time to sit, if you are presumptively innocent. And this is someone who is sort of sensitive about sitting in custody when he's innocent, and I understand that. He's got no history of trying to evade justice, skipping court. If anything, it's justice that once evaded him.

He's lived right here in Manitowoc County all his life. Lives on the parent's property. This is -- This is not just a homestead, but it's a place on which the family's business, you know, from which it derives its entire livelihood. He is not going to put that at risk.

The reasonable perception here is he is not going to put that at risk by failing to abide conditions of release. His parents would stand to lose everything, if he did, as would he. I mean, it's his livelihood that is made on this
property as well.
The State here has relied on angry letters that he wrote to his ex-wife, who divorced him while he was in prison for a crime he didn't commit. Those were written, the most recent of them, according to Judge Hazlewood in his transcript, was 15 years ago now, in 1991. They were angry, they were aggressive.

His wife was trying to deprive him of any further contact with his young children. I guess I would be angry too, in his circumstance, particularly where I'm sitting in prison on something I didn't do, which is exactly the situation he was in at the moment.

So it's not to excuse angry, aggressive, ugly letters that he wrote 15 years, 17 years, 19 years ago. It is to put them into a context that suggests they say very, very, little today about whether he will come back to court when he's supposed to and whether he will stay in his house, as he's supposed to, other than when conditionally allowed to leave by this Court.

Once we get past letters to his ex-wife, now we're into the State offering past criminal acts that are getting near a quarter century old.

We're getting into the State offering convicts who only now are coming forward and saying, presumably, or at least tacitly suggesting, get me out of jail and $I$ will testify that this guy, you know, talked about building torture chambers, and all kinds of other stuff, that inmates didn't bother to report for the 15, or 18 years, or whatever it's been since they say they heard it from Avery.

This is all so much nonsense, honestly. Really, so much nonsense. The State has been out and searched the Avery property, with consent, probably five times or more. With search warrants, at least a couple times, maybe three, something like that. Nobody had a torture chamber. No torture chamber on the Avery property, in the trailer he lived in, or anywhere else.

So it's really, some of that is beneath further comment. But what's not is the Court's ability to fashion non-monetary, non-financial conditions as well. And Steve Avery wanted me to tell the Court, and invite the Court, to impose any other non-monetary conditions it sees as appropriate here, including increasing the
restrictiveness of the conditions of release already set, since we have moved to modify. Something your Honor might reasonably be concerned about is, if he's out of jail, are we going to be asking that he be allowed to go down to Madison to see me, or down to Brookfield to see Mr. Buting. And the answer is, no, we will come to him. He can be restricted to Manitowoc County. Electronic monitoring wouldn't be a bad idea and is fully acceptable to Steve Avery. Very controlled time out of the house or away from the property, fully acceptable to Mr. Avery. If the Court wants him to report in person to the Two Rivers' Police Department, or some other law enforcement agency, on a regular cycle, fully acceptable to Mr. Avery. And, of course, I would expect, that in the process of posting real property to secure the bail that the Court has set, that the State would want to look at the appraisals, want to look at title and any encumbrance to it. And I'm wholly prepared to share all of that information with the State. Indeed, the Avery's, the senior Avery's, have gone to the trouble of retaining Mr. Krajnek, a local lawyer here who does real
estate work, to assist in assembling the information that would be necessary to secure bail with real estate, rather than cash. So, that is -- that's our request here today. I know the State has a competing motion, but perhaps it's better in my place to respond to the State's argument in that respect.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. Mr. Strang is correct, the State does have a competing motion that we filed. Actually, the other side of Mr. Strang's motion, the other side of the coin, if you will, is our second motion, that is, our motion to increase cash bail. And I'm going to take this opportunity then to first argue that, since it addresses those same factors that Mr. Strang has argued.

The State no longer believes that a $\$ 500,000$ cash bond is appropriate. The Court may recall that this State originally requested a $\$ 1,000,000$ cash bond to secure Mr. Avery's future court appearances. But this Court can, and I believe should, take into consideration new factors, that is, what we have learned since the last time we addressed bond. Court and addressed bond, substantial changes have occurred in this case. Now, Mr. Strang can sit here and presume Mr . Avery to be innocent; I don't have to do that. And when I make these arguments to the Court, the statute, 969.01 (4), is on the State's side in that regard when it invites, in fact, requires the Court consider the character and strength of the State's case.

Now, the character and strength of the case against Steven Avery, I will argue, has changed dramatically since we last visited this issue. The detailed statements given by what I'm calling the co-actor, the co-perpetrator in this case, speak directly to the nature, number, and gravity of offenses. And to leave bond at the previously issued, I believe does a disservice not only to this particular case, but does not reflect Mr. Avery's likelihood of appearing at future court appearances.

Those other factors that I previously argued, including the degree of violence used, there's new information as to those. I had already argued the prior criminal record, the fact that other crimes have been committed while

Mr. Avery was out on legal status, that he's now been bound over for trial.

New information, though, on our request to increase cash bail to $\$ 2$ million, includes the allegations of his plans to flee the jurisdiction. The alternatives to cash bail not being warranted, as cited by Manitowoc County Corp Counsel, and what I'm arguing is one of the most important factors, that is, Mr. Avery's character.

Those items contained in the affidavit -- again, an affidavit, something more than mere allegations, but something contemplated by the motion practice in the State of Wisconsin -- sets forth some specific acts of violence, some specific plans of Mr. Avery that I think are very important as to the State's request for the increase in cash bail. And, therefore, I make that request, your Honor, to raise cash bail, to deny any kind of surety or property bond and to increase the cash bail previously authorized, to $\$ 2$ million.

I am prepared, Judge, although as I mentioned, included in a formal detailed motion and affidavit, to argue the denial of bail. But

I didn't know if the Court wanted to address the first motion, or what I have characterized as the other side of Mr. Strang's motion, first. But I am prepared to proceed, your Honor.

THE COURT: With respect to the motion to deny bail, that's a request that has not been made to me before in another case. But as I read the statute, and I reread it this morning, I believe it involves a testimonial hearing, an evidentiary hearing, with fairly extensive description. It involves, essentially, a mini trial.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: It does, Judge, and that's why I have stopped. I have the witnesses. I have officers prepared for that. I don't know how much time the Court has set aside for that. Let me also indicate that, depending on how the Court and Mr. Strang wants to proceed, it may even contemplate calling other witnesses, or providing writs, or the like, for what the Court calls a mini trial.

I don't disagree with that procedure as contemplated in the statute, that's why I'm stopping at this point and, I think, asking the Court to rule on Mr. Strang and my motion. Frankly, Judge, depending on that motion, the State may ask in another manner to be heard on
the denial motion.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang, I'm looking now for your response to the motion to increase cash bail. I'm not seeking comment on the motion to deny bail.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. It's -- A lot of this is so academic that one wonders why the State wants to talk about it. The original charges, the first one, carries a mandatory life sentence. And then we have got 12 and a half years of possible confinement on one charge, beyond that. And I haven't even looked recently, seven years, or five years, or something on the other one. But once you are at mandatory life, you know, adding on more exposure, really doesn't alter the calculus much for a defendant in deciding whether he's going to stick around or try to make a run for it.

In terms of his proclivities, boy, I mean, I didn't notice much in my television back in early November, any inclination of him to avoid anybody, a camera, a police officer. He's consenting to searches. He's talking to anybody who wants to search him. He's going up to the family's cottage, to be sure, a cottage they have had for decades up in Crivitz. Everybody knows where he is.

There's nothing here, not only in the lead up to this arrest, but in his earlier cases, to suggest that he tries to runaway or avoid obligations to come to court. There's just nothing. Not a bench warrant, as far as I know, at least nothing the State has tendered to the Court. So, you know, and adding -- we could add a hundred more charges here, if creative counsel wanted to do that, and it wouldn't really change the functional incentives that have been in place since this case was charged with a first-degree intentional homicide count.

Beyond that, you know, I note under our statutes, and specifically 969.08 (5), it is a little bit ambiguous here. If he were out on release and the State alleged that he violated conditions of release and wanted to tighten up or add conditions to address a violation of conditions of release once he's out, if that were the State's request, we would be entitled to a hearing. And the State would bear the burden of proof by clear and convincing evidence, in establishing both the violation and the need for some tighter conditions.

Now, it is ambiguous because one also
can read the same statute, 969.08 (1) or (5) as allowing the Court, on the State's motion, to increase conditions of bail. So, I'm not hanging my hat, so to speak, on this entirely. But it's passing strange to say that if you were out, you know, increasing cash from half a million dollars to $\$ 2$ million as a response to some violation of conditional release would entitle me to a hearing at which there is an intermediate standard of proof.

But when he is not out and has no realistic prospect of posting half a million dollars in cash, that's not happened, would have happened by now if that was anywhere within the realm of possibility, that the State, with no showing other than statements of inmates or 20 year old allegations being filtered through a law enforcement officer's affidavit now can quadruple the amount of bail that the Court is being asked to set.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Kratz?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, not on this issue, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: I'm going to take a brief recess. I have my notes from the prior bail hearing
in chambers. I'm going to look at them and then I'll come back.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Your Honor, could we deal with that issue of recess with regard to cameras and filming at this time, if we're going to break the proceedings?

THE COURT: Yes, for purposes of today's hearing, I'm going to ask the camera folks to shutdown during the recess. The court proceedings aren't going on during that time and the parties are entitled to speak with each other privately during that time.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: I did take the opportunity to go back and review my notes from the last bail modification motion hearing. I'm not going to repeat all of the findings and matters I relied on at that time because of the fact that the defense in this case is not disputing the current level of bail at $\$ 500,000$.

But I think it is worthwhile to review the things that have changed since the last bail modification hearing, as I view them. I will note that, based on the Court's decision today,
the Court has allowed the filing of additional charges against the defendant. The number and gravity of the offenses are greater, as are the penalties that the defendant faces. Though, as noted by defense counsel, the penalties under the existing charges are already significant.

The Court further notes that the level of violence alleged in the Complaint is greater than it was before, based on the new allegations. And the Court, while keeping in mind that the defendant is innocent until proven guilty -- and the Court makes no comment on what the final disposition in this case might be, a jury will obviously make that determination -- but the statutes do direct the Court to take into consideration, in setting bail, the strength of the evidence that has been presented.

And this is no longer purely a circumstantial evidence case, based on the new allegations made by the State. And the Court would have to characterize the strength of the evidence at this point as greater than it had been in the past.

The State has also alleged that a statement on behalf of the defendant that at one
point in the proceedings, before his initial arrest, he considered flight. I think that that's not -- as it's stated, it's not an unequivocal statement.

It may reflect just the defendant's thought at the time. There is no evidence he has actually tried to flee the jurisdiction or anything like that, but it was made at a time before the charges were actually filed. And to the extent the defendant ever would have considered flight, the reasons would be greater at this stage than in the past.

Finally, although it may not be the most significant consideration, based on the fact that the defendant has now retained private counsel, is not represented by the Public Defender's Office -- and the Court has been informed that was as a result of a settlement of a lawsuit -the defendant's ability to give bail is somewhat greater than it has been in the past.

Taking those factors into account, the Court believes that the bail in this case should be increased from $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 750,000$ and I'm going to order that bail be increased in that amount. Because of the severity of the offenses
involved and the possible penalties that the defendant faces, the Court concludes in this case that cash bail is necessary.

I'm not going to allow a bond to be used in lieu of cash. I will note to the extent that the defendant's family has assets, they could, of course, borrow against those assets and obtain cash. I'm aware of that. But both because of the severity of the offenses and the possible penalties, primarily for that reason, the Court is going to have bail remain at a cash figure and the amount will be $\$ 750,000$.

Now, before we conclude today, Counsel, I believe going back to one of the earlier motions, it appears we're going to need another motion date. I hope you brought your calendars with you. And, Mr. Kratz, I didn't say it, but if you still intend to pursue your motion to deny bail, I'm not going to start that at 10 minutes to 4 today.

I think, even though you may have witnesses here, I believe that the defense should have an opportunity to produce evidence of their own, if they wish. I believe they have that under the statute and I think they would be
entitled to specific notice that we're going to have such a hearing before we proceed. So I won't require you to make that decision today.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may suggest, Judge, if the Court is going to be setting another motion, if the Court would give me leave to provide the Court with sufficient notice before that time to be heard at that new time, or to withdraw my motion one way or another, I can alert the Court what I intend to do.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, you may be contemplating the filing of other motions that I haven't heard about today, so rather than me suggesting a date to you, I will let the attorneys tell me when you would like to meet next. I know there was going to be a defense motion relating to the Complaint.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: And it sounded to me like perhaps sometime less than a month from now.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm going to bring a motion relating to the right to a preliminary hearing on the three new charges, which the court has now ruled, in denying bail or property bond, are significant and add something. And I guess for
purpose of a preliminary hearing, I share that to a degree. And I had suggested that within 10 days -what I said earlier was from the Court signing Mr. Kratz's proposed order I can file a motion, but the fact is $I$ can do it 10 days from today. I don't need to wait for a written order since I understood the Court's ruling.

THE COURT: All right. So you are going to file a motion within 10 days relating to any challenges you have to the Amended complaint, which the Court today allowed to be filed.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. Say by the 27 th , which would be 10 days, if that's acceptable.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, any objection?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No. At the same time, I didn't know if Mr. Strang intended to include the issue of the preliminary hearing.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That is the issue.
THE COURT: Yes, that's my understanding.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may also ask, Judge,
I don't know if Mr. Strang believes that oral argument is required or if the Court would be satisfied with just written argument, my ability to respond and then just your ruling.

THE COURT: Are the parties willing to have
that matter decided on written briefs?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine, Judge.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure. And if the ruling goes our way, then we would have to have a telephone conference for purposes of scheduling a preliminary, I suppose.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's right.
THE COURT: I'm worried about things getting backed up. I would like to set contingent dates that you reserve on your calendar, so that if something has to be done it can be done. I don't want the calendar to get out of hand here. I do have the entire morning of April 13th available. I would ask the parties how they feel about that.

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's not an issue here, but for what it's worth, I know Passover begins that day. I'm clear that day. Mr. Buting has to be in another circuit court in the state.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, at 1:30 I have to be in Waukesha on an oral argument.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I suppose if it takes about -- if we broke by 11:30.

THE COURT: I think that would work. We could start at nine. Let me do this. I'm just
going to hold that date for now. And depending on the motions that the parties file, if a hearing has to be held, $I$ would like to hold it on that date. And I would also like to be kept informed by the parties of progress being made with respect to discovery and testing, so that if there are any motions that have to be filed, they can be filed in a timely manner and I can hear them, so they don't jeopardize a September trial date.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't want to sit on my hands here and not give the Court fair warning, but I think it's at least possible with the March 1, March 2 developments, and now presumably further testing and much material and discovery that the State can't disclose to us because it doesn't have it yet, I think the September 5 trial date is very questionable.

It's not a calendar problem for me, I just think it's very questionable. And I can understand why the Court would not want to move a date once one is set, so I don't want to sit here and sound like I'm acquiescing or not raising at least the concern that, for all the reasons we have discussed today, just scheduling like bail
consideration and, you know, what charges Mr. Avery is facing, all of these things may also have an affect on trial scheduling.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, I don't know if you have any information yet about the timing of the testing that's going to be done.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: On Monday, Judge, we -- we meaning myself and the investigator involved in the case -- intend to meet directly with the Crime Lab to get those answers. And so once we have a timetable, I would be happy to provide that to Mr. Strang and Court.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The Court's ruling on the motion concerning fair forensic testing means that now, necessarily, the only avenue open to the defense would be sequential testing once the State's private testing is done, so that -- I don't know that we'll do that, but we may. That's what's left to us.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I can be heard. We have offered what's already been tested already for retesting. Defense hasn't taken us up on that yet, we'll see if they do. That is already available for retesting. That decision should be able to be made
before this new testing is done, Judge.
ATTORNEY STRANG: That's absolutely true, as to stuff seized in November, absolutely so, agreed.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else either party wishes to take up this afternoon?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, one other matter. When you mentioned other motions that we might need, we may be able to just short circuit that. If Mr. Kratz is willing to today, I could file a motion for return of property -- I'm informed that co-counsel has already spoken to the State and reached some agreement on that, so that's fine.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else this afternoon?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge.
THE COURT: If not, we're adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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$\begin{array}{llll}11 / 18 & 11 / 25 & 12 / 2 & 12 / 7 \\ 12 / 20\end{array}$
17/18 18/23 21/14 22/3 49/24 53/24
critical [1] 36/10
criticism [1] 9/22
criticized [1] 22/10
Crivitz [2] 46/13 56/24
cross [3] 11/11 14/19 38/13
cross-contamination [1] 38/13
cross-examine [2] 11/11 14/19
CSI [1] 30/25
culminating [1] 20/11
current [1] 59/20
custody [1] 48/9
cycle [1] 51/16
D
dangerous [1] 20/17
Dassey [11] 10/16 14/4 14/13
14/17 14/19 16/20 17/8 19/15 19/15 22/20 24/5
Dassey's [4] 11/2 11/7 16/19 19/13 data [4] $35 / 4 \quad 35 / 5 \quad 38 / 1040 / 4$ date [8] 1/10 62/16 63/14 66/1 66/3 66/10 66/17 66/22
Dated [1] 69/15
dates [1] 65/10
day [4] 48/5 65/17 65/17 69/15
days [10] 23/14 23/19 33/20 33/25 34/7 34/7 64/2 64/5 64/9 64/13
deal [7] 2/3 7/5 9/22 14/12 20/10 44/20 59/3
dealing [5] 6/16 20/19 27/15 40/22 43/21
deals [1] 41/17
dealt [1] 20/23
DEAN [2] 1/15 2/24
death [1] 10/18
decades [1] 56/24
decide [1] 43/9
decided [1] 65/1
deciding [1] 56/15
decision [6] 18/9 21/3 22/16 59/25
63/3 67/25
decisions [4] $15 / 1 \quad 15 / 320 / 2 \quad 20 / 3$
declarant [2] 19/7 19/11
Deed [1] 45/14
defendant [37] 1/7 1/16 1/18 8 12/3 19/9 19/20 20/7 20/15 20/15
20/20 21/1 21/1 21/5 21/9 21/11
21/18 21/20 21/22 21/25 22/12 22/17 35/16 36/18 41/3 41/25 42/9 42/12 42/15 43/5 56/15 60/2 60/4 60/11 60/25 61/10 61/15 62/2
defendant's [12] 3/5 20/13 21/12
23/21 24/17 44/1 44/7 44/19
46/23 61/5 61/19 62/6
defendants [3] 19/1 19/1 20/5
Defender's [1] 61/16
defense [44] 6/2 6/4 8/13 8/23
18/22 20/11 24/19 24/25 25/4
25/13 26/3 26/7 26/14 27/20
27/22 28/10 28/11 28/25 29/5
29/9 31/16 32/20 33/12 34/25
35/10 36/7 36/22 36/24 37/4
39/22 40/16 40/23 41/13 43/12
44/9 44/11 44/23 44/24 59/19
60/5 62/22 63/16 67/17 67/23
degree [11] $7 / 2112 / 21 \quad 13 / 12$
13/24 14/9 17/5 18/15 26/22
53/22 57/11 64/2
delay [3] 27/6 47/25 48/1
deliberate [1] 30/8
delighted [1] 14/15
Demand [1] 40/1
denial [2] 54/25 56/1
denied [1] 29/9
denies [1] 17/22
deny [7] 16/25 17/14 44/7 54/20
55/6 56/4 62/18
denying [2] 44/19 63/24
Department [2] 5/8 51/14
depending [3] 55/16 55/24 66/1
deprive [1] 49/9
derives [1] 48/18
description [1] 55/10
deserve [1] 19/22
designed [1] 35/6
desk[1] 6/23
despite [1] 28/7
detail [5] 10/4 12/15 12/16 14/12 14/12
detailed [3] 10/20 53/13 54/24
details [2] 10/21 10/22
determination [1] 60/14
determine [3] 34/10 35/9 39/18
determined [1] 30/2
developed [1] 38/20
developments [1] 66/14
Diane [3] 1/22 69/4 69/19
did [5] 10/4 $15 / 20$ 29/8 48/24 59/15
didn't [8] 46/20 49/5 49/13 50/6
55/1 56/18 62/17 64/16
different [4] 29/18 30/14 44/18
45/16
difficult [1] 18/25
difficulty [1] 5/12
direct [2] 23/6 60/15
directly [2] 53/15 67/9
disadvantage [1] 27/17
disagree [4] 18/2 18/5 24/6 55/20
disagrees [1] 10/10
discarded [2] 28/23 40/13
disclosable [1] 26/11
disclose [1] 66/16
disclosed [1] 26/13
disclosure [3] 3/6 30/6 43/3
discover [1] 38/23
discovered [1] 30/12
discovery [6] 34/25 38/9 43/3 43/5
66/6 66/15
discretion [1] 32/7
discussed [4] 4/14 8/12 42/21
66/25
discussion [3] 4/5 5/15 30/23
dismiss [3] 17/11 18/4 24/1
dismissed [1] 24/8
disposition [1] 60/13
disputing [1] 59/20
dissemination [1] 4/16
disservice [1] 53/17
distinguished [1] 19/23
District [3] 2/8 2/12 2/16
divorced [1] 49/4
DNA [13] 28/20 28/22 30/1 30/11
30/24 33/1 33/23 34/8 35/12
39/1 0 39/20 40/9 40/13
DNR [1] 46/25
do [37] 4/13 5/16 5/24 8/9 11/8
11/25 17/12 22/7 23/13 23/17
23/1 8 23/20 24/8 26/1 26/5 26/12
28/25 29/9 30/22 30/23 32/9
36/24 40/3 40/4 41/16 44/13
49/13 53/5 57/9 60/15 63/10 64/5
65/12 65/25 67/19 67/24 69/6
document [2] 7/12 17/18
does [13] 10/21 11/23 16/17 19/2
25/25 26/25 38/9 40/2 51/25
52/10 53/17 53/18 55/12
doesn't [5] 22/12 31/11 43/17
56/14 66/16
doing [1] $32 / 5$
dollar [1] 45/6
dollars [4] 45/25 47/7 58/6 58/13
don't [29] $13 / 1$ 17/20 19/9 19/9
24/11 27/7 28/3 29/1 36/1 37/20
38/2 39/5 39/6 39/7 39/13 41/13
$43 / 1244 / 5$ 53/5 55/14 55/20 64/5
64/21 65/11 66/9 66/11 66/22
67/4 67/18
done [17] 27/4 27/9 28/7 28/12
28/14 29/16 35/1 35/8 35/18 36/6
44/15 45/13 65/11 65/11 67/6
67/18 68/1
doubt [1] 37/13
down [4] 32/5 35/18 51/5 51/6
dramatically [1] 53/12
drawn [1] 9/16
dress [1] $47 / 14$
due [2] 41/2 41/10

| D | examine [4] $11 / 1114 / 19$ 42/4 | Finally [3] 11/1 38/25 61/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { during [8] 3/23 6/13 25/14 26/10 } \\ & 31 / 1659 / 959 / 1059 / 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | exceeds [2] 45/25 47/6 | find [6] 10/3 12/2 20/22 39/9 |
| E | /7 | finding [3] 10/6 14/8 42/15 |
| each [7] 3/15 | exceptions | findings [2] 42/3 59/18 fine [3] 30/14 65/2 68/1 |
| 44/21 59/11 | exculpatory [3] 36/14 36/18 40/7 | finger [2] 15/24 19/10 |
| earlier [5] 25/8 31/9 57/2 62/ | excuse [2] 9/20 49/15 | fingerprints [1] 40/9 |
| 64/3 | existing [1] 60/6 | finished [1] 34/2 |
| earliest [1] 48/2 | exonerate [2] 19/11 19/15 | firearm [1] 12/23 |
| early [1] 56/19 | expect [1] 51/17 | first [22] 3/3 7/8 7/21 9/3 12/21 |
| easily [2] 28/9 28/14 | expects [1] 3/25 | 13/12 13/21 13/24 14/9 17/5 |
| Easter [1] 47/23 | expedites [1] 44/14 | 18/15 20/1 26/2 33/19 41/1 44/23 |
| effective [1] 15/24 | expert [9] 28/10 28/11 28/25 35/7 | 44/24 52/1 5 55/2 55/3 56/8 57/11 |
| efforts [1] 25/11 | 35/17 36/1 36/3 36/25 38/11 | first-degree [8] 7/21 12/21 13/12 |
| either [9] 3/22 6/12 6/23 24/19 | exposure [1] 56/14 | 13/24 14/9 17/5 18/15 57/11 |
| 25/8 25/21 29/18 40/23 68/6 | expressly [1] 43/13 | five [4] 29/14 37/24 50/13 56/11 |
| Electronic [1] 51/9 | extend [2] 18/22 31/12 | flee [2] 54/5 61/7 |
| elite [1] 30/5 | extends [1] 18/10 | flight [2] 61/2 61/11 |
| else[19] 15/21 19/10 21/23 22/2 | extensive [1] 55/10 | Flowers [1] 21/13 |
| 37/10 50/18 58/21 68/6 68/14 | extent [4] 42/6 42/18 61/10 62/5 | focusing [1] 13/23 |
| embraced [1] 5/9 | extenuating [1] 43/16 | folks [1] 59/8 |
| emphasize [1] 32/25 | extraction [2] 33/24 3 | follow [3] 34/22 40/1 46/21 |
| encouraged [1] 3/15 | extremely [1] 3/14 | followed [3] 35/4 35/9 38/23 |
| encumbrance [1] 51/2 | eyeball [1] 39/15 | follows [1] 41/19 |
| [1] 23/17 | F | fond [1] 13/5 |
| enforcement [8] 14/5 14/6 25/10 | F-r-a-n-s-z-c-z-a-k [1] 42/23 | foregoing [2] 69/7 69/7 |
| 40/12 41/21 41/25 51/15 58/18 | faces [2] 60/4 62/2 | forensic[5] 24/18 40/10 44/8 |
| engaging [1] 19/18 | facing [1] 67/2 | 44/11 67/15 |
| enough [2] 39/19 39/20 | fact [12] 4/12 10/1 | forgotten [1] 47/12 |
| ensure [1] 25/18 | 38/5 38/15 44/4 53/8 53/25 59/19 | form [1] 3/12 |
| entire [4] 17/4 29/21 48/19 65/13 | 61/14 64/5 | formal [2] 7/12 54/24 |
| entirely [1] 58/4 | factors [5] 52/16 52/24 53/21 54/9 | forth [2] 12/4 54/15 |
| entitle [1] 58/8 | 61/21 | fortuitously [1] 30/2 |
| entitled [6] 23/10 42/1 42/1 | facts [3] 9/14 18/10 20/22 | forward [2] 6/14 50/2 |
| 57/20 59/11 63/1 | factual [6] 13/6 13/16 13/20 15/4 | found [2] 29/18 38/14 |
| equate [1] 20/18 | 17/4 24/5 | founder [1] 12/14 |
| erroneous [3] 27/2 29/20 40/14 | failing [1] 48/22 | four [6] 9/14 9/23 10/1 10/24 12/1 |
| erroneously [1] 30/16 | fair [8] 24/18 31/5 45/23 46/15 | 14/24 |
| especially [3] 20/2 25/7 33/1 | 47/4 47/6 66/12 67/15 | Frankly [1] 55/24 |
| essentially [3] 21/21 42/25 55/11 | fairly [1] 55/10 | Franszczak [1] 42/22 |
| establish [3] 21/4 21/6 21/8 | false [5] 7/22 13/17 14/10 17/6 | fraudulent [3] 29/19 30/8 30/10 |
| established [2] 13/1 15/17 | 29/22 | fraudulently [1] 30/16 |
| establishing [1] 57/23 | family [1] 62/6 | free [1] 47/17 |
| estate [2] 52/1 52/3 | family's [2] 48/17 56/2 | Froehlich [1] 2/15 |
| evade [1] 48/11 | far [2] 2/23 57/5 | full [1] 48/3 |
| evaded [1] 48/13 | farm [1] 46/22 | fully [5] 4/2 44/4 51/10 51/12 |
| Evanow [1] 15/2 | fashion [2] 14/16 50/21 | 51/16 |
| even [4] 47/12 55/17 56/11 62/21 | favor [1] 20/11 | functional [1] 57/10 |
| event [2] 28/20 40/2 | favored [1] 31/18 | fundamentally [1] 47 |
| ever [3] 18/25 43/23 61/10 | FBI [1] 30/4 | further [11] 2/15 3/11 5/2 5/11 |
| every [1] 35/16 | feel [3] 9/8 44/15 65/1 | 24/13 31/12 39/20 49/10 50/20 |
| Everybody [1] 56/2 | feels [1] 9/4 | 60/7 66/14 |
| everyone [1] 3/21 | felon [1] 12/23 | future [4] 5/13 40/6 52/21 53/20 |
| everything [2] 29/21 48/24 | felony [2] 7/13 42/9 | G |
| 11/8 11/23 14/21 18/13 25/16 | few [2] 6/19 32/18 | G-a-h-n [1] 2/11 |
| 25/20 26/25 28/24 30/24 31/2 | figure [1] 62/11 | GAHN [6] 1/13 2/11 $2 / 13$ 32/10 |
| 31/17 31/21 33/15 33/19 34/18 | file [14] 8/14 8/20 12/10 16/25 | 32/12 32/13 |
| 35/21 36/10 36/11 36/14 36/17 | 17/14 17/22 23/2 23/7 23/9 23/19 | game [1] 47/16 |
| 36/20 38/14 39/3 40/10 41/20 | 64/4 64/9 66/2 68/10 | gave [1] 14/4 |
| 41/21 42/2 42/11 42/14 57/22 | filed [17] 2/4 7/10 7/25 17/9 17/10 | gene [1] 35/4 |
| 60/17 60/19 60/22 61/6 62/23 | 18/2 22/24 24/9 32/15 40/21 | general [1] 44/17 |
| evidentiary [1] 55/9 | 45/14 46/9 52/11 61/9 64/11 66/7 | generally [2] 36/9 36/1 |
| evidently [1] 14/4 | 66/8 | generation [1] 26/10 |
| ex[3] 15/1 49/3 49/23 | filing [7] 4/19 6/1 7/15 7/17 8/23 | genotype [2] 28/20 35/5 |
| ex-wife [2] 49/3 49/23 | 60/1 63/12 |  |
| exact [1] 15/9 | filings [2] 5/13 8/2 | 26/13 36/12 36/14 36/22 43/6 |
| exactly [1] 49/13 | filming [3] 6/13 31/25 59/5 | 47/17 49/23 50/3 65/12 67/10 |
| examination [6] 17/21 17/24 18/5 | filtered [1] 58/17 | get-out-of-jail-free [1] 47/17 |
| 18/13 23/11 23/22 | final [1] 60/12 | gets [3] $25 / 1625 / 2133 / 18$ |

## G

getting [6] 27/1 36/19 47/9 49/25 50/1 65/9
give [6] 4/10 23/8 23/19 61/19 63/6 66/12
given [7] 25/5 31/8 34/25 35/1 35/2 40/14 53/13
go [6] 10/15 32/24 35/17 36/3 51/5 59/16
goes [4] $21 / 16$ 27/20 45/6 65/4
going [45] 3/4 6/24 9/7 10/15
20/14 23/1 27/7 29/6 29/24 32/10 $32 / 15$ 33/8 33/19 34/11 39/5
39/1 3 40/21 43/9 44/7 48/2 48/19 48/22 51/5 52/14 56/15 56/22
58/24 59/1 59/5 59/8 59/10 59/17
61/24 62/4 62/11 62/14 62/15
62/19 63/1 63/5 63/16 63/21 64/8 66/1 67/6
gone [3] 12/25 31/8 51/24
good [4] 2/18 2/22 20/10 38/22
got [4] 19/25 41/4 48/11 56/9
grant [7] 12/10 23/1 32/7 37/3
40/2 40/17 43/11
granted [2] 35/15 39/2
granting [2] 43/18 44/6
gravity [2] 53/16 60/3
great [2] 9/22 14/12
greater [5] 60/3 60/8 60/22 61/11 61/20
grounds [2] 17/13 18/4
guarantee [1] 39/11
guess [6] 6/5 11/21 33/12 39/25
49/1 1 63/25
guidelines [1] 35/4
guilty [1] 60/11
guy [1] 50/4

## H

had [13] 3/7 21/7 29/19 38/22
45/22 47/19 47/21 50/15 53/23
56/24 60/22 64/2 69/13
Halbach [1] 10/19
half [7] 39/11 45/6 45/25 47/7
56/9 58/6 58/12
hand [1] 65/12
handful [1] 37/24
handled [2] 25/15 25/16
handling [2] 31/17 31/21
hands [1] 66/12
hanging [1] 58/3
happen [1] 39/14
happened [2] 58/13 58/14
happens [2] 33/13 34/3
happy [2] 5/16 67/11
has [56] 2/13 4/24 5/24 7/10 7/13
7/25 9/17 10/11 11/25 13/4 15/12
16/12 20/9 20/16 22/10 22/16
26/5 27/23 28/12 28/17 28/18
29/13 30/25 31/8 32/20 33/10
$33 / 1136 / 1838 / 1741 / 1643 / 23$
46/1 49/2 50/11 51/19 52/5 52/17
53/11 55/6 55/15 57/6 58/11 60/1
60/17 60/24 61/6 61/15 61/17
61/20 62/6 63/24 65/11 65/17
66/2 68/12 69/8
hasn't [1] 67/23
hat [1] 58/4
have [105]
haven't [2] 56/11 63/13
having [2] 27/4 35/13
Hazlewood [1] 49/6
he [46] $9 / 4$ 14/9 $15 / 1815 / 20$ 15/20
15/20 19/16 23/4 23/5 27/16
28/14 28/17 45/9 46/16 46/20
$47 / 947 / 1247 / 1347 / 1547 / 17$
47/18 47/19 47/21 47/23 48/6 48/19 48/21 48/24 48/24 49/3 49/4 49/5 49/1 4 49/16 49/19 49/20 50/17 51/5 51/8 56/25 57/3 57/15 57/16 58/11 61/2 61/6 he's [16] 22/1 47/12 47/16 48/2 48/10 48/10 48/14 49/19 49/21 51/4 54/1 56/15 56/20 56/21 56/22 57/19
heading [1] 47/23
hear [6] 7/7 9/9 14/15 25/2 44/23 66/8
heard [10] 9/3 24/4 36/5 36/21
48/3 50/8 55/25 63/8 63/13 67/21
hearing [19] $1 / 47 / 1712 / 640 / 20$
42/7 42/19 55/9 55/10 57/21 58/8
58/25 59/8 59/17 59/24 63/2
63/23 64/1 64/17 66/2
hearsay[4] 15/4 20/7 21/14 21/15
held [2] 20/12 66/3
helpful [1] 38/11
hence [1] 16/23
here [40] $2 / 23$ 6/11 $12 / 15$ 12/17
13/23 14/13 14/16 15/6 16/25 17/5 18/14 19/12 22/8 30/15 33/15 39/6 41/8 44/6 45/5 45/11 $46 / 12$ 47/3 47/13 47/18 48/4 48/14 48/21 49/2 50/25 51/25 52/4 53/4 57/1 57/8 57/15 62/22 65/12 65/15 66/12 66/22
hereby [1] 69/6
high [2] 16/4 16/8
him [13] 14/21 27/17 44/2 46/17
47/14 47/15 48/13 49/4 49/9 51/8 51/13 56/19 56/22
himself [5] $10 / 17$ 19/16 21/21 $22 / 1$ 22/12
his [28] 2/25 8/6 8/7 9/19 9/20
10/17 11/16 27/17 46/9 46/21
47/19 47/21 47/23 48/5 48/15
48/23 48/25 49/3 49/7 49/9 49/10
49/1 1 49/20 49/23 54/5 56/17
57/2 61/1
history [3] 25/6 40/15 48/11
hold [4] 5/20 21/16 66/1 66/3
homestead [1] 48/17
homicide [2] 12/22 57/12
Hon [1] $1 / 11$
honestly [1] 50/10
Honor [11] 2/19 9/11 25/1 32/14
33/13 37/11 44/10 51/3 54/19
55/4 59/3
hope [1] 62/16
hopefully [1] 5/22
horrible [1] 30/6
house [2] 49/21 51/11
how [7] 27/7 34/8 34/19 34/19
55/14 55/16 65/14
huge [2] $33 / 1533 / 17$
human [1] 43/22
hundred [1] 57/8
Hurley [1] 2/24
I
I'II [1] 59/2
I'm [45] 2/20 4/17 5/16 6/24 8/7 9/2 9/4 9/7 10/15 14/15 15/2 22/15 30/13 32/11 32/14 40/20
40/21 40/22 43/9 43/22 44/7
$44 / 1249 / 1251 / 2152 / 1453 / 13$
$54 / 855 / 21$ 56/2 56/4 58/3 58/24
59/1 59/8 59/17 61/23 62/4 62/8 62/19 63/21 65/8 65/17 65/25
66/23 68/11
idea [1] 51/10
identical [1] 41/8
identified [1] 30/1
if [62] 6/7 6/10 8/9 8/22 $11 / 111 / 6$
11/9 16/8 $17 / 21$ 18/21 20/13 23/9
23/14 24/4 24/23 26/5 26/22
27/16 28/2 28/22 35/16 37/15
38/6 39/8 43/4 44/13 46/20 48/6
48/7 48/12 48/24 51/4 51/13
$52 / 1355 / 157 / 857 / 1557 / 1958 / 5$
58/14 59/5 62/18 62/24 63/4 63/5
63/6 64/13 64/1 6 64/20 64/21
64/22 65/3 65/10 65/22 65/23
66/2 66/6 67/4 67/21 67/24 68/9
68/17
Illinois [2] 28/13 36/4
illuminating [1] 18/9
immediately [1] 6/22
implicated [1] 21/1
implicating [2] 22/1 22/2
implications [2] 31/8 32/4
implicit [1] 23/3
implied [1] 31/9
importance [1] 33/3
important [4] 33/4 34/6 54/9 54/17
importantly [1] 46/15
impose [1] 50/23
impossible [1] 34/16
imprisonment [4] 7/22 13/18
14/10 17/6
in [235]
inadmissible [3] 14/17 14/23 17/7
incarcerated [2] 27/14 27/16
incentives [1] 57/10
incidents [1] 43/20
inclination [1] 56/19
include [4] 14/23 40/9 40/11 64/16
included [3] 9/5 12/16 54/24
includes [1] 54/4
including [6] $4 / 5$ 7/21 16/10 28/13
50/25 53/22
incompetence [1] 29/20
incorporated [1] 13/4
incorporation [1] 13/20
increase [6] 52/14 54/4 54/18
54/21 56/3 58/3
increased [2] 61/23 61/24
increasing [2] 50/25 58/6
incubator [1] 31/24
inculpate [1] 19/9
inculpates [1] 19/16
inculpatory [1] 36/17
indeed [2] 10/20 51/23
independent [11] 26/20 26/21
26/24 27/4 27/11 27/21 36/1 36/2
36/8 36/23 39/12
indicate [4] 3/1 3/6 3/20 55/16
indicated [1] 3/9
indicates [1] 28/4
indication [1] 28/24
indicia [1] 22/6
individuals [1] 40/8
indulgence [1] 6/8
inferences [1] 9/15
information [27] $4 / 64 / 164 / 18$
$4 / 206 / 16 / 247 / 128 / 38 / 158 / 16$
8/21 9/18 9/21 9/23 10/1 12/11
17/19 23/24 41/20 42/1 42/13

|  | $38 / 438 / 1340 / 2254 / 11$ | language [1] 9/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| information... [6] 43/6 51/22 52/2 | 48/18 | last [9] 29/14 39/24 40/21 44/20 |
| 53/23 54/3 67/5 | itself [3] 8/24 26/9 31/22 | 52/25 53/1 53/12 59/16 59/23 |
| informed [3] 61/17 66/5 68/11 inherently [2] 16/13 16/13 | J | $\begin{aligned} & \text { later [5] } \\ & 34 / 7 \end{aligned} 6 / 20 \quad 17 / 10 \quad 27 / 16 \quad 30 / 2$ |
| initial [1] 61/1 | jail [6] 27/18 47/17 47/21 47/22 | law [14] 1/16 14/5 14/5 15/11 |
| inmates [2] 50/6 58/16 | 50/4 51/4 | 16/22 20/9 22/2 25/10 29/15 |
| innocent [11] 29/25 47/12 47/13 | Jeff [1] 2/15 | 40/12 41/21 41/24 51/15 58/17 |
| 47/18 47/20 47/22 47/24 48/8 | jeopardize [2] 28/1 66/9 | lawsuit [1] 61/18 |
| 48/10 53/4 60/11 | jeopardy [2] 29/4 33/9 | lawyer [2] 16/7 51/25 |
| inquiry [1] 17/17 | JEROME [2] 1/15 2/19 | lawyers [1] 13/5 |
| inspection [2] 42/1 42/13 | judge [26] 1/11 4/13 5/18 7/9 9/10 | lay [1] 16/2 |
| instances [4] 26/22 29/22 29/25 | 10/21 11/13 12/11 18/21 19/25 | lead [2] 2/9 57/2 |
| 30/7 | 37/9 42/10 49/6 52/9 54/23 55/12 | learn [1] 26/15 |
| integrity [1] 37/8 | 55/24 58/23 63/4 64/20 65/2 | learned [3] 29/13 29/13 52/24 |
| intend [5] 4/2 11/23 62/18 63/9 | 65/19 67/7 68/1 68/7 68/16 | learns [1] 26/14 |
| 67/9 | jumped [1] 47/15 | least [17] 5/20 15/1 15/12 17/15 |
| intended [4] 11/6 11/21 19/14 | jurisdiction [2] 54/6 61/7 | 18/10 18/15 18/25 19/1 19/13 |
| 64/16 | jury [1] 60/13 | 25/18 27/13 43/14 50/3 50/14 |
| intending [1] 4/9 | just [28] 11/20 11/21 15/10 15/11 | 57/6 66/13 66/24 |
| intentional [2] 12/22 57/12 | 16/14 18/20 19/9 19/9 27/18 30/6 | leave [10] 8/19 8/21 12/10 16/25 |
| interest [1] 21/17 | $31 / 1532 / 1833 / 233 / 1633 / 17$ | 17/14 17/22 18/3 49/22 53/16 |
| interests [1] 19/22 | 36/16 37/6 37/8 37/11 48/16 57/4 | 63/6 |
| interfere [1] 26/8 | 61/5 64/23 64/24 65/25 66/20 | led [2] 10/18 22/10 |
| interference [1] 31/15 | 66/25 68/9 | Lee [1] 15/14 |
| intermediate [1] 58/9 | iustice[2] 48/11 48/12 | left [4] 13/9 35/10 39/22 67/19 |
| interrelated [1] 21/25 | K | legal [3] 3/24 46/19 54/1 |
| into [21] $6 / 1213 / 2516 / 817 / 17$ $18 / 831 / 1232 / 2233 / 833 / 933 / 16$ | K | legally [3] 18/6 21/4 47/13 legislature [1] 43/17 |
| 36/3 36/12 36/14 46/19 46/25 | keeping [1] 60/10 | lengthy [1] 10/10 |
| 49/17 49/24 50/1 52/23 60/15 | Ken [1] 2/8 | less [2] 31/18 63/20 |
| 61/21 | KENNETH [1] 1/13 | lessen [2] 32/3 33/6 |
| introduce [3] 11/9 20/6 33/7 | kept [1] 66/4 | lesser [1] 26/6 |
| intrusion [1] 6/12 | kidnapping [5] 7/22 13/17 14/10 | let [9] 9/7 12/16 12/16 17/25 19/5 |
| intrusiveness [1] 34/15 | 17/6 18/16 | 23/20 55/15 63/14 65/25 |
| investigation [1] 24/21 | kind [1] 54/20 | let's [1] 13/7 |
| investigator [1] 67/8 | kinds [5] 19/19 19/23 30/22 38/18 | letter [2] 20/13 46/9 |
| invite [1] 50/23 | 50/6 | letters [3] 49/3 49/16 49/23 |
| invited [1] 5/13 | know [28] 15/19 27/7 30/21 30/22 | level [4] 9/12 46/22 59/20 60/7 |
| invites [2] 11/19 53/8 | 36/1 39/5 39/6 39/13 47/10 47/15 | lieu [1] 62/5 |
| involved [8] 15/19 15/20 25/9 | 47/19 48/18 50/5 52/4 55/1 55/14 | life [4] 47/17 48/15 56/8 56/13 |
| $31 / 1041 / 743 / 2462 / 167 / 8$ | 56/13 57/5 57/7 57/13 58/6 63/15 | light [1] 25/7 |
| involvement [1] 16/1 | 64/16 64/21 65/16 67/1 67/4 | like [18] 5/10 14/8 30/25 31/1 |
| involves [3] 24/18 55/9 55/11 | 67/18 | 35/25 41/12 43/18 47/15 50/15 |
| involving [1] 20/4 | knowingly [1] 19/18 | 55/19 61/8 63/15 63/19 65/9 66/3 |
| is [190] | knowledge [2] 37/2 69/14 | $66 / 466 / 2366 / 25$ |
| issue [23] 3/18 7/5 17/3 20/24 | knows [6] 7/10 9/11 15/4 39/7 | likelihood [2] 32/4 53/19 |
| 24/14 24/16 24/25 25/25 27/15 | 47/10 56/24 | likely [1] 39/2 |
| $32 / 1133 / 1135 / 23$ 35/25 41/1 | Knudson [1] 14/25 | likewise [1] 43/4 |
| 41/18 46/12 46/14 53/13 58/22 | Krajnek [1] 51/25 | Lilly [4] 11/4 15/14 19/8 19/24 |
| 59/4 64/17 64/18 65/15 | KRATZ [17] 1/13 2/8 5/10 5/15 | limit [1] 25/19 |
| issued [1] 53/17 | 5/17 7/7 9/3 9/6 18/18 23/6 32/11 | limited [2] 3/14 3/24 |
| issues [11] 8/5 11/13 11/14 22/14 | 52/8 58/21 62/17 64/14 67/4 | limiting [1] 3/5 |
| 23/4 23/21 33/2 36/12 37/8 46/25 | 68/10 | line [1] $8 / 18$ |
| 47/1 | Kratz's [2] 6/6 64/4 | list [1] 10/11 |
| it [91] | L | literally [2] 15/23 46/22 |
| it's [60] $2 / 2$ 3/1 $14 / 7$ 5/3 6/6 8/12 | L | little [4] 5/11 21/2 49/18 57/15 |
| $8 / 248 / 249 / 6 \quad 13 / 1 \quad 13 / 3 \quad 14 / 11$ | lab [26] 24/20 25/21 26/3 27/21 | lived [2] 48/14 50/17 |
| 14/11 14/13 15/11 15/23 15/23 | 28/2 28/5 28/18 29/2 30/4 30/5 | livelihood [2] 48/19 48/25 |
| 15/24 18/10 20/17 25/6 25/6 27/7 | 30/12 30/15 33/17 33/1834/17 | Lives [1] 48/15 |
| 27/24 28/14 29/2 30/15 31/23 | 34/21 35/21 36/4 36/23 37/7 37/9 | local [1] 51/25 |
| $31 / 2532 / 132 / 633 / 1733 / 2135 / 1$ | 40/24 41/23 43/1 43/23 67/9 | long [2] 27/7 48/7 |
| 35/6 35/21 35/22 38/3 38/16 39/1 | Lab's [1] 44/1 | longer [3] 27/18 52/18 60/18 |
| 39/16 40/20 43/2 46/15 48/12 | Labor [1] 48/5 | look[11] 9/13 14/23 35/7 35/7 |
| 48/17 48/25 49/15 50/8 50/19 | laboratories [4] 41/22 42/2 42/11 | 35/17 39/15 41/15 47/15 51/19 |
| 52/6 56/5 58/4 61/3 61/3 65/15 | 42/14 | 51/20 59/1 |
| 65/16 66/13 66/19 66/20 | laboratory [9] 32/23 32/24 33/1 | looked [4] 37/22 41/1 41/5 56/11 |
| item [6] 10/15 10/15 33/16 36/8 | 33/5 33/8 33/14 35/19 42/4 42/16 | looking [3] $7 / 3$ 34/17 56/2 |
| 39/3 44/20 | laboratory's [2] 42/3 42/15 | lose [2] 46/22 48/24 |
| items [17] 24/20 27/10 33/15 | labs [7] 28/12 29/14 29/17 30/24 | lot [1] 56/5 |
| 33/17 33/18 34/2 34/4 34/18 | 31/4 38/20 43/21 | loves[1] 46/16 |
| 35/20 37/13 37/15 37/17 37/25 | lack [2] 7/16 14/11 | low [1] 38/23 |
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| P |  | [2] $29 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| problem... [4] 16/21 34/1 38/15 | 38/25 47/3 | evant [2] 36/13 41/19 |
|  | questionable[2] 66/18 66/20 | bility [10] 8/25 10/110 |
| problematical [1] 11/11 problems [3] $29 / 2130 / 2438 / 20$ | questioned [2] 15/18 16/5 | $16 / 9 \quad 17 / 3 \quad 19 / 6 \quad 19 / 719 /$ |
| procedurally [1] 17/17 | Quitclaim [1] 45/14 | reliable [6] 14/8 15/5 15/7 |
| procedure [1] 55/20 | quite [4] $3 / 244 / 1710 / 2030 / 18$ | 16/19 16/22 |
|  |  | reiled [2] $49 / 2$ |
| proceedings [9] 1/21 3/24 13/2 <br> 23/17 59/6 59/9 61/1 68/21 69/13 | R | ng [1] $24 / 3$ |
|  | raise [2] 13/3 54/20 | remain [1] 62/11 |
| process [24] 25 25/12 $25 / 1826 / 9$$27 / 1928 / 1931 / 1232 / 133 / 5$ | raised [1] | remains [2] 22/4 27 |
|  | raises [1] 19/6 | dy [3] $26 / 6$ 26/1 |
| 33/24 34/2 34/7 34/11 34/18 | raising [2] 46/9 66/23 | repeat [1] 59/18 |
| 34/19 34/19 34/25 35/8 35/12 | rare [1] 37/1 | peated [1] 26/24 |
| $36 / 2539 / 1841 / 241 / 1044 / 14$ | rather [5] 8/1 |  |
|  |  | repetition [1] 15 |
| processes [1]proclivities [1]34/13$56 / 17$ | onale | ort [2] 50/7 51/13 |
|  | [2] | reported [3] 1/22 20/2 |
|  | RE [1] 35/5 | Reporter [3] 1/23 69/5 69/1 |
|  | RE-data [1] 35 | reporting [1] 30/11 |
| progress [1]prohibit [1]26/529/3 |  |  |
|  | reached [3] 4/19 5/6 68/13 | representative [4] 25/14 26/15 |
| ( ${ }^{\text {prohibit [1] } 29 / 3} \begin{aligned} & \text { prohibits [1] } 43 / 13 \\ & \text { proof [5] 9/12 31/2 48/4 57/22 }\end{aligned}$ | reaching [1] 5/1 | 29/5 31/16 |
| proof[5] 9/12 31/2 48/4 57/22 $58 / 10$ | read [3] 21/2 55 | sentatives [1] 6/ sented [1] 61/16 |
| properly [1] 44/15 | real [6] 45/17 46/6 47/3 51/18 | al [1] 19/1 |
|  | 51/25 52/3 | request [18] 6/3 7/8 24/19 |
| property [21] 45/12 45/17 45/20 45/21 45/22 46/5 46/7 46/12 | realistic [1] | 32/16 34/16 40/23 41/7 42/3 |
|  | realize [1] $34 / 8$ | 43/11 43/1844/7 52/4 54/3 54/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 1346 / 1947 / 547 / 648 / 1649 / 1 \\ & 50 / 1250 / 1751 / 1251 / 1854 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | really [11] $8 / 25$ 13/22 15/16 16/1 | 54/19 55/6 57/20 |
|  | 22/25 37/17 47/1 50/11 50/19 | requested [1] 52/20 |
| 63/24 68/11 | 56/1457/9 | require [3] 11/12 17/9 63/3 |
| proposed [9] 8/17 12/13 13/3 <br> 13/19 14/2 17/15 22/21 23/24 | realm [1] 58/15 | required [4] 10/5 12/6 45/9 64/ |
|  | reason [6] 17/12 22/19 29/11 38/4 $38 / 1662 / 10$ | requirement [2] 7/16 7/16 requirements [1] 4/24 |
|  | reasonable [2] 9/15 48/2 | requires [3] 9/13 28/5 53/8 |
| prosecuting [1]prosecution [3]P2/121/3 | reasonableness [1] 45/4 | requiring [1] 27/17 |
|  | reasonably [2] 45/8 51/3 | ead [1] 55/8 |
| prosecutions [1] 7/13 | reasons [4] 34/23 44/5 61/11 | erve [1] 65/10 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Prosecutor [2] 2/9 2/14 } \\ & \text { prosecutors [2] 1/14 30/18 }\end{aligned}\right.$ | 66/24 |  |
|  | rebuttal [1] 18/1 | resolve [2] 5/23 31/11 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { prospect [1] } & 58 / 12 \\ \text { protocol [2] } & 35 / 2\end{array}$ | recall [1] 52/20 received [3] $3 / 2$ | respect [5] 19/13 23/20 52/7 55/5 |
| protocols [1] 38/22 <br> prove [2] 40/12 48/4 | (eaceived [3] $3 / 25 \quad 6 / 38 / 7$ |  |
|  | recently [2] 28/13 56/11 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { response [7] } & 5 / 13 \\ \text { rell }\end{array}$ |
|  | recess [5] 7/4 58/25 59/4 59/9 | 32/16 41/4 56/3 58/7 |
|  | 59/14 | tricted [1] 51/8 |
| 63/6 67/11provided [5] | recesses [1] 6/13 | restrictiveness [1] 51/1 |
|  | recited [2] 10/13 10/2 recognize [1] 22/9 | result [3] 29/22 37/1861/18 resulted [2] 29/23 44/2 |
| provided [5] <br> 43/4 43/7 <br> provides [2] 28/19 43/5 | recollection [1] 5/3 | resulting [1] 30/9 |
| provides [2] 28/19 43/5 <br> providing [3] 9/23 10/3 55/18 <br> public [8] 3/5 4/6 4/16 4/19 29/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}  & \\ \begin{array}{llll} \text { record } \\ 5 / 4 & 53 / 24 \end{array} & 2 / 6 & 2 / 14 & 3 / 2 & 3 / 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { results [7] } 26 / 1027 / 2 \text { 28/20 } 29 / 3 \\ & 30 / 1731 / 640 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | recorded [1] 32/2 |  |
|  | records [1] $4 / 6$ | retaining [1] 51/24 |
| purely [1] 60/18 | recounting [1] 10/17 | retesting [2] 67/23 67/25 |
| purpose [3] 24/7 46/11 64/1 <br> purposes [5] 5/5 13/2 40/19 59/7 | recovered [1] $10 / 12$ | return [1] $68 / 11$ |
|  | reference [1] 13/5 | review [5] 22/6 29/15 40/16 |
| ```65/5 pursue [1] 62/18 put [4] 48/3 48/19 48/22 49/17``` | reflect [3] 2/15 53/19 | 59/22 |
|  |  | re |
| Q | regardless [1] 47/4 | 23/2 |
| quadruple [1] 58/18 | reiterated [1] $4 / 24$ | 24/10 24/16 32/9 40/19 41/2 |
| quality [1] $35 / 3$ <br> quantifiable [1] 39/19 |  | $41 / 1041 / 1638 / 12$ $63 / 2264 / 864 / 12$ |
|  |  | $67 / 1368 / 568 / 14$ |
| quantitation [1] 34/6quantities [1] $40 / 6$ | related [1] 23/4 | risk [2] 48/20 48/22 |
|  | 4] $23 / 23$ | risks [2] 16/3 16/7 |
| quantities [1] $40 / 6$quantity [1] $34 / 9$quarrel [1] $45 / 3$ |  | Rivers' [1] 51/14 |
|  | release [7] 46/24 48/23 51/1 57/16 | Rivad [1] 32/5 real |
| quarrel [1] 45/3 quarreling [1] 48/1 | 57/1757/19 58/8 | routinely [1] 35/1 |


| R | [1] 61/18 | sounded [1] 63/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RPR [2] 1/22 69/19 | severity [2] 61/25 62/9 | eaking [1] 4/7 |
| Ruff [1] 20/23 | sexual [6] $7 / 2113 / 1213 / 2414 / 9$ | special [4] 1/14 2/9 2/14 43/10 |
| rule [11] 3/10 3/14 3/21 4/2 4/4 | 17/5 18/15 | (3) |
| 4/16 4/25 20/13 29/8 44/17 55/23 | shall [1] 42/11 | specifically [5] 7/1 25/24 40/22 |
| Rule 3.6[1] 4/16 | share [2] 51/22 64/ | 41/18 57/14 |
| ruled [4] 24/4 33/12 41/963/2 | Sheriff [1] 5/7 | specifics [1] 16/9 |
| rules [3] 6/11 11/17 26/13 | Sheriff's [1] 5/8 | spectrum [1] 29/21 |
| ruling [6] 11/12 17/16 64/7 64/24 | shifting [1] 15/23 | spoken [2] 28/11 68/12 |
| 65/367/14 | short [2] 23/25 68/9 | ss [1] 69/1 |
| run [3] 38/4 39/17 56/16 | shorthand [1] 69/10 | staff [1] 28/19 |
| runaway [1] 57/3 | should [11] 2/15 4/17 4/18 6/21 | stage [1] 61/12 |
| S | 28/16 28/20 40/15 52/23 61/22 | stand [3] 11/4 48/6 48/2 |
| sa | show [1] 28 | standards [2] 34/23 34 |
| said [2] 64/3 69/13 | showing [2] 12/25 58/1) | Stanton [1] 2/24 |
| Salvage [1] 46/13 | shut [1] $35 / 18$ | start [4] 9/7 13/7 62/19 65/25 |
| same [12] 19/19 22/1 22/16 28/15 | shutdown [1] 59/9 | Sarted [1] 12/20 |
| 38/5 42/5 42/6 42/17 42/18 52/16 | side [5] 6/13 52/12 52/12 53/7 | [88] |
| 58/1 64/15 | 55/3 | State's [15] 5/25 6/3 |
| sample [1] 39/12 | sides | 27/5 28/18 41/4 44/8 52/6 53/7 |
| satisfied [1] 64/23 | signed [1] 23/14 | 53/9 54/17 57/20 58/2 67/17 |
| say [9] 14/13 17/25 22/16 30/13 | significant [3] 60/6 61/14 63/25 | stated [1] 61/3 |
| 49/18 50/8 58/5 62/17 64/12 | signing [1] 64/3 | statement [15] |
| saying [2] 13/5 50/2 | similar [2] 14/20 29/10 | 14/4 14/17 17/7 19/2 19/13 19/21 |
| says [5] 15/19 19/16 38/12 42/25 | simply [9] 16/21 19/3 20/17 21/23 | 20/6 20/25 21/19 21/20 60/25 |
| 43/1 | 26/7 27/1 29/5 31/13 40/13 | 61/4 |
| scan [1] 35 | since [11] 6/8 9/6 50/8 51/2 52/ | statements [18] |
| scandal [1] 30/6 | 52/24 53/1 53/12 57/11 59/23 | 19/7 19/23 20/4 20/20 21/11 |
| scheduling [4] $23 / 1865 / 566 / 25$ | 64/6 | 21/13 21/17 21/24 22/4 22/17 |
| 67/3 | sit [5] 27/17 48/7 53/4 66/11 | 22/20 22/23 24/5 53/13 58/16 |
| scope [1] 5/9 | 66/22 | states [6] 20/3 22/15 28/12 29/17 |
| screened [2] 33/19 33/21 | sits [2] 47/13 47/18 | 29/18 30/19 |
| scrubs [1] 28/15 | sitting [2] 48/9 49/12 | stations [1] 6/19 |
| search [4] 27/11 37/19 50/13 | situation [3] 20/18 39/21 49/1 | status [1] 54/1 |
| 56/22 | six [3] 12/7 29/14 37/24 | statute [14] 41/16 42/21 42/25 |
| searched [1] | Sixth [1] 11/14 | 43/4 43/8 43/13 43/14 43/17 |
| searches [1] 56/21 | skipping [1] 48/12 | 44/17 53/6 55/8 55/21 58/1 62/25 |
| searching [1] 39/10 | slow [1] 16/5 | statutes [5] 26/1 41/15 46/3 57/14 |
|  | small [1] 37/21 | 60/15 |
| second [1] 52/13 | so [56] 3/8 6/24 10/3 11/9 13/7 | statutory [1] 32/21 |
| secondly [1] 39/17 | 13/20 16/15 18/1619/20 22/19 | stay [1] 49/20 |
| Section [3] 41/1842/8 42/20 | 23/1 23/5 26/1 27/21 28/20 29/1 | stenographic [1] 69/9 |
| Secure [4] $46 / 551 / 1852 / 252 / 21$ | 31/3 33/4 34/18 35/6 35/9 35/14 | step [1] 32/1 |
| security [7] 28/6 33/2 33/6 35/23 | 35/20 35/24 37/3 37/12 37/17 | Steve [8] 2/23 13/12 14/6 14/14 |
| 37/7 45/7 45/17 | 37/24 38/2 38/3 38/3 39/21 40/17 | 16/20 46/16 |
| see [9] 23/18 24/1 28/3 29/1 35/3 | 44/5 44/23 46/25 49/15 50/10 | STEVEN [6] 1/6 1/17 $2 / 216 / 22$ |
| 43/12 51/6 51/7 67/24 | 50/11 50/19 52/3 56/6 57/7 58/3 | 19/1753/11 |
| seeking [1] 56/4 | 58/4 63/2 63/13 64/8 65/10 66/6 | stick [1] 56/15 |
| seeks [1] 20/5 | 66/9 66/22 67/10 67/18 68/3 | still [3] 34/12 37/25 62/18 |
| seems [2] 43/14 44/17 | 68/13 | stopped [1] 55/13 |
| sees [1] 50/24 | solvent [1] 46/2 | stopping [1] 55/22 |
| seized [7] 10/12 24/21 27/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { some }[27] 4 / 45 / 128 / 2214 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | STRANG[37] 1/15 2/22 2/24 4/1 |
| 37/16 37/1837/21 68/3 | 16/1 22/9 23/18 26/21 26/22 | 4/21 4/22 6/5 7/3 7/25 8/6 8/11 |
| send [1] 36/8 | 28/22 29/15 29/19 29/22 33/9 | 9/9 9/17 9/19 10/7 11/1 11/16 |
| senior [1] 51/23 | 33/23 38/19 43/10 43/16 47/11 | 11/19 12/12 18/21 19/6 19/8 23/8 |
| sensitive [3] 35/20 35/23 48/9 | 47/11 50/19 51/15 54/15 54/16 | 25/8 32/9 44/24 45/1 45/2 52/10 |
| sentence [1] 56/8 | 57/24 58/7 68/13 | 52/1753/3 55/17 55/23 56/2 |
| separate [3] 7/14 7/20 39/11 separately [1] 24/12 | somebody [1] 19/10 | 64/16 64/21 67/12 |
| separately [1] 24/12 <br> September [2] 66/9 66/17 | somehow [2] 27/25 29/3 | Strang's [3] 23/3 52/12 55/3 |
| September [2] 66/9 66/17 <br> September 5 [1] 66/17 | someone [6] 15/17 15/21 21/23 | strange [1] 58/5 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { September } 5[1] \\ & \text { sequential }[1] \quad 66 / 17 / 17 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 / 235 / 1348 / 8 \\ & \text { something [16] } 5 / 14 \text { 10/2 } 18 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | streamed [1] strength [4] $53 / 9$ 53/10 60/1 |
| sequentially [1] 12/17 | $31 / 1933 / 2240 / 147 / 1447 / 16$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { strenc } \\ 60 / 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| Seraphim [1] 15/2 | 49/13 50/15 51/3 54/12 54/13 | strict [2] 34/22 34/24 |
| series [1] 7/23 | 56/12 63/25 65/11 | strictly [1] 23/23 |
| seriously [1] 37/13 | sometime [1] 63/20 | strike [1] 16/24 |
| set[12] 12/4 29/2 34/11 37/9 45/5 | somewhat [2] 25/5 61/19 | stringent [1] 34/22 |
| 46/1 51/2 51/19 55/15 58/20 65/9 | sorry [1] 32/11 | studies [1] 29/16 |
| 66/22 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sets [2] } 46 / 2454 / 15 \\ & \text { setting [2] } 60 / 1663 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 / 9 \\ & \text { sound [3] } 15 / 25 \quad 38 / 2266 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subject [1] } 43 / 3 \\ & \text { submit [1] } 33 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |

submitted [2] 42/2 42/14
subsequent [4] 17/18 27/4 39/12 40/16
substantial [1] 53/2
such [10] 5/6 21/13 21/25 22/4
34/24 35/14 41/3 41/9 41/14 63/2
sufficiency [7] 8/2 8/8 8/15 8/25
9/18 21/5 21/8
sufficient [9] $9 / 6$ 21/4 22/5 22/23
23/14 39/8 39/10 40/5 63/7
suggest [4] 18/11 43/14 57/3 63/4
suggested [1] 64/2
suggesting [3] 31/7 50/3 63/14
suggests [3] 26/19 27/3 49/18
summarize [1] 9/8
supply [1] 22/18
support [1] 17/8
suppose [2] 65/6 65/22
supposed [3] 28/8 49/20 49/21
Supreme [4] 3/10 4/24 15/12 20/3
sure [7] 9/2 9/4 15/2 30/13 30/18
56/23 65/3
sureties [1] 46/2
surety [2] 45/7 54/20
surgical [1] 28/15


## T

table [4] 2/24 6/12 7/2 13/8
tacitly [1] 50/3
take [17] 3/4 3/18 5/1 24/17 27/7
27/12 30/22 33/20 33/25 34/13
38/18 52/15 52/23 58/24 59/15
60/15 68/6
taken [4] 31/25 59/14 67/23 69/9
takes [3] 33/24 34/20 65/22
taking[2] 40/20 61/21
talk [3] 19/5 29/16 56/7
talked [1] 50/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { talking [5] } & 5 / 11 & 16 / 4 & 33 / 1437 / 17\end{array}$ 56/21
tape [1] 35/14
technically [1] 6/6
technicians [1] 25/17
telephone [2] 3/7 65/4
television [2] 6/18 56/18
tell [2] 50/23 63/15
Ten [1] 48/7
tendered [1] 57/6
tendering [1] 45/13
tenders [1] 16/16
Teresa [1] 10/19
terms [1] 56/17
Tesheneck [3] 1/22 69/4 69/19
test [10] 26/24 27/5 27/11 29/20
30/16 37/24 39/12 39/20 39/22
40/1 1
tested [3] 37/17 38/1 67/22
testify [2] 20/16 50/4
testimonial [1] 55/9
testimony [3] 20/8 20/14 22/11
testing [34] 24/18 24/23 25/12
25/15 25/18 26/21 26/21 27/21
29/2 30/1 31/12 32/17 33/1 33/23
34/4 36/8 37/5 39/4 40/6 40/23
40/24 41/3 41/12 43/2 44/8 44/12
44/15 66/6 66/15 67/6 67/15
67/17 67/18 68/1
tests [6] 26/3 26/11 27/4 27/8
29/22 44/1
than [13] 16/18 23/21 41/24 49/21
$52 / 354 / 1358 / 16$ 60/9 60/22
61/12 61/20 63/13 63/20
Thank[12] 7/9 9/10 12/11 19/25 $32 / 832 / 1437 / 952 / 958 / 2359 / 13$ 68/19 68/20

## Thanksgiving [1] 47/19

 that [435]that's [43] $4 / 8$ 8/16 8/18 8/24 14/14 15/21 16/21 17/2 19/12 19/25 22/7 22/13 22/24 23/14 $31 / 331 / 1432 / 733 / 833 / 23$ 36/15 38/15 38/16 38/24 39/5 39/19
39/19 41/5 43/22 46/22 52/4 55/6 $55 / 1255 / 2158 / 1361 / 364 / 13$ 64/19 65/2 65/7 67/6 67/19 68/2 68/13
their [15] $2 / 518 / 1119 / 2126 / 19$ 28/4 28/19 28/19 29/4 29/7 30/9 32/16 34/4 34/16 34/25 62/23
them [10] $26 / 14$ 26/16 32/22 37/21 37/22 49/6 49/17 59/1 59/24 66/9 then [19] $7 / 8$ 9/8 $18 / 3$ 19/5 $26 / 6$ 26/9 27/16 28/23 33/23 34/5 $34 / 1034 / 1236 / 1245 / 1452 / 15$ 56/9 59/1 64/24 65/4
there [50] 4/4 4/17 4/18 6/19 10/7 10/10 12/19 13/7 17/5 18/16 20/1 21/22 24/5 25/19 25/21 25/23 26/1 5 26/17 30/7 30/23 32/4 32/6 33/21 34/9 35/20 36/9 36/10 $37 / 1237 / 2037 / 2438 / 338 / 839 / 1$ 39/3 39/8 39/8 39/18 39/22 41/2 41/9 41/14 41/23 43/10 43/19 45/16 58/9 61/6 63/16 66/7 68/5 there's [14] 14/12 17/12 17/23 28/22 31/23 34/5 36/6 38/4 38/6 44/6 47/3 53/23 57/1 57/4
thereafter [1] 69/11
therefore [3] 31/7 44/18 54/19 therefrom [1] 9/16
these [14] 7/19 11/24 15/7 18/14 18/22 19/4 30/22 33/18 38/19 38/20 39/1 46/2 53/5 67/2 they [49] 3/17 4/1 4/8 $11 / 8$ 12/8 16/21 19/3 19/10 19/22 22/5 22/23 25/15 27/8 28/3 28/8 29/9 $33 / 433 / 1233 / 1933 / 2134 / 434 / 8$ $34 / 2135 / 135 / 235 / 335 / 1138 / 21$ 38/23 39/9 39/13 39/15 39/17 $44 / 13$ 45/20 49/8 49/8 49/18 50/8 50/8 56/23 62/6 62/24 62/24 62/25 65/14 66/7 66/9 67/24
thing [3] 6/13 38/11 43/24
things [10] $6 / 19$ 18/20 31/1 39/14
40/4 43/15 48/6 59/23 65/8 67/2
think [33] 4/15 4/23 5/10 11/15
15/8 20/17 23/3 25/5 25/6 25/10 25/25 26/17 27/10 31/10 32/3 $32 / 535 / 1537 / 2039 / 739 / 13$ $41 / 17$ 43/21 46/10 54/17 55/22 59/22 61/2 62/21 62/25 65/24 66/13 66/17 66/20
thinks [1] 5/15
this [109]
those [33] 5/1 8/4 10/21 10/22
11/13 11/14 11/1717/1118/6 18/11 20/18 22/11 22/22 24/8 25/17 26/13 28/9 30/19 30/20 36/9 36/16 36/21 38/4 44/5 45/24 49/5 52/16 53/21 53/23 54/11 61/21 62/7 67/10
though [3] 54/3 60/4 62/21
thought [2] 38/21 61/6
|threat [2] 19/17 29/3
three [13] 7/20 12/21 16/24 16/25
17/12 17/16 18/6 24/2 24/8 33/10 34/13 50/14 63/23
through [9] 6/17 12/17 20/6 30/1 30/5 35/12 38/9 39/18 58/17
throw [1] 11/20
tighten [1] 57/17
tighter [1] 57/24
time [31] $2 / 13 / 95 / 207 / 58 / 58 / 9$ 8/14 12/23 22/1 25/3 26/13 26/14 $31 / 2031 / 2333 / 638 / 543 / 2148 / 7$
$51 / 1152 / 25$ 53/1 55/15 59/5
59/10 59/12 59/19 61/6 61/8 63/7 63/8 64/15
timely [1] 66/8
times [2] 50/13 50/14
timetable [1] 67/11
timing [4] 8/1 8/22 17/2 67/5
title [1] 51/20
today [21] $3 / 37 / 313 / 2314 / 16$
43/9 44/20 45/4 47/13 47/18
49/18 52/4 59/25 62/13 62/20
63/3 63/13 64/5 64/11 66/25
68/10 68/18
today's [4] 13/2 23/17 40/20 59/7
together [4] 9/15 19/16 26/2 36/23
too [3] 9/23 15/20 49/11
torture [3] 50/5 50/15 50/16
toss [1] 13/25
total [1] 36/11
toward [1] 47/23
towards [1] 26/25
trailer [1] 50/17
transcribed [1] 69/11
transcript [4] 1/21 49/7 69/8 69/12
transcription [1] 69/11
transparency [3] 27/19 31/13
31/15
transparent [1] 25/12
trial [21] 3/12 11/3 11/6 11/13
11/15 11/18 11/24 11/24 14/18
$18 / 22$ 19/4 20/5 22/13 42/5 42/17
54/2 55/11 55/19 66/9 66/17 67/3
tried [1] 61/7
tries [1] 57/3
trouble [1] 51/24
true [4] 31/3 39/1 68/2 69/12
truth [1] 27/1
truthful [2] 15/8 16/19
try [4] 3/16 38/18 39/20 56/16
trying [4] 21/23 35/13 48/11 49/9
turns [1] 28/22
TV [1] 30/25
two [6] $7 / 1313 / 18$ 16/6 30/11 34/1351/14
type [3] 28/15 33/23 43/24
types [2] 29/10 40/10
typing [1] 35/12
U
U.S [1] 15/12
ugly [2] 14/12 49/16
ultimate [1] 31/2
ultimately [1] 10/18
unable [1] 11/8
uncle [1] 19/17
under[11] 3/10 3/21 11/18 22/14 26/4 26/11 36/21 43/11 57/13 60/5 62/25
understand [14] 5/14 6/9 7/25
24/23 32/10 33/13 37/14 38/2
38/8 41/13 41/22 48/2 48/10
understand... [1] 66/21
understanding [7] 3/11 4/7 5/3
5/12 8/12 37/1 64/19
understands [1] 9/25
understood [1] 64/6
unequivocal [1] 61/4
unincumbered [1] 45/24
unique [2] 11/15 25/6
United [2] 20/3 22/14
unless [3] 8/5 45/15 47/8
unnamed [1] 36/1
unnecessary [1] 18/6
unrelated [4] 7/23 12/9 18/12 18/14
unreliability [2] 12/15 17/4
unreliable [4] 15/22 16/13 16/14 17/7
until [3] 8/6 39/13 60/11
unusual [2] 25/5 26/17
up [23] 3/4 3/18 6/20 6/21 24/17
26/12 28/21 28/21 29/2 30/16
$34 / 11$ 37/9 39/4 40/1 40/20 47/14
56/22 56/24 57/2 57/17 65/9 67/23 68/6
upon [7] 7/17 12/6 21/11 32/15
36/24 37/7 42/9
us [3] 66/16 67/20 67/23
use [2] 14/8 46/4
used [12] 20/14 20/20 21/18 22/4
22/16 22/18 22/21 39/4 42/6
42/18 53/22 62/4
usefully [1] 13/23
using [1] $45 / 16$
utmost [1] 33/3
V
value [8] 33/22 45/23 45/24 46/12
46/15 46/16 47/4 47/6
variety [1] 14/25
various [1] 28/12
versus [1] 20/23
very [27] 3/1 4/15 15/16 15/24
$\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 12 & 18 / 1 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 25 & 26 / 17 & 28 / 9\end{array}$
28/14 30/14 33/4 34/6 34/22
35/23 35/23 37/21 38/16 38/22
46/11 49/18 49/18 51/11 54/17
66/17 66/20
video [2] 7/1 35/13
videotape [3] 25/1731/20 37/5
videotaped [3] 24/23 32/18 40/25
videotaping [2] 32/23 35/17
view [3] 27/20 40/15 59/24
viewing [1] 36/25
violated [2] $45 / 15$ 57/16
violation [3] 57/18 57/23 58/7
violations [1] 12/4
violence [3] 53/22 54/16 60/8
virtually [1] 20/15
visited [1] 53/12
voluntarily [1] 19/18
W
wading [1] 46/25
wait [2] 8/6 64/6
walk [1] 12/16
want [9] 24/2 24/8 40/3 51/19
51/20 65/12 66/11 66/21 66/22
wanted [4] 50/22 55/1 57/9 57/17
wants [5] 8/5 51/13 55/17 56/7
56/22
warning [1] 66/12
warrant [4] $26 / 1827 / 1137 / 19$
warranted [1] 54/7
warrants [1] 50/14
was [37] 6/15 10/4 10/12 11/2
12/19 13/10 15/3 15/19 15/20
15/20 21/3 27/11 29/8 30/2 30/3
31/9 35/8 35/9 41/1 41/2 41/8
41/9 42/21 43/25 49/4 49/7 49/9
49/14 53/1 54/1 57/11 58/14 60/9
61/8 61/18 63/16 64/3
Waukesha [1] 65/20
way [9] 15/24 27/4 28/7 28/15
29/1 31/2 31/11 63/9 65/4
ways [1] 45/16
we [58] 3/4 4/14 5/6 5/11 6/14 6/16 8/11 $11 / 912 / 913 / 1$ 16/8
17/3 17/13 17/20 19/12 23/18 23/25 24/14 29/12 30/14 30/21
30/21 31/10 31/10 32/7 39/21
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. This matter is scheduled this morning for a Court decision on a motion that's been filed by the defense. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, the State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, having been appointed as special prosecutor in this case. The State also appears this morning by Tom Fallon. Tom is with the Department of Justice, also having been assigned special prosecutor in this matter.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning. Steven Avery, second to my right, he's in custody. Dean Strang appearing on his behalf and Jerome Buting, also as counsel for Mr. Avery.

THE COURT: All right. I will indicate for the record that the motion that's the subject of the hearing today is a motion that was filed by the defense, renewing a previous motion that the new charges in the Amended Complaint should be dismissed, or in the alternative, if the Court permits the filing of the charges, that the defendant be entitled to a preliminary examination
on the new charges.
I will also indicate for the record that I met with counsel in chambers, briefly, before we began this morning. And as I understand it, the defense would like the opportunity to supplement it's written argument, which the Court has already received and reviewed, and the prosecution would like a chance to respond. Is that correct, Mr. Strang?

ATTORNEY STRANG: It is.
THE COURT: All right. I will hear you at this time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I will not belabor the written arguments nor repeat arguments made at the initial oral motion to dismiss the Complaint. Leaving, in summary, my argument on the motion to dismiss the Complaint, that when the United States Supreme Court, probably close to half a dozen times since 1968, has explained that statements against a declarant's interest, that then go on to inculpate another person, are unreliable, that those sorts of unreliable statements fail Wisconsin's reliability requirement for the factual assertions in a Criminal Complaint. The Court already has ruled adversely to me on that. I have renewed the motion in writing
and I will leave argument there on that point.
As to the question of a preliminary hearing, if the Amended Complaint is allowed to stand, I confess that I had been -- become occupied, if not preoccupied, with Burke and Bailey and the profusion of case law, criminally, from the Wisconsin Supreme Court that make very thick, I think, intellectually interesting, very complicated, the law in an area in which the underlying statutes, at least to my eye, look fairly straight forward, but now have been construed, or rather with such gloss that there's nothing at all straight forward about the area of the law. And I became very interested in that, and that case law, and what it all means, where it sorts out and applies here, as the focus of my briefs, I think also the focus of the State's written submissions.

And so I have written arguments that suggest to the Court why it should grant a preliminary hearing here and I think over looked a statutory command that the Court shall order a preliminary hearing in the unusual procedural posture in which we find ourselves here today.

We step back to early in mid-March. The

State's request was for leave to file an Amended Complaint and leave to file and Amended Information. We took issue with the first of those requests, to file an Amended Complaint. That was the briefing, our opposition to an Amended Complaint, or to the request that he be granted to file an Amended Complaint, was the thrust of the briefing that brought us here the last time before your Honor.

The Court overruled my position and did grant the State's request to leave to file an Amended Complaint, that occasioned by oral motion to dismiss the Complaint for want of probable cause. And we covered that ground already.

But we are not here today on a request for leave to file an Amended Information only. We're here with an Amended Complaint now having been filed on leave of the Court, no initial appearance having been made on that Amended Criminal Complaint. And I think, therefore, we're within the field covered by Wisconsin Statute Section 970.02, and for our purposes here, narrowly 970.02 (5), which governs the initial appearance and what's to happen and -- at and after the initial appearance.

And the question is, or the statutory command is, that if the defendant does not waive the preliminary examination, the Judge shall, forthwith, set the action for a preliminary examination under Section 970.03. That's the preliminary examination we seek. We're certainly not waiving it.

The question becomes somewhat circular because, if we don't have a right to preliminary examination for some reason in the first place, then there's nothing that we would be asked to waive or, properly, could resist waiving. I don't have any case law construing 970.02 (5) in this setting, or anything that $I$ can offer today from which the Court might draw guidance, other than statutory language.

But the procedural posture, I think, is indisputable. We are here with the Court having granted leave to file an Amended Complaint, which is what the State had requested. We are here on an Amended Complaint. There has not been an initial appearance on that Amended Complaint. The Amended Complaint adds three new charges, not before seen in the course of this case to date. We, of course, have disputed whether
those are transactionally related to and derived from the evidence at the earlier preliminary hearing. We think they aren't, the State thinks they are. But the fact is, this is a new Complaint and it is a Complaint on which the case, as to the three new charges, presently is founded.

We don't waive the preliminary hearing. We think we have a right to it. And we think the statutory command is clear, that the Court shall schedule a preliminary hearing forthwith on the three new counts.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz, or Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, Mr. Fallon wrote the brief on this issue. And with leave of the Court, I would like him to argue this today.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Thank you, Judge. Good morning. I think I feel compelled to address counsel's concern regarding the posture of the case and whether or not an additional preliminary examination is needed at this time.

We're firmly convinced that no such examination is needed for both a practical reason
and a legal reason. The legal reason being, quite frankly, is he's not entitled to one. And I say that because, interestingly enough, the defendant has received a benefit to the fact that the State sought and did, in fact, file an Amended Complaint, which was jurisdictionally unnecessary.

The defendant has been provided far more information relative to the additional charges than the law in Wisconsin normally permits. So he has received a benefit already, one to which he was not entitled, one in which, as a result of which, no preliminary examination is required under the law.

Once the original charges are filed, once a Court finds probable cause at a preliminary examination and binds the matter over for trial, the Information becomes the governing procedural document. The document upon which this Court may exercise its authority and power to determine the course of action for the parties.

In this particular case, additional information came to pass, which ethically permits the prosecutor, and also based on the transaction
related law that each side has briefed rather thoroughly, to add additional charges. Assume, for the sake of argument, this Court had granted the defense motion to dismiss the Complaint. Would the state be precluded from being in the exact posture we're in right now? Absolutely not.

As the Court is aware, and the parties are aware, the cases of State vs. Bailey, State vs. Burke, State vs. Richer, State vs. Williams, State vs. Akers (sic) State vs. Bury, all occurred and were litigated in the context of post-probable cause, based upon the original Complaint and a subsequent preliminary examination and no additional or Amended Complaints after bindover.

So, in effect, the defendant has received a benefit, based on a local custom and practice, to provide additional information should, in the average felony case, the result, a change of plea. The parties would have a factual basis upon which to make a determination to accept a plea, to refer the matter for further presentence investigations, what have you. A current local custom and practice, but one which
is not jurisdictionally required and nor should it be.

So, even if the Court had granted the motion to say, no, I'm not going to let the State file an Amended Complaint with these additional counts, the State would not be precluded from seeking leave to amend the Information for the reasons stated in the State's brief, that the additional charges are transactionally related, or to borrow the phrase, not wholly unrelated to the transaction which was the subject of the preliminary examination, that is, the murder and mutilation of Teresa Halbach.

I would also note, parenthetically, that even if we were to get it wrong, so to speak, any error relative to preliminary examination is cured by a fair, impartial jury trial. And that's State vs. Webb. And I see that possibility of no error because he is not entitled to a preliminary examination and, thus, looking at the law as just cited in Bailey, Burke, Richer, Williams, Akins, Bury, the case that followed Bailey, I'm not going to reiterate the points of my brief, $I$ think they are very clear.

The State is entitled to add the additional charges because they are transactionally related and whether we have -there's no legal requirement, there's no jurisdictional imperative to have a preliminary examination ordered on those Complaints because those Complaints were not required by law. They were not jurisdictionally mandated. They were not necessary. They were provided as a courtesy. And I would note that 99 percent of the defendants in Wisconsin law find themselves wondering, well, jeez, how did that prosecutor add these additional counts. None of them had the benefit of the additional information being provided in the Complaints, because they are not necessary. They are not required. They do not provide the jurisdictional predicate that the defense seems to suggest that they do.

So, he has received the benefit. He has received notice. He has received the information. He is, in effect, better off at this early stage in the proceedings than all the other defendants who may find themselves in this posture. So, that's the equitable argument. The legal argument is there's no jurisdictional
basis. They are not required and they are unnecessary.

So, we ask the Court to decide this
strictly in the context of whether or not a prosecutor may seek leave and amend the Information, based on the theory that the additional counts are either: One, directly flow from evidence adduced at preliminary examination or, as we theoretically and actually posit in our brief, the additional charges are transactionally related to the information, to the subject matter which was testified to at preliminary examination. We thank the Court.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm very pleased to hear my colleague, a very skilled lawyer, concede here that the Complaint was unnecessary, the Amended Complaint was unnecessary. I don't know that I fully can accept his gracious concession to the extent that he qualifies it by saying jurisdictionally unnecessary, but it is gratifying to hear the concession that this Amended Complaint was unnecessary.

> Only lawyers, though -- I think only
lawyers could imagine that that unnecessary

Complaint conferred a benefit on Steve Avery. The benefit is then that he has been pilloried in the press on the basis of unreliable, inadmissible, hearsay accusations in the Complaint. Repeatedly pilloried in the press. The benefit has been that this Court explicitly cited that information in the Complaint as part of the reason for raising his bail from a half million dollars to three quarter of a million dollars cash. The benefit is that we are here today fighting simply to have the State stand beside and submit to the minimal testing of a preliminary hearing, the information that it has spread before the public in this Amended Complaint and by comments to the news media that tracked some of the allegations of the Criminal Complaint. And the State resists the minimal testing that occurs at a preliminary hearing in this State where it is required only to establish probable cause.

So, I don't share the sense that any benefit has been conferred on Mr. Avery by this Amended Complaint. I do have the sense that it introduces altogether something new in this case. And I think everybody watching, or listening, or
sitting behind me today, understands that there are altogether new things that the State has been alleging since early March, against Mr. Avery. And those ought to be tested by preliminary hearing.

THE COURT: All right. By way of background, the Court notes first that the initial charges in the initial Complaint in this case charged the defendant with first-degree intentional homicide, mutilation of a corpse, and a felon in possession of a firearm. The State sought permission, and the Court granted permission, for the State to file an Amended Complaint adding the charges of first-degree sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment.

The defendant's motion before the Court today raises two separate issues. First, the defense renews its argument that the Amended Complaint should be dismissed on its face, or in the alternative, the defense also argues that if the Court permits the filing of an Amended Complaint, the defendant is entitled to a preliminary examination on the new charges.

The Court will first, briefly, readdress the argument regarding the sufficiency of the

Complaint. The Court has already ruled that the State is permitted to add the new charges in the Amended Complaint, and I don't believe there's a reason for the Court to reconsider that ruling at this time.

There is no claim of prejudice on the part of the defense, based on the lack of time to answer the new charges. The defense alleges that there is no reliable information in the Amended Complaint to support the new charges. However, the statements of the alleged co-defendant can, in this Court's opinion, be used to support the charges in the Amended Complaint under the law in the case of Ruff vs. State, which I cited at the last hearing. And the Court still believes that case to be the law in the State.

With respect to the reliability of statements of the alleged co-defendant that form the basis of the new allegations, the Court cannot presume that that witness won't be available to testify. The development of the law in the area of confrontation certainly suggests that if he doesn't testify, the State will have a difficult time supporting the allegations, based on the statements attributable to the
co-defendant.
But the Court is not aware of any law that wouldn't find that the co-defendant's statements would not be relevant if he did testify. And I believe they still can form the basis of the charges in the Amended Complaint. Therefore, the Court does not find a basis for denying the State's request to file and Amended Complaint.

The next logical issue to take up here is the new argument raised by the defense today. And that is, whether or not the defense is entitled to a preliminary examination upon the filing of new charges in the anticipated new Information, is the defendant entitled to a preliminary examination under Section 970.02 based on the filing of an Amended Complaint.

The parties did not brief that issue in written form, but both parties have informed the Court today that they are not aware of any relevant case law. So we're left with the language of Section 970.02 itself.

Significant in the Court's mind is the title of that statute. It is the duty of the judge at the initial appearance. I don't believe
that the filing of an Amended Complaint triggers a new initial appearance in this case. It can result in the defendant responding to the charges, but $I$ don't believe that a second initial appearance is contemplated within the meaning of the statute; 970.02 (5) says, if the defendant does not waive preliminary examination the judge shall forthwith set the action for a preliminary examination understand 970.03.

Implicit in the statute is that there's a right of a preliminary examination to waive. And I think that merely postpones the question to the one that the parties have addressed in -- at length in their written briefs, and that is, is the defendant entitled to a preliminary examination upon the filing of additional charges, after the bindover.

I agree with the -- I believe both parties today, that the case law as it is developed does not appear to require, nor does the statutes require, the State to file an Amended Complaint as a condition precedent to adding charges in the Information. The fact that the State has elected to do so and provide the -everyone with the alleged factual basis for the
additional charges, I'm not sure how, absent some specific wording in the statutes requiring it, that that fact alone would add anything to the argument that the defendant should be entitled to a preliminary examination.

It does provide the defendant with notice of the factual basis for the State's charges. And I think that that's a benefit to the defense in the sense that it alerts the defense as to what the basis for the new charges are going to be. So, I don't find anything in Section 970.02 that would independently trigger a right to an additional preliminary examination in this case.

The Court will move on then to what both of the parties have focused on in the written briefs as the primary argument, and that is, when the State seeks to add charges in an Information, that were not the subject of the Complaint at the time of the original preliminary examination, is the defendant entitled to a second preliminary examination on the new charges.

I will first note that the factual basis for the defendant's claim of entitlement to an additional preliminary examination is largely
undisputed. The State did not produce any evidence to support the charges it seeks to add, at the time of the original preliminary examination. In fact, the State does not claim it was in possession of any such evidence to support those charges at the time of the original prelim. There is no specific evidence in the record from the original preliminary examination that would support the additional charges.

As noted by the parties in their briefs, the question of whether the State can add charges not included in the original Complaint, after a defendant has been bound over for trial following a preliminary examination, has been the subject of extensive litigation over the years.

The governing statutes themselves are not particularly clear on their face as far as providing an answer to this question. And the Supreme Court decisions dealing with the issue have not always been unanimously decided.

The starting point is Section 971.01 (1), which provides that the district attorney shall exam all the facts and circumstances connected with any preliminary examination touching the commission of any crime. If the
defendant has been bound over for trial and subject to Section 970.03 (10), shall file an Information according to the evidence on such examination, subscribing his or her name thereto. The statute is somewhat ambiguous on its face and susceptible to different interpretation. One interpretation certainly might be that the district attorney is limited to pursuing only those charges supported by evidence produced at the preliminary examination. However, the Supreme Court has held many times that that is not the law in this state and the defense in this case does not argue otherwise.

The question then becomes, what is the test for determining whether the State can add additional charges. The test was stated in the case of State vs. Richer reported at 174 Wis. 2d, 231, by the Supreme Court as follows:

From our discussion in Leicham to our recent decision in Burke, we have seen a broadening of prosecutorial discretion from a rule limiting charges to those supported strictly within the confines of the evidence adduced at the preliminary, to a rule granting prosecutors the discretion to charge, in the Information, any
felony that is, quote, "not wholly unrelated", end quote, to the initially charged crime.

The common denominator in all these decisions was that the charges must be related to one another, either from an evidentiary viewpoint or a transactional one. We conclude that a felony not charged in the preliminary examination can be made a count in a subsequently filed Information if there is evidence, direct or inferential, in respect to that felony, adduced at the preliminary, or if a subsequently charged felony is demonstrated by the State to be transactionally related, that is, not wholly unrelated, to one or more of the felonies for which the defendant has been bound over for trial.

This test has been adhered to in all the cases cited by each of the parties in their briefs. The parties differ concerning how they believe the standards to be applied to this case. The defense argues that the not wholly unrelated test applies to evidence introduced at the preliminary hearing itself, as opposed to the transaction, which was the subject matter of the preliminary examination.

The Court concludes that while there is such a distinction, the law is that charges can be added which do not have to be specifically related to the evidence introduced at the preliminary examination. Perhaps the closest case on the facts, to those in this case, is that of the State vs. Bailey reported at 65 Wis. 2d, 331. It's a 1974 Wisconsin Supreme Court decision.

The Complaint in that case charged Bailey with one crime, first-degree murder. The Information filed after the preliminary examination added counts of indecent behavior with a child, child enticement, and attempted child enticement. The additional charges related to the abduction of the child, who was subsequently murdered by the defendant. In upholding the prosecutor's authority to add these charges, the Court ruled as follows:

In our view of Section 970.03 (10) does not prohibit the prosecutor from including in the Information, once a defendant has been bound over, charges in addition to those advanced at the preliminary hearing, so long as they are not wholly unrelated to the transactions or facts
considered or testified to at the preliminary. This view is consistent with the legislative statement in Section 970.03 (1), that a preliminary hearing is held, quote, "for the purpose of determining if there is probable cause to believe a felony has been committed by the defendant", end quote. Once it is determined that the defendant should be bound over for trial on at least one count, the purpose of the preliminary has been satisfied and the prosecutor may, in his discretion, allege such other offenses as permitted by the limitations stated above.

In this case, assuming there is no evidence presented as to them at the preliminary, it is clear that the sex related offenses, Counts 2, 3, and 4, were not wholly unrelated to the murder count. They are related in terms of parties involved, witnesses involved, geographic proximity, time, physical evidence, motive, and intent.

There's a strong parallel between the facts recited in Bailey and those here. The child enticement counts were related to crimes that immediately preceded the murder and were
part of the motive for the murder.
Now, as the defense points out in its brief, the Court in Bailey went on to find that the facts introduced at the preliminary examination in that case would have been sufficient to bind over on the enticement counts anyway. So the language quoted could be considered dicta not necessary to the Court's decision.

And I believe that's an entirely valid distinction on the defense's part. I think the language could easily have been characterized as dicta. And, in fact, it was. It wasn't really necessary to the Court's decision because the Court found the facts introduced at the prelim by themselves would have been sufficient to support the additional counts.

However, Bailey has been cited in a number of subsequent Supreme Court decisions and the Court has never backed away from its rationale, whether or not that rationale is characterized as dicta. In fact, the Supreme Court has accepted the dicta from Bailey as the law.

For example, in the case of State vs.

Burke, the Court held as follows: Fish and Bailey hold that, in a multiple offense transaction case, once the defendant has been bound over for trial on at least one count related to the transaction, the prosecutor may, in the Information, charge additional counts not wholly unrelated. Bailey further establishes that the direct evidence related to the additional counts may not have been presented at the preliminary examination.

In the Court's opinion, we are not left to wonder how additional charges must relate to the evidence introduced at a preliminary examination in order to be includable in an Information. The test has been repeated often. To meet the test of transactionally related or not wholly unrelated, the charges must be related in terms of parties involved, witnesses involved, geographic proximity, time, physical evidence, motive and intent. That's the test that the Court is required to apply and that test can be applied in this case.

> Referring specifically to the facts in this case, the Court concludes that the new charges clearly meet the test which the Supreme

Court has established:
The parties involved in the alleged crime are the same, that is, it's the same defendant and the same victim.

The witnesses, who would be the persons alleged to be present at the time of the crime, are the same in each case.

With respect to geographic proximity, everything is alleged to have happened at the same location.

With respect to time, the new charges are alleged to have immediately preceded the homicide and mutilation of a corpse charge from the original Complaint.

In addition, the physical evidence involved is likely to significantly overlap the charges in the original Complaint and the Amended Complaint.

With respect to motive and intent, the kidnapping, false imprisonment, and sexual assault charges will form an important basis on the alleged motive for the homicide and mutilation charges.

The Court concludes that it's difficult to imagine how the additional charges could be
more closely related to the original charges in this case, than they are. Thus, the Court concludes that the State is permitted to add the new charges and the defendant is not entitled to a preliminary examination on the other charges.

For those reasons, the Court is denying the motion of the defense to dismiss the -- I will reiterate the Court's denial of the motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint. And the Court also denies the motion requesting an additional preliminary examination on the additional charges.

Mr. Kratz, I will direct you to prepare the order in this case. Procedurally, at this point, $I$ don't know if the State is prepared to proceed with an Information at this time or not. Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I think probably, Judge, the Court should schedule an arraignment at which time the Amended Information can be filed.

THE COURT: I know, Mr. Strang, you indicated previously, in the correspondence, that the defense may seek a permissive appeal from the Court's ruling if the Court ruled as it did. I don't know if the -- if the defense is going to seek
to delay with respect to arraignment or not.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, that's a question, the Court is right. And I agree with Mr. Fallon's assessment of Webb. I read that case the same way, in the sense that, if we think the Court erred on the sufficiency of the Complaint, or on our entitlement to a preliminary hearing, the only time we can raise that is now. Because the trial will certainly cleanse the error, or render it harmless, if in fact there was error.

So, this is not a usual case, the stakes are very high. Obviously, we understand what they are for Mr. Avery, for the Halbach family, for the State, people of the State. I think it prudent for us to ask the Wisconsin Court of Appeals for leave to file an appeal here, permissively, that the Court doesn't have to -that is, the Court of Appeals doesn't have to grant leave. But if I don't ask, I'm giving up my only opportunity to be heard on the correctness of the Court's ruling and to have those rulings reviewed. So, I do and will do that.

I have 10 days from the entry of the written order, I think -- 14 days, I'm sorry,
from the entry of the written order memorializing the Court's rulings. It seemed, although I don't have an answer on whether an intervening arraignment would affect the posture of a request for permissive appeal, I can tell the Court this, if we're put to an arraignment before we seek leave to file from this appeal, we will stand mute and not participate in that, not wanting to waive or imperil our position on the request for this interlocutory appeal.

So, the better practice may be to schedule the arraignment after the deadline, at least, for filing a petition for leave to take permissive appeal. I think that's probably the wiser procedural course for the Court to follow. Although counsel may well view it differently, that's the view at this table.

THE COURT: I did read the Webb case and I understand that, as a result of that case, if you want to challenge the Court's ruling you -- the lesson is pretty clear, you have to do it before the trial. I didn't see anything in there to suggest that holding an arraignment would prejudice the defendant in anyway. But on the other hand, the Court didn't really address the issue in this case.

Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: On the 9th of March I did file the Amended Information already. That's why, when I was searching, I couldn't find it, it's already been filed. If the Court can just recognize today that it's been filed, however the Court wishes to address the responsive pleading, you can do that.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I did not remember the date, but $I$ do remember seeing the proposed, at that point, Amended Information. It was, I suppose, filed conditionally on the granted leave to file, which the Court now has granted. And I certainly have a copy of the proposed Amended Information.

THE COURT: All right. Does the State have any objection to scheduling the arraignment shortly after the appeal deadline for the defense?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge, once the Court accepts, or recognizes the filing of the Information, an arraignment can be held any time.

THE COURT: Well, let's see. All right. How about 9:00 on -- or Mr. Strang, is life easier for you -- or, actually, we have Mr. Fallon traveling as well. Does 9:30 work out better for you?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, I assume Mr. Fallon
is in the same position. I have to be seated in my car three hours before the Court starts. So I wouldn't be seated in my bed at 6:00 a.m., but I also wouldn't be in my car.

THE COURT: What if we do it this way, how about 10:00 on May 30th. I will tell you, here, for security purposes, the Sheriff's Department likes to have your hearing be the first thing done in the courtroom that day. So, I have pressure on both sides here.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't know about Dean, but it doesn't matter to me, whatever is convenient for the Court and security purposes. If you want to hold this at 7:00 a.m., I will be here.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: If all we're doing is the arraignment, I suspect Mr . Fallon is not going to be here. It should be a 30 second hearing.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I will be here any time the Court sets it. I was being a little bit flippant. Yes, it's a three hour drive, but I will be here whenever the Court sets it.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Is there any chance of doing it just before noon on the 4th of May? The reason I say that, co-defendant, Mr. Dassey's
motions before Judge Fox are scheduled, I have to be here that morning anyway. And if we could -- if this is such a short hearing, if we could do it sometime later that morning on the 4 th, that would sure help my schedule.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Both Mr. Buting and I have a Criminal Law Section Board meeting for the State Bar at 11:00 on the morning of May 4th.

THE COURT: Where is that held?
ATTORNEY STRANG: That one is in Madison.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: The 3rd is fine, Judge. That's fine.

THE COURT: All right. Let's say 10:00 on the 3rd then. All right. Is there anything else that either party wants to bring up on the record this morning?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not the State, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
THE COURT: All right. If not, we're adjourned for this morning.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery. This is Case No. 05 CF 381. We're here this morning for an arraignment on the Amended Information in this case and also to hear a couple motions that have been filed by the defense. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz appearing as Special Prosecutor. Also appearing is Tom Fallon from the Department of Justice.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning. Steve Avery is here and in custody, your Honor. Dean Strang and Jerome Buting appearing on his behalf. THE COURT: All right. The Court will first take up the arraignment on the Amended Information. Has the defense -- I believe the defense has previously received a copy of the Amended Information, but $I$ would like to confirm that at this time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That is confirmed.
THE COURT: And, Mr. Strang, do I understand that, before proceeding to take a plea, the defense had a motion regarding the Information? ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, the defense
does. I confine my remarks to the three new counts in the Amended Complaint and then reiterated or repled in the Amended Information; that is, first degree sexual assault, the kidnapping and false imprisonment. As to those three counts, the Amended Complaint demonstrated no probable cause and therefore that Amended Complaint established no personal jurisdiction. I moved to dismiss it, the Court denied the motion. I renew the motion here now, understanding that the Court has ruled.

We then requested a preliminary examination on the three new counts, all three of them felonies. We were not afforded the preliminary examination. I object to that. Further, I move to dismiss the Amended Information because there is no personal jurisdiction over Mr. Avery as to the three new counts. So the three new counts in the Amended Information $I$ move to dismiss on personal jurisdiction grounds.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz, is there anything further from the State with respect to that motion?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge, the Court has already ruled, as Mr. Strang has indicated, and
we'll rely upon our argument on the previous findings of the Court.

THE COURT: All right. For reasons previously stated by the Court, the Court will deny the defense motion. The Clerk's Office informed me that there had been an interlocutory appeal filed on behalf of the defense today. I have not seen it, but I assume that the rationale for the defense motion is the subject of that appeal. But for purposes of the hearing today, the Court will deny the defense's motion to dismiss.

I should also note, with respect to the Amended Information, while it adds three new counts as Counts 4, 5, and 6, I believe it also amends Counts 1 and 2 to allege party to the crime, rather than the simple offense in the original Complaint.

Mr. Strang, to those new charges, is the defendant prepared to enter a plea this morning?

ATTORNEY STRANG: The defendant stands silent.

THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Based on the defendant standing mute, the Court will infer a plea, on the defendant's behalf, of not guilty to each of the six charges in the Amended Information.

Now, the Court has also received, and agreed to hear today, a motion on behalf of the defendant to extend the deadline for filing motions in this case and also a motion to adjourn the trial. The motion itself contains some argument in support of those requests. Mr. Strang, does the defense have anything in addition to add at this time?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, the time that's intervened since $I$ have filed this motion to extend, not quite two weeks ago I guess, hasn't diminished in any way the basis for the motion. I still seek the relief that $I$ have requested, namely a motion filing deadline applicable to both parties, on or before June 16; scheduling of a motion hearing at the convenience of the State, and the Halbach family, and the Court, and defense counsel; and a trial in 2007, some time after the holidays and accommodating holiday obligations of the Court, and families, and counsel.

We're now at about 5400 pages of discovery, give or take. The most recent search warrant executed by the State I think came the day I filed this. I think it was April 21, thereabouts. I have received a copy of at least
the hard drive of the principle evidence seized in that search warrant.

I'm informed by counsel this morning, as well, that within about 10 days, give or take, the State will be in a position to provide Crime Lab reports, relating to March 1 seizures, to the defense. So I note that if counsel's estimate is good, as I expect it is, that we would be getting the Crime Lab -- a large number of Crime Lab reports at about the time the provisional deadline for motions arrives, which is May 12, at the moment.

I think as a matter of efficiency, it makes sense here not -- or to try to avoid the piecemeal filing of motions. I realize others -that motions in limine will come much closer to a trial date. I realize as well that the State's investigation may be ongoing in this case, just as it is in almost any case. The State can't predict the course of future events any better than I can.

That said, $I$ think it makes sense to acquire what we have, that is, what the State has, look at it and file some, as far as possible, one round of motions. June 16 ought
enable the defense to do that. It is a tight timeline. This is not an issue on which I have asked for a mile hoping to get a half mile, that is not my style, or my practice, in any event.

I think June 16 is the minimum amount of time I reasonably need, with Mr. Buting, to meet my obligations to Mr. Avery under the 6th Amendment. I ask the Court to do that, as well. I think the September 5 trial date will not work, will not afford justice to the people of Wisconsin, or to the man whose liberty here is at stake for the rest of his life and will not assure that this Court sees counsel perform as the 6th Amendment and this Court demand. So I stand on the earlier requests, I don't need to reiterate what $I$ provided in writing. But that's what I can add.

THE COURT: And I understand, along with the requesting that the motion filing deadline be extended to that point, that the defendant is asking to have June 16 as a deadline to notify the Court whether or not the defendant wishes to pursue a change of venue motion as well.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes. And I would propose to be bound by that. You know, at this point I have
straddled, in a sense. I have said, and because it's true, that the defense continues to prefer a Manitowoc County jury, if we can get an impartial jury from this county. I recognize the practical obstacles to that.

I also a appreciate that the Court and the Clerk of Court have a good deal of administrative work to do if venue is to be changed. In balancing all that, it strikes me as fair that the defense take a final position on that issue. And I see no reason not to couple that with the motion deadline, if the Court will indulge our scheduling needs in that respect.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. I have several points. First, this Court, on the issue of motion extension, I believe necessarily needs to address the trial date issue first. In granting relief to the defense for moving the trial date past the current September 5th date, the Court very well may then wish to similarly extend the motion date. And let me just tell the Court that, should the Court be moving the trial date, I don't have any objection to Mr. Strang's request that the motions themselves be put off for an
additional five weeks or so. As to the trial date, the State does not necessarily object to the September 5th date being moved, at least in some some respect, given the Crime Lab analysis that has now been completed, at least now that -or what we have already submitted we believe is completed.

Mr. Strang is correct that we can't predict if there is going to be additional work in the future, but at least that what has been submitted has been completed. The reports are to be forwarded do Mr. Strang in the near future.

In considering a motion for adjournment of the trial date, this Court is required to consider the position not only of the parties, but also of the victim. Victim Rights, Section 950.04 (1v) (a)requires this Court consider the victim's position. Victim in a homicide case extends to the surviving family members.

I can tell the Court that after explaining the realities of discovery, the realities of the effective assistance of counsel, that Mr. Avery is entitled, by Mr. Strang and Mr. Buting, that the family would not object to a continuance of the trial date past September 5th.

I'm also authorized by the family to extend their request that the trial date be finished as soon as this Court is able to realistically schedule that.

The State has suggested to this Court an October 16 start date, that is, jury selection to begin on the 16th. That would, with at least the timeline we have provided the Court, have us completed by, hopefully, the 17th of November, and certainly no later than the 22 nd of Noember, which is before the Thanksgiving holiday.

That would be an extension of time, I believe sufficient, for those reasons set forth in Mr. Strang's arguments to the Court, as something certainly the State could be prepared for and could live with. And that is, in fact, my affirmative recommendation to the Court, that the trial date be then set as a firm date beginning October 16th, with motions then to be filed, both by Mr. Strang and myself, no later than the 16th of June.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, anything with respect to the date of trial, should the Court grant your request to extend the trial date from the current September date?

ATTORNEY STRANG: October 16 surely would be better than September 5, but I don't think it's adequate. It's better, but I think not sufficient. Again, the defense proposed 2007 because that's what we think is necessary to accommodate, for example, defense testing, if we need to, once we have got the Crime Lab results; to accommodate the exchange of expert witnesses; and just to get through the mass of discovery here and do the necessary defense investigation.

So, I don't want to sound inflexible. Again, October 16 is better. It's an improvement on September 5. It's not what I would prefer or I think we need.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, with respect to the request to extend the motions deadline, I think the information provided by the defense in the motion gives a number of reasons why an extension of the deadline for filing pretrial motions is appropriate.

The volume of discovery in this case is significant. And as $I$ understand it, the information that will be provided by the State to the defense has not yet to be completed, although it's expected to be completed in the near future.

The Court also recognizes that the case took somewhat of a different turn, if you will, by the filing of a Complaint against an alleged co-defendant. And the defense legitimately wishes to monitor media reports to assess its request for a chain of venue.

For all of those reasons, the Court believes that the request to extend the deadline for filing pretrial motions in this case is appropriate. I'm going to grant the defense motion to extend the deadline for filing pretrial motions in this case to June 16 th of this year.

I will ask the parties to include written argument in support of any motion they file, with the filing of the motion. I will give the other party until June 26 th to file any written response which they wish to file. And I would propose hearing the motions on Thursday, July 6th. Hopefully you have got your calendars with you.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I am not available on the 6th, Judge, in fact.

THE COURT: What about the following week.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That is, I'm leaving on the 6th, actually, to be out of the state until the

14th. I would be available on the fifth.
Certainly, the week before would work as well.
THE COURT: What about the defense?
ATTORNEY STRANG: The 5th is fine, the 6th is fine, the 10th is fine. The Court should accommodate Mr. Kratz's schedule here, so far as possible, in that we're the ones moving the motion deadline.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: The 5th should be fine.
THE COURT: All right. Let's set it for -What time on the 5th?

ATTORNEY STRANG: The sooner we can, it appears we would only have one day for that.

THE COURT: 9:30, 9:00?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I think 9:00 would be most prudent.

THE COURT: All right. Nine o'clock on July 5th. And I will hold the day for motions on that day.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, as we have informed the Court before, Mr. Strang and I will make every effort, before the 5 th, to discuss motions to be filed. And if we can reach some stipulations, we'll certainly alert the Court of that on the 5 th, or
even before.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Next, the Court will take up the defendant's motion to adjourn the trial date in this case. That request is based on a number of considerations that are set forth in the motion. One of them is -- relates to the effect of pretrial publicity. Needless to say, there has been a significant amount of pretrial publicity in this case. Though, I will note that the pace of media reports, at least, has slowed somewhat.

There have been no press conferences or direct communication from the defense attorney's to the media, recently. And the statements from both the victim's family and the defendant's family, to the press also, fortunately, have settled down somewhat.

In considering the request to adjourn the September trial date, I did take into consideration, and I am taking into consideration, all of the reasons offered by the defense for an adjournment. In that regard, the defense makes a number of supplemental requests: First that the Court rule on the defendant's motion of March 8 for an order limiting public
disclosure in this case.

In evaluating this request, I cannot help but note a reference in the defendant's motion to what purports to be exculpatory DNA evidence regarding one of the items that was seized in this case. I think the example of the reference in this to the pleadings demonstrates the difficulty of effectively keeping either side from conveying evidentiary information to the media even without direct communication.

The applicable rule that governs the attorneys in this case is SCR20 3.6. That rule provides in relevant part as follows: A lawyer shall not make an extra judicial statement that a reasonable person would expect to be disseminated by means of public communication. If the lawyer knows, or reasonably should know that it will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the matter.

The rule goes on to provide that a statement referred to in Paragraph A ordinarily is likely to have such an effect when it refers to a criminal matter and the statement relates to, among other things, the results of any
examination or test, or the identity or nature of physical evidence expected to be presented.

So the reference in the pleading in this case, had it been directly communicated to the media, would have been within the prohibition of the rule. For that reason the reference in the motion was, at least technically, not in violation of the rule. However, in the Court's opinion, the reference was not really necessary to support the motion in this case. And it was placed in a pleading, which by now both parties are well aware the media regularly reviews in this case.

Therefore, the Court concludes it's somewhat disingenuous for the defense to ask this Court to impose a gag order on the attorneys, but disseminate information to the press indirectly through a pleading where it's inclusion, for argumentative purposes the Court believes is somewhat marginal.

My criticism in this regard is not
limited to the most recent example in this pleading. I'm not at all sure that the press conference, that was held when the charges were filed against Mr. Dassey, was limited and
certainly could have an adverse affect on selecting a jury in this case.

And I will note that when I listened to one of the press reports referring to the information contained in the defendant's motion, the news reporter reported that the State had no response at this time but would reserve the right to respond to the press at a later date.

Mr. Kratz, I have no direct information of anything you told the media, but $I$ will note that I don't believe within the context of rule that if that report was accurate that there could be a direct response to the press for something that was contained in a pleading.

I'm not attempting here today really to jump down on either of you because I think each of you are trying to comply with the rules and allow an impartial jury to be selected. However, I think that the -- what's taken place here serves as an example that, even if the Court did issue a gag order in this case on the attorneys, it would not prove especially effective.

So, since the Court has been requested to rule on the motion for an order limiting public disclosure I'm going to rule on that
motion at this time. And I'm going to deny the motion for a number of reasons. First, the granting of such a motion is highly unusual and difficult to police. The Court has not, in this case, seen behavior on either side so egregious that in the Court's opinion it warranted a gag order.

Secondly, the Court is satisfied, if the parties religiously adhere to please with SCR 20 3.6 that rule will provide adequate safeguards in this case.

And third, as the reference in the defendant's pleading demonstrates, the Court is not really satisfied that issuing a gag order would provide the complete protection that the Court might hope to gain by issuing such an order. So I'm going to deny the defense motion for an order limiting public disclosure.

The defense also asked the Court strictly enforce SCR Chapter 61, as to the media. Actually, Mr. Strang, I wasn't exactly sure what you were driving at there. I haven't -- I reviewed SCR 61 again. I have not really noted in this case any violations by the media. I have been communicating with the media through the
media coordinator, and to the best of my knowledge they have complied with all my KR-SZ and I think were operating under SCR 61. Is there anything specific you had in mind?

ATTORNEY STRANG: The only ongoing problem of which I'm aware, it arises with streaming video on some of the -- at least one of the television websites -- and probably more than one, although I don't know that -- and that's -- that's with the camera running when your Honor is not on the bench. And that -- As I read Chapter 61, that is not allowed. I think that after the Court raised this, at the joint request of the parties, in March, I think that zooming in on either counsel table has stopped, at least I haven't noticed anything more like -- like that.

THE COURT: All right. I did, following our discussion, convey a written instruction to the media, through the media coordinator. I believe, to the best of my knowledge at this point, unless I receive a report otherwise that those instructions are being complied with.

The Court has also been requested to keep motions in limine, seeking admission or inclusion of sensitive evidence, filed under
seal. The motions in limine themselves, as noted by the parties, will be filed at a later date, closer to the trial.

But I do agree that motions by the parties that are due now by June 16 th , which could include a motion from either party to admit other acts evidence, could prove prejudicial and make it more difficult to select a fair and impartial jury. So, I am going to order that any motions seeking other acts evidence, that are filed by June 16th, be filed under seal.

I ask the parties to differentiate those motions, put them in a separate document than your other motions. Include any written argument in favor of your request with the motion. And whether or not the Court releases them from being kept under seal, I will make that determination after I review them.

Mr. Kratz, is there anything you wish to raise regarding that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge, the other acts motion, we were just going to point out as Mr. Strang and I had discussed, and I believe it was outside of the Court's presence, that the concern is any motions that may require the Court to balance a
prejudicial effect versus probative value. Those wouldn't be limited to the character type evidence, or other acts evidence, but could include other kinds of motions in limine where we seek advance rulings of the Court where that analysis that is to be made. Those are the kinds of sensitive material and motions that perhaps the Court is already envisioning be filed outside of the public domain. And then the Court can rule on dissemination thereafter.

THE COURT: I know the parties have previously agreed to, informally at least, exchange motions with each other before they are filed and, certainly, I ask that do you that. If either of you have any motions you see are going to be filed by the other side that you file should also fall within the Court's order, we can conduct a telephone conference ahead of time. You can notify me of those ahead of time and $I$ would make a determination.

The Court is also -- or the defense also asks the Court to reconsider the Court's motion denying modification of bail. I wouldn't take such action unless a formal motion was made to that effect. But I will simply state at this
time, I think I have addressed that issue previously on the record and I'm not aware of any information that would cause the Court to reconsider the decision that's already been made. With those considerations in mind, the Court has been asked to adjourn the trial date. And with respect to the trial date, first of all, I agree and I don't really hear the State to be seriously disputing it, that an adjournment trial date from the early September date is called for in this case.

The case not only involves voluminous discovery, but there's been a second round of it related to a subsequent investigation by the State. I think the defense, which to this point hasn't received all of the lab results from the State, is entitled to adequate time in order to assess the results of the State's investigation, to evaluate those results, and to determine whether or not the defense wishes to conduct additional testing on it's own.

That takes time and that -- I believe the time that's required would be pushing it, at least if we stuck with the September trial date. I do feel that there are countervailing reasons
for holding the trial promptly. People's memories tend to fade, both parties are entitled to a trial that is held as soon as it can reasonably be held, giving the parties an opportunity to prepare.

I believe, in this case, it's most appropriate to adjourn the trial date in this case to Monday, October 16th. I believe that has a number of advantages. First of all, it -- that means it would take place more than five months from today. I think that would allow dissipation of any adverse publicity there might have taken place already.

It gives the party an adequate chance to prepare and yet it does not unduly delay the trial in this case. By starting it on October 16, I think it can be completed before the Thanksgiving holiday. And for those reasons I think that's an appropriate delay.

I haven't heard anything specific that would call on the Court to consider a delay longer than that. So I'm going to delay the start of trial until Monday, October 16th. Is there anything else that either party wishes the Court to take up this morning?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Mr. Strang, with that new trial date, had previously discussed with me an exchange of experts and a more detailed scheduling order regarding some more detailed discovery matters. May I suggest, Judge, that the Court allow Mr. Strang and I to try to resolve those matters and report to the Court, within our correspondence, by June 16th, as to our discussion as to exchange of experts, and the like, and other kinds of scheduling matters, and then should we need acquiescence or approval of the Court, that we can seek that sometime after the 16 th .

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: That makes sense. And I, further, will take as implicit and consider myself bound by a June 16 deadline for making a final decision on change of venue.

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Barring something really unforeseen happening.

THE COURT: I meant to include that in your order; yes, you can consider that included. And at this point, $I$ haven't specifically addressed an additional scheduling order to deal with matters before the trial. I certainly anticipate that there
will be one.
I appreciate the fact that the attorneys will be talking with each other and attempt to present the Court with joint recommendations on dates, but there will be a date, for example, for filing motions in limine and that type of thing before the trial. Anything else this morning?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not by the State, Judge.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Nothing here either.
THE COURT: All right. If not, the Court will prepare an order reflecting the Court's decision this morning on the motions. And with that, we're adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: The Clerk just reminded me of something, the May 18 and 19 dates that had been held for hearing, the motions which were due on May 1st, the hearing on those dates are cancelled.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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| getting [1] $7 / 8$ give [3] 6/22 $7 / 413 / 15$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \text { indicated [1] } & 4 / 25 \\ \text { indirectly [1] } & 17 / 17 \end{array}\right.$ | lab [6] 7/6 7/9 7/9 10/4 12/7 23/16 |
| given [1] 10/4 | indulge [1] 9/13 | large [1] 7/9 |
| gives [2] 12/18 24/14 | infer [1] 5/23 | later [4] 11/10 11/20 18/8 21/2 |
| giving [1] 24/4 | inflexible [1] 12/11 | Law [2] 1/17 1/19 |
| goes [1] 16/21 | informally [1] 22/12 | lawyer [2] 16/13 16/16 |
| going [9] 10/9 13/10 18/25 19/1 | information [16] 3/4 3/17 3/19 | least [11] 6/25 10/3 10/5 10/10 |
| 19/17 21/9 21/22 22/15 24/22 | 3/24 4/3 4/16 4/19 5/13 5/25 | 11/7 15/11 17/7 20/7 20/15 22/12 |
| good [3] 3/12 7/8 9/7 | 12/17 12/23 16/9 17/17 18/5 18/9 | 23/24 |
| got [2] 12/6 13/19 | 23/3 | leaving [1] 13/24 |
| governs [1] 16/11 | informed [3] 5/5 7/3 14/21 | legitimately [1] 13/4 |
| grant [2] 11/23 13/10 | instruction [1] 20/18 | let [1] 9/22 |
| granting [2] 9/18 19/3 | instructions [1] 20/21 | Let's [1] 14/11 |
| grounds [1] 4/20 | interlocutory [1] 5/6 | liberty [1] 8/11 |
| guess [1] 6/11 | intervened [1] 6/10 | life [1] $8 / 12$ |
| quilty [1] 5/24 | into [2] 15/19 15/20 | like [4] 3/19 20/16 20/16 25/9 |
| H | investigation [4] 7/18 12/10 23/14 | likelihood [1] 16/18 likely [1] 16/23 |
|  | involves [1] 23/12 <br> is [61] | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{limine}[5] \quad 7 / 1620 / 2421 / 122 / 4 \\ & 26 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Halbach [1] 6/16 | issue [6] 8/2 9/11 9/16 9/18 18/21 | limited [3] 17/22 17/25 22/2 |
| half [1] 8/3 | 23/1 | limiting [3] 15/25 18/24 19/18 |
| happening [1] 25/20 | issuing [2] 19/14 19/16 | listened [1] 18/3 |
| hard [1] 7/1 | it [35] | live [1] 11/16 |
| has [21] 3/17 3/18 4/10 4/24 4/25 | it's [10] 9/2 12/2 12/3 12/12 12/13 | longer [1] 24/22 |
| 6/1 7/24 10/5 10/10 10/11 11/5 | 12/25 17/14 17/18 23/21 24/6 | look [1] 7/24 |
| $12 / 24$ $15 / 8$ <br> 1 $15 / 1118 / 2319 / 4$ <br> $20 / 14$ $20 / 23$ <br> $23 / 6$ $24 / 8$ | items [1] 16/5 | M |
| hasn't [2] 6/11 23/16 <br> have [35] | itself [1] 6/5 | machine [1] 27/10 made [3] 22/6 22/24 23/4 |

make [5] 14/22 16/14 21/8 21/17 22/19
makes [4] 7/14 7/22 15/23 25/14
making [1] 25/16
man [1] 8/11
MANITOWOC [3] 1/1 9/3 27/2
March [3] 7/6 15/25 20/13
March 1 [1] 7/6
March 8 [1] 15/25
marginal [1] 17/20
mass [1] 12/8
material [1] 22/6
materially [1] 16/18
matter [5] 7/13 16/20 16/24 27/7
27/13
matters [4] 25/5 25/6 25/10 25/24
may [8] 1/8 7/117/189/21 21/25
25/5 26/16 26/17
me [8] 5/5 9/9 9/22 22/18 25/2
26/15 27/8 27/9
means [2] 16/16 24/10
meant [1] 25/21
media [13] 13/5 15/11 15/14 16/10
17/5 17/12 18/10 19/20 19/24
19/25 20/1 20/19 20/19
meet [1] $8 / 6$
members [1] 10/19
memories [1] 24/2
might [2] 19/16 24/12
mile [2] 8/3 8/3
mind [2] 20/4 23/5
minimum [1] 8/5
modification [1] 22/23
moment [1] 7/12
Monday [2] 24/8 24/23
monitor [1] 13/5
month [1] 27/15
months [1] 24/10
more [6] 20/8 20/15 21/8 24/10
25/3 25/4
morning [7] 3/3 3/12 5/19 7/3
24/25 26/7 26/12
most [4] 6/22 14/17 17/22 24/6
motion [42]
motions [30]
move [2] 4/15 4/19
moved [2] 4/8 10/3
moving [3] 9/19 9/23 14/7
Mr. [29]
Mr. Avery [3] 4/17 8/7 10/23
Mr. Buting [2] 8/6 10/24
Mr. Dassey [1] 17/25
Mr. Kratz [5] 4/21 9/14 14/9 18/9 21/19
Mr. Kratz's [1] 14/6
Mr. Strang [15] 3/22 4/25 5/18 6/7
10/8 10/12 10/23 11/20 11/22
14/22 19/21 $21 / 23$ 25/1 25/6
25/13
Mr. Strang's [2] 9/24 11/14
much [1] $7 / 16$
mute [1] 5/23
my [11] $4 / 18 / 48 / 48 / 711 / 17$
17/21 20/1 20/2 20/20 27/9 27/13
myself [2] 11/20 25/15
N
NAME [4] 2/3 2/9 2/14 2/20
namely [1] 6/13
nature [1] 17/1
near [2] 10/12 12/25
necessarily [2] 9/17 10/2
necessary [3] 12/5 12/9 17/9
need [5] 8/6 8/15 12/6 12/14 25/10
Needless [1] 15/8
needs [2] 9/13 9/17
new [7] 4/1 4/12 4/17 4/18 5/13
5/18 25/1
news [1] 18/6
Next [1] 15/3
Nine [1] 14/18
no [13] $1 / 5$ 3/3 4/6 4/7 4/16 4/24
9/11 11/10 11/20 15/12 18/6 18/9 21/21
Noember [1] 11/10
NORMAN [1] 1/15
not [38]
note [6] 5/12 7/7 15/10 16/3 18/3
18/10
noted [2] 19/23 21/1
notes [1] 27/9
Nothing [1] 26/9
noticed [1] 20/15
notify [2] 8/21 22/18
November [1] 11/9
now [7] 4/10 6/1 6/21 10/5 10/5
17/11 21/5
number [6] 7/9 12/18 15/5 15/23
19/2 24/9

## 0

o'clock [1] 14/18
object [3] 4/14 10/2 10/24
objection [1] 9/24
obligations [2] 6/19 8/7
obstacles [1] 9/5
October [7] 11/6 11/19 12/1 12/12 24/8 24/17 24/23
October 16 [4] 11/6 12/1 12/12
24/17
October 16th [3] $11 / 19$ 24/8 24/23
off [1] 9/25
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ongoing [2] 7/18 20/5
only [4] 10/15 14/14 20/5 23/12
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opinion [2] 17/9 19/6
opportunity [1] 24/5
or [23] 4/2 6/15 6/22 7/4 7/14 8/4 8/11 8/22 10/1 10/6 12/13 14/25 15/12 16/17 17/1 17/1 17/1 20/24 21/16 22/3 22/21 23/20 25/10
order [15] 15/25 17/16 18/21
18/24 19/7 19/14 19/17 19/18
21/9 22/17 23/17 25/4 25/22
25/24 26/11
ordinarily [1] 16/22
original [1] 5/17
other[12] $13 / 16$ 16/25 21/7 21/10
21/14 21/21 22/3 22/3 22/13
22/16 25/9 26/3
others [1] 7/15
otherwise [1] 20/21
ought [1] 7/25
our [5] 5/1 9/13 20/18 25/7 25/8
out [2] 13/25 21/22
outside [2] 21/24 22/8
over [1] 4/17
own [1] 23/21
P
pace [1] 15/10
PAGE [1] $2 / 2$
pages [1] 6/21
Paragraph [1] 16/22
part [1] 16/13
parties [13] 3/6 6/14 10/15 13/13
17/11 19/9 20/13 21/2 21/5 21/12
22/11 24/2 24/4
party [5] 5/15 13/16 21/6 24/14
24/24
past [2] 9/19 10/25
Patrick [1] 1/9
people [1] 8/10
People's [1] 24/1
perform [1] 8/13
perhaps [1] 22/7
person [2] 1/22 16/15
personal [3] 4/8 4/16 4/19
physical [1] 17/2
piecemeal [1] 7/15
place [3] 18/19 24/10 24/13
placed [1] 17/11
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4
plea[3] 3/23 5/19 5/24
pleading [6] 17/3 17/11 17/18
17/23 18/14 19/13
pleadings [1] 16/7
please [2] 3/7 19/9
point [6] 8/20 8/25 20/20 21/22
23/15 25/23
points [1] 9/16
police [1] 19/4
position [4] 7/5 9/10 10/15 10/18
possible [2] 7/25 14/7
practical [1] 9/4
practice [1] 8/4
predict [2] 7/20 10/9
prefer [2] 9/2 12/13
prejudicial [2] 21/7 22/1
prejudicing [1] 16/19
preliminary [2] 4/11 4/14
prepare [3] 24/5 24/15 26/11
prepared [3] 5/19 11/15 27/8
presence [1] 21/24
present [1] 26/4
presented [1] 17/2
press [7] 15/12 15/16 17/17 17/23
18/4 18/8 18/13
pretrial [5] 12/19 13/9 13/11 15/8
15/9
previous [1] 5/1
previously [5] 3/18 5/4 22/12 23/2
25/2
principle [1] 7/1
probable [1] 4/6
probably [1] 20/8
probative [1] 22/1
problem [1] 20/5
proceeding [2] 3/23 16/19
proceedings [3] 1/23 26/21 27/13
prohibition [1] 17/5
promptly [1] 24/1
propose [2] 8/24 13/18
proposed [1] 12/4
Prosecutor [4] 1/11 1/13 1/15 3/10
protection [1] 19/15
prove [2] 18/22 21/7

| $\mathbf{P}$ |  | 23/10 23/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```provide [4] 7/5 16/21 19/10 19/15 provided [4] 8/16 11/8 12/17 12/23 provides [1] 16/13 provisional [1] 7/10 prudent [1] 14/17 public [5] 15/25 16/16 18/25 19/18 22/8 publicity [3] 15/8 15/9 24/12 purports [1] 16/4 purposes [2] 5/10 17/19 pursue [1] \(8 / 22\) pushing [1] 23/23 put [2] 9/25 21/13``` | ```reported [3] 1/24 18/6 27/6 reporter [4] \(1 / 25\) 18/6 27/5 27/19 reports [6] 7/6 7/10 10/11 \(13 / 5\) 15/1118/4 request [11] 9/24 11/2 11/24 12/16 \(13 / 6\) 13/8 \(15 / 5\) 15/18 \(16 / 2\) 20/13 21/15 requested [4] 4/11 6/13 18/23 20/23 requesting [1] \(8 / 19\) requests [3] 6/6 8/15 15/23 require [1] 21/25 required [2] 10/14 23/23 requires [1] 10/17``` | September 5[3] 8/9 12/2 12/13 <br> September 5th [3] 9/20 10/3 10/25 <br> seriously [1] 23/9 <br> serves [1] 18/20 <br> set [4] 11/13 11/18 14/1115/6 <br> settled [1] 15/17 <br> several [1] 9/16 <br> sexual [1] $4 / 4$ <br> shall [1] 16/14 <br> shorthand [1] 27/10 <br> should [8] 5/12 9/23 11/23 14/5 <br> 14/10 16/17 22/16 25/10 <br> side [3] 16/8 19/5 22/16 <br> significant [2] 12/22 15/9 <br> silent [1] 5/21 |
| Q |  |  |
| quit | respect [7] 4/22 | simply [1] 22 |
| R |  |  |
| ```raise [1] 21/20 raised [1] 20/12 rather [1] 5/16 rationale [1] 5/8 reach [1] 14/24 read [1] 20/11 realistically [1] 11/3 realities [2] 10/21 10/22 realize [2] 7/15 7/17 really [6] \(17 / 9\) 18/15 19/14 19/23 23/8 25/19 reason [2] 9/11 17/6 reasonable [1] 16/15 reasonably [3] 8/6 16/17 24/4 reasons [8] 5/3 11/13 12/18 13/7 15/21 19/2 23/25 24/18 receive [1] 20/21 received [4] 3/18 6/1 6/25 23/16 recent [2] 6/22 17/22 recently [1] 15/14 recognize [1] 9/4 recognizes [1] 13/1 recommendation [1] 11/17 recommendations [1] 26/4 reconsider [2] 22/22 23/4 record [2] 3/7 23/2 Recross [4] 2/7 2/13 2/18 2/24 Recross-Examination [4] 2/7 2/13 2/18 2/24 Redirect [4] 2/6 2/12 2/17 2/23 reference [6] 16/3 16/7 17/3 17/6 17/9 19/12 referred [1] 16/22 referring [1] 18/4 refers [1] 16/23 reflecting [1] 26/11 regard [2] 15/22 17/21 regarding [4] 3/24 16/5 21/20 25/4 regularly [1] \(17 / 12\) reiterate [1] \(8 / 16\) reiterated [1] \(4 / 2\) related [1] 23/14 relates [2] 15/7 16/24 relating [1] 7/6 releases [1] 21/16 relevant [1] \(16 / 13\) relief [2] 6/13 9/19 religiously [1] 19/9 rely [1] \(5 / 1\) remarks [1] 4/1 reminded [1] 26/15 renew [1] 4/9``` |  ```rest [1] \(8 / 12\) results [5] 12/7 16/25 23/16 23/18 23/19 review [1] 21/18 reviewed [1] 19/23 reviews [1] 17/12 right [12] \(3 / 154 / 215 / 39 / 14\) 12/15 14/11 14/18 15/2 18/7 20/17 25/13 26/10 Rights [1] \(10 / 16\) round [2] 7/25 23/13 RPR [2] 1/24 27/19 rule [11] 15/24 16/11 16/12 16/21 17/6 17/8 \(18 / 11\) 18/24 18/25 19/10 22/9 ruled [2] 4/10 4/25 rules [1] 18/17 rulings [1] 22/5 running [1] 20/10``` S safeguards [1] 19/10 said [3] 7/22 9/1 27/13 satisfied [2] 19/8 19/14 say [1] 15/8 schedule [2] 11/3 14/6 scheduling [5] 6/15 9/13 25/3 25/9 25/24 SCR [4] 19/9 19/20 19/23 20/3 SCR20 [1] 16/12 seal [3] 21/1 21/11 21/17 search [2] 6/22 7/2 second [1] 23/13 Secondly [1] 19/8 Section [1] 10/16 see [2] 9/11 22/15 seek [3] 6/13 22/4 25/11 seeking [2] 20/24 21/10 seen [2] 5/7 19/5 sees [1] $8 / 13$ seized [2] 7/1 16/6 seizures [1] $7 / 6$ select [1] 21/8 selected [1] 18/18 selecting [1] $18 / 2$ selection [1] 11/6 sense [4] 7/14 7/22 9/1 25/14 sensitive [2] 20/25 22/6 separate [1] 21/13 September [10] 8/9 9/20 10/3 10/25 11/25 12/2 12/13 15/19 | slowed [1] 15/11 <br> so [12] 4/18 7/7 8/14 10/1 12/11 <br> 14/6 17/3 18/23 19/5 19/17 21/9 24/22 <br> some [8] 6/5 6/18 7/24 10/4 10/4 $14 / 2420 / 725 / 4$ <br> something [4] 11/15 18/13 25/19 26/16 <br> sometime [1] 25/12 <br> somewhat [5] 13/2 15/11 15/17 <br> 17/15 17/20 <br> soon [2] 11/2 24/3 <br> sooner [1] 14/13 <br> sound [1] 12/11 <br> Special [4] 1/11 1/13 1/15 3/10 <br> specific [2] 20/4 24/20 <br> specifically [1] $25 / 23$ <br> ss [1] 27/1 <br> stake [1] $8 / 12$ <br> stand [1] 8/15 <br> standing [1] 5/23 <br> stands [1] 5/20 <br> start [2] 11/6 24/23 <br> starting [1] 24/16 <br> state [27] $1 / 11 / 31 / 121 / 141 / 16$ <br> 3/2 3/6 3/8 4/22 6/1 6 6/23 7/5 <br> 7/19 7/23 10/2 11/5 11/15 12/23 <br> 13/25 18/6 22/25 23/8 23/15 <br> 23/17 26/8 27/1 27/5 <br> State's [2] 7/17 23/18 <br> stated [1] 5/4 <br> statement [3] 16/14 16/22 16/24 <br> statements [1] 15/14 <br> stenographic [1] 27/9 <br> Steve [1] 3/12 <br> STEVEN [3] $1 / 6$ 1/21 3/2 <br> still [1] 6/13 <br> stipulations [1] 14/24 <br> stopped [1] 20/15 <br> straddled [1] 9/1 <br> STRANG[17] 1/173/14 3/22 4/25 <br> 5/18 6/7 10/8 10/12 10/23 11/20 <br> 11/22 14/22 19/21 21/23 25/1 <br> 25/6 25/13 <br> Strang's [2] 9/24 11/14 <br> streaming [1] 20/6 <br> strictly [1] 19/20 <br> strikes [1] 9/9 <br> stuck [1] 23/24 <br> style [1] 8/4 <br> subject [1] 5/9 <br> submitted [2] 10/6 10/11 |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery. It's Case No. 05 CF 381. This case is scheduled for a hearing on a number of pretrial motions this morning. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz appearing as Special Prosecutor. Also appearing this morning is Tom Fallon from the Department of Justice, also appointed by this Court as Special Prosecutor.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning, Steven Avery appears in person, he's second to my right. Jerome Buting of Buting and Williams is immediately next to me, representing Mr. Avery. And I'm Dean Strang, I also represent Mr. Avery.

THE COURT: All right. I will indicate for the record that before we began today, I met with counsel to discuss the logistics of the hearing today. I believe both parties agree that there is some overlap with some of the motions today and some of those will be heard together. With respect to the order of the motions, the parties have requested, and the Court agrees, that we'll proceed
first on the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.
And I understand, Mr. Strang, that the defense has some evidence to offer in relation to that motion, as well as the Motion For Change of Venue and Motion to Exclude the Sheriff's Department and It's Employees From Testifying and Overseeing Jurors; is that correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: That is, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. You may call your witness at this time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Defense calls Sheriff Kenneth Petersen.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I should note, Judge, that the examination of Sheriff Petersen and the argument on this particular motion will be done by Mr. Fallon.

THE COURT: Very well.
SHERIFF KENNETH J. PETERSEN, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Kenneth J. Petersen, P-e-t-e-r-s-e-n. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Good morning. Let's start with a little bit of background if we may, Sheriff Petersen. You have been with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department for about 31 years at this point?
A. Correct.
Q. Started as a patrol deputy?
A. That's correct.
Q. You have served as sheriff for the last six years, roughly?
A. Correct.
Q. Six and a half years, pretty close to that right about now?
A. It will be six years in January.
Q. January, that's right, you were sworn in in January, 2001, as the sheriff?
A. Correct.
Q. As the Manitowoc County Sheriff, it would be fair to describe you as the chief county law enforcement officer for the County of Manitowoc?
A. Yes.
Q. During your experience with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, sir, when was the first time in which you participated, personally, in a prosecution of Steven Avery on a charge related
to murder?
A. You mean murder of a person?
Q. Or attempted murder, yes, of a human being?
A. I think 1984.
Q. And that involved an event that since has become widely-known, involved a violent assault on a beach here in Manitowoc County?
A. Correct.
Q. You, personally -- I'm going to at least suggest to you that $I$ think it was 1985; does that sound--
A. Somewhere in the mid '80's, yes.
Q. We're talking about a violent assault on a beach?
A. Yes.
Q. You, personally, were requested by the, then, sheriff in Manitowoc County, Tom Kocourek, to arrest Mr. Avery on a charge of attempted murder?
A. Yes.
Q. You did that?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to that arrest, you already new where Steven Avery lived in 1985?
A. Yes.
Q. And that prosecution went forward following Mr. Avery's arrest?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. On a charge of attempted first-degree intentional homicide?
A. I'm not sure what the actual charge was at the time of trial.
Q. But attempted murder charge and a sexual assault charge of some kind, perhaps others, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That resulted in a conviction?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Resulted in a 32 year sentence being imposed?
A. Yes.
Q. You participated after the arrest of Mr. Avery, personally, in that prosecution, as a witness in that trial?
A. Yes.
Q. Later, in 2003 to be specific, the claim that Mr. Avery had made in 1985, that he was innocent of those crimes, proved to be true?
A. Possibly.
Q. That is, the State made a motion to release him from prison?
A. Yes.
Q. After some DNA testing was done?
A. Yes.
Q. That motion was made by the State, by the District Attorney of Manitowoc County, after consulting with you?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Avery was released the day, or the day following the State's motion to release him?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, when you say possibly, is there any question in your mind that Gregory Allen was identified by DNA evidence as the sole attacker of the woman at issue on the beach in 1985?
A. Yes, I would have doubts.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I would have doubt.
Q. You have doubts about that?
A. Yes, I believe the DNA created reasonable doubt and enough to release Steve, but I don't think that single hair was enough to convict Gregory Allen.
Q. Okay. Do you have doubts whether, in fact, Gregory Allen was the person who's hair was tested?
A. No, I believe it was.
Q. You base your doubts on the reliability of DNA evidence?
A. No.
Q. That is, you accept the DNA evidence and that the pubic hair at issue, in fact, was Gregory Allen's?
A. Yes.
Q. You have no reason to question or doubt the claims of the victim, the testimony of the victim in that case that one man, and one man only, attacked her in 1985 on the beach?
A. Yes.
Q. You do doubt that?
A. Oh, no. No.
Q. Okay. Mr. Avery, in any event, spent, give or take, 18 years in prison following his conviction for that crime?
A. I believe he had six years, also, on another charge.
Q. Running concurrently?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. So the total time in prison was about 18 years?
A. Yes.
Q. Or in custody, I should say more accurately. You arrested him in July of 1985?
A. Yes.
Q. He was released on September 11, 2003?
A. Yes.
Q. 18 years and two months, give or take, in custody?
A. Yes.
Q. As you point out, six years of that also being on a separate and unrelated conviction?
A. Correct.
Q. But running at the same time, or concurrently?
A. Yes.
Q. You know, in your life, have you -- have you ever spent a day, or a night, in jail, for a crime you didn't commit?
A. No.
Q. Certainly not 18 years?
A. No.
Q. Or 12 years, or anything close to that?
A. No.
Q. If you were sitting here, if you were in Steven Avery's chair and you were in his shoes, so to speak, would you trust the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department in the investigation and prosecution of yourself, a second time?

MR. FALLON: Objection, speculation.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ I'm not asking you to
speculate at all. I'm saying, if you were Steven Avery, with your present sense, on whether you would trust your department?

MR. FALLON: Relevance, then.
THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ You have told us that you are the chief county law enforcement officer?
A. Yes.
Q. Meaning, you run the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Correct.
Q. The Sheriff's Department here in Manitowoc County, as in most counties, is organized with people in rank, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That's a clumsy way to put it, but you hold the rank of sheriff?
A. Yes.
Q. Obviously. The Wisconsin Statutes require you to have something called and under sheriff?
A. No.
Q. You do have an under sheriff?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And the under sheriff is the number two
person in the department?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that person, whose name I think is

Mr. Hermann, if $I$ have it right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Does he have another rank or title in addition to under sheriff?
A. Inspector.
Q. All right. And he's the one inspector for the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, he reports directly to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Others in the department then report up through him?
A. That's correct.
Q. Below him, who's the next ranking officer?
A. Deputy Inspector of Operations.
Q. And that's who?
A. Greg Schetter.
Q. I'm sorry, maybe you could spell that for the --
A. $\quad S-c-h-e-t-t-e-r$.
Q. Thank you. He's the deputy inspector?
A. Yes.
Q. Below that who do we have?
A. Deputy Inspector of Support, that's Larry Ledvina, L-e-d-v-i-n-a.
Q. That's not a parallel position; the one deputy inspector is below the other?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. How far down -- I'm not going to go through everybody at the department, but how far down do we get before we get to the chief investigator, or the lieutenant in charge of the Detective's Bureau?
A. He would be under the Deputy Inspector of Operation.
Q. As well as Mr. Ledvina?
A. No, it's two separate divisions.
Q. I understand that but, in other words, under Mr. Schetter, you said --
A. Yes.
Q. -- would be the lieutenant in charge of the Detective's Bureau?
A. Yes.
Q. And the other deputy inspector?
A. No, the Deputy Inspector of Support would take care of the court services, secretarial functions, records releases, that type of thing.
Q. It's my clumsiness. I understand they have
separate areas, but the person who's the lieutenant in charge of the Detective's Bureau is James Lenk?
A. Yes.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Mr. Lenk and Mr. Vetter (sic) both report to Mr . Schetter; is that right -- or Mr. Ledvina?
A. Ledvina.
Q. Both report to Mr. Schetter?
A. Ledvina wouldn't directly report to him, but Lenk would.
Q. All right. And then below Lenk, there are investigators or detectives, whichever you call them, and -- correct?
A. Correct.
Q. I'm sorry, I should have paused there. Where do sergeants fall in the hierarchy?
A. Sergeants are in patrol, normally. I have sergeants in the jail and on the road.
Q. They are in charge of deputies?
A. Correct. But there's lieutenants in patrol also, which would supervise the sergeants in the patrol.
Q. Got it. So if we work our way up, we have got deputies in the various divisions of the department?
A. Yes.
Q. They report directly to sergeants?
A. Yes.
Q. Sergeant's report directly to lieutenants?
A. Yes.
Q. You haven't described any captain's, is that --
A. Deputy inspector would be equivalent to a captain.
Q. All right. And you have told us about them. So the lieutenants report to deputy inspectors?
A. Correct.
Q. Who deputy inspectors report to the inspector and under sheriff?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, ultimately, the top of the chart, or the pyramid, is you?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, you are familiar with a man named Andrew Colborn?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. He's a sergeant in your department?
A. Yes.
Q. What -- Just to identify him, what's his area of responsibility?
A. He's a patrol sergeant.
Q. Patrol meaning, covering the county's roads?
A. Right. He would be referred to as a shift commander, so he would assign the beats, the vehicles, and brief the patrolmen before they go out into the road.
Q. In the patrol division?
A. Yes.
Q. Another person who will come up is, I believe, a Lieutenant named Remiker. I could be wrong. He may be a detective.
A. Remiker is a detective.
Q. All right. So he's in the Detective Bureau, obviously?
A. Correct.
Q. He would report directly to Mr. Lenk?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in a sense -- I don't mean this to sound like a loaded question, if it does, but in a sense, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department has a paramilitary structure in that we have these ranks that we have now established, and the chain of command is clear and well established?
A. Yes.
Q. You, as the sheriff, set the overall tone for the department?
A. I believe so.
Q. You try to express your values?
A. Yes.
Q. Your policy directives?
A. Yes.
Q. And, ordinarily, you would do that -- or I shouldn't even say ordinarily -- but you may do that by written directive?
A. Yes.
Q. That written directive may go directly to one of your subordinates?
A. It could.
Q. Or it could go to a number of people, including those several steps down the ladder, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You may send a written directive to all staff, for example?
A. Correct.
Q. But in any event, you are the person who sets the tone and the policies of your department?
A. Yes.
Q. You have the power to hire?
A. Yes.
Q. You have the power to fire, probably with some oversight from the County Board or some committee of the County Board?
A. Generally, we have to inform personnel and, of course, we have to follow the state statutes.
Q. Yes, of course. But in -- It would be fair to say that, in a rough sense, the buck stops on your desk?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you find, in general, that the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department operates as a well disciplined organization?
A. I believe so.
Q. That is, your directives are communicated, faithfully, to those below you?
A. Yes.
Q. And they are followed?
A. Yes.
Q. On Saturday, November 5, 2005, and just to orient you, that Teresa Halbach, we now know, was last seen on October 31, 2005. I don't expect that you necessarily know this, but I'm led to believe that she was reported missing on Thursday, November 3. All right.

So I'm talking about two days later, on Saturday, November 5, 2005, at about 11:30 or 11:45 in the morning, a decision was made to transfer control of the investigation into her disappearance, and circumstances surrounding it, to the Calumet County Sheriff's Department and to DCI, or the Division of Criminal Investigation; is that true?
A. Yes.
Q. That decision to transfer control was made by you?
A. Indirectly, yes.
Q. You say indirectly, the primary focus of the investigation was in Manitowoc County, within the metes and bounds of Manitowoc County, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. To fall within your jurisdiction?
A. Correct.
Q. Your department had been involved in early steps in the investigation of Ms Hallbach's disappearance?
A. Correct.
Q. Maybe you would explain, then, for me, what you mean when you say, indirectly, the decision that Saturday morning was made by you?
A. I had been out of town the previous week. I was out in Seattle, Washington. And I arrived home probably 10:30, quarter to 11, Saturday morning. And that decision to transfer had already been made, I assume, by the inspector. I never inquired. I agreed with the way it was going, so I didn't interfere.
Q. Okay. I need to explore that just a little bit further to nail down timing. When you say you arrived home, do you mean physically at your home?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You didn't go in to work immediately that Saturday morning?
A. No.
Q. Had you been in contact with the office during the course of that week in Seattle?
A. No.
Q. So you really were --
A. The first I heard --
Q. -- out of loop so to speak?
A. Yeah. The first I heard about the Halbach case was when a reporter called me Saturday after 11.
Q. Do you recall about when, after 11?
A. About 11:15, somewhere in that general area.
Q. Okay. And this was entirely news to you at that point?
A. Yes.
Q. You got in contact with Mr. Hermann?
A. Yes.
Q. Inspector Hermann?
A. Right.
Q. Do you remember about when you did that?
A. It had been shortly after the reporter called.
Q. I will bet. By telephone?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And at that point, he told you that he had already decided to shift the primary responsibility for the investigation to the two other law enforcement agencies I described?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he tell you when he had made that decision?
A. No. He had talked about conferring with Corp Counsel and that was what he advised. Normally we follow his advice.
Q. You agreed with that advice?
A. Yes.
Q. You had a discussion with him on his reasoning?
A. Yes.
Q. And in a nutshell -- and you are free to disagree
or explain this -- but in a nutshell, the reason he described to you, after consulting with Corporation Counsel, was to avoid the appearance or the reality of a conflict of interest?
A. Correct.
Q. Because at least a person of interest at that point was Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. You were told that?
A. No, they didn't give me any specific suspects or people of interest. They merely stated that the vehicle had been found on the Avery Salvage Yard property.
Q. Correct. And what further information did you need, or ask for, or inquire about, to decide whether, in fact, there was a conflict of interest or the appearance of one?
A. I didn't need anything more than that at that point.
Q. Avery Auto Salvage Yard, car found, that was enough?
A. Sure.
Q. The reason you perceived, or you agreed with Inspector Hermann's assessment, that there was a potential conflict of interest, is that at that
time a civil lawsuit by Steven Avery was pending against Manitowoc County and some former officials?
A. Correct.
Q. That was a civil lawsuit for 36 million dollars in damages?
A. Correct.
Q. It related to the 1985 conviction that you and I have discussed this morning?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you see that as a real and present conflict of interest on November 5?
A. I don't see it so much as a conflict of interest, I would say a prudent decision just to keep accusation free.
Q. All right. And what did you -- what did you understand the decision to be, in terms of the shifting of responsibility?
A. That the Calumet County Sheriff would run the investigation and I would pay for it.
Q. Okay. In addition to paying for the investigation, what role was the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department to play, if any?
A. Support.
Q. What does support mean?
A. Logistics, equipment, whatever they needed, manpower.
Q. So the Calumet County Sheriff, Mr. Pagel, was to communicate with you, or your department?
A. As far as?
Q. Logistics, support, manpower, whatever he needed?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So he would request it of you, or someone in your department?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you would provide it?
A. Yes.
Q. The Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, in that way, continued to play an active role in the investigation into Ms Halbach's disappearance?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. You monitored the progress of that investigation?
A. No, I have never seen a report on the actual investigation.
Q. All right.
A. I have gotten copies of bills, we have had conferences on security, that type of thing.
Q. The guy in charge usually gets the bills.
A. Yeah.
Q. But in your department, reports generated by
deputies, or detectives, or sergeants, or lieutenants, don't necessarily all come up to your desk?
A. Most of them do.
Q. And this one may have or haven't?
A. Have not.
Q. Why?
A. I divorced myself from the early investigation.
Q. You personally?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. When did that happen, Sheriff Petersen?
A. On that Saturday.
Q. Immediately?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Who did you leave as the liaison, or the contact person, or the reviewing person within your department, for your department's role in the investigation?
A. Deputy Inspector Schetter.
Q. With a formal directive to him of some kind?
A. No.
Q. With a conversation?
A. No, I didn't talk to him. He may have talked to the inspector, he didn't talk to me.
Q. Oh. Okay. Do you know whether someone directed Deputy Inspector Schetter to play a reviewing role?
A. No.
Q. How do you know he is?
A. Because he was out at the scene with the sheriff and his people.
Q. All right. And who is getting the reports generated by the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department on the Halbach investigation and, ultimately, the arrest and prosecution of Mr. Avery?
A. I would believe Calumet County Sheriff's Department would.
Q. Not Deputy Inspector Schetter?
A. Well, they would still be in our files, there would be copies there -- or originals there. The copies would be -- would be shipped.
Q. All right. I will see if $I$ can do this efficiently, and the problem is, you may not know some of the facts I'm going to suggest to you.
A. Okay.
Q. Because it sounds like I have seen Manitowoc Sheriff's Department reports that you probably have not. All right?
A. Sure.
Q. But let me suggest these things and we'll see what you know and what you don't know, or what you dispute. All right. The first law enforcement officer to speak with -- speak personally with Steven Avery about Teresa Halbach, which was on November 3, was Sergeant Andrew Colborn of your department; is that right?
A. Don't know.
Q. One way or the other?
A. Yeah, don't know.
Q. You don't dispute that?
A. Yeah, I don't know.
Q. All right. The first law enforcement officers to search Mr. Avery's trailer, this time with consent, on Friday, November 4, were two members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, Lieutenant Lenk and Detective Remiker?
A. I believe they were with a Calumet County officer.
Q. You think they may have been with a Calumet County officer?
A. I believe so.
Q. But you know that Lenk and Remiker --
A. Had been at the trailer.
Q. -- did a consent search of the trailer on Friday, November 4th?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. On Saturday, November 5, the first law enforcement officer, as opposed to citizen, unsworn citizen, to see Teresa Halbach's Toyota Rav 4, was a member of your department, Detective Remiker?
A. I don't know that.
Q. You don't dispute it, you just don't know one way or the other?
A. I don't know.
Q. All right. The first search of Mr. Avery's trailer, with a search warrant, occurred later on Saturday, November 5. And that involved, again, Detective Remiker of your department and Calumet County Investigator Gary Steier, or is that something you know?
A. That, I believe, is what $I$ was referring to.
Q. All right. The first law enforcement officers that day to collect any suspected blood in Mr. Avery's trailer, or on it, was, again, Detective Remiker of your department, correct?
A. It's possible, yes.
Q. He gave that to Sergeant Colborn of your
department?
A. That $I$ don't know.
Q. Don't dispute, but just don't know?
A. Yeah.
Q. The detached garage between Mr. Avery's trailer and Barb Yanda's trailer, I believe first was searched pursuant to warrant on Sunday, November 6. Were you aware that Detective Remiker and Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colborn, along with a Calumet County deputy, were the first law enforcement officers to search the detached garage?

MR. FALLON: Excuse me, your Honor, I'm going to impose an objection on this particular line of questioning as -- and the reason is, the previous testimony of Sheriff Petersen indicating that as of earlier that day, 11:30, 11:45, control of the investigation was passed. And given that fact, the fact that there may have been some logistical or support personnel provided by the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department to assist in these searches or contacts, was all under the control and direction of Sheriff Pagel at Calumet County. Thus, this particular line of questioning, $I$ would suggest to the Court, is irrelevant.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Let me ask some clarifying questions, because I'm not necessarily in disagreement with the factual portion of Mr. Fallon's statement, and $I$ will clarify that.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ Any actions that members of your department took after, let's say 11:30, on Saturday, November 5, you believe would have been taken with the knowledge, or under the direction, at the request, however you want to put it, of either the Calumet County Sheriff's Department or the DCI?
A. Correct.
Q. That is, neither you, nor Inspector Hermann, nor anybody above the level of the people in your department involved, were directing, or controlling, or supervising the steps of those people?
A. Correct.
Q. My -- And I think you and I are in agreement, I'm not trying to suggest otherwise, my questions really are just inquiring into who the boots on the ground were, who the people were, not who's directing them, or telling them what to do and not to do; do you understand me that way?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So with that limitation, Lenk, Remiker and Colborn, along with a Calumet deputy -- Calumet County deputy, were the first to search the detached garage on Sunday, November 6th?

MR. FALLON: I'm going to renew the objection. I understand counsel's point, but I still fail to see the relevance as it pertains to the motion to dismiss and/or the motion to exclude.

ATTORNEY STRANG: It bears more on the motion to exclude. I have moved to exclude not just Sheriff Petersen from further involvement in this case, supervising the jury, or in a logistical or support role to the Court, but have moved to exclude the entire department from providing that logistical or support role. So, I think this has a bearing, the involvement of others in the department clearly has a bearing on that motion, your Honor.

THE COURT: I believe it relates to the motion to exclude, so I'm going to allow it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Do you recall my last question?

THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Sunday, November 6,
detached garage, first law enforcement officers to search, Lenk, Remiker, Colborn, and a deputy from Calumet whose has a name, and that's Dan Kucharski?
A. I wouldn't know who searched it.
Q. Don't know one way or the other?
A. No, I don't know who was in the garage.
Q. Don't dispute that the three Manitowoc people were among the first law enforcement people to enter the garage?
A. It's possible.
Q. All right. This one you may know. On November 8, which is Tuesday, it was widely reported that a law enforcement officer found a Toyota key that fit the Toyota Rav 4, in the bedroom of Steven Avery, in the trailer; do you recall that?
A. That would be Detective Lenk.
Q. That was Detective Lenk -- or Lieutenant Lenk of your department?
A. Yes.
Q. Law enforcement officers first came across bone fragments in a burn pit out -- south, south and east of the Avery -- the Steven Avery trailer on November 8 as well. Do you recall Deputy Jost, or Sergeant Jost, of your department, as being
the first officer who claimed to see a bone fragment?
A. I don't know who saw the bone fragments.
Q. One way or the other?
A. Correct.
Q. Am I pronouncing Jost's name right? J-o-s-t?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, of the names that have come up here we have -- I have mentioned Lieutenant Lenk and Detective Remiker and Sergeant Colborn, more than once each, this morning. I want to be clear, one of the reasons you disengaged personally, completely, from this investigation, on November 5, is that you, personally, had sat for a deposition in Steven Avery's civil claim against Manitowoc County?
A. No, I don't think I had anything to do with that decision, no.
Q. Let's break this down. First of all, you did have your deposition taken in that lawsuit?
A. Yes.
Q. You had your deposition taken on October 13, 2005; does that sound right?
A. It's possible.
Q. About two and a half, three weeks, before Ms

Halbach disappeared?
A. Somewhere in that time period.
Q. And the other two members of your department who had their depositions taken about the same time were Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Andrew Colborn, correct?
A. I don't know. I don't know who gave depositions.
Q. You don't one way or the other?
A. I saw one person, actually, two people, but I don't know who they all deposed now.
Q. I think one of them had his deposition taken the same day you did. Did you ever talk to Lieutenant Lenk about having his deposition taken in that lawsuit?
A. No.
Q. Or Sergeant Colborn?
A. No.
Q. You don't disagree that they both sat for depositions in that lawsuit in mid-October, 2005, you just don't know?
A. As I recall, that had something to do with some information that came somewhere in the late 90's.
Q. Mid 1990's, that's right.
A. Yeah, but I don't know when they were deposed. I wouldn't have a clue.
Q. You understand they were, you just don't know when?
A. Correct.
Q. And one of the things, that initially, I think, probably brought you here, was an interview, or a special two part series that FOX 11 TV in Green Bay did in May; do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm not going to go into the substance of that. I just want to nail down a couple of questions. First of all, that was you who appeared as Sheriff Petersen in that two part segment?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Do you remember now about when you actually sat for the interview, or interviews, that were aired on May 10 and 11?
A. I believe it was somewhere in the second half of April.
Q. Okay. So two weeks or more before this segment aired?
A. At least two weeks before.
Q. Sometime after April 15, roughly?
A. Roughly.
Q. I mean that's your best recollection as you sit here?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember whether there was one interview or more than one interview?
A. One interview, lasted about a half hour.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And that's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, any questions? MR. FALLON: One moment, Judge. I just have probably two or three questions, Sheriff. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. As far as you know, all decisions, instructions, and supervision of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's personnel participating in the investigation of this case came at either the direction or request of Sheriff Pagel from Calumet County, or members of the Department of Justice's Division of Criminal Investigation; is that true?
A. That's correct.
Q. In your capacity as sheriff, of the county in which these proceedings are being held, have you instructed anyone, or told anyone, what to do or what to say relative to any of the court support personnel that are present here today?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Have you directed them to engage in any comments with the media or anyone connected with this case?
A. No.
Q. As far as you know, have you issued any orders or directives at all relative to the handling of these court proceedings, to your personnel, or has that been left to others, or is it simply something that they routinely do what they do?
A. You mean like court security?
Q. Right.
A. That would be what they normally do.
Q. All right. And you have given no other instructions or directions?
A. No.

## ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have.

 THE COURT: Any redirect? ATTORNEY STRANG: I do.
## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Just so I'm clear, I mean, do you have a division that provides court support? Is that a separate division within the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. That comes under the Support Division, Deputy

Inspector Ledvina.
Q. So everybody in this courtroom who is wearing a Manitowoc County Sheriff's deputy uniform, ultimately, calls you boss?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. On the scene of the investigation, I think you testified, in response to Mr. Fallon's question, that Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department personnel would have been following the directions of either the Calumet County Sheriff's Department or DCI; did I understand you correctly?
A. Correct.
Q. And within the ranks of those there in the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, you would expect the ordinary hierarchies continue to apply, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When -- You testified as well that you haven't directed anyone in your department to speak to the media about this investigation or prosecution?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And, in fact, I'm going to take that a little bit further. On or about September 12,

2003, if we go back not quite three years now, you had a conversation with Manitowoc County Corporation Counsel in which he suggested that you and members of your department make no public statements at all about Steven Avery?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall issuing a directive, a written, very short directive, to your department, that people were to make no public statements about Steven Avery?
A. It's possible.
Q. Back in December, 2003?
A. It's possible.
Q. Do you recall that?
A. No.
Q. That was, I think, marked as Exhibit 140 of your deposition; would it help to look at the deposition?
A. Could be, yeah.
Q. Okay. I am approaching you with a transcript of your deposition. You are welcome to look at all of it, but $I$ would invite your attention particularly to page 45, right after Exhibit 140 is identified. You can look at that yourself and see if that helps restore your recollection.
A. I don't see what you want me to see here.
Q. I'm sorry, do you see where it refers to Exhibit 140?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Here we go, the lawyer who is asking you questions is asking you about the circumstances of making that two sentence memo that he's marked as Exhibit No. 140?
A. Okay.
Q. All right. What it was, I mean, if you now recall, you had done a very short memo, about two sentences, telling your entire department, don't talk about Steven Avery?
A. Okay.
Q. On the advice of the Corporation Counsel.
A. Correct.
Q. Do you remember doing that?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. When did you -- When, if ever, did you lift that order?
A. Didn't.
Q. Did it apply to you in mid-April, 2006, when you sat down with FOX 11?
A. No, I don't believe so. I believe if anyone would have talked, would have been able to come
from myself or the inspector.
Q. Okay. So the two of you, the lead inspector being Mr. Hermann?
A. Correct.
Q. The two of you were excepted -- outside the scope of that and could make public comments about Steven Avery?
A. I believe.
Q. You believe?
A. Yeah, I believe we could.
Q. You are the guy who wrote the memo, I mean, is it yes or no?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. That's it. Oh, another question, you won't need the deposition, but give me -- I don't know if you have an exact number, but about how many detectives do you have in the detective bureau of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. I believe five, including the lieutenant.
Q. Including Lieutenant Lenk?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And do they cover all three shifts or is that primarily a day shift bureau?
A. Primarily a day shift, with an on call basis.
Q. And then are there acting detectives as well, or people who perform investigative duties outside the Detective Bureau?
A. We have evidence techs and things like that, yes. People that know how to take pictures, people that know how to lift fingerprints, that type of thing.
Q. To what division are they assigned?
A. Operations.
Q. To operations?
A. Yes.
Q. They are not assigned to the patrol division?
A. They come out of the patrol division, yes.

Patrol operations are synonomous.
Q. I see. All right.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you. I will just take that back. And that's all I have, your Honor.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Any further questions?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No.
THE COURT: All right. The witness is excused. Mr. Strang, any other evidence relating to these motions?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes. No other testimony. If, and when, we get to talking directly about a
change of venue, I'm -- and this was at the Court's urging -- I'm going to offer two full boxes of material. I have provided the same to the State, identical sets.

And these boxes include 24 DVD's and then thousands of $81 / 2$ by 11 pages of paper, which consist of transcripts of television and a few radio broadcasts, newspaper articles that we copied to reduce the bulk. I had four boxes and I reduced this to 2 by photocopying, judiciously. Photo copies from websites, some of newspapers, some other than newspapers, for example, television stations.

And then there are lists provided to me by something called Wisconsin Media Services that provides a few lines of text from television broadcasts relating to Ms Halbach or Mr. Avery. I used those lists to select which TV reports I wanted copied to DVD.

And I'm going to guess that of all the stories that Wisconsin Media Services covered for me, $I$ don't know, it took a quarter to a half, somewhere in there, maybe more than half of some of them. And I -- The DVD's all come from either the Milwaukee media market or the Green Bay Media

Market.
So, in other words, the Milwaukee and the Green Bay television stations, but not Wausau, not Madison. I did not make any effort to capture publicity in those media markets. So that's what's in the two boxes behind me. That may be something that's bulky enough that I should discuss with the Deputy Clerk during a break here this morning.

But if we get to the change of venue after we have argued dismissal and an adjournment, I'm prepared to offer that. Beyond that, I have got no other evidence to tender on the three motions that we're undertaking initially.

THE COURT: Does the State have any evidence to offer with respect to those three motions of the defense?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: As to the Motion to Dismiss, Judge, we did intend to call Sheriff Pagel to testify.

THE COURT: All right. You may call him at this time.

SHERIFF GERALD A.PAGEL, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:
THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Gerald A. Pagel, P-a-g-e-l. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Mr. Pagel, how are you employed?
A. I am the Sheriff for Calumet County.
Q. And as we have heard in this last series of examinations, your department, and you
personally, were requested to assume investigative responsibility in the disappearance of Teresa Halbach and the subsequent investigation into how that occurred; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, do you recall on Saturday, November 5, 2005, being at the Avery Salvage Yard and accepting responsibility for this investigation?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. After that period of time, is it a correct statement, as we have heard from Sheriff Petersen, that your department, in concert with the Division of Criminal Investigation, made all
investigative decisions, that is, you directed the investigation; is that correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Now that we're beyond preliminary questions, I'm going to object to leading, at least $I$ assume Sheriff Pagel is not hostile to Mr. Kratz.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ Who then, Sheriff Pagel, was involved in directing this investigation?
A. It was members of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, in conjunction with the Department of Criminal Investigation, as far as a joint investigation, team work.
Q. Mr. Strang's motions as to pretrial publicity and related motions deal with pretrial publicity. Let me first ask you whether you have any experience or training in media relations, specifically, as it relates to high profile cases?
A. Yes, I do. I have gone to two separate training sessions in media relations with law enforcement.
Q. Are you an elected official?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And similar to Sheriff Petersen, do you consider yourself the head of your law enforcement agency?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, prior to the discovery of Teresa Halbach's vehicle on November 5th, was your agency involved in what's been referred to as a missing persons investigation?
A. Yes, we were.
Q. Could you describe, just briefly, the scope of that investigation for us?
A. On, I believe it was November 3rd, our department received a call of a missing person, that being Teresa Halbach. Our agency became immediately involved in speaking with the relatives and friends of Teresa. And, ultimately, that Thursday evening, I received a call at home from Investigator Mark Wiegert, informing me of the fact that Teresa Halbach was missing and that last contact with her had been on October 31st.
Q. Have you been engaged in missing person investigations before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. As part of those investigations, Sheriff, and I will just ask you directly, as part of this investigation as well, did you invite participation of the media or the general public in assisting in locating Ms Halbach?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How was that done?
A. That was done Thursday evening, shortly after I received the call from Investigator Wiegert. I responded to the Sheriff's Department. And it's been my theory, and also information that has been provided to me through investigative matters, that the quicker you get information to the media the better.

We need public's assistance in trying to locate missing individuals, and this was my thought that evening, was to get the information about Teresa, about her disappearance, to the media, so that we could have the public's assistance in attempting to locate her.
Q. After Ms Halbach's vehicle was found on the 5th of November, were there discussions as to what, if anything, would be disseminated, or told, to the general public?
A. Yes, it was felt that we needed to control the information that was disseminated to the general public. It was done for investigative purposes and also to control the information that the media received, that we needed to have disseminated to them. We still had a missing
person and we needed, again, the public's assistance in trying to locate Teresa.

We found her vehicle, that was true, but we still had not found Teresa. So we still felt that we needed the public's assistance in locating her.
Q. Was it decided, Sheriff Pagel, who would be involved in disseminating information about -both about the investigation and the request for assistance from the public?
A. Yes, a decision was made that we were going to, again, control who the media went to as far as gathering or obtaining information. And it was felt that myself and yourself would be the individuals who would disseminate the information.

Again, we could control the information that the media would obtain and, also, that it would not impair the investigation. Often in these type of cases, the media wishes to contact investigators, contact individuals who are involved in the investigation. And we felt it would be better if we just had two individuals who they could contact and who would be the individuals that they would go to in attempting
to obtain information.
Q. While this case remained a missing persons investigation, was it decided how many times per day the media would receive information?
A. Yes, we felt that we would provide information daily to the media to keep them informed, and also to, again, provide information to the general public as to the outcome, the scope, where the investigation was going.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, on those early occasions, that is the press conference on the 5 th and perhaps the 6th of November, could you characterize the interest from the public, that is, from both the media and general public, be able to gauge how interested they were in this investigation?
A. We were able to determine that there was a huge interest in this investigation. And we knew then, and we knew beginning, going into this investigation, that there would be a huge, or a large interest in the media for this investigation, and by the public as to this investigation.
Q. Were there any discussions held as to limiting what was said and the reasons to not provide information of a specific nature?
A. Yes, we had daily meetings to discuss what information was going to be provided to the media. Again, this was done for several reasons. One was to -- for investigative purposes, to ensure that information was not disseminated that we did not wish to have disseminated.

We also wanted to do what is being alleged here, in that not having prejudicial information, inflammatory information, provided to the public. And we also had a sensitivity issue. We felt that we had -- we owed it to the Halbach family, to the relatives, that information provided to the media, to the public, would be sensitive in nature and that we kept them informed. They were involved in the loop. In fact, before any information was provided to the media, the Halbach's were contacted and they were informed of what information was going to be provided that evening or that day.
Q. Was there more information that the Sheriff's Department had in it's possession that was held back, or not provided, to the media during this investigation?
A. Most definitely.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, at any point, at least prior to the 9th of November, was a specific suspect identified?
A. No, there was not. In fact, we -- it was a wide open investigation.
Q. On the 9th of November, Steven Avery was arrested on a charge of felon in possession of a firearm; do you remember holding a press conference announcing that fact on the 9th?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you recall any discussions on the 9th regarding limiting your comments to the facts that were contained within that public document, that is the Criminal Complaint?
A. Yes, that information was going to be public knowledge in the Criminal Complaint and it pertained to the felon in possession. We still had a missing person investigation.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, later in that week, between the 9th and the 11th of November, do you recall receiving specific questions, and even at the press conferences, obtaining inquiries, regarding allegations made by Mr. Avery and his family, regarding being set up or framed?
A. Yes, I do. In fact, I would be receiving phone
calls from the media, who indicated that they had received information from either Mr. Avery or members of his family. And they were making inquiries about these -- this information that they were receiving. And, again, I would not elaborate on those type of things at that particular time, via phone contacts.

Any members of the media would be informed that any information that was going to be released would be released at a news conference to be determined or announced later.
Q. All right. That brings me, then, to questions regarding a press conference held on the 11th of November, Friday, the 11th; do you recall that press conference?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you recall specific information being released, as it related to public questions, or direct questions, from the Avery's, as to being set up or framed?
A. Yes. Again, we felt that we owed it to the public, to insure them that there was no information, no evidence gathered through the investigation, tending to indicate that there was any type of conspiracy theory in effect here.
Q. Now, this may be a little awkward since I'm not a witness in this case, but I'm going to ask you about conversations that you and I had. Do you recall specific conversations with me regarding limiting, or being very careful about what was disseminated, to insure a fair trial for Mr. Avery?
A. Yes, I do. Again, we would have conferences daily to discuss what was going to be disseminated. You also indicated that it's your policy, once charges are filed, that the information disseminated to the media would cease, and that it would be the joint thought here that anything after that point would not be disseminated, there would be no more conferences.
Q. You are aware that at some point, in fact, on the 5th of November, Judge Fox, from Manitowoc County, appointed me as Special Prosecutor in this case; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Have you worked on other criminal cases with me as the Calumet County Sheriff?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Are you familiar with my general policy of not commenting on pending criminal matters, other
than in the courtroom?
A. Yes, that is your policy.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, while present with me at not only press conferences, but other times that we may have been approached, do you recall me, and other members of my office, reiterating, or explaining that policy, that no comment policy, if you will, to members of the media?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. Have you, individually, Sheriff Pagel, received offers from local, or regional, or even national news sources to comment on this case?
A. Yes, I have received numerous requests from the news media, not only in Green Bay, but in Milwaukee. And I have also received numerous calls from national news outlets requesting interviews, requesting comments.

And everything nationally has been refused. I indicated in the beginning that $I$ would not go on any national news show to discuss this matter.
Q. Several months after Mr. Avery's Criminal Complaint was filed, $I$ think it was the 14 th of November when it was filed, but in early March, March 1st and 2nd, did you become aware of a
second suspect having been arrested regarding the homicide and related charges with Ms Halbach?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And who was that person?
A. Brendan Dassey.
Q. On March 1st, do you recall holding a joint news conference informing the public?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Leading?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry, what was that?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Leading.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Oh.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ I could ask, what day did you hold the news conference that you informed the public of Mr. Dassey's involvement?
A. I believe it was March 1st.
Q. All right. Then, do you recall a Criminal Complaint being drafted and filed against Mr. Dassey?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Then on -- Do you recall another, in fact, the last press conference jointly held in this case?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you remember when that was?
A. That would have been the following day. I believe it was March 2nd.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, prior to that news conference, were you aware of the details; that is, were you aware of the information that would be included in that public document, in that Criminal Complaint, against Mr. Dassey?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Do you recall having conversations with me about what information should be released and how to release that information?
A. Yes, you had indicated that the information that was going to be released was information that was in the document. And we had -- a decision had to be made how it was going to be released, or what was going to be released. And it was felt that we would, again, try to control the information that was going to be released, rather than having the news media take the report and then go wherever they were going to go with it.

It was a decision that was difficult to do, but was ultimately decided that we needed to provide the information to the public and, again, control what information was disseminated.
Q. Without limiting the information in that news conference, what did you believe would happen if that document was simply released to the public?
A. Personally, I felt it was going to be helter skelter. That the news media was going to take it and go in all directions with it. And, again, we would probably lose control over what was -what was gathered by the news media if we just gave them the article and gave them the Criminal Complaint, I mean, and let them go from there. And, again, we felt that we needed to control the information.
Q. You had mentioned sensitivity to the Halbach family, to the victims, especially regarding that disturbing information. Were meetings held, before the 2nd of March, to disclose to the family what would be included in that document?
A. Yes. We had met the night before, with them, and provided them with the information that the investigators had gathered. And that provided them with the information that was going to be in the Criminal Complaint.
Q. At any time, Sheriff Pagel, were there attempts -- and I can only ask you individually -- but were there attempts by you to influence any potential jurors, or to in any way prejudice Mr. Avery through this criminal process?
A. None. In fact, this is, again, why we tried to control the information that was released, so that we could control any prejudicial information, any inflammatory information, so as to prevent, as much as possible, any pretrial prejudicial publicity.
Q. And, again, that's consistent with other cases that you and I have worked on; is that correct?
A. That is correct.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all the questions I have of Sheriff Pagel. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Is it typical, in a missing person Complaint, that you, as the sheriff, would be notified at home, after hours, on the day that someone is reported missing?
A. It's not typical, nor is it non-typical. The investigators, the staff, do keep me informed of their investigations and do keep me informed if they want something done. And in this particular case, they wanted the information disseminated to the media and they felt it would be best if $I$ came in and did that for them.
Q. All right. You say the investigators, how many investigators, or detectives, are employed in the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. We have four investigators.
Q. Does that include the lead investigator or chief investigator?
A. They are all investigators. There's no lead investigator. Whoever gets the case, initially, is the -- basically, the lead investigator, pertaining to that particular investigation.
Q. And to whom do the four investigators report directly?
A. They report to either the lieutenant or to myself.
Q. And I will keep this short, and I hope simple for you, but to the extent that you know, does the Calumet County Sheriff's Department have fewer sworn personnel than the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know, roughly, the numbers --
A. No, I don't.
Q. -- at least to your own department?
A. I don't know what the difference would be.
Q. How about your department; how many sworn officers of any rank?
A. Okay. We have 24 sworn officers and, I believe, 27 non-sworn.
Q. Non-sworn being support people, secretarial, clerical, bookkeeping, business management?
A. Dispatch and correctional officers.
Q. Very good. Were you acquainted with the Halbach family personally, before November 3, 2005?
A. I know members of the Halbach family, yes, I do.
Q. Personally?
A. Yes.
Q. Had you known Teresa Halbach personally?
A. No, I did not.
Q. But you knew some members of her family?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that in part why Detective Wiegert said he was calling you that evening?
A. No, it was not. He had no knowledge that I would have known the Halbachs.
Q. But what he did say was, hey, we need to get the public's help, or words to that effect?
A. Yes, he felt that it was important that the information be disseminated to the media so that they could disseminate it to the public for their assistance.
Q. The media being a tool to reach the public?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. You agreed?
A. Yes. Yes, I did.
Q. As early as the evening of November 3?
A. Yes.
Q. That evening is the point at which -- and I think your words were -- you knew going into the investigation that there would be a huge amount, or huge interest on the part of the public and the media?
A. Yes. Well, I guess, not that particular evening, we didn't know the enormity. November 5th is when we realized that we were probably going to have a large media interest.
Q. You testified on direct, in response to Mr. Kratz's question, you knew going into the investigation. And so my question is, you know, is it November 5, or some time prior to or after that, that you knew going into the investigation it would be huge media and public interest?
A. What $I$ was referring to was November 5 th, the day that her vehicle was found on the Avery property.
Q. What was it that was so hugely interesting about her car being found?
A. The fact that Steven Avery had garnered a large amount of media interest concerning his release from prison, for charges that he had been alleged to have committed, and the vehicle being found on Avery property. You didn't have to be a rocket scientist to know that the media was, again, going to be interested in this.
Q. Steven Avery was a man you knew from the media before November 5?
A. That is correct.
Q. You were familiar, at least with the general outlines of his wrongful conviction and eventual exoneration?
A. Yes.
Q. Release from prison?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you aware that he had filed a big lawsuit against Manitowoc County over that series of events?
A. Prior to what?
Q. November 5.
A. No, I was not aware of any lawsuit prior to that.
Q. But you were aware of Avery and you thought, gee, this will attract the media?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, that's two days after Ms Halbach is reported missing. And I guess it's as early as that evening, November 3, in which you realized, look, we need some public assistance here, and the way to accomplish that is by using the media?
A. Yes, we felt that the information should be disseminated concerning Teresa Halbach, and her disappearance, and the fact that we were looking for her and the vehicle.
Q. Giving information directly to the media was not the only strategy you employed to get the word out to the public?
A. I guess I don't know.
Q. For example, you had a telephone number, that you encouraged members of the public to call and encouraged investigators to share with the public?
A. Our local Sheriff's Department number, yes, or tip number.
Q. Right, sort of a dedicated line, not for this case, but for tips, or for information from the public?
A. That is correct.
Q. You got that out to the public?
A. Yes.
Q. You had members of your department going to friends and family members of Teresa Halbach's in the early days of this search?
A. Yes, most definitely.
Q. In part, to ask them when they had last seen her, and what information they might have, but also, in part, to encourage them to talk to others?
A. Yes, to try to garner any information we could from them, again, when was the last time you had seen her, and garner any information we could about Teresa.
Q. Sure. And to get the network going so to speak, might ask a friend who else do you know she may have talked to, or called, or been e-mailing, or appointments she may have had, things like that.
A. Yeah, would be a typical missing person investigation.
Q. You also took some other steps that would be publicly visible but controlled. I mean, for example, did you -- did you rent an airplane or make arrangements for an airplane at some point?
A. Yes, that was done on November 4th.
Q. Went to an aviation service and took a plane up in the air?
A. Yes.
Q. And that involved circling a good deal, I assume, over parts of Manitowoc County?
A. Manitowoc, Brown, Calumet. I don't think we got down into Sheboygan, but basically a three county area.
Q. Sure. And at fairly low altitude?
A. Yes.
Q. That, you knew, at least would attract some public attention and raise the profile that people should be on the lookout for a missing and possibly endangered person?
A. The fact that we used the airplane?
Q. Yeah.
A. No. That was basically a search to try and to locate her vehicle. We knew that her vehicle was missing. And we were trying to locate her vehicle, or anything which could be of assistance in the missing person investigation.
Q. Okay. So, is that why you only used the plane on Friday, November 4?
A. Yes.
Q. There was -- Once you found the car, the Toyota, there was no need for further flyovers or that kind of visible activity?
A. There were other flyovers done after, yes. There were the use of other planes and helicopters throughout the search for Teresa.
Q. The search continued until -- well, I mean it continued beyond this, but on November 8, some bone fragments were found; does that sound right?
A. That could be the date, yes.
Q. All right. Which would have been a Tuesday?
A. That would be about right, yes.
Q. Were you, personally, out at the Avery Auto Salvage property on the days following this phone call, at home, on November 3?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Each day?
A. Yes, I was there every day.
Q. Starting Friday, November 4.
A. No, the 5th.
Q. So, November 5th?
A. Yes.
Q. Saturday, November 5. And then how many days in a row did you remain, personally, out at the Avery Auto Salvage property?
A. I would go out their during the daylight hours and generally would leave anywhere between 7, 8, 9 at night.
Q. How long did that continue, Sheriff Pagel?
A. I was there the entire week that we were out there.
Q. And until the road was reopened and the searching was done?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Now, during that time that you were out there, during these long daylight hours, essentially, did you, personally, direct the activities of Lieutenant Lenk?
A. Did I, personally? How did you phrase it?
Q. Direct the activities of Lieutenant Lenk of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Not personally, no. It was -- Could have been done either through the command post. Again, they were there as a support group. So we would utilize our investigators, our officers, our personnel, along with agents from the Department of Criminal Investigation and individuals would then be assigned to those particular individuals who would be the lead people doing particular -particular programs or parts of the investigation out there.
Q. Activities. All right. So you set up a command post?
A. Yes.
Q. You set that up in conjunction with the DCI?
A. Yes.
Q. There were other law enforcement agencies, also, at the command post?
A. Yes, there were other agencies involved in the investigation who were there for support and assistance.
Q. In the command post?
A. Not necessarily inside the command post. We tried to limit individuals who would be in the command post. We had a number of individuals who were out there on any particular day and we couldn't have all those individuals in the command post, but we would have the individuals who were going to be responsible for doing a particular activity, given their assignments.
Q. Okay. Physically, what was the command post?
A. Physically, what was it?
Q. Yeah.
A. It's our command trailer that we have at the Sheriff's Department?
Q. Like a Winnebago type?
A. It's a good size.
Q. Okay. But not everybody can crowd into those
things?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. So people, law enforcement officers, would come in as necessary to participate in discussions?
A. Yes. Whoever we needed to discuss matters with would be invited into the command post and we would then discuss our information with them.
Q. The -- You ran this investigation in conjunction with DCI, you said?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, jointly, with a number of other agencies?
A. Yes.
Q. The FBI was involved?
A. Yes, the FBI.
Q. They were in the command post from time to time?
A. They were -- They were only out there for a short period of time. They arrived and indicated that if we needed their assistance for anything we should feel free to contact them. I think they might have been there personally, only a couple of hours.
Q. Okay. But they said, call if you need help?
A. Yes.
Q. The Wisconsin State Patrol was out there for a longer period of time?
A. They were there several days, yes.
Q. They had command officers in and out of the command post?
A. Yes, they would have had individuals in and out.
Q. The Two Rivers Police Department?
A. Yes.
Q. They had senior staff in and out of the command post?
A. I don't know if they would have had individuals in or out, specifically, or if they were just part of the support group.
Q. All right. But what you tried to do was run this as a collaborative, or a joint effort?
A. Yes.
Q. You consulted with Manitowoc County Sheriff's personnel?
A. Yes.
Q. They were in and out of the command post?
A. Yes.
Q. At least the ranking members were?
A. Yes.
Q. So you weren't necessarily, personally, directing things, but you were part of a group that was
making conjunctive, or joint, or collaborative, investigative decisions, so that all the tasks got done?
A. Attempting to, yes.
Q. Right. Were you aware, on November 5, let's say, that Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colborn of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department recently had given depositions in Steven Avery's civil lawsuit?
A. No, I was not aware of that.
Q. When did you first learn that?
A. Today, in court.
Q. Mr. Avery, then, was arrested on November 9, if I understood your testimony correctly?
A. I believe that would be correct, yes.
Q. Charged, initially, with being a felon in possession of a firearm?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, you have had enough time in the criminal justice system to know that, typically, at least when somebody is charged in a Circuit Court of the State of Wisconsin, there's a Criminal Complaint filed?
A. Yes.
Q. With the court?
A. Yes, will eventually be filed.
Q. With the court, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, typically, as far as $I$ know, always, that's a publicly available document?
A. Yes.
Q. That is, anyone from the public, including a reporter, could go to the Clerk of Court's Office and say, May I see the Criminal Complaint against Joe Blow, or Steven Avery, or anyone else?
A. That is correct.
Q. Make a copy of it?
A. Yes.
Q. And leave with it?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So in terms of making something -making information available to the public, one way to do that is to file, at least, an unsealed public document in court?
A. It would be a public document, yes.
Q. That was done here with the felon in possession of a firearm Complaint, as to Mr. Avery, correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. It was done with the criminal charge against Brendan Dassey on or about March 2, 2006 ?
A. That's correct.
Q. You know, of your personal knowledge, that Mr. Dassey, although 16 years old, was charged as an adult from the outset?
A. Yes.
Q. So you knew that that was a public proceeding from the outset, not a juvenile and closed proceeding?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew that the Criminal Complaint against Brendan Dassey, therefore, was a public document?
A. That is correct.
Q. You and Mr. Kratz began to talk about public dissemination of information in this investigation, when?
A. The dissemination of information to the media and to the public?
Q. Yeah.
A. That was done on the first day, that Saturday that we were at the Avery property.
Q. Mr. Kratz was out there as well?
A. Yes.
Q. You learned on November 5, or shortly after, that a number of people lived on what we are calling the Avery property, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Family members, including extended family members, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Husbands, wives, boyfriends, girlfriends, a whole collection of people?
A. That is correct.
Q. This is a large -- relatively large parcel of 40 acres, plus?
A. Yes.
Q. Steven Avery being one of the people who lived somewhere on what you are calling the Avery property?
A. That is correct.
Q. On November 5, when you and Mr. Kratz were on the Avery property, you began to discuss, I guess, gee, how are we going to disseminate information to the media?
A. And what information was going to be disseminated.
Q. And what. Were you and Mr. Kratz the only two decision makers on those discussions?
A. No. The investigative staff, agents from DCI, investigators from my office, would meet, and discuss, and come up with what investigative
material. What information was going to be, and should be, and needed to be, released to the media and to the public.
Q. So, again, I guess, a little bit, as you were describing the investigation itself, your personal style, or your approach to dissemination of information to the media, was more collegial or collaborative and inclusive?
A. That would be correct.
Q. And that's just a matter of personal style for you?
A. No, it's done for a number of reasons: One, to ensure that information that investigators have, information that they do not wish to be divulged, is not divulged. And, again, it's better to do it jointly, together, so that you don't say or disseminate information that should not be, and disseminate information that should be.

Again, we had a missing person. We were still looking for Teresa Halbach. And throughout this case we were looking for Teresa Halbach. And we felt that it was important that the media, the public, be provided with this information.
Q. In a criminal investigation -- Well, first of all, a criminal investigation would be different
in your world, in the jargon of law enforcement, than a missing persons investigation, correct?
A. Yes, there would be different aspects.
Q. You separate those two things, or distinguish between them?
A. You can, occasionally, but sometimes they run hand in hand. If you still do not have a missing-- if you still have not located the missing person, you still have an individual you are trying to locate, so they can run jointly.
Q. Of course, but at the outset, in law enforcement, at least in Calumet County, you distinguish between those two, a missing person investigation and the criminal investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. Many missing person investigations turn out to be a teenager who was upset with mom and ran away for a few hours?
A. That can be true, yes.
Q. And I think even here, I don't remember which news conference, but I think at some point you or Mr. Kratz made a comment that, we now have a criminal investigation, not just a missing persons investigation. This isn't verbatim, I don't remember the exact words.
A. Yeah, but words to the effect that the investigation was tending to lead towards a criminal investigative nature.
Q. Right. And that would have been -- Regardless of when and who said it, you began to think of this as a criminal investigation, or at least leading that way, when?
A. Probably after information was obtained from the Crime Lab, which was providing us with details as to information being found in Teresa's vehicle.
Q. So the vehicle was found November 5?
A. Yes.
Q. What looks like blood is found that same day, November 5?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Some swabs for collection of the blood is undertaken?
A. Yes. The entire vehicle is removed from the Avery property and taken to Madison, to the Crime Lab.
Q. What day was it removed?
A. It was removed Saturday evening, late Saturday evening.
Q. Taken directly to the Crime Lab?
A. Yes.
Q. And further collection of evidence is done, then, from the vehicle?
A. Yeah, the evidence -- the vehicle is then analyzed for evidence.
Q. When -- When, then, did you get your first information back from the Crime Lab that caused you to think of this as looking like a criminal investigation?
A. Again, it was probably Monday or Tuesday. I can't recall, specifically, what day it was.
Q. Okay. Maybe this will help, you remember somebody first saying, gee, I think we have got bone fragments?
A. That would have probably been on Tuesday, I think you said, was the 8th.
Q. Right.
A. Then, yeah, we discovered some bone fragments on the property. And, again, they had to be analyzed to determine whether they were human in nature.
Q. But had you heard back from the Crime Lab before somebody said we have got bone fragments, or after?
A. I believe it was before, that we had some information back, some preliminary information
back from the Crime Lab.
Q. So probably Monday, November 7th?
A. Could be, correct.
Q. Okay. Now, in a criminal investigation, one of the things that law enforcement people do in disseminating information publicly is attempt not to disclose information that should be known only to the culprit, or the perpetrator, correct?
A. We try to do that, yes.
Q. So that if you find someone, and in talking with that person they have that information, you know that they haven't gotten it by watching television?
A. That would be correct.
Q. Or reading the newspaper or something. What sort of information, prior to a criminal investigation, do you not want disclosed in a missing persons investigation?
A. Well, in a missing persons investigation, you want to get information out to the public as to the person who was missing, what the person might have been wearing, a general description of the person, and in this particular case, her vehicle.
Q. Okay. And by elimination, then, certain personal information you would not want disclosed, I assume?
A. Personal information?
Q. About the missing person, private information?
A. You possibly could want to withhold that, yes.

And it's a -- a decision is made, is it pertinent --
Q. Right.
A. -- to this particular missing person.
Q. If it's not particularly pertinent, then privacy concerns would prevail?
A. Sure.
Q. All right. Now, the purpose, then, of these collaborative or collegial meetings, was to find out, gee, guys, what information do you think we should and should not disclose?
A. Yes.
Q. Reach some agreement on that, as a group?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, you know, as people say, make sure we're all on the same page?
A. Correct.
Q. Then, you and Mr. Kratz would be the public spokespeople?
A. That was a decision that was made, yes.
Q. Who were the decision makers on that, in the end?
A. As far as what information is released?
Q. Right. And by whom?
A. Again, it is a collaborative effort among everybody who's involved in the investigation. There were several incidences where the investigators said, no, we can't release this, or maybe we should release this, and a discussion was held.
Q. And maybe an agreement was reached each of those occasions, but to the extent that there was no agreement, or somebody had to make the call, make the decision, so to speak, who was doing that?
A. Well, I guess that information, the ultimate information that was released, would have been Mr. Kratz and myself, because we were the individuals who released the information.
Q. Jointly, not one over the other?
A. Yeah, I would believe probably, right, he would release information, $I$ would release information, but we knew what information was going to be released.
Q. And you decided that jointly?
A. Yes.
Q. The press conferences on March 1 and March 2, of course, were well after Mr. Avery had been
charged with murder?
A. Yes.
Q. The March 1 press conference was before Brendan Dassey had been charged with murder and other crimes?
A. He was in custody.
Q. Right.
A. But he had not --
Q. Before a Criminal --
A. Yes.
Q. -- Complaint had been filed?
A. Yes, before he had been taken to court.
Q. The March 2 press conference was after he had been charged formally, a Criminal Complaint had been filed against Mr. Dassey?
A. Yes.
Q. The Criminal Complaint was distributed to interested members of the media at the March 2 press conference, or after?
A. I can't recall if it was before or after.
Q. But one or the other?
A. Yes.
Q. You made copies available?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. To save them the trouble of going to the Clerk of Court?
A. Right.
Q. So the press conference wasn't going to replace disclosure of the Criminal Complaint?
A. Again, it was felt, a decision was made, that maybe we needed a press conference so that we could discuss this information with the news media and kind of inform them of what they were going to be reading and seeing in the Criminal Complaint.

It was felt that it was important. And it was a tough decision to make, should we just give it to them, or not. We felt that it was better to be able to control and to answer questions, I guess, that the media might have.
Q. Well, what control did you have after you handed them a copy of the Complaint?
A. Well, you still are able to answer questions and you are still able to provide them with some information that is of help, I guess, sensitivity, again, to the family in this matter.
Q. Okay. You had no -- you had no serious question about the ability of the assembled reporters to read English?
A. No, we knew that they were going to be doing
that, yes.
Q. They would read the Complaint for themselves?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So I guess, necessarily, the purpose of answering questions, or providing further information, was to tell them details, or explanations, beyond those contained in the words of the Criminal Complaint?
A. Yes. And, again, the concern was that they were going to take this and go in all directions. And we wanted to be able to control, again, the information. You have to look at it as a whole picture here, not just -- excuse me -- not just little pieces, but a whole picture.
Q. And I guess my question was, how in the world were you going to control the media after they left that room? And we have something called the First Amendment in this country, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Did you ask them not to print certain things, not to broadcast certain things?
A. No, but I think by being able to answer questions and providing them with information, it's going to enhance our ability to be able to provide them and not let them run in all directions with this

Complaint, talking to individuals, trying to gather more information than what was in the Criminal Complaint.

And that's always a concern that everybody has in major investigations, is that the media, or anybody else, is going to take information that they have obtained and go further and try to enhance what they are reading, and what they are seeing, or what's in the Criminal Complaint.
Q. Okay. Now, you have used the word control here at least -- at least a half dozen times or something, I haven't counted, but. By control, you certainly could control what you and Mr. Kratz were saying, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You could put out the information under your control, that you chose to disseminate. You had control in that sense, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You could decline to put out information, that was a way to exercise control?
A. Yes, that's true.
Q. You could phrase information in a certain way, that was another form of control you had?
A. Yes.
Q. You -- These news conferences didn't just happen, invitations were sent, or notices were sent to media outlets?
A. They were provided with a date and time so that we could have everybody together at one time, rather than having individuals from the media calling and getting information at this time, and this time, and that time.
Q. Sure. Sure. So either your office or Mr. Kratz's office, somebody was either mailing or faxing, you know, here's the date, here's the time, here's the place, kind of information to media outlets?
A. Yes. And, again, this goes back to some training that I have received as to providing one news conference where everybody gets the same information.
Q. Fair enough. And you made a facility available at which cameras could be set up?
A. Yes.
Q. Microphones could be set up?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was another form of control, in the sense that, if your words are recorded on tape
or, you know, orally, as opposed to visually.
Now, you know, somebody plays the tape, you know exactly what the viewer will see and hear?
A. Yes.
Q. But what the media did after they left your news conference, after they shut off the lights, and turned off the cameras, and took the microphones, that you couldn't control?
A. That is correct.
Q. What Steven Avery might choose to say, you could not control?
A. That is correct.
Q. What his family members might say, you could not control?
A. That is correct.
Q. Other than the week or thereabouts that you had control of the Avery property, you couldn't prevent the media from going and talking to the Avery family members?
A. Yes, and that happened.
Q. How many times in your -- Well, how long have you been a law enforcement officer?
A. 33 years.
Q. Part of a long line of Pagels, I think, with the Sheriff's Department in Calumet County, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And during your 33 years in law enforcement, on how many occasions have you held a televised news conference, as you did on November 11, to respond to something that somebody, charged with being a felon in possession of a firearm, may have said publicly?
A. How many times have we had similar type --
Q. Yes.
A. -- news conferences? I would have to go back and check through my notes and records but --
Q. I bet you remember.
A. There have been a couple.
Q. There have been a couple?
A. Yes, we have had a number of major investigations that we have conducted, that we have had these type of news conferences.
Q. But my question was really more narrow. I mean, how often have you had a news conference to respond to something that an arrested defendant has said publicly?
A. Myself, probably none.
Q. This was the only time?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. This case?
A. Myself, as sheriff, investigating or being involved?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes. I know there's been other cases, but not myself, no.
Q. Ever -- Ever in your experience with him, ever hear Mr. Kratz give a news conference to respond to some claim that a defendant has made publicly?
A. I don't know. I guess that would be something you would have to ask Mr. Kratz if he can --
Q. But you don't remember seeing --
A. I don't know.
Q. -- or hearing such a thing?
A. I would have to look back. I don't know. I can't answer that.
Q. As you sit here today, you don't recall?
A. I don't recall, sitting here today.
Q. Okay. If I understood your testimony on direct, you were getting phone calls from Avery family members, or members of the general public, saying something like, are you framing Steven Avery?
A. No, the news media was calling my cellphone and indicating that they were getting calls from Mr. Avery, or from family members, and that certain information was being released to the news media
via them.

And they were trying to confirm whether this was factual or not. And they would be informed that any information that was going to be divulged would be divulged at the news conference, or at the press conference.
Q. Referring to the November 11 news conference?
A. This was numerous times throughout this. And, in fact, even the day of March 1 st, $I$ was receiving phone calls from the news media inquiring about the fact that, we understand that Brendan Dassey has been interviewed, the Avery's have called us and told us this.

And, again, they were disseminating the information themselves. And we had -- we felt we had an opportunity, or we should be dispelling some of the information that the Avery's were providing.
Q. Well, let me be clear. I mean, it was members of the news media who were telling you that the Avery's had called them?
A. Yes.
Q. You probably saw some Avery family members back before Steven was in custody so, that is, before November 9, probably saw some film footage, if
you watched the TV's at all, of him and other family members?
A. That is correct.
Q. But you also know that you were getting calls from media people in which they claim that they had gotten information from the Avery's that had not been made public by those media sources?
A. Yes.
Q. And so you and Mr. Kratz made a decision to respond publicly to these phone calls on your cellphone, that you were getting from the media people, saying the Averys are calling us and telling us $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, or Z ?
A. The news media was told that any information that was going to be released would be done at the press conference and that we weren't going to be speaking to them, or giving them information without having a joint conference.
Q. Okay. Although, you did do that, you sat down for an interview on air, in your office, at one point, didn't you?
A. Yes, I have had interviews in my office.
Q. About this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Including about claims that evidence was not
handled appropriately?
A. Yes, there was one, yes.
Q. Prior to November 11, which I think was the news conference that responded to suggestions of mishandling of evidence, or improper motives, prior to that date, what investigation had you, or those under your direction, done to assure yourself that there had not been mishandling of evidence?
A. Interviews were done, and the information that we were obtaining from the State Crime Lab was tending to indicate, or inform us, that this was impossible.
Q. When you say interviews were done, who did you interview about the possible mishandling of evidence?
A. We would be conversing with individuals out at the scene. And we knew that there was no possibility, because there was always an investigator, either from the Sheriff's Department of Calumet County, or from DCI, with these individuals. So we knew that wasn't a possibility.
Q. So when you say these individuals, you were referring to Manitowoc County Sheriff's

Department personnel?
A. That's who I thought you were referring to.
Q. I'm just asking who you were referring to?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So the safeguard, so to speak, was, well, we will make sure we always have a Calumet County person with the Manitowoc people?
A. Calumet, DCI, yes.
Q. Somebody from outside the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. That was -- That was done, yes.
Q. Was that actually a policy that was put in place for this investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that policy put in place?
A. Shortly after the investigation was turned over to Calumet County and DCI.
Q. And who put that policy in place?
A. It was a joint decision made through our agency, the Calumet County District Attorney's Office, and the Manitowoc County D.A.'s Office, and Corp Counsel, and Sheriff's Department.
Q. Okay. So, the three Manitowoc County agencies, D.A., Sheriff's Department, and Corp Counsel, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Two Calumet County agencies, Sheriff's Department and District Attorney's Office?
A. Yes.
Q. And I think I missed someone. DCI?
A. DCI.
Q. DCI. So among these six groups, this decision was made, we will always have somebody from another department there with any Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department person involved in this investigation?
A. Yes, because of the fact that we were the lead agency and we found out about the pending litigation against Manitowoc County by Mr. Avery.
Q. That's done on November 5, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Why would that be done on November 5, if Steven Avery was not a suspect, or a person of interest, until November 9?
A. Again, the evidence -- the vehicle was found on the Avery property. There's a lawsuit filed by Steven. So -- So, as to not look like there was any type of tampering, we felt it was important that we do the investigation properly from the beginning. And this is the reason it was done.
Q. Again, I don't think that that addresses my question. Why, if Steven Avery was not a specific person of interest, or a suspect, until November 9, why the great concern to be shadowing, or accompanying, and watching over the shoulder of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Because --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I'm sorry. Judge, I'm going to interpose an objection if Mr. Strang is meaning to quote a previous answer or question. The question was why was he not identified as a suspect. Mr. Strang has now said, if he was not a suspect. It is a mischaracterization of the previous question that was placed.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I will have the court reporter read back my original question. (Question read back.)
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Maybe you could answer that question?
A. Okay. We have a vehicle that's found on the property. We have a missing person investigation. We have Steven Avery being one of the individuals who is living on this property as were other members of his family.
Because of the litigation, it was felt
that, let's insure that there's no thing in the future that's going to be construed as being a cover up, or anything like that. Let's ensure that if we are going to do this investigation, we are going to do it properly, and let's do it from the beginning. And that's why it was done that way.
Q. All right. Now, do I understand, then, that as to what investigation you had done into the possible mishandling of evidence as of the November 11 news conference, the answer is none, but that you had put this policy in place on November 5?
A. The policy, meaning the policy as far as?
Q. If someone from another law enforcement agency is looking over the shoulder of any Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department person involved in this investigation.
A. Again, that's the reason that Manitowoc asked us to do the investigation in the first place --
Q. I understand.
A. -- because of this litigation. And so, because of that litigation, we felt it was very important, that if you wanted us to be the lead
agency, that we do it properly from the beginning and start, and so that there can't be any inference in the future that it wasn't done properly.
Q. Understood. And the question was, as of November 11, then, putting this policy in place was the only step you had taken to assure yourself that there was not mishandling of evidence, or other misconduct by law enforcement officers?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I am also going to interpose an objection. I think we're well beyond the change of venue or pretrial publicity portion of these motions. These might be relevant to other motions, but $I$ don't know as to pretrial publicity, the motion to dismiss, or the change of venue that's before the Court.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I will leave it with his answer, if he's permitted to ask that question -answer that question, I should say.

THE COURT: All right. Are you withdrawing your objection if this is the last question?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yeah, if we can move on.
THE WITNESS: I guess I'm still confused as to what you are asking.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Do you want to read it
back.
(Last question read back.)
ATTORNEY STRANG: Judge, I don't think we have got that exactly right.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ What I meant to ask was, as of November 11, other than putting in place the policy that you have described, of watching over Manitowoc County people --
A. Okay.
Q. -- you had taken no step to assure yourself that there had not been mishandling of evidence or law enforcement misconduct?
A. I guess that was the reason.
Q. That was the step.
A. The step we took that day to ensure --
Q. Right. And the question is --
A. -- that could not be a question in the future, yes.
Q. Is that the only step, as of November 11?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Last area I have -- and I'm shifting gears off that, or shifting off that. You told Mr. Kratz that you had --

THE COURT: Mr. Strang before you start, about how long do you think you have got to go yet?

THE COURT: Three minutes, go ahead.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ You told Mr. Kratz, on direct examination, that you have gotten many phone calls, or approaches from national media, as well as local and regional media?
A. Yes.
Q. You have turned down all the national media requests for comment?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you, personally, been approached by anyone for a book deal, or a movie deal, or something of that kind?
A. Approached, no. I don't know what you mean. No.
Q. Well, now, I don't know what you mean. I mean, by approached, I mean a letter written, a call made, an e-mail received, has anybody suggested to you that you ought to become involved in a book, or a television movie, or a commercial movie, or something like that, about the Avery or the Halbach case?
A. No.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you. That's all I have then.

THE COURT: Counsel, if you have got some
redirect, I'm going to have you do it after lunch. ATTORNEY KRATZ: I think I only have two questions, Judge. I promise it will be very brief. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Sheriff Pagel, just a couple of questions. The press conference on the 2 nd of March, do you recall, before that press conference, or before I made any comments, an admonition, or a reminder to the public that all accused individuals are presumed innocent until proven guilty?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. And that wouldn't be something, if the Complaint was just released, that would be included, typically, in the Complaint; is that correct?
A. That is correct, yes.
Q. Finally, the sensitivity to the Halbach family and to crime victims, was that discussed in how details would be released? I'm specifically talking about the March 2nd Complaint and the details within there, how things would be phrased, what should be left out regarding a sensitivity to the Halbach's.
A. Oh, yes, most definitely. That was done on March 2nd, and it was done throughout the investigation.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all the questions as to the venue and the publicity issue, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. You are excused. Counsel, then as I understand it, we're going to have one more evidentiary witness after lunch and then I will hear argument on the motions; is that both parties understanding?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Not necessarily immediately after lunch -- I shouldn't speak for Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: The remaining testimony anticipated for today has nothing to do with any motion that will be decided today, that's relative to a motion set for the 19th. So I think it would be better to hear the arguments, or get through as many of the arguments as we can before we take the testimony of that other witness.

THE COURT: Very well. I think in most cases, these motions, each of the parties have submitted written arguments already, so they will be somewhat supplemental. I assume they won't be too lengthy.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. We'll see you at 1:00.
(Noon recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we're back on the record, all counsel are present. And I believe that, with the exception of some testimony we're going to hear on one of the July 19 motions later this afternoon, we have completed the evidence portion of the hearing today; is that correct, Counsel?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, there may be some brief testimony as to the bond modification motion of Mr. Strang that we intend to come much later this afternoon, once that motion is addressed.

THE COURT: All right. Moving on to the motions themselves, and before dealing with a particular motion, I know there were a number of motions and supporting documents filed under seal. And it's my understanding from our status conference leading up to today's hearing, that the parties were going to request that a number of the documents that had been filed be unsealed. Is that correct, counsel?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't know that it's a
great number. The principal issue, at least as I recall it, your Honor, was the -- the defense motion to exclude the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, which I filed under seal in deference to Mr. Kratz's expressed view that it ought to be. So I thought it only fair to honor that, in the first instance, and then to let the Court decide rather than, in effect, deciding it myself by filing it other than under seal.

I don't think it's properly sealed.
That is, I couldn't defend a media request to unseal it. It concerns information that already has been broadcast publicly. And in general here, Steve Avery is aware that the public nature of trials in this country has been an aid to the defense. It's been a protection for the citizen accused. And I would err here on the side of making court documents publicly available where they possibly can be, and certainly there still will be some that cannot, consistent with a fair trial and the Court's obligation.

But I think this one reveals nothing that hasn't already been broadcast publicly by FOX 11 TV. So I suggest to the Court that the Motion to Exclude the Manitowoc County Sheriff's

Department, and any response from the State, rightly ought to be unsealed.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz and Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I do agree with Mr. Strang's position on that particular motion. Our concern was providing an additional forum for additional pretrial publicity on such a volatile issue, or at least as framed by Mr. Strang. But at this time, as the matter has been brought before the Court, and as the Court is about to receive at least brief oral argument on it, I have no objection to that course.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will order then that that motion, and any papers filed in support of or in opposition to it, can be unsealed.

The next item I have got is the State's motion concerning third party liability evidence, or a Denny motion. Is there any reason that that pleading, and the briefs submitted in support and opposition to it, cannot be unsealed?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: It's fine from the State's perspective, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I'm handling that
motion. I don't know that it was, actually, originally sealed, but I don't see there is any need to have it sealed, or any of the responses.

THE COURT: Okay. Court will order that motion, and the briefs relating to it, unsealed.

The next item is -- well, actually, the State I think groups together a number of preliminary motions in limine. The one that I'm looking at to be dealt with next is the motion concerning the admissibility of evidence regarding the defendant's wrongful conviction. This would be designated as item one in the pleading entitled Motion in Limine Series One from the State.

Now, there's other related motions made in the same document. I will start out I guess, Mr. Kratz, with you, since you filed it. Is there anything in there that the State feels requires sealing at this point?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not in paragraph -- I'm sorry, Judge, not in Paragraph 1, no.

THE COURT: Well, let's take the entire document. I don't think I can unseal a paragraph. I think there is, later in the document, some evidentiary matters that are set forth in some
detail, the admissibility of which is yet to be determined.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Paragraph 5 and 6, Judge, may still be appropriate to be sealed as it requires, at least in part, a balancing test of the Court and seeks a pretrial ruling of the Court. As I told the Court, in chambers, and Mr. Strang, Paragraph No. 7, dealing with out of the court statements of Teresa Halbach, this Court understands the recently decided case of Davis vs. Washington decided by the US Supreme Court, June 19th, was very relevant to the analysis the Court must undertake in this regard.

The Court had asked for a supplementary memorandum of law to be prepared. I have done that. I have provided that to defense counsel. I at least want to provide the Court the original of that; although, it's not going to be argued until the 19th, that's been completed, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. What's the State's position on the sealing or unsealing of the State's Series One Motions in Limine.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Defense's position?
THE COURT: Defense's position, I'm sorry. ATTORNEY STRANG: This is an appropriate
case to use redacting of a public copy rather than sealing. And I think that Paragraph 1 may be made available publicly, that is, not redacted.

Paragraph 2 may be made available publicly, not redacted.

Paragraph 3, I think is appropriate for public disclosure, not redacted.

Paragraph 4, need not be redacted.
Paragraph 5, in my view, need not be redacted.

All of Paragraph 6 should be redacted at this point.

Paragraph 7, need not be redacted. The argument that follows need not be.

And, then, Paragraph 8 need not be redacted.

THE COURT: So the defense is asking for the document to be unsealed with the exception of Paragraph 6 being redacted, correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Paragraph 6 is the only one that you are asking to be redacted.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Any objection from the State?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge, other than
there was discussion previously about Paragraph 5, and this Court asking for additional argument as to that may or may not include information that will be made public. Actually, the suggestion, or offer, in Paragraph 5, is probably not something that is all that noteworthy and so I would have no objection to the Court, other than Paragraph 6, allowing this document to be made public.

THE COURT: All right. Let's move on then to --

ATTORNEY STRANG: May I make a suggestion-THE COURT: Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: -- about the mechanics of this, which then could apply to any future issue where the document itself would be public, but parts of it may be redacted. My suggestion would be that the author of the document, in this case the State, go back to the word processing system, create a copied over document of this and then just remove Paragraph 6 and put Paragraph 6 redacted, rather than black ink and that kind of thing, which people, then, invariably are holding up to the light and trying to guess about.

I think it's just a more effective way to redact. And then the document, as refiled,
would clearly say redacted at the appropriate paragraph. And I, of course, would have no -there's every reason why a redacted copy should be treated as filed on the original date of filing, nunc pro tunc.

THE COURT: Any objection Mr. Kratz?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: My secretary might, Judge, but I don't. We'll try to accomplish that. THE COURT: All right. Let's move on then to the next item to be addressed today. That was actually, let's see, I think an additional part of the same document, which would be Paragraph 3. So that's dealt with there.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, the only filings on that motion so far is the State's Motion in Limine Series One that we just discussed, Paragraph 3, and then my letter response. I don't think that needs to be sealed.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So -- Well, that's a good point. The written arguments, in support of or in opposition to the request for each of these items, with the exception of Paragraph 6 at this time, I would think could be unsealed or submitted open, if you will, without prior request to and permission of the Court. Fair enough?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. And in that vein,
I filed a memorandum that relates only to Paragraph 6 and the State's argument there. So my memorandum probably just could be sealed.

THE COURT: That would remain sealed. Very well. The Court was also going to take up Paragraph 2 of the State's motions in limine today and that's covered by the part that has already been decided to be unsealed.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And that's a matter on which I have no doubt we'll reach a substantive stipulation at some point.

THE COURT: The State's Motion to Dismiss, I believe is already open. I don't think there's anything left to unseal there; is that correct, Counsel?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The defense motion, I don't think I filed one, Judge.

THE COURT: Right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Right, I think that's unsealed.

THE COURT: And then there was also a defense motion concerning interference with right to counsel that $I$ was led to believe might be resolved by today, relating to the other person.

ATTORNEY STRANG: The cell mate?
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: It's not even a motion, it's a notice.

THE COURT: True.
ATTORNEY STRANG: What I'm awaiting from the State, and I'm sure I will get when Mr. Kratz has five minutes, is an explanation of why this gentleman was in the jail at all. CCAP doesn't disclose a reason. I know that Mr. Kratz knows and he's commented about where the gentleman is now. So he has that information.

At this point I wanted the State and the Court to be on notice that we have a concern about cell mates and inquiry that may be made of Mr. Avery by cell mates. But as the notice itself says, I think at this point there hasn't been a "messiah problem" that arose as to that gentleman.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, do you have materials with you today that are hopefully going to resolve that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I have information. Actually, if the Court anticipates a mid-afternoon break, Mr. Wiegert from the Sheriff's Department can
provide that relevant information and I'm sure it will be to Mr. Strang's -- or that it will conclude the matter without further discussion with the Court.

THE COURT: All right. The last item up for consideration today is the defendant's motion to reduce bail which was also, I believe, submitted under seal. What's the position of the parties on that particular motion? Mr. Strang?

ATTORNEY STRANG: This is Mr. Buting's department.

THE COURT: Okay. Sorry, Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: We're just talking on the sealing issue?

THE COURT: The sealing issue of the bail motion, correct.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I have no reason that this could not be unsealed.

THE COURT: What is the State's position?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Well, Judge, this was what we had alerted the Court that would require some brief testimony. It deals with statements made by a co-defendant, which may or may not be admissible at trial. Quite frankly, Judge, the State doesn't -doesn't mind if it is unsealed and discussed. We
can certainly do that in open court.

As an officer of the court, however, I need to alert the Court, and I'm sure defense counsel understands, that portions of those statements may or may not be admissible. So I want to at least tell the Court that.

If we are getting into those statements, we can certainly do that in open court. That seemed an area, however, that was a little less clear about whether the Court wanted to air that in open court. But we are prepared to do so today, if the Court wishes.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Again, I'm fine with unsealing it. I don't think there is any reason that it can't be.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court will allow that motion to be unsealed. And I will prepare an order regarding the documents to be unsealed, which I will submit for -- to the parties before $I$ sign it, just so everyone is in agreement.

ATTORNEY STRANG: There's one more document that's under seal, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The -- I filed a motion
to suppress Mr. Avery's November 5 statement to a Marinette County detective and any products or fruits of that statement. The motion itself was not under seal, but I filed a supporting affidavit from Steve Glynn that has some pages from the discovery attached to it and, therefore, I sealed -- I filed under seal Mr. Glynn's affidavit, because it was my understanding if we were attaching discovery documents, it should be filed under seal.

All that said, $I$ don't think there's -you know, there's nothing all that terribly prejudicial in the document -- in the discovery documents that were attached to Mr. Glynn's affidavit. So I -- I don't feel strongly about keeping it sealed.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang -- Mr. Kratz, I'm sorry.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I have no objection to that as well, Judge. There was -- and I didn't know if the Court wanted to deal with the July 19th motions as well. This Marinette issue is to be dealt with, at least contemplated, partly today and partly on the 19th. And I noted the Court did not address other motions, including the other acts motions, which I'm sure should remain under seal.

But that having been said, I don't have any objection to the Marinette detective's reports, or at least portions of them included in Mr. Glynn's affidavit, to be made public.

THE COURT: Very well. I will include that as part of the order then.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Mr. Buting points out that there is one more document that remains under seal, which is the Defendant's Memorandum on Evidence of Wrongful Conviction and Accusations of Prisoners.

THE COURT: That sounds like it would fall under Paragraph 6 of the --

ATTORNEY STRANG: Some of it does and some of it concerns Paragraph 1. You know, we could -- I don't have a -- I was pretty circumspect in what I have said about the Paragraph 6 material in this memorandum; although, I did file this under seal. I don't have an objection to unsealing it.

And, indeed, maybe a good balance to strike here is there's probably about four lines of it that could be redacted with the rest of the document being unsealed. And obviously I, as the author, would handle the word processing task that I described earlier.

If the State would prefer that it not be redacted, then $I$ don't have an objection to unsealing it in the entirety.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I don't have a position, Judge. I think Mr. Strang is correct, there isn't anything in there that is so prejudicial that it needs to remain sealed.

THE COURT: All right. I will include that as part of the Court's proposed order. Do the parties have a preference as to which motion they wish to present argument on first?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Is the Court contemplating receiving argument on the Change of Venue, Exclusion of the Sheriff's Department and Motion to Dismiss --

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: -- altogether, or did the Court want to receive separate argument on those? We certainly can since we -- we meaning defense counsel and the State -- have in one form or another presented the lion's share of the argument to the Court. I expect this Court wishes a very brief summary of the arguments as to those. I have no objection, Judge, to providing them en masse, that is, all three together, since they are interrelated.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, are you going to be arguing these for the defense?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I am.
THE COURT: Any objection to combining your argument?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't.
THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I will start with Mr. Avery's Motion to Dismiss the five counts relating to Teresa Halbach. I was clear on the motion, but I will reiterate here that we have not moved to dismiss the felon in possession count, because I don't think the problems of which we complain relate anywhere near as strongly to that Count of the Amended Information.

The facts here, largely, are undisputed, your Honor. In terms of what -- what has happened. But I will simply review them as I understand them.

There have been eight news conferences of which I'm aware in this case. All eight of them have been set up by the State, presented by the State, as part of a decision, as Sheriff Pagel testified this morning, to disseminate information to the media and, thereby, to the
public, and to do so with control of the Calumet County Sheriff and the Calumet County District Attorney who serves here as Special Prosecutor, of course.

Each of those eight news conferences was broadcast, in full, by one or more, I think probably all, of the Green Bay television stations. Some of them were covered in full, or in large excerpt, by Milwaukee stations.

The defense appeared at none of those and there have been no news conferences called by counsel for the defense at any juncture, either current counsel or, to my knowledge, predecessor counsel, for Mr. Avery.

Of the State's eight televised news conferences, four of those came after formal charges, or a formal charge, was filed against Mr. Avery. The Court will have available to it, DVD's of all eight in full, but $I$ will represent to the Court, as an officer, that Steven Avery, by name, and then the Avery family more generally, are discussed in all eight of the news conferences, some of that incidentally, some of it in response to questions from media people, some of it just in the statements of Mr. Kratz or

Sheriff Pagel.
And, you know, to be clear, obviously, it's conceded by the defense that the locus of much of the investigated activity here was the Avery Auto Salvage property. So I don't cast dispersions or lay motives, in and of itself, to talking about the Averys, or the Avery family, or the Avery property that was the locus of many of the investigative steps here.

But it is clear that the Avery name, and Steven Avery's name in particular, was attached immediately in the news conferences, and then consistently in the news conferences, to the information that the State was making public. That included, at the March 1 and March 2 news conferences, the impetus of which we are told was the arrest, and then the plans to charge, and eventually the charging of Brendan Dassey. Impetus that may have been for the March 1 and March 2 press conference but, again, much of the content of both of those concerned Steven Avery. There have been claims of superior knowledge made -- salted throughout these various news conferences by either Mr. Kratz or Mr. Pagel. And I don't remember right now anyone
else speaking at them, although, I can't be certain.

Well, I take that back, I think Manitowoc County District Attorney Mark Rohrer spoke very briefly at one of them. And I know that others appeared on camera, but I don't recall them speaking, all of them law enforcement officers or representatives of the State. So not at every one of these conferences, but salted throughout, there are references to what the State now knows, or what is now clear.

And assurances to the public that law enforcement and the prosecuting authorities were in possession of information beyond that disclosed to the public and that they found, or viewed, the information known only to them as reliable and as informing their conduct and their decisions in the case.

One of the press conferences, March 2, 2006, was sufficiently graphic, or lurid, or colorful in its details, that Mr. Kratz did something I had not ever seen before, which is at the beginning, urge children under age 15, and friends or family members of Ms Halbach, not to watch. Essentially the State made an effort to
impose and $R$ rating on its final televised news conference here on something that it knew was to be broadcast in the media market covering this county and, therefore, the jury pool, during daytime hours.

There have been at least two other appearances by law enforcement figures on TV: One, a televised interview of Sheriff Pagel, as I recall. And that was a topic of some brief testimony by him earlier this morning.

And then, probably something that's drawn much more focus, a two part series run by WLUK TV, which is FOX 11 out of Green Bay, that featured prominently, although not exclusively by any means, Sheriff Kenneth Petersen from Manitowoc County who, it is undisputed, personally has next to no role in the actual investigation of this case, but who spoke as the chief law enforcement officer at the county level for Manitowoc County. That was aired two nights in a row, May 10 and 11. And I think the Court has already a DVD carrying the 17 plus minutes of those two segments.

I note, as well, that one of the early steps that I took, after undertaking
representation of Steve Avery, was to move for a, what we call locally, a gag order. The motion had a little bit more elegant title than gag order motion. But the gist of it was, that $I$ was moving to limit public comment.

From my recollection, and I'm sure the Court's notes or recollection are to be relied on, at least as much, if not more than mine, and the public record here. But my recollection is that the State did not join in that motion. And the Court, after some prompting to the parties to try to work things out in a reasonable way, eventually denied the motion.

The defense also, here, has sought an adjournment of the trial date, initially set for September 5; an October 16 date was proposed. The defense position, in a nutshell, was October 16 was better than September 5, but not sufficient. And that we thought an adjournment date into 2007 was necessary for adequate defense preparation and to permit a diminution in the publicity, and particularly the more inflammatory publicity that has attended this case from the outset, as Sheriff Pagel correctly anticipated back on November 5.

The State, as I recall it, agreed that on balance it was appropriate for the Court to adjourn the September 5 trial date, but the State preferred an October 16 trial date. And as we stand here today, it's the October 16 date that the Court has scheduled for trial on this.

So, I don't think there is any question that the publicity has been intense here, that at least to the extent of the eight news conferences and a couple of other televised appearances that I have described, that the State has participated actively in that; indeed, made a conscious choice to engage in the dissemination of information that way, publicly, as Sheriff Pagel testified this morning.

And that a foreseeable result of that would be to impair or threaten, put at serious risk, Mr. Avery's right to a fair trial at all, because some of this publicity has been statewide or even national, as the testimony acknowledged this morning. More specifically, has imperiled, put at risk, Mr. Avery's right to a trial at which citizens of Manitowoc County would serve as jurors.

Both of these are constitutional rights
that he has, the fair trial in general being both federal and state constitutions as providing the basis for those constitutional claims. And as to a trial in this vicinage, or a trial venued in Manitowoc County, the Wisconsin Constitution guarantees Mr. Avery that right.

Now, I don't disagree with the State's observation in the abstract, that sometimes constitutional rights come into tension with one another. Sometimes, one, a defendant, an accused, is put to a choice in, do I, for example, exercise my constitutional right to be heard at trial, as a matter of due process, by trying -- by testifying at trial, or do I exercise my 5th and 14th amendment and, for that matter, state constitutional privilege against self-incrimination by declining to testify, knowing that the State, then, can't comment on my decision not to testify and meet the charges.

That would be the classic dilemma or potential conflict of constitutional rights. The defendant who chooses to testify necessarily gives up the privilege in self-incrimination. The defendant who stands on that privilege, necessarily, then, can't testify in his defense
at trial and exercise that aspect of his due process right to be heard.

But that's not the kind of conflict of constitutional rights that the Court confronts this afternoon; that is, the constitutional rights we raise here. The right to a fair trial, the right to a trial in the venue in which the crime is alleged to have occurred, are not unavoidably in conflict as are self-incrimination and the right to be heard. And this isn't a situation where mere fate has caused these two constitutional rights to collide, where forces outside anyone's control, or as I say, fate, for shorthand, have put Steven Avery to an unhappy choice.

This is not fate, this is the State that has done this. The State has fostered the publicity. The State has contributed to the publicity. The State is not to blame for all the publicity, and I don't suggest for a moment that the State is.

Today we're being televised, I assume, or there will be newspaper reports about the proceedings today, and that's not attributable to the State. It doesn't lay at their feet. I
haven't attributed any fault, any wrong doing, to the Halbach family. They have entirely separate interests. Those interests are important, they are legitimate. They are not under the State's control.

And nobody is complaining here about statements that the Halbach family have made, or when they have been intruded upon by cameras, the way they have handled that. Although, there have been -- there has been other publicity.

So the State, you know, the State is responsible only for some of the publicity in this case. But that responsibility is significant.

When I looked around at cases, primarily on change of venue, $I$ find references to, you know, one short informational news conference, for example, Briggs (phonetic), the defendant not mentioned by name, purely an informational short news conference. Now, I can't say that there's no reported case in which there's been more than one news conference. I haven't seen one, but there may well be one.

Even in the State Courts of Wisconsin, I'm sure if $I$ cast a wider net, nationally or in
the federal decisions, I'm sure $I$ would find situations where there have been more than one news conference held by the prosecution, the State, the government, but eight is a great number. They were televised and these were not purely informational.

These included a great amount of detail, a fair amount of opinion, assertions of knowledge, as if that epistemologically were absolute, and based on information not to be disclosed to the public. In the case of March 2, the presentation was so graphic and I think effective, to give Mr. Kratz his due, that he himself warned some viewers not to watch.

And gave what I thought was a pretty effective opening statement or closing argument, running through a Criminal Complaint that was graphic and highly detailed; a Criminal Complaint that went well beyond what was necessary to establish fair probable cause against Mr. Dassey, in the information that it detailed, and then that Mr. Kratz repeated on television.

The participation of Sheriff Petersen hardly needs much further comment. I will go out on a limb and say that we will not find, in
reported decisions, another case in which the sheriff of the county in which the alleged crimes occurred opines not just that the defendant is guilty of the charged offense, but opines that if he's acquitted, somehow he will murder someone else in the future, on what possible basis, I have no idea, that assertion would be made.

I will venture further that the Court will not find a close analog to the chief county law enforcement officer in the affected county, describing with, or agreeing with a description of an accused person as a psychopath. And then suggesting further, in his own words, in a case having nothing to do with fraud, deception, that sort of wrong doing, that the defendant may be a con man.

This was really remarkable. Before we even get to the bizarre discussion of how it might have been easier to kill Steven Avery than to frame him. Off the charts.

And the direct participation of the sheriff of this county, his words, his image on TV, two nights in a row, in an interview that he said took about half an hour, that occurred in the second half of April, about five months into
the prosecution of this case and at that point almost four full months before the September 5 trial date that this Court anticipated. I will add also, the interview being given at a time when the defense already had made clear that we prefer, we want, a jury from Manitowoc County to hear this case.

So the conflict here in constitutional rights, the fair trial right, bumping up against the right to a trial in the proper venue, isn't one of fate entirely. There has been significant State action in creating that conflict. It's a choice to which the defendant ought not be put.

Because when the State takes action to interfere with any constitutional right, yet alone to bring two constitutional rights into direct contact so one cannot enjoy the one if he claims the other, the State is interfering with the defendant's constitutional rights, his bundle of trial rights assured by a Federal, in part, and the State, in full, Constitution.

And that's a denial of due process, it seems to me. I don't know what could be more fundamental to due process and to fair play than the State not interfering with, or impeding, or
imperiling the defendant's constitutional rights, particularly when they go directly to where the trial will be held and how fair that trial will be.

It is a fundamental denial of due process, in addition to an interference with the specific constitutional right at issue. That's, I think, what we have here. Dismissal is an extreme remedy. Dismissal is an extreme remedy. But we have also seen extreme conduct.

And if I thought there was some remedy short of dismissal, I understand -- I understand just how unpalatable that is to the public, how horribly unpalatable that is to the Halbach family, if they believe Mr. Avery guilty.

I understand how unpalatable that is to the Court, which sits as a neutral in this, but in all events sits to see that justice is done, which ordinarily means that causes are tried. Allegations are presented to a fact finder and they stand proven or unproven, but they are tried. So I understand this is unpalatable stuff.

Maybe I'm not smart enough to think of the lesser remedy that would remove the conflict
between the right to a fair trial and the right to a trial in this venue. The only alternative I have come up with, and I stand on, is to adjourn this trial for a number of months, into February, 2007, and to couple that adjournment with an order limiting the public disclosure by lawyers in this case and by law enforcement agencies involved in the prosecution of this case.

I think the Court has the power to do that. It's unusual to ask for an order limiting disclosure by law enforcement officers, but the Court in general has the right to control what witnesses say. And the Court has the right to preserve the integrity of the proceedings before it and to assure the rights to a fair trial that he enjoys as a matter of the constitution and that the public enjoys as a matter of common sense, as a matter of tradition in this country.

And although it's not a constitutional right for the public or the State, it's certainly a tradition, and a hope, and aspiration in this country, that the public too would have a fair trial. So maybe -- maybe an adjournment coupled with an order limiting disclosure, but I don't have more to offer, short of dismissal of the
affected charges. And, again, I tried to tailor that as best as I can to the problem.

So the first course, the one I prefer, is that the five counts relating to Ms Halbach be dismissed, without prejudice; without prejudice on the hope that at some point, if the State wishes to proceed, again, that that could be done without irreparable harm to the constitutional rights of the accused.

As a matter of second preference to adjournment, coupled with a pretty strong order eliminating public disclosure, that order would exclude the Halbach family, they would not be covered by it. And that order would exclude the defendant himself, because I don't think a Court can, or should, mute the accused himself, who has everything at stake in terms of his liberty, anything more than $I$ think the Court should mute or gag the family of someone who's been killed, who's been lost. Because that family has a great deal at stake emotionally and in seeking justice.

But I will say this, that I think Mr. Avery has been less voluble since Mr. Buting and I have arrived on the scene. Specifically, none of the Avery family members agreed to
comment for the FOX 11 report, for example, as the reporter, Lauren Cook notes at the end of both of those segments. I know that I have tried to keep my tongue in check with the media, not with 100 percent success, but I haven't had any news conferences.

And while it wouldn't be proper for the Court to order Mr. Avery to say nothing in asserting his innocence, $I$ will say, if he says anything, he's got his lawyers to explain that to. And he understands we won't be very happy. So those are the alternatives.

The third, and to us, least palatable alternative, is to change venue entirely in this case. Our motion is for relief under Section 971.22 of the Wisconsin Statutes. It is not an invitation to, or an invocation of, the use of Section 971.225.

We think that if venue has to be changed, as a least palatable alternative here, that the entire trial ought be moved to a less tainted county, in the hope that the jury there would not have to be sequestered and that we wouldn't visit that sort of imposition on 12 , or 14, or 15 , or 16 , citizens, depending on the
number of alternates the Court might pick for a trial that well could go five weeks or conceivably longer.

So, I prefer the dismissal. Failing that, an adjournment coupled with the restriction of public comment by law enforcement officers, as well as lawyers. And only last would I address change of venue.

Now, I have more to say about the change of venue, but $I$ want to end in -- for the moment, in talking about an adjournment. And this is new, I have something new to say on that. I have not put this in writing and I have struggled with how to say it, when to say it, and whether to say it, but I'm going to say it.

The Wisconsin legislature, acting rather rapidly on the last day of a special session, saw fit to decree that on this November's ballot for statewide and federal offices, the citizens of Wisconsin will be asked to give their advice, if you will, to vote, that is, on an advisory referendum on the death penalty.

Wisconsin has gone 153 years now without the death penalty. No state in the nation has an unbroken period of that length in administering
justice without killing people. That referendum will happen just about the time the State is resting its case, give or take a few days, or a week, in this trial, if this trial remains set for October 16th.

And in a state where, for example, in 1917 a bomb blew up and killed nine members of the Milwaukee Police Department; in a state that produced Ed Gein in the 1950's; in a State that produced David Spanbauer, in this neck of the woods, in the 1980's; in a state that produced Jesse Anderson, who was cynical enough to blame his own murder of his wife on a young black man figuring that would be the easiest guy to frame; in the state that in the 1990's featured Jeffrey Dahmer; in a state in which this horrible, alleged crime probably isn't even the worst Halloween crime in this part of the state, I guess that honor probably would go, dubious though it is, to Gerald Turner, in the late 1970's.

In a state with this history, Steven Avery now has become the poster child, the poster boy, for some politicians in pushing the death penalty referendum. Whether they like it or not,
to some degree, Teresa Halbach's life and death have been politicized with the death penalty.

And I tell you, I don't like it that Steven Avery, for whom I feel responsible for here, has become politicized with the death penalty. But that's how it's playing out. That's what's happening in our state capitol, and that's the reality in terms of the referendum the citizens face this November. And they ought not be going to the polls in the super heated atmosphere that this trial will produce, at least in the Manitowoc County and northeastern Wisconsin area.

His own jurors shouldn't be taking a day off to go vote on the death penalty referendum at about the time they have heard the best the State has to offer in this case and probably before the defense has been heard, or heard in full.

It's an unfortunate confluence. No one in this room is to blame for any of it. But -And, you know, I hesitated to address it.

The one, I feel very strongly about the death penalty in general. And, two, it's just one of these events out there in Wisconsin. But the fact is, and you see this if you go through
the media reports that I have assembled for the change of venue motion, the fact is, that politicians, and the media themselves, have linked the death penalty referendum to the prosecution of Steven Avery.

Senator Lasse from Depere, the principal sponsor of the death penalty referendum, said in televised interviews that he revised the wording that he had intended. He adjusted course on his referendum proposal because of the Steven Avery case, or the Teresa Halbach case. I don't remember whether he used her name or his, and they are, unfortunately, linked by this case.

But it was this set of events, that brings to the courtroom, that caused the principal author, he said on television, to revise his proposal. And this case simply is a link to it. It is not good democracy, in terms of this referendum, and it's certainly not good justice, in this particular case, to have these two things going on at the same time. So, in speaking on behalf of an adjournment, as the second of the three possible alternatives I have proposed here, I will close with that.

If we get to the change of venue issue,
the Court wanted me to be more specific about what was prejudicial about the publicity, and also to make a full record by submitting what $I$ have gathered on the publicity, and I will do that. But I'm going to yield the floor to counsel for the State on the initial matters I have addressed.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz, will you or Mr. Fallon be addressing?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will, Judge. Your Honor, I have filed with the Court written argument as to the request by Mr . Strang to dismiss these charges as the appropriate remedy for what he describes as improper pretrial publicity. What Mr. Strang also identifies as the only logical remedy, I'm using his words, Mr. Strang recognizes in citing the 1968 case, the Schulter case, that dismissal due to pretrial publicity is not deemed an acceptable remedy, at least in this state, and has not been deemed an acceptable remedy when others are available.

The defense has available to it, not only increased care in the voir dire and jury selection process, but also that of change of venue. Both Schulter and Mendoza, certainly,
when read together, suggest just what Mr. Strang, I believe, already knows; that is, when change of venue is, and would be, an appropriate remedy. Even should this Court find the pretrial publicity to be improper, dismissal just is not an appropriate remedy.

I do not, Judge, intend to go through the purposes of the press conferences. I think Sheriff Pagel did a good job in doing that. This Court, however, needs to understand that the first half of those press conferences related to a missing persons investigation. Great care was taken never to identify Steven Avery as a suspect.

And, in fact, the purpose of those disclosures were to limit information. That sounds a little bit unusual that you would hold a press conference to limit release of information. But that's exactly what was done, was exactly the plan by the State, to disseminate very little information, only that necessary to request assistance of the public and to release information already made public, both which are allowed and prescribed under Rule 3.6 that I cited in my brief as well.

Let me also mention that -- things that Mr. Strang did not concede; that is, the admonition by the State when reporting the filing of the Complaints, that accused persons are presumed innocent. Not noting that, I believe, fails to recognize the very serious nature of these press conferences and the serious manner in which the State, particularly in which I, took them.

Care was taken not to prejudice Mr. Avery, not to unnecessarily identify him, and to move forward in the criminal prosecution of Mr. Avery with all deference and with all recognition of his constitutional protections. Objective, factual, non-editorial reporting is not prejudicial. That is something I will, however, also save for the change of venue discussion.

This Court can't, however, forget the facts of this case. This Court cannot forget the allegations of what happened to Teresa Halbach. And it is those facts, it is the way in which Ms Halbach was abducted, and killed, and mutilated, that has raised the intense media attention.

Mr. Strang may blame the State for that,
may say it was the State who was bringing the attention upon Mr . Avery, but this gentleman seated furthest to my right, who enjoyed a degree of celebrity, when you talk about a poster child, he enjoyed being the Innocence Project poster child, didn't shy away from media attention.

In fact he, together with his supporters and family members, continued to make allegations of being framed, of being set up. And their responsibility exists for members of law enforcement, including prosecutors, under Rule 3.6, to address just such comments made on the other side, to avoid improper publicity and improper inferences being raised.

So, Judge, not reiterating, but asking this Court to accept those arguments set forth in our motion, recognizing that the current state of Wisconsin law is to discount the possibility, or remedy, of dismissal of the charges, I'm asking the Court to reject that motion by the defense.

Secondly, the continuance of the trial is not an appropriate remedy. Mr. Strang's tying this case to the death penalty referendum is something that is improper. I can see why he was reluctant to mention it, because it has no place
in this courtroom. It has no place in this Judge's decision, whether or not to grant a continuance.

The final remedy, the change of venue, again, is something that the State is prepared to argue, is something that Schulter and Mendoza both provide for a Court, as a logical remedy, should the Court find that the publicity prevents a trial within this county for at least from jurors from within this county, and would ask the Court to deny this motion and move on, then, to the change of venue motion. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to issue a decision from the bench today on the motion to dismiss.

With respect to the venue motion I do have a couple of questions. First of all, I know in the original motion, Mr. Strang indicated that if the State opposed the motion, he requested an evidentiary hearing. I'm not sure that we have heard, on the record, yet, the position of the State on the motion, on the defense's motion to change venue, if it came to that. What is the State's position on the defense motion to change venue?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, first, Mr. Strang framed this issue as not inviting the Court to accomplish a change of venue in one manner or the other. That concerned me in addressing my position.

In other words, as I read Fonte, and the other change of venue cases, if this Court believes that pretrial publicity endangers the defendant's right to a fair trial, and this Court decides that a change of venue is appropriate, it's the Court's decision to decide how to accomplish that, whether to bring a jury from another county, or whether the entire trial moves.

By Mr. Strang imposing upon the Court what appears almost to be an ultimatum, that this Court is not invited to use one method or the other, again, is of concern. That's the preference, Judge -- or preface, excuse me, to my position. Should this Court agree with the State, that if pretrial publicity is believed to endanger the defendant's right to a fair trial, and if this Court believes it is the Court's responsibility on how best to accomplish that, the State does not intend to argue or to challenge the motion.

If this Court agrees, however, with Mr. Strang, that it is the defense's prerogative to preclude the Court from accomplishing change of venue, in one manner or another, the State very well may put the defense to its burden regarding the publicity and whether or not the change of venue is appropriate.

THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand what the State's position is yet. To be sure, it's the Court's decision to determine whether or not there is going to be a change of venue. I'm not sure that prevents the State from taking a position, either in support of or in opposition to the defense's request.

The defense is making a conditional request, as $I$ understand it, for change of venue. Its hope is, number one, that the Court will grant the defense's motion to dismiss, or in the alternative, number two, the Court will grant the defense's motion for a continuance of a trial date. But if the Court denies those two motions, I understand the defense to be asking for a change of venue. Is that a correct interpretation, Mr. Strang?

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's right.

THE COURT: What I'm asking you, Mr. Kratz, if it came to that, and because I'm taking these motions globally and together, today, if it comes to that, what is the State's position on the defense motion?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: But Mr. Strang also said, we're only asking for a change of venue if you move the whole trial to a different county. I don't think the defense can do that. And I read the statute as, they either ask for a change of venue or they don't. And it's the judge, then, who decides how best to accomplish that. If that's a correct reading of the law, I have no objection to the change of venue motion.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's fair. I mean there is a legal issue lurking here. I am suggesting that 971.22 does not automatically give the Court the power, over defense objection, to proceed under 971.225. Now, I'm not aware of any case law on the question on -- one way or the other.

But Mr. Kratz is right, to this limited extent, we are specifically making a motion, in the alternative, as the Court correctly described, to move the entire trial; that is, to pick a jury from another county, and in that
county to conduct the trial.
I'm not trying to impose anything on the Court. But I am arguing to the Court, or submitting to the Court, that here, where we have questions about the involvement of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department and, indeed, the Sheriff's Department of this county electively, on November 5, recusing itself from lead responsibility in this investigation, I'm asking, in effect, for the Court to hold the department to that, or to continue and keep in place that recusal, that stepping back, by not having the Sheriff's Department participate in contact with jurors here, unsupervised, any more than it participate in the collection of evidence, unsupervised, by other law enforcement agencies.

So, if we moved the trial to another county altogether, we would solve that problem. We would be using another county's sheriff's department to provide support to the Court in terms of witnesses, and courtroom security, and superintending the jury, and all the things that the sheriff's department, in the capacity as bailiffs, do.

So, yeah, I mean Mr. Kratz is right, I'm
not trying to impose anything on the Court. But he views -- he reads the statutes as leaving, entirely to the Court, the decision whether to proceed under 971.22 or 971.225 once the defense has asked, generally, for change of venue.

And I'm saying that on these facts here, the willingness of the defense to relinquish its constitutional right to a trial in this venue, hinges on the denial of the first two remedies we seek; and, finally, then, hinges on moving the trial altogether, if in fact we have to use jurors from a county other than Manitowoc. I don't know if that helps clarify or not.

THE COURT: So, setting aside for the moment the question of whether the Court alone has the power to utilize 971.225 as an alternative, you are saying, if the defense -- the defense position is, that if the Court does not dismiss the case and does not adjourn the trial, the defendant is requesting a change of venue, but only if the Court actually moves the trial to another county. And that if the Court -- if the Court's position was that it would consider a change of venue, if it only meant bringing jurors from another county here, then the defense would withdraw it's request for a change of venue?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.

THE COURT: Assuming you have the power to do that?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. That's right. Mr. Avery would not relinquish his right to a trial in this venue under the circumstances the Court just outlined. I mean the Court has described our position exactly correctly.

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Kratz, it appears $I$ unfairly jumped on you. I didn't perceive what the issue was deciding the parties -- or dividing the parties. So the State's position, then, is what?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State's position is, should the defense request this Court for a change of venue, $I$ have no objection to that change of venue. I'm confident in reading 971.225 that it then becomes the Court's obligation how best to accomplish that. Now that $I$ have 971.225 in front of me, I don't believe -- I'm quite confident the defense doesn't get to place that condition upon its request. They either ask for it, or they don't.

THE COURT: All right. Because of the criteria that apply, to evaluate a request for
change of venue, I'm not going to hear additional oral argument on that today. I think it depends, not exclusively, but in significant part, on not only the publicity the case has received but the nature of that publicity.

And I think the most effective way for me to evaluate your arguments on that issue is to get citations perhaps to specific news media accounts that relate most closely to your arguments and then have a DVD that I can look at to evaluate those arguments.

So I'm going to ask, I know the defense does, I'm not sure what the State's position is going to be but, Mr. Strang, to supplement your argument on the venue motion, which I understand to be a contingent or conditional argument, please address it in writing and direct me to those news media accounts which you wish to cite either as examples of a general theme or specific accounts. I don't think I'm going to look at every DVD that's in the box.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.
THE COURT: I think I have a general idea what the publicity has been.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. And I very easily
can do that, your Honor, in fact, since I pulled out several pages of examples and put them down in writing already, I can just change that to a format appropriate for the Court.

And the Court is right, the way I approached this was to -- I will give the Court everything I have, and then in writing I can provide examples, some with specific dates, some just more general things to look for, and maybe with exemplars of the general problem. And in writing is just fine. That's no problem at all. Now, I have the boxes of the raw material, which if we have to have a fight over change of venue, I will want, as a matter of making a record.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: But rather than making the Court search for needles in a haystack, I can give some examples.

THE COURT: And with respect to the prior argument on the Motion to Dismiss, I know that the news conferences play a more significant role in that motion, they may also relate to the venue motion as well. As I understand it, at this point there isn't a DVD that has those on, but the parties think they can get one.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's right. And I should take, obviously should take responsibility for that. They used to be online on wfrv.com and between the time I looked at them and watched them, and when Mr . Buting tried to do the same thing, they got taken down. I assume to save band width or something so what I'm going to do is, by subpoena or request to WFRV, or to one of the channels, try to get one or more, probably more than one DVD that has all of that footage, to the Court.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further on those three motions?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: So I'm clear, and I don't mean to belabor this issue, Judge, is the Court then unwilling to rule on whether or not the defense is legally able to make a conditional change of venue request? And here is why, I don't know how to respond.

There are 30 family members sitting behind me, there are 75 cops that are going to testify. I have a room full of evidence that I prefer not to trek over to La Crosse. And that is a very, very candid and very practical approach to how I mean to respond.

If the Court believes that the defense
has that ability, the Court believes that the defense can say, Judge, you are precluded under 971.225, despite saying the Court shall, you are precluded from making that decision. Then the State very well may put the defense to it's burden. Very well may argue that it was not inflammatory.

THE COURT: All right. Since the Court hasn't been focused on this particular issue until a few minutes ago, I can tell you for sure, I'm not prepared to rule on it today. I welcome you to submit written argument if you wish. And maybe the question is easy to address and maybe it isn't. I don't know, since I haven't looked at it until now. But you should submit your argument in writing.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Would the Court permit, and Mr . Strang permit, me to argue in the alternative; would that be acceptable?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right. Thank you.
ATTORNEY STRANG: In terms of getting the written highlights or examples for change of venue purposes, does the Court have a date that I should meet?

THE COURT: How long do the parties believe
it will take them to submit their arguments to me? ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will need to see the DVD's from Mr. Strang and then we're able to respond. I doubt we can have this ready for decision by the 19th.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. Now, what DVD's? I'm sorry, the news conferences or the 24 DVD's about pretrial publicity?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I understood that we were waiting for something from Mr. Strang by way of the news conferences but, also, there was going to be some opportunity that the Court provided the defense to supplement its argument as to change of venue. After I receive that, Judge, I can respond.

THE COURT: I think it's fair that the -since the State is at least reserving the right to oppose the change of venue request, that they have a right to see what the basis is for the change. So I think the defense is going to have to go first and the State is going to have to get a chance to reply.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I agree.
THE COURT: So with that in mind, Mr. Strang, I guess I ask you first, how long do you think it will take?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I really think that if I
had to, by the end of the week I could submit the written highlights of the publicity. I don't know how long it will take me to get the eight news conferences on to DVD, but I would think, certainly, by the 14 th that could be done. That's a reasonable target.

THE COURT: All right. So the defense by July 14th, and then, Mr. Kratz, what do you need for response time?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: A week is fine, Judge. I can have it by the 21st.

THE COURT: State by the 21st. Fair
enough. Anything else on those three motions?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge.
THE COURT: If not, we're going to take our afternoon break now and then resume. I think some of the other motions are a bit less time consuming than these were.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sure they are, Judge. Thank you.
(Afternoon recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we'll go back on the record and move on to the next motion, which is the State's motion concerning Third Party Liability, or a Denny motion. And it's really in two parts.

The first is requesting that if the defense is going to -- or intends to introduce any evidence suggesting that someone else is guilty of the crime, other than Brendan Dassey, that they comply with the requirements of Denny, before the Court allows such evidence.

And, secondly, that the same standard be applied to any allegedly planted evidence; though, I'm not sure if -- in light of Mr. Fallon's response, if the State is still making that argument or not, that is, that the Denny standards be applied to any allegedly planted evidence.

First of all, with respect to the motion as it relates to Denny type evidence itself, I'm not sure that there's a disagreement between the parties. Counsel?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't think there is, although, that's Mr. Buting's motion to respond to. But I just want to make sure I have got the batting order, are we skipping over excluding the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, for now?

THE COURT: Well, let's see. Actually, I thought that the other arguments were including that one. I thought we were dealing with items 1, 2 , and
7.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
THE COURT: Well, let's do Denny and then we'll go back, as long as we're here.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, as to the first question you had, we recognize that Denny is the binding authority on third party evidence and that we would be required to comply with that as to any identified third parties, other than the co-defendant, obviously, Mr. Dassey. So I don't think there's any dispute on that particular point.

The dispute between the parties came on
whether Denny should apply to any so-called frame-up or planting kind of argument. And I think Mr. Fallon conceded that Richardson, State vs. Richardson, now makes it clear that Denny does not apply under those circumstances. And then the question becomes: What, if any, rules do apply to that, and whether there needs to be a pretrial motion and any sort of foundation laid. So that would be the area that would still be in dispute.

THE COURT: All right. In reading the arguments of both of the parties and looking at the issue, it struck me that even the planted evidence
matter could actually have two sub-issues. I could see how the defense could make such an argument, just as an inference from the evidence as it came in, without any independent evidence, or as in some of the cases -- and I forget which case it was -where they wanted to show evidence that the officer had committed similar offenses, if you will, against other arrested defendants, that there actually would be other independent evidence of planted evidence.

Let me deal with the first issue first.
If the -- Does the State feel that the defense has to do anything special to argue from evidence, as it comes in from the State, that evidence may have been planted, just as a logical inference from the evidence that the State introduces?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, we do. I think the best way to characterize this issue is in this light. I would submit to Court, and Counsel, that it is an entirely different argument, a far different argument, to say that the constable has blundered and negligently collected the evidence, negligently transported the evidence, negligently cared for the evidence.
That's one argument. And I would
suggest they are free to make that argument and ask the jury to draw whatever reasoned inference, from that, they choose to draw, during the course of the deliberations.

However, the argument that the constable is crooked, is an entirely different argument to be offered, with respect to the presentation of evidence, the collection of evidence, the storage of evidence, the transportation of the evidence and, hence, the actual -- the integrity of the evidence itself.

The point of the State's argument is simply this, if that is the argument, if that is the inference the defense chooses to have the jury draw from the presentation of evidence, then we ought to know what that evidence is.

It is clearly -- As I argue in the brief, it clearly contemplates consideration of a variety of potential issues: The integrity of the officers, or the citizens, or whomever may be involved in the evidence which is at issue. The Court cannot make a determination of relevance and, hence, balance the competing interest to determine the admissibility of evidence, if we don't know what the evidence is, if we don't know
what the issue is.
As I suggest in my -- in the written argument, waiting until the trial to deal with objections as to the admissibility of evidence, or the appropriateness of certain arguments relative to inferences drawn from the evidence, waiting to the point of trial is not practical, nor logical. By analogy, any other trial in which evidence of this type, or similar type, is always handled pretrial, there's always a question as to its admissibility.

And while, for instance, the State may very well be prepared to concede relevance, depending on what the evidence is and what the argument is and what the theory is, conceding relevance does not in any way mean that the evidence would be admissible. And we're simply asking for the notice, and the opportunity to be heard, relative to that particular type of evidence.

So, again, it comes down to, there's a big difference regarding negligent handling of evidence and deliberately contaminating or tampering with the evidence, which goes to the very essence of the trial's goal, which is to
search for the truth. So anything that in that way suggests impropriety, challenges the fairness of the trial itself, ought to be handled pretrial.

We normally do that in a whole host of whether it's other acts motions, which we will be doing in a couple weeks, whether it's a rape shield issue. There are certain types of evidentiary issues which must be handled pretrial. And the obvious -- or the policy reason is that we have more time to think about the impact of that evidence and those arguments, and weigh the competing inferences and do the balancing test that Section 904.03 suggests.

And that's the point of our motion. If you look at Richardson and you don't look at the Supreme Court case, Holmes vs. South Carolina, even in that case, they dealt with the issue pretrial. And in Denny it's a pretrial issue, and in Scheidell, which dealt with unknown third party evidence, it's handled pretrial.

And when you look at that interplay between the statutes at issue, 901.04, 904.02, 904.03, the Court talked at length as to the interplay of that. And the whole idea is to
avoid surprise, waste of time, confusion. And I think there's a very distinct possibility of a possible mistrial, if we don't handle that evidence correctly.

And it just seems to me that we should deal with that upfront and not in the middle of the trial, and not have an argument and have to delay the trial for a day or two, which is often the remedy, if there's a problem in that regard. So that's the point of our motion, we would just like a little notice. We may very well concede the relevance, and it's possible, we might even concede the admissibility. Possible, but not likely, but that's a possibility.

So the question then becomes, what is the evidence, how can we -- how can the Court fulfill its function of applying the rules of evidence to engage in the balancing test, and to provide the parties sufficient notice to prepare the case and present it as smoothly as possible. That's what the whole point of the pretrial motion practice is.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting, are you going to handle this?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, I am, Judge.

THE COURT: Fine.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I think the Court, really, in its introductory remarks, distinguishes what is going on here; and that is, that I think the State has sort of muddied the issues together, the question of whether or not the defense can argue reasonable inferences from the evidence that they present, or that the defense themselves presents, versus whether the defense can introduce extrinsic evidence of other -- perhaps other cases where officers committed misconduct.

That was the case, I believe Missouri -State vs. Missouri, a recent Court of Appeals case, where the defense was that the officer was biased against Missouri, the defendant, as evidenced by his bias and racial bias in numerous other cases. And the Court of Appeals said, that comes in.

Not only can they challenge his bias towards this individual defendant, but that they could use extrinsic evidence from his past cases to support the bias on this case. That's very different than what the State seems to be arguing though.
What they seem to ask for is something
much more radical than Richardson. Richardson dealt with extrinsic evidence. First of all, the State filed a motion in limine, as I understood the procedural history, to exclude two collateral pieces of extrinsic evidence. Not to prevent any argument that the defendant may make that he was being framed by his ex-wife, but to prevent testimony from the ex-wife, or the divorce attorney that she had called and made some kind of accusation. And the other item was that the defendant had successfully obtained a child abuse injunction against the ex-wife.

Well, the Court said that Denny, first of all, did not apply to those kinds of requests for evidence, and then the Court went through this 901.04 or .03, I think, type of analysis and -- because it was extrinsic evidence. And in that case, they said it was very much collateral because there was -- And, actually, there's one paragraph in that decision that troubles me that may, frankly, be overruled by Holmes vs. South Carolina. And that's Paragraph 27 of Richardson, where they sort of do a weighing of the State's evidence when they are trying to determine what is the probative value of the defense proffered
evidence.
They go through the whole State's case, including the fact that this young girl had physical evidence of a sexual assault, that there was semen, that there was three or four other things that certainly made it look like his claim was -- was of little probative value, his claim that the ex-wife was framing him who, by the way, was not even the mother of the child who was accusing him. So he had a rather tenuous argument there.

I think under Holmes vs. South Carolina, that whole analysis is, frankly, very questionable. Because they say you can't do that, you can't analyze, just look at the one side to determine if there is strong forensic evidence, or if there's strong whatever kind of evidence, that somehow that affects the admissibility of the defense.

But, again, Richardson was very, very different, because it really was not talking about whether or not the defense needs to provide notice to the State if they are going to challenge the State's evidence. I have said it as clearly as $I$ can say it in our response and
we're giving them notice now. Mr. Avery is challenging the State's evidence, including the forensic evidence. And we're going to put them to their proof.

And as a party to a litigation, we have a right to challenge the authenticity of evidence, first, to see if it could even come in; chain of custody, whether its been properly maintained; and then even if it does come in and is relevant, we have a right to challenge its reliability, its integrity, the credibility of the officers who seized it.

All of that is fair game. That is direct physical evidence in this case. It's not extrinsic. It's not some other case, or some tangential argument. So, what they are asking is -- is an absurdly high burden.

They want us, the defendant, in his own case, to be able to apparently show who -- if there is any evidence of a frame-up, or any argument, or innuendo, or inference to be made, that first the defense has to be able to show who did it, how they did it, how many people are involved, who else knows about it.

Now, how could a defendant possibly do
that, in any case, if the allegation is that the misconduct is on the part of the police. That may or may not be the allegation that's raised here.

The integrity of the evidence that appears to indicate Mr. Avery's involvement is very much going to be in play, whether it's something the police did or something someone else did. But we are free to argue any reasonable inference that comes from the evidence presented, as to how that evidence was found, seized, and secured, from that point forward, and tested, if it's forensic evidence.

Indeed, nowhere, I was able to find no case anywhere in this country that has ever -and the State has certainly cited none -- that has ever held what they are asking, to somehow prevent an entire defense argument, from reasonable inferences of physical evidence in the defendant's own case, to somehow go through this whole relevance and balancing test.

The Court doesn't have to go through that whole balancing test if we're talking about arguments from the evidence or inferences from the evidence. There are no cases that talk about
that, because that's not required.
That would be required if we were seeking to introduce extrinsic evidence, such as, for instance, that one of the officers in this case had committed some misconduct in the past that was related to this. Then we would be filing a motion, and we would go through that sort of analysis, and the Court would have to weigh and go through the balancing test.

But they are, as I understand the State's motion, at least it's written motion, going way, way, way beyond that. And I think the Court accurately distinguished those two issues in its initial comment. So if we intend to introduce extrinsic evidence of some misconduct in other cases, then I anticipate we would be filing a motion.

But we do not need to file any motion, or give any further notice than we have today, to the State, that we intend to challenge all of their evidence they marshal against Mr. Avery.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon, let me ask you a question. Because I -- There might be one argument being made one way, or a different answer being given the other way. To go back to the prelim
in this case, $I$ just recall it vaguely, but the car key was found on the carpet, and I think the testimony was that there had been a couple police run-throughs through the room where the key was found. And on the third time they found it. And I think -- Let's say the defense wants to argue that, well, since the key wasn't found the first couple times, that one logical reading is that somebody from the police department planted the key and that's how they found it the third time.

If that's what happened, if the State's evidence would come in as it did at the prelim, and the defense didn't introduce any other evidence on the issue, but simply made that argument, are you saying that they have to meet some burden, or produce something, or notify the parties and the Court ahead of time, that they are going to make that argument? Or is it just if they -- if they want to argue, as Mr. Buting said, that they had some evidence that some member of the police department had been guilty of planting evidence in the past, say, and put that in?

I think they are admitting that if they
want to introduce any extrinsic evidence they would have to provide advance notice, but I think the question comes down to, aren't there times when they just want to argue, based on the evidence as it's come in, that some evidence was planted. What do they have to give a notice of? ATTORNEY FALLON: If you are going to make the argument -- If you are going to make the argument that somebody put that key there, then it seems to me the State should have the opportunity to examine and question. It's a lot easier to make an allegation of police misconduct than there is to prove it.

And what we're trying to avoid, is this trial becoming a whole series of allegations of police misconduct which are not supported by fact. I suppose you could make the argument that that's a "reasoned" inference; although -reasoned is in quotes -- from those particular facts. But I have already obtained -- We have already obtained one concession right now, because the defense is clearly saying that any extrinsic evidence that would require a motion. That's fine, then we're half way home. That's exactly what we're looking for. If they are
acknowledging the fact that they are going to bring in any type of extrinsic evidence, that's fine.

But, okay, the key is planted there. Well, who was there? Well, we know the officers who were involved in the search and we know the officer who uncovered the key. So, is there then -- Are we led to believe there's a conspiracy? Seems to me the conspiracy, for instance, is a reasonable inference. And if we're going to have inferences alleging conspiracy, conspiracy is one of those things that always requires a pretrial motion. Obviously, it's usually the State which is seeking to introduce evidence of conspiracy, but not always. It's sometimes offered by the defense as a theory.

And in Richardson, that involved somewhat of an extrinsic evidence, but it clearly set forth the analysis, that if you're going to reach to make an argument, or an inference, then you have to have a reason to make that reach, that argument, that inference. And, again, I come down to, it's a far different argument that the constable is crooked than the constable blundered.

They can make whatever argument. I don't challenge their ability to question every bit of evidence we produce, the manner in which it was collected, preserved, maintained, transported. They are free to do that.

But I take issue with the fact that we can willy nilly walk in an accuse police officers of misconduct. To me, before that evidence is submitted to the jury and argued to the jury, there ought to be a reason for that, for not every argument and not every inference, although relevant, should be heard.

THE COURT: All right. Well, in the example I gave, I mean, are you arguing that they -What you are really arguing, I think, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, so I will give you a chance to respond. But are you really arguing that the defense should have to provide notice of the argument it is going to make -- or that the defense is going to make, from the facts as they come in? Because there could easily be a scenario where the defense has no more facts than the state introduces, they just argue that there's a different inference from those facts.

In the example I gave, I think as I
recall the testimony, was that the key fell out or something. I don't want to get into the facts because, number one, my memory isn't that good. But if the defense wishes to make an argument of evidence being planted, simply based on the way the evidence is introduced on examination and cross-examination of the State's witnesses, what do they have to provide notice of?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I guess I'm envisioning you are taking one bit of evidence and looking at it in isolation, to draw an argument or an inference, and is that appropriate. And it seems to me that whether you are defending or prosecuting a case, that there are lines of evidence, there are theories of evidence, there are themes, there are admissibility strains, as it were.

And it's hard to analyze and accept the Court's proposition of looking at, for instance, the key, in isolation. I mean, there's a lot of other physical evidence that is subject to dispute. And just as an example, there is a fair amount of blood evidence obtained from the vehicle of the victim here. Well, how did that blood evidence get there?

And there's a fair amount of other
physical evidence collected elsewhere, from the garage of Mr. Avery, for instance, so how does that get there? So, are we just saying that, and can the defense just, willy nilly, say, well, we think that piece was planted and this is not. How do you make the argument? That's my concern. How do you make that argument in isolation? How do you tie it all together? Or is it just, rudimentally, they can make the argument because it is the defense and, therefore, it's admissible? That's a reasoned inference?

Because you have to -- I guess the point I'm trying to make, and I'm not being very artful, is that you have to look at the evidence as a total, as it is anticipated to come in. Admittedly, that's difficult. But generally we have a pretty good idea of what the evidence will be in this particular case; although, some of it is still the subject of motion and debate.

But I don't think you can make a determination as to whether or not a particular inference is reasoned, under the circumstances, until you consider everything. And if somebody wants to make the argument that there's a
planting of evidence, or that Mr . Avery is being framed, it seems to me that there ought to be proof of that.

It may be in extrinsic form or, to borrow a phrase, it may be intrinsic. It may be directly related to the case. But if you are going to make that argument, or you are going to introduce evidence of that, then we ought to know what it is. I'm more concerned about the evidence than the argument.

THE COURT: But that's a big "or" there, because I think as I hear the defense, they are saying, if they do intend to introduce evidence, that they will provide notice. I don't know, Mr. Buting, maybe now is the time to ask whether I'm reading too much into what you are saying.

But that's the way I understood you to say, that if the defense was going to offer any extrinsic evidence relating to the issue of planted evidence, that the defense would provide notice, but that you didn't feel that you had to make any pretrial offer if you were simply going to argue, from the evidence as it came in, that some evidence could have been planted.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes. Well, if we sought
any extrinsic evidence that's not related to the evidence directly in this case, then, yes, we would have to go through that, file a motion, I think -or we would. And then the Court could go through that balancing test. If it relates to the evidence in this case, it's fair game for us to challenge it in any way we can, whether it's by calling our own witness or cross-examining their witnesses.

This whole notion, that somehow the Court decides ahead of time what's a reasonable inference that we can argue, is absurd. That's for the fact finder. A party can argue any reasonable inference from the evidence.

Now, if our inferences are just totally absurd, then the State will get up there on its rebuttable argument and make that very argument to the jury. And the jury, collectively, will decide whether or not the inferences that the defense is advancing are reasonable or not.

And that's -- As I understand it, he seems to be trying to take that away from the defense and put -- making the Court rule in advance what -- whether or not any reasonable -or whether or not any inferences we want to argue from their evidence should even go to the jury.

And that's a complete denial of the defense's right to present a defense, a constitutional right to present a defense. And, again, there's no case law anywhere that says that. Because it's clear that the jury is the one that decides whether or not something is reasonable.

You know, I don't see anything that unusual about a defendant getting up and challenging the police for misconduct or otherwise. Think about -- Think about drug cases, hand-to-hand deliveries, dropsy type cases. Defendants do that all the time, where the defendant testifies one way, the police officer testifies another.

You know, that's not negligence that's being alleged by the defendant in those cases. The defendant is saying, I'm telling the truth and the officer is not. You don't have to file a motion ahead of time to do that, that's fundamental.

And so, if somehow the notion that in this case it's different, and that we cannot go off willy nilly accusing the police of something, you know, if the State or its witnesses put themselves in the position in this case where
they are subject to that kind of attack, and the jury finds that it's a reasonable inference to be drawn, then we can do that, plain and simple.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Again, I'm less worried about whatever argument they choose to make. I'm more concerned about what evidence they choose to introduce in support of that argument, or that inference.

The key is one thing, but when you consider the amount of physical evidence, for instance, taken from the vehicle, the vehicle wasn't processed by anyone from Manitowoc County. It wasn't processed even at the scene. It was processed at the Crime Lab in Madison. So if you're going to challenge the evidence, it necessarily involves introduction of extrinsic evidence, almost.

What about the other physical evidence that was obtained, in this particular case. Counsel is correct to a degree, he can make whatever argument he wishes to make. And if the jury rejects it out of hand, then they are stuck with that.

Again, our concern is, and the motion
is, frame-up evidence, not frame-up argument. So, just to be clear on that, and perhaps we got sidetracked on inference and argument as opposed to evidence, the motion is focussed on what evidence is there of a frame-up that's going to be introduced.

And that's the question, admittedly. And they have conceded, if they are going to introduce extrinsic evidence, then it would require a motion. That's fine. We're comfortable with that. But it just seems to me, that if that's going to be the tact, we ought to be able to talk about that and determine how that evidence is going to be used. That's the point of the motion, not so much the argument or the inference. So I should be clearer.

THE COURT: On the issues that relate to this motion, there was one other one. I think in Mr. Kratz's original argument, he indicated that he felt the Holmes case modified Denny, but it seems to me that if the result had been different, it might have modified Denny, but that the Holmes -- that the South Carolina Supreme Court result was reversed. ATTORNEY FALLON: Right. THE COURT: Was it not?

ATTORNEY BUTING: But I'm not so sure that
Holmes does anything for us, other than suggest that this should be discussed pretrial. Because Holmes did deal with third party liability. It did deal with an identified, informed third party that was suspected of committing the crime.

And the actual focus is whether or not the South Carolina rule of evidence went above and beyond what other courts have held; in other words, above and beyond, say, our Denny rule. And I think it clearly did in that case and that's why the United States Supreme Court reversed that conviction and sent it back.

So, it didn't deal with frame-up
evidence per se, but it is instructive for the proposition, I think, that it's the type of issue that's appropriately discussed pretrial. But I think Richardson is the closest we have.

THE COURT: All right. I will allow either side to correct me, but $I$ don't really detect that there's a big disagreement between the parties here. I think, number one, both parties agree that Denny is still good law, Denny applies, and that if the defense wishes to suggest that some third party, other than Mr. Dassey, is involved in the crime, the
defense will have to comply with the requirements of Denny.

Number two, that if the defense wishes to introduce any extrinsic evidence to suggest that evidence was planted, or the defendant was framed, the defense will have to seek the admissibility of that -- of such evidence before the trial and have the matter addressed at that time.

But, third, if the defense wishes to argue, that the evidence as it comes in and is offered by the State, subject to cross-examination by the defense, without any extrinsic evidence being offered, that the defense is not prohibited from making such an argument, and the defense can argue that different inferences than those suggested by the State can be accepted by the jury.

That's what I'm hearing here. And I'm not -- I'm not detecting that the parties differ on this motion.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I accept your explanation and summary of those arguments.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: I think we're clear, if
we have the same understanding of extrinsic evidence, I guess. That would be evidence that's not related to this case. If there's evidence that we could present, I can't really think of any analogy right now, but -- so it's probably foolish to speculate at this point.

But I -- Again, if it relates to the actual physical evidence in this case, and it somehow relates to authenticity, or chain of custody, I do not accept, by the way, the State's claim that somehow there was no opportunity for this to have been tainted by anyone, that the vehicle could not have been tainted. I don't accept that. And I don't think the evidence will show that.

But, certainly, anything that would go to chain of custody, or authenticity, or credibility, or reliability of the State's -- or bias -- of any of the State's evidence, or witnesses presenting the evidence, is fair game, and is not extrinsic. In fact, it is very clear, bias is not extrinsic to a case, authenticity is not. All of those fundamental building blocks is what I'm talking about. THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: To the extent of the comments on bias, and those other things, that's fair game. But I do foresee a possible argument on the scope of extrinsic. But as counsel has stated, those comments there, we can live with that.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will prepare its own ruling, written decision, on this motion.

All right. Then moving back to the defense motion to exclude members of the sheriff's department from testifying and overseeing jurors. Mr. Strang, are you handling this one?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I am. Or trying to. There's conceptually two parts to this motion: One, supervision of jurors, or contact with jurors. And, two, exclusion as a witness from the State's case-in-chief. Let me address supervision of jurors first.

We learned today, that on November 5, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department decided to turn over responsibility for the investigation to other departments and to play a background role. And then we learned that the Calumet County Sheriff, according to the policy of having
someone from another department look over the shoulder, so to speak, of any Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department employee who's involved in the investigation.

Really, what we're asking for here is a continuation of that decision by the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department and, in a sense, that policy that the Calumet County Sheriff implemented. There is, you know, a department that was concerned enough about a conflict of interest, or the appearance of a conflict, to take itself out of investigative responsibility and turn it over to two other departments that then were concerned enough about potential conflict of interest, or the appearance of a conflict, that, you know, they provided a chaperone, or an accompanying officer, from another department, any time a Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department employee was involved at the scene.

Certainly, I think ought to be -- I'm not sure why you would oppose, then, implementing that same thinking when you are not talking about an investigation, and a scene, and collection of evidence, but rather talking directly about
contact with the fact finders in the case.

And if -- if we simply extend this
recusal, or sort of turning over of
responsibility from the scene, to the jury, and the fact finding process in this case, we would have law enforcement officers, other than the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, serve as bailiffs, move the jury to and fro, move the defendant to and fro, if he were to remain in custody.

It's -- I think it's really not much different. Indeed, the argument for it is more compelling when you are talking about dealing directly with jurors, because they are the fact finders rather than just talking about the assembly of evidence, or the processing of potential evidence at a scene.

The fact is, that in any trial, the Court is left to rely on court officers, bailiffs, you know, usually Sheriff's Department personnel in this State, but I will call them court officers here. You have to rely on them heavily. They are the point of contact with the jury, at the beginning of the day, at the end of the day, lunch, if a jury is sequestered.

These people are housed in the hotel with the jurors. They are transporting the jurors. They are supervising what jurors can see and who they can talk to. And there isn't anybody looking over their shoulder. There is no one from an independent law enforcement agency to look over their shoulder.

Now, I don't have any question about the ability of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department to do that, in the ordinary case, just as they would investigate the ordinary crime in Manitowoc County, rather than recusing themselves because of concerns about a conflict, or the appearance of one.

But in that sense, this case was tabbed on November 5, by the Manitowoc County Sheriff, well, or more accurately, by his under sheriff, as not the ordinary case, as requiring a different response. And that -- that really ought to extend to contact here with the jury, particularly if we -- if we have got a sequestered jury.

The trial, obviously, should be fair and it also should appear to be fair to the public, and to the defendant, and everybody else who is
interested in it. I think it's an unusual case. It's an unusual request. But it's pretty well matched to the situation which we find ourselves, where the department itself decided that it ought not be the front line in the investigation and the collection of evidence.

So, as to that first part, I guess to some degree I'm adopting the reasoning that we have already seen Sheriff Petersen describe to his under sheriff, and seen Sheriff Pagel implement at the scene.

As to the second part, excluding Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department witnesses from the case-in-chief, Mr. Fallon, who is a very good lawyer, and a neat colleague and, you know, I enjoy his company and his work, but he's very deftly turned this and redefined this as a defense request for suppression.

It's not. Not looking to suppress any physical evidence. Not looking to suppress any testimony, actually. What I'm looking for, what I'm asking the Court to do, is to exclude particular witnesses as a limited sanction, only from the State's case-in-chief, so the defense could call them, or the defense could open doors
on cross-examination during the State's case-in-chief.

It would permit, then, the State to call in members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department in rebuttal. And if, indeed -- if, indeed, Sheriff Pagel is right, and at least as of November 5 this policy of always having an independent law enforcement agency person accompanying any Manitowoc County law enforcement officer, if that indeed was complied with, was made practice, not just policy, then I don't know how the State even would be impeded in presenting it's case-in-chief, by the relief that I'm requesting.

Because there always would be somebody from DCI, or somebody from the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, or someone from the State Patrol, or some other agency, to testify to what was happening when a Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department employee was present. It's a little hard to know why -- why the State even would object to the exclusion, but they are, the State is.

And this is really, $I$ think, not akin to suppression, but something much closer to the
kind of sanction a Court might impose on a party in a civil case, when that party fails timely to disclose witnesses, fails timely to respond to interrogatories, or requests for production of documents.

It's the sort of sanction that is used for a failure of timely disclosure, or timely action in civil cases, regularly. Used occasionally, at least, in criminal cases for the same sort of disciplinary reason on lawyers who may be dilatory, or not following the rules.

And here the -- you know, the remedy is even more closely matched to the problem, in the sense that the Manitowoc County Sheriff, I think, conducted himself very poorly, to put it mildly, on FOX 11. Made highly inappropriate and inflammatory comments directed, by name, at Steven Avery. I went through some of them before.

I didn't touch on, you know, the sheriff dredging up and discussing on television, prior criminal acts and convictions dating back more than 20 years. It's the kind of stuff, he's been around long enough to know, juries aren't suppose to hear and, ordinarily, don't hear. It's at
least potentially inadmissible and highly prejudicial information.

So, you know, there -- this wasn't one stray comment at issue here. And he is the man who leads the department. He is the man who sets the tone. He is the man who makes the directions. He's the principal, if you will, and the others under him in that department are agents. And so this, $I$ think, is a remedy that's pretty well tailored to the problem, as to exclusion of witnesses, on the basis that I have sought.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon. By the way, I have read your written arguments and I will read them again. So you don't have to be repetitive. ATTORNEY STRANG: That's a very kind way of saying that I'm long winded.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, Judge. I just want to make a couple of points. First and foremost, remedies should be connected to the wrong. And in this particular case, the defense seeks to exclude from participation in the administration of the jury selection process, and court security, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department without, in any way, shape, or form, connecting the comments of

Sheriff Petersen to concerns that somehow members of his staff can't follow your instructions, can't follow the common sense, and what is, in effect, a policy that, from even Sheriff Petersen's comments this morning, run itself.

He's given no orders, no instructions, no information to his staff, per him, other than do what you guys usually do, make it safe, etcetera; in other words, follow the policies that we have. There is no connection between the comments and a concern that somehow members of his staff are going to contaminate the jury pool.

That's outrageous. There is not a single shred of information that connects those two.

If the defendant has concerns about security for himself, or courtroom security, and wants the Calumet County Sheriff's Department to continue, as it were, and take over all facets of this particular case, then we might as well have the trial in Calumet County.

Are we going to bring the entire Calumet County Sheriff's Department here and let them set up camp across the courtyard here, and tell the Manitowoc folks how to run the jail, how to walk the prisoner over, and don't talk to the jurors,
don't say anything about the case, don't even talk about the weather. Do we need Sheriff Pagel to do that?

Again, let's have the trial in Calumet County, if we're going to rely on everything else Calumet County has to resolve. And in that regard, depending on what this Court chooses to do relative to the motion to admiss (sic)/adjourn/change of venue, depending on how you resolve that issue, the change of venue may very well moot this issue out.

With respect to exclusion and suppression, rather than trade compliments with counsel on deftability to craft an argument, I will simply make this observation. If you say that a witness can't testify, then it may very well make it difficult for information collected by that witness, to present it in court. So if the witness can't testify, then perhaps some further pretrial motion practice and perhaps some testimony, not perhaps, definitely, some testimony at the trial will be affected.

Perfectly example, Detective Remiker was the one -- was the first law enforcement officer, I believe, on the scene, to verify the location
of Teresa Halbach's car, on Mr. Avery's property. Now, that's obvious, because he's here in Manitowoc County, where as the folks in Calumet County have to get in their car and drive here. So, it only stands to reason that the first person here is going to be someone from Manitowoc County.

So, if we're saying, for instance -- and this is a for instance -- Detective Remiker can't testify, then that certainly creates some issues regarding the circumstances, regarding the preservation of the evidence and the scene, until the official takeover takes place. So, in terms of deftness or clever, you can certainly make the argument that, well, we're not asking for the suppression of evidence, we're just asking for an exclusion of a witness. Well, that may very well impact the nature and the presentation of the evidence.

And, finally, to say that it's not suppression is a rather interesting issue. For instance, if the statement of an accused in a case is suppressed, for reasons of a Miranda violation, that testimony may still be heard, perhaps in rebuttal, or in an impeachment form,
should that given witness take the stand.
But it's still referred to as suppression. It's not necessarily referred to as exclusion. So whether or not you characterize it as exclusion or suppression, $I$ think it doesn't matter. The real connection is, is that the appropriate remedy.

In Hudson vs. Michigan and State vs.
Ward, clearly tell us it's not. And the reason it's not is because the harm, i.e., the comments of Sheriff Petersen are entirely unrelated to, not connected to, the evidence which is sought to be excluded.

And if, for instance, we are not to be impeded, and this is not that big a deal, I can't imagine why the State is troubled, your Honor, then my question is, if it's not meant to impede us, then why bring it. It makes no sense. The remedy must be connected to the wrong. And in this case, it's not.

THE COURT: Mr, Strang, anything else?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Yeah. As he did on brief, Mr. Fallon expresses a good deal of concern and distress with me that $I$ have not connected Sheriff Petersen to the people under him in the
department. And, indeed, I'm not trying to impugn the gentleman sitting here, or anybody else in the department. I'm simply following Petersen's lead, and Pagel's.

I mean, the Court wouldn't know this, but in his deposition, Sheriff Petersen testified that he's the last remaining member of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department who was involved in the 1985 case against Steven Avery. Last one, who was around in 1985. Most of the deputies, $I$ imagine, in this department, were in Junior High School in 1985.

None of the current Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department personnel were named as parties in the civil lawsuit that occasioned this concern about a conflict of interest. As far as I know, nobody had any specific concerns about, you know, Detective Remiker, or Sergeant Jost or anybody else on the Sheriff's Department, and yet all of them, by the department, were taken out of lead responsibility, for an investigation of crimes that occurred in Manitowoc County and ordinarily would be within their jurisdiction.

So, I'm just simply picking up on the same connection, as a matter of agency law, that
the under sheriff and Sheriff Pagel themselves drew here. As I say, I don't know if there was any specific concern on their part about the reliability of, or integrity of, this or that specific deputy, or sergeant, or detective.

But the concern about a conflict of interest, by its nature, pervades the organization. And that concern is at least as great when we're talking about direct contact with the people who will decide the facts, as opposed to simply direct contact with the items of evidence that ultimately may be introduced to assist a jury in finding the facts.

So that's -- I can be flayed for the want of a connection, but Sheriff Petersen and Sheriff Pagel would fall under the same switch.

THE COURT: All right. Also on the agenda today is the State's preliminary motion in limine regarding the jury hearing evidence concerning the defendant's 1985 wrongful conviction. I will hear argument on that motion at this time. It's the State's motion, who's going to argue that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. Judge, this is a straight relevance argument. Both 904.01, . O2, and .03 if a balancing test is to be performed,
relevancy, of course, being defined as having any tendency to make the existence of a fact of consequence more or less probable. The State argues that the defendant's wrongful conviction, or the fact that the defendant was convicted and spent some time in prison, has absolutely no relevance in this case, does not implicate any fact at consequence.

In other words, it would not be offered to prove, or disprove, or assist the jury on any element of the offense or other matter of consequence, but simply would be, in the State's opinion, offered for an impermissible purpose. Sympathy is not something for a jury to consider. Feeling sorry for Mr . Avery that he spent time, for a crime that he was later exonerated for, is the exact kind of evidence that should not be allowed.

I appreciate the response by the defense suggesting bias on the part of investigating officers, but the State argues, still, that when compared with, or when balanced against, the risk of impermissible reasons, the whole discussion of the defendant being previously wrongfully convicted, has no place in this trial.
Importantly, Judge, I believe it's
instructive to consider the other side of the coin, to consider why evidence of prior convictions are precluded. It's impermissible for the State to inflame a jury, to indicate that a defendant has previously been convicted of a crime, again, absent some permitted purpose under 904.04.

But the fact that the defendant was previously convicted of a felony, we know is inadmissible. It conjures bias and it conjures president -- prejudice, excuse me, and the State, therefore, argues that a prior exoneration would equally be impermissible.

Lastly, Judge, when we talk about 904.04, we talk about other acts. We're talking about behaviors. We're not talking about prior convictions, or prior exonerations, or prior not guilty findings. We're talking about behaviors. And when relevant for intent, or identification, motive, or those other permissible reasons, we are talking about behaviors.

Prior exoneration does not mean that the defendant is more likely to be exonerated in this case, or more likely to be not guilty of this particular offense, which is exactly what the
defense would be offering this kind of evidence for. So the risk -- the very high risk of unfair prejudice to one of the parties, which is the State, which this equally applies to, that is, the relevance standard equally applies to evidence offered on behalf of the State as well as the defense and should be applied in this case. We're asking that the Court exclude that evidence. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Evidence of Mr. Avery's prior wrongful conviction, and the ensuing lawsuit against Manitowoc County, is what the State seeks to exclude, absolutely, as I understand the motion. Now, like any other evidence, if this is proper at all, as I have argued on brief that it is, there is, concededly, a role for the Court under Section 904.03.

How much do we get into the 1985
conviction? How much do you get into the 1983 lawsuit -- Section 1983 lawsuit, from whom, what kind of details. All of this raises 904.03 questions. And the Court had a good idea in chambers, that $I$ will act on, which is to file a motion in limine here, ourselves, as to what
specific evidence do we wish to offer of the wrongful conviction and the prior lawsuit.

But the absolute position just can't prevail here, in the end. I have argued on brief, and I won't repeat here, the bias and the credibility value of this evidence, explaining why the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, and other officers, may have acted as they did; explaining, if he becomes a witness, why Mr. Avery may have acted as he did, or helping the jury to understand and evaluate his credibility if he testifies at trial. I will stand on my brief on all of that.

I do want to add here, briefly, without repeating the arguments in the brief, that it's awfully hard for the State, fairly, to say we need to introduce evidence of what Mr. Avery said to three men in prison, and the pictures he drew for them in prison; and we want to introduce evidence, if we have Mr. Dassey testify, that, gee, Steve Avery wanted to go back to prison because life on the outside was tough; and then not allow the defense at least some room to explain that he shouldn't have been in prison in the first place, and spent 18 years trying to get
himself out, saying he was innocent. At a bare minimum, that kind of context is necessary, if the State is to offer the evidence that it has sought to offer, and pursues.

I agree with Mr. Kratz wholly, that if the issue were sympathy for Mr. Avery, that's not a proper purpose for this. It happens to be not why we're offering it, but it's not a proper purpose, simply to make a pitch for sympathy. The same, of course, would be true for Teresa Halbach's life history, which the State proposes to offer.

Had that no purpose other than generating sympathy for her, or her family, it would be improper and, indeed, that's why there's a pattern jury instruction in this state, in which this Court, I'm confident, will instruct the jury that it is not to decide this case on the basis of bias, or prejudice, or sympathy, for one party, or for anyone, for that matter.

So that can be handled very well with a jury instruction. And just as Ms Halbach's life history evidence invariably will present a 904.03 question for this Court, how much is right, how much is too much, how much distracts, or
confuses, or misleads, or wastes its time; I think the same is true of the wrongful conviction and the lawsuit.

It's clearly a Section 904.03 issue. It should be addressed before trial, an absolute position by the State on this, particularly if it's offering other evidence informing the jury that Mr. Avery was in prison. It just goes too far. Just as I think an absolute position by the defense, that nothing about the life or history of Teresa Halbach can come in, also would be mistaken and would go too far.

So we're going to be into, it seems to me, a balancing area. And $I$ will try to assist the Court by filing a motion in limine here by July 14, talking about just exactly what we hope to get into, with whom, and how.

THE COURT: Question for Mr. Strang, with respect to the bias basis for getting the evidence in, what -- what witness, or witnesses, of the State, is the defense seeking to argue that the wrongful conviction and the attendant lawsuit would show bias on the witness's part?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Anyone from the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department. Now, in practice in
this trial, that's likely to turn out to be, I can't rank order these, but it's likely to turn out to be Detective Remiker, it's likely to be Lieutenant Lenk, Sergeant Colborn, you know, anybody. Those are likely to be the main players.

But, you know, when you work for a department, or a firm, or a company, and you are getting sued for $\$ 36$ million, your department is -- and it's been an embarrassment, it's been public, you know, somebody who shouldn't have been in prison was -- I think that's likely to effect the morale, the bias. May be sub-conscious, but it really is likely to have a human effect on the people in the department. There can't have been warm feelings about Steven Avery, or even neutral feelings, about Steven Avery, at the time this was going on.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, if the State allowed -- or if the Court allowed into evidence, any of the statements that would, by their nature, suggest that Mr . Avery was in prison at the time, wouldn't it be fair to let the jury know that he was not there serving a sentence for a crime that he is still convicted of?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm not sure that we
would -- excuse me -- I'm not sure that we would have to include where the statements were made or under what context. And I appreciate Mr. Strang's concern in that regard, and I may need to fashion a explanation as to how, or in what context those statements may have been made, but I'm sure it could be done. I don't think that we have to include that these were statements that were made by Mr. Avery in prison. Let's not forget, that the first six years, Mr. Avery was not there for some un -- or impermissible reason.

THE COURT: I understand that, but I think it is significant that, at the time the statements were made, he wasn't supposed to be there.

What about the -- What's the State's response to the bias argument? As I understand, and I don't have, obviously, first hand familiarity with the lawsuit, but the argument is that the -- not only was the defendant cleared of the crime, but that the -- another police agency had provided the Sheriff's Department with information about the person who was really responsible.

And the sheriff, on the stand here today, indicates he still doesn't really accept
the fact that the defendant wasn't guilty of that offense, does not show bias on the part of at least the sheriff himself, if not other members of the department?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Here's where I agree with the defense, that the balancing test under 904.03 has to come into play, but then this Court has to decide whether or not it's relevant. This Court has to decide whether it's reasonable that police officers would try to set up Mr. Avery, because of a civil lawsuit, whether they would pick some unsuspecting woman and kill her, if in fact that's going to be the argument, that bias went to that extent, and dispose of her body, because of this lawsuit.

So, it's in those kinds of suggestions of bias, and how far they extended, whether or not this is for a permissible bias reason, or whether or not it goes beyond that into something that we're talking more about sympathy, or an impermissible reason, rather than appropriate bias.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I can -- I can say a couple things. First of all, the Court -- and the Court doesn't sit to decide the reasonableness of
the inference of bias, the jury does. That said, I can't imagine that anybody at this table is going to argue that someone in law enforcement murdered Teresa Halbach. I just don't expect that to be an argument.

Will there be questions about the fairness and integrity of the investigation, and how they came to focus on Steven Avery? You bet. Will there be questions about the reliability of physical evidence? Yes. Will there be questions about the reliability of testimony? Yes.

THE COURT: All right. The next motion I have was the motion relating to the State's motion to offer -- let's see -- evidence concerning the victim's life history. I know I got a letter from Mr. Buting, I think yesterday or the day -- I guess it was, technically, the 3rd, indicating that it was premature to address this motion until the information proposed was offered with a little more specificity. And I got as far as getting your pleading on my bench here, Mr. Kratz. I'm not sure what --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, that pleading has to do with statements of Ms Halbach.

THE COURT: Oh.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's number seven.
THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's to be addressed on the 19th.

THE COURT: I'm not sure that $I$ can address your request in the abstract without knowing exactly what it is. I mean, I'm certain that, to put anything into context, some background information is always appropriate. But I think, as Mr. Buting points out, there could be a lot more evidence that would be appropriate at the time of sentencing, that wouldn't necessarily get in at the trial. So, I'm not sure that the Court can issue any meaningful decision on the request, as it's currently framed.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may, Judge, I don't know that Mr. Buting and I are very far apart. We understand that, in a homicide case, when taking of a human life is at issue, some aspects of the victim's life becomes relevant, her contacts with Avery, her employment, her family relationships, those things that intersect, if you will, her life with that of Mr. Avery.

That being done through at least some photographs of the victims, or some evidence of those characteristics, or part of Teresa's life
that, again, puts her in contact with Mr. Avery, will be relevant. State has no intent to overstep its authority or to offer any of this evidence for sympathy or other reasons, just those that are relevant.

It's my, perhaps, clumsy attempt to obtain pretrial rulings when $I$ can so that we don't have to stop the trial, or have those discussions in the middle. I intend, Judge, and I think perhaps this is best addressed by sharing it with the defense, if there are going to be photographs, if there are going to be kinds of evidence that, again, are relevant as to Ms Halbach, and especially as they intersect with Mr. Avery, and as I previously mentioned, I don't think that Mr . Buting and I , when I read his response, are very far apart at all.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I guess I would just suggest that perhaps they do the same thing that we're doing on -- or that the Court's asked us to do, which is to file a motion in limine on how we would use the wrongful conviction. If the State wants to identify, certainly, any way that Teresa's life intersected with Mr. Avery, I think is going to
be fair game, just to set the context.
I'm not sure about relationships with
her family and all those kinds of things. But I think if the State wants to do that, they can file a motion and, then, before trial, share his concern about all these things coming up in the middle of the trial. But $I$ think a motion in limine before trial, where he can lay out the limits and the parameters of this kind of evidence, is the way to go.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to trust Mr. Kratz to do that. I don't know that it's necessary, in every case, that the State provide the defense with a summary of background victim information, but given the length that the parties are anticipating for this trial, and the fact that wherever we have a jury, they are going to be around, take a sizeable chunk of time out of their lives, I think to the extent that we can resolve matters ahead of time we should do so.

There's another motion filed by the State regarding prior criminal convictions of the defendant. And it's my understanding the parties were going to work together to resolve that.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We will, Judge. We will
reach a stipulation on that, and we'll attempt to reach stipulations on any witness, whether called by the State or the defense, prior to trial.

If we have issues that the Court needs to resolve, whether it's issues of remoteness or relevance, we will bring those to the Court. But I'm quite confident, with the number of years of experience between all of us, we should come pretty close to coming up with correct numbers.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. This will probably get worked out. We can raise our hands if it doesn't.

THE COURT: Okay. I think that takes us to the motion for bail reduction. I believe everything else has been addressed, unless I have missed something.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: There was the issue of the Marinette detective, and we do recognize, Judge, with the Court's previous ruling on the change of venue, that it's quite clear that a third hearing is to be set in this case. There was, as the Court knows, an audiotape recording of Mr. Avery's interviews with the Marinette detective.

We felt it fair to at least suggest to the Court that that be provided before they have
an opportunity to cross-examine the detective.
And so we plan to do that. And anticipating, we will set a date before we leave here today. We suggest, at least the State suggests, that that entire motion, then, be dealt with on that third motion date that we are contemplating.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY BUTING: No, Judge.
THE COURT: So I will hear the bail motion today and we'll set another hearing date then for the other motion.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm sorry, do we have his schedule?

ATTORNEY FALLON: He's still here. Would it be possible?

THE COURT: We can set the date now. How about Thursday, August 3rd; what does that look like for the parties.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, that's the week I'm going to be out of town and out of state. Mr. Strang, I think, is gone the week before, but the week after that perhaps.

THE COURT: Wednesday, August 9th. ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine with us. ATTORNEY BUTING: That's good.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's good.
THE COURT: All right. 9:00 on the 9th.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: And so that we're clear, Judge, that is, at least, the change of venue motion, and the statement of the Marinette detective and, perhaps, whatever we don't accomplish on the 19th; does that sound fair?

THE COURT: Everybody agree with that?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Change of venue in the sense of argument and decision or?

THE COURT: Well, let's see, I'm going to have -- I'm anticipating it would be a decision at that time because I'm going to get some written submissions from the parties, correct?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine.
THE COURT: Between the written submissions and the media reports I'm going to have to review, I think that's fair. I don't believe any further argument or evidence is necessary, is it?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Right.
THE COURT: Very well.
ATTORNEY STRANG: On the change of venue, here's the -- here are the two boxes.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Should we mark them?

THE COURT: The Clerk will happily take them to the Clerk's Office when we're done today, I'm sure.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'll be happy to help.
THE COURT: Maybe you can help her out.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I can carry them, physically. What I'm -- For the record, what I'm tendering her, two bank boxes, to include thousands of pages of the copies -- photo copies I described earlier, and 24 DVD's of television clips concerning this investigation and the prosecution.

THE COURT: Very well. All right. At this time, then, I will hear argument on the bail motion. Mr. Strang, will that be you or Mr. Buting?

ATTORNEY BUTING: It's going to be me, Judge. Judge, we filed a motion for reconsideration of the bail -- our motion to reduce bail, I believe on June 2nd. June 1st is the date here that we signed it. I think it was filed in this court on June 2nd. This is our first chance to argue it.

There have been some changes since that date, in what we would have put in the motion, or presented to the Court. But when we last discussed bail it was on March 17th, and it was in conjunction with the State's motion to amend
the Criminal Complaint, adding additional counts against Mr. Avery, based upon the statements, alleged reliable, credible statements of Mr. Dassey, the 16 year old nephew.

And we argued at that time, and provided whatever case law we could, that Mr. Dassey's statements were simply not reliable and shouldn't be admissible, or considered, to establish probable cause in the Criminal Complaint. And the Court disagreed and found that they were reliable for that purpose.

The State then objected to our motion to reduce bail. And, in fact, filed their own motion to increase the bail, based, again, upon, solely, the statements of 16 year old Brendan Dassey, which were set forth in lurid detail in the Criminal Complaint. And on that basis, the Court found that, because of those statements, and only those statements, Mr. Avery's bail should be increased from 500,000 to 750,000.

Now, since that time, substantial
evidence has disproved -- certainly called into question -- the reliability of that young man's statements that are in this Complaint. As a matter of fact, the majority of the story, of how

Teresa Halbach supposedly lost her life at the hands of these two individuals, has been proven to be incorrect, either lies or guesswork made up by Mr. Dassey at the urging, coaching, of his interrogators, some of which we placed in our written motion.

He claimed, for instance, that she was handcuffed to the bed when he saw her for the first occasion. The Crime Lab tested those handcuffs. Her DNA was not found on the handcuffs. But, suggesting that they were not wiped off or wiped clean, Mr. Avery's was found on the handcuffs, as well as another individual, not Mr. Dassey, again, suggesting that these handcuffs were not used, that she was not retrained by handcuffs as Dassey claimed. Because if she was, one would certainly expect the poor woman, struggling, would have left at least as much DNA as somebody else, like Mr. Avery or the other unknown individual would have, just handling them.

So, that immediately called into question the credibility and reliability of Brendan Dassey's version of what happened. But then there were some other things that developed.

His statement also claimed that they cut off 3-inches of her hair, I believe it was. And the Crime Lab ripped up all of the carpet from Mr. Dassey's -- I'm sorry, Mr. Avery's trailer. And they took all of the wood paneling off of the walls as well. They found no hair. He also claimed that she was brutally stabbed, her throat cut in a horrific description, pure fantasy, of how that woman supposedly died at Mr. Avery's hands, in a manner that would have obviously left blood on the mattress, soaked through the bedding. Even if the bedding had been disposed of, the mattress would have had evidence.

Everybody was talking about it, even on the street, well, where's the evidence of this, wouldn't there be blood on the mattress. Well, sure enough, they took the mattress, and it's the same mattress, and there was not a speck of blood on it.

And he also claimed that Mr. Avery, then, after she had been brutally stabbed and bleeding all over the mattress, then carries her over his shoulder, out into the garage, obviously would have been dripping blood all the way,
again, not a speck of blood anywhere on the carpet, or on the steps, or anywhere leading out.

And as a matter of fact, with all the tests that they have done, of all the stuff in his trailer, not one speck of Teresa Halbach's blood or DNA has been found in Mr. Avery's trailer, directly contrary to what they claim in this Complaint to be reliable, credible evidence, sufficient to have this Court increase his bail.

Now, recognizing that, the interrogators went back to Mr. Dassey, on May 13th, I believe, and did another interview of him, once again, coaching, leading, trying to change his story to fit their theory of what happened in this case. And thank God for State vs. Jerrell, because it's recorded, for you to see, or anyone else to see, eventually, in this case, or in his case.

And the manner that they questioned him is -- it would be laughable, if it wasn't a real case with real people's lives at stake. He is fed information to change his story. Now, it turns out, according to this young man, that the whole story about him getting off the bus, finding a letter of Mr . Avery's, and going down and hearing this poor woman screaming, was
totally made up.
He hears no screaming outside the trailer. He claims that he goes in the trailer and, yes, she's there. But, again, the entire time he's there she's never screaming, which is, frankly, rather preposterous. He now, because he knows, with his lawyer, and what's been made public, that there's no DNA on the handcuffs.

It's like, oh, I was wrong about that. It was rope, her arms were bound by rope, to the headboard. So they test the headboard and there's no rope fibers on it. They swab it specifically for that purpose. No evidence, once again.

And his interrogators press him about that and they say, well, was that a lie? And he says, yes. Did you cut off her hair? No, that was a lie. Why did you tell us these things? Where did you come up with these things? Just guessing, is his response.

Recognizing that there's no blood or DNA in his trailer, it is now obvious this young woman was not killed in his trailer. So the interrogators get him to try and move the location. Again, not accepting the possibility
that Brendan Dassey's entire story is wrong. They just want to change it and somehow make it fit the physical evidence.

And so, now, she is supposedly killed in the garage. And there's one piece of evidence that they have found, that in some way might support part of his story. Allegedly, there is a very small amount of her DNA that was found on a bullet somewhere in the garage; although, it wasn't found until after March some time, when they re-searched the garage.

But, as we put in our written motion, it's a garage that is fairly accessible to many people, not just Mr. Avery. And, of course, it wasn't found until five months after Mr. Avery has been in custody. So, many people had access to it during that period of time.

But other than that, again, and -- and they -- and they challenge him, frankly, it's pretty obvious. And, frankly, I have a copy of it. I can offer it to the Court, introduce it if you would like to look at -- listen to this. But you can tell that the interrogators don't even believe most of what he's saying, until they get him to say what it was -- what they want to hear,
that they think might somehow fit the evidence. But they say, well, where's the blood? Where's the knife? They never found any knife. There was no evidence that there was ever any stabbing of this woman. He's got no explanation for it. And they say, well, one would think that there would be blood because, again, now the killing is supposedly in the garage. And it's not just a gunshot. In fact, originally it was 10 times that he shot her, now he's changed it to five. But there's still the stabbing that's going on, with her now in the garage. There's no blood to support it.

And they are relying, now, on, oh, well, they cleaned it up with bleach and gasoline, and all that stuff. Well, in truth, there was blood stains found -- or there were blood stains found on the floor of the garage, Mr. Avery's. The man works on cars in there for -- every day. He cuts himself repeatedly. His blood is found in numerous places, but not hers. If it's all cleaned up with bleach, why is his blood found anywhere. Again, it doesn't fit.

In essence, he has now retracted almost everything. This dramatic press conference
statement that people -- the children should not listen to this, it's so terrible to hear, was pure fantasy, unsupported by any other physical evidence, and now retracted by the guy who supposedly told it.

I feel sorry for the Halbachs, that they think all these horrible things happened to their daughter and sibling. Now, we know that's not even true, but that's, unfortunately, the reality. I can go on and on. Did he punch her, or did she -- did Avery punch her in the mouth? Now, he's like, no, he never hit her at all.

Not only that, he now retracts any -any knowledge that he has that Mr. Avery ever had any sexual assault. He's still on his newest statement, newest I'm aware of, admits that he had sex with her; although, the way he admits it is certainly suspect. But he says, no, I didn't see Avery have sex with her. No, he didn't tell me he had sex with her.

So the whole sexual assault charge against Mr. Avery that's in this Criminal Complaint, and for which his bail went up, is now going to be out the window.

In Mr. Dassey's own bond hearing, the
prosecution revealed -- and by the way, I think the description of Sheriff Pagel's, the purpose of these press conferences was to control the information that went out, is a very good description of what's been going on in this case, in the public's eye all along; which is, the prosecution has controlled the evidence that the public hears, and construed it in a way that makes it look like this guy should be the poster boy for the death penalty, when, in fact, everything that they construed is one sided. It leaves out all kinds of other things.

Looking at that press conference, you would have expected that that young boy, who was holding this in for months and just had to unburden himself with this terrible story that he had -- experience that he had, was emotionally upset and came out with this whole, long story when, in fact, there is not an iota of emotion expressed by the guy.

He never cries, he's not upset at all, he's not coming out with any narrative. It's one sentence or one word responses to their questions, the entire way, all the way through that first statement. And the second statement
is more of the same. There is no emotion. If this kid had any involvement with it, he certainly hasn't described what happened, or what his involvement really was, because there's no emotional connection or affect there.

But back to the bond hearing, the prosecutor announced, oh, well, now we have new information that this was a planned murder and that they selected Teresa Halbach several days earlier. And, again, I suggest to the Court, look at that statement. Brendan Dassey says nothing about any planning until they suggest it, as the only explanation they can come up with for why there isn't more evidence.

And after he's -- he's badgered with this, he finally starts, well, yeah, I suppose; again, guessing, answering their questions. But when they press him about, okay, what was the plan; there is no plan. There's no discussion about who is going to do what, how it's going to be carried out. No plan to have sex. No plan on why Teresa Halbach.

When they start pressing him on -- on the obvious questions, he can't answer any of them, because it's, again, it's just fantasy.

It's not the truth. And, then, when they ask him, well, why -- why would Steven Avery have planned this, why would he have done this, again, there's long pauses in all these answers. And he comes up with, well, he wanted to go back to prison, because he missed it.

And even their own interrogator throws up his hands and says, He didn't say that, did he? Come on, start telling us the truth. And then there's a long sequence where they start badgering him about how he has got to help himself, and he is not being honest with them. On and on and on. So they don't even buy that story, yet they offer it, in Mr. Dassey's own bond hearing, and probably would do so here too.

So, in short, the Criminal Complaint is no longer credible and that all of the evidence that they have done, all the testing that they have done so far, has disproved Mr. Dassey's credibility, and his involvement, and, therefore, the involvement of Steven Avery in the murder, and any other offenses that go along with that, of Teresa Halbach.

What we're asking is the Court to, therefore, reconsider the bond, to once again
consider, first, reducing the bond back down to the 500,000 that was originally set, before this Brendan Dassey fantasy was filed. And, then, to once again, consider a property bond posted by Mr. Avery's family.

He's shown no evidence of a risk of flight. He's fought for 18 years to get himself out of prison. He intends to -- He's conducted himself in jail, and in this courtroom, with perfect decorum, and showing no evidence of being a disruptive individual who's a risk of flight.

Yes, he's facing serious charges, with a great deal of prison time, but that's the purpose of monetary bail, or property bond bail, to ensure that he will return. Five hundred thousand dollars is a lot. It's his parent's entire property, his brother's and sister's entire property. He's not going to risk forfeiture of that by failing to reappear in court.

So for those reasons I would ask the Court to reconsider and reduce the bail to what was originally asked on March 17th. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz, or Mr. Fallon, which one will be addressing?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will, Judge. And I'm -I must say, I'm surprised that somebody, you know, we have given a lot of compliments around here, somebody with Mr. Buting's reputation, would come into this court, and express to this Court that he knows for certain, or that he has some personal opinion that this statement by Brendan Dassey is fantasy, or that it's made up, or that there are such inconsistencies in this statement that it should be disregarded.

Mr. Buting doesn't know that.
Mr. Buting can discuss inconsistencies of Brendan's statements, but what Mr. Buting hasn't expressed to the Court, at least what the State would argue, in a factual or proper manner, are the consistencies that Brendan did provide in his second statement.

Brendan Dassey, in his second statement, which, by the way, was at the invitation of a defense attorney, after his statement was not rejected by Judge Fox, does include consistencies. The consistencies that it was he and Steven Avery who killed Teresa Halbach. That it was Steven Avery who stabbed Teresa Halbach. That it was Steven Avery that shot Teresa

Halbach. That it was the shooting that occurred in the garage. That it was he and Steven Avery who mutilated the body of Teresa Halbach, hid her car and, thereafter, tried to clean up or destroy evidence. All of that information was included in Brendan's second statement.

What Mr. Buting did indicate in kind of an, oh, by the way, manner, was that there was a bullet now found in that man, Steven Avery's, garage, that had the victim, Teresa Halbach's, DNA on it. That is not insignificant, Judge. That is additional evidence that this Court did not have, since the March 1st statement that was given, and should not be given such a short shrift.

What Mr. Buting, perhaps, forgot to tell the Court was that Mr. Avery's DNA was also found on the hood latch of the SUV of the victim, since the first statement by Brendan Dassey. And because of Brendan Dassey's first statement, that Steven was fooling around with and opened up the hood of the victim's vehicle, the hood latch was swabbed and a full DNA profile match of Mr. Avery was, in fact, developed. That's been provided to the defense and, again, maybe Mr . Buting forgot
to tell the Court that.

Mr. Buting and Mr. Strang also know that additional admissions have been made by this young man, admissions to family members, that not only was he involved in the murder of Teresa Halbach, but very clearly that Steven Avery was responsible for her death. The defense, now, also knows that the victim's PDA Palm Pilot was found with the cell phone and camera, on the Avery property.

So, together with the inconsistencies, there have been additional, not only consistent statements made, but additional physical evidence, which has been developed. I also wish to alert the Court, or direct the Court, to my previous bond arguments. All of the previous evidence about the degree of violence; the prior record of this man; the ties, or lack of ties, to the community; flight risk; the penalties that he faces; and the gravity of the offense, apply today, equally, as they did previously. I'm asking this Court deny the motion for the reduction of bond. That's all I have, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting, anything in rebuttal?

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. The Court, in addition to the oral argument today, I, before today, read the written argument in support of the motion. And I gather that, from what I know, there appear to be at least some inconsistencies in Mr. Dassey's version of events that was originally given to the police, versus what he said recently. The significance of those inconsistencies is in dispute here today. We're only at the bail stage. The Court is not in a position to weigh credibility of the parties, or make determinations as to who's telling the truth and who isn't. That's the province of the jury.

When the Court last reviewed bail on March 17, I did consider the fact, at that time, that the State's case appeared to have been strengthened from what it had been earlier, to the extent that it was no longer based on purely circumstantial evidence, but that another person claiming to be an eye witness and, in fact, a co-defendant, had been identified, and made statements incriminating himself, along with Mr. Avery.

While different statements that he's given since then may have some affect on the credibility of the version of events he gave, that's still the case; that is, there's still another person who's made incriminating statements identifying himself and Mr. Avery. The nature of those statements seems to be such that -- they are at least not obviously, on their facts, or on their face, designed to shift blame to someone else, because they are heavily incriminatory of himself, namely Mr. Dassey.

I would also point out that it's not true that the Court, at the last hearing, only based its decision on the statements of Mr. Dassey. Of at least equal significance was the fact that the defendant's financial situation had changed considerably; specifically, it settled a lawsuit for $\$ 400,000$.

The facts, as they existed back on March 17, are largely still present today, even if the defense may have some additional argument to discredit Mr. Dassey's version of the events.

For purposes of this bail hearing, I do not find that there's been any measurable change in circumstances. And so for the reasons that I
gave on March 17, and as I have reiterated them today, the Court is not going to modify bail. I will leave it at $\$ 750,000$ cash. The motion to modify bail is denied.

Counsel, is there anything further to address before our next hearing on the 19th? ATTORNEY BUTING: Not from our standpoint, Judge.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I don't think so, Judge. We'll see you on the 19th. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very well. We'll see you on the 19th. We're adjourned for today. (Proceedings concluded.)
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|  | 186/9 232/14 | acted [2] 200/8 200/10 |
|  | able [15] 40/25 50/14 50/16 84/14 84/18 84/19 85/11 85/22 85/24 | acting [2] $42 / 1135 / 16$ |
| 2 | $6154 / 3166 / 19166 / 22$ | action [3] [1] 30/6 |
| 20 [1] 189/23 | about[137] 5/5 5/13 6/13 8/15 | active [1] 24/14 <br> actively [1] 124/12 |

activities [3] 68/10 68/12 68/24
activity [3] 66/25 69/17 120/4
acts [4] 115/24 161/6 189/22
198/15
actual [6] 7/4 24/18 122/17 159/10 180/7 182/8
actually [14] 34/9 35/15 94/12
106/1 106/6 109/4 110/11 112/24
148/21 156/23 158/1 158/8 164/19
187/21
add [2] 130/4 200/14
adding [1] 214/1
 229/4
additional [11] 105/7 105/8 109/2 110/11 150/1 214/1 227/12 228/3 228/12 228/13 230/21
address [10] 115/24 135/7 137/21
142/12 150/17 153/13 183/18
206/18 207/5 231/6
addressed [8] 103/15 110/10 139/7
181/8 202/5 207/3 208/10 210/15
addresses [1] 96/1
addressing [3] 139/9 144/4 225/25
adequate [1] 123/20
adjourn [4] 124/3 132/3 148/19
192/9
adjourned [1] 231/12
adjournment [9] 44/12 123/15
123/19 132/5 132/23 133/11 135/5 135/11 138/22
adjusted [1] 138/9
administering [1] 135/25
administration [1] 190/22
admiss [1] 192/8
admissibility [9] 106/10 107/1
159/24 160/4 160/11 162/13
165/19 173/16 181/7
admissible [5] 113/23 114/5
160/17 174/11 214/8
admissions [2] 228/3 228/4
admits [2] 221/16 221/17
admittedly [2] 174/17 179/7
admitting [1] 169/25
admonition [2] 101/11 141/3
adopting [1] 187/8
adult [1] 74/4
advance [2] 170/2 176/23
advancing [1] 176/19
advice [4] 21/20 21/21 40/15
135/20
advised [1] 21/19
advisory [1] 135/21
affect [2] 223/5 230/2
affected [3] 129/10 $133 / 1$ 192/22
affects [1] 165/18
affidavit [4] 115/4 115/7 115/14 116/4
after [45] 7/13 7/24 8/2 20/23
20/24 21/9 22/2 30/7 35/22 39/23 44/11 45/22 48/3 48/16 54/14 55/22 59/17 62/19 64/1 67/1
74/23 78/8 79/23 82/25 83/13 83/19 83/20 84/16 85/16 88/5 88/6 94/16 101/1 102/8 102/12 119/16 122/25 123/11 154/14 211/22 216/22 219/10 219/15 223/15 226/20
afternoon [6] 103/9 103/15 112/24 126/5 155/16 155/21
again [69] 28/15 28/22 49/1 49/12

49/17 50/7 51/3 53/5 53/21 54/8 57/15 57/21 58/3 58/8 59/1 59/7 63/6 65/10 68/15 76/4 76/15 76/19 79/9 79/18 82/3 84/5 84/21 85/9 85/11 87/15 91/14 95/20
96/1 97/20 114/14 120/20 133/1
133/7 143/5 144/17 160/21 165/20 171/22 177/3 178/5 178/25 182/7 190/15 192/4 198/6 208/1 208/13 214/14 215/14 217/1 217/12 218/4 218/14 218/25 219/18 220/7 220/23 223/10 223/17 223/25 224/3 224/25 225/4 227/25
against [23] 23/2 33/15 56/17 57/5 63/18 73/9 73/24 74/10 83/15 95/14 119/17 125/16 128/20 130/9 158/7 163/15 164/12 168/21 195/9 197/21 199/13 214/2 221/22
age [1] 121/23
agencies [8] $21 / 15$ 69/4 69/6 70/13 94/23 95/2 132/7 147/16
agency [12] 46/25 47/4 47/11
94/19 95/13 97/16 98/1 186/6
188/8 188/18 195/25 204/20
agenda [1] 196/17
agents [3] 68/18 75/23 190/9
ago [1] 153/10
agree [8] 3/21 105/5 144/19
154/21 180/22 201/5 205/5 212/8
agreed [6] 20/6 21/21 22/23 62/3
124/1 133/25
agreeing [1] 129/11
agreement [5] 30/20 81/17 82/9
82/11114/21
agrees [2] 3/25 145/1
ahead [6] 100/2 101/4 169/18
176/10 177/19 209/20
aid [1] 104/15
air [3] 65/25 92/20 114/10
aired [3] 35/16 35/20 122/20
airplane [3] 65/21 65/22 66/13
akin [1] 188/24
alert [2] 114/3 228/15
alerted [1] 113/21
all [177] 3/18 4/9 11/1 12/9 13/6 14/7 14/14 15/12 15/24 16/15 17/19 18/25 20/13 21/12 23/16 24/8 24/20 25/2 25/11 26/8 26/19 26/25 27/4 27/14 28/13 28/20 29/22 32/12 33/19 34/10 35/11 $35 / 1436 / 536 / 1237 / 637 / 13$ 37/16 38/6 38/24 39/5 39/21 40/10 41/23 41/23 42/15 42/17 42/21 43/20 43/24 44/22 45/25 53/12 56/16 58/3 59/10 60/1 60/7 62/3 64/1 67/8 68/7 68/24 69/14 71/14 72/2 73/16 76/25 81/12 81/20 85/4 85/10 85/25 92/1 97/9 98/20 100/8 100/23 101/4 101/12 102/4 102/6 103/2 103/6 103/16 105/3 105/14 107/20 108/11 109/5 109/9 110/9 110/19 112/9 113/5 115/10 115/11 117/9 117/25 118/7 118/21 119/7 119/19 119/22 121/7 124/18 126/19 131/18 139/8 141/13 141/13 143/13 143/17 147/22 149/10 149/24 151/11
151/15 152/10 152/11 153/8
153/20 155/7 156/14 157/23
162/23 164/2 164/14 166/13
168/20 168/22 172/13 174/8
177/12 180/19 182/23 183/6 183/9
191/1 8 195/20 196/17 199/10

199/16 199/22 200/13 205/24
206/12 207/2 208/17 208/18 209/3
209/6 209/11 $210 / 8212 / 2213 / 12$
216/3 216/5 216/23 216/25 217/3
217/4 220/16 220/21 221/7 221/12
222/6 222/12 222/21 222/24 224/4
224/17 224/18 225/24 227/5
228/16 228/23 229/3
allegation [3] 167/1 167/3 170/12
allegations [5] 52/23 131/20
141/21 142/8 170/15
alleged [7] 51/8 63/3 126/8 129/2
136/17177/16214/3
allegedly [3] 156/8 156/12 219/7
alleging [1] 171/11
Allen [3] 8/9 8/19 8/21
Allen's [1] 9/4
allow[4] 31/21 114/18 180/19 200/23
allowed [4] 140/24 197/17 203/19
203/19
allowing [1] 109/7
allows [1] 156/5
almost [4] 130/2 144/15 178/18 220/24
alone [2] 130/16 148/15
along [6] 29/9 31/3 68/18 222/6
224/22 229/24
already [16] 6/21 20/4 21/13
102/23 104/12 104/23 111/8
111/14 122/22 130/5 140/2 140/23
151/3 170/20 170/21 187/9
also [44] 3/9 3/11 3/17 9/16 10/6
14/23 48/6 48/23 49/18 50/7 51/7 51/10 54/10 55/15 65/7 65/19 69/4 92/4 98/10 111/6 111/22 113/7 123/14 130/4 131/10 139/3 139/15 139/24 141/1 141/17 146/6 151/22 154/11 186/24 196/17
202/11 216/1 216/7 216/21 227/17 228/2 228/8 228/14 230/12
alternates [1] 135/1
alternative [7] 132/2 134/14
134/20 145/19 146/23 148/16 153/18
alternatives [2] 134/12 138/23
although [14] 74/3 92/19 107/18
116/18 121/1 122/14 127/9 132/19 156/19 170/18 $172 / 11174 / 19$ 219/9 221/17
altitude [1] 66/7
altogether [3] 117/17 147/18 148/1 1
always [12] 73/4 86/4 93/19 94/6 $95 / 8160 / 10160 / 10171 / 12171 / 15$ 188/7 188/15 207/9
am [10] 33/6 39/20 45/8 46/23 98/10 $118 / 3$ 146/16 147/3 162/25 183/14
amend [1] 213/25
Amended [1] 118/15
amendment[2] 85/18 125/15
among [3] 32/9 82/3 95/7
amount [8] 62/9 63/2 128/7 128/8
173/22 173/25 178/11 219/8
analog [1] 129/9
analogy [2] 160/8 $182 / 5$
analysis [5] 107/12 164/16 165/13 168/8 171/19
analyze [2] 165/15 173/17
analyzed [2] 79/4 79/19
and/or [1] 31/9
Anderson [1] 136/12
appeared [5] $1 / 1835 / 11119 / 10$ 121/6 229/18
appearing [2] 3/9 3/10
appears [5] 3/8 3/14 144/15 149/11 167/6
applied [3] 156/8 $156 / 12$ 199/7
applies [3] 180/23 199/4 199/5
apply[9] 38/17 40/22 109/14
149/25 157/13 157/17 157/19 164/14 228/20
applying [1] 162/17
appointed [2] 3/11 54/18
appointments [1] 65/16
appreciate [2] 197/18 204/3 approach [2] 76/6 152/24
approached [5] 55/5 100/11
100/14 100/16 151/6
approaches [1] 100/5
approaching [1] 39/20
appropriate [17] 107/4 107/25 108/6 $110 / 1$ 124/2 139/13 140/3 140/6 142/22 144/9 145/7 151/4 173/12 194/7 205/21 207/9 207/11
appropriately [2] 93/1 180/17 appropriateness [1] 160/5
April [4] 35/18 35/22 40/22 129/25 April 15 [1] 35/22
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { are [158] } & 11 / 8 & 14 / 14 & 14 / 20 & 14 / 22\end{array}$ 15/21 17/22 18/15 18/18 21/25 30/20 30/22 36/21 36/24 39/21 $41 / 1142 / 142 / 842 / 1242 / 14$ 43/14 45/7 46/22 49/21 54/11 54/16 54/24 60/2 60/7 74/24 $75 / 1275 / 1777 / 1084 / 1884 / 19$ 86/8 86/9 87/25 90/21 92/12 97/5 97/6 98/20 98/24 101/12 102/6 103/6 106/25 108/22 109/22 112/21 114/7 114/11 117/25 118/1 118/16 119/22 120/16 121/10 123/7 124/25 126/8 126/9 127/3 127/4 127/4 131/19 131/20 131/21 134/12 138/13 139/20 140/23 141/4 146/22 148/17 152/19 152/20 152/20 153/2 153/3 155/17 155/19 156/21 159/1 161/8 162/23 164/24 165/23 166/16 166/23 167/9 167/17 167/25 168/10 169/16 169/19 169/25 170/7 170/8 170/16 170/25 171/1 171/8 172/5 172/14 172/15 172/17 173/10 173/13 173/14 173/14 173/15 173/15 174/3 175/6 175/7 175/12 175/16 176/14 176/19 178/1
178/23 179/8 183/12 184/23
185/13 185/14 185/23 186/1 186/2 186/3 188/22 190/8 191/12 191/21 194/11 194/14 198/3 198/21 203/5 203/7 207/16 208/5 208/11 208/12 208/13 208/17 209/16 209/17
211/6 212/23 214/24 220/14 226/8 226/15 230/8 230/10 230/20 area [8] 16/1 20/25 66/6 99/21 114/9 137/13 157/21 202/14
areas [1] 14/1
aren't [2] 170/3 189/24
argue [23] 143/6 144/24 153/6
153/17 158/12 159/17 163/6 167/9 169/7 169/20 170/4 172/23 175/23 176/11 176/12 176/24 181/11 181/16 196/22 202/21 206/3 213/20 226/15
argued [6] 44/11 107/18 172/9 199/16 200/4 $214 / 5$
|argues [3] 197/3 197/20 198/12
arguing [6] 118/2 147/3 163/23 172/14 172/15 172/17
argument [85] 4/14 102/9 105/12 108/14 109/2 $111 / 3117 / 12117 / 14$ 117/18 117/21 118/5 128/16 139/11 150/2 150/15 150/16 151/20 153/12 153/15 154/13 156/11 157/14 158/2 158/21 158/21 158/25 159/1 159/5 159/6 159/12 159/13 160/3 160/15 162/7 164/6 165/11 166/16 166/21 167/18 168/24 169/16 169/19 170/8 170/9 170/17 171/20 171/22 171/23 172/1 172/11 172/19 173/4 173/11 174/6 174/7 174/10 174/25 175/7 175/10 176/16 176/16 178/6 178/8 178/22 179/1 179/3 179/15 179/19 181/16 183/3 185/12
192/14 193/15 196/21 196/24 204/16 204/1 8 205/13 206/5 212/10 212/19 213/13 229/4 229/5 230/21
arguments [19] 102/18 102/19 102/23 110/20 117/23 142/16 150/7 150/10 150/11 154/1 156/24 157/24 160/5 161/12 167/24
181/23 190/14 200/15 228/16
arms [1] 218/10
arose [1] 112/18
around [6] 127/15 189/24 195/10
209/18 226/3 227/21
arrangements [1] 65/22
arrest [6] 6/17 6/21 6/25 7/13 26/11 120/17
arrested [6] 9/24 52/6 56/1 72/13 89/20 158/8
arrived [4] 20/2 20/10 70/19 133/24
artful [1] 174/15
ARTHUR [1] 1/15
article [1] 58/6
articles [1] 43/8
as [296]
aside [1] 148/14
ask [25] $22 / 1530 / 246 / 1647 / 22$ 54/2 56/12 58/21 65/6 65/14 85/20 90/10 98/18 99/5 132/10 143/10 146/10 149/23 150/12 154/23 159/2 163/25 168/23 175/15 224/1 225/21
asked [6] 97/20 107/14 135/20 148/5 208/21 225/23
asking [24] 10/25 40/5 40/6 94/3
98/24 108/17 108/22 109/2 142/15 142/19 145/22 146/1 146/7 147/9
160/18 166/16 167/17 184/5 187/22 193/15 193/16 199/8
224/24 228/22
aspect [1] 126/1
aspects [2] 77/3 207/18
aspiration [1] 132/21
assault [6] 6/6 6/13 7/6 165/4
221/15 221/21
assembled [2] 84/23 138/1
assembly [1] 185/16
asserting [1] 134/9
assertion [1] 129/7
assertions [1] 128/8
assessment [1] 22/24
assign [1] 16/6
assigned [3] 42/8 42/12 68/20
assignments [1] 69/17
assist [4] 29/21 196/13 197/9 202/14
assistance [11] 48/10 48/15 49/2 49/5 49/10 61/25 64/4 66/18 69/8 70/20 140/22
assisted [1] 232/10
assisting [1] 47/25
assume [8] 20/5 45/11 46/5 66/2 81/1 102/24 126/22 152/6
Assuming [1] 149/3
assurances [1] 121/12
assure [4] 93/7 98/7 99/10 132/15 assured [1] 130/20
at [208] 1/16 3/1 4/10 5/5 6/9 7/4 8/10 9/3 10/9 $11 / 1$ 13/7 19/2
20/10 21/1 21/12 22/6 22/6 22/18 22/25 26/6 27/25 29/23 30/10 35/21 36/15 37/6 39/5 39/17 39/21 39/24 43/1 44/22 45/18 46/5 47/14 52/1 52/1 52/21 53/6 53/10 54/16 55/3 58/20 59/16 60/23 62/7 63/1 1 65/22 66/7 66/9 67/10 67/12 67/21 67/25 69/5 69/21 71/22 72/20 73/18 74/20 $77 / 11$ 77/12 77/21 78/6 83/18 $85 / 1286 / 12$ 86/12 87/6 87/8 87/20 91/5 91/6 92/1 92/15 92/20 93/17 103/2 103/5 104/1 105/9 105/9 105/1 1 106/9 106/19 107/5 107/17 108/11 $110 / 1110 / 22$ 111/12 112/9 112/13112/17 113/23 114/6 $115 / 22$ 116/3 119/10 119/12 120/15 121/1 121/5 121/9 121/22 122/6 122/19 123/8 124/8 124/17 124/18 124/22 124/22 125/13 125/14 126/1 126/25 127/15 130/1 130/4 $131 / 7$ 133/6 133/17 133/21 134/2 137/11 137/15 138/21 139/19 143/9 150/10 150/20 151/11 151/23 152/4 153/14 154/16 155/22 157/24 159/21 161/16 161/16 161/22 161/23 161/24 165/15 168/11 169/13 173/10 173/18 174/15 178/14 178/15 181/8 182/6 184/19 185/17 185/24 185/24 187/11 188/6 189/9 189/17 189/25 190/4 192/22 196/8 196/21 197/7 199/15 200/12 200/23 201/1
203/17 203/21 204/13 205/2 206/2 207/11 207/12 207/18 207/23
208/17 210/24 211/4 212/4 212/12
213/12 214/5 215/1 $215 / 4215 / 18$ 216/10 217/20 219/22 221/12
222/13 222/21 223/11 226/14
226/19 229/7 229/12 229/17 230/8
230/13 230/15 231/3
atmosphere [1] 137/11
attached [3] 115/6 115/13 120/11
attaching [1] 115/8
attack [1] 178/1
attacked [1] 9/9
attacker [1] 8/10
attempt [3] 80/6 208/6 210/1
attempted [4] 6/3 6/17 7/2 7/6
attempting [3] 48/15 49/25 72/4
attempts [2] 58/21 58/22
attendant [1] 202/22
attended [1] 123/23
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { attention [5] } & 39 / 2266 / 10 & 141 / 24\end{array}$ 142/2 142/6
attorney [12] $2 / 4$ 2/5 2/6 2/9 2/10 2/11 3/8 8/2 119/3 121/4 164/9 226/20
Attorney's [2] 94/20 95/3
Attorneys [1] 1/16
attract [2] 63/24 66/9
attributable [1] 126/24
attributed [1] 127/1
audiotape [1] 210/22
August [2] 211/17211/23
August 3rd [1] 211/17
August 9th [1] 211/23
authenticity [4] 166/6 182/9
182/17 182/22
author [3] 109/17 116/24 138/16
authorities [1] 121/13
authority [2] 157/7 208/3
Auto [4] 22/20 67/10 67/22 120/5 automatically [1] 146/17
available [10] 73/5 73/17 83/23 87/19 104/18 108/3 108/4 119/18 139/2 1 139/22
AVERY[136] $1 / 6$ 1/17 3/2 3/14 3/16 3/17 5/25 6/17 6/22 7/13 $7 / 18$ 8/5 9/13 11/2 22/7 22/12 22/20 23/1 26/12 27/6 32/16 $32 / 2332 / 23$ 39/5 39/10 40/13 $41 / 743 / 1745 / 1852 / 652 / 2353 / 2$ 54/7 58/24 62/23 63/1 63/5 63/8 63/23 67/10 67/22 72/13 73/10 73/22 74/20 74/25 75/11 75/12 75/16 78/19 82/25 88/10 88/17
88/19 90/19 90/21 90/24 91/23
95/14 95/18 95/21 96/2 96/23
100/20 104/14 112/16 119/14
119/18 1 19/20 $119 / 21$ 120/5 120/7 120/8 120/10 120/21 123/1 125/6 126/14 129/19 131/15 133/23
133/25 134/8 136/23 137/4 138/5
138/10 140/13 141/11 141/13 142/2 149/6 166/1 168/21 174/2 175/1 189/18 195/9 197/14 200/10 200/17 200/21 201/6 202/8 203/16 203/17 203/21 204/8 204/10 205/10 206/8 207/20 207/22 208/1 208/15 208/25 214/2 215/20 216/21 219/14 219/15 221/11 221/14 221/19 221/22 224/2 224/21 226/23 226/24 226/25 227/2 227/23 228/6 228/10 229/25 230/6
Avery's [33] 6/25 10/20 27/15 28/13 28/22 29/5 33/15 53/19 55/22 72/8 91/12 91/17 91/21 $92 / 6115 / 1118 / 9120 / 11124 / 18$ 124/22 167/6 193/1 199/11 210/22 214/19215/12 216/4 216/10 217/6 217/24 220/18 225/5 227/9 227/17
Averys [2] 92/12 120/7
aviation [1] 65/24
avoid [4] 22/3 142/13 162/1 170/14
awaiting [1] 112/6
aware [14] 29/8 54/16 55/25 57/2
57/3 63/17 63/22 63/23 72/5
72/10 104/14 118/21 146/19
221/16
away [3] 77/17 142/6 176/21
awfully [1] 200/16
awkward [1] 54/1
B
back [33] 39/1 39/12 42/17 51/23
$79 / 679 / 2179 / 2580 / 187 / 15$
89/10 90/14 91/23 96/17 96/1 8
99/1 99/2 103/5 109/18 121/3
123/25 147/12 155/22 157/4
168/25 180/13 183/9 189/22
200/21 $217 / 11$ 223/6 224/5 225/1 230/19
background [4] 5/3 183/23 207/8 209/14
badgered [1] 223/15
badgering [1] 224/11
bail [21] $113 / 7113 / 15$ 210/14
211/9213/13 213/17 213/17
213/24 214/13 214/14 214/19
217/9 221/23 225/14 225/14
225/22 229/12 229/16 230/23
231/2 231/4
bailiffs [3] 147/24 185/8 185/20
balance[3] 116/20 124/2 159/23
balanced [1] 197/21
balancing[10] 107/5 161/14
162/18 167/21 167/23 168/9 176/5
196/25 202/14 205/6
ballot [1] 135/18
band [1] 152/6
bank [1] 213/8
Barb [1] 29/6
bare [1] 201/1
base [1] 8/24
based [7] 128/10 170/4 173/5
214/2 $214 / 14$ 229/20 230/14
basically [3] 60/9 66/5 66/15
basis [8] 41/25 125/3 129/6 154/18
190/11 201/19 202/19 214/17
batting [1] 156/20
Bay [6] 35/7 43/25 44/3 55/14
119/7 122/13
be [355]
beach [4] 6/7 6/13 8/11 9/9
bearing [2] 31/1731/19
bears [1] 31/11
beats [1] 16/6
became [1] 47/11
because [54] 22/6 26/6 26/23 30/3
82/15 93/19 95/12 96/8 97/1
97/23 97/23 115/7 118/13124/19
130/14 133/15 133/20 138/10
142/25 146/2 149/24 164/17
164/19 165/14 165/21 168/1
168/23 170/22 172/21 173/3
174/10 174/13 175/12 177/4 180/3
185/14 186/13 188/15 193/2
194/10 200/22 205/10 205/14
212/13 214/18215/17 217/15
218/6 220/7 223/4 223/25 224/6
227/20 230/10
become [5] 6/5 55/25 100/18 136/23 137/5
becomes [5] 149/19 157/18 162/15
200/9 207/19
becoming [1] 170/15
bed [1] 215/8
bedding [2] 216/12 216/13
bedroom [1] 32/15
been [117] 4/19 5/4 19/19 20/1
20/4 20/16 21/9 22/12 27/21
27/25 29/19 30/8 37/8 38/9 40/25
44/25 47/4 47/17 47/18 48/6 48/7
55/5 55/18 56/1 56/24 63/3 65/15
67/8 68/14 70/22 78/4 79/14
80/22 82/14 82/25 83/4 83/11
$83 / 1283 / 1483 / 15$ 88/22 89/13
89/14 90/4 91/12 92/7 93/8 99/11
been... [69] 100/11 103/23 104/13 104/15 104/16 104/23 105/10 107/19 111/8 112/18 116/1 118/20 118/22 119/11 120/19 120/22 122/6 124/8 124/19 127/8 127/10 127/10 127/21 128/2 129/19 130/1 1 133/19 133/20 133/23 137/2 137/18 139/20 150/24 153/9 158/14 166/8 169/3 169/22 175/24 179/21 182/12 182/13 189/23 198/5 200/24 203/9 203/9 203/11 203/15 204/6 210/15 213/21 215/2 216/13 216/22 216/25 217/6 218/7 219/16 222/5 227/24 228/3 228/12 228/14 229/18 229/19 229/23 230/24 232/8
before [47] 1/11 3/19 13/8 16/7 33/25 35/19 35/21 47/19 51/16 58/13 58/15 61/8 63/9 79/21 79/24 83/3 83/9 83/12 83/20 91/24 91/24 98/16 99/24 101/10 101/10 102/19 103/17 105/10 114/21 121/22 129/17 130/2 132/14 137/17 156/5 172/8 181/7 189/19 202/5 209/5 209/8 210/25 $211 / 3211 / 21$ 225/2 229/4 231/6 began [4] 3/19 74/13 75/16 78/5 beginning [7] 50/18 55/19 95/25 97/7 98/1 121/23 185/24
behalf [4] 1/14 1/16 138/22 199/6 behaviors [3] 198/16 198/18 198/21
behind [2] 44/6 152/20
being [49] 6/3 7/11 10/6 32/25
36/21 41/3 45/18 47/10 51/7 52/24 53/17 53/19 54/5 56/17 61/4 62/1 62/25 63/4 72/16 75/11 78/10 85/22 89/5 90/1 90/25
96/23 97/3 108/19 116/23 125/1 126/22 130/4 142/5 142/9 142/9 142/14 164/7 168/24 168/25 173/5 174/14 175/1 177/16 181/14 197/1 197/23 207/23 224/12 225/10 belabor [1] 152/14
believe [56] 3/21 8/16 8/23 9/16 16/11 17/4 18/14 18/23 24/16 26/13 27/19 27/23 28/3 28/19 29/6 30/8 31/20 35/17 40/18 40/24 40/24 41/8 41/9 41/10 41/20 47/9 56/15 56/25 57/24 61/2 72/15 73/23 78/15 79/24 82/18 89/24 99/20 103/6 111/14 111/24 113/7 131/15 140/2 141/5 149/21 153/25 163/12 171/8 192/25 197/25 210/14 212/18 213/17216/2 217/11 219/24
believed [1] 144/20
believes [4] 144/7 144/22 152/25 153/1
below [5] $12 / 17$ 12/25 13/4 14/14 18/16
bench [2] 143/14 206/21
best [10] 35/24 59/24 133/2
137/16 144/23 146/12 149/19 158/18 208/10 232/13
bet [3] 21/10 89/12 206/8
better [6] 48/9 49/23 76/15 84/14 102/18 123/18
between [14] 29/5 52/19 67/24
77/5 77/13 132/1 152/4 156/16 157/12 161/23 180/21 191/10
$210 / 8212 / 16$
beyond [11] 44/12 46/3 67/5 85/7 98/11 121/14 128/19168/12 180/9 180/10 205/19
bias [21] 163/16 163/16 163/19
163/22 182/19 182/22 183/2
197/19 198/10 200/5 201/19
202/19 202/23 203/12 204/16
205/2 205/13 205/17 205/18
205/22 206/1
biased [1] 163/15
big [5] 63/17 160/22 175/11
180/21 194/15
bills [2] 24/21 24/23
binding [1] 157/7
bit [9] $5 / 2$ 20/8 38/25 76/4 123/3 140/17 155/17 172/3 173/10
bizarre [1] 129/18
black [2] 109/21 136/13
blame [5] 126/19 136/12 137/20 141/25 230/9
bleach [2] 220/15 220/22
bleeding [1] 216/23
blew [1] 136/7
blocks [1] 182/23
blood [19] 28/21 78/13 78/16
173/22 173/24 216/11 216/17
216/19 216/25 217/1 217/6 218/21
220/2 220/7 220/13 220/16 220/17
220/20 220/22
Blow [1] 73/10
blundered [2] 158/22 171/25
Board [2] 18/3 18/4
body [2] 205/14 227/3
bomb [1] 136/7
bond [10] 103/13 221/25 223/6
224/15 224/25 225/1 225/4 225/14
228/16 228/23
bone [7] 32/21 33/1 33/3 67/6
79/13 79/17 79/22
book[2] 100/12 100/19
bookkeeping [1] 61/5
boots [1] 30/22
borrow [1] 175/5
boss [1] 38/4
both [17] 3/21 14/7 14/11 34/18 49/9 50/13 102/10 120/21 124/25 125/1 134/3 139/25 140/23 143/7 157/24 180/22 196/24
bound [1] 218/10
bounds [1] 19/15
box [1] 150/21
boxes [7] 43/2 43/5 43/9 44/6 151/12 212/23 213/8
boy [3] 136/24 222/10 222/14
boyfriends [1] 75/5
BRANCH [2] $1 / 1232 / 5$
break [4] 33/19 44/9 112/25 155/16
Brendan [17] 56/5 73/25 74/11 83/3 91/11 120/18 156/4 214/15 215/24 219/1 223/11 225/3 226/7 226/16 226/18 227/19 227/20
Brendan's [2] 226/13 227/6
brief [14] 16/7 101/3 103/13 105/12 113/22 117/22 122/9 140/25 159/18 194/23 199/16 200/5 200/13 200/15
briefly [3] 47/7 121/5 200/14
briefs [2] 105/20 106/5
Briggs [1] 127/18
bring [6] 130/16 144/11 171/2
191/21 194/18 210/6
bringing [2] $142 / 1 \quad 148 / 24$
brings [2] 53/12 138/15
broadcast [5] 85/21 104/13 104/23 119/6 122/3
broadcasts [2] 43/8 43/17
brother's [1] 225/17
brought [2] 35/5 105/10
Brown [1] 66/4
brutally [2] 216/7 216/22
buck [1] 18/8
building [1] 182/23
bulk [1] 43/9
bulky [1] 44/7
bullet [2] 219/9 227/9
bumping [1] 130/9
bundle [1] 130/19
burden [4] 145/5 153/6 166/17 169/17
bureau [7] 13/10 13/19 14/2 16/15
41/18 41/24 42/3
burn [1] 32/22
bus [1] 217/23
business [1] 61/5
but [215] 7/6 8/17 10/9 11/17 13/7
13/15 14/1 $14 / 12$ 14/23 16/21
17/10 17/22 18/7 18/23 22/1
24/25 27/2 27/24 29/3 31/7 31/15
34/9 34/24 39/22 41/15 41/16 44/3 44/10 49/3 54/2 55/4 55/14 55/24 57/20 58/22 60/16 61/14 61/20 63/23 64/22 65/7 65/20 66/5 67/5 69/15 69/25 70/24 71/14 71/25 77/6 77/11 77/21 $78 / 179 / 2182 / 1082 / 2083 / 8$ $83 / 2185 / 1485 / 2286 / 1388 / 5$ 89/11 89/18 90/4 90/11 92/4 97/13 98/14 104/22 105/9 106/2 109/15 110/8 $112 / 16114 / 11115 / 4$ 116/1 118/11 118/18119/19 120/10 120/20 121/6 121/9 122/18 123/4 123/9 123/18 124/3 126/3 127/13 127/22 128/4 129/4 131/10 131/17 131/21 132/11 132/24 133/22 134/5 135/10 135/15 137/6 137/20 137/24 138/14 139/5 139/24 140/19 142/2 142/15 145/21 $146 / 6$ 146/21 $147 / 3$ 148/1 148/20 150/3 150/4 150/14 151/16 151/24 153/15 154/11 155/4 156/20 162/14 162/14 163/20 164/7 165/20 167/9 168/10 168/18 169/1 169/15 170/2 170/20 171/4 171/15 171/18 172/6 172/17 173/4 174/17 174/21 175/6 175/11
175/17 175/21 $178 / 10179 / 11$ 179/20 179/22 180/1 180/15 180/17 180/20 181/10 182/5 182/7 182/16 183/3 183/4 184/25 185/21 186/15 187/2 187/16 188/22 188/25 194/2 195/6 196/6 196/15 197/1 1 197/20 198/8 200/3 201/8 203/2 203/6 203/13 204/6 204/12 204/1 8 204/20 205/7 207/9 209/3
209/7 209/15 210/6 $211 / 21$ 213/23
215/11 $215 / 24218 / 4219 / 12$
219/18 219/22 220/2 220/11
220/21 221/9 221/18 223/6 223/17
225/13 226/13 228/6 228/13
229/21
BUTING [26] 1/15 3/15 3/15
113/12 114/13 116/7 133/23 152/5 162/23 169/20 175/15 181/24
206/16 207/9 207/16 208/16

BUTING... [10] 208/18 213/14 226/11 226/12 226/13 227/7
227/16 227/25 228/2 228/25
Buting's [3] 113/10 156/19 226/4 buy [1] 224/13

C
call [17] 4/9 14/15 41/25 44/20 44/22 47/10 47/14 48/4 64/16
67/12 70/24 82/11 100/16 123/2
185/21 187/25 188/3
called [16] 4/18 11/21 20/23 21/9 43/15 44/24 65/15 85/17 91/12 91/21 119/11 157/13 164/9 210/2 214/22 $215 / 22$
calling [7] 61/17 74/24 75/12 87/8 90/22 92/12 176/7
calls [11] 3/1 4/11 38/4 53/1 55/16 90/19 90/23 91/10 92/4 92/10 100/5
Calumet [41] 3/8 19/6 23/19 24/3
26/13 27/19 27/21 28/16 29/10
29/23 30/11 31/3 31/3 32/3 36/16
38/10 45/8 46/10 54/22 60/3
60/17 66/4 77/12 88/25 93/21
94/6 94/8 94/17 94/20 95/2 119/1
119/2 183/24 184/8 188/16 191/17
191/20 191/21 192/4 192/6 193/3
came [12] 32/21 34/22 36/15 59/25
119/16 143/23 146/2 157/12 158/3 175/23 206/8 222/18
camera [2] 121/6 228/9
cameras [3] 87/20 88/7 127/8
camp [1] 191/23
can [74] 26/19 39/24 58/21 69/25
77/6 77/10 77/19 90/10 98/22
102/19 104/19 105/16 106/23
112/25 114/1 114/8 $117 / 19133 / 2$
133/16 142/24 146/9 150/10 151/1
151/3 151/7 151/17151/25 153/2
153/10 154/4 154/14 155/11
162/16 162/16 163/6 163/9 163/19
165/25 172/1 172/7 174/4 174/9
174/21 176/7 176/11 176/12 178/3
178/21 181/16 181/18 183/5 186/3
186/4 193/14 196/14 201/21
202/11 205/23 205/23 207/5
207/13 208/7 209/4 209/8 209/19
210/11 211/16213/5 213/6 219/21
219/23 221/10 223/13 226/12
can't [25] 79/10 82/6 83/20 90/15
98/2 114/16 121/1 125/18 125/25
127/20 141/19 165/14 165/15
182/4 191/2 191/2 192/16 192/19
193/9 194/15 200/3 203/1 203/15 206/2 223/24
candid [1] 152/23
cannot [6] 104/20 105/21 130/17
141/20 159/22 177/22
capacity [2] 36/20 147/23
capitol [1] 137/7
captain [1] 15/11
captain's [1] 15/9
capture [1] 44/5
car [7] 22/20 62/25 66/23 169/1
193/1 193/4 227/4
care [4] 13/23 139/23 140/12 141/10
cared [1] 158/24
careful [1] 54/5
carefully [1] 232/8

Carolina [5] 161/17 164/22 165/12 179/23 180/8
carpet [3] 169/2 216/3 217/2
carried [1] 223/21
carries [1] 216/23
carry [1] 213/6
carrying [1] 122/22
cars [1] 220/19
case [116] $1 / 53 / 23 / 39 / 8$ 20/22
31/14 36/15 37/3 50/2 54/2 54/19 55/12 56/21 59/23 60/8 64/22 76/21 80/23 89/25 92/23 100/21
107/10 108/1 109/17118/21
121/18 122/18 123/23 127/13
127/21 128/11 129/1 129/13 130/1
130/7 132/7 132/8 134/15 136/3
137/17 138/11 138/11 138/13
138/17 138/20 139/17 139/17
141/20 142/23 146/20 148/18
150/4 158/5 161/17 161/18 162/20 163/12 163/14 163/22 164/18 165/2 166/14 166/15 166/19 167/1 167/15 167/20 168/5 169/1 173/13 174/19 175/6 176/2 176/6 177/4
177/22 177/25 178/20 179/20
180/11 $182 / 3182 / 8182 / 22183 / 18$
185/1 185/5 186/10 186/15 186/18
187/1 187/14 187/24 188/2 188/13
189/2 190/21 191/19 192/1 193/23
194/20 195/9 197/7 198/24 199/8
201/18 207/17 209/13 210/21
214/6 217/14 217/17217/17
217/20 222/5 229/18 230/4
case-in-chief [5] 183/18 187/14
187/24 188/2 188/13
cases [19] 46/19 49/20 54/21 59/7
90/4 102/22 127/15 144/6 158/5
163/10 163/17 163/21 167/25
168/16 177/11 177/12 177/16
189/8 189/9
cash [1] 231/3
cast [2] 120/5 127/25
cause [2] 128/20 214/9
caused [3] 79/6 126/11 $138 / 15$
causes [1] 131/19
CCAP [1] 112/9
cease [1] 54/13
celebrity [1] 142/4
cell [4] 112/1 112/15 112/16 228/9
cellphone [2] 90/22 92/11
certain [10] 80/24 85/20 85/21
$86 / 24$ 90/24 121/2 160/5 161/8
207/7 226/6
certainly [21] 10/15 86/14 104/19
114/1 114/8 117/19 132/20 138/19 139/25 155/4 165/6 167/16 182/16 184/21 193/10 193/14 208/24
214/22 $215 / 17221 / 18223 / 3$
certify [1] 232/6
CF [2] 1/5 3/3
chain [4] $16 / 25$ 166/8 $182 / 9$
182/17
chair [1] 10/20
challenge [10] 144/25 163/19
165/24 166/6 166/10 168/20 172/2
176/6 178/16 219/19
challenges [1] 161/2
challenging [2] 166/2 177/9
chambers [2] 107/7 199/24
chance [3] 154/20 172/17 213/20
change [54] 4/4 43/1 44/10 98/12
98/15 117/14 127/16 134/14 135/8
135/9 138/2 138/25 139/24 140/2

141/17 143/4 143/12 143/23
143/24 144/3 144/6 144/9 145/3
145/7 145/11 145/16 145/23 146/7 146/10 146/14 148/5 148/20
148/23 148/25 149/16 149/17
150/1 151/3 151/13 152/16 153/22
154/13 154/17 154/18 192/9
192/10 210/19 212/4 212/9 212/22
217/13 217/21 219/2 230/24
changed [3] 134/20 220/10 230/17
changes [1] 213/21
channels [1] 152/8
chaperone [1] 184/17
characteristics [1] 207/25
characterize [3] 50/12 158/18 194/4
charge [17] 5/25 6/17 7/2 7/4 7/6
7/79/17 13/9 13/18 14/2 14/22
24/23 52/7 73/24 119/17 120/17
221/21
charged [8] 72/16 72/21 74/3 83/1
83/4 83/14 89/5 129/4
charges [9] 54/11 56/2 63/3
119/17 125/19 133/1 139/13
142/19 225/12
charging [1] 120/18
chart [1] 15/18
charts [1] 129/20
check [2] 89/11 134/4
chief[11] 5/19 11/8 13/8 60/5
122/19 129/9 183/18 187/14
187/24 188/2 188/13
child [5] 136/23 $142 / 4142 / 6$
164/11 165/9
children [2] 121/23 221/1
choice [4] 124/12 125/11 126/15
130/13
choose [4] 88/10 159/3 178/6 178/7
chooses [3] 125/22 159/14 192/7
chose [1] $86 / 18$
chunk [1] 209/18
circling [1] 66/2
CIRCUIT [4] 1/1 1/11 72/21 232/5
circumspect [1] 116/16
circumstances [7] 19/5 40/6 149/7
157/17 174/23 193/11 230/25
circumstantial [1] 229/21
citations [1] 150/8
cite [1] 150/18
cited [2] 140/25 167/16
citing [1] 139/17
citizen [3] 28/5 28/6 104/16
citizens [5] 124/23 134/25 135/19
137/9 159/20
civil [8] 23/1 $23 / 5$ 33/15 72/8
189/2 189/8 195/15 205/11
claim [8] 7/17 33/15 90/8 92/5
165/6 165/7 182/11 217/7
claimed [6] 33/1 215/7 215/16
216/1 216/7 216/21
claiming [1] 229/22
claims [6] $9 / 7$ 92/25 120/22 125/3
130/18 $218 / 3$
clarify [2] 30/5 148/13
clarifying [1] 30/3
classic [1] 125/20
clean [2] 215/12 227/4
cleaned [2] 220/15 220/22
clear [18] 16/25 33/11 37/21 91/19 114/10 $118 / 10$ 120/2 120/10
121/11 130/5 152/13 157/16 177/5 179/2 181/25 182/21 210/20 212/3

C
cleared [1] 204/19
clearer [1] 179/16
clearly [11] 31/18110/1 159/17
159/18 165/25 170/22 171/18
180/11 194/9 202/4 228/6
clerical [1] 61/5
Clerk [4] 44/8 73/8 83/25 213/1
Clerk's [1] 213/2
clever [1] 193/14
clips [1] 213/10
close [5] 5/12 10/17 129/9 138/24 210/9
closed [1] 74/7
closely [2] 150/9 189/13
closer [1] 188/25
closest [1] 180/18
closing [1] 128/16
clue [1] 34/25
clumsiness [1] 13/25
clumsy [2] 11/17 208/6
co [3] 113/23 157/10 229/23
co-defendant [3] 113/23 157/10
229/23
coaching [2] 215/4 217/13
coin [1] 198/2
Colborn [11] 15/22 27/8 28/25
29/9 31/3 32/2 33/10 34/5 34/16
72/6 203/4
collaborative [5] 71/15 72/1 76/8 81/13 82/3
collateral [2] 164/4 164/18
colleague [1] 187/15
collect [1] 28/21
collected [4] 158/22 172/4 174/1 192/17
collection [7] 75/6 78/16 79/1
147/15 159/8 184/24 187/6
collectively [1] 176/17
collegial [2] 76/7 81/13
collide [1] 126/12
colorful [1] 121/21
combining [1] 118/4
come [25] 16/11 25/2 33/8 40/25
42/13 43/24 70/4 75/25 103/14
125/9 132/3 166/7 166/9 169/13
170/5 171/23 172/21 174/16
202/11 205/7 210/8 218/19 223/13 224/9 226/4
comes [9] 37/25 146/3 158/13
160/21 163/18 167/10 170/3
181/11 224/5
comfortable [1] 179/11
coming [3] 209/6 210/9 222/22
command [16] 16/25 68/15 68/24
69/5 69/9 69/10 69/12 69/15
69/18 69/21 70/7 70/17 71/4 71/5 71/9 71/20
commander [1] 16/6
comment [11] 55/7 55/12 77/22
100/9 123/5 125/18 128/24 134/1 135/6 168/14 190/4
commented [1] 112/11
commenting [1] 54/25
comments [13] 37/1 41/6 52/12
$55 / 17$ 101/11 $142 / 12183 / 2$ 183/5
189/17 190/25 191/4 191/11
194/10
commercial [1] 100/19
commit [1] 10/13
committed [4] 63/4 158/7 163/11 168/5
committee [1] 18/3
committing [1] 180/6
common [2] 132/17 191/3
communicate [1] 24/4
communicated [1] 18/15
community [1] 228/19
company [2] 187/16 203/7
compared [1] 197/21
compelling [1] 185/13
competing [2] 159/23 161/13
complain [1] 118/14
complaining [1] 127/6
Complaint [35] 52/14 52/16 55/23
56/17 57/5 58/7 58/19 59/15
72/23 73/9 73/22 74/10 83/11
83/14 83/17 84/4 84/10 84/17
$85 / 285 / 886 / 186 / 386 / 10101 / 15$
101/17 101/22 128/17 128/18
214/1 214/9 214/17 214/24 217/8
221/23 224/16
Complaints [1] 141/4
complete [1] 177/1
completed [2] 103/9 107/19
completely [1] 33/13
complied [1] 188/10
compliments [2] 192/13 226/3
comply [3] 156/4 157/8 181/1
computer [1] 232/10
computer-assisted [1] 232/10
computerized [1] 232/9
con [1] 129/16
concede [4] 141/2 160/13 162/12
162/13
conceded [3] 120/3 157/15 179/8
concededly [1] 199/17
conceding [1] 160/15
conceivably [1] 135/3
conceptually [1] 183/15
concern [16] 85/9 86/4 96/4 105/7
112/14 144/17 174/7 178/25
191/11 194/23 195/16 196/3 196/6
196/8 204/4 209/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { concerned [6] } & 120 / 21 & 144 / 4 & 175 / 9\end{array}$ 178/7 184/10 184/14
concerning [9] 63/2 64/8 105/18
106/10 $111 / 23$ 155/24 196/19 206/14 213/10
concerns [7] 81/10 104/12 116/15
186/13 191/1 191/15 195/17
concert [1] 45/24
concession [1] 170/21
conclude [1] 113/2
concluded [1] 231/13
concurrently [2] 9/18 10/9
condition [1] 149/22
conditional [3] 145/15 150/16 152/16
conduct [3] 121/17 131/10 147/1
conducted [3] 89/16 189/15 225/8
conference [40] 50/11 52/8 53/11
$53 / 1353 / 1556 / 756 / 1356 / 21$ 57/1 57/24 77/21 83/3 83/13 83/19 84/3 84/6 87/17 88/6 89/4 89/19 90/7 91/6 91/6 91/7 92/16 92/18 93/4 97/12 101/9 101/10
103/20 120/20 122/2 127/17
127/20 127/22 128/3 140/18
220/25 222/13
conferences [30] 24/22 52/22 54/8
54/15 55/4 82/24 87/2 89/10
89/17 $118 / 20119 / 5119 / 11119 / 16$
119/23 120/12 120/13 120/16
120/24 121/9 121/19 124/9 134/6
$140 / 8$ 140/11 $141 / 7151 / 21154 / 7$
154/11 155/4 222/3
conferring [1] 21/18
confident [4] 149/18 149/21
201/17 210/7
confirm [1] 91/2
conflict [18] 22/4 22/16 22/25
23/11 23/13 125/21 126/3 126/9
130/8 130/12 131/25 184/10
184/11 184/15 184/16 186/13
195/16 196/6
confluence [1] 137/19
confronts [1] 126/4
confused [1] 98/23
confuses [1] 202/1
confusion [1] 162/1
conjunction [4] 46/11 69/2 70/9 213/25
conjunctive [1] 72/1
conjures [2] 198/10 198/10
connected [5] 37/2 190/20 194/12
194/19 194/24
connecting [1] 190/25
connection [5] 191/10 194/6
195/25 196/15 223/5
connects [1] 191/14
conscious [2] 124/12 203/13
consent [2] 27/16 28/1
consequence [3] 197/3 197/7 197/11
consider [10] 46/24 148/23 174/24
178/11 197/13 198/1 198/2 225/1
225/4 229/17
considerably [1] 230/17
consideration [2] 113/6 159/18
considered [1] 214/8
consist [1] 43/7
consistencies [3] 226/16 226/22 226/22
consistent [3] 59/7 104/20 228/12
consistently [1] 120/13
conspiracy [6] 53/25 171/8 171/9
171/11 171/11171/14
constable [4] 158/22 159/5 171/24
171/24
constitution [3] 125/5 130/21
132/16
constitutional [20] 124/25 125/3
125/9 125/12 125/16 125/21 126/4
126/5 126/12 130/8 130/15 130/16
130/19 131/1 131/7 132/19 133/8
141/14 148/8 177/2
constitutions [1] 125/2
construed [3] 97/3 222/8 222/11
consulted [1] 71/17
consulting [2] 8/3 22/2
consuming [1] 155/17
contact [17] 20/16 21/4 25/17
47/17 49/20 49/21 49/24 70/21
130/17 147/13 183/16 185/1
185/23 186/20 196/9 196/11 208/1
contacted [1] 51/18
contacts [3] 29/22 53/7 207/19
contained [2] 52/13 85/7
contaminate [1] 191/12
contaminating [1] 160/23
contemplated [1] 115/22
contemplates [1] 159/18
contemplating [2] 117/13 211/6
content [1] 120/21
context [5] 201/2 204/3 204/5
207/8 209/1
contingent [1] 150/16

C
continuance [3] 142/21 143/3 145/20
continuation [1] 184/6
continue [4] 38/16 68/1 147/11 191/18
continued [4] 24/14 67/4 67/5 142/8
contrary [1] 217/7
contributed [1] 126/18
control [35] 19/4 19/10 29/17
29/22 48/20 48/23 49/12 49/17 57/1 5 57/22 58/4 58/8 59/2 59/3
84/14 84/16 85/11 85/16 86/11
86/13 86/14 86/18 86/19 86/22
86/25 87/24 88/8 88/11 88/14
$88 / 17119 / 1126 / 13127 / 5132 / 12$ 222/3
controlled [2] 65/20 222/7
controlling [1] 30/17
conversation [2] 25/23 39/2
conversations [3] 54/3 54/4 57/7
conversing [1] 93/17
convict [1] 8/18
convicted [5] 197/5 197/24 198/5 198/9 203/24
conviction [16] $7 / 9$ 9/14 10/7 23/8
63/12 106/11 116/10 180/13
196/20 197/4 199/12 199/20 200/2 202/2 202/22 208/23
convictions [4] 189/22 198/3
198/17 209/22
Cook [1] 134/2
copied [3] 43/9 43/19 109/19 copies [7] 24/21 26/17 26/18 43/11
83/23 213/9 213/9
cops [1] 152/20
copy[5] 73/12 84/17 108/1 110/3 219/20
Corp [3] $21 / 18$ 94/21 94/24
Corporation [3] $22 / 3$ 39/3 40/1 5 correct [97] 4/7 5/6 5/8 5/11 5/17
6/8 7/7 10/8 11/12 11/15 12/5 12/16 14/16 $14 / 17$ 14/23 $15 / 14$ 15/20 16/17 17/17 17/18 17/21 19/15 19/16 19/18 19/22 22/5 22/14 23/4 23/7 23/10 25/10 28/23 30/13 30/19 31/1 33/5 34/6 $35 / 3$ 36/19 38/13 38/17 38/23 40/16 41/4 45/15 45/16 45/22 46/2 54/19 54/20 59/8 59/9 62/2 63/10 64/24 68/6 72/15 72/18 $73 / 273 / 1173 / 2274 / 174 / 12$ $74 / 2575 / 175 / 375 / 775 / 1476 / 9$ $77 / 280 / 380 / 880 / 1481 / 2186 / 15$ 86/19 88/9 88/12 88/15 92/3 94/25 101/17 101/18 103/1 103/10 103/23 108/19 111/15 113/16 117/6 145/23 146/12 178/21 180/20 210/9 212/14 232/12
correctional [1] 61/6
correctly [6] 38/12 72/14 123/24 146/23 149/9 162/4
could [63] 12/21 16/12 17/15 17/16 39/19 41/6 41/10 47/7 48/14 49/17 49/24 50/12 56/12 59/3 61/24 65/9 65/11 66/18 67/7 68/14 73/8 80/3 81/4 84/7 86/14 86/17 86/21 86/24 87/6 87/20 87/22 88/10 88/13 96/19 99/17 109/14 110/23 111/4 113/18 116/15 116/22 130/23 133/7 135/2
$155 / 1155 / 5158 / 1158 / 1158 / 2$
163/21 166/7 166/25 170/17
172/21 175/24 176/4 182/4 182/13 187/25 187/25 204/6 207/10 214/6 couldn't [4] 69/14 88/8 88/17 104/11
counsel [27] 3/20 21/19 22/3 39/3
40/15 94/22 94/24 100/25 102/7
103/6 103/11 103/24 107/16
111/16 111/24 114/4 117/20
119/12 119/13119/14139/6
156/17 158/20 178/21 183/4 192/14 231/5
counsel's [1] 31/7
count [2] 118/12 118/15
counted [1] 86/13
counties [1] 11/14
country [5] 85/18 104/15 132/18 132/22 167/15
counts [3] 118/9 133/4 214/1
county [143] $1 / 13 / 85 / 45 / 185 / 19$ 5/20 5/22 6/7 6/16 8/2 10/21 11/8 $11 / 1011 / 1412 / 10$ 16/22 18/3
18/4 18/12 19/6 19/14 19/15 23/2 23/19 23/22 24/3 24/13 26/9 26/13 27/17 27/19 27/22 28/17 29/10 29/20 29/23 30/11 31/4 $33 / 1636 / 1336 / 1636 / 2037 / 23$
$38 / 338 / 838 / 1038 / 1539 / 241 / 18$
45/8 46/10 54/18 54/22 60/3
60/17 60/1 8 63/18 66/3 66/5
68/13 71/17 72/7 77/12 88/25
93/21 93/25 94/6 94/9 94/17
94/20 94/21 94/23 95/2 95/10
95/14 96/6 97/17 99/8 104/3
104/25 115/2 119/2 119/2 121/4 122/4 122/16 122/19 122/20 124/23 125/5 129/2 129/9 129/10 129/22 130/6 134/22 137/12 143/9 143/10 144/12 146/8 146/25 147/1 147/6 147/7 147/18 148/12 148/21 148/24 156/22 178/13 183/21 183/25 184/2 184/7 184/8 184/18 185/7 186/9 186/12 186/16 187/13 188/4 188/9 188/16 188/19 189/14 190/24 191/17 191/20 191/22 192/5 192/6 193/3 193/4 193/7 195/8 195/13 195/22 199/13 200/7 202/25 232/2
county's [2] 16/4 147/19
couple [13] 35/10 70/22 89/13
$89 / 14101 / 8 \quad 124 / 10132 / 5143 / 17$ 161/7 169/3 169/8 190/19 205/24 coupled [3] 132/23 133/11 135/5
course [14] 18/6 18/7 20/17 77/11 82/25 105/13 $110 / 2$ 119/4 133/3 138/9 159/3 197/1 201/10 219/14 court [203] $1 / 1$ 1/11 1/23 3/1 3/11 3/25 13/23 29/25 31/15 36/23 37/7 37/10 37/22 72/12 72/21 $72 / 2573 / 273 / 1983 / 1284 / 1$ 96/16 98/16 104/7 104/18 104/24 105/11 105/11 105/14 106/4 107/6 107/6 107/7 107/8 107/9 107/11 107/12 107/14 107/17 109/2 109/7 110/25 111/6 112/14 112/24 113/4 113/21 114/1 114/2 114/3 114/6 114/8 114/10114/11114/12 114/17115/20 115/23 117/13 117/18 117/22 117/22 119/18 119/20 122/21 123/11 124/2 124/6 126/4 129/8 130/3 131/17 132/9 132/12 132/13 133/15 133/18

134/8 135/1 139/1 139/11 140/4 140/10 141/19 141/20 142/16 142/20 143/7 143/8 $143 / 11144 / 2$ 144/6 144/8 144/14 144/16 144/19 144/22 145/1 145/3 145/17145/19 145/21 146/18 146/23 147/3 147/3 147/4 147/10 147/20 148/1 148/3
148/15 148/18 148/20 148/22
149/7 149/8 149/16 151/4 151/5 151/6 151/17152/10 152/14 152/25 153/1 153/3 153/8 153/16 153/23 154/12 156/5 158/20 159/22 161/17 161/24 162/16 163/2 163/13 163/17 164/13 164/15 167/22 168/8 168/13 169/18 176/4 176/10 176/22 179/23 180/12 183/6 185/19 185/19 185/22 187/22 189/1 190/23 192/7 192/18 195/5 199/8 199/17 199/23 201/17 201/24 202/15 203/19 205/7 205/8 205/24 205/25 207/13 210/4 210/6 210/21 210/25 213/19 213/23 214/10 214/18 217/9 219/21 223/10 224/24 225/20 225/22 226/5 226/5 226/14 227/12 227/17 228/1 228/15 228/1 5 228/22 229/3 229/12 229/16 230/13 231/2 232/4 232/5 232/19
Court's [13] 43/1 73/8 104/21
117/10 123/7 144/10 144/22
145/10 148/22 149/19 173/18
208/21 210/19
courtroom [7] 38/2 55/1 138/15
143/1 147/21 191/16 225/9
courts [2] 127/24 180/9
courtyard [1] 191/23
cover [2] 41/23 97/4
covered [4] 43/21 111/8 119/8 133/14
covering [2] 16/4 122/3
craft [1] 192/14
create [1] 109/18
created [1] 8/16
creates [1] 193/10
creating [1] 130/12
credibility [8] 166/11 $182 / 18$ 200/6 200/12 $215 / 23$ 224/20 229/13 230/3
credible [3] 214/3 217/8 224/17 cries [1] 222/21
crime [24] 9/15 10/12 78/9 78/19 78/24 79/6 79/21 80/1 93/11 101/20 126/8 136/17 136/18 156/3 178/15 180/6 180/25 186/11 197/15 198/6 203/23 204/20 215/9 216/3
crimes [4] 7/19 83/5 129/2 195/22
criminal [48] 19/7 36/18 45/25
46/12 52/14 52/16 54/21 54/25
55/22 56/16 57/4 58/6 58/19
58/24 68/19 72/19 72/22 73/9
73/24 74/10 76/24 76/25 77/14
77/23 78/3 78/6 79/7 80/4 80/16 83/9 83/14 83/17 84/4 84/9 85/8
$86 / 386 / 10128 / 17128 / 18141 / 12$
189/9 189/22 209/22 214/1 214/9
214/17 221/22 224/16
criteria [1] 149/25
crooked [2] 159/6 171/24
cross [9] $2 / 5$ 2/10 36/10 59/13
173/7 176/8 $181 / 13$ 188/1 $211 / 1$
cross-examination [7] 2/5 2/10
cross-examination... [5] 36/10
59/13 173/7 181/13188/1
cross-examine [1] 211/1
cross-examining [1] 176/8
Crosse [1] 152/22
crowd [1] 69/25
culprit [1] 80/8
current [3] 119/13 142/17 195/13 currently [1] 207/14
custody [9] 9/23 10/4 83/6 91/24
166/8 182/10 182/17 185/10
219/16
cut [3] 216/1 216/8 218/17
cuts [1] 220/19
cynical [1] 136/12
D
D.A [1] 94/24
D.A.'s [1] 94/21

Dahmer [1] 136/16
daily [3] 50/6 51/1 54/9
damages [1] 23/6
Dan [1] 32/3
Dassey [28] 56/5 56/18 57/5 73/25
$74 / 374 / 1183 / 483 / 1591 / 11$
120/18 128/20 156/4 157/10
180/25 200/20 214/4 214/16 215/4
215/14215/16 217/11 223/11
225/3 226/7 226/18 227/19 230/11 230/15
Dassey's [11] 56/14 214/6 215/24
216/4 219/1 221/25 224/14 224/19 227/20 229/8 230/22
date [21] 1/10 67/7 87/5 87/12
93/6 110/4 123/15 123/16 123/20
124/3 124/4 124/5 130/3 145/21
153/23 211/3 211/6 211/10 211/16
213/18213/22
Dated [1] 232/15
dates [1] 151/8
dating [1] 189/22
daughter [1] 221/8
David [1] 136/10
Davis [1] 107/10
day [31] $8 / 5$ 8/5 10/12 $28 / 21 \quad 29 / 17$ 34/12 41/24 41/25 50/4 51/20
56/12 56/24 59/17 62/22 67/14
67/15 69/13 74/19 78/13 78/21
79/10 91/9 99/15 135/17 137/14
162/8 185/24 185/25 206/16
220/19 232/15
daylight [2] 67/23 68/8
days [8] 19/1 64/1 65/4 67/11
67/20 71/3 136/3 223/9
daytime [1] 122/5
DCI [13] 19/7 30/12 38/11 69/2 70/10 75/23 93/21 94/8 94/17 95/5 95/6 95/7 188/16
deal [15] 46/15 66/2 100/12
100/12 115/20 133/21 158/10
160/3 162/6 180/4 180/4 180/14 194/15 194/23 225/13
dealing [4] 103/17 107/8 156/25 185/13
deals [1] 113/22
dealt [7] 106/9 110/13 $115 / 22$
161/18 161/20 164/2 211/5
DEAN [2] $1 / 153 / 16$
death [13] $135 / 22 \quad 135 / 24136 / 24$
137/1 137/2 137/5 137/15 137/23 138/4 138/7 142/23 222/10 228/7
debate [1] 174/20
December [1] 39/12
deception [1] 129/14
decide [9] 22/15 104/7 144/10 176/18 196/10 201/18 205/8 205/9 205/25
decided [11] $21 / 13$ 49/7 50/3
57/20 82/22 102/16 107/10 107/11 111/9 183/21 187/4
decides [4] 144/9 146/11 176/10 177/5
deciding [2] 104/8 149/12
decision [37] $19 / 3$ 19/10 19/24
20/4 21/17 23/14 23/1733/18
49/11 57/12 57/19 75/22 81/5 81/24 81/25 82/12 84/5 84/12
92/9 94/19 95/7 $118 / 23$ 125/19 143/2 143/14 144/10 145/10 148/3 153/4 154/5 164/20 183/7 184/6 207/14 212/10 212/12 230/14
decisions [6] 36/12 46/1 72/2
121/18 128/1 129/1
decline [1] 86/21
declining [1] 125/17
decorum [1] 225/10
decree [1] 135/18
dedicated [1] 64/21
deemed [2] 139/18 139/20
defend [1] 104/11
defendant [39] 1/7 1/16 1/18
89/20 90/8 $113 / 23$ 125/10 125/22 125/24 127/18 129/3 129/15 130/13 133/15 148/19 157/10 163/15 163/20 164/6 164/11 166/18 166/25 177/8 177/13 177/16 177/17 181/5 185/9 186/25 191/15 197/5 197/23 198/5 198/8 198/23 204/19 205/1 209/23

## 229/23

defendant's [12] 4/1 106/11 $113 / 6$ 116/9 130/19 131/1 144/8 144/21 167/20 196/20 197/4 230/16
defendants [2] 158/8 177/12
defending [1] 173/13
defense [102] 4/3 4/11 44/18
104/2 104/16 107/16 108/17
111/17 111/23 114/3 117/19118/2 119/10 $119 / 12$ 120/3 123/14 123/17 123/20 125/25 130/5
137/18 139/22 142/20 143/24
145/5 145/15 145/22 146/4 146/9
146/18 148/4 148/7 148/17 148/17
148/25 149/16 149/22 150/12
152/15 152/25 153/2 153/5 154/12
154/19 155/7 156/1 158/2 158/11
159/14 163/6 163/8 163/9 163/14
164/25 165/19 165/22 166/22
167/18 169/6 169/14 170/22
171/16 172/18 172/20 172/22
173/4 174/4 174/10 175/12 175/18
175/20 176/19 176/22 177/2 177/3
180/24 181/1 181/3 181/6 181/10
181/13 181/15 181/16 183/10
187/18 187/24 187/25 190/21
197/18 199/1 199/7 200/23 202/10
202/21 205/6 208/11 209/14 210/3
226/20 227/25 228/7 230/21
defense's [8] 107/23 107/24
143/22 145/2 145/13 145/18
145/20 177/1
deference [2] 104/4 141/13
defined [1] 197/1
definitely [4] 51/25 65/5 102/1

192/21
deftability [1] 192/14
deftly [1] 187/17
deftness [1] 193/14
degree [6] 7/2 $137 / 1$ 142/3 178/21
187/8 228/17
delay [1] 162/8
deliberately [1] 160/23
deliberations [1] 159/4
deliveries [1] 177/11
democracy [1] 138/18
denial [4] 130/22 131/5 148/9 177/1
denied [2] 123/13 231/4
denies [1] 145/21
Denny [17] 105/19 155/25 156/5
156/12 156/15 157/3 157/6 157/13 157/16 161/19 164/13 179/20 179/22 180/10 180/22 180/23 181/2
deny [2] 143/11 228/22
department [134] 3/11 4/6 5/5
5/23 10/22 $11 / 311 / 1111 / 1312 / 1$ 12/10 12/14 13/7 15/3 15/24 16/22 17/3 17/23 18/12 19/6 19/19 23/23 24/4 24/9 24/13 24/25 25/18 26/10 26/14 26/24 27/8 27/17 28/7 28/16 28/23 29/1 29/21 30/7 30/11 30/16 31/16 $31 / 1832 / 1932 / 2534 / 336 / 17$ $37 / 2438 / 938 / 1138 / 1538 / 20$ 39/4 39/8 40/12 41/19 45/10 45/24 46/11 46/11 47/9 48/5 51/22 60/3 60/17 60/19 60/23 60/25 64/19 65/2 68/13 68/18 69/22 71/7 72/7 88/25 93/21 94/1 94/10 94/22 94/24 95/2 95/9 95/10 96/7 97/1 8 104/4 105/1 112/25 113/11 117/15 136/8 147/6 147/7 147/10 147/13 147/20 147/23 156/22 169/10 169/22 183/1 1 183/2 1 184/1 $184 / 3$ 184/7 184/9 184/18 $184 / 19185 / 7185 / 20$ 186/10 187/4 187/13 188/5 188/17 188/20 190/5 190/8 190/24 191/17 191/22 195/1 195/3 195/8 195/11 195/14 195/19 195/20 200/7 202/25 203/7 203/8 203/14 204/21 205/4
department's [1] 25/18
departments [2] 183/23 184/13
depending [4] 134/25 160/14 192/7 192/9
depends [1] 150/2
Depere [1] 138/6
deposed [2] 34/10 34/24
deposition [10] 33/15 33/20 33/22
34/11 34/13 39/17 39/18 39/21 41/15 195/6
depositions [4] 34/4 34/7 34/19 72/8
deputies [4] 14/22 15/2 25/1 195/11
deputy [23] 5/7 12/18 12/23 13/1
13/3 13/1 1 13/21 13/22 $15 / 10$ 15/13 15/15 25/20 26/2 26/15 29/10 31/3 31/4 32/2 32/24 37/25 38/3 44/8 196/5
describe [3] 5/19 47/7 187/9
described [10] 15/9 21/15 22/2
99/7 $116 / 25$ 124/11 146/24 149/8 213/9 223/3
describes [1] 139/14
describing[2] 76/5 129/11
description [5] 80/22 129/11 216/9
222/2 222/5
designated [1] 106/12
designed [1] 230/9
desk[2] 18/9 25/3
despite [1] 153/3
destroy [1] 227/4
detached [4] 29/5 29/11 $31 / 5$ 32/1
detail [3] 107/1 128/7 214/16
detailed [2] 128/18 128/21
details [7] 57/2 78/9 85/6 101/21
101/23 121/21 199/22
detect [1] 180/20
detecting [1] 181/20
detective [24] $16 / 13 \quad 16 / 1416 / 15$
27/18 28/7 28/16 28/23 29/8
32/17 32/18 33/10 41/1742/3
61/16 $115 / 2$ 192/23 193/9 195/18
196/5 203/3 210/18 210/23 211/1 212/5
detective's [4] 13/10 13/19 14/2 116/2
detectives [5] 14/15 25/1 41/17 42/1 60/2
determination [2] 159/22 174/22
determinations [1] 229/14
determine [7] 50/16 79/19 145/10 159/24 164/24 165/16 179/13 determined [2] 53/11 107/2 developed [3] $215 / 25$ 227/24 228/14
Diane [3] 1/22 232/4 232/19
did [83] 6/19 7/1 7/10 21/8 21/17 22/14 23/11 23/16 23/16 25/11 25/16 28/1 33/19 34/12 34/12 35/7 38/11 40/19 40/19 40/22 44/4 44/20 47/23 48/1 51/6 55/9 55/25 56/3 56/12 57/24 59/25 61/13 61/20 62/4 65/21 65/21 67/21 68/1 68/9 68/11 68/11 $72 / 11$ 79/5 84/16 85/20 88/5 89/4 92/19 93/14 101/14 115/23 116/18 117/17 121/21 123/10 140/9 141/2 164/14 166/23 166/23 167/8 167/9 169/13 173/23 180/4 180/4 180/1 1 194/22 200/8 200/10 217/12 218/17218/18218/19221/10 221/11 221/11 224/8 226/16 227/7 227/12 228/21 229/17
didn't [22] 10/13 20/7 20/13 22/10 22/18 25/24 25/25 40/21 62/13 63/5 87/2 92/21 115/19 142/6 149/11 169/14 175/21 180/14 189/20 221/18 221/19 224/8
died [1] 216/10
differ [1] 181/20
difference [2] 60/24 160/22
different [17] 76/25 77/3 146/8
158/20 158/21 159/6 163/23
165/21 168/24 171/23 172/23
177/22 179/21 181/17 185/12
186/19 230/1
difficult [3] 57/19 174/17 192/17
dilatory [1] 189/11
dilemma [1] 125/20
diminution [1] 123/21
dire [1] 139/23
direct [17] 2/4 2/9 4/25 45/5 53/19 62/16 68/9 68/12 90/18 100/4 129/21 130/17 150/17 166/14

196/9 196/11 228/15
directed [5] 26/1 37/1 38/20 46/1 189/17
directing [4] 30/16 30/24 46/9 71/24
direction [4] 29/22 30/9 36/15 93/7
directions [6] 37/14 38/10 58/3 85/10 85/25 190/7
directive [6] 17/11 $17 / 1317 / 19$ 25/21 39/7 39/8
directives [3] 17/7 18/15 37/6
directly [17] $12 / 12$ 14/12 $15 / 5$ 15/7
16/1 8 17/13 42/25 47/22 60/12
64/11 78/24 131/2 175/6 176/2
184/25 185/14 217/7
disagree [3] 21/25 34/18 125/7
disagreed [1] 214/10
disagreement [3] 30/4 156/16 180/21
disappearance [6] 19/5 19/21
24/15 45/12 48/13 64/9
disappeared [1] 34/1
disciplinary [1] 189/10
disciplined [1] 18/13
disclose [5] 58/13 80/7 81/15
112/10 189/3
disclosed [4] 80/17 80/25 121/15 128/11
disclosure [7] 84/4 108/7 132/6 132/11 132/24 133/12 189/7
disclosures [1] 140/16
discount [1] 142/18
discovered [1] 79/17
discovery [4] 47/2 $115 / 5$ 115/8 115/12
discredit [1] 230/22
discuss [11] 3/20 44/8 51/1 54/9
55/20 70/6 70/8 75/16 75/25 84/7 226/12
discussed [8] 23/9 101/20 110/16 113/25 119/22 180/3 180/17 213/24
discussing [1] 189/21
discussion [8] 21/23 82/7 109/1
113/3 129/18 141/18 197/22
223/19
discussions [6] 48/17 50/23 52/11 70/5 75/22 208/9
disengaged [1] 33/12
dismiss [13] 4/1 31/9 44/20 98/15
111/13 117/15 118/9118/12
139/12 143/15 145/18 148/18
151/20
dismissal [9] 44/11 131/8 131/9
131/12 132/25 135/4 139/18 140/5 142/19
dismissed [1] 133/5
Dispatch [1] 61/6
dispelling [1] 91/16
dispersions [1] 120/6
dispose [1] 205/14
disposed [1] 216/13
disprove [1] 197/9
disproved [2] 214/22 224/19
dispute [10] 27/4 27/12 28/10 29/3
32/8 157/11 157/12 157/22 173/21
229/11
disregarded [1] 226/10
disruptive [1] 225/11
disseminate [8] 49/15 61/24 75/17
76/17 76/18 86/18 $118 / 24$ 140/20
disseminated [14] 48/18 48/21
$48 / 2551 / 551 / 654 / 654 / 1054 / 12$ 54/15 57/22 59/23 61/23 64/8 75/20
disseminating [3] 49/8 80/6 91/14 dissemination [4] 74/14 74/16
76/6 124/13
distinct [1] 162/2
distinguish [2] 77/4 77/12
distinguished [1] 168/13
distinguishes [1] 163/3
distracts [1] 201/25
distress [1] 194/24
distributed [1] 83/17
District [6] 3/8 8/2 94/20 95/3 119/2 121/4
disturbing [1] 58/12
dividing [1] 149/13
division [10] 16/9 19/7 36/17
37/21 37/23 37/25 42/8 42/12 42/13 45/25
divisions [2] 13/14 15/2
divorce [1] 164/8
divorced [1] 25/8
divulged [4] 76/14 76/15 91/5 91/5
DNA [14] 7/24 8/10 8/16 8/24 9/2
215/10215/19217/6218/8218/21 219/8 227/11 227/17 227/23
do [162] 8/20 9/11 11/23 11/24 12/25 13/8 14/18 17/9 17/10 18/11 20/10 20/24 21/8 25/4 26/1 26/5 26/19 30/24 30/25 30/25 $31 / 2232 / 1632 / 2433 / 1734 / 21$ $35 / 735 / 1436 / 236 / 2237 / 937 / 9$ $37 / 1237 / 1837 / 2139 / 739 / 14$ 40/2 40/17 41/17 41/23 45/17 45/21 46/20 46/24 47/1 51/7 52/8 52/10 52/11 52/20 52/25 53/14 53/16 53/17 54/3 54/8 55/5 56/6 56/16 56/19 56/20 56/22 56/23 57/7 57/20 59/20 59/21 60/11 60/21 61/9 65/14 71/14 73/18 $76 / 14$ 76/15 77/7 80/5 80/9 80/17 81/14 92/19 95/24 97/5 97/6 97/6 97/9 97/21 98/1 98/25 99/25
101/1 101/9 102/15 105/5 112/20 114/1 114/8 114/11117/10119/1 125/11 125/14 129/14 132/9 139/4 140/7 143/16 146/9 147/24 149/4 151/1 152/5 152/7 153/25 154/23 155/8 157/3 157/19 158/12 158/18 161/5 161/13 164/23 165/14 166/25 168/18 170/6 172/5 173/8 174/6 174/7 174/8 175/13 177/12 177/19 178/3 182/10 183/3 186/10 187/22 191/8 191/8 192/2 192/3 192/8 199/19 199/20 200/1 200/14 206/24 208/20 208/22 209/4 209/12 209/20 210/18 211/2 211/12 223/20 224/15 230/23 232/6
document [23] 52/13 57/4 57/12
57/25 58/14 73/5 73/19 73/20 74/1 1 106/16 106/23 106/24 108/1 8 109/8 109/15 109/17 109/19 109/25 110/12 114/22 115/12 116/8 116/23
documents [7] 103/19 103/22 104/18 114/19 115/9115/13 189/5 does [29] 6/10 12/6 16/21 23/25 33/23 44/16 60/5 60/16 67/6 116/14 144/24 146/17 148/18 148/19 150/13 153/23 157/17 158/11 160/16 166/9 174/2 180/2
does... [7] 197/7 198/22 205/2
206/1 211/17 212/7 226/21
doesn't [12] 112/9 113/24 113/25
126/25 149/22 167/22 194/5
204/25 205/25 210/12 220/23 226/11
doing [10] 40/17 68/21 69/16 82/12 84/25 127/1 129/15 140/9 161/7 208/21
dollars [2] 23/5 225/16
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { don't }[131] & 8 / 17 & 16 / 20 & 18 / 22 \\ 23 / 13\end{array}$ 25/2 27/3 27/9 27/11 27/12 27/13 28/9 28/10 28/10 28/12 29/2 29/3 29/3 32/6 32/7 32/8 33/3 33/17 $34 / 734 / 734 / 834 / 1034 / 1834 / 20$ 34/24 35/1 39/6 40/1 40/12 40/24 41/15 43/22 60/22 60/24 64/14 66/4 71/11 76/16 77/20 77/25 90/9 90/11 90/12 90/14 90/16 90/17 96/1 98/14 99/3 100/14 100/15 103/25 104/10 106/1 106/2 106/23 110/8 $110 / 17111 / 14$ 111/18114/15 115/10115/14 116/1 116/16 116/19 117/2 117/5 118/6 $118 / 13$ 120/5 120/25 121/6 124/7 125/7 126/20 130/23 132/24 133/15 137/3 138/11 146/8 146/11
148/13 149/21 149/23 150/20 152/13 152/17 153/14 155/2 156/18 157/10 159/25 159/25 161/16 162/3 172/2 172/15 173/2 174/21 175/14 177/7 177/18 180/20 182/13 182/14 186/8 188/11 189/25 190/15 191/25 192/1 192/1 196/2 204/7 204/17 206/4 207/15 208/8 208/15 209/12 212/6 212/18 219/23 224/13 231/9 done [44] 4/15 7/24 40/11 48/2 48/3 48/22 51/3 59/22 65/23 67/1 68/5 68/15 72/3 73/21 73/24
$74 / 19$ 76/12 79/1 92/15 93/7 93/10 93/14 94/11 95/15 95/17 95/25 97/7 97/10 98/3 102/1 102/2 107/15 126/17 131/18 133/7 140/19 155/5 204/7 207/23 213/2 217/4 224/3 224/18 224/19
doors [1] 187/25
doubt [6] 8/14 8/16 9/6 9/11 111/11154/4
doubts [4] $8 / 128 / 158 / 208 / 24$
down [17] 13/6 13/8 17/17 20/9
33/19 35/10 40/23 66/5 92/19
100/8 $151 / 2$ 152/6 160/21 170/3
171/23 217/24 225/1
dozen [1] 86/12
drafted [1] 56/17
dramatic [1] 220/25
draw [4] 159/2 159/3 159/15
173/11
drawn [3] 122/12 160/6 178/3
dredging [1] 189/21
drew [2] 196/2 200/18
dripping [1] 216/25
drive [1] 193/4
dropsy [1] 177/11
drug [1] 177/10
dubious [1] 136/19

130/22 130/24 131/5 139/18
duly [2] 4/19 44/25
during [12] 5/22 20/16 44/8 51/23

67/23 68/7 68/8 89/2 122/4 159/3
188/1 219/17
duties [1] $42 / 2$
DVD [7] 43/19 122/22 150/10
150/21 151/24 152/9 155/4
DVD's [7] 43/5 43/24 $119 / 19$ 154/3
154/6 154/7 213/10
E
e-mail [1] 100/17
e-mailing [1] 65/15
each [6] 33/11 67/14 82/9 102/22
110/21 119/5
earlier [6] 29/17 116/25 122/10
213/10 223/10 229/19
early [8] $19 / 19$ 25/8 50/10 55/24
62/5 64/2 65/4 122/24
easier [2] 129/19 170/11
easiest [1] 136/14
easily [2] 150/25 172/21
east [1] 32/23
easy [1] 153/13
Ed [1] 136/9
editorial [1] 141/15
effect [8] 53/25 61/21 78/1 104/8
147/10 191/3 203/12 203/14
effective [4] 109/24 128/13 128/16
150/6
efficiently [1] 26/20
effort [4] 44/4 71/15 82/3 121/25
eight [9] 118/20 118/21 119/5
119/15 $119 / 19119 / 22$ 124/9 128/4 155/3
either [18] 30/11 36/15 38/10
43/24 53/2 60/13 68/15 87/10
87/11 93/20 $119 / 12$ 120/24 145/12
146/10 149/23 150/19 180/19
215/3
elaborate [1] 53/6
elected [1] 46/22
electively [1] 147/7
elegant [1] 123/3
element [1] 197/10
eliminating [1] 133/12
elimination [1] 80/24
else [18] 65/14 73/10 86/6 121/1 129/6 155/13 156/3 166/24 167/9
186/25 192/5 194/21 195/2 195/19
210/15 215/19 217/16 230/10
elsewhere [1] 174/1
embarrassment [1] 203/9
emotion [2] 222/19 223/1
emotional [1] 223/5
emotionally [2] 133/21 222/17
employed [3] 45/7 60/2 64/12
employee [3] 184/3 184/19 188/20
Employees [1] 4/6
employment [1] 207/20
en [1] 117/24
encourage [1] 65/8
encouraged [2] 64/16 64/17
end [6] 81/25 134/2 135/10 155/1
185/24 200/4
endanger [1] 144/21
endangered [1] 66/12
endangers [1] 144/7
enforcement [40] 5/20 11/8 21/15 27/5 27/14 28/5 28/20 29/11 32/1 32/9 32/14 32/21 46/21 46/25
69/4 70/3 77/1 77/11 80/5 88/22
89/2 97/16 98/9 99/12 121/7
121/13 122/7 122/19 129/10 132/7
132/11 135/6 142/11 147/16 185/6

186/6 188/8 188/9 192/24 206/3
engage [3] 37/1 124/13 162/18
engaged [1] 47/18
English [1] 84/24
enhance [2] 85/24 86/8
enjoy [2] 130/17 187/16
enjoyed [2] 142/3 142/5
enjoys [2] 132/16 132/17
enormity [1] 62/13
enough [14] 8/17 8/18 22/21 44/7
72/19 87/19 110/25 131/24 136/12
155/13 184/10 184/14 189/24
216/18
ensuing [1] 199/12
ensure[5] 51/5 76/13 97/4 99/15 225/15
enter [1] 32/10
entire [16] 31/16 40/12 68/2 78/18
106/22 134/21 144/12 146/24
167/18 191/21 211/5 218/4 219/1
222/24 225/17 225/18
entirely [8] 21/1 127/2 130/11
134/14 148/3 $158 / 20159 / 6194 / 11$
entirety [1] 117/3
entitled [1] 106/13
envisioning [1] 173/9
epistemologically [1] 128/9
equal [1] 230/15
equally [4] 198/13 199/4 199/5 228/21
equipment [1] 24/1
equivalent [1] 15/10
err [1] 104/17
especially [2] 58/11 208/14
essence [2] 160/25 220/24
essentially [2] 68/9 121/25
establish [2] 128/20 214/8
established [2] 16/24 16/25
etcetera [1] 191/9
evaluate [4] 149/25 150/7 150/11 200/11
even [32] 17/10 52/21 55/11 77/20
91/9 112/3 124/20 127/24 129/18
136/17 140/4 157/25 161/18
162/13 165/9 166/7 166/9 176/25
178/14 188/12 188/21 189/13
191/4 192/1 203/16 216/12 216/15 219/23 221/9 224/7 224/13 230/20
evening [11] $47 / 1448 / 348 / 12$
51/19 61/17 62/5 62/7 62/12 64/3 78/22 78/23
event [3] 6/5 9/13 17/22
events [7] 63/19 131/18 137/24
138/14 229/8 230/3 230/22
eventual [1] 63/12
eventually [4] 73/1 120/18 123/13 217/17
ever [11] 10/11 34/12 40/19 90/6
90/6 90/6 121/22 167/15 167/17 220/4 221/14
every [9] 67/15 110/3 121/9
150/21 172/2 172/11 172/11
209/13 220/19
everybody [10] 13/7 38/2 69/25
82/4 86/5 87/6 87/17 186/25
212/8216/15
everyone [1] 114/21
everything [8] 55/18 133/17 151/7
174/24 192/5 210/14 220/25
222/11
evidence [211] 4/3 8/10 8/25 9/2
42/4 42/22 44/13 44/17 53/23
79/1 79/3 79/4 92/25 93/5 93/9
$133 / 13133 / 14164 / 4183 / 10$
187/22 190/22 199/8 199/14
excluded [1] 194/13
98/8 99/11 103/9 105/18 106/10 116/10 147/15 152/21 156/2 156/6 156/8 156/13 156/15 157/7 157/25 158/3 158/4 158/6 158/9 158/9 158/13 158/14 158/15 158/23 158/23 158/24 159/8 159/8 159/9 159/9 159/11 159/15 159/16 159/21 159/24 159/25 160/4 160/6 160/9 160/14 160/17 160/20
160/23 160/24 161/12 161/21 162/4 162/16 162/18 163/7 163/10 163/21 164/2 164/5 164/15 164/17 164/24 165/1 165/4 165/17 165/18 165/24 166/2 166/3 166/7 166/14
166/20 167/5 167/10 167/11
167/13 167/19 167/24 167/25
168/3 168/15 168/21 169/13
169/15 169/21 169/23 170/1 170/5
170/5 170/23 171/2 171/14 171/18
172/3 172/8 173/5 173/6 173/10
173/14 173/15 173/20 173/22
173/24 174/1 $174 / 15$ 174/18 175/1 175/8 175/10 175/13 175/19 175/20 175/23 175/24 176/1 176/2 176/5 176/13 176/25 178/7 178/11 178/16 178/18 178/19 179/1 179/4 179/5 179/9 179/14 180/8 180/15 181/4 181/5 181/7 181/11 181/14 182/2 182/2 182/3 182/8 182/14 182/19 182/20 184/25 185/16 185/17 187/6 187/20 193/12 193/16 193/19 194/12 196/12 196/19 197/16 198/2 199/1 199/6 199/9 199/11 199/15 200/1 200/6 200/17 200/20 201/3 201/23 202/7 202/19 203/19 206/10 206/14 207/10 207/24 208/4 208/13 209/10 212/19 214/22 216/14 216/16 217/8 $218 / 13219 / 3219 / 5$ 220/1 220/4 221/4 222/7 223/14 224/17 225/6 225/10 227/5 227/12 228/14 228/17 229/21
evidenced [1] 163/16
evidentiary [4] 102/8 106/25
143/20 161/9
ex[4] 164/7 164/8 $164 / 12$ 165/8 ex-wife [4] 164/7 164/8 164/12 165/8
exact [3] $41 / 16$ 77/25 197/16
exactly [9] 88/3 99/4 140/19
140/19 149/9 170/25 198/25 202/16 207/6
examination [18] 2/4 2/5 2/6 2/9 $2 / 102 / 114 / 144 / 2536 / 1037 / 19$ $45 / 5$ 59/13 100/4 101/6 173/6 173/7 181/13 188/1
examinations [1] 45/10
examine [2] 170/11 211/1
examined [2] 4/20 45/1
examining [1] 176/8
example [12] 17/20 43/12 64/15
65/21 125/12 127/18 134/1 136/6 172/14 172/25 173/21 192/23
examples [5] 150/19 151/2 151/8 151/18 153/22
excepted [1] 41/5
exception [3] 103/7 108/18 110/22
excerpt [1] 119/9
exclude [16] 4/5 31/10 31/12
$31 / 1231 / 1531 / 21$ 104/3 104/25
excluding [2] 156/21 187/12
exclusion [8] 117/14 183/17 188/22 190/11 192/12 193/17 194/4 194/5
exclusively [2] 122/14 150/3
excuse [5] 29/13 85/13 144/18
198/11 204/1
excused [2] 42/22 102/6
exemplars [1] 151/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { exercise [4] 86/22 125/12 } & 125 / 15\end{array}$
126/1
Exhibit [4] 39/16 39/23 40/2 40/8
Exhibit 140 [2] 39/16 39/23
existed [1] 230/19
existence [1] 197/2
exists [1] 142/10
exonerated [2] 197/15 198/23
exoneration [3] 63/13 198/12
198/22
exonerations [1] 198/17
expect [5] 18/22 38/16 117/22
206/4 215/17
expected [1] 222/14
experience [5] 5/22 46/17 90/6
210/8 222/17
explain [4] 19/23 22/1 $134 / 10$ 200/24
explaining [3] 55/6 200/6 200/9
explanation [5] 112/8 181/22
204/5 220/5 223/13
explanations [1] 85/7
explore [1] 20/8
express [2] 17/5 226/5
expressed [3] 104/5 222/20 226/14
expresses [1] 194/23
extend [2] 185/2 186/20
extended [2] 75/2 205/17
extent [8] 60/16 82/10 124/9
146/22 183/1 205/14 209/19
229/20
extreme [3] 131/9 131/9 131/10
extrinsic [23] $163 / 9 \quad 163 / 21 \quad 164 / 2$
164/5 164/17 166/15 168/3 168/15
170/1 170/23 171/2 171/18 175/4
175/19 176/1 $178 / 17$ 179/9 181/4
181/14 182/1 182/21 182/22 183/4
eye[2] 222/6 229/22
F
face [2] 137/9 230/9
faces [1] 228/20
facets [1] 191/18
facility [1] 87/19
facing [1] 225/12
fact [54] 8/20 9/3 22/16 29/18 29/19 38/24 47/16 51/16 52/4 52/9 52/25 54/16 56/20 59/1 63/1 64/9 66/13 91/9 91/11 95/12 131/20 137/25 138/2 140/15 142/7 148/11 151/1 165/3 170/17 171/1 172/6 176/12 182/21 185/1 185/5 185/14 185/18 197/2 197/5 197/7 198/8 205/1 205/12 209/16 214/13 214/25 217/3 220/9 222/10 222/19 227/24 229/17 229/22 230/16
facts [15] 26/21 52/12 118/16 141/20 141/22 148/6 170/20 172/20 172/22 172/24 173/2
196/10 196/13 230/9 230/19
factual [4] 30/4 91/3 141/15
$226 / 15$
fail [1] 31/8
failing [2] 135/4 225/19
fails [3] 141/6 189/2 189/3
failure [1] 189/7
fair [36] 5/18 $18 / 7$ 54/6 87/19
104/6 104/20 110/25 124/18 125/1
126/6 128/8 128/20 130/9 130/24
131/3 132/1 132/15 132/22 144/8
144/21 146/15 154/15 155/12
166/13 173/21 173/25 176/6
182/20 183/3 186/23 186/24
203/22 209/1 210/24 212/7 212/18
fairly [3] 66/7 200/16 219/13
fairness [2] 161/2 206/7
faithfully [1] 18/16
fall [4] 14/19 19/17 $116 / 12$ 196/16
FALLON [17] $1 / 132 / 53 / 104 / 16$
31/6 36/7 102/13 105/4 139/9
157/15 168/22 178/4 182/25
187/14 190/13 194/23 225/25
Fallon's [3] 30/5 38/7 156/10
familiar [3] 15/21 54/24 63/11
familiarity [1] 204/18
family [37] 51/12 52/23 53/3 58/11 58/14 61/8 61/9 61/14 65/3 75/2
75/2 84/21 88/13 88/19 90/19
90/24 91/23 92/2 96/25 101/19
119/21 120/7 121/24 127/2 127/7
131/15 133/13 133/19 133/20
133/25 142/8 152/19 201/14
207/20 209/3 225/5 228/4
fantasy [5] 216/9 221/3 223/25 225/3 226/8

46/12 49/12 73/4 82/1 97/15
110/15 158/21 171/23 195/16
202/9 202/12 205/17 206/20
207/16 208/17 224/19
fashion [1] 204/4
fate [4] 126/11 126/13 126/16 130/11
fault [1] 127/1
faxing [1] 87/12
FBI [2] 70/15 70/16
featured [2] 122/14 136/15
February [1] 132/4
fed [1] 217/21
federal [4] 125/2 128/1 130/20 135/19
feel [7] 70/21 $115 / 14$ 137/4 137/22
158/11 175/21 221/6
Feeling [1] 197/14
feelings [2] 203/15 203/16
feels [1] 106/18
feet [1] 126/25
fell [1] 173/1
felon [6] 52/7 52/17 72/16 73/21
89/6 $118 / 12$
felony [1] 198/9
felt [23] 48/20 49/4 49/14 49/22
50/5 51/11 53/21 57/14 58/1 58/8
59/24 61/22 64/7 76/22 84/5
84/11 84/13 91/15 95/23 97/1
97/24 179/20 210/24
few [5] 43/8 43/16 77/18 136/3
153/10
fewer [1] 60/17
fibers [1] 218/12
fight [1] 151/13
figures [1] 122/7
figuring [1] 136/14
file [8] 73/18 $116 / 18$ 168/18 176/3

| F | $32$ | 129/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| file... [4] 177/18 199/24 208/22 | [3] 165/16 166/3 167/13 | G |
| 209/5 | foresee [1] 183/3 | gag [3] 123 |
| filed [30] 54/11 55/23 55/24 56/17 | foreseeable [1] 124/16 | [5] 166/13 176/6 182/20 |
| 63/17 72/23 73/1 83/11 83/15 | forfeiture [1] 225/19 | 209/1 |
| 95/21 103/19 103/23 104/4 105/15 | forget [4] 141/19 141/20 158/5 | garage [17] 29/5 29/12 31/5 32/1 |
| 106/17 110/4 111/2 111/18 114/25 | 204/9 | 32/7 32/10 174/2 216/24 219/5 |
| 115/4 115/6 115/9119/17139/11 | forgot [2] 227/16 227/25 | 219/9 219/11 219/13 220/8 220/12 |
| 164/3 209/21 213/16 213/19 | form [6] 86/25 87/24 117/20 175/4 | 220/18 227/2 227/10 |
| 214/13 225/3 | 190/25 193/25 | garner [2] 65/9 65/11 |
| files [1] 26/16 | formal [3] 25/21 119/16 119/17 | garnered [1] 63/1 |
| filing [6] 104/8 110/5 141/3 168/7 | formally [1] $83 / 14$ | Gary [1] 28/17 |
| 168/17 202/15 | format [1] 151/3 | gasoline [1] 220/15 |
| filings [1] 110/14 | former [1] 23/2 | gather [2] 86/2 229/6 |
| film [1] 91/25 | forth [4] 106/25 142/16 171/19 | gathered [4] 53/23 58/5 58/17 |
| final [2] 122/1 143/4 | 214/16 |  |
| finally [4] 101/19 148/10 193/20 | forum [1] | gathering [1] 49/13 |
| 223/16 | forward [3] 6/24 141/12 167/12 | gauge [1] 50/14 |
| financial [1] 230/16 | fostered [1] 126/17 | gave [9] 28/25 34/7 |
| find [12] 18/11 80/10 81/13 | fought [1] 225/7 | 128/15 172/14 172/25 230/3 231/ |
| 127/16 128/1 128/25 129/9 140/4 | found [42] 22/12 22/20 32/14 | gears [1] 99/21 |
| 143/8 167/14 187/3 230/24 | 48/16 49/3 49/4 62/23 62/25 63/4 | gee [5] 63/23 75/17 79/12 81/14 |
| finder [2] 131/20 176/12 | 66/23 67/6 78/10 78/11 78/13 | 200/21 |
| finders [2] 185/1 185/15 | 95/13 95/20 96/21 121/15 167/11 | Gein [1] 136/9 |
| finding [3] 185/5 196/13 217/24 | 169/2 169/5 169/5 169/8 169/11 | general [19] 18/11 20/25 47/24 |
| findings [1] 198/18 | 214/10 214/18215/10 215/12 | 48/19 48/21 50/8 50/14 54/24 |
| finds [1] 178/2 | 216/6 217/6 219/6 219/8 219/10 | 63/11 80/22 90/20 104/13 125/1 |
| fine [10] 105/22 114/14 151/11 | 219/15 220/3 220/17 220/17 | 132/12 137/23 150/19 150/23 |
| 155/10 163/1 170/24 171/3 179/10 | 220/20 220/22 227/9 227/17 228/9 | 151/9 151/10 |
| 1/24 212/15 | foundation [1] 157/20 | generally [5] 18/5 67/24 119/22 |
| fingerprints [1] 42/6 | four [7] 43/9 60/4 60/ | 148/5 174/17 |
| fire [1] 18/2 | 119/16 130/2 165/5 | generated [2] 24/25 26/9 |
| firearm [4] 52/7 72/17 73/22 89/6 | FOX [8] 35/6 40/23 54/17 104/24 | generating [1] 201/14 |
| firm [1] 203/7 | 122/13 134/1 189/16 226/21 | gentleman [5] 112/9 112/11 |
| first [63] 4/1 4/19 5/23 7/2 20/20 | fragment [1] 33/2 | 112/19 142/2 195/2 |
| 20/22 27/4 27/14 28/4 28/13 | fragments [6] 32/22 33/3 67/6 | GERALD [4] 2/8 44/24 45/4 136/20 |
| 28/20 29/6 29/10 31/4 32/1 32/9 | 79/13 79/17 79/22 | get [36] 13/8 13/8 42/25 44/10 |
| 32/21 33/1 33/19 35/11 44/25 | frame [8] 129/20 136/14 157/14 | 48/8 48/12 61/20 64/12 65/13 |
| 46/16 72/11 74/19 76/24 79/5 | 166/20 179/1 179/1 179/5 180/14 | 79/5 80/20 102/18 112/7 129/18 |
| 79/12 85/18 97/21 104/6 117/12 | frame-up [6] 157/14 166/20 179/1 | 138/25 149/22 150/8 151/25 152/9 |
| 133/3 140/11 143/17 144/1 148/9 | 179/1 179/5 180/14 | 154/20 155/3 173/2 173/24 174/3 |
| 154/19 154/23 156/1 156/14 157/5 | framed [9] 52/24 53/20 105/9 | 176/15 193/4 199/19 199/20 |
| 158/10 158/10 164/2 164/13 166/7 | 142/9 144/2 164/7 175/2 181/6 | 200/25 202/17 207/12 210/11 |
| 166/22 169/8 183/19 187/7 190/19 | 207/14 | 212/13 218/24 219/24 225/7 |
| 192/24 193/5 200/25 204/9 204/17 | framing [2] 90/21 165/8 | gets [3] 24/23 60/8 87/17 |
| 205/24 213/20 215/9 222/25 225/1 | frankly [6] 113/24 164/21 165/13 | getting [13] 26/8 87/8 90/19 90/23 |
| 227/19 227/20 | 218/6 219/19 219/20 | 92/4 92/11 114/7 153/21 177/8 |
| first-degree [1] 7/2 | fraud [1] 129/14 | 202/19 203/8 206/20 217/23 |
| fit [6] 32/15 135/18 217/14 219/3 | free [6] $21 / 25$ 23/15 70/21 159/1 | girl [1] 16 |
| 220/1 220/23 | 167/9 172/5 | girlfriends [1] 75/5 |
| five [9] 41/20 112/8 $118 / 9129 / 25$ | Friday [5] 27/16 28/1 53/14 66/21 | gist [1] 123/4 |
| 133/4 135/2 219/15 220/11 225/15 | 67/16 | give [15] 9/13 10/3 22/10 41/15 |
| flayed [1] 196/14 | friend [1] | 84/13 90/7 128/13 135/20 136/3 |
| flight [3] 225/7 225/11 228/19 | friends [3] 47/13 65/3 121/24 | 146/17 151/6 151/18 168/19 170/6 |
| floor [2] 139/5 220/18 | fro [2] 185/8 185/9 | 172/16 |
| flyovers [2] 66/24 67/1 | front [2] 149/20 187/5 | given [14] 29/18 37/13 69/17 72/8 |
| focus [4] 19/13 122/12 180/7 | fruits [1] 115/3 | 130/4 168/25 191/6 194/1 209/15 |
| 206/8 | fulfill [1] 162/17 | 226/3 227/14 227/14 229/9 230/2 |
| focused [1] 153/9 | full [10] 43/2 119/6 119/8 119/19 | gives [1] 125/23 |
| focussed [1] 179/4 | 130/2 130/21 137/18 139/3 152/21 | giving [3] 64/11 92/17 166/1 |
| folks [2] 191/24 193/3 | 227/23 | globally [1] 146/3 |
| follow[5] 18/6 21/20 191/2 191/3 |  | Glynn [1] 115/5 |
| 191/9 | functions [1] 13/24 | Glynn's [3] 115/7 115/13 116/3 |
| followed [1] 18/18 | fundamental [4] 130/24 131/5 | go [50] 13/6 16/7 17/13 17/16 |
| following [8] 6/24 8/6 9/14 38/9 | 177/20 182/23 | 20/13 35/9 39/1 40/5 49/25 55/20 |
| 56/24 67/11 189/11195/3 | further [19] 20/9 22/14 31/13 | 57/17 57/18 58/3 58/7 67/23 73/8 |
| follows [3] 4/20 45/1 108/14 | 38/25 42/18 42/19 66/24 79/1 | 85/10 86/7 89/10 99/25 100/2 |
| Fonte [1] 144/5 | (268/8 113/3 128/24 129/8 | 101/4 109/18 128/24 131/2 135/2 |
| fooling [1] 227/21 | 129/13 152/11 168/19 192/20 | 136/19 137/15 137/25 140/7 |
| foolish [1] 182/5 | 212/18231/5 | 154/19 155/22 157/4 165/2 167/20 |
| footage [2] 91/25 152/10 | furthest [1] 142/3 | 167/22 168/7 168/9 168/25 176/3 |
| forces [1] 126/12 | future [5] 97/3 98/3 99/17 109/14 | 176/4 176/25 177/22 182/16 |

go... [6] 200/21 202/12 209/10
221/10 224/5 224/22
goal [1] 160/25
God [1] 217/15
goes [5] 87/15 160/24 202/8 205/19 218/3
going [135] 6/9 11/5 13/6 20/6 26/21 29/14 31/6 31/21 35/9 38/24 43/2 43/20 46/4 49/11 50/9 50/18 51/2 51/19 52/15 53/9 54/2 54/9 57/11 57/13 57/14 57/16 57/18 58/1 58/2 58/18 62/8 62/14 62/17 62/20 63/7 65/2 65/13 69/16 75/17 75/19 76/1 82/20 83/25 84/3 84/9 84/25 85/10
85/16 85/23 86/6 88/18 91/4 92/15 92/16 96/10 97/3 97/5 97/6 98/10 101/1 102/7 103/8 103/22 107/18 111/6 112/21 118/1 135/15 137/10 138/21 139/5 143/13 145/11 150/1 150/12 150/14 150/20 152/7 152/20 154/11 154/19 154/20 155/15 156/1 162/24 163/4 165/23 166/3 167/7 168/12 169/19 170/7 170/8 171/1 171/10 171/19 172/19 172/20 175/7 175/7 175/18 175/22 178/16 179/5 179/8 179/12 179/14 191/12 191/21 192/5 193/6 196/22 202/13 203/17 205/13 206/2 208/11 208/12 208/25 209/11 209/17 209/24 211/20 212/11 212/13 212/17 213/15 217/24 220/12 221/24 222/5 223/20 223/20 225/18 231/2
gone [3] 46/20 135/23 211/21 good [19] 3/13 5/2 61/7 66/2 69/24 110/20 116/20 138/18 138/19 140/9 173/3 174/18 180/23 187/15 194/23 199/23 211/25 212/1 222/4
got [22] 15/1 15/1 21/4 44/13 64/25 66/4 72/3 79/12 79/22 99/4 99/25 100/25 105/17 134/10 152/6 156/20 179/2 186/21 206/15 206/20 220/5 224/11
gotten [4] 24/21 80/12 92/6 100/4 government [1] 128/4
grant [3] 143/2 145/18 145/19 graphic [3] 121/20 128/12 128/18 gravity [1] 228/20
 133/20 140/12 196/9 225/13
Green [6] 35/6 43/25 44/3 55/14 119/7 122/13
Greg [1] 12/20
Gregory [4] 8/9 8/18 8/21 9/3
ground [1] 30/23
group [4] 68/16 71/13 71/25 81/17
groups [2] 95/7 106/7
guarantees [1] 125/6
guess [24] 43/20 62/12 64/2 64/14
75/16 76/4 82/13 84/15 84/20 85/4 85/15 90/9 98/23 99/13
106/16 109/23 136/19 154/23
173/9 174/13 182/2 187/7 206/16
208/19
guessing [2] 218/20 223/17
guesswork [1] 215/3
guilty [8] $101 / 13$ 129/4 131/15
156/3 169/22 198/18 198/24 205/1
gunshot [1] 220/9
guy [6] 24/23 41/11 136/14 221/4 222/9 222/20
guys [2] 81/14 191/8

## H

had [133] 7/18 9/16 19/19 20/1 20/4 20/16 21/9 21/13 21/17 21/18 21/23 22/12 24/21 27/25 $33 / 1433 / 17$ 33/22 34/4 34/11 34/21 39/2 40/11 43/9 47/17 48/25 49/4 49/23 51/1 51/10 51/11 51/22 52/1853/1 54/3 57/10 57/12 57/12 58/10 58/15 58/17 61/12 61/18 63/1 63/3 63/17 64/15 65/2 65/6 65/10 65/16 69/12 71/4 71/6 71/9 71/11 72/8 72/19 76/19 79/18 79/21 79/24 82/11 82/25 83/4 83/8 83/11 83/12 83/13 83/14 84/22 84/22 86/1 $886 / 2588 / 16$ 89/8 89/15 89/16 89/19 91/15 91/16 91/21 92/6 92/6 92/22 93/6 93/8 97/10 97/13 98/7 99/10 99/11 99/23 103/23 107/14 113/21 121/22 123/3 130/5 134/5 138/9 155/1 157/6 158/7 164/9 164/11 165/3 165/10 168/5 169/3 169/21 169/22 175/21 179/21 195/17 199/23 201/13 204/21 216/13 216/14 216/22 219/16 221/14 221/17 221/20 222/15 222/17 222/17 223/2 227/10 229/19 229/23 230/17 232/13
hair [6] 8/18 8/21 9/3 216/2 216/6 218/17
Halbach [46] 18/21 20/22 26/10 27/7 34/1 43/17 45/13 47/11 47/16 47/25 51/12 56/2 58/10 61/7 61/9 61/12 64/1 64/8 76/20 76/21 100/21 101/19 107/9 118/10 121/24 127/2 127/7 131/14 133/4 133/13 138/11 $141 / 21$ 141/23
202/11 206/4 206/24 208/14 215/1 223/9 223/22 224/23 226/23
226/24 227/1 227/3 228/6
Halbach's [13] 24/15 28/6 47/3 48/16 51/17 65/3 101/25 137/1 193/1 201/11 201/22 217/5 227/10 Halbachs [2] 61/19 221/6
half [11] $5 / 1233 / 2535 / 1736 / 4$
43/22 43/23 86/12 129/24 129/25 140/11 170/24
Hallbach's [1] 19/20
Halloween [1] 136/18
hand [6] 77/7 77/7 177/11 177/11
178/23 204/17
hand-to-hand [1] 177/11
handcuffed [1] 215/8
handcuffs [6] 215/10 215/11
215/13 215/15 215/16 218/8
handed [1] $84 / 16$
handle [3] 116/24 162/3 162/24
handled [7] 93/1 127/9 160/10
161/3 161/9 161/21 201/21
handling [5] 37/6 105/25 160/22
183/12 215/21
hands [4] 210/11 215/2 216/10 224/8
happen [4] 25/11 57/24 87/2 136/2
happened [8] 88/20 118/18 141/21
169/12 215/24 217/14 221/7 223/3
happening [2] 137/7 188/19
happens [1] 201/7
happily [1] 213/1
happy [2] 134/11 213/4
hard [3] 173/17 188/21 200/16
hardly [1] 128/24
harm [2] 133/8 194/10
has [99] 4/3 6/5 16/23 31/17 31/19
32/3 37/8 48/6 55/18 86/5 89/21
90/8 91/12 96/13 100/17 102/15
104/13 104/15 105/10 111/8 112/8
112/12 115/5 118/17122/17
122/22 $123 / 14$ 123/23 $124 / 6$ 124/8
124/11 124/19 124/21 125/1
126/11 126/17 126/17 126/18
127/10 130/11 132/9 132/12
132/13 133/16 133/20 133/23
134/19 135/23 135/24 136/23
137/5 137/17 137/18 139/19
139/22 141/24 142/25 143/1 148/5
148/15 149/8 150/4 150/24 151/24 152/9 153/1 158/12 158/22 163/5 166/22 167/15 167/16 167/17 172/22 183/4 191/15 192/6 197/6 197/24 198/5 201/3 205/7 205/7 205/8 206/23 208/2 210/15 214/22 215/2 217/6 219/16 220/24 221/14 222/7 224/11 224/19 226/6 228/14 232/8
hasn't [5] 104/23 $112 / 17$ 153/9 223/3 226/13
have [346]
haven't [9] 15/9 25/5 38/19 80/12 86/13 127/1 127/22 134/5 153/14
having [15] $4 / 19$ 34/13 44/25 51/8 56/1 57/7 57/16 87/7 92/18 116/1 129/14 147/12 183/25 188/7 197/1 haystack [1] 151/17
he [132] 7/18 9/16 10/1 12/6 12/12 13/11 16/5 16/6 16/12 16/18 21/12 21/13 21/17 21/17 21/18 21/19 22/2 24/6 24/8 25/24 25/25 26/5 26/6 28/25 39/3 61/16 61/18 61/20 61/22 63/3 63/17 82/1 8 83/6 83/8 83/12 83/13 $90 / 1096 / 12$ 96/13 112/12 125/1 128/13 129/5 129/23 130/17 132/16 134/9 134/11 $138 / 8$ 138/9 138/9 138/12 $138 / 16$ 139/13 $142 / 5$ 142/7 142/24 143/19 148/2 148/2 164/6 165/10 176/20 178/21 178/22 179/19 179/19 185/9 190/4 190/5 190/6 194/22 197/14 197/15 200/9 200/10 200/12 200/18 200/24 201/1 203/22 203/23 204/14 204/25 209/8 215/7 215/8 216/7 216/21 217/20 218/2 218/3 218/3 218/6 218/6 218/16 220/10 220/19 220/24 221/10 221/12 221/13 221/14 221/16 221/17 221/18 221/19 221/20 222/16 222/17 222/21 223/2 223/16 223/24 224/3 224/4 224/5 224/6 224/8 224/9 224/11 224/12 225/8 225/15 226/5 226/6 226/22 227/2 228/5 228/19 229/9 230/3
he's [34] 3/14 12/9 12/23 15/24 16/3 16/15 40/7 98/18 112/11 129/5 134/10 187/16 189/23 190/7 191/6 193/2 195/7 211/14 218/5 219/24 220/5 220/10 221/12 221/15 222/21 222/22 223/15 223/15 225/6 225/7 225/8 225/12 225/18 230/1
highlights [2] 153/22 155/2 highly [3] 128/18 189/16 190/1 him [32] 7/21 8/6 9/24 12/15
12/17 14/12 16/1 21/23 25/21
25/24 44/22 90/6 92/1 122/10
129/20 141/11 165/8 165/10 190/8
191/7 194/25 217/12 217/18
217/23 218/15 218/24 219/19
219/25 223/18 223/23 224/2
224/11
himself [15] 128/14 133/15 133/16
189/15 191/16 201/1 205/3 220/20
222/16 224/12 225/7 225/9 229/24
230/6 230/11
hinges [2] 148/9 148/10
hire [1] 17/25
his [80] 9/14 10/20 16/1 21/20
21/23 26/7 34/11 34/13 52/23
53/3 63/2 63/12 88/13 96/25
98/17 125/25 126/1 128/13 129/13
129/22 129/22 130/19 133/17
134/9 134/10 136/13 136/13
137/14 138/9 138/12 138/17
139/16 141/14 142/7 149/6 163/16
163/19 163/21 164/7 165/6 165/7
166/18 186/17 187/10 187/16
187/16 191/2 191/7 191/12 195/6
200/11 208/16 209/5 211/12 215/4
216/1 216/24 217/5 217/9 217/13
217/17217/21 218/7218/15
218/20 218/22 218/23 219/7
220/20 220/22 221/15 221/23
223/4 224/8 224/20 225/16 225/17
226/16 226/18 226/20
history [6] 136/22 164/4 201/11
201/23 202/10 206/15
hit [1] 221/12
hmm [1] 85/19
hold [4] 11/17 56/13 140/17 147/10
holding [4] 52/8 56/6 109/22
222/15
Holmes [7] 161/17 164/21 165/12
179/20 179/22 180/2 180/3
home [7] 20/2 20/10 20/11 47/14
59/17 67/12 170/24
homicide [3] 7/3 56/2 207/17
Hon [1] 1/11
honest [1] 224/12
honor [15] 4/8 29/13 31/19 42/17
104/2 104/6 108/23 114/23 118/17
136/19 139/11 151/1 158/17
194/16 229/2
hood [3] 227/18 227/22 227/22
hope [6] 60/15 132/21 133/6
134/22 145/17 202/16
hopefully [1] 112/21
horrible [2] 136/16 221/7
horribly [1] 131/14
horrific [1] 216/8
host [1] 161/5
hostile [1] 46/6
hotel [1] 186/1
hour [2] 36/4 129/24
hours [6] 59/17 67/23 68/8 70/23
77/18 122/5
housed [1] 186/1
how [78] 13/6 13/7 26/5 41/16
$42 / 542 / 645 / 745 / 1448 / 250 / 3$
50/14 57/8 57/13 60/1 60/25
60/25 67/20 68/1 68/11 75/17
$85 / 15$ 88/21 88/21 89/3 89/8

89/19 99/25 101/20 101/23 129/18
131/3 131/13 131/13 131/16
135/14 137/6 144/10 144/23
146/12 149/19 152/17 152/24
153/25 154/23 155/3 158/2 162/16 162/16 166/23 166/23 166/25
167/11 169/10 173/23 174/2 174/6 174/7 174/8 179/13 188/12 191/24 191/24 192/9 199/19 199/20
201/24 201/24 201/25 202/17
204/5 205/17 206/8 208/22 211/16
214/25 216/9 223/20 224/11
however [8] 30/10 $114 / 2114 / 9$
140/10 141/17 141/19 145/1 159/5
Hudson [1] 194/8
huge [5] 50/16 50/19 62/9 62/10 62/21
hugely [1] 62/24
human [4] 6/3 79/19 203/14 207/18
hundred [1] 225/15
Husbands [1] 75/5

I'll [1] 213/4
I'm [129] 3/16 6/9 7/4 8/13 10/25
11/1 11/5 12/21 13/6 14/18 18/23
19/1 26/21 29/13 30/3 30/20 31/6
31/21 35/9 37/21 38/24 40/2 43/1
43/2 43/20 44/12 46/4 54/1 54/2
56/9 94/3 96/9 96/10 98/23 99/21
101/1 101/21 105/25 106/8 106/20 107/24 108/20 112/6 112/7 113/1 114/3 114/14 115/16 115/25
118/21 123/6 127/25 128/1 131/24 135/15 139/5 139/16 142/19 143/13 143/20 $145 / 8145 / 11$ 146/1 146/2 146/19 147/2 147/9 147/25
148/6 149/18 149/21 150/1 150/12
150/13 150/20 152/7 152/13
153/10 154/7 155/19 156/9 156/15 173/9 174/14 174/14 175/9 175/15 177/17 178/5 178/6 180/1 181/19 181/19 181/20 182/24 184/21 187/8 187/21 187/22 188/13 190/17 195/1 195/3 195/24 201/17 203/25 204/1 204/6 206/21 207/5 207/7 207/12 209/2 209/11 210/7 211/12 211/20 212/11 212/12
212/13 212/17 213/3 213/7 213/7
216/4 221/16 226/1 226/2 228/21
i.e [1] 194/10
idea [5] 129/7 150/23 161/25
174/18 199/23
identical [1] 43/4
identification [1] 198/19
identified [7] 8/9 39/24 52/3 96/12 157/9 180/5 229/23
identifies [1] 139/15
identify [4] 16/1 140/13 141/11 208/24
identifying [1] 230/6
if [200] $5 / 3$ 10/19 $10 / 1911 / 1 \quad 12 / 4$
15/1 16/21 23/23 26/19 39/1
39/25 40/10 40/19 40/24 41/16 42/25 44/10 48/18 49/23 55/7 57/24 58/5 59/21 59/24 70/20 $70 / 2471 / 1171 / 1272 / 1377 / 7$ 77/8 80/10 81/9 83/20 87/25 90/10 90/18 91/25 95/17 96/2 96/10 96/13 97/5 97/16 97/25
98/18 98/21 98/22 100/25 101/5
101/15 110/24 112/24 113/25

| I | include [9] $43 / 5 \quad 60 / 5 \quad 109 / 3 \quad 116 / 5$ | 109/3 112/12 112/23 113/1 118/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| if... [146] 114/7 114/12 115/8 | included [7] 57/3 58/14 101/16 | 6 |
| 115/20 117/1 123/8 127/25 128/9 | 116/3 120/15 128/7 227/5 | 140/18 140/21 140/23 190/2 191/7 |
| 129/4 130/17 131/11 131/15 133/6 | including [11] 17/16 41/20 41/21 | 191/14 192/17 204/22 206/19 |
| 134/9 134/19 135/20 136/4 137/25 | 73/7 75/2 92/25 115/24 142/11 | 207/8 209/15 $217 / 21$ 222/4 223/8 |
| 138/25 143/19 143/23 144/6 | 156/24 165/3 166/2 | 227/5 |
| 144/20 144/22 145/1 145/21 146/2 | inclusive [1] 76/8 | informational [3] 127/17 127/19 |
| 146/3 146/7 146/12 147/17 148/11 | inconsistencies [5] 226/9 226/12 | 128/6 |
| 148/13 148/17 148/18 148/20 | 228/11 229/7 229/11 | informed [9] 50/6 51/15 51/18 |
| 148/22 148/22 148/23 151/13 | incorrect [1] 215/3 | 53/9 56/13 59/20 59/21 91/4 |
| 152/25 153/12 154/25 155/15 | increase [2] 214/14 217/9 | 180/5 |
| 156/1 156/9 156/10 157/18 158/7 | increased [2] 139/23 214/20 | informing [4] 47/15 56/7 121/17 |
| 158/11 159/13 159/13 159/24 | incriminating [2] 229/24 230/5 | 202/7 |
| 159/25 161/15 162/3 162/9 165/16 | incrimination [3] 125/17 125/23 | initial [2] 139/6 168/14 |
| 165/17 165/23 166/7 166/9 166/19 | 126/9 | initially [5] 35/4 44/15 60/8 72/16 |
| 167/1 167/13 167/23 168/2 168/14 | incriminatory [1] 230/11 | 123/15 |
| 169/12 169/12 169/20 169/20 | indeed [10] $116 / 20124 / 12$ 147/6 | injunction [1] 164/12 |
| 169/25 170/7 170/8 170/25 171/10 | 167/14 185/12 188/5 188/6 188/10 | ink [1] 109/21 |
| 171/19 173/4 174/24 175/6 175/13 | 195/1 201/15 | innocence [2] 134/9 142/5 |
| 175/18 175/22 175/25 176/5 | independent [4] 158/4 158/9 186/6 | innocent [4] 7/18 101/13 141/5 |
| 176/14 177/21 177/24 178/15 | 188/8 | 201/1 |
| 178/22 179/8 179/12 179/21 | indicate [6] 3/18 53/24 93/12 | innuendo [1] 166/21 |
| 180/23 181/3 181/10 181/25 182/3 | 167/6 198/4 227/7 | inquire [1] 22/15 |
| 182/7 185/2 185/2 185/9 185/25 | indicated [7] 53/1 54/10 55/19 | inquired [1] 20/6 |
| 186/21 186/21 188/5 188/5 188/10 | 57/10 70/19 143/18179/19 | inquiries [2] 52/22 53/4 |
| 190/7 191/15 192/5 192/15 192/18 | indicates [1] 204/25 | inquiring [2] 30/22 91/10 |
| 193/8 193/22 194/14 194/17 196/2 | indicating [3] 29/16 90/23 206/17 | inquiry [1] 112/15 |
| 196/25 199/15 200/9 200/12 | indirectly [3] 19/12 19/13 19/24 | inside [1] 69/10 |
| 200/20 201/2 201/5 202/6 203/18 | individual [5] 77/9 163/20 215/13 | insignificant [1] 227/11 |
| 203/19 205/3 205/12 207/15 | 215/20 225/11 | inspector [22] 12/8 12/9 12/18 |
| 207/21 208/11 208/12 208/23 | individually [2] 55/10 58/22 | 12/23 13/1 13/4 13/11 13/21 |
| 209/4 210/4 210/11 215/17216/12 | individuals [22] 48/11 49/15 49/21 | 13/22 15/10 15/15 20/5 21/6 |
| 217/19 219/21 220/21 223/1 | 49/23 49/25 68/19 68/20 69/11 | 22/24 25/20 25/25 26/2 26/15 |
| 230/20 | 69/12 69/14 69/15 71/6 71/11 | 30/14 38/1 41/1 41/2 |
| image [1] 129/22 | 82/16 86/1 87/7 93/17 93/22 | inspectors [2] 15/13 15/15 |
| imagine [3] 194/16 195/11 206/2 | 93/24 96/24 101/12 215/2 | instance [12] 104/7 160/12 168/4 |
| immediately [7] 3/15 20/13 25/14 | inference [23] 98/3 158/3 158/15 | 171/9 173/18 174/2 178/12 193/8 |
| 47/11 102/12 120/12 215/22 | 159/2 $159 / 14166 / 21$ 167/10 | 193/9 193/22 194/14 $215 / 7$ |
| impact [2] 161/12 193/18 | 170/18 171/10 171/20 171/22 | instruct [1] 201/17 |
| impair [2] 49/19 124/17 | 172/11 172/24 173/11 174/12 | instructed [1] 36/22 |
| impeachment [1] 193/25 | 174/23 176/11 176/13 178/2 178/9 | instruction [2] 201/16 201/22 |
| impede [1] 194/17 | 179/3 179/16 206/1 | instructions [4] 36/12 37/14 191/2 |
| impeded [2] 188/12 194/15 | inferences[11] 142/14 160/6 | 191/6 |
| impeding[1] 130/25 | 161/13 163/7 167/19 167/24 | instructive [2] 180/15 198/1 |
| imperiled [1] 124/21 | 171/11 176/14 176/18 176/24 | insure [3] 53/22 54/6 97/2 |
| imperiling [1] 131/1 | 181/17 | integrity [7] 132/14 159/10 159/19 |
| impermissible [6] 197/12 197/22 | inflame [1] 198/4 | 166/11 167/5 196/4 206/7 |
| 198/3 198/13 204/11 205/21 | inflammatory [5] 51/9 59/4 123/22 | intend [8] 44/20 103/14 140/7 |
| impetus [2] 120/16 120/19 | 153/7 189/17 | 144/24 168/14 168/20 175/13 |
| implement [1] 187/11 | influence [1] 58/23 | 208/9 |
| implemented [1] 184/9 | inform [3] 18/5 84/8 93/12 | intended [1] 138/9 |
| implementing [1] 184/22 | information [139] 22/14 34/22 | intends [2] 156/2 225/8 |
| implicate [1] 197/7 | 48/6 48/8 48/12 48/21 48/23 49/8 | intense [2] 124/8 141/24 |
| important [6] 61/22 76/22 84/11 | 49/13 49/16 49/17 50/1 50/4 50/5 | intent [2] 198/19 208/2 |
| 95/23 97/25 127/3 | 50/7 50/25 51/2 51/5 51/9 51/9 | intentional [1] 7/2 |
| Importantly [1] 197/25 | 51/13 51/1651/1951/21 52/15 | interest [21] 22/4 22/6 22/11 |
| impose [5] 29/14 122/1 147/2 | 53/2 53/4 53/9 53/17 53/23 54/12 | 22/17 22/25 23/12 23/13 50/13 |
| 148/1 189/1 | 57/3 57/8 57/9 57/10 57/11 57/15 | 50/17 50/20 62/10 62/15 62/21 |
| imposed [1] 7/11 | 57/21 57/22 57/23 58/9 58/12 | 63/2 95/18 96/3 159/23 184/11 |
| imposing [1] 144/14 | 58/16 58/18 59/2 59/4 59/4 59/23 | 184/15 195/16 196/7 |
| imposition [1] 134/24 | 61/23 64/7 64/1 1 64/22 65/7 65/9 | interested [4] 50/15 63/7 83/18 |
| impossible [1] 93/13 | 65/11 70/8 73/17 74/14 74/16 | 187/1 |
| improper [7] 93/5 139/14 140/5 | 75/17 75/19 76/1 76/7 76/13 | interesting [2] 62/24 193/21 |
| 142/13 142/14 142/24 201/15 | 76/14 76/17 76/18 76/23 78/8 | interests [2] 127/3 127/3 |
| impropriety [1] 161/2 | 78/10 79/6 79/25 79/25 80/6 80/7 | interfere [2] 20/7 130/15 |
| impugn [1] 195/1 | 80/11 80/16 80/20 80/25 81/2 | interference [2] 111/23 131/6 |
| in [720] | 81/3 81/14 82/1 82/13 82/14 | interfering [2] 130/18 130/25 |
| inadmissible [2] 190/1 198/10 | 82/16 82/19 82/19 82/20 84/7 | interplay [2] 161/22 161/25 |
| inappropriate [1] 189/16 | 84/20 85/6 85/12 85/23 86/2 86/7 | interpose [2] 96/10 98/11 |
| inches [1] 216/2 | 86/17 86/21 86/24 87/8 87/13 | interpretation [1] 145/24 |
| incidences [1] 82/5 | $87 / 1890 / 2591 / 491 / 1591 / 17$ | interrelated [1] 117/25 |
| incidentally [1] 119/23 | 92/6 92/14 92/17 93/10 104/12 | interrogator [1] 224/7 |

interrogatories [1] 189/4
interrogators [5] 215/5 217/10
218/15 218/24 219/23
intersect [2] 207/21 208/14
intersected [1] 208/25
interview [11] 35/5 35/15 36/2
36/3 36/4 92/20 93/15 122/8
129/23 130/4 217/12
interviewed [1] 91/12
interviews [7] 35/15 55/17 92/22
93/10 93/14 138/8 210/23
into [34] 16/8 19/4 24/15 30/22
35/9 45/14 50/18 62/8 62/17 62/20 66/5 69/25 70/7 97/10 114/7 123/20 125/9 129/25 130/16 132/4 173/2 175/16 199/19 199/20 202/13 202/17 203/19 205/7 205/19 207/8 214/22 $215 / 22$ 216/24 226/5
intrinsic [1] 175/5
introduce [15] 156/2 163/9 168/3
168/15 169/14 170/1 171/14 175/8 175/13 178/8 179/9 181/4 200/17 200/19 219/21
introduced [3] 173/6 179/6 196/12
introduces [2] 158/16 172/23
introduction [1] 178/17
introductory [1] 163/3
intruded [1] 127/8
invariably [2] 109/22 201/23
investigate [1] 186/11
investigated [1] 120/4
investigating [2] $90 / 1 \quad 197 / 19$
investigation [92] 10/22 19/4 19/7
19/14 19/20 $21 / 14$ 23/20 23/22
24/15 24/17 24/19 25/8 25/19
26/10 29/1 $833 / 13$ 36/14 36/18
38/6 38/21 45/14 45/20 45/25
46/2 46/9 46/12 46/13 47/5 47/8
47/23 49/9 49/19 49/22 50/3 50/9
50/1 5 50/1750/19 50/21 50/22
$51 / 2452 / 5$ 52/18 53/24 60/10
62/9 62/18 62/20 65/18 66/19
68/19 68/22 69/7 70/9 74/15 76/5
76/24 76/25 77/2 77/13 77/14
77/23 77/24 78/2 78/6 79/8 80/4 80/17 80/1 8 80/19 82/4 93/6 $94 / 13$ 94/16 95/11 95/24 96/23 97/5 97/10 97/19 97/21 102/3 122/18 140/12 147/9 183/22 184/4 184/24 187/5 195/21 206/7 213/11 investigations [6] 47/19 47/21 59/21 77/16 86/5 89/15
investigative [12] $42 / 245 / 1246 / 1$
48/7 48/22 51/4 72/2 75/23 75/25 78/3 120/9 184/12
investigator [9] 13/9 28/17 47/15 48/4 60/5 60/6 60/8 60/9 93/20
investigators [14] 14/15 49/21
58/17 59/20 60/1 60/2 60/4 60/7 60/11 64/17 68/17 75/24 76/13
82/6
invitation [2] 134/17 226/19
invitations [1] $87 / 3$
invite [2] 39/22 47/23
invited [2] 70/7 144/16
inviting [1] 144/2
invocation [1] 134/17
involved [29] 6/5 6/6 19/19 28/15
30/16 46/9 47/4 47/12 49/8 49/22 $51 / 15$ 66/2 69/6 70/15 82/4 90/2

95/10 97/18 100/18 132/8 159/21
166/24 171/6 171/17 180/25 184/3
184/19 195/9 228/5
involvement [9] 31/13 31/18 56/14
147/5 167/6 223/2 223/4 224/20
224/21
involves [1] 178/17
iota [1] 222/19
irrelevant [1] 29/25
irreparable [1] 133/8
is [467]
isn't [11] 77/24 117/6 126/10
130/10 136/17 151/24 153/13
173/3 186/4 223/14 229/15
isolation [3] $173 / 11173 / 19174 / 8$
issue [41] $8 / 119 / 351 / 11102 / 5$
104/1 105/9 109/14 113/14113/15
115/21 131/7 138/25 143/14 144/2 146/16 149/12 150/7 152/14 153/9 157/25 158/10 158/19 159/21
160/1 161/8 161/18 161/19 161/23
169/15 172/6 175/19 180/16 190/4
192/10 192/11 193/21 201/6 202/4
207/13 207/18 210/17
issued [1] 37/5
issues [9] 158/1 159/19 161/9
163/5 168/13 179/17 193/10 210/4 210/5
issuing [1] 39/7
it [382]
it's [126] 3/2 4/6 13/14 13/25
28/24 32/11 33/24 39/11 39/13
48/5 51/22 54/10 59/19 64/2
69/21 69/24 76/12 76/15 81/5
81/9 85/23 103/20 103/25 104/10 104/16 105/22 107/18 109/24 112/3 112/4 120/3 124/5 130/12 132/10 132/19 132/20 137/6 137/19 137/23 138/19 144/10
145/9 146/11 148/25 153/5 154/15 155/25 161/6 161/7 161/19 161/21 162/12 166/14 166/15 167/7 167/13 168/11 170/5 170/11 171/13 171/15 171/23 173/17 174/11 176/6 176/7 177/5 177/22 178/2 180/16 182/5 185/11185/11 187/1 187/2 187/2 187/19 188/13 188/20 189/6 189/23 189/25 193/20 194/2 194/3 194/9 194/10 194/17 194/20 196/21 197/25 198/3 200/15 201/8 202/4 202/7 203/2 203/3 203/9 203/9 205/8 205/9 205/16 207/14 208/6 209/12 209/23 210/5 210/20 213/15
216/18 217/15 218/9 219/13
219/19 220/8 220/21 221/2 222/22 223/20 223/25 223/25 224/1 225/16 226/8 230/12
item [6] 105/17 106/6 106/12 110/10 113/5 164/10
items [3] 110/22 156/25 196/11
its [22] 121/21 $122 / 1 \quad 136 / 3145 / 5$ 145/17 148/7 149/22 154/13
160/11 162/17 163/3 166/8 166/10
166/11 168/14 176/15 177/24
183/7 196/7 202/1 208/3 230/14
itself [12] 76/5 109/15 112/17
115/3 120/6 147/8 156/15 159/11
161/3 184/12 187/4 191/5

## J

J-o-s-t [1] 33/6
jail [5] 10/12 14/21 $112 / 9$ 191/24
$225 / 9$
James [1] 14/3
January [3] 5/14 5/15 5/16
jargon [1] 77/1
Jeffrey [1] 136/15
JEROME [2] 1/15 3/15
Jerrell [1] 217/15
Jesse [1] 136/12
job [1] 140/9
Joe [1] 73/10
join [1] 123/10
joint [7] 46/12 54/13 56/6 71/15
72/1 92/18 94/19
jointly [6] 56/21 70/12 76/16
77/10 82/17 82/22
Jost [3] 32/24 32/25 195/18
Jost's [1] 33/6
judge [66] $1 / 114 / 13$ 36/8 44/20
54/17 59/1 1 96/9 96/9 98/10 99/3 101/3 102/5 103/12 105/5 105/23 105/25 106/21 107/3 107/19 108/25 110/7 110/14 111/18 113/17113/20 113/24 115/19 117/6 117/24 139/10 140/7 142/15 143/12 144/1 144/18 146/11 152/14 153/2 154/14 155/10 155/14 155/19 157/5 162/25 190/18 196/23 196/23 197/25 198/14 199/9 206/23 207/15 208/9 209/25 210/18 211/8 211/19 212/4 213/16 213/16 226/1 226/21
227/11 228/23 231/8 231/9
Judge's [1] 143/2
judiciously [1] 43/10
JULY [7] $1 / 109 / 24103 / 8115 / 20$
155/8 202/16 232/15
July 14 [1] 202/16
July 14th [1] 155/8
July 19 [1] 103/8
July 19th [1] 115/20
jumped [1] 149/11
juncture [1] 119/12
June [4] 107/11 213/18 213/18
213/20
June 19th [1] 107/11
June 1st [1] 213/18
June 2nd [1] 213/18
Junior [1] 195/12
juries [1] 189/24
jurisdiction [2] 19/17 195/23
jurors [17] $4 / 7$ 58/23 124/24
137/14 143/10 147/14 148/12 148/24 183/12 183/16 183/16 183/18 185/14 186/2 186/3 186/3 191/25
jury [41] $31 / 14122 / 4130 / 6$ 134/22 139/23 144/11 146/25 147/22 159/2 159/15 172/9 172/9
176/17 176/17 176/25 177/5 178/2
178/23 181/18 $185 / 4185 / 8185 / 24$
185/25 186/20 186/22 190/23
191/12 196/13 196/19 197/9
197/13 198/4 200/11 201/16
201/1 8 201/22 202/7 203/22 206/1
209/17 229/15
just [85] 16/1 18/20 20/8 23/14 28/10 29/3 30/22 31/12 34/20 35/1 35/10 36/8 37/21 42/16 47/7 $47 / 22$ 49/23 58/5 71/12 76/10 77/23 84/12 85/13 85/13 87/2 94/3 101/8 101/16 109/19 109/24 110/16 $111 / 4113 / 13114 / 21$
119/25 129/3 131/13 136/2 137/23

| J | $232 / 14$ | learned [3] 74/23 183/20 183/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| just... [46] 140/1 140/5 142/12 | 121/16 |  |
| 149/7 151/3 151/9 151/11 156/20 | knows [8] 112/10 121/11 140/2 | 73/18 77/12 78/6 86/12 86/12 |
| 158/3 158/14 162/5 162/11 165/15 | 166/24 210/22 $218 / 7$ 226/6 228/8 | 104/1 105/9 105/11 107/5 107/17 |
| 169/1 169/19 170/4 172/23 173/21 | Kocourek [1] 6/16 | 114/6 $115 / 22116 / 3122 / 6$ 123/8 |
| 174/3 174/4 174/9 176/14 179/2 | KRATZ [42] 1/13 2/9 2/11 3/9 46/6 | 124/9 134/13 134/20 137/11 |
| 179/11 185/15 186/10 188/11 | 74/13 74/21 75/15 75/21 77/22 | 139/19 143/9 154/16 168/11 188/6 |
| 190/18 193/16 195/24 200/3 | 81/22 82/15 86/15 90/7 90/10 | 189/9 190/1 196/8 200/23 205/3 |
| 201/22 202/8 202/9 202/16 206/4 | 92/9 99/22 100/3 105/3 106/17 | 207/23 210/24 211/4 212/4 215/19 |
| 208/4 208/19 209/1 $215 / 21218 / 19$ | 110/6 112/7 112/10 112/20 115/16 | 226/14 229/7 230/8 230/15 |
| 219/2 219/14 220/9 222/15 223/25 | 117/4 119/25 120/24 121/21 | leave [6] 25/16 67/24 73/14 98/17 |
| justice [6] 3/11 72/20 131/18 | 128/13 128/22 139/8 146/1 146/21 | 211/3 231/3 |
| 133/21 136/1 138/20 | 147/25 149/10 155/8 201/5 203/18 | leaves [1] 222/12 |
| Justice's [1] 36/17 | 206/21 209/12 225/24 | leaving [1] 148/2 |
| iuvenile [1] 74/7 | Kratz's [4] 62/17 87/11 104/5 | led [3] 18/23 111/24 171/8 |
| K | $179 / 19$ | Ledvina [6] 13/2 13/13 14/9 14/10 |
| keep [7] 23/14 50/6 59/20 59/21 | L | left [8] 37/8 85/17 88/5 101/24 |
| 60/15 134/4 147/11 | L | 111/15 185/19215/18216/11 |
| keeping [1] 115/15 | L-e-d-v-i-n-a [1] 13/2 | legal [1] 146/16 |
| Ken [1] 3/8 | L-e-n-k [1] 14/5 | legally [1] 152/16 |
| KENNETH[6] 1/13 2/3 4/12 4/18 | La [1] 152/22 | legislature [1] 135/16 |
| 4/23 122/15 | Lab [10] 78/9 78/20 78/24 79/6 | legitimate [1] 127/4 |
| kept [1] 51/14 | 79/21 80/1 93/11 178/15 215/9 | length [3] 135/25 161/24 209/15 |
| key[11] 32/14 169/2 169/4 169/7 | 216/3 | lengthy [1] 102/25 |
| 169/10 170/9 171/4 171/7 173/1 | lack [1] 228/18 | Lenk [21] 14/3 14/7 14/12 14/14 |
| 173/19 178/10 | ladder [1] 17/17 | 16/18 27/18 27/24 29/9 31/2 32/2 |
| kid [1] 223/2 | laid [1] 157/20 | $32 / 1732 / 1832 / 1833 / 934 / 5$ |
| kill [2] 129/19 205/12 | large [6] 50/20 62/15 63/1 75/8 | 34/13 41/21 68/10 68/12 72/6 |
| killed [6] 133/19 136/7 141/23 | 75/8 $119 / 9$ | 203/4 |
| 218/23 219/4 226/23 | largely [2] 118/16 230/20 | less [6] 114/9 133/23 134/21 |
| killing [2] 136/1 220/8 | Larry [1] 13/1 | 155/17 178/5 197/3 |
| kind [21] 7/7 25/21 66/25 84/8 | Lasse [1] 138/6 | lesser [1] 131/25 |
| 87/13 100/13 109/21 126/3 157/14 | last [21] 4/22 5/9 18/21 31/22 45/3 | let [13] 27/2 30/2 46/16 58/7 |
| 164/9 165/17 178/1 189/1 189/23 | 45/9 47/17 56/21 65/6 65/10 | 85/25 91/19 104/7 141/1 158/10 |
| 190/16 197/16 199/1 199/22 201/2 | 98/21 99/2 99/21 113/5 135/7 | 168/22 183/18 191/22 203/22 |
| 209/9 227/7 | 135/17 195/7 195/10 213/23 | let's [18] 5/2 30/7 33/19 72/5 97/2 |
| kinds [5] 164/14 205/16 208/12 | 229/16 230/13 | 97/4 97/6 106/22 109/9 110/9 |
| 209/3 222/12 | lasted [1] 36/4 | 110/11 156/23 157/3 169/6 192/4 |
| knew [16] 50/17 50/18 61/14 62/8 | Lastly [1] 198/14 | 204/9 206/14 212/11 |
| 62/17 62/20 63/8 66/9 66/16 74/6 | latch [2] 227/18 227/22 | letter [4] 100/16 110/17 206/15 |
| 74/10 82/20 84/25 93/18 93/22 | late [3] 34/22 78/22 136/20 | 217/24 |
| 122/2 | later [9] 7/17 19/1 28/14 52/19 | level [2] 30/15 122/19 |
| knife [2] 220/3 220/3 | 53/11 103/8 103/14 106/24 197/15 | liability [3] 105/18 155/24 180/4 |
| know [120] 10/11 18/21 18/23 | laughable [1] 217/19 | liaison [1] 25/16 |
| 26/1 26/5 26/20 27/3 27/3 27/9 | Lauren [1] 134/2 | liberty [1] 133/17 |
| 27/11 27/13 27/24 28/9 28/10 | law [49] 1/16 5/19 11/8 21/15 27/4 | lie [2] 218/16 $218 / 18$ |
| 28/12 28/18 29/2 29/3 32/5 32/6 | 27/14 28/4 28/20 29/10 32/1 32/9 | lies [1] 215/3 |
| 32/7 32/12 33/3 34/7 34/7 34/10 | 32/14 32/21 46/21 46/25 69/4 | lieutenant [17] 13/9 13/18 14/2 |
| 34/20 34/24 35/1 36/12 37/5 | 70/3 77/1 77/11 80/5 88/22 89/2 | 16/12 27/18 29/9 32/18 33/9 34/5 |
| 41/16 42/5 42/6 43/22 60/16 | 97/16 98/9 99/11 107/15 121/7 | 34/13 41/20 41/21 60/13 68/10 |
| 60/21 60/24 61/9 62/13 62/18 | 121/12 122/7 122/19 129/10 132/7 | 68/12 72/6 203/3 |
| 63/6 64/14 65/14 71/11 72/20 | 132/11 135/6 142/10 142/18 | lieutenants [4] 14/23 15/7 15/13 |
| 73/4 74/2 80/11 81/19 87/12 88/1 | 146/13 146/20 147/16 177/4 | 25/2 |
| 88/2 88/2 90/4 90/9 90/12 90/14 | 180/23 185/6 186/6 188/8 188/9 | life [13] 10/11 137/1 200/22 |
| 92/4 98/14 100/14 100/15 103/18 | 192/24 195/25 206/3 214/6 | 201/11 201/22 202/10 206/15 |
| 103/25 106/1 112/10 $115 / 11$ | lawsuit [20] 23/1 23/5 33/20 34/14 | 207/18 207/19 207/21 207/25 |
| 115/19 116/15 120/2 121/5 127/11 | 34/19 63/17 63/22 72/9 95/21 | 208/25 215/1 |
| 127/17 130/23 134/3 137/21 | 195/15 199/12 199/21 199/21 | lift [2] 40/20 42/6 |
| 143/17 148/13 150/12 151/20 | 200/2 202/3 202/22 204/18 205/11 | light [3] 109/22 156/9 158/19 |
| 152/17 153/14 155/2 159/16 | 205/15 230/18 | lights [1] 88/6 |
| 159/25 159/25 171/5 171/6 175/8 | lawyer [3] 40/5 187/15 218/7 | like [24] 16/2 $126 / 23$ 37/10 42/4 |
| 175/14 177/7 177/15 177/24 184/9 | lawyers [4] 132/6 134/10 135/7 | 65/16 69/23 78/13 79/7 90/21 |
| 184/16 185/20 187/15 188/11 | 189/10 | 95/22 97/4 100/20 116/12 136/25 |
| 188/21 189/12 189/20 189/24 | lay [3] 120/6 126/25 209/8 | 137/3 162/11 165/6 199/15 $211 / 17$ |
| 190/3 195/5 195/17 195/18 196/2 | lead [11] 41/2 60/5 60/7 60/9 | 215/19 218/9 219/22 221/12 222/9 |
| 198/9 203/4 203/6 203/10 203/22 | 68/21 78/2 95/12 97/25 147/8 | likely [9] 162/14 198/23 198/24 |
| 206/15 207/16 209/12 221/8 226/2 | 195/3 195/21 | 203/1 203/2 203/3 203/5 203/11 |
| 226/11 228/2 229/6 | leading [7] 46/5 56/8 56/10 78/6 | 203/13 |
| knowing [2] 125/18 207/6 | 103/21 217/2 217/13 | limb [1] 128/25 |
| knowledge [9] 30/9 52/16 61/18 | leads [1] 190/5 | limine [11] 106/8 106/13 107/22 |
| 74/2 119/13 120/23 128/9 221/14 | learn [1] 72/11 | 110/16 111/7 164/3 196/18 199/25 |

limine... [3] 202/15 208/22 209/8 limit [4] 69/11 123/5 140/16 140/18
limitation [1] 31/2
limited [2] 146/21 187/23
limiting [7] 50/23 52/12 54/5
57/23 132/6 132/10 132/24
limits [1] 209/9
line [5] 29/14 29/24 64/21 88/24 187/5
lines [3] 43/16 116/21 173/14
link [1] 138/18
linked [2] 138/4 138/13
lion's [1] 117/21
listen [2] 219/22 221/2
lists [2] $43 / 1443 / 18$
litigation [5] 95/14 97/1 97/23
97/24 166/5
little [14] 5/2 20/8 38/25 54/1 76/4
85/14 114/9 123/3 140/17 140/20
162/11 165/7 188/20 206/19
live [1] 183/5
lived [3] 6/22 74/24 75/11
lives [2] 209/19 217/20
living [1] 96/24
loaded [1] 16/21
local [3] 55/11 64/19 100/6
locally [1] 123/2
locate [6] 48/11 48/15 49/2 66/16 66/17 77/10
located [1] 77/8
locating [2] 47/25 49/6
location [2] 192/25 218/25
locus [2] 120/3 120/8
logical [5] 139/15 143/7 158/14 160/8 169/8
logistical [3] 29/19 31/14 31/16
logistics [3] 3/20 24/1 24/6
long [14] 68/1 68/8 88/21 88/24
99/25 153/25 154/23 155/3 157/4
189/24 190/17 222/18 224/4
224/10
longer [4] 71/2 135/3 224/17 229/20
look [22] 39/17 39/21 39/24 64/4 85/12 90/14 95/22 150/10 150/20
151/9 161/16 161/16 161/22 165/6 165/15 174/15 184/1 186/7 211/17 219/22 222/9 223/11
looked [3] 127/15 152/4 153/14 looking [15] 64/9 76/20 76/21 79/7 97/17 106/9 157/24 170/25 173/10 173/18 186/5 187/19 187/20 187/21 222/13
lookout [1] 66/11
looks [1] 78/13
loop [2] 20/21 51/15
lose [1] 58/4
lost [2] 133/20 215/1
lot [5] 170/11 173/19 207/10 225/16 226/3
low [1] 66/7
lunch [4] 101/1 102/8 102/12 185/25
lurid [2] 121/20 214/16
lurking [1] 146/16

## M

machine [1] 232/10
made [60] $7 / 18$ 7/21 8/1 19/3
19/10 19/25 20/5 21/17 45/25

49/11 52/23 57/13 77/22 81/5
81/24 83/23 84/5 87/19 90/8 92/7 92/9 94/19 95/8 100/17 101/11 106/15 108/2 108/4 109/4 109/8 112/15 113/22 116/4 120/23
121/25 124/12 127/7 129/7 130/5
140/23 142/12 164/9 165/6 166/21
168/24 169/15 188/11 189/16
204/2 204/6 204/8 204/14 215/3
218/1 218/7 226/8 228/3 228/13
229/23 230/5
Madison [3] 44/4 78/19 178/15
mail [1] 100/17
mailing[2] 65/15 87/11
main [1] 203/5
maintained [2] 166/9 172/4
major [2] 86/5 89/15
majority [1] 214/25
make [52] 39/4 39/9 41/6 44/4 65/22 73/12 81/19 82/11 82/11 84/12 94/6 109/11 $139 / 3142 / 8$ 152/16 156/20 158/2 159/1 159/22 164/6 169/19 170/7 170/8 170/11 170/17 171/20 171/21 172/1
172/19 172/20 173/4 174/6 174/7
174/9 174/14 174/21 174/25 175/7
175/22 176/16 178/6 178/21
178/22 190/19 191/8 192/15
192/17 193/14 197/2 201/9 219/2 229/14
makers [2] 75/22 81/25
makes [4] 157/16 190/6 194/18 222/9
making [15] 40/7 53/3 72/1 73/16 73/17 104/18 120/14 145/15 146/22 151/14 151/16 153/4 156/11 176/22 181/15
man [14] 9/8 9/8 $15 / 21$ 63/8
129/16 136/13 190/4 190/5 190/6
217/22 220/18 227/9 228/4 228/18
man's [1] 214/23
management [1] 61/5
MANITOWOC [88] 1/1 5/4 5/18
5/20 5/22 6/7 6/16 8/2 10/21
11/10 11/13 12/10 16/22 18/11 19/14 19/15 23/2 23/22 24/13 26/9 26/23 27/17 29/20 32/8
$33 / 1636 / 1337 / 2338 / 338 / 8$
38/15 39/2 $41 / 1854 / 1760 / 18$
63/1 8 66/3 66/4 68/13 71/17 72/7 93/25 94/7 94/9 94/21 94/23 95/9 95/14 96/6 97/17 97/20 99/8
104/3 104/25 121/4 122/16 122/20 124/23 125/5 130/6 137/12 147/5 148/12 156/21 178/13 183/21 184/2 184/6 184/18 185/7 186/9
186/12 186/16 187/13 188/4 188/9 188/19 189/14 190/24 191/24
193/3 193/6 195/8 195/13 195/22
199/13 200/7 202/24 232/2
manner [8] 141/7 144/3 145/4
172/3 216/10 217/18 226/15 227/8
manpower [2] 24/2 24/6
many [15] 41/17 50/3 60/1 60/25
67/20 77/16 88/21 89/3 89/8
100/4 102/19 120/8 166/23 219/13 219/16
March [29] 55/24 55/25 56/6 56/15
56/25 58/13 73/25 82/24 82/24
83/3 83/13 83/18 91/9 101/9
101/22 102/2 120/15 120/15
120/19 120/20 121/19 128/11
213/24 219/10 225/23 227/13

229/17 230/19 231/1
March 1 [3] 82/24 120/15 120/19
March 17 [2] 229/17 231/1
March 17th [2] 213/24 225/23
March 1st [4] 56/6 56/15 91/9 227/13
March 2 [6] 73/25 82/24 120/15
120/20 121/19 128/11
March 2nd [3] 56/25 101/22 102/2
Marinette [6] 115/2 115/21 116/2
210/18 210/23 212/5
mark[3] 47/15 121/4 212/25
marked [2] 39/16 40/7
market [3] 43/25 44/1 122/3
markets [1] 44/5
marshal [1] 168/21
masse [1] 117/24
match [1] 227/23
matched [2] 187/3 189/13
mate [1] 112/1
material [4] 43/3 76/1 116/17
151/12
materials [1] 112/21
mates [2] 112/15 112/16
matter [23] 55/21 76/10 84/21 105/10 111/10 113/3 125/13 125/16 132/16 132/17 132/18 133/10 151/14 158/1 181/8 194/6 195/25 197/10 201/20 214/25 217/3 232/7 232/13
matters [6] 48/8 54/25 70/6 106/25 139/6 209/20
mattress [6] 216/12 216/13 216/17 216/18216/19 216/23
may[73] 4/9 5/3 16/13 17/10 17/13 17/19 25/5 25/24 26/20 27/21 29/19 32/12 35/7 35/16 44/7 44/22 54/1 55/4 65/14 65/16 73/9 89/6 101/5 103/12 107/4 108/2 108/4 109/3 109/3 109/11 109/16 112/15 113/23 113/23 114/5 114/5 118/7 120/19 122/21 127/23 129/15 141/25 142/1 145/5 151/22 153/5 153/6 158/14 159/20 160/12 162/11 164/6 164/21 167/3 167/3 175/4 175/5 175/5 189/11 192/10 192/16 193/17 193/24 196/12 200/8 200/10 203/12 204/4 204/6 207/15 217/11 230/2 230/21
May 10 [1] 122/21
May 13th [1] 217/11
maybe [18] 12/21 19/23 43/23
79/11 82/7 82/9 84/6 96/19
116/20 131/24 132/23 132/23 151/9 153/12 153/13 175/15 213/5 227/25
me [62] 3/16 19/23 20/23 22/10 25/25 27/2 29/13 30/2 30/25 40/1 $41 / 15$ 43/14 43/22 44/6 46/16 47/15 48/7 53/12 54/4 54/18 54/21 55/3 55/5 57/7 59/20 59/21 85/13 91/19 130/23 139/1 141/1 144/4 144/18 149/21 150/7 150/17 152/20 153/17 154/1 155/3 157/25 158/10 162/5 164/20 168/22 170/10 171/9 172/8 173/12 175/2 179/11 179/21 180/20 183/18 194/24 198/1 1 202/14 204/1 213/15 221/20 232/8 232/9 mean [30] 6/2 16/20 19/24 20/10 23/25 35/24 37/10 37/21 40/10 $41 / 11$ 58/7 65/20 67/4 89/18 91/19 100/14 100/15 100/15
mean...[12] 100/16 146/15 147/25 149/8 152/14 152/24 160/16
172/14 173/19 195/5 198/22 207/7 meaning [5] 11/10 16/4 96/11 97/15 117/19
meaningful [1] 207/13
means [2] 122/15 131/19
meant [3] 99/5 148/24 194/17 measurable [1] 230/24
mechanics [1] 109/13
media [82] 37/2 38/21 43/15 43/21 43/25 43/25 44/5 46/17 46/21 47/24 48/9 48/14 48/24 49/12 49/1 8 49/20 50/4 50/6 50/14 50/20 51/3 51/1351/1751/23
$53 / 153 / 854 / 1255 / 855 / 1457 / 17$ $58 / 258 / 5$ 59/24 61/23 62/1 62/11
62/15 62/21 63/2 63/6 63/8 63/24 64/6 64/11 74/16 75/18 76/3 76/7 76/22 83/18 84/8 84/15 85/16 86/6 87/4 87/7 87/14 88/5 88/18 90/22 90/25 91/10 91/20 92/5 92/7 92/11 92/14 100/5 100/6 100/8 104/11 $118 / 25119 / 24122 / 3$ 134/4 138/1 138/3 141/24 142/6 150/8 150/18212/17
meet [4] 75/24 125/19 153/24 169/16
meetings [3] 51/1 58/12 81/13 member [3] 28/7 169/22 195/7 members [40] 27/16 30/6 34/3 36/16 39/4 46/1 0 53/3 53/8 55/6 55/8 61/9 61/14 64/16 65/2 65/3 71/22 75/2 75/3 83/18 88/13 88/19 90/20 90/20 90/24 91/19 91/23 92/2 96/25 121/24 133/25 136/7 142/8 142/10 152/19 183/10
188/4 191/1 191/11 205/3 228/4
memo [3] 40/7 40/11 41/11
memorandum [5] 107/15 111/2
111/4 116/9 116/18
memory [1] 173/3
men [1] 200/18
Mendoza [2] 139/25 143/6
mention [2] 141/1 142/25
mentioned [4] 33/9 58/10 127/19
208/15
mere [1] 126/11
merely [1] 22/11
messiah [1] 112/18
met [2] 3/19 58/15
metes [1] 19/15
method [1] 144/16
Michigan [1] 194/8
microphones [2] 87/22 88/7
mid [5] 6/12 34/19 34/23 40/22
112/24
mid-afternoon [1] 112/24
mid-April [1] 40/22
mid-October [1] 34/19
middle [3] 162/6 208/9 209/7
might [19] 65/7 65/14 70/22 80/21
84/15 88/10 88/13 98/13 110/7
111/24 129/19 135/1 162/13
168/23 179/21 189/1 191/19 219/6 220/1
mildly [1] 189/15
million [2] 23/5 203/8
Milwaukee [5] 43/25 44/2 55/15 119/9 136/8
mind [3] 8/9 113/25 154/22
mine [1] 123/8
minimum [1] 201/2
minutes [5] 100/1 100/2 112/8
122/22 153/10
Miranda [1] 193/23
mischaracterization [1] 96/14
misconduct [10] 98/9 99/12
163/11 167/2 168/5 168/15 170/12 170/16 172/8 177/9
mishandling [6] 93/5 93/8 93/15
97/11 98/8 99/11
misleads [1] 202/1
missed [3] 95/5 210/15 224/6
missing[30] 18/24 47/5 47/10
47/16 47/18 48/11 48/25 50/2
$52 / 1859 / 15$ 59/18 64/2 65/17
$66 / 1166 / 1766 / 1976 / 1977 / 2$
77/8 77/9 77/13 77/16 77/23
80/18 80/19 80/21 81/3 81/8
96/22 140/12
Missouri [3] 163/12 163/13 163/15
mistaken [1] 202/12
mistrial [1] 162/3
Mm [1] 85/19
Mm-hmm [1] 85/19
modification [1] 103/13
modified [2] 179/20 179/22
modify [2] 231/2 231/4
mom [1] 77/17
moment [4] 36/8 126/20 135/10
148/15
Monday [2] 79/9 80/2
monetary [1] 225/14
monitored [1] 24/17
months [7] 10/3 55/22 129/25
130/2 132/4 219/15 222/15
moot [1] 192/11
morale [1] 203/12
more [52] 9/23 22/18 31/11 33/10 35/19 36/3 43/23 51/21 54/15 76/7 86/2 89/18 102/8 109/24 114/22 116/8 $119 / 6119 / 21122 / 12$ 123/3 123/8 123/22 124/21 127/21 128/2 130/23 132/25 133/18 135/9 139/1 147/14 151/9 151/21 152/9 152/9 161/11 164/1 172/22 175/9 178/7 185/12 186/17 189/13 189/22 197/3 198/23 198/24
205/20 206/19 207/10 223/1

## 223/14

morning [16] $3 / 43 / 103 / 135 / 2$ 19/3 19/25 20/3 20/14 23/9 33/11 44/9 118/24 122/10 124/15 124/21 191/5
most [10] 11/14 25/4 51/25 65/5
102/1 102/21 150/6 150/9 195/10 219/24
mother [1] 165/9
motion [137] 1/4 4/1 4/4 4/4 4/5
4/15 7/21 8/1 8/6 31/9 31/9 31/12 31/19 31/21 44/19 98/15 102/16 102/17 103/13 103/15 103/18 104/2 104/25 105/6 105/15 105/18 105/19 106/1 106/5 106/9 106/13 110/15 110/15 111/13111/17
111/23 112/3 113/6 113/9113/16 114/18 114/25 115/3 117/11
117/15 118/9 118/11 123/2 123/4 123/10 123/13 134/15 138/2
142/17 142/20 143/11 143/12
143/14 143/16 143/18 143/19
143/22 143/22 143/24 144/25
145/18 145/20 146/5 146/14
$146 / 22150 / 15151 / 20151 / 22$
151/23 155/23 155/24 155/25
156/14 156/19 157/20 161/15 162/10 162/22 164/3 168/7 168/11
168/11 168/17 168/18 170/23
171/13 174/20 176/3 177/19
178/25 179/4 179/10 179/15
179/18 181/21 183/8 183/10
183/15 192/8 192/20 196/18
196/21 196/22 199/14 199/25
202/15 206/12 206/13 206/13
206/1 8 208/22 209/5 209/7 209/21
210/14 211/5 211/6 211/9 211/11
212/5 213/13 213/16 213/17
213/22 213/25 214/12 214/14
215/6 219/12 228/22 229/6 231/3
motions [28] 3/4 3/22 3/24 42/23
44/14 44/18 46/14 46/15 98/13
98/14 102/9 102/22 103/8 103/17
103/19 106/8 106/15 107/22 111/7
115/21 115/24 115/25 145/21
146/3 152/12 155/13 155/17161/6
motive [1] 198/20
motives [2] 93/5 120/6
mouth [2] 172/16 221/11
move [12] 98/22 109/9 110/9
123/1 $141 / 12$ 143/11 146/7 146/24
155/23 185/8 185/8 218/24
moved [5] 31/12 31/15 118/12
134/21 147/17
moves [2] 144/13 148/21
movie [3] 100/12 100/19 100/20
moving [4] 103/16 123/5 148/10 183/9
Mr [9] 6/17 31/6 46/6 90/23
105/24 139/9 145/24 174/2 194/21
Mr. [239]
Mr. Avery [53] 3/16 3/17 7/13 7/18 8/5 9/13 26/12 43/17 52/23 53/2
54/7 58/24 72/13 73/22 82/25
95/14 112/16119/14119/18125/6 131/15 133/23 134/8 141/11 141/13 142/2 149/6 166/1 168/21
175/1 197/14 200/10 200/17 201/6
202/8 203/21 204/8 204/10 205/10 207/22 208/1 208/15 208/25 214/2 215/20 216/21 219/14 219/15 221/14 221/22 227/23 229/25 230/6
Mr. Avery's [23] 6/25 27/15 28/13 28/22 29/5 55/22 115/1 118/9 124/18 124/22 167/6 193/1 199/11 210/22 214/19 215/12 216/4
216/10 217/6 $217 / 24$ 220/18 225/5 227/17
Mr. Buting [23] 113/12 114/13
116/7 133/23 152/5 162/23 169/20 175/15 181/24 206/16 207/9
207/16 208/16 208/18 213/14
226/11 226/12 226/13 227/7
227/16 227/25 228/2 228/25
Mr. Buting's [3] 113/10 156/19 226/4
Mr. Dassey [14] 56/18 57/5 74/3 83/15 128/20 157/10 180/25
200/20 214/4 $215 / 4215 / 14217 / 11$ 230/11 230/15
Mr. Dassey's [8] 56/14 214/6 216/4 221/25 224/14 224/19 229/8 230/22
Mr. Fallon [12] 4/16 36/7 102/13 105/4 157/15 168/22 178/4 182/25 187/14 190/13 194/23 225/25

Mr. Fallon's [3] 30/5 38/7 156/10
Mr. Glynn's [3] 115/7 115/13 116/3
Mr. Hermann [3] 12/4 21/4 41/3
Mr. Kratz [37] 74/13 74/21 75/15 75/21 77/22 81/22 82/15 86/15 90/7 90/10 92/9 99/22 100/3
105/3 106/17 110/6 112/7 112/10 112/20 115/16 117/4 119/25 120/24 121/21 128/13 128/22 139/8 146/1 146/21 147/25 149/10 155/8 201/5 203/18 206/21 209/12 225/24
Mr. Kratz's [4] 62/17 87/11 104/5 179/19
Mr. Ledvina [2] 13/13 14/9
Mr. Lenk [2] 14/7 16/18
Mr. Pagel [3] 24/3 45/7 120/25
Mr. Schetter [3] 13/16 14/8 14/11
Mr. Strang [36] 4/2 30/1 42/22
59/12 96/10 96/13 99/24 103/14 105/9 107/7 113/9 115/16 117/6
$118 / 1$ 139/12 139/15 139/16 140/1
141/2 141/25 143/18 144/1 144/14
145/2 146/6 150/14 153/17 154/3
154/10 154/23 183/12 199/10
202/18 211/21 213/14 228/2
Mr. Strang's [5] 46/14 105/6 113/2 142/22 204/3
Mr. Vetter [1] 14/7
Mr. Wiegert [1] 112/25
Ms [14] 19/20 24/15 33/25 43/17 47/25 48/16 56/2 64/1 121/24 133/4 141/22 201/22 206/24 208/13
much [22] 23/13 59/5 103/14
120/4 120/20 122/12 123/8 128/24
164/1 164/18 167/7 175/16 179/15
185/11 188/25 199/19 199/20
201/24 201/25 201/25 201/25
215/19
muddied [1] 163/5
murder [12] 6/1 6/2 6/3 6/17 7/6
83/1 83/4 129/5 136/13 223/8 224/21 228/5
murdered [1] 206/3
must [4] 107/12 161/9 194/19 226/2
mute [2] 133/16 133/18
mutilated [2] 141/23 227/3
my [47] 3/14 13/25 30/20 30/21 31/22 48/6 48/11 54/24 55/6 62/18 75/24 85/15 89/11 89/18 90/22 92/22 96/1 96/17 103/20 108/9 109/16 110/7 110/17 111/3 115/7119/13 123/6 123/9 125/12 125/15 125/18 134/4 140/25 142/3 144/4 144/18 160/2 173/3 174/6 194/17 200/13 206/21 208/6 209/23 228/15 232/9 232/13
myself [9] 25/8 41/1 49/14 60/14 82/15 89/22 90/1 90/5 104/8
N
nail [2] 20/9 35/10
name [13] 4/22 4/22 12/3 32/3 33/6 45/3 45/3 119/21 120/10 120/11 127/19 138/12 189/17
named [3] 15/21 16/12 195/14
namely [1] 230/11
names [1] 33/8
narrative [1] 222/22
narrow [1] 89/18
nation [1] 135/24
national [6] 55/11 55/16 55/20
100/5 100/8 124/20
nationally [2] 55/18 127/25
nature [11] 50/25 51/14 78/3
79/20 104/14 141/6 150/5 193/18
196/7 203/20 230/7
near [1] 118/14
neat [1] 187/15
necessarily [12] 18/23 25/2 30/3
69/10 71/24 85/4 102/11 125/22
125/25 $178 / 17$ 194/3 207/12
necessary [7] 70/4 123/20 128/19
140/21 201/2 209/13 212/19
neck [1] 136/10
need [22] 20/8 22/15 22/1841/15 48/10 61/20 64/4 66/24 70/24
106/2 108/8 108/9 108/13 108/14
108/15 114/3 154/2 155/8 168/18 192/2 200/17 204/4
needed [12] 24/1 24/6 48/20 48/24
49/1 49/5 57/20 58/8 70/6 70/20
76/2 84/6
needles [1] 151/17
needs [7] 110/18 $117 / 8$ 128/24
140/10 157/19 165/22 210/4
negligence [1] 177/15
negligent [1] 160/22
negligently [3] 158/22 158/23
158/24
neither [1] 30/14
nephew [1] 214/4
net [1] 127/25
network [1] 65/13
neutral [2] 131/17 203/16
never [7] 20/5 24/18 140/13 218/5
220/3 221/12 222/21
new [4] 6/21 135/12 135/12 223/7
newest [2] 221/15 221/16
news [54] $21 / 153 / 1055 / 1255 / 14$ 55/16 55/20 56/6 56/13 57/1
57/17 57/23 58/2 58/5 77/21 84/7 87/2 87/16 88/5 89/3 89/10 89/17 89/19 90/7 90/22 90/25 91/5 91/7 91/10 91/20 92/14 93/3 97/12 118/20 119/5 119/11119/15 119/22 120/12 120/13 120/15 120/24 122/1 $124 / 9$ 127/17 127/20 127/22 128/3 134/6 150/8 150/18 151/21 154/7 154/11 155/3 newspaper [3] 43/8 80/15 126/23 newspapers [2] 43/11 43/12 next [10] 3/16 12/17 105/17 106/6 106/9 110/10 122/17 155/23 206/12 231/6
night [3] 10/12 58/15 67/25
nights [2] 122/20 129/23
nilly [3] 172/7 174/4 177/23
nine [1] 136/7
no [134] $1 / 5$ 3/2 8/23 9/1 9/6 9/12 9/12 10/14 10/16 10/18 11/22 13/14 13/22 20/15 20/18 21/18 22/10 24/18 25/22 25/24 26/4 $31 / 2432 / 733 / 1733 / 1834 / 15$ $34 / 1736 / 2537 / 437 / 1337 / 15$ 39/4 39/9 39/15 40/24 41/12 42/20 42/24 44/13 52/4 53/22 53/23 54/15 55/7 60/7 60/22 $61 / 1361 / 1861 / 1863 / 2266 / 15$ 66/24 67/17 68/14 72/10 75/23 76/12 82/6 82/10 84/22 84/22 84/25 85/22 90/5 90/22 93/18

97/2 99/10 100/14 100/14 100/22
105/12 106/21 107/8 108/25 109/6
110/2111/11113/17115/18
117/23 119/11 122/17 127/21
129/7 135/24 137/19 142/25 143/1
146/13 149/17 151/11 155/14
157/2 167/14 167/25 172/22 177/4
182/11 186/5 191/6 191/6 191/7 191/10 194/18 197/6 197/24
201/13 208/2 211/8 216/6 218/2 218/8 218/12 218/13 218/17
$218 / 21$ 220/4 220/5 220/12 221/12
221/18 221/19 223/1 223/4 223/19
223/19 223/21 223/21 224/17
225/6 225/10 229/2 229/20
No. [1] 40/8
No. 140 [1] 40/8
nobody [2] 127/6 195/17
non [4] 59/19 61/3 61/4 141/15
non-editorial [1] 141/15
non-sworn [2] 61/3 61/4
non-typical [1] 59/19
none[7] 59/1 89/22 97/12 119/10
133/25 167/16 195/13
Noon [1] 103/4
nor [4] 30/14 30/14 59/19 160/8
normally [4] 14/20 21/19 37/12
161/5
northeastern [1] 137/12
not [318]
note [2] 4/13 122/24
noted [1] 115/23
notes [4] 89/11 123/7 134/2 232/9
noteworthy [1] 109/6
nothing [8] 42/18 102/15 104/22
115/11 129/14 134/8 202/10
223/12
notice [15] 112/4 112/14 112/16
160/18 162/11 162/19 165/23
166/1 168/19 170/2 170/6 172/18
173/8 175/14 175/21
notices [1] 87/3
notified [1] 59/16
notify [1] 169/17
noting [1] 141/5
notion [2] 176/9 177/21
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { November [69] } & 18 / 20 & 18 / 25 & 19 / 2\end{array}$
23/12 27/7 27/16 28/2 28/4 28/15
29/7 30/8 31/5 31/25 32/12 32/24
33/13 45/18 47/3 47/9 48/17
$50 / 1252 / 252 / 652 / 2053 / 14$
54/17 55/24 61/8 62/5 62/13
62/19 62/22 63/9 63/21 64/3
65/23 66/21 67/5 67/12 67/16
67/1 8 67/20 72/5 72/13 74/23
75/15 78/11 78/14 80/2 89/4 91/7
91/25 93/3 95/15 95/17 95/19
96/4 97/12 97/14 98/5 99/6 99/19
115/1 123/25 137/9 147/8 183/20 186/16 188/7
November 11 [3] 89/4 93/3 97/12
November 3 [5] 18/25 61/8 62/5
64/3 67/12
November 3rd [1] 47/9
November 4 [3] 27/16 66/21 67/16
November 5 [20] 18/20 23/12
28/15 30/8 45/18 62/19 63/9
63/21 72/5 74/23 75/15 78/11
78/14 95/15 $115 / 1$ 123/25 147/8
183/20 186/16 188/7
November 5th [2] 47/3 62/13
November 6 [1] 31/25
November 8 [1] 67/5

November 9 [3] 72/13 95/19 96/4 November's [1] 135/18
now [72] 5/13 8/8 12/12 15/21 16/24 18/21 33/8 34/10 35/14 39/1 40/10 46/3 54/1 64/1 68/7 72/19 77/22 80/4 81/12 86/11 88/2 96/13 97/9 100/15 106/15 112/11 120/25 121/11 121/11 125/7 127/20 135/9 135/23 136/23 146/19 149/20 151/12 153/14 154/6 155/16 156/22 157/16 166/1 166/25 170/21 175/15 176/14 182/5 186/8 193/2 199/15 202/25 211/16 214/21 217/10 217/21 218/6 218/22 219/4 220/7 220/10 220/12 220/14 220/24 221/4 221/8 221/12 221/13 221/23 223/7 227/9 228/7
nowhere [1] 167/14
number [26] 3/4 11/25 17/16 $41 / 16$ 64/15 64/19 64/20 69/12 70/12 74/24 76/12 89/15 103/18 103/22 104/1 106/7 128/5 132/4 135/1 145/17 145/19 173/3 180/22 181/3 207/1 210/7
numbers [2] 60/21 210/9
numerous [5] 55/13 55/15 91/8 163/16 220/21
nunc [1] 110/5
nutshell [3] 21/25 22/1 123/17
0
object [2] 46/4 188/22
objected [1] 214/12
objection [22] 10/24 11/6 29/14 $31 / 7$ 46/7 96/10 98/11 98/21 105/12 108/24 109/6 110/6 115/18 116/2 116/19 117/2 117/24 118/4 146/13 146/18 149/17 211/7
objections [1] 160/4
Objective [1] 141/15
obligation [2] 104/21 149/19
observation [2] 125/8 192/15
obtain [3] 49/18 50/1 208/7
obtained [7] 78/8 86/7 164/11
170/20 170/21 173/22 178/20
obtaining [3] 49/13 52/22 93/11
obvious [5] 161/10 193/2 218/22
219/20 223/24
obviously [12] 11/20 16/16 116/23
120/2 152/2 157/10 171/13 186/23
204/17 216/11 216/24 230/8
occasion [1] 215/9
occasionally [2] 77/6 189/9
occasioned [1] 195/15
occasions [3] 50/10 82/10 89/3 occurred [7] 28/14 45/14 126/8 129/3 129/24 195/22 227/1
October [9] 18/22 33/22 34/19 47/17 123/16 123/18 124/4 124/5 136/5
October 16 [3] 123/16 123/18 124/5
October 16th [1] 136/5
October 31 [1] 18/22
off [12] 88/6 88/7 99/21 99/22 129/20 137/15 177/23 215/12 216/1 216/5 217/23 218/17
offense [5] 129/4 197/10 198/25
205/2 228/20
offenses [2] 158/7 224/22
offer [17] $4 / 343 / 244 / 1244 / 17$
109/4 132/25 137/17 175/18
175/22 200/1 201/3 201/4 201/12 206/14 208/3 219/21 224/14
offered [8] 159/7 171/15 181/12
181/14 197/8 197/12 199/6 206/19
offering [3] 199/1 201/8 202/7
offers [1] 55/11
office [12] 20/16 55/6 73/8 75/24
87/10 87/11 92/20 92/22 94/20
94/21 95/3 213/2
officer [22] $5 / 2011 / 812 / 17$ 27/5
27/20 27/22 28/5 32/14 33/1
88/22 $114 / 2119 / 20122 / 19129 / 10$ 158/6 163/14 171/7 177/14 177/18 184/17 188/10 192/24
officers [27] 27/14 28/20 29/11
$32 / 132 / 21$ 61/1 61/2 61/6 68/17 70/3 71/4 98/9 121/8 132/11
135/6 159/20 163/11 166/12 168/4
171/5 172/7 185/6 185/19 185/22
197/20 200/8 205/10
offices [1] 135/19
official [5] 1/23 46/22 193/13
232/4 232/19
officials [1] 23/3
often [3] 49/19 89/19 162/8
oh [10] 9/12 26/1 41/14 56/11
102/1 206/25 $218 / 9$ 220/14 223/7 227/8
okay[44] 8/20 9/13 9/20 11/25
20/8 21/1 23/21 25/16 26/1 26/22 $31 / 2$ 35/19 39/20 40/5 40/9 40/14 40/19 41/2 61/2 66/20 69/18 69/25 70/3 70/24 79/11 80/4 80/24 84/22 86/11 90/18 92/19 94/5 94/23 96/21 99/9 106/4 110/19 113/12 114/24 154/6 171/4 210/13 212/24 223/18
old [3] 74/3 214/4 214/15 on [329]
once [9] 33/11 54/11 66/23 103/15 148/4 217/12 $218 / 13$ 224/25 225/4 one [110] 9/8 9/8 $12 / 9$ 13/3 17/13 22/17 25/5 27/10 28/10 32/6 $32 / 1233 / 433 / 1134 / 834 / 934 / 11$ $35 / 436 / 236 / 336 / 436 / 851 / 4$ 73/17 75/11 76/12 80/4 82/17 83/21 87/6 87/16 92/20 93/2 96/23 102/8 103/8 104/22 106/8 106/12 106/13 107/22 108/21 110/16 $111 / 18114 / 22$ 116/8 117/20 119/6 121/5 121/9 121/19 122/8 122/24 125/9 125/10 127/17 127/22 127/22 127/23 128/2
130/11 130/17 130/17 133/3
137/19 137/22 137/24 144/3
144/16 145/4 145/17 146/20
151/25 152/8 152/9 152/9 156/25
158/25 164/19 165/15 168/4
168/23 168/24 169/8 170/21
171/11 173/3 173/10 177/5 177/13
178/10 179/18 $179 / 18$ 180/22
183/13 183/15 186/6 186/14 190/3
192/24 195/10 199/3 201/20
215/17 217/5 219/5 220/6 222/11
222/22 222/23 225/25
online [1] 152/3
only [40] $9 / 855 / 355 / 1458 / 21$ 64/12 66/20 70/18 70/22 75/21 80/7 89/23 98/7 99/19 101/2 104/6 108/21 110/14 111/2 121/16 127/12 132/2 135/7 139/15 139/23

140/21 146/7 148/20 148/23 150/4
163/19 187/23 193/5 204/19
214/19 221/13 223/13 228/5
228/12 229/12 230/13
open [7] 52/5 110/24 111/14 114/1
114/8 114/11 187/25
opened [1] 227/21
opening [1] 128/16
operates [1] 18/12
Operation [1] 13/12
operations[4] 12/18 42/9 42/10 42/14
opines [2] 129/3 129/4
opinion [3] 128/8 197/12 226/7
opportunity [6] 91/16 154/12
160/18 170/10 182/11 211/1
oppose [2] 154/17 184/22
opposed [5] 28/5 88/1 $143 / 19$
179/3 196/11
opposition [4] 105/16 105/21
110/21 145/13
or [438]
oral [3] 105/12 150/2 229/4
orally [1] 88/1
order [18] 3/24 40/20 105/15
106/4 $114 / 19116 / 6117 / 10123 / 2$
123/4 132/6 132/10 132/24 133/1 1
133/12 133/14 134/8 156/21 203/2
orders [2] 37/5 191/6
ordinarily [5] 17/9 17/10 131/19 189/25 195/23
ordinary [4] 38/16 186/10 186/11 186/18
organization [2] 18/13 196/8
organized [1] 11/14
orient [1] 18/20
original [5] 96/17 107/17 110/4 143/18 179/19
originally [5] 106/2 220/9 225/2
225/23 229/8
originals [1] 26/17
other [107] 13/4 13/15 13/21 21/15 27/10 28/11 32/6 33/4 34/3 34/8 37/13 42/22 42/24 43/12 44/2 44/13 54/21 54/25 55/4 55/5 59/7 65/19 67/1 67/2 69/4 69/6 $70 / 1282 / 1783 / 483 / 2188 / 16$ 90/4 92/1 96/25 98/8 98/13 99/6 102/20 104/9 106/15 108/25 109/7 111/25 115/24 115/24 122/6 124/10 127/10 130/18142/13 144/4 144/5 144/6 144/17 146/20 147/16 148/12 155/17 156/4 156/24 157/9 158/8 $158 / 9$ 160/8 161/6 163/10 163/10 163/17 164/10 165/5 166/15 168/16 168/25 169/14 173/20 173/25 178/19 179/18 180/2 180/9 180/9 180/25 183/2 183/23 184/13 185/6 188/18 191/7 191/9 197/8 197/10 198/1 198/15 198/20 199/15 200/8 201/13 202/7 205/3 208/4 211/11 215/20 215/25 219/18 221/3 222/12 224/22
others [8] 7/7 12/14 31/18 37/8 65/8 $121 / 6$ 139/20 190/8
otherwise [2] 30/21 177/10
ought [15] 100/18 104/5 105/2
130/13 134/21 137/9 159/16 161/3 172/10 175/2 175/8 179/12 184/21 186/20 187/4
our [34] 15/1 26/16 47/9 47/11 64/19 68/17 68/17 68/17 69/21
our... [25] 70/8 85/24 94/19 103/20 105/7 134/15 137/7 142/17 149/8
155/15 161/15 162/10 165/25
176/7 176/14 178/25 180/10
210/11 213/17 213/20 214/12
215/5 219/12 231/6 231/7
ourselves [2] 187/3 199/25
out [68] 10/6 16/8 20/1 20/2 20/21
26/6 32/22 42/13 64/13 64/25 67/10 67/21 67/23 68/2 68/8 68/23 69/1 3 70/18 71/1 71/4 71/6 71/9 71/12 71/20 74/21 77/16 80/20 81/14 86/17 86/21 93/17 95/13 101/24 106/16 107/8 116/7 122/13 123/12 128/24 137/6
137/24 151/1 173/1 178/23 184/12 192/1 1 195/20 201/1 203/1 203/2
207/10 209/8 209/18 210/11
211/20 211/20 213/5 216/24 217/2
217/22 221/24 222/4 222/12
222/18 222/22 223/21 225/8 230/12
outcome [1] 50/8
outlets [3] 55/16 87/4 87/14
outlined [1] 149/8
outlines [1] 63/12
outrageous [1] 191/13
outset [4] 74/4 74/7 77/11 123/24
outside [6] 41/5 42/2 94/9 126/13
200/22 218/2
over [23] 58/4 63/18 66/3 82/17
94/16 96/5 97/17 99/7 109/19
146/18 151/13 152/22 156/21
183/22 $184 / 1 \quad 184 / 13185 / 3186 / 5$
186/7 191/18 191/25 216/23
216/24
overall [1] 17/2
overlap [1] 3/22
overruled [1] 164/21
overseeing [2] 4/7 183/12
oversight [1] 18/3
overstep [1] 208/3
owed [2] 51/11 53/21
own [12] 60/23 129/13 136/13
137/14 166/18 167/20 176/7 183/7 214/13 221/25 224/7 224/14

## P

P-a-g-e-I [1] 45/4
P-e-t-e-r-s-e-n [1] 4/24
page [3] 2/2 39/23 81/20
PAGEL [34] 2/8 24/3 29/23 36/16
44/20 45/4 45/7 45/17 46/5 46/8 47/2 49/7 50/10 52/1 52/19 55/3
$55 / 1057 / 158 / 2059 / 1168 / 1$
101/8 118/24 120/1 120/25 122/8 123/24 124/14 140/9 187/10 188/6 192/2 196/1 196/16
Pagel's [2] 195/4 222/2
Pagels [1] 88/24
pages [4] 43/6 115/5 151/2 213/9 palatable [2] 134/13 134/20
Palm [1] 228/8
paneling [1] 216/5
paper [1] 43/6
papers [1] 105/15
paragraph [31] 106/20 106/21
106/23 107/3 107/8 108/2 108/4 108/6 108/8 108/9 108/11 108/13 108/15 108/19 108/21 109/1 109/5 109/7 109/20 109/20 110/2 110/12

110/16 110/22 111/3 111/7 116/13|
116/15 116/17 164/20 164/22
Paragraph 1 [3] 106/21 108/2
116/15
Paragraph 2 [2] 108/4 111/7
Paragraph 27 [1] 164/22
Paragraph 3 [2] 108/6 110/12
Paragraph 4 [1] 108/8
Paragraph 5 [4] 107/3 108/9 109/1 109/5
Paragraph 6 [10] 108/11 108/19
108/21 109/7 109/20 109/20
110/22 111/3 116/13116/17
Paragraph 7 [1] 108/13
Paragraph 8 [1] 108/15
parallel [1] 13/3
parameters [1] 209/9
paramilitary [1] 16/23
parcel [1] 75/8
parent's [1] 225/16
part [30] 35/6 35/12 47/21 47/22 61/16 62/10 65/6 65/8 71/13 71/25 88/24 107/5 110/11111/8 116/6 117/10 118/23 122/12 130/20 136/1 8 150/3 167/2 187/7 187/12 196/3 197/19 202/23 205/2 207/25 219/7
participate [3] 70/4 147/13 147/15
participated [3] 5/24 7/13 124/11
participating [1] 36/14
participation [4] 47/24 128/23
129/21 190/22
particular [30] 4/15 29/14 29/24
53/7 59/22 60/10 62/12 68/20
68/21 68/22 69/13 69/17 80/23 81/8 103/18 105/6 113/9 120/11 138/20 153/9 157/11 160/19 170/19 174/19 174/22 178/20 187/23 190/21 191/19 198/25
particularly [7] 39/23 81/9 123/22
131/2 141/8 186/21 202/6
parties [30] 3/5 3/21 3/24 102/10
102/22 103/21 113/8 114/20
117/11 123/11 149/12 149/13
151/24 153/25 156/17 157/9
157/12 157/24 162/19 169/18
180/21 180/22 181/20 195/15 199/3 209/15 209/23 211/18 212/14 229/13
partly [2] 115/22 115/23
parts [5] 66/3 68/22 109/15 155/25 183/15
party [12] 105/18 155/24 157/7
161/21 166/5 176/12 180/4 180/5
180/24 189/1 189/2 201/20
passed [1] 29/18
past [3] 163/21 168/5 169/23
Patrick [1] 1/11
patrol [12] $5 / 7$ 14/20 $14 / 2314 / 25$
16/3 16/4 16/9 42/12 42/13 42/14
71/1 188/18
patrolmen [1] 16/7
pattern [1] 201/16
paused [1] 14/18
pauses [1] 224/4
pay[1] 23/20
paying [1] 23/21
PDA [1] 228/8
penalties [1] 228/19
penalty [11] 135/22 135/24 136/25 137/2 137/6 137/15 137/23 138/4 138/7 142/23 222/10
pending [3] 23/1 54/25 95/13
people [38] $11 / 15$ 17/16 22/11 26/7 30/15 30/18 30/23 32/8 32/9 34/9 39/9 42/2 42/5 42/5 61/4 66/11 68/21 70/3 74/24 75/6 75/11 80/5 81/19 92/5 92/12 94/7 99/8 109/21 $119 / 24$ 136/1 166/23
186/1 194/25 196/10 203/14
219/14 219/16 221/1
people's [1] 217/20
per [3] 50/3 180/15 191/7
perceive [1] 149/11
perceived [1] 22/23
percent [1] 134/5
perfect [1] 225/10
Perfectly [1] 192/23
perform [1] 42/2
performed [1] 196/25
perhaps [15] 7/750/11 150/8
163/10 179/2 192/19 192/20
192/21 193/25 208/6 208/10
208/20 $211 / 22$ 212/6 227/16
period [6] 34/2 45/22 70/19 71/2
135/25 219/17
permissible [2] 198/20 205/18
permission [1] 110/25
permit [4] 123/21 153/16 153/17 188/3
permitted [2] 98/18 198/6
perpetrator [1] 80/8
person [45] $1 / 183 / 14$ 6/2 8/21 12/1 12/3 14/1 16/11 17/22 22/6 25/17 25/17 34/9 47/10 47/18 49/1 52/18 56/4 59/15 65/17
66/12 66/19 76/19 77/9 77/13
$77 / 1680 / 1180 / 2180 / 2180 / 23$ 81/3 81/8 94/7 95/10 95/18 96/3 96/22 97/18 $111 / 25$ 129/12 188/8 193/6 204/22 229/21 230/5
personal [6] 74/2 76/6 76/10 80/24
81/2 226/6
personally [22] 5/24 6/9 6/15 7/14 25/9 27/6 33/12 33/14 45/11 58/1 61/8 61/10 61/12 67/10 67/21
68/9 68/11 68/14 70/22 71/24 100/11 122/17
personnel [12] 18/5 29/20 36/14
36/24 37/7 38/9 60/18 68/18 71/18 94/1 185/21 195/14
persons [8] 47/5 50/2 77/2 77/24
80/18 80/19 140/12 141/4
perspective [1] 105/23
pertained [1] 52/17
pertaining [1] 60/10
pertains [1] 31/8
pertinent [2] 81/6 81/9
pervades [1] 196/7
PETERSEN [20] $2 / 3$ 4/12 4/14 4/18 4/23 5/3 25/12 29/16 31/13 35/12 45/24 46/24 122/15 128/23 187/9
191/1 194/11 194/25 195/6 196/15
Petersen's [2] 191/4 195/3
phone [8] 52/25 53/7 67/11 90/19
91/10 92/10 100/5 228/9
phonetic [1] 127/18
photo [2] 43/11 213/9
photocopying [1] 43/10
photographs [2] 207/24 208/12
phrase [3] 68/11 86/24 175/5
phrased [1] 101/24
physical [13] 165/4 166/14 167/19
173/20 174/1 $178 / 11178 / 19182 / 8$
187/20 206/10 219/3 221/3 228/13
physically [4] 20/10 69/1869/19

|  | 150/13 177/25 200/3 202/6 202/9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ysically... [1] 213/7 | possession [8] 51/22 52/7 52/17 | 57/20 160/10 161/4 161/10 |
| pick [3] 135/1 146/25 205/1 | 72/17 73/21 89/6118/12 121/1 | 161/19 161/19 161/21 162/21 |
|  | possibility [6] 93/19 93/23 142/18 | 171/12 175/22 180/3 180/17 |
| [2] $85 / 1385 / 14$ | 162/2 162/14 218/25 | 192/20 208/7 |
| ctures [2] 42/5 200/1 | possible [16] 28/24 32/11 33/24 | pretty [9] 5/12 116/16 128/15 |
| ece [2] 174/5 219/5 | 39/11 39/13 59/5 93/15 97/11 | 3/11 174/18 187/2 190/10 2 |
| eces [2] 85/14 164/5 | 29/6 138/23 162/3 162/12 162/13 | 219/20 |
| ot [1] 228/8 | 62/20 183/3 211/15 | prevail [2] 81/10 200/4 |
| [1] 32 | possibly [6] 7/20 8/8 | prevent [5] 59/5 88/18 |
| ch [1] 201/9 | 104/19 166/25 | 164/7 167/18 |
| ace [15] 87/13 94/12 94/15 | post[13] 68/15 68/25 69/5 69/9 | prevents [2] 143/8 145/12 |
| 18187/13 97/21 98/6 99/6 | 69/10 69/12 69/15 69/18 70/7 | vious [7] 20/1 29/15 96/11 |
| 142/25 143/1 147/11 149/22 | 0/17 71/5 71/10 71/20 | 6/14 210/19 228/16 228/16 |
| 33/13 197/24 200/25 | posted [1] 225/4 | ously [6] 109/1 197/23 198/5 |
| placed [2] 96/15 215/5 | poster [5] 136/23 | 198/9 208/15 228/21 |
| places [1] 220/21 |  | arily [3] 41/24 41/2 |
| plain [1] 178/3 <br> PLAINTIFF [1] $1 / 4$ | potential [6] 22/25 58/23 125/21 | primary [2] 19/13 21/13 |
| an [6] 140/20 211/2 223/19 | ally [1] 190/1 | 190/7 |
| 223/19 223/21 223/21 | power [6] 17/25 18/2 132/9 146/18 | print [1] 85/20 |
| 24 66/20 | 148/16 149/3 | prior [24] 6/21 47/2 52/1 57/1 |
| nes [1] 67/2 | practical [2] | 62/19 63/20 63/22 80/16 93/3 |
| planned [2] 223/8 | practice [4] 162/22 188/11 192/20 | (193110/24 151/19 189/21 198/2 |
| planning [1] 223/1 | 202/25 | 98/12 198/16 198/17 198/17 |
| (lans [1] 120/17 ${ }^{\text {pla }}$ planted [13] 156/8 156/13 157/25 | preclud | 198/22 199/12 200/2 209/22 2 |
| planted [13] 156/8 156/13 157/25 | precluded [3] 153/2 153/4 198/3 | 228/17 |
| 158/9 158/14 169/10 170/6 171/4 | predecessor [1] 119/13 | on [1 |
| 173/5 174/5 175/20 175/24 181/5 | preface [1] 144/18 | 63/15 197/6 200/18 200/19 200 |
| anting [3] 157/14 169/23 175/1 | prefer [5] $117 / 1130 / 6133 / 3135 / 4$ | 200/24 202/8 203/11 203/21 204 |
| play [8] 23/23 24/14 26/2 130/24 |  | 224/6 225/8 225/13 |
| 151/21 167/7 183/23 205/7 | preference [3] 117/11 133/10 | prisoner [1] 191/25 |
| players [1] 203/5 | 144/18 | Prisoners [1] 116/11 |
| ing [1] 137/6 | preferred [1] 124/4 |  |
| plays [1] 88/2 | prejudice [7] 58/24 133/5 133/5 | private [1] $81 / 3$ |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { pleading [4] } \\ \text { 206/23 }}}{ } 105 / 20$ 106/13 206/21 | $141 / 10198 / 11199 / 3201 / 19$ prejudicial [8] $51 / 859 / 359 / 6$ |  |
| 206/23 | prejudicial [8] 51/8 59/3 59/6 | pro [1] 110/5 |
| please [6] $3 / 64 / 214 / 2145 / 245 / 2$ | 115/12 117/7 139/2 141/16 190/2 | probable [3] 128/20 197/3 214/9 |
| $150 / 17$ <br> plus [2] 75/9 122/22 | prelim [2] 168/25 169/13 | probably [27] 18/2 20/3 26/24 35/5 36/9 58/4 62/14 78/8 79/9 |
| $\text { int }[35] 5 / 5 \quad 10 / 6$ | $\underset{196 / 18}{\text { preliminary [4] 46/4 79/25 106/8 }}$ | $35 / 536 / 9 / 58 / 4 / 18 / 98 / 2291 / 23$ $79 / 1480 / 282 / 18 / 7$ |
| 22/7 22/19 31/7 52/1 54/14 54/16 | prematu |  |
| 62/7 65/22 77/21 92/21 106/19 | preparation [1] 123/21 | 12/11 136/17136/19 137/17 |
| 108/12 110/20 111/12 112/13 | prepare [3] 114/19 162/19 183/7 | 152/9 182/5 210/11 224/15 |
| 112/17 130/1 133/6 151/23 157/11 | prepared [7] 44/12 107/15 114/11 | probative [2] 164/25 165/7 |
| 159/12 160/7 161/15 162/10 | 143/5 153/11 160/13 232/8 | problem [9] 26/20 112/18 133/2 |
| 162/21 167/12 174/13 179/14 | preposterous [1] 218/6 | 147/18 151/10 151/11162/9 |
| 182/6 185/23 230/12 points [3] 116/7 190/19 207/10 | prerogative [1] 145/2 | 189/13 190/10 |
| points [3] 116/7 190/19 207/10 | prescribed [1] 140/24 | problems [1] 118/13 |
| police [16] 71/7 136/8 167/2 167/8 | present [15] 11/2 23/11 | procedural [1] 164/4 |
| 169/3 169/9 169/22 170/12 170/16 | 55/3 103/6 117/12 162/20 163/8 | proceed [5] 3/25 118/7 133/7 |
| 172/7 177/9 177/13 177/23 204/20 | 177/2 177/3 182/4 188/20 192/18 | 146/19 148/4 |
| 205/9 229/9 | 201/23 230/20 |  |
| policies [2] 17/23 | presentation [4] 128/12 159/7 | proceedings [7] 1/21 36/21 37/7 |
| policy [20] 17/7 54/11 54/24 55 | 159/15 193/18 | 126/24 132/14 231/13 232/13 |
| 55/7 55/7 94/12 94/15 94/18 | presented [5] 117/21 1 | ocess [9] 58/25 125/13 126/2 |
| 97/13 97/15 97/15 98/6 99/7 | 131/20 167/11 213/23 | 130/22 130/24 131/6 139/24 185/5 |
| 161/10 183/25 184/8 188/7 188/11 | presenting [2] 182/20 188/12 | 190/23 |
| 191/4 | presents [1] 163/8 | processed [3] |
| politicians [2] 136/24 138/3 | preservation [1] 193/12 | 178/15 |
| politicized [2] 137/2 137/5 | preserve [1] 132/14 | processing [3] 109/18 116/24 |
| olls [1] 137/10 | preserved [1] 172/4 | 185/16 |
| pool [2] 122/4 191/12 | president [1] 198/11 | produce [3] 137/11 169/17 172/3 |
| poor [2] 215/18 217/25 | press [28] 50/11 52/8 52/22 53/13 | produced [3] 136/9 136/10 136/1 |
| poorly [1] 189/15 | 84/3 | production [1] 189/4 |
| portion [3] 30/4 98/12 103/10 | 83/13 83/19 84/3 84/6 91/6 92/16 | products [1] 115/2 |
| portions [2] 114/4 116/3 | 101/9 101/10 120/20 121/19 140/8 |  |
| position [27] 13/3 105/6 107/21 | 140/11 140/18 141/7 218/15 | profile [3] 46/18 66/10 227/23 |
|  | 220/25 222/3 222/13 223/18 | programs [1] 68/22 |
| $123 / 17 \quad 143 / 21 \quad 143 / 24 \quad 144 / 4$ | pressing [1] 223/23 | progress [1] 24/17 |
| 144/19 145/9 145/12 146/4 148/17 | presumed [2] 101/1 | prohibited [1] 181/15 |
| 148/22 149/9 149/13 149/15 |  |  |
| $148 / 22$ 149/9 149/13 4 149/15 |  |  |


| P | 123/22 123/23 124/8 124/19 | rapidly [1] 135/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| minently [1] 122/14 | 127/12 139/2 139/4 139/14 139/18 | 109/20 135/16 151/16 165/10 |
| promise [1] 101/3 | 140/5 142/13 143/8 1 | 184/25 185/15 186/12 192/13 |
| prompting [1] 123/11 | 145/6 150/4 150/5 150/24 154/8 | 193/21 205/21 218/6 |
| pronouncing [1] 33/6 | 155/2 | rating [1] 122/1 |
| proof [2] 166/4 175/3 | publicly [13] 65/20 73/5 80/6 89/7 | Rav [2] 28/7 32/15 |
| proper [6] 130/10 134/7 199/15 | 89/21 90/8 92/10 104/13 104/18 | raw [1] 151/12 |
| 201/7 201/8 226/15 | 104/23 108/3 108/5 124/14 | re [1] 219/11 |
| properly [6] 95/24 97/6 98/1 98/4 | pulled [1] 151/1 | re-searched [1] 219 |
| 104/10 166/8 | punch [2] 221/10 221 | reach [7] 62/1 81/17111/11 |
| property [23] 22/13 62/23 63/5 | pure [2] 216/9 221/3 | 171/20 171/21 210/1 210/2 |
| 67/11 67/22 74/20 74/25 75/13 | purely [3] 127/19 128/6 229/20 | reached [1] 82/9 |
| 75/16 78/19 79/18 88/17 95/21 | purpose [12] 81/12 85/4 140/15 | read [13] 84/24 85/2 96/17 96/18 |
| 96/22 96/24 120/5 120/8 193/1 | 197/12 198/6 201/7 201/9 201/13 | 98/25 99/2 140/1 144/5 146/9 |
| 225/4 225/14 225/17 225/18 | 214/11218/13 222/2 225/13 | 190/14 190/14 208/16 229/5 |
| 228/10 | purposes [5] 48/22 51/4 140/8 | reading [8] 80/15 84/9 86/8 |
| proposal [2] 138/10 | 153/23 230/23 | 146/13 149/18 157/23 169/9 |
| proposed [4] 117/10 123/16 | pursuant [1] 29/7 | 175/16 |
| 138/24 206/19 | pursues [1] 201/4 | reads [1] 148/2 |
| proposes [1] 201/11 | pushing [1] 136/24 | ready [1] 154/4 |
| proposition [2] 173/18 180/16 | put [28] $11 / 1730 / 1086 / 1786 / 21$ | real [4] 23/11 194/6 217/19 217/20 |
| prosecuting [2] 121/13 173/13 | 94/12 94/15 94/18 97/13 109/20 | ality[3] 22/4 137/8 221/10 |
| prosecution [14] 5/25 6/24 7/14 | 124/17 124/22 125/11 126/14 | realized [2] 62/14 64/4 |
| 10/23 26/11 38/22 128/3 130/1 | 130/13 135/13 145/5 151/2 153/5 | really [20] 20/19 30/22 89/18 |
| 132/8 138/5 141/12 213/11 222/1 | 166/3 169/23 170/9 172/16 176/22 | 129/17 154/25 155/25 163/3 |
| 222/7 | 177/24 189/15 207/7 213/22 | 165/21 172/15 172/17180/20 |
| prosecutor [5] 3/9 3/12 54/18 | 219/12 | 182/4 184/5 185/11 186/19 188/24 |
| 119/3 223/7 | puts [1] | 203/13 204/22 204/25 223/4 |
| prosecutors [2] 1/14 142/11 | putting [2] 98/6 99/6 | reappear [1] 225/19 |
| protection [1] 10 | pyramid [1] 15/19 | reason [21] 9/6 22/1 22/23 29/15 |
| tections [1] 141/14 | Q | /25 97/20 99/13 105/19 110/3 |
| proved [1] 7/19 | quarter [2] 20/3 43/22 | 171/21 172/10 189/10 193/5 194/9 |
| proven [3] 101/13 131/21 215 | question [45] 8/8 9/6 16/21 31/23 | 204/11 205/18 205/21 |
| provide [21] 24/11 50/5 50/7 | 38/8 41/14 62/17 62/18 84/22 | reasonable [14] 8/16 123/12 155/5 |
| 50/24 57/21 84/19 85/24 107/17 | 85/15 89/18 96/2 96/11 96/12 | 163/7 167/10 167/19 171/10 |
| 113/1 143/7 147/20 151/8 162/19 | 96/14 96/17 96/18 96/20 98/5 | 176/10 176/13 176/19 176/23 |
| 165/22 170/2 172/18 173/8 175/14 | 98/18 98/19 98/21 99/2 99/16 | 177/6 178/2 205/9 |
| 175/20 209/13 226/16 | 99/17 124/7 146/20 148/15 153/13 | reasonableness [1] 205/25 |
| provided [21] 29/20 43/3 43/14 | 157/6 157/18 160/11 162/15 163/6 | reasoned [5] 159/2 170/18 170/19 |
| 48/7 51/2 51/9 51/13 51/1751/19 | 168/23 170/3 170/11 172/2 179/7 | 174/11 174/23 |
| 51/23 58/16 58/17 76/23 87/5 | 186/8 194/17 201/24 202/18 | reasoning [2] 21/23 187/8 |
| 107/16 154/12 184/16 204/21 | 214/23 215/23 | reasons [10] 33/12 50/24 51/3 |
| 210/25 214/5 227/24 | questionable [1] 165/14 | 76/12 193/23 197/22 198/20 208/4 |
| provides [2] 37/22 43/16 | questioned [1] 217/18 | 225/21 230/25 |
| providing [9] 31/16 78/9 85/5 | questioning [2] 29/15 29/24 | rebuttable [1] 176/16 |
| 85/23 87/16 91/18 105/7 117/24 | questions [30] 30/3 30/21 35/10 | rebuttal [3] 188/5 193/25 229/1 |
| 125/2 | 36/7 36/9 40/6 42/19 46/4 52/21 | recall [31] 20/24 31/22 32/16 |
| province [1] 229/15 | 53/12 53/18 53/19 59/10 84/15 | 32/24 34/21 39/6 39/7 39/14 |
| prudent [1] 23/14 | 84/18 85/5 85/22 101/3 101/8 | 40/11 45/1752/11 52/20 53/14 |
| psychopath [1] 129/12 | 102/4 119/24 143/17 147/5 199/23 | 53/17 54/4 55/5 56/6 56/16 56/20 |
| pubic [1] 9/3 | 206/6 206/9 206/10 222/24 223/17 | 57/7 79/10 83/20 90/16 90/17 |
| public[75] 39/4 39/9 41/6 47/2 | 223/24 | 101/10 104/2 121/7 122/9 124/1 |
| 48/19 48/22 49/10 50/8 50/13 | quicker [1] 48/8 | 169/1 173/1 |
| 50/14 50/21 51/10 51/13 52/13 | quite [5] 39/1 113/24 149/21 210/7 | receive [4] 50/4 105/11 117/18 |
| 52/15 53/18 53/22 56/7 56/14 | 210/20 | 154/14 |
| 57/4 57/21 57/25 61/24 62/1 | quote [1] 96/11 | received [11] 47/10 47/14 48/4 |
| 62/10 62/21 64/4 64/13 64/16 | quotes [1] 170/19 | 48/24 53/2 55/10 55/13 55/15 |
| 64/18 64/23 64/25 66/10 73/7 | R | 87/16 100/17150/4 |
| 73/17 73/19 73/20 74/6 74/11 |  | receiving [5] 52/21 52/25 53/5 |
| 74/13 74/17 76/3 76/23 80/20 | racial [1] 163/16 | 91/9 117/14 |
| 81/22 90/20 92/7 101/12 104/14 | radical [1] 164/1 | recent [1] 163/13 |
| 108/1 108/7 109/4 109/8 109/15 | radio [1] 43/8 | recently [3] 72/7 107/10 229/9 |
| 116/4 119/1 120/14 121/12 121/15 | raise [3] 66/10 126/6 210/11 | recess [2] 103/4 155/21 |
| 123/5 123/9 128/11 131/13 132/6 | raised [3] 141/24 142/14 167/3 | recognition [1] 141/14 |
| 132/17 132/20 132/22 133/12 | raises [1] 199/22 | recognize [3] 141/6 157/6 210/18 |
| 135/6 140/22 140/23 186/24 | ran [2] 70/9 77/17 | recognizes [1] 139/16 |
| 203/10 218/8 222/8 | rank[5] 11/15 11/18 12/6 61/1 | recognizing [3] 142/17 217/10 |
| public's [6] 48/10 48/14 49/1 49/5 | 203/2 | 218/21 |
|  | ranking [2] 12/17 71/22 ranks [2] 16/24 38/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recollection [5] } 35 / 2439 / 25 \quad 123 / 6 \\ & 123 / 7 \quad 123 / 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 59/6 98/12 98/14 102/5 105/8 |  | reconsider [2] 224/25 225/22 |


| R | 82/16 82/21 90/25 92/15 101/16 | $16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reconsideration [1] 213/16 | releases [1] 13/24 | 52/8 152/17 154/17 187/2 187/18 |
| record [12] 3/5 3/19 4/22 45/3 | relevance [11] 11/4 31/8 159/22 | 207/6 207/14 |
| 103/6 123/9 139/3 143/21 151/14 | 160/13 160/16 162/12 167/21 | requested [4] 3/25 6/15 45/11 |
| 155/23 213/7 228/18 | 196/24 197/6 199/5 210/6 | 143/19 |
| recorded [2] 87/25 217/16 | relevancy [1] 197/1 | requesting [5] 55/16 55/17 148/20 |
| recording [1] 210/22 | relevant[11] 98/13 107/12 113/1 | 156/1 188/14 |
| records [2] 13/24 89/11 | 166/10 172/12 198/19 205/8 | requests [4] 55/13 100/9 164/14 |
| recusal [2] 147/12 185/3 | 207/19 208/2 208/5 208/13 | 189/4 |
| recusing [2] 147/8 186/12 | reliability [8] 8/24 166/11 182/18 | require [4] 11/20 113/21 170/23 |
| redact [1] 109/25 | 196/4 206/9 206/11 214/23 215/23 | 179/10 |
| redacted [16] 108/3 108/5 108/7 | reliable [5] 121/17 214/3 214/7 | required [3] 157/8 168/1 168/2 |
| 108/8 108/10 108/11 108/13 | 214/11217/8 | requirements [2] 156/5 181/1 |
| 108/16 108/19 108/22 109/16 | relied [1] 123/7 | requires [3] 106/19 107/5 171/12 |
| 109/20 110/1 110/3 116/22 117/2 | relief [2] 134/15 188/13 | requiring [1] 186/18 |
| redacting [1] 108/1 | relinquish [2] 148/7 149/6 | reserving [1] 154/16 |
| redefined [1] 187/17 | reluctant [1] 142/25 | resolve [6] 112/22 192/6 192/10 |
| redirect [6] 2/6 2/11 3 | rely [3] 185/19 185/22 192/5 | 209/19 209/24 210/5 |
| 101/1 101/6 | relying [1] 220/14 | resolved [1] 111/24 |
| reduce [5] 43/9 113/7 213/17 | remain [5] 67/21 111/5 115/25 | respect [8] 3/23 44/17 143/16 |
| 214/13 225/22 | 117/8 185/9 | 151/19156/14 159/7 192/12 |
| reduced [1] 43/10 | remained [1] 50/2 | 202/19 |
| reducing [1] 225/1 | remaining [2] 102/ | respond [11] 89/4 89/20 90/7 |
| reduction [2] 210/14 228/23 | remains [2] 116/8 136/4 | 92/10 $152 / 18152 / 24154 / 4154 / 14$ |
| references [2] 121/10 127/16 | remarkable [1] 129/17 | 156/19 172/17189/3 |
| referendum [10] 135/22 136/1 | remarks [1] 163/3 | responded [2] 48/5 93/4 |
| 136/25 137/8 137/15 138/4 138/7 | remedies [2] 148/9 190/20 | response [13] 38/7 62/16 105/1 |
| 138/10 138/19 142/23 | remedy [19] 131/9 131/9 131/11 | 110/17 119/24 155/9156/10 |
| referred [4] 16/5 47/4 194/2 194/3 | 131/25 139/13 139/16 139/19 | 165/25 186/19 197/18 204/16 |
| referring [6] 28/19 62/22 91/7 | 139/20 140/3 140/6 142/19 142/22 | 208/17218/20 |
| 93/25 94/2 94/3 | 143/4 143/7 162/9 189/12 190/9 | responses [2] 106/3 222/23 |
| refers [1] 40/2 | 194/7 194/19 | responsibility [14] 16/2 21/14 |
| refiled [1] 109/25 | remember [14] 21/8 35/7 35/14 | 23/18 45/12 45/19 127/13 142/10 |
| refused [1] 55/19 | 36/2 40/17 52/8 56/23 77/20 | 144/23 147/9 152/2 183/22 184/12 |
| regard [4] 107/13 162 | 77/25 79/11 89/12 90/11 120/25 | 185/4 195/21 |
| 204/4 | 138/12 | responsible [5] 69/16 127/12 |
| regarding [16] 52/12 52/22 52/24 | Remiker [15] 16/12 16/14 27/18 | 137/4 204/23 228/7 |
| 53/13 54/4 56/1 58/11 101/24 | 27/24 28/8 28/16 28/23 29/8 31/2 | rest [1] 116/22 |
| 106/11 114/19 145/6 160/22 | 32/2 33/10 192/23 193/9 195/18 | resting [1] 136/3 |
| 193/11 193/11 196/19 209/22 | 203/3 | restore [1] 39/25 |
| Regardless [1] 78/4 | remind | restriction [1] 135/5 |
| regional [2] 55/11 100/6 | remoteness [1] 210/5 | result [3] 124/16 179/21 179/23 |
| regularly [1] 189/8 | remove [2] 109/19 131/25 | sulted [2] 7/9 7/11 |
| reiterate [1] 118/11 | removed [3] 78/18 78/21 7 | resume [1] 155/16 |
| reiterated [1] 231/1 | renew [1] 31/6 | retracted [2] 220/24 221/4 |
| reiterating [2] 55/6 | rent [1] 65/21 | tracts [1] 221/13 |
| reject [1] 142/20 | reopened [1] | retrained [1] 215/16 |
| rejected [1] 226/21 | repeat [1] 200/5 | return [1] 225/15 |
| rejects [1] 178/23 | repeated [1] 128/ | revealed [1] 222/1 |
| relate [4] 118/14 150/9 151/2 | repeatedly [1] 220/20 | reveals [1] 104/22 |
| 179/17 | repeating [1] 200/15 | reversed [2] 179/23 180/13 |
| related [11] 5/25 23/8 46/15 53/18 | repetitive [1] 190/15 | review [2] 118/18212/17 |
| 56/2 106/15 140/11 168/6 175/6 | replace [1] 84/3 | reviewed [1] 229/16 |
| 176/1 182/3 | reply [1] 154/20 | reviewing [2] 25/17 26/2 |
| relates [7] 31/20 46/18111/2 | report [14] 12/14 14/8 14/11 14/12 | revise [1] 138/17 |
| 156/15 176/5 182/7 182/9 | 15/5 15/715/13 15/15 16/18 | revised [1] 138/8 |
| relating [8] 42/22 43/17 106/5 | 24/18 57/17 60/11 60/13 134/1 | Richardson [9] 157/15 157/16 |
| 111/25 118/10 133/4 175/19 | reported [8] 1/22 18/24 32/13 | 161/16 164/1 164/1 164/22 165/20 |
| 206/13 | 59/18 64/2 127/21 129/1 232/6 | 171/17180/18 |
| relation [1] 4/3 | reporter [8] 1/23 20/23 21/9 73/8 | right [168] 3/14 3/18 4/9 5/12 |
| relations [2] 46/17 46/21 | 96/17 134/2 232/5 232/19 | $5 / 15 \quad 9 / 19 \quad 12 / 4 \quad 12 / 9 \quad 13 / 6 \quad 14 / 7$ |
| relationships [2] 207/20 209/2 | reporters [1] 84/23 | $14 / 8 \quad 14 / 1415 / 12 \quad 15 / 2416 / 5$ |
| relative [6] 36/23 37/6 102/16 | reporting [2] 141/3 141/15 | 16/15 18/25 20/13 21/7 21/12 |
| 160/6 160/19 192/8 | reports [9] 12/12 24/25 26/8 26/24 | 23/16 24/8 24/20 25/11 25/15 |
| relatively [1] 75/8 | 43/18 116/2 126/23 138/1 212/17 | 26/8 26/19 26/25 27/4 27/8 27/14 |
| relatives [2] 47/12 51/12 | represent [2] 3/17 119/19 | 28/13 28/20 32/12 33/6 33/23 |
| release [12] 7/21 8/6 8/17 57/9 | representation [1] 123/1 | 34/23 35/14 37/11 37/13 38/6 |
| 63/2 63/15 82/6 82/7 82/19 82/19 | representatives [1] 121/8 | 38/24 39/23 40/10 41/23 42/15 |
| 140/18 140/22 | representing [1] 3/16 | 42/21 44/22 53/12 56/16 60/1 |
| released [21] 8/5 10/1 53/10 53/10 | reputation [1] 226/4 | 62/3 64/1 64/21 67/6 67/8 67/9 |
| 53/18 57/8 57/11 57/13 57/14 | request [23] 24/8 30/10 36/15 | 68/7 68/24 70/2 71/14 72/5 73/16 |
| 57/16 57/25 59/2 76/2 82/1 82/14 | 49/9 103/22 104/11 110/21 110/24 | 78/4 79/16 81/7 81/12 82/2 82/18 |
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179/24 180/19 182/5 183/6 183/9
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210/10 212/2 212/20 213/12
225/24 229/3
rightly [1] 105/2
rights [13] 124/25 125/9 125/21
126/4 126/6 126/12 130/9 130/16
130/19 130/20 131/1 132/15 133/9
ripped [1] 216/3
risk[9] 124/18 124/22 197/21
199/2 199/2 225/6 225/11 225/18 228/19
Rivers [1] 71/7
road [3] 14/21 16/8 68/4
roads [1] 16/4
rocket [1] 63/5
Rohrer [1] 121/4
role [10] 23/22 24/14 25/18 26/3
31/15 31/17 122/17 151/21 183/24
199/17
room [5] 85/17 137/20 152/21 169/4 200/23
rope [3] 218/10 218/10 218/12
rough [1] 18/8
roughly [4] 5/10 35/22 35/23 60/21
routinely [1] 37/9
row [3] 67/21 122/21 129/23
RPR [2] 1/22 232/19
rudimentally [1] 174/9
rule [7] 140/24 142/12 152/15
153/11 176/22 180/8 180/10
Rule 3.6 [2] 140/24 142/12
rules [3] 157/18 162/17 189/11
ruling [3] 107/6 183/7 210/19
rulings [1] 208/7
run [10] 11/10 23/19 71/14 77/6 77/10 85/25 122/12 169/4 191/5 191/24
run-throughs [1] 169/4
running[3] 9/18 10/9 128/17
S
S-c-h-e-t-t-e-r [1] 12/22
safe [1] 191/8
safeguard [1] 94/5
said [28] 13/16 50/24 61/16 70/10 70/24 78/5 79/15 79/22 82/6 89/6 89/21 96/13 115/10 116/1 116/17
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segments [2] 122/23 134/3
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shouldn't [6] 17/10 102/12 137/14 200/24 203/10 214/7
show [7] 55/20 158/6 166/19
166/22 182/15 202/23 205/2
showing [1] 225/10
shown [1] 225/6
shred [1] 191/13
shrift [1] 227/15
shut [1] 88/6
shy [1] 142/6
sibling [1] 221/8
sic [2] 14/7 192/9
side [5] 104/17 142/13 165/16 180/20 198/1
sided [1] 222/11
sidetracked [1] 179/3
sign [1] 114/21
signed [1] 213/19
significance [2] $229 / 10 ~ 230 / 15$
significant [5] 127/14 130/11
150/3 151/21 204/13
similar [4] 46/24 89/8 158/7 160/9
simple [2] 60/15 178/3
simply [17] 37/8 57/25 118/18
138/17 159/13 160/17 169/15
173/5 175/22 185/2 192/15 195/3
195/24 196/11 197/11 201/9 214/7
since [16] 6/5 54/1 106/17 117/19
117/25 133/23 $151 / 1$ 153/8 $153 / 14$
154/16 169/7 $213 / 21$ 214/21
227/13 227/18 230/2
single [2] 8/18 191/13
sir [1] 5/23
sister's [1] 225/17
sit [3] 35/24 90/16 205/25
sits [2] 131/17 131/18
sitting [4] 10/19 90/17 152/19
195/2
situation [3] $126 / 11$ 187/3 230/16
situations [1] 128/2
six[7] 5/9 5/12 5/14 9/16 10/6
95/7 204/9
size [1] 69/24
sizeable [1] 209/18
skelter [1] 58/2
skipping [1] 156/21
small [1] 219/8
smart [1] 131/24
smoothly [1] 162/20
so [175] 9/20 10/20 15/1 15/12
16/6 16/15 17/4 18/14 19/1 20/6
20/19 20/21 23/13 24/3 24/8

24/16 27/23 28/3 31/2 31/17
$31 / 2135 / 1937 / 2138 / 240 / 18$ 40/24 41/2 44/2 44/5 48/14 49/4 59/2 59/4 61/23 62/18 62/24 65/13 66/20 67/18 68/16 68/24
70/3 71/24 72/2 73/16 73/23 74/6
76/4 76/16 77/10 78/11 78/15
$80 / 280 / 1082 / 12$ 84/3 84/6 85/4
87/5 87/10 89/24 91/24 92/9
93/22 93/24 94/5 94/5 94/23 95/7
95/22 95/22 97/23 98/2 99/20
102/17 102/23 104/5 104/24
108/17 109/6 110/12 110/15
110/19 111/3112/11114/5 114/11
114/21 115/14 $117 / 7119 / 1120 / 5$
121/8 124/7 127/11 128/12 130/8
130/17 131/22 132/23 133/3
134/12 135/4 138/21 142/15
147/17 147/25 148/14 149/13
150/12 152/7 152/13 154/18
154/22 155/7 157/10 157/13
157/21 160/21 161/1 162/10
162/15 165/10 166/16 168/14
171/7 172/16 174/2 174/3 177/21
178/15 179/2 179/15 179/16 180/1
180/14 182/5 184/2 187/7 187/24
190/3 190/9 190/15 192/18 193/5
193/8 193/13 194/4 195/24 196/14
199/2 201/21 202/13 205/16
207/12 208/7 209/20 211/2 211/9
212/3 $215 / 22218 / 11218 / 23219 / 4$
219/16 221/2 221/21 224/13
224/15 224/16 224/19 225/21
228/11 230/25 231/9
so-called [1] 157/13
soaked [1] 216/12
sole [1] 8/10
solely [1] 214/15
solve [1] 147/18
some [105] 3/22 3/22 3/22 4/3 7/7
$7 / 2418 / 218 / 323 / 2$ 25/21 26/21
29/19 30/2 34/21 43/11 43/12
43/23 54/16 61/14 62/19 64/4
65/19 65/22 66/9 67/5 77/21
78/16 79/17 79/24 79/25 81/17 84/19 87/15 90/8 91/17 91/23
91/25 100/25 103/7 103/12 104/20 106/24 106/25 111/12 113/21
115/5 116/14 $116 / 14119 / 8119 / 23$
119/23 119/25 122/9 123/11
124/19 127/12 128/14 131/11
133/6 136/24 137/1 151/8 151/8
151/18 154/12 155/16 158/4 164/9
166/15 166/15 168/5 168/15
169/17 169/21 169/21 170/5
174/19 175/24 180/24 187/8
188/18 189/18 192/19 192/20
192/21 193/10 197/5 198/6 200/23
204/10 205/11 207/8 207/18
207/23 207/24 212/13 213/21
215/5 $215 / 25219 / 6219 / 10226 / 6$
229/7 230/2 230/21
somebody [18] 72/21 79/12 79/22
82/11 87/11 88/2 89/5 94/9 95/8
169/9 170/9 174/24 188/15 188/16
203/10 $215 / 19$ 226/2 226/4
somehow [12] 129/5 165/18
167/17 167/20 176/9 177/21 182/9
182/11 191/1 191/11 219/2 220/1
someone [15] 24/9 26/1 59/17
80/10 95/5 97/16 129/5 133/19
156/3 167/8 184/1 188/17 193/6
206/3 230/10
something [40] 11/21 28/18 34/21 37/9 43/15 44/7 59/22 73/16
80/15 85/17 86/13 89/5 89/20 90/9 90/21 100/12 100/20 101/15 109/5 121/22 122/2 122/11 135/12 141/16 142/24 143/5 143/6 152/7 154/10 163/25 167/8 167/8 169/17 173/2 177/6 177/23 188/25 197/13 205/19 210/16
Sometime [1] 35/22
sometimes [4] 77/6 125/8 125/10 171/15
somewhat [2] 102/24 171/18 somewhere [8] 6/12 20/25 34/2
34/22 35/17 43/23 75/12 219/9 sorry [16] 8/13 12/21 14/18 40/2 56/9 96/9 106/21 107/24 108/20 113/12 $115 / 17$ 154/7 197/14 211/12 216/4 221/6
sort [11] 64/21 80/15 129/15
134/24 157/20 163/5 164/23 168/8 185/3 189/6 189/10
sought [5] 123/14 175/25 190/12 194/12 201/4
sound [5] 6/11 16/20 33/23 67/6 212/7
sounds [3] 26/23 116/12 140/17
sources [2] 55/12 92/7
south [7] 32/22 32/22 161/17
164/21 165/12 179/23 180/8
Spanbauer [1] 136/10
speak [10] 10/21 20/21 27/5 27/5
38/20 65/13 82/12 94/5 102/12 184/2
speaking [5] 47/12 92/17 121/1 121/7 138/22
special [8] 1/14 3/9 3/12 35/6 54/18 119/3 135/17 158/12
specific [17] 7/17 22/10 50/25
52/2 52/21 53/17 54/4 96/3 131/7 139/1 150/8 $150 / 19151 / 8195 / 17$ 196/3 196/5 200/1
specifically [9] 46/18 71/12 79/10 101/21 124/21 133/24 146/22
218/13 230/17
specificity [1] 206/20
speck [3] 216/19 217/1 217/5
speculate [2] 11/1 182/6
speculation [1] 10/24
spell [3] 4/22 12/21 45/3
spent [5] 9/13 10/12 197/5 197/14
200/25
spoke [2] 121/5 122/18
spokespeople [1] 81/23
sponsor [1] 138/7
ss [1] 232/1
stabbed [3] 216/8 216/22 226/24
stabbing [2] 220/4 220/11
staff [7] 17/19 59/20 71/9 75/23
191/2 191/7 191/12
stage [1] 229/12
stains [2] 220/17 220/17
stake [3] $133 / 17$ 133/21 $217 / 20$
stand [6] 124/5 131/21 132/3
194/1 200/13 204/24
standard [2] 156/7 199/5
standards [1] 156/12
standpoint [1] 231/7
stands [2] 125/24 193/5
start [8] 5/2 98/2 99/24 106/16 118/8 223/23 224/9 224/10

Started [1] 5/7
Starting [1] 67/16
starts [1] 223/16
state [143] $1 / 1 \quad 1 / 31 / 143 / 23 / 5$
3/7 4/21 7/21 8/1 18/6 43/3 44/16
45/2 71/1 72/22 93/11 105/1
106/7 106/14 106/18 108/24
109/17 112/7 $112 / 13113 / 24117 / 1$
117/20 $118 / 22118 / 23120 / 14$
121/8 121/11 121/25 123/10 124/1 124/3 124/11 125/2 125/16 125/18 126/16 126/17 126/18 126/19
126/21 126/25 127/11 127/11
127/24 128/4 130/12 130/14
130/18 130/21 130/25 132/20
133/6 135/24 136/2 136/6 136/8 136/9 136/11 136/15 136/16
136/18 136/22 137/7 137/16 139/6
139/19 140/20 141/3 141/8 141/25
142/1 142/17 143/5 143/19 143/22
144/20 144/24 145/4 145/12 153/5
154/16 154/20 155/12 156/10
157/15 158/11 158/13 158/15
160/12 163/5 163/13 163/23 164/3
165/23 167/16 168/20 170/10
171/13 172/22 176/15 177/24
181/12 181/18 185/21 188/3
188/12 188/17 188/21 188/22
194/8 194/16 197/3 197/20 198/4
198/1 1 199/4 199/6 199/13 200/16 201/3 201/11 201/16 202/6 202/21 203/18 208/2 208/23 209/4 209/13 209/22 210/3 211/4 211/20 214/12 217/15 226/14 232/1 232/5
State's [41] 8/6 105/17 105/22
107/20 107/21 110/15 111/3 111/7
111/13 113/19 119/15 125/7 127/4
143/24 145/9 146/4 149/13 149/15
150/13 155/24 159/12 164/23
165/2 165/24 166/2 168/11 169/12
173/7 182/10 182/18 182/19
183/17 187/24 188/1 196/18
196/22 197/11 204/15 206/13
213/25 229/18
stated [2] 22/11 183/4
statement [22] 30/5 45/23 115/1
115/3 128/16 193/22 212/5 216/1 221/1 221/16 222/25 222/25
223/11 226/7 226/9 226/17 226/18 226/20 227/6 227/13 227/19 227/20
statements [28] 39/5 39/9 107/9
113/22 114/5 114/7 119/25 127/7 203/20 204/2 204/6 204/8 204/13
206/24 214/2 214/3 214/7 214/15
214/18 214/19 214/24 226/13
228/13 229/24 230/1 230/6 230/7 230/14
States [1] 180/12
statewide [2] 124/19 135/19
stations [4] 43/13 44/3 119/8
119/9
status [1] 103/20
statute [1] 146/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { statutes }[5] & 11 / 20 & 18 / 6 & 134 / 16\end{array}$ 148/2 161/23
Steier [1] 28/17
stenographic [1] 232/9
step [5] 98/7 99/10 99/14 99/15 99/19
stepping [1] 147/12
steps [7] 17/17 19/19 30/17 65/19
120/9 122/25 217/2

Steve[5] 8/17 104/14 115/5 123/1 200/21
STEVEN[55] $1 / 6$ 1/17 3/2 3/13
5/25 6/22 10/19 11/1 22/7 23/1 27/6 32/15 32/23 33/15 39/5 39/10 40/13 41/7 52/6 63/1 63/8 72/8 73/10 75/11 88/10 90/21
91/24 95/17 95/22 96/2 96/23 119/20 120/11 120/21 126/14 129/19 136/22 137/4 138/5 138/10 140/13 189/18 195/9 203/15 203/16 206/8 224/2 224/21 226/23 226/24 226/25 227/2 227/9 227/21 228/6
still [30] 26/16 31/8 48/25 49/4 49/4 52/17 76/20 77/7 77/8 77/9 84/18 84/19 98/23 104/19 107/4
156/10 157/21 174/20 180/23 193/24 194/2 197/20 203/24 204/25 211/14 220/11 221/15 230/4 230/4 230/20
stipulation [2] 111/12 210/1
stipulations [1] 210/2
stop [1] 208/8
stops [1] 18/8
storage [1] 159/8
stories [1] 43/21
story [9] 214/25 217/13 217/21
217/23 219/1 $219 / 7$ 222/16 222/18 224/14
straight [1] 196/24
strains [1] 173/16
STRANG [44] $1 / 15$ 2/4 2/6 2/10
3/17 4/2 30/1 42/22 59/12 96/10
96/13 99/24 103/14 105/9 105/24
107/7 113/9 115/16 117/6 118/1
139/12 139/15 139/16 140/1 141/2 141/25 143/18 $144 / 1$ 144/14 145/2 145/24 146/6 150/14 153/17 154/3
154/10 154/23 183/12 194/21
199/10 202/18 $211 / 21213 / 14$ 228/2
Strang's [5] 46/14 105/6 113/2 142/22 204/3
strategy [1] 64/12
stray [1] 190/4
street [1] 216/16
strengthened [1] 229/19
strike [1] 116/21
strong[3] 133/11 165/16 165/17
strongly [3] 115/14 118/14 137/22
struck [1] 157/25
structure [1] 16/23
struggled [1] 135/13
struggling[1] 215/18
stuck [1] 178/23
stuff [4] 131/23 189/23 217/4
220/16
style [2] 76/6 76/10
sub [2] 158/1 203/13
sub-conscious [1] 203/13
sub-issues [1] 158/1
subject [4] 173/20 174/20 178/1 181/12
submissions [2] 212/14 212/16
submit [6] 114/20 153/12 153/15
154/1 155/1 158/19
submitted [5] 102/23 105/20
110/24 113/7 172/9
submitting [2] 139/3 147/4
subordinates [1] 17/14
subpoena [1] 152/7
subsequent [1] 45/13
sustained [1] 46/7
SUV [1] 227/18
swab [1] 218/12
swabbed [1] 227/23
swabs [1] 78/16
switch [1] 196/16
sworn [8] 4/19 5/15 44/25 60/18
60/25 61/2 61/3 61/4
sympathy [7] 197/13 201/6 201/9
201/14 201/19 205/20 208/4
synonomous [1] 42/14
system [2] 72/20 109/18
T
tabbed [1] 186/15
table [1] 206/2
tact [1] 179/12
tailor [1] 133/1
tailored [1] 190/10
tainted [3] 134/22 182/12 182/13
take [28] 9/14 10/3 13/22 38/24
42/5 42/17 57/17 58/2 85/10 86/6
102/19 106/22 111/6 121/3 136/3
152/2 152/2 154/1 154/24 155/3
155/15 172/6 176/21 184/12
191/18 194/1 209/18 $213 / 1$
taken [19] 30/9 33/20 33/22 34/4
34/11 34/13 78/19 78/24 83/12
98/7 99/10 103/4 140/13 141/10
152/6 155/21 178/12 195/20 232/9
takeover [1] 193/13
takes [3] 130/14 193/13 210/13
taking [5] 137/14 145/12 146/2
173/10 207/17
talk[14] 25/24 25/25 34/12 40/13
65/8 74/13 142/4 167/25 179/13
186/4 191/25 192/2 198/14 198/15
talked [5] 21/18 25/24 40/25 65/15
161/24
talking [25] 6/13 19/1 42/25 80/10
86/1 88/18 101/22 113/13 120/7
135/11 165/21 167/23 182/24
$184 / 23184 / 25185 / 13185 / 15$
196/9 198/15 198/16 198/18
198/21 202/16 205/20 216/15
tampering [2] 95/23 160/24
tangential [1] 166/16
tape [2] 87/25 88/2
target [1] 155/6
task [1] 116/24
tasks [1] 72/2
team [1] 46/13
technically [1] 206/17
techs [1] 42/4
teenager [1] 77/17
telephone [2] 21/10 64/15
televised [8] 89/3 119/15 122/1
122/8 124/10 126/22 128/5 138/8
television [11] 43/7 43/13 43/16
44/3 80/13 100/19 119/7 128/22
138/16 189/21 213/10
tell [12] $21 / 1785 / 6114 / 6$ 137/3
153/10 191/23 194/9 218/18
219/23 221/19 227/16 228/1
telling [7] 30/24 40/12 91/20
92/13 177/17 224/9 229/14
tendency [1] 197/2
tender [1] 44/13
tendering [1] 213/8
tending [3] 53/24 78/2 93/12
tension [1] 125/9
tenuous [1] 165/10
Teresa [38] 18/21 27/6 28/6 45/13
$47 / 247 / 1147 / 1347 / 1648 / 13$
49/2 49/4 61/12 64/8 65/3 65/12
67/3 76/20 76/21 107/9118/10
137/1 138/11 141/21 193/1 201/10
202/11 206/4 215/1 217/5 223/9
223/22 224/23 226/23 226/24
226/25 227/3 227/10 228/5
Teresa's [3] 78/10 207/25 208/24
terms [9] 23/17 73/16 $118 / 17$
133/17 137/8 138/18 147/21
153/21 193/13
terrible [2] 221/2 222/16
terribly [1] 115/11
Tesheneck [3] 1/22 232/4 232/19
test [10] 107/5 161/14 162/18 167/21 167/23 168/9 176/5 196/25 205/6 218/11
tested [3] 8/22 167/13 215/9 testified [8] 4/20 38/7 38/19 45/1 62/16 118/24 124/14 195/6 testifies [3] 177/13 177/14 200/12 testify [11] 44/21 125/17 125/19 125/22 125/25 152/21 188/18 192/16 192/19 193/10 200/20
testifying [3] 4/6 125/14 183/11
testimony [20] 9/7 29/16 42/24
72/14 90/18 102/14 102/20 103/7
103/13 113/22 122/10 124/20
164/8 169/3 173/1 187/21 192/21
192/22 193/24 206/11
testing [2] $7 / 24$ 224/18
tests [1] 217/4
text [1] $43 / 16$
than [53] $22 / 1833 / 1036 / 343 / 12$
$43 / 2355 / 157 / 16$ 60/18 77/2 86/2 87/7 88/16 99/6 104/8 104/9 108/1 108/25 109/7 109/21 123/3 123/8 123/18 127/21 128/2 129/19 130/24 133/18 147/14 148/12
151/16 152/9 155/18 156/4 157/9 163/23 164/1 168/19 170/12 171/24 172/22 175/10 180/2
180/25 181/17 185/6 185/15
186/12 189/23 191/7 192/13
201/13 205/21 219/18
thank [17] 12/23 36/6 41/14 42/16
59/1 1 100/23 143/12 153/20
155/20 158/17 190/18 196/23
199/9 217/15 225/23 228/24 231/10
that [1314]
that's [128] 5/8 5/15 11/1712/5 12/16 12/19 13/1 13/3 32/3 34/23 35/24 36/5 36/19 37/16 41/14 $42 / 17$ 44/6 44/7 59/7 59/1 0 64/1 68/6 72/18 73/4 74/1 76/10 86/4 86/23 94/2 95/15 96/21 97/3 97/7 97/20 98/15 100/23 102/4 102/16 107/19 110/13 110/20 111/8 111/10111/20114/23122/11 126/3 126/24 130/22 131/7 137/6 137/7 137/8 140/19 144/17 145/25 146/12 146/15 149/5 150/21 151/11 152/1 155/5 156/19 158/25 161/15 162/10 162/14 162/21 163/22 164/22 167/3 168/1 169/10 169/12 170/18 170/24 170/24
171/2 174/6 174/11 174/17175/11 175/17 176/1 176/11 176/20 177/1 177/15 177/15 177/19 179/5 179/7 179/10 179/12 179/14 180/12
180/17 181/19 182/2 183/2 190/9
190/16 191/13 193/2 196/14 201/6
that's... [21] 201/15 203/1 203/11
205/12 207/1 207/3 211/19 211/24 211/25 212/1 212/15 212/18 220/11 221/8 221/9 221/22 225/13 227/24 228/23 229/15 230/4 their [33] 3/5 34/4 59/21 61/24 67/23 69/17 70/20 121/17 121/17 126/25 135/20 142/9 154/1 166/4 168/21 172/2 176/8 $176 / 25$ 186/5 186/7 193/4 195/23 196/3 203/20 209/18 214/13 217/14 221/7 222/23 223/17 224/7 230/8 230/9
them [72] 14/16 15/12 25/4 30/24 30/24 34/11 37/1 43/24 48/25 50/6 51/15 53/22 58/6 58/6 58/7 58/15 58/16 58/18 59/25 65/6 65/8 65/10 70/8 70/21 77/5 83/25 84/8 84/13 84/17 84/19 85/6 85/20 85/23 85/24 85/25 91/1 91/21 92/17 92/17 116/3 117/24 118/18 $118 / 19118 / 22119 / 8121 / 1$ 121/5 121/7 121/7 121/16 141/9 151/2 152/4 152/4 154/1 166/1 166/3 185/21 185/22 187/25 189/18 190/14 191/22 195/20 200/19 212/25 213/2 213/6 215/21 223/25 224/12 231/1
theme [1] 150/19
themes [1] 173/15
themselves [8] 85/2 91/15 103/17 138/3 163/8 177/25 186/12 196/1
then [114] 6/15 11/4 12/14 14/14
15/18 19/23 24/11 42/1 43/6 43/14 46/8 50/18 53/12 56/16 56/20 57/17 67/20 68/20 70/8
70/12 72/13 79/1 79/3 79/5 79/17 80/24 81/9 81/12 81/19 81/22 97/9 98/6 100/24 102/7 102/9 104/7 105/15 108/15 109/9 109/14 109/19 109/22 109/25 110/9 110/17111/22 116/6 117/2 119/21 120/12 120/17 122/11 125/18 125/25 128/21 129/12 143/11 146/11 148/10 148/24 149/14 149/19 150/10 151/7 152/14 153/4 154/3 155/8 155/16 157/3 157/18 159/15 162/15 164/15 166/9 168/6 168/16 170/9 170/24 171/7 171/20 175/8 176/2 176/4 176/15 178/3 178/23 179/9 183/9 183/24 184/14 184/22 188/3 188/11 191/19 192/16 192/19 193/10 194/17 194/18 200/22 205/7 209/5 211/5 211/10 213/13 214/12 215/25 216/22 216/23 224/1 224/10 225/3 230/2
theories [1] 173/14
theory [5] 48/6 53/25 160/15 171/16217/14
there [181] $3 / 218 / 814 / 1414 / 18$ 22/16 22/24 26/16 26/17 26/17 29/19 36/2 38/14 42/1 43/14 43/23 48/17 50/16 50/19 50/23 51/21 52/4 53/22 53/24 54/15 58/7 58/20 58/22 62/9 66/23 66/24 67/1 67/1 67/15 68/2 68/3 68/8 68/16 68/23 69/4 69/6 69/7 69/13 70/18 70/22 71/1 71/3 74/21 77/3 82/5 82/10 89/13 89/14 93/2 93/8 93/1 8 93/19 95/9 95/22 98/2 98/8 99/11 101/23

103/12 103/18 104/19 105/19
106/2 106/18 106/18 106/24 109/1 110/13 111/3 111/15 111/22 112/17 114/15 115/19116/8 117/6 117/7118/20 119/11 120/22 121/10 122/6 124/7 126/23 127/9 127/10 127/23 128/2 130/11 131/11 134/22 137/24 145/10 146/16 151/24 152/19 152/20 154/11 156/18 157/19 158/8 161/8 164/19 165/4 165/5 165/11 165/16 166/20 167/25 168/23 169/3 170/3 170/9 170/12 171/4 171/5 171/7 172/10 172/21 173/14 173/14 173/15 173/15 173/21 173/24 174/3 175/2 175/11 176/15 179/5 179/18 182/11 183/5 184/9 186/4
186/5 188/15 190/3 191/10 191/13 196/2 199/16 203/15 203/23
204/10 204/14 206/6 206/9 206/10 207/10 208/11 208/12 210/17
210/21 213/21 215/25 216/17 216/19218/4218/5 219/7 220/3 220/4 220/7 220/16 220/17 220/19 222/19 223/1 223/5 223/14 223/19 226/8 227/8 228/12 229/6 231/5 there's [46] 14/23 60/7 72/22 90/4 95/21 97/2 106/15 110/3 111/14 114/22 115/10 $115 / 11116 / 21$ 127/20 127/21 156/16 157/11
160/10 160/21 162/2 162/9 164/19 165/17 171/8 172/23 173/19 173/25 174/25 177/3 180/21 182/3 183/15 201/15 209/21 218/8
218/12 218/21 219/5 220/11
220/12 223/4 223/19 224/4 224/10 230/4 230/24
thereabouts [1] 88/16
thereafter [2] 227/4 232/11 thereby [1] 118/25
therefore [7] $74 / 11115 / 6 \quad 122 / 4$ 174/11 198/12 224/20 224/25 these [46] 16/24 27/2 29/21 36/21 $37 / 742 / 2343 / 549 / 2053 / 468 / 8$ 81/12 87/2 89/16 92/10 93/22 93/24 95/7 98/13 98/13 102/22 110/22 $118 / 2$ 120/23 121/9 124/25 126/11 128/5 128/7 137/24 138/20 139/12 141/7 146/2 148/6 155/18 186/1 203/2 204/8 209/6 $215 / 2$ 215/14218/18218/19 221/7 222/3 224/4
they [218] 13/25 14/22 15/5 16/7 18/18 22/10 22/11 24/1 26/16 27/19 27/21 34/10 34/18 34/24 $35 / 137 / 937 / 937 / 1241 / 2342 / 8$ $42 / 1242 / 13$ 49/24 49/25 50/15 $51 / 1551 / 1853 / 153 / 353 / 557 / 18$ 59/22 59/23 59/24 60/7 60/13 61/24 65/6 65/7 68/16 70/17 70/18 70/18 70/19 70/21 70/24 71/3 71/4 71/6 71/9 71/11 71/12 71/20 76/14 77/6 77/10 79/18 $79 / 1980 / 1180 / 1284 / 884 / 25$ 85/2 85/9 85/16 86/7 86/8 86/9 $87 / 588 / 588 / 6$ 90/23 91/2 91/3 91/14 92/5 92/5 102/23 102/24 104/19 117/11117/25 121/15 127/2 127/3 127/4 127/8 127/9 128/5 131/2 131/15 131/21 131/21 133/13 136/25 137/9 137/16 138/13 146/10 146/11 149/23 149/23 151/22 151/25 152/3 152/5

154/17 155/19 $156 / 4$ 158/6 159/1
159/3 161/18 163/7 163/19 163/20 163/25 164/18 164/23 164/24 165/2 165/14 165/23 166/16 166/18 166/23 167/17 168/10 168/21 169/5 169/10 169/16
169/1 8 169/20 169/20 169/21 169/25 169/25 170/1 170/4 170/6 170/25 171/1 172/1 172/5 172/14 172/20 172/23 173/8 174/9 175/12 175/13 175/14 178/1 178/6 178/7
178/23 179/8 $179 / 8184 / 16185 / 14$
185/23 186/2 186/3 186/4 186/11
188/22 200/8 205/11 205/17 206/8 208/14 208/20 209/4 209/17
210/25 214/10215/11 216/1 216/5 216/6 216/18 217/4 217/7 217/18
$218 / 11218 / 12218 / 16219 / 2219 / 6$ 219/11 219/19 219/19 219/24 219/25 220/1 220/2 220/3 220/6 220/14 220/15 221/6 222/11 223/9 223/12 223/13 223/18 223/23 224/1 224/10 224/13 224/14 224/18 224/18 228/21 230/8 230/10 230/19
thing [9] $13 / 2424 / 2242 / 7$ 90/13 97/2 109/21 152/5 178/10 208/20 things [29] 27/2 35/4 42/4 53/6 65/16 70/1 71/25 77/4 80/5 85/20 85/21 101/23 123/12 138/21 141/1 147/22 151/9 165/6 171/12 183/2 205/24 207/21 209/3 209/6 215/25 218/18 218/19 221/7 222/12
think[138] 6/4 6/10 8/17 12/3
27/21 30/20 31/17 33/17 34/11 $35 / 438 / 739 / 1655 / 2362 / 766 / 4$ 70/21 77/20 77/21 78/5 79/7 79/12 79/14 81/14 85/22 88/24 93/3 95/5 96/1 98/11 99/3 99/25 101/2 102/17 102/21 104/10 104/22 106/7 106/23 106/24 108/2 108/6 109/24 110/11110/17
110/23 111/14 111/18111/20 112/17114/15 115/10117/6 118/13 119/6 121/3 122/21 124/7 128/12 131/8 131/24 132/9 133/15 133/1 8 133/22 134/19 140/8 146/9 150/2 150/6 150/20 150/23 151/25 154/15 154/19 154/24 154/25
155/4 155/16 156/18 157/11
157/15 158/18 161/11 162/2 163/2 163/4 164/16 165/12 168/12 169/2 169/6 169/25 170/2 172/15 172/25 174/5 174/21 175/12 176/3 177/10 177/10 179/18 180/11 180/16 180/1 8 180/22 181/25 182/4 182/14 184/21 185/11 187/1 188/24 189/14 190/9 194/5 202/2 202/9 203/1 1 204/7 204/12 206/16 207/9 208/10 208/16 208/25 209/4 209/7 209/19 210/13 211/21 212/18 213/19 220/1 220/6 221/7 222/1 231/9
thinking [1] 184/23
third [14] 105/18 134/13 155/24
157/7 157/9 161/20 169/5 169/11
180/4 180/5 180/24 181/10 210/20 211/5
this [345]
THOMAS [1] $1 / 13$
those [75] 3/23 7/19 17/17 18/16
30/17 38/14 43/18 44/5 44/17
$47 / 2150 / 10$ 53/6 68/20 69/14
those... [61] 69/25 75/22 77/4 77/13 82/9 85/7 92/7 93/7 114/4 114/7 117/18 117/23 119/5 119/10 119/16 120/21 122/23 125/3 127/3 134/3 134/12 140/11 140/15
141/22 142/16 145/21 150/11
150/18 151/24 152/12 155/13
157/17 161/12 164/14 168/13
170/19 171/12 172/24 177/16
181/17 181/23 182/23 183/2 183/5 191/14 198/20 203/4 204/5 205/16 207/21 207/25 208/5 208/8 209/3
210/6 214/18 214/19 215/9 225/21 229/10 230/7
though [3] 136/20 156/9 163/24
thought [10] 48/12 54/13 63/23
94/2 104/6 123/19 128/15 131/11 156/24 156/25
thousand [1] 225/16
thousands [2] 43/6 213/8
threaten [1] 124/17
three [17] 32/8 33/25 36/9 39/1
41/23 44/14 44/17 66/5 94/23
100/1 100/2 117/25 138/23 152/12 155/13 165/5 200/18
throat [1] 216/8
through [25] 12/14 13/7 48/7
53/23 58/24 68/15 89/11 94/19 102/18 128/17 137/25 140/7
164/15 165/2 167/20 167/22 168/7
168/9 169/4 176/3 176/4 189/18
207/23 216/12 222/24
throughout [6] 67/3 76/20 91/8
102/2 120/23 121/10
throughs [1] 169/4
throws [1] 224/7
Thursday [4] 18/24 47/14 48/3 211/17
Thus [1] 29/23
tie [1] 174/8
ties [2] $228 / 18228 / 18$
time [71] 3/1 4/10 5/24 7/5 9/20 10/9 10/23 23/1 27/15 34/2 34/4 44/23 45/22 53/7 58/20 62/19 65/10 68/7 70/17 70/17 70/19 71/2 72/19 87/5 87/6 87/8 87/9 87/9 87/13 89/23 103/5 105/10 110/23 130/4 136/2 137/16 138/21 152/4 155/9 155/17 155/22 161/11 162/1 169/5 169/11 169/18 175/15 176/10 177/12 177/19 181/9
184/18 196/21 197/6 197/14 202/1 203/17 203/21 204/13 207/11 209/18 209/20 212/13 213/13
214/5 214/21 218/5 219/10 219/17 225/13 229/17
timely [4] $189 / 2 \quad 189 / 3189 / 7 \quad 189 / 7$
times [9] 50/3 55/4 86/12 88/21
89/8 91/8 169/8 170/3 220/10
timing [1] 20/9
tip [1] 64/20
tips [1] 64/22
title [2] 12/6 123/3
today [38] 3/19 3/21 3/22 36/24
$72 / 1290 / 1690 / 17102 / 15102 / 16$ 103/10 110/10 111/7 111/25
112/21 113/6 114/12 115/22 124/5
126/22 126/24 143/14 146/3 150/2
153/11 168/19 183/20 196/18
204/25 211/3 211/10 213/2 228/21
229/4 229/5 229/11 230/20 231/2
$231 / 12$
today's [1] 103/21
together [12] 3/23 76/16 87/6
106/7 117/25 140/1 142/7 146/3
163/5 174/8 209/24 228/11
told [13] 11/7 15/12 21/12 22/9
36/22 48/18 91/13 92/14 99/22
100/3 107/7 120/16 221/5
Tom [2] 3/10 6/16
tone [3] 17/2 17/23 190/6
tongue [1] 134/4
too [7] 102/24 132/22 175/16
201/25 202/8 202/12 224/15
took [11] 30/7 43/22 65/19 65/24
88/7 99/15 122/25 129/24 141/8
216/5 216/18
tool [1] 62/1
top [1] 15/18
topic [1] 122/9
total [2] 9/20 174/16
totally [2] 176/14 218/1
touch [1] 189/20
tough [2] 84/12 200/22
towards [2] 78/2 163/20
town [2] 20/1 $211 / 20$
Toyota [4] 28/6 32/14 32/15 66/23
trade [1] 192/13
tradition [2] 132/18 132/21
trailer [17] 27/15 27/25 28/1 28/14
28/22 29/5 29/6 32/16 32/23
69/21 216/4 217/5 217/7 218/3
218/3 218/22 218/23
training [3] $46 / 1746 / 2087 / 15$
transcribed [1] 232/11
transcript [4] $1 / 21$ 39/20 232/8 232/12
transcription [1] 232/11
transcripts [1] 43/7
transfer [3] 19/4 19/10 20/4
transportation [1] 159/9
transported [2] 158/23 172/5
transporting [1] 186/2
treated [1] 110/4
trek [1] 152/22
trial [74] 7/5 7/15 54/6 104/21
113/24 123/15 124/3 124/4 124/6 124/18 $124 / 22$ 125/1 $125 / 4125 / 4$ 125/13 125/14 126/1 126/6 126/7 130/3 130/9 130/10 130/20 131/3 131/3 132/1 132/2 132/4 132/15 132/23 134/21 135/2 136/4 136/4 137/11 $142 / 21$ 143/9 144/8 144/12 144/21 $145 / 20$ 146/8 146/24 147/1 147/17 148/8 148/1 1 148/19 148/21 149/6 160/3 160/7 160/8 161/3 162/7 162/8 170/15 181/8 185/18 186/23 191/20 192/4
192/22 197/24 200/12 202/5 203/1 207/12 208/8 209/5 209/7 209/8 209/16 210/3
trial's [1] 160/25
trials [1] 104/15
tried [9] 59/1 69/11 71/14 131/19 131/22 133/1 134/3 152/5 227/4
trouble [1] 83/25
troubled [1] 194/16
troubles [1] 164/20
true [12] 7/19 19/8 36/18 49/3
77/19 86/23 112/5 201/10 202/2
221/9 230/13 232/12
trust [3] 10/21 11/3 209/11
truth [6] 161/1 177/17 220/16
224/1 224/9 229/14
try [12] $17 / 5$ 57/15 65/9 66/15
80/9 86/8 $110 / 8123 / 12$ 152/8 202/14 205/10 218/24
trying [19] 30/21 48/10 49/2 66/17 77/10 86/1 91/2 109/23 125/14 147/2 148/1 164/24 170/14 174/14 176/21 183/14 195/1 200/25 217/13
Tuesday [4] 32/13 67/8 79/9 79/14
tunc [1] 110/5
turn [5] 77/16 183/22 184/13
203/1 203/2
turned [4] 88/7 94/16 100/8 187/17
Turner [1] 136/20
turning [1] 185/3
turns [1] 217/22
TV [6] 35/6 43/18 104/24 122/7 122/13 129/23
TV's [1] 92/1
two [54] $10 / 3$ 11/25 13/14 19/1
$21 / 1427 / 1633 / 2534 / 334 / 935 / 6$
35/12 35/19 35/21 36/9 40/7
$40 / 1141 / 241 / 543 / 244 / 646 / 20$
49/23 64/1 71/7 75/21 77/4 77/13
95/2 101/2 122/6 122/12 122/20
122/23 126/11 129/23 130/16
137/23 138/21 145/19 145/21
148/9 155/25 $158 / 1$ 162/8 164/4
168/13 181/3 183/15 183/17
184/13 191/14 212/23 213/8 215/2
tying [1] 142/22
type [18] 13/24 24/22 42/6 49/20 53/6 53/25 69/23 89/8 89/17 95/23 156/15 160/9 160/9 160/19 164/16 171/2 177/11 180/16
types [1] 161/8
typical [4] 59/15 59/19 59/19 65/17
typically [3] 72/20 73/4 101/17 U
ultimate [1] 82/13
ultimately [6] 15/18 26/11 38/4
47/13 57/20 196/12
ultimatum [1] 144/15
un [1] 204/10
unavoidably [1] 126/9
unbroken [1] 135/25
unburden [1] 222/16
uncovered [1] 171/7
under [46] 11/21 11/23 11/25 12/7
13/11 $13 / 15$ 15/16 29/22 30/9
37/25 86/17 93/7 103/19 104/4 104/9 $113 / 8114 / 23115 / 4115 / 7$ 115/9115/25 116/8 116/13116/18 121/23 127/4 134/15 140/24 142/1 1 146/19 148/4 149/7 153/2
157/17 165/12 174/23 186/17
187/10 190/8 194/25 196/1 196/16 198/6 199/17 204/3 205/6
understand [30] 4/2 13/15 13/25 23/17 30/25 31/7 35/1 38/11 91/11 97/9 97/22 102/7 118/19 131/12 131/12 131/16 131/22 140/10 145/8 145/16 145/22
150/15 151/23 168/10 176/20
199/14 200/11 204/12 204/16
207/17
understanding [5] 102/10 103/20 115/8 182/1 209/23
understands [3] 107/9 114/4 134/11


154/9 164/3 175/17
undertake [1] 107/12
undertaken [1] 78/17
undertaking [2] 44/14 122/25
undisputed [2] 118/16 122/16
unfair [1] 199/2
unfairly [1] 149/11
unfortunate [1] 137/19
unfortunately [2] 138/13 221/9
unhappy [1] 126/14
uniform [1] 38/3
United [1] 180/12
unknown [2] 161/20 215/20
unless [1] 210/15
unnecessarily [1] 141/11
unpalatable [4] 131/13 131/14 131/16 131/22
unproven [1] 131/21
unrelated [2] 10/7 194/11
unseal [3] 104/12 106/23 111/15
unsealed [15] 73/18 103/23 105/2
105/16 105/21 106/5 108/18
110/23 111/9111/21113/18
113/25 114/18 114/20 116/23
unsealing [4] 107/21 114/15
116/19 117/3
unsupervised [2] 147/14 147/16
unsupported [1] 221/3
unsuspecting [1] 205/12
unsworn [1] 28/6
until [16] 67/4 68/4 95/19 96/3
101/13 107/19 153/9 153/14 160/3 174/24 193/12 206/18 219/10
219/15 219/24 223/12
unusual [5] 132/10 140/17 177/8
187/1 187/2
unwilling [1] 152/15
up [51] 12/14 15/1 16/11 25/2
33/8 52/24 53/20 65/24 68/24
69/2 75/25 87/20 87/22 97/4
103/21 109/22 $111 / 6$ 113/5 118/22
125/23 130/9 132/3 136/7 142/9
157/14 166/20 176/15 177/8 179/1
179/1 179/5 180/14 189/21 191/23
195/24 205/10 209/6 210/9 215/3
216/3 218/1 $218 / 19$ 220/15 220/22
221/23 223/13 224/5 224/8 226/8 227/4 227/21
upfront [1] 162/6
upon [6] 127/8 $142 / 2 \quad 144 / 14$
149/22 214/2 214/14
upset [3] 77/17 222/18 222/21
urge [1] 121/23
urging [2] $43 / 2215 / 4$
us [24] 11/7 15/12 47/8 78/9 91/12 91/13 92/12 92/13 93/12 97/20 97/25 107/11 134/13 166/18 176/6 180/2 194/9 194/18 208/21 210/8
210/13 211/24 218/18 224/9
use [7] 67/2 108/1 134/17 144/16
148/11 163/21 208/23
used [10] 43/18 66/13 66/20 86/11 138/12 152/3 179/14 189/6 189/8 215/15
using [3] 64/5 139/16 147/19
usually [4] 24/23 171/13 185/20 191/8
utilize [2] 68/17 148/16
walk [2] 172/7 191/24
walls [1] 216/6
want [30] 30/10 33/11 35/10 40/1 59/22 80/17 80/20 80/25 81/4 98/25 107/17 114/6 117/18 130/6 135/10 151/14 156/20 166/18 169/20 170/1 170/4 172/16 173/2 176/24 190/19 196/15 200/14 200/19 219/2 219/25
wanted [12] $43 / 1951 / 759 / 23$
85/11 97/25 112/13 114/10115/20 139/1 158/6 200/21 224/5
wants [5] 169/7 174/25 191/17
208/24 209/4
Ward [1] 194/9
warm [1] 203/15
warned [1] 128/14
warrant [2] 28/14 29/7
was [443]
Washington [2] 20/2 107/10
wasn't [12] 84/3 93/22 98/3 169/7
178/13 178/14 190/3 204/14 205/1
217/19 219/10219/15
waste [1] 162/1
wastes [1] 202/1
watch [2] 121/25 128/14
watched [2] 92/1 $152 / 4$
watching [3] 80/12 96/5 99/7
Wausau [1] 44/4
way [55] $11 / 17$ 15/1 20/6 24/14
27/10 28/10 30/25 32/6 33/4 34/8
58/23 64/5 73/18 78/7 86/22
86/24 97/8 109/24 123/12 124/14
127/9 141/22 146/20 150/6 151/5
154/10 158/18 160/16 161/2 165/8 168/12 168/12 168/12 168/24 168/25 170/24 173/5 175/17 176/7 177/13 182/10 190/13 190/16 190/25 208/24 209/10 216/25 219/6 221/17 222/1 222/8 222/24 222/24 226/19 227/8
we [309]
we'll [11] 3/25 27/2 103/2 110/8
111/11155/22157/4 210/1211/10 231/10 231/11
we're [43] 6/13 44/14 46/3 81/20
98/11 102/7 103/5 103/7 113/13 126/22 146/7 154/3 155/15 157/4 160/17 166/1 166/3 167/23 170/14 170/24 170/25 171/10 179/10 181/25 184/5 192/5 193/8 193/15 193/16 196/9 198/15 198/16 198/1 8 199/8 201/8 202/13 205/20 208/21 212/3 213/2 224/24 229/11 231/12
wearing [2] $38 / 280 / 22$
weather [1] 192/2
websites [1] 43/11
Wednesday [1] 211/23
week[11] 20/1 20/1752/19 68/2
88/16 136/4 155/1 155/10 211/19
211/21 211/22
weeks [5] 33/25 35/19 35/21 135/2
161/7
weigh [3] 161/13 168/9 229/13
weighing [1] 164/23
welcome [2] 39/21 $153 / 11$
well [86] $4 / 44 / 1713 / 1316 / 25$
18/12 26/16 32/24 38/19 42/1
47/23 62/12 67/4 74/21 76/24
$80 / 1982 / 1382 / 2584 / 1684 / 18$
$88 / 2191 / 19$ 94/5 98/11 100/6
100/15 102/21 106/6 106/22
well... [58] 110/19 111/6 113/20 114/17115/19115/21116/5 121/3 122/24 127/23 128/19 135/2 135/7 140/25 145/5 $151 / 23153 / 5$ 153/6 156/23 157/3 160/13 162/12 164/13 169/7 171/5 171/5 172/13 173/23 174/4 175/25 186/17 187/2 190/10 191/19 192/11 192/17 193/15 193/17 193/17 199/6 201/21 212/11 212/21 213/12 215/13 216/6 216/16 216/17 218/16 220/2 220/6 220/14 220/16 223/7 223/16 224/2 224/5 231/11 went [11] 6/24 49/12 65/24 128/19 164/15 180/8 189/18 205/13 217/11 221/23 222/4
were [144] 5/15 6/15 10/19 10/19 10/20 11/1 20/19 22/9 27/16 27/19 29/8 29/10 30/16 30/23 30/23 31/4 32/9 34/5 34/24 35/1 35/16 39/9 41/5 45/11 47/6 48/17 49/11 50/15 50/16 50/23 51/15 $51 / 1751 / 1852 / 1353 / 353 / 557 / 2$ $57 / 257 / 1858 / 1258 / 2058 / 22$ 61/7 62/8 62/14 63/11 63/17 63/23 64/9 66/17 67/1 67/2 67/6 67/10 68/2 68/7 68/16 69/4 69/6 69/7 69/13 69/16 70/17 70/18 70/18 71/3 71/12 71/20 71/22 71/25 72/5 74/20 75/15 75/21 76/4 76/19 76/21 79/19 81/25 $82 / 582 / 15$ 82/25 84/8 84/25 85/9 85/16 86/15 87/3 87/3 87/5 90/19 90/23 91/2 91/14 91/17 91/20 92/4 92/11 93/10 93/11 93/14 93/24 94/2 94/3 95/12 96/25 103/18 103/21 115/8 $115 / 13119 / 8$ 121/13 128/5 128/5 128/9 140/16 154/9 155/18 156/24 156/25 168/2 171/6 173/16 175/22 184/14 185/9 191/18 195/11 195/14 195/20 201/6 204/2 204/8 204/8 204/14 209/24 214/7 214/10 214/16 215/11215/15215/25 218/10 220/17
weren't [2] 71/24 92/16
WFRV [1] 152/8
wfrv.com [1] 152/3
what [187] 7/4 16/1 19/23 21/19 22/14 23/16 23/16 23/22 23/25 27/3 27/3 27/3 28/19 30/24 36/22 36/23 37/9 37/12 40/1 40/10 42/8 48/1750/24 51/1 51/751/1854/5 54/9 56/9 56/12 57/8 57/13 57/22 57/24 58/4 58/5 58/14 60/24 61/20 62/22 62/24 63/20 65/7 69/1 8 69/19 71/14 74/24 75/12 $75 / 19$ 75/21 75/25 76/1 78/13 78/21 79/10 80/15 80/21 81/14 $82 / 182 / 2084 / 8$ 84/16 86/2 86/8 86/9 86/14 88/3 88/5 88/10 88/13 93/6 97/10 98/24 99/5 100/14 100/15 101/24 112/6 113/19 113/20 116/16 $118 / 17118 / 17$ 121/10 121/11 123/2 128/15 128/19 129/6 130/23 131/8 132/12 139/2 139/3 139/13 139/14 140/1 140/19 141/21 143/23 144/15 145/8 146/1 146/4 149/12 149/14 150/13 150/24 152/7 154/6 154/18 155/8 157/18 159/16 159/25 160/1

160/14 160/14 160/15 162/15
162/21 163/4 163/23 163/25
164/24 166/16 167/17 169/12
170/6 170/14 170/25 172/15 173/7 174/18 175/9 175/16 176/23 178/7 178/19 179/4 180/9 181/19 182/24 184/5 186/3 187/21 187/21 188/18 191/3 191/8 192/7 198/25 199/13 199/21 199/25 200/17 202/16 202/20 202/20 204/3 204/5 204/15 206/22 207/7 211/17 213/7 213/7 213/22 215/24 217/7 217/14
219/24 219/25 219/25 223/3 223/3
223/18 223/20 224/24 225/22
226/13 226/14 227/7 227/16 229/6 229/9 229/19
what's [11] 16/1 44/6 47/4 86/9 107/20 113/8 137/7 176/10 204/15 218/7 222/5
whatever [9] 24/1 24/6 159/2
165/17 172/1 178/6 178/22 212/6

## 214/6

when [73] $5 / 238 / 8 \quad 19 / 2420 / 9$ 20/23 20/24 21/8 21/17 25/11 34/24 35/2 35/14 38/19 40/19 40/19 40/22 42/25 55/24 56/23 62/14 65/6 65/10 72/11 72/21 74/15 75/15 78/5 78/7 79/5 79/5 93/14 93/24 94/15 112/7 127/8 127/15 130/5 130/14 131/2 135/14 139/20 140/1 140/2 141/3 142/4 152/5 161/22 164/24 170/4 178/10 184/23 185/13 188/19 189/2 196/9 197/20 197/21 198/14 198/19
203/6 207/17 208/7 208/16 213/2 213/23 $215 / 8219 / 10222 / 10$ 222/19 223/18 223/23 224/1 229/16
where [30] 6/21 14/18 40/2 50/9 82/5 87/17 104/18 109/15 112/11 126/11 126/12 128/2 131/2 136/6 147/4 158/6 163/10 163/14 164/23 169/4 172/22 177/12 177/25 187/4 193/3 204/2 205/5 209/8 $218 / 19$ 224/10
where's [3] 216/16 220/2 220/2 wherever [2] 57/18 209/17 whether [46] 8/20 11/2 22/16 26/1 36/2 46/16 79/19 91/2 114/10 135/14 136/25 138/12 143/2 144/11 144/12 145/6 145/10 148/3 148/15 152/15 157/13 157/19 161/6 161/7 163/6 163/9 165/22 166/8 167/7 173/13 174/22 175/15 176/7 176/18 176/23 176/24 177/6 180/7 194/4 205/8 205/9 205/1 1 205/17 205/19 210/2 210/5 which [80] $5 / 24$ 14/24 27/7 32/13 36/2 1 39/3 43/7 43/18 62/7 64/3 66/1 8 67/8 77/20 78/9 87/20 92/5 93/3 104/4 107/1 109/14 109/21 110/12 111/11 113/7113/23 114/20 $115 / 25116 / 9117 / 11$ 118/13 118/21 120/16 121/22 122/13 124/23 126/7 127/21 129/1 129/2 130/13 131/17 131/19
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Stephen Avery. It's Case No. 05 CF 381. We are here this morning to take evidence on some of the pretrial motions that have been filed. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz appearing as Special Prosecutor. Also appearing for the State is Tom Fallon from the Department of Justice.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Buting and Williams by Attorney Jerome Buting, appearing on behalf of Mr. Avery. Also present, Attorney Dean Strang.

THE COURT: All right. We did meet in chambers, briefly, before beginning today. I believe the parties agreed that the first evidence to be presented would be on the defense motion to suppress statements made to media, and the State was going to begin. Mr. Fallon, are you going to be handling this portion?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Yes, your Honor, I will be conducting the evidentiary portion and argument, if any, relative to this issue.

THE COURT: All right. You may call your first witness.

ATTORNEY FALLON: The State would call
Emily Matesic.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, could we just ask for a sequestration order of any other witnesses that are anticipated?

THE COURT: Are there any?
ATTORNEY FALLON: There is one other media person, who I have not had an opportunity to talk to, who interviewed the defendant on two entirely different occasions.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And there's the Jail Administrator --

ATTORNEY FALLON: And there's the Jail Administrator.

ATTORNEY STRANG: -- to Calumet County who should be sequestered.

THE COURT: All right. Any objection to having those folks excluded from the courtroom?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No, I have no objection. Ms Kolbusz, I guess you will have to step out, and Mr. Byrnes. Very good.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Before we begin with Ms Matesic, I'm informed by counsel that she provided some documents today, two of which I think we should get copied before.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct. The witness did provide some additional information, and I have asked one of our assistants to make a couple of copies. So it will just be another moment or two before those copies appear. They are very short, two or three pages apiece. So, it will be just another minute or two and counsel will have those.

THE COURT: Okay. The clerk can swear the witness at this time.
emily matesic, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Emily Matesic M-a-t-e-s-i-c. THE COURT: Mr. Strang, do you object to questioning beginning?

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, on the understanding I will have these in a couple minutes, we can go ahead.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Very well. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. What do you do for a living?
A. I'm a television news reporter.
Q. And for whom are you a reporter?
A. WBAY-TV.
Q. How long have you been a reporter for that entity?
A. Almost 11 months.
Q. All right. And are you currently assigned to any particular duties as a reporter with that news outlet?
A. Just a general assignment reporter.
Q. All right. And specifically, then, directing your attention to November, 2005, did you have an opportunity to interview the defendant in this case, Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. And first of all, would you describe for us how it came to be that you interviewed Mr. Avery; in other words, how did it start?
A. Which interview?
Q. I'm talking about the first interview, on November 12th, in fact, that's a good question. So let me ask you this, how many times did you interview Mr. Avery?
A. A couple, twice.
Q. All right. And in what format was he interviewed?
A. The first one was in person, on camera, and the second one was over the phone.
Q. All right. And so the focus of our hearing today, so it's clear in your mind, would be for the first interview, which was on camera.
A. All right.
Q. With respect to that particular interview, do you recall when that occurred?
A. I believe it was November 12th.
Q. Tell us how it happened.
A. The case had been going on for a couple of weeks. And I was with some co-workers on the night of the 11th and we were just kind of talking about everything that day. There had been a news conference that Steven Avery would be charged with Teresa Halbach's murder the following week.

And we were just sitting around talking about it. And he had been talking to the media throughout this whole investigation and we -- and so I -- I got into work on Saturday morning and called the Calumet County Jail to see if he would be willing to do an interview with us.
Q. Tell us about the call.
A. I called the jail and told whoever answered the phone who $I$ was and what $I$ was interested in, and
asked if Steven Avery would be interested in doing another -- doing an interview with us. And they said, hold on, we'll go ask him. Put me on hold. A couple minutes later, came back and said, yes, he will talk to you.
Q. And was there any other instructions, or any other feedback, or comments from the administrator at the jail, or whomever it was that you spoke to, any other instructions or comments, requests?
A. No.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm going to object. Move to strike the prior question, or at least the answer, as inadmissible hearsay, as to the person on the phone.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I would object for two reasons: One, I don't believe the evidentiary rules are applicable, in terms of a motion in limine like this, to determine the admissibility of evidence. And number two, it does have a substantial, quote, "affect on the listener", closed quote, as to the course of conduct to be embarked upon. So under either theory, this statement would be admissible. ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, I think under
911.01 the rules of evidence do govern this proceeding, one. And, two, clearly the representation of what Mr. Avery said is offered for the truth here, not just for the state of mind of Ms Matesic, which wouldn't be relevant anyway.

THE COURT: Okay. Your objection is going, now, to which question and answer?

ATTORNEY STRANG: What happens when she's talking to the administrator, and leaving the phone, and coming back, and purportedly relaying Mr . Avery's statement. So we have two levels of hearsay there. His would be admissible under 908.01, but the person on the phone is an inadmissible level of hearsay and it's offered for its truth.

THE COURT: I think it's acceptable to at least explain why she came to the interview, so I'm going to allow it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ After this conversation, what did you do?
A. My photographer and I got in the car and drove to the Calumet County Jail.
Q. All right. What occurred when you arrived at the jail?
A. We signed in at the front desk and they said
we'll take you into the room, we'll let you get set up, and then we'll bring Mr. Avery in.
Q. All right. And describe the room for us.
A. Very small room, there's just a small table and either two or three chairs.
Q. All right. Was there any -- How many doors to the room?
A. There were two doors.
Q. Any windows to the room?
A. Both doors had windows.
Q. All right. And in terms of the walls, any windows there?
A. No.
Q. All right. Who arrived in the room first, you and your cameraman, or Mr. Avery?
A. Mr. Avery was sitting in there when we walked in.
Q. All right. When he was sitting there, was there any conversation, that you recall, between yourself and Mr. Avery, prior to going on camera?
A. I think just small talk, hello, that sort of thing.
Q. All right. In your meeting with Mr. Avery at that time, did he give you any indication, whatsoever, that he did not want to participate in such an interview?
A. No.
Q. As you recall now, thinking back on that moment, can you describe his demeanor for us?
A. Just -- He was just relaxed, just kind of sitting there.
Q. All right. Once the -- Who else was in the room?
A. My photographer.
Q. Was there any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department in the room with you, as you were setting up to conduct this interview?
A. I believe they were in there when they walked us in. I don't remember how long they were in there while we were setting up.
Q. All right. During the course of the interview itself, was there any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department present?
A. No.
Q. All right. In terms of the windows you have previously described in the door, were there any members of the Sheriff's Department posted outside the doors?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Which door, or both doors?
A. I believe there were both doors, one was behind me, so I couldn't see that one.
Q. Right. All right. Prior to engaging in a discussion with Mr. Avery, did you or your cameraman, and that being him in your presence, have any discussions with any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department regarding the nature of your interview?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any discussions with them with respect to what topics would be covered in the interview?
A. No.
Q. Did they suggest any questions to ask of Mr. Avery during the course of the interview?
A. No.
Q. Your best estimate, approximately how long did the interview of the defendant take?
A. We were probably there between 20 and 30 minutes.
Q. All right. Were you given any instructions as to how to conduct the interview, or for the length of the interview, by members of the Sheriff's Department? In terms of -- when I say conduct, I mean, for instance, turning the camera on, turning the camera off, positioning of the person, or anything like that.
A. No.
Q. All right. And in terms of the length, was that regulated at all by the members of the Sheriff's Department?
A. No.
Q. All right. Now, Ms Matesic, this morning, immediately prior to going on the record, my assistant provided us some documents that you brought along, and I would like to have you just identify them for purposes of the record.

ATTORNEY FALLON: And if I may approach the witness and have an exhibit marked?

THE COURT: Yes. The clerk can mark the exhibit.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
(Exhibit 1, 2, and 3 marked for identification.)
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Ms Matesic, I'm showing you what has been marked for identification purposes, for this hearing, as Exhibit No. 1. First of all, we'll start with that, what is Exhibit

No. 1?
A. It's a VHS copy of five stories, I think. Yeah, five stories involving interviews that I did with Mr. Avery.
Q. All right. And with respect to the interview which is at issue today, the November 12th
interview, is there a videotape of that particular interview?
A. Yes -- Not of the interview, of the stories that aired on our station.
Q. Just of the stories that aired?
A. Correct.
Q. Very well. All right. And as far as you know, as the stories aired, is this a true and accurate video recording of those stories that were aired, relative to your interviews with Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. Very good. With respect to Exhibits 2 and 3, could you identify these documents for us, please.
A. Those are the scripts from the two interviews -or the two stories I did on the 12th.
Q. Okay. And scripts, would it be fair to say that scripts are what you use for purposes of broadcasting a story?
A. Yes.
Q. And likewise, these do not contain the full content of the interview with Mr. Avery, relative to November 12?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So they are the media broadcast accounts?
A. Correct.
Q. Very good. Thank you. As far as Exhibits 2 and 3, are they true and accurate scripts, relative to the broadcasts of the story, relative to the interview on November 12th?
A. Yes.
Q. Very well. Thank you.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Subject to Counsel's cross, would move to the introduction of those exhibits.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I have no objection to 1, 2 , or 3 .

THE COURT: All right. Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 are admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ What occurred upon your conclusion of the interview?
A. We finished up the interview. I thanked Mr. Avery for talking to us. And at that point a deputy from the jail had come back into the room, because we were finished. And I said, can I leave him my card, and he said -- the deputy said, I can take it. I couldn't actually give it to Mr. Avery.
Q. All right. And at any point during the -- I think you said 20 to perhaps 30 minutes that you
spent with him -- at any time during that particular interview, did Mr. Avery express to you that he did not wish to participate in the interview?
A. No.
Q. How would you characterize his willingness to participate in an interview, which was on camera?
A. He continued to ask my questions.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. He continued to ask -- answer my questions.
Q. All right. Prior to beginning the interview, did you explain to Mr. Avery what the nature or purpose of your coming to interview him was?
A. I don't really recall.
Q. All right. How did the interview begin, what did you tell him, in terms of why you were there, perhaps?
A. I think I -- if my memory serves me correctly -just said, you know, don't know if you're aware, but they announced you are going to be charged with Teresa Halbach's murder, and we just sort of started the interview.
Q. All right. Do you recall, at this particular time, the general framework of any of the questions that you asked him, in terms of leading
into the interview; do you remember how it started?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you have any specific recollection as to whether -- Well, let me rephrase that question. Was it made clear to Mr. Avery that the interview was likely to be broadcast, or parts of the interview would be broadcast on television?
A. I don't think $I$ ever said anything like that, but I guess you assume, when you go in with a camera and a microphone, that you are eventually going to use the interview for a story.
Q. Did you identify yourself to him?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you identify yourself to him; do you recall?
A. I said, I'm Emily Matesic from Channel 2.
Q. And did he seem to recognize either who you were or perhaps your news station?
A. That $I$ don't recall.
Q. Okay. At any point during the interview, did he ask that the camera be turned off?
A. No.
Q. At any point in the interview, did he refuse to answer any of your questions?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will pass the witness.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Ms Matesic, if I understood you correctly, you began working at WBAY in August, 2005?
A. Correct.
Q. You previously had not worked in Wisconsin?
A. No.
Q. The first time you heard the name Steven Avery would have been shortly after November 3, 2005?
A. That I don't recall.
Q. First time you heard the name Steven Avery was in connection with Teresa Halbach's disappearance?
A. I believe so.
Q. You were assigned to that story as a general assignment reporter?
A. Yes.
Q. You explained to Mr. Fallon, on direct examination, your belief that on November 11, when you were chatting with one or more co-workers, whatever it was, that the case had been going on for a couple of weeks at that point; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. By that, you mean the public awareness of the disappearance of Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Avery, himself, was under arrest, you knew, by November 11?
A. Correct.
Q. You had been following the news conferences that Mr. Kratz and Sheriff Pagel, principally, had been holding?
A. Yes.
Q. Had you attended those?
A. A few of them.
Q. Not all of them, but some of them you had attended, personally?
A. Yes.
Q. You had introduced yourself to Sheriff Pagel at some point?
A. I believe -- Yes.
Q. Sure. Introduced yourself to Mr. Kratz at some point before November 11?
A. Probably.
Q. Had you met other members of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, let's say during or at about the time of those news conferences?
A. You would see them and just say hello. I don't know if I was on a first name basis with any of them, or given my name.
Q. That included Detective Mark Wiegert?
A. Possibly.
Q. Okay. When did you become on a first name basis with any of the members of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. I don't think $I$ was ever on a first name basis with any of them. Sheriff Pagel was the one that I had any sort of conversation with.
Q. All right. And November 11, you're having this discussion. I missed it, I don't know how many co-workers it was, or if that matters, but you are talking with them about Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. Or the case. Tell me a little bit more about that conversation, who says what.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to ask for a little foundation as to when and where this foundation -- or this conversation took place.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ I think you described only one, it was on November 11, and you were at work; am I correct?
A. We weren't at work.
Q. You weren't at work. All right. Would it be polite to tell me where you were, even if it's not polite to ask?
A. We were having a couple of cocktails in a bar.
Q. All right. Reporters will do that. So you are having a couple of cocktails at the bar; how many co-workers?
A. I think there were three of us that worked at the station together, but I don't remember, there might have been a couple other people there. We don't all just hang out together, we have other friends.
Q. You have friends. Okay. All right. That's good.

ATTORNEY STRANG: You don't need the name of the bar for foundation; do you, Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Nope.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ This was only one conversation, on November 11, if I understood you correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So, you know, I wasn't there. I don't drink cocktails. And it was -- I would have no way of knowing who said what. But how
did the subject of Steven Avery come up?
A. I think it had been a story that we had been covering for a couple weeks, and the news conference from that day kind of sparked our conversation. I think we were -- it was the first time we were all together and just kind of rehashing the day.
Q. And who had the idea that, hey, let's go try to talk to Mr. Avery?
A. That I don't remember.
Q. Had -- Was there any discussion about, you know, whether the Calumet County Sheriff's Department would, or would not, allow that to occur in the jail?
A. I think someone did mention, or I mentioned, and I said, I wonder if we would be allowed in to talk to him.
Q. Okay. And what was the response to that?
A. I don't know, we'll make a phone call in the morning.
Q. All right. And that's exactly what you did?
A. Yes.
Q. About when in the morning?
A. I'm scheduled to be into work at 9:30, so sometime around there.
Q. All right. And you called, what, the general number for the Calumet County Jail, or what --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or what did you do? And was it the person who answered the phone to whom you addressed the inquiry, hey, can we come down and interview Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Do you know who that person was?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you remember if it was male or female?
A. That I don't even remember.
Q. And if I understood you, you said, on direct examination, that the person said something like, I don't know, we'll ask?
A. Yes.
Q. You were put on hold, or the phone was put down in any event?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And how much time passed before a voice came back on the jail end of the telephone?
A. A minute or two, not very long.
Q. At most, two minutes?
A. Probably, I really am not 100 percent sure.
Q. Okay. But a short time?
A. Yes, I wasn't on hold for a half hour or anything like that.
Q. All right. And was it the same person, if you recognized the voice, who came back?
A. That $I$ don't remember. I'm assuming it was the same person, or at the time $I$ assumed. I don't know for sure.
Q. Okay. Whether it was someone new, or the same person?
A. Right.
Q. And that person said, as best as you recall, what?
A. He agreed to do the interview.
Q. And what was left for you, then, to discuss with the person on the phone from the jail?
A. I said, what do I need to do. And they said, just come down here and we'll take you into a room for the interview.
Q. Did they ask when you were coming?
A. I think I asked what time, and they said whenever. I said, okay, we'll leave in a few minutes.
Q. All right. So the response from them was, you can come whenever you like?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ask, would there be a time limit on how long we could see Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. And they didn't volunteer that there would be a time limit?
A. No.
Q. In fact, there turned out not to be anyone knocking on the door, saying times up, or trying to regulate the amount of time you spent?
A. No.
Q. So, you said, we'll hop in the car, we'll come down. I can show you the sign-in registry if you want, but if I suggest to you, that at least what you wrote signing in was 11:15 in the morning, on Saturday, November 12, does that sound about right?
A. I guess, yeah, it was in the morning. It was in the morning. I believe you, on the sign in sheet.
Q. Okay. You are welcome to see it if you want.
A. That's probably about right.
Q. About 11:15 in the morning. All right. And did you have any conversations with anyone from law enforcement? You know, by that, I would include the District Attorney's Office, the Calumet

County Sheriff's Department, certainly the Calumet County Jail, or any other law enforcement agency, before you arrived at the jail, just before 11:15?
A. Nothing, other than the phone call to the jail. Q. All right. You came down with one cameraman?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, your purpose in interviewing Steven Avery, was to ask him about the events that you had seen discussed on the news conferences?
A. Yes.
Q. Ask him, in general, about the Halbach disappearance and the charges arising from her disappearance?
A. Yes.
Q. You had no other reason to want to interview Steven Avery?
A. No.
Q. You weren't interested in his opinions on other topics?
A. No.
Q. Didn't regard him as newsworthy, on November 12, 2005, other than for his connection to the charges that, then, had been filed against him, and the events surrounding Teresa Halbach's disappearance?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you explain to the person who answered the phone, in the jail, when you called shortly after 9:30, that you wanted to talk to Mr. Avery about the Halbach case, or about the charges against him?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times have you been in the Calumet County Jail, total?
A. Once, in the jail.
Q. All right. And how many times in the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, total?
A. Once in the Sheriff's Department.
Q. Are we talking about the same time, November 12?
A. No.
Q. No. Separate times?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And the Sheriff's Department was when?
A. That I don't recall.
Q. Before or after the November 12 televised interview of Mr. Avery?
A. It was before.
Q. And that was to see whom?
A. Sheriff Pagel.
Q. To interview him, or for some other purpose?
A. For a different -- To interview him for a different story in the county.
Q. Unrelated to --
A. Unrelated to the Avery --
Q. -- to Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes. Unrelated to that, completely unrelated.
Q. Okay. And in fact, do you recall, was that interview with Sheriff Pagel before you were aware that Ms Halbach had been reported missing?
A. Well before, I believe, yes.
Q. Okay. So -- I mean, it couldn't have been before August 2005?
A. Correct.
Q. But late summer, fall, before Ms Halbach disappears?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. I'm actually going there because I'm going to try to ask you about the jail layout, and if you have only been there once, it would be fair if you don't recall. But let me ask, okay. There's a heavy metal security door to the left of the bullet proof window where you first see a jail receptionist; do you recall that?
A. I don't remember the door to the left. I believe we went in a door to the right.
Q. To the right. Okay. Did you have to be buzzed in?
A. Yes.
Q. Security door. All right. And then do you remember where you signed the book, where you wrote your name, and the time in, and the date?
A. Outside of that window, before we were escorted into the jail area.
Q. Before you're within the secure area?
A. We walked in the door and that window is right there, that's where we signed in.
Q. Oh, okay. And then you think you went into a door to the right and now you are in the secure area of the jail.
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. All right. The small room that you described doing -- you know, in which you did the interview, is that the -- sort of the first room, on the left, in the hallway?
A. Yes. I don't know if it's the first room, but it was at the beginning of that hallway.
Q. When you went in to get to the interview room and went through that first secure door, did you go
through an area that had some desks, people sitting out and some offices against the back?
A. No, I don't remember that at all.
Q. You just went straight into a hallway?
A. Into a hallway.
Q. All right. And the room, you think, was the first one on the left?
A. It was on the left. I don't know if it was the first room.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. You were aware of a deputy posted outside each door, while you were in the room?
A. Yes.
Q. You could see them through the windows on the door?
A. I could see the one, because the one door was behind me. So, I don't have eyes in the back of my head, but I could see the one, you know.
Q. How did you know there was somebody standing behind you, behind that door?
A. The deputy that walked us in said that there would be a deputy at each door.
Q. All right. And the deputies were uniformed?
A. Yes.
Q. They were standing immediately at the door?
A. The one that $I$ could see wasn't right at the door, a little bit away from the door.
Q. Looking in, or watching?
A. That I don't know. My eyes weren't focused on the deputy while $I$ was in there.
Q. Right. But you were able to see him yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. So it follows that he could see you?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You conducted the interview --
A. Yes.
Q. -- with Mr. Avery, 20 or 30 minutes, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Setting aside small talk, and I'm Emily Matesic, nice to meet you, that kind of stuff, the entirety of the interview was committed to Teresa Halbach, and the charges against Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. You did not go into other topic areas with Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. Did you -- Did you buzz to let -- to ask that the deputy then come in at the end of interview, or did the deputy simply let himself, or herself, in as the interview appeared to be wrapping up?
A. Before the interview started, the deputy said when you are done, or you need us at any time, just raise your hand. And when we were done, I raised my hand.
Q. Okay. And, obviously, again, then one of them was -- at least one was positioned such where he could see you raise your hand?
A. Yes.
Q. And they came, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. They took Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you and the cameraman were escorted out?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you talk to anyone from the Calumet County Sheriff's Department before leaving that property to return to Green Bay?
A. Nothing, other than thank you and goodbye.
Q. Did you make arrangements at any point, at any time, to provide a videotape, or a DVD, or anything of the interview, to anyone from law enforcement?
A. No.
Q. Were you asked to bring the videotape today?
A. Yes.
Q. By whom?
A. By Mr. Fallon.
Q. Okay. And you were able to accommodate Mr. Fallon's request?
A. I referred him to my news director. I referred him to my news director. I'm not the one that makes those decisions.
Q. Sure. But in any event, you were given the tape to bring today, by someone at WBAY?
A. A tape only of the stories that aired from my interview, not the complete interview itself.
Q. So you're aware of that little dispute that's ongoing about the raw footage versus the aired footage?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What's on the raw footage that is not on the aired footage?
A. The entire interview is on the raw footage, and the tape I brought today has the stories that I did, containing little bits of the interview.
Q. But, again, just to be very clear about this, if we had -- if we could see the raw footage, all the film that your cameraman shot in that room, other than true small talk, the entire discussion would have been about Teresa Halbach, or the
allegations in the original Criminal Complaint, against Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. You referred, as well, to a second interview with Mr. Avery, that occurred over the telephone?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember about when that was?
A. I believe it was in December.
Q. How did that come to be?
A. The interview, I sent a fax to the Calumet County Jail requesting an interview.
Q. To whom did you address the fax?
A. To Steven Avery.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Object to this line of inquiry as being irrelevant, based on the pleadings and focus. If we're concerned solely with the on camera interview, as opposed to initiated telephone conversations, that was my understanding as to our limited focus today, so it seems to me that this would be irrelevant.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, it's a fair point, but as I understand the scope of our motion and intend the scope of the motion, your Honor, I am not seeking to suppress, or exclude, any statement to media representatives that Mr. Avery initiated.

Now, I was not aware of this telephonic interview with Ms Matesic, and to the extent that she initiated it, it is within the intended scope of this motion. I certainly could re-plead the motion, but the division line here is if he initiated it, I don't see it as being subject to the Sixth Amendment claim. If someone else did--

THE COURT: I'm not sure I have got a copy of the motion handy. It was filed on June 16th. It's a motion -- Do one of you have a copy of the motion handy?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I do. I don't want to suggest that I addressed this, because I didn't. I didn't no about this particular one.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Here you go.
THE COURT: So, Mr. Fallon, your objection relates to the description of in person interviews?

ATTORNEY FALLON: It is my understanding that that was the focus, otherwise I would have gone into more questioning on my direct examination of the witness relative to the telephone interviews occurring a couple of weeks after the interview at issue here.

As I understand it from the pleading, it
says on page two, Mr. Avery does not seek suppression of statements he made in telephone calls that he placed from the jail to members of the news media. So this motion addresses only in person interviews with the media, in the jail. So that's why, that's the basis for my objection.

THE COURT: You seem to be talking about a third category here, that's not an in person interview at the jail, but also not a telephone call that was originated by the defendant.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. And I have no objection to breaking my cross and allowing a resumption of direct. I mean, that's a fair point. I'm learning here for the first time that she initiated it.

THE COURT: You can have this back. Why don't you finish your cross and I'll let Mr. Fallon, on redirect, start from scratch, if he wishes here.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine. I suspect, on further cross-examination by counsel, the issue may disappear, or become far more ripe for argument. Go ahead.

THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Strang.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ You faxed a letter, or something, to the jail, addressed to Steven

Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you follow that with a phone call to the jail?
A. I believe I placed a phone call first, and then was told I couldn't make my request over the phone, so I sent the fax.
Q. You had made the request over the phone on November 12?
A. Yes.
Q. The answer, though, was different this time?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you given an explanation for why the answer had changed?
A. No.
Q. Were you ever told that Mr. Avery had written out a statement saying that he did not want to be interviewed, in jail, by members of the media, including TV reporters?
A. No.
Q. Nobody at the jail ever told you that?
A. No.
Q. What did they tell you after saying, no, you can't make this request over the phone?
A. That it needed to be in writing.
Q. All right. And did they tell you to whom to address the writing?
A. To him, I believe. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. That's fine, if you don't remember. Do you have that letter or fax?
A. I don't, no.
Q. What happened to it?
A. I threw it out.
Q. Did anybody, you know, explain to you how a fax would get to Mr. Avery, in the jail?
A. No.
Q. But they gave you a fax number?
A. I believe I asked for the fax number.
Q. And you were given the fax number?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And off you sent this fax?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it a letter to Mr. Avery, is that your best recollection?
A. Yes, just a short letter.
Q. What did you next hear from either Mr. Avery or someone in the Calumet County Jail?
A. Mr. Avery called me.
Q. When did he call you?
A. Shortly after the fax was sent.
Q. All right. And was that a collect call?
A. Yes.
Q. You had provided your telephone number in the fax?
A. Yes.
Q. It had invited him to call collect?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you tell him, in the letter, what it was you wanted to talk about?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Okay. That's fine. You do recall, that in your mind, again, the topic of interest as to Mr. Avery was the charges against him, relating to the disappearance and death of Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. You weren't interested in his opinion of what was going to happen to the Nasdaq in 2006, or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. All right. That interview lasted about how long?
A. Probably about 20 minutes.
Q. Did you tape it?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you know, at that time, that the jail would be taping you as receiving an outgoing call from
the jail?
A. No.
Q. When did you first learn that you were on tape, on the jail end, when you were talking to an inmate of the jail?
A. I think during the course of the interview, there was a recording, or something, that comes up on the phone, that -- I think it says -- it says something, I'm -- I don't remember what, but at that point, I guess, is when I kind of realized that we were probably being taped.
Q. Right. It's a tape that says something like this call was placed by an inmate from the Calumet County Jail, something to that effect?
A. I will have to take your word for it, I don't really remember.
Q. But some voice --
A. Yes.
Q. -- on what you took to be a taped message?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you hear it more than once?
A. Yes.
Q. So on some cycle, this voice comes on the line?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you assumed at that point, that
you were being taped?
A. Yes.
Q. You had no objection to that?
A. No.
Q. Because you were also taping on your end?
A. Correct.
Q. And the point was to get all of this on tape?
A. Right.
Q. Was that interview then broadcast, or aired?
A. Parts of the interview and stories that $I$ had written.
Q. So that one, again, you sort of chopped, or edited, and assembled into a story, rather than running the raw interview tape?
A. Yes.
Q. Look, I don't want to get off track here, but I'm curious, because $I$ just don't know. Does any of the two interviews that we're talking about, would segments of those have run on the WBAY website, if you know?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance.
And I will renew my previous objection. It's clear that Mr. Avery initiated the phone contact, so this matter is not the subject of the motion, unless
counsel is amending his motion.
THE COURT: Well, in a sense he initiated it, in that he made the call, but it also was in response to a fax that was sent by the witness. So I'm going to give the defense some latitude here. I will overrule that part of the objection.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Just for the record, the basis of my motion on that is a case called State vs. Pischke, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{e}$, regarding initiation. And I think the argument can be made, although the case is not directly on point, that Mr. Avery was the one who initiated the contact here. So, just for the record.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not -- Let me make sure if my ruling is understood here. I'm not saying that the manner in which the defendant returned the call doesn't have some legal significance as to the substantive portion of the motion. I'm just saying, for evidentiary purposes, I'm going to allow the questioning.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Very well. Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ But there was another objection to that and that was to what the website runs. And I don't even know if you know the answer to my question.
A. I believe the only thing that would have run on the website was my story that aired on our station.
Q. But that may have been on the website both times?
A. The entire interviews?
Q. No, the stories.
A. The stories, yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other attempts, by you, to interview Mr. Avery since he's been in custody?
A. One other time, I believe, yes.
Q. When was that?
A. I think it was in March.
Q. You initiated that with a fax?
A. With a phone call to the jail, at first. I was told it needed to be in writing, and asked if I could send a fax, and it was, no, it had to come through the mail.
Q. Oh.
A. The request had to be --
Q. So it changed again?
A. Yes.
Q. So this time you couldn't fax it. Did you mail a request?
A. No.
Q. Why?
A. It would take a day or so for the letter to get there, and by that time it wouldn't be very timely.
Q. I see. And you didn't hear, then, from Mr. Avery, at any time after this December telephonic interview that you described?
A. No.
Q. You are aware of no other attempt by him to call you collect?
A. No.
Q. Aware of no other attempt by him to call anyone at WBAY-TV, collect?
A. No.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, just to complete the record.
(Exhibits 4, 5, and 6 marked for identification.)
ATTORNEY FALLON: May I approach?
THE COURT: Yes.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Ms Matesic, I show you what's been marked for identification purposes as Exhibits 4, 5, and 6,
can you take a moment to look at those and tell us what they are.
A. These are the scripts from the stories I did with the telephone interview of Mr. Avery.
Q. All right. And these are the scripts relative to the telephone interviews that were the subject of Counsel's cross-examination a few moments ago?
A. Yes.
Q. And these are the outtakes, or broadcasts, comments, and not the entire substance of the interview; is that correct?
A. Correct.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand the question. You said these are the outtakes, not the substance of the --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Not the entire interview. These are what was broadcast.

ATTORNEY STRANG: So they are not outtakes?
THE WITNESS: They were taken out of the interview, bites that were taken out of the interview.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. Choice of words.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ And as far as you know, these are true and accurate reflections of what was actually broadcast, relative to these
telephone interviews.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We would move for introduction of Exhibits 4, 5, and 6. For the record, it's marked by the duration, apparently; 133 is Exhibit No. 4, duration 137 is Exhibit 5; and duration 157 is Exhibit 6. And I don't believe there will be an objection from counsel.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No. No, these can be admitted, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Those exhibits are admitted.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have for this witness, I'm not going to do any redirect.

THE COURT: All right. The witness is excused.

ATTORNEY FALLON: State would call it's next witness, Jennifer Kolbusz.

THE COURT: Is somebody bringing the next witness in?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe so.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
JENNIFER KOLBUSZ, called as a witness
herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Jennifer Kolbusz,
K-o-l-b-u-s-z.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. What do you do for a living?
A. I'm a reporter at Channel 5.
Q. And where is Channel 5 located?
A. In Green Bay.
Q. How long have you been employed with Channel 5?
A. It will be two years in September.
Q. Do you have a current assignment, or detail, for the type of reporting you do at this time?
A. I'm a general assignment reporter.
Q. And have you been a general assignment reporter for the entire two years at Channel 5?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Directing your attention to November and December of the year 2005, starting first with November; did you have an opportunity to interview an individual by the name of Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. And with respect to Mr. Avery, tell us where that interview took place?
A. In the Calumet County Jail.
Q. Do you recall the date of your first interview with Mr. Avery?
A. Yes, it was November 18th.
Q. Describe for us, if you will, how that interview came to be?
A. On the morning of November 18th, I called the Calumet County Jail from my apartment, and I asked what the visiting hours were at the jail.
Q. And what information did you receive in response to that request?
A. The woman who answered the phone told me there were specific days and times. I don't recall what she said; however, it differed from what I had heard from other reporters who I work with. So, at that point, I questioned her further and she asked if I would like the speak to a supervisor.
Q. All right. And did you in fact speak to a supervisor?
A. Yes, I did. She transferred me to John Byrnes.
Q. And before we get into that, my question would be, did you identify yourself as a news reporter
during this first conversation with the woman?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. All right. And did you indicate the reason for your inquiry?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And the best you can recall, what did you tell the person who answered the phone, in terms of the reason for your inquiring as to the visiting hours?
A. I said that $I$ would like to interview Steven Avery in the jail.
Q. All right. Subsequent to this woman answering the phone, you indicated you spoke with one of the supervisors?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. I'm sorry. You did speak to a supervisor?
A. After, right. After I talked to that woman.
Q. All right. And to whom did you speak?
A. John Byrnes.
Q. And what did you ask of Mr . Byrnes?
A. Well, again, I told him, I'm a reporter and that I wanted to see if $I$ could do an interview with Steven Avery. And I asked him how I could go about doing that.
Q. All right. And what instructions were you given?
A. He said I could mail a letter to Steven Avery, that was one option.
Q. All right. Any other options?
A. Yes, $I$ in fact said, well, $I$ was trying to see if I could interview him that day. And he said I could write a letter and then hand deliver it to the jail, just ask for John Byrnes, when I got there, and he would hand deliver the letter to Steven Avery.
Q. All right. And did you in fact hand deliver such a letter?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. At this particular point, do you know if that letter still exists?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you -- Did that letter that you wrote ever come back to you?
A. No.
Q. All right. Tell us what happened, specifically, when you arrived at the Sheriff's Department that day?
A. We went to the jail, the photographer I was working with, and I asked to speak to John Byrnes. John Byrnes, then, came out to the area where we were, and I introduced myself, and I
gave him the letter that I had handwritten in the car ride over to the jail.
Q. All right. And as best you can recall now, can you tell us the contents of that letter?
A. Yes, I identified myself as Jennifer Kolbusz, who works for Channel 5, and said that I would like to do an interview with him. And I said that I had no intention of convicting him on television, or had no agenda, but rather just wanted to hear his side of the story.
Q. All right. And when you came to the Sheriff's Department, to whom did you give that letter?
A. John Byrnes.
Q. And when you handed him that letter, what occurred?
A. He looked it over, but at the same time, had also turned his back and started walking back into the jail. And my understanding is that he delivered it to Steven Avery.
Q. All right. And what led you to believe that it was actually delivered to Mr. Avery?
A. He said he was going to deliver it to him, and then, also, a few minutes later, another member of the jail staff opened the door and said that Steven Avery had agreed to let us in.
Q. All right. And what occurred then?
A. That jail staff member took our identification, had us sign in, and then we were led into a room, and then Steven Avery was led in a few minutes later.
Q. All right. Could you describe, first and foremost, the room in which you were sent to?
A. It was located just right there from the door that I initially entered. It wasn't far into the jail at all.

And it was just a plain room with a small table. A small plain room. And there were some windows on the doors, so I could see the guards through both sides, I believe, as we were talking to Steven.
Q. All right. And how many doors were in the room?
A. Two.
Q. And these windows, were they the only windows in the room?
A. I believe there were other windows, I'm not positive.
Q. All right. Who accompanied you into the room?
A. The photographer I work with.
Q. Did any member of the Sheriff's Department actually walk into the room with you?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Was there any conversation with either Mr. Byrnes, or the person who opened the security door for you, regarding Mr. Avery?
A. They said he would be in shackles, and that was it.
Q. All right. Prior to commencing the interview with Mr. Avery, did you have any discussions, whatsoever, with Mr. Byrnes, as to the nature and content of your interview with Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Byrnes, or any other member of the Sheriff's Department, put any restrictions on the topics that were to be covered in the interview?
A. No.
Q. Were you suggested -- excuse me -- let's rephrase that. Were any questions suggested to you that should be asked of Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. In terms of the interview, during -- describe for us, if you will, Mr. Avery's appearance when he came into the room?
A. When he came into the room, he had shackles around his ankles and he was handcuffed.
Q. All right.
A. And he had, I believe, recently had a haircut. And he just simply came in and sat down.
Q. All right. Describe his demeanor for us, if you will.
A. I would say casual. He was pleasant. I would just say, fairly neutral.
Q. All right. Well, would you say he was excited, relaxed, cordial, angry; how would you describe him?
A. I would describe him as relaxed.
Q. All right. When he came into the room, did any of the Sheriff's Department personnel remain in the room with you, and your cameraman, and Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. All right. As best you can recall, how did -what were the first words spoken upon his arrival in the room, by you?
A. What did I say first?
Q. What did you say first?
A. I said thank you for speaking with us.
Q. And what response, if any, did you receive from him?
A. You're welcome.
Q. All right. At any point at the beginning here,
did he suggest to you that he did not wish to be interviewed?
A. No.
Q. At any point, did he tell you, that he had told members of the Sheriff's Department, that he did not want to have any media interviews?
A. No.
Q. At this particular point, did you then tell him what you wanted to do, in terms of the interview?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And as best you can recall, tell us what you told him, as to what your plan was for the interview?
A. I said I just wanted to hear his side of the story.
Q. All right. And how did he respond to that comment?
A. He just said, okay. And then, as I proceeded to ask questions, he answered them.
Q. All right. At what point, if you recall, was the camera turned on, as it were, for purposes of recording this interview?
A. Probably within a minute of Steven Avery taking a seat.
Q. All right. Is the camera which was utilized by
your cameraman -- is there a light on that camera that would suggest to someone observing that the camera was on, and/or recording?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. During the course of the interview, did you indicate to Mr. Avery, if you can recall, whether the content of the interview might actually be broadcast to the public at large?
A. I never said that specifically, but my understanding was that it was implied.
Q. All right. And just so that we're clear, what was it about the circumstances that led you to conclude that he was aware that it could very well be broadcast?
A. Well, I identified myself as a reporter for Channel 5, and I entered the room with a photographer.
Q. All right. During the entire interview with Mr. Avery, at any point, did any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department come into the room?
A. No.
Q. At any point during the course of the interview, did Mr. Avery indicate to you that he did not wish to be interviewed any longer, in other
words, he didn't want to answer any more questions?
A. No.
Q. At any point during the course of the interview, did he indicate to you that he didn't want the interview publicly aired or broadcast, during the course of the interview?
A. No.
Q. Tell us how -- your best estimate of how long this interview lasted?
A. I would say probably about a half hour.
Q. How did the interview terminate?
A. I would say that it ended on cordial terms.
Q. All right. Did you make any requests of Mr. Avery, at the conclusion of the interview, that you recall at this time?
A. I said that chances are I would try to contact him again and do another interview.
Q. Okay. How did he respond to that?
A. He said, okay.
Q. All right. Did he make any requests of you, or your cameraman, relative to the interview process, at the conclusion of the interview?
A. No.
Q. How did you let members of the Sheriff's

Department know that the interview was concluded?
A. We stood up, and I'm assuming that they just knew, at that point, we were done, because we were collecting our equipment.
Q. All right. And as you were doing that, did the deputies enter the room, or did you open the door and ask them to come in?
A. They opened the door, and then escorted Steven Avery out one door, and then we were led out of the other door.
Q. Who left the room first, Mr. Avery, or you and your cameraman?
A. I believe Steven Avery did, but I'm not sure.
Q. All right. Did you have any additional discussion, that you recall at this time, with the deputy sheriff who escorted you from the room, back to the general public waiting area?
A. No.
Q. When you -- During the course of that, I think you said it was a brief walk, did you have any encounters with Mr. Byrnes?
A. No.
Q. Upon your leaving the secure area of the jail and going into the general reception area, did you have any additional discussion with Mr. Byrnes at
that time?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any discussion with any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department regarding the nature and content of the interview you had just conducted with Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. Were there any requests made for copies of the video or audio parts of that interview?
A. No.
Q. All right. I believe you indicated there was a second interview that occurred with Mr. Avery.
A. Yes.
Q. Your best recollection, approximately when did that second interview take place?
A. On December 14th.
Q. Tell us how that interview came to pass?
A. That interview, I had not contacted the jail that morning. I had said in our afternoon news meeting that $I$ would like to try talking to Steven Avery again. And our staff agreed that I should try. And we just -- my photographer and I -- again, the same photographer -- just drove to the Calumet County Jail. And, once again, I hand wrote a letter.

And when I got into the jail, I asked for John Byrnes, and he came out. And, once again, took that letter back to Steven Avery.
Q. Okay. And, again, your best recollection -Well, first of all, let me ask, did you ever see that letter again?
A. No.
Q. All right. Your best recollection, could you tell us what you put in the letter this time, for the December 14th interview?
A. Yes. I said, my name is Jennifer Kolbusz. We talked about a month ago. And there have been some recent developments in the case and I would just like an opportunity to talk to you again, about those developments and, once again, give you an opportunity to share your side of the story.
Q. All right. When you encountered Mr. Byrnes this time, was there any additional discussion between you and him, or did you simply just present the letter to him?
A. I just gave him the letter.
Q. All right. And what response, if any, did you receive from him?
A. None. He just looked it over, once again, and
turned and walked into the jail.
Q. Approximately how long, or how much time passed, before you saw him again, Mr. Byrnes?
A. I don't believe I did see him again. The next person $I$ saw was a different member of the staff.
Q. All right. And do you recall who that may have been?
A. No.
Q. All right. Male or female?
A. Male.
Q. All right. And what did this person -- Well, what happened when they appeared?
A. They opened the door and said that Steven Avery agreed to let us in.
Q. All right. And is this the same door that you entered on November 18th?
A. Yes.
Q. When the door opened, and the person escorted you through the door, where did you go?
A. Once again, we were asked to give our ID's, and we signed in, and then we were led into the same room we were in a month before.
Q. All right. And when you arrived in that particular room, was Mr. Avery already there, or did he come in later?
A. He came in later.
Q. When Mr. Avery came in, how did he appear on this particular date, December 14th?
A. I know this time his hands were not in cuffs. And he seemed, actually, to be in quite a good mood. He was smiling, and he extended his hand, and said, good to see you, and we shook hands.
Q. Did he greet the photographer as well, or just you?
A. I don't remember.
Q. All right. After the greeting or -- excuse that -- While the greeting was occurring, were members of the Sheriff's Department present, or had they left the room?
A. They had left the room.
Q. So, at this particular time, there were only three of you in the room?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. After the greetings were exchanged, tell us what occurred next, or how you began?
A. I don't remember the exact content of the conversation, but I know I started with, more or less, small talk. And I asked him if he had been following the news, reading the newspapers, and he said, somewhat. I did say to him, you seem
like you are in a good mood, because he was smiling.
Q. All right. And how did he respond to your comment?
A. He just kind of shook his smile off. And I would say he got fairly serious at that point.
Q. All right. And at this particular point, did he express to you any unwillingness to participate in another on camera interview?
A. No.
Q. At any point, did he alert you to the fact, or tell you that he had declined other news media interview requests?
A. No.
Q. As you proceeded during the course of this interview, at any time, was there any indication from Mr. Avery that he just did not want to participate in the interview with you?
A. No.
Q. At any point during the course of the interview, did he refuse to answer any of your questions?
A. No.
Q. At any point in the interview, did he appear confused as to the nature or purpose of the interview?
A. No.
Q. Were his responses to the questions posed by you coherent?
A. Yes.
Q. Were they actually in response or -- to the particular question that had been asked?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. During the course of the interview, did any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department enter the room?
A. No.
Q. Prior to the interview taking place, did any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department discuss with you the nature or content of the interview?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone suggest to you certain questions that could, or should, be asked?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone suggest to you certain areas which should not be discussed during the course of the interview?
A. No.
Q. Would it be fair to say that you had complete license, as it were, to conduct the interview as
you saw fit, as a reporter?
A. Yes.
Q. In any way, did you feel constrained, or restricted, by any member of law enforcement, for purposes of conducting the interview?
A. No.
Q. Your best estimate, approximately how long did this second interview, on December 14th, last?
A. About a half hour.
Q. How would you characterize Mr. Avery's demeanor during that half an hour?
A. Relaxed.
Q. How did the interview end?
A. I wrapped it up, once again, with lighter conversation. We were approaching Christmas and I asked him if his family planned on visiting him for Christmas.
Q. All right.
A. He also mentioned that it was almost time for them to eat dinner, at the jail. And I asked him what kind of food they served.
Q. All right. So would it be fair to say that this interview occurred late afternoon?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. How did the deputy -- Strike that.

Let me ask you this, during the course of the interview, were there members of the Sheriff's Department standing outside of each of the doors?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And how did you let them know that the interview was concluded?
A. Once again, I stood up, and Steven did shake my hand again, and I just assumed, that they assumed, we were finished.
Q. All right. And when you stood up, he stood up, you shook hands; did anyone from the Sheriff's Department enter the room at that point?
A. I believe that at that point they opened the door.
Q. All right. All right. And what occurred then?
A. They escorted Steven Avery out of the room.
Q. And then what occurred?
A. And then my photographer and I left, the other door.
Q. All right. As you proceeded out the other door, were you escorted by any member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. Escorted, in the sense that there was somebody who opened the door for us.
Q. All right. And did they at least direct, or
point you to the way out, or did you already know that from past experience?
A. We already knew where we were going. It was such a short distance, we just showed ourselves out.
Q. All right. During that short distance, were you approached by any member of the Sheriff's Department regarding the nature or content of your interview?
A. No.
Q. After the interview was completed and after you left the secure area, did you have any additional conversations with John Byrnes, for instance?
A. No.
Q. Before leaving the Calumet County Sheriff's Department and Jail that day, did anyone from the Sheriff's Department make any requests of you with respect to obtaining copies, for instance, of the interview that you had just conducted?
A. No.
Q. Did anyone approach you at all, for that matter, after completion of the interview, before you left?
A. No.
Q. Were you required to sign out, or did you just walk out the door and keep going?
A. I believe we just walked out the door.
Q. All right.
A. I don't recall, though.
Q. Just a concluding question, what motivation, if any, did you have to approach Mr. Avery on both November 18th and December 14th? I mean, what was your thinking?
A. It's my job as a reporter.
Q. All right. Were you aware of the fact of any other media interviews which may have occurred, since the time of his arrest until the time that you interviewed him on November 18th, for instance? Were you aware of other media interviews?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And with respect to the time frame from November 18th to December 14th, were you aware of other media attempts to interview Mr. Avery?
A. Attempts, yes.
Q. All right. And being a news reporter, is there a certain amount of competition out there, amongst reporters, to get a story?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So that also played a factor in your
attempt to obtain an interview of Mr. Avery, because you would like to have gotten the story, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all $I$ have for the witness. I will pass the witness for cross-examination.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Were you --
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm sorry. Thank you. I apologize.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Were you new to Wisconsin, in September, 2006, when you joined WFRV?
A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
Q. In September, 2004, when you joined WFRV, if I understood you right, were you new to Wisconsin?
A. Yes.
Q. Steven Avery was a new name to you in the fall of 2005, when you first began working on this story?
A. I had heard of who Steven Avery was, before this case ever started.
Q. What had you heard?
A. I had heard that he was wrongfully convicted of a rape and was released from prison a couple of years ago.
Q. Okay. And so when this story started, you are referring to the disappearance of Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. The name Steven Avery, at that point, rang a bell with you?
A. Yes.
Q. His release from prison in 2003 was not, at that point, fresh news?
A. No.
Q. But the disappearance of Ms Halbach was?
A. Yes.
Q. So was Mr. Avery's possible connection to that?
A. Yes.
Q. You were assigned to this story when, the general story, I mean, the Halbach/Avery story?
A. The first time I covered this story was a Saturday, the Saturday that investigators had set up a perimeter on the Avery property.
Q. Okay. That is, that's when you were first assigned?
A. Yes.
Q. You went to the Avery property, or to the perimeter?
A. Correct.
Q. Were met there by law enforcement officers?
A. There weren't any law enforcement officers right there by the media, at the time, but eventually someone did come out to the media to release a statement.
Q. Okay. Who was that?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. What I'm interested in, that's Saturday November 5?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. At least, I will suggest that to you and I think I'm right. Did you meet any members of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department that day?
A. I don't -- I don't even know if the person that we talked to was with the Calumet County Sheriff's Department.
Q. Fair enough. And that was the only law enforcement person with whom you had contact?
A. Yes.
Q. You then followed the news conferences?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you attend some of news conferences?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you remember how many, or just that you attended some of them?
A. Throughout the whole course of this case, I have attended two of those news conferences, that one on Saturday, and then one, I don't recall the date.
Q. But in an inside room?
A. Yes.
Q. The Saturday one was outdoors?
A. There was one that was held -- There was one that was held in the fire department, indoors.
Q. That you attended?
A. Yes.
Q. That Saturday?
A. Yes.
Q. And then a second?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. During those two interviews, have you made the acquaintance of Sheriff Pagel?
A. Not directly.
Q. Have you made the acquaintance of anyone else from the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. No.
Q. When you called on November 18, 2005, to the
jail, you identified yourself as a reporter, to the woman who answered the phone?
A. Yes.
Q. Said that you wanted to interview Steven Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. Asked about the visiting hours, and the opportunity to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. If I understood you correctly, she then gave you information that was at odds with, or varied, from the information you had gotten from other reporters?
A. Yes.
Q. That is, she said you could not come to interview Mr. Avery?
A. She said that the visiting hours were, as she had stated.
Q. Certain days for certain last names?
A. Something like that.
Q. Mm-hmm. And certain hours?
A. Right.
Q. And you understood, that that day was not a permissible visiting day under the set of rules that she was describing to you?
A. Correct.
Q. Because of the news, the time cycle on news, you wanted to accomplish the interview that day?
A. Yes.
Q. Had, at any point, you met the Calumet County District Attorney, Ken Kratz, by that time?
A. No.
Q. Had seen him at news conferences, but not been introduced to him?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Did you ask for someone in specific, at the jail, when the woman with whom you were speaking proposed that you talk with the supervisor?
A. No.
Q. Did you ask for, by description, I want to talk to the top person, or the captain, or, you know, what did you say?
A. Well, I never asked to speak to anyone, she said would you like to speak to my supervisor, and I said yes.
Q. Why was it -- Well, you couldn't know that. What had you said immediately before her proposing that you speak to a supervisor?
A. I said that $I$ had heard differently, what the hours were for visitation, and we were just
discussing that. And $I$ think that in a situation of being sort of flustered, she just asked if I would like to speak to the supervisor.
Q. All right. So while you were polite to her?
A. Yes.
Q. Correct. You expressed some irritation, or disappointment that you were getting the answer that you were?
A. Yes.
Q. Who told you what to expect, in terms of visiting hours?
A. A reporter at my station.
Q. Who?
A. Olga Halaburda.
Q. All right. Had she been in to interview Mr. Avery in the jail herself?
A. No.
Q. How -- Did you ask her, how do you know the jail visiting hours down in Chilton?
A. She said that she had called.
Q. Okay. And gave you the information, and now this woman's information didn't square with that?
A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that.
Q. The woman's information didn't square with what Olga Halaburda had given you?
A. Correct.
Q. How long before Mr. Byrnes got on the phone, roughly?
A. A matter of seconds.
Q. As if he was somewhere standing nearby?
A. My understanding is that it was a phone that was transferred.
Q. Okay. So, but it was just a matter of transferring a phone call, just a few seconds.
A. Yes.
Q. Did he identify himself to you?
A. Yes.
Q. How?
A. He said that his name is John Byrnes, and that he's the jail supervisor.
Q. You understood him to be in charge of the jail?
A. Correct.
Q. You then repeated your request?
A. Yes.
Q. For an interview?
A. Yes.
Q. With Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. You told him that you wanted to talk with Mr. Avery about the pending Criminal Complaint,
the charges against him?
A. Yes.
Q. You said that you wanted to do it that day?
A. Yes.
Q. He initially told you that you would have to write a letter?
A. Yes.
Q. But it was he, then, after hearing you wanted to accomplish the interview that very day, it was he who suggested that you could hand deliver a letter to the jail?
A. I asked if $I$ could hand deliver it.
Q. All right. And he agreed?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he tell you to ask for him?
A. Yes.
Q. That he would meet you?
A. Correct.
Q. Did he tell you that he would personally take the letter to Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember about what time of day you are having this conversation on November 18, with Mr. Byrnes?
A. It was in the morning, my guess is that it was
around 10 o'clock in the morning.
Q. All right. Now, I have the sign in sheet, and I'm happy to show it to you if you would like to see it. At least it looks like a fellow named Dave Duchan --
A. Duchan.
Q. -- and Jennifer Kolbusz, signing into the jail at 2:05 p.m.?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Does that sound about right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So some time passed between this conversation with Mr . Byrnes and your arrival, obviously?
A. Yes.
Q. More time than necessary to drive down from the Green Bay area, from where you were coming?
A. Yes, I wasn't at work yet. I was calling on my own time, from my apartment.
Q. All right. So did you talk to anyone at all at the jail, or in law enforcement, between your conversation with Mr. Byrnes and arriving at the jail around 2:00 that day?
A. No.
Q. Hand wrote a letter to Mr. Avery in the car?
A. Yes.
Q. Asked for Mr. Byrnes at the receptionist window, outside the jail?
A. Yes.
Q. How long did it take him to come?
A. About a minute.
Q. Again, as if he was nearby?
A. I guess.
Q. Had you told him what time to expect you?
A. No.
Q. Had he said anything to you about, you know, gee, you have to avoid this mealtime, or that shift change, or any sort of restrictions like that?
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you you could come any time at all?
A. No.
Q. Was there any discussion at all about when you were coming that day?
A. I said that, I think I'm going to come today, but I would have to go in to work and discuss it with other members of our news staff.
Q. That's where you left it with Mr. Byrnes?
A. Yes.
Q. So, your understanding, at least of his conversation with you, was the only he had, is
that about 10 in the morning, he's told by a reporter on the telephone, she thinks she's coming today, but there's a contingency to that?
A. Correct.
Q. He then disappears into the jail, or somewhere, with the letter you handed him?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Avery appears, then, in the company of some other uniformed member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. Right, after we were led into that other room.
Q. Roughly how much time passes between when Byrnes leaves with your letter and Avery and the other deputy show up?
A. Five minutes.
Q. I understand that the letter was handwritten, you prepared it in the car. You didn't make a copy of the letter, obviously?
A. No.
Q. It was on WFRV letterhead?
A. No, it was just on a legal pad.
Q. Okay. But you explained that you were from WFRV, --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to Mr. Avery. And if I understood you on
direct examination, you think that you said, in the letter, something like, I have no intention of convicting you?
A. Correct.
Q. I just want to hear your side of the story?
A. Yes.
Q. So, this was, you were intending to be welcoming, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Intending to encourage Mr. Avery to speak freely?
A. Yes.
Q. Presenting yourself as a neutral?
A. I presented myself as a reporter --
Q. Right.
A. -- without a bias.
Q. That is, you know, suggesting, whether in words or effect, that every story has two sides and you know, I would like to hear your side of it?
A. Yes.
Q. The small talk in the interview room started with that kind of pattern as well, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you for talking with us, we want to hear your side of the story, that sort of thing?
A. Yes, there was some other small talk.
Q. That was intended, by you, to try to put Mr. Avery at ease?
A. Both of us at ease.
Q. Sure. He seemed to be at ease when he sat down for the interview?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I want to -- I think I understood you, but you described two uniformed members of the Sheriff's Department, they were immediately outside the doors to this interview room?
A. I believe so.
Q. They are not in the room during the interview?
A. No.
Q. Okay. But you could see them through the glass in the doors?
A. Yes.
Q. Within a couple of feet of the doors?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, the interview itself, you estimated at about 30 minutes?
A. Correct.
Q. Setting aside small talk, okay, introductions, and how are you doing today, that kind of thing, the substance of the interview concerned the charges against Mr. Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. Concerned Ms Halbach and the allegations related to her?
A. Yes.
Q. The camera was running during the entire substantive portion of the interview?
A. Yes.
Q. So, when you say the interview lasted for about 30 minutes, you would expect that there would be, again, about 30 minutes of tape of that interview?
A. There might have been a few more minutes than that, because after we're done doing the interview, the photographer tries to get what we call cut-away shots, just wider shots of us talking.
Q. I see, to sort of fill in for visual --
A. Correct.
Q. -- presentation on the story?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Would the small talk have been filmed, or taped, whatever it is -- it's probably digital image these days -- but the camera had been on for the small talk portion of this?
A. Some of it.
Q. This story itself, then, ran that evening?
A. Correct.
Q. It was shorter than 30 minutes?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you know how long that story was, as run on air?
A. I believe it was slightly over two minutes.
Q. Probably ran at 5, and 6, and 10 , or that kind of thing, on the broadcast?
A. Parts of it ran at 5, and 6. And then the longer version, which was more than two minutes, ran at $10 o^{\prime} \mathrm{clock}$.
Q. How long was the longer version?
A. At 10 o'clock it was about two -- a little over two minutes.
Q. And 5, and 6, a little bit under two minutes?
A. Correct.
Q. You edited the 30 minutes, roughly, of film that you had?
A. I didn't personally edit it, but I logged it and selected what pieces of it would be used.
Q. And my clumsiness, that's really what $I$ meant. You made the selection --
A. Correct.
Q. -- of what snippets, or segments of that
interview to use in your story?
A. Correct.
Q. And then you wrote some text to fill in and make it flow into a story?
A. Yes.
Q. Somebody else actually did the clipping, or the editing?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. But the design, then, was to make -to render about 30 minutes down into about two minutes of good news cast material?
A. Well, just to tell what happened during the interview.
Q. Right. And something that would be interesting to the viewers, right?
A. Provided that it was an accurate representation of what happened.
Q. Well, sure. I mean, I assume the camera takes down accurately what's being said and done, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you want to present a balanced story, true?
A. Yes.
Q. And unbiassed story?
A. Correct.
Q. But the accuracy of the actual film is not in issue, correct?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. The accuracy of the actual film isn't an issue, correct?
A. No.
Q. There's selection decisions on how to present it, true?
A. Yes.
Q. And you want it to be interesting?
A. Yes.
Q. You want it to attract viewers, rather than cause them to switch over to FOX 11, right?
A. Well, it depends on what you mean by good, and interesting. But the idea, yes, is to do a story that's fair and accurate, but at the same time, not boring.
Q. Sure. And that's what I mean, will help to cause someone to decide to watch Channel 5, rather than Channel 2, or Channel 26 , or Channel 11, correct?
A. That's not my primary motivation when I do a story, but $I$ guess you could say that.
Q. Sure. I'm not suggesting that it's your primary motivation, but it's a consideration.
A. Sure.
Q. Have you been asked to produce the balance of the roughly 30 minutes of film from November 18?
A. No.
Q. Are you willing to do that?
A. I don't know whether or not I would have to. I would just wait for direction from my supervisor.
Q. That is, in the scheme of things, you don't get to make that decision?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know if you get to make the decision?
A. About whether or not the tape is released?
Q. I'm asking for all 30 minutes, what's your answer?
A. Oh, I know it's not my decision.
Q. Okay. After the November 18 interview ended, did you -- did you have any further communication, of any kind, with Mr. Byrnes, before December 14th?
A. No.
Q. What caused you simply to hop in the car on December 14 and drive down to Chilton with a cameraman?
A. There had been more developments in the case, as there were weekly. And we just decided that a month had passed and it seemed like it was time to attempt to talk to Steven Avery again.
Q. What -- What recent developments caused this?
A. I don't recall, specifically, what they were. I believe it was that -- something to do with a small cabinet being found, in his trailer.
Q. Okay.
A. I can't recall, specifically, what --
Q. But something excited you, or drew your attention at the time, and you said, let's go back and try to talk to him again?
A. Right.
Q. All right. The offices in which you work are, physically, in the City of Green Bay?
A. Actually, I work out of the Fox Cities Bureau, which is in Little Chute.
Q. All right. And as does your cameraman?
A. Yes.
Q. How long did it take the two of you to drive from the office in Little Chute to the Calumet County Jail in Chilton?
A. Probably about an hour.
Q. In each direction, obviously?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So -- And you work roughly an eight hour shift?
A. Correct.
Q. You -- Obviously, you have deadlines you have to hit, because at 5 o'clock the newscast will be on air?
A. Yes.
Q. If I understand you correctly, you had spoken to no one before grabbing a cameraman, or asking the cameraman to accompany you, and taking a one hour car trip to Chilton, spoken to nobody at the Calumet County Jail?
A. Correct.
Q. Hadn't spoken to Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. As far as you know, Mr. Avery hadn't tried to contact you after November 18, 2005?
A. Correct.
Q. If you got to this jail and they said, sorry, we're not letting you in, you have now -- you're facing the risk of having wasted two hours of your time and two hours of the cameraman's time, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You arrived at the jail that day at 3:40ish, something like that, in the afternoon?
A. That's likely.
Q. So, if you got turned around right away, you
would be back at 4:40, or something like that, true?
A. Yes.
Q. Twenty minutes before the 5 o'clock newscast?
A. Yes.
Q. Empty handed?
A. Yes.
Q. We took a drive to Chilton today, wouldn't make much of a story?
A. It happens more than you think.
Q. Okay. So you arrived, and did you ask to see Mr. Byrnes immediately?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. He appeared quickly?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he ask why you were there?
A. Well, I spoke first and said that I would like him to deliver this letter to Steven Avery.
Q. And then we have been through what happened, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Here, again, about a 30 minute interview?
A. Yes.
Q. About two minutes, give or take, that gets aired in the story later that evening?
A. Correct. The story only aired at 10 o'clock, though. We did not do anything at 5 or 6 .
Q. All right. Did the raw footage, you know, the 30 minutes, approximately, of either November 18 or December 14, ever get posted on, or made available to the public on wfrv.com?
A. No.
Q. When you -- When you came into the jail on December 14, did any of the jail staff, anybody in a uniform, you know, working there, whether it was Mr. Byrnes or anyone else, say anything at all to you about their schedule, for instance, for meals?
A. No.
Q. Say anything at all to you about shift change schedules?
A. No.
Q. Put any time limit on how long you could be with Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. Put any other limitations on what sort of resources you'd be drawing from the jail?
A. No.
Q. Were there, again, two uniformed deputies posted outside the two doors to this interview room?
A. I believe so.
Q. As far as you know, did they remain there for the entire course of the roughly 30 minute interview?
A. As far as $I$ know.
Q. Again, as on November 18, setting aside small talk about Christmas, or food, or family, the substance of this interview was about the criminal allegations against Mr. Avery, pending in Manitowoc County?
A. Yes.
Q. It was about nothing else?
A. Correct.
Q. You explained to Mr. Fallon that, well, for starters, that you never actually said to Mr. Avery, we're going to broadcast this interview?
A. Correct.
Q. But you did come in carrying a microphone, and identifying yourself as a reporter, and with a cameraman, and all this sort of equipment, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Saying you were from WFRV-TV?
A. Correct.
Q. And you took it as implied that -- and obviously implied -- that some portion of the interview, at
least, would be broadcast?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it also implied to Mr . Byrnes, in the same way, that you would be interviewing Mr. Avery about the pending criminal allegations?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you asked, or to your knowledge was anyone else at WFRV asked, to provide either a CD or a DVD, of your November 18, 2005 interview of Mr. Avery, to law enforcement, or to the Calumet County District Attorney's Office?
A. I was never asked, and I don't know of anyone else who was.
Q. All right. Do you have any idea how the Calumet County District Attorney's Office would have obtained what's described as a CD of that Avery jail interview on November 18, 2005, to then produce to the defense?
A. No.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I think that's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY FALLON: I have no redirect for the witness. She may be excused.

THE COURT: All right. You are excused.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I do have two requests of the Court. I would ask the Court to take judicial notice of the fact that the Criminal Complaint in this case, charging Mr. Avery with first-degree intentional homicide, was filed, I believe, on November 15th, 2005. And I would further ask the Court to take judicial notice of the fact that the preliminary examination in this case occurred on or about December 6th, 2005.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I think it's appropriate for the Court to take judicial notice of anything on the docket, or in the court files, on this case. And, indeed, as we proceed to further briefing, or argument on this, I also may ask the Court to take notice of, you know, certain dates, for example, when the Original Criminal Complaint was filed.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will do so. We have got one more witness on this issue?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct. We're going to defer to the defense to call that witness. We're going to rest our presentation of evidence.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take a 10 minute break at this time and then we'll come back at that time and hear that witness. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, do I understand the State has no further witnesses on this issue.

ATTORNEY FALLON: No, we'll pass the presentation of evidence to the defense.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: We'll call Lieutenant and Jail Administrator, John Brynes.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
LIEUTENANT JOHN BYRNES, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: John Byrnes B-y-r-n-e-s.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Mr. Byrnes, tell us just a little bit about how you are presently employed?
A. I'm a Jail Administrator for the Calumet County Jail, that's my present position.
Q. All right. Jail Administrator, meaning you have general responsibility for all facets of the operation of the Calumet County Jail?
A. That's correct.
Q. You report directly to Sheriff Pagel?
A. Yes.
Q. But anyone who actually works in the jail reports to you?
A. Correct.
Q. In addition to being Jail Administrator, you remain a sworn officer of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. Yes.
Q. In that department, you presently hold the rank of Lieutenant?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. How long have you been in the position of Jail Administrator at Calumet County?
A. It's been four years.
Q. Continuously?
A. Yes.
Q. So, for the period, let's say November 9, 2005, through the end of December, 2005, you're the Jail Administrator?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you bring with you today, any documents?
A. Yes. From reading the subpoena, I brought a copy of the visitation policy that's used by the jail.
Q. Thank you. May I have that?
A. Sure.
Q. Thank you, very much. I have got several questions about this. You have given me three pages stapled together, but the first two are two sided, that is, there's typing on both sides?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. This is an excerpt out of a larger book of jail rules and regulations?
A. Yes.
Q. But these are all the rules relating to visitation?
A. Yes.
Q. These rules were in effect, in this form, during the period November and December of 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. For ease of reference, that's the time frame I'm going to use here, that 60, 61 days here, unless I tell you otherwise, all right?
A. Okay.
Q. Did you bring more than one copy today?
A. No, that's the only copy I have.
Q. All right. I'm going to give this back to you -I think what $I$ will do is mark it as an exhibit. Is your Honor's preference that we just mark exhibits sequentially or separate? THE COURT: Yes.
Q. I want to be careful about this Mr. Byrnes, because although I except what you said, that these rules are unchanged and they were in effect as you have been given them to me in Exhibit 7, in November and December of 2005, the last page looks to me like it says they were approved on March 24, 2006?
A. That's correct. Many of the policies that I personally worked on, I set them for an annual review, and I take a look at the policy, determine if there needs to be any changes. When I checked my history document, the previous change to the visitation policy was made in 2003. So this policy, although it was examined and reviewed within the past year, it was unchanged.
Q. Great. Thank you.
A. Sure.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Now, I will offer Exhibit 7 with that explanation.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: None.
THE COURT: Exhibit 7 is received.
ATTORNEY FALLON: No objection.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Very quickly introduce the

Court, if you would, to the command structure within the Calumet County Jail during the time frame we're interested in.
A. The head of the department, of course, is the sheriff. I report to a captain, Captain Paul Rusch. And underneath me would be one sergeant, and then our corrections officers.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Should we push the mike just a little bit closer to the Lieutenant?
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ So when you say one sergeant, there's not one per shift, there is only one sergeant of the jail?
A. One position, yes.
Q. Okay. And that's a daytime position?
A. Yes, daytime, evening, generally works 9:30 a.m. until 5:00 p.m.
Q. And your general hours are what?
A. 6:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.
Q. Okay. So he is then in charge of the jail from 2 to 5:30, roughly?
A. Correct.
Q. Overnight, what's the authority structure from, you know, 5:30 p.m. to 6 a.m., when you come back?
A. There's just corrections officers on duty. And
if there would be an issue, they would report to the patrol supervisor.
Q. During the -- Between the hours of 9:30 in the morning and 5:30 in the afternoon, again, during the time period of interest, what's the total staff complement in the Calumet County Jail?
A. It can vary. Generally, on a weekday, myself, the sergeant, at least two jailers, corrections officers, would be on duty, and perhaps a third. We also may have transport officers. They generally work under the sergeant and I. So we may have transport officers working.
Q. Transport officers in Calumet County are temporary duty employees?
A. Correct.
Q. They don't report to work unless requested, on a particular day at a particular time?
A. Correct.
Q. So the -- the actual staff of the jail would be either 4 or 5, from 9:30 in the morning until 5:30 in the afternoon?
A. Yes.
Q. When one comes to, what I think of as the reception window near that little historical display in the lobby --
A. Yes.
Q. -- those people, although they wear uniforms, they are not jail staff?
A. Yeah, the window on the right is clerical staff.
Q. For the Sheriff's Department?
A. For the Sheriff's Department, yes.
Q. Not attached to the jail, per se?
A. One of the clerical staff is attached half-time to the jail.
Q. Fair enough. The 9:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. staffing complement that you have described is the same, or different, on the weekends, during this time period?
A. On the weekends, it would just be corrections officers.
Q. Two or three people on duty?
A. Correct.
Q. And no transport officers on the weekend?
A. Generally not, unless they are called in.
Q. You have the original of Exhibit 7 in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. The Calumet County Jail -- Again, all my questions are going to be during the time frame I have described. Calumet County Jail controls
access to inmates, true?
A. True.
Q. Almost self-obviously, members of the public do not have access to the inmates, other than on terms under the control of yourself and the people working for you in the jail?
A. Correct.
Q. When I say members of the public, that would include lawyers for inmates?
A. Yes.
Q. Religious advisors, or chaplains, that type of thing, who may wish to see inmates?
A. Yes.
Q. Alcoholics Anonymous counselors, those kinds of people?
A. Yes.
Q. Family members?
A. Yes.
Q. Friends of the inmate?
A. Yes.
Q. And even law enforcement officers?
A. Yes.
Q. They would gain access to an inmate in the jail, that is, law enforcement officers would, through you or your corrections staff?
A. Correct.
Q. That said, there are different rules that apply to some of these different groups I have described, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's start with lawyers and clergy members. And I think we can group in probation and parole agents there as well, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There's a specific rule that applies to the three groups I have just described?
A. Yes.
Q. Their access will be permitted to the inmate for lawyers, clergy members, probation and parole agents, during reasonable hours?
A. Yes.
Q. You ultimately decide what those reasonable hours are?
A. Yes.
Q. Reasonable hours would not include during shift changes?
A. Correct.
Q. It would not include when you are trying to serve meals?
A. Correct.
Q. That is, you, as the Jail Administrator, are responsible for the care, feeding, and safe keeping of inmates in your custody?
A. Yes.
Q. Moving visitors, or dealing with visitors, distracts from -- or requires manpower, I guess I would put it that way, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So at critical times like a shift change, when one would be leaving and another would be coming, it's not reasonable to expect changing shifts also to juggle professional visitors?
A. Generally not. If a visit was started prior to a shift change, they may allow it to continue.
Q. But probably not to terminate, requiring movement of the visitor out, and the inmate back to the cell, during the shift change?
A. Correct.
Q. Same with the mealtime?
A. Yes.
Q. Might allow the inmate to continue a visit through the meal and maybe you would hold the meal for him, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But you aren't going to be doing inmate movement
or visitor movement during the actual process of feeding?
A. Generally not. Again, if it's important to move an inmate, they will hold a meal. It really depends on the situation.
Q. And the guide is reasonableness, as I understand the rule?
A. Yes.
Q. So we're talking of, generally, the visitors, even the professional visitors we have described, would avoid mealtimes and shift changes?
A. If we can, yes.
Q. Other than that, do you expect advance notice from, let's say, an inmate's lawyer?
A. We prefer it but, again, we understand schedules and, yeah, sometimes they drop in and we do our best.
Q. You try to accommodate?
A. Yes.
Q. But, again, it's a rule of reason?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Law enforcement officers are not covered, specifically, in terms of their visits with inmates, in these rules, Exhibit 7, correct?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. There again, though, the law enforcement officer would have to contact you, or someone working for you, to arrange a visit?
A. Yes.
Q. Lawyers, probation agents, clergy members, are allowed what's called a contact visit?
A. In most cases, yes.
Q. And Exhibit 7 refers to that a little bit obliquely in paragraph -- what is it, I'm sorry -- 29.00.30 (g), as in golf, right?
A. Yes.
Q. By identifying the two visiting rooms that may be used by clergy, lawyers, and probation agents?
A. Yes.
Q. Those are what's called contact visit rooms?
A. Correct.
Q. By contact visit, there is no barrier separating the inmate from the visitor?
A. Correct.
Q. No need to use a telephone to speak through the barrier?
A. Correct.
Q. Law enforcement officers also are permitted contact visits?
A. Yes.
Q. Law enforcement officers also can visit at any reasonable hour?
A. Yes.
Q. Their visits can last for a reasonable duration?
A. Correct.
Q. So, in many ways, they are treated much like the lawyer, the clergy member, or the probation agent?
A. Yes.
Q. When we step away from law enforcement officers, the inmate's lawyer, clergy members, and probation agents supervising the inmate, and we get into family members, friends of the inmate, the rules are different?
A. Yes.
Q. There are more rules?
A. There are structured hours that they can visit, yes, and rules limiting the amount of friends that can visit.
Q. Rules limiting the length of a visit?
A. Yes.
Q. In the case of your jail, to 20 minutes?
A. Yes.
Q. There's no limit on time length of a lawyer's visit, or a clergy member's visit, other than
reasonableness, again?
A. Correct.
Q. So you have got this, you have got the visiting schedule for friends and family members set up Thursday and Sunday evenings?
A. Yes.
Q. Females from a certain time, male inmates to another time?
A. Yes.
Q. These are not contact visits?
A. No.
Q. At least ordinarily?
A. Yes. Ordinarily it's a non-contact visit through the phone.
Q. And a --
A. Glass barrier.
Q. -- reinforced glass barrier?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Those visits routinely are tape recorded by the jail?
A. Yes.
Q. Contact visits are, or are not, tape recorded by the jail?
A. Not.
Q. Just not at all?
A. No, there is no recording device, I'm aware of, in there.
Q. Okay. And you would know?
A. I would hope to.
Q. Okay. Further, the inmate who wishes to have family or friends visit must compile a visitor list?
A. Yes.
Q. Within the jail?
A. Yes.
Q. There is a limit on how many names can be on that visitor list?
A. Three.
Q. And those can be changed, one name can be substituted for another?
A. Yes, we allow that on occasion.
Q. Right. There again, not willy nilly, whatever the inmate wants?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm seeing these for the first time, but I also take it that visits by people other than lawyers, clergy members, law enforcement officers, or probation agents, require an identification procedure for the visitor?
A. Yes. Family, or friends, or most anybody, we
prefer to see an identification. Especially if we don't know the person.
Q. Sure. Okay. Now -- And, again, setting aside the four groups, the professionals, so to speak, that I have been talking about, with the family and friend visitors, what is the identification procedure?
A. We request a picture ID, if we don't know the person. The information is recorded, and if the visit is allowed, generally there's a check done to make sure there's no wants or warrants for the person.
Q. Right. Is a criminal record check done as well, or just to see if there are open wants or commitment wants?
A. Generally just a basic wants check.
Q. All right. So, that when the person, the visitor, is in a law enforcement environment, and if somebody is wanted by a law enforcement agency, you wouldn't want them to walk in and out unmolested and be out there with an open warrant?
A. Correct.
Q. The general visitor may not bring recording equipment into the jail for the visit?
A. No.
Q. The general visitor, the father, the mother, the wife, whomever, can't bring a camera in for the visit?
A. We try to limit personal property to a purse. But $I$ don't know that we specify cameras. I would request that they don't. I would request that they don't bring a camera.
Q. Sure. And you would have the ability, then, to prevent that person from entering with a camera.
A. Yes.
Q. You have to leave it out here, or that kind of thing, or leave it in your car?
A. Correct.
Q. So there's some screening of the visitor in terms of what he or she is carrying with him?
A. Correct. Primarily because the room is small and there's -- there can be up to eight people, you know, in the room, or more, so.
Q. Right.
A. Primarily just for that reason.
Q. Fair enough. Are there any rules in Exhibit 7 that address members of the media in specific?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any other rules, written or unwritten, that you apply generally, with respect
to visits by reporters, members of the media?
A. No.
Q. You are familiar with Mr. Avery, two chairs to my right?
A. Yes.
Q. He is a current inmate of your jail?
A. Correct.
Q. Has been since November 9, 2005.
A. Yes.
Q. Other than for a brief time with a hospitalization and a transfer to the Brown County jail, he's been continuously in your custody since that time?
A. Yes.
(Exhibits 8 \& 9 marked for identification.)
Q. You can just drop 7 there, and $I$ will give you Exhibit 8 and 9; do you recognize those?
A. Yes.
Q. What's number 8?
A. Number 8 is a -- it's a copy of two separate forms. The first one is the Fifth Amendment Rights Invoked, indicating that Steven Avery has invoked his Fifth Amendment rights and that he's requesting that an attorney be present during
questioning. And that was signed by Correction Officer Hansel on 11/9/2005.
Q. Hansel is $H-a-n-s-e-l ?$
A. Correct.
Q. First name is Noel?
A. Noel, yes.
Q. And the bottom, I'm sorry?
A. The bottom is a Notification of Victim Form. If a person is released from the jail and there's a victim, or somebody involved in the case that needs to be notified, this form is to alert the corrections officer to do that.
Q. Both of these forms, then, that are copied together on Exhibit 8 are Calumet County Jail forms?
A. Correct.
Q. They normally would be found in an inmates file?
A. These were stapled to the front of the file.
Q. Routinely?
A. Yes. These two forms are on the front of the file so they are very prominent.
Q. In Mr. Avery's case, as in the case of every other inmate?
A. Correct.
Q. There is a unique file created, or a single file
created, for every inmate, for any duration in the jail?
A. There is a paper file created, yes.
Q. All right. So Exhibit 8 goes on the front, and both of them do, stapled on the front, to be prominent?
A. Yes.
Q. And Exhibit 9 is what?
A. It's a note written by Steve Avery. It indicates that he does not want to talk with any reporters, from any news media. This includes TV,
newspaper, radio, internet, magazines, or any other media.
Q. Is that dated, Mr. Byrnes?
A. It's dated November 12, 2005.
Q. When did you first see it?
A. I believe I first saw it when I copied the contents of his folder for you, a couple weeks ago.
Q. Okay. You found it in the inmate file for Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. That file is available to all of the corrections officers staff, you have described for us?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you not routinely look at an inmates file, as the Jail Administrator?
A. On occasion, yes, I will.
Q. Maybe we have gone past each other. I asked you, do you not routinely do that?
A. I don't make it a habit, but I generally handle most of the files.
Q. Okay. So how is it that you first saw Exhibit 9 when you set out to copy the Avery file for me?
A. I guess -- I guess what confuses me with this exhibit, if $I$ would have seen it, or I believe if my sergeant would have seen it, it would have been date stamped, and it's not date stamped. So I'm not sure when $I$ saw it. I may have seen it before, but $I$ didn't recall reading it.
Q. And the question is why, why would you have not seen that until I asked you to copy Mr. Avery's file for this hearing?
A. It was probably given to a corrections officer, the corrections officer may have noted it in the jail log and then placed it in the file.
Q. You found it in the place it should be, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean the correct place for this Exhibit 9 to have been filed, is in the inmate's file?
A. Yes.
Q. Where you found it?
A. Yes.
Q. You have no reason to think that one of the corrections officers hid it, or misfiled it, or did anything mistaken or improper with it?
A. No.
Q. You just had not looked for it?
A. I didn't recall reading it until I viewed it and made you a copy.
Q. Fair enough. And I guess, if I'm hearing you, you may have read it earlier, you just don't recall?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you talked to your jail sergeant about whether he or she was familiar with it?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Have you had conversations with anyone at all about Exhibit 9 before today?
A. No.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I will offer Exhibit 8 and 9, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No objection.
THE COURT: Exhibits 8 and 9 are admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Mr. Byrnes, you are aware of three televised interviews that occurred in the Calumet County Jail, involving Mr. Avery, during November and December of 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware of any more than that, televised interviews now, I'm speaking of?
A. No.
Q. The first of these would have been November 12 with Emily Matesic, of Channel 2, out of Green Bay?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Was the second, November 18, Jennifer Kolbusz, Channel 5, out of Green Bay?
A. Yes.
Q. And the third was Ms Kolbusz again, December 14; does that sound right?
A. I would agree, that sounds right.
Q. Okay. When did you first have any contact, of any kind, with Emily Matesic?
A. I'm not sure if I did.
Q. Ever?
A. No, I'm not sure that I did.
Q. Wouldn't know if she walked in the room?
A. Well, I believe I saw her when she testified, and
did not look familiar.
Q. Okay. When did you first have any contact at all with Jennifer Kolbusz?
A. I'm not sure if I spoke with her on the phone, or if she came to our lobby, but $I$ was present when she did an interview with Mr. Avery.
Q. Do you know whether that was the first or second interview that she did?
A. I believe it was the first.
Q. What caused you to be present, in the lobby, for that interview?
A. I think she asked to speak with somebody in charge, or she explained what she wanted and she was referred to me, and I went out and spoke with her.
Q. What did she tell you?
A. She indicated that she wanted to conduct an interview with Mr. Avery and requested to see him.
Q. Your response?
A. At that time, I requested that she write a note to Mr. Avery, and I indicated to her I would show that to Mr. Avery and he could make that decision.
Q. Was Jennifer Kolbusz on Mr. Avery's visitor list
on November 18, 2005?
A. No.
Q. Was she his lawyer?
A. No.
Q. Was she a member of the clergy?
A. No.
Q. She wasn't his probation agent?
A. No.
Q. How about law enforcement?
A. No.
Q. What provision of the jail rules or regulations might we look to for a suggestion that somebody could appear in the lobby, write a letter to an inmate, and the Jail Administrator would take the letter to the inmate?
A. There's nothing in policy.
Q. You took a letter to Mr. Avery, personally?
A. I believe I did, yes.
Q. All right. And what -- what was your conversation with him?
A. I just explained the situation, that there was a person from the media in the lobby requesting to see him. I handed him the note and waited for his reply.
(Exhibit 10 marked for identification.)
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ I show you Exhibit 10. Now, that's just one page out of what I'm sure is a longer document, but maybe you recognize it?
A. Yes. It's copies of our jail log entries. The date would be November 12th, 2005. The first entry on this page was made at 9:17 and the last entry at 1933 hours.
Q. Thank you. Is Exhibit 10 something that is prepared by Calumet County Jail staff, in the ordinary course of their business duties?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it prepared at, or about, the time of the events it reflects?
A. As close as possible?
Q. Prepared by someone with knowledge of the facts or the events that they are entering in the comments line?
A. Correct.
Q. And is it then maintained and kept, in the ordinary course of business, at the Calumet County Jail?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I offer Exhibit 10. THE COURT: Any objection?

ATTORNEY FALLON: And Exhibit 10, which is
just one page or page two.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I just marked the one page as Exhibit 10.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I have no objection. THE COURT: Exhibit 10 is admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~Now, I want to go back to this first entry that you started describing to us in identifying Exhibit 10. There's a code number there, I see No. 801 and, then, for instance, in the next entry, I see a number 700, those numbers refer to people; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Who's Number 801?
A. 801 would be Sheriff Pagel.
Q. He's got ultimate responsibility for the Calumet County Jail?
A. Correct.
Q. As well as for the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. Yes.
Q. And Number 700 , is that you, the Jail Administrator.
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So on November 12, 2005, at 9:17, going on 9:18 in the morning, somebody named

Juckem, I guess, receives a call from Sheriff Pagel, correct?
A. Yes, that's Corrections Officer Denise Juckem.
Q. She's somebody who works for you as a corrections officer?
A. Yes.
Q. So, what she's telling us, is that Sheriff Pagel called and he states, if the media want to interview Avery, we can allow them to do so, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Avery refers to Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. If Avery wants to talk to them, right?
A. Correct.
Q. This can occur in the conference room, in the jail, right?
A. Yes.
Q. We are to make sure all the media logs in?
A. Yes.
Q. Make sure we get the TV station, reporter, and camera person's name?
A. Yes.
Q. Also, make a copy of their ID?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Were you aware of that direction from Sheriff Pagel the morning of November 12th?
A. I believe so. I believe we had discussed that within the first couple days that Steven was in the jail.

We were getting a lot of requests from media for information, and also to see him. And I did, at one point, approach the sheriff and asked him how he wanted to handle that. And what is written down here was pretty much what we had discussed.
Q. Okay. Do you remember if it was November 12 that you approached the sheriff about that?
A. I believe it would have been before that, probably the 10th or 11th. It was within the first couple of days that Steven Avery was in the jail.
Q. Okay. And the next thing that Denise Juckem does, according to the log, Exhibit 10, is roughly 27 minutes after the phone call from Sheriff Pagel, she makes a 1021, which is just a call, right?
A. Yes, a phone call.
Q. To you?
A. Yes.
Q. And tells you what Sheriff Pagel advised, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And then she asks you questions about, essentially, how -- how you want them to move Mr. Avery back and forth for such a visit?
A. Yes.
Q. And you then tell her if we need extra help while the media is here, we can call someone in to assist with jail duties; is that what you told her?
A. Yes, generally we do have five part-time people. And if they felt they needed help, I had told them they were free to call in some help.
Q. Now, November 12, we have had testimony here, I think it was a Saturday morning. I don't expect you to remember that, but I think the calendar will bear me out. So, if you are going to have to call in help on short notice, on any day of the week, you are going to incur some extra costs at the jail, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Labor costs, right?
A. Pardon me?
Q. Labor costs.
A. Labor costs, yes.
Q. And if it's going to be a Saturday or Sunday, those costs may be higher still?
A. No, the hourly rate is the hourly rate.
Q. They need a union. But, essentially, if I understand you here, what you -- what you are telling the corrections officer, is the checkbook is open. We'll pay extra labor costs, if we need to, in accommodating the media, if they want to see Mr. Avery?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, speculation as to the intent.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Did you put in any limits on how much extra help, how often, for how long, on who's say so?
A. Not necessarily, but it's always been our policy in the jail, that they are free to call in a part-time staff person for any visiting night, any visiting time. So, it's not unusual to allow them to do that.
Q. Well, let's talk about what's unusual. Prior to November 12, 2005, during your entire tenure as Jail Administrator at the Calumet County Jail, can you recall one occasion on which a TV camera crew interviewed an inmate of the jail -- in the
jail?
A. I couldn't give a specific.
Q. No one comes to mind?
A. Not off hand.
Q. How long have you been with the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. Twenty-seven years.
Q. In your 27 years with the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, do you remember any other inmate -- I don't need the name -- but do you remember any other inmate who has attracted as much media attention as Steven Avery?
A. No.
Q. The next entry, and now we have got a corrections officer named Konen?
A. Yes.
Q. The next entry is at 10:16, roughly, that same morning, November 12. And we have got Channel 2 calling, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And who's -- who's number 714?
A. That would be Denise Juckem.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Where's my second copy? Your Honor, I realize I'm leaving you in the dark. ATTORNEY FALLON: Never a good thing to do
to a Judge.
THE COURT: Thank you.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure. May I share with you? May I share with you?

THE WITNESS: Sure.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I gave away my last copy to the Judge.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ So, you know, some 30 minutes, not even, or I guess 32 minutes after this discussion that you have about how we're going to move Avery if the media wants to see him, low and behold we get a call from the media, at least according to Exhibit 10?
A. We were getting a lot of calls.
Q. Okay. And Ms Juckem, or Officer Juckem and Officer Konen go back and, at least according to this, they talk to Avery, ask him if he would speak to the media?
A. Correct.
Q. And Avery stated -- and here they quote -- "Yeah, for a second."
A. Yes.
Q. Have you given instructions to corrections officers on when to use quotations in the jail log, quotation marks?
A. No.
Q. And your understanding, though, would be that the use of quotation marks connotes a verbatim statement from the person being quoted?
A. Yes.
Q. That's certainly how you would rely on this document, in reading it?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it looks like, within 30 minutes, there's some further discussion between Officer Konen now and, again, Sheriff Pagel? I'm sorry, go ahead, you take it. 10:46 a.m.?
A. Yes.
Q. And at least what -- is it Mr. Konen?
A. Yes.
Q. Officer Konen?
A. Yes, Todd.
Q. Says is, that Sheriff Pagel advises, corrections officers are to stand outside the conference room, not inside, when above is being interviewed by the media?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Sheriff Pagel explain to you, at any time, why he wanted the officer standing outside the room?
A. At that time, it was the first few days that he was in our jail, and due to what he had been accused of, we wanted to be close, for security reasons, yes.
Q. Okay. Why have them outside the room, not inside the room, according to Sheriff Pagel; what did he tell you about that?
A. I'm not sure he explained it. But generally, with all professional visits, we don't stay in the room, unless there is an immediate security concern.
Q. Sure.
A. We allow the conversation in private.
Q. When -- When a lawyer visits, for professional visit, contact visit, it would not be the practice to have even one correction officer standing outside the door, would it?
A. No.
Q. Let alone two.
A. No.
Q. So this, having two corrections officers outside the door, was an improvised procedure here, to be used with media -- media interviews of Mr. Avery?
A. It was a decision based on everyone's safety.
Q. And that decision was Sheriff Pagel's?
A. Yes.
Q. The interview room itself, that was used here, you are familiar with?
A. Yes.
Q. It has what $I$ would call linoleum floor, or tile floor?
A. Correct.
Q. The hallway through which the visitor comes and goes, is the same linoleum tile?
A. Correct.
Q. The hallway through which the inmate passes into the other door, the second door, is a poured concrete floor?
A. There's some sort of covering on it but, yeah, it is a hard surface.
Q. It's a hard surface. The two doors into this visiting room are heavy metal security doors?
A. Yes.
Q. With security glass?
A. Yes.
Q. They are not flush with the floor?
A. No.
Q. That is, there's a gap of an inch or something under each of those doors?
A. Probably, yes.
Q. One standing within a couple feet of those doors can hear, with relative ease, what people are saying in a normal conversational tone inside the visiting room?
A. It's not been my experience. I disagree, no.
Q. You could not hear, if you're standing at the door, what people are saying in a normal conversational tone, in the interview room?
A. I don't believe so. As I said, I did stand outside the door during one of the media interviews, and I couldn't hear the conversation.
Q. At all?
A. No, I may have heard some of the noise, but it wasn't to the point where I could understand the words.
Q. Could make out the words, okay.
A. Correct.
Q. Fair enough. And, yet, the privacy concern, the not being overheard, with lawyers or clergy visiting an inmate, causes you not to station someone near the door?
A. Not so much a privacy concern with an attorney visit. It's primarily a security concern with the media, why we wanted someone close. With an attorney, there's generally not that concern
about the attorney's safety. It's somebody that -- In most cases. It's someone that the inmate is looking forward to, to help them, so they are usually glad to see them.
Q. Okay. You know, I don't disagree with that. Any reason to think that -- here, that Mr. Avery was not glad to see the media?
A. No. But $I$ have seen media at times, it depends on their approach. And not knowing Mr. Avery at that time, we didn't want to take a chance.
Q. You had a concern, potentially, or at least didn't want to take a chance, on the safety of the two people from the media?
A. Correct.
Q. That is, he may not have been happy to see them, was your concern?
A. He may not have been happy, or they may have done something to provoke him during the interview.
Q. You know, I have done lots of things to provoke clients during interviews in a jail, but I have never had a sheriff's deputy standing there for my safety. So what was the concern about the media provoking Mr. Avery?
A. I guess, as I said, we weren't sure. Unfamiliar with Steven Avery, we weren't sure what types of
questions they would ask, or what they would imply and what his reaction might be.
Q. Okay.
A. Because they are his professionals, in a way, that I felt there was a duty to protect them.
Q. Okay. Giving you back Exhibit 10, it was a busy day for Mr. Avery, because a couple hours, roughly, after Emily Matesic and her cameraman leave, in comes Mr. Avery's lawyer, right?
A. Correct, at 1:24 p.m.
Q. He meets with Mr. Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. No corrections officers are stationed outside the doors for that meeting?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. That would reflect it if there were?
A. It probably would.
Q. And what's the entry there concerning the note that Mr. Avery writes?
A. It indicates the entry was made at 4:04 p.m. It should be noted, that the above subject, Mr. Avery, they are referring to, wrote a note to the jail staff, that he wishes not to speak with any media, newspapers, internet, etcetera. All calls inquiring about subject should be directed
to his attorney, Erik Loy, per his note.
Q. Erik Loy is an Assistant State Public Defender who's responsible for Manitowoc and Calumet Counties?
A. Yes, he was his attorney at that time.
Q. That's something you knew at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. That's something your staff knew at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. The jail log is maintained for access to you, or access by you?
A. Yes, I review it daily.
Q. Your jail sergeant reviews it daily?
A. Yes.
Q. The corrections officers are expected to review it daily?
A. Yes.
Q. That's an entry, then, you would have seen on Monday, November 14th.
A. Correct.
Q. You did see that entry on Monday, November 14?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. You reviewed the jail log first thing in the morning, essentially?
A. Yes.
Q. At any time after November 14, 2005, are you aware of Mr. Avery submitting a contrary, or overriding written instruction, to the jail, about his preferences with respect to contact with the media?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. You searched his file carefully?
A. Yes.
Q. You personally copied the contents of the entire Avery inmate file?
A. Most of it, there were some private letters that I didn't forward to you.
Q. Private letters?
A. I believe there were letters that were written to Mr. Avery, that for some reason probably weren't delivered. They were denied for some reason and kept in the file.
Q. Kept in the file?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. But you saw no document superseding or modifying Exhibit 9, which is in front of you?
A. No.
(Exhibit 11 marked for identification.)
Q. I show you Exhibit 11, which I believe to be the very next page of the jail log that you have
described, and that we marked as Exhibit 10?
A. Correct.

ATTORNEY STRANG: This is Exhibit 11, your

Honor.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ Now we're back to Noel Hansel, who you told us about with respect to the Invocation of Fifth Amendment Rights. He makes an entry at, I think, just about 5 p.m., does he not?
A. 1715 .
Q. Okay. 5:15 p.m.?
A. $5: 15$.
Q. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the -- Why don't you --

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, I will offer

Exhibit 11 as well.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I have no objection. I'm confident -- I have no objection. I'm confident this is accurate documents provided by the business records from the jail, so that's fine.

THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 11 is admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~What's the entry from Mr. Hansel, at 5:15 in the afternoon?
A. Noel would be a female.
Q. I'm sorry. I apologize.
A. That's all right. I will read the entry. At approximately 1700 hours, Owen Jensen, a reporter for NBC 26, was in the Sheriff's Department lobby. I talked with Jensen. Jensen requested an interview this evening with Inmate Avery.

I told Jensen that Inmate Avery has refused, in writing, to speak with the media. I referred Jensen to Inmate Avery's attorney, Erik Loy, per Inmate Avery's written request.
Q. Following the directions, or at least consistent with the directions set forth in Exhibit 9 by Mr. Avery, correct?
A. Correct.
(Exhibit 12 marked for identification.)
Q. Showing you Exhibit 12, yet another page from the same jail log?
A. Correct.
Q. Prepared and maintained under the same circumstances?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Offer Exhibit 12.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I have no objection. THE COURT: Exhibit 12 is admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Let's look at the November 18, 2005, entries. You will recall that as the day we agreed that Jennifer Kolbusz did her first televised interview from the jail, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And is there an entry there concerning FOX 11?
A. Yes, at 9:50 a.m. The entry was made by Corrections Officer Cheryl Mason. I will read it. Mark Leland from FOX 11 called and wanted to give a message to above subject, to call to arrange for an interview. I gave subject the message and he refused to take it, and did not want to call. And stated that all inquiries to be forwarded to his attorney. I called Mark Leland back and advised him what Avery stated and gave him Erik Loy's number.
Q. Now, the subject, or the above subject referred to there, is Steven Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. So this time it appears that a corrections officer, rather than just turning away the inquiry, goes and asks Mr. Avery, correct?
A. Yes. And that had been the procedure we had set
up.
Q. But Avery's letter, Exhibit 9, clearly said, I just don't want to talk to these people, correct?
A. Yes, but $I$ guess as a corrections officer, if the sheriff tells me I deliver the message, I would deliver the message.
Q. Was Sheriff Pagel involved?
A. Well, he had -- the previous orders were to, if there was a message, give it to Mr. Avery.
Q. Okay. Do you know whether Sheriff Pagel reviews inmate files at the jail?
A. On occasion I have seen him in the jail office.
Q. Reviewing an inmate file?
A. Possibly, I'm not sure.
Q. Does he review the jail log, Exhibits 10, 11, and 12, that document, regularly?
A. That I couldn't answer.
Q. One way or the other?
A. I couldn't answer.
Q. Is it available to him?
A. It's -- This is available on computer terminal. It should be available to him.
Q. But in any event, Avery refuses FOX 11 and says, tell them to call my lawyer, or words to that effect?
A. Correct.
Q. What's the next entry relating to Mr. Avery, after that? And that's at, $I$ don't know, 9 something in the morning? Yeah, 9:51, essentially, November 18, we have that entry, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the next entry related to Mr. Avery is when?
A. At 2:44 p.m.
Q. Same day?
A. Correct, made by Corrections Officer Cheryl Mason.
Q. Same officer?
A. Correct.
Q. And what's that?
A. Says, interview with news media done. Started approximately 1400.
Q. No reference to asking Mr. Avery about that one?
A. There is no reference, no reference to which media it was.
Q. No reference to anyone walking a letter back to him?
A. No.
Q. No reference to any action at all by you?
A. No.
Q. Had you engaged in any action at all on November 18, with respect to admission of Jennifer Kolbusz to the jail, would you expect your actions to be reflected on Exhibit 12?
A. Yes.
Q. If we assume, for a moment, that a reporter shows up at the jail, unannounced, asks to see you, and hands you a letter, to Mr. Avery -- and maybe we don't need to assume this -- did that happen, on at least one occasion?
A. Yes.
Q. With whom?
A. I believe it was the interview we talked about before, with Channel 5.
Q. The last interview, the December 14 interview, or the --
A. Yes.
Q. Or did it happen twice with Channel 5?
A. Could have happened twice.
Q. Okay. And I'm not trying to trap you on this, once, twice, it happened?
A. I recall -- I mean, I recall talking to the media quite a bit in our lobby, during this time period, and just, specifically, to name a date
and time, would be difficult.
Q. I agree. And I'm not -- Again, I'm not trying to go there, okay. But you at least -- You remember at least one occasion, and maybe there was more than one, when Jennifer Kolbusz, in specific, gave you a letter -- and showed up unannounced, and gave you a letter, and asked you to give it to Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. You did that?
A. Yes.
Q. And came back, told Ms Kolbusz, essentially, he will see you?
A. If he agreed to, yes.
Q. You recall, at least, her conducting these two interviews with Mr. Avery?
A. I recall the one interview, as I said, where I stood outside the door.
Q. All right. And that interview started within minutes after you handed Mr . Avery the letter from Ms Kolbusz?
A. Yes.
Q. Not time for Erik Loy to drive from Sheboygan, or Manitowoc, wherever his office is?
A. No.
Q. You have -- After reviewing the entire inmate file for Steven Avery, you have no document suggesting that Mr. Avery requested, or initiated, the November 12, 2005 interview with Emily Matesic, true?
A. True, the notes were given to Steven. And I'm not sure what he did with them.
Q. Same as to the November 18, 2005 interview with Jennifer Kolbusz?
A. Correct.
Q. Same with the December 14, 2005 interview with Jennifer Kolbusz?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, I'm missing a page of a jail log that I had. I wonder whether this would be a good time for a break, and I will get a copy of that page, or $I$ can just take a couple moments now.

THE COURT: No, it's 1:00, let's take our lunch break at this time. Given the fact that the pace isn't going as quickly as we thought, let's resume at quarter to two.
(Noon recess taken.)
ATTORNEY STRANG: Two quick things before we resume with him, your Honor, if I may.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: One, Mr. Kratz and
Mr. Fallon were kind enough to tell me that, at least to them, I left an impression that the Calumet County District Attorney's Office had the entire November 18, 2005 interview, including raw footage. That wasn't my intended implication at all.

The DVD or the CD that was produced is what was aired on TV, and nothing more. I intended no -- if the Court took it that way, I certainly intended no such implication.

THE COURT: The only impression I got was that they would have had the telephone interview, by virtue of the fact that it was conducted over the telephone, not --

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.
THE COURT: -- for any other reason. But I did not get the impression that they had any of the full length TV interviews.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. I just wanted to make that very clear, if it wasn't already. Second, I think Mr. Fallon and I agreed here that it would make sense, and be appropriate for the Court, to be permitted to take judicial notice of the calendars for 2005 and 2006, so that we don't have to worry
about what's a Saturday and what's a Wednesday and that kind of -- the days of the week, as we're going through things with witnesses, including on the next motion, I assume.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yeah. I think that's
true. I think we can agree, for instance, that the 5th, the 12 th , the 19 th , and 26 th of November were Saturdays.

THE COURT: Very well.
ATTORNEY FALLON: And we would also just note for the record, that a request has been made to Corporate Counsel in New York for the substance of the entire interview. The initial request was not granted, and we'll see where that takes us, whether or not that results in further hearings elsewhere or not, but at least so the record is clear, that a request was made for the entire interview. It has not been adhered to at the moment.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's -- Not only is that not in dispute, but I have heard that before from Mr. Fallon.

THE COURT: Answer me something here, the motion in this case is a motion to suppress statements made to the media. If the State doesn't have the statements, what's the relevance of the
other content.
ATTORNEY STRANG: If the motion is denied for any reason, then we have a doctrine of completeness problem. I'm sure that's what counsel has in mind.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct, yes, because some of those comments and statements were actually aired, parts of the interview were aired publicly.

THE COURT: Okay. And I understand --
ATTORNEY FALLON: So either party may choose to use some of the on air snippets from the interview. At this particular point, the defense is challenging the three in issue, as not wanting that to occur. But that's a separate issue on the rule of completeness. And in the interest of accuracy, which was, $I$ think, something that Ms Kolbusz was attempting to provide in her testimony. But that's a separate issue over and above the admissibility per se of the statements which were aired publicly.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, you may proceed with your examination of the witness. And, Mr. Byrnes, you are still under oath.

MR. BYRNES: Yes.
(Exhibit 15 marked for identification.)

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Mr. Byrnes, this is Exhibit 15, do you recognize at least the type of form?
A. Yes, the form is an Inmate Communication Form that we use.
Q. Part of the business of the Calumet County Jail is to make regular, the communication of inmates to jail staff and jail staff back to inmates, by the use of this form?
A. Correct.
Q. It's a standard form that your jail, at some time, created?
A. Correct.
Q. You maintain these in the ordinary course of the business of the Calumet County Jail?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Move Exhibit 15.
ATTORNEY FALLON: No objection.
THE COURT: This exhibit is what number?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Fifteen.
ATTORNEY FALLON: We have no objection to the introduction of Exhibit 15.

THE CLERK: I put the wrong number on, do you want me to change it to 13.

THE COURT: I thought it was 13.

THE CLERK: It should be, I just put the wrong sticker on it.

THE COURT: Yes, let's make it 13.
ATTORNEY STRANG: All right. Thirteen it is. All right. Exhibit 13, so I that's the one I move.

THE COURT: There's no objection, as I understand it, from the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No. It's been re-numbered to 13 now?

THE COURT: Correct. Exhibit 13 is admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ The top half of Exhibit 13 is the part on which the inmate writes?
A. Correct.
Q. And then the bottom half is for a response by jail staff?
A. Correct.
Q. The top half here is written by Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. The bottom half is written by you?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the date of Steven Avery's request, or his communication?
A. This is January 9, 2006.
Q. And you write back to him on what date?
A. Would have been January 9th, 2006.
Q. Same day?
A. Yes.
Q. What's Mr. Avery asking?
A. It's addressed to Jail Administrator, John Byrnes. It says, I have got TV 26 NBC for a interview on 1/11/06. Aaron Keller, he is going to come to see me. Thank you.
Q. And then what's your reaction, or your response to Mr. Avery?
A. My response was, I cannot approve a special visit for this, you will have to do this interview by phone.
Q. Okay. Thank you. In fact, we'll just leave that there. Now, I think we agreed, before lunch, that Mr. Avery had not made any request for the three televised interviews that we have already discussed, but we now have him making a written request for a 4th televised interview?
A. Correct.
Q. And although he had not requested the first three, you arranged those, and we have gone through the sequence of your involvement, and

Sheriff Pagel's involvement, and that of corrections officers. This one you are turning down, when he finally does request one, and my question for you is, why?
A. At some time, I believe it was later in November or December, the sheriff redirected us, I think after speaking with Mr. Avery's counsel, not to grant interviews. It was an agreement that we would abide by the counsel's wish, the attorney's wish, that we stop that process.
Q. Erik Loy at that time?
A. I believe so.
Q. Are you aware of any document reflecting that agreement?
A. I'm sure that Sheriff Pagel issued a memo; I don't have a copy of it.
Q. Okay. So that would have -- You would have received such a memo, or some kind of directive from Sheriff Pagel, after the third interview we discussed before the lunch break, but before this request. So the answer simply is, no, even though Mr. Avery is initiating the request.
A. Correct.
Q. Sheriff Pagel had not directed you to prevent telephone interviews with Mr. Avery, correct?
A. There's really no way to prevent it.
Q. If the inmate places the call?
A. Correct.
Q. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Was there any direction about request for a telephone interview initiated by a member of the media?
A. I believe that any request, whether it was for a telephone interview or anything, since that change in direction, it has to be addressed to Mr. Avery at the Calumet County Jail. They have to send a letter, or -- we're not even providing notes to Steven any more.
Q. Since this December directive from Sheriff Pagel?
A. Correct.
Q. As to the three interviews that did happen on camera, you had an understanding of the topics on which the reporters wished to interview Mr. Avery, true?
A. Not specifically. It was related to the case.
Q. Right. Generally, you understood them to be wanting to interview him relating to the case?
A. Correct.
Q. By the case, you mean the pending charges against

Mr. Avery, concerning the disappearance and death of Teresa Halbach?
A. Correct.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon. ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, thank you.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Do you have the exhibits in front of you?
A. Just 13.
Q. All right.

THE COURT: Counsel, your microphone is still on there.

ATTORNEY FALLON: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Lieutenant, I'm showing you what has been received into evidence as Exhibit

No. 8, are you familiar with that form?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know its purpose?
A. There's two forms here. The Fifth Amendment, or the notification?
Q. And my attention is directed -- I'm directing
your attention to the top part of the Fifth Amendment Form?
A. Yes, the purpose is to ensure that the jail staff understands and makes any law enforcement officer that may come in to question an inmate, understand that that inmate has invoked his Fifth Amendment rights, does not wish to give a statement without an attorney present.
Q. So, that's to alert any other law enforcement officer who may wish to interview a defendant or suspect, on any other crime, on any other matter, that they would be prohibited from doing so, because the individual has invoked his right to counsel on all unrelated and related matters, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And that's for law enforcement personnel seeking to come and interview a given inmate who is detained at the jail?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Now, you indicated in your direct examination that there were at least two distinct classes, or groups of individuals, and that the rules were different for purposes of facilitating visitation; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And one group, or one class of individuals, you have lawyers, clergy, probation and parole, and medical personnel?
A. Yes.
Q. And on the other side of the ledger, the other group, we have family members, friends, relatives, and perhaps other members of the general public?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Now, I believe, also, you said in your examination that there wasn't anything specific in the visitation rules governing contacts with the media; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So, for purposes of assessing the media, in your opinion, which category does the media fall into? Do they fall into the lawyers, clergy, professional, law enforcement, doctor group; or would they fall into the family, friends, relatives, and others group?
A. We consider them professional visitors, as a doctor or an attorney.
Q. All right. And why were they afforded this professional status?
A. Well, because we -- Obviously, generally, they are not family, and the inmates are limited to a specific number of friends that can visit. I guess, to allow the visitation, which the inmate does have a right to access the media, we just consider them a professional visit.
Q. Well, let's talk about that right of access to the media. Where does that come from?
A. The courts uphold that inmates have certain rights in jail, such as access to the courts, access to their attorneys, access to their legal materials, and access to the media, or other organizations that do help them.
Q. Now, is that something that you learned in training?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you tell us about that, please.
A. I believe, specifically, I learned -- I attended a Department of Corrections Seminar on writing policies and procedures. And part of the materials that we were provided talked about specific inmate rights that should be protected.
Q. All right. So would it be fair to say that you were sensitive to any given inmate's right to have access to the media?
A. Correct.
Q. So, sensitive to their First Amendment right to free speech?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And does that sensitivity somewhat inform you in exercising your policy of reasonableness?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. There was a fair amount of discussion relative to -- to flexibility that needed to be afforded to permit professional visits; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. As a matter of fact, Monday, in Calumet County, is criminal court intake day; is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And things get rather hectic on that particular day, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There's a lot of court appearances to be held?
A. Yes.
Q. And as a result, there's a lot of visits by retained counsel for inmates?
A. Yes.
Q. As a matter of fact, there may very well be visits by the State Public Defender's Office doing indigency evaluations and things of the sort to see if some in custody person is entitled to a right of counsel, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, on a given day like that, do you sometimes have to call in extra personnel to make these visits happen?
A. Yeah, we generally like to, if we can, staff heavier on busier court dates.
Q. I believe you said the staffing is somewhat more limited on weekends; is that correct?
A. It's limited in the way that there's not always a supervisor working. The corrections officers depend more on the patrol supervisors to assist them.
Q. All right. And in terms of the correctional individuals, or sometimes referred to as jailers, the staffing, does that depend on the number of inmates that may be housed at any given time at the jail? Do you have to put on extra staffing, in other words, if you're full versus half full, for instance?
A. We prefer, but there's so many factors that
influence it, that it's really hard. We like to have as many working as we can, but as I said there's a lot of factors that limit it.
Q. And those factors, then, necessitate an exercise of reasonableness in attempting to facilitate visits that someone -- that any given inmate may wish to have?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Let's talk about the situation with Jennifer Kolbusz. As I understand your testimony, she provided you with a letter briefly explaining her purpose for visiting Mr. Avery; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you examined the letter?
A. Yes, I reviewed it.
Q. All right. And you found it to be appropriate, under the circumstances?
A. Yes.
Q. And you took that letter and you went down to Mr. Avery's cell, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You handed him the letter, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You waited for a reply?
A. Yes.
Q. And what we didn't hear is, what was his reply?
A. Said he would talk to them.
Q. All right. At that particular point, was he at all hesitant to grant the interview?
A. Didn't appear to be. He studied the letter, and thought about it, and agreed to it.
Q. All right. At any time during your contact with him, did he express an unwillingness to meet with them?
A. No.
Q. As a matter of fact, you gave him the letter, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You never took that letter back, correct?
A. No, I did not.
Q. For all you know, that letter is in his possession to this day?
A. Probably, unless he disposed of it.
Q. All right. And there was a -- I will withdraw that. When you were discussing with him the fact that Ms Kolbusz, from Channel 5, was wanting to interview him, he never once mentioned Attorney Erik Loy to you, did he?
A. No.
Q. As a matter of fact, he never mentioned once to you, Exhibit No. 9, which was the handwritten letter that he wrote out and was found in your files, correct?
A. No.
Q. As a matter of fact, there was no real hesitation whatsoever in terms of his willingness to meet with the reporter, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that held true, as far as you know, for both interviews conducted by Ms Kolbusz, the November 18th letter circumstance we were just talking about, and the December 14th?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, with respect to this media access issue and Mr. Avery, it's true, is it not, that initial counsel in this case, Erik Loy, and his associate, were concerned about the interviews given to the media by their client, Mr. Avery, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. As a matter of fact, he was not heeding their advice not to give those interviews was he?
A. No.
Q. And as a matter of fact, the change in the policy
that you alluded to by Sheriff Pagel, came at the request of the attorneys that -- basically, in effect, asking for a favor that they not -- that he not grant access or pass on information to their client, correct?
A. Correct.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Personal knowledge here, but I think we need some foundation.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection on foundational grounds, at this point.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ What's your understanding as too why there was a change of policy in January of '06?
A. As I explained earlier, my understanding from conversations with the sheriff was that Mr. Avery's counsel at that time had requested that we no longer grant access, by the media, to Mr. Avery. And the sheriff at that time changed his policy of passing notes and asking if Mr. Avery wanted to do an interview and, in effect, stopped it.
Q. All right. And that, specifically, was at the request of his attorneys of record at that time?
A. That was my understanding.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of the interviews, who
were granted interviews -- Well, let's rephrase that. In terms of the individuals for whom Mr. Avery agreed to be interviewed, those interviews were conducted by Emily Matesic, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And they were also interviews conducted by Jennifer Kolbusz, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's true, is it not, that Mr. Avery declined to be interviewed by Mark Leland, from FOX 11 news, correct?
A. Yes, it's in the jail log.
Q. As a matter of fact, in the jail logs, the individuals to whom Mr. Avery granted interviews are all women, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. As a matter of fact, for instance, Ms Matesic and Ms Kolbusz are young, attractive, dark-haired professional women, correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Stipulated.
A. Correct.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ One other matter, in November and December, which is the time frame in question, it was customary for you and/or other jail staff to sit down and have discussion with

Mr. Avery as to how he was doing, how he was adjusting in the jail, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. As a matter of fact, you would review everything from his visitation list, to his medication needs, to his entertainment needs, whatever the case may be; you wanted to see if he was adjusting correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. And comfortably?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. How often would these meetings take place with Mr. Avery?
A. I couldn't say specifically, I know I tried to speak with him weekly, it's probably not that frequent any more.
Q. And as a matter of fact, you did have a meeting with him on November 30th; would that be correct?
A. I guess.
Q. Well, perhaps I can show you a page from the report.
(Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) ATTORNEY FALLON: May I approach? THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~Lieutenant, I show you
what's been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 14; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Directing your attention to the last entry on Exhibit 14.
A. That's a narrative that Sergeant Hemauer wrote about a meeting that we had with Mr. Avery.
Q. Tell us about that meeting. What does the entry say?
A. I will read it. Earlier this afternoon JA Byrnes and I invited Inmate Avery into the office to discuss any concerns, requests, or complaints, he may have. Inmate Avery stated he is doing as good as can be.

I asked Inmate Avery if everything was working properly in his housing unit. The only complaint Inmate Avery indicated, were that sometimes the water in the shower is too hot and the reception on the television is poor.

Inmate Avery stated he has no problems with the correctional staff and is -- that's all.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe the next page is already an exhibit -- No, it's not. All right.

THE COURT: If you are going to add a page, can the parties agree just to make it part of --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yeah, I'm going to add Page 6, in the interest of completeness.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ All right. There's the rest of the that note, would you continue, please.
A. It's, again, on the first page, Inmate Avery stated he has no problems with the correctional staff and is being treated okay.

I asked Inmate Avery about a report by a television station, that his mother made the comment he is depressed. Inmate Avery stated he was doing okay.

Inmate Avery was advised that should he ever need services from the Calumet County Department of Human Services, the jail will assist him in arranging to have someone speak with him. Inmate Avery again stated he was doing okay and would let correctional staff know if he needs assistance from CCDHS.

Lastly, we talked about being housed alone. Inmate Avery stated he is okay with it, but sometimes he wishes he had someone to talk to. Inmate Avery made the comment he's facing
more time this time than the last. It was decided that Inmate Avery will continue to be housed alone, but will be revisited at Inmate Avery's request, in the future.

Inmate Avery thanked JA Byrnes and myself for our time and was turned over to correctional staff.
Q. Thank you. So it would be fair to say -- or would it be fair to say that those types of meetings or debriefings would occur periodically during his detention?
A. Yes.
Q. And at that particular meeting, it's obvious he didn't have any concerns or express any problems with having media interviews, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So, during the course of these periodic reviews, to your recollection did he ever -- and I'm now talking specifically from the time frame of November. Well, that note was November 30th, through December 15th, were there ever any concerns expressed by Mr. Avery regarding, geez, I just don't want any more media contact?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I move into evidence

Exhibit 14 and tender the witness for redirect.
THE COURT: Any objections?
ATTORNEY STRANG: No objection.
THE COURT: All right. The exhibit is admitted. Mr. Strang.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. With respect to an inmate's access to the media, okay, discussed on cross-examination, one of the things an inmate ordinarily has available to him in the jail is a telephone?
A. Correct.
Q. Each block, or pod, however the jail is organized, has such a phone?
A. Yes.
Q. That phone can be turned off by jail staff?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, beyond the scope of cross.

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's clearly within.
THE COURT: I'm trying to remember.
Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: All I'm going is, Avery was able to initiate calls to the media, by telephone. that up on cross-examination.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, the right of access to the media and his training on right of access to the media.

THE COURT: Yeah. I will allow the question.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ This is a phone that can be turned off by jail staff.
A. Correct.
Q. But unless it's abused, ordinarily it's on?
A. Correct.
Q. Doesn't receive incoming calls?
A. No.
Q. But the inmate can place outgoing calls, collect?
A. Yes.
Q. There was a short time in March of 2006 where you turned off Mr. Avery's phone, or at least moved him to a cell that had no phone, correct?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance as to what went on in March, we're talking November and December.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, what's the --
ATTORNEY STRANG: It's just a counter point. What I'm going to is, he, other than for a
very brief period of time in March, has had access to a telephone and could make collect calls.

THE COURT: I don't know that that's contested. I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Mr. Avery, to your knowledge, was able to place collect calls to any media outlet he wished, who would accept his collect call?
A. Correct.
Q. We discussed the three interviews, television interviews, Mr. Avery did not request, and the one television interview he did request and was turned down. And Mr. Fallon explored the sex and the physical characteristics of the reporters. The one reporter Mr. Avery ever asked to speak to was a gentleman named Aaron Keller, wasn't it?
A. I'm not familiar with Aaron Keller -- pardon me -- but the note indicates "he".
Q. Finally, the November 30 meeting, which I understand was one of several similar meetings that you have had with Mr. Avery, --
A. Yes.
Q. -- correct? And so you are the Jail Administrator, and with you for that meeting was your Jail Sergeant, Sergeant Hemauer?
A. Correct.
Q. During the period of November and December, 2005, were you and Sergeant Hemauer in the habit of regularly visiting every inmate of the Calumet County Jail, to inquire how things were going, whether he was depressed, whether the water in the shower was too hot, how the TV reception was doing; were the two of you running around and talking to every inmate, during that time period, about these solicitous matters?
A. I would say we didn't have formal meetings with them. I'm not sure how formal this was but, yeah, we keep in touch with the inmates and, I mean, I want to know what's going on and how they are doing. And he is not the only inmate in there, and not the only one I'm concerned about.
Q. Sure, but I have had a chance to look at the jail log, and we have only marked a few pages of it, but I don't see that -- that sort of attention to the other inmates. Am I missing something, or was Mr. Avery a little bit different?
A. The jail log that you were provided are entries that deal solely with Mr. Avery, nothing with the other 40,50 inmates that we house. That log was provided by querying his booking number. And
from that $I$ obtained all the log entries specific to him only.
Q. Very well. So the question, really, the final question is, was Mr. Avery treated differently in terms of your solicitude, the Jail Sergeant and the Administrator, visiting him and making these sort of inquiries; was he treated differently, in the last two months in 2005, than the other 40 or 50 inmates?
A. No.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have.

THE COURT: Any other questions?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing further for the witness.

THE COURT: You may be seated.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Does the defense have any further witnesses on this motion?

ATTORNEY STRANG: We do not.
THE COURT: Any other witnesses for the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: One moment. We have nothing further.

THE COURT: All right. I know each party has submitted a written argument in support of their
position on their motion, does either party wish to be heard orally, after today's evidence on the motion?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I would like an opportunity to get a transcript, which I'm willing to pay for on an expedited basis, and submit a written argument. Because $I$ think we will find no case that directly controls this on the question of where the line falls on a citizen becoming, de facto, an agent of the police. I think it's an interesting issue. And I would like a chance to look at the testimony and argue it in writing.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I guess I would disagree. I think there is case law both in Wisconsin and elsewhere. I cited some of it in my brief. We have argued it. We have done our preliminary research. We have taken the testimony. And I would be prepared to orally argue further comments, based on the testimony, while it's fresh in our minds.

THE COURT: I think on the general question, the memoranda submitted by both parties adequately addressed the point. There was a -- I don't know that the written memoranda addressed the significance, if any, of the written request that
was made by the defendant, not to have access to any media people.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, we had none of that at the time of the memoranda.

THE COURT: All right. In light of that fact, I will grant the request to allow the parties to submit written argument, a supplemental memoranda on this issue. I will just make it due by August 9th.
(Discussion about expedited transcript.)
THE COURT: I also think that the parties can probably start researching their memoranda before they get a copy of the transcript. I don't think the evidence is that complicated here.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Let me ask, Judge, are you contemplating, then, a simultaneous filing, since we each have already filed our initial replies or responses, so that each party will file our final position by the 9th; is that the contemplation?

THE COURT: Yes, that is exactly what I contemplate.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
THE COURT: All right. Then we move on to the Franks motion regarding the basis for the search warrant. I believe the defense is going to begin
here. Mr. Buting, you are handling this one?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Excuse me, your Honor, before we begin, if $I$ could have a moment, since I'm doing this one as well, to reorganize my paperwork. But I would like the right to be heard on whether or not the pleading is sufficient to justify an evidentiary hearing on part of the defense motion dealing with the Franks issue.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I think the State has had an opportunity to respond to this motion, several weeks, if they were going to reply and object to this motion being heard, having a hearing on that part of it, they could have filed it in writing. I can't say that I have all the case law at my fingertips right now, on these issues, because it wasn't raised as a concern until right now.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATtORNEY FALLON: Yes, Judge. Well, I would have liked to have had the time to file a more written rendition of the argument I'm about to make, but like other counsel, I have been away from the office quite a bit in the last few weeks.

And I did write a brief for the November 5th proceeding, and I wrote a brief with respect
to the issue we just had. I did not have time to write the third brief. That's all I can say.

I would note for the record that, in reading the case law, the courts have often times footnoted comments regarding the fact that the issue was not raised at the trial court, and probably should have been raised, so I did not want to be put in a situation, should there be an appellate review, of not having argued the point.

THE COURT: All right. I will acknowledge that you have raised the issue at this time, and I will take it under advisement, but I think, given the fact I haven't had a chance to review any arguments that might have been made either, I would have difficulty ruling on it at this time. So we will proceed with the evidentiary hearing.

ATTORNEY FALLON: At some point, may I submit at least a brief offer of what my argument would have been had the Court entertained it, just to preserve the record.

THE COURT: Yes. And if it turns out that the Court can still grant your motion --

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right.
THE COURT: -- even if I take evidence today, if the Court is satisfied that the defense
wasn't entitled to an evidentiary hearing, based on the material provided. Likewise, Mr. Buting gets an opportunity to make the counter-argument.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: And I suppose we should have that due by August $9 t h$ as well.

ATTORNEY BUTING: My witness is probably in the hall. Let me check.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I will let you swear the witness, but there's one other housekeeping matter I thought we might want to take up regarding the scope of the claim, so that I'm certain $I$ know exactly what the defense is wishing to accomplish in terms of what is to be suppressed and what is not.

THE COURT: Okay.
INVESTIGATOR MARK WIEGERT, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name --

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Just so that we're clear, as I understand the suppression motion, Counsel, you are challenging the -- primarily and exclusively,
the searches of your client's trailer and garage, based on the initial averments in the affidavit prepared by this witness; is that correct?

ATTORNEY BUTING: On the Franks motion, no, it would be the question of whether any part of this warrant is sufficient for probable cause, to anything that they search, including the property of the whole salvage yard.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If you are asserting that, then, my point at this time is, I would like a demonstration of standing by the defendant, if he would prove that he has standing to object to searches of locations on the salvage yard, above and beyond his private trailer and his garage. If he's, then, challenging the entire fruits of everything, then he ought to show standing that he's entitled to challenge.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Again, Judge, this is -I would have thought that if the State was going to object to standing, they would have replied. I filed this June 15, I think it was, approximately a month ago. They haven't raised an issue on the Franks, whether there is a right to a hearing. They haven't raised an issue on standing. Mr. Avery lived and worked on the property, just like any of
the others. I think, beyond that, I don't have witnesses to establish that today.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I would note for the record, that standing is the first issue that needs to be dealt with when you are dealing with a comprehensive search warrant such as this. And if the defense is challenging everything, if everything is in play, then it seems to me, the fact that the defendant worked there, it doesn't cut the mustard in terms of establishing that he has standing, for instance, to challenge the searches of burn barrels, of fire pits, of the residences of Delores, and Steven Avery, the residence of Barb Janda, the business office.

He's an employee, as I understand it, he's not an owner. So my question is -- That's why I asked the question, what is really being suppressed and where is the standing? I mean, that's hornbook law.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Counsel is correct, he would not have standing to object to searches of other private residences encompassed in this warrant. And maybe I should have been more clear on that, but the motion does go to the search of the properties, which would include the Rav 4 vehicle
that was found, as well as his personal residence, garage, and areas within the general purview of those particular buildings.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Again, my question then is, well, what is the defendant's reasonable expectation of privacy in a vehicle owned by Teresa Halbach? Just because it's found in the salvage yard, that makes it a reasonable expectation of privacy? I think not.

ATTORNEY BUTING: The issue is not what privacy he has in the vehicle. The issue is what privacy interest he has on the property. And if the State wishes to challenge that, we can take testimony today on these issues and I can try and supplement the record later to establish the standing questions.

But they have not raised that until today, as a concern. And I think my motion was pretty clear as to what is involved here. I mean, obviously, issues related to the business office, business records, are not in play. There is nothing there anyway. I think counsel knows that.

As to other private residences on the property, again, there is no issue there either,
as counsel is aware of. So there's really only a few areas of this 40 acre property that are at issue here.

ATTORNEY FALLON: And that's the point of the pleading. The pleading does suggest suppression of derivative fruits, etcetera. But when you read the argument, the entire argument is obsessed with the numerous entries to both his trailer and the garage, and not much else.

So there's -- that's the reason for my question, what is at issue. And if it's the trailer and the garage, and those entries, then, I will concede standing. I don't have a problem with that. But if everything else is in play, then Mr. Avery should take the stand and demonstrate he has a reasonable expectation of privacy, sufficient to be acknowledged by a court of law, in the remaining 39 and a half acres.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Perhaps there's confusion here. What we -- I anticipate we're going to take testimony from the witnesses this afternoon on is the Franks portion of the motion. That's separate from the separate violation alleged, which is the exceeding the scope of the warrant.

Before you can even get to that
question, we have to determine if the warrant itself was valid under Franks. We have to look at the alleged untruthful -- or statements made with reckless disregard for the truth, see if we can meet our burden to establish the Franks standard. And then, if so, those paragraphs are stricken from the warrant.

Then we look at the warrant to see if there's probable cause to support it at all. If there is no probable cause left, then the whole second part of the motion, which is the exceeding the scope because they entered over, and over, and over, and over, after -- all on one warrant, then that becomes moot.

If the warrant is found to be invalid, lacking probable cause, after we strike and redact those portions for which the Franks standard has been met, then the rest of it is mooted. The rest of all of those entries would be illegal, I think. I think counsel would agree with that.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That assumes he has standing to challenge the warrant. He has standing to challenge the warrant as it may pertain to his residence, and his garage, and probably his yard
area. But the -- For instance, the Toyota Rav 4, which the evidence will show, is about as far away from Mr. Avery's particular curtilage as you could possibly be on that property.

So while I would concede that he has standing and, thus, the ability to challenge the warrant on our Franks theory, for purposes of the -- his trailer and his garage, I would concede that, and we're ready to go. But that's why I asked the question, what is in play. If it's all in play, then there should be a standing.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, if you think about it, it really doesn't matter at this point. We can establish the standing question later, if we need to. But if the warrant is invalid as to the -- as to Mr. Avery's residence, and his garage, then, I mean, that's obviously an important legal determination that's going to have to be made.

It's a separate question whether, then, that means he also has standing, or that somehow the rest of the property also would be -evidence would be suppressed from other parts of the property as well. But either way, if there's a Franks motion -- if there's a valid Franks
motion as to his residence, then we should proceed today.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I have already indicated that the Court is going to take under advisement the State's motion that the defense is not entitled to a hearing on a Franks challenge to the search warrant, based on the allegations in the pleading.

We'll hear evidence at this time, on the Franks motion portion of the motion. I'm not sure, actually, how far we're going to get to the other challenge to the search warrant today. So Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I talked with counsel
about this, $I$ will limit my questioning of -- this witness actually would have some relevance to the other part of the motion on the multiple entries, but for today's purposes, I'm going to limit it to the parts that deal with the Franks motion, and the issuance of the warrant in the first instance. I would move to sequester any other witnesses that the State intends to call.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't believe any of
them are here, but if $I$ can have a moment -THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY FALLON: -- to canvass.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will take care of it, Judge.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. Good.
THE COURT: Detective, would you spell your name and state it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Sure. Mark Wiegert, W-i-e-g-e-r-t.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. And how are you employed?
A. I'm an Investigator with the Calumet County Sheriff's Department.
Q. And how long have you been there?
A. About 14 years.
Q. And as part of your responsibilities, did you go through some training, in law enforcement, before you arrived?
A. Yes.
Q. On the job?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did that involve?
A. I have a associate's degree in police science. I
have a lot of specialized classes as far as investigations, interviews, and things like that.
Q. Okay. And I assume, then, that part of your training involved the Fourth Amendment and how it applies to law enforcement?
A. Yes.
Q. That would be the rights with regard to searches and seizures, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you -- I assume you learned about the standard that is to be applied under the Fourth Amendment, that is, probable cause?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have learned about searches with warrants and searches without warrants?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were also taught how to apply for a search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you do so -- And how do you go about applying for a search warrant?
A. Basically, getting your information together, putting together an affidavit for the search warrant, and putting the search warrant together, and presenting it to a judge.
Q. And the affidavit that you put together is -- is that something that you type up yourself?
A. No.
Q. Is it something that you dictate to someone else, or what?
A. Depends on the situation. Sometimes it's dictated. Sometimes it may be called in over the phone. Sometimes we may get it off a report, depends on the situation.
Q. Are they fill in the blank kind of things?
A. The affidavit, generally not.
Q. Okay. So, it's usually, the words in it, though, come from you, prepared by some typist somewhere?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Not like -- You don't have the District Attorney prepare your warrants?
A. It's usually a member of the District Attorney's Office that would prepare that for us.
Q. Okay. Some clerical staff, is that what you are saying?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you are aware that these affidavits have to be sworn -- that you have to be sworn before you sign the affidavits, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you swear that everything in them is the truth, right, as you know it?
A. The truth, yes.
Q. Now, in this particular case, you were working on an investigation of a complaint, or concern about a missing person, initially, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Teresa Halbach, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that complaint, or call, came in to you on November 3rd, or into your department on November 3rd; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. About 5:30 p.m., something like that?
A. Yeah, I think, roughly.
Q. Okay. And were you then assigned? How did you manage to get involved in the case?
A. Initially, no. Initially it went to a road officer, who eventually contacted me for assistance in the case.
Q. Okay. And did you know the Halbach family, or Teresa?
A. No, I did not.
Q. And one of your first duties, or one of the first things you did, anyway, was to go to Teresa's
residence, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you spoke to some of her friends and roommates who were there, right?
A. Friends, roommates, family.
Q. Okay. And did you have an opportunity to look at a list of phone calls that she had made?
A. Yes.
Q. How did that come about?
A. I believe her -- one of her best friends and her roommate had located her phone records on her computer.
Q. So somebody had a password, they were able to get into her online records?
A. I assume so, yes.
Q. And so you looked at a list of phone calls that she had made on her cell phone on -- in particular, on October 31st, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And there were no other outgoing phone calls from her cell phone after that date, right?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm going to interpose an objection as to the relevance of this particular line of inquiry, as it may pertain to
whether or not there was a lie or a reckless disregard for the truth in a November 5th affidavit. It seems to be a bit tenuous in terms of its connection. It seems to be more exploratory, or discovery in nature.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: It's foundational, Judge. I'm leading up to what -- how he developed his investigation, and in particular, with volunteers?

THE COURT: Well, some background might be appropriate, but I think it's getting a little more detailed than it needs to be, so $I$ will ask you to move it along.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ At some point, after going through this list of phone numbers, you noticed that one of them was a phone call to a Barb Janda's house, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And somehow you determined that that was Mr. Avery -- could have been to Mr. Avery, to Steven Avery; is that right?
A. We had learned that it was a relative of Steve Avery, specifically his sister.
Q. Okay. So you contacted -- He lived in Manitowoc County, you are in Calumet; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. So you contacted the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department and requested their assistance?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you called, you spoke to Sergeant Colborn?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he just answer the phone, or did he somehow get assigned to you?
A. I called their department directly and requested to speak with a shift commander, or supervisor. And I believe Sergeant Colborn called me back.
Q. Okay. And so you spoke to him about some investigation you wanted to do. And then shortly after that, you got a call from Lieutenant Lenk; is that right?
A. I spoke with Sergeant Colborn first, and then, yeah, some time after that, I believe -- I believe Lieutenant Lenk had called me.
Q. So, he called you, you didn't call him?
A. No, I didn't call him.
Q. Okay. And you learned later, sort of passing over some things here, but you learned later that Sergeant Colborn had gone out and talked to Mr. Avery, Steven Avery, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in fact, had actually gone into his residence, looked around, saw that no evidence of Teresa Halbach or anything amiss, correct?
A. I don't know if, initially, Sergeant Colborn went into the residence. Without reviewing my report, I don't know that. I know he spoke with Steven Avery.
Q. Okay. Next day is when Detective Remiker, or somebody, actually went over and went to his house?
A. Yes, eventually somebody did.
Q. Okay. Now, at some point, then, on -- was it on the first night, November 3rd, did you learn that there was some family or friends who were interested in assisting the investigation in some way?
A. No.
Q. No?
A. Not on November 3rd.
Q. Okay. Did you learn that on November 4th?
A. On November 4th, I spoke with one of Teresa's friends who was at her residence, and they indicated they were going to hang some posters.
Q. Okay. Let me just go back for a second, just to
clarify the record. Actually, on November 3rd, that same evening, you contacted Sheriff Pagel, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he took steps to see that the missing person complaint was distributed to the media, right?
A. Yes.
Q. With the hope that that would generate some public assistance in locating Teresa or her vehicle, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And did that include -- Did the request that went out to the public include a description of Teresa's vehicle?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Do you recall what it was?
A. It was -- I believe it was a 1999 Rav 4, greenish in color. And we had the license plate put out there as well.
Q. Okay. And so, did you get calls from people, then, interested in providing assistance?
A. Yes, we got several calls from the public.
Q. Okay. And did some of those actually include people who were interested in going out, boots on the ground, doing a search?
A. We had gotten inquiries, I believe, the following day, that people were wondering if there was a search organized or not.
Q. Okay. And that would be November 4th, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's also the day that you did a flyover of the Manitowoc County area; is that right?
A. I did not, personally, but our department did.
Q. Sheriff Pagel, I think, was involved?
A. Yes.
Q. And that included a flyover of the Avery property, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there any other flyovers done of the Avery property, by the way, on other dates, that you are aware of?
A. I believe after her vehicle was found, I think there was a flyover done then.
Q. Okay. So, after you learned that there were people interested in helping search and wondering if anybody was organizing a search, is the word you said, right?
A. Correct.
Q. What did you do, or what did you suggest, with regard to the request for citizens who were
interested in doing that kind of a search?
A. Well, basically, when they would call me, I told them that at this point we would take their names, and if our department was going organize anything, and if we were intending on using anybody, that we would call them. But at that point, we had no intention of forming any kind of search.
Q. Now, were you concerned, have you ever done any -- Let me go back for a second. Had you ever done any other missing person cases?
A. Yes.
Q. Had you ever done any large scale searches for missing persons?
A. Yes.
Q. Where citizens get involved and actually start doing searches themselves?
A. The other large one that I can remember doing, we used firefighters for, and not just citizens. I should say, not citizens at all, we used firefighters, for the other one that I was involved in.
Q. Okay. And as an investigator, if there was concern about there being a possible crime and possible evidence, you might have some concern
about citizens just running amok, so to speak, doing their own searches, freelance, correct?
A. If I had a specific area where I thought the crime was committed, yes, I would have that concern.
Q. Okay. And even without that, I assume if there's a large scale search, it would be best that it be organized in some way, so that people are not re-searching the same areas and, you know, searching over the same areas; is that right?
A. Yeah. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, for instance, in the fire, the one where you used the firemen, you often do something called a grid search?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's where people are kind of running, or walking along in parallel, covering ground, the same ground, in almost a grid shaped pattern, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. So at what point, then, did you learn that some of these citizens were actually going to do searches themselves, they actually wanted to get out into the field and start walking and searching?
A. I had received a phone call late Friday afternoon, evening area, by somebody, and I don't even recall who it was, that they had intended on getting a search party together to go to the Manitowoc or Mishicot area to look for her vehicle in ditches and things. They were concerned that she had an accident.
Q. Okay. And did you -- Why did they call you?
A. I can't answer why they called me, I don't know that.
Q. Did you give them any advice, or suggestions?
A. No, I did not. They had just advised me that they were planning on doing that. And what I told them is, that $I$ would notify Manitowoc County, if they were intending on searching road areas and ditches, that the searchers would be in that area, that they may want to have somebody out there for traffic control.

And after hanging up with that person, I did contact the shift commander in Manitowoc County, again, late Friday evening, and told them that there were going to be volunteer searchers in the area, looking through ditches, things like that, that they may want to have an officer in the area for traffic control, because I did not
know how big it was going to be, or how small it was going to be.
Q. And this was late on, you said Friday afternoon, that's November 4th, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the shift commander that you spoke to was who?
A. I don't know who was working that afternoon.
Q. Late afternoon, but before 5?
A. I want to say it was after 5 , before 8, 1 believe. I worked quite late that night, on the case, so I'm really not sure. I believe between 5 and 8 some time.
Q. Would it have been Sergeant Colborn who you spoke to earlier?
A. I don't believe it was Sergeant Colborn. It was somebody who $I$ had not spoke to at all on this case, at that point.
Q. So it wasn't -- You had spoken to Sergeant Colborn and Lieutenant Lenk, it was neither of those?
A. No, it was neither of those.
Q. Detective Remiker you also spoke with?
A. No, it was not him either. It was one of their road shift commanders.
Q. Okay. Okay. And your understanding was that this search party was going to do this on Saturday, the 5th?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so on Saturday, did you also get some calls from any of these citizen searchers, telling you any further plans that they had?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you talk with any of the searchers about trying to get together with a meeting somewhere, where they could sort of coordinate their efforts?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever suggest that perhaps the searchers could meet at the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, or somewhere nearby there, to try and coordinate their efforts?
A. No. What I did have, was a discussion with Sheriff Pagel and Investigator Dedering on Saturday morning. And we had come up with a -basically a plan that we were going to go to the Sheriff's Department in Manitowoc and meet with one of the detectives there.

And we thought as long as there were volunteers in the area, that we would see if the

Avery's would give us permission to go on their property. And if there were volunteers willing to assist us, that we would go out there and see if we could get permission, and permission to use those volunteers, for that purpose.
Q. So you had this meeting with Sheriff Pagel and Dedering, you said?
A. That's correct.
Q. And your specific interest, or area of interest at that point, though, was the Avery property?
A. During our discussion, that's what we thought we would do, yes.
Q. And prior to that time, had you ever asked any of the Averys for permission to go into their salvage area and search?
A. I, personally, did not, no.
Q. Are you aware of anybody in your department, or in Manitowoc, whoever asked them, personally?
A. Outside of what you asked me earlier, I know there were some officers who had, days earlier had talked to some of the Averys, but I don't know what they asked them.
Q. Well, you are aware that they -- they went to Mr. Steven Avery's residence?
A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
Q. This is the Manitowoc Sheriff's people?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. And that he allowed them to come into his residence and look around?
A. Yes.
Q. My question then is, do you know whether -- did either you, or to your knowledge, the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, ask any of the Avery's, Steven or otherwise, if they could go through the rest of the property, all of the salvage vehicles and all of that, to search?
A. I never did, personally, no. And I don't know if anybody from Manitowoc did. I'm not aware if they did.
Q. Okay. So -- But Saturday morning you stated that you were interested, then, in searching that salvage yard?
A. Yes.
Q. And why?
A. Well, after doing interviews both Thursday night, Friday, researching Teresa's financial records, researching Teresa's phone records, knowing that one of the last places we believe that she was was on the Avery property, or at least one of the last places that we think she was, and one of
last people she had contact with, on the phone, we thought that would be a good place for us to start.
Q. And, indeed, Sheriff Pagel had done a flyover of the property just the day before, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And did he see anything of suspicion?
A. Not that I am aware of.
Q. Not that you are aware of?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. But at least you had some idea of how massive the undertaking would be. How many -- Approximately how many vehicles do you think there were there in the salvage yard?
A. At that time, I didn't know. As a result of consequence, or the other search warrants that we did, you know, I have a good idea now how many vehicles there were out there.
Q. Which is?
A. I believe there was roughly 3,800 vehicles.
Q. Okay. So, approaching 4,000 vehicles. And Sheriff Pagel saw that in it's totality, from the air, on November 4th, right?
A. I would assume so, yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm going to
interpose an objection on two grounds. Still, I'm not entirely sure that $I$ see the relevance of all this, vis-a-vis, the challenge to the averments and the warrant. And number two, even if the Court does find its relevance, $I$ think we're at the point where counsel is leading the witness and should be doing a direct, and not a cross.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, $I$ can rephrase it so it's not leading.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection on relevance. This is not a trial, it's a motion hearing. I read your documents. I think it's time to get to the meat of your motion.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Okay. So going back to Saturday morning, November 5th, then, you talked about -- your plan was that you would go to the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, that is, you and who?
A. Myself, Sheriff Pagel, and Investigator Dedering.
Q. And that you would try and meet with some of the citizens who were interested in searching?
A. No, our intention was to meet with Detective Remiker, who was working that morning for the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department.
Q. And did you tell Detective Remiker that you were interested in getting some space where the citizens could meet and organize their searches?
A. No, my phone conversation with Detective Remiker consisted of basically telling him that we would like to come down and meet with him. I did inform him, over the phone, that there were volunteer searchers in the area of the Avery property, and that we would like to get permission from the Avery family. And if we gained that permission, that we would like to use those volunteer searchers to go and search the Avery property.
Q. Well, did you tell Detective Remiker that you were aware that several of the searchers were willing to go to the Avery property and search the junkyard salvage area?
A. No, I couldn't have, because I had no knowledge of that at all. I hadn't talked to any of the volunteer searchers up to that point, except for the one phone call that I received the night before, basically, indicating they were going to do that. So, no, I did not tell him that. I told him we would like to meet with him and use those volunteer searchers, if we had permission
from the Averys to do so.
Q. So, your phone call the night before, did it -did the individuals you spoke with, the citizens you spoke with, express any interest in searching the Avery's property?
A. No, again, their primary focus when they spoke with me, is they were concerned, as I think you get a lot of times, initially, in a missing persons complaint, that maybe she ran off the road somewhere, maybe she's laying in a ditch. There's a lot of deep ditches in that area, if you're familiar with the area, where that 147, for example, there's a lot of deep ditches, there's ponds, there's things like that.

So, in my conversations with the searchers at that time, they were more concerned about that than anything else.
Q. Well, had you had any phone conversations with any of the volunteer searchers on Saturday morning?
A. Again, $I$ don't believe $I$ did.
Q. Did you tell Detective Remiker that you wanted to come meet at the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, with volunteers, and coordinate your efforts with theirs?
A. No, what I told Detective Remiker, was that I would like to meet with him, and that there were volunteer searchers in that area, and if we got permission from the Avery's, that we would like to use those volunteer searchers. I believe -- I believe I know what you are referring to, and I believe that Detective Remiker may have misunderstood me on the phone.
Q. Well, how were you going to get any of the searchers to Manitowoc Sheriff's Department where you would meet and use any of their services?
A. Wasn't our intention to bring them to the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department. It was our intention to go out to the Averys first and get permission. We knew from the conversation on Friday that they were going to be in that area looking through the ditches. So we knew there would be searchers in that area. We could meet with them there. That's how we were going to do that.
Q. So, your plan was you were just going to go, so then why go to the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department at all?
A. Because we wanted to meet with Detective Remiker and see what his thoughts were on it. It was his
jurisdiction at that point. We didn't have jurisdiction over anything at that point. So we wanted to go down and meet with him and see what his thoughts on it were.
Q. Why didn't you just pick up the phone -- or -and call one of Averys, or go over there yourself and ask the first question, which is, is it okay if we and perhaps some other volunteers come and search this salvage yard?
A. Again, we were out of our jurisdiction at that point. We had not been named the lead investigative agency at that point, number one. Number two, the media had contacted us on Saturday and requested if we had any new information.

And we also instructed the media to meet us at Manitowoc Sheriff's Department. At that point, we were trying to get it out to the media as much as we could because we had a missing persons complaint. We were concerned about her. That was the other reason that we went to the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, because the media was going to meet us there.
Q. Oh, so you had actually arranged to have the media meet you at the Manitowoc --
A. They were calling us constantly, obviously. And Saturday morning they were, again, on the phone with us. And we thought we would meet with them in Manitowoc at that point.
Q. And, again, the idea was you were going to do all that before you contacted the Averys and got -and even asked if they would give you permission?
A. We were going to do what, I'm not sure?
Q. Meet at Manitowoc Sheriff's Department with Manitowoc people and media?
A. We were going to meet with detectives and the media, yes.
Q. Before going -- before contacting the Averys?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have any reason to believe, or any concerns that the Averys would not have given permission?
A. Not at that point, no.
Q. And I assume, at that point, you didn't believe you had probable cause to get a search warrant for the Avery property?
A. No, I did not have any probable cause at that time.
Q. So, did you ever meet with any of the -- any of the volunteers on Saturday morning?
A. No -- Well, let me go back from there. After we got the call from Pam Sturm, who was a volunteer searcher, obviously we talked with her. But prior to that, no, I did not meet with any volunteer searchers.
Q. Did you -- Do you know whether the volunteer searchers had any kind of maps that they were working with?
A. I found that out later in talking to Mr. Hillegas. He instructed me, or told me later, basically, that he had gotten some maps and things together. At the time, I did not know that.
Q. Did the maps come from you?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if they came from the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department?
A. In speaking with Mr. Hillegas, at a later date, he had told me that he had taken them off the internet.
Q. All right. So, is it your testimony, that until you got a phone call from a woman by the name of Pamela Sturm, while you are at the Sheriff's Department in Calumet, that you had no idea whatsoever that any of the volunteers were going
to be searching the Avery property?
A. That is correct.
Q. But you don't know what Manitowoc may have known as to that?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. Was there some effort to -- in the public, in the media, information that was distributed, or was there some effort to centralize a phone number where people could call if they had found something?
A. During our initial press conference, or news release, on the night, actually, that she was reported missing, on the third, we had given out our Sheriff's Department and our Crime Stopper's number. If anybody had any information about Teresa, we instructed them to call those numbers.
Q. And when you got a call, you got a call at some point on Saturday morning, from Pamela Sturm, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know what number it came in on?
A. I believe it was our regular line. From what I understand, she had called from her cell phone. Then she called the Sheriff's Department phone number, the regular line, is my understanding.
Q. All right. Now, that phone call, actually, part of it took place before you got on the phone, I understand; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was because it was -- originally, the call was given to Sheriff Pagel?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so, then, how did you become involved in it?
A. Actually, Sheriff Pagel, myself, and Investigator Dedering were physically walking out the back door of the Sheriff's Department to go to Manitowoc when Sheriff Pagel got called back into the Dispatch Center, so we all went back in the Dispatch Center.

At that time, the dispatcher informed Sheriff Pagel that there was a phone call from a lady named Pam, I believe. I think that's what she had said, something about a Rav 4 which she believed she had found. Sheriff Pagel initially took the phone, and eventually handed the phone over to me, because he did not have his glasses and could not read a VIN number which he was trying to compare.
Q. So, then you got on the phone and spoke to this
woman; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, did you know who this Pamela Sturm woman was?
A. No.
Q. Never met her before?
A. No.
Q. Never talked to her before?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And Sheriff Pagel passed it to you because you had the full VIN number somewhere?
A. No, the dispatcher had the full VIN number.

Sheriff Pagel had the VIN number in his hand, which was given to him by the dispatcher, but didn't have his glasses with him so he couldn't read it, so he handed the phone to me and the VIN number to me.
Q. Okay. Now, when you talked with her, did she give you a description of the vehicle that you -that she saw?
A. Yes.
Q. And did she tell you that it was green?
A. I believe she said it was greenish blue.
Q. Did she tell you that it matched the description of the vehicle that had been passed out on any of
the fliers or anything?
A. I don't know her exact words, but she said something to the effect, I think she thought it was similar to it.
Q. Okay. But she did not use the word that it matched the description, correct?
A. I don't know the exact words she used. Without looking at the transcript, I couldn't say the exact words she used.
Q. Well, did she, in fact, express some concern about whether it was -- about a difference in the color?
A. Yes.
Q. She said it was more blue, than green, correct?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Excuse me, your Honor. In an effort to expedite the proceedings, we did bring an audiotape of that phone conversation, if counsel would like to play it. It's four minutes in length, thereabouts, and that might speed things along. I will offer.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I don't know, at some point we may introduce that into evidence, but what I'm getting at right now is what his knowledge and what his information was at the time that he
prepared the affidavit. So I don't know that it's directly --

ATTORNEY FALLON: It's his call. It's his presentation of evidence. I just thought I would offer.

THE COURT: All right. You may continue. ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So, she told you that she had found this vehicle, that was a Rav 4, but she didn't tell you that it matched the description of what she was looking for, right?
A. I believe -- And again, without seeing the transcript $I$ don't know her exact words, but it was similar. I believe she said it was similar. She just didn't know exactly.
Q. And in fact, she asked what the VIN number was of Teresa's vehicle so that she could try and compare it to the one that she had found; is that right.
A. Yes.
Q. And did you give it to her?
A. No, actually, I requested that she give me the VIN number so I could compare it to what I had.
Q. Is there some reason for doing it that way?
A. I just thought it would probably be the easier
way for her. No other reason at that point, no.
Q. Okay. So -- So, did she actually find the VIN number? Did you help assist her in locating where -- telling her where she could locate that on the car?
A. Yes.
Q. And did she read the numbers to you?
A. She did.
Q. Or, actually, she had a similar problem with no glasses, did she not?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. And she had her daughter try and read some of it?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, in fact, she was not able to, neither she nor her daughter, were able to actually relay to you all of the VIN numbers that were on this unknown Rav 4 that they had located; isn't that right?
A. I believe that she provided me with 10 of the characters of the 17 that are in the VIN number.
Q. Okay. So she had not -- She was unable to do all 17 characters of the VIN number?
A. Correct.
Q. VIN numbers have a full 17 numbers, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Now, at that point, did you -- did you -- what did you tell her to do, after she had given you those 10 numbers?
A. Actually, I asked her if she had permission to be there, first.
Q. And why did you ask that?
A. Because that was important, to establish that, whether she had permission to be on that property, from the Avery family.
Q. And what difference would it make if she had not?
A. I didn't want her -- First of all, for her safety, I didn't want her in a place where she shouldn't be, obviously. I thought if she was there with permission, it would probably be a little safer for her. Because, if you listen to the phone call, by the tone of her voice, she seemed somewhat concerned. She even mentioned that there were other people walking around.
Q. Were you concerned about whether, legally, the search -- any search that might result, might have some problems, if she was there without permission?
A. Well, certainly, that would be a concern as well. But at the point, again, in the phone conversation, it appeared that she was concerned
about her safety as well.
Q. So did you tell her she could leave, then, and retreat to some place of safety?
A. What I told her to do is just stay where she was, that I would be contacting someone from Manitowoc, basically, get somebody over there as soon as possible, and if she had any problems, that she should dial 911.
Q. Okay. So then you called Detective Remiker?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also set off from the Calumet Sheriff's Department to the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. And you went with someone else?
A. I rode over with Investigator Dedering and Sheriff Pagel had followed us there.
Q. So two vehicles, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you talked with sheriff -- I'm sorry, with Detective Remiker, did you talk with him after he had gotten to the property, but before you did?
A. I don't think so, because we arrived not long after he did. I talked to him on our way there. I called him with some more information that I
had.
Q. Was this -- This communication, was this over the dispatches, or over the radio, or over some personal cell phones, or what?
A. It was on work cell phones.
Q. And when you say you called him to tell him that you had some additional information, what was that?
A. Pam Sturm had informed me that there was a Lemieux Toyota sticker on the vehicle, and I had contacted Karen Halbach to inquire if she knew if there was one. At which time she said she didn't know, but she would find out for me. And then Karen, in fact, had called me back and told me she believed there was one on there. And I relayed that to Investigator Remiker.
Q. All right. So, then, when you arrived at the property, the Avery compound, had you ever been there before?
A. No.
Q. How did you know where to go?
A. Investigator -- Detective Remiker gave me directions.
Q. Before he arrived, or after he had gotten there?
A. Before he arrived.
Q. And how did he know where to go, on the property, I mean?
A. On the property?
Q. Yes.
A. If I recall Pam telling me, saying that she was down at the end of the property, or something to that effect. She kind of explained where she was. I don't know exactly how Detective Remiker found her, that I can't answer. But she kind of described a little bit where she was on the property.
Q. Do you know whether Detective Remiker spoke with her while he was on his way?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Did you give Detective Remiker her cell phone, or anything like that?
A. I don't recall doing that, no. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. So, okay, you arrived at the Avery property and you drove down to where?
A. Well, when I arrived at the property, there was an officer at the end of Avery road, which is a town road. And he said he believed that they were down towards the end of the property. He said, kind of go straight, just follow the gravel road down.
Q. And this was some Manitowoc officer you were talking about?
A. I believe it was a Manitowoc road officer at the end of the road, yes.
Q. All right. So, when you drove on to the property, then, there is a sort of a cluster of buildings, business kind of buildings, that you first come into, on your left there; is that right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$, yes.
Q. And then, beyond that, is this large sort of sunken area where all the salvage cars are, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you drive your vehicle down into that depressed area?
A. We drove down into the salvage yard area, yes.
Q. And drove all the way towards the back, southeast corner of it?
A. Not all the way to the back, no. We drove down past, if you will, past the salvage yard shop and then down a small embankment to a clearing area where there was a car crusher.
Q. And you saw Detective Remiker's vehicle there?
A. Yes.
Q. And you parked next to it?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, did you, personally, get out and go over and look at the -- this unknown Rav 4?
A. I did not.
Q. You spoke with Detective Remiker?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he tell you that he had gone and looked at it?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he tell you that he had been able to see, himself, that he had been able to read all 17 characters of the VIN number?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. He didn't tell you that he had -- he was unable to read the first two or three numbers?
A. Eventually, and I don't know when I learned this, but $I$ know eventually he indicated that he had difficulty seeing the first several digits of the VIN number. However, he used a small flashlight, I believe he said he had gotten from another officer, and he was able to read the entire VIN number at that time.
Q. Now, did you know -- or let me ask you this. You didn't stop at the -- other than with the officer
out on Avery Road and the highway, did you stop and talk with any of the Averys, or anybody that looked like they would -- they were in charge there, before you drove down into the pit?
A. Not prior to going in the pit, no.
Q. And did you have any knowledge whether Detective Remiker had received consent to be where he was at, at that point?
A. I can't answer that. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Now, at some point, you were -- other personnel from Manitowoc and your department arrived, I take it.
A. Yes.
Q. And some agreement was made, because of some concern, that your department would take over the lead investigation?
A. Yes, there was a representative of the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, as well as my sheriff, and eventually the Manitowoc County District Attorney, as well as the Calumet County District Attorney, and then that decision was made at some time during that.
Q. And the concern was, that because Steven Avery had a pending lawsuit, $\$ 36$ million dollar civil lawsuit against Manitowoc County, that there
might be some impropriety, or appearance of it, with having that county and that department now investigating this case; was that it?
A. There was no concern on my part, but obviously there must have been some concern, somewhere, that there would be the appearance of impropriety. But I didn't have any concern at all.
Q. Did you see, while you were at the property -I'm sorry. Let's get the time down here, so that we're clear for the record. What time did you arrive at the property, Avery property?
A. I believe it was around 10 after 11 in the morning, give or take.
Q. Okay. And this is November 5th, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did -- And you were in charge of this investigation up to that point, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you direct that members of your department should take over custody of the vehicle, or anything of that sort?
A. At that point it was not my call, it was still Manitowoc County's call at that point, until the people that are obviously higher up than me made
the decision that we were taking it over.
Q. And what -- How much longer was that, couple hours, or what?
A. I would say, yeah, a couple hours. I don't have a time on it, but would be a couple of hours before it was determined and, actually, that's the way it went.
Q. Actually, could it have been as soon as 11:45?
A. Again, I don't know. It was some time after. There was some discussions, obviously, that took place prior to that happening. It could have been. I really don't know.
Q. All right. But is it your testimony, that even after that, you didn't have any involvement in the directing who would take custody, or maintain the custody, of the Rav 4?
A. Well, at that point, there was an officer from Manitowoc that was with the Rav 4. I know, eventually, one of our officers -- and I don't know the time frame on it -- but one of our officers eventually had taken over staying with the Rav 4 until the Crime Lab would arrive on scene.
Q. And you were parked about how far away from where the Rav 4 was located?
A. I would guess about 100 yards.
Q. And there's a little strip of trees kind of along that pond area where you --
A. There's a brushy area.
Q. Okay. And this was -- The Rav 4 was actually behind the brushy area?
A. Yes.
Q. Between the brushy area and the berm, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And so that brushy area was obscuring some of what you could see from your location?
A. Some, yes. I mean, you could see the vehicle there. Did you have a plain sight at it, no.
Q. At some point, was a decision made to try and get a search warrant for the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know when that was?
A. Timewise, no. Again, the two District Attorney's had arrived on scene. And after conferring with them, we decided that that was the route we would take.
Q. Okay. And did you then participate in getting a warrant?
A. I did.
Q. And did you actually draft the affidavit for that
warrant yourself?
A. No, it was drafted by a representative from the Calumet County D.A.'s Office.
Q. Did you call it in?
A. I did speak with them, yes, and gave them the information.
Q. Okay. So, you provided the information that was supposed to be put in the affidavit; is that right?
A. Myself, and with the assistance of Detective Remiker.
Q. Well, whose affidavit was it supposed to be, yours or --
A. It's mine. It's mine.
Q. Okay. So, you were responsible for insuring that the information in the affidavit was truthful, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And when -- I know the search warrant -- Let me ask you this. Let's mark this.
(Exhibit 15 marked for identification.)
Q. Okay. We're up to 15. I'm showing you Exhibit 15, can you identify that?
A. Yes, it's a search warrant.
Q. And just for the record, could you just indicate
how many pages it is?
A. With the affidavit, or without?
Q. With the affidavit, the whole exhibit.
A. Be 10 pages.
Q. Let me just show it to counsel. Okay. Maybe, just so we're clear then, can you tell us how many pages of that are the affidavit?
A. There's two pages that are search warrant, three pages of affidavit, including the signature page, obviously.
Q. Okay. And -- I'm sorry, what's the remainder?
A. The remainder, there's two, that's three, that's five, there would be five other pages, I guess.
Q. And those are the return; is that right?
A. The return, and then there's a, yeah, a face page from a fax, for the return, yes.
Q. For an amended return, actually?
A. Yeah, right.
Q. And you obtained this at what time; do you recall?
A. Not off hand, I don't recall what time we obtained it. It's endorsed by me at 3:10 p.m.
Q. Okay. So around -- At about 3:00 you signed it and then obtained a signature from Judge Fox, was it?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: All right, Counsel, I think since we're going to take a break sometime this afternoon, $I$ don't think you are going to get through with him in the next few minutes, we'll take an afternoon break at this time, resume in 10 minutes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: At this time we're back on the record. I will indicate that I spoke with Counsel during the break. And it's obvious we're not going to be able to complete the hearing today, on the Franks motion. It's my understanding that the attorneys for both sides have gathered in the courtroom. The witnesses that have been sequestered earlier, who will be testifying regarding this motion; is that correct, Counsel?

ATTORNEY FALLON: We have made an effort to do that, I believe there might have been one or -one civilian witness excused already?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Is Detective Remiker here?

OFFICER: He is on the way.
ATTORNEY FALLON: So we have one witness
that was --
ATTORNEY BUTING: Three, actually, he is with Lenk and Colborn.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We're waiting for three Manitowoc.

THE COURT: All right. And for the rest of today's proceedings, I believe, Mr. Buting, you believe you can finish your direct examination of Mr. Wiegert and then you are going to take a couple civilian witnesses?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, Patricia (sic) and Nikole Sturm. And the other witnesses we could release, if the Court can give some sequestration instructions. But since some of them aren't here, I don't know if you want to do it twice, or wait a few minutes until we're concluded with him, and hope that the others arrive? Did somebody call for them? They did.

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Fallon you are going to --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: -- postpone your
cross-examination of this witness?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, in an effort to accommodate Pamela Sturm and her daughter, Nikole,
that $I$ would defer cross of this witness until the 9th. In an effort, since they both took the entire day off, they have been patiently waiting, we will make an effort to get them in by the close of business today, so that they could be excused. THE COURT: All right. We still don't have some witnesses here, correct?

OFFICER: They are on their way. THE COURT: From where?

OFFICER: Sorry, the District Attorney's Office.

THE COURT: That's not that far away. I hope they get down here quicker than we get D.A.'s when we need them. Call up and make sure they are on their way.

THE CLERK: Who am I calling?
THE COURT: The D.A.'s Office. Oh, here we go. All right. Do we have all of the -- We don't. Who are we missing? OFFICER: Colborn and Lenk. THE COURT: Do we know where they are? OFFICER: Here they are. They are both here.

THE COURT: Okay. It's my understanding that we now have, in the courtroom, all of the
witnesses who were subpoenaed to appear at the hearing today on the defendant's motions regarding the search warrants in this case. Because we're not going to complete taking testimony today, we're going to excuse a number of you. And I believe that is everyone, Counsel, except for who?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe Earl Avery was subpoenaed and he was released earlier in the day. THE COURT: Okay.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Who was that?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Earl Avery.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: The two Sturm witnesses.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Sturm, yes.
THE COURT: We're going to take their testimony?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Correct.
THE COURT: They will be asked to leave the courtroom in a few minutes. But we'll get their testimony in. The rest of you will not have to come back until August 9. But I want to, because the hearing has started, I want to make sure you understand that the exclusion order continues to apply to you.

That means, you should not talk to any
of the other witnesses in this case who have been called, or will be called. You are permitted to talk to Counsel between now and the next hearing.

However, in order to make sure that the exclusion order has effect, you are not to watch any news casts concerning these proceedings, this evening, nor to look at any webcast of today's proceedings, between now and the next scheduled hearing, on August 9. And you should not discuss this case either with any of the other witnesses who have been subpoenaed, or with anyone else, except for Counsel in this case.

Counsel, are there any other admonitions either of you wishes the Court to give at this time?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I guess I would add the written print accounts of the testimony of this witness, and the two civilians yet to come.

THE COURT: That's correct, no news accounts of any kind. Make sure that you don't read any account of today's proceedings until after you testify at the conclusion of this hearing. Anything else?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, just that the witnesses should be instructed that they should
return on the $9 t h$, so that we don't have to re-subpoena them. And maybe warn some of them that it may possibly extend into August 10th as well.

THE COURT: I hope it won't extend into August 10th, at least on this particular motion hearing, because we will start at 9:00. But that's correct, for those of you who are here under subpoena, you should report back at 9:00 on August 9th, for the continuation of today's hearing. Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, $I$ just ask if any of the witnesses are going to have a problem with appearing on the 9 th if they would immediately let Counsel, or Counsel's staff, know that there is a conflict and we'll see if we can work around that.

THE COURT: All right. Very well, then the witnesses who were subpoenaed today can step out in the hall. Except for the Sturm's, the rest of you are free to leave today. And after we complete the examination of this witness, we'll -- I assume defense counsel will be calling the Sturms.

All right. Mr. Wiegert is still on the stand. Mr. Buting, you may resume your direct examination.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ We were talking about the search warrant affidavit that you filed on November 5th at around 3:10 p.m., I think it was. And you indicated that you didn't type it yourself, but you did receive a typed copy at some point, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you reviewed it?
A. Yes.
Q. And you -- did you read it carefully?
A. I believe so, at the time, yes.
Q. And you swore an oath that what was in that affidavit was the truth, as you knew it?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, though, there are a few items that are not entirely accurate in it, are there not?
A. There is one item that $I$ can see, from reviewing it now, that it's not 100 percent accurate, yes.
Q. And which item is that?
A. If you look at the affidavit -- I have to find it here -- Paragraph 5 of the affidavit.
Q. All right. And what specific item are you referring to within Paragraph 5, that's not correct?
A. It indicates that the search provided the VIN
number and lists the VIN number taken from the vehicle, which they located. In the affidavit, it has got the full VIN number, and I did not receive the full VIN number from the volunteer searchers.
Q. And you also indicated, on the second line, that the volunteer searchers said they had located a vehicle that matched the description of Teresa Halbach's?
A. Yes.
Q. Did they tell you that it matched -- I think we have been through this, but just so it's clear -they did not, in fact, tell you that it matched the description, they told you it was similar to, right?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, argumentative, as to context.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, can you explain your objection a little more.

ATTORNEY FALLON: It seems to me that -maybe it's premature, depending on what the next question is -- but in terms of a question of semantics, or matched, or matching, or similar to, whatever the case may be, it seems, given the tone of voice and the manner in which the question was
asked, it seemed argumentative. But it's been a long day.

THE COURT: Well, just a second, let me look at the -- Mr. Buting, what is your question?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I don't know if it is possible to read it back, Madam Reporter.
(Last question read back.)
THE COURT: I think the objection goes more to the weight of evidence or the inferences to be drawn from it. I will allow the question.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Could you answer it?
A. Again, $I$ believe they had told me that it was similar.
Q. All right. And you also said that Investigator Remiker was able to confirm the VIN number. And then you list all 17 characters, again, of the VIN number, and that's not accurate; isn't that right?
A. No, that's accurate.
Q. By the time of this preparation of the warrant, you are saying that Detective Remiker had been able to do that?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Okay. And Detective -- or Investigator Remiker actually assisted in the preparation of this
warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. This affidavit?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I will pass.
THE COURT: That's the end of your questions?

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's the end of my questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, I understand you are going to postpone your cross until the 9th.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, I will defer cross until the 9th in an effort to get the Sturms done.

THE COURT: Very well. This witness is excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
PAMELA STURM, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Pamela Sturm, S-t-u-r-m, P-a-m-e-l-a.

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Now, ma'am, did you review anything prior to your testimony today, to refresh your recollection?
A. I read my statement.
Q. And that would be the statement that was taken by the Calumet County Sheriff's Department; is that right?
A. Deputy Dedering.
Q. Okay. You didn't review your prior testimony you have given?
A. No.
Q. No?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Didn't see any maps, or diagrams, or photos, or anything of that nature?
A. I saw a photo in the break room.
Q. And what was that photo of?
A. It was an aerial view of the salvage yard.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you have any familial relationships -- or relationship with the Halbach family?
A. They are my relatives, yes.
Q. In what degree?
A. Tom Halbach is my first cousin, so Teresa would
be my second cousin.
Q. Okay. And I understand that you became involved as a volunteer -- volunteered your services, I should say, to help search, once Teresa turned up missing; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can you tell me why you felt it necessary for you to provide some services like that?
A. Well, I guess our motto is family helps family. And Betty had called me on Friday night, which would be the 4 th of November, and told me that, you know, Teresa was missing.

And I sure wanted to do my part, so I said, is there a search going to be conducted tomorrow, which would have been the 5th, and she said yes.
Q. I'm sorry who was this conversation going on with?
A. Betty Halbach, who is Tom's sister.
Q. Okay. And did you -- Did you have in mind any particular place that you were going to search, or area you were going to search, when you were having this phone conversation on Friday, the 4th?
A. No, I had no idea where the search party was
going to go the next day.
Q. Did you -- Let me ask you this first, before I get to the next stage. Did you have any prior experience doing any kind of searches of this nature?
A. Not exactly this nature, no.
Q. Like for missing persons, or large areas of geography, land?
A. Never for a missing person, and never for a large geographical area.
Q. You have some experience as a private investigator; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what -- Are you presently licensed?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. Were you licensed on November 5th?
A. No, I wasn't.
Q. Is there some reason for that? Were you ever suspended, or revoked?
A. No, I wasn't suspended or revoked. It was my choice.
Q. Okay. So you just let it lapse?
A. Correct.
Q. And how long ago was it that you had that license?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I can go on. I think it goes to her question of whether -- One of the issues we're dealing with here is whether she's a volunteer or not, so.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. I haven't heard anything to tie it in.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Let me ask you it this way, then, as part of your training to be -- did you have training to be a private investigator?
A. Yes.
Q. And did part of that training involve learning some of the law about Fourth Amendment searches?
A. Yes.
Q. But, in other words, you learned what the standard of probable cause is, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And did you learn about the need for search warrants?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you also learn about warrantless searches?
A. Yes.
Q. Consent searches?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Okay. Now, up to that point of that Friday after -- or Friday evening phone call, had you any contact with any members from the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. No.
Q. Had you ever worked with them on any of your other cases before?
A. No.
Q. Besides your private investigator experience, have you had any law enforcement experience?
A. No.
Q. So you have never been a sworn officer anywhere?
A. No.
Q. Had you had any contact with Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, up to the point of Friday evening, November 4th?
A. No.
Q. Now, on Saturday morning, then, did you make plans? Were you going to meet somewhere, after this discussion you had with Betty?
A. Betty said that the search party was going to meet at Teresa's house at approximately eight a.m. on Saturday. So my daughter and I decided that we were going to go and help with that search.
Q. Okay. And any particular reason why your daughter was involved too?
A. She was just eager to assist the family.
Q. Okay. And this daughter you are referring to is Nikole?
A. That's correct.
Q. And how old is she?
A. 29.
Q. Okay. So you went -- Did you go, in fact, to Teresa's residence, on Saturday morning?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And that was based on information you had received from Betty?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you talk to anybody else, between that time, about what was going on?
A. I talked to my sisters and my mom.
Q. And were they also going to this meeting or this --?
A. No, they weren't going to.
Q. They weren't part of the search?
A. No.
Q. Did you know any of the people who were going to be part of this search party?
A. I assumed my first cousins would be there?
Q. Okay. And so, you did arrive on Saturday morning at Teresa's residence?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And who was there?
A. Scott and Ryan were both there.
Q. Is that Scott Bloedorn (phonetic)? Is that how you say his name?
A. That sounds right.
Q. And the Ryan you are referring to is Ryan Hillegas?
A. Yes.
Q. And Ryan is -- was a former boyfriend of Teresa's, is that --
A. I heard that.
Q. -- right?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. And Scott was her roommate at the time, when she disappeared; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other people there when you arrived?
A. No.
Q. So, did you ever attend any meeting with other searchers, to coordinate who would do what?
A. No.
Q. Just when you got to Ryan -- or to Teresa's house, and those two people were there, is your only meeting; is that what you're saying?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you -- Were you given reason to think that others had been there earlier and had gone, or were they still coming, or what?
A. I just thought it was peculiar that there were only a couple cars in the parking lot. But we got there at 9:00, so we thought we must have missed the main group.
Q. And when you went into the residence, was that confirmed?
A. Yes --
Q. That others had been --
A. -- they already had left.
Q. And were there some sort of maps that you were -that they were looking at when you came in?
A. Yes, they had several maps.
Q. And how was it determined what you would search?
A. There was already a group dispensed to a certain area, and he gave us a map of that area.
Q. And what area was that?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. So, did you go to that area then?
A. No. I said something to Ryan, that I would like to actually go to the Avery Salvage Yard and search there.
Q. Was that on any of the maps that he had?
A. It just showed the Avery road. It really wasn't part of the search.
Q. So were these maps that you were looking at, did they have -- were they divided up into quadrants, or sections, or anything like that?
A. It was like a map quest, and it had several roads on it. I'm not sure if it's a specific property they were looking at, or boundaries.
Q. Okay. But you are saying that the map he had didn't actually have the Avery Salvage yard on it?
A. It just had Avery Road on it.
Q. And was it your understanding that none of the other searchers who had left earlier were going to go to the Avery yard?
A. He said there was no one else that had gone there.
Q. Did you have any information whether the police or law enforcement had already done a search of that area, that is, the Avery Salvage Yard?
A. I don't believe so. I didn't have any knowledge of that.
Q. So, it was your understanding that it had not been searched; is that -- would that be correct?
A. It had not been searched by any of the search party people, that wasn't part of the search.
Q. Okay. So it was your suggestion that you felt like going there; is that what you are saying?
A. It was my suggestion.
Q. And why did you have that interest in going to that particular area?
A. Because Teresa was last seen on the Avery Salvage Yard. And I thought that's the point where I should start, search that area first.
Q. And who told you that she was last seen there?
A. It was on a press release on Friday morning, I believe it was.
Q. So, that was a press release from Sheriff Pagel?
A. One of the news stations maybe it was, I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. So your understanding, though, is that you learned that from some specific media information that was provided?
A. Right.
Q. On Friday morning?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. By the way, do you know Sheriff Pagel, personally?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Before this case, had you ever met him?
A. No, sir.
Q. Or talked with him at all?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you decided you wanted to go to the Avery Salvage, because that was the last place that you knew that she was seen?
A. That's correct.
Q. And did you have any fears, or concerns, about your safety in going to that location?
A. Yes.
Q. What were those?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I will move on. I will go to something else, actually.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ You decided, though, to go with just yourself and your daughter?
A. That's correct.
Q. And neither one of you were armed, or anything like that, right?
A. No.
Q. And did you make any kind of arrangements to have any -- Two women alone, going to this strange place, did you make any kind of arrangements to have any kind of back up security, or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Did you tell anybody that you were going there?
A. I told Ryan.
Q. Do you know whether Ryan told -- called the police, or let anybody know that you were going to that location?
A. I don't know if he did or not.
Q. Did you ask Ryan to come along with you?
A. No.
Q. Is there any particular reason?
A. He was the coordinator for the search party.
Q. Okay. And by coordinator, what does -- what did you mean?
A. Well, if anybody called in, they would know -- he would know where to send them, for that search, for that day.
Q. Okay. So the plan was that if people were to call him and he would coordinate it from there?
A. I believe so.
Q. So, if anybody found anything suspicious, or
untoward, they would call him and report in; is that right?
A. I received Sheriff Pagel's personal telephone number to contact him, in case $I$ found any kind of evidence.
Q. Okay. And how did you get that?
A. I got that from Ryan.
Q. Okay. So Ryan -- Did Ryan tell you that he had spoken with Sheriff Pagel, then, and gotten this personal phone number?
A. No, he didn't tell me that.
Q. You just assumed it, since he gave it to you; is that right?
A. I wasn't sure --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance as to what she assumed. It also calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. So when you say you had Sheriff Pagel's personal phone number, did you understand that to be his cell phone, or something like that, or what?
A. All I understood, it was his direct line to him.
Q. Okay. So when you arrived at the Avery property, had you ever been there before?
A. No.
Q. Did you know, have any idea how many cars there were in that?
A. I had no idea how many cars were in that area. All I heard, it was a 40 acre plot.
Q. So, would it be fair to say you knew there could have been thousands of cars?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was your intent in going to that property?
A. I was going to look in each and every car to try to find a trace of Teresa.
Q. Okay. You were going to look inside of each vehicle?
A. We looked inside the vehicle. We didn't actually go inside a vehicle, but we looked in the windows.
Q. So it was your intent to not only look for her vehicle, but also to look for her, perhaps in some other vehicle, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you think that, with just the two of you, that you would be able to cover the whole yard?
A. We hoped we could get it done that day.
Q. Okay. And were you -- Besides looking for her, were you also looking for her vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you have some description of the vehicle?
A. Yes, we had the sheet on the vehicle, and what it looked like.
Q. And the sheet --
A. The plate number.
Q. -- what kind of sheet are you referring to?
A. It was, I believe, a picture of the car.
Q. Okay. And did the car -- What kind of information was on the sheet?
A. It was a Toyota Rav 4, and there was a license plate number, the color.
Q. The what?
A. The color.
Q. And what was the color?
A. Green.
Q. Okay. And was there a year, also, on there, model year?
A. I think so, but $I$ can't remember.
Q. All right. So when you arrived at the Avery Salvage Yard, and you drive in, there's a number of sort of business type buildings; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. One of which has a sign that says Avery Salvage
Yard, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You stopped there, rather than driving into the rest of the yard, right?
A. I stopped there? Where is there?
Q. Well, I'm sorry, you stopped at that building that said Avery Salvage Yard on it?
A. Yes.
Q. From there, you could see that there was a sort of a pit, depressed area with many, many, many cars, off in the distance?
A. Yes.
Q. But you didn't drive on into, past these buildings, and go down into that pit, initially, did you?
A. No.
Q. And why was that?
A. Because I wanted to ask permission first, before we went.
Q. Okay. And did it appear obvious to you that -that before you would go into that back area where all these cars were, that you should get permission?
A. Yes.
Q. Didn't appear to be an area where it was just
open to the public, without any permission at all?
A. I didn't think so.
Q. Okay. And then, did you speak with anyone there?
A. Yes.
Q. And who was that?
A. Earl Avery.
Q. And what did you tell him?
A. I asked him if we could search the salvage yard for Teresa, or Teresa's car, or any evidence of Teresa?
Q. And by Teresa, did he seem to know who you were talking about?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Did he have any hesitation at all?
A. He hesitated a little bit, yes.
Q. And when you say hesitated a little bit, what did he do, or what did he say?
A. He said that someone else tried to search the area, and they used their car, and they almost got stuck, and came right back out.
Q. Okay. So did you have to use your powers of persuasion, then, to allow him to search, or what?
A. Well, I just told him that we would search on
foot, that we wouldn't take our car.
Q. And did he have any -- seem to have any problem with that?
A. No, he recommended walking.
Q. And did you tell him anything that you were in anyway there on behalf of the police, to give you any kind of authority, or anything of --
A. No.
Q. -- that nature?
A. No.
Q. Did you tell him that you were part of some sort of official search party that had been organized by the police?
A. No.
Q. So you didn't mention that you had any connection in any way to the police?
A. No.
Q. I mean, is that yes?
A. I told him that I didn't have. No. He never even asked if $I$ had any, you know, contact with the police, or was with the police. And I never said I was with the police.
Q. All right. Thank you. Did you have a discussion with Earl Avery about hunting season coming up?
A. Yes.
Q. And talking about hunters, perhaps, finding something; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you say that -- that you were hoping that, perhaps, hunters could find some evidence of Teresa out in the woods or something?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it your assumption that she was already dead?
A. No.
Q. Then why would you be expecting hunters to find something?
A. I said some type of evidence.
Q. What sort of evidence were you expecting that hunters would find?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, speculation, relevance, to the narrow issue for what we're here for.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. So you are saying it was your assumption that she was still alive?
A. Well, I surely hoped so, yes.
Q. Okay. And so, then, you did proceed to walk into the pit, you and your daughter?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, it was your intention, you said, to look inside each vehicle, as well as look for Teresa's vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you pick the part of the yard that you went to, to start searching?
A. I believed that God led us that way, through prayer.
Q. Okay. Did you say a prayer before you went in?
A. Sure, I did.
Q. And, I mean, were you asking for some guidance on which place to start searching or?
A. Yes. And I think all my cousins were praying too, so it really worked.
Q. Okay. I believe in that power as well, but I guess my question would be, as far as the area that you chose to search, in the salvage yard, of those thousands of cars, were you asking for that kind of guidance?
A. I sure was.
Q. Dear God, tell me which way to go?
A. Sure, I was.
Q. Okay. And so that's how you decided?
A. Yes.
Q. There was nothing about any aspect of the
property you were searching, that gave you any reason to go one place versus the other?
A. No.
Q. Were there other people in the salvage yard as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you speak with any of them?
A. No.
Q. Did you -- You didn't ask them to see if they could help you search as well?
A. No.
Q. Did you ask them whether they had seen a car like hers, a Rav 4, anywhere in there?
A. No, the people I came upon were speaking Spanish.
Q. Okay. So, is that why you didn't go up to them, because you thought you wouldn't be able to communicate?
A. No.
Q. Well, why did you not?
A. Because I did not want to get them involved?
Q. Was there some reason?
A. I didn't know these people, and I'm on Avery's Salvage Yard.
Q. Well, did you have some reason to feel guilty about being there on the --
A. No, I just thought perhaps they may be dangerous, so I would not approach them.
Q. Okay. So you were concerned, already, that there was something, some foul -- something -something amiss in the whole Avery Salvage Yard; is that what you are saying?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, he's leading the witness. And she just said she just perceived danger. So I would object to the use of the term foul, or something amiss. I think her testimony is clear, she felt there was some danger, and that's why she didn't approach.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. In addition, I guess I'm not following how some of this line of questioning is relevant to the motion here.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. I will move on, Judge.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Can you tell me, was there anything that drew your attention to that particular area where you found it?
A. No, sir.
Q. And you had looked through how many vehicles before you came upon this Rav 4?
A. Approximately 50.
Q. And that was looking inside, as well as looking
at the vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. Opening trunks and all that, or no?
A. No.
Q. Just inside?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And when you came upon this particular vehicle, this Rav 4, was it -- about how long do you think you had been searching?
A. Approximately 20, 25 minutes.
Q. Okay. Just a short while. And did the -- If I understand the area where you found it, it was in a single row of cars, it was kind of double parked?
A. It was up on a ledge. The quarry is shaped like a bowl. And up on the ledge were about seven or eight cars.
Q. Okay. And they were all in a single file, except for this one?
A. I think they were zigzagged a little bit, not exactly bumper to bumper.
Q. Okay. And the Rav 4, though, was it sticking out to some degree?
A. No, I don't believe so.
Q. Okay. Now, when you walked up to it, did you --
did you look inside?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you see any blood?
A. No.
Q. You didn't see Teresa?
A. No.
Q. Did you see anything with her name on it? I'm sorry. Do you need some tissues?
A. What was the last question?
Q. Did you see anything with Teresa's name on it, inside the vehicle?
A. No.
Q. Did you try the doors?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Did you see your daughter try the doors?
A. I was actually on the -- looking at the VIN number when she was trying to open the doors.
Q. And was that just the front passenger and front driver's side door, to your knowledge?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Did you see -- notice -- take note of anything else inside the vehicle?
A. There was a pop can on the floor, on the passenger side, in the front.
Q. Did you look under the vehicle?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Okay. Now, was it at that time -- I skipped over some stuff. Your daughter was separated from you for a short period of time, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But you called her over to come look at the vehicle as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And you thought that there was -- that this was similar to what the description was?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you say that it matched the description exactly or?
A. It was real close to the description.
Q. You had some concern about the color?
A. Yes.
Q. Looked more -- Did it look more blue than green, I think?
A. Right.
Q. And then you called this special number that you had; is that right?
A. Um --
Q. Or did your daughter?
A. You will have to ask my daughter.
Q. Okay. So you didn't dial it?
A. No.
Q. Did your daughter speak to anybody, or did she hand it right to you?
A. She handed it to me.
Q. And did you speak with Sheriff Pagel, then, immediately or --
A. It went to his voice mail first. And then I dialed zero again and the operator answered.
Q. So, it gave you -- From the voice mail, it gave you an option to dial zero and go to an operator, on the voice mail, rather than leaving a message?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I didn't understand at first, but. And then when you spoke to the operator, or the dispatcher, she put you in touch with Sheriff Pagel?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. And did you tell him that you had -- or you explained to him that you had found the vehicle, or a vehicle that was similar to it, right?
A. I believe it was her vehicle, yes.
Q. You told -- You asked for the VIN number, though, right? You didn't have the VIN number?
A. No, we didn't have the VIN number.
Q. And there were no license plates on it, right?
A. No plates on it.
Q. And it was more blue than green, like you were expecting, right?
A. It was bluish green.
Q. So you had some doubt, enough doubt that you wanted to see if you could compare the VIN number?
A. Right. But it was camouflaged, so, yeah, we probably suspected it was her car.
Q. Okay. And then he wasn't able to give you the VIN number, so he passed you off to someone else?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Do you recall speaking to Investigator Wiegert?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Okay. So, at any rate, then, you weren't -- I don't want to lead, but I'm trying to move things along. You weren't provided with the actual VIN number, though, correct?
A. It was on some sheet, but we didn't have that specific sheet with us.
Q. I see. So instead, they asked you to look and see if you could find the VIN number on that vehicle, though?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you able to provide all of the numbers
in that VIN number to the officer you spoke with?
A. I didn't have my glasses on, so my daughter read the numbers to me, while $I$ read it to the officer on the phone.
Q. Okay. And do you know, was she able to see all of them, or only a partial?
A. You will have to ask her.
Q. Okay. She didn't tell you? I mean you heard her, she was passing the numbers to you?
A. Right.
Q. And she didn't say whether some of it was obscured or not?
A. I think she did say that, yes.
Q. That she could not see all of them?
A. That she couldn't see all of them.
Q. All right. You -- I have the advantage of having seen the transcript of your call, but you indicated you thought it was a particular model year, a 1999, or year 2000; do you recall that?
A. No, I can't recall that.
Q. Okay. Did you have any sort of knowledge, or information, that would enable you to tell the year of a vehicle like that?
A. No, I have no knowledge of that.
Q. And then the officer that you spoke with told you
to standby?
A. He told us not to touch anything and to move away from the car.
Q. And how long was it before the officers arrived, first officer?
A. I would say approximately 20 minutes.
Q. Do you know who that officer was?
A. It was Officer Remerick, Remerak (phonetic).
Q. Remiker?
A. Remiker. I'm sorry, yes.
Q. While you were waiting, did you speak with that Officer Remiker?
A. My daughter did.
Q. Your daughter spoke with him by cell phone?
A. I believe so.
Q. And then, how long were you at the scene after that, before you were allowed to leave?
A. I don't believe we left until about 12:30.
Q. So -- And -- I'm sorry. Do you know what time it was when you discovered the car, the Rav 4 ?
A. Approximately 10:20 to 10:25.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have nothing further. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, just one moment. Yes, your Honor, a moment or two, we're going to ask the witness to identify an audio recording.

THE COURT: Is there any chance of the parties stipulating that the recording is the correct one?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Sure.
THE COURT: I assume this is something that's been exchanged?

ATTORNEY FALLON: It has been exchanged and there is a transcript that I'm able to provide the Court and Counsel. We would like to mark that and hand it to the witness. We can do that.

THE COURT: All right. Defense has a copy, I take it?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I do.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I do.
THE COURT: All right. We'll have one marked. And then, do you wish to introduce the CD of the actual conversation into evidence?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I would.
THE COURT: We'll have that marked.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Is the stipulation, then, Counsel, that the voices which appear on the tape
are the voices of this witness, Pamela Sturm, Sheriff Pagel, and Investigator Wiegert?

ATTORNEY BUTING: And the dispatcher.
ATTORNEY FALLON: And the dispatcher.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Whose name I don't know that I have. Do you?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't have the dispatchers name. We could certainly supplement the record with that.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, we would stipulate that those are the voices.

THE COURT: Very well.
ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Very well.
(Exhibit 16 \& 17 marked for identification.)
ATTORNEY FALLON: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Ms Sturm, have you ever had an opportunity to review a transcript of that audio recording?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. All right. Very good. I will take that. Thank you.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Pursuant to the
stipulation, I would offer Exhibit 17. The Court may examine it at its leisure.

THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibit 17, Mr. Buting?

ATTORNEY BUTING: No objection.
THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 17 is admitted.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Just so I'm clear, I just have a few questions, Ms Sturm. You became aware of Teresa's disappearance, when?
A. Approximately November 4th at like 10 a.m, over the TV.
Q. So that would have been Friday morning, about 10 -'clock?
A. Correct.
Q. And you hadn't caught any of the newscast, or any of the information from the previous day?
A. No.
Q. And your first contact with anyone regarding her disappearance would have been your cousin, Tom Halbach's sister, Betty, called you?
A. Yes.
Q. And that occurred on Friday evening, that same day?
A. Yes.
Q. About how late in the day would that call have occurred, your best recollection? Before dinner, after dinner?
A. I think after dinner.
Q. All right. On that day, Friday, did you have any discussions with any member of law enforcement, regarding Teresa's disappearance?
A. No, sir.
Q. Directing your attention, then, to Saturday morning, on Saturday morning, prior to your calling Sheriff Pagel, did you have discussion with any law enforcement officer, whether it be Manitowoc County, Calumet County, any police officer whatsoever, regarding Teresa's disappearance?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you have any discussion, whatsoever, with any law enforcement officer, regarding the volunteer search efforts; in other words, letting them know that you were ready to join the search?
A. No, sir.
Q. When you arrived at the residence where Ryan Hillegas and Scott Bloedorn were coordinating, as it were, these efforts, there was no law enforcement officer present, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. Just so that we're absolutely clear, your decision -- or excuse me -- the idea to go to the Avery Salvage Yard was entirely your idea?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as far as you knew, from your discussions with Mr. Hillegas and Mr. Bloedorn, no one else had offered to go to that particular local?
A. Yes.
Q. And your reason for choosing it was because it seemed to you, logical, that that would be a place to look, because according to the information you had become aware of, that was the last place that she was located?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, did that information, in terms of the last place seen by her, did that come in in that 10 o'clock news information; or did that come later in the day, from Betty Halbach; or perhaps did it come on Saturday morning; when in the sequence did you become aware of that?
A. It was Friday morning on the TV.
Q. All right.
A. The news release.
Q. And you had no discussions with any law
enforcement officer about that particular aspect of the missing person case, that she was last seen, or last believed to be seen, at that property, for a business appointment?
A. No.
Q. When you arrived at this salvage yard, you spoke, I believe you said, with Mr. Earl Avery; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you speak with him inside a building, or was it out on the grounds?
A. It was out on the grounds.
Q. And do you recall which building it was in front of, or near, that you had your conversation with him? In other words, did it appear to be a business office, a residence, a shed, anything stick in your mind?
A. It appeared to be a building with an office inside.
Q. Did you, at all, go into the office?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. All right. And in the office, did you observe, for instance, any missing poster -- missing person poster of Teresa Halbach in that office?
A. No.
Q. All right. You indicated that Mr. Avery had -your belief was that Earl Avery had no -- he knew exactly what you were there for and who you were looking for?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. All right. And how was it that you reached the conclusion that he was quite sure what you were wanting to do?
A. He agreed to the search. And I told him that we were searching for Teresa, or her vehicle, or any trace of Teresa.
Q. Or any trace of her?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. You go down to the -- You start in one area, you search for 20,25 minutes, and you come upon her vehicle; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, there was some questions from counsel regarding your attempt to -- or you or your daughter's attempt to get into the vehicle. So I want to talk a little bit about that. Just so I'm clear, you, yourself, did not attempt to get into the vehicle?
A. No.
Q. All right. Your daughter, you think attempted to
get into the vehicle, at least perhaps tried one or more of the doors?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And the doors were locked, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you could not get into the vehicle, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, describe for us, was the vehicle -- When you came upon it, describe the condition of the vehicle. How was it -- You said, you used the word camouflaged. Tell us about that.
A. There were branches leaning up against it. Also a hood from another car leaned up against it. Plywood. And on the roof there were branches, so it was covered.
Q. Be fair to say it was difficult to look inside the vehicle, because of this stuff?
A. No, it wasn't really difficult to look inside. I could see, you know, through the branches.
Q. All right.
A. There was a viewing area.
Q. In your initial efforts to read the VIN number, did you have to move anything?
A. No.
Q. Did your daughter have to move anything?
A. No.
Q. When she attempted?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. That's all.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY BUTING: No.
THE COURT: All right. The witness is excused.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Can we have just a minute, your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure. We'll take a two minute break and then come back.
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: All right. Counsel, you may call your next witness.

ATTORNEY BUTING: The other witness I was going to call today would have been the daughter, Nikole; however, I think, given the testimony of her mother, it's probably not necessary. So I would -I will not do that.

THE COURT: All right. So does that -- Now wait a minute, that doesn't conclude the evidence.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think that just gives us, we adjourn for today, I think is what it means.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Buting, you will have
more witnesses to call on the Franks motion, on the 9th.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else to address today before we adjourn?

ATTORNEY BUTING: One last -- Just one last thing. The State subpoenaed Mr. Hillegas. And he was here and was told to come back. But because the State subpoenaed him and I did not, I would just ask, if you intend to withdraw your subpoena at any point, let me know. Otherwise, I will assume, by the Court's comments, that he will be here next time.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will make sure that we contact him. I believe we told him to come back on the 9th.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Oh, was he not one of the ones who was here?

ATTORNEY FALLON: He was here.
ATTORNEY BUTING: He was. That's fine, then, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Anything further? If not, we're adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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27/9 27/12 28/5 28/6 28/15 29/20 34/13 34/21 34/25 35/7 36/15 37/7 40/9 40/20 40/21 42/18 50/24 57/12 58/11 61/12 61/15 66/9 74/6 77/25 78/22 79/10 80/6 $80 / 1180 / 1781 / 183 / 1984 / 8$ 84/10 85/14 86/10 86/10 88/11 89/20 91/22 91/24 92/12 92/15 93/6 93/7 93/11 94/5 95/9 96/17 98/2 99/2 111/5 117/15 117/19 120/9 $121 / 12$ 124/13 125/3 126/21 128/10 130/7 133/1 133/22 134/25 136/4 137/6 137/8 $141 / 19$ 142/14 146/1 152/6 $156 / 7$ 156/17 156/21 159/9 160/7 161/13 161/18 165/7 165/8 166/11 166/22 171/10 171/16 174/10 175/21 183/2 183/13 184/17 185/17 186/10 186/14 186/20 188/5 188/14 189/9 191/13 195/24 196/1 199/9 203/17 205/17 207/20 210/15 211/19 213/11 213/11 216/19 217/1 220/2 224/24 225/1 227/23 234/1 241/14 241/19 241/22 243/16 248/12 254/13 255/24 256/1 257/25 258/25 260/8 260/16 262/15
266/18 269/13 270/1 272/1 273/21 274/11
about a [1] 211/19
above [6] 129/20 134/21 139/12
139/19 147/19 178/13
absolutely [2] 254/14 271/2
abused [1] 169/11
accept [1] 170/7
acceptable [1] 10/15
access [21] 103/1 103/4 103/23
104/13 135/10 135/11 156/5 156/7 156/10 156/11 156/11 156/12
156/25 161/15 162/4 162/17 168/8 169/3 169/4 170/1 174/1
accident [1] 197/7
accommodate [3] 34/3 106/18 229/25
accommodating [1] 126/8
accompanied [1] 53/22
accompany [1] 90/7
accomplish [3] 75/2 78/9 177/14
according [5] 124/19 128/13
128/16 130/6 271/12
account [1] 232/21
accounts [3] 15/25 232/17 232/20
accuracy [3] 87/1 87/4 147/16
accurate [10] 15/8 16/3 46/24
86/16 87/16 137/20 234/16 234/18 236/17 236/19
accurately [1] 86/19
accused [1] 130/3
acknowledge [1] 176/10
acknowledged [1] 181/17
acquaintance [2] 73/20 73/22
acre [2] 181/2 251/4
acres [1] 181/18
action [2] 141/25 142/2
actions [1] 142/5
actual [6] 87/1 87/4 101/19 106/1
264/17 267/21
actually [43] 16/22 29/19 46/25
52/21 53/25 57/8 63/5 65/5 86/6 89/13 93/14 97/2 147/8 184/11
184/18 192/2 192/10 193/1 193/23

195/16 196/22 196/23 207/24
210/12 211/1 211/10 214/22 215/2 215/9 215/15 216/4 224/6 224/8 225/5 225/25 227/17 229/2 236/25 246/2 246/14 248/19 251/14 261/16
add [3] 165/24 166/1 232/16
addition [2] 97/5 259/14
additional [6] 6/2 59/14 59/25
61/19 68/11 218/7
address [4] 35/12 39/2 112/22
276/5
addressed [7] 24/5 36/14 37/25
150/7 152/11 173/23 173/24
addresses [1] 37/4
adequately [1] 173/23
adhered [1] 146/18
adjourn [2] 275/24 276/5
adjourned [1] 276/23
adjusting [2] 164/2 164/8
administrator [18] 5/12 5/14 9/8
10/9 96/7 96/19 96/21 97/5 97/13 97/19 105/1 116/2 120/14 122/22 126/23 150/7 170/24 172/6
admissibility [2] 9/20 147/19 admissible [2] 9/24 10/12
admission [1] 142/3
admitted [10] 16/14 47/11 47/13
117/25 122/5 137/23 138/25
149/13 168/5 269/7
admonitions [1] 232/13
advance [1] 106/13
advantage [1] 265/16
advice [2] 161/23 197/11
advised [4] 125/1 139/17 166/15 197/12
advisement [2] 176/12 184/5
advises [1] 129/18
advisors [1] 103/11
aerial [1] 238/18
affect [1] 9/22
affidavit [20] $178 / 2$ 186/23 $187 / 1$ 187/11 190/2 $214 / 1$ 225/25 226/8 226/12 226/16 227/2 227/3 227/7 227/9 234/2 234/13 234/20 234/21 235/2 237/3
affidavits [2] 187/22 187/24
afforded [2] 155/24 157/11
after [57] 10/19 19/12 28/4 28/22 36/23 38/23 39/25 45/5 50/17 50/17 63/11 63/19 68/10 68/10 68/21 78/8 81/11 84/13 88/15 90/14 124/20 128/9 134/8 136/1 141/3 143/20 144/1 151/7 151/19 173/2 182/13 182/16 189/21 190/14 191/15 191/18 194/17 194/19 197/19 198/10 201/20 209/1 216/2 217/21 217/24 218/24 223/13 224/9 224/14 225/19 232/21 233/19 242/2 242/19 266/16 270/3 270/4
afternoon [14] 60/19 66/23 90/23 101/4 101/21 137/25 165/10 181/21 197/2 198/3 198/8 198/9 228/4 228/6
again [59] $33 / 5$ 34/21 40/12 42/12 44/20 50/21 58/18 60/21 60/23 60/25 61/2 61/4 61/6 61/14 61/15 61/25 62/3 62/4 62/20 66/14 67/7 67/8 80/7 84/10 88/25 89/9 91/22 92/24 93/5 101/4 102/23 106/3 106/15 106/20 107/1 109/1 110/17 111/3 118/16 129/11 143/2 166/8
$166 / 19178 / 18180 / 4180 / 25$
197/21 205/6 205/21 207/10 208/2 208/5 214/12 216/24 224/9 225/18 236/12 236/16 263/8
against [13] 27/24 28/6 31/2 32/17
35/2 40/13 78/1 83/25 93/8
152/25 222/25 274/12 274/13
agency [3] 27/3 111/20 207/12
agenda [1] 52/9
agent [3] 108/8 120/7 173/10
agents [6] 104/8 104/15 107/5
107/13 108/12 110/23
ago [6] 46/7 61/12 71/4 115/19 178/22 240/24
agree [5] 118/18 143/2 146/6 165/25 182/20
agreed [13] 4/16 25/13 52/25
60/21 62/14 78/13 139/3 143/14
145/22 150/17 160/7 163/3 273/9
agreement [3] 151/8 151/14
222/14
ahead [5] 6/20 37/22 70/13 129/12 185/2
air [4] 85/6 90/3 147/12 202/23
aired [16] 15/4 15/5 15/8 15/9 $34 / 1034 / 13$ 34/17 42/9 44/2 58/6 91/24 92/1 145/9 147/8 147/8 147/20
Alcoholics [1] 103/14
alert [3] 64/111114/11154/9
alive [1] 256/21

## all [324]

allegations[5] 35/1 84/2 93/8 94/5 184/7
alleged [2] 181/23 182/3
allow [14] 10/17 23/13 43/20
105/14 105/21 110/16 123/9
126/19 130/13 156/4 169/6 174/6 236/10 254/23
allowed [5] 23/16 107/6 111/10 201/3 266/17
allowing [1] 37/12
alluded [1] 162/1
almost [5] 7/5 66/19 103/3 196/18 254/20
alone [4] 130/19 166/23 167/3 249/2
along [7] 14/8 190/13 196/17
213/20 225/2 249/13 264/17
already [14] 62/24 68/1 68/3
145/21 150/19 165/23 174/17 184/4 228/21 245/16 245/21
246/23 256/8 259/3
also [39] 4/9 4/13 37/9 42/5 43/3 52/16 52/23 66/19 69/25 94/3 95/14 101/10 105/12 107/23 108/1 110/20 123/24 124/7 146/10 155/11 163/6 174/11 183/21 183/22 $186 / 17194 / 6$ 198/23 199/5 207/16 217/11 235/6 236/14 241/22 243/18 250/16 251/18 251/25 252/17 274/12
although [5] 43/10 99/3 99/15 102/2 150/23
always [2] $126 / 16$ 158/14
am [6] 21/24 24/24 35/23 171/20 202/8 230/16
amended [1] 227/17
amending [1] 43/1
Amendment [11] 36/7 113/22
113/24 137/7 153/23 154/2 154/7
157/2 186/4 186/12 241/14
amiss [3] 192/4 259/5 259/10
amok [1] 196/1
amongst [1] 69/22
amount [4] 26/9 69/22 108/18 157/9
and/or [2] 57/3 163/24
angry [1] 55/8
ankles [1] 54/24
announced [1] 17/20
annual [1] 99/10
Anonymous [1] 103/14
another [13] 6/4 6/7 9/2 43/22
$52 / 2358 / 1864 / 9105 / 10109 / 8$
110/15 138/17 221/21 274/13
answer [20] 9/15 10/7 17/10 18/25 $38 / 11$ 38/13 43/25 58/1 64/21
76/7 88/13 140/17 140/19146/22
151/21 191/8 197/9 219/9 222/9 236/11
answered [8] 8/24 24/5 28/3 49/14 50/7 56/19 74/2 263/8
answering [1] 50/12
anticipate [1] 181/20
anticipated [1] 5/5
any [265] 4/23 5/4 5/6 5/17 7/6
9/6 9/6 9/9 11/6 11/9 11/1111/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 23 & 12 / 8 & 12 / 15 & 12 / 19 & 13 / 4 \\ 13 / 4\end{array}$ 13/8 13/12 13/18 16/24 17/1
17/24 18/4 18/21 18/24 18/25 21/2 21/7 21/10 21/11 23/11 24/18 26/23 27/2 33/2 33/19 $33 / 19$ 34/8 35/24 42/17 44/8 45/5 $47 / 1551 / 353 / 2454 / 254 / 854 / 12$ 54/13 54/1755/11 55/22 55/25 56/4 56/6 57/19 57/19 57/23 57/25 58/1 58/4 58/14 58/21
59/14 59/20 59/25 60/3 60/3 60/8 61/19 61/23 64/8 64/11 64/16 64/1 6 64/20 64/21 64/23 65/9 65/12 66/3 66/4 67/21 68/6 68/11 68/16 69/5 69/9 72/5 72/15 75/4 80/13 80/15 80/17 88/16 88/17 92/9 92/18 92/21 94/14 94/22 97/21 99/12 99/21 108/1 112/21 112/24 115/1 115/10 115/11 115/12 117/23 118/6 118/19 118/20 119/2 121/24 125/18 126/13 126/18 126/19 127/9 127/11 129/23 133/5 134/24 136/1 140/23 141/25 142/2 145/17 145/18 147/3 150/18 151/13 152/6 152/9 152/14 154/4 154/9 154/11 154/11 156/24 158/21 159/6 160/8 164/16 165/12 167/14 167/14 167/21 167/23 168/2 170/6 172/12 172/17 172/20 173/25 174/1 176/13 178/5 178/25 184/23 184/25 194/14 195/7 195/9 195/1 1 195/13 197/11 199/6 199/7 199/9 200/13 201/8 204/19 205/4 205/18 205/19 206/9 206/1 $1207 / 14$ 208/15 208/15 208/22 208/24 208/24 209/4 209/7 209/25 210/15 212/25 216/20 217/7 222/2 222/6 223/7 224/14 231/25 232/6 232/7 232/10 232/13 232/20 232/21 233/11 238/14 238/21 239/20 240/3 240/4 242/3 242/3 242/6 242/10 242/14 243/1 243/23 244/20 244/23 246/4 246/22 246/25 247/4 248/12 249/1 249/2 249/3 249/4 249/15 250/4 251/1
$254 / 1$ 254/10 254/15 255/2 255/2 255/7 255/15 255/16 255/20 257/25 258/1 258/7 261/3 264/15 265/21 267/5 269/3 269/16 269/16 270/5 270/6 270/12 270/13 270/17 270/17 271/25 272/23 273/10 273/12 275/5 276/10
anybody[15] 39/9 92/9 110/25 194/21 195/6 200/17 201/13
210/15 222/2 243/15 249/7 249/10 249/19 249/25 263/2
anyone [22] 26/7 26/23 33/15
33/21 45/11 65/17 65/20 67/11
68/15 68/20 73/22 75/18 79/20
92/11 94/7 94/12 97/2 117/18
141/22 232/11 254/4 269/19
anything [42] $13 / 2418 / 925 / 1$
33/21 40/18 80/11 92/2 92/11
92/15 95/11 $117 / 6$ 152/10 155/12
178/7 192/4 195/5 202/7 205/17
207/2 213/1 219/16 223/22 232/22
238/2 238/15 241/8 246/9 248/23
249/4 249/25 255/5 255/7 259/19
261/7 261/10 261/22 266/2 272/16
274/23 274/25 276/4 276/22
anyway [4] 10/5 180/22 188/25
255/6
anywhere [2] 242/12 258/13
apartment [2] 49/10 79/19
apiece [1] 6/6
apologize [2] 70/12 138/2
apparently [1] 47/6
appear [10] 6/5 63/2 64/23 120/13 160/6 231/1 253/20 253/25 267/25 272/15
appearance [3] 54/21 223/1 223/6 appearances [3] 1/12 4/6 157/21
appeared [6] 1/22 32/25 62/12
91/14 216/25 272/18
appearing [4] 4/8 4/9 4/12 233/13
appears [3] 4/7 81/8 139/22
appellate [1] 176/9
applicable [1] 9/19
applied [1] 186/11
applies [2] 104/10 186/5
apply [4] 104/2 112/25 186/17
231/24
applying [1] 186/21
appointment [1] 272/4
approach [11] $14 / 10$ 45/20 68/20
69/5 124/8 133/9 153/15 164/23
259/2 259/12 268/15
approached [2] 68/6 124/13
approaching [2] 66/15 202/21
appropriate [4] 95/10 145/23
159/17 190/11
approve [1] 150/13
approved [1] 99/7
approximately [15] 13/15 60/14 62/2 66/7 92/4 138/4 141/18 178/21 202/12 242/22 259/24 260/10 266/6 266/21 269/11 are [165] 4/3 4/19 5/5 5/6 6/5 7/1 7/6 9/19 15/15 $15 / 1815 / 25$ 16/3 16/14 17/20 18/11 21/15 22/5 26/20 28/15 30/15 33/2 45/8 46/2 46/3 46/5 46/9 46/14 46/17 46/18 46/24 47/12 58/17 64/1 71/5 78/22 83/12 83/23 88/4 89/11 94/25 96/1 8 98/3 98/9 99/4 100/17 101/13 102/3 102/19 102/24 104/2 104/1 8 104/23 105/1 106/22 107/5 107/15 107/23 108/6

108/14 108/16 108/17 109/10
109/19 109/22 109/22 111/14
112/21 113/3114/13114/14
114/20 114/21 117/25 118/1 118/6 121/16 123/19 125/17 125/19 126/5 126/17 129/19 131/3 131/17 131/21 132/2 132/7 133/3 134/4 134/13 134/22 135/15 136/1 147/23 151/2 151/13 153/20 156/2 156/2 163/15 163/18 165/24 170/23 171/15 171/22 174/15 175/1 $177 / 25$ 178/9 $179 / 5$ 180/21
181/2 182/6 185/1 185/13187/10 187/19 187/22 190/25 194/16 196/8 196/16 200/17 200/23 202/9 206/6 209/23 $215 / 20$ 220/12 223/25 227/7 227/8 227/14 228/4
229/9 229/20 230/8 230/14 230/19
230/21 230/22 230/22 232/2 232/5
232/13 233/7 233/12 233/19
234/15 234/15 234/16 234/22
236/21 237/11 238/23 240/14
243/4 244/9 246/13 247/7 252/7
256/19 259/6 268/1 268/11
area [56] 30/10 30/11 30/16 31/1 51/24 59/17 59/23 59/24 68/11 79/17 183/1 194/7 196/3 197/2 197/5 197/17 197/23 197/25 199/25 200/9 200/15 204/8 204/17 205/11 205/12 206/3 206/16 206/1 $8220 / 12$ 220/16 220/17 220/22 225/3 225/4 225/6 225/8
225/10 239/22 240/10 245/22
245/22 245/23 245/25 246/24
247/10 247/13 251/3 253/10
253/21 253/25 254/20 257/16
259/20 260/12 273/15 274/21
areas [8] 32/19 65/20 180/2 181/2
196/9 196/10 197/16 240/7
aren't [2] 105/25 229/14
argue [2] 173/12 173/18
argued [2] 173/16 176/9
argument [12] $4 / 2337 / 2143 / 10$
95/14 172/25 173/7 174/7 175/21
176/18 177/3 181/7 181/7
argumentative [2] 235/16 236/1
arguments [1] 176/14
arising [1] 27/13
armed [1] 248/23
around [14] 8/17 23/25 54/24 79/1
79/23 90/25 171/8 192/3 201/4
216/18 223/13 227/23 233/15 234/3
arrange [2] 107/3 139/13
arranged [2] 150/24 207/24
arrangements [3] 33/19 249/1 249/3
arranging [1] 166/18
arrest [2] 20/5 69/1 1
arrival [2] 55/17 79/13
arrive [4] 223/12 224/22 229/17 244/1
arrived [22] 10/23 11/14 27/3
51/20 62/23 90/22 91/11 185/20
217/23 218/17218/24218/25
219/18 219/20 222/12 225/19
244/21 250/23 252/20 266/4
270/22 272/6
arriving [1] 79/22
as [215] 4/8 6/10 6/12 7/7 9/15 9/15 9/22 12/2 12/9 13/18 14/18 15/7 15/7 15/8 16/2 16/2 18/4 19/17 21/20 25/11 25/11 27/22
assigned [6] $7 / 6$ 19/17 71/18
71/24 188/16 191/9
assignment [5] 7/9 19/18 48/15 48/17 48/18
assist [6] 125/9 158/16 166/18 200/3 $215 / 3243 / 3$
assistance [6] 166/21 188/20 191/3
193/9 193/2 1 226/10
assistant [2] 14/7 135/2
assistants [1] 6/3
assisted [2] 236/25 277/10
assisting [1] 192/16
associate [1] 161/18
associate's [1] 185/25
assume [14] 18/10 86/18 142/7 142/10 146/4 186/3 186/10 $189 / 15$ 196/6 202/24 208/19 233/20 267/9 276/11
assumed [7] 25/6 41/25 67/8 67/9
243/25 250/12 250/16
assumes [1] 182/22
assuming [2] 25/5 59/2
assumption [2] 256/8 256/20 at [344]
attached [2] 102/7 102/8
attempt [7] $45 / 845 / 11$ 70/1 88/25
273/19 273/20 273/22
attempted [2] 273/25 275/2
attempting [2] 147/18 159/5
attempts [3] 44/8 69/18 69/20
attend [2] 72/25 244/23
attended [6] 20/12 20/15 73/3 73/5 73/13 156/18
attention [10] 7/11 48/21 89/7
127/12 153/25 154/1 165/4 171/19 259/19 270/9
attorney [30] $1 / 17$ 1/19 2/4 2/5 2/6 2/9 2/10 2/12 2/13 2/14 2/17 2/19 2/20 4/8 4/12 4/13 75/5 113/25
132/22 132/25 135/1 135/5 138/10 139/16 154/8 $155 / 23$ 160/23 187/15 222/20 222/21
attorney's [9] 26/25 94/11 94/15
133/1 145/5 151/9 187/17 225/18 230/10
attorneys [4] 156/11 162/2 162/23 228/15
attract [1] 87/12
attracted [1] 127/11
attractive [1] 163/18
audio [3] 60/9 267/4 268/21
audiotape [1] 213/17
August [9] 19/7 29/14 174/9 177/6 231/21 232/9 233/3 233/5 233/9
August 10th [1] 233/3
August 2005 [1] 29/14
August 9 [2] 231/21 232/9
August 9th [3] 174/9 177/6 233/9
authority [2] 100/22 255/7
available [6] 92/6 $115 / 23$ 140/20
140/21 140/22 168/10
averments [2] 178/2 203/3
AVERY[268] $1 / 6$ 1/21 4/2 4/13
$7 / 137 / 167 / 228 / 15$ 9/1 10/3 11/2 11/15 11/16 11/19 11/22 13/2 13/13 14/23 15/10 15/22 16/18 16/23 17/2 $17 / 12$ 18/6 19/11 19/14 20/5 $21 / 15$ 23/1 23/9 24/7 26/2 27/8 27/17 28/5 28/23 29/6 $32 / 1232 / 1732 / 2033 / 1135 / 2$ $35 / 535 / 1335 / 2537 / 138 / 138 / 16$ 39/10 39/1 8 39/21 39/23 40/13 42/24 43/11 44/9 45/5 46/4 48/24
$49 / 149 / 550 / 1150 / 2351 / 151 / 9$
$52 / 19$ 52/21 52/25 53/4 54/4 54/8
54/10 54/18 55/14 56/23 57/6
57/19 57/24 58/15 59/9 59/11
59/13 60/6 60/12 60/21 61/3
62/13 62/24 63/2 64/17 67/16
69/5 69/19 70/1 70/22 70/24 71/8
71/19 71/22 72/1 74/4 74/15
76/15 77/22 77/25 78/20 79/25
81/8 81/13 81/25 82/10 83/2 $83 / 25$ 88/25 90/11 90/13 91/18 92/19 93/8 93/15 94/4 94/10
$94 / 16$ 95/4 113/3 113/23115/9
115/21 116/9 $118 / 3119 / 6119 / 18$
119/22 119/23 120/17 123/9
123/12 123/12 123/14 124/16
125/5 126/9 127/12 128/11 128/17
128/20 130/23 133/6 133/9 133/23 133/25 134/7 134/11 134/19
134/22 136/2 136/10 136/15 138/7 138/8 138/14 139/17 139/20 139/24 140/9 140/23 141/2 141/8
141/19 142/9 143/8 143/16 143/20 144/2 144/3 149/20 150/6 150/12
150/18 151/22 151/25 152/12
152/20 153/1 159/12 161/16
161/19 162/18 162/20 163/3 163/9
163/14 164/1 164/13 165/7 165/11
165/13 165/15 165/17 165/20
166/8 166/11 166/13 166/15
166/19 166/23 166/25 167/2 167/5
167/22 168/23 170/5 170/11
170/15 170/21 171/21 171/23
172/4 178/24 179/13 181/15
190/20 190/20 190/21 190/23
191/25 191/25 192/8 194/11
194/14 200/10 201/24 204/8
204/10 204/13 204/16 208/21
210/1 216/9 217/12 218/18219/18
219/21 222/1 222/23 223/12 231/7
231/11 246/2 246/5 246/14 246/16
246/19 246/24 247/11 248/9
250/23 252/20 252/25 253/7 254/7
255/24 259/5 271/4 272/7 273/1 273/2
Avery's [25] 10/11 54/21 66/10
71/16 114/22 $116 / 17119 / 25$ 134/9 138/10 138/11 140/2 149/24 151/7 159/2 1 162/16 167/4 169/18 183/3
183/1 7 200/1 200/24 201/8 205/5 206/4 258/22
Averys [9] 200/14 200/21 205/1 206/14 207/6 208/6 208/13 208/16 222/2
avoid [2] 80/12 106/11
aware [32] 17/19 29/11 31/10 34/12 36/1 45/8 45/11 57/13 69/9 69/13 69/18 $110 / 1118 / 1118 / 6$ 124/1 136/2 136/6 151/13 181/1 187/22 194/16 200/17 200/23 200/25 201/13 202/8 202/9 202/10 204/15 269/9 271/13 271/21
awareness [1] 20/2
away[11] 32/2 84/15 90/25 108/10 128/6 139/23 175/22 183/2 224/24 230/12 266/2
B
B-y-r-n-e-s [1] 96/14
back [53] 9/4 10/10 12/2 16/19 24/21 25/4 31/2 31/1737/16
51/1752/17 52/1759/1761/3 89/8 91/1 95/24 98/21 100/24
back... [34] 105/16 122/6 125/5 128/16 134/6 137/5 139/17 141/22 143/12 148/9 150/2 160/15 191/12 192/25 195/10 203/15 209/1
211/11 211/13 211/14 218/14
220/18 220/20 228/10 231/21
233/8 236/6 236/7 249/4 253/21
254/21 275/12 276/8 276/15
background [1] 190/10
balance [1] 88/1
balanced [1] 86/22
bar [3] 22/4 22/6 22/16
Barb [2] 179/13 190/16
barrels [1] 179/11
barrier [4] 107/17 107/21 109/16 109/17
based [7] 35/15 130/24 173/19 177/1 178/2 184/7 243/12
basic [1] 111/16
basically [8] 162/2 186/22 195/2 199/21 204/5 204/22 209/11 217/6 basis [7] 21/2 21/6 21/9 37/6 43/8 173/6 174/24
Bay [6] 33/17 48/12 79/17 89/12 118/11 118/14
be [235] 4/17 4/17 4/19 4/22 5/16
6/4 6/6 6/13 7/16 8/4 8/15 8/22 9/1 9/23 9/24 10/5 10/12 13/9 15/17 17/20 18/7 18/8 18/22 22/1 23/16 23/24 26/1 26/4 26/7 29/21 30/3 31/22 32/25 34/21 35/9 35/20 37/7 38/17 38/25 40/25 $41 / 19$ 43/10 44/15 44/19 45/2 47/9 47/10 48/3 48/14 49/8 49/25 54/5 54/14 54/1856/1 57/8 57/14 57/25 63/5 65/18 65/21 65/24 66/22 77/16 82/7 83/4 84/9 85/21 86/14 87/10 90/2 91/1 92/18 92/22 94/1 94/4 94/24 96/12 99/2 99/12 100/6 101/1 101/9 101/19 102/14 102/24 104/13 105/10 105/10 105/25 107/12 110/11 110/14 110/14 111/21 112/17 113/25 114/11 114/17115/5 116/22 119/10 121/5 122/14 126/1 126/2 127/22 129/2 130/3 130/15 130/22 134/2 134/21 134/25 136/24 138/1 139/16 $140 / 22$ 142/5 143/1 144/16 145/23 145/23 149/2 152/11 152/22 154/12 156/22 156/23 157/10 157/21 158/1 158/21 159/17 160/6 163/3 163/10 164/7 164/18 165/14 167/2 167/3 167/8 167/9 168/16 169/8 172/15 173/2 173/18 175/5 176/8 176/8 177/14 177/20 178/5 179/5 181/17 182/15 182/20 183/4 183/11 183/19 183/22 183/23 186/7 186/11 187/7 187/23 187/23 190/3 190/4 190/10 190/12 194/4 196/7 196/7 197/16 197/22 198/1 198/2 202/2 202/12 203/6 206/16 206/18 210/1 214/25 216/4 216/8 216/13 216/14 216/23 217/5 222/7 223/1 223/6 224/5 226/8 226/12 227/4 227/13 228/13 228/17 230/5 231/18 232/2 232/25 233/21 235/24 236/9 237/21 238/5 239/1 239/11 239/14 241/10 241/11 243/24 243/25 247/3 250/20 251/5 251/22 253/25 256/10 257/16

258/16 259/1 270/12 271/11 272/3|
272/15 272/18 274/16 276/12
bear [1] 125/17
became [2] 239/2 269/9
because [48] 16/20 29/19 31/16 36/14 42/5 42/17 59/3 64/1 70/2 75/1 84/13 90/2 99/3 112/16 134/4 134/7 147/7 $154 / 13156 / 1$ 173/7 175/16 180/7 182/12 197/25 204/18 206/24 207/19 207/22 211/5 211/22 212/10 216/7 216/15 217/23 222/14 222/23 231/3
231/21 233/6 247/11 248/9 253/18 258/16 258/20 271/10 271/12 274/17 276/8
become [5] 21/6 37/21 211/8 271/13 271/21
becomes [1] 182/14
becoming [1] 173/9
been [105] $4 / 56 / 11$ 7/3 8/11 $8 / 14$ 8/18 14/17 19/12 19/24 20/8 20/10 22/10 23/2 23/2 27/24 28/9 29/11 29/13 29/21 34/25 44/4 44/9 45/24 48/1 48/13 48/18 61/12 62/7 63/23 65/6 75/7 76/15 84/12 84/21 84/24 88/1 88/22 91/19 96/10 97/12 97/14 99/5 111/5 113/8 113/12 116/13 116/25 118/9 124/14 126/16 127/5 130/2 132/5 133/15 133/17 139/25 146/11 146/18 149/10 150/3 153/19 165/1 175/22 176/7 176/14 176/19 177/18 179/23 182/18 185/16 190/20 198/14 207/11 212/25 218/18 221/11 221/12 223/5 224/8 224/12 228/16 228/20 230/3 232/1 232/11 235/12 236/1 236/21 237/19 239/15 242/12 245/6 245/15 247/3 247/4 250/24 251/6 255/12 260/9 267/10 267/11 269/13 269/20 275/17 277/8 before [73] 1/10 4/15 5/22 5/25 6/5 20/21 24/20 27/3 27/4 28/22 28/24 29/10 29/12 29/13 29/16 30/9 30/11 33/1 33/16 49/24 62/3 62/22 68/14 68/21 70/24 75/22 77/2 88/17 90/6 91/4 116/15 117/19 124/14 142/15 144/24 146/20 150/17 151/20 151/20 174/13 175/3 181/25 185/19 187/23 198/9 198/10 202/5 204/22 205/2 208/6 208/13 208/13 211/2 212/6 212/8 217/21 218/19 218/24 218/25 222/4 224/6 240/2 242/7 248/4 250/24 253/18 253/21 257/9 259/23 266/4 266/17 270/2 276/5
began [3] 19/7 63/20 70/23
begin [5] 4/19 5/22 17/15 174/25 175/3
beginning[5] 4/15 6/17 17/11 30/23 55/25
behalf [6] $1 / 141 / 161 / 181 / 20$ 4/12 255/6
behind [5] 12/24 31/17 31/20 31/20 225/6
behold [1] 128/12
being [19] $13 / 3$ 35/15 36/6 41/11 42/1 69/21 76/2 86/19 89/4 97/5 129/4 129/20 132/19 166/10 166/22 175/13 179/17 195/24 258/25
belief[2] 19/21 273/2
believe [104] 4/16 8/9 9/18 12/11

12/24 19/16 20/19 26/12
30/1 30/17 35/8 38/5 39/3 39/13 44/1 44/10 47/8 47/22 52/20 53/14 53/20 55/1 59/13 60/11 62/4 67/13 69/1 83/11 85/7 89/3 93/1 95/5 106/25 115/17116/11 118/12 118/25 119/9 120/18 124/3 124/3 124/14 132/9 134/15 135/22 136/14 136/24 142/14 151/5 151/12 152/9 155/11156/18 158/12 165/22 174/25 184/25 189/10 191/12 191/18 191/19 193/17 194/1 194/17 198/11 198/12 198/16 201/23 202/20 205/21 206/5 206/6 206/7 208/15 208/19 210/22 211/18 212/23 214/12 214/14 215/19 220/3 221/21 223/13 228/20 229/7 229/8 231/5 231/7 234/11 236/12 241/25 246/25 247/16 249/24 252/8 257/15 260/24 263/21 266/15 266/18 272/7 276/15
believed [5] 211/20 218/15 219/22
257/7 272/3
bell [1] 71/8
berm [1] 225/8
Besides [2] 242/9 251/24
$\begin{array}{lll} \\ \text { best }[17] & 13 / 15 & 25 / 11 \\ 39 / 18 & 50 / 6\end{array}$ 52/3 55/16 56/11 58/9 60/14 61/4 61/8 66/7 106/17 189/10 196/7 270/2 277/13
Betty [7] 239/10 239/19 242/20
242/21 243/13 269/21 271/19
between [13] $11 / 18$ 13/17 61/19
79/12 79/21 81/12 101/3 129/10 198/12 225/8 232/3 232/8 243/15 beyond [4] 168/18 178/14 179/1 220/11
bias [1] 82/15
big [1] 198/1
bit [15] $21 / 1732 / 285 / 16$ 96/17
100/9 107/8 142/24 171/21 175/23
190/3 219/10 254/16 254/17
260/20 273/21
bites [1] 46/20
bits [1] 34/20
blank [1] 187/10
block [1] 168/13
Bloedorn [3] 244/6 270/23 271/7
blood [1] 261/3
blue [4] 212/23 213/14 262/17
264/2
bluish [1] 264/4
book [2] 30/7 98/7
booking [1] 171/25
boots [1] 193/24
boring [1] $87 / 17$
both [19] $11 / 10 \quad 12 / 23 \quad 12 / 2444 / 4$ 53/14 69/5 83/3 98/4 114/13
115/5 161/10 173/14 173/22 181/8 201/20 228/15 230/2 230/22 244/5
bottom [4] 114/7 114/8 149/17 149/22
boundaries [1] 246/12
bowl [1] 260/16
boyfriend [1] 244/12
BRANCH [2] 1/1 277/5
branches [3] 274/12 274/14 274/19
break [9] 95/23 144/16 144/20
151/20 228/3 228/6 228/12 238/16 275/12
breaking [1] 37/12
brief [9] 59/20 113/10 170/1
brief... [6] 173/16 175/24 175/25
176/2 176/18275/13
briefing [1] 95/13
briefly [2] 4/15 159/11
bring [10] 11/2 33/24 34/9 97/21
98/19 111/23 112/2 112/7 206/12 213/17
bringing [2] 47/20 169/1 broadcast [12] 15/25 18/7 18/8 42/9 46/17 46/25 57/8 57/14 58/6 85/9 93/15 94/1
broadcasting [1] 15/19
broadcasts [2] 16/4 46/9
brought [3] 14/8 34/19 97/22
Brown [1] 113/11
brushy [4] 225/4 225/6 225/8 225/10
Brynes [1] 96/7
building [4] 253/6 272/10 272/13
272/18
buildings [5] 180/3 220/7 220/7
252/22 253/14
bullet [1] 29/24
bumper [2] 260/21 260/21
burden [1] 182/5
Bureau [1] 89/13
burn [1] 179/11
busier [1] 158/11
business[13] 121/10 121/20
137/20 148/7 148/16 179/14
180/20 180/21 220/7 230/5 252/22
272/4 272/16
busy [1] 134/6
but [160] 10/12 17/20 18/9 20/14
21/14 22/9 22/25 24/25 26/13 29/16 29/22 30/22 31/18 32/6 $34 / 8$ 34/21 35/22 36/5 37/9 39/12 41/9 41/17 42/16 43/3 43/22 44/4 52/9 52/16 57/9 59/13 63/22 71/14 72/6 73/8 75/7 77/8 78/8 80/19 81/3 81/22 83/7 83/14 84/23 85/20 86/9 87/1 87/15 87/16 87/22 87/24 89/7 93/18 97/2 98/3 98/9 105/15 105/25 106/15 106/20 110/20 112/5 116/6 116/15 119/5 121/3 125/16 126/4 126/16 127/10 130/8 131/14 132/13 133/8 133/20 136/20 140/2 140/4 140/23 143/3 145/17 146/16 146/20 147/15 147/18 150/20 151/20 158/25 159/2 162/8 166/24 167/3 169/11 169/15 170/18 171/12 171/17 171/19 175/5 175/22 176/12 177/10 179/24 180/17 181/6 181/14 183/1 183/9 183/16 183/24 184/20 185/1 190/11 191/23 194/8 195/6 198/9 200/21 201/15 202/11 209/3 210/3 212/14 213/2 213/5 213/23 214/9 214/13 216/24 217/21 218/13 219/9 221/18 223/4 223/7 224/5 224/13 224/20 229/14 231/19 231/21 233/6 234/5 235/12 235/22 236/1 241/16 245/9 246/13 251/15 251/18 252/19 253/13 257/15 262/6 263/13 264/8 264/16 264/19 265/17 276/8
BUTING [19] 1/19 2/17 2/19 4/11 4/12 175/1 177/2 184/13 184/15
190/6 203/8 $213 / 21$ 229/7 233/23 236/4 241/2 248/17 269/4 275/25
buzz [1] 32/22
buzzed [1] 30/3
BYRNES[42] $2 / 115 / 2149 / 23$
50/19 50/20 51/7 51/24 51/24 $52 / 1354 / 354 / 954 / 1259 / 21$
59/25 61/2 61/18 62/3 68/12 77/2 $77 / 14$ 78/24 79/13 79/22 80/2 80/22 81/12 88/17 91/12 92/11 94/3 96/9 96/14 96/17 99/2 115/14 118/1 147/23 147/24 148/3 150/8 165/10 167/5

## C

cabinet [1] 89/4
calendar [1] 125/16
calendars [1] 145/24
call [76] 4/24 5/1 8/23 23/19 27/5
37/9 38/3 38/5 39/24 40/1 40/6 40/25 41/13 43/3 43/17 44/14 45/8 45/11 47/18 77/9 84/15
95/20 96/6 123/1 124/20 124/22
124/23 125/8 125/13 125/18
126/17 128/12 131/5 139/12
139/15 140/24 152/2 158/8 170/8
184/24 188/10 190/16 191/15
191/20 191/21 195/2 195/6 197/1
197/8 204/21 205/2 207/6 209/2
209/22 210/9 210/16 210/17
210/17211/1 211/6211/17214/3
216/16 223/23 223/24 226/4
229/17 230/14 242/2 249/23 250/1
265/17 270/1 275/15 275/17 276/1
called [43] 6/10 8/21 8/24 24/1
28/4 39/23 43/8 47/25 49/9 73/25
76/20 96/9 102/19 107/6 107/15
123/8 139/11 139/16 177/17 187/7
191/5 191/10 191/12 191/19
191/20 196/14 197/9 210/23
210/24 211/13 217/9 217/25 218/6
218/14 232/2 232/2 237/18 239/10
249/9 249/19 262/6 262/20 269/2 1
calling [6] 79/18 127/19 208/1
230/16 233/21 270/11
calls [16] 4/1 37/3 128/14 134/25
168/24 169/13 169/15 170/2 170/6
189/7 189/16 189/20 193/20
193/22 199/6 250/16
Calumet [72] $4 / 7$ 5/15 8/21 10/22
12/8 $12 / 15$ 13/5 20/23 21/7 23/12
24/2 26/25 27/2 28/9 28/12 33/15
35/10 39/22 41/13 49/3 49/10
57/20 60/4 60/24 65/9 65/13
67/21 68/14 72/16 72/18 73/23
75/4 81/9 89/18 90/9 94/10 94/14
96/19 96/23 97/6 97/13 100/2
101/6 101/13 102/23 102/25
114/14 118/3 121/9 121/20 122/15
122/18 126/23 127/5 127/8 135/3
145/4 148/7 148/16 152/12 157/15 166/16 171/4 185/14 190/25
209/24 $217 / 11$ 222/20 226/3 238/6 242/3 270/13
came [31] 7/16 9/4 10/16 24/21 25/4 27/6 33/9 49/8 51/24 52/11 54/22 54/23 55/2 55/11 60/17 61/2 63/1 63/2 92/8 119/5 143/12 162/1 188/10 209/16 210/21 245/18 254/21 258/14 259/23 260/7 274/9
camera[23] 8/1 8/5 11/19 13/22
13/23 17/7 18/10 18/22 35/17
56/21 56/25 57/1 57/3 64/9 84/5
84/23 86/18 $112 / 2112 / 7112 / 9$

123/22 126/24 152/18
cameraman [15] 11/15 13/3 27/6
33/13 34/23 55/13 57/1 58/22 59/12 88/21 89/15 90/6 90/7 93/20 134/8
cameraman's [1] 90/19
cameras [1] 112/5
camouflaged [2] 264/8 274/11
can [74] 6/8 6/19 12/3 14/12 16/20
16/22 24/6 25/24 26/12 37/16 $43 / 1046 / 147 / 1050 / 6$ 52/3 52/3 55/16 56/11 57/6 70/18 76/23 101/7 104/7 106/12 108/1 108/4 108/17 108/19 110/11 110/14 110/14112/17113/17123/9 123/16 125/8 126/24 132/2 144/17 146/6 156/3 158/10 159/2 164/20
165/14 165/25 168/16 169/8
169/15 174/12 176/2 176/22
180/13 180/14 181/25 182/5
183/14 185/1 195/18 203/9 226/23 227/6 229/8 229/13 233/15 233/17 234/17 235/18 239/7 241/3 259/18 261/23 267/14 275/9
can't [9] 38/24 89/6 112/2 175/15 197/9 219/9 222/9 252/19 265/20
cannot [1] 150/13
canvass [1] 185/3
captain [3] 75/16 100/5 100/5
car [21] 10/21 26/11 52/2 79/25 81/17 88/19 90/8 112/12 215/5 220/23 251/10 252/8 252/9 254/10 254/20 255/1 258/12 264/9 266/3 266/20 274/13
card [1] 16/21
care [2] 105/2 185/4
careful [1] 99/2
carefully [3] 136/7 234/10 277/8
carrying [2] 93/18 112/15
cars [10] 220/12 245/9 251/1 $251 / 3251 / 6$ 253/11 253/22 257/18 260/13 260/17
case [44] $1 / 54 / 27 / 138 / 1119 / 23$ 21/17 28/6 43/8 43/11 61/13 70/25 73/4 88/22 95/4 95/8 95/12 108/22 $114 / 10114 / 22114 / 22$ 146/23 152/21 152/23 152/25 161/17 164/7 173/8 173/14 175/15 176/4 188/4 188/17 188/20 198/12 198/18 223/3 231/3 232/1 232/10 232/12 235/24 248/4 250/4 272/2
cases [4] 107/7 133/2 195/11
242/7
cast [1] 86/11
casts [1] 232/6
casual [1] 55/5
category [2] 37/8 155/17
caught [1] 269/16
cause [10] 87/12 87/18 178/6
182/9 182/10 182/16 186/12
208/20 208/22 241/17
caused [3] 88/19 89/1 $119 / 10$
causes [1] 132/20
CCDHS [1] 166/21
CD [4] 94/8 94/16 145/8 267/20
cell[11] 105/17 159/21 169/19
189/17189/21 $210 / 23218 / 4218 / 5$ 219/15 250/20 266/14
Center [2] 211/14 211/15
centralize [1] 210/8
certain [11] 65/17 65/20 69/22
74/18 74/18 74/20 95/15 109/7
156/9 177/13 245/21
certainly [6] 27/1 36/4 129/6
145/11 216/23 268/8
certify [1] 277/6
CF [2] 1/5 4/3
chairs [2] 11/5 113/3
challenge [9] 178/17 179/11
180/13 182/23 182/24 183/6 184/6
184/12 203/3
challenging [4] 147/14 177/25
178/15 179/7
chambers [1] 4/15
chance [6] 133/10 133/12 171/17
173/11 176/13 267/5
chances [1] 58/17
change [10] 80/13 92/15 99/14
105/9 105/14 105/17 148/25
152/11 161/25 162/12
changed [4] 38/14 44/20 110/14 162/18
changes [3] 99/12 104/21 106/11
changing [1] 105/11
Channel [17] 18/17 48/10 48/11
48/13 48/19 52/6 57/16 87/19
$87 / 2087 / 2087 / 20118 / 10118 / 14$
127/18 142/15 142/19 160/22
chaplains [1] 103/11
characteristics [1] 170/14
characterize [2] 17/6 66/10
characters [4] 215/20 215/22
221/13 236/16
charge [5] 77/16 100/19 119/13
222/3 223/17
charged [2] 8/15 17/20
charges [8] 27/13 27/24 28/6
32/17 40/13 78/1 83/25 152/25
charging [1] 95/4
chatting [1] 19/22
check[4] 111/10 111/13 111/16 177/8
checkbook [1] 126/6
checked [1] 99/13
Cheryl [2] 139/10 141/12
Chilton[5] 76/19 88/20 89/19 90/8 91/8
choice [2] 46/22 240/21
choose [1] 147/12
choosing [1] 271/10
chopped [1] 42/12
chose [1] 257/17
Christmas [3] 66/15 66/17 93/6
Chute [2] 89/14 89/18
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/11 277/5
circumstance [1] 161/12
circumstances [3] 57/12 138/21
159/18
cited [1] 173/15
Cities [1] 89/13
citizen [2] 173/9 199/6
citizens [9] 194/25 195/16 195/19
195/20 196/1 196/22 203/22 204/3

## 205/3

City [1] 89/12
civil [1] 222/24
civilian [2] 228/21 229/10
civilians [1] 232/18
claim [2] 36/7 177/12
clarify [1] 193/1
class [1] 155/2
classes [2] 154/23 186/1
clear [17] 8/4 18/6 34/21 42/23
57/1 1 145/21 146/16 177/23
$179 / 23180 / 19$ 223/11 227/6
235/12 259/11 269/8 271/2 273/22
clearing [1] 220/22
clearly [3] 10/2 140/2 168/20
clergy [12] 104/6 104/14 107/5
107/13 108/7 108/11 108/25
110/22 120/5 132/19 155/3 155/19
clerical [3] 102/4 102/8 187/19
clerk [2] 6/8 14/12
client [2] 161/19 162/5
client's [1] 178/1
clients [1] 133/20
clipping [1] 86/6
close [5] 121/14 130/3 132/24
230/4 262/14
closed [1] 9/22
closer [1] 100/9
clumsiness [1] 85/22
cluster [1] 220/6
co [4] 8/12 19/23 21/14 22/7
co-workers [4] 8/12 19/23 21/14
22/7
cocktails [3] 22/4 22/6 22/24
code [1] 122/8
coherent [1] 65/3
Colborn [10] 191/6 191/12 191/17 191/24 192/5 198/14 198/16 198/20 229/3 230/20
collect [8] 40/1 40/6 45/9 45/12
169/15 170/2 170/6 170/8
collecting [1] 59/4
color [6] 193/18 213/12 252/12
252/14 252/15 262/15
come [45] 16/19 23/1 24/6 25/17
25/24 26/11 32/23 35/9 44/16
51/17 57/20 59/7 62/25 72/7
74/14 80/5 80/15 80/19 93/18
95/23 100/23 $150 / 10 \quad 154 / 5 \quad 154 / 18$
156/8 187/13 189/9 199/20 201/3
204/6 205/23 207/8 209/14 220/8
231/20 232/18 249/13 262/6
271/17 271/18 271/20 273/16
275/12 276/8 276/15
comes [6] 41/7 41/23 101/23 127/3
131/8 134/9
comfortably [1] 164/10
coming [9] $10 / 1017 / 1325 / 19$
79/17 80/18 81/3 105/10 245/7
255/24
command [1] 100/1
commander [3] 191/11 197/20 198/6
commanders [1] 198/25
commencing [1] 54/7
comment [4] 56/17 64/4 166/13 166/25
comments [8] 9/7 9/10 46/10
121/17 147/7 173/19 176/5 276/12
commitment [1] 111/15
committed [2] 32/16 196/4
communicate [1] 258/17
communication [5] 88/16 148/5
148/8 149/25 $218 / 2$
company [1] 81/8
compare [4] 211/24 214/18 214/23
264/6
competition [1] 69/22
compile [1] 110/6
complaint [10] 35/1 77/25 95/3
95/16 165/17 188/5 $188 / 10$ 193/6 205/9 207/20
complaints [1] 165/12
complement [2] 101/6 102/11
complete [6] 34/11 45/17 65/24
228/13 231/4 233/19
completed [1] 68/10
completely [1] 29/8
completeness [3] 147/4 147/16 166/2
completion [1] 68/21
complicated [1] 174/14
compound [1] 218/18
comprehensive [1] 179/6
computer [3] 140/21 189/12
277/10
computer-assisted [1] 277/10
computerized [1] 277/9
concede [3] 181/13 183/5 183/9
concern [22] $130 / 11132 / 18$ 132/22
132/23 132/25 133/11 133/16
133/22 175/17 180/18 188/5
195/24 195/25 196/5 213/10
216/23 222/15 222/23 223/4 223/5
223/7 262/15
concerned [14] 35/16 83/24 84/2
161/18 171/16 195/9 197/7 205/7
205/16 207/20 216/17 216/19
216/25 259/3
concerning [4] $134 / 18$ 139/7 153/1
232/6
concerns [5] 165/12 167/14 167/22
208/16 248/12
conclude [2] 57/13 275/22
concluded [4] 59/1 67/6 229/16 276/25
concluding [1] 69/4
conclusion [5] 16/16 58/15 58/23
232/22 273/7
concrete [1] 131/13
condition [1] 274/9
conduct [6] 9/23 12/10 13/19
13/21 65/25 119/17
conducted [8] 32/10 60/6 68/18
145/14 161/11 163/4 163/7 239/14
conducting [3] 4/22 66/5 143/15
conference [5] 8/15 23/4 123/16
129/19 210/11
conferences [7] 20/8 20/25 27/10
72/23 72/25 73/5 75/7
conferring [1] 225/19
Confident [2] 137/19 137/19
confirm [1] 236/15
confirmed [1] 245/13
conflict [1] 233/15
confused [1] 64/24
confuses [1] 116/10
confusion [1] 181/19
connection [5] 19/15 27/23 71/16
190/4 255/15
connotes [1] 129/3
consent [2] 222/7 241/24
consequence [1] 202/16
consider [2] 155/22 156/6
consideration [1] 87/24
consisted [1] 204/5
consistent [1] 138/12
constantly [1] 208/1
constrained [1] 66/3
CONT [1] 148/1
contact [27] 42/24 43/12 58/17
72/21 90/14 107/2 107/6 107/15 107/17 107/24 109/10 109/13 109/22 $118 / 19119 / 2130 / 15$ 136/4 160/8 167/23 197/20 202/1 242/3
242/14 250/4 255/20 269/19
276/15
c
Contacted [8] 60/18 188/19 190/24
191/2 193/2 207/13 208/6 218/11
contacting [2] 208/13 217/5
contacts [1] 155/14
contain [1] 15/21
containing [1] 34/20
contemplate [1] 174/21
contemplating [1] 174/16
contemplation [1] 174/19
content [8] 15/22 54/10 57/7 60/5
63/21 65/14 68/7 147/1
contents [3] 52/4 $115 / 18$ 136/9
contested [1] 170/4
context [1] 235/17
contingency [1] 81/3
continuation [1] 233/9
continue [5] 105/14 105/21 166/6
167/2 214/6
continued [2] 17/8 17/10
continues [1] 231/23
continuously [2] 97/15 113/12
contrary [1] 136/2
control [3] 103/5 197/18 197/25
controls [2] 102/25 173/8
conversation [26] 10/1911/18
21/11 21/18 21/21 22/20 23/5
50/1 54/2 63/22 66/15 78/23
79/13 79/22 80/25 120/20 130/13
132/11 204/4 206/15 213/17
216/25 239/17 239/23 267/21 272/14
conversational [2] $132 / 3$ 132/8 conversations [7] $26 / 2335 / 18$ 68/12 117/18 162/15 205/15 205/18
convicted [1] 71/2
convicting [2] 52/8 82/3
coordinate [5] 199/11 199/16
205/24 244/24 249/23
coordinating [1] 270/23
coordinator [2] 249/16 249/17
copied [4] 5/25 114/13 115/17 136/9
copies[5] 6/4 6/5 60/8 68/17 121/4
copy [19] 14/21 36/9 36/11 81/17
97/22 98/19 98/20 113/21 116/9
116/17 117/10 123/24 127/23
128/6 144/17 151/16 174/13 234/5 267/15
cordial [2] 55/8 58/13
corner [1] 220/19
Corporate [1] 146/12
correct [240] 6/1 $15 / 6$ 16/1 $19 / 8$ 20/7 21/24 28/2 29/15 32/12 33/9 42/6 46/1 1 46/12 70/3 70/4 72/3 74/5 74/25 75/9 76/6 77/1 77/17 $78 / 18$ 81/4 82/4 82/8 82/21 83/21 $84 / 184 / 1885 / 285 / 485 / 1785 / 24$ 86/2 86/8 86/20 86/2 1 86/25 87/2 87/5 87/20 89/25 90/10 90/15 90/20 91/20 92/1 93/12 93/17 93/23 95/19 96/24 97/4 97/11 97/20 99/9 100/21 101/15 101/18 102/17 103/7 104/1 104/4 104/8 104/22 104/25 105/18 105/23 106/24 107/16 107/19 107/22 108/5 109/2 111/22 112/13 112/16 113/7 114/4 114/16 114/24 116/22 116/24 121/18 122/11 122/12 122/17 123/2 123/15 123/25 125/2

125/20 128/19 131/7 131/10
132/17 133/14 134/10 134/12 135/20 137/2 138/14 138/15 138/19 139/6 139/21 139/24 140/3 141/1 141/12 141/15 144/10 147/6 148/11 148/14 149/12 149/16 149/19 150/22 151/23 151/25 152/3 152/16 152/24 153/3 154/15 154/16 154/20 154/25 155/10 155/14 155/15 157/1 157/4 157/12 157/19 158/5 158/6 158/13 159/13 159/14 159/21 159/23 160/13
160/15 161/4 161/8 161/9 161/14 161/20 162/5 162/6 163/4 163/7 163/11 163/15 163/16 163/19 163/21 164/2 164/18 165/2 167/15 167/16 168/12 169/10 169/12
169/19 170/9 170/23 171/1 178/3 179/20 188/6 188/13 189/19 191/1 191/25 192/4 193/3 193/4 194/4 194/12 194/23 196/2 196/20 199/4 200/8 201/2 202/5 202/6 210/2 212/1 213/6 213/14215/13 215/23 215/24 215/25 220/13 223/18 228/18 230/7 231/17 232/19 233/7 234/6 234/24 239/6 240/13 240/23 241/17 241/18 241/21 243/6 243/14 244/3 245/4 247/3 248/11 248/22 251/20 253/1 256/25 264/1 8 267/7 269/15 270/25 271/5 271/15 272/8 272/9 273/16 274/4 274/5 274/6 274/7 276/3 277/12 correction [2] 114/1 130/16
correctional [5] 158/18 165/21
166/9 166/20 167/7
corrections [26] 100/7 100/25
101/8 102/14 103/25 114/12
115/23 116/19 116/20 117/5 123/3 123/4 126/6 127/14 128/23 129/18
130/21 134/13 135/15 139/10
139/22 140/4 141/12 151/2 156/19 158/15
correctly [6] 17/18 19/6 22/21 74/9
90/5 164/8
costs [6] 125/19 125/22 125/24 125/25 126/2 126/7
could [74] 5/3 15/13 26/2 31/14 $31 / 1631 / 1832 / 132 / 833 / 734 / 22$ 36/4 44/16 50/22 50/23 51/1 51/5
$51 / 653 / 653 / 1357 / 1361 / 865 / 18$ 74/14 78/10 78/12 80/15 83/14 87/22 92/18 $119 / 23$ 120/13 132/6 132/14 132/16 142/20 156/17 170/2 175/3 175/14 183/3 190/20 199/11 199/15 200/4 201/9 204/3 206/18 207/19 210/9 211/23 214/17214/23 $215 / 4217 / 2$ 224/8 224/11 225/11 225/12 226/25 229/12 230/5 236/11 251/5 251/23 253/9 254/9 256/5 258/10 264/6 264/22 265/14 268/8 274/6 274/19 couldn't [15] 12/25 16/22 29/13 38/6 44/22 75/21 127/2 132/11 140/17 140/19 164/14 204/18 212/15 213/8 265/15
counsel [37] 5/23 6/7 37/20 43/1 47/9 146/12 147/4 151/7 153/13 154/14 157/24 158/5 161/17 162/16 175/22 177/24 179/20 180/22 181/1 182/20 184/16 203/6 213/18 227/5 228/2 228/11 228/18 231/6 232/3 232/12 232/13 233/14 233/21 267/13 267/25 273/19
$275 / 14$
counsel's [4] 16/8 46/7 151/9 233/14
counselors [1] 103/14
counter [2] 169/24 177/3
counter-argument [1] 177/3
Counties [1] 135/4
county [83] 1/1 4/8 5/15 8/21
10/22 12/8 12/16 13/5 20/23 21/7
23/12 24/2 27/1 27/2 28/10 28/13
29/4 33/15 35/10 39/22 41/14 49/3 49/10 57/20 60/4 60/24 65/9 65/13 67/22 68/14 72/16 72/18 73/23 75/4 81/9 89/18 90/9 93/9 94/11 94/15 96/19 96/23 97/6 97/13 100/2 101/6 101/13 102/23 102/25 113/12 114/14 118/3 121/9 121/21 122/16 122/18 126/23 127/5 127/8 145/5 148/7 148/16 152/12 157/15 166/16 171/5 185/14 190/25 191/2 194/7 197/15 197/21 222/19 222/20 222/25 223/2 226/3 238/6 242/4 242/14 270/13 270/13 277/2
County's [1] 223/24
couple [24] 6/3 6/19 7/23 8/11 9/4 19/24 22/4 22/6 22/10 23/3 36/23 71/3 83/17 115/18 124/4 124/16 132/1 134/7 144/17 224/2 224/4 224/5 229/9 245/9
course [21] $9 / 23$ 12/14 13/13 41/6 57/5 57/23 58/4 58/7 59/19 64/15 64/20 65/8 65/21 67/1 73/4 93/3 100/4 121/10 121/20 148/15 167/17
court [31] 1/1 $1 / 11$ 1/25 4/1 95/2 95/2 95/7 95/11 95/12 95/14 95/17 100/1 145/10 145/23 157/15 157/21 158/11 176/6 176/19 176/22 176/25 181/17 184/4 203/4 229/13 232/14 267/13 269/1 277/4 277/5 277/19
Court's [1] 276/12
courtroom [4] 5/18 228/16 230/25 231/19
courts [3] 156/9 156/10 176/4 cousin [3] 238/25 239/1 269/20 cousins [2] 243/25 257/13 cover [1] 251/22
covered [5] 13/9 54/14 71/20
106/23 274/15
covering [3] $23 / 3$ 131/14 196/17 created [4] $114 / 25115 / 1115 / 3$ 148/13
crew [1] 126/25
crime [5] 154/11 195/24 196/4
210/14 224/22
criminal [8] 35/1 77/25 93/8 94/5 95/3 95/16 111/13 157/15
critical [1] 105/9
cross [22] $2 / 52 / 102 / 132 / 2016 / 9$
$19 / 437 / 12$ 37/17 37/20 46/7 70/8
70/14 153/8 168/9 168/19 169/2
203/7 229/23 230/1 237/11 237/12 268/18
cross-examination [14] 2/5 2/10 2/13 2/20 19/4 37/20 46/7 70/8
70/14 153/8 168/9 169/2 229/23 268/18
crusher [1] 220/23
cuffs [1] 63/4
curious [1] 42/17
current [2] 48/15 113/6

C
Currently [1] 7/6
curtilage [1] 183/3
custody [7] 44/9 105/3 113/13
158/4 223/21 224/15 224/16
customary [1] 163/24
cut [2] 84/15 179/9
cut-away [1] 84/15
cycle [2] 41/23 75/1
D
D.A.'s [3] 226/3 230/13 230/17
daily [3] 135/12 135/13 135/16
danger [2] 259/9 259/11
dangerous [1] 259/1
dark [2] 127/24 163/18
dark-haired [1] 163/18
date [13] 1/9 30/8 49/4 63/3 73/7
116/13 116/13 121/5 142/25
149/24 150/2 189/21 209/18
dated [3] 115/14 $115 / 15$ 277/15 dates [3] 95/15 158/11 194/15
daughter [19] 215/12 215/15
229/25 242/23 243/2 243/4 248/21
256/24 261/15 262/3 262/23
262/24 263/2 265/2 266/13 266/14
273/25 274/25 275/17
daughter's [1] 273/20
Dave [1] 79/5
day [43] 8/14 23/4 23/7 45/1 51/5
51/21 68/15 72/16 74/22 74/23
75/2 78/3 78/9 78/22 79/23 80/18
90/22 101/17 125/18 134/7 139/3
141/11 150/4 157/15 157/19 158/7
160/18 192/9 194/2 194/6 202/5
230/3 231/8 236/2 240/1 249/21
251/23 269/17 269/24 270/1 270/5 271/19 277/15
days [9] 49/15 74/18 84/23 98/16 124/4 124/16 130/1 146/2 200/20
daytime [2] 100/14 100/15
de [1] 173/9
dead [1] 256/8
deadlines [1] 90/1
deal [2] 171/23 184/21
dealing [4] 105/5 175/8 $179 / 5$
241/5
dealt [1] 179/5
DEAN [2] 1/17 4/13
Dear [1] 257/21
death [2] 40/14 153/1
debriefings [1] 167/10
December [28] 35/8 45/5 48/21
60/1 6 61/10 63/3 66/8 69/6 69/17 88/17 88/20 92/5 92/9 95/9 97/18 98/13 99/6 118/4 118/16 142/16 144/11 151/6 152/15 161/13 163/23 167/21 169/22 171/2
December 14 [5] 88/20 92/5 92/9 142/16 144/11
December 14th [7] 60/16 61/10 63/3 66/8 69/17 88/17 161/13
December 15th [1] 167/21
December 6th [1] 95/9
decide [2] 87/19 104/17
decided [7] 88/23 167/2 225/20
242/23 248/8 248/20 257/23
decision [10] 88/8 88/10 88/14
119/24 130/24 130/25 222/21
224/1 225/14 271/3
decisions [2] 34/7 87/7
declined [2] 64/12 163/9

Dedering [6] $199 / 19$ 200/7 203/20 211/11217/15 238/8
deep [2] 205/11 205/13
defendant [13] 1/7 1/18 1/20 1/21
5/9 7/12 13/16 37/10 43/16
154/10 174/1 178/11 179/9
defendant's [2] 180/5 231/2
Defender [1] 135/2
Defender's [1] 158/2
defense [15] 4/17 43/5 94/18 95/20 96/4 147/13 172/17 174/25 175/7 176/25 177/13 179/7 184/5 233/21 267/15
defer [3] 95/20 230/1 237/12
degree [4] 95/4 185/25 238/24 260/23
deliver [9] 51/6 51/8 51/10 52/22
78/10 78/12 91/18 140/5 140/6
delivered [3] 52/18 52/21 $136 / 16$
Delores [1] 179/12
demeanor [3] 12/3 55/3 66/10
demonstrate [1] 181/16
demonstration [1] 178/11
denied [2] 136/16 147/2
Denise [3] 123/3 124/18 127/22
department [83] 4/10 12/9 12/16 12/20 $13 / 5$ 13/21 $14 / 3$ 20/24 $21 / 8$ 23/12 27/1 28/13 28/14 28/19 $33 / 1651 / 2052 / 1253 / 2454 / 13$
$55 / 1256 / 5$ 57/20 59/1 60/4 63/13 65/10 65/13 67/3 67/12 67/22 68/7 68/15 68/16 72/16 72/19 73/12 73/23 81/10 83/9 97/7 97/9 100/4 102/5 102/6 122/19 127/6
127/9 138/5 156/19 166/17 185/15 188/11 191/3 191/10 194/8 195/4 199/15 199/22 200/17 201/8 203/1 8 203/25 205/23 206/10 206/13 206/22 207/17 207/22 208/9 209/17 209/24 210/14 210/24 211/12 217/12 222/11 222/15 222/18 223/2 223/20 238/6 242/4 242/15
depend [2] 158/16 158/20
depending [1] 235/21
depends [5] 87/14 106/5 133/8 187/6 187/9
depressed [4] 166/13 171/6 220/16 253/10
deputies [3] 31/23 59/6 92/24
deputy [14] 16/19 16/21 31/10
$31 / 2131 / 2232 / 532 / 2332 / 24$
33/1 59/16 66/25 81/14 133/21
238/8
derivative [1] 181/6
describe [11] $7 / 1511 / 312 / 3$ 49/7 53/6 54/20 55/3 55/8 55/10 274/8 274/9
described [14] 12/19 21/22 30/18
45/6 83/8 94/16 102/11 102/25
104/4 104/11 106/10 115/24 137/1 219/10
describing [2] 74/24 122/7
description [13] 36/18 75/15
193/13 212/19 212/24 213/6
214/10 235/8 235/14 252/2 262/10
262/12 262/14
design [1] 86/9
desk [1] 10/25
desks [1] 31/1
detail [1] 48/15
detailed [1] 190/12
detained [1] 154/19

Detective [25] 21/4 185/7 192/9
198/23 203/23 204/1 204/4 204/14
205/22 206/1 206/7 206/24 217/9
217/20 218/22 219/8 219/12
219/15 220/24 221/6 222/6 226/10
228/22 236/21 236/24
detectives [2] 199/23 208/11
detention [1] 167/11
determination [1] 183/19
determine [3] 9/20 99/12 182/1
determined [3] 190/19 224/6
245/20
developed [1] 190/8
developments [4] 61/13 61/15 88/22 89/1
device [1] 110/1
diagrams [1] 238/14
dial[3] 217/8 262/25 263/10
dialed [1] 263/8
Diane [3] 1/24 277/4 277/19
dictate [1] 187/4
dictated [1] 187/7
did [415]
didn't [62] 26/4 27/22 36/14 36/15
45/4 58/1 58/5 76/22 76/24 81/17
85/20 116/15 117/9 133/10 133/12
136/12 160/2 160/6 167/14 171/11
191/20 191/21 202/15 207/1 207/5
208/19 212/15 214/10 214/15
216/11 216/12 218/12 221/15
221/25 223/7 224/14 234/4 238/9
238/14 246/14 246/25 250/11
251/14 253/13 253/25 254/3
255/15 255/19 258/9 258/15
258/22 259/12 261/5 261/14
262/25 263/13 263/23 263/24
264/19 265/2 265/8 265/11
differed [1] 49/16
difference [2] 213/11 216/10
different [11] 5/10 29/3 29/4
38/11 62/5 102/12 104/2 104/3
108/14 154/24 171/21
differently [3] 75/24 172/4 172/7
difficult [3] 143/1 274/16 274/18
difficulty [2] 176/15 221/19
digital [1] 84/23
digits [1] $221 / 19$
dinner [4] 66/20 270/2 270/3
270/4
direct [25] $2 / 4$ 2/6 2/9 2/12 2/17
2/19 6/22 19/20 24/13 36/21
37/13 45/22 48/7 67/25 82/1
$96 / 15$ 148/1 $154 / 21185 / 11$ 203/7
223/20 229/8 233/23 237/25
250/22
directed [3] 134/25 151/24 153/25
directing [6] 7/10 48/21 153/25
165/4 224/15 270/9
direction [5] 88/6 89/21 124/1
152/6 152/11
directions [3] 138/12 138/13 218/23
directive [2] 151/18 152/15
directly [6] 43/11 73/21 96/25
173/8 191/10 214/2
director [2] 34/5 34/6
disagree $[3]$ 132/5 $133 / 5 \quad 173 / 14$
disappear [1] 37/21
disappearance [13] 19/15 20/3
27/13 27/14 28/1 40/14 71/6
71/14 153/1 269/10 269/20 270/7 270/15
disappeared [1] 244/18
disappears [2] 29/17 81/5
disappointment [1] 76/7
discovered [1] 266/20
discovery [1] 190/5
discuss [5] 25/14 65/14 80/20
165/12 232/9
discussed [8] 27/10 65/21 124/3 124/11 150/20 151/20 168/9 170/10
discussing [2] 76/1 160/21 discussion [20] 13/2 21/13 23/11 34/24 59/15 59/25 60/3 61/19 80/17 128/10 129/10 157/9 163/25 174/10 199/18 200/11 242/20 255/23 270/11 270/17
discussions [7] $13 / 4 \quad 13 / 8 \quad 54 / 8$
224/10 270/6 271/6 271/25
Dispatch[2] 211/14211/15
dispatcher [6] 211/16 212/12
212/14 263/15 268/3 268/4
dispatchers [1] 268/8
dispatches [1] 218/3
dispensed [1] 245/21
display [1] 101/25
disposed [1] 160/19
dispute [2] 34/12 146/20
disregard [2] 182/4 190/2
distance [3] 68/4 68/5 253/11
distinct [1] 154/22
distracts [1] 105/6
distributed [2] 193/6 210/7
District [12] 4/8 26/25 75/5 94/11
94/15 145/5 187/15 187/17 222/19
222/20 225/18 230/10
ditch [1] 205/10
ditches [6] 197/6 197/16 197/23
205/11 205/13 206/17
divided [1] 246/8
division [1] 36/5
do [142] 6/16 6/24 6/24 8/7 8/22
10/1 $10 / 2015 / 2117 / 2318 / 118 / 4$
18/15 19/25 22/5 22/16 24/4 24/9
24/11 25/13 25/16 25/16 29/9
29/25 30/6 35/7 36/1 $136 / 13$ 39/4 40/1 1 47/15 48/9 48/9 48/15 48/16 49/4 50/22 51/13 51/16 $52 / 7$ 56/9 58/18 62/6 73/2 74/7 76/1 8 78/3 78/22 85/5 87/15 87/21 88/4 89/3 92/2 94/14 95/1 95/17 96/1 98/22 102/22 103/3 106/13 106/16 112/24 113/18 114/12 115/5 116/1 116/5 116/5 119/7 123/9 124/12 125/11 126/20 127/9 127/10 127/25 140/10 148/3 148/24 150/14 153/10 153/22 155/18 156/13 158/7 158/22 162/20 172/19 186/20 186/20 191/14 193/16 194/24 196/13 196/22 199/2 200/12 201/6 202/13 204/23 205/1 206/19 208/5 208/8 209/6 209/16 210/21 $215 / 21$ 216/2 217/4 219/12 225/17 227/19 228/20 229/15 230/18 230/21 236/22 238/21 239/13 244/24 248/1 249/9 254/18 256/2 260/8 261/8 264/13 265/5 265/19 266/7 266/19 267/14 267/17 267/18 267/20 268/6 272/13 273/8 275/20 277/6
docket [1] 95/12
doctor [2] 155/20 155/23
doctor group [1] 155/20
doctrine [1] 147/3
document [7] 99/13 121/3 129/7 136/20 140/16 144/2 151/13
documents [6] 5/24 14/7 15/13 97/2 1 137/20 203/13
does [26] 9/21 26/15 37/1 42/17 79/10 89/15 $115 / 10118 / 17124 / 19$ 137/8 140/15 151/3 154/7 155/17 156/5 156/8 157/5 158/20 165/8 172/17 173/1 179/24 181/5 203/4 249/17 275/21
doesn't [6] 43/17 146/24 169/13 179/9 183/14 275/22
doing [28] 9/2 9/2 30/19 50/24 59/5 83/23 84/13 105/25 154/12 158/3 164/1 165/13 166/14 166/19 171/8 171/15 175/4 193/25 195/1 195/17 195/18 196/2 197/13
201/20 203/6 214/24 219/17 240/4 dollar [1] 222/24
don't [135] 9/18 12/12 17/14 17/19 18/3 18/9 18/20 19/13 21/1 21/9 21/13 22/9 22/11 22/15 22/24 23/10 23/19 24/10 24/12 24/15 25/5 25/6 28/21 29/22 30/1 30/22 $31 / 331 / 831 / 1732 / 436 / 636 / 13$ 37/17 39/4 39/6 40/10 41/9 41/15 $42 / 1642 / 1743 / 2447 / 849 / 15$ $51 / 15$ 54/1 62/4 63/10 63/21 69/3 72/10 72/17 72/17 73/6 88/5 88/7 88/9 88/10 89/2 94/12 101/16 106/25 111/2 111/8 112/5 112/6 112/7 116/6 117/12 125/15 127/10 130/9 132/9 133/5 134/15 137/15 140/3 141/3 142/10 145/25 151/16 167/23 169/1 170/3 171/19 173/24 174/13 179/1 181/13 184/25 187/15 192/5 192/7 197/2 197/9
198/8 198/16 200/21 201/12
205/21 210/3 210/5 213/2 213/7
213/22 214/1 214/13 217/23 219/8 219/17 219/17 221/17 222/9 224/4 224/9 224/12 224/19 227/21 228/4 229/15 230/6 230/1 8 232/20 233/1 236/5 246/25 248/3 249/12 260/24 262/1 264/12 264/14 264/16 266/18 268/5 268/7
done [20] $33 / 233 / 359 / 384 / 13$
86/19 111/10 111/13133/17 133/19 141/17 173/16 194/14 194/18 195/9 195/11 195/13 202/4 237/13 246/23 251/23
door [45] 12/19 12/23 26/8 29/23
30/1 30/2 30/6 30/12 30/15 30/25 $31 / 1131 / 1531 / 1631 / 2031 / 22$ $31 / 25$ 32/2 32/2 52/24 53/8 54/4 59/6 59/8 59/9 59/10 62/13 62/15 62/1 8 62/19 67/14 67/19 67/20 67/24 68/25 69/1 130/17 130/22 131/12 131/12 132/7 132/10 132/21 143/18 211/12 261/19 doors [23] 11/6 11/8 11/10 12/21 12/23 12/24 53/13 53/16 67/3 83/10 83/15 83/17 92/25 131/16 131/17 131/24 132/1 134/14
261/13 261/15 261/17 274/2 274/4 double [1] 260/13
doubt [2] 264/5 264/5
down [32] 24/6 24/17 25/17 26/12 27/6 55/2 76/19 79/16 83/4 86/10 86/19 88/20 124/10 151/3 159/20 163/25 170/13 204/6 207/3 219/6

219/19 219/23 219/25 220/15
220/17 220/20 220/22 222/4
223/10 230/13 253/14 273/14
draft [1] 225/25
drafted [1] 226/2
drawing [1] 92/22
drawn [1] 236/10
drew [2] 89/7 259/19
drink [1] 22/24
drive [8] 79/16 88/20 89/17 91/8
143/23 220/15 252/21 253/13
driver's [1] 261/19
driving [1] 253/3
drop [2] 106/16 113/17
drove [8] 10/21 60/23 219/19
220/5 220/17 220/18 220/20 222/4
Duchan [2] 79/5 79/6
due [3] 130/2 174/8 177/6
duly[5] 6/11 48/1 96/10 177/18 237/19
duration [5] 47/6 47/7 47/8 108/4
115/1
during [52] $12 / 1413 / 1316 / 24$
17/1 18/21 20/24 41/6 50/1 54/20 57/5 57/18 57/23 58/4 58/6 59/19 64/15 64/20 65/8 65/21 66/11 $67 / 1$ 68/5 73/19 83/12 84/5 86/12 98/12 100/2 101/3 101/4 102/12 102/24 104/15 104/20 105/17 106/1 113/25 118/4 126/22 132/10 133/18 133/20 142/24 160/8 167/11 167/17 171/2 171/9 200/11 210/11 222/22 228/12
duties [4] 7/7 121/10 125/9 188/24
duty[5] 100/25 101/9 101/14
102/16 134/5
DVD [3] 33/20 94/9 145/8

## E

each [13] 31/11 31/22 67/3 89/21
116/4 131/24 168/13 172/24
174/17 174/18 251/10 251/12 257/2
eager [1] 243/3
Earl [6] 231/7 231/11 254/7 255/24 272/7 273/2
earlier [10] 117/12 162/14 165/10 198/15 200/19 200/20 228/17
231/8 245/6 246/18
ease [5] 83/2 83/3 83/4 98/15 132/2
easier [1] 214/25
eat [1] 66/20
edit [1] 85/20
edited [2] $42 / 13$ 85/18
editing [1] 86/7
effect [10] 41/14 82/17 98/12 99/4 140/25 162/3 162/21 213/3 219/7 232/5
effort [8] 210/6 210/8 213/16 228/19 229/24 230/2 230/4 237/13
efforts [6] 199/12 199/17 205/24 270/19 270/24 274/22
eight [4] 89/23 112/17 242/22 260/17
either [17] 9/24 $11 / 5$ 18/18 39/21
54/2 92/4 94/8 101/20 147/11 173/1 176/14 180/25 183/24 198/24 201/7 232/10 232/14 else [23] $12 / 6$ 36/7 73/22 86/6 92/11 93/11 94/8 94/13 181/9 181/14 187/4 205/17 217/14 232/11 232/23 243/15 246/20
else... [6] 248/19 254/19 261/22
264/11 271/7 276/5
elsewhere [2] 146/15 173/15
embankment [1] 220/22
embarked [1] 9/23
EMILY [11] $2 / 3$ 5/2 6/10 6/15
18/17 32/14 $118 / 10118 / 20134 / 8$ 144/5 163/4
employed [3] 48/13 96/18 185/13
employee [1] 179/15
employees [1] 101/14
Empty [1] 91/6
enable [1] 265/22
encompassed [1] 179/22
encountered [1] 61/18
encounters [1] 59/21
encourage [1] 82/10
end [12] 24/21 32/23 41/4 42/5
66/13 97/18 219/6 219/21 219/23
220/4 237/6 237/8
ended [2] 58/13 88/15
endorsed [1] 227/22
enforcement [33] 26/24 27/2 33/22 66/4 72/4 72/5 72/21 79/21 94/10
103/21 103/24 106/22 107/1
107/23 108/1 108/10 110/22
111/18111/19 120/9 154/4 154/9
154/17 155/19 185/19 186/5
242/10 246/23 270/6 270/12
270/18 270/25 272/1
engaged [1] 142/2
engaging [1] 13/1
enough [8] 31/10 72/20 102/10
112/21 117/11 132/18 145/3 264/5
ensure [1] 154/3
enter [3] 59/6 65/10 67/12
entered [4] 53/9 57/16 62/16
182/12
entering [2] 112/9 121/16
entertained [1] 176/19
entertainment [1] 164/6
entire [19] 34/18 34/24 44/5 46/10 46/16 48/19 57/18 84/5 93/3
126/22 136/9 144/1 145/5 146/13
146/17 178/15 181/7 221/22 230/2
entirely [4] 5/9 203/2 234/16
271/4
entirety [1] 32/16
entitled [4] 158/4 177/1 178/16 184/6
entity [1] 7/4
entries [8] 121/4 139/2 171/22
172/1 181/8 181/12 182/19 184/19
entry [20] 121/6 121/7 122/7
122/10 127/14 127/17 134/18
134/20 135/18 135/21 137/8
137/24 138/3 139/7 139/9 141/2
141/5 141/8 165/4 165/8
environment [1] 111/18
equipment [3] 59/4 93/20 111/24
Erik [8] 135/1 135/2 138/10 139/18
143/23 151/11 160/24 161/17
escorted [8] 30/9 33/13 59/8 59/16
62/18 67/16 67/21 67/23
Especially [1] 111/1
essentially [5] 125/4 126/4 135/24
141/5 143/12
establish [5] 179/2 180/15 182/5
183/15 216/7
establishing [1] 179/10
estimate [3] 13/15 58/9 66/7
estimated [1] 83/19
etcetera [2] 134/24 181/6
evaluations [1] 158/3
even [19] 22/2 24/12 43/24 72/17
103/21 106/10 128/9 130/16
151/21 152/13 176/24 181/25
196/6 197/3 203/4 208/7 216/17
224/13 255/20
evening [11] 85/1 91/25 100/15 138/7 193/2 197/2 197/21 232/7
242/2 242/16 269/23
evenings [1] 109/5
event [3] $24 / 18$ 34/8 140/23
events [4] 27/9 27/25 121/13
121/16
eventually [10] 18/11 72/6 188/19 192/12 211/21 221/17 221/18 222/19 224/19 224/21
ever[26] 18/9 21/9 38/16 38/21
51/16 61/5 70/25 92/5 118/22
166/16 167/18 167/21 170/15
195/9 195/10 195/13 199/14
200/13 208/24 218/18 240/18
242/6 244/23 248/4 250/24 268/20
every[6] 82/17 114/22 115/1
171/4 171/9 251/10
everyone [1] 231/6
everyone's [1] 130/24
everything [8] 8/14 164/4 165/15
178/15 179/7 179/7 181/14 188/1
evidence [26] 4/4 4/16 9/20 10/1
95/21 96/4 153/19 167/25 173/2
174/14 176/24 183/2 183/23 184/9
192/3 195/25 213/23 214/4 236/9
250/5 254/10 256/5 256/12 256/13 267/21 275/22
evidentiary [6] 4/22 9/18 43/19
175/7 176/16 177/1
exact[5] 63/21 213/2 213/7 213/9 214/13
exactly [9] 23/21 174/20 177/13
214/15 219/8 240/6 260/21 262/13 273/3
examination [40] $2 / 42 / 5 \quad 2 / 6 \quad 2 / 9$
2/10 2/12 2/13 2/14 2/17 2/19
2/20 6/22 19/4 19/21 24/14 36/21 37/20 45/22 46/7 48/7 70/8 70/14 82/1 95/8 96/15 147/22 148/1
153/8 154/22 155/12 168/6 168/9
169/2 185/11 229/8 229/23 233/20
233/24 237/25 268/18
examine [1] 269/2
examined [7] 6/12 48/2 96/11
99/15 159/15 177/19 237/20
example [2] 95/15 205/13
exceeding [2] 181/24 182/11
except [6] 99/3 204/20 231/6
232/12 233/18 260/18
excerpt [1] 98/6
exchanged [3] 63/19 267/10 267/11
excited [2] 55/7 89/7
exclude [1] 35/24
excluded [1] 5/18
exclusion [2] 231/23 232/5
exclusively [1] 177/25
excuse [6] 54/16 63/11 175/2 213/15 231/5 271/3
excused [7] 47/17 94/24 94/25
228/21 230/5 237/15 275/8
exercise [1] 159/4
exercising [1] 157/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { exhibit [74] } & 14 / 11 & 14 / 13 & 14 / 15\end{array}$
$14 / 1814 / 19$ 47/7 47/7 47/8 98/22
99/1 99/5 99/19 99/23 102/20
106/24 107/8 112/21 113/18
114/14115/4115/8116/8116/11
116/24 117/19 117/21 120/25
121/1 121/8 121/23 121/25 122/3
122/5 122/8 124/19 128/13 134/6
136/21 136/23 136/24 137/1 137/3
137/17 137/22 138/13 138/16
138/17 138/23 138/25 140/2 142/5
147/25 148/3 148/18 148/20
148/23 149/6 149/12 149/14
153/19 161/2 164/22 165/2 165/5
165/23 168/1 168/4 226/21 226/22
227/3 268/14 269/1 269/3 269/6
Exhibit 1 [1] 14/15
Exhibit 10 [8] 121/8 121/23 122/3
122/5 122/8 124/19 128/13 134/6
Exhibit 11 [2] 136/24 137/3
Exhibit 12 [3] 138/23 138/25 142/5
Exhibit 13 [1] 149/12
Exhibit 14 [3] 165/2 $165 / 5$ 168/1
Exhibit 15 [2] 148/3 148/18
Exhibit 17 [2] 269/1 269/3
Exhibit 5 [1] 47/7
Exhibit 7 [4] 99/5 102/20 107/8 112/21
Exhibit 8 [1] 117/21
Exhibit 9 [5] $115 / 8$ 116/24 117/19
136/21 140/2
exhibits [14] 3/1 $15 / 12$ 16/2 16/10 16/13 45/19 45/25 47/5 47/12 98/24 113/15 117/25 140/15
153/10
exists [1] 51/14
expect [7] 76/10 80/9 84/9 105/11
106/13 125/15 142/4
expectation [3] $180 / 6 \quad 180 / 8 \quad 181 / 16$
expected [1] 135/15
expecting[3] 256/10 256/13 264/3
expedite [1] 213/16
expedited [2] 173/6 174/10
experience [6] 68/2 132/5 240/4 240/11 242/9 242/10
explain [6] 10/16 17/12 28/3 39/9 129/23 235/18
explained [9] 19/20 81/22 93/13
119/13 120/21 130/8 162/14 219/7
263/19
explaining [1] 159/12
explanation [2] 38/13 99/20
exploratory [1] 190/4
explored [1] 170/13
express [6] 17/2 64/8 160/9
167/14 205/4 213/10
expressed [2] 76/6 167/22
extend [2] 233/3 233/4
extended [1] 63/6
extent [1] 36/2
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { extra [6] } & 125 / 7 & 125 / 19 & 126 / 7\end{array}$
126/14 158/8 158/22
eyes [2] $31 / 1732 / 4$
F
face [1] 227/15
facets [1] 96/22
facilitate [1] 159/5
facilitating [1] 154/24
facing [2] 90/18 166/25
fact [37] 7/20 26/7 29/9 49/21
51/4 51/10 64/11 69/9 95/3 95/7
144/20 145/14 150/16 157/14
158/1 160/12 160/21 161/1 161/6
fact... [18] 161/22 161/25 163/13 163/17 164/4 164/17 174/6 176/5 176/13 179/8 192/2 213/10 214/16 215/14 218/14 234/15 235/13 243/9
facto [1] 173/10
factor [1] 69/25
factors [3] 158/25 159/3 159/4
facts [1] 121/15
fair [19] $15 / 17$ 29/22 31/10 35/21
37/13 65/24 66/22 72/20 87/16
102/10 112/21 117/11 132/18
156/23 157/9 167/8 167/9 251/5 274/16
fair to [1] 167/8
fairly [2] 55/6 64/6
fall [5] 29/16 70/22 155/18 155/18 155/20
FALLON [28] 1/15 2/4 2/6 2/9 2/13 2/20 4/10 4/19 19/20 22/16 34/2 36/17 37/17 45/16 93/13 96/1 145/3 145/22 146/21 153/6 170/13 175/18 177/22 229/19 233/10 235/18 237/10 267/1
Fallon's [1] 34/4
falls [1] 173/9
familial [1] 238/21
familiar [7] 113/3 117/16119/1 131/3 153/20 170/17 205/12
family [20] 66/16 93/6 103/17 108/13 109/4 110/6 110/25 111/5 155/7 155/20 156/2 188/21 189/5
192/15 204/10 216/9 238/22 239/9 239/9 243/3
far [16] 15/7 16/2 37/21 46/23 53/9 90/13 93/2 93/4 161/10
183/2 184/11 186/1 224/24 230/12 257/16 271/6
father [1] 112/1
favor [1] 162/3
fax[16] 35/10 35/12 38/7 39/5
$39 / 9$ 39/12 39/13 39/14 39/16
39/25 40/4 43/4 44/13 44/16
44/22 227/16
faxed [1] 37/24
fears [1] 248/12
feedback [1] 9/7
feeding [2] 105/2 106/2
feel [2] 66/3 258/24
feet [2] 83/17 132/1
fellow [1] 79/4
felt [5] 125/12 134/5 239/7 247/6 259/11
female [3] 24/11 62/9 138/1
Females [1] 109/7
few [16] 20/13 25/21 46/7 52/23
53/4 77/9 84/12 130/1 171/18 175/23 181/2 228/5 229/15 231/19 234/15 269/9
field [1] 196/24
Fifteen [1] 148/21
Fifth [6] 113/22 113/24 137/7 153/23 154/1 154/6
file [22] 114/17 114/18 114/21 114/25 114/25 115/3 115/20 115/23 116/1 116/9 116/18 116/21 116/25 136/7 136/10 136/17 136/18 140/13 144/2 174/18 175/20 260/18
filed [10] 4/5 27/24 36/10 95/5 95/16 116/25 174/17 175/14
$178 / 21234 / 2$
files [4] $95 / 12$ 116/7 140/11 $161 / 4$ filing [1] 174/16
fill [3] $84 / 1786 / 3 \quad 187 / 10$
film [5] 34/23 85/18 87/1 87/4
88/2
filmed [1] 84/22
final [2] 172/3 174/18
finally [2] 151/3 170/19
financial [1] 201/21
find [10] 173/7 203/5 $215 / 2$
218/13 234/20 251/11 256/5
256/10 256/14 264/22
finding [1] 256/1
fine [7] 37/19 39/4 40/11 137/21
174/22 184/14 276/20
fingertips [1] 175/16
finish [2] 37/17 229/8
finished [3] 16/17 16/20 67/9
fire [3] 73/12 179/12 196/12
firefighters [2] 195/19 195/21
firemen [1] 196/13
first [87] $4 / 164 / 256 / 117 / 157 / 19$ $8 / 18 / 5 \quad 11 / 1414 / 1819 / 1119 / 14$ 21/2 $21 / 621 / 9$ 23/6 29/24 30/20 30/22 30/25 31/7 31/9 37/14 38/5 41/3 44/14 48/1 48/22 49/4 50/1 53/6 55/17 55/19 55/20 59/11 61/5 70/23 71/20 71/23 91/17 95/4 96/10 98/3 110/20 113/22 114/5 115/16 115/17116/8118/9 118/19 119/2 119/7119/9 121/5 122/7 124/4 124/16 130/1 135/23 139/4 150/23 157/2 166/8 177/18 179/4 184/22 188/24 188/24
191/17 192/14 206/14 207/7 216/5
216/11 220/8 221/16 221/19
237/19 238/25 240/2 243/25
247/13 253/18 263/7 263/13 266/5
269/19
first-degree [1] 95/4
fit [1] 66/1
five [6] $14 / 21 \quad 14 / 2281 / 15125 / 11$ 227/13 227/13
flashlight [1] 221/20
flexibility [1] 157/10
fliers [1] 213/1
floor [5] 131/5 131/6 131/13
131/21 261/23
flow [1] 86/4
flush [1] 131/21
flustered [1] 76/2
flyover [4] 194/6 194/11 194/18
202/4
flyovers [1] 194/14
focus [5] 8/3 35/16 35/19 36/20
205/6
focused [1] 32/4
folder [1] 115/18
folks [1] 5/18
follow [2] 38/3 219/24
followed [2] 72/23 217/16
following [6] 8/16 20/8 63/24
138/12 194/1 259/14
follows [6] 6/12 32/8 48/2 96/11
177/19 237/20
food [2] 66/21 93/6
foot [1] 255/1
footage [8] $34 / 1334 / 1434 / 16$ $34 / 1734 / 1834 / 22$ 92/3 145/6
footnoted [1] 176/5
foregoing [2] 277/7 277/7
foremost [1] 53/7
form [10] 98/12 $114 / 8114 / 11$
148/4 148/5 148/5 148/10 148/12 153/20 154/2
formal [2] 171/11 171/12
format [1] 7/24
former [1] 244/12
forming [1] 195/7
forms [5] 113/22 114/13 114/15 114/20 153/23
forth [2] 125/5 138/13
forward [2] 133/3 136/12
forwarded [1] 139/16
foul [2] 259/4 259/10
found [22] 89/4 114/17115/20
116/22 117/2 159/17 161/3 180/1 180/7 182/15 194/17 209/9 210/9 211/20 214/9 214/18 219/9 249/25 250/4 259/20 260/12 263/19
foundation [4] 21/20 21/21 22/16 162/8
foundational [2] 162/10 190/7
four [3] 97/14 111/4 213/18
Fourth [3] 186/4 186/12 241/14
FOX [7] 87/13 89/13 139/7 139/11 140/23 163/10 227/24
frame [7] 69/16 98/15 100/3
102/24 163/23 167/19 224/20
framework [1] 17/24
Franks [16] 174/24 175/8 178/4
178/23 181/22 $182 / 2$ 182/5 $182 / 17$ 183/7 183/25 183/25 184/6 184/10 184/21 228/14 276/1
free [4] 125/13 126/17 157/3
233/19
freelance [1] 196/2
freely [1] 82/10
frequent [1] 164/16
fresh [2] 71/12 173/19
Friday [16] 197/1 197/21 198/3
201/21 206/16 239/10 239/23
242/1 242/2 242/15 247/15 247/24
269/13 269/23 270/5 271/22
friend [1] 111/6
friends [16] 22/12 22/13 103/19
108/13 108/18 109/4 110/6 110/25 155/7 155/21 156/3 189/3 189/5 189/10 192/15 192/23
front [12] 10/25 102/20 114/18 114/20 115/4 115/5 136/21 153/10 261/18 261/18 261/24 272/13
fruits [2] 178/15 181/6
full [9] 15/21 145/19 158/23
158/23 212/11 212/12 215/24 235/3 235/4
further [16] 37/20 49/18 88/16 95/6 95/13 96/2 110/5 129/10 146/15 172/13 172/18 172/23 173/18 199/7 266/23 276/22
future [1] 167/4
G
gain [1] 103/23
gained [1] 204/11
gap [1] 131/23
garage [8] 178/1 178/14 180/2
181/9 181/12 182/25 183/8 183/17
gathered [1] 228/15
gave [18] 39/12 52/1 61/22 74/9
76/21 128/6 139/13 139/18 143/6
143/7 160/12 $218 / 22$ 226/5 245/22
250/12 258/1 263/9 263/9
gee [1] 80/11
geez [1] 167/22
general [17] 7/9 17/24 19/17 24/1 27/12 48/17 48/18 59/17 59/24 71/18 96/22 100/17 111/23 112/1 155/9 173/21 180/2
generally [19] 100/15 101/7
101/11 102/19 105/13 106/3 106/9 111/10 111/16 112/25 116/6 125/11 130/8 132/25 152/22 156/1 158/10 187/11 187/14
generate [1] 193/8
gentleman [1] 170/16
geographical [1] 240/10
geography [1] 240/8
get [59] $5 / 25$ 11/1 30/24 39/10 42/7 42/16 45/1 49/24 69/23
84/14 88/7 88/10 92/5 108/13
123/21 128/12 144/17 145/18
157/18 $173 / 5 \quad 174 / 13181 / 25$
184/11 187/8 188/17189/13 191/9 193/20 195/16 196/23 199/5
199/10 200/4 203/14 204/9 205/8
206/9 206/14 207/18 208/20 217/6
221/3 223/10 225/14 228/4 230/4
230/13 230/13 231/19 237/13
240/3 250/6 251/23 253/22 258/20 273/20 273/23 274/1 274/6
gets [2] 91/24 177/2
getting [9] 76/7 124/6 128/14
186/22 190/11 197/4 204/2 213/24 225/22
give [27] $11 / 23$ 16/22 43/5 52/12
61/15 62/20 91/24 98/21 113/17
127/2 139/12 140/9 143/7 154/7
161/23 197/11 200/1 208/7 212/19 214/21 214/22 219/15 223/14 229/13 232/14 255/6 264/10
given [29] 13/18 21/3 34/8 38/13 39/14 50/25 76/25 98/2 99/5 116/19 128/23 144/6 144/20 154/18 156/24 158/7 158/21 159/6 161/19 176/12 208/16 210/13 211/6 212/14 216/3 235/24 238/10 245/5 275/18
gives [1] 275/23
Giving [1] 134/6
glad [2] 133/4 133/7
glass [4] 83/14 109/16 109/17 131/19
glasses [4] 211/22 212/15 215/10 265/2
go [67] 6/19 9/3 18/10 23/8 30/25 32/19 36/16 37/22 50/23 62/19 70/13 80/20 89/8 122/6 128/16 129/12 143/3 179/24 183/9 185/2 185/18 186/20 188/25 192/25 195/10 197/4 199/21 200/1 200/3 200/14 201/9 203/17 204/12
204/16 206/14 206/21 206/22
207/3 207/6 209/1 $211 / 12$ 218/21
219/1 219/24 221/4 230/18 240/1 241/3 242/24 243/9 245/25 246/2 246/19 248/8 248/19 248/20
251/15 253/14 253/21 257/21
258/2 258/15 263/10 271/3 271/8 272/20 273/14
God [2] 257/7 257/21
goes [5] 115/4 131/9 139/24 236/8 241/4
going [115] 4/19 4/19 8/11 9/13
10/6 10/17 11/19 14/6 17/20 18/11 19/24 21/19 29/19 29/20
$40 / 17$ 43/5 43/20 47/15 52/22
59/24 68/3 68/25 80/19 93/15
95/20 95/21 95/22 98/16 98/21 102/24 105/25 122/25 125/17 125/19 126/1 128/11 144/21 146/2 150/9 165/24 166/1 168/23 169/25 170/4 171/5 171/14 174/25 175/12
178/19 181/20 183/19 184/4
184/11 184/20 189/23 190/14
192/24 193/24 195/4 196/22
197/22 198/1 198/2 199/2 199/21
202/25 203/11 203/15 204/22
206/9 206/16 206/19 206/21
207/23 208/5 208/8 208/11 208/13 209/25 222/5 228/3 228/4 228/12 229/9 229/20 231/4 231/5 231/15 233/12 237/11 239/14 239/17 239/21 239/22 240/1 241/7 242/19 242/21 242/24 243/16 243/18 243/20 243/23 246/1 8 247/7 247/9 248/13 249/2 249/7 249/10 251/8 251/10 251/12 267/3 275/17
golf [1] 107/10
gone [8] 36/20 116/4 150/24 191/24 192/2 221/8 245/6 246/20 good [17] 5/21 7/20 15/12 16/2 22/14 63/5 63/7 64/1 86/11 87/14 127/25 144/16 165/14 185/6 202/2 202/17 268/23
goodbye [1] 33/18
got [34] $8 / 20$ 10/21 36/9 51/7 61/1 64/6 77/2 90/16 90/25 95/18 98/1
109/3 109/3 122/15 127/14 127/18
145/12 150/8 191/15 193/22 206/3
208/6 209/2 209/22 210/17 210/17 211/2 211/13 211/25 235/3 245/1 245/10 250/7 254/21
gotten [8] 70/2 74/11 194/1
209/11 217/21 218/24 221/21
250/9
govern [1] 10/1
governing [1] 155/13
grabbing [1] 90/6
grant [6] $151 / 8$ 160/5 162/4
162/17 174/6 176/22
granted [3] 146/14 163/1 163/14
gravel [1] 219/24
Great [1] 99/17
green [12] 33/17 48/12 79/17
89/12 $118 / 10118 / 14212 / 22$
213/14 252/16 262/17 264/2 264/4
greenish [2] 193/17 212/23
greet [1] 63/8
greeting [2] 63/11 63/12
greetings [1] 63/19
grid [2] 196/14 196/18
ground [3] 193/25 196/17 196/18
grounds [4] 162/10 203/1 272/11
272/12
group [7] 104/7 155/2 155/7
155/20 155/21 245/11 245/21
groups [4] 104/3 104/11 111/4 154/23
guards [1] 53/14
guess [24] 5/20 18/10 26/17 41/10 78/25 80/8 87/22 105/6 116/10 116/10 $117 / 11123 / 1$ 128/9 133/24 140/4 156/4 164/19 173/13 225/1 227/13 232/16 239/9 257/16 259/14
guidance [2] 257/11 257/19
guide [1] 106/6
guilty [1] 258/24

## H

H-a-n-s-e-I [1] 114/3
habit [2] 116/6 171/3
had [248] $5 / 8$ 8/11 8/14 8/18 11/10 16/19 19/9 19/23 20/8 20/9 20/12 20/14 20/17 20/23 21/11 23/2 23/2 23/8 23/11 27/9 27/16 27/24 29/11 31/1 34/22 38/8 38/14 38/16 40/3 40/6 42/3 42/10 44/16 44/19 49/17 52/1 52/8 52/9 $52 / 1652 / 25$ 53/3 54/23 55/1 55/1 56/4 60/5 60/18 60/19 63/14 63/15 63/23 64/12 65/6 65/24 68/18 70/24 71/1 71/2 71/21 72/21 74/11 74/16 75/4 75/7 75/22 75/24 76/15 76/20 76/25 80/9 80/11 80/25 84/23 85/19 88/22 88/24 90/5 117/8 117/18 124/3 124/10 125/12 125/14 130/2 133/11 133/21 139/25 139/25 140/8 142/2 144/15 145/5 145/13 145/18 150/18 150/23 151/24 152/18 162/16 165/7 166/24 169/19 170/1 170/21 171/17174/3 175/11 175/20 176/1 176/13 176/19 189/7 189/11 189/13 189/17 190/22 191/19 191/24 192/2 193/18 194/1 195/7 195/10 195/13 196/3 197/1 197/3 197/7 197/12 198/17 198/19 199/7 199/20 200/6 200/13 200/20 200/21 202/1 202/4 202/11 204/18 204/25 205/18 205/18 207/11 207/13 207/14 207/19 207/24 208/20 209/7 209/11 209/19 209/19 209/24 210/9 210/13 210/15 210/23 211/19 211/20 212/11 212/12 212/13 212/25 214/9 214/18 214/23 215/9 215/12 215/17215/21 216/2 216/4216/8
216/10 217/7 217/16 217/21 218/1 218/7218/9218/10218/14218/18 218/24 221/8 221/11 221/12 221/15 221/18 221/21 222/7 222/24 224/21 225/19 235/7 236/12 236/21 239/10 239/25 240/24 242/2 242/6 242/10 242/14 242/14 242/20 243/12 245/6 245/6 245/15 245/16 245/19 246/4 246/10 246/13 246/16 246/18 246/20 246/23 247/2 247/4 248/4 250/8 250/19 250/24 251/3 252/3 255/12 255/15 255/20 258/12 259/22 260/9 262/15 262/21 263/1 8 263/19 264/5 268/20 271/8 271/13 271/25 272/14 273/1 273/2 277/13
had been [1] 245/15
hadn't [4] 90/11 90/13 204/19 269/16
haircut [1] 55/1
haired [1] 163/18
Halaburda [2] 76/14 76/25
Halbach [24] 20/3 27/12 28/6 29/7
29/11 29/16 32/17 34/25 40/14 71/6 71/14 71/19 84/2 153/2 180/7 188/8 $188 / 21$ 192/4 $218 / 11$ 238/22 238/25 239/19 271/19 272/24
Halbach's [6] 8/16 17/21 19/15 27/25 235/9 269/21
Halbach/Avery [1] 71/19
half [11] 25/1 58/11 66/9 66/11
102/8 149/14 149/17 149/20
149/22 158/23 181/18
half-time [1] 102/8
hall [2] 177/8 233/18
hallway [6] 30/21 30/23 31/4 31/5 131/8 131/11
hand [20] 33/3 33/4 33/7 47/24
$51 / 651 / 851 / 1060 / 2563 / 667 / 8$ 78/10 78/12 79/25 96/8 127/4 212/13 227/21 237/17 263/3 267/14
handcuffed [1] 54/24
handed [9] 52/14 81/6 91/6 120/23
143/20 159/23 211/21 212/16 263/4
handle [2] 116/6 124/9
handling [2] 4/20 175/1
hands [4] 63/4 63/7 67/11 142/9
handwritten [3] 52/1 81/16 161/2
handy [2] 36/10 36/12
hang [2] 22/11 192/24
hanging [1] 197/19
Hansel [4] 114/2 114/3 137/6 137/25
happen [5] 40/17 142/10 142/19 152/17 158/9
happened [9] 8/10 39/7 51/19 62/12 86/12 86/17 91/19 142/20 142/22
happening [1] 224/11
happens [2] 10/8 91/10
happy [3] 79/3 133/15 133/17 hard [3] 131/15 131/16 159/1 has [40] 14/17 34/19 82/17 96/2 113/8 113/23 127/11 131/5 138/8 146/11 146/17 147/5 152/11 153/19 154/6 154/13 165/20 166/9 168/10 168/14 170/1 172/25 175/11 178/12 179/10 180/11 180/12 181/16 182/18182/22
182/23 183/5 183/21 231/22 232/5 235/3 252/25 267/11 267/15 277/8 have [332]
haven't [5] 176/13 178/22 178/24 241/8 268/22
having [18] 5/18 6/11 21/12 22/4 22/6 48/1 78/23 90/18 96/10 130/21 167/15 175/13 176/9 177/18 223/2 237/19 239/23 265/16
he [261] $7 / 248 / 188 / 219 / 511 / 17$ 11/23 11/24 12/4 16/21 17/3 17/8 17/10 18/18 18/21 18/24 25/13 $32 / 833 / 636 / 537 / 237 / 337 / 18$ $38 / 17$ 39/24 43/2 43/3 51/1 51/5 $51 / 852 / 1652 / 1852 / 2252 / 22$ 54/5 54/21 54/23 54/23 54/24 55/1 55/2 55/5 55/7 55/11 56/1 56/1 56/4 56/4 56/5 56/16 56/18 56/19 57/13 57/24 58/1 58/5 58/5 58/19 58/20 58/21 61/2 61/25 62/25 63/1 63/2 63/5 63/6 63/6 63/8 63/23 63/25 64/1 64/3 64/5 64/6 64/7 64/11 64/12 64/17 64/21 64/23 66/19 67/10 71/2 $77 / 577 / 1177 / 1478 / 578 / 878 / 9$ 78/13 78/15 78/17 78/1978/19 $80 / 7$ 80/11 80/15 80/25 81/5 83/4 83/4 91/14 91/16 100/19 112/15 113/6 115/10117/16119/23123/8

124/9 128/17 129/24 130/1 130/2 130/6 130/8 133/15 133/17 134/11 134/23 135/5 137/7 137/8 139/14 140/8 140/15 143/12 143/14 144/7 150/9 150/23 151/3 160/3 160/4 160/6 160/9 160/19 160/23 160/24 161/1 161/3 161/22 161/23 162/4 164/1 164/1 164/7 165/12 165/13 165/20 166/9 166/13 166/13
166/15 166/19 166/20 166/23
166/24 166/24 167/13 167/18
169/25 170/7 170/12 170/18 171/6
171/15 172/7 178/11 178/12
178/16 179/10 179/20 180/11
180/12 181/16 182/22 182/23
183/5 183/21 190/8 190/24 191/8 191/8 191/20 192/7 193/5 201/3
202/7 209/10 209/11 209/19
209/19 211/22 211/23 212/15
212/16 213/25 217/21 217/24
218/24 218/24 218/25 219/1
219/13 219/22 219/22 219/23
221/8 221/8 221/11 221/11 221/12 221/14 221/15 221/15 221/15
221/18 221/18 221/20 221/21
221/21 221/22 222/7 228/24 229/2 231/8 236/23 245/22 246/4 246/13 246/20 249/12 249/16 249/19 249/23 250/8 250/11 250/12
254/12 254/15 254/16 254/18 254/18 254/19 255/2 255/4 255/19 264/10 264/11 266/2 273/2 273/5 273/7 273/9 276/7 276/12 276/17 276/19 276/20
he's [12] 44/9 77/15 81/1 113/12 113/24 122/15 166/25 178/14 178/16 179/15 179/16 259/7
head [2] 31/18 100/4
hear [14] 39/21 41/21 45/4 52/9
56/14 82/5 82/18 82/23 95/24
132/2 132/6 132/11 160/2 184/9
heard [16] 19/11 19/14 49/17
70/24 71/1 71/2 75/24 132/13
146/20 173/2 175/5 175/13 241/8
244/14 251/4 265/8
hearing [20] $8 / 314 / 1878 / 8$
116/18 117/11 175/7 175/13
176/16 177/1 178/23 184/6 203/13
228/13 231/2 231/22 232/3 232/9
232/22 233/6 233/9
hearings [2] 1/4 146/15
hearsay [3] 9/15 10/11 10/14
heavier [1] 158/11
heavy [2] 29/23 131/17
hectic [1] 157/18
heeding [1] 161/22
held [4] 73/11 73/12 157/21 161/10
hello [2] 11/20 21/1
help [12] 87/18 $125 / 7$ 125/12
125/13 125/18 126/14 133/3
156/13 $215 / 3$ 239/4 242/24 258/10 helping [1] 194/20
helps [1] 239/9
Hemauer [3] 165/6 170/25 171/3 her [77] 27/13 49/18 75/22 76/4 76/18 84/3 118/25 119/4 119/15 119/22 125/7 125/10 134/8 139/4 143/15 147/18 $159 / 12$ 189/3
189/10 189/10 $189 / 10189 / 11$
189/11 189/14 189/17 189/21
192/23 193/9 194/17 197/5 207/20
209/3 210/23 212/6 212/8 212/18
$213 / 2214 / 13214 / 21215 / 1215 / 3$
$215 / 4215 / 12215 / 15216 / 2$ 216/4
$216 / 11216 / 11216 / 12216 / 15$
216/16 217/1 217/2 217/4 219/9
219/13 219/15 229/25 241/4
244/17251/17251/18 251/24
251/25 259/10 261/7 262/6 263/21
264/9 265/7 265/9 269/19 271/17
273/10 273/12 273/16 275/18
here [55] 4/3 10/4 25/17 36/5
$36 / 16$ 36/24 37/8 37/14 37/18
42/16 43/5 43/12 43/15 55/25
91/22 98/16 98/16 112/11 124/10
125/8 125/14 126/5 128/20 130/22 131/2 133/6 145/22 146/22 149/20 153/23 162/7 174/14 175/1 180/19 181/3 181/20 185/1 191/23 223/10 228/23 229/14 230/7 230/13
230/17 230/22 230/23 233/7
234/21 241/5 256/16 259/15 276/8 276/12 276/18 276/19
hereby [1] 277/6
herein [5] 6/11 48/1 96/10 177/18 237/19
hers [1] 258/13
herself [2] 32/24 76/16
hesitant [1] 160/5
hesitated [2] 254/16 254/17
hesitation [2] 161/6 254/15
hey [2] 23/8 24/6
hid [1] 117/5
higher [2] 126/2 223/25
highway [1] 222/1
Hillegas [6] 209/10 209/18 244/10 270/23 271/7 276/7
him [140] $9 / 3$ 13/3 $16 / 21 \quad 17 / 1$ 17/13 17/16 17/25 18/13 18/15 23/17 27/9 27/12 27/22 27/24 28/7 29/2 29/3 32/6 34/5 34/6 39/3 40/6 40/8 40/13 45/8 45/11 $50 / 2150 / 2351 / 5$ 52/1 52/752/8 52/14 52/22 55/9 55/10 55/23 56/8 56/12 58/18 61/20 61/21 61/22 61/24 62/3 62/4 63/23 63/25 66/16 66/16 66/20 69/12 75/7 75/8 77/16 77/24 78/1 78/15 80/5 80/9 81/6 89/9 91/18 105/23 112/15 $119 / 19$ 120/20 120/23 120/23 124/7 124/9 128/12 128/17 133/18 139/17 139/18 140/12 140/20 140/22 141/23 144/25 150/2 150/20 152/23 159/23 160/9 160/12 160/21 160/23 164/15 164/18 166/18 166/19 168/10 169/19 172/2 172/6 191/13 191/20 191/21 198/24 204/5 204/6 204/7 204/23 204/24 204/24 206/2 207/3 212/14 212/15 217/20 217/24 217/25 218/6 $218 / 6$ 228/5 229/16 248/4 248/6 249/23 250/1 250/4 250/22 254/8 254/9 254/23 254/25 255/5 255/11 255/19 263/18 263/19 266/14 272/10 272/15 273/9 276/9 276/15 276/15
himself [4] 20/5 32/24 77/11 221/12
his [86] 10/12 12/3 17/6 27/19 27/23 40/16 43/1 52/10 52/17 54/24 55/3 55/17 56/14 63/4 63/6 64/5 65/2 66/16 69/11 71/11 77/14 80/24 89/4 113/24 115/18 120/3 120/7 120/24 134/2 134/4 135/1 135/1 $135 / 5$ 136/4 136/7
his... [51] 139/16 143/24 149/24
154/6 154/13 160/2 160/17 161/7
161/17 162/19 162/23 164/5 164/5 164/6 165/16 166/12 167/11 169/4
170/7 171/25 178/14 178/14 180/1
181/8 182/24 182/25 182/25 183/8 183/8 183/17 184/1 190/8 190/23
192/2 192/10 201/3 206/25 206/25
207/4 $211 / 22$ 212/13 212/15
213/24 213/25 214/3 214/3 219/13
244/7 250/20 250/22 263/7
historical [1] 101/24
history [1] 99/13
hit [1] $90 / 2$
hmm [5] 72/13 74/20 79/9 220/10 247/25
hold [7] 9/3 9/4 24/17 25/1 97/9
105/22 106/4
holding [1] 20/10
homicide [1] 95/5
Hon [1] 1/10
Honor [18] 4/21 35/23 47/11
117/22 127/24 137/4 144/14
144/25 173/13 175/2 177/9 189/23
202/25 213/15 237/9 237/16 267/3
275/10
Honor's [1] 98/23
hood [1] 274/13
hop [2] 26/11 88/19
hope [5] 110/4 193/8 229/16
230/13 233/4
hoped [2] 251/23 256/22
hoping [1] 256/4
hornbook[1] 179/19
hospitalization [1] 113/11
hot [2] 165/18 171/7
hour [8] 25/1 58/11 66/9 66/11 89/20 89/24 90/7 108/2
hourly [2] 126/3 126/3
hours [22] 49/11 50/9 74/6 74/16 74/20 75/25 76/11 76/19 90/18 90/19 100/17 101/3 104/15 104/17 104/20 108/17 121/7 134/7 138/4 224/3 224/4 224/5
house [5] 171/24 190/17 192/11 242/22 245/2
housed [3] 158/21 166/22 167/3
housekeeping [1] 177/11
housing [1] 165/16
how [123] $7 / 37 / 157 / 177 / 218 / 10$ 11/6 12/12 13/15 13/19 17/6 17/15 18/1 18/15 21/13 22/6
22/25 24/20 26/1 28/9 28/12
$31 / 19$ 35/9 39/9 40/20 48/13 49/7
50/23 53/16 55/8 55/16 56/16 58/9 58/9 58/12 58/19 58/25 60/17 62/2 62/2 63/2 63/20 64/3 66/7 66/10 66/1 3 66/25 67/5 73/2 76/18 76/18 77/2 77/13 80/5 81/12 83/23 85/5 85/13 87/7 89/17 92/18 94/14 96/17 97/12 110/11 116/8 120/9 124/9 125/4 125/4 126/14 126/14 126/14 127/5 128/10 129/6 164/1 164/1 164/12 171/5 171/7 171/12 171/14 184/11 185/13 185/16 186/4 186/17 186/20 188/16 189/9 190/8 198/1 198/1 202/11 202/12 202/13 202/17 206/9 206/19 211/8 218/21 219/1 219/8 224/2 224/24 227/1 227/6 240/24 243/7 244/6 245/20

250/6 251/1 251/3 257/23 259/14
259/22 260/8 266/4 266/16 270/1 273/6 274/10
how did [1] 55/16
however [5] 49/16 168/13 221/20
232/4 275/18
Human [1] 166/17
hunters [4] 256/1 256/5 256/10 256/14
hunting [1] 255/24

## I

I'II [1] 37/17
I'm [127] 5/23 6/25 7/19 9/13 10/16 14/16 17/9 18/17 21/19 23/24 25/5 29/19 29/19 32/14 34/6 36/9 37/14 39/3 41/9 42/16 $43 / 543 / 1443 / 1543 / 1943 / 20$ 46/13 46/13 47/15 48/10 48/17 50/1 5 50/16 50/21 53/20 59/2 59/13 70/11 70/18 72/11 72/15 76/23 79/3 80/19 87/3 87/23 88/12 95/22 96/19 98/15 98/21 107/9 110/1 110/20 114/7 116/14 117/11118/7118/21 118/23119/4 121/2 127/24 129/11 130/8 136/6 137/18 137/19 138/2 140/14 142/21 $143 / 2$ 143/2 144/6 144/14 147/4 $151 / 15$ 153/18 153/25 166/1 167/18 168/21 168/23 169/25
170/4 170/17 171/12 171/16 173/5 175/3 175/21 177/12 184/10
184/20 185/14 189/23 190/8
198/12 200/25 201/13 202/10
202/25 203/1 203/11 208/8 213/24
217/19 223/10 226/22 227/11 239/17 240/15 241/7 244/16 245/24 246/11 247/18 253/6
258/22 259/14 261/7 261/20
264/16 266/10 266/19 267/12
269/8 273/22
ID [2] 111/8 123/24
ID's [1] 62/20
idea [12] 23/8 87/15 94/14 202/11 $202 / 17$ 208/5 209/24 239/25 251/1 251/3 271/3 271/4
identification [18] 14/15 14/17 45/19 45/25 53/2 99/1 110/23 111/1 111/6 113/16 120/25 136/23 138/16 147/25 164/22 165/1

## 226/21 268/14

identified [3] 52/5 57/15 74/1 identify [8] 14/9 15/13 18/13 18/15 49/25 77/11 226/23 267/4
identifying [3] 93/19 107/12 122/8 if [196] $4 / 238 / 21$ 9/1 $14 / 10 \quad 17 / 18$ 17/19 19/6 21/2 21/14 22/2 22/20 23/16 24/11 24/13 25/3 26/12 26/13 26/20 29/21 29/22 30/22 $31 / 834 / 2134 / 2235 / 1636 / 536 / 7$ 37/18 39/4 42/20 43/15 43/24 44/1 5 49/7 49/19 50/22 51/4 $51 / 13$ 54/21 55/3 55/22 56/20 57/6 61/23 63/23 66/16 69/4 70/19 72/17 74/9 76/2 77/5 78/12 79/3 80/7 81/25 88/10 90/5 90/16 90/25 99/12 100/1 101/1 105/13 106/3 106/12 111/1 111/8 111/9 111/14111/19114/8116/11 116/11117/11118/21118/24 119/4 119/5 123/8 123/14 124/12 125/7 125/12 125/17 126/1 126/4 126/7 126/8 128/11 128/17 132/6

134/16 140/4 140/8 142/7 143/14 144/25 145/10 145/21 146/24
147/2 152/2 158/4 158/10 158/23 162/19 164/7 165/15 165/24 166/20 173/25 175/3 175/12
176/21 176/24 176/25 178/9
178/11 178/14 178/19 179/6 179/7
180/12 181/11 181/14 182/1 182/4
182/6 182/8 182/9 182/15 183/10
183/13 183/15 183/16 183/24
183/25 185/1 192/5 194/2 194/21
195/4 195/5 195/23 196/3 196/6
197/15 199/25 200/2 200/4 201/9
201/12 201/13 203/4 204/10
204/25 205/11 206/3 207/8 207/14
208/7 209/16 $210 / 9210 / 15$ 213/17
216/4 216/10 216/13 216/15
216/21 217/7218/11 218/11 219/5
220/21 229/13 229/15 233/11
233/13 233/15 234/20 236/5
246/1 1 249/12 249/19 249/22
249/25 254/9 255/20 258/9 260/1 1
264/6 264/22 276/10 276/23
illegal [1] 182/20
image [1] 84/23
immediate [1] 130/10
immediately [7] 14/6 31/25 75/22
83/9 91/12 233/13 263/6
implication [2] 145/7 145/11
implied [4] 57/10 93/24 93/25 94/3
imply [1] 134/2
important [3] 106/3 183/18 216/7
impression [3] 145/4 145/12
145/18
improper [1] 117/6
impropriety [2] 223/1 223/7
improvised [1] 130/22
in [561]
inadmissible [2] 9/15 10/13
inch [1] 131/23
include [8] 26/24 103/9 104/20
104/23 179/25 193/12 193/13
193/23
included [2] 21/4 194/11
includes [1] 115/11
including [5] 38/19 145/6 146/3
178/7 227/9
incoming [1] 169/13
incur [1] 125/19
indeed [2] 95/13 202/4
indicate [6] 50/3 57/6 57/24 58/5
226/25 228/11
indicated [13] 50/13 60/11 $119 / 17$
119/22 154/21 165/17 184/4
192/24 221/18 234/4 235/6 265/18 273/1
indicates [4] 115/9 134/20 170/18 234/25
indicating [2] 113/23 204/22
indication [2] 11/23 64/16
indigency [1] 158/3
individual [2] 48/24 154/13
individuals [6] 154/23 155/2
158/19 163/2 163/14 205/3
indoors [1] 73/12
inferences [1] 236/9
influence [1] 159/1
inform [2] 157/6 204/7
information [29] 6/2 49/12 74/10 74/11 76/21 76/22 76/24 111/9 124/7 162/4 186/22 207/15 210/7 210/15 213/25 217/25 218/7 226/6 226/7 226/16 243/12 246/22
interest he [1] 180/12
interested [14] 8/25 9/1 27/19 40/16 72/11 100/3 192/16 193/21 193/24 194/20 195/1 201/16 203/22 204/2
interesting [4] 86/14 87/10 87/15 173/11
internet [3] 115/12 134/24 209/20
interpose [2] 189/24 203/1
interview [205] 7/12 7/18 7/19 7/22 8/5 8/7 8/22 9/2 10/16 11/25 12/10 12/14 13/6 13/10 13/13 13/16 13/19 13/20 14/24 15/1 15/2 15/3 15/22 16/5 16/16 16/17 17/2 17/4 17/7 17/11 17/13 17/15 17/22 $18 / 1$ 18/6 $18 / 818 / 1218 / 21$ 18/24 24/6 25/13 25/18 27/16 28/23 29/2 29/3 29/10 30/20 30/24 32/10 32/16 32/23 32/25 $33 / 133 / 2134 / 1134 / 1134 / 18$ $34 / 2035 / 435 / 1035 / 1135 / 17$ 36/2 36/23 37/9 40/20 41/6 42/9 42/10 42/14 44/9 45/6 46/4 46/11 46/16 46/20 46/21 48/23 49/2 49/4 49/7 50/10 50/22 51/5 52/7 54/7 54/10 54/14 54/20 56/9 56/13 56/22 57/5 57/7 57/18 57/23 58/4 58/6 58/7 58/10 58/12 $58 / 1558 / 1858 / 2258 / 2359 / 1$ 60/5 60/9 60/12 60/15 60/17 60/18 61/10 64/9 64/13 64/16 64/1 8 64/20 64/23 64/25 65/8 65/12 65/15 65/22 65/25 66/5 66/8 66/13 66/23 67/2 67/6 68/8 68/10 68/18 68/21 69/1870/1 74/4 74/14 75/2 76/15 77/20 78/9 82/20 83/5 83/10 83/12 83/19 $83 / 2484 / 684 / 8 \quad 84 / 1184 / 1486 / 1$ $86 / 13$ 88/15 91/22 92/25 93/3 93/7 93/16 93/25 94/9 94/17 119/6 119/8 $119 / 11119 / 18123 / 9$ 131/2 132/8 133/18 138/7 139/4 $139 / 13141 / 17142 / 14142 / 16$ 142/16 143/17 143/19 144/4 144/8 144/11 145/6 145/13 146/13 146/17 147/8 147/13 150/9 150/14 150/21 151/19 152/7 152/10 152/19 152/23 154/10 154/18 160/5 160/23 162/20 170/12 interviewed [11] 5/9 7/16 7/25 38/18 56/2 57/25 69/12 126/25 129/20 163/3 163/10
interviewing [2] 27/8 94/4
interviews [38] 14/22 15/10 15/15 36/1 8 36/22 37/5 42/18 44/5 46/6 47/1 56/6 69/10 69/14 73/19 118/2 118/7 130/23 132/11 133/20 143/16 145/19 150/19 151/8 151/25 152/17 161/11 161/18 161/23 162/25 163/1 163/3 163/6 163/14 167/15 170/10 170/11 186/2 201/20
into [71] $8 / 2011 / 116 / 1918 / 1$ 23/24 25/17 30/10 30/14 31/4 31/5 32/19 36/21 42/13 49/24 $52 / 1753 / 353 / 953 / 2253 / 25$ 54/22 54/23 55/11 57/20 59/24 61/1 62/1 62/21 79/7 81/5 81/11 86/4 86/10 92/8 108/13 111/24 131/11 131/16 153/19155/18 155/18 155/20 165/11 167/25 188/11 189/14 192/2 192/6 196/24 200/14 201/3 $211 / 13$ 213/23 220/8

220/15 220/17 222/4 233/3 233/4
245/12 246/8 253/3 253/13 253/14
253/21 256/23 267/21 272/20
273/20 273/23 274/1 274/6
introduce [3] 99/25 213/23 267/20 introduced [4] 20/17 20/20 51/25

## 75/8

introduction [3] 16/9 47/5 148/23
introductions [1] 83/22
invalid [2] 182/15 183/16
investigating [1] 223/3
investigation [7] 8/19 188/5 190/9
191/14 192/16 222/16 223/18
investigations [1] 186/2
investigative [1] 207/12
investigator [17] 2/16 177/17
185/14 195/23 199/19 203/20
211/10217/15 218/16218/22
236/14 236/24 240/12 241/11
242/9 264/13 268/2
investigators [1] 71/21
invited [2] 40/6 165/11
Invocation [1] 137/7
invoked [4] 113/23 113/24 154/6 154/13
involve [2] 185/24 241/13
involved [12] 114/10 140/7 180/19 186/4 188/17 194/9 195/16 195/22 $211 / 8$ 239/2 243/2 258/20
involvement [3] 150/25 151/1 224/14
involving [2] 14/22 $118 / 3$
irrelevant [2] 35/15 35/20
irritation [1] 76/6
is [341]
isn't [4] 87/4 144/21 $215 / 17$ 236/17
issuance [1] 184/22
issue [28] 4/23 14/25 36/24 37/20
87/2 87/4 95/18 96/2 101/1
147/14 147/15 147/19 161/15
173/11 174/8 175/8 176/1 176/6
176/11 178/22 178/24 179/4
180/10 180/1 1 180/25 181/3
181/11 256/16
issued [1] 151/15
issues [4] 175/16 180/14 180/20 241/4
it [517]
it's [87] 4/2 8/4 10/14 10/15 14/21 22/2 30/22 35/21 36/11 41/12 42/23 47/6 47/18 69/8 84/22 87/23 87/24 88/14 95/10 97/14 105/11 106/3 106/20 109/13 113/21115/9115/15 116/13121/4 126/1 126/16 126/19 131/16 132/5 132/23 133/1 133/2 140/21 144/19 148/12 149/10 150/7 158/14 159/1 161/16 163/9 163/12 164/15 165/23 166/8 167/13 168/20 169/11 169/11 169/24 173/10 173/19 180/7 181/11 183/11 183/20 187/6 187/12 187/17190/7 190/1 $1202 / 22$ 203/10 203/12 203/14 213/18 214/1 214/3 214/3 226/14 226/14 226/24 227/22 228/12 228/14 230/24 234/18 235/12 235/21 236/1 246/11 275/19
item [3] 234/17 234/19 234/22
items [1] 234/15
its [5] 10/14 153/22 190/3 203/5 269/2

|  |  | 171/14 172/24 173/24 177/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 16th [1] 36/10 | 188/2 188/21 192/5 192/7 192/7 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { itself [6] } 12 / 1534 / 1183 / 1985 / 1 \\ 131 / 2182 / 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | junkyard [1] 204/17 | 196/9 197/9 198/1 198/8 200/19 |
|  | jurisdiction [3] 207/1 207/2 207/10 | 200/22 201/6 201/12 202/15 |
|  | just [154] 5/3 6/4 6/6 7/9 8/13 | 202/17 206/6 209/6 209/12 209/16 |
|  | 8/17 10/4 $11 / 411 / 2012 / 412 / 4$ | 210/3 210/5 210/21 212/3 213/2 |
| JA [2] 165/10 167/5 | 12/4 14/8 15/5 17/19 17/21 21/1 | 213/7213/22 214/1 214/13 214/15 |
| jail [176] 5/11 5/13 8/21 8/24 9/8 | 22/11 23/6 25/17 27/3 31/4 33/3 | 218/13 218/21 219/1 219/8 219/12 |
| 10/22 10/24 16/19 23/14 24/2 | 34/21 39/20 42/17 43/7 43/12 | 221/17 221/18 221/24 222/9 224/9 |
| 24/21 25/15 27/2 27/3 27/5 28/4 | 43/19 45/17 51/7 52/9 53/8 53/11 | 224/12 224/18 224/20 225/17 |
| 28/10 28/11 29/20 29/25 30/10 | 55/2 55/6 56/14 56/18 57/11 59/2 | 226/19 229/15 230/21 233/14 |
| 30/16 35/11 37/3 37/5 37/9 37/25 | 60/6 60/22 60/23 61/14 61/20 | 236/5 239/12 243/23 248/1 249/9 |
| 38/4 38/18 38/21 39/10 39/22 | 61/22 61/25 63/8 64/5 64/17 67/8 | 249/10 249/12 249/19 249/20 |
| 40/24 41/1 41/4 41/5 41/14 44/14 | 68/4 68/18 68/24 69/1 69/4 73/2 | 251/1 254/12 255/20 258/22 265/5 |
| 49/3 49/10 49/11 50/11 51/7 | 75/25 76/2 77/8 77/9 81/21 82/5 | 266/7 266/19 268/5 270/19 274/19 |
| 51/22 52/2 52/18 52/24 53/2 | 84/15 86/12 88/6 88/23 96/17 | 276/11 |
| 53/10 59/23 60/18 60/24 61/1 | 98/23 100/9 100/25 102/14 104/11 | knowing [3] 22/25 133/9 201/22 |
| 62/1 66/20 68/15 74/1 75/11 | 109/25 111/14 111/16 112/20 | knowledge [14] 94/7 121/15 162/7 |
| 76/16 76/18 77/15 77/16 78/11 | 113/17117/8 117/12 120/21 121/2 | 170/6 201/7 204/18 213/24 219/14 |
| 79/7 79/21 79/23 80/3 81/5 89/19 | 122/1 122/2 124/21 137/8 139/23 | 222/6 246/25 261/19 265/21 |
| 90/9 90/16 90/22 92/8 92/9 92/22 | 140/3 142/25 144/17 145/20 | 265/24 277/14 |
| 94/17 96/7 96/19 96/20 96/21 | 146/10 149/2 150/16 153/11 156/5 | known [1] 210/3 |
| 96/23 97/2 97/5 97/12 97/19 | 161/12 165/25 167/23 169/24 | knows [1] 180/22 |
| 97/23 98/7 100/2 100/12 100/19 | 174/8 176/1 176/19 177/23 178/25 | KOLBUSZ[25] 2/8 5/20 47/19 |
| 101/6 101/19 102/3 102/7 102/9 | 180/7 191/8 192/25 192/25 195/19 | 47/25 48/5 52/5 61/11 79/7 |
| 102/23 102/25 103/6 103/23 105/1 | 196/1 197/12 202/5 206/21 207/5 | 118/13 118/16 119/3 119/25 139/3 |
| 108/22 109/20 109/23 110/9 | 214/4 214/15 214/25 217/4 219/24 | 142/4 143/5 143/12 143/21 144/9 |
| 111/24 113/6 113/12 114/9 114/14 | 226/25 226/25 227/5 227/6 232/24 | 144/12 147/17 159/10 160/22 |
| 115/2 116/2 116/21 117/15 118/3 | 233/11 235/12 236/3 240/22 243/3 | 161/11 163/7 163/18 |
| 120/11 120/14 121/4 121/9 121/21 | 245/1 245/8 246/5 246/16 248/21 | Konen [5] 127/15 128/16 129/11 |
| 122/16 122/21 123/17 124/5 | 250/12 251/21 253/25 254/25 | 129/14 129/16 |
| 124/17 125/9 125/20 126/17 | 259/1 259/8 259/8 260/5 260/11 | KRATZ [6] 1/13 4/8 20/9 20/20 |
| 126/23 126/23 126/25 127/1 | 261/18 267/2 269/8 269/8 271/2 | 75/5 145/2 |
| 128/24 130/2 $133 / 20134 / 23$ | 273/21 275/9 275/23 276/6 276/9 | L |
| 135/10 135/13 135/23 136/3 | Justice [1] $4 / 10$ | L |
| 136/25 137/21 138/18 139/4 | justify [1] 175/6 | Lab [1] 224/22 |
| 140/11 140/12 140/15 142/4 142/8 | K | labor [4] 125/22 125/24 125/25 |
| 144/15 148/7 148/9 148/9 148/12 | K | $126 / 7$ |
| 148/16 149/18 150/7 152/12 154/3 | K-o-I-b-u-s-z [1] 48/6 | lacking [1] 182/16 |
| 154/19 156/10 158/22 163/12 | Karen [2] 218/11 218/1 | lady [1] $211 / 18$ |
| 163/13 163/25 164/2 166/17 | keep [2] 68/25 171/13 | land [1] 240/8 |
| 168/11 168/13 168/16 169/9 | keeping [1] 105/3 | lapse [1] 240/22 |
| 170/23 170/25 171/5 171/17 | Keller [3] 150/9 170/16 170/17 | large [7] 57/8 195/13 195/18 196/7 |
| 171/22 172/5 | Ken [2] 4/8 75/5 | 220/11 240/7 240/9 |
| jailers [2] 101/8 158/19 | KENNETH [1] 1/13 | larger [1] 98/6 |
| Janda [1] 179/13 | kept [3] 121/19 136/17 136/18 | last [29] 6/14 48/4 66/8 74/18 |
| Janda's [1] 190/17 | kind [38] 8/13 12/4 23/4 23/6 | 96/13 99/6 108/4 121/6 128/6 |
| January [3] 150/1 150/3 162/13 | 32/15 41/10 64/5 66/21 82/21 | 142/16 165/4 167/1 172/8 175/23 |
| January 9 [1] 150/1 | 83/23 85/8 88/17112/11 118/20 | 201/23 201/25 202/1 236/7 237/22 |
| January 9th [1] 150/3 | 145/3 146/2 151/18 $187 / 10$ 195/1 | 247/11 247/14 248/9 261/9 271/14 |
| JENNIFER [17] 2/8 47/19 47/25 | 195/7 196/16 209/7 219/7 219/9 | 271/16 272/2 272/3 276/6 276/6 |
| 48/5 52/5 61/11 79/7118/13 | 219/24 220/7 225/2 232/20 240/4 | lasted [3] 40/20 58/10 84/8 |
| 119/3 119/25 139/3 142/4 143/5 | 249/1 249/3 249/4 250/4 252/7 | Lastly [1] 166/22 |
| 144/9 144/12 159/10 163/7 | 252/9 255/7 257/19 260/13 | late [8] 29/16 66/23 197/1 197/21 |
| Jensen [5] 138/4 138/6 138/6 | kinds [1] 103/14 | 198/3 198/9 198/11 270/1 |
| 138/8 138/10 | knew [13] 20/5 59/3 68/3 135/6 | later[15] 9/4 52/23 53/5 62/25 |
| JEROME [2] 1/19 4/12 | 135/8 206/15 206/17 218/11 | 63/1 91/25 151/5 180/15 183/15 |
| job [2] 69/8 185/22 | 234/13 248/10 251/5 271/6 273/2 | 191/22 191/23 209/9 209/11 |
| JOHN [14] 2/11 49/23 50/19 51/7 | knocking [1] 26/8 | 209/18 271/18 |
| 51/23 51/24 52/13 61/1 68/12 | know [141] 15/7 17/19 17/19 21/2 | latitude [1] 43/5 |
| 77/14 96/7 96/9 96/14 150/7 | 21/13 22/23 23/11 23/19 24/9 | law [41] 1/17 1/19 26/23 27/2 |
| join [1] 270/20 | 24/15 25/7 26/24 30/19 30/22 | 33/21 66/4 72/4 72/5 72/20 79/21 |
| joined [2] 70/17 70/19 | 31/8 31/18 31/19 32/4 39/9 40/24 | 94/10 103/21 103/24 106/22 107/1 |
| Juckem [6] 123/1 123/3 124/18 | 42/17 42/20 43/24 43/24 46/23 | 107/23 108/1 108/10 110/22 |
| 127/22 128/15 128/15 | $51 / 13$ 59/1 63/4 63/22 67/5 68/1 | 111/18 $111 / 19$ 120/9 154/4 154/9 |
| judge [17] 1/11 5/3 128/1 128/7 | 72/10 72/17 75/16 75/21 76/18 | 154/17155/19 173/14 175/15 |
| 174/15 175/10 175/19 178/18 | 80/11 82/16 82/18 85/5 88/5 88/9 | 176/4 179/19 181/18 185/19 186/5 |
| 183/13 185/5 186/25 190/7 227/24 | 88/10 88/14 90/13 92/3 92/10 | 241/14 242/10 246/23 270/6 |
| 232/24 233/25 259/17 276/21 | 93/2 93/4 94/12 95/15 100/23 | 270/12 270/18 270/24 271/25 |
| judicial [4] 95/2 95/7 95/11 145/24 | 110/3111/2 111/8112/5 112/18 | lawsuit [2] 222/24 222/25 |
| juggle [1] 105/12 | 118/24 119/7 128/8 133/5 133/19 | lawyer[7] 106/14 108/7 108/11 |
| JULY [2] 1/9 277/15 | $140 / 10141 / 3 \quad 153 / 22160 / 17$ | 120/3 130/14 134/9 140/24 |
| June [2] 36/10 178/21 | $161 / 10164 / 14 \text { 166/20 170/3 }$ | lawyer's [1] 108/24 |

lawyers [9] 103/9 104/6 104/14 107/5 107/13 110/21 132/19 155/3 155/18
laying [1] 205/10
layout [1] 29/20
lead [3] 207/11 222/16 264/16
leading [5] 17/25 190/8 203/6
203/10 259/7
leaned [1] 274/13
leaning [1] 274/12
learn [6] 41/3 192/14 192/21
196/21 241/19 241/22
learned [11] 156/14 156/18 186/10
186/14 190/22 191/22 191/23
194/19 221/17 241/16 247/21
learning [2] $37 / 14$ 241/13
least [30] 9/14 10/16 26/13 33/6
67/25 72/14 79/4 80/24 94/1
101/8 109/12 128/13 128/16
129/14 133/11 138/12 $142 / 11$
143/3 143/4 143/15 145/4 146/16
148/4 154/22 169/18 176/18
201/24 202/11 233/5 274/1
leave [10] $16 / 21$ 25/21 112/11
112/12 134/9 150/16 217/2 231/18 233/19 266/17
leaves [1] 81/13
leaving [7] 10/9 33/16 59/23 68/14 105/10 127/24 263/11
led [8] 52/20 53/3 53/4 57/12 59/9 62/21 81/11 257/7
ledge [2] 260/15 260/16
ledger [1] 155/6
left [19] 25/14 29/23 30/1 30/21
31/7 31/8 59/11 63/14 63/15
67/18 68/11 68/22 80/22 145/4
182/10 220/8 245/16 246/18
266/18
legal [4] 43/17 81/21 156/11 183/18
legally [1] 216/19
leisure [1] 269/2
Leland [3] 139/11 $139 / 17163 / 10$
Lemieux [1] 218/10
length [6] 13/19 14/1 108/20
108/24 145/19 213/19
Lenk[5] 191/15 191/19 198/20
229/3 230/20
less[1] 63/23
let [32] 7/21 $11 / 1$ 18/5 29/22
32/22 32/24 37/17 43/14 52/25
58/25 61/5 62/14 67/1 67/5
130/19 166/20 174/15 177/8 177/9
192/25 195/10 209/1 221/24
226/19 227/5 233/13 236/3 240/2 240/22 241/9 249/10 276/11
let's [17] 20/24 23/8 54/16 89/8
97/17 104/6 106/14 126/21 139/1 144/19 144/21 149/4 156/7 159/9 163/1 223/10 226/20
letter [52] 37/24 39/5 39/18 39/20 40/8 45/1 51/1 51/651/851/11 $51 / 1451 / 1652 / 152 / 452 / 12$ 52/14 60/25 61/3 61/6 61/9 61/21 61/22 78/6 78/11 78/20 79/25 81/6 81/13 81/16 81/18 82/2 91/18 120/13 120/15 120/17 140/2 141/22 142/9 143/6 143/7 143/20 152/13 159/11 159/15 159/20 159/23 160/6 160/12 160/15 160/17 161/3 161/12
letterhead [1] 81/20
letters [3] 136/11 136/13 136/14
letting [2] 90/17 270/19
level [1] 10/13
levels [1] 10/11
license [5] 65/25 193/18 240/25
252/11 263/25
licensed [2] 240/14 240/16
lie [1] 190/1
LIEUTENANT [10] 2/11 96/6 96/9 97/10 100/9 153/18 164/25 191/15 191/19 198/20
light [2] 57/1 174/5
lighter [1] 66/14
like [71] 9/19 13/24 14/8 $18 / 9$ 24/14 25/2 25/24 40/18 41/12 49/19 50/10 52/6 60/20 61/14 64/1 70/2 74/19 75/19 76/3 79/3 79/4 80/13 82/2 82/18 88/24 90/23 91/1 91/17 99/7 105/9 108/6 129/9 158/7 158/10 159/1 173/4 173/11 175/5 175/22 178/10 178/25 186/2 187/15 188/14 197/23 204/6 204/9 204/1 1 204/24 205/14 206/2 206/4 213/18 219/16 222/3 239/8 240/7 246/1 246/9 246/10 247/7 248/24 249/5 250/21 252/4 258/12 260/15 264/2 265/23 267/13 269/11
liked [1] 175/20
likely [2] 18/7 90/24
likewise [2] 15/21 177/2
limine [1] 9/19
limit [9] 26/1 26/5 92/18 108/24
110/11 112/4 159/3 184/17 184/20
limitations [1] 92/21
limited [4] 35/19 156/2 158/13
158/14
limiting [2] 108/18 108/20
limits [1] 126/13
line [11] 35/14 36/5 41/23 121/17
173/9 189/25 $210 / 22$ 210/25 235/6 250/22 259/15
linoleum [2] 131/5 131/9
list [8] 110/7 110/12 119/25 164/5
189/7 189/16 190/15 236/16
listen [1] 216/15
listener [1] 9/22
lists [1] 235/1
little [23] 21/17 21/20 32/2 34/12 $34 / 2085 / 1485 / 1689 / 1489 / 18$ 96/17 100/9 101/24 107/8 171/21 190/11 216/15 219/10 225/2 235/19 254/16 254/17 260/20 273/21
lived [2] 178/25 190/24
living [2] 6/24 48/9
lobby[7] 101/25 119/5 119/10
120/13 120/22 138/6 142/24
local [1] 271/8
locate [1] 215/4
located [8] 48/11 53/8 189/11
215/17 224/25 235/2 235/7 271/14
locating [2] 193/9 $215 / 3$
location [3] 225/11 248/13 249/11
locations [1] 178/13
locked [1] 274/4
$\log [15] \quad 116 / 21 \quad 121 / 4124 / 19$
128/25 135/10 135/23 136/25
138/18 140/15 144/15 163/12
171/18 171/22 171/24 172/1
logged [1] 85/20
logical [1] 271/11
logs[2] 123/19 163/13
long [27] 7/3 12/12 13/15 24/22
26/2 40/20 48/13 58/9 62/2 66/7
$77 / 2$ 80/5 85/5 85/13 89/17 92/18 97/12 126/14 127/5 185/16 199/24 217/23 236/2 240/24 260/8 266/4 266/16
longer [6] 57/25 85/10 85/13 121/3 162/17 224/2
look [32] 42/16 46/1 99/11 116/1 119/1 120/12 139/1 171/17173/12 182/2 182/8 189/6 197/5 201/4 221/4 232/7 234/20 236/4 251/10
$251 / 12251 / 17251 / 18257 / 1257 / 2$
261/1 261/25 262/6 262/17 264/21
271/12 274/16 274/18
looked [12] 52/16 61/25 117/8
189/16 192/3 221/8 222/3 251/14
251/15 252/4 259/22 262/17
looking [15] 32/3 133/3 197/23
206/17 213/8 214/11 245/18 246/7
246/12 251/24 251/25 259/25
259/25 261/16 273/4
looks [3] 79/4 99/7 129/9
lot [10] 124/6 128/14 157/21
157/23 159/3 186/1 205/8 205/11
205/13 245/9
lots [1] 133/19
low [1] 128/12
Loy [7] 135/1 $135 / 2$ 138/11 143/23
151/11 160/24 161/17
Loy's [1] 139/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Lunch [3] } & 144 / 20 & 150 / 17 & 151 / 20\end{array}$
M
M-a-t-e-s-i-c [1] 6/15
ma'am [1] 238/2
machine [1] 277/10
Madam [1] 236/6
made [34] 4/18 18/6 37/2 38/8
43/3 43/10 60/8 73/20 73/22
85/23 92/5 99/14 117/10 121/6
134/20 139/9 141/12 146/11
146/17 146/24 150/18 166/12
166/25 174/1 176/14 182/3 183/19
189/7 189/17 222/14 222/21
223/25 225/14 228/19
magazines [1] 115/12
mail [6] 44/17 44/22 51/1 263/7
263/9 263/11
main [1] 245/11
maintain [2] 148/15 224/15
maintained [3] 121/19 135/10 138/20
make [42] 6/3 23/19 33/19 38/6
38/24 43/15 58/14 58/21 68/16
81/17 86/3 86/9 88/8 88/10 91/8
111/11 116/6 119/23 123/19
123/21 123/24 132/16 145/21
145/23 148/8 149/4 158/8 165/25
170/2 174/8 175/21 177/3 216/10
230/4 230/14 231/22 232/4 232/20
242/18 249/1 249/3 276/14
makes [5] 34/7 124/21 137/7 154/4 180/8
making [2] 150/20 172/6
male [4] 24/11 62/9 62/10 109/7
manage [1] 188/17
MANITOWOC [44] 1/1 93/9 135/3 143/24 190/24 191/2 194/7 197/5 197/14 197/20 199/15 199/22
200/18 201/1 201/7 201/13 203/18 203/25 205/23 206/10 206/13

MANITOWOC... [23] 206/22 207/17 207/22 207/25 208/4 208/9 208/10 209/16 210/3 211/13 217/6 220/1 220/3 222/11 222/17 222/19 222/25 223/24 224/18 229/5 242/14 270/13 277/2
manner [2] 43/16 235/25
manpower [1] 105/6
many[24] 7/21 11/6 21/13 22/6 28/9 28/12 53/16 73/2 99/9 108/6 110/11 158/25 159/2 202/12 202/13 202/17 227/1 227/7 251/1 251/3 253/10 253/10 253/10 259/22
map [3] 245/22 246/10 246/13
maps [8] 209/7 209/11 209/14
238/14 245/17 245/19 246/4 246/7
March [5] 44/12 99/8 169/17
169/21 170/1
March 24 [1] 99/8
mark[12] 2/16 14/12 21/4 98/22
98/23 139/11 139/16 163/10
177/17 185/9 226/20 267/13
marked [22] 3/2 14/11 14/15 14/17 45/19 45/24 47/6 99/1 113/15
120/25 122/2 136/23 137/1 138/16
147/25 164/22 165/1 171/18
226/21 267/20 267/23 268/14
marks [2] 128/25 129/3
Mason [2] 139/10 141/13
massive [1] 202/11
matched [8] 212/24 213/6 214/10
235/8 235/11 235/13 235/23
262/12
matching [1] 235/23
material [2] 86/11 177/2
materials [2] 156/12 156/21
MATESIC [19] 2/3 5/2 5/23 6/10 6/15 10/5 14/5 14/16 18/17 19/6 $32 / 1436 / 245 / 24118 / 10118 / 20$ 134/8 144/5 163/4 163/17
matter [21] 42/25 68/20 77/4 77/8 154/11 157/14 158/1 160/12 161/1 161/6 161/22 161/25 163/13 163/17 163/22 164/4 164/17 177/11 183/14 277/7 277/13 matters [3] 21/14 154/14 171/10 may [59] 4/24 14/10 37/21 37/23 44/4 45/20 62/6 69/10 94/24 95/14 97/24 101/10 101/12 103/12 105/14 107/12 111/23 116/14 116/20 117/12 126/2 128/3 128/4 132/13 133/15 133/17 133/17 144/25 147/11 147/21 153/15 154/5 154/10 158/1 158/21 159/6 164/7 164/23 165/13 172/15 176/17 182/24 184/15 187/7 187/8 189/25 197/17 197/24 206/7 210/3 213/23 214/6 233/3 233/23 235/24 259/1 268/15 269/2 275/14 maybe [12] 105/22 116/4 121/3 142/9 143/4 179/23 205/9 205/10 227/5 233/2 235/21 247/18
me [85] 7/21 9/3 12/25 17/18 18/5 21/17 22/2 29/22 31/17 35/19 39/23 43/14 49/14 49/23 54/16 61/5 67/1 98/2 99/5 99/7 100/6 116/9 116/10 119/14 125/17 125/23 140/5 145/3 146/22 148/25 150/10 170/18 174/15 175/2 177/8 179/8 188/19 191/12 191/19

192/25 195/2 195/10 197/9 197/12
200/19 205/7 206/8 209/1 209/10
209/10 209/19 211/22 212/16
212/17213/15 214/22 215/19
218/9 218/13 218/14 218/14
218/22 219/5 221/24 223/25
226/19 227/5 227/22 235/20 236/3
236/12 239/7 239/10 239/11 240/2
241/9 250/11 257/21 259/18 263/4
265/3 271/3 276/11 277/8 277/9
meal [3] 105/22 105/23 106/4
meals [2] 92/13 104/24
mealtime [2] 80/12 105/19 mealtimes [1] 106/11
mean [22] 13/22 20/2 29/13 37/13 69/6 71/19 86/18 87/14 87/18 116/24 142/23 152/25 171/14 179/18 180/20 183/18 219/2 225/12 249/18 255/18 257/11 265/8
meaning [1] 96/21
means [3] 183/21 231/25 275/24
meant [1] 85/22
meat [1] 203/14
media [74] 4/18 5/7 8/18 15/25
35/25 37/4 37/5 38/18 56/6 64/12 69/10 69/13 69/18 72/6 72/7
112/22 113/1 115/11115/13
120/22 $123 / 8$ 123/19 124/7 $125 / 8$
126/8 127/12 128/11 128/12
128/18 129/21 130/23 130/23
132/10 132/24 133/7 133/8 133/13
133/23 134/24 136/5 138/9 141/17
141/21 142/23 146/24 152/8
155/14 155/17 $155 / 18$ 156/5 156/8
156/12 156/25 161/15 161/19
162/17 167/15 167/23 168/8
168/24 169/4 169/5 170/7 174/2
193/6 207/13 207/16 207/18
207/22 207/25 208/10 208/12
210/7 247/21
medical [1] 155/4
medication [1] 164/5
meet [30] 4/14 32/15 72/15 78/17 160/9 161/7 182/5 199/15 199/22 203/21 203/23 204/3 204/6 204/24 205/23 206/2 206/1 1 206/18 206/24 207/3 207/16 207/23
207/25 208/3 208/9 208/11 208/24 209/4 242/19 242/22
meeting [14] 11/22 60/20 134/14 164/17 165/7 165/8 167/13 170/19 170/24 199/10 200/6 243/18 244/23 245/3
meetings [4] 164/12 167/10 170/20 171/11
meets [1] 134/11
member [21] 12/8 12/15 13/4
52/23 53/2 53/24 54/12 57/19
60/3 62/5 65/9 65/13 66/4 67/21
68/6 81/9 108/7 120/5 152/7
187/17 270/6
member's [1] 108/25
members [30] 12/20 13/20 14/2
20/23 21/7 37/3 38/18 56/5 58/25 63/13 67/2 72/15 80/21 83/8 103/3 103/8 103/17 104/6 104/14 107/5 108/11 108/13 109/4 110/22 112/22 113/1 155/7 155/8 223/20 242/3
memo [2] 151/15 151/18
memoranda [5] 173/22 173/24
174/4 174/7 174/12
memory [1] $17 / 18$
mention [2] 23/15 255/15
mentioned [5] 23/15 66/19 160/23 161/1 216/17
message [7] 41/19 139/12 139/14
140/5 140/6 140/9 263/11
met [6] 20/23 72/4 75/4 182/18 212/6 248/4
metal [2] 29/23 131/17
microphone [3] 18/11 93/18 153/13
might [15] 22/10 57/7 84/12
105/21 120/12 134/2 176/14
177/11 190/10 195/25 213/19
216/20 216/20 223/1 228/20
mike [1] 100/8
million [1] 222/24
mind [7] 8/4 10/4 40/12 127/3
147/5 239/20 272/17
minds [1] 173/20
mine [2] 226/14 226/14
minute [10] 6/7 24/22 56/23 80/6
91/22 93/3 95/23 275/10 275/11 275/22
minutes [42] 6/19 9/4 13/17 16/25 24/23 25/22 32/12 40/21 52/23 53/4 81/15 83/20 84/9 84/10 $84 / 1285 / 385 / 785 / 1185 / 15$ $85 / 1685 / 1886 / 1086 / 1188 / 2$ 88/12 91/4 91/24 92/4 108/22 124/20 128/9 128/9 129/9 143/20 213/18 228/5 228/7 229/16 231/19 260/10 266/6 273/15
misfiled [1] 117/5
Mishicot [1] 197/5
missed [2] 21/13 245/11
missing [18] 29/11 144/14 171/20 188/6 193/5 195/11 195/14 205/8 207/19 210/13 230/19 239/5 239/12 240/7 240/9 272/2 272/23 272/23
mistaken [1] 117/6
misunderstood [1] 206/8
Mm [5] 72/13 74/20 79/9 220/10 247/25
Mm-hmm [5] 72/13 74/20 79/9 220/10 247/25
model [2] 252/18 265/18
modifying [1] 136/21
mom [1] 243/17
moment [10] 6/4 12/2 46/1 142/7
146/18 172/22 175/3 185/1 267/2 267/3
moments [2] 46/7 144/18
Monday [3] 135/19 135/21 157/14
month [4] 61/12 62/22 88/24
178/22
months [2] 7/5 172/8
$\operatorname{mood}[2]$ 63/6 64/1
$\operatorname{moot}[1] 182 / 14$
mooted [1] 182/19
more [39] 19/22 21/17 36/21 37/21 $41 / 21$ 58/1 63/22 79/16 84/12 85/11 88/22 91/10 95/18 98/19 108/16 112/18 $118 / 6$ 143/4 145/9 152/14 158/12 158/16 164/16 167/1 167/23 175/20 179/23 190/4 190/11 205/16 213/14 217/25 235/19 236/8 262/17 262/17 264/2 274/2 276/1
morning [41] 4/3 8/20 14/5 23/20
23/23 26/14 26/17 26/18 26/22 49/9 60/19 78/25 79/1 81/1 101/4
morning... [26] 101/20 122/25
124/2 125/15 127/18 135/24 141/4
199/20 201/15 203/16 203/24
205/20 208/2 208/25 210/18
223/14 242/1 8 243/10 244/1
247/15 247/24 269/13 270/10
270/10 271/20 271/22
most [6] 24/23 107/7 110/25 116/7 133/2 136/11
mother [3] 112/1 166/12 275/19
motion [47] 1/4 4/179/19 35/22
$35 / 23$ 36/4 36/5 36/10 36/11
36/12 37/4 42/25 43/1 43/8 43/19
146/4 146/23 146/23 147/2 172/18
173/1 173/3 174/24 175/7 175/11
175/13 176/22 177/24 178/4
179/24 180/18 181/22 182/11
183/25 184/1 184/5 184/10 184/10 184/19 184/21 203/13 203/14
228/14 228/18 233/5 259/15 276/1
motions [2] 4/4 231/2
motivation [3] 69/4 87/21 87/24 motto [1] 239/9
move [18] 9/14 16/9 47/4 106/3
125/4 128/11 148/18 149/7 167/25
174/23 184/23 190/13 248/18
259/16 264/16 266/2 274/23 274/25
moved [1] 169/18
movement [3] 105/15 105/25 106/1
Moving [1] 105/5
$\operatorname{Mr}[10]$ 10/10 $119 / 18$ 133/23 147/24 163/2 190/20 203/8 241/2 248/17 269/4
Mr. [237] 4/13 4/19 5/21 6/16 7/16 7/22 10/3 11/2 11/15 11/16 11/19 11/22 13/2 13/13 14/23 15/10 15/22 16/18 16/23 17/2 17/12 18/6 19/3 19/20 20/5 20/9 20/20 22/16 23/9 24/7 26/2 28/5 28/23 32/12 32/17 32/20 33/11 34/2 34/4 35/2 35/5 35/25 36/17 37/1 37/17 37/23 38/16 39/10 39/18 39/21 39/23 40/13 42/24 43/11 44/9 45/5 45/16 46/4 49/1 49/5 50/20 52/21 54/3 54/4 54/8 54/9 54/10 54/12 54/18 54/21 55/14 57/6 57/19 57/24 58/15 59/11 59/21 59/25 60/6 60/12 61/18 62/3 62/24 63/2 64/17 66/10 69/5 69/19 70/1 70/10 71/16 74/15 76/15 77/2 77/22 77/25 78/20 78/24 79/13 79/22 79/25 80/2 80/22 81/8 81/25 82/10 83/2 83/25 88/17 90/11 90/13 91/12 92/11 92/19 93/8 93/13 93/15 94/3 94/4 94/10 95/4 96/1 96/5 96/17 99/2 113/3 114/22 115/14 115/21 116/17118/1118/3119/6 119/22 119/23 119/25 120/17 125/5 126/9 129/14 130/23 133/6 133/9 134/7 134/9 134/11134/19 134/22 136/2 136/15 137/25 138/14 139/24 140/9 141/2 141/8 141/19 142/9 143/8 143/16 143/20 144/3 145/2 145/3 145/22 146/21 147/21 $147 / 23$ 148/3 $150 / 6$ 150/12 150/18 151/7 151/22 151/25
152/12 152/20 153/1 153/6 159/12 159/21 161/16 161/19 162/16

162/18 162/20 163/9 163/14 164/1 164/13 165/7 167/22 168/5 168/22 169/1 8 169/23 170/5 170/11 170/13 170/15 170/21 171/21 171/23 172/4 175/1 175/18 177/2 177/22 178/24 181/15 183/3 183/17 184/13 184/15 190/6 190/20 191/25 200/24 209/10 209/18 213/21 229/7 229/9 229/19 233/10 233/22 233/23 235/18 236/4 237/10 267/1 271/7 271/7 272/7 273/1 275/25 276/7
Mr. Avery [144] 4/13 7/16 7/22 10/3 11/2 11/15 11/16 11/19 11/22 13/2 13/13 14/23 15/10 15/22 16/18 16/23 17/2 17/12 18/6 20/5 23/9 24/7 26/2 28/5 28/23 32/12 32/17 32/20 33/11 $35 / 235 / 535 / 2537 / 138 / 1639 / 10$ 39/18 39/21 39/23 40/13 42/24 43/11 44/9 45/5 46/4 49/1 49/5 52/21 54/4 54/8 54/10 54/18 55/14 57/6 57/19 57/24 58/15 59/11 60/6 60/12 62/24 63/2 64/17 69/5 69/19 70/1 74/15 76/15 77/22 77/25 78/20 79/25 81/8 81/25 82/10 83/2 83/25 90/11 90/13 92/19 93/8 93/15 94/4 94/10 95/4 113/3 115/21 118/3 119/6 119/22 119/23 120/17 125/5 126/9 130/23 133/6 133/9 134/7 134/1 1 134/19 134/22 136/2 136/15 138/14 139/24 140/9 141/2 141/8 141/19 142/9 143/8 143/16 143/20 144/3 150/6 150/12 150/18 151/22 151/25 152/12 152/20 153/1 159/12 161/16 161/19 162/18 162/20 163/9 163/14 164/1 164/13 165/7 167/22 170/5 170/11 170/15 170/21 171/21 171/23 172/4 178/24 181/15 190/20 191/25 273/1
Mr. Avery's [13] 54/21 66/10 71/16 114/22 116/17 119/25 134/9 151/7 159/21 162/16 169/18 183/3 183/17
Mr. Bloedorn [1] 271/7
Mr. Buting [10] $175 / 1$ 177/2 184/13 184/15 190/6 213/21 229/7 233/23 236/4 275/25
Mr. Byrnes [25] 5/21 50/20 54/3 54/9 54/12 59/21 59/25 61/18 62/3 77/2 78/24 79/13 79/22 80/2 80/22 88/17 91/12 92/11 94/3 96/17 99/2 115/14 118/1 147/23 148/3
Mr. Earl [1] 272/7
Mr. Fallon [21] 4/19 19/20 22/16 34/2 36/17 37/17 45/16 93/13 96/1 145/3 145/22 146/21 153/6 170/13 175/18 177/22 229/19 233/10 235/18 237/10 267/1
Mr. Fallon's [1] 34/4
Mr. Hansel [1] 137/25
Mr. Hillegas [4] 209/10 209/18 271/7 276/7
Mr. Konen [1] 129/14
Mr. Kratz [3] 20/9 20/20 145/2
Mr. Steven [1] 200/24
Mr. Strang [9] 6/16 19/3 37/23 70/10 96/5 147/21 168/5 168/22 169/23
Mr. Wiegert [2] 229/9 233/22

Ms [23] 5/20 5/22 10/4 14/5 14/16 19/6 29/11 29/16 36/2 45/24
$71 / 1484 / 2118 / 16128 / 15143 / 12$ 143/21 147/17 160/22 161/11 163/17 163/18 268/20 269/9 much [13] 24/20 62/2 81/12 91/9 98/1 108/6 124/10 126/14 127/12 132/22 181/9 207/19 224/2 multiple [1] 184/19
murder [2] 8/16 17/21
must [3] 110/6 223/5 245/10 mustard [1] 179/9
my [103] 10/21 12/7 14/6 16/21 17/8 17/10 17/18 21/3 31/18 32/4 $33 / 434 / 5$ 34/6 34/10 35/18 36/19 $36 / 21$ 37/6 37/12 38/6 42/23 43/8 43/15 43/25 44/2 49/10 49/24 52/18 57/9 60/22 61/11 67/7 67/18 69/8 75/19 76/12 77/6 78/25 79/18 79/19 85/22 87/21 88/6 88/14 96/20 99/13 102/23 113/3 116/12 127/23 128/6 132/5 133/22 140/24 145/7 150/13 151/3 153/25 162/14 162/24 173/15 175/4 175/16 176/18 177/7178/10 179/16 180/4 180/18 181/10 184/17 192/6 201/6 204/4 205/15 210/25 219/14 222/18 223/4 223/23 228/14 230/24 237/8
237/23 238/4 238/23 238/25 239/1 239/13 240/20 242/23 243/17 243/17 243/25 247/8 257/13 257/16 262/24 265/2 265/2 266/13 277/9 277/13
myself [9] $51 / 25$ 52/5 57/15 82/13 101/7 167/6 203/20 211/10 226/10

## N

name [35] 6/14 6/14 19/11 19/14 21/2 21/3 21/6 21/9 22/15 30/8 48/4 48/4 48/24 61/11 70/22 71/8 77/14 96/13 96/13110/14114/5 123/22 127/10 142/25 177/21 185/8 209/22 237/22 237/22 237/23 244/7 261/7 261/10 268/5 268/8
named [6] 79/4 122/25 127/15
170/16 207/11 211/18
names [3] 74/18 110/11 195/4
narrative [1] 165/6
narrow [1] 256/16
Nasdaq [1] 40/17
nature [12] 13/6 17/12 54/9 60/5 64/24 65/14 68/7 190/5 238/15 240/5 240/6 255/9
NBC [2] 138/5 150/8
near[3] 101/24 132/21 272/14 nearby[3] 77/5 80/7 199/16
necessarily [1] 126/16
necessary [3] 79/16 239/7 275/19 necessitate [1] 159/4 need [15] 22/15 25/16 33/2 107/20 125/7 126/4 126/7 127/10 142/10 162/8 166/16 183/15 230/14 241/19 261/8
needed [4] 38/25 44/15 125/12 157/10
needs [7] 99/12 114/11 164/6 164/6 166/21 179/4 190/12
neither [4] 198/20 198/22 215/14 248/23
neutral [2] 55/6 82/12
never [17] 57/9 75/18 93/14 94/12
never...[13] 127/25 133/21 160/15 160/23 161/1 201/12 212/6 212/8 240/9 240/9 242/12 255/19 255/21 new [6] 25/8 70/16 70/20 70/22 146/12 207/14
news [34] 6/25 7/7 8/14 18/19 20/8 20/25 23/3 27/10 34/5 34/6 37/4 49/25 60/19 63/24 64/12 69/21 71/12 72/23 72/25 73/5 75/1 75/1 75/7 80/21 86/11 115/11 141/17 163/11 210/11 232/6 232/19 247/18 271/18 271/24
newscast [3] 90/2 91/4 269/16 newspaper [1] 115/12
newspapers [2] 63/24 134/24 newsworthy [1] 27/22
next [24] 39/21 47/19 47/20 62/4 63/20 122/10 124/18 127/14 127/17 136/25 141/2 141/8 146/3 165/22 192/9 221/1 228/5 232/3 232/8 235/21 240/1 240/3 275/15 276/12
nice [1] 32/15
night [9] 8/12 126/18 192/14 198/11 201/20 204/21 205/2 210/12 239/10
Nikole [4] 229/12 229/25 243/5 275/18
nilly [1] 110/17
no [322]
No. [6] 14/18 14/20 47/7 122/9
153/20 161/2
No. 1 [2] 14/18 14/20
No. 4 [1] 47/7
No. 8 [1] 153/20
No. 801 [1] 122/9
No. 9 [1] 161/2
nobody [2] 38/21 90/8
Noel [4] $114 / 5$ 114/6 137/5 $138 / 1$
noise [1] 132/13
non [1] 109/13
non-contact [1] 109/13
none [4] 61/25 99/22 174/3 246/17
Noon [1] 144/23
Nope [1] 22/17
nor [2] 215/15 232/7
normal [2] 132/3 132/7
normally [1] 114/17
not [257] 3/10 5/8 10/4 11/24 15/3 15/21 17/3 19/9 20/14 22/3 23/13 24/22 24/24 26/7 32/19 34/6 $34 / 1134 / 1635 / 2336 / 136 / 937 / 1$ $37 / 8$ 37/9 38/17 39/3 42/25 43/1 1 43/14 43/15 46/10 46/13 46/15 46/16 46/18 47/15 53/20 56/1 56/6 57/24 59/13 60/18 63/4 64/17 65/21 71/11 73/21 74/14 74/22 75/7 83/12 87/1 87/17 87/21 87/23 88/5 88/11 88/14 $90 / 1792 / 2$ 100/11 102/3 102/7 102/19 103/4 104/20 104/23 105/11 105/13 105/15 106/3 106/22 109/10 109/22 109/24 109/25 110/17111/23115/10 116/1 116/5 116/13 116/14 116/16 117/8 117/17 $118 / 21118 / 23119 / 1$ 119/4 126/16 126/19 127/4 128/9 129/20 130/5 130/8 130/15 131/21 132/5 132/6 132/19 132/20 132/22 132/25 133/7 133/9 133/15 133/17

134/23 136/6 137/9 139/14 140/14
142/21 143/2 143/2 143/23 144/7
145/15 145/18 146/13 146/15
146/16 146/18 146/19 146/20
147/14 150/18 150/23 151/7
151/24 152/13 152/21 154/7 156/2 157/16 158/14 160/16 161/16
161/22 161/23 162/3 162/4 163/9 164/15 165/23 170/11 170/17 171/12 171/15 171/16 172/19 174/1 175/6 176/1 176/6 176/7 176/9 177/15 179/16 179/21 180/9 180/10 180/17 180/21 181/9 184/6 184/10 187/11 $187 / 15$ 188/23
190/1 192/20 194/3 194/8 195/19 195/20 196/8 197/12 197/25 198/12 198/17 198/24 199/8 200/16 201/13 202/8 202/9 202/10 203/2 203/7 203/10 203/12 204/23 207/11 208/8 208/16 208/18 208/22 209/4 209/12 211/22
211/23 213/5 215/10215/14
215/21 216/10 217/23 219/14
220/20 221/5 222/5 223/23 227/21
228/12 230/12 231/3 231/20
231/25 232/5 232/9 234/16 234/16 234/18 234/23 235/3 235/13 236/17 240/6 240/15 241/6 244/16 245/24 246/11 247/2 247/4 247/18 249/12 251/17 258/19 258/20 259/2 259/14 260/20 261/20 265/12 265/14 266/2 273/22 274/6 275/19 275/20 276/9 276/17 276/23
note [13] 115/9 119/21 120/23
134/18 134/22 135/1 146/11 166/6
167/20 170/18 176/3 179/3 261/21
noted [2] 116/20 134/21
notes [4] 144/6 152/14 162/19 277/9
nothing [11] $27 / 533 / 1893 / 11$
120/16 145/9 171/23 172/13
172/23 180/22 257/25 266/23
notice [8] 95/3 95/7 95/11 95/15
106/13 125/18 145/24 261/21
noticed [1] 190/15
notification [2] 114/8 153/24
notified [1] 114/11
notify [1] 197/14
November [91] 7/11 7/20 8/9
14/25 15/23 16/5 19/12 19/21
20/6 20/21 $21 / 1221 / 23$ 22/20 26/1 5 27/22 28/1 5 28/22 38/9 48/2 $148 / 22$ 49/6 49/9 62/16 69/6 69/12 69/17 72/12 73/25 78/23 88/2 88/15 90/14 92/4 93/5 94/9 94/17 95/6 97/17 98/13 99/6 113/8 $115 / 15118 / 4118 / 9118 / 13$ 120/1 121/5 122/24 124/2 124/12 125/14 126/22 127/18 135/19 135/21 136/1 139/2 141/5 142/3 144/4 144/8 145/6 146/7 151/5 161/12 163/23 164/18 167/20 167/20 169/21 170/19 171/2 175/24 188/11 188/11 190/2 192/14 192/20 192/21 192/22 193/1 194/4 198/4 202/23 203/16 223/15 234/3 239/11 240/16 242/16 269/11
November 11 [3] 20/6 21/12 22/20 November 12 [7] 28/15 28/22 38/9
115/15 118/9 122/24 127/18 November 12th [3] 14/25 121/5
$124 / 2$
November 14 [1] 135/21
November 18 [9] $73 / 25$ 88/15 92/4
118/13 139/2 141/5 142/3 144/8 145/6
November 18th [7] 49/6 49/9
62/16 69/6 69/12 69/17 161/12
November 30th [2] 164/18 167/20
November 3rd [1] 192/14
November 4th [5] 192/21 192/22 194/4 202/23 269/11
November 5 [1] 72/12
November 9 [2] $97 / 17$ 113/8
now [63] 10/7 12/2 14/5 27/8 $30 / 15$ 36/1 52/3 76/21 79/2 83/7 83/19 90/17 99/19 $111 / 3118 / 7$ 121/2 122/6 125/14 127/14 129/11 137/5 139/19 144/18 149/11 150/17150/20 154/21 155/11 156/14 158/7 161/15 162/25 167/18 175/16 175/17 188/4 192/13 195/9 202/17 211/1 212/3 212/18 213/24 215/14 216/1 221/3 221/24 222/10 223/2 230/25 232/3 $232 / 8$ 234/18 238/2 242/1 242/18 257/1 260/25 262/2 271/16 273/18 274/8 275/21
number [63] 9/21 24/2 39/12
39/13 39/14 40/3 113/20 113/21
122/9 122/10 122/13 122/21
127/21 139/18 148/20 148/24 156/3 158/20 171/25 203/4 207/12 207/13 210/8 210/15 210/21
210/25 211/23 212/11 212/12
212/13 212/17214/16 214/23 215/3 215/20215/22 221/13 221/20 221/23 231/5 235/1 235/1 235/3 235/4 236/15 236/17 250/4 250/10 250/19 252/6 252/12
252/21 261/17 262/20 263/22
263/23 263/24 264/7 264/11
264/18 264/22 265/1 274/22
numbered [1] 149/11
numbers [12] 122/11 190/15
210/16 215/7215/16 215/24
215/24 216/3 221/16 264/25 265/3 265/9
numerous [1] 181/8

## 0

o'clock[8] 79/1 85/12 85/14 90/2
91/4 92/1 269/14 271/18
oath [2] 147/23 234/12
object [9] 6/16 9/13 9/17 35/14 175/13 178/12 178/20 179/21 259/9
objection [47] 5/17 5/19 10/6 $16 / 1136 / 1737 / 637 / 1242 / 3$ 42/22 42/23 43/6 43/23 47/9 99/21 99/24 117/23 117/24 121/24 122/4 126/10 126/12 137/18 137/19 138/24 148/19 148/22 149/8 162/9 168/3 168/18 169/20 170/4 189/24 203/1 203/12 235/16 235/19 236/8 241/1 241/8 248/16 250/15 256/15 259/7 259/13 269/3 269/5
objections [1] 168/2
obliquely [1] 107/9
obscured [1] 265/12
obscuring [1] 225/10
observe [1] 272/22
observing [1] 57/2

0
obsessed [1] 181/7
obtain [1] 70/1
obtained [5] 94/16 172/1 227/19 227/22 227/24
obtaining [1] 68/17
obvious [3] 167/13 228/12 253/20
obviously [17] 33/5 79/14 81/18
89/21 90/1 93/24 103/3 156/1
180/20 183/18 208/1 209/3 216/13
223/4 223/25 224/10 227/10
occasion [6] 110/16 116/3 126/24
140/12 142/11 143/4
occasions [1] 5/10
occur [4] 23/13 123/16 147/15
167/10
occurred [16] 8/8 10/23 16/15
$35 / 552 / 15$ 53/1 60/12 63/20
66/23 67/15 67/17 69/10 95/8 118/2 269/23 270/2
occurring [2] 36/23 63/12
October [1] 189/18
October 31st [1] 189/18
odds [1] 74/10
off [17] 13/23 18/22 39/16 42/16
64/5 127/4 168/16 169/9 169/18
187/8 205/9 209/19 217/11 227/21
230/3 253/11 264/11
offer [9] 99/19 117/21 121/23 137/16 138/23 176/18 213/20 214/5 269/1
offered [4] 3/2 10/3 10/14 271/8
office [21] 26/25 89/18 94/11
94/15 140/12 143/24 145/5 158/2
165/11 175/23 179/14 180/21
187/18 226/3 230/11 230/17
272/16 272/18 272/20 272/22
272/24
officer [49] 97/6 107/1 $114 / 2$
114/12 116/19 116/20 123/3 123/5
126/6 127/15 128/15 128/16
129/10 129/16 129/24 130/16
139/10 139/23 140/4 141/12
141/14 154/4 154/10 188/19
197/24 219/21 220/1 220/3 221/22
221/25 224/17 228/24 230/8
230/10 230/20 230/22 242/12
265/1 265/3 265/25 266/5 266/7
266/8 266/12 270/12 270/14 270/18 270/25 272/1
officers [30] $72 / 472 / 5$ 100/7 100/25 101/9 101/10 101/12 101/13 102/15 102/18 103/21 103/24 106/22 107/23 108/1 108/10 110/22 115/24 117/5 128/24 129/19 130/21 134/13 135/15 151/2 158/15 200/20 224/19 224/21 266/4
offices [2] 31/2 89/11
official [4] 1/25 255/12 277/4 277/19
often [4] 126/14 164/12 176/4 196/13
Oh [6] 30/14 44/18 88/14 207/24 230/17 276/17
okay [187] 6/8 10/6 15/17 15/25 18/21 21/6 22/13 23/18 24/25 25/8 25/21 26/20 29/9 29/13
29/22 30/3 30/14 31/10 33/5 34/3 34/16 39/4 40/11 44/8 46/22 47/3 47/23 56/18 58/19 58/20 61/4 70/5 71/5 71/23 72/972/1176/21
$77 / 8$ 79/12 81/22 83/14 83/22 $88 / 15$ 89/5 91/11 98/18 100/14 100/19 102/23 109/19 110/3 110/5 111/3115/20116/8118/19119/2 124/12 124/18 128/15 130/5
132/16 133/5 134/3 134/6 136/20 137/11 139/7 140/10 142/21 143/3 147/10 150/16 151/17152/6 154/21 166/10 166/14 166/20
166/23 168/9 177/16 185/6 186/3 186/10 187/12 187/19188/16 188/21 189/6 190/24 191/13 191/22 192/9 192/13 192/21 192/25 193/20 193/23 194/4
194/19 195/23 196/6 196/12 197/8 199/1 199/1 199/5 201/15 202/21 203/15 207/7 208/15 211/8 212/10 212/18 213/5 214/7 215/2 215/21 217/9 219/18 219/18 222/10
223/15 225/5 225/22 226/7 226/15 226/22 227/5 227/11 227/23
230/24 231/9 231/12 236/24 238/9
238/14 238/19 239/2 239/20
240/22 242/1 243/1 243/4 243/9
244/1 244/20 246/13 247/6 247/20
248/8 249/17 249/22 250/6 250/8
250/23 251/12 251/24 252/9
252/17 253/20 254/4 254/22
256/23 257/9 257/15 257/23
258/15 259/3 260/7 260/11 260/18
260/22 260/25 261/21 262/2
262/25 263/13 264/10 264/15
265/5 265/8 265/21 273/14 275/4
old [1] 243/7
Olga [2] 76/14 76/25
on [389]
once [16] 12/6 28/11 28/14 29/21 41/21 60/25 61/2 61/15 61/25 62/20 66/14 67/7 142/22 160/23 161/1 239/4
one [122] $5 / 76 / 38 / 18 / 29 / 18$ 10/2 12/24 12/25 19/22 21/10 21/23 22/19 27/6 31/7 31/16 $31 / 1631 / 1832 / 133 / 533 / 634 / 6$ $36 / 1136 / 1542 / 1243 / 1244 / 10$ 50/13 51/2 59/9 73/5 73/6 73/10 73/11 73/11 90/6 90/7 95/18 98/19 100/6 100/10 100/11 100/12 100/13 101/23 102/8 105/10 110/14 113/22 117/4 121/2 122/1 122/2 124/8 126/24 127/3 130/16 132/1 132/10 140/18 141/19 142/11 143/4 143/5 143/17145/2 149/6 151/2 $151 / 3$ 155/2 155/2 163/22 168/9 170/12 170/15 170/20 171/16 172/22 175/1 175/4 177/10 182/13 188/24 188/24 189/10 190/16 192/22 195/18 195/21 196/12 198/24 199/23 201/23 201/24 201/25 204/21 207/6 207/12 $214 / 18218 / 12$ 218/15 224/19 224/20 228/20 228/21 228/25 234/17 241/4 246/20 247/18 248/23 252/25 258/2 260/19 267/2 267/7 267/19 271/7 273/15 274/1 276/6 276/6 276/17
ones [1] 276/18
ongoing [1] 34/13
online [1] 189/14
only [25] $21 / 22$ 22/19 29/21 34/10 37/4 44/1 53/18 63/16 72/20 80/25 92/1 98/20 100/12 145/12

146/19 165/16 171/15 171/16
171/18 172/2 181/1 245/3 245/9 251/17 265/6
open [6] 59/6 $111 / 14111 / 21$ 126/7 254/1 261/17
opened [7] 52/24 54/3 59/8 62/13
62/18 67/13 67/24
Opening [1] 260/3
operation [1] 96/23
operator [3] 263/8 263/10 263/14
opinion [2] 40/16 $155 / 17$
opinions [1] 27/19
opportunity [11] 5/8 7/12 48/23
61/14 61/16 74/7 173/5 175/11
177/3 189/6 268/20
opposed [1] 35/17
option [2] 51/2 263/10
options [1] 51/3
or [341]
orally [2] 173/2 173/18
order [4] 5/4 231/23 232/4 232/5
orders [1] 140/8
ordinarily [4] 109/12 109/13
168/10 169/11
ordinary [3] 121/10 121/20 148/15
organizations [1] 156/13
organize [2] 195/4 204/3
organized [4] 168/14 194/3 196/8
255/12
organizing [1] 194/21
original [3] 35/1 95/16 102/20
originally [1] 211/5
originated [1] 37/10
other [109] 5/4 5/7 7/17 9/6 9/7
9/9 20/23 22/10 22/11 27/2 27/5 27/16 27/19 27/23 29/2 32/19 33/1 8 34/24 44/8 44/10 45/8 $45 / 11$ 49/17 51/3 53/20 54/12 57/25 59/10 64/12 67/18 67/20 69/10 69/13 69/1 8 74/11 80/21 81/9 81/11 81/13 82/25 92/21 103/4 106/13 108/25 110/21 112/24 113/10 114/23 115/13 116/4 127/9 127/11 131/12 140/18 145/17 147/1 154/9 154/11 154/11 155/6 155/6 155/8 156/12 158/23 163/22 163/24 169/25 171/20 171/24 172/8 172/12 172/20 175/22 177/10 179/22 180/24 183/23 184/12 $184 / 19$ 184/23 189/20 194/14 194/15 195/11 195/18 195/21 202/16 207/8 207/21 215/1 216/18 221/25 222/10 227/13 229/12 232/1 232/10 232/13 241/16 242/7 244/20 244/23 246/18 251/19 258/2 258/4 270/19 272/15 275/16 others [5] 155/21 179/1 229/17 245/6 245/15
otherwise [4] 36/20 98/17 201/9 276/11
ought [1] 178/16
our [45] 6/3 8/3 15/4 23/4 35/18
35/22 44/2 53/2 59/4 60/19 60/21 62/20 80/21 95/21 100/7 106/16 119/5 121/4 126/16 130/2 142/24 144/19 167/6 173/16 173/20 174/17 174/18 182/5 183/7 194/8 195/4 200/1 1 203/23 206/12
206/13 207/10 210/11 210/14
210/14 210/22 217/24 224/19
224/20 239/9 255/1
ourselves [1] 68/4
out [61] 5/20 22/11 26/7 31/2
33/13 38/16 39/8 46/19 46/20 51/24 59/9 59/9 61/2 67/16 67/20 68/1 68/4 68/24 68/25 69/1 69/22 72/7 89/13 98/6 105/16 111/20 111/21 112/11 116/9118/10 118/14 119/14 121/2 125/17 132/16 161/3 176/21 191/24 193/13 193/18 193/24 196/24 197/18 200/3 202/18 206/14 207/10 207/18 209/9 210/13
211/11 212/25 218/13 221/3 222/1 233/17 254/21 256/6 260/22 272/11 272/12
outdoors [1] 73/10
outgoing [3] 40/25 169/15 189/20 outlet [2] 7/8 170/7
outside [16] 12/21 30/9 31/11 67/3 80/3 83/10 92/25 129/19 129/24 130/5 130/17 130/21 132/10 134/13 143/18 200/19
outtakes [3] 46/9 46/15 46/18
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { over [39] } & 8 / 2 & 35 / 5 & 38 / 6 \\ 38 / 8 & 38 / 24\end{array}$ 52/2 52/16 61/25 85/7 85/14 87/13 145/14 147/19 167/6 182/12
182/12 182/13 182/13 187/7
191/23 192/10 196/10 204/7 207/2
207/6 211/22 217/6 217/15 218/2
218/3 218/3 221/4 222/15 223/21
224/1 224/21 262/2 262/6 269/11
overheard [1] 132/19
Overnight [1] 100/22
overriding [1] 136/3
overrule [1] 43/6
Owen [1] 138/4
own [2] 79/19 196/2
owned [1] 180/6
owner [1] 179/16
P
P-a-m-e-I-a [1] 237/24
P-i-s-c-h-k-e [1] 43/9
p.m [13] 79/8 100/16 100/18

100/23 102/10 134/10 134/20
137/8 137/11 141/10 188/14
227/22 234/3
pace [1] 144/21
pad [1] 81/21
page [19] 2/2 37/1 99/6 121/2
121/6 122/1 122/1 122/3 136/25 138/17 144/15 144/17 164/20 165/22 165/24 166/2 166/8 227/9 227/15
Pagel [46] 20/9 20/17 21/10 29/1 29/10 73/20 96/25 122/14 123/2 123/7 124/2 124/21 125/1 129/11 129/18 129/23 130/6 140/7 140/10 151/15 151/19 151/24 152/15 162/1 193/2 194/9 199/19 200/6 202/4 202/22 203/20 211/6 211/10 211/13211/17211/20212/10 212/13 217/16 247/17 248/1 250/9 263/5 263/16 268/2 270/11
Pagel's [4] 130/25 151/1 250/3 250/19
pages [9] 6/6 98/3 171/18 227/1 227/4 227/7 227/8 227/9 227/13 Pam [4] 209/2 211/18 218/9 219/5 PAMELA [8] 2/18 209/23 210/18 212/3 229/25 237/18 237/23 268/1 paper [1] 115/3
paperwork [1] 175/4
paragraph [3] 107/9 234/21 234/23
Paragraph 5 [1] 234/21
paragraphs [1] 182/6
parallel [1] 196/17
pardon [2] 125/23 170/17
parked [3] 221/1 224/24 260/14
parking [1] 245/9
parole [3] 104/7 104/14 155/3
part [26] 43/6 125/11 126/18
148/7 149/15 $154 / 1$ 156/20 165/25
175/7 175/14 178/5 182/11 184/19
185/18 186/3 211/1 223/4 239/13
241/10 241/13 243/21 243/24
246/6 247/5 255/11 257/5
part-time [2] 125/11 126/18
partial [1] 265/6
participate [6] 11/24 17/3 17/7
64/8 64/18 225/22
particular [35] 7/7 8/7 15/2 17/2 17/23 36/15 51/13 56/8 62/24 63/3 63/16 64/7 65/6 101/17
101/17 147/13 157/18 160/4
167/13 180/3 183/3 188/4 189/18
189/25 190/9 233/5 239/21 243/1
247/10 249/15 259/20 260/7
265/18 271/8 272/1
parties [7] 4/5 4/16 165/25 173/22
174/6 174/11 267/6
parts [7] 18/7 42/10 60/9 85/10 147/8 183/23 184/21
party[12] 147/11 172/24 173/1 174/18 197/4 199/2 239/25 242/21 243/24 247/5 249/16 255/12
pass [6] 19/2 60/17 70/7 96/3 162/4 237/5
passed [7] 24/20 62/2 79/12 88/24 212/10 212/25 264/11
passenger [2] 261/18 261/24
passes [2] 81/12 131/11
passing [3] 162/19 191/22 265/9
password [1] 189/13
past [6] 68/2 99/16 116/4 220/21
220/21 253/13
patiently [1] 230/3
Patricia [1] 229/11
Patrick [1] 1/10
patrol [2] 101/2 158/16
pattern [2] 82/21 196/18
Paul [1] 100/5
pay [2] 126/7 173/6
peculiar [1] 245/8
pending[5] 77/25 93/8 94/5
152/25 222/24
people [35] $22 / 1031 / 1 \quad 102 / 2$
102/16 103/6 103/15 110/21
112/17 122/11 125/11 132/2 132/7 133/13 140/3 174/2 193/20 193/24 194/2 194/20 196/8 196/16 201/1 202/1 208/10 210/9 216/18 223/25 243/23 244/20 245/2 247/5 249/22 258/4 258/14 258/22
per [5] 100/11 102/7 135/1 $138 / 11$ 147/20
perceived [1] 259/8
percent [2] 24/24 234/18
perhaps [15] 16/25 17/17 18/19 101/9 155/8 164/20 181/19 199/14 207/8 251/18 256/1 256/5 259/1 271/19 274/1
perimeter [2] 71/22 72/2
period [9] 97/17 98/13 101/5
102/13 142/25 170/1 171/2 171/9
$262 / 4$
periodic [1] 167/17
periodically [1] 167/10
permissible [1] 74/23
permission [18] 200/1 200/4 200/4
200/14 204/10 204/11 204/25
206/4 206/15 208/7 208/17 216/4
216/8 216/14 216/22 253/18
253/23 254/1
permit [1] 157/11
permitted [4] 104/13 107/23
145/24 232/2
person [43] $1 / 225 / 8$ 8/1 9/15
10/13 13/24 24/4 24/9 24/14 25/3
25/6 25/9 25/11 25/15 28/3 36/18 $37 / 537 / 850 / 754 / 362 / 562 / 11$ 62/18 72/17 72/2175/16 111/2 111/9 111/12 111/17112/9114/9 120/22 126/18 129/4 $158 / 4188 / 6$
193/5 195/11 197/19 240/9 272/2 272/24
person's [1] 123/22
personal [7] 112/4 162/7 180/1 218/4 250/3 250/10 250/19
personally [12] 20/15 78/19 85/20 99/10 120/17 136/9 194/8 200/16 200/18 201/12 221/3 248/2
personnel [5] 55/12 $154 / 18$ 155/4 158/8 222/1 1
persons [4] 195/14 205/9 207/20
240/7
persuasion [1] 254/23
pertain [2] 182/24 189/25
phone [79] 8/2 8/25 9/16 10/9
10/13 23/19 24/5 24/17 25/15 27/5 28/4 38/3 38/5 38/7 38/8 38/24 41/8 42/24 44/14 49/14 50/7 50/13 74/2 77/2 77/6 77/9 109/14 119/4 124/20 124/23 150/15 168/14 168/16 169/8 169/18 169/19 187/8 189/7 189/11 189/16 189/17 189/20 189/21 190/15 190/16 191/8 197/1 201/22 202/1 204/4 204/7 204/21 205/2
205/18 206/8 207/5 208/2 209/22
210/8 210/23 210/24 211/1 211/2
211/17211/21211/21211/25
212/16 213/17 216/16 216/24
219/15 239/23 242/2 250/10
250/19 250/20 265/4 266/14
phones [2] 218/4 218/5
phonetic [2] 244/6 266/8
photo [2] 238/16 238/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { photographer [10] } & 10 / 21 & 12 / 7\end{array}$
51/22 53/23 57/17 60/22 60/23 63/8 67/18 84/14
photographer and [2] 10/21 67/18
photos [1] 238/15
physical [1] 170/14
physically [2] 89/12 211/11
pick [2] 207/5 257/5
picture [2] 111/8 252/8
pieces [1] 85/21
Pischke [1] 43/9
pit [5] 222/4 222/5 253/10 253/14 256/24
pits [1] 179/12
place [22] 21/21 49/2 60/15 65/12 116/22 116/24 164/13 169/15 170/6 202/2 $211 / 2$ 216/12 217/3 224/11 239/21 248/9 249/3 257/12 258/2 271/12 271/14 271/17
placed [4] 37/3 38/5 41/13 116/21

| $\mathbf{P}$ | posters [1] 192/24 | \|proceeded [3] 56/18 64/15 67/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| places [3] 152/2 201/23 201/25 | potentially [1] 133/11 | proceedings [8] 1/23 213/16 229/7 |
| plain [3] 53/11 53/12 225/13 | poured [1] 131/12 | 232/6 232/8 232/21 276/25 277/13 |
| PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4 | power [1] 257/15 | process [3] 58/23 106/1 151/10 |
| plan [5] 56/12 199/21 203/17 | powers [1] 254/22 | produce [2] 88/1 94/18 |
| 206/21 249/22 | practice [1] 130/16 | produced [1] 145/8 |
| planned [1] 66/16 | prayer [2] 257/8 257/ | professional [10] 105/12 106/10 |
| planning [1] 197/13 | praying [1] 257/13 | 130/9 130/14 $155 / 19$ 155/22 |
| plans [2] 199/7 242/19 | prefer [3] 106/15 111/1 158/25 | 155/25 156/6 157/11 163/19 |
| plate [3] 193/18 252/6 252/12 | preference [1] 98/23 | professionals [2] 111/4 134/4 |
| plates [2] 263/25 264/1 | preferences [1] 136/4 | prohibited [1] 154/12 |
| play [6] 179/8 180/21 181/14 | preliminary [2] 95/8 1 | prominent [2] 114/21 115/6 |
| 183/10 183/11213/18 | premature [1] 235/21 | proof [1] 29/24 |
| played [1] 69/25 | preparation [2] 236/20 | properly [1] 165/16 |
| plead [1] 36/4 | prepare [2] 187/16 187/18 | properties [1] 179/25 |
| pleading [5] 36/25 175/6 181/5 | prepared [10] 81/17 121/9 121/1 | property [46] 33/16 71/22 72/1 |
| 181/5 184/8 | 121/15 138/20 173/18 178/3 | 112/4 178/7 178/25 180/12 180/25 |
| pleadings [1] 35/15 | 187/13 214/1 277/8 | 181/2 183/4 183/22 183/24 194/12 |
| pleasant [1] 55/5 | presence [1] 13/3 | 194/15 200/2 200/10 201/10 |
| please [18] 4/6 6/13 6/13 15/14 | present [12] 4/13 12/1 | 201/24 202/5 204/9 204/13 204/16 |
| 47/24 48/3 48/3 96/8 96/12 96/12 | 63/13 86/22 87/7 96/20 113/25 | 205/5 208/21 210/1 216/9 217/12 |
| 156/17 166/7 177/20 177/20 185/8 | 119/5 119/10 154/8 270/25 | 217/21 218/18219/1 219/3 219/6 |
| 237/17 237/21 237/21 | presentation [4] 84/19 95/21 96/4 | 219/11 219/19 219/20 219/23 |
| plot [1] 251/4 | 214/4 | 220/6 223/9 223/12 223/12 225/15 |
| Plywood [1] 274/1 | presented [2] 4/17 82/13 | 246/11 250/23 251/9 258/1 272/4 |
| pod [1] 168/13 | presenting [2] 82/12 186/25 | proposed [1] 75/12 |
| point [81] 16/18 16/24 18/21 | presently [3] 96/18 97/9 240/1 | proposing [1] 75/22 |
| 18/24 19/25 20/18 20/21 33/19 | preserve [1] 176/20 | Prosecutor[3] 1/13 1/15 4/9 |
| 35/21 37/13 41/10 41/25 42/7 | press [3] 210/11 247/15 247/17 | protect [1] 134/5 |
| 43/11 49/18 51/13 55/25 56/4 | pretrial [1] 4/4 | protected [1] 156/22 |
| 56/8 56/20 57/19 57/23 58/4 59/3 | pretty [2] 124/10 180/19 | prove [1] 178/12 |
| 64/6 64/7 64/11 64/20 64/23 | prevent [3] 112/9 151/24 152/1 | provide [7] 6/2 33/20 94/8 147/18 |
| 67/12 67/13 68/1 71/8 71/12 75/4 | previous [4] 42/23 99/13 140/8 | 239/8 264/25 267/12 |
| 124/8 132/14 147/13 160/4 162/10 | 269/17 | provided [15] 5/23 14/7 40/3 |
| 169/25 173/23 176/9 176/17 | previously [2] | 86/16 137/20 156/21 159/11 |
| 178/10 181/4 183/14 190/14 | primarily [4] 112/16 112/20 | 171/22 171/25 177/2 215/19 226/7 |
| 192/13 195/3 195/7 196/21 198/18 | 132/23 177/25 | 234/25 247/22 264/17 |
| 200/10 203/5 204/20 207/1 207/2 | primary [3] 87/21 | providing [2] 152/13 1931 |
| 207/11 207/12 207/18 208/4 | principally [1] 20/9 | provision [1] 120/11 |
| 208/18 208/19 210/18 213/23 | print [1] 232/17 | provoke [2] 133/18 133/19 |
| 215/1 216/1 216/24 222/8 222/10 | prior [17] 9/14 11/19 13/1 14/6 | provoking [1] 133/23 |
| 223/18 223/23 223/24 224/17 | 17/11 54/7 65/12 105/13 126/21 | public[14] 20/2 57/8 59/17 92/6 |
| 225/14 234/6 242/1 242/15 247/12 | 200/13 209/4 222/5 224/11 238/2 | 103/3 103/8 135/2 155/9 158/2 |
| 276/11 | 238/9 240/3 270/10 | 193/9 193/13 193/22 210/6 254/1 |
| police [11] 173/10 185/25 246/22 | prison [2] 71/3 71/11 | publicly [3] 58/6 147/9 147/20 |
| 249/10 255/6 255/13 255/16 | privacy [7] 132/18 132/22 180/6 | purportedly [1] 10/10 |
| 255/21 255/21 255/22 270/13 | 180/9 180/11 180/12 181/17 | purpose [8] 17/13 27/8 29/2 64/24 |
| policies [2] 99/9 156/20 | private [9] 130/13 136/11 136/13 | 153/22 154/3 159/12 200/5 |
| policy [10] 97/23 99/11 99/14 | 178/14 179/22 180/24 240/11 | purposes [12] 14/9 14/17 15/18 |
| 99/15 120/16 126/16 157/6 161/25 | 241/11 242/9 | 43/19 45/25 56/21 66/5 154/24 |
| 162/12 162/19 | probable [8] 178/6 182/9 182/10) | 155/16 165/1 183/7 184/20 |
| polite [3] 22/2 22/3 76/4 | 182/16 186/12 208/20 208/22 | purse [1] 112/4 |
| pond [1] 225/3 | 241/17 | Pursuant [1] 268/25 |
| ponds [1] 205/14 | probably [27] 13/17 20/22 24/ | purview [1] 180/2 |
| poor [1] 165/19 | 26/21 40/21 41/11 56/23 58/11 | push [1] 100/8 |
| pop [1] 261/23 | 84/22 85/8 89/20 105/15 116/19 | put [18] 9/3 24/17 24/17 54/13 |
| portion [8] 4/20 4/22 43/18 84/6 | 124/15 131/25 134/17 136/15 | 61/9 83/1 92/18 92/21 105/7 |
| 84/24 93/25 181/22 184/10 | 160/19 164/15 174/12 176/7 177/7 | 126/13 148/24 149/2 158/22 176/8 |
| portions [1] 182/17 | 182/25 214/25 216/14 264/9 | 187/1 193/18 226/8 263/15 |
| posed [1] 65/2 | 275/19 | putting[2] 186/23 186/24 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { position [6] } & 96 / 20 \text { 97/12 100/13 } \\ 100 / 14 & 173 / 1174 / 19\end{array}$ | probation [9] 104/7 104/14 107/5 107/13 108/7 108/12 110/23 120/7 | Q |
| positioned [1] 33/6 | 155/3 | quadrants [1] 246/8 |
| positioning [1] 13/23 | problem [5] 147/4 181/13 215/9 | quarry [1] 260/15 |
| positive [1] 53/21 | 233/12 255/2 | quarter [1] 144/22 |
| possession [1] 160/18 | problems [5] 165/20 166/9 167/14 | querying [1] 171/25 |
| possible [6] 71/16 121/14 195/24 | 216/21 217/7 | quest [1] 246/10 |
| 195/25 217/7 236/6 | procedure [4] 110/24 111/7 130/22 | question [38] 7/20 9/14 10/7 18/5 |
| possibly [4] $21 / 5$ 140/14 183/4 | 139/25 | 43/25 46/14 49/24 65/6 69/4 |
| 233/3 | procedures | 116/16 151/4 154/5 163/24 169/7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { posted [4] } 12 / 2031 / 11 \text { 92/5 } 92 / 24 \\ & \text { poster [2] } 272 / 23 \text { 272/24 } \end{aligned}$ | proceed [7] 37/23 95/13 147/22 $176 / 16$ 184/2 184/15 256/23 | 172/3 172/4 173/8 173/22 178/5 179/16 179/17180/4 181/11 182/1 |

question... [14] 183/10 183/15 183/20 201/6 207/7 235/22 235/22 235/25 236/4 236/7 236/10 241/4 257/16 261/9
questioned [1] 49/18
questioning [6] 6/17 36/21 43/20 114/1 184/17259/15
questioning of [1] 184/17
questions [21] 13/12 17/8 17/10
17/25 18/25 54/17 56/19 58/2
64/21 65/2 65/17 98/2 102/24
125/3 134/1 172/12 180/16 237/7
237/9 269/9 273/18
quick [1] 144/24
quicker [1] 230/13
quickly [3] 91/14 99/25 144/21
quite [5] 63/5 142/24 175/23
198/11 273/7
quotation [2] 128/25 129/3
quotations [1] 128/24
quote [3] $9 / 21$ 9/22 128/20
quoted [1] 129/4
R
radio [2] 115/12 218/3
raise [5] 33/3 33/7 47/24 96/8
237/17
raised [8] 33/4 $175 / 17$ 176/6 176/7
176/11 178/22 178/24 180/17
ran [5] 85/1 85/8 85/10 85/11
205/9
rang [1] 71/8
rank [1] 97/9
rape [1] 71/3
rate [3] 126/3 126/3 264/15
rather [8] 42/13 52/9 87/12 87/19
139/23 157/18 253/3 263/11
Rav[18] 179/25 183/1 193/17
211/19214/9215/17 221/4 224/16
224/18 224/22 224/25 225/5
252/11 258/13 259/23 260/8
260/22 266/20
raw [7] 34/13 34/16 34/18 34/22
42/14 92/3 145/6
re [4] 36/4 149/1 1 196/9 233/2
re-numbered [1] 149/11
re-plead [1] 36/4
re-searching [1] 196/9
re-subpoena [1] 233/2
reached [1] 273/6
reaction [2] 134/2 150/11
read [21] 117/12 138/3 139/10 165/10 181/6 203/13 211/23
212/16 215/7 215/12 221/12
221/16 221/22 232/20 234/10
236/6 236/7 238/4 265/2 265/3
274/22
reading [6] 63/24 97/22 116/15
117/9129/7 176/4
ready [2] 183/9 270/20
real [2] 161/6 262/14
realize [1] 127/24
realized [1] 41/10
really [16] 17/14 24/24 41/16 $85 / 22$ 106/4 152/1 $159 / 1$ 172/3
179/17 181/1 183/14 198/12
224/12 246/5 257/14 274/18
reason [24] 27/16 50/3 50/8
106/20 $112 / 20117 / 4$ 133/6 136/15
136/16 145/17 147/3 181/10
207/21 208/15 214/24 215/1
$240 / 18$ 243/1 245/5 249/15 258/2
258/21 258/24 271/10
reasonable [9] 104/15 104/17
104/20 105/1 1 108/2 108/4 180/5 180/8 181/16
reasonableness [4] 106/6 109/1
157/7 159/5
reasons [2] 9/18 $130 / 4$
recall [55] 8/8 $11 / 18$ 12/2 17/14
17/23 18/16 18/20 19/13 19/25
25/1 1 28/21 29/9 29/22 29/25
40/10 40/11 49/4 49/15 50/6 52/3
55/16 56/11 56/20 57/6 58/16
59/15 62/6 69/3 73/6 89/2 89/6
116/15 117/9 $117 / 13$ 126/24 139/2
142/23 142/23 143/15 143/17
169/1 193/16 197/3 219/5 219/17
227/20 227/21 256/2 262/1 264/12
264/13 264/14 265/19 265/20
272/13
receive [6] 49/12 55/22 61/24
169/13 234/5 235/4
received [9] $3 / 2$ 99/23 151/18
153/19 197/1 204/21 222/7 243/13 250/3
receives [1] 123/1
receiving [1] 40/25
recent [2] 61/13 89/1
recently [1] 55/1
reception [4] 59/24 101/24 165/19 171/7
receptionist [2] 29/25 80/2
recess [4] 95/25 144/23 228/9 275/13
reckless [2] 182/4 190/1
recognize [4] $18 / 18$ 113/18 $121 / 3$
148/3
recognized [1] 25/4
recollection [8] 18/4 39/19 60/14
61/4 61/8 167/18 238/3 270/2
recommended [1] 255/4
record [25] 4/6 6/14 14/6 14/9
$43 / 7$ 43/13 45/18 47/6 48/4 96/13
111/13 146/11 146/16 162/23
176/3 176/20 179/4 180/15 185/8
193/1 223/11 226/25 228/11
237/22 268/9
recorded [3] 109/19 109/22 111/9
recording [9] 15/9 41/7 56/22 57/3
110/1 $111 / 23$ 267/4 267/6 268/21
records [6] 137/21 180/21 189/11
189/14 201/21 201/22
redact [1] 182/17
redirect [8] 2/14 37/18 47/15
94/22 94/23 168/1 168/6 275/5
redirected [1] 151/6
refer [1] 122/11
reference [6] 98/15 141/19 141/20
141/20 141/22 141/25
referred [7] 34/5 34/5 35/4 119/14 138/10 139/19 158/19
referring [7] 71/6 134/22 206/6
234/23 243/4 244/9 252/7
refers [2] 107/8 123/12
reflect [1] 134/16
reflected [1] 142/5
reflecting [1] 151/13
reflections [1] 46/24
reflects [1] 121/13
refresh [1] 238/3
refuse [2] 18/24 64/21
refused [2] 138/9 139/14
refuses [1] 140/23
regard [3] 27/22 186/7 194/25
regarding [16] 13/5 43/9 54/4 60/4 68/7 167/22 174/24 176/5 177/12
228/17 231/2 269/19 270/7 270/14
270/18 273/19
registry [1] 26/12
regular [3] 148/8 210/22 210/25
regularly [2] 140/16 171/4
regulate [1] 26/9
regulated [1] 14/2
regulations [2] 98/7 120/11
rehashing [1] 23/7
reinforced [1] 109/17
related [5] 84/2 141/8 152/21
154/14 180/20
relates [1] 36/18
relating [4] 40/13 98/9 141/2
152/23
relationship [1] 238/22
relationships [1] 238/21
relative [12] 4/23 15/10 15/22 16/3
16/4 36/22 46/5 46/25 58/22
132/2 157/10 190/22
relatives [3] 155/8 155/21 238/23
relaxed [4] 12/4 55/8 55/10 66/12
relay [1] 215/15
relayed [1] 218/16
relaying [1] 10/10
release [7] 71/11 72/7 210/12
229/13 247/15 247/17 271/24
released [4] 71/3 88/11 114/9
231/8
relevance [12] 42/22 146/25
169/20 184/18 189/24 203/2 203/5
203/12 241/1 248/16 250/15
256/16
relevant [2] 10/5 259/15
Religious [1] 103/11
rely [1] 129/6
remain [3] 55/12 93/2 97/6
remainder [2] 227/11 227/12
remaining [1] 181/18
remember $[27] \quad 12 / 12 \quad 18 / 1 \quad 22 / 9$
23/10 24/1 $124 / 12$ 25/5 30/1 30/7
31/3 35/7 39/4 41/9 41/16 54/1
63/10 63/21 73/2 78/22 124/12
125/16 127/9 127/11 143/3 168/21
195/18 252/19
Remerak [1] 266/8
Remerick [1] 266/8
Remiker [27] 192/9 198/23 203/24
204/1 204/4 204/14 205/22 206/1
206/7 206/24 217/9 217/20 218/16
218/22 219/8 219/12 219/15 221/6
222/7 226/1 $1228 / 22$ 236/15
236/21 236/24 266/9 266/10
266/12
Remiker's [1] 220/24
render [1] 86/10
rendition [1] 175/21
renew [1] 42/23
reorganize [1] 175/4
repeat [2] 70/18 76/23
repeated [1] 77/18
rephrase [4] 18/5 54/16 163/1
203/9
replied [1] 178/20
replies [1] 174/17
reply [4] 120/24 159/25 160/2
175/12
report [10] 96/25 100/5 101/1
101/16 164/21 166/11 187/8 192/6 233/8 250/1
reported [4] 1/24 29/11 210/13 277/6
reporter [29] 1/25 6/25 7/1 7/3 7/7 7/9 19/18 48/10 48/17 48/18 49/25 50/21 57/15 66/1 69/8 69/21 74/1 76/12 81/2 82/13 93/19 123/21 138/4 142/7 161/8 170/15 236/6 277/5 277/19
reporters [9] 22/5 38/19 49/17 69/23 74/12 113/1 $115 / 10152 / 19$ 170/14
reporting [1] 48/16
reports [1] 97/2
representation [2] 10/3 86/16 representative [2] 222/17 226/2 representatives [1] 35/25
request [32] 34/4 38/6 38/8 38/24 44/19 44/23 49/13 77/18 $111 / 8$ 112/6 112/6 138/11 146/11 146/13 146/17 149/24 150/18 150/21 151/3 151/21 151/22 152/6 152/9 162/2 162/23 167/4 170/11 170/12 173/25 174/6 193/12 194/25
requested [11] 101/16 119/18
119/21 138/6 144/3 150/23 162/16 191/3 191/10 207/14 214/22
requesting [3] 35/11 113/25 120/22
requests [9] 9/10 58/14 58/21 60/8 64/13 68/16 95/1 124/6 165/12
require [1] 110/23
required [1] 68/24
requires [1] 105/6
requiring [1] 105/15
research [1] 173/17
researching [3] 174/12 201/21 201/22
residence [16] 179/13 180/1 182/25 183/17 184/1 189/1 192/3 192/6 192/23 200/24 201/4 243/10 244/2 245/12 270/22 272/16
residences [3] 179/12 179/22 180/24
resources [1] 92/22
respect [14] 8/7 13/9 14/24 15/12 49/1 68/17 69/16 112/25 136/4 137/6 142/3 161/15 168/8 175/25 respond [4] 56/16 58/19 64/3 175/11
response [11] 23/18 25/23 43/4 49/12 55/22 61/23 65/5 119/20 149/17 150/11 150/13
responses [2] 65/2 174/18
responsibilities [1] 185/18
responsibility [2] 96/22 122/15 responsible [3] 105/2 135/3
226/15
rest [10] 95/21 166/6 $182 / 18$
182/19 183/22 201/10 229/6
231/20 233/18 253/4
restricted [1] 66/4
restrictions [2] 54/13 80/13
result [3] 157/23 202/15 216/20
results [1] 146/15
resume [4] 144/22 144/25 228/6 233/23
resumption [1] 37/13
retained [1] 157/24
retreat [1] 217/3
return [6] 33/17 227/14 227/15
227/16 227/17 233/1
returned [1] 43/17
review [10] 99/11 135/12 135/15
140/15 164/4 176/9 176/13 238/2 238/9 268/21
reviewed [4] 99/16 135/23 159/16 234/8
reviewing [4] 140/13 144/1 $192 / 6$ 234/17
reviews [3] 135/13 140/10 167/17
revisited [1] 167/3
revoked [2] 240/19 240/20
ride [1] 52/2
right [365]
rights [7] 113/23 113/24 137/7
154/7156/10 156/22 186/7
ripe [1] $37 / 21$
risk [1] 90/18
road [12] 188/18 197/15 198/25
205/10 219/21 219/22 219/25
220/3 220/4 222/1 246/5 246/16
roads [1] 246/10
rode [1] 217/15
roof [1] 274/14
room [64] 11/1 11/3 11/4 11/7
11/9 11/14 12/6 12/9 16/19 25/18
$30 / 1830 / 2030 / 2230 / 2431 / 6$
$31 / 931 / 1234 / 2353 / 353 / 753 / 11$
53/12 53/16 53/19 53/22 53/25
54/22 54/23 55/11 55/13 55/18
57/16 57/21 59/6 59/11 59/17
62/22 62/24 63/14 63/15 63/17
65/10 67/12 67/16 73/8 81/11
82/20 83/10 83/12 92/25 112/16
112/18 118/24 123/16 129/20
129/25 130/5 130/6 130/10 131/2
131/17 132/4 132/8 238/16
roommate [2] 189/11 244/17
roommates [2] 189/4 189/5
rooms [2] 107/12 107/15
roughly [12] $77 / 3$ 81/12 85/18
88/2 89/23 93/3 100/20 124/20
127/17 134/8 188/15 202/20
route [1] 225/20
routinely [4] 109/19 114/19 116/1 116/5
row [1] 260/13
RPR [2] 1/24 277/19
$\begin{array}{lllll} \\ \text { rule [4] } & 104 / 10 & 106 / 7 & 106 / 20\end{array}$ 147/15
rules [18] 9/18 10/1 74/23 98/7
98/9 98/12 99/4 104/2 106/24
108/14 108/16 108/18 108/20
112/21 112/24 120/11 154/24
155/13
ruling [2] 43/15 176/15
run [3] $42 / 1944 / 185 / 5$
running [5] $42 / 1484 / 5 \quad 171 / 8$
196/1 196/16
runs [1] 43/24
Rusch [1] 100/6
Ryan [13] 244/5 244/9 244/9 244/12 245/1 246/1 249/8 249/9 249/13 250/7 250/8 250/8 270/22
S
S-t-u-r-m [1] 237/24
safe [1] 105/2
safer [1] 216/15
safety [8] 130/24 133/1 $133 / 12$
133/22 216/12 217/1 217/3 248/13 said [102] $9 / 3$ 9/5 10/3 10/25
16/20 16/21 16/22 16/25 17/19
18/9 18/17 22/25 23/16 24/13
$24 / 1425 / 1125 / 16$ 25/16 25/20
25/21 26/11 31/21 33/1 46/14
$49 / 1650 / 1051 / 151 / 451 / 5$ 52/6
52/7 52/22 52/24 54/5 55/21
56/14 56/18 57/9 58/17 58/20
59/20 60/19 61/11 62/13 63/7
63/25 74/4 74/14 74/16 75/18
75/20 75/22 75/24 76/20 77/14
$78 / 380 / 1180 / 1982 / 186 / 1989 / 8$
90/16 91/17 93/14 99/3 104/2
132/9 133/24 140/2 143/17 155/11
158/12 159/2 160/3 194/22 198/3
200/7 $211 / 19212 / 23213 / 2$ 213/14
214/14218/12 219/22 219/24
221/21 235/7 236/14 239/14
239/16 242/21 246/1 246/20 253/7
254/19 255/22 256/12 257/1 259/8
272/7 274/10 277/13
salvage [28] 178/8 178/13 180/7
200/15 201/10 201/17 202/14
204/17 207/9 220/12 220/17
220/21 238/1 8 246/2 246/14
246/24 247/1 1 248/9 252/21
252/25 253/7 254/9 257/17 258/4
258/23 259/5 271/4 272/6
same [26] $25 / 325 / 625 / 828 / 15$
52/16 60/23 62/15 62/21 87/16
94/3 102/11 105/19 127/17131/9
138/18 138/20 141/11 141/14
144/8 144/11 150/4 193/2 196/9
196/10 196/18 269/23
sat [2] 55/2 83/4
satisfied [1] 176/25
Saturday [28] 8/20 26/15 71/21
71/21 72/11 73/6 73/10 73/15 125/15 126/1 146/1 199/3 199/5 199/20 201/15 203/16 205/19 207/14 208/2 208/25 210/18 242/1 8 242/23 243/10 244/1 270/9 270/10 271/20
Saturdays [1] 146/8
saw [13] 62/3 62/5 66/1 115/17
116/8 $116 / 14118 / 25$ 136/20 192/3 202/22 212/20 220/24 238/16
say [51] 13/21 15/17 20/24 21/1 55/5 55/6 55/7 55/19 55/20 58/11
58/13 63/25 64/6 65/24 66/22
75/17 84/8 87/22 92/11 92/15 97/17 100/10 103/8 106/14 126/15 156/23 164/14 165/9 167/8 167/9 171/11 175/15 176/2 195/20 198/10 $213 / 8218 / 6$ 224/4 239/4 244/7 250/18 251/5 254/17 254/18 256/4 257/9 262/12 265/11 265/13 266/6 274/16
saying [16] 26/8 38/17 38/23
43/16 43/19 93/22 132/3 132/7 187/20 219/5 236/21 245/3 246/13 247/7 256/20 259/6
says [11] $21 / 1837 / 141 / 841 / 8$
41/12 99/7 129/18 140/23 141/17
150/8 252/25
scale [2] 195/13 196/7
scene [3] 224/23 225/19 266/16
schedule [2] 92/12 109/4
scheduled [2] 23/24 232/8
schedules [2] 92/16 106/15
scheme [1] 88/7
science [1] 185/25
scope [7] 35/22 35/23 36/3 168/19
177/12 181/24 182/12
Scott [4] 244/5 244/6 244/17
270/23
scratch [1] 37/18
screening [1] 112/14
scripts [6] 15/15 $15 / 1715 / 18$ 16/3 46/3 46/5
se [2] 102/7 147/20
search [66] 174/24 178/7 179/6 179/24 184/7 184/12 186/18 186/21 186/23 186/24 193/25 194/3 194/20 194/21 195/1 195/8 196/7 196/14 197/4 199/2 200/15
201/11 202/16 204/12 204/16 207/9 208/20 216/20 216/20 225/15 226/19 226/24 227/8 231/3 234/2 234/25 239/4 239/14 239/21
239/22 239/25 241/19 242/21
242/25 243/21 243/24 245/20 246/3 246/6 246/23 247/4 247/5 247/13 249/16 249/20 254/9 254/19 254/23 254/25 255/12 257/17 258/10 270/19 270/20 273/9 273/15
searched [3] 136/7 247/3 247/4 searcher [1] 209/3
searchers [22] 197/16 197/22
199/6 199/9 199/14 204/8 204/12 204/15 204/20 204/25 205/16 205/19 206/3 206/5 206/10 206/1 8 209/5 209/7 235/5 235/7 244/24 246/18
searches [16] 178/1 178/13 179/11 179/21 186/7 186/14 186/15
195/13 195/17 196/2 196/23 204/3 240/4 241/14 241/22 241/24
searching [13] 196/9 196/10
196/25 197/15 201/16 203/22 205/4 210/1 257/6 257/12 258/1
260/9 273/10
season [1] 255/24
seat [1] 56/24
seated [6] 6/13 48/3 96/12 172/15 177/20 237/21
second [18] 8/2 35/4 60/12 60/15
66/8 73/17 118/13 119/7 127/23
128/21 131/12 145/21 182/11
192/25 195/10 235/6 236/3 239/1
seconds [2] 77/4 77/9
sections [1] 246/9
secure [5] 30/11 30/15 30/25 59/23 68/11
security [9] 29/23 30/6 54/3 130/3 130/10 131/17 131/19 132/23 249/4
see [80] $8 / 21$ 12/25 $21 / 1$ 26/2 26/20 28/25 29/24 31/14 31/16 $31 / 1832 / 132 / 632 / 833 / 734 / 22$ 36/6 45/4 50/22 51/4 53/13 61/5 62/4 63/7 79/4 83/14 84/17 91/11
103/12 111/1 111/14115/16 119/18 120/23 122/9 122/10 124/7 126/9 128/11 133/4 133/7 133/15 135/21 142/8 143/13 146/14 150/10 158/4 164/7 171/19 182/4 182/8 193/5 199/25 200/3 202/7 203/2 206/25 207/3 221/11 223/9 225/11 225/12 233/15 234/17 238/14 253/9 258/9 261/3 261/5 261/7 261/10 261/15 261/21 264/6 264/21 264/22 265/5 265/14 265/15 274/19
seeing[3] 110/20 214/12 221/19 seek [1] 37/1
seeking [2] 35/24 154/18
seem[5] 18/18 37/7 63/25 254/12 255/2
seemed [6] 63/5 83/4 88/24
216/17 236/1 271/11
seems [6] 35/19 179/8 190/3 190/4
235/20 235/24
seen [17] $27 / 975 / 7116 / 11116 / 12$
116/14 $116 / 17133 / 8135 / 18$
140/12 247/11 247/14 248/10
258/12 265/17 271/17 272/3 272/3
segments [2] 42/19 85/25
seizures [1] 186/8
selected [1] 85/21
selection [2] 85/23 87/7
self [1] 103/3
self-obviously [1] 103/3
semantics [1] 235/23
Seminar [1] 156/19
send [3] 44/16 152/13 249/20
sense [3] 43/2 67/23 145/23
sensitive [2] 156/24 157/2
sensitivity [1] 157/5
sent [6] 35/10 38/7 39/16 39/25
43/4 53/7
separate [8] 28/17 98/24 113/21
147/15 147/19 181/22 181/23
183/20
separated [1] 262/3
separating [1] 107/17
September [3] 48/14 70/16 70/19
sequence [2] 150/25 271/20
sequentially [1] 98/24
sequester [1] 184/23
sequestered [2] 5/16 228/16
sequestration [2] 5/4 229/13
sergeant [21] 100/6 100/11 100/12
101/8 101/11 116/12 117/15
135/13 165/6 170/25 170/25 171/3
172/5 191/5 191/12 191/17 191/24
192/5 198/14 198/16 198/19
serious [1] 64/6
serve [1] 104/23
served [1] 66/21
serves [1] 17/18
services [5] 166/16 166/17 206/11 239/3 239/8
set [9] 11/2 71/21 74/23 99/10
109/4 116/9 138/13 139/25 217/11
setting [6] $12 / 10 \quad 12 / 1332 / 14$
83/22 93/5 $111 / 3$
seven [2] 127/7 260/16
several [8] 98/1 170/20 175/12
193/22 204/15 221/19 245/19
246/10
sex[1] 170/13
shackles [2] 54/5 54/23
shake [1] 67/7
shaped [2] 196/18 260/15
share [3] 61/16 128/3 128/4
she [140] $5 / 2310 / 1636 / 337 / 14$
49/16 49/19 49/23 74/9 74/14 74/16 74/16 74/24 75/18 76/2
76/15 76/20 76/20 81/2 94/24
112/15 117/16118/24118/25
119/5 119/6 $119 / 8119 / 12119 / 13$
119/13119/13119/16119/17
119/17119/21 120/3 120/5 120/7 124/21 125/3 $159 / 11$ 189/7 189/17 197/7 201/23 201/25 202/1 205/9
210/12 210/23 210/24 211/19
211/19 211/20 212/18 212/20
212/22 212/23 212/24 213/2 213/3
$213 / 5213 / 7213 / 9213 / 10213 / 14$
214/8 214/8 214/9214/11 214/14
214/15 214/16 214/17214/18
214/22 $215 / 2215 / 4215 / 7215 / 8$
215/9215/10215/11215/12
$215 / 14215 / 14215 / 19215 / 21$
215/21 216/2 216/4 216/8 216/10
216/12 216/13 216/16 216/17
216/21 216/25 217/2 217/4 217/7
217/8218/11 218/12 218/12
$218 / 13218 / 15219 / 5219 / 7219 / 7$
219/9 219/10 239/15 243/3 243/7
244/17 247/14 248/10 250/16
256/8 256/20 259/8 259/8 259/11
259/12 261/17 263/2 263/4 263/15
263/17 265/5 265/8 265/9 265/11
265/13 265/14 265/15 271/14
272/2 275/2
she's [6] 10/8 81/2 123/4 123/7 205/10 241/5
Sheboygan [1] 143/23
shed [1] 272/16
sheet [8] 26/19 79/2 252/3 252/5 252/7 252/10 264/19 264/20
sheriff [60] 20/9 20/17 21/10 29/1 29/10 59/16 73/20 96/25 100/5 122/14 123/1 123/7 124/2 124/8 124/13 124/21 125/1 129/11 129/1 8 129/23 130/6 130/25 140/5 140/7 140/10 151/1 151/6 151/15 151/19 151/24 152/15 162/1 162/15 162/18 193/2 194/9 199/19 200/6 202/4 202/22 203/20 211/6 211/10211/13211/17211/20 212/10 212/13 217/16 217/19 222/1 8 247/17 248/1 250/3 250/9 250/19 263/5 263/15 268/2 270/11 sheriff's [70] 12/9 12/16 12/20 $13 / 5$ 13/20 14/2 20/24 21/8 23/12 27/1 28/13 28/14 28/19 33/16 $51 / 2052 / 1153 / 2454 / 1355 / 12$ 56/5 57/20 58/25 60/4 63/13 65/9 65/13 67/2 67/11 67/22 68/6 68/14 68/16 72/16 72/19 73/23 81/10 83/9 97/7 102/5 102/6 122/18 127/6 127/9 133/21 138/5 185/15 191/2 199/15 199/22 201/1 201/8 203/18 203/25 205/23 206/10 206/13 206/22 207/17 207/22 208/9 209/17 209/23 210/14 210/24 211/12 217/11 222/18 238/6 242/4 242/15
shift [13] 80/12 89/24 92/15
100/11 104/20 105/9 105/14
105/17 106/11 191/11 197/20 198/6 198/25
shifts [1] 105/11
shook [3] 63/7 64/5 67/11
shop [1] 220/21
short [9] 6/5 24/25 39/20 68/4
68/5 125/18 169/17 260/11 262/4
shorter [1] 85/3
shorthand [1] 277/10
shortly [4] 19/12 28/4 39/25
191/14
shot [1] 34/23
shots [2] 84/15 84/15
should [33] 5/16 5/24 54/18 60/22 65/18 65/21 100/8 $116 / 22$ 134/21 134/25 140/22 149/2 156/22
166/15 176/7 176/8 177/5 179/23
181/15 183/11 184/1 195/20 203/6 217/8 223/21 231/25 232/9 232/25
should... [5] 232/25 233/8 239/4
247/13 253/22
shouldn't [1] 216/13
show [12] 26/12 45/24 79/3 81/14
119/22 121/1 136/24 164/20
164/25 178/16 183/2 227/5
showed [3] 68/4 143/6 246/5
shower [2] 165/18 171/7
showing [4] 14/16 138/17 153/18
226/22
shows [1] 142/7
sic [1] 229/11
side [9] $52 / 1056 / 1461 / 1682 / 5$
82/18 82/24 155/6 261/19 261/24
sided [1] 98/4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { sides [4] } & 53 / 14 & 82 / 17 & 98 / 4 \\ 228 / 15\end{array}$
sight [1] 225/13
sign [7] 26/12 26/1 8 53/3 68/24
79/2 187/24 252/25
sign-in [1] 26/12
signature [2] 227/9 227/24
signed [6] 10/25 30/7 30/13 62/21
114/1 227/23
significance [2] 43/18 173/25
signing [2] 26/14 79/7
similar [10] 170/20 $213 / 4$ 214/14
214/14 $215 / 9$ 235/14 235/23
236/13 262/10 263/20
simply[5] 32/24 55/2 61/20 88/19 151/21
simultaneous [1] 174/16
since [12] $44 / 9$ 69/11 $113 / 8113 / 13$ 152/10 152/15 174/17 175/3 228/3 229/14 230/2 250/12
single [3] 114/25 260/13 260/18 sir [7] 248/5 248/7 259/21 270/8
270/16 270/21 271/1
sister [3] 190/23 239/19 269/21
sisters [1] 243/17
sit [1] 163/25
sitting [5] 8/17 11/16 $11 / 1712 / 4$
31/2
situation [7] 76/1 106/5 120/21
159/9 176/8 187/6 187/9
Sixth [1] 36/7
skipped [1] 262/2
slightly [1] 85/7
small [20] 11/4 11/4 11/20 30/18
32/14 34/24 53/12 53/12 63/23
82/20 82/25 83/22 84/21 84/24
89/4 93/5 112/16 198/1 220/22
221/20
smile [1] 64/5
smiling [2] 63/6 64/2
snippets [2] 85/25 147/12
so [250] 6/4 6/6 7/21 8/3 8/4 8/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}9 / 23 & 10 / 11 & 10 / 16 & 12 / 25 & 15 / 25\end{array}$
19/16 22/5 22/23 23/24 25/23
26/11 29/13 30/1731/1732/8
34/12 35/19 36/17 37/4 37/6 38/7 $41 / 2342 / 1242 / 2443 / 443 / 12$ 44/20 44/22 45/1 46/18 47/22 49/18 53/13 57/11 63/16 66/22 69/25 71/5 71/16 76/4 77/8 79/12 79/20 80/24 82/7 83/11 84/8 89/23 90/25 91/11 93/1 95/18 97/17 99/15 100/10 100/19 101/11 101/19 105/9 106/9 106/25 108/6 109/3 $111 / 4111 / 17112 / 14112 / 18$ 114/21 115/4 116/8 116/13 118/12 122/24 123/7 123/9 124/3 125/17

126/15 126/19 128/8 130/21 132/9
132/22 133/3 133/22 134/15
135/22 137/21 139/22 145/25
146/16 147/11 149/6 151/12
151/17 151/21 154/9 154/12
155/16 $156 / 23$ 157/2 158/25 167/8 167/17 170/23 172/3 174/18 176/7 176/15 177/12 177/23 179/16
181/1 181/10 182/6 183/5 184/12
186/20 187/12 189/13 189/15
189/16 190/12 190/24 191/2
191/13 191/20 193/20 194/19
196/1 196/8 196/12 196/21 198/12
198/19 199/5 200/6 201/15 202/21 202/24 203/10 203/15 204/23
205/1 205/2 205/15 206/17 206/21
206/21 207/2 207/24 208/24
209/21 211/8211/14211/25
212/15 212/16 214/1 214/8 214/17
214/23 $215 / 2215 / 2215 / 21217 / 2$
217/9 217/17 217/23 218/17
219/17 219/18 220/5 223/10
225/10 226/7 226/15 227/6 227/23 228/25 230/5 233/1 234/11 235/12 238/25 239/13 240/22 241/6 241/25 242/12 242/23 243/9 244/1 244/23 245/10 245/25 246/7
246/25 247/2 247/6 247/17 247/20 248/8 249/22 249/24 249/25 250/8
250/18 250/23 251/5 251/17
252/19 252/20 254/3 254/22
255/15 256/19 256/22 256/23
257/14 257/23 258/15 259/2 259/3
259/9 260/24 262/25 263/9 264/5
264/8 264/11 264/15 264/21 265/2 266/15 266/19 269/8 269/13 271/2 273/21 273/22 274/14 275/19 275/21
solely [2] 35/16 171/23
solicitous [1] 171/10
solicitude [1] 172/5
some [128] 4/4 5/24 6/2 8/12 14/7 20/14 20/1 8 20/20 29/2 31/1 31/2 41/17 41/23 43/5 43/17 53/13
61/13 72/25 73/3 76/6 79/12 81/9 82/25 84/25 86/3 93/25 104/3 112/14 125/13 125/19 128/8 129/10 131/14 132/13 136/11 136/15 136/16 147/7 147/12 148/12 151/5 151/18 158/4 162/8 173/15 176/17 184/18 185/19 187/13 187/19 189/3 190/10 190/14 191/13 191/18 191/23 192/13 192/15 192/16 192/24 193/8 193/23 195/25 196/8 196/21 198/13 199/5 200/20 200/21 202/11 203/21 204/2 207/8 209/1 1 210/6 210/8 210/17213/10213/22 214/24 215/12 216/21 217/3
217/25 218/3 218/7 220/1 222/10
222/14 222/14 222/21 223/1 223/5 224/9 224/10 225/10 225/12
225/14 229/13 229/14 230/7 233/2 234/6 239/8 240/11 240/18 241/14 245/17 247/21 251/19 252/2 255/11 256/5 256/12 257/11 258/21 258/24 259/4 259/11 259/14 260/23 261/8 262/3 262/15 264/5 264/19 265/11 273/18
somebody[19] 31/19 47/20 67/23
86/6 $111 / 19114 / 10119 / 12120 / 12$
122/25 123/4 133/1 189/13 192/10 192/12 197/2 197/17 198/17 217/6
$229 / 17$
somehow [3] 183/21 190/19 191/9 someone [23] 23/15 25/8 34/9
36/7 39/22 57/2 72/7 75/10 87/19
107/2 121/15 125/8 132/21 132/24 133/2 159/6 166/18 166/24 187/4 217/5 217/14 254/19 264/11
something [42] $24 / 1437 / 2541 / 7$ 41/9 41/12 41/14 74/19 82/2 86/14 89/3 89/7 90/23 91/1 121/8 131/23 133/18 135/6 135/8 141/4 146/22 147/17 156/14 171/20
187/2 187/4 188/14 196/14 210/10 211/19 213/3 219/6 246/1 248/19 250/2 $1256 / 2$ 256/6 256/1 $1259 / 4$ 259/4 259/5 259/10 267/9
sometime [2] 23/25 228/3
sometimes [8] 106/16 158/7
158/19 165/18 166/24 187/6 187/7 187/8
somewhat [4] 63/25 157/5 158/12 216/17
somewhere [9] 77/5 81/5 187/13 199/10 199/16 205/10 212/11 223/5 242/19
soon [2] $217 / 7$ 224/8
sorry [22] 17/9 46/13 50/15 50/16 70/11 70/18 76/23 87/3 90/16 107/10 114/7 129/11 138/2 217/19 223/10 227/11 230/10 239/17 253/6 261/8 266/10 266/19 sort [26] 11/20 17/21 21/11 30/20 42/12 76/2 80/13 82/24 84/17 92/21 93/20 131/14 158/4 171/19
172/7 191/22 199/11 220/6 220/11 223/22 245/17 252/22 253/9
255/11 256/13 265/21
sound [3] 26/15 79/10 118/17
sounds [2] 118/18 244/8
southeast [1] 220/18
space [1] 204/2
Spanish [1] 258/14
sparked [1] 23/4
speak [28] 49/19 49/21 50/16
50/1851/23 75/18 75/19 75/23
76/3 82/10 107/20 111/4 119/12 128/18 134/23 138/9 164/15
166/18 $170 / 15$ 191/11 196/1 226/5 254/4 258/7 263/2 263/5 266/11 272/10
speaking [7] 55/21 75/12 118/7 151/7 209/18 258/14 264/13 special [5] 1/13 1/15 4/9 150/13 262/20
specialized [1] 186/1
specific [17] 18/4 49/15 75/10 104/10 112/22 127/2 143/5 155/13 156/3 156/22 172/1 196/3 200/9 234/22 246/11 247/21 264/20
specifically [13] 7/10 51/1957/9
89/2 89/6 106/23 142/25 152/21
156/18 162/22 164/14 167/19 190/23
specify [1] 112/5
speculation [3] 126/10 250/16 256/15
speech [1] 157/3
speed [1] 213/19
spell [5] 6/14 48/4 96/13 185/7 237/22
spent [2] 17/1 26/9
spoke [27] $9 / 9$ 50/13 91/17 119/4 119/14 189/3 191/5 191/13 191/17

S
spoke... [18] 192/7 192/22 198/6
198/14 198/17 198/23 205/3 205/4
205/6 $211 / 25219 / 12$ 221/6 228/11
263/14 265/1 265/25 266/14 272/6
spoken [6] 55/17 90/5 90/8 90/11
198/19 250/9
square [2] 76/22 76/24
ss [1] 277/1
staff [31] 52/24 53/2 60/21 62/5
80/21 92/9 101/6 101/19 102/3
102/4 102/8 103/25 $115 / 24121 / 9$
126/18 134/23 135/8 148/9 148/9
149/18 $154 / 3158 / 10$ 163/25
165/21 166/10 166/20 167/7
168/16 169/9 187/19 233/14
staffing [4] 102/10 158/12 158/20
158/22
stage [1] 240/3
stamped [2] 116/13 116/13
stand [4] 129/19 132/9 181/15 233/23
standard [5] 148/12 $182 / 6182 / 18$ 186/11 241/17
standby [1] 266/1
standing [26] 31/19 31/25 67/3
77/5 129/24 130/17 132/1 132/6
133/21 178/11 178/12 178/16
178/20 178/24 179/4 179/10
179/18 179/21 180/16 181/13
182/23 182/23 183/6 183/12
183/15 183/21
stapled [3] 98/3 114/18 115/5
start [13] 7/17 14/19 37/18 104/6
174/12 195/16 196/24 202/3 233/6 247/13 257/6 257/12 273/15
started [13] 17/22 18/2 33/1 52/17
63/22 70/25 71/5 82/20 105/13
122/7 141/17 143/19 231/22
starters [1] 93/14
starting [1] 48/22
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { state [33] } 1 / 1 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 141 / 164 / 2\end{array}$
4/5 4/7 4/9 4/18 5/1 6/13 10/4 $43 / 847 / 1848 / 3$ 96/2 96/12 135/2
146/24 149/9 $158 / 2$ 172/21 175/10 177/20 178/19 180/13 184/24 185/8 237/21 276/7 276/9 277/1 277/5
State's [1] 184/5
stated [11] 74/17 128/20 139/15
139/17 165/13 165/20 166/9
166/13 166/19 166/23 201/15
statement [9] 9/24 10/11 35/24
38/17 72/8 129/4 154/8 238/4 238/5
statements [7] 4/18 37/2 146/24
146/25 147/7 147/20 182/3
states [1] 123/8
station [8] $15 / 418 / 19$ 22/9 44/3
76/12 123/21 132/20 166/12
stationed [1] 134/13
stations [1] 247/18
status [1] 155/25
stay [2] 130/9 217/4
staying [1] 224/21
stenographic [1] 277/9
step [3] 5/20 108/10 233/17
Stephen [1] 4/2
steps [1] 193/5
Steve [2] 115/9 190/22
STEVEN [54] 1/6 1/21 7/13 8/15
9/1 19/1 1 19/14 23/1 27/8 27/17
$35 / 13$ 37/25 48/24 50/10 50/23
51/1 51/9 52/19 52/25 53/4 53/15
56/23 59/8 59/13 60/21 61/3
62/13 67/7 67/16 70/22 70/24
71/8 74/4 88/25 91/18 113/23
123/12 124/4 124/16 127/12
133/25 139/20 144/2 144/6 149/20
149/24 152/14 179/13 190/21
191/25 192/7 200/24 201/9 222/23
stick [1] 272/17
sticker [2] 149/3 218/10
sticking [1] 260/22
still [11] 51/14 126/2 147/23
153/14 176/22 203/1 223/23 230/6
233/22 245/7 256/20
stipulate [1] 268/10
Stipulated [1] 163/20
stipulating [1] 267/6
stipulation [2] 267/24 269/1
stood [5] 59/2 67/7 67/10 67/10
143/18
stop [3] 151/10 221/25 222/1
stopped [4] 162/21 253/3 253/5 253/6
Stopper's [1] 210/14
stories [13] 14/21 14/22 $15 / 315 / 5$
15/8 15/9 15/16 34/10 34/19
42/10 44/6 44/7 46/3
story [34] 15/19 16/4 18/12 19/17
23/2 29/4 42/13 44/2 52/10 56/15
61/17 69/23 70/2 70/23 71/5
71/18 71/19 71/19 71/20 82/5
$82 / 1782 / 2484 / 1985 / 185 / 586 / 1$
86/4 86/22 86/24 87/15 87/22
91/9 91/25 92/1
straight [2] 31/4 219/24
STRANG[15] 1/17 2/5 2/10 2/12
2/14 4/13 6/16 19/3 37/23 70/10
96/5 147/21 168/5 168/22 169/23
strange [1] 249/2
stricken [1] 182/7
strike [3] 9/14 66/25 182/16
strip [1] 225/2
structure [2] 100/1 100/22
structured [1] 108/17
stuck [1] 254/21
studied [1] 160/6
stuff [3] 32/15 262/3 274/17
STURM [15] 2/18 209/2 209/23
210/18 212/3 218/9 229/12 229/25
231/13 231/14 237/18 237/23
268/1 268/20 269/9
Sturm's [1] 233/18
Sturms [2] 233/21 237/13
subject [11] 16/8 23/1 36/6 42/25
46/6 134/21 134/25 139/12 139/13
139/19 139/19
submit [3] 173/6 174/7 176/18
submitted [2] 172/25 173/22
submitting [1] 136/2
subpoena [4] 97/22 233/2 233/8
276/10
subpoenaed [6] 231/1 231/8
232/11 233/17 276/7 276/9
Subsequent [1] 50/12
substance [5] 46/10 46/15 83/24
93/7 146/12
substantial [1] 9/21
substantive [2] 43/18 84/6
substituted [1] 110/15
such [10] 11/25 33/6 51/10 68/3
125/5 145/11 151/18 156/10
168/14 179/6
sufficient [3] 175/6 178/6 181/17
suggest [11] 13/12 26/13 36/14
56/1 57/2 65/17 65/20 72/14 181/5 194/24 199/14
suggested [3] 54/16 54/17 78/10
suggesting [3] 82/16 87/23 144/3
suggestion [3] 120/12 247/6 247/8
suggestions [1] 197/11
summer [1] 29/16
Sunday [2] 109/5 126/1
sunken [1] 220/12
superseding [1] 136/20
supervising [1] 108/12
supervisor [12] 49/20 49/22 50/16
75/13 75/19 75/23 76/3 77/15
88/6 101/2 158/15 191/11
supervisors [2] 50/14 158/16
supplement [2] 180/15 268/8
supplemental [1] 174/7
support [2] 172/25 182/9
suppose [1] 177/5
supposed [2] 226/8 226/12
suppress [3] $4 / 1835 / 24146 / 23$
suppressed [3] 177/14 179/18
183/23
suppression [3] 37/2 177/24 181/5
sure [62] 20/20 24/24 25/7 34/8
$36 / 939 / 343 / 1546 / 1359 / 1383 / 4$ 86/1 8 87/18 87/23 87/25 97/25
99/18 $111 / 3111 / 11112 / 8116 / 14$
118/21 118/23 119/4 121/2 123/19 123/21 128/3 128/5 130/8 130/12
133/24 133/25 140/14 144/7 147/4
151/15 166/4 171/12 171/17
184/11 185/9 198/12 203/2 208/8
230/14 231/22 232/4 232/20
239/13 244/16 245/24 246/11
247/19 250/14 257/10 257/20
257/22 261/20 267/8 273/7 275/11
276/14
surely [1] 256/22
surface [2] 131/15 131/16
surrounding [1] 27/25
suspect [2] 37/19 154/11
suspected [1] 264/9
suspended [2] 240/19 240/20
suspicion [1] 202/7
suspicious [1] 249/25
sustain [5] 126/12 162/9 170/4
203/11 241/7
sustained [3] 250/17 256/18 259/13
swear [3] 6/8 177/10 188/1
switch [1] $87 / 13$
swore [1] 234/12
sworn [9] 6/1 1 48/1 96/10 97/6 177/18 187/23 187/23 237/19 242/12

## T

table [2] 11/4 53/12
take [53] 4/3 11/1 13/16 16/22
25/17 41/15 45/1 46/1 60/15 78/19 80/5 89/17 91/24 95/2 95/7
95/11 95/14 95/22 99/11110/21
120/14 129/12 133/10 133/12
139/14 144/17 144/19 145/24
164/12 176/12 176/24 177/11
180/13 181/15 181/20 184/4 185/4
195/3 222/12 222/15 223/14
223/21 224/15 225/21 228/3 228/5 229/9 231/15 255/1 261/21 267/16 268/23 275/11

| T |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| taken[12] 46/19 46/20 95/25 |  | 66/20 67/5 73/3 83/1 |
|  |  | /20 115/5 123/9 123/14 |
| 77 |  | 125/4 125/13 126/20 130/5 133/3 |
| [2] 86/18 146/14 | terms [25] 9/19 11/1112/18 13/21 | 133/4 133/15 134/5 140/24 144/7 |
| ing [5] 56/23 65/12 90/7 224/1 | 14/1 17/16 17/25 50/8 54/20 56/9 | 145/4 152/22 155/22 156/6 156/13 |
|  | 58/13 76/10 103/5 106/23 112/14 | 158/17 160/3 160/10 171/12 185/1 |
| talk[40] 5/8 9/5 11/20 23/9 23/17 | 158/18 161/7 162/25 163/2 172/5 | 188/1 190/16 195/3 195/6 197/11 |
| 28/5 32/14 33/15 34/24 40/9 | 177/14 179/10 190/3 235/22 | 197/14 197/21 200/18 200/22 |
| 61/14 63/23 75/12 75/15 77/24 | 271/16 | 201/3 206/12 206/19 208/3 209/19 |
| 79/20 82/20 82/25 83/22 84/21 | Tesheneck [3] 1/24 277/4 277/1 | 210/16 225/20 226/5 226/5 229/14 |
| 84/24 88/25 89/9 93/6 115/10 | testified [6] 6/12 48/2 96/11 | 229/17 230/4 230/14 233/2 233/2 |
| 123/14 126/21 128/17 140/3 156/7 | 118/25 177/19 237/20 | 242/6 249/20 258/7 258/9 258/12 |
| 159/9 160/3 166/24 199/9 217/20 | testify [1] 232/22 | 258/15 258/20 259/2 265/6 265/14 |
| 222/2 231/25 232/3 243/15 273/21 | testifying [1] 228/17 | 265/15 270/19 |
| ed [20] 50/1761/12 72/18 | testimony [18] 125/14 147/18 |  |
| 7/15 138/6 142/14 156/21 | 159/11 173/12 173/17173/19 | then [127] 7/10 11/2 25/14 27/24 |
| 166/22 184/16 191/24 200/21 | 180/14 181/21 209/21 224/13 | 30/6 30/14 32/23 33/5 33/13 38/5 |
| 203/16 204/19 209/3 212/8 212/18 | 231/4 231/16 231/20 232/17 238/3 | 42/9 45/4 51/6 51/24 52/23 53/1 |
| 217/19 217/24 243/17 248/6 | 238/9 259/10 275/18 | 53/3 53/4 56/8 56/18 59/8 59/9 |
| talking [27] 7/19 8/13 8/17 8/18 | text [1] 86/3 | 62/21 67/15 67/17 67/18 72/23 |
| 10/9 16/18 21/15 28/15 37/7 41/4 | than [35] 27/5 27/23 33/1834/2 | 73/6 73/17 74/9 77/18 78/8 81/5 |
| 42/18 53/15 60/20 82/23 84/16 | 41/21 42/13 79/16 84/12 85/3 | 81/8 85/1 85/10 86/3 86/9 91/19 |
| 106/9 111/5 142/23 161/13 167/19 | 85/11 87/12 87/19 91/10 98/19 | 94/17 95/23 100/7 100/19 112/8 |
| 169/21 171/9 209/9 220/2 234/1 | 103/4 106/13 108/25 110/21 | 1/19 122/9 125/3 |
| 254/13 256/1 | 113/10 118/6 139/23 143/5 167/1 | 125/7 129/9 135/18 $141 / 8$ 147/3 |
| tape [13] 34/8 34/10 34/19 40/22 | 169/25 172/8 190/12 205/17 | 149/17 150/11 159/4 174/16 |
| 41/3 41/12 42/7 42/14 84/10 | 213/14 221/25 223/25 230/13 | 174/23 178/10 178/15 178/16 |
| 88/11 109/19 109/22 267/25 | 253/3 262/17 263/11 264/2 | 179/8 180/4 181/12 181/15 182/6 |
| taped [4] 41/11 41/19 42/1 84/22 | thank [33] 10/18 14/14 16/2 16/7 | 182/8 182/10 182/14 182/18 |
| taping [2] 40/25 42/5 | 22/1833/1843/21 45/14 47/3 | 183/11 183/17 183/20 184/1 |
| taught [1] 186/17 | 55/21 70/11 82/23 94/21 97/24 | 187/22 188/16 191/14 191/17 |
| telephone [21] 24/21 35/5 35/17 | 98/1 99/17 121/8 128/2 150/10 | 192/13 193/21 194/18 196/21 |
| 36/22 37/2 37/9 40/3 46/4 46/6 | 150/16 153/4 153/7 167/8 172/16 | 201/6 201/16 203/16 206/22 |
| 47/1 81/2 107/20 145/13 145/15 | 177/4 228/8 233/25 237/16 255/23 | 210/24 211/8 211/25 217/2 217/9 |
| 151/25 152/7 152/10 168/11 | 266/24 266/25 268/23 276/24 | 218/13 218/17 220/6 220/11 |
| 168/25 170/2 250/3 | thanked [2] 16/17 167/5 | 220/22 222/21 225/22 227/6 |
| telephonic [2] 36/1 45/6 | that [1201] | 227/15 227/24 229/9 233/16 |
| televised [6] 28/22 118/2 118/6 | that's [113] 6/1 7/20 22/13 23/21 | 236/16 241/10 242/18 245/25 |
| 139/4 150/19 150/21 | 26/21 30/13 34/12 37/6 37/6 37/8 | 250/9 254/4 254/23 256/10 25 |
| television [7] 6/25 18/8 52/8 | 37/13 37/19 39/4 40/11 45/14 | 262/20 263/5 263/7 263/14 264/10 |
| 165/19 166/12 170/10 170/12 | 47/14 70/6 71/23 72/11 80/22 | 264/15 265/25 266/16 267/20 |
| tell [63] 8/10 8/23 17/16 21/17 | 85/22 87/16 87/18 87/21 90/24 | 267/24 270/9 275/12 276/21 |
| 22/2 38/23 39/1 40/8 46/1 49/1 | 94/20 95/19 96/20 96/24 97/23 | theory [2] 9/24 183/7 |
| 50/7 51/19 52/4 56/4 56/8 56/11 | 98/15 98/20 99/9 100/14 121/2 | there [258] 5/6 5/7 8/14 9/6 10/12 |
| 58/9 60/17 61/9 63/20 64/12 | 122/12 123/3 129/6 135/6 135/8 | 11/12 11/16 11/17 |
| 78/15 78/19 80/15 86/12 96/17 | 135/18 137/21 138/3 141/3 146/5 | 11/17 12/5 12/8 12/11 12/12 |
| 98/17 119/16 125/7 130/7 140/24 | 146/19 147/4 147/6 147/15 147/18 | 12/15 12/19 12/24 13/1715/1 |
| 145/3 156/17 165/8 204/1 204/14 | 149/6 153/4 154/9 154/17 155/15 | 17/16 22/8 22/9 22/10 22/23 |
| 204/23 205/22 212/22 212/24 | 165/6 165/21 170/3 172/11 174/22 | 23/11 23/25 26/1 26/4 26/7 29/19 |
| 214/10 216/2 217/2 218/6 221/8 | 176/2 179/16 179/19 181/4 181/10 | 29/21 30/13 31/19 31/21 32/5 |
| 221/11 221/15 227/6 235/11 | 181/22 183/9 183/18 183/19 | 41/6 43/22 44/8 45/2 47/9 49/14 |
| 235/13 239/7 249/7 250/8 250/11 | 184/14 188/13 191/1 193/4 194/6 | 51/8 53/8 53/12 53/20 54/2 57/1 |
| 254/8 255/5 255/11 257/21 259/18 | 196/16 196/20 198/4 199/4 200/8 | 60/8 60/11 61/12 61/19 62/24 |
| 263/18 265/8 265/22 274/11 | 200/11 202/6 206/19 211/18 224/6 | 63/16 64/16 67/2 67/23 69/21 |
| telling [6] 123/7 126/6 199/7 | 227/12 227/12 230/12 232/19 | 69/22 72/4 72/5 72/6 73/11 73/11 |
| 204/5 215/4 219/5 | 233/6 234/23 236/17 236/19 237/6 | 80/17 82/25 84/9 84/12 88/22 |
| tells [2] 125/1 140/5 | 237/8 239/6 240/13 241/18 241/21 | 88/23 91/16 92/10 92/24 93/2 |
| temporary [1] 101/1 | 243/6 243/14 244/3 245/4 247/12 | 99/12 100/11 101/1 104/2 |
| tender [1] 168/1 | 248/11 248/22 256/25 257/23 | 107/1 107/17 108/16 108/17 110/1 |
| tenuous [1] 190/3 | 259/11 267/10 271/5 272/9 275/4 |  |
| tenure [1] 126/22 | 276/20 | 111/21 112/17 112/21 113/17 |
| Teresa [31] 8/16 17/21 19/15 20/3 | their [34] 4/5 92/12 104/13 106/23 | 114/25 115/3 120/21 122/9 130/10 |
| 27/25 29/7 32/16 34/25 40/14 | 108/4 121/10 123/24 133/9 156/11 | 133/21 134/5 134/16 134/18 |
| 71/6 153/2 180/6 188/8 188/22 | 156/11 157/2 161/19 161/22 162/5 | 136/11 136/14 139/7 139/7 139/20 |
| 192/4 193/9 210/16 235/8 238/25 | 172/25 173/1 174/12 191/3 191/10 | 140/9 141/20 143/3 143/4 150/17 |
| 239/4 239/12 247/11 251/11 | 195/3 196/2 198/24 199/11 199/17 | 152/6 153/14 154/22 155/12 157/9 |
| 254/10 254/11 254/12 256/6 261/5 | 200/1 200/14 204/3 205/6 206/11 | 21 |
| 272/24 273/10 273/11 |  | $23$ |
| Teresa's [17] 188/25 192/22 193/14 201/21 201/22 $214 / 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 254 / 20 \\ & \text { theirs [1] } 205 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}176 / 8 & 178 / 23 & 179 / 9 & 180 / 2118 \\ 180 / 25 & 180 / 25 & 182 / 10 & 183 / 11\end{array}$ |
| 242/22 243/10 244/2 244/13 245/1 | them [81] 13/8 14/9 20/13 20/14 | 185/16 189/4 189/20 190/1 192/15 |

there... [119] 193/19 194/2 194/14 194/18 194/19 195/23 195/24 197/18 197/22 199/16 199/23 199/24 200/2 200/3 200/20 202/13 202/13 202/18 202/18 202/20 204/7 206/2 206/17 206/19 207/6 207/23 209/1 210/6 210/8 211/17 214/24 216/5 216/14 216/18 216/21 217/6 217/16 217/24 218/9 218/12 218/15 218/15 218/19 218/24 219/20 220/6 220/8 220/23 220/24 222/4 222/17 222/25 223/4 223/5 223/6 224/10 224/17 225/13 227/13 228/20 232/13 233/14 234/15 234/16 234/17 239/14 240/1 8 243/25 244/4 244/5 244/20 244/20 245/2 245/6 245/8 245/10 245/17 245/21 246/3 246/20 246/21 247/7 247/14 249/7 249/15 249/23 250/24 251/1 251/5 252/11 252/17 252/17 253/3 253/5 253/5 253/9 253/9 254/4 255/6 257/25 258/4 258/13 258/21 258/25 259/3 259/11 259/18 261/23 262/9
263/25 267/5 267/12 270/24 273/3 273/18 274/12 274/14 274/21 276/4
there's [49] 5/11 5/13 11/4 29/23 81/3 87/7 98/4 100/11 100/25 104/10 108/24 111/10 111/11 112/14 112/17114/9 120/16 122/8 129/10 131/14 131/23 132/25 149/8 152/1 153/23 157/21 157/23 158/14 158/25 159/3 166/5 177/10 181/1 181/10 181/19 182/9 183/24 183/25 196/6 205/1 1 205/13
205/14 205/14 225/2 225/4 227/8 227/12 227/15 252/21
thereabouts [1] 213/19
thereafter [1] 277/11
these [43] 6/19 15/13 15/21 46/3
46/5 46/9 46/14 46/17 46/24
46/25 47/10 53/18 84/23 98/9
98/12 99/4 104/3 106/24 109/10 110/20 114/13 114/18 114/20 118/9 140/3 143/15 148/15 158/8 164/12 167/17 171/10 172/6 175/16 180/14 187/22 196/22 199/6 232/6 246/7 253/13 253/22 258/22 270/24
 12/11 12/12 13/12 15/25 16/3 17/20 25/16 25/19 25/20 26/4 31/25 33/9 33/11 38/23 39/1 39/12 46/2 46/18 46/19 53/18 54/5 59/2 59/8 62/12 62/13 63/14 63/15 65/5 66/21 67/8 67/13 67/16 67/25 83/9 83/12 89/2 90/16 93/2 99/4 99/7 101/1 101/10 101/16 102/2 102/3 102/19 103/23 105/14 106/4 106/16 108/6 108/17 112/6 112/7 114/17 114/21 121/16 125/12 125/12 125/13 126/4 126/8 126/17 128/17 128/20 131/21 133/3 133/17 134/1 134/1 134/4 134/22 136/16 145/13 145/18 152/12 154/12 155/18 155/20 155/24 156/1 162/3 163/6 171/14 174/13 175/12 175/14 178/7 178/20 178/22 178/23 180/17 182/12 187/10 189/13

192/23 192/24 195/2 196/23 197/3
197/6 197/8 197/9 197/12 197/13 197/15 197/17 197/24 199/7 199/1 1 200/22 200/23 200/23 201/9 201/14 204/22 205/6 205/7 205/16 206/16 208/1 208/2 208/7 209/7 209/16 $210 / 9215 / 17219 / 22$ 222/3 222/3 229/18 230/2 230/3
230/5 230/8 230/13 230/14 230/21 230/22 230/22 231/18 232/25
233/13 235/2 235/7 235/11 235/13 235/14 236/12 238/23 243/18 243/20 243/21 245/7 245/16 245/18 245/19 246/8 246/8 246/12 249/19 250/1 254/20 254/20 258/9 258/12 259/1 260/18 260/20 264/21
thing [11] $11 / 2144 / 182 / 2483 / 23$ 85/9 103/12 112/12 124/18 127/25 135/23 276/7
things [18] 88/7 133/19 144/24 146/3 157/18 158/3 168/10 171/5 186/2 187/10 188/25 191/23 197/6 197/23 205/14 209/12 213/19 264/16
think [96] 5/24 9/25 10/15 11/20
14/21 16/25 17/18 18/9 21/9
21/22 22/8 23/2 23/5 23/15 25/20
30/14 31/6 41/6 41/8 43/10 44/12
59/19 72/14 76/1 80/19 82/1 83/7 91/10 94/20 95/10 98/22 101/23
104/7 117/4 119/12 125/15 125/16 133/6 137/8 145/22 146/5 146/6 147/17 150/17 151/6 162/8 173/7 173/10 173/14 173/21 174/11 174/14 175/10 176/12 178/21 179/1 180/9 180/18 180/22 182/20 182/20 183/13 188/15 190/11
194/9 194/17 201/25 202/13 203/5 203/13 205/7 $211 / 18213 / 3$ 217/23 219/17 228/2 228/4 234/3 235/11 236/8 241/3 245/5 251/21 252/19 254/3 257/13 259/10 260/9 260/20 262/18 265/13 270/4 273/25
275/18 275/23 275/24
thinking [2] 12/2 69/7
thinks [1] 81/2
third [6] 37/8 101/9 118/16 151/19 176/2 210/13
Thirteen [1] 149/5
this [241] 4/1 4/3 4/20 4/23 6/9 7/12 7/21 8/19 9/20 9/24 10/1 10/19 12/10 14/5 14/18 15/8 17/23 21/12 21/20 21/21 22/19 $34 / 2135 / 1435 / 1936 / 136 / 4$ 36/14 36/15 37/4 37/16 38/11 38/24 39/16 41/12 41/23 42/7 42/24 44/22 45/5 47/15 48/16 50/1 50/12 51/13 56/856/22 58/10 58/16 59/15 61/9 61/18 62/1 1 62/15 63/2 63/4 63/16 64/7 64/15 66/8 66/22 67/1 70/23 70/24 71/5 71/18 71/20 73/4 $76 / 21$ 78/23 79/12 80/12 82/7 83/10 84/24 85/1 89/1 90/16 91/18 92/25 93/7 93/15 93/20 95/4 95/8 95/12 95/14 95/18 95/23 96/2 98/2 98/6 98/12 98/21 99/2 99/15 102/12 109/3 114/11 115/11116/10116/18116/24 121/6 122/7 123/16 128/10 128/17 129/6 130/21 131/16 137/3 137/20 138/7 139/22 140/21 142/10
$142 / 21$ 142/24 144/16 144/20
146/23 147/13 148/3 148/10 148/20 150/1 150/14150/14151/2 151/20 152/15 155/24 160/18 161/15 161/17 162/10 165/10 167/1 169/8 171/12 172/18 173/8 174/8 175/1 175/4 175/11 175/13 176/11 176/15 178/3 178/5 178/10 178/18 8 178/21 179/6 179/22 181/2 181/21 183/14 184/9 184/17 184/17 188/4 189/24 190/15 195/3 198/3 198/17 199/2 199/2 200/6 201/1 203/3 203/12 207/9 211/25 212/3 214/9 215/16 218/2 218/2 218/2 220/1 220/11 221/4 221/17 221/24 223/3 223/15 223/17 225/5 226/20 226/20 227/19 228/3 228/6 228/10 228/17 229/23 230/1 231/3 232/1 232/6 232/10 232/12 232/14 232/17 232/22 233/5 233/20 235/12 236/20 236/25 237/3 237/14 239/17 239/23 240/2 240/4 240/6 241/9 242/20 243/4 243/18 243/19 243/24 248/4 249/2 250/9 259/14 259/23 260/7 260/8 260/19 262/9 262/20 267/9 268/1 272/6 274/17 277/15
THOMAS [1] $1 / 15$
those [52] 5/18 6/5 6/7 15/9 15/15
16/9 20/12 20/25 34/7 42/19 46/1 47/12 61/15 73/5 73/19 102/2 103/14 104/17 107/15 109/19 110/14 113/18 122/11 126/2 131/24 132/1 147/7 150/24 159/4 161/23 163/3 167/9 180/3 181/12 182/6 182/17 182/19 193/23
198/21 198/22 200/5 204/12
204/25 206/5 210/16 216/3 227/14
233/7 245/2 248/15 257/18 268/11
though [15] 38/11 69/3 92/2 107/1
129/2 $151 / 22$ 187/12 200/10
234/15 247/20 248/20 260/22
263/22 264/18 264/23
thought [21] 144/21 149/1 160/7 177/11 178/19 196/3 199/24
200/11 202/2 208/3 213/3 214/4 214/25 216/13 245/8 245/10
247/12 258/16 259/1 262/9 265/18
thoughts [2] 206/25 207/4
thousands [2] 251/6 257/18
three [19] 6/6 11/5 22/8 63/17
98/2 102/16 104/10 110/13 118/2 147/14 150/19 150/24 152/17 170/10 221/16 227/8 227/12 229/2 229/4
threw [1] 39/8
through [28] 30/25 31/1 31/14 44/17 53/14 62/19 83/14 91/19 97/1 8 103/24 105/22 107/20 109/13 131/8 131/11 146/3 150/25 167/21 185/19 190/15 197/23 201/9 206/17 228/5 235/12 257/7 259/22 274/19
throughout [2] 8/19 73/4
Thursday [2] 109/5 201/20
thus [1] 183/6
tie [1] 241/8
tile [2] 131/5 131/9
time [146] 4/1 6/9 11/23 17/1
17/24 19/11 19/14 20/25 23/6 24/20 24/25 25/6 25/20 26/1 26/5 26/9 28/15 30/8 33/2 33/20 37/14 $38 / 11$ 40/24 44/10 44/22 45/2

| T | towards [2] 219/23 220/18 | type [7] 48/16 103/1 1 148/4 187/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [120] 45/5 48/16 52/16 | Toyota [3] 183/1 218/10 252/11 |  |
| 69/15 60/1 61/9 61/19 | trace [3] 251/11 273/11 273/12 | [2] $133 / 25$ |
| 63/16 64/16 66/19 69/11 | track [1] 42/16 |  |
| 69/11 69/16 71/20 72/6 75/1 75/5 | traffic [2] 197/18 197/25 | typist [1] 187/13 |
| 78/22 79/12 79/16 79/19 80/9 | trailer [6] 89/4 178/1 178/14 181/8 | U |
| 80/15 81/12 87/16 88/24 89/8 | 181/12 183/8 |  |
| 90/19 90/19 92/18 95/23 95/24 | training [7] 156/15 169/4 185/19 | ultimate [1] 122/ |
| 98/15 100/2 101/5 101/17 102/8 | 186/4 241/10 241/11 | ultimately [1] 104/17 |
| 102/12 102/24 108/24 109/7 109/8 | transcribed [1] 277/11 | Um [1] 262/22 |
| 110/20 113/10 113/13 119/21 | transcript [11] 1/23 173/5 174/10 | unable [2] 215/21 221/15 |
| 121/12 125/11 126/18 126/19 | 174/13 213/8 214/13 265/17 | nnounced [2] 142/8 143/6 |
| 129/23 130/1 133/10 135/5 135/6 | 267/12 268/21 277/8 277/12 | 86/24 |
| 135/8 136/1 139/22 142/24 143/1 | transcription [1] 277/11 | unchanged [2] 99/4 99/16 |
| 143/23 144/16 144/20 148/13 | transfer [1] 113/11 | under [18] 9/23 9/25 10/12 20/5 |
| 151/5 151/11 158/21 160/8 162/16 | transferred [2] 49/23 77/7 | 74/23 85/16 101/11 103/5 131/24 |
| 162/18 162/23 163/23 167/1 167/1 | transferring [1] 77/9 | 138/20 147/23 159/18 176/12 |
| 167/6 167/19 169/17 170/1 171/9 | transport[4] 101/10 101/12 | 182/2 184/5 186/11 233/7 261/25 |
| 174/4 175/20 176/1 176/11 176/15 | 101/13 102/18 | underneath [1] 100 |
| 178/10 184/9 191/18 198/13 | trap [1] 142/21 | understand [25] 35/22 36/25 46/1 |
| 200/13 202/15 203/14 205/16 | treated [4] 108/6 166/10 172 | 81/16 90/5 96/1 106/6 106/15 |
| 208/23 209/12 211/16 213/25 | 172/7 | 126/5 132/14 147/10 149/9 154 |
| 218/12 221/23 222/22 223/10 | trees [1] 225/2 | 159/10 170/20 177/24 179/15 |
| 223/11 224/5 224/9 224/20 227/19 | trial [2] 176/6 203/12 | 210/23 211/3 231/23 237/10 239/2 |
| 227/21 228/6 228/10 232/15 | tried [4] 90/13 164/14 254/19 | 250/20 260/12 263/13 |
| 234/11 236/20 243/15 244/17 | 274/1 | understanding [19] 6/18 35/18 |
| 262/2 262/4 266 | tries [1] 84/1 | 36/19 52/18 57/10 77/6 80/24 |
| timely [1] 45/3 | trip [1] 90/8 | 129/2 152/18 162/11 162/14 |
| times [11] 7/21 26/8 28/9 28/12 | true [17] 15/8 16/3 34/24 46/24 | 162/24 199/1 210/25 228/14 |
| 28/17 44/4 49/15 105/9 133/8 | 86/22 87/8 91/2 103/1 103/2 | 230/24 246/17 247/2 247/20 |
| 176/4 205/8 | 144/5 144/6 146/6 152/20 161/10 | understands [1] 154/4 |
| Timewise [1] 225/18 | 161/16 163/9 277/12 | understood [12] 19/6 22/20 24/13 |
| tissues [1] 261/8 | trunks [1] 260/3 | 43/15 70/20 74/9 74/22 77/16 |
| today [32] 4/15 5/24 | truth [7] 10/4 10/14 | 81/25 83/7 152/22 250/22 |
| 33/24 34/9 34/19 35/19 80/19 | 188/3 190/2 234/13 | undertaking [1] 202 |
| 81/3 83/23 91/8 97/21 98/19 | truthful [1] 226/16 | Unfamiliar [1] 133/24 |
| 117/19 176/25 179/2 180/14 | try [18] 23/8 29/20 58/17 60/20 | uniform [1] 92/10 |
| 180/18 184/2 184/12 228/13 230/5 | 60/22 83/1 89/8 106/18 112/4 | uniformed [4] 31/23 81/9 83/8 |
| 231/2 231/4 233/17 233/19 238/3 | 180/14 199/16 203/21 214/17 | 92/24 |
| 275/17 275/24 276/5 276/23 | 215/12 225/14 251/10 261/13 | uniforms [1] 102/2 |
| today's [6] 173/2 184/20 229/7 | 261/15 | union [1] 126/4 |
| 232/7 232/21 233/9 | trying [11] 26/8 51/4 104/23 | unique [1] 114/25 |
| Todd [1] 129/17 | 142/21 143/2 168/21 199/10 | unit [1] 165/16 |
| together [12] 22/9 22/11 23/6 98/3 | 207/18 211/24 261/17 264/16 | unknown [2] 215/17 221/4 |
| 114/14 186/22 186/23 186/24 | turned [12] 18/22 26/7 52/17 | unless [7] 42/25 98/16 101/16 |
| 187/1 197/4 199/10 209/12 | 56/21 62/1 90/25 167/6 168/1 | 102/19 130/10 160/19 169/11 |
| told [43] 8/24 38/6 38/16 38/21 | 169/9 169/18 170/13 239/4 | unmolested [1] 111/21 |
| 44/15 49/14 50/21 56/4 56/12 | turning [4] 13/22 13/23 139/23 | unrelated [5] 29/5 29/6 29/8 29/8 |
| 76/10 77/24 78/5 80/9 81/1 125/9 | 151/2 | 154/14 |
| 125/12 137/6 138/8 143/12 195/2 | turns [1] 176/21 | until [17] 69/11 100/16 101/20 |
| 197/14 197/21 204/24 206/1 | TV [13] 7/2 38/19 45/12 93/22 | 116/17 117/9 175/17 180/17 |
| 209/10 209/19 214/8 217/4 218/14 | 115/11 123/21 126/24 145/9 | 209/21 223/24 224/22 229/16 |
| 235/14 236/12 239/11 247/14 | 145/19 150/8 171/7 269/12 271/22 | 230/1 231/21 232/21 237/11 |
| 249/8 249/9 254/25 255/19 263/22 | Twenty [2] 91/4 127/7 | 237/13 266/18 |
| 265/25 266/2 273/9 276/8 276/15 | Twenty-seven [1] 127/7 | untoward [1] 250/1 |
| Tom [3] 4/10 238/25 269/20 | twice [5] 7/23 142/19 142/20 | untruthful [1] 182/3 |
| Tom's [1] 239/19 | 142/22 229/15 | unusual [2] 126/19 126/21 |
| tomorrow [1] 239 | two [72] 5/9 5/24 6/4 6/6 6/7 9/17 | unwillingness [2] 64/8 160/9 |
| tone [4] 132/3 132/8 216/16 | 9/21 10/2 10/11 11/5 11/8 15/15 | unwritten [1] 112/25 |
| 235/24 | 15/16 24/22 24/23 37/1 42/18 | up [44] 11/2 12/10 12/13 16/17 |
| too [5] 162/12 165/18 171/7 243/2 | 48/14 48/19 53/17 73/5 73/19 | 23/1 26/8 32/25 41/7 59/2 66/14 |
| 257/14 | 82/17 83/8 85/7 85/11 85/14 | 67/7 67/10 67/10 71/22 81/14 |
| took[17] 21/21 33/11 41/19 49/2 | 85/15 85/16 86/10 89/17 90/18 | 109/4 112/17 140/1 142/8 143/6 |
| 53/2 61/2 91/8 93/24 120/17 | 90/19 91/24 92/24 92/25 95/1 | 169/2 177/12 187/2 190/8 197/19 |
| 145/10 159/20 160/15 193/5 211/2 | 98/3 98/3 101/8 102/16 107/12 | 199/20 204/20 207/5 223/18 |
| 211/21 224/10 230/2 | 113/3 113/21 114/20 122/1 130/19 | 223/25 226/22 230/14 239/4 242/1 |
| top [4] 75/16 149/14 149/20 154/1 | 130/21 131/16 133/13 143/15 | 242/15 246/8 249/4 255/24 258/15 |
| topic [2] 32/19 40/12 | 144/22 144/24 153/23 154/22 | 260/15 260/16 260/25 274/12 |
| topics [4] 13/9 27/20 54/14 152/18 | 171/8 172/8 203/1 203/4 207/13 | 274/13 |
| total [3] 28/10 28/13 101/5 | 217/17 221/16 225/18 227/8 |  |
| totality [1] 202/22 <br> touch [3] 171/13 263/15 266/2 | 227/12 231/13 232/18 245/2 249/2 <br> 251/21 267/3 275/11 | upon [9] 9/23 16/15 55/17 59/23 <br> 258/14 259/23 260/7 273/16 274/9 |

us [61] 7/15 8/10 8/22 8/23 9/2
11/3 12/3 12/11 14/7 15/13 16/18 22/8 31/21 33/2 46/2 49/1 49/7 51/19 52/4 52/25 53/3 54/21 55/3 55/21 56/11 58/9 60/17 61/9
62/14 63/20 67/24 82/23 83/3 84/15 96/17 $115 / 24$ 122/8 123/7 137/6 146/14 151/6 156/17 165/8 187/18 200/1 200/3 202/2 207/13 207/17 207/23 208/1 208/3 217/16 227/6 245/22 257/7 264/20 266/2 274/8 274/11 275/24
use [18] 15/18 $18 / 12$ 86/1 98/16 107/20 128/24 129/3 147/12 148/6 148/10 200/4 204/11 204/24 206/5 206/11 213/5 254/22 259/9
used [13] 85/21 97/23 107/13
130/23 131/2 195/19 195/20
196/13 213/7 213/9 221/20 254/20 274/10
using [1] 195/5
usually [3] $133 / 4187 / 12187 / 17$ utilized [1] 56/25
V
valid [2] 182/2 183/25
varied [1] 74/10
vary [1] 101/7
vehicle [48] 179/25 180/6 180/11
193/10 193/14 194/17 197/6
212/19 212/25 214/9 214/17
218/10 220/15 220/24 223/21
225/12 235/2 235/8 251/13 251/14
251/15 251/18251/19 251/25
252/2 252/3 257/2 257/3 260/1
260/8 261/11 261/22 261/25 262/7 263/19 263/20 263/21 264/23
265/23 273/10 273/16 273/20
273/23 274/1 274/6 274/8 274/10
274/17
vehicles [7] 201/10 202/13 202/18
202/20 202/21 217/17 259/22
verbatim [1] 129/3
version [2] 85/11 85/13
versus [3] 34/13 158/23 258/2
very [28] $5 / 21$ 6/5 6/21 $11 / 4$ 15/7
15/12 16/2 16/7 24/22 34/21
43/21 45/2 57/13 78/9 98/1 99/25
114/21 136/25 145/21 146/9 158/1
170/1 172/3 233/16 237/14 268/12
268/13 268/23
VHS [1] 14/21
victim [2] 114/8 114/10
video [2] 15/9 60/9
videotape [3] 15/1 33/20 33/24
view [1] 238/18
viewed [1] 117/9
viewers [2] 86/15 87/12
viewing [1] 274/21
VIN [31] 211/23 212/11 212/12
212/13 212/16 214/16 214/23
215/2 215/16215/20 215/22
215/24 221/13 221/20 221/22
234/25 235/1 235/3 235/4 236/15
236/17 261/16 263/22 263/23
263/24 264/6 264/11 264/17
264/22 265/1 274/22
violation [1] 181/23
virtue [1] 145/14
vis [2] 203/3 203/3
vis-a-vis [1] 203/3
visit [24] 105/13 105/21 107/3
107/6 107/15 107/17 108/1 108/17 108/19 108/20 108/25 108/25 109/13 110/6 $111 / 10111 / 24112 / 3$ 125/5 130/15 130/15 132/23
150/13 156/3 156/6
visitation [8] 75/25 97/23 98/10
99/14 154/25 155/13 156/4 164/5
visiting [18] 49/11 50/9 66/16 74/6 74/16 74/23 76/10 76/19 107/12
109/3 126/18 126/19 131/17132/4
132/20 159/12 171/4 172/6
visitor [12] 105/16 106/1 107/18 110/6 110/12 110/24 111/18
111/23 112/1 112/14 119/25 131/8 visitors [7] 105/5 105/5 105/12 106/9 106/10 111/6 155/22
visits [15] 106/23 107/24 108/4 109/10 109/19 109/22 110/21
113/1 130/9 130/14 157/11 157/23 158/2 158/9 159/6
visual [1] 84/17
voice [9] $24 / 21$ 25/4 41/17 41/23
216/16 235/25 263/7 263/9 263/11
voices [3] 267/25 268/1 268/11
volunteer [17] 26/4 197/22 204/8
204/12 204/20 204/25 205/19 206/3 206/5 209/2 209/5 209/6 235/4 235/7 239/3 241/5 270/18
volunteered [1] 239/3
volunteers [8] 190/9 199/25 200/2
200/5 205/24 207/8 208/25 209/25
W
W-i-e-g-e-r-t [1] 185/10
wait [3] 88/6 229/15 275/22
waited [2] 120/23 159/25
waiting [4] 59/17 229/4 230/3
266/11
walk[5] 53/25 59/20 68/25 111/20 256/23
walked [8] 11/16 12/11 30/12
31/21 62/1 69/1 118/24 260/25
walking [7] 52/17 141/22 196/17
196/24 211/11 216/18 255/4
walls [1] 11/11
want [45] 11/24 26/13 26/20 27/16
36/13 38/17 42/16 56/6 58/1 58/5
64/17 75/15 82/5 82/23 83/7
86/22 87/10 87/12 99/2 111/20
115/10 122/6 123/8 125/4 126/8
133/10 133/12 139/15 140/3
148/25 167/23 171/14 176/8
177/11 197/17 197/24 198/10
216/11 216/12 229/15 231/21
231/22 258/20 264/16 273/21
wanted [31] 28/5 40/9 50/22 52/9
56/9 56/14 74/4 75/2 77/24 78/3
78/8 $111 / 19119 / 13119 / 17124 / 9$
129/24 130/3 132/24 139/11
145/20 162/20 164/7 191/14
196/23 205/22 206/24 207/3
239/13 248/8 253/18 264/6
wanting [4] 147/14 152/23 160/22 273/8
wants [7] 110/18 111/11111/14
111/15 111/16 123/14 128/11
warn [1] 233/2
warrant [33] $111 / 21$ 174/25 178/6 179/6 179/23 181/24 182/1 182/7
182/8 $182 / 13182 / 15182 / 23$
182/24 183/7 183/16 184/7 184/12
184/22 186/18 186/21 186/24

186/24 203/4 208/20 225/15
225/23 226/1 226/19 226/24 227/8 234/2 236/20 237/1
warrantless [1] 241/22
warrants [7] $111 / 11186 / 14186 / 15$
187/16 202/16 231/3 241/20
was [570]
was you [1] 206/21
wasn't [22] 22/23 25/1 32/1 53/9
79/18 120/7 132/14 145/7 145/21 155/12 170/16 175/17 177/1
198/19 206/12 240/17 240/20
246/5 247/5 250/14 264/10 274/18
wasted [1] 90/18
watch [2] 87/19 232/5
watching [1] $32 / 3$
water [2] 165/18 171/6
way [29] $22 / 25$ 66/3 68/1 94/4
105/7 134/4 140/18 145/10 152/1 158/14 183/24 192/17 194/15
196/8 214/24 215/1 217/24 219/13
220/18 220/20 224/7 228/24 230/8
230/15 241/9 248/1 255/16 257/7
257/21
ways [1] 108/6
WBAY [5] 7/2 19/7 34/9 42/19 45/12
WBAY-TV [2] 7/2 45/12
we [294]
we'll [25] 9/3 $11 / 1$ 11/1 $11 / 2$ 14/19 23/19 24/15 25/17 25/21 26/11 26/11 95/23 96/3 96/6 126/7
146/14 150/16 184/9 228/5 231/19
233/15 233/20 267/19 267/23 275/11
we're [36] 35/16 42/18 57/11
84/13 90/17 93/15 95/19 95/21
100/3 106/9 128/10 137/5 146/2 152/13 169/21 177/23 181/20 183/9 184/11 203/5 223/11 226/22 227/6 228/3 228/10 228/12 229/4 229/16 231/3 231/4 231/15 241/5
256/16 267/3 271/2 276/23
wear [1] 102/2
webcast [1] 232/7
website [4] 42/20 43/24 44/2 44/4
Wednesday [1] 146/1
week [3] 8/16 125/19 146/2
weekday [1] 101/7
weekend [1] 102/18
weekends [3] 102/12 102/14
158/13
weekly [2] 88/23 164/15
weeks [7] 8/11 19/24 23/3 36/23
115/18 175/12 175/23
weight [1] 236/9
welcome [2] 26/20 55/24
welcoming [1] 82/7
well [87] 6/21 $9 / 25$ 15/7 16/7 18/5 29/12 35/4 35/21 43/2 43/21
50/21 51/4 55/757/14 57/15 61/5 62/11 63/8 75/18 75/21 82/21 86/12 86/18 87/14 91/1793/13 104/8 $111 / 13118 / 25122 / 18$ 126/21 137/16 137/17 140/8 146/9 156/1 $156 / 7158 / 1163 / 1$ 164/20 167/20 172/3 175/4 175/19 177/6 180/1 180/5 183/24 184/3 190/10 193/19 195/2 200/23 201/20 203/9 204/14 205/18 206/9 209/1 213/10 216/23 216/23 217/1 219/20
222/18 222/20 224/17 226/12
233/3 233/16 236/3 237/14 239/9
well... [14] 249/19 253/6 254/25 256/22 257/2 257/15 258/5 258/10 258/19 258/24 259/25 262/7 268/12 268/13
went [26] 30/2 30/14 30/24 30/25 31/4 51/22 72/1 119/14 159/20 169/21 188/18 192/5 192/10 192/10 193/12 200/23 207/21 211/14 217/14 224/7 243/9 245/12 253/19 257/6 257/9 263/7
were [287]
weren't [13] 21/25 22/1 27/19 32/4 40/16 72/5 133/24 133/25 136/15 243/20 243/21 264/15 264/17
WFRV [6] 70/17 70/19 81/20 81/23 93/22 94/8
WFRV-TV [1] 93/22
wfrv.com [1] 92/6
what [229] 6/24 7/24 8/25 10/3 10/8 10/20 10/23 13/9 14/17 14/19 15/18 16/15 17/12 17/15 21/18 22/25 23/18 23/21 24/1 24/2 24/4 25/12 25/14 25/16 25/20 26/13 38/23 39/7 39/21 40/8 40/16 41/9 41/19 43/23 46/2 46/17 46/24 48/9 49/11 49/12 49/16 49/16 50/6 50/20 50/25 51/19 52/14 52/20 53/155/17 55/19 55/20 55/22 56/9 56/12 56/12 56/20 57/11 61/9 61/23 62/11 62/12 63/20 66/21 67/15 67/17 69/4 69/6 71/1 72/11 75/17 75/21 75/24 76/10 76/24 78/22 80/9 84/14 85/2 $185 / 2285 / 25$ 86/12 86/17 87/14 87/18 88/19 89/1 89/1 89/2 89/6 91/19 92/21 98/22 99/3 100/17 101/23 104/17 107/9 111/6 112/15 115/8 116/10 119/10119/13119/16120/11 120/19 120/19 121/2 123/7 124/9 124/10 125/1 125/9 126/5 126/5 129/14 130/2 130/6 $131 / 5$ 132/2 132/7 133/22 133/25 134/1 134/2 139/17 144/7 145/9 147/4 148/20 150/2 153/19 160/2 160/2 165/8 169/21 169/25 174/20 176/18 177/13 177/14 177/15 179/17 180/5 180/10 180/11180/19 181/11 181/20 183/10 185/24 187/5 187/19 190/8 193/16 194/24 194/24 196/21 197/13 199/18 200/11 200/19 200/22 206/1 206/6 206/25 207/3 208/8 210/3 210/21 210/22 $211 / 18213 / 23213 / 24$ 213/25 214/11 214/16 214/23 216/2 216/10 $217 / 4218 / 4218 / 7$ 223/11 224/2 224/3 225/11 227/19 227/21 234/12 234/22 235/21 236/4 238/17 238/24 240/14 241/16 243/16 244/24 245/3 245/7 245/20 245/23 247/7 248/15 249/17 249/17 250/16 250/21 251/8 252/3 252/7 252/9 252/13 252/15 254/8 254/17 254/18 254/24 256/13 256/16 259/6 261/9 262/10 266/19 273/3 273/7 275/24 what's [27] $34 / 1645 / 2486 / 19$ 88/12 94/16 100/22 101/5 107/6 107/15 113/20 126/21 134/18 137/15 137/24 141/2 141/16 146/1 146/1 146/25 149/24 150/6 150/11

162/11 165/1 169/23 171/14
227/11
whatever [5] 19/23 84/22 110/17 164/6 235/24
whatsoever [6] 11/24 54/9 161/7 209/25 270/14 270/17
when [120] 8/8 10/8 10/23 11/16 $11 / 17$ 12/11 $13 / 21$ 18/10 19/22 21/6 21/20 23/23 25/19 28/4 28/20 30/24 33/2 33/3 35/7 39/24 41/3 41/4 41/10 44/11 51/751/20 $52 / 1152 / 1454 / 2154 / 2355 / 11$ 59/19 60/14 61/1 61/18 62/12 62/1 8 62/23 63/2 67/10 70/17 70/19 70/23 71/5 71/18 71/23 73/25 75/11 80/17 81/12 83/4 84/8 87/21 92/8 92/8 95/16 99/12 100/10 100/23 101/23 103/8 104/23 105/9 108/10 111/17 115/16 $115 / 17116 / 9116 / 14$ 118/19118/25 119/2 119/5 128/24 129/20 130/14 130/14 141/9 143/5 151/3 160/21 179/5 181/6 191/5 192/9 195/2 205/6 210/17 211/13 212/18 217/19218/6 218/17 219/20 220/5 221/17 225/17 226/19 230/14 239/22 244/17 244/20 245/1 245/12 245/18 250/18 250/23 252/20 254/17 260/7 260/25 261/17 263/14 266/20 269/10 270/22 271/20 272/6 274/8 275/2
whenever [2] 25/21 25/24
where [57] $21 / 2022 / 2$ 29/24 30/7 $30 / 7$ 30/13 33/6 48/11 49/1 51/25 62/19 68/3 79/17 80/22 117/2 132/14 143/17 146/14 156/8 169/17 173/9 179/18 195/16 196/3 196/13 196/16 199/11 203/5 204/2 205/12 206/10 210/9 215/4 $215 / 4$ 216/12 217/4 218/21 219/1 219/7 219/10 219/19 220/12 220/23 222/7 224/24 225/3 230/9 230/21 239/25 247/12 249/20 253/5 253/22 253/25 259/20 260/12 270/22
Where's [1] 127/23
wherever [1] 143/24
whether [35] 18/5 23/12 25/8 57/7 $82 / 1688 / 588 / 1192 / 10117 / 16$ 119/7 140/10 144/15 146/14 152/9 171/6 171/6 175/5 178/5 178/23 183/20 190/1 201/6 209/6 $213 / 11$ 216/8 216/19 219/12 222/6 241/4 241/5 246/22 249/9 258/12 265/11 270/12
which [57] $5 / 247 / 188 / 510 / 510 / 7$ 12/23 14/25 17/7 30/19 43/16 53/7 56/25 65/20 69/10 85/11 89/11 89/14 121/25 124/21 126/24 131/8 131/11 136/21 136/24 141/20 147/17 147/20 149/15 152/19 155/17 156/4 161/2 163/23 170/19 173/5 179/25 181/23 182/11 182/17 183/2 202/19 207/7 211/19211/23 212/14218/12 219/21 234/19 235/2 235/25 239/10 239/15 252/25 257/12 257/21 267/25 272/13
while [14] 12/13 31/11 32/5 63/12 76/4 125/7 173/19 183/5 209/23 219/13 223/9 260/11 265/3 266/11 who [82] 5/8 5/9 5/15 8/25 11/14
$12 / 618 / 1821 / 1822 / 2523 / 824 / 4$ 24/9 25/4 28/3 43/12 49/14 49/17 50/7 52/5 53/22 54/3 59/11 59/16 62/6 67/24 70/24 72/9 74/2 76/10 76/13 78/10 94/13 97/2 103/12
110/5 123/4 127/11 137/6 154/10 154/19 162/25 170/7 188/19 189/4 192/15 192/23 193/24 194/25 197/3 198/7 198/8 198/14 198/17 200/20 203/19 203/22 203/24 209/2 212/3 224/15 228/17 230/16 230/19 231/1 231/6 231/10 232/1 232/11 233/7 233/17 239/17 239/19 243/23 244/4 244/24 246/18 247/14 254/6 254/12 266/7 273/3 276/18
who interviewed [1] 5/9
who's [5] 122/13 126/15 127/21 127/21 135/3
whoever [2] 8/24 200/18
whole [7] 8/19 73/4 178/8 182/10 227/3 251/22 259/5
whom [13] 7/1 24/5 28/25 34/1 $35 / 12$ 39/1 50/18 52/12 72/21 75/11 142/13 163/2 163/14
whomever [2] 9/8 112/2
whose [2] 226/12 268/5
why [34] 10/16 17/16 37/6 37/16 38/13 44/25 75/21 91/16 116/16 116/16 129/24 130/5 132/24 137/15 151/4 155/24 162/12 179/17 183/10 197/8 197/9 201/19 206/22 207/5 216/6 239/7 243/1 247/9 253/17 256/10 257/5 258/15 258/19 259/12
wider [1] 84/15
WIEGERT [8] 2/16 21/4 177/17
185/9 229/9 233/22 264/13 268/2
wife [1] 112/2
will [83] $4 / 54 / 225 / 206 / 46 / 66 / 7$ 6/19 9/5 19/2 22/5 41/15 42/23 43/6 47/9 48/14 49/7 54/21 55/4 70/7 72/14 87/18 90/2 95/17 98/22 99/19 104/13 106/4 113/17 116/3 117/21 125/17 126/12 137/16 138/3 139/2 139/10 143/13 144/16 150/14 160/20 162/9 165/10 166/17 167/2 167/3 169/6 173/7 174/6 174/8 174/18 176/10 176/12 176/16 177/9 181/13 183/2 184/17 185/4 190/12 213/20 220/21 228/11 228/17 230/3 231/18 231/20 232/2 233/6 233/21 236/10 237/5 237/12 248/18 248/18 259/16 262/24 265/7 268/23 275/20 275/25 276/11 276/12 276/14
Williams [1] 4/11
willing [5] 8/22 88/4 173/5 200/2 204/16
willingness [2] 17/6 161/7
Willis [1] $1 / 10$
willy [1] 110/17
window [6] 29/24 30/9 30/12 80/2 101/24 102/4
windows [10] 11/9 11/10 11/12
12/1831/14 53/13 53/18 53/18 53/20 251/16
WISCONSIN [11] $1 / 1$ 1/3 $1 / 141 / 16$ 4/2 19/9 70/16 70/20 173/15 277/1 277/6
wish [11] 17/3 56/1 57/25 103/12 151/9 151/10 154/7 154/10 159/7

101/19 102/14 103/8 103/23
103/24 104/20 104/23 105/7
105/10 105/10 105/22 106/11 107/2 110/3 110/4 112/6 112/6 112/8 114/17116/11116/12 116/12 116/16 $118 / 9118 / 18$ 119/22 120/14 121/5 122/14
124/14 127/22 128/17 129/2 129/6 $130 / 15130 / 17131 / 5 \quad 134 / 1 \quad 134 / 1$ 134/16 134/17 $135 / 18138 / 1$ 140/5 142/4 143/1 144/16 145/13 145/22
146/10 150/3 151/9 151/17151/17
154/12 155/20 156/23 160/3 164/4 164/12 164/18 166/6 166/20 167/8 167/9 167/10 170/7 171/11 173/4 173/11 173/14 173/18 175/5
175/20 176/3 176/14 176/19 178/5
178/10 178/12 178/19 178/20
179/3 179/21 179/25 182/19
182/20 $183 / 5183 / 8183 / 22183 / 23$ 184/18 184/23 185/7 186/7 187/18 193/8 194/4 195/2 195/3 195/6 196/4 196/7 197/14 197/16 198/14 199/25 200/1 200/3 200/12 202/2 202/12 202/24 203/17 203/21 204/5 204/9 204/1 1 204/24 206/2 206/4 206/11 206/1 8 208/3 208/7
208/16 $213 / 18214 / 4214 / 25$
216/10 216/14 216/23 217/5
$218 / 13222 / 3222 / 15$ 223/6 224/4
224/5 224/15 224/22 225/1 225/20 227/13 230/1 232/16 233/13 238/5 238/25 239/11 239/15 243/25
244/24 245/20 246/1 247/3 249/19
249/20 249/23 250/1 251/5 251/22 253/21 254/25 256/10 256/14
257/16 259/2 259/9 262/12 265/22
266/6 267/13 267/22 268/10 269/1
269/13 269/20 270/1 271/11
275/17 275/19 276/9
would have [1] 151/17
wouldn't [7] 10/5 45/2 91/8 111/20
118/24 255/1 258/16
wrapped [1] 66/14
wrapping [1] $32 / 25$
write [7] 51/6 78/6 119/21 120/13
150/2 175/24 176/2
writes [2] 134/19 149/15
writing [7] 38/25 39/2 44/15 138/9
156/19 173/12 175/15
written [18] 38/16 42/11 112/24
115/9 124/10 136/3 136/14 138/11
149/20 149/22 150/20 172/25
173/7 173/24 173/25 174/7 175/21
232/17
wrong [2] 148/24 149/3
wrongfully [1] 71/2
wrote [10] $26 / 1430 / 851 / 16$ 60/25
79/25 86/3 134/22 161/3 165/6 175/25
Y
yard [28] 178/8 178/13 180/8
182/25 201/17 202/14 207/9
220/17 220/21 238/18 246/2
246/14 246/19 246/24 247/12
251/22 252/21 253/1 253/4 253/7
254/9 257/5 257/17 258/4 258/23
259/5 271/4 272/6
yards [1] 225/1
yeah [21] 14/21 26/17 102/4
106/16 128/20 131/14 136/19
141/4 146/5 158/10 166/1 169/6

171/13 188/15 191/18 196/11
224/4 227/15 227/18 238/20 264/8
year [7] 48/22 99/16 252/17
252/18 265/19 265/19 265/23
years [7] 48/14 48/19 71/4 97/14
127/7 127/8 185/17
yes [498]
yet [4] 79/18 $132 / 18$ 138/17
232/18
York [1] 146/12
you [1321]
you'd [1] 92/22
you're [11] 17/19 21/12 30/11 34/12 55/24 90/17 97/18 132/6 158/23 205/12 245/3
young [1] 163/18
your [217] 4/21 4/24 6/14 6/14 $7 / 11$ 8/4 10/6 11/15 11/22 13/2 13/3 13/6 13/15 15/10 16/15 17/13 18/19 18/25 19/21 27/8 $30 / 833 / 333 / 734 / 2335 / 2336 / 17$ $37 / 17$ 39/18 40/3 40/11 41/15 42/5 47/11 47/24 48/4 48/4 48/21 49/4 50/4 50/8 54/10 55/13 56/12 57/1 58/9 58/22 59/12 59/23 60/14 61/4 61/8 61/16 64/3 64/21 66/7 68/8 69/7 69/25 77/18 79/13 $79 / 2180 / 2481 / 1382 / 582 / 18$ 82/24 86/1 87/23 88/12 89/7 89/1 5 90/19 94/7 94/9 96/8 96/13 96/13 98/23 100/17 103/25 105/3 108/22 112/12 113/6 113/12 117/15 117/22 119/20 120/19 126/22 127/8 127/24 129/2 133/16 135/8 135/13 137/3 142/5 144/14 144/25 147/22 148/12 $150 / 11$ 150/11 150/25 153/13 154/1 154/21 155/12 155/17 157/6 159/10 160/8 161/3 162/11 165/4 167/18 170/5 170/25 172/5 173/13 175/2 176/22 177/9 177/21 178/1
185/7 185/18 186/3 186/22 187/16 188/11 188/24 189/23 199/1 200/9 200/17 201/7 202/25 203/13 203/14 203/17 205/2 205/24 206/21 209/21 213/15 220/8 220/15 222/11 222/15 223/20 224/13 225/11 229/8 229/22 233/23 235/19 236/4 237/6 237/9
237/11 237/16 237/17 237/22 237/22 238/2 238/3 238/9 239/3 241/10 242/6 242/9 243/1 245/2 246/17 247/2 247/6 247/20 248/13 248/21 251/8 251/17 254/22 256/8 256/20 256/24 257/1 259/19 261/15 261/19 262/3 262/23 263/2 265/17 266/14 267/3 269/19 269/20 270/2 270/9 270/10 271/2 271/4 271/6 271/10 272/14 272/17 273/2 273/19 273/20 273/25 274/22 274/25 275/10 275/15 276/10
yours [1] 226/13
yourself [17] 11/19 18/13 18/15 20/17 20/20 32/6 49/25 74/1 82/12 93/19 103/5 187/2 207/6 226/1 234/5 248/21 273/22

## Z

zero [2] 263/8 263/10
zigzagged [1] 260/20
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery. It's Case No. 05 CF 381. We are here this morning on a continuation of a hearing regarding some pretrial motions filed by the defense; specifically, the remaining testimony on the Franks motion that's been filed by the defense, and also to your testimony on defense motions regarding the execution of the search warrant that was issued. And a motion to suppress statements made by the defendant to the Marinette County Sheriff's Department.

Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, appearing as Special Prosecutor in this matter. Tom Fallon, from the Department of Justice, also appears having been appointed Special Prosecutor.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Good morning, your Honor. Buting and Williams by Attorney Jerome Buting, appearing on behalf of Mr . Avery, who is present. Also, Attorney Dean Strang, appearing on behalf of Mr. Avery.

THE COURT: All right. As I recall, when we left off, Mark Wiegert was testifying. The
defense had completed its direct exam, and the State is going to cross-examine the witness today; is that correct?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. Is Mr. Wiegert here?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, he is.
THE COURT: The Court will have the clerk re-swear the witness.

DETECTIVE MARK WIEGERT, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I assume the sequestration order remains, as to any other witness?

THE COURT: Yes, it does.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, it does. I don't believe any other witnesses, relative to the Franks matter, is present. Our Victim/Witness person advises me that is the case. Officer Fassbender is here for the next motion, unless there is any objection, by counsel, on him sitting in on this part.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Very well.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon, you may proceed.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Detective Wiegert, before you spoke to Pam Sturm on that Saturday morning, November 5th, you had spoken only to one other volunteer searcher in this particular matter; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. As a matter of fact, you received only one call from that person?
A. Yes.
Q. And to this day, you don't really recall the name of the person who called you?
A. No, I do not.
Q. All right. You were informed by that person that the searchers would be searching county roads, and ditches, etcetera, for evidence of Teresa Halbach, their thinking perhaps that she had some type of motor vehicle accident?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. The volunteers indicated they would be searching from Manitowoc to Mishicot to the
area where she lived, that general stretch of road?
A. Right, the Mishicot area over towards the Hilbert area.
Q. All right. And you spoke to no other volunteers on that particular day, November 4th, that's a Friday; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So, with respect to the events of November 4th, you did not organize any volunteer search group?
A. No, not at all.
Q. You did not coordinate anything with that particular group?
A. No.
Q. You did not direct them in any way?
A. No.
Q. You did not instruct them in any way?
A. Did not.
Q. You did not suggest any locales where they should commence their search?
A. No.
Q. And you certainly didn't give them any details of any other places, or buildings, or things to search?
A. No.
Q. And it's fair to say you gave them no instructions, whatsoever; you basically were a conduit of information received from them?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. As a result of receiving that information, you then called the Manitowoc Sheriff's Office?
A. Yes, I contacted the shift commander at the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department.
Q. And you advised the shift commander of the information you received from this volunteer searcher; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. All right. And because -- You did this because there were some safety issues or concerns, with respect to people being out on the roads, either late at night or early in the morning, looking for Teresa Halbach?
A. Yeah. My concern was that they would be parked on the side of the road and there would be a lot of people, obviously, in the area, for their safety.
Q. All right. Now, on Saturday, November 5th, that particular morning, you contacted Detective

Remiker; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you wanted to meet with him at the Manitowoc Sheriff's Office?
A. Yes.
Q. In thinking you were wanting to come up with some type of game plan, or organization as to how you were going about this, finding the missing person of Theresa Halbach?
A. That's correct.
Q. You wanted to -- And one of the things, I believe you indicated you wanted to ask consent because -- of the Avery family -- because one of the places you wanted to search, or look for her, was their property; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's because, as far as anyone knew at that point, that was the last place she had been seen alive?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And it was at that point, with respect to your discussions with Detective Remiker, that it was your thinking, at least in your mind, that if consent were granted to look at the Avery property and the surrounding area,
you might, at that point, call upon the volunteers to assist in a search of that area?
A. Yes. We had an idea of how big the salvage yard was, and we thought if they would grant us permission, since we were there with people in the area, we would ask them for their help.
Q. All right. Now, up to this particular point, you had not asked for any volunteers help, correct?
A. No, did not.
Q. Were you actually planning on meeting with volunteers at the Sheriff's Department, or just, at some point later on, asking for their help in search of various locales?
A. No, our purpose was to meet with Detective Remiker, to discuss with him our ideas and see what kind of ideas he might have. But no, we had no intentions of meeting with any volunteer searchers at that time.
Q. As a matter of fact, no such meeting with Detective Remiker, regarding your thoughts, ever occurred that morning, did it?
A. That's correct.
Q. You never asked for any volunteers for assistance on November 5th, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You did not organize them on that day?
A. No.
Q. You did not direct them on that day?
A. No.
Q. You did not coordinate any of their efforts?
A. Did not.
Q. You did not suggest a place to search?
A. No.
Q. And prior to that day, you had never had any telephone or contact with Pamela Sturm regarding her intentions?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. After your discussion with Detective Remiker, it was at that point where your department received a phone call from Pamela Sturm; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And it was pursuant to that phone call, that you then responded to the scene, to the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And, again, prior to that phone conversation from her, you had no idea that anyone was actually going to that property that morning did you?
A. No idea.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, does the Court have Exhibit 15 available for our perusal, for the witnesses perusal?

THE COURT: We will in a minute.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Very well.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Detective, you were the affiant in the search warrant, issued on November 5th, 2005?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. All right. And that means you set forth specific facts which you believed established probable cause to justify a search warrant of the Avery property, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Now, in that particular affidavit, and until we get the official one, I will let you examine my copy of Exhibit 15. I would like to direct your attention to Paragraph 5.

ATTORNEY FALLON: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ For the record, Detective,
you have a copy of Exhibit 15?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Now, that copy of the Exhibit 15, however, does not have the return of the officers on it, correct?
A. It does not, you are correct.
Q. All right.

THE COURT: Just for my benefit, is this -This affidavit is attached to the defense motion, correct?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, the warrant and affidavit are attached to the defense motion.

THE COURT: Because I have got a copy of the motion here, so at least $I$ have something to follow along with.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Very well.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Now, in Paragraph 5, you use a phrase, a vehicle matching the description of the vehicle owned by Teresa Halbach was found on the Avery property; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, there was much questioning regarding the discussion of matching. And, first of all, let me begin by asking you this question, Detective Wiegert. What were the sources of
information that you used to prepare that warrant?
A. Actually had three different sources: One was Pam Sturm, and the information she called in, such as the color of the vehicle, the sticker on the back of the vehicle, the Le Mieux Toyota sticker; the vehicle that was covered up by -was covered up by branches, for example; and the partial VIN number that she provided me. Also, information I received from Karen Halbach, Teresa's mother, that the Le Mieux Toyota sticker should be on the back of her truck, which matched the one that was out at the scene.

Also, information that $I$ had gotten from Detective Remiker. He had went to the scene and verified the complete and entire VIN number, which matched Teresa Halbach's vehicle.
Q. All right. Now, Detective Remiker arrived at that scene, before you and other members of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department arrived there, correct?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. All right. Were you in communication with Detective Remiker, by telephone, prior to your arrival at the salvage yard?
A. By telephone, and I believe, also, on the radio, one or two times, yes.
Q. All right. Now, you also, did you not, have information from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, some teletype communication, with a more detailed description of the vehicle registered to Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. All right. So you knew, then, that it was a 1999, or 2000, Toyota RAV 4 ?
A. Yeah. And as a matter of fact, when Pam Sturm had called, she had told me that she believed it was a '99 or 2000 RAV 4, so that also matched.
Q. All right. And with respect to -- For purposes of our discussion now, would you describe for us -- Well, first of all, let me ask this: When you arrived at the scene, did you have an opportunity to examine the vehicle yourself?
A. I stayed at least 100 yards from the vehicle.
Q. All right.
A. I did not get close to the vehicle.
Q. All right. Since that time, have you had an opportunity to get a close look at the vehicle?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. All right. Now, for purposes of our discussion,
describe the color of that vehicle for us?
A. I would say it's a greenish, bluish color. It depends on when the light hits it, you know, what color it is. It kind of differs with the different type of light you have. But it's a greenish blue.
Q. All right. And in your particular affidavit there, you use the phrase dark blue; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, with respect to the information provided by Ms Sturm, you indicated that she -you received a partial VIN number. First of all, with respect to VIN's, to be more grammatically correct, how many characters are commonly associated with a VIN.
A. Seventeen characters in a VIN number.
Q. And with respect to the information provided by Ms Sturm, how many characters did she provide of that number?
A. She provided 10 of the 17 numbers, off the VIN. And those matched, obviously, Teresa's vehicle.
Q. All right. And with respect to Detective Remiker's involvement here, did Detective Remiker advise you that he had confirmed the remaining
numbers?
A. Yes, he did. As a matter of fact, he indicated that he had a match, and that all the numbers were verified.
Q. All right. Now, so -- And that all occurred before you applied for the search warrant, which is reflected in Exhibit 15?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. All right. So, at the time you prepared that warrant, you knew that you were -- a vehicle that was dark blue, or greenish blue, had been located at the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew the vehicle was secreted in some way, in so far as it was covered by brush and other automobile parts?
A. Yes.
Q. You knew that the -- that you had 10 of 17 VIN characters from Ms Sturm, and the remaining seven provided by Detective Remiker?
A. Yes.
Q. You had a teletype from the Department of Transportation regarding the registration and description of the vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also confirmed, with Karen Halbach, that there was a Le Mieux Toyota sticker available -or located on that vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And at the time of -- As a matter of fact, you knew before you even got there, from your discussion with Ms Sturm, that such a sticker -- dealer sticker, was on that vehicle?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. All right. Now, Detective, did you deliberately misstate any facts in that affidavit?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. And in your mind, did you omit any significant facts which would affect the determination of probable cause by this Court?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: No other questions. THE COURT: Mr. Buting.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Now, Detective, so it's your testimony that you did not tell Detective Remiker, from the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, that you had -I'm referring now to Saturday morning, the November 5 th before the vehicle was discovered.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is it your testimony that you did not tell him that you had volunteers that were willing and interested in going to the Avery property?
A. I did not tell him that there were volunteers willing to go to the Avery property, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And you did not tell him that several of the volunteer search parties would be coming to the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department to meet and coordinate efforts; is that your testimony?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you are familiar -- We have discussed it briefly, but just to refresh your recollection, we talked about it earlier, you have had training in how to apply for search warrants, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you know that it's very important that you be completely truthful and honest when you prepare an affidavit for a search warrant, right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And that that's so that the judge, or the magistrate, can form his or her own opinion, as to whether or not there's probable cause to
justify the warrant, right?
A. Yes.
Q. In other words, the judge is not supposed to simply rely on your belief that probable cause exists, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That you have to provide the facts to him or her so that an independent decision can be made, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in your affidavit, Exhibit 15 --

THE COURT: The record should reflect that he's holding the actual Exhibit 15 at this point. It was handed to him during his testimony on cross-examination.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, your Honor.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ In Exhibit 15, directing your attention to Paragraph 5, you prepared the words -- the wording in this paragraph are your words, are they not?
A. Yes.
Q. Anybody else help you formulate this?
A. Detective Remiker was there when we put it together.
Q. Okay. So it was -- was it a mutual effort
between you and Detective Remiker?
A. Yes.
Q. Both of you read it over?
A. I can't say if he read it over. I know I did. I can't testify to what he did, for sure.
Q. All right. And you read it over and you swore to it?
A. I did.
Q. And in that, you specifically said that the volunteer searchers had located -- I'm sorry, I will use the exact phraseology here -- that officers had received information, quote, from volunteer searchers, that they had located a vehicle matching the description of the vehicle owned by Teresa Halbach, closed quote, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But, in point of fact, you had no information that the volunteers told you the vehicle matched the description?
A. No, she told me what type of vehicle it was. She told me that there was a sticker on the back. She told me the color of the vehicle. She told me the year of the vehicle. To me, that's matched.
Q. So, the volunteers did not tell you that it
matched, you concluded that it matched?
A. I concluded, from the information that the searcher gave me, that there was a match.
Q. And you did not, however, put in your affidavit, for the judge to make his or her own determination on whether or not the vehicle matched, the following facts: You did not mention anything about a Le Mieux sticker; isn't that correct?
A. It is not in the affidavit, that's correct.
Q. You did not mention anything about the model year; is that correct?
A. I would have to review the affidavit to be sure.
Q. Take your time.
A. That's correct.
Q. And you did not put anything in your affidavit to tell the judge that the volunteer you personally spoke with, that is, Pamela Sturm, told you that she was concerned that the color did not appear to match the description of the vehicle as she understood it?
A. She indicated that it was a bluish green color. And I don't know exactly what's in the affidavit, again, without looking at it, but that's what she told me.
Q. And she told you, though, also, that she was -as a result of the fact that the information had gone out that the vehicle was green, that's correct, is it not?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. She told you, therefore, that she was not certain that this was really the same vehicle, right?
A. She initially was concerned about the color, because she said it was bluish green. However, after discussing other facets of the vehicle: The sticker, what she said was the year of the vehicle, and the VIN number, I took it that she believed that it was the vehicle.
Q. But she never told you that, you just took it at that?
A. She asked me several times, is this the vehicle, and I said I can't tell you that at this time.
Q. Okay. And that uncertainty, about the difference in the color, that she expressed to you, was not something that you included in your affidavit; isn't that right?
A. No, because I believed --
Q. That's fine. Answer the question. The answer is no; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. The sources of the information that you said went into this Paragraph 5, you included information from Detective Remiker, who had actually arrived at the scene, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, are you aware that Detective Remiker did not have any consent from the property owners, to be in the location he was at, when he made his observations?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection.
THE COURT: What is the objection?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Speculation, it's
irrelevant at this point.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting?
MR. BUTING: Well, it is relevant. It goes directly to the issue of what can be relied upon in a search warrant.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't see how that is relevant to the averments in the affidavit, under the circumstances in which the affidavit was presented.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to say that it's not relevant for purposes of the defense motion, but $I$ think for purposes of this
witness's testimony, I agree with Mr. Fallon. The Court will sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ All right. As part of your experience and training with regard to search warrant applications, you know that you cannot put information into a warrant, for a judge to rely on, that was obtained unlawfully by the police; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you are also aware that observations that an officer may make on private property, the lawfulness of those observations would depend on whether that officer had a lawful purpose in being there at the time he made such observations, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that if Detective Remiker, in this instance, did not have a lawful reason to be in the place where he made the observations you relied upon, you would not have included those in this affidavit, right?
A. If I knew he wasn't there lawfully, are you asking --
Q. Yes.
A. -- would I have included that? If I knew he was
there illegally, $I$ would not include that, no.
Q. Okay. And he was with you when you prepared this affidavit?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you ask him whether he had consent to be in the -- that portion of the Avery property where he was making his observations of the RAV 4 vehicle?
A. I did not ask him if he had permission to be there; I assumed he did.
Q. And he never told you that he had permission to be there?
A. I never asked him.
Q. That's not my question. Did he ever tell you?
A. No, not specifically.
Q. Okay. And you actually arrived at the scene later, yourself, correct?
A. Later than?
Q. Than Detective Remiker?
A. Yes.
Q. A matter of a few minutes, I believe, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you had an opportunity to speak with one of the property owners or managers, correct?
A. I did speak with Earl Avery, that's correct.
Q. And you did so when you -- after you had been there for a period of time, right?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, on two grounds. Irrelevant and, two, beyond the scope of cross-examination.

THE COURT: Sustained, as beyond the scope.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Did you -- Did you know -Well, first of all, when you first arrived, did you see Mr. Earl Avery, or any other property owner, speaking or standing next to Detective Remiker?
A. Earl Avery was in the area. I don't know if he was speaking to anybody or not. I don't recall that.
Q. In fact, it wasn't until five or so minutes later that Mr. Avery, Earl Avery, came up to where you were located and asked what was going on; isn't that right?
A. I believe Earl Avery was already in that area, on a four-wheeler, when we arrived.
Q. Was he over by the vehicle?
A. He was probably within feet of one of the squad cars. I don't know that.
Q. Did you have personal knowledge about whether he
had consented to Detective Remiker being in the location he was when he made his observations of the vehicle?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Again, objection, beyond the scope. It's irrelevant.

THE COURT: The Court will sustain the objection. It is beyond the scope of redirect.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So, just so we're clear, then, the information you relied upon in terms of the description of the vehicle, and whether or not it was similar to, or matched, the Teresa Halbach vehicle, did not come from your own personal observations?
A. No, it came from other people, that's correct.
Q. Because even when you arrived at the scene, you did not physically walk up to the vehicle to check out any of the information you had received about it, right?
A. I could see the vehicle. I did not walk up to the vehicle.
Q. So you couldn't see its VIN number, for instance?
A. That's correct.
Q. And it's your testimony that Detective Remiker told you that he had walked up to the vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. And that he had actually obtained the entire VIN number, all 17 numbers?
A. Yes.
Q. When you say that, in Paragraph 5, that Investigator Remiker -- this is half way down -your affiant is informed that Investigator Remiker was provided with the VIN number of the RAV 4, located at the Avery Auto Salvage, you are saying that it was provided by somebody else to him or?
A. I'm not understanding your question. He was provided with the VIN to match up to the one that was on the truck at the salvage yard.
Q. But you say that he was provided with the VIN number of the RAV 4, that was located at the Avery property, right? And then the rest of your sentence after the semicolon, goes on, the searchers provided the VIN number, and you list all 17 numbers, right?
A. Yes. Well, I believe what happened is, the number that Pam Sturm called in, I discussed that with Detective Remiker, I believe that's what that's referring to.
Q. So, when you say, in this affidavit, that the searchers provided the VIN number, and you list
all 17 characters, that, in fact, is not true; isn't that right?
A. No, they provided 10 of the 17 numbers.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. I have no other questions.

THE COURT: Any recross?
ATTORNEY FALLON: A couple questions.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Counsel asked you about your experience in applying for search warrants and the need for accurate, reliable, truthful information, so I have a few questions regarding that. With respect to your experience in applying for search warrants, you are aware that the legal standard is probable cause?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And that is a standard of proof that's somewhat, or significantly, less than beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes.
Q. It's a standard of proof which is less than -more likely than not, by less than 50 percent?
A. Yes.
Q. Probability?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And based on the information that you had at the time, from Ms Sturm, that you had a dark blue or bluish green, late model, 1999-2000 Toyota RAV 4; that it had a Le Mieux sticker on it; that it was secreted in brush and other automobile parts; that you had, at minimum, before you even arrived, 10 of the VIN characters; in your mind, did you believe that was probable cause right there?
A. Absolutely. I think that would have been enough to get a search warrant, right there, in my opinion.
Q. As a matter of fact, setting aside for the VIN number, the fact that Teresa Halbach was last seen on the Avery property on October 31st; the fact that the defendant, Steven Avery, acknowledged her being there as recently as November 4th, that she was there to take pictures of a vehicle he was selling; the fact that he was the last person known to have seen her alive; the fact that a late model, dark blue, greenish RAV 4 was located on that property; setting aside the VIN number, setting aside all that; in your mind is that probable cause?
A. Absolutely, yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Just one quick follow up. RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Until the vehicle was located by Ms Sturm on the 5th, you did not believe there was probable cause to get a warrant; isn't that right?
A. Maybe not at that point, but looking at it now, yeah, I believe it would have.
Q. You didn't -- In other words, the mere fact that Mr. Avery -- that Teresa Halbach had been at the Avery property four or five days earlier, and that Mr. Avery had acknowledged that, was not enough for you to get probable cause for a search warrant?
A. I think the fact that she was missing for that many days, coupled with the fact it was the last place she was seen, I think looking back on it now, we may have been able to use that for enough probable cause.
Q. But you did not seek a warrant at that time?
A. At that point, we did not, no.
Q. And during that period of time, you were obviously concerned about doing whatever you
could to locate Teresa Halbach?
A. That's correct.
Q. And, nevertheless, you did not seek a warrant until after Ms Sturm located the vehicle on the property?
A. That is true, yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you. No further questions.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing else.
THE COURT: Witness is excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting, you may call your next witness.

MR. BUTING: I call Detective Remiker.
THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
DETECTIVE DAVID REMIKER, called as a
witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Dave Remiker, R-e-m-i-k-e-r. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. And you are a detective; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How long have you been a police officer, or in law enforcement?
A. About 13 years.
Q. And how long have you been a detective?
A. I have been an investigator since January of '99. Part of that included narcotics.
Q. And you are employed by whom?
A. Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department.
Q. And on November 4th, 5th, in that time period of last year, were you also so employed?
A. Yes.
Q. And in your experience as a officer or detective, with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, have you had experience in applying for search warrants?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had training to do so as well?
A. Depends on what part of the search warrant you are talking about. I don't recall any specific training in reference to applying for search warrants.
Q. Never had any training on how to prepare an affidavit in order to get a search warrant, on the job, or otherwise?
A. On the job experience, that type of training.
Q. Okay. You do know, though, that when you prepare an application for a search warrant and, in fact, when you prepare an affidavit in support of that, that you must be truthful in the information that's provided?
A. Definitely.
Q. Could you tell me when you first became involved in the Teresa Halbach matter?
A. I believe it was on a Thursday, that would have been November 3rd, I believe.
Q. And how did that come about?
A. I was paged. I was requested to come into the Sheriff's Department. I arrived there and I met with some of the detectives from our department and Calumet County.
Q. And you were asked to come in by who; was that Lieutenant Lenk?
A. Probably.
Q. When you came in, did you meet with Lieutenant Lenk?
A. He was there, yes.
Q. As well as an investigator from the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?
A. Investigator Dedering, correct.
Q. And at that time, was it your understanding that
you were being called in to assist with a Calumet County matter, or a Manitowoc County matter, or which?
A. Missing person.
Q. But an investigation involving which department?
A. Well, the female that was missing was from Calumet County. They had received some information that some of her last contacts included an address in Manitowoc County.
Q. Okay. And did that address, in fact, turn out to be the Avery property in Manitowoc, Town of Gibson?
A. That was one of the locations, yes.
Q. Okay. And did you have occasion to check with Steven Avery on Thursday night, November 3rd, as to whether he had any information about the missing woman?
A. I did not.
Q. Someone from your department did?
A. Correct.
Q. And that would have been?
A. Sergeant Colborn.
Q. You know, did he go out to the property, or was it by phone?
A. I believe he went out to the property and spoke
with Steven, and maybe some additional people.
Q. Okay. And the following day, did you, in fact, have an opportunity to go out to the Avery property as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you asked to do that, or did you do that on your own?
A. I was asked.
Q. By?
A. It was Calumet County. I believe one of the main contacts we had was with Investigator Wiegert.
Q. Okay. And do you know what time it was you went to the Avery property on the 4 th?
A. $10: 30$.
Q. 10:30 in the morning?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you have a warrant at that time?
A. No.
Q. And did you approach the trailer in which Steven Avery resided in?
A. At one point, yes.
Q. Do you know the address, actual address of that?
A. 12932 .
Q. Avery Road?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Did you speak with other -- You are aware, from your investigation, that there are other people that live at that same general area or compound, correct?
A. That was the first time $I$ have ever been on the Avery property.
Q. Okay.
A. I had no idea who lived out there.
Q. So at that time, you didn't know -- you were directed to go to that specific address?
A. Correct.
Q. And that specific address related to the trailer resided in by Mr. Steven Avery?
A. I believe that's the information I received, yes.
Q. And when you drove on to the property, you had to turn right and go down sort of a dirt road to get to the end of the road before you reached that particular trailer; isn't that right?
A. I recall, when we first got out there, I had no idea where to go. I wasn't sure where his trailer was. At one point, we went down that road that leads to Steve's trailer, yes.
Q. And when you knocked on the door, was he there?
A. No.
Q. Did you enter without him being there?
A. No.
Q. After a period of time, did he arrive, or did he come up to you?
A. We attempted to make contact at that trailer, then we went to another trailer. That was the trailer that was resided by Barb Janda. And then, when there was no contact there, we started leaving. And as we were driving down the road, Steven, and I believe Delores, arrived, made contact with us. They were in a golf court.
Q. When you say us and we, who are you referring to?
A. Myself and Lieutenant Lenk.
Q. Lieutenant Lenk was actually out there with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, so, did you talk with Mr. Steven Avery at that point?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you explain what your purpose was in being there?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was that? What did you tell him?
A. I told him that we were investigating a missing female. He seemed a little surprised. I told -I explained to him that he had contact with Sergeant Colburn the night before, and then I
asked if $I$ could search his trailer.
Q. Okay. And did he agree to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. Gave his consent freely?
A. Freely.
Q. Appeared to cooperate fully?
A. Yes.
Q. And, so, then did you walk back, or drive back, to the end of the road where his trailer was located?
A. Yeah, he told me to go ahead and search. I told him I wanted him to come with me, and then we drove over to his trailer, and then he followed in the golf cart.
Q. So he was willing to let you just go into his trailer and let you search without him even being there?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. But you wanted him to be there?
A. Correct.
Q. And when you walked into the trailer, did you look around, through the complete trailer?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you just briefly describe what the layout -- or what the layout of the trailer is
inside?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevant.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, we're going to get to it eventually, so to make the record clear, I think it's helpful.

THE COURT: We may get to it eventually, as part of the case, but I'm hoping that the testimony here will focus on your motion. And I am having trouble understanding the relevance of what he found in the trailer, or what he observed in the trailer, to the motion.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, we do have a burden to establish some standing, and so some of these questions need to go towards that so. I can --

THE COURT: I'm not sure I follow that.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I can rephrase it.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ When you went in the trailer, did it become clear to you that Mr. Steven Avery did in fact reside in that trailer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You had no reason to doubt that?
A. No, he let us in.
Q. Okay. And you went and searched all of the rooms
and opened up all the doors and closets at that time, right?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm going to renew my objection as to the relevance of this. If Counsel is trying to lay foundational evidence for a standing argument, as I already argued last week, and in written pleadings, we do not challenge the fact that Mr. Avery has standing to challenge a search of his residence or his garage. Our argument goes to everywhere else. I'm not sure how this questioning, on November 4th, is relevant to the events on November 5th.

MR. BUTING: Nevertheless, Judge, we do have to make a record to establish standing, as Mr. Fallon has pointed out. And this is -- This detective was there and can testify about Mr. Avery's standing to object to this warrant, which included this particular trailer. That's what I'm trying to establish.

THE COURT: I don't know that that's disputed. I think that that's established already. I don't know how, what he found in the various rooms, relates to that, so I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ In any event, you walked through the trailer and then came out, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Didn't find anything inside that gave you any reason to think that Teresa was there, or had been there?
A. I had no reason to believe that Teresa was there.
Q. Okay. And then, did Steve allow you to -- Well, let me go back for a second. There's also a detached garage that's near that particular trailer; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it has a door on it?
A. Correct.
Q. Actually, two doors, a service door and an overhead door?
A. Correct.
Q. And were those doors opened?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did Steve allow you to go in and look into the garage as well?
A. I didn't ask to go in the garage.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I didn't ask to go in the garage.
Q. Okay. And he didn't do anything to prevent you from going in the garage, though?
A. There wasn't any discussion about the garage.
Q. Did you look around to see if there was any evidence that her vehicle was on the property somewhere, in that area?
A. We may have looked as we were driving in and out, that's about it.
Q. Did you walk around any of the property to the side or back of the trailer?
A. No.
Q. Did you see any burn barrels located nearby the Steven Avery trailer?
A. On the day of the consent search?
Q. Or before you actually filed the warrant?
A. I know there's burn barrels out there, from my contact out there. When I exactly seen them, I don't know.
Q. Did it appear to you that the burn barrels you saw were in some way connected to the Steven Avery trailer, that somehow there was some connection between them, as opposed to anywhere else?
A. Well, when I was out there on Saturday and Sunday, and I seen the burn barrels, at that
point, obviously, I sensed that there was some connection between Steven's house and the burn barrels, yes.
Q. And that's because of the proximity to the house, or what?
A. Yes.
Q. The barrels you are referring to were in the -Are we talking about one or more barrels?
A. I believe there's one, just prior to you arriving at Steven's trailer, and on the right hand side of the driveway.
Q. Sort of in the front, still, of the trailer?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you -- Did you at any point see a burning -- a burn pit to the rear of the detached garage, next to Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. Are you still talking about that Friday, or into Saturday/Sunday; what date are we talking about? They are quite different.
Q. For purposes of clarifying the record, on this question, we can include your subsequent.
A. Saturday and Sunday?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I seen a burn pit back there.
Q. And did that burn pit appear to be connected in
any way to the Steven Avery residence, as opposed to any of the neighboring ones?
A. It's right behind his garage.
Q. So your answer would be?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Did you do anything else before you left the Avery property on November 4th?
A. No.
Q. So you and Detective Lenk came together in the same vehicle?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, the following day, Saturday, November 5th, were you working?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what time you started?
A. 8:00 a.m.
Q. Did you receive a call from Investigator Wiegert that morning?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what time?
A. I would say between 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m.
Q. And did, in that -- I'm talking about the first conversation that you had with Investigator Wiegert, did you have a discussion about volunteer searchers?
A. Yeah, he gave me some information about some volunteer searchers.
Q. And did Investigator Wiegert tell you that there were numerous volunteer searchers who were coordinating their efforts to do some searches of properties within Manitowoc county?
A. Not those exact words, no.
Q. Have you reviewed anything prior to your testimony today?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. My report, um, recorded phone calls, um, recorded radio transmissions.
Q. And are those transcribed, those radio transmissions you are talking about?
A. No.
Q. Did you bring those with you today?
A. I did not.
Q. Where are they located?
A. At the Sheriff's Department.
Q. What radio transmissions are you referring to?
A. There's recorded conversations, phone conversations between myself and Investigator Wiegert. And I also reviewed the radio traffic that $I$ participated in.
Q. And those recordings, are they -- what day are they referring to?
A. Saturday, the 5th.
Q. So this is around the time of this phone call that we were talking about?
A. Correct.
Q. And, thereafter, as you are approaching the Avery property, later?
A. Yes.
Q. How many different recordings did you listen to?
A. Phone calls or radio conversations?
Q. Do you have tape recordings of the phone calls too?
A. Yes.
Q. Which ones?
A. There were a couple conversations between myself and Investigator Wiegert, prior to me arriving at the Avery property.
Q. Were those while you were located, still, at the Sheriff's Department, or while you were on the road?
A. At the Sheriff's Department.
Q. And would that include your first conversation with Investigator Wiegert that morning?
A. I believe so.
Q. And, so, approximately how many phone conversations did you have, or did you review, before your testimony today, that concerned your conversations with Investigator Wiegert?
A. I believe there's two phone calls between myself and Investigator Wiegert.
Q. And did both of those take place while you were still located at the station?
A. Correct.
Q. And the radio transmissions that you are referring to that you reviewed, were those ones that took place after you had left the station?
A. Correct.
Q. And included what period of time?
A. From the time I left the south parking lot of the Sheriff's Department until the time that -shortly after I confirmed the VIN number on the vehicle.
Q. And did those radio transmissions also include your conversation, in other words, statements you were making on the radio?
A. Yes.
Q. I will get back to the recordings in a minute. But you also indicated that you reviewed your report?
A. Yes.
Q. As part of your testimony today?
A. Correct.
Q. And by your report, are we talking about a -- a portion of a 22 page report prepared by the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Yes.
Q. And that goes in a sort of sequential, chronological time, the way it's organized?
A. For the most part, yes.
Q. And is that something you dictate, your part of it?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it gets transcribed by somebody?
A. Correct.
Q. And then you review it?
A. Yes.
Q. To make sure that it's accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's done shortly after the events that you are investigating, right?
A. I can tell you, that report was probably dictated about a week after the last day I was out there.
Q. Okay. Now, would you agree with me, since you reviewed your report, that in your report, you
state, quote, I, Detective Remiker, was working at the MTSO -- is that an abbreviation for Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Yes.
Q. -- at which time $I$ received a phone call from CASO -- Calumet Sheriff's Department, right?
A. Correct.
Q. -- Investigator Mark Wiegert. Investigator Wiegert indicated there were numerous volunteer searchers who were coordinating their efforts to do some searches of properties within Manitowoc County. Does this all sound familiar with what you reviewed?
A. That's what my report says.
Q. Did you bring it with you today?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you want to retrieve it quickly?
A. Sure.
Q. I believe we're on Page 4 of 22?
A. Got it.
Q. Okay. And do you also go on to say in your report, quote, Wiegert indicated that several searchers were willing to go to the Avery property, on Avery road, to search the junkyards/salvage area.
A. That's what it says.
Q. And does it also say, quote, Investigator Wiegert stated he and several of the volunteer search parties would be coming to the MTSO within the next hour, to meet and coordinate efforts. Right?
A. Correct.
Q. That's what your report says?
A. Correct.
Q. And, finally, Investigator Wiegert requested my assistance for this follow up. Closed quote.
A. Yes.
Q. That's what your report says, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And is your report true and accurate?
A. It's close.
Q. You try to make a complete and true report, I assume, right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And when you say it's close, what -- that's based upon what?
A. Well, during my conversations with Investigator Wiegert, um, in the first conversation $I$ had with him, he indicates that, he says to me, just so you know, the family is doing their own thing out
there with searches. In case you get calls of trespassers, in case you get calls that there's people walking the ditches, the family is out there doing their own thing. That was my first conversation with him.
Q. And this -- this conversation that you are relating right now, is that based on some independent recollection you have now, of an event?
A. That's based on my review of the phone calls.
Q. That's based on your review of the phone calls that you did to prepare for today's testimony?
A. Yeah, I mean, some of the conversation I can recall, but I was able to, I guess, verify a little bit more once I reviewed that.
Q. Anything else about your report, or is there anything about your report that's not true?
A. Well, I guess I misunderstood Investigator Wiegert in which -- at some point I had thought that he was bringing some people to our department, some volunteer searchers to coordinate our efforts, that wasn't the case.
Q. Well, that is what you put in your report, though?
A. Correct.
Q. So, is your report not true on that?
A. I would say that part is a little, yeah, a little -- it's not quite accurate.
Q. Not quite accurate, doesn't really cut it. My question is, did Wiegert say that several searchers were willing to go to the Avery property to search the junkyard/salvage yard area.
A. The part that there were volunteer searchers out there, that's accurate. Another conversation that $I$ had with Wiegert after that was, he calls me, he says, Hey, we have a change of plans. I think we should reinterview Steven and another individual. And he also indicated that there were some volunteer searchers who were willing to go out and do some searches in different locations. And he had thought that we should meet up and talk about that and it was possible that we would go and try to get consent from the Avery's to search the salvage yard. That was the second conversation $I$ had with him.
Q. And all of this recollection that you are relating to us now comes from your review of the phone calls?
A. It's a little bit of both. As I reviewed the
phone calls, I remembered a couple more things, an independent recollection of that exact date.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, at this time, I request we take a break. We have not had an opportunity, did not even know of such recordings, even though we have requested them. And I think at this point we have got to take a break so that we have an opportunity to review those before I can complete my cross-examination of Detective Remiker.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: We don't have any objection to that. Counsel and I were unaware that Manitowoc actually had recordings of those, I believe. We had some information from Calumet County, or things that they had recorded. And, quite frankly, never dawned on us that they would have recordings of something 10 months old, so.

THE COURT: All right. Does anyone have any idea how long it's going to take to get these together?

THE WITNESS: I know they are in the process of getting it all together. There's a lot of information, a lot of recordings. I don't know where they are at. I believe they are -- they are finishing up.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Let me ask, this, If I may, Judge?

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Detective, is it possible -- Apparently there's a lot of radio traffic relative to that day, so let me ask this question. Is it possible to obtain, for instance, a recording of the telephone conversations you had with Investigator Wiegert and, perhaps, what would you say, gentlemen, the first hour of radio traffic, and then we can wait, for the rest of it may not have any pertinence at all to the balance of the motion? What do you think, if we just -- Is there any way we can get like --

THE WITNESS: Probably have it to you within the hour.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Within the hour.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Can I ask you this, when you reviewed them, were they on -- did you just review them through some central system, or were they on cassettes already, or what?
A. The individual that was collecting the information, doing the recordings, he had -- I had requested that $I$ be able to listen to a few things. And he had centralized, or itemized
those specific ones. And I think they are all on some hard drive, some main data base within the Department.
Q. When you listened to them, you had some headphones plugged into something, or what?
A. No, they were just right on the computer.
Q. Okay. Is that right next door?
A. Correct.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask this, what are the other witnesses that the parties anticipate calling, with respect to this motion? Does the defense have any further witnesses?

ATTORNEY BUTING: We do, your Honor, but I view this new information as extremely important to all of the witnesses. If we're talking about actual recordings of communications that are directly at issue here, that is, Detective Wiegert's testimony and Detective Remiker's testimony, about their contacts with the volunteers and all of that, if that's recorded somewhere, then that's obviously very important. And it would really, potentially, impact how I would question other witnesses, if I had that information, which I had requested but -and apparently it was in the process of being prepared, but had not yet been prepared to us.

THE COURT: What I'm wondering is, if we can't continue taking testimony either on this motion, or one of the other motions, while people at the Sheriff's Department are getting the recordings together, so perhaps over an hour and a half break for lunch, the parties could listen to the recordings, but not put us too far behind schedule here today.

I understand perfectly, before you complete your examination of Detective Remiker, you are going to want to listen to those recordings. I also acknowledge that it may well play a role in your examination of other witnesses on this particular motion. But I would prefer that we not be wasting time, with everybody here, while somebody at the Sheriff's Department is compiling the information.

I would hope that someone over at the Sheriff's Department could be instructed to get that together so that it's ready over the noon hour. And we can take testimony, either additional testimony on this motion, or move on to one of the other motions before the lunch break.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Let me ask one other
question, first, of Detective Remiker.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ These phone calls that are recorded that you reviewed, do any of them involve discussions with an individual by the name of Ryan Hillegas?
A. That name is never mentioned.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. That name is never mentioned.
Q. Or any phone conversations with the Halbach family?
A. No.
Q. Or Patricia (sic) Sturm?
A. That's all it is, is I think two phone calls between myself and Investigator Wiegert, and then some radio traffic from myself going out to the Avery property. I have it kind of written down, word for word --
(Court reporter couldn't hear.)
A. I have it written down almost word for word what the conversation is.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. We could call one other witness, then, if we would take a break, and Detective Remiker be instructed to contact the Sheriff's Department and expedite making copies of those.

THE COURT: All right. Is that satisfactory to everyone?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
DETECTIVE REMIKER: Clarify exactly what you want.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm talking about the phone conversations, as well as the radio traffic, right up to the point where you say you stopped reviewing it, that is, $I$ think you were looking at the VIN number or something.

THE WITNESS: There's myself talking about the VIN number quickly, and then dispatch contacts me and tells me that there's somebody waiting at the Sheriff's Department, the media shows up at the Sheriff's Department. And that's about where it ended.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. So all of that, up to that point. After that, if you haven't reviewed that for today, then we'll deal with it later.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Correct.
THE COURT: Is the -- Is someone at the Sheriff's Department in the process of transcribing these conversations?

DETECTIVE REMIKER: We're in the process of providing radio, telephone, various types of
communication that's recorded, to the defense, in it's original form.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't I excuse you at this time. You can contact the Sheriff's Department and ask them to get that together. We'll move on to the next witness. Okay. And, hopefully, it will be ready to be reviewed over the noon hour. (Witness excused.)

ATTORNEY BUTING: We are waiting for Ryan Hillegas. That's H-i-l-l-e-g-a-s, Ryan with a y.

THE CLERK: Please remain standing. Please raise your right hand.

RYAN HILLEGAS, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Ryan Hillegas,
H-i-l-l-e-g-a-s.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. And, Mr. Hillegas, do you reside in Calumet or Manitowoc County?
A. I don't anymore.
Q. Where do you reside?
A. I'm in Waukesha County now. I moved in February.
Q. Okay. In November of 2005 , did you reside in this area?
A. I did.
Q. In which county?
A. Calumet.
Q. And what is your occupation?
A. I'm a registered nurse.
Q. Do you know, or did you know Teresa Halbach?
A. I did.
Q. And what was the nature of your relationship with her?
A. Um, we were close friends. We dated for a while in high school; basically, just remained friends.
Q. So for a period of time you were boyfriend/girlfriend?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You have to say yes or no for the court reporter?
A. Yes.
Q. And when did you discover that she was missing?
A. That Thursday right after Halloween.
Q. Thursday, November 3rd, would that?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And how did you learn this?
A. Her roommate called me and, basically, asked me
if $I$ had talked to her, or heard anything about her?
Q. Her roommate being who?
A. Scott Bloedorn.
Q. B-l-o-e --
A. $\quad-d-o-r-n$.
Q. $-d-o-r-n . \quad O k a y . ~ A n d ~ h a d ~ y o u ~ s e e n ~ h e r ~ r e c e n t l y, ~$ before that?
A. I saw her -- let's see, I believe it was -Halloween was a Monday, I saw her on Sunday.
Q. So the very day before?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And were you familiar with her vehicle?
A. Yup. Yes.
Q. Did you ever personally see it, in other words?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever drive it?
A. No.
Q. Ever ride in it?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you, at some point, become involved in some searches of the area?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you become involved in that?
A. Um, once we -- I heard she was missing, I believe
it was Friday morning, it would have been the 4th. I talked to the family that morning, and we had arranged to pick up posters and -- pick up posters in Appleton, at a missing person organization and, basically, it kind of started there.
Q. Did you help put together the posters or suggest--
A. Um, the posters were already put together. I'm not sure if the family collaborated. I'm pretty sure it was the family that got together with the missing person organization. They put the posters together and printed them up for us.
Q. Did you use those posters yourself and later distribute them to others?
A. Yes.
Q. What sort of information was contained on them?
A. Um, there was a picture of Teresa, some of the posters had a picture of Teresa as well as the vehicle. There was information about her, describing her, her height, weight, what she was possibly wearing, and then, $I$ believe, just a little information that if anybody seen her that they should call the number and there was the number for the Sheriff's Department there, for
them to call.
Q. And so after you -- you picked up some of these posters -- and this was Friday morning you said, right?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you then go from there to becoming involved in some kind of search?
A. I agreed to go pick the posters up, and I guess I kind of had the most access to, like, phone numbers of her friends, stuff like that. And we just started calling, basically, anybody we new, to come help. And by the time I returned with the posters that afternoon there was, I don't know, 50, 60 people waiting in the driveway to go out and hang the fliers.
Q. So, the idea, then, was to have these people go out and post the fliers, not actually search?
A. On Friday, we put up the posters, correct. So it wasn't so much a search. It was more or less getting the information out, and getting people in the local areas to realize what was going on.
Q. So, did you sort of become the coordinator of all of this, the volunteers?
A. Basically, yes.
Q. And, then, at some point did your -- did your
thinking go from just a matter of putting up posters to actually using these people to do some searches?
A. Yes. Basically, how $I$ was advised, through the missing person agency, was they kind of go in steps. First, you get posters out, make people aware. And you kind of move, you get a little more invasive from there, I guess.
Q. Do you know the name of this agency?
A. It is the -- I believe it's the YES Foundation, in Appleton.
Q. Is it connected with the police department there?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Okay. But you knew the police were already involved in their own investigation at that time, right?
A. Yup.
Q. So, why did you feel it necessary to do any other searches with these volunteers, if the police were already involved?
A. Well, the more help you could get, the better odds we would have of finding her quick, I guess.
Q. Did you have any experience in --
A. No.
Q. $\quad-\quad$ searches of this sort?
A. No.
Q. And did you talk to anybody, any police officers, or anybody, to help explain to you how you could go about doing these searches?
A. No, I talked to the police, but none of it was -none of it really regarded how to search, or I guess I didn't get any pointers from the cops on that.
Q. When you say you talked to the police, who did you speak with?
A. Let's see, I know Thursday night, when we were at the house, I went over -- after Scott called me, I went to the house and we kind of started calling around and started calling friends then.

But I believe it was Jerry Pagel and Detective Wiegert, who were at the house Thursday night, collecting belongings and asking questions. The family was there also.
Q. Did you know either of those individuals before that night?
A. No, I had heard of Jerry Pagel before, only because he was the County Sheriff, but I don't think I had ever met him before.
Q. Didn't have any other dealings with him on anything?
A. No.
Q. And, then, after Thursday night, did you also have some contact with police, or law enforcement, on Friday?
A. I believe on Friday -- I believe on Friday I talked to Jerry Pagel. And I'm pretty sure it was regarding, you know, more -- they had -- I spoke to the police every day regarding questions about Teresa, personal items, and friends of hers, people who may know information about her.

I did talk to -- I talked to Jerry on Friday, just shortly, and just mentioned what we were doing. I said we had put up posters and just kind of told him the game plan for things.
Q. And did you tell him that -- When you say him, you are referring to?
A. Jerry Pagel.
Q. Jerry Pagel, Sheriff Pagel. Did you tell him that you were intending to use these volunteers and go out and do searches?
A. I believe so. And I believe what we had -- what we had told them was -- or what I had told them, sorry, was that we were just going out on Saturday to do car searches. I told him we were putting up flyers on Friday. And our plan was to
go out on Saturday and drive around the countryside and look for anything that was interesting, or worth taking a second look at.
Q. So, did you tell Sheriff Pagel that you had sort of become the coordinator of all of this?
A. I don't believe so. I think it was just kind of assumed, I was kind of the contact for the whole search. If anybody wanted to help, they were instructed to call me. Basically, I guess it started with me.
Q. Did you also speak with Investigator Wiegert on Friday?
A. I don't know that for sure.
Q. What about Saturday morning, did you speak with him?
A. I don't know that for sure either.
Q. Is it possible that you did?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, did you -- Let me ask it this way. Did you speak to any law enforcement people on Saturday morning, November 5th?
A. I don't know.
Q. And why is it that you don't know?
A. Well, I guess a lot was going on. We had been up for, you know, most of Thursday night, planning
all day Friday, all day Friday night, making maps and posters, and sizing them and scaling them, to hand out to everybody to their assigned driving areas. Yeah, I guess, you know, three days without sleep will -- I don't know what else to tell you.
Q. Did Sheriff Pagel or any other law enforcement individual tell you that they did not want you to do any of these searches?
A. No.
Q. They didn't say, like, butt out, this is our investigation, anything of that sort?
A. No.
Q. Did they -- any of them tell you that they had some concerns about whether your searches might compromise their own investigation?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any concerns of your own about whether searchers, or all these volunteers going out over the countryside, might somehow compromise the police investigation?
A. No, not really. I guess our main concern was to find Teresa, and find her quick, if she was still alive, or still somewhere.
Q. But had you considered the possibility that she
was not alive at that point?
A. It was always a possibility, I guess.
Q. And were your searchers instructed to search, not just for her, but anything, any evidence of her, or anything that might point to her?
A. Sure, yes.
Q. And did you tell that to Sheriff Pagel, that that was your purpose?
A. Maybe not specifically like that but, you know, I think the intent was just to go out there and search for anything that would lead to her, yeah.
Q. And so to that effect you conveyed that
information to Sheriff Pagel?
A. Yeah.
Q. Other than the Thursday, November 3rd, which is the day $I$ think you said you actually met with Investigator Wiegert, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any phone conversations with him after that, between that time and when the vehicle was located?
A. Detective Wiegert, I don't know.
Q. Is it possible, or are you sure either way?
A. I don't know, like I said. I talked to so many of the detectives and police throughout the whole
search, not just those first two days, you know, regarding personal items, numbers of contact for Teresa, people who knew her. I can't say for sure.
Q. All right. So I take it then that you put the word out to these volunteers to get together somewhere, you talked about working on maps and all of that?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$, yes.
Q. And was there some group meeting of these volunteers?
A. Yeah, I guess Friday, when I got back with the posters, most of the calls were put out, you know, family and friends. I know the family had contacted a lot of people. A lot of people were just calling to see what they could do.

Basically, we were just telling them to meet at the house. So, Friday, everybody met me there, when I came back with the posters. And Saturday morning -- Friday night we planned that Saturday morning everybody would meet at the house. I'm not sure what time it was, 6, 7.
Q. So, you had a big meeting on Friday night of everybody, as well as Saturday?
A. Not -- Not so much a meeting as it was everybody
just met and then we kind of divvied up, I guess, driving assignments and where everybody should go.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Excuse me, just for purposes of clarification, we're losing the sequence. Is this Friday night, or is this Saturday, that we're talking about right now?

ATTORNEY BUTING: We'll talk about Friday and then we'll move immediately to Saturday.

THE WITNESS: Right now, I think we're talking about both days, yeah.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So, Friday evening there was a gathering of 50 some people, something like that?
A. Yes.
Q. When you say at the house, which house are you referring to?
A. At Teresa's house, where Teresa lived.
Q. Okay. And were there assignments made on Friday night as to who would do what or was that --
A. Friday wasn't as organized as Saturday was. It was, you know, rather fly by the moment. I picked the posters up and everybody met. And when we got back, just had a big circle around me, I guess, and started telling people what
cities we needed posters put up in. And people -- people volunteered for mostly the areas they knew, but people just kind of picked areas, we wrote them down for what cities, and made sure that all the surrounding.
Q. Is this still Friday night we're talking about?
A. This is Friday afternoon, Friday night, yeah.
Q. And then, so what was the purpose of meeting again then on Saturday?
A. To get a group of people together to do a road search, a car search.
Q. So, were there other assignments handed out on Friday evening, that were different than that?
A. Friday was just putting up posters. Friday wasn't driving around the countryside looking for a vehicle or anything like that. It was basically --
Q. I'm talking about Friday evening, when you had this gathering?
A. Friday was all posters. We had one gathering. Everybody met. I handed out posters to everybody, gave them city assignments. They went and handed their posters out and that was it for that day.
Q. Okay. So the Friday meeting didn't have any
discussion with actual doing any searches, it was just where are we going to put the posters?
A. Getting the information out, correct.
Q. I gotcha. Okay. So, then, on Saturday morning, did it change to, let's now use them for searches?
A. Saturday morning, right, it changed. Everybody met and we decided to do a car search, basically.
Q. Was that your decision?
A. Basically, yeah. We were up all night Friday night, making maps. Basically, just took satellite images off the internet, blew them up to scale, gave everybody a blown up version of their roads that we wanted them to search.
Q. And did the areas you selected -- How did you select what areas to search?
A. Um, well, we -- we searched pretty much every town and every road from just about the St. John, Sherwood area, to all the way to Lake Michigan, off to Green Bay.
Q. Let me ask you this way. Did you have any information from the police, or otherwise, as to where Teresa was last seen or where she was going on the day she was last seen.
A. I'm not sure. I don't believe that -- that we
knew where she was last seen then. I'm not real clear about that, like I say.
Q. Did you know anything about her travels, her activities on the 31st?
A. I knew that she had been taking pictures out in the Manitowoc County area.
Q. Okay. And did you know that one of the locations was the Avery Salvage property?
A. I believe I did, yes.
Q. Okay. You are saying you just don't know if you knew it was the last place that she was seen, but you --
A. Right. I don't know when that information was specifically figured out.
Q. Okay. But you did know when you were gathering these searchers together, that the Avery property was one place she had been?
A. Yes.
Q. And so as you are dividing up the property, or the areas to search, did you give that particular area to any individual?
A. I didn't, per se, give it to anybody, somebody volunteered -- Well, we had -- we had that whole area covered anyways, all the roads, all the back country roads. But the property itself was --
was went through, I guess.
Q. Was what?
A. I guess you could say went through. Pam -- Pam Sturm had went there, found the vehicle, yes.
Q. I'm talking, now, about the meeting on Saturday morning?
A. Sure.
Q. Did one of the -- one of the sectors -- or you said you had maps, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$, yes.
Q. Okay. And you divided them up in certain sectors, would we say, or sections?
A. Yeah.
Q. And then people were assigned, or volunteered, to take certain sections?
A. Yes.
Q. And one of those sections included the Avery property?
A. No, that's not correct.
Q. So, you did not -- That was not something that was considered, by you, to be an area that should be searched?
A. By me, $I$ considered it an area that, you know, we definitely should look at. That morning, as you were talking, we did not specifically tell
anybody to go there. It was more or less the surrounding areas and the counties around there.
Q. Okay. And there's like gravel pits, and quarries, and things like that in that area, too?
A. Right.
Q. And were people actually going to be walking over land, or just driving on the roads?
A. No. That morning, the plan was for everybody to go out in their cars and look for anything conspicuous, tracks going into the ditch, her vehicle, anything, basically, that would lead to where she was.
Q. But my question is, were they restricted to just the roads, or was it anticipated that people would then get out and walk?
A. I did not restrict anybody. If they wanted to get out of their vehicles and walk spots, that was kind of up to them. My plan was just to get the people out there and have them looking.
Q. All right. Now, Pamela Sturm, did you know her before that day?
A. No.
Q. Never met her?
A. No.
Q. Did she -- So you had never talked with her at
all before Saturday morning?
A. Um, she may have been there on Friday, when we handed out the posters. I took names down, I don't remember who I talked to. Basically, we were in such a hurry by the time $I$ got back, that I didn't have time to meet and greet with anybody.
Q. Okay. By the way, did you review anything before your testimony today, to help you refresh your recollection about your testimony?
A. No.
Q. No reports or notes of your own?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So, did Pamela Sturm arrive with all the other groups?
A. No.
Q. When did she arrive?
A. I don't remember the time. The large group that met that morning left the house at approximately between 7 and 8, I'm going to guess. Pam showed up maybe an hour or two after everybody else had left.
Q. And what was discussed at that point?
A. Pam came to the house and, basically, introduced herself and asked what she could do. I explained
to her what we were doing for the day, what our plan was, showed her the maps.

By that time, most of the areas were already covered. And she, basically, mentioned to me, you know, has anybody gone to the Avery car lot, or Avery's Salvage Yard. I don't remember the correct terms for it that she used but.
Q. And did you know that she was a former private investigator?
A. At that time, $I$ don't know if $I$ did.
Q. Did she -- Do you recall her telling you anything about any experience she might have had, with searches?
A. I don't remember that. I don't know.
Q. Okay. When she mentioned that she might want to take that section and search the Avery Salvage lot, did you understand she meant actually going onto the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Not just the roads nearby?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you discuss with her at all, you know, whether she should get permission, or what her procedure should be, or anything of that sort?
A. I don't remember that. I really don't remember what was discussed. Basically, that she wanted to go in there and just said, well, if that's what you want to do. I wasn't going to tell her no, but I, specifically, didn't want to tell anybody that they should either, but.
Q. But you were coordinating all this, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So there wouldn't be overlap?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you talk to her at all about, well, you know, the police might also be searching that area and you should check with them, or did you have any discussion like that?
A. I do not think so. I guess I'm not real sure, though. I don't believe so.
Q. Okay. Did she tell you that she had spoken to any police officers about it?
A. No.
Q. No, she didn't or --
A. No, she did not.
Q. She did not tell you that?
A. She didn't tell me that.
Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that these fliers had phone numbers on them, like a hot line number or
something?
A. Some type of number, yes.
Q. Not a number that you got yourself?
A. Excuse me?
Q. I mean, not a number -- You didn't have anything to do with putting that number on the flier?
A. No.
Q. You got some other numbers that you could also use to contact the law enforcement, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And what were those?
A. Most of the investigators that $I$ had been speaking to.
Q. Okay. They all gave you their phone numbers?
A. Pretty much, yes, and cards.
Q. So that would be their direct lines?
A. Yes.
Q. So Sheriff Pagel gave you his card, with his phone number?
A. Yes.
Q. Investigator Wiegert gave you his card, with his phone number?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, leading. ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm asking, did he. I
will rephrase it.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Did Investigator Wiegert?

THE COURT: You may answer.
A. Ask me that again, please.
Q. Did Investigator Wiegert give you his business card with his phone number?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you provide those phone numbers to the volunteer searchers?
A. I don't -- not that morning, I did not, but I had also -- I had tried to call that number for information and it was -- the number on the flier, I believe, was the number for the general dispatch in Calumet County, which it is not a fast way to get a hold of anybody you need to, if you have direct numbers.
Q. So, the faster way was to call which number, then?
A. Well, for me, I had direct access to all the investigators, so $I$ could just call their numbers.
Q. So, what was the plan, were the volunteers, if they found anything, were they supposed to call you? Were they supposed to call the sheriff? How did you organize that?
A. The plan was to call the sheriff, or to call 911, or whatever number they had available to them, to get a hold of somebody.
Q. So, did you give them any of those direct phone numbers?
A. I gave Pam -- Pam Sturm, I gave her Jerry Pagel's number that morning. The cell phone coverage out in that area is absolutely horrid. And to get a call out to -- most of the time when I used my phone out there, I only had 30 seconds or a minute to talk before your phone cut off on you. But I gave Pam that number, Jerry Pagel's number, that morning, with the intent that if she was going into the car lot and needed to call somebody quick, and get somebody out there, that would be the best way for her to.
Q. Is she the only one you gave that personal number to?
A. I believe so.
Q. Who else was -- Who else had already been on that Avery property, to your knowledge?
A. To my knowledge, I don't know if anybody had. I knew that there were cars that were in that area, whether any of them got out and got into the property, I do not know.
Q. When you say cars in that area, you mean other volunteers?
A. Yeah, searchers from that morning.
Q. What about the prior day, were there any searchers in that area, volunteer searchers in that area?
A. Not searchers, per se, more or less handing out fliers at, you know, bars, restaurants, grocery stores, post offices.
Q. So a volunteer may, in fact, have actually gone to the Avery property and left a flier?
A. There is a possibility, if that was their choice to go there and put a flier up, maybe they did. I never instructed anybody or heard that it happened.
Q. Okay. Before the morning of November 5th, had you ever been out to the Avery property?
A. The 5th, no.
Q. That Saturday morning?
A. No.
Q. So, before the vehicle was located, you had never been -- in your whole life, you had never been to that Avery property?
A. No.
Q. I mean, that's correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. Did you have any idea, though, how many vehicles might have been on that property, that Pam Sturm was going to have to --
A. I didn't know how many vehicles were there, no.
Q. You hadn't seen any aerial photographs that would give you a clue, or anything of that sort?
A. I don't know if I had seen the aerial photographs by then, when the news was covering it.

Obviously, there was coverage from above, helicopter shots of the property. I don't recall when $I$ saw those and realized the scale of it, though.
Q. So, you don't know whether that was before the vehicle was found, or not?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Did you -- Did you at some point ever go to the property, the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. Geez, when did we go there? I'm not real sure on the dates. It was possibly that Monday or Tuesday, which would have been, let's see, was that the 6 th or 7 th, that Monday?
Q. Let me ask you this. When you went to the
property, was it still, to your knowledge, in the custody of the law enforcement?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you pass through any checkpoints?
A. Yes.
Q. And what were you doing there? What were you supposed to be?
A. We had met, let's see -- we went and we talked to, I believe it was Jerry Pagel, and just showed him our maps, showed him the lands that we had searched. We had been walking fields and outlying areas of the sectored off areas of the Avery property. We had went through county parks around there, river bottoms, fields, forest, basically, to show on the maps and show them the lands we have covered.
Q. So, did you actually go into the salvage lot area, though, and start searching on that day?
A. No.
Q. I don't understand.
A. Well, I will correct myself. We had went there and showed them the maps, yes, that morning. And I believe it was that -- like I say I'm not clear on the days that we were out there. But we did go into -- I don't know if it was the sectored
off areas, but the very outskirt areas of the enclosed areas, I guess.

We walked just a flat winter green field with nothing in it. Walked across the road on some -- I believe it was public land, just forested, and fields by some houses.
Q. But were you walking around in the Avery property area, or its immediate outskirts?
A. Not in the salvage yard. And I don't know who owned the land, if it was the Averys or not, it was farm field.
Q. But it was past the police checkpoint?
A. On the road, yes. Police checkpoint was a mile out on each side.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object to the relevance of this. We don't even know what day it is. We don't know if it was Monday. We don't know if it was Tuesday. We don't know if it's inside, outside the property, and whether it is or isn't is irrelevant.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm just trying to clarify whether it is or isn't.

THE COURT: I'm going to give Mr. Buting a little latitude here to answer some of the questions
raised by Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Just so the record is complete, I just would note that whatever is occurring here is well after the warrant was applied for.

ATTORNEY BUTING: We may have to recall the witness, potentially. But I'm almost done with my questions of him anyway, so.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Just so we're clear, at some point, you were allowed in past some police checkpoint, to do some searches?
A. Correct.
Q. You are just alone or with other volunteers?
A. Myself at first, and then other volunteers to follow after we kind of got a land assignment.
Q. And did the officers who let you in know that you had a relationship as a former boyfriend with the missing woman, at that point?
A. I don't know if anybody knew I was an ex-boyfriend of hers. I guess I never saw the relevance in it.
Q. Did Sheriff Pagel know that?
A. What's that?
Q. Did Sheriff Pagel know of your relationship with
her?
A. I don't believe so. I think everybody just assumed I was a good friend.
Q. Did Sheriff Pagel know that you had seen Teresa, yourself, just the day before she disappeared?
A. I believe so.

MR. BUTING: That's all the questions I have, Judge?

THE COURT: All right. We'll take our morning break at this time and come back in 15 minutes.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Mr. Buting, it's my understanding that you have finished your direct examination of Mr. Hillegas?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, or Mr. Kratz?
Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, Judge. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Mr. Hillegas, the YES Organization which you mentioned, that is an acronym for the Youth Education and Safety Organization.
A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And that's an organization that was founded by Mr. Breyer?
A. Yes.
Q. And as far as you know, he has no association with any law enforcement entity whatsoever?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. All right. Okay. So we're clear, you became involved in the efforts to find Teresa Halbach on Thursday, November 3rd?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's when you became aware of the fact that she was actually missing?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were aware of the fact that a missing persons report was filed by the Halbach family, regarding Teresa?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And at some point, there was a gathering of people on Thursday evening?
A. Friday, it was Friday afternoon.
Q. Friday afternoon. Okay. I'm not clear from your testimony on direct examination, did you participate at all in any meeting with any volunteers, any family members, anyone, on Thursday night, November 3rd?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Where did that take place?
A. That was at Teresa's house.
Q. Teresa's house. And where she lived with her roommate, Mr. Bloedorn?
A. Yes.
Q. And there were other family members there?
A. Karen and Tom came over to the house that evening.
Q. All right. Now, as you sit and think now, as to that particular day, do you recall whether Sheriff Pagel was even present on Thursday evening, November 3rd? Do you have an independent recollection of that?
A. I believe that it was Pagel and Wiegert that were over Thursday night, with the family, looking for belongings.
Q. Looking for belongings. As opposed to Friday, as opposed to any other day?
A. I believe it was Thursday but, honestly, I believe there was somebody at the house for about the first four or five days, once she was filed missing, to pick up items and belongings.
Q. Now, would it be fair to say that, as a matter of fact, you became involved on Thursday, November

3rd, and your involvement didn't really end until the following Wednesday?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Which would be about the 9th, I believe?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Now, during that particular time, you did have numerous contacts with law enforcement, throughout the days?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Specifically, my questions are going to be focussed on Thursday, Friday, and Saturday morning. Think about those particular times, all right. Now, first and foremost, you indicated that posters were obtained on Thursday, November 3rd?
A. Actually, the posters were obtained Friday, on the 4th.
Q. All right. When did you become aware that posters were on order, as it were?
A. I believe it was Friday morning. I had spoken with the family and they had mentioned to me that this foundation in Appleton was printing up the posters. And they asked me if I could pick them up.
Q. When did it become apparent, either directly or implicitly, that you were going to coordinate the volunteer effort?
A. Um, I guess it kind of just happened Friday, when I got back with the posters. Basically, had a whole group of people, I guess, wanting to know what they could do, and somebody had to get them on the way.
Q. All right. So would it be fair to say that, on Thursday evening, you volunteered to go get the posters?
A. Friday morning I volunteered to go pick them up.
Q. So, in terms of Thursday evening, there was no discussion really, no formal discussion, regarding the organization or coordination of a poster effort, or a volunteer effort, at that particular point?
A. No.
Q. And there was no -- no instruction, or guidance, or direction, provided by anyone from law enforcement, to you, relative to the creation of a volunteer effort on Thursday evening?
A. No.
Q. That's a correct statement?
A. That is a correct statement.
Q. All right. Now, let's -- let's go to Friday morning. Friday morning you volunteered to go pick up the posters?
A. Yes.
Q. And the posters were provided by this YES Organization?
A. Yes.
Q. They were not provided by law enforcement?
A. No.
Q. All right. You returned back with the posters, there's a group of individuals gathered at Teresa Halbach's residence?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And at that particular point, you and Mr. Bloedorn discuss things and, apparently, or implicitly, you become the director, as it were, or the coordinator of this volunteer effort to find Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, at that Friday morning meeting, regarding the placing of the posters, it was your decision to focus efforts, on that day, of getting the word out to the community?
A. That's correct.
Q. And it was your idea to organize people in such a
way as there would be specific areas targeted for the publication, as it were, of the information regarding Teresa's disappearance?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And that information was going to be disbursed to the public by means of these posters?
A. Yes.
Q. And they were going to be tacked up in public places throughout Manitowoc and Calumet County?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And in terms of taking that approach, that was your idea, correct?
A. Um, I guess it wasn't so much my idea to do it that way, it was the YES Foundation that had mentioned that, you know, first thing is get the information out. So I guess that's how I kind of pictured that we needed to do it.
Q. All right. So you got advice from them?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Who were you in contact with, from the YES Organization, that provided that advice; do you recall?
A. Yup, Jay Breyer.
Q. All right. And you had a number of conversations
with him?
A. Yes.
Q. He had some experience, as it were, in trying to find missing persons, or engaging in this type of work?
A. Yeah, some type of experience. I know he had a daughter or a niece that was abducted or missing, and that's how he began. But he had helped out a lot of searches across the state.
Q. So you conferred with him regarding a relative game plan, as it were, to get the word out?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So you were following his advice?
A. Yes.
Q. So with respect to the placing of the posters, and dividing people up and sending them to various locales in the two-county or tri-county area, there was no one from law enforcement telling you to do that?
A. No.
Q. There was no one coordinating you, or directing you, in that regard?
A. No, that is correct.
Q. All right. So you come back, you disburse the people to cover their respective areas for these
poster placements; did anything else occur on that particular day, other than disbursing the posters?
A. No.
Q. All right. Now, the phone number, which was on the poster, was the general dispatch number for the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. All right. Now, at some point during that day, you did have some contact with Sheriff Pagel, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were -- The point of that conversation or contact was to advise him of what you were doing, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. At no point during your conversation was Sheriff Pagel, that day --

ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm sorry, what day are we talking about?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Friday.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ At no point during your conversation -- Well, first of all, before $I$ even ask that question, how many times, your best recollection -- if you are not sure, tell us you
are not sure -- how many times you spoke with Sheriff Pagel on Friday, November 4th?
A. I guess, how many times, I am not exactly clear or sure.
Q. Could it have been once, could have been twice?
A. It was at least once. I don't know if it was any more than that.
Q. At some point during the course of your discussion, to advise him what you were doing, you obtained a more direct phone number for -from him, should somebody find something?
A. Well, I had received his card the night before, when he was at the house.
Q. All right.
A. So, I guess that's when I obtained the number.
Q. All right. But the night before, you didn't have any discussions with him regarding what you were doing, or how you were going to go about it, or anything like that?
A. No, we didn't have a plan, basically, until Friday morning.
Q. In fact, you don't even know if you talked to him that night, other than to receive his card and exchange pleasantries?
A. Basically, yes.
Q. Okay. So, on Friday, when you did talk to him, at some point, either one or possibly two occasions, you did obtain a more direct phone number, or was that already on the card?
A. I had that on the card.
Q. All right. Now, on these conversations with Sheriff Pagel that day, in no way did he direct you to -- where to place these posters, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And there was no discussion with him, whatsoever, regarding any perceived or intended plan to actually conduct searches with the volunteers who were placing the information in the general public?
A. Yes.
Q. That's correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And, similarly, you think that you may have had contact with Detective Wiegert on Friday, November 4th, or are you not sure about that?
A. I'm not sure if $I$ had any contact with Wiegert on Friday.
Q. All right. And it's difficult for you to recall when you had contact, because at some point
during the seven days or so that you were involved in looking for Teresa, you did have contact with law enforcement from time to time?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, with respect to later that Friday, were there -- on Friday was there -- when did it become apparent, or when did the idea come to you that maybe we should do more than put posters out, maybe we should actually use some of these folks to actually look for Teresa; when did that come about?
A. I believe it was late Friday night. I don't exactly recall how we got started on that idea. I did know that I had a whole lot of -- well, a whole lot of volunteers that wanted to do something. Everybody was willing to help. So I guess we just figured we would put them to use.
Q. When you say, we figured we would put them to use, are you referring to yourself and Mr. Bloedorn?
A. We means, me and Scott, yes.
Q. Now, the decision that maybe we can take advantage of these folks in that regard, was entirely your decision?
A. Um, I don't know if it was entirely my decision.

And I guess, like I said, I'm not clear how that -- how that started, or how we came upon the idea of it.
Q. When I say your, I mean yours, meaning you and Mr. Bloedorn's.
A. Right, yes.
Q. No one from law enforcement told you that, hey, this would be a great idea if you collected some people and went out and helped to look, right?
A. No, nobody from law enforcement told us that.
Q. All right. Now, Saturday morning, you indicated that, at some point then, on Friday evening, you must have gotten word out to get everybody to come to the house on Saturday morning?
A. Yup. Friday -- Friday evening, late evening, we had started telling people to just meet at the house the following morning and we would have a plan.
Q. And you were drawing from the pool of 50 to 60 people who assisted in putting posters up that day?
A. That, and there were probably 20 to 40 more people that were there the following day, on Saturday morning.
Q. All right. So on Saturday morning, you had
perhaps as few as 70 and maybe almost 80, 90 people?
A. I would say that's probably accurate.
Q. All right. Now, of that 80 to 90 people, it's true, is it not, that there was not one single solitary law enforcement officer present; is that true?
A. That is true. That's correct.
Q. And the meeting, you said, was scheduled for somewhere between 6, maybe 7 o'clock in the morning, your best recollection?
A. I believe, yeah.
Q. All right. Now, during the course of the meeting, you had a large gathering of individuals there and the decision was -- tell us how the meeting went.
A. Well, by the time people had started showing up in the driveway on Saturday, to go out and do the search, me and Scott had already, basically, had maps of sectioned out areas that we were ready to hand out to people and, basically, when they got there, we had them line up and come through the house. When they got in the kitchen, we made them take a look at the map, gave them a larger version of the map, and then we gave them a
smaller version, also, that we wanted them to cover, that's where they went from there.
Q. Where did the maps come from?
A. Me and Scott made them that night.
Q. And where did you make them? How did you make them? Where did you get the information to create the maps?
A. Satellite imaging off the internet.
Q. Your best estimate, how long did the meeting last, for you to process all the helpers, as it were?
A. To go through everybody, it was pretty quick, once they started filing through the house and taking assignments. From start, to the end of the large group that was there in the morning, probably maybe took an hour, hour and a half, to get everybody out of the house.
Q. So, after an individual group or person received their assignment, were they then released to go search that area, or did they stick around for any further instructions?
A. No, they left right away.
Q. They left right away. So it was basically in, get your assignment, and they were out the door?
A. As soon as they talked to me and got their
assignment, they were, basically, out on their own and ready to leave.
Q. All right. And you pretty much left it in each individual searchers discretion as to how they would go about conducting the search?
A. Yes.
Q. Similar to, I think you said, whether people wanted to get out of their vehicles and walk the area, that was up to them. You certainly didn't put any restrictions, or even give advice, as to how they should go about doing it?
A. No, I basically told them to look for anything suspicious, her vehicle, tracks, anybody who had seen her.
Q. As a matter of fact, the focus still, at that time, was under the impression or hope that there might have been just some automobile mishap and that perhaps her car was stuffed some side of the road somewhere and no one had discovered it?
A. I guess, yes.
Q. All right. Now, during the course of handing out these assignments, you didn't hand out any assignments to go search any personal property? By that, I mean any particular residence or buildings?
A. No.
Q. And the assignments to search areas were more or less public roads and lands which were readily accessible from public roads?
A. Yes.
Q. They included county parks, gravel pits, for instance, and fields, farms, etcetera?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, after the initial group of people were processed and given their assignment and sent on their way, later that morning, Ms Pam Sturm and her daughter, Nikole, showed up?
A. Yes.
Q. Your best estimate, that was maybe as much as an hour, could be even more, after the general group had passed through?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, Ms Sturm comes to your particular meeting here, and by and large was she the only one left, had everyone else pretty much passed through?
A. The large group of people that left that morning, everybody was gone from that group. Throughout the entire day, people were stopping in, people who just got off work, or people who just found somebody to watch their kids. So all throughout
the day there were stragglers coming in.
Q. Stragglers coming in. Now, when Ms Sturm came in, as $I$ understand it, just so that we're clear, it was she who asked, without any prompting from you, or anyone else, whether anyone had been given the assignment of going to the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And she said, well, then I'll take that; is that correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. It was her idea?
A. Basically, yes.
Q. All right. Well, when you say basically?
A. Yeah, it was entirely her idea. She was the one that mentioned it to me.
Q. And that's because she told you, well, isn't that the last place where Teresa was seen?
A. It was.
Q. That was her thinking, I might as well go there?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So she and her daughter, then, took that assignment, and they left to go there, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, before they left, did you give them a phone number, just in case they had problems, or they found anything?
A. I did.
Q. All right. And what number did you give them?
A. I gave them Jerry Pagel's, his cell number.
Q. His cell number. From the card that he gave you?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And other than that, you had no other discussion with her regarding law enforcement's potential involvement in her effort to search the Avery property?
A. Right, that's correct.
Q. Okay. Her time at your -- at the meeting place was just, literally, a matter of minutes, maybe 10 minutes?
A. I would say between 5 and 10 minutes.
Q. Did she take any maps or did she just kind of go on her own?
A. We gave her maps, I believe, of the area.
Q. The general area?
A. Right. The same map that we had handed to everybody else.
Q. Okay. Similarly, I know I asked you about Saturday, let me back up to the Friday meeting,
about divvying up the posters and deciding who was going to put the information and the posters in what particular area. There was no one from law enforcement involved in that meeting either?
A. No.
Q. All right. Let's talk about the Sunday, Monday, Tuesday.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If I may, and if the Court wants to put another exhibit on there, I would like to direct the witness's attention to Exhibit No. 2, from the preliminary examination, dated 12/6 of 05. If the clerk would prepare another exhibit -- sticker, I would be happy to put it on here, whatever the next number in order is.

THE CLERK: 18.
(Exhibit 18 marked for identification.)
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ All right. Mr. Hillegas, I would like to direct your attention to Exhibit 18, which is an aerial photograph; do you recognize this particular property?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is that property?
A. That's the Avery Salvage Yard.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: And if the clerk would
prepare one more exhibit, 19, please.
Q. And I would also like to direct your attention to the aerial photograph which is behind you on the chalkboard, which will be marked Exhibit No. 19.
(Exhibit 19 marked for identification.)
All right. I just want to clear up some perceived misconception from your examination. First of all, let's look at 18. Now, you indicated at some point on Monday or Tuesday, you passed a checkpoint and were permitted -- you and a few of your volunteers were permitted to do some searching past a checkpoint; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, with respect, first of all, to Exhibit 18, did any of the searching that you and your volunteers did, on either that Monday or Tuesday, occur within the parameters of Exhibit 18?
A. No.
Q. All right. As a matter of fact, the closest you got to Exhibit 18 is the far corner, upper right hand corner; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You never passed in through this area, where we're -- into the main business area, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And you had never been on this property before, in your life, correct?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Could the record just reflect where you are pointing?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Let the record reflect that I'm pointing a laser pointer, in the upper right hand corner, about 4 or 5 inches in from the right side and about 6 inches down from the top, between an area, wide spot in the road with four buildings.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Good enough?
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ And, now, you have never been in that particular area before that, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Now, directing your attention, again, to Exhibit 19, which is behind you, and if you would be so kind as to get up and use the pointer, the laser pointer, and tell us if you -First of all, can you identify Exhibit 19? You are familiar with what's depicted?
A. Right. It's an aerial -- it's like an aerial or a satellite image of, this would be the Avery
property, pretty much dead center of the map.
Q. All right. Would you point the laser pen, there's a button on there.
A. Sure. Right there is the salvage area.
Q. And what is depicted there is, in fact, a smaller version of Exhibit 18?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, you indicated at some point during questioning by Counsel, that you passed a particular checkpoint, to assist law enforcement in doing a search. In looking at Exhibit 19, do you have an idea where that checkpoint would have been?
A. Yeah. Am I allowed to get up and look closer?
Q. Yes, please do.
A. The checkpoint I'm speaking of, I believe that the roads were blocked off here and here, and this is the checkpoint that I'm talking about.
Q. All right. I'm going to let you put your initials in red on the spot where that was, your best recollection. Okay. Now, as you are standing there --

ATTORNEY BUTING: If you could put a circle, it would make it a little bit more obvious. ATTORNEY FALLON: Sure.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ All right. Now, you have marked there, that's the checkpoint, you believe, that you were allowed to pass through with your volunteers?
A. Yes, the road block, one of the roads were blocked.
Q. Okay. You indicated on direct examination that on either this Monday or Tuesday, and you are not sure when that was, that you were allowed to assist in a search of a couple of areas. Can you draw a line, or put a series of X's to the property which you believe you and your group searched?
A. Sure.
Q. Just so we're clear.
A. Yes. To the edge of this, it's just a plain winter wheat field.
Q. All right. And you are making a series of X's?
A. I'm making a series of X's.
Q. Go ahead. Continue.
A. Just, you know, a strip like right here. And then we did some searches of here as well.
Q. Perhaps this red might be a little deeper. You could improve upon that. All right. So for purposes of our discussion here -- thank you --
you have marked an area, a rectangle as it were, with a series of X's, and that is below -- what is this road right here?
A. That's a highway.
Q. Do you recall what the name of that highway was?
A. I don't recall what it is right now.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Below that highway, you have a rectangled box containing X's?
A. Yes.
Q. And above the highway you have a four-sided -the geographical -- the box here that -containing X's as well, reflecting an area that you searched?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, is the area above the road, which passed through, was that past the checkpoint, or was that --
A. It was past where the roads were blocked.
Q. Where the roads were blocked.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Do you want to just stipulate, for the record, what the name of that road is, so it's clear?

ATTORNEY FALLON: 147.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Is that Highway 147?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, I believe so.

Very good, have a seat.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ And just so that we're clear, there was one other point during your testimony, when you and/or Mr. Bloedorn were collecting this information and coordinating these searches, you would, from time to time, check in with Sheriff Pagel and tell him what you were doing?
A. Yes.
Q. And you would tell him the areas that you were searching?
A. Yes.
Q. At no time, however, did he ask you to search any particular place, did he?
A. No.
Q. He never directed any particular area to be searched, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And, basically, all he did was, well, if you find something, or anything interesting, call us?
A. Yes.
Q. That was the extent of the direction that you received from Sheriff Pagel.
A. Yes.
Q. And that was the extent of the direction you received from any law enforcement officer, relative to the search efforts for Teresa Halbach.
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all. Would move into evidence Exhibits 18 and 19.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No objection.
THE COURT: Those exhibits are admitted. Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. You mentioned that you had these satellite maps that you had generated off the internet; is that right.
A. Yes?
Q. Were they similar to Exhibit 19 that you were marking on?
A. Yes.
Q. Or were they more in, close up, that's a pretty high aerial shot?
A. No, our maps were probably like that, or actually farther out. We had no reason to go real close with them, we just wanted to see the roads.
Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there was a general map, a big one, and then like a smaller one of certain areas?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Yes.
Q. When you say smaller, in what way, were they closer up?
A. We handed out a general map to everybody, which covered, basically, the whole -- everything from Lake Winnebago to Lake Michigan, that whole strip of land in there, Manitowoc, Calumet Counties.
Q. Like a satellite deal?
A. No, that was just a far away -- just a road view.
Q. Okay.
A. Just a normal map, I guess you could say. And then we made closer versions also, on Friday, to give the people a closer look at the roads we wanted them to travel on. Because the larger maps didn't show all the small country roads and such.
Q. So, the smaller ones, were they -- did they cover smaller areas than is depicted in Exhibit 19?
A. No, no smaller.
Q. So they were about like that?
A. Some of them were like that. Some of them were just normal maps that we blew up. It just
depended if we could see the roads or not.
Q. By normal maps, are you referring to like a Map Quest map, or are you talking about satellite maps?
A. A Map Quest map, or just a plain piece of paper with lines on it that had boundaries and roads.
Q. Okay. In any event, one of them contained the Avery property as well, right?
A. Yup.
Q. And the map that you then gave to Pamela Sturm, was it similar to Exhibit 19, then?
A. No.
Q. What was it like?
A. I just gave her the general map that $I$ gave everybody, the large view of all the highways and the roads, nothing close up.
Q. Okay. And she said that one of the reasons she wanted to go search that property was because she knew that Teresa had been there the day that she was last seen?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And you were aware of that as well, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you know what her purpose was in going there?
A. I knew that she was taking pictures for Auto Trader that day.
Q. Did she have any other purpose that you were aware of?
A. No.
Q. No other reason for being there?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Okay. Your discussions with Sheriff Pagel, you said you kept him informed about where people were going to search?
A. Just basically let him know what we were doing.
Q. And did he ever say, don't go to the Avery property?
A. No.
Q. Did he ever tell you not to actually go onto private property, without permission?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did he ever talk to you at all about making sure that the volunteers would get permission if they were on private property?
A. I don't recall. I don't know. Like I say, I never really received instruction from him, as to what to do. I basically just told him that we were going out to search.
Q. I understand, but what I'm getting at is maybe
any advice or instruction he gave you of what not to do. Did he warn you about not to do certain things?
A. No.
Q. There was no instruction or warning not to pick up evidence, if you found it, or anything like that?
A. We -- I guess I pretty much knew that from common knowledge. I didn't need anybody to tell me that I shouldn't tamper with evidence. I, basically, just instructed people from my point of view and from the YES Foundation's, you know, that if anybody did find anything, the first thing you do is get a hold of somebody and leave it alone.
Q. It wasn't clear to me from the way you were just testifying in the chronological sequence; did you have any phone conversation with Detective Wiegert, or Investigator Wiegert, on Saturday morning?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you remember talking to him about how you were going to have this -- how this meeting was going to take place on Saturday morning to organize all these people?
A. I don't know if I told that to Detective Wiegert.

I guess I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. But you told it to somebody at the Sheriff's Department?
A. That I had told Jerry Pagel that we were just going to go out and do a car search.
Q. Okay. By car search, you mean --
A. Driving the roads and looking for anything conspicuous.
Q. And you told him that you were going to do that Saturday morning?
A. Yeah.
Q. And when you searched the areas that you marked on Exhibit 19, was that just you alone, or was that other volunteers as well?
A. Me, as well as other volunteers.
Q. How many would you say?
A. Between 30 to 50 people came and went, some had prior obligations or couldn't get there until later, so.
Q. So, in terms of that little parcel that you first marked, that you searched, south of Highway 150 -- 147, how many people were walking through that field with you?
A. Between 30 to 50 .
Q. Okay.
A. Like I said, people came and went as they needed.
Q. And were there any police officers with you when you were doing that part?
A. Yes.
Q. Walking with you?
A. Yes.
Q. How many?
A. I believe two.
Q. Manitowoc or Calumet?
A. I do not know.
Q. Don't know their names?
A. No.
Q. And that first area that you marked is a field that is directly adjacent to the north -- on the north, to the Avery salvage property, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you get Sheriff Pagel's permission to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm sorry, I don't remember, but by that point had you told Sheriff Pagel that you were her -- Teresa's former boyfriend?
A. I don't know. Like I said earlier, I really wasn't, you know; I guess I didn't tell too many people about it. It never really occurred to me
that it was an issue. And it was quite a while ago, that we dated.
Q. But you were still seeing her as recently as the day before, that she disappeared, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And Sheriff Pagel knew that?
A. Yes, I believe so.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Thank you. No further questions.

THE COURT: Anything else?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing for this witness.
THE COURT: All right. You are excused. With the understanding, Mr. Buting, that you still have the right to recall Detective Remiker, are there any other witnesses for the defense on this motion?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, Judge, I do know -I understand that the $C D$ 's are prepared now, of the information that we talked. I don't know whether you would like to take maybe an early break at this point and we could then proceed.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take a lunch break now and resume at 1 o'clock; will that work for the parties?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Sure.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: Very well. We'll take our lunch break at this time and then resume at 1 -'clock.
(Lunch break taken.)
THE COURT: At this time, we'll go back on the record. Do I understand, Mr. Buting, you are going to be recalling Detective Remiker?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, if we could do that, Judge. And Detective Remiker is involved in both motions, but we thought maybe we would finish his testimony related to the Franks part of the motion, including the State's cross-examination. And then we can recall him when we're ready to switch gears into the next motion.

THE COURT: Is that acceptable to the
State?
ATTORNEY FALLON: I think that is
acceptable, as far as I know. I told Lieutenant Lenk to be available. We thought it would be in the morning; but $I$ told him, don't plan anything for the day depending on -- so we would have maximum flexibility, so that should work.

THE COURT: All right. Is Mr. Remiker here?

THE COURT: Mr. Remiker, you are still under oath. Mr. Buting, you may continue.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge. CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. All right. Detective, we had an opportunity over the noon hour to listen to the recordings that you used to refresh your recollection. And I guess, so we put on the record, that included, you had 2 CD's, one of which was phone conversations that you had that morning of November 5th; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And the other one, the other $C D$ contained radio dispatch information?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Now, the first call that you had on Saturday morning -- I'm sorry, what time did you say you got to work?
A. 8:00 a.m.
Q. Okay. If I understood from the records, at 9:03 a.m., you made a phone call to Investigator Wiegert; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And during that time, you were asking him if they had a timeline or anything for the missing person?
A. Correct.
Q. And at that time, Investigator Wiegert had some phone records that he had relied on, evidently, and was telling you certain times the phone calls were made?
A. Yes.
Q. And at that time, the belief was that Teresa Halbach made an appointment -- or made it to her appointment in New Holstein, sometime around 1:30 p.m. on October 31st?
A. I believe that was part of the conversation, yes.
Q. And that the understanding or belief, at that time, was that after that she went to the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. And then after that, she went to her appointment at the Zipperer, Z-i-p-p-e-r-e-r --
A. There were discussions about those appointments, whether you are in the correct order or how exactly that discussion took place, I'm not sure, but.
Q. But you do you recall at that time, there was a
belief or understanding that she had made a subsequent -- an appointment subsequent to meeting with Mr. Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. You also talked about some tips that various people had called in; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. But in that conversation, there was no discussion about volunteer searchers -- Well, I take that back, there was a reference that Wiegert advised you that the family was doing their own search?
A. Yes. Investigator Wiegert tells me, he says, Just so you know, in case you get any calls, the family is doing their own thing. They are out there doing some searches, in case you see them or get some calls of somebody out in some yards or doing some stuff. Just so you know, they are doing their own thing.
Q. When he told you that, you said, Yes, I got that, or I read that note?
A. Yes, I say that on the phone call.
Q. Did you actually get some sort of written instruction about that?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You haven't seen any kind of written note or
instruction about that since?
A. No, based on the phone call, I'm assuming it was something in reference to maybe a note in the Shift Commander's Office, or somebody had called the shift commander and just let him know that there's people out there doing some searches --
Q. Okay.
A. -- in case you get any reports.
Q. Okay. And then you also got a second call from -- incoming, from Investigator Wiegert, at about 10:07 a.m., correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And in that call, did he tell you that there was a change of plans?
A. Yes.
Q. And did he tell you that his boss wanted them -I don't know if I have the exact words but, wanted to see about using the search -- the volunteer search party, to see if they would go to the Avery junkyard and search that property?
A. What he says to me is that, he identifies him as his boss, wants him to reinterview Steven Avery, and another individual, and that there also are some volunteer searchers out there who would be willing to do some searching and that he was
going to come and talk to me, and there was a chance maybe we could see if those searchers could get consent to go out on the Avery property and search. I think he called it the junkyard.
Q. Maybe we should -- Could we mark your copy? And then could you get another one, if we marked yours as an exhibit?
A. No problem.
Q. Do you have just the $C D$ of the phone calls with you?
A. I had two copies, I gave one to you --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and one to the state.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Are you going to play it, Counsel?

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, I just want it marked.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Marked?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yeah.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Is this the phone or --

ATTORNEY BUTING: It says phone call. This is the one, right?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Could you mark this? (Exhibit 20 marked for identification.)
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ I'm showing you Exhibit 20, can you identify that for the record?
A. That is a CD recordable device that contains audio recordings of conversations on recorded phone lines at the Sheriff's Department.
Q. And that includes the phone conversation that we have been discussing this morning, or this afternoon now?
A. Should.
Q. And that would be the best evidence of what actually was said by Investigator Wiegert, to you, and vice versa?
A. That's exactly what he said.
Q. Okay. And then you also got another call from Investigator Wiegert; that was on your cell phone though, correct, later that morning?
A. The last recorded call that I have between myself and Investigator Wiegert, I give him my cell phone, that's the best way of getting a hold of me. And there were some additional calls that he made to me on my cell phone.
Q. And that was before you left the station, even, to go to the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. And we don't have a recording of those phone
calls, though?
A. We do not.
Q. Okay. And in those phone calls, did he talk with you any more about any searches of the Avery property?
A. At one point he calls me and says, Hey, I just got a call from some lady, supposedly they are out at the Avery property, they said they got permission from Earl to be out there, and they observed a vehicle that might be Teresa's.
Q. Okay. But before that call, were there any other discussions that he had about plans to search, or get consent of -- for a search of the Avery property?
A. No, the last recorded call you have there, the second recorded call, he says, I will meet you at the department in about an hour. So then $I$ was waiting for him and then $I$ receive a phone call from him, on my cell phone, advising me that somebody is out there, they found a car.
Q. So your understanding was then, the plan would be that he would come to your department and the two of you would go out to the Avery's, or would contact the volunteers, or what?
A. He just said we were going to come to your
department, we'll discuss it, we'll go from there. We never got to that point.
Q. But that his boss wanted to contact the volunteer searchers to see if they would be able to get permission to go onto the Avery property and look there?
A. He does make a statement similar to that, yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, after you got the call on your cell about -- from Wiegert -- about two women being at the Avery property, you got in your car and left the station, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also made a call to -- or had dispatch contact Lieutenant Lenk, sometime during that point?
A. A ways after that.
Q. And Lieutenant -- Was Lieutenant Lenk your supervisor at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he -- He was directly involved with you on this particular Halbach missing person matter as well, wasn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. And he did also come to the Avery property then?
A. Eventually, yes.
Q. Do you know what time?
A. No idea.
Q. Within an hour or so after you called?
A. He was out of town. I would say within three hours of calling him, would be a fair guess.
Q. Do you know if he arrived before or after you obtained -- you and Investigator Wiegert obtained the actual search warrant?
A. Before.
Q. Before you did?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you left the property. You and Investigator Wiegert left the Avery property, to go get the warrant signed by Judge Fox, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So there's a period of time when you two are gone from the Avery property and from the vehicle, while you are getting that warrant, right?
A. Correct.
Q. About how long were you gone?
A. I'd have to look at my report, several hours.
Q. Okay. And then, during that time period, who was the highest ranking officer who would be in charge of your Department's involvement, Lieutenant Lenk, or someone else?
A. Deputy Inspector Schetter.
Q. Okay. And he arrived about when?
A. I would say within two hours after we had verified that it was Teresa's car on the property.
Q. And just so we have a time of that, time of the reference, what -- you arrived at the property and about what time was it when you made that verification?
A. Approximately 11:00.
Q. Okay. Now, I'm sorry, did you actually -- did we talk about you going to the -- We already talked about you going to the Avery's property on the 4th, didn't we?
A. We did talk about that, yes.
Q. Okay. Did you ever -- Before you arrived at the property on November 5th, and saw the vehicle, did you ever talk to any volunteer searchers yourself?
A. No.
Q. Any volunteers come to your department and say, I want to help, I'm going to be involved in some kind of a search, anything like that?
A. None.
Q. Any contact with the Halbach family that you had?
A. I have never met them.
Q. Okay. And when you arrived at the Halbach -- at the Avery property, if $I$ could refer you to Exhibit 18. I'm going to hand you this pointer, maybe you could just show us where you went, how you arrived, with the pointer, and then we'll state it for the record as well?
A. I travelled from the Village of Mishicot onto 147, which isn't pictured in this diagram. And I would have taken a left onto Avery Road, which is a town road. It actually extends above this a little bit.
Q. You are indicating the upper right hand corner of the exhibit?
A. Yeah. The picture doesn't actually show Avery Road real well. I would have travelled down this roadway. I wasn't sure where the searchers were. I continued -- this is just a main -- I think it's a gravel portion. There's shops, there's buildings, there's a residence right here. I just continued to go straight down. Continues a gravel portion, some sort of roadway. And then I just continued all the way down here. Right where this lighter area is, is about where I stopped, as it gets a little bit darker here.
Q. Let me just state, the record reflects then that your route of entry was a -- is a gravel dirttype road on the far right of the exhibit, that runs sort of behind the cluster of buildings; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you stop at -- First of all, had you ever been to the Avery property? That's right, you were there the night before.
A. Friday was the first time $I$ can ever recall ever being on Avery Road.
Q. Okay. And when you were there that night, were you aware that the main area where the public would go normally, would be branching on a fork to the right as you come in there, because there is an office building there; is that right?
A. Are you asking what my thoughts were when I first got there? I'm not sure what you are asking.
Q. Did you ever go to the office, the night before when you were there?
A. No.
Q. So you have never been there at all?
A. I have never been on that property, ever.
Q. Until --
A. With the exception of Friday, when I did the
consent search.
Q. I was going to say the morning before, it was Friday morning when you were there, right?
A. Correct, 10:30 a.m.
Q. Okay. And in any event, you didn't stop anywhere to talk with any of the owners or managers of the property, to see if you had permission to go all the way down to where you did; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And how far away from the Toyota RAV 4 were you, where you parked your vehicle?
A. My vehicle is parked right about where that dot is and, obviously, you see there is an indication of where the Toyota is.

Pam and Nikole Sturm are also standing right there. I remember them, they were pointing to me the direction as to where that vehicle was. I could see the vehicle from right here where I was standing.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Let the record reflect the witness is using the laser pointer to indicate a spot on the exhibit, which is about five inches up from the bottom of the exhibit, on the right hand side, and slightly to the right of the area, or linear line where the RAV 4 was found.

In other words, about 4-inches from the far right side and about five inches up from the bottom, in the right hand corner.

THE COURT: Parties agree with that characterization?

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine.
THE COURT: All right. The record will so reflect.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I can clarify it a little bit more.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Are you aware there's a little pond in that area, or a depression with some sort of water?
A. Yeah. There's a depression, I think eventually filled up one of those days because it was raining or snowing.
Q. You were -- Your car was parked to the north of that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were looking across that depression or pond-type area, to the south, where the RAV 4 was found; is that fair?
A. That's pretty accurate.
Q. Okay. And about how many feet away from the vehicle would you say?
A. I'm going to guess at least 200 yards.
Q. Okay. Did you eventually go up to the RAV 4 yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you approach it yourself or with others?
A. I believe Sergeant Jason Orth was just ahead of me. He arrived just before me. Lieutenant Todd Hermann, we either walked together or we were very close together. I don't remember if we walked together or where he was.
Q. And when you did that, that was -- When you first approached the vehicle, that was before the Calumet people had arrived; that is, Investigator Wiegert, or Dedering, or Sheriff Pagel?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what were you able to determine when you walked up to the vehicle?
A. Well, there was a Toyota RAV 4. I had in my hand a manila folder that had the, $I$ call it a teletype or the registration of the vehicle, with me. It was the same make and model, didn't have license plates, it had a Le Mieux Toyota sticker on the back, I believe. There were tree branches covering it. There was a vehicle hood alongside the passenger side. I walked to the driver's
side and I just -- I just started looking at the VIN plate.
Q. Were you able to look inside the vehicle?
A. At one point I looked in the vehicle, yes.
Q. And did you see any blood or anything?
A. I did not observe any blood then, no.
Q. Didn't see any person, obviously?
A. Not that I could see.
Q. Did you have to use a flashlight to do that or was the daylight bright enough?
A. I had to use a flashlight to get the whole VIN number. I may have used -- I looked under the car, to see if Teresa was under there.
Q. Did it appear that the drive train was disengaged at all or did it look --
A. The grass was kind of high, just -- I made a quick determination that there was nobody under the vehicle, and from what $I$ could see in the vehicle, and confirm the VIN number, and I walked out.
Q. Okay. Now, you -- you weren't actually able to get all of the VIN numbers; isn't that right?
A. No, that's not right.
Q. Do you still have your report with you?
A. Yes.
Q. If you could look at Page 5, please.
A. Okay.
Q. Right about in the middle, there was a reference of $11 / 05 / 05,1100$ hours?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Third line from the bottom, don't you say in your report, I was able to verify all but the first two numbers on the VIN.
A. That's what it says.
Q. So would that be more accurate than your memory today?
A. If you read the next paragraph, I think it explains it. The VIN was confirmed as the VIN number for missing Halbach vehicle.
Q. Confirmed how?
A. I read all 17 numbers.
Q. So four minutes later -- At first you couldn't read it, and then you did read it later?
A. The VIN plate was moved. And with the sunlight and the windshield, you couldn't see it real well. I got a flashlight from -- it was either Orth or Hermann -- and I used the flashlight to illuminate the numbers. I could get all the VIN numbers then.
Q. Is this the VIN number that's found up on the
dashboard, by the driver's side?
A. Correct.
Q. You say it was moved?
A. Well, it looked like somebody had tampered with it. It was bent. And it wasn't in original factory condition.
Q. Okay. And you weren't able to see it without the use of a flashlight?
A. I believe it was the first two numbers I couldn't get. Then when I got the flashlight, I shined it in there and $I$ was able to get all 17 numbers.
Q. Okay. Did you check any of the doors?
A. I didn't touch that vehicle.
Q. Okay. So, how long were you in that presence of the vehicle?
A. Well, I -- I arrived at 1100 hours, 1106 I walked away from the vehicle. Sergeant Orth stayed by the vehicle as security.
Q. Okay. And then you went back to where your car was, or someplace else?
A. I went and made contact with Nikole and Pam, told them that it was the vehicle. They started crying, comforted them for a little bit, and then Calumet County showed up.
Q. Okay. And then how long was it after that that

Calumet County showed up?
A. 1110 hours.
Q. When you say Calumet County, it's Sheriff Pagel, Investigator Wiegert, and Investigator Dedering, right?
A. My report says Sheriff Pagel and Investigator Wiegert. I know Investigator Dedering was there also. When he arrived, I'm not sure.
Q. Did you see how they entered the property?
A. They came down -- They came down the road that -in the area where $I$ was parked. So, that's all I know.
Q. And then also in that area then was, when they arrived, was yourself, Sergeant Orth -- and was Lieutenant Hermann down there near your car?
A. Sergeant Orth was standing by the vehicle.
Q. Okay.
A. Lieutenant Hermann was by me somewhere, and then Wiegert and Sheriff Pagel arrived.
Q. And you were all clustered in that lower right hand corner of Exhibit 18?
A. Yeah. I would say between where the road ends, and there's a car crusher right along the side here.
Q. Okay. So that's about 11:10 a.m., and at that
time point there's six law enforcement officers clustered in that area, including Orth?
A. I would say at least five.
Q. And to your knowledge, none of them had actually obtained consent to come into the property and go to that location, yet, from the property owners?
A. They did not.
Q. Okay. Did you actually have contact with the -one of the owners or managers of the property?
A. Earl drove down there on his four-wheeler.
Q. At what point was that?
A. Well, I have Wiegert and Sheriff Pagel arriving at 1110 hours. In my report I indicate, a short time later a four-wheeler came to our location, so.
Q. Five minutes or so?
A. Yeah, I would say.
Q. So in terms of time then, from about 11:00 a.m when you first arrived -- Were you the first one to arrive or Sergeant Orth?
A. We were all within a minute or two.
Q. Okay. So, from about 11 a.m. to about 11:15, one or more law enforcement officers was down in the Avery property, without yet having had any contact, to gain consent from one of the owners?
A. At one point, Lieutenant Hermann made contact with Earl and got consent for us to be there and to start conducting an investigation.
Q. And that was at about 1117; is that right?
A. It's in the report here, somewhere. Yeah, 1117, Lieutenant Hermann spoke with Earl Avery. Earl gave verbal consent at this time for conducting an investigation in the salvage yard.
Q. All right. Now, at what time was it determined that there might be a change in the leadership of the investigation?
A. I documented a time of 1145 hours. There was a decision made to turn over the scene to Calumet County Sheriff's Department and DCI.
Q. And did you participate in that decision?
A. I was present during the discussions. I don't --
Q. Who actually made the decision?
A. I think that was collectively made between Deputy Inspector Schetter, Calumet County Investigator, Sheriff Pagel. They were all in on the discussions.
Q. Okay. Were there any -- any attorneys on the scene at that point?
A. Attorney Kratz showed up.
Q. District Attorney Rohrer?
A. Yes. And I believe -- I believe Assistant District Attorney Griesbach was out there also.
Q. And did any of the attorneys involved -- or did they -- Were they involved at all in the decision to transfer authority from Manitowoc Sheriff's to the Calumet, if you know?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Were you then asked to get information together that could be placed in a warrant -- a search warrant application?
A. I was asked to drive down some of the driveways and get some physical legal descriptions of certain pieces of property.
Q. And that would include the residences that you were aware of, and the properties?
A. Yes.
Q. Including Steven Avery's trailer and garage?
A. Yes.
Q. And you got physical description of that, that you could then -- but you had to drive over there to get it; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go inside any buildings during that period of time?
A. Never left my vehicle.
Q. Okay. And then, did you participate in the drafting of the warrant?
A. I'm sure $I$ was asked some questions and gave verbal information to Investigator Wiegert. We were in phone contact with Calumet County's paralegal or secretary, District Attorney Kratz.
Q. But did you -- Did you read the warrant before it was presented to the Judge? I understand you didn't sign it.
A. I may have, I don't know.
Q. It wasn't -- Since you weren't the one that was signing it, it wasn't as important that you make sure that everything in it was accurate?
A. I know there were some changes made, certain people would review it, a phone call was made back to the paralegal to change this or change that. Eventually there was sufficient information that we felt comfortable. I don't remember if $I$ specifically read it at the end.
Q. Okay. In any event you didn't, or did you, make any corrections to the information in the affidavit before it was presented to the Judge?
A. I know there were changes made periodically, whether it was in direct correlation to what I say, or based on myself reviewing it, I don't
know.
Q. Okay. But your position was that you weren't -It wasn't directly your application, it was Investigator Wiegert's; would that be fair?
A. He was the lead investigator, so, we were all working together on it.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. I have no other questions on this Franks part.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Is it detective or investigator; does it matter?
A. Either one is fine.
Q. Okay. Detective Remiker, on Friday, November 4th, you had no contact with any volunteer, or anyone associated with Mr. Hillegas' and Scott Bloedorn's volunteer search efforts; would that be correct, on Friday, November 4th?
A. No.
Q. All right. And on Saturday, November 5th, just so that we're clear, you did not have any conversations, on the phone or in person, with anyone representing themselves to be a member of

Mr. Hillegas's search efforts?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So that's correct, you had no contact?
A. I'm not sure who Mr. Hillegas is.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Did you have contact with anyone representing themselves to be a searcher, anyone that you believed was a volunteer searcher, on Saturday?
A. The only contact $I$ had was when $I$ was attempting to get more information from Investigator Wiegert, about these two females down in the gravel pit or salvage yard. He said, Here's their number, call them.
Q. So --
A. And I called, I think I spoke to Nikole. And she told me where she was and what was going on. Other than that, I had no idea anybody was out there.
Q. Other than that. Very well.
A. Other than that.
Q. Okay. Now, I would like to clarify something regarding the phone conversations you had with Investigator Wiegert on Saturday morning, November 5th. On his second call with you, that's to you, Investigator Wiegert said, there's
been a change of plans, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And in that call, he indicated that his boss, which you took to mean Sheriff Pagel?
A. I don't know who $I$ took it as. He just said his boss, whoever that is.
Q. Indicated that at that time that he wanted them, presumably himself and other people involved in the missing persons case, to reinterview Steven Avery and another individual, possibly Mr. Zipperer or someone?
A. That's correct.
Q. And as a matter of fact, the intention was that we should go talk to them and see if we can gain consent to look around the salvage yard, correct?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection as to what -what their intent would be, since he's speculating as to that.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm saying the stated intent.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Is that correct?
A. My understanding is that --

THE COURT: Your objection is withdrawn? ATTORNEY BUTING: If it's focussed on what was stated, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.
A. Can I hear your question again, I'm sorry?
Q. Sure. The reason for the reinterview was to -one of the reasons -- was to see if they would gain consent of Mr. Avery, or others at the property, to look around the property, correct?
A. My impression was, it's kind of separate, do some -- reinterview Steven and Mr. Zipperer; and there's some searchers that are out there, they are willing to do some searches. Maybe at some point we can contact the Averys and see if they would allow these searchers to go out on the property.
Q. Maybe?
A. Possibly.
Q. That meeting never took place, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And there was no other further discussions regarding that idea, were there?
A. No.
Q. And the reason that occurred is because shortly thereafter you got a call from Mr. Wiegert, Investigator Wiegert, saying, Hey, we have one of these people on the property, they think they
found the car?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's when things really changed?
A. A lot.
Q. All right. Now, when you went to the property that Saturday morning, there were no gates around, at that particular time, precluding entry to the property, right?
A. No gates, no chains, nothing. I continued down a gravel portion, if you extend Avery Road, right down into the gravel pit. There was a road, went past a residence, went by shops.
Q. Well, obviously, and it hasn't been made clear yet, but this is a commercial business, right, this is a salvage yard?
A. Yes.
Q. They were open for the public that day, right?
A. I would assume so, yes.
Q. In fact, there were other people milling around, upon your arrival, right?
A. Yes.
Q. As a matter of fact, one of the reasons that Ms Sturm called, she indicated, Well, there's some people walking around here, and she was a little uncomfortable, right?
A. Yes, there were people walking around the salvage yard, traffic in and out.
Q. Traffic in and out. So people were coming and going. In fact, since people were coming and going, you actually had some of the officers stopping or checking license plate numbers for vehicles that were coming and going from the property, as you members of law enforcement were first arriving?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's because there was traffic in and out of the Avery property. When I say the Avery property, I'm referring to the salvage yard, which is depicted on Exhibit 18?
A. There were people walking around, within these cars, as we were down there. There were people coming in off 147 and Avery Road, constantly.
Q. All right. As a matter of fact, one of the reasons why it took Mr. Avery -- Mr. Avery, I'm referring to Earl Avery -- a few minutes to get down there is because he was talking to some people; is that right?
A. That, $I$ don't know.
Q. All right. Now, with respect to the location of the vehicle, you did, yourself, walk from where
you parked your squad, up to the vehicle, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Did Pamela Sturm and her daughter, Nikole, walk with you to the vehicle, or was it readily visible from where you stopped your car and parked?
A. They stood right by my vehicle. I did not allow them to go any further than that. I walked back from my vehicle, back to where the Toyota was, they stayed there. I returned, and they were in that same location.
Q. All right. So you walked to the vehicle alone?
A. Lieutenant Hermann and Sergeant Orth were either -- I think Sergeant Orth was just ahead of me and Lieutenant Hermann was by me, or somewhere in the vicinity.
Q. At the time you were investigating the VIN characters, were you alone or were the other two officers, with you?
A. The other two were with me.
Q. All right. And as a matter of fact, when you first examined it, you were able to get 15 out of the 17 characters?
A. First two, I couldn't see.
Q. Because of the light and position?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, a few minutes later you were able to borrow a flashlight?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were able to get the last two vehicle identification numbers?
A. I matched up all 17 from that -- that vehicle registration that $I$ had in my hand, with the vehicle that was parked there.
Q. All right. And, now, describe for us, if you will, you indicated there was some trouble reading the VIN number, and why was that?
A. It was tampered with. It was moved. I guess my best recollection would be as if somebody maybe took a screwdriver or something and pushed it, or moved it, or bent it. It wasn't in its normal position. It wasn't flat.
Q. And did that contribute, along with the sunlight and difficulty in initially reading all of the numbers?
A. Yeah. Yeah, I'm sure it did.
Q. All right. If you would take the pointer and tell us, you said you never left your vehicle when you went out and looked for better -- or
physical descriptions of some of the buildings on the parcel of land here. Tell us how you went about that, if you would, with the pointer, show us what you did, where you drove?
A. I drove from this lower portion here, back up this road.
Q. So you are going south to north, back toward the entrance?
A. Yes. And then $I$ know $I$ travelled down this road, which actually leads to Steve's residence, Barb's residence. And I continued to drive down here. I parked at the end of this driveway.
Q. All right. Now, that's the driveway that runs across the top of the exhibit?
A. Correct.
Q. And you showed us a course of driving east to west; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.
A. I either wrote down the legal descriptions, or I was on a phone with Calumet County and I was giving it to them. Then I drove back. I know I got a legal description of Barb's trailer, which is right there. And I don't know how many other buildings I got information from.

And then $I$ just drove back here and came back down. In fact, my car battery went dead here. I used somebody else's car. My car actually stayed here. I used somebody else's car and drove down here.
Q. For the initial foray, or did you have to go back and redo it because -- or -- I'm not sure, when did the car die on you?
A. When I went back -- When I was given instructions to go get legal descriptions, I went back to my car and then it was dead. And I went and grabbed somebody else's car and I drove here and got those descriptions and then I came right back.
Q. Now, how about the area where there's a cluster of buildings in the northeast corner there, toward the entrance way, there is one, two, three, four, looks like five buildings; were those included in the general description that you were asked to get or did you somehow already have that information?
A. I know I got Barb's and Steve's. I don't know -I don't recall if $I$ got descriptions of all these other buildings. I don't know. I may have gotten Chuck's trailer, which is right here. I don't know about those other buildings. I don't
recall.
Q. All right. How that information was obtained?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Now, when you first made contact with Earl Avery, shortly after 11:10 a.m., he indicated to you that most of the family, Charles, Allen, Delores, and Steven, were up at their family property in Marinette County, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So he was the one that was more or less in charge of the property and the business area at that time, correct?
A. I think I asked him. I think he said he was part owner, or owner, of the property or the business.
Q. At any time during that particular meeting with him, the one shortly after 11:10 a.m., did he ever ask you to leave?
A. No.
Q. Did he ever indicate any displeasure or -- with your presence on the property?
A. Earl was very cooperative. He didn't know much about what was going on, but he was very cooperative.
Q. Right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's it.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting, any redirect?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I would move Exhibit 20 into evidence. And I wonder, just so we can clear the record, maybe the -- that second phone call, we could just play it. It's only about a minute or two and we would have the exact. There's been some -- It's been described various ways as to what Investigator Wiegert said about the use of volunteers in searching the Avery property. I think that would be the best evidence.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We could do that, or the Court could listen to it at your leisure as well. It doesn't matter to me; whatever you think is easiest.

THE COURT: You don't object to it's admission?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Not at all.
THE COURT: I will admit it into evidence. I think it's just as easy for me to listen to it later.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: It's in evidence.
ATTORNEY BUTING: The one thing that we should maybe clarify, though, or that he could clarify for here is, who's speaking. They don't
identify themselves. And the second phone call, the first voice, I don't know if it's obvious to the Court which one is Wiegert.

THE COURT: It's Detective Remiker calling Mr. Wiegert?

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, that's the first one, that's a longer call, the second one is much shorter.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, the second one is Wiegert calling Remiker.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Right.
THE COURT: Are they the only two participants in the call?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. I think I can figure it out.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I have no objection to the receipt of the exhibit, that's fine.

THE COURT: Exhibit 20 then is received. I will ask the Clerk, are there any exhibits that have been marked today that haven't been received yet?

THE CLERK: No.
THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I do have just one last
question, now that $I$ think about it.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. You indicated there were other customers or people in the salvage yard, right, when you were there?
A. Yes.
Q. Nobody else's vehicles were parked down where you were, right, operating vehicles, in other words, not junk ones?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. So any customers that would be in that area, their cars would be parked up by those business buildings, or don't you know?
A. I have no idea where they are parked.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. No further questions.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing.
THE COURT: Anything else? All right. The
witness is excused. Does the defense, then, have any further witnesses on the Franks motion.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Does the State have any witnesses to call on the Franks motion?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Just one.

THE COURT: Very well.
ATTORNEY FALLON: We have just one witness, we call Earl Avery.

THE COURT: Mr. Avery, you can just step up to the witness stand, please. Remain standing and the Clerk will swear you in.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
EARL AVERY, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Earl Avery A-v-e-r-y.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Mr. Avery, you are the brother of Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And what do you do for a living, sir?
A. I run a salvage yard with my brother.
Q. All right. And which brother do you run the salvage yard with?
A. Chuck.
Q. Is that short for Charles?
A. Yes.
Q. And you run the business which is depicted here on Exhibit 18; that's a picture of the property that you run?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And just so I'm clear, who owns all that land or property?
A. My mom, my dad.
Q. All right. And their names are Delores and Allen Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And in terms of who keeps the books, and works on the purchasing orders, and sales, and things of that sort for the salvage yard business?
A. That would be my dad, Al.
Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer?
A. That would be my dad, Al.
Q. All right. And how long have you been running the business, as it were?
A. I couldn't tell you, last couple years.
Q. All right. And as of last November, that's how it was, you and your brother, Chuck, were running the business and your mom and dad were handling the books, as it were?
A. I guess, yes.
Q. All right. And what kind of decisions that you and your brother, Chuck, would make -- I mean what kind of business, what activities, did you do as in terms of running the business?
A. I mainly just disassembled cars, and picked up cars, picked up junk cars. I was mostly on the road.
Q. On the road. Who handled the customer traffic that would come in? Say, for instance, I wanted to come in and pick up an automobile part, or maybe I wanted to sell you some crushed cars, or I should say, not crushed, but crashed cars, or something like that?
A. Whoever was there.
Q. Whoever was there. So that would either be you or --
A. Me and Chuck.
Q. Chuck. Would your dad sometimes handle that work?
A. No.
Q. How about your mom?
A. No.
Q. All right. Now, did your brother, Steve, was he a co-owner of the business?
A. No.
Q. Was he an employee of the business?
A. No, he was just helping us out.
Q. He would help you out from time to time?
A. Yeah.
Q. What were some of the things that he would help you out with?
A. The same thing, disassemble cars and get them ready for to go down in the yard, and everything else.
Q. All right.
A. Drain the fluids.
Q. And did your brother, Steve, have any say in how the business was run, or pretty much you and Chuck?
A. Mainly just me and Chuck.
Q. Any big decisions or final decisions, were those made by you, or made by your dad?
A. Mostly my dad.
Q. All right. Now, in recent years, your dad was gone quite a bit to your property up in Marinette County; would that be fair?
A. Huh?
Q. Would that be fair, he would take a lot of time off, you know, and go to the property in Marinette County?
A. Yeah. Just Monday through Thursday, he was usually at the yard.
Q. Monday through Thursday. And he would take long weekends to go up north?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. That had been going on for a couple years?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So, for the day-to-day business, you and your brother, Chuck, would pretty much handle most things that came up?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, what were the business hours for the salvage yard?
A. Eight to five, Monday through Friday.
Q. All right. How about Saturdays?
A. Eight till noon.
Q. Eight till noon. All right. If, for instance, I wanted to come in and see if you had any parts for a particular car that I needed to repair, would I come in and check in the office or could I, if I wanted to, just wander through the yard and see if $I$ could find something that might fill my need?
A. No, you have to check in the office.
Q. Okay. And so then we probably would come in, say I'm looking for a part to a 1999 Chevrolet Impala, and you might say, well, try this part of the yard?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Of your family, Mr. Avery, who actually lived in the parcel of land that's known as the Avery property, or the -- Who lived there, who had residences?
A. It was my brother, Chuck, and my ma and dad, and that was it.
Q. Your brother, Steve, had a residence, right?
A. Yeah, but that wasn't on Avery's Auto Salvages.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. That wasn't on Avery's Auto Salvages property.
Q. Of the 40 acres, 37 was actually salvage yard property; would that be about right?
A. I guess, yes.
Q. Something like that?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And your sister, Barb, was -- where was her property?
A. Hers was down on the end.
Q. All right.
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, I would like to direct your attention to Saturday morning, November 5th. Did their come a time where you had some people come to your property expressing an interest regarding the whereabouts of Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Did you have more than one person come to the yard that morning?
A. Yes.
Q. Um, tell us about that, you had someone come?
A. Yeah, I had -- At first I had two -- two people come. I don't know what time it was, but there was a man and a lady there that asked if they could take a ride through the yard, just to take a look. And I told them, go ahead, I'm more than willing to help you guys out.
Q. Did they give you anything to hang up in the office?
A. Yeah, they gave me a poster or something to hang up in the office. Actually, they asked me if they could hang it up and I said, yes.
Q. Okay. So they did, and they kind of asked if they could take a ride around?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if they did?
A. As far as $I$ know, they went down into the pit and they took a ride around, but I didn't see them leave after that.
Q. Okay. Now, did you have other visitors that had come that morning, expressing an interest in Teresa Halbach's whereabouts?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. I was outside with a neighbor, talking to a neighbor outside. And they come up to me and they asked me -- there were two ladies, maybe it was about 45 minutes after.
Q. After the first group?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And they asked me if they could take a walk. And I told them there was a man and a lady down there already, that they are more than welcome to go down and take a walk through the yard. And I kind of pointed to them, where they can start, or whatever.
Q. Mm-hmm. So these two women asked permission to look around?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you -- And they told you they
were looking for anything that would help them locate Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you had no problem with that?
A. I had no problem with it.
Q. All right. And what was your thinking there?
A. Huh?
Q. What was your thinking? Why did you have no problem with that?
A. I was just trying to help them out.
Q. As a matter of fact, you would want someone to help out if it was your sister who was missing, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So, they came in and they started looking around, and they decided what direction they were going to go, or did you make any suggestions?
A. Well, I told them, I kind of just pointed, you know, what was down in the -- how to get down into the pit and how to get on the top. That's about all I did.
Q. Did you give them any directions, or express anything about the roads, or the condition of the yard, or anything they should do to be careful, so they didn't get hurt?
A. No, I didn't. I don't think I did any way.
Q. Okay. After they came in and asked permission, you let them go and look around?
A. Mm-hmm, yes.
Q. All right. As being one of the owner's of the business, would it be a fair idea that you would have a pretty good idea of what kind of vehicles you have in the salvage yard?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you would need to know that for your own inventory purposes in case somebody came and asked questions about do you have a part for such and such and whether or not you have that vehicle on hand?
A. Yes. Like I said before, is a lot of times I was more on the road picking vehicles up. And I usually remember what kind of vehicles I pick up or what kind of vehicles I put down in the yard.
Q. All right.
A. With the loader and that.
Q. Okay. Did your brother, Chuck, do that kind of work or were you pretty much involved in securing the vehicles for use in the salvage yard?
A. No, he did it once in awhile to.
Q. Once in a while. Now, if a vehicle were brought
in by, say your brother, Chuck, or anyone else, at some point would you have knowledge that that was brought in just so that you would know what is on the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, were you surprised when they found this Toyota RAV 4 that appeared to be Teresa Halbach's vehicle?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And did you even have any idea that that vehicle was there?
A. No. And I didn't, after I went down there to see what was going on, I still didn't know that it was down there. I still couldn't see it.
Q. All right.
A. I was down there for an hour, until they pointed it out to me. And then I finally seen it.
Q. All right. You had no idea that vehicle was there?
A. No. It was like two days -- two days or three days before that, we were just through there rabbit hunting with my brother-in-law and that vehicle wasn't there.
Q. All right. Well, tell me about that, that rabbit hunting; when did that happen, do you think?
A. It was during the week my brother-in-law come over. He wanted to hunt for some rabbits.
Q. Okay. And what's his name, by the way?
A. Robert Fabian.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Robert Fabian.
Q. Fabian?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, you were down in that portion of the yard where that vehicle was found?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, is that something you guys did fairly regularly, you would hunt rabbit, or squirrel, or other small game, that --
A. That was --
Q. -- that might be on the property?
A. That was the first time we did it that year.
Q. That year?
A. Yeah. In the fall like that, yes.
Q. Yeah. So, two or three days earlier, as far as you know, that vehicle wasn't there?
A. No, it wasn't there. I'm not sure if it was Wednesday or Thursday.
Q. That you were rabbit hunting?
A. That we were right through that area. We were
through the whole yard and that vehicle wasn't there.
Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Avery, a couple last questions, originally, on that Saturday afternoon, after the police officers started showing up, I think one of them indicated that you may have drove down on a four-wheel drive -- a four-wheeler vehicle, to find out what was going on; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you talked to one of the officers there?
A. Not at first. At first they just told me to sit there. For about 45 minutes I sat there. Then they came up to me and then they were telling me what was going on.
Q. What was going on. So they gave you a full debriefing then?
A. Somewhat, yes.
Q. All right. Now, before that, however, or shortly after they arrived, one of the officers asked you, just to make sure, that you had no problem --

ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
ATTORNEY FALLON: All right.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Somewhere between 11 and

11:30, were you approached by an officer, to your recollection?
A. I don't remember.
Q. You don't remember. All right.
A. All I know, I sat down there for about 3, 3 1/2 hours.
Q. At some point -- Let me ask you this. At some point during your conversation with law enforcement, did they ask you if they had your continued permission to be there?
A. No, they didn't.
Q. You don't recall?
A. No, they didn't.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing else. ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm sorry?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's it, your witness.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Now, you mentioned that this Avery Auto Salvage business was -- the day-to-day stuff was run primarily by you and your brother, Chuck, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, once Steven Avery was released from prison,
after his wrongful conviction, he came to live on the Avery area compound as well, did he not?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was about two years before this incident that we're here today about?
A. Yes.
Q. So, the fall of 2003 is when he came to live there?
A. Yes.
Q. And during that two years, you said he wasn't an employee, but he did do work at the yard, correct?
A. Yes, he helped us out.
Q. In fact, he did a lot of the same things that you and Chuck did, when it came to the cars?
A. Yes.
Q. He would disassemble them, prepare them for either crushing or storage, as a salvage vehicle?
A. Yes.
Q. He would go with you out on the road to go pick up vehicles on your tow truck or flat bad to bring to the yard?
A. Yes.
Q. And he was paid cash for his work as well, was he not?
A. That I don't know nothing about.
Q. Okay. Because you didn't have anything to do with that part of it?
A. No.
Q. And directing your attention to Saturday, November 5th, you are saying that it was only about 45 minutes before the two women came, that a different two -- a different couple arrived?
A. Yes, there was a man and a woman. I think they were more younger, and they took a ride through the yard, yes.
Q. When you say took a ride through the yard, on what kind of a vehicle?
A. I don't remember that.
Q. They would drive their own car, not a four-wheeler?
A. No, they drove their own vehicle. They asked me if it was all right. And I told them, yes, it was.
Q. So, the general custom, in fact, is -- maybe you could use your pointer and point to Exhibit 18 and show me where your office is?
A. I have got to find the office. The new office is right in here.
Q. And the record should reflect he's pointing on

Exhibit 18 to the upper right hand corner, the building farthest to the right edge, actually appears to be about the largest building in the photograph.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fair -- That's fair, Judge.

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ And when you are open for normal business, 8 to 5 on weekdays and 8 to noon on Saturday, people come up to that office first, before they start roaming around in the yard?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. And that's part of your policy and custom?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't allow members of the public to just come at off hours and start roaming through the yard, without your permission?
A. Not unless they want to get chased down with a four-wheeler.
Q. Would it be fair to say that the area on Exhibit 5, that shows really most of that area that is filled with cars; is that sort of like your stockroom of your business?
A. Yeah, you could say that, yes.
Q. So people first come to you to ask whether or not
you have a particular part for a particular vehicle, like a 1995 Chevy, something or other?
A. Yes.
Q. You have got these cars arranged in various groups?
A. Somewhat, yes.
Q. And you can tell them, from your inventory, yes, we have a '95 Chevy, whatever, and direct them, generally, where to go?
A. Sometimes, yes.
Q. Do they take the parts off themselves, or do you take the parts off for them?
A. Sometimes they take a part off, sometimes we take the part off.
Q. And you have regular customers, I assume, right, that you recognize?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, when the man and the woman arrived, they came up to the office that you mentioned there?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were the only one of the Avery's still left on the property, so were you up by the office, or in it?
A. I don't recall, but $I$ think $I$ was in the office, yes, when the first two came.
Q. And they gave you the poster, or they hung the poster of Teresa?
A. Yes.
Q. And then they themselves started driving down looking through the junkyard, right?
A. I think so, yes.
Q. Did you see which way they went?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. But you never saw them leave.
A. No, I didn't see them leave.
Q. And you think, then, it was about 45 minutes or an hour later, when the two women came?
A. Yes. Roughly, yes.
Q. And were you inside the office at that time or were you out?
A. I was outside.
Q. You were outside when they drove up?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you show us on the pointer where they drove up?
A. I'm not sure where they drove up, but where this vehicle is right here is where $I$ was standing, by a pickup truck. And they come from -- they -actually they must have been parked over here.
Q. Record should reflect you are pointing to sort of
an open, dirt parking kind of area in the front of the office, that you previously described. Is that area generally like a parking area, where people come up --
A. Yes, it is.
Q. -- customers?
A. Yes.
Q. So customers park in at that little area there?
A. Yes.
Q. In front of the office building? Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And these two women did the same thing, basically?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you -- You said you pointed how to get down into the pit. Can you show me what you did and where you were when you were doing that?
A. We were standing right in here and I just -- I just verbally told them that this road here goes up on the top here and behind the blue building here.
Q. The record should reflect you're pointing to the upper six inches or so of the diagram where there's some vehicles.
A. And then I pointed down here. I told them to
take the main road down the pit hill and that goes down into the pit.
Q. So, did you walk out to the edge of where that dirt parking lot ends and kind of --
A. No.
Q. -- suggest anything?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. You didn't give them any direction as to which area to start.
A. No, I'm pretty sure they said that they would just walk around and look. I'm not sure.
Q. The road that runs along the sort of a dirt driveway, that runs along the right side of that exhibit, do you see that? It runs the full length, from the top down towards the bottom?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's behind the office?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. That's not a public road that's open for customers, right?
A. No, it isn't.
Q. So --
A. The public road ends up here.
Q. Public road ends up at the top right corner?
A. Yes.
Q. Customers are allowed to come onto the property into the parking area in front of the office, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But the road that goes behind it, all the way down to the lower left -- lower right corner of the property, is not an area that is open to the public; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct. That's Chuck's driveway.
Q. Okay. Yes, because Chuck's -- Could you indicate -- maybe we should, just for the record--
A. Chuck's house is right here, and this is his driveway here.
Q. I'm going to have you, if you wouldn't mind, use this red marking pen and just put some numbers on some of these buildings?
A. What do you mean?
Q. If you could just put a number one on the top -on the roof area of the new office building, as you call it.
A. This is number one.
Q. Make a bigger number right in the middle, with a circle. Okay. And Chuck's residence is where, if you could put a number two. And the area that
would be the stopping point, beyond which the public is not generally invited, without permission, would be where, number 3? Well, they are allowed to come down into the parking lot area?
A. Yes, they are, but this is kind of like a private road here. Yeah, I would say like right from -well, even with the buildings, but we still.
Q. What about in this area?
A. No. No, that there is -- I would say mostly like right into here.
Q. All right. Why don't you put a number four where people are -- the public is not generally allowed to go beyond, without permission. Okay. And you have marked that with number four?
A. Yeah, number 4.
Q. And the private road that goes behind, that goes to Chuck's residence, could you put a number -- I see you put a four up there too.
A. Yes.
Q. At the top of that where, beyond which it is considered private. All right. You can sit down.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have nothing further at this time.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, any further questions for this witness?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Pass.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Avery, you are excused.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We have no other additional witnesses per this particular motion.

THE COURT: All right. Given the number of witnesses we have got on the other motions, I don't think I'm going to hear oral argument at this time. Hopefully, there will be time for that tomorrow.

Which motion are the parties proposing to move on to next?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I think Lieutenant Lenk is standing by. Could I have just one moment to see whether there is one officer that we may not need to use and he's on vacation here today, with his family waiting. If I could just take a moment to look over my notes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Judge, we're releasing one of the officers that was subpoenaed, who was on vacation today, and we do have Lieutenant Lenk that we wanted to take telephone testimony from. I think he's available. I'm not sure exactly
how we connect him.
THE COURT: All right. We'll take just a quick couple minute break. You can come back with me. If you have got his number, I will have my Judicial Assistant get him on the line and we will be set to go.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. Thank you. (Brief recess taken.)

THE COURT: Lieutenant Lenk, you are now on the public address system in the courtroom. You are being called as a witness by Attorney Buting, on behalf of the defendant. Is that correct, Mr. Buting?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, it is, your Honor.
THE COURT: Before we begin, Mr.Lenk, I'm going to ask you to raise your right hand. Do you have it raised?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
LIEUTENANT JAMES M. LENK, called as a
witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT: Please state your name and spell your last name for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: James M. Lenk, L-e-n-k.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting, you may
proceed. You may have to sit to make sure you are close enough to the microphone so he can hear you. ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Can you hear me, Lieutenant?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. All right. How long have you been a police officer?
A. Total?
Q. Yes.
A. Approximately 24 years.
Q. And have you been, or are you, a detective rank?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And how long has that been?
A. Approximately five years.
Q. And your present rank is what?
A. Lieutenant.
Q. And in the hierarchy of the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, how many officers are above you in rank?
A. There would be four.
Q. That would be the sheriff himself?
A. Yes.
Q. And what, three other -- I'm sorry. And the
Inspector Hermann?
A. Yes.
Q. And then two deputy inspectors?
A. That's correct.
Q. So you are the 4 th highest ranking officer at the department?
A. Yes.
Q. And was that also true on November 5th, 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I assume as part of your training and experience you have learned how to go about executing search warrants, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you tell me approximately how many search warrants you have executed, or participated in the execution of?
A. That would be hard to say, probably a couple dozen. It would be hard to say.
Q. Only a couple of dozen search warrants in your whole career?
A. In the whole career? Oh, probably, I don't know, 30 or 40 .
Q. Okay.
A. It's hard to tell.
Q. And have you had any training, or on the job type
training, that tells you how you are supposed to go about legally executing a warrant?
A. Just the training through the academy and while on the job.
Q. So you learned about no knocks, and whether you can just break in, or whether you have to knock first and all of that?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, how did you first become involved in the Teresa Halbach matter?
A. I first became involved on November 4th, when we received a call to assist on doing an interview on the Avery location by the Calumet County Sheriff's Department?

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr.Lenk, I'm going to interrupt you a second here because I intended, before you began your testimony, to have a personal discussion with the defendant. And I believe, Counsel, you discussed this matter with your client?

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's correct, Judge. THE COURT: Mr. Avery, your attorney's discussed this with me yesterday, and informed me that they talked to you about this, but do you understand, that if you wished, you may well have the right to have Mr. Lenk testify at this hearing,
in person, instead of by telephone? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: If that happened, you would not only be able to hear what he had to say, but you would also be able to observe his demeanor on the witness stand; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Have you had adequate opportunity to discuss, with your attorneys, your right to require Mr.Lenk to appear here in person today, as opposed to by telephone?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
THE COURT: Do you wish any more time to discuss this matter with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: No, this is good.
THE COURT: Okay. And for the purposes of this hearing only, that is, not any future proceedings or certainly a trial, do you have any objection to the Court allowing Mr. Lenk to testify and be cross-examined by telephone, rather than appearing in person?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't.
THE COURT: Very well. That applies to the testimony he's given thus far?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it does.

THE COURT: The Court is satisfied that Mr. Avery is willing to cooperate with this procedure and I have already been informed by counsel that neither counsel objects; is that correct, Counsel?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That is correct. THE COURT: It's probably been implicit in the fact that we made arrangements for this telephone conversation, but it should be placed on the record as well. And, Mr. Buting, since he's your witness, you have no objection to the witness appearing by phone?

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's correct, Judge. We preferred his personal appearance, but he had plans that were important enough, out of state, that we agreed to arrange this by phone.

THE COURT: Very well. All right. You may proceed with your examination.

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Lieutenant, you mentioned November 4th, but I want to direct your attention to November 3rd, which is actually Thursday night; do you recall being advised at that time about the Teresa Halbach missing person Complaint?
A. Yes, I believed our detective unit was called and advised that there was a missing -- that they needed assistance.
Q. And was it Sergeant Colborn who contacted you about this, or who did you speak with first?
A. I don't recall who $I$ spoke with first.
Q. But at some point did you place a call to Calumet yourself and speak to Investigator Wiegert?
A. I'm not -- I don't believe I did. I believe it may have been Detective Remiker that did that.
Q. And at what point did you learn that Steven Avery's name had come up in this matter?
A. I'm not sure if it was the 3 rd or the 4 th, that he had been interviewed regarding this matter.
Q. And you learned that -- or did you learn that Sergeant Colborn had actually gone out to his residence and spoken with him about any knowledge he had regarding Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes, I learned later, I think it was possibly the 4th, that he had already interviewed Mr. Avery.
Q. And, actually, you had a meeting on November 3rd with Detective Remiker and Investigator Dedering from Calumet County, did you not?
A. Yes, I believe it was up in our detective unit.
Q. Now, from that point forward, were you the lead
supervising officer with regard to Manitowoc County's involvement in the request for assistance from Calumet on this missing person Complaint?
A. At that time $I$ would have been the lead officer, yeah.
Q. Okay. And so you would be kept informed by Detective Remiker, or others, Sergeant Colborn, as to what was going on?
A. Yes.
Q. And you would assign officers to work on the matter?
A. Yes, I would have assigned officers to assist Calumet County.
Q. Now, on the following day, November 4th, were you asked to go to Steven Avery's house or trailer?
A. I believe I received a call from Calumet County asking if we would go out and reinterview Mr. Avery.
Q. And this would have been the second interview, because Sergeant Colborn had spoken to him the prior night?
A. Correct.
Q. And at whose request was that made, somebody from Calumet County, you said, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you know who that was?
A. I don't recall. It may have been Detective Wiegert, but I'm not totally positive.
Q. And did you go to Steven Avery's property?
A. Yes, I went along with Detective Remiker, to his property.
Q. Do you remember what time?
A. I don't recall offhand, I believe it was in the afternoon, but $I$ don't recall.
Q. Could it have been 10:30 in the morning?
A. Like I said, I'm not sure what time it was.
Q. Okay. But there was only one time on that day, November 4th, when you went over to Steven Avery's residence; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And did you -- you didn't have a warrant at that point, I assume?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you speak with Mr. Avery?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did you ask permission to look through his trailer?
A. Yes, I believe Detective Remiker asked him, towards the end of the interview, if he would let
us look through his trailer.
Q. And did he agree with that?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Was he cooperative?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. And did you actually enter the trailer?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. So both you and Detective Remiker?
A. That's correct.
Q. And did you walk through the whole trailer?
A. Detective Remiker walked through the trailer; I stood in the living room, with Mr. Avery.
Q. Did you walk back to the bedroom at all?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did Mr. Avery try to prevent yourself, or Detective Remiker, from going in any particular area of the trailer?
A. No, sir.
Q. And did you find anything that would indicate that Teresa had ever been in the trailer?
A. Not at that time, no, sir.
Q. And then you left the residence; did you go into any other buildings at that time?
A. No, sir, we left and thanked him for his cooperation.
Q. Didn't go to -- into the garage?
A. I don't believe so. I think we just went into the trailer.
Q. And did you have any other investigation, or involvement with the investigation, on that Friday?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you ever have any phone conversations with any volunteer citizens who wanted to help search for Teresa or her vehicle?
A. No, sir.
Q. On any day?
A. No, sir.
Q. Are you aware whether or not anyone in your department had conversations with any volunteer citizens who wished to help search for Teresa, or her vehicle?
A. I'm not aware of any, no.
Q. Now, turning your attention to November 5th, Saturday, were you on duty on that day?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you receive a call from somebody that told you that they believed that Teresa's vehicle had been located on the Avery property somewhere?
A. Yeah, I received a call from Detective Remiker,
who was on duty, and he gave me that information.
Q. And where were you at the time of the call?
A. I was actually looking at an RV in Menasha.
Q. Okay. And did you then place yourself on duty and come in?
A. I informed him that $I$ would be coming back and that I would be coming out to that location.
Q. And did you, in fact, arrive at the Avery property?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what time?
A. I'm not sure of the exact time, somewhere 6:30 or 7 that evening. I'm not positive.
Q. Do you know whether a search warrant had been issued already?
A. I don't know. I don't think so, at that time, but I'm not positive.
Q. Did you see the RAV 4 Toyota vehicle on the property?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Did you go down to the area where it had been located?
A. I went down there with another officer. He showed me the location where it was, but I didn't get -- I didn't really see it. I mean, from what

I seen, it was getting dark and it was hidden, but $I$ didn't get a good look at it.
Q. Did it look like there was a tarp on it, at that time, or not?
A. I don't recall. I don't believe so, but I don't really recall.
Q. In any event, you didn't walk up to it?
A. No, sir.
Q. At what time were you advised that your department had turned over the investigative authority to Calumet County?
A. Shortly after I arrived, I received that information.
Q. You never got a call about that earlier?
A. No.
Q. And you didn't have any role in the search warrant that was obtained?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. Now, on the evening of November 5th, did you participate in a search of Steven Avery's trailer?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And this was about 7:30, I believe.
A. Approximately, yes.
Q. Were you also with Sergeant Colborn?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And was there anyone else with you when you entered the trailer?
A. Detective Remiker and Sergeant Tyson from Calumet County.
Q. So four officers went into his residence to execute the search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. And three of whom were from Manitowoc Sheriff's Department; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And do you know how long you were searching his trailer?
A. I think it was approximately 2 hours or so, $21 / 2$ hours.
Q. All right. Now, can you describe for me how many rooms there are in the trailer?
A. As you walk in, there's a living room area. If you turn to your left and go down the hall, there is a bedroom on the right. If you go down a little farther, it is the bathroom area. After the bathroom area, is the final bedroom, Mr. Avery's bedroom. If you come in the front door and go to the right, there is a small like kitchenette and the kitchen area.
Q. So, it's a relatively small residence; would that be fair to say?
A. Yes.
Q. And the four of you were in there for about $21 / 2$ hours, right?
A. Approximately, yes.
Q. And you seized a number of items during that search?
A. Yes.
Q. Including bedding, and photographs, and handcuffs; do you recall that?
A. I believe so, yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And then all four of you left the trailer at, what would it have been, about 10 o'clock?
A. I would -- Yeah, around at 10 o'clock I think it was.
Q. And then what area of the trailer were you searching in, personally?
A. I searched the part of the master bedroom which would have been Mr. Avery's bedroom, consisting of a dresser and a walk in closet. I believe I even looked under the bed, and things like that. That was the extent of my searching that room.
Q. Did -- Did all four officers go together in a room and search all together, or were you spread
out, some in one area, some in the other?
A. It's my recollection that we tried to stay at least two people in a location. There may have been a time when an officer may have split off from another officer for a few minutes. But generally speaking, we pretty much stayed together.
Q. And so was it you and Sergeant Colborn that were generally together during the search?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance to this particular point.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: It's really just foundational, the subsequent witnesses will testify.

THE COURT: The issue here is just the multiple execution of the search warrant, right?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Right.
THE COURT: How is what the -- was found at any particular time going to be relevant or significant for this motion?

ATTORNEY BUTING: It goes to when the search was executed, when the warrant was completed, or the search was completed. And I think that's going to be, ultimately, a legal question. But we need to lay a little bit of a factual foundation.

THE COURT: All right. I'll allow it.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So, when you were -Lieutenant Lenk, when you were searching and paired off into two, were you generally with Sergeant Colborn, or with somebody else?
A. We were with different people, at different times. In Mr. Avery's bedroom, I was primarily with Detective Remiker, and searching with him, because of the volume of stuff that we were looking at. Other times, in other rooms, it all depended on who was available to assist.
Q. All right. But, in general, you searched -during that $21 / 2$ hours you were able to search, the four of you, Mr. Avery's bedroom, the other bedroom, the living room, bathroom, and kitchen area; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And so when you left that evening, you had seized everything you could find that was of any evidentiary value, right?
A. Yes, at that time.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, leading the witness, also conclusory.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Well, had you -- did you in fact -- the question, I will sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ When you left that evening, did you believe you had seized everything of evidentiary value?
A. At that time, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, the next morning you returned to the Avery salvage property, did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall about what time?
A. I think it was around 8 o'clock in the morning.
Q. And let me ask you, how were you assigned -- I'm going to go back a minute to the November 5th evening search. How was it that you were assigned to participate in that search, or did you just -- or were you doing the assigning?
A. I was not assigning, at any time. I was -- We were asked by Calumet County, after they decided to take the case, if we would assist them, because they had very short manpower, and we volunteered to assist them.
Q. So you volunteered to be one of the officers who searched Steven Avery's residence?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And as far as you know, was that also true of

Sergeant Colborn?
A. Yes, and Detective Remiker.
Q. Okay. And so when you returned on the 6th -Going back to the 5th for a second, you had not yet had time, by 10 ' 'clock at night, to do a thorough search of the garage, the detached garage that was next to Mr. Avery's trailer; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. So, did you do that the next morning when you arrived?
A. I believe we searched the garage.
Q. And were you --
A. I don't know if it was. I believe it was the next morning, yes.
Q. And were you also paired with Sergeant Colborn, Detective Remiker, again?
A. Yes, and Deputy Kucharski from Calumet County.
Q. Okay. And can you give me an estimate of the size of that garage, how many, you know, like 20 feet by 15 or something, or what?
A. Boy, it was a two car garage, whatever a standard two car garage would be, about that size.
Q. And do you know about how long you were searching the garage that morning?
A. I don't recall how long it was, hour, two. I'm not sure.
Q. If I told you that you entered at 8:00 a.m. and left at 9:47 a.m. would that fit with your recollection?
A. That would be about right.
Q. So were all four officers in the garage during that entire time?
A. Yes.
Q. And you searched very thoroughly?
A. Yes, we tried to.
Q. And you seized anything that you thought was of evidentiary value in that garage?
A. At that time, yes.
Q. And when you all left, at 9:47 a.m., it was your understanding or belief, that you had seized anything that had evidentiary value, from that garage; is that correct? Or is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, after you finished the search of the garage, you didn't go back into Mr. Avery's residence immediately, did you? The trailer?
A. No, I don't believe so, that was awhile after that.
Q. Okay. A couple hours later, were you asked to go
back into Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. Yeah, our team leader at the time, Deputy Kucharski, received information that we should go back into the trailer of the unit.
Q. And was it for a specific purpose?
A. We were told to go back and collect weapons, a vacuum cleaner, and bedding from the spare bedroom, in the trailer.
Q. So, three specific types of items you were asked to go back and retrieve, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know who gave that order?
A. I don't know.
Q. So, once again you entered Mr. Avery's trailer, along with Sergeant Colborn?
A. Yes. Deputy Remiker, I believe, was there also, and Deputy Kucharski.
Q. And if I said that you entered at 12:25 p.m. and left at 12:48 p.m.; would that fit with your recollection?
A. Yes, that would be about right.
Q. And you did, in fact, seize a number of firearms or rifles, I believe, from the residence?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, from Mr. Avery's bedroom, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you actually go into the bedroom yourself?
A. I don't know who was -- actually took the firearms. I believe it was Deputy Kucharski who actually took the firearms. It wouldn't surprise me if I was actually back in the bedroom with him.
Q. So that was at least the second time when you had been in his bedroom?
A. Right.
Q. And did you do any more searches of Mr. Steven Avery's trailer or garage, on that day, November 6th?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. The next day, November 7th, which would be a Monday, did you again have an opportunity to go into Mr. Steven Avery's trailer?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Was that, once again, with Sergeant Colborn?
A. Yes, this time we were also with Sergeant Tyson, from Calumet County.
Q. And did you go in there because you were instructed to do something in particular?
A. Sergeant Tyson had received information, from his
superiors, to go in there and get the serial numbers and things off the computer.
Q. So there was, again, one specific reason to -that you were told to go back in, and that was to retrieve the actual serial number of the computer in the trailer; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And if I told you that you entered at 9:57 a.m. and exited at 10:04 a.m., would that fit with your recollection?
A. That would be right.
Q. Did you -- Do you have any recollection of going into Mr. Steven Avery's trailer or garage, again, later on that date?
A. No, sir, I don't recall that.
Q. The next day, November 8th, this would be a Tuesday, do you recall, again, going into Steven Avery's trailer with Sergeant Colborn?
A. Yes, we went in with Deputy Kucharski, who was in charge.
Q. And Sergeant Colborn and yourself?
A. Correct.
Q. And this was now the 5th time that you had been inside Mr. Avery's trailer, the 4th time since a search warrant was obtained; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And do you remember when you -- the time when you entered and exited?
A. On the 8th?
Q. Correct.
A. I believe it was somewhere around 8:30 in the morning. We left around 12:15.
Q. So, if I said 8:25 entered, and exited at 12:18, would that fit?
A. That would be about right, yes.
Q. Okay. And it was actually -- And you were once again searching in Mr. Avery's bedroom, on this occasion?
A. Correct.
Q. So this was at least the third time that you had been inside Mr. Avery's bedroom?
A. I believe so.
Q. And to your knowledge, the fourth time that officers had been in his bedroom, including Detective Remiker, on the November 4th consensual search, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And it was only at the very end of this search that you found something, in his bedroom, of interest?
A. Actually, we were searching -- Primarily, we were sent there to pick up any pornography materials, to retrieve the computer and related items, and I believe there was some swabs that needed to be taken of some blood spots that were found in the bathroom, by the State Crime Lab.
Q. And did you also find a key?
A. Yes, I observed a key.
Q. And I'm not going to go into the details of that, you testified at the preliminary hearing -- or, no, actually you didn't, but is that the -- the key was found by you, initially, correct?
A. I saw the key first, yes.
Q. And it was sitting in plain view on the floor next to a cabinet; is that right?
A. Yes, next to the back corner of the cabinet.
Q. Now, also on that same day, after completing the search of the -- Steven Avery's trailer, did you also go back into his garage?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And that was, once again, you and Sergeant Colborn, correct?
A. And Deputy Kucharski.
Q. Okay. And if I said that you entered at 12:19 p.m. and exited at 12:45 p.m.; would that fit
with your recollection?
A. Yes, sir.
(Court reporter couldn't hear.)
Q. And your answer to that was?
A. Yes, we exited about 12:45.
Q. Okay. So, that was your second search of the garage, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, on the next day, November 9th, in the morning, which would now be Wednesday, did you once again go into Mr. Avery's residence, the trailer, with Sergeant Colborn?
A. I don't recall the 9th, no.
Q. Do you have the Manitowoc report with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you -- Do you recall going into the Steven Avery garage or trailer at all on November 9th?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. If there were Calumet County officer's reports that indicated that you, and Sergeant Colborn, and Calumet County Deputy Wendling went into the garage on November 9th, looking for a garage door opener and things; would that fit with your recollection?
A. Yes, if it's in their report, then it probably
occurred.
Q. And if I said that you entered at 10:39 a.m. on November 9th, this is in the trailer we're talking about, and left at 10:59 a.m., there for about 20 minutes looking for a garage door opener, and gloves, things like that; would that fit with your recollection?
A. Yes. If that's in the report, yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If Counsel is willing to stipulate, to facilitate that, my review of the records likewise indicate an entry, 10:39 to 10:59, which was interrupted by the arrival of a locksmith. They left the residence to attend to the need of the locksmith and then resumed between 11:40 and 11:50 to complete. I would be willing to stipulate to those facts, to facilitate the continued questioning.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine.
THE COURT: All right. The Court will accept that stipulation.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So then on November 9th, then, that was your 5th and 6th entry of Mr. Avery's trailer, after the warrant had been obtained; is that correct?
A. Yes, it would be.

THE COURT: Was this the garage or the trailer?

ATTORNEY BUTING: This is the trailer.
THE COURT: Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So a total of six different times that you entered and left Mr. Avery's trailer, on the authority of that first search warrant, correct?
A. Yes. Assisting Calumet County, yes.
Q. Okay. And, finally, there was a third search of the garage also on that day, in which you entered with Sergeant Colborn at 11:51 a.m., left the garage at 12:10 p.m.?
A. This is on the 9th?
Q. On the 9th, yes.
A. If that's in the report, yes, that's what happened.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Do you have that,
Counsel, can we stipulate to that?
ATTORNEY FALLON: I have them in the garage -- I have them in the garage 11:51 to 12:10, so that's fine.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So that would be your third search of the garage, Mr. Avery's garage,
correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, going back for just one minute, to November 5th, at around 6:30 p.m., were you, in fact, giving orders or directions, to officers, as to which buildings to search?
A. I don't know. I don't believe it was an order, it was more of a suggestion. We were looking at different buildings. I think it was a suggestion to go to a building.
Q. And so, do you recollect telling an investigator named Gary Steier, and Sergeant Bill Tyson, from the Calumet County Sheriff's Office, to check specific trailers and out buildings in the Avery Salvage Yard?
A. As I said, it was more of a suggestion that they check a couple buildings on that date.
Q. And you were aware at that time that the investigation had already been turned over to Calumet county?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. So just to summarize and make sure the record is clear, then, between November 4th, when you went in on the consent search, to Mr. Avery's trailer, and about midday on November

9th, you were actually in Steven Avery's trailer seven different times?
A. That sounds about right.
Q. And six of those were on the execution of the one warrant?
A. I'm not sure of how many warrants. Like I said, I didn't get the warrants.
Q. Okay. And during that same time period, you were also in Mr. Avery's garage three times?
A. Yes.
Q. On the warrant?
A. On some warrant, yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. I have no further questions at this time.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Fallon. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Judge.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Lieutenant Lenk, this is Mr. Fallon. As I understand it, there was a transfer of authority, to conduct this investigation, from the Manitowoc Sheriff's to the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, on the morning of November 5th, correct?
A. I'm not sure when it would have been. Yes, it
would have been on the 5th.
Q. All right. And when did you arrive at the property, the morning or the afternoon?
A. Late afternoon.
Q. Late afternoon.
A. Yes.
Q. By the time you arrived in the late afternoon, you were made aware of the fact that the Calumet County Sheriff's Department was in charge of the investigation, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But you were also aware of the fact that they were being assisted -- or by the Wisconsin Department of Justice, Division of Criminal Investigation?
A. Correct.
Q. And you were aware, as a matter of fact, that it was Special Agent Tom Fassbender and Investigator Mark Wiegert who were actually in charge, as it were, of the investigation, by the time you arrived late afternoon, November 5th?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. So, in terms of conducting these searches, you were doing these searches under the direction and/or supervision of Investigator

Wiegert and Special Agent Fassbender?
A. Correct.
Q. And in terms of authority, while you may be a lieutenant with the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, you only had authority with respect to members of your own staff, that is, the Manitowoc Sheriff's officers, and did not have any independent authority over the Calumet deputies or sergeants, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. As a matter of fact, on each of the occasions you entered the residence of Mr . Avery, or his garage, you were accompanied by a member of the Calumet County Sheriff's Office?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And in terms of, you used the phrase, you volunteered to assist, just so that we're clear on that, in terms of your willingness to help out as a member of the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, in terms of what you were assigned, and where you were to do it, and how you were to go about it, was determined by either the Department of Justice, or the Calumet County Sheriff's Office?
A. That's correct.
Q. As a matter of fact, there were a number of search teams that were put together, involved in searching various locations on the Avery property, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the property itself, and the subject of the warrant, was about a 40 acre parcel of land?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, in response to a number of questions by counsel, regarding what your thought process was at the time you completed each of the searches of Mr. Avery's residence or garage, you indicated, Well, at that time, we thought we had gotten all of the information and evidence that we needed to get. What did you mean by that?
A. Well, what I meant was, at that particular time, with the information that we had about the case, we thought we had gotten what we needed to get.
Q. All right. And as a result of which, as far as you know, was there additional information coming in that then caused Wiegert and Fassbender to direct reentry, to continue searching these various locations?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it be fair to say, that when you and
members of Manitowoc Sheriff's Office were engaged in the searches of the residence and the garage of Mr. Avery, the person actually in charge of those searches was the Calumet County officer, whether it was a deputy, or sergeant, or lieutenant, or whatever?
A. That's correct.
Q. And they were the ones who actually collected the evidence, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Thank you.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything else, Mr Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Just a couple.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. You mentioned there were various search teams involved. How many officers do you think were involved in the search of the Avery compound, 40 acre compound, on November 5th and 6th?
A. I would have no idea.
Q. Would you believe more than 50?
A. I wouldn't hazard a guess. I have no idea.
Q. Okay. But you do know that there were other search teams searching lots of other areas and
buildings on the property, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that these search teams included non-Manitowoc people, correct?
A. I believe so, I'm not sure.
Q. All right. You knew there were state troopers out there?
A. On that particular day, I'm not sure who was all there. We were pretty much focused on what we were doing.
Q. All right. But during that time period when you entered Mr. Avery's house six times -- or trailer six times, there were DCI agents also on the property who could have done the search, correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. And there were many, many non-Manitowoc County officers who could have conducted the searches of Mr. Avery's trailer, correct?
A. If they had been assigned that, yes.
Q. And as a matter of fact, there was a later, a second warrant obtained, late on the day of November 9th, that included Mr. Avery's residence; isn't that right?
A. I wouldn't know. Like I said, I had nothing to do with the warrants.
Q. In fact, though, after November 9th, you never entered Mr. Avery's trailer again, correct?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. Other officers were assigned to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. Officers from the DCI and Calumet County?
A. I'm not sure which officers and which departments, but there were other officers, yes.
Q. They were not Manitowoc County officers?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have no further questions.

ATTORNEY FALLON: No redirect.
THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Lenk, you are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: And we'll take our afternoon break at this time.
(Recess taken.)
(Partial transcript concluded.)
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174/19 176/1 198/12 199/21 203/18 205/20 205/23 210/17 212/23 221/1
afternoon [13] 65/13 74/7 91/20 91/21 130/8 174/4 194/10 216/3 216/4 216/5 216/7 216/21 221/18 again [18] 11/22 22/24 28/4 74/9 $83 / 4111 / 18151 / 3$ 204/17 206/14 207/17 207/20 208/3 208/13 208/17 209/12 210/21 211/11 221/2
agency [2] 66/5 66/9
Agent [2] 216/18 217/1
agents [1] 220/13
ago [1] 123/2
agree [5] 25/1 40/2 50/24 138/4 195/2
agreed [2] 65/8 191/17
ahead [8] 40/11 56/3 89/9 113/20
139/6 154/15 168/15 185/20
AI [2] 163/15 163/17
alive [4] 9/19 31/21 70/24 71/1 all [277]
Allen [2] 158/6 163/8
allow [6] 43/9 43/21 151/13 154/8 178/15 202/1
allowed [7] 89/11 112/14 113/3
113/9 183/1 184/4 184/13
allowing [1] 190/20
almost [3] 59/19 89/7 103/1
alone [5] 89/14 120/14 121/13
154/13 154/19
along [7] 13/15 143/23 155/19 182/12 182/13 194/6 206/15
alongside [1] 139/24
already [18] 27/20 42/7 42/22
56/21 64/9 66/14 66/20 80/4
84/20 100/4 103/19 134/12 157/19
169/18 191/4 192/20 197/15
214/19
also [54] 4/7 4/17 4/22 14/9 14/14
15/1 15/3 15/13 18/1 23/1 25/10
34/10 43/10 47/24 49/19 49/24
$51 / 2152 / 254 / 1458 / 1267 / 18$
68/2 69/11 81/12 82/8 83/11
104/1 110/2 117/15 127/5 128/9
128/23 130/14 132/13 132/24
137/15 143/8 143/13 146/2 188/8
190/6 198/25 202/23 203/25
204/16 206/16 207/21 210/7
210/17210/19213/11 215/9
216/12 220/13
always [1] 71/2
am [4] $41 / 9$ 99/3 $112 / 14187 / 14$
and/or [2] 115/5 216/25
another [11] 39/5 54/10 54/13
109/9 109/12 128/23 129/6 130/14 150/10 197/23 201/5
answer [7] 23/23 23/23 46/4 83/3 88/25 163/16 211/4
anticipate [1] 57/10
anticipated [1] 78/14
any [153] 5/15 5/19 5/22 7/10 7/16 $7 / 187 / 207 / 237 / 2410 / 810 / 17$ 10/23 11/5 11/9 18/1118/13 24/7 27/10 28/17 30/6 34/19 34/22
36/16 43/2 43/5 44/3 44/4 44/9
44/12 45/14 46/1 46/2 55/11
55/19 56/12 56/14 57/12 59/3
59/9 66/1 8 66/23 67/2 67/7 67/24 69/20 70/7 70/9 70/14 70/18 71/4 $71 / 19$ 74/25 75/1 75/21 76/21
80/13 81/14 81/18 84/4 84/24

85/4 86/2 86/6 87/4 91/5 91/23
91/23 91/24 92/19 99/6 99/17
100/11 100/22 104/21 105/10 105/22 105/23 105/24 107/4 108/18 110/16115/14115/17 116/2 118/7 119/3 120/1 120/17
122/2 123/15 127/13 127/25 128/8
131/4 131/4 131/11 134/18 134/21
134/25 137/5 137/6 140/5 140/6
140/7 142/12 144/24 145/22
145/22 146/3 146/23 147/20
147/21 148/16 148/23 154/9
158/15 158/19 159/1 160/21
161/12 161/21 161/23 165/12 165/16 166/19 170/17 170/22 171/1 172/10 182/8 185/1 188/25 190/14 190/18 190/19 192/17 195/16 195/23 196/4 196/8 196/9 196/12 196/15 196/18 198/7
198/16 201/19 202/19 203/17 207/12 208/12 210/2 217/8
anybody [21] 20/22 27/14 64/23
65/11 67/2 67/3 69/8 76/22 78/1
78/16 79/7 80/5 81/6 83/15 84/22
85/14 89/20 105/13 120/9 120/13
149/17
anymore [1] 61/24
anyone [14] 9/17 11/24 55/18
91/24 94/20 107/5 107/5 148/17
148/25 149/6 149/7 172/1 196/14 199/2
anything [51] $7 / 1322 / 822 / 11$
22/16 43/5 44/1 46/6 47/8 53/16 53/17 63/1 67/25 69/2 70/12 71/4 71/5 71/11 74/16 76/3 78/9 78/11 79/8 80/12 80/25 82/5 83/23 86/7 98/1 99/19 105/12 108/3 115/21
120/6 120/13 121/7 123/10 124/21
126/2 134/23 140/5 161/19 168/17
170/1 170/23 170/24 177/2 182/6
195/19 205/12 205/17 219/13
anyway [1] 89/8
anyways [1] 76/24
anywhere [2] 44/22 137/5
apparent [2] 94/1 101/7
apparently [3] 56/5 57/24 95/15
appear [5] 22/19 44/19 45/25
140/14 190/11
appearance [1] 191/15
appearances [2] 1/11 4/12
appeared [3] 1/21 40/6 172/7
appearing[5] 4/16 4/21 4/22
190/22 191/13
appears [3] 4/14 4/17 178/3
Appleton [3] 64/4 66/11 93/23
application [3] 35/2 146/10 148/3
applications [1] 25/5
applied [2] 17/6 89/4
applies [1] 190/24
apply [1] 19/16
applying [4] 30/11 30/14 34/14 34/20
appointed [1] 4/18
appointment [4] 126/11 126/12 126/19 127/2
appointments [1] 126/21
approach [4] 12/21 37/19 96/12 139/5
approached [2] 139/12 175/1
approaching [1] 48/7
approximately [9] 49/1 79/19
134/10 187/12 187/16 188/14 198/24 199/14 200/6
are [113] 4/3 13/6 13/12 16/15 19/13 20/19 20/20 24/6 25/10 25/22 29/8 30/15 33/24 34/7 34/19 38/1 38/2 39/11 45/7 45/8 45/17 45/18 45/19 47/14 47/15 $47 / 19$ 47/21 48/1 48/1 48/7 49/10 50/4 50/21 53/6 54/22 55/21 55/24 55/24 55/24 57/1 57/9 57/16 58/4 58/11 59/2 61/9 68/16 71/23 73/16 75/2 76/10 76/19 89/14 93/11 98/19 98/25 99/1 100/20 101/19 111/5 111/23 112/21 113/8 113/18 116/9 118/2 118/3 123/12 123/14 123/18 124/7 125/2 126/22 127/14 127/17 128/23 129/14 131/7 133/16 133/18 135/13 136/17 136/18 137/15 138/11 151/10 151/11 156/7 160/12 160/21 161/15 162/17 163/8 169/18 177/6 178/8 180/25 183/1 $184 / 4184 / 6184 / 13$ 185/4 185/12 186/9 186/10 187/1 187/13 187/20 188/5 189/1 196/14 199/17 221/14
area [81] 7/1 7/3 7/4 8/22 9/25 10/2 10/6 27/13 27/20 38/3 44/6 51/25 54/8 62/3 63/22 75/19 76/6 76/21 76/24 77/21 77/23 78/4 81/13 84/8 84/23 85/1 85/5 85/6 87/18 88/8 97/18 104/20 105/9 108/20 108/21 109/3 110/24 110/25 111/10 111/15 112/4 114/1 114/12 114/15 115/17122/13 135/24 136/13 137/25 138/12 138/21 143/11 143/13 144/2 157/14 158/11 161/12 173/25 176/2 178/20 178/21 181/1 181/3 181/3 181/8 182/9 183/2 183/7 183/20 183/25 184/5 184/9 195/17 197/21 199/18 199/21 199/22 199/25 200/17 201/1 202/16
areas [24] 65/21 70/4 74/2 74/3
$75 / 1575 / 1676 / 2078 / 280 / 3$ $87 / 12$ 87/12 88/1 88/1 88/2 96/1 97/25 103/20 106/2 113/10 115/11 117/3 117/21 121/12 219/25
argued [1] 42/7
argument [3] 42/7 42/10 185/10
around [32] 40/22 44/4 44/9 48/4
67/14 69/1 73/24 74/15 78/2 87/14 88/7 104/20 126/12 150/15 151/7 152/7 152/19 152/24 153/1 153/15 168/23 169/2 169/23 170/15 171/3 178/11 182/11
200/15 203/11 209/6 209/7 214/4 arrange [1] 191/17
arranged [2] 64/3 179/4
arrangements [1] 191/9
arrival [3] 14/25 152/20 212/12 arrive [6] 39/2 79/14 79/17 144/20 197/8 216/2
arrived [31] $14 / 18$ 14/20 $15 / 17$ 24/3 26/16 27/9 27/21 28/15 31/8 35/13 39/9 133/6 134/2 134/7 $134 / 16135 / 2 \quad 135 / 6139 / 7139 / 13$ 142/16 143/8 143/14 143/19 144/19 174/19 177/8 179/18
198/12 204/11 $216 / 7216 / 21$
arriving [4] 45/9 48/17 144/12 153/9 as [167] 4/16 4/24 5/10 5/12 5/15

6/12 8/6 9/7 9/17 9/17 10/19 14/5 15/11 17/2 $17 / 1518 / 5$ 19/24 22/20 23/2 25/3 27/7 31/14 31/18 $31 / 1833 / 1633 / 1834 / 1234 / 17$ 35/22 35/22 36/15 37/4 39/8 41/7 $42 / 542 / 742 / 15$ 43/22 44/7 44/22 46/1 48/7 50/2 54/25 57/14 60/7 60/7 61/13 61/15 64/19 64/19 72/24 72/24 72/25 73/20 73/21 73/21 75/22 76/19 77/24 89/18 91/4 91/4 92/10 92/10 92/18 92/18 92/24 93/20 95/16 96/1 96/2 97/3 97/11 103/1 103/1 104/10 104/25 104/25 105/4 105/10 105/15 106/14 106/14 107/3 107/20 110/20 111/20 112/21 113/22 114/1 114/12 118/8 118/22 119/22 121/14 121/15 121/15 122/1 123/3 123/3 124/19 124/19 128/21 129/7 132/21 135/7 135/25 136/15 137/17 141/13 142/18 147/12 150/5 150/13 150/16 150/18 152/22 153/8 153/16 153/18 154/22 155/15
159/7 159/12 159/19 162/8 162/10 163/19 163/21 163/24 164/4 167/8 169/1 169/1 170/11 171/5 173/20 173/20 176/2 176/18 176/24 182/8 183/20 186/11 186/19 186/21 188/10 190/12 191/11 193/9 199/18 203/25 203/25 214/6 214/16215/19216/17216/19 217/11 217/19218/1218/19 218/19 218/19 220/20 222/9
aside [3] 31/14 31/23 31/24
ask[27] 9/12 10/6 15/16 26/5 26/9 43/23 43/25 56/1 56/6 56/18 57/9 58/25 61/5 69/19 75/21 83/4
86/25 98/24 115/14 158/17 160/21 175/7 175/9 178/25 186/16 194/22 203/12
asked [35] 10/8 10/23 23/16 26/13 27/18 30/10 35/16 37/6 37/8 40/1 62/25 79/25 93/24 107/4 108/24
146/8 146/11 147/3 157/19 158/13 168/13 168/20 168/22 169/11 169/16 169/22 171/2 171/12 174/19 177/17 193/16 194/24 203/18 205/25 206/9
asking [9] 10/12 13/24 25/23 67/17 82/25 126/1 136/17 136/18 193/18
assign [1] 193/11
assigned [8] 70/3 77/14 193/13 203/12 203/15 217/20 220/19 221/4
assigning [2] 203/16 203/17 assignment [7] 89/16 104/19 104/24 105/1 106/10 107/6 107/23 assignments [8] 73/2 73/19 74/12 74/22 104/14 105/22 105/23 106/2 assist [10] 10/2 36/1 112/10 113/10 189/12 193/13 202/11 203/19 203/21 $217 / 17$
assistance [4] 10/23 52/11 192/3 193/3
Assistant [2] 146/1 186/5
assisted [3] 102/20 216/13 222/10
Assisting [1] 213/9
associated [2] 16/16 148/17
association [1] 91/4
assume [6] 5/14 52/18 152/18
179/15 188/10 194/18
assumed [3] 26/10 69/7 90/3
assuming [1] 128/2
at [262]
attached [2] 13/9 13/12
attempted [1] 39/4
attempting [1] 149/9
attend [1] 212/13
attention [10] 12/20 20/18 109/10 109/18 110/2 $111 / 18$ 168/1 177/5 191/22 196/19
Attorney [24] 1/17 1/19 2/4 2/5 2/6 2/9 2/11 2/12 2/15 2/16 2/17 2/19 2/20 2/23 2/24 2/25 4/15 4/20 4/22 145/24 145/25 146/2 147/6 186/11
attorney's [1] 189/21
attorneys [4] 145/22 146/3 190/10 190/15
ATTY [2] 2/7 2/10
audio [1] 130/4
AUGUST [2] $1 / 9$ 222/15
authority [7] $146 / 5$ 198/11 $213 / 7$
215/20 217/3 217/5 217/8
Auto [5] 29/8 $119 / 1$ 167/13 167/15 175/21
automobile [4] 17/16 31/7 105/17 164/10
available [6] $12 / 4$ 18/2 84/2 124/20 185/25 202/11
averments [1] 24/20
AVERY [131] 1/6 1/20 2/18 4/2 4/21 4/23 9/13 9/25 11/20 12/14 13/20 17/12 19/4 19/6 26/6 26/25 27/10 27/13 27/17 27/17 27/20 29/8 29/16 31/16 31/17 32/12 $32 / 1332 / 1436 / 1136 / 1537 / 3$ $37 / 13$ 37/20 37/24 38/6 38/13 39/16 $41 / 2042 / 944 / 1344 / 21$ 46/1 46/7 48/7 48/18 51/23 51/24 54/6 59/16 76/8 76/16 77/17 80/5 $80 / 1784 / 2185 / 1185 / 1785 / 23$ 86/18 87/13 88/7 107/6 108/12 109/23 111/25 118/8 $119 / 12$ 122/15 126/16 127/3 128/20 128/22 129/3 130/23 131/4 131/8 131/13 132/5 132/10 132/24 133/13 133/17 135/3 135/10 135/15 136/8 $136 / 11$ 144/24 145/6 150/10 151/6 152/10 153/12 153/12 153/17 153/19153/19 153/20 158/5 159/9 162/3 162/4 162/8 162/13 162/17 162/17 163/9 167/6 167/8 174/3 175/21 175/25 176/2 185/4 189/13 189/21 191/3 192/20 193/19 194/20 195/12 195/15 196/24 197/8 203/8 211/17 214/14 217/12 218/3 219/3 219/19 Avery's [45] 42/18 45/16 54/20 80/6 131/23 134/13 146/17 167/13 167/15 179/21 192/12 193/16 194/5 194/15 198/20 199/23 200/20 202/7 202/14 203/23 204/7 205/21 206/1 206/14 206/25 207/13 207/18 208/13 208/18 208/24 209/12 209/16 210/18 211/11 212/23 213/6 213/25 214/25 $215 / 1215 / 9218 / 12220 / 12$ 220/1 8 220/22 221/2
Averys [2] 88/10 151/12
aware [20] 24/6 25/10 30/15 38/1 66/7 91/11 91/14 93/19 118/22 119/4 119/7 136/13 138/11 146/15 196/14 196/18 214/18 216/8
aware... [2] 216/12 216/17
away[6] 104/22 104/23 117/12 137/10 138/24 142/17
awhile [2] 171/24 205/23

## B

B-I-o-e [1] 63/5
back[51] 14/6 14/12 21/21 32/19 40/8 40/8 43/10 44/10 45/24 49/23 72/12 72/19 73/24 76/24 79/5 90/10 94/5 95/10 97/24 108/25 124/6 127/10 139/23 142/19 147/16 154/9 154/10 156/5 156/7 156/22 157/1 157/2 157/6 157/9 157/10 157/13 186/3 195/13 197/6 203/13 204/4 205/21 206/1 206/4 206/6 206/10 207/7 208/4 210/16 210/19 214/3
bad [1] 176/21
balance [1] 56/12
Barb [2] 39/6 167/21
Barb's [3] 156/10 156/23 157/21
barrels [7] 44/12 44/16 44/19
44/25 45/3 45/7 45/8
bars [1] 85/8
base [1] 57/2
based [7] 31/2 52/20 53/7 53/10 53/11 128/2 147/25
basically [35] 8/3 62/14 62/25 64/5 65/11 65/24 66/4 69/9 72/17 74/17 75/8 75/10 75/11 78/11 79/4 79/24 80/4 81/2 87/15 94/5 99/20 99/25 103/19 103/21 104/23 105/1 105/12 107/13 107/14 115/20 117/8 119/11 119/23 120/10 181/13
bathroom [4] 199/21 199/22
202/15 210/6
battery [1] 157/2
Bay [1] 75/20
be [128] 5/13 6/19 6/25 8/20 8/21 14/12 16/14 19/9 19/19 20/8 22/13 24/7 24/17 25/18 26/5 26/9 26/12 33/19 35/4 36/11 40/19 45/25 46/4 52/4 56/24 58/15 58/19 59/23 61/7 61/7 61/16 77/21 77/22 78/6 80/25 81/9 81/12 82/16 84/16 87/7 92/24 93/4 93/12 94/9 96/1 96/5 96/9 102/8 106/15 109/13 110/4 111/20 111/25 113/23 115/17 124/8 124/20 124/20 128/24 130/10 131/9 131/10 131/21 132/4 133/5 133/23 134/22 136/14 141/10 145/2 145/10 146/9 148/4 148/19 148/25 149/6 150/17 155/15 159/10 161/12 161/13 162/11 163/15 163/17 164/15 165/21 165/23 167/17 170/24 171/6 172/7 173/16 175/10 178/3 178/20 184/1 184/3 185/1 1 186/6 187/22 187/23 188/17 188/18 190/5 190/6 190/21 191/10 193/7 197/6 197/7 200/2 201/19 201/24 203/22 204/23 205/6 206/21 207/16 208/11 208/16 209/10 210/4 211/10 212/15 212/25 213/24 217/3 218/25
became [5] 35/7 91/7 91/11 92/25 189/11
because [29] 8/15 8/15 9/13 9/13

9/17 13/13 23/9 23/22 28/15 45/4 67/22 100/25 107/17 117/17 118/18 136/15 138/15 151/22 153/11 153/21 155/1 157/7 177/2 183/10 189/16 193/21 202/9 203/20 207/23
become [10] 41/19 63/21 63/24
65/22 69/5 93/19 94/1 95/16 101/7 189/9
becoming [1] 65/6
bed [1] 200/22
bedding [2] 200/10 206/7
bedroom [18] 195/13 199/20
199/22 199/23 200/19 200/20 202/7 202/14 202/15 206/8 206/25 207/2 207/7 207/10 209/12 209/16 209/19 209/24
been [83] $4 / 64 / 185 / 119 / 1817 / 11$ 27/1 31/11 32/12 32/20 33/17 $34 / 134 / 434 / 535 / 1036 / 2138 / 5$ 43/7 57/25 61/14 64/1 69/24 76/5 76/17 79/2 82/12 84/20 85/17 85/22 85/22 86/3 86/23 87/11 99/5 99/5 105/17 107/5 111/2 111/15 112/13 118/19 130/7 136/8 136/22 136/23 150/1 $152 / 13159 / 7$ 159/7 160/22 160/22 162/9 163/18 166/6 180/24 186/20 187/8 187/13 187/15 191/4 191/8 192/10 192/14 193/5 193/20 194/3 194/11 195/20 196/24 197/14 197/21 200/14 200/20 201/4 207/10 208/23 209/16 209/19 212/23 214/19 215/25 216/1 220/19 222/8
before [56] 1/10 6/7 14/19 17/6 18/6 18/25 31/8 38/17 39/25 44/15 46/6 49/3 55/8 58/9 58/23 63/8 63/11 67/19 67/21 67/23 78/21 79/1 79/8 84/11 85/16 85/21 86/14 90/5 98/23 99/12 99/16 108/1 $111 / 3111 / 15$ 123/4 130/22 131/11 133/6 133/9 133/10 134/16 136/9 136/19 137/2 139/7 139/12 147/7 147/22 171/15
172/21 174/18 176/4 177/7 178/11 186/15 189/17
began [2] 97/8 189/17
begin [2] 13/24 186/15
behalf [7] 1/13 1/15 1/17 1/19 4/21 4/22 186/12
behind [9] 46/3 58/7 110/3 111/19 136/4 181/20 182/17 183/5 184/17 being [17] $8 / 1725 / 1428 / 131 / 18$ $36 / 138 / 25$ 39/18 40/16 57/24 63/3 119/6 132/9 136/11 171/5 186/11 191/24 216/13
belief [5] 20/4 126/10 126/15 127/1 205/16
believe [98] 5/19 9/11 15/1 26/21
27/20 29/20 29/22 31/9 32/7
$32 / 1035 / 935 / 1036 / 2537 / 10$
38/1 4 39/9 40/1 8 43/8 45/9 48/25 49/5 51/19 55/14 55/24 63/9 63/12 63/25 64/22 66/10 67/15 68/5 68/5 68/21 68/21 69/6 75/25 76/9 81/16 83/13 84/19 87/9 87/23 88/5 90/2 90/6 90/25 91/6 92/15 92/20 92/21 93/5 93/21 98/8 101/12 103/12 108/20 112/16 113/2 113/12 114/25 118/21 122/8 123/7 126/14 139/6 139/23 142/9 146/1 146/1 161/11 189/18 192/9
192/9 192/24 193/17 194/9 194/24

196/2 198/5 198/23 200/12 200/21
203/4 204/12 204/14 205/23
206/16 206/23 207/5 209/6 209/17
210/4 214/7 $218 / 8219 / 22$ 220/5
220/15 221/3
believed [7] 12/13 15/12 23/13
23/22 149/7 192/1 196/23
belongings [4] 67/17 92/17 92/18
92/23
below [2] 114/2 114/7
benefit [1] 13/8
bent [2] 142/5 155/17
best [11] 84/16 98/24 103/11
104/9 106/14 112/21 130/10
130/19 155/15 $159 / 10222 / 13$
better [2] 66/21 155/25
between [21] 21/1 44/22 45/2
46/21 47/23 48/16 49/5 59/14
71/20 79/20 103/10 108/17111/10
121/17 121/24 130/17 143/22
145/18 174/25 212/14 214/23
beyond [8] 27/5 27/7 28/4 28/7 30/20 184/1 184/14 184/21
big [5] 10/3 72/23 73/24 117/2 165/16
bigger [1] 183/23
Bill [1] 214/12
bit [9] 53/15 54/25 112/24 135/12
135/25 138/10 142/23 165/20
201/25
blew [2] 75/12 117/25
block [1] 113/5
blocked [4] 112/17 113/6 114/18 114/19
Bloedorn [5] 63/4 92/5 95/15 101/20 $115 / 5$
Bloedorn's [2] 102/5 148/18
blood [3] 140/5 140/6 210/5
blown [1] 75/13
blue [7] 16/6 16/8 17/11 17/11 31/4 31/22 181/20
bluish [4] 16/2 22/22 23/9 31/4
books [2] 163/11 163/24
borrow [1] 155/3
boss [5] 128/16 128/22 132/3 150/3 150/6
both [6] $21 / 3$ 49/7 54/25 73/11 124/10 195/8
bottom [4] 137/23 138/2 141/6 182/15
bottoms [1] 87/14
boundaries [1] 118/6
box [2] 114/8 $114 / 11$
Boy [1] 204/22
boyfriend [4] 62/16 89/18 89/21 122/22
boyfriend/girlfriend [1] 62/16
BRANCH [2] 1/1 222/5
branches [2] 14/8 139/23
branching [1] 136/14
break [13] 55/4 55/7 58/5 58/24
59/22 90/10 123/20 123/23 124/3
124/5 186/3 189/6 221/19
Breyer [2] 91/2 96/24
Brief [1] 186/8
briefly [2] 19/14 40/24
bright [1] 140/10
bring [3] 47/1751/15 176/22
bringing [1] 53/20
brother [15] 162/17 162/20 162/21
163/22 164/2 164/23 165/12
166/10 167/10 167/12 171/21
172/1 172/22 173/1 175/23
brother-in-law [2] 172/22 173/1 brought [2] 171/25 172/3
brush [2] 17/15 31/6
building[7] 136/16 178/2 178/3
181/10 181/20 183/20 214/10
buildings [21] $7 / 24105 / 25111 / 11$
135/20 136/4 146/23 156/1 156/25
157/15 157/17 157/23 157/25
161/14 183/17 184/8 195/23 214/6
214/9 214/14 214/17 220/1
burden [1] $41 / 13$
burn [8] 44/12 44/16 44/19 44/25
45/2 45/15 45/24 45/25
burning [1] 45/15
business [21] 83/5 110/25 152/14 158/11 158/14 161/13 163/1 163/14 163/19 163/23 164/3 164/4 164/24 165/1 165/13 166/9 166/13 171/6 175/22 178/9 178/23
but [96] 10/16 16/5 19/14 21/17
22/24 23/14 24/25 29/14 32/9 32/22 36/5 40/19 41/8 49/24 53/14 57/13 57/23 57/25 58/7 58/14 66/14 67/5 67/15 67/22 70/25 71/4 71/9 74/3 76/11 76/15 $76 / 2578 / 1380 / 881 / 581 / 681 / 7$ $83 / 1084 / 1287 / 2488 / 188 / 7$ 88/12 89/7 92/20 97/8 99/16 119/25 121/2 122/20 123/3 124/11 124/21 126/24 126/25 127/8 128/17 131/11 132/3 141/7 146/20 147/7 148/2 $152 / 14$ 158/22 164/12 167/13 168/12 169/2 176/11 179/24 180/9 180/21 $183 / 5184 / 6$ 184/8 189/23 190/5 191/10 191/15 191/21 192/7 194/4 194/10 194/13 197/17 197/24 198/2 198/5 201/5 201/24 202/12 210/11 216/12 219/24 220/11 221/8
BUTING [32] 1/18 2/5 2/7 2/9 2/10 2/12 2/15 2/17 2/20 2/23 2/25 4/20 4/20 18/18 24/15 33/12 41/3 88/21 88/24 90/13116/10123/13 124/7 125/3 159/1 175/17 186/11 186/13 186/25 191/11 201/12 219/13
butt [1] 70/11
button [1] 112/3
C
cabinet [2] 210/15 210/16
call [59] 6/12 10/1 11/15 11/19
33/12 33/14 46/17 48/4 51/5
59/21 64/24 65/1 69/9 83/11
83/17 83/20 83/23 83/24 84/1 84/1 84/9 84/14 $115 / 21125 / 18$ 125/23 127/21 128/2 128/9 128/13 129/21 130/14 130/17 131/7 131/11 131/15 131/16 131/18 132/8 132/13 139/19 147/15 149/13 149/24 150/3 151/23 159/5 160/1 160/7 160/13 161/24 162/3 183/21 189/12 192/7 193/17 196/22 196/25 197/2 198/14 called [21] 5/10 6/16 8/7 14/4 15/12 29/21 33/16 36/1 61/13 62/25 67/12 127/6 128/4 129/4 133/3 149/15 152/23 162/8 186/11 186/19 192/1
calling [8] $57 / 1165 / 1167 / 14$ 67/14 72/16 133/5 160/4 160/10
calls [23] $4 / 147 / 1248 / 1148 / 12$
49/5 53/1 53/2 53/10 53/11 54/11 54/24 55/1 59/2 59/13 72/13 126/7 127/13 127/16 129/9 130/20 131/1 131/3 131/6
Calumet [49] 4/15 14/20 35/15
35/22 36/1 36/7 37/10 51/6 55/14 61/22 62/6 83/14 96/10 98/7
117/10 122/9 139/13 142/24 143/1 143/3 145/13 145/19 146/6 147/5 156/21 189/13 192/7 192/23 193/3 193/14 193/17 193/25 198/11 199/4 203/18 204/18 207/22
211/19211/21 213/9 214/13
214/20 215/22 216/8 217/8 217/14 217/23 219/4 221/6
came [29] 27/17 28/14 35/19 43/3 46/9 72/19 79/24 92/8 102/2
107/2 121/17 122/1 143/10 143/10 144/14 157/1 157/13 166/11 170/15 171/2 171/11 174/13 176/1 176/7 176/15 177/7 179/19 179/25 180/12
can [43] 19/24 20/8 24/17 40/24
41/15 41/17 42/17 45/21 50/22 53/13 55/8 56/11 56/14 56/18 58/21 61/4 101/22 111/22 113/10 124/14 130/2 136/10 138/9 150/14 151/3 151/12 159/4 160/16 162/4
169/20 179/7 180/19 181/16
184/22 186/3 187/2 187/3 187/5
188/14 189/6 199/16 204/19 213/19
can't [5] $21 / 421 / 5$ 23/17 58/2 72/3 cannot [1] 25/5
car [29] 68/24 74/11 75/8 80/6 84/14 105/18 121/5 121/6 131/20 132/10 134/4 138/17 140/13 142/19 143/15 143/23 152/1 154/6 157/2 157/3 157/3 157/4 157/8 157/11 157/12 166/20 177/15 204/22 204/23
card [8] 82/18 82/21 83/6 99/12 99/23 100/4 100/5 108/7
cards [1] $82 / 15$
career [2] 188/20 188/21
careful [1] 170/24
carefully [1] 222/8
cars[15] 27/24 78/9 84/23 85/1 $153 / 16161 / 13164 / 5$ 164/6 164/6 164/11 164/12 165/7 176/15 178/22 179/4
cart [1] 40/14
case [15] 1/5 4/2 5/21 41/8 53/1 53/2 53/22 108/2 127/13 127/15 128/8 150/9 171/11 203/19 218/17 cash [1] 176/24
CASO [1] 51/6
cassettes [1] 56/21
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { cause [10] } & 12 / 14 & 18 / 15 & 19 / 25 & 20 / 4\end{array}$
30/16 31/10 31/25 32/7 32/15 32/21
caused [1] 218/21
CD [3] 125/15 129/9 130/3
CD's [2] 123/18 125/11
cell [8] 84/7 108/6 108/7 130/15
130/18 130/21 131/19 132/8
center [1] 112/1
central [1] 56/20
centralized [1] 56/25
certain [8] 23/6 77/11 77/15 117/3 120/2 126/7 146/13 147/14 certainly [3] $7 / 23$ 105/9 190/19
certify [1] 222/6
CF [2] $1 / 54 / 3$
chains [1] 152/9
chalkboard [1] 110/4
challenge [2] 42/8 42/9
chance [1] 129/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { change [7] } & 54 / 12 & 75 / 5 & 128 / 14\end{array}$
145/10 147/16 147/16 150/1
changed [2] 75/7 152/3
changes [2] 147/14 147/23
characterization [1] 138/5
characters [8] 16/15 16/17 16/19
17/19 30/1 31/9 154/19 154/24
charge [6] 133/24 158/10 208/20
216/9 216/19219/4
Charles [2] 158/6 162/24
chased [1] 178/18
check[9] 28/17 36/14 81/13 115/8
142/12 166/21 166/25 214/13
214/17
checking [1] 153/6
checkpoint [11] 88/12 88/13 89/12
$110 / 10110 / 12112 / 10112 / 12$
112/16 112/18 113/2 114/16
checkpoints [1] 87/4
Chevrolet [1] 167/2
Chevy [2] 179/2 179/8
choice [1] 85/12
chronological [2] 50/9 120/16
Chuck [13] 162/23 163/22 164/2
164/17 164/18 165/14 165/15
166/10 167/10 171/21 172/1
175/23 176/15
Chuck's [6] 157/24 183/9 183/10
183/13 183/24 184/18
circle [3] 73/24 112/24 183/24
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/10 222/5
circumstances [1] 24/21
cities [2] 74/1 74/4
citizens [2] 196/9 196/16
city [1] 74/22
clarification [1] 73/5
clarify [6] 60/4 88/23 138/9 149/21
159/24 159/25
clarifying [1] 45/20
cleaner [1] 206/7
clear [22] 28/8 41/5 41/19 76/2
87/23 89/10 91/7 91/21 99/3
102/1 107/3 110/6 113/15 114/22
115/4 120/15 148/23 152/13 159/4 163/5 214/23 217/18
clerk[5] 5/8 109/12 109/25 160/21 162/6
client [1] 189/19
close [10] $15 / 21 \quad 15 / 23 \quad 52 / 1652 / 20$
62/13 116/21 116/24 118/16 139/9
187/2
closed [2] 21/15 52/11
closer [4] 112/14 117/6 117/15
117/16
closest [1] 110/20
closet [1] 200/21
closets [1] 42/1
clue [1] 86/7
cluster [2] 136/4 157/14
clustered [2] 143/20 144/2
co [1] 164/24
co-owner [1] 164/24
Colborn [18] 36/22 192/4 192/16
193/8 193/21 198/25 201/8 202/5 204/1 204/16 206/15 207/20
208/18 208/21 210/22 211/12
211/20213/12

Colburn [1] 39/25
collaborated [1] 64/10
collect [1] 206/6
collected [2] 102/8 219/8
collecting [3] 56/22 67/17 115/6
collectively [1] 145/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { color [9] } & 14 / 5 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 2 & 16 / 4\end{array}$
21/22 22/19 22/22 23/8 23/19
come [46] 9/6 28/12 35/11 35/12
35/16 39/3 40/12 65/12 90/10
97/24 101/7 101/11 102/14 103/22
104/3 129/1 131/22 131/25 132/24
134/21 136/15 144/5 164/9 164/10
166/19 166/21 167/1 168/2 168/3
168/8 168/10 168/12 169/5 169/10
173/1 178/10 178/16 178/25
180/23 $181 / 4$ 183/1 $184 / 4186 / 3$
192/12 197/5 199/23
comes [2] 54/23 106/18
comfortable [1] 147/18
comforted [1] 142/23
coming [11] 19/9 52/4 107/1 107/2
153/3 153/4 153/7 153/17 197/6 197/7 218/20
commander [3] 8/9 8/11 $128 / 5$
Commander's [1] 128/4
commence [1] 7/21
commercial [1] 152/14
common [1] 120/8
commonly [1] 16/15
communication [3] 14/23 15/5
61/1
communications [1] 57/16
community [1] 95/23
compiling [1] 58/17
Complaint [2] 191/25 193/4
complete [7] 14/16 40/22 52/17
55/9 58/10 89/3 212/15
completed [4] 5/1 201/22 201/23
218/11
completely [1] 19/20
completing [1] 210/17
compound [4] 38/4 176/2 $219 / 19$
219/20
compromise [2] 70/16 70/21
computer [5] 57/6 208/2 208/5
210/3 222/10
computer-assisted [1] 222/10
computerized [1] 222/9
concern [2] 8/20 70/22
concerned [4] 22/19 23/8 32/25
49/3
concerns [3] 8/16 70/15 70/18
concluded [3] 22/1 22/2 221/21
conclusory [1] 202/23
condition [2] 142/6 170/23
conduct [2] 100/12 215/21
conducted [1] 220/17
conducting [4] 105/5 145/3 $145 / 7$ 216/23
conduit [1] 8/4
conferred [1] 97/10
confirm [1] 140/19
confirmed [5] 16/25 18/1 49/17 141/13 141/15
connect [1] 186/1
connected [3] 44/20 45/25 66/12
connection [2] 44/22 45/2
consensual [1] 209/20
consent [17] 9/12 9/24 24/7 26/5
40/4 44/14 54/19 129/3 131/13

137/1 144/5 144/25 145/2 145/7
150/15 151/6 214/24
consented [1] 28/1
considered [4] 70/25 77/21 77/23 184/22
consisting [1] 200/20
conspicuous [2] 78/10 121/8
constantly [1] 153/17
contact [34] $11 / 1039 / 439 / 739 / 10$ 39/24 44/17 59/23 61/4 68/3 69/7 72/2 82/9 96/21 98/10 98/14
100/19 100/22 100/25 101/3
131/24 132/3 132/14 134/25
142/2 1 144/8 $144 / 25$ 145/1 $147 / 5$
148/16 149/3 149/5 149/9 151/12
158/4
contacted [4] $8 / 98 / 2572 / 15192 / 4$
contacts [5] 36/8 37/11 57/19
60/12 93/8
contained [3] 64/17 118/7 125/15
containing [2] 114/8 114/12
contains [1] 130/3
continuation [1] 4/4
continue [4] 58/2 113/20 125/3 218/22
continued [9] 2/10 125/5 135/18
135/21 135/23 152/9 156/11
175/10 212/16
Continues [1] 135/21
contribute [1] 155/19
conversation [20] 11/23 46/23
48/23 49/20 52/23 53/5 53/6
$53 / 1354 / 1054 / 2159 / 20$ 98/13
98/17 98/23 120/17 126/14 127/8
130/6 175/8 191/10
conversations [20] 47/22 47/23
48/11 48/16 49/2 49/4 52/22 56/8
59/9 60/7 60/23 71/19 96/25
100/6 125/12 130/4 148/24 149/22
196/8 196/15
conveyed [1] 71/12
conviction [1] 176/1
cooperate [2] 40/6 191/3
cooperation [1] 195/25
cooperative [3] 158/21 158/23 195/4
coordinate [6] 7/13 11/5 19/11 52/5 53/22 94/2
coordinating [5] 47/5 51/10 81/7 97/21 115/6
coordination [1] 94/15
coordinator [3] 65/22 69/5 95/17
copies [2] 59/24 129/11
cops [1] 67/7
 129/5
corner [11] 110/21 110/22 111/8
135/13 138/3 143/21 157/15 178/1 182/24 183/6 210/16
correct [191] 5/3 5/4 6/10 6/11
6/23 7/8 8/5 8/13 8/14 9/1 9/10
$9 / 15$ 9/20 10/8 10/22 10/24 10/25
11/12 11/16 12/15 12/16 13/5
13/6 13/10 13/20 14/21 16/9
16/15 17/8 19/7 19/12 19/22 20/5
20/9 20/10 22/9 22/10 22/12
22/15 23/4 23/5 23/25 24/4 26/4
26/17 26/21 26/22 26/24 26/25
28/14 28/22 30/17 33/2 33/24
35/24 36/20 37/16 37/25 38/4
38/11 40/20 43/4 43/15 43/18
$45 / 1346 / 11$ 48/6 49/9 49/13 50/3
50/15 51/7 52/7 52/9 53/25 57/8

60/20 62/23 65/18 75/3 77/19
$80 / 785 / 2586 / 187 / 2189 / 13$
94/24 94/25 95/24 96/13 97/23
98/7 98/1 1 98/15 100/8 100/9
100/16 103/8 107/10 107/24
108/13 110/13 110/22 110/25
111/1111/3111/16111/17115/18
115/19 125/14 125/17 126/4
126/22 127/4 128/11 129/23
130/16 133/19 136/6 137/4 137/9
142/2 148/19 149/3 150/1 150/12
150/15 150/22 151/7 151/17
151/18 152/2 154/2 156/15 156/17 158/8 158/12 176/12 183/9 186/12 188/4 189/8 189/20 191/6 191/7 191/14 193/23 194/16 195/9 199/11 202/17 204/9 205/18 205/18 205/19 206/25 207/1 208/7 208/22 209/1 209/5 209/14 209/22 210/12 210/22 211/7 211/8 212/24 213/8 214/1 214/2 215/24216/10 216/11 216/16 217/2 217/9 217/10 217/15 217/25 218/4 218/5 219/7 219/9 219/10 220/4 220/14 220/18 221/2 222/12
corrections [1] 147/21
correlation [1] 147/24
could [62] 28/19 33/1 35/7 40/1
58/6 58/19 59/21 66/21 67/3
72/16 77/3 79/25 82/8 83/20 93/24 94/7 99/5 99/5 106/15 111/4 112/23 113/24 117/14 118/1 123/21 124/9 129/2 129/3 129/5 129/6 129/24 $135 / 3$ 135/5 137/18
140/8 140/18 141/1 141/23 146/9
146/20 159/5 159/11 159/12
159/24 166/21 166/23 168/14
168/21 168/23 169/16 177/21
178/24 183/10 $183 / 19$ 183/25
184/18 185/15 185/18 194/11 202/19 220/14 220/17
couldn't [10] 28/21 59/18 121/18
141/17 141/20 142/9 154/25
163/20 172/14 211/3
counsel [13] 5/23 30/10 42/6 55/12 112/9 129/15 189/19 191/5 191/5 191/6 212/9 213/19 218/10
counties [2] 78/2 117/10
country [2] 76/25 117/18
countryside [3] 69/2 70/20 74/15
county [65] 1/1 4/11 4/15 6/19
$8 / 1014 / 2034 / 834 / 1335 / 15$
35/23 36/2 36/2 36/7 36/9 37/10
47/6 50/6 51/3 51/12 55/15 61/23 62/1 62/5 67/22 76/6 83/14 87/13 96/10 97/17 97/17 98/7 106/6 142/24 143/1 143/3 145/14 145/19 156/21 158/8 165/21 165/25
189/13 192/23 193/14 193/17
193/25 198/11 199/5 203/18
204/18 207/22 211/19 211/21
213/9 214/13 214/20 215/22 216/9 217/14 217/23 219/4 220/16 221/6 221/9 222/2
County's [2] 147/5 193/2
couple [14] 30/7 48/16 55/1
113/10 163/20 166/6 174/3 177/8
186/3 188/17 188/19 205/25
214/17 219/14
coupled [1] 32/18
course [4] 99/8 103/13 105/21
156/16
court [22] 1/1 1/10 1/25 4/1 5/8
court... [17] 12/4 18/15 25/2 28/6 39/10 59/18 62/18 109/9 159/12
160/3 190/20 191/2 211/3 212/19 222/4 222/5 222/19
courtroom [1] 186/10
cover [3] 97/25 104/2 117/20
coverage [2] 84/7 86/10
covered [7] 14/7 14/8 17/15 76/24 80/4 87/16 117/8
covering [2] 86/9 139/24
crashed [1] 164/12
create [1] 104/7
creation [1] 94/21
Crime [1] 210/6
Criminal [1] 216/14
cross [16] $2 / 4$ 2/11 $2 / 16$ 2/20 2/24
5/2 6/5 20/15 27/6 55/9 90/20 124/13 148/11 175/19 190/21 215/17
cross-examination [14] 2/4 2/11
2/16 2/20 2/24 6/5 20/15 27/6
55/9 90/20 124/13 148/11 175/19 215/17
cross-examine [1] 5/2
cross-examined [1] 190/21
crushed [2] 164/11 164/12
crusher [1] 143/23
crushing [1] 176/18
crying [1] 142/23
custody [1] 87/2
custom [2] 177/20 178/13
customer [1] 164/8
customers [7] 161/4 161/12
179/15 181/6 181/8 182/20 183/1 cut [2] 54/4 84/11
D
dad [9] 163/7 163/15 163/17
163/23 164/18 165/17 165/18 165/19 167/10
dark[5] 16/8 17/11 31/4 31/22
198/1
darker [1] 135/25
dashboard [1] 142/1
data [1] 57/2
date [5] 1/9 45/18 55/2 208/14 214/17
dated [4] 62/13 109/11 123/2 222/15
dates [1] 86/22
daughter [4] 97/7 106/12 107/22 154/4
Dave [1] 33/21
DAVID [2] 2/8 33/16
dawned [1] 55/16
day [58] 6/15 7/6 $11 / 1$ 11/3 11/9
$37 / 244 / 1446 / 1248 / 150 / 2356 / 6$
63/1 1 68/8 70/1 70/1 71/16 74/24
75/24 78/21 80/1 85/4 87/18
88/16 90/5 92/11 92/19 95/22
98/2 98/9 98/18 98/19 100/7
102/21 102/23 106/23 107/1
118/19 119/2 123/4 124/22 152/17
166/9 166/9 175/22 175/22 193/15
194/13 196/12 196/20 207/13
207/16 208/16 210/17 211/9
213/11 220/8 220/21 222/15
day-to-day [2] 166/9 175/22
daylight [1] 140/10
days[14] 32/13 32/18 70/4 72/1
73/11 87/24 92/22 93/9 101/1

138/15 172/20 172/20 172/21
173/20
DCI [3] 145/14 220/13 221/6
dead [3] 112/1 157/2 157/11
deal [2] 60/19 117/11
dealer [1] 18/8
dealings [1] 67/24
DEAN [2] $1 / 164 / 22$
debriefing [1] 174/16
decided [3] 75/8 170/16 203/18
deciding [1] 109/1
decision [11] 20/8 75/9 95/22
101/22 101/24 101/25 103/15
145/13 145/15 145/17 146/4
decisions [3] 164/1 $165 / 16$ 165/16
Dedering [5] 35/24 139/14 143/4
143/7 192/22
deeper [1] 113/23
defendant [8] 1/7 1/17 1/19 1/21
4/10 31/17 186/12 189/18
defense [11] 4/5 4/7 4/8 5/1 13/9 13/12 24/25 57/12 61/1 123/15 161/20
definitely [2] 35/6 77/24
deliberately [1] 18/10
Delores [3] 39/9 158/7 163/8
demeanor [1] 190/6
department [56] 4/11 4/17 8/10
10/11 $11 / 15$ 14/20 $15 / 4$ 17/22
18/23 19/10 34/8 34/13 35/13
35/14 35/23 36/5 36/19 47/20
48/20 48/22 49/16 50/6 51/3 51/6
53/21 57/3 58/4 58/17 58/19
59/24 60/14 60/15 60/22 61/5
64/25 66/12 98/7 121/3 130/5
131/17 131/22 132/1 134/21
145/14 187/20 188/6 189/14
196/15 198/10 199/10 215/23
216/9 216/14 217/5 217/20 217/23
Department's [1] 133/24
departments [1] 221/8
depend [1] 25/12
depended [2] 118/1 202/11
depending [1] 124/22
depends [2] 16/3 34/18
depicted [5] 111/23 112/5 117/21
153/14 163/1
depression [3] 138/12 138/14 138/20
deputies [1] 217/9
deputy [12] 134/1 145/18 188/3
204/18 206/2 206/16 206/17 207/5
208/19 210/23 211/21 219/5
describe [5] 15/15 16/1 40/24
155/11 199/16
described [2] 159/7 181/2
describing [1] 64/21
description [10] 13/18 15/6 17/24
21/14 21/19 22/20 28/10 146/19
156/23 157/18
descriptions [6] 146/12 156/1
156/20 157/10 157/13 157/22
detached [3] 43/11 45/15 204/6
detailed [1] 15/6
details [2] 7/23 210/9
detective[76] 2/3 2/8 5/10 6/7
$8 / 259 / 22 \quad 10 / 1410 / 2011 / 1312 / 8$
12/25 $13 / 25$ 14/15 $14 / 1814 / 24$
16/23 16/24 17/20 18/10 18/21
18/22 20/23 21/1 24/3 24/6 25/17
26/19 27/11 28/1 28/23 29/22
$33 / 1433 / 1633 / 2434 / 434 / 12$
$42 / 17$ 46/9 51/1 55/9 56/4 57/17
$57 / 18$ 58/10 59/1 59/23 67/16
71/22 100/19 120/17 120/25
123/14 124/8 124/10 125/7 148/13
148/15 160/4 187/13 192/1 192/10 192/22 192/24 193/8 194/3 194/6
194/24 195/8 195/11 195/16
196/25 199/4 202/8 204/2 204/17
209/20
detectives [2] 35/14 71/25
determination [3] 18/14 22/6 140/17
determine [1] 139/16
determined [2] 145/9 217/22
device [1] 130/3
diagram [2] 135/9 181/23
Diane [3] 1/24 222/4 222/19
dictate [1] 50/11
dictated [1] 50/22
did [368]
didn't [58] 7/23 32/11 38/9 43/5
43/23 43/25 44/1 67/7 67/24
70/1 1 74/25 76/22 79/6 81/5
81/20 81/23 82/5 86/5 93/1 99/16
99/20 105/9 105/22 $117 / 18$ 120/9
122/24 134/14 137/5 139/21 140/7
142/13 147/9 147/20 158/21
163/16 169/2 170/25 171/1 172/12
172/13 175/11 175/13 177/2 180/8
180/10 182/7 182/8 194/17 196/1
197/20 197/24 197/25 198/2 198/7
198/16 205/21 210/11 215/7
die [1] 157/8
difference [1] 23/18
different [13] 14/3 16/5 45/19
48/10 54/16 74/13 177/8 177/8
202/6 202/6 213/5 214/9 215/2
differs [1] 16/4
difficult [1] 100/24
difficulty [1] 155/20
direct [32] $2 / 9$ 2/10 2/15 2/19 2/23
5/1 7/16 11/3 12/20 33/22 61/20
82/16 83/16 83/19 84/4 90/14
91/22 99/10 100/3 100/7 109/10
109/18 $110 / 2113 / 7125 / 5$ 147/24
162/15 168/1 179/8 187/6 191/22
218/22
directed [2] 38/10 $115 / 17$
directing [4] 20/17 97/21 111/18 177/5
direction [7] 94/20 115/23 116/1
137/17 170/16 182/8 216/25
directions [2] 170/22 214/5
directly [6] 24/17 57/16 94/1
122/14 132/20 148/3
director [1] 95/16
dirt [5] 38/16 $136 / 2$ 181/1 $182 / 4$ 182/12
disappearance [1] 96/3
disappeared [2] 90/5 123/4
disassemble [2] 165/7 176/17
disassembled [1] 164/5
disburse [1] 97/24
disbursed [1] 96/6
disbursing [1] 98/2
discover [1] 62/20
discovered [2] 18/25 105/19
discretion [1] 105/4
discuss [6] 10/15 80/23 95/15 132/1 190/10 190/15
discussed [6] 19/13 29/21 79/23
81/2 189/19 189/22
discussing [2] 23/10 130/7
discussion [18] 11/13 13/23 15/15
discussion... [15] 15/25 18/7 44/3 46/24 75/1 81/14 94/14 94/14
99/9 100/10 108/10 113/25 126/23 127/8 189/18
discussions [9] 9/22 59/4 99/17 119/8 126/21 131/12 145/16 145/21 151/19
disengaged [1] 140/14 dispatch [5] 60/12 83/14 98/6 125/16 132/13
displeasure [1] 158/19
disputed [1] 42/22
distribute [1] 64/15
District [4] 4/15 145/25 146/2 147/6
ditch [1] 78/10
ditches [2] 6/20 53/3
divided [1] 77/11
dividing [2] 76/19 97/16
Division [1] 216/14
divvied [1] 73/1
divvying [1] 109/1
do [139] 6/17 13/2 34/17 35/1 $37 / 637 / 6$ 37/12 37/22 39/18 40/2 41/13 42/8 42/14 44/1 46/6 46/15 $46 / 2047 / 548 / 1251 / 1151 / 17$ 51/21 54/16 56/13 57/13 59/3 61/22 61/25 62/9 66/2 66/9 66/18 68/20 68/24 70/9 72/16 73/20 74/10 75/8 79/25 80/12 81/4 81/15 82/6 84/25 89/12 92/11 92/13 94/7 96/14 96/18 96/23 97/19 101/8 101/15 103/18 109/19 110/11 112/11 112/15 114/5 114/20 $119 / 23$ 120/2 120/2 120/13 120/21 121/5 121/9 122/10 122/17 123/17 124/7 124/9 126/25 128/25 129/9 131/2 133/1 133/6 140/9 140/24 151/8 151/11 159/11 160/25 162/19 162/19 162/21 164/4 168/25 170/24 171/12 171/21 172/25 176/11 177/2 178/12 179/11 179/11182/14 183/18 185/23 186/16 189/23 190/1 190/3 190/7 190/8 190/14 190/19 191/23 194/2 194/8 197/11 197/14 199/12 200/11 203/10 204/5 204/10 204/24 206/12 207/12 207/24 208/12 208/17 209/2 211/14 211/16 211/16 213/18214/11217/21219/18 219/24 220/25 221/4 222/6 documented [1] 145/12
does [14] 5/17 5/18 12/3 13/3 13/6 51/12 52/2 55/18 57/11 132/7 148/13 161/20 161/23 191/1 doesn't [3] 54/4 $135 / 15159 / 13$ doing [28] 32/25 52/25 53/4 56/23 67/4 68/13 75/1 80/1 87/6 98/14 99/9 99/18 105/11 $112 / 11115 / 9$ 119/11 122/3 127/11 127/14 127/15 127/17 127/18 128/6 181/17 189/12 203/16 216/24 220/10
don't [128] 5/18 6/15 22/23 24/19 27/13 27/14 27/24 34/19 42/21 42/23 43/20 44/18 55/11 55/23 61/3 61/24 65/13 67/22 69/6 69/13 69/16 69/18 69/22 69/23 70/5 71/22 71/24 75/25 76/10 76/13 79/4 79/18 80/6 80/11
$80 / 15$ 80/15 81/1 81/1 81/16
$83 / 1084 / 2286 / 886 / 1186 / 14$ $86 / 16$ 87/20 87/25 88/9 88/16 88/17 88/17 88/18 89/20 90/2 91/6 99/6 99/22 101/12 101/25 114/6 119/12 $119 / 17119 / 21$ 119/21 120/20 120/25 122/11 122/20 122/23 123/19 124/21 127/24 128/17 130/25 139/9 141/6 145/16 146/7 147/10 147/18 147/25 150/5 153/23 156/24
157/21 157/22 157/23 157/25
157/25 159/15 159/25 160/2
161/11 161/14 168/12 171/1 175/3
175/4 175/12 177/1 177/14 178/15
179/24 184/12 185/9 188/21
190/23 192/6 192/9 194/3 194/9
194/10 196/2 197/16 197/16 198/5 198/5 198/5 204/14 205/1 205/23
206/13 207/4 208/15 211/13 214/7 214/7 221/3
done [3] 50/20 89/7 220/14
door [9] 38/23 43/14 43/16 43/17
57/7 104/24 199/24 211/22 212/5
doors [4] 42/1 43/16 43/19 142/12
dot [1] 137/12
doubt [2] 30/20 41/23
down [58] 29/5 38/16 38/21 39/8
59/16 59/19 74/4 79/3 111/9
135/16 135/21 135/23 137/8
143/10 143/10 143/15 144/10
144/23 146/11 149/11 152/9
152/11 153/16 153/21 156/9
156/11 156/20 157/2 157/5 161/8
165/8 167/23 169/1 169/17 169/19
170/19 170/19 171/18 172/12
172/14 172/16 173/9 174/6 175/5
178/18 180/4 181/16 181/25 182/1
182/2 182/15 183/6 184/4 184/23
197/21 197/23 199/19 199/20
dozen [2] 188/18188/19
drafting [1] 147/2
Drain [1] 165/11
draw [1] 113/11
drawing [1] 102/19
dresser [1] 200/21
drive [10] $40 / 8$ 57/2 63/17 69/1 140/14 146/11 146/20 156/11 174/7 177/15
driver's [2] 139/25 142/1
driveway [8] 45/11 65/14 103/18
156/12 156/13 182/13 183/9 183/14
driveways [1] 146/11
driving [9] 39/8 44/7 70/3 73/2 74/15 78/7 121/7 156/16 180/4 drove [14] 38/15 40/13 144/10 156/4 $156 / 5$ 156/22 157/1 157/5 157/12 174/6 177/17 180/17 180/19 180/21
duly [5] 5/11 33/17 61/14 162/9 186/20
during [28] 20/14 32/24 52/22 93/7 98/9 98/17 98/22 99/8 101/1 103/13 105/21 112/9 115/4 126/1 132/14 133/22 145/16 146/23 158/15 173/1 175/8 176/10 200/7 201/9 202/13 205/7 215/8 220/11 duty [3] 196/20 197/1 197/4 E
each [4] 88/14 105/3 217/11 218/11

EARL[17] 2/18 26/25 27/10 27/13 27/17 27/20 131/9 144/10 145/2 145/6 145/6 153/20 $158 / 4158 / 21$ 162/3 162/8 162/13
earlier [5] 19/15 32/13 122/23 173/20 198/14
early [2] 8/18 123/20
easiest [1] 159/14
east [1] 156/16
easy [1] 159/19
edge [3] 113/16 178/2 182/3
Education [1] 90/24
effect [1] 71/12
effort [7] 20/25 94/3 94/16 94/16 94/22 95/17 108/11
efforts [11] $11 / 5$ 19/11 47/5 51/10
52/5 53/22 91/8 95/22 116/3
148/19149/1
Eight [3] 166/15 166/17 166/18 either [20] $8 / 1758 / 258 / 2167 / 19$ 69/16 71/23 81/6 94/1 100/2 109/4 $110 / 17113 / 8$ 139/8 141/21 148/14 154/15 156/20 164/15 176/18 217/22
else [25] 20/22 29/9 33/9 42/11 44/23 46/6 53/16 70/5 79/21 84/20 84/20 98/1 106/20 107/5 108/23 123/10 133/25 142/20 161/19 165/9 172/1 175/14 199/2 202/5 219/13
else's [4] 157/3 157/4 157/12 161/8
employed [2] 34/7 34/10
employee [2] 165/1 176/11
enclosed [1] 88/2
end [9] 38/17 40/9 93/1 104/14 147/19 156/12 167/23 194/25 209/23
ended [1] 60/16
ends [4] 143/22 182/4 182/23 182/24
enforcement [22] 34/2 68/4 69/20
70/7 82/9 87/2 91/5 93/8 94/21 95/8 97/18 101/3 102/7 102/10 103/6 109/4 $112 / 10116 / 2144 / 1$ 144/23 153/8 175/9
enforcement's [1] 108/10
engaged [1] 219/2
engaging [1] 97/4
enough [9] 31/11 32/15 32/20 111/13114/7 140/10 149/5 187/2 191/16
enter [2] 38/25 195/6
entered [15] 143/9 199/3 205/3
206/14 206/18 208/8 209/3 209/8
210/24 212/2 213/6 213/11 217/12 220/12 221/2
entire [4] 14/16 29/1 106/23 205/8
entirely [3] 101/24 101/25 107/15
entity [1] 91/5
entrance [2] 156/8 157/16
entry [4] 136/2 152/7 212/11
212/22
establish [3] 41/14 42/15 42/20
established [2] 12/13 42/22
estimate [3] 104/9 106/14 204/19
etcetera [2] 6/20 106/7
even [16] 18/6 28/15 31/8 40/16
55/5 55/6 88/16 92/12 98/23
99/22 105/10 106/15 130/22 172/10 184/8 200/22
evening [17] 73/12 74/13 74/18 91/19 92/9 92/13 94/10 94/13
evening... [9] 94/22 102/12 102/15 102/15 197/13 198/19 202/18 203/3 203/14
event [6] 43/2 53/9 118/7 137/5 147/20 198/7
events [3] 7/9 42/13 50/20
eventually [6] 41/5 41/7 132/25
138/14 139/2 147/17
ever [23] 10/20 26/14 38/5 63/15 63/17 63/19 67/23 85/17 86/17
119/12 119/15 119/18 134/16 134/1 8 136/7 136/10 136/10 136/19 136/23 158/17 158/19 195/20 196/8
every [3] 68/8 75/17 75/18
everybody [23] 58/16 70/3 72/18
72/21 72/24 72/25 73/2 73/23
74/21 74/22 75/7 75/13 78/8
79/21 90/2 101/16 102/13 104/12 104/17 106/22 108/23 117/7 118/15
everyone [2] 60/2 106/20
everything [5] 117/8 147/13 165/8 202/19 203/4
everywhere [1] 42/11
evidence [14] 6/20 42/6 44/5 71/4 116/7 120/6 120/10 130/10 159/3 159/10 159/18 159/22 218/14 219/9
evidentiary [4] 202/20 203/5
205/13 205/17
evidently [1] 126/6
ex[1] 89/21
ex-boyfriend [1] 89/21
exact [6] $21 / 11$ 47/7 55/2 128/17 159/6 197/12
exactly [8] 22/23 44/17 60/4 99/3 101/13 126/23 130/13 185/25
exam [1] 5/1
examination [44] $2 / 42 / 5$ 2/6 $2 / 7$
2/9 2/10 2/11 2/12 2/15 2/16 2/17 2/19 2/20 2/23 2/24 2/25 6/5
18/19 20/15 27/6 30/8 32/4 33/22 55/9 58/10 58/13 61/20 90/15 90/20 91/22 109/11 110/7 113/7 116/12 124/13 125/5 148/11 161/2 162/15 175/19 187/6 191/19 215/17219/15
examine [3] 5/2 12/19 15/18 examined [7] 5/12 33/1861/15 154/23 162/9 186/21 190/21
example [1] 14/8
exception [1] 136/25
exchange [1] 99/24
excuse [4] 61/3 73/4 82/4 189/15
excused [6] $33 / 1061 / 8123 / 12$
161/20 185/5 221/15
execute [1] 199/7
executed [2] 188/15 201/22
executing[2] 188/12 189/2
execution [4] 4/8 188/16 201/16
215/4
exhibit [46] $12 / 4$ 12/19 13/1 $13 / 3$
17/7 20/11 20/13 20/17 109/9 109/10 109/13 109/16 109/19 110/1 110/4 110/5 110/15 110/18 110/21 111/19111/22 112/6 112/11116/18117/21118/11 121/13 129/7 129/25 130/1 135/4 135/14 136/3 137/22 137/23 143/21 153/14 156/14 159/3

160/19 160/20 163/2 177/21 178/1
178/20 182/14
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Exhibit } 15 \text { [6] } & 12 / 4 \text { 12/19 } & 13 / 1\end{array}$ 17/7 20/13 20/17
Exhibit 18 [5] 109/19 110/18
153/14 163/2 177/21
Exhibit 19[5] 111/19111/22
116/18 117/21 121/13
exhibits [4] 3/1 $116 / 7$ 116/9
160/21
exists [1] 20/5
exited [5] 208/9 209/3 209/8
210/25 211/5
expedite [1] 59/24
experience [11] 25/4 30/10 30/14
$34 / 1234 / 1434 / 2566 / 2380 / 13$
97/3 97/6 188/11
explain [2] 39/18 67/3
explained [2] 39/24 79/25
explains [1] 141/13
express [1] 170/22
expressed [1] 23/19
expressing [2] 168/4 169/5
extend [1] 152/10
extends [1] 135/11
extent [3] 115/23 116/1 200/23
extremely [1] 57/14
F
Fabian [3] 173/4 173/6 173/7
facets [1] 23/10
facilitate [2] 212/10 212/16
fact [53] $6 / 12$ 10/19 15/11 17/2
18/6 21/17 23/2 27/16 30/1 31/14
$31 / 1531 / 1731 / 2031 / 2232 / 11$
$32 / 1732 / 1835 / 236 / 1037 / 2$ 41/20 42/9 85/10 91/11 91/14 92/25 99/22 105/15 110/20 112/5 150/13 152/19 152/22 153/4
153/18 154/22 157/2 170/11
176/14 177/20 191/9 197/8 202/25
206/22 206/25 214/5 216/8 216/12
216/17 217/11 218/1 220/20 221/1
factory [1] 142/6
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { facts } & {[6]} & 12 / 13 & 18 / 11 & 18 / 14 & 20 / 7\end{array}$ 22/7 212/16
factual [1] 201/25
fair [16] 8/2 92/24 94/9 114/7
133/5 138/22 148/4 149/5 165/21
165/23 171/6 178/5 178/6 178/20
200/2 218/25
fairly [1] 173/13
fall [2] 173/19 176/7
FALLON [19] 1/14 2/4 2/6 2/11
2/16 2/19 2/24 4/17 6/2 25/1
42/16 55/10 89/1 90/17 90/18
148/9 185/1 215/15 215/19
familiar [4] 19/13 51/12 63/13
111/23
family [22] $9 / 13$ 52/25 53/3 59/10
64/2 64/10 64/11 67/18 72/14
72/14 91/15 91/24 92/7 92/16
93/22 127/11 127/14 134/25 158/6
158/7 167/6 185/18
far [15] 9/17 17/15 58/7 91/4
110/21 117/12 124/19 136/3
137/10 138/1 169/1 173/20 190/25
203/25 $218 / 19$
farm [1] 88/11
farms [1] 106/7
farther [2] 116/24 199/21
farthest [1] 178/2
Fassbender [4] 5/21 216/18 217/1

218/21
fast [1] 83/15
faster [1] 83/17
February [1] 62/1
feel [1] 66/18
feet [3] 27/23 138/24 204/21
felt [1] 147/18
female [2] 36/6 39/23
females [1] 149/11
few [8] 26/21 30/13 56/24 103/1
110/11 153/20 155/3 201/5
field [5] 88/3 88/11 113/17 121/23 122/13
fields [4] 87/11 87/14 88/6 106/7
figure [1] 160/16
figured [3] 76/14 101/17 101/18
filed [5] 4/5 4/7 44/15 91/15 92/22
filing [1] 104/13
fill [1] 166/23
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { filled [2] } & 138 / 15 & 178 / 22\end{array}$
final [2] 165/16 199/22
finally [3] 52/10 172/17 213/10
find [15] 43/5 70/23 70/23 91/8
95/18 97/4 99/11 115/20 120/13
166/23 174/8 177/23 195/19
202/19 210/7
finding [2] 9/8 66/22
fine [8] 23/23 60/3 111/12 138/6
148/14 160/19 212/18 $213 / 22$
finish [1] 124/11
finished [2] 90/14 205/20
finishing [1] 55/25
firearms [3] 206/22 207/5 207/6
first [63] 5/11 $13 / 23$ 15/16 16/13
27/9 27/9 33/17 35/7 38/5 38/19 46/22 48/23 52/23 53/4 56/10 59/1 61/14 66/6 72/1 89/15 92/22 93/14 96/16 98/23 110/8 $110 / 15$ 111/22 120/13 121/20 122/13 125/18 136/7 136/10 136/17 139/11 141/7 141/17 142/9 144/19 144/19 153/9 154/23 154/25 158/4 160/2 160/6 162/9 168/11 169/13 173/17 174/11 $174 / 11$ 178/10 178/25 179/25 186/20 189/7 189/9 189/11 192/5 192/6 210/13 213/7
fit [7] 205/4 206/19 208/9 209/9
210/25 211/23 212/7
five [10] $27 / 1632 / 13$ 92/22 $137 / 23$
138/2 144/3 144/16 157/17 166/15 187/16
flashlight [7] 140/9 140/11 141/21
141/22 142/8 142/10 155/4
flat [3] 88/3 155/18 176/21
flexibility [1] 124/23
flier [4] 82/6 83/13 85/11 85/13
fliers [4] 65/15 65/17 81/24 85/8
floor [1] 210/14
fluids [1] 165/11
fly [1] 73/22
flyers [1] 68/25
focus [3] 41/9 95/22 105/15
focused [1] 220/9
focussed [2] 93/12 150/25
folder [1] 139/19
folks [2] 101/10 101/23
follow [5] 13/15 32/3 41/16 52/11 89/16
followed [1] 40/13
following [8] 22/7 37/2 46/12 93/2
97/13 102/17 102/23 193/15
follows[5] 5/12 33/1861/15 162/10 186/21

| F | 205/7 205/13 205/18 205/20 | 133/14 135/21 136/14 136/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| foray [1] 157/6 | 211/17211/22 211/22 212/5 213/1 | 151/13 154/9 157/6 157/10 165/8 |
| foregoing [2] 222/7 222/7 | 213/11213/13 213/21213/21 | 165/24 166/4 168/15 169/18 |
| foremost [1] 93/14 | 213/25 213/25 215/9 217/13 | 170/17 171/3 176/20 176/20 179/9 |
| forest [1] 87/14 | 218/12 219/3 | 184/14 185/20 186/6 188/11 189/2 |
| forested [1] 88/6 | Gary [1] 214/12 | 193/16 193/18 194/5 195/22 196/1 |
| fork [1] 136/14 | gates [2] 152/6 152/9 | 197/21 199/19 199/20 199/24 |
| form [3] 19/24 61/2 203/1 | gathered [1] 95/11 | 200/24 203/13 205/21 205/25 |
| formal [1] 94/14 | gathering [6] 73/13 74/19 74/20 | 206/3 206/6 206/10 207/2 207/17 |
| former[3] 80/9 89/18 122/22 | 76/15 91/19 103/14 | 207/23 208/1 208/4 210/9 210/19 |
| formulate [1] 20/22 | gave[31] 8/2 22/3 40/4 43/5 47/1 | 211/11 214/10 217/22 |
| forth [1] 12/12 | 74/22 75/13 82/14 82/18 82/21 | goes [10] 24/16 29/17 42/11 50/8 |
| forward [1] 192/25 | 84/6 84/6 84/12 84/17 103/24 | 181/19 182/2 183/5 184/17 184/17 |
| found [20] 13/19 41/10 42/23 77/4 | 103/25 108/6 108/7 108/20 $118 / 10$ | 201/21 |
| 83/23 86/15 106/24 108/3 120/6 | 118/14 118/14 120/1 129/11 145/7 | going [80] 5/2 9/8 11/24 19/4 |
| 131/20 137/25 138/22 141/25 | 147/3 168/19 174/15 180/1 197/1 | 24/23 27/18 41/4 42/4 42/24 44/2 |
| 152/1 172/6 173/10 201/18 209/24 | 206/12 | 55/19 58/11 59/15 65/21 68/23 |
| 210/5 210/12 | gears [1] 124/14 | 69/24 70/19 75/2 75/23 78/6 |
| foundation [4] 66/10 93/23 96/15 | Geez [1] 86/21 | 78/10 79/20 80/18 81/4 84/14 |
| 201/25 | general [13] 7/1 38/3 83/13 98/6 | 86/4 88/15 88/24 93/11 94/2 96/5 |
| Foundation's [1] 120/12 | 100/13 106/15 108/21 117/1 117/7 | 96/9 99/18 107/6 109/2 112/19 |
| foundational [2] 42/6 201/14 | 118/14 157/18 177/20 202/12 | 118/24 119/10119/24 120/22 |
| founded [1] 91/1 | generally [7] 179/9 181/3 184/2 | 120/22 121/5 121/9 124/8 129/1 |
| four [23] 27/21 32/13 92/22 | 184/13 201/6 201/9 202/4 | 129/14 131/25 134/12 134/13 |
| 111/10114/10 141/17144/10 | generated [1] 116/15 | 134/22 135/4 137/2 139/1 149/16 |
| 144/14 157/17 174/7 174/7 177/16 | gentlemen [1] 56/10 | 153/4 153/5 153/7 156/7 158/22 |
| 178/19 184/12 184/15 184/19 | geographical [1] 114/11 | 166/6 170/16 172/13 174/8 174/14 |
| 187/22 199/6 200/4 200/13 200/24 | get [83] 12/18 15/21 15/23 31/12 | 174/15 183/15 185/10 186/16 |
| 202/14 205/7 | 32/8 32/15 34/23 38/16 41/4 41/7 | 189/15 193/9 195/16 201/19 |
| four-sided [1] 114/10 | 49/23 53/1 53/2 54/19 55/19 | 201/24 203/13 204/4 208/12 |
| four-wheel [1] 174/7 | 56/14 58/19 61/5 66/6 66/7 66/21 | 208/17210/9 211/16 214/3 |
| four-wheeler [6] 27/21 144/10 | 67/7 72/6 74/10 78/15 78/17 | going to [1] 134/12 |
| 144/14 174/7 177/16 178/19 | 78/18 80/24 83/15 84/3 84/8 | golf [2] 39/10 40/14 |
| fourth [1] 209/18 | 84/15 94/7 94/10 96/16 97/11 | gone [8] 23/3 80/5 85/10 106/22 |
| Fox[1] 133/14 | 102/13 104/6 104/17 104/24 105/8 | 133/16 133/20 165/20 192/16 |
| frankly [1] 55/16 | 111/20 112/14 119/19 120/14 | good [7] 4/19 90/3 111/13 115/2 |
| Franks [6] 4/6 5/19 124/12 148/8 | 121/18 122/17 127/13 127/16 | 171/7 190/16 198/2 |
| 161/21 161/24 | 127/22 128/8 129/3 129/6 131/13 | got [47] 13/13 18/6 38/19 51/20 |
| freely [2] 40/4 40/5 | 132/4 133/14 140/11 140/22 | 55/7 64/11 72/12 73/24 79/5 82/3 |
| Friday [66] 7/7 45/17 64/1 65/3 | 141/23 142/10 142/11 146/8 | 82/8 84/24 84/24 89/16 94/5 |
| 65/18 68/4 68/5 68/5 68/12 68/25 | 146/12 146/21 149/10 153/20 | 96/19 101/13 103/21 103/23 |
| 69/12 70/1 70/1 72/12 72/18 | 154/23 155/6 157/10 157/19 165/7 | 104/25 106/24 110/21 125/20 |
| 72/20 72/23 73/6 73/8 73/12 | 170/19 170/20 170/25 178/18 | 127/19 128/9 130/14 131/7 131/8 |
| 73/19 73/21 74/6 74/7 74/7 74/13 | 181/15 186/5 197/25 198/2 208/1 | 132/2 132/8 132/10 136/18 141/21 |
| 74/14 74/14 74/18 74/20 74/25 | 215/7218/15 $218 / 18$ | 142/10 145/2 146/19 151/23 |
| 75/10 79/2 91/20 91/20 91/21 | gets [2] 50/14 135/25 | 156/23 156/25 157/12 157/21 |
| 92/18 93/12 93/17 93/21 94/4 | getting [10] 55/22 58/4 65/20 | 157/22 177/23 179/4 185/9 186/4 |
| 94/12 95/1 95/2 95/20 98/21 99/2 | 65/20 75/3 95/23 119/25 130/19 | 198/14 |
| 99/21 100/1 100/20 100/23 101/5 | 133/18 198/1 | gotcha [1] 75/4 |
| 101/6 101/12 102/12 102/15 | Gibson [1] 36/12 | gotten [5] 14/14 102/13 157/24 |
| 102/15 108/25 $117 / 15136 / 10$ | girlfriend [1] 62/16 | 218/14 218/18 |
| 136/25 137/3 148/15 148/19 | give [16] 7/23 76/20 76/22 83/5 | grabbed [1] 157/11 |
| 166/15 196/6 | 84/4 86/7 88/24 105/10 108/1 | grammatically [1] 1 |
| friend [1] 90/3 | 108/5 117/16 130/18 168/17 | grant [1] 10/4 |
| friends [6] 62/13 62/14 65/10 | 170/22 182/8 204/19 | granted [1] 9/24 |
| 67/14 68/9 72/14 | given [5] 106/10 107/6 157/9 | grass [1] 140/16 |
| front[5] 45/12 181/1 181/10 183/2 | 185/8 190/25 | gravel [8] 78/3 106/6 135/19 |
| 199/23 | giving [2] 156/22 214/5 | 135/22 136/2 149/12 152/10 |
| full [2] 174/15 182/14 | gloves [1] 212/6 | 152/11 |
| fully [1] 40/6 | go [120] 19/6 36/23 37/3 38/10 | great [1] 102/8 |
| further [13] 2/7 33/7 57/12 104/21 | 38/16 38/20 40/11 40/15 41/15 | green [6] 22/22 23/3 23/9 31/4 |
| 123/9 151/19 154/9 161/16 161/21 | 43/10 43/21 43/23 43/25 51/21 | 75/20 88/3 |
| 184/24 185/1 215/14 221/11 | 51/23 54/6 54/16 54/19 56/3 65/6 | greenish [4] 16/2 16/6 17/11 31/22 |
| future [1] 190/18 | 65/8 65/14 65/16 66/1 66/5 67/4 | greet [1] 79/6 |
| G | 68/20 69/1 71/10 73/3 78/1 78/9 | Griesbach [1] 146/2 |
| gain [3] 144/25 150/14 151/6 | 87/25 89/9 94/10 94/12 95/1 95/2 | grounds [1] 27/5 |
| game [4] 9/7 68/14 97/11 173/14 | 99/18 103/18 104/12 104/19 105/5 | group [15] 7/11 7/14 72/10 74/10 |
| garage [41] 42/10 43/11 43/22 | 105/11 105/23 107/20 107/23 | 79/18 94/6 95/11 104/15 104/18 |
| 43/23 43/25 44/2 44/3 45/16 46/3 | 108/18 113/20 116/24 118/18 | 106/9 106/15 106/21 106/22 |
| 146/17 196/1 204/6 204/7 204/12 | 119/12 119/15 121/5 124/6 128/19 | 113/12 169/13 |
| 204/20 204/22 204/23 204/25 | $129 / 3130 / 23131 / 23132 / 1132 / 5$ | groups [2] 79/15 179/5 |

## G

guess [40] 53/14 53/18 65/8 66/8 66/22 67/7 69/9 69/24 70/4 70/22 71/2 72/12 73/1 73/25 77/1 77/3 79/20 81/15 88/2 89/21 94/4 94/6 96/14 96/17 99/3 99/15 101/17 102/1 105/20 117/14 120/8 121/1 122/24 125/10 133/5 139/1 155/14 163/25 167/18 219/23
guidance [1] 94/19
guys [2] 168/16 173/12
H
H-i-I-I-e-g-a-s [2] 61/10 61/19 had [254]
hadn't [1] 86/6
Halbach [29] 6/21 8/19 9/9 13/19 14/10 15/7 18/1 21/15 28/12 31/15 32/12 33/1 35/8 59/9 62/9 91/8 91/15 95/18116/4 126/11 132/21 134/25 135/2 141/14 168/5 170/2 189/10 191/25 192/18
Halbach's [4] 14/17 95/12 169/6 172/8
half [3] 29/5 58/5 104/16
hall [1] 199/19
Halloween [2] 62/21 63/10
hand [19] 33/15 45/10 61/12 70/3 103/21 105/22 110/22 111/8 135/4 135/13 137/24 138/3 139/18 143/21 155/9 162/7 171/14 178/1 186/16
handcuffs [1] 200/11
handed [7] 20/14 74/12 74/21
74/23 79/3 108/22 117/7
handing [2] 85/7 105/21
handle [2] 164/18 166/10
handled [1] 164/8
handling [1] 163/23
hang [4] 65/15 168/17 168/19 168/21
happen [1] 172/25
happened [5] 29/20 85/15 94/4 190/4 213/17
happy [1] 109/13
hard [4] 57/2 188/17 188/18 188/24
has [7] 42/9 42/16 43/14 80/5 91/4 187/15 222/8
hasn't [1] 152/13
have [220] $5 / 8$ 10/16 12/4 13/1 13/4 13/13 13/14 15/3 15/17 15/22 16/5 19/13 19/16 20/7
22/13 24/7 25/18 25/20 25/25 27/25 30/4 30/13 31/11 31/21 $32 / 2$ 32/1 0 32/20 34/1 34/4 34/5 34/1 4 34/17 35/9 36/14 36/21 37/3 37/17 38/5 41/13 42/15 44/7 46/24 47/8 48/12 49/2 53/8 54/12 55/4 55/6 55/7 55/8 55/11 55/17 55/18 56/12 56/15 57/12 59/16 59/19 62/18 64/1 65/16 66/13 66/22 66/23 67/24 68/3 70/18 $71 / 19$ 74/25 75/21 78/19 79/2 79/6 80/13 81/14 82/5 83/16 85/10 86/2 86/3 86/4 86/23 87/16 89/6 90/8 90/14 92/13 93/8 98/10 99/5 99/5 99/16 99/20 100/19 101/2 102/13 102/17 105/17 111/14 112/12 112/12 113/1 114/1 114/7114/10115/2 119/3120/17 120/22 123/14 124/22 128/17

129/9 130/7 130/17 130/25 131/15
133/21 134/6 135/1 135/10 135/16 136/22 136/23 139/21 140/9 140/12 140/24 144/8 144/12
147/10 148/7 148/23 149/5 151/24 157/6 157/20 157/23 159/6 160/18 160/21 160/25 161/15 161/20
161/23 162/2 163/18 165/12
166/25 168/7 169/4 170/8 171/7
171/8 171/12 171/13 172/2 172/10 174/6 177/2 177/23 179/1 179/4 179/8 179/15 180/24 183/15
184/15 184/24 185/6 185/9 185/15 185/23 186/4 186/4 186/17 187/1 187/8 187/13 188/11 188/15 188/25 189/6 189/17 189/24 189/25 190/9 190/19 191/4 191/12 192/10 193/5 193/13 193/20 194/3 194/1 1 194/17 196/4 196/8 198/16 200/14 200/20 201/3 201/4 207/17 208/12 $211 / 14213 / 18213 / 20$
213/21 215/13 215/25 216/1 217/7 219/21 $219 / 23220 / 14220 / 17$ 221/11
haven't [3] 60/18 127/25 160/22
having [8] 4/18 5/11 33/17 41/9
61/14 144/24 162/9 186/20
hazard [1] 219/23
he [161] 5/7 10/16 14/15 14/22
16/25 17/2 17/2 17/3 21/4 21/5 24/8 24/8 25/14 25/19 25/22 25/25 26/2 26/5 26/7 26/9 26/10
26/11 26/11 26/14 27/13 27/22
27/23 27/25 28/2 28/2 28/24 29/1
29/11 29/14 31/20 31/20 35/21 $36 / 1636 / 2336 / 2538 / 2339 / 2$ 39/2 39/23 39/24 40/2 40/11 40/13 40/15 41/10 41/11 41/24 42/23 44/1 47/1 52/3 52/24 52/24 53/20 54/11 54/12 54/14 54/17 56/23 56/25 67/22 82/25 91/4 97/3 97/6 97/8 97/8 99/13 100/7 108/7115/14115/15115/17 115/20 119/12 119/15 119/18
120/1 120/2 126/6 127/12 127/19 128/13 128/16 128/21 128/21
128/25 129/4 130/13 130/20 131/3
131/6 131/12 131/16 131/22
131/25 132/7 132/20 132/20
132/22 132/24 133/4 133/6 134/2
139/7 139/10 143/8 148/5 149/12
150/3 150/5 150/7 153/21 158/5
158/10 158/13 158/13158/16
158/19 158/21 158/22 159/24
164/23 165/1 165/2 165/3 165/5
165/23 166/1 166/3 171/24 173/2
176/1 176/2 176/7 176/10 176/11
176/13 176/14 176/17 176/20
176/24 176/24 187/2 190/5 191/15
192/14 192/18 192/20 194/25
195/2 195/3 195/4 195/5 197/1 197/23
he's [7] 20/13 150/17 177/25
185/17 185/25 190/25 191/11
headphones [1] 57/5
hear [8] 59/18 151/3 163/16
185/10 187/2 187/3 190/5 211/3
heard [4] 63/1 63/25 67/21 85/14
hearing [5] $1 / 4$ 4/4 189/25 190/18 210/10
height [1] 64/21
helicopter [1] 86/11
help [21] 10/6 10/8 10/12 20/22

64/7 65/12 66/21 67/3 69/8 79/9
101/16 134/22 165/3 165/5 168/16 170/1 170/10 170/12 196/9 196/16 217/19
helped [3] 97/8 102/9 176/13
helpers [1] 104/10
helpful [1] 41/6
helping [1] 165/2
her [73] 9/14 11/11 11/23 14/12 19/24 20/7 22/5 31/18 31/21 36/8 44/5 62/12 62/25 63/1 63/2 63/3 63/7 63/9 63/10 63/13 64/20
64/21 64/21 64/23 65/10 66/22 68/10 70/23 71/4 71/4 71/5 71/11 $72 / 376 / 376 / 378 / 1078 / 2078 / 23$ 78/25 80/1 80/2 80/12 80/23 80/24 81/4 81/1 1 84/6 84/16 90/1 92/4 105/13 105/14 105/18 106/12 107/12 107/15 107/20 107/22 108/10 108/11 108/14 108/19 108/20 118/14 118/24 122/22 123/3 126/11 126/19 154/4 167/22 196/10 196/17
here [58] 4/3 5/6 5/22 13/14 16/24 21/11 41/9 57/1758/8 58/16 88/25 89/4 106/19 109/14 112/17 112/17113/21 113/22 113/25 114/3 114/11 124/25 135/20 135/23 135/25 137/18 143/24 145/5 152/24 156/2 156/5 156/11 157/1 157/3 157/4 157/5 157/12 157/24 159/25 163/1 176/5 177/24 180/22 180/24 181/18 $181 / 19$ 181/20 181/21 181/25 182/23
183/13 183/14 184/7 184/11
185/17 189/16 190/11 201/15
Here's [1] 149/12
hereby [1] 222/6
herein [5] 5/11 33/17 61/14 162/8 186/20
Hermann [9] 139/8 141/22 143/15 143/18 145/1 145/6 154/14 154/16 188/1
hers [3] 68/10 89/21 167/23
herself [1] 79/25
hey [4] 54/12 102/7 131/6 151/24
hidden [1] 198/1
hierarchy [1] 187/19
high [3] 62/14 116/22 140/16
highest [2] 133/23 188/5
highway [7] 114/4 114/5 114/7
114/10 114/24 115/1 121/21
highways [1] 118/15
Hilbert [1] 7/3
hill [1] 182/1
HILLEGAS [10] 2/14 59/5 61/10 61/13 61/18 61/22 90/15 90/22 109/17 149/4
Hillegas' [1] 148/18
Hillegas's [1] 149/1
him [73] $5 / 23$ 9/3 10/15 19/2 $19 / 5$ 19/8 20/7 20/14 26/5 26/9 26/13 29/10 38/25 39/21 39/22 39/24 40/12 40/12 40/16 40/19 52/24 53/5 54/21 67/23 67/24 68/14 68/15 68/15 68/18 68/24 69/15 71/19 87/10 87/10 89/8 97/1 97/10 98/14 99/9 99/11 99/17 99/22 100/1 100/10 $115 / 8115 / 11$ 119/9 119/11 119/22 119/23 120/21 121/9 124/14 124/21 126/1 128/5 128/21 128/22 130/18 131/18 131/19 133/5 158/13
him... [10] 158/16 186/1 186/5 192/17 193/21 194/24 195/24 197/6 202/8 207/8
himself [2] 150/8 187/23
his [55] 19/24 20/14 22/5 24/8
26/7 28/2 38/20 40/1 40/4 40/9 40/13 40/15 42/10 42/10 46/3 82/18 82/18 82/21 82/21 83/5 83/6 97/13 99/12 99/23 108/6 108/7 124/11 128/16 128/22 132/3 144/10 149/24 150/3 150/5 173/3
176/1 176/24 183/13 185/18 186/4
190/6 191/15 192/16 194/6 194/22 195/1 195/24 199/6 199/12 207/10 207/25 209/19 209/24 210/19 217/12
hits [1] 16/3
hmm [8] 19/1 62/17 72/9 77/10
117/4 141/5 169/22 171/4
hold [4] 83/15 84/3 120/14 130/19
holding [1] 20/13
Holstein [1] 126/12
Hon [1] 1/10
honest [1] 19/20
honestly [1] 92/20
Honor [8] 4/19 12/3 20/16 42/4 57/13 90/16 161/22 186/14
hood [1] 139/24
hope [2] 58/18 105/16
hopefully [2] 61/6 185/11
hoping [1] $41 / 8$
horrid [1] $84 / 8$
hot [1] 81/25
hour [17] 52/5 56/10 56/16 56/17
58/5 58/21 61/7 79/21 104/16 104/16 106/15 125/8 131/17 133/3 172/16 180/12 205/1
hours [16] 133/5 133/21 134/3 141/4 142/16 143/2 144/13 145/12 166/13 175/6 178/16 199/14 199/15 200/5 202/13 205/25
hours or [1] 199/14
house [25] 45/2 45/4 67/12 67/13 67/16 72/18 72/22 73/16 73/16 73/18 79/19 79/24 92/3 92/4 92/8 92/21 99/13 102/14 102/17 103/23 104/13 104/17 183/13 193/16 220/12
houses [1] 88/6
how [86] 9/7 10/3 16/15 16/19 19/16 24/19 34/1 34/4 34/22 35/11 42/11 42/23 48/10 49/1 55/19 57/22 62/24 63/24 65/6 66/4 67/3 67/6 75/15 83/25 86/2 86/5 96/17 97/8 98/24 99/1 99/3 99/18 101/13 102/1 102/2 102/2 103/15 104/5 104/9 105/4 105/11 120/21 120/22 121/16 121/22 122/7 126/22 133/20 135/5 137/10 138/24 141/15 142/14 142/25 143/9 156/2 156/24 157/14 158/2 163/18 163/21 164/21 165/12 166/16 170/19 170/20 181/15 186/1 187/8 187/15 187/20 188/11 188/14 189/1 189/9 199/12 199/16 201/18 203/12 203/14 204/20 204/24 205/1 $215 / 6217 / 21219 / 18$ however[5] 13/3 22/4 23/9 115/14 174/18
Huh [2] 165/22 170/7
hung [1] 180/1
hunt [2] $173 / 2$ 173/13
hunting [3] 172/22 172/25 173/24 hurry [1] 79/5
hurt [1] 170/25
I
I'd [1] 133/21
I'II [2] 107/9 202/1
I'm [100] 18/24 21/10 24/23 29/11
41/8 41/16 42/4 42/11 42/20
42/24 43/24 46/22 58/1 59/7 60/6
62/1 62/8 64/9 64/10 68/6 72/22
$74 / 1875 / 2576 / 177 / 579 / 20$
$81 / 1582 / 2586 / 2187 / 2388 / 15$
88/22 88/24 89/7 91/21 98/19
100/22 102/1 111/7 112/16112/18 112/19 113/19 119/7 119/25 121/1 122/20 125/19 126/23 128/2 130/1 134/11 134/22 135/4 136/18 139/1 143/8 147/3 149/4 150/19 151/3
153/13 153/19 155/22 157/7 158/3 160/9 163/5 163/16 167/2 167/14 168/15 173/5 173/22 175/15
180/21 182/10 $182 / 11183 / 15$
185/10 185/25 186/15 187/25
189/15 192/9 192/13 194/4 194/12
196/18 197/12 197/13 197/17
203/12 205/1 210/9 215/6 215/25 220/5 220/8 221/7
idea [29] $10 / 311 / 2312 / 138 / 8$ 38/20 55/19 65/16 66/13 86/2 95/25 96/13 96/14 101/7 101/13 102/3 102/8 107/12 107/15 112/12 133/2 149/17 151/20 161/15 171/6 171/7 172/10 172/18 219/21 219/23
ideas [2] 10/15 10/16
identification [4] 109/16 110/5 129/25 155/7
identifies [1] 128/21
identify [3] $111 / 22$ 130/2 160/1 if [131] 9/24 10/4 21/4 25/17 25/22 25/25 26/9 27/13 40/1 42/5 $44 / 456 / 156 / 1357 / 1557 / 19$ 57/22 58/1 59/22 60/18 63/1 64/10 64/23 66/19 69/8 70/23 $76 / 1078 / 16$ 80/11 81/3 83/15 83/22 84/13 84/22 85/12 86/8 87/25 88/10 88/17 88/1 8 88/1 8 89/20 93/24 98/25 99/6 99/22 100/22 101/25 102/8 109/8 109/8 109/12 109/25 111/19 111/21 112/23 $115 / 20118 / 1119 / 19120 / 6$ 120/12 120/25 124/9 125/22 126/1 128/17 128/19 129/2 129/6 132/4 133/6 135/3 137/7 139/9 140/13 141/1 141/12 146/6 147/19 150/14 150/25 151/5 151/12 152/10
155/11 155/15 155/23 156/3
157/22 160/2 166/18 166/19
166/22 166/23 168/13 168/20
168/22 168/25 169/16 170/12
171/25 173/22 175/9 177/18
183/15 183/19 183/25 185/18
186/4 189/24 190/4 192/13 193/18
194/25 199/18 199/20 199/23
203/19 204/14 205/3 206/18 207/7
208/8 209/8 210/24 211/19 211/25
212/2 212/8 212/9 213/16 220/19
illegally [1] 26/1
illuminate [1] 141/23
image [1] 111/25
images [1] 75/12
imaging [1] 104/8
immediate [1] 88/8
immediately [2] 73/9 205/22
impact [1] 57/22
Impala [1] 167/3
implicit [1] 191/8
implicitly [2] 94/2 95/16
important [5] 19/19 57/14 57/21
147/12 191/16
impression [2] 105/16 151/8
improve [1] 113/24
in [444]
in being [1] 39/18
inches [6] 111/8 111/9 137/23
138/1 138/2 181/23
incident [1] 176/4
include [5] 26/1 45/21 48/23 49/19 146/14
included [14] 23/20 24/2 25/20
25/25 34/6 36/9 42/19 49/14
77/17 106/6 125/10 157/18 220/3
220/22
includes [1] 130/6
including [5] 124/13 144/2 146/17
200/10 209/19
incoming [1] 128/10
independent [5] 20/8 53/8 55/2 92/14 217/8
indicate [6] 137/22 144/13 158/19
183/11 195/19 212/11
indicated [23] 6/24 9/12 16/12
17/2 22/22 49/24 51/9 51/22
54/14 93/14 102/11 110/9 112/8
113/7 150/3 150/7 152/23 155/12
158/5 161/4 174/6 211/20 218/13
indicates [1] 52/24
indicating [1] 135/13
indication [1] 137/13
individual [9] 54/14 56/22 59/4
70/8 76/21 104/18 105/4 128/23 150/10
individuals [3] 67/19 95/11 103/14 information [66] 8/4 8/7 8/12 14/1 14/4 14/10 14/14 15/4 16/11 16/18 21/12 21/17 22/2 23/2 24/1 24/2 25/6 28/9 28/17 30/12 31/2 35/4 36/8 36/16 38/14 47/1 55/14 55/23 56/23 57/14 57/23 58/17 64/17 64/20 64/23 65/20 68/10 $71 / 13$ 75/3 75/22 76/13 83/12 96/2 96/5 96/17 100/13 104/6 109/2 $115 / 6$ 123/19 125/16 146/8 147/4 147/18 147/21 149/10 156/25 157/20 158/2 197/1 198/13 206/3 207/25 218/14 218/17 218/20
informed [7] 6/18 29/6 119/9
189/22 191/4 193/7 197/6
initial [2] 106/9 157/6
initially [3] 23/8 155/20 210/12
initials [1] 112/20
inside [8] 41/1 43/5 88/19 140/3 146/23 180/14 208/24 209/16
Inspector [3] 134/1 145/19 188/1
inspectors [1] 188/3
instance [6] 25/17 28/21 56/7
106/7 164/9 166/18
instead [1] 190/1
instruct [1] 7/18
instructed [7] 58/19 59/23 69/9
71/3 85/14 120/11 207/24
instruction [6] 94/19 119/22 120/1
120/5 127/23 128/1
it [393]
it's [49] $4 / 28 / 2$ 16/2 16/5 18/21 19/19 24/13 24/24 28/5 28/23
30/22 41/6 46/3 50/9 50/18 52/16 52/20 54/3 54/25 55/19 58/20 61/2 66/10 88/18 90/13 100/24 103/4 111/24 111/24 113/16 114/22 135/19 143/3 145/5 150/25 151/8 159/5 159/7 159/15 159/19 159/22 160/2 160/4 188/24 191/8 200/1 201/2 201/13 211/25
itemized [1] 56/25
items [6] 68/9 72/2 92/23 200/7 206/9 210/3
its [4] $5 / 1$ 28/21 88/8 155/17 itself [2] $76 / 25$ 218/6
interview [3] 189/12 193/20 194/25
interviewed [2] 192/14 192/20
into [44] 24/2 25/6 35/12 40/15
40/21 43/21 45/17 57/5 78/10 84/14 84/24 87/17 87/25 110/25 116/7 124/15 144/5 152/11 159/3 159/18 169/1 170/20 181/16 182/2 183/2 184/4 184/11 195/22 196/1 196/2 199/6 202/4 205/21 206/1 206/4 207/2 207/18 208/13 208/17 210/9 210/19 211/11 211/16 211/21
introduced [1] 79/24
invasive [1] 66/8
inventory [2] 171/11 179/7
investigating [3] 39/22 50/21 154/18
investigation [16] 36/5 38/2 66/15 70/12 70/16 70/21 $145 / 3$ 145/8 145/11 196/4 196/5 214/19 215/21 216/10 216/15 216/20
investigative [1] 198/10
investigator [58] 29/5 29/6 34/5
35/22 35/24 37/11 46/17 46/23
47/3 47/23 48/17 48/24 49/4 49/6
51/8 51/8 52/2 52/10 52/22 53/18
56/9 59/14 69/11 71/17 80/10
82/21 83/2 83/5 120/18 125/23
126/5 127/12 128/10 130/11
130/15 130/18 133/7 133/13 139/13 143/4 143/4 143/6 143/7 145/19 147/4 148/4 148/5 148/13 149/10 149/23 149/25 151/24 159/8 192/8 192/22 214/11 216/18 216/25
investigators [2] 82/12 83/20 invited [1] 184/2
involve [1] 59/4
involved [22] 35/7 63/21 63/24
65/7 66/15 66/20 91/8 92/25
101/2 109/4 124/10 132/20 134/22
146/3 146/4 150/8 171/22 189/9
189/11 218/2 219/18 219/19
involvement[6] 16/24 93/1 108/11
133/24 193/2 196/5
involving [1] 36/5
irrelevant [4] 24/14 27/5 28/5
88/20
is [263]
isn't [14] 22/8 23/21 27/18 30/2
32/8 38/18 43/12 88/20 88/23
107/17 135/9 140/22 182/21
220/23
issue [4] 24/17 57/17 123/1 201/15
issued [3] 4/9 12/9 197/15
issues [1] 8/16

172/21 174/11 174/20 178/15
181/18 181/19 182/11 183/11
183/16 183/19 185/15 185/18
186/2 189/3 189/6 196/2 201/13
201/15 203/1 203/16 214/3 214/22
217/17 219/14
|Justice [3] 4/17 216/14 217/23 iustify [2] 12/14 20/1

## K

Karen [3] 14/10 18/1 92/8
keeps [1] 163/11
Ken [1] 4/15
KENNETH [1] $1 / 12$
kept [2] 119/9 193/7
key [4] 210/7 210/8 210/12 210/13
kids [1] 106/25
kind [37] 10/16 16/4 59/16 64/5
65/7 65/9 66/5 66/7 67/13 68/14 69/6 69/7 73/1 74/3 78/18 89/16
94/4 96/17 108/18 111/20 127/25 134/23 140/16 151/8 164/1 164/3 168/22 169/20 170/18 171/7 171/17 171/18 171/21 177/13 181/1 182/4 184/6
kitchen [3] 103/23 199/25 202/15 kitchenette [1] 199/25
knew [21] 9/17 15/9 17/10 17/14 17/18 18/6 25/22 25/25 66/14 72/3 74/3 76/1 76/5 76/1 1 84/23 89/20 118/19 119/1 120/8 123/6 220/6
knock [1] 189/6
knocked [1] 38/23
knocks [1] 189/5
know [154] 16/3 19/19 21/4 22/23
25/5 27/8 27/13 27/24 35/1 36/23 37/12 37/22 38/9 42/21 42/23 44/16 44/18 46/15 46/20 52/25 55/5 55/21 55/23 62/9 62/9 65/14 66/9 67/11 67/19 68/7 68/10 69/13 69/16 69/18 69/22 69/23 69/25 70/4 70/5 71/9 71/22 71/24 72/1 72/14 72/14 73/22 76/3 76/7 $76 / 1076 / 1376 / 1577 / 2378 / 20$ 80/5 80/9 80/11 80/15 80/23 81/12 84/22 84/25 85/8 86/5 86/8 86/14 87/25 88/9 88/16 88/17 88/18 88/18 89/17 89/20 89/23 89/25 90/4 91/4 94/6 96/16 97/6 99/6 99/22 101/14 101/25 108/24 113/21 118/24 119/11119/17 119/21 120/12 120/20 120/25 122/10 122/11 122/23 122/24 123/17 123/19 124/19 127/13 127/17 128/5 128/17 133/1 133/6 143/7 143/12 146/6 146/7 147/10 147/14 147/23 148/1 150/5 153/23 156/9 156/22 156/24 157/21
157/21 157/23 157/25 158/21
160/2 161/14 165/24 168/12
168/25 169/1 $170 / 19$ 171/10 172/3 172/13 173/21 175/5 177/1 188/21 194/2 197/11 197/14 197/16 199/12 203/25 204/14 204/20 204/24 206/12 206/13 207/4 214/7 218/20 219/24 220/24
knowledge [11] 27/25 84/21 84/22 87/1 120/9 144/4 172/2 192/17 209/18 221/10 222/14
known [2] 31/21 167/7
KRATZ[5] 1/12 4/15 90/17 145/24 147/6
Kucharski [6] 204/18 206/3 206/17 207/5 208/19 210/23

## L

L-e-n-k [1] 186/24
Lab [1] 210/6
ladies [1] 169/11
lady [3] 131/7 168/13 169/17
Lake[3] 75/19 117/9 117/9
land [9] 78/7 88/5 88/10 89/16
117/10 156/2 163/6 167/7 218/7
lands [3] 87/10 87/16 106/3
large [6] 79/18 103/14 104/15
106/19 106/21 118/15
larger [2] 103/24 117/17
largest [1] 178/3
laser [4] 111/7 111/21 112/2 137/21
last [26] 9/18 31/15 31/21 32/18 33/20 34/10 36/8 42/7 50/23 61/17 75/23 75/24 76/1 76/11 104/10 107/18 $118 / 20130 / 17$ 131/15 155/6 160/25 162/12 163/20 163/21 174/3 186/23
late [10] $8 / 1831 / 431 / 22101 / 12$ 102/15 216/4 216/5 216/7 216/21 220/21
later [21] 10/12 26/17 26/18 27/16 48/8 60/19 64/14 101/5 106/11 121/19 130/16 141/17 141/18 144/14 155/3 159/20 180/12 192/19 205/25 208/14 220/20
latitude [1] 88/25
law [27] 1/17 1/19 34/2 68/3 69/20
70/7 82/9 87/2 91/5 93/8 94/20
95/8 97/18 101/3 102/7 102/10
103/6 108/10 109/4 112/10 116/2
144/1 144/23 153/8 172/22 173/1
175/8
lawful [2] 25/13 25/18
lawfully [1] 25/22
lawfulness [1] 25/12
lay [2] 42/6 201/25
layout [2] 40/25 40/25
Le [6] 14/6 14/11 18/2 22/8 31/5 139/22
lead [5] 71/11 78/11 148/5 192/25 193/5
leader [1] 206/2
leadership [1] 145/10
leading [3] 82/24 174/22 202/22
leads [2] 38/22 156/10
learn [3] 62/24 192/11 192/15
learned [4] 188/11 189/5 192/15 192/19
least [9] 9/23 13/14 15/19 99/6 139/1 144/3 201/3 207/9 209/15
leave [6] 105/2 120/14 158/17
169/3 180/9 180/10
leaving [1] 39/8
left [37] 4/25 46/6 49/12 49/15 79/19 79/22 85/11 104/22 104/23 105/3 106/19 106/21 107/23 108/1 130/22 132/10 133/12 133/13 135/10 146/25 155/24 179/22 183/6 195/22 195/24 199/19
200/13 202/18 203/3 205/4 205/15 206/19 209/7 212/4 212/13 213/6 213/12
legal [6] 30/15 146/12 156/20
156/23 157/10 201/24
legally [1] 189/2
leisure [1] 159/12
length [1] 182/15
LENK [20] 2/22 35/17 35/20 39/12 39/13 46/9 124/20 132/14 132/17 133/25 185/15 185/24 186/9
$186 / 19186 / 24189 / 25190 / 20$
202/3 215/19 221/14
less [8] 30/19 30/22 30/23 65/19 78/1 85/7 106/3 158/10
let [26] 12/18 13/24 15/16 40/15
40/16 41/24 43/10 56/1 56/6 57/9
58/25 69/19 75/21 86/25 89/17
108/25 111/6 112/19119/11128/5
136/1 137/20 171/3 175/7 194/25 203/12
let's [9] 63/9 67/11 75/5 86/23
87/8 95/1 95/1 109/6 110/8
license [2] 139/22 153/6
lieutenant [28] 2/22 35/17 35/19
39/12 39/13 124/19 132/14 132/17 132/17 133/25 139/7 143/15
143/18 145/1 145/6 154/14 154/16
185/14 185/23 186/9 186/19 187/4
187/18 191/21 202/3 215/19 217/4 219/6
life [2] $85 / 22111 / 3$
light [3] 16/3 16/5 155/1
lighter [1] 135/24
like [58] 12/19 56/14 65/9 65/10
70/11 71/9 71/24 73/13 74/16
76/2 78/3 78/4 81/14 81/25 87/23
99/19 102/1 109/10 109/18 110/2
111/24 113/21 116/23 117/2
117/11 117/23 117/24 118/2
118/13 119/21 120/6 122/1 122/23
123/20 134/23 142/4 149/21
157/17 164/13 167/19 168/1
171/15 172/20 173/19 178/22
179/2 $181 / 3$ 184/6 184/7 184/10
194/12 198/3 199/24 200/22
204/20 212/6 215/6 220/24
like a [1] 181/3
likely [1] 30/23
likewise [1] 212/11
line [6] 81/25 103/22 113/11
137/25 141/6 186/5
linear [1] 137/25
lines [3] $82 / 16$ 118/6 130/5
list [2] 29/18 29/25
listen [7] 48/10 56/24 58/6 58/11
125/8 159/12 159/19
listened [1] 57/4
literally [1] 108/15
little [20] 39/23 53/15 54/2 54/3
54/25 64/23 66/7 88/25 112/24
113/23 121/20 135/12 135/25
138/9 138/12 142/23 152/24 181/8
199/21 201/25
live [3] 38/3 176/1 176/7
lived [6] $7 / 138 / 8$ 73/18 92/4 167/7 167/8
living [4] 162/19 195/12 199/18
202/15
loader [1] 171/20
local [1] 65/21
locales [3] 7/20 10/13 97/17
locate [2] 33/1 170/2
located [19] 17/11 18/3 21/10 21/13 27/18 29/8 29/15 31/23 32/6 33/4 40/10 44/12 47/19
48/19 49/8 71/21 85/21 196/24 197/22
location [10] 24/8 28/2 144/6
144/14 153/24 154/12 189/13
197/7 197/24 201/3
locations [5] 36/13 54/17 76/7 218/3 218/23
locksmith [2] 212/12 212/14
long [14] 34/1 34/4 55/19 104/9 133/20 142/14 142/25 163/18 166/3 187/8 187/15 199/12 204/24 205/1
longer [1] 160/7
look [33] 9/14 9/24 15/23 40/22
43/21 44/4 69/2 69/3 77/24 78/9
101/10 102/9 103/24 105/12 110/8 112/14 $117 / 16132 / 5$ 133/21 140/3 140/15 141/1 150/15 151/7 168/15
169/23 171/3 182/11 185/19
194/22 195/1 198/2 198/3
looked [6] 44/7 140/4 140/12
142/4 155/25 200/22
looking [23] 8/18 22/24 32/9 32/19 60/9 74/15 78/19 92/16 92/18 101/2 112/11 121/7 138/20 140/1 167/2 170/1 170/15 180/5 197/3 202/10 211/22 212/5 214/8
looks [1] 157/17
losing [1] 73/5
lot [21] 8/21 49/15 55/22 55/23 56/5 69/24 72/15 72/15 80/6 80/18 84/14 87/17 97/9 101/14 101/15 152/4 165/23 171/15 176/14 182/4 184/4
lots [1] 219/25
lower [4] 143/20 $156 / 5 \quad 183 / 6$ 183/6
lunch [5] 58/6 58/23 123/22 124/3 124/5
M
ma[1] 167/10
machine [1] 222/10
made [36] 4/10 20/8 24/8 25/14
25/19 28/2 39/9 73/19 74/4
103/23 104/4 117/15 125/23 126/8
126/11 $126 / 11$ 127/1 $130 / 21$
132/13 134/8 $140 / 16$ 142/21 145/1
145/13 145/17 145/18 147/14
147/15 147/23 152/13 158/4
165/17 165/17 191/9 193/24 216/8
magistrate [1] 19/24
main [7] 37/10 57/2 70/22 110/25
135/18 136/13 182/1
mainly [2] 164/5 165/15
make [21] 22/5 25/11 39/4 41/5
42/15 50/18 52/17 66/6 104/5
104/5 112/24 132/7 139/21 147/12
147/20 164/2 170/17 174/20
183/23 187/1 214/22
making [8] $26 / 7$ 49/21 59/24 70/1
75/11 113/18113/19119/18
$\operatorname{man}[4] \quad 168 / 13169 / 17177 / 9$ 179/18
managers [3] 26/24 137/6 144/9
manila [1] 139/19
MANITOWOC[36] $1 / 1$ 6/25 8/7
8/10 9/3 18/23 19/10 34/8 34/13 36/2 36/9 36/11 47/6 50/6 51/3 51/11 55/13 61/23 76/6 96/10 117/10 122/9 146/5 187/19 193/1 199/9 211/14215/21 217/4 217/7
217/19 219/1 220/4 220/16 221/9 222/2
manpower [1] 203/20
many[25] 16/15 16/19 32/18 48/10 49/1 71/24 86/2 86/5 98/24 99/1 99/3 121/16 121/22 122/7
122/24 138/24 156/24 187/20
188/14 199/16 204/20 $215 / 6$
219/18 220/16 220/16
map [13] 103/24 103/25 108/22
112/1 117/2 117/7117/14118/2 118/3118/5 118/5 118/10118/14 maps [19] 70/1 72/7 75/11 77/9 80/2 87/10 87/15 87/22 103/20 104/3 104/7 108/18 108/20 116/14 116/23 117/18 117/25 118/2 118/4
Marinette [4] 4/11 158/8 165/20 165/25
mark[7] 2/3 4/25 5/10 51/8 129/5 129/24 216/19
marked [15] 3/1 109/16 110/4
110/5 113/2 114/1 121/12 121/21
122/13 129/6 129/17 129/18
129/25 160/22 184/15
marking [2] 116/19 183/16
master [1] 200/19
match [4] 17/3 22/3 22/20 29/12 matched [11] 14/12 14/17 15/13 16/22 21/18 21/24 22/1 22/1 22/7 28/11155/8
matching [3] 13/18 13/23 21/14 materials [1] 210/2
matter [38] 4/16 5/20 6/10 6/12
10/19 15/11 17/2 18/5 26/21 $31 / 1435 / 8$ 36/2 36/2 66/1 92/24 105/15 108/15 110/20 132/21 148/13 150/13 152/22 153/18 154/22 159/13 170/11 189/10 189/19 190/15 192/12 192/14 193/12 216/17 217/11 218/1 220/20 222/7 222/13
maximum [1] 124/22
may [32] 6/2 12/21 25/11 32/20 33/12 41/7 44/7 56/2 56/11 58/12 68/10 79/2 83/3 85/10 89/6 100/19 109/8 125/3 140/12 147/10 157/23 174/6 185/16 186/25 187/1 189/24 191/18 192/10 194/3 201/3 201/4 217/3
maybe [29] 32/9 37/1 71/9 79/21 85/13 101/8 101/9 101/22 103/1 103/10 104/16 106/14 108/15 119/25 123/20 124/11 128/3 129/2 129/5 135/5 $151 / 11151 / 15155 / 15$ 159/4 159/24 164/11 169/11 177/20 183/11
me [106] $5 / 2113 / 2414 / 915 / 12$ 15/16 21/20 21/21 21/22 21/23 21/23 22/3 22/25 23/16 35/7 40/11 40/12 43/10 47/1 48/17 50/24 52/24 54/12 56/1 56/6 57/9 58/25 60/13 60/13 62/25 62/25 67/12 69/9 69/10 69/19 72/18 $73 / 473 / 2575 / 2177 / 2380 / 5$ 81/23 82/4 83/4 83/19 86/25 93/22 93/24 101/21 103/19 104/4 104/25 107/16 108/25 120/9 120/15 121/15 122/25 127/12 128/21 129/1 130/20 130/21 131/6 131/19 136/1 $137 / 17$ 139/7 139/7 139/21 143/18 149/16 154/16 154/16 154/21 159/13 159/19 164/17 165/15 168/19 168/20 169/10 169/11 169/16 172/17 172/24 174/11 174/13 174/13 175/7 177/17 177/22 181/16 186/4 187/4 188/14 189/15 189/22 189/22 197/1 197/24 199/16 203/12 204/19 207/7 222/8 222/9 mean [12] 53/13 82/5 85/1 85/25

102/4 105/24 121/6 150/4 164/2
183/18 197/25 218/15
meaning [1] 102/4
means [3] 12/12 96/6 101/21
meant [2] 80/18 218/16
media [1] 60/14
meet [11] 9/3 10/14 19/10 35/19
52/5 54/18 72/18 72/21 79/6 102/16 131/16
meeting [24] 10/10 10/17 10/19
72/10 72/23 72/25 74/8 74/25
77/5 91/23 95/20 103/9 103/14
103/16 104/9 106/18 108/14
108/25 109/4 120/22 127/3 151/17
158/15 192/21
member [3] 148/25 217/13 217/19
members [7] 14/19 91/24 92/7
153/8 178/15 217/6 219/1
memory [1] 141/10
Menasha [1] 197/3
mention [2] 22/8 22/11
mentioned [16] 59/6 59/8 68/12
80/4 80/16 81/24 90/23 93/22
96/16 107/16 116/14 117/1 175/21
179/19 191/21 219/17
mere [1] 32/11
met [12] 35/13 67/23 71/16 72/18
73/1 73/23 74/21 75/8 78/23
79/19 87/8 135/1
Michigan [2] 75/19 117/9
microphone [1] 187/2
midday [1] 214/25
middle [2] 141/3 183/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Mieux }[6] ~ 14 / 6 ~ & 14 / 11 & 18 / 2 & 22 / 8\end{array}$ 31/5 139/22
might [17] 10/1 10/16 70/15 70/20 $71 / 580 / 1380 / 1681 / 1286 / 3$
105/17 107/20 113/23 131/10
145/10 166/23 167/3 173/16
mile [1] 88/13
milling [1] 152/19
mind [5] 9/24 18/13 31/9 31/24 183/15
minimum [1] 31/7
minute [9] 12/6 49/23 84/11
144/21 159/6 186/3 203/1 203/13 214/3
minutes [16] 26/21 27/16 90/11
108/15 108/16 108/17 141/17
144/16 153/20 155/3 169/12
174/12 177/7 180/11 201/5 212/5
misconception [1] 110/7
mishap [1] 105/17
Mishicot [3] $6 / 25$ 7/3 $135 / 8$
missing [25] 9/8 32/17 36/4 36/6 36/17 39/22 62/20 63/25 64/4 64/12 66/5 89/19 91/12 91/14 92/23 97/4 97/7 126/2 132/21 141/14 150/9 170/12 191/25 192/2 193/3
misstate [1] 18/11
misunderstood [1] 53/18
Mm [8] 19/1 62/17 72/9 77/10 117/4 141/5 169/22 171/4
Mm-hmm [8] 19/1 62/17 72/9 77/10 117/4 141/5 169/22 171/4
model [4] 22/11 31/4 31/22 139/21
mom [3] 163/7 163/23 164/21
moment [3] 73/22 185/15 185/18
Monday [12] 63/10 86/22 86/24
88/17 109/6 110/9 110/17113/8
166/1 166/3 166/15 207/17
months [1] 55/17
|more [38] 15/6 16/14 30/23 45/8 53/15 55/1 65/19 66/8 66/21 68/7 78/1 85/7 99/7 99/10 100/3 101/8 102/22 106/2 106/15 110/1 112/24 116/21 131/4 138/10 141/10 144/23 149/10 158/10 168/7 168/15 169/18 171/16 177/10 190/14 207/12 214/8 214/16 219/22
morning [74] $4 / 34 / 196 / 88 / 18$ 8/25 10/21 11/25 18/24 37/15 46/1 8 48/24 64/1 64/2 65/3 69/14 69/21 72/20 72/21 75/4 75/7 77/6 $77 / 2478 / 879 / 179 / 1983 / 1084 / 7$ 84/13 85/3 85/16 85/19 87/22 90/10 93/13 93/21 94/12 95/2 95/2 95/20 99/21 102/11 102/14 102/17 102/24 102/25 103/11 104/15 106/11 106/21 120/19 120/23 121/10 124/21 125/12 125/19 130/7 130/16 137/2 137/3 149/23 152/6 168/2 168/8 169/5 194/11 203/7 203/1 $1204 / 10$ 204/15 204/25 209/7 211/10 215/23 216/3
most [10] 50/10 65/9 69/25 72/13 80/3 82/12 84/9 158/6 166/11 178/21
mostly [4] 74/2 164/6 165/18 184/10
mother [1] 14/11
motion [23] 1/4 4/6 4/9 5/22 13/9
13/12 13/14 24/25 41/9 41/12
$56 / 1357 / 1158 / 358 / 1458 / 22$
123/16 124/12 124/15 161/21 161/24 185/7 185/12 201/20
motions [6] $4 / 54 / 858 / 358 / 23$ 124/11 185/9
motor [1] 6/22
move [7] 58/22 61/6 66/7 73/9 116/6 159/2 185/13
moved [5] 62/1 141/19 142/3 155/14 155/17
Mr [2] 4/21 219/13
Mr. [107] 4/23 5/5 6/2 18/18 24/15 25/1 27/10 27/17 32/12 32/14 $33 / 1238 / 1339 / 1541 / 341 / 20$ $42 / 942 / 1642 / 1845 / 1655 / 10$ 61/22 88/21 88/24 89/1 90/13 90/15 90/17 90/17 90/18 90/22 91/2 92/5 95/15 101/20 102/5 109/17 $115 / 5116 / 10123 / 13124 / 7$ 124/24 125/2 125/3 127/3 148/9 148/18 149/1 149/4 150/11 151/6 151/9 151/23 153/19 153/19 159/1 160/5 162/4 162/17 167/6 174/3 175/17 185/1 185/4 186/13 186/25 189/21 189/25 190/20 191/3 191/1 1 192/20 193/19 194/20 195/12 195/15 199/23 200/20 201/12 202/7 202/14 204/7 205/21 206/1 206/14 206/25 207/12 207/18 208/13 208/24 209/12 209/16 211/11 212/23 213/6 213/25 214/25 215/9 215/15 215/19 217/12 218/12 219/3 220/12 220/18 220/22 221/2 221/14
Mr. Avery [23] 4/23 27/17 32/12 32/14 42/9 127/3 151/6 153/19 153/19 162/4 162/17 167/6 174/3 185/4 189/21 191/3 192/20 193/19 194/20 195/12 195/15 217/12

Mr. Avery... [1] 219/3
Mr. Avery's [25] 42/18 45/16
199/23 200/20 202/7 202/14 204/7 205/21 206/1 206/14 206/25
208/24 209/12 209/16 $211 / 11$
212/23 213/6 213/25 214/25 215/9 218/12 220/12 220/18 220/22 221/2
Mr. Bloedorn [4] 92/5 95/15 101/20 115/5
Mr. Bloedorn's [1] 102/5
Mr. Breyer [1] 91/2
Mr. Buting [17] 18/18 24/15 33/12 $41 / 388 / 2188 / 2490 / 13116 / 10$ 123/13 124/7 125/3 159/1 175/17 186/13 186/25 191/11 201/12
Mr. Earl [1] 27/10
Mr. Fallon [11] 6/2 25/1 42/16 55/10 89/1 90/17 90/18 148/9 185/1 215/15 215/19
Mr. Hillegas [5] 61/22 90/15 90/22 109/17 149/4
Mr. Hillegas' [1] 148/18
Mr. Hillegas's [1] 149/1
Mr. Kratz [1] 90/17
Mr. Lenk [3] 189/25 190/20 221/14
Mr. Remiker [2] 124/24 125/2
Mr. Steven [6] 38/13 39/15 41/20
207/12 207/18 208/13
Mr. Wiegert [3] 5/5 151/23 160/5
Mr. Zipperer [2] 150/11 151/9
Mr.Lenk [3] 186/15 189/15 190/11
Ms [11] $16 / 12$ 16/19 17/19 18/7 31/3 32/6 33/4 106/11 106/18 107/2 152/22
MTSO [2] 51/2 52/4
much [18] 13/22 65/19 72/25 75/17 82/15 96/14 105/3 106/14 106/20 112/1 120/8 158/21 160/7 165/13 166/10 171/22 201/6 220/9 multiple [1] 201/16
must [3] 35/4 102/13 180/24 mutual [1] 20/25
my [63] 8/20 12/19 13/8 26/14 31/12 42/5 44/16 47/12 51/14 52/10 52/22 53/4 53/10 54/4 55/9 78/13 78/1 8 84/9 84/22 89/7 90/13 93/11 96/14 101/25 120/11 130/18 130/21 131/19 133/21 136/17 137/12 139/18 143/6 144/13 146/25 150/23 151/8 154/8 154/10 155/9 155/14 157/2 157/3
157/10 162/20 163/7 163/7 163/15 163/17 165/18 166/24 167/10 167/10 172/22 173/1 185/19 186/4 200/23 201/2 212/10 221/10 222/9 222/13
myself[11] 39/12 47/23 48/16 49/5 59/14 59/15 60/11 87/21 89/15 130/17 147/25

## N

name [17] 6/15 33/20 33/20 59/5
59/6 59/8 61/17 61/17 66/9 114/5
114/21 162/12 162/12 173/3
186/22 186/23 192/12
named [1] 214/12
names [3] 79/3 122/11 163/8
narcotics [1] 34/6
nature [1] 62/11
near [2] 43/11 143/15
nearby [2] 44/12 80/21
necessary [1] 66/18
need [9] $30 / 1141 / 1583 / 15120 / 9$ 166/24 171/10 185/17 201/25 212/13
needed [9] $74 / 184 / 1496 / 18122 / 1$
166/20 192/3 210/4 218/15 218/18
neighbor [2] 169/9 169/10
neighboring [1] 46/2
neither [1] 191/5
never [31] 10/23 $11 / 9$ 23/14 26/11 26/13 34/22 55/16 59/6 59/8
$78 / 23$ 78/25 85/14 85/21 85/22
89/21 110/24 111/2 111/14 115/17
119/22 122/25 132/2 135/1 136/22
136/23 146/25 151/17 155/24
180/9 198/14 221/1
nevertheless [2] 33/3 42/14
new [5] 57/14 65/11 126/12
177/23 183/20
news [1] 86/9
next[20] 5/22 27/11 33/13 45/16
52/5 57/7 61/6 109/14 124/15
141/12 185/13 203/7 204/7 204/10
204/15 207/16 208/16 210/15
210/16 211/9
niece [1] 97/7
night [30] 8/18 36/15 39/25 67/11 67/17 67/20 68/2 69/25 70/1
72/20 72/23 73/6 73/20 74/6 74/7 75/10 75/11 91/25 92/16 99/12 99/16 99/23 101/12 104/4 136/9 136/12 136/19 191/23 193/22 204/5
Nikole [5] 106/12 137/15 142/21 149/15 154/5
no [213] $1 / 54 / 25 / 256 / 177 / 5$
7/12 7/15 7/17 7/22 8/1 8/2 10/9 10/14 10/16 10/17 10/19 11/2 11/4 11/8 11/23 12/1 18/16 18/17 21/17 21/20 23/22 23/24 24/10 26/1 26/15 28/14 30/3 30/4 32/23 $33 / 737 / 1838 / 838 / 1938 / 2439 / 1$ 39/7 41/23 41/24 43/8 44/11 46/8 47/7 47/16 57/6 59/11 62/18 63/18 66/13 66/24 67/1 67/5 67/21 68/1 70/10 70/13 70/17 70/22 77/19 78/8 78/22 78/24 $79 / 1179 / 1279 / 1379 / 1681 / 5$ 81/19 81/20 81/21 82/7 85/18 85/20 85/24 86/5 86/16 87/19 91/4 91/6 94/13 94/14 94/18 94/19 94/19 94/23 95/9 97/18 97/20 97/21 97/23 98/4 98/17 98/22 99/20 100/7 100/10 102/7 102/10 104/22 105/12 105/19 106/1 108/9 109/3 109/5 110/4 110/19 $115 / 14115 / 16116 / 8$ 116/23 116/24 117/12 117/22 117/22 $118 / 12119 / 5119 / 6119 / 14$ 120/4 120/5 122/12 123/9 127/8 128/2 129/8 129/16 $131 / 15$ 133/2 134/20 136/21 140/6 140/23 148/7 148/16 148/21 149/2 149/3 149/17 151/19 151/21 152/6 152/9 152/9 158/18 160/6 160/18 160/23
161/15 161/16 161/22 164/20
164/22 164/25 165/2 166/25 170/4 170/5 170/8 171/1 171/24 172/12 172/18 172/20 173/22 174/20 175/11 175/13 177/4 177/17 180/8
180/10 182/5 182/7 182/10 182/21
184/10 184/10 185/6 189/5 190/16

190/23 191/12 194/19 195/14
195/18 195/21 195/24 196/7
196/11 196/13 196/18 196/21 197/20 198/8 198/15 198/18
205/23 207/15 208/15 210/11 $211 / 13215 / 13219 / 21219 / 23$ 221/3 221/10 221/11 221/13
No. [1] 109/11
No. 2 [1] 109/11
nobody[3] 102/10 140/17 161/8 non [2] 220/4 220/16
non-Manitowoc [2] 220/4 220/16
none [4] 67/5 67/6 134/24 144/4
noon [6] 58/20 61/7 125/8 166/17
166/18 178/9
normal [5] 117/14 117/25 118/2 155/17178/9
normally [1] 136/14
north [5] 122/14 122/15 138/17 156/7 166/4
northeast [1] 157/15
not [192] 6/17 7/10 7/12 7/13 7/16 7/18 7/19 7/20 10/8 10/9 11/1 11/3 11/5 11/6 11/7 13/4 13/6 15/3 15/21 $18 / 12$ 18/22 19/2 19/5 19/8 19/25 20/3 20/20 21/25 22/4 22/6 22/7 22/10 22/1 $122 / 16$ 22/19 23/4 23/6 23/19 24/6 24/23 24/24 25/18 25/20 26/1 26/9 26/14 26/15 27/14 28/11 28/12 28/16 28/19 29/11 30/1 30/23 $32 / 732 / 932 / 1432 / 2232 / 2333 / 3$ 36/18 41/16 42/8 42/11 47/7 $47 / 1853 / 1754 / 154 / 354 / 455 / 4$ 55/5 56/11 57/25 58/7 58/15 64/10 65/17 70/8 70/22 71/1 71/3 71/9 72/1 72/22 72/25 72/25 75/25 76/1 77/19 77/20 77/20 77/25 78/16 80/21 81/15 81/15 $81 / 2181 / 2282 / 382 / 583 / 10$ $83 / 1083 / 1484 / 2585 / 786 / 15$ 86/21 87/23 88/9 88/10 91/21 95/8 98/25 99/1 99/3 100/20 100/22 102/1 103/5 103/5 $113 / 8$ 118/1 119/7119/15 120/1 120/2 120/5 121/1 122/10 126/23 131/2 136/18 140/6 140/8 140/23 143/8 144/7 148/23 149/4 154/8 157/7 158/3 159/17 161/10 164/12 171/13 173/22 174/11 176/2 176/25 177/15 178/18 178/25 180/21 $182 / 11182 / 19183 / 7184 / 2$ 184/13 185/16 185/25 190/4 190/1 8 192/9 192/13 192/23 194/4 194/12 195/21 196/14 196/18 197/12 197/13 197/17 198/4 203/8 203/17 204/4 205/2 207/15 210/9 211/18215/6215/25 217/7 220/5 220/8 221/7 221/9 221/10
note [4] 89/3 127/20 127/25 128/3
notes [3] 79/12 185/19 222/9 nothing [10] 33/9 88/4 118/16 123/1 1 152/9 161/18 175/14 177/1 184/24 220/24
November [63] 6/8 7/6 7/10 8/24 10/24 12/9 18/25 31/19 34/9 $35 / 1036 / 1542 / 1242 / 1346 / 7$ 46/12 62/2 62/22 69/21 71/15 85/16 91/9 91/25 92/13 92/25 93/16 99/2 100/20 125/13 134/17 148/16 148/20 148/22 149/24
163/2 1 168/2 177/6 188/8 189/11 191/21 191/22 192/21 193/15

November...[21] 194/14 196/19 198/19 203/13 207/14 207/16 208/16 209/20 211/9 211/17 211/22 212/3 212/21 214/4 214/23 214/25 215/23 216/21 219/20 220/22 221/1
November 3rd [7] 35/10 36/15 62/22 71/15 91/9 92/13 93/16 November 4th [9] 7/6 31/19 34/9 42/12 99/2 100/20 148/16 194/14 209/20
November 5th [10] 6/8 18/25 42/13 69/21 85/16 125/13 149/24 168/2 198/19 214/4
November 6th [1] 207/14
November 8th [1] 208/16
November 9th [4] 211/17 211/22 212/3 221/1
now [105] 8/24 10/7 12/17 13/3 13/17 13/22 14/18 $15 / 315 / 15$ 15/25 16/11 $17 / 5$ 18/10 18/21 18/24 24/6 32/9 32/20 46/12 50/24 53/7 53/8 54/23 62/1 73/7 73/10 75/5 77/5 78/20 81/24 92/10 92/10 92/24 93/7 93/14 95/1 95/20 98/5 98/9 100/6 101/5 101/22 102/11 103/4 103/13 105/21 106/9 106/18 107/2 108/1 110/8 110/15 111/14111/18112/8 112/21 113/1 114/6 114/15 123/18 123/23 125/18 130/8 132/8 $134 / 11$ 140/21 145/9 149/21 152/5 153/24 155/3 155/11 156/13 157/14 158/4 161/1 164/23 165/19 166/13 168/1 169/4 171/25 172/6 173/12 174/3 174/18 175/21 175/25 179/18 186/9 188/10 189/9 192/25 193/15 196/19 198/19 199/16 203/7 205/20 208/23 210/17 211/9 211/10 214/3 218/9
number [70] 14/9 14/16 16/13 16/17 16/20 23/12 28/21 29/2 29/7 29/15 29/18 29/21 29/25 31/15 31/24 49/17 60/10 60/12 64/24 64/25 81/25 82/2 82/3 82/5 $82 / 682 / 1982 / 22$ 83/6 83/11 $83 / 1283 / 1383 / 1784 / 284 / 7$ 84/12 84/12 84/17 96/25 98/5 98/6 99/10 99/15 100/4 108/2 108/5 108/6 108/7 109/14 140/12 140/19 141/14 141/25 149/13 155/13 183/19 183/22 183/23 183/25 184/3 184/12 184/15 184/16 $184 / 18185 / 8186 / 4$ 200/7 206/22 208/5 218/1 218/9 number 3 [1] 184/3 numbers [27] $16 / 21$ 17/1 $17 / 3$ 29/2 29/19 30/3 65/10 72/2 81/25 $82 / 882 / 1483 / 8$ 83/16 83/21 84/5 140/22 141/8 141/16 141/23 141/24 142/9 142/11 $153 / 6$ 155/7 155/21 183/16 208/2
numerous [3] 47/4 51/9 93/8 nurse [1] 62/8

## 0

o'clock [7] 103/10 123/23 124/4 200/14 200/15 203/11 204/5 oath [1] 125/3
object [3] 42/18 88/15 159/15 objection [22] 5/23 24/11 24/12

25/2 27/4 28/4 28/7 41/2 42/5 42/25 55/12 82/24 116/8 150/16 150/24 160/18 174/22 190/20 191/12 201/10 202/22 203/2
objects [1] 191/5
obligations [1] 121/18
observations [8] 24/9 25/10 25/12
25/15 25/19 26/7 28/2 28/13
observe [2] 140/6 190/6
observed [3] 41/11 131/10 210/8
obtain [2] 56/7 100/3
obtained [14] 25/7 29/1 93/15
93/17 99/10 99/15 133/7 133/7
144/5 158/2 198/17 208/25 212/24
220/21
obvious [2] 112/24 160/2
obviously [9] 8/22 16/22 32/25
45/1 57/20 86/10 137/13 140/7 152/13
occasion [2] 36/14 209/13
occasions [2] 100/3 217/11
occupation [1] 62/7
occur [2] 98/1 110/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { occurred [5] 10/21 } & 17 / 5 & 122 / 25\end{array}$
151/22 212/1
occurring [1] 89/4
October [2] 31/16 126/13
October 31st [1] 31/16
odds [1] 66/22
off [21] $4 / 25 \quad 16 / 21 \quad 75 / 12$ 75/20 84/11 87/12 88/1 104/8 106/24 112/17 116/15 153/17 165/24 178/16 179/11 179/12 179/13
179/14 201/4 202/4 208/2
OFFERED [1] 3/1
offhand [1] 194/9
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { office [26] } & 8 / 8 & 9 / 4 & 128 / 4 & 136 / 16\end{array}$
136/19 166/21 166/25 168/18
168/20 177/22 177/23 177/23
178/10 179/19 179/23 179/24
180/14 181/2 181/10 182/17183/2
183/20 214/13 217/14 217/24
219/1
officer [18] 5/21 25/11 25/13 34/1
34/12 103/6 116/2 133/23 175/1
185/16 $187 / 9188 / 5193 / 1193 / 5$
197/23 201/4 201/5 219/5
officer's [1] 211/19
officers [31] 13/4 21/12 67/2
81/18 89/17 122/2 144/1 144/23
153/5 154/20 174/5 174/10 174/19 185/22 187/20 193/11 193/13
199/6 200/24 203/22 205/7 209/19
214/5 217/7 219/18 220/17 221/4
221/6 221/7 221/8 221/9
offices [1] 85/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { official [4] } & 1 / 25 & 12 / 18 & 222 / 4\end{array}$ 222/19
Oh [1] 188/21
 26/2 26/16 35/1 36/10 36/14 37/2 37/12 38/1 38/7 39/15 40/2 41/23 41/25 43/9 44/1 50/24 51/21 57/7 60/17 61/6 62/2 62/24 63/7 66/14 73/19 74/25 75/4 76/7 76/10 76/15 77/11 78/3 79/8 79/14 $80 / 16$ 81/17 81/24 82/14 85/16 86/2 91/7 91/21 92/2 100/1 101/5 108/14 108/24 112/21 113/7 114/7 117/1 117/13 118/7118/17119/8 121/2 121/6 121/25 124/1 125/18 125/22 127/5 128/7 128/9 129/12 130/14 131/3 131/11 132/8 133/22

134/2 134/11 134/16 135/2 136/12
137/5 138/24 139/2 139/16 140/21
141/2 142/7 142/12 142/14 142/19 142/25 143/17 143/25 144/8
144/22 145/22 146/8 147/1 147/20 148/2 148/15 149/3 149/5 149/21
150/21 151/2 158/4 159/21 160/16 160/24 161/16 167/1 167/6 168/22 169/4 169/15 171/2 171/21 172/6 173/3 173/9 174/3 177/2 181/12 183/10 183/24 184/14 186/7 188/23 190/17 193/7 194/13 197/4 200/13 203/7 204/3 204/19 205/25 209/11 210/24 211/6 213/4 213/10 213/23 215/8 218/9 219/24
old [1] 55/17
omit [1] 18/13
on [338]
on some [1] 88/5
once [14] 53/15 63/25 92/22 99/5
99/6 104/13 171/24 171/25 175/25 206/14 207/20 209/11 210/21 211/11
one [93] 6/9 6/12 9/11 9/13 12/18
14/3 14/13 $15 / 2$ 26/23 27/23
29/12 32/3 36/13 37/10 37/21
$38 / 21$ 45/8 45/9 58/3 58/23 58/25
59/22 74/20 76/7 76/17 77/8 77/8 77/17 84/17 97/18 97/21 100/2
102/7 103/5 105/19 106/19 107/15 109/3 110/1 $113 / 5115 / 4117 / 2$ 117/2 118/7118/17125/11125/15 129/6 129/11 129/13 129/22 131/6 138/15 140/4 144/9 144/19 144/22 144/25 145/1 147/11 148/14 151/5 151/24 152/22 153/18 157/16 $158 / 10158 / 16159 / 23160 / 3160 / 6$ 160/7 160/9 160/25 161/25 162/2
168/7 171/5 174/5 174/10 174/19
179/21 183/19 183/22 185/15
185/16 185/22 194/13 201/1
203/22 208/3 214/3 $215 / 4$
ones [7] 46/2 48/15 49/11 57/1 117/20 161/10 219/8
only[17] 6/9 6/12 67/21 84/10 84/17 106/19 149/9 159/5 160/12 177/6 179/21 188/19 190/5 190/18 194/13 209/23 217/5
onto [6] 80/19 $119 / 15132 / 5135 / 8$ 135/10 183/1
open [5] 152/17 178/8 181/1
182/19 183/7
opened [2] $42 / 143 / 19$
opener [2] 211/23 212/6
operating [1] 161/9
opinion [2] 19/24 31/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { opportunity }[9] & 15 / 18 & 15 / 23 & 26 / 23\end{array}$
37/3 55/5 55/8 125/7 190/10
207/17
opposed [5] 44/22 46/1 92/18
92/19 190/12
or [324]
oral [1] 185/10
order [7] 5/15 34/23 93/20 109/14
126/22 206/12 214/7
orders [2] 163/12 214/5
organization [9] 9/7 64/5 64/12 90/22 90/24 91/1 94/15 95/6
96/22
organize[5] 7/10 11/1 83/25 95/25 120/23
organized [2] 50/9 73/21
original [2] 61/2 142/5
originally [1] 174/4
Orth [9] 139/6 141/22 142/17 143/14 143/16 144/2 144/20 154/14 154/15
other [82] 5/15 5/19 6/9 7/5 7/24 14/19 17/15 18/17 20/3 23/10 27/10 28/14 30/5 31/6 32/11 38/1 38/2 49/20 57/10 57/22 58/3 58/13 58/23 58/25 59/22 63/15 66/18 67/24 70/7 71/15 74/12 79/15 82/8 85/1 89/14 89/15 92/7 92/19 98/2 99/23 108/9 108/9 115/4119/3119/6 121/14121/15 123/15 125/15 125/15 131/11 138/1 148/8 149/17 149/19 149/20 150/8 151/19 152/19 154/19 154/21 156/24 157/23 157/25 161/4 161/9 169/4 173/14 179/2 185/6 185/9 187/25 195/23 196/4 201/1 202/10 202/10 202/14 219/24 219/25 221/4 221/8
others [4] 64/15 139/5 151/6 193/8
otherwise [2] 34/24 75/22 our [24] 5/20 10/14 10/15 12/4 15/15 15/25 35/14 42/10 53/20 53/22 68/25 70/11 70/22 80/1 87/10 90/9 113/25 116/23 124/2 144/14 192/1 192/24 206/2 221/18 out [117] 8/17 14/13 23/3 28/17
$36 / 1036 / 2336 / 2537 / 338 / 8$ 38/19 39/1 $342 / 16$ 43/3 44/7 $44 / 1644 / 1744 / 2450 / 2352 / 25$ 53/3 54/9 54/16 59/15 65/15 65/17 65/20 66/6 68/20 68/23
69/1 70/3 70/11 70/20 71/10 72/6 $72 / 1374 / 1274 / 2174 / 23$ 75/3
76/5 76/14 78/9 78/15 78/17
78/19 79/3 84/7 84/9 84/10 84/15 84/24 85/7 85/17 87/24 88/14 95/23 96/17 97/8 97/11 101/9 102/9 102/13 103/18 103/20
103/21 104/17 104/24 105/1 105/8 105/21 105/22 116/24 117/7
119/24 121/5 127/14 127/16 128/6 128/24 129/3 131/8 131/9 131/20
131/23 133/4 140/20 146/2 149/17 151/10 151/13 153/2 153/3 153/11 154/23 155/25 160/17 165/2 165/3 165/6 168/16 170/10 170/12
172/17 174/8 176/13 176/20
180/15 182/3 191/16 192/16
193/18 197/7 201/1 214/14 217/19 220/7
outlying [1] 87/12
outside [5] 88/19 169/9 169/10
180/16 180/17
outskirt [1] 88/1
outskirts [1] 88/8
over [25] 7/3 21/3 21/4 21/6 27/22 40/13 58/5 58/18 58/20 61/7 67/12 70/20 78/6 92/8 92/16
125/7 145/13 146/20 173/2 180/24 185/19 194/14 198/10 214/19 217/8
overhead [1] 43/17
overlap [1] 81/9
own [19] 19/24 22/5 28/12 37/7 52/25 53/4 66/15 70/16 70/18 79/12 105/2 108/19 127/11 127/14 127/18 171/11 177/15 177/17

217/6
owned [3] 13/19 21/15 88/10
owner [4] 27/11 158/14 158/14 164/24
owner's [1] 171/5
owners [6] 24/7 26/24 137/6 144/6
144/9 144/25
owns [1] 163/5
P
p.m [7] 126/13 206/18 206/19 210/25 210/25 213/13 214/4
page [4] 2/2 50/5 51/19 141/1
paged [1] 35/12
Pagel [34] 67/15 67/21 68/6 68/17 68/1 8 68/1 8 69/4 70/7 71/7 71/13
82/1 8 87/9 89/23 89/25 90/4
92/12 92/15 98/10 98/1899/2
100/7 $115 / 8115 / 24119 / 8121 / 4$
122/21 123/6 139/14 143/3 143/6
143/19 144/12 145/20 150/4
Pagel's [4] 84/6 84/12 108/6
122/17
paid [1] 176/24
paired [2] 202/4 204/16
Pam [15] 6/7 14/4 15/11 29/21 $77 / 3$ 77/3 79/20 79/24 84/6 84/6
84/12 86/4 106/11 $137 / 15$ 142/21
Pamela [7] 11/10 11/15 22/18
78/20 79/14 118/10 154/4
paper [1] 118/5
paragraph [7] 12/20 13/17 20/18
20/19 24/2 29/4 141/12
Paragraph 5[3] 20/18 24/2 29/4
paralegal [2] 147/6 147/16
parameters [1] 110/18
parcel [4] 121/20 156/2 167/7 218/7
park [1] 181/8
parked [13] 8/20 137/11 137/12
138/17 143/11 154/1 154/7 155/10 156/12 161/8 161/13 161/15 180/24
parking [6] 49/15 181/1 181/3 182/4 183/2 184/4
parks [2] 87/13 106/6
part [26] 5/24 25/3 34/6 34/18 41/8 50/2 50/10 50/11 54/2 54/9 122/3 124/12 126/14 148/8 158/13 164/10 167/2 167/3 171/12 177/3 178/13 179/1 179/13 179/14 188/10 200/19
partial [5] 1/4 1/23 14/9 16/13 221/21
participants [1] 160/13
participate [5] 91/23 145/15 147/1
198/20 203/15
participated [2] 47/25 188/15
particular [39] 6/10 7/6 7/14 8/25
10/7 12/17 16/7 38/18 42/19
43/11 58/14 76/20 92/11 93/7
93/13 94/17 95/14 98/2 105/24
106/18 109/3 109/20 $111 / 15$
112/10 $115 / 15115 / 17132 / 21$
152/7 158/15 166/20 179/1 179/1
185/7 195/16 201/11 201/19
207/24 218/16 220/8
parties [8] 4/12 19/9 52/4 57/10
58/6 123/24 138/4 185/12
parts [5] 17/16 31/7 166/19 179/11
179/12
party [1] 128/19
pass [3] 87/4 113/3 185/3
passed [6] 106/16 106/20 110/10
110/24 112/9 114/15
passenger [1] 139/25
past [6] 88/12 89/11 110/12
114/16 114/18 152/12
Patricia [1] 59/12
Patrick [1] 1/10
pen [2] 112/2 183/16
people [80] $8 / 178 / 2210 / 5$ 28/14 $37 / 138 / 353 / 353 / 2058 / 365 / 14$ 65/16 65/20 66/2 66/6 68/10 69/20 72/3 72/15 72/15 73/13 73/25 74/2 74/2 74/3 74/10 77/14 78/6 78/14 78/19 91/19 94/6 95/25 97/16 97/25 102/9 102/16 102/20 102/23 103/2 103/4 103/17 103/21 105/7 106/10 106/21
106/23 106/23 106/24 117/16 119/9 120/11 120/24 121/17 121/22 122/1 122/25 127/6 128/6 139/13 147/15 150/8 151/25 152/19 152/24 153/1 153/3 153/4
153/15 153/16 153/22 161/5 168/3 168/11 178/10 178/25 181/4
184/13 201/3 202/6 220/4
per [3] 76/22 85/7 185/7
perceived [2] 100/11 110/7
percent [1] 30/23
perfectly [1] 58/9
perhaps [6] 6/21 56/9 58/5 103/1 105/18 $113 / 23$
period [11] 27/2 32/24 34/9 39/2
49/14 62/15 133/16 133/22 146/24 215/8 220/11
periodically [1] 147/23
permission [17] 10/5 26/9 26/11 80/24 $119 / 16119 / 19122 / 17$ 131/9 132/5 137/7 169/22 171/2 175/10 178/17 184/3 184/14 194/22
permitted [2] 110/10 110/11
person [23] 1/21 5/20 6/13 6/16 6/18 9/8 31/21 36/4 64/4 64/12 66/5 104/18 126/3 132/21 140/7
148/24 168/7 190/1 190/11 190/22 191/25 193/3 219/3
personal [8] 27/25 28/13 68/9 72/2 84/17 105/23 189/17 191/15
personally[3] 22/17 63/15 200/18
persons [3] 91/15 97/4 150/9
pertinence [1] 56/12
perusal [2] 12/4 12/5
phone [65] 11/15 11/18 11/22 36/24 47/12 47/22 48/4 48/11 48/12 49/1 49/5 51/5 53/10 53/11 54/24 55/1 59/2 59/9 59/13 60/7 65/9 71/19 81/25 82/14 82/19 82/22 83/6 83/8 84/4 84/7 84/10 84/11 98/5 99/10 100/3 108/1 120/17 125/11 125/23 126/6 126/7 127/21 128/2 129/9 129/20 129/21 130/5 130/6 130/15 130/19 130/21 130/25 131/3 131/18 131/19 147/5 147/15 148/24 149/22 156/21 159/4 160/1 191/13 191/17 196/8 photograph [3] 109/19 110/3 178/4
photographs [3] 86/6 86/8 200/10
phrase [3] 13/18 16/8 217/16
phraseology [1] 21/11
physical [3] 146/12 146/19 156/1
physically [1] 28/16
pick[12] 64/3 64/3 65/8 92/23
93/24 94/12 95/3 120/5 164/10

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | es [3] 47/6 51/11 146/1 |
|  |  | $y[133] 9 / 159 / 2511 / 20$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 164 / 6 \\ & \text { picking [1] } 171 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 135/22 152/10 156/5 173/9 | 9/6 24/7 25/11 26/6 26/24 27/10 |
|  | position [3] 148/2 155/1 155/18 | /16 31/16 31/23 32/13 33/5 |
|  | 7/1 | 36/23 36/25 37/4 37/13 |
| picture [4] 64/18 64/19 135/15 |  | 38/6 38/15 44/5 44/9 46/7 48/8 |
|  | [5] 54/18 56/5 56/7 69/17 | 48/18 51/24 54/7 59/16 76/8 |
|  | 71/23 | 76/16 76/19 76/25 77/1880/19 |
| pictures [3] 31/19 76/5 119/1 <br> piece [1] 118/5 <br> pieces [1] 146/13 <br> pit [10] 45/15 45/24 45/25 149/12 | possibly [6] 64/22 86/22 100/2 | 84/21 84/25 85/11 85/17 85/23 |
|  |  | 86/3 86/11 86/18 86/1887/1 |
|  |  | 87/13 88/7 88/19 105/23 107/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 152 / 11 & 169 / 1 & 170 / 20 \\ 182 / 2 & 181 / 16 & 182 / 1 \end{array}$ | poster [6] 94/16 98/ | 108/12 109/20 109/22 111/3 |
|  | 180/1 180/2 | 113/12 118/8 118/18119/13 |
| pits [2] 78/3 106/6 |  | 119/16 119/20 122/15 126/17 |
|  | 64/13 64/14 64/19 65/3 65/8 | 128/20 129/3 130/23 131/5 |
| place[18] 9/18 11/7 25/18 32/19 49/7 49/12 76/11 76/17 92/2 | 65/13 65/18 66/2 66/6 68/13 70/2 | 131/14 132/5 132/10 132/24 |
|  | 72/13 72/19 73/23 74/1 74/1 | 133/12 133/13 133/17 134/5 |
|  | 74/20 74/21 74/23 75/2 79/3 | 134/13 134/1 |
| 120/23 126/23 151/17 192/7 197/4 placed [2] 146/9 191/10 | 93/15 93/17 93/20 93/24 94/5 | 137/7 143/9 144/5 144/6 144/9 |
|  | 94/11 95/3 95/5 95/10 95/21 96/7 | 14 |
| placements [1] 98/1 | 97/15 98/3 100/8 101/8 102/20 | 151/25 152/5 152/8 153/8 153/12 |
| places [3] 7/24 9/14 96/10 <br> placing [3] 95/21 97/15 100/13 <br> plain [3] 113/16 118/5 210/14 | 109/1 109/2 | 153/13 158/8 |
|  | ntial [1] | 158/20 159/9 163/2 163/6 165/20 |
| plain [3] 113/16 118/5 210/14 <br> PLAINTIFF [1] $1 / 4$ <br> plan [14] 9/7 68/14 68/25 78/8 | potentially [2] 57/21 | 165/24 167/8 167/15 167/17 |
|  | precluding [1] 152/7 | 167/22 168/4 172/5 |
| plan [14] $9 / 7$ 68/14 68/25 78/8 78/18 80/2 83/22 84/1 97/11 | prefer [1] 58/15 | 183 |
| 99/20 100/11 102/18 124/21$131 / 21$ | preferred [1] 191/0 | 197/9 197/19 203/8 |
|  | preliminary [2] 109/1 | 218/6 220/1 220/14 |
| planned [1] $72 / 20$planning [2] $10 / 10$ 69/25 | prepare [9] 14/1 19/20 34/22 35/1 | proposing [1] |
|  | 09/12 110/1 176/1 | Prosecutor [4] |
| planning[2] 10/10 69/25 <br> plans [5] 54/12 128/14 131/12 <br> 150/1 191/16 | 17/9 20/18 26/2 50/5 | [3] 16/19 |
|  |  |  |
| plate [3] 140/2 141/19 153/6plates [1] 139/22 | 142/14158/20 | 16/21 17/20 29/7 29/9 29/12 |
|  | present [6] 4/21 5/20 92/12 103/6 | 29/14 29/18 29/25 30/3 35/5 |
| play [3] 58/13 129/14 159/5 | 145/16 187/17 | 94/20 95/5 95/8 96/22 |
|  | presented [3] 2 |  |
| 价 ${ }^{\text {pleasantries [1] 99/24 }}$ ple | presumably [1] | proximity [1] 45/4 |
|  | pretrial [1] $4 / 4$ | public [16] 88/5 96 |
| please [18] 4/13 5/13 33/19 33/1 $61 / 1161 / 1161 / 1661 / 1683 / 4$ |  | 106/3 106/4 136/13 152/17 178/15 |
| 110/1 112/15 141/1 162/5 162/7 <br> 162/11 162/11 186/22 186/23 | 4/12 105/3 106/20 112/1 $116 / 2$ | 182/19 182/23 182/24 183/8 184/2 |
|  | 120/8 138/23 165/13 166/10 | 184/13 186/10 |
| plugged [1] $57 / 5$point [65] $9 / 189 / 210 / 10$ |  |  |
|  | prevent [2] 44/1 195/15 | hasing [1] 163/12 |
| 10/12 11/14 20/13 21/17 24/14 |  | purpose [8] 10/14 25/13 39/18 |
|  | primarily [3] 175/23 | /8 74/8 118/24 119/3 206/5 |
| 45/1 45/14 53/19 55/7 60/8 60/18$63 / 2165 / 2571 / 171 / 579 / 23$ | pr | 15/14 15/25 24/24 |
|  | printing [1] 93/23 | 13/25 171/11 |
| $86 / 1789 / 1189 / 1991 / 1894 / 17$ $95 / 1498 / 998 / 1398 / 1798 / 22$ |  | 190/1 |
| 95/14 98/9 98/13 98/17 98/22$99 / 8100 / 2100 / 25102 / 12110 / 9$ | 4 | ursuant [1] 11/18 |
|  | prison [1] | shed [1] 155/16 |
| 112/2 112/8115/4 120/11122/21$123 / 21131 / 6132 / 2132 / 15140 / 4$ |  | 20/23 22/4 22/16 25/6 |
|  | 119/20 184/6 184/17 184/22 | 53/23 58/7 64/7 64/9 64/12 65/18 |
| 144/1 144/11 145/1 145/23 151/12 | Probability [1] 30/25 | 68/13 72/5 72/13 74/1 75/2 |
| 172/2 175/7 175/8 177/21184/1$192 / 7192 / 11192 / 25194 / 18$$201 / 19$ | probable [10] $12 / 13$ |  |
|  | 20/4 30/16 31/10 31/25 32/7 | 109/9 109/13 112/19 112/23 |
| 201/11 | 32/15 32/21 | 113/11 125/10 171/18 183/16 |
| pointed [6] 42/16 169/20 170/18$172 / 16181 / 15181 / 25$ |  |  |
|  | 22 103/3 104/16 116/23 | 184/19 218/2 |
| pointer [10] 111/7 111/21 111/21 | 17188/21 191/8 211/25 | putting[5] 66/1 68/25 74/14 82/6 |
| 135/4 135/6 137/22 $155 / 23$ 156/3 177/21 180/19 |  |  |
| pointers [1] 67/7 |  | Q |
| pointing [6] 111/5 111/7 137/16177/25 180/25 181/22 |  |  |
|  | proceed [4] 6/3 123/21 187 | 118/3118/5 |
| police [21] $25 / 8$ 34/1 66/12 66/14$66 / 1967 / 267 / 567 / 968 / 368 / 8$ |  |  |
|  | proceedings [3] | 5/5 56/7 57/22 59/1 |
| 70/21 71/25 75/22 81/12 81/18 | 222/13 | 78/13 98/24 151/3 161/1 201/24 |
| 88/12 88/13 89/11 122/2 174/5 | cess [6] 55/22 | 203/2 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} 187 / 8 \\ \text { policy [1] } & 178 / 13 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 60 / 24104 / 10218 / 10 \\ & \text { processed [1] } 106 / 10 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\underset{212 / 17}{\text { questioning [4] } 13 / 2242 / 12112 / 9}$ |

questions [22] 18/17 30/5 30/7 30/13 33/8 41/15 67/18 68/8 88/25 89/8 90/7 93/11 123/9
147/3 148/8 161/17 171/12 174/3 185/2 $215 / 14218 / 9221 / 12$ quick [7] 32/3 66/22 70/23 84/15 104/12 140/17 186/3
quickly [2] 51/17 60/12
quite [6] $45 / 19$ 54/3 54/4 55/16 123/1 165/20
quote [6] $21 / 12$ 21/15 51/1 51/22 52/2 52/11
R
R-e-m-i-k-e-r [1] 33/21
rabbit [4] 172/22 172/24 173/13
173/24
rabbits [1] 173/2
radio [15] 15/1 47/13 47/14 47/21
47/24 48/11 49/10 49/19 49/21
56/5 56/10 59/15 60/7 60/25
125/15
raining [1] 138/16
raise [4] 33/15 61/12 162/7 186/16
raised [2] 89/1 186/17
rank [3] 187/13 187/17 187/21
ranking [2] 133/23 188/5
rather [2] 73/22 190/21
RAV [14] 15/10 15/13 26/7 29/8 29/15 31/5 31/22 137/10 137/25 138/21 139/2 139/18 172/7 197/18 re [2] 5/9 32/4
RE-REDIRECT [1] 32/4
re-swear [1] 5/9
reached [1] 38/17
read [10] 21/3 21/4 21/6 127/20
141/12 141/16 141/18 141/18 147/7 147/19
readily [2] 106/3 154/6
reading [2] 155/13 155/20
ready [6] 58/20 61/7 103/20 105/2 124/14 165/8
real [6] 76/1 81/15 86/21 116/24 135/16 141/20
realize [1] 65/21
realized [1] 86/12
really [17] 6/15 23/7 54/4 57/21
67/6 70/22 81/1 93/1 94/14
119/22 122/23 122/25 152/3
178/21 197/25 198/6 201/13
rear [1] 45/15
reason [9] 25/1841/23 43/6 43/8
116/24 119/6 151/4 151/22 208/3
reasonable [1] 30/20
reasons [4] 118/17 151/5 152/22 153/19
recall [42] 4/24 6/15 27/14 34/19
38/19 43/20 53/14 80/12 86/11 89/6 92/11 96/23 100/24 101/13
114/5 114/6 $119 / 21 \quad 123 / 14124 / 14$ 126/25 127/24 136/10 157/22
158/1 175/12 179/24 191/23 192/6
194/3 194/9 194/10 198/5 198/6
200/11 203/10 205/1 207/15
208/15 208/17 211/13 211/16 211/18
recalling [1] 124/8
receipt [1] 160/19
receive [4] 46/17 99/23 131/18 196/22
received [25] 3/1 6/12 8/4 8/12
$11 / 15$ 14/10 16/13 21/12 28/17
36/7 38/14 51/5 99/12 104/18
115/24 116/2 119/22 160/20
160/22 189/12 193/17 196/25
198/12 206/3 207/25
receiving [1] 8/6
recent [1] 165/19
recently [3] 31/18 63/7 123/3
recess [3] 90/12 186/8 221/20
recognize [2] 109/20 179/16
recollect [1] 214/11
recollection [20] 19/15 53/8 54/22
55/2 79/10 92/14 98/25 103/11
112/21 125/9 155/15 175/2 201/2
205/5 206/20 208/10 208/12 211/1 211/24 212/7
record [29] 4/13 12/25 20/12
33/20 41/5 42/15 45/20 61/17
89/2 111/4 111/6 114/21 124/7
125/10 130/2 135/7 136/1 137/21
138/7 159/4 162/12 177/25 178/7
180/25 181/22 183/12 186/23
191/11 214/23
recordable [1] 130/3
recorded [11] 47/12 47/12 47/22
55/15 57/20 59/3 61/1 130/4
130/17 131/15 131/16
recording [2] 56/8 130/25
recordings [15] 48/1 48/10 48/12
49/23 55/5 55/13 55/17 55/23
56/23 57/16 58/4 58/7 58/12
125/8 130/4
records [3] 125/22 126/6 212/11
recross [3] 2/6 30/6 30/8
Recross-Examination [2] 2/6 30/8
rectangle [1] 114/1
rectangled [1] 114/8
red [3] 112/20 113/23 183/16
redirect [13] 2/5 2/7 2/12 2/17
2/25 18/19 28/7 32/4 116/12
159/1 161/2 219/15 221/13
redo [1] 157/7
reentry [1] 218/22
refer [1] 135/3
reference [5] 34/20 127/10 128/3
134/7 141/3
referring [13] 18/24 29/23 39/11
45/7 47/21 48/2 49/11 68/16
73/17 101/19 118/2 153/13 153/20
reflect [9] 20/12 111/5 111/6
137/21 138/8 177/25 178/7 180/25 181/22
reflected [1] 17/7
reflecting [1] 114/12
reflects [1] 136/1
refresh [3] 19/14 79/9 125/9
regard [4] 25/4 97/22 101/23
193/1
regarded [1] 67/6
regarding[24] 4/4 4/8 10/20 11/10
13/23 17/23 30/13 68/7 68/8 72/2
91/16 94/15 95/21 96/3 97/10
99/17 100/11 108/10 149/22
151/20 168/4 192/14 192/18
218/10
registered [2] 15/7 62/8
registration [3] 17/23 139/20
155/9
regular [1] 179/15
regularly [1] 173/13
reinterview [6] 54/13 128/22 150/9
151/4 151/9 193/18
related [3] 38/12 124/12 210/3
relates [1] 42/24
relating [2] 53/7 54/23
relationship [3] 62/11 89/18 89/25
relative [5] 5/19 56/6 94/21 97/10 116/3
relatively [1] 200/1
released [2] 104/19 175/25
releasing [1] 185/22
relevance [5] 41/10 42/5 88/16
89/22 201/10
relevant [6] 24/16 24/20 24/24
41/2 42/12 201/19
reliable [1] 30/12
relied [4] 24/17 25/19 28/9 126/6
rely [2] 20/4 25/7
remain [2] 61/11 162/5
remained [1] 62/14
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { remaining [3] } & 4 / 6 & 16 / 25 \quad 17 / 19\end{array}$
remains [1] 5/15
remember[17] 79/4 79/18 80/7 80/15 81/1 81/1 120/21 122/20 137/16 139/9 147/19 171/17 175/3 175/4 177/14 194/8 209/2
remembered [1] 55/1
REMIKER [55] $2 / 8$ 9/1 $9 / 23$ 10/15 10/20 11/14 14/15 14/18 14/24 16/24 17/20 18/22 20/23 21/1
24/3 24/6 25/17 26/19 27/12 28/1
28/23 29/5 29/7 29/22 33/14
33/16 33/21 51/1 55/9 58/10 59/1
59/23 123/14 124/8 124/10 124/24
125/2 148/15 160/4 160/10 192/10
192/22 193/8 194/6 194/24 195/8
195/11 195/16 196/25 199/4 202/8
204/2 204/17 206/16 209/20
Remiker's [2] 16/24 57/18
renew [1] 42/5
repair [1] 166/20
rephrase [2] 41/17 83/1
report [28] 47/12 49/25 50/4 50/5
50/22 50/25 50/25 51/14 51/22
52/8 52/13 52/15 52/17 53/16
53/17 53/23 54/1 91/15 133/21
140/24 141/7 143/6 144/13 145/5
211/14211/25 212/8 213/16
reported [2] 1/24 222/6
reporter [6] 1/25 59/18 62/18
211/3 222/5 222/19
reports [3] 79/12 128/8 211/19
representing [2] 148/25 149/6
request [3] 55/4 193/2 193/24
requested [5] 35/12 52/10 55/6 56/24 57/23
require [1] 190/11
reside [4] 41/20 61/22 61/25 62/2
resided [3] 37/20 38/13 39/6
residence [25] 42/10 46/1 95/12
105/24 135/20 152/12 $156 / 10$
156/1 1 167/12 183/24 184/18
192/17 194/15 195/22 199/6 200/1
203/23 205/21 206/23 211/11
212/13 217/12 218/12 219/2
220/23
residences [2] 146/14 167/9
respect [15] 7/9 8/17 9/22 15/14
16/11 16/14 16/18 16/23 30/14
57/11 97/15 101/5 110/15 153/24
217/5
respective [1] 97/25
responded [1] 11/19
response [1] 218/9
rest [2] 29/16 56/11
restaurants [1] 85/8
restrict [1] 78/16
restricted [1] 78/13
restrictions [1] 105/10
result [3] 8/6 23/2 218/19
resume [2] 123/23 124/3
resumed [1] 212/14
retrieve [4] 51/17 206/10 208/5 210/3
return [1] 13/4
returned [5] 65/12 95/10 154/11
203/7 204/3
review [11] 22/13 49/2 50/16
53/10 53/11 54/23 55/8 56/20 79/8 147/15 212/10
reviewed [12] 47/8 47/24 49/11
49/24 50/25 51/13 53/15 54/25
56/1959/3 60/1861/7
reviewing [2] 60/9 147/25
ride [6] 63/19 168/14 168/23 169/2
177/10 177/12
rifles [1] 206/23
right [355]
river [1] 87/14
road [46] 7/2 8/21 37/24 38/16 38/17 38/22 39/8 40/9 48/21 $51 / 2474 / 1075 / 1888 / 488 / 13$ 105/19 111/10113/5 114/3 114/15 114/22 117/12 135/10 135/11 135/16 136/3 136/11 143/10 143/22 152/10 152/11 153/17 156/6 156/9 164/7 164/8 171/16 176/20 181/19 182/1 182/12
182/19 182/23 182/24 183/5 184/7 184/17
roads [22] 6/19 8/17 75/14 76/24 76/25 78/7 78/14 80/21 106/3 106/4 112/17113/5 114/18114/19 116/25 117/16 117/18118/1118/6 118/16 121/7 170/23
roadway [2] 135/17 135/22
roaming [2] 178/11 178/16
Robert [2] 173/4 173/6
Rohrer [1] 145/25
role [2] 58/13 198/16
roof [1] 183/20
room [5] 195/12 199/18 200/23
200/25 202/15
roommate [3] 62/25 63/3 92/5
rooms [4] 41/25 42/24 199/17
202/10
Roughly [1] 180/13
route [1] 136/2
RPR [2] 1/24 222/19
run [6] 162/20 162/21 163/1 163/3
165/13 175/22
running [3] $163 / 18$ 163/22 164/4
runs [5] 136/4 156/13 182/12
182/13 182/14
RV [1] 197/3
RYAN [6] 2/14 59/5 61/9 61/10 61/13 61/18
S
safety [3] 8/16 8/23 90/24
said [45] 21/9 23/9 23/11 $23 / 17$
24/1 65/3 68/13 71/16 71/24 77/9 81/3 102/1 103/9 105/7 107/9
118/17 119/9 122/1 122/23 127/19 130/11 130/13 131/8 131/25
149/12 149/25 150/5 155/24
158/13 159/8 168/21 171/15
$176 / 10181 / 15182 / 10193 / 25$
194/12 206/18 209/8 210/24 212/2 214/16 $215 / 6$ 220/24 222/13
sales [1] 163/12
salvage [33] $10 / 3 \quad 14 / 25 \quad 29 / 8 \quad 29 / 13$ 51/25 54/7 54/20 76/8 80/6 80/17 87/17 88/9 109/23 112/4 122/15
145/8 149/12 150/15 152/15 153/1
153/13 161/5 162/20 162/22
163/13 166/14 167/16 171/8
171/23 175/21 176/18 203/8 214/15
Salvages [2] 167/13 167/15
same [11] 23/7 38/3 46/10 108/22
139/21 154/12 165/7 176/14
181/12 210/17215/8
sat [2] 174/12 175/5
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { satellite [6] } 75 / 12104 / 8 & 111 / 25\end{array}$
116/14117/11118/3
satisfactory [1] 60/2
satisfied [1] 191/2
Saturday [44] 6/8 8/24 18/24 44/24 $45 / 1845 / 2246 / 1248 / 368 / 24$ 69/1 69/14 69/20 72/20 72/21 72/24 73/7 73/9 73/21 74/9 75/4 75/7 77/5 79/1 85/19 93/12 102/11 102/14 102/24 102/25
103/1 8 108/25 120/18 120/23
121/10 125/19 148/22 149/8
149/23 152/6 168/2 174/4 177/5 178/10 196/20
Saturday/Sunday [1] 45/18
Saturdays [1] 166/16
saw [8] 44/20 63/9 63/10 86/12
89/21 134/17 180/9 210/13
say[70] $8 / 2$ 16/2 $21 / 4$ 24/24 29/4 29/14 29/24 39/11 46/21 51/21
$52 / 252 / 2054 / 254 / 556 / 1060 / 8$ 62/18 67/9 68/15 70/11 72/3
$73 / 16$ 76/2 77/3 77/12 85/1 87/23 92/24 94/9 101/18 102/4 103/3 107/14 108/17 117/5 117/14 119/12 119/21 121/16 125/20 127/21 133/4 134/3 134/21 137/2 138/25 141/6 142/3 143/3 143/22 144/3 144/17 147/25 153/12 164/9 164/12 165/12 167/1 167/3 172/1 177/12 178/20 178/24 184/7
184/10 188/17 188/18 190/5 200/2 218/25
saying [5] 29/9 76/10 150/19
151/24 177/6
says [13] $51 / 1452 / 152 / 852 / 13$
52/24 54/12 127/12 128/21 129/21
131/6 131/16 141/9 143/6
scale [2] 75/13 86/12
scaling [1] 70/2
scene[10] 11/19 14/13 14/15
14/19 15/17 24/4 26/16 28/15
145/13 145/23
schedule [1] 58/7
scheduled [1] 103/9
Schetter [2] 134/1 145/19
school [1] 62/14
scope [4] 27/5 27/7 28/5 28/7
Scott [6] 63/4 67/12 101/21 103/19
104/4 148/18
screwdriver [1] 155/16
se [2] $76 / 2285 / 7$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { search [113] } & 4 / 97 / 11 & 7 / 21 & 7 / 25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}9 / 14 & 10 / 2 & 10 / 13 & 11 / 7 \\ 12 / 9 & 12 / 14\end{array}$ 17/6 19/9 19/16 19/21 $24 / 18$ 25/4 $30 / 1130 / 1431 / 1232 / 1534 / 14$
$34 / 18$ 34/20 34/23 35/2 40/1
40/11 40/16 42/10 44/14 51/24
52/3 54/7 54/20 65/7 65/17 65/19 67/6 69/8 71/3 71/11 72/1 74/11
$74 / 1175 / 875 / 1475 / 1676 / 20$
80/17 103/19 104/20 105/5 105/23
106/2 108/11 $112 / 11113 / 10$
115/14 116/3118/18119/10
119/24 121/5 121/6 127/11 128/18 128/19 128/20 129/4 131/12 131/13 133/8 134/23 137/1 146/10 148/19 149/1 $188 / 12$ 188/14
188/19 196/9 196/16 197/14 198/16 198/20 199/7 200/8 200/25 201/9 201/16 201/22 201/23 202/13 203/14 203/15 204/6 205/20 208/25 209/21 209/23 210/18 $211 / 6213 / 7213 / 10213 / 25$ 214/6 214/24 218/2 219/17 219/19 219/25 220/3 220/14
searched [14] 41/25 75/17 77/22
87/11 113/13114/13115/18 121/12 121/21 200/19 202/12 203/23 204/12 205/10
searcher[5] 6/9 8/13 22/3 149/6 149/8
searchers [32] 6/19 10/18 21/10 21/13 29/18 29/25 46/25 47/2 $47 / 451 / 1051 / 2353 / 2154 / 654 / 9$ 54/15 70/19 71/3 76/16 83/9 85/3 85/5 85/5 85/7 105/4 127/9 128/24 129/2 132/4 134/18 135/17 151/10 151/13
searches [32] 47/5 51/11 53/1
54/16 63/22 66/3 66/19 66/25 67/4 68/20 68/24 70/9 70/15 75/1 75/6 80/14 89/12 97/9 100/12 113/22 115/7 127/15 128/6 131/4 151/11 207/12 216/24 216/24 218/12 219/2 219/4 220/17
searching [20] 6/19 6/25 81/12 87/18 $110 / 12110 / 16115 / 12$ 128/25 159/9 199/12 200/18 200/23 202/3 202/8 204/24 209/12 210/1 218/3 218/22 219/25
seat [1] 115/2
seated [4] 5/13 33/19 61/16 162/11
second [16] 43/10 54/21 69/3 128/9 131/16 149/24 159/4 160/1 160/7 160/9 189/16 193/20 204/4 207/9 $211 / 6$ 220/21
seconds [1] 84/10
secretary [1] 147/6
secreted [2] 17/14 31/6
section [1] 80/17
sectioned [1] 103/20
sections [3] 77/12 77/15 77/17
sectored [2] 87/12 87/25
sectors [2] 77/8 77/12
securing [1] 171/22
security [1] 142/18
see [48] 10/15 24/19 27/10 28/19
28/21 44/4 44/12 45/14 63/9
$63 / 15$ 67/11 72/16 86/23 87/8 116/25 118/1 127/15 128/18 128/19 129/2 132/4 137/7 137/13 137/18 140/5 140/7 140/8 140/13 140/18 8 141/20 142/7 143/9 150/14 151/5 151/12 154/25 166/19 166/23 169/2 172/12 172/14 180/7 180/10 182/14 184/19 185/16 197/18 197/25

S
seeing [1] 123/3
seek [2] 32/22 33/3
seemed [1] 39/23
seen [22] 9/18 31/16 31/21 32/19
44/17 44/25 45/24 63/7 64/23
75/23 75/24 76/1 76/11 86/6 86/8
90/4 105/14 107/18 118/20 127/25
172/17 198/1
seize [1] 206/22
seized [5] 200/7 202/18 203/4
205/12 205/16
select [1] 75/16
selected [1] 75/15
sell [1] 164/11
selling [1] 31/20
semicolon [1] 29/17
sending [1] 97/16
sensed [1] 45/1
sent [2] 106/11 210/2
sentence [1] 29/17
separate [1] 151/8
sequence [2] 73/6 120/16
sequential [1] 50/8
sequestration [1] 5/15
sergeant [31] 36/22 39/25 139/6
142/17 143/14 143/16 144/20
154/14 154/15 192/4 192/16 193/8
193/21 198/25 199/4 201/8 202/5
204/1 204/16 206/15 207/20
207/21 207/25 208/18 208/21
210/21 211/12 211/20 213/12
214/12 219/5
sergeants [1] 217/9
serial [2] 208/1 208/5
series [4] 113/11 113/18113/19 114/2
service [1] 43/16
set [2] 12/12 186/6
setting [3] 31/14 31/23 31/24
seven [3] 17/19 101/1 215/2
Seventeen [1] 16/17
several [6] 19/8 23/16 51/22 52/3 54/5 133/21
she [92] 6/21 7/1 9/18 14/4 14/9
15/12 15/12 16/12 16/19 16/21 21/20 21/20 21/22 21/22 22/19 22/20 22/22 22/24 23/1 23/1 23/6 23/6 23/8 23/9 23/11 23/12 23/14 23/16 23/19 31/19 32/17 32/19 62/20 63/25 64/21 70/23 70/25 75/23 75/24 76/1 76/5 76/11 76/17 78/12 78/25 79/2 79/17 $79 / 25$ 80/4 80/7 80/9 80/12 80/13 80/16 80/16 80/18 80/24 81/2 81/17 81/17 81/20 81/21 81/22 81/23 84/13 84/17 90/5 91/12 92/4 92/22 106/19 107/4 107/9 107/15 107/17 107/22 108/18 108/18118/17118/17118/18 118/19 119/1 119/3 123/4 126/16 126/19 127/1 149/15 149/16 152/23 152/24
sheriff [31] 67/22 68/18 69/4 70/7 $71 / 771 / 1382 / 1883 / 2484 / 1$ 89/23 89/25 90/4 92/12 98/10 98/18 99/2 100/7 $115 / 8115 / 24$ 119/8 122/17 122/21 123/6 139/14 143/3 143/6 143/19 144/12 145/20 150/4 187/23
Sheriff's [46] 4/11 8/8 8/10 9/4 10/11 14/20 18/23 19/10 34/8

34/13 35/13 35/23 47/20 48/20 48/22 49/16 50/6 51/3 51/6 58/4 58/16 58/19 59/24 60/14 60/15 60/22 61/4 64/25 98/7 121/3 130/5 145/14 146/5 187/19 189/14 199/9 214/13 $215 / 22$ 215/22 216/9 217/4 217/7 217/14 217/19 217/24 219/1
Sherwood [1] 75/19
shift [4] 8/9 8/1 1 128/4 128/5
shined [1] 142/10
shops [2] 135/19 152/12
short [3] 144/13 162/24 203/20
shorter [1] 160/8
shorthand [1] 222/10
shortly [8] 49/17 50/20 68/12
151/22 158/5 158/16 174/18 198/12
shot [1] 116/22
shots [1] $86 / 11$
should [30] 7/20 14/12 20/12
54/13 54/17 64/24 73/2 77/21
77/24 80/24 80/25 81/6 81/13
99/11 101/8 101/9 105/11 124/23
129/5 130/9 150/14 159/24 164/12
170/24 177/25 180/25 181/22
183/11 191/10 206/3
shouldn't [1] 120/10
show [9] 87/15 87/15 117/18
135/5 135/15 156/3 177/22 180/19
181/16
showed [11] 79/20 80/2 87/9
87/10 87/22 106/12 142/24 143/1
145/24 156/16 197/24
showing [3] 103/17 130/1 174/5
shows [2] 60/14 178/21
sic [1] 59/12
side [13] $8 / 2144 / 1045 / 1088 / 14$
105/18 $111 / 9$ 137/24 138/2 139/25
140/1 142/1 143/23 182/13
sided [1] 114/10
sign [1] 147/9
signed [1] 133/14
significant [2] 18/13 201/20
significantly [1] 30/19
signing [1] 147/12
similar [5] 28/11 105/7 116/18
118/11 132/7
similarly [2] 100/18 108/24
simply [1] 20/4
since [10] 10/5 15/22 34/5 50/24
128/1 147/11 150/17 153/4 191/11 208/24
single [1] 103/5
sir [20] $162 / 19$ 194/1 $194 / 19$
195/14 195/18 195/21 195/24
196/7 196/11 196/13 196/21 198/8
198/18 200/12 203/24 208/15
211/2 214/21 216/22 221/17
sister [2] 167/21 170/12
sit [4] 92/10 $174 / 11184 / 22 \quad 187 / 1$
sitting [2] 5/23 210/14
six[6] 144/1 181/23 213/5 $215 / 4$
220/12 220/13
size [2] 204/20 204/23
sizing [1] 70/2
sleep [1] 70/5
slightly [1] 137/24
small [4] 117/18 173/14 199/24 200/1
smaller [7] 104/1 $112 / 5$ 117/2
117/5 117/20 117/21 117/22
snowing [1] 138/16
so [219] 7/9 13/14 15/9 15/13 17/5 17/9 17/15 18/21 19/23 20/8 20/25 21/25 27/1 27/16 28/8 28/8 28/21 29/24 30/12 34/10 34/17
38/9 39/15 40/8 40/15 40/18 41/5 41/14 41/15 42/24 46/4 46/9 48/4 48/25 49/1 52/24 54/1 55/7 55/17 56/6 58/5 58/20 60/17 62/15 63/11 63/12 65/2 65/16 65/18 65/19 65/22 66/18 68/21 69/4 69/6 71/12 71/24 72/5 72/18 $72 / 2372 / 2573 / 1274 / 874 / 12$ 74/25 75/4 76/19 77/20 78/25 79/14 81/9 81/15 81/16 82/16 $82 / 18$ 83/17 83/20 83/22 84/4 $84 / 1985 / 1085 / 2186 / 1487 / 17$ 89/2 89/8 89/10 90/2 90/6 90/25 91/6 91/7 94/9 94/13 96/14 96/17 96/19 97/10 97/13 97/15 97/24 98/8 99/15 100/1 101/1 101/16 102/25 104/18 104/23 106/25 107/3 107/22 $111 / 20113 / 15$ 113/24 114/22 114/25 115/3 117/20 117/23 118/21 121/19 121/20 123/7 124/22 124/23 125/10 127/13 127/17 131/17 131/21 133/3 133/16 134/6 136/22 138/7 141/10 141/17 142/14 143/1 1 143/25 144/15 144/16 144/1 8 144/22 148/5 148/23 149/3 149/14 152/18 153/3 154/13 156/7 158/10 159/3 161/11 161/12 163/5 164/15 166/9 167/1 168/22 169/22 170/15 170/25 172/3 173/9 173/20 174/15 176/7 177/20 178/7 178/25 179/22 180/6 181/8 181/23 182/3 182/22 $187 / 2$ 188/5 $189 / 5$ 193/7 195/8 196/2 197/16 198/5 199/6 199/14 200/1 200/12 201/8 202/2 202/1 8 203/22 204/3 204/10 205/7 205/23 206/9 206/14 207/9 208/3 209/8 209/15 209/17 211/6 212/21 213/5 213/22 213/24 214/11
214/22 216/23 217/17 218/8 220/5 220/15 221/3
solitary [1] 103/6
some [119] 4/4 6/21 8/16 9/6
10/12 $15 / 5$ 17/14 35/14 36/7 36/8 37/1 41/14 41/14 44/20 44/21 $45 / 147 / 147 / 147 / 551 / 1153 / 7$ 53/13 53/19 53/20 53/21 54/15 54/16 55/14 56/20 57/2 57/2 57/4 59/15 63/21 63/22 64/1865/2 65/7 65/25 66/2 68/3 70/15 72/10 $73 / 1382 / 282 / 8$ 86/17 88/5 88/6 88/25 89/11 89/11 89/12 91/18 97/3 97/6 98/9 98/10 99/8 100/2 100/25 101/9 102/8 102/12 105/17 105/18 110/6 $110 / 9110 / 12$ 112/8 113/22 117/24 117/24 121/17 126/5 127/5 127/15 127/16 127/16 127/17 127/22 128/6 128/24 128/25 130/20 131/7 134/22 135/22 138/13 146/11 146/12 147/3 147/14 151/9151/10151/11 151/11 152/23 153/5 153/21 155/12 156/1 159/7 164/11 165/5 168/3 172/2 173/2 175/7 175/7 181/24 183/16 183/17 192/7 201/1 201/1 210/4 210/5 215/12
somebody [26] 29/9 50/14 58/16
60/13 76/22 84/3 84/15 84/15
92/21 94/7 99/11 106/25 120/14
somebody...[13] 121/2 127/16
128/4 131/20 142/4 155/15 157/3 157/4 157/12 171/11 193/24 196/22 202/5
somehow [3] 44/21 70/20 157/19
someone [7] $36 / 19$ 58/18 60/21
133/25 150/11 168/10 170/11
someplace [1] 142/20
something [24] 13/14 23/20 50/11 55/17 57/5 60/10 73/13 77/20
82/1 99/11 101/16115/21 128/3
149/21 155/16 164/13 166/23
167/19 168/19 173/12 179/2
204/21 207/24 209/24
sometime [2] 126/12 132/14
sometimes [4] 164/18 179/10
179/13 179/13
somewhat [3] 30/19 174/17 179/6
somewhere [13] 44/6 57/20 70/24
72/7 103/10 105/19 143/18 145/5
154/16 174/25 196/24 197/12
209/6
soon [1] 104/25
sorry [15] 21/10 43/24 59/7 68/23
98/19 122/20 125/19 134/11 151/3
160/9 163/16 167/14 173/5 175/15 187/25
sort [18] 38/16 45/12 50/8 64/17 65/22 66/25 69/4 70/12 80/25
86/7 127/22 135/22 136/4 138/13 163/13 178/22 180/25 182/12
sound [1] 51/12
sounds [1] 215/3
sources [3] 13/25 14/3 24/1
south [4] 49/15 121/21 138/21
156/7
spare [1] 206/7
speak [10] 26/23 26/25 38/1 67/10 69/11 69/14 69/20 192/5 192/8 194/20
speaking[6] 27/11 27/14 82/13 112/16 159/25 201/6
Special [6] 1/13 1/15 4/16 4/18 216/18217/1
specific [10] 12/12 34/19 38/10
38/12 57/1 96/1 206/5 206/9
208/3 214/14
specifically [9] 4/5 21/9 26/15
71/9 76/14 77/25 81/5 93/11 147/19
speculating [1] 150/17
Speculation [1] 24/13
spell [4] 33/20 61/17 162/12
186/23
split [1] 201/4
spoke [9] 6/7 7/5 22/18 36/25 68/8
99/1 145/6 149/15 192/6
spoken [5] 6/9 81/17 93/21 192/17 193/21
spot [3] 111/10 112/20 137/22
spots [2] 78/17 210/5
spread [1] 200/25
squad [2] 27/23 154/1
squirrel [1] 173/14
ss [1] 222/1
St [1] 75/18
staff [1] 217/6
stand [2] 162/5 190/7
standard [4] 30/15 30/18 30/22 204/22
standing[15] 27/11 41/14 42/7
$42 / 942 / 1542 / 1861 / 11112 / 22$
137/15 137/19 143/16 162/5
180/22 181/18 185/15
start [7] 87/18 104/14 145/3
169/20 178/11 178/16 182/9
started [18] 39/7 46/15 64/5 65/11
67/13 67/14 69/10 73/25 101/13
102/2 102/16 103/17 104/13 140/1
142/22 170/15 174/5 180/4
state [24] 1/1 1/3 1/13 1/15 4/2
4/12 4/14 5/1 33/19 51/1 61/16
97/9 124/17 129/13 135/7 136/1
161/23 162/11 186/22 191/16
210/6 220/6 222/1 222/5
State's [1] 124/13
stated [3] 52/3 150/19 151/1
statement [3] 94/24 94/25 132/7
statements [2] 4/10 49/20
station [4] 49/8 49/12 130/22 132/11
stay [1] 201/2
stayed [5] 15/19 142/18 $154 / 11$
157/4 201/6
Steier [1] 214/12
stenographic [1] 222/9
step [1] 162/4
steps [1] 66/6
Steve [5] 43/9 43/21 164/23 165/12 167/12
Steve's [3] 38/22 156/10 157/21
STEVEN [35] 1/6 1/20 4/2 31/17
36/1 5 37/1 37/19 38/13 39/9
39/15 41/20 44/13 44/20 46/1
54/13 128/22 146/17 150/9 151/9
158/7 162/17 175/25 192/11
193/16 194/5 194/14 198/20
203/23 207/12 207/18 208/13
208/17 210/18211/16215/1
Steven's [2] 45/2 45/10
stick [1] 104/20
sticker [12] 14/5 14/7 14/11 18/2
18/8 18/8 21/21 22/8 23/11 31/5
109/13 139/22
still [17] 45/12 45/17 48/19 49/8
70/23 70/24 74/6 87/1 105/15
123/3 123/13 125/2 140/24 172/13
172/14 179/21 184/8
stipulate [4] 114/21 212/10 212/15
213/19
stipulation [1] 212/20
stockroom [1] 178/23
stood [2] 154/8 195/12
stop [2] 136/7 137/5
stopped [3] 60/8 135/25 154/6
stopping [3] 106/23 153/6 184/1
storage [1] 176/18
stores [1] 85/9
stragglers [2] 107/1 107/2
straight [1] 135/21
STRANG [2] 1/16 4/22
stretch [1] 7/1
strip [2] 113/21 117/9
stuff [4] 65/10 127/17 175/22
202/9
stuffed [1] 105/18
Sturm [27] 6/7 11/10 11/16 14/4
15/11 16/12 16/19 17/19 18/7
22/18 29/21 31/3 32/6 33/4 59/12
77/4 78/20 79/14 84/6 86/4
106/12 106/18 107/2 118/10
137/15 152/23 154/4
subject [1] 218/6
subpoenaed [1] 185/22
subsequent [4] 45/21 127/2 127/2 201/14
such [10] $10 / 1914 / 5 \quad 18 / 7 \quad 25 / 14$ 55/5 79/5 95/25 117/19 171/13 171/13
sufficient [1] 147/17
suggest [4] 7/20 11/7 64/8 182/6
suggestion [3] 214/8 214/9 214/16
suggestions [1] 170/17
summarize [1] 214/22
Sunday [5] 44/25 45/18 45/22
63/10 109/6
sunlight [2] 141/19 155/19
superiors [1] 208/1
supervising [1] 193/1
supervision [1] 216/25
supervisor [1] 132/18
support [1] 35/3
supposed [5] 20/3 83/23 83/24 87/7 189/1
supposedly [1] 131/7
suppress [1] 4/10
sure [61] $21 / 522 / 13$ 38/20 41/16 42/11 50/18 51/18 64/10 64/11 68/6 69/13 69/16 71/6 71/23 72/4 72/22 74/4 75/25 77/7 81/15 86/21 98/25 99/1 99/4 100/20 100/22 112/4 112/25 113/9 113/14 119/18 121/1 123/25 126/23 135/17 136/18 143/8 147/3 147/13 149/4 $151 / 4$ 155/22 157/7 158/3 173/22 174/20 180/21 182/10 182/11 185/25 187/1 192/13 194/12 197/12 205/2 214/22 215/6 215/25 220/5 220/8 221/7
surprise [1] 207/6
surprised [2] 39/23 172/6
surrounding [3] 9/25 74/5 78/2
suspicious [1] 105/13
sustain [4] 25/2 28/6 42/24 203/2
Sustained [2] 27/7 174/23
swabs [1] 210/4
swear [2] 5/9 162/6
switch [1] 124/14
swore [1] 21/6
sworn [5] 5/11 33/17 61/14 162/9 186/20
system [2] 56/20 186/10
T
tacked [1] 96/9
take [40] 22/14 31/19 49/7 55/4 55/7 55/19 58/21 59/22 72/5 77/15 80/17 90/9 92/2 101/22 103/24 107/9 108/18 120/23 123/20 123/22 124/2 127/9 155/23 165/23 166/3 168/14 168/14 168/23 169/16 169/19 179/11 179/12 179/13 179/13 182/1 185/18 185/24 186/2 203/19 221/18
taken [7] 90/12 124/5 135/10 186/8 210/5 221/20 222/9
taking [6] 58/2 69/3 76/5 96/12 104/14 119/1
talk [17] 39/15 54/18 67/2 68/11
73/8 81/11 84/11 100/1 109/6 119/18 129/1 131/3 134/12 134/15 134/18 137/6 150/14
talked [19] 19/15 63/1 64/2 67/5 67/9 68/6 68/11 71/24 72/7 78/25 79/4 87/8 99/22 104/25 123/19 127/5 134/12 174/10 189/23
talking [24] 34/19 45/8 45/17 45/18 46/22 47/15 48/5 50/4
57/15 60/6 60/11 73/7 73/11 74/6 74/18 77/5 77/25 98/20 112/18 118/3 120/21 153/21 169/9 212/4 tamper [1] 120/10
tampered [2] 142/4 155/14
tape [1] 48/12
targeted [1] 96/1
$\operatorname{tarp}[1]$ 198/3
team [1] 206/2
teams [4] $218 / 2219 / 17219 / 25$ 220/3
telephone [10] 11/10 14/24 15/1 56/8 60/25 185/24 190/1 190/12 190/21 191/10
teletype [3] 15/5 17/22 139/20 tell [44] 18/22 19/2 19/5 19/8 21/25 22/17 23/17 26/14 35/7 39/21 47/3 50/22 68/15 68/18 69/4 70/6 70/8 70/14 71/7 77/25 81/4 81/5 81/17 81/22 81/23 98/25 103/15 111/21 115/8 115/11 119/15 120/9 122/24 128/13 128/16 $155 / 24$ 156/2 163/20 168/10 169/8 172/24 179/7 188/14 188/24
telling [8] 72/17 73/25 80/12
97/19 102/16 126/7 174/13 214/11 tells [3] 60/13 127/12 189/1
Teresa [44] $6 / 20 \quad 8 / 1913 / 1914 / 17$ 15/7 21/15 28/11 31/15 32/12 33/1 35/8 43/6 43/8 62/9 64/18 64/19 68/9 70/23 72/3 73/18 75/23 90/4 91/8 91/16 95/11 95/18 101/2 101/10 107/18 116/3 118/19 126/10 140/13 168/5 169/6 170/2 172/7 180/2 189/10 191/24 192/18 195/20 196/10 196/16
Teresa's [10] 14/11 16/22 73/18 92/3 92/4 96/3 122/22 131/10 134/4 196/23
terms [13] 28/9 80/7 94/13 96/12 121/20 144/18 163/11 164/4 216/23 217/3 217/16 217/18 217/20
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 222/4 222/19 testified [6] 5/12 33/18 61/15 162/10 186/21 210/10
testify [5] 21/5 42/17 189/25 190/20 201/14
testifying [2] 4/25 120/16
testimony [26] $4 / 6$ 4/7 $18 / 21$ 19/2 19/11 20/14 25/1 28/23 41/8 47/9 49/3 50/2 53/12 57/1757/18 58/2 58/21 58/22 79/9 79/10 91/22 115/5 124/12 185/24 189/17 190/25
than [24] 26/18 26/19 30/19 30/22 30/23 30/23 71/15 74/13 98/2 99/7 99/23 101/8 108/9 117/21 141/10 149/17 149/19 149/20 154/9 168/7 168/15 169/18 190/21 219/22
thank[19] 6/4 12/24 20/16 33/7 $33 / 1190 / 19113 / 25116 / 11123 / 8$ 125/4 148/10 162/14 175/18 186/7 215/16 219/11 219/12 221/16 221/17
thanked [1] 195/24
that [1147]
that you [1] 179/16
that's [139] $4 / 65 / 46 / 237 / 67 / 8$ 8/5 8/14 9/10 9/17 10/22 11/12 17/8 19/6 19/12 19/22 19/23 20/10 21/23 22/10 22/15 22/24 23/3 23/5 23/23 23/25 26/4 26/14 26/25 28/14 28/22 29/22 29/23 30/17 30/19 32/2 33/2 35/5 38/14 42/19 42/21 42/22 43/1144/8 45/4 50/20 51/14 52/1 52/8 52/13 52/20 53/10 53/11 53/17 54/10 57/20 57/20 59/13 60/3 60/15 61/1 61/10 62/23 77/19 81/3 85/25 90/7 91/1 91/11 94/24 95/24 96/17 97/8 99/15 100/9 100/16 103/3 103/8 104/2 107/17 108/13 109/23 111/1 111/12 113/2 114/4 115/19 116/6 116/21 125/14 130/13 130/19 136/8 138/6 138/23 140/23 141/9 141/25 143/11 143/25 149/3 149/25 150/12 152/3 153/11 156/13 158/25 160/6 160/7 160/19 163/2 163/21 167/7 170/20 175/16 178/5 178/5 178/13 182/17 182/19 182/19 183/9 183/9 188/4 189/20 191/14 194/16 195/9 201/23 205/19 212/8 212/18 213/16 213/16 213/22 217/25 218/5 219/7 219/10 219/12
their [45] 4/12 6/21 7/21 8/22 9/15 10/6 10/12 11/5 47/5 51/10 52/25 53/4 57/18 66/15 70/3 70/16 74/23 75/14 78/9 78/17 82/14 82/16 83/20 85/12 97/25 104/19 104/25 105/1 105/8 106/10 106/11 106/25 122/11 127/11 127/14 127/18 149/13 150/17 158/7 161/13 163/8 168/2 177/15 177/17 211/25
them [104] 7/16 7/18 7/23 8/2 8/4 10/6 11/1 11/3 44/17 44/22 55/6 56/19 56/20 57/4 59/3 61/5 64/13 64/15 64/17 65/1 68/22 68/22 70/2 70/2 70/14 72/17 74/4 74/22 75/5 75/12 75/14 77/11 78/18 78/19 81/13 81/25 84/2 84/4 84/24 87/15 87/22 93/24 94/7 94/12 96/19 97/16 101/17 101/18 103/22 103/24 103/24 103/25 104/1 104/4 104/5 104/6 105/9 105/12 108/1 108/5 108/6 116/25 117/17 117/24 117/24 118/7 127/15 128/16 135/1 137/16 142/22 $142 / 23$ 144/4 149/13 150/7 150/14 154/9 156/22 165/7 168/15 169/2 169/17 169/20 170/1 170/10 170/18 170/22 171/3 174/6 176/17 176/17 177/18 179/7 179/8 179/12 180/9 180/10 181/19 181/25 182/8 203/19 203/21 213/20 213/21
themselves [5] 148/25 149/6 160/1 179/11 180/4
then [105] 8/7 11/19 15/9 28/9 29/16 39/5 39/7 39/25 40/8 40/12 40/13 43/3 43/9 50/14 50/16 56/11 57/20 59/14 59/22 60/12 60/19 64/22 65/6 65/16 65/25 67/14 68/2 72/5 73/1 73/974/8 74/9 75/4 76/1 77/14 78/15 83/18 86/9 89/15 102/12 103/25 104/19 107/9 107/22 113/22 117/2 117/15 118/10 118/11123/21 124/3
124/13 126/19 128/9 129/6 130/14

131/17 131/18 131/21 132/24
133/12 133/22 135/6 135/22 136/1 140/6 141/18 141/24 142/10 142/19 142/23 142/25 143/13 143/13 143/18 144/18 146/8 146/20 147/1 156/9 156/22 157/1 157/11 157/13 160/20 161/20 167/1 172/17 174/12 174/13 174/16 180/4 180/11 181/25 188/3 195/22 197/4 200/13 200/17 211/25 212/14 212/21 212/22 214/23 218/21
there [269]
there's [30] 19/25 43/10 44/16 45/9 47/22 49/5 53/2 55/22 56/5 60/11 60/13 78/3 95/11 112/3 128/6 133/16 135/19 135/19 135/20 138/11 138/14 143/23 144/1 149/25 151/10 152/23
157/14 159/6 181/24 199/18
thereafter [3] 48/7 151/23 222/11
therefore [1] 23/6
Theresa [1] 9/9
these [39] 41/14 55/19 59/2 60/23
65/2 65/16 66/2 66/19 67/4 68/19 70/9 70/19 72/6 72/10 76/16 81/24 96/6 97/25 100/6 100/8 101/9 101/23 105/22 115/7 116/14 120/24 149/11 $151 / 13$ 151/25
153/15 157/22 169/22 179/4 181/12 183/17 216/23 216/24 218/22 220/3
they [180] 6/24 7/20 8/20 10/4
20/20 21/13 30/3 36/7 39/10
45/19 47/19 48/1 48/2 55/15 55/16 55/21 55/24 55/24 55/24 56/19 56/21 57/1 57/6 64/12 64/24 66/5 68/7 69/8 70/8 70/11 70/14 70/14 72/16 74/3 74/22 78/13 78/16 81/6 82/14 83/23 83/23 83/24 84/2 85/13 93/22 93/24 94/7 95/8 96/9 103/21 103/23 104/2 104/13 104/19 104/20 104/22 104/23 104/24 104/25 105/1 105/4 105/11 106/6 107/23 108/1 108/2 108/2 $116 / 18$ 116/21 117/5 117/20 117/20 117/23 119/19 122/1 126/1 127/14 127/17 128/19 131/7 131/8 131/8 131/9 131/20 132/4 137/16 142/22 143/9 143/10 143/10 143/13 144/7 145/20 146/4 146/4 151/5 151/10 151/12 151/25 151/25 152/17 154/8 154/11 154/11 159/25
160/12 161/15 168/13 168/17 168/19 168/20 168/21 168/22 168/22 168/23 168/25 169/1 $169 / 2$ 169/10 169/11 $169 / 16$ 169/16 169/1 8 169/20 169/25 169/25 170/15 170/15 170/16 170/16 170/24 170/25 171/2 172/6 172/16 174/11 174/13 174/13 174/15 174/19 175/9 175/9 175/11175/13 177/9 177/10 177/15 177/17
177/17178/11178/12178/18
179/11 179/13 179/18 180/1 180/1 180/4 180/7 180/17 180/19 180/21 180/23 180/23 180/24 182/10 182/10 184/3 184/6 189/23 192/2
196/23 203/18 203/20 212/13
214/16 216/12 219/8 220/19 221/9
thing [9] $52 / 2553 / 4$ 96/16 120/13
127/14 127/18 159/23 165/7
thing... [1] 181/12
things [18] 7/24 9/11 55/1 55/15
56/25 68/14 78/4 95/15 120/3 152/3 163/13 165/5 166/11 176/14 200/22 208/2 211/23 212/6 think [59] 24/25 31/11 32/17 32/19 41/6 42/22 43/6 54/13 55/6 56/13 57/1 59/13 60/9 67/23 69/6 71/10 71/16 73/10 81/15 90/2 92/10 93/13 100/18 105/7 124/18 129/4 135/18 138/14 141/12 145/18 149/15 151/25 154/15 158/13 158/13 159/9 159/13 159/19 160/16 161/1 171/1 172/25 174/5 177/9 179/24 180/6 180/11 185/10 185/14 185/25 192/19 196/2 197/16 199/14 200/15 201/23 203/11 214/9219/18 thinking [7] 6/21 9/6 9/23 66/1 107/20 170/6 170/8
third [4] 141/6 209/15 213/10 213/24
this [167] 4/1 4/3 4/16 5/23 6/10 6/15 8/12 8/15 9/8 10/7 13/8 13/9 13/24 15/16 18/15 20/13 20/19 20/22 23/7 23/16 23/17 24/2 24/14 24/25 25/17 25/20 26/2 29/5 29/24 42/5 42/11 42/16 42/16 42/18 42/19 45/20 48/4 48/4 51/12 52/11 53/6 53/6 54/22 55/3 55/7 56/1 56/6 56/18 57/9 57/11 57/14 58/2 58/14 58/22 61/4 62/3 62/24 65/3 65/23 66/9 66/25 69/5 69/19 70/11 73/6 73/6 74/6 74/7 74/19 75/21 81/7 86/25 88/16 90/10 93/23 95/5 95/17 97/4 102/8 109/20 110/24 111/3 111/25 112/18 113/8 113/16 113/23 114/3 115/6 120/22 120/22 123/11 123/15 123/20 124/3 124/6 129/20 129/21 129/24 130/7 130/7 132/21 135/4 135/9 135/11 135/16 135/18 135/24 141/25 145/7 147/16 148/8 152/14 152/15 156/5 156/6 156/9 156/12 167/3 172/7 175/7 175/21 176/4 180/21 181/19 183/13 183/16 183/22 184/6 184/9 184/25 185/2 185/7 185/10 189/19 189/22 189/23 189/25 190/15
190/16 190/18 191/3 191/9 191/17 192/5 192/12 192/14 193/3 193/20 198/23 201/11 201/20 207/21
208/16 208/23 209/12 209/15
209/23 212/3 213/1 213/3 213/14 215/14215/19215/21 221/19 222/15
this property [1] 111/3
THOMAS [1] 1/14
thorough [1] 204/6
thoroughly [1] 205/10
those [41] 16/22 25/12 25/20 43/19 47/7 47/14 47/14 47/17 $48 / 148 / 1949 / 749 / 1149 / 19$ 55/8 55/13 57/1 58/11 59/25 64/14 67/19 72/1 77/17 82/11 83/8 84/4 86/12 93/13 116/9 126/21 129/2 130/25 131/3 138/15 157/13 157/18 157/25 161/13 165/16 212/16 215/4 219/4
though [13] 23/1 35/1 44/2 53/24 55/6 81/16 86/2 86/13 87/18
$130 / 16131 / 1159 / 24221 / 1$ thought [9] 10/4 53/19 54/17 124/11 124/20 205/12 218/10 218/13 218/18
thoughts [2] 10/20 136/17
three [10] 14/3 70/4 133/4 157/17 172/20 173/20 187/25 199/9 206/9 215/9
through [35] 40/22 43/3 56/20
66/4 77/1 77/3 87/4 87/13 103/22 104/12 104/13 106/16 106/20 110/24 $113 / 3114 / 16$ 121/22 166/1 166/3 166/15 166/22 168/14 169/19 172/21 173/25 174/1
177/10 177/12 178/16 180/5 189/3 194/22 195/1 195/10 195/11 throughout [5] 71/25 93/9 96/10 106/22 106/25
Thursday [25] 35/9 36/15 62/21 62/22 67/11 67/16 68/2 69/25 71/15 91/9 91/19 91/25 92/12 92/16 92/20 92/25 93/12 93/15 94/10 94/13 94/22 166/1 166/3 173/23 191/23
thus [1] 190/25
till [2] 166/17 166/18
time[131] 4/1 10/18 15/22 17/9 18/5 22/14 23/17 25/14 27/2 31/3 $32 / 22$ 32/24 34/9 35/25 37/12 $37 / 17$ 38/5 38/9 39/2 42/2 46/15 46/20 48/4 49/14 49/15 49/16 50/9 51/5 55/3 58/15 61/4 62/15 65/12 66/15 71/20 72/22 79/5 79/6 79/18 80/3 80/1 $184 / 9$ 90/10 93/7 101/3 101/3 103/17 105/16 108/14 115/7 $115 / 7115 / 14124 / 3$ 124/6 125/19 126/1 126/5 126/10 126/16 126/25 132/18 133/1 133/16 133/22 $134 / 6$ 134/6 $134 / 8$ 136/10 144/1 $144 / 14144 / 18145 / 7$ 145/9 145/12 146/24 150/7 152/7 154/18 158/12 158/15 165/3 165/3 165/23 168/3 168/12 173/17 180/14 184/25 185/10 185/11
190/14 191/24 193/5 194/8 194/12 194/13 195/21 195/23 197/2 197/11 197/12 197/16 198/4 198/9 201/4 201/19 202/21 203/6 203/10 203/17 204/5 205/8 205/14 206/2 207/9 207/21 208/23 208/24 209/2 209/15 209/18 214/18 215/8 215/14 216/7 216/20 218/11 218/13 218/16 220/11 221/19 timeline [1] 126/2
times [15] 15/2 23/16 93/13 98/24 99/1 99/3 126/7 171/15 202/7 202/10 213/6 215/2 215/9 220/12 220/13
tips [1] 127/5
today [15] 5/2 47/9 47/17 49/3 50/2 51/15 58/8 60/19 79/9 141/11 160/22 176/5 185/17 185/23 190/12
today's [1] 53/12
Todd [1] 139/7
together [24] 20/24 46/9 55/20
55/22 58/5 58/20 61/5 64/7 64/9 64/11 64/13 72/6 74/10 76/16 139/8 139/9 139/10 146/9 148/6 200/24 200/25 201/7 201/9 218/2 told [49] 15/12 21/18 21/20 21/21 21/22 21/22 22/18 22/25 23/1 23/6 23/14 26/11 28/24 39/22

39/23 40/1 1 40/11 68/14 68/22
68/22 68/24 102/7 102/10 105/12
107/17 119/23 120/25 121/2 121/4 121/9 122/21 124/19 124/21
127/19 142/21 149/16 168/15
169/17 169/25 170/18 174/11
177/18 181/19 181/25 196/22
205/3 206/6 208/4 208/8
Tom [3] 4/16 92/8 216/18
tomorrow [1] 185/11
too [5] 48/13 58/7 78/4 122/24 184/19
took[18] 23/12 23/14 49/12 75/11 79/3 104/16 107/22 126/23 150/4 150/5 151/17 153/19 155/16 169/2 177/10 177/12 207/4 207/6
top [8] 111/9 156/14 170/20
181/20 182/15 182/24 183/19 184/21
total [2] 187/10 213/5
totally [1] 194/4
touch [1] 142/13
tow [1] 176/21
toward [2] 156/7 157/16
towards [4] 7/3 41/15 182/15 194/25
town [4] 36/11 75/18 $133 / 4$ 135/11
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Toyota [12] } & 14 / 6 & 14 / 1115 / 1018 / 2\end{array}$
31/5 137/10 137/14 139/18 139/22
154/10 172/7 197/18
tracks [2] 78/10 105/13
Trader [1] 119/2
traffic [9] 47/24 56/6 56/10 59/15
60/7 153/2 153/3 $153 / 11164 / 8$
trailer [71] 37/19 38/12 38/18 38/2 1 38/22 39/4 39/5 39/6 40/1 40/9 40/13 40/16 40/21 40/22 40/25 41/11 41/11 41/19 41/21 $42 / 1943 / 343 / 1244 / 1044 / 13$ $44 / 2145 / 1045 / 1245 / 16146 / 17$ 156/23 157/24 193/16 194/23 195/1 195/6 195/10 195/11 195/17 195/20 196/3 198/21 199/3 199/13 199/17 200/13 200/17 204/7
205/22 206/1 206/4 206/8 206/14 207/13 207/1 8 208/6 208/13 208/18 208/24 210/18 $211 / 12$ $211 / 17212 / 3212 / 23213 / 2$ 213/3 213/7 214/25 $215 / 1220 / 12220 / 18$ 221/2
trailers [1] 214/14
train [1] 140/14
training [10] 19/16 25/4 34/17
34/20 34/22 34/25 188/10 188/25
189/1 189/3
transcribed [3] 47/14 50/14 222/11
transcribing [1] 60/22
transcript [4] 1/23 221/21 222/8 222/12
transcription [1] 222/11
transfer [2] 146/5 215/20
transfer of [1] 215/20
transmissions [5] 47/13 47/15 47/21 49/10 49/19
Transportation [2] 15/5 17/23
travel [1] 117/17
travelled [3] 135/8 135/16 156/9
travels [1] $76 / 3$
tree [1] 139/23
trespassers [1] 53/2
tri [1] 97/17
tri-county [1] 97/17
trial [1] 190/19
tried [3] 83/11 201/2 205/11
troopers [1] 220/6
trouble [2] 41/10 155/12
truck [4] 14/12 29/13 176/21
180/23
true [12] 30/1 33/6 52/15 52/17 53/17 54/1 103/5 103/7 103/8 188/8 203/25 222/12
truthful [3] 19/20 30/12 35/4 try [4] 52/17 54/19 167/3 195/15 trying [5] 42/6 42/20 88/22 97/3 170/10
Tuesday [7] 86/23 88/18 109/7
110/9 110/17 113/8 208/17
turn [4] 36/10 38/16 145/13 199/19
turned [2] 198/10 214/19
turning [1] 196/19
twice [1] 99/5
two [48] 15/2 27/4 27/5 43/16 49/5 59/13 72/1 79/21 97/17 100/2 122/8 129/11 131/22 132/9 133/16 134/3 141/8 142/9 144/21 149/11 154/19 154/21 154/25 155/6 157/16 159/6 160/12 168/11 168/11 169/11 169/22 172/20 172/20 173/20 176/4 176/10 177/7 177/8 179/25 180/12 181/12 183/25 188/3 201/3 202/4 204/22 204/23 205/1
two-county [1] 97/17
type [11] 6/22 9/7 16/5 21/20
34/25 82/2 97/4 97/6 136/3
138/21 188/25
types [2] 60/25 206/9
Tyson [4] 199/4 207/21 207/25 214/12

## U

ultimately [1] 201/24
um [13] 47/12 47/12 52/23 62/13
63/25 64/9 64/18 75/17 79/2 94/4
96/14 101/25 168/10
unaware [1] 55/12
uncertainty [1] 23/18
uncomfortable [1] 152/25
under [8] 24/20 105/16 125/3
140/12 140/13 140/17 200/22
216/24
understand [12] 58/9 80/18 87/20 107/3 119/25 123/18 124/7 147/8
189/24 190/2 190/7 $215 / 20$
understanding [10] 29/11 35/25
41/10 90/14 123/13 126/15 127/1
131/21 150/23 205/16
understood [2] 22/21 125/22
unit [3] 192/1 192/24 206/4
unlawfully [1] 25/7
unless [2] 5/22 178/18
until [10] $12 / 1827 / 1632 / 633 / 4$ 49/16 93/1 99/20 121/18 136/24 172/16
up [107] 9/6 10/7 14/7 14/8 27/17 28/16 28/19 28/24 29/12 32/3 $39 / 342 / 152 / 1154 / 1855 / 25$ 60/8 60/14 60/17 64/3 64/3 64/13 65/2 65/8 65/1 8 66/1 68/13 68/25 69/24 73/1 73/23 74/1 74/14 $75 / 1075 / 1275 / 1376 / 1977 / 11$ 78/18 79/21 85/13 92/23 93/23 93/25 94/12 95/3 96/9 97/16

102/20 103/17 103/22 105/9
106/12 108/25 109/1 110/6 111/20 112/14 116/21 117/6 117/25 118/16 120/6 137/23 138/2 138/15 139/2 139/17 141/25 142/24 143/1 145/24 $154 / 1 \quad 155 / 8156 / 5158 / 7$ 161/13 162/4 164/5 164/6 164/10 165/20 166/4 166/11 168/17 168/20 168/21 169/10 171/16 171/17 174/5 174/13 176/21 178/10 179/19 179/22 180/17 180/20 180/21 181/4 181/20
182/23 182/24 184/19 192/12 192/24 198/7 $210 / 2$
upon [8] 10/1 24/17 25/19 28/9 52/21 102/2 113/24 152/20 upper[5] 110/21 111/7 135/13 178/1 181/23
us [29] $10 / 415 / 1616 / 139 / 10$ 39/11 41/24 54/23 55/16 57/25 58/7 64/13 98/25 102/10 103/15 111/21 115/21 135/5 145/2 155/11 155/24 156/2 $156 / 4$ 156/16 165/2 168/10 169/8 $176 / 13180 / 19$ 195/1 use [20] $13 / 18$ 16/8 21/11 32/20 64/14 68/19 75/5 82/9 101/9 101/17 101/19 111/20 140/9
140/11 142/8 159/8 171/23 177/21 183/15 185/17
used [9] 14/1 80/7 84/9 125/9 140/12 141/22 157/3 157/4 217/16 using [3] 66/2 128/18 137/21 usually[2] 166/2 171/17 V
vacation [2] 185/17 185/23 vacuum [1] 206/7
value [4] 202/20 203/5 205/13 205/17
various [10] $10 / 1342 / 2360 / 25$ 97/17 127/6 159/7 179/4 218/3 218/23 219/17
vehicle [111] 6/22 13/18 13/19 14/5 14/6 14/7 14/17 15/6 15/18 15/19 $15 / 2115 / 2316 / 116 / 22$ 17/10 17/14 17/24 18/3 18/8 18/25 21/14 21/14 21/18 21/20
21/22 21/23 22/6 22/20 23/3 23/7 23/10 23/12 23/13 23/16 26/8 27/22 28/3 28/10 28/12 28/16 28/19 28/20 28/24 31/20 32/6 33/4 44/5 46/10 49/18 63/13 64/20 71/21 74/16 77/4 78/11 85/21 86/15 105/13 131/10 133/17 134/17 137/11 137/12 137/17 137/1 8 138/25 139/12 139/17 139/20 139/24 140/3 140/4 140/18 140/19 141/14 142/13 142/15 142/17 142/18 142/22 143/16 146/25 153/25 $154 / 1 \quad 154 / 5 \quad 154 / 8$ 154/10 154/13 155/6 155/8 155/10 155/24 171/14 171/25 172/8 172/10 172/18 172/23 173/10 173/21 174/1 174/7 176/18 177/13 177/17 179/2 180/22 196/10 196/17 196/23 197/18
vehicles [14] 78/17 86/3 86/5 105/8 153/7 161/8 161/9 171/7 171/16 171/17171/18171/23 176/21 181/24
verbal [2] 145/7 147/4
verbally [1] 181/19
verification [1] 134/9
verified [3] 14/16 17/4 134/4
verify [2] 53/14 141/7
versa [1] 130/12
version [4] 75/13 103/25 104/1 112/6
versions [1] 117/15
very [22] $6 / 112 / 713 / 1619 / 19$
57/21 63/11 88/1 115/2 124/2
139/9 148/9 149/19 158/21 158/22
162/1 190/24 191/18 203/20
205/10 209/23 215/15 221/14
vice [1] 130/12
vicinity [1] 154/17
Victim [1] 5/20
Victim/Witness [1] 5/20
view [5] 57/14 117/12 118/15
120/11 210/14
Village [1] 135/8
VIN [33] 14/9 14/16 16/13 16/16 16/17 16/21 17/18 23/12 28/21 29/1 29/7 29/12 29/14 29/18 29/25 31/8 31/14 31/24 49/17 60/10 60/12 140/2 140/11 140/19 140/22 141/8 141/13 141/13 141/19 141/23 141/25 154/18 155/13
VIN's [1] 16/14
visible [1] 154/6
visitors [1] 169/4
voice [1] 160/2
volume [1] 202/9
volunteer[33] 6/9 7/10 8/12 10/17
19/9 21/10 21/13 22/17 46/25 47/2 47/4 51/9 52/3 53/21 54/9 54/15 83/9 85/5 85/10 94/3 94/16 94/22 95/17 127/9 128/19 128/24 132/3 134/18 $148 / 17148 / 18$ 149/7 196/9 196/15
volunteered [9] 74/2 76/23 77/14
94/10 94/12 95/2 203/21 203/22 217/17
volunteers [33] 6/24 7/5 10/2 10/8 10/11 10/23 19/3 19/5 21/18 21/25 57/19 65/23 66/19 68/19 70/19 72/6 72/11 83/22 85/2 89/14 89/15 91/24 100/12 101/15 110/11 110/17113/4119/19 121/14 121/15 131/24 134/21 159/9

## W

wait [1] 56/11
waiting [5] 60/13 61/9 65/14 131/18 185/18
walk[18] 28/16 28/19 40/8 44/9
78/15 78/17 105/8 153/25 154/5
169/16 169/19 182/3 182/11 195/10 195/13 198/7 199/18 200/21
walked [14] 28/24 40/21 43/2 88/3
88/4 139/8 139/10 139/17 139/25
140/19 142/17154/9 154/13 195/11
walking [9] $53 / 378 / 687 / 1188 / 7$ 121/22 122/5 152/24 153/1 153/15 wander [1] 166/22
want [14] 51/1758/11 60/5 70/8 80/16 81/4 81/5 110/6 114/20 129/16 134/22 170/11 178/18 191/22
wanted [27] 9/3 9/11 9/12 9/14
40/12 40/19 69/8 75/14 78/16
81/2 101/15 104/1 105/8 116/25
wanted... [13] 117/17118/18
128/16 128/18 132/3 150/7 164/9 164/11 166/19 166/22 173/2 185/24 196/9
wanting [2] 9/6 94/6
wants [2] 109/9 128/22
warn [1] 120/2
warning [1] 120/5
warrant [46] 4/9 12/9 12/14 13/11 14/2 17/6 17/10 19/21 20/1 24/18 25/5 25/6 31/12 32/8 32/16 32/22 $33 / 3$ 34/18 34/23 35/2 37/17 42/18 44/15 89/4 133/8 133/14 133/18 146/9 146/10 147/2 147/7 189/2 194/17 197/14 198/17 199/7 201/16 201/22 208/25 212/23 213/8 215/5 215/11 215/12 218/7 220/21
warrants [11] 19/17 30/11 30/15 34/15 34/21 188/12 188/15 188/19 215/6 215/7 220/25
was [591]
wasn't [27] 25/22 27/16 38/20 44/3 53/22 65/19 73/21 74/15 81/4 96/14 120/15 122/24 132/22
135/17142/5 147/11 147/12 148/3
155/17 155/18 167/13 167/15
172/23 173/21 173/22 174/1
176/10
wasting [1] 58/15
watch [1] 106/25
water [1] 138/13
Waukesha [1] 62/1
way [31] 7/16 7/18 17/14 29/5 44/20 46/1 50/9 56/14 69/19 71/23 75/19 75/21 79/8 83/15 83/17 84/16 94/8 96/1 96/15 100/7 106/11 $117 / 5$ 120/15 130/19 135/23 137/8 157/16 171/1 173/3 180/7 183/5
ways [2] 132/16 159/7
we [252]
we'll [13] 60/19 61/5 73/8 73/9 90/9 123/22 124/2 124/6 132/1
132/1 135/6 186/2 221/18
we're [21] 28/8 41/4 51/19 57/15
60/24 73/5 73/7 73/10 74/6 89/10
91/7 107/3 110/25 113/15 115/3
124/14 148/23 176/5 185/21 212/3 217/17
weapons [1] 206/6
wearing [1] 64/22
Wednesday [3] 93/2 173/23 211/10
week [3] 42/7 50/23 173/1
weekdays [1] 178/9
weekends [1] 166/4
weight [1] 64/21
welcome [1] 169/18
well [82] 6/1 $12 / 7$ 13/16 15/16 24/16 27/9 29/20 34/17 35/22 36/6 37/4 41/4 41/13 43/9 43/22 44/24 52/22 53/18 53/23 57/9 58/12 60/7 64/19 66/21 69/19 69/24 72/24 75/17 76/23 81/3 81/11 83/19 87/21 89/4 98/23 99/12 101/14 103/17 107/9 107/14 107/17 107/20 113/22 114/12 115/20 118/8 118/22 121/14 121/15 123/17 124/2 127/9 132/22 135/7 135/16 139/18 141/21 142/4 142/16 144/12 148/9 149/19

152/13 152/23 159/12 162/1 167/3|72
170/18 172/24 176/2 176/24 184/3
184/8 189/24 190/24 191/11
191/18 202/24 215/15 218/13
218/16 221/14
Wendling [1] 211/21
went [48] 14/15 24/1 36/25 37/12
38/21 39/5 41/1841/25 67/12
67/13 74/22 77/1 77/3 77/4 86/25 87/8 87/13 87/21 102/9 103/16
104/2 121/17 122/1 126/16 126/19 135/5 142/19 142/21 152/5 152/11 152/12 155/25 156/2 157/2 157/9 157/10 157/11 169/1 172/12 180/7 194/6 194/14 196/2 197/23 199/6
208/19 211/21 214/24
went back [1] 157/9
were [331]
weren't [4] 140/21 142/7 147/11 148/2
west [1] 156/17
what [168] 10/16 13/25 16/3 21/5 21/20 22/24 23/11 24/12 24/17 27/18 29/20 29/22 34/18 37/12 39/1 8 39/21 39/21 40/24 40/25 $41 / 1041 / 1142 / 1942 / 2345 / 5$ 45/1846/15 46/20 47/11 47/21 48/1 49/1451/12 51/14 52/1 52/8 52/13 52/20 52/21 53/23 56/9 56/13 56/21 57/5 57/9 58/1 59/19 60/4 62/7 62/11 64/17 64/21 65/21 68/12 68/21 68/21 68/22 69/14 70/5 72/16 72/22 73/20 73/25 74/4 74/8 75/16 77/2 79/23 79/25 80/1 80/1 80/24 81/2 81/4 82/11 83/22 85/4 87/6 87/6 88/16 94/7 98/14 98/19 99/9 99/17 108/5 109/3 109/22 112/5 114/2 114/5 114/6 114/21 115/8 117/5 118/13118/24 119/11119/23 119/25 120/1 125/19 128/21 130/10 130/13 131/24 133/1 134/7 134/8 136/17 136/18 139/16 140/18 141/9 144/11 145/9 147/24 149/16 150/16 150/17 150/25 156/4 158/22 159/8 162/19 164/1 164/3 164/3 165/5 166/13 168/12 170/6 170/8 170/16 170/19 171/7 171/17 171/18 172/3 172/13 174/8 174/14 174/15 177/13 181/16 183/18 184/9 187/17 187/25 190/5 192/11 193/9 194/8 194/12 197/11 197/25 198/9 200/14 200/17 201/18 203/10 204/21 213/16 217/20 218/10 218/15 218/16 218/18 220/9
what's [4] 22/23 89/24 111/23 173/3
whatever [9] 32/25 84/2 89/3 109/14 159/13 169/21 179/8 204/22 219/6
whatsoever [3] 8/3 91/5 100/10 wheat [1] 113/17
wheel [1] 174/7
wheeler [6] 27/21 144/10 144/14 174/7 177/16 178/19
when [137] $4 / 2415 / 1115 / 1616 / 3$ 19/20 20/23 24/8 26/2 27/1 27/9 27/21 28/2 28/15 29/4 29/24 35/1 $35 / 3$ 35/7 35/19 38/15 38/19 38/23 39/7 39/11 40/21 41/18 44/17 44/24 52/20 56/18 57/4 62/20 67/9 67/11 68/15 71/20
$72 / 1272 / 1973 / 1673 / 2474 / 18$
76/13 76/15 79/2 79/17 80/16
84/9 85/1 86/9 86/12 86/20 86/21 86/25 91/11 93/19 94/1 94/4 99/13 99/15 100/1 100/25 101/6 101/7 101/10 101/18 102/4 103/21 103/23 107/2 107/14 113/9 115/5 117/5 121/12 122/2 124/14 127/19 133/16 134/2 134/8 135/2 136/12 136/17 136/20 136/25 137/3 139/11 139/11 139/16 142/10 143/3 143/8 143/13 144/19 149/9
152/3 152/5 153/12 154/22 155/25 157/7 157/9 157/9 158/4 161/5 172/6 172/25 176/7 176/15 177/12 178/8 179/18 179/25 180/12 180/17 181/17 189/11 194/14 199/2 201/4 201/21 201/22 202/2 202/3 202/18 203/3 204/3 204/10 205/15 207/9 209/2 209/2 214/24 215/25 216/2 218/25 220/11
where [83] 7/1 7/20 11/14 25/19
26/6 27/17 38/20 38/20 40/9 47/19 55/24 60/8 60/15 61/25 73/2 73/18 75/2 75/23 75/23 76/1 78/12 92/2 92/4 100/8 104/2 104/3 104/5 104/6 107/18 $110 / 24$ 111/5 112/12 112/20 114/18 114/19 119/9 135/5 135/17135/24 135/24 136/13 137/8 $137 / 11$ 137/12 137/14 137/17 137/18 137/25 138/21 139/10 $142 / 19$ 143/11 143/22 149/16 153/25 154/6 154/10 156/4 157/14 161/8 161/15 167/21 168/3 169/20 173/10 177/22 179/9 180/19 180/21 $180 / 21 \quad 180 / 22$ 181/3 181/17 $181 / 23182 / 3183 / 24184 / 3$ 184/12 184/21 197/2 197/21 197/24 217/21
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THE COURT: Counsel, were we going to be taking some witnesses out of order, or on a different motion?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe that was our -I believe that was our intention, to take a brief hiatus from the multiple execution and do the two witnesses on the Marinette County Sheriff's statement. We have Detective O'Neill here and Attorney Glynn is here.

And as the Court observed the last time we were together, you have already listened to the recordings and, basically, the two witnesses are here to fill in what's happened that's not on the recording, so we're not anticipating lengthy testimony.

THE COURT: Very well. And the State is going to be calling the witnesses here?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, we're going to call Detective O'Neill, and I believe the defense will be calling Mr. Glynn.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon, go ahead.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Very well. State, at this time, would call to the stand Detective

O'Neill.
DETECTIVE ANTHONY O'NEILL, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: O'N-e-i-l-l.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. First name?
A. Anthony.
Q. What do you do for a living?
A. Detective Marinette County Sheriff's Department.
Q. How long have you been a law enforcement officer with Marinette County?
A. Since 1989.
Q. How long have you been a detective?
A. Since 1998.
Q. To what duties are you normally assigned as a detective with the Sheriff's Department in Marinette?
A. General investigations.
Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to Saturday, November 5th, 2005, did you have an opportunity to meet with one Steven

Avery?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Is Mr. Avery, the one you met with, is he present in the courtroom this afternoon?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. And would you point out where he is seated, for the benefit of the Court.
A. Seated to the right of his attorney, Mr. Strang, wearing a black, short-sleeve shirt, dark colored pants and dark colored shoes.

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect that the witness identified Mr. Avery.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ How did it come to pass that you had contact with Mr. Avery on November 5th, 2005?
A. My office informed me that it was requested by -excuse me -- Calumet County investigators, that we make contact with the Avery family, at their property located in Marinette County, Wisconsin. And to make contact with the Avery family and talk to them about the case that they were working on involving a missing person by the name of Teresa Halbach.
Q. All right. And approximately what time of day was that that you had contact with the defendant,

Steven Avery?
A. Actually arrived at the property proper at approximately 2 in the afternoon.
Q. When you arrived there, what was the first thing you did?
A. Went to a smaller type cabin, knocked on the door. I believe it was Charles Avery came to the door, came outside. I spoke to him for a few moments. Also spoke to Mr. Avery, Allen Avery, and then eventually, of course, Steven Avery.
Q. All right. And where did you first meet Steven Avery?
A. It would have been in the cabin that was occupied by Mr. Avery, Mrs. Avery, and some other Avery family members.
Q. What information did you provide, or what reason did you give Mr . Avery for your willing -- your wishing to speak with him?
A. Initially, I explained to Chuck Avery that our purpose was on behalf of Calumet County investigators, to speak to the Avery family, including Steven, excuse me, regarding any knowledge that they may have of the whereabouts of Teresa Halbach, and that there was no indications, or no purpose, by law enforcement,
to take anybody in custody, or arrest anybody, but merely to talk to them about anything they might know.
Q. Was that information, you relayed that directly to the defendant, Steven Avery?
A. Yes, while in the cabin, in the presence of his family.
Q. Was he willing to speak with you?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. Did you, in fact, speak with him?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Where did that conversation take place?
A. It took place in my unmarked car, county squad car, on the Avery property, just outside of the cabin, in a driveway area of the Avery property.
Q. Was there anyone else in the vehicle when you engaged in conversation with Steven Avery?
A. On that Saturday, no, there was not.
Q. All right. Now, on that particular day, was -or excuse me -- were any of your conversations with Mr. Avery recorded?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Tell us generally, first and foremost, was there any department policy which facilitated, or suggested, or directed you to
record the conversation?
A. At that time, no.
Q. Are -- The last time you were here, July 19th, I believe it was, did you bring with us copies of those recordings?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And you provided copies to myself, and to the defense counsel in this case, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Are the information which was provided to us, is that a complete copy of the recordings?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. All right. Now, are all of your conversations with Mr. Avery, all discussions, all words spoken, recorded on those recordings?
A. No, they are not.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. And just so the record is clear, I believe the Court has already received its copy and has listened to them?

THE COURT: I have. I'm wondering if -ATTORNEY FALLON: I can't remember if it was marked as an exhibit or not, that's what I'm drawing a blank on.

THE COURT: I don't believe it was. Janet,
can you tell me if that's in the file somewhere?
THE CLERK: It is in the file.
ATTORNEY FALLON: While she's checking that let's continue, Officer.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Let's start with the big picture here. Approximately how much time did you spend with Mr. Avery, total, that day?
A. Approximately 2 hours, 45 minutes, to 3 hours.
Q. All right. Now, during that time frame, was it one continuous conversation, or were there a series of conversations?
A. It was continuous conversation, interrupted by other events.
Q. All right.
A. If that helps.
Q. Sure. Approximately how many interruptions occurred during the course of your contact with Mr. Avery?
A. Maybe three.
Q. All right. As you recall this day, do you know the reasons for those interruptions?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Tell us, please, the first interruption.
A. I'm not sure where it fits in, in the
pre-interview, or during the interview, but there was a point where Mr. Avery gave consent, along with Steven, for the search of the property and the vehicles that were contained on the property.

And my attention was directed towards the Steven Avery vehicle for a moment, by an officer that was conducting the search. That may have been an interruption or may have been pre-interview. The other interruptions would have been numerous phone calls from the press to Mr. Avery, and phone calls from an attorney.
Q. All right. Let's start, first, with your first initial contact in the vehicle itself. When was the recorder turned on? Was there any discussion that occurred before the recording was engaged?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. All right. And tell us about that?
A. I obtained some biographical data from Steven regarding his name, his address, his date of birth, and specific information as to where he lived and so forth. And then, as he started telling me the story, at that point, I felt there was a need for me to make more of a record for myself, because it was becoming a story that was involving into a lot of details, a lot of things

I was not familiar with, and I needed to make sure that there was an accurate thing I could go back to later on and make sure my reports reflected what was said.
Q. All right. So, approximately, if we guessed -- I don't want you to guess -- If you were to estimate, approximately how many minutes went by before you thought to yourself, it's probably a good idea, $I$ better start recording this; about how much time elapsed?
A. I would say between 8 to 10 , or 10 to 12 minutes.
Q. All right. Now, during that particular time, other than biographical data, you know, the details of who he is, and how old he was, and where he lived and worked, etcetera; what else was discussed, that you recall?
A. Knowing what we discussed, that $I$ know is not on the recording was, $I$ just had a phone call, okay, and basically was not given any specific details as to what the investigation involved, so it was kind of like coming in blind.

And I remember talking to Steven, saying hears the situation as $I$ know it, and I think you are going to be able to tell me much more than what I know, and I'm going to rely upon you to
help me through, try and understand what this investigation is, into the missing person, Teresa Halbach.
Q. All right.
A. And I think at that point, when he started to go into talking about it, $I$ wrote down some notes, like it was Halloween, then it was like, okay, then I flip on the recorder. So it was only from the time I collected the biographical data, and that we had that conversation regarding what I was there for, and trying to understand the circumstances and going from there.
Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned some other interruption, or something concerning consent. What was that about?
A. I believe it may have been during the initial, and not during the interview, where we asked Mr. Avery if we could search the property. We had some other officers that were present and wanted to give them their tasks, with Mr. Avery's permission, to go and search the property, see if there was anything that may be pertinent, that wasn't normal.
Q. All right.
A. He gave -- Pardon?
Q. I just want to interrupt, which property are you referring to?
A. The Avery property, in the Town of Stephenson, Marinette County.
Q. Marinette County. All right. So after that occurred, then you had this general discussion of who you were, why you were there getting his biographical data. And then as the questioning begins, it's shortly thereafter, within a matter of what, a minute or two, that you decide, I better turn on the recorder?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. All right. What was the next interruption -- Well, strike that. Until the point of the next interruption, should I say the first interruption, was everything recording from that moment on, after you turned on the recorder?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What was the first interruption then?
A. I believe it was a phone call that was made to a family member and then presented to Steven by someone who knocked on the door and said, hey, phone, and he says wait a moment, and the person, I believe, said something to the effect of, it's the attorney. And at that point Steven, I
believe, rolled down the window and took the phone.
Q. All right. And did he remain in the vehicle?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Now, while he was on the phone, the recording is still running?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. All right. At some point during this interruption, was the recording turned off at all?
A. Not until after he left the vehicle.
Q. All right. After he left the vehicle, did you turn off the recorder immediately, or did you leave it on for a few moments?
A. I left it on for a few moments because $I$ made a note, a verbal note, as to what was going on?
Q. All right. And at some point, you turned the recorder back on?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. With respect to that, prior to turning the recorder back on, did you have any conversation with Mr. Avery, before you turned the recording back on?
A. No, I stayed in the car.
Q. You stayed in the car?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. So, when you -- When did the recording get turned on?
A. When Mr. Avery came back into the car.
Q. As he opened the door?
A. I believe so. I believe I could even hear a chime from the door, in the recording.
Q. All right. And from that moment on, did the recording remain on?
A. For that session, yes.
Q. All right. And what was the next interruption that occurred?
A. When he came back into the car, he still had the phone. We continued on with the interview. I don't think there were any other interruptions.
Q. All right. Was there a second phone call from an attorney; do you recall?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. All right. Tell us about that.
A. I believe it was somewhere closer to 4 or 5:00 p.m.
Q. All right.
A. And someone said, again, that it was his attorney. And he said something to the effect, tell him $I$ will call him back in 15 minutes.
Q. And at that point, did you continue to converse with Mr. Avery?
A. For a short time, yes.
Q. And then what happened?
A. We concluded our interview.
Q. All right. Now, did he step out and take a call from an attorney.
A. During the first phone call interruption, yes.
Q. All right. Now, when was the last time that you listened to a recording of this -- of this afternoon's conversation with Mr. Avery?
A. Would have been, in totality, on the date I came here, which was July.
Q. 19th?
A. 19th.
Q. So, it's been since July 19th that you listened to the recording?
A. Actually, I think that was June 19th, wasn't it?
Q. Whenever you were here last. But you have not listened to the recording since?
A. No, I have not.
Q. All right. Now, at any time while the recorder was off, did you have any discussions with Mr. Avery regarding the events which were unfolding in Manitowoc County, about the location
of Teresa Halbach's vehicle on his property, that were not recorded?
A. No, I did not.
Q. All right. Now, any discussion with Mr. Avery, whatsoever, that were not recorded, about whether he should continue to talk with you, not withstanding the fact that he had just taken a phone call from Attorney Glynn?
A. No.
Q. All right. So, everything relative to the events which were unfolding here in Manitowoc County, and the discussion regarding the fact that his attorney is trying to get a hold of him, are on the recordings?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. All right. During the course of the afternoon's interview, was Mr. Avery -- in your mind, was he ever in custody?
A. No.
Q. Did you tell him that he was free to leave during the course of this conversation?
A. At least a couple times, and reaffirmed it, yes.
Q. Did you advise him, during the course of these conversations, that he was, of course, free to leave any time?
A. Yes, and he exercised those as well.
Q. As a matter of fact, he left your presence how many times?
A. If the interruption of going out and looking at his car occurred during the interview, that would have been one, to take that phone call from his attorney, would have been the second time. So, one for certain, two possibly.
Q. All right. And as a matter of fact, you actually talked to his attorney at one point during his receiving the call, correct?
A. A person identified himself as his attorney, yes.
Q. And the person identified himself as Attorney Stephen Glynn?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. When you completed your discussions with Mr. Avery, did you arrest, or otherwise take Mr. Avery into custody?
A. No.
Q. You wrote a report on this?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. I'm just curious, did you have the opportunity of listening to the recording before writing your report?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. At any point, while on the recording -- or excuse me -- the recording will speak for itself. At any point, which was not recorded, during your contact with Mr. Avery, did Mr. Avery tell you he just did not want to talk to you?
A. No.
Q. At any point, during your contact with Mr. Avery, and I'm focusing in on points which were not subject to recording, did he ever say he didn't want to talk to you without the assistance of counsel?
A. No.
Q. At any point, during your contact with Mr. Avery, that was not recorded, did he ever refuse to answer any particular question?
A. As related to Teresa Halbach case, no.
Q. Yes. All right. Now, during the course of this conversation, he sat in what part of your vehicle?
A. A passenger front.
Q. All right. And is that passenger vehicle, is one able to freely get in and out of that vehicle? It is not like the backseats, for instance, it's not locked?
A. Just as your typical civilian car, Ford Taurus, no cage, no locks, no special things to keep someone inside the car.
Q. All right. Your best recollection, is there any reason in your mind right now why it took 2 or 2 1/2 hours to complete the job of interviewing Mr. Avery?
A. I believe the duration was in such to be sure as to what he was telling me, to expand upon what he was telling me, to grasp what he was telling me, and to be certain to myself and to him, that what he was telling me was, what he said, the truth.
Q. All right. Were any of the interruptions that you have just described lengthy; in other words, there was a lot of down time, where you actually turned off the tape for any extended period of time?
A. No.
Q. During the course of taking these statements from Mr. Avery, at any point, in an effort to get him to talk to you, did you promise him anything?
A. No.
Q. Did you at all threaten or otherwise coerce him?
A. No.
Q. Did you -- Did he make any requests of you that
you denied?
A. No.
Q. And how would you characterize his demeanor when he was speaking with you?
A. Wanting to cooperate, wanting to talk. It was almost as if it was a casual conversation, so to speak, but yet with concern. And without any type of need to go anywhere or do anything. It was.
Q. All right. And at any point, did he become angry or frustrated with you, or your questioning?
A. No.
Q. Did he have any questions of you, that are not reflected on the recording?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Ms Clerk, do we have an exhibit number for that recording?

THE CLERK: It wasn't marked as an exhibit, but it was filed.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Perhaps we should have it marked.

THE COURT: It should be marked, so can it be 21?

THE CLERK: Yes.
(Exhibit 21 marked for identification.)
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Detective, I show you what has been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 21. Does that look familiar to you?
A. This actual CD, no. This is not the CD that I would have produced.
Q. Okay. You reproduced an exhibit such as this; would that be yours?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. All right. And as far as we know, this is -- As far as you know, this is a true and accurate copy of the original that you brought with you when you were here last?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Is there a stipulation, Counsel, on that creation?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes. And Exhibit 21 is admissible, as far as I'm concerned.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Very well. We'll tender the witness for cross, upon receipt of the exhibit.

THE COURT: All right. The Court, based on the stipulation of the parties, will accept Exhibit 21. Mr. Strang, you are doing this one? ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Detective O'Neill, other than listening to the tape, or the recording that we have now marked as Exhibit 21, what did you review, at any time after November 5, 2005, to refresh your recollection to testify here today?
A. My reports.
Q. How many reports are those?
A. I believe I reviewed the report involving the interview of Steven Avery on November 5th. I believe it was maybe four pages.

THE COURT: Can you hand me the exhibit?
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Can you hand me the exhibit, the CD?
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Did you review any other reports?
A. I believe I reviewed the actual motion that was presented.
Q. The defense motion?
A. Yes. I reviewed a handwritten note regarding some times --
Q. Your handwritten --
A. -- some biographical data.
Q. Your handwritten notes?
A. Yes.
Q. Prepared on November 5?
A. Yes.
Q. During the interview with Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have those with you?
A. May I check?
Q. Yes.
A. No, I do not.
Q. Where are they?
A. Actually, I believe they may be in my car.
Q. Which is nearby, obviously?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. We'll find a convenient time to have you get those.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Simply signal the Court now, that I'm asking for them under 906.12.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ What else did you review? We have gone through the tape, the motion that $I$ filed, one report, which you recall as being four pages, and then your handwritten notes.
A. The audio recordings from my first appearance here, I would have reviewed back then.
Q. Audio recordings from your first appearance here;

I'm not sure $I$ understand?
A. When I first came, I think it was on June 19th. I believe that was the first date of motions.
Q. July 5.
A. I'm sorry. July 5th, the day I would have appeared, but not presented for motion. I would have reviewed, also, the audio recordings, and the diagrams, and the statements that were taken.
Q. Okay. So the same things you reviewed. When you say audio recordings, you are talking about the November 5 interview of Steven Avery?
A. And 6th, yes.
Q. And 6. Okay. Separate recording?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Separate report for November 6th as well?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Did you review that to refresh your recollection to testify?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. I'm going to show you two reports. Before I mark these, I'm going to show you two reports. Tell me if both of those are reports that you authored, which one did you review to refresh your recollection, or do you now think you looked
at both of them, having seen them?
A. I would have reviewed the report dated 11/16 of '05.
Q. And not the report dated $11 / 17$ of '05?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. I'm going to mark the one you identified as reviewing that, and ask the clerk to mark that as Exhibit 22. What I will do, I will leave this with you.
(Exhibit 22 marked for identification.)
ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm not moving it into evidence at this point, your Honor.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ That report, to my eye, is five pages, not four; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And then there's a 6th page which looks like, you know, somebody's hand drawn diagram?
A. Attachment of a diagram, yes.
Q. Right. On the fifth of the typed pages, we have got your signature near the bottom?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. I see four little computer symbols for a speaker; do you see those?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Any significance to the symbol?
A. When I compiled these reports and presented them to the Calumet County officers, I did so not only in written format but also in a CD ROM format. And that $C D$ ROM would have allowed the user to click on the icons that are there, to access whatever audio recordings were pertinent to that specific report.
Q. Okay. And that goes to my next question. There are four of the icons, does that correspond to the four parts, or segments, on the $C D$, of the November 5 interview of Steven Avery?
A. Without actually seeing the $C D$ ROM and looking at that property for the icons, I would say yes.
Q. And that would be your expectation --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in other words? All right. You did not tell Steven Avery, during the course of your November 5 interview, that you were recording it, true?
A. True.
Q. Where was the recorder, in the unmarked squad, you described?
A. Where I always keep it, on my visor, there's kind of like a visor caddy, in that location, right there.
Q. Sort of a small dictaphone, or digital handheld recorder?
A. Yes.
Q. Which you can simply turn on with your thumb by reaching up to the visor?
A. Yes.
Q. Do that unobtrusively?
A. To someone that may be in a vehicle, it may be unobtrusively, yes.
Q. Sure. And then turn it off with your thumb, as well, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That is something you have grown accustomed to doing?
A. During my career, no. But more recently, yes.
Q. As of November 5, 2005, you were adept at turning this recorder on and off quickly, without looking?
A. I probably would have had to take it down to turn it on, because I'm not that adept. Okay.
Q. All right. In any event, you had no reason to believe that Mr. Avery knew that he was being recorded?
A. No.
Q. The initial phase, if you will, of this
interview, involved what $I$ think you said was about 8 to 12 minutes, give or take, of getting some biographical information from Steven Avery, true?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he tell you about his level of formal education?
A. I believe that was part of our conversation. He talked about not having completed high school.
Q. And going no further?
A. I believe that to be correct, yes.
Q. Would your -- Would your notes out in your car reflect some of these biographical details?
A. That was just during conversation and -- I really don't know.
Q. Did you make most of the notes before you turned on the recorder for the first time?
A. I believe so.
Q. Which is also the period of time during which you covered the biographical information?
A. Correct.
Q. So, what you knew, in any event, was that you had a high school graduate, in the car with you?
A. I don't believe he graduated high school. I don't think he did.
Q. I'm sorry, I misunderstood you.
A. I don't believe he did graduate high school.
Q. What was your understanding of his educational attainment?
A. I believe, just from our conversation, I don't believe he graduated high school because I talked about some familiar surroundings and things and so forth.
Q. You didn't learn how far short of graduation he left high school?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. But you knew you did not have a high school graduate?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. That was your understanding?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you inquire into the manner of his employment? His trade, or his job?
A. I believe during conversation it became known, that it was a family run business, the Avery Salvage Yard.
Q. Worked in the salvage yard?
A. Correct.
Q. You would describe him, then, as a working man?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Someone who did not have post-secondary education?
A. I believe so, correct.
Q. Someone you believed not to have a high school degree?
A. Correct.
Q. So, at least the man you believed you were speaking to, his highest diploma would have been from 8th grade, presumably, correct?
A. From what grade?
Q. Eighth.
A. I can't remember that. I don't remember that.
Q. Okay. But no reason to think he had graduated from 12 th grade?
A. I was surprised that you said 8th grade, because I put him, in the idea of his cognitive abilities to understand me, as being a lot higher than an 8th grade education.
Q. I understand. What I was asking about was the last diploma that you believed he had received, or the last stage of schooling he had completed, would have been junior high school.
A. I don't recall that being something that we talked about.
Q. All right. The interview was one of many
interviews that you have conducted with people during, at that point, roughly 16 years you have been in law enforcement?
A. Yes.
Q. Probably hundreds of interviews you have conducted with citizens during those 16 years, roughly?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm talking about 1989 to November of 2005?
A. In some sort and fashion, yes.
Q. Sure. And then in about 1999, you took on the duties of an investigator?
A. I believe it was '98.
Q. '98, I'm sorry. So, it had been about 7 years that you had been an investigator by the time you were conducting this interview?
A. Yes.
Q. The job of investigator regularly entails interviewing citizens?
A. Yes.
Q. Some of those citizens are witnesses to crimes, or potential crimes?
A. Yes.
Q. Some are victims of crimes?
A. Yes.
Q. Some are suspects in crimes?
A. Yes.
Q. Some are actually defendants charged with a crime?
A. Usually, at that point, I'm not talking to them.
Q. But, occasionally, there have been occasions where somebody has been charged with a crime, later has talked to you about that charge, or some other --
A. Yes.
Q. -- matter of interest, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So you have acquired experience dealing with all types of these categories of citizen interviews that we have discussed?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You have done that probably hundreds of times, during your employment as a detective?
A. To some degree, yes.
Q. You have been trained, from the beginning of your law enforcement career, that one, in this country, always has a right to ask for a lawyer?
A. Yes.
Q. One doesn't need to be a suspect?
A. Correct.
Q. One doesn't need to be under arrest?
A. Correct.
Q. You are a scrupulous law enforcement officer?
A. Depends on how you define scrupulous.
Q. How do you define scrupulous?
A. One who takes his job serious and exemplifies what you would expect in a law enforcement officer.
Q. All right. Does that include honesty?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that include respect for constitutional rights?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that include respect for the human beings with whom you have contact, professionally?
A. Yes.
Q. That respect extends to respecting a request for a lawyer?
A. Very much so.
Q. Doesn't matter to you whether the person is in custody, or not?
A. Correct.
Q. If he asks for a lawyer, that's his wish?
A. That's his wish.
Q. That's something that you will respect?
A. Always have.
Q. As part of a serious and responsible law enforcement officer?
A. Yes.
Q. You are familiar, as a matter of experience, with the fact that people have all different states of mind, when you come to interview them? By that, I mean some are relaxed, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Some are friendly?
A. Correct.
Q. Some are sober?
A. Correct.
Q. Some are not under the influence of drugs?
A. That's correct.
Q. And, then, some are hostile?
A. Correct.
Q. Some are drunk?
A. Correct.
Q. Some are under the influence of some sort of drug or illegal substance?
A. Correct.
Q. Some are scared?
A. Correct.
Q. Some are highly formal?
A. Correct.
Q. Some want to be informal and jovial, or try to play the joker, if you will?
A. I imagine so, yes.
Q. You have seen the gamut, in other words, of human behavior, in the course of interviewing people?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. This one, Mr. Avery, was somebody who appeared to you to want to be cooperative?
A. Yes.
Q. Your experience, too, with the hundreds of people you have interviewed in various descriptions we have covered, you have seen a range of levels of facility with the English language, I guess we could put it that way, couldn't we?
A. Including my own, yes.
Q. Yes, sure. I mean, you are at one point on the scale of, you know, from inarticulate or illiterate, to highly articulate, correct?
A. I would hope so.
Q. Sure. You are at some point in there, and you have seen people who range pretty well across that spectrum?
A. Yes.
Q. You have had people, in fact, who are mute or,
because deaf, are not able to speak ordinarily at all?
A. I can't recall any, but I'm sure.
Q. Yeah. You have certainly interviewed people as to whom you understood that perhaps Spanish was their first language, and English only a second and fragmentary language?
A. Yes.
Q. You have had people who had college degrees, or maybe degrees beyond that, and had an excellent grasp of the English language; you have interviewed people like that?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And you have interviewed simple, ordinary folks who have, maybe, less ability to articulate their thoughts than you do, for example?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Avery would fall into the group who would be, you know, less articulate than you, correct?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Somewhere about you, in terms of his ability to articulate himself in English?
A. To a certain degree, yes.
Q. All right. But I guess the point is, you have learned to deal with a range of English language
skills in your work?
A. Yes.
Q. You, therefore, do not hold people to a dictionary standard of use of the language?
A. No.
Q. You don't correct their grammar?
A. No.
Q. You don't correct their diction?
A. No.
Q. You don't ask them to speak with precise phrases, or specific magic words?
A. Unless there is a problem in me understanding what they are saying, no.
Q. In which case, you will try to clarify so that you could understand?
A. Correct.
Q. But you allow some room for communicating with the interviewee at the level that the interviewee communicates?
A. As long as we are both understanding each other, and we have the same presence of the language --
Q. Sure.
A. -- that we're speaking.
Q. And that is the key, you want to make sure you understand?
A. Project and receive the same language.
Q. Right. And that -- So if someone was using a very elevated vocabulary, words you didn't understand, you might ask that person to explain in simpler terms?
A. As we have done, yes.
Q. Sure. And likewise, if someone was using very imprecise, or poorly chosen words, you might try to clarify what they were trying to tell you as well?
A. Correct.
Q. There are no magic words, in other words, in your business here, the point is to communicate?
A. That's correct.
Q. And to be fair in taking down what you believe the person to mean?
A. Taking down?
Q. Well, recording, writing, taking in, you know, perceiving on your part, you want to understand the person, in other words.
A. During conversation, yes, I do. Taking down what I take down, if you are referring to notes, or anything like that, would be points that I feel important to capture the moment.
Q. Yeah, fair enough. And I -- I sort of sent you
off in that direction.
A. I was trying to make a transition of work.
Q. Sure. But, initially, in conversation, you want to understand them?
A. Yes.
Q. You want to understand them accurately?
A. Yes.
Q. That's part of doing your job fairly?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, if you can write something down, then you may hone in, to clarify, or just make quite certain that you have got an accurate description of what the person is saying?
A. Okay. I think you kind of lose me there. If I had a problem with what you were trying to say to me, I might not necessarily write it down. I might ask you clarify, or ask you another question that may clarify it anyhow.
Q. You understood me perfectly. That's fine. The report that we have marked as Exhibit 22, actually bears a date, as you noted, 11 days after the interview of Mr . Avery.
A. It does bear that date, yes.
Q. Okay. And I'm not familiar with your department, so my question is, when would you first have
prepared the report marked as Exhibit 22?
A. I really can't say, except it may have been a work in progress. And the date of $11 / 16 / 05$ would have been the date that I printed this out, upon completing it, so sometime before -- on or before November 16th.
Q. Let's back into it that way, then, okay. We'll use your date, $11 / 16 / 05$ would have been the date you actually printed the report?
A. Correct.
Q. You would have printed it after reviewing it?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, is that true?
A. I would hope to believe so, yes.
Q. Okay. You don't remember, specifically, this report?
A. I reviewed it, but to review it upon being done with it and saying, okay, I need to review it, make sure it is correct. I'm sure it was done over a period of time, with reviews done intermittently, and in a final review.
Q. And that's what you recall doing as to Exhibit 22?
A. I believe so because I think I do with every report that I make.
Q. That's a habit of yours?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. I'm less worried now about the actual date, but do you know how the report would have come into being in the sense, would you have typed it at a computer, would you have dictated it, handwritten and had somebody type it; how would it have come into being, initially?
A. I would have typed it.
Q. Yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then when you said, you told me it was a work in progress, perhaps you may have revised it over the course of 11 days?
A. Or stopped it, got back to it, stopped it, got back to it. Usually revisions, I want to do before I get done with my session.
Q. Using the tape that you made on November 5 to help you in preparing the report and typing it?
A. Yes, to some extent.
Q. Were you satisfied when you printed the report on November 16, 2005, that the report was complete?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Were you satisfied that it was accurate?
A. To the extent that a report can be, yes.
Q. Were you satisfied that it was fair?
A. Depending upon what fair is.
Q. Well, fair to you. I mean, were you satisfied that it was fair?
A. A fair summary of what occurred during the day that we have on report.
Q. All right. Now, Paragraph 4 --

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I think I'm getting into this, so I will offer Exhibit 22 at this point, your Honor.

ATTORNEY FALLON: No objection.
THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 22 is
admitted. You don't have an extra copy of that?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I do. I do.

ATTORNEY FALLON: There should be one attached to the motion, Judge, if you have it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I have an extra.

THE COURT: The motion is in the file, so I think $I$ will just take this.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ Exhibit 22, I'm looking at page four, Mr, O'Neill; do you have that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. And down at the bottom right, just a cross check here, it should say, State 0148?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Counsel, you might want to clarify how that number got on the exhibit, 0148.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I would be testifying, but I would be happy to do it. It's a number that was added by the paralegal service that we're using to compile discovery and keep it organized.

ATTORNEY FALLON: So that wasn't part of -The point is, that wasn't part of the original report.
Q. (By Attorney Strang)~ It was not part of your original report, that number on the bottom right?
A. No, I have seen those numbers used before and it's usually at the level of the attorneys, that are discovery.
Q. Yeah.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
Q. This otherwise looks like content that you put in the report, correct?
A. Yes, sir, it does.
Q. All right. Down at the -- On the last paragraph on Page four, you write, Steven returned to my car stating, and then you put in quotes, "I guess they don't want me to talk no more" closed quote, period; do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And then you go on to say, I asked Steven if that was his wishes, that he didn't want to talk to me anymore. And he replied, then you have another quote from Mr. Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. The quotes were taken from the tape, or the recording, correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. I'm not going to play the tape, because you have listened to it and the Court can listen to it. But if -- if you asked Mr. Avery, after he said, Well, I guess they don't want me to talk no more, and if you asked him, You don't, you didn't include that in the report, did you?
A. If it's on the audio and it's not in the report, that would be correct.
Q. That's right. And if the audio reflects that Mr. Avery then said, No, but here's his number, case when you want to talk to me, contact them and they -- they want to be there too; that's not something you included in the report either is it?
A. That they want to be there too, I don't recall that.
Q. You certainly didn't put it in your report?
A. Correct.
Q. And then you saying, Okay, that's not in your report?
A. The report is reflective of that paragraph.
Q. And it doesn't have you saying, Okay?
A. That's correct.
Q. If the tape then records you, at that point, saying, Let me ask you this, Steve, although they are telling you that they don't want you to talk -- and you go on from there, that's not something you put in the report either?
A. That's correct.
Q. If Steven then responded to your question about whether it was his wish to talk, or not talk, by saying, Well, I got to listen to the lawyer, that's not something you include in the report, is it?
A. If that's what the tape reflects, my report does not reflect that.
Q. And then, if you said, Well, and we're not talking about you committing any crime, and you go on to talk about this just being a missing person, you didn't include that in the report, did you?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. And then you, as I understood the report -- and you may remember this -- did you say, So, in the interest of a missing person, last being seen by you, that we're aware of, and trying to figure out where this person may be, am I understanding you correctly, in the idea that you could help in this investigation, to find this missing person, that you are refusing to cooperate, because your attorney is telling you not to talk to us? Do you recall making that statement, at this point in the conversation, with Steven Avery?
A. If your quote is from the recording, I would say yes.
Q. Okay. And Steven Avery says, Oh, no, no. Do you recall him responding that way?
A. Again, yes.
Q. And then -- And here I'm not quoting you, I'm not suggesting this is verbatim, but do you recall going on to tell Mr. Avery, Look, you are a 40 plus year old man, you are an intelligent guy. If you have nothing to fear, do you want to finish this conversation? That's not verbatim, but words to that effect?
A. I believe it's a fair representation of what was
on the recording, yes.
Q. Okay. And somewhere after that point, Mr. Avery says, and I quote, "We can talk a little longer", closed quote. And then he says, very shortly after that, $I$ want to help. Do you recall that?
A. With a little bit more detail to the quote, $I$ believe it was, If it's easy, as well. As long as it's easy.
Q. He goes on to, makes the comment about it being, as long as it's easy?
A. Correct. That's right.
Q. And that's where you pick up in the report, Well as long as it's easy and whatever I know ... we can.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Now, this may have gotten lost somewhere in the direct examination by Mr. Fallon, but I believe there was a time when you accepted the telephone from Mr. Avery, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Steve says something to you like, Hang on, and then just hands you the cell phone?
A. When he returns back to the vehicle, yes.
Q. This is shortly after he returns to the car on one of the occasions. And at that point you talk
to the voice on the other end of phone.
A. Correct.
Q. The person on the other end of the phone identified himself as a lawyer?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Gave you his name?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Gave the name, Steve Glynn?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Was that a name you recognized as a criminal defense lawyer in the State of Wisconsin?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Didn't know the name at all?
A. Rang no bells.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. And you had no reason to doubt that the person was a lawyer?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. He identified himself, specifically, as representing Steven Avery?
A. In a civil matter, yes.
Q. In a presently pending civil matter?
A. Yes.
Q. What else did he tell you about his representation?
A. Besides being Madison, and what you just said,
nothing more than -- as far as representation.
Q. I'm sorry. You made a reference to Madison?
A. Yeah, that he was from Madison.
Q. Milwaukee, perhaps?
A. I thought it was Madison.
Q. That was -- I mean, that's your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You did not, in the report marked as Exhibit 22, write down the details of your conversation with the lawyer, on the telephone?
A. No.
Q. Neither were you able to record the conversation with the lawyer, because the lawyer was on Mr. Avery's cell phone?
A. I believe the recording reflects some of the conversation that you can hear.
Q. Your end of it?
A. You may even also catch a little bit what Mr. Glynn was saying as well, because of the proximity of the phone to the recorder, I think.
Q. Right. I thought I heard that too. And to me it -- if you have ever watched those old Charlie Brown cartoons when Lucy's mother, the school teacher, is talking, kind of a wha, wha, wha, wha, (attorney demonstrates) that sort of thing?
A. I'm sure Mr. Glynn would probably not agree.
Q. Mr. Glynn probably will not agree with that. In other words, I couldn't make out his words but, I, like you, heard something that sounded like your recorder was actually picking up a voice off the cell phone.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Could you make out, on your tape, what Mr. Glynn was saying?
A. From my recollection, I think I might have made out his name, because I remember writing down his name on the note, as the well as the phone number.
Q. Right. And if this will help refresh your recollection, you are audible on the tape asking him to spell his name and you are sort of, under your breath, saying, why, and that kind of thing. But in the meantime, we don't have Mr. Glynn's voice recorded on there.
A. No.
Q. And you -- You've had nothing available to you with which to refresh your recollection about your conversation with Mr. Glynn that day?
A. Besides writing down his name on my notes at the time, no.
Q. Okay. As far as you know, that's the only time in your life you have spoken to Steve Glynn?
A. Yes, as far as I know, from my recollection.
Q. Okay. What I would like to do -- Actually, I have one last sort of stray question, that was just a follow up to Mr. Fallon's inquiry.

I don't have this verbatim in mind anymore, but he asked you a question, something like, was there any question that Steven Avery refused to answer. And you paused for a little bit, and then said something like, that Mr. Avery never refused to answer a question relating to Teresa Halbach.

And that struck me as a qualification. Was there any other area, not relating to Teresa Halbach, that Mr . Avery had not wanted to discuss with you?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. I believe in our general conversation, when he was explaining to me his fiance, and that he had a problem, or issue, or what her issue was, that she was incarcerated. And something to the extent of, you know, she was curious of this, but then and it's like, well, he didn't want to get
into that. And I said, Very well.
So, in answer to his question, going
back through that day, it gave me a little pause. But, yet, I at least wanted to make it known that that was the only time that $I$ could recall that he took us off the subject of that and moved on to whatever else.
Q. Okay. And it's not that Mr. Avery, specifically, or expressly told you, I don't want to talk about my fiance, is it?
A. No, not at all.
Q. You just sensed he was uncomfortable?
A. Correct.
Q. You read him as being reluctant to talk about that?
A. And he acknowledged that part.
Q. So, you respected that wish, not to discuss his fiance?
A. It wasn't the fiance part, it was a different part, relating to her being in custody, and what the situation was that brought her there?
Q. Sure. But in any event, you respected that wish, not to talk about that?
A. As part of our communication of understanding each other, yes.
Q. And you moved on --
A. Right.
Q. -- to another subject right away?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. What I would like to do, I'm done, I would like to pass the witness back, your Honor. But then, rather than releasing him, I would like to have him run out to his car, get his notes. Maybe somebody here can make some copies. And then I will take a look at those during, or after, we're done with Mr. Glynn. And if we need to recall Detective O'Neill, that would be my suggestion, for the most efficient way to go.

THE COURT: All right. Well, when this witness is done here, we'll let him get his notes while we get started with Mr. Glynn. Mr. Fallon, any redirect?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Just a couple questions, Judge. Thank you.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY ATTORNEY FALLON:

Q. There was a line of questionings -- questioning by counsel, respecting the rights of other individuals, including the right to a lawyer; do you remember that line of questioning?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were asked the question, Well, it doesn't even matter if the person is in custody or not; do you recall that question?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of one's Fifth Amendment right to counsel, does it matter if that right exists, if they were in custody or not?
A. If I may, with my understanding, if a person is not in custody, not under arrest, free to leave any time, don't have to answer any questions, didn't ask for an attorney, and if he did ask for an attorney, I would honor that, by any means possible.
Q. Well, maybe we'll ask the question this way. In your mind, does his comment, words to the effect, I guess they don't want me to talk no more, did you take that as a request for counsel?
A. Unequivocally, no.
Q. Doesn't even mention counsel in there, does it?
A. No.
Q. Doesn't mention the word attorney, does it?
A. No.
Q. And on the tape, it doesn't mention -- When he
comes back, he doesn't mention attorney, right?
A. No.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm not going to ask any more questions. Let's move on.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. O'Neill, you are excused to go to your vehicle and bring your report back, at this time.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, does -- the State has no further witnesses on this motion?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No, your Honor, with the receipt of the tape, which I think speaks for itself, and the testimony, he was the only law enforcement officer associated with that contact, on that day, so I believe that is the basis.

THE COURT: I don't mean to make you feel bad. It doesn't bother me that you don't have any other witnesses. I just want to make sure I understand.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's the basis of their motion, is that day of that interview.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, I understand the defense has a witness.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm going to call Stephen

Glynn.
THE COURT: Very well.
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
ATTORNEY STEPHEN M. GLYNN, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Stephen, with a $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{M}$, as in Michael, Glynn, G-l-y-n-n.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Mr. Glynn, what have you been doing with most of your daytime hours, since 1971?
A. Defending criminal cases in state and federal court.
Q. Do you recognize the gentleman immediately to my right?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Has he been a client of yours, at any point?
A. On probably two and a half occasions.
Q. Okay. Let's start with the whole occasions first, earliest one.
A. 1995, my firm, which was then Shellow, Shellow and Glynn, was retained by the Avery family, and
friends of the Avery family, to determine whether there were post-conviction efforts that could be brought on Steven's behalf, arising from a 1985 charge and, if I remember correctly, 1986 sentencing.

We filed post-conviction motions on his behalf, relating to what we called newly discovered evidence, consisting of DNA analysis conducted on material found beneath the fingerprints of the victim of a sexual assault, for which Mr. Avery had been convicted.
Q. Fingernails not fingerprints?
A. What did I say, fingerprints? Yes, fingernails.
Q. Okay. This was about 1995?
A. Yes, I think the hearing on that would have been in 1996. It was across the hall in Judge Hazlewood's court.
Q. Okay. During that -- During the period of time of that representation, was your practice exclusively the defense of criminal cases?
A. Actually, from, gee, from 1972, roughly on, if I had had a total of three civil cases in my career, to today, that would a lot.
Q. Okay. All right.
A. The rest have all been the defense criminal cases.
Q. And the firm, of which you were a partner at that time, was almost exclusively a criminal defense firm?
A. Yes, there was one partner who did family law. There may have been the odd -- excuse me -- civil rights action. I mean, I had handled civil rights actions, as well. But by far, the vast bulk of what we did was defense of criminal cases.
Q. What was your second representation of Steven Avery?
A. In a civil case, arising from the same conviction that was the subject of the earlier representation. And I was co-counsel on that case with Walt Kelly, who was handling the civil side of the case. I was involved to handle the criminal law related side of the case, and to be a trial lawyer on it.
Q. And what -- During what period of time, were you representing Mr. Avery on that civil action?
A. My recollection is that Mr. Avery was exonerated, and it was determined that Mr. Allen was the actual assailant, in 2003.

And my recollection, again, is that that
was August or September and Walt and I were involved in the case approximately 30 days later. So, let's say, very early fall of 2003, up until the settlement of that case, which was sometime after Mr. Avery's charge in the present case.
Q. Were you representing Mr. Avery on that civil case as of November 5, 2005?
A. I was. And if I may, that's the half that $I$ made reference to. I had had some conversations with Mr. Kratz while I was, essentially, acting as Mr. Avery's criminal defense lawyer, because he didn't have another criminal defense lawyer at that stage.

And I was still trying to determine whether or not there were sufficient ethical conflicts between being involved, simultaneously, in the representation of Mr . Avery in a civil case and getting involved in the criminal case. Ultimately, that was resolved in favor of saying such a conflict existed.

I talked to Mr. Kratz, by telephone, told him I would not be involved, on behalf of Mr. Avery, very much longer at all. My recollection is that he and $I$ then had a telephone conference call with a Manitowoc County

Circuit Court Judge. I'm sorry, I just don't remember which judge it was, at that time, because it was just a scheduling conference, it may have been even a scheduling conference on a initial appearance. I'm not sure. It was not a substantive appearance however.

And in that telephone conversation, I informed the Court that I was prepared to act as Mr. Avery's counsel, for purposes of the scheduling, but would not be continuing to act as his counsel in the criminal case.
Q. Let me locate you in time a little bit. We have established here, and we're all clear, that November 5, 2005, was a Saturday. All right.

Mr. Avery, at that point, was not under
arrest. I think the court records, and the earlier evidence here, will show that he was arrested on November 9, 2005, which would have been the following Wednesday.

And just to give us some time frame on the conversation with Mr. Kratz, and with the Judge, here in this county, that you have described; do you know whether those conversations were before or after Saturday, November 5?
A. I know they were after.
Q. On Saturday, November 5, 2005, and I think the period of time we're interested in is, certainly, the afternoon, perhaps between about 2:00 p.m. and 5; do you recall talking with Steven Avery?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you recall where you were when you talked with Steven Avery?
A. Yes, I was in my office.
Q. Do you recall how you talked to Steven Avery?
A. By telephone, that would have been a wired line, as opposed to a cell line, in my office.
Q. That is a landline in your office?
A. Yes. And when I say, my office, I mean the law firm called Glynn, Fitzgerald, and Albee, located in Milwaukee.
Q. Is the main number for that office 414/221-9600?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you have a number of rollover telephone lines?
A. I think at least four additional ones. There's 9600, 9604, 9649, and then a couple of numbers that I brought with us from the Shellow, Shellow and Glynn telephones to the Glynn, Fitzgerald, and Albee telephones, which included 271-8536 and

271, I think, 9417. And then there's, you know, there's also a DSL line, and a fax line, and others. But those are the five telephone lines.
Q. The voice telephone lines --
A. Yes.
Q. -- at least as you would call them. Were those 5 as of November 5?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you recall whether you were dialing a cell phone number, or a landline number, for Mr. Avery?
A. Can I expand on that a little bit?
Q. Sure.
A. My recollection is a cell phone. And I need to back up a little bit to sort of set the scene, if

I can. I indicated that Walt Kelly and I were representing Mr. Avery in a civil rights action, based on his arrest and charging in connection with the basis for his exoneration. We were in the middle of depositions in that case and were moving from what we considered to be less important depositions to more important depositions.
Q. This is as of November 5?
A. Yes. And Mr. Kelly and I would frequently meet
at my office, on Saturday mornings, to decide what we were doing next in that case, and review where he had been, and talk about where we were going. And November 5 th was such a meeting.

And we had decided to have that meeting, because I started another case in Door County, that was set for trial on restitution, complex, white-collar crime, you know, multi-hundred thousand dollar restitution issue and as well as some sentencing issues.

And in the course of this meeting, Walt Kelly asked me whether I had talked to a particular newspaper reporter from the Milwaukee Journal-Sentinel, and I said I had not. Walt said that he had, and the person wanted to know if either Walt or I had any comment on this strange congruence between the fact that Steve Avery is an exonerated guy who is in the middle of a civil rights action, and there is now a young woman who has disappeared, who has apparently had some factual intersection with him.

And I told Walt I didn't have the slightest idea what this reporter was talking about. Neither did Walt. We knew nothing of a
missing woman. And as a matter of fact, I think at that time we thought this was somebody from the Green Bay area.

So we talked to that reporter. He told us he was running a story in the next day's newspaper. And with respect to the presentation of the Avery side of this issue, he was going to be relying on some of the statements that Mr. Avery had made to various media outlets.
Q. What day did you talk to this reporter?
A. I'm thinking it was the same Saturday that we were in the office. And as a matter of fact, I'm sure that's when it was.

And, again, as a lawyer, I'm sure you can understand this, but for the record, I need to say that this was a shock, to put it lightly. Because the notion that a person who had been wrongfully convicted of a crime he didn't commit, is now sufficiently trusting of the media and law enforcement, that he's making statements to them, boggled my mind. I mean, I -- you know, it made no sense to me at all. And so I said, I need to try to get in touch with Steve. And here's where my confusion is --

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to interpose an
objection to the narrative at this time and ask that we return to specific questions relative to the issue at hand.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ You made the decision you wanted to call Steve, meaning Steven Avery?
A. Yes, and that's where the confusion was as to your question --
Q. A point of confusion --
A. -- was this a cell line or was this a hard line, did I call him one way or the other? And the answer is, I'm not real sure. I believe that I called him on his cell phone, and was then requested, by him, or someone else who answered the phone, to call back on a different cell phone, that belonged either to his brother or his mother. I think I was given both numbers. So, yes, I did call him back. It was on a cell phone. And I did speak to Steven Avery.
Q. Do you recall whether there was more than one conversation that afternoon, in which you actually spoke with Steven Avery?
A. Yes. I mean, I talked to him, I think, three times during the course of what, I think, were two calls. That is, $I$ think in one call I talked
to him. And then there was an interruption. Then I talked to him again. And then the other call, $I$ just talked to him the first time.
Q. Did you talk with a law enforcement officer that afternoon, too, during the course of one of the calls?
A. I did.
Q. Do you recall who that law enforcement officer was?
A. Only by a refreshed recollection from your showing me a report of his, whenever that was, a month or two ago. And, frankly, a detective outside introduced himself to me as Detective O'Neill. We chatted for a little bit, and so I understand his name to be O'Neill.
Q. So, if you talked to Steven Avery three times, during the course of two calls, can you place the conversation with Detective O'Neill in there somewhere for me?
A. In that group? Yeah, I believe that it was between the second and third, which I think were part of the same telephone call.
Q. All right. What -- What was the thrust of your conversation with Detective O'Neill, as you recall it?
A. What can you tell me about this matter? Is Mr. Avery under arrest? Is he a target of what you guys are doing? Do you have warrants for him? What can you tell me about this?

I mean, it was basically asking him questions at the beginning, it was later telling him, after we had gone through our question and answer discussion, which was much more in the nature of questions, and much less in the nature of answers, that --
Q. Questions by whom?
A. Questions by me to him, and not a lot of answers being received, or at least answers that weren't terribly meaningful, that $I$ said, Well, look, I mean, I know I don't have the authority to make you stop questioning him, but I'm telling you that $I$ don't want him questioned anymore, and I'm going to talk to him again and make sure that he tells you he doesn't want to be questioned anymore.
Q. Do you recall O'Neill saying something to you like, But Steven is well aware he is not under arrest, he's free to leave at any time, he doesn't have to talk with us, so that's not an issue with us?
A. Something like that, yes.
Q. What was your response to that, if you recall?
A. He could -- He could have his view about arrest. I could have my view about arrest. The point was, that I didn't want Steven talking to him, and that Steven would follow my advice and not talk to him.
Q. Did you, then, ask to speak to Steven again?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And understand, I had spoken to Steven earlier on this same subject, as well. That's -- I don't want to be volunteering stuff.
Q. Okay. And I'll try to get to it.
A. Okay.
Q. When you took the phone -- When Avery got back on the phone, after O'Neill, what did you tell Mr. Avery?
A. Well, actually, I repeated something that I had said earlier, which is that I did not want him talking to the officer, that even though the officer said that he was not under arrest and at that point not a target, and that there weren't any warrants for him, that it just simply wasn't in his interest to be carrying on these
conversations without the presence of a criminal defense lawyer.

Not only did I want him to understand that that's what I was telling him, but I also wanted him to say that to the officer. So I asked him to take the cell phone that he was speaking on -- And I had the impression that he was in a house.

He may not have been, he may have been on a porch of a house. And when I say house, I mean, I include trailer. I don't even know what this place was, that he was visiting in Crivitz. But at any rate, I wanted him to take that cell phone with him, so that when he made the comments to the officer, I could hear it.
Q. Did you hear him saying anything to the officer?
A. I did. And my best recollection of what $I$ heard him say is --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection --
A. -- I don't want to talk to you.

ATTORNEY FALLON: -- hearsay.
THE COURT: Just a sec -- Excuse me?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Hearsay, self-serving hearsay by the respondent, the defendant. THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's not an assertion offered for it's truth. It's a verbal act, that was audible to Mr. Glynn.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If it's not offered for the truth, it's not relevant.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ Were you able to hear any part of Mr. Avery's statements, or assertion, comment of any kind, to somebody other than yourself, on the phone?
A. Yes.
Q. What, in substance, did you hear?
A. What I heard --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection.
A. -- was Mr. Avery --

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

ATTORNEY FALLON: He can ask what counsel said, that's fine.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Again, your Honor, with all due respect, $I$ think the comment here is a verbal act. Whether someone actually wants a lawyer or not, only he can know, and that's really not -the truth really isn't an issue here.

What's at issue is whether an assertion
that, I don't want to talk to you, or I want a lawyer, or I'm going to stop this conversation, anything like that, was made in a way that was audible, both to the detective, we can infer from Mr. Glynn hearing it.

THE COURT: I think it's a statement. I think it's hearsay. I'm sustaining the objection.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I would note, it is on the recording. It's already there. It's already in evidence.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ What had been your earlier advice in the first conversation with Mr. Avery?
A. The very first conversation I had with him was one in which I told him not to have any conversations with the officers, period.
Q. Did you ask, now, going back to the time when you are on the phone with the officer, with O'Neill; did you ask him, or was there a discussion
between the two of you, about whether Mr. Avery was a suspect?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you recall yourself saying to Mr. O'Neill, we have got his end of the conversation but?
A. My recollection is that I used the word target.

And that's a term that $I$ use in conversations with prosecutors. It's a conversation that I use in the relatively rare circumstances when I'm talking to a state or city law enforcement officer. And I believe that's the term I used.
Q. Would -- Would it help refresh your recollection, in terms of the actual conversation, or the further conversation with him, if I suggested to you that at some point, as I have the tape, or the recording, it reflects O'Neill saying to you, For me to say he's a suspect, I haven't determined that, no.
A. I mean, that certainly is consistent with my recollection, but that doesn't -- I mean, I still may have said to him, is he a target, and he may have responded in terms of suspect. But, clearly, what he communicated to me, was that he was not prepared to say that Steve Avery was a suspect, which, you know, I construed as a outright lie.
Q. What, if anything, did you tell Mr. O'Neill about your specific role representing Steven Avery that afternoon, at the moment that you were on the phone, alternately, with the two of them?
A. I told them that $I$ was counsel in a pending civil
case, and if this was a criminal investigation that Steve Avery was involved in, I was acting as his lawyer in that as well.
Q. Do you recall him challenging that assertion at any time?
A. You mean, telling me $I$ couldn't be the lawyer, or I wasn't the lawyer, or something, no.
Q. Do you recall him leading you to believe that he would not respect your assertion that you wanted the conversation with Steven Avery to stop?
A. I think --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, speculation.
Q. I'm asking if you recall that.

THE COURT: Just a second repeat the question please. Diane, can you read it back?
(Last question read back.)
THE COURT: I'm going to allow it.
A. I think that what he said was something to the effect that Steve is going to have to make up his own mind on that, or something to that effect, that caused me to say, Well, look, I'm telling you, I'm his lawyer, I don't want him questioned. He certainly wasn't saying that, $I$ don't believe you are a lawyer, or I don't believe you are his lawyer, or I don't believe that he wants to
listen to you.
Q. But as a practical matter, you had to leave it at that, being on the telephone?
A. That's right.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Mr. Glynn, you have been actively engaged in the criminal defense, or the defense of individuals accused of crimes, since 1972, correct?
A. Actually '71.
Q. '71. And during that time you have had occasion to advise many individuals regarding their constitutional rights, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You would consider yourself relatively proficient in the scope of one's Fifth Amendment right to counsel?
A. And Sixth, I think, yes.
Q. We'll take them one at time, Fifth Amendment right to counsel?
A. Yes.
Q. Fifth Amendment right to remain silent?
A. Yes.
Q. And Sixth Amendment right to counsel --
A. Yes.
Q. -- correct? Okay. Now, with respect to the events on November 5th, you were told, by Detective O'Neill, that Mr. Avery was not under arrest, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that he was not in custody?
A. Yes.
Q. That there were no warrants for his arrest?
A. Yes.
Q. And then there was a question of whether we --
A. I don't -- I shouldn't -- I don't know about the for arrest business. I think I asked if there were any warrants, and he said, no. So, I don't know if -- I meant to encompass more than arrest.
Q. And there was a discussion regarding suspects versus targets, you think you used the word target, and he responded with the word suspect, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And he said he is certainly not in a position to say that he's a suspect, correct?
A. Yes, or words to that effect.
Q. Or words to that effect. All right. Now, you also indicated that you, at that time, were representing him primarily, first and foremost, on the civil rights action involving Manitowoc County, correct?
A. If, by first and foremost, you mean earlier, yes, I was representing him on the civil case before I was representing him on the criminal case.
Q. And as I understand it from your testimony, you just said that you told Detective O'Neill, If this is a criminal case, I'm representing him on that, too?
A. Correct.
Q. But you had no discussion with Mr. Avery whether or not you were going to represent him on any criminal investigation, had you?
A. No.
Q. As a matter of fact, you didn't even know if there was a criminal investigation, or a missing persons complaint, correct?
A. I believed it was a criminal investigation and the officer was telling me it was a missing persons investigation.
Q. All right. But he told you it was a missing
persons case?
A. He did.
Q. All right. Nonetheless, without having any discussions with Mr. Avery, you were asserting that you were representing him on the criminal investigation?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, just so we're clear, one's Fifth Amendment right to counsel does not exist unless one is in custody and subject to police interrogation, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. One's Fifth Amendment right to counsel -- excuse me -- Fifth Amendment right to silence, likewise, does not exist until one is in custody and subject to interrogation?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's further -- With respect to one's Fifth Amendment right to counsel, those rights are personal to the person who is the subject of the interrogation?
A. Correct.
Q. They cannot be invoked by their lawyer?
A. So it's been said.
Q. And, similarly, with respect to one's Fifth

Amendment right to silence, that is a right which is personal to one who is accused?
A. Absolutely.
Q. That right cannot be invoked?
A. Same as we were saying before.
Q. All right. So it's incumbent upon the individual, in person, to assert their rights on their own behalf, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, again, those rights don't exist if one is not in custody, correct?
A. Well, right, if at a later hearing one is determined not to have been in custody, then those rights, not being exercised, is meaningless.
Q. Now, with respect to one's Sixth Amendment right to counsel, one's Sixth Amendment right to
counsel does not exist until one is similarly
charged with the offense for which the interrogation is to take place, correct?
A. Today, yes.
Q. Well, it was back then as well?
A. By then, you mean the time of the interrogation?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And as a matter of fact, with respect to the Sixth Amendment right to counsel, it is an offense specific right, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. It doesn't cover any and all cases, only the cases for which the person is charged?
A. There is some case law that disagrees with that, but the general thrust of case law is as you stated.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, this is afield, in the sense that we have raised no Sixth Amendment claim, or correlative Wisconsin Constitutional claim here. It's agreed that Mr. Avery was not yet charged with a criminal offense, the formal accusatory process had not begun.

THE COURT: So it's a Fifth Amendment question, you are saying?

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's a Fifth Amendment question. And, again, the correlative provisions of the Wisconsin Constitution, that's right.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ All right. As a matter of fact, in your discussions with Detective O'Neill, as I understood your examination from defense counsel, you said, quote, $I$ don't have authority
to stop you from questioning him, you told Detective O'Neill that, correct?
A. Right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all.
THE COURT: Any redirect?

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Assuming that a person is not under arrest, and setting aside the very narrow circumstances of when it can be a crime to refuse to provide your name or your identity to a law enforcement officer, I'm talking about Hiibel, most recently. Setting aside that, if one is not in custody, does one in this country have a perfect right to assert a claim to silence, irrespective of custodial status, when approached by a law enforcement officer?
A. Absolutely.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have.
THE COURT: All right. The witness is excused.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Pass. Thank you.
THE COURT: And, hopefully, Mr. O'Neill is still hovering in the hall.

Mr. Strang, from my own benefit, is the
motion -- I don't recall if this was specified in the motion, but is your motion triggered by the portion of the interview that you questioned Mr. O'Neill on earlier, that point forward? Is there any challenge to any statements made before that point? The language about, Well, I guess they don't want me to talk no more, and I got to listen to my lawyer; is that what the defense is arguing here, is the assertion of the right to remain silent?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, and I don't want to be pinned down to specific words, but we're talking about the same point in time and it's -- it's in part two --

ATTORNEY FALLON: It's reflected on the bottom of page four and the remainder of page five from the report status.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I guess, more
importantly --
THE COURT: I think on the --
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- part three and into part four on the --

ATTORNEY FALLON: On the tape.
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- on the tape, on the recording.

THE COURT: Right. My recollection is the part three ended with the officer waiting for Mr. Avery to come back, after he was on the telephone --

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct.
THE COURT: -- with his attorney, and then part four --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Picks up --
THE COURT: -- starts with the language that you questioned Mr. O'Neill about.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Correct.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. We're in the right location. That's right.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. O'Neill, you are still under oath. Mr. Strang, do you need a couple minutes to go over that.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Let's take a short break and then we will come back for some final questions for Mr. O'Neill.
(Exhibit 23 marked for identification.)
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: Mr. O'Neill, you are still under oath and, Mr. Strang, you may begin.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Is Exhibit 23 an accurate photo copy of the notes retrieved from your car?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. If you go to the first page, the top page, the entry at the top, 1415 is $2: 15$ p.m.?
A. Yes.
Q. That's roughly when you arrived to begin talking to Steven Avery?
A. Actually I'm leaving the car at the point and talking to Steve Avery, yes.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I think we arrived at 2:00 p.m. Actually sitting down with Steven was at 2:15.
Q. All right. And then down at the bottom, at 5:57 p.m., which you have written in Zulu time or military time, 1757; do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. All right. And -- And what you are recording there is that the property owner revoked his consent for you to be on the property?
A. Correct.
Q. The property owner being Al, or Allen Avery?
A. Correct.
Q. What's 1055 mean?
A. In my notations, 1055 would mean alcohol -alcohol was a factor in that notation.
Q. Okay. But you understood the property owner to be revoking consent for law enforcement to be present on the property?
A. Correct.
Q. If we go to Page three, you have got an entry of 1538; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. That's 3:38 in the afternoon?
A. Correct.
Q. And you write, Attorney phoned to me, right?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you mean there?
A. I believe that was a point where, two minutes before the connected phone call of Mr. Glynn, he received, again, a phone call. And it was his attorney. And they wanted to talk to me, but the area that we're in is really bad for cell phones and it disconnected. And he called back, as noted in the second entry.
Q. And what's the -- I can't read the time on that, can you?
A. 1540 .
Q. So, two minutes later.
A. Correct.
Q. 3:40. The lawyer calls back, and the first line I can't read, after 1540; what does that say?
A. Interview continue.
Q. Interview continued?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Meaning interview with Steven Avery continued?
A. Correct.
Q. And then at that point, Avery actually offers you the names and telephone numbers of the lawyer at some point right in there, correct?
A. I don't believe Steven did. I don't know if this is a notation I made when I was asking Attorney Glynn to clarify the name, and I see that there's another name as well in the log.
Q. Right. But as a matter of refreshing your recollection, if the tape -- I think the recording has Mr. Avery saying something to you, very close to, if not verbatim, quote, "No, but here's his number, case when you want to talk to me, contact them and they -- they want to be there too", closed quote. Do you remember Mr. Avery saying--
A. I don't remember that, but if it's on the recording, I would have to refresh my memory with that.
Q. Okay. But do you recall Avery at least offering you a number for one or more of his lawyers?
A. I don't remember. I don't remember if $I$ got it from Mr. Glynn, or if $I$ got it from Mr. Avery, or if $I$ got it from both, or if it was at the conclusion of our interview. I really don't know.
Q. Okay. But the comment here, interview continued, it's referring to the interview with Avery, not an interview with Glynn?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And then below that you have written Stephen Glynn, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And below that, you have written the name Walt Kelly?
A. Yes.
Q. That's not a name that Mr. Glynn gave you?
A. It may have been, I'm not certain. In fact, I didn't realize it until now that the name Walt Kelly was below my note of Steve Glynn.
Q. Okay. So whether Glynn gave it to you, or Avery gave it to you, or both, you just don't remember?
A. I remember talking to Mr. Glynn. But noting, Mr. Kelly's.
Q. Okay. And you noted the attorneys, right?
A. I believe Mr. Kelly is an attorney, yes.
Q. Yeah. I mean, you have got a note to the right of that, attorneys?
A. Correct.
Q. And then a telephone number?
A. Correct.
Q. Which is 414/221-9600?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember now whether you got that from Glynn, Avery, or both of them, or do you just not remember?
A. I don't recall.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have.
Thanks. And I move Exhibit 23.
THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No objection to the exhibit. No questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 23 is in and the witness is excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: You're welcome.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I think that completes
the evidence on this motion.
THE COURT: On that motion, I believe it does.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, it does.
THE COURT: Counsel, what are the remaining witnesses that the parties will be calling on the multiple execution search warrant issue?

ATTORNEY FALLON: The burden is still on the defense to go forward, that we have taken testimony from one of their witnesses, Lieutenant Lenk. So I'm not certain how many more they have and how much more we're going to do this evening.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I have Detective Remiker, and Sergeant Colborn. Neither of which probably will be much longer than -- probably be about the same length as Lieutenant Lenk, which was what, about 45 minutes?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think probably about an hour total.

ATTORNEY BUTING: About an hour each, for those. Sheriff Pagel, just briefly. And possibly Sergeant Tyson tomorrow, but I don't know that we're going to need that, and that would be it. Unless, I don't think -- possibly Investigator Wiegert, if he had some decision making or a signing type
authority, we could find that out later.
THE COURT: The -- And these witnesses are all going to have something to say that's not just cumulative to what the others have said?

ATTORNEY BUTING: They weren't all on the exact same searches, right. They were paired up at times, and other times they were separate, with other individuals.

THE COURT: How much time do you think is left to present the defense case, with those witnesses?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I would estimate about -Detective Remiker, perhaps a little bit longer, because he actually did more searches than anybody. Colborn maybe a half hour, Remiker maybe 45 minutes.

THE COURT: What about the others, or are they contingent witnesses?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Wiegert is contingent on -- I don't know, he didn't do any of the searches directly, so his testimony would be only in the event he is a -- was involved in the decision making. And similar with Pagel. And Tyson, I think there is really only a couple of searches that he was involved in. So neither one of those witnesses would be lengthy, on my motion.

THE COURT: I'm just wondering, if I understand the nature of your motion, and the multiple -- I understand the legal argument, I'm just wondering if every officer who participated in a search, has to testify for you to make your point.

ATTORNEY BUTING: The case law on these -on this issue, is not entirely clear. And in Wisconsin, there is none that has actually ruled on it. But the other case law, I think the one thing that is pretty clear and not surprisingly, because most Fourth Amendment law is like this, is very fact driven.

And although there are some -- there's some sort of different approaches, although there's some common themes that the other courts in other state's have taken on this issue. But the facts, and I anticipate the State is going to be presenting some evidence as well --

THE COURT: Well, I don't want to prevent you from fully presenting your case, I'm just questioning whether the level of detail for each witness will be necessary. What is the State looking at in terms of its witnesses?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I can tell the Court that, I'm looking for my check list at the moment,
but off the top of my head, I know that there will be probably four witnesses, if they call all the witnesses they are calling.

I believe we have some brief testimony from Sergeant Tyson, some testimony from Lieutenant Bowe and Lieutenant Sippel. And there will be testimony from Special Agent Tom Fassbender.

I think that's it. Because I suspect that by the time defense is done presenting their case, and we'll be able to elicit testimony from some of the witnesses they are calling, that that will -- that will help, although most of my questioning for the other witnesses is unrelated to the key points that the defense is apparently trying to bring out.

THE COURT: All right. Well, it looks to me like the testimony we're looking at is going to take the better part of the day tomorrow. I don't know that it pays --

ATTORNEY FALLON: I would say that's probably true.

THE COURT: -- to do much more tonight. We are going to have to finish tomorrow --

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.

THE COURT: -- regardless of how long it takes. I did have one matter I wanted to take up on the record before we leave. I think we have discussed it before. I know I have discussed it with counsel and in correspondence, and I don't believe there's been any objection to this.

But in order to address some of the concerns, primarily raised by the defense on the venue motion, and also under the Court's own authority, to keep prejudicial evidence from being made public, because of the level of publicity that's attended to this case, I have ordered a number of documents sealed. And I have actually given the parties some authority on their own to submit documents under seal.

A number of those documents have since been opened, but a number of them are also still sealed, and I would like to hear from the parties, on the record, whether they have any objection to the documents that are currently sealed, or the method that the Court has been using to this point. Mr. Fallon and Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, Judge, we do understand that the items that remain under seal include nine other acts motions, and one three-part
in limine motion by the State, that required a probative versus prejudice analysis by the Court for admissibility. We have no objection to those matters remaining under seal.

It's my recollection, Judge, perhaps I'm wrong on this, but it's my recollection that the balance of the items that originally were ordered sealed by the Court, have now been unsealed. And if that is, in fact, a correct recollection, we have no problem with that continuing.

We do anticipate a ruling, again, some time prior to trial, at least enough in advance of trial, as to the other acts and that one three-part in limine motion. And we understand, at least the Court's original indication, that the items that would be ruled admissible, the seal would be lifted; and those that the Court believed, if any, would be inadmissible, would remain sealed. The State has no objection to that procedure as well.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: First, most broadly, I have no objection to the procedure the Court is using on both sealing and unsealing to date. Second, more specifically, as I
understand the division between which specific documents remain sealed and which do not, I have no objection there either.

The proviso I guess I would add, is that it probably would be a good time tomorrow, for me to pause and just look to make sure that my understanding of what is sealed and not sealed, accords with reality.

THE COURT: I have not -- I know at the last hearing, before a number of documents were unsealed, I did my own inventory and attempted to make sure that anything that could be unsealed was unsealed. I have not inventoried the sealed versus unsealed documents lately, so I'm not in a position to know -- say whether Mr. Kratz is correct as to the current state of affairs or not.

However, that -- if $I$ didn't mention it, the understanding is correct, that once the Court rules that information will be admissible, it's the Court's intention, at that point, to unseal it. There's certainly a strong presumption in favor of openness in our court system, and it's only to maintain the integrity of the trial and protect the defendant's rights to a fair trial that some of the documents have been sealed.

Counsel, let's do this, I'm going to excuse you for today, but let's plan on starting at 8:30 tomorrow, to make sure we don't run into troubles as the day goes on. Anything else today?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not from the State, Judge. Thank you.

ATTORNEY STRANG: We had a stipulation resolving one motion, should we make that of record tomorrow, or do we fear that we'll forget it.

THE COURT: Well, I think, actually, I have a list of some other things to possibly address after tomorrow's hearing, and that's one of them. So, we'll do it that way, along with some of the other matters to be addressed. All right. If there's nothing further, we're adjourned for today. (Proceedings concluded.)
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bother [1] 57/18
bottom [5] 27/20 44/23 45/12
83/16 85/16
Bowe [1] 93/6

BRANCH [2] 1/1 98/5
break [1] 84/20
breath [1] 52/17
brief [3] 4/5 84/23 93/4
briefly [1] 90/21
bring [3] 9/4 57/7 93/16
broadly [1] 95/22
brother [1] 67/16
brought [4] 23/12 54/21 59/3

## 63/23

Brown [1] 51/23
bulk [1] 60/9
burden [1] 90/8
business [3] 31/20 40/13 77/16
but [63] $8 / 211 / 117 / 1922 / 7$ 22/20
26/6 28/3 29/15 31/12 32/13 34/6
38/3 38/24 39/17 41/3 42/17 43/4
$45 / 546 / 1246 / 1948 / 1948 / 24$
49/17 52/3 52/18 53/8 53/24 54/4
54/22 55/7 60/8 62/10 64/3 66/15
69/16 69/22 71/4 71/13 73/24
74/14 74/16 76/2 78/15 78/25
$81 / 8$ 83/2 83/12 86/4 86/19 87/1 8
87/2 1 88/1 88/4 88/10 89/1 90/22
92/9 92/16 93/1 94/7 94/17 95/6
97/2
BUTING [1] 1/18
C
cabin [4] 7/6 7/13 8/6 8/15
caddy [1] 28/24
cage [1] 21/2
call [24] $4 / 184 / 25 \quad 12 / 1814 / 20$
16/16 16/25 17/6 17/8 18/8 19/6
19/1 1 57/25 61/25 64/6 67/6
67/11 67/15 67/18 67/25 68/3
68/22 86/17 86/18 93/2
called [6] 5/2 58/4 59/7 63/15 67/13 86/21
calling [5] 4/17 4/20 90/6 93/3 93/12
calls [6] 11/10 11/11 67/25 68/6 68/17 87/3
Calumet [3] 6/17 7/20 28/2
came [6] 7/7 7/8 16/4 16/13 17/12 26/2
can [25] 10/1 22/23 24/13 24/15
29/4 41/10 43/25 46/11 49/3
49/14 51/16 55/9 64/12 64/16
66/15 68/17 69/1 69/4 72/18
72/23 73/4 75/15 82/10 86/24 92/24
can't [6] 9/22 32/12 38/3 42/2
86/23 87/4
cannot [2] 79/23 80/4
capture [1] 40/24
car[17] 8/13 8/14 15/24 15/25
16/4 16/13 19/5 21/1 21/3 25/12
30/12 30/23 45/23 49/24 55/8 85/4 85/11
career [3] 29/15 34/21 59/23
carefully [1] 98/8
carrying [1] 70/25
cartoons [1] 51/23
case [34] $1 / 5$ 6/21 9/8 20/17 39/14 46/20 60/13 60/16 60/17 60/18 61/2 61/4 61/5 61/7 61/18 61/18 62/11 64/20 65/2 65/6 75/1 78/8 78/9 78/12 79/1 81/7 81/8 87/22 91/10 92/6 92/9 92/20 93/11 94/12
cases [7] 58/15 59/20 59/22 60/1
60/10 81/5 81/6
casual [1] 22/6
catch [1] 51/18
categories [1] 34/14
caused [1] 75/21
CD [7] 23/5 23/5 24/16 28/3 28/4 28/10 28/12
cell [13] 49/22 51/14 52/6 63/12
64/9 64/14 67/10 67/13 67/15
67/18 71/6 71/13 86/20
certain [6] 19/8 21/11 38/23 41/12 88/21 90/11
certainly [7] 38/4 47/1 63/3 74/13
75/23 77/24 96/21
certify [1] 98/6
CF [1] $1 / 5$
challenge [1] $83 / 5$
challenging [1] 75/4
characterize [1] 22/3
charge [3] 34/8 59/4 61/5
charged [5] 34/3 34/7 80/19 81/6 81/14
charging [1] 64/18
Charles [1] 7/7
Charlie [1] 51/22
chatted [1] 68/14
check [3] 25/8 44/24 92/25
checking [1] 10/3
chime [1] 16/7
chosen [1] 40/8
Chuck [1] 7/19
CIRCUIT [4] $1 / 1$ 1/10 62/1 98/5
circumstances [3] 13/12 74/3 82/9
citizen [1] 34/14
citizens [3] 33/6 33/19 33/21
city [1] 74/4
civil [15] 50/20 50/21 59/22 60/6
60/7 60/13 60/16 60/21 61/6
$61 / 17$ 64/17 65/19 74/25 78/5
78/8
civilian [1] 21/1
claim [3] 81/12 81/13 82/15
clarify [7] 39/14 40/9 41/11 41/17
41/18 45/3 87/16
clear[5] 9/19 62/13 79/8 92/7
92/10
clearly [1] 74/17
clerk [2] 22/17 27/8
click [1] 28/5
client [1] 58/20
close [1] 87/21
closed [3] 45/24 49/4 87/24
closer [1] 16/20
co [1] 60/15
co-counsel [1] 60/15
coerce [1] 21/23
cognitive [1] 32/16
Colborn [2] 90/14 91/15
collar [1] 65/8
collected [1] 13/9
college [1] 38/9
colored [2] 6/9 6/10
come [6] 6/13 36/7 43/5 43/8 84/3 84/20
comes [1] 57/1
coming [1] 12/21
comment [6] 49/9 56/17 65/16
$72 / 1072 / 2188 / 10$
comments [1] 71/14
commit [1] 66/18
committing [1] 47/22
common [1] 92/15
communicate [1] 40/13
communicated [1] 74/17
communicates [1] 39/19
communicating [1] 39/17
communication [1] 54/24
compile [1] 45/7
compiled [1] 28/1
complaint [1] 78/21
complete [3] 9/11 21/6 43/22
completed [3] 19/16 30/9 32/21
completes [1] 89/25
completing [1] 42/5
complex [1] 65/7
computer [3] 27/22 43/6 98/10
computer-assisted [1] 98/10
computerized [1] 98/9
concern [1] 22/7
concerned [1] 23/19
concerning [1] 13/14
concerns [1] 94/8
concluded [2] 17/5 97/17
conclusion [1] 88/9
conducted [3] 33/1 33/6 59/9
conducting [2] 11/733/16
conference [3] 61/25 62/3 62/4
conflict [1] 61/20
conflicts [1] 61/16
confusion [3] 66/24 67/7 67/9
congruence [1] 65/17
connected [1] 86/17
connection [1] 64/18
consent [4] 11/2 13/14 85/22 86/5
consider [1] 76/19
considered [1] 64/21
consistent [1] 74/13
consisting [1] 59/8
Constitution [1] 81/21
constitutional [3] 35/11 76/17 81/13
construed [1] 74/19
contact [13] 6/14 6/18 6/20 6/25
10/17 11/13 20/4 20/8 20/14
35/15 46/20 57/15 87/23
contained [1] 11/4
content [1] 45/18
contingent [2] 91/17 91/18
continue [4] 10/4 17/1 18/6 87/5
continued [4] 16/14 87/6 87/9
88/10
continuing [2] 62/10 95/10
continuous [2] 10/10 10/12
convenient [1] 25/15
conversation [37] 8/12 8/17 9/1
10/10 10/12 13/10 15/21 17/11
18/21 20/19 22/6 30/8 30/14 31/5
31/19 40/21 41/3 48/12 48/23
$51 / 1051 / 1251 / 1652 / 2353 / 20$
62/7 62/21 67/21 68/18 68/24
73/2 73/12 73/13 73/24 74/2 74/7 74/8 75/10
conversations [9] $8 / 209 / 1410 / 11$
18/24 61/9 62/24 71/1 73/15 74/1
converse [1] 17/1
convicted [2] 59/11 66/18
conviction [3] 59/2 59/6 60/13
cooperate [2] 22/5 48/9
cooperative [1] 37/9
copies [3] 9/4 9/7 55/10
copy [5] 9/11 9/20 23/11 44/13 85/3
correct [91] 9/8 9/9 14/12 16/1
19/11 19/15 23/14 25/14 27/15
29/11 29/12 30/11 30/21 31/14
correct... [77] 31/23 31/25 32/3
$32 / 632 / 934 / 1134 / 1234 / 2535 / 2$ 35/22 36/8 36/9 36/1 1 36/13 $36 / 15$ 36/17 36/19 36/22 36/24 37/1 37/19 38/19 39/6 39/8 39/16 $40 / 1140 / 1442 / 1042 / 1945 / 19$ 46/6 46/8 46/17 47/2 47/7 47/13 49/11 49/15 49/19 50/2 54/13 64/8 76/13 76/17 77/5 77/8 77/22 77/25 78/6 78/14 78/21 79/7
$79 / 1179 / 1279 / 1779 / 2280 / 8$
$80 / 1180 / 2081 / 382 / 284 / 584 / 12$
85/23 85/25 86/7 86/12 87/2
87/10 87/13 88/13 89/7 89/9 95/9 96/15 96/18 98/12
correctly [2] 48/7 59/4
correlative [2] 81/12 81/20
correspond [1] 28/9
correspondence [1] 94/5
could [15] 12/2 13/18 16/6 37/15 39/15 48/7 52/8 54/5 59/2 70/3 70/3 70/4 71/15 91/1 96/12 couldn't [3] 37/15 52/3 75/6 counsel [27] 4/1 9/8 20/12 23/17 45/2 55/23 56/7 56/19 56/21 60/15 62/9 62/11 72/18 74/25 76/21 76/24 77/3 79/9 79/13 79/19 80/17 80/18 81/2 81/25 90/5 94/5 97/1
country [2] 34/22 82/14
county [18] 1/1 4/75/13 5/15 6/17 $6 / 197 / 208 / 1314 / 414 / 5 \quad 17 / 25$ 18/11 28/2 61/25 62/22 65/6 78/6 98/2
couple [5] 18/22 55/18 63/22 84/16 91/23
course [15] 7/10 10/17 18/16 18/21 18/23 18/24 20/18 21/19 28/17 37/6 43/14 65/11 67/24 68/5 68/17
court [25] 1/1 1/10 1/25 4/10 6/7
9/19 23/22 25/17 46/11 58/16
59/17 62/1 62/8 62/16 92/24
94/21 95/2 95/8 95/17 95/23
96/18 96/22 98/4 98/5 98/19
Court's [3] 94/9 95/15 96/20
courtroom [1] 6/4
courts [1] 92/15
cover [1] 81/5
covered [2] 30/20 37/13
creation [1] 23/17
crime [6] 34/4 34/7 47/22 65/8 66/18 82/10
crimes [5] 33/21 33/22 33/24 34/1 76/13
criminal [21] 50/10 58/15 59/20
59/25 60/3 60/9 60/18 61/11
61/12 61/18 62/11 71/1 75/1
76/12 78/9 78/12 78/17 78/20
78/22 79/5 81/14
Crivitz [1] 71/12
cross [6] 2/5 2/10 23/21 24/1 44/23 76/9
Cross-Examination [4] 2/5 2/10
24/1 76/9
cumulative [1] 91/4
curious [2] 19/22 53/24
current [1] 96/16
currently [1] 94/20
custodial [1] 82/16
custody [14] 8/1 18/18 19/18
$35 / 2154 / 2056 / 356 / 856 / 11$ $77 / 1079 / 1079 / 15$ 80/11 80/13 82/13

D
dark [2] 6/9 6/10
data[5] 11/18 12/13 13/9 14/8 24/25
date [12] 1/9 11/19 17/12 26/3
41/21 41/23 42/3 42/4 42/8 42/8 43/4 95/24
dated [3] $27 / 2$ 27/4 98/15
day[14] 6/24 8/19 10/7 10/20 26/5 44/5 52/23 54/3 57/16 57/22
66/10 93/19 97/4 98/15
day's [1] 66/5
days [3] 41/21 43/14 61/2
daytime [1] 58/14
deaf [1] 38/1
deal [1] 38/25
dealing [1] $34 / 13$
DEAN [1] $1 / 16$
decide [2] 14/10 65/1
decided [1] 65/5
decision [3] 67/5 90/25 91/21
defendant [7] 1/7 1/17 1/19 1/21
6/25 8/5 71/24
defendant's [1] 96/24
defendants [1] 34/3
Defending [1] 58/15
defense [21] $4 / 19$ 9/8 24/21 50/11
57/24 59/20 59/25 60/3 60/9
61/11 61/12 71/2 76/12 76/12
81/24 83/8 90/9 91/10 93/10
93/15 94/8
define [2] 35/4 35/5
degree [3] 32/5 34/19 38/23
degrees [2] 38/9 38/10
demeanor [1] 22/3
demonstrates [1] 51/25
denied [1] 22/1
department [4] 5/13 5/20 8/24 41/24
Depending [1] 44/2
Depends [1] 35/4
depositions [3] 64/20 64/22 64/23
describe [1] 31/24
described [3] 21/14 28/22 62/23
description [1] 41/12
descriptions [1] 37/12
detail [2] 49/6 92/21
details [5] 11/25 12/14 12/19 30/13 51/9
detective [24] $2 / 32 / 124 / 84 / 19$
4/25 5/2 5/13 5/17 5/20 23/2 24/3 34/1855/12 68/12 68/13 68/18
68/24 73/4 77/7 78/1 1 81/23 82/2 90/13 91/13
determine [2] 59/1 61/14
determined [3] 60/23 74/12 80/13
diagram [2] 27/17 27/18
diagrams [1] 26/8
dialing [1] 64/9
Diane [4] 1/24 75/15 98/4 98/19
dictaphone [1] 29/1
dictated [1] 43/6
diction [1] 39/8
dictionary [1] 39/4
did [89] 5/24 6/2 6/13 7/5 7/11 7/16 7/17 8/10 8/11 8/12 9/4 9/6 10/6 15/3 15/4 15/12 15/13 15/19
15/21 16/2 16/8 17/1 17/6 17/23
18/3 18/20 18/23 19/17 19/21

19/22 20/4 20/5 20/10 20/15
21/21 21/23 21/25 21/25 22/10 22/13 24/5 24/17 25/19 26/18 26/24 28/2 28/16 30/6 30/16 30/25 31/2 31/12 31/17 32/1 $46 / 15$ 47/25 48/3 50/5 50/7 50/9 50/23 51/8 56/13 56/18 59/13 60/5 60/9 65/25 66/10 67/11 67/18 67/19 68/4 68/7 70/8 70/17 70/20 71/3 71/16 71/17 72/13 73/16 73/18 74/21 79/2 87/14 91/14 94/2 96/11
didn't [21] 20/10 31/9 31/11 40/3 $46 / 3$ 46/14 47/1 47/24 48/1 50/13 50/1753/25 56/13 61/12 65/23 66/1 8 70/5 78/19 88/22 91/19 96/17
different [5] 4/3 36/6 54/19 67/15 92/14
digital [1] 29/1
diploma [2] $32 / 832 / 20$
direct [6] 2/4 2/9 5/8 5/23 49/17 58/1 1
directed [2] 8/25 11/5
direction [1] $41 / 1$
directly [2] 8/4 91/20
disagrees [1] 81/7
disappeared [1] 65/20
disconnected [1] 86/21
discovered [1] 59/8
discovery [2] 45/7 45/15
discuss [2] 53/16 54/17
discussed [5] 12/16 12/17 34/15 94/4 94/4
discussion [8] 11/14 14/6 18/4
18/12 69/8 73/18 77/19 78/15
discussions [5] 9/15 17/23 19/16
79/4 81/23
division [1] 96/1
DNA [1] $59 / 8$
do [71] $4 / 65 / 125 / 1210 / 2016 / 17$
22/8 22/17 25/7 25/10 26/25 27/9
27/23 27/24 29/7 35/5 38/16 39/3
40/21 42/24 43/4 43/16 44/14
44/1 4 44/21 44/22 45/5 45/25 $46 / 148 / 1048 / 1548 / 1948 / 22$ 49/5 53/4 55/6 55/24 56/4 58/17 58/19 62/23 63/5 63/6 63/7 63/10 64/9 67/20 68/8 69/3 69/21 73/22 75/4 75/8 84/16 85/18 85/19 86/15 87/24 88/4 89/12 89/13 90/12 91/9 91/19 93/23 94/23 95/11 96/2 97/1 97/10 97/14 98/6
documents [8] 94/13 94/15 94/16
94/20 96/2 96/10 96/14 96/25
does [21] 23/4 28/9 35/9 35/11
35/14 41/23 44/25 45/20 47/19 56/7 56/17 56/21 56/23 57/10 79/9 79/15 80/1 8 82/14 87/4 90/3 90/4
doesn't [14] 34/24 35/1 35/20 47/6
56/2 56/21 56/23 56/25 57/1
57/18 69/19 69/24 74/14 81/5
doing [7] 23/24 29/14 41/8 42/22 58/13 65/2 69/3
dollar [1] 65/9
don't [65] $9 / 25 \quad 12 / 6$ 16/15 22/15 26/20 27/5 30/15 30/24 30/25 $31 / 231 / 532 / 1232 / 2338 / 2039 / 6$ $39 / 8$ 39/10 42/15 44/13 45/24 $46 / 1346 / 1446 / 2447 / 1052 / 18$ 53/7 54/9 56/12 56/18 57/17 57/18 62/1 69/15 69/17 70/12

| D | entails [1] $33 / 18$ | \|fair[11] 27/6 40/15 40/25 44/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| don't... [30] 71/11 71/20 73/1 | entry [3] 85/7 86/8 86/22 | $96 / 24$ |
| 75/22 75/23 75/24 75/25 77/15 | essentially [1] 61/10 | fairly [1] 41/8 |
| 77/15 77/17 80/10 81/25 83/1 | established [1] 62/13 | fall [2] 38/1861/3 |
| 83/7 83/11 87/14 87/14 88/1 88/6 | estimate [2] 12/7 91/12 | FALLON [10] 1/14 2/4 2/6 2/10 |
| 88/6 88/9 88/25 89/15 90/22 | etcetera [1] 12/15 | 4/22 49/17 55/16 57/10 76/7 |
| 90/24 91/19 92/19 93/19 94/5 | ethical [1] 61/15 | 94/22 |
| 97/3 | even [8] 16/6 51/18 56/3 56/21 | Fallon's [1] 53/6 |
| done [10] 34/17 40/6 42/17 42/19 | 62/4 70/21 71/1178/19 | familiar [5] 12/1 23/4 31/7 36/5 |
| 42/20 43/17 55/6 55/11 55/15 | evening [1] 90/12 | 41/24 |
| 93/10 | event [4] 29/21 30/22 54/22 91/21 | family [10] 6/18 6/20 7/15 7/21 |
| door [6] 7/7 7/8 14/22 16/5 16/7 | events [4] 10/13 17/24 18/10 77/6 | 8/7 14/21 31/20 58/25 59/1 60/5 |
| 65/6 | eventually [1] 7/10 | far [8] 23/10 23/11 23/19 31/9 |
| doubt [1] 50/16 | ever [4] 18/18 20/10 | $51 / 153 / 153 / 360 / 8$ |
| down [18] 13/6 15/1 21/15 29/19 | every [2] 42/24 92/4 | fashion [1] 33/10 |
| 40/15 40/17 40/21 40/22 41/10 | everything [2] 14/16 18/10 | Fassbender [1] 93/8 |
| 41/16 44/23 45/21 51/9 52/11 | evidence [7] 27/12 59/8 62/17 | favor [2] 61/19 96/22 |
| 52/24 83/12 85/15 85/16 | 73/10 90/1 92/18 94/10 | fax [1] 64/2 |
| drawing [1] 9/24 | exact [1] 91/6 | fear [2] 48/22 97/10 |
| drawn [1] 27/17 | examination [16] 2/4 2/5 2/6 2/9 | federal [1] 58/15 |
| driven [1] 92/12 | 2/10 2/11 2/13 5/8 24/1 49/17 | feel [2] 40/23 57/17 |
| driveway [1] 8/15 | 55/20 58/11 76/9 81/24 82/6 85/1 | felt [1] 11/22 |
| drug [1] 36/20 | examined [2] 5/4 58/6 | few [3] 7/8 15/14 15/15 |
| drugs [1] 36/14 | example [1] 38/16 | fiance [4] 53/21 54/10 54/18 54/19 |
| drunk [1] 36/18 | excellent [1] 38/10 | fifth [12] 27/19 56/6 76/20 76/23 |
| DSL [1] 64/2 | except [1] 42/2 | 77/1 79/8 79/13 79/14 79/18 |
| due [1] 72/21 | exclusively [2] 59/20 60/3 | 79/25 81/17 81/19 |
| duly [2] 5/3 58/5 | excuse [8] 6/17 7/22 8/20 20/2 | figure [1] 48/5 |
| duration [1] 21/8 | 60/6 71/22 79/13 97/2 | file [3] 10/1 10/2 44/18 |
| during [37] 10/9 10/17 11/1 12/12 | excused [3] 57/7 82/21 89/22 | filed [3] 22/20 25/21 59/6 |
| 13/16 13/17 15/8 17/8 18/16 | execution [2] 4/6 90/7 | fill [1] 4/13 |
| 18/20 18/23 19/5 19/10 20/4 20/8 | exemplifies [1] 35/6 | final [2] 42/21 84/20 |
| 20/14 20/18 21/19 25/5 28/17 | exercised [2] 19/1 80/14 | find [3] 25/15 48/8 91/1 |
| 29/15 30/14 30/19 31/19 33/2 | exhibit [30] 3/3 3/4 3/5 9/23 22/18 | fine [3] 41/19 72/19 93/25 |
| 33/6 34/1 8 40/21 44/5 55/11 | 22/19 23/1 23/4 23/7 23/18 23/21 | fingernails [2] 59/12 59/13 |
| 59/18 59/18 60/20 67/24 68/5 | 23/24 24/5 24/13 24/15 27/8 | fingerprints [3] 59/10 59/12 59/13 |
| 68/17 76/15 | 27/10 41/20 42/1 42/23 44/9 | finish [2] 48/23 93/24 |
| duties [2] 5/19 33/12 | 44/12 44/20 45/3 51/9 84/22 85/3 | firm [4] 58/24 60/2 60/4 63/15 |
| E | 89/17 89/20 89/21 | first [28] 5/3 5/10 7/4 7/11 8/23 |
| each [4] 39/20 | Exhibit 22 [3] |  |
| earlier [7] 60/14 62/17 70/11 | Exhibit 23 [1] 89/17 | 38/6 41/25 58/5 58/23 68/3 73/12 |
| 70/20 73/11 78/7 83/4 | EXHIBITS [1] 3/1 | 73/13 78/4 78/7 85/6 87/3 95/22 |
| earliest [1] 58/23 | exist [4] 79/9 79/15 80/10 80/18 | fits [1] 10/25 |
| early [1] 61/3 | existed [1] 61/20 | Fitzgerald [2] 63/15 63/24 |
| easy [4] 49/7 49/8 49/10 49/13 | exists [1] 56/8 | five [3] 27/14 64/3 83/16 |
| education [3] 30/7 32/2 32/18 | exonerated [2] 60/22 65/18 | flip [1] 13/8 |
| educational [1] 31/3 | exoneration [1] 64/19 | focusing [1] 20/9 |
| effect [8] 14/24 16/24 48/24 56/17 | expand [2] 21/9 64/12 | folks [1] 38/14 |
| 75/19 75/20 78/1 78/2 | expect [1] 35/7 | follow [2] 53/6 70/6 |
| efficient [1] 55/13 | expectation [1] 28/14 | following [1] 62/19 |
| effort [1] 21/20 | experience [3] 34/13 36/5 37/11 | follows [2] 5/4 58/6 |
| efforts [1] 59/2 | explain [1] 40/4 | Ford [1] 21/1 |
| Eighth [1] 32/11 | explained [1] 7/19 | foregoing [2] 98/7 98/7 |
| either [5] 46/22 47/12 65/16 67/16 |  | foremost [3] 8/24 78/4 78/7 |
| $96 / 3$ | expressly [1] 54/9 | forget [1] 97/10 |
| elapsed [1] 12/10 | extended [1] 21/16 | formal [3] 30/6 36/25 81/15 |
| elevated [1] 40/3 | extends [1] 35/17 | format [2] 28/3 28/3 |
| elicit [1] 93/11 | extent [3] 43/20 43/25 53/24 | forth [2] 11/21 31/8 |
| else [7] 8/16 12/15 25/19 50/23 | extra [2] 44/13 44/17 | forward [2] 83/4 90/9 |
| 54/7 67/14 97/4 | eye [1] 27/13 | found [1] 59/9 |
| employment [2] 31/18 34/18 | F | four [13] 24/12 25/21 27/14 27/22 |
| end [4] 50/1 50/3 51/17 73/23 | facilitated [1] 8/25 | 6 83/22 84/7 |
| ended [1] 84/2 | facility [1] 37/14 | Fourth [1] 92/11 |
| enforcement [15] 5/14 7/25 33/3 | fact [16] 8/10 18/7 18/12 19/2 | fragmentary [1] 38/7 |
| 34/21 35/3 35/7 36/3 57/15 66/20 | 19/9 36/6 37/25 65/17 66/1 66/12 | frame [2] 10/9 62/20 |
| 68/4 68/8 74/4 82/11 82/17 86/5 | 78/19 81/1 81/23 88/21 92/11 | frankly [1] 68/12 |
| engaged [3] 8/17 11/15 76/11 | 95/9 | free [4] 18/20 18/24 56/11 69/23 |
| English [5] 37/14 38/6 38/11 38/22 | factor [1] 86/3 | freely [1] 20/23 |
| 38/25 | facts [1] 92/17 | frequently [1] 64/25 |
| enough [4] 27/6 40/25 50/15 95/12 | factual [1] 65/21 | friendly [1] 36/10 |


| F | 60/7 61/9 61/9 61/24 65/3 65/5 | 71/13 71/14 71/16 71/18 73/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 666/17 69/7 70/11 70/19 71/7 |  |
|  | $173 / 13$ 76/2 76/15 78/15 | $1 / 5$ |
| ustrated [1] 22/1 | 78/17 81/15 90/25 97/8 98/13 |  |
| lly [1] 92/20 | Halbach [6] 6/23 7/24 13/3 20/17 | 50/1868/13 |
| further [5] 30/10 57/11 74/8 79/18 | 53/13 53/16 | his [66] 6/8 8/6 |
|  |  |  |
| G | half [3] 58/21 61/8 | 19/10 19/10 19/12 22/3 30/6 31/3 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { G-I-y-n-n }[1] \quad 58 / 10 \\ \text { gamut [1] } 37 / 5 \\ \text { gave [8] } 11 / 2 \quad 13 / 25 \quad 50 / 650 / 854 / 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | Halloween [1] 13/7 | $35 / 6$ 35/23 35/24 38/21 46/3 |
|  |  |  |
| gave [8] 11/2 13/25 50/6 50/8 54/3 <br> 88/20 88/24 88/25 <br> gee [1] 59/21 |  | 54/2 54/17 55/8 55/9 55/15 56/17 |
|  | handle [1] 60/17 | 662/11 64/18 64/19 67/13 |
| ```gee [1] 59/21 general[4] 5/22 14/6 53/20 81/8``` | handled [1] 60/7 | 7/16 67/16 68/11 68/15 70/3 |
|  | handling [1] 60/1 | 70/25 73/23 75/3 75/19 75/22 |
|  | hands [1] 49/22 | 75/24 77/12 84/6 85/21 86/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { gentleman }[1] 58 / 17 \\ & \text { get }[12] 16 / 318 / 1320 / 2321 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | handwritten [5] 24/22 24/24 25/1 | 87/22 88/5 91/20 |
|  | 25/22 43/7 | hold [2] 18/13 |
| 55/16 66/23 70/14 | Hang [1] 49/2 | Hon [1] 1/10 |
| getting [4] 14/7 30/2 44/8 61/18 give [4] 7/17 13/20 30/2 62/20 | happened [2] 4/ | hone [1] 41/11 |
|  | happy [1] 45/5 | honesty [1] 35/9 |
| give [4] 7/17 13/20 30/2 62/20 given [3] 12/19 67/17 94/14 | hard [1] 67/10 | honor [9] 27/12 44/10 55/7 56/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { given [3] } \\ \text { GLYNN [35] } & 2 / 8 & 4 / 9 & 4 / 20 \\ 18 / 8\end{array}$ | has [17] 9/19 9/20 23/3 34/7 34/8 | 57/9 57/12 72/20 81/10 89/23 |
| 19/14 50/8 51/19 52/1 52/2 52/8 | 34/22 57/11 57/24 58/20 65/20 | hope [2] 37/20 42/14 |
| 52/23 53/2 55/1155/1658/158/4 | 65/20 87/20 92 | hopefully [1] 82/23 |
|  | 95/19 98/8 | hostile [1] 36/16 |
| 58/10 58/13 58/25 63/15 63/24 |  | hour [3] 90/1 |
| 87/16 88/7 88/12 88/15 88/20 | haven't [1] 74/11 | hours [4] 10/8 10/8 21/6 58/1 |
| 88/23 88/24 89/1 89/1 | having [5] 5/3 27/1 30/9 58/5 79/3 | house [3] 71/8 71/10 71/10 |
|  | Hazlewood's [1] 59/17 | hovering [1] 82 |
|  | he [129] | how [22] 5/14 5/17 6/13 10/6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 247 / 1147 / 2355 / 1357 / 7 \\ & 84 / 1785 / 686 / 890 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | he's [4] 66/20 69/23 74/11 77/25 | 10/16 12/7 12/10 12/14 19/2 22/3 |
|  | head [1] 93/1 | 24/9 31/9 35/4 35/5 43/4 43/7 |
| goes [3] 28/8 49/9 97/4going [34] $4 / 14 / 174 / 1$ | hear [7] 16/6 51/1 | 45/3 63/10 90/11 90/12 |
|  | 72/8 72/13 94/18 | however [2] 62/6 96/17 |
| 12/25 13/12 15/16 19/4 26/21 | heard [4] 51/21 52/4 71/17 72/14 | human [2] 35/14 37/5 |
| 26/22 27/6 30/10 46/10 48/20 | hearing [6] 1/4 59/15 73/5 80/12 | hundred [1] 65/8 |
| 54/2 57/4 57/25 65/4 66/7 66/25 69/18 72/6 73/2 73/16 75/17 | 96/10 97/13 | hundreds [3] 33/5 34/17 37/11 |
|  | he | I |
| 75/19 78/16 90/12 90/23 91/3 | hear |  |
| 92/17 93/18 93/24 97/1 | 73/7 | I'll [1] 70/14 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { gone [2] } & 25 / 2069 / 7 \\ \text { good [2] } & 12 / 996 / 5 \end{array}$ |  | I'm [64] 9/21 9/23 10/25 12/25 |
|  | 52/14 74/6 93/13 | 19/22 20/9 23/19 24/14 25/18 |
| got [15] 27/20 41/12 43/15 43/15$45 / 347 / 1670 / 1673 / 2383 / 786 / 8$ | helps [1] 10/15 | 26/1 26/5 26/21 26/22 27/6 27/11 |
|  |  | 29/20 31/1 33/9 33/14 34/5 38/3 |
| 88/6 88/7 88/8 89/5 89/12 | here [26] 4/8 4/9 4/13 4/17 9/3 | 41/24 42/19 43/3 44/8 44/20 |
| gotten [1] 49/16 | 10/6 17/13 17/19 18/11 23/13 | 46/10 48/18 48/1851/2 52/1 55/6 |
|  | 24/7 25/24 25/25 40/13 44/24 | 57/25 62/1 62/5 66/11 66/12 |
| 32/18 8 [3] 30/23 31/2 31/13 | 48/18 55/9 55/15 62/13 62/17 | 6/14 66/25 67/12 69/16 69/17 |
|  | 62/22 72/21 72/24 81/13 83/9 | 72/6 73/2 73/7 74/3 75/13 75/17 |
|  | 88/10 | 75/21 75/22 78/12 82/12 85/11 |
| graduation [1] $31 / 9$grammar [1] $39 / 6$ | here's [3] 46/ | 85/13 88/21 90/11 92/1 92/3 |
|  | hereby [1] 98/6 | 92/20 92/25 95/5 96/14 97/1 |
| grasp [2] 21/10 38/11 | herein [2] $5 / 35$ | -cons [3] $28 / 5$ |
|  | hey [1] 14/22 | idea [4] 12/9 32/16 48/7 65/24 |
|  | hiatus [1] $4 / 6$ | identification [4] 23/1 23/3 27/1 |
| grown [1] 29/13 | high [9] 30/9 30/23 30/24 31/2 | 84/22 |
| groes [9] $12 / 637 / 1438 / 2445 / 23$guess$46 / 1356 / 1883 / 683 / 1896 / 4$ | 31/6 31/10 31/12 32/4 32/22 | identified [6] 6/12 19/12 19/13 |
|  | higher [1] 32/17 | 27/7 50/4 50/18 |
| guessed [1] 12/5 <br> guy [2] 48/21 65/18 <br> guys [1] 69/3 | highest [1] 32/8 | identity [1] 82/1 |
|  | highly [2] $36 / 2537 / 1$ | if [81] 9/21 9/22 10/1 10/15 12/5 |
|  | Hiibel [1] 82/12 | 12/613/18 13/21 19/4 22/6 26/23 |
| H | him [65] 7/8 7/18 | 40/2 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | 5/15 61/22 65/22 67/11 |  |
|  | 67/13 67/14 67/1867/23 68/1 | 56/8 56/10 56/10 56/13 59/4 |
|  | 68/2 68/3 69/4 69/5 69/7 69/1 | /16 |
|  | 69/16 69/17 69/18 70/5 70/7 | 70/2 72/4 74/8 74/21 75/175/13 |
|  | 70/20 70/24 71/3 71/4 71/5 71/6 | 77/16 77/18 78/7 78/11 78/19 |


|  | introduced [1] 68/13 inventoried [1] 96/13 | $2 / 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| if... [22] 80/10 80/12 82/13 83/1 | inventory [1] 96/11 | 30/15 37/18 38/19 40/18 43/4 |
| 85/6 86/8 87/14 87/19 87/21 88/1 | investigation [9] 12/20 13/2 48/8 | 49/13 50/13 53/1 53/3 53/24 |
| $88 / 6$ 88/7 88/8 88/8 90/24 92/1$92 / 493 / 295 / 995 / 1896 / 1797 / 15$ | 75/1 78/17 78/20 78/22 78/24 | 62/23 63/1 64/1 65/8 65/15 66/21 |
|  | 79/6 | 69/15 71/11 72/23 74/19 77/15 |
| illegal [1] 36/21 | investigations [1] 5/22 | 77/18 78/19 87/14 88/9 90/22 |
| illiterate [1] 37/19 | investigator [4] 33/12 33/15 33/1 | 91/19 93/1 93/20 94/4 96/9 96/1 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { imagine [1] } 37 / 4 \\ & \text { immediately [2] } 15 / 1358 / 17 \\ & \text { important [3] 40/24 64/22 64/22 } \end{aligned}\right.$ | 90/24 | Knowing [1] 12/17 |
|  | investigators [2] 6/177 | knowledge [3] 7/23 18/15 98/14 |
|  |  |  |
| limportantly [1] 83/19 | involved [10] 12/20 30/1 60/17 | KRATZ [6] 1/12 61/10 61/21 62/21 |
|  | 61/2 61/16 61/18 61/22 75/2 | 94/22 96/15 |
| impression [1] 71/7 | 91/21 91/24 involving [4] | L |
| [ inadmissible [1] $95 / 18$ | /5 | landline [2] 63/13 64/10 |
|  | irrespective [1] 82/1 | language [10] 37/14 38/6 38/7 |
|  |  | 38/11 38/25 39/4 39/21 40/1 83/6 |
|  | isn't [1] 72/24 | 84/9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { include }[8] \text { 35/9 } 35 / 1135 / 1446 / 15 \\ & 47 / 1747 / 2471 / 1194 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | issue [11] 53/2 | last [14] |
| lincluded [2] 46/22 63/25 | 67/3 69/25 72/24 72/25 90/7 92/7 | 23/13 32/20 32/21 45/21 48/4 |
|  | 92/16 | 53/5 58/8 75/16 96/10 |
| including [3] 7/22 37/16 55 incumbent [1] 80/6 | iss | lately [1] 96/14 |
| indicated [2] 64/16 78/3 | it [174] | later[7] 12/3 34/8 61/2 69/6 80/12 |
|  | it's [41] 12/8 14/9 14/24 17/16 | 87/191/1 |
| indications [1] $7 / 25$individual [1] $80 / 7$individuals [4] $55 / 2476 / 1276 / 16$ | 20/24 45/5 45/14 46/16 46/16 | law [25] 1/17 |
|  | 48/25 49/7 49/8 49/10 49/13 | 34/21 35/3 35/7 36/2 57/14 60/5 |
|  | 53/25 54/8 72/1 72/2 72/2 72/4 | 60/18 63/14 66/19 68/4 68/8 74/4 |
| individuals [4] 55/24 76/12 76/16 91/8 | 72/5 73/6 73/7 73/9 73/9 74/2 | 81/7 81/8 82/11 82/16 86/5 92/6 |
| infer [1] 73/4influence [2] 36/14 36/20 | 79/1 8 79/24 80/6 81/13 81/17 | 92/9 92/11 |
|  | 81/19 83/13 83/13 83/15 88/1 | lawyer [28] 34/22 35/18 35/23 |
| influence [2] 36/14 36/20 informal [1] 37/2 |  | 47/16 50/4 50/11 50/16 51/10 |
|  | iter | 51/13 51/13 55/24 60/19 61/11 |
| information [7] 7/16 8/4 11/20 30/3 30/20 96/19 | its [2] 9/20 92/23 | 61/12 66/14 71/2 72/22 73/2 75/3 |
| informed [2] 6/16 62/8 initial [4] $11 / 13$ 13/16 29/25 62/5 initially [3] 7/19 41/3 43/8 | itself [3] 11/13 20/3 57/14 | 75/6 75/7 75/22 75/24 75/25 |
|  | J | 79/23 83/8 87/3 87/12 |
|  |  | lawyers [1] 88/5 |
| inquire [1] $31 / 17$inquiry [1] $53 / 6$inside [1]21/3 | Jane | leading [1] 75/8 |
|  | JEROME [1] 1/18 | learn [1] 31/9 |
|  | job [5] 21/6 31/18 33/18 35/6 41/8 | learned [1] 38/25 |
| instance [1] 20/24 | joker [1] 37/3 | least [9] 18/22 32/7 54/4 63/2 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { integrity [1] } & 96 / 23 \\ \text { intelligent [1] } & 48 / 21\end{array}$ | Journal [1] 65/14 | 64/6 69/13 88/4 95/12 95/15 |
|  | Journal-Sentinel [1] 65/14 | leave [8] 15/14 18/20 18/25 27/9 |
| intelligent [1] 48/21 <br> intention [2] 4/5 96/20 <br> interest [3] 34/11 48/4 70/25 | jovial [1] 37/2 | 56/11 69/23 76/2 94/3 |
|  | judge [11] 1/10 44/16 55/19 59/16 | leaving [1] 85/11 |
| interest [3] [1]/11 48/4 70/25 interested [1] 63/3 | 62/1 62/2 62/22 90/13 94/23 95/5 | left [6] 15/11 15/12 15/15 19/2 |
| ( ${ }^{\text {intermittently }} \mathbf{l}$ [1] $42 / 21$ |  | 31/ |
|  | July [5] 9/3 17/13 17/16 26/4 26/5 | legal [1] 92/3 |
| interpose [1] 66/25 <br> interrogation [5] 79/11 79/16 | July 19th [2] 9/3 17/16 | length [1] 90/16 |
|  | July 5 [1] 26/4 | lengthy [3] 4/14 21/14 91/25 |
| interrupt [1] 14/1interrupted [1] 10/12 | June [2] 17/18 26/2 | Lenk [2] 90/11 90/16 |
|  | June 19th [2] 17/18 26/2 | less[5] 38/15 38/19 43/3 64/21 |
| interruption [12] 10/24 11/8 13/14 | junior [1] 32/22 |  |
| 14/14 14/15 14/16 14/19 15/9 <br> 16/11 17/8 19/4 68/1 | just[38] 8/14 9/18 12/18 14/1 | let [3] 47/9 55/15 62/12 |
|  | 18/7 19/22 20/5 21/1 21/14 30/14 | let's [10] 10/4 10/5 11/12 42/7 |
| interruptions [5] 10/16 10/21 11/9 16/15 21/13 | 31/5 41/11 44/19 44/23 47/23 | 57/5 58/22 61/3 84/19 97/1 97/2 |
|  | 49/22 50/25 53/6 54/12 55/18 | level [5] 30/6 39/18 45/14 92/2 |
| intersection [1] 65/21interview [27] 11/111/1 11/9 | 57/19 62/1 62/3 62/20 68/3 70/2 | 94/11 |
|  | 71/22 75/14 78/11 79/8 88/25 | levels [1] 37/13 |
| interview [27] 11/1 11/1 11/9 13/17 16/14 17/5 18/17 19/5 | 89/13 90/21 91/3 92/1 92/4 92/20 | lie [1] 74/20 |
| $24 / 1125 / 526 / 1128 / 11128 / 18$ $30 / 132 / 2533 / 1636 / 741 / 22$ | 96/6 | Lieutenant [4] 90/10 90/16 93/6 |
| 57/22 83/3 87/5 87/6 87/8 88/9 | K |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 88 / 1088 / 1188 / 12 \\ & \text { interviewed [4] } 37 / 1238 / 438 / 12 \end{aligned}\right.$ | Kelly [7] 60/16 64/16 64/25 65/1 | lightly [1] $66 / 1$ |
| $38 / 14$ <br> interviewee [2] 39/18 39/18 | 88/1888/23 | like [26] 5/23 12/21 13/7 13/7 |
|  | Kelly's [1] 89/2 | 20/24 27/16 28/24 38/12 40/23 |
| interviewing [3] 21/6 33/19 37/6 | KENNETH [1] 1/12 | 45/18 49/21 52/4 52/4 53/4 53/9 |
| interviews [ [3] $33 / 133 / 534 / 14$into [16] $11 / 2513 / 213 / 616 / 4$ | key [2] 39/24 93/15 | 53/11 53/25 55/5 55/6 55/8 69/2 |
|  | kind [6] 12/21 28/23 41/14 51/24 | 70/1 73/3 92/11 93/18 94/18 |
| 16/13 19/18 27/11 31/1738/18 | 52/17 $72 / 10$ | likewise [2] 40/7 79/14 |
| $42 / 7$$97 / 3$ | knew [4] 29/22 30/22 31/12 65/25 | limine [2] 95/1 |
|  | knocked [2] 7/6 14/22 | line [9] 55/22 55/25 63/11 63/ |


| L | $41 / 1842 / 243 / 1348 / 348 / 649 / 16$ | moved [2] 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| line... [5] 64/2 64/2 67/10 67/10 | 71/9 74/15 74/15 84/25 88/21 | Mr [8] 6/12 44/21 46/5 49/19 |
| 87/3 | maybe [8] 10/19 24/12 38/10 | 55/16 64/25 84/24 88/7 |
| lines [3] 63/20 64/3 64/4 | 38/15 55/9 56/16 91/15 91/15 | Mr. [113] |
| list [2] 92/25 97/12 | me[59] 6/16 6/17 7/22 8/20 10/1 | Mr. Allen [1] 60/23 |
| listen [4] 46/11 47/16 76/1 83/8 | 11/22 11/23 12/24 13/1 20/2 21/9 | Mr. Avery [60] 6/3 6/14 7/9 7/14 |
| listened [6] 4/11 9/20 17/10 17/16 | 21/10 21/10 21/12 24/13 24/15 | 7/17 8/21 9/15 10/7 10/18 11/2 |
| 17/20 46/11 | 26/23 32/17 39/12 41/14 41/16 | 11/11 13/18 15/22 16/4 17/2 |
| listening [2] 19/23 24/3 | 41/19 43/12 45/24 46/3 46/13 | 17/11 17/24 18/4 18/17 19/17 |
| little [11] 27/22 49/3 49/6 51/18 | 46/20 47/9 51/21 53/14 53/21 | 19/18 20/4 20/5 20/8 20/14 21/7 |
| 53/10 54/3 62/12 64/12 64/15 | 54/3 56/18 57/18 60/6 62/12 | 21/20 29/22 37/8 38/18 41/22 |
| 68/14 91/13 | 65/12 66/22 68/11 68/13 68/19 | 46/12 46/19 48/20 49/2 53/11 |
| lived [2] 11/21 12/15 | 69/1 69/4 69/12 71/22 74/11 | 53/16 54/8 59/11 60/21 60/22 |
| living [1] 5/12 | 74/17 75/6 75/21 78/23 79/14 | 61/6 61/17 61/23 62/15 64/11 |
| locate [1] 62/12 | 83/7 86/13 86/19 87/23 93/18 | 64/17 66/9 69/2 70/18 72/16 |
| located [2] 6/19 63/15 | 96/5 98/8 98/9 | 73/12 73/19 77/7 78/15 79/4 |
| location [3] 17/25 28/24 84/14 | mean [23] 36/8 37/17 40/16 42/13 | 81/14 84/3 87/20 87/25 |
| locked [1] 20/25 | 44/3 51/6 57/17 60/7 63/14 66/21 | Mr. Avery's [6] 13/20 51/14 61/5 |
| locks [1] 21/2 | 67/23 69/5 69/15 71/11 74/13 | 61/11 62/9 72/9 |
| $\log [1] 87 / 17$ | 74/14 75/6 78/7 80/23 86/1 86/2 | Mr. Fallon [5] 4/22 49/17 57/10 |
| long [7] 5/14 5/17 39/20 49/7 | 86/15 89/5 | 76/7 94/22 |
| 49/10 49/13 94/1 | meaning [2] 67/6 87/8 | Mr. Fallon's [1] 53/6 |
| longer [4] 49/3 61/23 90/15 91/13 | meaningful [1] 69/14 | Mr. Glynn [16] 4/20 51/19 52/1 |
| look [6] 23/4 48/20 55/10 69/14 | meaningless [1] 80/15 | 52/2 52/8 52/23 55/11 55/16 |
| 75/21 96/6 | means [1] 56/14 | 58/13 72/3 73/5 76/11 86/17 88/7 |
| looked [1] 26/25 | meant [1] 77/18 | 88/20 89/1 |
| looking [7] 19/4 28/12 29/18 44/20 | meantime [1] 52/18 | Mr. Glynn's [1] 52/18 |
| 92/23 92/25 93/18 | media [2] 66/9 66/19 | Mr. Kelly [1] 89/4 |
| looks [3] 27/16 45/18 93/17 | meet [3] 5/25 7/11 64/25 | Mr. Kelly's [1] 89/2 |
| lose [1] 41/14 | meeting [3] 65/4 65/5 65/ | Mr. Kratz [5] 61/10 61/21 62/21 |
| lost [1] 49/16 | member [1] 14/21 | 94/22 96/15 |
| lot[6] 11/25 11/25 21/15 32/17 | members [1] 7/15 | Mr. O'Neill [8] 57/6 73/23 74/21 |
| 59/23 69/12 | memory [1] 88/2 | 82/23 83/4 84/10 84/15 84/21 |
| Lucy's [1] 51/23 | mention [5] 56/21 56/23 56/25 | Mr. Strang [8] 6/8 23/24 57/23 |
| M | 57/1 96/17 | $71 / 2582 / 2584 / 1684 / 2595 / 21$ |
| machine [1] 98/10 | merely [1] 8/2 | Mrs. Avery [1] 7/14 |
| made [14] 14/20 15/15 43/18 51/2 | met [1] 6/3 | Ms [1] 22/17 |
| 52/10 61/8 66/9 66/21 67/5 71/14 | method [1] 94/21 | much [11] 10/6 12/10 12/24 35/19 |
| 73/3 83/5 87/15 94/11 | Michael [1] 58/10 | 61/23 69/8 69/9 90/12 90/15 91/9 |
| Madison [4] 50/25 51/2 51/3 51/5 | middle [2] 64/20 65/18 | 93/23 |
| magic [2] 39/11 40/12 | might [7] 8/3 40/4 40/8 41/16 | multi [1] 65/8 |
| main [1] 63/17 | $41 / 1745 / 252 / 10$ | multi-hundred [1] 65/8 |
| maintain [1] 96/23 | military [1] 85/18 | multiple [3] 4/6 90/7 92/3 |
| make [26] 6/18 6/20 11/23 12/1 | Milwaukee [3] 51/4 63/16 65/13 | mute [1] 37/25 |
| 12/3 21/25 30/16 39/24 41/2 | mind [7] 18/17 21/5 36/7 53/7 | my [57] 6/16 8/13 11/5 12/3 18/15 |
| $41 / 1142 / 19$ 42/25 52/3 52/8 54/4 | 56/17 66/21 75/20 | 24/8 25/12 25/23 27/13 28/8 |
| 55/9 57/17 57/19 69/15 69/18 | minute [1] 14/10 | 28/23 29/15 37/16 41/25 43/17 |
| 75/19 92/5 96/6 96/12 97/3 97/9 | minutes [10] 10/8 12/7 12/11 | 45/22 47/19 52/10 52/24 53/3 |
| makes [1] 49/9 | 16/25 30/2 84/17 86/16 87/1 | 54/10 55/13 56/10 58/17 58/24 |
| making [4] 48/11 66/20 90/25 | 90/1791/15 | 59/22 60/22 60/25 61/23 63/9 |
| 91/22 | missing [9] 6/22 13/2 47/23 48/4 | 63/12 63/14 64/14 65/1 66/21 |
| man [3] 31/24 32/7 48/21 | 48/8 66/1 78/20 78/23 78/25 | 66/24 70/4 70/6 71/17 73/25 |
| MANITOWOC[6] 1/1 17/25 18/11 | misunderstood [1] 31/1 | 74/13 82/25 83/8 84/1 86/2 88/2 |
| 61/25 78/5 98/2 | moment [7] 11/6 14/17 14/23 16/8 | 88/23 91/25 92/25 93/1 93/13 |
| manner [1] 31/17 | 40/24 74/23 92/25 | 95/5 95/6 96/6 96/11 98/9 98/13 |
| many[7] 10/16 12/7 19/3 24/9 | moments [3] 7/9 15/14 15/15 | myself[3] 9/7 11/24 21/11 |
| 32/25 76/16 90/11 | month [1] 68/12 | N |
| Marinette [7] 4/7 5/13 5/15 5/21 | more [21] 11/23 12/24 29/15 45/24 | N |
| 6/19 14/4 14/5 | 46/13 49/6 51/1 56/18 57/5 64/22 | name [22] 5/5 5/6 5/10 6/22 11/19 |
| mark [3] 26/21 27/6 27/8 | 67/20 69/8 77/18 83/7 83/18 88/5 | 50/6 50/8 50/10 50/13 52/11 |
| marked [13] 3/2 9/23 22/19 22/22 | 90/11 90/12 91/14 93/23 95/25 | 52/12 52/16 52/24 58/8 58/8 |
| 22/23 23/1 23/3 24/4 27/10 41/20 | mornings [1] 65/1 | 68/15 82/11 87/16 87/17 88/17 |
| 42/1 51/8 84/22 | most [7] 30/16 55/13 58/13 82/12 | 88/20 88/22 |
| material [1] 59/9 | 92/11 93/13 95/22 | names [1] 87/12 |
| matter [21] 14/9 19/2 19/9 34/11 | mother [2] 51/23 67/17 | narrative [1] 67/1 |
| 35/20 36/5 50/20 50/21 56/3 56/7 | motion [21] 1/4 4/3 24/19 24/21 | narrow [1] 82/9 |
| 66/1 66/12 69/1 76/2 78/19 81/1 | 25/20 26/6 44/16 44/18 57/11 | nature [3] 69/9 69/9 92/2 |
| 81/22 87/18 94/2 98/7 98/13 | 57/22 83/1 83/2 83/2 90/1 90/2 | near [1] 27/20 |
| matters [2] 95/4 97/15 | 91/25 92/2 94/9 95/1 95/14 97/9 | nearby [1] 25/13 |
| may [27] 7/23 11/7 11/8 13/16 | motions [3] 26/3 59/6 94/25 | necessarily [1] 41/16 |
| 13/22 25/8 25/12 29/8 29/8 41/11 | move [2] 57/5 89/17 | necessary [1] 92/22 |
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| P |  | rate [1] 71/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| person... [21] 19/12 19/13 35/20 | (1) 50/21 | 29/5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40 / 440 / 1640 / 2041 / 1347 / 24 \\ & 48 / 448 / 648 / 850 / 350 / 1656 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | [5] 54/1475 |
|  | presumably [1] 32/9 | 87/4 [1] 18/22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 56 / 1065 / 1566 / 1779 / 2080 / 7 \\ & 81 / 682 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | ption [1] 96/21 | eaffirmed |
|  | [2] 37/22 92/10 | [1] 67 |
|  | [1] 92/19 | ity [1] 96/8 |
| personal [2] 79/20 80/2 <br> persons [3] 78/21 78/24 79/1 <br> pertinent [2] 13/22 28/6 |  | realize [1] 88/22 |
|  | 4] 42/4 42/9 42/11 43/21 | really [7] 30/14 42/2 72/23 72/24 |
| phase [1] 29/25 |  | 86/20 88/9 91 |
| phone [34] $11 / 1011 / 1112 / 18$ 14/20 14/23 15/2 15/5 16/14 | bably [13] 12/8 29/1 | [5] 7/162 |
|  |  | 0/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 / 1617 / 818 / 819 / 649 / 2250 / 1 \\ & 50 / 351 / 1451 / 2052 / 652 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | 15 90/18 93/2 93/22 96/5 | reasons |
|  | probative [1] 95/2 | recall [31] 10/20 |
| 64/10 64/14 67/13 67/15 67/16 67/19 70/16 70/17 71/6 71/14 | problem [4] 39/12 41/15 53/2 | 25/21 32/23 38/3 42/22 46/24 |
|  |  | 48/11 48/1 |
|  | proce | 55/12 56/4 63/5 63/7 63/10 64 |
| $72 / 11$ 73/17 74/24 86/17 86/18 phoned [1] 86/13 | proceedings [3] 1/23 97/17 | 67/20 68/8 68/25 69/21 70/2 |
| phoned [1] 86/13 <br> phones [1] 86/20 | 81/15 | 73/22 75/4 75/8 75/13 83/1 88/4 |
| photo [1] 85/3phrases [1] $39 / 10$ | produced [1] 23/6 | 89/15 |
|  | professionally [1] 35/ | receipt [2] 23/21 57/13 |
| pick[1] 49/12 |  | receive [1] 40 |
|  | progress [2] 42/3 43/13 | received [5] 3/2 9/20 32/20 69/13 |
| picking [1] 52/5 Picks [1] 84/8 picture [1] 10/6 | Project [1] 40/1 | 86/18 |
|  | promise [1] 21/2 | receiving |
| picture [1] 10/6 <br> pinned [1] $83 / 12$ <br> place [5] 8/12 8/13 | proper [1] 7/2 | recently [2] 29/15 82/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 20 \\ & \text { PI ANTIFF [1] } 1 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | property [17] 6/19 7/2 8/14 8/15 <br> 11/3 11/4 13/18 13/21 14/1 14/3 | recess [1] 84/23 <br> recognize [1] 58/17 |
|  | 18/1 28/13 85/21 85/22 85/24 | recognized [1] 50/10 |
| PLAINTIFF [1] $1 / 4$ | 86/4 86/6 | recollection [23] 21/4 24/7 26/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { play [2] } 37 / 346 / 10 \\ & \text { please } 66 / 5 / 5 \quad 10 / 23 \quad 58 / 358 / 7 \\ & 58 / 775 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | Prosecutor | 26/25 51/6 52/10 52/15 52/22 |
|  |  | 53/3 60/22 60/25 61/24 64/1 |
|  | protect [1] 96/24 | 68/10 71/17 73/25 74/6 74/14 |
| plus [1] 48/21 | provide [2] 7/16 82/10 | 84/1 87/19 95/5 95/6 95/9 |
|  | provided [2] 9/7 9/11 | record [11] 5/6 6/11 9/1 9/19 |
| 14/15 14/25 15/8 15/17 17/1$19 / 1020 / 120 / 320 / 820 / 1421 / 20$ | provisions [1] 81/20 | 11/23 51/12 58/8 66/15 94/3 |
|  | proviso [1] 96/4 | 94/19 97/9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 / 1020 / 120 / 3200820 / 1421 / 20 \\ & 22 / 1027 / 1233 / 234 / 537 / 17 \\ & 37 / 21 \\ & 38 / 24 \\ & 40 / 13 \\ & 44 / 9 \\ & 45 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | proximity [1] 51/20 | recorded [8] 8/2 |
|  | public [1] 94/11 | 20/4 20/15 29/23 52/19 |
| 48/11 49/2 49/25 58/20 62/15 | publicity [1] 94/ | recorder [14] 11/1413/8 |
|  | purpose [2] 7/20 7/25 | 14/17 15/13 15/18 15/21 17/22 |
| 67/9 70/4 70/23 74/9 83/4 83/6 83/13 85/11 86/16 87/11 87/13 | purposes [2] 23/3 62/9 | 28/21 29/2 29/1730/17 51/20 |
| $92 / 594 / 2296 / 20$ <br> points [3] 20/9 40/23 93/15 | put [7] 32/16 37/15 45/1845/2 | 52/5 |
|  | 47/1 47/12 |  |
| points [3] 20/9 40/23 93/15 <br> police [1] 79/10 <br> policy [1] $8 / 24$ | Q | 14/16 15/5 15/9 |
| poorly [1] $40 / 8$porch [1] $71 / 10$ | qualifi | 19/2 |
|  | question [20] 20/16 28/8 41/18 | 22/18 24/4 26/13 28/18 40/18 |
| portion [1] 83/3position [2] 77/25 96/14 | (25 47/14 53/5 53/8 53/9 53/1 | 46/8 48/13 49/1 51/15 73/9 |
|  | 54/2 56/2 56/4 56/16 67/8 69/7 | 83/25 85/2 |
| position [2] 77/25 96/14 possible [1] 56/15 | 75/15 75/16 77/14 81/1881/20 | recordings [10] 4/12 9/5 9/12 9/16 |
| possibly [4]$97 / 12$ | questioned [5] 69/17 69/19 75/22 | 18/14 25/23 25/25 26 |
|  | 83/3 84/10 |  |
| post [3] 32/1 59/2 59/6 post-conviction [2] 59/2 59/6 post-secondary [1] 32/1 | questioning [8] 14/8 22/11 55/22 | records [2] 47/8 62/16 |
|  | 55/25 69/16 82/1 92/21 93/14 | Recross [2] 2/13 85/1 |
|  | questionings [1] 55/22 | Recross-Examination [2] 2/13 85/1 |
| post-secondary [1] 32/1 potential [1] 33/22 | questions [11] 22/13 55/18 56/12 | redirect [6] 2/6 2/11 55/17 55/20 |
| $\left(\begin{array}{lll}\text { practice [1] } & 59 / 19 \\ \text { pre [2] } & 11 / 1 & 11 / 9\end{array}\right.$ | 84/21 | reference [2] 51/2 61/9 |
|  | quickly [1] 29/1 | referring [3] 14/2 40/22 88/11 |
| pre-interview [2] 11/1 11/9 | quite [1] 41 | 6/12 30/13 47/20 |
|  | quote [9] 45/ | reflected [3] 12/4 22/14 83/1 |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} 49 / 4 & 49 / 6 & 81 / 25 \\ \text { quotes [2] } & 45 / 23 / 21 & 87 / 24 \\ 46 / 7 \end{array}\right.$ | reflective [1] 47/5 <br> reflects [4] 46/18 47/19 51/15 |
|  | quoting [1] 48/18 |  |
| prepared [5] 25/3 42/1 62/8 74/18 98/8 <br> preparing [1] 43/19 |  | 24 |
|  |  |  |
| presence [4] 8/6 19/2 39/21 71/1 <br> present [5] 6/3 13/19 61/5 86/6 <br> 91/10 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { raise [1] 58/3 } \\ \text { raised [2] } 81 / 1194 / 8 \end{array}$ | shed [1] 68/10 <br> shing [1] 87/18 |
|  | Rang [1] 50/14 | refuse [2] 20/15 82/10 |
| presentation [1] 66/6 <br> presented [4] 14/21 24/20 26/6 | range [3] 37/13 37/22 38/25 <br> rare [1] 74/3 | fused [2] 53/10 53/12 fusing [1] 48/9 |
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series [1] 10/11
serious [2] 35/6 36/2
service [1] 45/6
serving [1] 71/23
session [2] 16/10 43/17
set [2] 64/15 65/7
setting [2] $82 / 982 / 13$
settlement [1] 61/4
sexual [1] 59/10
she [2] 53/23 53/24
she's [1] 10/3
Shellow [4] 58/24 58/24 63/23
63/23
Sheriff [1] 90/21
Sheriff's [3] 4/7 5/13 5/20
shirt [1] 6/9
shock [1] 66/16
shoes [1] 6/10
short [4] 6/9 17/3 31/9 84/19
short-sleeve [1] 6/9
shorthand [1] 98/10
shortly [3] 14/9 49/4 49/24
should [7] 14/15 18/6 22/21 22/23
44/15 44/24 97/9
shouldn't [1] 77/15
show [4] 23/2 26/21 26/22 62/17
showing [1] 68/11
side [3] 60/17 60/18 66/7
signal [1] 25/17
signature [1] 27/20
significance [1] 27/25
signing [1] 90/25
silence [3] 79/14 80/1 82/15
silent [2] 77/1 83/10
similar [1] 91/22
similarly [2] 79/25 80/18
simple [1] 38/14
simpler [1] 40/5
simply [3] 25/17 29/4 70/24
simultaneously [1] 61/16
since [7] 5/16 5/18 17/16 17/20
58/14 76/13 94/16
Sippel [1] 93/6
sir [3] 34/16 45/20 52/7
sitting [1] 85/14
situation [2] 12/23 54/21
Sixth [6] 76/22 77/3 80/16 80/17
81/2 81/11
skills [1] 39/1
sleeve [1] 6/9
slightest [1] 65/24
small [1] 29/1
smaller [1] 7/6
so [68] 4/14 9/18 11/21 12/5 12/20 13/8 14/5 16/2 16/6 17/16 18/10 19/7 22/6 22/15 22/23 23/9 26/9 26/17 26/20 27/5 28/2 30/18 $30 / 2231 / 832 / 332 / 733 / 1434 / 13$ $35 / 19$ 37/4 37/20 38/20 39/14 40/2 41/25 42/5 42/14 42/24 43/23 44/9 44/1 8 45/8 46/9 48/3
54/2 54/17 57/16 61/3 66/4 66/22 67/17 68/14 68/16 69/24 71/5
71/14 77/17 79/8 79/24 80/6 81/17 87/1 88/24 90/11 91/20 91/24 96/14 97/14
sober [1] 36/12
some [59] 4/2 7/14 11/18 13/6 13/13 13/19 15/8 15/17 24/23 24/25 30/3 30/13 31/7 33/10 $33 / 2133 / 2434 / 134 / 334 / 934 / 19$ $36 / 8$ 36/10 36/12 36/14 36/16 $36 / 1836 / 2036 / 2036 / 2336 / 25$ 37/2 37/21 39/17 43/20 51/15
55/9 61/9 62/20 65/10 65/21 66/8 74/9 81/7 84/20 87/13 90/25 92/13 92/14 92/15 92/18 93/4 93/5 93/12 94/7 94/14 95/11
96/25 97/12 97/14
somebody [6] 34/7 37/8 43/7 55/9 66/2 72/10
somebody's [1] 27/17
someone [10] 14/22 16/23 21/3 29/8 32/1 32/4 40/2 40/7 67/14 72/22
something [23] 13/14 14/24 16/24
29/13 32/23 35/25 41/10 46/22
47/12 47/17 49/21 52/4 53/8
53/11 53/23 69/21 70/1 70/19
75/7 75/18 75/20 87/20 91/3
sometime [2] 42/5 61/4
somewhere [6] 10/1 16/20 38/21
49/2 49/16 68/19
sorry [7] 24/14 26/5 31/1 33/14 51/2 62/1 85/13
sort [9] 29/1 33/10 36/20 40/25
51/25 52/16 53/5 64/15 92/14
sounded [1] 52/4
Spanish [1] 38/5
speak [10] $7 / 187 / 218 / 88 / 1020 / 3$
22/7 38/1 39/10 67/19 70/8
speaker [1] 27/22
speaking [4] 22/4 32/8 39/23 71/7
speaks [1] 57/13
special [4] 1/13 1/15 21/2 93/7
specific [9] 11/20 12/19 28/7
39/1 1 67/2 74/22 81/3 83/12 96/1
specifically [4] $42 / 15$ 50/18 54/8
95/25
specified [1] 83/1
spectrum [1] 37/23
speculation [1] 75/12
spell [3] 5/5 52/16 58/8
spend [1] 10/7
spoke [3] 7/8 7/9 67/22
spoken [3] 9/16 53/2 70/11
squad [2] 8/13 28/21
ss [1] 98/1
stage [2] 32/21 61/13
stand [1] 4/25
standard [1] 39/4
start [4] 10/5 11/12 12/9 58/22
started [4] $11 / 21$ 13/5 55/16 65/6 starting [1] 97/2
starts [1] 84/9
state [21] $1 / 11 / 31 / 131 / 154 / 16$ 4/24 5/5 44/24 50/11 57/10 58/7 58/15 74/4 92/17 92/22 95/1
95/19 96/16 97/6 98/1 98/5
state's [1] 92/16
stated [1] 81/9
statement [3] 4/8 48/11 73/6
statements [6] 21/19 26/8 66/8
66/20 72/9 83/5
states [1] 36/6
stating [1] 45/23
status [2] $82 / 1683 / 17$
stayed [2] 15/24 15/25
stenographic [1] 98/9
step [1] 17/6
STEPHEN [6] 2/8 19/14 57/25 58/4 58/9 88/15
Stephenson [1] 14/3
Steve [12] 47/9 49/21 50/8 53/2 65/17 66/23 67/6 74/18 75/2 75/19 85/12 88/23
STEVEN [47] $1 / 61 / 205 / 257 / 1$
7/10 7/11 7/22 8/5 8/17 11/3 11/6 11/18 12/22 14/21 14/25 24/11 25/5 26/11 28/11 28/17 30/3 45/22 46/2 47/14 48/12 48/15 50/19 53/9 60/11 63/5 63/8 63/10 67/6 67/19 67/22 68/16 69/22
70/5 70/6 70/8 70/1 1 74/22 75/10 85/10 85/15 87/8 87/14
Steven's [1] 59/3
still [9] $15 / 6$ 16/13 61/14 74/14 82/24 84/16 84/24 90/8 94/17 stipulation [3] 23/16 23/23 97/8 stop [4] 69/16 73/2 75/10 82/1 stopped [2] 43/15 43/15
story [3] 11/22 11/24 66/5
STRANG[13] $1 / 162 / 52 / 92 / 11$
2/13 6/8 23/24 57/23 71/25 82/25
84/16 84/25 95/21
strange [1] 65/17
stray [1] 53/5
strike [1] 14/14
strong [1] 96/21
struck [1] 53/14
stuff [1] 70/13
subject [8] 20/10 54/6 55/3 60/14
70/12 79/10 79/16 79/20
submit [1] 94/15
substance [2] 36/21 72/13
substantive [1] 62/6
such [4] $21 / 8$ 23/7 61/20 65/4
sufficient [1] 61/15
sufficiently [1] 66/19
suggested [2] 8/25 74/8
suggesting [1] 48/19
suggestion [1] 55/13
summary [1] 44/5
sure [29] 10/16 $10 / 25$ 12/2 $12 / 3$
21/8 26/1 29/10 33/11 37/17
37/21 38/3 39/22 39/24 40/7 41/3
42/19 42/19 52/1 54/22 57/19
62/5 64/13 66/13 66/14 67/12
69/18 96/6 96/12 97/3
surprised [1] 32/15
surprisingly [1] 92/10
surroundings [1] 31/7
suspect [8] 34/24 73/20 74/11
74/16 74/19 77/21 77/25 93/9
suspects [2] 34/1 77/19
sustain [1] 72/6
sustained [2] 67/4 72/17
sustaining [1] 73/7
sworn [2] 5/3 58/5
symbol [1] 27/25
symbols [1] 27/22
system [1] 96/22

## T

take [19] 4/5 8/1 8/12 17/6 19/6
19/17 29/19 30/2 40/22 44/19
55/10 56/19 71/6 71/13 76/23
80/20 84/19 93/19 94/2
taken [7] 18/7 26/8 46/7 84/23
90/9 92/16 98/9
takes [2] 35/6 94/2
taking [6] 4/2 21/19 40/15 40/17 40/1840/21
talk [33] 6/21 8/2 18/6 20/5 20/11
21/21 22/5 45/24 46/3 46/13
46/20 47/1 1 47/15 47/15 47/23
48/10 49/3 49/25 54/9 54/14
54/23 56/18 65/3 66/10 68/4
69/1 8 69/24 70/7 71/20 73/1 83/7

## 86/19 87/22

talked [15] 19/10 30/9 31/6 32/24 34/8 61/21 63/7 63/10 65/12 66/4 67/23 67/25 68/2 68/3 68/16
talking [17] 12/22 13/6 26/10 33/9
34/5 47/22 51/24 63/5 65/24 70/5
70/21 74/4 82/12 83/12 85/9
85/12 89/1
tape [16] 21/16 24/4 25/20 43/18
$46 / 746 / 1047 / 847 / 1952 / 852 / 15$
56/25 57/13 74/9 83/23 83/24
87/19
target [5] 69/2 70/23 73/25 74/15
77/21
targets [1] 77/20
tasks [1] 13/20
Taurus [1] 21/1
teacher [1] 51/24
telephone [14] 49/19 51/10 61/21
61/25 62/7 63/11 63/19 64/3 64/4
68/22 76/3 84/4 87/12 89/8
telephones [2] 63/24 63/25
tell [20] $8 / 2310 / 1 \quad 10 / 2311 / 17$
12/24 16/19 16/25 18/20 20/5
26/22 28/16 30/6 40/9 48/20
50/23 69/1 69/4 70/17 74/21 92/24
telling [13] 11/22 21/9 21/10
21/10 21/12 47/10 48/10 69/6
69/16 71/4 75/6 75/21 78/23
tells [1] 69/19
tender [1] 23/20
Teresa [7] 6/23 7/24 13/2 18/1
20/1753/13 53/15
term [2] 74/1 74/5
terms [6] 38/21 40/5 56/6 74/7 74/16 92/23
terribly [1] 69/14
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 98/4 98/19 testified [2] 5/4 58/6
testify [3] 24/7 26/19 92/5
testifying [1] 45/4
testimony [10] $4 / 15$ 57/14 78/10
90/10 91/20 93/4 93/5 93/7 93/11 93/18
than [13] $12 / 1312 / 2424 / 332 / 17$
38/16 38/19 51/1 55/7 67/20
72/10 77/18 90/15 91/14
Thank[9] 45/1 45/17 55/19 57/9

Thank...[5] 76/5 76/8 82/22 89/23 97/7
Thanks [1] 89/17
that [442]
that's [56] 4/13 9/23 10/1 31/14
35/23 35/24 35/25 36/15 40/14
41/8 41/19 42/22 43/1 46/18
$46 / 21$ 47/3 47/7 47/11 47/13 47/17 47/19 48/23 49/11 49/12 51/6 53/1 57/21 61/8 66/13 67/7 69/24 70/12 71/4 72/19 72/23 74/1 74/5 76/4 76/5 79/7 81/21 $82 / 482 / 1984 / 584 / 1485 / 986 / 11$ 88/13 88/20 89/16 91/3 93/9 93/21 93/25 94/12 97/13
their [14] 6/18 13/20 38/6 38/15
39/6 39/8 57/21 76/16 79/23 80/7 80/8 90/10 93/10 94/15
them [23] 6/21 8/2 9/20 13/20 25/1 8 27/1 27/1 28/1 34/5 36/7 39/10 41/4 41/6 46/20 64/6 66/20 74/24 74/25 76/23 87/23 89/13 94/17 97/13
themes [1] 92/15
then [56] 7/1011/2113/713/8 14/6 14/8 14/19 14/21 17/4 25/22 25/24 27/16 29/10 31/24 33/11 36/16 41/10 41/10 42/7 43/12 45/23 46/2 46/4 46/19 47/3 47/8 47/14 47/21 48/2 48/18 49/4 49/22 53/11 53/25 55/7 55/10 58/24 61/24 63/19 63/22 64/1 67/13 68/1 68/2 68/2 70/8 77/14 80/13 80/22 80/23 84/6 84/20 85/16 87/11 88/14 89/8
there [68] $7 / 47 / 248 / 168 / 188 / 24$
10/10 11/1 11/14 11/16 11/22 12/2 $13 / 1113 / 1213 / 22$ 14/7 16/15 16/16 16/18 21/4 21/15 23/16 28/5 28/8 28/25 34/6 37/21 39/12 40/12 41/14 44/15 46/21 46/24 47/11 49/18 52/19 53/9 53/15 54/21 55/22 56/21 59/2 60/5 60/6 61/15 65/19 67/20 68/1 68/18 70/23 73/9 73/18 77/12 77/14 77/16 77/19 78/20 81/7 83/5 85/21 86/15 87/13 87/24 91/23 92/8 92/13 93/1 93/6 96/3
there's [11] 27/16 28/23 63/21 64/1 64/2 87/16 92/13 92/15 94/6 96/21 97/16
thereafter [2] 14/9 98/11
therefore [1] 39/3
these [9] 18/23 21/19 26/22 28/1
30/13 34/14 70/25 91/2 92/6
they [32] 6/21 7/23 8/2 9/17 25/11
25/12 39/13 40/9 45/24 46/13
46/21 46/21 46/24 47/9 47/10
56/8 56/18 63/1 79/23 83/7 86/19
87/23 87/23 90/11 91/5 91/6 91/7
91/17 93/2 93/3 93/12 94/19
thing [5] 7/4 12/2 51/25 52/17 92/9
things [5] 11/25 21/2 26/9 31/7 97/12
think[47] $12 / 2313 / 5 \quad 16 / 15 \quad 17 / 18$ 26/2 26/25 30/1 30/25 32/13 $41 / 1442 / 2444 / 844 / 1951 / 20$ 52/10 57/13 59/15 62/16 63/2 63/21 64/1 66/1 67/17 67/23 67/24 67/25 68/21 72/21 73/6
$73 / 775 / 11$ 75/18 76/22 77/16
$77 / 2083 / 2085 / 1487 / 1989 / 25$
90/1 8 90/24 91/9 91/22 92/9 93/9 94/3 97/11
thinking [1] 66/11
third [1] 68/21
this [86] 4/25 6/4 9/8 10/20 12/9
13/1 14/6 15/8 17/10 17/10 18/21 19/20 20/18 23/5 23/5 23/7 23/10 23/11 23/24 27/9 27/12 29/17 29/25 33/16 34/21 37/8 42/4 42/1 5 44/9 44/9 44/19 45/18 47/9 47/23 48/3 48/6 48/8 48/8 48/1 1 48/19 48/23 49/16 49/24 52/14 53/7 53/24 55/14 56/16 57/8 57/11 59/14 62/22 64/24 65/11 65/16 65/24 66/2 66/7 66/10 66/15 66/16 67/1 67/10 67/10 69/1 69/4 70/12 71/12 73/2 75/1 78/12 81/10 82/14 83/1 87/14 90/1 90/12 92/7 92/11 92/16 94/6 94/12 94/22 95/6 97/1 98/15
THOMAS [1] 1/14
those [26] 9/5 9/16 10/21 $19 / 1$ 24/9 25/7 25/16 26/23 27/23 33/6 33/21 45/13 51/22 55/10 62/23 64/3 64/6 79/19 80/10 80/14
90/21 91/10 91/24 94/16 95/3 95/17
though [1] 70/21
thought [4] 12/8 51/5 51/21 66/2
thoughts [1] 38/16
thousand [1] 65/9
threaten [1] 21/23
three [9] 10/19 59/22 67/23 68/16
83/21 84/2 86/8 94/25 95/14
three-part [2] 94/25 95/14
through [4] 13/1 25/20 54/3 69/7
thrust [2] 68/23 81/8
thumb [2] 29/4 29/10
time [54] 4/10 4/25 6/24 9/2 9/3
10/6 10/9 12/10 12/12 13/9 17/3
17/9 17/22 18/25 19/7 21/15
21/17 24/5 25/15 30/17 30/19
33/15 42/20 49/18 52/25 53/1
54/5 56/12 57/8 59/18 60/3 60/20
62/2 62/12 62/20 63/3 66/2 67/1
68/3 69/23 73/16 75/5 76/15
$76 / 23$ 78/3 80/23 83/13 85/17
85/18 86/23 91/9 93/10 95/12
96/5
times [8] 18/22 19/3 24/23 34/17
67/24 68/16 91/7 91/7
today [6] 24/7 59/23 80/21 97/2 97/5 97/16
together [1] 4/11
told [11] 43/12 54/9 61/22 65/23
66/4 73/14 74/25 77/6 78/11
78/25 82/1
Tom [1] 93/7
tomorrow [6] 90/22 93/19 93/24
96/5 97/3 97/10
tomorrow's [1] 97/13
tonight [1] 93/23
too [7] 37/11 46/21 46/24 51/21 68/5 78/13 87/24
took [6] 8/13 $15 / 121 / 5$ 33/11 54/6 70/16
top [3] 85/6 85/7 93/1
total [3] 10/7 59/22 90/19
totality [1] 17/12
touch [1] 66/23
towards [1] 11/5

Town [1] 14/3
trade [1] 31/18
trailer [1] 71/11
trained [1] 34/20
transcribed [1] 98/11
transcript [3] 1/23 98/8 98/12
transcription [1] 98/11
transition [1] 41/2
trial [6] 60/19 65/7 95/12 95/13
96/23 96/24
triggered [1] 83/2
troubles [1] 97/4
true [7] 23/11 28/19 28/20 30/4
42/13 93/22 98/12
trusting [1] 66/19
truth [4] $21 / 12$ 72/2 72/5 72/24
try [6] 13/1 37/2 39/14 40/8 66/23
70/14
trying [8] 13/11 18/13 40/9 41/2
41/15 48/5 61/14 93/16
turn [5] 14/11 $15 / 13$ 29/4 29/10 29/19
turned [8] 11/14 14/17 15/9 15/17
15/22 16/3 21/16 30/16
turning [2] 15/20 29/16
two [15] 4/6 4/12 14/10 19/8 26/21
26/22 58/21 67/25 68/12 68/17
73/19 74/24 83/14 86/16 87/1
type [4] 7/6 22/8 43/7 90/25
typed [3] 27/19 43/6 43/9
types [1] 34/14
typical [1] 21/1
typing [1] $43 / 19$
Tyson[3] 90/22 91/22 93/5
U
Ultimately [1] 61/19
uncomfortable [1] 54/12
under [18] 25/18 35/1 36/14 36/20
52/16 56/11 62/15 69/2 69/22
70/22 77/7 82/8 84/16 84/25 94/9
94/15 94/24 95/4
understand [23] $13 / 1$ 13/11 $26 / 1$
$32 / 1732 / 19$ 39/15 39/25 40/4
40/19 41/4 41/6 57/20 57/24
66/15 68/15 70/11 71/3 78/10
92/2 92/3 94/24 95/14 96/1
understanding [9] 31/3 31/15
39/12 39/20 48/6 54/24 56/10 96/7 96/18
understood [5] 38/5 41/19 48/2
81/24 86/4
Unequivocally [1] 56/20
unfolding [2] 17/25 18/11
unless [3] 39/12 79/9 90/23
unmarked [2] 8/13 28/21
unobtrusively [2] 29/7 29/9
unrelated [1] 93/14
unseal [1] 96/20
unsealed [5] 95/8 96/11 96/12 96/13 96/14
unsealing [1] 95/24
until [6] 14/14 15/11 61/3 79/15 80/18 88/22
up [10] 29/5 49/12 52/5 53/6 61/3
64/15 75/19 84/8 91/6 94/2
upon [7] 12/25 21/9 23/21 42/4 42/17 44/2 80/6
us [13] $8 / 23$ 9/4 9/11 10/23 11/17
16/19 48/10 54/6 62/20 63/23
66/5 69/24 69/25
use [4] $39 / 442 / 874 / 174 / 2$
used [4] 45/13 73/25 74/5 77/20

56/16 76/23 93/11 97/10 97/14
we're [17] 4/14 4/18 39/23 45/6 $47 / 2148 / 5 \quad 55 / 1162 / 1363 / 379 / 8$ 83/12 84/13 86/20 90/12 90/22 93/18 97/16
wearing [1] 6/9
Wednesday [1] 62/19
welcome [1] 89/24
well [43] 4/16 4/18 4/24 14/14 19/1 23/20 26/16 29/11 37/22 $40 / 1040 / 1844 / 346 / 1347 / 16$ 47/21 49/7 49/12 51/19 52/12 53/25 54/1 55/14 56/2 56/16 58/2 60/8 65/9 69/14 69/22 70/12 70/19 75/3 75/21 80/12 80/22 80/22 83/6 87/17 92/18 92/19 93/17 95/20 97/11
went [2] $7 / 6$ 12/7
were [69] $4 / 14 / 11$ 6/21 8/20 9/3 10/10 $11 / 4$ 12/6 13/19 14/7 14/7 16/15 17/19 17/24 18/2 18/5 18/11 20/9 21/13 23/13 26/8 28/6 28/1 8 29/16 32/7 33/16 40/9 41/15 43/21 43/24 44/1 44/3 46/7 51/12 56/2 56/8 59/2 60/2 60/20 61/1 61/6 61/15 62/24 63/1 63/7 64/6 64/9 64/16 64/19 64/20 65/2 65/3 66/12 67/24 68/21 72/8 74/23 77/6 77/12 77/17 78/3 78/16 79/4 79/5 80/5 91/6 91/7 95/7 96/10
weren't [3] 69/13 70/23 91/5 wha [4] 51/24 51/24 51/24 51/25 what [98] 5/12 5/19 6/24 7/4 7/16 7/16 9/23 12/4 12/15 12/17 12/20 $12 / 25$ 13/1 $13 / 1013 / 1514 / 10$ 14/13 14/19 15/16 16/11 17/4 20/19 21/9 21/9 21/10 21/11 21/12 23/2 24/5 25/19 27/8 30/1 $30 / 630 / 2231 / 332 / 1032 / 1935 / 7$ 39/13 40/9 40/15 40/21 41/13 41/15 42/22 44/2 44/5 47/19 48/25 50/23 50/25 51/18 52/8 53/4 53/19 53/22 54/20 55/5 58/13 59/7 59/13 60/9 60/11 60/20 60/20 64/21 65/2 65/24 66/10 67/24 68/23 68/23 69/1 69/2 69/4 70/2 70/17 71/4 71/11 71/17 72/13 72/14 72/18 73/11 73/22 74/17 74/21 75/18 83/8 85/20 86/15 87/4 90/5 90/17 91/4 91/16 92/22 96/7
what's [4] 4/13 72/25 86/1 86/23
whatever [3] 28/6 49/13 54/7
whatsoever [1] 18/5
when [40] $7 / 48 / 1611 / 1313 / 5$
16/2 16/2 16/4 16/13 17/9 19/16 22/3 23/12 26/2 26/9 28/1 36/7 41/25 43/12 43/21 46/20 49/18 49/23 51/23 53/20 55/14 56/25 63/7 63/14 66/13 70/16 70/16 $71 / 1071 / 1473 / 1674 / 382 / 10$ 82/16 85/9 87/15 87/22
whenever [2] 17/19 68/11
where [21] 6/6 7/11 8/12 10/25 11/2 11/20 12/15 13/17 21/15 25/11 28/21 28/23 34/7 48/6 49/12 63/7 65/3 65/3 66/23 67/7 86/16
whereabouts [1] $7 / 23$
whether [19] 18/5 35/20 47/15
59/1 61/15 62/23 64/9 65/12 67/20 72/22 72/25 73/19 77/14

78/15 88/24 89/12 92/21 94/19 96/15
which [40] $8 / 249 / 1014 / 117 / 13$ 17/24 18/11 20/3 20/9 25/13 25/21 26/24 27/16 29/4 30/19 30/19 39/14 52/22 57/13 58/24 59/11 60/2 61/4 62/2 62/18 63/25 67/21 68/21 69/8 70/20 73/14 74/19 80/1 80/19 81/6 85/17 89/10 90/14 90/16 96/1 96/2 while [7] $8 / 6$ 10/3 $15 / 5$ 17/22 $20 / 1$ 55/16 61/10
white [1] 65/8
white-collar [1] 65/8
who [22] 12/14 14/7 14/22 32/1 $35 / 637 / 837 / 2237 / 2538 / 938 / 15$ 38/1 8 60/5 60/16 65/18 65/20 65/20 66/17 67/14 68/8 79/20 80/2 92/4
whole [1] 58/22
whom [3] 35/15 38/5 69/11
why [3] 14/7 21/5 52/17
Wiegert [2] 90/24 91/18
will [26] 4/19 6/11 16/25 20/2
23/23 27/8 27/9 29/25 35/25 37/3
39/14 44/9 44/19 52/2 52/14
55/10 62/17 84/20 90/6 90/15
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery. It's Case No. 05 CF 381. We're here this morning for a continuation of a hearing on some pretrial motions that started yesterday. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State appears by Special Prosecutors Kratz and Fallon.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Attorney Jerome Buting appears on behalf of Mr . Avery. Also present is Attorney Dean Strang.

THE COURT: All right. And I believe we're taking testimony this morning on the defense motion challenging the multiple execution of search warrants. Mr. Buting, you may call your first witness.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you.
DETECTIVE DAVID REMIKER, called as a
witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Dave Remiker, R-e-m-i-k-e-r. ATTORNEY BUTING: Good morning. THE WITNESS: Good morning.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. We left off your last testimony with the efforts to obtain a warrant, search warrant, on November 5th, for the Avery property, correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. And I believe you said that you went with Investigator Wiegert, and one or more lawyers, to get the warrant itself, from Judge Fox?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you returned to the Avery property with the warrant at what time?
A. I believe it was about 3:30 in the afternoon.
Q. And then, what was your assignment after that?
A. Well, there were several discussions about how we were going to go about doing this. Obviously, the scope of our concern, in our search, was to locate Teresa. And eventually we put some teams together, some individuals.

What we tried to do is coordinate our efforts so that there was a Calumet County officer and a Manitowoc County officer together. And we were assigned different locations to go in and find Teresa.
Q. And by that time, you were aware that, because of
the possible appearance of a conflict of interest, the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department had turned over lead authority for the investigation to Calumet, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And so, you first were paired with an Investigator Steier, I believe?
A. Gary Steier, correct.
Q. From Calumet?
A. Yes.
Q. And you made your first entry, on the warrant, to Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would have been at 3:48 p.m., on November 5th?
A. Correct.
Q. And you did that by force, by kicking in the door, did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, what did you do when you were inside?
A. We entered. There was two doors. The door to the right, we entered. We entered into a living room, the kitchen is to the right. There is a hallway to the left that leads to the bathroom, and then another bedroom way on the end. closets, looking for Teresa, or any evidence that Teresa was there, any clothing, anything obvious that would indicate Teresa would be in that residence.
Q. Now, so we're clear on the record, you testified yesterday that you had actually -- the day before, November 4th, you had been in the very same trailer with consent?
A. Yes.
Q. So you had gone through and opened up doors and closets, on that occasion as well?
A. Correct.
Q. And neither the 4th, nor the 5th, then, when you made this first entry, did you see anything that would indicate that Teresa had been there?
A. I did not find Teresa in his trailer.
Q. I'm sorry, the last part of that?
A. I did not find Teresa in his trailer.
Q. In the trailer?
A. Correct.
Q. So you were in it only about 10 minutes at that time?
A. Well, I believe we went to the garage.
Q. Let's stick with the trailer, first?
A. I'm recalling the times, the garage was around a little after 4 o'clock.
Q. If I told you that you entered the trailer at 3:48 on November 5th, and exited at 3:58 p.m., would that be --
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Okay. And then, after leaving the trailer, you went immediately into the detached garage that was located next door?
A. Yes.
Q. And if I told you that you entered at 3:58 p.m. and left the garage at 4:06 p.m.; would that fit with your recollection?
A. If that's what my report says, that would be accurate.
Q. Okay. And, again, in the garage, you were looking for Teresa or any evidence that would indicate Teresa had been there?
A. I would say that's pretty accurate.
Q. And, again, you found neither?
A. Well, I didn't -- We didn't find her. There was some shell casings on the floor.
Q. Did you pick those up at that time?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So, nothing else?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. All right. Now you -- You went back, I assume, had some other duties elsewhere on the property after that, but then you returned to the trailer, that is, Steven Avery's trailer, at 5:35 p.m., with the dog handler; do you recall that?
A. No.
Q. Do you ever remember going in the trailer, in Steven Avery's trailer, at any point, with the dog handler?
A. I did not.
Q. Let's see. I'm going to show you a excerpt from the Calumet County Sheriff's Department report, Page 89, at the top, ask you to review that last three paragraphs; are you familiar with that?
A. Yeah, I have had discussions about that entry.
Q. About that what?
A. About the entry on the report, that makes reference to myself going into the residence with a dog handler.
Q. Does that refresh your recollection on this?
A. No, that wasn't me.
Q. So you are saying that this report is in error?
A. It is not my report. My report indicates the times that $I$ was in the residence. For some
reason, my name was listed as an individual going in on that occasion. That's not the case.
Q. Okay. So you, at no point, entered with a cadaver dog, to look around the apartment?
A. Must have been somebody else that he documented. That wasn't me.
Q. Were you aware that that was done?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Okay. All right. In any event, you did go back in the trailer, that is, Mr. Steven Avery's trailer, again, on November 5th, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm talking about after the search warrant was obtained?
A. Correct.
Q. And it was approximately 7:30, I believe?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. And so we have these times for the record, if $I$ told you that you entered the Avery trailer, Steven Avery trailer, at 7:30 p.m. and exited and 10:05 p.m.; would that fit with your recollection?
A. That sounds right.
Q. That's on Page 11 of 22?
A. Yup.

THE COURT: Was that 10:05, the time?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, 7:30 to 10:05 p.m.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Now, on that occasion, you also entered with Sergeant Colborn, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Lieutenant Lenk?
A. Yes.
Q. And Calumet Sheriff's officer, Sergeant Tyson?
A. Correct.
Q. So there were four officers, three of which were Manitowoc Sheriff's Department officers, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the 4 of you then spent $21 / 2$ hours, 2 hours and 35 minutes, searching the entire trailer; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you seized a number of items?
A. Yes.
Q. Including bedding, photographs, handcuffs; do you recall that?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And you, yourself, were also searching, looking at the walls, and the doorways, and areas like that, to see if there might be any evidence of
blood as well, correct?
A. That's my job, yes.
Q. Okay. And you did locate some items that, at the time, you believed might be bloodstains, later determined not to have any relationship to Teresa Halbach, but you did make note of those, right?
A. There were several areas within the residence that contained a red dried substance.
Q. Okay. And you had photographed them?
A. I believe I took some digital photographs of those areas, yes.
Q. Okay. And then you also took swabs of those areas?
A. Yes.
Q. And by that, I mean, you were taking a -- Well, you tell me, I don't want to lead you. Tell me what taking a swab means.
A. Well, what you do is, you take a sealed, unused, sterile cotton-tipped applicator, you remove that from its packaging. Usually what you do is, you prepare another package for that applicator to go into.

You use a sealed, distilled water container, and you apply a few drops to the end of the sterile cotton-tipped applicator. You
then swab the dried, liquid substance with the applicator. You place it into your evidence packaging. You seal it. You label it. You secure it.

And then a lot of times, in many cases, you would also take a controlled swab, which is another swab. You use the same technique. You swab an area in close proximity to the area where you took the first swab from.
Q. All right. And you did that more than once while you were in the Steven Avery trailer, on November 5th, that evening, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the point of that was, you were looking for trace evidence, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Specifically, to see whether or not there might be any DNA associated with Teresa Halbach?
A. Well, many -- Many of the areas I observed looked consistent with blood.
Q. Okay. And that's your job, was to do that and to look for that?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And you were commanded by the warrant to do that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And so, you did do that, you took swabs? You can refer to your report if you need to. It's on Page 12, just to refresh your recollection. But you identified three different spots on the wooden frame area surrounding the doorway; is that right?
A. Yes. Right outside of Steven's bedroom, there was a wooden frame around the door. And along the wall there was some dried, red substances on the wall.
Q. Okay. And then you also found some -- a drop of similar dried, red substance on the floor of the bathroom, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you took a swab of that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you also found a similar type of a red marking on the wooden molding on the right side of the bedroom doorway? I'm sorry, right side of the doorway, facing the doorway, from the interior of the residence?
A. That's the first one I talked about, the molding around the doorway.
Q. Okay. But now you took another one, second to
last full paragraph.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm going to object, because it seems to me that, although they were in there doing their search and that it does take time, and we'll certainly concede that, I'm not sure that the painstaking detail of what was collected where, and how it was collected, other than the fact that collecting evidence takes time and is detailed work, beyond that, I'm not sure how this relates to the multiple execution argument.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, I could tighten it up a little bit, but it is relevant in terms of, you know, their evidence, whether or not he was completing his search of the residence at that time.

THE COURT: Well, I agree that -- I can understand why you have to get it in, but I don't want to be here until midnight either. I think for purposes of this hearing, the level of detail that we're going into right now is probably unnecessary.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ All right. Let me ask you this way, if you recall. Do you know how many swabs, in total, you took of things that you thought might possibly be bloodstains? I understand later they have proven not to have any
relevance, but you were doing your job at the time. How many swabs did you take from the residence on that evening?
A. The entire residence?
Q. Yes.
A. I can't give you an exact number. In my estimation, I would say probably between 10 and 20.
Q. So you found a lot of possible areas to look, throughout the trailer, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Not just by the doorway, but also in the living room, front door, areas like that?
A. There were some areas on the front door, wasn't sure if it was paint, blood, other substance. I wasn't sure. I believe I took some swabs in that area. I don't know if there was anything in the living room. It was more in the bathroom and Steven's bedroom.
Q. Now, was it primarily your responsibility? Of the four officers in there, was it primarily your responsibility to be doing the trace evidence search, or what?
A. We all played a part. We all had a section of the residence, or the bedroom. I have done
collection similar to this in the past, the use of those types of kits. I felt very confident in my abilities, and we worked together.

One individual may have taken the collection, the other individual may have prepared the packaging, to put the collection in. So, using my equipment --
Q. Sure.
A. -- it made the most sense.
Q. So, but do you know whether any of the other three remaining officers that were in there, also collected trace evidence, in the form of swabs?
A. In the form of swabs, I would say that it was either myself or Sergeant Colborn who would have done the swabs or participated in the collection of those.
Q. Okay. Now, you also seized -- In terms of trace evidence, you also found like a lint roller with some sort of adhesive material on it?
A. Yes.
Q. And you seized that?
A. I did not seize that, I used that.
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. You used that in order to take samples of fibers, hairs, things like that?
A. It's a technique you use. In this case, the
carpeting in Steven's bedroom, I used a lint roller to just roll over the carpeting to collect trace hair, trace items of evidence that may have been in the carpeting.
Q. Okay. And you went all around the bedroom, or all around the bed, anyway?
A. I think the main area that I -- that I used was when you first walk into the bedroom, between the doorway and the bed, near the night stand, that area.
Q. Okay. And then, also a number of items, many, many items, were seized. Do you know about how many items were actually taken on that search?
A. It's my job to collect it, search, and then everything was turned right over to Calumet County. They did the list. I have no idea.
Q. Could it be as many as 50 items; would that fit with your recollection?
A. It could have been.
Q. Okay. And I'm not going to go through all of those, I'm sure your happy to hear.
A. Thank you.
Q. But it did include a vacuum cleaner bag and filter, correct?
A. I believe that was taken. Whether that was taken

Saturday or Sunday, I'm not sure.
Q. You could look at the bottom of Page 22 -- I'm sorry, 12 --
A. 12 .
Q. -- of your report, last full paragraph.
A. Yes.
Q. The vacuum bag and filter were removed from the vacuum cleaner on that search, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And the four of you searched and continued as long as necessary, that evening; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. No one kicked you out of the trailer and said it's time to go?
A. No.
Q. No one put any kind of time limit on when you should get out of that trailer that night; is that right?
A. No. That's right, I'm sorry.
Q. Okay. And then, when you left at 10:05 p.m., you had completed your search of the entire trailer, Steven Avery trailer; is that right?
A. Well, that search, yes.
Q. As far as you knew, at that point, you had
searched -- or you had seized everything that had any apparent evidentiary value, from Steven Avery's trailer?
A. No. I know we had some questions about certain things. I mean, at that time, we didn't know a lot. And there were magazine, after pornographic magazine, in there. There was pictures. There was stuff, we weren't sure at that time whether it was relevant or whether we should take it, so.
Q. But anything that had obvious evidentiary value, that fit within your parameters of the search warrant, you took on that night?
A. No. We -- Obviously, we went back to get a number of other things. There was a shotgun, or a gun, in the bedroom.
Q. Answer my question though, is -- did you leave the -- is there some reason you didn't take the shotgun that night?
A. I don't recall. There may have been a question whether it was included in the search warrant. We had a lot of questions about what we should take, what we shouldn't take.
Q. Okay. But in your actual words of your report, at 2205, 10:05 p.m., the officers were completed with the processing of the residence and out of -- went out of the residence; isn't that what it says?
A. That's what it says.
Q. While that was going on, by the way, Sergeant Tyson, the Calumet officer, was also doing sort of a written log of all of the items, and the times that they were seized, and where they were located, and all of that?
A. Right alongside of us.
Q. Okay. All right. Now, the next morning, this is November 6th, did you return to the Avery salvage property?
A. Yes.
Q. At about what time?
A. I believe I arrived at about, around 8 o'clock a.m.
Q. Okay. And were you assigned certain duties again?
A. Yes.
Q. And who gave you those assignments?
A. Well, again, we met Investigator Wiegert, Agent Fassbender, Lieutenant Lenk. We were all involved in discussions. And there was a decision made to go process the garage. And we made assignments, who would participate in that
search and went and did.
Q. My question is, who made those assignments, or did you just sort of volunteer for it?
A. We all did, depending on who was there, who the personnel was, who had the equipment, who worked well together. It was a cooperative effort amongst all of us making those decisions.
Q. All right. And on that occasion --
A. I had a say in that. Wiegert had a say in that. We all did.
Q. And on that occasion, the team, the same team was formed. I'm sorry, I take that back. It was the same team of Manitowoc officers?
A. Correct.
Q. Yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colburn?
A. Yes.
Q. But a different Calumet officer, this time a Deputy Kucharski was assigned to go along with you?
A. Yes.
Q. His responsibility was primarily, he was going to be taking custody, as well, of any of the items?
A. Correct.
Q. And when you went back to the Avery property, you did not go into Mr. Avery's trailer residence at that time, did you?
A. Not initially, no.
Q. Instead, you went into the garage, the detached garage next to it?
A. Yes.
Q. Which you had not -- you had entered only once before, for about seven minutes, on the day before?
A. Correct.
Q. And if I told you that you entered the detached garage next to Mr. Steven Avery's residence at 8 a.m. and left at 9:47 a.m; would that fit with your recollection?
A. That sounds accurate.
Q. So, the four of you, the four officers were inside the garage searching, for about 1 hour and 47 minutes?
A. Correct.
Q. And can you tell me how big the garage is, approximate estimate?
A. About a standard two car garage size.
Q. Okay. And the four of you had went through the garage, thoroughly looking for, again, any
evidence of Teresa Halbach or evidence that would fit with what was sought in the warrant?
A. Correct.
Q. And no one kicked you out of the garage at any particular time?
A. No.
Q. You were able to stay as long as you wanted?
A. Yes.
Q. And you did seize some items, including some . 22 caliber shells, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And also some, again, possible bloodstains that you noted on the floor in the various areas?
A. Correct.
Q. And, again, you did the same type of a process of collecting them with swabs, sealing them, for possible DNA tests, and that sort of thing?
A. Correct.
Q. And I recognize, again, that those didn't turn out like you thought they might but, nevertheless, you were doing your job and were looking for possible blood of Teresa Halbach; is that right?
A. I guess I was -- If it was blood I was collecting and whose it was, I'm not able to determine that.
Q. Okay. Or whether it was even blood at all?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And now you finished searching the garage at 9:47 a.m., you were right next door to the Steven Avery trailer, just a few feet away, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go back into the trailer at that time?
A. No.
Q. In fact, you didn't go back into the trailer until -- that is, Steven Avery's trailer, until about 12:25 p.m.?
A. Correct.
Q. That same day, November 6th?
A. Yes.
Q. And you went back, because you were asked to go; is that right?
A. I believe so.
Q. By who?
A. I'm guessing those conversations took place with Investigator Wiegert and/or Agent Fassbender.
Q. And if I told you that you entered at 12:25 p.m. and left at 12:48 p.m., this is Mr. Steven Avery's trailer, on November 6th; would that fit with your recollection?
A. Sounds about right.
Q. And on that occasion you seized -- you went back to get the weapons you saw?
A. We -- Earlier I talked about some of the questions we had. Obviously, this was in reference to some other items that we had observed on the first search. And we went back and collected some additional things.
Q. Some magazines, I think you mentioned, photographs?
A. Photographs, the weapon above the bed, I believe there was some additional bedding in the other bedroom, within the residence. There may have been a carpet shampooer that was taken also.
Q. And, again, you had as much time as you wanted in that trailer, on that occasion, no one kicked -Is that right?
A. Yeah, I mean, we had a lot of searches to do that day. We had our work cut out for ourselves. So I wouldn't say we were rushing, but we knew there were other places that needed to be processed, so.
Q. And, again, the officers who entered Mr. Avery's trailer at 12:25 p.m., November 6th, were the same ones that had gone into the garage; that is,
yourself, Detective Remiker, Lieutenant Lenk, Sergeant Colborn, all from Manitowoc, as well as Calumet's Deputy Kucharski; is that right?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. So all four of you were back in there?
A. I believe so.
Q. And did you, at any time after that, go back into Mr. Avery's -- or the detached garage next to Mr. Avery's residence?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor --
A. Second time?

ATTORNEY FALLON: -- I'm going to oppose an objection here. As I'm listening to the line of questioning here, it seems remarkably similar, if not identical, to the questions and information obtained from Lieutenant Lenk in yesterday's call. So this does seem to me, duplicitous.

I'm not quite sure how this adds to the equation. I mean, it's quite obvious that they entered the residence on a number of occasions while conducting this overall search. So, I'm not quite sure what we are accomplishing with Detective, or Investigator Remiker's echoing what Lieutenant Lenk testified to.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Actually, I think we are
done with his. That was going to be my final question.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Did you go back in the garage, yourself?

THE COURT: You may answer.
A. No.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, are you going to be conducting cross?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I am. Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Go ahead.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. How long have you been an evidence collector, or evidence technician, as they are sometimes referred to?
A. Well, I don't recall when I attended the State Crime Lab Evidence Tech School. I would guess that's at least six, seven years ago, would be a guess.
Q. All right. Is evidence -- Is the search for evidence, particularly trace evidence, time consuming?
A. It's very detail oriented. You have to be very careful; yes, definitely.
Q. Does it take time?
A. Takes a lot of time.
Q. All right. And why does it take time?
A. Well, when you are looking for trace evidence, it doesn't stand out. You have got to look for it. You have got to find it. You have to be on your hands and knees. And then, when you are collecting those things, you are dealing with very small samples, you are using tweezers. You are using small patching material.

You are using cotton-tipped applicators that you have to apply sterile water to. You are doing a collection. You are doing a controlled sample. You are packaging it. You are sealing it. You are labeling it. Each item, there's a process that you follow to make sure that that evidence is secure and is as pure as you can collect it.
Q. All right. So in effect, first of all, you have to spend time to find trace evidence, right?
A. Definitely.
Q. And then there's an entirely separate process of collecting the evidence, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that collection is sometimes painstakingly slow?
A. It can be.
Q. Sometimes it's rather quick, for instance, if you are just securing a gun from the wall; that takes but a matter of a moment or two. Perhaps a minute or two to collect it, log it in, and secure it. But perhaps, as opposed to looking for fiber evidence, or possible blood splots -spots, that takes more time, doesn't it?
A. Definitely.
Q. And as I understand it, you are required to, basically, once you find an item, you deal with that item. You log it in; you picture it, if you do take a picture; you collect it; you secure it; you label it, before you go on to the next item. It's one item at a time.
A. Correct.
Q. And that's to ensure the integrity of the piece of evidence you are collecting?
A. Without a doubt.
Q. And as a matter of fact, especially when you are looking for trace evidence and things like that, there are environmental factors that affect the collection of trace evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. For instance, would you say it's easier to find trace evidence with natural daylight, as opposed to artificial incandescent light?
A. Natural daylight is always advantageous.
Q. So -- So -- And your recollection was that you, yourself, took anywhere from 10 to 20 swabs, each one had to be individually processed?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And as counsel suggested, there could have been perhaps 50 or more items secured that evening?
A. That's possible.
Q. All right. And each one had to be individually found, right?
A. Correct.
Q. They had to be pictured or preserved, and then they were collected, correct?
A. Correct. Packaged, labeled. Insert number, date, and time of collection, everything.
Q. Before the next item could be processed?
A. Definitely.
Q. All right. Now, with respect to the assignments, it's true, is it not, that although there was a great deal of give and take and discussion amongst the investigators, that not withstanding
that, Investigator Wiegert and Special Agent Fassbender were in charge of the overall investigation, correct?
A. Definitely.
Q. So, in terms of the cooperative effort, in other words, they sought your ideas and your input, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But the decisions, in terms of what was to be done and when and how and allocation of resources, those were decisions made by Wiegert and Fassbender?
A. Correct.
Q. Based on your input and available resources?
A. You are exactly right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all. Thank you. THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Buting? ATTORNEY BUTING: Just one or two.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Mr. Fallon asked you a number of questions about what you were trained to do, or what you might do in certain cases, how long it takes to collect trace evidence in general, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But in this case, on November 5th, in those $21 / 2$ hours, while you were in that trailer, you did, in fact, get down on your hands and knees, right, with a lint roller?
A. Yes.
Q. And to collect a swab off of the bathroom floor?
A. Correct.
Q. And you were doing all of those things that you would normally do, to find trace evidence, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you are a thorough officer, aren't you?
A. I believe so.
Q. And you did as thorough and complete a job as you could that night?
A. I believe so.
Q. And Mr. Fallon mentioned that Agents Fassbender and Investigator Wiegert were in charge?
A. Investigator Wiegert.
Q. Wiegert, I'm sorry, I say that half the time. Is that right?
A. Well, yeah, they were assigned as the lead investigators, correct.
Q. So they were the ones who -- Well, let me ask you this, then, Lieutenants -- Lieutenant Sippel, are
you familiar with him? Maybe I'm pronouncing his name wrong.
A. I've heard his name. If I would see him, I would probably recognize him. I don't --
Q. Lieutenant Bowe, I think it is; do you know him? Or Bowe?
A. I'm sure if $I$ seen him, I would recognize him.
Q. So, neither of them were directing you or advising you what to do during the entire week or so that the Avery property was seized?
A. If I'm correct, I believe those individuals had a lot to do with the security, or the watching over the property, some of the layers of security that we had out there.
Q. Okay. They would have been in the command post area that was set up?
A. No, they would have been parked right next to Steve's trailer, in a squad car, or standing outside the trailer providing security, or watching over that residence. There were several layers of security out there.
Q. Are you talking about lieutenants?
A. Those Calumet County officers.
Q. Okay. But my question is, were they involved in the direction or decision making of the search,
in particular, the search of Mr. Avery, Steven Avery's residence?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. That was Wiegert and Fassbender, collectively, with your cooperative decisions?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything else?
ATTORNEY FALLON: I just have one question.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. What did you mean when you said, we had a lot of searches to do that day, in response to Counsel's question, on your Sunday's questioning?
A. Well, we had about 37 acres, thousands of cars, residences, shops, outbuildings. There was a lot to do.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Thank you.
ATTORNEY BUTING: One last.
FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Do you know how many officers, law enforcement officers, were out there on that 40 acre parcel, besides yourself?
A. When?
Q. During the week.
A. No idea.
Q. As many as a hundred?
A. Could have been.
Q. So there were many others available to do searches, besides yourself and your foursome that was doing these searches, correct?
A. Based on our discussion, based on our confidence in our abilities, based on our experience working together, based on the access to equipment, and the being comfortable with that equipment, and being able to use that equipment properly --

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, he's not answering my question. Would you please answer the question I asked, which is --

THE WITNESS: I was getting to that.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Were there other officers available, that were searching in other areas, besides just the four of you?
A. There may have been, but it didn't make sense for those individuals to do those searches.
Q. To do what searches?
A. Of the residences, the garage, the buildings.
Q. Didn't make sense for them, for anyone but you four, to search any of the buildings on that
property?
A. Well, you obviously want to use evidence techs, people who are trained to do those types of searches and collections of evidence.
Q. And you had --
A. It's limited.
Q. Well, you had plenty of evidence techs out there, during that week, did you not?
A. No.
Q. Well, you had the Crime Lab?
A. They showed up with two people on Saturday --
Q. Okay. You had --
A. -- which one was a photographer only.
Q. You had DCI?
A. Fassbender may have been the only one out there Saturday.
Q. But during the week, as we went on, up to November 9th?
A. I was only there until Sunday night.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Defense may call its next witness.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

SERGEANT ANDREW L. COLBURN, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Andrew L. Colburn, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{n}$.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Sergeant, how are you employed?
A. I'm a patrol supervisor with the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department.
Q. And how long have you been so employed?
A. 14 years.
Q. As a police officer, you say?
A. As a corrections officer until 1996. I have been a police officer since '96.
Q. Okay. And how long have you been a sergeant?
A. Since 2002 .
Q. Okay. And on November 5th of 2005, were you so employed?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And did you become involved in a Teresa Halbach matter?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what date was that?
A. On Saturday, I believe it was the 3rd of November.
Q. Could that have been Thursday, November 3rd?
A. Yes, sorry, Thursday, November 3rd.
Q. Okay.
A. That was my initial involvement.
Q. And you received a phone call from somebody at Calumet?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Advising you that there was a missing person investigation?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And were you asked to do something in your jurisdiction, in Manitowoc?
A. Yes, the officer that called from Calumet asked if we could send a deputy, or if $I$ could go out to the Avery Auto Salvage area and check to see if that young lady was there.
Q. And that was that very same evening, November $3 r d$, is what they wanted you to do, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And so did you do so?
A. Yes, I went out there personally.
Q. You did?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the name, Steven Avery, was mentioned to you; is that right?
A. No. When I was contacted, I was just told that the last address that she had been at, they thought, was an address on Avery Road. I didn't know of any other residences on Avery Road, but the Avery Auto Salvage area.
Q. Okay. And so when you went out there, did you see Steven Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. And he was somebody that you were familiar with, right?
A. Not real familiar with, but I knew who Steve was, by sight.
Q. Well -- And you knew his involvement with your department as well, right?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, you had been deposed in his civil case just three weeks earlier, right?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I will move on.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ When -- Did you find

Mr. Avery at home, or outside the trailer, or tell me what happened?
A. Probably the two -- the two Avery's that I'm most familiar with are Charles and Earl. I knew Earl doesn't live out there, so my initial -- the idea in my head was to make contact with Charles.

And so I drove directly towards where I knew Charles lived, which is in close proximity to the shop building. Got out of my squad. And before I could get to Charles' residence, Steve came out of his parent's home and asked what I was doing.
Q. Okay. And so what did you tell him?

THE COURT: Excuse me, I have a question here. I think this testimony is for the multiple execution of the warrant section. Is this testimony necessary for purposes of this motion?

ATTORNEY BUTING: If it's whether it's an entry or not, I don't know whether he went into the residence at that time or not, it could be.

ATTORNEY FALLON: But what relevance is that, in terms of once a warrant is obtained, whether it was properly executed.

THE COURT: Right.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, it relates to
whether -- the necessity of how many times you need to go back into someone's residence.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, we're talking -- If you want argument, I'm prepared to argue more.

THE COURT: I think we have to get to the point after the warrant was issued, to hear testimony that's relevant to your motion. I mean, I'm sure that the questions you are asking might be -- may well be relevant at a different time, during trial, for example, but $I$ don't see how they relate to the motion.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, I guess it's not clear to me whether he entered. That's really what I'm getting at, but I'm trying not to lead him, so it's going a little bit slower. My real question is, did he go into the Avery trailer on that night or not.

THE COURT: How is that relevant to the issue relating to multiple executions of the search warrant, which wasn't issued until a couple days later?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, I think it relates to it because every entry makes it less necessary to go in again.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We would disagree. We're
talking two conceptually different concepts, one a breeze through based on consent and --

ATTORNEY BUTING: I don't know if there is or not. I mean, I haven't asked the question yet and don't have an answer on that yet. He may have never gone in, $I$ don't know.

THE COURT: I will let you ask a few brief background questions, but $I$ think we should move on to the point fairly quickly once the point is issued.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Sure. Let me just get to the point.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Did you, on that night, November 3rd, accompany or go into Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Okay. So you just talked with him outside?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Did you go in the next day, to his trailer?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Okay. Now, moving onto Saturday, November 5th, did you -- can you tell me what time you arrived at the Avery property?
A. Sometime between 6 and 6:30 in the evening.
Q. Is that when you are on duty?
A. No, I was on my day off.
Q. Okay. So you were called in?
A. Yes.
Q. And you -- Did you meet with -- with somebody who was assigning you tasks to do?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was that?
A. I believe it was Investigator Wiegert from the Calumet Sheriff's Office.
Q. Were you aware, at that point, that the -because of the appearance of a conflict of interest, because of Mr . Avery's pending 36 million dollar lawsuit against your department, that Calumet had taken over -- supposedly taken over the lead investigation?
A. Yes, I was told that the Calumet Sheriff's Office would be the lead investigative agency and we were to assist them.
Q. And do you know how many officers were out on the scene of the property, on that day, when you arrived?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Do you have an estimate of whether it's more or less than 50?
A. I would hazard a guess at less than 50, but I don't have an accurate head count of how many officers were out there, sir.
Q. All right. Or what about the following day, do you have any other -- the November 6, do you have any information or knowledge about how many officers were there then?
A. Again, approximately the same amount as on Saturday.
Q. All right. Now, on November 5th, after you arrived at the property, did there come a time when you entered Mr. Steven Avery's residence to conduct a search, based on a search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. And who were you with?
A. A Sergeant Bill Tyson from the Calumet Sheriff's Department, Detective Remiker from our agency, Lieutenant Lenk from our agency, and myself.
Q. And how was it you were assigned to perform those duties?
A. One of my additional duties or specializations in the area of criminal investigation, is evidence tech. And $I$ was acting in that capacity.
Q. Let me ask you, in your department, as patrol supervisor, are you among the top three
individuals qualified to search Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. I'm not sure I understand the question, sir. Are you asking for the rank structure of the Patrol Division?
Q. No. No. I'm asking for your qualifications as a --
A. I would probably be in the top three choices, yes.
Q. To search a residence?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you have done that a number of times?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how many, approximately?
A. 25 or better.
Q. Okay. And you completed your search of the residence at some point around 10 o'clock that night; is that right?
A. Yes, sir, that sounds right.
Q. And did you come back the next day and do some more searches of the Avery property?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you know what time you started?
A. Would have been around 8 o'clock in the morning.
Q. And did you go immediately into Mr. Avery's
trailer, again?
A. I don't believe we went immediately into his trailer. I believe that we went into his garage, though --
Q. Okay.
A. -- which is in close proximity to it.
Q. If I told you you were there in the garage from about 8:00 a.m. to 9:47 a.m.; would that fit with your recollection?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And were you there with Detective Remiker, Lieutenant Lenk, again?
A. Yes.
Q. And Deputy Kucharski from Calumet?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then you completed the search of the garage; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Were you asked to go back in Mr. Avery's trailer sometime during the noon hour, 12:30?
A. I'm not sure of the time, but I believe, yes, we were asked to go back into Mr. Avery's trailer. Steve Avery, I'm talking about.
Q. And was that with a specific -- Was that from Investigator Wiegert's direction?
A. I don't know who exactly gave the direction to go back in there. One of the Calumet County supervisors just said, the next thing we need you to do is go back in, you know. I didn't -- Every time I was directed to go into the trailer, it didn't come directly from Investigator Wiegert. Could have come from any number of supervisors that were out there.
Q. At any rate, you were directed to go back in, specifically, to seize some weapons --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- that were located in the bedroom of Mr . Steven Avery?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you did that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you went in, the same four officers went in on that occasion, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you completed your search on that occasion, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now, there's a similar request the following day, I believe, November 7th. Do you recall being asked to go into the Steven Avery trailer again,
to simply get a serial number of a computer?
A. Are we talking Monday, now. Is it Monday?
Q. Yes, that would be Monday, November 7th.
A. Yes, I do recall being asked to obtain a serial number for a computer.
Q. And you went in with Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Tyson from Calumet?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And did you get the serial number?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And then you left on that occasion, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then, on the following day, this would be Tuesday, November 8th, did you again go back into Mr. Steven Avery's residence?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And if I told you 8:25 a.m. you entered and left at 12:08 p.m.; would that fit with your recollection?
A. That sounds accurate, yes, sir.
Q. And on that occasion, you went in with who?
A. That occasion I believe it would have been myself, Lieutenant Lenk, and Deputy Dan Kucharski, from Calumet County Sheriff's Office.
Q. So the three of you were inside the apartment for
almost four hours?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. On that same day -- I'm sorry -- Yes, on that same day, immediately after you completed the search of Mr. Avery's trailer, you went into the garage again; is that right, the same three of you?
A. On Tuesday are you talking about?
Q. Yes.
A. I don't recall if we went in the garage that day or not.
Q. If I told you you entered at 12:19 p.m. and left at 12:45 p.m. and you were looking for tools and things, specific tool to match up with something, did that -- would that -- that --
A. Yes, I do recall that.
Q. Okay.
A. I didn't know if that was on Tuesday but, yes, I do recall that.
Q. And then, the following day, November 9th, do you recall going back into Mr. Avery's trailer with a specific intent to look for a garage door opener, some gloves, and things of that nature?
A. It's possible; that, I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall going into his residence at all on

November 9th, that would be --
A. Wednesday?
Q. Wednesday.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I think this question is -- $I$ know it hasn't been asked specifically of Sergeant Colborn, but I believe Lieutenant Lenk did indicate that he was in there that day. And I think we stipulated, if I recall, that the entry was 10:39 to 10:59, with an interruption at 10:59, and then resumed at 11:40, and ended at 11:50. I think we agreed to that yesterday, so I'm not sure what this adds to the equation.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's correct, your

Honor, I forgot that we had stipulated to that, so I can move on.

THE COURT: All right.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Did you have anything to do with getting the search warrant?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you have anything to do with the decisions of when you went into his residence, or not?
A. No, sir.
Q. Lieutenant Lenk out ranks you; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And on -- Let me go back to this first search for a minute, the November 5th entry, in the evening, when you were there from, like 7:30 to 10:05 p.m.; are you oriented for that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You said that you are an evidence tech; does that mean you collect evidence as well?
A. Collect evidence, photograph evidence, locate evidence, it's all, yes.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry. And did you do so on that occasion?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Were you collecting swabs and things that could be trace evidence?
A. My -- excuse me -- My primary job, if you want to use that term, was probably photographing and assisting in collecting. I didn't do a lot of the swabbing on Saturday.
Q. Okay.
A. I did assist Detective Remiker with that task, though.
Q. Okay. And you did, I believe, find some fiber evidence, or something, on the living room floor; do you recall that?
A. It's entirely possible, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know how many items you actually collected yourself, that night?
A. Myself, personally, if you are talking about just me, I didn't, like, collect any items myself, bag them up and say I have this. I would locate items that I thought were of evidentiary value and I pointed them out to Sergeant Tyson of the Calumet Sheriff's Office and, collectively, we would pick those items up.
Q. Okay. And by the way, did you review anything before your testimony today?
A. I did review these two reports that I have in my hand here. The one is the Calumet County Sheriff's Department report and the other one is our Manitowoc Sheriff's Department report that was compiled by Detective Remiker.
Q. That's the 22 -page report?
A. My report indicates it's -- this is page one of 24.
Q. Twenty-four. May I see the Calumet report for a minute, please? You have reviewed both of these items?
A. Yes, sir.

ATTORNEY BUTING: May I have just a moment, Judge, I think I have seen these, but there's a
couple pages that are different now.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I would just observe that, given the sequencing of that report, those last pages, if that's what's in issue here, I would be surprised if they are relevant to this particular motion. I mean, they may be certainly entitled to that under discovery, but I'm not sure that it adds anything to Sergeant Colborn's testimony relative to the events of the week of November 5th.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ All right. Lieutenant, the Manitowoc official report of the investigation in this case is -- it's now 24 pages, right?
A. Are you asking me to count each page or?
Q. Well, I'm just asking you how many total pages it is?
A. It says this is Page 1 of 24. I didn't take any pages out, this is 24 of 24 , so I'm assuming these to be --
Q. Okay.
A. -- 24 pages.
Q. All right. How much of that report consists of reports that you wrote or dictated into this sequential system?
A. Oh, there's only a very few entries that I personally have on here.
Q. In fact, the last couple of pages involve a report that you did very recently?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And -- But it deals with an investigation that you did way back on November 3rd?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Particularly, it's the encounter with Mr. Avery that night that we talked about briefly at the beginning of this testimony?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. But you only recently, within the last month or two, prepared a report of that?
A. Only within the past month or so made an addition on this report about that, yes.
Q. And until you did that report, you had almost no personal reports in that entire Manitowoc official report, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And were you directed to add that report to it, this newer one?
A. Yes.
Q. By whom?
A. We had a pretrial conference -- and I'm just
using the term pretrial, generically -conference in Calumet County and all the officers were talking about what involvement they had in this case.

And when I mentioned that I had initial contact with Mr. Avery on 11/3/2006, it was suggested that I make an entry to this report, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department report, about the nature of that contact.
Q. Okay. That was one of your supervisors directing you to do that?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Pass. We have no questions for this witness.

THE COURT: All right. You are excused. You may call your next witness.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Your Honor, if you give me just a minute here, we may be able to shorten this.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take a 10 minute break at this time and then resume.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon, you may -- or -- Yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, at this time we're not presenting any other evidence on the multiple entries motion.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, any witnesses for the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, the State will be presenting witnesses. State would call as it's first witness, Tom Fassbender.

SPECIAL AGENT THOMAS FASSBENDER, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, and spell your last name for the record. Thomas Fassbender, $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{r}$.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. What do you do for a living?
A. I'm a Special Agent with the Wisconsin Department of Justice, Division of Criminal Investigation.
Q. How long have you been so employed?
A. With DCI, I have been employed 21 years.
Q. And prior to that, any law enforcement
experience?
A. I had five years as a patrol officer on the State Patrol.
Q. What is your current assignment as an agent with the Department of Justice?
A. I currently work within our Special Assignments Bureau, which is primarily responsible for homicide investigations.
Q. All right. And how long have you held that particular assignment?
A. About five years.
Q. Prior to that, what duties or assignments did you hold?
A. When I came in with DCI, I was a narcotics agent for five years. And then I went into white color and government corruption for about 11 years. And during that period, I worked internet crimes against children for about two years at the same time.
Q. All right. With respect to your current assignment, approximately how many homicide investigations have you been involved in?
A. Well, if we're counting what we term "cold case" homicide investigations, I have a file drawer full of those, which would go anywhere from 8 to

15 cases there. Other homicide investigations, probably anywhere from 5 to 10.
Q. All right. Now, during those particular investigations, are they -- Where are those homicide investigations? Where did they take place? What is your jurisdiction or area of operation?
A. Jurisdictionwise would be State of Wisconsin.
Q. All right. With respect to this particular case, I would like to draw your attention to November 5th, 2005; how did you become involved in this case?
A. DCI was re -- Or the assistance of DCI was requested by the Calumet County Sheriff's Department and I was assigned by my supervisor.
Q. And did you arrive upon the scene of the Avery Auto Salvage Yard on November 5th?
A. Yes, somewhere around shortly after 2:00 p.m. that day.
Q. Had you had any association at all with the missing person case involving Teresa Halbach prior to that?
A. No.
Q. All right. When you arrived at 2:00 p.m., which law enforcement agency was in control and in
charge?
A. Calumet County Sheriff.
Q. All right. At some point during the investigation -- Well, let's ask the question this way. What was your role? As a member of the Division of Criminal Investigation, what was your role in this missing persons case on November 5th?
A. Initially, it was to come in and provide assistance to the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, investigating the scene. And shortly after arrival and having some briefing sessions, I took over role as a co-lead investigator.
Q. All right. Who was the other co-lead investigator in the case?
A. Investigator Mark Wiegert, with the Calumet Sheriff's Department.
Q. And approximately how long after your 2:00 p.m. arrival did that take place, roughly?
A. I'm not real sure, probably within an hour, hour and a half.
Q. All right. Were you on site when a search warrant was obtained?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And are you familiar with the area
which was the subject of the search?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Specifically, I would like to first of all draw your attention to, I believe it's Exhibit 18, if I'm correct. Yes, Exhibit 18. Are you familiar with what is depicted in Exhibit 18?
A. Yes, it is the Avery's Salvage Yard, with some additional properties up in the northwest corner, I believe that is, Steven Avery's residence. Barb Yanda's residence up in that area would be an additional several acres up there.
Q. All right. And the overall area which was subject to the search warrant that was obtained, was how many acres?
A. Approximately 40 acres.
Q. All right. And what is depicted in Exhibit 18, is that a fair and accurate depiction of the Avery Auto Salvage Yard and the adjoining properties?
A. Yes, that would be that, and that would be the scene, the initial scene, we were dealing with.
Q. So what is depicted there is the 40 acres?
A. Yes.
Q. With respect to the 40 acres, are you aware of
the breakdown as to how much of that general area contained the auto salvage yard business and it's inventory?
A. Yes, approximately 37 acres would have been attributed to that.
Q. All right. And during the course of the investigation, were you able to determine a ballpark estimate as to approximately how many junked cars, for lack of a better term, are located on that 37 acre portion of the parcel?
A. Initially, when we got there, we believed it to be well over 1,000 junked cars. And, ultimately, a rough count was taken by searchers, that put it more in the neighborhood of 36 to 3800 junk cars.
Q. All right. And in terms of including residences and commercial buildings, approximately how many buildings are located on that parcel?
A. About 15.
Q. All right. Out of the 15 , how many of them were residences which were subject to the execution of the search warrant?
A. Four.
Q. And did the four also include immediate out buildings, such as garages?
A. That is correct, yes.
Q. All right. And the remaining buildings there were commercial buildings, or support buildings, for the business of the salvage yard?
A. Yes, with the exception of one, I guess you would term it a house trailer, alongside the road going down to Barb Yanda and Steven Avery's residence. It was a large trailer alongside that road. I would count that as a building that was searched.
Q. All right. Now, when the warrant was obtained and about to be executed, were any precautions taken to secure the overall premises, again, directing your attention to Exhibit 18?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And tell us about those.
A. Well, there were checkpoints established out on the primary, or the main highway, running parallel to the property, as well as a checkpoint coming into the property on Avery road. There was, as you got down to the end of Avery Road, or the south end of Avery Road, and getting onto the Avery property, business property, is where a command post had been set up.
Q. All right. If I were to -- I'm going to have you -- I'm going to give you a laser pointer. If you would just direct out where the command post
was located.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Could he mark it?
THE WITNESS: I don't think I will have to, it's real near No. 3, where 3 is marked, just maybe a little south of 3 there, you can see some vehicles and stuff. So it would have been right at that nexus, right around 3.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Now, I would also like to direct your attention to Exhibit, I believe it's 19, which is behind you on the chalkboard.
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with what is depicted there?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And just so that we're clear, what is depicted in Exhibit $19 ?$
A. That's an aerial view, a little broader version of an aerial view, that shows the Avery salvage yard and adjoining properties, about right in the center of that view, and then the surrounding parcels of land and property.
Q. All right. I believe we had a stipulation yesterday, but just to illustrate your testimony, can you refer to where this Highway 147 is located on Exhibit 19?
A. Certainly, with the pointer, it's running
essentially east-west, east to southwest, right there, which would be about one parcel of land or field, north of the Avery property.
Q. All right. Now, you indicated there was a checkpoint that was set up, can you describe the locations on -- using Exhibit 19, to tell us where the checkpoints were?
A. Okay. The day that $I$ arrived, I can't remember if the checkpoints out on the highway had been set up yet; I'm not going to speak to that for sure. But $I$ know if they weren't, shortly thereafter, the highway checkpoints would have been set up.
Q. All right.
A. The highway checkpoints would have been out on Highway 147, which would have been to the west of the Avery property, somewhere toward the upper left corner of this picture.
Q. All right. I'm going to give you a pen, if you could just put a checkmark and the number 1, that may illustrate that, please. Is the area where the checkpoint located, is that on the map, or could it be farther west or farther east of what is depicted there; do you recall?
A. To my memory, the checkpoints may have moved
during the course of the week. They may have expanded. They may have started, you know, closer to Avery Road and then eventually expanded out a little further.
Q. All right.
A. So that's where I'm having problems definitely putting the X --
Q. All right.
A. -- on where it was at any given point.
Q. Okay.
A. I know at some times it was as far west as here, but it may have been as close as this, earlier in the week.
Q. All right. We'll put a check on each of those locations then. The one farthest to your left, we'll make that No. 1, and then -- right.
A. And if that is the little city I'm thinking about here, $I$ know there was an intersection of a road.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Could we identify what road, the names of those roads are, if you know?

THE WITNESS: I'm not going to be able to do that. It's just that there was Highway 147. There was an intersection, and I'm going to, again, put and X there and a 1, by that one, and it may have been as close as what I'm designating as $\mathrm{X}-2$,
to the west of Avery Road.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Is it possible there could have been one even farther west, which is not depicted on the map?
A. It's possible. And I'm not going to say for sure at this point.
Q. Were there any checkpoints on Avery Road?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And tell us about those.
A. The checkpoints on Avery Road, again, moved. Generally, during the day, when we were there working, the checkpoint was toward the north end of Avery Road, out near Highway 147.
Q. Pretty close to the intersection of Avery Road and 147?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So put a check there.
A. I will put an $X$ there with a 3 .
Q. All right. And you said it moved, tell us about that.
A. At night, when most of the personnel, the investigative personnel, left the scene, they would often times draw that back in toward the command post area.
Q. What was the thinking for that?
A. The thinking was to draw it back in, contain the scene a little better, and actually have that personnel right there at the corner of the scene, to provide security for the scene, and also for break purposes, because there were porta-potties and stuff that were there, and food and water, and shift changes, and stuff like that.
Q. All right. Now, were there any checkpoints east of Avery Road, on 147, anything that you can place on our map there, or were they farther east than what is depicted?
A. I don't believe so. I think they were around this intersection, in the vicinity of this intersection, on Highway 147.
Q. All right.
A. I will put an $X-4$ there.
Q. All right. You may resume your seat. Directing your attention, again, to Exhibit 18, the 40 acre plot of land depicted there, once the initial search warrant was obtained and you began the searches, was that area under your continuous control?
A. Yes.
Q. And when did you give up control of that 40 acre plot of land?
A. Saturday, November 12, at about 11:28 a.m.
Q. All right. Now, during the course of the week that you were there, was there plans made to secure the premises -- the perimeter of the 40 acre plot on Exhibit 18?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And what can you tell us about that?
A. The plans to secure the perimeter was to have law enforcement personnel, at minimum, on each corner of the property.
Q. All right. And was that around the clock securance?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. At any point during the seven days, did you ever leave -- were any of the guards removed or any of the postings from the corners of the property?
A. Other than changes, shift changes, to my knowledge, no.
Q. All right. Was it Lieutenant Sippel and Lieutenant Bowe, from the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, who were in charge of the overall perimeter security?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Again, returning to the time on

November 5th when Detective Wiegert and Detective Remiker and others returned with the search warrant, what was the initial plan or focus of executing the search warrant?
A. That would have been to do a protective sweep of the buildings on that scene, and also to search for Teresa Halbach.
Q. All right. And what did you mean by protective sweep; what was the point or purpose of that?
A. When law enforcement executes a search warrant or a search, we generally do a protective sweep for our safety, or the satisfy of anyone on that scene, to look for anything that could endanger us people, people armed with weapons, whatever.
Q. All right. And that's so you could also see if there were any other individuals who were actually on the premises at the time the warrant was being executed?
A. Certainly.
Q. All right. You also said that the other point or purpose was to look for Teresa Halbach, tell us about that.
A. Well, essentially, this was a missing case -- or a missing person investigation at that point. And the search warrant was to look for -- one of
the things, the search warrant, was to look for the body or to look for Teresa Halbach, in the hopes of finding her alive.
Q. All right.
A. And that was the primary purpose at that time.
Q. And given that primary purpose, were there any -Well, given that as a purpose, how did you go about attempting to accomplish that particular aspect, what did you do?
A. Based on the personnel we had, teams were set up to disseminate throughout the property and do that sweep, through the buildings, looking for a protective sweep and looking for Teresa.
Q. All right. Now, in your capacity as an agent with the Department of Justice, have you been involved in the execution of search warrants?
A. Yes.
Q. Numerous times?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of the focus, for instance, is there a difference in the way you approach things if the focus is looking for a particular person as opposed to looking for something like blood, or narcotics, or something of a smaller size?
A. Most certainly.
Q. All right. Tell us about that.
A. If I'm looking for something larger size, something obvious or evident, it's obvious that that search is going to be a little quicker and easier because it's much more visible and apparent.

If I'm looking for evidence that might constitute forensic evidence or trace evidence, so to speak, blood, or hair, or fibers, that's going to be a much more detailed search, which may involve artificial lighting, may involve getting down on hands and knees, using magnifying glasses, etcetera. So there's a big difference.

One could -- conceivably could call it a search, while the other one may be, you know, searching for evidence and then the processing and collection of evidence. So there's a difference.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of organizing the search teams, who organized the teams?
A. It would have been either myself, or Investigator Wiegert, or someone in our stead at times. And I believe that probably would have been, in this instance, Investigator Wiegert, because I would have been involved with a search of my own, or
with one of the dogs at that time.
Q. Now, on -- All right. How did you and Investigator Wiegert assign responsibilities or duties during the execution of this search warrant?
A. Again, based on the personnel present, a decision had been previously made that Calumet was going to take over the investigation, and that resources being as they were, we were going to use Manitowoc County personnel to assist in the investigation of this scene, and the search of this scene.

And, basically, what we decided to do, as the teams were set up, we would have either a person or an investigator, from Calumet County or DCI, accompany Manitowoc County personnel, if they were on a search team.
Q. All right. Now, what kind of teams were actually put together?
A. I'm not sure if $I$ understand the question.
Q. Is there a difference, for instance, from a search team and/or an evidence collection team?
A. Well, certainly. Again, this initial search, we weren't concerned with having evidence collection technicians on those particular teams
necessarily. It may have been deputies. It may have been jail personnel. It may have been DCI agents on the teams.

Again, their primary purpose was the protective sweep and the searching for Teresa, and not necessarily a concern of finding and locating trace evidence, so to speak.
Q. All right. And once -- Did there come a time when the focus shifted from looking for Teresa to one looking more detailed, looking for more trace evidence, as it were, or other evidentiary items, found or listed in the warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Tell us about that.
A. What happened was, they did the protective sweep and the search for Teresa in the buildings area. After that, we had some trained cadaver dogs come in. And I initially accompanied one of those trained cadaver dogs, with some other personnel. And we did a search all along the south end of the property, where the dog alerted on Teresa's RAV 4 vehicle.

We continued that search along that south border of the property and actually into the adjoining property owned by Radandt. During
that time, additional dogs arrived and additional searches were done, utilizing the dogs, of some of the buildings. Just a walk through, basic search, with the dogs, to see if the dogs alerted on anything.

Again, the thinking being, if the dog alerted on something, that might be a clue or an assist in helping locate Teresa or the body of Teresa Halbach. And again, that was a fairly quick search, walking the dogs through.
Q. When you say fairly quick search, are you referring to the buildings?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Were the dogs used to search other areas on the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. Again, Lieutenant Sippel and Bowe would probably be better to address that. But the dogs were used to take into the pit area, the salvage area, walking through and around cars and to see if they were alerting on anything there. So that's that 37 acre area that we were talking about.
Q. So would it be fair to say that when you were using the dogs, the primary focus was still
looking for Teresa, or something, some part of her remains, for instance?
A. Certainly.
Q. All right. Now, you indicated you were -- You mentioned something about the Toyota RAV 4; did you have any involvement in securing that item of evidence?
A. To a degree. After I arrived, sometime after I arrived, we had approached the RAV 4 and used a flashlight to confirm that there was no body in the RAV 4. While we were there, we were getting reports and seeing obvious signs of inclement weather approaching, getting reports of serious inclement weather approaching.

The Crime Lab, Wisconsin State Crime Lab was involved and $I$ was in contact with them. And as such, we would be agreeing to attempt to put a tarp over the RAF 4 vehicle, which we did. Inclement weather arrived and there was some rain, not the worst of it yet, but that was accompanied by some high winds. And the winds were blowing the tarp all over. So, ultimately, we went back to that scene and we ended up removing the tarp, because it was just flailing around anyways.
Q. You couldn't keep the tarp on because of the weather?
A. No.
Q. At some point, was the vehicle removed?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately when?
A. Approximately 8:45 p.m.
Q. All right. You mentioned something about serious inclement weather on the way; what concerns, if any, did that pose for you during the course of executing the search warrant?
A. Well, that, as well as other things. We were getting into dark now, losing daylight.

Searching, anything, is much better and well done during daylight as opposed to artificial light or in the dark. Add to that the horrendous rainstorm that ultimately moved in that evening, very much limiting any of the outdoor search, except the removal of the RAV 4, which we accomplished in that storm.
Q. How bad was the weather?
A. It was raining extremely hard when the storm hit, as hard as I have experienced.
Q. And how long did that rain persist?
A. You know, I don't remember exactly, but most of
the night that we worked there it was raining.
Q. All right. And did that create some concerns with you, with respect to evidence detection and collection?
A. Well, obviously, we had concerns with any evidence collection and detection outside, coupled with the dark. Lights were brought in to illuminate the southeast corner where the RAV 4 was found, that area. But the rain affected that quite heavily, also. So we were fairly or pretty much limited to inside or in building searches at this time.
Q. All right. I will come back to that in a minute. I would like to direct your attention, again, to Exhibit 19. And -- which is behind you -- and ask, during the course of the week that you were executing the search warrant on the premises depicted in Exhibit 18, were you called upon to investigate, or participate, or coordinate searches of surrounding areas?
A. Yes.
Q. And tell us about that.
A. Ultimately, when we were done searching, it was estimated that we had searched approximately six to 800 acres of property.
Q. That's in addition to the 40 acres?
A. In addition to the 40 acres on the Avery properties. And that property included a lot of surrounding areas which were gravel pits, sand pits; I think most of them owned by Radandt.

And then many ponds and lakes. I'm not sure of the count, but numerous ponds and lakes that had to be searched, either with dive teams or walk-throughs of some sort. Again, all taxing the personnel and resources during the course of that week.
Q. With respect to your role and Investigator Wiegert's role, were the two of you responsible or more or less in charge of the perimeter searches; in other words, the 6 to 700 acres, was that your responsibility or someone else's?
A. That would have been more Lieutenant Bowe and Sippel coordinating that, managing that part.
Q. But who oversaw their work?
A. Investigator Wiegert, myself.
Q. All right. And returning then to the weather on Saturday night and limiting to building searches, did there come a time where you, and/or Investigator Wiegert, directed various search teams to enter the buildings?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And tell us how that came to pass.
A. I keep returning to this, but again, pertaining to the personnel we had present and the resources yet available that evening, now we're organizing what we believe to be a search to look for evidence, potential processing and collection of evidence. And for such a search, we need evidence technicians or trained officers who were trained in the collection of evidence.
Q. All right. And on Saturday night, I would say approximately 7:30 or thereabouts, how many evidence technicians, or evidence collection teams, were available on Saturday?
A. I believe we put one evidence collection team together that night.
Q. All right. During the course of the week, were other evidence collection teams put together?
A. Yes. And I'm going to back up, Saturday night, if you count the Crime Lab, the two technicians that came from the Crime Lab, we would have had two.
Q. All right. What assignment did the Crime Lab people have on Saturday night?
A. To process and seize and transport the RAV 4,

Teresa's vehicle.
Q. Did they actually do an examination or processing of the vehicle itself, on scene, or just the collection and removal?
A. The only thing they processed or looked at, on scene, was the debris and the items that had been used to conceal the vehicle, but the vehicle itself, to my knowledge, no.
Q. All right. And what happened to the Crime Lab after the vehicle was removed, the Crime Lab personnel, after the vehicle was removed?
A. The Crime Lab personnel remained with the vehicle. I believe one of the technicians rode with the individual towing the vehicle, while the other one followed the vehicle, or led the way to Madison.
Q. All right. So in terms of after they left the scene, you only had one evidence collection team on site that evening?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. So in terms of using their capabilities, what was the plan?
A. The plan was to start searching buildings. And we started with Steven Avery's residence on the northwest corner of the property, at about 7:30,
if I remember right.
Q. All right. And did there come a time that you and/or Investigator Wiegert, directed additional entries into Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And did there come a time where you directed additional entries to other residences during the course of the week?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And the businesses, or buildings associated with the business?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And there's been some testimony that through the course of the week, and in particular the first five days, there were as many as -- I think perhaps eight entries, thereabouts, to Mr. Avery, Steven Avery's trailer. And three or four entries to the garage.

So what was it about the circumstances, in your mind, that caused you to direct successive or additional entries, first of all, with respect to Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. I think that's a longer answer than you might anticipate. But additionally, we have the same -- you have got your protective sweep and
looking for a body, and using the dogs. I would categorize those into, basically, the same type of search, a walk-through, a sweep. So you have two entries there.

I have got a search warrant for a scene here, which is a 40 acre scene. I don't have a search warrant specifically for any building or Steven's house, or Barbara's house. I have got a 40 acre scene here. That's why I say I'm holding that scene. Okay. Ultimately, that evening, we get a team put together and we start the buildings.

We start with Steven's residence. When the technicians go in there, they are looking for evidence that's obvious. And they are also looking for trace or forensic evidence, which is a little more difficult, sometimes, to detect.

At this point in time, you are talking it's dark, it's night, you have got a horrendous rain storm going on. We want to look, to the best of our abilities, for that type of evidence. Because of the rain, because of people maybe going in and out of their house to get evidence collection bags, gloves, whatever they need, flashlights, you run the risk of evidence being,
in effect, destroyed or lost. So we look for that type of evidence as quickly as possible, because that is the most susceptible to that type of danger.

So, they go in there about 7:30.
Keeping in mind also, at this point in time most of those investigators that went in that trailer are already going on 12 hours, or more, of work. So you are talking that they are getting tired, there could be safety issues, and exhaustion's becoming a factor. So they go in there --
Q. Does that affect the ability to locate and collect evidence --
A. I would think --
Q. -- based on your experience --
A. -- so, yes.
Q. Was that based --
A. I felt it, yes.
Q. All right.
A. So, they go in there until about 10:30 and they clear Steven's residence that evening. They come back and we have, Mark and I, as well as the sheriff and other people that are involved, Sippel, and Bowe, and whoever, are continually having debriefing sessions, and planning
sessions, and assessing what's going on.
Taking in all the intelligence information that's happening. We debrief that team. And even as early as that night, in my mind, I'm telling people -- or my mind I'm thinking -- either that night or the next night, I'm telling people, we are not done in that house. We will be going back there, for several reasons: Number 1, they noted guns. They talked to us about a vacuum cleaner, and having taken, I believe, the bag out of the vacuum cleaner.

But we talked about the roll -- about the brush that's in the vacuum cleaner, how that can collect -- possibly collect trace evidence. And we're going to want to take that. Bedding in a spare bedroom, yeah, we're going to want to take that.

That's how these meetings occurred, you know. What did you find? What did you see in that residence? We're going to want that.
Q. All right.
A. That building is still part of my scene. This is an ongoing search. So as early as that, we're going to be returning there. That night, that completed that night. The following evening is
when we continued the search in process, involving going in the buildings, including Steven's garage.
Q. Now, did the apparent evidentiary significance of items observed in the buildings, in particular, Mr. Avery's trailer; did that change during the course of the week?
A. Certainly.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. Beginning just at that first night, I mean, we have -- we have investigative personnel. To start with, we have investigative personnel up in Marinette, at the Avery's other property up north, who are speaking with Avery members, gleaning intelligence and information, which is being reported back to us.

We have investigators at the scene that are going out and doing interviews either of relatives, family members, friends, or just people who may have been involved in this scene.

We have neighborhood canvasses that are started the following day. We're constantly bringing in intelligence and information, assessing that information and intelligence.

We have evidence teams that are finding
evidence and we're making determinations on: What's been found; what we need to look for; do we need to look somewhere else; are there things that we're missing.

That's all affecting what's going on in this ongoing process. This is not a static process, that's just standing still. We have a RAV 4 that went down to the Crime Laboratory, that's being analyzed. I think it's as early as Sunday that there is a presumptive positive test for human blood in that vehicle. That's affecting what we may be doing, or what we're looking for, obviously.
Q. All right. Now, at some point did the Crime Lab come down and assist further in the search of the scene, and/or the collection of evidence, or the identification of evidence?
A. Yes, they came back. The other team came back on Sunday I believe, afternoon, later afternoon, Sunday.
Q. And what did they do to assist in either the identification or collection of additional evidence?
A. They were being sent all over the place. Again, on Sunday, we have search teams that are going
out and searching. We're accounting for the vehicles, the trunks of the vehicles, underneath the vehicles, and the insides of the vehicles; the car crusher, the crushed vehicles, the crushed vehicle in the car crusher; the buildings, and even maybe starting some of the surrounding areas.

As the search teams are going through, again, they are not necessarily evidence technicians, but they are looking for potential evidence, or identifying potential evidence, and either marking or flagging the potential evidence. And that could include, and in many instances did include, potential blood in junked vehicles.

And the Crime Lab was being utilized to go check or analyze those areas of potential evidence, to see if it needed to be collected, if it was blood, if it wasn't blood. They went to vehicles. I think they went to a golf cart at one time, to check something that had been found in a golf cart.

Ultimately, they were directed to go back -- or asked to go back into Steven Avery's residence, to use alternate light sources to
check for the presence of blood.
Q. All right. And did they, in fact, go into Mr. Avery's residence at some time during the course of one of these searches, with alternate light sources, to look for blood?
A. Yes, I believe they did that Sunday evening.
Q. All right. And did they actually collect what they observed, or how did you work with them, best utilizing their abilities?
A. Yes. Generally, they would be able to identify and help process. And the collection of evidence, if they collected it, it was immediately turned over to Calumet County personnel.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm going to object to his testimony about what they did or didn't do, in Mr. Avery's residence, unless this witness was there and observed it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will clear up with a foundation question.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Were you responsible -Strike that. Let's try it this way. Once someone entered a residence and did something in the residence, was that information conveyed back to you in the command post or -- and/or Detective

Wiegert?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So in terms of your responsibility and Investigator Wiegert's responsibility, you were made aware of the results, or what the observations were, during the course of entering any of these residences?
A. I would believe so, in most of the cases, yes.
Q. Now, specifically, since the Crime Lab personnel work also for the Department of Justice, did you have constant contact with them regarding what they were doing and how they were assisting?
A. The majority of time, yes, I agree.
Q. Now, with respect to their participation in one of the searches of Mr. Avery's trailer, that being Steven Avery's trailer, with respect to using an alternate light source, were you made aware of that?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection, hearsay.
THE COURT: For purposes of this hearing, I think it's relevant to determine how this witness acted and what he did, rather than for the truth of the matter, so I'm going to accept it on that basis. ATTORNEY FALLON: Fine, thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ So, did the Crime Lab collect evidence after they went through --

ATTORNEY BUTING: Same objection. It's hearsay, as to what was done inside that house, if he wasn't there. He can talk about why he did or didn't do things afterwards, but he -- it is hearsay for him to relate what someone else did, outside of his presence, and came back and told him. What other purpose does the State have to offer that? THE COURT: Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Certainly. First of all, it does go to the state of mind. After all, he is one of the two people responsible for the overall execution of this warrant. He's already established the fact that he and Investigator Wiegert were in charge of the investigation, so they were clearly made aware.

He's already testified that there were debriefings after each search. This is all information that factors into the decision making process as to how that warrant was executed.

Secondly, under 904.01, a certain amount of hearsay under these circumstances is permitted.

Third, taking the Court's previous
example, it does go relative to his state of mind.

THE COURT: For purposes of this hearing, what they found or what they didn't find isn't really that important. It's hearsay if it's introduced for the purpose of proving the truth of the matter asserted.

In this case, $I$ take the information to be an explanation of why orders were given, why searches were ordered to be conducted, why they came back the next day. And I think so for that purpose, which is most relevant to the defense motion here, it is not hearsay because it's not offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted; therefore, I'm going to allow it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ What occurred with respect to the Crime Lab's participation and what affect did that have on you, in terms of what you were doing and organizing, with respect to continuation of the executing the search warrant?
A. They identified additional areas in Steven's trailer that show the presence of blood, or the potential presence of blood. They collected a few of the areas. They identified the other
areas, as areas that were needed to go in and collect yet.
Q. And subsequent to that, did you direct additional entries to collect the information requested by the Crime Lab?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Are you an evidence technician, by the way?
A. I have attended a week long evidence technician course put on by the State Crime Laboratory.
Q. All right. After you directed the subsequent entry suggested by the Crime Lab, in other words, the collection of these additional samples, in your mind, were you -- was the execution of the search warrant, as a whole, completed?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. With respect to the part of the warrant permitting entrance, authorizing entrance to Mr. Avery's trailer, and his garage, for that matter, after Sunday evening, did you, in your mind, think that you had completed or, quote, gotten everything there was to get?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I think what's in his mind is really irrelevant. It's ultimately going to be a legal question. It's more what he did and
didn't do. He's not --
ATTORNEY FALLON: It's a foundational question to explain subsequent conduct.

THE COURT: Well, in a sense, you are both right. Even what his thoughts were may not necessarily control the outcome of the decision on your motion, but as both parties have already informed the Court, there's not a lot of case law on this, and it may be that what was going through his mind is important. So, for that reason, I'm going to allow the question.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Did you feel you had completed that portion of the execution of the search warrant with respect to the defendant's residence and garage?
A. Based on -- a lot on the factors I already talked about, no.
Q. All right. And why not?
A. Reiterating a lot of those factors, and the purpose of a lot of the searches up to that point, we were not done with those areas. We had, like I said, after that first forensic search, based on the weather and lighting conditions, exhaustion of the searchers, I knew that building, even without Steven being the
primary suspect necessarily, was going to be searched again. It was too likely that things would have been missed, based on those factors.

They did see some things in there, guns and stuff, that we needed to go back in and get the following day, due to the lateness of hour, etcetera. And we did. We knew there was a computer in there that we had to obtain information off of, which we got a separate search warrant for.

And then the Crime Lab was asked to go in because that first search team found evidence of blood in there. So we asked them to use their technology to determine if there was any other blood in there. Again, as I said, this was ongoing.

After they identified other areas of blood, we knew we had to go back there and get -collect those items. During this whole course of things, through debriefings and interviews, interviews being conducted, we're obtaining more intelligence information that tends to indicate that Steven is definitely the suspect, or a suspect in this case, which makes it even more relevant that we make sure we do a complete and
thorough search of that area before we release the scene.
Q. With respect to that, what additional information was uncovered on Tuesday, for instance, that led you to continue the belief that you needed to gain additional entry into the trailer and the garage; what was happening?
A. Number one, Monday, we were -- we were busy in other areas, again, in the junkyard, other buildings, etcetera. So we did not get back to Steven's trailer on Monday like we had planned to, because of what the Crime Lab showed, and just my beliefs.

So Tuesday, it was planned to go back in there. I believe Tuesday they went back in there and got the computer. And then Tuesday we were going back in, that that's where we put the team up to go back in there and hopefully do a final, thorough search of that trailer.

Things that were coming in, I mean from interviews and intelligence, we're interviewing people and finding that Steven's initial story, it was inconsistent with later stories that he had given, whether to us or to the press.

We have other interviews of other
individuals that are contradicting -contradicting his statements.

We have information coming in from the Crime Lab, the presence of blood. And I believe it was Tuesday, and I'm not sure, but I believe it was Tuesday I was advised by the Crime Lab that DNA analyzed from the RAV 4, matched up with Steven Avery's DNA from the Wisconsin data base, DNA data base. That may have been later on Tuesday, but these are the types of things that are coming in and we're analyzing and basing our decisions on.
Q. Did the fact that there were bone fragments uncovered from a burn pit on Tuesday factor into the equation?
A. I'm sure that factored into the equation, to make sure that the residence was searched properly and held. I believe -- I'm not sure what time of day the bone fragments were found, whether they were found a little later, or the search had conducted earlier, so I'm going to say I'm not sure.
Q. All right. During the course of overseeing the execution of this search warrant, were you, and/or Investigator Wiegert, more or less was your attention drawn away from the scene to other
locales outside of the perimeter here?
A. Oh, yes, a lot of times.
Q. Tell us about that and why you felt that you had to address those.
A. Again, you are getting information from many different sources, whether it's evidence technicians finding evidence, or agents or investigators doing interviews and obtaining information and intelligence. And you perform sort of a triage, I guess. Is this something that we need to address? Do we have the personnel and resources to address it now, or could it wait?

And a good example, we had the Crime Lab personnel running around trying to address different areas. During Tuesday, I think it was Tuesday morning, license plates were found in a vehicle on the yard that were Teresa Halbach's license plates from her vehicle. At that point we made the decision to pull the Crime Lab off what they were doing to go address the seizure and the processing of the plates from that vehicle.

Other areas where maybe blood was found, we were directing evidence technicians to go
there. We had search teams out that were identifying these various areas of evidence. They would report back to us and we would determine, do we need to send someone there now, or can we just hold that scene until we can get someone available to go process that.

We need people who have been trained in processing evidence to do that. And we only had so many people that were trained to do that. So we're constantly making those decisions. We had a site that, potentially, could have been a clandestine burial site, that we pretty much pulled everyone off of, for quite a period of time, until we determined that it wasn't.
Q. Where was that? Was that in the perimeter or somewhere else?
A. That was outside the perimeter, to the west.
Q. And were individuals dispatched to areas such as Maribel Caves Park?
A. We had intelligence coming in that some pants, and I think some lotion or something, had been found at this park. So, yes, we dispatched some evidence technicians over there.

We had a report of a cell phone being found in the ditch. We ultimately dispatched
people there. We had that clandestine site. We had reports of some bones found on some property west of this area, that Mark and I actually went out on because there was no one available, technicians available, that we checked that.
Q. When you say Mark, you mean Mr. Wiegert?
A. Our investigator, Wiegert. We had intelligence coming in from interviews that someone may have seen something; for example, a bus driver dropping someone off, that we had to send people out to interview and obtain information from that person.
Q. All right. Now, during the course of this week long event, did you have assistance of other law enforcement agencies?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. What agencies assisted?
A. Wow, I don't even know the whole list, but the State Patrol for sure. They were assisting in perimeter security, I think from day one or day two, and then en masse. I think on Tuesday was the first day they were there en masse, where upwards of 60 troopers arrived to help search that, go over that yard, again.
Q. When you say the yard, you are talking about the salvage yard?
A. The salvage yard, the vehicles. And they actually came and assisted in searching the properties adjacent to the Avery properties.
Q. All right. Other law enforcement entities assist?
A. Of course, Manitowoc County Sheriff, Manitowoc City, I think Two Rivers may have had some people there, and then Calumet County Sheriff's Department, obviously, and some various, I think, police departments in Calumet County also assisted in perimeter and scene protection, and security.
Q. And did -- At some point did you receive assistance from some local firemen?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And how were they employed?
A. I believe the first day they were employed was on Sunday, and they were employed in searching the vehicles. And I believe they brought in their Jaws of Life to open trunks of vehicles, and car doors, and whatever needed to be opened. And they were broken up into teams.

And I believe each of those teams had one law enforcement -- at least one law
enforcement personnel with them. And they went through the yard and went through every vehicle to make sure that, again, Teresa, or evidence of Teresa, was not apparent in those vehicles. And that's in the vehicles, under the vehicles, evidence that the vehicle had been moved, or not moved. And if it was moved, we had to get personnel in there to lift that vehicle up, move it, check under it.
Q. All right. Now, with the -- in terms of having at least the benefit of these additional resources, did that ease the strain at all with respect to the actual evidence collection processing component associated with the execution of a warrant?
A. Not nearly as much, no, because these people weren't trained to process or collect evidence.
Q. Who was responsible for coordinating their efforts?
A. The efforts of whom?
Q. The State Patrol, the firemen, the Two Rivers officers; in other words, the supporting law enforcement cast, as it were?
A. The hands on portion was probably more Lieutenant Sippel and Bowe, but Investigator Wiegert and I
were ultimately responsible for what we were going to do.
Q. All right. And you decided how they were going to be employed, these law enforcement assists?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. During the course of the week, do you recall, you mentioned two evidence collection teams; were there other evidence collection teams available at your disposal?
A. Off the top of my head, Sunday we had two primary evidence collection teams made up of Calumet County Sheriff's evidence technicians, Manitowoc County and Manitowoc City.

And then we had the Crime Lab personnel, who I would describe more as roving where needed. And then later in the week, we actually brought in an evidence technician from the Grand Chute Police Department that assisted us.
Q. All right. Now, all this is going on, did you have law enforcement personnel in other parts of the state who were collecting information and providing it to you during the execution on the search warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. And tell us about that.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Objection, it's irrelevant.

ATTORNEY FALLON: It goes to the resource allocation issue and how they went about their business. They keep questioning why it took eight searches, or four days, to complete processing of that. This is -- all goes to that explanation. Now, the hallmark of Fourth Amendment is reasonableness, under the circumstances.

THE COURT: I will let you ask a few questions about it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
A. The primary area in question would have been up in Marinette County where the Avery's have property up there. And many of the Avery's were there on that Saturday, November 5. So I had to -- or I did, allocate special agents to go up there. We had Marinette County personnel up there.

I had special agents running definitely throughout Manitowoc County as well as into other counties, following up leads, reports of this or that. I had many special agents transporting evidence from the Avery property, or Calumet Sheriff's Department, down to Madison Crime

Laboratory, to forensic anthropologists down there. So, yeah, a lot of special DCI personnel was eaten up doing a lot of that too.
Q. Were there other -- What I guess, for lack of a better term, were there other volunteers which -who assisted in the searches?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And who is responsible -- When did they come into the play?
A. For my part, or our part, I'm going to guess mid-week, talking about the week of November 7. Mid to early week, we had -- we realized we had acres and acres and acres of property outside the 40 acre Avery scene that still needed to be walked through and searched.

There was a point in time that we weren't sure we had a body. And we decided to utilize the searchers who were still standing by, you know, hanging around; the civilian searchers that Ryan Hillegas had kind of coordinated. And so we decided to utilize them to walk through some of those areas outside of that 40 acre area accompanied by, again, a member of law enforcement.
Q. All right. Now, were there other neighborhood
canvasses or leads called in by just citizens, that impacted upon the allocation of resources?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. We had leads coming in, like I said, I mentioned the cell phone, someone found a cell found. There were leads coming in that someone said -or people said they saw Teresa or saw Teresa's vehicle; we had to send investigators out to that.

We were finding evidence on the property that would lead investigators to go out; for example, handcuffs or leg irons, and receipts for the purchase of such things, and we sent people out on. We had -- Just lost my train of thought.
Q. Okay. So you had received information, or benefits from some community searchers?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. One second. During the course of executing the initial search warrant here, at some point did you become cognizant of the fact that this was taking several days?
A. Oh, absolutely.
Q. And what, if anything, did you do, or what did you do in recognition of that?
A. Well, if $I$ understand your question correctly, number one, holding the scene.
Q. Right.
A. Number two, attempting to get additional resources and personnel in place.
Q. Right.
A. Number three, we did obtain additional search warrants for various things and, ultimately, mid-week, we obtained another search warrant for the whole scene.
Q. Right. Now, in terms of your experience, have you ever been involved in overseeing the execution of a search warrant this comprehensive?
A. No.
Q. In terms of your experience with the Department of Justice, have you ever been involved in the execution of a search warrant of this magnitude?
A. That I was personally involved with?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all. THE COURT: Mr. Buting.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Let me start right, for the moment, with where you ended. You said you got other search warrants during that week, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is during the period from November 5th to November 12 th, when you had that property under your control, right?
A. Correct.
Q. How many other search warrants did you obtain during that week?
A. Related to the property.
Q. No, related to the case.
A. Related to the case.
Q. Investigation.
A. So you are talking about DNA --
Q. Everything.
A. -- search warrants. I can't give you a number.
Q. Dozens, would that be right?
A. It may be as many as dozens, yes.
Q. So you were going back and getting warrants throughout the week, applying for warrants related to this case, dozens of times?
A. I just -- I don't know about the dozens, but, yes numerous times.
Q. Say as many as 20?
A. That would probably be fair, yes.
Q. Okay. But it wasn't until late in the day on November 9th, that you went back and asked for an additional warrant to allow you to search Mr. Avery's residence and garage; isn't that right?
A. Now, the 9th being Wednesday, if I'm correct?
Q. Yes.
A. I believe it was Wednesday, yes.
Q. 4:40 in the afternoon, I believe, would you --
A. I didn't -- I don't believe I went back and got that search warrant. I think I served it, but I didn't go get it.
Q. All right. So, you served it at 4:40 p.m. on November 9th?
A. Without seeing that, I can't say.
Q. Well, let's see if $I$ can find it for you. I'm showing you a copy of the warrant, just to refresh your recollection.
A. This warrant shows it was endorsed on November 9, at 4:40 p.m.
Q. All right. And that warrant was intended to allow you to continue searching Mr. Steven Avery's property, as well as the other areas in this 40 acre parcel, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You were worried that the original warrant from November 5 th was going to expire, as a matter of time, was going to expire with the five day statutory limit, correct?
A. I believe so, it wasn't my decision. My decision -- That wasn't my decision.
Q. And that warrant on November 9th -- I can show it to you again if you would need to, but that warrant contained more information, gathered through your intelligence and your investigation, to support a probable cause finding, than was in the original warrant from November 9th, correct?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: The original one from the 9th or the 5th?
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ I'm sorry, from November 5th, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So as you -- Throughout the week, the week November 6th, November 7th, November 8th, you talked about how you kept gathering all this additional information, right?
A. Yes.
Q. There would have been nothing to prevent you from
going back to a judge, on Saturday, November 6th, with new information, saying $I$ want another warrant to be able to go back into Mr. Avery's trailer or garage, correct?
A. The only constraints would have been time and personnel, but you're probably right, yes.
Q. Or November 7th?
A. Yes.
Q. Or November 8th?
A. The 8th, we served numerous other warrants and that would have been a tough day, probably.
Q. Well, you are serving numerous warrants. You are going to a judge. You are filling out applications for other warrants, throughout that time, up to 20 different warrants.

Nothing would have prevented you, on those occasions, from getting another warrant to allow you to go into Mr. Avery's house a second time, or a third time, or a fourth time, or a eighth time; isn't that right?
A. Except the fact that we had the scene.
Q. Except what?
A. The fact that we were still holding that scene. In our estimation, this was ongoing.
Q. You had no lack of personnel, to go to a court
and get search warrants, from November 5th
through the 9th; isn't that right?
A. Yes. And that strained our personnel, getting those search warrants, phone search warrants.
Q. But you did it, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. They were there, in front of a judge?
A. Yes.
Q. And all it would have taken was another affidavit
to present to the judge, saying, we think that
there's reason why we need to go back into Mr. Avery's residence. That's all you would have needed in order to get another warrant from a judge, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You could have done that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You chose not to?
A. Yes.
Q. You believed that this warrant gave you cart blanche to go in and out of his residence and trailer as many times as you wanted, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, meanwhile, you had that property secured from the owner's and residents, the people who
lived and worked on that property, right?
A. Yes.
Q. For one solid week, the owners of the property and the people who lived on that property, were denied access to that property, right?
A. Yes.
Q. This was a business that was completely shut down while you had it secured, right?
A. Correct.
Q. There were people who had to feed -- who had dogs and pets on the property, who were unable to get to it, right?
A. Yes. That was another one of our concerns, taking care of them. And dealing with the property owners and trying to help them get things off their property. I dealt with the Averys numerous times on the phone, taking them into the property, helping them get things, yes. It wasn't my intent to deprive them of the property. We wanted to get that back to them as soon as we could.
Q. But you did, in fact, hold it for an entire week?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, let's talk about the personnel you had. Give me a number, how many officers -- how many
law enforcement officers, during that week, did you have searching that property? Forget the firemen. Let's just talk law enforcement officers.
A. On any given day, or during the course of a week?
Q. During the course of a week, over 100?
A. I would say over 100 .
Q. Easily over 100 , right?
A. Yes.
Q. This was a high profile case, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. This was a case that was in the media everyday, if not throughout the day, correct?
A. Certainly.
Q. This Toyota RAV 4, when it was brought to the Crime Lab, they dropped everything and started working on it right away, didn't they?
A. Yes.
Q. And we know how backed up the Crime Lab is on other cases, right?
A. Yes.
Q. This case took priority for them?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this case took priority for you?
A. Well, certainly. And one of the reasons was to
release that scene as soon as we could.
Q. How many DCI agents did you have working on this case between November 5 th and November 12th.
A. I can't answer that, but on and off, probably upwards of 10 .
Q. Okay. So you had at least 10 DCI agents available to you during that week?
A. Yes.
Q. And are each of those agents -- did they have evidence collection training?
A. No.
Q. Any of them have evidence collection training?
A. To my knowledge, no, but I'm not sure.
Q. Does DCI have anybody else in the state who collects evidence?
A. I'm sure there are agents that collect evidence. The arson agents would, obviously, collect evidence. Have they been certified? Have they gone to specific evidence training, or schools? I don't know.
Q. This is the State Department of Justice we're talking about, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Criminal investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. How many agents work for that department, in the state?
A. Approximately 60, I'm not sure.
Q. And they go through a lot of training, don't they?
A. Yes.
Q. Probably more than your average police officer?
A. Yes.
Q. And you are telling me that those 10 agents, that you had at your disposal, were not capable of collecting evidence from a crime scene?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's argumentative, the question, did he have trained agents at his available disposal? That's one question, but the way the question is asked --

THE COURT: Well, I will ask you to rephrase the question. I think what he's getting at is relevant, but.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Did you have -- Is it your testimony that you had -- that those 10 agents that you actually used, forget about the other 50 available somewhere in the state, but those 10 agents, are you telling me that they were not capable, trained enough, to collect any evidence?
A. What I'm telling you is, a lot of the agents that

I had at my disposal were transferring evidence to and from Madison, around Madison. Many of the agents I utilized, I utilized for interview purposes. Because DCI agents, in my estimation at that time, would be better used to go out in the field and do interviews because they're --
Q. Answer my question.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge --
A. I'm getting to it.

ATTORNEY BUTING: -- I would ask you to direct the witness to answer my question.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If you would let the witness finish his statement, you might get his answer.

ATTORNEY BUTING: It's a simple question; he can answer it, or he can say no.

THE COURT: Well, there is some ambiguity, in trained to collect evidence. I have heard references to evidence technicians who are apparently trained in a special way to collect certain types of evidence; and then there's other officers who just collect evidence. So make your question a little more specific, and then he can answer it directly.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ As part of the training of
a police officer, you are trained to -- in crime scene evidence searches, right?
A. Yes.
Q. All police officers go through that, do they not?
A. Yes.
Q. Every single one?
A. Yes.
Q. And they are taught how to avoid contaminating evidence by touching it with their hands, or smearing fingerprints, all of that sort of thing, correct?
A. They are taught the basics.
Q. All right. And you had a hundred police officers at your disposal that week, who would have that training; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then, some also have more specialized training. Did they get some sort of certificate that says they are an evidence collector, or what?
A. When I went to the training, you got a certificate that you attended and completed that week long training.
Q. And you are a qualified evidence technician, are you not?
A. I went to that training.
Q. Well, are you qualified to collect evidence, or not?
A. I believe I'm qualified to collect certain amounts of evidence, certainly.
Q. And during this week, isn't it true that you have spent a total of 55 minutes in Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. I don't know.
Q. Isn't it true that you never even entered his trailer until November 10th, at 5:05 p.m., when you did a brief walk through, looking for hacksaw blades?
A. No.
Q. I'm sorry, isn't it true that's when you entered the garage for the first time?
A. That may be true, yes.
Q. And isn't it true that the first time you entered the residence of Mr. Avery, you personally, was November 12th, at 8:20 a.m., and you left at 8:45 a.m., and seized some direct TV documents?
A. No.
Q. That's not true?
A. No.
Q. Well, what is true? You weren't there that day?
A. I was there that day, but that's not the first day I was there.
Q. What's the first day you ever entered his residence?
A. I believe Tuesday, when a key was found. We went there and viewed that, entered the residence to view that.
Q. Okay. And you just looked at what they found, and left?
A. Essentially, yes.
Q. After that, the next time was this November 12 th date?
A. To actually go in the trailer, probably. I may have been outside looking in. I know I was, standing on the porch, looking in the door, but I did not go in.
Q. And this November 12th, just so we're clear, that's the day you released the whole property, right?
A. Yes.
Q. That was a week later?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you ever collect any evidence from his trailer?
A. I assisted in the collection of a small tin of
ashes. I didn't take it, but I assisted in that.

That was on Saturday, the 12 th.
Q. So that was a week later?
A. Yes.
Q. Is Investigator Wiegert a trained evidence collection, or at least as much as you are?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know how long or how many times Investigator Wiegert went into Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. No.
Q. So, out of that hundred police officers that you had, that included the State Patrol, right?
A. Yes.
Q. State patrol has evidence collection teams, do they not?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, you utilized them in this case, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ask whether they could provide any assistance to you as evidence collection technicians?
A. We wanted them for searchers and to identify potential evidence, after which we would send collection teams to take the evidence.
Q. Well, you just told us that you were strained for resources, to collect evidence, isn't that what you testified about earlier?
A. I would say that we were.
Q. Let's go into that. Did you ask any of the State Patrol officers, that you were working with, whether they were trained and capable evidence technicians to collect evidence?
A. State patrol has no criminal authority and we weren't going to use them to collect evidence.
Q. Did you ask them whether they had any --
A. No.
Q. -- experience? Okay. How about Calumet County Sheriff's Department; how many officers did they have who could collect evidence?
A. That we wanted to collect evidence?
Q. How many officers did they have, on their department, who were capable of collecting evidence?
A. I don't know.
Q. So, when you say you're strained and you didn't have enough resources, it's because you didn't even know what your resources were capable of doing; is that it?
A. No, it's because we had a certain type of
individual that we wanted to collect the evidence, that was trained, that had experience.
Q. Oh, you mean evidence people from Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Calumet, Manitowoc, Manitowoc City, Crime Lab.
Q. Well, in fact, you sent in Manitowoc County officers to search Mr. Avery's residence?
A. Yes.
Q. After you knew that Manitowoc County had recused themselves, or stepped down as the lead investigators of this case, because of their civil lawsuit that Mr. Avery had filed?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Did you decide to send Lieutenant Lenk into Mr. Avery's apartment -- or trailer?
A. Whether it was me personally, or a combined decision with Investigator Wiegert, I'm not sure.
Q. But between the two of you, you had made that decision to send Lieutenant Lenk in?
A. Yes.
Q. And to send Sergeant Colborn in?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you know, at that time, that Lieutenant Lenk had been deposed as a witness in the 36 million dollar lawsuit that Mr. Avery had filed just
three weeks earlier?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance. This line is irrelevant.

ATTORNEY BUTING: He brought it up on direct examination. He asked about the decision making and who and why he decided to bring people in. I'm entitled to cross-examine him on that.

ATTORNEY FALLON: The question, there was a civil lawsuit pending, has little to do with the collection of the evidence.

THE COURT: I don't know that to this motion it has much probative value, but if -- there were a few questions on direct, so $I$ will allow a few on cross.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ So you did not know, at that time, that Lieutenant Lenk had been deposed as a witness in this lawsuit?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. He did not tell you?
A. No.
Q. And did you know that Sergeant Colborn had also been a witness, deposed in Mr. Avery's lawsuit, just three weeks before you sent him into his
house to search?
A. No.
Q. Sergeant Colburn didn't tell you that either, did he?
A. No.
Q. And if you had known that, would you have sent those two officers into his house to search?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, speculation, relevance, argumentative.

THE COURT: For purposes of this hearing, on this motion, $I$ will sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ Now, Sergeant Colburn is just a patrol supervisor, right?
A. To my knowledge.
Q. He is not a detective, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And yet you put him into a team to go search Mr. Avery's residence, right?
A. To my knowledge, he has had experience and training in evidence collection.
Q. Using this patrol supervisor as a benchmark, how many other officers were that qualified to also have gone in and searched for, or collect evidence? Strike that search for, let's just leave it with collect evidence.
A. How many other officers would have been qualified to search for evidence?
Q. No, to collect it.
A. To collect it. That determination was made on their experience and training, not whether they were a road sergeant, not whether they were a trooper, not whether they were a special agent.
Q. How many of these 100 officers had sufficient training to collect evidence at a crime scene?
A. I don't know.
Q. Why do you not know?
A. I wasn't directly involved in that decision, when they put the teams together, to determine who was going to be on those teams.
Q. You said you were a co-leader.
A. Yes.
Q. Of this entire investigation, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you just told us -- or tried to explain why it took so long was because you didn't have enough resources, right?
A. At times, yes.
Q. Is it your testimony, then, that you did not even ask these 100 officers, what degree of training they had, to see whether -- how many of them
might have actually been able and capable of collecting evidence?
A. I don't know. For example, the first night, I was assigned with the Crime Lab and the wrecker operator, to go down and secure the RAV 4. During that period of time, Mark was up -Investigator Wiegert was up at the command post organizing the evidence collection team. So, him, along with Lieutenants Bowe and Sippel, would have been more involved in a specific detail of organizing and putting together those teams.
Q. All right. So you don't really know then, you would have to correct your testimony -- prior testimony -- about whether you had any personal knowledge of how much resources you did or didn't have, from law enforcement, who could have collected evidence?
A. I don't know if I have to correct my testimony. I'm looking at what happened when teams were put together. I mean, I'm in that command post, and if there's officers that had had training, I would anticipate that they would have come forward and told us that they had training. My assumption was that we had the people who had the
training experience, that we wanted to do that task.
Q. How many teams did you have -- did you actually put together? How many different teams did you put together to search? Let's just talk about the buildings on that property.
A. Again, if we're talking search teams, or teams that were going in to collect evidence, these are two different things, in my mind. My evidence collection teams, to my knowledge, there were two primary teams earlier in the week, a third team if you count the Crime Laboratory.

And then, when we started processing the bones and the burn pit area, it would have been our arson guys, DCI arson guys, along with someone collecting it from Calumet County.
Q. So how many of those -- You say your arson guys, those are DCI agents who are trained to collect evidence?
A. They are arson investigators and they collect evidence related to arson, so I would imagine, yes, they are trained and experienced in that field.
Q. And how many of them were on the scene?
A. This would have been mid-weekish, probably, when
we had them come, had upwards of probably four or five, at any given moment, four maybe.
Q. All right. You said you had the Manitowoc City Police out there at the scene as well, right?
A. On Sunday, for sure.
Q. All right. How many officers from that department did you use?
A. For evidence collection, I think two.
Q. So there were evidence collectors qualified, and employed, and working for the Manitowoc City Police?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had them out there on the scene?
A. Yes.
Q. What about Two Rivers Police Department, did they have any trained evidence collection people?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you bring any of them out to the scene?
A. I think they may have had some personnel out there; in what capacity they acted, I'm not sure.
Q. Did you ever ask any of these law enforcement departments who had come to your aid and assistance, if they would have some evidence collection teams that they could assist you -that they could loan you and give you to work on
this case?
A. Yes, I went out and obtained the Grand Chute evidence technician to come over.
Q. But you didn't ask that of Two Rivers; is that what you're saying?
A. No, not me personally.
Q. And what about Mishicot Police, were also there?
A. I don't know.
Q. You mentioned some other police departments from Calumet County?
A. Some of the small police departments there allowed us to use personnel, I believe, but that was mainly for perimeter and scene security, and stuff like that.
Q. You said this was the most comprehensive, biggest search you had ever done, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever go to your supervisor, call your supervisor and say, hey, I need more evidence collectors out here, give me everybody you've got?
A. We talked about it.
Q. Did you ever ask for it?
A. She said I could have the personnel that I had needed, I mean within reason.
Q. All right. You basically had the whole department, within reason, at your disposal, right?
A. Right. And I took what I could get.
Q. So, in truth, you had plenty of officers capable?
A. If you want to take officers off of scenes, off of other searches, yeah. But those things had to be done too. Interviews had to be done. It's not that these officers were all sitting around in a carton of eggs, waiting to be used. They were being utilized in other areas.
Q. I understand that. And I'm not criticizing that. But what I'm questioning you about, though, is you have a search warrant that commands you to, forthwith, search these places. You don't have all day, or all week, or whatever. You don't have an unlimited time to execute that warrant; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. You know that when the judge says you can go onto someone's property, and go into their house and search, you are to do that with all due dispatch, right?
A. Correct.
Q. That's a priority?
A. Yes.
Q. And that if you have officers available to assist you and do that, you should do that, right?
A. I believe I did.
Q. Mr. Avery's trailer, Mr. Steven Avery's trailer, can you tell me about how big that is?
A. Kitchen, living room, hallway, bedroom, bathroom, another bedroom, all average size.
Q. It's a single width trailer, right?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. It's not a double-wide, right?
A. Probably 12 to 14 feet wide.
Q. Okay. And maybe, what, 30 feet long?
A. No, probably longer than that.
Q. Forty, maybe; does that sound fair?
A. Forty, fifty feet, I'm not sure.
Q. But it's a regular common size house trailer?
A. Yeah, what you would commonly associate with a house trailer.
Q. On the evening of November 5th, you had 4 trained police officers going through Mr. Avery's trailer, for $21 / 2,3$ hours, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had no concern about the capabilities of that team of officers, to collect and seize any
evidence, right?
A. Other than what I mentioned on direct.
Q. What?
A. Other than what I mentioned on direct.
Q. I'm sorry. I don't know what you are referring to?
A. Lighting conditions, weather conditions, exhaustion.
Q. Well, did any of them tell you they were exhausted?
A. Independent recollection, I don't remember.
Q. Okay. But, so to your knowledge, none of those, Lenk, Colburn, Remiker, or --
A. Tyson.
Q. -- or Tyson, came to you and said, Hey, boss, I'm beat; I'm just exhausted; $I$ have to quit for the night?
A. When they were done, yes. At 10, 10:30, whatever time they cleared that.
Q. Did anybody of them ever come up to you and say, we just got to quit, we're just too tired?
A. Did they ever say that, no.
Q. All right. This Steven Avery trailer had no attic, did it?
A. No.
Q. Had no basement?
A. There may have been a crawl area, crawl space, but I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. So if we take the dimensions of about 14 feet wide, by 50, that's maybe 700 square feet?
A. If you say so.
Q. That's a really small area for a search, isn't it?
A. Depends what you are looking for.
Q. Well, by Saturday, November 5th, you had cadaver dogs on that property, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. You were searching not only for Teresa alive, you also were contemplating the possibility she was not alive, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So your investigation, in part, was a potential homicide investigation, even then, was it not?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever take any of those cadaver dogs into Mr. Avery's trailer?
A. Yes.
Q. And did the dog alert on any part of his trailer? He did not, did he?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. And you said the dogs were kind of all over the property, right?
A. Yes.
Q. This was Saturday, November 5th?
A. I was aware, because $I$ was with one dog, where we went, and I know that there were some other dogs that swept through the buildings, and I believe they were then utilized to sweep through the salvage yard.
Q. Okay. And because you were a co-leader, you would be told if there were any areas where these dogs were alerted?
A. Myself or Investigator Wiegert.
Q. Okay. And the whole purpose of these dogs is that they are trained to be able to -- I don't know whether it's scent, or whatever training it is, but they can assist in locating blood, as well as deceased bodies?
A. The theory -- Yeah, the theory is, human blood or cadavers.
Q. And I think you used the dogs only that one day, Saturday?
A. No.
Q. You used them throughout the?
A. They were brought back on other occasions, along with bloodhound.
Q. And isn't it true, that none of those dogs ever alerted on a burn pit, behind Mr. Avery's detached garage?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance. THE COURT: Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: He's talking about ability to search, and where to search, and what his resources are, and he brought up the dogs on direct.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Just because -- I don't see how that's relevant to the multiple execution theory or the resource issue. The fact that they used dogs, yes, that's admitted, they used dogs. So what. Whether the dog hit on the burn pit or not, how does that add to the -- why does that make something more probative, more relevant, more material?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Then why were we bringing it up in the first place. It's a resource issue that I can explore on cross-examination.

THE COURT: It's a resource that he used the dogs. And the questions about how often he used them and what they were used for, is fine. But
whether or not he hit on this particular case, again, that's an issue that may be highly relevant for the trial, but $I$ don't think it's particularly probative on this motion. So, I'm sustaining the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ Let me ask it this way. When the dogs would alert on something, that would cause you to devote some resources, you or Wiegert, to devote some police resources to then start searching, right?
A. Certainly.
Q. And that would, potentially, include evidence collection officers if, upon search, they found something that looked like it was of evidentiary value, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you talked about, for instance, a suspected clandestine grave site, right?
A. Yes.
Q. The dogs alerted on that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you took a team over and you spent some time working on that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it ended up being -- In fact, you were very
seriously thinking that this was potentially a new grave site and that Teresa's body might even be in there, right?
A. Yes.
Q. So you pulled a bunch of people over there to go look at it?
A. To deal with it, yes.
Q. Okay. And then it ultimately determined -- was determined to be nothing of value, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So tell me, during that week, did you have to take your resources, your evidence collection team, to the burn pit behind Mr. Avery's garage, before November 8th? On the 5th, 6th, or the 7th, did you have to take an evidence collection team to the burn pit behind Mr. Avery's garage, because a dog had alerted?
A. No.
Q. Thank you. Let me go back for just a minute. We were talking about search warrants you could have gotten. One of the search warrants you did get was for Mr. Avery's computer, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You believed at that time that the original warrant was not sufficient to allow you to seize
the computer; is that why you went back?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, mischaracterization. He's already testified it was not his decision to seek a renewal of the warrant. ATTORNEY BUTING: This is not a renewal. This is a different warrant.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm sorry, is this the November 9th or --

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, this is the computer.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Oh, I'm sorry, then I withdraw it.

THE COURT: I will allow the question. ATTORNEY BUTING: Could you read it back, please.
(Last question read back.)
A. I would have to speculate. I don't think I was involved in that decision, as it related to the computer.
Q. Who was?
A. I would believe Investigator Wiegert, as the other co-lead investigator, probably dealt with that.
Q. Did you ever see the affidavit, the application for that warrant?
A. Yes.
Q. And that included -- I mean, that was a warrant to permit a specific search within Mr. Avery's residence, right? Specific item?
A. I have seen it, but $I$ don't really remember. There's a warrant to seize the computer, I imagine, and to search said computer.
Q. And there would have been nothing to prevent you or Investigator Wiegert, to, at that same time, seek an additional warrant to allow you to search his trailer?
A. I imagine.
Q. And that was November 7th?
A. Seventh or eighth. Obtained on the seventh?
Q. I believe so.
A. Okay. And served on the 8th, I believe, yes.
Q. These checkpoints that were set up were maintained either in their original spot, or in some similar close area, for the entire week of November 5 th to the 12 th, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Around the clock, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have a guard stationed outside Mr. Avery's trailer, around the clock, for the entire week?
A. I believe there was. Again, that would be more a question to the Lieutenants, Sippel or Bowe. Partly from the fact that that was one of the corners of the property so, ultimately, there was someone there from almost minute one, because they had someone on each corner of that scene.
Q. So you had no concern about the -- somebody -- or somehow the scene being compromised while you went off to go get an additional warrant; that wouldn't have been a concern, would it?
A. That's correct.
Q. When I say additional warrant, I mean an additional -- potential, additional warrant for Mr. Avery's residence or garage?
A. Correct.
Q. You also got telephone search warrants, telephone record search warrants?
A. Subpoenas and search warrants, yes.
Q. And DNA?
A. Telephone records.
Q. Right, telephone records. And DNA search warrants?
A. Yes.
Q. Seeking -- In other words, requiring individuals to submit to examinations for DNA samples and all
of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, on November 9th -- I'm sorry, November 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 9th, you permitted or, indeed, instructed Manitowoc Sheriff Department officers to go in and out of Mr . Avery's residence, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But after that second warrant was obtained, at 4:40 p.m., November 9th, suddenly for the remaining three days, no Manitowoc officers were allowed to go into Mr. Avery's residence, or directed to go into Mr. Avery's residence?
A. I don't know, if it wasn't.
Q. You wouldn't dispute that, that there was -that, in fact, after a second warrant was obtained, you no longer had any Manitowoc officers go into his residence?
A. Do I not -- No, I don't dispute that.
Q. Did something happen? Were you -- Somebody tell you, Hey, maybe we better stop using Manitowoc to go into his office -- or his residence?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did you and Investigator Wiegert ever talk about it and say, Hey, maybe we better stop using the Manitowoc Sheriff people to go into Mr. Avery's
residence?
A. No.
Q. This rain that occurred on November 5th, what time did that start?
A. There was an initial lighter rain, which would have been in the afternoon, between 3 and 4, some time. Then it bypassed, or stopped for a while, and I can't tell you when exactly it started at this time. Probably toward dark, after dark, right in there.
Q. Now, there was nothing to stop your searchers from searching the buildings while it's raining outside, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you know, Mr. Avery's residence and garage weren't leaking rain -- or leaking water during the rain or anything of that sort, right?
A. Right.
Q. So, you can't say that the rain somehow prevented your searchers from completing their search of Mr. Avery's residence that night, can you?
A. I factored it as a condition, when I'm determining whether or not $I$ felt that search was adequately done and complete.

Number one, it's darker when it's
overcast and raining.
Number two, it's affecting -- I mean, there's wet, people going in and out of the trailer are bringing in wetness and stuff. I factored it.
Q. Well, the officers that you sent in there, or you and Wiegert sent in there, the four officers, into this little 700 square foot trailer, stayed in that trailer for $21 / 2$ hours; they didn't come in and out, did they?
A. I don't know. If they had to go out to their vehicle to get collection devices, or storage things, $I$ don't know.
Q. Well, indeed, the fact that it was raining, would have been a benefit to you, to assist you to -or to allow you to more quickly complete the searches of the buildings, because you could use all of your officers in those buildings, instead of spread out over 40 acres; isn't that right?
A. That evening, that's correct. We didn't have a lot of officers that evening.
Q. Well, how many officers did you have that evening? This is the first day that this thing is discovered, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You had helicopters flying over, doing aerial flyovers, right?
A. I think so, I'm not sure.
Q. You had officers coming in on their days off, right?
A. Yes.
Q. This was big news. We got this vehicle here. We're going at it, right?
A. Whatever officers we could get in that amount of time, we got, yes. Some were sent up to Marinette, some were out doing interviews that were important to do, and some were there.
Q. And were some also assigned to search the shop?
A. At some time during this search, yes.
Q. Were there other teams, on that night of November 5th, available to search Mr. Avery's residence, other than the three Manitowoc officers you chose to put in there?
A. My answer would be speculative, and it would be, no. I was busy down in the pit. The decision would have been made by Mark -- or Investigator Wiegert that night. I knew our resources, our personnel, were not that many, so my answer would be, yes, it's speculation.
Q. So then your focus at the beginning was on

Mr. Avery's residence, of all those buildings, that was your initial focus?
A. Yes.
Q. That's where you wanted to send the team in, right?
A. That's where we started.
Q. And it was important for to you find -- At that point, you were certainly considering this to be a homicide investigation, potentially?
A. We were thinking dirty.
Q. Okay. That's a yes, is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so those officers were sent in there to look for any possible evidence, including trace evidence, that might indicate that Teresa Halbach was killed in that trailer?
A. Certainly.
Q. And they did, in fact, seize trace evidence, didn't they?
A. Yes.
Q. And they did, in fact, get down on their hands and knees and use lint rollers, and swab stains off of the floor, and all of that, didn't they?
A. Whether they got down on hands and knees, I don't know, but I would assume, yes.
Q. And when the Crime Lab told you that they thought there was maybe some other trace evidence that might be worth searching, or seizing, you didn't go and get a warrant on that basis, did you?
A. No.
Q. Nothing would have prevented you from going to a judge and saying, Hey, now $I$ have got some additional evidence $I$ can present to you. Crime Lab has gone in with their expertise and they found this spot or that spot, that should be seized?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, asked and answered.

THE COURT: I don't recall if that specific question has been asked and answered. I will allow it.
A. No, nothing would have prevented that, other than I was still holding that scene.
Q. Now, you mentioned that as part of this intelligence and information you were getting, you specifically mentioned that Mr. Avery's story, initial story, was somehow inconsistent?
A. Yes.
Q. Give me examples of that, at that point I'm talking about. Give me examples of what was
inconsistent about his story.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, foundational, relevance.

ATTORNEY BUTING: He's saying as part of his decision making, it's taking --

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. That was given as one explanation for the actions of the witness, so $I$ will allow the question.
A. Steven Avery's initial statement to, I believe Investigator -- or Sergeant Colborn, was that he never left his trailer, and that Teresa Halbach never came up to the trailer, he never spoke with Teresa Halbach.

Ultimately, we received information that Teresa Halbach was seen walking up to his trailer. We received information later, obviously, that he did talk to her.
Q. So you are saying, in his initial story, he said he never talked to her?
A. His initial statement to Sergeant Colborn was that he never spoke with Teresa Halbach. He never left the trailer. He watched her out of the window, of the trailer.
Q. Okay. And some subsequent information was that -- I'm sorry, you said someone saw her
walking up --
A. Subsequent interviews indicated that she was seen walking from her vehicle up to the trailer, and then that individual lost sight of her, and then when he went outside, she was gone, and the vehicle was still there.
Q. Who was this?
A. Bobby Dassey.
Q. Okay. And Bobby Dassey at one point was a possible suspect too, wasn't he?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Buting)~ On November 5th, when you got there, you said it was around 2 o'clock?
A. Yes.
Q. And you directed -- You drove down to the southeast corner of the property where the RAV 4 was located?
A. By the car crusher, yes.
Q. And did you walk over to the RAV 4?
A. No, I viewed it from that distance, at that time.
Q. At some point, did you walk over to the RAV 4?
A. Yes.
Q. I believe you said you looked inside with a flashlight.
A. Yes.
Q. You were looking for -- to see if anybody was in there?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you see any blood?
A. No.
Q. Did you check the doors?
A. No.
Q. Did you touch it at all?
A. No.
Q. You put a tarp over it?
A. Yes.
Q. How long was that tarp on there?
A. No more than a half hour, probably.
Q. Do you know whether the tarp was on there when there were flyovers?
A. I don't know.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have no other questions at this time.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take our lunch break at this time, and resume at 1:15. (Noon recess taken.)

THE COURT: At this time we'll go back on the record. Mr. Fassbender, you are still under oath, and I believe Mr. Fallon is up for his
redirect.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Right, just a couple questions.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Now, as far as you know, in terms of utilizing personnel from other jurisdictions, Kucharski, Tyson, and Riemer are from Calumet County Sheriffs Office?
A. Yes.
Q. And they were placed on the evidence collection teams because they are evidence technicians?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, in terms of the Division of Criminal Investigation and the Wisconsin Department of Justice, in cases of homicides or other major offenses, the Crime Lab is, in fact, the evidence technicians or evidence collectors in those types of cases?
A. Yes. If I go to a homicide, I get called to a homicide, our evidence techs are the Crime Lab, that's who we take.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have for this witness.

THE COURT: All right, anything else?

ATTORNEY BUTING: No questions.
THE COURT: Witness is excused.
ATTORNEY FALLON: State at this time would call Lieutenant Kelly Sippel.

THE COURT: Very well.
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
LIEUTENANT KELLY SIPPEL, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Kelly Sippel, S-i-p-p-e-l.
THE COURT: Counsel, before we proceed, is everybody in compliance with the sequestration arrangement here? Are there any other --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, I only have one other witness and I believe he is out in the hall.

THE COURT: Okay.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Where are you employed?
A. Calumet County Sheriff's Department.
Q. How long have you been employed?
A. Since October 21st, 1981.
Q. What rank do you currently hold?
A. Lieutenant.
Q. How long have you been a lieutenant?
A. Since '94.
Q. All right. Prior to that, what duties or rank had you held?
A. Patrol officer, patrol duties, related to street level activities.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, can I interrupt for one second?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: With regard to sequestration, I see that Investigator Wiegert is in back. I understand the State is not intending to call him; however, there may still be a possibility I would -- It's unlikely, but it's still possible I might call him after this, and I would ask that he be sequestered.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I can ask him to leave. He's right, I wasn't going to call him.

THE COURT: Mr. Wiegert, we'll ask you to leave the courtroom then.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Directing your attention to the events of November 5th, 2005, were you called upon to assist in the execution of a search warrant at the Avery property, located here in

Manitowoc County?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. All right. Approximately what time did you first arrive upon the scene?
A. About 3:20 p.m., on the 5th.
Q. What were your duties?
A. Upon arriving at the scene, I was initially put with two other Manitowoc County investigators to assist in doing a sweep of the buildings on the property, in an attempt to locate the potential victim and/or other civilians that still may be on the property, to secure them off the property.
Q. All right. And how long did that particular duty take you, estimated time?
A. That went until about 5:30, 5:40 in the evening, on the 5th.
Q. All right. And once those duties were completed, what was your next assignment relative to the execution of the warrant?
A. At that point in time, $I$ began to contact additional officers and staff from our department, in an attempt to secure and lock down the property, and start initiating shifts for the upcoming hours.
Q. All right. And so would it be fair to say that
you were assigned, or in charge of perimeter security?
A. That would be correct, of the inner perimeter.
Q. All right. Just so that we're clear, what would be the inner perimeter; first directing your attention to Exhibit 18, which is on the easel resting on the jury box?
A. We took the inner perimeter utilizing the roadway at the top of the map. If you would like I can go over.
Q. You can have that, $I$ think we have a laser pointer there for you.
A. Above this roadway, there is a fence line located here.
Q. And you're pointing to the roadway which goes east, west across --
A. Travels east and west, due north would be to the top of the photograph.
Q. All right.
A. We also had the roadway here, but we had another fence line or berm, a property divider, basically.
Q. Now, you are referring to the east?
A. East.
Q. East of the property.
A. And then the western half of the property, there was another berm, and beyond that berm, it went into some open gravel pit areas. And pretty much the same across the bottom half of the property here. And then we made a containment within this general area.
Q. All right. Now, briefly, if I may direct your attention to Exhibit 19, which is behind you. And can you locate Exhibit 18, within the confines of Exhibit 19?
A. This would be the property in question right here.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of the perimeter security here, starting Saturday night, what efforts did you undertake?
A. Prior to my arrival on the 5th, the vehicle had been located in this lower southeast quadrant of the property. We had assigned a deputy to stay at this location during the processing and the removal of that vehicle.

We also had about -- I believe it was around 1:30 in the afternoon, they had assigned an officer to stay in this quadrant of the property, in regards to the buildings here. That officer was relieved at about 1430 hours by
another officer. And then --
Q. I'm going to stop you right there. The last quadrant, that would be the northwest quadrant?
A. This would be the northwest corner of the property.
Q. All right. That's the area for which Mr. Avery's trailer, and garage, and his sister, Barbara's, residences are located?
A. That would be correct.
Q. All right. Please continue, you said after that area.
A. I had -- At this point, we had set up a command post at the intersection here, coming off of Avery Road and where these two roads come off of that, heading south. And at approximately 7 or 8 o'clock in the evening, a sergeant from the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department informed me of the area located in the southwest quadrant, that vehicular traffic may be able to get into the property.
Q. All right. Now, you just pointed to Exhibit 19, so you are referencing what would be the southwest corner of the property?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Once you learned that, what steps did
you take?
A. Both him and I, then, went around to that, to take a look at what kind of access or easement there would be into this particular area, and determined that the vehicular access would basically incur in this corner. At that point in time, we made arrangements to cover that corner, as well, with an officer.
Q. All right. And when you covered the area with an officer, can you tell us what you meant by that?
A. We placed -- We were able to place a patrol officer at that location for the remainder of the week, $24 / 7$.
Q. All right. Now, if I may, for a moment, Exhibit 18 is a photograph with a flight date of November 11th. I draw your attention, first of all, to this corner, on the southwest side. Do you recognize what is depicted there?
A. Yeah, this would be one of the units or patrol vehicle that we used for staffing that corner.
Q. Now, is that -- would that be a typical location where a perimeter security was set up for that southwest corner?
A. That is correct. There was -- there is a -- this line that you see here is an old rail, overhead
rail.
Q. Yes.
A. The unit would either be on one side, or the other side, of that rail.
Q. Now, with respect to the upper quadrant, there is a vehicle, which is depicted right here, underneath the sign, Plymouth Voyager?
A. That's correct.
Q. Or the arrow points elsewhere, what is that vehicle?
A. That is also a patrol unit that was used. Those cars change periodically depending upon who was covering that corner. But during the day we would have the officer back up and allow the investigators to do their work throughout this area. Then at night we would move that patrol car closer into the buildings, in about this area here.
Q. All right. So, you are pointing to an area which is directly in front of the residence of Steven Avery, the garage; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Now, how about in the southeast corner, were there evidence of -- on this photograph, for instance -- where it's
exemplifying perimeter security placement?
A. That's correct. We have a patrol car located right here. This car would utilize this corridor through here, through the day, and through the evening, to cover this berm area.

This is a area that goes up, be estimating 60 feet, an upward climb, basically, to a hay field. And there was a bit of berm back here. And he was -- he or she was able to cover this corner and watch those berms.
Q. All right.
A. At night, the first two days, we actually had that lit even.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Could we just let the record reflect that when he was pointing to the southeast corner, talking about a berm along the south edge of the property; where were you talking?

THE WITNESS: There's two berms. There's a higher berm of approximately 60 feet or better, located along this edge. And then there's a shallower --

ATTORNEY BUTING: This edge being the eastern edge?

THE WITNESS: That would be the eastern edge.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And then along this southern edge here, there is another berm that goes up approximately anywhere from -- it varies, you know, 10, 15, 20 feet and then drops down into another quarry, an actual old gravel or sand pit quarry. ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ All right. And in terms of the northeast corner, what was happening there?
A. The northeast corner, on Saturday, the 5th, right about in this area, we had a command post set up.
Q. Just for identification purposes, that's an area to the right of the exhibit sticker and slightly north --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- of the number three?
A. It would be just adjacent to the number three. You can just kind of catch it on the photograph, where we had the command post.
Q. All right. And now, in terms of perimeter security, were there any other command post locations, or anything that were -- that you utilized?
A. Saturday we, and in through Saturday evening, we
utilized this command post. And Sunday morning the Calumet County Sheriff's Department brought in our own command post and we reorganized at this location here, utilizing this command post for the investigators, and this command post became command security, basically, for the property.
Q. All right. Would you put your initials on that particular -- All right. Thank you. And you put your initials -- For the record, what initials did you put on there?
A. K.S.
Q. K.S. Thank you. All right. Now, in terms of the perimeter security here, who else, if anyone, assisted you in performing those duties?
A. The interior perimeter was pretty much maintained by employees of the sheriff's department, with assistance from various other agencies when we couldn't fill staffing gaps. Basically, we had to rely on other municipal agencies to assist us on that, on the inner perimeter.

Referring to the map behind me, the exterior perimeter, being the highway and the roadways around the adjacent acreage, was maintained by the Wisconsin State Patrol and
managed by the State Patrol. And they were also assisted by various sheriff's departments and municipal agencies.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of returning then, again, to Exhibit 18, these postings on the four corners of the property, was there always a car there during the course of the week long occupation of the property?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And during the course of the week, were any artificial lights or anything used during the evening hours to assist in keeping an eye on things?
A. That is correct. In this lower quadrant here, in the southeast quadrant, we did have lights set up to cover these berms coming into the property, where we could actually light up the physical berm to see anybody breaching from the top.
Q. All right. At any point did those lights move, or were they utilized for any other purpose?
A. As the week went on, through searching -- we started searching properties adjacent to the 40 or so acres involving our inner perimeter. And we started finding items what we felt that might be evidentiary purpose -- or needed for
evidentiary purposes, and quarries to the southwest of the property.

At that point, mid-week, going into Thursday, we started putting officers, additional officers, on those evidence areas, until we could physically recover. Then we began to light these quarry areas as well, at that point.
Q. And what was the purpose of that?
A. To secure, basically, the evidence or what we felt was evidence, that we were finding in those quarries.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of overall -- although not your primary function, were you aware of where certain checkpoints were located on the surrounding roads?
A. Referring to the map behind me, primary checkpoints --
Q. That's Exhibit 19 --
A. Exhibit 19.
Q. -- for the record.
A. I'm not sure how to pronounce the community, Larrimore, I believe, or Larrame, I'm not quite sure. It's a small community just off this particular photo, where the highway meets here with another county trunk. And the primary
checkpoint for the exterior perimeter was located there.
Q. And that's Highway 147. And is the road that it intersects with, is that -- any part of that road depicted on the exhibit?
A. This part of the map here, or photograph, you can see a portion of that roadway.
Q. All right. And you are referring to Exhibit 19, the road that runs diagonally across the south --
A. Quadrant.
Q. Southwest quarter.
A. And then they had an officer located at this intersection here. And this intersection was also blocked at this location. And Manitowoc County Sheriff provided an officer at the north end of Avery Road, at 147, to manage individuals coming in and out of the crime scene.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of access, was the inner perimeter, as you say that you were responsible for, was security maintained for the entire seven days?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. At any time -- Well, let's ask this question. You, yourself, in charge of perimeter security, were you at the property the entire
seven days?
A. No.
Q. All right. Who else filled in your duties when you were not there?
A. I was relieved by Lieutenant Bowe of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department.
Q. All right. And in terms of that, how did you and Lieutenant Bowe organize or supervise those responsible for perimeter security?
A. We would supervise the security from the command post. We would work approximately 30 hour shifts. I would -- Lieutenant Bowe relieved me Sunday morning. I stayed on Sunday morning, the 6th through the 7th, where we worked together in trying to organize staffing as we were going on. Approximately 6, 7 p.m., on Sunday evening, I left. And then I would return on Monday morning to relieve Lieutenant Bowe, who then would leave sometime late afternoon, on Monday, early evening.

So we always had a portion of at least 8 hours, the two of us working together, trying to formulate and create and keep the security. And then we always would have one of us working the night shift.
Q. All right. And during the course of the week, to your knowledge, were there any attempts by other individuals, none law enforcement people, to come in and see what's going on?
A. If I could refer to Exhibit 19, behind me, we did have several attempts. First attempt would have occurred, an incursion by the press, from this farm located to the southeast of the property. The press did come in and park at this farm. And as the individual was walking across this field, and as he began to breach the berm, the officer at this location observed that breach, contacted the command post. I then left on an ATV, made contact with that press individual, on that berm, prior to him setting up. Removed him and walked him back to the farm, to his vehicle, identified him, and removed him from that area.
Q. As a result of that incident, were there any additional precautions taken, with respect to perimeter security?
A. At that point we then -- this town road, I believe, that travels north and south here, was then closed by the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, to local travel only at that point.
Q. All right. Any other concerns, or possible interested bystanders who approached the area while it was under your control?
A. We had another attempt by two individuals, two local residents, curious residents, who as they were coming down this fence line, approaching from 147, from the north, heading south to the northwest corner of the property, they got to about halfway down this fence line when officers from the command post area, as well as officers that were working within the interior scene, started to move towards them.

They then retreated up the fence line, and were apprehended by Manitowoc Sheriff on a driveway just off of 147. They were placed in handcuffs, returned to my location at the command post. I identified them, warned both individuals that a return to the property would result in their arrest.
Q. All right. Were they then escorted back to beyond the checkpoint?
A. They were then escorted back out, up to the checkpoint, back out to 147.
Q. All right. Now, at night time, just so that we're fair here, is it conceivable or possible,
that there could have been a perimeter breach? Could someone have hoofed it in, so to speak?
A. It's very possible that somebody coming in from anyone of the quarries or wooded areas, could have breached by foot.
Q. All right. Is that the reason why lights were then placed down in the quarry area, later on in the week?
A. Initially, those lights were placed at the vehicle location, for the vehicle. And then we began to use them when the vehicle was removed, for that purpose.
Q. All right.
A. And, secondarily, at the command post here, we had a state trooper sergeant at the command post. He would relieve his staff for breaks and bathroom breaks and meal breaks. And when he would return, $I$ would travel, or Lieutenant Bowe would travel, through the property, periodically, at night, and relieve our staff on the corners for breaks and meals and so forth.
Q. During the course of the week, was it difficult to navigate through the property to get from one side to the other?
A. Unfortunately, on Saturday evening, we had a
heavy rainstorm and the roadways within this area here filled, at locations, with water. Most became fairly impassable -- you can see some of the water still in some of the locations on this photo -- with passenger vehicles.

We, as an agency, did have a four-wheel drive Explorer. And that first day or two, we did travel through, but because of how difficult it became at times, we then, when we had to move out to this location, we quite often went all the way around the perimeter and off of the county trunk here, through the quarries. But, yes, it was difficult at times.
Q. All right. And do you have any approximate estimate for us, approximately how many officers you utilized or were assisting you, in just the task of perimeter security?
A. Everyday, 24/7, we always had security here, officer in this corner, this corner, and the southeast corner. And then throughout the day, due do searching, evidentiary reasons, there were officers throughout the property as well.

And then we had the exterior covered, you know, by other agencies. I would have to resurrect logs to determine exactly how many
officers was on the security.
Q. All right. Now, one moment.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I have no other questions for the witness.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No cross, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. The witness is excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: The State may call it's next witness.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, we're -- I'm going to call Lieutenant Bowe.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
LIEUTENANT BRETT BOWE, called as a
witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name, spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Brett Bowe, B-o-w-e. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. What do you do for a living?
A. I work for the Calumet Sheriff's Department as a deputy.

THE COURT: Mr. Bowe, can you move the microphone over, please.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Say that answer again.
A. I work for the Calumet Sheriff's Department as a deputy.
Q. All right. What rank do you currently hold?
A. Patrol lieutenant.
Q. All right. How long have you been so employed with Calumet County?
A. Sixteen years I have been there.
Q. And how long have you held the rank of lieutenant?
A. Almost two years.
Q. What were your previous duties?
A. Prior to that, I was a patrol sergeant. Before that, I was a patrolman. And I was a jailer for a year and a half.
Q. All right. Directing your attention now to the week of from November 5 th to November 12 th, were you involved at all in the execution of a search warrant on the property of the Avery Auto Salvage Yard and the residences located therein?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And in what role or capacity did you have with respect to that?
A. I was in charge of the command post.
Q. All right. And specifically, what duty were you
assigned?
A. My main duty was to guarantee security on the property, and also to coordinate the searches.
Q. All right. And in terms of the security, who else did you share those responsibilities with?
A. Lieutenant Sippel.
Q. All right. And in terms of yourself and Lieutenant Sippel, how did you work? In other words, were you both there at the same time, alternating shifts? What did you do?
A. During the day, we were there at the same time. He started on Saturday morning, I arrived Sunday morning. He left Sunday night, I would have stayed through the night. He would have come back Monday morning and then I would have left Monday night. And we kept alternating that way.
Q. All right.
A. So we were both there during the day.
Q. All right. We have heard some testimony already from Lieutenant Sippel, so I don't want to repeat that, but I have a question for you, are you familiar, yourself, with any attempted breaches of the security that you were involved in, in ferreting out?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. There were three that I was aware of. There was one that $I$ was personally involved with.
Q. All right.
A. Is that the one you want?
Q. If need be, there should be a laser pointer in front of you and there's an exhibit to your immediate right and one behind you. In terms of the incident you were involved in, tell us about that.
A. The incident $I$ was involved in, the officer that was stationed down in the southeast corner notified us that he had observed a person on the berm, to the south, which would have been located a little further south than what this map shows.
Q. All right. And you just briefly turned and pointed to Exhibit 19, the one on the chalkboard?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And if that assists you better, tell us about what you -- what incurred -- occurred, excuse me.
A. The officer indicated that there was an individual just south, on top of the berm. I proceeded around to the quarry and got up on top of the berm. And I could see a set of footprints
that came along there.
They were approximately 20 yards south of the southeast corner of the property. And I saw that the footprints led to a residence that was just to the east of where the footprints were. So I followed them up to that residence.
Q. All right. And were you able to ascertain who was responsible for the footprints and/or the attempted entrance?
A. I spoke with a gentleman there who indicated that his wife had just left for work. He indicated that she had gone out and had fed their dog and was gone for approximately five minutes. And that he had heard her car leave at that time and that that was the only person on the property that could have been back where we were.
Q. All right. In terms of your particular time, while you were in charge, were there any other attempted entrances to the -- the inner perimeter, as it's been described?
A. Yes, there were. There was an individual that was also in the southeast corner, further north, so they would have been just east of the property. And Lieutenant Sippel addressed that. That was a member of the media.

And then there were two gentleman that came in from the north, on the west side of the property, were walking a line fence down, and I believe they made it about two-thirds of the way down through the 40 acre field. And when they saw numerous officers approaching them, they turned and headed back toward the north. And they were apprehended --
Q. All right.
A. -- north of that property.
Q. All right. And during your time, when you were solely in charge of the -- of the perimeter, were there postings at each corner of the perimeter; in other words, was there, the euphemism of today, $24 / 7$ security?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting, any questions?
ATTORNEY BUTING: None.
THE COURT: Witness is excused.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe Exhibits 18 and 19 have already been received, so that being the case, just in the odd chance they aren't, I would move for their introduction. And secondly, we would
rest the presentation of our evidence on this issue.
THE COURT: All right. With respect to 18 and 19, have they been admitted?

THE CLERK: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. They are already admitted. Any rebuttal witnesses from the defense?

ATTORNEY BUTING: None. Are there any exhibits that are not admitted? I should --

THE CLERK: Everything is received.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Everything is received. Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Does that conclude the witnesses then, for all the outstanding motions at this time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: The only thing I will add is, I should have thought to do this yesterday when I had Mr. Glynn on the stand, but I will make an offer of proof on what his answer would have been, had the hearsay objection not been sustained. I expect Mr. Glynn would have testified that, as he had said something to the effect that, he had told Mr. Avery that he wanted Mr. Avery to tell the officer that he didn't wish to speak to the officer without a lawyer.

And Mr. Avery agreed to say that, with
the cellphone still -- the line still open, and Mr. Glynn heard that. He thinks before Mr. Avery got into the car, heard Mr. Avery saying to somebody, you know, nearby, I don't want to talk to you any more without my lawyer, or words to that effect.

THE COURT: All right. Is that --
ATTORNEY FALLON: Obviously, we still hold to our objection.

THE COURT: Let me ask this, is that something that was on the $C D$ transcript?

MR. STRANG: It's not on the transcript but, you know, the tape goes off and then comes back on as Avery, I think, is probably getting into the car.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's a statement, had it been admitted, that the defense would have contended came in between parts three and four of the tape recorded --

MR. STRANG: That's my best inference. That's an inference only.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Then I have a second objection to that. And the second objection is, that's speculation on the part of Mr. Glynn, as to when that occurred relative to the getting into the
vehicle or the turning on the tape, because he wasn't there. So, now I have another grounds to object.

THE COURT: Okay. I didn't understand that Mr. Glynn would have said that. I understood --

MR. STRANG: Glynn thought it was before Avery -- If you assume Avery was out of the car, Avery says it right away, you know, before he would have had a chance to go an open a car door. Glynn doesn't hear car doors opening and there's no pause in there.

THE COURT: All right. I would like to take a short break at this time, to meet with counsel and determine what else we're going to do today.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, could we have just one moment.

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Judge, before we do that, I would like you to make a record on two points since it appears that the testimonial part of this motion has now ended. With respect to the Franks motion and, more importantly, with respect to the State's challenge to his standing to even raise a challenge, that you engage in a colloquy with the
defendant, Mr. Avery, that he did, in fact, have a right to take the stand and assert his reasonable expectation of privacy in any of the locations subject to the search.

Secondly, we would ask the Court to engage in a second colloquy with the defendant, relative to the circumstances surrounding the taking of this statement by the Marinette detective, Anthony O'Neill. If he had the right to testify, he had the right to say, in his mind, what occurred and when things occurred, vis-a-vis, that statement. And he apparently has chosen not to. So I would ask that you engage in a colloquy with Mr. Avery, on both of those matters, before we close and move to argument.

THE COURT: Let me --
ATTORNEY STRANG: May I -- I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Just a second, before I give you a chance to speak, Mr. Strang. Your first request, Mr. Fallon, was to what, the right to privacy to?

ATTORNEY FALLON: His right to testify, relative to his right to challenge the search warrant. We had objected, demanded that he establish standing to challenge the warrant and,
thus, standing to bring the Franks motion. So, obviously, he has that right and he has chosen not to exercise it.

So that would be the first colloquy, that that was a decision that he made with, presumably, advice of counsel, that he's given up his right to testify and offer his point of view. That's the first one. And the second one is, his right to testify regarding the motion to suppress the statement to Detective O'Neill.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I understand and
appreciate that the flow of Wisconsin law, in the last 15 or 20 years, has advanced steadily toward inserting the Court ever more frequently into strategic decisions and decisions on which witnesses to call, or whether to call the defendant in a pretrial matter, and so I understand full well why the State makes the request that it does.

I also acknowledge that I have invited the Court, on at least one or two occasions so far, to engage in a colloquy with Mr. Avery, where we were requesting some relief or some indulgence. And I thought that would be the better way to make a record, or to make clear
that this was done with the accused's personal consent.

That said, I'm not aware of any authority here that would invite the Court to insert itself, at this point, in the decision on what witnesses to call on pretrial matters, or on foundational matters in the case of the standing question, or personal interest in privacy on a pretrial motion.

And I think that, you know, obviously, Mr. Avery has been present here. The record has reflected that all along. He's been awake. He's been an active participant at the defense table.

But I don't think it's a wise move to -to have the Court, or then the adversarial party, involved in every decision in the defense camp about what witnesses to call or how, strategically, to handle the pretrial motion. So, you know, while the Court will do as it sees fit, $I$ don't think a colloquy on either of these points is either required or appropriate under the circumstances.

THE COURT: Let me -- Mr. Fallon, I'm not sure -- I understand what you are saying about the motion to suppress the statement to Officer O'Neill;

I'm not sure with respect to the Franks motion.
ATTORNEY FALLON: The Franks motion is ancillary. The real issue is, does he have the right to even bring a Franks motion. Does he have standing to challenge the search? And he has to establish standing by a preponderance of the evidence. That's his burden.

And if it's the defense burden, then, one rightly ought to assume that he has the right to testify, to assert his constitutional interests. And if he's choosing not to, that's fine. I just want to make sure that it's his choice, presumably with the advice of counsel.

If not, we would be more than happy to accept a waiver of any subsequent claim in the future of ineffective assistance of counsel for not putting their client on the stand, for purposes of completing the record on these two motions.

THE COURT: All right. I want to make sure that I'm understanding your point on the Franks motion correctly. I understand, and I believe the State has already asserted that the motion that was filed by the defense did not constitute a substantial preliminary showing that a false
statement had knowingly and intentionally been made, or a statement with reckless disregard for the truth; you have made that motion, have you not?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, relative to the Franks aspect of this.

THE COURT: Right. And argued that the Court should not have even conducted an evidentiary hearing because the defense motion does not meet that initial plateau to be entitled to a hearing.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's the second argument. The first argument, they didn't have standing to bring a Franks motion, except as it pertains to the trailer and the garage. His residence, the trailer. And the garage.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, it's the same warrant. Mr. Fallon has been making this argument for two days now. And the warrant, it's the same warrant; it involves his trailer as well as all the property. So, how can he say he doesn't have standing to challenge a warrant that has his trailer residence on it.

THE COURT: Well, I suppose the State could argue that the Court should find in the State's favor, and in the alternative, if the Court doesn't
find in the State's favor, it should only sustain the argument made by the defense as it relates to the trailer and the garage. I mean, I don't know if that's where we're heading or not.

One of the things $I$ was going to discuss with counsel in chambers was what we were going to do from here forward. And one of those was going to be, to hear your arguments, because I believe I told Mr. Fallon I would hear his argument, which I have not heard yet.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's right. So our only question is, is it seems to me that wisdom would suggest that we take colloquy from the defendant so that we're sure that he had the right to testify on these matters, if he wanted to, and he's chosen not to.

THE COURT: Let me ask the defense, and I don't know that this is self-evident in the pleadings, is the defendant asserting that he has a right to privacy that extends beyond the trailer, the garage, and the immediate surrounding area, if you will, that is, to the rest of the 40 acre parcel? I don't know that I have -- that the defense has asserted, to this point, that the defendant has such an interest. it's pretty clear from the testimony that what we focused on, and from the motion, is the entries to his residence and to his garage and, you know, the reasonable curtilage, or whatever, in that area. The testimony regarding the other aspects of the property is that he was basically an employee or worked there, not an actual ownership -- owner of it. But that testimony went to the question of whether or not there was probable cause for the officers to have been in the location they were at, before consent was given to the officers, such that the information that Detective Remiker obtained, that is, the VIN number, was improperly obtained and should not have been considered in the warrant, as part of the probable cause.

In other words, if the Franks motion -if the Franks motion is granted and the Court strikes that portion of it that is considered deliberately false or recklessly untruthful, and then you look at the remainder of the warrant to see if there's still probable cause, and that consideration has to -- in my estimation we can argue all this, but that's what the testimony
went to, as to why that portion of the police observations could also not be considered in determining whether there was probable cause.

THE COURT: All right. Maybe I missed it, I know there was testimony that Detective Remiker didn't ask consent -- I don't think he asserted that he asked consent -- before responding to the scene on Saturday, and responding to the location of the RAV 4, and looking at the VIN number.

But I guess to this point, I also didn't understand that the defendant to be asserting that he had a right to privacy with respect to that portion of the property that required -would have required Detective Remiker to ask for the defendant's consent to visit it.

I don't know, I mean, it's not -- it's not clear to me, in the motion papers that were filed, what the arguments were going to be. That's why -- one of the reasons I'm going to permit each side to make oral argument, perhaps some of those points can be clarified.

Is the defendant arguing that he had an individual right to privacy that was violated, that required Remiker to get consent or a warrant before responding to the location of the RAV 4
and looking at the VIN number?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Excuse me, your Honor, I think we're getting a little off track. Because we are going to argue these points shortly, the only issue on the table right now, as I understand it, is whether or not we're going to take a colloquy from Mr. Avery, that he was mindful that he had the opportunity to testify and chose not to. And if we're not, then that's fine, and then we can proceed to argument. I mean that's the only -- I don't want to mix up the two concepts.

THE COURT: Well, this is a pretrial motion, let me ask you this, Mr. Strang or Mr. Buting, have the two of you discussed this with your client and made a decision, after your discussions with him, that you would not be calling him to testify?

ATTORNEY STRANG: We have not had extensive discussion about it. And I think, beyond that, it's privilege. And really this is the point. I mean, if we could do a colloquy with Mr. Avery and, essentially, what the State would be hoping to accomplish is, his ratification of decisions that haven't been his, strategic decisions about how to proceed on a pretrial motion and which witnesses to
call, and not to call, him included.
If -- if these calls are so far wrong that they fall below a reasonable level of defense counsel's performance, then that's the fact. And having him ratify them doesn't change that. The decisions on what witnesses to call here and which not to call, including Mr. Avery, have been mine and Mr. Buting's.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that. I guess my question is directed to something that's a little -- that falls a little short of actually conducting a personal colloquy with Mr. Avery, and that is, whether or not the two of you have discussed this with him. I'm not looking to get into any privilege.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, and in a very general way, we have had very brief discussions about it, as to the -- as to these two motions.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: And I will say, discussion to the extent that that implies a two-way discourse, may be gilding the lily to some extent. I know that, very briefly, I have expressed an opinion or made an assertion. I'm not sure that beyond that $I$ should be implying a two-way discourse.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take a short break at this time. Before I ask counsel to meet with me in chambers, I'm going to ask that defense counsel address this issue with Mr. Avery. And we'll discuss in chambers how to proceed further when we come back out on the record, after that's been completed.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Is there a place we can talk in private?

THE COURT: Yes, I believe the jury room would work for that purpose.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Two-fifteenish?
THE COURT: Let's say 2:20, in my chambers.
ATTORNEY STRANG: We can use the jury room, but that will require one of two things: First, preferably all the law enforcement people or representatives of the State, being outside a closed door.

Or, second, much less preferably, anybody who's within the room being deputized as a defense investigator for purposes of that meeting, and being instructed that he or she is within the lawyer/client privilege, on the work product doctrine, forever more, for purposes of
what he or she would overhear.
THE COURT: Well, I will meet with who's ever in charge of security and then address that with you after I do that.
(Recess taken.)
(End of partial transcript.)

STATE OF WISCONSIN ) ) ss COUNTY OF MANITOWOC )

I, Diane Tesheneck, Official Court Reporter for Circuit Court Branch 1 and the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing matter and that the foregoing transcript has been carefully prepared by me with my computerized stenographic notes as taken by me in machine shorthand, and by computer-assisted transcription thereafter transcribed, and that it is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in said matter to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Dated this 1st day of September, 2006.

Diane Tesheneck, RPR Official Court Reporter

| ' | 20 feet [1] 160/5 <br> 20 yards [1] 174/ | 109/21 110/1 137/14 141/4 165/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '94 [1] 152/3 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { yards [1] } 174 \\ & 2002 \text { [1] } 37 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| '96[1] 37/17 | 2005 [3] 37/20 58/11 152/23 | 700 [3] 78/15 133/5 143/8 |
|  | 2006[3] 1/8 55/6 191/15 | 7:30[7] 9/16 9/20 10/3 51/3 79/12 |
|  | 21 [1] 56/24 | 80/25 83/5 |
| . 22 [1] 23/9 | 21st[1] 151/24 | 7th [8] 47/24 48/3 109/21 110/7 |
| 0 | 22 [2] 9/24 18/2 | 137/15 139/12 141/4 165/14 |
|  | 22-page [1] 52/17 | 8 |
| 05 2 [ $1 / 53 / 3$ | 2205 [1] 19/24 24 [6] 52/19 53/14 | 800 acres [1] 77/25 |
| 1 | $24[6]$ 52/19 53/14 53/18 53/19 $53 / 1953 / 22$ | $89 \text { [1] } 8 / 14$ |
| 1,000 [1] 61/12 | 24/7 [3] 157/13 169/18 175/15 | 8:00 a.m [1] 46/8 |
| 1/2[4] 10/14 32/1 131/22 143/9 | 25 [1] 45/15 | 8:20 a.m [1] 118/20 |
| 10 [14] 1/8 6/22 15/7 30/6 45/17 | 27 [1] 2/5 | 8:25 a.m [1] 48/17 |
| 55/23 58/2 114/5 114/6 115/9 | 2:00 p.m [3] 58/18 58/24 59/18 | 8:45 a.m [1] 118/21 |
| 115/20 115/22 132/18 160/5 | 2:20 [1] 189/14 | 8:45 p.m [1] 76/7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100[5] \text { 125/24 } 113 / 6 \quad 113 / 7113 / 8 \quad 125 / 8 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 8th [7] 48/14 109/21 110/9 110/10 $137 / 14139 / 15141 / 4$ |
| 107[1] 2/13 | 30 [2] 131/13 165/11 | 9 |
| 10:05 [1] 10/1 | 31 [1] 2/6 | 9 |
| 10:05 p.m [5] 9/21 10/3 18/21 | 34 [2] 2/7 2/8 | 904.01 [1] 90/22 |
| 19/24 51/4 | 35 [1] 10/15 | 9:47 [1] 46/8 |
| 10:30 [1] 132/18 | 36 [3] 43/13 61/14 122/24 | 9:47 a.m [2] 22/14 24/4 |
| 10:30 and [1] 83/20 | 37 [4] 2/10 34/15 61/10 74/23 | 9th [14] 36/18 49/20 50/1 108/3 |
| 10:39 [1] 50/9 | 37 acres [1] 61/4 | 108/7 108/15 109/8 109/13 109/15 |
| 10:59 [2] 50/9 50/10 | 3800 [1] 61/14 | 111/2 138/8 141/3 141/4 141/9 |
| 10th [1] 118/11 | 381 [2] 1/5 3/3 | A |
| 11/3/2006 [1] 55/6 | 3:30 [1] 4/13 | a.m [10] 20/16 22/14 22/14 24/4 |
| 11:28[1] 68/1 | 3:48 [2] 5/14 7/4 | 46/8 46/8 48/17 68/1 $118 / 20$ |
| 11:40 [1] 50/10 | 3:58 [1] 7/11 | 118/21 |
| 11:50 [1] 50/11 | 3:58 p.m [1] 7/4 | abilities [4] 16/3 35/9 82/21 88/9 |
| 11 th [1] 157/16 | 3rd [6] 38/2 38/4 38/5 38/21 42/14 | ability [3] 83/12 135/9 191/14 |
| 12 [6] 13/4 18/3 18/4 68/1 83/8 | 54/7 | able [14] 23/7 23/25 35/12 55/21 |
| 131/12 | 4 | 61/7 65/21 88/10 110/3 126/1 |
| 12:19 [1] 49/12 | 40 [14] 34/23 60/23 67/18 67/24 | about [117] 4/13 4/15 4/16 6/22 |
| 12:25 [1] 25/24 | 68/4 78/1 82/6 82/9 104/14 | 8/16 8/17 8/18 9/13 13/23 17/12 |
| 12:25 p.m [2] 24/12 24/22 | 104/22 108/25 162/22 175/5 | 19/4 19/21 20/14 20/15 22/9 |
| 12:30 [1] 46/20 | 184/22 | 22/18 22/23 24/12 25/1 25/4 |
| 12:45 [1] 49/13 | 40 acres [4] 60/16 60/25 78/2 | 31/21 33/22 34/15 44/4 44/6 46/8 |
| 12:48 p.m [1] 24/23 | 143/19 | 46/23 49/8 52/3 54/10 54/16 55/3 |
| 12th [8] 107/6 114/3 118/20 | 47 [1] 22/19 | 55/9 57/11 57/16 57/18 61/18 |
| 119/11 119/17 120/2 139/19 | 4:06 p.m [1] 7/12 | 62/10 62/14 63/1864/2 65/17 |
| 171/17 | 4:40 [1] 108/14 | 66/9 66/19 68/1 68/7 69/22 70/8 |
| 14 [1] 37/14 | 4:40 in [1] 108/10 | 71/1 73/14 74/17 74/23 75/5 76/8 |
| 14 feet [2] 131/12 133/5 | 4:40 p.m [2] 108/21 141/9 | 77/22 80/25 81/19 83/5 83/20 |
| 1430 [1] 155/25 | 4th [2] 6/8 6/14 | 84/10 84/12 84/12 85/9 88/16 |
| 147 [12] 63/23 64/16 65/22 66/13 | 5 | 90/5 93/17 97/3 99/25 102/25 |
| 66/15 67/9 67/14 164/3 164/16 | 5 | 103/4 103/11 104/11 105/4 107/15 |
| 167/7 167/15 167/23 | 50 [6] 17/17 30/10 43/25 44/1 | 107/23 109/22 112/24 114/22 |
| 15 [5] 58/1 61/18 61/19 160/5 | 115/21 133/5 | 115/21 121/3 121/13 123/6 126/15 |
| 180/14 | 55 [1] 118/7 | 127/5 128/15 129/7 129/22 130/13 |
| 150 [1] 2/14 | 56 [1] 2/12 | 131/6 131/24 133/4 135/8 135/24 |
| 151 [1] 2/17 | 5:05 p.m [1] 118/11 | 136/17 137/20 140/7 141/23 |
| 170 [1] 2/20 | 5:30 [1] 153/15 | 146/25 147/1 153/5 153/15 155/21 |
| 18 [14] 60/5 60/5 60/7 60/17 | 5:35 p.m [1] 8/5 | 155/25 158/17158/23 159/16 |
| 62/12 67/18 68/5 77/18 154/6 | 5:40 in [1] 153/15 | 160/12 167/9 173/1 173/9 173/20 |
| 155/9 157/15 162/5 175/22 176/2 | 5th [37] 4/5 5/15 6/14 7/4 9/11 | 175/4 181/17 181/24 187/19 |
| 19 [15] 63/10 63/15 63/24 64/6 | 12/12 32/1 37/20 42/22 44/10 | 187/24 188/17 |
| 77/15 155/8 155/10 156/21 163/18 | 51/2 53/11 58/11 58/1759/8 69/1 | above [2] 25/11 154/13 |
| 163/19 164/8 166/5 173/17 175/23 | 107/5 109/3 109/16 109/18 111/1 | absolutely [4] 12/23 92/16 105/23 |
| 176/3 | 114/3 131/20 133/11 134/5 137/14 | 122/13 |
| 1981[1] 151/24 | 139/19 141/3 142/3 144/16 148/13 | accept [2] 89/24 182/15 |
| 1996 [1] 37/16 | 152/23 153/5 153/16 155/16 | access [5] 35/10 112/5 157/3 |
| 1:15 [1] 149/21 | 160/11 171/17 | 157/5 164/18 |
| 1:30 [1] 155/22 | 6 | accompanied [3] 73/18 75/21 |
| 1st [1] 191/15 |  | 104/23 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \text { [2] } 99 / 23 \quad 115 / 3 \\ & 60 \text { feet [2] } 159 / 715 \end{aligned}$ | accompany [2] 42/14 72/16 accomplish [2] 70/8 187/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20[5] 15 / 830 / 6 \quad 107 / 25110 / 15 \\ & 180 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:30 [1] 42/25 } \\ & 6 \text { th [9] } 20 / 1124 / 1424 / 2425 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | accomplished [1] 76/20 accomplishing [1] 26/22 |

accounting [1] 87/1
accurate [6] 7/15 7/19 22/16 44/2 48/20 60/18
accused's [1] 181/1
acknowledge [1] 180/20
acre [13] 34/23 61/10 67/18 67/24
68/5 74/23 82/6 82/9 104/14
104/22 108/25 175/5 184/22
acreage [1] 161/24
acres [16] 34/15 60/12 60/15
60/16 60/23 60/25 61/4 77/25
78/1 78/2 78/15 104/13 104/13
104/13 143/19 162/23
across [4] 154/16 155/4 164/9
166/10
acted [2] 89/23 128/20
acting [1] 44/23
actions [1] 147/7
active [1] 181/13
activities [1] 152/7
actual [4] 19/23 101/13 160/6 185/8
actually [20] 6/7 17/13 26/25 52/1 67/2 69/17 72/18 73/24 80/2 88/7 99/3 100/3 102/16 115/21 119/13
126/1 127/3 $159 / 12$ 162/17 188/11
add [4] 54/21 76/16 135/17 176/15
addition [3] 54/15 78/1 78/2
additional [30] 25/8 25/12 44/21
60/9 60/12 74/1 74/1 81/3 81/7
81/21 86/22 91/22 92/3 92/13
95/3 95/6 101/11 106/4 106/7
108/4 109/23 139/9 140/9 140/12
140/13 140/13 146/8 153/21 163/4
166/20
additionally [1] 81/24
address [10] 39/5 39/6 74/19 97/4
97/11 97/12 97/15 97/21 189/5
190/3
addressed [1] 174/24
adds [3] 26/18 50/12 53/9
adequately [1] 142/24
adhesive [1] 16/19
adjacent [4] 100/4 160/18 161/24 162/22
adjoining [3] 60/19 63/18 73/25
admitted [5] 135/15 176/3 176/6
176/8 177/17
advanced [1] 180/14
advantageous [1] 30/4
adversarial [1] 181/15
advice [2] 180/6 182/13
advised [1] 96/6
advising [2] 33/9 38/11
aerial [3] 63/16 63/17 144/1
affect [3] 29/23 83/12 91/18
affected [1] 77/9
affecting [3] 86/5 86/12 143/2
affidavit [2] 111/9 138/23
after[37] 4/14 7/2 7/7 8/4 9/13
19/6 26/7 41/6 44/10 49/4 58/18
59/12 59/18 73/17 75/8 75/8
80/10 80/11 80/17 90/2 90/12
90/19 92/11 92/20 93/22 94/17
119/11 120/24 122/9 141/8 141/15 142/9 152/16 156/10 187/15 189/7 190/4
afternoon [7] 4/13 86/19 86/19
108/10 142/6 155/22 165/19
afterwards [1] 90/6
again [47] 7/16 7/20 9/11 20/18

20/21 22/25 23/12 23/15 23/19
25/15 25/23 41/24 44/8 46/1
46/12 47/25 48/14 49/6 62/11
65/23 66/10 67/18 68/25 72/6
72/23 73/4 74/6 74/9 74/18 77/14
78/9 79/3 86/24 87/9 94/2 94/15
95/9 97/5 99/24 101/3 104/23
109/9 127/7 136/2 140/1 162/5
171/1
against [2] 43/14 57/18
agencies [6] 99/15 99/17 161/18
161/20 162/3 169/24
agency [5] 43/18 44/17 44/18 58/25 169/6
agent [10] 2/11 20/21 24/21 31/1
56/12 56/21 57/4 57/14 70/14
125/7
agents [20] 32/17 73/3 97/7
103/17 103/20 103/23 114/2 114/6
114/9114/16114/17115/1115/9
115/13115/20115/23115/25
116/3 116/4 127/18
ago [1] 27/19
agree [2] 14/16 89/13
agreed [2] 50/11 176/25
agreeing [1] 75/17
ahead [2] 27/11 53/2
aid [1] 128/22
alert [2] 133/24 136/7
alerted [7] 73/21 74/4 74/7 134/13
135/4 136/20 137/17
alerting [1] 74/22
alive [3] 70/3 133/14 133/16
all [252]
allocate [1] 103/17
allocation [3] 31/10 103/4 105/2
allow [13] 91/15 93/11 108/4
108/23 110/18 123/14 137/25
138/12 139/9 143/16 146/15 147/8 158/14
allowed [2] 129/12 141/11
almost [4] 49/1 54/17 140/5
171/11
along[12] 13/9 21/20 73/20 73/23
126/9 127/15 135/1 159/16 159/20
160/2 174/1 181/12
alongside [3] 20/9 62/5 62/7
already [10] 83/8 90/14 90/18 93/7
93/16 138/3 172/19 175/23 176/5
182/23
also [43] 3/10 10/5 10/23 11/12
12/6 13/12 13/18 15/12 16/11
16/17 16/18 $17 / 11$ 20/5 23/12
25/14 61/23 63/8 67/4 69/6 69/15
69/20 77/10 82/15 83/6 89/10
100/11 117/17 123/23 124/22
129/7 133/15 140/16 144/13
154/20 155/21 158/11 162/1
164/14 172/3 174/22 180/20 186/2

## 186/10

alternate [3] 87/25 88/4 89/17
alternating [2] 172/10 172/16
alternative [1] 183/25
although [3] 14/3 30/23 163/12
always [5] 30/4 162/6 165/21
165/24 169/18
am [2] 27/10 172/25
ambiguity [1] 116/17
Amendment [1] 103/8
among [1] 44/25
amongst [2] $21 / 7$ 30/25
amount [3] 44/8 90/22 144/9
amounts [1] 118/5
|analyze [1] 87/17
analyzed [2] 86/9 96/7
analyzing[1] 96/11
ancillary[1] 182/3
and/or [9] 24/21 72/22 78/23 81/3
86/16 88/25 96/24 153/11 174/8
ANDREW [3] 2/9 37/1 37/6
another [19] 5/25 11/21 12/7
13/25 106/9 110/2 110/17111/9 111/13 112/13 131/8 154/20 155/2 156/1 160/3 160/5 163/25 167/4 178/2
answer [15] 19/16 27/5 35/14 42/5 81/23 114/4 116/7 116/11116/14 116/16 116/24 144/19 144/23
171/1 176/18
answered [2] 146/13 146/15
answering [1] 35/13
Anthony [1] 179/9
anthropologists [1] 104/1
anticipate [2] 81/24 126/23
any[85] 6/2 6/3 7/17 8/9 9/9
10/25 $11 / 5$ 12/18 $14 / 25$ 16/10
18/17 19/2 $21 / 24$ 22/25 23/4 26/7
35/25 39/7 44/5 44/6 47/7 47/9
52/4 53/18 56/5 56/7 56/25 58/20
62/10 65/9 66/7 67/8 68/14 68/15
68/16 69/16 70/6 75/6 76/10
76/18 77/5 82/7 89/7 94/14 113/5
114/12 $115 / 24119 / 23$ 120/20
121/5 121/11 126/15 128/2 128/16
128/18 128/21 131/25 132/9
133/21 133/24 134/12 141/16
145/14 149/5 $151 / 15$ 160/22
162/11 162/19 162/20 164/4
164/23 166/2 166/19 167/1 169/14
172/22 174/18 175/19 176/6 176/7
177/5 179/3 181/3 182/15 188/15
anybody [5] 114/14 132/20 149/2
162/18 189/21
anyone [4] 35/24 69/12 161/14 168/4
anything [20] 6/3 6/15 15/17
19/10 31/17 34/8 50/1850/21
52/10 53/9 67/9 69/13 74/5 74/22
76/14 105/24 142/17 150/25
160/23 162/11
anyway [1] 17/6
anyways [1] 75/25
anywhere [4] 30/6 57/25 58/2 160/4
apartment [3] 9/4 48/25 122/15
apparent [4] 19/2 71/6 85/4 101/4
apparently [2] 116/20 179/12
appearance [2] 5/1 43/12
appearances [2] 1/11 3/6
Appeared [1] 1/21
appears [3] 3/7 3/10 178/21
application [1] 138/23
applications [1] 110/14
applicator [4] 11/19 11/21 11/25 12/2
applicators [1] 28/11
apply [2] 11/24 28/12
applying [1] 107/21
appreciate [1] 180/13
apprehended [2] 167/14 175/8
approach [1] 70/21
approached [2] 75/9 167/2
approaching [4] 75/13 75/14 167/6 175/6
appropriate [1] 181/21
approximate [2] 22/22 169/14
approximately [23] 9/16 44/8 45/14 57/21 59/18 60/1661/4 61/8 61/16 76/6 76/7 77/24 79/12 115/3 153/3 156/15 159/19 160/4 165/11 165/16 169/15 174/2 174/13
are [125] 8/15 8/23 26/22 26/25
27/8 27/15 28/4 28/7 28/8 28/9 28/10 28/11 28/12 28/13 28/14 28/14 28/15 29/4 29/11 29/19 29/21 29/23 31/15 32/12 32/25 33/22 36/3 36/21 37/10 40/4 41/8 43/1 44/25 45/3 48/2 49/8 51/4 $51 / 652 / 353 / 153 / 653 / 1555 / 18$ 58/4 58/4 59/25 60/6 60/25 61/9 $61 / 17$ 63/12 65/20 74/11 82/14 82/1 5 82/18 83/8 83/9 83/9 83/23 83/24 84/7 85/14 85/18 85/21 85/25 86/3 86/25 87/8 87/9 87/10 92/7 93/4 96/1 96/10 96/11 97/5 99/25 107/15 110/12 110/12 110/13 114/9 114/16 115/9 115/23 116/19 117/1 117/8 117/12 117/19 117/24 117/24 118/2 120/6 127/8 127/18 127/18 127/20 127/22
130/22 132/5 133/10 134/16
135/10 143/4 147/18 149/24 150/8
150/12 150/21 151/15 151/21
154/23 156/8 156/22 158/19 164/8 172/21 176/5 176/7 176/8 181/24 187/4 188/2
area[51] $12 / 812 / 813 / 615 / 17$ 17/7 17/10 33/16 38/18 39/8 44/22 58/6 59/25 60/11 60/13 61/1 64/21 66/24 67/21 73/16 74/20 74/20 74/23 77/9 95/1 99/3 103/13 104/22 127/14 133/2 133/8 139/18 155/6 156/6 156/11 156/18 157/4 157/9 158/16 158/17 158/19 159/5 159/6 160/12 160/13 166/18 167/2 167/10 168/7 169/1 184/21 185/5
areas [34] 10/24 11/7 11/11 11/13 12/19 15/9 15/13 15/14 23/13 35/18 74/15 77/20 78/4 87/7 87/17 91/22 91/25 92/1 92/1 93/21 94/17 95/9 97/16 97/24 98/2 98/18 104/22 108/24 130/11 134/12 $155 / 3$ 163/5 163/7 168/4
aren't [2] 32/12 175/24
argue [4] 41/4 183/24 185/25 187/4
argued [1] 183/6
arguing [1] 186/22
argument [10] 14/1041/4 179/15 183/11 183/11 183/17 184/2 184/10 186/20 187/10
argumentative [2] 115/12 124/9 arguments [2] 184/8 186/18 armed [1] 69/14
around [27] 7/1 9/4 13/9 13/24
17/5 17/6 20/15 45/17 45/24 58/18 63/7 67/12 68/11 74/21 75/25 97/15 104/19 116/2 130/9 139/21 139/24 148/14 155/22 157/2 161/24 169/11 173/24
arrangement [1] 151/15
arrangements [1] 157/7
arrest [1] 167/19
arrival [3] 59/12 59/19 155/16 arrive [2] 58/16 $153 / 4$
arrived [12] 20/15 42/23 43/22 44/11 58/24 64/8 74/1 75/8 75/9 75/19 99/23 172/12
arriving [1] 153/7
arrow [1] 158/9
arson [6] $114 / 17$ 127/15 127/15
127/17 127/20 127/21
artificial [4] 30/3 71/11 76/15 162/11
as [168] 3/18 3/20 6/12 9/1 $11 / 1$ 17/17 17/17 18/10 18/11 18/25 18/25 21/24 23/7 23/7 25/15 25/15 26/2 26/2 26/13 27/15 28/17 28/17 29/7 29/11 29/21 30/2 30/9 32/14 32/14 32/22 35/3 $35 / 336 / 1737 / 137 / 337 / 1537 / 16$ 39/17 44/8 44/24 45/6 51/7 56/10 $56 / 13$ 56/14 57/2 57/4 59/5 59/13 61/1 61/8 61/24 62/8 62/17 62/17 62/19 65/11 65/11 65/12 65/12 65/25 65/25 65/25 70/7 70/14 70/22 72/9 72/14 73/11 75/17
$76 / 1276 / 1276 / 1576 / 2376 / 23$ 81/15 81/15 83/2 83/2 83/22 83/22 84/4 84/4 84/23 84/23 86/9 86/9 87/8 90/4 90/21 92/1 92/15 93/7 94/15 98/18 101/16 101/23
102/15 103/21 103/21 107/19
107/19 107/25 107/25 108/24 108/24 109/3 109/20 111/22 111/22 112/20 112/21 114/1 114/1 116/25 120/6 120/6 120/21 122/10 122/24 123/19 124/21 128/4 134/18 134/19 138/17 138/20
142/22 146/19 147/4 147/7 150/6 150/6 151/7 151/9 157/8 162/21 163/7 164/19 165/15 166/10 166/11 166/19 167/5 167/10 167/10 169/6 169/22 170/13 170/15 170/22 171/2 174/20 176/20 177/14 177/24 181/19 183/12 183/19 183/19 184/2 185/16 $186 / 1187 / 5188 / 17188 / 18$ 189/21 191/9
ascertain [1] 174/7
ashes [1] 120/1
ask[31] 8/14 14/21 32/24 42/7 44/24 59/4 77/16 103/10 115/16 116/10 120/20 121/5 121/11 125/24 128/21 129/4 129/23 136/6 152/16 152/18 152/20 164/23 177/10 179/5 179/13 184/17 186/6 186/14 187/13 189/3 189/4
asked [21] 24/16 31/21 35/15
38/14 38/16 40/11 42/4 46/19
46/22 47/25 48/4 50/5 87/24 94/11 94/13 108/3 $115 / 15$ 123/6 146/12 146/15 186/7
asking [5] 41/8 45/4 45/6 53/15 53/16
aspect [2] 70/9 183/5
aspects [1] 185/7
assert [2] 179/2 182/10
asserted [5] 91/7 91/15 182/23 184/24 186/6
asserting [2] 184/19 186/11
assertion [1] 188/24
assessing[2] 84/1 85/24
assign [1] 72/3
assigned [12] 4/23 20/17 21/20 32/22 44/19 58/15 126/4 144/13 154/1 155/18 155/22 172/1
assigning [1] 43/6
assignment [6] 4/14 57/4 57/10
57/21 79/23 153/18
assignments [6] 20/20 20/25 21/2 30/22 57/6 57/12
assist [15] 43/19 51/20 72/10 74/8 86/15 86/21 100/6 128/24 131/2 134/18 143/15 152/24 153/9 161/20 162/12
assistance [8] 58/13 59/10 99/14 100/15 120/21 128/23 161/18 182/16
assisted [10] 99/17 100/3 100/12 102/18 104/6 119/25 120/1 161/15 162/2 191/10
assisting [4] 51/17 89/12 99/19 169/16
assists [2] 102/4 173/19
associate [1] 131/18
associated [3] 12/18 81/10 101/14
association [1] 58/20
assume [4] 8/2 145/25 178/7 182/9
assuming [1] 53/19
assumption [1] 126/25
at [197]
attempt [5] 75/17 153/10 153/22 166/6 167/4
attempted [3] 172/22 174/9 174/19
attempting [2] 70/8 106/4
attempts [2] 166/2 166/6
attended [3] 27/17 92/9 117/22
attention [12] 58/10 60/4 62/12
63/9 67/18 77/14 96/25 152/22
154/6 155/8 157/16 171/16
attic [1] 132/24
Attorney[15] 1/16 $1 / 18$ 2/4 2/5 2/6 2/7 2/8 2/10 2/12 2/13 2/14 2/17 2/20 3/9 3/11
attributed [1] 61/5
ATV [1] 166/14
AUGUST [1] $1 / 8$
authority [3] $5 / 3$ 121/9 181/4 authorizing [1] 92/18
auto [6] 38/18 39/8 58/17 60/19 61/2 171/19
available [14] 31/14 35/5 35/18 79/5 79/14 98/6 99/4 99/5 102/9 114/7 $115 / 14115 / 22131 / 2144 / 16$ average [2] 115/7 131/8
AVERY [79] 1/6 1/20 3/2 3/10 4/5
$4 / 119 / 199 / 2012 / 1118 / 2320 / 11$
$22 / 1$ 24/5 33/10 34/1 38/18 39/2
39/6 39/7 39/8 39/10 40/1 41/16
42/24 45/21 46/23 47/13 47/25 54/9 55/6 58/16 60/19 62/18 62/19 62/20 62/21 63/17 64/3 64/1 7 65/3 66/1 66/7 66/10 66/13 66/14 67/9 78/2 81/17 85/14 100/4 103/24 104/14 118/19 122/12 122/25 132/23 152/25 156/14 158/21 164/16 171/19 176/22 176/22 176/25 177/2 177/3 177/14 178/7 178/7 178/8 179/1 179/14 180/22 181/11 187/7 187/21 188/7 188/12 189/5
Avery's [75] 5/12 8/5 8/9 9/10 19/3 22/2 22/13 24/11 24/24 25/23 26/8 26/9 34/2 40/3 42/14 $43 / 13$ 44/12 45/1 45/25 46/19 46/22 48/15 49/5 49/21 60/8 60/10 62/6 80/24 81/4 81/17 81/22 85/6 85/13 87/24 88/3 88/17 89/15 89/16 92/19 96/8 103/14 103/15 108/5 108/24 110/3

Avery's... [30] 110/18 111/12 118/7 120/9 122/7 122/15 123/24 124/18 131/5 131/5 131/21 133/22 135/4 137/13 137/16 137/22 139/2
139/24 140/14 141/6 141/11
141/12 141/25 142/15 142/21
144/16 145/1 146/21 147/9 156/6
Averys [1] 112/17
avoid [1] 117/8
awake [1] 181/12
aware [11] 4/25 9/7 43/11 60/25 89/5 89/1 8 90/17 134/6 163/13 173/2 181/3
away [4] 24/5 96/25 $113 / 17$ 178/8
B
B-o-w-e [1] 170/18
back[72] 8/2 9/9 19/13 21/12 22/1 24/8 24/10 24/16 25/2 25/7 26/5 26/7 27/3 41/2 45/20 46/19 46/22 47/2 47/4 47/9 48/14 49/21 51/1
54/7 66/23 67/1 75/23 77/13
79/19 83/22 84/8 85/16 86/18
86/1 8 87/24 87/24 88/24 90/8
91/11 94/5 94/18 95/10 95/14 95/15 95/17 95/18 98/3 107/20 108/3 108/11 110/1 110/3111/11
112/20 135/1 137/19 138/1 138/13 138/15 149/23 152/13 158/14 159/8 166/16 167/20 167/22
167/23 172/15 174/16 175/7
177/13 189/7
backed [1] 113/19
background [1] 42/8
bad [1] 76/21
bag [4] 17/23 18/7 52/4 84/11
bags [1] 82/24
ballpark [1] 61/8
Barb [2] 60/11 62/6
Barbara's [2] 82/8 156/7
base [2] 96/8 96/9
based [14] 31/14 35/8 35/8 35/9
$35 / 1042 / 244 / 13$ 70/10 72/6
83/15 83/17 93/16 93/23 94/3
basement [1] 133/1
basic [1] 74/3
basically [11] 29/12 72/13 82/2 130/1 154/22 $157 / 6$ 159/7 161/6 161/19 163/9 185/7
basics [1] 117/12
basing [1] 96/11
basis [2] 89/24 146/4
bathroom [6] 5/24 13/14 15/18 32/6 131/7 168/17
be [132] $3 / 21$ 6/4 7/5 7/14 10/25 11/4 12/18 14/18 14/24 15/22 17/17 21/24 25/21 27/1 27/8 27/19 27/24 28/6 29/2 30/7 30/13 30/16 30/20 31/9 37/4 40/20 41/9 $41 / 943 / 1845 / 848 / 348 / 1350 / 1$ 51/14 53/6 53/7 53/20 55/21 56/9 56/15 58/8 60/11 60/21 60/21 61/12 62/10 64/2 64/23 65/21 $71 / 471 / 1071 / 1574 / 774 / 19$ 74/24 75/17 78/8 79/6 83/10 84/8 84/24 86/12 87/18 88/10 91/9 91/10 92/25 93/9 94/1 100/22 102/4 104/14 107/18 107/19 108/1 110/3 116/5 $118 / 17125 / 14130 / 8$ 130/8 130/10 134/12 134/16 136/2 137/3 137/9 140/1 144/19 144/19

144/24 145/8 146/3 146/10 151/10 152/14 152/17 153/11 153/25
154/3 154/5 154/17 155/11 156/3 156/4 156/9 156/19 156/22 157/4 157/19 157/21 158/3 159/6 159/24 160/18 162/25 170/16 173/6 173/6 180/4 180/24 182/14 183/9 184/8
186/2 186/11 186/18 186/21
187/16 187/22 188/22 188/25
beat [1] 132/16
became [3] 161/6 169/3 169/9
because [37] 4/25 14/3 24/16
41/23 43/12 43/13 67/5 71/5
71/24 75/24 76/1 82/22 82/22
83/3 91/13 94/12 95/12 99/4
101/16 116/4 116/6 121/22 121/25
122/11 125/20 134/6 134/11
135/12 137/17 140/5 143/17
150/12 169/8 178/1 183/8 184/8
187/3
become [3] 37/23 58/11 105/21 becoming [1] 83/11
bed [3] 17/6 17/9 25/11
bedding [3] 10/20 25/12 84/15
bedroom [14] 5/25 13/8 13/20
15/19 15/25 17/1 17/5 17/8 19/15
25/13 47/12 84/16 131/7 131/8
been [113] $3 / 195 / 146 / 86 / 16$
7/18 9/5 17/4 17/19 19/19 25/14
27/14 30/10 33/15 33/17 35/4 $35 / 2036 / 1537 / 237 / 1337 / 16$ $37 / 1838 / 439 / 539 / 1945 / 24$
48/22 50/5 56/13 56/23 56/24 57/22 61/4 62/22 63/6 64/9 64/13 64/15 64/16 65/12 65/25 66/3 69/5 70/15 71/21 71/23 71/25 72/7 73/1 73/2 73/2 78/17 80/6 81/13 85/20 86/2 87/21 94/3 96/9 98/7 98/11 98/21 101/6 103/13 106/12 106/16 109/25 110/5 110/11 114/18 $119 / 14$ 122/24 123/18 123/24 125/1 126/1 126/10 127/14 127/25 133/2 139/7 140/10 142/6 143/15 144/21 146/15 151/8 151/23 152/2 155/17 168/1 170/14 171/6 171/8 173/14 174/16 174/20 174/23 175/23 176/3 176/18 176/19 177/17 181/11 181/12 181/13 183/1 183/17 185/11
185/16 187/24 188/8 189/8 191/8 before [23] 1/9 6/8 22/9 22/10 29/15 30/20 40/10 52/11 95/1 123/25 137/14 151/13 171/13 177/2 178/6 178/8 178/19 179/15 179/18 185/12 186/7 186/25 189/3 began [5] 67/20 153/20 163/6 166/11 168/11
beginning [3] 54/11 85/10 144/25 behalf [5] 1/13 1/15 1/17 1/19 3/10
behind [10] 63/10 77/15 135/4 137/13 137/16 155/8 161/22 163/16 166/5 173/8
being [26] 35/11 35/12 47/24 48/4 69/18 72/9 74/6 82/25 85/16 86/9 86/24 87/16 89/16 93/25 94/21
98/24 108/7 130/11 136/25 140/8 159/22 161/23 175/23 189/18 189/21 189/23
belief [1] $95 / 5$
beliefs [1] 95/13
believe [80] $3 / 12$ 4/6 4/7 4/13 5/7 6/24 8/1 9/8 9/16 10/22 11/10
$15 / 16$ 17/25 20/15 24/18 25/11
26/4 26/6 32/13 32/16 33/11 34/3
38/2 43/9 46/2 46/3 46/21 47/24 48/8 48/22 50/6 51/22 60/4 60/10 63/9 63/21 67/12 71/23 79/6
79/15 80/13 81/9 84/11 86/19 88/6 89/8 95/15 96/4 96/5 96/18 100/1 8 100/20 100/24 108/9
108/10 108/11 109/6 $118 / 4119 / 5$ 129/12 131/4 131/10 134/1 134/8 138/20 139/14 139/15 140/1 147/9 148/24 149/25 151/17 155/21 163/22 166/23 175/4 175/22 182/22 184/9 189/11
believed [4] 11/4 61/11 111/20 137/24
below [1] 188/3
benchmark [1] 124/21
benefit [2] 101/11 143/15
benefits [1] 105/17
berm [14] 154/21 155/2 155/2
159/5 159/8 159/16 159/19 160/3
162/18 166/11 166/15 173/14 173/23 173/25
berms [3] 159/10 159/18 162/16 besides [3] 34/24 35/6 35/19 best [4] 82/21 88/9 177/20 191/13 better [12] 45/15 61/9 67/2 74/19 76/14 104/5 116/5 141/20 141/24 159/19 173/19 180/25
between [7] 15/7 17/8 42/25 114/3 122/18 142/6 177/18
beyond [6] 14/9 155/2 167/21
184/20 187/19188/25
big [4] 22/21 71/13 131/6 144/7
biggest [1] 129/15
Bill [1] 44/16
bit [3] 14/13 41/15 159/8
blades [1] 118/13
blanche [1] 111/21
blocked [1] 164/14
blood [25] 11/1 12/20 15/15 23/22
23/24 24/1 29/8 70/23 71/9 86/11
$87 / 1487 / 1987 / 1988 / 188 / 5$
91/23 91/24 94/13 94/15 94/18
96/4 97/24 134/18 134/20 149/5
bloodhound [1] 135/2
bloodstains [3] 11/4 14/24 23/12
blowing [1] 75/22
Bobby [2] 148/8 148/9
bodies [1] 134/19
body [6] 70/2 74/8 75/10 82/1
104/17 137/2
bone [2] 96/13 96/19
bones [2] 99/2 127/14
border [1] 73/24
boss [1] 132/15
both [8] 52/21 93/4 93/7 157/2
167/17 172/9 172/18 179/14
bottom [2] 18/2 $155 / 4$
BOWE [19] 2/19 33/5 33/6 68/21
74/18 78/17 83/24 101/25 126/9
140/2 165/5 165/8 165/12 165/18
168/18 170/11 170/13170/18
170/24
box [1] 154/7
BRANCH [2] 1/1 191/5
breach [3] 166/11 166/13 168/1
breached [1] 168/5
breaches [1] 172/22
breaching [1] 162/18
break[5] 55/24 67/5 149/21
178/13 189/3
breakdown [1] 61/1
breaks [4] 168/16 168/17 168/17 168/21
breeze [1] 42/2
BRETT [3] 2/19 170/13 170/18 brief [3] 42/7 118/12 188/17
briefing [1] 59/12
briefly [4] 54/10 155/7 173/16 188/23
bring [5] 123/7 128/18 180/1 182/4 183/12
bringing [3] 85/23 135/20 143/4
broader [1] 63/16
broken [1] 100/23
brought [8] 77/7 100/20 102/16
113/15 123/5 135/1 135/10 161/2 brush [1] 84/13
building[7] 40/9 62/8 77/11 78/22 82/7 84/22 93/25
buildings [30] 35/23 35/25 61/16 61/17 61/24 62/1 62/2 62/2 69/6 70/12 73/16 74/3 74/12 78/25
80/23 81/10 82/12 85/2 85/5 87/6
95/10 127/6 134/8 142/12 143/17
143/18 145/1 153/9 155/24 158/17
bunch [1] 137/5
burden [2] 182/7 182/8
Bureau [1] 57/7
burial [1] 98/12
burn [6] 96/14 127/14 135/4
135/16 137/13 137/16
bus [1] 99/9
business [6] 61/2 62/3 62/21
81/11 103/5 $112 / 7$
businesses [1] 81/10
busy [2] 95/8 144/20
but [100] 8/4 $11 / 6$ 13/4 13/25
14/13 14/17 15/1 15/12 16/10 17/23 19/10 19/23 21/19 23/20
25/20 29/5 29/7 31/9 32/1 33/24 35/20 35/24 36/17 39/7 39/14 40/21 41/10 41/14 42/8 44/1 46/2 1 49/18 50/6 52/25 53/8 54/6 54/13 63/22 64/11 65/12 74/19 75/20 76/25 77/9 78/7 78/19 79/3 80/7 81/24 84/12 87/10 90/6 93/7 96/5 96/10 99/18 101/25 107/23 108/2 108/12 109/9 110/6 111/5 112/22 114/4 114/13115/14
115/18 $115 / 22119 / 1119 / 15$ 120/1
122/18 123/13 129/4 129/12 130/7
130/13 131/17 132/12 133/3
134/18 135/25 136/3 139/4 141/8 145/25 152/15 154/20 158/13
169/8 169/12 172/21 176/17
177/13 181/14 185/9 185/25
186/10 189/16
BUTING [15] $1 / 182 / 42 / 62 / 82 / 10$ 2/13 3/9 3/15 14/11 31/17 39/23
106/23 135/7 175/19 187/14
Buting's [1] 188/8
bypassed [1] 142/7
bystanders [1] 167/2
C
C-o-I-b-o-r-n [1] 37/7
cadaver [5] 9/4 73/17 73/19
133/11 133/21
cadavers [1] 134/21
caliber [1] 23/10
call [22] 3/15 26/16 36/23 38/8

55/19 56/10 71/14 129/18 151/4
152/14 152/16 152/19 170/8
170/11 180/17180/17181/6
181/17 188/1 $188 / 1$ 188/6 188/7 called [11] 3/18 37/1 38/16 43/3 56/12 77/18 105/1 150/20 151/7 152/23 170/13
calling [1] 187/16
calls [2] 3/1 188/2
Calumet [46] 4/21 5/4 5/9 8/13 10/9 17/15 20/5 21/19 33/23 38/9 38/16 43/10 43/15 43/17 44/16 46/14 47/2 48/7 48/24 52/8 52/13 52/20 55/2 58/14 59/2 59/10 59/16 68/21 72/7 72/15 88/13 100/9 100/11 102/11 103/24 121/13 122/5 127/16 129/10 150/8 151/22 161/2 165/5 170/22 171/2 171/7
Calumet's [1] 26/3
came [14] 40/11 57/14 79/2 79/21 86/18 86/18 90/8 91/11 100/3
132/15 147/12 174/1 175/2 177/18
camp [1] 181/16
can [43] 13/2 14/16 22/21 28/17
29/2 42/23 50/16 63/5 63/23 64/5 67/9 68/7 84/14 90/5 98/5 98/5
108/17 109/8 116/16 116/16
116/23 130/20 131/6 134/18
135/22 142/21 146/8 152/8 152/18
154/9 154/11 155/9 157/10 160/19
164/6 169/3 170/24 183/20 185/24
186/21 187/9 189/9 189/15
can't [7] 15/6 64/8 107/17 108/16 114/4 142/8 142/19
canvasses [2] 85/21 105/1
capabilities [2] 80/22 131/24
capable [7] 115/10 115/24 121/7
121/18 121/23 126/1 130/5
capacity [4] 44/23 70/14 128/20 171/22
car[16] 22/23 33/18 87/4 87/5
100/21 148/19 158/17 159/2 159/3
162/6 174/14 177/3 177/15 178/7
178/9 178/10
care [1] 112/14
careful [1] 27/25
carefully [1] 191/8
carpet [1] 25/14
carpeting [3] 17/1 17/2 17/4
cars [6] 34/15 61/9 61/12 61/14
74/21 158/12
cart [3] 87/20 87/22 111/20
carton [1] 130/10
case [32] $1 / 53 / 29 / 216 / 2532 / 1$
39/1953/14 55/4 57/23 58/9
58/12 58/21 59/7 59/15 69/23
91/8 93/8 94/24 107/12 107/13
107/22 113/10 113/12 113/22
113/24 114/3 120/18 122/11129/1
136/1 175/24 181/7
cases [7] 12/5 31/23 58/1 89/8
113/20 150/16150/19
casings [1] 7/22
cast [1] 101/23
catch [1] 160/19
categorize [1] 82/2
cause [6] 109/12 136/8 185/11
185/17 185/23 186/3
caused [1] 81/20
Caves [1] 98/19
CD [1] 177/11
cell [3] 98/24 105/6 105/6
cellphone [1] 177/1
center [1] 63/19
certain [8] 19/4 20/17 31/23 90/22
116/21 118/4 121/25 163/14
certainly [15] 14/5 53/7 63/25
69/19 70/25 72/23 75/3 85/8
90/11 113/14 113/25 118/5 136/11
145/8 145/17
certificate [2] 117/18 117/22
certified [1] 114/18
certify [1] 191/6
CF [2] $1 / 53 / 3$
chalkboard [2] 63/10 $173 / 17$
challenge [6] 178/24 178/25
179/23 179/25 182/5 183/21
challenging [1] 3/14
chambers [4] 184/6 189/4 189/6 189/14
chance [3] 175/24 178/9 179/19
change [3] 85/6 158/12 188/5
changes [3] 67/7 68/18 68/18
charge [12] $31 / 2$ 32/18 59/1 68/22
78/14 90/16 154/1 164/24 171/24
174/18 175/12 190/3
Charles [3] 40/4 40/6 40/8
Charles' [1] 40/10
check [8] 38/18 65/14 66/17 87/17
87/21 88/1 101/9 149/7
checked [1] 99/5
checking [1] 6/1
checkmark [1] 64/20
checkpoint [7] 62/17 64/5 64/22
66/12 164/1 167/21 167/23
checkpoints [12] 62/15 64/7 64/9
64/12 64/15 64/25 66/7 66/10 67/8 139/16 163/14 163/17
children [1] 57/18
choice [1] 182/13
choices [1] 45/8
choosing [1] 182/11
chose [3] $111 / 18$ 144/17 187/8
chosen [3] 179/13 180/2 184/16
Chute [2] 102/17 129/2
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/10 191/5
circumstances [5] 81/19 90/23
103/9 179/7 181/22
citizens [1] 105/1
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { city [6] } & 65 / 17 & 100 / 8 \quad 102 / 13 & 122 / 5\end{array}$ 128/3 128/10
civil [3] 39/19 122/12 123/10
civilian [1] 104/19
civilians [1] 153/11
claim [1] 182/15
clandestine [3] 98/12 99/1 136/18
clarified [1] 186/21
cleaner [5] 17/23 18/8 84/10 84/11 84/13
clear[10] 6/6 41/13 63/14 83/21
88/19 119/17 154/4 180/25 185/2 186/17
cleared [1] 132/19
clearly [1] 90/16
client [3] 182/17 187/15 189/24
climb [1] 159/7
clock [3] 68/11 139/21 139/24
close [8] 12/8 40/8 46/6 65/12
65/25 66/14 139/18 $179 / 15$
closed [2] 166/24 189/18
closer [2] 65/3 158/17
closets [2] 6/2 6/12
clothing [1] 6/3
clue [1] 74/7
co [5] 59/13 59/14 125/15 134/11

Co... [1] 138/21
co-lead [3] 59/13 59/14 138/21
co-leader [2] 125/15 134/11 cognizant [1] 105/21
Colborn [8] 10/5 16/14 26/2 50/6 122/21 123/23 147/10 147/20
Colborn's [1] 53/9
COLBURN [7] 2/9 21/17 37/1 37/6 124/3 124/12 132/13
cold [1] 57/23
collect [43] $17 / 2 \quad 17 / 14$ 28/18 $29 / 6$ 29/14 31/23 32/6 51/7 51/8 52/4 83/13 84/14 84/14 88/7 90/2 92/2 92/4 94/19 101/17 114/16114/17 115/24 116/18 116/20 116/22 118/2 118/4 119/23 121/2 121/8 121/10 121/15 121/16 122/1 124/23 124/25 125/3 125/4 125/9 127/8 127/18 127/20 131/25
collected [10] 14/7 14/7 16/12 25/8 30/17 52/2 87/18 88/12 91/24 126/18
collecting[13] 14/8 23/16 23/24
28/8 28/23 29/1951/1351/17 102/21 115/11 121/18 126/2 127/16
collection [48] $16 / 1$ 16/5 16/6 16/15 28/13 28/25 29/24 30/19 71/17 72/22 72/24 77/4 77/6 79/7 79/10 79/13 79/15 79/18 80/4 $80 / 1882 / 2486 / 1686 / 2288 / 11$ 92/13 101/13 102/7 102/8 102/11 114/10 114/12 119/25 120/6 120/15 120/21 120/25 123/11 124/20 126/8 127/10 128/8 128/16 128/24 136/13 137/12 137/15 143/12 150/11
collections [1] 36/4
collectively [2] 34/4 52/8
collector [2] 27/14 117/19
collectors [3] 128/9 129/20 150/18
collects [1] 114/15
colloquy [10] 178/25 179/6 179/14 180/4 180/22 181/20 184/13 187/6 187/21 188/12
color [1] 57/15
combined [1] 122/16
come [25] 44/11 45/20 47/6 47/7
59/9 73/8 73/17 77/13 78/23 81/2
81/6 83/21 86/15 104/9 126/23
128/1 128/22 129/3 132/20 143/9
156/14 166/3 166/9 172/14 189/7
comes [1] 177/13
comfortable [1] 35/11
coming [14] 62/18 95/20 96/3 96/11 98/20 99/8 105/5 105/7 144/4 156/13 162/16 164/17 167/6 168/3
command [23] 33/15 62/22 62/25 66/24 88/25 126/7 126/21 156/12 160/12 160/20 160/22 161/1 161/3 161/4 161/5 161/6 165/10 166/13
167/10 167/16 168/14 168/15 171/24
commanded [1] 12/24
commands [1] 130/14
commercial [2] 61/16 62/2
common [1] 131/17
commonly [1] 131/18
community [3] 105/17 163/21
163/23
compiled [1] 52/16
complete [5] 32/14 94/25 103/6 142/24 143/16
completed [13] 18/22 19/24 45/16 46/16 47/20 49/4 84/25 92/15 92/21 93/13 117/22 153/17189/8 completely [1] 112/7
completing [3] 14/15 142/20
182/18
compliance [1] 151/14
component [1] 101/14
comprehensive [2] 106/13 129/15
compromised [1] 140/8
computer [11] 48/1 48/5 94/8
95/16 137/22 138/1 138/9 138/18 139/5 139/6 191/10
computer-assisted [1] 191/10
computerized [1] 191/9
conceal [1] 80/7
concede [1] 14/5
conceivable [1] 167/25
conceivably [1] 71/14
concepts [2] 42/1 187/11
conceptually [1] 42/1
concern [5] 4/17 73/6 131/24
140/7 140/10
concerned [1] 72/24
concerns [5] 76/9 77/2 77/5
112/13 167/1
conclude [1] 176/12
condition [1] 142/22
conditions [3] 93/24 132/7 132/7
conduct [2] 44/13 93/3
conducted [4] 91/10 94/21 96/20 183/7
conducting [3] 26/21 27/9 188/12
conference [2] 54/25 55/2
confidence [1] 35/8
confident [1] 16/2
confines [1] 155/10
confirm [1] 75/10
conflict [2] 5/1 43/12
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { consent }[8] & 6 / 9 & 42 / 2 & 181 / 2 & 185 / 12\end{array}$
186/6 186/7 186/15 186/24
consideration [1] 185/24
considered [3] 185/16 185/20 186/2
considering [1] 145/8
consistent [1] 12/20
consists [1] 53/23
constant [1] 89/11
constantly [2] 85/22 98/10
constitute [2] 71/8 182/24
constitutional [1] 182/10
constraints [1] 110/5
consuming [1] 27/23
contact [7] 40/6 55/6 55/9 75/16
89/1 1 153/20 166/14
contacted [2] 39/4 166/13
contain [1] 67/1
contained [3] 11/8 61/2 109/10
container [1] 11/24
containment [1] 155/5
contaminating [1] 117/8
contemplating [1] 133/15
contended [1] 177/18
continually [1] 83/24
continuation [2] 3/4 91/21
continue [3] 95/5 108/23 156/10
continued [3] 18/10 73/23 85/1
continuous [1] 67/21
contradicting [2] 96/1 96/2
control [6] 58/25 67/22 67/24 93/6

## $107 / 7$ 167/3

controlled [2] 12/6 28/13
conversations [1] 24/20
conveyed [1] 88/24
cooperative [3] 21/6 31/5 34/5
coordinate [3] 4/20 77/19 172/3
coordinated [1] 104/20
coordinating[2] 78/18 101/18 copy [1] 108/18
corner [29] 60/9 64/18 67/3 68/9
77/8 80/25 140/6 148/17 156/4
156/23 157/6 157/7 157/17 157/20
157/23 158/13 158/24 159/10
159/16 160/10 160/11 167/8
169/19 169/19 169/20 173/12
174/3 174/22 175/13
corners [4] 68/16 140/4 162/6 168/20
correct [86] 4/5 5/8 5/16 6/13 6/21
7/6 9/11 9/15 9/17 10/5 10/10 11/1 12/12 13/14 17/24 18/9 21/14 21/25 22/11 22/20 23/3
23/10 23/11 23/14 23/18 24/2
24/13 28/23 29/17 30/15 30/17
30/18 31/3 31/7 31/13 31/24 32/7
$32 / 1032 / 2333 / 1135 / 742 / 18$
50/14 50/25 54/20 60/5 61/25
66/16 80/20 107/8 108/7 108/25
109/5 109/13 109/18 $110 / 4$ 112/9
113/10 113/13 114/22 117/11
124/16 126/14 126/19 130/24
133/17 137/9 137/10 139/21
140/11 140/15 142/13 142/14
143/20 154/3 156/9 156/24 157/24
158/8 158/21 158/22 159/2 162/9
162/14 164/22 191/12
corrections [1] 37/16
correctly [2] 106/1 182/22
corridor [1] 159/3
corruption [1] 57/16
cotton [3] 11/19 11/25 28/11
cotton-tipped [3] 11/19 11/25 28/1 1
could [55] 14/12 $17 / 17$ 17/19 18/2
$30 / 930 / 2032 / 15$ 35/4 38/4 38/17
38/17 40/10 40/20 47/7 51/13
63/2 64/20 64/23 65/19 66/2
69/13 69/15 71/14 71/14 83/10
$87 / 13$ 97/13 98/11111/16112/21
114/1 120/20 121/15 126/17
128/24 128/25 129/24 130/4
137/20 138/13 143/17 144/9
159/14 162/17 163/5 166/5 168/1
168/2 168/4 173/25 174/16 178/16
183/23 186/2 187/21
couldn't [2] 76/1 161/19
counsel [9] 30/9 151/13 178/14 180/6 182/13 182/16 184/6 189/3 189/5
counsel's [2] 34/13 188/4
count [7] 44/2 53/15 61/13 62/8
78/7 79/20 127/12
count each [1] 53/15
counties [1] 103/22
counting [1] 57/23
county [45] 1/1 4/21 4/22 8/13
$17 / 16$ 33/23 47/2 48/24 52/13
$55 / 255 / 858 / 1459 / 259 / 1068 / 21$
$72 / 1072 / 1572 / 16$ 88/13 100/7
100/9 100/11 102/12 102/13
103/14 103/18 103/21 121/13
122/4 122/6 122/9 127/16 129/10
150/8 151/22 153/1 153/8 156/17
county...[7] 161/2 163/25 164/15 165/6 169/11 171/7 191/2
couple [4] 41/20 53/1 54/3 150/2 coupled [1] 77/7
course [25] 61/6 65/1 68/2 76/10 77/16 78/10 79/17 81/8 81/14
85/7 88/4 89/6 92/10 94/19 96/22
99/13 100/7 102/6 105/19 113/5
113/6 162/7 162/10 166/1 168/22
court [19] 1/1 1/10 1/25 3/1 93/8
110/25 179/5 180/15 180/21 181/4
181/15 181/19 183/7 183/24
183/25 185/19 191/4 191/5 191/19
Court's [1] 90/25
courtroom [1] 152/21
cover [4] 157/7 159/5 159/9
162/16
covered [2] 157/9 169/23
covering [1] 158/13
crawl [2] 133/2 133/2
create [2] 77/2 165/23
crime [40] 27/18 36/10 75/15
75/15 79/20 79/21 79/23 80/9
80/10 80/12 86/8 86/14 87/16
89/9 90/1 91/18 92/5 92/10 92/12
94/11 95/12 96/4 96/6 97/14
97/20 102/14 103/25 113/16
113/19115/11117/1 122/5 125/9
126/4 127/12 146/1 146/8 150/17
150/21 164/17
crimes [1] 57/17
criminal [6] 44/22 56/22 59/6
114/24 121/9 150/14
criticizing[1] 130/12
cross [9] 2/5 2/13 27/9 27/12
106/24 123/8 123/15 135/22 170/5
cross-examination [5] 2/5 2/13
27/12 106/24 135/22
cross-examine [1] 123/8
crushed [2] 87/4 87/5
crusher [3] 87/4 87/5 148/19
curious [1] 167/5
current [2] 57/4 57/20
currently [3] 57/6 151/25 171/4
curtilage [1] 185/5
custody [1] 21/24
cut [1] 25/19
D
Dan [1] 48/23
danger [1] $83 / 4$
dark [6] 76/13 76/16 77/7 82/19
142/9 142/9
darker [1] 142/25
Dassey [2] 148/8 148/9
data [2] 96/8 96/9
date [5] 1/8 30/19 38/1 $119 / 12$ 157/15
Dated [1] 191/15
Dave [1] 3/23
DAVID [2] $2 / 3$ 3/18
day [48] 6/7 22/9 24/14 25/19
34/13 42/19 43/2 43/21 44/4
45/20 47/23 48/13 49/3 49/4
49/10 49/20 50/8 58/19 64/8 66/11 85/22 91/11 94/6 96/18 99/20 99/20 99/22 100/18 108/2 109/4 $110 / 11113 / 5113 / 13118 / 25$ 119/1 119/2 119/3 119/18 130/16 134/22 143/23 158/13 159/4 169/7 169/20 172/11 172/18 191/15
daylight [4] 30/2 30/4 76/13 76/15 days [11] 41/20 68/14 81/15 103/6 105/22 141/10 144/4 159/12 164/21 165/1 183/18
DCI[14] 36/14 56/24 57/14 58/13 58/13 72/16 73/2 104/2 114/2 114/6 114/14 116/4 127/15 127/18 deal[3] 29/12 30/24 137/7
dealing [3] 28/8 60/22 112/14
deals [1] 54/6
dealt [2] 112/16 138/21
DEAN [2] 1/16 3/11
debrief [1] 84/3
debriefing [1] 83/25
debriefings [2] 90/19 94/20
debris [1] 80/6
deceased [1] 134/19
decide [1] 122/14
decided [5] 72/13 102/3 104/17
104/21 123/7
decision [21] 20/24 33/25 72/6 90/20 93/6 97/20 109/6 109/7 109/7 122/17 122/19 123/6 125/12 138/4 138/17 144/20 147/5 180/5
181/5 181/16 187/15
decisions [12] 21/7 31/9 31/11 34/5 50/21 96/12 98/10 180/16 180/16 187/23 187/24 188/6
defendant [12] 1/7 1/17 1/19 1/20
179/1 179/6 180/17 184/14 184/19 184/25 186/11 186/22
defendant's [2] 93/14 186/15
defense [16] $3 / 13$ 36/23 91/12
176/6 177/17 181/13 181/16 182/8
182/24 183/8 184/2 184/17 184/24
188/4 189/5 189/22
definitely [8] 27/25 28/21 29/10
30/21 31/4 65/6 94/23 103/20
degree [2] 75/8 125/24
deliberately [1] 185/21
demanded [1] 179/24
denied [1] 112/5
department [42] 5/2 8/13 10/12
$37 / 1239 / 1743 / 1444 / 1744 / 24$
$52 / 1452 / 15$ 55/8 56/21 57/5
$58 / 15$ 59/11 59/17 68/22 70/15
89/10 100/10 102/18 103/25
106/15 114/21 115/1 121/14
121/18 122/4 128/7 128/15 130/2
141/5 150/15 151/22 153/22
156/17 161/2 161/17 165/6 166/25
170/22 171/2
departments [5] 100/11 128/22
129/9 129/11 162/2
depending [2] 21/4 158/12
Depends [1] 133/10
depicted [13] 60/6 60/17 60/23
63/12 63/15 64/24 66/4 67/11
67/19 77/18 157/18 158/6 164/5
depiction [1] 60/18
deposed [4] 39/19 122/24 123/18 123/24
deprive [1] 112/19
deputies [1] 73/1
deputized [1] 189/21
deputy [8] $21 / 20$ 26/3 38/17 46/14
48/23 155/18 170/23 171/3
describe [2] 64/5 102/15
described [1] 174/20
designating [1] 65/25
destroyed [1] 83/1
detached [5] 7/8 22/5 22/12 26/8
135/5
detail [4] 14/6 14/19 27/24 126/11
detailed [3] 14/9 71/10 73/10
detect [1] 82/17
detection [2] 77/3 77/6
detective [17] $2 / 33 / 18$ 26/1 26/23
44/17 46/11 $51 / 2052 / 16$ 69/1
69/1 88/25 124/15 179/9 180/10
185/14 186/5 186/14
determination [1] 125/4
determinations [1] 86/1
determine [8] 23/25 61/7 89/22
94/14 98/4 125/13 169/25 178/14
determined [5] 11/5 98/14 137/8 137/9 157/5
determining [2] 142/23 186/3
devices [1] 143/12
devote [2] 136/8 136/9
diagonally [1] 164/9
Diane [3] 1/24 191/4 191/19
dictated [1] 53/24
did [210]
didn't [44] 7/21 7/21 19/5 19/17
23/19 24/10 35/20 35/24 39/6 42/16 42/21 47/4 47/6 49/18 51/17 52/4 53/18 88/16 90/6 91/4 93/1 108/11 108/13 111/5 113/17 120/1 121/21 121/22 123/20 124/3 125/20 126/16 129/4 133/12 143/9 143/20 145/19 145/23 146/3
176/23 178/4 183/11 186/6 186/10 difference [4] 70/21 71/13 71/18
72/21
different [12] 4/23 13/5 21/19
41/9 42/1 53/1 97/6 97/16110/15
127/4 127/9 138/6
difficult [4] 82/17 168/22 169/8 169/13
digital [1] 11/10
dimensions [1] 133/4
direct [24] $2 / 4$ 2/8 $2 / 102 / 122 / 17$
2/20 4/1 37/8 56/18 62/25 63/9
77/14 81/20 92/3 116/11118/21
123/6 123/14 132/2 132/4 135/10
151/19155/7 170/19
directed [11] 47/5 47/9 54/21
78/24 81/3 81/7 87/23 92/11
141/12 148/16 188/10
directing [8] $33 / 855 / 1062 / 12$
67/17 97/25 152/22 154/5 171/16
direction [3] 33/25 46/25 47/1
directly [5] 40/7 47/6 $116 / 24$
125/12 158/20
dirty [1] 145/10
disagree [1] 41/25
discourse [2] 188/22 189/1
discovered [1] 143/24
discovery [1] 53/8
discuss [2] 184/5 189/6
discussed [2] 187/14 188/14
discussion [4] 30/24 35/8 187/19 188/2 1
discussions [5] 4/15 8/16 20/23
187/16 188/17
dispatch [1] 130/22
dispatched [3] 98/18 98/22 98/25
disposal [6] 102/9 115/10 115/14 116/1 117/14 130/2
dispute [2] 141/14 141/18
disregard [1] 183/2
disseminate [1] 70/11
distance [1] 148/21
distilled [1] 11/23
ditch [1] 98/25

## dive [1] 78/8

divider [1] 154/21
Division [4] 45/5 56/22 59/6 150/14
DNA [9] 12/18 23/17 96/7 96/8 96/9 107/15 140/19 140/21 140/25 do [109] 4/20 5/20 8/6 8/8 10/20 11/18 11/20 12/21 12/24 13/2 14/22 16/10 17/12 25/18 29/14 31/22 31/22 32/9 33/5 33/9 33/12 $34 / 1334 / 1734 / 2235 / 535 / 21$ 35/22 36/3 38/14 38/21 38/23 43/6 43/20 43/23 43/24 44/4 44/5 45/14 45/20 45/23 47/4 47/24 48/4 49/16 49/19 49/20 49/25 50/18 50/21 51/10 51/1751/24 52/1 55/11 56/20 56/20 64/24 65/22 69/5 69/11 70/9 70/11 72/13 80/2 86/2 86/21 88/16 90/6 93/1 94/25 95/18 97/11 98/4 98/8 98/9 102/2 102/6 105/24 105/25 116/6 117/4 120/8 120/15 123/10 125/11 127/1 130/22 131/3 131/3 141/18 144/12 149/15 151/25 157/17 158/15 169/14 169/21 170/21 170/21 171/4 172/10 176/16 178/14 178/19 181/19
184/7 187/21 190/4 191/6
doctrine [1] 189/25
documented [1] 9/5
documents [1] 118/21
does [19] 8/21 14/4 26/17 28/1 28/3 51/7 83/12 90/9 90/12 91/1 114/14 131/15 135/17 135/17 176/12 180/19 182/3 182/4 183/8
doesn't [7] 28/5 29/9 40/5 178/10 183/20 183/25 188/5
dog[11] 8/6 8/10 8/20 9/4 73/21 74/6 133/24 134/6 135/16 137/17 174/12
dogs [27] 72/1 73/17 73/19 74/1
74/2 74/4 74/4 74/10 74/14 74/19 74/25 82/1 112/10 133/12 133/21
134/2 134/7 134/13 134/15 134/22 135/3 135/10 135/15 135/15 135/24 136/7 136/20
doing [22] 4/16 $14 / 4$ 15/1 $15 / 22$ 20/5 23/21 28/13 28/13 32/8 35/7 40/12 85/18 86/12 89/12 91/20 97/8 97/21 104/3 121/24 144/1 144/11 153/9
dollar [2] 43/14 122/25
don't [66] 8/1 $9 / 8$ 11/16 14/17 15/17 19/19 27/17 33/4 34/3 40/19 41/10 42/3 42/5 42/6 44/2 46/2 47/1 49/10 49/24 63/3 67/12 76/25 82/6 99/18 107/23 108/11 114/20 115/4 118/9 120/7 120/17 121/20 123/12 125/10 126/3 126/13 126/19 128/17 129/8 130/15 130/16 $132 / 5132 / 11134 / 1$ 134/16 135/12 136/3 138/16 139/4 141/13 141/18 143/11 143/13 145/24 146/14 149/17 172/20 177/4 181/14 181/20 184/3 184/18 184/23 186/6 186/16 187/10
done [19] 9/7 15/25 16/15 27/1 31/10 45/12 74/2 76/14 77/23 84/7 90/4 93/21 111/16 129/16 130/8 130/8 $132 / 18142 / 24181 / 1$ door [11] 5/18 5/21 7/9 13/9 15/13
$15 / 14$ 24/4 49/22 119/15 178/9
189/19
doors [5] 5/21 6/11 100/22 149/7 178/10
doorway [7] 13/6 13/20 13/21
13/21 13/24 15/12 17/9
doorways [1] 10/24
double [1] 131/11
double-wide [1] 131/11
doubt [1] 29/20
down [23] 32/3 62/6 62/19 71/12
86/8 86/15 103/25 104/1 112/7
122/10 126/5 144/20 145/21
145/24 148/16 153/22 160/5 167/6
167/9 168/7 173/12 175/3 175/5
dozens [4] 107/18 107/19 107/22
107/23
draw [5] 58/10 60/4 66/23 67/1 157/16
drawer [1] 57/24
drawn [1] 96/25
dried [4] 11/8 $12 / 1 \quad 13 / 10 \quad 13 / 13$
drive [1] 169/7
driver [1] 99/9
driveway [1] 167/15
drop [1] 13/12
dropped [1] 113/16
dropping[1] 99/10
drops [2] 11/24 160/5
drove [2] 40/7 148/16
due [4] 94/6 130/22 154/17 169/21
duly [5] 3/19 37/2 56/13 151/8 170/14
duplicitous [1] 26/17
during [54] 33/9 35/1 36/8 36/17 41/10 46/20 57/17 58/3 59/3 61/6 65/1 66/11 68/2 68/14 72/4 73/25 76/10 76/15 77/16 78/10 79/17 81/8 85/6 88/3 89/6 94/19 96/22 $97 / 16$ 99/13 102/6 102/22 105/19 107/3 107/5 107/10 $113 / 1113 / 5$ 113/6 114/7 118/6 126/6 137/11 142/16 144/14 155/19 158/13 162/7 162/10 162/12 166/1 168/22 172/11 172/18 175/11
duties [13] $8 / 3$ 20/17 44/20 44/21 57/12 72/4 152/4 152/6 153/6 153/17 161/15 165/3 171/12
duty [4] 43/1 $153 / 13$ 171/25 172/2

## E

each [12] 28/15 30/6 30/13 53/15 65/14 68/9 90/19 100/24 114/9 140/6 175/13 186/20
Earl [2] 40/4 40/4
earlier [7] 25/4 39/20 65/12 96/21 121/3 123/1 127/11
early [5] 84/4 84/23 86/9 104/12
165/19
ease [1] 101/12
easel [1] 154/6
easement [1] 157/3
easier [2] 30/1 71/5
Easily [1] 113/8
east [12] 64/1 64/1 64/23 67/8
67/10 154/16 154/17 154/23
154/24 154/25 174/5 174/23
east-west [1] 64/1
eastern [2] 159/23 159/24
eaten [1] 104/3
echoing [1] 26/23
edge [6] 159/17 159/20 159/22
159/23 159/25 160/3
effect [4] 28/19 83/1 $176 / 21 \quad 177 / 6$
effort [2] 21/6 31/5
efforts [5] 4/3 4/21 101/19 101/20 155/15
eggs [1] 130/10
eight [2] 81/16 103/5
eighth [2] 110/20 139/13
either [14] 14/18 16/14 71/21
$72 / 14$ 78/8 84/6 85/1 8 86/21
87/12 124/3 139/17 158/3 181/20 181/21
else [13] 7/25 9/5 31/17 34/8 86/3
90/7 98/16 114/14 150/25 161/14
165/3 172/5 178/14
else's [1] 78/16
elsewhere [2] 8/3 158/9
employed [13] 37/10 37/13 37/21
56/23 56/24 100/17 100/18 100/19
102/4 128/10 151/21 151/23 171/6
employee [1] 185/8
employees [1] 161/17
en [2] 99/21 99/22
encounter [1] 54/9
end [8] 5/25 11/24 62/19 62/20
66/12 73/20 164/16 190/6
endanger [1] 69/13
ended [5] 50/11 75/23 107/2
136/25 178/22
endorsed [1] 108/20
enforcement [19] 34/22 56/25
58/25 68/9 69/10 99/15 100/5
100/25 101/1 101/23 102/4 102/20
104/24 113/1 $113 / 3$ 126/17 128/21 166/3 189/17
engage [4] 178/25 179/6 179/13 180/22
enough [3] 115/24 121/22 125/21
ensure [1] 29/18
enter [1] 78/25
entered [23] 5/21 5/22 5/22 7/3
$7 / 11$ 9/3 9/19 10/5 22/8 22/12
24/22 25/23 26/20 41/13 44/12
48/17 49/12 88/23 118/10118/15
118/18119/3119/6
entering [1] $89 / 6$
entire [11] 10/15 15/4 18/22 33/9
54/18 $112 / 22125 / 17139 / 18$
139/25 164/21 164/25
entirely [2] 28/22 51/25
entities [1] 100/5
entitled [3] 53/8 123/8 183/9
entrance [3] 92/1892/18 174/9
entrances [1] 174/19
entries [10] 54/1 56/6 81/4 81/7
81/16 81/18 81/21 82/4 92/4 185/3
entry[11] $5 / 11$ 6/15 8/16 8/18 40/19 41/23 50/9 51/2 55/7 92/12 95/6
environmental [1] 29/23
equation [4] 26/19 50/13 96/15
96/16
equipment [5] 16/7 21/5 35/10 35/11 35/12
error [1] 8/23
escorted [2] 167/20 167/22
especially [1] 29/21
essentially [4] 64/1 69/23 119/10 187/22
establish [2] 179/25 182/6
established [2] 62/15 90/14
estimate [4] 22/22 43/24 61/8 169/15
estimated [2] 77/24 153/14 estimating [1] 159/7
estimation [4] 15/7 110/24 116/4 185/24
etcetera [3] 71/13 94/7 95/10
euphemism [1] 175/14
even [17] 24/1 66/3 84/4 87/6 93/5 93/25 94/24 99/18 118/10 121/23 125/23 133/19 137/2 159/13 178/24 182/4 183/7
evening [27] $12 / 12$ 15/3 $18 / 11$
30/11 38/20 42/25 51/2 76/17
79/5 80/19 82/10 83/21 84/25
88/6 92/20 131/20 143/20 143/21
143/23 153/15 156/16 159/5
160/25 162/12 165/16 165/20 168/25
event [2] 9/9 99/14
events [2] 53/10 152/23
eventually [2] 4/18 65/3
ever [18] 8/8 68/15 106/12 106/16
119/3 119/23 128/21 129/16
129/18 129/23 132/20 132/22
133/21 135/3 138/23 141/23
180/15 190/3
every [5] 41/23 47/4 101/2 117/6 181/16
everybody [2] 129/20 151/14
everyday [2] 113/12 169/18
everyone [1] 98/13
everything [8] $17 / 15$ 19/1 30/19
92/22 107/16 113/16 176/9 176/10
evidence [176] 6/2 7/17 10/25
12/2 12/15 14/8 14/14 15/22
16/12 16/18 $17 / 3$ 23/1 23/1 27/14
27/15 27/18 27/21 27/22 27/22
28/4 28/17 28/20 28/23 29/8
29/19 29/22 29/24 30/2 31/24
32/9 36/2 36/4 36/7 44/22 51/6 $51 / 751 / 851 / 851 / 951 / 1451 / 23$ 56/5 71/7 71/8 71/8 71/16 71/17 72/22 72/24 73/7 73/11 75/7 77/3 $77 / 6$ 79/7 79/8 79/9 79/10 79/13 79/13 79/15 79/18 80/18 82/15 82/16 82/21 82/23 82/25 83/2 $83 / 1384 / 1485 / 2586 / 186 / 16$ 86/17 86/23 87/9 87/11 87/11 87/13 87/1 8 88/12 90/2 92/7 92/9 94/12 97/6 97/7 97/25 98/2 98/8 98/23 101/3 101/6 101/13 101/17 102/7 102/8 102/11 102/12 102/17 103/24 105/11 $114 / 10$ 114/12 114/15114/16114/18114/19 115/11 115/24 116/1 116/18 116/19 116/21 116/22 117/2 117/9 117/19 117/24 118/2 118/5 119/23 120/5 120/15 120/21 120/24 120/25 121/2 121/7 121/8 121/10 121/15 121/16 121/19 122/2 122/3 123/11 124/20 124/24 124/25 125/2 125/9 126/2 126/8 126/18 127/8 127/9 127/19 127/21 128/8 128/9 128/16 128/23 129/3 129/19 132/1 136/12 137/12 137/15 145/14 145/15 $145 / 18$ 146/2 146/8 150/11 150/12 150/17150/18 150/21 158/24 163/5 163/9 163/10 176/1 182/7
evident [2] 71/3 184/18
evidentiary [10] 19/2 19/10 52/6 73/11 85/4 136/14 162/25 163/1

169/21 183/7
exact [1] 15/6
exactly [5] 31/15 47/1 76/25 142/8 169/25
examination [25] $2 / 42 / 5$ 2/6 2/7
2/8 2/10 2/12 2/13 2/14 2/17 2/20
4/1 27/12 31/19 34/10 34/20 37/8
56/18 80/2 106/24 123/6 135/22
150/4 151/19 170/19
examinations [1] 140/25
examine [1] 123/8
examined [5] 3/20 37/3 56/14
151/9 170/15
example [6] 41/10 91/1 97/14 99/9
105/13 126/3
examples [2] 146/24 146/25
except [4] 76/19 110/21 110/22 183/12
exception [1] 62/4
excerpt [1] 8/12
excuse [4] 40/14 51/15 173/21 187/2
excused [5] 36/21 55/18 151/2 170/6 175/21
execute [1] 130/17
executed [4] 40/23 62/10 69/18 90/21
executes [1] 69/10
executing [5] 69/4 76/11 77/17
91/21 105/20
execution [18] 3/14 14/10 40/16 61/20 70/16 72/4 90/14 92/14 93/13 96/23 101/15 102/22 106/13 106/17 135/13 152/24 153/19 171/18
executions [1] 41/19
exemplifying [1] 159/1
exercise [1] 180/3
exhausted [2] 132/10 132/16
exhaustion [2] 93/24 132/8
exhaustion's [1] 83/10
exhibit [28] 60/5 60/5 60/7 60/17
62/12 63/9 63/15 63/24 64/6 67/1 8 68/5 77/15 77/18 154/6
155/8 155/9 155/10 156/21 157/15
160/14 162/5 163/18 163/19 164/5
164/8 166/5 173/7 $173 / 17$
Exhibit 18 [6] 60/5 60/7 67/18 68/5 157/15 162/5
Exhibit 19 [7] 63/24 64/6 155/8
155/10 156/21 163/19 173/17
exhibits [2] 175/22 176/8
exited [2] 7/4 9/20
expanded [2] 65/2 65/3
expect [1] 176/20
expectation [1] 179/3
experience [10] $35 / 957 / 183 / 15$ 106/11 106/15 121/13 122/2
124/19 125/5 127/1
experienced [2] 76/23 127/22
expertise [1] 146/9
expire [2] 109/3 109/4
explain [2] 93/3 125/19
explanation [3] 91/9 103/7 147/7
explore [1] 135/22
Explorer [1] 169/7
expressed [1] 188/23
extends [1] 184/20
extensive [1] 187/18
extent [2] 188/21 $188 / 22$
exterior [3] 161/23 164/1 169/23
extremely [1] 76/22
eye [1] 162/13

F
F-a-s-s-b-e-n-d-e-r [1] 56/17
facing [1] 13/21
fact [24] 14/8 24/10 29/21 32/3 39/19 54/3 88/2 90/15 96/13
105/21 110/21 110/23 112/22
122/6 135/14 136/25 140/3 141/15 143/14 145/18 145/21 150/17 179/1 188/5
factor [2] 83/11 96/14
factored [3] 96/16 142/22 143/5
factors [5] 29/23 90/20 93/16 93/19 94/3
fair [6] 60/18 74/24 108/1 131/15 153/25 167/25
fairly [5] 42/9 74/9 74/11 77/10 169/3
fall [1] 188/3
FALLON [21] $1 / 14$ 2/5 $2 / 7$ 2/12
2/14 2/17 2/20 3/8 27/8 31/21
32/17 55/15 56/2 56/7 90/10
135/11 149/25 179/20 181/23
183/17 184/9
falls [1] 188/11
false [2] 182/25 185/21
familiar [9] $8 / 15$ 33/1 39/12 39/14
40/4 59/25 60/6 63/12 172/22
family [1] 85/19
far [5] 18/25 65/11 150/6 180/22
188/2
farm [3] 166/8 166/10 166/16
farther [4] 64/23 64/23 66/3 67/10
farthest [1] 65/15
FASSBENDER [12] 2/11 20/22 24/21
31/2 31/12 32/1734/4 36/15
56/11 56/12 56/17 149/24
favor [2] 183/25 184/1
fed [1] 174/12
feed [1] 112/10
feel [1] 93/12
feet [9] $24 / 5$ 131/12 $131 / 13131 / 16$
133/5 133/6 159/7 159/19 160/5
felt [6] 16/2 83/18 97/3 142/23
162/24 163/10
fence [6] 154/13 154/21 167/6
167/9 167/13 175/3
ferreting [1] 172/24
few [8] 11/24 24/5 42/7 54/1 91/25
103/10 123/14 123/15
fiber [2] 29/8 51/22
fibers [2] 16/24 71/9
field [6] 64/3 116/6 127/23 159/8 166/11 175/5
fifteenish [1] 189/13
fifty [1] 131/16
file [1] 57/24
filed [4] 122/12 $122 / 25$ 182/24
186/18
fill [1] 161/19
filled [2] 165/3 169/2
filling [1] 110/13
filter [2] 17/24 18/7
final [2] 27/1 95/18
find [17] 4/24 6/17 6/19 7/21 28/6
28/20 29/12 30/1 32/9 39/25
51/22 84/19 91/4 108/17 145/7
183/24 184/1
finding [9] 70/3 73/6 85/25 95/22
97/7 105/11 109/12 162/24 163/10
fine [5] 89/25 135/25 160/7 182/12
187/9
fingerprints [1] 117/10

| F | fragments [2] 96/13 96/19 | 98/6 99/24 103/17 105/12 108/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| finish [1] 116/13 | Franks [10] 178/22 180/1 182/1 | 111/21 115/4 116/5 117/4 119/13 |
| finished [1] 24/3 | 182/2 182/4 182/21 183/5 183/12 | 119/16 121/5 124/17 126/5 129/18 |
| firemen [3] 100/15 101/21 113/3 | 185/18 185/19 | 130/20 130/21 137/5 137/19 140/9 |
| first [44] 3/15 3/19 5/6 5/11 6/15 | frequently [1] 180/15 | 141/6 141/11 141/12 141/17 |
| 6/25 12/9 13/23 17/8 25/7 28/19 | friends [1] 85/19 | 141/21 141/25 143/11 146/4 |
| 37/2 51/1 56/11 56/13 60/3 81/15 | front [5] 15/13 15/14 111/7 158/20 | 149/23 150/20 154/10 178/9 |
| 81/21 85/10 90/11 93/22 94/12 | 173/7 | goes [6] 103/3 103/7 154/15 159/6 |
| 99/22 100/18 118/16118/18119/1 | full [4] 14/1 18/5 57/25 180/18 | 160/3 177/13 |
| 119/3 126/3 135/21 143/23 151/8 | function [1] 163/13 | going [84] $4 / 16$ 8/8 8/12 8/19 9/1 |
| 153/3 154/5 157/16 159/12 166/6 | further [8] 2/8 34/20 55/14 65/4 | 14/2 14/20 17/20 20/4 21/23 |
| 169/7 170/14 179/19 180/4 180/8 | 86/15 173/15 174/22 189/6 | 26/12 27/1 27/8 41/15 49/21 |
| 183/11 189/16 | future [1] 182/16 | 49/25 62/5 62/23 62/24 64/10 |
| fit [10] $7 / 129 / 2117 / 1719 / 11$ $22 / 1423 / 2 ~ 24 / 2446 / 848 / 18$ | G | 64/19 65/21 65/23 66/5 71/4 |
| $22 / 1423 / 224 / 2446 / 848 / 18$ $181 / 20$ |  | 71/10 72/7 72/9 79/19 82/20 |
| 181/20 | gain [1] 95/6 | 82/23 83/8 84/1 84/8 84/15 84/16 |
| five [7] 57/2 57/11 57/15 81/15 | gaps [1] 161/19 | 84/20 84/24 85/2 85/18 86/5 |
| 109/4 128/2 174/13 | garage [45] 6/24 7/1 7/8 7/12 7/16 | 86/25 87/8 88/15 89/24 91/15 |
| flagging [1] 87/12 | 20/24 22/5 22/6 22/13 22/18 | 92/24 93/9 93/10 94/1 95/17 |
| flailing [1] 75/24 | 22/21 22/23 22/25 23/4 24/3 | 96/21 102/2 102/3 102/19 104/10 |
| flashlight [2] 75/10 148/25 | 25/25 26/8 27/4 35/23 46/3 46/7 | 107/20 109/3 109/4 110/1 110/13 |
| flashlights [1] 82/25 | 46/16 49/6 49/10 49/22 81/18 | 121/10 125/14 127/8 131/21 143/3 |
| flight [1] 157/15 | 85/3 92/19 93/15 95/7 108/5 | 144/8 146/6 152/19 156/2 163/3 |
| floor [6] 7/22 13/13 23/13 32/6 | 110/4 118/16 135/5 137/13 137/16 | 165/15 166/4 170/10 178/14 184/5 |
| 51/23 145/23 | 140/14 142/15 156/7 158/21 | 184/6 184/8 186/18 186/19 187/4 |
| flow [1] 180/13 | 183/13 183/14 184/3 184/21 185/4 | 187/6 189/2 189/4 |
| flying [1] 144/1 | garages [1] 61/24 | golf [2] 87/20 87/22 |
| flyovers [2] 144/2 149/16 | Gary [1] 5/8 | gone [9] 6/11 25/25 42/6 114/19 |
| focus [7] 69/3 70/20 70/22 73/9 | gathered [1] 109/10 | 124/23 146/9 148/5 174/12 174/13 |
| 74/25 144/25 145/2 | gathering [1] 109/22 | good [3] 3/24 3/25 97/14 |
| focused [1] 185/3 | gave [3] 20/20 47/1 111/20 | got [25] 28/5 28/6 40/9 61/11 |
| follow [1] 28/16 | general [4] 31/24 61/1 155/6 | 62/19 81/25 82/5 82/8 82/19 94/9 |
| followed [2] 80/15 174/6 | 188/16 | 95/16 107/2 108/11 117/21 129/21 |
| following [8] 44/4 47/23 48/13 | generally [3] 66/11 69/11 88/10 | 132/21 140/16 144/7 144/10 |
| 49/20 84/25 85/22 94/6 103/22 | generically [1] 55/1 | 145/24 146/7 148/14 167/8 173/24 |
| follows [5] 3/20 37/3 56/14 151/9 170/15 | gentleman [2] 174/10 175/1 get [41] 4/9 14/17 18/18 19/13 | $\begin{array}{lll} 177 / 3 \\ \text { aotten [21 } & 92 / 22 \quad 137 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| food [1] 67/6 | 25/3 32/3 40/10 41/5 42/11 48/1 | government [1] 57/16 |
| foot [2] 143/8 168/5 | 48/9 82/11 82/23 92/22 94/5 | Grand [2] 102/17 129/2 |
| footprints [4] 173/25 174/4 174/5 | 94/18 95/10 98/5 101/7 106/4 | granted [1] 185/19 |
| 174/8 | 108/13111/1 111/13112/11 | grave [2] 136/18 137/2 |
| force [1] 5/17 | 112/15 112/18 112/20 116/13 | gravel [3] 78/4 155/3 160/6 |
| foregoing [2] 191/7 191/7 | 117/18 130/4 137/21 140/9 143/12 | great [1] 30/24 |
| forensic [4] 71/8 82/16 93/22 | 144/9 145/21 146/4 150/20 156/19 | grounds [1] 178/2 |
| 104/1 | 168/23 186/24 188/14 | guarantee [1] 172/2 |
| forever [1] 189/25 | getting [19] 35/16 41/14 50/19 | guard [1] 139/23 |
| forget [2] 113/2 115/21 | 62/20 71/12 75/11 75/13 76/13 | guards [1] 68/15 |
| forgot [1] 50/15 | 83/9 97/5 107/20 110/17111/3 | guess [11] 23/24 27/18 27/20 |
| form [2] 16/12 16/13 | 115/17116/9 146/20 177/14 | 41/12 44/1 62/4 97/10 104/4 |
| formed [1] 21/12 | 177/25 187/3 | 104/10 186/10 188/10 |
| formulate [1] 165/23 | gilding [1] 188/22 | guessing [1] 24/20 |
| forth [1] 168/21 | give [13] 15/6 30/24 55/20 62/24 | gun [2] 19/15 29/4 |
| forthwith [1] 130/15 | 64/19 67/24 107/17 112/25 128/25 | guns [2] 84/9 94/4 |
| Forty [2] 131/15 131/16 | 129/20 146/24 146/25 179/18 | guys [3] 127/15 127/15 127/17 |
| forward [2] 126/24 184/7 | given [11] 53/4 65/9 70/6 70/7 | H |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { found }\left[\begin{array}{llll} 25] & 7 / 20 & 13 / 1213 / 18 & 15 / 9 \\ 16 / 1830 / 1473 / 12 & 77 / 986 / 2 \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 / 9 \quad 95 / 24 \quad 113 / 5 \quad 128 / 2 \quad 147 / 7 \\ & 180 / 6185 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | hacksaw |
| 87/21 91/4 94/12 96/19 96/20 | glasses [1] 71/13 | had [206] |
| 97/17 97/24 98/22 98/25 99/2 | gleaning [1] 85/15 | hair [2] 17/3 71/9 |
| 105/6 105/6 119/5 119/8 136/13 | gloves [2] 49/23 82/24 | hairs [1] 16/24 |
| 146/10 | Glynn [7] 176/17 176/20 177/2 | Halbach [15] 11/6 12/18 23/1 |
| foundation [1] 88/20 | 177/24 178/5 178/6 178/9 | 23/22 37/23 58/21 69/7 69/21 |
| foundational [3] 93/2 147/2 181/7 | go [89] 4/16 4/23 9/9 11/21 17/20 | 70/2 74/9 145/16 147/11 147/13 |
| four [22] 10/11 15/21 18/10 22/17 | 18/15 20/24 21/20 22/2 24/8 | 147/15 147/21 |
| 22/17 22/24 26/5 35/19 35/25 | 24/10 24/16 26/7 27/3 27/11 | Halbach's [1] 97/18 |
| 47/17 49/1 52/20 61/22 61/23 | 29/15 38/17 41/2 41/16 41/24 | half [6] $32 / 2059 / 21149 / 14155 / 1$ |
| 81/18 103/6 128/1 128/2 143/7 | 42/14 42/19 45/25 46/19 46/22 | 155/4 171/15 |
| 162/5 169/6 177/18 | 47/1 47/4 47/5 47/9 47/25 48/14 | halfway [1] 167/9 |
| four-wheel [1] 169/6 | $51 / 153 / 257 / 25$ 70/7 82/14 83/5 | hall [1] 151/17 |
| foursome [1] 35/6 | 83/11 83/20 87/17 87/23 87/24 | hallmark [1] 103/8 |
| fourth [2] 103/8 110/19 | 88/2 90/12 91/1 92/1 94/5 94/11 | hallway [2] 5/24 131/7 |
| Fox [1] 4/9 | 94/18 95/14 95/18 97/21 97/25 | hand [4] 36/25 52/13 151/6 170/12 |

handcuffs [3] 10/20 105/13 167/16 handle [1] 181/18
handler [3] 8/6 8/10 8/20
hands [7] 28/7 32/3 71/12 101/24
117/9 145/21 145/24
hanging [1] 104/19
happen [1] 141/19
happened [4] 40/2 73/15 80/9 126/20
happening [3] 84/3 95/7 160/10
happy[2] 17/21 182/14
hard [2] 76/22 76/23
has [24] 120/15 121/9 123/10 123/13 124/19 146/9 146/15 178/22 179/12 180/2 180/2 180/14 181/11 181/11 182/5 182/9 182/23 183/17 183/21 184/19 184/24 184/25 185/24 191/8
hasn't [1] 50/5
have [256]
haven't [2] 42/4 187/24
having [12] 3/19 37/2 56/13 59/12 65/6 72/24 83/25 84/10 101/10 151/8 170/14 188/5
hay [1] 159/8
hazard [1] 44/1
he [93] $9 / 5$ 14/14 21/23 39/12 $40 / 1941 / 1341 / 1642 / 5$ 50/7 63/2 89/23 90/5 90/5 90/5 90/6 90/12 90/15 92/25 95/23 115/13 116/16 116/16 116/23 123/5 123/6 123/7 123/21 124/4 124/15 124/19 133/25 133/25 135/10 135/23 135/24 136/1 147/10 147/12 147/17 147/18 147/19 147/21 147/21 147/22 148/5 148/10 151/17 152/16 159/9 159/9 159/15 166/11 168/16 168/17 172/12 172/13 172/14 173/13 174/11 174/14 176/20 176/21 176/22 176/23 177/2 178/1 178/8 179/1 179/9 179/10 179/12 179/24 180/2 180/2 180/5 182/3 182/4 182/5 182/9 183/20 183/20 184/14 184/15 $184 / 19185 / 7186 / 6186 / 7$ 186/12 186/22 187/7 187/7 189/23 190/1
he's [14] 35/13 90/14 90/18 93/1
115/17 135/8 138/3 147/4 152/19
180/6 181/12 181/12 182/11
184/16
head [3] 40/6 44/2 102/10
headed [1] 175/7
heading [3] 156/15 167/7 184/4
hear [5] 17/21 41/6 178/10 184/8 184/9
heard [7] 33/3 116/18 172/19 174/14 177/2 177/3 184/10
hearing [8] $1 / 43 / 414 / 1989 / 21$ 91/3 124/10 183/8 183/9
hearsay [7] 89/20 90/4 90/6 90/23 91/5 91/13 176/19
heavily [1] 77/10
heavy [1] 169/1
held [4] 57/9 96/18 $152 / 5 \quad 171 / 9$ helicopters [1] 144/1
help [3] 88/11 99/23 112/15 helping [2] 74/8 112/18 her [11] 7/21 70/3 75/2 97/19 147/17 147/19 147/22 147/25 148/3 148/4 174/14
here[50] $3 / 3$ 14/18 26/13 26/14 40/15 52/13 53/5 54/2 55/21 65/11 65/18 82/6 82/9 91/13 97/1 105/20 129/20 144/7 151/15 152/25 154/14 154/20 155/5 155/12 155/14 155/24 156/13 157/25 158/6 158/18 159/3 159/4 159/9 160/3 161/4 161/14 162/14 163/24 164/6 164/13 166/23 167/25 168/14 169/2 169/12 169/18 181/4 181/11 184/7 188/7 hereby [1] 191/6
herein [5] 3/19 37/2 56/13 151/8 170/14
hey [5] 129/19 132/15 141/20 141/24 146/7
high [2] 75/21 113/10
higher [1] 159/19
highly [1] 136/2
highway [12] 62/16 63/23 64/9 64/12 64/15 64/16 65/22 66/13 67/14 161/23 163/24 164/3
Hillegas [1] 104/20
him [30] $33 / 133 / 333 / 433 / 5 \quad 33 / 7$ 33/7 40/13 41/14 42/17 90/7 90/8 123/8 123/25 124/17 126/9 152/14 152/16 152/18 152/19 157/2
166/15 166/16 166/16 166/17
166/17 187/16 187/17 188/1 188/5 188/14
his [70] 6/17 6/19 14/15 21/23 27/1 33/1 33/3 39/16 39/19 40/11 42/19 46/2 46/3 49/25 50/22
88/16 90/8 91/1 92/19 92/23 93/5
93/9 96/2 111/21 115/13 116/13
116/13 $118 / 10119 / 3119 / 23$
123/25 124/7 133/24 135/9 138/4
139/10 141/17141/21 141/21
147/1 147/5 147/11 147/15 147/18
147/20 149/25 156/7 166/17
168/16 174/11 176/18 178/24
179/2 179/10 179/22 179/23 180/7
180/7 180/8 182/7 182/10 182/12
183/13 183/19 183/21 184/9 185/4
185/4 187/23 187/24
hit [3] 76/22 $135 / 16$ 136/1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { hold [6] } & 57 / 13 & 98 / 5 & 112 / 22 & 151 / 25\end{array}$ 171/4 177/8
holding [4] 82/9 106/2 110/23 146/18
home [2] 40/1 40/11
homicide [9] 57/8 57/21 57/24
58/1 58/5 133/19 145/9 150/20
150/21
homicides [1] 150/16
Hon [1] 1/9
Honor [8] 14/2 26/10 50/4 50/15
53/3 55/20 170/5 187/2
hoofed [1] 168/2
hopefully [1] 95/18
hopes [1] 70/3
hoping [1] 187/22
horrendous [2] 76/16 82/19
hour [7] 22/18 46/20 59/20 59/20 94/6 149/14 165/11
hours [11] 10/14 10/14 32/2 49/1 83/8 131/22 143/9 153/24 155/25 162/12 165/22
house [12] 62/5 82/8 82/8 82/23
84/8 90/4 110/18 124/1 124/7
130/21 131/17 131/19
how [96] 4/15 14/7 14/9 14/22
15/2 17/12 22/21 26/18 27/14
$31 / 1031 / 2334 / 2237 / 1037 / 13$
$37 / 1841 / 141 / 1041 / 1843 / 20$
44/2 44/6 44/19 45/14 52/1 53/16
53/23 56/23 57/9 57/21 58/11
59/18 60/15 61/1 61/8 61/16
61/19 70/7 72/2 76/21 76/24 79/2
79/12 84/13 84/18 88/8 89/12
89/22 90/21 100/17 102/3 103/4
107/9 109/22 112/25 112/25
113/19 114/2 115/1 117/8 120/8 120/8 121/13 121/14 121/17
124/21 $125 / 1 \quad 125 / 8$ 125/25 126/16
127/3 127/4 127/17 127/24 128/6
131/6 135/13 135/17 135/24
143/22 149/13 151/23 152/2
153/13 158/23 163/21 165/7 169/8
169/15 169/25 171/6 171/9 172/8
181/17 183/20 187/24 189/6
however [1] 152/14
human [2] 86/11 134/20
hundred [3] $35 / 3 \quad 117 / 13120 / 12$

I not [1] 141/18
I'm [118] 6/18 7/1 8/12 9/13 13/20 14/2 14/5 14/9 16/23 17/20 17/21
18/1 18/2 18/20 21/12 23/25
24/20 26/12 26/13 26/18 26/21
$32 / 2033 / 133 / 733 / 1137 / 1140 / 3$
41/4 41/8 41/14 41/14 45/3 45/6
$46 / 2146 / 2349 / 350 / 1251 / 10$
53/8 53/16 53/19 54/25 56/21
59/20 60/5 62/23 62/24 64/10
64/19 65/6 65/17 65/21 65/23
65/25 66/5 71/2 71/7 72/20 78/6
79/19 82/9 84/5 84/5 84/7 88/15
89/24 91/15 93/10 96/5 96/16
96/18 96/21 96/21 104/10 108/7
108/17 109/17 114/13114/16
115/3115/25 116/9118/4118/15
122/17 123/8 126/20 126/21
128/20 130/12 130/13 131/16 132/5 132/15 132/16 133/3 136/4
138/7 138/10 $141 / 3$ 142/22 144/3
146/24 147/25 156/2 163/21
163/22 170/10 179/17 181/3
181/23 182/1 182/21 186/19
188/14 188/24 189/2 189/4
I've [1] 33/3
idea[3] 17/16 35/2 40/5
ideas [1] 31/6
identical [1] 26/15
identification [3] 86/17 86/22 160/13
identified [6] 13/5 91/22 91/25 94/17 166/17 167/17
identify [3] 65/19 88/10 120/23 identifying [2] $87 / 1198 / 2$
if [112] 7/3 7/11 7/14 9/18 10/25
13/3 14/22 15/15 15/17 22/12 23/24 24/22 26/14 29/3 29/13 33/3 33/7 33/11 38/17 38/17 38/19 40/18 41/3 42/3 46/7 48/17 49/10 49/12 49/18 50/8 51/15 $52 / 353 / 553 / 655 / 2057 / 2360 / 5$ 62/23 62/24 64/9 64/11 64/19 65/17 65/20 69/15 70/21 71/2 71/7 72/16 72/20 74/4 74/6 74/21 76/9 79/20 81/1 87/18 87/18 87/19 88/12 90/4 91/5 94/14 101/7 105/24 106/1 108/7 108/17 109/9 $113 / 13116 / 12$ 123/13 124/6 126/19 126/22 127/7 127/12

|  | 161/21 162/23 164/19 174/19 | $138 / 21 \text { 139/8 } 141 / 23 \text { 144/21 }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| if...[35] 128/23 130/6 131/2 133/4 | insert[2] 30/18 181/5 | gators [12] 30/25 32/23 |
| 133/7 134/12 136/13 141/13 | inserting [1] 180/15 | 83/7 85/17 97/8 105/9 105/12 |
| 143/11 146/14 149/2 150/20 154/9 | inside [6] 5/20 22/18 48/25 77/11 | 122/11 127/20 153/8 158/15 161/5 |
| 155/7 157/14 161/14 166/5 173/6 | 90/4 148/24 | invite [1] 181/4 |
| 173/19 178/7 179/9 182/8 182/11 | insides [1] 87/3 | invited [1] 180/20 |
| 182/14 183/25 184/3 184/15 | instance [9] 29/3 30/1 70/20 71/24 | involve [3] 54/3 71/11 71/11 |
| 184/21 185/18 185/19 185/23 | 72/21 75/2 95/4 136/17 158/25 | involved [22] 20/23 33/24 37/23 |
| 187/8 187/21 188/2 188/2 | instances [1] 87/14 | 57/22 58/11 70/16 71/25 75/16 |
| illuminate [1] 77/8 | instead [2] 22/5 143/18 | 83/23 85/20 106/12 106/16 106/18 |
| illustrate [2] 63/22 64/21 | instructed [2] 141/5 189/23 | 125/12 126/10 138/17 171/18 |
| imagine [3] 127/21 139/6 139/11 | integrity [1] 29/18 | 172/23 173/3 173/9 173/11 181/16 |
| immediate [3] 61/23 173/8 184/21 | intelligence [11] 84/2 85/15 85/23 | involvement [4] 38/7 39/16 55/3 |
| immediately [5] 7/8 45/25 46/2 | 85/24 94/22 95/21 97/9 98/20 | 75/6 |
| 49/4 88/13 | 99/7 109/11 146/20 | involves [1] 183/19 |
| impacted [1] 105/2 | intended [1] 108/22 | involving [3] 58/21 85/2 162/23 |
| impassable [1] 169/3 | intending [1] 152/13 | irons [1] 105/13 |
| implies [1] 188/21 | intent [2] 49/22 112/19 | irrelevant [3] 92/24 103/2 123/4 |
| implying [1] 188/25 | intentionally [1] 183/1 | is [218] |
| important [4] 91/5 93/10 144/12 | interest [4] 5/2 43/13 1 | isn't [16] 18/11 20/1 91/4 108/5 |
| 145/7 | 184/25 | 110/20 111/2 117/15 118/6 118/10 |
| importantly [1] 178/23 | interested [1] 167/2 | 118/15 118/18 121/2 130/18 133/8 |
| improperly [1] 185/15 | interests [1] 182/11 | 135/3 143/19 |
| in [467] | interior [3] 13/22 161/16 167/11 | issue [10] 41/19 53/5 103/4 |
| incandescent [1] 30/3 | internet [1] 57/17 | 135/14 135/21 136/2 176/1 182/3 |
| incident [3] 166/19 173/9 173/11 | interrupt [1] 152/8 | 187/5 189/5 |
| inclement [4] 75/12 75/14 75/19 | interruption [1] 50/10 | issued [3] 41/6 41/20 42/10 |
| 76/9 | intersection [8] 65/18 65/23 66/14 | issues [1] 83/10 |
| include [5] 17/23 61/23 87/13 | 67/13 67/14 156/13 164/13 164/13 | it [255] |
| 87/14 136/12 | intersects [1] 164/4 | it's [80] 3/2 13/3 16/25 17/14 |
| included [5] 19/20 78/3 120/13 | interview [2] 99/11 116/3 | 18/15 26/19 27/24 29/3 29/16 |
| 139/1 188/1 | interviewing [1] 95/21 | 30/1 30/23 36/6 40/18 40/18 |
| including [6] 10/20 23/9 61/15 | interviews [11] 85/18 94/20 94/21 | 41/12 41/15 43/24 49/24 51/9 |
| 85/2 145/14 188/7 | 95/21 95/25 97/8 99/8 116/6 | 51/25 52/18 53/14 54/9 56/10 |
| inconsistent [3] 95/23 146/22 | 130/8 144/11 148/2 | 60/4 61/2 63/4 63/9 63/25 65/22 |
| 147/1 | into [75] 5/22 7/8 8/19 11/22 12/2 | 66/5 71/3 71/5 82/19 82/19 86/9 |
| incur [1] 157/6 | 14/20 17/8 22/2 22/5 24/8 24/10 | 89/22 90/3 91/5 91/5 91/13 92/24 |
| incurred [1] 173/20 | 25/25 26/7 40/19 41/2 41/16 | 92/25 93/2 97/6 103/1 116/15 |
| incursion [1] 166/7 | 42/14 45/25 46/2 46/3 46/22 47/5 | 121/22 121/25 130/8 131/9 131/11 |
| indeed [2] 141/4 143/14 | 47/25 48/14 49/5 49/21 49/25 | 131/17 134/17 135/21 135/23 |
| Independent [1] 132/11 | 50/22 53/24 57/15 62/18 73/24 | 136/3 142/12 142/25 142/25 143/2 |
| indicate [6] 6/4 6/16 7/18 50/7 | 74/20 76/13 81/4 82/2 87/24 88/2 | 144/24 147/5 152/15 152/15 |
| 94/22 145/15 | 90/20 95/6 96/14 96/16 100/23 | 158/25 163/23 168/3 170/8 174/20 |
| indicated [6] 64/4 75/4 148/2 | 103/21 104/9 110/3 110/18 $111 / 11$ | 177/12 181/14 182/8 182/12 |
| 173/22 174/10 174/11 | 112/18 120/9 121/5 122/14 123/25 | 183/16 183/18 185/2 186/16 |
| indicates [2] 8/24 52/18 | 124/7 124/17 130/21 133/21 | 186/16 187/19 |
| individual [11] 9/1 16/4 16/5 | 141/11 141/12 141/17 141/21 | item [8] 28/15 29/12 29/13 29/15 |
| 80/14 122/1 148/4 166/10 166/15 | 141/25 143/8 155/3 156/19 157/4 | 29/16 30/20 75/6 139/3 |
| 173/23 174/21 186/23 | 158/17 160/5 162/16 163/3 177/3 | items [22] 10/18 11/3 17/3 17/11 |
| individually [2] 30/7 30/13 | 177/14 177/25 180/15 188/15 | 17/12 17/13 17/17 20/6 21/24 |
| individuals [12] 4/19 33/11 35/21 | introduced [1] 91/6 | 23/9 25/6 30/10 52/1 52/4 52/6 |
| 45/1 69/16 96/1 98/18 140/24 | introduction [1] 175/25 | 52/9 52/22 73/11 80/6 85/5 94/19 |
| 164/16 166/3 167/4 167/17 | inventory [1] 61/3 | 162/24 |
| indulgence [1] 180/24 | investigate [1] 77/19 | its [2] 11/20 36/23 |
| ineffective [1] 182/16 | investigating [1] 59/11 | itself[4] 4/9 80/3 80/8 181/5 |
| inference [2] 177/20 177/21 | investigation [23] 5/3 31/3 38/12 | J |
| information [27] 26/15 44/6 84/3 | 43/16 44/22 53/13 54/6 56/22 |  |
| 85/15 85/23 85/24 88/24 90/20 | 59/4 59/6 61/7 69/24 72/8 72/11 | jail [1] 73/2 |
| 91/8 92/4 94/9 94/22 95/3 96/3 | 90/16 107/14 109/11 114/24 | jailer [1] 171/14 |
| 97/5 97/9 99/11 102/21 105/16 | 125/17 133/18 133/19 145/9 | Jaws [1] 100/21 |
| 109/10 109/23 110/2 146/20 | 150/15 | JEROME [2] 1/183/9 |
| 147/14 147/16 147/24 185/13 | investigations [6] 57/8 57/22 | job [7] 11/2 12/21 15/1 17/14 |
| informed [2] 93/8 156/17 | 57/24 58/1 58/4 58/5 | 23/21 32/14 51/15 |
| initial [16] 38/7 40/5 55/5 60/22 | investigative [4] 43/18 66/22 | judge [20] 1/10 4/9 27/10 35/13 |
| 67/19 69/3 72/23 95/22 105/20 | 85/11 85/12 | 52/25 56/4 110/1 110/13 111/7 |
| 142/5 145/2 146/22 147/9 147/18 | investigator [40] 4/8 5/7 20/21 | 111/10 111/14116/8 123/16 |
| 147/20 183/9 | 24/21 26/23 31/1 32/18 32/19 | 130/20 146/7 152/8 178/16 178/19 |
| initially [6] 22/4 59/9 61/11 73/18 | 43/9 46/25 47/6 59/13 59/15 | 183/16 185/1 |
| 153/7 168/9 | 59/16 71/21 71/24 72/3 72/15 | junk [1] 61/14 |
| initials [3] 161/8 161/10 161/10 | 78/12 78/20 78/24 81/3 89/4 | junked [3] 61/9 61/12 87/14 |
| initiating [1] 153/23 | 90/15 96/24 99/7 101/25 120/5 | junkyard [1] 95/9 |
| inner [7] 154/3 154/5 154/8 | 120/9 122/17 126/7 134/14 138/20 | jurisdiction [2] 38/15 58/6 |

jurisdictions [1] 150/7
Jurisdictionwise [1] 58/8
jury [3] 154/7 189/11 189/15
just [75] 6/1 13/4 15/12 17/2 21/3 24/5 29/4 31/18 34/9 35/19 39/4 39/20 42/11 42/17 47/3 52/3 52/24 53/3 53/16 54/25 55/21 62/25 63/4 63/14 63/22 64/20 65/22 74/3 75/24 80/3 85/10 85/19 86/7 95/13 98/5 105/1
105/15 107/23 108/18 113/3
116/22 119/8 $119 / 17$ 121/1 122/25
123/25 124/13 124/24 125/19
127/5 132/16 132/21 132/21
135/12 137/19 150/2 154/4 156/21
159/14 160/13 160/18 160/19
163/23 167/15 167/24 169/16
173/16 173/23 174/5 174/11
174/23 175/24 178/16 179/18
182/12
Justice [7] 56/22 57/5 70/15 89/10 106/16 114/21 150/16
K
K.S [2] 161/12 161/13
keep [4] 76/1 79/3 103/5 165/23
keeping [2] 83/6 162/12
KELLY [4] 2/16 151/4 151/7 151/12
KENNETH [1] 1/12
kept [2] 109/22 172/16
key [1] 119/5
kicked [3] 18/14 23/4 25/16
kicking [1] 5/17
killed [1] 145/16
kind [6] 18/17 72/18 104/20 134/2
157/3 160/19
kitchen [2] 5/23 131/7
kits [1] 16/2
knees [5] 28/7 32/3 71/12 145/22 145/24
knew [11] 18/25 25/20 39/14 39/16 40/4 40/8 93/24 94/7 94/1 8 122/9 144/22
know [78] 14/14 14/22 15/17
16/10 17/12 19/4 19/5 33/5 34/22
39/7 40/19 42/3 42/6 43/20 45/14
45/23 47/1 47/4 49/18 50/5 52/1
64/11 65/2 65/11 65/18 65/20
71/15 76/25 84/19 99/18 104/19
107/23 113/19 114/20 118/9
119/14 120/7 120/8 120/17 121/20
121/23 122/23 123/12 123/17
123/23 125/10 125/11 126/3
126/13 126/19 128/17 129/8
130/20 132/5 134/7 134/17 141/13
142/15 143/11 143/13 145/25
149/15 149/17 150/6 160/4 169/24
177/4 177/13178/8181/10181/19
184/3 184/18 $184 / 23185 / 4186 / 5$
186/16 188/23
knowingly [1] 183/1
knowledge [11] 44/6 68/19 80/8
114/13 124/14 124/19 126/16
127/10 132/12 166/2 191/14
known [1] 124/6
KRATZ [2] 1/12 3/8
Kucharski [5] 21/20 26/3 46/14 48/24 150/7

## L

Lab [31] 27/18 36/10 75/15 75/15

79/20 79/21 79/23 80/9 80/10
80/12 86/14 87/16 89/9 90/1 92/5
92/12 94/11 95/12 96/4 96/6
97/14 97/20 102/14 113/16 113/19
122/5 126/4 146/1 146/9 150/17
150/21
Lab's [1] 91/18
label [2] 12/3 29/15
labeled [1] 30/18
labeling [1] 28/15
Laboratory [4] 86/8 92/10 104/1
127/12
lack[3] 61/9 104/4 110/25
lady [1] 38/19
lakes [2] 78/6 78/7
land [4] 63/20 64/2 67/19 67/25
large [1] 62/7
larger[1] 71/2
Larrame [1] 163/22
Larrimore [1] 163/22
laser [3] 62/24 154/11 173/6
last [18] 3/22 $4 / 36 / 188 / 1414 / 1$
18/5 34/19 37/5 39/5 53/5 54/3
54/13 56/16 138/15 $151 / 11156 / 2$
170/17 180/14
late [2] 108/2 165/19
lateness [1] 94/6
later[12] 11/4 14/25 41/21 86/19
95/23 96/9 96/20 102/16 119/21
120/3 147/16 168/7
law [23] $1 / 16$ 1/18 34/22 56/25
58/25 68/8 69/10 93/8 99/14
100/5 100/25 100/25 101/22 102/4 102/20 104/23 $113 / 1113 / 3126 / 17$
128/21 166/3 180/13 189/17
lawsuit [6] 43/14 122/12 122/25
123/10 123/19 123/24
lawyer [3] 176/24 177/5 189/24
lawyer/client [1] 189/24
lawyers [1] 4/8
layers [2] 33/13 33/21
lead [11] 5/3 11/16 32/22 41/14
43/16 43/18 59/13 59/14 105/12 122/10 138/21
leader [2] 125/15 134/11
leads [5] 5/24 103/22 105/1 105/5 105/7
leaking [2] 142/16 142/16
learned [1] 156/25
least [7] 27/19 100/25 101/11
114/6 120/6 165/21 180/21
leave [7] 19/16 68/15 124/25
152/18 152/21 165/18 174/14
leaving [1] 7/7
led [3] 80/15 95/4 174/4
left [22] 4/3 5/24 7/12 18/21 22/14
24/23 48/11 48/17 49/12 64/18
65/15 66/22 80/17 118/20 119/9
147/11 147/22 165/17 166/13
172/13 172/15 174/11
leg [1] 105/13
legal [1] 92/25
Lenk [17] 10/7 20/22 21/17 26/1
26/16 26/24 44/18 46/12 48/6
48/23 50/7 50/24 122/14 122/19
122/23 123/18 132/13
less [6] 41/23 43/25 44/1 78/14 96/24 189/20
let [17] 14/21 32/24 42/7 42/11
44/24 51/1 103/10 107/1 116/12
136/6 137/19 159/14 177/10
179/16 181/23 184/17187/13
let's [12] 6/25 8/12 59/4 88/22

108/17 112/24 113/3 121/5 124/24
127/5 164/23 189/14
level [3] 14/19 152/7 188/3
license [2] 97/17 97/19
lieutenant[43] 2/16 2/19 10/7
20/22 21/17 26/1 26/16 26/24
32/25 33/5 44/18 46/12 48/6
48/23 50/7 50/24 53/12 68/20
68/21 74/18 78/17 101/24 122/14
122/19 122/23 123/18151/4 151/7
152/1 152/2 165/5 165/8 165/12
165/18 168/18 170/11170/13
171/5 171/10 172/6 172/8 172/20 174/24
lieutenants [4] 32/25 33/22 126/9 140/2
Life [1] 100/21
lift [1] 101/8
light [7] 30/3 76/15 87/25 88/5
89/17 162/17 163/6
lighter [1] 142/5
lighting [3] 71/11 93/23 132/7
lights [6] 77/7 162/11 162/15 162/19 168/6 168/9
like [22] $10 / 2415 / 1316 / 1816 / 24$
23/20 29/22 51/3 52/4 58/10 60/3 63/8 67/7 70/23 77/14 93/22
$95 / 11$ 105/5 129/14 136/14 154/9 178/12 178/20
likely [1] $94 / 2$
lily [1] 188/22
limit [2] 18/17 109/5
limited [2] 36/6 77/11
limiting [2] 76/18 78/22
line [10] $26 / 13$ 123/4 154/13
154/21 157/25 167/6 167/9 167/13
175/3 177/1
lint [4] 16/18 $17 / 132 / 4145 / 22$
liquid [1] 12/1
list [2] 17/16 99/18
listed [2] 9/1 73/12
listening [1] 26/13
lit [1] 159/13
little [18] 7/2 14/13 41/15 63/5
63/16 65/4 65/17 67/2 71/4 82/17
96/20 116/23 123/10 143/8 173/15
187/3 $188 / 11188 / 11$
live [1] 40/5
lived [3] 40/8 $112 / 1112 / 4$
living [7] 5/22 $15 / 12$ 15/18 51/23
56/20 131/7 170/21
Ioan [1] 128/25
local [3] 100/15 166/25 167/5
locales [1] 97/1
locate [8] $4 / 18$ 11/3 51/8 52/5 74/8 83/12 153/10 155/9
located [22] 7/9 20/8 47/12 61/10 61/17 63/1 63/24 64/22 148/18 152/25 154/13 155/17 156/8
156/18 $159 / 2$ 159/20 163/14 164/1
164/12 166/8 171/20 173/14
locating [2] 73/7 134/18
location [12] 155/19 157/12
157/21 161/4 164/14 166/12
167/16 168/10 169/10 185/12
186/8 186/25
locations [7] 4/23 64/6 65/15
160/23 169/2 169/4 179/3
lock [1] 153/22
$\log [3]$ 20/6 29/6 29/13
logs [1] 169/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { long [23] } & 18 / 11 & 23 / 7 & 27 / 1431 / 23\end{array}$
37/13 37/18 56/23 57/959/18
long... [14] 76/24 92/9 99/14
117/23 120/8 125/20 131/13
149/13 151/23 152/2 153/13 162/7 171/6 171/9
longer [3] 81/23 131/14 141/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}l o o k[21] & 9 / 4 & 12 / 22 & 15 / 9 & 18 / 2 \\ \text { los }\end{array}$ 49/22 69/13 69/21 69/25 70/1
70/2 79/6 82/20 83/1 86/2 86/3
88/5 137/6 145/14 157/3 185/22
looked [5] 12/19 80/5 119/8
136/14 148/24
looking [34] 6/2 7/17 10/23 12/14
22/25 23/22 28/4 29/7 29/22
49/13 70/12 70/13 70/22 70/23
71/2 71/7 73/9 73/10 73/10 75/1
$82 / 182 / 1482 / 1686 / 1387 / 10$
118/12 119/14 $119 / 15$ 126/20
133/10 149/2 $186 / 9$ 187/1 $188 / 14$
losing [1] 76/13
lost [3] 83/1 $105 / 15$ 148/4
lot [21] 12/5 15/9 19/6 19/21
25/1 8 28/2 33/12 34/12 34/16
51/17 78/3 93/8 93/16 93/19
93/20 97/2 104/2 104/3 $115 / 4$
115/25 143/21
lotion [1] 98/21
lower [2] 155/17 162/14
lunch [1] 149/21

## M

machine [1] 191/10
made [28] 5/11 6/15 16/9 20/24
20/25 21/2 31/11 54/15 68/3 72/7
89/5 89/17 90/17 97/20 102/11
122/18 $125 / 4$ 144/21 $155 / 5$ 157/7
166/14 $175 / 4180 / 5$ 183/1 $183 / 3$
184/2 187/15 188/24
Madison [4] 80/16 103/25 116/2
116/2
magazine [2] 19/6 19/7
magazines [1] 25/9
magnifying [1] 71/12
magnitude [1] 106/17
main [3] 17/7 62/16 172/2
mainly [1] 129/13
maintained [4] 139/17 161/16
161/25 164/20
major [1] 150/16
majority [1] 89/13
make [19] 11/6 28/16 35/20 35/24
40/6 55/7 65/16 94/25 96/16
101/3 116/22 135/17 176/17
178/20 180/25 180/25 182/12
182/20 186/20
makes [4] 8/1841/23 94/24
180/19
making [8] $21 / 733 / 25$ 86/1 90/20
98/10 123/7 147/5 183/17
manage [1] 164/16
managed [1] 162/1
managing [1] 78/18
MANITOWOC [39] 1/1 4/22 5/2
10/12 21/13 26/2 37/11 38/15
$52 / 15$ 53/13 54/1855/8 72/10
72/16 100/7 100/7 102/12 102/13
103/21 122/3 122/5 122/5 122/6
122/9 128/3 128/10 141/5 141/10
141/16 141/20 141/25 144/17
153/1 153/8 156/17 164/14 166/24
167/14 191/2
many[57] 12/5 12/19 12/19 14/22

15/2 17/11 17/12 17/13 17/17
34/22 35/3 35/5 41/1 43/20 44/2
44/6 45/14 52/1 53/16 57/21
60/15 61/8 61/16 61/19 78/6 79/12 81/15 87/13 97/5 98/9 103/15 103/23 107/9 107/19 107/25 111/22 112/25 112/25 114/2 115/1 116/2 120/8 121/14 121/17 124/22 125/1 125/8 125/25 127/3 127/4 127/17 127/24 128/6 143/22 144/23 169/15 169/25 $\operatorname{map}[8]$ 64/22 66/4 67/10 154/9
161/22 163/16 164/6 173/15
Maribel [1] 98/19
Marinette [5] 85/13 103/14 103/18 144/11 179/8
mark [7] 59/16 63/2 83/22 99/3
99/6 126/6 144/21
marked [1] 63/4
marking [2] 13/19 87/12
masse [2] 99/21 99/22
match [1] 49/14
matched [1] 96/7
material [3] 16/19 28/10 135/19 matter [11] 29/5 29/21 37/24 89/24 91/7 91/14 92/20 109/3 180/18 191/7 191/13
matters [4] 179/15 181/6 181/7 184/15
may[52] $3 / 15$ 16/4 16/5 $17 / 3$ 19/19 25/13 27/5 35/20 36/15 36/23 41/9 42/5 52/20 52/24 53/7 55/19 55/21 56/3 64/21 64/25 65/1 65/2 65/12 65/24 67/17 71/1171/1171/15 73/1 73/1 73/2 85/20 86/12 93/5 93/9 96/9 99/8 100/8 107/19 118/17119/13 128/19 133/2 136/2 $152 / 14153 / 11$ 155/7 156/19 157/14 170/8 179/17 188/22
maybe [13] 33/1 63/4 82/22 87/6 97/24 128/2 131/13 131/15 133/5 141/20 141/24 146/2 186/4
me [50] 8/22 9/6 11/16 11/16 14/3
14/21 22/21 26/17 32/24 40/2
40/14 41/13 42/11 42/23 44/24
51/151/15 52/4 53/15 55/21
107/1 112/25 115/9 115/23 122/16
129/6 129/20 131/6 136/6 137/11
137/19 146/24 146/25 156/17
161/22 163/16 165/12 166/5
173/21 177/10 179/16 181/23
184/12 184/17 186/17 187/2
187/13 189/4 191/8 191/9
meal [1] 168/17
meals [1] 168/21
mean [23] 11/15 19/5 25/18 26/19
34/12 41/7 42/4 51/7 53/7 69/8
85/10 95/20 99/6 122/3 126/21
129/25 139/1 140/12 143/2 184/3
186/16 187/10 187/20
means [1] 11/17
meant [1] 157/10
meanwhile [1] 111/24
media [2] 113/12 174/25
meet [5] 43/5 178/13 $183 / 8 \quad 189 / 4$ 190/2
meeting [1] 189/23
meetings [1] 84/18
meets [1] 163/24
member [3] 59/5 104/23 174/25
members [2] 85/14 85/19
memory [1] 64/25
|mentioned [13] 25/9 32/17 39/2
55/5 75/5 76/8 102/7 105/5 129/9
132/2 132/4 146/19 146/21
met [1] 20/21
microphone [1] 170/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { mid [5] } & 104 / 11 & 104 / 12 & 106 / 9\end{array}$
127/25 163/3
mid-week [3] 104/11 106/9 163/3
mid-weekish [1] 127/25
midnight [1] 14/18
might [17] 10/25 11/4 12/17 14/24
23/20 31/22 41/8 71/7 74/7 81/23
116/13 126/1 137/2 145/15 146/3 152/16 162/24
million [2] 43/14 122/24
mind [12] $81 / 2083 / 684 / 584 / 5$
90/12 91/2 92/14 92/21 92/24
93/10 127/9 179/10
mindful [1] 187/7
mine [1] 188/8
minimum [1] 68/9
minute [8] 29/6 51/2 52/21 55/21
55/24 77/13 137/19 140/5
minutes [6] 6/22 10/15 22/9 22/19
118/7 174/13
mischaracterization [1] 138/3
Mishicot [1] 129/7
missed [2] 94/3 186/4
missing [6] 38/11 58/21 59/7
69/23 69/24 86/4
mix[1] 187/11
molding [2] 13/19 13/23
moment [7] 29/5 52/24 107/1
128/2 157/14 170/2 178/17
Monday [9] 48/2 48/2 48/3 95/8 95/11 165/17 165/19 172/15 172/16
month [2] 54/13 54/15
more [40] 4/8 12/10 15/18 29/9
30/10 41/4 43/24 45/21 61/14 $71 / 571 / 1073 / 1073 / 1078 / 14$ 78/17 82/17 83/8 92/25 94/21 94/24 96/24 101/24 102/15 109/10 115/7116/23117/17126/10 129/19 135/18 135/18 135/18 140/1 143/16 149/14 177/5 178/23 180/15 182/14 189/25
morning [14] $3 / 33 / 133 / 243 / 25$ 20/10 45/24 97/17 161/1 165/13 165/13 165/17 172/12 172/13 172/15
most [12] 16/9 40/3 66/21 70/25 76/25 78/5 83/3 83/6 89/8 91/12 129/15 169/2
motion [33] $1 / 43 / 1340 / 1741 / 7$ 41/11 53/7 56/6 91/13 93/7 123/13 124/1 1 136/4 178/22 178/23 180/1 180/9 181/9 181/18 181/25 182/1 $182 / 2$ 182/4 $182 / 22$ 182/23 183/3 183/8 183/12 185/3 185/18 185/19 186/17 187/13 187/25
motions [4] 3/4 176/13 182/19 188/18
move [12] 39/24 42/8 50/16 101/8
158/16 162/19 167/12 169/9
170/24 175/25 179/15 181/14
moved [7] 64/25 66/10 66/19
76/17 101/6 101/7 101/7
moving [1] $42 / 22$
Mr [7] 14/11 47/12 54/9 142/21
176/17 180/11 184/9
Mr. [108] 3/10 3/15 5/12 9/10 22/2

Mr.... [103] 22/13 24/23 25/23 26/8 26/9 27/8 31/17 31/21 32/17 34/1 39/23 40/1 42/14 43/13 44/12 45/1 45/25 46/19 46/22 48/15 49/5 49/21 55/6 55/15 56/2 56/7 81/4 81/17 81/22 85/6 88/3 88/17 89/15 90/10 92/19 99/6 106/23
108/5 108/23 110/3 110/18 111/12 118/7 $118 / 19$ 120/9 122/7 122/12 122/15 122/25 123/24 124/18 131/5 131/5 131/21 133/22 135/4 135/7 135/11 137/13 137/16 137/22 139/2 139/24 140/14 141/6 141/11 141/12 141/25 142/15 144/16 145/1 146/21 149/24
149/25 152/20 156/6 170/24
175/19 176/20 176/22 176/22 176/25 177/2 177/2 177/3 177/24 178/5 179/1 179/14 179/19 179/20 180/22 181/11 181/23 183/17 187/7 187/13 187/14 187/21 188/7 188/8 188/12 189/5
Mr. Avery [22] 3/10 34/1 40/1 55/6 81/17 $118 / 19$ 122/12 122/25 176/22 176/22 176/25 177/2 177/3 179/1 179/14 180/22 181/11 187/7 187/21 188/7 188/12 189/5
Mr. Avery's [49] 5/12 22/2 25/23 26/8 26/9 42/14 43/13 45/1 45/25 46/19 46/22 49/5 49/21 81/4 81/22 85/6 88/3 88/17 89/15 92/19 108/5 110/3 110/18 111/12 118/7 120/9 122/7 122/15 123/24 124/18 131/5 131/21 133/22 135/4 137/13 137/16 137/22 139/2 139/24 140/14 141/6 141/11 141/12 141/25 142/15 144/16 145/1 146/21 156/6
Mr. Bowe [1] 170/24
Mr. Buting [7] 3/15 31/17 39/23 106/23 135/7 175/19 187/14
Mr. Buting's [1] 188/8
Mr. Fallon [12] 27/8 31/21 32/17 55/15 56/2 56/7 90/10 135/11 149/25 179/20 181/23 183/17
Mr. Fassbender [1] 149/24
Mr. Glynn [4] 176/20 177/2 177/24 178/5
Mr. Steven [7] 9/10 22/13 24/23
44/12 48/15 108/23 131/5
Mr. Strang [2] 179/19 187/13
Mr. Wiegert [2] 99/6 152/20 much [16] $25 / 15$ 53/23 61/1 71/5 $71 / 1076 / 1476 / 1877 / 1198 / 12$ 101/16 120/6 123/13 126/16 155/3 161/16 189/20
multiple [6] 3/14 14/10 40/15 41/19 56/5 135/13
municipal [2] 161/20 162/3
Must [1] 9/5
my [65] 7/14 8/24 8/24 9/1 11/2 15/6 16/3 16/7 17/14 19/16 21/2 27/1 33/24 35/14 38/7 40/5 40/6 40/9 41/15 43/2 44/21 51/15 $51 / 1552 / 1252 / 1858 / 1564 / 25$ 68/18 71/25 80/8 84/4 84/5 84/22 95/13 102/10 104/10 105/15 109/6 109/6 109/7 $112 / 19114 / 13116 / 1$ 116/4 116/7 $116 / 11$ 124/14 124/19 126/19 126/24 127/9 127/9 127/10 144/19 144/23 155/16 167/16
$172 / 2$ 177/5 177/20 185/24 188/10
189/14 191/9 191/13
myself [9] 8/19 16/14 44/18 48/23 52/3 52/4 71/21 78/20 134/14

## N

name [14] 3/22 3/22 9/1 33/2 33/3 $37 / 537 / 5$ 39/2 56/16 56/16 151/11151/11170/17170/17
names [1] 65/20
narcotics [2] 57/14 70/24
natural [2] 30/2 30/4
nature [2] 49/23 55/9
navigate [1] 168/23
near [3] 17/9 63/4 66/13
nearby [1] 177/4
nearly [1] 101/16
necessarily [5] 73/1 73/6 87/9 93/6 94/1
necessary [3] 18/11 40/17 41/23
necessity [1] 41/1
need [14] 13/3 41/1 47/3 79/8
$82 / 2486 / 2$ 86/3 97/11 98/4 98/7
109/9 $111 / 11$ 129/19 173/6
needed [11] 25/21 87/18 92/1 94/5
95/5 100/22 102/15 104/14 111/13
129/25 162/25
neighborhood [3] 61/14 85/21
104/25
neither [3] 6/14 7/20 33/8
never [8] 42/6 118/10 147/11
147/12 147/12 147/19 147/21 147/22
nevertheless [1] 23/21
new [2] 110/2 137/2
newer [1] 54/22
news [1] 144/7
next [19] 7/9 20/10 22/6 22/13 24/4 26/8 29/15 30/20 33/17
$36 / 23$ 42/19 45/20 47/3 55/19
84/6 91/11 119/11 153/18 170/8
nexus [1] 63/7
night [39] 17/9 18/18 19/12 19/18 $32 / 15$ 36/19 41/16 42/13 45/18 52/2 54/10 66/21 77/1 78/22 79/1 1 79/16 79/19 79/24 82/19 84/4 84/6 84/6 84/24 84/25 85/10 126/3 132/17 142/21 144/15 144/22 155/14 158/16 159/12 165/25 167/24 168/20 172/13 172/14 172/16
no [93] $1 / 5$ 3/2 $7 / 248 / 78 / 229 / 3$
17/16 18/14 18/16 18/17 18/20 19/4 19/13 22/4 23/4 23/6 24/9
25/16 27/6 33/17 34/3 35/2 36/9
39/4 42/16 42/21 43/2 43/23 45/6
45/6 50/20 50/23 54/17 55/13 55/16 58/23 68/19 75/10 76/3 80/8 93/17 99/4 101/16 106/14 106/20 107/12 110/25 114/11 114/13 116/16 $118 / 14118 / 22$ 118/24 120/11 121/9 121/12 121/25 123/2 123/20 123/22 124/2 124/5 125/3 129/6 131/14 131/24 132/22 132/23 132/25 133/1
134/24 137/18 138/9 140/7 141/10
141/16 $141 / 18$ 142/2 144/20 146/5 146/17 148/21 149/6 149/8 149/10 149/14 149/18 $151 / 1$ 165/2 170/3 170/5 178/10188/16
No. [2] 63/4 65/16
No. 1 [1] 65/16
No. 3 [1] 63/4
none [5] 132/12 135/3 166/3
175/20 176/7
noon [2] 46/20 149/22
nor [1] 6/14
normally [1] 32/9
north [12] 64/3 66/12 85/14
154/17 160/15 164/15 166/23
167/7 174/22 175/2 175/7 175/10
northeast [2] 160/10 160/11
northwest [5] 60/9 80/25 156/3 156/4 167/8
not [152] 5/18 6/17 6/19 8/11 8/24
$\begin{array}{lllllll}9 / 2 & 11 / 5 & 12 / 17 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 9 & 14 / 14\end{array}$
14/25 15/12 16/22 17/20 18/1
22/2 22/4 22/8 23/25 26/15 26/18
26/22 30/23 30/25 35/13 36/8
39/14 40/19 40/20 41/12 41/14
$41 / 1742 / 443 / 2345 / 346 / 21$
49/1 1 50/12 50/22 53/8 56/5
59/20 64/10 65/21 66/3 66/5 72/20 73/6 75/20 78/6 84/7 86/6 87/9 91/13 91/13 92/16 93/1 93/5 93/8 93/18 93/21 95/10 96/5
96/18 96/21 101/4 101/6 101/16
111/18 113/13114/13115/3 115/10 115/23 117/4 117/25 118/3 118/23 119/1 119/16 120/16 122/17 123/17 123/21 124/15 125/5 125/6 125/7 125/11 125/23
128/20 129/6 130/9 130/12 131/11 131/16 133/3 133/14 133/16 133/19 133/25 135/16 136/1 137/25 138/4 138/5 141/18 141/22 142/23 144/3 144/23 145/11
152/13 163/13 163/21 163/22
165/4 176/8 176/19 177/12 179/13
180/2 181/3 181/23 182/1 182/11
182/14 182/17 182/24 183/3 183/7
183/8 184/4 184/10 184/16 185/8
185/10 185/15 186/2 186/16
186/17 187/6 187/8 187/9 187/16
187/18 $188 / 1 \quad 188 / 7188 / 13188 / 14$ 188/24
note [1] 11/6
noted [2] 23/13 84/9
notes [1] 191/9
nothing [8] 7/25 109/25 110/16 137/9 139/7 142/11 146/6 146/17 notified [1] 173/13
November[75] 4/5 5/15 6/8 7/4
9/11 12/11 20/11 24/14 24/24
25/24 32/1 36/18 37/20 38/3 38/4
$38 / 538 / 2042 / 1442 / 2244 / 5$
44/10 47/24 48/3 48/14 49/20
$50 / 151 / 253 / 1154 / 758 / 1158 / 17$ 59/8 68/1 69/1 103/16 104/11
107/5 107/6 108/3 108/15 108/20
109/3 109/8 109/13 109/17 109/21
109/21 109/21 110/1 110/7 110/9
111/1114/3114/3118/11118/20 119/11 119/17 131/20 133/11
134/5 137/14 138/8 139/12 139/19 141/3 141/3 141/9 142/3 144/15
148/13 152/23 157/16 171/17 171/17
November 12 [1] 68/1
November 12th [3] 114/3 118/20
119/11
November 3rd [2] 38/4 38/5
November 4th [1] 6/8
November 5[1] 103/16
November 5th [11] 4/5 5/15 37/20
42/22 53/11 58/11 69/1 107/5

November 5th... [3] 114/3 134/5 148/13
November 6th [2] 20/11 24/14 November 7 [1] 104/11
November 7th [1] 47/24
November 8th [2] 48/14 137/14 November 9th [6] 50/1 109/8 109/13 138/8 141/3 141/9
now [71] 6/6 8/2 10/4 13/25 14/20
15/20 16/17 20/10 24/3 30/22 42/22 44/10 47/23 48/2 53/1 53/14 58/3 62/9 63/8 64/4 67/8 68/2 70/14 71/19 72/2 72/18 75/4 76/13 79/5 85/4 86/14 89/9 89/14 97/12 98/4 99/13 101/10 102/19 103/8 104/25 106/11 108/7 111/24 112/24 124/12 141/3 142/11 146/7 146/19 150/6 150/14 154/23 155/7 155/13 156/21 157/14 157/21
158/5 158/23 160/21 161/13 162/4 163/12 164/18 167/24 170/2 171/16 178/2 178/22 183/18 187/5 number [27] 10/18 $15 / 617 / 11$ 19/14 26/20 30/18 31/21 45/12 47/7 48/1 48/5 48/9 64/20 84/9 95/8 106/2 106/4 106/7 107/17 112/25 142/25 143/2 160/17 160/18 185/15 186/9 187/1 numerous [7] 70/18 78/7 107/24 110/10 110/12 112/17175/6
o'clock [6] 7/2 20/15 45/17 45/24 148/14 156/16
O'Neill [3] 179/9 180/10 181/25
oath [1] 149/25
object [3] 14/3 88/15 178/3
objected [1] 179/24
objection [19] 26/13 39/22 89/20
90/3 103/1 123/3 124/8 124/11 135/6 136/5 138/2 146/12 147/2 147/6 148/11 176/19 177/9 177/23 177/23
observations [2] 89/6 186/2
observe [1] 53/4
observed [7] 12/19 25/7 85/5 88/8
88/18 166/12 173/13
obtain [6] 4/4 48/4 94/8 99/11 106/7 107/9
obtained [14] 9/14 26/16 40/22 59/23 60/14 62/9 67/20 106/9 129/2 139/13 141/8 141/16 185/14 185/15
obtaining [2] 94/21 97/8
obvious [7] 6/3 19/10 26/19 71/3 71/3 75/12 82/15
obviously [12] 4/16 19/13 25/5 36/2 77/5 86/13 100/10 114/17 147/17 177/8 180/2 181/10
occasion [13] 6/12 9/2 10/4 21/8
21/11 25/2 25/16 47/1847/20 48/11 48/21 48/22 51/11
occasions [4] 26/20 110/17 135/1 180/21
occupation [1] 162/8
occurred [8] 84/18 91/17 142/3
166/7 173/20 177/25 179/11 179/11
October [1] 151/24
October 21st[1] 151/24
odd [1] 175/24
off [23] $4 / 3$ 32/6 43/2 94/9 97/20
98/13 99/10 102/10 112/16 114/4 130/6 130/6 140/9 144/4 145/23 153/12 156/13 156/14 163/23 167/15 169/11 177/13 187/3
offenses [1] 150/17
offer [3] 90/9 176/18 180/7
offered [1] 91/14
office [6] 43/10 43/17 48/24 52/8
141/21 150/9
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { officer [30] } & 4 / 22 & 4 / 22 \quad 10 / 9 & 20 / 5\end{array}$ 21/19 32/12 37/15 37/16 37/17 38/16 57/2 $115 / 7$ 117/1 152/6 155/23 155/25 156/1 157/8 157/10 157/12 158/14 164/12 164/15 166/12 169/19 173/11 173/22 176/23 176/23 181/25
officers [67] $10 / 1110 / 1215 / 21$
16/11 19/24 21/13 22/17 25/23
$33 / 23$ 34/22 34/23 35/17 43/20
44/3 44/7 47/17 55/2 79/9 101/22 112/25 113/1 113/4 116/22 117/4 117/13 120/12 121/6 121/14 121/17 122/7 124/7 124/22 125/1 125/8 125/24 126/22 128/6 130/5 130/6 130/9 131/2 131/21 131/25 136/13 141/5 141/10 141/17 143/6 143/7 143/18 $143 / 21$ 143/22 144/4 144/9 144/17 145/13 153/21 163/4 163/5 167/9 167/10 169/15 169/22 170/1 175/6 185/11 185/13
official [5] 1/25 53/13 54/19 191/4 191/19
often [3] 66/23 135/24 169/10
Oh [6] 16/23 54/1 97/2 105/23 122/3 138/10
Okay [73] 7/7 7/16 7/25 9/3 9/9 11/3 11/9 11/12 12/21 13/12 13/25 16/17 17/5 17/11 17/20 18/21 19/23 20/10 20/17 22/24 24/1 24/3 33/15 33/24 36/12 37/1 8 37/20 38/6 39/2 39/9 40/13 42/17 42/22 43/3 45/12 45/16 46/5 49/17 51/651/1051/19 $51 / 2252 / 152 / 1053 / 2155 / 10$ 64/8 65/10 82/10 105/16 108/2 113/24 114/6 119/8 121/13131/13 132/12 133/4 134/11 134/15 137/8 139/15 145/11 145/13 147/24 148/9 151/18 160/1 170/6 176/11 177/16 178/4 183/15
old [2] 157/25 160/6
on [320]
once [10] 12/10 22/8 29/12 40/22 42/9 67/19 73/8 88/22 153/17 156/25
one [84] $4 / 8$ 13/23 $13 / 25$ 16/4 18/14 18/17 23/4 25/16 29/16 $30 / 730 / 1331 / 1834 / 934 / 19$ 36/13 36/15 42/1 44/21 47/2 $52 / 1352 / 1452 / 1854 / 2255 / 10$ 62/4 64/2 65/15 65/24 66/3 69/25 71/14 71/15 72/1 73/10 73/18 79/15 80/13 80/15 80/18 87/21 88/4 89/14 90/13 95/8 99/4 99/20 100/25 100/25 105/19 106/2 109/15 112/3 112/13 113/25 115/14 $117 / 6$ 134/6 134/22 137/21 140/3 140/5 142/25 147/7 148/9 151/16 152/9 157/19 158/3 165/24 168/23 170/2 173/3 173/5 173/8 173/17 178/17 180/8 180/8 180/21 182/9 184/5 184/7 186/19 189/16
ones [2] 25/25 32/24
ongoing [4] $84 / 23$ 86/6 94/16 110/24
only [23] 6/22 22/8 36/13 36/15
36/19 54/1 54/13 54/15 80/5
80/18 98/8 $110 / 5$ 133/14 134/22
151/16 166/25 174/15 176/15
177/21 184/1 184/12 187/4 187/10
onto [3] 42/22 62/20 130/20

opened [2] 6/11 100/22
opener [1] 49/22
opening [1] 178/10
operation [1] 58/7
operator [1] 126/5
opinion [1] 188/24
opportunity [1] 187/8
oppose [1] 26/12
opposed [4] 29/7 30/2 70/23 76/15 or [278]
oral [1] 186/20
order [2] 16/23 111/13
ordered [1] 91/10
orders [1] 91/9
organize [2] 165/8 165/15
organized [1] 71/20
organizing [5] 71/19 79/5 91/20
126/8 126/11
oriented [2] 27/24 51/4
original [5] 109/2 109/13 109/15 137/24 139/17
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { other } & {[98]} & 8 / 3 & 14 / 7 & 15 / 15 & 16 / 5\end{array}$
16/10 19/14 25/6 25/12 25/21
$31 / 535 / 1735 / 1839 / 744 / 5$ 52/14
56/5 58/1 59/14 68/18 69/16 69/20 71/15 73/11 73/19 74/14 76/12 78/15 79/18 80/15 81/7 83/23 85/13 86/18 90/9 91/25 92/12 94/14 94/17 95/9 95/9 95/25 95/25 96/25 97/24 99/14 100/5 101/22 102/8 102/20 103/21 104/4 104/5 104/25 107/2 107/9 108/24 110/10 110/14 113/20 115/21 116/21 124/22 125/1 129/9 130/7 130/11 132/2 132/4 134/7 135/1 138/21 140/24 144/15 144/17 146/2 146/17 149/18 150/7 150/16 151/15 151/17153/8 153/11 158/4 160/22 161/18 161/20 162/20 166/2 167/1 168/24 169/24 170/3 172/8 $174 / 18175 / 14$ 185/6 185/18
others [2] 35/5 69/2
ought [1] 182/9
our [34] $4 / 174 / 174 / 2025 / 1935 / 8$ 35/8 35/9 35/9 44/17 44/18 52/15 57/6 67/10 69/12 71/22 82/21 96/11 99/7 104/10 110/24 111/3 112/13 127/15 144/22 144/22 149/20 150/21 153/21 161/3 162/23 168/20 176/1 177/9 184/11 ourselves [1] 25/19
 23/4 23/20 25/19 28/5 33/14 $33 / 2134 / 2336 / 736 / 1538 / 17$ 38/24 39/9 40/5 40/9 40/11 43/20 44/3 47/8 50/24 52/7 53/19 61/19 61/23 62/15 62/25 64/9 64/15 $65 / 466 / 1382 / 2384 / 1185 / 18$ 87/1 98/1 99/4 99/11 105/9 105/12 105/15 110/13 111/21 116/5 120/12 128/4 128/13 128/18 128/19 129/2 129/20 141/6 143/3
out... [14] 143/10 143/11 143/19 144/11 147/22 151/17164/17 167/22 167/23 169/10 172/24 174/12 178/7 189/7
outbuildings [1] 34/16
outcome [1] 93/6
outdoor [1] 76/18
outside [15] 13/8 33/19 40/1 42/17 77/6 90/7 97/1 98/17 104/13
104/22 119/14 139/23 142/13
148/5 189/18
outstanding [1] 176/13
over [30] 5/3 17/2 17/15 33/12
33/20 43/15 43/16 59/13 61/12
$72 / 875 / 1875 / 2286 / 2488 / 13$
98/23 99/24 113/6 $113 / 7113 / 8$
129/3 134/2 136/22 137/5 143/19
144/1 148/20 148/22 149/11
154/10 170/25
overall[7] 26/21 31/2 60/13 62/11
68/23 90/13 163/12
overcast [1] 143/1
overhead [1] 157/25
overhear [1] 190/1
overruled [1] 147/6
oversaw [1] 78/19
overseeing [2] 96/22 106/12
own [2] 71/25 161/3
owned [2] 73/25 78/5
owner [1] 185/9
owner's [1] 111/25
owners [2] 112/3 112/15
ownership [1] 185/9
P
p.m [28] 5/14 7/4 7/11 7/12 8/5

9/20 9/21 10/3 18/21 19/24 24/12
24/22 24/23 25/24 48/18 49/12
49/13 51/4 58/18 58/24 59/18
76/7 108/14 108/21 $118 / 11141 / 9$
153/5 165/16
package [1] 11/21
Packaged [1] 30/18
packaging [4] 11/20 12/3 16/6 28/14
page [9] $2 / 28 / 14$ 9/24 13/4 18/2
52/1752/18 53/15 53/18
pages [7] 53/1 53/5 53/14 53/16
53/19 53/22 54/3
painstaking [1] 14/6
painstakingly [1] 28/25
paint [1] 15/15
paired [1] 5/6
pants [1] 98/20
papers [1] 186/17
paragraph [2] 14/1 18/5
paragraphs [1] 8/15
parallel [1] 62/17
parameters [1] 19/11
parcel [6] 34/23 61/10 61/17 64/2
108/25 184/23
parcels [1] 63/20
parent's [1] 40/11
park [3] 98/19 98/22 166/9
parked [1] 33/17
part [18] 6/18 15/24 75/1 78/18
84/22 92/17 104/10 104/10 116/25
133/18 133/24 146/19 147/4 164/4 164/6 177/24 178/21 185/16
partial [3] 1/4 1/23 190/6
participant [1] 181/13
participate [2] 20/25 77/19
participated [1] 16/15
participation [2] 89/14 91/18
particular [17] 23/5 34/1 53/7
57/10 58/3 58/9 70/8 70/22 72/25 81/14 85/5 136/1 153/13 157/4
161/9 163/24 174/17
particularly [3] 27/22 54/9 136/3
parties [2] 3/5 93/7
Partly [1] 140/3
parts [2] 102/20 177/18
party [1] 181/15
pass [2] 55/16 79/2
passenger [1] 169/5
past [2] 16/1 54/15
patching [1] 28/10
Patrick [1] 1/9
patrol [24] 37/11 44/24 45/4 57/2 57/3 99/19 101/21 120/13 120/15 121/6 121/9 124/13 124/21 152/6 152/6 157/11 157/19 158/11
158/16 159/2 161/25 162/1 171/5 171/13
patrolman [1] 171/14
pause [1] 178/10
pen [1] 64/19
pending [2] 43/13 123/10
people [32] 36/3 36/11 69/14
69/14 79/24 82/22 83/23 84/5
84/7 85/20 90/13 95/22 98/7 98/9 99/1 99/10 100/8 101/16 105/8
105/14 111/25 112/4 112/10 122/3
123/7 126/25 128/16 137/5 141/25
143/3 166/3 189/17
perform [2] 44/19 97/9
performance [1] 188/4
performing [1] 161/15
perhaps[5] 29/5 29/7 30/10 81/16 186/20
perimeter [34] 68/4 68/8 68/23
78/14 97/1 98/15 98/17 99/20
100/12 129/13 154/1 154/3 154/5
154/8 $155 / 13$ 157/22 159/1 160/21
161/14 161/16 161/21 161/23
162/23 164/1 164/19 164/24 165/9
166/21 168/1 169/11 169/17
174/20 175/12 175/13
period [4] 57/17 98/13 107/5
126/6
periodically [2] 158/12 168/19
permit [2] 139/2 186/20
permitted [2] 90/24 141/4
permitting [1] 92/18
persist [1] 76/24
person [9] $1 / 21$ 38/11 58/21 69/24 70/22 72/15 99/12 173/13 174/15 personal [5] 54/18 126/15 181/1 181/8 188/12
personally [8] 38/24 52/3 54/2
106/18 $118 / 19122 / 16$ 129/6 173/3
personnel [37] 21/5 66/21 66/22
67/3 68/9 70/10 72/6 72/10 72/16
73/2 73/19 78/10 79/4 80/11
$80 / 12$ 85/11 85/12 88/14 89/9
97/12 97/15 101/1 101/8 102/14
102/20 103/18 104/2 106/5 110/6
110/25 111/3 112/24 128/19
129/12 129/24 144/23 150/7
persons [1] 59/7
pertaining [1] 79/3
pertains [1] 183/13
pets [1] 112/11
phone [5] 38/8 98/24 105/6 111/4

112/17
photo [2] 163/24 169/5
photograph [6] 51/8 154/18
157/15 158/25 160/19 164/6
photographed [1] 11/9
photographer [1] 36/13
photographing [1] 51/16
photographs [4] 10/20 11/10 25/10 25/11
physical [1] 162/17
physically [1] 163/6
pick [2] 7/23 52/9
picture [3] 29/13 29/14 64/18
pictured [1] 30/16
pictures [1] 19/7
piece [1] 29/18
pit [10] 74/20 96/14 127/14 135/4
135/16 137/13 137/16 144/20
155/3 160/6
pits [2] 78/4 78/5
place [10] 12/2 24/20 58/6 59/19
67/10 86/24 106/5 135/21 157/11
189/9
placed [5] 150/11 157/11 167/15
168/7 168/9
placement [1] 159/1
places [2] 25/21 130/15
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4
plan [3] 69/3 80/22 80/23
planned [2] 95/11 95/14
planning [1] 83/25
plans [2] 68/3 68/8
plateau [1] 183/9
plates [3] 97/17 97/19 97/22
play [1] 104/9
played [1] 15/24
pleadings [1] 184/19
please [20] 3/6 3/21 3/21 35/14 36/25 37/4 37/4 52/21 56/15
56/15 64/21 138/14 151/6 151/10
151/10 156/10 170/12 170/16 170/16 170/25
plenty [2] 36/7 130/5
plot [3] 67/19 67/25 68/5
Plymouth [1] 158/7
point [44] 8/9 9/3 12/14 18/25
41/6 42/9 42/9 42/12 43/11 45/17
59/3 65/9 66/6 68/14 69/9 69/20
69/24 76/4 82/18 83/6 86/14
93/21 97/19 100/14 104/16 105/21
145/8 146/24 148/9 148/22 153/20
156/12 157/6 162/19 163/3 163/7
166/22 166/25 180/7 181/5 182/21
184/24 186/10 187/20
pointed [3] 52/7 156/21 173/17
pointer [4] 62/24 63/25 154/12 173/6
pointing [3] 154/15 158/19 159/15
points [5] 158/9 178/20 181/21
186/21 187/4
police [18] 37/15 37/17 100/11
102/18115/7117/1117/4117/13
120/12 128/4 128/11 128/15 129/7
129/9 129/11 131/21 136/9 186/1
ponds [2] 78/6 78/7
porch [1] 119/15
pornographic [1] 19/6
porta [1] 67/5
porta-potties [1] 67/5
portion [8] 61/10 93/13 101/24
164/7 165/21 185/20 186/1 186/13
pose [1] 76/10
positive [1] 86/10

| P |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pr\| | proceedings [2] 1/23 191/13 | fications [1] 45/6 |
|  | process [12] 20/24 23/15 28/1 | ualified [7] 45/1 117/24 118/2 |
| 23/22 29/8 30/12 49/24 51/25 | 28/22 79/25 85/1 86/6 86/7 88/11 |  |
| $66 / 266 / 583 / 2145 / 14148 / 10$$152 / 15167 / 1167 / 25168 / 3$ | 90/21 98/6 101/17 | 4] 163/1 163 |
|  | cessed [4] 25/21 30/7 30/2 | 69/12 |
| possibly [2] 14/24 84/14 <br> post [22] 33/15 62/22 62/25 66/24 |  | [5] |
|  |  |  |
| post [22] 33/15 62/22 62/25 66/24 | 80/2 97/22 98/8 101/14 103/6 | quarter [1] 164/ |
| 160/20 160/22 161/1 161/3 161/4 161/5 165/11 166/13 167/10 167/17 168/14 168/15 171/24 | 127/13 155/19 |  |
|  | pr |  |
|  | profile [1] 113/10 | 35/14 40/14 41/15 42/4 45/3 50/5 |
| postings [3] 68/16 162/5 175/13 | pronounce [1] 163 | 59/4 |
| potential [11] 79/7 87/10 87/11 87/12 87/14 87/17 91/24 120/24 133/18 140/13 153/10 | pronouncing [1] | 93/11 103/13106/1 |
|  | proof [1] 176/18 | 115/15 115/17 116/7 116/11 |
|  | properly [3] 35/1 | 116/15 |
| potentially [4] $98 / 11136 / 12$ 137/1$145 / 9$ | properties [7] 60/9 60/20 63/1 | 138/15 140/2 146/15 147/8 |
|  | 78/3 100/4 100/4 162/22 | 164/24 172/21 181/8 184/12 |
| potties [1] 67/5 | 4/5 4/11 8/3 | 185/10 188 |
| precautions [2] 62/10 166/20 preferably [2] 189/17 189/20 | 22/1 33/10 33/13 36/1 42/24 | questioning [4] |
|  | 43/21 44/11 45/21 62/1762/1 | 130/13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { preliminary [1] } 182 / 25 \\ & \text { premises [4] } 62 / 1168 / 469 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | 62/21 62/21 63/20 64/3 64/17 | questions |
|  | 68/10 68/17 70/11 73/21 73/2 | 26/15 31/21 |
| ( $77 / 17$ [1] $11 / 21$ | 73/25 74/15 77/25 78/3 80/25 | 55/17 103/11 123/14 135/24 |
|  |  | 149/19 150/3 151/1 170/3 175/ |
| (erepare [1] 11/21 | 1 |  |
|  | 1/24 112/1 112/3 112/4 112 | quicker [1] 71 |
| preponderance [1] 182/6 presence [5] 88/1 90/8 91/23 | 112/11 112/15 112/16 112/18 | quickly [3] 42/9 83/2 143/16 |
|  | 112/20 113/2 119/18 127/6 130/21 |  |
|  | 133/12 134/3 140/4 148/17 152/25 | quite [7] 26/18 26/19 26/22 77/10 |
| present [6] 3/10 72/6 79/4 111/10 146/8 181/11 | 153/10 153/12 153/12 153/23 | 98/13 163/22 169/10 |
|  | 154/21 154/25 155/1 155/4 | qu |
| presentation [1] 176/1presenting [2] 56/5 56/10 | 155/18 155/24 156/5 156/20 | R |
|  |  |  |
| lel | 162/16 | R-e-m-i-k-e-r [1] 3/23 |
|  | 167/18 | Radandt [2] 73/25 78/5 |
|  |  | RAF [1] 75 |
| presumably [2] 180/6 182/13 <br> presumptive [1] 86/10 <br> pretrial [9] 3/4 54/25 55/1 180/18 | 175/3 | rail [3] 157/25 |
|  | Prosecutor [2] 1/12 | rain [10] 75/20 76/24 77/9 82/20 |
|  | Prosecutors [1] | 82/22 142/3 142/5 142/16 142/1 |
| 181/6 181/9 181/18 187/12 187/25 pretty [7] 7/19 66/14 77/10 98/12 |  |  |
|  | protective [7] 69/5 69/8 69/11 | rining [5] 76/22 77/1 142/12014 |
| $155 / 3161 / 16185 / 2$ <br> prevent [2] 109/25 139/7 |  | torm |
|  |  | instorm [2] 76/17 169/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { prevented [4] 110/16 142/19 146/6 } \\ & 146 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | proven [1] 14/25 | raise [4] 36/25 151/6 170/12 |
|  | provide [3] 59/9 67/4 120/20 | 178/24 |
| 146/17 <br> previous [2] 90/25 171/12 <br> previously [1] 72/7 |  | rank[5] 45/4 151/25 152/4 |
|  | providing [2] 33 | $171 / 9$ |
| primarily [4] $15 / 20$ 15/21 $21 / 23$ | proving [1] $91 / 6$ |  |
| primary $[13] \quad 51 / 1562 / 1670 / 5$$70 / 673 / 474 / 2594 / 1102 / 10$ |  |  |
|  | pulied [2] 98/13 | ratification [1] 187/ |
| $70 / 673 / 474 / 2594 / 1102 / 10$$103 / 13127 / 11163 / 13163 / 16$$163 / 25$ |  | ratify [1] 188/5 |
|  | pure [1] 28/17 | RAV [16] 73/22 75/5 75/9 75/1 |
|  | purpose [16] 69/9 69/21 70/5 70/6 | 76/19 77/8 79/25 86/8 96/7 |
|  | 70/7 73/4 90/9 91/6 91/12 93/20 | 113/15 126/5 148/17 148/20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 152 / 0155 / 16166 / 15171 / 13 \\ & \text { priority [3] } 113 / 22113 / 24130 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 134/15 162/20 162/25 163/8 | 148/22 186/9 186/25 |
| privacy [6] 179/3 179/21 181/8$\begin{aligned} & \text { 184/20 186/12 } \\ & \text { 186/23 }\end{aligned}$ | 168/12 189/12 | [1] 58/13 |
|  | purposes $121114 / 19$ 40/17 67/5 | [5] |
| private [1] 1 189/10 | 89/21 91/3 116/4 124/10 160/13 | real [5] 39/14 41/15 59/20 63/4 |
| privilege [3] $187 / 20$ $188 / 15$ $189 / 24$ <br> probable $[5]$ $109 / 12$ $185 / 11$ $185 / 17$ | 163/1 182/18 189/22 189/25 | 182/3 |
|  | put [28] $4 / 18$ 16/6 18/17 61/13 | realize |
|  | 64/20 65/14 65/24 66/17 66/18 | really [7] 41/13 91/5 92/24 126/ |
|  | 67/16 72/19 75/17 79/15 79/18 | 39/4 |
| probably [25] 14/20 15/7 33/4 $40 / 345 / 851 / 1658 / 259 / 2071 / 23$ | 82/11 92/10 95/17 124/17 125/13 | reason [7] 9/1 19/17 |
| 74/18 $101 / 24108 / 1110 / 6110 / 11$$114 / 4115 / 7119 / 13127 / 25128 / 1$ | 126/20 127/4 127/5 144/18149/11 | 129/25 130/2 168/6 |
|  | 153/7 161/8 161/9 161/11 | reasonable [3] 179/2 185/5 188/3 |
| $114 / 4115 / 7119 / 13127 / 25$$131 / 12131 / 14138 / 21142 / 9$$149 / 14177 / 14$ | putting [4] 65/7 126/11 163/4 | ness [1] 103/9 |
|  |  |  |
| probative [3] 123/13 135/18 136/4 problems [1] 65/6 <br> proceed [4] 151/13 187/9 187/25 | Q |  |
|  | quadrant[9] 155/17155/23 156/3 | call [19] 8/6 10/21 14/22 19/19 |

remaining [3] $16 / 11$ 62/1 $141 / 10$ remains [1] 75/2
remarkably [1] 26/14
remember [6] 8/8 64/8 76/25 81/1 132/11 139/4
REMIKER [14] 2/3 3/18 3/23 26/1 44/17 46/11 51/20 52/16 69/2 132/13 185/14 186/5 186/14 186/24
Remiker's [1] 26/23
removal [3] 76/19 80/4 155/20
remove [1] 11/19
removed [8] 18/7 68/16 76/4 80/10 80/11 166/16 166/17 168/11
removing [1] 75/24
renewal [2] 138/4 138/5
reorganized [1] 161/3
repeat [1] 172/20
rephrase [1] 115/17
report [27] 7/14 8/13 8/18 8/23
8/24 8/24 $13 / 3$ 18/5 19/23 52/14 52/15 52/17 52/18 52/20 53/4 53/13 53/23 54/4 54/14 54/16 54/1754/19 54/21 55/7 55/8 98/3 98/24
reported [3] 1/24 85/16 191/6
Reporter [3] 1/25 191/5 191/19
reports [7] 52/12 53/24 54/18
75/12 75/13 99/2 103/22
representatives [1] 189/18
request [3] 47/23 179/20 180/19
requested [2] 58/14 92/4
requesting [1] 180/23
require [1] 189/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { required }[5] & 29 / 11 & 181 / 21 & 186 / 13\end{array}$ 186/14 186/24
requiring [1] 140/24
residence [68] 6/1 6/5 8/19 8/25
11/7 13/22 14/15 15/3 15/4 15/25
19/25 20/1 22/2 22/13 25/13 26/9
26/20 33/20 34/2 40/10 40/20 41/2 44/12 45/10 45/17 48/15 49/25 50/22 60/10 60/11 62/6 80/24 82/13 83/21 84/20 87/25 88/3 88/17 88/23 88/24 93/15 96/17 108/5 $111 / 12111 / 21118 / 19$ 119/4 119/6 122/7 124/18 139/3 140/14 141/6 141/11 141/12
141/17 141/21 142/1 142/15
142/21 $144 / 16$ 145/1 $158 / 20$ 174/4
174/6 183/14 183/22 185/4
residences [9] 34/16 35/23 39/7 61/15 61/20 81/7 89/7 156/8 171/20
residents [3] 111/25 167/5 167/5
resource [4] 103/3 135/14 135/21
135/23
resources [19] 31/11 31/14 72/9 78/10 79/4 97/12 101/12 105/2 106/5 121/2 121/22 121/23 125/21 126/16 135/10 136/8 136/9 137/12 144/22
respect [23] 30/22 57/20 58/9 60/25 77/3 78/12 81/22 89/14 89/16 91/17 91/20 92/17 93/14 95/3 101/13 158/5 166/20 171/23
176/2 178/22 178/23 182/1 186/12
responding [3] 186/7 $186 / 8$ 186/25
response [1] 34/13
responsibilities [2] 72/3 172/5
responsibility [6] 15/20 15/22
21/23 78/16 89/3 89/4
responsible [10] 57/7 78/13 88/21

90/13 101/18 102/1 104/8 164/20
165/9 174/8
rest [2] 176/1 184/22
resting [1] 154/7
result [2] 166/19 167/18
results [1] 89/5
resume [3] 55/24 67/17 149/21
resumed [1] 50/10
resurrect [1] 169/25
retreated [1] 167/13
return [4] 20/11 165/17 167/18 168/18
returned [4] 4/11 8/4 69/2 167/16
returning [5] 68/25 78/21 79/3
84/24 162/4
review [3] 8/14 52/10 52/12
reviewed [1] 52/21
Riemer [1] 150/8
right [343]
rightly [1] 182/9
risk [1] 82/25
Rivers [4] 100/8 101/21 128/15 129/4
road [23] 39/6 39/7 62/5 62/7
62/18 62/19 62/20 65/3 65/18
65/20 66/1 66/7 66/10 66/13
66/14 67/9 125/6 156/14 164/3 164/4 164/9 164/16 166/22
roads [3] 65/20 156/14 163/15
roadway [5] 154/8 154/13 154/15 154/20 164/7
roadways [2] 161/24 169/1
rode [1] 80/13
role [6] 59/5 59/7 59/13 78/12
78/13 171/22
roll [2] 17/2 84/12
roller [3] 16/18 17/2 32/4
rollers [1] 145/22
room [8] 5/23 15/13 $15 / 18$ 51/23
131/7 189/11 189/15 189/21
rough [1] 61/13
roughly [1] 59/19
roving [1] 102/15
RPR [2] 1/24 191/19
run [1] $82 / 25$
running [4] 62/16 63/25 97/15 103/20
runs [1] 164/9
rushing [1] 25/20
Ryan [1] 104/20
S
S-i-p-p-e-I[1] 151/12
safety [2] 69/12 83/10
said [29] $4 / 718 / 1434 / 1247 / 3$
$51 / 6$ 66/19 69/20 93/22 94/15 105/5 105/7 105/8 107/2 125/15
128/3 129/15 129/24 132/15 134/2
139/6 147/18 147/25 148/14
148/24 156/10 176/21 178/5 181/3 191/13
salvage [14] 20/11 38/18 39/8 58/17 60/8 60/19 61/2 62/3 63/17 74/20 100/1 100/2 134/10 171/19
same [23] 6/9 12/7 21/11 21/13
23/15 24/14 25/25 38/20 44/8
47/17 49/3 49/4 49/6 57/18 81/25 82/2 90/3 139/8 155/4 172/9
172/11 183/16 183/18
sample [1] 28/14
samples [4] 16/24 28/9 92/13 140/25
sand [2] 78/4 160/6
satisfy [1] 69/12
Saturday [26] 18/1 36/11 36/16 38/2 42/22 44/9 51/18 68/1 78/22 79/11 79/14 79/19 79/24 103/16 110/1 120/2 133/11 134/5 134/23 155/14 160/11 160/25 160/25 168/25 172/12 186/8
saw [6] 25/3 105/8 105/8 147/25 174/4 175/6
say [40] 7/19 15/7 16/13 21/9 21/9 25/20 30/1 32/20 37/15 52/5 66/5 74/11 74/24 79/11 82/9 96/21 99/6 99/25 107/25 108/16 113/7 116/16 121/4 121/21 127/17 129/19 132/20 132/22 133/7 140/12 141/24 142/19 153/25 164/19 171/1 176/25 179/10 183/20 188/20 189/14
saying [9] $8 / 23$ 110/2 111/10 129/5 146/7 147/4 147/18 177/3 181/24
says [7] 7/14 20/2 20/3 53/18 117/19 130/20 178/8
scene [51] 43/21 58/16 59/11 60/22 60/22 66/22 67/2 67/3 67/4 69/6 69/13 72/11 72/12 75/23 80/3 80/6 80/18 82/5 82/6 82/9 82/10 84/22 85/17 85/20 86/16 95/2 96/25 98/5 100/12 104/14 106/2 106/10 $110 / 21$ 110/23 114/1 115/11 117/2 125/9 127/24 128/4 128/13 128/18 129/13 140/6 140/8 146/18 153/4 153/7 164/17 167/11 186/7
scenes [1] 130/6
scent [1] 134/17
School [1] 27/18
schools [1] 114/19
scope [1] 4/17
seal [1] 12/3
sealed [2] 11/18 11/23
sealing [2] 23/16 28/14
search [138] 3/14 4/4 4/17 9/13 14/4 14/15 15/23 17/13 17/14 18/8 18/22 18/24 19/11 19/20 21/1 25/7 26/21 27/21 33/25 34/1 35/25 41/19 44/13 44/13 45/1 45/10 45/16 46/16 47/20 49/5 50/19 51/1 59/22 60/1 60/14 61/21 67/20 69/2 69/4 69/6 69/10 69/1 1 69/25 70/1 70/16 71/4 71/10 71/15 71/20 71/25 72/4 $72 / 11$ 72/17 72/22 72/23 73/16 73/20 73/23 74/4 74/10 74/11 74/14 76/11 76/18 77/17 78/24 79/6 79/8 82/3 82/5 82/7 84/23 85/1 86/15 86/25 87/8 90/19 91/21 92/15 93/14 93/23 94/10 94/12 95/1 95/19 96/20 96/23 98/1 99/23 102/23 105/20 106/7 106/9 106/13 106/17 107/2 107/9 107/17 108/4 108/12 111/1 111/4 111/4 122/7 124/1 124/7 124/17 124/24 125/2 127/5 127/7 129/16 130/14 130/15 130/22 133/8 135/9 135/9 136/13 137/20 137/21 139/2 139/6 139/9 140/16 140/17 140/18 140/21 142/20 142/23 144/13 144/14 144/16 152/24 171/18 179/4 179/23 182/5
searched [9] 18/10 19/1 62/8

77/24 78/8 94/2 96/17 104/15
124/23
searchers [8] 61/13 93/24 104/18 104/19 105/17 120/23 142/11 142/20
searches [24] 25/18 34/13 35/6
35/7 35/21 35/22 36/4 45/21
67/21 74/2 77/11 77/20 78/15
78/22 88/4 89/15 91/10 93/20
103/6 104/6 117/2 130/7 143/17
172/3
searching [22] 10/15 10/23 22/18
24/3 35/18 71/16 73/5 76/14
77/23 80/23 87/1 100/3 100/19
108/23 113/2 133/14 136/10
142/12 146/3 162/21 162/22
169/21
seat [1] 67/17
seated [5] 3/21 37/4 56/15 151/10 170/16
second [14] 13/25 26/11 105/19
110/18 141/8 141/15 152/9 177/22
177/23 179/6 179/18 180/8 183/10
189/20
secondarily [1] 168/14
secondly [3] 90/22 175/25 179/5
section [2] 15/24 40/16
securance [1] 68/12
secure [11] 12/4 28/17 29/7 29/14
62/11 68/4 68/8 126/5 153/12
153/22 163/9
secured [3] 30/10 111/24 112/8
securing [2] 29/4 75/6
security [30] 33/12 33/13 33/19
33/21 67/4 68/23 99/20 100/13
129/13 154/2 $155 / 14$ 157/22 159/1
160/22 161/6 161/14 164/20
164/25 165/9 165/10 165/23
166/21 169/17 169/18 170/1 172/2
172/4 172/23 175/15 190/3
see [30] 6/15 8/12 10/25 12/17
$33 / 3$ 38/18 39/10 41/10 52/20
63/5 69/15 74/4 74/21 84/19
87/18 94/4 108/17 125/25 135/13
138/23 149/2 149/5 152/12 157/25
162/18 164/7 166/4 169/3 173/25
185/23
seeing [2] 75/12 108/16
seek [2] 138/4 139/9
Seeking [1] 140/24
seem [1] 26/17
seems [3] 14/3 26/14 184/12
seen [6] 33/7 52/25 99/9 139/4
147/15 148/2
sees [1] 181/19
seize [8] 16/22 23/9 47/10 79/25
131/25 137/25 139/5 145/18
seized [10] 10/18 16/17 16/21
17/12 19/1 20/7 25/2 33/10
118/21 146/11
seizing [1] 146/3
seizure [1] 97/21
self [1] 184/18
self-evident [1] 184/18
send [9] 38/17 98/4 99/10 105/9
120/24 122/14 122/19 122/21
145/4
sense [4] 16/9 35/20 35/24 93/4 sent [9] 86/24 105/14 122/6
123/25 124/6 143/6 143/7 144/10
145/13
separate [2] 28/22 94/9
September [1] 191/15
sequencing [1] 53/4
sequential [1] 53/25
sequestered [1] 152/17
sequestration [2] 151/14 152/12
sergeant [25] 2/9 10/5 10/9 16/14
20/4 21/17 26/2 37/1 37/10 37/18 44/16 48/6 50/6 52/7 53/9 122/21
123/23 124/3 124/12 125/6 147/10 147/20 156/16 168/15 171/13
serial [3] 48/1 48/4 48/9
serious [2] 75/13 76/8
seriously [1] 137/1
served [4] 108/12 108/14 110/10 139/15
serving [1] 110/12
sessions [3] 59/12 83/25 84/1
set [13] 33/16 62/22 64/5 64/10
64/13 70/10 72/14 139/16 156/12 157/22 160/12 162/15 173/25
setting [1] 166/15
seven [5] 22/9 27/19 68/14 164/21 165/1
seventh [2] 139/13 139/13
several [7] 4/15 11/7 33/20 60/12 84/8 105/22 166/6
shallower [1] 159/21
shampooer [1] 25/14
share [1] 172/5
she [9] 39/5 $129 / 24133 / 15148 / 2$ 148/5 159/9 174/12 189/23 190/1
shell [1] 7/22
shells [1] 23/10
sheriff [7] 59/2 83/23 100/7 141/5
141/25 164/15 167/14
sheriff's [31] 5/2 8/13 10/9 10/12 37/12 43/10 43/17 44/16 48/24 $52 / 852 / 1452 / 1555 / 858 / 14$ 59/10 59/17 68/22 100/9 102/12 103/25 121/14 122/4 151/22
156/17 161/2 161/17 162/2 165/6 166/24 170/22 171/2
Sheriffs [1] 150/9
shift [3] 67/7 68/18 165/25
shifted [1] 73/9
shifts [3] 153/23 165/12 $172 / 10$
shop [2] 40/9 144/13
shops [1] 34/16
short [3] 178/13 188/11 189/3
shorten [1] 55/21
shorthand [1] 191/10
shortly [4] 58/18 59/11 64/11 187/4
shotgun [2] 19/14 19/18
should [14] 18/18 19/9 19/21 42/8
131/3 146/10 173/6 176/8 176/16
183/7 183/24 184/1 $185 / 15$ 188/25
shouldn't [1] 19/22
show [3] 8/12 91/23 109/8
showed [2] 36/11 95/12
showing [2] 108/18 182/25
shows [3] 63/17 108/20 173/15
shut [1] 112/7
side [8] 13/19 13/20 157/17 158/3 158/4 168/24 175/2 186/20
sight [2] 39/15 148/4
sign [1] 158/7
significance [1] 85/4
signs [1] 75/12
similar [6] 13/13 13/18 16/1 26/14
47/23 139/18
simple [1] 116/15
simply [1] 48/1
since [6] 37/17 37/19 89/9 151/24

S
since... [2] 152/3 178/21
single [2] 117/6 131/9
SIPPEL [16] 2/16 32/25 68/20
74/18 78/18 83/24 101/25 126/9 140/2 151/4 151/7 151/12 172/6 172/8 172/20 174/24
sir [25] 37/22 38/10 38/13 38/22 $44 / 345 / 345 / 1945 / 2246 / 10$ 46/15 46/18 47/11 47/14 47/19 47/22 48/12 48/20 49/2 50/20 50/23 51/5 52/23 54/5 54/8 54/12 sister [1] 156/7
site [7] 59/22 80/19 98/11 98/12
99/1 136/18 137/2
sitting [1] 130/9
six [2] $27 / 1977 / 24$
Sixteen [1] 171/8
size [5] 22/23 70/24 71/2 131/8 131/17
slightly [1] 160/14
slow [1] 29/1
slower [1] 41/15
small [6] 28/9 28/10 119/25
129/11 133/8 163/23
smaller [1] 70/24
smearing [1] 117/10
so [170] 4/6 4/21 5/6 6/6 6/11 6/22 7/25 8/1 8/23 9/3 9/8 9/18 10/11 10/22 13/2 15/9 16/7 16/10 19/9 22/17 24/18 25/19 25/22
26/4 26/5 26/6 26/17 26/21 28/19 $30 / 530 / 531 / 532 / 1332 / 1632 / 24$ $33 / 833 / 1034 / 335 / 537 / 1337 / 20$ 38/23 38/23 39/9 40/5 40/7 40/13 41/14 42/17 43/3 48/8 48/25
50/12 50/15 51/10 53/19 54/15
56/23 60/23 63/6 63/14 65/6
66/17 67/12 69/15 71/9 71/13
71/17 73/7 74/22 74/24 75/22
77/10 80/17 80/21 81/9 81/19
$82 / 383 / 183 / 583 / 983 / 1183 / 16$
83/20 84/23 89/3 89/8 89/24 90/1
90/16 91/11 93/10 94/13 95/10
95/14 96/21 98/9 98/9 98/22
103/16 104/2 104/21 105/16
107/15 107/20 108/14 109/6
109/20 $114 / 6116 / 22119 / 17120 / 3$
120/12 121/21 123/14 123/17
125/20 126/8 126/13 127/17
127/21 128/9 130/5 131/10 132/12
133/4 133/7 133/18 134/1 135/15
136/4 137/5 137/11 $139 / 14140 / 4$
140/7 142/19 144/3 144/23 144/25
145/13 147/8 147/18 153/25 154/4
156/22 158/19 162/23 165/21
167/24 168/2 168/21 171/6 172/18
172/20 174/6 174/23 175/23
177/16 178/2 179/13 180/1 180/4
180/18 180/21 181/19 183/20
184/11 184/14 188/2
solely [1] 175/12
solid [1] 112/3
some [91] 3/4 4/18 4/19 7/22 8/3 8/25 11/3 11/10 13/10 13/12 15/14 15/16 16/19 19/4 19/17 23/9 23/9 23/12 25/4 25/6 25/8 25/9 25/12 33/13 45/17 45/20 47/10 49/23 51/22 59/3 59/12 60/8 63/5 65/11 73/17 73/19 74/2 75/1 75/19 75/21 76/4 77/2 78/9 81/13 86/14 87/6 88/3 94/4 98/20

98/21 98/22 99/2 99/2 100/8
100/10 100/14 100/15 104/22
105/17 105/21 116/17117/17
117/18 118/21 128/19 128/23
129/9 129/11 134/7 136/8 136/9
136/22 139/18 142/6 144/10
144/11 144/12 144/13 144/14
146/2 146/7 147/24 148/22 155/3
169/3 169/4 172/19 180/23 180/23
186/21 188/22
somebody [8] 9/5 38/8 39/12 43/5 140/7 141/19 168/3 177/4
somehow [3] 140/8 142/19 146/22
someone [15] 71/22 78/16 88/23 90/7 98/4 98/6 99/8 99/10 105/6 105/7 127/16 140/5 140/6 147/25 168/2
someone's [2] 41/2 130/21
something [23] 38/14 49/14 51/23 70/23 70/24 71/2 71/3 74/7 75/1 75/5 76/8 87/21 88/23 97/10 98/21 99/9 135/18 136/7 136/14 141/19 176/21 177/11 188/10
sometime [4] 42/25 46/20 75/8 165/19
sometimes [4] 27/15 28/25 29/3 82/17
somewhere [5] 58/18 64/17 86/3 98/16 $115 / 22$
soon [2] 112/21 114/1
sorry [18] 6/18 $13 / 20$ 16/23 18/3 18/20 21/12 32/20 38/5 49/3
51/10 109/17 $118 / 15$ 132/5 138/7 138/10 141/3 147/25 179/17
sort [9] 16/19 20/5 21/3 23/17
78/9 97/10 117/10117/18142/17
sought [2] 23/2 31/6
sound [1] 131/15
sounds [5] 9/23 22/16 25/1 45/19 48/20
source [1] 89/17
sources [3] 87/25 88/5 97/6
south [13] 62/20 63/5 73/20 73/24
156/15 159/17 164/9 166/23 167/7
173/14 173/15 173/23 174/2
southeast [11] 77/8 148/17 155/17
158/23 159/16 162/15 166/8
169/20 173/12 174/3 174/22
southern [1] 160/2
southwest [7] 64/1 $156 / 18156 / 23$
157/17 157/23 163/2 164/11
space [1] 133/2
spare [1] 84/16
speak [6] 64/10 71/9 73/7 168/2
176/23 179/19
speaking [1] 85/14
special [14] 1/12 1/14 2/11 3/7 $31 / 156 / 1256 / 2157 / 6103 / 17$ 103/20 103/23 104/2 116/20 125/7
specializations [1] 44/21
specialized [1] 117/17
specific [9] 46/24 49/14 49/22
$114 / 19116 / 23126 / 10139 / 2139 / 3$ 146/14
specifically [8] $12 / 17$ 47/10 50/6
60/3 82/7 89/9 146/21 171/25
speculate [1] 138/16
speculation [3] 124/8 144/24
177/24
speculative [1] 144/19
spell [5] 3/22 37/5 56/16 151/11
170/17
spend [1] 28/20
spent [3] 10/14 118/7 136/22
splots [1] 29/8
spoke [3] 147/12 147/21 174/10
spot [3] 139/17 146/10 146/10
spots [2] 13/5 29/9
spread [1] 143/19
squad [2] 33/18 40/9
square [2] 133/5 143/8
ss [1] 191/1
staff[3] 153/21 168/16 168/20
staffing [3] 157/20 161/19 165/15
stains [1] 145/22
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { stand [5] } & 17 / 9 & 28 / 5 & 176 / 17 & 179 / 2\end{array}$ 182/17
standard [1] 22/23
standing [12] $33 / 1886 / 7104 / 18$
119/15 178/24 179/25 180/1 181/7 182/5 182/6 183/12 183/21
start [8] 80/23 82/11 82/13 85/12
107/1 136/10 142/4 153/23
started [14] 3/5 45/23 65/2 80/24
85/22 113/16 127/13 142/8 145/6
162/22 162/24 163/4 167/12 172/12
starting [2] 87/6 155/14
state [47] 1/1 1/3 1/13 1/15 3/2 $3 / 5$ 3/7 3/21 27/17 37/4 56/8 56/9 56/10 56/15 57/2 58/8 75/15 90/9 90/12 91/1 92/10 99/19 101/21
102/21 114/14114/21115/2 115/22 120/13 120/15 121/5 121/9 151/3 151/10 152/13 161/25 162/1 168/15 170/8 170/16 180/19 182/23 183/23 187/22 189/18 191/1 191/5
State's [3] 178/24 183/24 184/1
statement [10] 116/13 147/9
147/20 177/16 179/8 179/12
180/10 181/25 183/1 $183 / 2$
statements [1] 96/2
static [1] 86/6
stationed [2] 139/23 173/12
statutory [1] 109/5
stay [3] $23 / 7$ 155/18 155/23
stayed [3] 143/8 165/13 172/14
stead [1] 71/22
steadily [1] 180/14
Steier [2] 5/7 5/8
stenographic [1] 191/9
stepped [1] 122/10
steps [1] 156/25
sterile [3] 11/19 11/25 28/12
Steve [3] 39/14 40/10 46/23
Steve's [1] 33/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { STEVEN [35] } & 1 / 6 & 1 / 20 & 3 / 2 & 8 / 5 \\ 8 / 9\end{array}$ 9/10 9/20 $12 / 1118 / 23$ 19/2 22/13 24/5 24/11 24/23 34/1 39/2 39/10 44/12 47/12 47/25 48/15 60/10 62/6 80/24 81/17 87/24 89/16
93/25 94/23 96/8 108/23 131/5 132/23 147/9 158/20
Steven's [10] 13/8 $15 / 1917 / 182 / 8$ 82/13 83/21 85/3 91/22 95/11 95/22
stick [1] 6/25
sticker [1] 160/14
still [17] 74/25 84/22 86/7 104/14 104/18 $110 / 23$ 146/18 148/6 149/24 152/14 152/15 153/11
169/4 177/1 177/1 177/8 185/23
stipulated [2] 50/8 50/15
stipulation [1] 63/21
stop [4] 141/20 141/24 142/11
stop... [1] 156/2
stopped [1] 142/7
storage [1] 143/12
stories [1] 95/23
storm [3] 76/20 76/22 82/20
story [5] 95/22 146/22 146/22
147/1 147/18
strain [1] 101/12
strained [3] 111/3 121/1 121/21
STRANG[5] 1/16 3/11 179/19
180/11 187/13
strategic [2] 180/16 187/24
strategically [1] 181/18
street [1] 152/6
Strike [2] 88/22 124/24
strikes [1] 185/20
structure [1] 45/4
stuff [7] 19/8 63/6 67/6 67/7 94/5 129/14 143/4
subject [4] 60/1 60/14 61/20 179/4
submit [1] 140/25
Subpoenas [1] 140/18
subsequent [6] 92/3 92/11 93/3
147/24 148/2 182/15
substance [4] 11/8 12/1 13/13
15/15
substances [1] 13/10
substantial [1] 182/25
successive [1] 81/21
such [7] 61/24 75/17 79/8 98/18
105/14 184/25 185/13
suddenly [1] 141/9
sufficient [2] 125/8 137/25
suggest [1] 184/13
suggested [3] 30/9 55/7 92/12
Sunday [17] 18/1 36/19 86/10
86/19 86/20 86/25 88/6 92/20
100/19 102/10 128/5 161/1 165/13
165/13 165/16 172/12 172/13
Sunday's [1] 34/14
supervise [2] 165/8 165/10
supervisor [7] 37/11 44/25 58/15
124/13 124/21 129/18 129/19
supervisors [3] 47/3 47/7 55/10
support [2] 62/2 109/12
supporting [1] 101/22
suppose [1] 183/23
supposedly [1] 43/15
suppress [2] 180/9 181/25
sure [49] 14/6 14/9 15/15 15/16
16/8 $17 / 21$ 18/1 $19 / 8$ 26/18 26/22
28/16 33/7 41/8 42/11 45/3 46/21
50/12 53/8 59/20 64/11 66/5
72/20 78/7 94/25 96/5 96/16
96/17 96/18 96/21 99/19 101/3
104/17 114/13 114/16 115/3
122/17 128/5 128/20 131/16 133/3
144/3 163/21 163/23 181/24 182/1
182/12 182/20 184/14 188/24
surprised [1] 53/6
surrounding [8] 13/6 63/19 77/20
78/4 87/7 163/15 179/7 184/21
susceptible [1] 83/3
suspect [4] 94/1 94/23 94/24 148/10
suspected [1] 136/17
sustain [2] 124/11 184/1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { sustained [2] 148/12 } & 176 / 19\end{array}$
sustaining [1] 136/4
swab [9] 11/17 12/1 12/6 12/7
12/8 12/9 13/16 32/6 145/22
swabbing [1] 51/18
swabs [11] $11 / 12$ 13/2 14/23 15/2 15/16 16/12 16/13 16/15 23/16 30/6 51/13
sweep [11] 69/5 69/9 69/11 70/12
70/13 73/5 73/15 81/25 82/3
134/9 153/9
swept [1] 134/8
sworn [5] 3/19 37/2 56/14 151/8 170/14
system [1] 53/25

## T

table [2] 181/13 187/5
take[40] 11/18 12/6 14/5 15/2
16/23 19/9 19/17 19/22 19/22 21/12 28/1 28/3 29/14 30/24 $53 / 1855 / 2358 / 5 \quad 59 / 1972 / 8$ 74/20 84/15 84/17 91/8 120/1
120/25 130/6 133/4 133/21 137/12 137/15 149/20 150/22 153/14 157/1 157/3 178/13 179/2 184/13 187/6 189/2
taken [16] 16/4 17/13 17/25 17/25 25/14 43/15 43/15 56/1 61/13 62/11 84/10 $111 / 9$ 149/22 166/20 190/5 191/9
takes [5] 14/8 28/2 29/4 29/9 31/23
taking[11] 3/13 11/15 11/17 21/24 84/2 90/25 105/22 112/14 112/17 147/5 179/8
talk[8] 90/5 112/24 113/3 127/5
141/23 147/17 177/4 189/10
talked [11] 13/23 25/4 42/17 54/10 84/9 84/12 93/16 109/22 129/22 136/17 147/19
talking [22] 9/13 33/22 41/3 42/1 46/23 48/2 49/8 52/3 55/3 74/23 82/18 83/9 99/25 104/11 107/15 114/22 127/7 135/8 137/20 146/25 159/16 159/17
tape [3] 177/13 177/19 178/1
$\operatorname{tarp}[7]$ 75/18 75/22 75/24 76/1
149/11 149/13 149/15
task[3] 51/20 127/2 169/17
tasks[1] 43/6
taught [2] 117/8 117/12
taxing [1] 78/9
team [21] 21/11 21/11 21/13 72/17 72/22 72/22 79/15 80/18 82/11 84/4 86/18 94/12 95/17 124/17 126/8 127/11 131/25 136/22 137/13 137/16 145/4
teams [36] 4/18 70/10 71/20 71/20 72/14 72/18 72/25 73/3 78/8 78/25 79/14 79/1 8 85/25 86/25 87/8 98/1 100/23 100/24 102/8 102/8 102/11 120/15 120/25 125/13 125/14 126/12 126/20 127/3 127/4 127/7 127/7 127/10
127/11 128/24 144/15 150/12 tech [3] 27/18 44/23 51/6
technician [6] 27/15 92/7 92/9 102/17117/24 129/3
technicians [17] 72/25 79/9 79/13
79/20 80/13 82/14 87/10 97/7
97/25 98/23 99/5 102/12 116/19
120/22 121/8 150/12 150/18
technique [2] 12/7 16/25
technology [1] 94/14
techs [3] 36/2 36/7 150/21
telephone [4] 140/16 140/16
$140 / 20140 / 21$
tell [33] 11/16 11/16 22/21 40/2 40/13 42/23 62/14 64/6 66/9 66/19 68/7 69/21 71/1 73/14 74/17 77/22 79/2 85/9 97/3
102/25 105/4 123/21 124/3 131/6
132/9 137/11 141/19 142/8 157/10
173/1 173/9 173/19 176/22
telling [5] 84/5 84/7 $115 / 9$ 115/23
115/25
tends [1] 94/22
Teresa[36] 4/1 8 4/24 6/2 6/3 6/4 6/16 6/17 6/19 7/17 7/18 11/5 12/18 23/1 23/22 37/23 58/21 69/7 69/21 70/2 70/13 73/5 73/9 73/16 74/8 74/9 75/1 97/18 101/3 101/4 105/8 133/14 145/15 147/11 147/13 147/15 147/21
Teresa's [4] 73/21 80/1 105/8 137/2
term [6] 51/16 55/1 57/23 61/9 62/5 104/5
terms [29] 14/13 16/17 31/5 31/9 40/22 61/15 70/20 71/19 80/17 80/21 89/3 91/19 101/10 106/11 106/15 150/6 150/14 155/13 160/9 160/21 161/13 162/4 163/12 164/18 165/7 172/4 172/7 173/8 174/17
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 191/4 191/19 test [1] 86/10
testified [11] 3/20 6/6 26/24 37/3 56/14 90/18 121/3 138/3 151/9 170/15 176/20
testify [8] 179/10 179/22 180/7 180/9 $182 / 10184 / 15187 / 8187 / 17$ testimonial [1] 178/21
testimony [22] 3/13 4/3 40/15
40/16 41/7 52/11 53/1054/11 63/22 81/13 88/16 $115 / 20$ 125/23 126/14 126/15 126/19 172/19 185/2 185/6 185/9 185/25 186/5 tests [1] 23/17
than [18] $12 / 1014 / 843 / 2544 / 1$ 67/11 68/18 81/23 89/23 109/12 115/7 131/14 132/2 132/4 144/17 146/17 149/14 173/15 182/14
thank [21] 3/17 17/22 27/7 27/10 31/16 34/7 34/18 36/20 36/22 $55 / 13$ 55/25 89/25 91/16 103/12 123/16 137/19 160/8 161/9 161/13 170/7 176/11

## that [996]

that's [87] 7/14 7/19 9/2 9/24 11/2
12/21 13/23 18/20 20/3 27/19
29/1 8 30/12 31/16 41/7 41/13
$42 / 1850 / 1450 / 2552 / 1753 / 5$ 63/16 65/6 66/16 69/15 71/9 74/22 78/1 81/23 82/9 82/15 84/3 84/13 84/1 8 86/5 86/7 86/9 86/11 95/17 101/5 106/22 111/12 115/12 115/14 118/15 118/23 119/1 119/18 130/25 133/5 133/8 135/13 135/15 136/2 140/11 143/20 145/4 145/6 145/11 150/22 150/23 156/6 156/24 158/8 158/22 159/2 160/7
160/13 163/18 164/3 175/18 177/16 177/20 177/21 177/24 180/8 $182 / 7182 / 11183 / 10184 / 4$ 184/11 185/25 186/19 187/9 187/10 188/4 $188 / 10$ 189/7
their [30] $3 / 6$ 14/4 14/14 73/4
78/19 80/21 82/23 88/9 89/14
their... [21] 94/13 100/20 101/18 103/4 112/16 117/9 121/17 122/11 125/5 130/21 139/17 142/20 143/11 144/4 145/21 146/9 158/15 167/19 174/12 175/25 182/17 them [44] 11/9 23/16 23/16 33/8 35/24 43/19 52/5 52/7 61/19 75/16 78/5 88/8 89/11 94/13 101/1 104/21 112/14 112/15 112/17 112/18 112/19112/20 113/22 114/12 120/18 120/23 121/10 121/11 125/25 127/24 128/1 128/13 128/18 132/9 132/20 134/25 135/25 153/12 167/12 167/17 168/11 174/6 175/6 188/5 themselves [1] 122/10
then [94] 4/11 4/14 5/20 5/25 6/14 $7 / 7$ 8/4 10/14 $11 / 12$ 12/1 $12 / 5$ 13/12 $17 / 1117 / 1418 / 21$ 28/7 28/22 30/16 32/25 44/7 46/16 $48 / 11$ 48/13 49/20 50/10 55/24 57/15 63/19 65/3 65/15 65/16 71/16 78/6 78/21 94/11 95/16 99/21 100/9 102/14 102/16 116/21 116/23 117/17 125/23 126/13 127/13 133/19 134/9 135/20 136/9 137/8 138/10 $142 / 7$ 144/25 148/4 148/4 152/21 155/1 155/5 156/1 157/2 158/16 159/20 160/2 160/5 162/4 163/6 164/12 165/17 165/18 165/24 166/13 166/22 166/24 167/13 167/20 167/22 168/7 168/10 169/9 169/20 169/23 172/15 175/1 176/13 177/13 177/22 181/15 182/8 185/22 187/9 187/9 188/4 190/3
theory [3] 134/20 134/20 135/14 there [243]
there's [20] 28/15 28/22 47/23 52/25 54/1 71/13 71/17 81/13 93/8 $111 / 11116 / 21$ 126/22 139/5 143/3 159/18 159/18 159/20 173/7 178/10 185/23
thereabouts [2] 79/12 81/16 thereafter [2] 64/12 191/11 therefore [1] 91/15
therein [1] 171/20
these [35] 9/18 35/7 52/12 52/21 52/25 53/20 84/18 88/4 89/7 90/23 92/13 96/10 98/2 101/11 101/16 102/4 125/8 125/24 127/8 128/21 130/9 130/15 134/12 134/15 139/16 156/14 162/5 162/16 163/6 181/20 182/18 184/15 187/4 188/2 188/18 they [179] 14/3 14/25 17/16 20/7 20/7 23/20 26/19 27/15 30/16 $30 / 1731 / 632 / 2232 / 2433 / 15$ 33/17 33/24 36/11 38/21 39/5 41/10 53/6 53/7 55/3 58/4 58/5 64/1 1 65/1 65/2 66/22 67/10 67/12 72/9 72/17 73/15 74/22 $80 / 280 / 580 / 1782 / 1482 / 15$ $82 / 2483 / 583 / 983 / 1183 / 20$ 83/20 83/21 84/9 84/9 86/18 86/21 86/24 87/9 87/10 87/19 87/20 87/23 88/2 88/6 88/7 88/8 $88 / 1088 / 1288 / 16$ 89/12 89/12 90/2 90/16 91/4 91/4 91/10 91/22 91/24 91/25 94/4 94/17 95/15 96/19 97/21 98/3 99/19 99/22

100/2 100/17 100/18 100/19
100/20 100/23 101/1 102/3 103/4 103/5 104/9 105/8 111/7113/16 113/17 114/9 114/18 114/18115/4 115/5 115/23 117/4 117/8 117/12 117/18 $117 / 19119 / 8120 / 16$ 120/20 121/7 $121 / 11121 / 14$ 121/17 125/5 125/6 125/7 125/13 125/25 126/23 126/24 127/20 127/20 127/22 128/15 128/19 128/20 128/23 128/24 128/25 130/10 132/9 132/18 132/19
132/22 134/9 134/16 $134 / 18$ 135/1 135/14 135/15 135/25 136/13
140/6 143/9 143/10 143/11 145/18 145/19 145/21 145/23 145/24
146/1 146/9 150/11 150/12 155/22 162/1 162/20 164/12 167/5 167/8 167/13 167/15 167/20 167/22 174/2 174/23 175/4 175/5 175/6 175/8 175/24 176/3 176/5 183/11 185/12 188/3
they're [1] 116/6
thing [6] 23/17 47/3 80/5 117/10 143/23 176/15
things [32] 14/23 16/24 19/5
19/14 25/8 28/8 29/22 32/8 49/14 49/23 51/13 70/1 70/21 76/12 86/3 90/6 94/2 94/4 94/20 95/20 96/10 105/14 106/8 112/16 112/18 127/9 130/7 143/13 162/13 179/11 184/5 189/16
think[50] 14/18 17/7 25/9 26/25 $33 / 540 / 1541 / 541 / 2242 / 8$ 50/4 $50 / 850 / 11$ 52/25 63/3 67/12 78/5 81/16 81/23 83/14 86/9 87/20 89/22 91/11 92/21 92/23 97/16 98/21 99/20 99/21 100/8 100/10 108/12 $111 / 10115 / 17$ 128/8
128/19 134/22 136/3 138/16 144/3 154/11 177/14 181/10 181/14 181/20 185/1 $185 / 1$ 186/6 187/3 187/19
thinking [7] 65/17 66/25 67/1 74/6 84/6 137/1 145/10
thinks [1] 177/2
third [3] 90/25 110/19 127/11
thirds [1] 175/4
this [221]
THOMAS[4] $1 / 142 / 1156 / 1256 / 17$
thorough [4] 32/12 32/14 95/1

## 95/19

thoroughly [1] 22/25
those [77] 7/23 11/6 11/1111/12 16/2 16/16 17/21 20/20 21/2 21/7 23/19 24/20 28/8 31/11 32/1 32/8 $33 / 1133 / 2335 / 2135 / 2136 / 3$ 44/19 52/9 53/5 57/25 58/3 58/4 62/14 65/14 65/20 66/9 72/25 73/1 8 82/2 83/7 87/17 93/19 93/21 94/3 94/19 97/4 98/10 100/24 101/4 104/22 110/17 111/4 114/9 115/9 115/20 115/22 124/7 125/14 126/11 127/17 127/18 130/7 132/12 $133 / 21 \quad 135 / 3143 / 18$ 145/1 145/13 150/18 153/17 158/11 159/10 161/15 162/19 163/5 163/10 165/8 168/9 172/5 179/14 184/7 186/21
though [4] 19/16 46/4 51/21 130/13
thought [9] 14/24 23/20 39/6 52/6 105/15 146/1 176/16 178/6 180/24
thoughts [1] 93/5
thousands [1] 34/15
three [19] 8/15 10/11 $13 / 5$ 16/11 39/20 44/25 45/8 48/25 49/6 81/17 106/7 123/1 123/25 141/10 144/17 160/17 160/18 173/2 177/18
through [39] 6/1 6/11 17/20 22/24 42/2 70/12 74/3 74/10 74/21 81/14 82/3 87/8 90/2 93/9 94/20 101/2 101/2 104/15 104/21 109/11 111/2 115/4 117/4 118/12 131/21 134/8 134/9 159/4 159/4 159/4 160/25 162/21 165/14 168/19
168/23 169/8 169/12 172/14 175/5

## throughout [11] 15/10 70/11

103/21 107/21 109/20 110/14 113/13 134/25 158/15 169/20 169/22
throughs [1] 78/9
Thursday [3] $38 / 438 / 5 \quad 163 / 4$
thus [1] 180/1
tighten [1] 14/12
time [103] 3/1 4/12 4/25 6/23 7/23
10/1 $11 / 4$ 14/5 14/8 $14 / 1515 / 2$ 18/15 18/17 19/5 19/8 20/14 21/19 22/3 23/5 24/8 25/15 26/7 26/11 27/22 28/1 28/2 28/3 28/20 29/9 29/16 30/19 32/20 40/20 41/9 42/23 44/11 45/23 46/21 47/5 55/24 56/4 57/19 68/25 69/17 70/5 72/1 73/8 74/1 77/12 78/23 81/2 81/6 82/18 83/6 87/21 88/3 89/13 96/18 98/14 104/16 109/4 110/5 110/15 110/19110/19 110/19110/20116/5 118/16 118/18119/11 122/23 123/18 126/6 130/17 132/19 136/22 137/24 139/8 142/4 142/7 142/9 144/10 144/14 148/21 149/19 149/21 149/23 151/3 153/3 153/14 153/20 157/7 164/23 167/24 172/9 172/11 174/14 174/17175/11 176/14 178/13 189/3
times [20] $7 / 18 / 25$ 9/18 $12 / 5$ 20/7 $41 / 145 / 1265 / 1166 / 23$ 70/18 71/22 97/2 107/22 107/24 111/22 112/17 120/8 125/22 169/9 169/13 tin [1] 119/25
tipped [3] 11/19 11/25 28/11
tired [2] 83/9 132/21
to feed [1] 112/10
today [3] 52/11 175/15 178/15
together [16] 4/19 4/22 16/3 21/6
35/10 72/19 79/16 79/18 82/11 125/13 126/11 126/21 127/4 127/5 165/14 165/22
told [18] 7/3 7/11 9/19 22/12
24/22 39/4 43/17 46/7 48/17 49/12 90/8 121/1 125/19 126/24 134/12 146/1 176/21 184/9
Tom [1] 56/11
too [5] 94/2 104/3 130/8 132/21 148/10
took[19] $11 / 10$ 11/12 12/9 13/2 13/16 13/25 14/23 15/16 19/12 24/20 30/6 59/13 103/5 113/22 113/24 125/20 130/4 136/22 154/8 tool [1] 49/14
tools [1] 49/13
top [9] 8/14 44/25 45/8 102/10 154/9 154/18 162/18 173/23 173/24
total [3] 14/23 53/16 118/7
touch [1] 149/9
touching [1] 117/9
tough [1] 110/11
toward [6] 64/17 66/12 66/23
142/9 175/7 180/14
towards [2] 40/7 167/12
towing [1] 80/14
town [1] 166/22
Toyota [2] 75/5 $113 / 15$
trace [23] 12/15 15/22 16/12 16/17 17/3 $17 / 3$ 27/22 28/4 28/20 29/22
29/24 30/2 31/24 32/9 51/14 71/8
73/7 73/10 82/16 84/14 145/15
145/18 146/2
track [1] 187/3
traffic [1] 156/19
trailer [98] 5/12 6/9 6/17 6/19
6/20 6/25 7/3 7/7 8/4 8/5 8/8 8/9 9/10 9/11 9/19 9/20 10/15 12/11 15/10 18/14 18/18 18/22 18/23 19/3 22/2 24/5 24/8 24/10 24/1 1 24/24 25/16 25/24 32/2 33/18 33/19 40/1 41/16 42/15 42/20 45/2 46/1 46/3 46/19 46/22 47/5 47/25 49/5 49/21 62/5 62/7 81/4 81/17 81/22 83/7 85/6 89/15 89/16 91/23 92/19 95/6 95/11 95/19 110/4 111/22 118/8 118/11 119/13 119/24 120/10 122/15 131/5 131/5 131/9 131/17131/19 131/22 132/23 133/22 133/24 139/10 139/24 143/4 143/8 143/9 145/16 147/11 147/12 147/16 147/22 147/23 148/3 156/7 183/13 183/14 183/19 183/21 $184 / 3$ 184/20
train [1] 105/15
trained [22] 31/22 36/3 73/17 73/19 79/9 79/10 98/7 98/9 101/17115/13115/24116/18 116/20 117/1 120/5 121/7 122/2 127/18 127/22 128/16 131/20 134/16
training [18] $114 / 10114 / 12$
114/19 115/4 116/25 117/15
117/18 117/21 117/23118/1
124/20 125/5 125/9 125/24 126/22 126/24 127/1 134/17
transcribed [1] 191/11
transcript [6] 1/23 177/11 177/12
190/6 191/8 191/12
transcription [1] 191/11
transferring [1] 116/1
transport [1] 79/25
transporting [1] 103/23
travel [4] 166/25 168/18 168/19
169/8
travels [2] 154/17 166/23
triage [1] $97 / 10$
trial [2] 41/10 136/3
tried [2] 4/20 125/19
trooper [2] 125/7 168/15
troopers [1] 99/23
true [10] $30 / 23118 / 6118 / 10$ 118/15 118/17118/18118/23 118/25 135/3 191/12
trunk [2] 163/25 169/12
trunks [2] 87/2 100/21
truth [5] 89/23 91/6 91/14 130/5 183/3
try [1] 88/22
trying [5] 41/14 97/15 112/15 165/15 165/22
Tuesday [15] 48/14 49/8 49/18 95/4 95/14 95/15 95/16 96/5 96/6 96/10 96/14 97/16 97/17 99/21 119/5
turn [1] 23/19
turned [5] 5/3 17/15 88/13 173/16 175/7
turning [1] 178/1
TV [1] 118/21
tweezers [1] 28/9
Twenty [1] 52/20
Twenty-four [1] 52/20
two [54] 5/21 22/23 29/5 29/6
$31 / 1836 / 1140 / 340 / 342 / 152 / 12$
54/14 57/18 78/13 79/20 79/22
82/4 90/13 99/21 100/8 101/21
$102 / 7$ 102/10 106/4 122/18 124/7
127/9 127/10 128/8 128/15 129/4
143/2 $153 / 8156 / 14159 / 12159 / 18$
165/22 167/4 167/4 169/7 171/11
175/1 175/4 178/20 180/21 182/18
183/18 187/11 187/14 188/13
188/18 188/21 188/25 189/13
189/16
Two-fifteenish [1] 189/13
two-thirds [1] 175/4
two-way [2] 188/21 188/25
type [7] 13/18 23/15 82/2 82/21 83/2 83/3 121/25
types [5] 16/2 36/3 96/10 116/21 150/18
typical [1] 157/21
Tyson [8] 10/9 20/5 44/16 48/7 52/7 132/14 132/15 150/8

## U

ultimately [13] 61/12 75/22 76/17
77/23 82/10 87/23 92/24 98/25
102/1 106/8 137/8 140/4 147/14
unable [1] 112/11
uncovered [2] 95/4 96/14
under[11] 53/8 67/21 90/22 90/23
101/5 101/9 103/9 107/7 149/24
167/3 181/21
underneath [2] 87/2 158/7
understand [16] 14/17 14/25 29/11
45/3 72/20 106/1 130/12 152/13
178/4 180/12 180/18 181/24
182/22 186/11 187/5 188/9
understanding [1] 182/21
understood [1] 178/5
undertake [1] 155/15
Unfortunately [1] 168/25
unit [2] 158/3 158/11
units [1] 157/19
unless [1] 88/17
unlikely [1] 152/15
unlimited [1] 130/17
unnecessary [1] 14/20
until [14] $14 / 1824 / 1124 / 1136 / 19$ 37/16 41/20 54/17 83/20 98/5
98/14 108/2 $118 / 11153 / 15$ 163/5
untruthful [1] 185/21
unused [1] 11/18
up [67] 6/11 $7 / 2314 / 1333 / 16$
36/11 36/17 49/14 52/5 52/9 60/9
60/1 1 60/12 62/22 64/5 64/10
64/13 67/24 70/10 72/14 75/23
79/19 85/12 85/13 88/19 93/20
95/1 8 96/7 100/23 101/8 102/11

103/13 103/15 103/17 103/18
103/22 104/3 110/15 113/19 123/5 126/6 126/7 132/20 135/10 135/21 136/25 139/16 144/10 147/12
147/15 148/1 148/3 149/25 156/12 157/22 158/14 159/6 160/3 160/12 162/15 162/17 166/16 167/13
167/22 173/24 174/6 180/6 187/11
upcoming [1] 153/24
upon [8] 58/16 77/18 105/2 136/13 152/24 153/4 153/7 158/12
upper [2] 64/17 158/5
upward [1] 159/7
upwards [3] 99/23 114/5 128/1
us [36] 20/9 21/7 62/14 64/6 66/9
66/19 68/7 69/14 69/21 71/1 $73 / 1474 / 1777 / 2279 / 284 / 10$ 85/9 85/16 95/24 97/3 98/3
102/18 102/25 105/4 121/1 125/19 126/24 129/12 157/10 161/20 165/22 165/24 169/15 173/1 173/9 173/13 173/20
use [17] 11/23 12/7 16/1 16/25 35/12 36/2 51/16 72/10 87/25 $94 / 13121 / 10128 / 7129 / 12143 / 17$ 145/22 168/11 189/15
used [21] 16/22 16/23 17/1 17/7
74/14 74/20 75/9 80/7 $115 / 21$
116/5 130/10 134/22 134/25
135/15 135/15 135/23 135/24 135/25 157/20 158/11 162/11
using [14] 16/7 28/9 28/10 28/11
55/1 64/6 71/12 74/25 80/21 82/1 89/17 124/21 141/20 141/24
Usually [1] 11/20
utilize [3] 104/18 104/21 1 159/3
utilized [10] 87/16 116/3 116/3
120/18 130/11 134/9 160/24 161/1 162/20 169/16
utilizing [5] 74/2 88/9 150/6 154/8 161/4

## V

vacuum [6] 17/23 18/7 18/8 84/10 84/11 84/13
value [6] 19/2 19/10 52/6 123/13
136/15 137/9
varies [1] 160/4
various [7] 23/13 78/24 98/2
100/10 106/8 161/18 162/2
vehicle [35] 73/22 75/18 76/4 80/1
$80 / 380 / 7$ 80/7 80/10 80/11 80/13
80/14 80/15 86/11 87/5 97/18
97/19 97/23 101/2 101/6 101/8
105/9 143/12 144/7 148/3 148/6
155/16 155/20 157/20 158/6
158/10 166/17 168/10 168/10 168/11 178/1
vehicles[15] 63/5 87/2 87/2 87/3
87/3 87/4 87/15 87/20 100/2
100/20 100/21 101/4 101/5 101/5 169/5
vehicular [2] 156/19 157/5
version [1] 63/16
very [15] 6/8 16/2 27/24 27/24
28/9 38/20 54/1 54/4 76/18
136/25 151/5 168/3 188/16 188/17 188/23
vicinity [1] 67/13
victim [1] 153/11
view [5] 63/16 63/17 63/19 119/7 180/7
viewed [2] 119/6 148/21

| V | we'll [8] 14/5 55/23 65/14 65/16 | $38 / 138 / 2140 / 240 / 1140 / 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIN [3] 185/14 186/9 187/1 | we're [37] 3/3 3/12 6/6 14/20 41/3 | 50/12 55/3 56/20 57/4 57/12 |
| violated [1] 186/23 | 41/25 56/4 57/23 63/14 79/5 | 57/23 58/6 59/5 59/6 60/6 60/17 |
| vis [2] 179/12 179/12 | 84/15 84/16 84/20 84/23 85/22 | 60/23 63/12 63/14 64/23 65/19 |
| vis-a-vis [1] 179/12 | 86/1 86/4 86/12 87/1 94/21 95/21 | 65/25 66/25 67/11 68/7 69/3 69/8 |
| visible [1] 71/5 | 96/11 98/10 114/21 119/17127/7 | 69/9 70/9 72/13 72/18 73/15 76/9 |
| visit [1] 186/15 | 132/21 144/8 154/4 167/25 170/10 | 79/6 79/23 80/9 80/22 81/19 |
| volunteer [1] 21/3 | 178/14 184/4 184/14 187/3 187/6 | 84/19 84/19 86/2 86/12 86/12 |
| volunteers [1] 104/5 | 187/9 | 86/2 1 88/7 88/16 89/5 89/11 |
| Voyager [1] 158/7 | weapon [1] 25 | 89/23 90/4 90/7 90/8 91/4 91/4 |
| W | weapons [3] 25/3 47/10 69/14 weather [9] 75/13 75/14 75/19 | 91/17 91/1891/19 92/25 93/5 |
| wait [1] 97/13 | 76/2 76/9 76/21 78/21 93/23 | 99/17 102/1 104/4 105/24 105/24 |
| waiting [1] 130/10 | 132/7 | 110/22 115/17115/25 117/20 |
| waiver [1] 182/15 | Wednesday [4] 50/2 50/3 108/7 | 118/25 119/8 121/2 121/23 125/24 |
| walk [8] 17/8 74/3 78/9 82/3 | 108/9 | 126/20 128/15 128/20 129/5 129/7 |
| 104/21 118/12 148/20 148/22 | week [52] 33/9 35/1 36/8 36/17 | 130/4 130/13 131/13 131/18 132/2 |
| walk-through [1] 82/3 | 53/10 65/1 65/13 68/2 77/16 | 132/3 132/4 132/5 133/10 135/9 |
| walk-throughs [1] 78/9 | 78/11 79/17 81/8 81/14 85/7 92/9 | 135/16 135/25 142/3 146/25 |
| walked [2] 104/15 166/16 | 99/13 102/6 102/16 104/11 104/11 | 151/25 152/4 153/3 153/6 153/18 |
| walking [7] 74/10 74/21 147/15 | 104/12 106/9 107/3 107/10 107/21 | 154/4 155/14 156/22 156/25 157/3 |
| 148/1 148/3 166/10 175/3 | 109/20 109/20 112/3 112/22 113/1 | 157/10 157/18 158/9 160/10 |
| wall [3] 13/10 13/11 29/4 | 113/5 113/6 114/7 117/14 117/23 | 161/10 162/24 163/8 163/9 170/21 |
| walls [1] 10/24 | 118/6 119/21 120/3 127/11 130/16 | 171/4 171/12 171/22 171/25 |
| want [17] 11/16 14/18 36/2 41/4 | 137/11 139/18 139/25 157/13 | 172/10 173/15 173/20 173/20 |
| 51/15 82/20 84/15 84/16 84/20 | 162/7 162/10 162/21 163/3 166/1 | 176/18 178/14 179/11 179/20 |
| 110/2 130/6 172/20 173/5 177/4 | 168/8 168/22 171/17 | 181/6 181/17 181/24 184/6 185/2 |
| 182/12 182/20 187/10 | weekish [1] 127/25 | 185/25 186/18 187/22 188/6 190/1 |
| wanted [12] 23/7 25/15 38/21 | weeks [3] 39/20 123/1 123/25 | what's [7] 53/5 84/1 86/2 86/5 |
| 111/22 112/20 120/23 121/16 | well [78] 4/15 6/12 6/24 7/21 11/1 | 92/23 119/3 166/4 |
| 122/1 127/1 145/4 176/22 184/15 | 11/15 11/18 12/19 14/12 14/16 | whatever [8] 69/14 82/24 100/22 |
| warned [1] 167/17 | 18/24 20/21 $21 / 621 / 2426 / 2$ | 130/16 132/18 134/17 144/9 185/5 |
| warrant [87] 4/4 4/4 4/9 4/12 5/11 | 27/17 28/4 32/22 32/24 34/15 | wheel [1] 169/6 |
| 9/13 12/24 19/12 19/20 23/2 | 36/2 36/7 36/10 39/16 39/17 | when [79] 5/20 6/14 17/8 18/17 |
| 40/16 40/22 41/6 41/20 44/13 | 40/25 41/3 41/9 41/12 41/22 51/7 | 18/21 22/1 27/17 28/4 28/7 29/21 |
| 50/19 59/23 60/14 61/21 62/9 | 53/16 57/23 59/4 61/12 62/15 | 31/10 34/12 34/25 39/4 39/9 |
| 67/20 69/3 69/4 69/10 69/17 | 62/17 69/23 70/7 72/23 76/12 | 39/25 43/1 43/21 44/12 50/22 |
| 69/25 70/1 72/5 73/12 76/11 | 76/12 76/14 77/5 83/22 93/4 | 51/3 55/5 57/14 58/24 59/22 |
| 77/17 82/5 82/7 90/14 90/21 | 103/21 106/1 108/17 108/24 | 61/11 62/9 66/11 66/21 67/24 |
| 91/21 92/15 92/17 93/14 94/10 | 110/12 113/25 115/16116/17 | 69/1 69/10 73/9 74/11 74/24 76/6 |
| 96/23 101/15 102/23 105/20 106/9 | 118/2 118/25 120/18 121/1 122/6 | 76/22 77/23 82/13 85/1 99/6 |
| 106/13 106/17 108/4 108/12 | 128/4 132/9 133/11 134/19 143/6 | 99/25 104/8 107/6 113/15 117/21 |
| 108/18 108/20 108/22 109/2 109/8 | 143/14 143/22 151/5 157/8 163/7 | 118/11118/15 119/5 121/21 |
| 109/10 109/13 110/3 110/17 | 164/23 167/10 169/22 180/18 | 125/12 126/20 127/13 127/25 |
| 111/13 111/20 130/14 130/17 | 183/19 183/23 187/12 188/9 190/2 | 130/20 132/18 136/7 140/12 142/8 |
| 137/25 138/4 138/6 138/24 139/1 | went [58] 4/7 6/1 6/24 7/8 8/2 | 142/22 142/25 146/1 148/5 148/13 |
| 139/5 139/9 140/9 140/12 140/13 | 17/5 19/13 20/1 21/1 22/1 22/5 | 149/15 157/9 159/15 161/18 165/3 |
| 141/8 141/15 146/4 152/25 153/19 | 22/24 24/16 25/2 25/7 36/17 | 167/9 168/11 168/17 169/9 175/5 |
| 171/19 179/24 179/25 183/17 | 38/24 39/9 40/19 46/2 46/3 47/17 | 175/11 176/16 177/25 179/11 |
| 183/18 183/19 183/21 185/16 | 47/17 48/6 48/21 49/5 49/10 | 189/7 |
| 185/22 186/24 | 50/22 57/15 75/23 83/7 86/8 | where [45] 12/8 14/7 20/7 40/7 |
| warrants [21] 3/15 70/16 106/8 | 87/19 87/20 90/2 95/15 99/3 | 58/4 58/5 62/21 62/25 63/4 63/23 |
| 107/3 107/9 107/17 107/20 107/21 | 101/1 101/2 103/4 108/3 108/11 | 64/7 64/21 65/6 65/9 73/21 77/8 |
| 110/10 110/12 110/14 110/15 | 117/21 118/1 119/5 120/9 129/2 | 78/23 81/6 95/17 97/24 98/15 |
| 111/1 111/4 111/4 137/20 137/21 | 134/7 138/1 140/9 148/5 153/15 | 99/22 102/15 103/14 107/1 134/6 |
| 140/16 140/17 140/18 140/22 | 155/2 157/2 162/21 169/10 185/10 | 134/12 135/9 145/4 145/6 148/17 |
| was [376] | 186/1 | 151/21 156/14 157/22 158/25 |
| wasn't [17] 8/22 9/6 15/14 15/16 | were [305] | 159/17 160/20 162/17 163/14 |
| 41/20 87/19 90/5 98/14 108/2 | weren't [8] 19/8 64/11 72/24 | 163/24 165/14 174/5 174/16 |
| 109/6 109/7 112/19 125/12 141/13 | 101/17 104/17118/25 121/10 | 180/23 184/4 |
| 148/10 152/19 178/2 | 142/16 | whether [37] 12/17 14/14 16/10 |
| watch [1] 159/10 | west [11] 64/1 64/16 64/23 65/11 | 17/25 19/8 19/9 19/20 24/1 40/18 |
| watched [1] 147/22 | 66/1 66/3 98/17 99/3 154/16 | 40/19 40/23 41/1 41/13 43/24 |
| watching [2] 33/12 33/20 | 154/17175/2 | 95/24 96/19 97/6 120/20 121/7 |
| water[6] 11/23 28/12 67/6 142/16 | western [1] 155/1 | 121/11 122/16 125/5 125/6 125/7 |
| 169/2 169/4 | wet [1] 143/3 | 125/25 126/15 134/17135/16 |
| way [21] 5/25 14/22 20/4 52/10 | wetness [1] 143/4 | 136/1 142/23 145/24 149/15 |
| 54/7 59/5 70/21 76/9 80/15 88/22 | what [169] 4/12 4/14 4/20 5/20 | 180/17 185/10 186/3 187/6 188/13 |
| 92/8 $115 / 15116 / 20136 / 6169 / 11$ | 7/14 8/1711/17 11/1811/20 14/6 | which [43] 10/11 12/6 22/8 35/15 |
| 172/16 175/4 180/25 188/17 | 15/23 19/21 19/22 20/1 20/3 | 36/13 40/8 41/20 46/6 57/7 57/25 |
| 188/21 188/25 | 20/14 23/2 26/22 26/23 31/9 | 58/24 60/1 60/13 61/20 63/10 |
| we [304] | 31/22 31/22 33/9 34/12 35/22 | 64/2 64/16 66/3 71/10 75/18 |

which... [23] 76/19 77/15 78/4 82/6 82/16 85/15 91/12 94/9 94/24 104/5 120/24 142/5 154/6 154/15 155/8 156/6 158/6 158/19 173/14 180/16 184/10 187/25 188/7
while [14] 12/10 20/4 26/21 32/2 $71 / 15$ 75/11 80/14 112/8 140/8 142/7 142/12 167/3 174/18 181/19 white [1] 57/15
who [62] 16/14 20/20 20/25 21/2
21/4 21/4 21/5 21/5 24/19 25/23
32/24 36/3 39/14 43/5 43/8 44/15
47/1 48/21 59/14 68/22 69/16
$71 / 2078 / 19$ 79/9 85/14 85/20 98/7 101/18 102/15 102/21 104/6 104/8 104/18 $111 / 25$ 112/4 112/10 112/10 112/11 114/14 116/19 116/22 117/14 121/15 121/18 123/7 125/13 126/17 126/25 127/18 128/22 138/19 148/7
150/22 158/12 161/14 165/3
165/18 167/2 167/5 172/4 174/7 174/10
who's [2] 189/21 190/2
whoever[1] 83/24
whole [7] 92/15 94/19 99/18
106/10 $119 / 18$ 130/1 134/15
whom [2] 54/24 101/20
whose [1] 23/25
why [21] 14/17 28/3 82/9 90/5
91/9 91/9 91/10 93/18 97/3 103/5
111/11 123/7 125/11 125/19
135/17 135/20 138/1 168/6 180/18 186/1 186/19
wide [3] 131/11 131/12 133/5
width [1] 131/9
Wiegert [39] 4/8 20/21 21/9 24/21
31/1 31/11 32/18 32/19 32/20
34/4 43/9 47/6 59/16 69/1 71/22
71/24 72/3 78/20 78/24 81/3 89/1 90/15 96/24 99/6 99/7 101/25
120/5 120/9 $122 / 17$ 126/7 134/14
136/9 138/20 139/8 141/23 143/7
144/22 152/12 152/20
Wiegert's [3] 46/25 78/13 89/4
wife [1] 174/11
will [24] 3/5 39/24 42/7 56/9 63/3 66/18 67/16 77/13 84/8 88/19 103/10 $115 / 16$ 123/14 124/11
138/12 146/15 147/8 176/15
176/17 181/19 184/22 188/20
189/16 190/2
Willis [1] 1/9
window [1] 147/23
winds [2] 75/21 75/21
WISCONSIN [14] $1 / 11 / 31 / 131 / 15$
3/2 56/21 58/8 75/15 96/8 150/15
161/25 180/13 191/1 191/6
wisdom [1] 184/12
wise [1] 181/14
wish [1] 176/23
withdraw [1] 138/11
within [16] $11 / 7$ 19/11 $25 / 13$ 54/13
54/15 57/6 59/20 129/25 130/2
139/2 155/5 155/9 167/11 169/1
189/21 189/24
without [5] 29/20 93/25 108/16 176/24 177/5
withstanding [1] 30/25
witness [25] 3/16 3/19 36/24 37/2
55/1755/1956/11 56/13 88/17

89/22 116/11 116/13 122/24
123/19 123/24 147/8 150/24 151/2
151/8 $151 / 17$ 170/4 170/6 170/9 170/14175/21
witnesses [10] $2 / 256 / 756 / 10$
176/6 176/13 180/16 181/6 181/17 187/25 188/6
wooded [1] 168/4
wooden [3] 13/6 13/9 13/19
words [10] 19/23 31/6 78/15 92/12
101/22 140/24 172/9 175/14 177/5
185/18
work [17] 14/9 25/19 57/6 78/19
83/8 88/8 89/10 $115 / 1$ 128/25
158/15 165/11 $170 / 22$ 171/2 172/8
174/11 189/12 189/24
worked [7] 16/3 21/5 57/17 77/1
112/1 165/14 185/8
working [10] 35/9 66/12 113/17
114/2 121/6 128/10 136/23 165/22
165/24 167/11
worried [1] 109/2
worst [1] 75/20
worth [1] 146/3
would [197]
wouldn't [3] 25/20 140/10 141/14
Wow [1] 99/18
wrecker [1] 126/4
written [1] 20/6
wrong [2] $33 / 2$ 188/2
wrote [1] 53/24
X
X-2 [1] 65/25
$\mathrm{X}-4$ [1] $67 / 16$
Y
Yanda [1] 62/6
Yanda's [1] 60/11
yard [14] 58/17 60/8 60/19 61/2
62/3 63/18 97/18 99/24 99/25
100/1 100/2 101/2 134/10 171/20
yards [1] 174/2
yeah [10] 8/16 25/18 32/22 84/16
104/2 130/7 131/18 134/20 157/19
160/16
year [1] 171/15
years [11] $27 / 1937 / 14$ 56/24 57/2
57/1157/15 57/1657/18 171/8
171/11 180/14
yes [274]
yesterday [5] 3/5 6/7 50/12 63/22 176/16
yesterday's [1] 26/16
yet [8] $42 / 4$ 42/5 64/10 75/20 79/5
92/2 124/17 184/10
you [822]
you're [4] 110/6 121/21 129/5
154/15
you've [1] 129/20
young [1] 38/19

| your [146] | $3 / 15$ | $3 / 22$ | $3 / 22$ | $4 / 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $5 / 117 / 13$ 8/21 9/21 12/2 12/21 13/3 13/4 14/2 $15 / 1$ 15/20 15/21 17/18 $17 / 2118 / 518 / 2219 / 11$ 19/23 19/23 22/15 23/21 24/25 26/10 28/6 30/5 31/6 31/6 31/14 $32 / 3$ 34/5 34/1 $435 / 6$ 36/25 37/5 $37 / 5$ 38/14 39/16 41/7 43/14 44/24 45/6 45/16 46/9 47/20

$48 / 1850 / 450 / 1452 / 1153 / 3$
$55 / 1055 / 1955 / 2056 / 1656 / 16$
57/4 57/20 58/6 58/10 59/5 59/7

59/1 8 60/4 62/12 63/9 63/22 65/15 67/17 67/18 67/21 70/14 77/14 78/12 78/16 81/20 81/25 83/15 89/3 92/14 92/20 93/7 96/25 102/9 106/1 106/11 106/15 107/7 108/19 109/11 109/11 115/7 115/10 $115 / 19116 / 22117 / 14$ 121/23 125/23 126/14 127/17 128/22 129/18 129/18 130/2
132/12 133/18 137/12 137/12
142/11 142/20 143/18 144/25
145/2 151/6 151/11 151/11152/22
153/6 153/18 $154 / 5$ 155/7 157/16
161/8 161/10 163/13 165/3 166/2
167/3 170/5 170/12 170/17 170/17
171/12 171/16 173/7 174/17
175/11 179/19 182/21 184/8 187/2
187/15 187/15
yourself [11] 10/23 21/15 26/1
27/4 30/6 34/24 35/6 52/2 164/24
172/7 172/22
Yup [1] 9/25
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THE COURT: At this time the Court will go back on the record. Before we hear oral argument from the parties on the Franks motion, there's a couple of other things to address. First of all, it's my understanding from discussions with counsel, that the parties have agreement on the media statements motion that was filed by the defense, and for which testimony has been taken; is that correct, counsel?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, that is correct. It is my understanding, and I think Counsel would agree, that neither side is conceding the merits of the other side's argument, but in acknowledgment of the overall circumstances of this case and the number of statements at issue, we have reached this following resolution:

And that is, that the State would agree not to use any of the interview statements obtained by News Reporter Kolbusz, which I believe, if memory serves me, were November 18th and December 14th. And in exchange for which the defense is withdrawing their request to prohibit our use of any of the statements, either telephonically, or in person, obtained by Investigative Reporter Matesic.

Again, neither side is conceding the merits of the other side's argument; it's just a concession due to the overall circumstances of the case.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: There's nothing about that with which I disagree. I will add a little bit I think that matters and $I$ believe we're also in agreement on. The Emily Matesic interview was November 12th, as I recall, the in jail televised interview. And we are withdrawing our constitutional objection to that, withdrawing the motion in so far as that interview goes.

And as to the one later, telephonic interview with Ms Matesic, and I will say that it was -- that was a straddler, that was sort of midway in between. Because the motion never was intended to cover interviews or statements of Mr. Avery where he initiated the telephone call.

And as I say, that one straddled a little bit, because Ms Matesic initiated in one sense by writing a letter asking Mr. Avery to call, he initiated in another sense by making the collect call. But in any event, no constitutional objection and the motion is
withdrawn as to those two interviews.
At this time, I believe that the State has not obtained the raw footage of the November 12 interview, or any full tape, or raw tape, so to speak, of the later telephonic interview with Ms Matesic.

I know the defense doesn't have those materials. And I think what we have agreed to do at this point is just to table, until later, questions of completeness, if in fact the State is able to obtain raw footage, or the full interview, on either of those occasions.

Assuming the State is not, we will not object to introduction of the little two minute or two minute plus segments of those interviews that were actually aired in the Matesic interviews.

And then I also agree, it's simpler as to the Channel 5, or Jennifer Kolbusz interviews, both in the jail, both televised or filmed, November 18 and December 14, those the State will make no use of at all at trial. And, again, here, each of the two sides is utterly secure in its conviction that the other side is completely wrong on the legal merits, so.

THE COURT: All right. In light of the detail involved in your agreement here, I'm going to ask you to provide that to the Court in the form of a written stipulation and then $I$ will accept it. Mr. Strang, I will have you draft it. And -ATTORNEY STRANG: I would be happy to. THE COURT: -- when the Court receives it, then, $I$ will deal with it.

With respect to some of the other motions that are pending, because of the lateness of today, and I'm still going to be hearing oral arguments, what I am going to do is set a date for August 22nd, that is a Tuesday, at 9:00 in the morning.

And on that date, the Court will issue a decision, or issue decisions, addressing the issues of venue and the trial date, among other things, but also, on most of the other motions that have been heard, and that is, either heard or for which briefs have been filed.

I understand that some of the motions that were heard over the last couple days will be dependent on the filing of written briefs and the court reporter generating a transcript. So we may not be in a position to deal with everything
on August 22, but certainly the venue and trial date motions, and some of the other motions as well.

With respect to the concerns raised by the State just before we entered into the break, Counsel, it's my understanding that defense counsel has discussed more fully than even before, with the defendant, his right to have provided testimony at these motion hearings over the last couple days, and that it's still the defendant's decision, in consultation with counsel, to elect not to testify. Mr. Strang, is that correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: We had -- Mr. Buting and I had a meeting with Steven Avery in the Manitowoc County Jail, during break. I'm going to guess, I didn't time it, but I'm going to guess the meeting was about 10 or 15 minutes long, something in that neighborhood. It was a private meeting, law enforcement was not in the room. We were within the secure envelope in the jail.

And we -- we had a two-way discussion about Mr. Avery's opportunity, if he chose, to testify at the motions hearings, and his right to maintain his silence as well, and choose not to
testify. Explained -- Mr. Buting and I explained that these pretrial motions and their strategic questions are at least predominantly issues committed to a lawyer's judgment. And we explained to him what our judgment was, and is, on the presentation of evidence on those motions.

But this was a two-way discussion and Mr. Avery, as always, is really a very, very cooperative client, someone who's engaged in discussions and cares about his case. And I think he certainly treats us as if he respects us as the two lawyers he chose to defend him in this case.

THE COURT: Mr. Avery, do you concur with that summary of your discussion, just placed on the record, with Mr. Strang?

MR. AVERY: Yes.
THE COURT: You understand you have the right, if you wanted to, to testify at these hearings, but do I take it that you have made the decision, in consultation with your attorneys, that you elect not to testify at these hearings?

MR. AVERY: Yes.
THE COURT: Very well. The Court is satisfied that the defendant has been adequately
informed by defense counsel of his right to testify at these hearings and has made the decision, in consultation with his attorney, not to testify. With respect to the motions that the Court has heard the last few days, first of all, on the issue of the admissibility of the statements made to the Marinette County Sheriff's Department, it's my understanding that the testimony that we have heard was fairly limited on those, and that the court reporter expects to get it out in short order, and the parties could submit simultaneous written briefs by a week from tomorrow.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: Both parties in agreement?
ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct, Judge.
Although the record should reflect, that the preference of the State was to argue it now. But acknowledging the decision of the Court, we'll have a brief for you the end of next week.

THE COURT: All right. The testimony taken on the issue of the effective multiple executions of the search warrant and the motion related to that, I understand there is a good deal more testimony there and the parties would like additional time in which
to brief that issue.
I have spoken to the court reporter, she indicates she can have a transcript ready in about three weeks. So I'm asking the parties at this time, how much time would you like to submit simultaneous briefs on that issue? So, essentially, the transcript will be ready at about the end of the month.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I could probably do it in 10 days after that.

THE COURT: Okay. How about September 13th, it's a Wednesday?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Sure.
ATTORNEY FALLON: September 13th, I will check my calendar, please. Right now, my written calendar shows that that would be doable. I haven't checked my computer calendar back at the office. So, assuming I don't have anything else going on, I think that's doable.

THE COURT: All right. We'll say briefs due $9 / 13$ on the multiple executions issue.

And the last matter is the Franks
motion. I will hear oral argument at this time on that issue. Since there is an initial burden there on the defense, $I$ will hear from the
defense first.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge. Perhaps, before we -- before I argue that, though, we did have some discussion off the record with counsel for the State, that maybe crystallizes the issue on standing a little bit better. I don't know if you would like to state what your position is on where Mr. Avery does or does not have standing?

ATTORNEY FALLON: The only thing I would say is that the State hasn't challenged his standing, or haven't contested his standing to challenge a search of the house and the garage, and the rest we're prepared to argue.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Including the -- the burn barrel and burn pit in the area of his house and garage? That was something that wasn't clear to me.

ATTORNEY FALLON: It was clear in our pleadings. And, again, the arguments and discussions are relative to this particular motion, exclusively.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Judge, as we pointed out in the motion that we filed, the -although -- Let me talk about Franks first, and then I will talk a little bit about standing. And in
order to complete my argument on Franks, I want to play for the Court the second phone call that Detective Remiker had with Investigator Wiegert on the morning of November 5th, regarding the use of -or the discussion about whether there was an intent to use volunteers to search the Avery property or not. And if $I$ could play that, briefly, and then I will argue from there. I have set up -- I have my copy in there, $I$ could put the original in if you like.

THE COURT: All right. And this was, if I remember correctly, the part of Detective Remiker's testimony where the jail had tapes, the attorneys went over and listened to them, so there's no question that this is the tape; both parties agree?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe so. I believe so, depending on what we hear, if it is as represented by counsel, yes, it's a conversation between Detective Remiker and Investigator Wiegert.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: There were two phone calls, the first one is more lengthy. It is the second one that is very brief and is more of issue in this.

THE COURT: Is it set up for the second
one?

ATTORNEY BUTING: It is set up and ready for the second one.

THE COURT: Very well.
(CD played, Exhibit 20.)

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Remiker.

INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: Yeah. Is it 323 or 373?

DETECTIVE REMIKER: 323.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I can't remember fuckin' reading.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: 32319 -- the year you were born, 1929.

INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: You got 'er. Hey, I have a change of plans here.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay.

INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: The boss has got something he wants us to do.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay.
INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: He wants us to go back over and reinterview Avery and Zipperer, again. And as long as the search party is out there, he wants us to ask them if they would allow us to have the search party come on their property and go through the junkyard. The search party.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay.
INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: So, if it's okay with you, we'll meet you over at your Sheriff's Department.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay.
INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: Talk about it a little bit, and if you're not too busy.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay. Man, Zipperer is not going to be real happy.

INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: I'm sure he is not. If he tells us no, he tells us no.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: All right.
INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: Later.
DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay.
INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: If you don't mind.
DETECTIVE REMIKER: Yup, that's fine.
INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: We'll stop over.
Okay. We'll probably be there, I would say, within the hour.

DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay. Give me a call before you get here, I will meet you.

INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: Will do.
DETECTIVE REMIKER: Okay.
INVESTIGATOR WIEGERT: Thanks.
DETECTIVE REMIKER: Bye.
(Transcribed to the best of my ability.)
ATTORNEY BUTING: That's it, Judge. And I told your court reporter beforehand, that it's a little hard sometimes for her to be able to take down what's being said in the CD like that, but I wouldn't have any objection to her listening to -if she prepares a transcript on it, have her listening to the Court's exhibit, which is Exhibit No. -- I'm sorry -- 20, for accuracy on the transcription.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: The case of Franks vs. Delaware says that if an individual who applies for a search warrant, that is, the affiant, in this case, Investigator Wiegert, provides false information intentionally or with reckless disregard for the truth, and that information was necessary to establish probable cause, then the Fourth Amendment requires that a hearing be conducted.

If, at the hearing, it's proved that the false information was presented intentionally, or with reckless disregard for the truth, then what the Court does is set aside that portion of the affidavit and looks to the remainder of the affidavit, to see whether probable cause exists.

If, having struck that portion of the affidavit, probable cause does not anymore exist, then the warrant is -- the search must be voided, the warrant is improper. It's our contention, in the motion that we filed, that Investigator Wiegert, either deliberately, intentionally, or certainly with reckless disregard for the truth, did just that.

In Paragraph 5 of the search warrant affidavit, that's dated November 5th, 2005 -it's been made part of the record -- in particular, Investigator Wiegert stated in that affidavit, that officers had received information, from volunteer searchers, that they had located a vehicle matching the description of the vehicle owned by Teresa Halbach.

That is the first statement that is -is inaccurate, that is incorrect. As I believe also was made part of the record, the transcript of the call from Pamela Sturm makes clear that she did not say that the vehicle matched. In fact, that she indicated that the vehicle color did not appear to be correct, or did not appear to be with the same that she had seen described or had seen on the fliers that she was following.

And that it was, in fact, because of that, and she hesitated to say that she thought it was the matching vehicle because she wanted to see the VIN number. And she was calling and asking, do you know the VIN number.

Secondly, we also argued that the term "volunteer searchers" was a bit of a stretch in that we believe the officers used volunteers in such a way, or citizens in such a way, as to essentially make them part of a police search, by trying to engage them in a Fourth Amendment search.

Now, in that regard, the motion was based upon statements made in the official Manitowoc County Police -- Sheriff's Department's report of this investigation, which I went over with both Detective Remiker and Investigator Wiegert. Investigator Wiegert denied making the statement that was in Detective Remiker's report, that Detective Remiker attributed to him, in which stated, Wiegert indicated that several searchers were willing to go to the Avery property, on Avery road, to search the junkyard and salvage area.

When I put the question to Investigator

Wiegert, he said that Detective Remiker just got it wrong, I didn't say anything about that. I think he said he didn't say anything about volunteers coming to search the junkyard at all. And here's where his credibility, in this court, at this hearing, it is at issue. Because he didn't know at the time, as neither did we when he testified, that Manitowoc County had actually recorded that phone conversation.

And I played that portion of it right now, in which it's clear he did talk to Detective Remiker about using these volunteer search party, is what he calls it, to search the Avery junkyard. And that if, in fact, he was using -using volunteers to conduct a search, that obviously by that time, Mr. Avery was also a person of interest at a minimum.

Using them to get consent to try and get in and search, would be a way to get around Mr. Avery's Fourth Amendment rights with regard to privacy and expectations on the search of the Avery family property, and that that was recklessly, if not intentionally, misstated in the affidavit, again, Paragraph 5 of the affidavit.

The other part of that paragraph that is completely wrong, or nearly completely wrong, Detective Remiker himself acknowledged, he puts in the affidavit that -- I mean Wiegert, that Wiegert acknowledged he, in fact, put false information, or incorrect information, in here, because he says in his affidavit, that the searchers provided the entire VIN number.

And when pressed on that in court he had to admit that that's not true, in fact, only a part -- a portion of that VIN number, about half, 10 of the 17 numbers, could be provided by the volunteers, that they evidently were unable to read the rest of it.

Now, the State will probably argue, oh, that's just a semantics, that's just a mistake, negligence at most, it's not any kind of reckless disregard for the truth. But we have got to think about the timing of this as well. Wiegert talks to Pamela Sturm on the phone at about 10:30, 11:00 in the morning.

This is only a matter of a couple hours later he's -- at most, he's preparing this affidavit. It's facts are obviously fresh in his mind. It's clear from the transcript that there
was an extensive discussion -- extensive discussion with Pamela Sturm about how many numbers she could read in the -- on the VIN.

And it's very clear, as you look at the transcript of that 911 call, or whatever you want to call it, that there's back and forth, can you read this, well, I'm not sure about that number, might be a T , might be a 1 . Very clear that she did not have the full VIN number.

And yet here, within a couple of hours or so, he's saying, in this affidavit to the Court, that the searchers not only found a vehicle that matched the description, but that had a VIN number, complete VIN number that matched. And that's a very big difference in my mind, and in the Court's mind, I'm sure it is.

Because if -- if you are trying to get probable cause for a warrant, it's much, much easier to do so if you mislead the Court and tell them, hey, there's a vehicle that these searchers found, and it matches the description that was given for Teresa Halbach's vehicle. And not only that, they checked the VIN number and it's completely a match, all 17 numbers.

Very easy to get probable cause with
something like that. It's another matter if they point out the truth, if Wiegert was to point out the truth to the Court, which is that, well, we only have a partial VIN number. And there is some hesitation on the part of the caller, the searcher, as to whether this really matches or not.

And in cross-examination, $I$ believe it was, Attorney Fallon was having Investigator Wiegert point out all these other facts that he knew, such as whether the model matched, whether there was a sticker on it from Le Mieux Toyota, all these other facts, but the point is not what he knew in his mind, the point is what he provided to the independent reviewer, the Court. And he does not say anything in this affidavit about the model year, or any comments that the -- Pamela Sturm said about that, or any of his subsequent investigation about whether or not there's -- there were other reasons to believe that the vehicle might have matched.

He skipped over all that. He just assumed for himself that he could call it a match, and that he could tell the court that these volunteer searchers believed it to be a
match, rather than telling the full truth, which was -- which is something very less than that.

If in fact, the information that's left out, or not -- or deliberately not included, was reckless, or reckless disregard for the truth, then the first couple of sentences in Paragraph 5 would be struck, or stricken. The only other -Frankly, the only other part of that paragraph that supplies probable cause is, Investigator Remiker, once he got to the scene, it says Investigator Remiker was able to confirm that the VIN number, and then it lists all 17, is the correct number for Teresa Halbach's Toyota RAV 4.

And then he talks about Investigator Remiker's visual observation. And then here we get to the point of whether or not Detective Remiker was in a place where he can make -lawfully make those observations, such that they could be considered by the Court, in the search warrant. If not, then that has to be struck as well, stricken from this affidavit.

And without Paragraph 5, there is not probable cause. I can spend some time on that later if there's really a dispute about it. But there's not probable cause in this affidavit, if
you take Paragraph 5 out, plain and simple.
So the question then is, at this point anyway, did Detective Remiker have a right to be in the position that he was, to go up to the vehicle and to read the VIN number on it? Was he lawfully there? Were his observations lawful? And that does involve questions of standing, as to whether Mr. Avery might have a reason to have an expectation of privacy, as well. So let me address those two points.

First of all, the testimony said, or established, that Detective Remiker did not have consent from anybody on that property, at the time that he came up to the RAV 4 and, I believe, shined his flashlight on it, or whatever, in order to try and read the VIN number.

And the testimony from Pamela Sturm was that she had gotten consent, holding herself out to be a volunteer, but not a police officer. And so that consent would clearly not carry over to the police as well.

There's also some testimony, that later, Earl Avery supposedly gave consent to the officers to be there, but that was, I think the record was at 11:17. That was a good 5 or 10
minutes, or 15 minutes, $I$ think, after Detective Remiker arrived at the scene.

Earl Avery, when he testified, in fact, denied that he ever gave consent. He said the officers made him sit around for three hours, never talked to him until then, they just had their way with it.

I don't think that there can be any serious argument that -- that there was -- that that part of the property was simply open to public access and that -- that none of the Avery's would have any expectation of privacy in that area, the southeast quarter quadrant of their property.

Testimony was, from Earl Avery, he marked on Exhibit 18, where the public is generally allowed and not allowed, without permission. And the custom and practice is that they drive up to the front of the office, they come in, and they say, do you have a part for this or that year car, and then they are allowed to go in, sometimes with supervision, sometimes without, and go into the yard. But only with permission that they -- I think Earl's words were, absolutely not, is the public allowed to
just go in there without -- into the pit, or into the junkyard area, without permission.

Other facts which indicate a reasonable expectation of privacy were testified to today by Lieutenant Sippel. He talked about how there's fence lines around the property, on the north and east -- I'm sorry -- north and east edge, yes. And that there are berms, one of them very high, on the east edge, and 15 feet or so, 10 to 15 feet on the south edge, which would clearly indicate that someone is trying to demark that property as separate and private from public access.

So the question that the State, then, has raised is whether or not, I assume this is the essential argument, is whether or not Mr. Avery himself had standing. It's conceded that he had standing in his house, or trailer, and that he had standing in his garage. But they contest that he had standing anywhere else. And presumably that includes the location where the RAV 4 was found, the so-called burn pit and burn barrel, located outside of his residence and garage.

The State has -- had filed a brief, or a
memo, to the Court, the day before this motion hearing started yesterday. And I did not have a chance to file a written response. I apologize for that, but it was not received until the very day before this. But I did have a chance to review some of their cases and some of my own. And I have some cites, and some references, and legal authority that $I$ think run counter to their arguments.

First of all, the case of Rakas vs.
Illinois, which is at 439 U.S., at page 139, I believe it is. Makes clear that the Fourth Amendment -- a claim -- a Fourth Amendment claim does not depend on a property right. It is a personal right. It's a right, an expectation of privacy in the invaded place. Fourth Amendment does not protect property. It protects people from unreasonable searches and seizures.

No single factor is determinative on the question of standing. That's also from Rakas, at 152. And State vs. Whitrock, which I believe is also cited by the State, at 161 Wis. 2d, at page 974, says that the Court must take a totality of the circumstance approach when determining the questions of standing.

It is true, defendant does have the burden of establishing, however, just by -- just by a preponderance of the evidence, that he had a reasonable expectation of privacy in the -- the things searched. But Whitrock and Arizona vs. Hicks, which is the cite, 480 U.S. 321, 1987, make clear that a defendant does not need to show an ownership interest in the place or thing to be seized, and that the thing, in fact, seized need not even be his own property.

In both Whitrock and Hicks, I believe stolen property was involved. And in Hicks, the Court found that there was a reasonable expectation of privacy, even in the stolen stereo equipment that was found inside of this individual's house.

And in that case, the police, in order to determine whether or not the item was stolen, it was not obviously stolen when they went in there, but they moved pieces around, and they looked at serial numbers, and they recorded those. And they went back later and determined that the property appeared to have been stolen, or was reported stolen.

And on that basis, they went back with a
warrant. And the Court stated, no, no, you can't do that, that was improper. And that's akin to Detective Remiker going onto this property, using a flashlight, in order to read the VIN number on this vehicle.

The case law also shows that people have a reasonable expectation of privacy in a variety of areas, a number of different settings. The Trecroci case, I think it's misspelled in the State's brief, but that's T-r-e-c-r-o-c-i. The cite for that is 246 Wis. 2d, 261. It's a Court of Appeals case from 19 -- I'm sorry -- from 2001.

That case actually does a fairly good job of summarizing what some of the factors are and what some of the various areas where standing has been found, even when someone doesn't own the property. And I point out that the State used Mr. Earl Avery to try and establish that Steven Avery did not have an ownership interest, or portion of the property.

But that that really is irrelevant on the question of standing here. The numerous cases say you don't have to own the property to have a reasonable expectation of privacy in it.

I will get into a little bit more of that in a minute.

Even in a workplace, employees have a reasonable expectation of privacy, O'Connor vs. Ortega, 480 U.S. 709 at 717, 1981, I believe, or '87. Overnight guests in a house have an expectation of privacy, State vs. Whitrock, again. Even commercial areas, in garbage, if steps are taken to exclude the public, can't have -- are areas that one can have an expectation of -- reasonable expectation of privacy in.

The Trecroci case, at page 282, sort of lists, gives a helpful list of factors to consider in determining whether someone has standing in a particular place. And it's not necessary that all of them be met, but they are considered in part -- as part of the totality of the circumstances.

The first is whether the person had a proprietary interest in the premises. And here, clearly, he had a proprietary interest in the house and the garage. He did not have -- He was not an owner of the Avery Salvage business but, on the other hand, he worked there.

It's a family business. He lived on the property. Earl talked about how he did -- Steven Avery did all the things that Earl did, including dismantling vehicles, driving out to pick up junks and bring them back and forth. And so the fact that it's a family business, I think, makes that factor somewhat less critical.

Second factor is whether the person was legitimately on the premises that are searched. Clearly, Mr. Avery lived on the Avery compound so to speak, or right next to it, and he worked on the compound every day. So he clearly was legitimately there.

Whether the person had complete dominion and control, and the right to exclude others, perhaps he didn't have as much of complete dominion as he would as an owner, but nevertheless, he worked there, he lived there, he worked the car crusher right near the area where this was found. He had full access to all of the property as a family member, and as a person who worked in the family business.

The next factor is whether the person took precautions customarily taken by those seeking privacy. I have covered that already.

But I think the berms, the fences, it's clear that the property itself does have attributes to indicate that there is a reasonable expectation of privacy in that property.

Whether the person had put the property to some private use, clearly they did. There's a business in the front. There's a public office in the upper right, or northeast corner of the 40-acre parcel, but the rest of it is private. There's private residences, both to the north edge and down the eastern edge, where Chuck Avery lives. All the land belonged to the family.

And, finally, whether the claim of privacy is consistent with historical notions of privacy. This is a fluid concept because -that's probably changed over time -- but here, people know, that if you enter someone's private property, you must receive permission to do so.

Even the volunteer who testified, Pamela Sturm, recognized that she had to get permission from Earl Avery before she could go into any area of the yard to do a search. So I think that that's a factor that clearly indicates that there is a historical and reasonable expectation of privacy in that area.

Then, finally, there is the question of the -- Well, let me just, before I turn to the burn barrel and burn pit area.

If I make the first hurdle, if we pass the first hurdle, and the Court finds that there is sufficiently reckless or intentional misstatements, falsehoods, in the affidavit, and that, therefore, they are stricken, then the Court, I think, sequentially, next, has to look at the question of whether or not Detective Remiker, therefore, was in a position where he was not lawfully permitted to make the observations -- the rest of the observations that are included in Paragraph 5.

And if so, then there is no probable cause for the warrant. The entire warrant is void and the entire search is void, at least as to that warrant. Later warrants were obtained and we have to deal with those issues later, but as to this warrant they would be void.

And that would also answer the question as to any evidence found outside of Mr. Avery's residence, such as the burn pit, or the burn barrel, or whatever. We wouldn't even have to get to the question of standing, because if the
warrant is void, it's void.
But as to the question of standing, it's not clear to me just what position the State is taking on this, but the testimony was, and the exhibits show, that the burn barrel was right outside the front residence, front area of the trailer, and that the burn pit was behind the detached garage.

So I don't know how they are going to argue that he had a privacy interest in the house and the garage, but not in those areas that are close by. If that's their position, then they will have to make it, but $I$ don't see it. It's a bit of a different argument, I think, when we get to the far corner of the property, where the vehicle is made. But as to those other areas, I don't see any legitimate argument.

So, for those reasons, I think we have established a reasonable expectation of privacy, by a preponderance of the evidence. I think we have established that there were material, intentional or reckless disregard for the truth in the affidavit. And I think we have established, as well, that when those improper falsehoods, or illegally obtained portions are
stricken from this warrant, there is no probable cause left in the warrant. And so the search -any searches based on this November 5 th warrant, would have to be voided and any evidence suppressed. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, Judge. Well, the defense argument is stunning for the facts which were omitted during the presentation of their argument. So, in and effort, let's first all start with a couple of general principles and then we'll go through the evidence which I understand was presented during the last day and a half.

Counsel is correct, it is a totality of the circumstances analysis, with respect to determination of whether or not Mr. Avery has a reasonable expectation of privacy, in the areas searched, and in the items seized.

If there is no reasonable expectation of privacy, in the areas searched, and the items seized, there is, as it pertains to Mr. Avery, no Fourth Amendment event. There is no search. There is no basis for a hearing. And there is no basis to request suppression.

Now, first and foremost, Counsel is
correct and does cite Rakas vs. Illinois, which is a case that we clearly cite in our brief. It's a critical case. And counsel is right, in fact, it's one of the few things that $I$ do agree with, and that is the Fourth Amendment reasonable expectation of privacy is not conditioned upon the existence of a property right. We agree. Quite frankly, that supports the State's argument that there is no standing, no reasonable expectation of privacy.

In determination of whether there is a reasonable expectation of privacy, the burden is on the defense, to establish by a preponderance of the evidence, whether it is more likely than not, whether it's somewhere over 50 percent. Is it likely that this person has two things, whether the individual has exhibited an actual, subjective expectation of privacy in the area inspected or searched, and in the items seized.

The second part of the question is, is the expectation, is it one that society is willing to recognize as reasonable, as a reasonable expectation of privacy, under the circumstances. There has been no evidence of an actual, subjective expectation of privacy
produced by Mr. Avery.
We have references to berms and we have references to fence lines. We have no reference to the fact that the berms were created with that intent, with that subjective expectation. We have no evidence that there's actually a fence that goes along the fence line.

We have no evidence that Mr. Avery took any -- any reasonable steps to secure the salvage yard, the location of where Teresa Halbach's vehicle was found, the vehicle in which her license plates were found. The burn barrel, which I might add and point out to the Court on Exhibit No. 18, is located up here, Mr. Avery's residence is here. We have a burn pit, which is behind a garage, and I will get to that in a minute.

There has been no demonstration of an actual, subjective expectation of privacy that has been provided to this court. All we have is a berm line, a fence line. We have a rather isolated geographical piece of property. That alone is insufficient to justify, or a conclusion, first of all, that there's an actual, subjective expectation of privacy.

And more importantly, or equally important, I should say, there's been nothing here that demonstrates that society is prepared to accept that Steven Avery has a reasonable expectation of privacy in the location of the Toyota RAV 4 vehicle, found at the bottom of Exhibit No. 18.

More importantly, there's been no evidence whatsoever that suggests he has a reasonable expectation of privacy about anything in that vehicle. And while he may not have a property right, we agree he has no property right with respect to her vehicle. He has no property right with respect to the blood found in the vehicle, unless of course it's his blood.

But then, again, we don't have any testimony saying that. We don't have any evidence of the fact, introduced in this hearing, of those facts, justifying a reasonable expectation of privacy there.

He did not drive that vehicle. He did not own that vehicle. As far as we know, the only time he touched that vehicle was sometime during the week of October 31st.

With respect to the contents of the burn
barrel, the location of the burn barrel, where's the reasonable expectation of privacy? Anyone would drive up and down that upper road there, stop and look in that burn barrel. Burn barrel, anything in the burn barrel is discarded abandoned property. It's the quintessential act of abandoned property. Burned stuff is in there. What reasonable expectation of privacy actual -- First of all, what subjective, actual expectation of privacy did that man have in the contents of this burn barrel? What expectation of privacy did he have in the remains of the camera, in the remains of the cell phone, in the remains of other items collected there? It's not only an expectation of privacy in the place, but also in the things. And there's been no evidence, no argument, nothing whatsoever.

The burn pit, located behind the garage, what special -- what evidence do we have there are any special expectation of privacy there? Yes, okay, it's located behind the barn. Great, do we have any demonstration? Do we have any evidence that there was an actual, subjective expectation of privacy created by Steven Avery in the burn pit?

There's no evidence in the record, not one iota, that he did anything special to secret that area, to shield it from anywhere else, other than it's geographical location. And, quite frankly, that's not enough.

More to the point, what reasonable, or what actual, subjective expectation of privacy does he have in the contents of the pit. What subjective, actual expectation of privacy does he have in the remains of Teresa Halbach? I certainly didn't hear any evidence suggesting that he has such an expectation of privacy relative to the contents of the burn pit either.

Now, let's further address some of the case law cited by the defense. It's been a while since I read Arizona Hicks -- vs. Hicks, but it seems to me the principle that Counsel cites in that is that individuals can't have an expectation of privacy in stolen items. That's true.

But the search in Hicks occurred in the house of Mr. Hicks, if I remember, and it's been some time, so there is an expectation in the place, which then, of course, provided an additional expectation of privacy in the items
within the place. Well, that's a far different set of facts than we have here.

Then they cite O'Connor $^{\prime}$ vs. Ortega. Ownership is not -- Let's see, Ortega, if memory serves me, that was a case involving a search of an individual at his place of employment. As a matter of fact, O'Connor vs. Ortega, I believe, was an actual search of the person's private office. Again, that's an entirely different set of circumstances that we have in this particular case.

Again, they cite the Whitrock case, which I also cite for the principle, the general principle in my brief, for another point. Certainly guests can have an expectation of privacy in someone else's home. We're certainly not contesting that but, then again, it's the place that's searched and how reasonable is their expectations.

And it's not a carte blanche, just because you have a guest, they always, forever, have a reasonable expectation of privacy in, for instance, your home. There are other factors that the Courts look at, but it's not uncommon. I don't see how that case has any particular
relevance, or the principles therein, have application to this case, because the facts are so unique and so different.

Next, it's pretty much conceded in their argument, and in the testimony, that by and large, the vast area contained in Exhibit No. 18, here, is attributed to the auto salvage yard. Well, the last time I looked, an auto salvage yard was a commercial enterprise and business.

And while one may have, and I use the word one because I will come back to that, one may have a reasonable expectation of privacy in commercial property, but it is less than a reasonable expectation of privacy one would have in a private dwelling. The best case for that is New York vs. Burger, $B-u-r-g-e-r, 482$ U.S. 691, page 700, 1987. And if memory serves me, Burger, I think, involved a search to a auto salvage yard.

Now, with respect to the challenge here, we have no reasonable -- no actual, subjective expectation of privacy, which has been established in the defense presentation of evidence, in this particular case. Not only is there no actual, subjective expectation of
privacy in the areas that we have just talked about, there's no one that society is willing or prepared to accept as reasonable under the circumstances of this case. Again, this is a commercial piece of property, by and large. It is a property which is held open to the public. It's the State's position that Mr. Avery doesn't have a basis to challenge the search warrant except, and only limited to, the search of his residence and the garage. Any property located elsewhere, he did not have a reasonable expectation of privacy in.

Particularly in additional, the argument is, with respect to the burn area and the burn pits, you have abandoned property, you have burn property. And more importantly, relative to the expectation of privacy, there is no evidence, there is no testimony, that there were any steps taken by Mr. Avery, evincing an actual, subjective expectation of privacy, other than their mere location. And, quite frankly, in or near the curtilage, to borrow the old common law term, is not enough.

All right. Moving on to the challenge to the Franks motion. The State's primary
argument, and I'm going to begin with the procedural argument, and then $I$ will reach the merits. The procedural argument is, first and foremost, the defendant's pleading. Its motion, affidavit, supporting documentation, we believe, was insufficient to justify the Court's taking the evidentiary testimony in the first place. First, there must be a substantial preliminary showing that there was a false statement, knowingly and intentionally, or with reckless disregard for the truth, was included in the warrant and affidavit, and that that statement is necessary to the finding of probable cause. We agree.

Franks vs. Delaware is the seminal case in this matter. It has been adopted and it's reasoning applied in a couple of Wisconsin cases, most notably State vs. Anderson. To make a substantial preliminary showing there must be allegations of deliberate falsehood or reckless disregard. And those allegations may be -- must be accompanied by an offer of proof. When you look at the motion and supporting documentation of the defense, they raise conclusory allegations that there were
certain false statements made, but they don't really show or demonstrate that there was any in the pleadings, any intent on the part of the affiant, in this case Investigator Wiegert, to deliberately mislead and lie to the Court, in an effort to obtain the warrant.

Their pleading is totally and completely deficient. It is conclusory only. And I will rely on the argument raised in my written brief on that particular point. Again, a presumption of validity attends to the affidavit.

In this case, there pleading fails to establish that the key statement was false or made with reckless disregard for the truth. Defense hinges it's argument primarily on two concepts, whether or not there were really volunteers and this -- the manner in which the vehicle identification number, commonly referred to the VIN, was obtained. So let's take those one at a time.

In their pleadings, they allege that they weren't really volunteers. I believe I specifically point pages, I think, it's 7 or 8 , or 8 and 9, where they raise the specter, that there was this grand scheme to employee
volunteers to secretly invade the Avery compound and conduct a search. At best, the pleadings suggest that they might do something like that, at best. In other words, might use the volunteer searchers to help assist in a search.

This discussion, while there was a meeting, that we were all going to meet at the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, that all, at best, signifies an intent to have something happen in the future. It doesn't exist -doesn't establish the existence of any kind of working relationship, or to take the legal phrase now, an agency relationship, or a joint venture relationship, with law enforcement, at the time of Pamela Sturm's entry to that property.

At best, it's a -- suggests that maybe at some point we will utilize these searchers to assist us in the search. As it turned out, we know from Mr. Hillegas, that several days later he did assist in that capacity. But the pleadings don't tell us that such -- or suggest that such an agency existed at the time of entry.

There's no other evidence to suggest that Pamela Sturm, in the affidavit, was working at the behest, or for, law enforcement. There's
no evidence anywhere in the affidavit that suggests that such an agency relationship existed, or was established, prior to gaining entry on the morning of November 5th. So their pleading is deficient.

Secondly, with respect to the VIN number, they say that there was a lie regarding this whole concept of matching, primarily hinging its argument on whether the -- Pamela Sturm found all of the VIN characters upon her examination of the vehicle. Well, regardless of whether she did or she didn't, it is irrelevant.

Detective Remiker did have the opportunity to examine the vehicle, did have the opportunity to find all 17 characters. And that was hours before the warrant and affidavit were prepared and submitted to a judicial officer for review and signature.

Again, with respect to the pleadings, we'll come back on the technical argument and make this point. I think if you were to remove the discussion of the VIN number entirely from Paragraph 5, the affidavit prepared by Investigator Wiegert states probable cause, easily.

We know at the time of the affidavit, and the Court has the affidavit, I believe it's marked as Exhibit 15, if memory serves me. Yes, Exhibit 15.

We know that in Paragraph 2 of this particular case, that a missing person Complaint was filed with the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, by Karen Halbach. We know that her daughter had not been seen or heard from since Monday, October 31st, 2005, and that it was unusual for her not to have contact with family friends or work people. We know further, from that paragraph, that she was driving a 1999 Toyota RAV 4, dark blue in color.

We also know that on November 4th, we have Mr. Avery informing the investigators, I believe Investigator Remiker, that Teresa Halbach was in fact on his property. He did see her on October 31st, 2005, that she was there to take photographs of the vehicle he was selling.

We also know, taking out the concern regarding the obtaining of the VIN number, that Pamela Sturm found a Toyota RAV 4, on the property, on November 5th. That's less than five days, a few hours less than five days after she
was last seen on the property.
The interesting thing about the Toyota RAV 4, as she described it, the affidavit says it was dark blue in color. She finds a RAV 4. The RAV 4 that she finds, her attention is drawn to. It is not an unfair inference to draw that it has some similar appearance to the RAV 4 of Teresa Halbach's.

But what really makes this case rather interesting is the fact that, of all the vehicles there, we have a RAV 4 which is secreted by brush and other automobile parts, less than -- again, less than five days after she was last seen and known to be driving that vehicle. That in and off itself is probable cause to justify a search warrant, the issuance of a search warrant in this particular case.

Now, additionally, let's assume for the sake of argument that -- we don't have to assume, but we will for purposes of the procedural argument -- that Pamela Sturm was only able to read four of the characters, not 10. But let's say it was just four, let's just say it was the last 4, 3044.

What are the odds, what are the
probabilities, that it is, in fact, Teresa Halbach's vehicle, when you consider all those facts. Easily meets probable cause. At 10 digits, does that make it closer? Ten digits, we're at -- we're preponderance of the evidence.

All right. Now, to the merits of the argument, and to the testimony that was delivered. The testimony establishes, I think critical testimony was provided by Pamela Sturm and Ryan Hillegas. With respect to Pamela Sturm, she testified that she had no contact whatsoever with any member of law enforcement regarding the decision to participate in the volunteer search program and, more importantly, in the decision to go to the Avery property and look for Teresa Halbach's vehicle. As she indicated, and was confirmed by Ryan Hillegas, it was her idea.

No one told her anything. No one
suggested anything. In fact, she hadn't even been given any instructions by Mr. Hillegas as to what to do and how to do it. He gave her a very generalized map of the area. And she and her daughter, Nikole, went on their way and took the initiative and decided they would go there.

Because, at least she knew in her mind, from the media newscast, the last place Teresa Halbach was seen, that anyone knew at that time, was the Avery property. It was her decision to go there, without any association with law enforcement whatsoever. That was confirmed by Ryan Hillegas.

The entire volunteer search effort, especially in those early days, that being Thursday night, Friday when the posters were picked up, Friday afternoon when the posters and information were distributed, was entirely his workings, along with his friend, and Teresa Halbach's roommate, Scott Bloedorn. They were in charge of the volunteer efforts.

There's no testimony they took any organization, any direction, any control, any supervision, or any advice, for that matter, from law enforcement, other than, perhaps -- and the record is thin on this -- if you find something, call us, here are the phone numbers. Hardly evidence indicating, or establishing, the existence of an agency relationship, or a joint venture relationship.

In fact, as I recall the testimony of

Ryan Hillegas, it wasn't until later on Friday that they decided that he would have a meeting at the residence of Teresa Halbach and Scott Bloedorn's, the next morning, and perhaps do some searches.

And when questioned about the scope, or purpose, or focus of these searches, he indicated that they were searching the roads, the ditch lines, the general fields, in the area from Manitowoc to Mishicot, to the area where -- the apartment where Teresa Halbach lived.

Their assumption was the fact that she perhaps had some automobile accident. That was their focus. They weren't looking to search private premises or private property, per se, other than something that might be associated with an open field. That was the focus.

There was no law enforcement involvement in that. And as indicated, Pamela Sturm and her daughter, Nikole, show up a good hour after everyone else has been dispatched. Again, the decision to go there was entirely theirs.

The tape played by counsel is rather interesting, but there's a couple of ways to look at that. But more importantly, it supports the
argument made relative to the procedural point, and that is, at best, it signifies that, well, we're going to have the searchers, maybe we can use those searchers do something later.

We want to go back. We want to get a reinterview of Mr . Avery, want to get a reinterview of Mr . Zipperer, and we're going to ask for consent. We can get the searchers to help us with a search. Again, doesn't signify any agency existed, doesn't signify any joint venture existed at that time.

At best, it signals that perhaps one would occur in the future. It certainly doesn't suggest, and it doesn't even come close to suggesting, that there was an error, a lie, or an omission, relative to just who these searchers were and what they were up to.

Now, with respect to that, I would like to direct the Court to the case of State vs. Anderson, as an example of what would constitute an error, a lie, or omission. Anderson was a case that came out of Kenosha County regarding the execution of a search warrant for narcotics at a particular residence there.

In that case, the defense challenged the
search warrant on a Franks motion, alleging that there were two lies, or reckless disregards for the truth that occurred, in the presentation of the affidavit.

One was a statement by the undercover -or by the officer, the affiant, who said, Well, I have reason to believe that the informant we use here is reliable because we made two prior purchases with that individual and they demonstrated their reliability. Defense challenged that as a reckless statement, insufficient to justify credibility, reliance by the Court on that.

Secondly, they challenged the statements when the undercover officer said, Well, I saw the ve -- I saw the informant go to and from the residence of the defendant, return to and come from the residence of the defendant. It turns out that, actually, the investigator did lose sight of the informant for a moment or two, and never actually saw them enter the house and exit the house, but it was a matter of moments.

The Court likewise determined, under those type of facts, that those were not lies, they were not reckless disregards to the truth,
they were reasonable inferences drawn from the circumstances which were presented in the court.

And, again, under those circumstances, and taking by analogy what's occurred here, there is no unfair, unreasonable inference drawn from the contents of this affidavit. And if those statements, under those circumstances, were found to be supportive of the issuance of the warrant in that particular case, then certainly anything that occurred in the affidavit here, meet legal sufficiency.

The other thing which the Anderson case notes, and I would again point out, in footnote seven of Anderson --

THE COURT: What's the citation?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, 138, Wis. 2d, page 451, specifically, page 464. The Anderson cite is in my brief. Footnote seven, the Court noted that they were, quote, "We are unconvinced that a hearing was providently granted in that case."

The Anderson case is also significant for another reason, which was discounted by the defense, and so we take issue with that. And that is, the defense says that what information was contained in Investigator Wiegert's mind, in
other words, what information he had available to him at the time he applied for the warrant, which may or may not have found it's way into the affidavit, was irrelevant.

Well, quite frankly, nothing could be further from the truth. Because as Rakas vs. Illinois, as Franks v. Delaware, and as State vs. Anderson tell us -- specifically, I should say as Franks v. Delaware, not Rakas -- as Franks v. Delaware, and the Anderson case tell us, it says, Because the defendant must show either intent or reckless disregard, a Franks hearing, by necessity, focuses on the state of mind of the affiant.

So what Investigator Wiegert knew and when he knew it, was important. That was the basis for the testimony. He knew that they had found a Toyota RAV 4. He knew from the telephone conversations that it was a late model. In fact, the Court can consult Exhibit 16 regarding that.

As a matter of fact, Exhibit No. 6 -I'm sorry -- Exhibit 16 was the recording, so either one, Exhibit 16, but Exhibit 17 is the written transcription. Looking at page 62 question by Detective Wiegert:

Question: Does it look like a newer one?

Caller: Yeah, it's the '99 to 2000.
Wiegert: Is there any --
Caller: It's more of a bluish-green, though, that's why we don't want to put, you know --

Question: Is there any license plates on it?

Caller: No plates on it, but it's a little covered up. It's weird, it's covered up.

There's also much discussion as to whether it was dark blue, blue, bluish-green. And the Court can consult the transcript on the tape, but she says it's more blue than green.

During the course of that trip from Calumet County, to Manitowoc County, to the property itself, Investigator Wiegert knew that there was a Le Mieux sticker, dealer sticker, on Teresa's vehicle, and then confirmed with Ms Sturm that the vehicle she found, likewise, had a sticker. They knew some of the VIN numbers, upon arrival they got the rest of the VIN numbers.

All of that information goes to the state of mind. So when the officer uses the word
matching, that's what's in his mind. And matching, by the way, doesn't have to be a hyper technical term, as counsel would like to suggest it is.

And perhaps in purposes of DNA analysis, matching means hyper technical, dot your eyes, cross your t's, perfect fit. But in every day parlance, matching means matching. It looks like it, it is, it's similar to, etcetera.

Again, and that becomes relevant, because the whole purpose of the Fourth Amendment search and seizure law, the whole determination of probable cause is that it -- it's not a hyper technical determination. It's based on reason. It's based on common sense. It's based on inferences. It's based on reasonable possibilities and probabilities that the item looked for will be found in the place searched.

Now, also did want to respond to some concerns, because yes, first and foremost, Pamela Sturm did have consent. I don't think that's questioned. She had consent to enter the property. She told us so. And Mr. Earl Avery, likewise, confirmed that he allowed her in.

As a matter of fact, his words when
questioned about that, words to the effect: Well, he was concerned. He wanted to help out. He wanted to do what he could. And when I asked, Well, if it was your sister, you would want somebody to be willing to help out and let you take a look around, and I believe his answer was yes. So there's no question that Pamela Sturm rightfully had a way to get on there.

Again, it is a commercial property. Again, this occurred in the morning, when the property, the salvage yard where the vehicle was located, was in business. It was during business hours, 8 to noon. They were there at 11.

So it's a property held open to the public. There were other members of the public milling about, through that yard. In fact, the phone call, Exhibit 16 and 17, which the Court is, again, free to peruse, indicates there was observations of other individuals floating around at the time the vehicle was found.

In fact, Ms Sturm was somewhat
concerned, because she didn't know who they were, or what they were up to. And she had a pretty good feeling that she had found the vehicle. Otherwise, $I$ don't think she would have been all
that concerned.
But not knowing who is there, what's going on, $I$ think the fact of her heightened sensitivity, is further evidence. Also, a fact in the mind of Investigator Wiegert and Sheriff Pagel, that there was something to the finding of that vehicle, that it was the vehicle everyone was looking for.

Next, the defense would have us to believe that, there is no basis for law enforcement to even come in there. Well, excuse me, but you have a situation where you have the vehicle of the missing person, found in the corner of a business piece of property. Law enforcement had every right to go in there and assist in, one, securing the vehicle, you have exigent circumstances here.

It's interesting to note that, as was pointed out in the testimony, the vehicle is reasonably close to the car crusher. The vehicle is also secreted from view. It is a vehicle, as Mr. Avery told us, he didn't even know it was there two or three days earlier.

So all of these factors come into the equation as to the reasonableness -- and that's
the linchpin of Fourth Amendment analysis, the reasonableness of law enforcement behavior upon arrival at the scene. They went there. They secured the vehicle. Took care of the safety of Pamela Sturm and her daughter, Nikole.

Now, even if the defense wanted to make the argument, I saw -- I heard inklings of it, that there were somehow some kind of trespass here, by law enforcement. Well, the reality is, that doesn't matter. We don't believe there was.

But even if the Court were somewhat concerned, I would ask the Court to direct, and perhaps consider, the case of United States vs. Oliver, Supreme Court case at 466 U.S. 170. Oliver is not particularly noteworthy for the Court's analysis, except with respect to one point. And -- And that deals with the law of trespass and it's possible application in Fourth Amendment determination.

The law of trespass, this is page 183. Law of trespass, however, forbids intrusions upon land that the Fourth Amendment would not prescribe. For trespass law extends to instances where the exercise of the right to exclude vindicates no legitimate privacy interest.

And then they go on to say -- there is a footnote, which I will get to in a minute -- they go on to say, less in the case of open fields, the general rights of property protected by common law trespass, have little or no relevance to the applicability of the Fourth Amendment. Well, by analogy, we're in a salvage yard here, and whose expectation of privacy are we concerned with, Earl and Charles Avery, or is it Steven Avery.

With respect to trespass, the Court went on in the footnote, the law of trespass recognizes the interest, and possession, and control of one's property, and for that reason, permits exclusion of unwanted intruders. But it does not follow the right to exclude conferred by trespass law, embodies a privacy interest also protected by the Fourth Amendment.

To the contrary, the common law of trespass furthers a range of interest that have nothing to do with privacy and that would not be served by applying the strictures of trespass law to public officers. And the footnote goes on.

In examining the totality of the circumstances here, taking all of the evidence
that the Court has taken in, over the course of the last day and a half, there is no basis, whatsoever, under the Fourth Amendment law, to suppress any of the evidence. One, there is no standing, by Mr. Avery, to challenge any of the searches, other than the search of his trailer and his residence, although he attempts to do so. And he attempts to do so on the basis of a Franks challenge.

Again, there was no basis to hold a hearing and, clearly, based on the testimony which was established by all of the witnesses here, there was certainly probable cause to justify the search warrant and conduct the search that law enforcement conducted. There is no material omission, or material lie, affecting the establishment of probable cause in this particular case. As a result, this Court is duty bound to deny the request and we ask the Court to do so. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting, brief rebuttal?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, Judge, I will try to be brief because $I$ know it's getting late here. The -- A couple things are not -- a lot of things are not clear about what position the State is
really taking here. It seems to say that, because Mr. Avery has no personal privacy interest in, for instance, the remains of Teresa, he can't have any standing.

That's totally irrelevant. The Court's have made it clear that would also be true as to stolen property, as in Hicks. One has no expectation, or no personal interest in that stolen property, you shouldn't even have it in your house, but the Court said that's not the issue. Ownership is really irrelevant when it comes to standing.

So -- And that applies also to -- he said it a couple times, I think he also mentioned it when he was talking about the vehicle, he didn't drive it, he didn't own it, etcetera, etcetera. Again, that doesn't matter. The issue is, is there an expectation of privacy. And, frankly, if -- One factor that he ignored is, in determining whether someone has an expectation of privacy, if the State is going to argue that there was some effort to conceal it, that would seem to even more indicate that there was an expectation of privacy, if it was not out in the open. being, and the burn pit somehow being, like abandoned, and somehow no expectation of privacy, absolutely, I totally disagree with. First of all, it's not like garbage, even garbage you have an expectation of privacy in until -- as long as it's by your curtilage, until it is picked up, as people often retrieve things from garbage.

This is entirely different. When you burn, a burn barrel, expectation is it's not being picked up. It's not ever going to go to someone else. The contents of the burn barrel are, it's going to be entirely burned up. That's the point of it.

Moreover, the location of it, as we have seen numerous times through these descriptions, is it's probably a good half a mile, you have to get off the highway and drive a half a mile down, to the driveway that goes over to Mr. Avery, and then back over to his property, going all the way around this big parcel of --

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object. I don't believe there's any evidence that's a half mile ride from one point to the other. I don't recall any evidence of that being introduced. exactly a half mile, it's clearly a long way off the highway. Would Mr. -- Suppose this analogy, would Mr. Fallon say, that if you have a clothes line hanging over the area where that burn pit is, with your clothes on it, that any individual from the public, or law enforcement, could drive down highway 147, turn right on Avery Road, and then drive around the corner, take a left, go all the way over to the Steven Avery residence, park, get out, walk around to the back of it, and start going through your clothes? Of course not. The location of that is obviously not open to the public and there's clearly an expectation of privacy.

By the same token, would he expect that somebody would be allowed to drive off of Highway 147, down the road, turn left, go all the way down the driveway and start sticking their nose in the burn barrel? No, I don't think so. I think the location clearly indicates an expectation of privacy. And it's not like garbage, because there's no expectation it's going to be picked up by anybody.

The reference to commercial business, as I want to mention for just one second, O'Connor
vs. Ortega, I think, did deal with a private office and it was in a hospital, I think. But the comparison of this, what $I$ cited it for is to point out that even -- there's even an expectation of privacy in a commercial setting, not just a private setting.

But, this is not strictly what you would classify as an employment, or employee, employer type of case, because this is a family run business. It's not like Mr. Avery is just an employee of GE or something, who has his own private office and expectation in there, but everything else in the big plant is not. This is different. This is a small, family run business where he is not just an employee, he's a member of the family working there and living there.

And there is one other case that I would cite to the Court on that point, and that's State vs. Schwegler, S-c-h-w-e-g-l-e-r, 170 Wis. 2d, 487, 1992 case, which was a horse barn, again, it was a commercial business. But where the horse barn, and there was an inspection done, that the Court ultimately found, the owner of that business, even though it was a commercial business, had an expectation of privacy in the
barn and the warrantless inspection was unlawful.
One last point on the question of proximity and, used to be called curtilage, and that sort of thing. Again, like in all Fourth Amendment law, it is very fact intensive, the Courts recognize the difference between a very large property and a small one.

In State vs. Martwick, M-a-r-t-w-i-c-k, that's 231, Wis. 2d, 801, I don't have the year, I don't think, but it's at page 819. The Court notes, On a smaller property, such as Martwick's property, the curtilage may very well extend for less distance than on a larger property, where the owner has more room to conduct his or her, quote, "intimate activities of life", citing a U.S. Supreme Court case.

And they also -- In this case, they found that it wasn't, but they also note in State vs. O'Brien, which is at 223 Wis. 2d, 303, at page 316, a 1999 Wisconsin Supreme Court case, the Supreme Court found that a truck parked approximately 200 feet from a farmhouse was nonetheless within the curtilage. So, when one is talking about a large, open, farm type, or parcel like we have here, the whole concept of
curtilage is different than if you are talking about a little city house.

Now, as to the question of probable cause, and whether the State argues that even if you take -- you strike certain parts from the Paragraph 5 of the affidavit, there's still sufficient probable cause. And one of the points that he made is, he argues, Well, the rest of the affidavit says, she's been missing since October 31st; they spoke to Mr. Avery, he conceded that he did see her on October 31st; and that, then, Sturm, the volunteer searcher, citizen searcher, found a Toyota RAV 4 on the property, and as if that alone, I think he says, would be probable cause.

But -- And maybe in some settings it would be, if this was a farm, with no other vehicles, and you happen to have -- or maybe just one or two vehicles, and you happen to find a Toyota RAV 4. Well, perhaps that is probable cause, probably would be.

This is a auto junkyard. There's 4,000 cars on there. So the mere existence of a Toyota RAV 4 would not be unusual, and would not be so significant that, in and of itself, absent any
other descriptions that match, that there would be probable cause.

Now, I would concede, so there is no -we don't waste anymore time on this, that in our pleadings, we believe the evidence would indicate that there was an agency type relationship between these searchers, these citizens, and the police, and that they were conducting -- using them as an end around. And I will concede that the way the evidence came out on this record, we haven't established that.

Patricia (sic) Sturm and Ryan Hillegas, whether truthful or not, clearly the record from them is that they did not have any contact with law enforcement. They weren't organized, encouraged, or whatever.

My point in playing that segment of -or that brief phone conversation today, of Investigator Wiegert, was not to try and show that his reference to volunteers proves that he was using them for that, but it goes to his credibility on the other matters that he's testified to, because he swore under oath that he did not say anything to Remiker on the phone about using, or intending to use volunteers, to
search the Avery property.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object, that's a mischaracterization of his testimony.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Obviously it's --
THE COURT: His testimony will speak for itself, I will take a look at the transcript.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. So, yes, but conceding that that -- that one part of Paragraph 5 we have not established our burden on, says nothing about the rest of it, though. Granted, okay, so they are volunteer searchers, according to this record. But, Wiegert also says that the volunteer searcher said they had a matching -- a vehicle matching the description, and we know that that's not true.

The reference in Franks and Anderson that the State makes, to the state of mind of the affiant being important, he totally misunderstands, or he's taking it out of context. What the Court is talking about is, sure, the state of mind of the affiant is important, because it's important as to the intent or recklessness element, of the test.

It's not relevant what the affiant has in his mind that he doesn't present to the Court.

Otherwise, why would we have search warrants in the first place, if all that it needed was that the officer, in his own mind, is convinced that he's got enough evidence, but he feels like he doesn't have to even tell the Court.

That's preposterous. That's turning on its end, the whole process of requiring an independent evaluation by a magistrate, not allowing officers themselves to accumulate facts or beliefs and come to some conclusion on their own. Those facts and beliefs need to be presented to the Court. It's not enough that he, in his own mind, thought, oh, well, this is enough for a match. He should tell the Court what it is that makes him think that. And he didn't do that.

Just two other quick points. One, yes, this is a property open for business, and yes, there are other people wandering around there at the time. But all of them had permission. It's clear, that the custom and practice was that people don't go into that salvage yard, into the pit, and start looking at cars, without -- that is customers -- without permission from the owners first. And that's what Patricia (sic)

Sturm did, that's not what Detective Remiker did.
It's not a question of trying to apply trespass law, specifically, which is the Oliver case. It's a question of, under the Fourth Amendment, whether Detective Remiker had a lawful purpose in being where he was and observing what he saw.

Even if there is some exigent circumstances to allow him to come down to the property and to, quote, "secure the vehicle", he did much more than that. And that's the point, he didn't just come down here, secure the vehicle, talk to the Sturms, then go get a warrant, which is what he should have done.

He did more. He searched the vehicle, because he went up to it with a flashlight and he looked in and he used illumination to allow him to see other evidence related to the car, particularly the VIN. That's what happened.

It's analogous to what happened in
Hicks, where they recorded the serial numbers. They moved them, the speakers or stereo components, recorded the serial numbers. And that was considered a search that was unlawful. So, for all those reasons, I think the

Court should find that we have met our burden, under Franks, and that the motion to suppress should be granted. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Given your arguments, and my need to look at the transcript, I'm not sure $I$ will have a decision for you on this issue on the 22 nd, but we certainly will have some. And I will see you then. Is there anything else from either party?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: If not, we're adjourned for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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digits [2] 49/4 49/4
direct [2] 52/19 60/12
direction [1] 50/17
disagree [2] 4/7 64/4
discarded [1] 38/5
discounted [1] 54/22
discussed [1] 7/7
discussion [10] 7/22 8/7 8/15 11/4
12/5 20/1 20/2 45/6 46/22 56/12
discussions[3] 3/5 8/10 11/20
dismantling [1] 30/4
dispatched [1] 51/21
dispute [1] 22/24
disregard [10] 15/16 15/22 16/7
19/18 22/5 33/22 43/11 43/21
44/14 55/12
disregards [2] 53/2 53/25
distance [1] 67/13
distributed [1] 50/12
ditch [1] 51/8
DNA [1] 57/5
do [29] $5 / 8$ 6/12 8/14 8/20 10/9
13/18 14/22 17/5 20/19 24/20
28/2 31/18 31/22 35/4 38/19
38/22 38/22 45/3 49/22 49/22
51/4 52/4 58/3 61/21 62/7 62/8 62/20 71/16 74/6
doable [2] 10/16 10/19
documentation [2] 43/5 43/24
does [18] 11/8 11/8 15/23 16/2
21/16 23/7 26/14 26/17 27/1 27/7
28/14 31/2 35/1 39/8 39/9 49/4 56/1 61/16
doesn't [14] 5/7 28/17 42/8 45/10 $45 / 1152 / 952 / 1052 / 1352 / 14$ 57/2 60/10 63/17 70/25 71/5
dominion [2] 30/14 30/17
don't [25] 10/18 11/6 14/15 24/8
28/24 33/9 33/13 33/17 37/16
$37 / 1740 / 2544 / 145 / 2148 / 19$
56/6 57/21 58/25 60/10 64/23
64/24 65/19 67/9 67/10 69/4
71/22
done [2] 66/22 72/14
dot [1] 57/6
down [9] $15 / 531 / 1138 / 364 / 18$
65/7 65/1765/18 72/9 72/12
draft [1] 6/5
draw [1] 48/6
drawn [3] 48/5 54/1 54/5
drive [8] 24/19 37/21 38/3 63/16
64/1865/7 65/8 65/16
driveway [2] 64/19 65/18
driving [3] 30/4 47/13 48/14
due [2] 4/3 10/21
during [6] 7/16 34/9 34/13 37/24
56/16 58/12
duty [1] $62 / 18$
dwelling [1] 41/15

## E

each [1] 5/23
Earl [9] 23/23 24/3 24/15 28/19
30/2 30/3 31/21 57/23 61/9
Earl's [1] 24/24
earlier [1] 59/23
early [1] 50/9
easier [1] 20/19
easily [2] 46/25 49/3
east [3] 25/7 25/7 25/9
eastern [1] 31/11
easy [1] 20/25
edge [5] 25/7 25/9 25/10 31/11 31/11
effect [1] 58/1
effective [1] 9/22
effort [4] 34/10 44/6 50/8 63/22
efforts [1] 50/15
either [8] 3/23 5/12 6/19 16/6
39/13 55/11 55/23 73/9
elect [2] 7/12 8/22
element [1] 70/23
else [7] 10/18 25/20 39/3 51/21
64/12 66/13 73/8
else's [1] 40/16
elsewhere [1] 42/11
embodies [1] 61/17
Emily [1] 4/9
employee [4] 44/25 66/8 66/11
66/15
employees [1] 29/3
employer [1] 66/8
employment [2] 40/6 66/8
encouraged [1] 69/16
end [4] 9/20 10/8 69/9 71/7
enforcement [14] 7/20 45/14 45/25
49/13 50/6 50/19 51/1859/11
59/15 60/2 60/9 62/15 65/7 69/15
engage [1] 17/11
engaged [1] 8/9
enough [5] 39/5 42/23 71/4 71/12 71/14
enter [3] 31/1753/21 57/22
entered [1] 7/5
enterprise [1] 41/9
entire [4] 19/8 32/16 32/17 50/8
entirely [6] 40/9 46/22 50/12
51/22 64/9 64/13
entry [3] 45/15 45/22 46/4
envelope [1] 7/21
equally [1] 37/1
equation [1] 59/25
equipment [1] 27/15
error [2] 52/15 52/21
especially [1] 50/9
essential [1] 25/16
essentially [2] 10/7 17/10
establish [5] 15/18 28/19 35/13
44/13 45/11
established [9] 23/12 33/19 33/21
33/24 41/23 46/3 62/12 69/11
70/9
establishes [1] 49/8

| E | extend [1] 67/12 | $1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| establishing [2] 27/2 50/22 | extensive [2] 20/1 20/1 | forth [2] 20/6 30/5 |
| establishment [1] 62/17 | eyes [1] 57/6 | found [26] 20/12 20/21 25/22 |
| etcetera [3] 57/9 63/16 63/17 | F | 27/13 27/15 28/17 30/20 32/22 |
| even [23] 7/7 27/10 27/ | fact[28] 5/10 16/22 17/1 18/14 | $36 / 1136 / 1237 / 637 / 1446 / 9$ $47 / 2354 / 755 / 355 / 1856 / 21$ |
| 29/3 29/8 31/19 32/24 49/20 | 19/5 19/10 22/3 24/3 27/9 30/6 | $57 / 18$ 58/20 58/24 59/13 66/23 |
| 52/14 59/11 59/22 60/6 60/11 | 35/4 36/4 37/18 40/7 47/18 48/10 | 67/1867/21 68/13 |
| 63/9 63/23 64/5 66/4 66/4 66/24 | 49/1 49/20 50/25 51/12 55/19 | four [2] 48/22 48/23 |
| 68/4 71/5 72/8 | 55/21 57/25 58/16 58/21 59/3 | Fourth [17] 15/18 17/11 18/20 |
| event [2] 4/24 34/22 | 59/4 67/5 | 26/12 26/13 26/16 34/22 35/5 |
| ever [2] 24/4 64/11 | factor [6] 26/19 30/7 30/8 30/23 | 57/11 60/1 60/18 60/22 61/6 |
| every [3] 30/12 57/7 59/15 | 31/23 63/19 | 61/18 62/3 67/4 72/4 |
| everyone [2] 51/21 59/7 | factors [4] 28/15 29/14 40/23 | frankly [6] 22/8 35/8 39/5 42/21 |
| everything [2] 6/25 66/13 | 59/24 | 55/5 63/19 |
| evidence [32] 8/6 27/3 32/22 33/20 | facts [12] 19/24 21/10 21/13 25/3 | Franks [15] 3/3 10/22 11/24 12/1 |
| 34/4 34/12 35/14 35/24 36/6 36/8 | 34/8 37/19 40/2 41/2 49/3 53/24 | 15/12 42/25 43/15 53/1 55/7 55/9 |
| 37/9 37/1 $838 / 17$ 38/19 38/23 | 71/9 71/11 | 55/9 55/12 62/8 70/16 73/2 |
| 39/1 39/11 41/24 42/17 45/23 | fails [1] 44/12 | free [1] 58/18 |
| 46/1 49/5 50/22 59/4 61/25 62/4 | fairly [2] 9/9 28/14 | fresh [1] 19/24 |
| 64/23 64/25 69/5 69/10 71/4 | FALLON [5] 1/14 2/4 21/9 34/6 | Friday [3] 50/10 50/11 51/1 |
| 72/18 | 65/4 | friend [1] 50/13 |
| evidentiary [1] 43/7 | false [6] 15/15 $15 / 21$ 19/5 43/9 | friends [1] 47/12 |
| evidently [1] 19/13 | 44/1 44/13 | front [4] 24/19 31/7 33/6 33/6 |
| evincing [1] 42/19 | falsehood [1] 43/20 | fuckin' [1] 13/10 |
| exactly [1] 65/2 | falsehoods [2] 32/7 33/25 | full [5] 5/4 5/11 20/9 22/1 30/20 |
| examination [2] 21/8 46/10 | family [10] 18/22 30/1 30/6 30/21 | fully [1] 7/7 |
| examine [1] 46/14 | 30/22 31/12 47/11 66/9 66/14 | further [4] 39/14 47/12 55/6 59/4 |
| examining [1] 61/24 | 66/16 | furthers [1] 61/20 |
| example [1] 52/20 | far [4] 4/13 33/15 37/22 | future [2] 45/10 52/13 |
| except [2] 42/9 60/16 | farm [2] 67/24 68/17 | G |
| exclude [4] 29/9 30/15 60/24 | feeling [1] 58/24 | gaining [1] 46/3 |
| 61/16 | feels [1] 71/4 | garage [10] 11/12 11/17 25/19 |
| exclusion [1] 61/15 | feet [3] 25/9 25/10 67/22 | 25/24 29/23 33/8 33/11 36/16 |
| exclusively [1] 11/21 | fence [5] 25/6 36/3 36/6 36/7 | 38/1842/10 |
| excuse [1] 59/11 | 36/21 | garbage [5] 29/8 64/5 64/5 64/8 |
| execution [1] 52/23 | fences [1] 31/ | 65/22 |
| executions [2] 9/22 10/21 | few [3] 9/5 35/4 | gave [3] 23/23 24/4 49/2 |
| exercise [1] 60/24 | field [1] 51/17 | GE [1] 66/11 |
| exhibit [15] 13/5 15/8 $15 / 8$ 24/10 | fields [2] 51/9 61/3 | general [4] 34/11 40/13 51/9 61/4 |
| 36/14 37/7 41/6 47/3 47/4 55/20 | file [1] 26/3 | generalized [1] 49/23 |
| 55/21 55/22 55/23 55/23 58/17 | filed [6] 3/7 6/20 11/23 16/5 25/25 | generally [1] 24/17 |
| Exhibit 16 [2] 55/22 58/17 | 47/7 | generating [1] 6/24 |
| exhibited [1] 35/17 | filing [1] 6/23 | geographical [2] 36/22 39/4 |
| exhibits [1] 33/5 | filmed [1] 5/20 | get [21] 9/11 14/21 18/18 18/18 |
| exigent [2] 59/17 72/8 | finally [2] 31/13 32/1 | 18/19 20/17 20/25 22/16 29/1 |
| exist [2] 16/2 45/10 | find [4] 46/15 50/20 68/19 73/1 | 31/20 32/25 33/14 36/16 52/5 |
| existed [4] 45/22 46/3 52/10 52/11 | finding [2] 43/13 59/6 | 52/6 52/8 58/8 61/2 64/18 65/10 |
| existence [4] 35/7 45/11 50/23 | finds [3] $32 / 548 / 4$ 48/5 | 72/13 |
| 68/23 | fine [1] 14/16 | getting [1] 62/23 |
| exists [1] 15/25 | first [23] 3/4 9/5 11/1 11/24 12/22 |  |
| exit [1] 53/21 | 16/17 22/6 23/11 26/10 29/20 | given [3] 20/22 49/21 73/4 |
| expect [1] 65/15 | 32/4 32/5 34/10 34/25 36/24 38/9 | gives [1] 29/14 |
| expectation [66] 23/9 24/12 25/4 | 43/3 43/7 43/8 57/20 64/4 71/2 |  |
| 26/15 27/4 27/14 28/7 28/25 29/4 | 71/25 | 23/4 24/22 24/23 25/1 31/21 |
| 29/7 29/11 29/11 31/3 31/24 | fit [1] 57/7 | 34/12 49/16 49/25 50/5 51/22 |
| 33/19 34/17 34/19 35/6 35/10 | five [3] 47/24 47/25 48/13 | 52/5 53/16 59/15 61/1 61/3 64/11 |
| 35/12 35/18 35/21 35/23 35/25 | flashlight [3] 23/15 28/4 72/16 | 65/9 65/17 71/22 72/13 |
| 36/5 36/19 36/25 37/5 37/10 | fliers [1] 16/25 | goes [6] 4/13 36/7 56/24 61/23 |
| 37/20 38/2 38/8 38/10 38/11 | floating [1] 58/19 | 64/19 69/21 |
| 38/15 38/20 38/24 39/7 39/9 | fluid [1] 31/15 | going [22] 6/2 6/11 6/12 7/16 7/17 |
| 39/12 39/19 39/23 39/25 40/15 | focus [3] 51/7 51/14 51/17 | 10/18 14/9 28/3 33/9 43/1 45/7 |
| 40/22 41/12 41/14 41/22 41/25 | focuses [1] 55/13 | 52/3 52/7 59/3 63/21 64/11 64/13 |
| 42/12 42/17 42/20 61/8 63/8 | follow [1] 61/16 | 64/20 64/22 65/11 65/23 70/2 |
| 63/18 63/20 63/24 64/3 64/6 | following [2] 3/16 16/25 | good [6] 9/24 23/25 28/14 51/20 |
| 64/10 65/14 65/21 65/22 66/5 | footage [2] 5/3 5/11 | 58/24 64/17 |
| 66/12 66/25 | footnote [5] 54/13 54/18 61/2 | got [7] 13/14 13/17 18/1 $19 / 18$ |
| expectation of [1] 29/11 | 61/12 61/23 | 22/10 56/23 71/4 |
| expectations [2] 18/21 40/19 | forbids [1] 60/21 | gotten [1] 23/18 |
| expects [1] 9/10 | foregoing [2] 74/7 74/7 | grand [1] 44/25 |
| explained [3] 8/1 8/1 8/5 | foremost [3] 34/25 43/4 57/20 | granted [3] 54/20 70/10 73/3 |



|  | 22/24 23/22 27/22 32/18 32/19 | $\text { make [12] 5/22 } 17 / 1022 / 1722 / 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iota [1] 39/2 | law [32] 1/17 1/19 7/19 28/6 39/15 | 46/21 49/4 60/6 |
| irrelevant [5] 28/22 46/12 55/4 | 42/22 45/14 45/25 49/13 50/5 | makes [6] 16/20 26/12 30/6 48/9 |
| 63/5 63/11 | 50/1951/18 57/12 59/10 59/14 | 70/17 71/15 |
| is [226] | 60/2 60/9 60/17 60/20 60/21 | making [2] 4/23 17/18 |
| isolated [1] 36/22 | 60/23 61/5 61/12 61/17 61/19 | man [2] 14/8 38/10 |
| issuance [2] 48/16 54/8 | 61/22 62/3 62/15 65/7 67/5 69/15 | MANITOWOC [8] 1/1 7/15 17/15 |
| issue [16] 3/15 6/15 6/16 9/6 9/22 | 72/3 | 18/8 45/8 51/10 56/1774/2 |
| 10/1 10/6 10/21 10/24 11/6 12/23 | lawful [2] 23/6 72/5 | manner [1] 44/17 |
| 18/6 54/23 63/11 63/17 73/7 | lawfully [3] 22/18 23/6 32/12 | many [1] 20/2 |
| issues [3] 6/17 8/3 32/19 | lawyer's [1] 8/4 | $\operatorname{map}[1] ~ 49 / 23$ |
| it [136] | lawyers [1] 8/12 | Marinette [1] 9/7 |
| it's [89] | Le [2] $21 / 1256 / 19$ | marked [2] 24/16 47/3 |
| item [2] 27/18 57/17 | least [3] 8/3 32/17 50/1 | Martwick [1] 67/8 |
| items[6] 34/18 34/20 35/19 38/14 | left [4] 22/3 34/2 65/9 65/17 | Martwick's [1] 67/11 |
| 39/19 39/25 | legal [4] 5/25 26/8 45/12 54/10 | match [5] 20/24 21/24 22/1 69/1 |
| its [4] 5/24 43/4 46/9 71/7 | legitimate [2] 33/17 60/25 | 71/14 |
| itself [5] 31/2 48/15 56/18 68/25 | legitimately [2] 30/9 30/13 | matched [5] 16/21 20/13 20/15 |
| 70/6 | lengthy [1] 12/22 | 21/1121/21 |
| J | less [9] 22/2 30/7 41/13 47/24 | matches [2] 20/21 21/6 |
|  | 25 48/12 48/13 61/3 67/13 | matching [10] 16/15 17/3 46/8 |
| jail [5] 4/10 5/20 7/16 7/21 12/13 | let [4] 11/24 23/9 32/2 58/5 | 57/1 57/2 57/6 57/8 57/8 70/13 |
| Jennifer [1] 5/19 | let's [7] 34/10 39/14 40/4 44/19 | 70/14 |
| JEROME [1] 1/18 | 48/18 48/22 48/23 | material [3] 33/21 62/16 62/16 |
| job [1] 28/15 | letter [1] 4/22 | materials [1] 5/8 |
| joint [3] 45/13 50/23 52/10 | license [2] 36/12 56/8 | Matesic [6] 3/25 4/9 4/15 4/21 5/6 |
| Judge [7] 1/10 9/16 11/2 11/22 | lie [5] 44/5 46/7 52/15 52/21 62/16 | 5/16 |
| 15/2 34/7 62/22 | lies [2] 53/2 53/24 | matter [13] 10/22 19/22 21/1 40/7 |
| judgment [2] 8/4 8/5 | Lieutenant [1] 25/5 | 43/16 50/18 53/22 55/21 57/25 |
| judicial [1] 46/17 | life [1] 67/15 | 60/10 63/17 74/7 74/13 |
| junks [1] 30/5 | light [1] 6/1 | matters [2] 4/8 69/22 |
| junkyard [6] 13/25 17/23 18/4 | like [18] 9/25 10/5 11/7 12/10 | may [8] 6/25 37/11 41/10 41/12 |
| 18/14 25/2 68/22 | 15/5 21/1 45/3 52/18 56/1 57/3 | 43/21 55/3 55/3 67/12 |
| just [27] 4/2 5/9 7/5 8/15 16/8 | 57/8 64/2 64/5 65/21 66/10 67/4 | maybe [5] 11/5 45/16 52/3 68/16 |
| 18/1 19/16 19/16 21/22 24/6 25/1 | 67/25 71/4 | 68/18 |
| 27/2 27/2 32/2 33/3 40/20 42/1 | likely [2] 35/14 35/16 | me [14] 3/20 11/17 11/24 14/20 |
| 48/23 48/23 52/16 65/25 66/6 | likewise [3] 53/23 56/21 57/24 | 23/9 32/2 33/3 39/17 40/5 41/17 |
| 66/10 66/15 68/18 71/17 72/12 | limited [2] 9/9 42/9 | 47/3 59/12 74/8 74/9 |
| justify [5] 36/23 43/6 48/15 53/12 | linchpin [1] 60/1 | mean [1] 19/4 |
| 62/14 | line [4] 36/7 36/21 36/21 65/4 | means [2] 57/6 57/8 |
| iustifying [1] 37/19 | lines [3] 25/6 36/3 51/9 | media [2] 3/6 50/2 |
| K | list [1] 29/14 | meet [4] 14/3 14/21 45/7 54/ |
|  | listened [1] 12/14 | meeting [5] 7/15 7/17 7/19 45/7 |
|  | listening [2] 15/6 15/8 | 51/2 |
| KENNETH [1] 1/12 | lists [2] 22/12 29/14 | meets [1] 49/3 |
| Kenosha [1] 52/22 | little [11] 4/7 4/21 5/14 11/6 11/25 | member [3] 30/21 49/13 66/15 |
| key [1] 44/13 | 14/7 15/4 29/1 56/11 61/5 68/2 | members [1] 58/15 |
| kind [3] 19/17 45/11 60/8 | lived [4] 30/1 30/10 30/18 51/11 | memo [1] 26/1 |
| knew [10] 21/11 21/14 50/1 50/3 | lives [1] 31/12 | memory [4] 3/20 40/4 41/17 47/3 |
| 55/15 55/16 55/1755/18 56/18 | living [1] 66/16 | mention [1] 65/25 |
| 56/22 | located [7] 16/15 25/23 36/14 | mentioned [1] 63/14 |
| know [19] 5/7 11/6 17/5 18/7 | 38/18 38/21 42/11 58/12 | mere [2] 42/21 68/23 |
| 31/17 33/9 37/22 45/19 47/1 47/5 | location [9] 25/21 36/10 37/5 38/1 | merits[5] 3/12 4/2 5/25 43/3 49/6 |
| 47/8 47/12 47/15 47/21 56/7 | 39/4 42/21 64/15 65/12 65/20 | met [2] 29/17 73/1 |
| 58/22 59/22 62/23 70/14 | long [4] 7/18 13/22 64/6 65/2 | midway [1] 4/17 |
| knowing [1] 59/2 | look[11] 20/4 32/9 38/4 40/24 | Mieux [2] 21/12 56/19 |
| knowingly [1] 43/10 | 43/23 49/16 51/24 56/1 58/6 70/6 | might [8] 20/8 20/8 21/21 23/8 |
| knowledge [1] 74/14 | 73/5 | 36/13 45/3 45/4 51/16 |
| known [1] 48/14 | looked [4] 27/21 41/8 57/18 72/17 | mile [4] 64/17 64/18 64/24 65/2 |
| Kolbusz[2] 3/19 5/19 | looking[4] 51/14 55/24 59/8 71/23 | milling [1] 58/16 |
| KRATZ [1] 1/12 | looks [2] 15/24 57/8 | mind [16] 14/15 19/25 20/16 20/16 |
| L | lose [1] 53/19 | 21/14 50/1 54/25 55/13 56/25 |
|  | lot [1] 62/24 | 57/1 59/5 70/17 70/21 70/25 71/3 |
| land [2] 31/12 60/22 <br> large [4] 41/6 42/5 67/7 67/24 | M | 71/13 |
| larger [1] 67/13 | M-a-r-t-w-i-c-k [1] 67/8 | minute [5] 5/14 5/15 29/2 36/17 |
| last [12] 6/22 7/10 9/5 10/22 34/13 | machine [1] 74/10 | 61/2 |
| 41/8 48/1 48/13 48/24 50/2 62/2 | made [14] 8/20 9/2 9/7 16/11 | minutes [3] 7/18 24/1 24/1 |
| 67/2 | 16/19 17/14 24/5 33/16 44/1 | mischaracterization [1] 70/3 |
| late [2] 55/19 62/23 | $44 / 1452 / 153 / 863 / 668 / 8$ | Mishicot [1] 51/10 |
| lateness [1] 6/10 | magistrate [1] $71 / 8$ | mislead [2] 20/19 44/5 |
| later[13] 4/14 5/5 5/9 14/13 19/23 | maintain [1] 7/25 | missing [3] 47/6 59/13 68/9 |

misspelled [1] 28/9
misstated [1] 18/23
misstatements [1] 32/7
mistake [1] 19/16
misunderstands [1] 70/19
model [3] 21/11 21/1755/19
moment [1] 53/20
moments [1] 53/22
Monday [1] 47/10
month [1] 10/8
more [18] 7/7 9/24 12/22 12/23
29/1 35/14 37/1 37/8 39/6 42/16
49/15 51/25 56/5 56/15 63/23
67/14 72/11 72/15
Moreover [1] 64/15
morning [6] 6/14 12/4 19/21 46/4 51/458/10
most [4] 6/18 19/17 19/23 43/18
motion [19] $1 / 43 / 3$ 3/7 4/13 4/17 4/25 7/9 9/23 10/23 11/20 11/23
16/5 17/13 26/1 42/25 43/4 43/23 53/1 73/2
motions [9] 6/10 6/18 6/21 7/2 7/2 7/24 8/2 8/6 9/4
moved [2] 27/20 72/22
Moving [1] 42/24
Mr [1] 65/3
Mr. [43] $4 / 54 / 194 / 226 / 57 / 12$
7/14 7/23 8/1 8/8 8/14 8/16 11/8
12/20 18/16 18/20 23/8 25/17
28/19 30/10 32/22 34/6 34/16
$34 / 21$ 36/1 36/8 36/14 39/22 42/7
42/19 45/19 47/16 49/21 52/6
52/7 57/23 59/22 62/5 62/21 63/2
64/19 65/4 66/10 68/10
Mr. Avery [23] 4/19 4/22 8/8 8/14 11/8 18/16 23/8 25/17 30/10
$34 / 1634 / 2136 / 136 / 842 / 742 / 19$ 47/16 52/6 59/22 62/5 63/2 64/19 66/10 68/10
Mr. Avery's [4] 7/23 18/20 32/22 36/14
Mr. Buting [4] 7/14 8/1 12/20 62/21
Mr. Earl [2] 28/19 57/23
Mr. Fallon [2] 34/6 65/4
Mr. Hicks [1] 39/22
Mr. Hillegas [2] 45/19 49/21
Mr. Strang [4] 4/5 6/5 7/12 8/16
Mr. Zipperer [1] 52/7
Ms [5] 4/15 4/21 5/6 56/20 58/21
much [7] 10/5 20/18 20/18 30/16
41/4 56/12 72/11
multiple [2] 9/22 10/21
must [7] 16/3 26/23 31/18 43/8
43/19 43/21 55/11
my [19] $3 / 5$ 3/11 $7 / 6$ 9/8 10/15
10/15 10/17 12/1 12/8 15/1 20/15
26/6 40/14 44/9 54/18 69/17 73/5
74/9 74/13
N
narcotics [1] 52/23
near [2] 30/19 42/22
nearly [1] 19/2
necessary [3] 15/17 29/17 43/13
necessity [1] 55/13
need [4] 27/7 27/9 71/11 73/5
needed [1] 71/2
negligence [1] 19/17
neighborhood [1] 7/19
neither [3] 3/12 4/1 18/7
never [3] 4/17 24/6 53/21
nevertheless [1] 30/18
New [1] 41/16
newer [1] 56/1
News [1] 3/19
newscast [1] 50/2
next [7] $9 / 2030 / 1130 / 23 \quad 32 / 9$
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0
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office [6] 10/17 24/19 31/7 40/9
66/2 66/12
officer [6] 23/19 46/17 53/6 53/15
56/25 71/3
officers[6] 16/13 17/8 23/24 24/5
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official [4] $1 / 25$ 17/14 74/4 74/19
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onto [1] 28/3
open [9] $24 / 1042 / 651 / 1758 / 14$
61/3 63/25 65/13 67/24 71/18
opportunity [3] 7/23 46/14 46/15
or [116]
oral [3] 3/2 6/11 10/23
order [5] 9/11 12/1 23/16 27/17 28/4
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organized [1] 69/15
original [1] 12/9
Ortega [5] 29/5 40/3 40/4 40/7 66/1
other [39] 3/4 3/13 4/2 5/24 6/9
6/176/18 7/2 19/1 21/10 21/13 21/20 22/7 22/8 25/3 29/25 33/16 38/14 39/3 40/23 42/20 45/4 45/23 48/12 50/19 51/16 54/12 55/1 58/1 5 58/19 62/6 64/24
66/17 68/17 69/1 69/22 71/17 71/19 72/18
others [1] 30/15
Otherwise [2] 58/25 71/1
our [9] 3/23 4/11 8/5 $11 / 18$ 16/4
35/2 69/4 70/9 73/1
out [25] 9/1111/23 13/22 21/2
21/2 21/10 22/4 23/1 23/18 28/18
30/4 36/13 45/1847/21 52/22

| 0 | person's [1] 40/8 | $\text { ] } 44 / 10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| out...[10] 53/19 54/13 58/2 58/5 | pertains [1] 34/21 | etty [2] 41/4 58/23 |
| 59/19 63/24 65/10 66/4 69/10 | peruse [1] 58/18 | primarily [2] 44/15 46/8 |
| 70/19 | phone [9] 12/2 12/21 18/9 19/20 | primary [1] 42/25 |
| outside [3] 25/23 32/22 33/6 | 38/13 50/21 58/17 69/18 69/24 | principle [3] 39/17 40/13 40/14 |
| over [16] 6/22 7/9 12/14 13/21 | photographs [1] 47/20 | principles [2] 34/11 41/1 |
| 14/3 14/17 17/16 21/22 23/20 | phrase [1] 45/12 | prior [2] 46/3 53/8 |
| 31/16 35/15 62/1 64/19 64/20 | pick [1] 30/4 | privacy [67] 18/21 23/9 24/12 25/4 |
| 65/5 65/9 | picked [4] 50/11 64/7 64/11 65/23 | 26/16 27/4 27/14 28/7 28/25 29/4 |
| overall [2] 3/14 4/3 | piece [3] 36/22 42/5 59/14 | 29/7 29/12 30/25 31/4 31/14 |
| Overnight [1] 29/6 | pieces [1] 27/20 | 31/15 31/25 33/10 33/19 34/17 |
| own [10] 26/6 27/10 28/17 28/24 | pit[14] 11/16 25/1 25/22 32/3 | 34/20 35/6 35/10 35/12 35/18 |
| 37/22 63/16 66/11 71/3 71/11 | 32/23 33/7 36/15 38/18 38/25 | 35/23 35/25 36/19 36/25 37/5 |
| 71/13 | 39/8 39/13 64/2 65/5 71/23 | 37/10 37/20 38/2 38/8 38/10 |
| owned [1] 16/16 | pits [1] 42/15 | 38/12 38/1 5 38/20 38/24 39/7 |
| owner [4] 29/24 30/17 66/23 67/14 | place [13] 22/17 26/16 27/8 29/16 | 39/9 39/12 39/19 39/25 40/16 |
| owners [1] 71/25 | 38/15 39/24 40/1 40/6 40/18 43/7 | 40/22 41/12 41/14 41/22 42/1 |
| ownership [4] 27/8 28/20 40/4 | 50/2 57/18 71/2 | 42/12 42/17 42/20 60/25 61/8 |
| 63/11 | placed [1] $8 / 15$ | 61/17 61/21 63/2 63/18 63/21 |
| P | plain [1] 23/1 | 63/24 64/3 64/6 65/14 65/21 66/5 |
|  | PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4 | 66/25 |
| page [11] 2/2 26/11 26/22 29/13 | plans [1] 13/15 | private [13] 7/19 25/12 31/6 31/9 |
| 41/17 54/16 54/17 55/24 60/20 | plant [1] 66/13 | 31/10 31/17 40/8 41/15 51/15 |
| 67/10 67/20 | plates [3] 36/12 56/8 56/10 | 51/15 66/1 66/6 66/12 |
| Pagel [1] 59/6 | play[2] 12/2 12/7 | probabilities [2] 49/1 57/17 |
| pages [1] 44/23 | played [3] 13/5 18/10 51/23 | probable [22] 15/18 15/25 16/2 |
| Pamela [17] 16/20 19/20 20/2 | playing [1] 69/17 | 20/18 20/25 22/9 22/23 22/25 |
| 21/18 23/1731/19 45/15 45/24 | pleading [4] 43/4 44/7 44/12 46/5 | 32/15 34/1 43/13 46/24 48/15 |
| 46/9 47/23 48/21 49/9 49/11 | pleadings [7] 11/19 44/3 44/21 | 49/3 57/13 62/13 62/17 68/3 68/7 |
| 51/19 57/20 58/7 60/5 | 45/2 45/21 46/19 69/5 | 68/14 68/20 69/2 |
| paragraph [13] 16/9 18/24 19/1 | please [1] 10/15 | probably [6] 10/9 14/18 19/15 |
| 22/6 22/8 22/22 23/1 32/14 46/23 | plus [1] 5/15 | 31/16 64/17 68/21 |
| 47/5 47/13 68/6 70/8 | point[26] 5/9 21/2 21/2 21/10 | procedural [4] 43/2 43/3 48/20 |
| Paragraph 2 [1] 47/5 | 21/13 21/14 22/16 23/2 28/18 | 52/1 |
| Paragraph 5 [8] 16/9 18/24 22/6 | 36/13 39/6 40/14 44/10 44/23 | proceedings [3] 1/23 73/15 74/13 |
| 22/22 23/1 32/14 46/23 70/8 | 45/17 46/21 52/1 54/13 60/17 | process [1] 71/7 |
| parcel [3] 31/9 64/21 67/25 | 64/14 64/24 66/4 66/18 67/2 | produced [1] 36/1 |
| park [1] 65/10 | 69/1772/11 | program [1] 49/15 |
| parked [1] 67/21 | pointed [2] 11/23 59/19 | prohibit [1] 3/22 |
| parlance [1] 57/8 | points [3] 23/10 68/7 71/17 | proof [1] 43/22 |
| part[15] 12/12 16/11 16/19 17/10 | police [6] 17/10 17/15 23/19 23/21 | property [64] 12/6 13/24 17/23 |
| 19/1 19/11 $21 / 5$ 22/8 24/10 24/20 | 27/1769/8 | 18/22 23/13 24/10 24/14 25/6 |
| 29/18 29/18 35/20 44/3 70/8 | portion [5] 15/23 16/1 18/10 19/11 | 25/12 26/14 26/17 27/10 27/12 |
| partial [1] 21/4 | 28/21 | 27/23 28/3 28/18 28/21 28/24 |
| participate [1] 49/14 | portions [1] 33/25 | 30/2 30/21 31/2 31/4 31/5 31/18 |
| particular [12] 11/20 16/12 29/16 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { position [8] } & 6 / 25 & 11 / 7 & 23 / 4 \\ 32 / 11\end{array}$ | $33 / 15$ 35/7 36/22 37/12 37/12 |
| 40/10 40/25 41/24 44/10 47/6 | 33/3 33/12 42/7 62/25 | 37/13 38/6 38/7 41/13 42/5 42/6 |
| 48/17 52/24 54/9 62/18 | possession [1] 61/13 | 42/10 42/15 42/16 45/15 47/18 |
| particularly [3] 42/13 60/15 72/19 | possibilities [1] 57/17 | $47 / 2448 / 149 / 1650 / 451 / 15$ |
| parties [7] 3/3 3/6 9/11 9/15 9/25 | possible [1] 60/18 | 56/18 57/23 58/9 58/11 58/14 |
| 10/4 12/15 | posters [2] 50/10 50/1 | $59 / 1461 / 461 / 1463 / 763 / 964 / 20$ |
| parts [2] 48/12 68/5 | practice [2] 24/18 71/21 | 67/7 67/11 67/12 67/13 68/13 |
| party [5] 13/22 13/24 13/25 18/12 | precautions [1] 30/24 | 70/1 71/18 72/10 |
| 73/9 | predominantly [1] 8/3 | proprietary [2] 29/21 29/22 |
| pass [1] 32/4 | preference [1] 9/18 | Prosecutor [2] 1/13 1/15 |
| Patricia [2] 69/12 71/25 | preliminary [2] 43/9 43/19 | protect [1] 26/17 |
| Patrick [1] 1/10 |  | protected [2] 61/4 61/18 |
| pending [1] 6/10 | prepared [6] 11/13 37/3 42/3 | protects [1] 26/17 |
| people [7] 26/17 28/6 31/17 47/12 | 46/17 46/23 74/8 | proved [1] 15/20 |
| 64/8 71/19 71/22 | prepares [1] 15/7 | proves [1] 69/20 |
| per [1] 51/15 | preparing [1] 19/23 | provide [1] 6/3 |
| percent [1] 35/15 | preponderance [4] 27/3 33/20 | provided [7] 7/9 19/8 19/12 21/1 |
| perfect [1] 57/7 | 35/13 49/5 | 36/20 39/24 49/9 |
| perhaps [9] 11/3 30/16 50/19 51/4 | preposterous [1] 71/6 | providently [1] 54/20 |
| 51/13 52/12 57/5 60/13 68/20 | prescribe [1] 60/23 | provides [1] 15/15 |
| permission [7] 24/18 24/24 25/2 | present [1] 70/25 | proximity [1] 67/3 |
| 31/18 31/20 71/20 71/24 | presentation [4] 8/6 34/9 41/23 | public [12] 24/11 24/16 24/25 |
| permits [1] 61/15 | $53 / 3$ | $25 / 1229 / 931 / 742 / 658 / 1558 / 15$ |
| permitted [1] 32/12 | presented [4] 15/21 34/13 54/2 | 61/23 65/7 65/13 |
| person [12] 1/21 3/24 18/17 29/20 | 71/12 | purchases [1] 53/9 |
| 30/8 30/14 30/21 30/23 31/5 |  | purpose [3] 51/7 57/11 72/6 |
| 35/16 47/6 59/13 | presumably [1] 25/21 | purposes [2] 48/20 57/5 |


|  |  | roommate [1] 50/14 <br> RPR [2] 1/24 74/19 <br> run [3] 26/8 66/9 66/14 <br> Ryan [5] 49/10 49/18 50/7 51/1 <br> 69/12 <br> S <br> S-c-h-w-e-g-I-e-r [1] 66/19 safety [1] 60/4 <br> said [12] $15 / 5$ 18/1 $18 / 321 / 18$ <br> 23/11 24/453/653/15 63/10 <br> 63/14 70/13 74/13 <br> sake [1] 48/19 <br> salvage [9] 17/24 29/24 36/9 41/7 <br> 41/8 41/18 58/11 61/7 71/22 <br> same [2] 16/24 65/15 <br> satisfied [1] $8 / 25$ <br> saw[5] 53/15 53/16 53/21 60/7 72/7 <br> say[22] 4/15 4/20 10/20 11/10 <br> 14/18 16/21 17/2 18/2 18/3 21/16 <br> 24/20 28/24 37/2 46/7 48/23 <br> 48/23 55/8 61/1 61/3 63/1 65/4 69/24 <br> saying [2] 20/11 37/17 <br> says [12] 15/13 19/7 22/10 26/23 <br> 48/3 54/24 55/10 56/15 68/9 <br> 68/14 70/9 70/12 <br> scene [3] 22/10 24/2 60/3 <br> scheme [1] 44/25 <br> Schwegler [1] 66/19 <br> scope [1] 51/6 <br> Scott[2] 50/14 51/3 <br> se [1] 51/15 <br> search [47] 9/23 11/12 12/6 13/22 <br> 13/24 13/25 $15 / 1416 / 316 / 9$ <br> 17/10 17/12 $17 / 23$ 18/4 18/12 <br> 18/13 18/15 18/19 18/21 $22 / 19$ <br> $31 / 2232 / 1734 / 234 / 2239 / 21$ <br> $40 / 5$ 40/8 41/18 42/8 42/9 45/2 <br> 45/5 45/18 48/15 48/16 49/14 <br> 50/8 51/14 52/9 52/23 53/1 57/12 <br> 62/6 62/14 62/14 70/1 71/1 72/24 <br> searched [8] 27/5 30/9 34/18 <br> 34/20 35/19 40/18 57/18 72/15 <br> searcher [4] $21 / 6$ 68/12 68/12 <br> 70/13 <br> searchers [15] 16/14 17/7 17/22 <br> 19/8 20/12 20/20 21/25 45/5 <br> 45/17 52/3 52/4 52/8 52/16 69/7 <br> 70/11 <br> searches [5] 26/18 34/3 51/5 51/7 62/6 <br> searching [1] 51/8 <br> $\begin{array}{llll}\text { second [7] } & 12 / 2 & 12 / 23 & 12 / 25 \\ 13 / 3\end{array}$ <br> 30/8 35/20 65/25 <br> Secondly [3] 17/6 46/6 53/14 <br> secret [1] 39/2 <br> secreted [2] 48/11 59/21 <br> secretly [1] 45/1 <br> secure [5] 5/23 7/21 36/9 72/10 72/12 <br> secured [1] 60/4 <br> securing [1] 59/16 <br> see [10] $15 / 25 \quad 17 / 433 / 1333 / 17$ <br> 40/4 40/25 47/18 68/11 72/18 <br> 73/8 <br> seeking [1] 30/25 <br> seem [1] 63/23 <br> seems [2] 39/17 63/1 <br> seen [7] 16/24 16/25 47/9 48/1 <br> 48/13 50/3 64/16 <br> segment [1] 69/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. Will the parties present state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, appearing as Special Prosecutor. Also appearing as Special Prosecutor is Tom Fallon, from the Department of Justice.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Steven Avery is here in person and he's represented by Jerry Buting of Buting and Williams. And Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton. Good morning.

THE COURT: All right. We're here this morning for the Court to issue its decision on a number of motions that have been filed. Following the decisions on those motions, the Court will take a summary of the motions that are still outstanding, just to make sure that they are all being dealt with.

Court will first issue its decision on the defendant's motion to dismiss on the grounds that the State has made a trial in Manitowoc County impossible. The basis for this motion is alleged that the State has taken actions to make
a fair trial in Manitowoc County impossible. Specifically, the defendant refers to eight press conferences that were conducted primarily by the Calumet County District Attorney and Sheriff. Four of these press conferences occurred after the defendant's arrest in this case. The defendant also cites comments made in a two-part news story in May of this year by the Manitowoc County Sheriff.

The defendant asserts that his constitutional rights under Article 1, Section 7 of the Wisconsin Constitution, as well as his due process rights under the 14 th amendment to the United States Constitution, and Article 1, Section 8 of the Wisconsin Constitution were violated by the State's participation in pretrial publicity.

The defense brief concludes on Page 11, that, taken together, the State's actions effectively have destroyed Avery's opportunity to obtain an impartial jury in Manitowoc County. That is, the basis for requesting dismissal as a sanction is the claim that participation by agents of the State in pretrial publicity has precluded the defendant from receiving a fair
trial in front of Manitowoc County jurors. The Court has reviewed the media account -- accounts referenced by the motion.

The defendant cites no Wisconsin case which has ever granted the remedy he requests; that is, no Wisconsin case has ever found that a defendant is entitled to dismissal of a criminal charge because of the State's participation in pretrial publicity.

The defendant does cite two Wisconsin cases as being relevant: State ex rel. Schulter v. Roraff, a 1968 Wisconsin Supreme Court case, and Briggs vs. State, a 1977 Wisconsin Supreme Court case.

In neither of these cases did the Court order that the criminal charges involved be dismissed. In fact, the Court specifically rejected the remedy in Schulter, the one case in which the defendant actually requested dismissal. Continuance and change of venue have been the only remedies approved, to date, where prejudicial pretrial publicity threatens the defendant's right to a fair trial.

The Court is not prepared to say that the State's participation in pretrial publicity
could never justify dismissal of criminal charges; indeed, there's language from the Schulter decision which suggests that the Court did not rule out the possibility entirely. There's a sentence that reads as follows: In State vs. Woodington, we considered the problem of pretrial publicity and concluded that the remedy was not necessarily the dismissal of charges, but a change of venue, or continuance of the trial, and the careful selection of the jury on voir dire.

So it may be possible that, in an appropriate case, the Supreme Court could justify dismissal as a sanction. However, since no reported decision ever -- ever sanctioned the remedy of dismissal, this Court concludes that a remedy as drastic as dismissal could only be justified by very egregious behavior on the part of the State.

The Court concludes in this case that the State's role in pretrial publicity was not egregious, or designed to jeopardize the defendant's right to a fair trial. The Court has reviewed the participation of the State complained of by the defendant and makes the
following observations:
The first four of the eight cited press conferences were more informational in nature and also related more to the missing person report, not to the involvement of the defendant in the crimes that have been alleged in this case. The last four press conferences did involve a detailing of the accusations made against the defendant, in some cases with more detail than the Court believes was necessary.

But the content was largely confined to information contained in the Complaints against Mr. Avery, and the co-defendant in this case, Brendan Dassey. While the content was somewhat inflammatory in nature by virtue of the very allegations of fact, similar to the situation described in the Briggs decision, the information was largely available to the press and the public anyway, from the Complaints, which already were, or were soon to become, public information.

The Court notes that the press in this case has given publicity to a number of pleadings and motions that have been filed, even before the court proceedings dealing with those pleadings. So, it is unlikely that the news conferences
resulted in the disclosure of any meaningful information that would not have been publicized in any event.

The Court also notes that, especially early in these proceedings, there were media reports that the defendant and members of the defendant's family believed the police were unfairly picking on him and suggested that the defendant was being framed; indeed, the defense in this case has filed motions indicating that such a defense may be pursued at trial.

Supreme Court Rule 20:3.6(d) permits a district attorney to make a statement reasonably required to protect the State from the adverse effects of publicity not initiated by the State. Early in these proceedings, such adverse publicity existed. The State was reasonably entitled to respond to public allegations that it was basing its decisions on bias rather than the evidence obtained.

With respect to the two-part news story involving the Manitowoc County Sheriff, the Court notes that that took place in May, a number of months before the scheduled trial date. At the outset, the Court does conclude that a number of
the comments made by the Sheriff were ill-advised and the Sheriff should not have participated in the interview, even in the absence of a prohibition order issued by the Court. The Court does conclude, however, that his participation was not so egregious or prejudicial as to justify dismissal of the charges.

First, it had been previously reported, and the May reports reiterated, that the Sheriff was involved in the wrongful prosecution of Mr. Avery back in 1985. The Sheriff's testimony at the July 5 hearing in this case suggested he may still not be convinced that Gregory Allen is guilty and Steven Avery is innocent in the 1985 sex assault. But the Sheriff appears to be largely alone in that belief.

As has been widely reported for some time, the State has not only conceded that Mr. Avery did not commit the 1985 crime, but the State has concluded that another man, Gregory Allen, did. Thus, any viewer of this report would have serious reason to question the Sheriff's objectivity.

To further balance the report, it included prior statements from members of the
defendant's family that law enforcement representatives were unfairly picking on the defendant's family.

Sheriff's explanation as to why his department would have had no reason to frame the defendant may have been unfortunately worded, but the Court is satisfied that the Sheriff was trying to explain, in an admittedly awkward way, why the allegation that his department was trying to frame Steven Avery should not be believed. As the Court has already noted, while the Sheriff should not have granted the interview, his participation is somewhat mitigated by a perceived need to respond to publicized frame-up allegations on the part of the defendant and his family.

A person viewing the report may well have come away with the impression that the Sheriff believed the defendant is guilty of the crimes charged in this case. That should not be any more surprising than that the defendant's family, friends, and his attorney in a civil case, Stephen Glynn, publicly expressed their belief in his innocence in the same report. If law enforcement officials did not
believe the defendant was guilty, this Court would certainly expect the State to move to dismiss the charges against the defendant. The Court gives the public more credit than to be too unduly influenced by comments from either side. The report was balanced and not so inflammatory that persons who viewed it months ago could still not provide the defendant a fair trial if selected as jurors.

Finally, the Court notes that while the defense is requesting dismissal because he asserts the State's participation in pretrial publicity has made a trial in Manitowoc County impossible, the defendant acknowledges in another motion that if the Court grants an adjournment of the trial date to early next year, a fair jury composed of Manitowoc County citizens could be selected. At least, the Court believes that's a fair inference for the Court to draw from the defendant's contingent change of venue request.

The bottom line is that while there may be a set of facts which would warrant the relief the defendant seeks, there are no such facts present here. The complained of publicity occurred many months before the scheduled trial.

Early news conferences focused on the search for Teresa Halbach, not the charges against the defendant.

Later press conferences with the Calumet County District Attorney and Sheriff were mainly confined to information available in public records. The Manitowoc County Sheriff's participation in the May interview was ill-advised, but not so prejudicial as to justify the remedy the defendant seeks.

The defendant's own contingent change of venue request demonstrates his belief that, with adequate precautions, a fair jury can be selected in Manitowoc County. For all these reasons, the defendant's motion to dismiss is denied by the Court.

Before I proceed to other motions, I will note that there have been motions filed relating to change of venue and scheduling of the trial date. And it's my understanding that the parties have a stipulation on those issues to propose to the Court this morning; in fact, I have been handed a written stipulation. Counsel, does one of you care to put it on the record for the Court?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I certainly can, Judge. I don't know how much in detail the Court wants me to go. We have provided the Court a two-page stipulation. That stipulation attempts to deal with the issues of change of venue, as well as trial schedule. The stipulation, and I will read at least the part of the stipulation that is being proposed towards the bottom of Page one.

The parties, that is, the defense and the State, have agreed to the following: Number one, that the jury trial in this case will commence on or about February 5, 2007. The parties continue to believe that the trial itself will last approximately six weeks. I note for the record that I'm paraphrasing, when appropriate, in parts of the stipulation.

Number two, that the jury trial will physically be held in the Calumet County Courthouse.

Number three, that the Court has agreed upon the county in which the jury will be selected. The parties have identified and have agreed upon that jury pool, and the Court may wish to comment on that thereafter.

The stipulation is proposed by myself
and Mr. Strang, both as lead counsel for the relative parties. The stipulation includes acquiescence by Mr. Avery, and a statement as to waiver of right to be tried physically here in Calumet County. And also includes the agreement of the Halbach family, by Tim Halbach, a representative of the Halbach family.

I should note that the purpose of the stipulation, or at least in part, as well as the Halbach's acquiescence, is based upon the Halbach family's ability to now fully participate, if they choose, in all aspects of the jury trial, as the physical location would be within Calumet County.

Attached to the stipulation includes proposals from Sheriff Pagel, with the agreement of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department. This sets forth reasons why Calumet County is a preferred venue, or preferred place of trial in this case, as to issues of security, transport, and the physical evidence which is being held in the Calumet County Courthouse.

Lastly, there is correspondence from Mr. Rollins, who is Corporation Counsel, acting on behalf of Manitowoc County. This county, that
is, Manitowoc County, has requested this Court adopt the stipulation, based upon the physical amenities that the Calumet County Courthouse may have, Mr. Avery's location, the physical evidence, again, and the participation of the Halbach family.

For all of those reasons, and reasons previously provided in more detail to the Court, including this proposal having been made by me back in, I believe it was February of this year, the parties jointly, that is, Mr. Avery, his lawyers, and the State, is asking the Court adopt the stipulation.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Counsel recited the stipulation's terms, in their essence. He did it fairly. He did it accurately, but for one small item on which he misspoke, innocently, and that is simply that Mr. Avery has agreed in writing here, not to be tried in Manitowoc County, physically. The trial will take place in Calumet County, but it would be Manitowoc County in which he had a right to insist upon the physical location of the trial. And he's agreed instead to try the case in the Calumet County Courthouse, just as counsel explained.

THE COURT: All right. I will note there were some written modifications to the third paragraph in the stipulation, that after the parties approached the Court, I indicated I had a concern with. At one point, it was my understanding the parties wished the county from which the jury would be selected to not be disclosed at this time. But I understand the parties do not have an objection to disclosure as of today.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's correct, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: That's true.
THE COURT: And I think that is important, for the Court to make sure that Mr. Avery -- and I'm going to conduct a brief colloquy with him on the record today -- that everybody understands and agrees what is being proposed here and, specifically, that the parties both agree that the jurors are to be selected from Manitowoc County. Is that correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Avery, is that your understanding of the recommendation that the parties are proposing to the Court today, and that you have
agreed to?
MR. AVERY: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. I do have some questions to ask of you, to make sure that you understand it, and I want to make sure that you are knowingly agreeing to this proposal.

First of all, do you understand that you have a constitutional and statutory right to keep venue in Manitowoc County, if you wish; that is, a right to be tried not only by a jury of Manitowoc County residents, but also, at least arguably, to a trial physically held in Manitowoc County. Do you understand that?

MR. AVERY: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Do you also understand that the venue statute, Section 971.225, only permits the Court to order the trial to be held in another county if $I$ make a determination that an impartial trial could not be held in Manitowoc County? That is, if you were not requesting it, the Court would not be ordering that this trial be held in Calumet County; do you understand that?

MR. AVERY: Yes.
THE COURT: Is it your wish to be tried in Calumet County in this case, with a jury composed of

Manitowoc County residents?
MR. AVERY: Yes.
THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises or threats to you, to get you to request this provision?

MR. AVERY: No.
THE COURT: Have you had adequate time to discuss this decision with your attorneys?

MR. AVERY: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you have any questions at this time? If you do, I would go off the record and permit you to discuss the matter further with your attorneys. Do you have any such questions?

MR. AVERY: No, I don't.
THE COURT: Very well. The parties had alerted the Court a few days ago that this stipulation would be being presented today, so I have had some time to give it some thought. I also took the opportunity, a few days ago, to travel to Calumet County in order to tour the courthouse facilities.

I agree that there are some advantages to holding the trial in Calumet County, in terms of security relating to both the defendant and to the jurors. There also appears to be more space
at the courthouse for the media.
And the Court has been informed that Manitowoc County officials believe it would be more economical to hold the case in Calumet County. That is not a major request, obviously, in the Court's decision, but the Court is aware that Manitowoc County officials concur in the move. And I also understand that the victim's family has joined in this request; in fact, Calumet County, I believe, is closer to their home than Manitowoc.

Based on those considerations, the request that's been made by the parties, I'm going to grant the joint request that's been made here. I will also note the request calls for a delay in the trial date, that will further alleviate any prejudicial effects of any pretrial publicity, avoid any potential conflicts with the Thanksgiving holiday that might have occurred had the trial started in mid-October, and allow the defense more time to evaluate the evidence in this case, which is somewhat voluminous. The Court has been informed of such requests on the defense in the past. So I will grant the request.

The trial date here will be scheduled for February 5, of 2007. I cannot foresee anything at this time that would result in a further continuance of that trial date, and the Court will agree to hold the trial in the Calumet County Courthouse.

The jury will be selected, composed of Manitowoc's residents. Jury selection, I think, will take place here. It will be more convenient for everyone. But once the trial begins, it will take place in Calumet County. Is there anything further from either party on that matter?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge.
THE COURT: If not, then the Court will move on to the defense motion to exclude members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department from testifying in this case. That motion initially included a request, also, to prevent members of the Sheriff's Department from overseeing the jury in this case. But, Mr. Strang, it's my understanding that with the move of the physical site of the trial to Calumet County, that portion of the defense motion is being withdrawn.

ATTORNEY STRANG: It is in the sense that $I$ think it's mooted. There are a number of logistical
details attending the stipulation just presented to the Court, and adopted by the Court, that we have not laid out here today, but on which the parties are in accord. And one of those, in sum, is that with a trial conducted in the Calumet County Courthouse, the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, in the ordinary course, would take charge of jury assembly, jury management, the role of bailiff, custody of Mr. Avery, if in fact he's in custody at the time of trial.

And we see that as mooting the request for relief as to a role with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, in prospective or actual jurors, because under this proposal the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department will have no role with, or contact with, actual or prospective jurors.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. As the Court noted, the defense has filed a motion to exclude all members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department from testifying on behalf of the State, as part of the State's case in chief.

The sole basis for the defense motion arises out of comments made in an interview Sheriff Kenneth Peterson provided to FOX 11 News
in Green Bay, portions of which were aired in a two-part report on May 11 and 12 of this year. The Court is not going to detail the Sheriff's comments further here, other than to note that they related to the Sheriff's involvement with Mr. Avery in the past, including the Sheriff's role in the prosecution of Mr. Avery back in 1985, relating to a sex assault charge, for which he was subsequently exonerated. The Sheriff also relayed in the report some of his own opinions concerning the defendant's personality.

The defendant contends that he is entitled to the remedy he seeks because the Sheriff's's comments were calculated to interfere with the defendant's right to a fair trial in Manitowoc County, before a Manitowoc County jury.

The Court has reviewed the two-part news report in it's entirety and $I$ have also read and heard the party's arguments; that is, the written argument submitted by Mr. Strang, with his motion; the written response submitted by Mr. Fallon; as well as the arguments made at the July 5, 2006 hearing. The Court makes the following observations:

The Court has accepted, today, the
stipulation of the parties that the trial will be held in Calumet County, with a Manitowoc County jury. So the defendant has not lost his constitutional right to a trial in the county where the crimes are alleged to have been committed. The place of the trial is being moved at the joint request of the defendant and the State.

Earlier in these proceedings, the parties agreed, informally, to eliminate out of court comments to the press; the State, through the attorneys or representatives of the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, and the defense through defense counsel or the defendant himself. There was, and is, no order at this time to support this agreement. But it came about as a result of the Court's reluctance to issue a gag order, which the Court regarded as an extreme remedy. The Court felt that this agreement, along with the admonition to the parties to comply with Supreme Court Rule $20: 3.6$ would address the concerns initially raised by the defense.

The informal agreement has proven largely effective with respect to the parties
involved. No party mentioned any concern at the time with comments originating from the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department. The Court did not issue any type of gag order, and the Sheriff's comments in this case did not violate any such order.

There is no evidence that the Sheriff initiated contact with FOX 11 News. Representatives of that organization apparently contacted him for the interview.

Nevertheless, the Court does believe that the comments were inappropriate coming in the context of these court proceedings. And the Sheriff should not have -- should have used his own discretion to avoid such comments. Those comments fell within the scope of the type of publicity the parties had agreed to stop and had the potential to jeopardize the defendant's right to a jury of Manitowoc County jurors.

Whatever the Court's decision is on the defense motion, the Court believes that care should be taken to make sure such comments do not occur again before the trial in this case. The Court notes that the comments involved were those of the Sheriff alone.

His department does not have control of this investigation. And the Court has not been presented with any evidence to suggest that any other member of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department who participated in the investigation in this case has been directly, or indirectly, influenced in any way by the Sheriff. The Court notes that the Sheriff has announced his intention to retire at the expiration of his term in early January of next year.

The Court makes the following conclusions: The Court is unaware of any precedent for granting the remedies the defendant seeks where no court order was violated. The cases cited by the defense, which sustain the drastic remedy of exclusion of evidence, involve violation of either a court order or a discovery statute.

Participation by representatives of the State in pretrial publicity has only been used in reported cases as a grounds for change of venue or a continuance. There is even less reason in this case to exclude evidence from members of the Sheriff's Department who did not themselves participate in any allegedly improper comments.

The Court further notes that the report was a one time, in two-part, news item on one television station in May, approximately nine months before what will now be the scheduled start of the trial.

The Court agrees that the comments made were inappropriate in the context of these court proceedings and did constitute a threat to the defendant's right to fair trial before a Manitowoc County jury; although, the Court has earlier today accepted a stipulation of the parties to have this case heard by a Manitowoc County jury.

While the attorney's did not cite concern over comments from the County Sheriff's Department, that is, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, at the time they reached their informal agreement to refrain from public comment in this case, the comments should not have been made.

To make sure there are no further problems of this nature, the Court is going to issue an order prohibiting members of either the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, or the Calumet County Sheriff's Department, from making
any further public comment concerning this case, or the defendant, Steven Avery, until the trial is concluded.

The Court is satisfied that adherence to the attorneys to Supreme Court Rule 20:3.6 precludes the need for any such order to apply to counsel. I'm directing the counsel for the defense to draft the order and submit it to counsel for the State before submitting it to the Court for signature.

Because the Court concludes that the other remedy sought by the defense, that is, the exclusion of testimony by members of the Sheriff's Department of Manitowoc County is not warranted, that portion of the defense motion is denied.

ATTORNEY STRANG: As a matter of clarification, your Honor -- and I'm happy to draft the proposed order -- I will intend to include proceedings related to Brendan Dassey within the Court's definition of this case, even though, technically, the Dassey proceedings are under a case number different than the Avery proceedings.

THE COURT: Any objection from the State? ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm not sure you have
authority over the Brendan Dassey case, Judge.
THE COURT: I don't have authority over the case, but -- and the Court's order would have no affect in his case -- but $I$ think it could extend to comments relating to his role in this case. I will -- I will do this, I will let it up to the parties, in the form of your proposed order, to attempt to resolve that matter. If it still winds up being contested and the parties have alternative versions of the proposed order to submit, I will review them, give the parties a chance to be heard, before I issue the Court's order.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine. Thank you.
THE COURT: The Court will next move on to the State's motion in this case to admit statements of Teresa Halbach to co-workers. The State seeks to admit certain statements which Teresa Halbach allegedly made to co-workers in October of 2005, relating to her observations during an earlier visit to the defendant's property and her state of mind based on those observations.

The defense opposes the admission of these statements. The admissibility of evidence which the State seeks to introduce involves issues relating to hearsay, relevance, and the
defendant's right to confront his accusers. The Court will address each of these issues independently, as they relate to the statements which the State seeks to introduce.

First of all, with respect to hearsay, the State asserts that Teresa Halbach's statements relating to both her perceived observations and to her state of mind fall under the hearsay exception contained in Section 908.045 (2). That statute provides in relevant part as follows:

The following are not excluded by the hearsay rule, if the declarant is unavailable as a witness. A statement which describes an event or condition recently perceived by the declarant, not in contemplation of pending or anticipated litigation and while the declarant's recollection was clear.

The statements which Teresa Halbach may have made to her co-workers describing observations from her earlier visit to the defendant's home could fit within this hearsay exception, subject to adequate foundation. At this point, the State has not provided the Court with a date the observations were allegedly made
by Ms Halbach, nor when the observations were relayed to her co-workers.

However, it appears that any statement relating to her observations may well constitute a statement which describes an event she recently perceived. Indeed, the defense does not seriously dispute, that with proper foundation, the hearsay exception in Section 908.045 could apply to statements relating to Ms Halbach's observations.

The statements relating to her state of mind, as opposed to her observations, do not fall within the exception of Section 908.045 (2). A statement of recent perception is exactly that, it is a statement of something which the declarant has perceived. It does not include opinions of the declarant relating to her perceptions or her state of mind.

Now, there is a hearsay exception not advanced by the State which could arguably apply to the defendant's state of mind; that is, Section 908.03 (1), which reads, in relevant part, as follows. The following are not excluded by the hearsay rule: A statement explaining an event or condition made while the declarant was
perceiving the event or condition, or immediately thereafter. While the statements made by Ms Halbach relating to her then existing state of mind could arguably fall within this exception, they would still have to be relevant before they could be admitted.

In order for a statement of Teresa Halbach relating to her state of mind to be relevant, the statement would have to relate to an element of the crimes which the State seeks to prove. A similar issue was addressed by the Court of Appeals in the case of State vs. Kutz, a 2003 Court of Appeals case.

The defendant in that case was charged with first-degree intentional homicide, hiding a corpse, and stalking, arising out of the disappearance of his wife. The State sought to introduce a number of statements attributed to the wife in the time leading up to her disappearance involving threats which the defendant made to her. The State sought introduction of the of statements as evidence of her fearful state of mind at the time she made the statements, shortly before her disappearance. The Court of Appeals ruled that the
statements were not admissible, because while they were evidence of the declarant's state of mind, her state of mind was not relevant to the charges in that case. The Court recognized that the primary purpose of introducing the evidence was to demonstrate that the threats were actually made to the wife, not that she was in fear because of the statements.

That is similar to the situation here. While any statement of Teresa Halbach involving her state of mind made a few weeks before her disappearance would certainly be relevance as evidence of her state of mind, her state of mind is not really at issue in this case.

The State has suggested that her state of mind has a relationship to the elements which the State must prove on the kidnapping charge. However, the Court views the probative value of her sate of mind weeks before the crime as very marginal. The Court does not believe that her state of mind has sufficient probative value or relevance to justify admission of the evidence.

The State asserts that the personal observations of Theresa Halbach, as opposed to her state of mind, have relevance as to the
defendant's intent and plan to sexually assault her in the future. The Court has heard references in prior arguments of the parties to allegations that Mr. Avery specifically requested Teresa Halbach to return to his residence. Depending on what other facts are introduced her observations, which were relayed to her co-workers, may have probative value which could justify their admission. However, the Court is unable, based on the current state of the record, to resolve that issue at this time. Should the observations of Teresa Halbach fall within the hearsay exception of Section 908.045 (2) and have sufficient probative value to justify their admission, the question remains as to whether the admission of such statements would violate the defendant's constitutional rights under the confrontation clause of the constitution.

The United States Supreme Court expanded the scope of the confrontation clause in Crawford vs. Washington, a 2004 case. The Court ruled in Crawford that where testimonial statements are involved, the defendant is entitled to confront his accusers, regardless of the reliability of
the statements or whether they fall in firmly rooted hearsay exceptions.

For purposes of the State's motion, the key question is whether the statements offered for admission are testimonial in nature. The issue of what is a testimonial statement was recently addressed by the United States Supreme Court in Davis vs. Washington, a case decided on June 19th of this year. The case involved the question of whether statements made by an emergency 911 caller were testimonial in nature.

The Court ruled that some of the statements made in the course of a 911 call were testimonial, while others were not. Specifically, the Court ruled as follows:

Statements are non-testimonial when made in the course of police interrogation, under circumstances objectively indicating that the primary purpose of the interrogation is to enable police assistance to meet an ongoing emergency.

They are testimonial when the circumstances objectively indicate that there is no such ongoing emergency and that the primary purpose of the interrogation is to establish or prove past events potentially relevant to later
criminal prosecution.
Of particular significance to our case is the following language, which the Davis opinion quoted from the Crawford case: An accuser who makes a formal statement to government officers bears testimony, in a sense that a person who makes a casual remark to an acquaintance does not.

With this example the Supreme Court comes very close to describing the statements Teresa Halbach purportedly made to her co-workers as a textbook example of what is not testimonial. The observational statements which the State seeks to admit were not made to the police and were certainly not made in the context of any investigation by anyone. They are much more in the nature of a casual remark to an acquaintance, which is not testimonial.

The Court concludes that the statements by Teresa Halbach of her earlier observations of Mr. Avery are not testimonial in nature and their admission would not implicate confrontation clause concerns.

In conclusion, any statement made by Teresa Halbach to her co-workers concerning her
state of mind at an earlier point in time are not admissible. Subject to proper foundation establishing relevance and probative value, statements that she made involving prior observations may be admissible under the hearsay exception contained in Section 908.045 (2). Finally, for today's hearing, the Court will address the defendant's motion challenging the search of November 5, on the basis that it violated the rule in Franks vs. Delaware. I'm not addressing, today, the additional challenge to the search based on alleged multiple executions of the search warrant, because the Court has not yet received from the briefs of the parties on that issue.

As part of his challenge to obtaining -to the obtaining and execution of the search warrants, the defendant challenges the November 5, 2005 search warrant on the basis that it was obtained as a result of false statements, knowingly and intentionally made, or with reckless disregard for the truth, that were included in the affidavit supporting the search warrant request.

Under the rule of Franks vs. Delaware, a

1978 United States Supreme Court decision, if the defendant makes a substantial preliminary showing, and proves that such false statements were made, and that they are necessary to the finding of probable cause, a search warrant can be voided and the fruits of the search suppressed.

Initially, the defendant's motion alleged that three separate knowingly false statements were made in the affidavit of Detective Mark Wiegert supporting the request for the November 5, 2005 warrant. First, the defendant alleged that Pamela Sturm and her daughter, the two citizens who initially located Teresa Halbach's vehicle on the Avery property, were incorrectly characterized as volunteer searchers, when in fact they were acting on behalf of law enforcement.

Following the evidentiary hearing, defense counsel acknowledged that the evidence did not demonstrate that Ms Sturm and her daughter were anything but volunteer searchers. The motion goes on to allege, however, that the affidavit falsely claimed that the volunteer searchers located a vehicle matching the
description of the vehicle owned by Teresa Halbach, at the Avery auto salvage.

Further the defendant alleges that the affidavit falsely represented that the searchers provided a complete VIN from the vehicle, when in fact the searchers were only able to identify 10 of the 17 characters of the vehicle identification number.

While acknowledging that Detective Remiker was able to obtain the full VIN of the vehicle when he responded to the scene, the defendant's motion further alleges that Detective Remiker did not have a search warrant, or consent to be on the property, and his complete identification of the VIN can, therefore, not be considered because it was illegally obtained. The defendant concludes that if the false information and Detective Remiker's identification are excised from the affidavit, it lacks the required level of probable cause to justify the issuance of the November 5 warrant.

The State asks the Court to deny the motion for the following reasons: First, the allegations made in the defendant's motion do not constitute a substantial preliminary showing
justifying an evidentiary hearing under the holding of the Franks case.

Second, that Steven Avery lacks standing to challenge the searches of any portions of the Avery Auto Salvage Yard, other than his trailer residence and the detached garage, because he has not demonstrated a reasonable expectation of privacy in the other portions of the Avery Salvage property.

Third, that no intentional misrepresentations were made in the affidavit.

Fourth, even if the challenged information is excised from the affidavit, it still contains sufficient probable cause to justify the issuance of the November 5 warrant.

And, finally, that Steven Avery lacks standing to challenge the information gathered by Detective Remiker when the detective responded to the scene on November 5, because whether or not Detective Remiker was legally on the premises, Mr. Avery had no reasonable expectation of privacy, either in Teresa Halbach's vehicle, or the portion of the Avery Salvage property on which Detective Remiker was present.

The Court will first address the State's
claim that the defendant has not made a substantial preliminary showing entitling him to a hearing on the alleged Franks violations. When a defendant alleges that a search warrant is based on knowingly false information, the United States Supreme Court held in Franks vs. Delaware that the following procedure governs:

Where the defendant makes a substantial preliminary showing that a false statement knowingly and intentionally, or with reckless disregard for the truth, was included by the affiant in the warrant affidavit, and if the allegedly false statement is necessary to the finding of probable cause, the Fourth Amendment requires that a hearing be held at the defendant's request.

In the event that at the hearing the allegation of perjury, or reckless disregard, is established by the defendant, by a preponderance of the evidence, and with the evidence -- with the affidavits false material set to one side, the affidavit's remaining content is insufficient to establish probable cause, the search warrant must be voided and the fruits of the search excluded to the same extent as if probable cause
was lacking on the face of the affidavit.
In this case the defendant's motion alleged, first, that the two citizens who found the RAV-4 were not truly volunteer searches, but persons who Detective Wiegert told Detective Remiker were willing to go to the Avery property on Avery road to search the junkyard salvage area.

The quoted language presumably was obtained by the defendant as part of a discovery from a police report. One possible inference from the language could have been that the volunteer searchers had in fact met with Detective Wiegert and expressed their willingness to assist the police in searching the Avery property.

While neither party has argued the point at any length, it is at least arguable that if they had been enlisted to assist law enforcement, the searchers may have had to disclose that fact to Earl Avery when they obtained his consent to enter the property, in order to conduct the search. The State has not argued otherwise as a reason for which the motion should be denied.

The defense also characterizes as an
intentional false statement, or one made with reckless disregard for the truth, the assertion in the affidavit that the searchers claimed they had located a vehicle matching the description of the vehicle owned by Teresa Halbach. The basis for this assertion is that Pamela Sturm was told to be looking for a green vehicle, but she informed police that the vehicle was, quote, "bluish green, though it's more blue than green", end quote.

In addition, while the affidavit
indicates that Sturm provided the entire 17 character VIN, Sturm was actually able to report only 9 or 10 of the 17 VIN characters. She was not in a position to see the remaining characters.

Detective Wiegert acknowledged in his testimony that the portion of his affidavit indicating that Patricia Sturm provided the entire VIN was incorrect. He acknowledged that while he obtained the full VIN from Detective Remiker, Ms Sturm was only able to make out 10 of the 17 characters.

In addition to the inconsistencies listed in the defendant's motion, the defendant
also asserts that the State was not assisted by Detective Remiker's ability to read the full VIN because he did not have authorization or consent to be on the property.

The Court was initially inclined to conclude that the defendant's motion did constitute a substantial preliminary showing that false statements had been intentionally included in the search warrant which called into question the level of probable cause needed for the issuance of a warrant. Had Patricia Sturm -- or I believe it's Pamela Sturm -- and her daughter been acting as agents of the State, their discovery of the RAV-4 and it's identifying information, which formed an important basis for the issuance of the warrant, may have been subject to suppression.

As the State correctly points out, however, a close reading of the defendant's motion reveals no substantial preliminary showing that the Sturms were acting as agents of law enforcement. The motion does refer to a scheduled meeting of volunteers, which apparently never took place.

But there is no assertion that the

Sturms had any specific relationship with any member of law enforcement. Indeed, the defense conceded at the conclusion of the hearing that no evidence introduced added anything to the allegations in the original motion.

In addition, while the motion describes Detective Remiker's entry on the property as unauthorized and non-consensual, which apparently it was, there's no assertion in the motion that Steven Avery had any legitimate expectation of privacy over either Teresa Halbach's vehicle or the portion of the Avery salvage property on which the vehicle was located.

If Detective Remiker's presence on the property had violated Steven Avery's reasonable expectation of privacy, it could perhaps be argued that the failure of the affidavit to disclose his unlawful presence was a material an intentional omission, which could support a Franks claim under the Wisconsin Supreme Court decision in State vs. Mann.

However, since there was no assertion in the motion that the defendant had a legitimate expectation of privacy over the area in which the Halbach vehicle was located, Detective Remiker's
lack of permission to be on the property does not measurably contribute to the substantial preliminary showing required as a prerequisite for a hearing on the defendant's Franks motion. The Court concludes that the State is correct, the motion does not make a substantial preliminary showing entitling the defendant to a hearing on the Franks claim. While the defendant may not have been entitled to a hearing on his Franks motion, the Court, nevertheless, conditionally granted one.

The evidence introduced at the hearing further supports the conclusion that there was no Franks violation in this case. The defense acknowledges that the volunteer searchers referred to in Detective Wiegert's affidavit truly were volunteer searchers; thus, there is no basis upon which to delete their discovery of Teresa Halbach's vehicle, from the Wiegert affidavit.

While one can argue whether or not Detective Wiegert was justified in using the term "matching" in the affidavit, the Sturm's clearly did discover a vehicle, which was very similar in appearance to Teresa Halbach's vehicle, and which
turned out to be an exact match.
While Detective Remiker's entry on the property may not have been authorized by an owner or person in control of the property, there is no evidence to suggest that the defendant had any ownership interest or other expectation in the area upon which the vehicle was located, or the vehicle itself. Thus, the information provided by Detective Remiker is also appropriately included in the affidavit.

With all of this information included, there is no question but that the affidavit was sufficient to justify the issuance of the November 5, 2005 search warrant.

The State also asserts in it's written argument that Steven Avery has no standing to challenge any of the searches that were subsequently conducted at the Avery Auto Salvage Yard, including searches of the burn barrel, burn pit, the RAV-4, or any of the other buildings located on the property, with the exception of Mr. Avery's residence and detached garage.

Resolution of this argument is not necessary to the Court's decision on the Franks issue. The Court concludes that this argument is
more appropriately addressed in the portion of the defense motion challenging the multiple executions of the original search warrant.

For the reasons stated, the defense motion to suppress the fruits of the November 5, 2005 search warrant on the grounds that it was issued in violation of Franks v. Delaware is denied.

Those are all the decisions on motions the Court has today. I did want the take a brief inventory of what $I$ understand to be the outstanding motions and confirm the status of those motions at this time.

The State has filed a motion concerning the admissibility of DNA evidence. And it's my understanding that at least at one point the parties were working on a stipulation to resolve that motion. Counsel, where are we on that motion?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I understood, Judge, if there was going to be a challenge to whatever it was that Mr. Gahn had presented, that Mr. Buting was going to alert us to that.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's correct, Judge, and Mr. Gahn has been trying to compile some
additional requests that $I$ had made regarding those tests and has not yet complied with that. And once we receive that, I anticipate we'll either -- we'll be in a position to either agree or not agree.

THE COURT: All right. I would like to have a date by which the Court will be notified either that the motion is going to be contested, or that it's resolved.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, would the Court be willing to adopt a scheduling plan that Mr. Buting has 30 days after the receipt of our discovery? Mr. Gahn is meeting with Mr. Fallon and myself tomorrow. We should have an idea as to that date, certainly won't be any later than perhaps mid-September. Nonetheless, Judge, Mr. Buting believes that he can have that done within 30 days after receipt.

THE COURT: When you say receipt, is that what's going to happen in the next couple of days?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Mr. Gahn will be meeting with us. And what I'm suggesting is that we can -- if you wanted to set a date certain for that, we can have that to him, let's say by the 15 th of September; Mr. Buting alerting the Court as to any challenges by the 15 th of October. That should give
us plenty of time.
THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Buting, with the understanding that you are going to get the information by September 15th, the October 15 th is acceptable to the defense?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, that's fine.
THE COURT: Very well. The State has filed a number of other acts motions. The Court has received written arguments and I'm going to be issuing a written decision on those motions. Do I have all of the briefs that are going to be filed. ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, you have three from the State, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: You have everything the defense anticipates submitting.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I think the most recent was Friday, August 18. We submitted a brief on one aspect of Paragraph 6 of the State's motion.

THE COURT: All right. And I understand that each party has filed a motion. The defense has filed a motion to admit evidence regarding the defendant's prior wrongful conviction. The State has filed a motion to exclude it. Where are the
parties on those motions?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I note that a stipulation was proposed, Judge. I think even Mr. Strang may have provided us with his first suggestion as to that stipulation. This kind of goes on the same track as the stipulation regarding evidence of victim history. That stipulation is to exchanged as well. Would the Court allow us to exchange and then perhaps alert the Court by, again, the 15 th of October, if we have a resolution. If we don't, we can certainly tell the Court before that time.

THE COURT: Does that work for both parties?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes. I followed the Court's lead, I submitted a proposed stipulation on the wrongful conviction evidence that really also looks like an offer of proof. It's fairly detailed and I gave the State a written draft of that document either on August 9 or August 10, when we were last here in Court. I don't -- I don't see any difficulty in leaving that issue unresolved until October 15 on the present schedule.

THE COURT: All right. So with respect to that issue and the issue of the victim's history, the parties will notify the Court by October 15th
either that you have an agreement, or that you don't, and if it requires Court resolution --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry, we should probably be using the 16th, the 15th is a Sunday. THE COURT: All right. The 16th. ATTORNEY KRATZ: I don't if it makes that much difference. The 16th I think is --

THE COURT: I will use that for the DNA evidence issue as well.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay.
THE COURT: With respect to the suppression motion regarding Marinette County statements, I have received briefs from both parties, but it's my understanding that there may be a related issue the parties want to alert the Court to.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, Judge. After reviewing counsel's brief on the matter, the thought occurred to me that I think each counsel would like to be heard. If the Court for one reason or another decides to suppress the statement obtained by the Marinette County Sheriff on Saturday, November 5th, from the point on -- from the point of contention, we would like to be heard as to whether the subsequent statements obtained on November 6th ought to be suppressed as well. And that's because
there's a different set of arguments and issues presented.

Neither party really briefed those this time around, waiting and preferring to see if there was a need to. So we -- I think each counsel would reserve our right, if we may, to address further those issues if, and only if, the Court finds anything suppressible on the November 5 th statement.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, is that a fair statement?

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's been the defense intention from the start, both on the motion to suppress statements after the point of contention, as Mr. Fallon puts it, on November 5, 2005. And I might add on the Fourth Amendment suppression motions, as to which Mr. Buting took the lead role, I think the Court properly ought to decide on, is the exclusionary right -- exclusionary role rightly invoked here? Does it have a role to play? If it does, we can be heard later, both parties, on the scope of exclusion, or what potential evidence would derive from any unconstitutional conduct by law enforcement.

And I will add, it's not out of the
realm of possibility that the State or the defense might wish to offer some evidence on the scope of application in the exclusionary rule; although, it's also quite possible that just would be a matter of written or oral argument. So not only am I in agreement with Mr. Fallon on this point, it's really been my intention from the start as I think a much more orderly and measured way to proceed on those issues.

THE COURT: All right. So the -- Whether or not the parties are going to be looking to make further argument, or possibly even introduce additional evidence, will depend on the Court's decision. And the parties are both asking the Court at this time to only make a decision with respect to the November 5 statements. Is that a fair summary? ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And there -- Yes, it is. And there, just to endorse the suggestion the Court made during the August 9 and August 10 evidentiary proceedings, there's no challenge to the admissibility of Mr. Avery's statements on November 5 prior to, again, as Mr. Fallon puts it elegantly, the point of contention, and we have both briefed where exactly that arises in the recorded interview.

THE COURT: All right. There is a defense motion, filed some time ago, entitled -- it's actually not a motion, but a notice concerning interference with right to counsel. I have been led to believe a number of times that's been resolved, but it's still technically hanging out there.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, it is resolved. It was not a motion or a request for relief, it was a notice of a concern. Since $I$ had it -- had the concern on June 16, I treated that deadline as one by which I ought to raise the concern in good faith. I did.

The State provided me the information it promised about the inmate at issue, his name is Orville Jacobs. I'm satisfied at this point with the information $I$ have gotten from the State. I don't perceive a Sixth Amendment right to counsel concern arising with respect to Mr. Jacobs. Of course, if future information comes to light, or future events warrant it, I will raise the concern again, but $I$ don't anticipate either of those events coming to pass.

THE COURT: All right. Since it was entitled a notice and not a motion, $I$ don't believe
there's any need for a formal withdrawal document or anything like that.

ATTORNEY STRANG: But neither is there any need for a ruling.

THE COURT: All right. Then with respect to the defense motion to suppress the fruits of the search, or searches, based on multiple executions of the search warrants, those written briefs are due September 13.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, it's a simultaneous exchange, as I understand it, of one round.

THE COURT: For my benefit, and I haven't seen the written arguments yet, but it appeared to me possible, based on the way the evidence came in, that there could be different lines of arguments relating to different individual searches. Are the parties -- Are the briefs going to be structured such that different searches are addressed individually?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I suppose we could do it that way. I anticipate -- Really, if the Court can recall from the testimony, I anticipate that the major point of contention is going to be after that first three hours or so search was made on the night of the 5th, Saturday night. Thereafter, there was a
number of entries and -- and I can address each one of those separately, but I think the primary issue is going to be on that.

THE COURT: Let me just ask this, I don't want to tell each party -- either party how to argue their case, but if you have arguments that relate to some searches and not others, please let those be differentiated in your briefs so that I know what you are trying to argue.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: And then there's also a State's motion regarding statements to other inmates. I believe I have recently received a written brief from the defense on that. Is there anything more coming from the State, or do I have everything I'm going to have on that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We just talked about that, Judge. We will discuss that in detail tomorrow and if the Court would allow us an opportunity, perhaps to the 13th of September, we can get that to the Court as well.

THE COURT: All right. Any objection from the defense?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't. That's an issue that's under seal, or we have treated it as sealed
to date.
THE COURT: Very well. I will give the State until September 13 then to respond.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, could we return for just one moment to the multiple execution of the search warrant issue. As the Court framed it, I don't know whether that -- the way these -- the arguments may come out then might really be more amenable to a reply by either party as well.

In the event that there are -- that the State has certain arguments on certain searches and not others, or that I have likewise, it might be easier to just reply to them, rather than try and anticipate -- each of us anticipate what the arguments of the others would be. We have a little bit more time to do that now and I just raise that as one way of resolving that.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Thank you. It seems to me that the way -- excuse me -- the way the defense pled the issue and proceeded with its proofs, that the issue has been fairly well narrowed to complain of the searches occurring to Mr. Avery's trailer and garage, starting on Sunday, the 6th, until the second or subsequent warrant was obtained
late afternoon, $I$ believe on the 9th.
Those were the issues which were the subject of the testimony and it seems to me that that's the context in which the case is going to be argued. So I'm not really sure that we need to separate out the searches per se other than, as the testimony reflected, there was, you know, an entry on Sunday, for instance, and one or two on Monday, and then one on Tuesday, that type of itemization or reflection.

I'm not sure it's to our benefit to separate them out any further, because as I reviewed the case law in preparation for writing this brief, it's not much -- it's not the issue, really. And I don't -- I don't know if we really need to reply, and counter-reply, or what have you. It seems to me it's been narrowly pled and the testimony was narrowly produced. So I'm not sure we have a whole lot of range of other searches at issue, so to speak.

THE COURT: Let's do this, after each party receives a copy of the other party's brief, if either party feels there's a need to reply, you can ask the Court for permission, in writing, just fax it to me, $I$ will take it up at that time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
THE COURT: I would ask also on that issue, I think I mentioned it before, I did not have access in our law library, or my online law library, to all of the secondary sources that necessarily relate to that issue. So if you have -- if you're going to be citing any secondary sources, please give me copies. I have got ALR and Amger and those types of things, but I think it was --

ATTORNEY BUTING: La Fave.
THE COURT: -- La Fave I do not have.
Right. I'm not looking to make the file any bigger than it is, but if you cite to La Fave, give me a copy. I think I have already gotten one from the State.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think you got the copy. I think, unless counsel disagrees, I think we have got the relevant portions of La Fave for the Court.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I believe so. If there are any -- so the Court has access to case law.

THE COURT: Other jurisdiction case law is fine, I have got Lexus Nexus, but La Fave is not on there.

ATTORNEY BUTING: So anything like Law Journals, Law Reviews, things of those nature that
might -- you do not have access to?
THE COURT: If you have got access to Lexus and it's on Lexus, you don't have to send it to me.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I use Lexus.
THE COURT: Right. So, if it's not on Lexus, send it, otherwise you don't have to. I certainly have access to case law from all other jurisdictions and a number of secondary sources, but not La Fave.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, may I have just a moment to talk to Mr. Buting on this.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I thought we might have one other point of interest for the Court, but I guess we'll have to defer comment until we consider it further.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further from either party today?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes. One, just a point of clarification. This may have been implicit in the Court's rulings both on the motion to dismiss and the motion for sanctions to exclude the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, since the Court referred to having reviewed the eight news conferences, but $I$ just want to make sure that the
record is complete and that, in fact, a viewable, either VHS tape or DVD arrived from WFRV-TV to the Court as I had arranged to happen.

THE COURT: Yes, the VHS tape arrived and that's workable.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Terrific. Second, I anticipate some further motions, not just motions in limine. Conceivably, for example, some discovery that I received -- was received at my office, I have lost track of the dates now, but it's more than a week and less than two weeks ago. Some new discovery suggests a further non-evidentiary motion.

It's also entirely possible, as
Mr. Dassey's case proceeds here, that an issue may arise under Samuels -- under State vs.

Samuels in this case. We can't know that at this juncture of the proceedings in Mr. Dassey's separate case.

But what I would propose is that I treat the October 16 deadline as a good time to file any other motion, other than an in limine issue properly addressed much closer to trial, you know, that has arisen with new discovery, or new information, or new events since June 16.

For that matter, September 13, I also
could treat as a date for raising any new issues. I know there's at least one that $I$ intend to raise so, that's disclosure. And I guess also jointly request that the Court set a date, fix a date for me to do that, or accommodate new issues that have arisen.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: We are going to need a scheduling conference anyway, Judge. We talked about jury questionnaires. We talked about exchange of experts and some other more definite scheduling order from the Court. And whether the Court wants to do that by a phone conference, to at least schedule that meeting, or wants to set that meeting, we're certainly amenable to that.

THE COURT: All right. Because of the contemplated adjournment of the trial date, $I$ didn't give that as much attention as I might have before today. I agree that we're going to need a scheduling conference at some point to establish timelines for filing motions in limine, jury questionnaires, those types of things. Do either of the parties have any suggestions about when that could be effectively accomplished?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, we'll know where we
are on some things on October 16, particularly DNA, and the wrongful conviction, and victim's history information.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Perhaps later that week, Judge, we know it's blocked off our calendar so.

THE COURT: I know I have got time that week. All right. I'm having the clerk get me my calendar.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Could either be that Thursday or Friday, those work best for us, Judge.

THE COURT: Thursday the 19th, morning or afternoon?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Morning would be just fine.

THE COURT: Should we say 10:00.
ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's good, Judge. Thank you.

THE CLERK: What date was that?
THE COURT: October 19th.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Will that be on the record or in chambers, your Honor?

THE COURT: I will notify you about that a little closer to the date, whether it will be on the record, or simply a scheduling conference, or
something that involves going on the record. For now, it will be an off the record scheduling conference, but I'm going to hold the time in the event there is anything to deal with on the record. Does either party have anything else that needs addressing?

ATTORNEY STRANG: So we'll address deadlines for further motions and the whole sort of schedule before trial at that point?

THE COURT: Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Fine.

THE COURT: Anything else today?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge. Thank you.
THE COURT: If not, we're adjourned for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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arguments [11] 21/19 21/22 32/3
48/9 51/1 54/13 54/15 55/6 56/8
56/11 56/15
arise [1] 60/15
arisen [2] 60/23 61/6
arises [2] 20/24 52/25
arising [2] 30/16 53/19
around [1] 51/4
arranged [1] 60/3
arrest [1] 3/6
arrived [2] 60/2 60/4
Article [2] 3/11 3/14
as [89]
ask[4] 16/4 55/4 57/24 58/2
asking [2] 14/12 52/14
asks [1] 37/22
aspect [1] 48/20
aspects [1] $13 / 12$
assault [3] 8/15 21/8 32/1
assembly [1] 20/8
assertion [5] 41/2 41/6 42/25 43/9 43/22
asserts [6] 3/10 10/12 28/6 31/23
42/1 45/15
assist [2] 40/15 40/19
assistance [1] 33/20
assisted [2] 42/1 64/10
at [49] $1 / 17$ 1/19 2/1 $7 / 117 / 24$
$8 / 1210 / 1812 / 613 / 915 / 515 / 7$
16/11 $17 / 1118 / 119 / 320 / 921 / 22$
22/7 22/15 23/1 24/9 25/17 28/23
30/23 31/14 32/11 35/1 37/2
39/1 5 39/17 40/18 40/18 43/3
$44 / 12$ 45/1 $846 / 1346 / 1646 / 16$
52/15 53/15 53/16 57/20 57/25
60/9 60/16 61/2 61/13 61/20 63/9
Attached [1] 13/15
attempt [1] 27/8
attempts [1] 12/4
attending [1] 20/1
attention [1] 61/18
attorney [7] 1/17 1/19 2/6 3/4 7/13
9/22 11/5
attorney's [1] 25/14
attorneys [4] 17/8 17/13 22/12
26/5
attributed [1] 30/18
AUGUST [6] 1/9 48/19 49/19 49/19

## 52/20 52/20

August 10 [2] 49/19 52/20
August 18 [1] 48/19
August 9 [2] 49/19 52/20
authority [2] 27/1 27/2
authorization [1] 42/3
authorized [1] 45/3
auto [3] 37/2 38/5 45/18
available [2] 6/18 11/6
AVERY [37] 1/6 1/20 2/2 2/10 6/13
8/11 8/14 8/19 9/10 13/3 14/11
14/19 15/14 $15 / 23$ 20/9 21/6 21/7
26/2 26/23 32/4 34/21 36/15 37/2
38/3 38/5 38/8 38/16 38/21 38/23
40/6 40/7 40/15 40/21 43/10
$43 / 1245 / 1645 / 18$
Avery's [6] 3/20 14/4 43/15 45/22 52/22 56/23
avoid [2] 18/18 23/15
aware [1] 18/6
away [1] 9/18
awkward [1] 9/8

## B

back[3] 8/11 14/10 21/7
bailiff [1] 20/8
balance [1] 8/24
balanced [1] 10/6
barrel [1] 45/19
based [9] $13 / 10$ 14/2 18/12 27/21
32/10 35/12 39/5 54/7 54/14
basing [1] 7/19
basis [8] 2/24 3/22 20/23 35/9
$35 / 1941 / 542 / 1544 / 18$
Bay [1] 21/1
be [87]
bears [1] 34/6
because[15] 4/8 10/11 20/14
21/13 26/11 31/1 31/8 35/13
$37 / 16$ 38/6 38/19 42/3 50/25
57/12 61/16
become [1] 6/20
been [36] 2/16 4/20 6/6 6/23 7/2
8/8 8/17 9/6 $11 / 1811 / 23$ 14/9
18/2 18/13 $18 / 14$ 18/23 22/5 24/2 24/6 24/20 25/20 40/12 40/19
$42 / 842 / 1342 / 1644 / 945 / 346 / 25$
$51 / 1252 / 753 / 553 / 656 / 2257 / 17$ 59/20 64/8
before [19] 1/10 6/23 7/24 10/25
11/17 21/16 23/23 25/4 25/9 26/9
27/12 30/5 30/24 31/11 31/19
49/11 58/3 61/18 63/9
begins [1] 19/10
behalf [7] 1/13 1/15 1/17 1/19
13/25 20/21 36/18
behavior [1] 5/18
being [10] 2/19 4/11 7/9 12/7
13/21 15/17 17/17 19/23 22/6 27/9
belief [3] 8/16 9/24 11/12
believe [13] 10/1 $12 / 1314 / 1018 / 3$
18/10 23/11 31/20 42/12 53/6
53/25 55/13 57/1 58/19
believed [3] 7/7 9/10 9/19
believes [4] 6/10 10/18 23/21 47/16
benefit [2] 54/12 57/11
best [2] 62/10 64/13
bias [1] 7/19
bigger [1] 58/12
bit [1] 56/16
blocked [1] 62/5
blue [1] 41/9
bluish [1] 41/9
both [11] 13/1 15/18 17/24 28/7
49/12 50/13 51/13 51/21 52/14
52/24 59/21
bottom [2] 10/21 12/8
BRANCH [2] 1/1 64/5
Brendan [3] 6/14 26/20 27/1
brief [8] 3/18 $15 / 15$ 46/10 48/19
50/1755/13 57/14 57/22
briefed [2] 51/3 52/25
briefs [6] 35/14 48/11 50/13 54/8 54/1755/8
Briggs [2] 4/13 6/17
buildings [1] 45/20
|Burish [1] 2/13
burn [2] 45/19 45/19
but [39] 5/9 6/11 8/15 8/19 9/6
11/9 14/17 14/21 15/7 16/11 18/6 19/10 19/20 20/3 22/16 27/3 27/4 36/22 40/4 41/7 42/25 45/12 $50 / 13$ 53/4 53/7 53/22 54/3 54/13 55/2 55/6 58/9 58/13 58/22 59/8 59/14 59/25 60/10 60/19 63/3
BUTING [10] $1 / 18$ 2/11 $2 / 12$ 46/22 47/10 47/15 47/24 48/2 51/17 59/11
C
calculated [1] 21/14
calendar [2] 62/5 62/8
call [1] 33/13
called [1] $42 / 9$
caller [1] 33/11
calls [2] 2/1 $18 / 15$
Calumet [25] 2/6 3/4 11/4 12/18
13/5 13/13 $13 / 18$ 13/22 14/3
14/21 14/24 16/21 16/25 17/20
17/23 18/4 18/10 19/5 19/11
19/22 20/5 20/6 22/2 22/12 25/25
came [2] 22/16 54/14
can [14] $11 / 1312 / 136 / 537 / 15$
44/21 47/16 47/22 47/23 49/11
51/21 54/21 55/1 55/20 57/23
can't [1] 60/16
cannot [1] 19/2
care [2] 11/24 23/21
careful [1] 5/10
carefully [1] 64/8
case [62] 1/5 2/2 3/7 4/4 4/6 4/12 4/14 4/18 5/13 5/20 6/6 6/13 6/22 7/10 8/12 9/20 9/23 12/11 13/20
14/24 16/25 18/4 18/22 19/17
19/20 20/22 23/5 23/23 24/6
24/23 25/12 25/19 26/1 26/21
26/22 27/1 27/3 27/4 27/5 27/15
$30 / 1230 / 1330 / 1431 / 431 / 14$
$32 / 2233 / 8$ 33/9 34/2 34/4 38/2
40/2 44/14 55/6 57/4 57/13 58/20
58/21 59/7 60/14 60/16 60/18
cases [5] 4/11 4/15 6/9 24/15
24/21
casual [2] 34/7 34/17
cause [7] 36/5 37/20 38/14 39/14
39/23 39/25 42/10
certain [4] 27/17 47/22 56/11
56/11
certainly [8] 10/2 12/1 31/12 34/15
47/14 49/11 59/7 61/15
certify [1] 64/6
CF [2] $1 / 5 \quad 2 / 2$
challenge [7] 35/11 35/16 38/4
38/17 45/17 46/21 52/21
challenged [1] 38/12
challenges [2] 35/18 47/25
challenging [2] 35/8 46/2
chambers [1] 62/22
chance [1] 27/11
change [7] 4/20 5/9 10/20 11/11
11/19 12/5 24/21
character [1] 41/13
characterized [1] 36/16
characterizes [1] 40/25
characters [4] 37/7 41/14 41/16
41/23
charge [4] 4/8 20/7 21/8 31/17
charged [2] 9/20 30/14
charges [7] 4/16 5/2 5/9 8/7 10/3
charges... [2] 11/2 31/4
chief [1] 20/22
choose [1] 13/12
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/10 64/5
circumstances [2] 33/18 33/22
cite [3] 4/10 25/14 58/13
cited [2] 6/2 24/15
cites [2] 3/7 4/4
citing [1] 58/7
citizens [3] 10/17 36/14 40/3
civil [1] 9/22
claim [4] 3/23 39/1 43/20 44/8
claimed [2] 36/24 41/3
clarification [2] 26/18 59/20
clause [3] 32/19 32/21 34/23
clear [1] 28/18
clearly [1] 44/23
clerk [1] 62/7
close [2] 34/10 42/19
closer [3] 18/10 60/22 62/24
co [8] 6/13 27/16 27/18 28/20 29/2
32/8 34/1 1 34/25
co-defendant [1] 6/13
co-workers [7] 27/16 27/18 28/20
29/2 32/8 34/11 34/25
colloquy [1] 15/15
come [2] 9/18 56/8
comes [2] 34/10 53/20
coming [3] 23/12 53/23 55/15
commence [1] 12/12
comment [4] 12/24 25/19 26/1
59/15
comments [19] 3/7 8/1 10/5 20/24
21/4 21/14 22/11 23/2 23/5 23/12
23/15 23/16 23/22 23/24 24/25
25/6 25/15 25/19 27/5
commit [1] 8/19
committed [1] 22/6
compile [1] 46/25
complain [1] 56/23
complained [2] 5/25 10/24
Complaints [2] 6/12 6/19
complete [3] 37/5 37/14 60/1
complied [1] 47/2
comply [1] 22/21
composed [3] 10/17 16/25 19/7
computer [1] 64/10
computer-assisted [1] 64/10
computerized [1] 64/9
conceded [2] 8/18 43/3
Conceivably [1] 60/8
concern [8] 15/4 23/1 25/15 53/10
53/11 53/12 53/19 53/22
concerning [5] $21 / 11$ 26/1 34/25
46/14 53/4
concerns [2] 22/22 34/23
conclude [3] 7/25 8/5 42/6
concluded [4] 5/7 8/20 26/3 63/16
concludes [8] 3/18 5/16 5/20 26/11
34/19 37/17 44/5 45/25
conclusion [3] 34/24 43/3 44/13
conclusions [1] 24/12
concur [1] 18/7
condition [3] 28/15 29/25 30/1
conditionally [1] 44/11
conduct [3] 15/15 40/22 51/23
conducted [3] 3/3 20/5 45/18
conference [5] 61/9 61/13 61/20 62/25 63/3
conferences [8] $3 / 3$ 3/5 6/3 6/7
6/25 11/1 11/4 59/25
confined [2] 6/11 $11 / 6$
confirm [1] 46/12
conflicts [1] 18/18
confront [2] 28/1 32/24
confrontation [3] 32/18 32/21
34/22
consensual [1] 43/8
consent [3] 37/13 40/21 42/3
consider [1] 59/15
considerations [1] 18/12
considered [2] 5/6 37/16
constitute [4] 25/8 29/4 37/25 42/7
constitution [4] 3/12 3/14 3/15 32/19
constitutional [4] $3 / 1116 / 8 \quad 22 / 4$ 32/18
contact [2] 20/16 23/8
contacted [1] 23/10
contained [3] 6/12 28/9 35/6
contains [1] 38/14
contemplated [1] 61/17
contemplation [1] 28/16
contends [1] 21/12
content [3] 6/11 6/14 39/22
contention [4] 50/22 51/14 52/24

## 54/23

contested [2] 27/9 47/7
context [4] 23/13 25/7 34/15 57/4
contingent [2] 10/20 11/11
continuance [4] 4/20 5/9 19/4
24/22
continue [1] 12/13
contribute [1] 44/2
control [2] 24/1 45/4
convenient [1] 19/9
conviction [3] 48/24 49/16 62/2
convinced [1] 8/13
copies [1] 58/7
copy [3] 57/22 58/14 58/16
Corporation [1] 13/24
corpse [1] 30/16
correct [5] 15/10 $15 / 20$ 44/6 46/24
64/12
correctly [1] 42/18
correspondence [1] 13/23
could [22] 5/1 5/13 5/17 10/7
10/17 16/19 27/4 28/22 29/9
29/20 30/4 30/6 32/9 40/12 43/16
43/19 54/15 54/20 56/4 61/1
61/24 62/9
counsel [16] 11/23 13/1 13/24
14/15 14/25 22/14 26/7 26/7 26/9 36/20 46/18 50/18 51/6 53/5
53/18 58/17
counsel's [1] 50/17
counter [1] 57/16
counter-reply [1] 57/16
county [74]
couple [1] 47/19
course [4] 20/7 33/13 33/17 53/20
court [149]
Court's [10] 18/6 22/17 23/20
26/21 27/3 27/12 45/24 49/15 52/13 59/21
courthouse [8] 12/19 13/22 14/3
14/25 17/20 18/1 19/6 20/6
Crawford [3] 32/21 32/23 34/4
credit [1] 10/4
crime [2] 8/19 31/19
crimes [4] 6/6 9/20 22/5 30/10
criminal [4] 4/7 4/16 5/1 34/1
current [1] $32 / 10$
custody [2] 20/9 20/9
D
Dassey [4] 6/14 26/20 26/22 27/1
Dassey's [2] 60/14 60/17
date [19] 1/9 4/21 7/24 10/16
11/20 18/16 19/1 19/4 28/25 47/6
47/13 47/22 56/1 61/1 61/4 61/5
61/17 62/19 62/24
Dated [1] 64/15
dates [1] 60/10
daughter [3] 36/14 36/22 42/12
Davis [2] 33/8 34/3
day [1] 64/15
days [5] 17/16 17/19 47/11 47/16
47/19
deadline [2] 53/11 60/20
deadlines [1] 63/8
deal [2] 12/4 63/4
dealing [1] $6 / 24$
dealt [1] 2/19
DEAN [2] 1/16 2/12
decide [1] 51/18
decided [1] 33/8
decides [1] 50/20
decision [15] 1/4 2/15 2/21 5/3
5/15 6/17 17/8 18/6 23/20 36/1
43/21 45/24 48/10 52/14 52/15
decisions [3] 2/17 7/19 46/9
declarant [5] 28/13 28/15 29/16 29/17 29/25
declarant's [2] 28/1731/2
defendant [53] 1/7 1/17 1/19 1/21
3/2 3/7 3/10 3/25 4/4 4/7 4/10
4/19 5/25 6/5 6/9 6/13 7/6 7/9 9/6 9/15 9/19 10/1 10/3 10/8 10/14 10/23 11/3 11/10 17/24 21/12
22/3 22/7 22/14 24/13 26/2 30/14
30/21 32/24 35/18 36/2 36/13
$37 / 3$ 37/17 39/1 39/4 39/8 39/19
40/10 41/25 43/23 44/7 44/8 45/5
defendant's [32] $2 / 223 / 64 / 23$
5/23 7/7 9/1 9/3 9/21 10/20 11/11
11/15 21/11 21/15 23/18 25/9
27/20 28/1 28/22 29/21 32/1
$32 / 1735 / 836 / 837 / 1237 / 24$
39/16 40/2 41/25 42/6 42/19 44/4
48/24
defense [37] 3/18 7/97/11 10/11
12/9 18/21 18/24 19/15 19/22
20/19 20/23 22/13 22/14 22/23
23/21 24/15 26/8 26/12 26/15
27/22 29/6 36/20 40/25 43/2
44/14 46/2 46/4 48/5 48/16 48/22
$51 / 12$ 52/2 53/2 54/6 55/14 55/23
56/21
defer [1] 59/15
definite [1] 61/11
definition [1] 26/21
degree [1] 30/15
Delaware [4] 35/10 35/25 39/6 46/7
delay [1] 18/16
delete [1] 44/18
demonstrate [2] 31/6 36/21
demonstrated [1] 38/7
demonstrates [1] 11/12
denied [4] 11/15 26/16 40/24 46/8
deny [1] 37/22
department [21] 2/9 9/5 9/9 13/17
19/16 19/19 20/6 20/13 20/15
20/20 22/13 23/3 24/1 24/5 24/24
25/16 25/17 25/24 25/25 26/14

| D | drastic [2] 5/17 24/16 draw [1] 10/19 | exactly [2] 29/14 52/25 <br> example [3] 34/9 34/12 60/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| department... [1] 59/23 | due [2] 3/12 54 | exception [9] 28/9 28/23 29/8 |
| depend [1] 52/13 | during [2] 27/19 52/20 | 29/13 29/19 30/4 32/13 35/6 |
| Depending [1] 32/6 | DVD [1] 60/2 | 45/21 |
| derive [1] 51/23 | E | exceptions [1] 33/2 |
| describes [3] 28/14 29/5 43/6 | each [8] 28/2 48/22 50/18 51/5 | exchanged [1] 49/7 |
| describing [2] 28/20 34/10 | 55/1 55/5 56/14 | excised [2] 37/19 38/13 |
| description [2] 37/1 41/4 | Earl [1] 40/21 | exclude [5] 19/15 20/19 24/23 |
| designed [1] 5/22 | earlier [6] 22/9 25/11 27/19 28/21 | 48/25 59/22 |
| destroyed [1] 3/20 | 34/20 35/1 | excluded [3] 28/12 29/23 39/25 |
| detached [2] 38/6 45/22 | early [5] 7/5 7/16 10/16 11/1 | exclusion [3] 24/16 26/13 51/22 |
| detail [5] 6/9 12/2 14/8 21/3 55/18 | 24/10 | exclusionary [3] 51/19 51/19 52/3 |
| detailed [1] 49/17 | easier [1] 56/13 | excuse [1] 56/20 |
| detailing [1] 6/8 | economical [1] 18/ | execution [2] 35/17 56/ |
| details [1] 20/1 | effective [1] 22/25 | executions [3] 35/13 46/3 54/7 |
| detective [21] 36/11 37/9 37/12 | effectively [2] 3/20 61/24 | existed [1] 7/17 |
| 37/18 38/18 38/18 38/20 38/24 | effects [2] 7/15 18/17 | existing [1] 30/3 |
| 40/5 40/5 40/14 41/17 41/21 42/2 | egregious [3] 5/18 5/22 8/6 | exonerated [1] 21/9 |
| 43/7 43/14 43/25 44/16 44/22 | eight [3] 3/3 6/2 59/24 | expanded [1] 32/20 |
| 45/2 45/9 | either [20] 10/5 19/12 24/17 25/23 | expect [1] 10/2 |
| determination [1] 16/18 | 38/22 43/11 47/3 47/4 47/7 49/19 | expectation [6] 38/7 38/21 43/10 |
| Diane [3] 1/24 64/4 64/19 | 50/1 53/22 55/5 56/9 57/23 59/18 | 43/16 43/24 45/6 |
| did [21] 4/15 5/4 6/7 8/19 8/21 | 60/2 61/22 62/9 63/5 | experts [1] 61/11 |
| 9/25 14/16 14/17 23/3 23/5 24/24 | elegantly [1] 52/24 | expiration [1] 24/9 |
| 25/8 25/14 36/21 37/13 42/3 42/6 | element [1] 30/10 | explain [1] 9/8 |
| 44/24 46/10 53/13 58/3 | elements [1] 31/16 | explained [1] 14/25 |
| didn't [1] 61/17 | eliminate [1] 22/10 | explaining [1] 29/24 |
| difference [1] 50/7 | else [2] 63/5 63/12 | explanation [1] 9/4 |
| different [5] 26/23 51/1 54/15 54/16 54/18 | emergency [3] 33/11 33/20 33/23 enable [1] 33/19 | expressed [2] 9/23 40/14 extend [1] 27/4 |
| differentiated [1] 55/8 | end [1] 41/10 | extent [1] 39/25 |
| difficulty [1] 49/21 | endorse [1] 52/19 | extreme [1] 22/18 |
| dire [1] 5/11 | enforcement [7] 9/1 9/25 36/18 40/19 42/22 43/2 51/24 | F |
| directly [1] 24/6 | enlisted [1] 40/19 | face [1] 40/1 |
| disagrees [1] 58/17 | enter [1] 40/22 | facilities [1] 17/21 |
| disappearance [4] 30/17 30/20 | entire [2] 41/12 | fact [10] 4/17 6/16 11/22 18/9 |
| 30/24 31/12 | entirely [2] 5/4 60/13 | 20/9 36/17 37/6 40/13 40/20 60/1 |
| disclose [2] 40/20 43/18 | entirety [1] 21/18 | facts [3] 10/22 10/23 32/6 |
| disclosed [1] 15/7 | entitled [7] 4/7 7/18 21/13 32/24 | failure [1] 43/17 |
| disclosure [3] 7/1 15/9 61/3 | 44/9 53/3 53/25 | fair [12] 3/1 3/25 4/23 5/23 10/8 |
| discover [1] 44/24 | entitling [2] 39/2 | 10/16 10/19 11/13 21/15 25/9 |
| discovery [8] 24/17 40/10 42/14 | entries [1] 55/1 | 51/10 52/16 |
| 44/18 47/11 60/8 60/12 60/23 | entry [3] 43/7 45/2 57/8 | fairly [3] 14/17 49/17 56/22 |
| discretion [1] 23/15 | especially [1] 7/4 | faith [1] 53/12 |
| discuss [3] 17/8 17/12 55/18 | essence [1] 14/16 | fall [5] 28/8 29/12 30/4 32/13 33/1 |
| dismiss [4] 2/22 10/3 11/15 59/21 | establish [3] 33/24 39/23 61/20 | FALLON [8] 1/14 2/8 21/22 47/12 |
| dismissal [10] 3/22 4/7 4/19 5/1 | established [1] 39/19 | 51/15 52/6 52/23 56/18 |
| 5/8 5/14 5/16 5/17 8/7 10/11 | establishing [1] 35/3 | false [10] 35/20 36/3 36/9 37/17 |
| dismissed [1] 4/17 | evaluate [1] 18/21 | 39/5 39/9 39/13 39/21 41/1 42/8 |
| dispute [1] 29/7 | even [7] 6/23 8/3 24/22 26/21 | falsely [2] 36/24 37/4 |
| disregard [4] 35/22 39/11 39/18 | 38/12 49/3 52/12 | family [9] 7/7 9/1 9/3 9/16 9/22 |
| 41/2 | event [8] $7 / 3$ 28/14 29/5 29/25 | 13/6 13/7 14/6 18/9 |
| district [4] $2 / 63 / 47 / 1311 / 5$ | 30/1 39/17 56/10 63/4 | family's [1] 13/11 |
| DNA [3] 46/15 50/8 62/1 do [25] $15 / 8$ 16/3 16/7 16/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { events [4] } 33 / 2553 / 2153 / 23 \\ & 60 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | Fave [6] 58/10 58/11 58/13 58/18 58/22 59/9 |
| 16/14 16/15 16/22 17/10 17/11 | ever [4] 4/5 4/6 5/15 5/15 | fax [1] 57/24 |
| 17/13 23/22 27/6 29/12 37/24 | everybody [1] 15/16 | fear[1] 31/7 |
| 48/10 54/20 55/15 56/16 57/21 | everyone [1] 19/10 | fearful [1] 30/23 |
| 58/11 59/1 61/5 61/13 61/22 64/6 | everything [2] 48/15 55/15 | February [3] 12/12 14/10 19/2 |
| document [2] 49/19 54/1 | evidence [29] $7 / 20 \quad 13 / 21 \quad 14 / 5$ | February 5 [2] 12/12 19/2 |
| does [17] 4/10 7/25 8/5 11/24 | 18/21 23/7 24/3 24/16 24/23 | feels [1] 57/23 |
| 23/11 24/1 29/6 29/16 31/20 34/8 | 27/23 30/22 31/2 31/5 31/13 | fell [1] 23/16 |
| 42/22 44/1 44/6 49/12 51/20 | 31/22 36/20 39/20 39/20 43/4 | felt [1] 22/19 |
| 51/21 63/5 | 44/12 45/5 46/15 48/23 49/6 | few [3] 17/16 17/19 31/11 |
| don't [18] 12/2 17/14 27/2 49/10 | 49/16 50/9 51/22 52/2 52/13 | file [2] 58/12 60/20 |
| 49/20 49/20 50/2 50/6 53/18 | 54/14 | filed [12] 2/16 6/23 7/10 11/18 |
| 53/22 53/25 55/4 55/24 56/7 | evidentiary [4] 36/19 38/1 52/20 | 20/19 46/14 48/7 48/11 48/22 |
| 57/15 57/15 59/3 59/6 | 60/12 | 48/23 48/25 53/3 |
| done [1] 47/16 | $\text { ex [1] 4/1 } 1$ | filing [1] 61/21 |
| draft [3] 26/8 26/18 49/18 | exact [1] 45/1 | finally [3] 10/10 35/7 38/16 |


| F | grant [2] 18/14 18/24 | his [34] 3/10 3/12 8/5 9/4 9/9 9/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| finding [2] 36/5 39/14 | granting [1] 24/13 | 21/20 22/3 23/14 24/1 24/8 24/9 |
| finds [1] 51/8 | grants [1] 10/15 | 27/4 27/5 28/1 30/17 32/5 32/25 |
| fine [6] 27/13 48/6 58/22 62/14 | green [4] 21/1 41/7 41/9 41/9 | 35/16 37/14 38/5 40/21 41/17 |
| 62/16 63/11 | Gregory [2] 8/13 8/20 | 41/18 43/1844/9 49/4 53/15 |
| firmly [1] 33/1 | grounds [3] 2/22 24/21 46/6 | history [3] 49/7 49/24 62/2 |
| first [12] $2 / 216 / 28 / 816 / 7$ 28/5 | guess [2] 59/15 61/3 | hold [3] 18/4 19/5 63/3 |
| 30/15 36/12 37/23 38/25 40/3 | quilty [3] 8/14 9/19 10/1 | holding [2] 17/23 38/2 |
| 49/4 54/24 | H | holiday [1] 18/19 |
| fit [1] 28/22 | had [28] 8/8 9/5 14/22 15/4 17/7 | homicide [1] 30/15 |
| fix[1] 61/4 | 17/15 17/18 18/19 23/17 23/17 | Hon [1] 1/10 |
| focused [1] 11/1 | 38/21 40/13 40/19 40/20 41/4 | Honor [3] 26/18 59/10 62/22 |
| followed [1] 49/14 | 42/8 42/11 43/1 43/10 43/15 | hours [1] 54/24 |
| following [11] 2/16 6/1 12/10 | 43/23 45/5 46/22 47/1 53/10 | how [2] 12/2 55/5 |
| 21/24 24/11 28/12 29/23 34/3 | 53/10 60/3 64/13 | however [8] 5/14 8/5 29/3 31/18 |
| 36/19 37/23 39/7 | Halbach [22] 11/2 13/6 13/6 13/7 | 32/9 36/23 42/19 43/22 |
| follows [4] 5/5 28/11 29/23 33/15 | 13/10 14/6 27/16 27/17 28/19 | Hurley [1] 2/12 |
| foregoing [2] 64/7 64/7 | 29/1 30/3 30/8 31/10 31/24 32/5 | I |
| foresee [1] 19/2 | 32/13 34/11 34/20 34/25 37/2 |  |
| form [1] 27/7 | 41/5 43/25 | I'm [18] 12/15 15/14 18/13 26/7 |
| formal [2] 34/5 54/1 | Halbach's [8] 13/10 28/6 29/10 | 26/18 26/25 35/10 47/21 48/9 |
| formed [1] 42/15 | 36/15 38/22 43/11 44/19 44/25 | 50/3 53/16 55/15 57/5 57/11 |
| forth [1] 13/18 | handed [1] 11/23 | 57/18 58/12 62/7 63/3 |
| found [2] 4/6 40/3 | hanging [1] 53/7 | idea [1] 47/13 |
| foundation [3] 28/23 29/7 35/2 | happen [2] 47/19 60/3 | identification [3] 37/8 37/15 37/19 |
| four [3] 3/5 6/2 6/7 | happy [1] 26/18 | identified [1] 12/22 |
| Fourth [3] 38/12 39/14 51/16 | has [58] 2/23 2/25 3/24 4/2 4/5 | identify [1] 37/6 |
| FOX [2] 20/25 23/8 | 4/6 5/23 6/22 7/10 8/17 8/18 8/20 | identifying [1] 42/14 |
| frame [3] 9/5 9/10 9/14 | 9/11 10/13 12/20 14/1 14/19 17/3 | if [44] 9/25 10/8 10/15 13/11 16/9 |
| frame-up [1] 9/14 | 18/2 18/9 18/23 20/19 21/17 | 16/18 16/20 17/11 19/14 20/9 |
| framed [2] 7/9 56/6 | 21/25 22/3 22/24 24/2 24/6 24/8 | 27/8 28/13 36/1 37/17 38/12 |
| Franks [12] 35/10 35/25 38/2 39/3 | 24/20 25/10 28/24 29/16 31/15 | 39/12 39/25 40/18 43/14 46/20 |
| 39/6 43/20 44/4 44/8 44/10 44/14 | 31/16 31/21 32/2 35/14 38/6 39/1 | 47/22 49/10 49/10 50/2 50/6 |
| 45/24 46/7 | 40/17 40/23 45/16 46/10 46/14 | 50/19 51/4 51/6 51/7 51/7 51/20 |
| Friday [2] 48/19 62/10 | 46/25 47/2 47/11 48/7 48/8 48/22 | 53/20 54/21 55/6 55/19 57/15 |
| friends [1] 9/22 | 48/22 48/25 56/11 56/22 58/20 | 57/22 58/6 58/6 58/13 58/19 59/2 |
| front [1] 4/1 | 60/23 64/8 | 59/5 63/14 |
| fruits [4] 36/6 39/24 46/5 54/6 | have [101] | ill [2] 8/1 11/9 |
| full [3] 37/10 41/21 42/2 | haven't [1] 54/12 | ill-advised [2] 8/1 11/9 |
| fully [1] 13/11 | having [3] 14/9 59/24 62/7 | illegally [1] 37/16 |
| further [20] 8/24 17/12 18/16 19/4 | he [16] $4 / 58 / 1210 / 1114 / 1614 / 17$ | immediately [1] 30/1 |
| 19/12 21/4 25/1 25/21 26/1 37/3 | 14/18 14/22 21/9 21/12 21/13 | impartial [2] 3/21 16/18 |
| 37/12 44/13 51/7 52/12 57/12 | 37/11 38/6 41/20 41/21 42/3 | implicate [1] 34/22 |
| 59/16 59/18 60/7 60/12 63/8 | 47/16 | implicit [1] 59/20 |
| future[3] 32/2 53/20 53/21 | he's [3] 2/11 14/24 20/9 | important [2] 15/13 42/15 |
| G | heard [7] 21/19 25/12 27/11 32/2 | impossible [3] 2/24 3/1 10/14 |
| gag [2] 22/17 23/4 | hearing [13] 8/12 21/23 35/7 | improper [1] 24/25 |
| Gahn [4] 46/22 46/25 47/12 47/20 | 36/19 38/1 39/3 39/15 39/17 43/3 | in [235] |
| garage [3] 38/6 45/22 56/24 | 44/4 44/8 44/9 44/12 | inappropriate [2] 23/12 25/7 |
| gathered [1] 38/17 | hearsay [11] 27/25 28/5 28/9 | inclined [1] 42/5 |
| gave [1] 49/18 | 28/13 28/22 29/8 29/19 29/24 | include [2] 26/19 29/16 |
| get [4] 17/4 48/3 55/20 62/7 | 32/13 33/2 35/5 | included [7] 8/25 19/18 35/23 |
| give [7] 17/18 27/11 47/25 56/2 | held [9] 12/18 13/21 16/12 16/17 | 39/11 42/8 45/10 45/11 |
| 58/7 58/13 61/18 | 16/19 16/21 22/2 39/6 39/15 | includes [3] 13/2 13/5 13/15 |
| given [1] 6/22 | her [37] 27/19 27/20 28/7 28/8 | including [3] 14/9 21/6 45/19 |
| gives [1] 10/4 | 28/20 28/21 29/2 29/4 29/11 | inconsistencies [1] 41/24 |
| Glynn [1] 9/23 | 29/12 29/17 29/18 30/3 30/8 | incorrect [1] 41/20 |
| go [4] 12/3 17/11 40/6 59/12 | 30/19 30/21 30/23 30/24 31/3 | incorrectly [1] 36/16 |
| goes [2] 36/23 49/5 | 31/1131/11 31/13 31/13 31/15 | indeed [4] 5/2 7/9 29/6 43/2 |
| going [22] 15/15 18/14 21/3 25/22 | 31/19 31/20 31/25 32/2 32/7 32/8 | independently [1] 28/3 |
| 46/21 46/23 47/7 47/19 48/3 48/9 | 34/1 $134 / 20$ 34/25 34/25 36/13 | indicate [1] 33/22 |
| 48/11 52/11 54/1754/23 55/3 | 36/21 42/12 | indicated [1] 15/4 |
| 55/16 57/4 58/6 61/8 61/19 63/1 | here [15] 2/10 2/14 10/24 13/4 | indicates [1] 41/12 |
| 63/3 | 14/19 15/17 18/15 19/1 19/9 20/3 | indicating [3] 7/10 33/18 41/19 |
| good [4] 2/13 53/12 60/20 62/17 | 21/4 31/9 49/20 51/20 60/14 | indirectly [1] 24/6 |
| got [6] 58/8 58/16 58/18 58/22 | hereby [1] 64/6 | individual [1] 54/16 |
| 59/2 62/6 | hiding [1] 30/15 | individually [1] 54/19 |
| gotten [2] 53/17 58/14 | him [5] 7/8 15/15 23/10 39/2 | inference [2] 10/19 40/11 |
| government [1] 34/6 | 47/23 | inflammatory [2] 6/15 10/6 |
| governs [1] 39/7 | himself [1] 22/14 | influenced [2] 10/5 24/7 |
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overseeing [1] 19/19
own [3] 11/11 21/10 23/15
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## P

page [3] 3/18 12/3 12/8
Pagel [1] 13/16
Pamela [3] 36/13 41/6 42/12
paragraph [2] 15/3 48/20
Paragraph 6 [1] 48/20
paraphrasing [1] 12/15
part [14] 3/8 5/18 7/21 9/15 12/7
13/9 20/21 $21 / 2$ 21/17 25/2 28/11
29/23 35/16 40/10
participate [2] 13/11 24/25
participated [2] 8/2 24/5
participation [11] 3/16 3/23 4/8
4/25 5/24 8/5 9/13 10/12 11/8 14/5 24/19
particular [1] 34/2
particularly [1] 62/1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { parties [37] } & 2 / 3 & 11 / 21 & 12 / 9 & 12 / 13\end{array}$ 12/22 13/2 $14 / 11$ 15/3 15/6 15/8 15/18 15/24 17/15 18/13 20/3 22/1 22/10 22/20 22/25 23/17 25/12 27/7 27/9 27/11 32/3 35/15 $46 / 17$ 49/1 49/13 49/25 50/13 50/15 51/21 52/11 52/1454/17
$61 / 23$
parts [1] 12/16
party[12] 19/12 23/1 40/17 48/22
51/3 55/5 55/5 56/9 57/21 57/23
59/18 63/5
party's [2] 21/19 57/22
pass [1] 53/23
past [3] 18/24 21/6 33/25
Patricia [2] 41/19 42/11
Patrick [1] 1/10
pending [1] 28/16
per [1] 57/6
perceive [1] 53/18
perceived [5] 9/14 28/7 28/15 29/6 29/16
perceiving [1] 30/1
perception [1] 29/14
perceptions [1] 29/18
perhaps [5] 43/16 47/14 49/9
55/19 62/4
perjury [1] 39/18
permission [2] 44/1 57/24
permit [1] 17/12
permits [2] 7/12 16/16
person [6] 1/21 2/11 6/4 9/17 34/7 45/4
personal [1] 31/23
personality [1] 21/11
persons [2] 10/7 40/5
Peterson [1] 20/25
phone [1] 61/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { physical [6] } & 13 / 13 & 13 / 21 & 14 / 2 & 14 / 4\end{array}$ 14/23 19/21
physically [4] 12/18 13/4 14/20
16/12
picking [2] 7/8 9/2
pit [1] 45/20
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { place }[7] & 7 / 23 & 13 / 19 & 14 / 21 & 19 / 9\end{array}$
19/11 22/6 42/24
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4
plan [2] 32/1 47/10
play [1] 51/20
pleadings [2] 6/22 6/24
please [3] 2/4 55/7 58/7
pled [2] 56/21 57/17
plenty [1] 48/1
point [16] 15/5 28/24 35/1 40/17
46/16 50/22 50/22 51/14 52/7
52/24 53/16 54/23 59/14 59/19 61/20 63/9
points [1] 42/18
police [7] 7/7 33/17 33/20 34/14
40/11 40/15 41/8
pool [1] 12/23
portion [6] 19/22 26/15 38/23
41/18 43/12 46/1
portions [4] $21 / 138 / 438 / 858 / 18$
position [2] 41/15 47/4
possibility[2] 5/4 52/1
possible [5] 5/12 40/11 52/4 54/14 60/13
possibly [1] 52/12
potential [3] 18/18 23/18 51/22
potentially [1] 33/25
precautions [1] 11/13
precedent [1] 24/13
precluded [1] 3/25
precludes [1] 26/6
preferred [2] 13/19 13/19
preferring [1] 51/4
prejudicial [4] $4 / 22$ 8/6 11/9 18/17
preliminary [8] 36/2 37/25 39/2
39/9 42/7 42/20 44/3 44/7
premises [1] 38/20
preparation [1] 57/13
prepared [2] 4/24 64/8
preponderance [1] 39/19
prerequisite [1] 44/3
presence [2] 43/14 43/18
present [4] 2/3 10/24 38/24 49/22
presented [5] 17/17 20/1 24/3
46/22 51/2
press [8] 3/3 3/5 6/2 6/7 6/18 6/21 11/4 22/11
presumably [1] 40/9
pretrial [10] 3/16 3/24 4/9 4/22
4/25 5/7 5/21 10/12 18/17 24/20
prevent [1] 19/18
previously [2] $8 / 8$ 14/8
primarily [1] 3/4
primary [4] $31 / 5$ 33/19 33/23 55/2
prior [5] 8/25 32/3 35/4 48/24
52/23
privacy [5] 38/8 38/22 43/11 43/16 43/24
probable [7] 36/5 37/20 38/14
39/14 39/23 39/25 42/10
probably [1] 50/4
probative[5] 31/18 31/21 32/8
32/14 35/3
problem [1] 5/6
problems [1] 25/22
procedure [1] 39/7
proceed [2] 11/1752/9
proceeded [1] 56/21
proceedings [14] 1/23 6/24 7/5
7/16 22/9 23/13 25/8 26/20 26/22
26/23 52/21 60/17 63/16 64/13
proceeds [1] 60/14
process [1] 3/13
produced [1] 57/18
prohibiting [1] 25/23
prohibition [1] 8/4
promised [1] 53/15
promises [1] 17/3
proof [1] 49/17
proofs [1] 56/22
proper [2] 29/7 35/2
properly [2] 51/18 60/22
property [16] 27/20 36/15 37/14
38/9 38/23 40/6 40/16 40/22 42/4
$43 / 743 / 1243 / 1544 / 145 / 345 / 4$
45/21
proposal [3] 14/9 16/6 20/14
proposals [1] 13/16
propose [2] 11/22 60/19
proposed [8] 12/7 12/25 15/17
26/19 27/7 27/10 49/3 49/15
proposing [1] 15/25
prosecution [3] 8/10 21/7 34/1
Prosecutor [4] 1/13 1/15 2/7 2/8
prospective [2] 20/13 20/16
protect [1] 7/14
prove [3] 30/11 31/17 33/25
proven [1] 22/24
proves [1] 36/3
provide [1] 10/8
provided [10] 12/3 14/8 20/25
28/24 37/5 41/12 41/19 45/8 49/4 53/14
provides [1] 28/10
provision [1] 17/5
public [7] 6/18 6/20 7/18 10/4
11/6 25/18 26/1
publicity[15] 3/17 3/24 4/9 4/22
4/25 5/7 5/21 6/22 7/15 7/17

|  |  | reviewing [1] 50/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| publicity...[5] 10/13 10/24 18/18 | ted [1] $8 / 9$ | 33] $2 / 1$ |
|  | 4/18 |  |
| 2] |  |  |
|  | relate [4] 28/3 30/9 55/6 58/5 | 48/2 48/21 49/23 50/5 51/6 51/19 |
| purportedly [1] 34/11 | [4] 6/4 | 52/10 53/2 53/5 53/18 53/24 54/5 |
| rpose [4] 13/831/5 |  |  |
| rposes [1] 33/3 | 27/5 27/19 27/25 28/7 29/4 29/9 | 62/ |
| pursued [1] $7 / 11$ | 29/17 30/3 30/8 54/16 | rightly [1] 51/19 |
|  |  |  |
| puts [2] 51/15 52/23 |  | 40 |
| Q | [3] 21/10 | role [9] 5/21 |
|  |  |  |
| question [6] ${ }_{42 / 9} \mathbf{4 5 / 1 2}$ (22 32/15 33/4 33/10 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| [3] |  |  |
| uestions [3] 16/3 17/10 17/13 | reliability [1] $32 / 25$ | round [1] 54 |
|  | 0/22 20/1 | [2] 1/24 64/1 |
|  |  | 9] |
| quoted [2] 34/4 40/9 | remaining [2] 39/22 41/15 | 29 |
| R | 12 | Rule |
|  |  | ruling [1] $54 / 4$ rulings [1] 59/21 |
|  | 21/13 22/19 24/16 | S |
|  |  |  |
|  | Remiker 88124 40/6 41/22 45/9 | salvage [7] 37/2 38/5 38/9 |
|  | Remiker's [6] 37/18 42/2 43/7 | 40/7 43/12 45/18 |
|  | 55/2 | same [3] 9/24 39/2 |
|  | reply [5] 56/9 56 |  |
|  |  | anction [2] 3/23 5/14 |
|  | re | oned [1] 5/15 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | [1] $31 / 19$ |
|  | reported [6] 1/24 5/15 8/8 8/1 | sfied [3] 9/7 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Re | 4/24 47/18 47/23 62/1 |
|  | reports [2] 7/6 8/9 | e[2] 37/11 38/19 |
|  | representative [1] 13/7 | dule [4] 12/6 49/22 61/1 |
|  | representatives [4] 9/2 | 63/9 |
|  | 24/19 | scheduled [5] 7/24 10/25 19/1 |
|  |  |  |
|  | re | scheduling [7] 11/19 47/10 61/9 |
|  | 18/5 18/9 18/1318/1418/15 | 61/11 61/20 62/25 63/2 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 3 | pe [4] $23 / 1632 / 2151 / 2252 / 3$ |
|  | requested [3] 4/19 14/1 32/4 | se [1] 57/6 |
|  | requesting [3] 3/22 10/11 16/20 |  |
|  | requests [3] $4 / 5$ 18/23 $47 / 1$ | $[1] 55 / 25$ |
|  | required [3] 7/14 37/20 44/3 | arch [23] 11/1 35/9 35/12 35/13 |
|  | requires [2] 39/15 50/2 | 123/8 |
|  | reserve [1] $51 / 6$ | 39/23 39/24 40/7 |
|  | residence [3] 32/5 38/6 45/2 | /9 45/14 46/3 46/6 54/7 |
|  | residents [3] 16/11 17/1/19/8 | 561 |
|  |  | 0] 36/17 36/22 36/25 |
|  | resolve [3] 27/8 32/11 46/17 | 37/4 37/6 40/13 40/20 41/3 44/15 |
|  |  | $44 / 17$ |
|  | $\mid \mathrm{re}$ |  |
|  | respect [8] 7/21 22/25 28/5 49/23 | 54/7 54/16 54/18 55/7 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}29 / 8 & 29 / 13 \\ 29 / 22 & 32 / 14 \\ 35 / 6\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 20 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | review [1] $27 / 1$ |  |
|  |  |  |

selected [7] 10/9 10/18 11/13
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separate [4] 36/9 57/6 57/12 60/18
separately [1] 55/2
September [7] 47/15 47/24 48/4
54/9 55/20 56/3 60/25
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serious [1] 8/22
seriously [1] 29/7
set [6] 10/22 39/21 47/22 51/1
61/4 61/14
sets [1] 13/18
sex[2] 8/15 21/8
sexually [1] 32/1
she [6] 29/5 30/23 31/7 35/4 41/7 41/14
Sheriff [20] $3 / 5$ 3/9 7/22 8/1 $8 / 2$
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20/25 21/9 23/7 23/14 23/25 24/7 24/8 50/21
Sheriff's [25] 8/11 8/23 9/4 11/7
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25/24 25/25 26/14 59/23
Sheriff's's [1] 21/14
shorthand [1] 64/10
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should [15] 8/2 9/10 9/12 9/20
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62/15
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59/23 60/24
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T
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38/22 41/5 43/11 44/19 44/25
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terms [2] 14/16 17/23
Terrific [1] 60/6
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 64/4 64/19
testifying [2] 19/17 20/21
testimonial [10] 32/23 33/5 33/6
33/11 33/14 33/16 33/21 34/12 34/1834/21
testimony [8] 8/11 26/13 34/6 41/18 54/22 57/3 57/7 57/18
tests [1] 47/2
textbook [1] 34/12
than [16] $6 / 97 / 19$ 9/21 10/4 18/11
$21 / 426 / 2338 / 541 / 947 / 1456 / 13$
57/6 58/13 60/10 60/11 60/21
Thank[5] 27/13 56/19 58/1 62/17 63/13
Thanksgiving [1] 18/19
that [313]
that's [18] 10/18 15/10 15/12
18/13 18/14 27/13 46/24 48/6
50/25 51/12 53/6 55/24 55/25
57/4 60/5 61/3 62/16 62/17
their [12] $2 / 3$ 9/23 14/16 18/10 25/1 $832 / 932 / 1534 / 2140 / 14$ 42/13 44/18 55/6
them [3] 27/11 56/13 57/12
themselves [1] 24/24
then [8] 19/14 30/3 49/8 54/5
55/11 56/3 56/8 57/9
there [39] $7 / 5$ 10/21 10/23 11/18
13/23 15/1 17/22 17/25 19/11
19/25 22/15 23/7 24/22 25/21
29/19 33/22 42/25 43/22 44/13
44/17 45/4 45/12 46/21 50/14

| T |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| there... [15] $51 / 552 / 1852 / 1953 / 2$ <br> $53 / 754 / 354 / 1554 / 2555 / 14$ <br> $56 / 1057 / 758 / 1958 / 2359 / 17$ <br> $63 / 4$ <br>  | 18/16 18/20 19/1 $19 / 1$ |  |
|  | 19/21 20/5 20/10 21/15 22/1 22/4 |  |
|  | 22/6 23/23 25/5 25/9 26/2 60/22 |  |
|  | 61/1763/9 | 8/21 |
| there's [9] 5/2 5/5 43/9 51/1 52/21 <br> 54/1 55/11 57/23 61/2 | tried [4] 13/4 14/20 16/10 16/2 | [1] $9 / 17$ |
|  |  |  |
| thereafter [4] 12/24 30/2 54/25$64 / 11$ | truly [2] | 8] 37/5 37 |
|  | truth [3] 35/22 39/11 41/2 | 1/20 |
| therefore [1] 37/15 |  |  |
| Theresa [1] $31 / 24$ <br> these [11] 3/5 4/15 7/5 7/16 11/14 <br> 22/9 23/13 25/7 27/23 28/2 56/7 | trying [4] 9/8 9/9 46/2 | [4] 3/16 24/14 35 |
|  |  | 3/15 |
|  |  | vi |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 / 923 / 1325 / 727 / 2328 / 256 / 7 \\ & \text { they }[16] 2 / 1913 / 1221 / 525 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | vi |
|  | two [12] 3/8 4 | virtue [1] |
| $34 / 16$$41 / 3$ |  | visit [2] 27/ |
|  | 60/ | voided [2] 36/6 39/2 |
| things [4] 58/8 58/25 61/22 62/1 think [18] 15/13 19/8 19/25 27/4 48/18 49/3 50/7 50/18 51/5 51/18 | two-page [1] 12/ | voir [1] 5/11 |
|  | two-part [5] 3/87 | vol |
|  | 25/ | volunteer [7] 36/16 36/22 |
| $48 / 1849 / 350 / 750 / 1851 / 551 / 18$$52 / 855 / 258 / 358 / 958 / 1458 / 16$ 58/1758/17 | type | 40/4 40/13 44/15 44/ |
|  | types [2] 58/8 61/22 | 3 |
| third [2] this [81] | U | W |
| THOMAS [1] 1/14 |  |  |
| those [26] 2/17 6/24 11/21 14/7 18/12 20/4 23/15 23/24 27/21 46/9 46/13 47/1 48/10 49/1 51/3 |  |  |
|  | 28/ | [5] 16/5 46/10 50/15 55/5 |
|  |  | 9/25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 946 / 1347 / 148 / 10499151 / 3 \\ & 51 / 752 / 953 / 2354 / 855 / 255 / 7 \\ & 57 / 258 / 858 / 2561 / 2262 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | onstitutional [1] | anted [1] 47/22 |
|  | er [14] 3/11 3/13 20/14 26/22 | nts [3] 12/2 61/ |
| though [2] 26/21 41/9 <br> thought [3] 17/18 50/17 59/13 <br> threat [1] 25/8 | 33/17 35/5 35/25 38/1 | nt [21] 10/22 35/13 35-19 |
|  | 55/25 60/15 60/15 | 35/24 36/5 36/12 37/13 37/2 |
|  | rstand [10] 15/8 16/4 16/7 | 8/15 39/4 39/12 39/23 42/9 |
| threatens [1] $4 / 22$threats [3] 17/4 30/20 31/6 | 16/13 16/15 16/22 18/8 46/11 | 42/11 42/16 45/14 46/3 46/6 |
|  | 1 54/11 | 53/21 56/6 56/25 |
| threats [3] $17 / 430 / 2031 / 6$three [4] $12 / 2036 / 948 / 12$ 54/24 through [2] 22/11 22/14 |  | anted [1] 26/15 |
|  |  | rants [2] 35/1854/8 |
| through [2] 22/11 22/14 <br> Thursday [2] 62/10 62/11 <br> thus [3] $8 / 2144 / 17$ 45/8 | understands [1] 15/16 | was [74] <br> Washington [2] 32/22 33/8 |
|  | understood [1] | Vashington [2] 32/22 33/8 |
|  | u | $56 / 7$ 56/17 56/20 56/20 |
| time [28] 2/1 8/18 15/7 17/7 17/11 17/18 18/21 19/3 20/10 22/15 | unf | we [40] 5/6 12/3 20/2 20/11 46/1 |
|  | United [5] 3/14 32/20 33/7 36/1 | 47/13 47/21 47/23 48/19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 225 / 225 / 1730 / 1930 / 23 \\ & 32 / 1135 / 146 / 1348 / 149 / 1151 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | 39/5 | 9/10 49/10 49/10 49/19 50/3 |
| $52 / 1553 / 356 / 1657 / 2560 / 20$$62 / 663 / 3$ | unlawful [1] 43/ | 50/23 51/5 51/6 51/21 52/24 |
|  |  | 54/20 56/17 57/5 57/15 57/19 |
| atimelines [1] 61/21times [1] 53/6 | unlikely [1] 6/25 | 56/4 56/15 57/5 57/15 57/19 |
|  | unresolved [1] 49/21 | 58/17 59/13 59/15 60/16 61/8 |
| times [1] 53/6 <br> today [13] 15/9 15/16 15/25 17/17 <br> 20/3 21/25 25/11 35/11 46/10 | until [5] 26/2 49/21 56/3 | 61/9 61/10 61/25 62/5 62/15 |
|  | 59/15 | we'll [5] 47/3 47/3 59/15 61/2 |
| 59/18 61/19 63/12 63/15 | up [5] 9/14 27/6 27/9 30/19 57/25 | 63/7 |
| today's [1] 35/7 <br> together [1] $3 / 19$ | upon [7] 12/21 12/23 13/10 14/2 | we're [4] 2/14 61/15 61/19 |
|  | 14/23 44/18 45/7 | week [3] 60/11 62/4 62/ |
| together [1] 3/19 <br> told [2] 40/5 41/6 <br> Tom [1] 2/8 | us [8] 46/23 47/21 48/1 49/4 49/8 | weeks [4] $12 / 1431 / 11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 8 \\ & w[2] \\ & 10 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | used [2] 23/14 24/2 | 50/25 53/8 55/21 56/2 56/9 56/2 |
| took [4] 7/23 17/19 42/24 51/17 | using[2] 44/22 50/4 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { tour }[1] \quad 17 / 20 \\ & \text { towards }[1] 12 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | V | were [45] 3/3 3/15 6/3 6/19 6/20 |
|  |  |  |
| track [2] 49/6 60/10 | value [5] 31/18 31/21 32/8 32/1 | 21/1 21/14 23/12 23/24 25/7 |
|  | 35/3 <br> vehicle [19] 36/15 36/25 37/1 37/5 | 28/25 29/1 31/1 31/2 31/6 32/7 <br> $33 / 1133 / 1333 / 1434 / 1434 / 15$ |
| transcribed [1] 64/11 transcript [3] 1/23 64 | 37/7 37/11 38/22 41/4 41/5 41/7 | 35/22 36/4 36/10 36/16 36/17 |
| (ranscription [1] 64/11 | 41/8 43/11 43/13 43/25 44/19 | 36/22 37/6 38/11 40/4 40/6 42/21 |
|  | $44 / 25$ 45/7 45/8 | /17 45/17 46/17 49/20 57/2 |
| ```travel [1] 17/19 treat [2] 60/19 61/1 treated [2] 53/11 55/25 trial [46] 2/23 3/1 4/1 4/23 5/10 5/23 7/11 7/24 10/8 10/13 10/16 10/25 11/20 12/5 12/11 12/13``` | 0] 4/20 5/9 10/20 11/12 | 57/2 |
|  | 11/19 12/5 13/19 16/9 16/16 | WFRV [1] 60/2 WFRV-TV [1] $60 / 2$ |
|  | versions [1] 27/10 <br> very [8] $5 / 186 / 1517 / 1531 / 19$ | what [13] 15/17 25/4 32/6 33/6 34/12 46/11 47/21 51/22 55/8 |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery Case No. 05 CF 381. This matter is scheduled for a status conference today. And I will indicate for the record that $I$ met with counsel before we began today and they informed me of the status conference. There were a few matters to take up on the record. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, the state appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz appearing as Special Prosecutor. Also special Prosecutors Norm Gahn and Tom Fallon.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Good morning, your Honor, Buting and Williams by Attorney Jerome Buting appearing on behalf of Mr. Avery. Also Dean Strang appearing on behalf of Mr . Avery. Mr. Avery is present in court.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Buting, I believe you indicated you wish to take up a matter concerning a motion recently filed by the defense.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, Judge, we filed -the defense filed, on December 6, a motion to allow the Court to grant us access to a particular exhibit that we discovered has been sitting in the Clerk's

Office in Manitowoc County, in Mr. Avery's 1985 wrongful conviction case. We discovered that a vial of his blood, or what we believed was a vial of his blood, was in a box in that file. And we filed a motion.

We could see that the box itself, the seal, the evidence tape seal, had been broken and that it had been resealed with a piece of scotch tape. We did not want to open that to see if there really was a vial of his blood in that box until the Court allowed us. So we filed this motion.

The Court initially sealed it. I understand the Court is unsealing it today. The Court granted the defense request. The parties, including the prosecution team, went to the Clerk's Office last week. The box was opened and there was, inside of it, a styrofoam box that had also at one point been sealed with evidence tape.

That evidence tape had been opened, cut open, and inside the styrofoam package was a liquid vial of Mr. Avery's blood, in a tube, that also was unsealed, and therefore available to anyone who would want to use it to plant evidence against Mr. Avery in this case.

We filed our motion and set forth some of the links that we believe will establish at trial. And the issues that remain now are whether or not any sort of forensic tests can be done on the blood that will shed any further information for either party and that is something that the State and I will be working on in the next week.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gahn, are you handling this one for the State?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Yes, I am, your Honor.
THE COURT: With respect to the defendant's motion, specifically, what's going to be done with this blood, what's the State's position.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, the State's position is that we would like to have access to that vial of blood. Our initial investigation in looking into this matter has revealed that although we cannot determine who was the source of drawing of this blood and that there may be some questions on chain of custody. At this point we just haven't had time to fully investigate this matter.

In any event, we would like to have access to the blood. There is some chemical testing that we would like to do with this blood.

We're confident that the testing that we anticipate doing is going to show that this blood had absolutely nothing to do with the case that is in front of this Court.

And we also are in the process of interviewing individuals who did have contact with that blood and -- but that was under the Court's approval back in Manitowoc County and that the individuals who did unseal the blood, it was done under the auspices of a court, and there was nothing really surreptitious, or some type of lapse of security where this could be taken or planted. And we're confident we'll be able to show that.

But in order to do that, we would ask the Court to unseal that blood and give us access to it so that we can send this for testing. We're so confident in our position that we're more than willing to split that sample, if the Court wishes, with the defense. In any event, we certainly would like to get on with the testing that we believe will show that this vial of blood had absolutely nothing to do with the case before us.

THE COURT: All right. With respect to how
this matter is to be handled, Mr. Buting, has the defense determined yet whether or not it wishes to test the blood?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, it's my understanding, I don't believe there are any tests that can be done on this vial of blood that are really going to shed any more light to either side. I may be wrong, Mr. Gahn may find someone who thinks that something else can be done. But I very much object to unsealing the evidence and allowing the State to have free access to this blood at this time.

What we discussed in chambers $I$ think is a fair way to deal with this, which is that Mr. Gahn and I will talk between now and the end of the year, December 31st, to see if there is a joint proposal we can present to the Court. But my feeling at this time is if there is any transfer of that evidence, I want notice to the defense, I want potentially a representative from the defense present during change of custody, if not even perhaps during the testing itself.

But I think we can work that out between the two of us. And if we can't, then we can bring it back to the Court for a decision on how
it should be handled. At this time, though, I do strongly urge the Court to keep this evidence locked in the safe at the Clerk's Office in Manitowoc County.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: May I address that, your Honor?

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY GAHN: What I would ask the Court to do is this. Again, as we're confident in our position with this vial of blood, I would ask the Court to -- what would be the mechanism. Assume that firstly, wherever we find a place to send this blood, I will call Mr. Buting. They would be welcome to accompany our people, or whoever is doing the testing. They would be welcome to hire an expert to watch the testing. They could even send someone along to accompany the vial of blood wherever it goes. We'll make that opportunity available to them.

My concern is this, we're coming up quickly upon this trial date. And there are some places that $I$ believe we may be able to send this vial of blood. Assume that this Friday I come up with a place that $I$ believe is going to give us
the -- accomplish the testing that we believe would be appropriate in this case. I will notify Mr. Buting where that will be. And he will be welcome to send his expert or his people along. And the main thing I'm concerned is, if I want to send one of our investigators to Manitowoc County to get that blood, will we be able to have access to it. That's why I'm asking that that be unsealed and the Manitowoc County officials know that, should a representative from the State, and it may either be a Calumet County sheriff or someone from DCI to come to pick up that blood and they can take that blood from the safe.

ATTORNEY BUTING: And that's exactly what I don't want to happen. If something happens this Friday, then Mr. Gahn can contact me. If we reach agreement, we can certainly notify the Court by stipulation. You know, we could fax, email motions back and forth. And the Court could certainly sign an order promptly. I don't see that there is any need at this time to say -- to give carte blanche access to this.

I have had this experience in other counties, that the only way that $I$ know of that
you can insure that the defense is notified -sometimes the Clerk doesn't realize that that's part of the requirement and they go ahead and release it to the State without telling us. The only way to do it, it is in a secured place now, sealed in a safe, with very -- we hope very limited access. And it should remain that way until -- unless and until the State can find somebody, if they wish, who is going to do some of the tests.

And with regard to the closeness of trial, this has been sitting in the Clerk's Office throughout the time of this prosecution. This was not some hidden evidence that the defense is dumping on the State at the last time. They had every bit the same opportunity we did to go search the record, particularly since

Mr. Avery and his family, from the very beginning, have been claiming that the blood was planted against him by someone in this case.

And now we discovered that blood did exist where that could have happened. It was unsealed. The seals have been broken. And I think that the parties can work this out. If Mr. Gahn is concerned about the delay, part of
that is because they just chose not to investigate the case and Mr. Avery's claims thoroughly, like they should have.

THE COURT: All right. I will make the following observations. And I hear the parties, I don't understand really there to be a dispute between the two parties as to the mechanism for testing the blood. The defense doesn't feel it necessary for the blood to be tested. The State indicates it does and is willing to allow the defense to participate in really, without restriction, in overseeing and the testing of the blood.

And the defense is indicating, if the blood is tested, that's what it wants. So I'm anticipating that the parties are going to reach an agreement here. I will give you until -December 31st is a Sunday, yes, Sunday. So I will give you until Tuesday, the 2 nd, to agree.

If the parties don't agree, the State can file a motion to examine the blood on such terms as it deems appropriate. And the Court will take up that motion and set it for a hearing in very short order, if that's determined to be necessary. But I have to say, based on what I
hear the parties telling me on the record today, I'm not sure why that should be necessary.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY GAHN: May we also have relief from your January 19 th date where you set that for the turnover, the list of rebuttal witnesses. Since I don't know if we'll be able to make that date, $I$ would like to have relief from that also.

And the other final question $I$ have is, assume on Friday there is a place we want to send this blood, is it -- would the mechanism of getting that blood into one of our sheriff's or DCI agent's custody to get it into the mail, simple as I will call Mr. Buting, we try to do a conference call Friday, and would it be as simple as you making a phone call to Manitowoc County saying so and so is going to come down on this date, say next Tuesday, you may release it to him. Is it that simple?

THE COURT: Well, you can fax me a stipulation. And certainly based on the stipulation, I can order that it be released under the terms of your stipulation. If you don't reach a stipulation, then it gets a little more complicated.

With respect to the rebuttal witnesses, before $I$ would allow a party to have relief from the deadline that's been set, I would like to make alternative arrangements for when the rebuttal witnesses would be notified.

I will say on the record, that based on the late notice to the State of the development of this evidence, that certainly sounds like grounds for from relief from the existing deadline. But as far as acting on the motion that you just made on the record, I would take that up at such time as it's coupled with a description of when the notice would be provided.

Is there anything else that either party wishes to take up on the record at this time? It was my understanding that the State, specifically Mr. Kratz, was looking for some clarification of discovery items.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I am, Judge. In chambers we discussed the lack of materials being provided to the State on February 1st. The State filed a reciprocal discovery demand with the defense. It was our hope that by the 15 th of December, the date that the Judge had ordered for exchange of discovery, that we would have received the witness
statements, or reports from investigators that summarize the statements from witnesses.

We understand that expert reports may accompany an expert witness list sometime in early January. But the lay materials we had hoped would have been provided by the 15 th, and if they are forthcoming, we would appreciate notice of that fact.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The State had every right to expect that by December 15. Indeed, the Court's order set that as a general discovery deadline. I dropped the ball, or let it pass, being focused on the witness list at the time. We have a limited amount of material that $I$ think does fall within the discovery statute. We discussed this in chambers. And I will make every effort to get that to Mr. Kratz, if not this week, then certainly between Christmas and New Years.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, anything else?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge. If I get it by the first of the year, that will provide plenty of opportunity for preparation. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Very well. Is there anything else either party wishes to bring up on the record at this time?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Could we just have a moment, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: We understand, Judge, that some scheduling of logistic matters will be addressed by the Court later on today in a meeting. And there were some other scheduling and other agreements that were placed -- or were discussed in chambers, but nothing that I believe needs to be placed on the record at this time.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The only other matter I would have to raise, your Honor, is just a reiteration of our concern and our request that in any proceeding, telephonic or otherwise, in which the Court and the parties go beyond a discussion strictly limited to scheduling, we would like arrangements to be made for the participation in person or by telephone of Mr . Avery.

I realize that the schedule is fluid and that the Court may have to convene other hearings. Purely scheduling matters, of course, need not involve Mr. Avery. But to the extent we get into anything other than scheduling, we
simply reiterate the request that he be included, present in some practical fashion.

THE COURT: All right. Well, certainly there is a statute, aside from fundamental fairness, that requires that that take place. And if there is anything else that we have to go on record for that doesn't involve scheduling, that's what we'll do. Today's hearing was only scheduled to be a status conference, but the parties notified the Court ahead of time that there were some items to be dealt with on the record and that's how we wound up here today. If necessary, we'll do that again in the future.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything else today?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not on the record, Judge.
THE COURT: Very well. If not, we're adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Let's go back on the record.
Mr. Buting, you had a clarification question?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Just the -- did the Court rule then that the motion we filed is going to be unsealed, or is unsealed?

THE COURT: I intend to issue that order to unseal the motion.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: With respect to the evidence that's the subject matter of the motion itself, I indicated that $I$ would withhold ruling on that at this time pending what $I$ anticipate will be an agreement between the parties. And if the parties do not come to an agreement, and the State asks for a motion to have the evidence unsealed, I will rule on that motion when it comes in.

ATTORNEY BUTING: So, in the meantime, the evidence that's the subject of the motion will remain sealed?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you. I just wanted to clarify that.

THE COURT: Very well. We're adjourned for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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| D | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { forth [2] } 4 / 18 / 20 \\ & \text { forthromina [1] }\end{aligned}\right.$ | initial [1] 4/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| discussion [1] 14/17 | forthcoming [1] 13/7 free [1] 6/11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { initially [1] 3/13 } \\ & \text { inside [2] 3/18 3/21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| dispute [1] 10/6 | Friday [4] 7/24 8/17 11/11 11/16 | ure [1] 9/1 |
| District [1] 2/11 | front [1] 5/4 | intend [1] 15/24 |
| do [13] 4/25 5/3 5/15 5/23 7/1 | fully [1] 4/22 | interviewing [1] 5/6 |
| 7/10 9/5 9/9 11/15 15/7 15/12 | fundamental [1] 15/4 | into [4] 4/18 $11 / 1311 / 14$ 14/25 |
| 16/7 17/6 | further [1] 4/5 | investigate [2] 4/22 10/2 |
| does [2] 10/10 13/15 | future [1] 15/12 | investigation [1] 4/17 |
| doesn't [3] 9/2 10/8 15/7 | G | investigators [2] 8/6 13/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { don't [7] 6/5 8/16 8/21 10/6 10/20 } \\ & 11 / 811 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | ```GAHN [8] 1/15 2/13 4/9 6/8 6/15 7/5 8/17 9/25 general [1] 13/12 get [6] 5/21 8/7 11/14 13/17 13/21 14/25 gets [1] 11/25 getting [1] 11/13 give [5] 5/16 7/25 8/22 10/17 10/19``` | is [44] $\text { issue [1] } 15 / 24$ |
| done [5] 4/5 4/13 5/10 6/6 6/9 |  | issues [1] 4/3 |
| down [1] 11/18 |  | it [36] |
| drawing [1] 4/19 |  | it's [2] 6/4 12/12 |
| dropped [1] 13/13 |  | items [2] 12/18 15/10 |
| dumping [1] 9/15 |  | itself [3] 3/6 6/22 16/3 |
| during [2] 6/21 6/22 |  |  |
| E | $\underset{15 / 19}{\text { go [6] }} 7 / 8$ 9/3 9/17 14/17 15/6 |  |
| early [1] $13 / 5$   <br> effort [1] $13 / 17$   <br> either [5] $4 / 6$ $6 / 7$ $8 / 11$ <br> en $12 / 14$ $13 / 25$  |  | January 19th [1] 11/6 |
|  | goes [1] 7/19 | JEROME [2] 1/19 2/15 |
|  | going [8] 4/13 5/2 6/7 7/25 9/9 | joint [1] 6/17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { either [5] } 4 / 6 \text { 6/7 } 8 / 1112 / 1413 / 25 \\ & \text { else [6] } 6 / 9 \quad 12 / 1413 / 2013 / 25 \\ & 15 / 615 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | 10/16 $11 / 1815 / 22$ | Judge [11] 1/10 2/22 6/4 12/19 |
|  | Good [1] 2/14 | 12/24 13/21 13/23 14/3 14/5 |
| email [1] 8/19 | grant [1] 2/24 | 15/15 15/18 |
| end [1] 6/15 | granted [1] 3/15 | just [7] 4/21 10/1 12/11 14/2 |
| establish [1] 4/2 | grounds [1] 12/9 | 14/14 15/21 16/14 |
| even [2] 6/22 7/17 | H | K |
| every [3] 9/16 13/10 13/17 |  | keep [1] 7/2 |
| evidence [12] 3/7 3/19 3/20 3/24 | had $[14]$ $3 / 7$ $3 / 8$ $3 / 18$ $3 / 20$ $4 / 21$ <br> $5 / 3$ $5 / 23$ $8 / 24$ $9 / 16$ $12 / 24$ $13 / 5$ | Ken [1] 2/11 |
| 6/10 6/19 7/2 9/14 12/8 16/2 16/8 | $13 / 10 \quad 15 / 20 \quad 17 / 13$ | KENNETH [1] 1/11 |
| 16/11 | handled [2] 6/1 7/1 | know [4] 8/10 8/19 8/25 11/8 |
| exactly [1] 8/15 | handling [1] 4/10 | knowledge [1] 17/14 |
| examine [1] 10/21 | happen [1] 8/16 | KRATZ[5] 1/11 2/12 12/17 13/18 |
| exchange [1] 12/24 | happened [1] 9/22happens [1] 8/16 | 13/20 |
| exhibit [1] 2/24 |  | L |
| exist [1] 9/22 existing [1] $12 / 9$ | has [5] 2/25 4/18 6/1 9/12 17/8 |  |
| expect [1] 13/11 | have [23] | lapse [1] $5 / 12$ |
| experience [1] 8/24 | he [2] 8/3 15/1 | last [2] 3/17 9/15 |
| expert [4] 7/17 8/4 13/3 13/4 | hear [2] 10/5 11/1 | late [1] 12/7 |
| extent [1] 14/24 | hearing [3] 1/4 10/23 15/8 | later [1] 14/7 |
| F | hearings [1] 14/23 | Law [2] 1/17 1/19 |
| fact [1] 13/8 | here [2] 10/171 hereby [1] 17/6 | let [1] 13/13 |
| fair [1] 6/14 | hidden [1] 9/14 | Let's [1] 15/19 |
| fairness [1] 15/4 | him [2] 9/20 11/20 | light [1] 6/7 |
| fall [1] 13/15 | hire [1] 7/16 | like [9] $4 / 16$ 4/23 4/25 5/21 10/3 |
| FALLON [2] 1/13 2/13 | his [6] 3/3 3/3 3/10 8/4 8/4 9/18 | 11/9 12/3 12/8 14/18 |
| family [1] 9/18 | Hon [1] 1/9 | limited [3] 9/7 13/14 14/18 |
| far [1] 12/10 | Honor [7] 2/10 2/14 4/11 4/15 7/7 | links [1] 4/2 |
| fashion [1] 15/2 | 11/3 14/14 | liquid [1] $3 / 22$ |
| fax [2] 8/19 11/21 | hope [2] 9/6 12/23 | list [3] 11/7 13/4 13/14 |
| February [1] 12/21 | hoped [1] 13/6 | little [1] 11/25 |
| February 1st [1] 12/21 | how [3] 5/25 6/25 15/11 | locked [1] 7/3 |
| $\text { feel [1] } 10 / 8$ | I | logistic [1] 14/6 |
| few [1] 2/7 | I'm [4] 8/5 8/8 10/15 11/2 | M |
| file [2] 3/4 10/21 | if [23] | M |
| filed [8] 2/21 2/22 2/23 3/4 3/11 | in [46] | machine [1] 17/10 |
| 4/1 12/21 15/22 | included [1] 15/1 | made [2] 12/11 14/19 |
| final [1] 11/10 | including [1] 3/16 | mail [1] 11/14 |
| find [3] 6/8 7/13 9/8 | Indeed [1] 13/11 | main [1] 8/5 |
| first [1] 13/22 | indicate [1] 2/4 | make [5] 7/19 10/4 11/8 12/4 |
| firstly [1] 7/13 | indicated [2] 2/20 16/4 | 13/17 |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. This matter is scheduled this afternoon for a hearing on a motion that was filed yesterday by the State; specifically, a motion to exclude blood vial evidence, or in the alternative, to analyze the vial of blood. Will the parties present state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, appearing as Special Prosecutor. Norm Gahn also appears as Special Prosecutor. And I should alert the Court that this is Mr. Gahn's motion.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good afternoon. Steven Avery is here in person this afternoon. Jerome Buting of Buting and Williams appears on his behalf, as do I, Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton. I think that covers it.

THE COURT: All right. I will indicate for the record that I have received -- I received by fax, it probably came in last night, I read it this morning, that is, the State's motion and the memorandum that was submitted in support of the motion.

I have also received and read the
defendant's response to the State's motion to exclude the blood vial evidence. I will give the parties a opportunity to briefly supplement the memoranda with oral argument, if they wish. Mr. Gahn.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Thank you, your Honor. I believe that the Court can make an analysis under the cited cases in our brief of State v. Richardson and State v. Denny. The State will concede that there may be some relevance to this vial of blood to this trial, but $I$ think that the analysis must go further by the Court and to look at the probative value of this and then to make a determination under 904.03 whether this would be a delay of the trial, confusion of issues for the jury. And this complete analysis must be done by the Court.

I note from the response by the defense that at no time do they suggest or state that there is -- this vial of blood is admissible. And I believe that under the case law, that the Court should rule that this is inadmissible evidence for these reasons.

Conceding there may be some relevancy, I believe that the probative value is very low. And if one makes an analysis akin to the analysis
the Court made in State v. Richardson, you have to look at all the assumptions that a jury is going to have to make about this vial of blood. Now, I'm making these assumptions along a Richardson analysis knowing that the defense has not filed or given any type of offer of proof of how they plan to connect the vial of blood to Teresa Halbach's SUV. But the jury is going to have to make the assumption that the blood in the vial is Steven Avery's. They are going to have to assume that it was planted some time between November 3rd and November 5th, or if they -maybe it was planted October 31st, or November 1st, or 2 nd. But that type of assumption implies that perhaps a police officer murdered Teresa Halbach and cut up her body and planted this to try and frame Steven Avery. They would have to assume that the police, or whoever planted it, knew that Teresa Halbach was dead. And how could they know that. The only way they could possibly know that would be is if Steven Avery told them, or Mr. Dassey told them -- and Mr. Dassey didn't say anything until March 1st -- or one of the police actually did the killing, or perhaps they got an anonymous
tip.
But there are so many factors out here, and so many assumptions that would have to be made, that this lends itself to confusion of issues and misleading the jury and, really, a purposeful attempt to distract their attention from focusing on the true issue in this case, and that is, whether Steven Avery murdered Teresa Halbach.

But there's also going to have to be assumptions made that some law enforcement officer had access to this vial of blood somehow, or was there complicity by the Clerk of Court's in Manitowoc County, or was it just --

This isn't a case of negligence we're talking about, you know, an intentional crime committed by law enforcement officers, and possibly along with the Clerk of Court's. This is an appalling allegation that's being made.

And there's so many assumptions, as I said, would have to be made by the jury, that I believe that this is a very low probative value to this evidence. And when you have a low probative value to the evidence, the analysis under a 904.03 examination certainly shows how
this would be such a waste of time and confusion of issues and distraction to the jury.

Because the Court, I believe from prior ruling, especially when we argued the 904.04 (b) other acts, other wrongs and crimes evidence, the Court wants this trial to focus on whether Steven Avery murdered Teresa Halbach and not get sidetracked on other issues and collateral issues. But if this vial of evidence comes in, it is just fraught with other issues such as is it Steven Avery's, who drew it, what happened when it was at Laboratory Corporation of America, who had access to it, what are the security procedures at the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's Office.

There are just so many side issues and collateral issues, that I believe that it necessitates under a 904.03 analysis that this evidence lacks, number one, probative value and also would be a waste of time and confusion of issues, for the jury. And we ask the Court to not allow this evidence to come in.

I also want to address, I guess, sort of a preemptive strike $I$ would like to make on this knowledge on our part, the State, and our access
to this vial of blood and the untimeliness of notifying us about the existence of it.

I want the Court to know that the prosecution team, I believe, exercised due diligence in looking for this vial -- a vial of blood. We recognized this early on and asked our detectives to search for it. And we have searched in all the places that one would expect to find a vial of blood, crime labs, Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, law enforcement. And as I said, we exercised due diligence looking for it.

This vial of blood turns out to be in existence, but there's really a few people who knew about it. It was never in the control of law enforcement. And to try and associate the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's office with law enforcement is a stretch. This is a public service. They serve the public. These are people who have taken, I imagine, an oath of office, and they have jobs, civil, and criminal, and all the other things that go along with the Clerk of Court's. They are not associated with law enforcement at all.

And I was very surprised to see that a
vial of blood, to turn up there. But we did look, and we looked to try and find it, because we felt that if they want to pursue a planting defense, fine, but how do you plant evidence if there is no blood.

Now they have come up with this, but this is information that was in the possession of Mr. Avery, he could tell them, hey, blood was drawn from me up in Fox Lake, or whatever it was, in 1996. And this was also a Innocence Project case, and that is something I think the defense is more aligned with than prosecution are aligned with.

And they had more of an opportunity to know and find out the existence of this vial of blood. And they knew about it at the latest in July, July 20th. It could have been earlier. But I believe that they viewed -- if they viewed it so importantly, and wanted it sealed, they should have told us about it.

I think they had a responsibility under 971.23, the discovery statute, to tell us about this and give us the opportunity to test this. Because this is -- this is the crux of the case, this vial of blood now. And we need to meet the
defense and have the opportunity to test this vial to meet their defense.

And the defense in this case, and I just want to reiterate to the Court that, you're talking -- this is -- you're talking about people's reputations here. There is an allegation that are going to be made by the defense, and they have made them already, that perhaps some law enforcement officer, someone from Manitowoc County, who is sworn to protect the public, to serve the public, took this vial of blood -- and so callously disregard for the Halbach family -- planted this evidence in a car and didn't care who murdered Teresa Halbach. This is appalling. This is a despicable defense. And also they are saying that someone in that Manitowoc County Courthouse, whether it be through complicity, or slipshod operations, that this place was just wide open for anybody to willy-nilly walk in and get access to it. And that's not what I found when I visited the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's Office. I didn't find that at all. And it's just a despicable allegation and defense and we need to meet it, your Honor. We need to meet it full on.

And I'm asking the Court, first, to rule that under a Richardson and also a Denny analysis, how is the defense going to connect the vial of blood in the Manitowoc County Clerk of Court's Office to the SUV of Teresa Halbach. How do they make that connection? Just by saying so, it exists?

I mean, you could make that same argument that if Mr . Avery donated blood, or one was taken for a medical procedure, or blood was drawn for any myriad of reasons, that, oh, just because it exists, therefore, somehow, under all those possible scenarios, the blood was taken by someone and planted in the SUV. The connection is there. It is not there. They have not met the law under Denny or under a Richardson analysis, so it should be excluded.

But if the Court does not wish to exclude it, we ask the Court to allow us time to test it. And we want to test it with the FBI. That may take three to four months to test it, so we would be asking for a continuance.

And the other concerns that we have are the many, many potential appellate issues that could come up, especially under a Hicks, Moran,
and Armstrong analysis on whether it be in the interest of justice, or ineffective assistance of counsel. There is evidence, a blood vial that can be tested chemically, that can be scientifically tested. And it can tell us whether the blood in Teresa Halbach's car came from that vial of blood. And I believe this case is too important, we have come too far, too long, and too many vicious allegations, against people who are public servants or law enforcement officers, have been made, that we must have the opportunity to have that vial and do the testing that we believe is suitable to meet their defense. May I just have one moment, your Honor? THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY GAHN: That's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting. Or I got the brief from Mr. Mr. Buting; is it Mr. Strang?

ATTORNEY BUTING: We may both respond at different times, depending on the issues that come up, but Mr. Strang will take the lead here.

ATTORNEY STRANG: One of the good things, your Honor, that 8 or 900 years of history, with the English common law and coming across the Atlantic to
the United States, has done for us is to make combat in a courtroom ritual. And when the language becomes very charged and the emotions become very charged, as inevitably they will in a case in which the most serious, horrible, and heinous crime is alleged, it's good to have this tradition of civility, and ritual control of a combat, to fall back upon.

And I agree that the potential
implications, as opposed to the allegations that we made, because we have made very few allegations, we have tried to present facts at this point and to explore things that we have found in the Manitowoc County Circuit Court. But I agree with my friend, Mr. Gahn, that the potential inferences from this are, indeed, despicable in the sense of being unspeakable, in the sense of being horrible, and in the sense, particularly, impossibly true.

And I go back to the starting point here in noting the allegations that Steven Avery murdered Teresa Halbach are despicable in the very same way. The allegation that he had sex against this young woman's will with her are despicable, and vicious, in the very same way.

And unlike every law enforcement officer of Manitowoc County, Mr. Avery doesn't go home at night while he is under these sorts of allegations. Presumed innocent though he may be, he sits here today in custody.

And in large part, the issues that the State raises now, the Court already has addressed, after thorough briefing from both sides, briefs filed in June, State's may have actually been filed in May, I don't remember. I know ours in response to the State's motion to prohibit evidence of third party liability was filed on or about June 26 th.

And the Court has ruled, on July 10 of last year, just exactly what the disclosure obligation was on the defense for extrinsic evidence of planting, has ruled on what inferences we might pursue, or argue, without extrinsic evidence of planting. And I am glad to hear this afternoon that counsel for the State does not reiterate the written argument made yesterday, that our disclosure was untimely, under this Court's orders. Because by the time this Court set a schedule on July 10 for disclosure of this sort of evidence, the trial
had been moved to October 16. That meant July 10 set a September 16 deadline.

Long before that deadline arrived, August 22 arrived, and the trial was moved to February 5. And after very thorough discussion and disagreement to be worked through and an exchange of drafts and going round and round and making at least two, and maybe three trips, between Mr. Buting and the defense investigators and the Manitowoc County Circuit Court, we decided to disclose this extrinsic evidence, or arguably extrinsic evidence of possible planting of Steven Avery's blood, to the State.

Not 30 days before trial as the Court's order required, but 60. And not to pursue this ex parte, as we had intimated in chambers we were considering at one point, but to pursue it in open court, in an unsealed fashion, and with service upon our adversaries.

Those weren't easy decisions, but the fact is that disclosure was not just timely here, it was 30 days before the deadline that the Court set after considering exactly the Richardson argument and the Holmes vs. South Carolina argument to which Mr. Gahn harkens back today.

The issue, as I understand it here, primarily, is disclosure, and now, where do we go from there. To the extent the State is arguing to exclude evidence of possible planting, the Court's ruled on that. I don't know, unless there are questions from the Court that there's a need to revisit the briefing and the rulings on that earlier, particularly since the timing of disclosure now ought be resolved, because we more than complied with the Court's timing order.

The vial clearly will be admissible. Its availability and proximity to members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department comes in on undisputed facts to the extent of the location of the Clerk's Office, the location of the Sheriff's Department, the location within the Clerk's Office of two boxes or cartons that contain the 1985 Avery file.

And the 904.03 analysis, I think, benefits in a sense, from stepping back just a little bit, again, and understanding that from the beginning counsel for the State has estimated, as I recall, that it would take four to five weeks to present the State's case-in-chief against Mr. Avery on the despicable
allegations that the State hopes to prove. And that perhaps a week would be sufficient for the defense case-in-chief in responding to those allegations.

Now, recently the State has suggested that if there aren't some stipulations from the defense this maybe four to five weeks is tight for the State's case-in-chief. And I will say this, one week is still adequate, or better than adequate, for the defense case.

So if we're to have a discussion about all the linkages that would have to be made, and all the witnesses that would have -- who would have to be called, the balance pretty clearly here tips in favor of the defense and against an argument that this is collateral, or a waste of time.

This evidence goes directly to the integrity of some of the most damning evidence against Mr. Avery that the State intends to offer. And that's the very small amounts of his dried blood that the State will say were found in Teresa Halbach's Toyota.

He's been saying from the beginning, to anybody with a microphone and TV camera,
initially in early November, 2005, that if his blood was in the Toyota, somebody planted it. So that hasn't been any secret about his defense and his view of the facts.

We, as his agents, to a large extent, played the hand that he dealt us, looked down the road to which he pointed us. That the State didn't look in the same places we did, alters not one wit this irreducible fact, the evidence here uncovered in the Manitowoc County Circuit Court, in the Clerk of Court's Office, was as available to the State, or to a member of the public, as it was to the defense.

I don't know that I'm going to go farther on arguing admissibility, because that's not primarily what we're here for today. But I do want to address the matter of further testing and an adjournment, and I think Mr. Buting is better equipped to speak to the specifics of possible testing.

We have tried and failed to get him, Steven Avery out on bail. It's been 14 months. It's been solitary confinement. And it's been under conditions where the taping of his every word, other than to counsel, for one reason, has
been used assiduously for the other reason, of gathering evidence by the State. And if he is to remain in custody, we will and do oppose the adjournment of this trial. We want it to go forward on February 5, if he is to remain in custody.

Now, the question of the State's ability or interest in testing can be separated from an adjournment. And, again, after talking about it with Mr. Buting, and his conversations with Mr. Gahn, who is a candid and accessible adversary, we believe that the blood in the vial that was found in the Clerk's Office can be partitioned, divided in a way that does not prejudice the defense and that would allow the State to undertake the testing it seeks to do.

I am assured by Mr. Gahn, and I accept his word entirely that, moreover, even the very small amounts of dried blood in the Toyota RAV are sufficient to allow partitioning or to allow testing by the State, without full consumption or spoliation of that dried blood evidence. I take him at his word. He is an expert in the area of blood and DNA.
So I think, that as a matter of testing,
the Court can fashion conditions that do not prejudice Steven Avery and that would allow the State to pursue the course of testing, any course of testing it may wish. The admissibility or relevance of the results of that testing, I cannot address and the Court cannot address at this point. Nobody has briefed it. We know very little about the proposed EDTA testing.

The track record of admissibility in case law is not good, but it is also not terribly extensive. But, again, this is a separable issue, in the sense that testing can go forward. Admissibility and relevance of results of testing, or opinions formed on the basis of testing, can be addressed later, when there's more, factually, to work with.

But if the State wants to test, and if Mr. Avery is to remain in custody, the trial ought go forward while the testing process is going forward. If Mr. Avery is instead to be released on stringent conditions that would assure the community's safety, and realistically remove any slight risk of flight he may represent, then the calculous changes entirely for the defense.

We would not oppose an adjournment under those circumstances. We don't pursue testing ourselves. We don't know that we will. We aren't asking to. But we understand why the State wants to pursue that testing. And we also understand the potential ramifications, largely unknowable now, but certainly imaginable, of testing results that might cast doubt about a verdict previously rendered in this case. So, if this man could go home at night, as the law enforcement officers do, and as the rest of us do, with a GPS bracelet on his ankle, or checking in every day to the Two Rivers Police Department, or whatever the conditions are that send him home, we would not oppose the State's request for an adjournment to test.

We may well oppose in the end the admissibility, the relevance of those test results, but that, again, is something the Court could address with the benefit of knowledge of the test results, presumably, and a chance to look at the type of testing that was done, the protocols, and what the case law may have to say about the admissibility of similar tests.

We have not sought defense testing at
this point ourselves, because as Mr. Buting said the last time we were in court, we don't know of a test that can be done that would be productive or helpful. A federal decision from 2005 that Mr. Buting uncovered, has a federal judge writing in her decision that the FBI stopped doing the very testing that Mr. Gahn now says the FBI will do.

Mr. Gahn's information is fresher and, again, this is a man who knows what he's doing and is candid. But our best information had been that the FBI wasn't in this business.

Mr. Buting can address the other lab that the State has identified as being a possible site for testing, and I have no basis on which to dispute the State's assertion that there are, in this whole country, but two laboratories capable, presently, of doing this testing, the FBI and a private laboratory the State has named. Realistically, for reasons Mr. Buting can address, the private laboratory may not be a good choice for either the State or the defense.

So, I hope I have been clear. I
understand why the State wants to test. If the presumption of innocence that he enjoys were
undergirded and backstopped here by letting him sleep where innocent people, or presumptively innocent people sleep, we would not oppose an adjournment.

The Court may deny the adjournment for its own reasons, but not over our objection, if bail were modified so that he didn't spend 4 more months, after 14, in jail, presumptively innocent, in solitary confinement, and with his every word to his loved ones listened to by police, for potential evidence.

If that state of life is to continue until he is tried, then Steven Avery opposes an adjournment, thinks that testing could go forward without prejudice to him, but reserves the right to challenge or support, conceivably, admissibility or relevance of test results and opinions flowing from those test results.

And I would like to turn it over to Mr. Buting to go give the Court a little bit better sense of why the 30 day testing option with a private lab may, in the end, not be feasible for either the State or the defense.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, just to give you a
little bit of background, I looked into this. And part of what we were doing when we discovered the possibility that Mr. Avery's blood vial may be in the Clerk's Office and recall that all we knew was that there was a box that said it was in there, the books we did not open until the Court granted permission in December.

But in my research, it did not appear that there was a credible lab available to do the kind of testing that Mr . Gahn now says the FBI is doing. And I don't know anything about their protocol and whether this is credible or not. But what I do know is that the kind of test he is talking about, this EDTA test, to be able to try and measure whether there is this preservative that is found in blood vials, certain blood vials, whether that can be detected in a bloodstain at a crime scene, never came up until the middle of the O.J. Simpson trial, at which point the FBI, for the first time, while the trial was going on, developed some sort of testing protocol.

Their expert was called, actually by the defense in the O.J. case, and was very helpful to the defense, and ultimately very embarrassing to
the FBI, who was part of the whistle blower allegations in the very lengthy investigation that the FBI lab did of misconduct, or negligence, or sloppy practices in their lab. And that analyst, who had testified about the EDTA test, was called to task for that very testimony and that very test.

Since that case, a few cases have gone forward where it's almost -- in fact, it is always the defense that seeks to use this kind of a test to determine -- and in most cases I think it's been post-conviction -- but to determine whether or not the blood may have been planted that was found at the crime scene.

The alternate lab that the State mentions in their motion, National Medical Services located in Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, has been severely discredited. And for that reason, we didn't come to the Court and ask that they do such a test.

The federal case that Mr. Strang was referring to, for some reason $I$ could not find on Westlaw, but it is in public record. It is on the website, PACER website, for the United States District Court and the Southern District of

California, it's Kevin Cooper vs. Jill Brown, Warden of San Quentin. And the District Court Judge issued a very thorough, 160-page decision, describing the protocol that was used for EDTA test in that case.

About 26 pages of the 160 concerned that one issue, the EDTA protocol, how it was devised. There were affidavits filed by the FBI in that case, that federal judge says in a footnote that, Although the FBI had been testing during the O.J. Simpson case, they were no longer in the business of doing EDTA tests. So when I saw that, my knowledge was that, really, there was nobody credible still doing these kinds of tests.

THE COURT: What's the year of the case?
ATTORNEY BUTING: The decision came out in June of 2005. I didn't copy the whole 160 pages so I don't have that, but the Case No. -- the local Case No. is 04-CV-656 and I have a PDF I could certainly forward to the Court, that I was able to download from their website.

In that case the defense used Dr. Ballard from this National Medical Services. And he was so severely discredited by not only this court, but a prior court, New Jersey vs.

Pompey, that $I$ just want to read this so that you realize that, frankly, that alternative is not on the table as far as I can see, from either side, to try and submit testing to there.

What the court found in Pompey, as repeated in this Cooper case, is that Dr. Ballard's analytical methods were haphazard and unreliable.

In sum, he used valid science, gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, to obtain a product, glibly and unscientifically dismissed EDTA sources other than the purple-topped tubes, and took a gargantuan leap to a conclusion that is unsupported by science, facts in the record, or even common sense.

Ballard skewed the presentation of his data, obscured the significance of his findings, and changed his hypotheses to suit defendant's tampering theory. Ballard did not demonstrate that his conclusions were predicated on a reliable foundation. Rather, his constant equivocations discredited his method of reasoning and, thus, rendered his ultimate conclusion worthless.

So I say this just so that it is very
clear, $I$ do not see that lab as any option for either side. And, therefore, we're left with, if Mr. Gahn's information is correct, I have no reason to doubt, but his information apparently now is that the FBI is back in the business of doing this. I don't know how they do it. And I would certainly reserve the opportunity to challenge the reliability or methods of protocol that they use, and may want to discuss with this Court further, how that should be done if that's -- testing is granted. But, clearly, the FBI is the only option, so I can understand why that is the State's preference. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Just very briefly, your
Honor. I think you can see that the defense at least agrees that there's something important about doing this testing, that traditionally it's been requested by the defense and has generally come up on post-conviction motions. The FBI does do this testing. I have spoken with them on a number of occasions, yesterday was the latest that I spoke with the chemist, toxicologist who would be doing this testing for us.

I do not, as I stated in the brief, for
the Court's information, I said there were two places. I do not care to send it to National Medical Services. We want to send it to the FBI. That's where I believe the history, and experience, and methodology used by them is -will be to our benefit, should there be an admissibility hearing down the road.

But I think that the defense recognizes the importance of doing this testing. And if, as they say, this vial of blood goes to the integrity of our evidence, we have to test it, your Honor. And we have to test it at a credible, meaningful laboratory.

I don't think there is any way around this. We either test it now, or test it later. And the cases, I believe, under whether it be ineffective assistance of counsel, or whether it be the interest of justice, it's going to be tested later. That's my belief. And I think that our -- the history of these cases in Wisconsin indicates that it would be prudent to do it now instead of later.

THE COURT: Do I understand that, although the National Medical Services Laboratory is mentioned in your brief, that you share Mr. Buting's
opinion of their capabilities of doing this testing?
ATTORNEY GAHN: Let me put it this way, I share that there has been prior cases, or especially the case, the Cooper case, Mr. Buting, that that is in existence, and the National Medical Services, Dr. Ballard, did not fair well. Yes, I agree. And I do not care to send it there. Now, whether they have -- No, I agree, I do not believe that that is an appropriate lab to send it to.

THE COURT: And with respect to the FBI testing, has something changed at the FBI since Mr. Buting indicates they were criticized in the previous case.

ATTORNEY GAHN: I don't know, your Honor I don't know that they stopped doing it. I'm not aware of that. When I talked to the FBI -- I just do not know about that. All I know is that they can test it and they can quantify it.

I want to say something else. There are a few differences, though. And I know that Dr. Ballard did get beat up in some courts, and he did make some stretches and leaps in his conclusions. But as I recall the cases I read, and they are probably the same that Mr. Buting read, you were talking about, he was a defense
witness.
And they were talking about blood that was on fabrics, like on a person's shirt. There is a diffusion of that blood throughout the shirt, and it is difficult to try to determine the volume of what that blood would be, or what would be the volume of the EDTA in that, as compared to the volume of EDTA that's in the blood.

I don't ever recall a case that I read where they had the actual purple-topped tube where they say it's coming from to make a comparison, so that's a difference.

The other difference is is that according to the records that the defense provided me, Laboratory Corporation of America, two days after this blood was drawn by the nurse, made a spot card of it. So that is almost a control that would be very helpful in the interpretation of this case, if that control is still in existence.

Now, I have a call out to Laboratory Corporation of America. I talked to a Meghan Clement, and as $I$ said in my brief, there are a lot of questions still we have to investigate and
look at. But if that spot card is available, then you have sort of like a control, that was taken right after the blood was drawn.

And that spot card, usually, are very fresh. They are free of any type of contaminates. And that's the purpose of them. You could get an EDTA level right there. And the other thing is, that we have blood that is on, like, the vinyl of the car, on the metal portion, and good photographs of it, things that there isn't any excuses, that one could possibly make a rational determination of the volume that is there.

And that's the difference with this case, than the ones I read where Dr. Ballard, I think did make some leaps, a few leaps from this fabric evidence, and whether it contained blood that would have come from a purple-topped tube. But we have the tube here. I also believe that if we get it, we could make some type of quantitation.

The problem is this, if you look on that Exhibit 3, that the defense sent us in their initial brief, from Laboratory Corporation of America, I can't tell whether they removed one or
two milliliters. I don't know what tube this is. I don't know if the nurse drew the full container of the tube. I don't even know the size of the tube.

All these questions we are trying to answer. And we have only -- And it's been very difficult over the Christmas and New Year's holidays finding people, mostly everyone is operating under a skeletal crew in their offices. We are trying to do, which in contact people, that had we known about this back in July we could have done it. And apparently the defense has not pursued any of that.

And I believe that they just like the fact that there's this vial there. And they are going to just draw their conclusions and try and get the jury to speculate what all the possibilities could have happened to that vial. That's a -- We want to get to the truth. We want to test this. And I believe we must test it.

THE COURT: And what's the -- your brief indicates that -- that the FBI will require three to four months, is that because the test takes that long or some other reason?
ATTORNEY GAHN: I think it's a
recalibration of their instrumentation.
THE COURT: Explain that to me.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Well, from my understanding, is that every -- during accreditation, you have to recalibrate all your instruments that you do whatever your tests are on. They are in that process of doing the recalibration of their instrumentation. That's my understanding of why it's three to four months.

THE COURT: And is there -- Do you know whether or not there's anything that can accelerate that schedule? Do they understand that this case is scheduled to go to trial in a month?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Yes, I have made that clear as far as -- and I asked and, no, they cannot do that within that time frame.

THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to do today is take under advisement this weekend the request of the State to adjourn the trial. That's one of the issues that's raised here. And I want to spend some time to think about that.

With respect to the other issue that's raised concerning the frame-up evidence, if you will, I did go back and take a look at my notes from July. And this is one case where I didn't
pay enough attention to my own notes. I did indicate in my notes to myself, that if there was going to be evidence introduced in support of a frame-up defense, that it should be dealt with by a motion in limine ahead of time.

At this point, the Court has been informed by the defense that the blood vial in the Clerk's Office would form the basis, or maybe the key element, of a defense case regarding an alleged frame-up. I don't know what other evidence the defense may be contemplating introducing as part of that defense. And in order to conduct an appropriate analysis under Richardson as to whether such evidence should be admissible, $I$ have to know what it is.

We have a motions hearing scheduled for January 19th. What I'm going to order is that the defense provide the Court, in the form of $a$ motion in limine, that whatever evidence it intends to introduce on the issue of a frame-up defense, by next Friday, so that I can review that evidence and we can be prepared to deal with the motion on the 19th of January. I will, on the issue of the request for and adjournment, get back to the parties early next week --

Is there anything else today, keeping in mind we still have, and I think we're still going to keep it, the 9:00 status conference tomorrow? I want a telephone status conference. I want to -- just to inventory things that have to be addressed before we proceed on the 19th.

ATTORNEY STRANG: There's one more thing today. We have, tomorrow, at the end of the day, a deadline on expert disclosure and also Denny disclosure. I think we can hit -- I think we can hit the expert disclosure.

We could hit the Denny disclosure, but I have lost a lot of time this week because of this issue, and an unexpected trip to court, and also because of the cancellation of a flight on Tuesday morning, back from a weekend away, and would like the opportunity to file, by Monday at noon, the Denny response, rather than by tomorrow at 4:30. I ran that by Mr. Kratz, I'm sure he is not wild about it but, as always, he is courteous and I think doesn't have any objection, but of course that's the Court's call.

THE COURT: Any objection from the State? ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's a professional accommodation I'm willing to provide, Judge, not a
problem.

THE COURT: All right. That's acceptable to the Court. Anything else today?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, not today, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very we'll, we're adjourned for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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difference [3] 30/13 30/14 31/14
differences [1] 29/20
different [1] 11/21
difficult [2] 30/5 32/7
diffusion [1] 30/4
diligence [2] 7/5 7/11
directly [1] 16/18
disagreement [1] 14/6
disclose [1] 14/11
disclosure [10] $13 / 15$ 13/22 $13 / 25$
14/21 15/2 $15 / 9$ 35/9 35/10 35/11 35/12
discovered [1] 23/2
discovery [1] 8/22
discredited [3] 24/18 25/24 26/22
discuss [1] 27/9
discussion [2] 14/5 16/11
dismissed [1] 26/11
dispute [1] 21/16
disregard [1] 9/12
distract [1] 5/6
distraction [1] 6/2
District [4] 2/10 24/25 24/25 25/2 divided [1] 18/14
DNA [1] 18/24
do [33] $2 / 17$ 3/18 8/4 10/6 $11 / 12$
15/2 17/17 18/3 18/16 19/1 20/11
20/12 21/8 23/9 23/13 24/20 27/1 27/6 27/20 27/25 28/2 28/22
28/23 29/7 29/8 29/17 32/10 33/6 $33 / 1033 / 1233 / 1533 / 1837 / 6$
does [4] 10/18 13/21 18/14 27/20
doesn't [2] 13/2 35/21
doing [14] 21/6 21/10 21/18 23/2
$23 / 1125 / 12$ 25/14 27/6 27/18
27/23 28/9 29/1 29/15 33/7
don't [17] $13 / 1015 / 5 \quad 17 / 14$ 20/2
20/3 21/2 23/11 25/18 27/6 28/14
29/14 29/15 30/10 32/1 32/2 32/3 34/10
donated [1] 10/9
done [6] 3/16 12/1 20/22 21/3
27/10 32/12
doubt [2] 20/8 27/4
down [2] 17/6 28/7
download [1] 25/21
Dr.[5] 25/23 26/7 29/6 29/21
31/15
Dr. Ballard [4] 25/23 29/6 29/21
31/15
Dr. Ballard's [1] 26/7
drafts [1] $14 / 7$
draw [1] 32/16
drawn [4] 8/9 10/11 30/1731/3
drew [2] 6/11 32/2
dried [3] 16/22 18/19 18/22
due [2] $7 / 47 / 11$
during [2] 25/10 33/4
E
earlier [2] 8/17 15/8
early [3] 7/6 17/1 34/25
easy [1] 14/20
EDTA [10] 19/8 23/14 24/6 25/4
25/7 25/12 26/12 30/7 30/8 31/7
either [5] 21/22 22/23 26/3 27/2
28/15
element [1] 34/9
else [3] 29/19 35/1 36/3
embarrassing [1] 23/25
emotions [1] 12/3
end [3] 20/17 22/22 35/8
enforcement [10] 5/115/17 7/10
7/16 7/18 7/24 9/9 11/10 13/1 20/1 1
English [1] 11/25
enjoys [1] 21/25
enough [1] 34/1
entirely [2] 18/18 19/24
equipped [1] 17/19
equivocations [1] 26/22
especially [3] 6/4 10/25 29/3
estimated [1] 15/23
even [3] 18/18 26/15 32/3
ever [1] 30/10
every[5] 13/1 17/24 20/13 22/10 33/4
everyone [1] 32/8
evidence [33] 2/6 3/2 3/22 5/23
5/24 6/5 6/9 6/19 6/22 8/4 9/13
11/3 13/12 13/17 13/19 13/25
14/11 14/12 15/4 16/18 16/19
17/9 18/2 18/22 22/11 28/11
$31 / 1733 / 2334 / 334 / 1134 / 14$
34/19 34/22
ex[1] 14/16
exactly [2] 13/15 14/23
examination [1] 5/25
exchange [1] 14/7
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { exclude [4] } & 2 / 5 & 3 / 2 & 10 / 19 & 15 / 4\end{array}$
excluded [1] 10/17
excuses [1] 31/11
exercised [2] 7/4 7/11
Exhibit [1] 31/23
existence [5] 7/2 7/14 8/15 29/5 30/21
exists [2] 10/7 10/12
expect [1] 7/8
experience [1] 28/5
expert [4] 18/23 23/23 35/9 35/11
Explain [1] 33/2
explore [1] 12/13
extensive [1] 19/11
extent [3] 15/3 15/14 17/5
extrinsic [4] 13/16 13/19 14/11
14/12

## F

fabric [1] 31/17
fabrics [1] 30/3
fact [4] 14/21 17/9 24/9 32/15
factors [1] 5/2
facts [4] 12/12 $15 / 1417 / 4$ 26/14
factually [1] 19/16
failed [1] 17/21
fair [1] 29/6
fall [1] 12/7
family [1] 9/13
far [3] 11/8 26/3 33/15
farther [1] 17/15
fashion [2] 14/18 19/1
favor [1] 16/15
fax [1] 2/21
FBI [19] 10/20 21/6 21/7 21/12
21/18 23/10 23/20 24/1 24/3 25/8
25/10 27/5 27/11 27/20 28/3
29/10 29/11 29/16 32/22
feasible [1] 22/23
February [3] 14/5 18/5 37/15
February 5 [2] 14/5 18/5
federal [4] 21/4 21/5 24/21 25/9
felt [1] $8 / 3$
few [5] 7/14 $12 / 11$ 24/8 29/20
31/16
file [2] 15/18 35/17
filed [6] $2 / 44 / 6$ 13/9 13/10 $13 / 13$
25/8
find [5] 7/9 8/2 8/15 9/23 24/22
finding [1] 32/8
findings [1] 26/17
fine [1] 8/4
first [2] 10/1 23/20
five [2] 15/24 16/7
flight [2] 19/23 35/15
flowing [1] 22/18
focus [1] 6/6
focusing [1] 5/7
footnote [1] 25/9
foregoing [2] 37/7 37/7
form [2] 34/8 34/18
formed [1] 19/14
forward [7] 18/5 19/12 19/19
19/20 22/14 24/9 25/20
found [7] 9/21 12/14 16/22 18/13
23/16 24/14 26/5
foundation [1] 26/21
four [5] 10/21 15/23 16/7 32/23
33/9
Fox[1] 8/9
frame [6] 4/17 33/16 33/23 34/4 34/10 34/20
frame-up [4] 33/23 34/4 34/10 34/20
frankly [1] 26/2
fraught [1] 6/10
free [1] 31/5
fresh [1] 31/5
fresher [1] 21/9
Friday [1] 34/21
friend [1] 12/15

| F | 17/5 17/24 18/10 18/18 18/23 | \|issue [8] 5/7 15/1 19/12 25/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| full [3] 9/25 18/21 32/2 | 26/18 26/20 26/21 26/22 26/23 | issued [1] 25/3 |
| further [3] 3/12 17/1727/10 | 27/4 29/22 | issues[13] 3/15 5/5 6/2 6/8 6/9 |
| G | history [3] 11/24 28/4 28/20 | 6/10 6/16 6/17 6/21 10/24 11/21 |
| GAHN [10] 1/13 2/11 3/5 12/15 | holidays [1] 32/8 |  |
| 14/25 18/11 18/1721/7 23/10 | Holmes [1] 14/24 | it's [14] |
| 27/14 | home [3] 13/2 20/10 20/15 | 17/23 24/9 24/12 25/127/18 |
| Gahn's [3] 2/13 21/9 27/3 | Hon [1] 1/10 | 28/18 30/12 32/6 32/25 33/9 |
| gargantuan [1] 26/13 | Honor [7] 3/6 9/25 11/14 11/24 | its [2] 15/12 22/6 |
| gas [1] 26/9 | 27/16 28/12 29/14 | itself [1] 5/4 |
| gathering [1] 18/2 generally [1] 27/19 | hope [1] 21/23 | J |
|  | horrible [2] $12 / 512 / 18$ | jail [1] 22/8 |
| 31/20 32/17 32/19 34/24 | how [9] 4/7 4/20 5/25 8/4 10/3 | JANUARY [3] 1/9 34/17 34/23 |
| give [4] 3/2 8/23 22/20 22/25 | 10/5 25/7 27/6 27/10 | January 19th [1] 34/17 |
| given [1] 4/6 | Hurley [1] 2/17 | JEROME [2] 1/17 2/15 |
| glad [1] 13/19 | hypotheses [1] 26/18 | Jersey [1] 25/25 |
| glibly [1] 26/11 |  | Jill [1] 25/1 |
| go [15] 3/11 7/22 11/15 12/20 |  | jobs [1] 7/21 |
| 13/2 15/2 17/14 18/4 19/12 19/19 | I'm [8] 4/4 10/1 17/14 29/15 33/17 | judge [7] 1/10 21/5 22/25 25/3 |
| 20/10 22/14 22/20 33/13 33/24 | 34/1735/19 35/25 | 25/9 35/25 36/4 |
| goes [2] 16/18 28/10 | identified [1] 21/14 | July [7] 8/17 8/17 13/14 13/24 |
| going [16] 4/3 4/8 4/10 5/10 9/7 | if [32] 3/4 3/25 4/12 4/22 6/9 8/3 | 14/1 32/11 33/25 |
| 10/3 14/7 17/14 19/20 23/21 | 8/4 8/18 10/9 10/18 16/6 16/11 | July 10 [3] 13/14 13/24 14/1 |
| 28/18 32/16 33/17 34/3 34/17 | 17/1 18/2 18/5 19/17 19/17 19/20 | July 20th [1] 8/17 |
| 35/2 | 20/10 21/24 22/6 22/12 27/2 | June [3] 13/9 13/13 25/17 |
| gone [1] 24/8 | 27/10 28/9 30/20 31/1 31/20 | June 26th [1] 13/13 |
| good [6] 2/14 11/23 12/6 19/10 | 31/22 32/2 33/23 34/2 | jury [8] 3/15 4/2 4/8 5/5 5/21 6/2 |
| 21/21 31/10 | imaginable [1] 20/7 | 6/21 32/17 |
| got [2] 4/25 11/18 | imagine [1] 7/20 | just [20] 5/14 6/10 6/16 9/3 9/19 |
| GPS [1] 20/12 | implications [1] 12/10 | 9/23 10/6 10/1111/14 13/15 |
| granted [2] 23/6 27/11 | implies [1] 4/15 | 14/21 15/20 22/25 26/1 26/25 |
| Grove [1] 24/17 | importance [1] 28/9 | 27/15 29/16 32/14 32/16 35/5 |
| guess [1] 6/23 | important [2] 11/8 27/17 | justice [2] 11/2 28/18 |
| H | importantly [1] 8/19 | K |
| had [13] 5/12 6/13 8/14 8/21 | in [133] | keep [1] 35/3 |
| 12/23 14/1 14/16 21/11 24/5 | inadmissible [1] 3/21 | keeping [1] 35/1 |
| 25/10 30/11 32/11 37/13 | indeed [1] 12/16 | Ken [1] 2/10 |
| Halbach [8] 4/16 4/20 5/9 6/7 9/13 | indicate [2] 2/19 34/2 | KENNETH [1] 1/11 |
| 9/14 10/5 12/22 | indicates [3] 28/21 29/12 32/22 | Kevin [1] 25/1 |
| Halbach's [3] 4/8 11/6 16/23 | ineffective [2] 11/2 28/17 | key [1] 34/9 |
| hand [1] 17/6 | inevitably [1] 12/4 | killing [1] 4/25 |
| haphazard [1] 26/7 | inferences [2] 12/16 13/18 | kind [3] 23/10 23/13 24/10 |
| happened [2] 6/11 32/18 | information [6] 8/7 21/9 21/11 | kinds [1] 25/14 |
| harkens [1] 14/25 | $27 / 3 \quad 27 / 4 \quad 28 / 1$ | knew [4] 4/19 7/15 8/16 23/4 |
| has [19] 4/6 12/1 13/7 13/14 13/17 | informed [1] 34/7 | know [25] 4/20 4/21 5/16 7/3 8/15 |
| 15/22 16/5 17/25 19/7 21/5 21/14 | initial [1] 31/24 | 13/11 15/5 17/14 19/7 20/3 21/2 |
| 21/19 24/18 27/19 29/3 29/11 | initially [1] 17/1 | 23/11 23/13 27/6 29/14 29/15 |
| 32/13 34/6 37/8 | innocence [2] 8/10 21/25 | 29/17 29/17 29/20 32/1 32/2 32/3 |
| hasn't [1] 17/3 | innocent [4] 13/4 22/2 22/3 22/9 | 33/10 34/10 34/15 |
| have [68] | instead [2] 19/20 28/22 | knowing [1] 4/5 |
| he [21] $8 / 812 / 2313 / 313 / 413 / 5$ | instrumentation [2] 33/1 33/8 | knowledge [4] 6/25 20/20 25/13 |
| 17/6 17/7 18/2 18/5 18/23 19/23 | instruments [1] 33/6 | 37/14 |
| 21/25 22/7 22/13 23/13 25/24 | integrity [2] 16/19 28/11 | known [1] 32/11 |
| 26/9 29/22 29/25 35/19 35/20 | intends [2] 16/20 34/20 | knows [1] 21/10 |
| he's [2] 16/24 21/10 | intentional [1] 5/16 | KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/10 35/19 |
| hear [1] 13/20 | interest[3] $11 / 218 / 828 / 18$ | L |
| heinous [1] 12/5 | interpretation [1] 30/20 intimated [1] 14/16 | lab [8] 21/13 22/22 23/9 24/3 24/4 |
| helpful [3] 21/4 23/24 30/19 | $\text { into [1] } 23 / 1$ | $24 / 15 \text { 27/1 } 29 / 9$ |
| her [3] 4/16 12/24 21/6 | introduce [1] 34/20 | laboratories [1] 21/17 |
| here[14] 2/15 5/2 9/6 11/22 12/20 | introduced [1] 34/3 | laboratory [8] 6/12 21/19 21/21 |
| 13/5 14/21 15/1 16/15 17/9 17/16 | introducing [1] 34/12 | 28/13 28/24 30/16 30/22 31/24 |
| 22/1 31/19 33/20 | inventory [1] 35/5 | labs [1] $7 / 9$ |
| hereby [1] 37/6 | investigate [1] 30/25 | lacks [1] 6/19 |
| hey [1] 8/8 | investigation [1] 24/2 | Lake [1] 8/9 |
| Hicks [1] 10/25 | investigators [1] 14/9 | language [1] 12/2 |
| him [5] 17/21 18/23 20/15 22/1 | irreducible [1] 17/9 | large [2] 13/6 17/5 |
| 22/15 | is [114] | largely [1] 20/6 |
| his [22] $2 / 1616 / 2117 / 1 \begin{array}{lllll}\text { l } & 17 / 4\end{array}$ | isn't [2] 5/15 31/11 | last[3] 2/21 13/15 21/2 |

later [4] 19/15 28/15 28/19 28/22 latest [2] 8/16 27/22
law [17] 1/16 1/18 3/20 5/11 5/17
7/10 7/16 7/17 7/24 9/9 10/16
11/10 11/25 13/1 19/10 20/11
20/23
lead [1] 11/22
leap [1] 26/13
leaps [3] 29/22 31/16 31/16
least [2] 14/8 27/17
left [1] 27/2
lends [1] 5/4
lengthy [1] 24/2
Let [1] 29/2
letting [1] 22/1
level [1] 31/7
liability [1] 13/12
life [1] 22/12
like[7] 6/24 22/19 30/3 31/2 31/9 32/14 35/17
limine [2] 34/5 34/19
linkages [1] 16/12
listened [1] 22/10
little [4] 15/21 19/8 22/20 23/1
local [1] 25/18
located [1] 24/17
location [3] 15/14 15/15 15/16
long [3] 11/8 14/3 32/24
longer [1] 25/11
look[8] 3/12 4/2 8/2 17/8 20/22
31/1 31/22 33/24
looked [3] 8/2 17/6 23/1
looking [2] 7/5 7/11
lost [1] 35/13
lot [2] 30/25 35/13
loved [1] 22/10
low [3] 3/24 5/22 5/23
M
machine [1] 37/10
made [14] 4/1 5/4 5/11 5/19 5/21
9/7 9/8 $11 / 1112 / 1112 / 1113 / 21$
16/12 30/18 33/14
make [13] 3/7 3/13 4/3 4/9 6/24
10/6 10/8 12/1 29/22 30/12 31/11 31/16 31/20
makes [1] 3/25
making [2] 4/4 14/8
man [2] 20/10 21/10
MANITOWOC [15] 1/1 5/14 6/14
7/9 7/17 9/10 9/17 9/22 10/4
12/14 13/2 14/10 15/13 17/10 37/2
many [7] 5/2 5/3 5/20 6/16 10/24
10/24 11/9
March [1] 4/24
March 1st [1] 4/24
mass [1] 26/10
matter [5] 2/3 17/17 18/25 37/7 37/13
may [19] 3/10 3/23 10/21 11/14
11/20 13/4 13/9 13/10 19/4 19/23
20/17 20/23 21/21 22/5 22/22
23/3 24/13 27/9 34/1 1
maybe [4] $4 / 1314 / 8 \quad 16 / 734 / 8$
me [6] 8/9 29/2 30/16 33/2 37/8 37/9
mean [1] 10/8
meaningful [1] 28/13
meant [1] 14/1
measure [1] 23/15
medical [6] $10 / 1024 / 16$ 25/23
28/3 28/24 29/5
meet [5] 8/25 9/2 9/25 9/25 11/13
Meghan [1] 30/23
member [1] 17/12
members [1] 15/12
memoranda [1] 3/4
memorandum [1] 2/23
mentioned [1] 28/25
mentions [1] 24/16
met [1] 10/15
metal [1] 31/9
method [1] 26/22
methodology [1] 28/5
methods [2] 26/7 27/8
microphone [1] 16/25
middle [1] 23/19
might [2] 13/18 20/8
milliliters [1] 32/1
mind [1] 35/2
misconduct [1] 24/3
misleading [1] $5 / 5$
modified [1] 22/7
moment [1] 11/14
Monday [1] 35/17
month [1] 33/13
months [5] 10/21 17/22 22/8 32/23

## 33/9

Moran [1] 10/25
more [6] 8/12 8/14 15/9 19/16
22/7 35/7
moreover [1] 18/18
morning [2] 2/22 35/16
most [3] 12/5 16/19 24/11
mostly [1] 32/8
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { motion [12] } 1 / 4 ~ 2 / 4 ~ 2 / 5 ~ & 2 / 13 & 2 / 22\end{array}$
2/24 3/1 13/11 24/16 34/5 34/19

## 34/23

motions [2] 27/20 34/16
moved [2] 14/1 14/4
Mr [1] 22/24
Mr. [40]
Mr. Avery [7] 8/8 10/9 13/2 15/25
16/20 19/18 19/20
Mr. Avery's [1] 23/3
Mr. Buting [12] 11/18 14/9 17/18
18/10 21/1 21/5 21/13 21/20
22/20 29/4 29/12 29/24
Mr. Buting's [1] 28/25
Mr. Dassey [2] 4/22 4/23
Mr. Gahn [8] 3/5 12/15 14/25
18/11 18/17 21/7 23/10 27/14
Mr. Gahn's [3] 2/13 21/9 27/3
Mr. Kratz [1] 35/19
Mr. Mr. Buting [1] 11/19
Mr. Strang [3] 11/19 11/22 24/21
murdered [5] 4/16 5/8 6/7 9/14
12/22
must [4] 3/11 3/16 $11 / 1132 / 20$
my [12] 12/15 23/8 25/12 28/19
$30 / 2433 / 333 / 833 / 2434 / 134 / 2$ 37/9 37/13
myriad [1] 10/11
myself [1] 34/2

## N

named [1] 21/19
National [5] 24/16 25/23 28/2 28/24 29/5
necessitates [1] 6/18
need [4] 8/25 9/24 9/25 15/7
negligence [2] 5/15 24/4
never [2] 7/15 23/18

New [2] 25/25 32/7
next [2] 34/21 34/25
night [3] $2 / 21 \quad 13 / 3$ 20/10
nilly [1] $9 / 20$
no [12] $1 / 5$ 2/2 3/18 8/5 21/15
25/11 25/18 25/19 27/3 29/8
33/15 36/4
nobody [2] 19/7 25/13
noon [1] 35/18
Norm [1] 2/11
NORMAN [1] 1/13
not [46]
note [1] 3/17
notes [4] 33/24 34/1 34/2 37/9
notifying [1] $7 / 2$
noting [1] 12/21
November [4] 4/12 4/12 4/14 17/1
November 1st [1] 4/14
November 3rd [1] 4/12
November 5th [1] 4/12
now [16] 4/4 8/6 8/25 13/7 15/2
15/9 16/5 18/7 20/7 21/7 23/10
27/5 28/15 28/22 29/7 30/22
number [2] 6/19 27/21
nurse [2] 30/17 32/2
0
O.J [3] 23/19 23/24 25/10
oath [1] 7/20
objection [3] 22/6 35/21 35/23
obligation [1] 13/16
obscured [1] 26/17
obtain [1] 26/10
occasions [1] 27/22
October [2] 4/13 14/1
October 16 [1] 14/1
October 31 st [1] 4/13
offer [2] 4/6 16/21
office [11] 6/15 7/17 7/21 9/22
10/5 15/15 $15 / 1717 / 11$ 18/13 23/4 34/8
officer [4] 4/15 5/12 9/9 13/1
officers [3] 5/17 11/11 20/11
offices [1] 32/9
Official [3] $1 / 25$ 37/4 37/19
oh [1] 10/11
on [50]
one [17] 3/25 4/24 6/19 7/8 10/9
11/14 11/23 14/17 16/9 17/9
17/25 25/7 31/11 31/25 33/20
33/25 35/7
ones [2] $22 / 1031 / 15$
only [4] $4 / 21$ 25/24 27/12 32/6
open [3] 9/19 14/18 23/6
operating [1] 32/9
operations [1] 9/18
opinion [1] 29/1
opinions[2] 19/14 22/18
opportunity [7] 3/3 8/14 8/23 9/1
11/12 27/7 35/17
oppose [5] 18/3 20/1 20/15 20/17
22/3
opposed [1] 12/10
opposes [1] 22/13
option [3] 22/21 27/1 27/12
or [55]
oral [1] 3/4
order [4] 14/15 15/10 34/13 34/17
orders [1] 13/23
other [15] 6/5 6/5 6/8 6/10 7/22
10/23 17/25 18/1 21/13 26/12
30/14 31/8 32/24 33/22 34/10
ought [2] 15/9 19/19

| 0 | presumably [1] 20/21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1] 3/8 6/25 6/25 7/6 13/22 | [1] 21/25 | 4] 15/23 23/4 29/23 30/1 |
| $921 / 11$ | ivel [2] 22/2 | [ [3] 2/20 2/20 |
| 20 | pretty [1] 16/14 |  |
| urs [1] | 1] 29/1 | cognized [1] 7/6 |
| urselves |  | cognizes [1] 28/8 |
| ut [6] 5/2 7/13 8/15 17/22 25/16 | [2] | cord [5] 2/8 2/20 |
| 30/22 |  | 6/14 |
|  | $21 /$ | rds |
| own [2] 22/6 34/1 |  | referring [1] 24/22 |
| P | [5] 3/12 3/24 5/22 5/2 | ga |
| PACER [1] 24/24 <br> page [1] 25/3 <br> pages [2] 25/6 25/17 <br> part [5] 6/25 13/6 23/2 24/1 34/12 <br> parte [1] 14/16 <br> particularly [2] 12/19 15/8 <br> parties [3] 2/7 3/3 34/25 <br> partitioned [1] 18/14 <br> partitioning [1] 18/20 <br> party [1] 13/12 <br> Patrick [1] $1 / 10$ <br> pay [1] 34/1 <br> PDF [1] 25/19 <br> Pennsylvania [1] 24/17 <br> people [7] 7/14 7/20 11/9 22/2 <br> 22/3 32/8 32/10 <br> people's [1] 9/6 <br> perhaps [4] 4/15 4/25 9/9 16/2 <br> permission [1] 23/7 <br> person [2] 1/20 2/15 <br> person's [1] 30/3 <br> photographs [1] 31/10 <br> place [1] 9/19 <br> places [3] 7/8 17/8 28/2 <br> PLAINTIFF[1] 1/4 <br> plan [1] 4/7 <br> plant [1] 8/4 <br> planted [8] 4/11 4/13 4/17 4/19 <br> 9/13 10/14 17/2 24/13 <br> planting [5] 8/3 13/17 13/19 14/12 15/4 <br> played [1] 17/6 <br> please [1] 2/8 <br> point [7] $12 / 13$ 12/20 $14 / 17$ 19/7 <br> 21/1 23/20 34/6 <br> pointed [1] 17/7 <br> police [5] 4/15 4/19 4/24 20/13 22/1 1 <br> Pompey [2] 26/1 26/5 <br> portion [1] 31/9 <br> possession [1] 8/7 <br> possibilities [1] 32/18 <br> possibility [1] 23/3 <br> possible [5] 10/13 14/12 15/4 17/20 21/14 <br> possibly [3] 4/21 5/18 31/11 <br> post [2] 24/12 27/20 <br> post-conviction [2] 24/12 27/20 <br> potential [5] 10/24 12/9 12/16 <br> 20/6 22/11 <br> practices [1] 24/4 <br> predicated [1] 26/20 <br> preemptive [1] 6/24 <br> preference [1] 27/13 <br> prejudice [3] 18/15 19/2 22/15 <br> prepared [2] 34/22 37/8 <br> present [3] 2/7 12/12 15/24 <br> presentation [1] 26/16 <br> presently [1] 21/18 <br> preservative [1] 23/15 |  | 1 |
|  | procedure [1] | vance [5] |
|  |  | 2/18 22/17 |
|  | proceed [1] 35/6 | elevancy [1] 3/23 |
|  | proceedings [3] | reliability [1] 27 |
|  | process [2] 19/19 | liable [1] 26/21 |
|  | product [1] 26/1 | remain [3] $18 / 3$ 18/5 19/18 |
|  | productive [1] | nember [1] 13/10 |
|  |  | ove [1] 19/23 |
|  | p | ov |
|  |  | dered [2] 2 |
|  | proof [1] 4/6 | epeated [1] 26/6 |
|  | proposed [1] 19/8 | reported [2] 1/24 |
|  | prosecution [2] 7/4 | eporter [3] 1/25 37/5 37/19 |
|  | Prosecutor [4] 1/12 1/14 2/11 2/12 <br> protect [1] 9/10 | [1] 19/24 |
|  | protocol [5] 23/12 23/22 25/4 25/7 | request [3] 20/16 33/19 34/24 |
|  |  | sted [1] 27 |
|  |  | quire [1] 32/22 |
|  | prove [1] 16 | quired [1] 14/1 |
|  | provide [2] | search [1] 23/8 |
|  | provided [1] | reserve [1] 27/7 |
|  | proximity [1] 15/12 | serves [1] 22/1 |
|  | prudent [1] 28/21 | solved [1] 15/9 |
|  | public [7] 7/187/19 | pect [2] 29/10 3 |
|  | 11/10 17/12 24/2 | respond [1] 11/20 |
|  | purple [3] 26/12 30/11 31/18 | ponding [1] 16/3 |
|  | purple-topped [3] 26/12 30/11 | $\text { nse [4] } 3 / 1 \quad 3 / 17 \quad 13 / 1135$ |
|  | 31/18 | responsibility[1] 8/2 |
|  |  |  |
|  | purposeful [1] 5 | $\begin{array}{llll}{[7]} & 19 / 5 & 19 / 13 & 20 / 8 \\ 20 / 19\end{array}$ |
|  | pursue [7] 8/3 13/18 14/15 14/17 | $122 / 1722 / 18$ |
|  | 19/3 20/2 20/5 | iew [1] 34/21 |
|  | pursued [1] 32/1 | $\text { it [1] } 15 / 7$ |
|  | put [1] 29/2 | rdson [7] |
|  | Q | 14/23 34/14 |
|  |  |  |
|  | quantitation [1] 31/21 | risk [1] 19/2 |
|  | Quentin [1] 25/2 | ritual [2] 12/2 12/7 |
|  | question [1] 18/7 | Rivers [1] 20/13 |
|  | questions [3] 15/6 30/25 | [2] 17/7 28/7 |
|  | R | d [2] 14/7 |
|  | raised [2] 33/20 |  |
|  | raises [1] 13/7 | ruled [3] 13/14 13/17 15/5 |
|  | ramifications [1] 20/6 | ruling [1] 6/4 |
|  | ran [1] 35/19 | rulings [1] 15/7 |
|  | rather [2] 26/21 35/18 | S |
|  | RAV [1] 18/19 | 这 |
|  | read [7] 2/21 2 | 7] 5/21 7/11 |
|  | 29/25 30/10 31/1 | 30/24 37/13 |
|  | realistically [2] 19/22 21/20 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { same [5] } & 10 / 8 & 12 / 2312 / 25 ~ 17 / 8\end{array}$ |
|  | realize [1] 26/2 | 29/24 |
|  | really [3] 5/5 7/14 25/13 |  |
|  |  | saw [1] 25/12 |
|  | 27/4 32/24 | say [8] 4/23 16/8 16/22 20/23 |
|  | reasoning [1] 26/22 | 26/25 28/10 29/19 30/12 |
|  | reasons [4] 3/22 10/11 21/20 22/6 |  |

says [3] 21/7 23/10 25/9
scenarios [1] 10/13
scene [2] 23/18 24/14
schedule [2] 13/24 33/12
scheduled [3] 2/3 33/13 34/16
science [2] 26/9 26/14
scientifically [1] 11/5
sealed [1] 8/19
search [1] 7/7
searched [1] 7/8
secret [1] 17/3
security [1] 6/13
see [4] 7/25 26/3 27/1 $27 / 16$
seeks [2] 18/16 24/10
send [5] 20/15 28/2 28/3 29/7 29/9
sense [7] 12/17 12/18 12/18 15/20
19/12 22/21 26/15
sent [1] 31/23
separable [1] 19/11
separated [1] 18/8
September [1] 14/2
September 16 [1] 14/2
serious [1] 12/5
servants [1] 11/10
serve [2] 7/19 9/11
service [2] 7/19 14/19
Services [5] 24/17 25/23 28/3
28/24 29/5
set [3] 13/24 14/2 14/23
severely [2] 24/18 25/24
sex[1] 12/23
share [2] 28/25 29/3
Sheriff's [3] 7/10 15/13 15/15
shirt [2] 30/3 30/5
shorthand [1] 37/10
should [8] 2/12 3/21 8/20 10/17
27/10 28/6 34/4 34/14
shows [1] 5/25
side [3] 6/16 26/3 27/2
sides [1] 13/9
sidetracked [1] 6/8
significance [1] 26/17
similar [1] 20/24
Simpson [2] 23/19 25/11
since [3] 15/8 24/8 29/11
site [1] 21/15
sits [1] 13/5
size [1] $32 / 3$
skeletal [1] 32/9
skewed [1] 26/16
sleep [2] 22/2 22/3
slight [1] 19/23
slipshod [1] 9/18
sloppy [1] 24/4
small [2] 16/21 18/19
so [25] 5/2 5/3 5/20 6/16 8/19
9/12 10/6 10/17 10/21 16/11 17/2
18/25 20/10 21/23 22/7 25/12
25/17 25/24 26/1 26/25 26/25
27/12 30/13 30/18 34/21
solitary [2] 17/23 22/9
some [15] 3/10 3/23 4/11 5/11 9/9
16/6 16/19 23/21 24/22 29/21
29/22 31/16 31/20 32/24 33/21
somebody [1] 17/2
somehow [2] 5/12 10/12
someone [3] 9/9 9/16 10/14
something [5] 8/11 20/19 27/17
29/11 29/19
sort [4] 6/23 13/25 23/21 31/2
sorts [1] 13/3
sought [1] 20/25
sources [1] 26/12
South [1] 14/24
Southern [1] 24/25
speak [1] 17/19
Special [4] 1/12 1/14 2/11 $2 / 12$
specifically [1] 2/5
specifics [1] 17/19
spectrometry [1] 26/10
speculate [1] 32/17
spend [2] 22/7 33/21
spoke [1] 27/22
spoken [1] 27/21
spoliation [1] 18/22
spot [3] 30/18 31/1 31/4
ss [1] $37 / 1$
Stanton [1] 2/17
starting [1] 12/20
state [43]
State's [10] 2/22 3/1 $13 / 913 / 11$
15/24 16/8 18/7 20/15 21/16
27/13
stated [1] 27/25
States [2] 12/1 24/24
status [2] 35/3 35/4
statute [1] $8 / 22$
stenographic [1] 37/9
stepping [1] 15/20
STEVEN [15] 1/6 1/19 2/2 2/14
$4 / 104 / 174 / 225 / 86 / 66 / 1112 / 21$
14/13 17/22 19/2 22/13
still [6] 16/9 25/14 30/21 30/25
35/2 35/2
stipulations [1] 16/6
stopped [2] 21/6 29/15
STRANG[5] 1/15 2/17 11/19 11/22 24/21
stretch [1] 7/18
stretches [1] 29/22
strike [1] 6/24
stringent [1] 19/21
submit [1] 26/4
submitted [1] 2/23
such [4] 6/1 6/10 24/20 34/14
sufficient [2] 16/2 18/20
suggest [1] 3/18
suggested [1] 16/5
suit [1] 26/18
suitable [1] 11/13
sum [1] 26/9
supplement [1] 3/3
support [3] 2/23 22/16 34/3
sure [1] $35 / 19$
surprised [1] 7/25
SUV [3] 4/8 10/5 10/14
sworn [1] 9/10
T
table [1] 26/3
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { take } & {[6]} & 10 / 21 & 11 / 22 & 15 / 23 & 18 / 22\end{array}$
33/18 33/24
taken [5] 7/20 10/10 10/13 31/3
37/9
takes [1] 32/23
talked [2] 29/16 30/23
talking [7] 5/16 9/5 9/5 18/9 23/14 29/25 30/2
tampering [1] 26/19
taping [1] 17/24
task [1] 24/6
team [1] 7/4
telephone [1] 35/4
tell [4] 8/8 8/22 11/5 31/25

Teresa[10] 4/8 4/16 4/19 5/8 6/7
9/14 10/5 11/6 12/22 16/23
terribly [1] 19/10
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 37/4 37/19
test [28] 8/23 9/1 10/20 10/20
10/21 19/17 20/16 20/18 20/21
21/3 21/24 22/17 22/18 23/13
23/14 24/6 24/7 24/11 24/20 25/5
28/11 28/12 28/15 28/15 29/18
32/20 32/20 32/23
tested [3] 11/4 11/5 28/19
testified [1] 24/5
testimony [1] 24/7
testing [36] 11/12 17/17 17/20
$\begin{array}{lllllll}18 / 8 & 18 / 16 & 18 / 21 & 18 / 25 & 19 / 3 & 19 / 4\end{array}$
19/5 19/8 19/12 19/14 19/15
19/19 20/2 20/5 20/8 20/22 20/25
21/7 21/15 21/18 22/14 22/21
23/10 23/22 25/10 26/4 27/11
27/18 27/21 27/24 28/9 29/1
29/1 1
tests [4] 20/24 25/12 25/14 33/6
than [7] 8/12 15/10 16/9 17/25
26/12 31/15 35/18
Thank [4] 3/6 11/16 27/13 36/5
that [246]
that's [20] 5/19 9/21 11/16 16/21
17/15 27/10 28/4 28/19 30/8
$30 / 1331 / 631 / 1432 / 1933 / 8$
33/19 33/20 33/22 35/22 35/24
36/2
their [15] 2/7 5/6 9/2 11/13 23/11
23/23 24/4 24/16 25/21 29/1
31/23 32/9 32/16 33/1 33/8
them [7] 4/22 4/23 8/8 9/8 27/21
28/5 31/6
then [4] 3/13 19/24 22/13 31/2
theory [1] 26/19
there [38]
there's [9] 5/10 5/20 7/14 15/6
19/15 27/17 32/15 33/11 35/7
thereafter [1] 37/11
therefore [2] 10/12 27/2
these [7] 3/22 4/4 7/19 13/3 25/14
28/20 32/5
they [46]
thing [2] 31/8 35/7
things [5] 7/22 11/23 12/13 31/10 35/5
think [19] $2 / 183 / 118 / 118 / 21$
15/19 17/18 18/25 24/11 27/16
28/8 28/14 28/19 31/16 32/25
33/21 35/2 35/10 35/10 35/21
thinks [1] 22/14
third [1] 13/12
this [112]
thorough [3] $13 / 8$ 14/5 25/3
those [6] 10/13 14/20 16/3 20/2
20/18 22/18
though [2] 13/4 29/20
three [4] 10/21 14/8 32/22 33/9
through [2] 9/18 14/6
throughout [1] 30/4
thus [1] 26/23
tight [1] 16/7
time [14] 2/1 3/18 4/11 6/1 6/20
10/19 13/23 16/17 21/2 23/20
$33 / 1633 / 2134 / 535 / 13$
timely [1] 14/21
times [1] 11/21
timing [2] 15/8 15/10
tip [1] 5/1
tips [1] 16/15
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. We are here this morning for a motions hearing. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: On behalf of the state of Wisconsin, your Honor, Ken Kratz, Calumet County District Attorney; Norm Gahn, Assistant District Attorney for Milwaukee County; Tom Fallon, from the Department of Justice, all appear as Special Prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Steven Avery appears in person. Jerome Buting of Buting and Williams, to my left, on his behalf. And Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton, as well.

THE COURT: All right. I will indicate for the record that $I$ met briefly with counsel in chambers before we began, to take an inventory of motions that are outstanding and set up the order in which they will be addressed this morning. The first motion is the State's motion for relief from pre-trial scheduling order. Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Mr. Gahn will handle that motion.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gahn.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, I believe that the motion that we filed speaks for itself. We're just asking the Court -- Today was the deadline for us to turn over rebuttal witnesses, and in light of the recent events, we're asking to be relieved from that, because we may need to do some additional testing. We don't know yet if we will, but we're asking the Court to relieve us from that responsibility to reveal any of our rebuttal witnesses, expert witnesses especially, today.

And I have cited a State v. Konkol in support for this request. And also our own discovery provisions under 971.23 (1) (d) which basically excludes rebuttal witnesses from what a district attorney must disclose to the defendant.

THE COURT: All right. Will it be Mr. Strange or Mr. Buting?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have got it, Judge. We don't have a real concern about their request, assuming that it relates -- it's limited to this issue of the blood vial and whether there's any other testing that can still be done on it. I don't know that at this point that there is any, and they haven't decided if there is anything yet at all.

But assuming that it is limited to that
and that something is ongoing between now and the next few weeks, we don't have any objection to extending the time for them to file any kind of notice. And, frankly, I'm sure that Mr. Gahn would share with us whatever results they are going to come up with anyway. I don't think that he's intending to do some ambush on this issue. THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, I don't want this to be limited to just the blood vial situation. It may involve other witness' testimony as far as the whole -- the fording or attacking the defense that may be presented in this case. So I don't want to just limit to that one area.

We have some additional investigation to do to look at in light of some recent documents also that have been filed by the defense. So we may not want that limited at all to just the blood vial, but all aspects of the defense; the location of the vial, where it was, who had custody of it, all -- everything. It's all related. It surrounds all of that issue. But it's not just to that, it may be other testimonial evidence.

THE COURT: Your motion refers to the blood
vial and other related evidence. So I take it that you are not looking for blanket relief from the requirement of the pre-trial order, but you are pointing out, that to the extent that other evidence relates to the blood vial, that's the nature of your request?

ATTORNEY GAHN: That's correct your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, I'm not sure how that other related evidence would involve expert witnesses. I thought that's what he was referring to here. The Court's order, Paragraph 4 B 4, I don't have right in front of me, but.

THE COURT: It provides that the State shall provide to the defense the identity of any rebuttal expert witness the State intends to call, along with copies of reports of any such expert, on or before January 19. Goes on to provide, the State may request additional time, if necessary, based on the nature of any proposed but previously unanticipated testimony of any named defense expert. ATTORNEY BUTING: So, again, I'm not sure what other kind of expert testimony might be involved in this, but as long as it's not a blanket exemption from the Court's -- I think the Court does
have discretion to pose these kinds of deadlines. The Court did impose the deadlines, and imposed the deadlines against the defense as well, which we have complied with. So, I think maybe there needs to be more clarification later if there is something that we're not anticipating here. But otherwise --

THE COURT: Let me ask this, I understand, based on the previous arguments and information presented to the Court related to the type of testing that might be involved, that it may be difficult for the State to put any timetable on when information might be presented to the defense. But can there be a description, in terms of so much time before such evidence would be offered, that the information would be presented to the defense?

ATTORNEY GAHN: I don't know if I can commit to that, your Honor. Because it's just -- I don't know exactly, depending on future rulings, where we are going to be going. I guess at this juncture $I$ would inform the Court that, as far as expert testimony, I suspect that testimony will be pertaining to the vial, but there may be other lay witnesses that we'll be presenting to thwart the overall defense of, we're talking the location, the security, and everything involved in the defense.

And I simply would ask the Court to perhaps recognize State v. Konkol and what the Court has held, and also the statutory language which basically states that the State does not have to turn over its rebuttal witnesses to the defense.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, on the other hand, the Court did impose the December 15 th deadine for discovery, of all types of discovery. Now, obviously, some discovery may be forthcoming based on additional investigation that they have been doing, but I would expect that the State would be required, or should be required, to turn over such discovery immediately, as soon as they get it, rather than, you know, holding it back as some ambush that they are going to present in the rebuttal, whether it's lay or otherwise.

I think that the discovery, the ongoing duty to supplement the discovery, should require prompt compliance, as we have been doing. We have turned over some reports just in the last few days, in fact.

THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to do is this, the motion as $I$ understand it requests
relief from the pre-trial scheduling order in order to allow the State to conduct scientific testing on the blood vial and other related evidence. I believe that this type of an extension was contemplated at the time the pre-trial order was issued, and so I'm going to grant the request as it's framed.

I'm gathering from the argument of the parties that other issues could arise that may be contested, but they haven't been presented, specifically, to the Court at this time and I'm not going to speculate about those. However, given the recent time frame within which the evidence described in the motion was first presented to the State, I'm going to grant their motion.

The next item is the State's demand for compliance with discovery requests. And I believe that relates to the January 5 defense disclosure of potential expert witnesses. Who will be arguing this motion for the State?

ATTORNEY GAHN: I will, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Gahn, you may proceed. ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, I'm basically going to rely upon the brief we filed in this
matter. I simply would highlight what is stated in our statute of 971.23 (2m) (am), which simply states that if -- that any relevant written, or reported statements of a witness named on a list under Paragraph A, including any reports or statements of experts made in connection with the case; or if the expert does not prepare a report, or statement -- or statement, a written summary of the expert's findings, or the subject matter of his or her testimony, including the results of any physical or mental examination, scientific test, experiment, or comparison that the defendant intends to offer in evidence at the trial.

It clearly states that, summary of the expert's findings. And reading what the defendant has submitted, certainly does not come anywhere close to telling us what are the findings of their expert.

They have not supplied any written reports which have been prepared by their experts but -- and they have not provided us with a summary that is telling us what the findings are. They have simply told us that their experts may or may not testify to something. They may agree with, they may challenge, they may disagree with,
what our experts have come up with.
This is telling us absolutely nothing. I don't believe they are complying with the statute and I believe that the case that we cited, the Schroeder case, certainly stands for that proposition, that they tell us something. I mean this isn't really difficult. It's quite simple.

If they are going to call these experts to testify, tell us what they are going to say, what is the issue, and what are their findings, what are their conclusions, not this general broad, they may discuss some topic that is so broad in nature that there's no way for us to determine exactly what, you know, what their testimony is going to be. So I would ask the Court to require them to make this more definite and more certain. And provide us with a written summary of what their findings are.

I did speak with Mr. Buting, in our motion we also talked about the October 6th discovery demand that we filed that pertains to the DNA evidence specifically. Mr. Buting is going to look at that motion that we filed, that discovery motion that we filed. And my
understanding is that he's going to get with his expert and will comply as best he can with that, so we needn't discuss that. I believe that Mr. Buting will do that and take him at his word, and will provide us with the necessary information that we have asked for.

But overall, we still believe that we have not been -- that the statute has not been complied with.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I assume the Court got the letter that I faxed yesterday.

THE COURT: It did.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. I think we have more than adequately complied with the statute. And, in particular, the way the statute reads is, really, one of three things can be done. If a report is prepared, then the report is turned over. However, if there is no report prepared, then the statute says a written summary of the expert's findings or, and that's the important thing, or the subject matter of his or her testimony.

And as I understand, the State's complaint is that the disclosure doesn't specifically list each and every finding, if
that's the way that they want to term it, but the statute doesn't require that. The statute requires a summary of the subject matter of his or her testimony in lieu of that.

Now, we actually do include certain findings. There's some opinions specifically expressed in there of what we anticipate Dr. Fairgrieve may testify about, in particular, as well as Dr. White. It's perhaps a little less -- it's a little more vague maybe on the DNA expert, Dr. Friedman, but in part that's because we explain in the last paragraph that we're not offering any specific test results or manipulation of data through the genotype or various software types of thing.

So, I think clearly we have complied with the statute as to description of the subject matter. And really the Anderson case that I point out to the Court, -- First, before I get to that, the language of "this witness may testify about that" or, you know, rather than "will testify" is, really, simply a reflection of the fact that none of these experts may testify at all. The defense doesn't have to present any evidence.

And so what we're saying by using that language is that obviously this witness may or may not testify. If the witness testifies, this will be the subject matter of their testimony. So to clear up any confusion about that, there's no uncertainty as to what the subject matter will be if they will testify.

And in Anderson, the State was permitted to introduce a witness at trial without any kind of expert disclosure under the discovery statute, but simply by saying in a motion in limine that an expert will testify about the dynamics of child sexual abuse and -- or actually the -- I think the specific phrase was -- I don't recall it exactly, but the witness was not even named, much less any written summary of what they were going to say.

All that was disclosed was that there would be an expert, unnamed, in the area of the effects of child abuse, and disclosure, and all of that. And the Court said that, in their view, satisfied this discovery statute requirement of a written summary of an expert's finding, or subject matter of his testimony.

So if that was adequate in Anderson,
this is way more than adequate under the statute. As Schroeder says, State vs. Schroeder, that the State cites, the purpose of the discovery statutes is to enable the other side to prepare for the trial, not to do the preparation for them.

We have done that, we have presented the witnesses, the experts that we may use. If they testify, these are the subject matter. I think we were very specific as to what it is, what subject matter they would testify about, and there are some actual findings included in there. So I think that we have more than adequately satisfied the State's request.

As to the DNA, I did speak with Mr. Gahn beforehand. Some of what he asked for in his October discovery demand I didn't turn over because I thought it related only if Dr. Friedman had performed separate independent tests. I will take another look at that and I think that we will work out whatever remains in that. I will turn over whatever protocol, if he still wishes that, even though tests weren't done. But as to the others, $I$ think we have more than satisfied the requirements.

THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, even the Anderson case, when I read the Anderson case that Mr. Buting has provided under Westlaw printout, this is a 15 page decision. And as far as the portion on the statutory section, 971.23 (1), it's a mere one paragraph of -- looks like 20 lines, and it really is sort of an aside in the Anderson case.

In fact, under State v. Anderson, the Supreme Court case at 291 Wis. 2d, 673, this Anderson case that the defense has cited was reversed by the Supreme Court. And they don't even mention this aspect. They don't even bring it up that $I$ could find in the 40 page decision.

So the case being cited was reversed and they didn't even address this very, very minor issue. But even what is said in the Anderson case is -- what their response to us has been: These experts may testify to something; they may not. They may agree. They may challenge.

This is telling us absolutely nothing. This isn't the spirit of the statute or the spirit of the Schroeder case. If your expert is going to testify, tell us what their findings are and what their conclusions are so that we can
address that issue at trial. It is something that to state, as the defendant just said, that they gave a summary, $I$ think he said -- if I could have just one moment here while I get that -- that it was, or the subject matter of his or her testimony.

Well, to state something like they may testify to DNA frequencies, well, that's not -that's such a broad expansive topic that tells us nothing. What exactly is your finding or your conclusion as to the statistical analysis.

They may or may not testify as to DNA protocols. Well, what, what, the protocols are a 100 pages long, and they are all different types of, you know, quality assurance, quality control. What exactly is the finding or conclusion of your expert, that they have come up with. And they just have not provided us with anything close to that.

And I don't believe that's in the spirit of Schroeder, or in the spirit of the statute. And we would ask that they make a more definite and certain explanation to us of what their experts will testify to. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I took the
opportunity to review the legal authorities cited by the defense and also some other cases that interpreted the statute in this case. I also read the defense disclosure of potential expert witnesses that was offered.

And the Court concludes as follows:
First, I think the defense adequately explained its use of the term may in the sense that it meant, not that this may or may not be this expert's opinion, but rather that the State is not -- or the defense is not required to produce any expert testimony. And the defense used the term may to indicate it was not committing to call these experts, only that it may call them. And I think that's perfectly appropriate.

With respect to the meaning of the statute, the operative statutory language reads as follows: If an expert does not prepare a report or statement, a written summary of the expert's findings or the subject matter of his or her testimony are what must be provided.

In the case law I examined, $I$ found the most helpful explanation of that statutory language, which admittedly when it uses the term subject matter, could be somewhat ambiguous, the
most helpful explanation $I$ found was in the case of State v. Revels, R-e-v-e-l-s, reported at 221 Wis. 2d, page 315. And at 330, the Court summarized its interpretation of the statutory language as follows:

We agree with the State, that given the language of Section $971.23(2 \mathrm{~m})$ and its obvious purpose, it must be construed to require disclosure of relevant substantive information that a defense expert is expected to present at trial, whether in the form of findings, test results, or a description of the experts proposed testimony.

The Court also finds that to be the most reasonable interpretation of the statutory language, given the purpose for which discovery is supposed to be provided. As I take that standard and apply it against the disclosure that was presented by the defense, I find that some cases, that some of the information provided by the defense has met the standard, in other situations it falls short.

For example, I'm not going to read it verbatim, but if counsel has the defense offer in front of it, the first full paragraph on page 3,
describing what Dr. Fairgrieve may testify about, I view as consistent with the type of information that is called for by the statute.

If $I$ look back at page 2 and read, for example, that Dr. Fairgrieve may testify about identification of human remains, including specific deficiencies in the recovery of the remains at issue in this case, the Court finds that that type of information falls short of what the statute requires. The other side is entitled to know what are the specific deficiencies that are going to be testified about.

Otherwise, the discovery doesn't serve its purpose. It doesn't allow the State to prepare for the type of evidence that's going to be admitted. And that's not to say that the defense is required to do the State's work for them, it's just to let the State know what work it is going to have to perform on its own to answer the claims made by defense experts.

Another example, a little further on page 2 is the role of temperature and duration in the rendering of a human body to cremains. Well, what is that role? The State is entitled to know what the opinion -- or what the position of the
defense expert is, so that it can determine whether or not it agrees with that position, or whether or not it intends to dispute the findings.

Likewise, moving on to the statement of Dr. Friedman on page 5: It says he may be offered to testify about the reliability or lack thereof of the Wisconsin Crime Lab conclusions in this case. That's a conclusory statement that doesn't really address the type of information the statute calls for.

The defense is entitled to know, what is it that the defense expert finds unreliable about the Wisconsin Crime Lab conclusions, again, so that the party can answer it. And although its not at issue here, I would hold the State to the same standard -- standards in providing its expert information to the defense.

So the Court, necessarily in this case, cannot say with specificity exactly what the defense must do, but $I$ do indicate that, with the examples I have given, I find that the defense disclosure of potential expert witnesses and summary of their expected testimony falls short of what the statute requires. And the Court will
grant the State's demand for compliance, along the lines outlined by the Court.

The next item the Court will address is the defendant's motion for disclosure of exculpatory information, which was filed on January 17th. Will that be Mr. Strang?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, that's I. Buting gets the hard things and I get the easy ones. The case law on the State's due process obligation under the -- here the Fourteenth Amendment, to provide exculpatory information known to it is not entirely clear in terms of what request, or what specificity of request a defendant must make to trigger fully the State's obligation of disclosure of exculpatory information.

And it -- I think it used to be clear that absent a defense request, with some specifics about what it is the defendant thought the State might have that is exculpatory, the State did not have a due process duty. Now, I think since Brady against Maryland, and assuming almost 44 years, the defendant's obligation to ask has somewhat eroded and been replaced by an affirmative obligation of the State to tender.

But, this is the only way, I suppose, in
which I'm conservative, but I'm conservative on this in the sense of, I thought it better practice to ask, with as much specificity as I could, for what it is I think the State may have, and in doing so invoke the State's due process duty to disclose to me.

So this is a bit of a
belt-and-suspenders approach. It was preceded by a narrower request, in writing to Mr. Kratz, that I didn't file with the Court. But I thought, given developments in the last couple months, that it would be a good idea for me to make specific requests and thereby trigger the State's duty.

These are ethical lawyers at the other table, I expect them to comply with the duty. And I don't know at this point the State -- or the Court, really needs to take any action other than inquiring of the State. And I will say that I already know some information within the scope of my request.

And so it's not that I'm completely in the dark necessarily on some of the information $I$ seek, rather in a case this serious, I'm seeking to make sure that $I$ have got it all. That if
there's something that goes to bias of a State's witness, or honesty, credibility of a State's witness, a State's -- a State witness' motives to testify falsely or to shade testimony, evidence that might support a defense or some mitigation of sentence, that I have asked for it and I have gotten everything they have of that stripe.

So that -- that's the reason for the motion. We don't have a specific dispute today. And as I say, other than inquiring probably of the State, I'm not sure that there's a ruling the Court needs to make on the Brady motion today. But it was better practice to file it in my view. THE COURT: All right. Who'll be addressing this matter for the State? Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, thank you. I would frankly acknowledge that $I$ would have liked a little bit of time to digest the request of the defense as it is framed in the context of an exculpatory evidence request. I did not receive the document until last evening as I, likewise, was out of the office on Wednesday.

The first thing I guess I would like to address in response to counsel's comments, much of what he says I do not disagree with. This is,
as he would say, a particularized or specific demand as opposed to the general demand that is part and parcel of every criminal case.

But I think, again, his argument and his request puts the cart before the horse to the extent that just because one says it is exculpatory, or potentially exculpatory, doesn't necessarily make it so. And I recognize that whether a bit of evidence or information is admissible is not the determinative standard as to whether something is exculpatory or potentially exculpatory.

So I acknowledge that and I wanted to say that upfront. But in having said that, in making a determination as to whether evidence is exculpatory or not, there is a materiality component here. And within the analysis of materiality there is this overlapping concern, overlapping of arguments as to whether such evidence would even be admissible. So I think we have to acknowledge that.

And as I look at the information requested, that's what $I$ am struck by and that is, is this information significantly material, given all that we know now. And the Court has
had pretty extensive pleadings and briefs filed to evaluate the context in which this request is made. I have serious reservations as to whether it's truly material in the context of Brady. And I guess I would like to digest that and think about that further.

Secondly, I'm not convinced that the information is solely or exclusively in the domain or the possession of the State per se. We have, as counsel readily acknowledged, six additional pages of discovery from their investigator regarding information that he has unearthed in his interview of members of the clerk staff, buildings and grounds, maintenance individuals, and the like.

The question is, they have subpoena capability as well as the State to get information. I can represent that in terms of the prosecution files and information that we have available, we don't have any of the information available to us. It's not in our possession.

We will certainly look for the information, but I'm not conceding, for the sake of our argument here, that it's necessarily
material, or that they are entitled to it, or it's solely in our possession and we have the obligation to get it.

We will look at the information but, again, much of the import of what's being asked here will be the subject of additional motion and argument at the end of the day today. And that may or may not have an impact as to the materiality component.

I wish I could say more, but only having it an evening to digest this, that's about all I can say. We'll look at it. We'll make some inquiries, because there are some interesting questions there. But we by no means accept, for purposes of this, that it is an exculpatory information demand. We do not except that it is necessarily material. I'm not saying it's not relevant, I will concede that, but then we have that whole materiality component.

So that's our statement on this. We'll look into some of these matters, both as a courtesy and for our own information. We would certainly like to know some of this. I also believe that most -- much of this has been complied with, with respect to some of our recent
pleadings.
And I would acknowledge, for instance, Paragraph 2, our last pleading, I think we have answered that. Paragraph 5, I think recent discovery information, which should have been sent to defense regarding the vial of blood, $I$ think that has been complied with already.

I would indicate with respect to item number 10 for instance, we are, ourselves, correctly so, we're waiting for a report from Mr. McCurdy at the FBI. We do not have that report. We have a request in. We're told it's coming, but we don't have it yet. So, in terms of those specifics, those I think I can provide to you.

With respect to the absence or presence of keys, I can tell you after the recent discovery that we sent to defense and discovery we received from them, I'm not sure with respect to keys and access issues that there are -- there is any more. We can certainly look.

But I think Investigators Wiegert and Fassbender did a round of interviews regarding that point and Defense Investigator Baetz, I believe it is, likewise did some. I'm not sure
there's much more to be had there.
Again, raising the question, I'm not convinced this is entirely in our bailiwick. But that's my comments at the moment. If I may confer with counsel for a second.

THE COURT: Fine.
ATTORNEY FALLON: And, again, as I said, I think a further discussion, perhaps regarding the two motions, at the end of the day, this can be discussed even more freely, so $I$ will pass on that.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: There are three things I can add that will, $I$ think, be helpful in reply. First, it's of course not at all uncommon that prosecutors dispute the materiality of information that may be exculpatory or dispute with the defense whether something is exculpatory.

Common situation, advocates on both sides look at facts from their peculiar perspective and experience, of course. I request that in such situations, the State follow -- take the high road in the sense of erring on the side of disclosure, recognizing that as lawyers who defend people, rather than prosecuting them, we may have a better sense of how to use something
in an exculpatory fashion, or of what exculpatory admissible evidence, something inadmissible may lead to.

If the State is unwilling to do that in its announced pursuit of the truth, which would suggest erring on the side of disclosure, there is available the option of tendering something that's questionable from the State's advantage point, to the Court, for an in camera and neutral determination.

I think for a lot of reasons that's less preferable than simply disclosing it and fighting later about what it means, but it is an available option. And if nothing else, ought be used here if the State has information about which materiality might be in dispute.

Second, and this is quite specific to this case, $I$ recognize, and $I$ want to make sure that the Court and counsel recognize here, the contours, as I see them, of the application particularly of a case like Kyles vs. Whitley, the 1995 U.S. Supreme Court decision that discusses, essentially, what is the State, or the prosecution mean.

Does it mean only the lawyers who sit at
counsel table or who are employed by a District Attorney's Office? Does it include law enforcement officers; if so, how deep within the department, so to speak, or within the investigating agency. And this case, happily, is not typical in this sense.

It's a Manitowoc County case, as a matter of venue and as a matter of original statutory law enforcement obligation. We can fight about how completely or what this means, but on November 5, 2005, some steps were taken to turn over some level of investigative control to the Calumet County Sheriff's Department. And there is no question that on or about November 5, I think it was November 5, but I could be off, a judge in this county entered an order appointing a Special Prosecutor from outside the county, hence, Mr. Kratz's appearance.

In my view, though, this lessens not at all the obligations of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, to yield to the prosecutors, exculpatory information within its possession, at least if that's known by officers who took an active role in the investigation that led to the charges here.

I further acknowledge that not only are there institutional problems in out-of-county people relating to in-county-people and, you know, maybe the informal working relationships aren't what they would be within a county, between the D.A.'s Office and the Sheriff's Department, but here, of course, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department's conduct has been put at issue by the defense. And we have had the unusual situation of DCI investigators engaged in interviews, quasi formal at least, law enforcement interviews of Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department or other Manitowoc County personnel.

So there are practical problems, but it doesn't change, in my view, the due process obligation in the end to route out information that the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department may have, and to which the prosecution is entitled, and as to which it has a due process obligation to disclose to the defense.

Third, the due process obligation, of course, is ongoing. So if compliance is complete today, it doesn't necessarily mean that it's complete for all time, obviously, as information
is gleaned.
And counsel acknowledges, faithfully, that the information covered by Paragraph 10 should be disclosed to the defense and says that he can't disclose it yet, because he doesn't have it. Well, if he doesn't have it, that's true, he can't. So he has an ongoing obligation.

We were told by a Calumet County officer at the last hearing in Chilton, that this information had been received from the FBI. But that I was told, in fairness, the very same day, was news to Mr. Kratz. And so I don't know, it doesn't matter. The point is that the obligation is ongoing and I'm sure the State will comply with that.

As to Paragraph 5, in specific, the State has made reference in a recent filing to what it says a phlebotomist says. But of all the interviews recently disclosed to us concerning the general topic of the blood vial, that's the interview that's missing.

We don't have a report of any interview from the, $I$ think the now retired, phlebotomist. And I would expect to get that, if in fact the State is representing what she says in a
pleading. I'm sure the State is doing that accurately, but I would expect to have the underlying witness statement. And I'm sure it will be disclosed by my friends at the next table.

So, this is an ongoing process and I will end where $I$ started, which is to say the request has been made, the obligation triggered. And I don't know that the Court can enter specific rulings today, necessarily, but I wanted to make a record of it. And the nuances here of the relationship of some ranking members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department with this particular prosecution team complicate, but don't alleviate, the State's duty.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, one final point.
And I guess this dovetails back into the recent -the discussion that we just held regarding relief from the pre-trial scheduling order. Because this is a perfect example of what is rebuttal testimony. If the defense wants to put forth the defense that they are suggesting and implying in their pleadings to date, then they do so at their peril. The State has indicated -- sought relief
from that order on the specific vial and the other related matters, well, here is a related matter.

Now, admittedly, the whole concept of rebuttal does not excuse one's obligations with respect to what is potentially exculpatory evidence, so I acknowledge that. But, again, it is an example of the complexity of this case, and the issues, and the import.

Again, the State doesn't have to show all of its cards with respect to how it will respond and refute certain defenses which are going to be proffered. We only have to show our hand, or tip our hand, if such information is going to be, quote, "truly exculpatory", in the meaning of that phrase.

And, again, as I had said, I would like to review some of the law on that. I'm aware of counsel's representations. In fact, I don't disagree with much of what he said at all in terms of what our obligations are. I'm quite aware of those obligations. And the fact that the prosecutor's obligation is to look in areas immediately beyond their own office is pretty well settled in the law. So, I don't take issue
with that.
But, again, there is the relationship here between what is truly rebuttal and what is truly exculpatory. And if it is truly exculpatory, then counsel is absolutely correct. Anything short of that, then they go down the road at their peril, because we are entitled to respond as the law permits.

And that's why the statute is written the way it is. And that's why rebuttal is set up the way it is, such that the State has a fair right to reply, since we have the burden of proof, beyond a reasonable doubt. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, I believe Mr. Strang is correct in the sense that I don't know there's much the Court can decide about this motion today. I'm just going to make a couple of observations. To the extent -- and I believe the parties agree with this -- to the extent the State possesses information that may be exculpatory, the obligation to share that with the defense goes beyond the need for the defense to make a motion.

Case law requires it. Section 973 -- or 971.23 (1)(h) requires it by statute. And certainly the State is aware of a number of
criminal cases where convictions have been reversed because of a failure to provide exculpatory information.

The motion for disclosure, to the extent it draws attention to any exculpatory information that the State may not have been aware of before would assist the State, if you will, in determining the existence of the information and turning it over to the defense, if both parties agree that it's exculpatory, the State, in any case, runs a risk if it doesn't turn over evidence that is later determined to be exculpatory.

If there's any evidence requested in the motion that doesn't fall under the heading of exculpatory evidence, and has not been previously requested, the discovery deadline ended on December 15th, so it would not have to be turned over.

In addition, $I$ will note that whether or not evidence may be exculpatory and may be material to this case may depend on the outcome of rulings on motions on which the Court has yet to rule. And as defense counsel points out, the State's duty is ongoing, so there may be a duty
to turn over evidence that hasn't been turned over yet, depending on future court rulings.

Having made those comments, which I think for the most part the parties reflect in their statements to the Court today, I'm not going to issue any ruling on the motion at this time. If at some point in time the defense doesn't receive something it feels it is entitled to receive that is in existence and wants to specifically renew the motion, $I$ will take it up at that time.

But it appears to be, at this point, more of a work in progress, for the reasons that I have said. Some of the items the State contends that it has turned over, the materiality of other types of evidence, may still yet to be determined and may trigger at some point in the future -- in the near future -- an obligation to turn over additional information.

Next, the Court will take up the defendant's motion to exclude computer generated animations. And when I say take up, I'm just going to address it and recognize it as being outstanding. The Court has been provided earlier this morning with the information that is the
subject of the defendant's motions.
I will, because of the proximity of today's date to the start of the trial, get an explanation from the defendant of the basis for the motion, on the record. And also, hopefully, get some idea from the State of the reasons for which the information will be provided, as they may have relevance in determining whether or not the information is admissible. Who is going to present the State's position, Mr. Strang? Excuse me, the defense position. It's your motion.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I will. I understand the Court hasn't had a chance to look at the three animations at issue and, indeed, neither have we. We received the same CD's or DVD's today. We have had, and the Court has not until now, had copies of slides, for want of a better word, for two of the three animations.

The simple point, though, that ought not get lost in the shuffle here, is that I believe early on, in fact probably before Mr . Buting and I entered our appearances, Mr. Avery invoked his discovery rights under Section 971.23, without reservation. And it's a little bit unclear to me here how the State would explain or justify, with
good cause, the failure to disclose these exhibits, particularly the FBI animation, as to which we have seen nothing at all until today, I assume on the $C D$ or the DVD we have, as gauged against the December 15, 2006 discovery cut off.

The underlying information $I$ think in these animations isn't something newly discovered, isn't something that the State got only from the defense, and does relate to information and physical items that the State has had in its sole custody, at least as to the Toyota, since November 5, 2005.

And as to the Avery property, the underlying information as $I$ understand it is drawn from the State's seven or eight day exclusive possession of the entire Avery property, again, from about November 5 to November 12, 2005, where even family members and people who lived on the property were excluded, by the State.

So there is a -- there is a tardiness issue here that is separate from, and stands independently of, the question then of relevance, completeness, fairness, and a 904.03 balancing that I have addressed at greater length on brief,
as to which there's no point in my offering further argument until the Court has had a chance to look at the exhibits. And for that matter the State hasn't had much chance here to respond in writing, if that's its wish.

THE COURT: All right. Who will be handling this for the State?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: First and foremost, your Honor, let me explain what we're talking about. There are three different areas of the generation of summary exhibits that the State has had performed. Those include a computer generated virtual tour, if you will, of the Avery property itself, which includes buildings and curtilage which basically surround the Steven Avery trailer.

We have also asked for, and have received, a representation, or series of representations, to assist one of our expert witnesses, the anthropologist in this case, in describing what human remains were recovered to better assist the jury to understand from where on the human body, where on the skeleton, to be blunt about it, these items may be found.

And lastly, we had performed, through assistance of the FBI, a representation of the victim's vehicle, which was recovered, which as this Court and defense knows, is of a highly probative nature, and items found within.

Mr. Strang's submission, which I do request an opportunity to respond to in more detail, makes claims like, the angles depicted in the computer generated animations are not such that a human could make, and -- and that's true. I guess that's the point of demonstrative evidence.

Demonstrative evidence, rather than
original evidence, rather than the thing from which the demonstrative evidence is generated, is to assist the trier of fact. And the only thing that a Court has to determine, at least from an admissibility standpoint, is whether or not it's a fair representation of what it purports to show.

Now, the State today, so that the Court understands what we were talking about, has provided 3 CD's, 3 discs, which are examples of those summary exhibits. I couldn't disagree with Mr. Strang more about the State's requirement or
obligation to provide these things to the defense.

The defense is entitled to the actual items that are seized, or measurements of, or business records, or phone records, or photos. But they aren't entitled to summary exhibits. They are not entitled to maps, or timelines, or charts, or diagrams. And even though these three animations are computer generated, they are clearly within the category of diagram.

If we go to the most basic kind of a diagram that juries sometimes see in an automobile accident, the diagram of an intersection, kind of an overview, where was the car coming from. Well, that's not an angle that is available to the human eye. But nobody would suggest, that because it's from a different angle, that it's somehow not relevant or not of assistance to a jury.

So to suggest that because it's -- I
think Mr. Strang is arguing, so nicely done, because it is of a high-tech nature, because it's computer generated, somehow that goes to its admissibility, it is somehow prejudicial to Mr. Avery. We do disagree.

We will be able, at trial, or before if the Court wishes, a more detailed offer of proof to demonstrate how this demonstrative evidence, how these summary exhibits, will be of benefit to the jury, so that the jury can see in an overview, or an overall representation, where specific evidence is found, how it may relate, or interrelate, to other evidence, and how, certainly, it is not going to be cumulative.

These are very well done. The timing of them was for the State's presentation of its case-in-chief. I provided them to the Court and to the defense as a matter of courtesy. That's how I'm looking at my provision of these. Again, I don't think the entitlement to summary exhibits occurs until the very moment, that day witness intends to refer to them at trial.

But in order to speed up the trial process, which we're all, I think, sensitive to in this case, I'm happy to have the Court review these matters and to issue a pre-trial ruling. And, again, would ask for an opportunity for more detailed argument if, in fact, after reviewing these, the Court has a question as to their materiality or to their relevance at the time
that that might come up. That's all I have at least for today, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I agree with counsel up to a point, that if this properly is viewed as a summary exhibit under 910.06, which certainly the State is free to argue on brief, that it's the underlying physical items that the defendant is entitled to see, or examine, or to have, as a matter of the principle discovery statute, Section 971.23. I don't agree that the timing of the disclosure of this exhibit, therefore, is unimpeachable.

The defendant certainly is entitled to have his jury not consider irrelevant, unfair, overly suggestive, or unhelpful demonstrative evidence to the jury. This Court set a December 15 deadline for motions in limine, which would ordinarily be the way to address demonstrative evidence, or summary exhibits, or other things that might be excludable as not relevant or -- under Section 904.03.

And to time that with a discovery deadline, on the same day, by disclosing this after December 15 I'm, of course, in no position to make a motion in limine by December 15. And
my motion in limine to that extent necessarily is tardy, although I think without fault, because I can't move to exclude that which I haven't been told will be offered, or shown.

So timeliness in that sense here, very much remains an issue. And, again, the other -the other details about the fairness of the presentation are probably best addressed on paper and then by the Court's review, and ours, of the exhibits themselves.

THE COURT: All right. Neither party is asking the Court to make a ruling on this particular matter today. And since I haven't seen it, I think that's appropriate. The only comment I would reiterate is that this offered exhibit, or set of exhibits, whether they are admissible or not, may not be determined simply intrinsically by the exhibit, but the purpose for which it's offered.

At this point $I$ don't know that yet.
You know, the fact that they don't show
shrubbery, or foliage, $I$ think that was mentioned in the defense's motion, for some purposes that may be significant, for other purposes it may not be. So in order for the Court to evaluate the defendant's motion, I'm not only going to have to
see the exhibit, but be informed as to the purpose for which it's offered. And it's my understanding, counsel, that we're going to take this up at the final pre-trial on February 2nd. ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. The next item, which I believe should be brief, is the Court, based on the stipulation submitted by the parties concerning the exclusion of witnesses at the trial, drafted a proposed order. The Court received a response from the defense with a couple of suggested modifications. I didn't receive anything back from the State. But do I take it, at this point, that with the modifications suggested by the defense, that the form of the order is acceptable to both parties?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The Court may recall that this was the subject of a written stipulation by the parties. And since Mr. Strang and I jointly drafted that, we don't have an objection. Mr. Strang included, in at least in the Court's form of the exclusion order, one suggestion. With that -- with that one variance to the Court's offer, the State doesn't have any objection that the exclusion order be adopted and the Court can enter that order.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Just so we're not going past each other, I think I actually made two changes. I don't have it in front of me.

THE COURT: Right. Just for my own information, Conrad Baetz, is he a defense investigator?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: Very well. The next series of motions that has been presented for the Court's consideration deals with evidentiary matters, which for the most part I believe the State wishes to argue are inadmissible for, among other reasons, being not probative and unduly prejudicial. And the State has asked that the Court hear argument on those motions in camera. So, before getting to the motions, I will hear from the State on its request to consider these matters in camera.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Thank you, Judge. The State does request that these matters be addressed in camera. As the Court and the parties are aware, there's been a flurry of briefing which occurred since the first of the year on third party liability and blood vial evidence.

I have asked in our pleadings for oral argument and that -- that the argument be an in
camera argument. My reasons are these:

First and foremost, there will be a great deal of discussion amongst the parties regarding facts which may or may not be facts heard by the jury. For instance, if the Court determines the evidence to be inadmissible, then those are facts which are not going to be disclosed or heard by the jury.

And given the nearness in time to the jury selection process and the potential for such prejudicial and possibly inflammatory argument, and fact, and statement being made this close in time to the selection process, runs a risk of contaminating the jury pool.

Additionally, the three matters to be discussed are all interrelated. The State sees the interrelationship far stronger than the defense, but $I$ think the defense would agree, that to adequately discuss these motions, there will be a variety of facts that need to be brought out on the record, and discussed, and argued.

As a result of which, we do not want to run the risk of potential contamination of the jury pool this close to the jury selection
process. So, that's why the issues of third party liability, the admissibility of the blood vial evidence and, thus, the subsequent bias issues, need to be addressed in camera until a ruling is obtained. And that is the basis for our request.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The State -- The Court is correct that this is the State's request, that the Court take up facts and available possible evidence that will have a great bearing on this trial outside of public scrutiny and outside the hearing of the media. The State has offered some good reasons for that.

I don't stand to oppose that today, in part because $I$ am relying on counsel's assessment of where this factual discussion could take us. In the end, of course, this is one of these issues on which the Court cannot defer to the parties, because the Court has to speak for the broader public and speak for the First Amendment. And I don't presume to undertake that role, or to tell the Court how it should exercise its overriding public duty in that respect.

I do say that I -- I don't understand
the State, by the use of the term "in camera" to be seeking to exclude the defendant himself. And I would ask that he participate. I understand it to be the public and the public's representatives of the media that would be excluded. And I tender the decision to the Court.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, that is also the Court's understanding of the State's request; I don't believe the State is asking that the defendant be excluded. I will state for the record that when $I$ received the written arguments of the State and read the request, I took the opportunity to explore this issue a bit.

Under Section 757.14 of the statutes, they provide that sittings of every court shall be public. And that is certainly the general rule. It is extremely rare that a session of court can be closed. I have been on the bench for almost 10 years and I don't believe I have ever closed a session of court.

However, the law is that in certain rare situations a sitting of court can be closed. The leading case on the issue, as far as I can tell, is State ex rel. La Crosse Tribune vs. Circuit Court. It's a 1983 reported court decision.

Some of the most important language in that decision for our purposes reads as follows:

It has long been recognized that the requirement for public trials is subject to certain inherent powers of the court to limit the public nature of trials in certain respects where the administration of justice requires it.

The circumstances necessary to trigger the discretion to close a courtroom must be compelling. One circumstance which arguably could trigger a trial judge's discretion to close the court is that a fair trial could not otherwise be had.

The trial judge should recite on the record the factors that impel him to close the courtroom and why such factors override the presumptive value of a public trial. Findings of fact must be made with specificity, process must be a rational one and the rationality of it must be demonstrated on the record, showing that the conclusion was reached on facts of record, or which are reasonably derived by inference from the record.

A trial court is required to hold a hearing and publicly reach a conclusion based on
the exercise of discretion prior to ordering a closing. The parties and members of the public present in court may appear at such hearing, that is, the hearing that we have today, which is on the record.

As I said before, closing court proceedings is rarely done, but $I$ do find that in this particular circumstance there are circumstances which justify that decision. I will be repeating some of the arguments made by the parties, or primarily by the State here.

But I first want to note for the record, the Court is aware that this case has received, at least for purposes of this county, unprecedented public coverage. This has included live television coverage of most of the court proceedings, and in addition, video of the court proceedings in their entirety have been posted on media websites for persons who are not otherwise available to view the proceedings.

As a general rule, the Court views this as a positive situation, that is, it enables the public to see the court system in action. Court proceedings are supposed to be public and the participation of the media significantly assists
in that regard.
However, it can pose a problem in a case such as this where the publicity concerning the pre-trial hearings is widespread. And we're dealing with disputed matters relating to important pieces of evidence to the parties that some of which may determine -- be determined to become inadmissible and could be highly prejudicial and threaten fairness of the trial. In this particular case, we're within 10 days of beginning the jury selection process. This trial has already been delayed once for a number of months. And one of the important reasons advanced for the delay was the existence of previous publicity that could well be considered prejudicial and threaten the fairness of the trial. Given the fact the trial is not going to be starting until approximately 15 months after the alleged offense, it's important, I think, not to unduly create a reason for another adjournment.

The Court also notes that it's
impossible in this case, particularly, to successfully insulate potential jurors from the publicity that comes out of today's hearing. The
jury is going to be selected from Manitowoc County. This is not a case where we're bringing jurors in from a far-flung part of the state where they might not be exposed to the publicity from this hearing.

As I indicated, it's not just a normal motion hearing, it's a motion hearing that deals with evidence which is alleged by one party, in this case the State, to be inadmissible, to be arguably inflammatory, and highly, potentially prejudicial to threaten the fairness of the trial.

Finally, I will note that I believe the disadvantages of holding the hearings in camera can be somewhat alleviated by the fact that, to the extent the Court issues a decision -- and I will indicate in open session it's not likely to be issued today, I'm going to want an opportunity to review the arguments of the parties and their written submissions, many of which have been received simply in the last few days -- but at such time as the Court issues a decision, determining that any evidence is admissible, that ruling will be made public and immediately available to the public and to the media.

So for those reasons the Court finds that consideration of the evidentiary motions that remain, and that have been described by the State on the record, will necessarily be conducted in camera; that is, out of view of the public.

I will take a recess at this time in order to permit the courtroom to be cleared and then we'll resume with the hearings. Mr. Gahn.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Judge, just -- I have just one very quick matter and $I$ believe Mr . Kratz also does. Regarding our issue number two this morning, the demand for compliance with discovery, we had asked on Page 8 of our brief that the compliance be completed within three days. I would ask the Court, would you make that part of your ruling?

THE COURT: First, I would want to hear from the defense as to the feasibility of that.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Give me just one second to look back in my notes here, for what I have to do. And three, we're talking about three business days, or I'm going to have to contact --

THE COURT: Lets' name a day. Next week, I assume you're talking about.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Yes, you'll not include the
weekend.
THE COURT: Wednesday?
ATTORNEY GAHN: That will be fine, your Honor.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I think that should do. Yes, that would be the --

THE COURT: 31st.
ATTORNEY BUTING: No, 24th.
THE COURT: I'm sorry, I'm a week ahead, Wednesday, the 24 th.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Two things, Judge. The Court set today as a date by which the State should file proposed jury instructions, and recognizing that these may change and evidentiary rulings may affect them, I have that document for the Court and I wanted the record to reflect its filing.

Secondly, the Court had asked that, if either party contemplated the inclusion of any images, that is, photographs or other images, within its opening statements, power point presentation, or however else they may be included in its opening, that those be provided to the Court by today's date. I have prepared a

CD with the images the State intends to include in its opening. Both of these submissions, I should tell the Court, have been provided to Mr. Strang before the start of this hearing.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I acknowledge receipt of both, just as Mr. Kratz says. And I will note as well that we provided two letters by facsimile to the Court and counsel, both dated January 18, 2007; although, I think one only faxed early this morning to the Court and counsel relating to proposed jury instructions.

THE COURT: All right. I understand, I believe when I read Mr. Strang's letter, he indicated that because of the outstanding motions that have not yet been resolved, it was impossible to submit, necessarily, all jury instructions requested by the defense. I understand Mr . Kratz to be saying the same thing for the State. And the Court understands that, that both parties will be given a chance to supplement their requests for jury instructions pending the outcome of outstanding motions.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Do we know whether the defense intends to offer any images during their opening statement, or whether they even intend to
give their opening at the start of the case?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I --
ATTORNEY KRATZ: If so, I ask for some notice or opportunity to object, just like the State has given.

THE COURT: I don't believe they are required to state today whether they are going to make an opening statement.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm not, but I will. And I will, I will be giving an opening statement, or we will, probably I will, I expect immediately after the State's. And my present intention is not to use exhibits in that opening. If that changes, I will disclose that to the State and to the Court if it wants, just as soon as I change my mind about that. But that would be a change of mind.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That would be just fine, Judge. I don't need more notice than that, that's fine.

THE COURT: Anything else before we take our break?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.
THE COURT: If not, lets report back in 15 minutes.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we're back on the record. As I announced previously, this portion of the proceedings is being conducted in camera and the public is not present in the courtroom at this time. The next motion that $I$ had up for consideration was the Denny motion.

Specifically, the defense filed a statement on third party responsibility indicating that as a first point that Denny did not apply to this case, but in the alternative, if the Court determined that Denny did apply, the defense identified a number of persons who could be considered possible perpetrators of the crime and explained the offer that would be made, of evidence to support those allegations. The State filed a memorandum to preclude third party liability evidence. Will Mr. Strang or Mr. Buting be handling? Mr. Strang, you may proceed.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you. Let me just take care of a housekeeping issue first. We have a Manitowoc deputy in the courtroom who is a court officer. And I think for -- as much for his own good as anything, since his possible testimony or
facts about which he knows may come up at some point along the line here, we may want to excuse him so that he is clean, so to speak, and not subject to a cross about what he may have overheard bearing on the subject matter of his possible testimony. I don't have any -- again, I don't have any problems with this guy in particular, just probably is a good thing to do. Deputy Riddle.

THE COURT: Well, we have --
ATTORNEY STRANG: Oh, and we have Deputy Tackes too. Okay.

THE COURT: Does the State wish to be heard?

ATTORNEY FALLON: This seems to be a security based issue. We'll defer to the Court and counsel as to how you wish to proceed on this. To me, I don't see it as much of an issue at all. But if Mr. Strang has some significant concerns and the Court has agreed, that's fine. I think the determination should be made from a security based. And if there's another officer available, great, if not, then we'll have to -- Court will have to make a determination whether you want to proceed with just one bailiff.

THE COURT: Let me suggest this. We're in
here on an in camera basis, what if the Manitowoc County bailiffs step just outside this door instead of just inside, and that way we'll have some level of security. But to the extent some of the motions involving testimony by Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department employees have not been addressed yet, I assume that can take care of the defendant's concern.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Absolutely, I'm looking to avoid an issue rather than create one. Stepping outside the door would be fine. Mr. Avery has been fitted with a stun belt, I don't know who has the control on that, but.

THE COURT: We have two Calumet county officers here.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Then we're fine. Then we're just fine.

THE COURT: Very well, we'll wait just a couple minutes. If you want to stand outside this door, Gary.

OFFICER TACKES: Okay.
THE COURT: Mike will be out one door, you will be out the other.

ATTORNEY STRANG: You know, your Honor, I wrote a fair amount on Denny and I really have no
desire to blather for the sake of hearing myself talk, so if there's some focus the Court can give me, or particular issue to address.

THE COURT: Actually, I'm just giving you an opportunity to supplement your memo. I will indicate that $I$ have read the written submittals by the parties on these remaining issues at least four or five times already. But at the time you wrote yours you perhaps did not have the benefit of having receiving the State's response, so if there's anything else you wish to present at this time I will receive it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. Well, I -- I do think it's important here at least to try to separate Denny which, you know, is maybe significantly different on its facts than this case, to begin with, from Richardson. If only because the Wisconsin Supreme Court in Richardson said this isn't Denny, we're not going to apply Denny in this context; indeed, we're going to reject the State's invitation to apply Denny in the frame-up context that Richardson addressed.

And I -- Denny is not even a good fit to begin with, as I argued on brief, and I shan't repeat that. But the nitty-gritty here is, when
we -- when we suggest that there are others at the Avery property who had the same or no less apparent motive than Steven Avery to commit the crimes alleged in the Amended Information, and who had about the same opportunity and about the same direct connection, that really is about as far as the facts allow the defendant to go here, where the defense -- where the defendant's own assertion that I'm not guilty, always factually has been, at bottom, an assertion that $I$ just didn't do it, and I can't shed any light for you on who did.

And there's no real persuasive motive that the State can offer for the crime; that is, you know, this isn't a case where the victim owed the defendant money, or there was a divorce ongoing or, you know, any -- any of the usual sort of causes of human action, or usual reasons that might lead to a homicidal anger, or to passion getting out of control, you know, murderous passion, not amorous passion.

We're really in no better position to tender a motive on the facts here than is the State. And that really is very different than Denny, where the very evidence the defendant
wanted to offer was evidence of someone else's motive. He had, you know, a motive that he wanted the jury to consider, that another person had. So it's a tough fit.

THE COURT: Let me ask this.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.
THE COURT: Denny doesn't require a defendant to come up with Denny evidence. The defendant certainly, here, is not obligated to say these other persons could have done it, so I didn't do it. The burden is on the State to prove that the defendant did do it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.
THE COURT: But I didn't understand your pleading to be saying that you didn't want to offer evidence that others did it; that is, not just -the defense, as $I$ understand it, is not simply going to be that the evidence is not sufficient to prove that Mr. Avery committed these crimes, but rather to identify if not one person, a group of other people, who did. And it seems that type of thing does get you into Denny, does it not?

ATTORNEY STRANG: It can and, certainly, as
I see the argument and the trial unfolding factually, you know, we will be saying, what
investigative scrutiny did some of these other people get. Steven Avery became, very quickly, the focus of the investigation. And the State will say, well, that's right and we have got good reasons for him having been the focus.

What we want the jury to understand is that there were others who, at least initially, looked about equally situated as potential suspects. And not much investigative effort was expended on exploring whether they were good suspects, or whether they were easily excluded and, therefore, not viable or good suspects.

And at that level, if I understand the Court, I think that's not Denny at all. That's something we're free to do. And this is where I do agree with the Court that much of what we have presented in writing and orally, overlaps at some very -- connects up at some very general level in that you are getting into bias issues, an investigative bias, or a tunnel vision on one person of particular interest, when in fact an objective investigation might have looked at other people more seriously than the State did.

THE COURT: Let me stop you there. Who is going to handle this for the State? Mr. Fallon, if
the -- one of the State's investigators is on the stand and the -- they give their testimony and the defense says, well, why didn't you investigate further other people who you determined to be on the scene, on the date of the alleged crimes; does the State take the position that they have got to make a Denny showing to ask that question, or can they ask it, from the State's perspective?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I think that's just a backdoor way of introducing the potential of a third party liability suspect. And I guess as I'm sitting here listening to the Court and counsel begin the argument, the first thought that came to my mind is simply this, does the defense want to introduce the evidence set forth in their statement on third party responsibility, either in their case-in-chief or on cross-examination? Do they want to do that?

THE COURT: I wonder the same things, but we haven't gotten to that yet.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Because I'm treating it as a motion for introduction of that evidence, and do they want to argue that one of those individuals could have, or did, commit this offense. Then I can respond to that. I think we're miscasting the
issue.

THE COURT: I'm trying to take this in the order in which it may come in. I'm saying that -and $I$ asked myself this as $I$ was reading the briefs -- if the state -- or if the defense, on cross-examination, asks a investigating officer, or officers, why they focused in on the defendant and did not investigate other suspects further -- or maybe they did investigate other suspects, at this point $I$ have no way of knowing -- without referring to a specific other suspect, my own feeling is that at least that question doesn't get you into Denny. ATTORNEY FALLON: Depends on what they want to do with the answer. And then the question is, well, then why is that relevant? Counsel will say, well, it goes to bias. Since when is bias and an institutional conundrum?

THE COURT: It may go to bias.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Then why is it relevant? Why is it material to whether or not Mr. Avery committed the offense? Sure, they can ask the question, my concern is, what are they going to do with the answer? What are the arguments to be made? It's a backdoor attempt at pointing a finger that somebody else committed the offense. Otherwise, the
jury is going to get sidetracked. That's the whole idea behind Denny. That's my take. I will let the Court and counsel continue.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Let's go at this from an element standpoint on the homicide charge. The jury has got to find that someone has been killed. And let's suppose the jury finds that they are satisfied that Teresa Halbach is killed. Then the next two things they have to decide are, was it Steven Avery who killed her, and did he do it intentionally. Those are the elements. And, you know, we can't hop over that second element, which is, is it the defendant who killed her.

One of the reasons that a jury reasonably might doubt whether the State has proven that he killed Teresa Halbach is to say to themselves, gee, I haven't heard any reason he would have to kill her that's any more compelling than the reason that his brother might have had to kill her, or that Scott Tadych or Robert Fabian might have had to kill her.

And I understand that they were there, and I don't think the police looked very hard at, for example, the fact that Earl Avery and Robert

Fabian give very different times for when they are out on the rabbit hunt, which is a short hunt, strange to do at darkness and, gosh, both of them probably have . 22 rifles in their hands; although the police didn't bother to ask that either.

And we understand that Mr. Avery's back door to his trailer was broken open. And we don't know why a member of this family, or somebody who's riding around on a golf cart on the property, couldn't have gone into the back door of his trailer, either to put the .22 rifle there, or to take it from there, or both.

And we're interested in the fact that a cadaver dog hit on the golf cart that Earl Avery and Robert Fabian said they were riding around on, which then gets concealed in Mrs. Avery's, Delores Avery's, garage. And we have got some doubts here about whether the State got the right man.

I don't see that as being a Denny argument, although clearly it relates to other people in the same way that evidence would have to relate to other people if, you know, we were trying, you know, the 1912 attempt to assassinate

Theodore Roosevelt outside the Gilpatrick Hotel in Milwaukee where there is a whole crowd of people and the issue might have been who's the gunman. And --

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this, I'm reading from Denny now and they conclude, while our decision establishes a bright line standard requiring that three factors be present, that is, motive, opportunity, and direct connection, our holding is consistent with the Lasecki language regarding the term "tendency".

So how can you argue that to be entitled to show Denny evidence you have got to have these three factors, but somehow if you only have two you don't?

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, I guess what I'm arguing is that I have got just as much as the State does on all three. You know. I can't tender a motive for one of these other people to have done this, but the State can't tender a motive for Steven Avery to have done it.

So it seems to me surpassingly odd that the defense, which bears no burden, is in the position here of having to jump hurdles that the State, in seeking to prove Mr. Avery guilty,
doesn't have to jump. And, indeed, on request, will get a jury instruction saying that they don't have to prove motive, but I do, if I want to challenge his guilt by pointing out --

THE COURT: How's that different from Denny? I assume the jury instruction was there at the time of Denny and they showed motive.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Because in Dennyr it's motive that the defendant wanted to offer. And the facts of Denny are that he was excluded from offering the motive of a particular third person, evidence of that motive. Denny's tough, I mean, it really is.

And, you know, everybody talks about it, myself included, for years, as if it's an immovable and unchallengeable feature of Wisconsin law. It never went above the Wisconsin Court of Appeals. And the footnote in

Richardson, where the holding is we're not applying Denny here to frame-up, the footnote is, we have never approved Denny at all. So I take it Denny is a published decision it stands, but --

THE COURT: There's a Supreme Court decision authored by Justice Crooks that applied

Denny, I forget --
ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: -- the name of the case, but.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Scheidell, there is. And have -- And, again, I accept Denny as the law. It's not a great fit here, and how far beyond its facts it can be applied isn't clear to me, and that's what I tried to address in writing.

The proffer and, you know, Mr. Fallon asks a fair question, do you want to offer this. Yes, we do want to be able to offer the facts we proffered alternatively, if the Court finds that Denny applies. And we want to be able to offer them if it finds that Denny doesn't apply.

These are fair areas to explore, both as a matter of simple reasonable doubt, and as a matter of the bias that each individual investigative officer may have brought to the task where the person at issue was Steven Avery.

I guess, you know, specifically, as I read Denny, the defense that I want to offer, this evidence of a third person's motive. And I think the actual holding as opposed to the discussion in the dictum in Denny is that, if you want to offer evidence of motive of a particular
third person, motive alone is not enough. You also have to offer some evidence, you know, a plausible showing, or whatever the phrase is, of opportunity of that third person and of some direct connection to the offense.

So it's not enough that you say, hey, I want to show that the decedent had a bookie who was mad at him because, you know, he hadn't made good on his bets and had a reason to kill him. And when the facts are that the bookie, undeniably was in the City of New York, when the homicide occurred in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and had no opportunity to have committed it, you know, then I understand Denny on those terms on why the motive wouldn't be relevant, wouldn't be enough.

This is very different. We're not seeking to offer a motive. What we're saying is we have got the same evidence of motive, opportunity, and direct connection to the crime as to this group of people, as the State does as to Steven Avery.

THE COURT: Well, and I agree with your summary of the holding in Denny, it is different to the extent that the defendant in that case wanted to
show evidence of motive. You are saying that's not what -- that's not part of the defense argument.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Not here. I don't have it any more than the State does.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Has he concluded his remarks?

THE COURT: I suspect --
ATTORNEY STRANG: It's helpful just to --
THE COURT: -- neither one of you has probably concluded your remarks --

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's what I meant to say.

THE COURT: -- but you're next, so you go ahead.

ATTORNEY FALLON: First of all, I take issue with three assumptions, or statements of counsel, one, that they are in exactly the same position as we are with respect to motive, opportunity, and direct connection to the crime. One, I dispute that.

But with respect to motive, however, the Court has ruled our evidence of motive inadmissible. So on the theory of the law of the case, apparently we do not have an explainable
reason why the crime occurred.
However, opportunity clearly exists on this particular case. And more importantly, and most importantly, there is a direct connection, because the defendant's blood is the blood that is found in the SUV. It's the bullet fragment containing the victim's DNA found in the garage, the key is to the Toyota, is found in his residence. So there are direct connections unlike any of the others.

Secondly, I take issue with counsel's characterization of Denny. Denny is not simply just about a defendant wishing to introduce motive evidence; although, I acknowledge that's how the case got to the Supreme Court. The defense was denied the opportunity to introduce the motive of this third person, in particular the motives attributed to one Gary Peterson over a $\$ 130$ debt, or the fact that Bill Cudahy also had a motive because a shotgun was exchanged for drugs and then that shotgun was ultimately sold, apparently, to the annoyance of one of the parties, as a result of which this Christopher Mohr was killed.

That's what our facts there are. But if
the Court's attention can be directed to the Denny decision, it's more than about motive. Motive was the means, that's the -- as it were, if the Court is a card player, that's the ante that gets you in the game, that's jacks are better, to borrow the metaphor.

But the point of Denny is that -- and it's found on page 17, and I'm looking at the Westlaw, the headnotes 9 and 10, second paragraph, it says, in other words, there must be a legitimate tendency that the third person could have committed the crime. That's the whole idea behind it, is there a legitimate tendency, in which the legitimate tendency is the direct connection.

The legitimate tendency is demonstrated by motive. It's demonstrated by opportunity and the whole connection to the crime. That's what we're talking about here. And those are the circumstances, that's the context of Denny.

Now, looking at the defendant's statement on third party liability, what do we have? Again, our complaint is that, one, Denny clearly applies here. If they wish to introduce these facts, in direct examination or in their
case-in-chief, and then argue the inference to the jury that the police job was so bad, and by the way, look at how bad it was, these guys could have done it, then they have to comply with Denny. That's just cut and dried. I'm astonished that counsel would say that they don't have to apply, that it's not controlling here.

The law requires the Court to look at each person individually. So as the Court goes through this, there are alternative Denny proffer beginning at Page 9. They list two different categories, customer or friends category, and I think a family category. So I'm going to address my comments specifically, because I think the law requires the Court to address them specifically.

As to Scott Tadych, it's clear, and counsel acknowledges, there is no motive presented there. Opportunity, sure, he is on the property, I will concede that. But there's no connection. As a result of the no motive, and most importantly, no connection to the crime, and that's the key, it's not just the location, it's not the property, there has to be a connection to the crime. And that is the key here in analyzing all of these, there is no direct connection for

Scott Tadych to the crime. Therefore, evidence, cross-examined, case-in-chief, otherwise, should be and must be excluded.

Next, you have Robert Fabian and Earl Avery hunting rabbits. Counsel points out we have a time discrepancy, well, that's hardly unusual. If there was a situation, or a case, in fact, I doubt whether counsel has ever had one because I'm not sure I have, where witnesses say the exact same thing at the same time and everything lines up perfectly. Quite frankly, when that happens, then $I$ know something stinks. I have yet to see it in my years of experience, where everything lines up so perfectly.

There's no connection, no motive, no connection to the crime, and just because he is on the property for that one hour or two hour period hunting rabbits, I suppose one could say that he had the opportunity. So for purposes of argument, we could concede that. But there again there is no direct connection and no motive.

Similarly, with respect to Andres Martinez, this one is rather interesting, because I think Andres Martinez, again, there's no motive, there's no opportunity, and there's no
connection. And, again, if they wish to offer evidence of third party liability here, I think Martinez is -- the potential admissibility of Martinez is governed by Scheidell to a certain extent, because it's another act. Although here, we apparently know that -- who the other actor is as opposed to, I think in Scheidell there was a question as to, I think it was unknown third parties and the adoption of this Sullivan analysis.

Well, whether you use Sullivan analysis, or whether you use a Denny analysis, under either way, Martinez does not meet the requisite admissibility standards. Under Denny, there is no motive, there's no opportunity, and there's nothing to directly connect. If you want to take a Sullivan analysis then, one, is the evidence offered for a proper purpose, sure, to suggest that somebody else may have committed the crime, okay, fine, that's a proper purpose.

However, on the next two steps of the analysis the Andres Martinez evidence is inadmissible. It does not meet the relevance determination because, as the Court is aware, relevance is a two-part determination. If I may
have a moment to elicit further, here it is. Relevance has two facets. The first consideration is whether the other acts evidence relates to a fact or proposition that is of consequence, and I think that's probably true in this case.

However, the second consideration is whether the other acts evidence has a tendency to make a consequential fact more or less probable. And given the nature of the assault and when that assault occurred, it's one -- it's so sufficiently dissimilar and unrelated to the circumstances of the Halbach murder that it fails on the relevancy prong.

And then, finally, even if it didn't fail there, it would certainly fail on balancing the probative value on the Andres Martinez assault of his girlfriend, with its potential prejudicial effect. In this case, confusion is the primary concern here, delay, waste of time, and a jury going down the wrong road, as opposed to trying to determine whether or not Mr. Avery is, in fact, the murderer.

So the fact that Andres Martinez attacked his girlfriend with a hatchet does not
add anything of consequence to our analysis here. I don't need to comment further on these many inconsistencies to law enforcement.

THE COURT: Before we leave him, I don't know if I missed it in the defense offer, what would be the evidence connecting Mr. Martinez to the location of the crime at the time of the crime? ATTORNEY STRANG: That's fuzzy. He, I think in the end denies having been there on October 31. And there's a receipt for his son's car having been towed there on November 2, 2 days later. And he, in the end, says, well, that's the day I most recently went to the Avery's.

So it's -- Others -- others suggest that he may have been there and indeed been at a bonfire on October 31. But, you know, I can't -I don't have any ironclad evidence to put him there. Neither do I need to offer that.

What's -- One of the things that's so striking about Mr. Martinez is his first talk with the law enforcement officers, before he does start changing his story considerably, on some interesting details about his relationship with Steven Avery. His first statement includes what most experienced detectives would have recognized
as a confession to killing her, and sort of explored this strange statement, well, I'm going away for the rest of my life anyway, so I guess if they say I did it I could take responsibility for it. That's -- You know, that's exactly the kind of statement that people sometimes initially make in, ultimately, confessing a shameful crime.

Rather than sort of following that, or going into the crack that Mr. Martinez offered, what we have, these agents, according to their reports, saying is, oh, you know, we're not going to blame you for something you didn't do, and that's just the end of the matter. Really, you know, an interesting bit of tunnel vision there.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I guess I would dispute that, because I'm under the impression discovery has been provided and there's also, and I think counsel acknowledges it, they may not find it greatest in the world, but there is an alibi associated with Mr. Martinez in terms of his location. I believe there is evidence that he was out trick-or-treating with his kids.

ATTORNEY STRANG: With a 16 year old boy, who claims he skipped school, that's true. But, you know, what we do have to avoid here is getting into
the very problem that Holmes vs. South Carolina warns about. Now, Holmes approves, in general, rules like Denny, and in fact cites Denny, as the sort of rule that's, in general, permissible, but says, look, you can't look in isolation at the State's showing and say, boy, that looks strong standing alone so, therefore, I'm not going to allow competing defense evidence that standing alone doesn't look as strong. That's what Holmes is about. And particularly in arguing direct connection, that's really what the State -- the mistake the State is inviting the Court to make here.

THE COURT: I understand what Holmes says, but as long as you focus on the evidence, or lack of evidence, supporting the third party involvement, I think you steer clear of Holmes' problems. If you say I'm not going to look at your third party evidence because there was DNA evidence connecting Mr. Avery to the crime and that's enough for me, then you are into a Holmes problem.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right, I agree.
THE COURT: But I don't understand the State to be making that argument here.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, they are saying,
look, you know, the key's found in his trailer, and a bullet with Teresa Halbach's DNA, or a fragment of a bullet is found four months later in his garage. Now, in fact, there will be plenty of evidence at trial that Steven Avery did not have exclusive access to the garage. Others went in the garage. An issue why this bullet is found four months later, after multiple searches of the garage.

I mean, the jury will have all kinds of evidence to consider in assessing the weight, if any, to give that bullet, including the fact that the bullet has also got DNA from a State Crime Lab analyst on it. I mean, that's -- that's just where we have to be careful, because, taken in isolation, finding a Toyota key in Mr. Avery's bedroom sounds terrible when you look at how many searches --

THE COURT: Okay. Wait a minute, I interrupted Mr. Fallon just to ask about the evidence of Mr. Martinez so, Mr. Fallon, you may continue.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you. After Mr. Martinez, the defense then suggests that a couple of adolescent school girls, K.S. and A. MCK, that there was a group of people at a bonfire.

Again, those people, apparently Mr. Martinez has mentioned a Dawn Hauschultz, German spelling, H-a-u-s-c-h-u-l-t-z, Steven Avery and --

ATTORNEY STRANG: This is all part of the Martinez discussions?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Right.
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: So, again -- All right. Then I will accept that. Apparently those aren't being offered as viable suspects.

Next, you have James Kennedy, again, opportunity solely because he happened to be on the property at the time.

THE COURT: Just, before we leave that, when was this bonfire, $I$ don't know if I caught that from the offer?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe that was supposedly the night before.

THE COURT: October 30th.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
ATTORNEY BUTING: No.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, there certainly was a fire, and we're going to introduce evidence of a fire on the night of the 31st, but there's evidence
of a bonfire before.
THE COURT: I'm looking at Page 13, and it says a schoolgirl and her friend, that Martinez, Dawn Hauschultz, Steven Avery, and another Steven had been at a bonfire and party at the Avery residence. A. MCK confirmed that she heard from Dawn Hanes that Martinez and his friends, Roberto Brooks, were at the Avery property on October 30th. When I put those two together, I read it as being a bonfire on October 30th.

ATTORNEY STRANG: There are two different statements there and the one girl does place it as Sunday night, October 30, the other doesn't.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think it makes it all the more speculative and full of conjecture when one goes to analyze the admissibility or the possibility of any of those people, particularly Mr. Martinez, being involved.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's a tough argument for the State to make where its own witnesses are going to be in conflict over whether there was any fire on October 31st. It's certainly the State's theory that there was. But it's going to present people who say I didn't see a fire on October 31. So, none of this is ideally crisp and clear.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon, you may continue.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you. Again, with respect to Mr. James Kennedy, their Denny analysis, no motive and no direct connection to the crime are offered. So evidence regarding him should be excluded.

With respect to the family members, you have a -- Charles Avery is the first one mentioned. Defense argues, well, apparently he may have a motive, but his motive is no greater than their client's because of the sex offender charges and/or convictions associated with both Charles and Earl Avery.

As I noted in my pleading, one of them was actually convicted, the other was not. But there's nothing to directly connect Charles Avery or Earl Avery, for that matter, with the crime. Yes, they are connected to the crime scene, when one considers the salvage yard as the crime scene, or the location, but there's not a direct connection to the crime itself. And such allegations need more before the jury is going to have a finger pointed at one of the defendant's brothers.

That gets us to the Dassey boys, which there are four: Bobby, Blaine, Bryan, and Brendan. We're excepting Brendan from the discussion for the obvious reasons. That leaves us the remaining three Dassey brothers.

Again, the motive, the opportunity, and the connection are very, very thin. Motive, absolutely none. Connection to the offense, none. Opportunity, depending on which version of the time frame, arguably that could be conceded. But, again, certainly insufficient evidence connecting them to the offense in question.

As a result, under a Denny analysis, for the defense to suggest and point a finger that one of the individuals mentioned in their statement is a viable suspect, such that the jury ought to consider that in evaluating the quality of the investigation, is a reach. It's going to lead to confusion. It's going to have the jury going down who knows what path. And it doesn't add anything to the possibility or reality of what the jury's actual determination or job is in this case, and that is to determine whether Mr. Avery is, in fact, the one who killed her.

If they are going to suggest and imply,
or directly say in argument, that anyone of these 6, 8, 10 people listed in that statement could have been the murderer, then they have got to have more than what they have shown us. So that evidence should be denied, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else on the Denny motion?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I would be reiterating the brief and I think, you know, I will stand on that. I don't think I'm waiving a thing. But the -- just to go back to the real basics here, I think that Denny does not apply at all. If the Court disagrees and finds that Denny does apply, then the facts we have offered in the alternative, we do wish to pursue on cross-examination, conceivably in the defense case-in-chief.

And I understand what the 904.03
limitations might be on cumulative questioning or other cumulative evidence. But the Court does need to understand that some of the people we discuss, and James Kennedy, for example, would be a good example here, Dawn Hauschultz would be another one, are there not because we view them as suspects themselves, but because they are in a position to offer testimony that bears on the
activities of others.
James Kennedy is there to say what he sees about Charles Avery and how peculiar it is that Charles Avery, at 3:00 on October 31, 2005, isn't in or around the office, and has to be hailed by shouting for five minutes or more and comes from behind the building. And James Kennedy is there to talk about the gray smoke that he sees rising from the center of, not Steven Avery's burn pit, but from the center of the salvage yard pit, at 3:00 on October 31, 2005, or shortly after.

So, you know, this -- and if the writing was inartful, $I$ take the blame for that, it's my writing. But on the alternative hypothesis that Denny applies, I'm doing my level best here to assemble, from what the State did and didn't do, the evidence suggesting the least legitimate tendency to believe that these people had no lesser motive than Steve Avery, no lesser opportunity, and no lesser connection to the place of the offense.

I mean, let's take the Dassey boys just to finish. There isn't any physical, direct connection between Brendan Dassey and the death
of Teresa Halbach, but this 17 year old boy is facing the rest of his life in prison on the State's accusation, without any physical evidence of a direct connection. Brendan Dassey, for all that appears here, has the same encounter with Teresa Halbach, or walks past her as he's getting off the bus, that his brother, Blaine, does.

Their really isn't any difference here in apparent motive, opportunity, or direct connection. And when we have Teresa Halbach's bones being found, not just in Steven Avery's burn pit, which has gotten all the public attention, but some of them being found in a burn barrel immediately behind the Janda house, where the four Dassey boys live, is this something that a jury ought to be allowed to consider in deciding whether the State has proven Steven Avery's guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt? You bet it is.

THE COURT: Let me ask this, let's say that if, to the extent that Denny is not on all fours because Denny sought to offer evidence of motive, and you are saying the defense does not, then what -- what does the defense contend are the rules for determining whether third party liability can be
presented to the jury?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm sorry to be quarrelsome, but I don't know that we're talking about third party liability. We're talking about evidence of the activities and presence of others that has some tendency to make it less likely that Steven Avery was the person who murdered Teresa Halbach.

THE COURT: Well -_
ATTORNEY STRANG: This is simply relevant evidence.

THE COURT: The thing is, if you look at Denny, Denny wasn't just saying that one specific person -- other person did it, he is other persons. He wanted to suggest multiple possibilities. It seems to me that while the defense in this case is not basing its claim on the allegation that others had motive, that in other respects you are trying to do essentially what Denny did. You are saying, look, there were these other people, and I can name them, who were in a position to commit this crime, who could have committed this crime, who were at the scene, had the opportunity. Isn't that what you are doing?

What are the rules -- From the defense
perspective, what are the rules the Court is to apply in determining whether or not the defense will be allowed to elicit testimony that these other person or persons could have committed the crime?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Relevance, 901 -- 904.01 and 904.02, and the ordinary balancing under 904.03. Indeed, I mean, let's not kid ourselves, the State will name the people who were there. The State will elicit testimony on direct examination, from at least some of them, that they were there at the right time. The State will elicit evidence about the activities of some of them. The State will elicit, I'm sure, testimony from law enforcement officers about the persons with whom they spoke.

So when we explore bias, by showing that law enforcement officers immediately narrowed their focus, for practical purposes, to Steven Avery, we necessarily have to do that by showing what the broader focus would have included. It's just practical stuff.

Again, you know, the rabbit hunt is one example, but an example only. That -- This is a dynamic scene, there are a number of people there. And an unbiased investigation would have
pursued many of the facts that we have laid out in the alternative proffer. And the admissibility here is the same standard it is for the State's evidence, circumstantial though it is, that Steven Avery did it, which is, does it have some tendency to make it more likely that he committed the crime. This has some tendency to make it less likely.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask, Mr. Fallon, with respect to Denny, at least one -setting aside for the moment its significance, there is at least one difference between Denny and what the defense is attempting to do, and that is the defense is saying, we're not offering a motive for these alternative possible suspects. What's the State's position on that issue?

ATTORNEY FALLON: As I indicated earlier, the State's position on that is that Denny is more than just offering evidence about motive. The case stands for the proposition that if you are going to point the finger at a third party, you have to establish the legitimate tendency. You have to establish, which is primarily in the construct of is there a direct connection. I think that's the -the operative fact here.

THE COURT: No, but -- Let me rephrase my question. Is the State's position that, if a defendant wants to offer evidence that a third party or parties might be responsible for the crime, that the only way they can do that is if they provide motive plus opportunity and a direct connection to the crime, or is the State's position that, well, there's still this legitimate tendency test, but they have to -- if they are not going to use motive, they have to do -- show opportunity and direct connection?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Under Denny, they have to establish all three. Now, I want to address, if I may, because I think this dovetails into the point you are making --

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: -- your request if Denny doesn't apply, then what do we apply.

I think counsel is correct, 904.01, .02, and . 03 analysis is the analysis that would be the fall back position to determine the admissibility of this evidence. Then I would invite the Court to look at the evidence under that analytical framework as well.

Because, quite frankly, it's
inadmissible under that framework, and here's why. Relevance, as I mentioned earlier, has two facets. First is whether the evidence relates to a fact or proposition that is of consequence, and why that may be met here.

The second consideration for relevance is what we all learned in law school years ago as the materiality component of our relevant statute. And that is whether the other act evidence -- or not just other acts, excuse me, whether the evidence has a tendency to make a consequential fact more probable or less probable.

The fact that, for instance, Robert Fabian was on a rabbit hunt with a .22, the fact that there was a golf cart that was found rather interesting by one of the cadaver dogs, the fact that there was smoke in the middle of the salvage yard as opposed to the far corner up on the upper right side, great.

But how does that really make a consequence here, the consequence of fact more or less probable. And even if you were to accept the establishment of that second facet of relevance, you still must then evaluate whether
the probity, the strength -- and this is where counsel misreads Holmes, and I think the Court happily noted that -- where you do consider the strength of what's being discussed here.

So is the probative value substantially outweighed by the prejudicial effect, by the confusion of issues, the potential of the jury being misled, undue time considerations, and things of that sort. And even in a 904.03 balancing test, everyone of those individuals mentioned in the statement on third party liability fails to meet that standard, admittedly a lower standard of admissibility as well.

Because they -- I strongly challenge whether they meet that second facet of relevance, the old materiality. And even if they did, the probative value under all of the evidence known here is substantially outweighed by the prejudicial effect. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Let 's take a lunch break and then we'll come back and I will hear argument on the other issues. I'm in my office for lunch, so I will defer to counsel; how much time do you want?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Forty-five minutes to an
hour.
THE COURT: 1:15, does that work.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Sure.
THE COURT: See you at 1:15.
(Noon recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we are back on the record. All counsel and the defendant are present in the courtroom. When we left off before lunch the Court finished hearing argument on the third party evidence issue, that is, the Denny motion. Counsel, I'm not sure whether, next, it pays to take up the wrongful conviction issue or the planted blood issue first.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We would prefer to take the blood vial issue, the planted blood issue.

THE COURT: Any objection from the defense?
ATTORNEY BUTING: No.
THE COURT: Very well. I will hear from the defense first then. Who will be presenting this matter? Mr. Buting?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
THE COURT: Very well, you may proceed.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Like Mr. Strang said, we have pretty thoroughly set this forth in the written submission, so I don't want to repeat everything in
there, but $I$ do want to point out a few things.
First, the Richardson case. I think we need to recognize and distinguish it a little bit from what we have here. And I understand I think the -- why that case was decided the way it was.

There's a very big difference between that case and this. That was a direct evidence case. There was a victim, or alleged victim, at the time, saying Mr. Richardson had sex with me, or raped me. He denied it. But balance -- And offered a convoluted argument of a frame-up that was collateral in part because he was two steps removed from the victim. Wasn't just the victim, wasn't just the victim's mother, it was his ex-wife who supposedly then got the victim's mother and the victim to frame him.

What the Court noted as the frame-up evidence, when it came to the question of probative value, how it had little probative value, they balanced it against the fact that he says, I didn't have sex with her, period.

Yet his semen was there. Her
underclothes were found there. The injuries, significant injuries, torn hymen and what not. Which his frame-up evidence did not go to explain
any of. Wasn't like he was saying she, you know, deliberately injured herself, tore her hymen, did all this kind of stuff. His frame-up evidence did not go to explain away any of that evidence. Now, this case, on the other hand, is a circumstantial case. There is no direct evidence that Mr. Avery committed this crime. Here, the proffered evidence does offer an explanation for some of the circumstantial evidence, directly. And I can just hear it right now, if this blood evidence is not allowed in, the prosecution is going to get up in their closing argument and they are going to say, no matter what doubt the defense may have raised about this, or that, or these other pieces of evidence, the bottom line is his blood was in her car. And that kills him. He said he was never in her car, his blood was in her car.

We will be standing there with our hands completely tied behind our back, unable to defend against the underlying accusation in this case, without this evidence. That's why, in this case, it goes directly to the heart of the right to present -- the constitutional right to present a defense.

If this is -- Frankly, if we are not allowed to do this, I think this case is going to be reversed. Because there is no other way that Mr. Avery can adequately defend himself against these allegations, allegations that he made from the very beginning of this case. I think even before he was arrested, or certainly -- must have been before he was arrested, because he was saying it to the television camera, if my blood is in that car, or my DNA is in that car, it was planted, because I didn't go in that car. That's the first point $I$ want to make.

Second point, I want to clear up something here, because the State wants to link, merge, Denny and Richardson in this case because of the blood planting defense. And that's partly because I think they wrongly assume that if the police planted the blood, necessarily they also murdered Teresa Halbach.

And that does not follow logically and it does not necessarily follow with the defense that can be offered. Mr. Avery has never said that. He is entitled to let the jury consider that some other unknown individual may have committed this murder and that the police are
opportunistic and took the opportunity that was presented to frame him, which thereby totally destroyed his civil claim against them.

That's why, for instance, it's entirely unnecessary, therefore, for us to show that one or more police officers had a motive to kill Teresa Halbach. Because they are separate, what the pleadings demonstrate is a motive to frame Mr. Avery, and an opportunity to do it as well.

So when they -- when they talk about -Recognized, by the way, not being a civil case, we don't have the opportunity to do depositions, to question all of these officers ahead of time and present, perhaps, as clear and thorough and airtight an offer of proof as you would in a civil case.

These are police officers that, if they were involved, necessarily, have covered their tracks in a way that we have not been able to pierce yet. Some more may come out at trial and should come out at trial.

But at this point we're limited by the fact that how is any defendant going to ever prove a direct connection saying that a witness saw Lieutenant Lenk, or Sergeant Colborn, or
anyone else, take that vial of blood and put it. You know, it's almost impossible to expect in any case, a defendant being able to do that. The police control the scene, they control the evidence, they control the documentation of that evidence, the written documents.

Despite all that, I think we have already shown they slipped up and that there are indications that a reasonable jury has a right to hear, and look at, and consider when we're talking about whether there's a reasonable doubt that Mr. Avery committed this offense. And if there's --

And logically, if he says there's no way his blood could be in there, in that car, because he was never in the car, and his blood is found there, if he -- if evidence shows that there's an explanation that someone else put it there, then that also would tend to indicate at least a reasonable doubt about whether he committed the crime itself. Because it pulls the rug out from under one of the major pillars of the State's case and challenges the credibility and the reliability of everything else that they have brought up to try and point the finger against

Mr. Steven Avery.
The one thing that I want to say, though, about Holmes, South Carolina vs. Holmes, it's not that we're saying that Holmes overrules Denny, or that Holmes even overrules Richardson. But there is one aspect of Richardson though, that may no longer survive, and that is, the whole idea that -- that you can -- that you weigh the strength of the State's case against the proffered evidence from the defense.

And in that case -- That's why I'm saying -- That case, by the way, has never been cited, as far as I could tell, by any other case authority in the country, since it was decided. And I think it's because it's a narrow case on those narrow facts.

But the analysis that they went through and that $I$ went through earlier here, about how, yeah, he says he was framed by this girl, not by the girl or her mother, but by his ex-wife putting them all up to it, they didn't balance that say, well, let's look at that, but besides the fact there is semen, there's her bedclothes, there's the injuries, and ultimately they said -the analysis, as I see it, is that they said that
that outweighed the defense.
That's what I think Holmes says you can not do. Holmes says you have to look at the proffered defense evidence separate from the State's evidence. And at page 10 of the slip opinion, this really is the second to the last -or third to the last paragraph of the whole decision.

What they point out is, quote, just because the prosecution evidence, if credited, would provide strong support for a guilty verdict, it does not follow that evidence of third party guilt has only a weak, logical connection to the central issues in the case.

And where the credibility of the prosecution's witnesses or the reliability of its evidence is not conceded, the strength of the prosecution's case cannot be assessed without making the sort of factual findings that have traditionally been reserved for the trier of fact and that South Carolina did not purport to make in this case. I think we may fall into a similar trap here if we start trying to balance too much what the State's forensic evidence is versus Mr. Avery's in this case.

But let me turn to some of the so-called facts that the State has now presented in their response. These facts, a number of them are disputed and, therefore, the fact finder in disputed facts should be the jury not the court, because they go directly to Mr. Avery's right -to the heart of his right to present a defense. For instance, Paragraph 5, Page 2, of the State's response says that, Lenk placed himself on duty at approximately noon, and approximately 2:00 p.m. he arrived on the scene. Well, right away, that's directly contradicted by sworn testimony from Lieutenant Lenk that he arrived at the property at 6:30 or 7:00. Lenk never filed a report of those, his activities or whereabouts.

They have, as yet, produced no work records of his. We did receive, however, some -and by the way, some of the documents that we have asked for in the Brady motion, should not be implied to mean that -- that there isn't already significant evidence that supports the arguments we're making. We want to make sure there is nothing else out there that would make it even stronger.

But we do have reports that Deputy Inspector Schetter made showing the hours that every officer worked on that day and what their duties were. And that's what we put in our proffer here. Lenk says he works 10 hours. We also have logs that show when officers signed in and signed out.

And that goes to the Paragraph No. 6 in Mr. Fallon's response, that a logbook is created to account for the comings and goings of law officers and others. Well, I would add, except for Mr. Lenk. Because, according to the log book, he never arrived at the scene of the Alvery (sic) Salvage. And yet we know he was there because they do show that he left.

A reasonable inference that a jury, and only a jury, should make is that he somehow snuck in unnoticed. He was able to bypass that. And if he was able to bypass that perimeter, or whatever it was, then what else could he have been able to bypass without there being documentation.

It doesn't necessarily require a huge conspiracy of the entire police department. He may have -- You know, the person who's the guard
who's checking people in in the logs, may or may not have been involved in this at all. If he was able to bypass that guard, he may well have been able to get to the vehicle.

These are factual contradictions, factual contradictions. They are not pure speculation, as Mr. Fallon would argue. He was there, but he never checked in. He said he worked 10 hours, but the only record of his work is, according to his testimony, four hours at most, 6:30 to 7:00 is when he arrived, I think he said under oath. And he left at 10:40.

Now, the State is perfectly free to explain to the jury, if they can, these factual contradictions away. But Mr. Avery is equally free to argue these factual contradictions to the jury in the way that he sees fit and that they fit and support his theory of defense.

Another fact, so-called fact, mentioned I believe in Paragraph 14, is that the DNA profile obtained from the key to the SUV was not blood, but another biological source. Well, actually, I don't believe that's been ruled out, according to the preliminary hearing testimony, because there was never a presumptive test done
on the key.
Visually, she didn't see it, but she swabbed it immediately for DNA. If all blood could be seen visually, there would be no reason for doing presumptive tests. So we don't know whether the source of his DNA at that point, on the key, could have been from the blood or not. More importantly the blood is found in his house, where they had four days to obtain plenty of sources of his own DNA. It is not unusual to find someone's own DNA in their own house. It's all over the place. And on top of that, they have specific DNA samples from him, buccal swabs, in not just the Clerk's Office, but in the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, from prior DNA exoneration efforts. And that was verified by their own investigation.

Paragraph No. 14 in the so-called facts claims that this Marlene Kraintswood (sic) testified, as she's the phlebotomist, and that she drew the blood sample and that she was the one that put the hole in the tube top. Well, we have seen no such report. And his response earlier was, we don't have to show all of our cards. This is like in the nature of a rebuttal
witness is what I'm assuming, he has got the report.

We have seen the interviews of everybody else in the links of these, no pun intended -- of this chain. But there's no report of this nurse. Now, he may say they don't have to show all their cards, but that's exactly what they are trying to get the defense to do, number one.

And number two, he can't put these facts in here and make averments to the Court that they are facts, if he is not even going to turn over any reports that support it, to the defense. And we have seen nothing of that.

More importantly, the tube top was not sealed. And we said that right in our papers, in our moving papers, that the hole there is only one of several ways that the blood could have been taken. Whether the hole was put there, or used -- an existing hole was used by inserting a needle to withdraw it, or even more likely the top is just pulled right off and blood, whatever they need is taken out. Because it was unsecured and there really would not even have been a need to use a needle, you just pull the top right off.

Paragraph 17, and this is an example of
how all of these so-called facts are jury questions that only a jury can decide. Moreover, testimony would reveal that neither Lenk, nor Sergeant Colborn, or anyone else associated with the wrongful conviction lawsuit entered the SUV on Saturday, November 5th.

Oh. Okay. So that's it. That's the end of the question, huh? We're just supposed to accept that and walk away and go home and say, Lenk is now saying that, that's all we need. Please, that is for a jury to decide. Lenk's credibility is already in question because he's been caught in inconsistencies under oath at best, perjury at best, for the defense perspective.

And the same that goes to their claim later that Lenk did no more than just prepare transmittal paper work. Again, that's what we're supposed to believe and just accept as a given fact instead of letting the jury know.

In essence, what they want us to do is just assume that it's a mere coincidence that Lenk is on these documents in 2002; that he's deposed as a witness in a civil case three weeks earlier; that he volunteers to search the
defendant's home, after his superiors have already determined there is a conflict of interest and with his department's involvement because of that very same lawsuit.

He doesn't tell, neither does Colborn, the new superior officers that he was a witness and involved in that case. He finds this magic key in plain view, when no else could, and he's still involved in the case, five months later, in March, after the Brendan Dassey so-called confession, when the bullet is found.

Now, we don't know, and I don't want to leave the Court with the impression that the only explanation is that Mr. Lenk planted that bullet there; we don't know that. There are other explanations, including one that it wasn't even her DNA on that bullet.

Because the evidence will also show that the DNA analysis of that bullet was flawed in that the control failed and included the analyst's own DNA, which by protocol means the test gets thrown out. But she applied for a deviation from that protocol in order to make the call.

And the evidence will also show that
that is the only time in her career she's ever even asked to deviate from a protocol. Again, those are questions that a jury is going to have to decide and the jury will hear.

So, really, what it comes down to is, it seems to me, the State is arguing that -- they are conceding that it's material, and it's relevant, that it's probative. But that really what it comes down to is that this is a waste of time, this is a confusion, there's unfair prejudice.

The unfair prejudice thing, let me just respond briefly to, since we are in closed hearing, we can talk about these inmate statements. They claim, well, the inmate -- the Court has already ruled these inmate statements to be inadmissible and that somehow they are prejudiced by that.

When, in fact, if they think that that is so critical to their case, that those statements are so important, they have an appeal, as of right, that they could have taken, or still could, under 974.05, State vs. Eichmann, which says that a -- an order that bars admission of evidence that, quote, might normally be
determinative to the success of the prosecution, gives them an automatic right to an appeal. And they haven't done that.

And the case also says that it's -- they give the State so much authority and discretion that they are the one -- the prosecution is the one to make the determination of whether the evidence that is excluded is that important to them or not.

So to argue that this somehow has prejudiced them so much, when they have other remedies, is really an auspicious argument. So it comes down to the confusion and delay, which is the last argument that they make, which is really a 904.03 argument.

And they argue that so much time is going to be taken up by the defense. Well, we just saw a calendar here that shows -- I don't remember how many days for the State's case, but basically four weeks, but only -- I'm sorry -with five days projected for the defense. As if any evidence that goes -- that would tend to indicate Mr. Avery is not guilty is somehow a waste of time. That's absurd.

They claim there would be an endless
parade of witnesses. Well -- And that they would be forced to present and hour by hour accounting for Lieutenant Lenk and Colborn, or whatever.

First of all, the State, in particular those Manitowoc officers, put themselves in that position. They chose to remain involved in this investigation when it was obvious to everybody else that they shouldn't be; not only on that day, but even five months later, when Lenk is at the scene again, still involved. It's a conflict of interest. It demonstrates their bias and bias is not a collateral matter, particularly when it's this critical to the defense, and it's never a waste of time.

I disagree that every witness they discuss in here has to testify and that that's going to take forever. At some point they may -We don't need to offer them, if they feel like they need to, if they think that interviewing witnesses 15 months later, when they could have done it right away, that relying on 15 year old -- 15 month old memories is probative, so be it. It would be their choice to waste the jury's time, not ours.
So, for all of those reasons, I think
the defense has presented a very strong case of the importance, relevance, and, indeed, necessity that this blood vial evidence be introduced or presented in this case. So we oppose the State's motion to exclude.

If the Court has questions about, again, feel free to just throw them at me here if there is some legal issues or questions.

THE COURT: What is the defense's version of when the blood would have been taken from the Clerk's Office? Is it between --

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's not two years like the Court I think at one point -- or what Mr. Fallon argues something about from September 2003. We're not going to be offering that as, like, somehow these officers took a sample of his blood two years earlier and just hung on to it until the opportunity. I can't completely rule that out, but I think the most likely scenario is going to be that it was after Teresa Halbach's disappearance, probably after Teresa Halbach's disappearance was reported, which narrows it even more. But again --

THE COURT: So sometime between 10/31 and November, is it the 5th?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, November 5th.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Actually, she's reported missing on the 3rd.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: But last seen on the 31st.

THE COURT: Okay. So, November 3rd and November 5th. And I think there was -- and this may have been touched on this morning, the idea of who would have committed the crime and whether or not anyone from the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department would have been committed -- or would have been involved in the commission of the homicide. That is not a part of the State's -- or the defense theory. Or I don't want to put words in your mouth; what is the theory?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I think if we were going to argue that -- Well, probably -- probably the only ones that would fit that scenario would be Lenk and Colborn, because we think there's motive. At least there's bias. If that's strong enough motive to also involve killing. This is not going to be a primary defense that's offered.

I think that -- I can't rule out that a jury could consider it, but they had opportunity because -- well, we don't know if they had
opportunity. We haven't determined exactly what they were doing on the 31st. That may be ruled out simply by producing the documents that we requested back on December 15th, I believe. They may have alibis for the actual crime itself. And so that may not even be an issue that the jury could even speculate on. It's more likely, frankly, that there are -- that these are independent events.

THE COURT: All right. I mean, I -- to leave even open the possibility that it would be alleged that either Lenk or Colborn were involved, the -- I mean, the argument would be that somehow because they were employees of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, and the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department was being sued by Mr. Avery for a claim that is, near as I understand it, was covered by insurance, $I$ don't know what the limits on the policy might have been, but that either Lenk or Colborn felt they had a sufficient stake in that that that would have been a motive for them to kill Teresa Halbach for the opportunity to frame Steven Avery?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Probably not. Very, very likely not. And my only hesitation in saying that
is, because $I$ just don't know what they did or where they were on October 31st, even though we asked for it over a month ago. But unless we could show some connection, I mean I think in that instant you would have to satisfy Denny, and we don't have a connection of them to the scene.

But in any event, I think the motive is not a motive to kill. I think the motive is a motive to frame. And that's why the defense is coupled with not just the planting of the blood theory of defense, but also the investigative bias that ignored other likely suspects, to the point where they were getting preferential treatment in the case of Chuck Avery.

But also, the -- recognize that on November 5th, before the body had been found, when all they have is a vehicle with some blood in it -- in fact, at that point they didn't even have that, because nobody at the scene -- that's another important thing, none of the cops who originally arrived at the scene saw any blood in it, inside the RAV. It was broad daylight on a sunny -- maybe not sunny, but broad daylight on a Saturday morning, 11 a.m.

They have got flashlights with them and
they are looking in the car as well. They are able to see little pieces of paper with her name on it. They are able to see all this stuff and they don't see a piece -- a splotch of blood right on the ignition, where they were looking and shining around the whole dash area looking for the VIN number. That's very questionable. But even without seeing all of that, when they go to apply for a warrant, search warrant, they are swearing under oath that they think there is probable cause to believe evidence of a crime, including homicide, occurred. So for whatever reason, whether they were involved in it, or perhaps more likely they know how these things turn out and they had enough at that point to realize that's where this was going, in all likelihood. I think that's the more likely scenario, but.

THE COURT: The -- I indicated to counsel when we were talking about setting up this hearing today, in terms of scheduling, that one matter that I -- concerned me was the question of whether bias evidence had to be related to a particular witness, or that whether or not there was some argument that bias could be imputed to an entire, in this case,

Sheriff's Department. Anything from the defense on that issue?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Mr. Strang is going to take on that issue, your Honor.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Only because I'm the one who took a look at that, your Honor, and to me the bottom line is that evidence of bias adduced on cross-examination, or conceivably extrinsic evidence of bias, is related to an individual witness. You know, it's the witness' bias that is relevant and important to a jury in assessing the witness' credibility at trial.

That said, one of the biases that we can have as human beings is, of course, an institutional bias. It's only one of the biases that may drive us, that may tilt our behavior, our words, in one way or another.

Now, I didn't find anything anywhere that addressed, specifically, cross-examination of an individual witness on the question of institutional bias. But again, I wouldn't expect to find that, because the issue is bias of the individual, which may have institutional roots. And there I did find, you know, some useful sort of comment by courts, not directly on point, but
it helped me to think about the question of bias as it relates to an individual witness.

When the U.S. Supreme Court has spoken of institutional bias, when its used that term, it's most often been in the context of a re -- a re -- a conviction at trial, a reversal on appeal, or a conviction after a guilty plea and then a reversal on appeal, a remand, and then the State upping the ante, or the court upping the ante, either with additional charges on the retrial, or with a longer sentence on the retrial. And defendants then challenging that on subsequent appeal as vindictive and a denial of due process.

And I think the very first time the U.S. Supreme Court spoke of institutional bias was in acknowledging that its decisions putting due process limits on higher sentences after remand, or additional charges after remand. And here I'm quoting the U.S. Supreme Court, "reflected recognition by the court of the institutional bias inherent in the judicial system against the retrial of issues that already have been decided", closed quote. And that's United States vs. Goodwin, 457 U.S. 368, at page 376, decided
in 1982.
The other common setting, or the other setting in which the Supreme Court has spoken of institutional bias is considering forced medication or competency assessments, competency to refuse medication, for example. And the court recognizing that often these are done at state mental hospitals, or state institutions by psychologists or psychiatrists employed by the state with an interest in furthering treatment as the doctors think is recommended. And there could be an institutional bias there that may color the testimony of such witnesses in favor of forcing medication.

So, you know, what that says to me is, of course, and I started thinking about it, I mean, all of us, to the extent we work for an institution or within an institution, may adopt its interests as our own biases. This is why it is not at all uncommon or improper for the State to ask defense expert witnesses, how much are you being paid, who is paying you.

In other words, you know, the old biblical comment in the Gospels, His bread I eat, His song I sing. Also fair with the defense with

State witnesses to establish they are employed by the same sovereign who's prosecuting a defendant. In civil cases, not at all uncommon or improper for one side's expert to be asked whether he or she has ever testified for the other side in a civil conflict. A toxic tort where an epidemiologist is called, for example or, you know, whatever the example might be. A medical malpractice case in which a particular M.D. is simply known as a plaintiff's doctor or as a defense doctor.

And again, that gets at the issue of institutional bias. We don't enshrine that as significant in and of itself, it is just an aspect of the individual bias that one explores with any witness, or may be entitled to explore with any witness.

So, in its application here, the State will call them. The State will call, I predict at least in its case-in-chief, a number of Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department employees. Indeed, every significant piece of physical evidence in this case against Steven Avery was discovered first by, or had present at the time of discovery, a Manitowoc County Sheriff's

Department employee.
So we're going to hear from these people. And will it be appropriate to cross-examine them, establish their employment, establish their awareness of the lawsuit against their department, establish that they take pride in their work and that they take pride in the reputation of their department, and that they felt that pride imperiled by the allegations that their own department had embarked on a course of action that had led to a 32 year sentence for a man who didn't convict -- commit the crime of which he was convicted.

Yes, that is appropriate, because that officer who wears that uniform is entitled to take pride in his work, in his uniform, in his department. And is entitled, I guess, in a sense, he's human, to have his actions, words, thoughts, affected subtly, perhaps even subconsciously, by his own human biases. We all have these. And that's why it's so important for bias to be explored. It's not a dirty word in the end, but it is essential for neutral fact finders in weighing sometimes difficult nuances of credibility.

Sheriff's Department employees, they had been pulled in directly to the civil lawsuit. They knew because the depositions were focused, in main, on their actions in prolonging the imprisonment of Steven Avery beyond 1995 or 1996. They knew by virtue of the deposition that their personal reputation was at stake, that their personal actions were under scrutiny, and that regardless of insurance coverage and what insurer had what layer, or whether there was a self-insured layer in there, or what the limits of the top layer of policy coverage were; regardless of all of that, do you think for a moment that a Lieutenant Lenk or a Sergeant Colborn wouldn't consider the fact that his personal ambitions for promotion, or for retaining his rank, or perhaps political ambitions, and low and behold in the fall of 2006, Sergeant Colborn threw in his hat and ran for sheriff in this county; do you think for a moment they didn't consider the possibility that their interests were affected by the actions of their department at issue in that lawsuit and potentially by their own personal actions being
called into question, regardless of how defensible they may have thought their own actions were?

Of course not. It is information that bears on bias. Does it have institutional aspects, sure. It also has highly individual aspects to it. And so that that's why I sort of abjure the idea of adjectives in front of the word bias, in the sense that it's bias that we would be exploring. And, yes, it is linked to an individual witness.

We do not propose to come in and offer evidence in the abstract simply to establish that a department was biased and, therefore, every member of it was biased. This is perhaps subtle, but we may well, though, be entitled to explore an individual witness' identification with his department, identification with its reputation, sense of his own reputation being at stake, or his own actions being attacked, called into question.

And it would not be conceivably out of line here to recall, for the Court to recall, that on the witness stand on July 5, 2006, the former sheriff of Manitowoc County revealed
himself to be perhaps the last person in the world, who's heard of Steven Avery, who's not yet ready to accept that Mr . Avery was innocent of the crime for which he was convicted, a rape for which he was convicted of 1985. Page 7 of the July 5, 2006 transcript -- and it sticks out with me because I remember watching the Court's reaction --

THE COURT: I recall the answer.
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- and my own at the time. Would it be strange that a department headed by a man who held that attitude might be affected by that attitude in the hierarchy down the line? It would not. Doesn't mean we get to go on at, you know, to our heart's content, or forever, about Sheriff Kenneth Peterson. I'm not suggesting that necessarily comes in at all.

But I am suggesting that where we work, and what we do, and the things we commit our life to, create in us biases that might be described in part as institutional biases, just as the United States Supreme Court has, and that these are the among the sources of bias, that it's entirely appropriate for the defense to explore, in a criminal case, and for the State to explore,
if the defendant elects to offer a defense case-in-chief himself.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask, somewhat as a follow up to that, in terms of the defense offer to get the blood vial evidence in and the argument that the defense would make, do I understand it to be that, in considering what the defense feels is the bias of the witnesses and the opportunity and everything else, that it's Lenk and Colborn that are the subjects, if you will, of the defense claim?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, and they may -- Yes, and we don't know that they necessarily would have acted directly. What we do know is that at the relevant time, James Lenk was not just a lieutenant, but as I understand it, the head of the detective bureau. So he's a person of brass, of rank in the department. And Andrew Colborn, of course, was a sergeant, albeit in the road patrol division, if I recall it, in November of 2005.

Do I know whether they directly acted, or whether by virtue of familiarity in rank within the department they may have known where to get a master key, or been able to ask someone for a key, obtain one, $I$ don't know that. But
the answer remains, I think, yes, that I believe it would be Mr. Lenk or Mr. Colborn to whom, alternately, we would have to a ascribe a plan or an exercise to plant blood.

Now, by his presence, Detective Remiker, also is around and Mr. Lenk, Lieutenant Lenk, is Detective Remiker's superior. However, Detective Remiker was not deposed in the 1983 action in federal court. And although I have not read all the depositions, I am unaware of his conduct with respect to the 1985 conviction of Mr . Avery ever becoming an issue at all. It would surprise me if it had, because I think he joins the department even after the 1995 or 1996 telephone call from a Brown County law enforcement agency that is the connection of Sergeant Colborn and Lieutenant Lenk to this civil action.

So Remiker is there. He's present. He works for Lenk. But I'm not aware of any -- any personal connection of Detective Remiker to the lawsuit that Mr. Avery brought against Manitowoc County. He has only the connection of working for the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department under Lieutenant Lenk's supervision, in so far as I know.

THE COURT: Who will be speaking for the State? Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, I will be addressing the blood vial issue and Mr. Kratz will follow up with the bias discussion on behalf of the State.

With respect to the blood vial evidence, I will begin there. The only thing that $I$ will agree with by the defense is the fact that we actually begin in the same place, with the Richardson case. After that, I agree with very little, if anything, represented by the defense.

Richardson, it does need to be distinguished. And I think it is important for the Court to consider exactly what Richardson was about and what Richardson actually held.

Mr. Richardson was charged with a sexual assault, five counts, and one count of false imprisonment. His theory was that his estranged wife was framing him. He based that on the fact that, again, that his estranged wife was framing him. He based it on the fact that she called his divorce attorney and said that he, being Richardson, had sex with a 14 year old girl, two days prior to the charged event.

Richardson also alleged that the mother
of the victim talked to his estranged wife the day of the assault, that his estranged wife gave the victim's mother the phone number of the divorce attorney. The victim's mom reported the assault to the attorney. And she initially had lied about making the call until she was impeached with phone records. Those are the facts.

The defendant is merging the theories of Richardson and frame-up evidence with the Denny case. Because of the nature in which the frame-up defense, the planting of evidence -because that is the frame -- the planting of evidence by the police necessarily implies the police were involved in the death, either directly or in a cover up, of the death of Teresa Halbach.

There's no other reasoned inference to be drawn. As a result, I think they have to comply with both Denny and Richardson.

Teresa Halbach was last seen alive on October 31st. Presumably she had Tuesday and Wednesday off, although I think she had an appointment on Wednesday. But the important fact is that she was not seen or heard from by any
member of her family, or anyone else, on Tuesday and Wednesday, the 1st and 2 nd of November.

She was reported missing on November 3rd and a missing person's investigation was commenced. At approximately 10:30 a.m. on Saturday, November 5th, her car was found by volunteer searchers on the Avery property, secreted with brush and debris, in the corner of the salvage yard farthest away from the defendant's residence. The vehicle was locked.

Now, let's step back in time, the time about 11 years ago. The defense requires us to do that. Eleven years ago this month, defendant's blood was drawn as part of a post-conviction relief motion process. Blood was drawn at Fox Lake Institution. Marlene Kraintz, M. Kraintz, the name on the paperwork, drew the blood.

It was sent out for analysis by Lab Corp., analyzed and eventually returned to the Clerk of Court's Office where, as far as anyone knows, it sits until June 19th, 2002. On that day the file is examined by the former District Attorney, E. James FitzGerald, and Wendy Paul of Project Innocence and two or three others,
presumably at the request, or order, of Judge Hazlewood, the original trial judge.

The box was apparently resealed with nothing more than scotch tape at the time. At that time the blood was not sent with the fingernail clipping and the one unknown pubic hair, for analysis. It remained with the Clerk of Court.

Moving forward in time, the defendant is exonerated and released after serving an additional 12 years in prison for a crime he did not commit. His case generates significant interest and publicity. Many people come and look at his court file, freely. As counsel noted the last time around either in person or in a call, the public has a right to examine the facts and circumstances. The public includes the media and anyone else who had a interest in the case.

It's within that background that we were asked to make two assumptions. And this is where I think the defense proffer of proof fails, and fails miserably. We are to assume that someone, presumably a member of the Sheriff's Department, gained access to the Clerk of Court's office, at a time unknown.

Even today when the Court asks the defense to narrow the time frame, they can't say for sure that it was a 2 year window, a 26 month window from September of '03 until the end of October of '05. Could be, although they think most likely between November 3rd, 4th and 5th. But someone gained access to the Clerk's Office, as I said, at a time unknown. Presumably after he was free, could have been before. Or was it after the lawsuit was filed, before this alleged bias was supposedly created, this motive. Apparently a motive and a bias to kill an innocent 25 year old photographer, just so they could get back at Mr. Avery for besmirching the reputation and integrity of the Manitowoc Police Department.

Presumably we are asked to speculate that happened, because the two bailiffs have a key from the Sheriff's department. Perhaps the Sheriff has one for emergency situations as well. But the assumption falls woefully short. The speculation, the conjecture, falls short of what's required for admissibility. There's no evidence to suggest anywhere, known at this time, that a member of the Sheriff's Department, past
or present, ever actually touched or handled that vial of blood. Not one shred.

Part two, that someone planted some of that blood in Halbach's vehicle, sometime between October 31st and November 5th, or if you like, between November 3rd and November 5th. Presumably that someone is a Sheriff's officer, because it would be mighty difficult for a member of the public to wander into that property and somehow gain access to a locked vehicle under cover of debris and brush and the like and managed to plant blood.

The suspects, as we now have clearly revealed, are apparently Lieutenant James Lenk and Sergeant Andy Colborn. I suggested in the State's reply to their proffer, Sergeant Colborn did not even get to the property until 5, 5, 5:30 that evening on November 5th. Although he certainly was on the Avery property on the 4 th and 5th for the consent searches previously discussed in motions.

But think about this, for them to have planted the blood to frame the defendant, they would have had to have known that she was dead. How could they have known that? Steven Avery
could have told them. If that was the case, we wouldn't be here. Brendan Dassey could have told them. Well, that's true, but he didn't tell anybody anything of noteworthiness until March 1st.

Perhaps there was an anonymous tip. But we're led to believe if the blood was removed from the Clerk's Office on November 3 rd or 4 th, or, look, presumably in the early morning hours of the 5th, and then it somehow got from here -somehow got from here out there, and then not only did it somehow get from here to there but it somehow got inside the locked vehicle, under a guard.

A fact from the hearing is known and in Detective Fassbender's testimony he was responsible in his efforts to cover the vehicle. Later in that afternoon the vehicle was finally removed by Crime Lab personnel at 8:42 p.m.

Crime Lab personnel arrived at 4:00 p.m. and were attending back and forth. They didn't stay with the vehicle. I think the testimony was they weren't constantly there. But the Crime Lab people, the field response unit arrived around 4:00 and did a number of things. Chiefly among
them was to take care of this vehicle.
So presumably someone went in there and planted the blood. You will recall the testimony, $I$ believe, and the information that's been provided, that the vehicle was not opened until the next day, at the Crime Lab, where it was then processed in Madison, not in Manitowoc.

So assuming that someone could have got -- Well, we're led to make two assumptions: Law enforcement found that vehicle on the 3 rd or 4 th and got it into it then, or they got into it on the 5th. How did they do that? And if they got in on the 3 rd or the 4 th, or the morning of the 5 th, then it stands to reason that they would have had to have known that she was dead.

Example, if that's the case, then is the other evidence planted as well, the cell phone, the palm pilot, the camera. Apparently we were led to believe that Lieutenant Lenk planted the key. And just because Lieutenant Lenk was apparently on the property during the execution of the March search warrant, he must have planted the blood fragment too, the fragment with the victim's DNA.

Well, I can assure you there's only one
way that could have happened. You don't have a bullet fragment with Teresa Halbach's DNA on it unless you killed her. The fact that this is suggested is nothing short of preposterous and outrageous. We're not a court of law. Were we not dealing with pleadings regarding a man's defense on a charge of murder, we'd be dealing with a claim of slander and libel.

Teresa Halbach's remains were not recovered until November 8th. And they were not sure. They knew -- they had a pretty good idea they were human bones on November 8th. And it's probably a reasoned inference that it was Teresa Halbach. But the identification that it was Teresa Halbach was a couple of days after that. So, it seems to me, if you are going to blame somebody for a crime, then you better damn well know a crime was committed. You can't frame somebody for a crime unless you know the crime was committed. And how do they know the crime was committed on the 3 rd, or 4 th, or 5 th unless they did it, or unless they assisted in covering it up.

Maybe they helped Brendan Dassey. But that, necessarily, by implication, implies law
enforcement's involvement in her death. And if that's the case, then Denny applies, because that's third party liability, somebody else did it. I want the evidence. Show me the evidence that Lenk and Colborn were responsible for the death of Teresa Halbach, before you got in here and have the nerve, the unmitigated gall to get up here and suggest that they were responsible for her death, by implication.

That's the distinction between Richardson and our case. Richardson did not suggest -- Richardson's frame-up theory did not imply that the victim's mother committed the assault. It did not imply that his estranged wife committed the assault. In fact, it doesn't imply that any one necessarily committed the assault. You can make a case; although counsel alluded to some facts that I don't think were in the Supreme Court opinion, probably were in the appellate opinion, or Court of Appeals opinion.

But one reading of that defense, that doesn't necessarily imply that the crime occurred at all, or implied, to the contrary, that someone else did it. And if that's the case, then the analysis in Richardson is still a half a bubble
off plumb because they should have used Scheidell if she has all these injuries indicative of a sexual assault and yet you don't know who did it.

So then there was a merger of a frame-up and a Denny argument -- or in Scheidell -- excuse me -- in Richardson. I'm speculating because that wasn't discussed, so we can't go down that road.

In this case it's clear inference that the Sheriff's Department in general, and now we know Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colborn in particular, are involved, we are led to believe, because of some misconceived ill-gotten theory of bias of. Mr. Kratz will address this further. But I have to mention it.

Even though they were not defendants in the lawsuit, they had no personal liability, they had nothing at stake. They weren't even responsible for his original conviction in the first place. They were deposed as witnesses, as I understand it, because they failed to pass on some information after the fact regarding somebody else, presumably Mr. Allen, the actual perpetrator of the crime against Penny Beerntsen. But they weren't responsible for his
wrongful conviction. They had nothing to do with it. They weren't defendants in the action. You can't frame someone for murder unless you know the murder occurred. Let's think about it. I'm going to get in there and we'll sprinkle some blood around. Have got to do this quick, got to put some blood in the CDL (phonetic), here put some on the ignition key. We'll put a spot here, another spot there. We'll do four spots. I have to open the car.

Depending on the location of where the blood is, hope nobody will see me, or well, maybe everyone did see me. So there must be more involved. How else could you sprinkle the blood in those locations.

And more importantly, unless they knew Teresa Halbach was dead, how did they know that's her blood in there. Seems to me you are taking an awful chance of planting blood there, if you don't know a crime has occurred, you don't know it's her blood. Not an unreasonable inference that it's her blood, but that's a pretty good risk to take. Obstruction of justice, that's felony behavior. Misconduct in office for a police officer, tampering with evidence, the list
goes on and on. I'm going to risk my career over that, I think not.

By implication, this frame-up theory is entirely different than the frame-up theory posited in Richardson and it necessarily implies police involvement. Because how can you take the chance of planting something unless you know the crime occurred. And how would they know the crime occurred, unless they were coconspirators.

Under that analysis Denny does apply. And there is no motive. There's no opportunity. And there's no connection for Remiker, Colborn, Lenk, or any other member of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department in this crime.

In the alternative, if you find that the State's argument is not compelling enough, that there's a merger of a frame-up theory with the Denny theory, and you wish to analyze this strictly under a 904.03 analysis under

Richardson, the State would submit that the evidence is inadmissible under that theory as well.

The evidence has to be relevant. And as we have discussed the last time around, relevance has two facets. It is again, in the second facet
of relevance, the materiality component, where this falls short. It's not material.

And by the way, when you are evaluating materiality of evidence, you have to look at the evidence as a whole, you know. And this is where the defense, again, continues to misread and misinterpret the holding of Holmes vs. South Carolina. You still consider all evidence. You still evaluate it in determining materiality. You still have to evaluate it in the calculus of determining the probative value and whether the probative value is substantially outweighed by prejudicial effect, waste of time, confusion, etcetera.

The defense fails on part two, the materiality component, that facet of demonstrating that there is a connection -- and there's that word connection -- to the case at hand, a tendency to make a consequential fact more or less probable.

On the third step of the Richardson analysis, the balancing, there is unfair prejudice with respect to the existence of that vial of blood and its admissibility. Given the time frame involved here, the Court's
determination that preservative testing, EDTA testing, is not reliable enough, it certainly undermines the State's ability to reply, at least in a scientific mode, if not a practical mode -and I will get to that in a moment -- as to the probability, possibility, that this blood found in the Clerk of Court's office, which we still don't know how it would have gotten from here to the crime scene, other than by speculation or conjecture, was the same type of blood, was the source of the blood at the scene.

With respect to the inmate statements, I'll rely on the comments in my brief. But I think they need to be reconsidered if this evidence is admitted, and reconsidered because their probative value is now increased as a retort. Because it's evidence of a bias and a motive and intent.

It's evidence of this crime, possessed by the defendant, long before any supposed motive, bias, or evidence existed on behalf of Lieutenant Lenk, Sergeant Colborn, or any other member of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department.

In terms of the practical considerations
here, it seems to me there would be a great deal of witnesses called, whether it's the groundskeeper, whether it's members of the Clerk of Court's Office. Anybody ever seen Lieutenant Lenk in the Clerk of Court's office, when did you see him, how long ago was that.

And I point out that there was no reason for any of that questioning. No reason for that investigative purpose until the existence of this vial of blood was revealed. There's no reason to test what was in the car for preservatives.

There's no reason to test what was in the car for cellular degradation, or any other type of test one may suggest, until the vial of blood presented itself. And likewise, there was no reason to interview every member of the Clerk's Office or interview the bailiffs where now we're supposed to.

I think the best example of this case getting sidetracked and going down the road of confusion and unrelated issues, is their demand for disclosure of exculpatory information. It reads, for all of the reasons stated in the State's brief, we're going to be looking at work schedules for Lieutenant Lenk, Sergeant Colborn,
and I'm not sure if Detective Remiker has now been thrown in the mix or not.

We're going to be looking at disciplinary records that apparently they want us to track down. We're going to be looking at access, who had keys, what were the codes to the Clerk of Court's Office. There's a key to get in the outside door and there's a code to get behind. So, who got the codes, when they got the codes, when were the codes changed.

Their disclosure form is an indictment of the folly of this argument and supports the State's theory that all we're going to do is go down the wrong track, more confusion, more delay. We're going to be spending time chasing down this vial of blood.

How can they have got into the Clerk of Court's Office. Could they get in on Thursday morning, was it Friday do you think, or maybe it was really early Saturday and then somebody got that blood out there. Well, who had keys and how did they get in.

It's still -- Their offer of proof fails and it fails miserably because there's nothing other than conjecture or speculation as to who
got into the Clerk of Court's Office, whether the vial itself was taken and then later returned, or a portion of the vial was taken and returned.

And just how did they do that? Did they use a needle and syringe? Did they pop the cork? Pour a little in their own beaker and secret themselves away? In other words, they burglarized the Clerk of Court, which interestingly enough is another act. That's a felony.

If it's a law enforcement officer, you have got burglary. You have evidence tampering. You have got misconduct in office. You have got obstructing of justice. I'm sure when we're done with this law school quiz, I could come up with a few more charges.

Confusion and delay will necessarily
result by the admissibility of this evidence. And as a result, this evidence must and should be excluded. Thank you. Mr. Kratz will address bias.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I did not know if you had any other questions on the issue of the blood vial itself. I think -- I think Mr. Fallon made it clear
that the State's position is that there is no linkage. There is no connection between the vial of blood and the blood that's in the SUV.

I agree with much of what Mr. Strang said regarding bias, interestingly enough, in that it is not a collateral matter. It is something, when appropriately applied, that witnesses can be impeached with.

And as long as we're talking about cops, let me talk about bad cops, because that's what Mr. Strang and Buting are alleging here, Judge. Again, we're not talking about negligence, or something along those lines. We're talking about criminal behavior. We're talking about malfeasance.

And as my memorandum to the Court
suggested, that when bias is involved, like the blood vial evidence, but bias is so interrelated here, when we're talking about criminal behavior of cops, there had better be some proof. There had better be something other than Mr. Buting standing up, because apparently he can, and saying, you know what, Lieutenant Lenk planted evidence, or Sergeant Colborn planted evidence.

And this institutional bias, or at least
some version of that that Mr. Strang has attempted to apply to Lieutenant Lenk and to Sergeant Colborn, at least if their theory is to be adopted, Judge, shouldn't stop there. What, then, difference is it that Lieutenant Lenk was embarrassed by Mr. Avery's lawsuit. Why not Detective Remiker? Why not Deputy Jost? Why not members of the Clerk of Court's Office, who may have been, as county employees, embarrassed?

And so what Williamson and the other bias cases do, is they don't allow that kind of questioning unless there is evidence, evidence that can be rationally related to that particular witness.

I'm glad that Mr. Strang mentioned Sheriff Peterson, because by at least example, the differences between Sheriff Peterson and a bias that he may hold, if he was ever in fact going to be a witness in this case, may be appropriate to go into this wrongful conviction, or this bias against Mr. Avery.

But that doesn't apply to Lieutenant Lenk, doesn't apply to Sergeant Colborn. And there is no evidence that it does. Again, Lenk and Colborn, not involved in the 1985 prior
conviction, not involved in the lawsuit.
Mr. Strang suggests that they are somehow pulled into the lawsuit. The Court already knows to what extent they are involved in this civil lawsuit; that is, giving a very short deposition. And so, the embarrassment as a member of the Sheriff's Department, when dealing with professional reputations, when dealing with a slur of criminal misconduct, does not raise to the level of rationally related to a particular piece of evidence.

If this Court, however, is going to allow some kind of evidence about the 1985 wrongful conviction, $I$ have given suggestions on the last page. There are facts that do not include the lawsuit. There are facts of the wrongful conviction itself that could be offered as bias, but certainly should apply then to Lieutenant Lenk and Sergeant Colborn and everybody else.

And for those, and for that reason, the State believes that when the Court looks at Williamson, when the Court decides whether or not it's rationally related to any of these specific witnesses, although maybe not as impassioned as

Mr. Fallon, just as strenuously, Judge, I'm asking the Court to reject this kind of evidence.

As they cannot, in fact $I$ think the defense may even agree that they cannot, connect it directly to either of these two witnesses as compared to the universe of other potential witnesses from the Sheriff's Department and shouldn't be allowed. That's all I have got, Judge.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I forgot to mention one other thing in response to the defense and that is on their right to present a defense. With respect to that, I think the Court is familiar with South Carolina vs. Holmes in the pleadings. I just wanted to make the Court and counsel aware of a decision that was decided two days ago dealing with the right to present a defense. I have a copy for the Court, Section 5 of the Muckerheide,

M-u-c-k-e-r-h-e-i-d-e, case.
Part 5 deals with a defense right to present a defense. And interestingly enough there's a discussion of Scheidell and other acts evidence contained with it. So I would ask the Court to review that as you consider counsel -one of counsel's opening points, the right to
present a defense. Because, again, here's the latest and the court acknowledging that that's not a limitless right or -- and one must introduce relevant and material evidence. So, thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.
ATTORNEY BUTING: If I could just address the last point very briefly. I haven't thoroughly read the Muckerheide, $I$ think is the way you pronounce it, Muckerheide or Muckerheide decision that Mr. Fallon is referring to, but I did briefly see it when it came down a couple days ago. That's the one where the defense wanted to offer evidence from, I believe the father, that -- it was a homicide while intoxicated, the passenger was killed. Mr. Muckerheide was the driver. He argued the passenger grabbed the wheel, forced him to crash.

And it was the affirmative defense for a homicide while intoxicated. And he had evidence that the victim's father was going to say that the victim had also done that when he, the father, was driving and so on and so forth. Well, the one -- Very little of the case deals with the constitutional right to present a
defense.
What it does say when it gets to that point at the very end is it points out that Muckerheide was, nevertheless, allowed to present his entire defense and to make the argument that on that occasion the defendant did in fact grab the defendant's wheel. And the only evidence they didn't hear was minimally probative evidence that on a prior occasion, with a different driver, under different circumstances, the victim had gestured toward, or grabbed the steering wheel. That's very different than what we have here, where a complete explanation for very otherwise damning circumstantial evidence would be denied.

Mr. Fallon just kept pounding away like there's no way this could be unless the officers killed her. They couldn't have done this planting unless they also were the ones that did the crime. They had to have killed her. He must have said that five or six different times in their argument. And it's just plain wrong. It's just simply wrong.

On November 5th, at approximately 2:00 p.m., which is around the same time, by the way,
in their new statement of facts, or first averment of facts, they say Lenk put himself on duty or -- I'm sorry -- arrived at the salvage yard. Meanwhile, Mr. Kratz, and Wiegert, and Remiker, and I believe also the D.A. Rohrer, were all over, at the same time, at Judge Fox's home in Two Rivers, I believe, presenting an affidavit in which they swore, under oath, that they believed they would find evidence of a homicide if permitted to search the Avery property. Now, we don't presume that that sworn testimony was false, yet, nevertheless, they were investigating this case as a homicide by the time Lenk supposedly even shows up at the scene, as early as 2:00 p.m.

It's not necessary to show murderous intent on the part of officers. We're showing opportunistic intent.

Mr. Fallon got -- made a very nice, passionate closing argument here, which I'm not going to repeat, or try and outdo at this point, except to say that, fine, make that argument to the jury. He is fully entitled to do that and he's going to be required to do that because of the conduct, not because as Mr. Kratz says, Jerry

Buting gets up here and just says it's so.
I'm not the one who put myself on duty at a homicide investigation when $I$ knew that my boss had already recused the department, supposedly, from having leadership involvement. I'm not the one who volunteered to go search the primary, in fact only suspect in the police's eyes, his very residence. I'm not the one who didn't tell the Calumet people that $I$ was subpoenaed and involved in the civil case.

The reason they have to do all of this is because their people put it -- put themselves in that position. And for whatever reason, if it's purely coincidence, then a jury should decide that. I don't think it is.

I think there's more than sufficient -Remember Richardson says any tendency. Richardson doesn't even require the legitimate tendency to show this. It's any tendency. And clearly this evidence has some -- any tendency to make a consequential fact less probable here. That is, the consequential fact of who killed Teresa Halbach.

As I said before, if the blood isn't -if somebody put the blood of Steven Avery into
that RAV 4, then it's more probable that he is innocent of the crime that he's charged with.

He would impose an impossible burden. I don't know what he expects, that we're supposed to have a video tape, present a video tape of here is the perpetrator sneaking into the Clerk's Office. Here's what key he uses, we zoom in, you can see, aha, this is the key with serial number so and so which must have been taken from such and such, and insert it into the door, and there he is, he's grabbing the video -- the vial, and here's how he's taking it out of the vial, and here's where he goes.

That's ridiculous. It's impossible that you could ever -- any court would expect that kind of certainty, and everything else is pure speculation. It's not speculation. There's a series, a very careful series of steps that we have laid out in our motion in great detail, showing our cards, much more than they have.

Mr. Fallon and Mr. Kratz, from the State's perspective, anything that doesn't go down the track towards conviction is a waste of time. And that is simply not the case. That's why we have juries, that's why we have adversary
system in this country. And Mr. Avery is
entitled and, indeed, required to present this defense of the blood vial evidence, this evidence as part of his defense that he is not guilty of this crime against Teresa Halbach. Thank you.

THE COURT: I know, Mr. Buting, that I asked you to do this I think earlier, but just so it's the last thing I hear before we conclude today; I want to make sure as I'm sitting in my office this weekend that I have a clear representation of what the defense offer is. That is that, as I understand it, and you can fill in the blanks or correct me when I'm wrong, either Lenk or Colborn would have a sufficient basis to be biased in this case, or a motive for planting evidence, however you want to put it, because of the fact that they have a connection with the Sheriff's Department, they work for the Sheriff's Department, they were deposed in the civil case some three weeks earlier. And I'm trying to remember is it one or both of them that received a telephone call or something in 1995, that they put in the file?

ATTORNEY STRANG: They were both questioned about their own personal involvement in that particular incident. One thing $I$ forgot to add is,
they were not defendant's in the civil lawsuit yet, at that time, but that is not to say that they couldn't have been joined later as the discovery proceeded and the plaintiff's uncovered more wrongdoing or potential liability. Because from that point forward Mr. Avery sat another eight years in prison.

THE COURT: Okay. The theory being that they were given some information that they should have followed up on that might have led to his exoneration sooner.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, and the call involved, frankly, Mr. Allen, the ultimate --

ATTORNEY STRANG: Maybe you remember this past October -- I will take a stab at it -- I think the testimony from the two depositions was approximately this. That while he was working in the jail, Sergeant Colborn took a call from a law enforcement agency, the name of which he didn't remember at the time of the deposition, he thought maybe it was from Brown County.

Realized that it wasn't a call that belonged in the jail, transferred the call to the Detective Bureau in the Sheriff's Department. And at that point, as I recall the deposition
testimony, it breaks down in that Detective Lenk, who would have been in the Detective Bureau at that time, doesn't remember whether he got the call or doesn't remember what it was about. There was a -- and now I'm not remembering the deposition testimony well. But it's sort of -there's confusion there, and then both of them write a report about this phone call, for the first time on September 12, 2003, at Sheriff Peterson's request, which is the day after Avery has been released from prison.

So they both write reports the day after. And at that point they don't remember if it's 1995 or 1996 when the phone call came in. The gist of the phone call being from a law enforcement agency saying we have someone here in custody who says that he did a rape for which someone else is in prison and it was in your county.

THE COURT: Well, it sounds from what you are telling me, if that's the way it was presented, Colborn did what he should have done, he got the call at the jail and referred it to the Detective Bureau.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, but that was
challenged in the deposition and his failure to write any report --

ATTORNEY STRANG: Maybe the sensible thing to do is just submit the depositions in there.

ATTORNEY BUTING: The point being, at that point this information was just coming out and was being -- they were being challenged on a memo that not only their own reports, but also a memo that a investigator in the Manitowoc District Attorney's Office had written, which he had spoken to the two of them. It was inconsistent with what they had been testifying about, so it was their -- their own involvement in that incident was being challenged. THE COURT: All right. At any rate, they have these depositions three weeks earlier. Sometime after November 3rd they, along with other members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department, get the report that Teresa Halbach is missing, right?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Actually, Sergeant Colborn, fortuitously or whatever, gets the call from Investigator Wiegert, I believe. Learns that -- or Dedering, one of the two -- learns that one of the last places she had been, or one of the last places she had been that day, she was last
seen, was the Avery residence. And says, okay, I will follow through. We'll get somebody out there to talk to him. Hangs up.

And sometime shortly after that, Investigator Wiegert gets an unsolicited call from Lieutenant Lenk, which obviously means Colborn told Lenk. Lenk is, aha, Avery, let's find out more about this. And he then, on his own, not being asked to, calls Wiegert and starts asking and showing increased interest from that point on, personal interest.

THE COURT: But that's on November 3rd.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
THE COURT: Then the vehicle is discovered on the 5th. What, was Officer Colborn on the scene on the Avery property on the 5th?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
THE COURT: I know Lenk was, you said.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Lenk was. Colborn, I think the logs show him arriving around 5:00 p.m. Don't know if he was there earlier, before the logs began to be taken or not.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I can add, Jerry, we asked about when that log started, and I think they started the log about 2:25, ballpark, so for what
that's worth.
ATTORNEY BUTING: So it's about three and a half hours after the vehicle was -- not discovered, but three and a half hours after the police arrived.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Right.
ATTORNEY BUTING: And again, the law enforcement people and the head prosecutors were gone from the scene by 2:00 -- no, between, I think 1:00 and 3:00. Rohrer, Mr. Kratz, Wiegert, Remiker, they all left.

THE COURT: What is the -- Does the defense have a theory as to how either Mr. Colborn or Mr. Lenk would have gotten into the vehicle to plant the blood.

ATTORNEY BUTING: We have several theories. I don't know that -- Again, here we have to show all our cards, they don't have to show anything.

THE COURT: Well, here's the thing, whatever the standard is, and $I$ know the parties are arguing what it is, but certainly there is some burden that the defense has to meet.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I understand.
THE COURT: And if that's the one you are asking.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.

THE COURT: To give you a fair shake, I want to make sure I'm evaluating your request --

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: -- with whatever showing you want to make. If you tell me, we have no idea, I will accept that answer. I'm just saying, what do you want the Court to consider.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, the Court can consider what we have put in writing. And if you look at it carefully, you will see that there are some windows of opportunity in both in terms of time and in terms of physical opportunity.

But by the way, the whole question of whether the vehicle was truly locked at the scene when first discovered is not as clear as the State would have you believe. Because the volunteer who discovered it said she thought that they checked the doors and they were locked, at the prelim this is her testimony; however, she also said she thought it was only a two-door, not a four-door.

So that's inconsistent with her having checked all four doors, five doors, actually, if you include the hatch. Even if it was, who do you go to when you lock yourself out of the car?

Who do you call? The police.
We also have evidence they have seen the report that, from a locksmith, that this particular RAV 4 was very easily opened by these jimmies that police officers have. Poses no difficulties. And as is described in the written pleadings, the vehicle was covered actually with almost a ideal situation where it was obscured from the view of other officers by gigantic tarps creating a tent over it, hiding it from view of anybody, but obviously leaving access in and out at either end. So whether that's when it happened, that's another possibility.

It was also getting dark by, probably even before the Crime Lab arrived, because I believe sunset was at 4:30 and the Crime Lab didn't arrive until 4:00.

THE COURT: All right. Is there -- I will definitely reread your memorandum again; is there anything else you want to tell me this afternoon?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I don't think so. I think that really I think we have covered almost all the issues.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, if you are going to do that then I would ask the Court to
consider this one circumstantial or coincidental fact. If the blood was planted in the vehicle, then it must have been entirely fortuitous that Pam Sturm happened upon that property of her own volition and by the grace of God found the car, unless of course she was told to go there, unless she's a conspirator.

Because if you're going to plant blood and then have it discovered, then how does that happen? Just coincidence? Who had the jimmy, that's a question. And, finally, I just ask the Court to think back at Special Agent Fassbender's testimony at the motion hearing. He said they attempted to put a tarp on, attempted to do a tent like thing, but were not successful because the wind and the weather prevented that from happening. So, and again, I certainly would invite the Court to check Pam Sturm's testimony at the prelim and the motion hearing, as you evaluate the proffer. Thanks.

THE COURT: All right. I realize that there's another motion related to these and that is the -- what would come in with respect to wrongful conviction evidence. I have the written proposals of the parties, or the written arguments with
respect to this issue, and I think Mr. Kratz addressed it somewhat in his comments as well.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I did, Judge.
THE COURT: Is there anything else?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No. No, I rely on my brief comments today but, not only the standards, but the number of facts that the Court will have to decide. What, if anything, is properly admitted for impeachment purposes, is laid out in my memo. That's all.

THE COURT: Anything further from the State?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The defense.
THE COURT: The defense, I'm sorry.
ATTORNEY STRANG: We laid out a proffer which is essentially everything we would like to offer on the wrongful conviction on the lawsuit. The State comes back saying none of it should come in but, alternately, here is four things, if you are going to let anything in. And the State omits entirely the lawsuit from its proposal. Will allow some very limited evidence as to the prior wrongful conviction.

When you read the four things, someone coming fresh to this would be left with the
impression that the State was on Steven Avery's side in that effort to exonerate this poor wrongfully convicted man. Because, why, it was the State Crime Laboratory that tested the DNA for him and found that it was good, that it was not his. And it was the State of Wisconsin joined in securing the defendant's release in 2003.

My guess is the Court comes down somewhere in between the two poles that the parties have offered. It's -- It's the general impression that the State's limited presentation would leave is that this was somehow a joint effort to secure the release of a wrongfully convicted man for the 18 years that it took and decided that it was not as the docket sheet bears out.

And, again, what we offered was everything we would like to get in. I'm going to leave this one to the Court, the issues of bias, and impeachment, and credibility are pretty well framed here and I think the Court can strike a pretty good balance.

THE COURT: Anything else? If not, we are adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Do you think that we would know Monday on any or some of these?

THE COURT: Depends how far $I$ get this weekend. As soon as I have got something for you, I will let you know. I realize that this issue is important to both parties so I'm going to take as long as I feel I need to make a decision.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Does the Court anticipate a written ruling on these issues?

THE COURT: I don't know that either yet.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Very well.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm sorry, I did not hear that.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I didn't hear.
THE COURT: I said I don't know that either yet.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Oh, okay.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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borrow [1] 76/6
boss [1] 156/4
both [19] 26/21 28/18 36/9 46/15
57/2 57/6 57/8 57/19 69/3 69/13
$72 / 15$ 87/13 132/20 158/20 158/23 160/7 160/12 164/11 169/6
bother [1] 69/5
bottom [3] 63/10 100/15 121/7
box [1] 134/3
boy [3] 82/23 83/6 91/1
boys [3] 88/1 90/23 91/15
Brady [4] 21/21 23/12 25/4 106/20
BRANCH [2] 1/1 170/5
brass [1] 129/17
bread [1] 123/24
break [2] 58/21 97/21
breaks [1] 160/1
Brendan [7] 88/3 88/3 90/25 91/4
112/10 137/2 139/24
brief [10] $8 / 25$ 39/25 44/7 46/7
55/14 62/24 89/9 145/13 146/24
167/6
briefing [1] 47/21
briefly [4] 2/17 $113 / 13$ 153/8
153/11
briefs [2] 25/1 67/5
bright [1] 70/7
bring [1] 15/13
bringing [1] 54/2
broad [5] 10/13 10/14 16/9 119/22 119/23
broader [2] 49/21 93/20
broken [1] 69/8
Brooks [1] 86/8
brother [2] 68/20 91/7
brothers [2] 87/25 88/5
brought [4] 48/21 72/18 103/25 130/21
Brown [2] 130/15 159/21
brush [2] 133/8 136/11
Bryan [1] 88/2
bubble [1] 140/25
buccal [1] 109/14
building [1] 90/7
buildings [2] 25/14 40/16
bullet [11] 75/6 84/2 84/3 84/7
84/11 84/12 112/11 112/14112/17
112/19 139/2
burden [5] 35/12 64/11 70/23
157/3 163/21
bureau [4] 129/17 159/24 160/2 160/24
burglarized [1] 148/8
burglary [1] 148/12
Burish [1] 2/15
burn [3] 90/10 91/12 91/13
bus [1] 91/7
business [2] 42/5 55/21
but [182]
BUTING [19] 1/19 2/13 2/13 3/17
5/8 7/7 10/20 10/23 11/4 11/10
15/4 21/7 38/21 59/19 98/20
149/11 149/21 156/1 158/6
bypass [4] 107/18 107/19 107/21 108/3

## C

cadaver [2] 69/15 96/17
calculus [1] 144/10
calendar [1] 114/18
call [23] 5/16 10/9 17/14 17/14
112/24 124/19 124/19 130/15
132/6 134/16 158/21 159/12
159/18 159/22 159/23 160/4 160/8
call...[6] 160/14 160/15 160/23
161/21 162/5 165/1
called [11] 19/3 106/1 108/19
109/18 $111 / 1$ 112/10 124/7 127/1
127/20 131/21 146/2
calls [3] 2/1 20/11 $162 / 9$
Calumet [5] 2/7 30/13 32/8 61/14 156/9
came [4] 66/14 99/18 153/12 160/14
camera[13] 29/9 47/15 47/17
47/20 48/1 49/4 50/1 54/14 55/5
59/4 61/1 101/9 138/18
can [59] 3/22 6/13 6/16 11/2 11/17
15/25 20/1 20/15 25/18 26/12
27/14 27/17 27/21 28/9 28/13
30/9 33/9 35/16 43/5 46/25 50/18
50/22 50/23 53/2 54/15 61/7 62/2 63/14 64/23 66/7 66/24 67/21
70/12 72/7 76/1 91/25 92/20 95/5 100/10 101/4 101/22 104/8 105/2 108/14 111/2 113/14 121/13
138/25 140/17 143/6 147/17 149/8 149/22 150/13 157/8 158/12 162/23 164/8 168/22
can't [16] 32/5 32/7 45/3 63/11
68/12 70/18 70/20 81/16 83/5
110/9 116/18 $117 / 23$ 135/2 139/18 141/7 142/3
cannot [5] 20/20 49/19 105/18 152/3 152/4
capability [1] 25/17
car [17] 42/15 81/10 100/16
100/17 100/18 101/10 101/10 101/11 103/15 103/16 120/1 133/6 142/10 146/11 146/12 164/25 166/5
card [1] 76/4
cards [5] 34/11 109/25 110/7 157/20 163/17
care [3] 59/22 61/7 138/1
career [2] 113/1 143/1
careful [2] 84/14 157/18
carefully [2] 164/10 170/8
Carolina [5] 83/1 104/3 105/21
144/8 152/14
cart [4] 24/5 69/10 69/15 96/16
$\begin{array}{llllll} \\ \text { case [121] } & 1 / 5 & 2 / 2 & 4 / 13 & 9 / 6 & 10 / 4\end{array}$
10/5 12/18 $15 / 3$ 15/3 $15 / 8$ 15/10
15/11 15/15 15/1815/2317/3
17/22 18/1 19/8 20/9 20/19 21/9
22/24 24/3 29/1 8 29/21 30/5 30/7
34/8 35/23 36/11 36/22 40/21
43/12 43/20 50/23 52/13 53/2
$53 / 1053 / 2354 / 254 / 958 / 159 / 11$
62/16 63/15 66/17 72/3 73/25
74/25 75/3 75/15 77/1 78/2 78/7
80/6 80/19 88/23 89/16 92/16
94/19 99/2 99/5 99/7 99/8 100/5
100/6 100/21 100/22 101/2 101/6
101/15 102/11 102/16 103/3
103/23 104/9 104/11 104/12
104/13 104/15 105/14 105/18
105/22 105/25 $111 / 24112 / 7$ 112/9
113/20 114/4 114/19 116/1 116/4
119/14 120/25 124/9 124/20
124/23 128/25 129/2 131/10
132/11 134/12 134/18 137/1
138/16 140/2 140/11 140/17
140/24 141/9 144/18 146/19
150/19 152/19 153/24 155/13
$156 / 10157 / 24158 / 14158 / 19$ case-in-chief [7] 43/12 66/17 77/1 78/2 89/16 124/20 129/2
cases [5] 17/2 18/20 36/1 124/3 150/11
categories [1] 77/12
category [3] 42/10 77/12 77/13
caught [2] 85/15 111/13
cause [2] 39/1 120/11
causes [1] 63/18
CD [2] 39/4 57/1
CD's [2] 38/15 41/23
CDL [1] 142/7
cell [1] 138/17
cellular [1] 146/13
center [2] 90/9 90/10
central [1] 105/14
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { certain [8] } & 10 / 18 & 12 / 5 & 16 / 23 & 34 / 12\end{array}$ 50/21 51/5 51/6 79/4
certainly [22] $9 / 16$ 10/5 25/23
26/23 27/21 35/25 43/9 44/6
44/1 3 50/1 6 64/9 64/23 80/16
85/23 86/22 88/11 101/7 136/19
145/2 $151 / 18$ 163/20 166/17
certainty [1] 157/16
certify [1] 170/6
CF [2] 1/5 2/2
chain [1] 110/5
challenge [4] 9/25 15/20 71/4 97/14
challenged [3] 161/1 161/7 161/13
challenges [1] 103/23
challenging [1] 122/12
chambers [1] 2/18
chance [6] 38/13 40/2 40/4 57/20
142/19 143/7
change [4] 31/16 56/16 58/15
58/16
changed [1] 147/10
changes [2] 47/3 58/13
changing [1] 81/22
characterization [1] 75/12
charge [2] 68/6 139/7
charged [3] 131/16 131/24 157/2
charges [5] 30/25 87/13 122/10 122/19 148/16
Charles [5] 87/9 87/14 87/17 90/3 90/4
charts [1] 42/8
chasing [1] 147/15
check [1] 166/18
checked [3] 108/8 164/18 164/23
checking [1] 108/1
chief [7] 43/12 66/17 77/1 78/2
89/16 124/20 129/2
Chiefly [1] 137/25
child [2] 13/13 13/20
Chilton [1] 32/9
choice [1] 115/23
chose [1] 115/6
Christopher [1] 75/23
Chuck [1] 119/14
CIRCUIT [4] $1 / 1$ 1/10 50/24 170/5
circumstance [2] 51/10 52/8
circumstances [6] 51/8 52/9 76/20
80/13 134/17154/10
circumstantial [5] 94/4 100/6
100/9 154/14 166/1
cited [6] $3 / 1110 / 5 \quad 15 / 1115 / 15$
17/1 104/13
cites [2] $14 / 383 / 3$
City [1] 73/11
civil [12] 102/3 102/11 102/16
$111 / 24$ 124/3 124/6 126/3 130/17
151/4 156/10 158/19159/1
claim [8] 92/17 102/3 111/16
113/15 114/25 118/17 129/11 139/8
claims [4] 19/20 41/8 82/24 109/19
clarification [1] 6/5
clean [1] 60/3
clear [13] 13/5 21/12 21/16 72/7
77/16 83/17 86/25 101/13 102/14
141/9 148/25 158/10 164/15
cleared [1] 55/8
clearly [8] 9/14 12/16 42/10 69/22
75/2 76/24 136/13 156/20
clerk[12] 25/14 133/21 134/7
134/24 145/7 146/3 146/5 147/7
147/17 148/1 148/8 150/8
Clerk's [6] 109/14 116/11 135/7
137/8 146/16 157/6
client's [1] 87/12
clipping [1] 134/6
close [7] 9/17 16/18 48/12 48/25
51/951/1151/15
closed [5] 50/18 50/20 50/22
113/13 122/24
closing [4] 52/2 52/6 100/12
155/20
coconspirators [1] 143/9
code [1] 147/8
codes [4] 147/6 147/9 147/10
147/10
coincidence [3] 111/22 156/14 166/10
coincidental [1] 166/1
Colborn [33] 102/25 111/4 112/5
115/3 117/19118/12118/20 126/16 126/20 129/10 129/18 130/2 130/16 136/15 136/16 140/5 141/1 1 143/12 145/22 146/25 149/24 150/3 150/23 150/25 151/19 158/13 159/18 160/22 161/21 162/7 162/15 162/19 163/12
collateral [3] 99/12 115/12 149/6 color [1] 123/13
come [16] 4/6 9/16 10/1 16/17
44/1 60/1 64/8 67/3 97/21 102/20
102/21 127/12 134/13 148/15
166/23 167/18
comes [8] 53/25 90/7 113/5 113/9
114/13 128/17 167/18 168/9
coming [4] 27/13 42/15 161/6
167/25
comings [1] 107/10
commenced [1] 133/5
comment [4] 45/14 81/2 121/25
123/24
comments [7] 23/24 28/4 37/3
77/14 145/13 167/2 167/6
commission [1] 117/12
commit [7] 6/17 63/3 66/24 92/21
125/12 128/19 134/12
committed [21] 64/19 67/21 67/25
73/13 76/12 79/19 92/22 93/4
94/7 100/7 101/25 103/12 103/20
117/9117/11 139/18 139/20
139/21 140/13 140/15 140/16
committing [1] 17/13
common [2] 28/18 123/2
compared [1] 152/6
comparison [1] 9/12
compelling [3] 51/10 68/19 143/16
competency [2] 123/5 123/5
competing [1] 83/8
complaint [2] 11/24 76/23
complete [3] 31/23 31/25 154/13
completed [1] 55/15
completely [4] 22/22 30/10 100/20 116/18
completeness [1] 39/24
complexity [1] 34/8
compliance [6] 7/21 8/18 21/1
31/23 55/13 55/14
complicate [1] 33/14
complied [6] 6/4 11/9 11/15 12/16 26/25 27/7
comply[5] $11 / 2$ 22/16 32/14 77/4 132/20
complying [1] 10/3
component [6] 24/17 26/9 26/19
96/8 144/1 144/16
computer [6] 37/21 40/14 41/9
42/9 42/23 170/10
computer-assisted [1] 170/10
computerized [1] 170/9
concealed [1] 69/17
concede [3] 26/18 77/19 78/20
conceded [2] 88/10 105/17
conceding [2] 25/24 113/7
conceivably [3] 89/16 121/8
127/22
concept [1] 34/4
concern [5] 3/19 24/18 61/8 67/22 80/20
concerned [1] 120/22
concerning [3] 32/19 46/8 53/3
concerns [1] 60/18
conclude [2] 70/6 158/8
concluded [3] 74/6 74/11 169/18
concludes [1] 17/6
conclusion [4] 16/11 16/16 51/21 51/25
conclusions [4] 10/12 15/25 20/8
20/14
conclusory [1] 20/9
conduct [4] 8/2 31/8 130/10
155/25
conducted [2] 55/5 59/4
confer [1] 28/5
confessing [1] 82/7
confession [2] 82/1 $112 / 11$
confirmed [1] 86/6
conflict [4] $86 / 21112 / 2115 / 10$ 124/6
confusion [11] 13/5 80/19 88/19
97/7 113/10 114/13 144/13 146/21
147/14 148/17 160/7
conjecture [4] 86/15 135/22
145/10 147/25
connect [3] 79/16 87/17 152/4
connected [1] 87/19
connecting [3] 81/6 83/19 88/12
connection [41] $9 / 6$ 63/6 70/9 73/5
73/20 74/20 75/4 76/15 76/18
77/20 77/21 77/23 77/25 78/15
78/16 78/21 79/1 83/11 87/5
87/22 88/7 88/8 90/21 90/25 91/4 91/10 94/24 95/6 95/11 102/24 105/14 119/4 119/6 130/16 130/20 130/22 143/12 144/17 144/18 149/2 158/17
connections [1] 75/9
connects [1] 65/18

Conrad [1] 47/5
consent [1] 136/20
consequence [5] 80/5 81/1 96/4
96/22 96/22
consequential [5] 80/9 96/12
144/19 156/21 156/22
conservative [2] 22/1 22/1
consider [18] 44/14 47/17 64/3
84/10 88/17 91/16 97/3 101/23
103/10 117/24 126/16 126/22
131/14 144/8 152/24 164/7 164/9 166/1
considerably [1] 81/22
consideration [6] 47/10 55/2 59/6 80/3 80/7 96/6
considerations [2] 97/8 145/25
considered [2] 53/16 59/14
considering [2] 123/4 129/7
considers [1] 87/20
consistent [2] 19/2 70/10
conspiracy [1] 107/24
conspirator [1] 166/7
constantly [1] 137/23
constitutional [2] 100/24 153/25
construct [1] 94/23
construed [1] 18/8
contact [1] 55/22
contained [1] 152/23
containing [1] 75/7
contaminating [1] 48/14
contamination [1] 48/24
contemplated [2] 8/5 56/20
contend [1] 91/24
contends [1] 37/15
content [1] 128/15
contested [1] 8/10
context [7] 23/19 25/2 25/4 62/20
62/21 76/20 122/5
continue [3] 68/3 84/21 87/2
continues [1] 144/6
contours [1] 29/20
contradicted [1] 106/12
contradictions [4] 108/5 108/6
108/15 108/16
contrary [1] 140/23
control [8] 16/15 30/12 61/13
63/20 103/4 103/4 103/5 112/20
controlling [1] 77/7
conundrum [1] 67/17
convict [1] 125/12
convicted [6] 87/16 125/13 128/4 128/5 168/3 168/15
conviction [16] 98/12 $111 / 5$ 122/6
122/7 130/11 133/15 141/19 142/1
150/20 151/1 151/14 151/17
157/23 166/24 167/17 167/23
convictions [2] 36/1 87/13
convinced [2] 25/7 28/3
convoluted [1] 99/11
copies [2] 5/17 38/16
cops [4] 119/20 149/9 149/10
149/20
copy [1] 152/17
cork [1] 148/5
corner [2] 96/19 133/8
Corp [1] 133/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { correct [7] } & 5 / 7 & 35 / 5 & 35 / 15 & 49 / 9\end{array}$ 95/19 158/12 170/12
correctly [1] 27/10
could [55] 8/9 $15 / 14$ 16/4 17/25
22/4 26/10 30/15 41/10 49/17
$51 / 1151 / 1253 / 853 / 1559 / 13$
64/10 66/24 76/11 77/3 78/18
$78 / 20$ 82/4 88/10 89/2 92/22 93/4
103/15 104/13 107/20 109/4 109/7
110/17 112/8 113/22 113/23
115/20 117/24 118/7 119/3 120/25
123/12 135/5 135/9 135/14 136/25
137/1 137/2 138/8 139/1 142/14
147/18 148/15 151/17153/7
154/17157/15
couldn't [4] 41/24 69/11 154/18 159/3
counsel [33] 2/17 18/24 25/10
28/5 29/19 30/1 32/2 35/5 36/24 44/4 46/3 57/8 57/10 60/16 66/13 67/15 68/3 74/18 77/6 77/17 78/5 78/8 82/17 95/19 97/2 97/23 98/7 98/10 120/19 134/14 140/17 152/15 152/24
counsel's [5] 23/24 34/19 49/16 75/11 152/25
count [1] 131/17
country [2] 104/14 158/1
counts [1] 131/17
county [41] 1/1 $2 / 7$ 2/9 30/7 30/13
$30 / 1630 / 1730 / 2031 / 231 / 331 / 5$
$31 / 831 / 1231 / 13$ 31/18 32/8
$33 / 1352 / 1454 / 261 / 261 / 561 / 14$
109/15 117/10 118/14118/15
124/21 124/25 126/1 126/21
127/25 130/15 130/22 130/23
143/13 145/23 150/9 159/21
160/19 161/17 170/2
couple [7] 22/11 35/17 46/11
61/19 84/24 139/15 153/12
coupled [1] 119/10
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { course [12] } & 28 / 14 & 28 / 2031 / 7\end{array}$
31/23 44/24 49/18 121/14 123/16 125/10 127/4 129/18 166/5
court [177]
Court's [19] 5/12 5/25 45/9 46/21
46/23 47/9 50/8 76/1 128/7
133/21 134/24 144/25 145/7 146/4 146/5 147/7 147/18 148/1 150/8 courtesy [2] 26/22 43/13
courtroom [6] 51/9 51/16 55/8
59/5 59/23 98/8
courts [1] 121/25
cover [3] 132/16 136/11 $137 / 17$
coverage [4] 52/15 52/16 126/10 126/13
covered [5] 32/3 102/18 118/18 165/7 165/22
covering [1] 139/22
crack [1] 82/9
crash [1] 153/18
create [3] 53/20 61/10 128/20
created [2] 107/9 135/11
creating [1] 165/10
credibility [7] 23/2 103/23 105/15
111/12 121/12 125/25 168/21
credited [1] 105/10
cremains [1] 19/23
crime [61] 20/8 20/14 59/14 63/14
73/20 74/20 75/1 76/12 76/18
$77 / 2177 / 2478 / 178 / 1679 / 19$
$81 / 781 / 782 / 7$ 83/20 84/12 87/5
87/18 87/19 87/20 87/22 92/21
92/22 93/5 94/7 95/4 95/7 100/7
103/21 117/9 118/5 120/12 125/12
128/4 134/11 137/19 137/20
137/23 138/6 139/17 139/18
139/19 139/19 139/20 140/22
141/24 142/20 143/8 143/9 143/14
145/9 145/19 154/20 157/2 158/5
crime... [3] 165/15 165/16 168/4
crimes [3] 63/4 64/19 66/5
criminal [6] 24/3 36/1 128/25
149/14 149/19 151/9
crisp [1] 86/25
critical [2] 113/20 115/13
Crooks [1] 71/25
cross [8] 60/4 66/17 67/6 78/2
89/15 121/8 121/19 125/4
cross-examination [5] 66/17 67/6
89/15 121/8 121/19
cross-examine [1] 125/4
cross-examined [1] 78/2
Crosse [1] 50/24
crowd [1] 70/2
Cudahy [1] 75/19
cumulative [3] 43/9 89/18 89/19
curtilage [1] 40/16
custody [3] 4/21 39/11 160/17
customer [1] 77/12
cut [2] 39/5 77/5

## D

D.A [1] 155/5
D.A.'s [1] 31/6
damn [1] 139/17
damning [1] 154/14
dark [2] 22/23 165/14
darkness [1] 69/3
dash [1] 120/6
Dassey [9] 88/1 88/5 90/23 90/25
91/4 91/15 112/10 137/2 139/24
data [1] 12/14
date [6] 1/8 33/24 38/3 56/14
56/25 66/5
dated [2] 57/8 170/15
Dawn [4] 85/2 86/4 86/7 89/22
day[17] 26/7 28/9 32/11 39/15
43/16 44/23 55/23 81/12 107/3
115/9 132/2 133/23 138/6 160/10
160/12 161/25 170/15
daylight [2] 119/22 119/23
days [13] $7 / 2353 / 1154 / 2155 / 15$
55/22 81/11 109/9 114/19 114/21
131/24 139/15 152/16 153/12
DCI [1] 31/10
dead [3] 136/24 138/15 142/17
deadline [5] 3/3 7/9 36/17 44/17 44/23
deadlines [3] 6/1 6/2 6/3
deal [2] 48/3 146/1
dealing [6] 53/5 139/6 139/7 151/7 151/8 152/16
deals [4] 47/10 54/7 152/20 153/24
DEAN [2] 1/17 2/14
death [6] 90/25 132/15 132/16
140/1 140/6 140/9
debris [2] 133/8 136/11
debt [1] 75/19
decedent [1] 73/7
December [7] 7/9 36/18 39/5 44/17 44/24 44/25 $118 / 4$
December 15 [4] 39/5 44/17 44/24 44/25
December 15th [3] 7/9 36/18 $118 / 4$ decide [7] 35/16 68/10 111/2 111/11113/4156/15 167/8 decided [7] 3/24 99/5 104/14 122/24 122/25 152/16 168/16 decides [1] 151/23
deciding [1] 91/17
decision [17] 15/5 15/14 29/22
50/6 50/25 51/2 52/9 54/16 54/22 70/7 71/22 71/25 76/2 105/8 152/16 153/10 169/7
decisions [1] 122/17
Dedering [1] 161/23
deep [1] 30/3
defend [3] 28/24 100/20 101/4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { defendant [37] } & 1 / 7 & 1 / 18 & 1 / 20 \\ 1 / 21\end{array}$ 3/15 9/12 9/16 16/2 21/13 21/18 $38 / 444 / 844 / 1350 / 250 / 1063 / 7$
63/16 63/25 64/8 64/9 64/12 67/7 68/14 71/9 73/25 75/13 95/3 98/7 102/23 103/3 124/2 129/1 132/9 134/9 136/23 145/20 154/6
defendant's [16] 21/4 21/22 37/21
38/1 45/25 61/7 63/8 75/5 76/21
$87 / 24112 / 1133 / 10133 / 14154 / 7$
159/1 168/7
defendants [3] 122/12 141/16
142/2
defense [146] 4/12 4/17 4/19 5/15 5/21 6/3 6/12 6/15 6/24 6/25 7/6 8/19 12/24 15/11 17/2 17/4 17/7 17/11 17/12 18/10 18/19 18/21 18/24 19/17 19/20 20/1 20/12 20/13 20/18 20/21 20/22 21/17 23/5 23/18 27/6 27/18 27/24 28/16 31/9 31/21 32/4 33/22 $33 / 2335 / 2135 / 2236 / 936 / 24$ $37 / 738 / 11$ 39/9 41/4 42/2 42/3 $43 / 13$ 46/11 46/14 47/5 48/18 48/18 55/18 57/17 57/24 59/8 $59 / 1363 / 864 / 1766 / 366 / 1467 / 5$ 70/23 72/21 74/2 75/16 81/5 83/8 84/23 87/10 88/14 89/16 91/23 91/24 92/16 92/25 93/2 94/13 94/14 98/16 98/19 100/14 100/25 101/16 101/21 104/10 105/1 105/4 106/7 108/18 $110 / 8110 / 12111 / 14$ 114/17114/21 115/13116/1 117/14 117/22 119/9 119/11 121/1 123/21 123/25 124/11 128/24
129/1 129/5 129/6 129/8 129/11 131/8 131/11 132/12 133/12 134/21 135/2 139/7 140/21 144/6 144/15 152/4 152/11 152/12
152/17 152/20 152/21 153/1
153/13 153/19 154/1 154/5 158/3
158/4 158/11 163/11 163/21
167/13 167/14
defense's [2] 45/22 116/9
defenses [1] 34/12
defensible [1] 127/2
defer [3] 49/19 60/15 97/23
deficiencies [2] 19/7 19/11
definite [2] 10/17 16/22
definitely [1] 165/19
degradation [1] 146/13
delay [5] 53/14 80/20 114/13
147/14 148/17
delayed [1] 53/12
deliberately [1] 100/2
Delores [1] 69/18
demand [9] 8/17 10/22 14/17 21/1
24/2 24/2 26/16 55/13 146/21
demonstrate [2] 43/3 102/8
demonstrated [3] 51/20 76/16 76/17
demonstrates [1] 115/11
demonstrating [1] 144/17
demonstrative [6] 41/11 41/13

41/15 43/3 44/15 44/19
denial [1] 122/13
denied [4] 75/16 89/5 99/10 154/15
denies [1] 81/9
Denny [73] 59/7 59/10 59/12 61/25 62/15 62/19 62/19 62/21 62/23 63/25 64/7 64/8 64/22 65/14 66/7 67/12 68/2 69/21 70/6 70/13 71/6 71/7 71/8 71/10 71/20 71/21 71/22 72/1 72/5 72/13 $72 / 1472 / 2172 / 2473 / 1473 / 24$
75/12 75/12 76/2 76/7 76/20
76/23 77/5 77/10 79/12 79/14
83/3 83/3 87/4 88/13 89/6 89/12
89/13 90/16 91/21 91/22 92/13
92/13 92/19 94/10 94/12 94/18
95/12 95/17 98/10 101/15 104/5
119/5 132/10 132/20 140/2 141/5
143/10 143/18
Denny's [1] 71/12
department [44] 2/10 30/4 30/13
30/21 31/7 31/13 31/18 33/13
61/6 107/24 109/15 117/11118/15
118/16 121/1 124/21 125/1 125/6
125/8 125/10 125/17 126/2 126/24
127/14 127/18 128/11 129/18
129/23 130/14 130/23 134/23
135/16 135/19 135/25 141/10
143/14 145/24 151/7 152/7 156/4
158/17 158/18 159/24 161/18
department's [2] 31/8 112/3
depend [1] 36/22
depending [4] 6/18 37/2 88/9 142/11
Depends [2] 67/13 169/3
depicted [1] 41/8
deposed [4] 111/24 130/8 141/20 158/18
deposition [6] 126/7 151/5 159/20
159/25 160/6 161/1
depositions [6] 102/12 126/4
130/10 159/16 161/4 161/15
deputy [5] 59/23 60/8 60/10 107/1
150/7
derived [1] 51/22
described [4] 8/14 55/3 128/20
165/6
describing [2] 19/1 40/22
description [3] 6/13 12/17 18/12
desire [1] 62/1
Despite [1] 103/7
destroyed [1] 102/3
detail [2] 41/8 157/19
detailed [2] 43/2 43/23
details [2] 45/7 81/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { detective [12] } & 129 / 16 & 130 / 5 & 130 / 7\end{array}$
130/7 130/20 137/16 147/1 150/7
159/24 160/1 160/2 160/23
detectives [1] 81/25
determination [9] 24/15 29/10
60/20 60/23 79/24 79/25 88/22 114/7 145/1
determinative [2] 24/10 114/1
determine [7] 10/15 20/1 41/17
53/7 80/22 88/23 95/21
determined [8] 36/12 37/17 45/17
53/7 59/12 66/4 112/2 $118 / 1$
determines [1] 48/6
determining [7] 36/8 38/8 54/23
91/25 93/2 144/9 144/11
developments [1] 22/11
deviate [1] 113/2
deviation [1] 112/23
diagram [3] 42/10 42/12 42/13 diagrams[1] 42/8
Diane [3] 1/24 170/4 170/19 dictum [1] 72/24
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { did [64] } 6 / 2 & 7 / 9 & 10 / 20 & 11 / 13 \\ \text { 14/15 }\end{array}$ 21/20 23/20 27/23 27/25 59/10 59/12 62/9 63/12 64/12 64/16 64/2 1 65/1 65/23 66/24 67/8 67/9 68/11 82/4 84/5 90/17 92/14 92/19 94/5 97/16 99/25 100/2 100/4 105/21 106/18 $111 / 17119 / 1$ 121/24 134/11 136/17 137/12 137/25 138/12 139/22 140/3 140/11 140/12 140/14 140/24 141/3 142/13 142/17 146/5 147/22 148/4 148/4 148/5 148/23 153/11 154/6 154/19 160/17 160/22 167/3 169/12
didn't [29] 14/17 15/16 22/10 $46 / 1263 / 11$ 64/10 64/14 64/15 66/3 69/5 80/15 82/12 86/24 90/17 99/21 101/11 104/21 109/2 119/18 121/18 125/12 126/22 137/3 137/21 154/8 156/9 159/19 165/17 169/14
difference [4] 91/8 94/12 99/6 150/5
differences [1] 150/17
different [16] 16/14 40/12 42/17 62/16 63/24 69/1 71/5 73/17
73/24 77/11 86/11 143/4 154/9 154/10 154/12 154/21
difficult [4] 6/11 10/7 125/24 136/8
difficulties [1] 165/6
digest[3] $23 / 1825 / 526 / 11$
direct [24] 63/6 70/9 73/5 73/20
74/20 75/4 75/9 76/14 76/25
77/25 78/21 83/10 87/5 87/21
90/24 91/4 91/9 93/10 94/24 95/6
95/10 99/7 100/6 102/24
directed [1] 76/1
directly [13] 79/16 87/17 89/1
100/9 100/23 106/6 106/12 121/25
126/3 129/14 129/21 132/16 152/5
dirty[1] 125/22
disadvantages [1] 54/14
disagree [6] 9/25 23/25 34/20
41/24 42/25 115/15
disagrees [1] 89/13
disappearance [2] 116/20 116/21
disciplinary [1] 147/4
disclose [6] 3/15 22/6 31/21 32/5
39/1 58/14
disclosed [5] 13/18 32/4 32/19 33/4 48/8
disclosing [2] 29/12 44/23
disclosure [16] 8/20 11/24 13/10
$13 / 20 \quad 17 / 418 / 918 / 18$ 20/23 21/4 21/14 28/23 29/6 36/4 44/11
146/22 147/11
discovered [7] 39/8 124/24 162/14 163/3 164/15 164/17 166/9
discovery [29] 3/13 7/10 7/10 7/11 7/15 7/19 7/20 8/18 10/22 10/25 13/10 13/22 14/3 14/17 18/16 19/13 25/11 27/5 27/18 27/18 36/17 38/23 39/5 44/10 44/22 55/13 82/16 124/25 159/3 discrepancy [1] 78/6
discretion [5] 6/1 51/9 51/11 52/1 114/5
discs [1] 41/23
discuss [5] 10/13 11/3 48/19 89/21 115/16
discussed [7] 28/10 48/16 48/21
97/4 136/21 141/7 143/24
discusses [1] 29/23
discussion [8] 28/8 33/19 48/3
49/17 72/24 88/4 131/5 152/22
discussions [1] 85/5
dispute [7] 20/3 23/9 28/15 28/16
29/16 74/21 82/15
disputed [3] 53/5 106/4 106/5
dissimilar [1] 80/12
distinction [1] 140/10
distinguish [1] 99/3
distinguished [1] 131/13
district [6] 2/8 2/8 3/15 30/1
133/23 161/9
division [1] 129/19
divorce [3] 63/16 131/22 132/4
DNA [23] $10 / 23$ 12/10 $14 / 15$ 16/8 16/12 75/7 83/19 84/2 84/12
101/10 108/20 109/3 109/6 109/10
109/11 109/13 109/16 $112 / 17$
112/19 112/21 138/24 139/2 168/4
do [96] 3/6 4/7 4/16 7/25 11/4 12/5 14/5 19/17 20/21 20/21
23/25 26/16 27/11 29/4 33/24
41/6 42/25 46/13 48/23 49/25
52/7 55/21 56/5 57/23 60/8 62/13
63/11 64/11 64/12 65/15 65/16
66/17 66/1 8 66/23 67/14 67/22
68/11 69/3 71/3 72/10 72/11
74/25 76/22 81/18 82/12 82/25
89/15 90/17 92/19 93/19 94/13
95/5 95/10 95/18 97/3 97/23 99/1
101/2 102/9 102/12 103/3 105/3
107/1 107/15 110/8 111/21 126/14
126/21 127/12 128/19 129/6
129/14 129/21 133/13 138/12
139/20 142/1 142/6 142/10 147/13
147/19 148/4 150/11 151/15
155/23 155/24 156/11 158/7 161/4
164/6 164/24 165/1 165/25 166/14
169/1 170/6
docket [1] 168/16
doctor [2] 124/10 124/11
doctors [1] 123/11
document [2] 23/20 56/17
documentation [2] 103/5 107/22
documents [5] 4/16 103/6 106/19
111/23118/3
does [47] 5/25 7/4 9/7 9/16 17/18 29/25 30/2 34/5 39/9 47/19 55/12 60/12 64/21 64/22 66/5 66/14 70/1 8 73/21 74/4 79/13 79/23
80/25 81/21 86/12 89/12 89/13
89/19 91/7 91/23 91/24 94/5
96/21 98/2 100/8 101/20 101/21
105/12 112/5 127/5 131/12 143/10
150/24 151/9 154/2 163/11 166/9 169/8
doesn't [36] 11/24 12/2 12/24
19/13 19/14 20/10 24/7 31/16
$31 / 2432 / 5$ 32/6 32/13 34/10
$36 / 1136 / 15$ 37/8 46/24 64/7
67/12 71/1 72/14 83/9 86/13
88/20 95/18 107/23 112/5 128/14
140/15 140/22 150/22 150/23
156/18 157/22 160/3 160/4
dog [1] 69/15
dogs [1] 96/17
doing [8] 7/13 7/21 22/5 33/1 90/16 92/24 109/5 $118 / 2$
domain [1] 25/9
don't [94] 3/7 3/19 3/22 4/2 4/6 4/9 4/13 5/13 6/16 6/18 10/3 13/1415/12 15/13 16/20 22/17 23/9 25/20 27/13 32/12 32/22 $33 / 933 / 1434 / 1934 / 2535 / 16$ 43/1 5 44/11 45/19 45/20 46/20 47/3 49/15 49/22 49/25 50/9 50/19 58/6 58/1 8 60/6 60/6 60/17 61/12 68/24 69/9 69/21 70/15 $71 / 374 / 377 / 681 / 281 / 481 / 17$ 83/23 85/15 89/10 92/3 98/25 102/12 108/23 109/5 109/24 110/6 112/12 112/12 112/15 114/18 115/18117/14 117/25 118/18 119/1 119/5 120/4 124/13 129/13 129/25 139/1 $140 / 18$ 141/3 142/20 142/20 145/8 $150 / 11155 / 11$ 156/15 157/4 160/13 162/21 163/16 163/17 165/21 169/10 169/15
done [19] 3/22 11/17 14/7 14/23 42/21 43/10 52/7 64/10 70/19
70/21 77/4 108/25 114/3 115/21 123/7 148/14 153/22 154/18 160/22
door[10] 61/2 61/11 61/20 61/22 69/8 69/12 147/8 157/10 164/20 164/21
doors [3] 164/18 164/23 164/23
doubt [8] 35/13 68/16 72/16 78/8
91/18 100/13 103/11 103/20
doubts [1] 69/19
dovetails [2] 33/18 95/14
down [16] 35/6 80/21 88/20 113/5
113/9 114/13 128/13 141/7 146/20
147/5 147/14 147/15 153/12
157/23 160/1 168/9
Dr [1] 14/18
Dr. [6] $12 / 8$ 12/9 $12 / 1119 / 119 / 5$
20/6
Dr. Fairgrieve [3] $12 / 8$ 19/1 19/5
Dr. Friedman [2] 12/11 20/6
Dr. White [1] 12/9
drafted [2] 46/9 46/19
drawn [4] 39/15 132/19 133/14 133/16
draws [1] 36/5
drew [2] 109/21 133/17
dried [1] 77/5
drive [1] 121/16
driver [2] 153/16 154/10
driving [1] 153/23
drugs [1] 75/21
due [8] 21/9 21/20 22/5 31/16
31/20 31/22 122/14 122/17
duration [1] 19/22
during [2] 57/24 138/21
duties [1] 107/4
duty [12] 7/20 21/20 22/6 22/14 22/16 33/15 36/25 36/25 49/24
106/10 155/3 156/2
DVD [1] 39/4
DVD's [1] 38/15
dynamic [1] 93/24
dynamics [1] 13/12
E
each [4] 11/25 47/2 72/17 77/9
Earl [5] 68/25 69/15 78/4 87/14

| E | 124/16 125/15 125/17 127/16 | exclusion [3] 46/9 46/22 46/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earl... [1] 87/18 | entitlement [1] 43/15 | usively [1] 25/8 |
| earlier [11] 37/24 94/17 96/2 | epidemiologist [1] 12 | exculpatory [28] 21/5 |
| 104/18 109/24 111/25 116/17 | equally [2] 65/8 108/15 | 21/19 23/19 24/7 24/7 24/11 |
| 158/7 158/19 161/15 162/21 | eroded [1] 21/23 | 24/12 24/16 26/15 28/16 28/17 |
| early [5] 38/21 57/9 137/9 147/20 | erring [2] 28/22 29/6 | 9/1 29/1 30/22 34/6 34/15 35/4 |
| 155/14 | especially [1] 3/10 | 35/5 35/20 36/3 36/5 36/10 36/13 |
| easily [2] 65/11 | essence [1] 111/21 | 36/16 36/21 146/22 |
| easy [1] 21/8 | essential [1] 125/23 | excuse [5] 34/5 38/10 60/2 96/10 |
| eat [1] 123/24 | essentially [3] 29/23 92/19 167/16 | 141/5 |
| EDTA [1] 145/1 | establish [8] 94/22 94/23 95/13 | execution [1] 138/21 |
| effect [4] 80/19 97/6 97/19 144/1 | 124/1 125/4 125/5 125/6 127/13 | exemption [1] 5/25 |
| effects [1] 13/20 | establishes [1] 70/7 | exercise [3] 49/23 52/1 130/4 |
| effort [3] 65/9 168/2 168/14 | establishment [1] 96/2 | exhibit [5] 44/6 44/12 45/15 45/18 |
| efforts [2] 109/16 137/17 | estranged [5] 131/18 131/20 | 46/1 |
| Eichmann [1] 113/23 | 132/2 140/14 | exhibits [11] 39/2 40/3 40/13 |
| eight [2] 39/15 159/6 | etcetera [1] 144/1 | 41/24 42/6 43/4 43/15 44/19 |
| either[16] 56/20 66/16 69/6 69/ | ethical [1] 22/15 | $45 / 1045 / 1658 / 13$ |
| 79/12 $118 / 12118 / 19122 / 10$ | evaluate [6] 25/2 45/2 | existed [1] 145/21 |
| 132/15 134/15 152/5 158/13 | 144/9 144/10 166/20 | existence [5] 36/8 37/9 53/14 |
| $163 / 12165 / 12169 / 10169 / 15$ | evaluating [3] 88/17 | 144/23 146/9 |
| elects [1] 129/1 | even [44] $13 / 1514 / 2315 / 2 \quad 15 / 13$ | existing [1] 110 |
| element [2] 68/6 68/1 | 15/13 15/16 15/17 24/20 28/10 | exists [1] 75/2 |
| elements [1] 68/12 | 39/18 42/8 57/25 62/23 80/15 | exonerate [1] 168/2 |
| Eleven [1] 133/13 | 96/23 97/9 97/16 101/6 104/5 | exonerated [1] 134/1 |
| elicit[5] 80/1 93/3 93/10 93 | 106/24 110/11 110/20 110/23 | exoneration [2] 109/16 159/1 |
| 93/14 | 112/16 113/2 115/9 116/22 118/6 | expansive [1] 16/9 |
| else [29] 29/14 56/23 58/20 | 118/7118/11119/2 119/18120/8 | expect [8] 7/13 22/16 32/24 33/2 |
| 67/25 79/19 89/6 103/1 103/18 | 125/19 130/14 135/1 136/17 | 58/11 103/2 121/21 157/15 |
| 103/24 106/24 107/20 110/4 111/4 | 141/16 141/18 152/4 155/14 | expected [2] 18/10 20/24 |
| 112/8 115/8 129/9 133/1 134/18 | 156/18 164/24 165/15 | expects [1] 157/4 |
| 140/3 140/24 141/23 142/14 | evening [3] 23/21 26/11 136/18 | expended [1] 65/10 |
| 151/20 157/16 160/18 165/20 | event [2] 119/7 131/24 | experience [2] 28/20 78/13 |
| 167/4 168/24 | events [2] 3/5 118/9 | experienced [1] 81/25 |
| else's [1] 64/1 | eventually [1] 133/20 | experiment [1] 9/11 |
|  |  |  |
| embarrassed [2] 150/6 15 | 124/5 130/11 $136 / 1$ 146/4 150/18 | 5/21 5/23 6/21 8/20 9/7 9/18 11/2 |
| embarrassment [1] 151/6 | 157/15 | /11 13/10 13/12 13/19 15/23 |
| emergency [1] 135/20 | every [8] 11/25 24/3 50/15 107/3 | 16/17 17/4 17/12 17/18 18/10 |
| employed [3] 30/1 123/9 | 115/15 124/22 127/14 146/16 | 20/1 20/13 20/1 8 20/23 40/20 |
| employee [1] 125/ | everybody [4] 71/14 110/3 115/7 | 123/21 124/4 |
| employees [5] 61/6 118/14 12 | 151/20 | expert's [6] 9/8 9/15 11/20 13/23 |
| 126/2 150/9 | everyone [2] 97/10 | 17/10 17/20 |
| employment [1] 125/4 | everything [11] 4/21 6/25 23/7 | experts [12] 9/6 9/20 9/23 10/1 |
| enable [1] 14/4 | 78/11 78/14 98/25 103/24 129/9 | 10/9 12/23 14/8 15/19 16/24 |
| enables [1] 52/22 | 157/16 167/16 168/19 | 17/14 18/12 19/20 |
| encounter [1] | evidence [178] | explain [6] 12/12 38/25 40/1 |
| end [13] 26/7 28/9 | evidentiary [3] 47/10 55/2 56/16 | 99/25 100/4 108/14 |
| 49/1881/9 81/12 82/13 111/8 | ex[3] 50/24 99/15 104/20 | explainable [1] 74/25 |
| 125/23 135/4 154/3 165/12 | ex-wife [2] 99/15 104/20 | explained [2] 17/7 59/15 |
| ended [1] 36/17 | exact [1] 78/10 | explanation [8] 16/23 17/23 |
| endless [1] 114/25 | exactly [11] 6/18 10/15 13/15 | 38/4 100/8 103/18 $112 / 14$ 154/13 |
| enforcement [13] 30/3 30/9 31/12 | 16/10 16/16 20/20 74/18 82/5 | explanations [1] 112/16 |
| 81/3 81/21 93/14 93/17 130/15 | 110/7118/1 131/14 | explore [7] 50/13 72/15 93/16 |
| 138/10 148/11 159/19 160/16 | examination [8] 9/11 66/17 67/6 | 124/16 127/16 128/24 128/25 |
| 163/7 | $76 / 25$ 89/15 93/10 121/8 121/19 | explored [2] 82/2 125/22 |
| enforcement's [1] 140/1 | examine [3] 44/9 125/4 134/16 | explores [1] 124/15 |
| engaged [1] 31/10 | examined [3] 17/22 78/2 133/23 | exploring [2] 65/10 127/10 |
| enough [11] 73/1 73/6 73/16 83/20 | example [17] 18/23 19/5 19/21 | exposed [1] 54/4 |
| 117/20 120/15 143/16 145/2 148/9 | 33/21 34/8 68/25 89/21 89/22 | expressed [1] 12/7 |
| 149/5 152/21 | 93/23 93/23 110/25 123/6 124/7 | extending [1] $4 / 3$ |
| enshrine [1] 12 | 124/8 138/16 146/19 150/16 | extension [1] 8/4 |
| enter [2] 33/9 46/25 | examples [2] 20/22 41/23 | extensive [1] 25/1 |
| entered [3] 30/16 38/22 | except [3] 26/16 107/11 155/22 | extent [13] 5/4 24/6 35/18 35/19 |
| entire [4] 39/16 107/24 120/25 | excepting [1] 88/3 | $36 / 445 / 154 / 1661 / 473 / 2579 / 5$ |
| 154/5 | exchanged [1] 75/20 | $91 / 21 \quad 123 / 17151 / 4$ |
| entirely [7] 21/11 28/3 102/4 | excludable [1] 44/20 | extremely [1] 50/17 |
| 128/24 143/4 166/3 167/21 | exclude [4] 37/21 45/3 50/2 116/5 | extrinsic [1] 121/8 |
| entirety [1] 52/18 | excluded [9] 39/19 50/5 50/10 | eye [1] 42/16 |
| entitled [20] 19/10 19/24 20/12 | $65 / 1171 / 1078 / 387 / 7114 / 8$ | $\text { eyes [1] } 156 / 8$ |
| 26/1 31/20 35/7 37/8 42/3 42/6 | 148/20 |  |
| 42/7 44/9 44/13 70/12 101/23 | excludes [1] 3/14 |  |

facet [4] 96/24 97/15 143/25 144/16
facets [3] 80/2 96/3 143/25
facing [1] 91/2
facsimile [1] 57/7
fact [63] 7/23 12/23 15/9 32/24
34/19 34/22 38/21 41/16 43/23
45/20 48/12 51/18 53/17 54/15 65/21 68/25 69/14 75/19 78/8 80/4 80/9 80/23 80/24 83/3 84/4 84/11 88/24 94/25 96/4 96/12 96/14 96/15 96/17 96/22 99/20 102/23 104/23 105/20 106/4 108/19 108/19 111/20 113/19 119/18 125/23 126/16 131/8 131/19 131/21 132/24 137/15 139/3 140/15 141/22 144/19 150/18 152/3 154/6 156/7 156/21 156/22 158/16 166/2
factors [4] 51/15 51/16 70/8 70/14 facts [35] 28/19 48/4 48/4 48/7 48/20 49/10 51/21 60/1 62/16 63/7 63/23 71/10 72/6 72/11 73/10 75/25 76/25 89/14 94/1 104/16 106/2 106/3 106/5 109/18 110/9 110/11 111/1 132/8 134/16 140/18 $151 / 15$ 151/16 155/1 155/2 167/7
factual [6] 49/17 105/19 108/5 108/6 108/14 108/16
factually [2] 63/9 64/25
fail [2] 80/16 80/16
failed [2] 112/20 141/21
fails [7] 80/13 97/12 134/21
134/22 144/15 147/23 147/24
failure [3] 36/2 39/1 161/1
fair [8] 35/11 41/19 51/12 61/25
72/10 72/15 123/25 164/1
Fairgrieve [3] $12 / 8$ 19/1 $19 / 5$
fairness [6] 32/11 39/24 45/7 53/9 53/1654/11
faithfully [1] 32/2
fall [4] $36 / 15$ 95/21 $105 / 22126 / 19$
FALLON [20] 1/13 2/9 23/15 33/16 65/25 72/9 74/5 84/19 84/20 87/1
94/10 108/7 116/13 131/2 148/25
152/1 153/11 154/16 155/19
157/21
Fallon's [1] 107/9
falls [6] 18/22 19/9 20/24 135/21
135/22 144/2
false [2] 131/17 155/12
falsely [1] 23/4
familiar [1] 152/13
familiarity [1] 129/22
family [5] 39/18 69/9 77/13 87/8 133/1
far [13] $4 / 11$ 6/20 $15 / 5$ 48/17
50/23 54/3 63/7 72/6 96/19
104/13 130/24 133/21 169/3
far-flung [1] 54/3
farthest [1] 133/9
fashion [1] 29/1
Fassbender [1] 27/23
Fassbender's [2] 137/16 166/12
father [3] 153/14 153/21 153/23
fault [1] 45/2
favor [1] 123/13
faxed [2] 11/12 57/9
FBI [4] 27/11 32/10 39/2 41/2
feasibility [1] 55/18
feature [1] 71/16
February [1] 46/4
February 2nd [1] 46/4
federal [1] 130/9
feel [3] 115/18 116/7 169/7
feeling [1] 67/11
feels [2] 37/8 129/8
felony [2] 142/24 148/10
felt [2] 118/20 125/9
few [5] 4/2 7/23 54/21 99/1 148/16
field [1] 137/24
fight [1] 30/10
fighting [1] 29/12
file [7] $4 / 322 / 1023 / 1356 / 15$
133/23 134/14 158/22
filed [12] $3 / 24 / 178 / 25 \quad 10 / 22$
10/24 10/25 21/5 25/1 59/8 59/17 106/15 135/10
files [1] $25 / 19$
filing [2] $32 / 1756 / 18$
fill [1] 158/12
final [2] 33/17 46/4
finally [4] $54 / 1380 / 15 \quad 137 / 18$ 166/1 1
find [13] $15 / 1418 / 19$ 20/22 52/7
68/7 82/18 109/11 121/18 121/22
121/24 143/15 155/9 162/8
finder [1] 106/4
finders [1] 125/24
finding [5] 11/25 13/23 16/10 16/16 84/15
findings [15] 9/9 9/15 9/18 9/22
10/11 $10 / 1911 / 2112 / 614 / 12$
15/24 17/20 18/11 20/4 51/17
105/19
finds [9] 18/14 19/8 20/13 55/1
68/8 72/12 72/14 89/13 112/7
fine [11] 28/6 46/5 56/3 58/17
58/19 60/19 61/11 61/16 61/17
79/20 155/22
finger [5] 67/24 87/24 88/14 94/21
103/25
fingernail [1] 134/6
finish [1] 90/24
finished [1] 98/9
fire [4] 85/24 85/25 86/22 86/24
first [35] $2 / 218 / 1412 / 1917 / 7$
18/25 23/23 28/14 35/14 40/10
47/22 48/2 49/21 50/7 52/12
$55 / 17$ 59/10 59/22 66/13 74/16
80/2 81/20 81/24 87/9 96/3 98/13
98/19 99/2 101/12 115/4 122/15
124/24 141/20 155/1 160/9 164/15
fit [6] 62/23 64/4 72/6 108/17
108/18117/18
fitted [1] 61/12
FitzGerald [1] 133/24
five [9] 62/8 90/6 97/25 112/9
114/21 115/9 131/17154/21
164/23
flashlights [1] 119/25
flawed [1] 112/19
flung [1] 54/3
flurry [1] 47/21
focus [6] 62/2 65/3 65/5 83/15 93/18 93/20
focused [2] 67/7 126/4
foliage [1] 45/21
follow [7] 28/21 101/20 101/21
105/12 129/4 131/4 162/2
followed [1] 159/10
following [1] 82/8
follows [4] 17/6 17/18 18/5 51/2
folly [1] 147/12
footnote [2] 71/18 71/20
forced [3] 115/2 123/4 153/17
forcing [1] 123/14
fording [1] 4/12
foregoing [2] 170/7 170/7
foremost [2] 40/10 48/2
forensic [1] 105/24
forever [2] 115/17 128/15
forget [1] 72/1
forgot [2] 152/10 158/25
form [4] 18/11 46/15 46/21 147/11
formal [1] 31/11
former [2] 127/25 133/23
forth [5] 33/22 66/15 98/24 137/21
153/23
forthcoming [1] 7/11
fortuitous [1] 166/3
fortuitously [1] 161/21
Forty [1] 97/25
Forty-five [1] 97/25
forward [2] 134/9 159/6
found [25] 17/22 18/1 40/25 41/5 43/7 75/6 75/7 75/8 76/8 84/1 84/3 84/7 91/11 91/13 96/16 99/23 103/16 109/8 $112 / 11119 / 16$ 133/6 138/10 145/6 166/5 168/5
four [13] 62/7 84/3 84/7 88/2
91/15 108/10 109/9 114/20 142/10 164/21 164/23 167/19 167/24
four-door [1] 164/21
fours [1] 91/21
Fourteenth [1] 21/10
Fox [1] 133/16
Fox's [1] 155/6
fragment [5] 75/6 84/2 138/23 138/23 139/2
frame [26] 8/13 62/21 71/20 88/10
99/11 99/16 99/17 99/25 100/3
102/2 102/8 $118 / 22119 / 9132 / 10$ 132/12 132/13 135/2 136/23 139/18 140/12 141/4 142/3 143/3 143/4 143/17 144/25
frame-up [13] 62/21 71/20 99/11
99/17 99/25 100/3 132/10 132/12
140/12 141/4 143/3 143/4 143/17
framed [4] 8/7 23/19 104/19 168/22
framework [2] 95/24 96/1
framing [2] 131/19 131/20
frankly [7] 4/4 23/17 78/11 95/25
101/1 118/8 159/13
free [6] 44/7 65/15 108/13 108/16
116/7 135/9
freely [2] 28/10 $134 / 14$
frequencies [1] 16/8
fresh [1] 167/25
Friday [1] 147/19
Friedman [3] 12/11 14/18 20/6
friend [1] 86/3
friends [3] 33/4 77/12 86/7
front [4] 5/13 18/25 47/3 127/8
full [2] 18/25 86/15
fully [2] 21/13 155/23
further [11] 19/21 25/6 28/8 31/1 40/2 66/4 67/8 80/1 81/2 141/14 167/11
furthering [1] 123/10
future [4] 6/18 37/2 37/18 37/18 fuzzy[1] 81/8
G
GAHN [10] 1/15 2/8 2/23 2/25 4/4

GAHN...[5] 4/8 8/23 14/15 15/1 55/9
gain [1] 136/10
gained [2] 134/24 135/7
gall [1] 140/7
game [1] 76/5
garage [6] 69/18 75/7 84/3 84/6
84/6 84/8
Gary [2] 61/20 75/18
gathering[1] 8/8
gauged [1] 39/4
gave [2] 16/3 132/2
gee [1] 68/18
general [10] 10/12 24/2 32/20
50/16 52/21 65/18 83/2 83/4
141/10 168/11
generated [6] 37/21 40/14 41/9
41/15 42/9 42/23
generates [1] 134/12
generation [1] 40/12
genotype [1] 12/14
German [1] 85/2
gestured [1] 154/11
get [36] 7/15 $11 / 1$ 12/19 16/4 21/8 25/17 26/3 32/24 38/3 38/6 38/20 64/21 65/2 67/12 68/1 71/2
100/12 108/4 110/8 128/14 129/5 129/24 135/14 136/17 137/12
140/7 142/5 145/5 147/7 147/8
147/18 147/22 161/18 162/2
168/19 169/3
gets [10] $21 / 8$ 69/17 76/5 88/1
112/22 124/12 154/2 156/1 161/21 162/5
getting [8] 47/15 63/20 65/19
82/25 91/6 $119 / 13$ 146/20 165/14
gigantic [1] 165/9
Gilpatrick [1] 70/1
girl [4] 86/12 104/19 104/20
131/23
girlfriend [2] 80/18 80/25
girls [1] 84/24
gist [1] 160/15
give [8] 55/19 58/1 62/2 66/2 69/1 84/11 114/5 164/1
given [15] 8/13 18/6 18/16 20/22
22/11 24/25 48/9 53/17 57/20
58/5 80/10 111/19 144/24 151/14 159/9
gives [1] 114/2
giving [3] 58/10 62/4 151/5
glad [1] 150/15
gleaned [1] 32/1
go [21] 35/6 42/11 63/7 67/18 68/5 74/14 89/11 99/25 100/4 101/11 106/6 111/9 120/9 128/14 141/7 147/13 150/20 156/6 157/22 164/25 166/6
God [1] 166/5
goes [13] 5/18 23/1 35/21 42/23
67/16 77/9 86/16 100/23 107/8 111/16 114/22 143/1 157/13
going [93] 4/6 6/19 6/19 7/17 7/24 8/6 8/12 8/1 5 8/25 10/9 10/10 10/16 10/24 11/1 13/17 15/24 18/23 19/12 19/15 19/19 34/13 34/15 35/17 37/6 37/23 38/9 43/9 $45 / 25$ 46/3 47/1 48/7 53/18 54/1
54/18 55/22 58/7 62/19 62/20
64/17 65/25 67/22 68/1 77/13
80/2 $182 / 2$ 82/9 82/1 $183 / 783 / 18$
$85 / 2486 / 2186 / 2387 / 2388 / 18$
88/19 88/20 88/25 94/20 95/9
100/12 100/13 101/2 102/23
110/11 113/3114/17115/17
116/15 116/19 117/16 117/21
120/16 121/3 125/2 139/16 142/5
143/1 146/20 146/24 147/3 147/5
147/13 147/15 150/19 151/12
153/21 155/21 155/24 165/25
166/8 167/20 168/19 169/6
goings [1] 107/10
golf [3] 69/10 69/15 96/16
gone [2] 69/11 163/8
good [15] 22/12 39/1 49/13 59/25
60/7 62/23 65/4 65/10 65/12 73/9
89/22 139/11 142/22 168/5 168/23
Goodwin [1] 122/25
gosh [1] 69/3
Gospels [1] 123/24
got [41] 3/18 11/12 22/25 39/8
65/4 66/6 68/7 69/18 69/19 70/13
70/17 73/19 75/15 84/12 89/3
99/15 110/1 $119 / 25$ 137/10 137/11
137/13 138/9 138/11 138/11
138/13 140/6 142/6 142/7 147/9
147/9 147/17 147/20 148/1 148/12
148/13 148/13 152/8 155/19 160/3
160/22 169/4
gotten [6] 23/7 66/20 91/12
141/13 145/8 163/13
governed [1] 79/4
grab [1] 154/6
grabbed [2] 153/17 154/11
grabbing [1] 157/11
grace [1] 166/5
grant [3] 8/6 8/15 21/1
gray [1] 90/8
great [7] 48/3 49/11 60/21 72/6
96/20 146/1 157/19
greater [2] 39/25 87/11
greatest [1] $82 / 18$
gritty [1] 62/25
grounds [1] 25/14
groundskeeper [1] 146/3
group [3] 64/20 73/21 84/25
guard [3] 107/25 108/3 137/14
guess [12] 6/19 23/23 25/5 33/18 $41 / 11$ 66/12 70/16 72/20 82/3 82/15 125/17 168/9
guilt [3] 71/4 91/18 105/13
guilty [6] 63/9 70/25 105/11
114/23 122/7 158/4
gunman [1] 70/4
guy [1] 60/7
guys [1] $77 / 3$
H
H-a-u-s-c-h-u-l-t-z [1] 85/3
had [79] 4/20 14/19 25/1 28/1
31/9 32/10 34/17 38/13 38/16
$38 / 1639 / 1140 / 240 / 440 / 1341 / 1$
51/13 55/13 56/19 59/6 63/2 63/5
64/2 64/4 68/20 68/22 73/7 73/9
73/13 75/20 78/8 78/19 86/5
90/19 92/18 92/23 99/9 99/19
102/6 109/9 117/24 117/25 118/20
119/16 120/15 120/23 124/24
125/10 125/11 126/2 126/11
130/13 131/23 132/5 132/22
132/23 $134 / 18$ 136/24 $138 / 15$
139/11 141/17 141/18 142/1 147/6
147/21 148/23 149/20 149/21
153/20 153/22 154/11 154/20

156/4 161/10 161/10 161/11
161/24 161/25 166/10 170/13
hadn't [1] 73/8
hailed [1] 90/6
hair [1] 134/7
Halbach [18] 68/9 68/17 80/13
91/1 91/6 92/8 101/19 102/7
118/22 132/17 132/21 139/14
139/15 140/6 142/17 156/23 158/5 161/18
Halbach's [7] 84/2 91/10 116/20
116/21 136/4 139/2 139/9
half [3] 140/25 163/3 163/4
hand [5] 7/8 34/14 34/14 100/5
144/19
handle [2] 2/23 65/25
handled [1] 136/1
handling [2] 40/7 59/19
hands [2] 69/4 100/19
Hanes [1] 86/7
Hangs [1] 162/3
happen [1] 166/10
happened [5] 85/12 $135 / 18$ 139/1
165/13 166/4
happening [1] 166/17
happens [1] 78/12
happily [2] 30/5 97/3
happy [1] 43/20
hard [2] 21/8 68/24
hardly [1] 78/6
has [96] 7/3 9/16 $11 / 8$ 15/4 15/11
15/18 18/21 18/24 21/23 24/25
25/12 26/24 27/7 29/15 31/8
$31 / 2032 / 732 / 1733 / 833 / 25$
$35 / 1136 / 1636 / 2337 / 1537 / 24$
38/16 39/10 40/2 40/13 41/17
41/22 43/24 47/9 47/14 49/13
49/20 51/3 52/13 52/15 53/12
58/5 60/18 60/19 61/11 61/12
63/10 68/7 68/7 68/16 74/6 74/10
74/23 77/23 78/8 80/2 80/8 82/16
84/12 85/1 90/5 91/5 91/12 91/17
92/6 94/7 96/2 96/1 1 101/22
103/9 104/12 105/13 106/2 110/1
113/16 114/10 $115 / 16116 / 1116 / 6$
122/3 123/3 124/5 127/6 128/22
130/22 134/16 135/20 141/2
142/20 143/23 143/25 147/1 $150 / 1$
156/20 160/11 163/21 170/8
hasn't [3] 37/1 38/13 40/4
hat [1] 126/20
hatch [1] 164/24
hatchet [1] 80/25
Hauschultz [3] 85/2 86/4 89/22
have [321]
haven't [9] 3/24 8/10 45/3 45/13
66/20 68/18 $114 / 3118 / 1$ 153/8
having [10] 24/14 26/10 37/3 62/9
65/5 70/24 81/9 81/10 156/5 164/22
Hazlewood [1] 134/2
he [133] 5/11 11/2 14/16 14/22 16/3 20/6 23/25 24/1 25/12 32/5 32/5 32/6 32/6 32/7 34/20 47/5
50/3 57/13 60/1 60/3 60/4 64/2 64/2 68/11 68/1768/18 71/10 73/8 74/6 77/18 78/16 78/19 81/8 81/12 81/15 81/21 82/21 82/24 85/12 87/10 90/2 90/9 92/14 92/15 94/6 99/10 99/12 99/20 100/1 100/17 100/17 101/5 101/7 101/8 101/8 101/23 103/14 103/16 103/17 103/20 104/19 104/19
111/23 112/8 125/18 129/17
130/18 155/24 157/2 157/11
157/12
head [2] 129/16 163/7
headed [1] 128/11
heading [1] 36/15
headnotes [1] 76/9
hear [13] 47/14 47/16 55/17 97/21
98/18 100/10 103/10 $113 / 4$ 125/2
154/8 158/8 169/12 169/14
heard [7] 48/5 48/8 60/13 68/18
86/6 128/2 132/25
hearing [20] $1 / 42 / 432 / 949 / 12$
51/25 52/3 52/4 53/25 54/5 54/7
54/7 57/4 62/1 98/9 108/24
113/14 120/20 137/15 166/13
166/19
hearings [3] 53/4 54/14 55/9
heart [2] 100/23 106/7
heart's [1] 128/15
held [4] 7/3 33/19 128/12 131/15
helped [2] 122/1 139/24
helpful [4] $17 / 23$ 18/1 $28 / 13$ 74/9
hence [1] 30/18
her [38] 9/9 11/22 12/4 16/6 17/21
68/11 68/14 68/19 68/21 68/22
82/1 86/3 88/24 91/6 99/21 99/22
100/2 100/16 100/17 100/18
104/20 104/23 $112 / 17113 / 1$ 120/2
133/1 133/6 139/3 140/1 140/9
142/18 142/21 142/22 154/18
154/20 164/19 164/22 166/4
here [95] $2 / 3$ 5/12 6/6 16/4 20/16
21/10 24/17 25/25 26/6 29/14
29/19 30/25 31/7 33/11 34/2 35/3
38/20 38/25 39/22 40/4 45/5
52/11 55/20 60/2 61/1 61/15
62/14 62/25 63/7 63/23 64/9
66/12 69/19 70/24 71/20 72/6
$74 / 376 / 19$ 76/24 77/7 77/24 79/2
$79 / 580 / 180 / 2081 / 182 / 2583 / 13$
83/24 89/11 89/22 90/16 91/5
91/8 94/3 94/25 96/5 96/22 97/4
97/18 99/4 100/7 101/14 104/18
105/23 107/5 110/10 $114 / 18$
115/16 116/7 122/19 124/18
127/23 137/2 137/10 137/11
137/12 140/6 140/8 142/8 142/9
144/25 145/8 146/1 149/11 149/19
154/13 155/20 156/1 156/21 157/6
160/16 163/16 167/19 168/22
here's [6] 96/1 153/1 157/7 157/12
157/13 163/18
hereby [1] 170/6
herself [1] 100/2
hesitation [1] 118/25
hey [1] 73/6
hiding [1] 165/10
hierarchy [1] 128/13
high [2] 28/22 42/22
high-tech [1] $42 / 22$
higher [1] 122/18
highlight [1] $9 / 1$
highly [4] $41 / 453 / 8$ 54/10 127/6
him [20] 11/4 51/15 60/2 65/5
73/8 73/9 81/4 81/17 87/6 99/16
100/16 102/2 109/13 131/19
131/21 146/6 153/17 162/3 162/20
168/5
himself [6] 50/2 101/4 106/10 128/1 129/2 155/2
his [98] 2/14 9/9 11/1 11/4 11/22 12/3 13/24 14/16 16/5 17/20 24/4 24/4 25/13 38/22 44/14 59/24 59/25 60/5 68/20 69/8 69/12 71/4 73/9 74/6 75/8 80/18 80/25 81/10 81/20 81/22 81/23 81/24 82/20 82/22 84/1 84/3 86/7 87/11 91/2 91/7 99/14 99/22 99/25 100/3 100/16 100/17 102/3 103/15
103/16 104/20 106/7 106/15
106/18 108/9 108/10 108/18 109/6
109/9 109/10 109/23 112/1 112/3
116/16 123/24 123/25 125/16 125/16 125/16 125/18 125/20
126/16 126/18 126/20 127/17
127/19 127/20 130/5 130/10
131/18 131/18 131/20 131/21
132/1 132/2 134/12 134/14 137/17
140/14 141/19 141/25 154/5 156/8
158/4 159/10 161/1 162/8 167/2
168/6
hit [1] 69/15
hold [3] 20/16 51/24 150/18
holding [7] 7/16 54/14 70/10
71/19 72/23 73/24 144/7
hole [4] 109/22 110/16 110/18
110/19
Holmes [14] 83/1 83/2 83/9 83/14
83/21 97/2 104/3 104/3 104/4
104/5 105/2 105/3 $144 / 7$ 152/14
Holmes' [1] 83/17
home [3] 111/9 112/1 155/6
homicidal [1] 63/19
homicide [9] 68/6 73/12 117/13
120/12 153/15 153/20 155/9
155/13 156/3
Hon [1] 1/9
honesty [1] 23/2
Honor [16] 2/7 3/1 4/9 5/7 6/17
8/22 8/24 15/2 40/11 56/4 61/24
66/9 121/4 121/6 152/10 165/24
hop [1] 68/12
hope [1] 142/12
hopefully [1] $38 / 5$
horse [1] 24/5
hospitals [1] 123/8
Hotel [1] 70/1
hour [5] 78/17 78/17 98/1 115/2 115/2
hours [7] 107/2 107/5 108/9
108/10 137/9 163/3 163/4
house [3] 91/14 109/9 109/12
housekeeping [1] 59/22
how [45] 5/9 28/25 30/3 30/10
$34 / 11$ 38/25 43/3 43/4 43/7 43/8

43/14 49/23 60/16 70/12 72/6
$75 / 15$ 77/3 84/16 90/3 96/21
97/23 99/19 102/23 104/18 111/1
114/19 120/14 123/21 127/1
136/25 138/12 139/20 142/14
142/17 143/6 143/8 145/8 146/6
147/17 147/21 148/4 157/12
163/12 166/9 169/3
How's [1] 71/5
however [14] 8/12 11/19 50/21
53/2 56/23 74/22 75/2 79/21 80/7
106/18 130/7 151/12 158/15
164/19
huge [1] 107/23
huh [1] 111/8
human [11] 19/6 19/23 40/22
40/24 41/10 42/16 63/18 121/14
125/18 125/20 139/12
hung [1] 116/17
hunt [4] 69/2 69/3 93/22 96/15
hunting [2] 78/5 78/18
hurdles [1] 70/24
Hurley [1] 2/14
hymen [2] 99/24 100/2
hypothesis [1] 90/15
I
'III [1] 145/13
I'm [91] $4 / 45 / 95 / 227 / 248 / 68 / 8$
8/11 8/15 8/24 18/23 22/1 22/1
22/22 22/24 23/11 25/7 25/24
26/17 27/19 27/25 28/2 32/14
$33 / 133 / 334 / 1834 / 2135 / 1737 / 5$
37/22 43/14 43/20 44/24 45/25
54/18 55/22 56/9 56/9 58/9 61/9
62/4 63/9 66/12 66/21 67/2 67/3
70/5 70/16 76/8 77/5 77/13 78/9
$82 / 2$ 82/16 83/7 83/1 8 86/2 89/10 90/16 92/2 93/14 97/22 98/11
104/11 110/1 114/20 121/5 122/19 128/16 130/19 141/6 142/5 143/1
147/1 148/14 150/15 152/1 155/3
155/20 156/2 156/6 156/8 158/9
158/13 158/19 160/5 164/2 164/6
167/14 168/19 169/6 169/12
idea [9] $22 / 1238 / 6$ 68/2 76/12
104/8 117/8 127/8 139/11 164/5
ideal [1] 165/8
ideally [1] 86/25
identification [4] 19/6 127/17 127/18 139/14
identified [1] 59/13
identify [1] 64/20
identity [1] 5/15
if [168] 3/7 3/24 5/19 6/5 6/16 9/3 9/6 10/9 11/17 11/19 11/25 13/3
13/7 13/25 14/8 $14 / 18$ 14/22
15/23 16/3 17/18 18/24 19/4
22/25 28/4 29/4 29/14 29/15 30/3
$30 / 23$ 31/23 32/6 32/24 33/22
$34 / 1435 / 436 / 736 / 936 / 1136 / 14$
37/7 40/5 40/14 42/1 $143 / 143 / 23$ $44 / 5$ 48/5 56/19 58/3 58/13 58/14 58/24 59/12 60/18 60/21 60/21 61/1 61/19 62/2 62/1062/17 64/20 65/13 65/25 67/5 67/5
69/24 70/14 71/3 71/15 72/12 $72 / 14$ 72/24 75/25 76/4 76/24 78/7 79/1 79/16 79/25 80/15 81/5 $82 / 483 / 1784 / 1085 / 1588 / 25$ 89/12 90/13 91/21 92/12 94/20 95/2 95/5 95/9 95/13 95/17 96/23 97/16 100/10 101/1 101/1 101/9

|  | indeed [8] 38/14 62/20 71/1 81/15 | intends[6] 5/16 9/12 20/3 43/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| if... [65] 101/17 102/17 103/12 | independent [2] 14/19118/9 | nt [3] 145/18 155/17 155/18 |
| 103/14 103/17 103/17 105/10 | independently [1] 39/23 | intention [1] 58/12 |
| 105/23 107/19 108/2 108/14 109/3 | indicate [8] 2/16 17/13 20/21 27/8 | intentionally [1] 68/11 |
| 110/11 113/19114/21 115/18 | 54/17 62/6 103/19 114/23 | interest [8] 65/21 112/3 115/11 |
| 115/19 116/6 116/7 117/16 117/20 | indicated [5] 33/25 54/6 57/14 | 123/10 134/13 134/18 162/10 |
| 117/25 129/1 129/10 129/19 | 94/17 120/19 | 162/11 |
| 130/13 131/11 136/5 137/1 137/7 | indicating [1] 59/10 | interest in [1] 134/18 |
| 138/12 138/16 139/16 140/1 | indications [1] 103/9 | interested [1] 69/14 |
| 140/24 141/2 142/19 143/15 145/4 | indicative [1] 141/2 | interesting [5] 26/13 78/23 81/23 |
| 145/14 147/1 148/11 148/23 150/3 | indictment [1] 147/11 | 82/14 96/17 |
| 150/18 151/12 153/7 155/10 | individual [10] 72/17 101/24 121/9 | interestingly [3] 148/9 149/5 |
| 156/13 156/24 156/25 160/13 | 121/20 121/23 122/2 124/15 127/6 | 152/21 |
| 160/21 162/21 163/23 164/5 164/9 | 127/11 127/17 | interests [2] 123/19 126/23 |
| 164/23 164/24 165/24 166/2 166/8 | individually [1] 77/9 | interpretation [2] 18/4 18/15 |
| 167/8 167/19 168/24 | individuals [4] 25/15 66/23 88/15 | interpreted [1] 17/3 |
| ignition [2] 120/5 142/8 | 97/10 | interrelate [1] 43/8 |
| ignored [1] 119/12 | inference [7] 51/22 77/1 107/16 | interrelated [2] 48/16 149/18 |
| ill [1] 141/13 | 132/18 139/13 141/9 142/21 | interrelationship [1] 48/17 |
| ill-gotten [1] 141/13 | inflammatory [2] 48/11 54/10 | interrupted [1] 84/19 |
| images [4] 56/21 56/21 57/1 57/24 | inform [1] 6/20 | intersection [1] 42/14 |
| immediately [7] 7/15 34/24 54/24 | informal [1] 31/4 | interview [5] 25/13 32/21 32/22 |
| 58/11 91/14 93/17 109/3 | information [55] 6/8 6/12 6/15 | 146/16 146/17 |
| immovable [1] 71/16 | 11/6 18/9 18/20 19/2 19/9 20/10 | interviewing [1] 115/19 |
| impact [1] 26/8 | 20/18 21/5 21/11 21/15 22/20 | interviews [5] 27/23 31/11 31/12 |
| impassioned [1] 151/25 | 22/23 24/9 24/22 24/24 25/8 | 32/19 110/3 |
| impeached [2] 132/7 149/8 | 25/12 25/18 25/19 25/21 25/24 | into [24] 26/21 33/18 64/22 65/19 |
| impeachment [2] 167/9 168/21 | 26/4 26/16 26/22 27/5 28/15 | 67/12 69/11 82/9 82/25 83/21 |
| impel [1] 51/15 | 29/15 30/22 31/17 31/25 32/3 | 95/14 105/22 127/1 127/20 136/9 |
| imperiled [1] 125/9 | 32/10 34/14 35/20 36/3 36/5 36/8 | 138/11 138/11 147/17148/1 |
| implication [3] 139/25 140/9 143/3 | 37/19 37/25 38/7 38/9 39/6 39/10 | 150/20 151/3 156/25 157/6 157/10 |
| implied [2] 106/21 140/23 | 39/14 47/5 63/4 127/4 138/4 | 163/13 |
| implies [3] 132/14 139/25 143/5 | 141/22 146/22 159/9 161/6 | intoxicated [2] 153/15 153/20 |
| imply[5] 88/25 140/13 140/14 | informed [1] 46/1 | intrinsically [1] 45/17 |
| 140/16 140/22 | inherent [2] 51/5 122/22 | introduce [7] 13/9 66/15 75/13 |
| implying [1] 33/23 | initially [3] 65/7 82/6 132/5 | 75/16 76/24 85/24 153/4 |
| import [2] 26/5 34/9 | injured [1] 100/2 | introduced [1] 116/3 |
| importance [1] 116/2 | injuries [4] 99/23 99/24 104/24 | introducing [1] 66/10 |
| important [14] 11/21 51/1 53/6 | 141/2 | introduction [1] 66/22 |
| 53/13 53/19 62/14 113/21 114/8 | inmate [4] 113/14 113/15 113/16 | inventory [1] $2 / 18$ |
| 119/20 121/11 125/21 131/13 | 145/12 | investigate [3] 66/3 67/8 67/9 |
| 132/24 169/6 | Innocence [1] 133/25 | investigating [3] 30/5 67/6 155/12 |
| importantly [6] 75/3 75/4 77/21 | innocent [3] 128/3 135/13 157/2 | investigation [11] 4/15 7/12 30/24 |
| 109/8 110/14 142/16 | inquiries [1] 26/13 | 65/3 65/22 88/18 93/25 109/17 |
| impose [3] 6/2 7/9 157/3 | inquiring [2] 22/19 23/10 | 115/7 133/4 156/3 |
| imposed [1] 6/2 | insert [1] 157/10 | investigative [7] 30/12 65/1 65/9 |
| impossible [5] 53/23 57/15 103/2 | inserting [1] 110/19 | 65/20 72/18 $119 / 11$ 146/9 |
| 157/3 157/14 | inside [3] 61/3 119/22 137/13 | investigator[6] 25/12 27/24 47/6 |
| impression [4] 82/16 112/13 168/1 | Inspector [1] 107/2 | 161/9 161/22 162/5 |
| 168/12 | instance [6] 27/2 27/9 48/5 96/14 | investigators [3] 27/22 31/10 66/1 |
| imprisonment [2] 126/6 131/18 | 102/4 106/8 | invitation [1] 62/21 |
| improper [2] 123/20 124/4 | instant [1] 119/4 | invite [2] 95/23 166/18 |
| imputed [1] 120/25 | instead [2] 61/2 111/20 | inviting [1] 83/12 |
| in [555] | institution [3] 123/18 123/18 | invoke [1] 22/5 |
| in one [1] 121/17 | 133/16 | invoked [1] 38/22 |
| in-county-people [1] 31/3 | institutional [14] 31/2 67/17 | involve [3] 4/11 5/10 117/21 |
| inadmissible [10] 29/2 47/12 48/6 | 121/15 121/21 121/23 122/4 | involved [23] 5/24 6/10 6/25 86/18 |
| 53/8 54/9 74/24 79/23 96/1 | 122/16 122/21 123/4 123/12 | 102/18 108/2 112/7112/9 115/6 |
| 113/17 143/21 | 124/13 127/5 128/21 149/25 | 115/10 117/12 118/12 120/13 |
| inartful [1] 90/14 | institutions [1] 123/8 | 132/15 141/12 142/14 144/25 |
| incident [2] 158/25 161/13 | instruction [2] 71/2 71/6 | 149/17 150/25 151/1 151/4 156/10 |
| include [7] 12/5 30/2 40/14 55/25 | instructions [4] 56/15 57/11 57/16 | 159/13 |
| 57/1 151/16 164/24 | 57/21 | involvement [7] 83/16 112/3 140/1 |
| included [7] 14/12 46/21 52/15 | insufficient [1] 88/11 | 143/6 156/5 158/24 161/13 |
| 56/24 71/15 93/20 112/20 | insulate [1] 53/24 | involving [1] 61/5 |
| includes [3] 40/16 81/24 134/17 | insurance [2] 118/18 126/10 | ironclad [1] 81/17 |
| including [6] 9/5 9/10 19/6 84/11 | insured [1] 126/12 | irrelevant [1] 44/14 |
| 112/16 120/12 | insurer [1] 126/11 | is [521] |
| inclusion [1] 56/20 | integrity [1] 135/15 | isn't [13] 10/7 15/22 39/7 39/8 |
| inconsistencies [2] 81/3 111/13 | intend [1] 57/25 | 62/19 63/15 72/7 90/5 90/24 91/8 |
| inconsistent [2] 161/11 164/22 | intended [1] 110/4 | 92/23 106/21 156/24 |
| increased [2] 145/16 162/10 | intending [1] 4/7 | isolation [2] 83/5 84/15 |


|  | 77/2 88/ | 2] 68/7 68/9 68/11 68/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| issue [46] 3/21 4/7 4/22 10/11 | joins [1] 130/13 | 54/18 154/20 156/22 |
| 15/17 16/1 19/8 20/16 31/9 34/25 | joint [1] 168/13 | killing [2] 82/1 117/21 |
| 37/6 38/14 39/22 43/21 45/6 | jointly [1] 46/19 | kills [1] 100/16 |
| 50/13 50/23 55/12 59/22 60/15 | Jost [1] 150/7 | kind [11] 4/3 5/ |
| 60/17 61/10 62/3 67/1 70/3 72/19 | judge [22] 1/10 3/18 11/11 16/24 | 42/14 82/6 100/3 150/11 151/13 |
| 74/17 75/11 84/7 94/16 98/10 | 30/16 40/8 44/2 46/5 47/18 51/14 | 152/2 157/16 |
| 98/12 98/12 98/15 98/15 118/6 | 55/10 56/13 58/18 89/5 134/1 | kinds [2] 6/1 84/9 |
| 121/2 121/4 121/22 124/12 126/24 | 134/2 149/11 150/4 152/1 152/9 | knew [5] 126/4 126/7 139/11 |
| 130/12 131/4 148/24 167/1 169/5 | 155/6 167/3 | 142/16 156/3 |
| issued [2] 8/6 54/18 | judge's [1] 51/11 | know [104] 3/7 3/23 6/16 6/18 |
| issues [19] 8/9 27/20 34/9 49/1 | judicial [1] 122/22 | 7/16 10/15 12/21 16/15 19/11 |
| 49/4 49/19 54/16 54/22 62/7 | July [2] 127/24 128/6 | 19/18 19/24 20/12 22/17 22/20 |
| 65/19 97/7 97/22 105/14 116/8 | July 5 [1] 128/6 | 24/25 26/23 31/4 32/12 33/9 |
| 122/23 146/21 165/23 168/20 | jump [2] 70/24 71/1 | 35/16 45/19 45/20 57/23 61/12 |
| 169/9 | juncture [1] 6/20 | 61/24 62/15 63/15 63/17 63/20 |
| it [366] | June [1] 133/22 | 64/2 64/25 68/12 69/9 69/24 |
| it's [119] 3/20 4/21 4/23 5/24 6/17 | June 19th [1] 133/22 | 69/25 70/18 71/14 72/9 72/20 |
| 7/18 8/7 10/7 12/9 12/10 15/6 | juries [2] 42/12 157/25 | 73/2 73/8 73/14 78/12 79/6 81/5 |
| 19/18 22/22 25/4 25/21 25/25 | jurors [2] 53/24 54/3 | 81/16 82/5 82/11 82/14 82/25 |
| 26/2 26/17 27/12 28/14 30/7 | jury [53] 40/23 42/19 43/5 43/5 | 84/1 85/15 89/9 90/13 92/3 93/22 |
| 31/24 36/10 38/11 38/24 41/18 | 44/14 44/16 48/5 48/8 48/10 | 100/1 103/2 107/14 107/25 109/5 |
| 42/17 42/18 42/20 42/22 44/7 | 48/14 48/25 48/25 53/11 54/1 | 111/20 112/12 112/15 117/25 |
| 45/18 46/2 46/2 50/25 53/19 | 56/15 57/10 57/16 57/20 64/3 | 118/18 119/1 120/14 121/10 |
| 53/22 54/6 54/7 54/17 62/14 64/4 | 65/6 68/1 68/6 68/8 68/15 71/2 | 121/24 123/15 123/23 124/8 |
| 67/24 71/8 71/15 72/5 73/6 74/9 | 71/6 77/2 80/21 84/9 87/23 88/16 | 128/15 129/13 129/14 129/21 |
| 75/6 76/2 76/8 76/17 77/7 77/16 | 88/19 91/16 92/1 97/7 101/23 | 129/25 130/25 139/18 139/19 |
| 77/22 77/22 79/5 80/11 80/11 | 103/9 106/5 107/16 107/17 108/14 | 139/20 141/3 141/11 142/4 142/17 |
| 81/14 86/22 86/23 88/18 88/19 | 108/17 111/1 111/2 111/11 111/20 | 142/20 142/20 143/7 143/8 144/5 |
| 90/14 93/20 95/25 102/4 103/2 | 113/3 113/4 117/24 118/6 121/11 | 145/8 148/23 149/23 157/4 158/6 |
| 104/4 104/15 104/15 109/12 | 155/23 156/14 | 162/18 162/21 163/16 163/19 |
| 111/22 113/7 113/7 113/8 114/4 | jury's [2] 88/22 115/23 | 169/2 169/5 169/10 169/15 |
| 115/10 115/13 115/13 116/12 | just [89] 3/3 4/10 4/14 4/18 4/23 | knowing [1] 67/10 |
| 118/7 121/10 121/15 122/5 125/21 | 6/177/22 16/2 16/4 16/18 19/18 | knowledge [1] 170/14 |
| 125/22 127/9 128/23 129/9 134/19 | 24/6 33/19 35/17 37/22 47/1 47/4 | known [10] 21/11 30/23 97/17 |
| 139/12 141/9 142/21 142/22 144/2 | 54/6 55/10 55/10 55/19 57/6 58/4 | 124/10 129/23 135/24 136/24 |
| 145/17 145/19 146/2 146/3 147/23 | 58/15 58/17 59/21 60/7 60/23 | 136/25 137/15 138/15 |
| 148/11 151/24 154/22 154/22 | 61/2 61/3 61/17 61/18 62/4 63/10 | knows [5] 41/4 60/1 88/20 133/22 |
| 155/16 156/1 156/14 156/19 157/1 | 64/16 66/10 70/17 74/9 75/13 | 151/3 |
| 157/14 157/17 158/8 160/6 160/14 | 77/5 77/22 78/16 82/13 84/14 | Konkol [2] 3/11 7/2 |
| 163/2 168/11 168/11 | 84/19 85/14 89/11 90/23 91/11 | Kraintswood [1] 109/19 |
| item [4] 8/17 21/3 27/8 46/6 | 92/13 93/21 94/19 96/10 99/13 | Kraintz [2] 133/16 133/17 |
| items [6] 37/14 39/10 40/25 41/5 | 99/14 100/10 105/9 109/14 110/21 | KRATZ [18] 1/11 2/7 2/22 22/9 |
| 42/4 44/8 | 110/24 111/8 111/17111/19 | 32/12 40/9 55/11 56/12 57/6 |
| its [36] 7/5 17/8 18/4 18/7 19/14 | 111/22 113/12 114/18116/7 | 57/17 131/4 141/14 148/20 155/4 |
| 19/19 20/15 20/17 29/5 30/22 | 116/17 119/1 119/10 124/14 | 155/25 157/21 163/9 |
| 34/11 39/11 40/5 42/23 43/11 | 128/21 129/15 135/13 138/20 | Kratz's [1] 30/18 |
| 47/16 49/23 56/18 56/22 56/24 | 148/4 152/1 152/15 153/7 154/16 | Kyles [1] 29/21 |
| 57/2 62/16 72/6 80/18 86/20 | 54/22 154/23 156/1 158/7 161/4 | L |
| $123 / 19124 / 18124 / 20127 / 18$ | 161/6 164/6 166/10 | La [1] 50/24 |
| 144/24 167/21 | justice [5] 2/10 51/7 71/25 142/23 | Lab [10] 20/8 20/14 84/13 133/19 |
| itself [10] 3/2 40/15 87/22 103/21 | 148/14 | 137/19 137/20 137/23 138/6 |
| 118/5 124/14 146/15 148/2 148/25 | justify [2] 38/25 52/9 | 165/15 165/16 |
| $151 / 17$ | K | Laboratory [1] 168 |
| J |  | lack [2] 20/7 83/15 |
|  |  | [4] 94/1 157/19 167/9 167/15 |
| jail [3] 159/18 159/23 160/23 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { jail [3] 159/18 } & 159 / 23160 / 23 \\ \text { James [8] 85/11 } & 87 / 4 & 89 / 2190 / 2\end{array}$ | Kennedy [5] 85/11 87/4 89/21 90/2 | language [10] 7/3 12/20 13/2 |
| James [8] 85/11 87/4 89/21 90/2 | 90/8 | 17/17 17/24 18/5 18/7 18/16 51/1 |
| 90/7 129/15 133/24 136/14 | KENNET | 70/10 |
| Janda [1] 91/14 | kept [1] 154/16 | Lasecki [1] 70/10 |
| JANUARY[6] 1/8 5/18 8/19 21/6 | key [16] 75/8 77/22 77/24 84/15 | last [21] 7/22 12/12 22/11 23/21 |
| 57/8 170/15 | 108/21 109/1 109/7 112/8 129/24 | 27/3 32/9 54/21 105/6 105/7 |
| January 17th [1] 21/6 | 129/25 135/19 138/20 142/8 147/7 | 114/14 117/4 128/1 132/21 134/15 |
| January 18 [1] 57/8 | 157/7 157/8 | 143/24 151/15 153/8 158/8 161/24 |
| January 19 [1] 5/18 | key's [1] 84/1 | 161/25 161/25 |
| January 5 [1] 8/19 | keys [4] 27/17 27/20 147/6 147/21 | lastly [1] 41/1 |
| JEROME [2] 1/19 2/13 | kid [1] 93/8 | later[13] 6/5 29/13 36/12 81/1 |
| Jerry [2] 155/25 162/23 | kids [1] 82/22 |  |
| jimmies [1] 165/5 jimmy [1] 166/10 | kill [8] 68/19 68/21 68/22 73/9 | 115/20 137/18 148/2 159/3 |

law [32] 1/17 1/19 17/22 21/9 30/2 30/9 31/11 34/18 34/25 35/8 35/23 50/21 71/17 72/5 74/24 77/8 77/14 81/3 81/21 93/14 93/17 96/7 107/10 130/15 138/10 139/5 139/25 148/11 148/15 159/18 160/15 163/6
lawsuit [16] 111/5 112/4 125/5 126/3 126/24 130/21 135/10 141/17 150/6 151/1 151/3 151/5 151/16 159/1 167/17 167/21
lawyers [3] 22/15 28/23 29/25
lay [2] 6/22 7/18
layer [3] 126/11 126/12 126/13
lead [3] 29/3 63/19 88/19
leadership [1] 156/5
leading [1] 50/23
learned [1] 96/7
learns [2] 161/22 161/23
least [22] 30/23 31/11 39/11 41/17 44/2 46/21 52/14 62/7 62/14 65/7 67/12 90/18 93/11 94/10 94/12
103/19 117/19 124/20 145/3
149/25 150/3 150/16
leave [6] 81/4 85/14 112/13 118/11 168/13 168/20
leaves [1] 88/4
leaving [1] 165/11
led [7] 30/25 125/11 137/7 138/9
138/19 141/12 159/10
left [6] 2/14 98/8 107/15 108/12
163/10 167/25
legal [2] 17/1 116/8
legitimate [8] 76/11 76/13 76/14
76/16 90/18 94/22 95/8 156/18
length [1] 39/25
Lenk [49] 102/25 106/9 106/13
106/14 107/5 107/12 111/3 111/10 111/17111/23112/14115/3115/9 117/18118/12 118/19126/15 129/9 129/15 130/2 130/6 130/6 130/17 130/19 136/14 138/19 138/20 140/5 141/11 143/13 145/22 146/5 146/25 149/23 150/2 150/5 150/23 150/24 151/19 155/2 155/13 158/13 160/1 162/6 162/7 162/7 162/18 162/19 163/13 Lenk's [2] 111/11 130/24
less [11] 12/10 13/16 29/11 63/2 80/9 92/6 94/8 96/12 96/23 144/20 156/21
lessens [1] 30/19
lesser [3] 90/20 90/20 90/21
let [20] 6/7 19/18 40/11 59/21
60/25 64/5 65/24 68/2 70/5 91/20 94/9 95/1 97/20 101/23 106/1
113/12 129/3 149/10 167/20 169/5 let's [9] 68/5 68/8 90/23 91/20
93/8 104/22 133/11 142/4 162/7
lets [1] 58/24
Lets' [1] 55/23
letter [2] 11/12 57/13
letters [1] 57/7
letting [1] 111/20
level [6] 30/12 61/3 65/13 65/18 90/16 151/10
liability [12] 47/23 49/2 59/18 66/11 76/22 79/2 91/25 92/4 97/12 140/3 141/17 159/5
libel [1] 139/8
lied [1] 132/6
lieu [1] 12/4
lieutenant [21] 102/25 106/13
115/3 126/15 129/15 130/6 130/17 130/24 136/14 138/19 138/20 141/11 145/22 146/4 146/25 149/23 150/2 150/5 150/22 151/19 162/6
life [3] 82/3 91/2 128/19
light [3] 3/4 4/16 63/11
like [24] 15/7 16/7 23/23 25/5 25/15 26/23 29/21 34/17 41/8 58/4 83/3 98/23 100/1 109/25
115/18 116/12 116/15 136/5
136/11 149/17154/16166/15
167/16 168/19
liked [1] 23/17
likelihood [1] 120/17
likely [12] 54/17 92/6 94/6 94/8
110/20 116/19 118/7 118/25
119/12 120/14 120/17 135/6
likewise [4] 20/5 23/21 27/25
146/15
limine [4] $13 / 11$ 44/17 44/25 45/1
limit [2] 4/14 51/5
limitations [1] 89/18
limited [7] 3/20 3/25 4/10 4/18
102/22 167/22 168/12
limitless [1] 153/3
limits [3] 118/18 122/18 126/12
line [6] 60/2 70/7 100/15 121/7 127/23 128/13
lines[5] 15/7 21/2 78/11 78/14 149/13
link [1] 101/14
linkage [1] 149/2
linked [1] 127/10
links [1] 110/4
list [4] 9/4 $11 / 25$ 77/11 $142 / 25$
listed [1] 89/2
listening [1] 66/12
little [11] 12/9 12/10 19/21 23/17 38/24 99/3 99/19 120/2 131/11 148/6 153/24
live [2] 52/16 91/15
lived [1] 39/19
location [7] 4/20 6/24 77/22 81/7
82/20 87/21 142/11
locations [1] 142/15
lock [1] 164/25
locked [5] 133/10 136/10 137/13 164/14 164/18
locksmith [1] 165/3
$\log [3] \quad 107 / 12$ 162/24 162/25
logbook [1] 107/9
logical [1] 105/13
logically [2] 101/20 103/14
logs [4] 107/6 108/1 162/20 162/21
long [8] 5/24 16/14 51/3 83/15
145/20 146/6 149/9 169/7
longer [2] 104/7 122/11
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { look [34] } & 4 / 16 & 10 / 24 & 14 / 20 & 19 / 4\end{array}$ 24/22 25/23 26/4 26/12 26/21 27/21 28/19 34/23 38/13 40/3 55/20 77/3 77/8 83/5 83/5 83/9 83/1 8 84/1 84/16 92/12 92/20 95/23 103/10 104/22 105/3 121/6 134/14 137/9 144/4 164/10
looked [3] 65/8 65/22 68/24
looking[12] 5/2 43/14 61/9 76/8 76/21 86/2 120/1 120/5 120/6 146/24 147/3 147/5
looks [3] 15/7 83/6 151/22
lost [1] 38/20
lot [1] 29/11
low [1] 126/19
lower [1] 97/13
lunch [3] 97/20 97/23 98/8

## M

M-u-c-k-e-r-h-e-i-d-e [1] 152/19
M.D [1] 124/10
machine [1] 170/10
mad [1] 73/8
made [19] 9/6 19/20 25/3 32/17
$33 / 8$ 37/3 47/2 48/12 51/18 52/10
54/24 59/15 60/20 67/23 73/8
101/5 107/2 148/25 155/19
Madison [1] 138/7
magic [1] 112/7
main [1] 126/5
maintenance [1] 25/14
major [1] 103/22
make [50] 10/17 16/22 21/13
22/12 22/25 23/12 24/8 26/12
29/18 33/1 $135 / 1735 / 2241 / 10$
44/25 45/12 55/16 58/8 60/22
66/6 80/9 82/7 83/12 86/20 92/6 94/6 94/8 96/11 96/21 101/12 105/21 106/23 106/24 107/17 110/10 112/23 114/7 114/14 129/6 134/20 138/9 140/17 144/19 152/15 154/5 155/22 156/21 158/9 164/2 164/5 169/7
makes [2] 41/8 86/14
making [6] 24/15 83/24 95/15
105/19 106/23 132/6
malfeasance [1] 149/15
malpractice [1] 124/9
$\operatorname{man}[5] \quad 69 / 20 \quad 125 / 12 \quad 128 / 12$ 168/3 168/15
man's [1] 139/6
managed [1] 136/12
manipulation [1] 12/14
MANITOWOC [30] $1 / 130 / 730 / 20$ 31/7 31/12 31/13 31/1833/13 54/1 59/23 61/1 61/5 109/15
115/5 117/10118/14118/15
124/21 124/25 126/1 127/25
130/21 130/23 135/15 138/7
143/13 145/23 161/9 161/17 170/2
many [6] 54/20 81/2 84/16 94/1
114/19134/13
maps [1] 42/7
March [3] 112/10 137/5 138/22
March 1st [1] 137/5
Marlene [2] 109/19 133/16
Martinez [19] 78/23 78/24 79/3
79/4 79/13 79/22 80/17 80/24 81/6 81/20 82/9 82/20 84/20
84/23 85/1 85/5 86/3 86/7 86/17
Maryland [1] 21/21
master [1] 129/24
material [9] 24/24 25/4 26/1 26/17
36/22 67/20 113/7 144/2 153/4
materiality [14] 24/16 24/18 26/9
26/19 28/15 29/16 37/15 43/25 96/8 97/16 $144 / 1$ 144/4 144/9 144/16
matter [35] 9/1 9/9 11/22 12/3 12/18 $13 / 4$ 13/6 $13 / 2414 / 914 / 11$ 16/5 17/20 17/25 23/15 30/8 30/8 $32 / 13$ 34/3 40/3 43/13 44/9 45/13 55/11 60/5 72/16 72/17 82/13 87/18 98/20 100/13 115/12 120/21 149/6 170/7 170/13
matters [8] 26/21 34/2 43/21
47/10 47/17 47/19 48/15 53/5
may[115] 3/6 4/11 4/13 4/18 4/23 5/19 6/10 6/22 7/11 8/9 8/23 9/23 9/24 9/24 9/25 9/25 10/13 12/8 12/20 $12 / 23$ 13/2 $13 / 3$ 14/8 $15 / 19$ 15/19 15/20 15/20 16/7 16/12 16/12 17/8 17/9 17/9 17/13 17/14 19/1 19/5 20/6 22/4 26/8 26/8 28/4 28/16 28/25 29/2 31/19 $35 / 2036 / 636 / 2136 / 2136 / 22$ 36/25 37/16 37/17 38/8 40/25 43/7 45/16 45/23 45/23 46/17 48/4 48/4 52/3 53/7 56/16 56/16 56/23 59/19 60/1 60/2 60/4 67/3 67/18 72/18 79/19 79/25 81/15 82/18 84/20 87/1 87/11 95/14 96/5 98/22 100/14 101/24 102/20 104/7 105/22 107/25 108/1 $108 / 1$ 108/3 110/6 $115 / 17117 / 7118 / 2$ 118/5 118/6 121/16 121/16 121/23 123/12 123/18 124/16 127/2 127/16 129/12 129/23 146/14 150/8 150/18 $150 / 19152 / 4$ maybe [13] 6/4 12/10 31/4 62/15 67/9 119/23 139/24 142/12 147/19 151/25 159/14 159/21 161/3
McCurdy [1] 27/11
MCK [2] 84/24 86/6
me [51] 5/13 6/7 22/6 22/12 38/11 38/24 40/11 47/3 55/19 59/21
60/17 60/25 62/3 64/5 65/24 70/5
70/22 72/7 83/20 91/20 92/16
94/9 95/1 96/10 99/9 99/10 106/1
113/6 113/12 116/7 120/22 121/6
122/1 123/15 128/7 129/3 130/12
139/16 140/4 141/6 142/12 142/13
142/18 146/1 149/10 158/12
160/21 164/5 165/20 170/8 170/9
mean [15] 10/7 29/24 29/25 31/24 71/12 84/9 84/13 90/23 93/8
106/21 118/10 $118 / 13$ 119/4
123/17 128/14
meaning [2] 17/16 34/16
means [6] 26/14 29/13 30/10 76/3 112/21 162/6
meant [2] 17/9 74/12
Meanwhile [1] 155/4
measurements [1] 42/4
media [6] 49/13 50/5 52/19 52/25 54/25 134/17
medical [1] 124/9
medication [3] $123 / 5 \quad 123 / 6 \quad 123 / 14$
meet [5] 79/13 79/23 97/12 97/15
163/21
member [10] 69/9 127/15 133/1
134/23 135/25 136/8 143/13
145/23 146/16 151/6
members [8] 25/13 33/12 39/18
52/2 87/8 146/3 150/8 161/17 memo [4] 62/5 161/7 161/8 167/9 memorandum [3] 59/17 149/16 165/19
memories [1] 115/22
mental [2] 9/11 123/8
mention [3] 15/13 141/15 152/11 mentioned [8] 45/21 85/2 87/10 88/15 96/2 97/11 108/19 150/15
mere [2] 15/6 111/22
merge [1] 101/15
merger [2] 141/4 143/17
merging [1] 132/9
met [3] 2/17 18/21 96/5
metaphor [1] 76/6
middle [1] 96/18
might [23] 5/23 6/10 6/12 21/19
23/5 29/16 44/1 44/20 54/4 63/19
65/22 68/16 68/20 68/22 70/3
89/18 95/4 113/25 118/19 124/8
128/12 128/20 159/10
mighty [1] 136/8
Mike [1] 61/22
Milwaukee [3] 2/9 70/2 73/12
mind [3] 58/15 58/16 66/14
minimally [1] 154/8
minor [1] 15/16
minute [1] 84/18
minutes [4] 58/25 61/19 90/6 97/25
miscasting [1] 66/25
misconceived [1] 141/13
misconduct [3] 142/24 148/13
151/9
miserably [2] 134/22 147/24
misinterpret [1] 144/7
misled [1] 97/8
misread [1] 144/6
misreads [1] 97/2
missed [1] $81 / 5$
missing [5] 32/21 117/2 133/3
133/4 161/19
mistake [1] 83/12
mitigation [1] 23/5
$\operatorname{mix}[1] \quad 147 / 2$
mode [2] 145/4 145/4
modifications[2] 46/12 46/14
Mohr [1] 75/24
mom [1] 132/4
moment [8] 16/4 28/4 43/16 80/1
94/11 126/15 126/22 145/5
Monday [1] 169/2
money [1] 63/16
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { month [4] } & 115 / 22 & 119 / 3 & 133 / 13\end{array}$ 135/3
months [8] 22/11 53/13 53/19 84/3
84/7 112/9 115/9115/20
more [55] 6/5 10/17 10/18 11/15
12/10 14/1 14/13 14/24 16/22
26/10 27/21 28/1 28/10 37/13
41/7 41/25 43/2 43/22 58/18 65/23 68/19 74/4 75/3 76/2 80/9 86/15 87/23 89/4 90/6 94/6 94/18 96/12 96/22 102/6 102/20 109/8 110/14 110/20 111/17116/22
118/7 120/14 120/17 134/4 142/13
142/16 144/20 147/14 147/14
148/16 156/16 157/1 157/20 159/4 162/8
Moreover [1] 111/2
morning [10] 2/3 2/20 37/25 55/12 57/9 117/8 119/24 137/9 138/13 147/19
most [17] $17 / 23$ 18/1 $18 / 14$ 26/24 37/4 42/11 47/11 51/1 52/16 75/4 77/21 81/12 81/25 108/11 116/19 122/5 135/6
mother [6] 99/14 99/16 104/20 131/25 132/3 140/13
motion [44] 2/21 $2 / 21$ 2/24 3/2 4/25 7/25 8/14 8/16 8/21 10/21 10/24 10/25 13/11 21/4 23/9 23/12 26/6 35/17 35/22 36/4 $36 / 1537 / 637 / 1037 / 2138 / 5$
$38 / 11$ 44/25 45/1 45/22 45/25

54/7 54/7 59/6 59/7 66/22 89/7
98/10 106/20 $116 / 5$ 133/15 157/19 166/13 166/19 166/22
motions [16] 1/4 2/3 2/19 28/9
36/23 38/1 44/17 47/9 47/15
47/16 48/19 55/2 57/14 57/22 61/4 136/21
motive [63] 63/3 63/13 63/23 64/2
64/2 70/9 70/19 70/20 71/3 71/7
71/9 71/11 71/12 72/22 72/25
$73 / 173 / 1573 / 1873 / 1974 / 1$
74/19 74/22 74/23 75/14 75/17
75/20 76/2 76/3 76/17 77/17
77/20 78/15 78/21 78/25 79/15
87/5 87/11 87/11 88/6 88/7 90/20
91/9 91/22 92/18 94/14 94/19
95/6 95/9 102/6 102/8 117/19
117/20 118/21 119/7 119/8 119/8
119/9 135/11 135/12 143/11
145/18 145/21 158/15
motives [2] 23/3 75/18
mouth [1] 117/15
move [1] 45/3
moving [3] 20/5 110/16 134/9
Mr [7] 3/17 7/7 98/20 102/9 131/2 149/21 159/6
Mr.[126] 2/22 2/23 2/25 3/17 4/4 $\begin{array}{llll}4 / 8 & 5 / 8 & 8 / 23 & 10 / 20 \\ 10 / 23 & 11 / 4\end{array}$ 11/10 14/15 15/1 15/4 21/6 22/9 23/15 27/11 $28 / 1130 / 1832 / 12$ $33 / 16$ 35/15 38/10 38/21 38/22 40/9 41/6 41/25 42/21 42/25 44/3 46/19 46/20 49/7 55/9 55/1 1 56/12 57/4 57/6 57/13 57/17 59/1 8 59/19 59/19 60/18 61/11 64/19 65/25 67/20 69/7 70/25 72/9 74/5 80/22 81/6 81/20 82/9 $82 / 2083 / 2084 / 1584 / 1984 / 20$ 84/20 84/23 85/1 86/17 87/1 87/4 88/24 94/10 98/23 99/9 100/7 101/4 101/22 103/12 104/1 105/25 106/6 107/9 107/12 108/7 108/15 112/14 114/23 116/13118/16 121/3 128/3 130/2 130/2 130/6 130/11 130/21 131/4 131/16 135/14 141/14 141/23 148/20 148/25 149/4 149/11 150/1 150/6
150/15 150/21 151/2 152/1 153/11 153/16 154/16 155/4 155/19 155/25 157/21 $157 / 21158 / 1158 / 6$ 159/13 163/9 163/12 163/13 167/1
Mr. Allen [2] 141/23 159/13
Mr. Avery [22] 38/22 42/25 61/11 64/19 67/20 70/25 80/22 83/20 88/24 100/7 101/4 101/22 103/12 108/15 114/23 118/16 128/3 130/11 130/21 $135 / 14150 / 21$ 158/1
Mr. Avery's [5] 69/7 84/15 105/25 106/6 150/6
Mr. Buting [9] 5/8 10/20 10/23 11/4 11/10 15/4 38/21 59/19 158/6
Mr. Colborn [2] 130/2 163/12
Mr. Fallon [17] 23/15 33/16 65/25 $72 / 974 / 584 / 1984 / 2087 / 194 / 10$ 108/7 116/13 148/25 152/1 153/11 154/16 155/19 157/21
Mr. Fallon's [1] 107/9
Mr. Gahn [8] 2/23 2/25 4/4 4/8
8/23 14/15 15/155/9
Mr. James [1] 87/4
Mr. Kratz [16] 2/22 22/9 32/12

Mr. Kratz...[13] 40/9 55/11 56/12 57/6 57/17 131/4 141/14 148/20
155/4 155/25 157/21 163/9 167/1
Mr. Kratz's [1] 30/18
Mr. Lenk [5] 107/12 112/14 130/2 130/6 163/13
Mr. Martinez [8] 81/6 81/20 82/9
82/20 84/20 84/23 85/1 86/17
Mr. McCurdy [1] 27/11
Mr. Muckerheide [1] 153/16
Mr. Richardson [2] 99/9 131/16
Mr. Steven [1] 104/1
Mr. Strang [21] 21/6 28/11 35/15
38/10 41/25 42/21 44/3 46/19 46/20 49/7 57/4 59/18 59/19 60/1 8 98/23 121/3 149/4 149/11 150/1 150/15 151/2
Mr. Strang's [2] 41/6 57/13
Mr. Strange [1] 3/17
Mrs. [1] 69/17
Mrs. Avery's [1] 69/17
much [24] 6/13 13/16 22/3 23/24
26/5 26/24 28/1 34/20 35/16 40/4 45/6 59/24 60/17 65/9 65/16
70/17 97/23 105/23 114/5 114/11 114/16 123/21 149/4 157/20
Muckerheide [6] 152/18 153/9 153/10 153/10 153/16 154/4 multiple [2] 84/8 92/15 murder [5] 80/13 101/25 139/7 142/3 142/4
murdered [2] 92/7 101/19
murderer [2] 80/23 89/3
murderous [2] 63/21 155/16 must [20] 3/15 17/21 18/8 20/21 21/1351/951/1851/1851/19 76/10 78/3 96/25 101/7 138/22 142/13 148/19 153/3 154/20 157/9 166/3
my [43] 2/13 10/25 22/21 23/13
28/4 30/19 31/16 33/4 40/1 43/14
45/1 46/2 47/4 48/1 55/20 58/12
58/15 66/14 67/11 67/22 68/2 77/14 78/13 82/3 87/15 90/14 90/16 95/1 97/22 101/9 101/10 118/25 128/10 143/1 145/13 149/16 156/3 158/9 167/5 167/9 168/9 170/9 170/13
myself [4] 62/1 67/4 71/15 156/2
N
name [7] 55/23 72/3 92/20 93/9
120/2 133/17 159/19
named [3] 5/21 9/4 13/15
narrow [3] 104/15 104/16 135/2
narrowed [1] 93/17
narrower [1] 22/9
narrows [1] 116/22
nature [9] 5/5 5/20 10/14 41/5
42/22 51/6 80/10 109/25 132/11
near [2] 37/18 118/17
nearness [1] 48/9
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { necessarily [23] } & 20 / 19 & 22 / 23 & 24 / 8\end{array}$
25/25 26/17 31/24 33/10 45/1
55/4 57/16 93/19 101/18 101/21
102/18 107/23 128/17 129/13
132/14 139/25 140/16 140/22 143/5 148/17
necessary [4] 5/19 11/5 51/8 155/16
necessity [1] 116/2
need [18] $3 / 6$ 35/22 48/20 49/4
58/18 81/2 81/18 87/23 89/20
99/3 110/22 110/23 111/10115/18 115/19 131/12 145/14 169/7
needle [3] 110/20 110/24 148/5 needn't [1] 11/3
needs [3] 6/4 22/18 23/12
negligence [1] 149/12
neither [6] 38/14 45/11 74/10
81/18 111/3112/5
nerve [1] 140/7
neutral [2] 29/9 125/23
never[11] 71/17 71/21 100/17
101/22 103/16 104/12 106/15
107/13 108/8 108/25 $115 / 13$
nevertheless [2] 154/4 155/12
new [3] 73/11112/6 155/1
newly [1] 39/7
news [1] $32 / 12$
next [16] 4/2 8/17 21/3 33/4 37/20
46/6 47/8 55/23 59/6 68/9 74/14
78/4 79/21 85/11 98/11 138/6
nice [1] 155/19
nicely [1] 42/21
night [3] $85 / 18$ 85/25 86/13
nitty [1] 62/25
nitty-gritty [1] 62/25
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { no [77] } & 1 / 5 & 2 / 2 & 10 / 14 & 11 / 19 & 13 / 6\end{array}$ 26/14 30/14 40/1 44/24 56/8
58/22 58/23 61/25 63/2 63/13
63/22 67/10 70/16 70/23 73/13
77/17 77/19 77/20 77/21 77/25
78/15 78/15 78/15 78/21 78/21
78/24 78/25 78/25 79/15 79/15
85/21 85/22 87/5 87/5 87/11
90/19 90/20 90/21 95/1 98/17
100/6 100/13 101/3 103/14 104/7
106/17 109/4 109/23 110/4 110/5
111/17 112/8 132/18 135/23
$141 / 17143 / 11143 / 11143 / 12$
146/7 146/8 146/10 146/12 146/15
149/1 149/2 150/24 154/17 163/8
164/5 165/5 167/5 167/5
No. [2] 107/8 109/18
No. 14 [1] 109/18
No. 6 [1] 107/8
nobody[3] 42/16 119/19 142/12
none [6] 12/23 86/25 88/8 88/9
119/20 167/18
noon [2] 98/5 106/10
nor [1] 111/3
Norm [1] 2/8
normal [1] 54/6
normally [1] 113/25
NORMAN [1] 1/15
not [276]
note [4] 36/20 52/12 54/13 57/6
noted [4] 87/15 97/3 99/17 134/14
notes [3] 53/22 55/20 170/9
noteworthiness [1] 137/4
nothing [14] 10/2 15/21 16/10
29/14 39/3 79/16 87/17 106/24
110/13 134/4 139/4 141/18 142/1 147/24
notice [3] 4/4 58/4 58/18
November [28] 30/11 30/14 30/15 $39 / 1239 / 1739 / 1881 / 11111 / 6$ 116/24 116/25 117/6 117/7 119/16 129/20 133/2 133/3 133/6 135/6 136/5 136/6 136/6 136/18 137/8 139/10 139/12 154/24 161/16 162/12
November 12 [1] 39/18

November 2 [1] 81/11
November 3rd [4] 117/6 135/6 137/8 161/16
November 5[5] 30/11 30/14 30/15 39/12 39/17
November 5th [4] 116/25 117/7 119/16 136/5
November 8th [2] 139/10 139/12
now [32] 4/1 7/10 12/5 21/20
24/25 32/23 34/4 38/16 41/21 $70 / 676 / 2183 / 2$ 84/4 95/13 100/5
100/10 106/2 108/13 110/6 $111 / 10$
112/12 121/18 126/1 130/5 133/11
136/13 141/10 145/16 146/17
147/1 155/10 160/5
nuances [2] 33/11 125/24
number [15] 27/9 35/25 53/13
55/12 59/13 93/24 106/3 110/8
110/9 120/7 124/20 132/3 137/25
157/8 167/7
nurse [1] 110/5

## 0

oath [4] 108/12 111/13 120/10
155/8
object [1] 58/4
objection [4] 4/2 46/20 46/24
98/16
objective [1] 65/22
obligated [1] 64/9
obligation [16] 21/9 21/14 21/22
21/24 26/3 30/9 31/17 31/21
$31 / 2232 / 732 / 1333 / 834 / 23$
35/21 37/18 42/1
obligations [4] 30/20 34/5 34/21
34/22
obscured [1] 165/8
observations [1] $35 / 18$
obstructing [1] 148/14
Obstruction [1] 142/23
obtain [2] 109/9 129/25
obtained [2] 49/5 108/21
obvious [3] 18/7 88/4 115/7
obviously [5] 7/11 13/2 31/25
162/6 165/11
occasion [2] 154/6 154/9
occurred [10] 47/22 73/12 75/1
80/1 1 120/12 140/22 142/4 142/20 143/8 143/9
occurs [1] 43/16
October [17] 10/21 14/17 81/9 81/16 85/19 86/8 86/10 86/13 86/22 86/24 90/4 90/11 119/2 132/22 135/5 136/5 159/15
October 31 [2] 81/16 90/4
October 31st [2] 132/22 136/5
October 6th [1] 10/21
odd [1] 70/22
off [8] 30/15 39/5 91/7 98/8
110/21 110/24 132/23 141/1
offender [1] 87/12
offense [9] 53/19 66/24 67/21
67/25 73/5 88/8 88/12 90/22
103/12
offer [34] 9/12 18/24 43/2 46/23
57/24 59/15 63/1464/1 64/15
71/9 72/10 72/11 72/13 72/21
$72 / 2573 / 273 / 1879 / 181 / 581 / 18$
85/16 89/25 91/22 95/3 100/8 102/15 $115 / 18$ 127/12 129/1 $129 / 5$ 147/23 153/13 158/11 167/17
offered [19] 6/14 17/5 20/7 45/4
$45 / 1545 / 1846 / 249 / 13$ 79/18


89/14 99/11 101/22 117/22 151/17 168/11 168/18
offering [6] 12/13 40/1 71/11
94/14 94/19 116/15
office [25] 23/22 30/2 31/6 34/24 90/5 97/22 109/14 116/11 133/21 134/24 135/8 137/8 142/24 145/7 146/4 146/5 146/17 147/7 147/18 148/1 148/13 150/8 157/7 158/9 161/10
officer [12] 32/8 59/24 60/21
61/21 67/6 72/18 107/3 125/15
136/7 142/25 148/11 162/15
officers [19] 30/3 30/23 61/15
67/7 81/21 93/15 93/17 102/6 102/13 102/17 107/6 107/11 112/6
115/5 116/16 154/17 155/17 165/5 165/9
Official [3] 1/25 170/4 170/19 often [2] 122/5 123/7
oh [4] 60/10 82/11 111/7 169/17 okay[17] 2/25 11/14 46/6 60/11 61/21 62/13 79/20 84/18 95/16 111/7 117/3 117/6 148/22 159/8 162/1 164/3 169/17
old [8] 82/23 91/1 97/16 115/21
115/22 123/23 131/23 135/13
omits [1] 167/20
on [259]
once [1] 53/12
one [96] 4/14 $11 / 17$ 15/6 16/4
24/6 33/17 40/20 46/22 46/23 49/18 51/10 51/19 53/13 54/8 55/11 55/19 57/9 60/24 61/10 61/22 64/20 65/20 66/1 66/23 68/15 70/19 74/10 74/18 74/21 75/18 75/22 76/23 78/8 78/17 78/18 78/23 79/17 80/11 81/19 86/12 86/15 87/9 87/15 87/20 87/24 88/15 88/24 89/23 92/13 93/22 94/10 94/12 96/17 102/5 103/22 104/2 104/6 109/22 110/8 110/17 112/16 114/6 114/7 116/13 120/21 121/5 121/13 121/15 121/17 124/4 124/15 129/25 131/17 134/6 135/20 136/2 138/25 140/16 140/21 146/14 152/11 152/25 153/3 153/13 153/24 156/2 156/6 156/8 158/20 158/25 161/23 161/24 161/24 163/23 166/1
168/20
one's [1] $34 / 5$
ones [3] 21/8 117/18 154/19
ongoing [8] 4/1 7/19 31/23 32/7 $32 / 1433 / 636 / 2563 / 17$
only [38] 14/18 17/14 21/25 26/10 29/25 31/1 34/13 39/9 41/16 45/14 45/25 57/9 62/17 70/14 93/23 95/5 105/13 107/17 108/9 110/16 111/2 112/13 113/1 114/20 115/8 117/17 118/25 121/5 121/15 130/22 131/7 137/12 138/25 154/7 156/7 161/8 164/20 167/6
open [4] 54/17 69/8 $118 / 11142 / 10$ opened [2] 138/5 165/4
opening [9] 56/22 56/24 57/2 57/25 58/1 58/8 58/10 58/13 152/25
operative [2] 17/17 94/25 opinion [6] 17/10 19/25 105/6
$140 / 19140 / 20140 / 20$
opinions [1] 12/6
opportunistic [2] 102/1 $155 / 18$
opportunity [39] 17/1 41/7 43/22 50/13 54/18 58/4 62/5 63/5 70/9 $73 / 473 / 1373 / 2074 / 2075 / 2$
75/16 76/17 77/1878/1978/25
79/15 85/12 88/6 88/9 90/21 91/9 92/23 95/6 95/10 102/1 102/9
102/12 116/18 $117 / 24118 / 1$
118/22 129/9 143/11 164/11
164/12
oppose [2] 49/15 116/4
opposed [5] 24/2 72/23 79/7 80/21
96/19
option [2] 29/7 29/14
or [302]
oral [1] 47/24
orally [1] 65/17
order [22] 2/19 2/22 5/3 5/12 8/1
8/1 8/5 30/16 33/20 34/1 43/18
45/24 46/10 46/15 46/22 46/24
46/25 55/8 67/3 112/23 113/24
134/1
ordering [1] 52/1
ordinarily [1] 44/18
ordinary [1] 93/7
original [4] 30/8 41/14 134/2 141/19
originally [1] 119/21
other [84] 3/22 4/11 4/23 5/1 5/4 5/10 5/23 6/22 7/8 8/3 8/9 14/4 17/2 18/21 19/10 22/15 22/18 23/10 31/13 34/2 37/16 43/8 44/20 45/6 45/7 45/23 47/2 47/12 56/21 61/23 64/10 64/20 65/1 65/23 66/4 67/8 67/9 67/11 69/22 69/24 70/19 76/10 79/6 80/3 80/8 86/13 87/16 89/19 92/14 92/14 92/1 8 92/20 93/4 96/9 96/10 97/22 100/5 100/15 101/3 101/24 104/13 112/15 114/11119/12
123/2 123/2 123/23 124/6 132/18 138/17 143/13 145/9 145/22
146/13 147/25 148/7 148/24
149/21 150/10 152/6 152/11
152/22 161/16 165/9
others [13] 14/24 63/1 64/16 65/7 75/10 81/14 81/14 84/6 90/1 92/5 92/17 107/11 133/25
otherwise [8] 6/6 7/18 19/13 51/13
52/19 67/25 78/2 154/14
ought [4] 29/14 38/19 88/17 91/16 our [46] $3 / 9$ 3/12 9/2 10/1 10/20
25/21 25/25 26/2 26/20 26/22
26/25 27/3 28/3 34/13 34/14
34/21 38/22 40/20 47/24 49/6
51/2 55/12 55/14 58/21 70/6 70/9
74/23 75/25 76/23 81/1 96/8
100/19 100/20 107/4 109/24
110/15 110/16 121/16 121/17
123/19 128/15 128/19 140/11
157/19 157/20 163/17
ours [2] 45/9 115/24
ourselves [2] 27/9 93/8
out [49] $5 / 412 / 1914 / 2123 / 21$
$31 / 231 / 1736 / 24$ 48/21 53/25
55/5 61/22 61/23 63/20 69/2 71/4 78/5 82/21 94/1 99/1 102/20
102/21 103/21 105/9 106/24 107/7
108/23 110/22 112/22 116/18
117/23 $118 / 3$ 120/15 127/22 128/6
133/19 137/11 146/7 147/21 154/3

157/12 157/19 161/6 162/2 162/8
164/25 165/11 167/9 167/15
168/17
out-of-county [1] 31/2
outcome [2] 36/22 57/21
outdo [1] 155/21
outlined [1] 21/2
outrageous [1] 139/5
outside [8] 30/17 49/11 49/12 61/2 61/11 61/19 70/1 147/8
outstanding [4] $2 / 19$ 37/24 57/14 57/21
outweighed [4] 97/6 97/18 105/1 144/12
over [24] 3/4 7/5 7/14 7/22 11/18 14/17 14/22 30/12 36/9 36/11
$36 / 1937 / 137 / 237 / 1537 / 19$
68/13 75/18 86/21 109/12 110/11
119/3 143/1 155/6 165/10
overall [3] 6/24 11/7 43/6
overheard [1] 60/4
overlapping [2] 24/18 24/19
overlaps [1] 65/17
overly [1] 44/15
override [1] 51/16
overriding [1] 49/24
overrules [2] 104/4 104/5
overview [2] 42/14 43/6
owed [1] 63/15
own [28] 3/12 19/19 26/22 34/24
47/4 59/24 63/8 67/11 86/20
109/10 109/11 109/11 109/17
112/21 123/19 125/10 125/20
126/25 127/2 127/19 127/20
128/10 148/6 158/24 161/8 161/12 162/9 166/4

## P

p.m [6] 106/11 137/19 137/20

154/25 155/15 162/20
page [16] $15 / 5 \quad 15 / 1418 / 318 / 25$
19/4 19/22 20/6 55/14 76/8 77/11
86/2 105/5 106/8 122/25 128/5 151/15
pages [2] 16/14 25/11
paid [1] 123/22
palm [1] 138/18
Pam [2] 166/3 166/18
paper [3] 45/8 111/18 120/2
papers [2] 110/15 110/16
paperwork [1] 133/17
parade [1] 115/1
paragraph [16] 5/12 9/5 12/12
15/7 18/25 27/3 27/4 32/3 32/16 76/10 105/7 106/8 107/8 108/20 109/18 110/25
Paragraph 10 [1] 32/3
Paragraph 14 [1] 108/20
Paragraph 17[1] 110/25
Paragraph 2 [1] 27/3
Paragraph 4 [1] 5/12
Paragraph 5 [3] 27/4 32/16 106/8
Paragraph A [1] 9/5
parcel [1] 24/3
part [19] 12/11 24/3 37/4 47/11
49/16 54/3 55/16 74/2 79/25 85/4
99/12 $117 / 13128 / 21$ 133/14 136/3
144/15 152/20 155/17 158/4
participate [1] 50/3
participation [1] 52/25
particular [21] 11/16 12/8 33/14
45/12 52/8 53/10 60/7 62/3 65/21
$71 / 1172 / 2575 / 375 / 17115 / 4$
particular... [7] 120/23 124/9
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partly [1] 101/16
party [23] 20/15 45/11 47/22 49/2 54/8 56/20 59/9 59/17 66/11 66/16 76/22 79/2 83/16 83/18 86/5 91/25 92/4 94/21 95/3 97/11 98/9 105/13 140/3
pass [2] 28/10 141/21
passenger [2] 153/15 153/17
passion [3] 63/20 63/21 63/21
passionate [1] 155/20
past [4] 47/2 91/6 135/25 159/15
path [1] 88/20
Patrick [1] 1/9
patrol [1] 129/19
Paul [1] 133/24
paying [1] 123/22
pays [1] 98/11
peculiar [2] 28/19 90/3
pending [1] 57/21
Penny [1] 141/24
people [31] 28/24 31/3 31/3 39/19
64/20 65/2 65/23 66/4 69/23
69/24 70/3 70/19 73/21 82/6
84/25 85/1 86/17 86/24 89/2
89/20 90/19 92/20 93/9 93/24
108/1 125/3 134/13 137/24 156/9
156/12 163/7
per [1] 25/9
perfect [1] 33/21
perfectly [4] 17/15 78/11 78/14
108/13
perform [1] 19/19
performed [3] 14/19 40/13 41/1
perhaps [12] 7/2 12/9 28/8 62/9
102/14 120/14 125/19 126/18
127/15 128/1 135/19 137/6
peril [2] 33/25 35/7
perimeter [1] 107/19
period [2] 78/18 99/21
perjury [1] 111/14
permissible [1] 83/4
permit [1] 55/8
permits [1] 35/8
permitted [2] 13/8 155/10
perpetrator [2] 141/24 157/6
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143/6 163/4 165/1 165/5
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policy [2] 118/19 126/13
political [1] 126/18
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poor [1] 168/2
pop [1] 148/5
portion [3] 15/5 59/3 148/3
pose [2] 6/1 53/2
Poses [1] 165/5
posited [1] 143/5
position [19] 19/25 20/2 38/10
38/1 1 44/24 63/22 66/6 70/24
74/19 89/25 92/21 94/16 94/18
95/2 95/7 95/21 $115 / 6$ 149/1
156/13
positive [1] 52/22
possessed [1] 145/19
possesses [1] 35/20
possession [5] 25/9 25/22 26/2
30/23 39/16
possibilities [1] 92/15
possibility [6] 86/16 88/21 118/11
126/22 145/6 165/13
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60/5 94/15
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post [1] 133/15
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pounding [1] 154/16
Pour [1] 148/6
power [1] 56/22
powers [1] 51/5
practical[5] 31/15 93/18 93/21
145/4 145/25
practice [2] 22/3 23/13
pre [8] 2/22 5/3 8/1 8/5 33/20
43/21 46/4 53/4
pre-trial [8] 2/22 5/3 8/1 8/5 33/20
43/21 46/4 53/4
preceded [1] 22/8
preclude [1] 59/17
predict [1] 124/19
prefer [1] 98/14
preferable [1] 29/12
preferential [1] 119/13
prejudice [3] 113/11 113/12

## 144/23

prejudiced [2] 113/18 $114 / 11$
prejudicial [10] 42/24 47/13 48/11 53/9 53/16 54/11 80/19 97/6 97/19 144/13
prelim [2] 164/19 166/19
preliminary [1] 108/24
preparation [1] 14/5
prepare [5] 9/7 14/4 17/18 19/15
111/17
prepared [5] 9/20 11/18 11/19 56/25 170/8
preposterous [1] 139/4
presence [3] 27/16 92/5 130/5 present [27] 7/17 12/24 18/10 38/10 52/3 58/12 59/5 62/11 70/8 86/23 98/7 100/24 100/24 102/14
106/7 $115 / 2$ 124/24 130/18 136/1 152/12 152/17 152/21 153/1
153/25 154/4 157/5 158/2
presentation [4] 43/11 45/8 56/23 168/12
presented [18] 4/13 6/9 6/12 6/15 8/10 8/15 14/7 18/19 47/9 65/17 77/18 92/1 102/2 106/2 116/1
116/4 146/15 160/21

| $\mathbf{P}$ | property [20] 39/13 39/17 39/19 | a[2] 104/21 122/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q |
|  | 136/9 136/17 136/19 138/21 | quality[3] 16/15 16/15 88/1 |
|  | proposal [1] 167/21 | quarre[some ${ }^{\text {quasi [1] } 31 / 11} 9$ |
|  | proposals [1] 166/2 | question [24] 25/16 28/2 30/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 138 / 2141 / 23 \\ & \text { presume [2] } 49 / 22155 / 11 \\ & \text { presumptive [3] } 51 / 17108 / 25 \\ & 109 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | propose [1] 127/12 | 66/ |
|  | osed [5] 5/20 1 | 年/18102/13 111/8111/12120 |
|  |  | 99/18 102/13 111/8 111/12 120/22 <br> 121/20 122/1 127/1 127/21 164/13 |
| pretty [7] 25/1 34/24 98/24 139/11 | $\underset{\text { proposition [4] }}{\text { 96/4 }}$ 10/6 80/4 94/20 | 121/20 122/1 127/1 127/21 164/13 |
| $142 / 22$ 168/21 $168 / 23$ prevented [1] $166 / 16$ |  |  |
| le ${ }^{\text {prevented }}$ previous [2] 6/8 53/15 | ion [8] 25/19 29/24 31/19 | questioned [1] 15 |
| previously [4] $5 / 2036 / 1659 / 3$$136 / 20$ | 33/14 100/11 105/10 114/1 114/6 | questioning [3] 89/181 |
|  |  |  |
| pride [4] 125/16 | Prosecutor [4] 1/11 1/13 1/15 30/17 | questions [6] 26/14 111/2 113/3 116/6 116/8 148/24 |
| Primarily [2] $52 / 1194 / 2$ |  |  |
|  | prosecutors [4] 2/11 28/15 30/220] | quickly [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { primary [3] 80/20 } 1 \\ & \text { principle [1] } 44 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | 163/7 [4] 14/22 112/21 112/23 | quite [5] 10/7 29 |
|  | (22 112/21 112/23 | 95/25 |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} 113 / 2 \\ \text { protocols [2] } & 16 / 13 & 16 / 1 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { quiz [1] } & 148 / 15 \\ \text { quote [4] } & 34 / 15 & 105 / 9 \end{array} 13 / 25\right. \end{aligned}$ |
| prison [5] 91/2 134/11 159/7 <br> 160/11 160/18 | [5] 64/11 64/18 70/25 71/3 | 122/24 |
|  |  | quoting [ |
|  | ven | R |
|  | provide [11] 5/15 5/18 10/18 11/5 |  |
| probable [8] 80/9 96/12 96/13 <br> 96/23 120/11 144/20 156/21 157/1 <br> probably[15] 23/10 38/21 45/8 | 21/10 27/14 36/2 42/1 50/15 95 | R-e-v-e-l-s [1] |
|  | 105/11 | [3] 69/2 93/22 96/15 |
| probably [15] 23/10 38/21 45/8 58/11 60/7 69/4 74/11 80/5 116/21 117/17 117/17118/24 | 17 | rabbits [2] |
|  | 17/21 18/1718/20 37/24 38/7 | raise [1] 151/9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 116 / 21117 / 17117 / 17118 / 2 \\ & 139 / 13140 / 19165 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | 3/12 56/24 57/3 57/7 | sed [1] 100/1 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 1] 126/20 |
| 115/22 144/11 144/12 145/16 | providing [1] 20/1 | rank[3] 126/18 129/17 |
|  | provision [1] $43 / 14$ | ranking [1] 33/1 |
|  |  | rape [2] 128/4 160 |
|  | proximity [1] 38/3 | raped [1] 99/10 |
| problem [3] 53/2 83/1 83/21 problems [4] 31/2 31/15 60/6 83/17 |  | rare [2] 50/17 50/21 rarely [1] 52/7 |
| proceed [5] 8/23 59/20 60/16 |  |  |
| $60 / 2398 / 22$proceeded [1] | public[20] 49/12 49/21 49/24 50/4 | rather [12] 7/16 12/21 |
|  | 50/16 51/4 51/6 51/17 52/2 52/15 | 28/24 41/13 41/1461/10 |
|  | 52/23 52/24 54/24 54/25 55/6 | 78/23 82/8 96/1 |
|  | 59/5 91/12 134/16 134/17136/9101/ | rational [1] 51/ |
| $52 / 18$ $170 / 13$ |  |  |
|  | publicity [5] 53/3 53/15 53/25 54/4 | rationally [3] 150/13 151/10 |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48 / 1048 / 1349 / 151 / 1853 / 11 \\ & 122 / 14122 / 18133 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | publicly [1 |  |
| processed [1] 138/7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { publl [1] 110/24 } \\ & \text { pull } \end{aligned}$ | reach [2] 51/25 88/ |
|  | pulled [3] 110/21 | reached [1] 51/21 |
| produced [1] 106/17producing [1] 118/3 | pu | reaction [1] 128/8 |
|  | pu | read [12] 15/3 17/3 |
| producing [1] 118/3 <br> professional [1] 151/8 <br> proffer [8] 72/9 77/10 94/2 107/5 | pu | 50/12 57/13 62/6 72/21 86/9 |
| proffer [8] 72/9 77/10 94/2 107/5 134/21 136/16 166/20 167/15 proffered [5] 34/13 72/12 100/8 | purely [1] <br> purport [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 130 / 9153 / 9167 / 24 \\ & \text { readily [1] } 25 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | reading |
| proffered [5] 34/13 72/12 100/8 104/10 105/4 |  |  |
| profile [1] 108/21 |  |  |
|  | purposes [8] $26 / 1545 / 22$ 45/23 | real [3] 3/19 63/13 89/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 133 / 25 \\ & {[1] ~ 114,} \end{aligned}$ | 51/2 52/14 78/19 93/18 167/9 | reality [1] 88/21 <br> realize [3] 120/16 166/21 |
| (erolonging [1] $126 / 5$ |  | Realized [1] 159/22 |
|  |  | really [24] 10/7 11/1 |
| (erompt [1] 7/21 | put [26] 6/11 31/9 33/22 69/1 |  |
|  |  |  |
| pronounce [1] 153/10 proof [6] 35/13 43/2 102/15 | 4 | 105 |
|  | 142/7 142/8 142/9 155/2 156/2 | 12 |
| $134 / 21 ~ 147 / 23 ~ 149 / 20$proper [2] 79/18 79/20 |  | 65/22 |
|  |  | reason [17] 23/8 53/20 68/18 |
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Remiker's [1] 130/7
removed [3] 99/13 137/7 137/19
rendering [1] 19/23
renew [1] 37/10
repeat [3] 62/25 98/25 155/21
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135/8 149/5 154/21 156/24 162/18
164/17 164/20 166/13 169/15 170/13
sake [2] 25/24 62/1
salvage [6] 87/20 90/11 96/18
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sample [2] 109/21 116/16
samples [1] 109/13
sat [1] 159/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { satisfied [4] } & 13 / 22 & 14 / 14 & 14 / 24\end{array}$ 68/8
satisfy [1] 119/5
Saturday [4] 111/6 119/24 133/6 147/20
saw [3] 102/25 114/18 119/21
say[40] 10/10 13/17 19/16 20/20 22/19 23/10 24/1 24/14 26/10 26/12 33/7 37/22 49/25 64/9 65/3 67/1 5 68/17 73/6 74/13 77/6 78/9 78/1 8 82/4 83/6 83/1 8 86/24 89/1 90/2 91/20 100/13 104/2 104/22 110/6 111/9 135/2 153/21 154/2 155/2 155/22 159/2
saying [28] 13/1 13/11 26/17
$57 / 18$ 64/15 64/25 67/3 71/2
$73 / 18$ 74/1 82/11 83/25 91/23
92/13 92/19 94/14 99/9 100/1
101/9 102/24 104/4 104/12 $111 / 10$
118/25 149/23 160/16 164/6
167/18
says[31] 11/20 14/2 20/6 23/25
$24 / 632 / 432 / 1832 / 1832 / 25$ 57/6
66/3 76/10 81/12 83/5 83/14 86/3
99/21 103/14 104/19 105/2 105/3
106/9 107/5 113/24 114/4 123/15
155/25 156/1 156/17 160/17 162/1
scenario [3] 116/19117/18120/18
scene [18] 66/5 87/19 87/21 92/23
93/24 103/4 106/11 107/13 115/10
119/6 $119 / 19119 / 21$ 145/9 145/1 1
155/14 162/15 163/8 164/14
schedules [1] 146/25
scheduling [4] 2/22 8/1 33/20
120/21
Scheidell [6] 72/4 79/4 79/7 141/1
141/5 152/22
Schetter [1] 107/2
school [4] 82/24 84/24 96/7
148/15
schoolgirl [1] 86/3
Schroeder [5] 10/5 14/2 14/2 $15 / 23$
16/21
scientific [3] $8 / 2$ 9/11 145/4
scope [1] 22/20
scotch [1] 134/4
Scott [3] 68/21 77/16 78/1
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { scrutiny [3] } & 49 / 12 & 65 / 1 & 126 / 9\end{array}$
se [1] 25/9
sealed [1] 110/15
search [5] 111/25 120/9 138/22
155/10 156/6
searchers [1] 133/7
searches [3] $84 / 884 / 17136 / 20$
second [12] 28/5 29/17 55/19
68/13 76/9 80/7 96/6 96/24 97/15
101/13 105/6 143/25
Secondly [3] 25/7 56/19 75/11
secret [1] 148/6
secreted [1] 133/8
section [8] $15 / 618 / 735 / 2338 / 23$
44/10 44/21 50/14 152/18
secure [1] 168/14
securing [1] 168/7
security [4] 6/25 60/15 60/20 61/4
see [23] 29/20 42/12 43/5 44/9
46/1 52/23 60/17 64/24 69/21
78/13 86/24 98/4 104/25 109/2
120/2 120/3 120/4 142/12 142/13
146/6 153/12 157/8 164/10
seeing [1] 120/8
seek [1] 22/24
seeking [4] 22/24 50/2 70/25
73/18
seems [8] 60/14 64/21 70/22 92/16
113/6 139/16 142/18 146/1
seen [12] 39/3 45/13 109/4 109/23
110/3 110/13 $117 / 4$ 132/21 132/25
146/4 162/1 165/2
sees [4] 48/16 90/3 90/9 108/17
seized [1] 42/4
selected [1] 54/1
selection [4] 48/10 48/13 48/25
53/1 1
self [1] $126 / 12$
self-insured [1] 126/12
semen [2] 99/22 104/23
sense [10] 17/8 22/2 28/22 28/25
$30 / 6$ 35/15 45/5 125/18 127/9
127/19
sensible [1] 161/3
sensitive [1] 43/19
sent [4] $27 / 627 / 18133 / 19134 / 5$
sentence [3] 23/6 122/11 125/11
sentences [1] 122/18
separate [5] 14/19 39/22 62/15
102/7 105/4
September [3] 116/14 135/4 160/9
September 12 [1] 160/9
September 2003 [1] 116/14
sergeant [17] 102/25 111/4 126/15
126/20 129/19 130/16 136/15
136/16 141/11 145/22 146/25
149/24 150/3 150/23 151/19
159/18 161/20
serial [1] 157/8
series [4] 40/19 47/8 157/18
157/18
serious [2] 22/24 25/3
seriously [1] 65/23
serve [1] 19/13
serving [1] 134/10
session [3] 50/17 50/20 54/17
set [7] $2 / 1935 / 1044 / 1645 / 15$
56/14 66/15 98/24
setting [4] 94/11 120/20 123/2 123/3
settled [1] $34 / 25$
seven [1] 39/15
several [2] 110/17 163/15
sex[4] 87/12 99/9 99/21 131/23
sexual [3] 13/13 131/16 141/3
shade [1] 23/4
shake [1] 164/1
shall [2] 5/15 50/15
shameful [1] 82/7
shan't [1] 62/24
share [2] $4 / 535 / 21$
she [26] $32 / 25$ 86/6 100/1 109/2
109/2 109/21 109/21 112/22 124/5
131/21 132/5 132/6 132/22 132/23
132/25 133/3 136/24 138/15 141/2
161/24 161/25 161/25 164/17
164/19 164/20 166/6
she's [4] 109/20 $113 / 1117 / 1$ 166/6
shed [1] 63/11
sheet [1] 168/16
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { sheriff [7] } & 126 / 21 & 127 / 25 \quad 128 / 16\end{array}$
135/20 150/16 $150 / 17$ 160/9
Sheriff's [30] 30/13 30/21 31/6
$31 / 831 / 1331 / 1833 / 1361 / 5$ 109/15 117/10 $118 / 15118 / 16$ 121/1 124/21 124/25 126/2 130/23 134/23 135/19 135/25 136/7 141/10 143/14 145/23 151/7 152/7 158/17 158/18 159/24 161/17
shining [1] 120/6
 69/2 135/21 135/22 139/4 144/2 151/5
shorthand [1] 170/10
shortly [2] 90/12 162/4
shotgun [2] 75/20 75/21
should [25] 7/14 7/20 27/5 32/4
46/7 49/23 51/14 56/5 56/14 57/3 60/20 78/2 87/6 89/5 102/21
106/5 106/20 107/17 141/1 148/19
151/18 156/14 159/9 160/22
167/18
shouldn't [3] 115/8 150/4 152/8
shouting [1] 90/6

S
show [22] 34/10 34/13 41/20
45/20 70/13 73/7 74/1 95/10
102/5 107/6 107/15 109/24 110/6
112/18 112/25 119/3 140/4 155/16
156/19 162/20 163/16 163/17
showed [1] 71/7
showing [11] 51/20 66/7 73/3 83/6
93/16 93/19 107/2 155/17 157/20 162/10 164/4
shown [3] 45/4 89/4 103/8
shows [3] 103/17 114/18 155/14
shred [1] 136/2
shrubbery [1] 45/21
shuffle [1] 38/20
sic [2] 107/14 109/19
side [7] 14/4 19/10 28/22 29/6
96/20 124/6 168/2
side's [1] 124/4
sides [1] 28/19
sidetracked [2] 68/1 146/20
signed [2] 107/6 107/7
significance [1] 94/11
significant [7] 45/23 60/18 99/24
106/22 124/14 124/22 134/12
significantly [3] 24/24 52/25 62/16
similar [1] 105/22
Similarly [1] 78/22
simple [3] 10/8 38/19 72/16
simply [18] 7/1 9/1 9/2 9/23 12/22
13/11 29/12 45/17 54/21 64/17
66/14 75/12 92/10 118/3 124/10
127/13 154/23 157/24
since [10] $21 / 2135 / 1239 / 1245 / 13$
46/19 47/22 59/25 67/16 104/14
113/13
sing [1] 123/25
sit [1] 29/25
sits [1] 133/22
sitting [3] 50/22 66/12 158/9
sittings [1] 50/15
situated [1] 65/8
situation [6] 4/10 28/18 31/10
52/22 78/7 165/8
situations [4] 18/22 28/21 50/22
135/20
six[2] 25/10 154/21
skeleton [1] 40/24
skipped [1] 82/24
slander [1] 139/8
slides [1] 38/17
slip [1] 105/5
slipped [1] 103/8
slur [1] 151/9
smoke [2] 90/8 96/18
sneaking [1] 157/6
snuck [1] 107/17
so [148] 4/13 4/17 5/1 5/22 6/4
6/13 8/6 10/13 10/16 11/3 12/16
13/1 13/5 13/25 14/13 15/15
15/25 20/1 20/14 20/19 22/5 22/7
22/22 23/8 24/8 24/13 24/20
26/20 27/10 27/13 28/10 30/3
$30 / 431 / 1531 / 2332 / 732 / 1233 / 6$
33/24 34/7 34/25 36/18 36/25
39/21 41/21 42/20 42/21 43/5
45/5 45/24 47/1 47/15 49/1 55/1
58/3 60/2 60/3 62/2 62/10 64/4
64/10 70/12 70/22 71/21 73/6
74/14 74/24 75/9 77/2 77/9 77/13
78/14 78/19 80/11 80/24 81/14
$81 / 1982 / 383 / 784 / 2085 / 886 / 25$

87/6 89/4 90/13 93/16 97/5 97/23
98/25 102/10 106/1 108/19 109/5
109/18 $111 / 1111 / 7112 / 10113 / 5$
113/20 113/21 114/5 114/10
114/11 114/12 114/16 115/22
115/25 116/4 116/23 117/6118/6
120/12 123/15 124/18 125/2
125/21 127/7 129/17 130/18
130/24 135/13 138/2 138/8 139/16 141/4 141/7 142/13 147/9 149/18
150/10 151/6 152/23 153/4 153/23
153/23 156/1 157/9 157/9 158/7
160/12 161/12 162/25 163/2
164/22 165/12 165/21 166/17
169/6
so-called [5] 106/1 108/19 109/18 111/1 112/10
software [1] 12/15
sold [1] 75/21
sole [1] 39/11
solely [3] $25 / 8 \quad 26 / 285 / 12$
some [87] 3/6 4/7 4/15 4/16 7/11
$7 / 167 / 22 \quad 10 / 1312 / 614 / 1214 / 16$
17/2 18/19 18/20 21/17 22/20
22/23 23/5 26/12 26/13 26/21
26/23 26/25 27/25 30/11 30/12
$33 / 12$ 34/18 37/7 37/14 37/17
38/6 45/22 49/13 51/1 52/10 53/7
58/3 60/1 60/18 61/3 61/4 62/2
65/1 65/17 65/18 69/18 73/2 73/4 81/22 89/20 91/13 92/6 93/11 93/13 94/6 94/7 100/9 101/24 102/20 106/1 106/18 106/19 115/17 116/8 $119 / 3119 / 17$ 120/24 121/24 126/1 136/3 140/18 141/13 141/22 142/6 142/7 142/8 149/20 150/1 $151 / 13$ 156/20 158/19 159/9 163/20 164/11 167/22 169/2
somebody [10] 67/25 69/10 79/19
139/17 139/19 140/3 141/23
147/20 156/25 162/2
somehow [17] 42/18 42/23 42/24 70/14 107/17 113/17114/10 114/23 116/15 $118 / 13136 / 10$ 137/10 137/11 137/12 137/13 151/2 168/13
someone [15] 64/1 68/7 103/18
129/24 134/22 135/7 136/3 136/7 138/2 138/8 140/23 142/3 160/16 160/18 167/24
someone's [1] 109/11
something [28] 4/1 6/5 9/24 10/6
15/19 16/1 16/7 23/1 24/11 28/17
28/25 29/2 29/7 37/8 39/7 39/8
65/15 78/12 82/12 91/15 101/14
116/14 143/7 149/7 149/13 149/21
158/21 169/4
sometime [4] 116/23 136/4 161/16
162/4
sometimes [3] 42/12 82/6 125/24
somewhat [5] 17/25 21/23 54/15
129/4 167/2
somewhere [1] 168/10
son's [1] 81/10
song [1] 123/25
soon [3] 7/15 58/15 169/4
sooner [1] 159/11
sorry [6] 56/9 92/2 114/20 155/3
167/14 169/12
sort [10] $15 / 863 / 1882 / 182 / 8$
83/4 97/9 105/19 121/24 127/7
160/6
sought [2] 33/25 91/22
sounds [2] 84/16 160/20
source [3] 108/22 109/6 145/11
sources [2] 109/10 128/23
South [5] 83/1 104/3 105/21 144/7 152/14
sovereign [1] 124/2
speak [6] 10/20 14/15 30/4 49/20 49/21 60/3
speaking [1] 131/1
speaks [1] 3/2
Special [6] $1 / 111 / 131 / 15$ 2/10 30/17 166/12
specific [17] 12/13 13/14 14/10
19/7 19/11 22/13 23/9 24/1 29/17 32/16 33/10 34/1 43/7 67/11
92/13 109/13 151/24
specifically [10] 8/11 10/23 11/25
12/6 37/10 59/8 72/20 77/14
77/15 121/19
specificity [4] 20/20 21/12 22/3 51/18
specifics [2] 21/18 27/14
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { speculate }[3] & 8 / 12 & 118 / 7 & 135 / 17\end{array}$
speculating [1] 141/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { speculation [6] } & 108 / 7 & 135 / 22 & 145 / 9\end{array}$
147/25 157/17157/17
speculative [1] 86/15
speed [1] 43/18
spelling [1] 85/2
spending [1] 147/15
spirit [4] 15/22 15/23 16/20 16/21
splotch [1] 120/4
spoke [2] 93/15 122/16
spoken [3] 122/3 123/3 161/10
spot [2] 142/9 142/9
spots [1] 142/10
sprinkle [2] 142/6 142/14
ss [1] 170/1
stab [1] 159/15
staff [1] 25/14
stake[4] 118/20 126/8 127/19 141/18
stand [5] 49/15 61/19 66/2 89/9 127/24
standard [9] 18/18 18/21 20/17
24/10 70/7 94/3 97/12 97/13 163/19
standards [3] 20/17 79/14 167/6
standing [4] 83/7 83/8 100/19 149/22
standpoint [2] 41/18 68/6
stands [5] 10/5 39/22 71/22 94/20
138/14
Stanton [1] 2/15
start [5] 38/3 57/4 58/1 81/22 105/23
started [4] 33/7 123/16 162/24 162/25
starting [1] 53/18
starts [1] 162/9
state [151] $1 / 11 / 31 / 121 / 141 / 16$ 2/2 2/4 2/6 3/11 5/14 5/16 5/18 $6 / 117 / 27 / 47 / 138 / 28 / 158 / 21$ 13/8 14/2 14/3 $15 / 9$ 16/2 16/7 17/10 18/2 $18 / 6$ 19/14 19/18 19/24 20/16 21/19 21/20 21/24 22/4 22/17 22/19 23/3 23/11 23/15 25/9 25/17 28/21 29/4 29/15 29/23 32/14 32/17 32/25 $33 / 133 / 2534 / 1035 / 1135 / 19$ $35 / 2536 / 636 / 736 / 1037 / 1438 / 6$ 38/25 39/8 39/10 39/20 40/4 40/7 $40 / 1341 / 21$ 44/7 46/13 46/23
state... [79] 47/11 47/14 47/16
$47 / 19$ 48/16 49/8 49/13 50/1 50/9
50/10 50/12 50/24 52/11 54/3
54/9 55/4 56/14 57/1 57/18 58/4
58/7 58/14 59/16 60/12 63/14
63/24 64/11 65/3 65/23 65/25
66/6 67/5 68/16 69/19 70/17
70/20 70/25 73/21 74/4 83/11
83/12 83/24 84/12 86/20 90/17 91/17 93/8 93/9 93/12 93/13 101/14 106/2 108/13 113/6 113/23 114/5 $115 / 4$ 122/9 123/7 123/8 123/10 123/20 124/1 124/18 124/19 128/25 131/2 131/5 143/20 151/22 164/16 167/12 167/18 167/20 168/1 168/4 168/6 170/1 170/5
State is [1] $17 / 10$
State's [51] 2/21 8/17 11/23 14/14 19/17 21/1 21/9 21/14 22/5 22/13 23/1 23/2 23/3 29/8 33/15 36/25 38/10 39/15 41/25 43/11 49/9 50/8 58/12 62/10 62/20 66/1 66/8 83/6 86/22 91/3 94/4 94/16 94/18 95/2 95/7 103/22 104/9 105/5
105/24 106/9 114/19 116/4 117/13
136/16 143/16 145/3 146/24
147/13 149/1 157/22 168/12
stated [2] 9/1 146/23
statement [21] 9/7 9/8 17/19 20/5
20/9 26/20 33/3 48/12 57/25 58/8
58/10 59/9 66/16 76/22 81/24
82/2 82/6 88/16 89/2 97/11 155/1
statements [10] 9/4 9/5 37/5 56/22 74/17 86/12 113/15 113/16 113/21 145/12
states [5] 7/4 9/2 9/14 122/24 128/22
statistical [1] 16/11
statute [24] 9/2 10/4 11/8 11/15
11/16 11/20 12/2 12/2 12/17
13/10 13/22 14/1 15/22 16/21
17/3 17/17 19/3 19/10 20/11
20/25 35/9 35/24 44/10 96/9
statutes [2] 14/4 50/14
statutory [7] 7/3 $15 / 6$ 17/17 17/23
18/4 18/15 30/9
stay [1] 137/22
steer [1] 83/17
steering [1] 154/11
stenographic [1] 170/9
step [3] 61/2 133/11 144/21
Stepping [1] 61/10
steps [4] 30/11 79/21 99/12 157/18
Steve [1] 90/20
STEVEN [30] 1/6 1/21 2/2 2/12 40/17 63/3 65/2 68/10 70/20 72/19 73/22 81/24 84/5 85/3 86/4 86/4 90/10 91/11 91/17 92/7 93/18 94/5 104/1 118/22 124/23 126/6 128/2 136/25 156/25 168/1 sticks [1] 128/6
still [15] 3/22 11/7 14/22 37/16 95/8 96/25 112/9113/22 115/10 140/25 144/8 144/9 144/10 145/7 147/23
stinks [1] 78/12
stipulation [2] 46/8 46/18
stop [2] 65/24 150/4
story [1] 81/22

STRANG[23] $1 / 172 / 1421 / 628 / 11$
35/15 38/10 41/25 42/21 44/3
46/19 46/20 49/7 57/4 59/18
59/19 60/18 98/23 121/3 149/4
149/11 150/1 150/15 151/2
Strang's [2] 41/6 57/13
strange [4] 3/17 69/3 82/2 128/11
strength [4] 97/1 97/4 104/9
105/17
strenuously [1] 152/1
strictly [1] 143/19
strike [1] 168/22
striking [1] 81/20
stripe [1] 23/7
strong [5] 83/6 83/9 105/11 116/1
117/20
stronger [2] 48/17 106/25
strongly [1] 97/14
struck [1] 24/23
stuff [3] 93/21 100/3 120/3
stun [1] 61/12
Sturm [1] 166/3
Sturm's [1] 166/18
subconsciously [1] 125/20
subject [18] 9/9 11/22 12/3 12/17
13/4 13/6 13/24 14/9 14/11 16/5
17/20 17/25 26/6 38/1 46/18 51/4
60/3 60/5
subjects [1] 129/10
submission [2] 41/6 98/25
submissions [2] 54/20 57/2
submit [3] 57/16 143/20 161/4
submittals [1] 62/6
submitted [2] 9/16 46/8
subpoena [1] 25/16
subpoenaed [1] 156/10
subsequent [2] 49/3 122/13
substantially [3] 97/5 97/18
144/12
substantive [1] 18/9
subtle [1] 127/15
subtly [1] 125/19
success [1] 114/1
successful [1] 166/15
successfully [1] 53/24
such [20] 5/17 6/14 7/14 16/9
24/19 28/21 34/14 35/11 41/9
48/10 51/16 52/3 53/3 54/22
87/22 88/16 109/23 123/13 157/9
157/10
sued [1] 118/16
sufficient [4] 64/18 $118 / 20$ 156/16 158/14
sufficiently [1] 80/12
suggest [14] 29/6 42/17 42/20
60/25 63/1 79/18 81/14 88/14
88/25 92/15 135/24 140/8 140/12 146/14
suggested [5] 46/11 46/14 136/15 139/4 149/17
suggesting [4] 33/23 90/18 128/16 128/18
suggestion [1] 46/22
suggestions [1] 151/14
suggestive [1] 44/15
suggests [2] 84/23 151/2
Sullivan [3] 79/9 79/11 79/17 summarized [1] 18/4
summary [19] 9/8 9/14 9/22 10/19
11/20 12/3 13/16 13/23 16/3
17/19 20/24 40/13 41/24 42/6
43/4 43/1 5 44/6 44/19 73/24
Sunday [1] 86/13
sunny [2] 119/23 119/23
sunset [1] 165/16
superior [2] 112/6 130/7
superiors [1] 112/1
supervision [1] 130/24
supplement [3] 7/20 57/20 62/5
supplied [1] 9/19
support [6] 3/12 23/5 59/16
105/11 108/18 110/12
supporting [1] 83/16
supports [2] 106/22 147/12
suppose [3] 21/25 68/8 78/18
supposed [7] 18/1752/24 111/8 111/19 145/20 146/18 157/4
supposedly [5] 85/18 99/15 135/11 155/14 156/5
Supreme [12] 15/10 15/12 29/22
62/18 71/24 75/15 122/3 122/16 122/20 123/3 128/22 140/19
sure [28] 4/4 5/9 5/22 22/25 23/11 27/19 27/25 29/18 32/14 33/1 33/3 64/6 67/21 77/18 78/9 79/1 8 93/14 98/3 98/11 106/23 127/6
135/3 139/11 147/1 148/14 158/9 163/25 164/2
surpassingly [1] 70/22
surprise [1] 130/12
surround [1] 40/17
surrounds [1] 4/22
survive [1] 104/7
suspect [6] 6/21 66/11 67/11 74/8
88/16 156/7
suspects [10] 65/9 65/11 65/12
67/8 67/9 85/10 89/24 94/15
119/12 136/13
suspenders [1] 22/8
SUV [4] 75/6 108/21 1 111/5 149/3
swabbed [1] 109/3
swabs [1] 109/14
swearing [1] 120/10
swore [1] 155/8
sworn [2] 106/13 155/11
syringe [1] 148/5
system [3] 52/23 122/22 $158 / 1$

## T

table [3] 22/16 30/1 33/5
Tackes [2] 60/11 61/21
Tadych [3] 68/21 77/16 78/1
take [44] $2 / 18$ 5/1 $11 / 4$ 14/20
18/17 22/18 28/21 34/25 37/10 37/20 37/22 46/3 46/13 49/10 49/17 55/7 58/20 59/22 61/7 66/6 67/2 68/2 69/13 71/21 74/16 75/11 79/16 82/4 90/14 90/23 97/20 98/11 98/14 103/1 $115 / 17$ 121/4 125/6 125/7 125/16 138/1 142/23 143/6 $159 / 15$ 169/6
taken [14] 30/11 59/1 84/14 98/5
110/18 110/22 113/22 114/17
116/10 148/2 148/3 157/9 162/22 170/9
taking [2] 142/18 157/12
talk [7] 62/2 81/20 90/8 102/10 113/14 149/10 162/3
talked [2] 10/21 132/1
talking [15] 6/24 40/11 41/22
55/21 55/24 76/19 92/3 92/4
103/1 1 120/20 149/9 149/12
149/13 149/14 149/19
talks [1] 71/14
tampering [2] 142/25 148/12
tape [3] 134/4 157/5 157/5

| T | 89/5 97/19 148/20 153/5 153/6 | theories [2] 132/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tardiness [1] 39/21 | Thanks [1] 166/20 | 17/14 117/15 119/11131/1 |
| dy | that [1019] | 40/12 141/13 143/3 143/4 143/17 |
| $\operatorname{tarp}$ [1] 166/14 | that's [132] | 143/1 8 143/2 1 147/13 150/3 159/8 |
| tarps [1] 165/9 | 12/1 12/11 16/8 16/9 16/20 17/15 | 163/12 |
| sk [1] 72/19 | 19/15 19/16 20/9 21/7 23/8 24/23 | there [165] 3/23 3/24 6/4 6/5 6/13 |
| team [1] 33/14 | 26/11 26/20 28/4 29/8 29/11 | 6/2 |
| tech [1] 42/22 | 30/23 32/6 32/20 32/21 35/9 | 14/12 24/16 24/18 26/13 26/14 |
| telephone [2] 130 | 35/10 40/5 41/10 41/11 42/15 | 27/20 27/20 28/1 28/12 29/6 |
| television [2] 52/ | 43/13 44/1 45/14 46/5 49/1 58/1 | 30/14 31/2 31/15 35/2 36/25 |
| tell [13] 10/6 10/10 15/24 27/17 | 60/19 65/4 65/14 65/14 66/10 | 39/21 39/21 40/12 48/2 48/19 |
| 49/23 50/23 57/3 104/13 112/5 | 68/1 68/2 68/19 72/7 74/1 74/2 | 52/8 63/1 63/16 65/7 65/24 68/23 |
| 137/3 156/9 164/5 165/20 | 74/12 75/14 75/25 76/3 76/4 76/5 | 69/13 69/13 70/2 71/6 72/4 75/4 |
| telling [5] 9/17 9/22 10/2 15/21 | 76/12 76/18 76/20 77/5 77/22 | 75/9 75/25 76/10 76/13 77/10 |
| 160/21 | 78/6 79/20 80/5 81/8 81/12 81/19 | 77/17 77/18 77/23 77/25 78/7 |
| tells [1] | 82/5 82/5 82/13 82/24 83/4 83/9 | 78/20 78/21 79/7 79/14 80/16 |
| temperature [1] 19/22 | 83/11 83/20 84/13 84/13 86/19 | 81/9 81/11 81/15 81/18 82/14 |
| tend [2] 103/19 114/22 | 94/24 100/22 101/11 101/16 102/4 | 82/19 82/21 83/19 84/4 84/25 |
| tendency [18] 70/11 76/11 76/13 | 104/11 105/2 106/12 107/4 108/23 | 85/23 86/11 86/12 86/21 86/23 |
| 76/14 76/16 80/8 90/19 92/6 94/6 | 110/7 111/7 111/7111/10111/18 | 88/2 89/23 90/2 90/8 90/24 92/20 |
| 94/7 94/22 95/8 96/11 144/19 | 114/24 115/16 117/20 117/22 | 93/9 93/11 93/24 93/25 94/11 |
| 156/17 156/19 156/19 156/20 | 119/9 119/19 120/7 120/16 120/17 | 94/24 96/16 96/18 99/1 99/8 |
| tender [5] 21/24 50/6 63/23 70/18 | 122/24 125/21 127/7 137/3 138/4 | 99/22 99/23 100/6 100/19 101/3 |
| 70/20 | 138/16 140/2 140/3 140/10 140/24 | 103/8 103/15 103/17 103/18 104/6 |
| tendering [1] 29/7 | 142/17 142/22 142/23 148/9 149/3 | 104/23 106/21 106/23 106/24 |
| tent [2] 165/10 166/15 | 149/10 152/8 153/2 153/12 154/12 | 107/14 107/21 108/8 108/25 109/4 |
| Teresa [23] 68/9 68/17 84/2 91/1 | 157/14 157/24 157/25 160/21 | 110/16 110/18 110/23 112/2 |
| 91/6 91/10 92/7 101/19 102/7 | 162/12 163/1 163/23 164/22 | 112/15 112/15 114/25 116/7 |
| 116/20 116/21 118/22 132/16 | 165/12 165/13 166/11 167/10 | 118/8 120/11 120/24 121/24 |
| 132/21 139/2 139/9 139/13 139/15 | their [81] 2/4 3/19 8/15 9/189/20 | 123/11 123/12 126/11 126/12 |
| 140/6 142/17 156/23 158/5 161/18 | 9/23 10/11 10/12 10/15 10/19 | 130/18 131/7 137/6 137/11 137/12 |
| term[7] 12/1 17/8 17/13 17/24 | 13/4 13/21 15/18 15/24 15/25 | 137/23 138/2 141/4 142/5 142/9 |
| 50/1 70/11 122/4 | 16/23 20/24 25/11 28/19 33/24 | 142/13 142/18 142/19 143/11 |
| terms [12] 6/13 21/12 25/18 27/13 | 33/24 34/24 35/7 37/5 43/24 | 144/17 144/22 146/1 146/7 146/15 |
| 34/21 73/14 82/20 120/21 129/4 | 43/25 52/18 54/19 57/20 57/24 | 147/21 149/1 149/2 149/20 149/20 |
| 145/25 164/11 164/12 | 58/1 66/2 66/15 66/17 69/4 76/25 | 150/4 150/12 150/24 151/15 |
| terrible [1] 84/16 | 82/10 87/4 87/12 88/15 91/8 | 151/16 157/10 160/5 160/7 161/4 |
| Tesheneck [3] 1/24 | 93/18 100/12 102/18 106/2 107/3 | 162/2 162/21 163/20 164/10 |
| test [10] 9/11 $12 / 1318 / 1195 / 8$ | 109/11 109/17110/6 111/16 | 165/18 165/19 166/6 167/4 |
| 97/10 108/25 112/22 146/11 | 113/20 115/11115/23 125/4 125/5 | there's [58] 3/21 10/14 12/6 |
| 146/12 146/13 | 125/6 125/7 125/8 125/10 126/5 | 23/1 23/11 28/1 35/16 36/14 40/1 |
| tested [1] 168/4 | 126/8 126/9 126/23 126/24 126/25 | 47/21 60/21 62/2 62/10 63/13 |
| testified [3] 19/12 109/20 124/5 | 127/2 136/16 145/16 146/21 | 71/24 77/19 78/15 78/24 78/25 |
| testifies [1] 13/3 | 147/11 147/23 148/6 150/3 152/12 | 78/25 79/15 79/15 81/10 82/17 |
| testify [21] 9/24 10/10 12/8 12/20 | 154/22 155/1 156/12 158/24 161/8 | 85/25 87/17 87/21 95/8 99/6 |
| 12/22 12/23 13/3 13/7 13/12 14/9 | 161/12 161/12 | 103/11 103/13 103/14 103/17 |
| 14/11 15/19 15/24 16/8 16/12 | them[43] $4 / 3$ 10/17 14/6 17/14 | 104/23 104/24 110/5 113/10 |
| 16/24 19/1 19/5 20/7 23/4 115/16 | 19/18 22/16 27/19 28/24 29/20 | 117/19 117/20 132/18 135/23 |
| testifying [1] 161/12 | 43/11 43/12 43/17 56/17 69/4 | 138/25 143/11 143/12 143/17 |
| testimonial [1] 4/24 | 72/14 77/15 87/15 88/12 89/23 | 144/18 146/10 146/12 147/7 147/8 |
| testimony [41] 4/11 5/21 5/23 | 91/13 92/21 93/11 93/13 102/3 | 147/24 152/22 154/17 156/16 |
| 6/21 6/21 9/10 10/16 11/22 12/4 | 104/21 106/3 114/2 114/9 114/11 | 157/17 160/7 166/22 |
| 13/4 13/24 16/6 17/12 17/21 | 115/18 116/7118/21 119/6 119/25 | thereafter [1] 170/11 |
| 18/13 20/24 23/4 33/21 59/25 | 124/19 125/4 136/22 137/1 137/3 | thereby [2] 22/13 102/2 |
| 60/5 61/5 66/2 89/25 93/3 93/10 | 138/1 158/20 160/7 161/11 | therefore [7] 44/12 65/12 78/1 |
| 93/14 106/13 108/10 108/24 111/3 | themselves [6] 45/10 68/18 89/24 | 83/7 102/5 106/4 |
| 123/13 137/16 137/22 138/4 | 115/5 148/7 156/12 | thereof [1] 20/8 |
| 155/11 159/16 160/1 160/6 16 | then [66] 11/1811/19 26/1833/24 | these [71] 6/1 10/9 12/23 14/9 |
| 166/13 166/18 | 35/5 35/6 39/23 45/9 48/6 55/9 | 15/19 17/14 22/15 26/21 39/1 |
| testing [6] 3/7 3/22 6/10 8/2 145/1 | 60/22 61/16 61/16 66/24 67/14 | 39/7 40/25 42/1 42/8 43/4 43/10 |
| 145/2 | 67/15 67/19 68/9 69/17 73/14 | 43/14 43/21 43/24 47/17 47/19 |
| tests [3] 14/19 14/23 109/5 | 75/21 77/1 77/4 78/12 79/17 | 48/1 48/19 49/18 56/16 57/2 62/7 |
| than [37] 7/16 11/15 12/21 14/1 | 80/15 83/21 84/23 85/9 89/3 | 64/10 64/19 65/1 70/13 70/19 |
| 14/13 14/24 22/19 23/10 28/24 | 89/14 91/23 95/18 95/22 96/25 | 72/15 76/25 77/3 77/25 81/2 |
| 29/12 41/13 41/14 48/17 58/18 | 97/21 98/19 99/15 103/18 107/20 | 82/10 89/1 90/19 92/20 93/3 |
| 61/10 62/16 63/3 63/23 63/24 | 122/8 122/8 122/12 137/10 137/11 | 94/15 100/15 101/5 102/13 102/17 |
| 65/23 68/20 74/4 76/2 82/8 87/12 | 138/7 138/11138/14 138/16 | 106/3 108/5 108/14 108/16 110/4 |
| 89/4 90/20 94/19 111/17134/4 | 139/17 140/2 140/24 141/4 147/20 | 110/9 111/1 111/23 113/14113/16 |
| 3/4 145/9 147/25 149/21 154/12 | 148/2 150/5 151/18 156/14 157/1 | 116/16 118/8 120/14 123/7 125/2 |
| 6/16 157/20 | 160/7 162/8 162/14 165/25 166/2 | 125/21 128/22 141/2 151/24 152/5 |
| thank [15] 16/24 23/16 35/13 44/2 47/18 56/11 59/21 84/22 87/3 | 166/9 166/9 | 161/15 165/4 166/22 169/2 169/9 |


understand... [20] 57/12 57/17 64/14 64/17 65/6 65/13 68/23 69/7 73/14 83/14 83/23 89/17 89/20 99/4 $118 / 17$ 129/7 129/16 141/21 158/11 163/22
understanding [3] $11 / 146 / 350 / 8$
understands [2] 41/22 57/19
undertake [1] 49/22
undue [1] 97/8
unduly [2] 47/13 53/20
unearthed [1] 25/13
unfair [4] 44/14 113/10 113/12 144/22
unfolding [1] 64/24
unhelpful [1] 44/15
uniform [2] 125/15 125/16
unimpeachable [1] 44/12
unit [1] 137/24
United [2] 122/24 128/22
universe [1] 152/6
unknown [5] 79/8 101/24 134/6
134/25 135/8
unless [14] 119/3 139/3 139/19
139/21 139/22 142/4 142/16 143/7
143/9 150/12 154/17 154/19 166/5
166/6
unlike [1] 75/10
unmitigated [1] 140/7
unnamed [1] 13/19
unnecessary [1] 102/5
unnoticed [1] 107/18
unprecedented [1] 52/15
unreasonable [1] 142/21
unrelated [2] 80/12 146/21
unreliable [1] 20/13
unsecured [1] 110/22
unsolicited [1] 162/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { until [18] } & 23 / 21 & 38 / 16 ~ 39 / 3 ~ 40 / 2\end{array}$
43/16 49/4 53/18 $116 / 17132 / 6$
133/22 135/4 136/17 137/4 138/6
139/10 146/9 146/14 165/17
unusual [3] 31/10 78/7 109/11
unwilling [1] 29/4
up [54] $2 / 19$ 4/6 10/1 $13 / 515 / 14$ 16/17 35/10 37/10 37/20 37/22
$43 / 1844 / 144 / 446 / 449 / 1059 / 6$
60/1 62/21 64/8 65/18 71/20
78/11 78/14 96/19 98/11 99/11
99/17 99/25 100/3 100/12 101/13
103/8 103/25 104/21 114/17
120/20 129/4 131/4 132/10 132/12
132/16 139/23 140/8 140/12 141/4
143/3 143/4 143/17 148/15 149/22
155/14 156/1 159/10 162/3
upfront [1] 24/14
upon [2] 8/25 166/4
upper [1] 96/19
upping [2] 122/9 122/9
us [32] $3 / 43 / 84 / 59 / 17$ 9/2 1 9/22 9/23 10/2 10/6 10/10 10/14 10/18 11/5 15/18 15/21 15/24 16/9 16/18 16/23 25/21 32/19 49/17 88/1 88/5 89/4 102/5 111/21 121/16 123/17 128/20 133/12 147/4
use [10] 14/8 17/8 28/25 50/1 58/12 79/11 79/12 95/9 110/24 148/5
used [7] 17/12 21/16 29/14 110/19
110/19 122/4 141/1
useful [1] 121/24
uses [2] 17/24 157/7
using [1] 13/1
usual [2] $63 / 1763 / 18$
V
vague [1] 12/10
value [9] $51 / 1780 / 17$ 97/5 97/17
99/19 99/20 144/11 144/12 145/16
variance [1] 46/23
variety [1] 48/20
various [1] 12/15
vehicle [19] 41/3 108/4 119/17
133/10 136/4 136/10 137/13
137/17 137/18 137/22 138/1 138/5
138/10 162/14 163/3 163/13
164/14 165/7 166/2
venue [1] 30/8
verbatim [1] 18/24
verdict [1] 105/12
verified [1] 109/17
version [3] 88/9 116/9 150/1
versus [1] 105/24
very [44] 14/10 15/16 15/16 32/11 43/10 43/16 45/5 47/8 55/11 61/18 63/24 63/25 65/2 65/18 65/18 68/24 69/1 73/17 83/1 88/7 88/7 98/18 98/22 99/6 101/6 112/4 116/1 118/24 118/24 120/7 122/15 131/10 151/5 153/8 153/24
154/3 154/12 154/13 155/19 156/8
157/18 165/4 167/22 169/11
viable [3] 65/12 85/10 88/16
vial [32] 3/21 4/10 4/19 4/20 5/1
5/5 6/22 8/3 27/6 32/20 34/1
47/23 49/3 98/15 103/1 116/3
129/5 131/4 131/6 136/2 144/24
146/10 146/14 147/16 148/2 148/3
148/24 149/2 149/18 157/11
157/12 158/3
victim [9] 63/15 99/8 99/8 99/13
99/13 99/16 132/1 153/22 154/10
victim's [9] $41 / 3$ 75/7 99/14 99/15
132/3 132/4 138/24 140/13 153/21
video [4] 52/17 157/5 157/5
157/11
view [11] 13/21 19/2 23/13 30/19
31/16 52/20 55/5 89/23 112/8
165/9 165/10
viewed [1] $44 / 5$
views [1] 52/21
VIN [1] 120/7
vindictive [1] 122/13
virtual [1] 40/14
virtue [2] 126/7 129/22
vision [2] 65/20 82/14
visually [2] 109/2 109/4
volition [1] 166/4
volunteer [2] 133/7 164/17
volunteered [1] 156/6
volunteers [1] 111/25
W
wait [2] 61/18 84/18
waiting [1] $27 / 10$
waiving [1] 89/10
walk [1] 111/9
walks [1] 91/6
wander [1] 136/9
want [46] 4/9 4/13 4/18 12/1 29/18 38/17 48/23 52/12 54/18 55/17 60/2 60/23 61/19 64/15 65/6 66/15 66/18 66/23 67/13 71/3
$72 / 1072 / 1172 / 1372 / 2172 / 25$

73/7 79/16 95/13 97/24 98/25
99/1 101/12 101/13 104/2 106/23
111/21 112/12 117/14 140/4 147/4 158/9 158/15 164/2 164/5 164/7 165/20
wanted [10] $24 / 1333 / 1056 / 18$ 64/1 64/3 71/9 73/25 92/15
152/15 153/13
wants [5] 33/22 37/9 58/15 95/3 101/14
warns [1] 83/2
warrant [3] 120/9 120/10 138/22
was [238]
wasn't [7] 92/13 99/13 99/14 100/1 112/16 141/7 159/22
waste [7] 80/20 113/9 114/24
115/14115/23 144/13 157/23
watching [1] 128/7
way[31] $10 / 1411 / 16$ 12/1 $14 / 1$
21/25 35/10 35/11 44/1861/3 66/10 67/10 69/23 77/3 79/13 95/5 99/5 101/3 102/11 102/19 103/14 104/12 106/19 108/17 121/17 139/1 144/3 153/9 154/17 154/25 160/21 164/13
ways [1] 110/17
we [222]
we'd [1] 139/7
we'Il [14] 6/23 26/12 26/12 26/20 55/9 60/15 60/22 61/3 61/18 97/21 142/5 142/9 142/9 162/2 we're [62] $3 / 23 / 53 / 76 / 66 / 24$ 12/12 13/1 27/10 27/12 40/11 $43 / 19$ 46/3 47/1 53/4 53/10 54/2 55/21 59/2 60/25 61/16 61/17 62/19 62/20 63/22 65/15 66/25 69/14 71/19 73/17 73/18 76/19 $82 / 11$ 85/24 88/3 92/3 92/4 94/14 102/22 103/10 104/4 106/23 111/8 111/18 116/14 125/2 137/7 138/9 139/5 146/17 146/24 147/3 147/5 147/13 147/15 148/14 149/9
149/12 149/13 149/14 149/19
155/17 157/4
weak [1] 105/13
wears [1] 125/15
weather [1] 166/16
websites [1] 52/19
Wednesday [6] 23/22 56/2 56/10 132/23 132/24 133/2
week [2] 55/23 56/9
weekend [3] 56/1 158/10 169/4 weeks[5] 4/2 111/24 114/20
158/19 161/15
weigh [1] 104/8
weighing [1] 125/24
weight [1] $84 / 10$
well [72] $2 / 15$ 5/9 6/3 7/8 12/9
16/7 16/8 16/13 19/23 25/17 32/6
34/2 34/25 42/15 43/10 47/8
53/15 57/7 60/9 61/18 62/13 65/4
66/3 67/15 67/16 70/5 73/23 78/6
79/11 81/12 82/2 83/25 85/23
87/10 92/9 95/7 95/24 97/13
98/18 98/22 102/9 104/22 106/12
107/11 108/3 108/22 109/22
113/15 114/17115/1 117/17
117/25 120/1 127/16 135/20 137/3
138/9 138/17 138/25 139/18
142/12 143/22 147/21 153/24
160/6 160/20 160/25 163/18 164/8
167/2 168/21 169/11
Wendy [1] 133/24
went [6] 71/17 81/13 84/6 104/17 104/18 138/2
were [66] 13/16 14/10 30/11 32/8 39/19 40/22 41/22 65/7 65/10 65/11 68/23 69/16 69/24 76/3 86/8 92/20 92/21 92/22 93/9 93/11 96/23 99/23 102/18 107/4 117/16 118/2 118/12 118/14119/2 119/13 120/5 120/13 120/20 126/4 126/9 126/13 126/23 127/3 132/15 134/19 137/21 $138 / 18$ 139/5 139/9 139/10 139/12 140/5 140/8 140/18 140/19 141/16 141/20 143/9 147/6 147/10 154/19 155/5 155/12 158/18 158/23 159/1 159/9 161/7 163/7 164/18 166/15
weren't [5] 14/23 137/23 141/18 141/25 142/2
Westlaw [2] 15/4 76/9
what [166] 3/14 5/11 5/23 7/2 7/24 9/1 9/15 9/17 9/22 10/1 10/10 10/11 10/11 10/12 10/15 10/15 10/19 12/7 13/1 13/6 13/16 14/10 14/10 14/16 $15 / 1715 / 18$ 15/24 15/25 16/10 16/13 16/13 16/16 16/23 17/21 19/1 19/9 19/1 1 19/18 19/24 19/25 19/25 20/12 20/20 20/25 21/12 21/12 21/1 8 22/4 23/25 24/23 29/1 29/13 29/23 30/10 31/5 32/18 32/25 33/21 34/6 34/20 34/21 35/3 35/3 40/11 40/22 41/19 $41 / 22$ 55/20 60/4 61/1 64/25 65/6 65/16 67/13 67/22 67/23 70/16 $72 / 773 / 1874 / 274 / 1275 / 25$ 76/18 76/22 81/5 81/24 82/10 82/25 83/9 83/1 1 83/14 88/20 88/22 89/4 89/17 90/2 90/17 91/24 91/24 92/19 92/23 92/25 93/1 93/20 94/12 95/18 96/7 99/4 99/17 99/24 100/13 102/7 105/2 105/9 105/24 107/3 107/4 107/20 110/1110/7111/18111/21113/5 113/9 116/9 116/13117/15 118/1 118/18 119/1 123/15 126/10 126/11 126/12 128/19 129/7 129/14 131/14 131/15 146/11 146/12 147/6 149/4 149/10 149/23 150/4 150/10 151/4 154/2 154/12 157/4 157/7 158/10 160/4 160/20 160/22 161/11 162/15 162/25 163/1 1 163/20 164/6 164/9 166/23 167/8 168/18
what's [5] 26/5 81/19 94/15 97/4 135/23
whatever [13] $4 / 5$ 14/21 14/22 $73 / 3107 / 20110 / 21115 / 3120 / 13$ 124/8 156/13 161/21 163/19 164/4 wheel [3] 153/17 154/7 154/12 when [67] 6/11 15/3 17/24 37/22 50/11 57/13 62/25 63/1 65/21 67/16 69/1 73/10 73/11 78/12 $80 / 1084 / 1685 / 1586 / 986 / 15$ 87/19 91/10 93/16 98/8 99/18 102/10 102/10 103/10 107/6 108/11 112/8 112/11 113/19 114/11115/7115/9115/12115/20 116/10 $119 / 17$ 120/9 120/20 122/3 122/4 135/1 144/3 146/5 147/9 147/10 148/14 149/7 149/17 149/19 151/7 151/8 151/22 151/23
$153 / 12153 / 22154 / 2156 / 3158 / 13$ 160/14 162/24 164/15 164/25 165/12 167/24
where [49] 4/20 6/19 33/7 36/1 39/1 8 40/23 40/24 42/14 43/6 49/17 51/6 53/3 54/2 54/4 63/8 63/8 63/15 63/25 65/15 70/2 71/19 72/19 78/9 78/14 84/14 86/20 91/14 97/1 97/3 105/15 109/9 119/1 $119 / 13$ 120/5 120/16 124/7 128/18 129/23 133/21 $134 / 20138 / 6142 / 11144 / 1144 / 5$ 146/17 153/13 154/13 157/13 165/8
whereabouts [1] 106/16
whether [62] $3 / 21$ 7/18 18/11 20/2
20/3 24/9 24/11 24/15 24/19 25/3
28/17 36/20 38/8 41/18 45/16
57/23 57/25 58/7 60/23 65/10
65/1 1 67/20 68/16 69/19 78/8
79/11 79/12 80/3 80/8 80/22
86/21 88/23 91/17 91/25 93/2
96/3 96/9 96/11 96/25 97/15
98/11 $103 / 11$ 103/20 109/6 110/18 114/7 $117 / 9$ 120/13 120/22 120/24 124/5 126/11 129/21 129/22 144/11 146/2 146/3 148/1 151/23 160/3 164/14 165/12
which [92] $2 / 203 / 136 / 37 / 48 / 13$ 9/2 9/20 17/24 18/16 21/5 22/1 25/2 27/5 29/5 29/15 31/19 31/20 $33 / 7$ 34/12 36/23 37/3 38/7 39/3 $40 / 140 / 1540 / 1641 / 341 / 341 / 6$ 41/15 41/23 43/19 44/6 44/17
$45 / 345 / 1846 / 246 / 647 / 1047 / 21$ 48/4 48/7 48/23 49/19 51/10 51/22 52/4 52/9 53/7 54/8 54/20
56/14 60/1 62/15 67/3 68/13 69/2 69/17 70/23 75/23 76/14 88/1 88/9 91/12 94/5 94/23 99/25 102/2 112/21 113/23 114/13 114/14 116/22 121/23 123/3 124/9 125/13 128/4 128/5 $132 / 11145 / 7$ 148/8 154/25 155/8 155/20 157/9 159/19 160/10 160/17 161/10 162/6 167/16
while [6] 16/4 70/6 92/16 153/15
153/20 159/17
White [1] 12/9
Whitley [1] 29/21
who [60] 4/20 8/20 28/23 29/25
30/1 30/24 38/9 39/19 40/6 52/19
59/13 59/23 61/12 63/2 63/5
63/12 64/21 65/7 65/24 66/4
68/1 1 68/14 73/7 79/6 82/24
86/24 88/20 88/24 92/7 92/21
92/22 92/22 93/9 98/19 99/15
117/8 119/20 121/6 123/22 125/12 125/15 128/12 131/1 134/18 141/3 147/6 147/9 147/21 147/25 150/8 156/2 156/6 156/8 156/22 160/2 160/17 164/17 164/24 165/1 166/10
who murdered [1] 92/7
Who'll [1] 23/14
who's [7] 69/10 70/3 107/25 108/1
124/2 128/2 128/2
whole [12] $4 / 12$ 26/19 34/4 68/1 70/2 76/12 76/18 104/8 105/7 120/6 144/5 164/13
whom [2] 93/15 130/2
why [29] $35 / 935 / 1049 / 151 / 16$ 66/3 67/7 67/15 67/19 67/20 69/9

73/15 75/1 84/7 96/2 96/5 99/5
100/22 102/4 104/11 119/9 123/19 125/21 127/7 150/6 150/7 150/7 157/25 157/25 168/3
widespread [1] 53/4
Wiegert [6] 27/22 155/4 161/22 162/5 162/9 163/9
wife [7] 99/15 104/20 131/19
131/20 132/1 132/2 140/15
will [120] $2 / 4$ 2/16 $2 / 202 / 233 / 7$ 3/16 6/21 8/21 8/22 11/2 11/4 11/5 12/21 $13 / 4$ 13/6 13/7 13/12 14/19 14/21 14/21 16/24 20/25 21/3 21/6 22/19 25/23 26/4 26/6 26/18 28/10 28/13 32/14 33/4 $33 / 7$ 34/1 $136 / 736 / 2037 / 10$ $37 / 2038 / 238 / 738 / 1240 / 640 / 8$ $40 / 15$ 43/1 43/4 45/4 47/16 48/2 48/20 49/11 50/10 52/10 54/13 54/17 54/24 55/4 55/7 56/3 57/6 57/19 58/9 58/10 58/10 58/11 58/11 58/13 59/18 60/22 61/22 61/23 62/5 62/12 64/25 65/3 67/15 68/2 71/2 77/19 84/4 84/9 85/9 89/9 93/3 93/9 93/9 93/12 93/13 97/21 97/23 98/18 98/19 100/19 112/18 112/25 113/4 124/19 124/19 125/3 129/10 131/1 131/3131/4 131/7 131/7138/3 141/14 142/12 145/5 148/17 148/20 159/15 162/2 164/6 164/10 165/18 167/7 167/21 169/5
Williams [1] 2/13
Williamson [2] 150/10 151/23
Willis [1] 1/9
wind [1] 166/16
window [2] 135/3 135/4
windows [1] 164/11
Wis [2] $15 / 1018 / 3$
WISCONSIN [16] $1 / 1$ 1/3 1/12 $1 / 14$
1/16 2/2 2/7 20/8 20/14 62/18 71/17 71/17 73/12 168/6 170/1 170/6
wish [9] 26/10 40/5 60/12 60/16
$62 / 11$ 76/24 79/1 89/15 143/18
wishes [3] 14/22 43/2 47/11
wishing [1] 75/13
withdraw [1] 110/20
within [15] 8/13 22/20 24/17 30/3
30/4 30/22 31/5 41/5 42/10 53/10
55/15 56/22 123/18 129/23 134/19
without [9] $13 / 938 / 2345 / 267 / 10$ 91/3 100/22 105/18 107/21 120/8
witness [26] 5/16 9/4 12/20 13/2
13/3 13/9 13/15 23/2 23/3 33/3 43/16 102/24 110/1 $111 / 24112 / 6$ 115/15 120/23 121/9 121/20 122/2 124/16 124/17 127/11 127/24 150/14 150/19
witness' [5] $4 / 11$ 23/3 121/10 121/11 127/17
witnesses [28] $3 / 43 / 103 / 103 / 14$ 5/11 6/23 7/5 8/20 14/8 17/4 20/23 40/21 46/9 78/9 86/20 105/16 115/1 $115 / 20$ 123/13 123/21 124/1 129/8 141/20 146/2 149/8 151/25 152/5 152/7
woefully [1] 135/21
wonder[1] 66/19
word [5] 11/4 38/17 125/22 127/9 144/18
words [6] 76/10 117/14 121/17 123/23 125/18 148/7

| W | 66/20 78/13 99/22 102/20 106/17 107/14 128/2 141/3 155/12 159/1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { work [14] } 14 / 2119 / 1719 / 1837 / 13 \\ & 98 / 2106 / 17108 / 9111 / 18123 / 17 \\ & 125 / 7125 / 16128 / 18146 / 24 \\ & 158 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | 169/10 169/16 |
|  | yield [1] 30/21 |
|  | York [1] 73/11 |
|  | you [204] |
| worked [2] 107/3 108/9 | you'll [1] 55/25 |
| working [3] 31/4 130/22 159/17 | you're [3] 55/24 74/14 166/8 |
| works [2] 107/5 130/19 | your [34] 2/7 3/1 4/9 4/25 5/5 5/7 |
| world [2] 82/19 128/2 | 6/17 8/22 8/24 15/2 15/23 16/10 |
| worth [1] 163/1 | 16/10 16/16 38/11 40/10 55/16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { would [118] 4/5 5/106/146/15 } \\ & 6 / 207 / 17 / 137 / 139 / 110 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 56/3 61/24 62/5 64/14 66/9 73/23 |
|  | 74/1183/18 95/17117/15 121/4 |
| 13/19 14/11 16/22 20/16 22/12 | 121/6 152/10 160/18 164/2 165/19 |
| 23/16 23/17 23/23 24/1 24/20 | 165/24 |
| 25/5 26/22 27/2 27/8 29/5 31/5 | yours [1] 62/9 |
| $32 / 2433 / 234 / 1736 / 736 / 18$ | yourself [1] 164/25 |
| $38 / 2542 / 1643 / 2244 / 1845 / 14$ <br> $48 / 18$ 50/3 50/5 55/15 55/16 | Z |
| $48 / 1850 / 350 / 555 / 1555 / 16$ |  |
| 61/11 68/19 69/23 77/6 80/16 | zoom [1] 157/7 |
| 81/5 81/25 82/15 89/8 89/21 |  |
| 89/22 93/20 93/25 95/20 95/22 |  |
| 98/14 102/15 103/19 105/11 |  |
| 106/24 107/11 108/7 109/4 110/23 |  |
| 111/3114/22 114/25 115/1 115/23 |  |
| 116/10 117/9117/11117/11 |  |
| 117/18117/18118/11118/13 |  |
| 118/21 119/4 127/10 127/22 |  |
| 128/11 128/14 129/6 129/13 130/2 |  |
| 130/3 130/12 $136 / 8136 / 24138 / 14$ |  |
| 143/8 143/20 145/8 146/1 152/23 |  |
| $154 / 14155 / 9157 / 3157 / 15158 / 13$$160 / 2163 / 13164 / 16165 / 25$ |  |
|  |  |
| $160 / 2163 / 13164 / 16165 / 25$ $166 / 17166 / 23167 / 16167 / 25$ |  |
| 166/17 166/23 167/16 167/25 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { wouldn't [5] } 73 / 1573 \\ & 126 / 16137 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| write [3] 160/8 160/12 161/2 |  |
| writing [7] 22/9 40/5 65/17 72/8 |  |
|  |  |
| written [20] 9/3 9/8 9/19 10/18 |  |
| 11/20 13/16 13/23 17/19 35/9 |  |
| 46/1850/11 54/20 62/6 98/24 |  |
| 103/6 161/10 165/6 166/24 166/25 |  |
|  |  |
| wrong [5] 80/21 $147 / 14154 / 22$$154 / 23158 / 13$ |  |
|  |  |
| wrongdoing [1] 159/5 |  |
| wrongful [9] 98/12 111/5 142/1$150 / 20151 / 14151 / 17166 / 23$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 150 / 20151 / 14151 / 17166 / 2 \\ & 167 / 17167 / 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| wrongfully [2] 168/3 168/14 |  |
| wrongly [1] 101/17wrote [2] 61/25 62/8 |  |
|  |  |
| Y |  |
| ```yard [5] 87/20 90/11 96/19 133/9 155/4 yeah [1] 104/19 year [8] 47/22 82/23 91/1 115/21 125/11 131/23 135/3 135/13 years [12] 21/22 50/19 71/15 78/13 96/7 116/12 116/16 133/12 133/13 134/11 159/6 168/15 yes [23] \(21 / 7\) 23/16 33/17 47/7 47/18 55/25 56/6 72/2 72/11 85/7 85/20 87/19 98/21 116/25 125/14 127/10 129/12 129/12 130/1 131/3 159/12 162/13 162/17 yesterday [1] 11/12 yet [22] \(3 / 73 / 2427 / 1332 / 536 / 23\) 37/2 37/16 45/19 57/15 61/6``` |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

STATE OF WISCONSIN : CIRCUIT COURT : MANITOWOC COUNTY BRANCH 1

STATE OF WISCONSIN,
PLAINTIFF, MOTION HEARING JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE
vs. Case No. 05 CF 381

STEVEN A. AVERY,
DEFENDANT.

DATE: JANUARY 29,2007
BEFORE: Hon. Patrick L. Willis
Circuit Court Judge
APPEARANCES: KENNETH R. KRATZ
Special Prosecutor
On behalf of the State of Wisconsin.
THOMAS J. FALLON
Special Prosecutor
On behalf of the State of Wisconsin.
NORMAN A. GAHN
Special Prosecutor
On behalf of the State of Wisconsin.
DEAN A. STRANG
Attorney at Law
On behalf of the Defendant.
JEROME F. BUTING
Attorney at Law
On behalf of the Defendant.
STEVEN A. AVERY
Defendant
Appeared in person.
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Reported by Diane Tesheneck, RPR
Official Court Reporter

THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon, Assistant District Attorney Norm Gahn, all appearing as Special Prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning, Steven Avery is here in person. He's represented by Jerome Buting of Buting and Williams, and Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton.

THE COURT: Very well. We had proceedings set today to begin at 8:30 for the purpose of administering jury questionnaires. Late last week there were a few motions filed which needed to be addressed before we begin the process of administering the jury questionnaires. Therefore, the Court set this matter for a hearing this morning.

The first item to take up is a motion that was filed by the defense to dismiss the sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment charges. Mr. Strang, I believe you
will be heard with respect to that motion.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you. In chambers, the Court had reached an understanding with counsel that the State, as I understand that, that agreement we reached in chambers, the State would announce it's intention to call Brendan Dassey in its case-in-chief, or not, by the close of business on January 22, 2007, a week ago.

When that day passed and the next, without word from the State, I concluded that silence had to be taken as an intention not to call young Mr. Dassey in the State's case-in-chief and considered, then, what to do about that.

This is something that has been close in mind for me since March 10, 2006, the filing of the Amended Information and the substantial disagreement that the parties had over the requirement, if any, that Wisconsin law imposed for the State to show, at least probable cause, for the first degree sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment charges that the Amended Information added, apparently by timing and by content of the Dassey Complaint, solely or almost solely on the strength of Brendan Dassey's
statements inculpating himself.
Now, with the benefit of about 10 months, since March 10, and a review of the discovery, it appears to me, at least, that absent Mr. Dassey's testimony in Steven Avery's trial, there is no evidence, none, to support the charges of first degree sexual assault and kidnapping.

And while it is, I concede, a somewhat closer call, I don't think the State can support the charge of false imprisonment either, which includes an essential element of confinement or restraint. And because coercion is another essential element of false imprisonment, necessarily, means confinement or restraint during someone's lifetime, in their consciousness, or at least during their lifetime.

I think the State's evidence of that, if not naught, is clearly insufficient to sustain any reasonable fact finder in concluding that one or both of those essential elements of false imprisonment could be proven here.

I, therefore, on January 24 , submitted our motion to dismiss the three counts that the Amended Information added. And, indeed, as I
understand the evidence the State has disclosed to the defense to date, as of March 10, 2006, not only did the State have no corroboration for the essential details of the first degree sexual assault, kidnapping, and false imprisonment charges filed by Amended Information, no corroboration beyond Brendan Dassey, the physical evidence that was known, or surely should have been known to the State, for months, on March 10, 2006, tended to disprove, conclusively, some of Brendan Dassey's allegations.

So when Brendan Dassey said that I got off that school bus, and as picking up the narrative that the special prosecutor regaled a live TV audience with, and went down to the Steven Avery trailer, and claimed that he heard screaming coming from inside, and that he knocked on the door and there was no answer for awhile, and eventually a sweaty Steven Avery came to the door, and there in the back bedroom, manacled to a bed, was Teresa Halbach.

When those claims were being made on
live television, the State knew that the school bus driver, who had dropped Brendan Dassey off that very time, and has no conceivable reason to
have lied, being a school bus driver, said that when she dropped him off, she saw Teresa Halbach, or a woman, out taking photographs of a van. I guess she wasn't manacled to a bed in Steven Avery's trailer if she was standing outside taking photographs as Brendan Dassey got off that bus.

And on March 10, when this Amended Information was filed, following eight and nine days after the successive live news conferences, the State had physical evidence, in its possession, making it impossible to believe that someone had been stabbed and slashed repeatedly on Steven Avery's bed. There was no blood in that bedroom.

And when Brendan Dassey said that we cut off some of her hair, or I did, at Mr. Avery's request, with a large knife, the State knew, or should have known, that not one strand of Teresa Halbach's hair was found anywhere in Steven Avery's trailer; indeed, not one detectable trace of Teresa Halbach's DNA, hair, blood, anything else, anywhere in his trailer. Not an iota of physical evidence to support the proposition that she was ever in the trailer, let alone raped
there, imprisoned falsely there, held there as the victim of a kidnapping. None.

Is there any other evidence in the massive discovery, that $I$ commend opposing counsel for providing to us, any other evidence at all, to support a claim of first degree sexual assault, if we set aside Brendan Dassey as a witness in this case? To my eyes, the answer is no. None. Zero.

In 20 minutes, we're going to have a jury panel brought in here and they will need to be told, if we are to understand sensibly their answers, and if they are to answer sensibly, a 12 or 15 page questionnaire, whatever it is. They will need to be told what charges are to be tried, what charges are we to hear, what allegations is the State making against the man accused.

And where there's no evidence,
evidently, I will stand corrected if there is, and I'm sure counsel will correct me if I'm wrong. But where in my line of sight there is no evidence to support a first degree sexual assault charge, or a kidnapping charge and insufficient evidence at best to support a false imprisonment
charge, this jury should not be told that those charges exist and, indeed, they ought be dismissed.

We can address, then, whether that's a sufficient remedy. I think it's not. I think some further action will have to be taken by this Court to counteract the affect of allegations made against one young man, Defendant $A$, in a separate case, that were imported, although inadmissible, imported in the public mind, to impugn the presumed innocence of Defendant $B$, in an entirely separate case.

But the issue, first, is dismissal and, then, what more would be required.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. After the State files an Information, the Defense has an opportunity, and in most instances an obligation, to bring a motion to dismiss. Mr. Strang did that on the 3rd of May, when he brought a motion to dismiss those three additional counts in the Information.

And this Court, after finding probable cause, believed that the six counts against Mr. Avery were supported by evidence that, if a jury was to hear, could be believed and could
sustain a conviction. Let me remind the Court, let me remind Mr . Strang, that it is the State's decision what charges go forward against a State defendant, not the defense attorney's and with all due respect, Judge, not the Court's.

The decision, however, on what to go to trial with and when that decision has to be made, is something that is ripe for discussion this morning. And although not a decision for the Court, and not a decision at the urging of the defense, this Court has to consider what factors the State must take into consideration when determining what charges go forward.

Necessarily in this case, and quite unfortunately in this case, we then have to talk about Brendan Dassey. I say, unfortunately, because under most circumstances, in fact, save this circumstance, I can't think of a time when it would be appropriate, in open court, on television, to discuss the status and the nature of plea negotiations in an ongoing case, in an ongoing criminal prosecution. I'm talking about Mr. Dassey's prosecution.

But Mr. Strang has made some of those things public. And in the Dassey case, some of
those things have been made public. So I'm going to limit my discussion of the plea negotiations in Dassey's case, to that which has already been public.

This Court already knows that in May of last year, 2006, a plea agreement had all been reached with Mr. Dassey, which included Mr. Dassey's deal, decision, agreement, to testify truthfully, in the Steven Avery case. When Mr. Strang so eloquently stands up and says the State has no proof, perhaps Mr. Strang forgets those times, or back to the time when Mr. Dassey had, in effect and in and through his lawyer, agreed to enter a plea and to testify against Mr. Avery.

It wasn't until Mr. Kachinsky, through a whole different story, was stripped of his ability to handle felony prosecutions by the State Public Defender's Office which, by the way, was almost instantaneously reinstated after Mr. Kachinsky agreed to get off of the Dassey case, that new lawyers were appointed in the case and plea negotiations began anew with Mr. Dassey.

This Court knows that those plea negotiations have been ongoing. And this Court
knows that the deadline for those plea negotiations was the 22 nd of January. I agree with Mr. Strang that that was the time when the State was to hear from Mr. Fremgen, who is Mr. Dassey's new lawyer, as to whether or not, first of all, Mr. Dassey was going to change his plea; and, secondly, and perhaps more importantly, that if called as a witness in the Avery case, what posture, what position, would Mr. Dassey take.

This Court knows, that on the 24 th of January, two days after the deadline, I received notification from Mr. Fremgen. I alerted the Court, I sent the Court and counsel a copy of Mr. Fremgen's letter setting forth what Mr. Dassey's position would be, if he was called.

Importantly, Judge, that letter indicates that Mr. Dassey, although if called in the Avery case would invoke his Fifth Amendment right against self incrimination, if granted use immunity by the Court, presumably upon a direction by the State, that Mr. Dassey would testify, would testify in the State's case-in-chief, would testify on rebuttal, or would testify when the State chooses to call him.

And, therefore, there is evidence as to the two counts that Mr. Strang claims, again, so fervently, that there's no evidence for, that Mr. Dassey would testify.

Now, that doesn't stop the analysis by the State. The three attorneys at this table have had long discussions about whether we should proceed with all six counts, or whether we should proceed with the four counts that don't require Brendan Dassey's testimony. That, again, Judge, is a decision solely within the province of the State, solely in the province of this table, as a matter of fact, as to whether or not we're going to proceed.

That decision doesn't have to be made by law until jeopardy attaches, until the jury is instructed by the Court as to what the charges are. Now, I understand that it is this Court's preference to tell the jury, today, what those charges are going to be, that within the context of the supplemental jury questionnaire to alert the jurors as to what those counts are going to be.

And so that puts us in a dilemma, if you will, in that the State wished to wait until

Friday, the 2nd, as we have told you in correspondence, as to whether or not we intended to call Brendan Dassey. If put to that question today, our inclination would be not to call Brendan Dassey, to either save Brendan Dassey for our case-in-chief, upon a grant of use immunity, or to save Brendan for rebuttal testimony, again, upon a grant of use immunity.

Certainly, though, this Court would agree that he is available to testify in the Steven Avery case. And so, if we're going to instruct the jury as to what the charges against Mr. Avery are going to be, it is the safer position to just name the four charges from which we do not need Brendan Dassey. Again, our preference, if $I$ can reiterate, is to wait until the 2nd, is to wait until Friday, when the State can decide how to proceed.

Would, or is it possible that Brendan Dassey would change his mind about a plea agreement, between now and Friday, absolutely. Given the ongoing nature of the agreements, that's always possible. But, for practical purposes, if the Court wishes to know, wishes an inclination by the State, I'm happy to give that.

But the bottom line is, this Court does not have the authority to dismiss those two counts. The State still could, if it chose, call Mr. Dassey. Mr. Dassey was named as a witness. When it was time to name our lay witnesses, Brendan Dassey was named. He's included on our witness list and so there shouldn't be any surprise as to whether we can proceed with Mr. Dassey or not.

We may choose to call any of those witnesses that we have named. We may choose to only call a subset of those witnesses, and Brendan Dassey is certainly one of those people that's within that category.

But to suggest that the State has no evidence, to suggest even further than that, that there need be some, what the defense has called, "strong curative instruction", the State believes is absolutely absurd. Any time use immunity is conferred in a case, when there is two defendants, which isn't unusual, those of us that have been practicing criminal law for a long time know that happens once in awhile, especially when one defendant has given an inculpatory statement, which inculpates another, those are called
interlocking confessions. And when that happens, it is not usual for use immunity to be conferred. To suggest, though, that the jury has to be somehow informed, even before they are selected, as to the nuance of the use immunity statute, as to whether the State chooses to call a witness or not, and, specifically, in this case, that there should be some negative inference taken, by the fact that the State chooses not to call somebody, or that the State chooses not to proceed on two counts, is simply not supported by law.

We're asking, then, that the Court reject, first of all, the Defense motion to dismiss. We're asking that the Court reject the inclination to provide some curative instruction, which certainly would prejudice the State; the prejudice to the State, Judge, should be obvious.

If we have to start this case swimming upstream, if you will, in the face of some instruction given to the jury that they should be taking some negative view of the State, then we intend to proceed on all six counts. We will. If that's what this Court's inclination is, we will go forward on all six counts. We will ask
the Court to grant Mr. Dassey use immunity and we will proceed on those six counts.

If, however, the State is not so inclined -- excuse me -- if the Court is not so inclined, if the Court, like every other case, is going to proceed to jury selection without providing this strong curative instruction, or not further contaminating the potential jury pool, which recent pre-trial publicity, the State believes and the State's argued already has, then it is our inclination to proceed on the four counts. Again, those four counts that do not require Brendan Dassey, allowing us, as is within our province, to make a decision as the trial proceeds whether we call Brendan in our case-in-chief, whether we call him in rebuttal, or whether we choose not to call him at all. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Before I turn it back to Mr. Strang, within your argument is there a motion to dismiss the sexual assault and kidnapping charges, or not?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not until the Judge rules on this motion. And -- And I don't mean to be cute with the Court, and I think you understand, Judge,
that it's the curative instruction, your inclination that causes the State the most concern. I am, and I have, as you know, been candid with the Court, as a matter of courtesy. I have told you all along what our intention was going to be.

Without that curative instruction, the State, I will tell the Court, as I have told you candidly, intends to proceed on four counts, rather than six. But, if the Court is going to give a curative instruction, then we do have that objection.

THE COURT: I understand. Mr. Strang, briefly.

ATTORNEY STRANG: All due respect to counsel, the State is supposed to start every criminal case swimming upstream. And the strong current against which the State is supposed to be swimming is a presumption of innocence. That presumption of innocence has been eroded, if not eliminated, here, by the spectre of Brendan Dassey offering admissible, as opposed to inadmissible evidence.

It is too late to sit on the fence here. We can't very well tell this jury that there are four counts to be tried, and then tell them on
next Monday, oh, by the way, there is also a rape and a kidnapping. You can't do it. Neither can either side, as a practical matter, give an opening statement in this case, without knowing whether the alleged accomplice is testifying or not.

Now, Mr. Kratz was candid enough to concede, if one listened carefully, that Mr. Dassey is available to him, legally. The State can move for use immunity, the Court, then, grants that immunity. The case law suggests that the Defense has very little to say about that State request.

It is just too late, now, 10 months after these charges were filed, to say we'll tell you later. Because the jury questionnaire process is beginning and we can't rely on the answers these jurors give to whether they can try this case fairly, unless they know what it is they are trying, in outline. It is just too late.

And I agree, as an abstract matter, with much of what Mr. Kratz says about the black letter. The State decides what charges it will push forward on and which it will not.

And I, also, let me be clear, if Brendan Dassey takes the witness stand on a similar chair, one county over, he has admissible evidence to offer, once he's sworn under oath. It may hurt Mr. Avery; it may help Mr. Avery; it may do a little of both. But it is admissible evidence, once he takes the stand. And until he sits down in a chair like that, nothing he's got to say is admissible on the State's offering, or at the State's instance, or over Defense objection.

So the State has all the information it needs today, it's had it for months, to decide whether it's calling Mr. Dassey, to prove the three charges it added in the Amended Information, or not. This Court has a right, in managing this trial, and in selecting a jury, and ideally having it not be a waste of time, to know what charges it's trying, with the jury it's picking. And counsel have a right to be able to assess the answers these jurors give, on the knowledge that the jurors were given accurate information about something as basic as what the allegations are.

THE COURT: All right.

ATTORNEY STRANG: It's time to decide.
THE COURT: First, a couple of comments with respect to the procedure for jury selection in this case. Because of the large number of jurors in the panel, the parties have previously agreed that we're going to be using a procedure in which, in large part, the questionnaire is taking the place of questions that would typically be asked on general voir dire.

It's a little different in the sense that the questionnaire is going to be filled out by the parties, off the record. But there certainly are similarities between the questions that are on the questionnaire and what typically is asked in general voir dire.

In order for the jurors to give accurate answers, and for the parties to assess those answers, I think it's necessary that the jurors be aware of the charges that the State will be pursuing against the defendant. That's why I would not feel comfortable giving the jurors a preliminary instruction, before they fill out the questionnaire, without the jurors knowing what the charges are going to be against the defendant in this case. So I don't think we can post --
postpone the time within which the jurors are going to know the charges.

With respect to the curative instruction issue, I will make the following comments: At this point it would be difficult for the Court to consider giving some type of curative instruction, based on the evidence or lack of evidence that the State has available to it to produce that relates to the charges.

To be sure, in the course of these proceedings, the Court has gleaned some information concerning evidence which each side may choose to produce, but that's not been fleshed out in any great detail. I understand from the representations that have been made to the Court that the State has, or has not, as time has gone on, had an idea of what type of evidence it might hope to gain from Mr. Dassey.

I don't -- I'm not aware of anything to suggest that the State has been acting in bad faith in any regard. And quite simply put, I do not believe that the State has engaged in any conduct to this point which would warrant the giving of some type of instruction unfavorable to the State, should the State decide at this point
to dismiss some of the charges that have been filed. And so I'm not going to -- Whatever action the Court takes this morning, I'm not going to give some type of an extra instruction, I just haven't heard anything that would warrant it.

With that background --
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, may I be heard then before --

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: -- you rule further?
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I could just have one more moment.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: With those findings, Judge, the State is, at this time, because we believe it within the province of the State, moving that Counts, I believe it's 4 and 5, that is, the first degree sexual assault as a party to the crime, and the kidnapping, Count No. 5, be dismissed.

The State intends to proceed, then, on Counts 1, 2, 3, and 6. I will be happy to provide a Court with what will be called a second Amended Information, which will actually make

Count 6, Count 4, so that the jury isn't confused as to the number of counts or why there may be a gap in the -- those charges. Count 4 will be false imprisonment.

And the State intends, then, to proceed on those four counts rather than -- rather than the six counts. And I believe then, that relieves the Court of the obligation of having to rule upon this motion.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, from the defense's standpoint I understand that you, based on your motion, were requesting the administration of the curative instruction as well, but I take it that the defense has no objection, given the Court's ruling on the instruction issue, to the dismissal of Counts 4 and 5.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Provided that's a dismissal with prejudice, which is the Court's prerogative, once the State has moved to dismiss, not the State's; we don't object to a dismissal with prejudice of Counts 4 and 5.

THE COURT: I have dealt with this issue before. There's a, frankly, question as to how much power the Court has in that regard when a dismissal is granted at this stage in the trial.

At this point, my inclination would be to -- simply to grant the State's motion to dismiss those counts. I'm not going to rule at this time, nor do we have time to address the issue, as to whether or not they would be with or without prejudice. The law is, that the dismissal, absent some other finding by the Court, I believe is without prejudice. And I'm not prepared today to do any more than that.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Here's the problem, and here's why the Court needs to take some further curative action. Since March 10, up through the WFRV report last night, for example, Steven Avery has been presented as the man who allegedly raped, mutilated and murdered Teresa Halbach.

Now, the first question, $I$ guess in a bigger scale, this raises, is how many times will Steven Avery be charged in Manitowoc County with rapes he didn't commit, this makes two. And the public, for 10 months, has been led to believe that he's a rapist, in addition to all else they might think about him.

Where do we go, you know, forget getting the 18 years back on the first one, where do we go to get the last 10 months back? Where do we
go to get our presumption of innocence back, from a public who believes and has heard time and again that he is an alleged rapist, even before murder?

THE COURT: Right. I'm not precluding you from advancing that argument at some point in the future; I'm just saying I'm not prepared to make that ruling today.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I want to be clear, then, on the record, that we are heading into a potential for mistrial by going forward on the false imprisonment count, if the Court's ruling permits the State to do that. And if the State believes that it will avail itself of the dismissal without prejudice, later to call Brendan Dassey, and to argue that there is no surprise and, therefore, under Wisconsin Statutes, an amendment of the Information should be permitted, or argue that it wants the Information to conform to the evidence it plans to adduce by calling Mr. Dassey, there will be a mistrial motion, and it will be a serious motion.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: If I may interrupt, Judge. THE COURT: Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will concede, just out of fairness to Mr. Strang and to the defense team,
that during the course of this trial, the State will not ask the Court reinstate those charges, will not ask the Court add the additional counts, even if Brendan Dassey is allowed to testify, that should at least get us through this proceeding.

THE COURT: All right. And with respect to the defense extra motion to dismiss the false imprisonment charge, I previously ruled, that given the law in the State of Wisconsin on preliminary examinations and the Court's duty and the ability of the State to add a charge that's factually related to the bindover, that the State has the right to do that. And I'm also not, obviously, at this point in the proceedings, in a position to say that the -there's no evidence that the State can produce to sustain that charge.

So the Court is denying the defense motion to dismiss the false imprisonment charge. I will grant the State's motion to dismiss Count's 4 and 5, the first degree sexual assault charge and the kidnapping charge.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That said, the State's proposal of a second Amended Information makes sense, given the state of the record and the Court's rulings.

THE COURT: All right. It was my understanding Mr. Fallon also wished to be heard on the jury selection process before we bring the jurors in for the questionnaires.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, thank you, Judge. Just a couple of preliminary thoughts. And I realize, at least $I$ recollect from our phone discussion on Friday, that $I$ think the balance of this will have to be addressed on Friday afternoon, I believe.

But I just wanted to point out that the Court's intent to limit the parties to 10 to 15 minutes of individual voir dire, to follow up on the juror questionnaire, which is about to be filled out by the jurors today, would arguably, and I note counsel and I, I think, are in agreement on this, that the 15 minute was arguably sufficient; although, I think both of us had serious concerns about that.

But when I replied to the defense submission on January 5th, as I represented I would and hearing no objection from the Defense and no objection from the Court, I was surprised that many of the submitted questions by the State were deleted, many were accepted.

So my concern is that, at best case scenario, 15 minute individual voir dire was marginally sufficient given what I expected the supplemental juror questionnaire to contain; and that is, many of the suggestions or several of the suggestions that I agreed with from the Defense, as well as many of the State, the Court on its own chose to disregard several of the submissions of the State.

And, presumably, that's in the Court's prerogative as I'm aware that jury selection, there is a vast amount of discretion afforded to the trial court. But, again, not hearing any objection from either the Court or opposing counsel, I expected to see a little more robust supplemental juror questionnaire.

However, the Court has exercised that discretion and determined otherwise. Our comment is that I would like the Court to address the follow up voir dire procedures on Friday afternoon, because I'm not sure, quite frankly, that 10 to 15 minutes will allow counsel adequate time to explore other matters not included in the general -- or in the supplemental juror questionnaire.

As I indicated earlier on, although it's unusual and not advisable to use the supplemental juror questionnaire as a stand in for general voir dire, it certainly is possible, but -- and I understand the Court's desire to not create an unwieldily document for the prospective jurors. But I would ask the Court to reconsider it's idea as to how the follow-up voir dire is going to occur.

I'm very concerned, that at least from the State's perspective, that we will not have adequate time to pursue other matters in that time frame.

THE COURT: All right. We'll address that further at the final pre-trial on Friday.

At this time, $I$ think I'm going to ask the parties to remain here. I will go back and have the clerk bring in the jurors, then I will come back out on the bench and give the jurors the preliminary information prior to their completion of the questionnaire.

And I believe there is agreement that after the Court completes that process, we'll take a short break to clear the courtroom so that members of the press and members of the public
will be excused, since during the time the jurors will be completing the actual questionnaires, court will not be in session, and neither the parties nor $I$ will be present.

So if you just sit tight, I will check on the process of the jurors and we'll get started. I think all of the seating in the courtroom, except for whatever is left in the back, will be needed for members of the jury panel.
(Brief recess.)
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this morning we are commencing the jury selection process in the case of State of Wisconsin vs. Steven A. Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. This is a criminal case. In a moment $I$ will read to you the crimes charged in the Information in this case.

The Information is nothing more than a written, formal accusation against a defendant, charging him with the commission of criminal acts. You are not to consider the Information as evidence against the defendant in any way. It does not raise an inference of guilt.

The Information in this case charges the defendant, Steven Avery, with being a party to
the crime of first degree intentional homicide of Teresa Halbach. Mr. Avery is also charged with party to the crime of mutilating her corpse, false imprisonment, and felon in possession of a firearm.

To each of the charges $I$ have just read, Mr. Avery has entered a plea of not guilty, which means the State must prove every element of the offenses charged, beyond a reasonable doubt. The law presumes every person charged with the commission of an offense to be innocent. This presumption attends the defendant throughout the trial, and prevails at its close, unless overcome by evidence which satisfies the jury of his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to prove his innocence.

The burden of proving the defendant guilty of every element of the crimes charged is upon the State. Before you can return a verdict of guilty on any count, the State must prove, to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty on that count.

The case is being prosecuted by Special Prosecutor Kenneth Kratz. Mr. Kratz, if you can please stand up.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good morning.
THE COURT: Thomas Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Norman Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Good morning.
THE COURT: The defendant in this case is Steven Avery.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: And Mr. Avery is being defended by attorneys, Dean Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Jerome Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Good morning.
THE COURT: The trial itself is expected to take approximately six weeks. Sixteen members of the jury panel will be selected to serve on the jury. While only 12 members of the jury will arrive at the final verdict, 4 additional jurors are being selected to hear the case in the event members of the jury should have to be excused before the jury retires to deliberate. Should there be more than 12 jurors remaining at the close of the evidence, the alternate jurors will be selected by lot.

The process we are about to engage in is
called voir dire. Its purpose is to assure that the jury ultimately impaneled to hear this case is comprised of people who are not biased or prejudiced. The jurors must be citizens who can fairly and impartially decide the facts of the case, based solely upon the evidence presented in court, and will then follow my instructions as to the law applicable to those facts.

We are using the proceeding today to have all prospective jurors complete a written questionnaire. Some jurors will be called back for further individual questioning beginning next Monday, February 5th. Whether and in what order you will be called back is determined by a random computer selection process.

Should you be required to return, the Clerk's Office will contact you by telephone with a time and date to return. Some of you may be excused without participating in individual voir dire. The voir dire process is going to require that you disclose publicly, a certain amount of personal information about yourselves and your families, that you may otherwise want to keep to yourself. It is, unfortunately, necessary. In special circumstances, exceptions may
sometimes be made to insure the privacy of your answers. You will have to indicate on the questionnaire if you think you have a valid reason to ask for an exception.

This morning we will proceed as follows: In a few minutes the Clerk will hand each of you a written questionnaire which you are to complete under oath. Please take your time and answer all questions as completely and accurately as you can. If you are uncertain about how to answer any question, please note your uncertainty in your written answer.

I will not be available to individually answer any questions you may have as you complete the questionnaire.

When you have completed and signed your questionnaire, return it to the Clerk, who will turn all the completed questionnaires over to me.

After you complete your questionnaire this morning, you will be excused for the remainder of the day.

After some prospective jurors have been brought in and questioned individually, next week, the lawyers and I will confer to decide if any juror should be excused for cause, that is,
for any legally sufficient reason. If I rule that any prospective juror is to be excused for cause, that particular panel member will be told and released from further service in this case.

After a sufficient number of prospective number of jurors have been questioned individually, the lawyers will be permitted to exercise their peremptory challenges upon those remaining on the panel. Once the peremptory challenges have been exhausted, a jury of 16 persons will be seated to hear the trial.

The process of jury selection will take some time, as you can see. I ask you to be patient while we are concerned with matters that don't involve you personally. I strongly suggest that you bring something back to read while you wait next week, should you be called back for individual voir dire.

It is estimated by the lawyers that it will take six weeks to try the case once the jury has been selected. You should all have received a letter asking you to request to be excused in advance, if a case of that length would cause you any extraordinary personal hardship.

Those who responded that it would, and
whose reasons were found to be sufficient, have already been excused. The Court understands that serving on a jury for six weeks presents a significant inconvenience to most people. That is an unfortunate but necessary price we pay for the system of justice that we enjoy.

If any of you has a reason, now, to believe that you would suffer some exceptional hardship, other than the convenience (sic) jury service causes everyone to sit on a case of this duration, please give specific reasons in your response to the pertinent question on the questionnaire.

This case has received a substantial amount of publicity in the newspapers, and on radio and television. That you are aware of the publicity does not, by itself, disqualify you. If, however, that publicity has caused you to form some opinions about the case already, and you think you might be unable to put those opinions aside and listen to the evidence with an open mind, please be candid about it on your answers to the relevant questions on the questionnaire, and when you are questioned individually. essential that the jurors who are chosen for the case learn nothing whatsoever about it from any source, other than the evidence presented in the courtroom. The jury will be obliged to that end not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of the trial, nor to talk or let anyone else, including one another, talk to them, about any aspect of the case, until it is over.

I also instruct you that, for so long as we are engaged in the process of jury selection, you are not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of this case or of the proceedings, nor talk to anyone or let anyone else talk to you about any aspect of the case. That means not listening to radio accounts about the case, not reading any newspaper accounts, and not watching television news coverage of this matter.

As we conduct the voir dire process, you will naturally be meeting each other and talking among yourselves. It is extremely important, however, that you do not discuss this case, including the voir dire questions, or your answers to any of those questions.

Do not share anything that you may know,
or any opinions or impressions you may have, about the case, with any other prospective juror. Do not talk about your individual answers to the questionnaire or any questions you are asked in open court with anyone. Both the State and Mr. Avery are entitled to have a completely fair, openminded and impartial jury sit in judgment in this case.

You will be asked many questions intended to enable me and the lawyers to draw some inferences about your attitudes. As you answer the questions, I ask you to ask yourself, do I have any reason to question my own impartiality, to suspect that I might be prejudiced for or against the State or the defendant, for any reason.

In other words, are you aware of anything which would prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict, based solely on the evidence presented in this courtroom, and the instructions $I$ will give you at the end of the trial so -- as to the law applicable to this case. If so, please be truthful in making that known to us.

Finally, I want you to know that the

Court recognizes that each of the jurors selected to serve in this case is being asked to perform an important civic duty at a significant personal sacrifice of time and attention. While it's not the same as serving in the military, for example, in a sense the jurors are being drafted into government service for six weeks.

Your service in this case imposes a greater burden than we ask of most citizens. I would ask you to keep in mind, however, the deep personal satisfaction that you can receive from knowing, should you be selected as a juror, that you answered the call of your government and served with honor by rendering a verdict based not on any preconceived motions -- or notions, but only on the evidence introduced at trial and the instructions given to you by the Court.

At this time, before we break, I'm going to ask the Clerk to swear the panel.

THE CLERK: Would all of the jurors please rise and raise your right hand.
(Jury panel sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury panel, in a minute you are going to be coming back
here to complete your questionnaires. Because of the fact Court will not be in session during that time, we're going to clear the courtroom of everyone else before we ask you to do so.

So at this time the clerks and the bailiffs will escort you back to the other courtroom that you started in. You will be there for few minutes. You can take a rest stop if you need to, and then you will be brought back here to complete your questionnaires. You may stand at this time and be escorted out.
(Jury panel not present.)
THE COURT: All right. The prospective jurors have now all left the courtroom. Counsel, anything else further before we go off the record?

ATTORNEY STRANG: The Court's instructing on publicity, it probably would be a good idea to add the internet.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Right, that was the only thought I had.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We will adjourn at this time, and $I$ will see you back at 10:30.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
(Court in recess.)
(Second half of jury panel present.)
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this morning we are commencing the jury selection process in the case of State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. This is a criminal case. In a moment I will read to you the crimes charged in the Information in this case.

The Information is nothing more than a written formal accusation against a defendant, charging him with a commission of criminal acts. You are not to consider the Information as evidence against the defendant in any way. It does not raise an inference of guilt.

The Information in this case charges that the defendant, Steven Avery, or the Information charges the defendant, Steven Avery, with being a party to the crime of first degree intentional homicide of Teresa Halbach. Mr. Avery is also charged with party to the crime of mutilating her corpse, false imprisonment and felon in possession of a firearm.

To each of the charges $I$ have just read, Mr. Avery has entered a plea of not guilty, which means the State must proof every element of the offenses charged, beyond a reasonable doubt. The
law presumes every person charged with the commission of an offense to be innocent. This presumption attends the defendant throughout the trial and prevails at its close, unless overcome by evidence which satisfies the jury of his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to prove his innocence.

The burden of proving the defendant guilty of every element of the crimes charged is upon the State. Before you can return a verdict of guilty on any count, the State must prove, to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty of that count.

This case will be prosecuted by Special Prosecutor Kenneth Kratz. Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good morning.
THE COURT: Attorney Thomas Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Attorney Norman Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Good morning.
THE COURT: The defendant, Steven Avery, is present in court today.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

THE COURT: Mr. Avery, will be represented
by Attorney Dean Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning.
THE COURT: And Attorney Jerome Buting. ATTORNEY BUTING: Hello.

THE COURT: The trial itself is expected to take approximately six weeks. Sixteen members of the jury panel will be selected to serve on the jury. While only 12 members of the jury will arrive at the final verdict, 4 additional jurors are being selected to hear the case in the event members of the jury should have to be excused before the jury retires to deliberate. Should there be more than 12 jurors remaining at the close of the evidence, the remaining alternate jurors will be selected by lot.

The process we're about to engage in is called voir dire. Its purpose is to assure that the jury ultimately impaneled to hear this case is comprised of people who are not biased or prejudiced. The jurors must be citizens who can fairly and impartially decide the facts of the case, based solely on the evidence presented in court, and who will then follow my instructions as to the law applicable to those facts.

We are -- We are using the proceedings today to have all prospective jurors complete a
written questionnaire. Some jurors will be called back for further individual questioning beginning next Monday, February 5. Whether and in what order you will be called back is determined by a random computer selection process.

Should you be required to return, the Clerk's Office will contact you by telephone with a time and date to return. Some of you may be excused without participating in individual voir dire. The voir dire process is going to require that you disclose publicly, a certain amount of personal information about yourself and your families, that you might otherwise want to keep to yourself. It is, unfortunately, necessary.

In special circumstances, exceptions may sometimes be made to insure the privacy of your answers. You will have to indicate on the questionnaire if you believe you have a valid reason to ask for an exception.

We'll proceed as follows: In a few minutes the Clerk will hand each of you a written questionnaire which you are to complete under oath. Please take your time and answer all questions as completely and accurately as you
can. If you are uncertain about how to answer any question, please note your uncertainty in your written answer.

I will not be available to individually answer any questions you may have.

When you have completed and signed your questionnaire, return it to the Clerk, who will turn all the completed questionnaires over to me. After you complete your questionnaire, you will be excused for the remainder of the day.

After some prospective jurors have been brought in and questioned individually, next week, the lawyers and I will confer to decide if any should be excused for cause, that is, for any legally sufficient reason. If I rule that any prospective juror is to be excused for cause, that particular panel member will be told and released from further service in this case.

After a sufficient number of prospective jurors have been questioned individually, the lawyers will be permitted to exercise their peremptory challenges upon those remaining on the panel. Once the peremptory challenges have been exhausted, a jury of 16 persons will be seated to hear the case.

The process of jury selection will take some time, as you can see. I ask you to be patient while we are concerned with matters that don't involve you personally. I strongly suggest that you bring something back to read while you wait, should you be called back for individual voir dire next week.

It is estimated by the lawyers that it will take six weeks to try the case once the jury has been selected. You should all have received a letter asking you to request to be excused in advance if a case of that length would cause you any extraordinary personal hardship.

Those who responded that it would, and whose reasons were found to be sufficient, have already been excused. The Court understands that serving on a jury for six weeks presents a significant inconvenience to most people. That is an unfortunate but necessary price we pay for the system of justice that we enjoy.

If any of you has a reason, now, to believe that you would suffer some exceptional hardship, other than the convenience (sic) jury service causes everyone to sit on a case of this duration, please give specific reasons in your
response to the pertinent question on the questionnaire.

This case has received a substantial amount of publicity in the newspapers, and on radio and television. That you are aware of the publicity does not, by itself, disqualify you. If, however, that publicity has caused you to form some opinions about the case already, and you think you might be unable to put those opinions aside and listen to the evidence with an open mind, please be candid about it in your answers to the relevant questions on the questionnaire and when you are individually questioned.

After the trial starts, it is absolutely essential that the jurors who are chosen for the case learn nothing whatsoever about it from any source, other than the evidence presented in this courtroom. The jury will be obliged to that end not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of the trial, nor to talk or let anyone else, including one another, talk to them, about any aspect of the case, until it is over.

I also instruct you that, for so long as we are engaged in the process of jury selection,
you are not to read, listen to, or watch any news accounts of the case or of the proceedings, nor talk to anyone or let anyone else talk to you about any aspect of the case. That means not listening to the radio news accounts about the case, not reading any newspaper accounts, not watching television news coverage of this matter and not searching the internet for information about the case.

As we conduct the voir dire process, you will naturally be meeting each other and talking among yourselves. It is extremely important, however, that you do not discuss this case, including the voir dire questions, or your answers to those questions.

Do not share anything you may know, or any opinions or impressions you may have, about the case, with any other prospective juror. Do not talk about your individual answers to the questionnaire or to any questions you are asked in open court with anyone, including your fellow jurors. Both the State and Mr. Avery are entitled to have a completely fair, open-minded and impartial jury sit in judgment upon this case.

You will be asked many questions intended to enable me and the lawyers to draw some inferences about your attitudes. As you answer the questions, I ask you to ask yourself, do I have any reason to question my own impartiality, to suspect that I might be prejudiced for or against the State or the defendant for any reason.

In other words, are you aware of anything which would prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict, based solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom, and the instructions I will give you at the end of trial as to the law applicable to the case. If so, please be truthful in making that known to us.

Finally, I want you to know that the Court recognizes each of the jurors selected to serve in this case are being asked to perform an important civic duty at a significant personal sacrifice of time and attention. While it is not the same as serving in the military, in a sense the jurors are being drafted into government service for six weeks.

Your service in this case imposes a
greater burden than we ask of most citizens. I would ask you to keep in mind, however, the deep personal satisfaction you can receive from knowing, should you be selected as a juror, that you answered the call of your government and serve with honor by rendering a verdict based not on any preconceived notions, but only on the evidence introduced at trial and the instructions given you by the Court.

At this time $I$ will ask the Clerk to swear the members of the jury panel. Will you all please stand.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. (Jury panel sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Members of the jury panel, because you are going to be completing a questionnaire while court is not in session, we're going to take a few minutes to clear the courtroom.

At this point, I'm going to ask you all to stand and be escorted by the bailiffs and court personnel back to the other courtroom for a few minutes. Once the courtroom here is cleared, you will be brought back here and given your questionnaires.

As indicated earlier, after your questionnaires are completed, you can hand them to the Clerk and you will be free to leave for the day. You may rise and be escorted back to the other courtroom at this time.
(Jury panel not present.)
THE COURT: You may be seated. The members of the jury panel have now left for the other courtroom and the courtroom is clear.

Counsel, I would ask, this is our last time on the record for today, I would ask you to stop back in chambers after we're done, just so we can clarify the agenda for the pre-trial on Friday.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, can I make one question here?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: It didn't occur to me when you read it the first time and as I listened this time; do the jurors know that they will not be sequestered for the full six weeks?

THE COURT: Well, they haven't been told that they would be sequestered. They haven't been told that they have not been. I suppose we'll have to address that in individual voir dire. I will
notify them of that fact at the outset.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. Might just be something to be aware of if we get questionnaires where people talk about hardships, that maybe they are thinking it would be a bigger burden than it really will be, since they will be able to go home. Probably should have discussed this earlier, but it just slipped my mind.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, anything else?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.
THE COURT: Very well, we're off the record for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| loll2] $3 / 164 / 24 / 35 / 25 / 96 / 8$ $18 / 1424 / 1224 / 2024 / 2527 / 12$ 28822 $10: 30$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 8.3 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 9th |  |  |
| A |  |  |
|  |  |  |

AVERY... [5] 41/19 41/23 42/21
42/25 48/22
Avery's [5] 4/5 6/5 6/14 6/17 6/21 aware [8] 20/19 21/19 28/11 36/16 38/17 47/5 49/9 52/3
awhile [2] 5/18 14/23
B
back [25] 5/20 10/12 16/19 24/24
24/25 25/1 29/17 29/19 30/9
$33 / 1133 / 1435 / 1635 / 1739 / 25$
40/6 40/9 40/22 44/2 44/4 46/5
46/6 50/22 50/24 51/4 51/12
background [1] 22/7
bad [1] 21/20
bailiffs [2] 40/6 50/21
balance [1] 27/8
based [8] $21 / 7$ 23/11 33/6 38/19
39/14 43/21 49/11 50/6
basic [1] 19/23
be [147]
because [8] 4/13 9/17 18/16 20/4
22/17 28/21 40/1 50/17
bed [3] 5/21 6/4 6/14
bedroom [2] 5/20 6/15
been [30] $3 / 15$ 5/9 6/13 10/1 10/3
10/6 10/25 14/22 17/3 17/19
21/13 21/15 21/20 22/1 24/14
24/20 34/22 35/6 35/10 35/21
36/2 45/11 45/20 45/23 46/10
46/16 51/22 51/23 51/24 53/8
before [16] 1/9 2/18 15/4 16/19
20/22 22/9 23/23 25/3 27/3 31/19
32/21 39/18 40/4 40/15 42/10 43/1 1
began [1] 10/23
begin [2] 2/15 2/18
beginning [3] 18/17 33/12 44/3
behalf [5] 1/12 1/14 1/16 1/18 1/20
being [12] 5/22 6/1 30/25 31/23
32/10 32/19 39/2 39/6 41/17 43/9
49/19 49/23
believe [13] 2/25 6/12 21/22 22/18
22/19 23/7 24/8 24/20 27/10
29/22 36/8 44/19 46/22
believed [2] $8 / 238 / 25$
believes [4] 14/18 16/10 25/2
25/13
bench [1] 29/19
benefit [1] 4/2
best [3] 7/25 28/1 53/13
between [2] 13/21 20/13
beyond [7] 5/7 31/9 31/15 31/21
41/25 42/6 42/12
biased [2] $33 / 343 / 18$
bigger [2] 24/17 52/5
bindover [1] 26/12
black [1] 18/23
blood [2] 6/14 6/22
both [5] 4/21 19/6 27/18 38/5 48/22
bottom [1] 14/1
BRANCH [2] 1/1 53/5
break [2] 29/24 39/18
Brendan [25] 3/6 3/25 5/7 5/11
5/12 5/24 6/6 6/16 7/7 9/16 12/10 $\begin{array}{lllllll}13 / 3 & 13 / 5 & 13 / 5 & 13 / 7 & 13 / 15 & 13 / 19\end{array}$ 14/6 14/13 16/13 16/15 17/20 19/1 25/15 26/4
Brief [1] 30/11
briefly [1] 17/13
bring [5] 8/19 27/3 29/18 35/16 46/5
brought [6] 7/1 1 8/20 34/23 40/9 45/12 50/24
burden [5] 31/17 39/9 42/8 50/1 52/5
Burish [1] 2/13
bus [4] 5/13 5/24 6/1 6/7
business [1] 3/7
but [21] 7/22 8/13 9/24 13/23 14/1
14/15 17/9 19/6 20/12 21/13
23/13 27/11 27/20 28/13 29/4
29/7 36/5 39/16 46/19 50/7 52/7
BUTING[5] 1/19 2/12 2/12 32/13
43/3

## C

call [17] 3/6 3/12 4/10 11/25 13/3
13/4 14/3 14/10 14/12 15/6 15/10 16/15 16/16 16/17 25/15 39/13 50/5
called [14] 11/8 11/16 11/18 14/17 14/25 22/24 33/1 33/11 33/14
35/17 43/16 44/2 44/4 46/6
calling [2] 19/14 25/20
calls [1] 2/1
Calumet [1] 2/6
came [1] 5/19
can [25] $4 / 10$ 8/4 $13 / 1613 / 1814 / 8$ 18/2 18/10 18/18 20/25 26/15 31/19 31/24 33/4 34/10 35/13 39/1 $140 / 8$ 42/10 43/19 45/1 46/2 50/3 51/2 51/1351/15
can't [4] 9/18 17/24 18/2 18/17
candid [4] 17/3 18/7 36/22 47/11
candidly [1] 17/8
carefully [2] 18/8 53/8
case [87]
case-in-chief [5] 3/7 3/13 11/24 13/6 16/16
category [1] 14/14
cause [8] 3/20 8/23 34/25 35/3
35/23 45/14 45/16 46/12
caused [2] 36/18 47/7
causes [3] 17/2 36/10 46/24
certain [2] 33/21 44/12
certainly [5] 13/9 14/13 15/17
20/13 29/4
certify [1] 53/6
CF [4] 1/5 2/2 30/15 41/5
chair [2] 19/3 19/8
challenges [4] 35/8 35/10 45/22 45/23
chambers [3] 3/2 3/5 51/12
change [2] 11/6 13/20
charge [10] 4/11 7/24 7/24 8/1 26/8 26/11 26/16 26/18 26/21 26/21
charged [11] 24/18 30/16 31/2 31/9 31/10 31/1841/641/19 41/25 42/1 42/9
charges [30] 2/25 3/22 4/7 5/6 7/15 7/16 8/2 9/3 9/13 12/17 12/20 13/12 13/14 16/22 18/15 18/24 19/15 19/19 20/19 20/24 21/2 21/9 22/1 23/3 26/2 30/24 31/641/1441/1641/22
charging [2] 30/20 41/10
check [1] 30/5
chief [5] 3/7 3/13 11/24 13/6 16/16
choose [4] 14/10 14/11 16/17

21/13
chooses [4] 11/25 15/6 15/10 15/11
chose [2] 14/3 28/8
chosen [2] 37/2 47/16
CIRCUIT [3] $1 / 1$ 1/10 53/5
circumstance [1] 9/18
circumstances [3] 9/17 33/25
44/16
citizens [4] 33/4 39/9 43/19 50/1
civic [2] 39/3 49/20
claim [1] 7/6
claimed [1] 5/16
claims [2] 5/22 12/2
clarify [1] 51/13
clear [6] 19/1 25/9 29/24 40/3
50/19 51/9
cleared [1] 50/23
clearly [1] 4/19
clerk [8] 29/18 34/6 34/17 39/19
44/22 45/7 50/10 51/3
Clerk's [2] 33/17 44/8
clerks [1] 40/5
close [6] 3/7 3/15 31/13 32/23
42/4 43/13
closer [1] 4/10
coercion [1] 4/13
come [1] 29/19
comfortable [1] 20/21
coming [2] $5 / 1739 / 25$
commencing [2] 30/13 41/3
commend [1] 7/4
comment [1] 28/18
comments [2] 20/2 21/4
commission [4] 30/20 31/11 41/10
42/2
commit [1] 24/19
Complaint [1] 3/24
complete [9] 33/10 34/7 34/14
34/19 40/1 40/10 43/25 44/23
45/9
completed [5] 34/16 34/18 45/6
45/8 51/2
completely [4] 34/9 38/6 44/25
48/23
completes [1] 29/23
completing [2] 30/2 50/17
completion [1] 29/21
comprised [2] 33/3 43/18
computer [3] 33/15 44/5 53/10
computer-assisted [1] 53/10
computerized [1] 53/9
concede [3] 4/9 18/8 25/24
conceivable [1] 5/25
concern [2] 17/2 28/1
concerned [3] 29/10 35/14 46/3
concerning[1] 21/12
concerns [1] 27/19
concluded [2] 3/10 52/14
concluding [1] 4/20
conclusively [1] 5/10
conduct [3] 21/23 37/19 48/10
confer [2] 34/24 45/13
conferences [1] 6/10
conferred [2] 14/20 15/2
confessions [1] 15/1
confinement [2] 4/12 4/15
conform [1] 25/19
confused [1] 23/1
consciousness [1] 4/17
consider [4] 9/11 21/6 30/21 41/11
consideration [1] 9/12
considered [1] 3/13

## C

contact [2] 33/17 44/8
contain [1] 28/4
contaminating [1] 16/8
content [1] 3/24
context [1] 12/20
convenience [2] 36/9 46/23
conviction [1] 9/1
copy [1] 11/14
corpse [2] 31/3 41/20
correct [2] 7/21 53/12
corrected [1] 7/20
correspondence [1] 13/2
corroboration [2] 5/3 5/7
could [5] 4/22 8/25 8/25 14/3
22/13
counsel [11] 3/3 7/5 7/21 11/14
17/15 19/20 27/16 28/15 28/22 40/14 51/10
count [9] 22/21 23/1 23/1 23/3
25/12 31/20 31/22 42/11 42/13
Count's [1] 26/20
counteract [1] 8/7
counts [25] $4 / 248 / 218 / 2312 / 2$
12/8 12/9 12/22 14/3 15/11 15/23
15/25 16/2 16/12 16/12 17/8
17/25 22/19 22/23 23/2 23/6 23/7
23/15 23/21 24/3 26/3
county [5] 1/1 2/6 19/3 24/18 53/2
couple [2] 20/2 27/6
course [2] 21/10 26/1
court [73]
Court's [12] 9/5 12/18 15/24 23/14
23/18 25/12 26/10 26/24 27/12 28/10 29/5 40/16
courtesy [1] 17/4
courtroom [15] 29/24 30/8 37/5
38/20 40/3 40/7 40/14 47/19
49/12 50/19 50/22 50/23 51/5 51/9 51/9
coverage [2] 37/18 48/7
create [1] 29/5
crime [5] 22/20 31/1 31/3 41/17 41/19
crimes [4] 30/16 31/18 41/6 42/9
criminal [7] 9/22 14/22 17/16
30/15 30/20 41/5 41/10
curative [10] 14/18 15/16 16/7
17/1 17/6 17/10 21/3 21/6 23/13 24/12
current [1] 17/17
cut [1] 6/16
cute [1] 16/24
D
Dassey [40] 3/6 3/12 3/24 5/7 5/12 5/24 6/6 6/16 7/7 9/16 9/25 10/7
10/12 10/21 10/23 11/6 11/10
$\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 18 & 1 & 1 / 22 & 12 / 4 & 13 / 3 & 13 / 5\end{array} 13 / 5$
13/15 13/20 14/4 14/4 14/6 14/9
14/13 16/1 16/13 17/20 18/9 19/2
19/14 21/18 25/15 25/20 26/4
Dassey's [9] 3/25 4/5 5/11 9/23
10/3 10/8 $11 / 511 / 1612 / 10$
date [4] $1 / 8$ 5/2 33/1 8 44/9
Dated [1] 53/15
day [5] 3/9 34/21 45/10 51/4 53/15 days[2] 6/10 11/12
deadline [2] 11/1 11/12
deal [1] 10/8
dealt [1] 23/22
DEAN [4] 1/17 2/12 32/11 43/1
decide [8] $13 / 18$ 19/13 20/1 $21 / 25$
$33 / 5$ 34/24 43/20 45/13
decides [1] 18/24
decision [9] 9/3 9/6 9/7 9/9 9/10
10/8 12/11 12/15 16/14
deep [2] 39/10 50/2
defendant [29] 1/7 1/18 1/20 1/21
8/8 8/11 9/4 14/24 20/20 20/24
$30 / 1930 / 2230 / 2531 / 1231 / 15$
31/17 31/22 32/6 38/16 41/9
$41 / 1241 / 1541 / 1642 / 342 / 642 / 8$
42/13 42/21 49/8
defendants [1] 14/21
defended [1] 32/10
Defender's [1] 10/19
defense [16] 2/23 5/2 8/17 9/4
9/11 14/17 15/14 18/12 19/10
23/14 25/25 26/7 26/17 27/20
27/22 28/7
defense's [1] 23/10
degree [9] 3/21 4/7 5/4 7/6 7/23
22/20 26/20 31/1 41/17
deleted [1] 27/25
deliberate [2] 32/22 43/12
denying [1] 26/17
desire [1] 29/5
detail [1] 21/14
details [1] 5/4
detectable [1] 6/21
determined [3] 28/18 33/14 44/5
determining [1] 9/13
Diane [3] 1/24 53/4 53/19
did [3] $5 / 36 / 178 / 19$
didn't [2] 24/19 51/18
different [2] 10/17 20/10
difficult [1] 21/5
dilemma [1] 12/24
dire [20] 20/9 20/15 27/13 28/2
28/20 29/4 29/8 33/1 33/20 33/20
$35 / 1837 / 1937 / 2343 / 1644 / 11$
44/1 1 46/7 48/10 48/14 51/25
direction [1] 11/22
disagreement [1] 3/18
disclose [2] 33/21 44/12
disclosed [1] 5/1
discovery [2] 4/4 7/4
discretion [2] 28/12 28/18
discuss [3] $9 / 2037 / 2248 / 13$
discussed [1] 52/7
discussion[3] 9/8 10/2 27/8
discussions [1] 12/7
dismiss [13] 2/23 4/24 8/19 8/20
14/2 $15 / 15$ 16/21 $22 / 1$ 23/19 24/3 26/7 26/1 8 26/19
dismissal [7] 8/13 23/15 23/18
23/20 23/24 24/7 25/14
dismissed [2] 8/3 22/21
disprove [1] 5/10
disqualify [2] 36/17 47/6
disregard [1] 28/8
District [2] 2/6 2/8
DNA [1] 6/22
do [25] 3/13 13/15 16/12 17/10
18/2 19/6 $21 / 21$ 24/4 24/9 24/23
24/24 24/25 25/13 26/12 37/22
37/25 38/3 38/13 40/4 48/13
48/16 48/18 49/5 51/20 53/6
document [1] 29/6
does [5] 14/1 30/23 36/17 41/13 47/6
doesn't [2] 12/5 12/15
don't [8] 4/10 12/9 16/24 20/25
21/19 23/20 35/15 46/4
done [1] 51/12
door [2] 5/18 5/20
doubt [6] 31/9 31/15 31/21 41/25 42/6 42/12
down [2] 5/15 19/8
drafted [2] 39/6 49/23
draw [2] 38/10 49/2
driver [2] 5/24 6/1
dropped [2] 5/24 6/2
due [2] 9/5 17/14
duration [2] 36/11 46/25
during [5] 4/16 4/17 26/1 30/1
40/2
duty [3] 26/10 39/3 49/20

## E

each [9] $21 / 1231 / 634 / 637 / 20$
39/1 41/22 44/22 48/11 49/18
earlier [3] 29/1 51/1 52/7
effect [1] 10/13
eight [1] 6/9
either [4] $4 / 1113 / 518 / 328 / 14$
element [6] $4 / 124 / 1431 / 831 / 18$ 41/24 42/9
elements [1] 4/21
eliminated [1] 17/20
eloquently[1] 10/10
else [9] $6 / 23$ 24/21 $37 / 837 / 14$
40/4 40/15 47/22 48/3 52/10
enable [2] 38/10 49/2
end [4] 37/5 38/21 47/19 49/13
engage [2] 32/25 43/15
engaged [3] $21 / 2237 / 11$ 47/25
enjoy [2] 36/6 46/20
enough [1] 18/7
enter [1] 10/14
entered [2] 31/7 41/23
entirely [1] 8/12
entitled [2] 38/6 48/23
eroded [1] 17/19
escort [1] 40/6
escorted [3] 40/11 50/21 51/4
especially [1] 14/23
essential [6] 4/12 4/14 4/21 5/4
37/2 47/16
estimated [2] 35/19 46/8
even [4] 14/16 15/4 25/3 26/3
event [2] $32 / 2043 / 10$
eventually [1] 5/19
ever [1] 6/25
every [8] 16/5 17/15 31/8 31/10
31/1841/24 42/1 42/9
everyone [3] 36/10 40/3 46/24
evidence [40] $4 / 64 / 185 / 15 / 8$
6/11 6/24 7/3 7/5 7/19 7/23 7/25
$\begin{array}{llllll}8 / 24 & 12 / 1 & 12 / 3 & 14 / 16 & 17 / 22 & 19 / 4\end{array}$
19/7 21/7 21/8 21/12 21/17 25/19
26/15 30/22 31/14 32/23 33/6
$36 / 21$ 37/4 38/20 39/16 41/12
$42 / 543 / 1343 / 2147 / 1047 / 18$
49/12 50/8
evidently [1] 7/20
examinations [1] 26/10
example [2] 24/13 39/5
except [1] 30/8
exception [2] 34/4 44/20
exceptional [2] 36/8 46/22
exceptions [2] 33/25 44/16
excuse [1] 16/4
excused [15] 30/1 $32 / 21 \quad 33 / 19$
$34 / 2034 / 2535 / 235 / 2236 / 2$
$43 / 11$ 44/10 45/10 45/14 45/16
$46 / 11$ 46/16

| E | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 25 / 11 \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $51 / 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| exercise [2] 35/8 45/21 | four [7] 12/9 13/14 16/11 16/12 | happens [2] 14/23 15/1 |
| exercised [1] 28/17 | 17/8 17/25 23/6 | happy [2] 13/25 22/23 |
| exhausted [2] 35/10 45/24 | frame [1] 29/13 | hardship [4] 35/24 36/9 46/13 |
| exist [1] 8/2 | frankly [2] 23/23 28/21 | 46/23 |
| expected [4] 28/3 28/15 32/15 | free [1] 51/3 | hardships [1] 52/4 |
| 43/5 | Fremgen [2] 11/4 11/13 | has [45] 3/15 5/1 5/25 8/17 9/7 |
| explore [1] 28/23 | Fremgen's [1] 11/15 | 9/11 9/24 10/3 10/11 14/15 14/17 |
| extra [2] 22/4 26/7 | Friday [8] 13/1 13/17 13/21 27/8 | 14/24 15/3 16/10 17/19 18/12 |
| extraordinary [2] 35/24 46/13 | 27/9 28/20 29/15 51/14 | 19/3 19/12 19/16 21/8 21/11 |
| extremely [2] 37/21 48/12 | full [1] 51/21 | 21/16 21/16 21/1721/20 21/22 |
| eyes [1] 7/8 | further [11] 8/6 14/16 16/8 22/11 | 23/14 23/19 23/24 24/14 24/20 |
| F | 24/11 29/15 33/12 35/4 40/15 | 25/2 26/12 28/1731/7 35/21 36/7 |
|  | 44/2 45/18 | 36/14 36/18 41/23 46/10 46/21 |
| face [1] 15/20 | future [1] 25/7 | 47/3 47/7 53/8 |
| fact [6] 4/20 9/17 12/13 15/9 40/2 | G | have [65] |
| factors [1] 9/11 | GAHN [4] 1 | having [2] 19/18 23/8 |
| facts [4] 33/5 33/8 43/20 43/23 | gain [1] 21/1 | he [10] $5 / 165 / 178 / 2011 / 1613 / 10$ |
| factually [1] 26/11 | gap [1] 23/3 | 19/3 19/7 19/7 24/19 25/3 |
| fair [4] 38/6 38/19 48/23 49/11 | general [5] 2/7 20/8 20/15 28/24 | he's [5] 2/11 14/6 19/4 19/8 24/21 |
| fairly [3] 18/19 33/5 43/20 | 29/3 [4] 30/12 32/9 41/2 | heading [1] 25/10 |
| fairness [1] 25/25 | gentlemen [4] 30/12 32/9 41/2 | hear [9] 7/16 8/25 11/4 32/20 33/2 |
| faith [1] 21/21 | 42/24 | 35/11 43/10 43/17 45/25 |
| FALLON [5] 1/13 2/7 27/2 32/2 | get [6] 10/21 24/25 25/1 26/5 30/6 | heard [6] 3/1 5/16 22/5 22/8 25/2 |
| 42/17 | 52/3 | $27 / 2$ |
| false[13] 2/24 3/22 4/11 4/14 4/21 | getting [1] 24/23 | hearing [4] 1/4 2/20 27/22 28/13 |
| 5/5 7/25 23/4 25/11 26/7 26/18 |  | held [1] 7/1 |
| 31/4 41/20 | 19/21 20/16 22/4 29/19 36/11 | Hello [1] 43/4 |
| falsely [1] 7/1 | 38/21 46/25 49/13 | help [1] 19/5 |
| families [2] 33/23 44/14 | given [11] 13/22 14/24 15/21 | her [3] 6/17 31/3 41/20 |
| February [2] 33/13 44/3 | 19/22 23/14 26/8 26/24 28/3 | here[11] 2/11 4/22 7/11 17/20 |
| February 5 [1] 44/3 | 39/17 50/9 50/24 | 17/23 29/17 40/1 40/9 50/23 |
| February 5th [1] 33/13 | giving [3] 20/21 21/6 21/24 | 50/24 51/16 |
| feel [1] 20/21 | gleaned [1] 21/11 | here's [2] 24/10 24/11 |
| fellow [1] 48/21 | go [11] 9/3 9/6 9/13 15/25 22/15 | hereby [1] 53/6 |
| felon [2] 31/4 41/2 | 24/23 24/25 25/1 29/17 40/15 | him [8] 6/2 11/25 16/16 16/17 |
| felony [1] 10/18 | 52/6 | 18/9 24/22 30/20 41/10 |
| fence [1] 17/23 | going [29] 7/10 10/1 11/6 12/13 | himself [1] 4/1 |
| fervently [1] 12/3 | 12/20 12/22 13/11 13/13 16/6 | his [10] 6/23 10/13 10/17 11/6 |
| few [6] 2/17 34/6 40/8 44/21 50/19 | 17/5 17/9 20/6 20/11 20/24 21/2 | 11/19 13/20 31/14 31/16 42/5 |
| 50/23 | 22/2 22/4 24/3 25/11 29/8 29/16 | 42/7 |
| Fifth [1] 11/19 | 33/20 39/18 39/25 40/3 44/11 | home [1] 52/6 |
| filed [6] 2/17 2/23 5/6 6/9 18/15 | 50/17 50/19 50/20 | homicide [2] 31/1 41/18 |
| 22/2 | gone [1] 21/17 | Hon [1] 1/9 |
| files [1] 8/17 | good [13] 2/10 32/1 32/3 32/5 | honor [2] 39/14 50/6 |
| filing [1] 3/16 | 32/8 32/12 32/14 40/17 42/16 | hope [1] 21/18 |
| fill [1] 20/22 | 42/18 42/20 42/23 43/2 | how [6] 13/18 23/23 24/17 29/8 |
| filled [2] 20/11 27/15 | got [3] 5/12 6/6 19/8 | 34/10 45/1 |
| final [3] 29/15 32/19 43/9 | government [4] 39/7 39/13 49/23 | however [9] 9/6 16/3 28/17 36/18 |
| Finally [2] 38/25 49/17 | 50/5 | 37/22 39/10 47/7 48/13 50/2 |
| finder [1] 4/20 | grant [5] 13/6 13/8 16/1 24/2 | Hurley [1] 2/13 |
| finding [2] 8/22 24/7 | 26/19 | hurt [1] 19/5 |
| findings[1] 22/16 | granted [2] 11/20 23/25 | I |
| firearm [2] 31/5 41/21 | grants [1] 18/11 |  |
| first [17] 2/22 3/21 4/7 5/4 7/6 | great [1] 21/14 | [20] 7/21 7/21 9/22 10/1 13/25 |
| 7/23 8/13 11/6 15/14 20/2 22/20 | greater [2] 39/9 50/1 | 21/19 22/2 22/3 24/3 24/8 25/5 |
| 24/16 24/24 26/20 31/1 41/17 | guess [2] 6/4 24/16 | 25/7 25/7 26/13 28/11 28/21 |
| 51/19 | guilt [4] 30/23 31/15 41/13 42/6 | 29/10 29/16 39/18 50/20 |
| fleshed [1] 21/14 | guilty [8] 31/7 31/18 31/20 31/22 | idea [3] 21/17 29/7 40/17 |
| follow [5] 27/13 28/20 29/8 33/7 | 41/23 42/9 42/11 42/13 | ideally [1] 19/18 |
| 43/22 | H | if [54] |
| following [2] 6/9 21/4 | had [15] 2/14 | 14/19 15/2 $15 / 516 / 118 / 1018 / 11$ |
| follows [2] 34/5 44/21 | 6/11 6/13 10/6 10/13 12/7 19/13 | impaneled [2] 33/2 43/17 |
| foregoing [2] 53/7 53/7 | 21/17 27/19 40/20 53/13 | impartial [4] 38/7 38/19 48/24 |
| forget [1] 24/23 | hair [3] 6/17 6/20 6/22 | 49/11 |
| forgets [1] 10/11 | Halbach [5] 5/21 6/2 24/15 31/2 | impartiality [2] 38/14 49/6 |
| form [2] 36/19 47/8 | 41/18 | impartially [2] 33/5 43/20 |
| formal [2] 30/19 41/9 | Halbach's [2] 6/20 6/22 | important [4] 37/21 39/3 48/12 |
| forth [1] 11/15 | half [1] $41 / 1$ | $49 / 20$ |
| forward [5] 9/3 9/13 15/25 18/25 | hand [5] 34/6 39/21 44/22 50/13 | importantly [2] 11/8 11/17 |


|  | involve [2] 35/15 46/4 | Iater [2] 18/16 25/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | is [129] | 14/22 15/12 18/11 24/6 26/9 |
|  | isn't [2] 14/21 23/1 | $31 / 10$ 33/8 38/22 42/1 43/23 |
|  | issue [5] 8/13 21/4 23/15 23/22 | 49/14 |
|  | 24/5 | lawyer [2] 10/13 11/5 |
|  | it [72] | lawyers [9] 10/22 34/24 35/7 |
|  | it's [14] 3/6 8/5 17/1 19/13 19/14 | 35/19 38/10 45/13 45/21 46/8 |
|  | 19/19 19/19 20/1 20/10 20/18 | 49/2 |
|  | 22/19 29/1 29/7 39/4 | lay [1] 14/5 |
| 26/8 26/18 31/4 41/20 | item [1] 2/22 | learn [2] 37/3 47/17 |
| $\operatorname{limpugn~[1]~}_{\text {in [176] }} / 11$ | its [7] 3/6 6/11 $28 / 831 / 1333 / 1$ | least [6] 3/20 4/4 4/17 26/5 27/7 |
|  | 42/4 43/16 | 29/10 |
| inadmissible [2] 8/10 17/21 | itself [5] 25/14 32/15 36/1743/5 | leave [1] 51/3 |
| inclination $[7]$ 13/4 $13 / 25 \quad 15 / 16$ <br> $15 / 2416 / 11$ <br> $17 / 1$ | 47/6 | led [1] 24/20 |
|  | J | ] 30/8 40/14 51/8 |
|  |  |  |
| included [3] 10/7 14/6 28/23 includes [1] 4/12 | JANUARY[6] 1/8 3/8 4/23 11/2 | length [2] 35/23 46/12 |
|  | 11/12 27/21 | let [8] 6/25 9/1 9/2 19/1 37/7 |
| including [5] 37/8 37/23 47/22$48 / 1448 / 21$ | January 22 [1] 3/8 | 37/14 47/21 48/3 |
|  | January 24 [1] 4/23 | letter [5] 11/15 11/17 |
| inconvenience [2] 36/4 46/18 | jeopardy [1] 12/16 | 46/11 |
|  | JEROME [4] 1/19 2/11 32/13 43/3 | lied [1] 6/1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { inculpates [l] } \\ \text { inculpating [1] } & 14 / 25 \\ \text { i/1 }\end{array}$ | Judge [14] 1/10 8/16 9/5 11/17 | lifetime [2] 4/16 4/17 |
|  | 12/10 15/18 16/18 16/23 16/25 | like [3] 16/5 19/8 28/19 |
|  | 22/8 22/17 25/22 27/5 51/15 | limit [2] 10/2 27/12 |
|  | judgment [2] 38/7 48/24 | line [2] 7/22 14/1 |
|  | juror [13] 1/4 27/14 28/4 28/16 | list [1] 14/7 |
| indicated [2] 29/1 51/1 | 28/24 29/3 34/25 35/2 38/2 39/12 | listen [6] 36/21 37/6 37/12 47/10 |
| lindicates [1] $11 / 18$ | 45/16 48/18 50/4 | 47/20 48/1 |
|  | jurors [43] 12/22 18/18 19/21 | listened [2] 18/8 51/19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33 / 1935 / 1838 / 344 / 244 / 1046 / 6 \\ & 48 / 1951 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 19/22 20/4 20/16 20/18 20/21 | listening [2] 37/16 48/5 |
|  | 20/23 21/1 27/4 27/15 29/6 29/18 | little [4] 18/12 19/6 20/10 28/15 |
| individually [8] 34/13 34/23 35/7$36 / 2545 / 445 / 1245 / 2047 / 13$ | 29/19 30/1 30/6 32/19 32/23 | live [3] 5/15 5/23 6/10 |
|  | 32/24 33/4 33/10 33/11 34/22 | ng [4] 12/7 14/22 37/10 47/24 |
| 36/25 45/4 45/12 45/20 47/13 inference [3] 15/9 30/23 41/13 | 35/6 37/2 39/1 39/6 39/20 40/14 | lot [2] 32/24 43/14 |
| $\text { inferences [2] } 38 / 1149 / 3$ <br> information [28] 3/17 3/23 4/25 | 43/9 43/13 43/14/43/19 43/25 | M |
|  | 44/1 45/11 45/20 47/16 48/22 |  |
| $5 / 66 / 98 / 178 / 2119 / 1219 / 16$$19 / 2321 / 1222 / 2525 / 1825 / 19$ | 49/1849/23 51/20 | machine [1] 53/10 |
|  | jury [63] | made [9] 5/22 8/8 9/7 9/24 10/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 23 & 21 / 12 & 22 / 25 & 25 / 18 & 25 / 19 \\ 26 / 23 & 29 / 20 & 30 / 17 & 30 / 18 & 30 / 21\end{array}$ | just [15] 13/14 18/14 18/20 22/5 | 12/15 21/15 34/1 44/17 |
| $30 / 2433 / 2241 / 741 / 841 / 11$$41 / 1441 / 1644 / 1348 / 8$ | 22/13 25/7 25/24 27/6 27/11 30/5 | make [5] 16/14 21/4 22/25 25/7 |
|  | 31/6 41/22 51/12 52/2 52/8 | 51/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { informed [1] } & 15 / 4 & & \\ \text { innocence [6] } & 8 / 11 & 17 / 18 & 17 / 19\end{array}$ | justice [2] 36/6 46/20 | makes |
|  | K | making [4] 6/12 7/17 38/23 49/15 |
| ( $42 / 2$ | Kachinsky [2] 10/16 10/21 | acled [2] 5/20 |
|  | keep [4] 33/23 39/10 44/14 50/2 | managing [1] |
|  | Ken [1] $2 / 6$ | MANITOWOC [3] 1/1 |
|  | KENNETH [3] 1/11 $31 / 24$ 42/15 | many [7] 24/17 $27 / 24$ 27/25 28/5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { instantaneously [1] } 10 / 20 \\ & \text { instruct [3] } 13 / 12 \text { 37/10 } 47 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | kidnapping [10] 2/24 3/21 4/8 5/5 | 28/7 38/9 49/1 |
|  | 7/2 7/24 16/21 18/2 22/21 26/21 | March [6] 3/16 |
|  | knew [2] 5/23 6/18 | 24/12 |
|  | knife [1] 6/18 | March 10 [6] 3/16 4/3 5/2 5/9 6/8 |
|  | knocked [1] 5/17 | 24/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16 / 717 / 1 \quad 17 / 617 / 1020 / 2221 / 3 \\ & 21 / 721 / 2422 / 423 / 1323 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | know [12] 13/24 14/23 17/3 18/19 | marginally [1] |
|  | 19/18 21/2 24/23 37/25 38/25 | massive [1] |
| instructions [6] $33 / 738 / 2139 / 17$$43 / 2249 / 1350 / 8$ | 48/16 49/17 51/20 | matter [9] $2 / 2012 / 1317 / 418 / 3$ |
|  | knowing [4] 18/4 20/23 39/12 50/4 | 18/22 37/18 48/7 53/7 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { insufficient [2] } & 4 / 19 \\ \text { insure [2] } & 34 / 1 \\ 44 / 17\end{array}$ | knowledge [2] 19/22 53/14 | matters [4] 28/23 29/12 35/14 |
|  | known [5] 5/8 5/9 6/19 38/24 | 46/3 |
| intend [1] 15/23 | 49/15 | may[25] 8/20 10/5 14/10 14/11 |
| (intended [3] $13 / 238 / 1049 / 2$ | knows [4] 10/5 10/24 11/1 11/11 | 19/5 19/5 19/6 21/13 22/8 23/2 |
|  | KRATZ [9] 1/11 $2 / 6$ 8/15 18/7 | 25/22 33/18 33/23 33/25 34/14 |
| intent [1] 27/12 | 18/23 31/24 31/24 42/15 42/15 | 7/25 38/1 40/10 44/9 44/16 45/5 |
| intention [3] $3 / 63 / 1117 / 5$ intentional [2] 31/1 41/18 | L | 48/16 48/17 51/4 51/7 |
| interlocking [1] 15/1 |  |  |
|  | ladies [4] 30/12 32/8 41/2 42/2 | 19/1 34/18 38/10 45/8 49/2 51/1 |
|  | large [3] 6/18 20/4 20/7 | 53/8 53/9 |
| into [4] 9/12 25/10 39/6 49/2 | last [5] 2/16 10/6 24/13 24/25 | mean [1] 16/24 |
| introduced [2] 39/16 50/8 invoke [1] 11/19 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}51 / 10 \\ \text { late } & {[4]} & 2 / 16 & 17 / 23 & 18 / 14 & 18 / 21\end{array}$ | $\underset{48 / 4}{\operatorname{means}[5] ~ 4 / 15 ~ 31 / 8 ~ 37 / 15 ~ 41 / 24 ~}$ |
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|  |  | reading [2] 37/17 48/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | proof [2] 10/11 41/21 | ally [1] 52 |
|  | proposal [1] 26/23 | ason [11] 5/25 34/4 35/1 36/7 |
|  | 11 | 38/13 38/16 44/20 45/15 46/21 |
|  | ed [2] 31/23 | 49/5 49/8 |
|  | prosecution [2] 9/22 | easonable |
|  |  |  |
| please [17] $2 / 431 / 2534 / 834 / 11$ | prosecutor [6] 1/11 1/1 | reasons [4] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36 / 11136 / 2238 / 2339 / 2039 / 23 \\ & 44 / 2445 / 246 / 2547 / 1149 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | 46/25 |
|  |  | rebuttal |
|  | prospective [12] 29/6 33 | receive [2] 39/11 50/3 |
|  | $35 / 235 / 538 / 240 / 1343 / 2545 / 1$ | received [5] 11/12 35/21 36/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { point }[8] \quad 21 / 5 \quad 21 / 2321 / 25 \quad 24 / 1 \\ & 25 / 626 / 1327 / 1150 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | 45/1645/19 48/18 | 46/1047/3 |
| pool [1] 16/9 <br> position [4] 11/9 11/16 13/14 | [6] 19/14 31/8 | ent [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { position [4] } \\ & 26 / 14\end{aligned} 11 / 911 / 1613 / 14$ | 11 | 1/1 |
| possession [3] 6/12 31/4 41/21 <br> possible [3] 13/19 13/23 29/4 | provide [2] 15/16 | recollect [1] 27 |
|  | Prow | reconsider [1] 29/7 |
| possible [3] 13/19 13/23 29/4 post [1] 20/25 |  | d [7] |
|  | province [4] 12/11 | 40/15 51/1 |
| postpone [1] 21/1 | 22/18 | regaled [1] 5/14 |
|  | proving | regard [2] 21/21 23 |
|  | public [8] 8/10 9/25 10/1 10/4 | nstate [1] 26/2 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \text { power [1] } 23 / 24 \\ \text { practical }[2] & 13 / 2318 / 3 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{lll}10 / 19 & 24 / 20 & 25 / 2 \\ \text { publicity } & \text { 2] } & 16 / 9 \\ 36 / 25\end{array}$ | [1 |
| ( ${ }^{\text {practicing }}$ pre [3] $16 / 929 / 1551 / 13$ |  |  |
|  | public | ated [1] 26 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { pre-trial [3] } 16 / 929 / 1551 / 13 \\ & \text { precluding [1] } 25 / 5 \end{aligned}\right.$ <br> preconceived [2] 39/15 50/7 | pu | relates [1] |
|  |  | released [2] 35/4 45/18 |
| preconceived [2] 39/15 50/7 preference [2] 12/19 13/16 prejudice [7] 15/17 15/18 23/18 23/21 24/6 24/8 25/15 | pu | relevant [2] 36/23 47/12 |
|  | pursuing [1] 20/20 | relieves [1] 23/8 |
|  |  | rely [1] 18/17 |
| prejudiced [4] 33/4 38/15 43/19 49/7 <br> preliminary [4] 20/22 26/9 27/6 | put [4] 13/3 21/21 36/20 47/9 puts [1] 12/24 | 17 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Q |  |
| prepared [3] 24/9 25/7 53/8 <br> prerogative [2] 23/19 28/11 <br> present[5] 30/4 40/12 41/1 42/22 | ques | remed |
|  | $34 / 1136 / 1238 / 1345 / 247 / 14$ | remind [2] 9/1 9/2 <br> rendering [4] 38/18 39/14 |
| 51/6 | questioned [6] 34/23 |  |
| presented [7] 24/14 33/6 37/4 <br> 38/20 43/21 47/18 49/12 | 45/12 45/20 47/14 | repeated |
|  | questioning [2] 33/12 44/2 | replied [1] 27/20 |
| 价 $\begin{aligned} & \text { presents [2] } 36 / 3 \text { 46/17 } \\ & \text { press [1] 29/25 }\end{aligned}$ | questionnaire [32] 1/4 7/14 12/21 | report [1] 24/13 |
|  | 18/16 20/7 20/11 20/14 20/23 | reported [2] 1/24 53/6 |
|  | 27/14 28/4 28/16 28/25 29/3 | Reporter [3] 1/25 53/5 53/ |
|  | 29/21 33/11 34/3 34/7 | representations [1] 21/15 |
| presumes [2] 31/10 42/1 <br> presumption [5] 17/18 17/19 25/1 | 34/17 34/19 36/13 36/24 38/4 | represented [3] 2/11 27/2 |
|  | 44/1 44/19 44/23 45/7 45/9 47 <br> 47/13 48/20 50/18 | request [4] 6/18 18/13 35/22 4 requesting [1] 23/12 |
| 31/12 42/3 $31 / 1342 / 4$ | questionnaires [11] 2/16 2/19 27/4 | require [4] 12/9 16/13 33/20 |
| - ${ }^{\text {prevent }} \mathbf{\text { prevent }}$ [2] 38/18 49/10 | 50/25 | requir |
|  | 51/2 $2 / 1 / 3$ | 44/7 |
| - price [2] $36 / 546 / 19$ | questions [19] 20/8 20/13 27/24 | require |
|  | /23 37/24 | respect [6] 3/1 9/5 17/14 20/3 |
|  | 38/4 38/9 38/12 44/25 45/5 47/12 | 21/3 26/6 |
| privacy[2] $34 / 144 / 17$ | $\begin{array}{ll}48 / 1448 / 15 & 48 / 2049 / 149 / 4 \\ \text { quite } & 61421 / 21\end{array}$ | responded [2] 35/25 46/ response [2] 36/12 47/1 |
| probably [2] 40/17 52/7 problem [1] 24/10 | R | t[1] 40/8 |
| procedure [2] 20/3 20/6 <br> procedures [1] 28/20 <br> proceed [15] 12/8 $12 / 9$ 12/ |  | straint [2] 4/ |
|  | radio [4] 36/16 37/16 47/5 48/5 raise [4] 30/23 39/21 41/13 50/13 | retires [2] $32 / 2$ return [8] 31/1 |
| 13/18 14/8 $15 / 11$ 15/23 16/2 16/6 16/11 $17 / 8$ 22/22 23/5 34/5 44/21 | raises [1] 24/17 | 42/10 |
|  | random [2] 33/1 | view |
| proceeding [2] 26/5 33/9 <br> proceedings [9] 1/23 2/14 21/11 | rape [1] 18/1 | ht [16] 11/20 19/16 19/20 19/1 |
|  | raped [2] 6/25 24/14 | 25/5 26/6 26/12 27/1 29/14 39/21 |
| 26/14 37/13 43/24 48/2 52/14$53 / 13$ | rapes [1] 24/19 | 39/24 40/13 40/19 40/21 50/13 |
|  | rapist [2] 24/21 |  |
|  | rather [3] 17/9 | ripe [1] |
|  | reached [3] $3 / 3$ |  |
| 29/23 30/6 30/13 32/25 33/15 | read [11] 30/16 31/6 35/16 37/6 |  |
|  | 37/12 41/6 41/22 46/5 47/20 48/1 | RPR [2] 1/24 53/1 |
| 43/15 44/6 44/11 46/1 47/25 |  |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| that [228] | 39/16 42/4 43/5 47/15 47/21 | [27] 2/23 5/85/185/21 6/5 |
| that's [9] 8/4 13/23 14/14 15/24 | 49/14 $40 / 851 / 13$ | 6/14 6/20 6/25 8/25 10/17 |
| 20/20 21/13 23/17 26/111 28/10 | d [2] 7/16 17/25 | /20 11 |
|  | true [1] 53/12 | /4 14/5 14/6 17/5 18/7 27 |
| /8 45/21 | truthful [2] 38 | /17 27/23 28/2 40/19 |
| em [5] 17/25 | lly [1] | [2] |
| 52/1 | try[3] 18/18 35/20 46/9 | [4] |
| then [19] 3/138/48/149/1515/13$15 / 2216 / 1017 / 1017 / 2518 / 10$$22 / 822 / 2223 / 523 / 725 / 1029 / 18$$33 / 740 / 943 / 22$ | trying [2] 18/20 19/19 | h [4] 37/6 37/12 47/20 48/1 |
|  |  | hing [2] 37/17 48/7 |
|  | TV [1] 5/15 | [4] $10 / 1918 / 130 / 22$ |
|  | two [6] 11/12 12/1 14/2 14/20 | we [65] |
| there [28] 2/17 4/6 5/18 5/20 6/14 7/1 7/1 7/1 7/3 7/20 7/22 12/1 14/7 14/17 14/20 15/8 16/20 |  | we'll [6] |
|  | typ | 44/21 51/2 |
|  | typically [2] 20/8 20/14 | 11] 7/10 12/13 13/1 |
| 17/24 18/1 20/12 23/2 25/16 | U | 43/15 50/1 |
| 43/12 there's [4] 7/19 12/3 23/23 26/15 thereafter [1] 53/11 |  | $121$ |
|  |  | /13 46/7 |
|  | uncertain [2] 34/10 45/ | weeks [9] 32/1 |
| thereafter [1] 53/11 <br> therefore [4] $2 / 19$ 4/23 12/1 25/16 | uncertainty [2] 34/11 45/ | 43/6 46/9 46/17 49/24 51/21 |
| athese [4] $18 / 1518 / 1819 / 2121 / 10$they[19] $7 / 117 / 137 / 148 / 215 / 4$$15 / 2118 / 1818 / 1918 / 2020 / 22$ | under [5] 9/17 19/4 25/17 |  |
|  | 44/23 | 51/22 52 |
|  | understand [9] 3/4 5/1 7/12 | went [1] 5/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 / 524 / 2151 / 2051 / 2251 / 23 \\ & 51 / 2351 / 2452 / 452 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | 16/25 17/12 21/1 | were [12] 2/17 |
|  | [2] $3 / 3$ 27/2 | 18/15 19/21 |
|  | understands [2] 36/2 46/16 | 36/1 46/15 |
| think [17] 4/10 4/188/5 8/5 9/18 | unfavorable [1] 21 | WFRV [1] 24 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 25 & 20 / 18 & 20 / 25 & 24 / 22 & 27 / 8 \\ 27 / 16 & 27 / 18 & 29 / 16 & 30 / 7 & 34 / 3\end{array}$ 27/16 27/18 29/16 30/7 34/3 | unfortunate [2] 36/5 46/19 | what [31] 3/13 7 |
|  | unfortunately [4] 9/15 9/16 | 9/3 9/6 9/11 9/13 11/9 11/9 11/15 |
| 27/16 27/18 29/16 30/7 34/3 $36 / 20$ 47/9 | 44/15 | 2/19 12/22 13/12 14/17 |
| thinking [1] 52/5 | unless [3] | 15/24 17/4 18/19 18/23 18/24 |
|  | until [10] 10/16 12/16 12/16 | 19/19 19/23 20/14 20/23 21/17 |
| THOMAS [3] 1/13 32/2 42/17 those [35] 4/21 5/22 8/1 8/21 9/24 | 13/16 13/17 16/23 19/7 37/9 | 22/24 28 |
|  | 47/23 | whatever [3] 7/14 22/2 30/8 |
| those [35] $4 / 21$ 5/22 8/1 8/21 9/24 10/1 10/12 10/24 11/1 12/19 | un | hatsoever [2] 37/3 47/17 |
| 12/22 14/2 14/10 14/12 14/13 |  | 3/9 5/12 5/22 6/2 6/8 |
| $14 / 2114 / 2516 / 216 / 1220 / 17$$22 / 1623 / 3$$23 / 6$$24 / 3$$26 / 2$3 | up [8] 2/22 5/13 10/10 24/1 | 6/16 8/20 9/7 9/12 9/18 10/9 |
|  | 27/13 28/20 29/8 31/25 | 10/12 11/3 11/25 13/17 14/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 22 / 16 & 23 / 3 & 23 / 6 \\ 35 / 8 & 24 / 3 & 26 / 2 \\ 35 / 25 & 36 / 20 & 37 / 24 \\ 43 / 23 \end{array}$ | upon[10] 11/21 13/6 13/8 23/9 | 14/20 14/23 15/1 23/24 27/20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 / 835 / 2536 / 2037 / 2443 / 23 \\ & 45 / 2246 / 1447 / 948 / 15 \\ & \text { though [2] 13/9 } 15 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | 31/19 33/6 35/8 42/10 45/22 | 34/16 36/24 45/6 47/13 51/19 |
|  | /24 | where [6] 7/19 7/22 24/23 24/2 |
| though [2] 13/9 15/3 <br> thought [1] 40/20 | upstream | 52 |
|  | urging [1] 9/10 | whether [17] 8/4 11/5 12/7 12/8 |
|  | us [8] $7 / 5$ 12/24 14/21 16/13 26/5 | 12/13 13/2 14/8 15/6 16/15 |
| three [4] 4/24 8/21 12/6 19/15 through [4] 10/13 10/16 24/12 26/5 | 27/18 38/24 49/16 | 16/17 18/5 18/18 19/14 24 |
|  | use [9] 11/20 13/6 13/8 14/19 15/2 | 33/13 44/3 |
| throughout [2]tight [1] 30/5 | 15/5 16/1 18/10 29/2 | which [27] 2/174/11 10/3 10/7 |
|  | using [3] 20/6 33/9 43/2 | 10/19 13/14 14/21 14/25 $15 / 17$ |
| time [39] 2/1 5/25 9/18 10/12 11/3 | usual [1] 15/2 | 1718/25 20/6 21/1 21/ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{llll} 14 / 5 & 14 / 1914 / 22 & 19 / 18 & 20 / 1 \\ 21 / 16 & 22 / 17 & 24 / 4 & 24 / 4 \\ 25 / 2 & 28 / 23 \end{array}\right.$ | V | /23 22/25 23/18 27/14 31/7 |
|  |  |  |
| 29/12 29/13 29/16 30/1 33/18 | valid [2] 34/3 44/19 | 44/23 49/10 |
| $34 / 835 / 13$ 39/4 39/18 40/3 40/5$40 / 1140 / 2244 / 944 / 246 / 2$ | van [1] $6 / 3$ | while [10] 4/9 32/18 35/14 35/16 |
|  | vast [i] $28 / 12$ | 39/4 43/8 46/3 46/5 49/21 50/18 |
| $40 / 11$ 40/22 44/9 44/24 46/2 <br> 49/21 50/10 51/5 51/11 51/19 | verdict [8] 31/19 32/19 38/19 | [15] 5/24 11/4 24/14 25/2 |
| 51/20 | 50/6 | 33/3 33/4 34/17 35/25 37/2 43/18 |
| times [2] 10/12 $24 / 17$timing [1]$3 / 23$ | 2/14 5/25 17/24 18/1 | 3/19 43/22 45/7 46/14 47/16 |
|  | 29/10 52/12 |  |
| today [12] 2/15 12/19 13/4 19/13 | victio [1] 7/2 | whose[2] 36/1 46/15 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 24 / 925 / 827 / 15 \text { 33/9 42/22 43/25 } \\ & 51 / 1152 / 13 \end{aligned}\right.$ | view [1] 15/22 | why [3] 20/20 23/2 24/1 |
|  | voir [20] 20/9 20/15 27/13 28/2 | will [104] |
| told [10] 7/12 7/15 8/1 13/1 17/4$17 / 735 / 345 / 1751 / 2251 / 24$ | 28/20 29/4 29/8 33/1 33/19 33/20 | Williams [1] 2/1 |
|  | 35/18 37/19 38/23 43/16 44/25 | Willis [1] 1/9 |
| om [1] 2/7 | 44/11 46/7 48/10 48/14 51/25 |  |
| too [3] 17/23 18/14 18/20 <br> trace [1] 6/21 | W | /17 26/9 30/14 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lllll} \text { trailer }[5] & 5 / 1656 & 6 / 21 & 6 / 23 & 6 / 25 \\ \text { transcribed } & {[1]} & 53 / 11 \\ \text { transcript } & {[3]} & 1 / 23 & 53 / 8 & 53 / 12 \\ \text { transcription } & {[1]} & 53 / 11 \\ \text { trial }[23] & 4 / 6 & 9 / 7 & 16 / 9 & 16 / 14 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | [5] 12/25 |  |
|  |  | wishes [2] 13/24 13/24 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { want [5] } \\ & 49 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | within [7] 12/11 $12 / 20$ 14/14 16/13 16/20 21/1 22/18 |


| W |
| :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { without... [6] } 20 / 23 \text { 24/6 } 24 / 8 \\ & 25 / 1433 / 1944 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { witness [6] } 7 / 8 \quad 11 / 8 \text { 14/4 } 14 / 7 \\ & 15 / 7 \quad 19 / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| witnesses [3] 14/5 14/11 14/12 woman [1] 6/3 |
| word [1] 3/10 |
| words [2] 38/17 49/9 |
| would [43] 3/5 8/14 9/19 11/9 |
| 11/16 11/19 11/22 11/23 11/24 |
| 11/25 12/4 13/4 13/9 13/19 13/20 |
| 15/17 20/8 20/21 21/5 21/23 22/5 |
| 24/1 24/5 27/15 27/22 28/19 29/7 |
| 35/23 35/25 36/8 38/18 39/10 |
| 39/20 40/17 46/12 46/14 46/22 |
| 49/10 50/2 51/1051/11 51/23 |
| 52/5 |
| written [8] 30/19 33/10 34/7 34/12 |
| 41/9 44/1 44/22 45/3 |
| wrong [1] 7/22 |
| Y |
| $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline \text { year [1] } & 10 / 6 \\ \text { years [1] } & 24 / 24 \end{array}$ |
| Yes[5] 22/10 22/12 25/23 27/5 |
| 51/17 |
| you [158] |
| young [2] 3/12 8/8 |
| your [40] 16/20 17/1 23/11 31/21 |
| 33/22 34/1 34/8 34/11 34/12 |
| 34/16 34/19 36/11 36/22 37/23 |
| 38/3 38/11 39/8 39/13 39/21 40/1 |
| 40/10 42/12 44/13 44/17 44/24 |
| 45/2 45/3 45/6 45/9 46/25 47/11 |
| 48/14 48/19 48/21 49/3 49/25 |
| 50/5 50/13 50/24 51/1 |
| yourself [5] 33/24 38/12 44/13 |
| 44/15 49/4 |
| yourselves [3] 33/22 37/21 48/12 |
| Z |
| Zero [1] 7/9 |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. We're here today for a final pre-trial conference in this matter. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Your Honor, the State appears by Calumet County District Attorney, Ken Kratz appearing as Special Prosecutor. Also appearing as Special Prosecutors include Tom Fallon from the Department of Justice and Norm Gahn from the Milwaukee District Attorney's Office.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good afternoon. Steven Avery appears in person. Jerome F. Buting of Buting and Williams represents him, as does Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I'm sorry, before we proceed with our agenda this afternoon, I had alerted the Court that $I$ was going to make a technical change to the Information. That's been done to a four count Information as represented on Monday. I am prepared to file that original with the Court. Mr. Strang has already received a copy.

THE COURT: Very well, you may give the Clerk the original at this time.

There are a number of items for the

Court to address today. The first one I have on the list is the State's motion for release of blood vial evidence and blood spot cards for scientific testing. Who's going to be speaking on behalf of the State?

ATTORNEY GAHN: I will, your Honor.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, if I may, before we get to that, the defense acknowledges receipt of the second Amended Information. Because actual objections to a jurisdictional and otherwise have been raised, I preserve those. We appear specially, to that extent, not waiving objections to the second Amended Information, but acknowledge receipt of it and waive reading.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Thank you, your Honor. In our motion that we filed we are asking the Court to release the vial of blood that is currently under seal in the Clerk of Court's Office here in Manitowoc County. The defense has filed a response and that response levels allegations that we are trying to try this case by ambush, or at the minimum, trying to be cute --

THE COURT: All right. Let's stop right there, because if the defense has filed a written
response, $I$ don't have it. When did it come in?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yesterday.
THE CLERK: I don't have it.
THE COURT: Check with Robbie. Was an original filed with the Clerk?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
THE COURT: The clerk is indicating she doesn't have it.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have a copy, and with the attached exhibits. We faxed that, it says it was received.

THE COURT: All right. At any rate, I have a copy at this time. And I trust, Mr. Gahn, from your comments, that the State has a copy.

ATTORNEY GAHN: I'm sorry, please, your Honor?

THE COURT: I trust from your comments, since you made response to the defendant's response, that you have a copy?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I now have one, so you may proceed.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Do you want to take a moment, your Honor, and read it first before we proceed.

THE COURT: Just a minute. All right. I have a copy of this document, but I didn't understand that this document referred to the blood vial evidence.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Did I give you the wrong one? I gave you the wrong one.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gahn, you may proceed.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Thank you, your Honor. I would like to just recap a little bit the chronology of events and what brings us here today. On December 6, we were notified that this vial of blood existed in the Clerk of Court's Office. And on January 3rd, we filed our motion to exclude blood vial evidence, or in the alternative, would the Court grant continuance for us, to allow us to test that vial of blood.

By written decision and order on January 9th, this Court denied our motion for the continuance to test the vial and reserved ruling on our motion to exclude the blood vial evidence.

The following day, on January 10th, I was informed by law enforcement officers who were involved in this case that they had received a call from the U.S. Attorney's Office. And the
U.S. Attorney's Office had expressed concern over the decision not allowing us time to test this vial, and offered their services, shall we say their pull, or whatever, to expedite testing that we would like to see done with the resources available to them, namely the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

At that time, we made the decision that we would like to see what your ruling was, because we felt that we had a rather compelling and powerful argument for the Court to rule that the blood vial does not come in. And so we waited for your decision on that, on that issue.

And we also felt that we were in a good position and felt that that decision was likely because the Court had granted a continue -denied our motion for continuance. In any event, the decision came down last Tuesday and the Court has decided that the evidence of the blood vial is going to come in in this trial.

The Court ruled that although we found the probative value rather low for this evidence, I think the Court based its decision upon the Sixth Amendment right of the defendant to present a defense, and we understand that. But the Court
also noted in its decision that the Court would entertain any request, by the State, to test that blood, should we wish to pursue that. And that is precisely why we're here today. We're going to ask you, your Honor, to unseal that blood and we would like to send that off for chemical testing, or what other test that we deem appropriate.

Some of them, it's difficult to say how we want to test it, because we don't know the condition of the blood yet. And until that blood is examined and just determine what shape it is in, that will determine what test we will pursue.

But we will be able to pursue the testing for EDTA, which we originally asked the Court to give us the continuance. And we are told that the FBI will have that testing completed before the close of this trial. I think that speaks to the vast difference in influence that an Assistant District Attorney from Milwaukee County has with the FBI Lab and the U.S. Attorney. But we're told that that can be accomplished.

I would ask the Court to reconsider the thoughts that the Court put down in its decision
about the Cooper case. There are some vast differences with the situation that we have and that Cooper case. And I would ask the Court to recall that in my argument $I$ thought that I laid out those differences well, I thought.

The Cooper decision was brought by the defense. It was a post-conviction hearing. And up until now, I don't know, I could not find a decision where the State brought a request for EDTA testing. It's generally brought by the defense. And in that case, they simply had stain samples. And the individual, the person, the lab that tested those was claiming that just the mere presence of EDTA in the sample, therefore, indicates it came from a vial of blood with EDTA.

I agree that there were some stretches made by the defense in the Cooper case. But this case is different. We have standards to compare to the EDTA levels, if there are any, in Teresa Halbach's SUV. We have the vial itself and we also have standards that are at Laboratory Corporation of America.

And this makes this a vastly, vastly different situation than what the Cooper case presented. And I believe that the testing that
would be done in this case, we would clearly, clearly meet the Walstad standard here and that whatever issues the defense has would go to the weight of the evidence and not to the admissibility. Because even a reading of the Cooper case, all the experts agree that the underlying scientific principles, the ability to test substances for the presence of various chemicals, is well established and has been for many, many years.

We believe that it is critical that we be given the opportunity to test this vial of blood and present those results at trial. I believe that the testing that we will be able to accomplish before the trial is over will clearly show that the blood in Teresa Halbach's SUV did not come from this vial of blood that is in this -- in this building.

Now, the defense does not like the case
of State v. Konkol, but unfortunately for them, it's the law. We have the right to meet their defense in rebuttal and we really don't have to tell them how we're going to do it, but we're telling them today how we're going to do it. And they take their chances, if they are going to go
down this planting defense, at their peril.
The defendant's response to our motion for access to the blood vial evidence, in a way, I think strongly supports our position, that we be given the opportunity to conduct the scientific testing. If the Court would look on Page 6, Paragraph 4 of their response, the defense intends to use the vial as an exhibit and to bring it into the courtroom. And they state that they want to have it as an exhibit in court and display this and any alteration of the condition of the vial.

They talk about the amount of liquid, the condition of the top, in order to demonstrate the viability of the defense that the vial was tampered with by officers. And then they state directly, or through agents -- What concerns me here, is that this is flying right in the face of your decision to allow the blood vial in. But you did place limitations on how far they can go with this planting frame-up defense.

Who are the agents of these officers they are talking about? And it seems that we are now back with bailiffs in this courtroom, clerks, anyone who works in the Manitowoc County Clerk of

Court's Office, maintenance personnel, anyone who had a key to that office, anyone who had the combination to the door that you -- second door that you get in. All of these people now seem to be -- could they have been the agents of one of these deputy sheriffs.

Furthermore, to bring this vial into court and show it to the jury, to show the amount of liquid, the condition of the top, you are now again -- this seems to fly in the face of what your court order was in your decision to place limitations. Do we now have to call the North Carolina people to see how they put the stopper on; the nurse; the phlebotomist who pulled -that took the blood out into this vial, into this vacutainer; is that why the hole is in the purple top.

The information and the exhibits to the defendant's initial motion to seal this evidence indicates that the box was crushed in transit. Did that cause, perhaps, the stopper of the tube to be dislodged ever so slightly? These are just questions that we are going to be going off on, that I believe was not the intent of the Court when it rendered its decision.

But more importantly, if they are allowed to bring this vial into the courtroom and start discussing quantity, how much is in it, whether the stopper tube has been tampered with, or whether there's been a breach by a needle through the top, you are getting into, now, scientific matters.

And you are going to be looking at, the jurors, the color that's there, the viscosity of blood. There are so many, now we're getting into areas that it cries out for chemical testing. If this is what their intention to do, they are basically bringing in science into the courtroom, about that vial of blood, and that is not correct. This can only be answered by testing this vial of blood.

Giving us the opportunity to test it and show the defense, and show the world, what this defense is, that these officers would plant blood in Teresa Halbach's car, we have to have that opportunity. The minute they bring that vial in, we have to have had been given the opportunity to test it, your Honor.

I'm concerned for the Halbach family and Teresa Halbach, that they get the trial that they
deserve. But also, just as importantly, I know from 22 years experience as an Assistant District Attorney, and 10 years in law enforcement with the Criminal Investigation Division of the United States Army, I always know that there is always a sort of a -- can be a tension between the police and the prosecutors.

But the relationship we have is embraced by each other. And when two officers are accused of what they are being accused of, for the last week these two deputy sheriffs have been on the broadcast news, and on the print media, and painted as if they could do something like this.

And as a prosecutor, we have a responsibility to do everything we can to also restore their good names. These deputy sheriffs have protected this community. They put their lives on the line. They get into situations that none of us want to deal with in life.

They are both good solid decent family men. They are kind men. They are gentlemen. I'm sure everyone in this room knows them. They deserve to have their reputations protected. And we can best do that by allowing us the opportunity to test that vial of blood and
show -- and show the world that the blood that is in Teresa Halbach's car did not come from this vial of blood.

In the Wisconsin case of State $\boldsymbol{v}$.
Migliorino, 489 NW 2nd, 678, they quote from the United States Supreme Court. And they state that, absent a constitutional provision statute or evidentiary rule to the contrary, the law is entitled to every person's evidence.

As former Chief Justice Warren Burger emphasized, for a unanimous Supreme Court, the need to develop all relevant facts in the adversary system is both fundamental and comprehensive. The ends of criminal justice would be defeated if judgments were to be founded on a partial or speculative presentation of the facts.

The very integrity of the judicial system and public confidence in the system depend on full disclosure of all the facts within the framework of the rules of evidence to ensure that justice is done. It is imperative to the function of courts that compulsory process be available for the production of evidence needed by either the prosecution or by the defense. And
that's in United States v. Nixon, 418 U.S., 683, 1974 decision.

And a few years later, in United States v. Robinson, at 485 U.S. 25, 1988, the Supreme Court stated, The central purpose of a criminal trial is to decide the factual question of the defendant's guilt or innocence. To this end, it is important that both the defendant and the prosecutor have the opportunity to meet, fairly, the evidence and arguments of one another. And for us to meet, fairly, the allegation that these two deputy sheriffs, these sworn officers who have taken an oath of office, would do something so despicable, and so criminal, necessitates us to have the opportunity to show how uncorrect, and untrue, and vile that allegation is. That's all I have, Judge, thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, Judge, it seems to me we're having nothing more than a rehash of the State's previous motion, which this Court considered thoroughly and denied for good reason. We object to any testing at this time, other than fingerprint testing. We say that we have no objection to the State bringing in some fingerprint analyst to
examine the vial, as it is, in the Clerk's Office. But we do object, as I say in my response, at this late 11 th hour, to any opening of the vial and doing tests on the vial of blood itself.

If this motion had been filed long a ago, it may be different. But one thing that Mr. Gahn left out of his chronology here, they were notified, by the way, December 6th, that was two months before trial, that this blood existed. And no motion was forthcoming at that time. No EDT motions forthcoming.

And I'm not usually in the habit of reading people's emails in Court, but it wasn't one sent to me, in any event. So I think the Court needs to recognize, that in the Crime Lab records is an email dated almost one year ago, February 6th of 2006 , to the Crime Lab analyst.

And at that time, a year ago, this email demonstrates the State was aware of and considering the possibility of EDTA tests. It says, quote, "Norm agreed that the bloodstains (or a couple anyway) should be tested for EDTA preservative to deflect the absurd suggestion that cops are carrying around vials of blood. I know your lab doesn't or can't test for it, but

Norm suggests the Lab of Hygiene might. Do you think that's a good idea, or should we go to a private lab?

One year ago they knew about this potential EDTA test. And I don't have the answer to that particular email, but the answer is, that they didn't do it. And they didn't test it because they were afraid they would find EDTA in the stains in the RAV-4, and that the defense would have another argument that this was planted evidence. That's what's going on here, Judge.

Just to make clear, Judge, this email I am referring to was in discovery. So it wasn't -- I received it legitimately. If the Court wants to see that paragraph, or the whole email is fine. But the point being here is that this has been a strategy, a -- a game of sorts, that the State has been playing, gambling that things would turn out the way they want, rather than doing the test.

Now that they have lost, they are trying to come back and do something, again. They are trying to reverse it. That's evident from Mr. Gahn's own comments.

December 6 is when they were notified,
officially, that there was a blood vial in the Clerk's office. No motion was made at that time. They wait a month, to January 4th, before they move to exclude it, or to continue the trial for testing. Now, he's telling us, for the first time, that when we were last discussing this, the Court and counsel for the defense were told that the FBI would take about three months, or four months, or whatever it was going to be, to retool and be able to do this test, because they do not even do EDTA tests anymore.

They stopped doing them because they are not reliable. They haven't done them since the O.J. Simpson trial 10 years ago. They were going to have to recalibrate their entire chemical lab in order to be able to even do these tests for this one case. Why? Because now the State is desperate. So, now we hear, though, on January 10th, that Mr. Gahn was told, oh, the U.S. Attorney is involved. U.S. Attorney's Office now says they are going to get the FBI to be able to do it.

Well, did we have a motion on
January 10th? No. Did we have a motion on the 11th, the 12th, or any of the succeeding days?

No. Because they gambled, they took a gamble that you were going to exclude the blood vial evidence and they lost. They took a chance. It was a strategic decision the State made. And everyone has got to live with it.

At this point, we have got jurors who have been on the verge of being selected. We have got everything ready for trial to begin on Monday. And this trial cannot begin on Monday if there are going to be any tests. Because as I point out, State vs. Wold, the Supreme Court decision, in my motion, it is very clear that if scientific tests are to be done, the other side has a right to its own sequential tests, surrebuttal tests.

On Page 4 of my motion, $I$ quote from
Wold, $W-0-1-d$, The need for full and fair disclosure is especially apparent with respect to scientific proof and the testimony of experts. This sort of evidence is practically impossible for an adversary to test or rebut at trial, without an advance opportunity to examine it closely.

We have a -- We would have a surrebuttal right to present our own evidence debunking
whatever the FBI comes up with here. Because as Mr. Gahn points out, every single reported case where EDTA has been tried -- has been offered as evidence, has been the defense doing it. And the State has presented witness after witness, expert witnesses, to say that it is not reliable and it should not even be admissible and they have debunked it.

Now, all those witnesses we would have a right to bring into this court and rebut whatever they would come up with, but we can't do that now. It's too late. Because they took a gamble and lost.

As I understand it, this EDTA test is the only thing they are asking for now. And the Court has ruled on that. And there's no way at this point the Court can reverse that without postponing the trial, which we object to.

Mr. Avery is in custody. He has a right to proceed. And he should not be punished or prejudiced because of some strategy, failed strategy, foolish strategy perhaps, in hindsight, but certainly a presumptuous one, to presume that this Court was going to rule in their favor and exclude it in the first place.

Especially when we see now, that a full year ago, they knew that this was going to be an issue. They knew from the very beginning when he said it was planted. They have had plenty of time. This email proves that in February they were talking about doing these tests a year ago, and chose, deliberately chose, not to do that.

So if these officers have to sit through a proceeding or news -- news reports that somehow make them seem vile, $I$ think was the term, whatever, so be it. That's the strategy that the State took. That's the path that they took. That's where this trial is going.

And it's a jury who is going to decide if they are good and decent people, solid decent people, or not. The jury who hears the evidence as to -- that shows what they did or didn't do in this case, will make that decision.

They want to show the world that this blood in the RAV did not come from the vial. That's absurd. They call the defense absurd. If they were able to do that, we would have been hearing about this long before today.

Still, after two months, they have not been able to present the Court with one single,
scientifically valid, reliable test that could be done at this point, on the vial of blood, that would somehow prove what they would love it to prove. It doesn't -- There are no tests.

There will be EDTA in the stains in the RAV. There will be EDTA in the blood tube. There will be EDTA in the RAV because, according to the State's experts in every other case, EDTA is a common chemical that is found in the environment, especially in cleaning products, Armor All, automobile type products. It's there.

So there are no tests, that I have heard, either before court or in court, or from Mr. Gahn, there are no tests that are going to prove what they want it to prove. That is, somehow -- it's not like they are going to be able to do some perfect fingerprint or DNA type of test and say this came from the vial, this couldn't have come from the vial.

For them now to wait. The Konkol case, let me just talk about that for a minute. Konkol says that the State can, in rebuttal, they can use an expert. That case was an OWI case where the State presented, in rebuttal, a blood alcohol absorption expert, to rebut the defense that was
presented, which is, $I$ couldn't have been a . 12 because I only had one drink. And several other witnesses were presented, said we saw him, he only had one drink.

The defense objected when this witness came in in rebuttal, saying this witness wasn't on the witness list. Konkol examined the statute that requires disclosure of witnesses. It's 971.23 (1)(d). That's all Konkol did. And that does specifically say that there is an exception for rebuttal or impeachment witnesses.

This is entirely different. This request falls under (5) of 971.23, which would be a motion for scientific testing. And as I think the case law is clear, that motion could have been made earlier and we would have had no reason to object, or no right to object, because then there would have been time for sequential testing, for the defense to have a lab of its choosing, probably to call one of the State's former prosecution witnesses in many of these other cases, to say, this EDTA stuff is voodoo science, you can't prove anything. Yeah, we can test for EDTA, but it doesn't tell us anything. It can't prove one way or the other.

And now we can't do that if they are allowed to start testing now and suddenly spring it on us in the middle of a trial. It would require -- I just point out to the Court, look at that Cooper case. Look at how long, how many hearings they had, how many evidentiary lengthy hearings with experts and battles of experts that court had on that one issue.

That's what we would be looking at here if we go through this trial, suddenly they bring up some test and they want to argue that it somehow proves one thing, when other experts will say, no, it doesn't. And we're going to have to take a -- we're going to have to postpone the trial, send the jurors home, and come back in a few months. It's just -- it's impossible.

And I point out in 971.23 (5), unlike the witness list statute, which does make an exception for rebuttal or impeachment witnesses, there is no such exception in (5). It doesn't say that you can present these in rebuttal, or you can hold off and put in tests in rebuttal, you know, in the middle of a trial.

And, clearly, when you look at Wold and you see, it's just common sense that the other
side has a right to respond. And when you are talking about scientific tests you can't just suddenly, after five weeks of trial, or six weeks of trial, find an expert who is going to come in, look at this, test it, and be available to rebut it. It's just too late.

And not only is it too late, the State has still, and this is very important, it's not like something is being -- going to be kept from the world, or something is going to be kept from the jury that would otherwise answer this question. There is no test that will prove whether this -- If there was a test that would prove it, I would have asked for it. Because then I believe it would show that the blood in the vial is the same blood that is in the RAV-4.

But I have done the research and Mr. Gahn has done the research too. And there are no tests that will prove that and that's why we haven't heard of any yet. So we're not holding anything back from the world or the jury by not doing these tests.

Mr. Gahn points out Migliorino and talks about how the law is entitled to every person's evidence. And I think Nixon, United States vs.

Nixon, talks about that as well, but there are limits, of course. If the law -- If either side was allowed to present whatever evidence they want, then we would be presenting evidence at the trial of other suspects that could have committed this crime. A number of them, we could have presented evidence of.

Instead, we have to go to trial and we can't answer -- or we can't answer the questions of the jury, who did it if he didn't. We tried. We offered a number of witnesses, but this Court ruled, under the law, as it was entitled to, that that evidence should not come in, similarly here.

Not every piece of evidence that either side wants, gets to come in. There are limits on it. And this Court has ruled on them already in this case. To do otherwise, now, would jeopardize a mistrial, having to retry this case all over, and simply because the State took a gamble and lost.

So, for all those reasons, I move to -the Court to deny this motion, with one exception, that we have no objection to being able to present fingerprints -- a fingerprint test to the jury, whatever. I'm assuming that
can be done in a way that won't alter the vial. They have Super Glue type things, that are more or less invisible, that won't detract from it. But we have a right to present this blood vial to the jury so that they can see it in the condition that it is, and then draw whatever reasonable inferences there are from that evidence. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Very briefly, your Honor. I'm sorry, very briefly, your Honor. The timing was perfect in this case by the defense. They knew about this vial of blood at least in July, last July. And, of course, Mr. Avery knew about it on January 2nd of 1996, when the vial of blood was drawn from his arm in the prison system. So I suspect they have known about this from the very, very beginning.

They waited until December 6 to put this on us. It wasn't until the following week, I believe the 14th, that we actually all went and looked and actually determined that there was a vial of blood in the Clerk of Court's Office.

And as I explained to the Court, too, there's no games here. This is too serious of a
case. We don't play games here. To get the answers -- get the answers to the questions that I had, over that Christmas holiday period, was difficult, the professors at the universities, the science departments, the laboratories, and it wasn't until when I brought the motion on January 3rd that we had the information that we felt was important to us and that we felt comfortable and confident that we would be able to present very valid reliable scientific evidence to this court.

As far as the email goes and that we knew about a year ago, yes, we did talk about it but the decision was made, and the very reasonable decision was made, as is shown in the Cooper case. We didn't know there was a vial of blood in existence. And to test the stains in the SUV, we knew about how ubiquitous in nature the EDTA is. And it probably wouldn't have been helpful or wouldn't have told us anything. And that's the difference between all the cases and the facts we have here.

Yes, the FBI does not routinely do this test, because it's rarely, if ever, asked for by the State. This is a defense motion that they want to bring in. But here we have standards to
compare it to. Certainly it isn't like a DNA test, but in principle it is like DNA.

You can have the bloodstains in the car, but it doesn't tell you anything unless you have a standard to compare them to. And we have blood stains in this car here and we have ED -- we have a vial of blood that is a standard. In a North Carolina, at the lab, there is a standard that makes this just a vastly different case.

I don't understand why they are so afraid of this testing. If their theory of defense is correct, I would think that they would also embrace this and welcome it. Don't they want to know whether the blood in the car came from the vial of blood.

Your Honor, I believe that this case is so different that we will meet the Walstad requirements in an admissibility hearing. The Court has indicated, $I$ believe all along, that it's willing to release that evidence to parties if they wish to test it. I think that the decisions that we made are reasonable. They make sense.

And now that we know that this testing can be done and accomplished in the time frame
that I was told it could not be, but for the assistance of the U.S. attorney, the problem is that once that vial of blood is brought into the courtroom, and jurors are being told questions, or to look at the vial, and look at the color, and look at the amount that's in there, that's still in liquid form, look at the top, look at the stopper; you are now getting into areas of science and you are going to be -- and without having answers and science, the jurors will be forced to widely speculate.

How much was in there? How much was used at Laboratory Corporation of America? How much was there in the SUV? Is there more blood? It just cries out for us to have that opportunity to test it and to answer all these questions, once and for all, put it to rest.

And, again, we will preserve one half of that, whatever is in that tube. They can do testing concurrently. And this belief that, oh, they can't do anything about it now until they see our results, is not true. They know the exact same experts that were called in the Cooper case.

And I think everyone, and the Court read
the case, all the scientists that were called agreed on the underlying scientific underpinnings, the instrumentation, and the ability of the scientific chemistry labs, toxicology labs, whatever they are, to test for a particular substance using the instrumentation that they have now.

The only question is, the interpretation of the data. They know who those experts are now. They can line someone up, the very second that the data comes off to us from the FBI can be shipped to them and they are ready to -- They can move on this. They don't have to wait to see it and then look for an expert. They can find one right now. And if they want to do their own testing, whatever that may be, they can also do it right now.

So I would ask the Court, as I said, I believe the Court has indicated all along its willingness to allow this testing. And I think that the most important thing here for the Court to recognize is that once that vial is brought into the courtroom and it's talked about in a scientific fashion, we must, we must have the opportunity to answer those scientific questions.

And, again, $I$ just cannot, cannot emphasize too much asking you to give us the chance to restore the reputations of these fine deputy sheriffs. That's all I have. Thank you so much.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I just have a --
THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY BUTING: -- response to that. Not a big response. We have still not heard from anybody, any expert, that will say that such a test exists that can restore the reputation of these officers or whatever. This is -- Mr. Gahn is being disingenuous if he is comparing this in any way to DNA where you can look at one, look at the other, and say, yes, there is a match.

There is no such test. If there was such a test, we would have heard about it already. We would have had something presented. We would have an expert here today who would be opining, yes, we can do that. But we haven't heard anything. We're just hearing these wild assumptions that maybe there might be such a thing.

The -- They ask, don't we want to know, wouldn't we like to know if there was? Well, we
already know from all the experts we have talked to, that there is no such test that can do that comparison. But if they want us to know so much, then let Mr. Avery out on bail. He's the one who is sitting in solitary confinement for 15 months while they made a choice not to test earlier, when they could have. It's too late to do that now without postponing the whole trial.

The argument that we can do concurrent tests is totally disingenuous because Mr. Gahn knows, as the Court knows, there are no other labs that do this that are reliable or -- other than the one that was -- reputation has been battered in two cases, including the Cooper case. There, frankly, are no labs to do it at all, not even the FBI, until right now, for this one test. So how are we going to go find someone else to do it. We would have to go outside the country somewhere, assuming there is even anybody else anywhere who does. We can't do that. The Court indicated a willingness to entertain some sort of testing. But I don't think the Court entertained a willingness to reconsider the whole issue of whether we should allow an EDTA tests. If there was some sort of
easily done type of test, that wouldn't delay the proceedings, that's what I understood the Court's willingness to do. And that's what we would have been willing to do two months ago, if there was any such test.

I haven't found any. Mr. Gahn hasn't found any. There are none. The answer is going to have to be left up to the jury in this case, as to whether it came -- whether the stains came from the vial or not. And nothing that could be done before, probably nothing even after, is going to answer that question, one way or the other. And I think that's all. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Well, based on the developments as they have been presented to the Court over the last few weeks, it's obvious that both parties regard the blood vial evidence, as it's been referred to, as important in this case. The information provided to the Court, although there hasn't been an evidentiary hearing on the matter, is that the defense had some knowledge of the existence of the blood vial in the Clerk's Office last July. And the State indicates it was not notified of the existence of the vial until early December.

Given the relatively late notice to the

State, first of all, I'm not aware of anything that I would characterize as undue delay on the part of the State. From all indications, they were surprised to learn of the existence of the vial, and EDTA testing is not something that is as standardized even as DNA testing, to the extent you can call DNA testing standardized. That's also a development of recent years.

Ultimately, criminal trials are all
about a search for truth. And at this point in the game, the Court is not being asked to determine whether any test results from the blood in the vial are admissible, but simply whether or not the State should be given the opportunity to attempt to test the blood in the vial in order to determine if there is admissible evidence that will assist the jury. I think that it's only fair in this case to permit the State to be given an opportunity to do that.

If there is probative evidence that can be derived from testing the blood in the vial, $I$ think it's important to both parties that such evidence be presented to the jury, regardless of which party the evidence supports. So I am going to grant the State's motion to have access to the
blood vial evidence for testing.
In the course of the arguments from the parties, there were a couple of things that became apparent to the Court. First of all, the defense has a legitimate interest in determining any -- the existence of any fingerprint evidence on the vial. And the vial will have to be secured in order to test for fingerprint evidence, before any blood is withdrawn to do a blood sample.

I also believe that the defense should have the right to have the sample split, in the event the defense finds a lab that can do EDTA testing, or any other testing that the defense may feel is meaningful in this case, so that they have an opportunity to conduct that testing.

The Court, in it's previous ruling, refusing to continue the trial, commented on the difficulties expressed in the Cooper case with determining the significance of levels of EDTA in blood. But the Cooper case didn't address the question of comparability. In this case, it's alleged, as part of the defense, as I understand it, that the blood in the victim's vehicle may have come from the vial in the Clerk's Office.

And the Court is not prepared to say, and has been presented with no evidence to suggest that there may not be a meaningful comparison of EDTA levels in the blood vial and EDTA levels in the blood found in the victim's vehicle. So I think at least the potential here exists for admissible evidence.

And until testing is done, the Court simply isn't in a position to rule on it's eventual admissibility. The only thing the Court knows for sure is that if $I$ deny access to the blood vial at this time the Court would never have a chance to consider such evidence. So I'm going to grant the State's motion on that basis. ATTORNEY BUTING: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: If the Court is going to do that, then the defense reluctantly moves to postpone this trial, to continue it, because otherwise we are being ambushed here. We will not be able to respond to whatever the State comes up with. It's just impossible, when you think about the undertaking that we have to do between now and the next four or five weeks, the number of witnesses, we're going to be in court all day long.

We are not going to be able to respond to whatever it is they come up with. That's what Wold talked about.

In fairness to his constitutional right to have a fair trial, there's no way that we can possibly prepare to rebut whatever the State comes up with. Now, it may be that what the State comes up with is favorable to the defense. But we don't know that at this time point, that's the problem.

And the only remedy, if the State does dig up some sort of evidence that they think is helpful to them and hurtful to the defense, the only remedy will be to adjourn the trial at that point. And with this kind of a trial, we can't do that. We will have taken a months worth of testimony and then we will have -- we will be back in front of the Court moving to adjourn. And you will be risking a mistrial and reversal of this case on appeal, because this man wouldn't have a right to rebut whatever the State is doing. It's that simple.

THE COURT: Response from the State. ATTORNEY GAHN: Yes, your Honor. Again, they made this tactical and strategic decision last

July not to tell us about it and wait until December. This is what their decision was. And this is what Konkol is all about, and what rebuttal evidence and rebuttal testimony is all about.

I do take issue with their saying they can't do anything about this. There's plenty they can do. And this Court has read that Cooper decision. We know who the experts are and we know what the issues are. They can prepare right now getting an expert lined up. I have talked to experts who said you can -- once the data comes off from the $F B I$, send the data. It's not going to take long to look it over and say is this valid or is it not valid. The issues here are the interpretation of the data.

No one is going to come in and question the underlying scientific principles here. They can start working on this now, lining someone up, lining up their arguments. And it will be the same type of arguments that were in the Cooper case, the ubiquitousness of this type of a chemical in the community, and society, and the environment. They can start working on that right this moment.

And, again, they can take their sample.

There are other tests that $I$ believe can be done, that they could do if they wished not to do EDTA testing. Just the fact of, you know, quantifying it, how much is in that vacutainer? How much did Meghan Clement use at Laboratory Corporation of America. There are other things. What is the breakdown? We are looking into things, even the nature of is there an irreversible effect of hemoglobin in the blood, at some point does it turn a different color.

These are things that they also, I'm sure, have been looking at and discussing among themselves. So I don't think -- they are not being caught off guard on this. We're giving them an awful lot of information. And I, obviously, will tell the Court the very moment we get this information from the FBI. It will be sent to them, immediately, and as soon as we possibly can. And I will be checking with the FBI on a regular basis and hoping, you know, can we get it, to get it as soon as we possibly can.

THE COURT: All right. You know, I'm hearing different things from the parties today. I know in the defense's earlier argument, defense counsel I believe indicated that no one, perhaps, is
capable of doing this testing, or no one at least other than the FBI. So, if that would be true, the question could be asked, what would be the benefit of granting an adjournment after the $F B I$ results came in, if nobody else is capable of doing the testing. And it's a little hard for me to accuse the State of ambushing the defense, when the defense knew of the existence of the vial of blood in July, but didn't inform the State until December.

Those comments aside, at this point the Court is not ruling on the admissibility of the test results, the Court is simply being asked to give the State an opportunity to do the testing. And given the relatively late stage in the game in which the State acquired knowledge of the blood vial evidence, $I$ believe it's fair to give them a chance to do so and that's what I'm going to do. Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, the motion for continuance reluctantly is offered because, in fact, the Court is being asked to do more than give the State an opportunity for testing. Tacitly, but unavoidably, when the question is EDTA testing, the Court also is being asked to deny the defense an opportunity to do independent EDTA testing.

It is true, I suppose, that we could go now, if we had -- didn't have a trial to start on Monday, we could go and find experts who would testify in general about the reliability of EDTA testing. We could, in theory, do that, if we weren't starting a trial on Monday.

But the Court well knows, and counsel has acknowledged in the hearing in Chilton, to the Court, that there are two labs, and two labs only, in this country, that have ever done EDTA testing. One of them thoroughly discredited in North Carolina, the other the FBI, which apparently is recalibrating and is willing to undertake that now.

The only way, as we have discussed before, and as I think this Court's decision recognized, the only way that we could do independent testing, as opposed to bringing in a cat bird to criticize the FBI testing, would be to obtain from the FBI, if it will yield its protocols, obtain the FBI protocols and then try to find a university lab with an analytic chemist who is willing to try to follow the FBI protocol and undertake independent testing, assuming that that university was equipped with the same gas
chromatograph or infrared spectrometry machine, or whatever the FBI uses.

I have no idea what the protocol is. And, indeed, we were given to understand that the FBI is recertifying and reexamining its own protocol. So there is no way to do defense testing here at a reputable lab, or with reputable scientists, other than sequentially, none.

And it is, therefore, a motion to deny the defense a chance to meet, with independent testing, the State's rebuttal offering. And I understand the Court's ruling and the impulse to say, eventually this is going to get tested, so let's have it out, let's thrash it out, it's admissible or it's not, it helps the defense or it helps the State. I understand that.

And as I have said from the beginning, if $I$ didn't have a client in custody, $I$ would have joined the motion for adjournment. I'm curious too, and I realize that as a practical matter, some day the blood vial is going to be tested for EDTA. Partitioning the sample is not in itself prejudicial, we have acknowledged that. The testing is going to be done some day.

But when it's done during trial, with nothing more than a promise, heard today for the first time that, no, it's not three to four months, we can get the results from the FBI before the end of trial, the necessity here on this test, for sequential testing, means necessarily that we are being denied the opportunity to do independent testing.

That's a due process right and a fair trial right that Mr. Avery has. And forced to choose between sitting in jail for another six months, or whatever it is, and a fair trial, forced to that choice, I guess you will take the fair trial and due process. And that's the specific problem here.

I'm not worried about fingerprints or viscosity. Once EDTA is the rebuttal point, then the surrebuttal point also is EDTA, and that may require independent testing. And independent testing on these circumstances cannot be done until we have the FBI protocol and an opportunity to find somebody willing to get into that business, who hasn't been discredited as a charlatan and a fraud, as have the people in North Carolina. So, yes, it is a reluctant
motion for a continuance, but we don't have a realistic choice.

THE COURT: Anyone from the State wish to be heard?

ATTORNEY GAHN: This would have been a wonderful conversation to have last August. That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Well, the Court denied the State's motion for a continuance in part because I ruled that if the State had wanted to do EDTA testing on the blood found in the victim's vehicle, it could have been done so earlier. I think the defense is somewhat in the same boat here. If they wanted to do EDTA testing on the blood in the vial, efforts for that could have been started earlier.

If it's possible, and I understand the defense may be telling me that there is no other lab, other than the FBI, that does such testing now. And maybe there will be one that does some some day. Maybe it will be one year, maybe it will be two years, maybe it will be five years from now. But $I$ don't think the Court can simply postpone the trial to some point indefinitely in the hopes that some day there may be another lab that can do EDTA testing to double check whatever
results the FBI comes up with, if the FBI comes up with any results.

So, as I indicated, I'm not going to preclude the State from taking a chance at attempting to test the blood in the vial, simply because of possibilities that may or may never come about.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, again, I don't have access to the FBI lab. I couldn't get going on EDTA testing earlier, couldn't if I wanted to. The defense has no access to the FBI lab. And the FBI is the only organization in this country -and I don't think Mr. Gahn will disagree with that -- that has a protocol for doing the testing he wants to do.

And, you know, the insinuation that we were late overlooks the fact we beat by nine days our deadline for disclosing exactly this type of information. And also overlooks the fact that this was in a public record that anybody in the world and apparently a bunch of different media organizations walked in and looked at from 2003 on.

So, you know, we really are in a position where we are being denied a fair trial
and due process opportunity to do independent testing on the facts that this Court has in front of it here. I don't have a choice but to ask for a continuance and to object throughout here that we haven't had a chance to meet the State's proposed rebuttal.

THE COURT: I don't know if the State has weighed in yet on the request for a continuance. Let's do this, I'm going take a 10 minute break, since I don't think that request was known to anybody until now. And then $I$ will hear from the State, if the State wishes to be heard after coming back.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Thank you, Judge. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Does the State wish to be heard on the defense motion for a continuance of the trial?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, I understand what the defense is saying that, you know, at one point we did ask for a continuance, and we did. But at that time, we were under the impression that the testing that we want done could not be completed in time. And that is why we asked it, and now, with the assistance of the United States Attorney, we are
able to get that testing done in sufficient time.
I truly believe, and if I felt that there were anything unfair about this I would tell the Court and be candid, but I feel comfortable enough stating that $I$ think they can prepare for this, for this EDTA testing. They know who the experts are. They know who, around the country, where they can go to. I just think that they can adequately prepare for these test results.

I will tell the Court I will do everything $I$ can to get whatever materials $I$ can to them early on. I will start making my calls, if not today on Monday. And I will try to get as much material about the FBI and their protocol and their operation and get it to them as soon as I possibly can.

But we believe that we are ready for trial. I believe that we owe it to the Halbach family to get this trial going, under way, and complete this trial. So we do not join in any request for any continuance of this matter.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Perhaps the Court would be kind enough to put one very direct question to

Mr. Gahn, who's the acknowledged expert on scientific testing, and EDTA testing in specific here. And that question is this, is Mr. Gahn aware of one credible laboratory that presently, today, can do EDTA testing of the exact sort he proposes to do, other than the FBI.

THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: No, your Honor, but I will say this much, they are making it sound like this is something that is so unusual. The FBI doesn't routinely and normally do this, nor does -- first of all, because it's rarely asked for by the State. Also, I suspect private laboratories do not engage in it because there's probably no money in it, because it is so rarely asked for on any occasion.

But that doesn't mean that a university research facility, or any other laboratory that has the machinery and instruments or gas chromatograph and all the instruments wanted, cannot ramp up for this test and tool -- retool their equipment. That's my understanding. Someone can. You can analyze any elemental substance. Any chemical, basically, can be analyzed with the instruments at any lab or research facility that a university has. That's
my understanding.
You know, your Honor, you see cases in the newspaper about someone suspects that someone was poisoned by some unique poison, this one recently in Britain or something. I'm sure that some laboratory had to ramp up and retool or something to test for this specific chemical. This isn't something that's so bizarre or unusual. This is normal, normal chemical substance analysis by any laboratory.

ATTORNEY STRANG: So this is where the Court finds itself on a continuance, as I understand it. Until an hour ago, all of us were under the understanding, that is the Court and the defense table, were under the understanding, that even the FBI, which has done EDTA quantitation testing in the past, would need three or four months to do it.

Now, we're told that before the end of this trial, so presumably sometime between now and the middle of March, through the fortuitous intervention of the United States Attorney in Milwaukee, the FBI can speed up the earlier timeline that all of us were given. I have no statutory right to call on the FBI. Neither can I expect the United States Attorney, or anyone
else, to help me find a new lab that has never done this sort of testing, to do it in six weeks, or five weeks, let alone the three to four months that even the FBI initially was saying it needed. There are exactly two lawyers representing Mr . Avery. Both of them will be in Chilton five days a week, beginning on Monday. And the Court also will have to entertain, before we can do any testing, a motion under Ake, $A-k-e$, vs. Oklahoma, because I expect that it is likely, it seems to me and I have not checked this, I haven't gotten quotes, but where you are asking somebody to spool up, from the start, to do independent testing that they have not done before, once they get FBI protocols, I suspect that the cost of that will be well beyond the cost that my firm can bear. Because this isn't coming out of Mr. Avery's pocket, long ago were his resources exhausted.

And so the Court will have that due process consideration under Ake vs. Oklahoma to consider as well. Although, the process of getting quotations and casting about North America for universities that might be willing to get into this business, in theory, could begin,
were we not in trial.
So that that's where the Court finds itself functionally. We are being denied here to do independent testing on EDTA or its quantitation. I object to that on constitutional grounds, fair trial, and due process. A continuance of some months would remedy that.

There's the issue of Mr. Avery's right to a speedy trial and his custodial status. Perhaps that could and should be revisited because it was, after all, the Court's reliance on the new charges in the Amended Information that caused this Court, in large part, as I recall, to raise the bail by $\$ 250,000$, last spring.

And now, of course, the most serious of those three new charges in the Amended Information are no longer. And perhaps what goes around comes around on that, but that's not for today.

What is for today is a reluctant request on due process and fair trial grounds, on the record we have made, for a continuance and an opportunity, realistic opportunity, to assess the FBI results, to meet them, to have an evidentiary
hearing under Walstad on the admissibility of those results, whether they are favorable or unfavorable to the defense. And to do independent testing as by our best lights, in the defense of Mr. Avery, and in presenting his case, as the Court has allowed it with the contours of its rulings, to a jury, so that he will have a fair trial the first time. And those are the bases for my motion for continuance.

THE COURT: Anything else from the State?
ATTORNEY GAHN: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, as I indicated earlier, the Court feels it's getting conflicting messages here about the extent to which anyone, other than the FBI, can do the testing. If it can be done within a matter of a few months, it seems to me the State -- or the defense has known of the existence of the blood vial since last July, and I'm not sure I fully understand at this point why the testing could not be done.

It appears that the defense is asking to do the testing in response to whatever results the FBI might come up with, but in any event, the defense did not disclose to the State the existence of the blood vial until early December.

With that timeline, the Court finds it unlikely that the results of the FBI testing would have come before today, or at least much before today, soon enough before today to give the defendant an opportunity to do other testing, if it's even possible. And if it is possible, and if the Court splits the samples today, each party would have the same opportunity to have the same amount of time to get test results in.

So I am not going to adjourn the trial. I am going to grant the State's motion for access to the blood vial. The one item that I did not address originally, I indicated that the defense could have a split sample of the blood in the vial, that the vial would have to be tested for fingerprints before any analysis of the blood was done. I do not know if the defense at this point has enough photos of the vial to -- for whatever the defense may want to show with respect to the vial as part of its case here.

ATTORNEY STRANG: We could use more photos because, of course, the vial, when it's shown to the jury, physically, will be missing, presumably, about half the blood that's in it now. You know, the State, in a cocaine delivery case, doesn't go
forward to a jury on photos, it brings in the cocaine. And a felon in possession of a firearm case, the State brings in the gun.

We're functionally allowed to bring in only the altered vial to show this jury. We have argued that in the motion -- or in the response that Mr. Buting filed, which again goes to fairness of the trial. The next best alternative is to take good photos showing the current quantity of the blood and video footage, or moving footage, showing that the blood is in a liquid form today.

So that would be our alternate request, without abandoning any of the arguments that I have just made, of course. And then there's also the matter of the blood spot cards that the Court has not yet addressed.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I just want to clear up the record at one point, because you said you have been getting conflicting messages. What I want to make clear is, whenever we discovered that there may have been a blood vial in the court file, there were no labs, anywhere, that we could find that would test this. So it's not like we made a decision not to test it. Mr. Gahn will concur with
that.
Because other than this one Ballard Lab, there was no -- which has been totally -- which was considered disreputable by all the reported courts, there were no other labs doing this test, including the FBI. The FBI is only doing this test now because of this case. So I just want to make it clear that this wasn't some strategic decision we made not to do the test, there was nobody we could go to.

THE COURT: All right. How can the photographing of the exhibit suggested by the defense be accomplished and still allow the vial to be made available for testing?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Well, I do know that they took plenty of photos when we were all together down in the Clerk's Office. Also had a film camera and took moving pictures, also, of the vial and still photographs.

Let me just back up a moment and make this suggestion. I would ask the Court to rule that the fingerprinting of the vial, and the styrofoam box, and cardboard box be accomplished. But I would ask the Court to leave that to the discretion, of what order it would be done, with
the FBI analyst.
The reason is this, I don't want any type of superglue, any type of fuming used that perhaps may interfere with the chemical testing that will be done. It may be prudent and the FBI say, look, we would rather get the blood out of the vial first and would do it all gloved and then to do the fingerprinting, so as not to interfere with the chemical analysis. I don't know the answer to that, but $I$ would ask that your ruling be that fingerprinting be accomplished and the order of it be left to the scientists.

THE COURT: The FBI is going to do the fingerprinting?

ATTORNEY GAHN: What I was thinking of doing was that the FBI is going to be doing the packaging of the vial for us. I was going to have one of our officers involved in this to be present and take custody, immediately, of the cardboard box and the styrofoam box. And then the actual fingerprinting of the vial, I would ask the FBI to accomplish, you know, when they think it is the better time to do that. And that's how I would like to see the order be.

THE COURT: If the defense is allowed on Monday to take whatever photos or video of the vial it wants, you can have it on Monday; would that work?

ATTORNEY STRANG: How do we do that?
ATTORNEY GAHN: Judge, we'll make it work. We would prefer to take it -- I will tell you this, I will ask the FBI, and I would hope and, I mean, they have never denied other requests before, would they have their photography unit make very, very good still photographs of it for us, that I'm sure would be better than any of us could do. I would ask that be accomplished beforehand, once they get the vial. I will ask that, I don't think they will deny that. If they do, then we'll make other arrangements.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: If I may have a moment with counsel?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY GAHN: I have just been informed that we have available video camera that we could make available to them this afternoon and they could take all the pictures they want of the blood vial, this afternoon.

THE COURT: I know the defense has some photos already.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm not sure what else is -ATTORNEY STRANG: We do have some photos already, not intended at the time to be a replacement for having the physical evidence at trial. This is an exhibit in the custody of the Court and it's now being handed over to a party and the jury won't have it. So that's been the Court's ruling and the next best alternative is to allow us to photograph, with the idea of alternate evidence being offered to the jury, video and still.

I object to fingerprint testing being done after this vial has been handled for testing and there's an obvious spoliation problem with destroying or smudging fingerprints that otherwise may be identifiable. But, again, a Court exhibit is being turned over, over our objection, to the other side. And now a course of action is being proposed that presents the real risk of spoliation of fingerprint evidence.

THE COURT: Well, what opportunity to photograph or video the exhibit is the defense requesting?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Before it goes, we need a chance to photograph it still and videotape it. I'm not sure what video equipment the State has. I'm not opposed to using their video equipment. I know we're in court this afternoon and we're starting a trial on Monday morning so.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Is there access to this building on Saturday or Sunday, that they could do it?

THE COURT: I'm sure there could be.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Could we work that out?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, look I'm moving from Madison up to trial tomorrow and I'm starting trial on Monday. I'm not anticipating, nor do I have time, resources, or personnel to be arranging for trips to Manitowoc the weekend before a trial.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, may I just have another suggestion. How about this, how about release it to our representatives here from Calumet County Sheriff's Department and DCI will take it back to Calumet County where they have an office set up and where they will be this weekend and they can do their photographing at the Sheriff's Office in Calumet County this weekend.

We won't open it. We'll wait until they are present and they can photograph it from taking it out of the cardboard box and then opening up the styrofoam box and photograph there.

THE COURT: Who is going to be doing the photography work for the defense?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I have no idea, probably one of the two people here, unless we can get our defense investigator, and I don't know his availability for this tomorrow. I didn't expect this.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, we would note that there was a extensive video shot on December 14 th, so this would be an adjunct or a supplement to previous video and photographs.

THE COURT: Well, everybody is going to be back here on Monday, it's perhaps unfair to the defense, if they weren't planning on doing photography today, which they may well not have been doing, so I will give them until the end of Monday to make arrangements to do whatever photographing or videoing of the exhibit they wish and then $I$ will release it -- order it released to the State.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, would you just,
to conform your decision with the written order, that this also includes the spot cards that are in North Carolina?

THE COURT: Actually, I haven't heard anything about the spot cards. I don't know if there's an objection to those. I, frankly, don't know what they are because until your motion, I didn't really hear them referred to.

ATTORNEY GAHN: When -- If the original testing was done in 1996, my understanding is the blood was drawn from Steven Avery on January 2nd. And on January 4th of 1996, Laboratory Corporation of America received the blood vial in question. They did the initial Innocence Project DNA testing and came up with inconclusive results.

As part of their testing protocol, upon receipt of a vial of blood, they open up the vial, and they put it on what are called spot cards. Spot cards preserve the blood sample, as best they can. And that is what they do their testing from, these spot cards. My understanding, there's a number of them.

When I talked to the FBI, they thought those would be helpful in interpreting the EDTA data, because here you have samples of that blood
vial, which were taken two days afterwards. Presumably Laboratory Corporation of America has maintained them, better than a liquid blood vial sitting in the Clerk of Court's Office. These were maintained in a different setting, and one could see if there's any type of degradation to them and they would be important.

THE COURT: So the blood from the cards came from the vial that's downstairs in the Clerk's Office?

ATTORNEY GAHN: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, maybe, I'm misunderstanding the theory here, but if the blood that was in the vial is what was used to plant -- or was planted in the vehicle, then the relevance will be what was the -- what's the EDTA in the vial, not what it was 11 years ago. I don't see how -- how that's going to have any relevance to this test.

THE COURT: Well, at this point, given the fact the Court is not an EDTA expert, frankly, I don't know what relevance it has, but I'm not being asked to rule on it's admissibility today, the Court is just being asked to allow the defense to test it. ATTORNEY STRANG: No, no, the State. THE COURT: Or the State, to test it. And

I can't think of a reason not to allow that.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, if you want the defense to be heard, Laboratory Corporation of America was a defense hired consultant in 1996. This was defense testing, done at the defense request, not jointly with the State. So, what we're being asked now is for the Court to order, on the 1985 file, that defense expert in North Carolina be required to yield to the State of Wisconsin work product that was done at the defense request and at the defense expense at the time. So that the State and the State alone can do EDTA testing here to the exclusion of the defense.

It's probably not relevant given what's been ordered so far. You know, I'm -- I'm not inclined to stand in the way of the march of knowledge but, again, this is -- this is just sort of a power play and a grab for a chance to do testing that we won't be able to repeat, or meet, or consider doing independently. I think the record, including on January 4, 2007 really is very clear about the fact that there is presently, today, no one in the business of doing EDTA testing that the State apparently is prevailing upon the FBI to do for it and it only.

To preserve, you know, our options, whatever they may be, long after this trial is over, I suppose, if the Court is going to order the defense to give up work product of a defense expert then -- and, you know, also order that half of those spot cards be preserved inviolate, in the hope that some day there will be money, and time, and another lab to do defense testing, and prove that the State's tests shouldn't have been considered in isolation at this trial.

THE COURT: How many spot cards are there?
ATTORNEY GAHN: I don't know, your Honor. I believe there are more than one. And I -- When I read the laboratory notes -- and although the -Mr. Strang is portraying this as a work product, this was by order and by stipulation of the parties. And as my understanding when $I$ read it was --

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, the testing was not, the withdrawal of the blood for the purpose of defense testing was by court order of Judge Hazlewood and by stipulation of the State of Wisconsin, the testing was not joined. That's simply the fact, as I understand it.

ATTORNEY GAHN: But, again, that case is over with now, it's a public record. And I do
believe that we did prepare an order for Judge Fox to ask him to relinquish whatever jurisdictional control he may have over it. We're prepared to go to that Court and ask him to sign that and that you be given the authority to make decisions on the blood evidence.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And to that, we don't object, but the blood spot cards are not a public record, never have been, it's defense work product. We object to the whole road on which the State and the Court have imbarked today, this included.

THE COURT: Well, I would agree that the spot cards, as they have been characterized for the Court today, are different from the blood vial in the sense that it's not part of the court record. I'm not -- What I don't understand and what I'm not sure the parties have addressed is the significance of that. And when I say that, I say that I have not ever been requested before to issue an order that the contractor of the defense, if you will, turn information over to the State. It's not the same as simply ordering that something in a court file be released.

ATTORNEY STRANG: But, you know, the position we're in here, of course, is that if we
don't agree to it, and the State eventually convinces this Court to allow the FBI's results, with no opportunity for us to rebut them, then we will also hear testimony that we tried to prevent the FBI from having access to something that might have been relevant to its testing, so --

THE COURT: I don't think the Court would allow that if $I$ didn't order the information disclosed. I'm not sure -- I don't believe that would be proper for a jury.

ATTORNEY GAHN: I guess I would quote, again, from the Migliorino case that I cited before, this is every man's evidence. And the State and defense is entitled to it. This is going to be important evidence.

THE COURT: I think, though, that the defense is entitled -- and this is difficult without knowing how many cards there are -- the defense would be entitled to retain some, for their own purposes, either at this point or some point in the future. That doesn't seem an unreasonable request to the Court.

ATTORNEY GAHN: I suspect that if there's one spot card, I would request the -- her name is Meghan Clement, who is in charge of the forensic
unit there, cut it in half. We'll preserve one half. If there are two spot cards, we'll take one, and save one for the defense.

THE COURT: I'm going to want to know more information about the spot cards before $I$ rule on that part of the request. So I'm not going to rule on that part today.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Okay.
THE COURT: I will just rule on the blood vial.

ATTORNEY GAHN: So, are we waiting till Monday that we can take the blood vial --

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY GAHN: -- Monday afternoon, or upon their completion of whatever.

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Okay. Thank you, Judge, we will prepare another order.

THE COURT: All right. The next item is the defense motion to exclude computer generated animations.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm sorry, before we go to that, $I$ think we need a ruling from the Court on whether it's reconsidering its earlier order that fingerprint testing had to be completed before the

State removes the blood vial for EDTA testing.
THE COURT: I'm going to allow the FBI to make that decision for the reasons that I don't have the scientific knowledge to know the significance of one being done before the other. If the FBI acts in some way that either the fingerprint evidence or the blood evidence results are compromised, I assume that the defense expert can address that.

We do have notice of defense experts that will be testifying and criticizing, in some respects, the methods that were used by the State to produce test results. So I'm not going to specify the order -- the order in which the tests are conducted.

ATTORNEY GAHN: Your Honor, I must get back to Milwaukee, may I have leave of the Court to go.

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY GAHN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. We'll move on, then, to the defense motion to exclude computer generated animations.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, from a timing standpoint, $I$ do recognize that we have six or seven different matters to hear. We have witnesses -- or a witness that is standing by from the State Patrol.

He's also been kind enough to prepare for the defense and for the Court documents which sets forth his methodology in the creation of demonstrative evidence.

Those include the scene models that we talked about, include the -- both interior models of the defendant's trailer and garage, as well as the exterior overviews of the Avery property. He also deals in a second set of explanations for the Court, some information regarding skeletal models, and is prepared to provide testimony as to animations.

I believe that Mr. Strang had expressed at least the most serious objection -- and I'm sure he will correct me if I'm wrong, but the most serious objection to actual moving images. But having made those prefatory comments, Judge, since Mr. Austin's testimony is not only likely to mirror that that I provided the Court now in writing, although there maybe some additional information, Mr. Strang and I, in correspondence before this hearing, recognize that final decisions on admissibility will need to come closer in time to -- to the trial or during the trial.

I'm wondering if the Court wishes to review the written submissions. I can make for the Court and Mr. Strang, copies of the DVD's, that is the animations themselves. That will give the Court a fair understanding of what we're talking about. And perhaps in the interest of getting through some of these other issues that, frankly, need to be decided before Monday, it's my recommendation that we do that.

Because this -- even with Mr. Strang's opinion and, please, Mr. Strang, correct me if you think I'm wrong, but this is something that probably can wait until we get into trial or closer to trial. And necessarily, in some instances must, must wait for that. I will do it now, Judge, and I'm happy to present this.

In all candor, this may take the better part of an hour to present the offer of proof and our explanation of these demonstrative exhibits. And I'm, certainly, as I mentioned, happy to proceed. But I do note on our agenda there are things that, quite frankly, have to be decided today before we move into the balance of jury selection on Monday.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang. right, we can't conclude this issue today, even if we start it, because the Court will need to take some testimony from Trooper Austin while he is physically here, present, and able to show things to me, at least, and to the Court, at least the two of us. He's probably shown these things to counsel for the State before, so we could get started by telephone, but couldn't finish.

I also note that in the three new memoranda that Mr . Kratz just gave us, and I think I gave the Court, dated -- each of the three, dated January 29, 2007. The thinnest of those memoranda is entitled new model renderings. And this includes things that are altogether new, that I haven't seen before, and didn't know were coming.

The most -- Probably the most significant being No. 3 on Page 1 of the January 29, 2007 memorandum entitled new model renderings. So, you know, if it would take an hour of testimony for the State to do its direct examination and its proffer, we're probably talking about an hour of cross-examination, and wouldn't finish the issue today, in any event.

So, I think I land where Mr. Kratz does, just probably the better thing to do is to move to other issues today and address this, I guess, during trial or --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: What I could also do -I'm sorry to interrupt Mr. Strang. What I could also do, Judge, is perhaps show the Court and counsel the animation that's been performed. That is something that you don't have, and that's the moving part, so that at least you can get an idea of what we're going to be talking about.

I will be happy to make both the Court and counsel copies of those finished products, and would have anyway, before we seek to admit them. That will only take probably five minutes, or even less. And then my suggestion is to move on to the next item on the agenda. I will call Trooper Austin and apologize since he's been waiting since 1:00, but that just seems practical to me.

THE COURT: So you have a five minute presentation?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Even less, yeah.
THE COURT: All right. Well, it will -- I have already looked at the looseleaf you gave me
before, on the computer screen, which I assume duplicate the information in the looseleaf. I will take a look at this now, and then we'll move on to the next item.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: While the projector is warming up, Judge, I will tell the Court that the animation, as $I$ told the Court previously, was prepared by Trooper Austin. This animation, there's two of them, the first is the scene model, that is, a view of the Avery salvage property, and what the State believes are important landmarks and items of evidence. It also includes a skeletal animation for which Mr. Strang expressed at least less objection, but something that he may -- he may talk about.

The animation that I'm going to be showing the Court here contains -- it's a composite, if you will, of 4,000 or so individual images, all computer generated, but put together to create the animation. And Mr. Austin will eventually talk about that. This animation is a 15 frame per second animation. The final version would be, I believe it's 30 frames per second, but would most likely look very much the same.

So the record should reflect then that I'm showing the Court something called a scene
overview animation, which again is that -- the composite of the computer generated animations. Is there any way to turn down the lights at all, or would you like not to do that? As we watch this, Judge, if I may, Trooper Austin indicated the 15 frame per second rather than the 30 frames per second will have the most impact on things like the gravel road, it won't be as sparkly. It will be a smoother -- a smoother version.

Trooper Austin also indicated any of the text that he's placed into this animation can obviously be deleted, should that be necessary. And as I mentioned to the Court, this was brought in lieu of any jury view or scenes.
(Watching the animation).
ATTORNEY KRATZ: The record should then reflect, your Honor, that the scene overview has concluded. The Court has been instructed that the anthropologist in this case will be testifying as to -- excuse me -- as to some cranial defects which she and the pathologist describe to the jury as entrance wounds.

The location of those will be obviously of interest from this animation that I'm showing, although created by Mr. Austin, was under the
immediate direction of Anthropologist Leslie Eisenberg. It's about 30 seconds long, Judge. And, again, the theory of its admissibility and underlying modeling technique will be described for the Court, as mentioned, before beginning this part of our presentation. I will be providing the Court with copies therefrom, as well as additional testimony from Mr. Austin at the time of the submission of these trial exhibits. With that, Judge, the State is happy to move on to our next matter on the agenda.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, is there anything you wanted to add before we move on?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Only that I note two things for the Court's consideration. One, as to neither of these, apparently, do we still have the final version, the version the State proposes to use at trial. And two, as to the cranial defects animation at least, this would also be true of the other skeletal representations when one reads Trooper Austin's report closely, these are, in fact, reports of Leslie Eisenberg. And they are well past untimely under the Court's October 19, 2006 scheduling order for disclosure of expert witness reports.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else on that today?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not for today, thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Next, we'll move on then to the defendant's motion to preclude expert witness testimony and compel disclosure of potentially exculpatory evidence. Mr. Buting, is that yours?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, Judge. Did the Court get a copy of that one?

THE COURT: I do.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Unfortunately, I can't find mine. Did I take it back?

THE COURT: You gave it to me earlier, but I think I gave it back to you.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I have a copy.
THE COURT: Well, I take it back, I have got the original here. I don't know if this is the one that's supposed to go to the Clerk of Court's. Do you have one?

THE CLERK: No.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I attached two exhibits to it. The State has complained that defense wasn't specific enough with their reports, and I attached these two reports to show you, at
least with regard to these two FBI reports, these are incredibly sketchy. There's two different ones.

The first one, Exhibit 1, is very recent, dated January 12th. Actually, we just received it, $I$ believe, on the $29 t h$ of January. Again, way past the expert witness disclosure deadline, so two days ago we get this report. And it talks about three different shipments of specimens received. Are you at the right -- Are you on Exhibit 1?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: November 8, 14th and December 27th. It labels them as just -- gives them two identification numbers, but there's nothing to tell us what they are, other than bone fragments. There's bone fragments -- there's 1, 2, 3, 4, and then 31 in another shipment. They identify these as Q-11, Q-12, and so on up to Q-45. But these reports tell us nothing about what they are, where they came from, whether they came from the burn pit, whether they came from one or more burn barrels, whether they came from a completely different location, a quarry, or what, or whether even they are human. So this report tells us nothing, really, other than to say that we can't do any
mitochondrial DNA from it. So that's the first concern.

Second concern is, the very last paragraph says, if you turn to that second page, it says that, the processed DNA generated from these samples is being returned to the Crime Lab. Well, if they processed these items for DNA, they must have some results, and these results are not being turned over. So we don't know whether they are exculpatory or not at this point. But obviously some testing was done, if it wasn't mitochondrial, what was it, and where are those results? Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. So those are the two concerns with regard to that particular one. And I also noted that attached is Exhibit 2, is actually the earlier report from this particular DNA Unit of the FBI. And you will see that it lists item Q-1 as charred remains, but that's it. That's all we received from this unit.

So there is a gap missing between items Q-2 and Q-10, apparently another nine items have been tested by the FBI, this unit, and we have never received any results. Are they
exculpatory? Are they inconclusive? We don't know, except it's obvious that they are done and we haven't been -- those reports weren't turned over along with the other reports of the experts. So if this expert is going to testify then, obviously, we would need to know the results of all of his tests, not just selected ones.

So, again, if they have done tests on the 35 , whatever it is, bone fragments, most recently, and gotten something that's not exculpatory, then it's -- I'm sorry -- that's not inculpatory, then it is exculpatory, by definition, to us.

Same thing here, if those items Q-2 to Q-10 were tested and nothing inculpatory was found then, again, in this case, by definition, they are exculpatory and should have been turned over. And then, finally, on that particular report, Exhibit 2, the only finding that's given is that Teresa Halbach cannot be excluded -- this is on the second page -- cannot be excluded as the sourse of the $Q-1$ charred remains.

But then it goes on and it lists some data base, but there is no opinion provided about what that data base is, what the relevance is,
whether it matters. There's no further opinions, or conclusions, or findings drawn from that. So again, I mean both of these reports are very cryptic, far more cryptic than anything we have turned over that the Court found was not sufficient compliance with the statutory obligation of turning over findings, summary of testimony, and what not of experts. So for that reason, these should either be excluded or the State should file amended ones that do satisfy the statute and, further, they should turn over immediately the potential exculpatory results. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon, are you --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
THE COURT: -- handling this?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Well, once again, I'm here arguing to the Court that just because counsel chooses to label something exculpatory, doesn't make it so. I wish the world were as simple as counsel would suggest, that if it's not inculpatory it must be exculpatory, or vice versa. With respect to the reports, let's take Exhibit No. 1 first. Exhibit No. 1, I find
rather interesting because it is a report prepared by FBI Analyst Douglas Hares or -excuse me -- I'm taking them in reverse order, Exhibit No. 2, a report by Douglas Hares.

The report is dated January 17th, 2006. And only now is there apparently a question regarding the sufficiency of that report. In answer to that, $I$ would offer this information to the Court, and counsel is certainly aware of it. Quite some time ago the entire protocol of the FBI, with respect to this analysis, was provided to the defense. A CD with the data generated, during the course of the analysis, has been provided, much like the genotype or geno scan information which was turned over pursuant to the Wisconsin Crime Laboratory's DNA analytical procedures and protocols and data generated.

Finally, we have the report itself of Mr. Hares. And I think, simply answered, it is what it is, that Teresa Halbach cannot be excluded. It uses the counting method, which is pretty much accepted in all laboratories. And it's rather interesting to hear a concern about the counting method, which is usually offered by the defense as a means to supposedly undermine,
for whatever reason in their minds, the significance of the results or the findings there.

The report has been provided. All of the underlying data has been provided. And the opinions that will be rendered by Mr. Hares are contained in the exhibit. That's it. There -As I say, there ain't no more. It is what it is. Those are the only opinions, if in fact we even have an opinion, offered by Mr. Hares with respect to the statistical counting.

THE COURT: Let me stop you there. There's a sentence in Mr. Buting's brief, on Page 4, that says, Mr. Avery, this moves the Court to prevent any testimony from the DNA analyst and Exhibit 2, Douglas Hares, other than his opinion that Teresa Halbach cannot be excluded as a source of the charred remains. I take it that at least to the extent Mr. Hares would testify about this report, you are telling me that's exactly right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: His report is what it is.
THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: And that's all -- that's the only opinion that would be rendered from this report? See that's what's not clear. If that's all
this Douglas Hares is going to say, fine.
ATTORNEY FALLON: In my discussions with co-counsel, Mr. Gahn, that's my understanding. I mean, if that should somehow change in the next 24 hours, I would be happy to let Court and counsel know. But as Mr. Gahn advised me, the opinions expressed in the report are the opinions which are going to be offered.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY FALLON: And as I said, they have all the data. And here it is a year and two weeks after the report was generated and it's probably 10 months since provided in discovery.

With respect to the other exhibit, this one I can speak to with even greater detail and surety. I have had discussions with the analyst here, Mr. Les McCurdy, and I guess I would preface my remarks here by indicating that Mr. McCurdy's findings are already reflected in Dr. Leslie Eisenberg's report, which has been provided to the defense.

For whatever reason, the FBI was late in providing their written report of the oral findings that they already provided to Dr. Eisenberg. But, nonetheless, I did ask

Mr. McCurdy about the language that counsel finds so troubling. And I learned that the last paragraph, which begins on Page two, the submitted items will be returned under separate cover etcetera, is language that is apparently standard language in all FBI reports, regardless of whether DNA is generated or not.

I would direct the Court's attention to the preceding paragraph. It says, due to the condition of the submitted bone fragments, no mitochondrial DNA examinations were conducted. I verified that with Mr. McCurdy on the phone, both yesterday and this morning.

Knowing that counsel has had problems and has been doubtful of representations that the State made in the past, I asked him, would you provide an amended report further explaining that no DNA extractions were occurred. And he did, in fact, provide us with an amended report, taking care of that, and I provided two copies to the defense.

No DNA was extracted from those bones, because it wasn't possible. Their condition had deteriorated as a result of the fire, to such a degree that DNA could not be extracted.

And, finally, I'm troubled by, again, the insinuation and representations that we must be hiding things. So, for counsel's benefit, because we don't have to do this, but in the interest of providing the information and getting this trial going, I will offer this information to counsel.

The FBI uses the letter $Q$, capital Q, designation for items submitted, and we have items 1 through 45. Items 1 and 2, were Calumet Sheriff's tag numbers 7926 and 7927. Q-3, which counsel finds mysterious, and I would only suggest that he review previous discovery, was the Sure Shot Camera. Q designation 4 through 10 were the cell phone parts. Q-11 and 12 was Item No. 9597, cranial pieces from the burn pit behind the defendant's garage. And I believe -- and I'm not sure of the circumstances, but it was originally tagged 8318.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Which one was that, I'm sorry?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Q-11 and 12. Q-13 through 38 were items in 7964, from Burn Barrel 2. Items Q-39 through 45 were designated Items 8675. And we believe that's referred to in many places in
the discovery as the Radandt debris pile. So I think that clears up the information which is -- all has been provided, and examined, and discussed at length.

So I'm at a loss, quite frankly, to explain further the purpose of this motion. I think we have resolved, I think to all satisfaction, what the circumstances here. So without any further comment I will rest.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, one last matter is still not clear. On Page 3 of my motion, I point out, it is not clear whether any of these items that were sent to the FBI have been determined to be human or not. Because there's many, many non-human bones that were in these barrels, pits, and everything else. So I don't know if counsel knows that, but this report, one of my concerns is the report doesn't say that. We don't know whether these are human or not human, in fact, until he told me today what these were, the report wouldn't tell you that. So that's still an additional concern.

THE COURT: You are referring now to the --
ATTORNEY BUTING: Exhibit 1 that has items Q-11 through Q-45.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, is that information
provided anywhere in submittals?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Can't be identified, that's the whole idea. There was an attempt at mitochondrial DNA, or any DNA for that matter. They are still suspected possible.

THE COURT: Q-11, 12, 13, 14, through Q-14.8 and Q-15 through 45, none of those were able to be identified.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That wasn't the question counsel asked. Counsel asked on items I believe 13 through 45. I believe the other items have been identified.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, the simple question is, Q-11 and Q-12, if those have been identified as the cranial; is that what you are saying?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Those are cranial pieces.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. So those have been identified as human. But as to the others, for instance, Q-39 through 45, labeled as Radandt debris pile, simple question is, were those human or were they not?

ATTORNEY FALLON: You know what we know?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, what opinion will be expressed by an expert?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, the opinions are set forth in the laboratory reports from the FBI analyst that mitochondrial DNA was not possible to determine that. And Dr. Eisenberg has already indicated in her reports, suspected or possible, and the question is, is that even admissible. But that's for another day.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine. So these experts will not render any opinion on that; is that right?

ATTORNEY FALLON: As I understand it. I'm confirming that with Dr. Eisenberg.

THE COURT: There was a reference on Page 5 of Mr. Buting's brief to other experts for whom he indicates the defense has not received reports, Carl Adrian, Eric Smith and Robin Cotton. Are those -As I understand it, those are witnesses that the State named, but no reports were provided?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Correct. Judge, I -- the Carl Adrian one I believe is -- he is the one who did a similar kind of recreate laser measurements of the RAV 4, if I stand corrected. Am I right, counsel?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: And as to that, we have
still not seen any reports. Eric Smith, I'm not sure who he is, what his report is. Robin Cotton, I know who she is and I have definitely not received any report from her. She's in Boston, a former DNA expert at Cellmark. So if they have gotten -- if they are intending to put in another DNA expert, there have been zero reports from her.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: With respect to Robin Cotton, I guess counsel should consider himself fortunate that he has one potential rebuttal witness. Mr. Gahn has not advised me as to whether or not she will be appearing and/or whether she's even written a report relative to this particular case.

THE COURT: And Mr. Adrian and Mr. Smith?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Mr. Adrian is, as represented, he's an analyst who put together a computer generated animation of the SUV. I will let Mr. Kratz speak to that. He's more familiar with Mr. Adrian.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry, Judge, Mr. Adrian -- the Court has already received the SUV animation in anticipation of that. Defense counsel received a disc of all the measurements that were
created from Mr. Adrian's work product. There is no report, other than the item itself, that is, the computer animation itself.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I would have to check, that was the one thing that $I$ ran out of time trying to run down, but I believe the last gentleman, may very well be simply one of the many agents from the FBI who may have had a hand in the chain of evidence.

Counsel has reminded me that Mr. Smith is the tool mark analyst at the FBI. We're not planning on calling him.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Are not?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Are not.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, as to Mr. Adrian then, if he has no report, and I guess the summary of his testimony would be the animation that is being offered to -- as a substitute. Certainly, there's nothing like that for Robin Cotton. So, we're way past the deadline and I would move the Court to exclude any testimony from Robin Cotton.

THE COURT: I understood the State to be saying they would not be offering any testimony from her in their case-in-chief.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's my understanding.

THE COURT: I also understand the State to be reserving the right to introduce her in rebuttal under Konkol.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Correct.
ATTORNEY BUTING: So she will not be allowed to testify in the State's case-in-chief.

THE COURT: In the case-in-chief, right. Mr. Buting, does that address the concerns that you have raised in that motion?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I believe so.
THE COURT: I'm not sure if you require an order, but if you wish an order to -- reduced to writing, the indications that the State made, you may do so and submit it to Mr. Fallon for his approval, and you can submit it to the Court for signature.

All right. The next item is from the defendant's motion to dismiss of last week. The Court addressed the three counts. The State moved to dismiss two of them. The Court denied the defense motion to dismiss the third. But, Mr. Strang, I believe there was another portion of that motion which you wish to bring up again.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. This is -- This is an issue that $I$ have raised in several different
forms or settings since the spring of 2006 . And at its core, or germ, are the March 1 and March 2, 2006 news conferences that the State conducted laying out on March 1 the purported statements of, at that point, an unnamed relative of Steven Avery in a live televised news conference format. The Court has the DVD of that news conference and I think has viewed it.

And then the following day, March 2, and this is the news conference that was preceded by the warning of its graphic content and urging that young people under a certain age, and friends and relatives of Ms Halbach not watch, because of the graphic content. And in that news conference the State identified Brendan Dassey and gave a very gripping narrative, chilling and horrifying narrative, of what the State imagined to have occurred at the Avery property, based on what was then Brendan Dassey's purported version of events.

The March 10 Amended Information followed directly from Mr. Dassey's version at the time, and the version laid out on March 1 and March 2, 2006. Mr. Dassey himself disavowed that version in large part not later than May 13,
2006. The physical evidence disproved most of the gory details that the State presented on March 1 and March 2, in particular.

But in the public mind, we have had 10 months of seepage of those details. Ten months of the public believing that Steven Avery is an alleged rapist in this case, and alleged to have kidnapped Teresa Halbach in addition to murdering her.

And the fruit that that 10 months and that those two press conferences have born, is there for all to see in the 144 , something fewer than that, jury questionnaires, where time and again jurors are telling us that their opinions, many of them unalterable, according to the jurors -- prospective jurors reports, are traceable, not just to publicity, but many of these prospective jurors say -- cite in particular Brendan Dassey's supposed confession, and the power of it, although inadmissible, the power of it in the lay mind, as to Mr. Avery.

The questionnaires now are, of course, are a part of the court record. Court, I assume, has reviewed those, as have I. At least one prospective juror specifically cites Mr. Kratz's
statements about Brendan Dassey's confession, as the source of her opinions.

And, you know, I don't remember now what language the juror used in response to question 43, or question 69, question 74 , and just how unalterable that opinion was. But that, again, is now a matter in the Court's record that could be reviewed if necessary.

There may have been more than one juror. I read these questionnaires very quickly because of the work that all of us have confronted this week as we prepare for trial on Monday. The presumption of innocence has been lost here. And it happened -- that -- that dissipation happened, I think, about March 1, March 2, or as a result of those comments.

And now 10 months later, of course, Mr. Avery is not facing a rape charge at all, not facing a kidnapping charge, the State has elected to go forward on a false imprisonment charge that I think cannot make it to a jury, unless there's evidence that the State has, of which I'm unaware through the discovery process, and I have posed that problem in my motion to dismiss the false imprisonment count and the other two counts.

And I have expressed it here in court, the risk we will run if the State, in fact, has insufficient evidence of false imprisonment here, in the context of the highly inflammatory, highly prejudicial news conferences, together, running on March 1 and March 2 alone, setting aside the other six televised news conferences, just those two together running to 56 minutes or more, followed by the 10 months of the drumbeat in the media on this case, reiterating the State's allegations.

So dismissing those two counts isn't enough. Dismissing the third, false imprisonment count, isn't enough here to restore the presumption of innocence to which Mr. Avery constitutionally is entitled. The Court needs to do something more. And the jury questionnaires that we have now reviewed bear that out.

I will make a further record, I'm sure, on Monday, in moving to strike for cause a number of additional jurors -- I'm sorry -- prospective jurors, people who filled out jury questionaries, on which the State and the defense did not agree that there was cause to strike. I will move to strike a number of additional jurors as having
unalterable opinions and, therefore, not being fit for service as a juror in this case.

But I would like to see this Court take some firm action to try to save at least 30 members of this panel, if they can be saved, as appropriate, open-minded, potential jurors who are willing to follow the Court's instructions and, indeed, the constitutional rules of criminal procedure in this country.

The Court is going to have to do something to restore that presumption of innocence. I suggested a curative instruction. I laid it out. There is no particular magic to my language, but it would have to be a strong instruction, and more than once repeated, just as the message about Brendan Dassey's accusation against Steven Avery has been more than once repeated. And the message about the first degree sexual assault charge and the kidnapping charge have been more than once repeated.

The Court faces -- I realize the Court can't give a counteracting instruction as many times or as powerfully as the initial message was heard. But the Court has got to try, here, to erase that prejudicial effect of the last 10
months, now confirmed in its unfairness by the fact that the State did not commit to calling Brendan Dassey by the deadline that we had agreed and the Court had set, may not and is not going forward on two of the charges that are brought, on March 10, 2006.

Lest there be any question at all about the power of those accusations, now dismissed, and at their time, resting only on the inadmissible claims of Brendan Dassey, lest there be any question about the power of those at all, this Court, an experienced judge, a skilled lawyer for many years before that, this Court was moved by those allegations to say that $\$ 500,000$ bail for this man is not enough, and that there is a stronger case against him now, greater incentive to flee, or to fear conviction, and increased the bail, as I recall, by $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 750,000$ citing the new charges in the Amended Information, that at the time I believed rested only on the inadmissible claims of Brendan Dassey, and the time now has confirmed the State cannot corroborate and cannot advance to a jury even in opening statement, unless it commits to call Brendan Dassey. And that's why at least two of those counts have been dismissed.

When those kinds of accusations and those new charges, move a Court to raise by 50 percent an accused's bail, I have a pretty good sense of what they do in the lay mind, among this jury pool, in terms of persuading that jury pool that the case looks much stronger against Mr. Avery than, in fact, it turned out to be.

But that's what we're up against. And I'm asking this Court to take strong curative action, whether it's my instruction, or one of the Court's own writing, or some other curative step designed to restore to Mr. Avery the presumption of innocence that due process and a fair trial require.

THE COURT: Who will be addressing this matter for the State?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I am quite certain that Mr. Strang does not want to enter into a debate at this time as to the relative strength of the State's case. I would argue with Mr. Strang and his conclusion that the State does not have as strong a case now as it did on March 1st. Obviously, the

State believes it has a much stronger case now than it did on March 1st, given the physical evidence that's been detailed.

And we have become familiar with, that not withstanding, Judge, that the jurors who have been unable, for whatever reason, to presume Mr . Avery innocent, or the jurors who have indicated to this Court an unwillingness to follow instructions, have already been stricken. We'll be making a record of that on Monday.

But as far as the risk of jurors who cannot follow instructions, or who have some preconceived notion, that's already taken into account in the jury process. And that's not unique to the Avery case, that is a process that this Court engages in each and every time we try to pick a fair and impartial jury.

Mr. Strang's suggestion of some curative instruction necessarily requires this Court to place some blame upon the State; that is, that there was some unfair publicity in this case. I will remind the Court that that very motion was brought by Mr. Strang many, many months ago.

This court made specific findings that the State did not engage in any behavior that
violated Supreme Court Rule 20:3.6, that the State, through its comments, that this Court, I believe made findings, included invited response and other reasons for those comments, did not preclude, and do not preclude, the defendant of a fair trial. And so, to suggest at this point, that even after making those findings months and months ago, that the defense is now somehow entitled to some damning instruction, some instruction that suggests that the State's behavior, or the State's comments in this case were improper, is just not warranted.

This Court has cautioned counsel, that is, counsel for the State and counsel for the defense, as to extra judicial comments. And to my knowledge one party has abided by that; that is the State, that since March 2nd, no extra judicial statements of any significance have been made in this case. I can't say that for Mr. Buting or for the defense, but the State has certainly abided by this Court's admonition.

Let me also suggest, Judge, that to engage, or to go down this road of curative instructions, would necessitate the Court explaining the nuance of use immunity and the
reasons why a prosecutor may decide what charges to go forward with, or what charges to not go forward with. Mr. Dassey's inconsistent statements, again, are hardly unique to a criminal defendant like Mr. Dassey, but certainly are not of the substance that some curative instruction is made of.

Let me close, Judge, in saying that, as I argued earlier this week, the State could have proceeded on the rape and kidnapping charges. The fact that we have chosen not to, the fact that we have chosen to either save Mr. Dassey for, if not case-in-chief, a rebuttal witness, and the conference of use immunity is solely within the province of the State, not something that the Court or the defense has any say so in. And with that having been said, any curative instruction is improper, would prejudice the State, would ask the Court reject that possibility. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Strang?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, the notion that the State ending its extra judicial comments after March 2nd solves the problem is a little bit like the away team in a baseball game saying, well, I had
my at bats in the top of the first, I hit a home run, and now the remedy is not to allow the home team to come to the plate in the bottom of the first and not to play the rest of the innings.

We, in fact, haven't given a single news conference, or called people to our office, or set of up a bank of microphones, or given a warning about graphic content, let alone given eight news conferences. And, functionally, we have been unable to reclaim the presumption of innocence here. And the Court need only look at the jury questionnaires we have to see just exactly which side here has had its impact on public opinion before this case gets tried.

THE COURT: All right. The parties will have an opportunity in individual voir dire to further explore what opinions the jurors have, what they may have seen or heard, what they come into this case with. The Court will listen to the comments of the jurors during voir dire.

And if I feel a need to address anything in the form of an instruction to the jury, the Court generally at the start of the trial gives the jurors some preliminary instructions, including information on the substantive charges.

And I will make my ruling on the motion explicitly, or implicitly, at that time, with the instructions to the jurors.

The next item is a motion from the defense regarding courtroom security. Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I think we were also going to address the second motion in limine.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, you're right, I passed over that one, that was the next one.

ATTORNEY STRANG: But that turns out, I think, to be fairly easy. After some conversations with Mr. Kratz, I'm satisfied that I now can reconstruct the information $I$ was seeking as to the first part of that motion in limine.

And as the second part, concerning some converted telephone calls, $I$ will simply make a record of what I think we discussed in chambers, or my recollection of what we discussed in chambers is that the State is working on some conversions. I know what it means by conversion now.

When it has those done, it will offer to the defense the opportunity to see the closed captioning, so to speak, for the realtime
transcription that it proposes to offer as to some recordings. We'll have a chance, I assume, to assure ourselves that the recordings themselves were not altered or redacted in a way that would make them less than complete, and that the transcription is accurate.

And I also understand from our discussion in chambers that $I$ should not expect any objection from the State, or any resistance from the Court, to giving an appropriate jury instruction on transcripts being aids to understanding evidence, but not evidence in themselves, that the recordings themselves are the evidence.

So, if I understood our conversation about part two of my second motion in limine correctly, then at this point the Court simply can hold the issue in abeyance.

THE COURT: All right. Maybe I missed it, the *67 issue. Do I understand --

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's part one. And I think we're squared away on that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. At this time the Court will move on then to the defendant's motion concerning courtroom security. Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, your Honor, the State hasn't responded, but I have been provided by the Court, and I think counsel for the State have as well, the January 28, 2007 letter from Sheriff Jerry Pagel of Calumet County. And I guess the inference I draw from Sheriff Pagel's letter is that he believes that security measures at trial ought include what I have called a stun belt. I guess Sheriff Pagel uses the same term in his letter.

So, as I understand it here, it falls to the Court to decide whether there's manifest necessity for such a security measure. And the case law is pretty thin in Wisconsin, although there is some, not on this particular restraint, there's a good deal of case law both in other states and in federal courts around the country.

And I have provided the Court a smattering of it, not -- I couldn't possibly provide all of it. I have relied in particular here on the neighboring state of Illinois, both because of the recency of the Illinois Supreme Court's decision on this type of restraint and because its detail and usefulness of the factors it suggests trial courts take into consideration.

But the burden either rests on the

Court, or on the State, to justify such a restraint as necessary and consistent with the defendant's Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment rights to a fair trial, the assistance of counsel, confrontation, and to testify in his own defense, if he chooses. The burden, I'm sure, does not rest on the defense here, and that's between the Court and the State. I'm not sure exactly where it does rest. But there would have to be an evidentiary providing, I think, and some findings by the Court, on necessity, if the Court is considering deferring to Sheriff Pagel's apparent wishes.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Strang? ATTORNEY STRANG: Not at the moment, I guess.

THE COURT: Okay. This, as Mr. Strang indicated, it's -- this issue is not necessarily a prosecution versus defense type motion. It's a matter for the Court to determine, based on considerations for courtroom security, but does the State wish to be heard?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, very briefly, Judge. The State echos the Court's feeling that this is not a prosecution issue. I do have a personal opinion
as the person who would be seated 5 feet from Mr. Avery during this trial, as to whether some security is necessary.

But from the prosecution's standpoint, the only comment we have as to Mr. Strang's suggestions that this raises to a due process right, is how does the defendant wearing a stun belt a fact -- affect, excuse me, his ability to participate, how does it affect his ability to speak with his attorney, or in any other way to participate in the proceedings?

This is a security issue. I don't tell the sheriff how to run his jail, or how to do courtroom security, and we have got a deal that he doesn't tell me how to run my office, at least not very often. So, with that having been said, Judge, we'll leave it to the Court and to Sheriff Pagel as to a decision on the courtroom security issues. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I have the letter from Sheriff Pagel in front of me. I'm not sure, Mr. Strang, if the defense is in agreement with the Court making its decision based on the reasons given by Sheriff Pagel for his request, or whether you wish to question Sheriff Pagel.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I am not in agreement with that. Because at a minimum some of these issues would need elaboration. The letter's a helpful starting point and, indeed, in my motion $I$ have conceded that these are serious crimes. There's no gainsaying that. It is the most serious crime with which one can be charged in the State of Wisconsin, so that -- that -- you know, that factor weighs in favor of restraint, standing by itself. Some of these others, as I say, at a minimum would need evidentiary development.

THE COURT: Do you wish to question Sheriff Pagel?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, by doing so I'm not taking on a burden, I gather?

THE COURT: No, you are providing information to the Court to assist the Court in making its decision.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Very well, I'm happy to do that.

THE COURT: All right. Sheriff Pagel.
SHERIFF GERALD PAGEL, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state
your name and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Gerald Pagel, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{l}$.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Just as a matter of background here, we all know the answers to the questions I'm going to ask, preliminarily, but someone else reading the transcript later may not. Quickly, you have been the Calumet County Sheriff for some time, and at all times since November 5, 2005 through today?
A. That is correct.
Q. You are responsible both practically and statutorily for administration of the Calumet County Jail?
A. That's correct.
Q. Mr. Avery has been detained in the Calumet County Jail since November 9, 2005?
A. That is correct.
Q. Continuously?
A. Yes.
Q. So you have been his keeper, in effect, here as a pre-trial detainee?
A. Yes.
Q. He hasn't been serving any sentence or on any probation or parole hold, during that time, to
your knowledge?
A. That is correct.
Q. You have overall operational responsibility for the Calumet County Jail?
A. Yes.
Q. But as a practical matter you get information from officers whose immediate job responsibilities are the jail and the jail only?
A. That would be correct.
Q. And the person we might describe as most directly or most immediately in charge of the jail over in Chilton is -- Is it Captain or Lieutenant Byrnes?
A. Lieutenant Byrnes.
Q. Am I right about his direct responsibility?
A. That would be correct.
Q. Okay. And then there is a Sergeant Hemauer who reports to Lieutenant Byrnes?
A. That is correct.
Q. Who has also got a good deal of direct responsibility for the jail?
A. Yes.
Q. Does most of your information come through either Lieutenant Byrnes or Sergeant Hemauer?
A. Would come from Lieutenant Byrnes as well as other individuals working within the jail.
Q. Okay. This, as I understand the rules of evidence, Sheriff Pagel, and for the Court's benefit, I think this is one of these proceedings to which the rules of evidence don't apply. So what I'm telling you is that I'm going to ask you for hearsay. I'm going to accept hearsay. We don't have a problem with hearsay here as long as it's reliable. It would be helpful if you could tell me when you know something personally and when you are relying on word from Lieutenant Byrnes or someone else.
A. That will be done, yes.
Q. Okay. Did you have the good sense to bring your January 28 letter with you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. All right. We should probably mark that as an exhibit. Is that an extra copy?
A. Yes, it's not signed, but it's a copy.
Q. That's fine.
(Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)
Q. All right. And we have marked this as Exhibit 1. It is now stapled. But is that your January 28, 2007 letter to Judge Willis?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. I understand that's an unsigned copy, but the
substance of the letter is what you wrote?
A. That is correct.
Q. Let's go to Paragraph 1, if you would. To whom has Mr. Avery made the statements that you ascribe to him in Paragraph 1?
A. Those were statements that were heard being discussed in phone conversations that Mr. Avery had and was relayed to me by the investigators working this case.
Q. Do you remember when those statements were made?
A. No, I do not know when they were made.
Q. Do you remember how many times Mr . Avery made such statements?
A. No, I would have to defer that to the investigators.
Q. Mark Wiegert or Tom Fassbender?
A. That would be correct.
Q. Okay. And did you -- You haven't set out here the verbatim statements that you are describing being told about, have you?
A. No, these were -- this was information that was provided to me by the investigators who indicated that they heard these conversations or heard words mentioned by Mr. Avery during these conversations.
Q. To this effect?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you remember about -- about when one or the other of these investigators told you about these conversations?
A. They had mentioned it to me prior; however, when I was informed that there had been a motion filed, I specifically went and spoke with them and they, again, furnished me with the information that is contained within this letter.
Q. Okay. When you say they told you about it prior, can you give me a time frame how --
A. No, I cannot. It was just generally spoken to me and comments were made to insure that I was made aware of these comments, to ensure, again, that action, or specific information, would be provided to the staff running the jail, to ensure their safety, and to ensure that he, meaning Mr. Avery, would not do anything to try to jeopardize their safety, or to escape from jail.
Q. Can you put a year on when you first heard about this?
A. I would imagine it would have been last year.
Q. 2006?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Early in the year, late in the year?
A. I can't tell you. I don't know.
Q. Did you take any specific action in the jail?
A. I know -- I know that the jail staff was informed. Lieutenant Byrnes was informed, just to be made aware of.
Q. All right. And one way to take these is that Mr. Avery might harm himself, correct?
A. Could be. Yeah, they could be taken that way.
Q. And, in fact, you are aware that Lieutenant Byrnes has gently inquired of Mr . Avery, on a number of occasions, whether he is inclined to harm himself?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And you have been assured that he is not inclined to harm himself?
A. That is what I have been informed by Mr. Byrnes.
Q. Okay. Indeed, other than one brief period in which I know Mr. Avery has not been confined in a segregation cell or a cell you can watch someone 24 hours a day, correct, he's been in a regular pod or cell?
A. Yeah, he's been in his cell block.
Q. Okay. As to comments that he needs to get out of here, is that kind of thing all that uncommon for
people who are locked in a jail?
A. Well, you have to look at the seriousness of them and you have to -- also you have to take them as a general comment, but you have to be also concerned about those type of comments.
Q. Sure. And I understand that. And if -- When you think someone really may be planning a jail break, an escape, there are some measures you can take, correct, within the jail?
A. Yes, there would be.
Q. You could cut off all visitation, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You have never done that with Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. You could frisk contact visitors, defense lawyers, or probation agents, police officers for that matter, just to make sure that they are not passing anything, physically, to Mr. Avery, correct?
A. That would be.
Q. You have never seen a need to do that?
A. No.
Q. You could put someone in segregation if you suspected an escape attempt?
A. You could, but it might not always be the best
thing to do for that type of situation either.
Q. Okay. Have you had an experience, as sheriff, when you were aware of an actual escape attempt by any inmate of the jail, convicted, or pretrial, anybody at all?
A. Prior to discovery of something, is that what you are referring to?
Q. Right. Right.
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Awareness of a plan to try to escape?
A. No, I can't say that since I have been sheriff I have, no.
Q. Do you have contingency plans for that if it were to happen?
A. There would be some plan put in place, yes.
Q. Okay. But no such plan has been implemented as to Mr. Avery at any time?
A. No, there has not.
Q. As a routine matter, in the jail, even though he is not convicted of anything, administratively you folks, I don't want to say regularly, as if it's a fixed cycle, but with some frequency jailers come in and examine the entire cell without the inmate in it?
A. Yes, they would do searches.
Q. And that's been done in Mr. Avery's case, correct?
A. I would hope so.
Q. Okay. Do you know so or?
A. It's a general practice for them to do that and I would assume that they have done that, yes.
Q. Right. You have no reason to think that the habit of occasional cell checks hasn't been followed with Mr. Avery?
A. That's correct.
Q. Has anybody told you that they, you know, found a cake with a nail file in it or, you know, bed sheets tied together, or anything that suggested an escape?
A. No, I have not been told.
Q. And I don't mean to be cute about that, but whatever it is that inmates might do suggesting escape?
A. That has not been given to me, no. That has not been provided to me.
(Attorney and witness talking over each other.)
Q. Nothing's been found in Mr. Avery's cell?
A. No, I'm not aware of anything like that, yes.
Q. Any homemade weapons been found in his cell at any time?
A. No.
Q. Something that might be used to hurt a guard?
A. Nothing was found.
Q. Okay.
A. While he was in our custody.
Q. All right. Has he acted out violently at any time while he's been in your jail?
A. Not that I'm aware of. I have not been told that he has.
Q. Do you think you probably would have been told if it had happened?
A. Yes.
Q. So he hasn't -- he hasn't been segregated for behavior problems at any time?
A. No he has not.
Q. He has been kept alone, if you will, or without cellmates or even pod mates for most of the time there, correct?
A. Per your request, yes.
Q. And that's where I was going. I mean, part of that was driven by my request, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you have been kind enough to honor that with a proviso that if you got real full, you might have to move people in, correct?
A. That is correct, that was the agreement.
Q. Okay. So that wasn't -- that wasn't a measure that was implemented because you were afraid Mr. Avery might hurt a fellow inmate?
A. No.
Q. You did have some concern, as I recall, at some point, that because of his notoriety and the publicity attending his case, that another -- not a specific inmate -- but some other inmate conceivably might try to take a poke at him or hurt him at some point?
A. That would be a correct statement, yes.
Q. Okay. Steven Avery is about 5 foot 6?
A. I would say 5 foot 5, 5 foot 6, yes.
Q. And he's put on a little weight, I think, since -- sorry about that -- but -- but, I don't know, 200 pounds or something, roughly, probably?
A. Yeah, I would say so.
Q. Okay. He doesn't have access to free weights or exercise equipment in the jail, does he?
A. No, he does not.
Q. Could we go to Paragraph 2 in your letter.
A. Sure.
Q. You refer to several individuals who have been interviewed; are you relying here, again, on
information from Mr. Wiegert, or Mr. Fassbender, or other investigators?
A. That would be correct.
Q. Do you have any -- any -- I guess the specifics are that these were all things included in Mr. Kratz's other acts motion?
A. Part of it, yes, part of his motion.
Q. Okay. So the Court -- the Court already has before it some more details about the information you are describing in general in Paragraph 2?
A. That would be correct.
Q. Okay. Paragraph 3, in general, you are saying, look, we have got information that witnesses are concerned about testifying and have indicated that they are fearful?
A. Yes, this has been given, again, to the lead investigators, that they are fearful, concerned for their safety, having to testify against Mr. Avery in court.
Q. Okay. And what you have done is reassure those people that their safety is an important consideration to you?
A. Yes, that was done by, again, the lead investigators when they spoke with them, that their safety would be of utmost concern.
Q. Sure. And that's -- This was not the first time that you, or people in your department, have had citizens or potential witnesses express concern about testifying in a criminal case?
A. That would be a correct statement, yes.
Q. In fact, it's not uncommon for that sort of concern to be raised with you?
A. That would be true, yes.
Q. This is in the general nature of that experience of yours as an officer?
A. Well, these individuals have expressed a sincere concern to have to testify. It's not like, well, I don't want to, or $I$ wish $I$ didn't have to. They are concerned. They have expressed their concern to be in court and to have to testify and to be in the same courtroom with him. So they have expressed a deep concern for their safety.
Q. Are these people who you know or have been told have -- have an aversion, or a revulsion for Mr. Avery, just as sort of a global matter, they just don't like him?
A. I don't know if it's that, or the fact that they know of his demeanor. I don't know how far you want me to go with that but. They, you know, again, it's a concern that they have expressed.

And that's why I placed that in the letter.
Q. Sure. And some of them may know him, or have had some past experience with him?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You are not aware of any threat that he's made to any witness, or potential witness?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. Every -- every non-contact visit that Mr. Avery has in the Calumet County Jail is tape recorded?
A. Every non?
Q. Non-contact visit?
A. Yes, as it is with other inmates.
Q. With everybody else?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. This isn't a special measure for Mr. Avery?
A. No, it is not.
Q. Every telephone call that he makes out of the jail is recorded just as every telephone call that every inmate in the jail is recorded?
A. That is correct.
Q. In this case, if there's any difference at all, the investigators on the case assiduously listen to Mr. Avery's tapes, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So you would expect that if he had made threats to specific witnesses, those would have been reported to you in your capacity as sheriff?
A. They probably would have been. I would have been informed of them, yes.
Q. Number -- Paragraph 4 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- refers to a specific conversation or conversations, a telephone dialogue between Mr. Avery and his father?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. When did that happen?
A. Again, I can't give you a specific date; I know it was, again, I believe in 2006.
Q. But whether it was the beginning of the year, the middle, or the end, you don't know?
A. I would have to refer that to Investigator Wiegert.
Q. All right. Do you know the nature of the comments as to Mr. Fassbender and Mr. Wiegert?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is that?

THE WITNESS: You want me to elaborate, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
A. There was a conversation between Mr. Avery and his father in which they -- Special Agent Fassbender and Wiegert -- comment was made that they wanted to cut off his testicles or cut off their testicles and drag them behind, or Investigator Wiegert behind a pickup truck.
Q. Just Mr. Wiegert?
A. I believe it was Mr. Wiegert, yes.
Q. And was it Allen Avery who made that comment or Steven Avery?
A. I believe it was Al towards -- I believe, Mr. Allen Avery was making the comment, to which Steven laughed, heartily.
Q. Okay. So this was a statement not made by Steven Avery, but he laughed in response to his father's statement?
A. That is correct. That's the way I have been told.
Q. All right. Both Mr. Wiegert and Mr. Fassbender are law enforcement agents, obviously?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Both of them would be armed, ordinarily?
A. Yes.
Q. While on duty?
A. Yes.
Q. Are law -- Are sworn law enforcement officers allowed to carry their sidearm weapons in court in Calumet County, if you know?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Both of these men are in the prime of life, for want of a better word?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, they are young. I mean, they are in their 30 's, 40 's, whatever it is they are?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And they, in fact, were also the two officers who arrested Mr. Avery on November 9, 2005, weren't they?
A. Yes, they would have been involved, I'm sure, in the arrest.
Q. It's probably been awhile, so I'm going to offer you an exhibit, just to help refresh your recollection.
(Exhibit No. 2 marked for identification.)
Q. I have marked this as Exhibit 2. And what it is is a DCI report, looks like Special Agent Fassbender is the author of this one. And it details a meeting with Mr. Avery on November 9 2005, and his arrest pursuant to an arrest
warrant?
A. Okay.
Q. Yeah, and then, you know, continues from there. My -- The copy I have given you sort of helpfully has what we call a Bates Stamp Number on the bottom right corner, begins State?
A. Okay.
Q. And the first page is State 0536?
A. Yes, okay.
Q. If you go to State 0546?
A. Okay.
Q. At the top, what we have is -- and I think this is Mr. Fassbender authoring the report, yes. Yeah, the reporting law enforcement officer on the front is Thomas Fassbender. And what he is doing starts at the bottom of the preceding page. He is describing here Investigator Wiegert and himself informing Steven Avery about 12:47 in the afternoon that they had an arrest warrant for him.
A. Okay.
Q. And they are out at Earl Avery's house, which is where they found Mr. Avery that -- Steven Avery that day. And as I read it, what Investigator Wiegert told Steven, that in arresting him he
would not place him in handcuffs if Steven was cooperative and did not cause any problems. And Steven advised that he would not cause any problems. Do you see that at the top of Page 0546?
A. Okay. Yes. I see that, yes.
Q. Now, people like me wish that law enforcement officers would show that sort of humanity and judgment more often, but in point of fact, this is unusual to take someone into custody and not handcuff them, isn't it?
A. They are given discretion of whether or not they wish to handcuff individuals.
Q. Right. And in your experience, more often than not that discretion is exercised in favor of handcuffing someone who's just being arrested pursuant to an arrest warrant, correct?
A. Well, again, it's done for several different reasons; if they feel that individual needs to be handcuffed, they will.
Q. Right.
A. If they wish to possibly gain that individual's cooperation or gain rapport with that individual, they may not.
Q. Sure. And that's where the discretion comes in?
A. That is correct.
Q. Or maybe someone is very aged, or has bad arthritis, or whatever it is?
A. Yes, there's a number of things that would be taken into consideration --
Q. Sure.
A. -- or could be.
Q. Someone, an arrestee, might be well known to the officer and, you know, the officer figures he knows this person's character well enough to make that judgment call, correct?
A. Yes, that would be something that they could, but again, the whole situation has to be weighed.
Q. Right. And -- And whatever the considerations were, what we know here is that Investigator Wiegert and Mr. Fassbender felt comfortable coming down on the side of not handcuffing Steven Avery when they arrested him on this case?
A. According to this report, yes.
Q. Transporting him in a car, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That was a DCI car, right?
A. I don't know.
Q. I think it says that. I'm sorry, and it's further down that page, the middle paragraph that
says at 12:50 p.m.?
A. Okay.
Q. So they're -- They are driving Mr. Avery off to get his DNA taken?
A. Okay. Well, yes, okay, would have been Mr. Fassbender's vehicle, yes.
Q. You are familiar with that car, aren't you?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. It's not a cage car?
A. No, it's not.
Q. You haven't heard anything about Mr. Avery taking that opportunity, when freshly put under arrest, to try to hurt Mr. Wiegert, or try to hurt Mr. Fassbender, have you?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Do you think you would have heard that?
A. I'm sure I would have.
Q. Finally, Paragraph 5, I think I have heard about this person, but $I$ want to make sure that I'm thinking of the same one you are.
A. Okay.
Q. There's a woman who holds herself out as a pastor, or a minister, who comes to visit Mr. Avery, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And she has a pastoral assistant, or an assistant minister, or someone with her often, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Also a woman?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you met both these women?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. These two ministers?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Without insulting anyone, are these two women both at least 70 years old if they are a day?
A. They would be elderly, yes.
Q. Okay. And I'm not suggesting you know exactly how old they are?
A. That is correct, I do not.
Q. Elderly women who are apparently ministers by vocation?
A. Yes, that's what $I$ have been informed.
Q. And they also minister to, or have played some pastoral role, apparently, with Jodi Stachowski?
A. Okay. I'm not aware if --
Q. Oh, okay. Maybe -- Then let me go at it this way.
A. Okay.
Q. Was Jodi Stachowski the first -- the third person in the car on this incident?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So there's these two elderly female ministers and Jodi Stachowski in the car?
A. Yes.
Q. And as I understand, the car comes up alongside, or stops at the same red light or something as a Sheriff's Department van or car in which Mr. Avery was being taken to court?
A. Yes, in Manitowoc. But they had noticed that this vehicle had been following them from the City of Chilton.
Q. Probably going to the same court appearance Mr. Avery was going to, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the best way from Chilton to Manitowoc is Highway 151?
A. That would be correct.
Q. And I'm not going to get into your routes of travel, but in any event, Mr. Avery was going to Manitowoc, from Chilton, for a court appearance?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. Did these three women wave to Mr. Avery, or what exactly did they do when their car was
nearby?
A. I know they made contact, but again, I know that the officers felt uncomfortable with this vehicle following them and also felt very uncomfortable when the vehicle pulled up beside them and they realized who it was.
Q. All right. When you say contact, there was no physical contact made?
A. No. Visual.
Q. Visual contact. Okay. So Mr. Avery is in the Sheriff's car and the three women are in one of their cars?
A. Right.
Q. All right. You are not aware of Mr. Avery saying anything at all in your custody to try to arrange this encounter, are you?
A. We haven't been able to determine how the arrangement was made. All we were informed of is that they waited for the vehicle to pass while they were parked at the Kwik Trip in Chilton and then proceeded to follow the vehicle from that location to Manitowoc.
Q. All right. If there were arrangements, and if Mr. Avery had been a party to them, you would have a recording of that, wouldn't you?
A. I would hope so, yes.
Q. All right. And those have been listened to and there's been no evidence that Mr . Avery was part of any arrangements there may have been, right?
A. That I'm aware of, yes.
Q. Okay. Did Mr. Avery try to escape when he saw these two elderly women and Jodi Stachowski in the car?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Did he do anything inappropriate at all?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Do you think someone would have told you if he had?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the two elderly ministers brandish weapons, or try to run the car off the road, or do anything overt?
A. No. No.
Q. Okay. Was just --
A. But we -- they were --
Q. A feeling of discomfort.
A. Yes. They were informed that we did not look favorably upon that, and that it would not happen again or action would be taken.
Q. And they were informed of that later, but not
much later, correct?
A. Not much later, no.
Q. Right.
A. It was within a day or so.
Q. Somebody spoke to the minister or the minister's assistant, said huh-uh, that -- you are not going to be coming up and waving at Mr. Avery, right?
A. Yes. Lieutenant Byrnes took care of that, yes.
Q. Okay. That didn't result in an arrest or anything like that, just a warning?
A. A warning, yes.
Q. All right. Mr. Avery didn't have to be warned about the incident because he didn't do anything, right?
A. He was in custody and he was not warned, no. I can't say that he wasn't told that we didn't appreciate that. He may have, and I'm not aware if he was.
Q. Oh, sure, I understand, but I mean, he didn't take any action that required any sort of discipline or warning of him?
A. That is correct.
Q. Um, do you understand -- Just so that we're on the same page, you understand that nothing in my motion is intended to have any impact at all on
your jail, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Or on transport of Mr . Avery anywhere?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. You understand that my motion is talking only about things that happened in the courtroom?
A. Yeah, I understand that.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, do you have any questions?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just two points of clarification.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. As I understand, Sheriff, you have brought somebody with you here today who can describe in better terms than you the use of the stun belt, and if there's any questions of the Court as to how that might, not only in theory but in practice, work in this case?
A. That is correct. The deputy that I have brought with me has been trained by the company in the use of the stun belt. And he in turn is a
trainer of other -- other jailers at the Calumet County Sheriff's Department.
Q. Lastly, for point of clarification, since I'm not really asking questions to make a record, the arrest on the 9 th of November of Mr. Avery, was that for what's called a status offense; that is, having been a convicted felon who had possessed a firearm, not an arrest for homicide or any related charges; is that right?
A. That is accurate.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all I've got, Judge, thank you.

THE COURT: You may be seated.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang, are there any other witnesses you wish to question?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't need to question anybody else. I will be happy to argue the point, and $I$ would move the admission of Exhibits 1 and 2.

THE COURT: Any objection to the exhibits? Very well, they are admitted. Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, first of all, within the broad limits that the constitution or state law may require, I'm fully in agreement with the proposition that sheriffs should run jails and
sheriffs should have a good deal of latitude in being responsible in deciding how to handle prisoner or detainee movement.

The motion really goes no further than proceedings in a court and, indeed, it's a little bit narrower than that, proceedings in a courtroom when the jury is present, or potential jurors may be present. So I'm not asking this Court to superintend security arrangements or the handling of Mr . Avery or anyone else in custody, beyond proceedings for which the Court itself, not the sheriff, is directly responsible.

And I -- I have come to know a little casually, a little bit, Sheriff Pagel, in the last year, and have been impressed with his thoughtfulness, and his candor, and the way he's treated defense counsel.

That said, I don't think that the record here rises anywhere near the level that would be necessary to justify a stun belt or any similar restraint being used, in this case, in a courtroom in which jurors, or potential jurors, are present. The Illinois Supreme Court's list of considerations, I'm sure not intended to be exhaustive, and not adopted at all by any

Wisconsin court so far as I know, nevertheless, are pretty useful and cover a lot of things that a court reasonably might consider here.

The seriousness of the present charge is given. It's a serious charge. The defendant's temperament and character, we have heard some general stuff about, nothing that suggests any specific risk in this case, or to any person in this case. And the Court itself has had an opportunity to observe Mr. Avery directly when he is in court.

Now, since November, 2005, and we have been here a number of times, and I'm certainly aware of nothing menacing, or inappropriate, or ill-behaved, that he's ever done in court. So I think that's a positive factor here on balance, or at very worse a neutral. The defendant's age and physical characteristics, he is not particularly young. He is not highly muscular, or sculpted, or physically huge, someone who's a great deal larger than the average law enforcement officer, or the average human being for that matter.

His past record, he has a past record. It's less lengthy than many people the Court sees
parade through here, or sit at counsel table, including many who are not restrained in a stun belt. There's no record of past escapes or attempted escapes by Mr. Avery. And, indeed, Sheriff Pagel was candid enough to tell us that since November 9, 2005, there doesn't seem to have been any planning, or any effort by him suggesting an intention to escape.

Any threats by the defendant to harm others or create a disturbance, there's nothing as to creating a disturbance. And the only threats we have, it turns out now, I guess, were made by the defendant's father, not by Steven Avery, and his response was to laugh.

That's hard to gauge as between father and son. We have all been -- or at least the men in the room have been in the position of being a son at some point in their lives. And for myself, I know I have -- during his lifetime I laughed at some of the things my father said rather than get into an argument or a confrontation.

So it's hard to put much weight on that conversation, particularly where the threat, if it, you know, if the threat it was meant to be,
as opposed to venting, or hyperbole, or just inappropriate show of support and anger on behalf of one's son. It's hard to put any real weight on that, particularly where the question is not whether Allen Avery should be in a stun belt, but whether Steven Avery ought be in a stun belt. We don't have any evidence of self-destructive tendencies of the defendant. There doesn't seem to be any risk of mob violence or attempted revenge by others. And it's worth noting here that the Halbach family and their friends and supporters have been, at all times, while I've been around, entirely well-mannered, dignified. Absolutely nothing coming from the
Halbach family that would suggest that they have
any intention, other than respecting the dignity of the Court, respecting the human dignity of the people in the courtroom, Mr. Avery included, and conducting themselves honorably as they have every minute they have been here, in these proceedings. And I would extend that to anybody I have seen sitting on their side of the courtroom, so to speak, whether those are friends, or friends of Teresa's, more distant relatives.

I don't know who they are, but I haven't seen anybody in this courtroom, on either side, honestly, who has caused any problem, or behaved inappropriately in any way that $I$ know. And I have been in past murder prosecutions and I'm, as this Court probably is aware, when that kind of tension is in the air, it just hasn't been here in this case.

There's nothing to suggest a possibility of rescue attempts by other offenders still at large. The only person the State contends to be another offender is himself in custody. The State has been pretty clear that at least as to third party actors, there's one and one only that they think there even would be appropriate evidence about, and as I say, he is in -- he is in custody.

I understand, I'm putting myself in the shoes of a law enforcement officer, I can understand why one might be uncomfortable about a car appearing to follow on the way to court. And in pulling alongside at the red light, or whatever it was.

But, you know, the reality is here, this
turns out to be the right Reverend Granny Clampett, and her septuagenarian sidekick, and Mr. Avery's girlfriend. And nobody does anything, apparently, other than wave or look at Mr. Avery, and he does nothing at all.

So, again, even if this were a showing sufficient to put Granny Clampett in the stun belt, it doesn't warrant putting Steven Avery in one. And it's worth noting there that, you know, Mr. Avery's every word is listened to. And as the Court knows from a prior motion, he is not having contact visits with either of these two ministers. These are through the glass and they are tape recorded. So the Calumet County Sheriff's Department or some investigator on this case would know if Mr. Avery had participated in some planning for this, you know, car incident on the way to court.

Size and mood of the audience, again, I already covered. It doesn't suggest restraining Mr. Avery. The nature and physical security of the courtroom is actually very good in Calumet County. The jail is right down the secured hallway. I expect there will be deputies in the courtroom. Spectators are going through a
magnetometer, so no one is going to pass a weapon to Mr. Avery, or use a weapon against him, not that a stun belt on him would help alay that concern in any event.

So I think, in the end, the adequacy and availability of the usual alternate remedies, which is to have bailiffs, and we have two case agents here rather than just one, both of whom I expect may well be armed during this trial, really more than suffices here.

And without going into the gruesome details of the cases, $I$ include enough in my motion to make clear, I think, that what we're talking about with an 8 second, 50,000-volt jolt to the kidneys is electrocuting someone. It's not intended to be lethal, but similar devices have been.

The law review I cited collects some of that information. And it is almost common sense to understand that cardiac arhythmia or other problems could be caused by this. People defecate involuntarily, not infrequently, when these things are activated. They urinate on themselves involuntarily. And they are incapacitated, not just for the time of the jolt,
but for a long time after.
You have a mistrial is what happens, I think, when these things go off, or at least you have a serious mistrial issue, which the Ohio Supreme Court had to deal with, and ultimately affirmed the trial court's decision not to grant a mistrial, but it was -- it was a serious issue.

And the manufacturer of the react device at least advertises it as something that gives law enforcement total psychological control over the person wearing the belt. Well, when he is on trial, facing life in prison, and trying to decide whether to testify, trying to assist counsel, trying to confront, in the constitutional sense, the witnesses against him, an accused has the right not to be under the total psychological control of his adversaries, and sitting their fearing that if he says something wrong, or does something that a sheriff's deputy doesn't like, or just by accident, since accidental activation of these devices are well reported in the cases, that this device will go off and incapacitate him.

So we're talking about a very serious device here and something that is intended and
only can be expected to have a very strong psychological impact on the person wearing them. Has a psychological impact on me wondering whether, if I happen to have my arm around him when this thing goes off whether $I$, too, will be knocked to the floor and lose control of my bowels.

I just don't think there's a record here that warrants it in a courtroom. And a courtroom is the only thing we're talking about.

THE COURT: All right. Anything from the State?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Very briefly, Judge,
Mr. Pagel reminds me that the kind of belt that Mr. Strang is alluding to is not the kind used by the Calumet County Sheriff's Department and can be inquired further should the Court need to do that.

I would ask the Court take judicial notice not only of Mr . Avery's criminal history, but the pleadings in this case, including our other acts motion, would note that not all factors that Mr . Strang has alluded to are of equal importance when considering this security issue. Obviously, the seriousness of the offense, the facts alleged in the Complaint, his
history of violence, all, the State believes, are more important than whether a 70 year old woman waved at Mr. Avery at some point in the past.

And, finally, would point the Court to the appellate decision of State vs. Russ, R-u-s-s, decided in 2005. And I know that's a shackle case not a stun belt case, but does, when at least complaining about some due process violations, place upon the defense a burden -- a burden of proof that there be some actual prevention of communicating with their clients in order to establish that there's been some deprivation on a constitutional or due process level. That's all the comments I have. And once again, Judge, we'll defer to the Court as to the court security issue. Thank you.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I certainly have no objection to the Court taking judicial notice of the files in this case, or for that matter, of Mr. Avery's prior record.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take a minute -- I'm not going to take it today -- but I'm going to go back and look at the other acts motion. It's been a while since I looked at that, and I will give the parties a decision next week.

I think that wraps up our agenda for today, does it not, counsel?

ATTORNEY FALLON: If it does, I did want to clarify one point. I'm sitting here thinking, and I don't remember how the final answer came out in our discussion with Mr. Buting on the bones. And I don't know if he's going to prepare an order or not, but just so it's clear that any opinions regarding what's human or what's not human, or whatever, the only opinion on that is going to come from Dr. Eisenberg. And that the only thing that the FBI mitochondrial report says, that we weren't able to determine any mitochondrial identification as that may pertain to the issue of human or non-human, or animal, or what have you.

So, I mean, those are the only two entities that could offer any evidence, vis-a-vis, that particular question or issue. And so it's whatever the reports say. I mean -So I just wanted to be sure. I can't remember how we left it, but I didn't want to leave anybody with a misunderstanding of what may or may not come down the road, it's all in Dr. Eisenberg's report.

THE COURT: That's my understanding or my
recollection, Mr. Buting; does that square with yours?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned for today. Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: What time did you want us here on Monday, I had forgotten?

THE COURT: We're going to start at 8:30. So try and get here around 8:20. We'll start with bringing a juror in at 8:30.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Where is here?
THE COURT: Here is going to be this courtroom. We're adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, your Honor. (Proceedings concluded.)
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75/12 76/10 77/19 80/1793/1
102/22 105/7 106/7 112/19 117/3 117/4 127/12 $132 / 5$ 132/20 134/4 alter [1] 28/1
alteration [1] 11/11
altered [2] 56/5 106/4
alternate [3] $56 / 1360 / 12 \quad 145 / 6$
alternative [3] 6/15 56/8 60/11
although [8] 7/21 35/19 52/22
66/14 71/20 76/25 95/20 107/13
altogether [1] 73/15
always [3] $14 / 5$ 14/5 $117 / 25$
am [10] 3/21 18/13 36/24 55/10
$55 / 11$ 90/22 100/20 110/1 112/14 131/8
ambush [1] 4/22
ambushed [1] 38/20
ambushing [1] 42/7
amended [9] 4/9 4/13 53/12 53/17 82/10 86/17 86/19 94/21 99/19
Amendment [2] 7/24 108/3
America [7] 9/22 31/13 41/6 52/24 63/13 64/2 65/4
among [2] 41/12 100/5
amount [4] 11/13 12/8 31/6 55/8
analysis [5] 51/10 55/16 58/9 83/11 83/13
analyst [9] 16/25 17/17 58/1 83/2
84/15 85/16 90/3 91/18 92/11
analytic [1] 43/22
analytical [1] 83/16
analyze [1] 50/22
analyzed [1] 50/24
and/or [1] 91/13
anger [1] 142/2
animal [1] 149/15
animation [17] 74/8 75/7 75/8 $75 / 1275 / 1575 / 1975 / 2075 / 21$
76/1 76/11 76/15 76/24 77/19
91/19 91/24 92/3 92/17
animations [5] 69/21 70/21 71/12 72/4 76/2
another [14] 16/10 18/10 45/11
46/24 61/19 66/8 69/18 79/17
80/23 90/7 91/6 93/22 121/8 143/13
answer [12] 18/5 18/6 26/1 $127 / 9$ 27/9 31/16 32/25 35/7 35/12 58/10 83/8 149/5
answered [2] 13/15 83/19
answers [4] 29/2 29/2 31/10 111/6 anthropologist [2] 76/19 77/1
anticipating [1] 61/14
anticipation [1] 91/24
any [106] 5/12 7/17 8/2 9/19 11/11 16/23 17/3 17/14 19/25 20/10 26/20 33/10 33/13 35/5 35/6 35/7 36/12 37/6 37/6 37/9 37/14 47/2 49/21 49/22 50/15 50/17 50/22 50/23 50/24 51/10 52/9 54/23 55/16 56/14 58/2 58/3 59/12 64/6 64/18 73/25 76/3 76/10 76/14 79/25 80/25 84/14 88/9 88/12 89/4 90/9 91/1 91/4 92/21 92/23 99/7 99/11 101/25 102/18 103/16 103/17 106/9 106/9 109/10 $111 / 24$ 111/24 116/3118/4118/17119/24 119/25 120/6 120/14 122/4 122/4 124/5 124/6 124/22 129/2 129/3 133/21 135/4 136/20 136/20 136/25 137/11 137/20 138/8 138/15 138/20 139/20 139/25 140/7 140/8 141/7 141/7 141/9 142/3 142/7 142/9 142/17 143/4
143/5 145/4 149/8 149/13 149/17
anybody [10] $33 / 1034 / 1947 / 20$
48/11 118/5 119/11 138/18 142/22 143/3 149/22
anymore [1] 19/11
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { anyone [8] } 11 / 25 & 12 / 1 & 12 / 2 & 46 / 3\end{array}$ 51/25 54/14 132/11 139/10 anything [31] 24/23 24/24 26/21 29/19 30/4 31/21 33/21 36/1 40/6 49/3 54/10 63/5 77/12 78/1 82/4 103/21 104/21 108/14 115/19 117/18 $118 / 20119 / 13119 / 23$ 131/11 134/15 135/10 135/17 136/10 136/13 144/4 147/11
anyway [2] 17/22 74/14
anywhere [5] 34/20 56/23 89/1
137/3 139/19
apologize [1] 74/18
apparent [3] 20/18 37/4 108/12
apparently [10] 43/13 47/21 65/24
$77 / 16$ 80/23 83/6 86/5 $132 / 17$
132/21 144/4
appeal [1] 39/20
appear [1] 4/11
appearance [2] 133/14 133/22
appearances [2] 1/11 3/5
Appeared [1] 1/22
appearing[4] 3/8 3/9 91/13
143/22
appears [3] 3/7 3/13 54/21
appellate [1] 148/5
apply [1] 113/4
appreciate [1] 136/17
appropriate [4] 8/8 98/6 106/10 143/16
approval [1] 93/15
are [203]
areas [2] 13/11 31/8
aren't [1] 131/7
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { argue }[3] & 25 / 11 & 100 / 23 & 138 / 18\end{array}$
argued [2] 56/6 103/9
arguing [1] 82/19
argument [6] 7/11 9/4 18/10 34/9 41/24 141/21
arguments [5] 16/10 37/2 40/19 40/20 56/14
arhythmia [1] 145/20
arm [2] 28/16 147/4
armed [2] 126/23 145/9
Armor [1] 23/11
Army [1] 14/5
around [8] 17/24 49/7 53/19 53/19
107/16 142/13 147/4 150/9
arrange [1] 134/15
arrangement [1] 134/18
arrangements [5] 59/16 62/22
134/23 135/4 139/9
arranging [1] 61/16
arrest [9] 127/16 127/25 127/25
128/19 129/17 131/12 136/9 138/5
138/8
arrested [3] 127/13 129/16 130/18
arrestee [1] 130/8
arresting [1] 128/25
arthritis [1] 130/3
as [184]
ascribe [1] 114/5
aside [2] 42/10 97/6
ask[21] 8/5 8/24 9/3 32/18 33/24 48/3 48/21 57/21 57/24 58/10
58/22 59/8 59/13 59/14 67/2 67/4
85/25 103/19 111/6 113/5 147/18
asked [17] 8/15 26/14 29/23 36/11
42/3 42/12 42/21 42/24 48/24
50/12 50/15 64/22 64/23 65/7
86/16 89/10 89/10
asking [8] 4/17 21/15 33/2 52/12
54/2 100/10 138/4 139/8
assault [1] 98/19
assess [1] 53/24
assiduously [1] 124/23
assist [3] $36 / 17110 / 17146 / 13$
assistance [3] 31/2 48/25 108/4
assistant [5] 8/20 14/2 132/1
132/2 136/6
assisted [1] 151/10
assume [5] 70/7 75/1 95/23 106/2
119/6
assuming [3] 27/25 34/19 43/24
assumptions [1] 33/22
assure [1] 106/3
assured [1] 116/15
at [161]
attached [4] 5/10 78/22 78/25
80/17
attempt [4] 36/15 89/3 117/24
118/3
attempted [2] 141/4 142/10
attempting [1] 47/5
attempts [1] 143/11
attending [1] 121/8
attention [1] 86/8
attorney[15] 1/17 1/19 2/4 2/5 3/7
8/20 8/22 14/3 19/20 31/2 48/25
51/21 51/25 109/10 119/21
Attorney's [4] 3/11 6/25 7/1 19/20
audience [1] 144/19
August [1] 46/6
Austin [8] 73/4 74/18 75/8 75/19
76/5 76/10 76/25 77/8
Austin's [2] 71/18 77/21
author [1] 127/23
authoring [1] 128/13
authority [1] 67/5
automobile [1] 23/11
availability [2] 62/11 145/6
available [6] 7/6 15/24 26/5 57/14
59/22 59/23
average [2] 140/21 140/22
aversion [1] 123/19
AVERY [86] 1/6 1/21 3/2 3/13
21/19 28/14 34/4 45/10 52/6 54/5

63/11 71/8 75/10 84/14 94/5 94/18 95/6 95/21 96/18 97/15
98/17 100/8 100/13 101/7 101/15 109/2 111/16 114/4 114/7 114/12 114/24 115/19 116/8 116/11 116/19 117/13 117/18118/17 119/9 121/4 121/13 122/19 123/20 124/8 124/16 125/10 126/2 126/10 126/11 126/13 126/16 127/13 127/24 128/18 128/23 128/23 130/18 131/3 131/11 131/24 133/10 133/15 133/21 133/24
134/10 134/14 134/24 135/3 135/6 136/7 136/12 137/3 138/5 139/10 140/10 141/4 141/14 142/5 142/6 142/19 144/5 144/8 144/16 144/21 145/2 148/3
Avery's [10] 52/18 53/8 119/1
119/22 124/24 128/22 144/3
144/10 147/19 148/20
aware [20] 17/19 36/1 50/3 83/9
115/15 116/6 116/10 118/3 118/9
119/23 120/8 124/5 124/7 132/22
134/14 135/5 135/11 136/17
140/14 143/7
Awareness [1] 118/10
away [2] 103/25 106/22
awful [1] 41/15
awhile [1] 127/17

## B

back [14] 11/24 18/22 25/15 26/21 39/1 8 48/13 57/20 61/22 62/18
70/15 78/13 78/15 78/17 148/23
background [1] 111/5
bad [1] 130/2
bail [5] 34/4 53/14 99/15 99/18 100/4
bailiffs [2] 11/24 145/7
balance [2] 72/23 140/16
Ballard [1] 57/2
bank [1] 104/7
Barrel [1] 87/23
barrels [2] 79/21 88/15
base [2] 81/24 81/25
baseball [1] 103/25
based [5] 7/23 35/14 94/18 108/20 109/23
bases [1] 54/9
basically [2] 13/13 50/23
basis [2] 38/14 41/20
Bates [1] 128/5
bats [1] 104/1
battered [1] 34/14
battles [1] 25/7
be [251]
bear [2] 52/17 97/18
beat [1] 47/17
became [1] 37/4
because [54] 4/9 4/25 7/10 7/16
8/10 10/5 18/8 19/10 19/12 19/17
20/1 20/10 21/1 21/12 21/21 23/7
24/2 24/17 26/14 27/19 29/23
34/10 38/19 39/20 42/20 46/9 47/6 50/12 50/14 50/15 52/10 52/1753/11 55/22 56/19 57/2
57/7 63/7 63/25 72/10 73/3 82/19 83/1 86/23 87/4 88/14 94/14
96/10 107/21 107/23 110/2 121/3 121/7 136/13
become [1] 101/4
bed [1] 119/12
been [137] 3/19 4/11 10/9 12/5
$13 / 4$ 13/5 13/22 14/11 17/5 18/17 18/18 20/7 21/3 21/3 21/4 22/22
22/25 24/1 24/16 24/18 29/18 $34 / 1335 / 435 / 1535 / 1835 / 20$ 38/2 41/12 45/23 46/5 46/12 46/15 56/20 56/22 57/3 59/21 60/10 60/1 5 62/20 65/15 66/10 67/9 67/13 67/19 68/6 71/1 74/8 $74 / 1876 / 1880 / 2481 / 381 / 17$ 83/13 84/4 84/5 85/20 86/15 88/3 88/13 89/11 89/15 89/18 91/7 96/9 96/13 98/17 98/20 100/1 101/3 101/6 101/9 102/18 103/17 104/10 107/2 109/16 110/23 111/8 111/16 111/21 111/24115/7
115/23 116/15 116/17116/19
116/21 116/23 118/11118/16
119/1 119/8 119/15 119/19119/20 119/22 119/24 120/7 120/8 120/10 120/13 120/16 120/23 121/24 122/16 123/18 $125 / 2$ 125/4 125/4
126/18 127/15 127/17 131/5
132/19 133/12 134/17 134/24
135/2 135/3 135/4 137/24 138/7
139/15 140/13 141/7 141/16
141/17 142/12 142/13 142/21
143/6 143/8 143/14 145/17 148/12
148/24 151/8
before [42] $1 / 93 / 164 / 85 / 248 / 18$
10/15 17/9 19/3 22/23 23/13 35/1 $137 / 943 / 1645 / 551 / 1852 / 8$ 52/1 5 55/3 55/3 55/4 55/16 59/9 61/1 61/16 67/19 68/12 69/5 69/22 69/25 70/5 71/22 72/8 72/23 73/8 73/16 74/14 75/1 77/5 77/13 99/13 104/14 122/9
beforehand [1] 59/13
begin [3] 20/8 20/9 52/25
beginning [6] 22/3 28/18 44/18 52/7 77/5 125/15
begins [2] 86/3 128/6
behalf [7] 1/12 1/14 1/16 1/18 1/20 4/5 142/2
behaved [2] 140/15 143/4
behavior [3] 101/25 102/11 120/14
behind [3] 87/16 126/6 126/7
being [39] $14 / 1018 / 16$ 20/7 26/9
27/23 31/4 33/12 36/11 38/20
$41 / 1442 / 1242 / 2142 / 2445 / 7$
47/25 53/3 60/9 60/13 60/14
60/19 60/21 64/21 64/23 65/7
70/5 73/19 80/6 80/9 92/18 98/1
106/11 114/6 114/20 129/16
133/10 139/2 139/21 140/22 141/17
belief [1] 31/20
believe [35] 9/25 10/11 10/14 12/24 26/15 28/21 30/16 30/19 32/19 37/11 41/1 41/25 42/16 49/2 49/1 8 49/19 66/13 67/1 68/9 71/13 75/22 79/5 87/17 87/25 89/10 89/11 90/20 92/6 93/10 93/22 102/3 125/14 126/9 126/12 126/12
believed [1] 99/20
believes [4] 75/11 101/1 107/7 148/1
believing [1] 95/6
belt [13] 107/8 109/8 137/19
137/25 139/20 141/3 142/5 142/6 144/8 145/3 146/11 147/14 148/7
benefit [3] 42/3 87/3 113/3
beside [1] 134/5
best [8] 14/24 54/4 56/8 60/11 63/20 117/25 133/17 151/13
better [7] 58/24 59/12 64/3 72/17 74/2 127/7 137/19
between [10] 14/6 29/20 38/23
45/11 51/19 80/22 108/7 125/9 126/2 141/15
beyond [2] 52/16 139/11
big [1] 33/9
bird [1] 43/19
bit [4] 6/10 103/24 139/6 139/14
bizarre [1] 51/8
blame [1] 101/20
block [1] 116/23
blood [98] 4/3 4/3 4/18 6/3 6/12 6/14 6/17 6/21 7/12 7/19 8/3 8/5 8/11 8/11 9/15 10/13 10/16 10/17 11/3 11/19 12/15 13/10 13/14 13/16 $13 / 19$ 14/25 $15 / 1$ 15/3 $17 / 4$ 17/9 17/24 19/1 20/2 22/20 23/2 23/6 23/24 26/15 26/16 28/4 28/13 28/15 28/23 29/16 30/5 30/7 30/14 30/15 31/3 31/14 35/1735/22 36/12 36/15 36/21 $37 / 137 / 937 / 1037 / 2137 / 2438 / 4$ 38/5 38/12 41/9 42/8 42/16 44/22 46/11 46/14 47/5 54/18 54/25 55/12 55/14 55/16 55/24 56/10 56/11 56/16 56/22 58/6 59/24 63/1 1 63/13 63/17 63/19 63/25 64/3 64/8 64/13 66/19 67/6 67/8 67/14 69/9 69/12 70/1 70/7
bloodstains [2] 17/21 30/3 boat [1] 46/13
bone [4] 79/15 79/16 81/9 86/10
bones [3] 86/22 88/15 149/6
born [1] 95/11
Boston [1] 91/4
both [19] 14/20 15/13 16/8 35/17 36/22 52/6 71/6 74/12 82/3 86/12 107/15 107/20 $111 / 12$ 126/20
126/23 127/6 132/7 132/12 145/8
bottom [3] 104/3 128/6 128/16
bowels [1] 147/7
box [7] 12/20 57/23 57/23 58/20
58/21 62/3 62/4
BRANCH [2] 1/1 151/5
brandish [1] 135/15
breach [1] 13/5
break [2] 48/9 117/8
breakdown [1] 41/7
Brendan [9] 94/15 94/19 95/18 96/1 98/16 99/3 99/10 99/21 99/25
brief [3] 84/13 90/14 116/18 briefly [4] 28/10 28/11 108/23 147/13
bring [10] $11 / 9$ 12/7 13/2 13/21 21/10 25/10 29/25 56/4 93/23 113/13
bringing [4] 13/13 16/25 43/18 150/10
brings [3] 6/11 56/1 56/3
Britain [1] 51/5
broad [1] 138/23
broadcast [1] 14/12
brought [11] 9/6 9/9 9/10 29/6 31/3 32/22 76/13 99/5 101/23 137/17 137/23
building [2] 10/1861/8
bunch [1] 47/21
burden [5] 107/25 108/6 110/15
148/9 148/10
Bureau [1] 7/6
Burger [1] 15/10
Burish [1] 3/15
burn [4] 79/20 79/21 87/16 87/23
business [3] 45/23 52/25 65/23
but [141] $4 / 136 / 27 / 258 / 148 / 22$ 9/17 10/20 10/23 11/19 13/1 14/1 14/8 17/2 17/6 17/13 17/25 18/6
18/16 21/11 21/23 24/24 26/17
27/1 27/11 28/4 29/13 29/25 30/2
30/4 31/1 33/20 34/3 34/22 36/13
37/21 39/9 42/9 42/22 43/7 45/1
46/1 46/22 48/3 48/21 49/4 49/1 8
50/8 50/16 52/12 53/19 54/23
57/24 58/10 60/18 64/13 64/21
65/17 66/24 67/8 67/24 71/15
71/17 72/12 72/21 73/974/19
75/14 75/18 75/23 78/14 79/14
$79 / 1880 / 1080 / 2081 / 2381 / 24$
85/6 85/25 87/4 87/18 88/17
89/19 90/6 90/18 92/6 93/12
93/21 95/4 95/17 96/6 98/3 98/14 98/24 100/9 101/11 102/20 103/5
105/11 106/12 107/2 107/25 108/9
108/21 109/4 111/7 112/6 113/18
113/22 113/25 117/4 117/25
118/16 118/22 119/16 121/9
121/16 121/16 123/24 125/15
126/16 129/9 130/12 131/19
133/11 133/21 134/2 135/20
135/25 136/19 137/21 $142 / 5$ 143/2
143/25 145/16 146/1 146/7 147/20
148/7 148/22 149/8 149/21
BUTING [10] $1 / 193 / 133 / 1316 / 18$ 56/7 78/8 93/8 102/20 149/6 150/1
Buting's [2] 84/13 90/14
Byrnes [10] 112/12 112/13 112/17 112/23 112/24 113/11 116/5 116/11 116/17 136/8

## C

cage [1] 131/9
cake [1] 119/12
call [12] 6/25 12/12 22/21 24/20 36/7 51/24 74/17 99/25 124/18 124/19 128/5 130/11
called [8] 31/23 32/1 63/18 75/25
104/6 107/8 110/22 138/6
calling [2] 92/12 99/2
calls [3] 3/1 49/13 105/17
Calumet [16] 3/7 61/20 61/22 61/25 87/10 107/5 111/9 111/13 111/16 112/4 124/9 127/4 138/1 144/14 144/22 147/16
came [15] 7/18 9/15 23/18 24/6 30/14 35/9 35/9 42/5 63/15 64/9 79/19 79/20 79/21 79/22 149/5 camera [3] 57/17 59/22 87/14 can [87] 8/22 11/20 13/15 14/6 14/15 14/24 21/17 23/22 23/22 24/23 25/21 25/22 28/1 28/5 30/3 $30 / 2531 / 1932 / 1032 / 1132 / 12$ $32 / 1432 / 1633 / 1133 / 1433 / 20$ $34 / 2$ 34/9 36/7 36/20 37/13 39/5 40/7 40/9 40/11 40/18 40/23 40/25 41/1 41/19 41/20 41/21 45/4 46/22 46/25 49/5 49/8 49/9 49/12 49/12 49/17 50/5 50/22 50/22 50/23 51/22 51/24 52/9 52/1754/15 54/15 57/1159/3
$61 / 2362 / 262 / 963 / 2065 / 12$
69/12 70/8 72/2 72/13 74/10
76/11 85/15 93/15 98/5 105/13
106/18 110/7 115/12 115/21
116/20 $117 / 8$ 137/18 143/20 147/1 147/16
can't [24] 17/25 21/11 24/23 24/25
25/1 26/2 27/9 27/9 31/21 34/20
39/15 40/6 65/1 73/2 78/12 79/25
89/2 98/22 102/19 116/2 118/11
125/13 136/16 149/20
candid [2] 49/4 141/5
candor [2] 72/17 139/16
cannot [14] 20/9 33/1 33/1 45/20
50/20 81/20 81/21 83/20 84/17
96/21 99/23 99/23 101/12 115/13
capable [2] 42/1 42/5
capacity [1] 125/3
capital [1] 87/8
Captain [1] 112/12
captioning [1] 105/25
car [19] 13/20 $15 / 2$ 30/3 30/6
30/14 130/20 130/22 131/7 131/9
133/2 133/5 133/7 133/9 133/25
134/11 135/8 135/16 143/22
144/17
card [1] 68/24
cardboard [3] 57/23 58/20 62/3
cardiac [1] 145/20
cards [15] 4/3 56/16 63/2 63/5
63/19 63/19 63/21 64/8 66/6
66/11 67/8 67/13 68/18 69/2 69/5
care [2] 86/20 136/8
carefully [1] 151/8
Carl [2] 90/15 90/20
Carolina [6] 12/13 30/8 43/12
45/25 63/3 65/8
carry [1] 127/3
carrying [1] 17/24
cars [1] 134/12
case [90] $1 / 53 / 24 / 226 / 249 / 19 / 3$
9/11 9/17 9/18 9/24 10/1 10/6
$10 / 1915 / 419 / 1721 / 2$ 22/18 23/8
23/20 23/23 23/23 24/15 25/5
27/17 27/18 28/12 29/1 29/15
$30 / 930 / 1631 / 2432 / 134 / 1435 / 8$
$35 / 1836 / 1837 / 1537 / 1937 / 21$
37/22 39/20 40/21 54/5 55/20
55/25 56/3 57/7 66/24 68/12
76/19 81/16 91/15 92/24 93/6 93/7 95/7 97/10 98/2 99/16 100/7
100/23 100/25 101/1 101/15
101/21 102/11 102/19 103/13
104/14 104/19 107/13 107/15
114/9 119/1 121/8 123/4 124/22
124/23 130/18 137/22 139/21
140/8 140/9 143/9 144/16 145/7
147/20 148/7 148/7 148/19
case-in-chief [4] 92/24 93/6 93/7 103/13
cases [6] 24/22 29/20 34/14 51/2
145/12 146/22
casting [1] 52/23
casually [1] 139/14
cat [1] $43 / 19$
caught [1] 41/14
cause [5] 12/21 97/20 97/24 129/2
129/3
caused [3] 53/13 143/4 145/21
cautioned [1] 102/13
CD [1] 83/12
cell [9] 87/15 $116 / 20116 / 20$
116/22 116/23 118/23 119/8
cell... [2] 119/22 119/24
Cellmark [1] 91/5
cellmates [1] 120/17
central [1] 16/5
certain [2] 94/12 100/20
certainly [9] $21 / 23$ 30/1 72/20 83/9
92/18 102/21 103/5 140/13 148/17
certify [1] 151/6
CF [2] 1/5 3/2
chain [1] $92 / 8$
chambers [3] 105/18 105/20 106/8
chance [10] 20/3 33/2 38/13 42/17
44/11 47/4 48/5 61/2 65/18 106/2
chances [1] 10/25
change [2] 3/19 85/4
character [2] 130/10 140/6
characteristics [1] 140/18
characterize [1] 36/2
characterized [1] 67/13
charge [9] 68/25 96/18 96/19
96/20 98/19 98/19 112/11 140/4 140/5
charged [1] 110/7
charges [10] 53/12 53/17 99/5
99/19 100/3 103/1 103/2 103/10
104/25 138/9
charlatan [1] 45/24
charred [3] 80/20 81/22 84/18
check [3] 5/4 46/25 92/4
checked [1] 52/11
checking [1] 41/19
checks [1] 119/8
chemical [10] $8 / 6$ 13/11 $19 / 15$
23/9 40/22 50/23 51/7 51/9 58/4 58/9
chemicals [1] 10/9
chemist [1] 43/22
chemistry [1] 32/4
chief [5] 15/10 92/24 93/6 93/7
103/13
chilling [1] 94/16
Chilton [7] 43/8 52/7 112/12
133/13 133/17 133/22 134/20
choice [4] 34/6 45/13 46/2 48/3
choose [1] 45/11
chooses [2] 82/20 108/6
choosing [1] 24/20
chose [2] 22/7 22/7
chosen [2] 103/11 103/12
Christmas [1] 29/3
chromatograph [2] 44/1 50/19
chronology [2] 6/10 17/7
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/10 151/5
circumstances [3] 45/20 87/18 88/8
cite [1] 95/18
cited [2] 68/12 145/18
cites [1] 95/25
citing [1] 99/19
citizens [1] 123/3
City [1] 133/13
claiming [1] 9/13
claims [2] 99/10 99/21
Clampett [2] 144/2 144/7
clarification [2] 137/14 138/3
clarify [1] 149/4
cleaning [1] 23/10
clear [13] 18/12 20/12 24/15 56/19
56/21 57/8 65/22 84/25 88/11
88/12 143/14 145/13 149/8
clearly [4] 10/1 10/2 10/15 25/24
clears [1] 88/2
Clement [2] 41/5 68/25
clerk [9] 3/24 4/19 5/5 5/7 6/13
11/25 28/23 64/4 78/19
Clerk's [6] 17/1 19/2 35/22 37/25 57/17 64/9
clerks [1] 11/24
client [1] 44/19
clients [1] 148/11
close [2] 8/18 103/8
closed [1] 105/24
closely [2] 20/23 77/21
closer [2] 71/24 72/14
co [1] 85/3
co-counsel [1] 85/3
cocaine [2] 55/25 56/2
collects [1] 145/18
color [3] 13/9 31/5 41/10
combination [1] 12/3
come [28] 5/1 7/12 7/20 10/17
15/2 18/22 21/11 22/20 23/19
25/15 26/4 27/13 27/15 37/25
39/2 40/16 47/7 54/23 55/3 71/23
104/3 104/18 $112 / 22$ 112/24
118/23 139/13 149/10 149/23
comes [12] $21 / 132 / 1138 / 2139 / 7$ 39/8 40/11 47/1 47/1 53/19
129/25 131/23 133/7
comfortable [3] 29/8 49/5 130/16
coming [6] 48/12 52/18 73/17
130/17 136/7 142/15
comment [6] 88/9 109/5 117/4
126/4 126/10 126/13
commented [1] 37/18
comments [18] 5/14 5/17 18/24 42/10 71/17 96/16 102/2 102/4 102/11 102/15 103/23 104/20 115/14115/15 116/24 117/5 125/20 148/14
commit [1] 99/2
commits [1] 99/24
committed [1] 27/5
common [3] 23/9 25/25 145/19
communicating [1] 148/11
community [2] 14/17 40/22
company [1] 137/24
comparability [1] 37/22
compare [3] 9/18 30/1 30/5
comparing [1] 33/13
comparison [2] 34/3 38/4
compel [1] 78/7
compelling [1] 7/10
complained [1] 78/23
complaining [1] 148/8
Complaint [1] 147/25
complete [2] 49/21 106/5
completed [3] 8/1 8 48/23 69/25
completely [1] 79/22
completion [1] 69/15
compliance [1] 82/6
composite [2] 75/17 76/2
comprehensive [1] 15/14
compromised [1] 70/7
compulsory [1] 15/23
computer [8] 69/20 70/20 75/1
75/18 76/2 91/19 92/3 151/10
computer-assisted [1] 151/10
computerized [1] 151/9
conceded [1] 110/5
conceivably [1] 121/10
concern [14] 7/1 80/2 80/3 83/23
88/21 121/6 122/25 123/3 123/7
123/12 123/15 123/17 123/25

145/4
concerned [5] 13/24 117/5 122/14
122/17 123/14
concerning [2] 105/16 106/25
concerns [4] 11/17 80/16 88/17
93/8
conclude [1] 73/2
concluded [2] 76/18 150/15
conclusion [1] 100/24
conclusions [1] 82/2
concur [1] 56/25
concurrent [1] 34/9
concurrently [1] 31/20
condition [7] 8/11 11/12 11/14
12/9 28/5 86/10 86/23
conduct [2] 11/5 37/16
conducted [3] 70/14 86/11 94/3
conducting [1] 142/20
conference [7] 3/4 94/6 94/7 94/10
94/15 103/14 104/6
conferences [5] 94/3 95/11 97/5
97/7 104/9
confession [2] 95/19 96/1
confidence [1] 15/19
confident [1] 29/9
confined [1] 116/19
confinement [1] 34/5
confirmed [2] 99/1 99/22
confirming [1] 90/12
conflicting [2] 54/13 56/20
conform [1] 63/1
confront [1] 146/14
confrontation [2] 108/5 141/22
confronted [1] 96/11
consider [5] 38/13 52/22 65/20 91/10 140/3
consideration [5] 52/21 77/15
107/24 122/22 130/5
considerations [3] 108/21 130/14 139/24
considered [3] 16/21 57/4 66/10
considering [3] 17/20 108/12
147/23
consistent [1] 108/2
constitution [1] 138/23
constitutional [6] 15/7 39/4 53/5
98/8 146/15 148/13
constitutionally [1] 97/16
consultant [1] 65/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { contact [8] } & 117 / 15 & 124 / 8 & 124 / 11\end{array}$
134/2 134/7 134/8 134/10 144/12
contained [2] 84/7 115/10
contains [1] 75/16
contends [1] 143/12
content [3] 94/11 94/14 104/8
context [1] 97/4
contingency [1] 118/13
continuance [16] 6/16 6/20 7/17
8/16 42/20 46/1 46/9 48/4 48/8
48/17 48/21 49/22 51/12 53/7
53/23 54/9
continue [4] 7/16 19/4 37/18 38/19
continues [1] 128/3
Continuously [1] 111/19
contours [1] 54/6
contractor [1] 67/20
contrary [1] 15/8
control [4] 67/3 146/10 146/17 147/6
conversation [5] 46/6 106/15
125/8 126/2 141/24
conversations [6] 105/12 114/7
114/23 114/25 115/5 125/9
conversion [1] 105/21
conversions [1] 105/21
converted [1] 105/17
convicted [3] $118 / 4118 / 20138 / 7$
conviction [2] 9/7 99/17
convinces [1] 68/2
Cooper [14] 9/1 9/3 9/6 9/17 9/24
10/6 25/5 29/15 31/23 34/14
37/19 37/21 40/7 40/20
cooperation [1] 129/23
cooperative [1] 129/2
copies [4] 72/3 74/13 77/7 86/20
cops [1] 17/24
copy [12] 3/22 5/9 5/13 5/14 5/19
6/2 78/10 78/16 113/17113/18
113/25 128/4
core [1] 94/2
corner [1] 128/6
Corporation [6] 9/22 31/13 41/5
63/12 64/2 65/3
correct [56] 13/15 30/12 71/15
72/11 90/19 93/4 111/11111/15
111/18 112/2 112/9 112/15 112/18
114/2 114/17116/8116/14 116/21
117/9 117/11 117/19119/2 119/10
120/18 120/21 120/22 120/25
121/1 121/12 122/3 122/11 123/5
124/21 124/24 124/25 125/11
126/18 126/22 129/17 130/1
130/11 130/20 131/24 131/25
132/3 132/4 132/16 133/19 133/23
136/1 136/22 137/1 137/2 137/4
137/23 151/12
corrected [1] 90/22
correctly [1] 106/17
correspondence [1] 71/21
corroborate [1] 99/23
cost [2] 52/16 52/17
Cotton [5] 90/16 91/2 91/10 92/19 92/21
could [51] $9 / 8$ 12/5 14/13 23/1 24/15 27/5 27/6 31/1 34/7 35/10 $41 / 242 / 3$ 43/1 43/3 43/5 43/17 46/12 46/15 48/23 52/25 53/10 54/20 55/14 55/21 56/23 57/10 59/12 59/22 59/23 61/8 61/10 61/11 64/6 73/8 74/5 74/6 86/25 96/7 103/9 113/8 116/9 116/9 117/11117/15 117/23117/25 121/22 130/7 130/12 145/21 149/17
couldn't [6] 23/19 24/1 47/9 47/10 73/9 107/18
counsel [32] 19/7 41/25 43/7
59/19 73/7 74/8 74/13 82/20
82/22 83/9 85/3 85/5 86/1 86/14
87/7 87/12 88/16 89/10 89/10
90/23 91/10 91/24 92/10 102/13
102/14 102/14 107/3 108/4 139/17
141/1 146/14 149/2
counsel's [1] 87/3
count [3] 3/20 96/25 97/14
counteracting [1] 98/22
counting [3] 83/21 83/24 84/11 country [6] 34/19 43/10 47/12 49/8 98/9 107/16
counts [4] 93/19 96/25 97/12 100/1
COUNTY [20] 1/1 3/7 4/20 8/21
11/25 61/21 61/22 61/25 107/5
111/9111/14111/16112/4124/9

127/4 138/2 144/14 144/23 147/16

151/2
couple [2] 17/22 37/3
course [11] 27/2 28/14 37/2 53/16 55/22 56/15 60/20 67/25 83/13
95/22 96/17
court [222]
court's [23] 4/19 6/13 12/1 28/23
35/2 43/16 44/13 53/11 60/10
64/4 77/15 77/23 78/19 86/8 96/7
98/7 100/12 102/21 107/22 108/24
113/2 139/23 146/6
courtroom [23] 11/9 11/24 13/2
13/13 31/4 32/23 105/5 106/25
108/21 109/14 109/18 123/16
137/6 139/7 139/22 142/19 142/24
143/3 144/22 144/25 147/9 147/9
150/13
courts [4] 15/23 57/5 107/16
107/24
cover [2] 86/5 140/2
covered [1] 144/20
cranial [5] 76/20 77/18 87/16
89/16 89/17
create [2] 75/19 141/10
created [2] 76/25 92/1
creating [1] 141/11
creation [1] 71/3
credible [1] 50/4
cries [2] 13/11 31/15
crime [6] 17/15 17/17 27/6 80/6
83/16 110/7
crimes [1] 110/5
criminal [9] $14 / 4$ 15/14 $16 / 5 \quad 16 / 14$
36/9 98/8 103/5 123/4 147/19
critical [1] 10/11
criticize [1] 43/19
criticizing [1] 70/10
cross [3] $2 / 573 / 24$ 137/15
cross-examination [3] $2 / 5$ 73/24

## 137/15

crushed [1] 12/20
cryptic [2] 82/4 82/4
curative [7] 98/12 100/10 100/12
101/18 102/23 103/6 103/17
curious [1] 44/21
current [1] 56/9
currently [1] 4/18
custodial [1] 53/9
custody [11] 21/19 44/19 58/20
60/8 120/5 129/10 134/15 136/15 139/10 143/13 143/18
cut [4] 69/1 $117 / 11126 / 5 \quad 126 / 5$
cute [2] 4/23 119/16
cycle [1] 118/22
D
damning [1] 102/9
Dassey [8] 94/15 94/24 99/3 99/10
99/22 99/25 103/5 103/12
Dassey's [6] 94/19 94/22 95/18
96/1 98/16 103/3
data[12] 32/9 32/11 40/11 40/12 40/15 63/25 81/24 81/25 83/12 83/17 84/5 85/11
date [2] $1 / 8$ 125/13
dated [6] 17/16 73/12 73/13 79/4 83/5 151/15
day [14] 6/22 38/25 44/22 44/25
46/20 46/24 66/7 90/7 94/9
116/21 128/24 132/12 136/4
151/15
days [5] 19/25 47/17 52/7 64/1

79/7
DCI [3] 61/21 127/22 130/22
deadline [4] 47/18 79/7 92/20 99/3
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { deal }[7] & 14 / 19 & 107 / 15 & 109 / 14\end{array}$
112/19 139/1 140/21 146/5
deals [1] 71/9
DEAN [2] 1/17 3/14
debate [1] 100/21
debris [2] 88/1 89/20
debunked [1] 21/8
debunking [1] 20/25
December[10] 6/12 17/8 18/25
28/19 35/24 40/2 42/9 54/25 62/15 79/13
December 14th [1] 62/15
December 27th [1] 79/13
December 6 [3] 6/12 18/25 28/19
decent [3] 14/20 22/15 22/15
decide [5] 16/6 22/14 103/1
107/11 146/13
decided [4] 7/19 72/8 72/22 148/6
deciding [1] 139/2
decision [35] 6/18 7/2 7/8 7/13
7/15 7/18 7/23 8/1 8/25 9/6 9/9 11/19 12/11 12/25 16/2 20/4 20/12 22/1 8 29/13 29/14 39/25
40/2 40/8 43/16 56/25 57/9 63/1
70/3 107/22 109/18 109/23 110/18
146/6 148/5 148/25
decisions [3] 30/22 67/5 71/23
deem [1] 8/7
deep [1] 123/17
defeated [1] 15/15
defecate [1] 145/22
defects [2] 76/20 77/18
defendant [12] 1/7 1/18 1/20 1/21
7/24 16/8 55/4 102/5 103/5 109/7 141/9 142/8
defendant's [13] 5/18 11/2 12/19
16/7 71/7 78/6 87/17 93/18
106/24 108/3 140/5 140/17 141/13
defense [110] 4/8 4/20 4/25 7/25
9/7 9/11 9/17 10/3 10/19 10/22 11/1 11/811/1511/2113/18
13/19 15/25 18/9 19/7 21/4 22/21
23/25 24/5 24/19 28/12 29/24
$30 / 1235 / 2137 / 537 / 1137 / 13$
$37 / 1437 / 2338 / 1839 / 839 / 13$ 41/24 42/7 42/7 42/24 44/6 44/11
44/16 46/13 46/17 47/11 48/17 48/20 51/14 54/3 54/5 54/17 54/21 54/24 55/13 55/1755/19 $57 / 13$ 59/1 60/1 60/24 62/7 62/10 $62 / 1964 / 2365 / 365 / 465 / 565 / 5$ 65/8 65/10 65/11 65/13 66/4 66/4 66/8 66/20 67/9 67/20 68/14 68/17 68/18 69/3 69/20 70/8 70/9 70/20 71/2 78/24 83/12 83/25
85/21 86/21 90/15 91/24 93/21
97/23 102/8 102/15 102/20 103/16 105/5 105/24 108/5 108/7 108/19
109/22 117/15 139/17 148/9
defense's [1] 41/24
defer [2] 114/14 148/15
deferring [1] 108/12
definitely [1] 91/3
definition [2] 81/13 81/16
deflect [1] 17/23
degradation [1] 64/6
degree [2] 86/25 98/18
delay [2] 35/1 36/2
deleted [1] 76/12
deliberately [1] 22/7
delivery [1] 55/25
demeanor [1] 123/23
demonstrate [1] 11/14
demonstrates [1] 17/19
demonstrative [2] 71/3 72/19
denied [9] 6/19 7/17 16/22 45/7
46/8 47/25 53/3 59/9 93/20
deny[5] 27/22 38/11 42/24 44/10 59/15
department [7] 3/10 61/21 123/2
133/9 138/2 144/15 147/16
departments [1] 29/5
depend [1] 15/19
deprivation [1] 148/13
deputies [1] 144/24
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { deputy [7] } & 12 / 6 & 14 / 11 & 14 / 16 & 16 / 12\end{array}$
33/3 137/23 146/20
derived [1] 36/21
describe [3] 76/21 112/10 137/18
described [1] 77/4
describing [3] 114/19 122/10
128/17
deserve [2] 14/1 14/23
designated [1] 87/24
designation [2] 87/9 87/14
designed [1] 100/13
desperate [1] 19/18
despicable [1] 16/14
destroying [1] 60/17
destructive [1] 142/8
detail [2] 85/15 107/23
detailed [1] 101/3
details [5] 95/2 95/5 122/9 127/24 145/12
detained [1] 111/16
detainee [2] 111/22 139/3
deteriorated [1] 86/24
determine [8] 8/12 8/13 36/12
36/16 90/4 108/20 134/17 149/13
determined [2] 28/22 88/13
determining [2] 37/5 37/20
detract [1] 28/3
develop [1] 15/12
development [2] 36/8 110/11 developments [1] 35/15
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { device [3] } & 146 / 8 & 146 / 23 & 146 / 25\end{array}$
devices [2] 145/16 146/22
dialogue [1] 125/9
Diane [3] 1/24 151/4 151/19
did [47] 5/1 6/5 10/16 11/20 12/21
15/2 19/23 19/24 22/17 22/20
24/9 27/10 29/12 41/4 48/21
48/21 54/24 55/12 63/1467/1
78/9 78/13 85/25 86/18 90/21
97/23 99/2 100/25 101/2 101/25
102/4 113/13 113/15 114/18 116/3
121/6 125/12 129/2 133/24 133/25
135/6 135/9 135/10 135/15 135/22 149/3 150/6
didn't [21] 6/2 18/7 18/7 22/17
27/10 29/15 37/21 42/9 43/2 44/19 62/11 63/8 68/8 73/16
123/13 136/9 136/12 136/13
136/16 136/19 149/21
difference [3] 8/19 29/20 124/22
differences [2] 9/2 9/5
different [17] 9/18 9/24 17/6
24/12 30/9 30/1741/10 41/23 47/2 1 64/5 67/14 70/24 79/2 79/8 79/22 93/25 129/18
difficult [3] 8/9 29/4 68/17
difficulties [1] 37/19
dig [1] 39/12
dignified [1] 142/14
dignity [2] 142/17 142/18
dire [2] 104/16 104/20
direct [7] 2/4 49/25 73/22 86/8
111/3112/14112/19
direction [1] 77/1
directly [5] 11/17 94/22 112/10
139/12 140/10
disagree [1] 47/13
disavowed [1] 94/24
disc [1] 91/25
discipline [1] 136/21
disclose [1] 54/24
disclosed [1] 68/9
disclosing [1] 47/18
disclosure[6] 15/20 20/18 24/8
77/24 78/7 79/6
discomfort [1] 135/21
discovered [1] 56/21
discovery [6] 18/13 85/13 87/13
88/1 96/23 118/6
discredited [2] 43/11 45/23
discretion [4] 57/25 129/12 129/15 129/25
discussed [5] 43/15 88/3 105/18
105/19114/7
discussing [3] 13/3 19/6 41/12
discussion [2] 106/8 149/6
discussions [2] 85/2 85/16
disingenuous [2] 33/13 34/10
dislodged [1] 12/22
dismiss [4] 93/18 93/20 93/21 96/24
dismissed [2] 99/8 100/1
dismissing [2] 97/12 97/13
display[1] 11/11
disproved [1] 95/1
disreputable [1] 57/4
dissipation [1] 96/14
distant [1] 142/25
District [4] 3/7 3/11 8/20 14/2
disturbance [2] 141/10 141/11
Division [1] 14/4
DNA [24] $23 / 1730 / 130 / 233 / 14$ 36/6 36/7 63/14 80/1 80/5 80/7 80/1 8 83/16 84/15 86/7 86/11 86/1 8 86/22 86/25 89/4 89/4 90/3 91/4 91/6 131/4
do [157]
document [2] 6/2 6/3
documents [1] 71/2
does [32] 3/14 7/12 10/19 24/10
25/1 8 29/22 34/20 39/11 41/9
46/18 46/19 48/16 50/11 74/1 93/8 100/21 100/24 108/6 108/9 108/21 109/7 109/9 112/22 121/20 121/2 1 144/3 144/5 146/19 148/7 149/2 149/3 150/1
doesn't [20] 5/8 17/25 23/4 24/24 25/13 25/20 30/4 50/10 50/16 55/25 68/21 82/21 88/18 109/15 121/19 141/6 142/9 144/8 144/20 146/20
doing [21] $17 / 3$ 18/20 19/12 $21 / 4$ 22/6 26/22 39/22 42/1 42/5 47/14 57/5 57/6 58/17 58/17 62/6 62/19 62/21 65/20 65/23 110/14 128/16 don't [64] 5/1 5/3 8/10 9/8 10/22 18/5 29/1 30/10 30/13 32/13 33/24 34/22 39/9 41/13 46/1 46/22 47/9 47/13 48/3 48/7 48/10

58/2 58/9 59/14 62/10 63/5 63/6
64/17 64/21 66/12 67/7 67/16
68/1 68/7 68/9 70/3 74/9 78/18
80/9 81/1 87/4 88/16 88/18 96/3
109/12 113/4 113/7 116/2 118/21
119/16 121/16 123/13 123/21
123/22 123/23 125/16 130/23
138/17 139/18 142/7 143/2 147/8 149/5 149/7
done [47] 3/20 7/5 10/1 $15 / 22$
19/13 20/13 23/2 26/17 26/18 28/1 30/25 35/1 35/11 38/8 41/1
43/10 44/25 45/1 45/20 46/12
48/23 49/1 51/16 52/2 52/14
54/15 54/20 55/17 57/25 58/5
60/15 63/10 65/5 65/10 70/5
80/11 81/2 81/8 105/23 113/12
117/13 119/1 119/6 122/20 122/23 129/18 140/15
door [2] 12/3 12/3
double [1] 46/25
doubtful [1] 86/15
Douglas [4] $83 / 2$ 83/4 84/16 85/1
down [11] 7/18 8/25 11/1 57/16
76/3 92/6 102/23 130/17 130/25
144/23 149/23
downstairs [1] 64/9
Dr. [6] 85/20 85/25 90/4 90/12 149/1 1 149/24
Dr. Eisenberg [4] 85/25 90/4 90/12 149/11
Dr. Eisenberg's [1] 149/24
Dr. Leslie [1] 85/20
drag [1] 126/6
draw [2] 28/6 107/6
drawn [3] 28/16 63/11 82/2
drink [2] 24/2 24/4
driven [1] 120/21
driving [1] 131/3
drumbeat [1] 97/9
due [11] $45 / 945 / 1448 / 152 / 20$
53/6 53/22 86/9 100/14 109/6
148/8 148/13
duly [1] 110/23
duplicate [1] 75/2
during [10] $45 / 171 / 2474 / 483 / 13$
104/20 109/2 $111 / 25$ 114/24
141/19 145/9
duty [1] 126/25
DVD [1] 94/7
DVD's [1] 72/3
E
each [5] 14/9 55/7 73/12 101/16 119/21
Earl [1] 128/22
earlier [12] 24/16 34/6 41/24 46/12 46/15 47/10 51/22 54/12 69/24 78/14 80/18 103/9
early [4] 35/24 49/13 54/25 116/1
easily [1] 35/1
easy [1] 105/12
echos [1] 108/24
ED [1] 30/6
EDT [1] 17/11
EDTA [48] 8/15 9/10 9/14 9/15
9/19 17/20 17/22 18/5 18/8 19/11 21/3 21/14 23/5 23/6 23/7 23/8 24/22 24/24 29/18 34/25 36/5 $37 / 13$ 37/20 38/4 38/5 41/2 42/23 42/25 43/4 43/10 44/23 45/17 $45 / 1846 / 1046 / 1446 / 2547 / 10$ 49/6 50/2 50/5 51/16 53/4 63/24

| E | $34 / 1634 / 1935 / 1136 / 641 / 7$ | existence [8] 29/16 35/21 35/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDTA... [5] 64/16 64/20 65/12 | 74/23 79/23 84/9 85/15 90/6 | exists [2] 33/11 38/7 |
| 65/24 70/1 | 91/14 99/24 102/7 118/19 120/17 | expect [7] 51/25 52/10 62/1 |
| effect [4] 41/8 98/25 111/21 115/1 | 143/16 144/6 | 106/8 125/1 144/24 145/9 |
| effort [1] 141/7 | event [7] 7/17 17/14 37/13 54/23 | expected [1] 147/1 |
| efforts [1] 46/15 | 73/25 133/21 145/4 | expedite [1] 7/4 |
| eight [1] 104/9 | events [2] 6/11 94/20 | expense [1] 65/11 |
| Eisenberg [6] 77/2 77/22 85/25 | eventual [1] 38/10 | experience [5] 14/2 118/2 123/9 |
| 90/4 90/12 149/11 | eventually [3] 44/14 68/1 75/20 | 124/3 $29 / 1$ |
| Eisenberg's [2] 85/20 149/24 | ever [5] 12/22 29/23 43/10 67/19 | experienced [1] 99/12 |
| either [13] 15/25 23/13 27/2 27/1 | 140/15 | expert [20] 21/5 23/23 23/25 |
| 68/20 70/6 82/9 103/12 107/25 | every[15] 15/9 21/2 23/8 26/24 | 32/14 33/10 33/19 40/10 50/1 |
| 112/22 118/1 143/3 144/12 | 27/14 68/13 101/16 124/8 124/8 | 64/20 65/8 66/5 70/8 77/24 78/6 |
| elaborate [1] 125/23 | 124/10 124/18 124/19 124/20 | 79/6 81/5 89/25 91/5 91/6 |
| elaboration [1] 110/3 | 142/21 144/10 | experts [18] 10/6 20/19 23/8 25/7 |
| elderly [5] 132/13 132/1 | everybody [2] 62/17 124/13 | 25/7 25/12 31/23 32/9 34/1 40/8 |
| 135/7 135/15 | everyone [3] 14/22 20/5 31/25 | 40/1 1 43/3 49/7 70/9 81/4 82/8 |
| elected [1] 96/19 | everything [4] 14/15 20/8 49/12 | 90/9 90/14 |
| electrocuting [1] | 88/16 | explain [1] 88/6 |
| elemental [1] 50/22 | evidence [67] 4/3 6/4 6/15 6/21 | explained [1] 28/24 |
| else [14] 34/18 34/20 42/5 | 7/19 7/22 10/4 11/3 12/19 15/9 | explaining [2] 86/17 102/25 |
| 54/10 60/4 78/1 88/16 103/21 | 15/21 15/24 16/10 18/11 20/3 | explanation [1] 72/19 |
| 111/7113/11 124/13138/18 | 20/20 20/25 21/4 22/16 26/25 | explanations [1] 71/9 |
| 139/10 | 27/3 27/4 27/7 27/13 27/14 28/7 | explicitly [1] 105/2 |
| email [7] 17/16 17/18 18/6 18 | 29/10 30/20 35/17 36/16 36/20 | explore [1] 104/17 |
| 18/15 22/5 29/11 | $36 / 2336 / 2437 / 137 / 637 / 938 / 2$ | express [1] 123/3 |
| emails [1] 17/13 | 38/7 38/13 39/12 40/4 42/16 60/7 | expressed [11] 7/1 37/19 71/13 |
| embrace [1] 30/13 | 60/12 60/22 67/6 68/13 68/15 | 75/13 85/7 89/25 97/1 123/11 |
| embraced [1] 14/8 | 70/6 70/7 71/4 75/12 78/8 92/9 | 123/14 123/17 123/25 |
| emphasize [1] 33/1 | 95/1 96/22 97/3 101/2 106/12 | extend [1] 142/22 |
| emphasized [1] 15 | 106/12 106/14 113/2 113/4 135/3 | extensive [1] 62/14 |
| encounter [1] 134/16 | 142/7 143/17 149/17 | extent [4] 4/12 36/7 54/14 84/19 |
| end [6] 16/7 45/5 51/ | evident [1] 18/23 | exterior [1] $71 / 8$ |
| 125/16 145/5 | evidentiary [6] 15/8 25/6 35/20 | extra [4] 102/15 102/17 103/23 |
| ending [1] 103/23 | 53/25 108/10 110/11 | $113 / 17$ |
| ends [1] 15/14 | exact [2] 31/23 50/5 | extracted [2] 86/22 86/25 |
| enforcement [9] | exactly [7] 47/18 52/5 84/20 | extractions [1] 86/18 |
| 127/2 128/14 129/7 140/22 143/20 |  | F |
| 146/10 | examination [6] 2/4 2/5 73/23 |  |
| engage [3] 50/13 101 | 73/24 111/3137/15 | face [2] 11/18 12/10 |
| engages [1] 101/16 | examinations [1] 86/1 | faces [1] 98/21 |
| enough [13] 49/5 49/25 55/4 55/18 | examine [3] 17/1 20/22 118/23 | facility [2] 50/17 50/25 |
| $71 / 178 / 2497 / 1397 / 1499 / 15$ | examined [4] 8/12 24/7 88/3 | facing [3] $96 / 1896 / 19146 / 12$ |
| 120/23 130/10 141/5 145/12 | 110/24 | fact [23] 41/3 42/20 47/17 47/19 |
| ensure [4] 15/21 115/15 115/17 |  | 64/20 65/22 66/23 77/21 84/9 |
| $115 / 18$ | exception [4] 24/10 25/19 25/20 | 86/19 88/19 97/2 99/2 100/8 |
| enter [1] | 27/23 | 103/11 103/11 104/5 109/8 116/10 |
| entertain [3] 8/2 34/22 52/8 |  | 123/6 123/22 127/12 129/9 |
| entertained [1] 34/23 | $21 / 25$ 69/20 70/20 92/21 | factor [2] 110/8 140/16 |
| entire [3] 19/15 83/10118/2 | excluded [5] 81/20 81/21 82/9 | factors [2] 107/23 147/22 |
| entirely [2] 24/12 142/13 | $83 / 2184 / 17$ | facts [6] 15/12 $15 / 17$ 15/20 29/21 |
| entities [1] 149/17 | exclusion [1] 65/13 | $48 / 2 \quad 147 / 25$ |
| entitled [10] 15/9 26/24 27/12 | exculpatory [9] 78/8 80/10 81 | factual [1] 16/6 |
| 68/14 68/17 68/19 73/14 73/20 | 81/11 81/12 81/17 82/12 82/20 | failed [1] 21/21 |
| 97/16 102/9 | 82/23 | fair[16] 20/17 36/18 39/5 42/16 |
| entrance [1] | excuse [3] 76/20 83/3 109/8 | 45/9 45/12 45/14 47/25 53/6 |
| environment [2] 23/10 40/23 | exercise [1] 121/20 | 53/22 54/8 72/5 100/15 101/17 |
| equal [1] 147/23 | exercised [1] 129/15 | 102/6 108/4 |
| equipment [4] 50/21 61/3 61/4 121/20 | exhausted [1] 52/19 exhaustive [1] 139/2 | fairly [3] 16/9 16/11 105/12 fairness [2] 39/4 56/8 |
| equipped [1] 43/ | exhibit [24] 11/8 11/10 | FALLON [7] 1/13 3/9 59/17 82/1 |
| erase [1] 98/25 | 60/19 60/24 62/23 79/3 79/10 | 88/25 91/8 93/14 |
| Eric [2] 90/16 91/1 | 80/17 81/19 82/25 82/25 83/4 | falls [2] 24/13 107/10 |
| escape [9] 115/20 117/8 117/24 | 84/7 84/15 85/14 88/23 113/17 | false [4] 96/20 96/24 97/3 97/13 |
| 118/3 118/10 119/14119/18135/6 | 113/20113/21 127/18 127/20 | familiar [3] 91/20 101/4 131/7 |
| 141/8 | 127/21 | family [5] 13/24 14/20 49/20 |
| escapes | Exhibit | 142/11 142/16 |
| especially [3] 20/18 22/1 23/10 establish [1] 148/12 | Exhibit 2 [2] 80/17 127/21 exhibits [7] 5/10 12/1872 | far[7] 11/20 29/11 65/15 82/4 101/11 123/23 140/1 |
| established [1] 10/9 | 77/10 78/23 138/19 138/20 | fashion [1] 32/24 |
| etcetera [1] 86/5 <br> even [27] 10/5 19/11 19/16 21/7 | Exhibits 1 [1] 138/19 existed [2] 6/13 17/9 | Fassbender[10] 114/16 122/1 125/20 126/4 126/20 127/23 |


| F | finish [2] 73/9 73/25 | fundamental [1] 15/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fassbender... [4] 128/13 128/15 | fire [1] 86/24 | further [11] 82/1 82/11 86/17 88/6 |
| 130/16 131/14 | firearm [2] 56/2 138/8 | 88/9 97/19 104/17 108/14 130/25 |
| Fassbender's [1] 131/6 | firm [2] 52/17 98/4 | 139/4 147/17 |
| father [5] 125/10 126/3 141/13 | first [24] 4/1 5/24 19/5 21/25 36/1 | Furthermore [1] 12/7 |
| 141/15 141/20 | 37/4 45/3 50/11 54/8 58/7 75/9 | future [1] 68/21 |
| father's [1] 126/16 | 79/3 80/1 82/25 98/18 104/1 | G |
| favor [3] 21/24 110/9 129/15 | 104/3 105/15 110/23 115/21 123/1 |  |
| favorable [2] 39/8 54/2 | 128/8 133/1 138/22 | GAHN [23] 1/15 3/10 4/15 5/13 6/7 |
| favorably [1] 135/23 | fit [1] 98/2 | 17/7 19/19 21/2 23/14 26/18 |
| faxed [1] 5/10 | five [7] 26/3 38/24 46/21 52/3 | 26/23 28/9 33/12 34/10 35/6 |
| FBI [66] 8/17 8/21 19/8 19/21 $21 / 1$ | 52/7 74/15 74/21 | 47/13 50/1 50/3 50/7 56/25 85/3 |
| 29/22 32/11 34/16 40/12 41/17 | fixed [1] 118/22 | 85/6 91/12 |
| 41/20 42/2 42/4 43/12 43/19 | flee [1] 99/17 | Gahn's [1] 18/24 |
| 43/20 43/21 43/23 44/2 44/5 45/4 | floor [1] 147/6 | gain [2] 129/22 129/23 |
| 45/21 46/1 8 47/1 47/1 47/9 47/11 | fly [1] 12/10 | gainsaying [1] 110/6 |
| 47/12 49/15 50/6 50/10 51/16 | flying [1] 11/18 | gamble [3] 20/1 21/12 27/20 |
| 51/22 51/24 52/4 52/15 53/25 | folks [1] 118/21 | gambled [1] 20/1 |
| 54/15 54/23 55/2 57/6 57/6 58/1 | follow [6] 43/23 98/7 101/9 101/12 | gambling [1] 18/18 |
| 58/5 58/14 58/17 58/22 59/8 | 134/21 143/22 | game [4] 18/17 36/11 42/14 |
| 63/23 65/25 68/5 70/2 70/5 79/1 | followed [3] 94/22 97/9 119/9 | 103/25 |
| 80/19 80/24 83/2 83/11 85/22 | following [5] 6/22 28/20 94/9 | games [2] 28/25 29/1 |
| 86/6 87/8 88/13 90/2 92/8 92/11 | 133/12 134/4 | gap [1] 80/22 |
| 149/11 | follows [1] 110/24 | garage [2] 71/7 87/17 |
| FBI's [1] 68/2 | foolish [1] 21/22 | gas [2] 43/25 50/18 |
| fear [1] 99/17 | foot [3] 121/13 121/14 121/ | gather [1] 110/15 |
| fearful [2] 122/15 122/17 | footage [2] 56/10 56/11 | gauge [1] 141/15 |
| fearing [1] 146/18 | forced [3] 31/11 45/10 45/13 | gave [7] 6/6 73/11 73/12 74/25 |
| FEBRUARY [4] 1/8 17/17 22/5 | foregoing [2] 151/7 151/7 | 78/14 78/15 94/16 |
| 151/15 | forensic [1] 68/25 | general [7] 43/4 117/4 119/5 |
| February 6th [1] 17/17 | forgotten [1] 150/7 | 122/10 122/12 123/9 140/7 |
| federal [2] 7/6 107/16 | form [3] 31/7 56/12 104/22 | generally [3] 9/10 104/23 115/13 |
| feel [4] 37/15 49/4 104/21 129/19 | format [1] 94/6 | generated [10] 69/20 70/21 75/18 |
| feeling [2] 108/24 135/21 | former [3] 15/10 24/21 91/4 | 76/2 80/5 83/12 83/17 85/12 86/7 |
| feels [1] 54/13 | forms [1] 94/1 | 91/19 |
| feet [1] 109/1 | forth [2] 71/2 90/2 | geno [1] 83/14 |
| fellow [1] 121/4 | forthcoming [2] 17/10 17/11 | genotype [1] 83/14 |
| felon [2] 56/2 138/7 | fortuitous [1] 51/20 | gentleman [1] 92/6 |
| felt [9] 7/10 7/14 7/15 29/7 29/8 | fortunate [1] 91/11 | gentlemen [1] 14/21 |
| 49/2 130/16 134/3 134/4 | forward [5] 56/1 96/20 99/5 103/2 | gently [1] 116/11 |
| female [1] 133/4 | 103/3 | GERALD [3] 2/3 110/22 111/2 |
| few [4] 16/3 25/16 35/16 54/16 | found [13] 7/21 23/9 35/6 35/7 | germ [1] 94/2 |
| fewer [1] 95/12 | 38/5 46/11 81/16 82/5 119/11 | get [37] $4 / 8$ 12/4 13/25 14/18 |
| figures [1] 130/9 | 119/22 119/24 120/3 128/23 | 19/21 29/1 29/2 41/17 41/21 |
| file [6] 3/21 56/22 65/8 67/22 | founded [1] 15/15 | 41/21 44/14 45/4 45/22 47/9 49/1 |
| 82/10 119/12 | four [6] 3/20 19/8 38/24 45/3 | 49/12 49/14 49/16 49/20 52/15 |
| filed [8] 4/17 4/20 4/25 5/5 6/14 | 51/1752/3 | 52/25 55/9 58/6 59/13 62/9 70/15 |
| 17/5 56/7 $115 / 8$ | Fourteenth [1] 108/3 | 72/13 73/8 74/10 78/10 79/7 |
| files [1] 148/19 | Fox[1] 67/1 | 112/6 116/24 131/4 133/20 141/21 |
| filled [1] 97/22 | fragments [4] 79/15 79/16 81/9 | 150/9 |
| film [1] 57/17 | 86/10 | gets [2] 27/15 104/14 |
| final [5] 3/3 71/22 75/21 77/17 | frame [5] 11/21 30/25 75/21 76/6 | getting [9] 13/6 13/10 31/8 40/10 |
| 149/5 | 115/12 | 52/23 54/13 56/20 72/7 87/5 |
| finally [5] 81/18 83/18 87/1 131/18 | frame-up [1] 11/21 | girlfriend [1] 144/3 |
| 148/4 | frames [2] 75/22 76/6 | give [15] 3/23 6/5 8/16 33/2 42/13 |
| find [12] 9/8 18/8 26/4 32/14 | framework [1] 15/21 | 42/16 42/21 55/4 62/21 66/4 72/5 |
| 34/17 43/3 43/22 45/22 52/1 | frankly [6] 34/15 63/6 64/20 72/8 | 98/22 115/12 125/13 148/25 |
| 56/23 78/13 82/25 | 72/22 88/5 | given [23] 10/12 11/5 13/22 35/25 |
| finding [1] 81/19 | fraud [1] 45/24 | 36/14 36/18 42/14 44/4 51/23 |
| findings [9] 82/2 82/7 84/2 85/19 | free [1] 121/19 | 64/19 65/14 67/5 81/19 101/2 |
| 85/24 101/24 102/3 102/7 108/10 | frequency [1] 118/22 | 104/5 104/7 104/8 109/23 119/19 |
| finds [6] 37/13 51/12 53/2 55/1 | freshly [1] 131/12 | 122/16 128/4 129/12 140/5 |
| 86/1 87/12 | friends [4] 94/13 142/12 142/25 | gives [3] 79/13 104/23 146/9 |
| fine [5] 18/16 33/3 85/1 90/8 | 142/25 | giving [3] 13/17 41/14 106/10 |
| 113/19 | frisk [1] 117/15 | glass [1] 144/13 |
| fingerprint [10] 16/23 16/25 23/17 | front [4] 39/18 48/2 109/21 128/15 | global [1] 123/20 |
| 27/24 37/6 37/8 60/14 60/22 | fruit [1] 95/10 | gloved [1] 58/7 |
| 69/25 70/6 | full [4] 15/20 20/17 22/1 120/24 | Glue [1] 28/2 |
| fingerprinting [5] 57/22 58/8 58/11 58/15 58/22 | fully [2] 54/19 138/24 fuming [1] 58/3 | $\begin{array}{llll} \text { go }[31] & 10 / 3 & 10 / 25 & 11 / 20 \\ 25 / 10 & 18 / 2 \\ 27 / 8 & 33 / 7 & 34 / 17 & 34 / 18 \end{array} 43 / 1$ |
| fingerprints [4] 27/24 45/16 55/16 | function [1] 15/23 | $43 / 349 / 855 / 25$ 57/10 59/20 67/3 69/22 70/16 78/19 96/20 102/23 |
| 60/17 | functionally [3] 53/3 56/4 104/9 | 69/22 70/16 78/19 96/20 102/23 |

go...[10] 103/2 103/2 114/3 121/22 123/24 128/10 132/23 146/3 146/23 148/23
goes [7] 29/11 53/18 56/7 61/1 81/23 139/4 147/5
going [89] 3/1 8 4/4 7/20 8/4 10/23 10/24 10/25 12/23 12/23 13/8 18/11 19/9 19/14 19/21 20/2 20/10 21/24 22/2 22/13 22/14 23/14 23/16 25/13 25/14 26/4 26/9 26/10 31/9 34/17 35/7 35/12 36/24 38/14 38/17 38/25 39/1 40/12 40/16 42/17 44/14 44/22 44/25 47/3 47/10 48/9 49/20 55/10 55/11 58/14 58/1758/18 62/6 62/17 64/18 66/3 68/14 69/4 69/6 70/2 70/12 74/11 75/15 81/5 85/1 85/8 87/6 98/10 99/4 105/8 111/6 113/5 113/6 120/20 127/17 133/14 133/15 133/20 133/21 136/6 144/25 145/1 145/11 148/21 148/22 148/23 149/7 149/10 150/8 150/12
good [15] 3/12 7/14 14/16 14/20 16/22 18/2 22/15 56/9 59/11 100/5 107/15 112/19 113/13 139/1 144/22
gory [1] 95/2
got [10] 20/5 20/6 20/8 78/18
98/24 109/14 112/19 120/24
122/13 138/11
gotten [3] 52/12 81/10 91/5
grab [1] 65/18
Granny [2] 144/1 144/7
grant [5] 6/16 36/25 38/14 55/11 146/6
granted [1] 7/16
granting [1] 42/4
graphic [3] 94/11 94/14 104/8
gravel [1] 76/8
great [1] 140/21
greater [2] 85/15 99/16
gripping [1] 94/16
grounds [2] 53/6 53/22
gruesome [1] 145/11
guard [2] 41/14 120/2
guess [11] 45/13 68/11 74/3 85/17 91/10 92/16 107/5 107/8 108/16 122/4 141/12
guilt [1] 16/7
gun [1] 56/3
H
habit [2] 17/12 119/8
had [55] 3/17 6/24 7/1 7/10 7/16 9/1 1 12/2 12/2 13/22 17/5 22/4 24/2 24/4 24/16 25/6 25/8 29/3 29/7 33/18 35/21 43/2 46/10 48/5 51/6 57/17 69/25 71/13 85/16 86/14 86/23 92/8 95/4 99/3 99/4 103/25 104/13 $114 / 8115 / 6115 / 7$ 118/2 120/11 123/2 124/2 125/1 128/19 133/11 133/12 134/24 135/13 138/7 140/9 144/16 146/5 150/7 151/13
Halbach [10] 13/24 13/25 49/19 81/20 83/20 84/17 94/13 95/8 142/11 142/16
Halbach's [4] 9/20 10/16 13/20 15/2
half [5] 31/1855/24 66/6 69/1

69/2
hallway [1] 144/24
hand [1] 92/8
handcuff [2] 129/11 129/13
handcuffed [1] 129/20
handcuffing [2] 129/16 130/17
handcuffs [1] 129/1
handed [1] 60/9
handle [1] 139/2
handled [1] 60/15
handling [2] 82/17 139/10
happen [4] 118/14 125/12 135/23
147/4
happened [4] 96/14 96/14 120/11 137/6
happens [1] 146/2
happy [7] 72/16 72/20 74/12 77/10
85/5 110/19 138/18
hard [4] 42/6 141/15 141/23 142/3
hardly [1] 103/4
Hares [8] 83/2 83/4 83/19 84/6
84/10 84/16 84/19 85/1
harm [4] 116/8 116/13 116/16 141/9
has [114] 3/22 4/20 4/25 5/14 7/19
8/21 10/3 10/9 13/4 18/17 18/18
20/5 20/14 21/3 21/3 21/4 21/5
21/16 21/19 26/1 26/8 26/18
27/16 30/19 32/19 34/13 37/5
38/2 40/7 43/8 45/10 47/11 47/14
$48 / 248 / 750 / 1850 / 2551 / 1652 / 1$
54/6 54/17 55/18 56/1757/3 60/1
60/15 61/3 64/2 64/21 76/17
$76 / 1878 / 2383 / 1384 / 484 / 5$
85/20 86/14 86/15 88/3 88/23
90/4 90/15 91/11 91/12 91/23
92/10 92/16 94/6 94/7 95/24
96/13 96/19 96/22 97/2 98/17
98/24 99/22 101/1 102/13 102/16
102/20 103/16 104/13 105/23
111/16 112/19 114/4116/11
116/19118/16118/18119/11
119/19 119/19 120/6 120/9 120/15
120/16 122/8 122/16 124/9 128/5
130/2 130/13 132/1 137/24 140/9
140/24 143/4 143/14 146/16 147/3
147/22 151/8
hasn't [9] 35/6 35/20 45/23 107/2
111/24 119/8 120/13 120/13 143/8
have [354]
haven't [14] 19/13 26/20 33/20 $35 / 648 / 552 / 1263 / 4$ 73/16 81/3 104/5 114/18 131/11 134/17143/2
having [13] 16/20 27/18 31/10
60/7 68/5 71/17 97/25 103/17
109/16 110/23 122/18 138/7
144/12
Hazlewood [1] 66/21
he [72] 21/19 21/20 22/3 24/3
27/10 33/13 47/14 50/5 54/7 67/3 71/8 71/15 73/4 75/14 75/14 86/1 8 87/13 88/19 90/14 90/20 91/2 91/11 92/16 107/6 108/6 109/15 111/24 115/18 116/12 116/15 116/24 $118 / 19$ 120/5 120/6 120/9 120/13 120/13 120/15 120/16 121/19 121/20 121/21 124/18 125/1 126/16 128/15 128/17 128/25 129/3 130/9 135/6 135/9 135/10 135/12 136/13 136/15 136/15 136/16 136/17 136/18 136/19 137/25 140/10 140/18 140/19 140/24 143/17
$143 / 17$ 144/5 144/11 146/11
146/18
he's [16] 19/5 34/4 71/1 73/7
74/18 76/11 91/18 91/20 116/21
116/23 120/7 121/15 124/5 139/16 140/15 149/7
hear [6] 19/1 8 48/11 63/8 68/4 70/24 83/23
heard [22] 23/13 26/20 33/9 33/17
33/21 45/2 46/4 48/12 48/16 63/4
65/3 98/24 104/18 108/22 114/6
114/23 114/23 115/21 131/11
131/16 131/18 140/6
hearing [9] 9/7 22/23 30/18 33/21
35/20 41/23 43/8 54/1 71/22
hearings [2] 25/6 25/7
hears [1] 22/16
hearsay[3] 113/6 113/6 113/7
heartily [1] 126/14
help [3] 52/1 127/18 $145 / 3$
helpful [5] 29/19 39/13 63/24
110/4 113/8
helpfully [1] 128/4
helps [2] 44/16 44/17
Hemauer [2] 112/16 112/23
hemoglobin [1] 41/9
her [10] 68/24 90/5 91/4 91/7 92/24 93/2 95/9 96/2 132/2 144/2
here [86] 3/3 4/19 6/11 8/4 10/2
11/18 17/7 18/11 18/16 21/1 25/9 27/13 28/25 29/1 29/21 29/25 30/6 32/21 33/19 38/6 38/20 40/14 40/17 44/7 45/5 45/15 $46 / 1348 / 348 / 450 / 353 / 354 / 14$
55/20 61/20 62/9 62/18 63/25
64/13 65/12 67/25 73/5 75/16 78/18 81/14 82/19 85/11 85/17 85/1 8 88/8 96/13 97/1 97/3 97/14 98/24 104/11 104/13 107/10 107/20 108/7 111/5 111/21 113/7 114/18 116/25 121/25 128/17 130/15 137/18 139/19 140/3 140/13 140/16 141/1 142/11 142/21 143/8 143/25 145/8 145/10 146/25 147/8 149/4 150/7 150/9
150/11 150/12
hereby [1] 151/6
herein [1] 110/23
herself [1] 131/22
hiding [1] $87 / 3$
highly [3] 97/4 97/4 140/19
Highway [1] 133/18
him [26] 3/14 24/3 67/2 67/4 86/16 92/12 99/16 114/5 121/10 121/11 123/16 123/21 124/2 124/3 128/20 128/25 129/1 130/18 130/20 136/21 141/7 145/2 145/3 146/15 146/23 147/4
himself [7] 91/10 94/24 116/8 116/13 116/16 128/18 143/13
hindsight [1] 21/22
hired [1] 65/4
his [43] $17 / 728 / 1639 / 452 / 19$ 53/9 54/5 62/10 71/3 81/7 84/16 84/21 91/2 92/17 93/14 100/23 107/9 108/5 109/8 109/9 109/10 109/13 109/24 111/21 112/14 116/23 119/24 121/7 121/8 122/7 123/23 125/10 126/3 126/5 126/16 127/25 131/4 139/15 139/16 140/24 141/14 141/19 146/17 147/25
history [2] 147/19 148/1

| H | $138 / 24 \text { 139/8 } 139 / 24140 / 13143 / 6$ | inappropriate [3] 135/10 140/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hit [1] 104/1 | 149/4 | inappropriately [1] 143/5 |
| hmm [1] 132/10 | I've [2] 138/11 142/13 | incapacitate [1] 146/23 |
| hold [3] 25/22 106/18 111/25 | idea [6] 18/2 44/3 60/12 62/8 | incapacitated [1] 145/25 |
| holding [1] 26/21 | 74/10 89/3 | incentive [1] 99/17 |
| holds [1] 131/22 | identifiable [1] 60/18 | incident [3] 133/2 136/13 144/17 |
| hole [1] 12/16 | identification [4] 79/14 113/20 | inclined [3] 65/16 116/12 116/15 |
| holiday [1] 29/3 | 127/20 149/13 | include [5] 3/9 71/5 71/6 107/8 |
| home [3] $25 / 15$ 104/1 104/2 | identified [6] 89/2 89/8 89/12 | 145/12 |
| homemade [1] 119/24 | 89/15 89/19 94/15 | included [4] 67/11 102/3 122/5 |
| homicide [1] 138/8 | identify [1] 79/17 | 142/19 |
| Hon [1] 1/9 | if [141] 4/7 4/25 9/19 10/25 11/6 | includes [3] 63/2 73/15 75/12 |
| honestly [1] 143/4 | 13/1 13/11 14/13 15/15 17/5 | including [6] 34/14 57/6 65/21 |
| honor [32] 3/6 4/6 4/7 4/16 5/16 | 18/14 20/9 20/12 22/8 22/15 | 104/25 141/2 147/20 |
| 5/20 5/24 6/9 8/5 13/23 28/10 | 22/21 25/1 25/10 26/13 27/2 27/2 | inconclusive [2] 63/15 81/1 |
| 28/11 30/16 38/15 39/24 47/8 | 27/10 29/23 30/11 30/21 32/15 | inconsistent [1] 103/3 |
| 48/19 50/8 51/2 54/11 61/12 | 33/13 33/16 33/25 34/3 34/25 | increased [1] 99/18 |
| 61/18 62/13 62/25 66/12 70/15 | 35/4 36/16 36/20 38/11 38/17 | incredibly [1] 79/2 |
| 76/17 107/1 120/23 125/24 137/10 | 39/1 1 41/2 42/2 42/5 43/2 43/5 | inculpatory [3] 81/12 81/15 82/23 |
| 150/14 | 43/20 44/19 46/10 46/13 46/16 | indeed [6] 44/4 98/8 110/4 116/18 |
| honorably [1] 142/20 | 47/1 47/10 48/7 48/12 49/2 49/14 | 139/5 141/4 |
| hope [4] 59/8 66/7 119/3 135/1 | 54/15 55/5 55/6 55/6 55/17 59/1 | indefinitely [1] 46/23 |
| hopes [1] 46/24 | 59/1 5 59/18 62/19 63/5 63/9 64/6 | independent [11] 42/25 43/18 |
| hoping [1] 41/20 | 64/13 65/2 66/3 67/20 67/25 68/8 | 43/24 44/1 1 45/8 45/19 45/19 |
| horrifying [1] 94/17 | 68/23 69/2 70/5 71/15 72/1 72/11 | 48/1 52/14 53/4 54/4 |
| hour [5] 17/3 51/13 72/18 73/22 | 73/2 73/21 75/17 76/5 78/18 80/4 | independently [1] 65/20 |
| 73/24 | 80/7 80/11 81/5 81/8 81/14 82/22 | indicated [14] 30/19 32/19 34/21 |
| hours [2] 85/5 116/21 | 84/9 84/25 85/4 88/16 89/15 | 41/25 47/3 54/12 55/13 76/5 |
| house [1] 128/22 | 90/22 91/5 91/5 92/16 93/11 | 76/10 90/5 101/8 108/18 114/22 |
| how [42] 8/9 10/23 10/24 11/20 | 93/12 96/8 97/2 98/5 103/13 | 122/14 |
| 12/13 13/3 16/15 25/5 25/5 25/6 | 104/21 106/15 108/6 108/11 | indicates [4] 9/15 12/20 35/23 |
| 26/24 29/17 31/12 31/12 31/13 | 109/22 113/8 114/3 117/6 117/23 | 90/15 |
| 34/1741/4 41/4 57/11 58/24 59/5 | 118/13 118/21 120/10 120/16 | indicating [2] 5/7 85/18 |
| 61/19 61/19 64/17 64/17 66/11 | 120/24 123/22 124/22 125/1 127/4 | indications [2] 36/3 93/13 |
| 68/18 96/5 109/7 109/9 109/13 | 128/10 129/1 129/19 129/22 | individual [5] 9/12 75/17 104/16 |
| 109/13 109/15 114/12 115/12 | 132/12 132/22 134/23 134/23 | 129/19 129/23 |
| 123/23 132/15 134/17 137/21 | 135/12 136/18 137/20 141/24 | individual's [1] 129/22 |
| 139/2 149/5 149/21 | 141/25 144/6 144/16 146/18 147/4 | individuals [4] 112/25 121/24 |
| however [1] 115/6 | 149/3 149/7 | 123/11 129/13 |
| huge [1] 140/20 | ill [1] 140/15 | inference [1] 107/5 |
| huh [1] 136/6 | ill-behaved [1] 140/15 | inferences [1] 28/7 |
| huh-uh [1] 136/6 | Illinois [3] 107/20 107/21 139/23 | inflammatory [1] 97/4 |
| human [13] 79/23 88/14 88/14 | images [2] 71/16 75/18 | influence [1] 8/20 |
| 88/19 88/19 89/19 89/21 140/22 | imagine [1] 115/23 | inform [1] 42/9 |
| 142/18 149/9 149/9 149/14 149/14 | imagined [1] 94/17 | information [38] 3/19 3/20 4/9 |
| humanity [1] 129/8 | imbarked [1] 67/11 | 4/13 12/18 29/7 35/19 41/15 |
| Hurley [1] 3/15 | immediate [2] 77/1 112/7 | 41/17 47/19 53/12 53/18 67/21 |
| hurt [5] 120/2 121/4 121/11 | immediately [4] 41/18 58/20 82/12 | 68/8 69/5 71/10 71/21 75/2 83/8 |
| 131/13131/13 | 112/11 | 83/15 87/5 87/6 88/2 88/25 94/21 |
| hurtful [1] 39/13 | immunity [2] 102/25 103/14 | 99/20 104/25 105/14 110/17112/6 |
| Hygiene [1] 18/1 | impact [5] 76/7 104/13 136/25 | 112/22 114/21 115/10115/16 |
| hyperbole [1] 142/1 | 147/2 147/3 | 122/1 122/9 122/13 145/19 |
| I | impartial [1] 101/17 | informed [11] 6/23 59/21 115/7 |
| I'm [100] 3/16 5/15 13/24 14/22 | impeachment [2] 24/11 $25 / 19$ imperative [1] 15/22 | lele |
| 17/12 27/25 28/11 36/1 38/13 | implemented [2] 118/16 121/3 | informing [1] 128/18 |
| 41/11 41/22 42/17 44/20 45/16 | implicitly [1] 105/2 | infrared [1] 44/1 |
| 47/3 48/9 51/5 54/18 59/11 60/4 | importance [1] 147/23 | infrequently [1] 145/22 |
| 61/2 61/3 61/10 61/12 61/13 | important[11] 16/8 26/8 29/8 | initial [3] 12/19 63/14 98/23 |
| 61/14 64/12 64/21 65/15 65/15 | 32/21 35/18 36/22 64/7 68/15 | initially [1] 52/4 |
| 67/16 67/16 68/9 69/4 69/6 69/22 | 75/11 122/21 148/2 | inmate [6] 118/4 118/24 121/4 |
| 70/2 70/12 71/14 71/15 72/1 | importantly [2] 13/1 14/1 | 121/9 121/9 124/20 |
| 72/12 72/16 72/20 74/6 75/15 | impossible [3] 20/20 25/16 38/22 | inmates [2] 119/17 124/12 |
| 75/25 76/24 81/11 82/19 83/3 | impressed [1] 139/15 | innings [1] 104/4 |
| 87/1 87/17 87/20 88/5 90/11 91/1 | impression [1] 48/22 | innocence [7] 16/7 63/14 96/13 |
| 91/22 93/11 96/22 97/19 97/21 | imprisonment [4] 96/20 96/25 | 97/15 98/12 100/14 104/11 |
| 100/10 105/9 105/13 108/6 108/8 | 97/3 97/13 | innocent [1] 101/7 |
| 109/21 110/14 110/19 111/6 113/5 | improper [2] 102/12 103/18 | inquired [2] 116/11 147/17 |
| 113/5 113/6 118/9 119/23 120/8 | impulse [1] 44/13 | insinuation [2] 47/16 87/2 |
| 124/7 127/15 127/17 130/24 | in [511] | instance [1] 89/20 |
| $131 / 17131 / 19132 / 14132 / 22$ | inadmissible [3] 95/20 99/10 | instances [1] 72/15 |
| 133/20 135/5 135/11 136/17 138/3 | $99 / 21$ | Instead [1] 27/8 |


|  | 82/22 83/23 85/12 100/11 108/18 | jurors [23] 13/9 20/6 25/15 31/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 108/19 113/8113/18113/18 | 31/10 95/14 95/15 95/16 95/18 |
| instructed [1] 76/18 | 118/22 119/5 123/6 123/12 123/22 | 97/21 97/22 97/25 98/6 101/5 |
| instruction [11] 98/12 98/15 98/22 | 123/25 127/17 129/18 130/24 | 101/7 101/11 104/17 104/20 |
| 100/11 101/19 102/9 102/10 103/7 | 131/9 131/10 139/5 140/5 140/25 | 104/24 105/3 139/8 139/22 139/22 |
| 103/18 104/22 106/11 | 141/23 142/3 142/10 144/9 145/15 | jury [34] 12/8 22/14 22/16 26/11 |
| instructions [6] 98/7 101/9 101/12 | 148/24 149/8 149/19 149/23 | 26/21 27/10 27/25 28/5 35/8 |
| 102/24 104/24 105/3 | item [9] 55/12 69/19 74/17 75/4 | 36/17 36/23 54/7 55/23 56/1 56/5 |
| instrumentation [2] 32/3 32/6 | 80/20 87/15 92/2 93/17 105/4 | 60/10 60/13 68/10 72/23 76/14 |
| instruments [3] 50/18 50/19 50/24 | items [17] 3/25 75/11 80/7 80/22 | 76/21 95/13 96/21 97/17 97/22 |
| insufficient [1] 97/3 | 80/23 81/14 86/4 87/9 87/10 | 99/23 100/6 100/6 101/14 101/17 |
| insulting [1] 132/11 | 87/10 87/23 87/24 87/24 88/12 | 104/12 104/22 106/10 139/7 |
| insure [1] 115/14 | 88/23 89/10 89/11 | just [66] 6/1 6/10 8/12 9/13 12/22 |
| integrity [1] 15/18 | its [26] 7/23 8/1 8/25 12/25 20/14 | 14/1 18/12 23/21 25/4 25/16 |
| intended [5] 60/6 136/25 139/24 | 24/19 32/19 43/20 44/5 53/4 54/7 | 25/25 26/2 26/6 30/9 31/15 33/1 |
| 145/16 146/25 | 55/20 68/6 69/24 73/22 73/23 | 33/6 33/21 38/22 41/3 49/8 56/15 |
| intending [1] 91/6 | 77/3 94/2 94/11 99/1 102/2 | 56/18 57/7 57/20 59/21 61/18 |
| intends [1] 11/8 | 103/23 104/13 107/23 109/23 | 62/25 64/23 65/17 69/9 73/11 |
| intent [1] 12/24 | 110/18 | 74/1 74/19 79/4 79/13 81/7 82/19 |
| intention [3] 13/12 141/8 142/17 | itself [11] 9/20 17/4 44/24 51/12 | 95/17 96/5 97/7 98/15 102/12 |
| interest [4] 37/5 72/6 76/24 87/5 | 53/3 83/18 92/2 92/3 110/9 | 104/12 111/5 115/13 116/5 117/17 |
| interesting [2] 83/1 83/23 | 139/11 140/9 | 123/20 123/21 124/19 126/8 |
| interfere [2] 58/4 58/9 | J | 127/18 129/16 135/19 136/10 |
| interior [1] 71/6 | jail [26] 45/11 109/13 111/14 | 136/23 137/13 142/1 143/8 145/8 |
| interpretation [2] 32/8 40/15 | jail [26] 45/11 109/13 111/14 | 145/25 146/20 147/8 149/8 149/20 |
| interpreting [1] 63/24 | 111/17112/4112/8112/8112/11 | justice [4] 3/10 15/10 15/14 15/22 |
| interrupt [1] 74/6 | 112/20 112/25 115/17115/20 | justify [2] 108/1 139/20 |
| intervention [1] 51/21 | 116/3116/4 117/1 117/7117/9 | K |
| interviewed [1] 121/25 | 118/4 118/19 120/7 121/20 124/9 | K |
| into [28] 11/9 12/7 12/15 12/15 | 124/19 124/20 137/1 144/23 | keeper [1] 111/21 |
| 13/2 13/6 13/10 13/13 14/18 | jailers [2] 118/23 138/1 | Ken [1] 3/7 |
| 21/10 31/3 31/8 32/23 41/7 45/22 | jails [1] 138/25 | KENNETH [1] 1/11 |
| 52/25 72/13 72/23 76/11 100/21 | January [19] 6/14 6/19 6/22 19/3 | kept [3] 26/9 26/10 120/16 |
| 101/13 104/18 107/24 129/10 | 19/19 19/24 28/15 29/6 63/11 | key [1] 12/2 |
| 130/5 133/20 141/21 145/11 | 63/12 65/21 73/13 73/20 79/4 | kidnapped [1] 95/8 |
| introduce [1] 93/2 | 79/5 83/5 107/4 113/14 113/22 | kidnapping [3] 96/19 98/19 103/10 |
| Investigation [2] 7/7 14/4 | January 10th [3] 6/22 19/19 19/24 | kidneys [1] 145/15 |
| investigator [7] 62/10 125/17 | January 12th [1] 79/4 | kind [10] 14/21 39/15 49/25 71/1 |
| 126/7 128/17 128/24 130/15 | January 17th [1] 83/5 | 90/21 116/25 120/23 143/7 147/14 |
| 144/15 | January 28 [1] 113/22 | 147/15 |
| investigators [8] 114/8 114/15 | January 29 [2] 73/13 73/20 | kinds [1] 100/2 |
| 114/22 115/4 122/2 122/17 122/24 | January 2nd [2] 28/15 63/11 | knew [8] 18/4 22/2 22/3 28/12 |
| 124/23 | January 3rd [1] 6/14 | 28/14 29/12 29/17 42/8 |
| inviolate [1] 66/6 | January 4 [1] 65/21 | knocked [1] 147/6 |
| invisible [1] 28/3 | January 4th [2] 19/3 63/12 | know [102] 8/10 9/8 14/1 14/5 |
| invited [1] 102/3 | January 9th [1] 6/19 | 17/25 25/23 29/15 30/14 30/24 |
| involuntarily [2] 145/22 145/24 | jeopardize [2] 27/18 115/20 | $31 / 22$ 32/9 33/24 33/25 34/1 34/3 |
| involved [4] 6/24 19/20 58/19 | JEROME [2] 1/19 3/13 | 39/9 40/8 40/9 41/3 41/20 41/22 |
| 127/15 | Jerry [1] 107/4 | 41/24 47/16 47/24 48/7 48/20 |
| irreversible [1] 41/8 | job [1] 112/7 | 49/7 49/7 51/2 55/17 55/24 57/15 |
| is [423] | Jodi [4] 132/21 $133 / 1 \begin{array}{lllll} & 133 / 5 & 135 / 7\end{array}$ | 58/10 58/23 60/1 61/4 62/10 63/5 |
| isn't [8] 30/1 38/9 51/8 52 | join [1] 49/21 | 63/7 64/21 65/15 66/1 66/5 66/12 |
| 97/12 97/14 124/15 129/11 | joined [2] 44/20 66/22 | 67/24 69/4 70/4 73/16 73/21 |
| isolation [1] 66/10 | jointly [1] 65/6 | 78/18 80/9 81/2 81/6 85/6 88/16 |
| issue [21] $7 / 13$ 22/3 25/8 34/24 | jolt [2] 145/14 145/25 | 88/18 89/23 89/23 91/3 96/3 |
| 40/5 53/8 67/19 73/2 73/25 93/25 | judge [41] 1/10 3/16 16/17 16/19 | 105/21 110/8 111/5 113/9 114/11 |
| 106/18 106/20 108/18 108/25 | 18/11 18/12 33/6 48/14 56/18 | 116/2 116/4 116/4 116/19119/4 |
| 109/12 146/4 146/7 147/24 148/16 | 59/6 64/12 66/20 67/1 69/17 | 119/11 119/12 121/17 123/18 |
| 149/14 149/18 | 70/18 70/22 71/17 72/16 74/7 | 123/22 123/23 123/23 123/24 |
| issues [7] 10/3 40/9 40/14 72/7 | 75/6 76/5 77/2 77/10 78/4 78/9 | 124/2 125/13 125/16 125/19 127/4 |
| 74/3 109/19 110/3 | 78/22 88/10 90/19 91/22 99/12 | 128/3 130/9 130/15 130/23 132/14 |
| it [308] | 100/18 101/5 102/22 103/8 103/20 | 134/2 134/2 139/13 140/1 141/19 |
| it's [86] 8/9 9/10 10/21 21/12 | 108/23 109/17 113/23 138/12 | 141/25 143/2 143/5 143/25 144/9 |
| 22/14 23/11 23/16 24/8 25/16 | 147/13 148/15 | 144/16 144/17 148/6 149/7 |
| 25/16 25/25 26/6 26/8 29/23 | judgment [2] 129/9 130/11 | knowing [2] 68/18 86/14 |
| 30/20 32/23 34/7 35/16 35/17 | judgments [1] 15/15 | knowledge [7] 35/21 42/15 65/17 |
| 36/17 36/22 37/17 37/22 38/9 | judicial [6] 15/18 102/15 102/18 | 70/4 102/16 112/1 151/14 |
| 38/22 39/22 40/12 42/6 42/16 | 103/23 147/18 148/18 | known [4] 28/17 48/10 54/17 |
| 44/15 44/16 45/1 45/3 46/16 | July [6] 28/13 28/14 35/22 40/1 | 130/8 |
| 50/12 54/13 55/5 55/22 56/24 | 42/8 54/18 | knows [8] 14/22 34/11 34/11 |
| 60/9 62/18 64/22 65/14 66/25 | jurisdictional [2] 4/10 67/2 | 38/11 43/7 88/16 130/10 144/11 |
| 67/9 67/15 67/21 69/24 72/8 | juror [5] 95/25 96/4 96/9 98/2 | Konkol [7] 10/20 23/20 23/21 24/7 |
| 75/16 75/22 77/2 81/2 81/11 | $150 / 10$ | $24 / 940 / 393 / 3$ |
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117/17 118/19 123/20 140/23 148/19 151/7 151/13
matters [3] 13/7 70/24 82/1
may[51] 3/23 4/7 5/22 6/7 17/6 32/16 37/15 37/24 38/3 39/7 45/1 8 46/17 46/24 47/6 47/6 $55 / 19$ 56/22 58/4 58/5 59/18 60/1 8 61/1 8 62/20 66/2 67/3
70/16 72/17 75/14 75/14 76/5 92/6 92/8 93/14 94/25 96/9 99/4 103/1 104/18 $111 / 8$ 117/7 124/2 129/24 135/4 136/17 138/13 138/24 139/8 145/9 149/14 149/22 149/23
May 13 [1] 94/25
maybe [10] 33/22 46/19 46/20
46/20 46/21 64/12 71/20 106/19
maybe... [2] 130/2 132/23
McCurdy [3] 85/17 86/1 86/12
McCurdy's [1] 85/19
me [47] 11/17 16/20 17/14 23/21
42/6 46/17 52/1 52/11 54/16
57/20 71/15 72/11 73/6 74/20
74/25 76/20 78/14 83/3 84/12
84/20 85/6 88/20 91/12 92/10
102/22 103/8 109/8 109/15 109/21
113/9 114/8 114/22 115/6115/9
115/12 115/13119/19119/20
123/24 125/23 129/7 132/23
137/24 147/3 147/14 151/8 151/9
mean [11] 50/16 59/8 82/3 85/4
119/16 120/20 127/9 127/9 136/19
149/16 149/19
meaning [1] 115/18
meaningful [2] 37/15 38/3
means [3] 45/6 83/25 105/21
meant [1] 141/25
measure [3] 107/12 121/2 124/15
measurements [2] 90/21 91/25
measures [2] 107/7 117/8
media [3] 14/12 47/21 97/10
meet [9] 10/2 10/21 16/9 16/11
30/17 44/11 48/5 53/25 65/20
meeting [1] 127/24
Meghan [2] $41 / 5$ 68/25
members [1] 98/5
memoranda [2] 73/11 73/14
memorandum [1] 73/20
men [4] 14/21 14/21 127/6 141/16
menacing [1] 140/14
mentioned [5] 72/20 76/13 77/5
114/24 115/6
mere [1] 9/13
message [3] 98/16 98/18 98/23
messages [2] 54/13 56/20
met [1] 132/7
method [2] 83/21 83/24
methodology [1] 71/3
methods [1] 70/11
microphones [1] 104/7
middle [5] 25/3 25/23 51/20
125/16 130/25
might [17] 18/1 33/22 52/24 54/23
68/5 112/10 $116 / 8$ 117/25 119/17
120/2 120/24 121/4 121/10 130/8
137/21 140/3 143/21
Migliorino [3] 15/5 26/23 68/12
Milwaukee [4] 3/11 8/21 51/22

## 70/16

mind [3] 95/4 95/21 100/5
minded [1] 98/6
minds [1] 84/1
mine [1] 78/13
minimum [3] 4/23 110/2 110/10
minister [4] 131/23 132/2 132/20
136/5
minister's [1] 136/5
ministers [5] 132/9 132/17 133/5
135/15 144/13
minute [7] 6/1 $13 / 21$ 23/21 48/9
74/21 142/21 148/22
minutes [2] 74/15 97/8
mirror [1] 71/19
missed [1] 106/19
missing [2] 55/23 80/22
mistrial [5] 27/18 39/19 146/2 146/4 146/7
misunderstanding [2] 64/13
$149 / 22$
mitochondrial [7] 80/1 80/12
86/11 89/4 90/3 149/12 149/13
Mm [1] 132/10
Mm-hmm [1] 132/10
mob [1] 142/9
model [3] 73/14 73/20 75/9
modeling [1] 77/4
models [3] 71/5 71/6 71/11
moment [6] 5/24 40/24 41/16
57/20 59/18 108/15
Monday [21] 3/21 20/9 20/9 43/3
43/6 49/14 52/7 59/2 59/3 61/6
61/1462/18 62/21 69/12 69/14
72/8 72/24 96/12 97/20 101/10
150/7
money [2] 50/14 66/7
month [1] 19/3
months [24] 17/9 19/8 19/9 22/24 25/16 34/5 35/4 39/16 45/4 45/12 51/17 52/3 53/7 54/16 85/13 95/5 95/5 95/10 96/17 97/9 99/1
101/23 102/7 102/8
$\operatorname{mood}[1] \quad 144 / 19$
more [25] 13/1 16/20 28/2 31/14
42/21 45/2 55/21 66/13 69/4
79/21 82/4 84/8 91/20 96/9 97/8
97/17 98/15 98/17 98/20 122/9
129/9 129/14 142/25 145/10 148/2
morning [2] 61/6 86/13
most [15] 32/21 53/16 71/14 71/16
73/18 73/18 75/23 76/7 81/9 95/1
110/6 112/10 112/11 112/22
120/17
motion [63] $4 / 24 / 176 / 146 / 19$ 6/21 7/1711/2 12/19 16/21 17/5 17/10 19/2 19/23 19/24 20/12 20/16 24/14 24/15 27/22 29/6 29/24 36/25 38/14 42/19 44/10 $44 / 20$ 46/1 46/9 48/17 52/9 54/9 55/1 1 56/6 63/7 69/20 70/20 78/6 88/6 88/1 1 93/9 93/1 8 93/21
93/23 96/24 101/22 105/1 105/4
105/8 105/15 106/16 106/25
108/19 $110 / 4115 / 7$ 122/6 122/7
136/25 137/5 139/4 144/11 145/13
147/21 148/23
motions [1] 17/11
move [17] 19/4 27/21 32/13 70/19
72/23 74/2 74/16 75/3 77/11
77/13 78/5 92/20 97/24 100/3
106/24 120/25 138/19
moved [2] 93/20 99/14
movement [1] 139/3
moves [2] 38/18 84/14
moving [7] 39/18 56/11 57/18
61/13 71/16 74/10 97/20
Mr[5] 56/25 85/6 91/22 105/5
131/11
Mr. [182]
Mr. Adrian [4] 91/16 91/17 91/21 92/15
Mr. Adrian's [1] 92/1
Mr. Allen [1] 126/13
Mr. Austin [3] 75/19 76/25 77/8
Mr. Austin's [1] 71/18
Mr. Avery [61] 21/19 28/14 34/4 45/10 52/6 54/5 84/14 95/21 96/18 97/15 100/8 100/13 101/7
109/2 111/16114/4 114/7 114/12
114/24 115/19116/8 116/11
116/19 117/13117/18118/17
119/9 121/4 122/19 123/20 124/8

124/16 125/10 126/2 127/13
127/24 128/23 131/3 131/24
133/10 133/15 133/21 133/24
134/10 134/14 134/24 135/3 135/6
136/7 136/12 137/3 138/5 139/10
140/10 141/4 142/19 144/5 144/16 144/21 145/2 148/3
Mr. Avery's [9] $52 / 18$ 53/8 1 19/1
119/22 124/24 144/3 144/10 147/19 148/20
Mr. Buting [7] 16/18 56/7 78/8
93/8 102/20 149/6 150/1
Mr. Buting's [2] 84/13 90/14
Mr. Byrnes [1] 116/17
Mr. Dassey [3] 94/24 103/5 103/12
Mr. Dassey's [2] 94/22 103/3
Mr. Fallon [5] 59/17 82/14 88/25 91/8 93/14
Mr. Fassbender [6] 122/1 125/20
126/20 128/13 130/16 131/14
Mr. Fassbender's [1] 131/6
Mr. Gahn [19] 4/15 5/13 6/7 17/7
19/19 21/2 23/14 26/18 26/23
28/9 33/12 34/10 35/6 47/13 50/1
50/3 50/7 85/3 91/12
Mr. Gahn's [1] 18/24
Mr. Hares [4] 83/19 84/6 84/10 84/19
Mr. Kratz [8] 73/1 73/11 74/1
91/20 100/19 105/13 137/11 150/5
Mr. Kratz's [2] 95/25 122/6
Mr. Les [1] 85/17
Mr. McCurdy [2] 86/1 86/12
Mr. McCurdy's [1] 85/19
Mr. Pagel [1] 147/14
Mr. Smith [2] 91/16 92/10
Mr. Strang [25] 3/22 42/18 49/23
66/15 71/13 71/21 72/3 72/11
72/25 74/6 75/13 77/12 93/22
100/21 100/23 101/23 103/21
106/25 108/14 108/17 109/22
138/15 138/21 147/15 147/22
Mr. Strang's [3] 72/10 101/18 109/5
Mr. Wiegert [6] 122/1 $125 / 20$ 126/8 126/9 126/20 131/13
Ms [1] 94/13
much [20] 13/3 31/12 31/12 31/14
33/2 33/4 34/3 41/4 41/4 49/15
$50 / 955 / 375 / 23$ 83/14 83/22
100/7 101/1 136/1 136/2 141/23
murder [1] 143/6
murdering [1] 95/8
muscular [1] 140/19
must [8] 32/24 32/24 70/15 72/15 72/15 80/8 82/23 87/2
my [42] 9/4 17/2 20/12 20/16
49/13 50/21 51/1 52/17 54/9 63/10 63/21 66/17 72/9 74/16 85/2 85/3 85/18 88/11 88/17 92/25 96/24 98/14 100/11 102/16 104/1 105/1 105/19 106/16 109/15 110/4 120/21 128/4 136/24 137/5 141/20 145/12 147/4 147/6 149/25 149/25 151/9 151/13
myself [2] 141/19 143/19
mysterious [1] 87/12
N
nail [1] 119/12
name [3] 68/24 111/1 111/1
named [1] 90/18
namely [1] 7/6
names [1] 14/16
narrative [2] 94/16 94/17
narrower [1] 139/6
nature [5] 29/17 41/8 123/9
125/19 144/21
near [1] 139/19
nearby [1] 134/1
necessarily [4] 45/7 72/14 101/19 108/18
necessary [5] 76/12 96/8 108/2
109/3 139/20
necessitate [1] 102/24
necessitates [1] 16/14
necessity [3] 45/5 107/12 108/11
need [16] 15/12 20/17 51/17 61/1 69/23 71/23 72/8 73/3 81/6
104/11 104/21 110/3 110/11
117/21 138/17147/17
needed [2] 15/24 52/4
needle [1] 13/5
needs [4] 17/15 97/16 116/24
129/19
neighboring [1] 107/20
neither [2] 51/24 77/16
neutral [1] 140/17
never [8] 38/12 47/6 52/1 59/9
67/9 80/25 117/13 117/21
nevertheless [1] 140/1
new [9] 52/1 53/12 53/17 73/10 73/14 73/15 73/20 99/19 100/3 news [12] 14/12 22/9 22/9 94/3 94/6 94/7 94/10 94/14 97/5 97/7 104/5 104/9
newspaper [1] 51/3
next [13] 38/24 56/8 60/11 69/19 74/17 75/4 77/11 78/5 85/4 93/17 105/4 105/10 148/25
nine [2] $47 / 1780 / 23$
Nixon [3] 16/1 26/25 27/1
no [98] $1 / 5$ 3/2 16/24 17/10 17/10 19/2 19/24 20/1 21/16 23/4 23/12 23/14 24/16 24/17 25/13 25/20 26/12 26/19 27/23 28/25 33/16 34/2 34/11 34/15 38/2 39/5 40/16 41/25 42/1 44/3 44/6 45/3 46/17 47/11 50/8 50/14 51/23 53/18 54/11 56/23 57/3 57/5 62/8 64/24 64/24 65/23 66/18 68/3 78/21 81/24 82/1 83/4 84/8 86/10 86/1 8 86/22 90/18 92/1 92/16 98/13 102/17 110/6 110/16 114/11 114/14 114/21 115/13 117/14 117/22 118/11118/12118/16 118/18 119/7119/15 119/19 119/23 120/1 120/15 121/5 121/21 124/7 124/17 127/20 131/10 131/15 134/7 134/9 135/3 135/9 135/18 $135 / 18$ 136/2 $136 / 15$ 139/4 141/3 145/1 148/17
No. [4] 73/19 82/25 82/25 87/16
No. 1 [2] $82 / 2582 / 25$
No. 3 [1] 73/19
No. 9597 [1] 87/16
nobody [3] 42/5 57/10 144/3
non [5] 88/14 124/8 124/10 124/11 149/14
non-contact [2] 124/8 124/11
non-human [2] 88/14 149/14
none [4] 14/19 35/7 44/9 89/7
nonetheless [1] 85/25
nor [2] 50/11 61/14

Norm [3] 3/10 17/21 18/1
normal [2] 51/9 51/9
normally [1] 50/11
NORMAN [1] $1 / 15$
North [7] 12/12 30/7 43/12 45/25
52/23 63/3 65/8
not [252]
note [5] 62/13 72/21 73/10 77/14 147/21
noted [2] 8/1 80/17
notes [2] 66/14 151/9
nothing [17] 16/20 35/10 35/11
45/2 79/14 79/19 79/24 81/15
92/19 120/3 136/24 140/7 140/14
141/10 142/15 143/10 144/5
Nothing's [1] 119/22
notice [4] 35/25 70/9 147/19
148/1 8
noticed [1] 133/11
notified [4] $6 / 12 \quad 17 / 8 \quad 18 / 25 \quad 35 / 23$
noting [2] 142/11 144/9
notion [2] 101/13 103/22
notoriety [1] 121/7
November [8] 79/12 111/10 111/17
127/13 127/24 138/5 140/12 141/6
November 5[1] 111/10
November 8 [1] 79/12
November 9 [4] 111/17 127/13 127/24 141/6
now [74] 5/21 9/8 10/19 11/24
12/4 12/9 12/12 13/6 13/10 18/21 19/5 19/17 19/18 19/21 21/9
21/12 21/15 22/1 23/20 25/1 25/2 27/17 30/24 31/8 31/21 32/7
$32 / 1032 / 1532 / 1734 / 834 / 16$
38/23 39/7 40/10 40/18 43/2 43/14 46/19 46/22 48/11 48/24 51/18 51/19 53/16 55/24 57/7 60/9 60/20 65/7 66/25 71/19 72/16 75/3 83/6 88/22 95/22 96/3 96/7 96/17 97/18 99/1 99/8 99/16 99/22 100/25 101/1 102/8 104/2 105/13 105/22 113/22 129/7 140/12 141/12
nuance [1] 102/25
number [12] 3/25 27/6 27/11
38/24 63/22 97/20 97/25 116/12
125/6 128/5 130/4 140/13
numbers [2] 79/14 87/11
nurse [1] 12/14
NW [1] 15/5
0
O.J [1] 19/14
oath [1] 16/13
object [10] 16/22 17/2 21/18 24/17 24/17 48/4 53/5 60/14 67/8 67/10 objected [1] 24/5
objection [10] 16/24 27/23 60/20
63/6 71/14 71/16 75/13 106/9
138/20 148/18
objections [2] 4/10 4/12
obligation [1] 82/7
observe [1] 140/10
obtain [2] 43/20 43/21
obvious [3] 35/16 60/16 81/2
obviously [8] 41/16 76/12 76/23
80/11 81/6 100/25 126/21 147/24
occasion [1] 50/15
occasional [1] 119/8
occasions[1] 116/12
occurred [2] 86/18 94/18
October [1] 77/23
off [14] 8/6 12/23 25/22 32/11
40/12 41/14 117/11 126/5 126/5
131/3 135/16 146/3 146/23 147/5
offender [1] 143/13
offenders [1] 143/11
offense [2] 138/6 147/25
offer [7] 72/18 83/8 87/6 105/23
106/1 127/17 149/17
offered [9] 7/3 21/3 27/11 42/20
60/13 83/24 84/10 85/8 92/18
offering [2] 44/12 92/23
office [21] 3/1 1 4/19 6/13 6/25 7/1
12/1 12/2 16/13 17/1 19/2 19/21
28/23 35/22 37/25 57/17 61/22
61/24 64/4 64/10 104/6 109/15
officer [6] 123/10 128/14 130/9
130/9 140/22 143/20
officers [15] 6/23 11/16 11/22
13/19 14/9 16/12 22/8 33/12
58/19 112/7 117/16 127/2 127/13
129/8 134/3
Official [3] 1/25 151/4 151/19
officially [1] 19/1
often [4] 109/16 129/9 129/14 132/2
oh [5] 19/19 31/20 105/9 132/23 136/19
Ohio [1] 146/4
okay [55] 69/8 69/17 80/13 80/14
84/22 89/13 89/18 106/23 108/17 112/16 113/1 113/13 114/18115/3 115/11 116/1 116/18116/24118/2 118/16 119/4 120/4 121/2 121/13
121/19 122/8 122/12 122/20 124/5
126/1 126/15 127/12 128/2 128/7
128/9 128/11 128/21 129/6 131/2
131/5 131/5 131/21 132/14 132/22
132/23 132/25 133/17 133/24
134/10 135/6 135/19 136/9 137/5
137/8 138/14
Oklahoma [2] 52/10 52/21
old [3] 132/12 132/15 148/2 on [208]
once [12] $31 / 331 / 1732 / 2240 / 11$
45/1752/15 59/13 82/18 98/15 98/17 98/20 148/14
one [89] 4/1 5/21 6/6 6/6 12/5
16/10 17/6 17/14 17/16 18/4
19/17 21/23 22/25 24/2 24/4
24/20 24/25 25/8 25/12 27/22 $31 / 1832 / 1433 / 1434 / 434 / 13$ 34/17 35/12 40/16 41/25 42/1 43/1 1 46/19 46/20 48/20 49/25 50/4 51/4 55/12 56/19 57/2 58/19 62/9 64/5 65/23 66/13 68/24 69/1 69/2 69/3 70/5 77/15 77/20 78/10 78/19 78/20 79/3 79/21 80/16 85/15 87/20 88/10 88/17 90/20 90/20 91/11 92/5 92/7 95/24 96/9 100/11 102/16 105/10 105/10
106/21 110/7 $113 / 3115 / 3116 / 7$ 116/18 127/23 131/20 134/11 143/15 143/15 143/21 144/9 145/1 145/8 149/4
one's [1] 142/3
ones [3] 79/2 81/7 82/10
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { only [41] } & 13 / 15 & 21 / 15 & 24 / 2 & 24 / 4\end{array}$ 26/7 32/8 36/17 38/10 39/11 39/14 43/10 43/15 43/1747/12 56/5 57/6 65/25 71/18 74/15 $77 / 1481 / 1983 / 684 / 984 / 24$ 87/12 99/9 99/21 104/11 109/5
112/8 137/6 137/21 141/11 143/12
only...[7] 143/15 147/1 147/10 147/19 149/10 149/11 149/16
open [3] 62/1 63/17 98/6
open-minded [1] 98/6
opening [3] 17/3 62/4 99/24
operation [1] 49/16
operational [1] 112/3
opining [1] 33/20
opinion [11] 72/11 81/24 84/10 84/16 84/24 89/24 90/9 96/6 104/14 108/25 149/10
opinions[11] 82/1 84/6 84/9 85/6 85/7 90/1 95/14 96/2 98/1 104/17 149/8
opportunity [30] 10/12 11/5 13/17 13/21 13/22 $14 / 25$ 16/9 16/15 20/22 31/15 32/25 36/14 36/19 37/16 42/13 42/22 42/25 45/8 45/21 48/1 53/24 53/24 55/5 55/8 60/23 68/3 104/16 105/24 131/12
140/10
opposed [3] 43/18 61/4 142/1
options [1] 66/1
or [246]
oral [1] 85/23
order [29] 6/18 $11 / 14$ 12/11 19/16
36/15 37/8 57/25 58/12 58/25
62/24 63/1 65/7 66/3 66/5 66/16
66/20 67/1 67/19 68/8 69/18
69/24 70/13 70/13 77/24 83/3
93/12 93/12 148/12 149/7
ordered [1] 65/15
ordering [1] 67/22
ordinarily [1] 126/23
organization [1] 47/12
organizations [1] 47/22
original [5] 3/21 3/24 5/5 63/9 78/18
originally [3] 8/15 55/13 87/19
other [67] 8/7 14/9 16/23 20/13
23/8 24/2 24/22 24/25 25/12
25/25 27/5 33/14 34/11 34/12
35/13 37/14 41/1 41/6 42/2 43/12 44/8 46/17 46/18 50/6 50/17 54/14 55/5 57/2 57/5 59/9 59/15 60/20 70/5 72/7 74/3 77/20 79/15 79/25 81/4 84/16 85/14 89/11
90/14 92/2 96/25 97/7 100/12
102/4 107/15 109/10 112/25 115/4
116/18 119/21 121/9 122/2 122/6
124/12 138/1 138/1 138/15 142/17
143/11 144/4 145/20 147/21
148/23
others [4] 89/19 110/10 141/10 142/10
otherwise [5] 4/10 26/11 27/17 38/20 60/18
ought [2] 107/7 142/6
our [29] 3/17 4/17 6/14 6/19 6/21
7/17 11/2 11/4 20/25 31/22 47/18 54/4 56/13 58/19 60/19 61/20 62/9 66/1 72/19 72/21 77/6 77/11 104/6 106/7 106/15 120/5 147/20 149/1 149/5
ourselves [1] 106/3
out [36] 9/5 12/15 13/11 17/7
18/19 20/11 21/2 25/4 25/17
26/23 31/15 34/4 44/15 44/15 52/18 58/6 61/11 62/3 88/12 92/5 94/3 94/23 97/18 97/22 98/13 100/8 105/11 114/18116/24 120/6

124/18 128/22 131/22 141/12 144/1 149/5
outside [1] 34/18
over [24] 7/1 10/15 27/19 29/3
35/16 40/13 60/9 60/19 60/19
66/3 66/25 67/3 67/21 80/9 81/4
81/18 82/5 82/7 82/11 83/15
105/10 112/11119/21 146/10
overall [1] 112/3
overlooks [2] 47/17 47/19
overt [1] 135/17
overview [2] 76/1 76/17
overviews [1] 71/8
owe [1] 49/19
OWI [1] 23/23
own [8] 18/24 20/14 20/25 32/15
44/5 68/19 100/12 108/5
P
P-a-g-e-I [1] 111/2
p.m [1] 131/1
packaging [1] 58/18
page [15] $2 / 2 ~ 11 / 7 ~ 20 / 16 ~ 73 / 19 ~$
80/4 81/21 84/13 86/3 88/11
90/13 128/8 128/16 129/5 130/25
136/24
Page 0546 [1] 129/5
PAGEL[15] $2 / 3$ 107/5 107/9 109/18 109/2 1 109/24 109/25 $110 / 13$
110/21 110/22 111/2 113/2 139/14
141/5 147/14
Pagel's [2] 107/6 108/12
painted [1] 14/13
panel [1] 98/5
parade [1] 141/1
paragraph [13] 11/7 18/15 80/4
86/3 86/9 114/3 114/5 121/22
122/10 122/12 125/6 130/25
131/18
Paragraph 1 [2] 114/3 114/5
Paragraph 2 [1] 121/22
Paragraph 3 [1] 122/12
Paragraph 4 [2] 11/7 125/6
Paragraph 5[1] 131/18
parked [1] 134/20
parole [1] 111/25
part [22] 36/3 37/23 46/9 53/13
55/20 63/16 67/15 69/6 69/7
$72 / 18$ 74/10 77/6 94/25 95/23
105/15 105/16 106/16 106/21
120/20 122/7 122/7 135/3
partial [1] 15/16
participate [2] 109/9 109/11
participated [1] 144/16
particular [12] 18/6 32/6 80/16
80/1 8 81/18 91/14 95/3 95/18
98/13 107/14 107/19 149/18
particularly [3] 140/19 141/24
142/4
parties [10] 3/4 30/20 35/17 36/22
37/3 41/23 66/16 67/17 104/15
148/25
Partitioning [1] 44/23
parts [1] 87/15
party [6] 36/24 55/7 60/9 102/16
134/24 143/15
pass [2] 134/19 145/1
passed [1] 105/10
passing [1] 117/18
past [11] 51/17 77/22 79/6 86/16 92/20 124/3 140/24 140/24 141/3 143/6 148/3
pastor [1] 131/23
pastoral [2] 132/1 $132 / 21$
path [1] 22/12
pathologist [1] 76/21
Patrick [1] 1/9
Patrol [1] 70/25
people [18] 12/4 12/13 22/15
22/16 45/24 62/9 94/12 97/22
104/6 117/1 120/25 122/21 123/2
123/18 129/7 140/25 142/19 145/21
people's [1] 17/13
per [5] 75/21 75/22 76/6 76/7 120/19
percent [1] 100/4
perfect [2] 23/17 28/12
performed [1] 74/8
perhaps [10] 12/21 21/22 41/25
49/24 53/10 53/18 58/4 62/18
72/6 74/7
peril [1] 11/1
period [2] 29/3 116/18
permit [1] 36/18
person [11] 1/22 3/13 9/12 109/1
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|  |  | property [3] 71/8 75/10 94/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| plenty [3] $22 / 4$ 40/6 57/16 <br> pocket [1] $52 / 18$ <br> pod [2] $116 / 22120 / 17$ <br> point [37] 18/16 20/6 20/11 21/17 | presented [11] 9/25 21/5 23/24 | ooses [3] 50/5 77/17 106/1 |
|  | 27/7 33/18 35/15 36/23 | position [1] 138/25 |
|  | 8/2 95/2 | secution [4] 15/25 2 |
|  |  |  |
| point [37] 18/16 20/6 20/11 21/17 23/2 25/4 25/17 36/10 39/9 39/15 | presently [2] 50/4 65/2 | rosecution's [1] 109/4 |
| 41/9 42/10 45/17 45/1846/23 | presents [1] 60/2 | utions [1] 143/6 |
| 48/21 54/19 55/17 56/19 64/19 | preservative [1] 17/23 | ecutor [7] 1/11 1/13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 68 / 2068 / 2080 / 1088 / 1194 / 5 \\ & 102 / 6106 / 17110 / 4121 / 7121 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | preserve [5] 4/11 31/18 63/19 66/1 | 14/14 16/9 103/1 |
|  |  | prosecutors [2] |
| $129 / 9138 / 3138 / 18141 / 18148 / 3$$148 / 4149 / 4$ | pr | prospective [4] 95 |
|  |  | 97/21 |
|  | presumably [3] 51 | otect |
|  | 21/23 | rotocol [8] |
| poison [1] 51/4 poisoned [1] 51/4 |  | 47/14 49/15 63/16 83/10 |
| poke [1] 121/10 <br> police [2] 14/6 117/16 | 04/ | protocols [4] 43/21 43/21 52/15 |
| pool [2] 100/6 100/7 |  | prove [10] 23/3 23/4 |
| portion [1] 93/22 | pretty [5] 83/22 100/4 107/13 |  |
|  |  | 66/9 |
| posed [1] 96/23 | pre | proves [2] 22/5 25/12 |
| position [6] 7/15 $11 / 4$ 38/9 47/25 67/25 141/17 | pr | provide [4] 71/11 86/17 86/19 |
|  |  |  |
| positive [1] 140/16possessed [1] 138/7 | previous [4] 16/21 37/17 62/1 | provided [19] 35/19 71/19 81 |
|  | 87/13 | $83 / 1183 / 1484 / 484 / 5$ 85/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { possessed [1] } & 138 / 7 \\ \text { possession [1] } & 56 / 2\end{array}$ | previous | 85/21 85/ |
| possibilities [1] 47/6possibility [3] 17/20 | prime [1] 127/6 | 90/18107/2 107/17 114/22 11 |
|  | principle [1] 30/ | 119/20 |
| 143/10 <br> possible [7] 46/16 55/6 55/6 86/23 <br> 89/5 90/3 90/5 | principles [2] 10/7 | providing |
|  | print [1] 14/12 | 108/10 110/16 |
|  | prior [5] 115/6 | province [1] 103 |
| (ensibly [6] 39/6 41/19 41/2 | 144/11 148/20 | provision [1] 15/7 |
|  | prison [2] 28/16 | proviso [1] 120/24 |
| post [1] 9/7 | prisoner [1] 139/ | prudent [1] 58/5 |
| post-conviction [1] 9/7 | private [2] 18/3 | psychological [4] 146/10 146/17 |
| postpone [3] 25/14 38/19 46/23 postponing [2] $21 / 1834 / 8$ potential [9] $18 / 5$ 38/6 82/12 | probably [20] $24 / 2029 / 1835 / 1$ | 147/2 147/3 |
|  | $50 / 1482 / 865 / 1873 / 2374 / 274 / 1585 / 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { public }[7] \text { 15/19 47/2066/25 67/8 } \\ & 95 / 495 / 6104 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 91/11 98/6 123/3 124/6 139/7 139/22 | 13/16 120/10 121/17 125/4 | publicity [3] 95/17 101/21 121/8 |
|  |  | pull [1] 7/4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { potentially } \\ & \text { pounds [1] } \\ & \text { [1] } \\ & \text { 12 }\end{aligned} 78 / 17$ | pr | pulled [2] 12/ |
|  | probative [2] 7/ | pulling [1] 143/23 |
| power [5] 65/18 95/19 95/20 99/8 99/1 1 | 60/16 96/24 103/24 113/7143/4 | purple [1] 12/16 |
| powerful [1] $7 / 11$powerfully [1]Pr | problems [5] 86/14 120/14 129/2 | purported [2] 94/4 94/19 |
|  | 129/4 145/21 | purpose [3] 16/5 66/19 88/ |
| practical [3] 44/21 74/19 112/6 practically [2] 20/20 111/12 |  | purposes [1] 68/20 |
|  | procedures [1] 83/1 | pursuant [3] 83/15 |
| practice [2] 119/5 137/22 pre [3] $1 / 43 / 3111 / 22$ | 21/20 72/21 | put [19] 8/25 12/13 14/17 25/22 |
| pre-trial [3] 1/4 3/3 111/22 | proceeded [2] 103/10 134/21 | 28/19 31/17 49/25 63/18 |
|  | proceeding [1] 22/9 | 91/691/18115/211/7/23 1/8/15 |
| (ereceding [2] $86 / 9$ 128/16 | proceedings [10] 1/23 35/2 109/ | 121/15 131/12 141/23 142/3 144 |
| lell $\begin{aligned} & \text { preclude [4] 47/4 78/6 102/5 102/5 } \\ & \text { preconceived [1] 101/13 }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Q |
| preface [1] $85 / 18$prefatory [1] $71 / 17$ | 48/1 52/21 52/22 53/6 53/22 | Q-1 [2] 80/20 |
|  | 96/23 100/14 101/14 101/15 109/6 | Q-10 [2] 80/23 81/15 |
| prefer [1] 59/7prejudice [1] 103/18 | $148 / 8$ 148/13 | Q-11[6] 79/18 87/15 |
|  | processed [2] 80/5 | 89/6 89/15 |
| prejudice [1] prejudiced [1] [1] 21/21 | produce [1] 70/12 | Q-12 [2] 79/18 89/15 |
| prejudicial [3] 44/24 97/5 98/25 <br> preliminarily [1] 111/7 <br> preliminary [1] 104/24 | 5] 65/10 66/4 66/15 67/9 | Q-13 [1] 87/2 |
|  | prod | Q-14.8[1] 89/7 |
|  | production [1] | Q-15 [1] 89/7 |
| prepare [9] 39/6 40/9 49/6 49/9 <br> 67/1 69/18 71/1 96/12 149/7 | products [3] 23/10 | Q-2 [2] 80/23 |
|  | professors [1] 29/ | Q-3 [1] 87/71 |
| prepared [7] $3 / 2138 / 167 / 371 / 11$$75 / 883 / 2151 / 8$ | proffer [1] 73/23 | Q-39 [2] 87/24 89/20 |
|  | Pr | Q-45 [2] 79/18 88/24 |
| presence [2] $9 / 14$ 10/8present [18] $7 / 2410 / 1320 / 25$$22 / 2525 / 2127 / 3$$58 / 1962828 / 429 / 9$$52 / 16$$72 / 18$$73 / 5$ | projector [1] 75/5 | ntifying [1] $41 / 3$ |
|  |  | quantitation [2] $51 / 1653 / 5$ |
|  | proof [3] 20/19 72/18 148/10 | quantity [2] $13 / 356 / 10$ |
|  | prond | quarry [1] 79/23 |
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shipped [1] $32 / 12$
shoes [1] 143/20
shorthand [1] 151/10
shot [2] 62/14 87/14
should [25] 8/3 17/22 18/2 21/7
21/20 27/13 34/24 36/14 37/11
53/10 75/24 76/12 76/16 81/17
should...[11] 82/9 82/10 82/11
85/4 91/10 106/8 113/16 138/25
139/1 142/5 147/17
shouldn't [1] 66/9
show [17] 10/16 12/8 12/8 13/18
13/18 15/1 15/1 16/15 22/19
26/15 55/19 56/5 73/5 74/7 78/25 129/8 142/2
showing [6] 56/9 56/11 75/16
75/25 76/24 144/6
shown [3] 29/14 55/22 73/7
shows [1] 22/17
side [9] 20/13 26/1 27/2 27/15
60/20 104/13 130/17 142/23 143/3
sidearm [1] 127/3
sidekick [1] 144/2
sign [1] 67/4
signature [1] 93/16
signed [1] 113/18
significance [5] 37/20 67/17 70/4 84/2 102/18
significant [1] 73/19
similar [3] 90/21 139/20 145/16 similarly [1] 27/13
simple [4] 39/22 82/21 89/14 89/21
simply [13] 9/11 27/19 36/13 38/9
42/12 46/22 47/5 66/23 67/22
83/19 92/7 105/17 106/17
Simpson [1] 19/14
since [19] $5 / 1819 / 1348 / 1054 / 18$
71/18 74/18 74/19 85/13 94/1
102/17 111/10 111/17118/11
121/16 138/3 140/12 141/6 146/21 148/24
sincere [1] 123/11
single [3] $21 / 2$ 22/25 104/5
sit [2] 22/8 $141 / 1$
sitting [6] 34/5 45/11 64/4 142/23
146/18 149/4
situation [4] 9/2 9/24 118/1
130/13
situations [1] 14/18
six[5] 26/3 45/11 52/2 70/23 97/7
Sixth [2] 7/24 108/3
Size [1] 144/19
skeletal [3] 71/10 75/12 77/20
sketchy [1] 79/2
skilled [1] 99/12
slightly [1] 12/22
smattering [1] 107/18
Smith [4] 90/16 91/1 91/16 92/10
smoother [2] 76/9 76/9
smudging [1] 60/17
so [136] 5/21 7/12 12/22 13/10 16/14 16/14 17/14 18/13 19/18
22/8 22/1 1 23/12 26/20 27/21
28/5 28/16 30/10 30/17 32/18
$33 / 434 / 334 / 1736 / 2437 / 1538 / 6$
38/13 41/13 42/2 42/17 44/6
44/14 45/25 46/12 47/3 47/24
49/21 50/10 50/15 51/8 51/11
51/19 52/20 53/2 54/7 55/10
56/13 56/24 57/7 58/8 60/10 61/6 62/15 62/21 64/8 65/6 65/11
65/15 68/6 69/6 69/11 70/12 73/8 73/21 74/1 74/10 74/21 75/17 75/24 79/7 79/18 79/24 80/1 80/9 $80 / 15$ 80/22 81/5 81/8 82/2 82/8 $82 / 2186 / 287 / 388 / 188 / 588 / 8$ 88/16 88/21 89/18 90/8 91/5

92/19 93/5 93/10 93/14 97/12
102/6 103/16 105/25 106/15
107/10 109/16 110/8 110/14
111/21 113/4 119/3 119/4 120/13
121/2 121/18 122/8 123/16 125/1
126/15 127/17 131/3 133/4 134/10
135/1 136/4 136/23 139/8 140/1
140/15 141/23 142/24 144/6
144/14 145/1 145/5 146/24 149/8
149/16 149/19 149/20 150/9
society [1] 40/22
solely [1] 103/14
solid [2] 14/20 22/15
solitary [1] 34/5
solves [1] 103/24
some [80] 8/9 9/1 9/16 16/25
21/21 23/17 25/11 34/22 34/25
35/21 39/12 41/9 44/22 44/25
46/19 46/20 46/23 46/24 51/4
$51 / 653 / 757 / 860 / 160 / 566 / 7$
68/19 68/20 70/6 70/10 71/10
71/20 72/7 72/14 73/4 76/20 80/8
$80 / 11$ 81/23 83/10 98/4 100/12
101/12 101/18 101/20 101/21
102/9 102/9 103/6 104/24 105/12
105/16 105/20 106/2 107/14
108/10 109/2 110/2 110/10 111/9
117/8 118/15 118/22 121/6 121/6
121/9 121/11 122/9 124/2 124/3
132/20 140/6 141/18 141/20
144/15 144/17 $145 / 18$ 148/3 148/8
148/10 148/12
somebody [4] 45/22 52/13 136/5
137/18
somehow [6] 22/9 23/3 23/16
25/12 85/4 102/8
someone [19] 32/10 34/17 40/18
$50 / 2251 / 351 / 3111 / 7113 / 11$
116/20 117/7 117/23 129/10
129/16 130/2 130/8 132/2 135/12 140/20 145/15
something [33] $14 / 1316 / 1318 / 22$ 26/9 26/10 33/18 36/5 50/10 51/5 51/751/8 67/22 68/5 72/12 74/9
75/14 75/25 81/10 82/20 95/12
97/17 98/11 103/15 113/9 118/6
120/2 121/17 130/12 133/8 146/9
146/19 146/19 146/25
sometime [1] 51/19
somewhat [1] 46/13
somewhere [1] 34/19
son [3] 141/16 141/18 142/3
soon [4] $41 / 1841 / 2149 / 1655 / 4$
sorry [12] 3/16 5/15 28/11 69/22
74/6 81/11 87/21 91/22 97/21
105/9 121/16 130/24
sort [13] 14/6 20/20 34/22 34/25
39/12 50/5 52/2 65/18 123/6
123/20 128/4 129/8 136/20
sorts [1] 18/17
sound [1] 50/9
source [2] 84/17 96/2
sourse [1] 81/22
sparkly [1] 76/8
speak [5] 85/15 91/20 105/25
109/10 142/24
speaking [1] 4/4
speaks [1] 8/19
special [8] 1/11 1/13 1/15 3/8 3/9
124/15 126/3 127/22
specially [1] 4/12
specific [12] 45/15 50/2 51/7
78/24 101/24 115/16 116/3 121/9
$125 / 2$ 125/8 125/13 140/8
specifically [3] 24/10 95/25 115/8
specifics [1] 122/4
specify [1] 70/13
specimens [1] 79/9
Spectators [1] 144/25
spectrometry [1] 44/1
speculate [1] 31/11
speculative [1] 15/16
speed [1] 51/22
speedy [1] 53/9
spell [1] 111/1
split [2] 37/12 55/14
splits [1] 55/7
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { spoke [3] } & 115 / 8 & 122 / 24 & 136 / 5\end{array}$
spoken [1] 115/13
spoliation [2] 60/16 60/22
spool [1] 52/13
spot [14] 4/3 56/16 63/2 63/5
63/18 63/19 63/21 66/6 66/11
67/8 67/13 68/24 69/2 69/5
spring [3] 25/2 53/15 94/1
square [1] 150/1
squared [1] 106/22
ss [1] 151/1
Stachowski [4] 132/21 133/1 $133 / 5$
135/7
staff [2] 115/17116/4
stage [1] 42/14
stain [1] 9/11
stains [5] 18/9 23/5 29/16 30/6
35/9
Stamp [1] 128/5
stand [2] 65/16 90/22
standard [5] 10/2 30/5 30/7 30/8
86/6
standardized [2] 36/6 36/7
standards [3] 9/18 9/21 29/25
standing [2] 70/25 110/9
standpoint [2] 70/23 109/4
Stanton [1] 3/15
stapled [1] 113/22
start [11] 13/3 25/2 40/18 40/23
43/2 49/13 52/13 73/3 104/23 150/8 150/9
started [2] 46/15 73/8
starting [4] 43/6 61/5 61/14 110/4
starts [1] 128/16
state [135] $1 / 11 / 31 / 121 / 141 / 16$ $3 / 2$ 3/4 3/6 4/5 5/14 8/2 9/9 10/20 11/9 11/16 15/4 15/6 16/25 17/19 18/18 19/17 20/4 20/11 21/5
22/12 23/22 23/24 26/7 27/19
29/24 35/23 36/1 36/3 36/14
36/1 8 38/2 $139 / 6$ 39/8 39/1 1
39/21 39/23 42/7 42/9 42/13
42/15 42/22 44/17 46/3 46/10 47/4 48/7 48/12 48/12 48/16 50/12 54/10 54/17 54/24 55/25
56/3 61/3 62/24 64/24 64/25 65/6
65/9 65/11 65/12 65/24 66/21
67/10 67/21 68/1 68/13 70/1
$70 / 11$ 70/25 73/8 73/22 75/11
$77 / 1077 / 1778 / 2382 / 1086 / 16$
90/18 92/22 93/1 93/13 93/19
94/3 94/1 5 94/17 95/2 96/19
96/22 97/2 97/23 99/2 99/22
100/17 100/24 101/1 101/20
101/25 102/2 102/14 102/17
102/20 103/9 103/15 103/19
103/23 105/20 106/9 107/2 107/3 107/20 108/1 $108 / 8$ 108/22 108/24 110/7 110/25 128/6 128/8 128/10
state... [8] 138/24 143/12 143/14 147/12 148/1 148/5 151/1 151/5
State's [16] 4/2 16/21 23/8 24/20 36/25 38/14 44/12 46/9 48/5
55/1 66/9 93/6 97/10 100/22
102/10 102/11
stated [1] 16/5
statement [5] 99/24 121/12 123/5 126/15 126/17
statements [9] 94/4 96/1 102/18 103/4 114/4 114/6 114/10 114/13 114/19
states [9] 14/5 15/6 16/1 16/3 26/25 48/25 51/21 51/25 107/16
stating [1] 49/5
statistical [1] 84/11
status [2] 53/9 138/6
statute [4] 15/7 24/7 25/18 82/11
statutorily [1] 111/13
statutory [2] 51/24 82/6
stenographic [1] 151/9
step [1] 100/13
STEVEN [21] 1/6 1/21 3/2 3/12
63/11 94/5 95/6 98/17 121/13
126/11 126/14 126/15 128/18
128/23 $128 / 25129 / 1 \quad 129 / 3130 / 17$ 141/13 142/6 144/8
still [15] 22/24 26/8 31/7 33/9
$57 / 1357 / 1859 / 1160 / 1361 / 2$ 77/16 88/11 88/21 89/5 91/1 143/11
stipulation [2] 66/16 66/21
stop [2] 4/24 84/12
stopped [1] 19/12
stopper [4] 12/13 12/21 13/4 31/8 stops [1] 133/8
STRANG [29] 1/17 2/4 3/14 3/22
42/18 49/23 66/15 71/13 71/21
72/3 72/11 72/25 74/6 75/13
77/12 93/22 100/21 100/23 101/23
103/21 105/6 106/25 108/14
108/17 109/22 138/15 138/21
147/15 147/22
Strang's [3] 72/10 101/18 109/5
strategic [3] 20/4 39/25 57/8
strategy [5] 18/17 21/21 21/22
21/22 22/11
strength [1] 100/22
stretches [1] 9/16
stricken [1] 101/9
strike [3] 97/20 97/24 97/25
strong [4] 98/14 100/10 100/24 147/1
stronger [3] 99/16 100/7 101/1
strongly [1] 11/4
stuff [2] 24/22 140/7
stun [11] 107/8 109/7 137/19
137/25 139/20 141/2 142/5 142/6 144/7 145/3 148/7
styrofoam [3] 57/23 58/21 62/4
submission [1] 77/9
submissions [1] 72/2
submit [2] 93/14 93/15
submittals [1] 89/1
submitted [3] 86/4 86/10 87/9
substance [5] 32/6 50/23 51/10
103/6 114/1
substances [1] 10/8
substantive [1] 104/25
substitute [1] 92/18
succeeding [1] 19/25
such [15] $25 / 2033 / 1033 / 1633 / 17$ $33 / 2234 / 235 / 536 / 2238 / 13$
$46 / 18$ 86/24 107/12 108/1 114/13 118/16
suddenly [3] 25/2 25/10 26/3
suffices [1] 145/10
sufficiency [1] 83/7
sufficient [3] $49 / 182 / 6 \quad 144 / 7$
suggest [8] 38/3 82/22 87/13
102/6 102/22 142/16 143/10
144/20
suggested [3] 57/12 98/12 119/13
suggesting [3] 119/17 132/14
141/8
suggestion [5] 17/23 57/21 61/19
74/16 101/18
suggestions [1] 109/6
suggests [4] 18/1 102/10 107/24
140/7
summary [2] 82/7 92/16
Sunday [1] 61/8
Super [1] 28/2
superglue [1] 58/3
superintend [1] 139/9
supplement [1] 62/16
support [1] 142/2
supporters [1] 142/12
supports [2] 11/4 36/24
suppose [2] 43/1 66/3
supposed [2] 78/19 95/19
supposedly [1] 83/25
Supreme [8] 15/6 15/11 16/4 20/11
102/1 107/21 139/23 146/5
sure [33] 14/22 38/11 41/12 51/5
54/18 59/11 60/4 61/3 61/10 67/17 68/9 71/15 87/14 87/18 91/2 93/11 97/19 108/6 108/8 109/21 $117 / 6117 / 17$ 121/23 123/1 124/2 127/15 129/25 130/6 131/17
131/19 136/19 139/24 149/20
surety [1] 85/16
surprised [1] 36/4
surrebuttal [3] 20/15 20/24 45/18
suspect [4] 28/17 50/13 52/15 68/23
suspected [3] 89/5 90/5 117/24
suspects [2] $27 / 5$ 51/3
SUV [6] 9/20 10/16 29/17 31/14 91/19 91/23
sworn [3] 16/12 110/23 127/2
system [4] 15/13 15/19 15/19 28/16

## T

table [2] 51/15 141/1
Tacitly [1] 42/22
tactical [1] 39/25
$\operatorname{tag}[1] \quad 87 / 11$
tagged [1] 87/19
take [39] $5 / 2310 / 2519 / 825 / 14$
40/5 40/13 40/25 45/13 48/9 56/9
58/20 59/2 59/7 59/24 61/21 69/2
69/12 72/17 73/3 73/21 74/15
75/3 78/13 78/17 82/24 84/18
98/3 100/10 107/24 116/3 116/7
117/3 117/9 121/10 129/10 136/20
147/18 148/21 148/22
taken [11] 16/13 39/16 48/15 64/1
101/13 116/9 130/5 131/4 133/10 135/24 151/9
taking [7] 47/4 62/3 83/3 86/19
110/15 131/11 148/18
talk[5] 11/13 23/21 29/12 75/14
$75 / 20$
talked [6] 32/23 34/1 39/2 40/10 63/23 71/6
talking [11] 11/23 22/6 26/2 72/6 73/24 74/11 119/21 137/5 145/14 146/24 147/10
talks [3] 26/23 27/1 79/8
tampered [2] 11/16 13/4
tape [2] 124/9 144/14
tapes [1] 124/24
team [2] 103/25 104/3
technical [1] 3/19
technique [1] 77/4
telephone [5] 73/9 105/17 124/18
124/19 125/9
televised [2] 94/6 97/7
tell [17] 10/23 24/24 30/4 40/1
41/16 49/4 49/11 59/7 75/6 79/15
79/19 88/20 109/12 109/15 113/9
116/2 141/5
telling [6] 10/24 19/5 46/17 84/20
95/14 113/5
tells [1] 79/24
temperament [1] 140/6
Ten [1] 95/5
tendencies [1] 142/8
tension [2] 14/6 143/8
Teresa [9] 9/19 10/16 13/20 13/25
$15 / 281 / 2083 / 2084 / 16$ 95/8
Teresa's [1] 142/25
term [2] 22/10 107/9
terms [2] 100/6 137/19
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 151/4 151/19
test [60] 6/16 6/20 7/2 8/2 8/7
8/10 8/13 10/8 10/12 13/17 13/23
14/25 17/25 18/5 18/7 18/20 19/10 20/21 $21 / 1423 / 123 / 18$ 24/24 25/11 26/5 26/12 26/13
27/25 29/16 29/23 30/2 30/21
$31 / 1632 / 533 / 1033 / 1633 / 17$ $34 / 234 / 634 / 1735 / 135 / 536 / 12$ 36/1 5 37/8 42/12 45/6 47/5 49/9 50/20 51/7 55/9 56/24 56/25 57/5 57/7 57/9 64/18 64/23 64/25 70/12
tested [7] 9/13 17/22 44/14 44/23
55/15 80/24 81/15
testicles [2] 126/5 126/6
testified [1] 110/24
testify [9] $43 / 481 / 584 / 19$ 93/6 108/5 122/18 123/12 123/15 146/13
testifying [4] 70/10 76/19 122/14 123/4
testimony [15] 20/19 39/17 40/4 68/4 71/11 71/18 73/4 73/22 77/8 78/7 82/8 84/15 92/17 92/21 92/23
testing [95] 4/4 7/4 8/7 8/15 8/17 9/10 9/25 10/14 11/6 13/11 13/15 16/23 16/24 19/5 24/14 24/19 25/2 30/1 $130 / 2431 / 2032 / 16$ $32 / 2034 / 2236 / 536 / 636 / 736 / 21$ $37 / 1$ 37/14 37/14 37/16 38/8 41/3 42/1 42/6 42/13 42/22 42/23 42/25 43/5 43/1 $143 / 1843 / 19$ 43/24 44/7 44/12 44/25 45/6 45/8 $45 / 1945 / 2046 / 1146 / 1446 / 18$ 46/25 47/10 47/14 48/2 48/23
49/1 49/6 50/2 50/2 50/5 51/16 52/2 52/9 52/14 53/4 54/4 54/15 54/19 54/22 55/2 55/5 57/14 58/4 60/14 60/15 63/10 63/14 63/16
testing...[13] 63/21 65/5 65/12 65/19 65/24 66/8 66/18 66/20 66/22 68/6 69/25 70/1 80/11 tests [23] 17/4 17/20 19/11 19/16 20/10 20/13 20/14 20/15 22/6 23/4 23/12 23/14 25/22 26/2 26/19 26/22 34/10 34/25 41/1 66/9 70/13 81/7 81/8
text [1] 76/11
than [44] 9/24 16/20 16/23 18/19 34/1 3 42/2 42/21 44/8 45/2 46/18 50/6 54/14 57/2 59/12 64/3 66/13
76/6 79/15 79/25 82/4 84/16 92/2 94/25 95/13 96/9 98/15 98/17 98/20 100/8 101/1 106/5 116/18 129/14 137/19 139/4 139/6 140/21 140/25 141/21 142/17 144/4 145/8 145/10 148/2
thank [19] 4/15 4/16 6/9 16/17 28/7 33/4 35/13 48/14 69/17 70/18 78/3 82/13 82/16 103/20 109/19 137/8 138/12 148/16 150/14
that [877]
that's [88] 3/19 13/9 16/1 16/16 18/2 18/11 18/23 22/11 22/12 22/13 22/21 24/9 25/9 26/19 29/20 31/6 31/6 33/4 35/2 35/3 35/1 3 36/8 39/2 39/9 42/17 45/9 45/14 46/6 50/21 50/25 51/8 53/2 53/19 55/24 58/24 60/10 64/9 64/1 8 66/22 74/8 74/9 78/19 80/1 80/20 80/20 81/10 81/11 81/19 84/7 84/20 84/23 84/23 84/25 84/25 85/3 87/25 88/21 89/3 90/7 90/8 92/25 99/25 100/9 101/3 101/13 101/14 106/21 108/7 111/15 113/19113/25 116/14 119/1 119/10 120/20 123/1 124/1 126/18 126/22 129/25 132/19 137/9 138/11 140/16 141/15 148/6 148/14 149/25
their [53] $3 / 5 \quad 7 / 3 \quad 7 / 410 / 21 \quad 10 / 25$ 11/1 11/7 13/12 14/16 14/17 14/23 19/15 21/24 30/11 32/15 40/2 40/5 40/19 40/25 49/15 49/16 50/21 59/10 61/4 61/24 63/16 63/20 68/19 69/15 78/24 $84 / 185 / 2386 / 2392 / 2495 / 14$ 99/9 $115 / 18115 / 20122 / 18122 / 21$ 122/25 123/14 123/17 126/6 127/3 127/10 133/25 134/12 141/18 142/11 142/23 146/18 148/11 them [53] 7/6 8/9 10/20 10/23 10/24 14/22 19/12 19/13 22/10 23/20 27/6 27/16 30/5 32/12 39/13 41/15 41/18 42/17 43/11 49/13 49/16 52/6 53/25 59/23 62/21 63/8 63/22 64/3 64/7 68/3 74/15 75/9 79/13 79/13 83/3 93/20 95/15 106/5 $115 / 8117 / 2$ 117/3 119/5 122/24 124/2 125/5 $\begin{array}{lllll}126 / 6 & 126 / 23 & 129 / 11 & 133 / 12 & 134 / 4\end{array}$ 134/5 134/24 147/2
themselves [7] 41/13 72/4 106/4 106/13 106/13 142/20 145/24 then [43] 11/16 24/17 26/15 27/4 28/6 32/14 34/4 38/18 39/17 43/21 45/17 48/11 56/15 58/8 58/21 59/15 62/3 62/23 64/15 66/5 68/3 70/20 74/16 75/3 75/24

76/16 78/5 79/17 81/5 81/11 81/12 81/16 81/18 81/23 92/16 94/9 94/19 106/17 106/24 112/16 128/3 132/23 134/21
theory [6] $30 / 1143 / 5$ 52/25 64/13 77/3 137/21
there [121] 3/25 4/25 9/1 9/16
9/19 $13 / 913 / 1014 / 519 / 120 / 10$ 23/4 23/5 23/6 23/7 23/1 $123 / 12$ 23/14 24/10 24/18 25/20 26/12
26/13 26/1 8 27/1 27/15 28/7
28/22 29/15 30/8 31/6 31/12
$31 / 1431 / 1433 / 1533 / 1633 / 16$
$33 / 2233 / 2534 / 234 / 1134 / 15$
$34 / 19$ 34/25 35/4 35/7 35/19 $36 / 16$ 36/20 37/3 38/3 41/1 41/6 41/8 43/9 44/6 46/17 46/19 46/24 49/3 52/5 56/22 56/23 57/3 57/5 57/9 61/7 61/10 62/5 62/14 65/22 66/7 66/11 66/13 68/18 69/1 69/2 $71 / 2072 / 21$ 76/3 77/12 80/22 81/24 83/6 84/3 84/7 84/8 84/12 89/3 90/13 91/7 92/1 93/22 95/12 96/9 97/24 98/13 99/7 99/10
99/15 101/21 107/14 108/9 112/16 115/7117/8117/10118/15118/18 120/18 126/2 128/3 134/7 134/23 135/4 138/15 141/6 142/9 143/16 144/9 144/24 148/10
there's [38] 13/5 21/16 28/25 39/5 40/6 50/14 53/8 56/15 60/16 63/6 63/22 64/6 68/23 75/8 79/2 79/14
79/16 79/16 82/1 84/12 88/14
92/19 96/21 107/11 107/15 110/6
124/22 130/4 131/22 133/4 135/3
137/20 141/3 141/10 143/10
143/15 147/8 148/12
thereafter [1] 151/11
therefore [3] 9/14 44/10 98/1
therefrom [1] 77/7
these [74] 11/22 12/4 12/6 12/22
13/19 14/11 14/16 16/11 16/12
19/16 22/6 22/8 24/21 25/21
26/22 31/16 33/3 33/11 33/21
41/11 45/20 49/9 63/21 64/4 72/7 72/19 73/7 77/9 77/16 77/21
78/25 79/1 79/1 79/17 79/18 80/6 80/7 80/8 82/3 82/9 88/12 88/15 88/19 88/20 90/8 95/17 96/10
$110 / 2$ 110/5 110/10113/3114/21
114/23 114/24 115/4 115/5 115/15
116/7 122/5 123/11 123/18 127/6 132/7 132/9 132/11 133/4 133/24 135/7 142/21 144/12 144/13
145/23 146/3 146/21
they [250]
they're [1] 131/3
thin [1] 107/13
thing [14] 17/6 21/15 25/12 32/21 33/23 38/10 74/2 81/14 92/5 116/25 118/1 147/5 147/10 149/11 things [21] 18/18 28/2 37/3 41/6 41/7 41/11 41/23 72/22 73/5 73/7 73/15 76/7 77/15 87/3 122/5
130/4 137/6 140/2 141/20 145/23 146/3
think[69] 7/23 8/19 11/4 17/14 18/2 22/10 24/14 26/25 30/12 $30 / 2131 / 2532 / 2034 / 2335 / 13$ $36 / 1736 / 2238 / 638 / 2239 / 12$ $41 / 1343 / 1646 / 1246 / 2247 / 13$ 48/10 49/5 49/8 58/23 59/14 65/1 65/20 68/7 68/16 69/23 72/12

73/12 74/1 78/15 83/19 88/2 88/7 88/7 94/7 96/15 96/21 105/7
105/12 105/18 106/22 107/3
108/10 $113 / 3117 / 7119 / 7120 / 10$ 121/15 128/12 130/24 131/16 131/18 135/12 139/18 140/16 143/16 145/5 145/13 146/3 147/8 149/1
thinking [3] 58/16 131/20 149/4 thinnest [1] 73/13
third [4] 93/21 97/13 133/1 $143 / 15$ this [341]
THOMAS[2] 1/13 128/15
thoroughly [2] 16/22 43/11
those [56] 4/11 9/5 9/13 10/13 21/9 27/21 32/9 32/25 42/10 53/17 54/2 54/8 63/6 63/24 66/6 $71 / 571 / 1773 / 1474 / 1376 / 23$ $80 / 1280 / 1581 / 381 / 1484 / 9$ 86/22 89/7 89/15 89/17 89/18 89/21 90/16 90/17 95/5 95/11 95/24 96/16 97/7 97/12 99/8 99/11 99/14 100/1 100/2 100/3 102/4 102/7 105/23 114/6 114/10 117/5 122/20 125/2 135/2 142/24 149/16
though [3] 19/18 68/16 $118 / 19$
thought [3] 9/4 9/5 63/23
thoughtfulness [1] 139/16
thoughts [1] 8/25
thrash [1] 44/15
threat [3] 124/5 141/24 141/25
threats [3] 125/1 141/9 141/12
three [11] 19/8 45/3 51/17 52/3
53/17 73/10 73/13 79/8 93/19
133/24 134/11
through [22] 11/17 13/6 22/8 25/10 51/20 72/7 87/10 87/14 87/23 87/24 88/24 89/6 89/7
89/11 89/20 96/23 102/2 111/10 112/22 141/1 144/13 144/25
throughout [1] 48/4
tied [1] 119/13
till [1] 69/11
time [51] 3/1 3/24 5/13 7/2 7/8 16/23 17/10 $17 / 18$ 19/2 19/6 22/5 24/18 30/25 38/12 39/9 45/3 48/22 48/24 49/1 54/8 55/9 58/24 60/6 61/15 65/11 66/8 71/24 77/9 83/10 92/5 94/23 95/13 99/9 99/20 99/22 100/22 101/16 105/2 106/23 111/9 111/25 115/12 118/17 119/25 120/7 120/14 120/17 123/1 145/25 146/1 150/6 timeline [2] 51/23 55/1
times [5] 98/23 111/10114/12 140/13 142/12
timing [2] 28/11 70/22
today [37] 3/3 4/1 6/11 8/4 10/24 22/23 33/19 41/23 45/2 49/14 50/4 53/20 53/21 55/3 55/3 55/4 55/7 56/12 62/20 64/22 65/23 67/11 67/14 69/7 72/23 73/2 $73 / 2574 / 378 / 278 / 388 / 20$ $111 / 10$ 137/18 148/22 149/2 150/5 150/13
together [6] 57/16 75/18 91/18 97/5 97/8 119/13
told [22] 8/17 8/22 19/7 19/19 29/19 31/1 31/4 51/18 75/7 88/19 114/20 115/4 115/11119/11 119/15 120/8 120/10 123/18 126/19 128/25 135/12 136/16

Tom [2] 3/9 114/16
tomorrow [2] 61/13 62/11
too [10] 21/12 26/6 26/7 26/18 28/24 28/25 33/1 34/7 44/21 147/5
took[10] 12/15 20/1 20/3 21/12 22/12 22/12 27/19 57/16 57/18 136/8
tool [2] 50/20 92/11
top [8] 11/14 12/9 12/17 13/6 31/7
104/1 128/12 129/4
total [2] 146/10 146/17
totally [2] 34/10 57/3
towards [1] 126/12
toxicology [1] 32/5
traceable [1] 95/16
trailer [1] 71/7
trained [1] 137/24
trainer [1] 138/1
transcribed [1] 151/11
transcript [4] 1/23 111/8 151/8 151/12
transcription [3] 106/1 106/6 151/11
transcripts [1] 106/11
transit [1] 12/20
transport [1] 137/3
Transporting [1] 130/20
travel [1] 133/21
treated [1] 139/17
trial [78] 1/4 3/3 7/20 8/18 10/13
10/15 13/25 16/6 17/9 19/4 19/14
20/8 20/9 20/21 21/18 22/13 25/3
25/10 25/15 25/23 26/3 26/4 27/5
27/8 34/8 37/18 38/19 39/5 39/14
39/15 43/2 43/6 45/1 45/5 45/10
45/12 45/14 46/23 47/25 48/18
49/19 49/20 49/21 51/19 53/1
53/6 53/9 53/22 54/8 55/10 56/8
60/8 61/6 61/13 61/14 61/17 66/2
66/10 71/24 71/25 72/13 72/14
74/4 77/9 77/18 87/6 96/12
100/15 102/6 104/23 107/7 107/24
108/4 109/2 $111 / 22$ 145/9 146/6
146/12
trials [1] 36/9
tried [4] 21/3 27/10 68/4 104/14
Trip [1] 134/20
trips [1] 61/16
Trooper [6] 73/4 74/18 75/8 76/5
76/10 77/21
troubled [1] 87/1
troubling [1] 86/2
truck [1] 126/7
true [6] 31/22 42/2 43/1 77/19
123/8 151/12
truly [1] 49/2
trust [2] 5/13 5/17
truth [1] 36/10
try [16] 4/22 43/21 43/23 49/14
98/4 98/24 101/16 115/19118/10 121/10 131/13 131/13 134/15 135/6 135/16 150/9
trying [8] 4/22 4/23 $18 / 21 \quad 18 / 23$
92/5 146/12 146/13 146/14
tube [4] 12/21 13/4 23/6 31/19
Tuesday [1] 7/18
turn [7] 18/19 41/10 67/20 76/3
80/4 82/11 137/25
turned [7] 60/19 80/9 81/3 81/17 82/5 83/15 100/8
turning [1] 82/7
turns [3] 105/11 141/12 144/1 two [46] 14/9 14/11 16/12 17/9 22/24 34/14 35/4 43/9 43/9 46/21 52/5 62/9 64/1 69/2 73/6 75/9 77/14 77/18 78/22 78/25 79/1 79/2 79/7 79/14 80/16 85/1 1 86/3 86/20 93/20 95/11 96/25 97/8 97/12 99/5 99/25 106/16 127/12
132/9 132/11 133/4 135/7 135/15
137/13 144/12 145/7 149/16
type [14] 23/11 23/17 28/2 35/1
40/20 40/21 47/18 58/3 58/3 64/6 107/22 108/19117/5 118/1

## U

U.S[8] 6/25 7/1 8/22 16/1 16/4

19/20 19/20 31/2
ubiquitous [1] 29/17
ubiquitousness [1] 40/21
uh [1] 136/6
ultimately [2] 36/9 146/5
Um [1] 136/23
unable [2] 101/6 104/10
unalterable [3] 95/15 96/6 98/1
unanimous [1] 15/11
unavoidably [1] 42/23
unaware [1] 96/22
uncomfortable [3] 134/3 134/4 143/21
uncommon [2] 116/25 123/6
uncorrect [1] 16/15
under [17] 4/18 24/13 27/12 48/22
49/20 51/13 51/15 52/9 52/21
54/1 76/25 77/23 86/4 93/3 94/12
131/12 146/16
underlying [5] 10/7 32/2 40/17
77/4 84/5
undermine [1] 83/25
underpinnings [1] 32/3
understand [33] 6/3 7/25 21/14
30/10 37/23 44/4 44/13 44/17
46/16 48/19 51/12 54/19 66/23
67/16 90/11 90/17 93/1 106/7
106/20 107/10 113/1 113/25 117/6 133/7 136/19 136/23 136/24 137/5
137/7 137/17 143/19 143/21
145/20
understanding [12] 50/21 51/1
51/1451/15 63/10 63/22 66/17
72/5 85/3 92/25 106/12 149/25
understood [3] 35/2 92/22 106/15
undertake [2] 43/14 43/24
undertaking [1] 38/23
undue [1] 36/2
unfair [3] 49/3 62/18 101/21
unfairness [1] 99/1
unfavorable [1] 54/3
unfortunately [2] 10/20 78/12
unique [3] 51/4 101/15 103/4
unit [5] 59/10 69/1 80/19 80/21
80/24
United [8] 14/4 15/6 16/1 16/3
26/25 48/25 51/21 51/25
universities [2] 29/4 52/24
university [4] 43/22 43/25 50/16
50/25
unless [4] 30/4 62/9 96/21 99/24
unlike [1] 25/17
unlikely [1] 55/1
unnamed [1] 94/5
unreasonable [1] 68/21
unseal [1] $8 / 5$
unsigned [1] 113/25
until [20] 8/11 9/8 28/19 28/20
29/6 31/21 34/16 35/24 38/8 40/1
42/9 45/21 48/11 51/13 54/25
62/1 62/21 63/7 72/13 88/19
untimely [1] 77/23
untrue [1] 16/16
unusual [3] 50/10 51/9 129/10
unwillingness [1] 101/8
up [40] $9 / 811 / 2121 / 121 / 11$
25/11 32/10 35/8 38/21 39/2 39/7
39/8 39/12 40/10 40/18 40/19
47/1 47/2 50/20 51/6 51/22 52/13
54/23 56/19 57/20 61/13 61/23
62/4 63/15 63/17 66/4 75/6 79/18
88/2 93/23 100/9 104/7 133/7
134/5 136/7 149/1
upon [7] $7 / 23$ 63/16 65/25 69/15
101/20 135/23 148/9
urging [1] 94/11
urinate [1] 145/23
us [39] 6/11 6/16 6/16 7/2 8/16
13/17 14/19 14/24 16/11 16/14
19/5 24/24 25/3 28/20 29/8 29/19
$31 / 1532 / 1133 / 234 / 340 / 151 / 13$
$51 / 2358 / 1859 / 1159 / 1260 / 11$
68/3 73/7 73/11 79/15 79/19
79/24 81/13 86/19 95/14 96/11
141/5 150/6
use [10] $11 / 8$ 23/23 41/5 55/21 77/17 102/25 103/14 137/19 137/25 145/2
used [8] 31/13 58/3 64/14 70/11
96/4 120/2 139/21 147/15
useful [1] 140/2
usefulness [1] 107/23
uses [4] 44/2 83/21 87/8 107/9
using [2] 32/6 61/4
usual [1] 145/6
usually [2] 17/12 83/24
utmost [1] 122/25
V
vacutainer [2] 12/16 41/4
valid [4] 23/1 29/10 40/14 40/14
value [1] $7 / 22$
van [1] 133/9
various [1] 10/8
vast [2] 8/19 9/1
vastly [3] 9/23 9/23 30/9
vehicle [10] 37/24 38/6 46/11
64/15 131/6 133/12 134/3 134/5
134/19 134/21
venting [1] 142/1
verbatim [1] 114/19
verge [1] 20/7
verified [1] $86 / 12$
versa [1] 82/23
version [8] 75/21 76/9 77/17 77/17
94/19 94/22 94/23 94/25
versus [1] 108/19
very [36] $3 / 2315 / 18$ 20/12 22/3
26/8 28/10 28/11 28/17 28/18
29/9 29/13 32/10 41/16 49/25
59/10 59/10 65/22 75/23 79/3
80/3 82/3 92/7 94/16 96/10
101/22 108/23 109/16 110/19
130/2 134/4 138/21 140/17 144/22
146/24 147/1 147/13
viability [1] 11/15
vial [100] $4 / 3$ 4/1 8 6/4 6/12 6/15
6/17 6/20 6/21 7/3 7/12 7/19 9/15
9/20 10/12 10/17 11/3 11/8 11/12

| V | Warren [1] 15/10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vial...[82] 11/15 11/19 12/7 12/15 | wasn't [13] 17/13 18/13 24/6 28/20 | 35/3 39/2 39/7 40/2 40/3 40/3 |
| 13/2 13/14 13/16 13/21 14/25 | 29/6 57/8 78/24 80/11 86/23 89/9 | 40/9 41/6 42/3 42/17 44/3 48/20 |
| 15/3 17/1 17/3 17/4 19/1 20/2 | 121/2 121/2 136/16 | 53/18 53/21 56/20 57/25 58/16 |
| 22/20 23/2 23/18 23/19 26/16 | watch [3] 76/4 94/13 116/20 | 60/4 60/23 61/3 63/7 63/18 63/20 |
| 28/1 28/4 28/13 28/15 28/23 | Watching [1] 76/15 | 64/14 64/16 64/17 64/21 65/6 |
| 29/15 30/7 30/15 31/3 31/5 32/22 | wave [2] 133/24 144/4 | 67/16 67/16 72/5 74/5 74/6 74/11 |
| 35/10 35/17 35/22 35/24 36/5 | waved [1] 148/3 | 75/10 79/15 79/19 79/23 80/12 |
| 36/13 36/15 36/21 37/1 37/7 37/7 | waving [1] 136/7 | 81/25 81/25 82/8 83/20 84/8 |
| 37/25 38/4 38/12 42/8 42/16 | way [29] 11/3 17/8 18/19 21/16 | 84/21 88/8 88/20 89/16 89/23 |
| 44/22 46/14 47/5 54/18 54/25 | 24/25 28/1 33/13 35/12 39/5 | 89/24 91/2 94/17 94/19 96/3 |
| 55/12 55/15 55/15 55/18 55/20 | 43/15 43/17 44/6 49/20 65/16 | 100/5 100/9 103/1 103/2 104/17 |
| 55/22 56/5 56/22 57/13 57/18 | 70/6 76/3 79/6 92/20 106/4 | 104/17 104/18 105/18 105/19 |
| 57/22 58/7 58/18 58/22 59/2 | 109/10 116/7 116/9 126/18 132/24 | 105/21 107/8 $113 / 5114 / 1116 / 17$ |
| 59/14 59/24 60/15 63/13 63/17 | 133/17 139/16 143/5 143/22 | 118/6 122/20 125/22 127/21 128/5 |
| 63/18 64/1 64/3 64/9 64/14 64/16 | 144/18 | 128/12 128/15 128/24 130/15 |
| 67/14 69/10 69/12 70/1 | we [275] | 132/19 133/25 145/13 146/2 |
| vials [1] 17/24 | we'll [13] 59/6 59/15 62/1 69/1 | 149/15 149/22 150/6 |
| vice [1] 82/23 | 69/2 70/19 75/3 78/5 101/10 | what's [7] 18/11 64/16 65/14 84/25 |
| victim's [3] 37/24 | 106/2 109/17 148/15 150/9 | 138/6 149/9 149/9 |
| video [9] 56/10 59/2 59/22 60/13 | we're [36] 3/3 8/4 8/4 8/22 10/23 | whatever [39] $7 / 410 / 3$ 19/9 21/1 |
| 60/24 61/3 61/4 62/14 62/16 | 10/23 10/24 13/10 16/20 25/13 | 21/10 22/11 27/3 27/25 28/6 |
| videoing [1] 62/23 | 25/14 26/20 33/21 38/25 41/14 | $31 / 1932 / 532 / 1633 / 1238 / 21$ |
| videotape [1] 61/2 | 51/18 56/4 61/5 61/5 65/6 67/3 | 39/1 39/6 39/21 44/2 45/12 46/25 |
| view [2] 75/10 76/14 | 67/25 72/5 73/23 74/11 92/11 | 49/12 54/22 55/18 59/2 62/22 |
| viewed [1] 94/7 | 92/20 100/9 106/22 136/23 145/13 | 66/2 67/2 69/15 81/9 84/1 85/22 |
| vile [2] 16/16 22/ | 146/24 147/10 150/4 150/8 150/13 | 101/6 119/17 127/10 130/3 130/14 |
| violated [1] 102/1 | weapon [2] 145/1 145/2 | 143/24 149/9 149/19 |
| violations [1] 148/9 | weapons [3] 119/24 127/3 135/1 | when [56] 5/1 12/25 14/9 18/25 |
| violence [2] 142/9 1 | wearing [3] 109/7 146/11 147/2 | 19/6 22/1 22/3 24/5 25/12 25/24 |
| violently [1] 120/6 | week [7] 14/11 28/20 52/7 93/18 | 26/1 28/1 5 29/6 34/7 38/22 42/7 |
| vis [2] 149/18 149/1 | 96/12 103/9 148/25 | 42/23 45/1 55/22 57/16 58/23 |
| vis-a-vis [1] 149/18 | weekend [3] 61/16 61/23 61/25 | 63/9 63/23 66/13 66/17 67/18 |
| viscosity [2] 13/9 45 | weeks [7] 26/3 26/3 35/16 38/24 | 77/20 100/2 105/23 113/9 113/10 |
| visit [3] 124/8 124/11 131/23 | 52/2 52/3 85/11 | 114/10 114/11 115/3115/6 115/11 |
| visitation [1] 117/11 | weighed [2] 48/8 130/13 | 115/21 117/6 118/3 122/24 125/12 |
| visitors [1] 117/1 | weighs [1] 110/9 | 130/18 131/12 133/25 134/5 134/7 |
| visits [1] 144/12 | weight [4] 10/4 121/15 141/23 | 135/6 139/7 140/10 143/7 145/22 |
| Visual [2] 134/9 | 142/3 | 146/3 146/11 147/5 147/23 148/7 |
| vocation [1] 132 | weights [1] | whenever [1] 56/21 |
| voir [2] 104/16 104/20 | welcome [1] 30/ | where [23] 9/9 21/3 22/13 23/23 |
| volt [1] 145/14 | well [51] 3/23 9/5 | 33/14 47/25 49/8 51/11 52/12 |
| voodoo [1] 24/22 | 19/23 27/1 33/25 35/14 42/19 | 53/2 61/22 61/23 74/1 79/19 |
| W | 43/7 46/8 52/16 52/22 54/12 | 80/12 95/13 108/8 120/20 128/23 |
|  | 64/19 | 129/25 141/24 142/4 150/11 |
| W-o-I-d | 65/2 67/12 71/7 74/24 77/8 77/22 | whether [36] 13/4 13/5 26/13 |
| wait [7] 19/3 23/20 32/13 40/1 | 78/17 80/7 82/18 89/14 89/24 | 30/14 34/24 35/9 35/9 36/12 |
| 62/1 72/13 72/15 | 90/1 92/7 92/15 103/22 103/25 | 36/13 54/2 69/24 79/20 79/20 |
| waited [3] 7/13 28/19 134/19 | 107/4 110/19 112/24 117/2 123/11 | 79/21 79/23 80/9 82/1 86/7 88/12 |
| waiting [2] 69/11 74/19 | 123/12 129/18 130/8 130/10 131/5 | 88/18 91/12 91/13 100/11 107/11 |
| waive [1] 4/14 | 138/21 138/22 142/13 145/9 | 109/2 109/24 116/12 125/15 |
| waiving [1] $4 / 12$ | 146/11 146/22 | 129/12 142/5 142/6 142/24 146/13 |
| walked [1] 47/22 | well-mannered [1] 142/13 | 147/4 147/5 148/2 |
| Walstad [3] 10/2 30/17 54/1 | went [2] 28/21 115/8 | which [47] $8 / 1516 / 2121 / 1824 / 1$ |
| want [33] 5/23 8/10 11/10 14/19 | were [75] 6/12 6/23 7/14 9/16 | 24/13 25/18 36/24 42/15 43/12 |
| 18/19 22/19 23/15 25/11 27/4 | 15/15 17/8 $18 / 818 / 2519 / 6$ 19/7 | $51 / 16$ 54/14 56/7 57/3 57/3 62/20 |
| 29/25 30/14 32/15 33/24 34/3 | 19/14 20/2 22/6 22/22 24/3 31/23 | 64/1 67/10 70/13 71/2 75/1 75/13 |
| 48/23 55/19 56/18 56/21 57/7 | 32/1 36/4 37/3 40/20 44/4 47/17 | 76/1 76/20 83/15 83/21 83/24 |
| 58/2 59/24 65/2 69/4 100/21 | 48/22 49/3 51/13 51/15 51/23 | 85/7 86/3 87/11 87/20 88/2 93/23 |
| 118/21 123/13 123/24 125/23 | 52/18 53/1 56/23 57/5 57/16 64/1 | 96/22 97/15 97/23 104/13 110/7 |
| 127/7 131/19 149/3 149/21 150/6 | 64/5 70/11 73/16 81/15 82/21 | 113/4 116/19 126/3 126/13 128/22 |
| wanted [7] 46/10 46/14 47/10 | 86/11 86/18 87/10 87/15 87/23 | 133/9 139/11 139/22 145/7 146/4 |
| 50/19 77/13 126/5 149/20 | 87/24 88/13 88/15 88/20 89/7 | while [9] 34/6 73/4 75/5 120/5 |
| wants [4] 18/15 27/15 47/15 59/3 | 89/21 89/21 90/18 91/25 102/12 | 120/7 126/25 134/19 142/13 |
| warming [1] 75/6 | 105/7 106/4 114/6 114/6 114/10 | 148/24 |
| warned [2] 136/12 136/15 | 114/11 114/21 115/14118/3 | who [55] 6/23 11/22 11/25 12/1 |
| warning [5] 94/11 104/8 136/10 | 118/13 121/3 122/5 127/12 130/15 | 12/2 12/14 16/12 20/6 22/14 |
| 136/11 136/21 | 134/18 134/20 134/23 135/20 | 22/16 26/4 27/10 32/9 33/19 34/4 |
| warrant [4] 128/1 128/19 129/17 | 135/22 135/25 141/12 144/6 | 34/20 40/8 40/11 43/3 43/23 |
| 144/8 | weren't [5] 43/6 62/19 81/3 127/14 | 45/23 49/7 49/7 62/6 68/25 90/20 |
|  | $149 / 12$ | $91 / 291 / 391 / 1892 / 897 / 2298 / 6$ |
| warrants [1] 147/9 | what [100] 6/11 7/9 8/7 8/12 8/13 | 100/16 101/5 101/7 101/11 101/12 |

who...[18] 109/1 112/16 112/19 114/22 117/1 121/24 123/18 126/10 127/13 131/22 131/23 132/17 134/6 137/18 138/7 141/2 143/2 143/4
who's [4] 4/4 50/1 129/16 140/20
whole [6] 18/15 34/8 34/24 67/10
89/3 130/13
whom [3] 90/14 $114 / 3$ 145/8
whose [1] 112/7
why [11] 8/4 12/16 19/17 26/19 30/10 48/24 54/19 99/25 103/1 124/1 143/21
widely [1] 31/11
Wiegert [13] 114/16 122/1 125/18
125/20 126/4 126/7 126/8 126/9
126/20 128/17 128/25 130/16
131/13
wild [1] 33/21
will [116] 3/4 4/6 8/13 8/13 8/14
8/17 10/14 10/15 22/18 23/5 23/6
23/7 25/12 26/12 26/19 30/17
$31 / 1031 / 1833 / 1036 / 1737 / 7$
38/20 39/14 39/16 39/17 39/17
39/19 40/19 41/16 41/17 41/19
$43 / 2045 / 1346 / 1946 / 2046 / 21$
46/21 47/13 48/11 49/11 49/11
49/13 49/14 50/8 52/6 52/8 52/16
52/20 54/7 55/23 56/25 58/5 59/7
59/8 59/14 59/14 61/21 61/23
62/21 62/23 64/15 66/7 67/20
68/4 69/9 69/18 70/10 71/15
71/23 72/4 72/15 73/3 74/12
74/15 74/17 74/24 75/2 75/6
75/17 75/19 76/7 76/9 76/19
76/23 77/4 77/6 80/19 84/6 86/4
87/6 88/9 89/24 90/9 91/13 91/19
93/5 97/2 97/19 97/24 100/16
100/18 101/22 104/15 104/19
105/1 105/17 105/23 106/24
113/12 120/16 129/20 138/18
144/24 146/23 147/5 148/24
Williams [1] $3 / 14$
willing [7] 30/20 35/4 43/13 43/23
45/22 52/24 98/7
willingness [4] 32/20 34/21 34/23
35/3
Willis [2] $1 / 9113 / 23$
WISCONSIN [15] 1/1 $1 / 31 / 121 / 14$ 1/16 3/2 15/4 65/9 66/22 83/16 107/13 110/8 140/1 151/1 151/6
wish [16] $8 / 3$ 30/21 46/3 48/16
62/23 82/21 93/12 93/23 108/22
109/25 110/12 123/13 129/7
129/13 129/22 138/16
wished [1] 41/2
wishes [3] 48/12 72/1 108/13
withdrawal [1] 66/19
withdrawn [1] 37/9
within [8] 15/20 54/16 103/15
112/25 115/10 117/9 136/4 138/23
without [11] 20/22 21/17 31/9 34/8 56/14 68/17 88/9 118/24 120/16 132/11 145/11
withstanding [1] 101/5
witness [16] 21/5 21/5 24/5 24/6
24/7 25/18 70/25 77/24 78/6 79/6 91/12 103/13 110/23 119/21 124/6 124/6
witnesses[17] 2/2 21/6 21/9 24/3 24/8 24/11 24/21 25/19 27/11

38/25 70/24 90/17 122/13 123/3
125/2 138/16 146/15
Wold [4] 20/11 20/17 25/24 39/2
woman [3] $131 / 22$ 132/5 148/2
women [6] 132/7 132/11 132/17
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery. It's Case No. 05 CF 381. This proceeding is scheduled this morning for the beginning of individual voir dire of the jury panel members. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz and Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon, both appearing as Special Prosecutors.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Buting and Williams by Attorney Jerome Buting appearing on behalf of Mr. Avery, who's present. Also Dean Strang.

THE COURT: Very well, I will note at the outset that no members of the jury panel are present in the courtroom at this time. The jurors are present -- or the jurors who will be questioned this morning are present and assembled in the jury room.

They will be brought into the courtroom one by one for individual voir dire. I would also note, before we commence individual voir dire, that the parties in this case have agreed to a jury selection process in which the questions normally asked by the Court on general voir dire have been, in large part, replaced by a
lengthy jury questionnaire completed by the members of the jury panel last week.

The Court in that questionnaire incorporated many, though not all, of the questions proposed by the parties for inclusion in the questionnaire. To assure that adequate instructions concerning the questions on the questionnaire were given to the jurors and that all questionnaires were completed, the administration of the questionnaires took place in the courtroom last week.

The Court was not actually in session while the questionnaires themselves were being completed. However, the Court was in session to give the jurors instructions before the questionnaires were completed. At this time I wish to confirm on the record that that process is acceptable to both of the parties in this case. Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, your Honor, that is acceptable. I don't know if this is the point but we did have some questions regarding the time limits, but other than that that process seems fine.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Buting or Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: As I recall the part of this process that was acceptable to the defense is the special jury questionnaire substituting for the Court's general voir dire questions. We were not happy with the lawyer's part of general voir dire being eliminated entirely by the questionnaire process.

But we have no objection to the manner in which the administration of the questionnaire was handled, the distribution of it and the jurors filling it out, outside of Mr. Avery's presence or counsel's presence. And we too will have the same objections to the Court's proposed limit on individual voir dire.

THE COURT: I understand you may have been unhappy, but I didn't understand that there was an objection made to the process as it's gone thus far.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't know that we have ever been on the record about this, have we? And I don't mean to be saying anything different.

THE COURT: I don't know if we have been on the record of it, I know it's been discussed. I was not under the impression that either party was going to make an objection to the procedure as it's gone this far. Although, $I$ did understand that the
parties both were concerned about any time limits that the Court placed on individual voir dire questions.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay.
THE COURT: And I also understand that the party -- each of the parties, as I mentioned earlier, submitted questions that the Court did not include on the questionnaire, which I assume the parties, if they wish, will follow up on in individual voir dire.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. And again, I don't mean to be saying anything different than we have discussed off the record. I don't have any objection to the procedure as the Court just described it. I do think I recall saying, and I thought Mr. Fallon was of the same mind, we were concerned about the lawyers not having any general -- or maybe it was Mr. Gahn who joined in on this -- any opportunity to address the panel as a whole. And that's all $I$ meant to be saying just a moment ago.

But I have no objection, again, to the Court's portion of general voir dire having been committed to the questionnaire and I understand that the Court used some of our questions and not
others of our questions and I'm not objecting to that. So, if there's been some miscommunication, I don't mean to be saying anything different than I have said off the record.

THE COURT: Well, I think it's important to know for the record whether or not there's any objections to the jury selection procedures that's taken place thus far. I thought, actually, the parties submitted written correspondence agreeing to this, but I don't have it committed to memory.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I don't, you know, so much of this has been done off the record, I don't have all of it committed to memory either. As a practical matter, provided we get adequate time for individual voir dire of jurors, there's not going to be any great harm to Mr. Avery in not having had an opportunity to talk to the panel as a whole.

And I understand that voir dire is a process committed largely to the Court's discretion. So, I mean, I'm just trying to make a record of what I thought some months of conversations were. And I will stand corrected if it's my memory that has failed or I have not understood clearly.

THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court has
been on the record, at least before the administration of the questionnaires, with the explanation of the procedure at that time. I'm not sure if the defendant is making an objection at this time to the use of the questionnaires to replace general voir dire or not, but at least I certainly, until this time, did not understand that there was an objection to any portion of the jury selection procedures to this point. Though I do understand that the -- both parties wish to be heard today on the limit that the Court has set for individual voir dire; that is, $I$ wanted to limit each party to 15 minutes of individual voir dire with respect to each individual juror.

As I have indicated to the parties earlier, the parties can request additional time if they feel it's necessary, depending on the answers given by any of the individual jurors to questions that are asked on individual voir dire. However, I would note that the information on the questionnaires themselves is far in excess of the information which is normally gleaned from general voir dire proceedings.

Because of the size of the panel in this case, $I$ question the efficacy of the normal
process of general voir dire where you ask jurors to raise their hands. Not only that, in many cases, when jurors don't raise their hands, the Court doesn't know if they are just thinking about an answer or, because of social pressure, don't want to be the only ones to raise their hands, whereas when we give them a jury questionnaire, they have to answer every question.

So I did feel in this case that the use of an extensive questionnaire was the most effective way to glean the information that the Court would normally glean in the course of general voir dire. And at least to this point, I haven't understood that either party objected to that procedure.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Why don't I take a moment with counsel for the State, off the record, just to see whether I'm the outlier in terms of, you know, my recollection.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take a short break, go off the record.
(Brief recess taken.)
THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That was helpful, thank you for the indulgence, your Honor. With Mr. Fallon's help, I remember now two conversations bearing on this, one of which $I$ can place as happening in the jury room, off the record, and the other I can't place at all; although, Mr. Fallon specifically recalls it being one of our Friday afternoon off the record telephonic conferences in which he raised a concern about normally a supplemental jury questionnaire is exactly that, it supplements general voir dire, and I joined that concern.

And then in the jury room, I think it was Mr. Gahn who inquired of the Court, oh, does this mean we are not going to have a chance to talk to the panel as a group and to get some interaction how one reacts to another's answer or experience. And I chimed in on that or I may -I don't know if I started that conversation or Mr. Gahn chimed in, but he and I, I think, both spoke.

And that led to a further discussion apparently about individual voir dire perhaps being a good solution to avoid losing a large panel if there was an inadvertent answer by one
juror that would have presented a problem for the whole panel. And I think at that point some consensus developed that we could pursuit the individual voir dire route and maybe accomplish most of what we need to. This was before the Court had suggested a 10 or 15 minute time limit per side on individual voir dire.

So I think the issues get linked. I mean, we're in a discretionary area where, you know, the Court has the discretion to deny the lawyers questioning on general voir dire of the whole panel, and to implement an individual voir dire procedure. But that procedure will have to be sufficient in the end to allow the parties two opportunities, one, to ascertain if there is a basis to move to strike a juror for cause; objective bias, subjective bias, or some other cause.

And two, to allow the parties to exercise their peremptory strikes intelligently. And certainly, as to the accused at least, that's a right with constitutional footing under both Wisconsin and the federal constitutions, Article 1, Section 7 and 8 of the Wisconsin Constitution and the Fourteenth Amendment of the

United States Constitution.
So the two issues do become linked. There isn't any harm to Mr. Avery in the loss of general voir dire by the lawyers, provided that individual voir dire adequately makes up for the loss of general and allows those two critical purposes of voir dire to be accomplished in the end.

THE COURT: So does -- Do I take that to mean that the defendant has no objections to the conduct of the voir dire procedure to this point, but the defendant still is concerned about the length of time the Court is allowing for individual voir dire and may object if the defense doesn't feel that time is enough?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from either of the parties on the voir dire procedure as it's been conducted to date?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Other than previously discussed, no.

THE COURT: All right. Now, do any party -- either of the parties wish to make comment at this time about the Court's proposed procedure from this point forward? And just to reiterate for
the record, as we discussed scheduling in this matter in the past, I indicated that because of the -- well, the need both to get sufficient information on voir dire to allow the parties to intelligently exercise their peremptory strikes and evaluate the jury panel, on the one hand, and on the other hand, to have voir dire conducted within a reasonable period of time, I did indicate to the parties previously that $I$ thought that 15 minutes per juror on voir dire, from each party, that 15 minutes worth of questions on individual voir dire for each party should be sufficient to enable each of the parties to consider the information gleaned on individual voir dire, in addition to the information on the jury questionnaires, to intelligently evaluate the jurors for their objectivity.

As counsel has indicated in their comments, I believe both parties have concerns they wish to place on the record with respect to that ruling. Mr. Fallon, I will hear from you first.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, your Honor. The State would take issue with a 15 minute time limit with respect to questioning the individual
jurors. We realize it's been the Court's prerogative to determine the procedure and the manner in which jury selection is conducted. But when the State submitted it's proposed supplemental jury questionnaire on December 1st, the State was still, I believe, laboring under the impression that, first of all, that it would be a supplement to the general juror questionnaire which every juror fills out and is in abbreviated form.

I think we were unsure as to how much general voir dire, if any, would occur in the case. I agree with counsel's rendition, we did have a conference in chambers, I think it was in November, where this issue was brought up. And at that time there was a discussion regarding general voir dire, as counsel represented. And I think it might have been myself who said, well, there are some advantages to an individual voir dire to lessen the likelihood of any contamination of the panel as a whole by responses obtained from certain members, especially on questions regarding subjective bias.

And I think the parties at that time were under the impression, and that I think
argument carried the day, but at that time there was no time limit, we were not laboring under the impression that there would be any time limit to the individual voir dire.

My second comment is that when the State submitted it's questionnaire on December 1st and then did not hear any significant objection from the defense or the Court, the State was under the impression that just about all the questions in its questionnaire would be asked or be part of the general questionnaire here. And not having any communications or any objections from the Court, or even concerns expressed by the Court, and no objections from the defense, we were under the impression that those questions would be asked.

And that, again, would I think have expedited, at least from the State's perspective, the follow-up time on individual voir dire. After all, that's the purpose of voir dire after the use of a supplemental juror questionnaire, is to follow up on the answers. And since several questions were omitted, $I$ may very well have 10 to -- well, depending on the juror -- 5 to 12 minutes per juror just asking the questions that
were not included. And then I may have anywhere from no questions to five or six or seven questions to follow up on the answers which are included.

So, from that perspective, I am concerned that a time limit of 15 minutes per juror would be inadequate for us to flush out the potential of subjective or objective bias. I would state for the record, in my review, that $I$ don't see any issues of statutory bias confronting us.

But in terms of subjective bias and of seven or eight possible objective bias cases, it seems to me that the 15 minute time limit seems unreasonable, in all fairness to the Court, and doesn't provide an ample opportunity to explore those two issues. So as a result of which, we would ask leave of the Court to be relieved from 15 minutes.

Now, having said that, I fully
acknowledge that there are several jurors here that I may have very few questions for, other than the ones $I$ originally submitted in the jury questionnaire, which were not included. In which case, 15 minutes may very well do the trick.

There may be others that will take longer.
So, from the State's perspective, we would ask the Court be a little more patient with the parties. It's not like I foresee an hour per juror here like that. It's nothing -- I don't see that happening in the case, but it just seems to me that 15 minutes would not allow us adequate time to explore these potential bias issues. So we would ask the Court's indulgence to be more patient with the parties and provide a little more time to explore those issues. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you, your Honor. Perhaps for the first time in this case, and I hope not for the last, I find myself entirely in agreement with Mr. Fallon's comments. All of it, I adopt it. And that's the defense position as well. I will amplify, to this extent, that I expect too that there may be some jurors as to which a 15 minute block of time would be adequate for the defense table to conduct individual voir dire, just as he is guessing that perhaps there are some for which 15 minutes would suffice for the State's questions.

But it won't come as any surprise to the

Court that it also seems to me probable that when the State has fewer than 15 minutes of questioning, we may well have more for any given juror, and vice versa. So I think the time limits are not sufficient to permit at least -- I will speak only for Mr. Avery here -- both to ascertain accurately any -- any reason to strike the juror for cause and intelligently to exercise his peremptory strikes, which you are limited to seven.

They are not -- Wisconsin doesn't sprinkle peremptory strikes generously, even in the most serious felony cases. So these have to be used wisely. And I don't think that the time limits the Court proposes will allow that as to either of those two essential aspects of voir dire.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to, at this point, use the 15 minutes as a guide. I'm not going to gong the attorneys if they get past that point and I will monitor it. Obviously, since we haven't had individual voir dire with any juror yet, the Court cannot determine for certain whether or not 15 minutes is sufficient for the parties.

I would like to confirm before we start,
for the record, that both of the parties were given access to all the juror questionnaires that were completed by the jury panel last week and the parties have provided to the Court the identification of a number of jurors that they jointly are recommending be excused for cause.

The Court has not formally ruled on those requests at this time but $I$ have used the parties recommendations in establishing the order in which jurors are called in for individual questioning this morning; that is, passing over the jurors that the parties indicate they are individually recommending be stricken for cause. So that will affect the order in which the jurors are called in today.

The Court will make further rulings on the motions of the parties at a later time unless either party has any objection.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, and I certainly can confirm that the juror questionnaires were copied timely and completely by the Clerk's Office. And we had those late Monday afternoon, January 29, just as promised.

THE COURT: Anything else from the State?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing else, your Honor.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Should we -- Should we note the sequence numbers of the jurors who were joint recommendation for excuse for cause.

THE COURT: I think that would be appropriate on the record. Actually, I have the email in front of me so $I$ can read it at this time. To save time $I$ will just use the numbers rather than the names. It's jurors numbered, 1, 2, 9, 15, 16, $22,29,31,40,42,43,46,48,58,62,64,80,83$, 84, 85, 88, 92, 94, 95, 99, 104, 108, 112, 116, 117, 124, 130, 141, 142, and 143. And I think the parties notified the Court before we began today that they would indicate the general reasons for the joint recommendations. Mr. Fallon, were you going to address that?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, your Honor. Counsel and I, Mr. Strang and I, conversed by telephone late Thursday afternoon, after our initial review of the proposed panels. It basically comes down to this, the vast majority of those excused are excused for cause based on our assessment of subjective bias under the statute.

There were other jurors excused for economic hardship reasons, primarily they were the sole breadwinners in their home and the
potential of six weeks without adequate income would be an unfair hardship upon them.

And, finally, there was a smaller group of individuals who were excused for cause based on either physical or mental health reasons.

And a fourth group included those who were a mix of subjective bias and either mental health or economic hardship.

Those are the ones that we have agreed to on Thursday evening. There probably will be a few more during the course of the day as both counsel have reviewed the case law regarding objective and subjective bias. So there may be a few more sprinkled throughout the day that we would come to agreement upon, but that's the status as of now.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The Court read the list of sequence numbers of excused jurors correctly. And, again, I agree with Mr. Fallon's comments. The Court certainly is welcome to include the email, from which it just read, in the record.

And the format of that was that Mr. Fallon and I agreed, after our Thursday afternoon telephone call, that $I$ would draft the
proposed email to your Honor, but send it only to Mr. Fallon. He would look at it to make sure that I hadn't loused it up. And if he was satisfied that I had done it correctly, he simply would forward it to the Court. And that's what he did the following morning, Friday, February 2. THE COURT: All right. I will print a clean copy of the email for the record since I marked up the one I had. Is there anything else either party wishes to address before we bring in the first juror?

ATTORNEY STRANG: One thing that $I$ wish to address, came up in chambers just this morning. Greg Conway of the Green Bay law firm of Liebmann, Conway, Olejniczak, \& Jerry wrote to the Court by fax on Friday, copied me, but I haven't seen that yet because I haven't been in my office, I moved up to this neck of the woods, concerning two WFRV reporters and a letter they each received from -bearing my signature stamp. And the short of it is is that Mr . Conway is exactly right. When I had my secretary send out a merged letter to all of the people on the defense witness list, I didn't distinguish those very few who, in fact, were excepted from the exclusion order that the Court
entered.
And both Angenette Levy and Olga Halaburda, and for that matter, every other member of the media are excepted from, $e-x-c-e-p-t-e-d$, the exclusion. And they are free to sit in and watch the trial proceedings. And the mistake was simply that $I$ sent the same letter to all defense witnesses in fact, you know, again, it was just my signature stamp and then it enclosed a copy of the Court's exclusion order. So the mistake is mine. And members of the media are not excluded or otherwise covered by the sequestration order.

THE COURT: Very well. Anything else?
ATTORNEY FALLON: We would agree with that. We don't have any problem with exempting them from the order.

THE COURT: All right. At this time we'll have the first juror brought in. That will be Daniel Slaby, Juror No. 3. Mr. Slaby, before we begin, the Clerk will administer an oath to you. THE CLERK: If you would please stand and raise your right hand.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.

THE COURT: Mr. Slaby, like all the other members of the jury panel, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. The next step in voir dire proceedings is to give the opportunities for the -- to give the opportunity to the attorneys for the parties to ask you some additional questions in order to make sure that you can be a fair and impartial juror.

There are a couple of other pieces of information $I$ wanted to pass on to you. Although I did not indicate it last week, while the trial in this case is expected to last approximately six weeks, the jurors will not be sequestered. That means the jurors will be permitted to return home after court proceedings every day.

This decision is made possible by an assurance that the jurors will not read any news media accounts of the trial or talk to anyone else about it during the trial. So that will remain very important should you be selected as a juror.

I also wanted you to know that although these proceedings are open, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings. And the news media is not allowed
to identify individual jurors by name in news reports. And in addition, jurors who are selected to serve in the trial will not be on camera during the trial itself.

If you are not stricken for cause following the proceedings this morning, you will receive further written instructions as to when to return to court. With that background, Mr. Fallon, you may begin your voir dire.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Slaby.
A. Good morning.
Q. I just have a few questions for you. Hopefully they will be easy enough for you. We're not looking to embarrass anyone. We're just looking for some information to help us in selecting a jury.

So, first of all, do you have any close
friends or relatives who work in the media business; newspapers, television, radio internet?
A. No, I don't.
Q. You do not, okay. Are you an individual when you see a news story or you find something interesting in the news, do you use other sources
to investigate the information behind the story, like for instance some people go to libraries and check out books and read up on things, or magazines. Today the most common item is the internet. Do you have a tendency to search out for the story behind the story as it were?
A. No, not usually.
Q. Okay. There's a possibility in this particular case that there may be some testimony from a co-defendant. Do you have any opinions as to the appropriateness of someone who's accused of a crime testifying against the other co-defendant in a case?
A. No.
Q. In your day-to-day affairs, talking with people, in your work, or even in your personal relationships, if you find that someone has not -- has not been correct in providing you some information, in so far as it's inconsistent with something they previously said to you or is inconsistent with something that someone else said, do you have a tendency to disregard that opinion on its face or do you look further?
A. Probably just disregard.
Q. All right. And so, if you have a tendency to
disregard, would you disregard everything that person told you or just that particular opinion or viewpoint?
A. Probably depends on the person.
Q. All right. And what are some of the things that you would look at in determining -- in making that determination?
A. I don't really -- I don't know what you're asking.
Q. Okay. Well, if -- What do you do for a living again?
A. I'm a maintenance worker.
Q. All right. And if there's a snafu at the job, say one of your workers didn't conduct or perform a task up to appropriate standards, and they had told you that they did, and someone else, or a few from your own knowledge, realized that they had not, do you have a tendency to disregard everything that person tells you, or everything they do, or do you look at other factors in determining that person's credibility?
A. Probably just disregard.
Q. Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever been in charge of writing any safety guideline for setting up or enforcing safety standards in your
work?
A. No.
Q. Okay. In your line of work or in any previous job, have you ever been required to conduct any internal investigations or follow up on any behavior or activities of fellow employees?
A. No.
Q. Okay. How long have you been a resident of Manitowoc County?
A. My whole life.
Q. All right. In general, how would you rate the job that the Sheriff's Department is doing in dealing with crime as well as the public at large; would you say they are doing an excellent job, a good job, a fair job, or a lousy job?
A. I would say fair, fair job.
Q. Okay. And what causes you to say they have been doing a fair job?
A. I have nothing to suggest that they are doing a poor job.
Q. All right. You are just a tough grader?
A. Just -- I don't really have a positive or a negative opinion on it.
Q. Okay. In terms of your general impression, when a police officer testifies in court, in your mind
how likely is it that he or she would lie under oath; very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely or not very likely at all?
A. Not very likely.
Q. Okay. And why would you say that?
A. Just that he is under oath and probably doing his or her job.
Q. Okay. In your mind, how likely is it that a law enforcement agency would conspire to convict an innocent person; very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, not at all likely?
A. Not very likely.
Q. And why would you say that?
A. I really don't know what they would gain from it.
Q. Okay. In your mind, how likely is it that a law enforcement agency would plant or tamper with evidence to secure an arrest and/or a conviction; very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, not at all likely.
A. Not very likely.
Q. Okay. Again, any particular reason why you have that general opinion?
A. Same reason.
Q. All right. In your job as a maintenance professional, do you use industrial solvents or
cleaning products such as bleach and things of that sort to help you perform your job?
A. Very few. Very few chemicals.
Q. Okay. What kind of work, maintenance work, exactly do you do?
A. Well, we -- building maintenance, plant maintenance. We do some cleaning. Just a wide variety. Something different every day.
Q. Okay. So you don't actually -- actually have to do real cleaning or anything. You are kind of the handy man fixer up?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. All right. I notice you brought a couple of books in; do you like to read?
A. I usually don't have time to read.
Q. In your spare time, do you like to work on puzzles or do you just hate doing puzzles?
A. I'm not a puzzle person. Not a puzzle person.
Q. Okay. Generally, what kind of books do you like to read?
A. Outdoor adventure maybe.
Q. Okay. Have you ever seen the movie, "A Thin Blue Line"?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Okay. Would you consider yourself a -- more of a
detail oriented person, or are you a big picture guy?
A. Probably a big picture person.
Q. Okay. Have you ever used a magazine publication such as Auto Trader, or anything like that, to sell a vehicle or purchase a vehicle or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Never used the internet to buy or sell, ever try that?
A. I probably looked on the internet when I was looking to buy a vehicle.
Q. Okay. All right. Have you ever read or heard anything regarding Project Innocence here in Wisconsin?
A. I probably never read anything about it.
Q. All right. Have you heard anything about it?
A. Probably with this story is the only time I have ever heard of it.
Q. Okay. Any opinions on the project, or the idea behind it, or anything like that? Good idea, bad idea?
A. It's probably a good idea.
Q. Okay. Generally, do you think the criminal justice system is either too lenient or too harsh
when it deals with those accused of a crime? Do you have any opinion? Too harsh, too lenient, just right?
A. I think it's fair.
Q. Okay. Now, I note from your questionnaire, on one of the questions you were asked, have you ever known anyone who was killed accidentally or otherwise, you indicated your wife's cousin was killed in a fire. Apparently relatively recently.
A. Yes, it was in the fall.
Q. In the fall, okay. Can you tell us a little bit about that. Was it an accidental fire or was the fire intentionally set?
A. It was an accidental fire.
Q. Okay. So there hasn't been any litigation or any investigation regarding that fire?
A. No. No.
Q. Okay. You also indicated in your questionnaire that you have some prior jury experience. Overall, was that a good experience?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there anything about that experience that makes you wonder whether you could sit through the process as a juror again and evaluate facts,
and deliberate, and anything about it?
A. I don't think it would be a problem.
Q. Okay. That's all I have.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure. Thanks.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. This is really an awkward way to talk to someone, but try to let me feel awkward and you not. All
right. How do I pronounce your name?
A. Slaby.
Q. Okay. That's how you pronounce your name.
A. Right. I hear it different ways but.
Q. Okay. I'm going to try to do it the way you do.
A. We hear it different ways, but Slaby is how.
Q. Slaby.
A. Right.
Q. Mm-hmm. So how long have you worked at Manitowoc Ice?
A. A little over four years.
Q. And at Red Arrow -- I have your questionnaire, at Red Arrow and Mirro, were those longer periods of time?
A. I -- Red Arrow was brief, but I worked at Mirro for 12 years.
Q. Same kind of stuff?
A. Maintenance, yes.
Q. And so how does -- how does a big picture guy, you know, who is not really a detailed guy, how do you get drawn into maintenance?
A. Well, it was just something that always interested me, fixing things, building things, since $I$ was a kid so.
Q. That makes sense. Cars too or?
A. I worked on cars when $I$ was younger.
Q. Mm-hmm. See part of what -- part of what I'm interested in is you are not a TV watcher, or not much I'm gathering, so what do you do when you have spare time?
A. I remodel my home. For the last three years that's what $I$ have been doing.
Q. You guys own your home?
A. Yes, I just -- The TV watchers -- I work second shift, so I don't --
Q. So like four to midnight?
A. I don't -- Yeah, I work like 2:30 to midnight so.
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't.
Q. Yeah.
A. I'm busy all day so.
Q. Right. That sort of explains that.
A. Right.
Q. When do you see the daughters?
A. I see them in the morning before they go to school.
Q. Mm-hmm. Sort of design your sleep schedule around --
A. Right.
Q. -- being able to do that?
A. Right.
Q. Are you a Monday to Friday guy, or do you wind up weekends too?
A. I work a lot of Saturdays too.
Q. Just 'cause that's the schedule at Manitowoc Ice?
A. I just -- It's overtime, voluntary overtime. I work quite a bit of overtime too.
Q. It sounds like -- like your wife also has a full-time job that's -- you didn't say that, but that's what $I$ read into your description of her job.
A. Yes, she works full-time.
Q. So what you told us was that the radio maybe was the most common way you have gotten some news about this case?
A. I would think the radio, that's what -- I will
have the local radio on in the morning.
Q. To and from work, or while you guys are --
A. Usually --
Q. -- having breakfast?
A. -- on my way to take my daughters to school.
Q. So you pick up snatches of this because it's--
A. Right.
Q. -- local news? Do you read the paper?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you guys get the paper?
A. No, we don't get the paper.
Q. Yeah. Okay. So what do you know about Brendan Dassey?
A. Well, I know that he's the nephew and he is also charged in the case.
Q. Yeah. Actually, not right in this -- in front of this judge --
A. Right.
Q. -- but he's charged here in the same county.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you followed at all, you know, what's gone on in his case?
A. Not recently.
Q. When -- sort of when did you last track that or hear about it?
A. It's probably been two months, three months maybe.
Q. What was it, I mean what do you --
A. I can't even recall the last time I heard a thing about him.
Q. The nephew. Okay.
A. Right.
Q. Do you remember what it was you heard?
A. No, probably just the name came up.
Q. Mm-hmm. How many -- how many guys do you work with, you know, like side by side at work or are you off on your own for most of your time at work?
A. It's myself and two other mechanics.
Q. And do you guys tend to be teamed up or --
A. We're usually on our own.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. So how much sort of, you know, gas-bagging at work, or yakking about this case have you overheard?
A. I don't know how to -- I couldn't really put a time on it.
Q. Well, no, and I'm not really asking you to do that, nobody could do that. But has this case been a source of, or subject of some chitty chat at work or, you know, do you guys -- are you guys
talking about ice fishing, or the Packers, or something else?
A. Well, we talk about a lot of things, so I'm sure the case has come up, we talked about it.
Q. What do you know about the statements that Brendan Dassey supposedly made?
A. I think I did see the news conference when it first came out. I did watch that.
Q. The one that Mr. Kratz --
A. Yes.
Q. I mean -- Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember one, two -- it's been a while, but do you remember anything about that news conference?
A. I can remember most of it probably. I couldn't repeat it, but I remember the --
Q. Sort of gist of it?
A. Yeah, if you want to call it that.
Q. Did it curl your hair? That news was pretty shocking stuff.
A. It was pretty graphic, yes.
Q. So, how does somebody with a 17 year old daughter react to that.
A. Well, it -- it -- like you said, it made my hair
curl. Disturbing is what it was.
Q. Yeah, so here I'm representing the guy who didn't make all those statements, the guy the news conference wasn't directly about but, you know, did this get linked to Steve Avery in your head or, I mean, did you see this -- that press conference as being about more than Brendan Dassey? Can you just tell me about that.
A. Sure, it did. Because I believe they were talking about Steven Avery in the story too, both names were mentioned.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. Of course, at that time, you are not sitting there thinking, gee, I may be a possible juror some day.
A. No.
Q. But, I mean, you have had college. I mean, you not only graduated from high school, it looks like you had --
A. Technical college, Yes.
Q. Yeah, I mean you have gone to school beyond high school?
A. Yes.
Q. So you know -- You know that in our country someone is presumed innocent unless and until the State can prove them guilty beyond a reasonable
doubt?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's stuff you have heard, I assume?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you buy into that? Do you take that to heart?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. So, how -- You know, if you were sitting in Steven Avery's chair, knowing that you are possibly going to be judging you, you know, if you're sitting in this chair, you had seen this news conference and it's about both Brendan and Steven; would you -- would you want you as a juror, would you view you as someone who can say, yeah, I can put that aside, I assume they got the wrong guy here?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm sorry, I have to object to that. Asking the juror to put themselves in the shoes of the defendant, I think is improper question.

THE COURT: Why don't you try and rephrase the question Mr. Strang.
Q. Do you think -- I mean, as you sit here today, do you presume that they got the wrong guy?
A. I believe that --

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to have to
object, that's not the standard.
THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. I mean, I think it is. I'm trying to get at, do you presume him innocent, which would mean he didn't do it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: No, I have to object.
THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection again.
Q. Does the news conference make you tend to think that maybe he is guilty?
A. I -- I believe that he's innocent until proven guilty in the court. That's what I believe.
Q. And, again, look, you are under oath, obviously you are doing your best, there really are no wrong answers here, I mean, there really aren't, as long as they are truthful. I'm just trying to get at, you know, whether this is something you can do. And you have told me you believe he's innocent, unless these folks can prove him guilty.
A. Yes, that's what I believe.
Q. And I -- What I'm trying to get at, is that -- do you believe that in your gut, or is that something that you know, you know, that's the way
our system works, this is a great country, it's one of the freedoms we have, or the protections we have as citizens. Sort of at what level do you believe that? In other words, is that a big picture belief, or is that a detailed belief as to this case?
A. I'm just going to say that $I$ believe that he is innocent until proven guilty.
Q. The two other cases on which you were a juror, you actually sat on both those, you were one of the 12?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Have you been called for jury duty any other times?
A. No, this is my third time --
Q. Third time.
A. -- being called as juror.
Q. And were the -- The other two cases, were they both here in Manitowoc County, or was one of them federal?
A. They were both here.
Q. In this courthouse?
A. Yes.
Q. So, actually, you have never been kicked off, or not selected as a juror?
A. One of the times $I$ was kicked off on one of the trials, but I sat -- I was called the two times previous and I did sit on trials both times.
Q. Yeah. Okay. And kicked off is, you know what I mean, I mean you were one of the big group, but not one of the 12?
A. I did get, yes.
Q. One time. Okay. And you weren't -- You were not the foreperson either time, right?
A. What do you mean?
Q. You weren't the foreman of the jury?
A. No.
Q. Either time?
A. No, I wasn't.
Q. Do you remember anything about the criminal case you were on?
A. Yes.
Q. What the guy was charged with?
A. He was charged with driving without a license.
Q. Okay. And you all found him guilty?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did you go into that one saying, hey, I presume this guy is innocent and I'm going to wait and see whether they can prove to me, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he did it?
A. Yes.
Q. And was your experience pretty good with the rest of the jurors doing that?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't have to say, hey, wait a minute, you know, we've got some instructions here, you guys ought to follow these?
A. No.
Q. Did the guy who was on trial that time for operating; was it without a license or --
A. Right.
Q. -- after suspension or something? Did he testify?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Should somebody do that if they are on trial?
A. He was acting as his own lawyer.
Q. Therefore had a fool for a client.
A. Right.
Q. But, I mean, do you think someone ought to do that whether they are representing themselves or they have a lawyer, if they are accused of a crime and you didn't do it? What's your view about whether they should or shouldn't testify?
A. I would think that it would help the case if he did testify.
Q. Tell me about that.
A. I believe that it gives you a chance to prove your innocence.
Q. Because you have the right to testify?
A. Right.
Q. And you say it helps. Did it help you as a jury -- a juror, to hear that?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, a lot of us, fair-minded people, often say, I want to hear both sides of the story. I don't want to make up my mind until I hear both sides. Is that something --
A. Right.
Q. -- the way you approach the world too?
A. Right.
Q. So, what if I don't call Mr. Avery as a witness? I don't know if $I$ will or won't, but what if $I$ don't; how does that affect the way you look at the case?
A. I -- I don't really know what that would do.
Q. You are going to hear in this case -- If you wind up on the jury, you are going to hear that he was convicted once before, in Manitowoc County, of a crime it turned out he really didn't commit; have you heard anything about that?
A. Yes.
Q. The Innocence Project stuff?
A. Yes.
Q. And, first of all, I mean, do you have any questions about whether he did or didn't commit that 198 -- it was in 1985 -- the 1985 charges?
A. No, I don't have any questions.
Q. That is, you know, when the State Crime Lab did new DNA testing and said it wasn't him, it was another guy, you haven't had any reservations about that, or have you?
A. Well, I believe it was a shame that it happened.
Q. Right. But I mean, you haven't said, I bet he's guilty anyway?
A. No.
Q. And, I mean, you find out that he did testify in that case, in his own behalf, and got convicted anyway. And I mean, do you understand why that experience might make him, in particular, a little bit reluctant about testifying in his own behalf again?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to -- Well, I will let it go.
A. Yes.
Q. Why else might someone who is not guilty decide
not to testify in his own behalf?
A. He might not want to speak in front of people, like me.
Q. I was going to say, why does that one come to mind first. Well, yeah, that's -- absolutely. The guy you had on your prior criminal jury didn't have a lawyer, would lawyers enter into that, I mean our advise or our views?
A. Sure.
Q. As to what he might do?
A. Yes.
Q. What other reasons might somebody just decide, no, I'm going to rely on my presumption of innocence. I'm not going to take the witness stand?
A. That's also his right.
Q. That's true. And I take it you don't have any beef with the law in that respect?
A. No.
Q. Okay. We have asked you, both of us have asked you a little bit about -- Mr. Fallon called him the co-defendant, I'm calling him by his name -Brendan Dassey, and his statements, or at least the news conference that you heard. Have you ever had an experience, or read about something,
had this touch you, where somebody admitted doing something he actually did not do, or she actually did not do? I mean, one of your kids ever done that, for example, when they were little, fessed up to something they hadn't done?
A. Usually the other way around.
Q. Yes, it is usually the other way around. But I'm just, do you think it's possible that people can, under the right or wrong circumstances, admit doing something they really didn't do?
A. I guess it's possible.
Q. I know I can't give you names or anything, but I'm aware of an occasional circumstance where maybe there is a high publicity crime and somebody who is "a couple bubbles off plumb" comes forward to the police and says he did it, for the attention, sort of an attention seeking, weird motive; have you heard of that sort of thing?
A. Yes.
Q. But when you hear -- when you hear a prosecutor or a witness, police officer, somebody saying somebody confessed or admitted their involvement; what's your -- what's your first reaction to the power of that?
A. I guess I would want to believe it.
Q. Why?
A. Because of who it's coming from, meaning the police officer, or whoever is telling us.
Q. Right, yeah. And they might well believe it. I mean, you would assume that they believed it. But what about the actual person who supposedly made this statement himself; do you think -- What I'm getting at is, are you saying, well, you know, if he admitted it, game over, nobody would admit killing someone if they hadn't really done it? Or are you somebody who says, geez, does that make sense, I wonder if he really did do what he's claiming he did?
A. It didn't make sense at the time $I$ heard it.
Q. Okay. You -- On your questionnaire, there was this question that asks you -- I don't expect you to remember this and I can't remember the exact language of it either, but there's a question that says, look, you are going to be told, in deciding which witnesses to believe, that a law enforcement officer is just like every other witness, got to consider the same things in deciding how much weight to give his or her testimony just like you would any other witness
under the sun. And you answered, yes, you could follow that sort of instruction.
A. Yes.
Q. You could live with that. And then, Mr. Fallon followed up a little on that and asked you to rank or rate how likely it was that a law enforcement officer would not tell the truth on the stand. And I want -- I want to pick up from there with you. How likely is it that anyone, any witness who's sworn under oath, would lie on the witness stand?
A. Not very likely.
Q. In other -- I mean, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, but the way you look at the world, you say, you know for most people an oath means something.
A. Yes, I believe that.
Q. And I, you know, I mean, do you agree that there are probably some people out there who just don't care about an oath and would lie in spite of having sworn?
A. Yes, I'm sure that happens.
Q. But you are saying most people at least, the oath matters to them?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any reason that the oath should matter more to a police officer as opposed to, you know, a truck driver, a school bus driver, you know, a homemaker, or anybody else?
A. It shouldn't matter.
Q. What the job is you mean?
A. Right.
Q. Going back now, I'm just sort of going through the questionnaire a little bit, but going back to the jury service, and you were asked after you told us about what juries, and when, and what kind of case, you were asked how you felt about that service. And you wrote, I had no negative feelings about serving as a juror.

I'm curious about that, about why
because, $I$ mean, $I$ know it's inconvenient at best, and sometimes it costs people money, in terms of lost income; how come you had no negative feelings about serving as a juror?
A. I thought it was an interesting process and I thought it was fair.
Q. Fair to whom?
A. Fair to the suspect.
Q. Yeah, but how about fair to you, I mean, is what I'm wondering?
A. It was fair to me. I didn't have any objections to serving.
Q. And I didn't ask you how long the civil case went; do you remember about how long a trial that was?
A. I think that was like a week, five days, something like that.
Q. And the guy represented himself, and the operating without a license case had to be one, two days at the most?
A. That was a one day.
Q. So, if we -- if you wind up on this jury, and you wind up having to come and go, come and go, you know, to Chilton, for six weeks; how is that going to affect you at work or -- I mean, I'm not trying to pry into your home finances, but how is that going to affect you with all the hats you wear, dad, employee, husband, member of your union, member of your church? How is that going to affect you?
A. It won't affect me at work.
Q. They will be cool about it?
A. Well, $I$ will be paid for jury service.
Q. Okay. And other stuff, I mean, can you fit this into your life?
A. It's an inconvenience, but $I$ can fit it in.
Q. Have I asked anything, or for that matter, did Mr. Fallon ask anything that, you know, caused you to think of some other issue you should bring up with us, or something the Court, or both sides should know about, you know, your getting into this six week project, if you do?
A. No.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. Thanks. I'm good. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Slaby. Linda will now escort you out from the courtroom.

Counsel, does either party have any motion with respect to this juror?

ATTORNEY FALLON: There's no motion from the State.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang?
ATTORNEY STRANG: No, no motion here.
THE COURT: Very well. That juror will be considered on the panel. At this time we're going to take a -- let's make it a seven minute break. We'll come back at 10:30 and resume with the next juror.
(Brief recess taken.) THE COURT: At this time we're back on the
record and juror, Diane Free, is in the courtroom; is that correct?

MS FREE: Yes.
THE COURT: Ms Free, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. At this point, we're moving on to the next step in the voir dire proceedings which gives the attorneys for each of the parties a chance to ask you some questions in order to determine whether you can be a fair and impartial juror in this case.

There's a few pieces of information $I$ didn't provide to you last week that I wish to now, that is, to assure you that the jurors in this case are not going to be sequestered, meaning that you will be able to, during the time of the trial, come home every evening before reporting back the next day.

And we're doing that because of the assurance from the jurors that the jurors will not listen to any news media accounts of this trial, or talk to anyone about it. As long as we can be assured of that it won't be necessary to sequester the jury.

I also want you to know that, although the voir dire proceedings today are held in open
court, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings. The press is not allowed to identify you by name as a juror in this case. And should you be selected to serve on the jury, television cameras are not permitted to photograph the jury, nor are there any still photos of the jury during the trial.

Finally, I will let you know that, unless you are stricken for cause today, you will be asked to come back to court in a few days for final jury selection. And you will get instructions by telephone further about that later.

Very well. At this point, then, we'll proceed to individual voir dire. Mr. Fallon.

THE CLERK: Judge, do you want her sworn.
THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, yes, we'll have the Clerk swear you in at this time.

THE CLERK: Will you please stand and raise your right hand.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Ms Free.
A. Good morning.
Q. I'm Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. To my left is Mr. Ken Kratz. He is also a Special Prosecutor. He's the District Attorney in Calumet County. There will be one other prosecutor assisting us, Mr. Norm Gahn, from Milwaukee. But we wanted to welcome you and say good morning.

We have reviewed your questionnaire and just have a few questions about that, and some general questions as well. If you could tell us a little bit about what you do with the City of Manitowoc Library.
A. When someone requests a book, I'm the one that goes and pulls it off the shelf. I don't work for the library, in effect. I work for the library system. The library system has five -five employees. That means I work also for Two Rivers, Brillion, Chilton, Kiel, New Holstein. I deliver books. If you request a book in Chilton from our library, I bring it out there.
Q. Would it be fair to say that you are a book lover?
A. I love books, yes.
Q. What kind of books do you like to read?
A. Everything.
Q. Do you?
A. I like biographies, the most.
Q. Fiction, non-fiction, both?
A. Both.
Q. Yeah. All right. Do you like historical novels as well?
A. I'm not much on romance.
Q. All right.
A. But anything else.
Q. How long have you worked with the library system?
A. Either 15 and a half or 16 and a half years; I'm not quite sure.
Q. Okay. All right. I also noted from your questionnaire, you are currently president of your union?
A. Yes.
Q. The AFSCME local chapter.
A. Yes.
Q. How do you like that? How do you like to be the prez?
A. It's okay. I'm doing it because no one else will.
Q. All right. What kind of duties do you have as the local union president?
A. I call the meeting to order. I make sure all the agenda is followed, that if we have new members they are sworn in. Mainly just following the procedure, that we get reports from each committee.
Q. How long have you held that position?
A. I think about four years.
Q. Okay. Do you enjoy it?
A. It depends on the weather.
Q. Okay.
A. If it's cold out on that Tuesday night, no. Other than that, it's a chance to get together and talk to people.
Q. Do you involve yourself in any of the negotiations, labor negotiations?
A. No, none at all.
Q. You are not on the bargaining team or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Would you say your local union is active? Is there a lot of work, a lot of volunteer time you have tied up in this?
A. I think the local itself is active. My participation is that one night a month. I don't --
Q. Okay.
A. -- hand out leaflets, or go pounding on doors, or.
Q. So, if you were selected as a juror in this case, that wouldn't interfere too much with your responsibilities?
A. Oh, no. No.
Q. Okay. Where would you say you get most of your information from the media, your news?
A. Mostly the radio. Like I said, I drive three afternoons a week so I'm in the van. I don't subscribe to the paper. Occasionally I will go on line and look at the paper. I only listen to the news until the obituaries are over, then I turn to a music station.
Q. Okay. All right. As someone who's a book lover, would I be correct in surmising that you are an individual who likes to receive and process information?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If you see something of interest on the news, or you hear something of interest on the radio, are you the type of person who might stop
at the library, or better yet, use your computer at home, to look for the story, or the story behind the story, or any other information associated with that?
A. I'm trying to recall if $I$ have ever done that. Occasionally, if $I$ hear of an author, an author $I$ read, who has a new book, or I check up on the authors, the author's websites to see if they are coming out with a new book, like the new Harry Potter book. I know when that's going to come out. I check my author's list.
Q. My daughter would like to know the answer to that; when is it coming out?
A. June 21st.
Q. All right. I will mention that to her. Have you done any -- Well, let me ask this question first. Have you paid much attention to any of the media accounts of this particular case, Mr. Avery's situation?
A. I have heard of it. You would have to live in a cave not to. I don't think an undue amount, but I am aware of it.
Q. Have you done any looking behind the scenes, as it were, or followed up on anything of interest in any of the stories?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And as I note from your report, you don't really have any opinions regarding the circumstances facing Mr. Avery, his guilt, or his innocence, or any of that?
A. No, I believe the media has found him guilty.
Q. Okay.
A. I believe they can make you believe whatever they want.
Q. All right. But have you been swayed by them?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Any particular reason why you haven't been swayed?
A. They are not going to tell me what to think.
Q. That's a good reason. All right. Speaking of the media, do you have any friends or relatives, family members, who actually work for the media?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, I note from the questionnaire, you don't have any prior jury experience?
A. No.
Q. As you sit and think about the prospect of being a possible juror in this case, is there any particular philosophical belief, or religious tenet that you adhere to that you think might
present a problem for you being a juror?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. All right. Do you think you would be able to follow the instructions as provided on the law, by Judge Willis, if he told you what the law is, and this is your job, you would be able to follow those?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you, or anyone ever close to you, ever been in charge of writing any safety guidelines or setting up or enforcing safety standards?
A. No.
Q. Okay. What did you do before you got into the library business?
A. I was a homemaker.
Q. Okay.
A. I was lucky to be a stay at home mom.
Q. How long have you been a resident of Manitowoc County?
A. I was born here.
Q. You were born here. All right. In terms of your familiarity with the Sheriff's Department, being a lifelong resident; do you feel they are doing a good job in dealing with crime and dealing with the public, or a poor job, or how would you rate
their performance?
A. I don't really know that much about it. I have never had to report a crime, so I have never --
Q. Okay.
A. -- had them knocking on my door for anything.
Q. In your -- Well, do you have any opinions as to the situation when a police officer, or law enforcement officer, is testifying in a court of law, whether it's likely or unlikely that they would lie under oath?
A. I don't think they would be more likely or unlikely than anyone else, they are just --
Q. They are just people?
A. Yeah, they are no different than you or I.
Q. All right. How about in your thinking, are they any more likely, or less likely than anyone else to conspire to convict an innocent person?
A. I don't really know, I'm not --
Q. All right. Do you have any opinion, or how likely it is a law enforcement agency would plant or tamper with evidence to secure an arrest? I mean, do you think they would be that concerned about crime that they would go that far? Do you think that's likely, or unlikely, or not likely at all?
A. I think they would be more likely to want to get the guilty party rather than anyone.
Q. And not convict anyone innocent?
A. Right.
Q. Are you a puzzle person?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you like to work on puzzles?
A. Yes.
Q. What kind of puzzles do you like to work on?
A. Jigsaw, crossword puzzles.
Q. All right. Do you like word puzzles as well?
A. That's a crossword puzzle.
Q. Okay. Yeah. All right. Would you consider yourself more of a detail oriented person, or are you more of a big picture person?
A. Detail.
Q. Okay. Why would you say that?
A. I'm a list maker. I like to cross things off my list, one thing at a time.
Q. Okay. So I would take it you would consider yourself pretty organized?
A. Yes, very much so.
Q. Would that be how you got into the library system?
A. No, that's because I liked books.
Q. Okay.
A. As I get older, I want things in a certain way, my way.
Q. Right. I think we all do. Since you work in the library system, I will ask, are you familiar with a trade publication called Auto Trader, a magazine to sell automobiles?
A. No.
Q. Have you heard, read, or seen anything regarding the Project Innocence that is a program here in Wisconsin?
A. I have heard of it. I believe it's students from Madison. And the reason I actually paid attention to it is one of my sons attended UW Madison. So that's what caught my eye -- or my ear about it.
Q. All right. The son who attended Madison, did he go on to law school?
A. No.
Q. Or just on to graduate experience?
A. Just accounting.
Q. Now, the project has as its primary goal to free those who were wrongfully convicted. Do you have any particular problem with that, or do you think that's a good idea, good program, bad program?
A. I think it's a very good program.
Q. Okay. Do you follow the media accounts of -well, the day-to-day activities of the criminal courts here in Manitowoc County? Do you know what people have been accused of and who's being convicted of what?
A. No.
Q. Do you follow much of that?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any opinion as to whether the court system here in Manitowoc, for instance, is too harsh or too lenient?
A. I have no opinion.
Q. No opinion. Okay. Would you like to serve on this jury?
A. Actually, I would.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm finding the whole process very interesting, very enlightening.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. I have never had any contact with it before. I mean, I have been through a divorce, that's about my big --
Q. Right.
A. It's different than being in a divorce. It's
very precise, very organized.
Q. Okay.
A. It's very interesting.
Q. All right. Have you ever been even called for jury duty before?
A. No.
Q. This is your first call?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any chance that if you were selected as a jury -- as a juror in this case, that six weeks of jury service would be -- is there any hardship for you that would be associated with that?
A. No, I mean, outside of my days being tied up, but financially or health wise, no.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all we have. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Fallon. Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. I did not catch this at the beginning, but do you prefer Mrs. Free, or Ms Free.
A. I prefer Diane.
Q. Okay. I'm probably -- I prefer Dean, but I'm probably not allowed to do that.
A. Doesn't matter, I have earned both of them. It doesn't matter.
Q. All right. I will be formal, to a certain extent. Your husband, is he a union guy too?
A. Yes.
Q. Same one, AFSCME?
A. Yes.
Q. Same local?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm curious, you -- it sounded to me, or read to me, in reading your questionnaire, as if you had picked up most of the information you have about this case from the radio.
A. Yes.
Q. What radio station do you listen to?
A. WOMT. WOMT.
Q. Okay. Which is?
A. It's the city.
Q. Right. It's local?
A. Local, yeah.
Q. Is that 1240?
A. Yes.
Q. AM. Do you ever call in?
A. No.
Q. No.
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. I would feel like they -- people would know who it was. No, I prefer to be anonymous.
Q. While we're on anonymity, I'm curious whether in your 15 and a half or 16 and a half years, whatever it is, in the library system here, in the last five years or so, have you gotten pulled in at all to the issue about the federal
government coming and asking libraries to say what people are looking at on the internet or what books --
A. Right to privacy, I know about it because it happens in the libraries. It is not my job, so I'm not really -- I'm not the person that checks out. I'm not the person that you would talk to about what your child is reading. All I do is pull the books off the shelf and deliver them to the appropriate library. I don't deal with the public at all.
Q. Okay. But as a union president have you been pulled into a discussion about that --
A. No.
Q. -- sort of policy or a change in our world? Any opinions about it?
A. I guess I have opinions both ways. I shouldn't be entitled to know what my children are reading. At the same time, there has to be a cut off for that. If they are 15 and they are reading about homosexuality, they are okay, that's -- you know, there is a personal choice, there is a time for that.
Q. Okay. So, back to the radio -- Okay. Do you read the Herald Times Reporter?
A. Very, very seldom. I don't subscribe to it. If I do, I usually look at the obituaries and the births.
Q. Okay. I was going to repeat an old George Burns line about looking at the obituaries, but I won't. Do you have a newspaper you would prefer to the Herald Times if it's a matter of, hey, I have an hour to sit down with the newspaper?
A. No, I will read my book. I will read a book.
Q. So, on this case, what do you know about Brendan Dassey?
A. I know that he's Steven Avery's nephew, that he confessed and recanted his confession. That's about it.
Q. How did you pick that up?
A. I believe it was on the radio. I think so.
Q. So, if someone confesses and then recants the confession, I guess one of the two times he is lying, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know of any reason someone might confess falsely to something they didn't do?
A. I can imagine, he's 16 years old, or what was at the time. He's under pressure. He's -- I have never been questioned by the police, but I think at 16 he is very impressionable, he would want to please whoever he is talking to.
Q. And in your mind, you know, whether the confession is true or the recantation is true, what, in your mind, does this have to do with Steven Avery?
A. Nothing, actually. It's a he said, she said type of thing, prove it.
Q. And on proving it, or the he said, she said, you probably know enough civics to know that -- and you actually got this from the questionnaire -that in this country a person accused of a crime can -- he can testify on his own behalf.
A. Yes.
Q. Gets to be treated just like any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that -- Is that acceptable to you? Is that, you know, a good rule of law.
A. Yes, it's his right.
Q. And he also has the flip side, he also can decline to testify and rely on --
A. He doesn't have to prove his innocence.
Q. Why not?
A. The prosecution has to prove his guilt. He's presumed innocent. We all are.
Q. Right. But my question is, why are you happy to live with a system, if you're happy to live with a system, where the guy with the most at stake doesn't have to say a word?
A. That's just -- I don't know. That's the way I was brought up. You are presumed innocent. He is. I am. It's my right.
Q. If you -- If you wind up on this jury, would -and I decide -- Jerry Buting and I decide not to call Steven Avery as a witness, is there going to be a voice in your head, back when you are deliberating a verdict saying, I don't know, I needed to hear from Mr. Avery himself?
A. I don't believe so. He also has the right not to testify.
Q. And in the end, he will do one or the other.
A. But he does not have to prove that he's innocent. He is innocent until -- until it's proven that he is not.
Q. And I -- And that's -- that is good big picture abstraction stuff but, you know, you are a details person and --
A. He's already said he didn't do it.
Q. Okay. And nothing -- nothing that you have heard on the radio, or seen in the paper, or caught a glimpse of on TV, has that shaken you off that at all; can you hang onto that?
A. I believe I can. They said he was guilty years ago and he wasn't.
Q. He testified then, you will learn.
A. I did not know that.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm going to impose an objection to the phrasing of that question. Are we not introducing facts or --

THE COURT: Your objection is sustained.
Q. What do you find in Star Magazine that tickles your fancy?
A. The crossword puzzle. That's the first thing I do. They actually have three little crossword puzzles in there.
Q. Any of them acrostics or are they all straight
crossword puzzles?
A. One is a word search, one is like a celebrity, if you know the celebrity's name, one is just a regular crossword puzzle.
Q. Do you do them all?
A. Yes.
Q. Time yourself?
A. No, I don't even finish them all.
Q. Ever listen to NPR on the weekends, National Public Radio.
A. No.
Q. Or Will Shorts, the puzzle master?
A. No.
Q. Okay. You know, as you are -- if you are on the jury, and the time, you know, you will be told you can't talk about the case or decide it until the very end. And when the time comes to try to reach a verdict with 11 other jurors, and you are working through did the State prove these charges, beyond a reasonable doubt; do you feel well equipped or not? How well equipped do you feel to get in and wrestle with scientific evidence?
A. I'm not a professional, but I feel I am not unintelligent. I'm of average. I feel equipped to do it.
Q. Do you think that scientific evidence in and of itself is entitled to more weight or less weight than other types of evidence?
A. I'm not quite sure what you mean by scientific evidence.
Q. Yeah. Well, here is where I'm going with that. You like to make lists. You like the feeling of checking things off your lists. You are oriented toward detail. And that's, you know, if we get into DNA evidence, for example, or collecting minute pieces of things, looking for trace evidence of things, preserving evidence, that can get very detailed. And then when you hear people from the Crime Lab, they will talk about procedures or protocols they have. They are also essentially lists that they go down on doing things.

And that's where I'm going is, whether that's likely to resonate with you more or less, how it's likely to compare to, you know, maybe just some fellow off the street who happened to see something, or is testifying to something he saw or heard?
A. I think you have to give it all equal weight. It
is a puzzle, put the pieces together.
Q. That works. I'm just asking for your answer. And that's all I have got unless there's something that has come out of this that has caused you to say, boy, I probably should tell these -- tell the Court about X or Y or Z .
A. No, I can't think of anything.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thanks much.
THE COURT: All right. Ms Free, you can stand up and Linda will escort you from the courtroom.

Either party have a motion with respect to Juror 3?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
THE COURT: Very well. The Court will consider her on the list. We'll bring in Jason Rezash.

Mr. Rezash, please remain standing, the Clerk will administer an oath.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Rezash, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. This
morning we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is the chance for the attorneys to ask questions of you relating to your qualifications as a juror.

Before we begin, $I$ wanted to pass on a few items of information that $I$ did not mention last week. First of all, the jurors who are selected to hear this case will not be sequestered. That means the jurors will be able to come back and forth to their homes each day at the conclusion of the trial. We're doing that after receiving the assurance of the jurors that they will not listen to any accounts of this matter in the news media, or watch anything on television, or discuss the case with anyone else.

Also, I want you to know that although these proceedings are in open court, no cameras are allowed in the courtroom during the voir dire proceedings. And the press is not allowed to identify individual jurors by names in any news reporting of this matter. Finally, the jurors who are selected to serve on the jury in this case will not be on camera at any time during the trial.

If you are not stricken for cause after
the proceedings today, you will get instructions to return to court in the next few days for the next step in the juror selection process.

Mr. Fallon, at this point you may begin. ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning. And if you could help me out and pronounce your last name for me.
A. Rezash.
Q. Rezash. Very good. My name is Tom Fallon, Mr. Rezash. I'm an Assistant Attorney General assisting in the prosecution of this case. Lead counsel is to my left, Mr. Ken Kratz, District Attorney for Calumet County. Thank you for coming this morning.

I note from your questionnaire that you work at the Point Beach Nuclear Plant as a security officer?
A. Correct.
Q. How long have you been there?
A. Almost five years.
Q. Have you always been in the security component at the plant, or did you have other duties before that?
A. No, always security.
Q. Tell me a little bit about the security duty that you have at the plant.
A. It's kind of like regulating what comes in and protecting the plant I guess. Making sure no firearms, or explosives, or anything would enter the plant to harm the employees and general public.
Q. Okay. Do you work then at the gate, or are you inside the building making rounds, or exactly how do you perform that function?
A. It depends where you are scheduled for the day. Sometimes you are inside, rotating through, and other times you are at the gate for incoming traffic, personnel and vehicles.
Q. As a security officer, are you licensed or permitted to carry weapons with you?
A. Yes, I have two permits, permit for a firearm and private security permit through the State of Wisconsin.
Q. And how long have you held those permits?
A. Private security permit, probably, well, the extent that I have been there, almost five years. And the firearm permit, probably about four.
Q. Okay. In your capacity as security officer, have
you ever had to use a show of force, or use any weapon that you have been trained to use?
A. No, not outside of training.
Q. And do you periodically receive update trainings?
A. Yes, throughout the year.
Q. I also note you put down formal education, you said seven years, I'm assuming that's -- is that high school and college, or is that college and after college.
A. No, that's just college.
Q. Okay. Any particular specialty that you took at the technical college?
A. I was started out in engineering and then kind of got sick of math, so I switched to elementary education.
Q. All right. Engineering, to elementary education, to security; how did that come about?
A. I took a break from school and needed a full-time job, so I got a job out at the plant. And I'm capable of doing my homework at work, so I kind of went back part time.
Q. All right. Do you enjoy the job that you have?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Any particular reason?
A. It's too boring. I need to keep busy.

THE COURT: Counsel, I'm going to interrupt you briefly, could you approach the bench, please. ATTORNEY FALLON: Sure. (Side bar taken).
Q. Couple more questions, Mr. Rezash, really quickly. You indicated you had some chemistry and DNA training in Lakeland.
A. No, that wasn't through Lakeland, that was through UW school system. I have had chemistry classes, so. I don't know nothing pertaining to DNA, just chemistry.
Q. Okay. Now, on one particular question on the questionnaire has some concern for us, and it was one question you left blank. So I would like to talk to you a little bit about that. The question was, based on the information from any source that you have received, do you have an opinion as to Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence. And you answered yes, and then you said, from seeing and reading the news, and discussing the case with others, I believe he is guilty as charged. But the reason he's going to trial is because that's the law.

And the follow-up question which you didn't answer was, should you be selected to
serve as a juror, could you set those opinions aside and base your decision only on the evidence presented in court and the instructions that Judge Willis gives you. So I want to talk just a little bit briefly about that.

You said, but the reason this is going to trial is because that's the law; what did you mean by that?
A. That's our -- Well, that's the law. That's the way the ground work was set. I mean, you are entitled to a trial. That's what I meant by it.
Q. All right. So, if you think that he is guilty from the media accounts, is there any way that you can set those opinions aside and decide this case strictly on what the evidence is that's presented in the courtroom, as opposed to what you hear outside?
A. Honestly, probably not.
Q. Why is that?
A. That's just my belief. I don't know, based on all accounts that $I$ have read, $I$ don't know. That's kind of the opinion $I$ have already formed.
Q. So even if the judge were to tell you that Mr. Avery is presumed innocent unless and until Mr. Kratz and I can prove him guilty, you would
not follow that instruction and listen to the evidence?
A. Probably not.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I have no further questions for this witness.

THE COURT: Any questions from the defense?
ATTORNEY BUTING: No, Judge, no questions at all.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rezash, if you follow the Clerk, she'll lead you to the next stop. Does either party have a motion or do the parties have a joint motion to make?

ATTORNEY FALLON: We'll move to strike the juror.

ATTORNEY BUTING: We join.
THE COURT: All right. I believe based on the answers given by the juror in court today and the answers on the questionnaire, that Mr. Rezash could not set his opinions aside and be a fair and impartial juror here. So the Court will order that he be stricken for cause. And we'll move on to the next juror.

Ms Temme, I'm going to ask you to stand for a minute, first, while the Clerk swears you in.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Temme, you have already filled out a questionnaire at court proceedings last week, today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is individual voir dire. The attorneys for each of the parties have a chance to ask you some questions.

Before we begin those questions, I wanted to add some information to what I provided you last week, that is, to notify you that the jurors selected in this case will not be sequestered during the trial, meaning the jurors will be able to come home every evening after the proceedings and stay at home. We made that decision assuming the commitment of the jurors to not listen to any news media accounts about this case, or see anything on television or the internet, or discuss the case with anyone else.

I also want you to know that although these proceedings are in open court, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings and the media is not allowed to identify any of the individual jurors by name.

In addition, should you be selected to serve in this case, the jurors are not permitted to be photographed during the trial or appear in any television accounts of the trial.

I note that you did request in your questionnaire permission to provide some answers should the question be raised in private. I have asked the attorneys to notify me before asking you any such questions. And if you believe they ask you any such questions before I get notified, let me know and I will take your request up at that time.

Finally, if you are not stricken for cause after today's proceedings, you will receive a telephone notice within the next few days as to when to return for the next step.

Mr. Fallon, at this time you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Department of Justice here in Wisconsin and I'm assisting in the prosecution of this case. To my left is Ken Mr. Kratz, the

Special Prosecutor, and he's from Calumet County. He's the District Attorney. Thanks for coming in this morning. We have a few questions to help us in selecting a jury.

I would like to begin with a little bit about your work; you work at Manitowoc Ice?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Yes.
Q. And how long have you worked for them?
A. Ten years. It will be eleven in April.
Q. And what kind of work do you do, specifically, for them?
A. Inside customer service for our international market.
Q. Okay. And are you in marketing, sales, distribution; how would you characterize what you do?
A. Customer service.
Q. Customer service.
A. Order entry and just taking care of the customer's --
Q. Okay.
A. -- requests, maintaining their orders, shipping.
Q. Any employment before your time with Manitowoc Ice?
A. I worked for Kaysun Corporation.
Q. What did you do for them?
A. Also customer service.
Q. Okay. I'm not from the area, so forgive me; what does Kaysun Corporation, what do they do?
A. Custom injection molding company.
Q. Okay. I also see from your questionnaire you have an associate degree for a legal assistant.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. All right. Did you pursue any employment in the legal field?
A. I was an intern at a local law firm, but it didn't last long, no.
Q. I have to ask, since I'm still in the legal field; was there anything -- the pursuit of law not interest you?
A. Pretty much the pay.
Q. Okay.
A. And no benefits, yeah.
Q. Have you had any occasion at all to use any of the legal training that you had?
A. No.
Q. No. Okay. Now, I also note from your questionnaire, there were several individuals that you indicated that you were at least familiar with on some level. And one of them is

Mr. Curt Drumm, how do you know Mr. Drumm?
A. I think he was like a year older than me in high school, so we kind of new each other just in school.
Q. All right.
A. Not really that familiar, just he's kind of a personality in town too. Does some fundraisers so he is known for that.
Q. Okay. So he is kind of well known?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you went to school with him, that's four familiarity, okay. How about Ms Debbie Knox?
A. She was the vice principal at Wilson Jr. High School when my daughter was attending that school.
Q. Do you know her well?
A. No.
Q. Would you -- I forgot to ask, would you say you are friends, or acquaintance, or just know Mr. Drumm?
A. I know him, but $I$ guess if $I$ would see him on the street, we would probably say hi and maybe have a short conversation.
Q. Okay. You also indicated that you were familiar
with the District Attorney here in Manitowoc, Mark Rohrer; how do you know Mr. Rohrer?
A. He was a neighbor about a year ago. Not like a neighbor in our neighborhood, I guess the neighborhood I lived in about a year ago. And we maybe in -- I might have known him from being in some community theatre activities.
Q. Would you consider yourself close friends, or close acquaintances, or just someone that you know?
A. Someone I know that I would probably say hi to and have a small conversation with.
Q. All right. A couple other questions from your questionnaire -- Oh, by the way, you also know the Clerk of Court's, Lynn Zigmunt?
A. She was an employee at the law office that $I$ was shortly employed at.
Q. Okay. Did you develop any friendship or strong acquaintance from working with her at that time?
A. No.
Q. In other words, just someone that you worked with very briefly at this point in the past?
A. Right. And if we would see each other, I would probably say hi and have a short conversation, just basic.
Q. You also expressed an opinion that Mr. Avery, when asked if you had an opinion on his guilt or innocence, you indicated you did. And you said, he seems to be involved in the disappearance of Teresa Halbach. Why do you say that?
A. Just because of what $I$ have heard on the news and the newspapers, prior.
Q. Okay. And where do you get most of your news about this particular case that kind of led you to that opinion; was that television, radio, newspaper, internet?
A. I think it was mostly the radio.
Q. Mostly radio. Okay. Now, you followed that up with an answer to the question, if you were selected to serve as a juror, could you set those opinions aside and base your decision only on the evidence presented in court, and you answered yes; are you confident in your ability to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. Any particular reason why you have that opinion?
A. I just think I could -- I would be able to listen to both sides and give an honest answer.
Q. Okay. Now, you -- On one question you were asked, if you served on a jury, how do you think
you would feel about that service. And you said you would find it very interesting. Any particular reason about that? Was that from perhaps your legal training?
A. It's -- The legal system is very interesting, how it works, so I think it would be real interesting.
Q. Okay. And any particular reason why you find it interesting?
A. Just that, you know, things need to be proven. I mean, if you do something, it's not just cut and dry as to what someone might say on one side or the other, it has to be -- the whole thing has to be presented and then decided by a jury, I guess, so it's interesting.
Q. So you like the give and take, and the arguments, and the evidence, and you find that interesting?
A. Yes.
Q. You wouldn't find that tedious or boring?
A. No.
Q. Now, one of the other questions in your questionnaire that might be of some concern to us is you thought that it might be, perhaps, a hardship if you are selected as a juror?
A. My employer asked me to say that it was a
hardship for him. I think they would manage.
Q. So it's more of a hardship for your employer than it is for you?
A. I think they would manage.
Q. Okay. Well, is that -- But I think in fairness to you, we should ask, are you concerned with that, I mean, if you were selected as a jury (sic) that this might have an adverse impact on the job or anything?
A. No, I don't think it would.
Q. Okay. So they were just kind of teasing you, half kidding, have serious, we would rather have you here than there?
A. Yeah.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will defer my last set of questions for the end.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang or Mr. Buting. ATTORNEY BUTING: I will do this, Judge. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Is it Temme, is that how you --
A. Terri Temme, yes.
Q. Okay. My name is Jerome Buting. This is Dean Strang. And you understand that we represent Steven Avery, right?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to focus in a little bit on, there's been a lot of publicity in this case, right, you are aware of that?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You know, I would like to really get down to -to why you think he -- it seems he's involved and what you have learned about the case over -- I understand you read the newspaper, the Herald Times, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you read that regularly?
A. I get it every day. Yeah, I get the paper.
Q. And have you followed the news articles about the Steven Avery case?
A. To tell you the truth, after probably the last, over the summer and up till now, not really, no.
Q. Kind of got tired of it after awhile, it was all -- in the spring it seemed to be there all the time?
A. I was busy traveling with work also, out of the country and stuff, so it was, yeah, not important to me.
Q. You had work out of the country?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Where did you go?
A. I went to Leon, France in July, and to Hangzhou, China in October.
Q. Oh, okay. And that's to help service customers over there?
A. Our sister companies are transferring over to the -- we're all going on to the same computer system, so $I$ was a mentor for both areas.
Q. Okay. I see. That was probably pretty interesting, I imagine, right?
A. It was very interesting.
Q. You also listen to the radio; what station would that be?
A. Well --
Q. For news, I'm talking about.
A. I listen to public radio in the morning, before I go to work. And I can't really get too many radio stations at my job. I don't know why, it's just because. So I think it's Cub radio that I listen to.
Q. So do you listen to 1240 WMOT (sic) much, or 1360?
A. I can't get those stations.
Q. You don't listen to them?
A. I can't get them and, no, and I don't listen to
them.
Q. Driving to work or anything like that, you stick with public radio?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You heard some publicity, I assume, about Brendan Dassey?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Yes.
Q. Tell me what you have learned about that, about him.
A. That he is also somehow involved in this, in the disappearance of Teresa Halbach. Somehow he's involved in that.
Q. Do you know the details of what he supposedly said?
A. I don't really know the details of what he said. I just know that it seems that he was in the vicinity and was there at some time.
Q. Did you happen to hear on the radio, or see on TV, any press conferences about Brendan Dassey?
A. I don't recall. I mean, I don't remember paying much attention to that at all.
Q. Have you read anything about how he has -subsequently recanted his confession, that is, taken it back?
A. No. It's my understanding that he confessed and
then he's been held ever since then.
Q. Okay. So you haven't heard anything about whether or not he's later withdrawn that confession?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. Or anything about that. Okay. Did the story, did it bother you when you heard it?
A. Initially, when -- about Mr. Avery and -- yes, $\mathrm{mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Which part are you talking about? Are you talking about when Mr. Avery was first arrested and they were looking for Teresa and all of that, or later, the Brendan Dassey part of it.
A. Oh, um, the before part, when they were looking for her.
Q. So, did you see on the news when she was still missing and they were looking for her, and they hadn't found her vehicle or anything like that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you -- Did you volunteer to go help search for her?
A. No.
Q. You have a daughter who is 21 and single, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$, yes.
Q. I mean, does that bother you, in particular did
you -- were you thinking about how this might have happened to your own daughter and how horrible something like that would be?
A. Actually no, I didn't.
Q. No. Why not?
A. Because it seems that $I$ feel she's safe. I don't know. I guess I didn't really think about that.
Q. So it's not something that you were ever concerned could happen to your daughter?
A. Of course, I worry about her. As a mother I worry about her, but I never imagined something, you know, terrible happening or.
Q. Sure. Now, when you say that you -- It seems from what you have read and heard on the radio, I guess, it seems that he's -- Mr. Avery is involved in some way. How do you think you can set that aside now and -- if you were selected as a juror?
A. I consider myself a very fair and honest person and I think I would be able to objectively listen to both sides of information and make an honest, objective decision.
Q. Do you think that sometimes people in the media, the way it's portrayed, they may seem guilty, or that it's a cut and dried case, but in reality
things might be very different?
A. Right.
Q. And you think you could look at this case that way?
A. I could look at it very objectively. Like, I guess I have learned not to always believe what the media says.
Q. You are familiar with the concept of the presumption of innocence, I assume?
A. Maybe.
Q. Well, do you know that defendants are presumed innocent?
A. Presumed innocent, mm-hmm.
Q. And in Mr. Avery's case, can you tell us today that you presume him to be innocent, even with everything you have heard or read? Be honest.
A. I'm going to say, yes, mm-hmm.
Q. You kind of struggled with that for a little bit, you just really wanted to be sure; is that what you're --
A. Yes, I wanted to be sure.
Q. Searching to make sure that you really believe that, right?

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm. Yes. Sorry.
Q. Now, there's another -- This is something that
defense attorneys always have to sort of struggle with, is the whole idea of whether or not to call the defendant as a witness at the trial. Some people think that, you know, if a defendant testifies, well, you know, why should we believe him. He's got everything at stake here, right, he's just trying to save his skin?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Some people think why didn't he take the stand, he is hiding something; how do you come down on that? Let me ask you it this way, do you think that Mr. Avery should testify and tell his side of the story? As a juror, is that what you want to hear?
A. I don't think it would make any difference to me if he did or did not take the stand, or it wouldn't make me feel either way that because he did take the stand, like, what you said, like people think that some people who take the stand will be --
Q. Can you think of any reasons why someone would choose not to testify if they were accused of some serious crime like this?
A. Because they have people like you, lawyers, to do the speaking for them?
Q. Okay. Or maybe they are not good with public speaking?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Things of that nature.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. So, if -- But if Mr. Avery did testify, would you be able to set aside those feelings you have had, things you have read in the newspaper, or heard, and really judge him like any other witness?
A. Yes, I think I could.
Q. You really think you could?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. I appreciate that. There are some questions about, you know, what kind of television shows you watch, CSI, and all those Law and Order kinds of things. And you said you don't watch those shows at all?
A. No.
Q. Do you -- Have you ever watched any police movies or stories, Law and Order crime kind of things?
A. I watch 48 hour Mystery, that's about the only type of show like that $I$ watch. Okay.
Q. Do you think that there's -- the police are more or less likely to lie under oath than any other witness?
A. I would think they are less likely to lie under oath.
Q. Okay. And why is that?
A. Because they are part of the community. I don't know. They are held up as an upstanding, you know, citizen, protecting us. So I think they would be honest in their answers.
Q. Okay. Do you think that they would be -- Can you think of any circumstance where maybe officers wouldn't tell the truth under oath?
A. No, I can't.
Q. So, if a police officer were to testify, there would be no doubt in your mind that that officer would always be telling the truth?
A. Yes, I would think that he was always telling the truth.
Q. Now, if the judge instructed you that you are to judge police officers just like any other witness, determine their credibility, weigh what they say against the other evidence, how they act, their demeanor, all of that; would you be able to do that, or do you think that you would just listen to the police officers and say, boy, if -- you know, if they are up there, they have got to be telling the truth.
A. I think I could be objective. I think I could listen to what they have to say and base what they say objectively. I'm not sure how to say that.
Q. Do you think that sometimes police officers can get personally involved in their investigations, really care about what they are doing?
A. Yes, I believe that.
Q. Do you think that they can -- maybe sometimes that they become convinced of someone's guilt and focus on that one person?
A. I guess that's possible, yes.
Q. Do you think that it's possible that they could be wrong about that, though?
A. I do. Yup, yes.
Q. Do you think sometimes that if a police officer is so involved, so convinced of his case that sometimes they might be tempted to cross the line a little bit?
A. Yes, I guess, you know, being a human being, we're all tempted in some way. You know, could be, yes.
Q. Maybe even cross the line to maybe shade their report a little bit to make it look a little bit different, or change a few words about what
someone says; is that possible?
A. I guess it's possible, yes, because human error can happen, or.
Q. But then if they would come into court and testify about that, perhaps they wouldn't really be telling the truth then. How would you judge whether they are telling the truth or not if you have a situation like that?
A. Have to just listen to everything that's being said, I guess.
Q. Now, do you understand that the -- You understand that the State has the burden of proof, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that Mr. Avery, like any defendant, doesn't have to prove his innocence, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you think that's a good idea?
A. As far as having the burden of proof on the State or?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think it's fair that they have this very high burden of proof, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes.
Q. And that the defense can just sit here and do
nothing?
A. Well --
Q. We better not.
A. I would expect -- Yeah, I would expect that the defense would do something. But that's part of our judicial, I guess, because if an innocent -that's the way we, I guess, find, you know, innocence and guilt, is through this process.
Q. Sure. But do you think that if the defense decides to put on a defense, if we decide to put on witnesses; are you going to make us prove that Mr. Avery is not innocent -- I'm sorry, is innocent, not guilty, just because we decide to put on some witnesses and put on a case?
A. Can you say that --

ATTORNEY FALLON: I was going to object to the phrasing of that question because I'm not sure it accurately deals with the standard that's to be expected of the juror.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting, why don't you try rephrasing it.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Sure. I'll rephrase it.
Q. I guess what I'm trying to ask here is, are you going to be able to really hold the State to the burden of proof and not make Mr. Avery have to
prove he's innocent of this charge, even if he decides to put on some witnesses -- or we decide to put on some witnesses on his behalf?
A. Yes, that's how I understood it would be, that the State has to prove innocence.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm sorry. Whatever.
Q. That's fine.
A. I think I got mixed up, but I think -- do you understand what I meant by that?
Q. I believe so, yeah. Okay. Do you not watch any of the shows that have any kind of -- actually 48 Hours sometimes deals with forensic science things of that nature, doesn't it?
A. I think so, I don't -- it probably does.
Q. Do you have any particular view on forensic science, that it's -- do you think it's entitled to greater weight, is more believable than perhaps other types of evidence?
A. Yes, it seems to be -- has been helpful in the -recently for, you know, things that have happened in the past for people that have been proven innocent.
Q. Okay. So DNA in particular, right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And that it can, in some instances actually prove someone is innocent, right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Like Mr. Avery, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You are aware of what happened to him, right? The 1985 conviction he was later exonerated from?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any questions or lingering doubt about that and think, oh, maybe he just really is guilty and got off somehow?
A. No.
Q. You are convinced he really was wrongly convicted in that case?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think, though, that not talking about DNA here in particular here, but other types of science, do you think that science is just infallible and that people don't make mistakes, or do you think that it's really a question of are you going to look at what it's based on, and what kind of research is behind it, and what sort of tests are being done?
A. I think people can make mistakes in science. And it's -- I'm not sure if I answered that
correctly, or the way you --
Q. Probably not well phrased. But are you going to be -- There will be some scientific evidence in this case and at times it may get sort of dry; are you going to be interested in following that, though?
A. Yes, of course.
Q. Looking at all of it, not just the end result, but just what went into it as well?
A. Yes.
Q. One thing, I thought was kind of interesting, you are involved in community theatre you said?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you do with that? Do you act?
A. Mm-hmm. I sing and act and dance. It's just a hobby .
Q. How long have you been doing that?
A. Hmm, maybe 15 or 20 years.
Q. Okay. What's the group that you -- What's the name of the community theatre?
A. I do a Heart-A-Rama fundraiser in the spring, that's for the Heart Association. And then maybe once or twice a year I do a show out at the UW Center for a fundraiser for the theatre groups, kids for theatre. So maybe now it's once every
other year.
Q. That's something you really enjoy though?
A. It's a hobby, yeah. People say I'm good, but I should keep my day job.
Q. All right. And Mr. Rohrer is involved in that too?
A. He used to be a long time ago. I don't recall ever being in a same show with him. But he was involved in Masquers, I believe, yeah.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. That's all I have for out here, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Just a second. ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you very much.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Ms Temme, I have a few questions for you, just to follow up on the questions about police officers, that Mr. Buting was asking you about. There may be, during the course of the trial, questions raised about the credibility of some of the police officers.

I understood from your answer that you believed they should be more credible because of the nature of their job. But I also believe you indicated you understood that, as humans, they
are just like others and may not tell the truth sometimes; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Not withstanding your belief about the fact that by the virtue of their job they should always tell the truth, if you're selected as a juror, will you be able to assess their credibility just like any other witness?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Counsel, do I take it from your comments that at this point you wish to follow up with a couple questions that, for which confidentiality was requested.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm going to indicate at this time, the law on this subject, first of all, presumes that voir dire proceedings are open. But in specific narrow situations, they can be closed to address specific personal matters involving sensitive questions.

I did have the jurors who filled out the questionnaires indicate specifically if they felt that their answers to any questions fell into
that category. And in this case, there was a yes answer to that. I have reviewed this jurors questionnaire and determined that the answers she referred to and the nature of those answers, do fall into the area of deeply personal matters which are to be kept out of the public domain. And that she made an affirmative request to have such matters be kept confidential.

Therefore, at this time, the Court is going to allow the counsel to address those matters, and those matters alone, in camera. That is, $I$ will briefly clear the courtroom at this time of all parties except court personnel. If you wait just outside the door, we'll have the bailiff notify you as soon as that portion of the questioning has been completed and invite everybody back into the courtroom.

I should also notice that $I$ did receive an inquiry from counsel for $W F R V$, their counsel in New York, last week, asking if any further court proceedings in this matter would be conducted outside the presence of the public. I notified that attorney and sent, by email, a copy of that letter to all of the other news media on the Court's emailing list, that with this narrow
exception that we're dealing with here, the Court did not anticipate that further proceedings would be closed in any fashion.

I also indicated that if that attorney or any others wished to be present to be heard before the proceedings were closed, to please let me know ahead of time so I could recognize the attorney and give the attorney a chance to be heard. So before we close these proceedings, are there any attorneys here representing any news media that wish to be heard before we briefly close these proceedings? I do not see any, so at this time, then, we'll clear the courtroom for a few minutes. If you wait nearby in the hall, I will have the bailiff notify you immediately as soon as you can come back in.
(Proceedings closed.)
(Following portion sealed.)
(Open court.)
THE COURT: All right. At this time the Court is back open. I will have the Clerk escort Ms Temme outside the courtroom. Counsel, does either party have a motion to make?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No motion from the State.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: No motion, Judge.
THE COURT: Very well. The Court will
include Ms Temme in the panel. Counsel, I think to move along I'm just going to take a half hour for lunch. I don't know if you want to take it now or after the next juror. What's your feeling? All
right. Let's take a break now and let's report back at 12:35.

I will also indicate that because of the rate at which we're going, I told them this afternoon to bring in eight jurors rather than the 15 that we had discussed. But I also want to let you know, we may go late this afternoon if we need to make some headway. All right. I'll see you in a half hour.
(Noon recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we're back on the record. I believe it's Jacqualine Ungrodt, the next juror, correct?

JURY BAILIFF: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. You can bring her in at this time. Ms Ungrodt, if you will please raise your right hand, we'll have the Clerk swear you.

## (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Ms Ungrodt, you have already filled out a juror questionnaire last week. Today we're moving on to the next step in the juror selection process, which is the chance for the attorneys for each side to individually ask questions to make sure that the juror meets the
qualifications.
I have a few pieces of information that I didn't pass on last week. First of all, the jurors selected to hear this case will not be sequestered for the length of the trial. We're doing that because of the fact the jurors are being instructed not to view any news media accounts of the case, or talk with anyone else about it. If the jurors can do that, there will not be a need to sequester the jury.

Also you should know, although these proceedings today are open, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom during the voir dire process and the news media is not allowed to identify individual jurors by name in news reports. In addition, the jurors who are selected to serve in this matter will not be on camera, in any television proceedings or news accounts during the course of the trial itself. If after today's proceeding you are still a member of the panel, you will receive telephone instructions in a few days as to when to report back to court. At this time then we'll permit the attorneys to ask their questions. Mr. Fallon, are you going to be taking things for the State?

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General working for the Wisconsin Department of Justice. And I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. Norm Gahn is the other, and to my left is Mr. Kratz, who I understand you are at least somewhat familiar with.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I guess we should probably start there. Your husband is an attorney?
A. Yes.
Q. Forgive me, but I'm not from this area, so what kind of law does your husband practice?
A. General practice, estate planning, just that kind of thing.
Q. All right. Does he do any criminal defense work?
A. No.
Q. Has he done any municipal prosecution or special prosecution work in his career?
A. Yes, he has.
Q. What can you tell us about that experience, that
you recall?
A. I know he was Corporation Counsel for Calumet County for many years. I can't be more specific than that.
Q. You also indicated that you are familiar with Tim Halbach?
A. I met Attorney Halbach once. I have not had a conversation with him. It was a social event.
Q. All right. Since that time you have not had any contact or any encounters with Mr. Halbach?
A. Correct.
Q. How long ago was it that you first met him at this social occasion?
A. It was either August or September of last year.
Q. All right. So during the pendency of these proceedings?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. During that introduction as it were, did the matter of his sister's case come to light, or any --
A. No.
Q. -- part of your discussion?
A. No.
Q. You -- The fact that you have now met the brother of the victim in this particular case, does that
cause any question in your mind as to whether you could be fair and impartial if selected as a juror in this case?
A. No.
Q. All right. You sound pretty comfortable with that, so let me ask you a follow-up. How do you know Mr. Kratz?
A. Socially, through the Calumet County Bar Association dinners.
Q. All right. Would you consider yourself a friend, acquaintance, or Mr. Kratz is someone that you know from the bar encounters?
A. Acquaintance, we have had a couple of conversations, I guess I could say that.
Q. When was the last time that you and Mr. Kratz had any conversation?
A. That would have been the last dinner, which would have been August or September of last year.
Q. During the course of your conversation, did that involve any discussion of the case at all?
A. Specifically, I will try to remember. I know I mentioned to him, we were sitting across from each other at dinner, that $I$ had been summoned for jury duty. And I don't remember exactly what I said. I probably made some smart remark about
being my luck I would get the big trial. And I think I probably told him I thought from what I had read at that point that Mr. Avery was guilty.
Q. All right. That's just based on the media accounts?
A. Yes, it would have been.
Q. All right. Now, in the questionnaire, you likewise used the phrase probably guilty. And that's fine that you have that opinion. But let me ask -- And that I assume is based strictly on what you read in the media, not from any other conversations with anyone else?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. You likewise indicated that you would be able to set those opinions aside and base your decision, if you are selected as a juror, solely on the evidence presented in the court, and the instructions you receive from Judge Willis; is that correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. How is it, or why you feel that you could set aside, you know, the probable guilt opinion formed from the media coverage and decide this case on what's presented in court?
A. Well -- excuse me. Media coverage is probably
not too reliable with factual things. Maybe so, but I don't put much faith in that and I think I'm able to hear evidence and make a decision on that.
Q. All right. Now that the Court has advised you that sequestration is not likely here, assuming all the jurors are willing to abide by Judge Willis' instructions; is there any other particular impediment in your mind to the possibility of serving as a juror?
A. No.
Q. You also indicated in your questionnaire, if I change topics just a bit here, that you had some prior jury experience, you were on a civil case some years ago?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you find that experience?
A. It was interesting. I was taking classes, legal classes with the paralegal program at LTC at the time.
Q. All right.
A. So it was very interesting.
Q. I'm going to digress since you have given me that opportunity there. Did you pursue paralegal or legal assistant training?
A. I completed the legal classes for the paralegal.
Q. Okay. Did you ever engage in that practice?
A. I was working for my husband at the time. If he would let me, I would.
Q. Okay. That's fair enough. How long did you work in that capacity?
A. It was around 10 years. Some of that part time. Didn't work in the summers when our children were home from school.
Q. All right. Okay. Well, returning again to my original question about the prior jury experience. I take it that was just a personal injury case as you note here, a tavern owner was found not negligent for some -- can you tell us a little bit about the nature of the case?
A. If I remember correctly, it was a long time ago, I think it was a gentleman was escorted out of the tavern by the owner and there were steps leading down and the gentleman fell and, of course, sued the owner of the tavern.
Q. Do I read correctly between the lines that the gentleman probably deserved to be escorted from the tavern?
A. I would think so.
Q. Okay. Why did you find the experience
interesting?
A. I think the process itself is very interesting. And with our legal system, it's -- to see that part of it, you see how it works.
Q. All right. To see it from the other side.
A. The other side.
Q. All right. In that case that you were a juror, were you a foreperson?
A. No.
Q. Anything about the deliberation process and working with your fellow jurors that raises any question in your mind about your ability to fulfill that role again if you were selected?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you currently have any close friends or relatives who are currently or have recently worked in the news industry in any capacity?
A. No.
Q. Are you one of those individuals, if they find something interesting in the news might very well get on the internet and do a little background homework to see if there's more information about the story, or some more facts that might help you form a more informed opinion?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Pretty much take the news coverage for what it is?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you, or maybe even your husband, given the nature of his work or at least as a former Corporation Counsel, ever been in charge of writing any kind of safety guidelines or setting up any safety standards for any type of business or industry, or anything like that?
A. I can't speak for him, because I don't know, but I have not.
Q. You have not. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you a lifelong resident of this area?
A. No.
Q. How long have you been in Manitowoc County? How long have you been here?
A. About 35 years.
Q. In that time frame, do you have any opinion as to how the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department is doing their job, in terms of dealing with crime and representing the public; do you feel they are doing a good job, bad job, excellent, poor, no opinion?
A. As far as $I$ know they are doing a good job.
Q. In your questionnaire, you indicated that you would be able to weigh the testimony of a law enforcement officer the same way you would any other witness. In other words, you wouldn't give their testimony anymore credibility than any other witnesses. Why do you feel that way?
A. Sounds a lot different when you say that and then what I wrote. I guess maybe I meant that I wouldn't give necessarily more credibility because -- I'm sorry. I didn't do a good job of answering that one.
Q. Well, it's probably not you, it's probably me. You know, we lawyers aren't always as artful with our language as we should be.
A. That's misleading. I really don't know how to correct what I was saying there. I would certainly -- somebody in an official capacity in their job and reporting things, I would give more reliability to their testimony.
Q. Let me rephrase the question here or read the question, excuse me, exactly as it's written and then we'll talk about it and that way $I$ will dig myself out of the hole $I$ just created.

The law requires jurors to evaluate their credibility just as that of any other
witness; that is, jurors are prohibited from giving more or less credibility to the testimony of a law officer simply because the witness is a law officer. And if selected as a juror, would you be able to assess the credibility of law officers on this basis, and you indicated yes; is that accurate?
A. Yes, I guess I can't argue with that. I'm sorry.
Q. Well, we just want to make sure you are clear on that. There is not any confusion in your mind, you would be able to judge their credibility like you would anyone else?
A. Yes. I did not remember the way the question was.
Q. That's my fault for in the way the question was asked. All right. Do you like to work on puzzles? Are you a puzzle person?
A. Occasionally, it's not a passion.
Q. All right. what kind of puzzles would you work on? Word puzzles, jigsaw puzzles?
A. Crossword puzzles.
Q. Crosswords. Okay. Would you consider yourself a detail oriented person or are you kind of a big picture type of approach to problem solving?
A. Probably more detailed.
Q. All right. And why did you think so, or what tells you -- what suggests that answer to you?
A. I guess because that's what I usually take care of in the things that I'm involved with, the details.
Q. So you run the show at home, keep the family business, as it goes, on task, I take it?
A. Well, partially, and plus other things that I'm involved with.
Q. Okay. What are some of those other things you are involved with, some hobbies or other outside things?
A. Things I mentioned, boards I'm on and that.
Q. All right. I see that you serve on the County Library Board?
A. Yes.
Q. Any chance that you are familiar with --

ATTORNEY STRANG: Diane Free.
Q. -- Diane Free? Do you know a Diane Free? She works for the County Library System.
A. I don't know the employees, it's just the board. I'm with the board.
Q. So you're just with the board. I see. Okay.
A. Right.
Q. All right. I see that you are also a secretary
of the board and you are also a president -- is that the Kiel Board of Appeals?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about that Kiel Board of Appeals chairperson; what's that's all about?
A. That's if someone disputes a building inspector's granting a permit for building and wants to dispute that, then they come to the Board of Appeals to appeal that.
Q. All right. And you are the chairperson on that?
A. Yes.
Q. In that capacity, do you then listen to the presentation more or less of both sides and then do you like cast a vote in -- in your capacity as chairperson, or is that determined by others, or how does that work?
A. We usually have the information before the meeting.
Q. All right.
A. It has to be published and we do listen to anyone that wants to speak. It's just our side and their's, in essence. But, yes, we do make a motion and vote on that part, the whole board does.
Q. All right. So in that capacity, as a board
chairperson, you are working with the Village or Town of Kiel?
A. City of Kiel, mm-hmm.
Q. City. Okay. Very good. All right. Do you enjoy that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is it about that job that you enjoy?
A. It's just interesting. I just enjoy it, that's all.
Q. Fair enough.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have, Judge. THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Do you prefer Mrs. Ungrodt or Ms. Ungrodt or?
A. Whatever you wish is fine.
Q. First of all, am I pronouncing the last name right?
A. Ungrodt, but you're close.
Q. I want to be better than close if I can. I'm just going to pick up right where Mr. Fallon left off for a couple minutes. The Kiel Board of Appeals, how many folks sit on that board?
A. Right now, there are seven -- I'm sorry, five, that sit on it.
Q. And the City Clerk is assigned to take minutes or something?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
A. Correct.
Q. And this -- this would be variance disputes, zoning disputes, that kind of thing?
A. Well, yes, variance. Generally it's a variance.
Q. Okay. And is it typically the kind of thing by the time it gets to the board that you chair that someone is going to go away happy and someone is going to go away unhappy?
A. As in most things, probably so, yes.
Q. I'm not trying to be cute about that.
A. No. Right. They either are granted the variance or they are not granted it.
Q. And are the disputes the kind that people tend to invest a lot of emotion, or are they not?
A. Sometimes they are, yes.
Q. So there can be pitched feelings about these at times at least?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Not often, but sometimes.
Q. And the hearing process, of course, you get
written submissions before probably a night time hearing or an evening hearing that you have occasionally?
A. Yes, mm-hmm.
Q. But that's all published?
A. Yes.
Q. The public is free to come and be heard?
A. Yes.
Q. Sort of municipal government?
A. Correct.
Q. And as a decision making style or process, are you more comfortable about something where you expect to hear from both sides?
A. I'm sorry, I don't understand.
Q. In a criminal case, you may not hear as much from the defense as you do from the prosecution. Do you know why?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the presumption of innocence?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with the concept in this country that the prosecution, the state, the government, whoever the sovereign is, bears the burden of proof, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes.
Q. And that the defense has no burden of proof?
A. Yes.
Q. So -- And that's where I'm going, Mrs. Ungrodt. Would you -- You come into this telling us, commendably, I mean with commendable candor, that you think Steve Avery is probably guilty?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm getting the sense that you are a person that chooses your words carefully?
A. I have learned that over the years, yes.
Q. So probably was a considered choice when you said probably?
A. Yes.
Q. And as you come into this thinking Steve Avery is probably guilty, what am I going to have to do or what is he going to have to do to persuade you otherwise?
A. I think you just told me he doesn't have to do anything.
Q. Yeah, but I'm interested in what you are going to tell me about you as a decision maker. I'm not so interested in the broad platitudes of the law here.
A. Okay.
Q. I'm interested in learning a little bit about how you will live with that, or whether you can live with that, if you are selected to serve on this jury?
A. Live with making a decision, is that what you are asking?
Q. Right. Would you expect to hear from Mr. Avery in this case if you sat?
A. I don't know if he would or not.
Q. All right. And I guess, you know, wouldn't an innocent man testify?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. Why not?
A. As you said, he doesn't have to prove his own innocence.
Q. Right. And that, I'm confident that Judge Willis will instruct you in words close to that. But again, let's get into the details as you say. Why wouldn't an innocent man testify and say I didn't do it?
A. Well, I don't know a reason that wouldn't happen.
Q. If Mr. Buting and I, with Mr. Avery, decide not to call him as a witness; is that something that will weigh on you particularly here, as you sit here saying I think he's probably guilty?
A. I don't think so. I don't know for sure. I would have to, you know, have that happen and see how I felt. I can't always predict how I'm going to feel about something.
Q. And I want you to expand on that a little bit. It's a perfectly fair answer. In fact, it's a terrific answer saying $I$ don't know as you just did. But what's the tug? What's the conflict you feel?
A. I don't know if -- perhaps if it appeared that the prosecution had -- or needed to affirm a matter of innocence. I really don't know. I'm sorry, I don't know how to answer that, other than that.
Q. You know, one of the things that -- the way -sort of the delicate ways in which our system works is that a judge turns over fact finding to 12 people from the community. I suppose even though a judge might think he is just as good a fact finder as anyone else. And at the same time the 12 people on the jury turn over law finding or instruction on the law to the judge, even though we all come with ideas about what the law is or should be.

And you strike me has a fairly strong
personality. Can you, and will you, take instruction on the law from the Judge even if you don't necessarily agree with it or wouldn't write it the same way yourself?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Why?
A. Because I am a firm believer in our laws and abiding by them and try to, I think.
Q. You came to this area of the state about 35 years ago --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you said. Were the first years before that spent in Madison, or in that area?
A. Was in Madison prior to that, yes. And then Oshkosh after for three years before we moved here.
Q. Was your husband from this area?
A. No, he is from northern Wisconsin.
Q. In any event, really, Manitowoc County, Kiel is I think where you have done -- you raised your children in the main and have lived your life for 35 years. But $I$ also see a lot of connections to Calumet County in your questionnaire; how did that come about?
A. Well, part of Kiel lies in Calumet County.
Q. Oh, is that right?
A. Yes. So it's -- Yes.
Q. Okay. It's one of these cities that split?
A. A small part of the city is in Calumet County.
Q. Okay. And is the library board joint between Calumet and Manitowoc County?
A. No. No, we're Manitowoc County.
Q. But you are also on the Calumet County Library Board, if I understand?
A. Not -- Well, I'm on the Manitowoc County/Calumet County. I'm on the system library board. They have a library system which is two counties, Calumet and Manitowoc.
Q. Is combined?
A. Right, as a system, yes.
Q. I'm sorry, we were going past each other.
A. Perhaps I misunderstood.
Q. That's what $I$ was asking, so it's a two county system?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Ungrodt was, for a number of years, the Corporation Counsel of Calumet County?
A. Yes.
Q. You told us, and it's not a surprise, that you don't remember exactly how many years, but this
was more than 10 or something probably?
A. Oh, yes, more than 10 years ago, yes. Or more than 10 years --
Q. In total?
A. -- in Corporation Counsel.
Q. Yes.
A. I would say at least 10 years that he was.
Q. Sure.
A. I could be wrong.
Q. You anticipated my next question which is, you know, do you know remember about how long ago he stopped serving as the Corporation Counsel for Calumet County?
A. It's been a long time. I would say perhaps between 15 , or 15 years or more.
Q. But he still enjoys the annual, I assume, bench bar dinner, or something, in Chilton?
A. Yes, he does a lot of work in Calumet County also, so, yes. Belongs to both county bar associations.
Q. And there is an annual dinner in Calumet County, bar association?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is a relatively small bar association I think?
A. Yes.
Q. I only say that because I have seen a picture on the wall in the Calumet County Courthouse. So these gatherings, if you include spouses, do these tend to be 25 or 30 people?
A. I would say 30 at least, but perhaps more. It depends.
Q. Something in that 30,30 plus range?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Okay. And Mr. Kratz has been a distinguished member of that bar association for probably 20 , 22 years. Is this an annual sort of thing where you would bump into Ken Kratz yearly, about, at the bar dinner?
A. Well, with a small number of people you do. See, I don't know that we've -- Well, of course we knew who we were, or each other was and --
Q. No.
A. And it was just as a social gathering.
Q. I understand that. And I'm sure, you know, with even if there's 35 people, you talk to some people one year and other people the next, I assume. But, really, you have seen him annually, at least, for a number of years?
A. Yes.
Q. And this past late summer, early fall, the two of you were seated at the same table?
A. Correct.
Q. Was that by choice or was seating assigned?
A. Happenstance, just the way people sat down I think.
Q. Okay. Just where they plopped down. And I'm not -- It's clear you can't remember the details and there's no reason you should. But you were guessing that maybe you said, I have been summoned for jury duty and with my luck, you know, I'll wind up --
A. Oh, yes. I'm sure I said that, something like that.
Q. And you think you probably made a comment to Mr. Kratz that this guy was probably guilty?
A. I probably did, yes.
Q. That was certainly an opinion you held at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I guess I'm curious about what, if any, follow-up there was from Mr. Kratz to this bit of conversation?
A. I don't -- excuse me -- I don't recall that he specifically said anything. Probably just looked
at me like -- didn't say anything. I don't think you offered any -- I don't remember if he did.
Q. Okay. Nothing that stands out, in any event?
A. Correct.
Q. When did you -- if you could put your finger on it approximately, when did you come to the opinion that Mr. Avery is probably guilty?
A. I don't really know exactly when it was. It had been almost a year by the time, last fall, and against my better judgment, I guess I did make a decision based on what I heard through the media.
Q. Mm-hmm. But you can't now tell me whether that was back in November of 2005 , or March of 2006 , or some other time?
A. No, I'm sorry, I can't.
Q. And your husband still is in the active practice of law?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. You -- I think you said maybe it was 10 years that you worked for him as his --
A. Off and on, yes.
Q. I guess that's right, when the kids were home for the summer.
A. That's when we first moved to the area. So it's been a long, long time ago?
Q. If you were to end up on this jury knowing, as the Judge just told you, that you would not be sequestered, or at least presumptively here, the jury would not be sequestered during the trial; how would you go six weeks with a husband who is a lawyer in this area for a long time, and not talk about the case with him?
A. He doesn't talk to me about anything that goes on in his office. We have never done that with that. And I'm sure that would not be a problem. He knows I wouldn't do it, too.
Q. Of course. This isn't in his office so he doesn't have that ethical responsibility. I mean this is a matter of public comment, to put it mildly.
A. He has as much respect for the system as I do and I don't think he would ask me anything. He knows I wouldn't say anything.
Q. You -- Mr. Fallon asked you a question or two about working puzzles?
A. Yes.
Q. And you allowed as how they are not a passion of yours, but you might occasionally do that?
A. Yes.
Q. What is a passion of yours? How do you -- What
engages you as a way to spend your time?
A. As I probably put in my questionnaire I love to read and I love to garden, I guess those, and my family.
Q. What drew you to the library board? You are really on two, because you are on the Kiel City Library Board.
A. Correct. And the county one.
Q. What drew you to that work?
A. Well, it was I -- because I do read a lot and I take a lot of library books out and was asked many years ago by our local librarian if I would consider being on the county board, which I was first. And then after that, was on the -- was appointed by the mayor at that time to be on the city board.
Q. Mm-hmm. What do you read?
A. Just about everything except romance novels and science fiction.
Q. Okay. You met Tim Halbach at the most recent bar dinner that you described, the 2006.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you know by name, immediately, who he was?
A. No, I think my husband told me who he was before that time.
Q. That he was a brother of Teresa Halbach.
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And that rang a bell with you?
A. Well, I knew who he was when I met him. And I was told who he was before I met him.
Q. Before you were introduced?
A. Because I did not know the family.
Q. Okay. So once you were introduced, you knew the connection?
A. Yes, mm-hmm.
Q. Was this just a passing introduction?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Nothing more than that?
A. No conversation, just hello, nice to meet you type thing, yes.
Q. He -- I mean, I have met him too and he's a nice young lawyer; would you agree?
A. Yes, I guess so. He seemed a nice person.
Q. I mean, is there a degree of sympathy you feel for him?
A. I think I would have sympathy for any family member who has had a tragic thing happen within their family.
Q. You mentioned, just sort of briefly in your questionnaire, a minor sort of chronic health
problem. Is that something you are comfortable talking about publicly?
A. Sure. It's just sinus -- sinus problems. You can tell I'm so stuffy now, and it's just, yeah. Just, yes.
Q. And I guess I'm here to tell you that a six week trial is a bit of a grueling experience, or it can be, for everyone involved. What -- If there's anyway you can give us a sense, what's the likelihood we lose you to an infection or you really get seriously under the weather during a six week stretch of the winter?
A. I can't answer that. I never know. It just happens. I get up in the morning and it's there.
Q. It's not seasonal?
A. It's more in the winter time.
Q. Okay. And when it's there, is it incapacitating?
A. Pretty much so if $I$ don't have antibiotics to take right away. The first couple days are always the worse, yeah, for that.
Q. Does getting run down, or being stressed, or exceptionally busy, get tied to this chronic problem?
A. Not that I'm aware of, but it's possible.
Q. But that's all I'm asking is you never noticed,
boy, I've been burning the candle at both ends and now I have got myself a bad sinus infection?
A. I have not noticed any correlation between the two.
Q. Okay. Let's say you sit on this jury, in the end. And just -- Let's just suppose that you come to the conclusion, after thinking very carefully about all the evidence at the end, that the State just hasn't proven one or more charges against Mr. Avery and that you are duty bound to vote not guilty on one or more charges, okay?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Let's just hypothetically assume that. How do you think the community, in which you have lived for 35 years, would take your not guilty verdict?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance, appropriate of that question.

THE COURT: As it's phrased, I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. What I'm trying to get at, Mrs. Ungrodt, is jurors can get some unwanted attention no matter what verdict they return. Some of them may want attention but, you know, jurors in general can get unwanted attention. And you know, it's no secret, this case has been highly public, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think you could handle the social stigma, or the reaction of people in a relatively small city, in which you have lived for 35 years?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I still object. The question is, can she follow the requirements of the law, not withstanding these other factors. Not whether -- Not the question as phrased. I object to the manner in which the question is posed.

THE COURT: Well, it's closer to an acceptable question than the last form was and I think the juror understood it and gave her answer. So I'm going to allow it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right.
Q. I'm sorry your answer was?
A. I answered yes to your question.
Q. Why? Why would you be able to follow the law and your juror's duty in spite of all that?
A. Just the way I am. I would do it. If I feel I have done something right, then $I$ won't back down from it.
Q. Thank you.
A. Yes.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. I have a few follow-up questions. I think they are largely clarification. In answer to a couple of questions on the questionnaire you indicated, I believe, as I read your answers, that based on the news reports that you had heard, you thought that the defendant was probably guilty --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that correct?
A. Yes, your Honor.
Q. And you also answered yes to the question whether you would be able to set aside those opinions and base your decision only on the evidence presented in court?
A. Yes.
Q. So you understand that at trial, the defendant starts off with a clean slate and, in fact, that you couldn't find him guilty unless you concluded the State proved it, beyond a reasonable doubt.
A. Yes, mm-hmm.
Q. So you believe you could set aside the opinion -The opinion that you had is just based on what you have heard, but you would not let that affect your judgment as a juror?
A. That's correct.
Q. And with respect to Mr. Kratz, do I understand that your testimony was, or your answers were that you see him approximately once a year at this bar dinner?
A. I believe that is correct, your Honor, yes.
Q. And other than a casual hello plus the exchange you described to us, that's the extent of your communication with him?
A. Yes.
Q. If -- To take off on one of Mr. Strang's questions, if at the end of trial, based on the evidence you thought that a not guilty plea -- or not guilty verdict was warranted, and you voted not guilty; would you have any problems facing Mr. Kratz after that, based on whatever your acquaintance is with him?
A. No.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I have just a brief follow up, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. What have you heard either from the media or your husband about this case in the last week?
A. Nothing, I have avoided everything, just as I was instructed to do so.

ATTORNEY STRANG: One question, just like I said.

THE COURT: The Clerk will escort you out of the courtroom at this time.

Counsel, any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No motion from the State.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I do. I'm going to move to strike Ms Ungrodt for cause, your Honor, both subject of an objective bias, $I$ guess in the framework that the Wisconsin Supreme Court lately has given us in the last 10 years or so. I didn't like -- Well, they were honest, but I thought the answers to the questions about having an opinion as she comes into this case were a problem.

She comes in thinking him probably guilty, rather than presumably innocent. And having learned a little bit more about her contact with Mr. Kratz, which of course has been perfectly appropriate, although it's a casual acquaintance, it's one that's renewed annually, or nearly, from the sound of it. And during the pendency of this case involved sharing a dinner table and raising the topic of this case, at
least briefly, coupled with now having been introduced to Tim Halbach.

I think we're just, you know, we're at a point here where discretion suggests excusing her for cause, given the size of the panel we have drawn and the compositive issues that her questionnaire and this individual voir dire process raised. You know, I don't know what to make of the chronic sinus problem. But I had some concern about that, too. And I will simply leave that as it is. The Court heard the same thing I did, but I would -- I think on balance this is a juror better off excused.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, Judge. While I'm sensitive to counsel and the Supreme Court suggestion regarding the bias issue, I just don't really feel, based on the answers by Mrs. Ungrodt, that we need to excuse here. Taking them I guess in reverse order, starting with subjective bias, she indicated that from the media accounts he is probably guilty. I think that was the answer to question No. 40.

Then with respect to question 42 , she repeated that same comment. But I think it's
significant to point out that from the media coverage, two things. One, we did have a judicial determination that he was probably guilty, and that was clearly reported in the media. And she, as counsel aptly noted, carefully chose her words and didn't say he is guilty, that he was probably guilty. Well, quite frankly, that's an accurate action statement of what the procedural posture of the case is. Secondly, but more importantly, with respect to question 43, and I did ask about it, perhaps clumsily the first time, but did repeat it and the Court did repeat it, that she does strike me as a woman with great respect for the law and the system, being married to someone who has chosen this honorable profession for work to be done. She did participate in the profession herself, and she said, yes, $I$ can set that opinion aside and listen to the evidence. As it were, it was only a probable opinion. So, you know, given her very clear answers and her respect for the system, I think we should take the juror at her word when she says, yeah, I can set that aside, and form my opinion based on what $I$ hear in court.

With respect to the objective bias question, I likewise think there's been, you know, an insufficient showing, even giving deference, as it were, to the concerns of the defense regarding the objective bias argument, based on the fact that she, once a year, runs into Mr. Kratz. As indicated -- As I listened to her, she had no problem voicing her opinions as to, well, if the case called for a not guilty verdict, or a count called for not guilty verdict, I could do it.

And in response the Court's question and counsel's question, I don't think she strikes me as a woman who's beholding to anyone, her husband, or Mr. Kratz, or Mr. Halbach. Mr. Kratz and Mr . Halbach are individuals that she occasionally runs into once a year, twice a year at best.

So I don't think the objective bias argument is appropriate. The objective bias, I believe the test is whether or not a reasonable or prospective juror's state of mind, whether a reasonable juror would be considered biased under those circumstances, and based on what we know, because the Court is entitled to look at all the
facts and circumstances surrounding the encounter.

And I believe the recent objective bias case out of Milwaukee County is certainly ample proof of that fact. The case -- and if I can find it in a moment -- in which an employee of the Milwaukee County District Attorney's Office who works out at juvenile court was -- she was an administrative assistant, was called to serve on a criminal jury downtown on a felony matter and was found not to be objectively biased even though she worked for the same person as the prosecutor. And that's E. Michael McCann, District Attorney for Milwaukee County. That's a Supreme Court opinion in State vs. Dale Smith.

So when you consider all the facts and circumstances, acknowledging that it is a mixed question of law and fact, I don't think there's a sufficient basis for a determination of objective bias. Thank you.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I will add only to that, that I think the objective bias question doesn't stop where counsel has explained it. She worked in her husband's law office during the time he was Corporation Counsel for Calumet County. One of his
clients there, presumably then, as a matter of statute in this state, would have been the Calumet County Sheriff's Office so. And I'm not suggesting that's a subjective bias issue in this instance, but I think it, as well, has a bearing on objective bias.

THE COURT: Well, there have been a number of answers given by this juror, or a number of subject matter areas that the defense has raised. Referring to the last one first, I think she said it was -- she thought it was more than 15 years ago that her husband was Corporation Counsel for Calumet County. And I'm not sure, given that long passage of time, whether one could say that objectively a person in her position couldn't put that aside and be impartial.

I'm not sure that the defense is arguing objective bias in that particular issue, but I don't believe -- I believe, number one, objectively, someone could and, subjectively, I believe she indicated she could. And I see no reason not to believe her on that point.

I asked a few follow-up questions of my own with respect to her connection to Mr. Kratz. It appears to be of the most casual nature;
namely, once a year at a bar gathering. She indicated today that she passed on to Mr. Kratz, some months ago, essentially, what she told us today, which is that she had been summoned to jury duty. She was worried it might be this case. And she said she may well have told him she thought that the defendant was probably guilty, which is consistent with the answers given on her plea questionnaire. She indicated that either Mr. Kratz said nothing in return, or if he did, she doesn't remember what it is. I don't find that there was any meaningful conversation with the two about the case. I don't find that there was any conversation between the two, other than what she related. And I don't believe that very limited contact would either make her subjectively or objectively biased.

And she indicated specifically, in answer to my question, that she would not feel any inclination to be worried about facing Mr. Kratz if she should find that the evidence introduced by the State was insufficient to prove guilt of Mr. Avery in this case; that is, she could render a not guilty verdict and not feel
for any reason that she could not face Mr. Kratz. Her encounter with Tim Halbach was even briefer than that, apparently. I believe she said she just met him once. There was no discussion about this case or the facts involving the disappearance of Mr. Halbach's sister.

Finally, with respect to the answers in her questionnaire about believing at the time, based on news reports, that she felt based on those reports the defendant was probably guilty, but she also indicated she could set aside that belief if selected as a juror in this case.

I think probably the most helpful discussion that $I$ could find in Wisconsin case law that applies here is in the case of Hammill vs. State, reported at 89 Wis. 2d, 404. It's a 1979 case and understandably precedes the current subject -- or statutory bias, subjective bias, objective bias, differentiation used by the courts now. But I think the rules as they apply to the effect on pre-trial publicity and a juror's ability to be impartial are the same. In that case, the Court quoted from a United States Supreme Court case in relevant part as follows: It is not required, however, that
jurors be totally ignorant of the facts and issues involved. To hold that the mere existence of any preconceived notion as to the guilt or innocence of an accused without more is sufficient to rebut the presumption of $a$ prospective juror's impartiality, would be to establish an impossible standard.

I think if a juror gives any inclination that they have qualms, hesitations, or wouldn't be able to set aside the effects of pre-trial publicity, that would be one thing. But this is a juror whose husband is an attorney. She indicated in her answers that she clearly understands the presumption of innocence, the need to follow the Judge's instructions.

She indicates she takes that obligation very seriously and would be able to follow it. And I'm satisfied, based on all her answers, that she is neither subjectively or objectively biased. Therefore, the Court is going to deny the request to excuse her for cause.

THE COURT: The next juror is I believe Cherri Haskell, correct? Ms Haskell, if you will remain standing, please, we'll have the Clerk administer the oath.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Haskell, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. Today we're going to proceed to the next step of jury selection which is individual voir dire. Each of the attorneys will be given an opportunity to ask you some questions in order to determine whether you are qualified to sit as a juror in this case.

I have a couple of pieces of information to pass on to you which were not addressed last week. First of all, I want to make sure the jurors understand that the jury in this case is not going to be sequestered, which means that although the trial is expected to go on for six weeks, you will be able to come home at the end of the session each day and then come back the following morning.

Our ability to not sequester the jurors is based on the agreement of the jurors that they will not listen to any news media accounts of this case, not read anything, see anything on television, or look up anything on the internet, or discuss the case with anyone else either in
your family or otherwise.
I also want to assure you that although the proceedings are open, we are not allowing cameras in the courtroom during the voir dire process. The members of the news media are not allowed to identify individual jurors in this case. And should you be selected to serve as a juror, there will not be any cameras that are allowed to show the members of the jury during the course of the trial itself.

If you remain on the jury panel after questioning today, you will get a telephone call in the next couple of days letting you know when you are to return to court again. At this point then, we'll have the attorneys ask their questions. Mr. Fallon.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms Haskell. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Department of Justice, one of the prosecutors in the case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and Special Prosecutor as well. Thank you for coming this afternoon.

I have a few questions to follow up on the information that you provided last week, so bear with me. You indicate that you work for your husband at Lakeshore Industrial. I'm not from the area, so if you could tell me a little bit about what Lakeshore Industrial is and what your role as office manager, what kind of things do you do?
A. It's just me and my husband. And we build lifting cages.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. We build lifting cages that hang from cranes.
Q. Oh, sure. All right.
A. I run the office. I do the -- It's just me and him so I do all the sales and everything and bookkeeping and he builds the cages.
Q. All right. So it's clearly a family run business and you are the only two employees?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, how long have you been engaged in that business?
A. Seven years.
Q. Okay. And through the entire seven years, has it just been you and your husband running the business?
A. Yes.
Q. And sole employees of the business?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I note from the questionnaire that you did not answer yes or no, and based on the information that you have just given me, I'm going to reask one of the questions. If this case does in fact go six weeks, the question is, is there any reason that has not previously been ruled on by the Court or why you would suffer any exceptional personal hardship if you are selected to serve as a juror in this case?

In other words, if there are just the two of you, is this going to be a problem for you and your husband if you get selected as a juror for six weeks?
A. It will be tough on him.
Q. Well, tell us a little bit about that. Because if it's going to be a problem, we would probably like to know that. So is there anyone else who can pinch hit for you?
A. We may be able to find somebody to help out, yeah.
Q. How much of a hardship on the business would it be if you were selected as a juror?
A. Well, he would be taking all the sales calls while he's trying to do the manufacturing. So he has to stop what he's doing.
Q. So he would be doing the service as well as the production?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you contemplated plans for someone to pinch hit for you, if in fact you are selected?
A. Yes, we have asked somebody.
Q. Do you have assurances that you will be covered as best as --
A. Not yet.
Q. Oh, not yet.
A. We thought we would wait and see.
Q. All right. Good prospect?
A. Hopefully.
Q. All right. Thank you. One of the other things that was somewhat noteworthy about the information you provided is that you indicated that you apparently have not been following this case in the media?
A. At first I did and I just haven't lately.
Q. All right.
A. I'm not much of a news person.
Q. How much -- How long ago did you stop paying
attention, as it were; are we talking months?
A. Yeah.
Q. Last summer or even before that?
A. Probably at least six months.
Q. At least six months. All right. You indicated you are not much of a news person. Where, or primarily, do you get your news sources? Are you radio, television, $T . V .$, newspaper, if you do get something?
A. I read Sunday's paper.
Q. Sunday paper. All right. Do you listen to the radio at all in your car or at work?
A. Yes.
Q. Any media coverage of this case that you gleaned from the radio, that stands out?
A. No.
Q. All right. In terms of law enforcement, you answered one question, you have a brother-in-law that is a sheriff?
A. Yes.
Q. And where is that?
A. In Georgia.
Q. Macon, Georgia?
A. Yes.
Q. Is he the sheriff or is he a deputy?
A. He actually does the training for -- for the sheriffs. He does --

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm having difficulty hearing the juror with the noise in the back.

THE COURT: I'm having the bailiff quiet the jurors down a bit.
A. I will speak up. He's in charge of the training.
Q. All right. He's a training officer for the Macon County Sheriff's Department?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Do you consider yourself more of a detail oriented person or a big picture type of person?
A. Detail.
Q. You are a detail person. All right. Would you consider yourself someone who enjoys working on puzzles, or not?
A. No.
Q. Not a puzzle person. No word puzzles, no jigsaw, no crossword, no nothing?
A. (Shakes head. No verbal response.)
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Next some, just general questions. Are you familiar with a project that's here in Wisconsin called Project Innocence?
A. No.
Q. All right. Let me ask, how much of Mr. Avery's background do you know?
A. None.
Q. None. You have not followed his --
A. Just from what $I$ heard in the beginning.
Q. Okay. And do you recall what you may have heard in the beginning?
A. That he was arrested before and then found guil -- innocent, I'm sorry.
Q. He was found guilty and then he was --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- exonerated.
A. Yes.
Q. So you are familiar with that?
A. Yes.
Q. But you haven't really followed his situation or his plight other than that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Have either you or your husband ever used a publication such as Auto Trader to sell a car, or buy a car, or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Do you like movies?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever seen the movie "The Thin Blue Line"?
A. No.
Q. Okay. How long have you been a resident of Manitowoc County?
A. Eight years.
Q. And where did you live before that?
A. Florida.
Q. Florida. In your eight years here in the Manitowoc area, have you ever had any encounters with the Sheriff's Department, either in your business capacity or personal capacity, or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Do you have an opinion now as to whether you think the Sheriff's Department is doing a good job with dealing with crime and the public, or just a fair job, or no opinion?
A. I think they do pretty good.
Q. Any particular reason that leads you to that conclusion?
A. Compared to living in Florida, they do a real good job.
Q. All right. Where in Florida did you live?
A. Orlando.
Q. Based on your previous answers, I suspect the answer to this question is no, but I'll ask anyways. Do you have any -- you or your husband have any close friends or relatives who have worked for or are currently working for the news industry, working in the media at all?
A. No.
Q. Before the business -- Well, were you and your husband engaged in this same business in Florida or is this something you started once you came to Manitowoc?
A. Just started it when we moved here.
Q. What did you do before that, when you were in Florida?
A. He worked for a crane company, welding.
Q. And yourself?
A. I was in insurance.
Q. Insurance agent?
A. Not an agent, just clerical.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Thank you. Hi. I'm going to pick up on a couple of questions that you have already been asked,
then we'll see where we go from there. You and your husband have talked about finding somebody to cover for you if you land on this jury. Would you guys have to pay that person?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, I'm not trying to pry into finances, but is that going to turn out to be a significant financial difference than the way things are now for the household or no?
A. No.
Q. Something you can do without a huge problem?
A. Yes.
Q. You told us a little bit about Florida and, specifically, that compared to the Sheriff's Department around Orlando, the folks here do a terrific job, or something close to that. What was your beef, if you will, with law enforcement down in the Orlando area?
A. I didn't have a problem with the law enforcement, but there was a lot of crime.
Q. Oh, in the area in which you lived?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is that part of the reason why you moved back up here?
A. Yes. We had children, so we wanted to raise them
somewhere safe.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. You're originally from the U.P.; is that --
A. Michigan. Not the U.P., but Michigan.
Q. Oh. Okay. I thought it was the Upper Peninsula. I stand corrected. What do you -- sort of get back to the publicity that you absorbed or saw about this case back before you sort of unplugged or tuned out; what do you remember about Brendan Dassey?
A. I didn't hear much about that.
Q. The name ring a bell?
A. That he was arrested.
Q. Okay. Is he related to Steven Avery?
A. Yes, I know that.
Q. Do you know how?
A. Nephew.
Q. And when he got arrested, was that still while you were sort of following this case a little bit?
A. Yeah.
Q. You stopped following it after that. A long time after or right after or?
A. Probably a few months after.
Q. And what do you know about -- I mean, other than
that he was arrested? What you have you heard or read about him?
A. Well, I just heard that he was involved with it.
Q. And how does that -- In your mind, does that have a bearing on Steve Avery?
A. No, I have no opinion on it.
Q. Well, but I mean, I think you correctly identified Brendan as Steve Avery's --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- nephew.
A. Yeah.
Q. So does the one case have a bearing on the other?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, they are together, but I mean, I don't know the facts about it.
Q. Okay. So do you remember being told Brendan -or hearing, reading, that Brendan made some statements, you know, confessions, whatever you want to call it?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object to the phrasing of the question.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
Q. What do you remember hearing about any statements Brendan Dassey may have made?
A. He just said that he was -- that he did do it, and he was involved in it, and that Steven threatened him, I guess.
Q. And what does that make you think about Steven Avery?
A. No comment on it. I don't have a comment. I can't base my fact -- I don't know.
Q. When you say you don't have a comment, are you thinking something but you don't want to tell me, or you are not thinking?
A. I don't really know.
Q. Okay. The Judge will, I think, eventually instruct whoever is on the jury here that in America a person accused of a crime is presumed innocent; is that something you have heard before?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Does that make sense to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. You know, given that you have heard at least, or read something about this case, why would you presume Mr. Avery innocent?
A. I'm not saying that he is.
Q. Okay. What do you think?
A. I really don't know.
Q. But if you were told you had to presume him innocent, why would you do that?
A. I can't say if he's innocent or guilty, I really don't know.
Q. Could you follow an instruction that told you to presume him innocent?
A. I don't understand what you mean.
Q. An instruction, that's a lawyer word. If Judge Willis eventually looks at the jury and says, I, the Judge, am instructing you that Mr. Avery, like any criminal defendant in this country, is presumed to be innocent, is that an instruction you think you could honor and follow?
A. Yes.
Q. If the Judge further told you, here in our country, the state bears the burden of proving someone accused of a crime, guilty beyond a reasonable doubt; is that a concept you have heard before?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a rule you could follow?
A. Yes.
Q. Not just in general, but in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And when I say -- You know, you are a detailed person, let me give you a specific setting. If the Judge instructed you that Mr. Avery is presumed innocent and the State bears the burden of proving him guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt, if it can and, you know, some juror said, on the second day of the trial, boy, this guy is guilty as the day is long; is that something you could correct the juror, or bring that to the Judge's attention?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
A. That I could --
Q. Could you honor that -- No --

THE COURT: Just a minute, I sustained the objection.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.
Q. You can't answer that question, so I'm going to move on.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. That's okay. It's my fault, not yours. What I'm trying to get at is whether this is a rule that you can take to heart, you personally?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think that a person charged with a crime should testify in his own behalf?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. To get their side.
Q. Okay. And if the rules turn out to be that the person can testify if he or she wants to, has a perfect right to do that, but also does not have to testify, and if the person chooses not to testify, the jury is told they can't consider that; is that a rule you can follow?
A. Yes.
Q. And I guess why, since we're starting with you thinking, yeah, he should testify so I get to hear that side of the story too?
A. I didn't understand the question.
Q. Why then could you follow a rule that says, nope, if you only get to hear one side of the story, the burden of proof still rests with the State and you can't consider the fact that the defendant did not testify?
A. Then $I$ would have to follow that rule.
Q. But if $I$ understood you, your first inclination would be to want to hear both sides?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you think of any reasons why an innocent person might choose not to testify?
A. Their words might get twisted.
Q. Okay. Okay. How about lawyers, are lawyers likely to have any affect on that?
A. Yes.
Q. How so?
A. By changing their frame of wording.
Q. Okay. So, in other words, the lawyers on the other side might do that?
A. Yes.
Q. How about the lawyers on the defendant's side; do we have any input in that, or affect on whether somebody testifies or not?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. And I guess the bottom line is -- Do you like Mrs. Haskell, or Ms Haskell?
A. Mrs.
Q. Mrs. Okay. The bottom line is, if for whatever reason Mr . Buting and I don't call Mr . Avery as a witness, or he does not testify; can you still presume him innocent and hold the State to a burden of proof, beyond a reasonable doubt?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's asked and answered. She said she could follow the instruction
on presumption of innocence, burden of proof.
THE COURT: She did, but $I$ will allow it. It's a specific question.
A. Yes, I could.
Q. Let me turn it around. If he decided to testify, could you consider his testimony just the same as any other witness you would hear?
A. Yes.
Q. You left unanswered one question in your questionnaire. It was No. 43, not that you will remember that. The question was, if you have formed any opinions as to Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence, based on information from any source, would you be able, should you be selected to serve as a juror, to set aside those opinions and base your decision only on the evidence presented in court and the instruction given you by the Judge? So I will ask you.
A. I thought I answered that.
Q. Nope. But the answer is yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: Actually, in fairness to the juror, I should note that the Court didn't artfully word that because it starts out saying, if you
formed any opinions, so if the juror hasn't formed any maybe they would feel they didn't have to answer that one.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you much. That's all I've got.

THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you out of the courtroom at this time, Ms Haskell.

Counsel, any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No motion from the State.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I have no motion specific as to that juror. But I do need to be heard before we bring in the next juror.

THE COURT: All right. Ms Haskell will be in then and we can wait a minute before bringing in the next one.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I have encountered from the State a number of objections to questions of mine on individual voir dire that $I$ regard not only as proper and unexceptionable, but necessary here. Probably not phrased in a sterile way, but there is no requirement of which I'm aware of that sterility control the process of individual voir dire or general voir dire.

And I need to air out just exactly what
parameters the Court thinks I'm invading, or what the objections are so that we can deal with that. Because my voir dire here is being hampered materially.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I understand where counsel is coming from and it's not something that $I$ ordinarily object to. Here are my only two concerns or complaints. One, $I$ just don't think it's fair to interject facts, for instance, regarding what the juror should know about the past. The question is what do they know about the past.

You know, constantly interjecting opinions about, well, he testified in the first case and he was found guilty and shouldn't have been, and what if he doesn't testify in this case; I mean, that's conditioning of the jury. That's one set of questions that $I$ do strongly take exception to, the introduction of facts as opposed to let's find out from them what they think the facts are, or what they know the facts to be.

The other questions regarding the presumption of innocence and the burden of proof and it -- I understand exactly where he's going to and most of it I don't object to. My problem
is it is in the wording. Because the presumption of innocence, the real essence is -- well, can you take it to heart, or do you believe in it. Well, that's nice, and it might be helpful, but the real question is, can you accept it and will you follow it. That's the essence of it.

And whether they are going to get warm and fuzzy over it, is not the issue here. And so I understand completely where counsel is going. And I emphasize with that. I just object to the phrasing on those issues. Because they are important. They are certainly entitled to know that information. My objection there is strictly the way they are being approached and asked.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, with all due respect, the question whether a juror can or will follow a legal rule satisfies the issue of cause to excuse them. And the question of what a juror believes, what a juror accepts, how a juror reacts to propositions, is this something that sticks in the craw, or something that makes the juror want to stand up and wave an American flag, is exactly the sort of thing that goes to the second purpose of voir dire, which is the intelligent exercise of a peremptory strike.

And it's also entirely appropriate in this case or any other, to give some concrete meaning to an abstraction like the presumption of innocence. Because here that means they got the wrong guy. That means he didn't do it. That's what I'm presuming or I'm asking a juror to presume and I have a right to have them presume. So to get them talking and get some sense of what it is these people really believe, and what it is they will simply live with a bridal on or not spit out the bit, is the essence of what we're trying to do in deciding how to exercise but seven peremptory strikes.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure how I can respond precisely to comments that relate to a variety of objections, some of which I have sustained, some of which I have overruled. I recall a couple of the questions related to something involving the defendant testifying at the 1985 case. It's not immediately apparent to me why that would be relevant to this case. I don't think it's something necessarily that the jury is going to hear.

I have to confess it hasn't been the subject of any pretrial motions and perhaps
there's an explanation I haven't heard yet, but to the extent that the questions start getting into specific evidence, especially evidence that the jury may or may not hear, the Court is going to be reluctant to allow those types of questions.

In other cases, the Court sustained the objection simply based on the phrasing of the question. And I don't think I can give you any advance indication as to whether or not I might sustain or overrule any other objection, other than to make those comments.

I agree that the -- both parties are entitled to some flexibility in order to draw out the juror and get an honest answer to questions that are directly relevant to determining whether or not the jury can be impartial. But once the parties start getting into hypothetical questions, or questions that might be somewhat misleading, I will sustain objections.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, of course, the fact that he testified in 1985 and was convicted all the same, is not at all hypothetical. And it's a wonderful specific concrete example that may bring home to a juror the importance of this rule that we
have, that one has a privilege not to testify in a criminal case, if you're the accused. And innocent people well might choose to do that for good reasons.

THE COURT: Well, that's true, but it's not necessary to ask the question in this case and it is something that may well be determined to be irrelevant evidence if it was attempted to be introduced in trial. And I just don't see the necessity or reason for it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, the reason is that we've got -- I don't know, I can't give the Court a number right now. But we have several jurors who wrote on their questionnaires, I need to hear the defendant, or an innocent man would testify, words to that effect. We have got jurors who have written down that opinion, and jurors -- I should say prospective jurors, panel members. And my guess, knowing human nature, is that for everyone who has written it down, there are three or four who believe it and haven't written it down. And I clearly am in a position, representing someone who may not testify in this case.

THE COURT: Don't get me wrong, I'm not saying that you can't ask questions that are meant
to ask the jury if they can accept our rule that the defendant doesn't have to testify. But I don't think it's necessary to tell the jurors, and Mr. Avery did testify in his 1985 case in order to drive home the point. That's what I'm saying.

ATTORNEY FALLON: And, you know, just an example, then if counsel wants to ask the question, well, can you think of any reasons why the person wouldn't want to testify and, you know, we have the advice of counsel, we have the inartful speaker, and perhaps a few others things they would like to suggest. Does that mean that I get to say, well, what do you think of the fact that he has got six inconsistent statements about what he did in the first eight days of this investigation. What about the fact that he has a felony record. I mean, I can play that routine too, but I don't want to. I don't think it's appropriate. I just think we're opening a box here.

THE COURT: Well, I agree that if the questions go too far in that regard, I would stop them. If a juror says, no, I can't think of any reason why the defendant wouldn't want to testify, that doesn't necessarily make the juror unqualified. It just may mean that the juror can't come up with a
specific reason.
But as long as the question is brief. It probably -- There are a number of jurors, and it's not just this trial, it's any criminal trial, will get up and say, yeah, I think the defendant should testify, so I can hear the defendant's side of the story. The defense is entitled to a brief education to explain to the jury why our rules don't require that. And as long as the time isn't abused, I'm going to allow it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't have any objection to that.

THE COURT: Diane, can you do another one before we take our break? How are you doing?

COURT REPORTER: I think we can take our break.

THE COURT: All right. Let's take our break. We'll resume in 15 minutes, 25 to 3. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: The next juror is John Carbon, correct?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Correct.
THE COURT: Mr. Carbon, if you can remain standing, the Clerk will administer the oath.

Please stand.
THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Carbon, you have already completed a questionnaire in this case. This afternoon we're moving on to the second step of jury selection which is individual voir dire. The attorneys will each have a chance to ask you some questions this afternoon.

Before we proceed, I wanted to tell you a few things that I didn't tell you last week. First of all, the jurors in this case are not going to be sequestered. That means if you are selected on the jury, you are going to be able to go home and sleep at home every day. And we're doing that because we received a commitment from the jurors not to listen to any news media accounts of this matter, watch any television, read any newspapers, or explore it on the internet, or discuss it in any way with anyone.

I also want you to know that although these proceedings are open to the public, we don't permit television cameras in the courtroom during the voir dire process and the press is not
allowed to identify individual jurors by their names in news reports. And finally, for those jurors who are selected to serve on the jury in this case, the cameras are not permitted to show the faces of the jurors at the trial.

If you are -- If you continue on the jury after questioning today, you will receive instructions by telephone when to return later this week. With that background then, Mr. Fallon, you may begin your questioning.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, sir.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Is it Carbon or Carbon.
A. Carbon.
Q. Carbon. Thanks for coming. I just have a few questions to follow up on some of the information you provided last week. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice and I'm assisting the prosecutors in this case. All right.

Mr. Carbon, I noted from your
information here that -- I take it you were a brake press operator?
A. Right.
Q. Are you currently retired?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And how long have you been retired, sir?
A. 2002, May 31st.
Q. 2002 .
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And where did you work when you --
A. Invincible.
Q. Invincible.
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And how long did you work for them?
A. Thirty-five years.
Q. Were you a brake press operator all that time?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Okay. One of the other things that you reported, I take it that you are not much of a newshound?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. All right. And most of the news that you do get, it seems to be you have a preference for television news?
A. That's right.
Q. All right. You are not much of a newspaper or magazine guy?
A. No.
Q. All right. Yet, you report that you have not received much publicity in this case, or at least much that you remember. Is it because you just haven't followed this case?
A. Well, $I$ have no interest in it so far.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. As a result, you don't have any opinions as to the guilt or innocence of Mr . Avery or anybody else?
A. No, I don't.
Q. All right. If you were selected as a juror in this particular case, is there any hardship, economic or health-wise that may --
A. None whatsoever.
Q. None whatsoever. So you are feeling pretty good?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Very good. You indicated in your report that you would be able to follow any instructions that Judge Willis gave you and apply that?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. All right. And you would, if you were selected, base your opinion as to the guilt or innocence of Mr. Avery solely on what you hear in court; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. In other words, whatever evidence
that the State may present, or the defense presents, whatever occurs in the courtroom is what you would base your opinion on and nothing else?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And you would be able to accept the Court telling you that Mr. Avery is presumed innocent and unless or until the State can convince you otherwise?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And you would be able to follow that?
A. I sure would.
Q. Okay. And you understand that Mr. Avery doesn't have to take the stand or testify?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And you wouldn't hold that against him if he did?
A. No, I would not.
Q. All right.
A. That's his privilege.
Q. All right. Similarly, if he did take the stand and decide to provide some information to the jury, you would evaluate his believability the same way you would any other witness?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. All right. Now, do you have any close friends or relatives who are working in the media or have recently worked in the news industry?
A. No, I don't.
Q. No. All right. Do you have a computer at home, sir?
A. No, I don't.
Q. All right. And as I recall, you do not have any prior jury experience; is that right?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay. As you think about this particular case and the possibility that you would be selected as a juror, is there anything in your background, any personal philosophes or religious beliefs, or anything of that nature which might cause you some concern in terms of being a juror?
A. No.
Q. All right. You would have no problem deliberating in determining guilt or innocence?
A. Not one bit.
Q. Okay. In your capacity as a brake press operator at Invincible, did you ever, or were you ever involved in any security matters with the company or the shop?
A. No, I was not.
Q. Not your area of expertise?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Excuse me. Since you didn't or haven't followed this case very closely in the media, do you have any strong recollections about anything that you may have heard at this time?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay. How long have you been a resident or member of Manitowoc County, sir?
A. 1960 I moved into Manitowoc.
Q. Okay. 1960 .
A. I was born in Branch. I lived out there for 21 years and I'm 67 so.
Q. I'm sorry? You were born where?
A. Born in Branch. And I lived in Branch until I was 21.
Q. Very good. All right. So you have a fair amount of experience. Do you think the Sheriff's Department is doing a good job, bad job, or fair job, or no job at all, in terms of dealing with crime?
A. I think they are doing okay.
Q. Doing all right?
A. Sure.
Q. Do you have any strong opinions regarding your
experience with law enforcement?
A. No, I don't.
Q. All right. Have you had any encounters with law enforcement?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Any family members or any friends have any bad experiences that they shared with you?
A. No, they haven't.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have for this witness.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Carbon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Jerome Buting, and Dean Strang and I are defending Mr. Avery, I assume you understand that.
A. Okay.
Q. Let me ask you, now you are retired for the last three years, four years?
A. Four.
Q. Okay. And you stay here year round?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What do you generally do with your day?
A. I go for walks and I watch the boob tube.
Q. Okay. Do you have friends you socialize with, get together and --
A. Oh, we go to the bar once a week and play cribbage.
Q. Okay. And are they friends you had for a long time?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Okay. Good friends?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you say you watch a fair amount of TV?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. During the day and in the evening as well, probably?
A. Basketball, football, stuff like that.
Q. So does this -- As you are socializing with your friends, did this case ever come up in any discussions, like, hey, did you hear about this or that or what do you think about --
A. Not really.
Q. Do you remember when it was charged originally?
A. I think it was a couple years ago. I don't remember.
Q. Okay. Can you tell me what it was you recall hearing when it was first charged?
A. Just that he was accused of murdering Ms Halbach.
Q. And at some point did you later hear news reports about another person who was also charged?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Never heard about a nephew.
A. Oh, yes, yes, young Dassey.
Q. Brendan Dassey.
A. Yes.
Q. Brendan Dassey.
A. Yes, I'm sorry.
Q. Where did you hear about that; did you see that on TV?
A. That was on TV also.
Q. Okay. Did you see the press conference that was on TV for that?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. You know what I mean by press conference with --
A. I haven't. That I haven't seen.
Q. Okay.
A. I couldn't have had the TV on at the time. I don't know.
Q. Okay. But you recall seeing something on TV about it?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What do you recall learning about it, the details? What do you remember?
A. Just that they were supposed to have stabbed her, mutilated her. That's about the only thing I remember.
Q. And did you -- What did you think when you heard that story; was it a pretty graphic detail?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Did it bother you to hear those?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Did you believe it to be true?
A. I don't know if it's true or not.
Q. But when you initially heard that, did you just assume, well, it must be true?
A. Not really. Not really.
Q. Did you think otherwise? Did you think, well, this is kind of a strange story, I'm not sure this really makes sense?
A. I don't know if it makes sense or not, you know, I really don't know.
Q. Okay. Did you -- Did it have any affect on the way you thought, or do you think it had any affect on whatever feeling or opinion you might have had about Steven Avery as opposed to Brendan Dassey?
A. Not really.
Q. Do you recall any details about him being involved, that is, Steven Avery being involved, according to Brendan Dassey's first statement?
A. Just through the news media and that was about it.
Q. Right. So, in that news media though, you learned a story of what this young man apparently initially said, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And through the news media, have you ever heard any other versions of it since then, any differences in that story?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Have you ever heard whether or not the young man, Brendan Dassey, has since tried to take back that story, had to change the story, or anything of that sort?
A. No.
Q. Just never heard any of that? What about through talking with your friends?
A. We don't even bring it -- discuss it, my friends.
Q. Okay. After having heard all that you did hear, though, pretty graphic details, as you see

Mr. Avery sitting here today, do you really think you think you can give him the presumption of innocence?
A. I really don't know, sir.
Q. Be kind of hard?
A. I don't know if he's innocent or guilty. I have no idea.
Q. Well, if -- the Judge will instruct you that when any defendant, including Mr. Avery, comes to court here, at the beginning of his trial, he's presumed innocent.
A. That's true.
Q. No matter what you may have heard in the news?
A. That's true.
Q. Do you think sometimes things you hear in the news aren't true?
A. Oh, yeah, and I imagine some things in the paper aren't true.
Q. Okay. Would that be true for television as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Because you primarily get your news from television; is that right?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And you understand that generally the defense doesn't get to answer the charges that a
prosecutor brings until the trial? A lot of times you don't hear the other side until a trial?
A. Okay. I didn't know that.
Q. Okay. Did you think the defense should be calling press conferences and responding to it?
A. Not really.
Q. The fact that you haven't heard any press conference from the defense, or defense attorneys, that, you know, publicly, not only deny the charge but, you know, pick it apart piece by piece; does that have any affect on you?
A. No, it doesn't.
Q. Do you think that the defense needs to demonstrate here in court, prove to you why Mr. Avery is not guilty of this charge?
A. I think so.
Q. Why do you think so?
A. Because he has got to prove his innocence.
Q. He does?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. Right now he is charged with murder.
Q. Okay. So you think if somebody like Mr. Avery is charged with murder, something so serious and all
the details that you did hear at one point, it's really necessary for him to now prove that he is not guilty; is that what you are saying?
A. I would think so.
Q. Well, do you feel pretty strongly about that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Why?
A. He's just got to prove his innocence.
Q. Do you also think that that means that he should take the witness stand and testify and present his side of it?
A. I think that's up to him if he wants to testify.
Q. So that's different, you feel differently about that part of it?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't feel that in order to prove that he is innocent he would have to testify; is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. What if he did testify, would you think maybe he is just up there trying to save his own skin, and say whatever he wants, or whatever he needs to?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, he's trying to prove that he's innocent, that's why he took the stand.
Q. Okay. You know, either way it goes is a tough decision, whether you take the witness stand or not. And, you know, defense attorneys always struggle with that decision, whether they should advise their client to do it or not, take it one way or the other. And can you promise me that if you are on this jury, you are not going to hold it against him either way, whether he does testify or doesn't?
A. That's right, I will promise that.
Q. Okay. Where do you get together and play cribbage?
A. Do I have to say the bar?
Q. Sure.
A. Saucy's.
Q. Saucy's.
A. Yes.
Q. And that's where, in Manitowoc?
A. Yes, up on Washington Street.
Q. Okay.
A. It's also a sports bar.
Q. Okay. Is that something you do pretty much every day?
A. No, just on Wednesdays.
Q. Just Wednesdays. Okay. During the day, or
evening, or both?
A. 9:30 it starts. But now we haven't for the last three weeks because there wasn't enough players. You have to have at least four players.
Q. $9: 30$, in the evening?
A. Morning.
Q. Morning, I see.
A. $9: 30$, I'm in bed.
Q. Okay. I try to be, but it doesn't work out that way. What television channels do you usually watch?
A. Channel 11. And in the morning, I think 4:30, if I get up early, I watch Channel 5 or 7. Very seldom 2.
Q. Seldom 2, but the other 3?
A. Yeah, 11, 5 and 7.
Q. Okay.
A. Or 26, I believe it is.
Q. And is it -- You mentioned getting up in the morning and watching something, is it -- do you often have -- Are you often home in the evenings like 6:00, 5:00?
A. Sure am.
Q. Okay. Is the TV often on?
A. It's on, yes.
Q. And is it -- At that time of day, usually those channels have local news or half our news broadcast.
A. Not at 4:30 in the morning. It's usually world news.
Q. Okay. But I mean in the evening, I'm talking about?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. 5 or 6:00.
A. Local news, yes.
Q. So that's usually on in your house? The news is on?
A. Yes.
Q. But you don't pay much attention to it, or do you?
A. No. Sometimes I'm in the kitchen making a sandwich or something, or a bowl of soup.
Q. Okay. Do you think if Mr. Avery should try and prove that he's innocent of this charge; do you think that means that he would have to prove to you who really did it? If he didn't do it, who did?
A. Not really. But that's why he's taking the stand on his own behalf, to see if he can prove his innocence, I would think.
Q. Well, is there any way he could prove his innocence if he didn't take the witness stand?
A. If he had more witnesses, yes.
Q. Okay. So if he had a case, or maybe through questioning -- cross-examination of the State's witnesses, bringing out information that way?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. So you do think it would be possible for him to convince you that he's innocent even without him testifying?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you think it would be possible to convince you of that if he couldn't also tell you who did do this horrible crime?
A. That would be hard.
Q. It would be hard to convince you unless you knew that someone else -- unless he showed you who did it?
A. Yes, or could prove it.
Q. Well, let me ask you this. If somebody is innocent of a crime and they didn't do it, and they don't have the police to go investigate; how would somebody solve the crime? How could you expect a defendant like Mr. Avery to solve the crime and prove who did do it?
A. That would be hard to say.
Q. I mean, do you think that someone could do that? Is that something you really think a defendant would be able to do?
A. If it's -- Yeah, I think so. I think if he wants to prove himself innocent, he would go looking for somebody that -- or a possible killer. That's my opinion.
Q. Okay. But do you believe that -- or would you hold Mr. Avery to that burden and say, hey, you know, I'm sorry, if you can't show me who else did it, if it's not you, then I'm going to have to say you did it, you are guilty?
A. Not really.
Q. No? I mean, do you think it's possible that you could be convinced that Mr. Avery is not guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Sure.
Q. Without really knowing who is the guilty party?
A. I think so.
Q. You could?
A. Sure.
Q. Why? You seem to say something other than that a few minutes ago?
A. Well, I don't want to contradict myself.
Q. Yeah, well, that's okay. We're just talking here. You are just being honest. Why do you think that now it is possible?
A. Will you repeat the question, please.
Q. Do you think that it would be possible for you to come back and find Mr. Avery not guilty, if he wasn't able to prove to your satisfaction, who really is the murderer?
A. I think I could.
Q. Pardon me?
A. I think so. I don't know.
Q. Well, think about it. Do you really think that he -- if I understand you, you are telling me that you won't be able to find him not guilty unless he can also prove to you -- solve the crime, who else did it?
A. It's so darn hard to say.
Q. All right. Now, you have been in the area for quite some time; do you know where the Avery Salvage Yard is?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Have you ever been there?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever met any of the Avery's?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Chuck Avery, for instance?
A. No.
Q. Don't know him from Adam?
A. No.
Q. Do you know, have you ever talked to anybody about this case and told them that you thought Steven Avery was guilty?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Okay. Now, I think you said you have never had any -- any encounters with the police at all; is that right? In all your years, you have never had a ticket even?
A. Oh, sure. I have got picked up for drunken driving.
Q. Okay. One time?
A. Twice.
Q. Twice. Okay. What was your experience like with the officers in that instance?
A. Very good.
Q. Fine, no problems with it?
A. Not a bit.
Q. Did you feel like you were treated fairly the whole way?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Did you plead guilty or go to trial?
A. I pleaded guilty.
Q. Okay. Because you felt you were guilty, right?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. If you thought you were not guilty, would you have gone to trial?
A. Yes, I would have.
Q. Do you think police officers are -- when they take the witness stand in a case and take an oath to swear to tell the truth; do you think that they are more or less likely than the ordinary person to really honor that oath and tell the truth?
A. I think so.
Q. Let me rephrase that. Do you think that the police are more likely to be telling the truth when they take the witness stand than the ordinary witness, just because they are police?
A. Well, that's what they are hired for, to take the oath, to tell the truth, isn't it?
Q. Well, they are hired to --
A. To protect the law.
Q. Sure. Okay. Can you -- Have you ever heard of or can you conceive of any situations where maybe police officers may not tell the truth under oath?
A. No, I haven't. I never been to a trial, so I wouldn't know.
Q. Okay. But do you think -- Can you consider the possibility that that may occur?
A. That might hurt?
Q. That may occur. That sometimes police officers may not follow the oath?
A. I don't think so.
Q. You don't think so.
A. No, because it could be perjury.
Q. Okay. What about ordinary people that come in, ordinary persons, people like yourself, do you think if they come in and -- into a trial, take the oath to swear the truth; do you think that they will always tell truth?
A. No, I think they could fib a little bit.
Q. They can fib?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. But wouldn't that be perjury too?
A. Yes, it would.
Q. So -- But you think an ordinary person might be able to do that, actually perjure themselves, but police officers would not?
A. I think so. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. I just feel strongly for that. Because a police officer, he don't want to lose his job.
Q. Okay.
A. A regular citizen doesn't care. That's my opinion.
Q. Okay. Now, if the Judge instructed you, though, that under the law you have to judge a police officer's testimony exactly the same way as any other witness, and you can't give any greater weight to the fact that they are police officers in determining whether or not they are telling the truth; do you think you would be able to do that?
A. I think so.
Q. Why? How could you put aside those feelings you just said and judge them just like any other witness?
A. I really don't know about that one.
Q. Would have a hard time doing that?
A. Yes.
Q. That's because you feel very strongly that police officers really wouldn't lie; is that it?
A. Well, they shouldn't.
Q. Okay. That's fine. I appreciate your honesty. One last area $I$ want to just ask you about,
briefly. Do you know anything about Mr. Avery's background?
A. No, I do not. Not a bit.
Q. Do you know anything about the Innocence Project; have you ever heard of that?
A. The what?
Q. The Innocence Project.
A. Innocence?
Q. Innocence Project. An outfit out of Madison that helps free people who have been wrongly convicted of crimes and are in prison?
A. Yes.
Q. You have had heard of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Have you heard of that organization and its involvement with Mr. Avery?
A. Yes, that he spent 18 years that he wasn't supposed to.
Q. Okay.
A. Or 17, whatever it was.
Q. So you do recall that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And do you have any concerns or doubts that maybe he was or was not really innocent all that time?
A. No, I didn't. Never brought it up. Never
discussed it with anybody.
Q. I mean, as you sit here today, is there any doubt in your mind that he was wrongly convicted?
A. Yes, I think he was wrongly convicted.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. Thank you, very much.

MR. CARBON: You're welcome.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, do you have some follow-up?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Mr. Carbon, if I could clarify a point or two. Now, I want to revisit this presumption of innocence so that we're not confused. If the Court -- I should say, when the Court instructs you that only the State has a burden of proof here, that only $I$, as a member of the prosecution team, have the burden of proof, beyond a reasonable doubt, of his guilt, the Court tells you that burden is on the State, I represent the State, and tells you that Mr. Avery doesn't have to prove anything; can you accept that?
A. Sure can.
Q. Will you be able to follow that if you were
selected, when you got to deliberate this case?
A. To the best of my knowledge.
Q. You would do your best?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. Okay. The only other area $I$ had was for a follow-up on police officer testimony. In your questionnaire, which you may remember having filled out last -- I think it was a week ago Monday, you answered a question, this question: Some of the witnesses in this case will be members of law enforcement. The law requires jurors -- The law requires jurors to evaluate their credibility just as that of any other witness.

That is, jurors are prohibited from giving more or less credibility to the testimony of a law officer simply because the witness is a law officer. If selected as a juror, would you be able to assess the credibility of law officers on this basis? And you answered yes.

Now, counsel asked you a very good question on that point. And although you feel that law enforcement officers should be honest, and should tell the truth, and it's part of their job; if Judge Willis tells you that may well be,
but you are to evaluate their credibility, their believability, their honesty the same way you would any other witness, will you follow that instruction?
A. I sure will.
Q. You will do your best?
A. Yes, I will.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have.
THE COURT: I have got a couple of follow-up questions. Touch on things that actually both defense counsel and Mr. Fallon did.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. When Mr. Buting was questioning you -- Well, let me step back. One of the disadvantages of voir dire is we ask jurors questions before they have gotten my instructions. You said you have never been to a trial before; is that correct?
A. That's right.
Q. There are some rules that apply in trials. And in a criminal trial perhaps the most important rule is that the defendant does not have to prove his innocence. As Mr. Avery sits here today, he is not guilty. And he stays not guilty unless
the State can prove his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt; do you understand that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And he's not required to prove his innocence.
A. Okay.
Q. He doesn't have to say who did it, other than, you know, someone else did it, he doesn't have to tell you who the other person was. He doesn't have to do anything. The State has to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he did it before you, as a juror, could vote guilty; do you understand that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And I know you said a few minutes ago you thought he had to prove his innocence; do you know that that's not true?
A. That's true.
Q. Now, let me ask you this. Regardless of what you believe, if I instruct you that you can only vote guilty if you can find that the State proved his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt; can you follow that instruction?
A. To prove him guilty only and not innocent?
Q. That means -- You can't find him guilty unless the State proves his guilt beyond a reasonable
doubt?
A. Is that up to the individual juror, to make up his mind?
Q. That's what jurors do.
A. Right.
Q. But you, as a juror, can't require the defendant to prove his innocence, you have to find that the State proved his guilt; do you understand the difference?
A. Yes.
Q. What does the difference mean to you?
A. The State has got to prove him guilty and the defense not guilty, right?
Q. Actually, the defendant doesn't have to prove anything.
A. No, he doesn't. He doesn't have to testify, that's his opinion.
Q. I think you understand that, that he doesn't have to testify. But not only doesn't he have to testify, he doesn't have an obligation to prove anything. The State has to prove he's guilty. Can you follow that instruction?
A. Sure can.
Q. And do you understand that the defendant doesn't have to prove his innocence?
A. Right. He doesn't have to testify.
Q. Now, with respect to your comments about police officers, do you agree -- I know you said police officers are supposed tell the truth, and you are right. That's when they get hired, one of the things they do is they are supposed to tell the truth. But do you understand that in the case of a few police officers, sometimes they don't?
A. That is true.
Q. Have you ever heard of a dishonest police officer?
A. I never had, no.
Q. Never met one?
A. No.
Q. Do you believe that an officer could be?
A. Yes, they could. I believe they could. Any human being could. They are all human.
Q. Okay. If some police officers testify at this trial, will you be able to evaluate their testimony just like anybody else?
A. I think so, yes.
Q. If you listen to the testimony and you think something a police officer tells you doesn't sound like the truth, will you determine -accept that determination?
A. Yes, I will.

THE COURT: Anything else, counsel? I will give you a chance for some follow-up, if you wish.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, your Honor.
ATTORNEY FALLON: (Shakes head.)
THE COURT: All right. You can take
Mr. Carbon to the hallway.
MR. CARBON: Okay. Thank you.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, sir.
JUROR: Thank you. Have a good
afternoon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thanks.
THE COURT: Any motion from the State?
ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to say no. And it's based on just my feeling or intuition. I think he means well. I just think he had a hard time grasping the concept. And I think once the Court explained to him what the rules are, I didn't get an indication from him that he could not follow them. Admittedly, there was some concern, counsel did raise and interesting question as to whether perhaps there was a hearing issue.

I didn't get that. I just -- My impression was we were dealing with a gentleman who is not very sophisticated or knowledgeable in
the law, and had some ideas about what he thought the rules were. And I think once they were explained to him, I didn't see any reason why he wouldn't necessarily follow them. I'm not going to jump on him and strike for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, I move to strike. He was very nice gentleman. I think he was trying to be honest, but I think he was confused. This is a situation where, this is an example, I guess, where it's not enough just to ask the jurors will you follow this instruction and tell them what it is and then they say, yes, yes, I will.

The Court very wisely asked -- and I don't -- Actually I think counsel was talking with my partner at the moment and didn't actually hear the response, but the Court asked him to, tell me what you think that means, and his response I think was telling. Because even after you told him that the defendant did not -- that he enjoyed the presumption of innocence and didn't have to prove his innocence, his response was, yeah, the State has to prove he's guilty and the defendant has to prove he is not guilty. That's his right, to testify.

And then you went off on the issue of, you know, you understand he doesn't have to prove he's innocent and then he says, well, you're right, that's his right not to testify. He doesn't have to testify, I think was his words. He's confused. You know he's -- maybe it is a lack of sophistication. Maybe there's some hearing issues as well; although, I don't think that's it. I think he's just going to have difficulty. This is going to be a problem that we may have to deal with in the future. We have enough jurors I think to deal with it.

THE COURT: There are reported cases where sometimes the Courts of Appeal uphold a judge's decision to leave a defendant -- or a juror on the panel, based on observations of his demeanors to explain answers that are questionable. This is a case where when he was asked some leading questions, including by me, he gave answers that on the transcript may look correct, but I have got concerns about whether he was really tracking, following things, and most importantly, able to follow instructions. So while he might be passable as a juror, I have sufficient doubt that I'm going to grant the defense motion to strike this juror.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms Schmidt, please remain standing for a minute. I will have the Clerk administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Schmidt, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. This afternoon we're moving on to the next stage of the jury selection process which is individual voir dire. That means the attorneys for the parties will have an opportunity to ask you some questions.

Before that begins, there's a couple other pieces of information $I$ wish to pass on to you. First of all, I want to make sure you know that the jury in this case will not be sequestered. That means that if you're selected for the jury, during the estimated six weeks of the trial you will be able to go home every night and then come back for the jury trial the next day.

We're doing that because of the requirement that the members of the jury not observe any news media accounts of the trial, either in the newspaper, television, radio, the
internet, or anywhere else, and make sure that you don't talk about the case with anyone else.

I also want you to know that although these proceedings are open, that is, open to the public, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom during the voir dire process. The press is not allowed to identify you as a potential juror in this case. And the jurors that are selected to serve in this case are not being permitted to be shown on camera during the course of the trial. If you remain on the jury panel after today's proceedings you will be notified by telephone in a few days when to return back to court. At this time then $I$ will permit Mr. Fallon to begin questioning for the State.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms Schmidt.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. And we each have just a few questions to follow up on some of the information you provided last Monday in your questionnaire and a few other related
questions to help us in selecting the jury this week.

In terms of the information provided, I take it you are currently just working at home?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you have formally did some work at a temporary service?
A. Yes, sir. Most of the time I stayed at home --
Q. Okay.
A. -- taking care of my family.
Q. I see, yes, and you have done well, you have three sons and a daughter.
A. Four sons, there wasn't room on the paper for the other one.
Q. When you did work outside of the home, what type of work did you do?
A. Well, it varied. If I worked at the temporary service it was like sorting bolts or packing. If I worked at some place else it was probably like K Catering Service type of thing, that's about it.
Q. So you did a variety of things?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. How often did you work in the temporary service?
A. Well, my youngest son is 14 , so $I$ just said $I$ started working about six years ago again.
Q. And what was the last thing you did when you worked for the temporary service; what kind of work was it?
A. Sorting and packing.
Q. And for whom did you do that work?
A. Kaysun. Well, it's through ABR, but I believe it was Kaysun Corporation.
Q. Kaysun Corporation. You did answer one question, and I don't mean to pry, but we did want to make sure that it would be all right with you. But on one question, in terms of health concerns, you indicated high blood pressure.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Is it more or less under control or is that something --
A. I take a pill every morning. And I usually do that about 5:00 so.
Q. And if you were able to keep up that regimen, do you think your blood pressure would be under control such that you could sit as a juror?
A. I think so. I think so.
Q. Otherwise it shouldn't present a problem for you?
A. No, sir.
Q. Is that a recent condition you have been working with or is it something --
A. Um, I would say it's about eight years that I have been diagnosed with it --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and have been taking pills for it.
Q. All right. So you are pretty experienced then in managing it and keeping it under control?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Thanks. Of the sources of news that you have, where would you say you get most of your news from?
A. The radio.
Q. From the radio?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And what type of stations do you listen to.
A. WOMT, that's from morning until about 9:00. Then it goes to a Sheboygan station I believe. I don't know what it is, but they play gentle, easy listening music.
Q. Okay.
A. Then it's back to -- back to WOMT, and that's on until about 6, 7 at night.
Q. Have you been following the coverage of this case on the radio?
A. No, sir. Every time it came on I turned it off or I walked out of the room.
Q. Is there any particular reason why you --
A. I wasn't interested in --
Q. Okay.
A. -- anything like that.
Q. So would it be fair to say you really haven't followed the coverage of this case hardly at all?
A. Yes, sir, I guess you could say that.
Q. All right. You are aware that Mr. Avery is accused of killing Teresa Halbach; I take it you are aware of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But you haven't paid attention to any of the details?
A. Not as far as what's going on, no.
Q. All right. Can you tell us a little bit about what you actually do remember from what little you have listened to or watched?
A. Mostly the names that have appeared. Mr. Avery, Ms Halbach, that's all.
Q. All right. Do you recognize the name Dassey, Brendan Dassey? Is that a name you are familiar with?
A. I know the name, it appeared once in a while, but

I don't know what it's --
Q. You don't know the --
A. -- what it's concerning, no.
Q. You don't know the connection --
A. No, sir.
Q. -- of that name with the case?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. You indicated in your questionnaire that you did watch at least one type of television crime show, that being CSI, crime scene investigation.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How often do you watch that show?
A. Well, it depends on if we have other things going on around the house like butchering or stuff. It's not every week, $I$ know that. And when $I$ do watch it, it's mostly for to see the people, not what they are doing, but because the story line once in a while is interesting.
Q. You like the characters?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You indicated you found the show somewhat realistic; any particular reason why that would be?
A. Well, sometimes how they scrape the fingernails
and things like that.
(Changed microphone batteries.)
THE COURT: All right. I think we can resume.
Q. Very good. I think -- Let's see, where were we? We were talking about CSI and whether it was --
A. Realistic or not?
Q. Realistic or not.
A. The thing $I$ watch when the story gets kind of confusing is, well, like, that $I$ know they take fingernail scrapings. And the rest of the stuff is kind of iffy because you don't know for sure.
Q. In terms of its reliability, or whether or not we have the capability of doing it?
A. You probably have the capability, but they show things, every week, or whatever, is supposed to be a crime has been committed. And I know that things don't happen that way, you know, it's got to be usually a longer period of time. They don't have them every day.
Q. Or at least they're not solved every day.
A. I certainly hope they're not solved every day.
Q. All right. I'm going to go back to one of the first questions, you indicated farming and butchering; what kind of farm does your family
run?
A. It's -- Well, it's a working farm, but we have cattle, and we have pigs, and we have chickens, and ducks, and geese. And my husband and my son run -- we have 80 acres. And then woods, the guys all go to the woods and make wood for the winter that we can burn in the house.
Q. Not a dairy farm per se?
A. No, it's not a dairy farm. The cattle are beef.
Q. Okay. Is that the primary source of income for the farm, the beef?
A. Yeah, and the chickens?
Q. The pork?
A. Well, the pork mostly is for ourselves.
Q. Okay. Very good. You did leave one question unanswered that is of some importance. Two questions actually, and I guess I will close with those two. One question, you were asked if you were served -- if you served on a jury, or you were selected for this particular jury, how would you feel about that service?
A. It wouldn't bother me.
Q. All right.
A. The only thing that would probably bother me would be making up my mind at the end, if it
was -- if it happened the way that was presented to me, or not.
Q. All right. So in other words to actually getting down to making a decision might be kind of difficult, weighing the evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. But there's nothing else about the process that --
A. No.
Q. -- you would find difficult?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And the last question we touched briefly upon in the beginning with health and family, but it's very possible this case will go six weeks. As Judge Willis told you, you know, you would be able to go home every night and things of that sort. But is there any particular personal hardship or, you know, would this be really hard on the family business or anything like that if you were here eight, nine hours a day as opposed to being back managing the family business at home?
A. No, sir. My husband is retired. He runs most of the business around there if people stop for eggs or whatever. And my older son is in -- he's a
senior this year. And the next one is an 8 th grader, the 12 year old, yeah. Not my oldest son, he's away from the house, but the second youngest.
Q. Okay. In all your years here in Manitowoc in that business, have you ever had any encounters with the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department?
A. Not that $I$ know of. They might have, you know, stopped for some reason or other, but I couldn't tell you for sure.
Q. All right. Do you have any impressions at all as to whether you think they are doing a good job for you here in Manitowoc, bad job, a fair job in terms of --
A. It must be fair because I haven't had any complaints about anybody.
Q. Okay. Do you have any friends or family members who work in the news business?
A. No, sir.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all. Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good afternoon ma'am. My name is Jerome Buting
and I, along with Dean Strang, are the attorneys representing Mr. Avery here today; do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I want to follow up on a few of Mr. Fallon's questions and maybe some of my own as well. All right. First of all, I heard you mention that most of the time you have worked in the home, but occasionally you worked at a temporary service, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You mentioned Kaysun Corporation?
A. Yes, sir. That was through ABR. And they sent me out there before Christmas, 2005.
Q. Okay.
A. And it was just packing bolts -- not bolts. It was like little -- little gear things. And they had to inspect them as far as if the ringings were tight or not?
Q. Okay.
A. And then the person inspected them and then they were given to us and we had to pack them. And that was all we had to do.
Q. Is that the only time you worked for Kaysun?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you happen to know a woman who also worked there, I'm not sure if it's the same time, by the name of Terri Temme?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Before today, did you know any of the jurors that you've -- prospective jurors that you have encountered?
A. No, sir.
Q. They are all strangers to you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Let's talk about what you have heard, maybe at the very beginning, about this case in the media, okay?
A. Yes, sir. That's about all I heard, the very beginning.
Q. Okay. Tell me what -- what you recall hearing about this case in the media at the very beginning.
A. What do I recall, gee, well, that someone was murdered and they are assuming that Mr. Avery did it. And they said -- I think they told me Ms Halbach's name. But $I$ can't remember anything else on it, because like I said, I wasn't interested in it at the time.
Q. And do you remember any information about why
they were assuming Mr. Avery was involved?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Did you ever hear any details about anyone who may have made any confessions?
A. No, sir. See, if we're not busy around the farm at that time, which is about 6:00, and it's after our meal time, and it's usually after our chore time, and I have other things to do if we're butchering, or if the kids need help with their school work. Very seldom that we listen to the news. And then when I go up at 6:00, I usually have game shows on like Jeopardy.
Q. Sure. Well, I think at one point you said that every time anything about this case came on you would turn it off the radio, or you would walk out of the room?
A. I would.
Q. Why?
A. Well, I wasn't interested in that.
Q. Well, okay. But --
A. Mostly the radio is on for music.
Q. Sure.
A. And we hear, once in a while, bits and pieces of news, but mostly it's for the weather.
Q. But if the radio is just sort of on in the
background, sounds like for hours and hours a day, right?
A. All day, yes.
Q. Okay. What is it about any kind of reports about this case, though, that would make you want to turn it off?
A. I don't know, sir. I just didn't -- wasn't interested in it.
Q. Was there something about it that you just said I have heard enough of this, I have heard too much of this, I'm sick of this?
A. Could be in the beginning. I don't know what it is, $I$ just turn it off.
Q. Is there anything you heard that made you sick to your stomach, or disgusted, or --
A. Well, I didn't hear the whole report, I couldn't tell you. I just didn't hear it.
Q. Okay. When other news would come on, would you turn off the radio, or walk out of the room, other reports of other --
A. Most of the time I listen, but not always. It's like -- it's like background effect stuff. It goes in one ear and out of other.
Q. Sure.
A. And doesn't stop in between to register.
Q. Sure, I understand. But other news reports in other cases perhaps, other crimes even, or other things that are going on, you would just kind of let the radio play.
A. Yeah, that's about it.
Q. You wouldn't turn it off or walk out of the room?
A. Well, I don't turn it off even when it was on about Mr. Avery, I just kind of ignored it, you know.
Q. Okay. So it wasn't --
A. You don't listen totally to it, you just kind of.
Q. So you are not saying that you really turned it off because you were -- because this case would come on the radio?
A. I turned it off because that was me turning it off, I just turned it off.
Q. And the fact that Mr. Avery's case might have been being reported on the radio at that moment you're saying it had no connection --
A. No, sir.
Q. -- to your decision to turn it off?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So when you listen to the radio, is it -WOMT, has -- they have got news in the early morning, correct, and don't they then change over
to a music format at some point?
A. I'm trying to think. At 5:00, when the radio goes on, I'm usually making lunch for my son. And then they have a little -- a little chatter --
Q. A little call in?
A. -- in between them.
Q. Yeah, okay.
A. In between them.
Q. Okay.
A. And then they have like Be My Guest or something on that order, and music in between.
Q. Okay. And is it -- But is it mainly talk and news all day long, or do they convert over to music --
A. Mostly it's music all day long. There's a couple shows I know, like Be My Guest, or something like that. Those I don't listen to because I'm usually doing something else.
Q. Okay. All right. Now, when you were talking about the CSI, that you said was the only one; you do try and watch that one pretty regularly?
A. Yeah. But I'm more interested in the story line as far as like the people. This girl was going out with that guy --
Q. I see.
A. -- or this one got married, or stuff like that.
Q. You're more interested in that than the story about the crimes that they happen to be investigating?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. I see. And do you -- do you think that the scientific tests that they do, or they claim to do on the show, are all tests that in fact really can be done, or are done?
A. I would say -- I would say most of the tests could be done.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know for a fact that they are positively done, but I would say that they could be done.
Q. Okay. And they are usually pretty good at finding evidence when a crime happened, they are pretty quick at finding evidence right there that proves it, right?
A. Yes. But how long does it take, only an hour to show all this interesting stuff, but I'm sure it takes longer than that to run it through a regular lab.
Q. Sure, but as a result of that you think that it's pretty likely that real police are able to do
that too, that if there's -- if a real crime took place, they are able to get there on the scene and find the evidence that will prove it, right?
A. I would think so.
Q. Okay. And if they were not able to find any -or significant evidence, or evidence that you might expect they would find at the crime scene, if that was missing in a real case like this, would that -- would that trouble you.
A. If the evidence that the person says is there isn't?
Q. No, if the evidence that you would think should be there is not.
A. No, I don't think so, they have to show me exactly what was there.
Q. I appreciate that. Thank you. Now, you have -I'm sorry did you say you have four children, four sons?
A. Yeah, four sons and a daughter. But there wasn't room enough on the paper for the last one.
Q. Okay.
A. So I kind of dropped him off.
Q. One got the short straw there?
A. I guess so.
Q. Is that son still at home?
A. Yes, he is. He's only 14.
Q. Three sons at home, 35 year old still there.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Does the 35 year old work on the farm with your father -- your husband?
A. He does most of the work because my husband has a sciatic nerve.
Q. Okay.
A. And then he can't run around with the tractors and stuff. But the older son does quite a bit.
Q. Okay.
A. But he also works for EVM. He's a foreman.
Q. Okay. Do any of your sons, or your husband for that matter, do they ever talk about this case, what they heard about the Steven Avery case?
A. They might talk to themselves about it, they haven't mentioned it around the table.
Q. That's not something they talk to you about?
A. Not at the meal time.
Q. Okay. Well, what about after they heard that you were selected as a prospective juror for this case, did they talk to you about it at all?
A. No. No.
Q. Did they talk to you about it in the last week at all?
A. No.
Q. And have you heard of any opinions that they have about whether he, Mr. Avery, is guilty or not guilty?
A. They might have opinions, but I don't listen to them?
Q. Well, how would you know they would have them if you don't listen to them?
A. Well, because they go out to the greenhouse, or wherever, out to the barn, and I usually stay in the house so I don't know what they were discussing?
Q. So you are just guessing that maybe they have opinions?
A. That's right.
Q. I see. Okay. Now, the Judge is going to tell you about -- he's going to give you a number of instructions, sort of rules of the game that you will have to follow. And one of those is the presumption of innocence. Have you heard of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What is that -- Well, strike that. Do you think that Mr. Avery is presumed innocent right now?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you think that he must have done something or he wouldn't be here, we wouldn't have gotten this far in the proceeding so that we're coming up on the trial?
A. Yes, sir. I would put it that way exactly.
Q. Pardon me?
A. I would put it that way exactly.
Q. You think he must have done something?
A. Well, yes.
Q. Why do you think that?
A. Well, because otherwise he wouldn't be in a courtroom.
Q. Okay. Do you think sometimes people who are completely innocent of any crime whatsoever find themselves in the position Mr . Avery is in here?
A. Yes.
Q. So why do you think that that couldn't apply to Mr. Avery now?
A. That he's innocent? That he is innocent until proven guilty.
Q. Okay. What I'm asking, though, is a moment ago you said that you think that he must have done something in order to get himself in the position he is at right now?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Why?
A. Well, evidently he is in jail. And other people that are in jail also, but they have different trials set up for them. Gosh, I don't know how to put it.
Q. Well, just try, you are doing fine.
A. Um, well, first of all, all of you people are in line ready to get even with him or whatever. And we don't know until the facts are shown if he is guilty or not guilty. And right now he's innocent.
Q. Okay. Well, then why do you think he must have done something wrong if he is here today?
A. Because evidently all you people gave evidence to the cops, and police, excuse me, and -- and they arrested him for it.
Q. Okay. That's fair. Do you think, though, that sometimes the evidence that the police get may -Strike that. I'm not going to get hypothetical here. Let me put it this way, do you know anything about Mr. Avery's background or past?
A. I know he was in jail before. I have no idea why. I know that he was -- he got out, because something proved him wrong, different.
Q. Okay. Something proved that he was innocent?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you have any doubts about whether he was really innocent?
A. At that time?
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't know anything about the case at that time.
Q. Okay. Well, do you assume that since he was released from prison, he must -- everybody must agree he really was innocent?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Do you think then that it's possible -- I mean there's an example right here, where sometimes someone gets this far and they get to the position of Mr. Avery, in fact, they get much farther, they are actually convicted wrongly. So can you consider that Mr. Avery, just because he's here today, for this trial, may be entirely innocent of this crime?
A. Yes, sir, I believe he is.
Q. Okay. Do you think that -- By the way, do you know any police officers at all, personally?
A. Not personally. I know Dicky France (phonetic) is constable in Town of Two Rivers, but that's as
far as $I$ know.
Q. Okay. Do you think that if a police officer comes to court and testifies, takes the oath, swears to tell the truth, that necessarily a police officer will always tell the truth under oath?
A. No, sir.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, everybody has a tendency to fib once in a while, and just because they are a police officer doesn't mean that they don't have that tendency.
Q. Sure. So you would judge a police officer on the witness stand the same as any other witness?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Just because they have a uniform or badge doesn't make them any more truthful than the ordinary witness; is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you think that police officers can -- when you say fib, if you're fibbing under oath, that's really perjury, is it not?
A. I believe so.
Q. Do you think police could actually go that far?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I think that's enough. Thank you, very much, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. We'll have the Clerk escort the juror out of the room.

Counsel, any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. That juror is in. I have received a request. There's a Julie Dorn, she's No. 18, she has to go to work at 11 tonight. Maybe she's going to go home to sleep after this. But we'll take her out of order and take her next.

Ms Dorn, could you raise your right hand, we'll have the Clerk administer the oath. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Dorn, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next step of the jury selection process which is individual voir dire. The attorneys for each of the parties have a chance to ask you some follow-up questions.

Before we begin that process, I want to pass on a few bits of information to you. First
of all, I want you to know that the jurors selected in this case will not be sequestered. That means you will be able to go home each night after each day of trial. We made that decision because of the instruction to the jurors that they not listen to any news media accounts of this case either on radio, television, newspaper, the internet, or anywhere else, and also that you not discuss the case with anyone else.

Although these proceedings today are open, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom during the voir dire process and the news media is not allowed to identify individual jurors by name in news reports. In addition, once the trial starts, the cameras are prohibited from showing any of the jurors such that any of them could be identified.

If you remain on the jury after today's proceedings, you will receive a telephone call in a day or two letting you know when to report back. With that background then, Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms Dorn. My name is Tom Fallon.

I'm an Assistant Attorney General for the Wisconsin Department of Justice. And I'm one of the prosecutors in the case. And I wanted to follow up with a few questions about the information you provided in your jury questionnaire and a few other related matters. Thanks for coming this afternoon. I know you are a third shifter so.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. That wreaks havoc with your schedule. And in that regard, if we could just start there. You indicate you are a line operator; what is that?
A. Just run a press and you assemble the parts, pack them, different job every night.
Q. Oh, okay. So you are on like an assembly line?
A. Nope, not necessarily. Just the press that you are working out of and sometimes you are working off a line.
Q. I see.
A. It's a different job every night.
Q. And how long have you been working at the Bemis Manufacturing?
A. Bemis Manufacturing, total about eight years, two years full-time.
Q. Two years full-time. And before that, what were
you doing?
A. Before that I was unemployed. I stayed home with the kids. And before that was 15 years at Richardson Brothers in Falls.
Q. Richardson Brothers Furniture?
A. Furniture, in Sheboygan Falls.
Q. All right. Was that sales, marketing, office?
A. Factory, assembler.
Q. Assembly. Very good. How long have you been working the third shift?
A. Third shift, about seven years.
Q. As shifts go, do you like third shift?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. If you were selected as a juror in this particular case, I take it that wouldn't present any particular health or financial hardship for you?
A. No.
Q. If you were selected as a jury, would that be all right; do you think you would enjoy the experience, or tolerate it, or how would you feel about it?
A. I wouldn't mind it.
Q. And as I see from the note here, if I remember correctly, I don't think you have had jury
experience before; is that right?
A. No. No.
Q. There were a couple of questions regarding your knowledge of the facts in this case. Presumably that would have come from media coverage. Am I correct in assuming that because of the fact you work the third shift your exposure to the TV coverage is limited?
A. I don't really see -- I mean, I seen some of it, but I don't really have to watch it. I mean, I go to work at -- I start at 11:00 at night, work until 7 in the morning, get home at 7:30, do a load of wash, go to bed.
Q. Right.
A. Get up, start supper, so it's like there's no time really.
Q. Okay. So, even if time permitted, you wouldn't say that this was a case of interest to you.
A. Not a necessity. I had other stuff to do.
Q. Right. The press of day-to-day living takes it's toll?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. All right. Okay. Let me ask, then, a couple of related questions. In terms of the media exposure that you have had in this case, would
you say most of it comes from radio, television, newspaper, magazine, what?
A. It would have been television.
Q. Television. All right. And have you followed any of the recent coverage at all in the case?
A. No.
Q. No. Specifically, what do you recall about the case from information provided by the media, particularly the television?
A. From what I have seen, from what $I$ watched on television?
Q. Yeah.
A. That she was missing, and then the arrest, and I think that was -- it wasn't too much. It was just -- Those are the two things that caught my attention.
Q. All right.
A. I don't remember. I mean, I don't really follow it.
Q. Okay. And when asked whether you had formed any personal opinions about the case, based on that information, you said no?
A. Right.
Q. Do you have any opinions today?
A. No.
Q. As to guilt, or innocence, or anything about the case?
A. No, we were told not to watch anything, or read anything, not listen to anybody.
Q. And you followed those instructions?
A. Yup.
Q. Very good. How long have you been a resident of Manitowoc County?
A. Manitowoc County, since 1987.
Q. During that time, have you had any encounters or any experiences with the Manitowoc Police Department, or the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any opinions as to whether you think the Sheriff's Department is doing a good job, a bad job, a fair job, in terms of fighting crime and serving the public?
A. I --
Q. Don't have an opinion one way or the other?
A. No.
Q. Okay. That's all right. Now, in your questionnaire there were a number of questions that were designed to see if you would follow the instructions of the judge and the law, if you
were selected as a jury -- as a juror, excuse me. One of those questions dealt with the fact that Mr. Avery is presumed to be innocent, unless and until the State can prove him guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt; do you accept that?
A. Right.
Q. Do you have any problem understanding that?
A. No.
Q. And do you think you would be able to follow on Judge Willis' instructions on that?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes. Okay.
Q. She's trying to type it all down so.
A. Sorry.
Q. Very good. Similarly, Mr. Avery has the right to testify in this case and he also has a right not to testify in this case. And if he does not testify in this case, you won't hold that against him?
A. No.
Q. All right. In other words, you understand that he has a right not to testify?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And you wouldn't consider that adversely
against him if he doesn't testify, in terms of deciding, in the end, whether he's guilty or innocent?
A. Right.
Q. It would be on other evidence that was presented?
A. The other evidence provided.
Q. Okay. And you have no problem with that?
A. No.
Q. And you can follow that instruction?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Are you a movie watcher?
A. Am I a movie watcher, yeah.
Q. Have you ever seen a movie called the Thin Blue Line.
A. No.
Q. In terms of your approach to, oh, forming an opinion or solving a problem; do you consider yourself a detail oriented type of person or are you a big picture person?
A. Could you repeat?
Q. Well, in terms of going about trying to understand a particular point, or trying to evaluate or solve a particular problem; are you kind of a bottom line, big picture, or do you want to know all the details?
A. Detail.
Q. You are a detail person. Okay. All right. As a detail person, are you one who likes to solve puzzles, or riddles, or things of that sort?
A. Sometimes.
Q. In terms of the puzzles you work on, are those jigsaw puzzles, or word puzzles, crosswords, what kind of --
A. Word puzzles.
Q. Word puzzles.
A. Jigsaw once in a while, not puzzles, but mostly words.
Q. Okay. Have you ever used a publication such as Auto Trader to buy or sell a car?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the publication at all?
A. No.
Q. Since you have had -- not had any prior jury experience, let me ask this question. Do you have any particular religious, moral, or philosophical beliefs that you think might make it difficult for you to sit and deliberate on the guilt or innocence of a person?
A. No.
Q. All right. Do you think you would be able to comfortably handle that task?
A. Right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have for the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Hi.
A. Hi.
Q. I'm Dean Strang. This is Jerome Buting. And we're defending Steve Avery. Back to the beginning, you were born in Chilton.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. How long did you stay in Chilton?
A. I was born in Chilton but we lived in New Holstein.
Q. Oh, okay, New Holstein. So you grew up in New Holstein?
A. No, grew up in Kiel.
Q. And hence, Kiel High School.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Kiel High School doesn't pull kids in from New Holstein?
A. No, it's about three -- three, four mile
difference.
Q. Okay. And then you gave me the -- or gave us the street address where you and your family live now, but $I$ don't know what town that is in. I'm sorry.
A. It would still be Kiel. It's a Kiel School District, yup.
Q. Today?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. And you have been there, gosh, in that house, for the last 20 years or so?
A. Right.
Q. The cheerleading volunteering, is that for your daughter?
A. Pardon?
Q. The cheerleading volunteering that you do; is that for your daughter?
A. Right. Yeah.
Q. Could be your son.
A. My daughter.
Q. Okay. They are twins, the younger?
A. Right, they are twins.
Q. And how involved are you guys at the church.
A. Church twice a month. One of the boys, Cody's basketball games, he plays for St. Peter and

Paul. That's about it.
Q. Okay. That answered my other question, Sts. Peter and Paul?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Bemis, this is what -- this is -- well, you have got sort of a habit of working down in the Falls, I guess, right?
A. Right.
Q. When you are working. Do these guys run a full third shift?
A. Yes.
Q. Just same as the other two?
A. Right.
Q. And the -- this sort of swing operation where you may be doing a different job every night; is that true of the other two shifts as well?
A. Mm-hmm. Right.
Q. Now, does that mean you are working with different people every night as well?
A. Right. Mm-hmm.
Q. Okay. So you really do get --
A. Or you are by yourself. Depends if it's a one or two person job.
Q. Right. Depending on the job you get, so you get a foreman or someone who assigns you --
A. Right.
Q. -- this or that?
A. Different schedule every night when you come in.
Q. Okay. Maybe since it's a full shift I can guess the answer to this, but $I$ will ask you. Is -- is there a radio, you know, sort of playing all the time?
A. We can bring our own radios in.
Q. And do you do that to help pass the time?
A. Yup. Yup.
Q. What's on the radio between 11 at night and 7 in the morning?
A. Classic rock, the Buzz out of Milwaukee.
Q. Okay.
A. That's about all we listen to. I don't listen to 104.5. I don't care for it, too much talking. I'd rather listen to music.
Q. Listening to music.
A. Be a long night if you don't have it.
Q. Right. And the talk shows get real weird at night. So you are a music -- you are listening to music?
A. Right.
Q. No TV at work?
A. No.
Q. I'm assuming. Tell me -- Tell me what you know about Brendan Dassey.
A. I saw the arrest of Brendan. Kind of like was Steven's arrest. That was it.
Q. Okay. When you say you saw the arrest of it, like a news conference?
A. That he was supposedly -- supposedly involved. That's it.
Q. Was that news conference sort of thing with microphones?
A. Right. Yup. Kind of bringing him in the courtroom. Had the orange -- I believe orange. I don't know.
Q. Okay. So you actually saw footage of the court?
A. Right. I saw him.
Q. Of the court stuff.
A. Right. Heard that he was arrested.
Q. Okay. And did you watch -- One of the prosecutors and a sheriff gave a news conference two days in a row; did you see that?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. Didn't see no conferences at all.
Q. Got it. And what -- when -- so back to -- back to Brendan Dassey, what else do you know about
him, or have you heard?
A. A nephew. The nephew was arrested. Brendan Dassey, the name, a nephew, and relation of the --
Q. Other than being Steven Avery's nephew, did you connect up, you know, the charges against Brendan with the charges against Steven in any way?
A. What do you mean connect?
Q. Do you see them as linked together, tied together in any way, one affecting the other?
A. I don't know. Just heard about an arrest. I didn't really hear the details on it. If I would have seen the conference or whatever, I would have heard more about it. But I really can't say because I didn't hear a lot about it.
Q. I'm trying to sort of explore that. Have you heard anything that Brendan supposedly said?
A. No. No.
Q. So -- So let's talk about this trial since this is just Steven Avery's trial. What do you think about innocence or guilt as you sit here now?
A. You have to have the evidence, innocent until proven guilty.
Q. Okay. So you sort of -- Are you just sort of starting with, hey, $I$ don't know anything and $I$
assume he's innocent, let's hear the evidence?
A. Whatever is set out in front of a person, that's how you -- I mean, to me that's how you figure out if they are guilty or innocent. I don't know enough about -- to say if he is or not.
Q. All right. As the case gets started, if you are on the jury, and you start hearing the evidence, are you somebody who's going to want to hear both sides?
A. Yup.
Q. Does that mean that you think he really better testify? I mean, seriously, I just need to know.
A. I would like to hear -- Yeah, I would like to hear, but if he decides not to, there still should be evidence.
Q. Okay. And in fact, I think the Judge will -- I shouldn't -- I'm not trying to do his job, I can barely do mine. But I think the Judge will tell you that a defendant, you know, somebody charged with a crime --
A. Right.
Q. -- can decide to testify. And if he does, then you treat him like any other witness.
A. Right. Right.
Q. Decide what you believe or what you don't.
A. Right.
Q. Or he can decide not to testify. I mean, is this familiar to you?
A. Right. I think it was mentioned when he spoke before, when we did our questionnaire.
Q. Right.
A. I remember him telling us that.
Q. Right. And so, you know, that's a tough call, I guess. Can you think of reasons why someone who really didn't do it might not testify --
A. No. No.
Q. -- all the same?
A. No.
Q. Like if he really didn't do it he would testify?
A. No.
Q. No. Why not testify?
A. Because evidence, you have to look at the evidence.
Q. So in other words, he could just say, hey, I didn't do it, but they can't prove I did, so I'm just going to rely on the fact they can't prove it, and I'm innocent; is that something you could accept?
A. Right. Mm-hmm.
Q. And do you know that Mr. Buting and I, as his
lawyers, might have something to say about, you know, advising him whether he should or shouldn't testify?
A. Right. Yeah. Mm-hmm.
Q. Can you live with that role of the lawyers kind of butting in?
A. Yeah.
Q. When $I$ say can you live with it, can you accept --
A. Deal with it, yeah.
Q. -- it?
A. Accept it, yeah. Mm-hmm.
Q. These are the rules --
A. Yup. Mm-hmm.
Q. -- and that's how it works.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You were asked a question on the questionnaire to the effect of, you know, when you hear a police officer testify, can you weigh their testimony just like any other witness?
A. Right.
Q. And you said yes.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you think that there's anything about being a police officer that makes you less likely to lie
under oath than any other person who is under oath?
A. No, they are just like you or I. I mean, I would see them as that.
Q. Okay.
A. They just wear the uniform for their shift and that's it.
Q. Yeah, okay. UPS guys have a uniform?
A. Yup.
Q. Police officers?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. If you're allowed to serve on this jury, are you willing to let the police officers just be human like everybody else?
A. Yeah.
Q. Not going to hold them to a higher standard?
A. No. No.
Q. Okay. By the way, have you been sitting back there all day?
A. Yup.
Q. And you would normally be sleeping from about 8 to 4 or something?
A. I get home at 7:30. I lay down from about 8:30 to about 1:30. And on the weekend I sleep like a normal person. So it works out pretty good for
me. Some people it don't.
Q. Okay. So have you bumped into anybody you know?
A. Here?
Q. Yeah.
A. No.
Q. Okay. And it gets pretty loud.
A. There's a joke teller in there.
Q. Okay. All right. Are people talking about this case at all, or they just --
A. No, they were talking about jokes, how long it's taking. We're timing it, about 45, 50 minutes a person.
Q. All right.
A. You know. About where everybody works they were just asking.
Q. Yeah.
A. Trying to get to know each other.
Q. Will you take a hit financially if you get stuck on this jury for six weeks?
A. No.
Q. It will be all right with work?
A. Yup, uh-huh.
Q. And any concerns about -- I mean, your kids aren't real young, but do you have any concerns about being away from them?
A. No. No, they are 13 and 16 .
Q. They may be at that age where they want you away for awhile.
A. Yeah, there's another one to run them to basketball or whatever.
Q. Okay. Did you grow up on a farm?
A. No, in the city.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I think that's -- I think that's -- Just a minute. I'm good, thank you for being patient.

THE COURT: All set. Ms Dorn, the Clerk will take you out of the room.

Any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
THE COURT: Very well. This juror is in. Counsel, before we proceed further, let me take a little inventory here. It's my understanding that, referring to the sheets, 14,17 , and 20 are now -are now being jointly requested to be excused?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Oh, that was this morning, wasn't it?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yeah, 14, 17, 20, 23, and 27.

THE COURT: Okay. I have got 14, 17, 20,

23, 27 and 36.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't know about 36. I know I'm going to move to strike him.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Just give me a minute, I might be able to join you in that. If $I$ could have a moment, Judge, to check my numbers.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go off the record for a second.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.
(Off record discussion.)
THE COURT: Just for the record, counsel, and to confirm again, it's my understanding that the parties are jointly recommending that the following jurors be excused: 14, Anthony Kabat; 17, Brad Erdman; 20, Thomas Kubichka; 23, Audrey Gagnon; 27, Mark Groth; and 36, Jerome Reszczyski. There may be others tomorrow, but at least through 36, those are the ones that are jointly recommended?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, Judge.
ATTORNEY STRANG: That's correct.
THE COURT: Very well. The Court will excuse those jurors. And I don't know if you folks have the information yet. Number 34, Ryan Manley, it turns out is now a Milwaukee resident.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, I think we got
information last Monday, or afternoon, or something. At least I was advised that he had moved to Milwaukee, I guess.

THE COURT: All right. So we're going to do two more jurors. The next one will be Sharon Thorne.

All right. Ms Thorne, before we begin the Clerk will administer the juror's oath to you.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Thorne, you have already completed a questionnaire in this case, today we're going on to the next step of jury selection which is individual voir dire by the attorneys for both parties. In a minute they will ask you a few questions.

Before we begin, I want to pass on a few additional notes for your information. The jury that is selected in this case will not be sequestered. That means for the duration of the trial, which may go six weeks, the jurors will be permitted to go home every evening after the trial is over for the day. We're doing that with
the understanding that the jurors will not observe any reports involving this matter on any news media, either newspaper, radio, or television, the internet, or discuss the matter with anybody else.

You should also know that although these voir dire proceedings are open to the public, no cameras are allowed in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings. And the news media is not allowed to identify individual jurors by name in any news reports. In addition, the jurors selected to serve in this case will not be on camera during any portion of the trial. The news media is not allowed to show the juror's faces during the trial.

In the event you are selected to stay on the jury panel after today's's questioning, you will receive a notification by telephone as to when to report back to court. It will probably be in the next two or three days.

With that background information, Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Thank you for your patience with us. I understand you have been having some fun at our expense, so I suppose that's deserved. Just wanted to follow up with some questions based on the information that you gave us last Monday in the questionnaire.

My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. And I guess I would like to begin with a couple of questions about your work situation. So tell me, where it is you work again, Kroll's; what is that?
A. Kroll's East, Green Bay, as a waitress.
Q. Okay. So that's a restaurant then?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. All right. And how long have you worked there?
A. Just since October.
Q. Okay. And prior to that you worked in a -- for a couple of temporary services?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes? She has got to type down yes or no so.
A. Okay.
Q. The others don't work out to well. What kind of work did you do when you were subbing out, as it were, for the temp service?
A. Factory assembly work.
Q. Okay. And how long did you work for those temporary services? ABR, how long did you work for them?
A. About 10 months.
Q. Okay. And the Flex Staff Service?
A. About a year.
Q. About a year, okay. And then you worked for Mirro Company?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And how long did you work for them?
A. 11 years.
Q. And what kind of work did you do for Mirro?
A. Paragon Electric in Two Rivers.
Q. Okay.
A. Assembling timers.
Q. So a fair amount of factory work?
A. Right.
Q. Piece work, assembly production?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Very good. The reason I asked those questions is that, as you have no doubt learned
through the process, this case may very well take six weeks to try, when it's all said and done. And if you are selected for the jury, that means you will be here 8, 9 hours a day. So our concern is you indicated you didn't think there would be any hardship for you. Having thought all that through and a week to think about it, are you still confident that you will be able to financially make it through that six week period?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of hobbies and interesting things, you indicated you like to fill in puzzles. Are those crossword puzzles or other types of puzzles?
A. Fill ins, they look like a crossword puzzle. They give you the word, and you just have to fit them in the puzzle, what $I$ was doing all day today.
Q. All right. And do you like to do that because you find it challenging, or relaxing, or any particular reason?
A. Relaxing.
Q. Okay. Any other kind of puzzle work that you like to do?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So you lived in Manitowoc County all your life?
A. Right.
Q. All right. During that time, have you had an opportunity to form any opinions as to the local Sheriff's Department? Do you think they do a good job in fighting crime, a fair job, a lousy job; do you have any opinion on that?
A. No problem. I have no -- They do their job all right I figure.
Q. In other words, you don't hear any complaints to your --
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. No.
Q. Now, the other couple questions I have for you, you indicate you get your news, I guess, primarily from television, not from newspapers. How often do you watch news telecasts?
A. I don't watch much TV at all.
Q. You don't?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. If you don't get your news from the TV, do you get your news from any other source?
A. No, I don't get a newspaper.
Q. Are you a computer person at all?
A. No, I don't have a computer.
Q. Okay. Now, in response to one question here, if you were aware of the publicity, you didn't answer yes, but you answered further with a brief explanation. And you said, just some news coverage, local Green Bay channels, very little. As a result of that information, you went on to say you haven't really formed any opinions in this case?
A. No.
Q. From time to time, do you ever talk about the case, or any of the news with any friends or family members, or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. If you are not a TV person, what do you do with your -- with your spare time? You say --
A. When it's nice outside, I'm outside a lot.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. When it's nice outside, I'm outside a lot, camping. I like going in the woods walking my dog. Just hang out with the girls, I mean, you know, having our little coffee klatsch.
Q. Oh, do you. Okay.
A. Stuff like that, go out for lunch.
Q. All right. And I take it, then, with some of the women that you hang out with you don't really talk about news that much, just talk about cooking gardening, and --
A. Girl stuff.
Q. Girl stuff. All right. That's fair. All right. And how often do you get together with the girls and just kick things around?
A. At least a couple times a month.
Q. Okay. Do you ever discuss the major events of the day in your coffee klatsch?
A. Well, like when it happened that -- in the blowing up the building --
Q. The World Trade Center.
A. Well, yeah, that was big talk.
Q. Sure.
A. Stuff like that, but, no, not really.
Q. Generally, it's not usually a newsworthy discussion like this?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Very good. Do you know anyone in the media that you are friends with, or any family members that work in the media that you might talk with from time to time?
A. Nope, none.
Q. All right. In terms of the little bit of media coverage that you ever experienced in this case, can you tell us what you do recall about the news that you did see in terms of the information, or what facts you think you may have as a result of the media coverage?
A. I don't really watch it, the news. Mainly just the weather. I put it on for the weather and that's it.
Q. Okay. Very well. So you don't have any particular impressions or what's going on with respect to this case at all?
A. None.
Q. Okay. In your work with the temporary services, working with producing and manufacturing products, and working on the assembly line, and piece work, and things of that sort; were you ever -- ever in charge of anyone, or supervising anyone in that capacity?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Did you ever write any safety guidelines, or suggest any safety guidelines, or setting up of any when you are working with the equipment or other people in producing?
A. On a Safety Committee, yes.
Q. Oh, you did. Okay. Tell us about that.
A. Just mark down the dangers on the job that we could see that workers were doing.
Q. Okay.
A. And how they were performing and the problems that it could cause.
Q. All right. And how did you -- Did you like that experience, having some input like that?
A. Mm-hmm, yeah.
Q. Did you find it valuable?
A. Yeah.
Q. In terms of coming up with some ideas and guidelines, was that kind of a group process?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, monthly group.
Q. All right. And so you would periodically revisit the guidelines and safety concerns?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Do you consider yourself, you know, in terms of evaluating opinions, or trying to solve problems, or just generally in looking at things in this world, do you consider yourself a detail oriented person, or a big picture type of person, bottom line approach?
A. Well, I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Have you ever used any magazine or publication, such as Auto Trader, to buy or sell a vehicle?
A. No.
Q. Okay. All right. Have you ever read, or heard, or seen anything in the news or in your discussions with the girls, anything about this Project Innocence in Wisconsin?
A. Nope.
Q. All right. Or do you know what it is?
A. No.
Q. It's a group of professionals, based in Madison, that seek to exonerate people who were wrongfully accused; does that ring a bell to you at all?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you know anything about Mr. Avery's background or his past?
A. None.
Q. Not a thing.
A. Uh-uh.
Q. All right. In terms of your employment situation and particularly the safety guidelines and concerns and producing these products; would you consider yourself one who follows those rules and
guidelines?
A. Yes.
Q. And that they are there for a particular reason, for instance safety, or to enhance production?
A. Right. Yes.
Q. All right. You don't have any problem following those rules or anything?
A. No.
Q. Now, in this particular case, Judge Willis here, if you are selected, will advise you of some of the rules and principles which will guide us during the course of the trial; would you be able to follow those rules?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you indicated in your questionnaire you would accept the fact that Mr . Avery is presumed innocent until the State, that's our team here, unless or until we prove him guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt. You can follow that instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you accept that as a important principle?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. I do.
Q. Okay. Now, you have never served on any jury before; is that correct?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. All right. Is there any philosophical reason, any moral reason, or religious reason, as you think about your own experiences in life, which gives you a reason to doubt whether you could serve as a juror in this case?
A. No. Uh-uh.
Q. If you were selected as a juror in this case, would that be all right with you?
A. Fine.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Hi, I'm Dean Strang. This is Jerome Buting, Steven Avery. And he's prosecuting, and we're defending, Mr. Avery.
A. All right.
Q. And I will tell you right now, I'm not from around here. And I thought I had a pretty good sense of Manitowoc County, but I don't know where Greenleaf is. I know vaguely where County NN is.
A. Out in the country.
Q. So where is it?
A. 15 miles west of Denmark.
Q. 15 miles west of Denmark.
A. By Wrightstown and --
Q. So you are near Brown County?
A. Just a little bird out there.
Q. You are near Brown County sort of.
A. Mm-hmm. Actually across the street is Brown County line. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And then how far --
A. Between $K$ and $Z$ is where $I$ live. Between Kellnersville and Maribel, there's a little country road between there?
Q. Got it.
A. I'm right on the borderline of it all. I have a Greenleaf address. I'm Manitowoc County. Township of Cooperstown. It goes on and on.
Q. And do you have family around?
A. Mm-hmm. A brother in Appleton. A brother in Appleton.
Q. Your folks still around?
A. No, they are both gone.
Q. So there's just the one brother, but he's over in Appleton?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. I understand Mrs. Kroll died this weekend.
A. Yes, she did. Mm-hmm. 94.
Q. 94 years old.
A. Yup.
Q. How did you wind up waitressing after doing factory work?
A. My girlfriend, we worked together at Paragon in 1983. And she's been working there for about five years. So she got me into the restaurant. She knew I always wanted to leave factory. And I always talked I wanted to get out of factory. So she said there was openings, she said why don't you try it. So I did.
Q. And how is it?
A. I like it.
Q. Kroll's is a place where everybody is a regular, basically?
A. Right.
Q. So what do you -- as you are warming up people's coffee, what do you chitty chat with them about?
A. All kind of things, the weather?
Q. Whatever is on their mind?
A. Green Bay Packers, of course, because you are in Green Bay.
Q. How else.
A. Yes. Is Favre coming back, that's another thing.
Q. All right. So, I mean, so this sort of suits you; I mean, are you social that way, it sounds like?
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. I'm sitting here saying now that $I$ finally have a woman under oath, I could ask what girl talk is, since I have always wondered all my life.
A. Something besides not underneath looking at an engine, changing oil and stuff like that.
Q. All right. So look, you know, what do you know about Brendan Dassey? If you don't know anything about Steven Avery, what do you know about Brendan Dassey.
A. I don't know.
Q. Have you ever heard of the name?
A. I went, way back, to school with a Dassey?
Q. Which one?
A. Peter Dassey. And I know a Paul Dassey. I just know because they live in Two Rivers, the name. But I have no idea, you know, for any personal thing about them or anything.
Q. Or any connection --
A. No.
Q. -- to this --
A. No.
Q. -- case?
A. Right. Just lived in Two Rivers all my life and they lived there too. And the name.
Q. Was Peter in your class?
A. No. Uh-uh.
Q. But you knew him through school?
A. Right.
Q. And, you know, this is all -- this is all pretty new to you if you haven't been on a jury before.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And I don't think you have ever been in trouble, have you?
A. No.
Q. And so if you wind on this jury, which could be six weeks --
A. Right.
Q. -- are you going to want to hear from both sides in this case?
A. Well, yeah. you can't make a decision until you know what it's all about totally.
Q. Yeah. And there's -- there's some things that could interfere with that. And I want to talk you to about those and get your take on them. The State -- I think as you have been told a
couple times now, the State has the burden of proof in this case. They have to prove Steve Avery guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt, if they can. So flip that around, what does that mean that we have to prove?
A. That he's innocent.
Q. Actually, nothing. We don't have to prove anything, because they have to prove he's guilty. I don't have to prove he's innocent.
A. Okay.
Q. If the Judge tells you those are the rules, can you grab on to that and follow those rules?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm sorry. The court reporter will throw a pencil at me or something if $I$ don't get a yes or no out of the you.

I think it's a natural human thing to want to hear both sides if you are going to be fair and all that. And I'm sure we'll do stuff in this trial, but do you understand we don't have to prove him innocent? We really don't, in the end. Can you follow that rule --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- if you wind up on the jury?
A. Sure.
Q. And it's the same thing with him testifying. I don't know, do you figure that, well, if a guy didn't do it he would get up on the stand and testify that he didn't do it?
A. It's not that easy.
Q. It's not that easy? Why not?
A. It's just not.
Q. Actually, it's not that easy.
A. It's not.
Q. But I'm wondering why you say so?
A. Just from like the past, of what my mom and dad used to say about the legal system and that.
Q. Who were they?
A. That's my mom and dad.
Q. Yeah, I know what but --
A. The way they would talk and that. I mean, just said don't get into trouble because it ain't that easy to get out of it.
Q. Okay. It is true. And the Judge has also told you, and he will tell you a bunch of times again I think, that in America, someone who's accused of a crime is presumed innocent. They start the trial that way. They go through the trial
presumed innocent. And only if the jury eventually decides at the end that the State proved it, beyond a reasonable doubt, is the person no longer presumed innocent. He gets found guilty in that case.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And that idea, that someone is presumed innocent, bumps up against -- I think in the real world bumps up against a tendency of people to say, well, he's here, he is sitting in the defendant's table, wouldn't be here if he hadn't done something. And is that, you know, is there a voice in your head saying that too?
A. Just have to wait and see what's all summed up, you know.
Q. Do you think he must have done something, though, to be charged and to be here?
A. Apparently.
Q. I don't know.
A. Somebody must know something.
Q. Somebody has alleged something?
A. Yes.
Q. But that's the point, somebody has made a claim. And that's the point is, he is presumed innocent.
A. I guess that's what we're here to find out.
Q. That's -- That's why you are here. That's why we have a jury, in the end. So, you know, as you say, it's not that easy on whether he testifies or doesn't testify. And I guess what I need to know is, when the Judge gives you the rules to follow, are you going to follow them?
A. Of course.
Q. And, you know, some things we do because we have to, some things we do because we believe in it and we want to. Where do you fall on that, on things like being presumed innocent and the State having the burden or proof?
A. Just have to wait and see what $I$ hear and sum it up that way.
Q. But are you following those rules because you are told to and that, you know, they are rules you have to follow, or do you say to yourself those rules make sense to me, and if $I$ were charged with a crime, that's what $I$ would want?
A. Basically, I will have to just see how it turns out, see what's said, and see how the evidence all goes, and how it all falls in place. You know, that's all $I$ can really say. Can't say he is guilty, can't say he is not guilty. I don't know.
Q. But can you presume that he is not guilty?
A. Apparently. Maybe. I don't know.
Q. This is perfect, you are being very straight with me, which is what I need, you know, to help me make decisions about whether we want you or not. And if you are going to be on the jury, you have got to get past, apparently, or maybe. And it's okay to tell me if you are not going to get past apparently or maybe.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object to that. That's a mischaracterization of the law, at least in terms of -- Well, I don't want to argue in front of her.

THE COURT: There is some ambiguity in the question. Let's move on to the next question.
Q. You will be asked to take an oath if you are on the jury, that you are going to follow the Judge's instructions.
A. I will be able to, yes.
Q. Presuming him innocent is one of those.
A. Right.
Q. There's a bunch of other instructions too.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. Do you think you will be able to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. So let me just come out and ask you, do you want to be on this jury?
A. Sure.
Q. Why?
A. I find it interesting. I never done it before. Just find it interesting.
Q. What if this trial goes six weeks and takes you away from your life for six weeks?
A. I'm aware of that already.
Q. And you still want to do it?
A. Sure.
Q. Just for the new experience? Just because it's interesting? Or is there any other reason?
A. It's a lifetime experience. I may never be able to do it again.
Q. Do what?
A. To be in court, to make the decision?
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing, thank you. THE COURT: Very well. The Clerk will escort you out of the courtroom at this time, Ms Thorne.

Any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State.
ATTORNEY STRANG: None here.
THE COURT: All right. Ms Thorne will be in the jury pool -- or panel.

Ms Whalen, please raise your right hand. The Clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE COURT: Ms Whalen, first let me apologize in our delay of getting to you today. You have the honor of being the last juror we are going to be voir diring today. You have already completed a questionnaire in this case and today we're proceeding on to the next phase of jury selection which is individual voir dire. Each of the attorneys, in a few minutes, will have an opportunity to ask you some follow-up questions to the information requested in your questionnaire.

I can tell you that the jurors who are selected in this case will not be sequestered. That means that after each day of the trial you will be able to go back home. We're doing that because the jurors will be required not to listen to any news media accounts on the radio, not to watch anything on $T V$, or read anything in the newspaper, or on the internet. And jurors will
also have to agree not to discuss the case with anyone during the course of trial.

Although the proceedings today are open, during the voir dire process cameras are not permitted in the courtroom. And you should know that the press is not allowed to identify the names of the jurors in any news accounts of the case. You should also know that if you are selected to serve as a juror, during the course of the trial, cameras are not permitted to show the identity of the jurors; that is, show their faces or any part that's recognizable.

After the questioning today, if you remain on the jury panel, you will be asked to come back in a couple days. The Clerk's Office will notify you by telephone of exactly when and where. With that background, then, Mr. Fallon, you may proceed with your questions.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in the case. Thank you for your
patience. I would like to follow up with some questions on some of the information you provided last week in the questionnaire and a few other related questions.

First and foremost, I see that you are currently employed at the -- I can't quite read your writing, a plating company here in Manitowoc?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you're a quality control person?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us a little bit about what that involves.
A. That's where I check the finished products as they come off the line, making sure they are more or less perfect for the consumer.
Q. All right. How long have you been working there?
A. It will be 21 years in March.
Q. All right. And have you always worked in the quality control division, as it were?
A. Um, I -- I have done it for three and a half years previously, and I just wanted to get back on the assembly line. And I did that for a while, and they needed somebody to go back in quality control and I volunteered. And I really like it.
Q. All right. And how long is this tour of duty, as it were, on quality control? When did you go back and resume that duty?
A. How long have I been on it?
Q. Yeah, just recently, last 3 or 4 years or longer?
A. Just that $I$ came back on it was like April of this last year.
Q. April. Okay. All right. How long had you been away from it?
A. Fie years.
Q. Okay. Now, there is a question regarding your employment that we wanted to explore with you. And in answer to the last question on the questionnaire, you were asked, is there any reason that has not previously been ruled on by the Court, why you should -- or you would suffer exceptional personal hardship if selected to serve as a juror, you answered, yes, you thought so because of a large house payment, and that you might need it to continue working to ensure that you could meet your financial obligations with your home.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that still the case?
A. Well, yeah. We do have a large house payment,
but I think we can make do.
Q. All right. So are you suggesting to us then that you are in a situation where you would not be paid if you were selected as a juror?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay.
A. If the company pays me, I have no idea.
Q. All right.
A. I already said that $I$ would take vacation.
Q. All right. Six weeks vacation?
A. No, I only have four weeks.
Q. So you would suffer a couple weeks without pay then, possibly, potentially, you just don't know?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Are you comfortable with that? I mean, is that really going to put your family in a financial bind?
A. No, I guess, you know, there's always a will, there's always a way?
Q. Okay. All right. I want to talk a little bit about some of the publicity which has attended to this case. As a lead in to that, do you watch the news fairly regularly?
A. Um, like I said, we just built a new home, and we're still tinkering with it, so the news isn't
really always on. It is now and then, if $I$ just happen to be in the room or -- but it's not always on. I used to get the paper, but I only get it on the weekends.
Q. All right. Have you followed the -- we'll start with the television, have you followed the television coverage with respect to this case?
A. In the beginning $I$ did, but then after a while it was getting overwhelming so now $I$ don't watch it.
Q. All right. When do you think was the last time you watched any television coverage of the status of the case, or the proceedings, or what have you; how long ago did you stop watching?
A. About a week ago when you told me not to, for one.
Q. All right.
A. But before that was months. Because it just became always on there.
Q. Okay. So you really stopped watching months ago and you have been earnest about it after receiving the directive from the Court.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. That's a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. She has to hear the word.
A. Sorry.
Q. All right. How about the newspaper coverage, have you stopped reading the paper, the news articles as well?
A. I saw on Sunday's paper, Steve's picture, and right away I turned it over.
Q. All right. You didn't read any of the article at all?
A. No.
Q. All right. Prior to receiving the directive from the Court, when was the last time you read any newspaper accounts of the case and the status and things of that sort.
A. Probably when that Dassey boy, whatever, when that happened.
Q. Okay.
A. That's probably the last time.
Q. All right. So that might have been last March.
A. Yeah, because it was just so much every day.
Q. Well, what do you recall reading or hearing about the case involving Mr. Dassey?
A. That he got picked up, that he was going to jail, that he was from Mishicot High School, that he was more or less involved in it, you know. To me, again, it was just another constant reminder of, you know, what happened.
Q. All right. Do you recall any of the details regarding his arrest or any of the statements he's alleged to have made?
A. Yeah, he was saying that he was involved with it.
Q. Okay. That's the extent of what you recall?
A. Yeah, to me that's just gibberish, you know, you hear it and you hear it, and after awhile you don't want to hear it.
Q. Okay. Now, as a result of that initial media splurge of coverage, did you form any long lasting, solid opinions about the guilt or innocence of Mr. Avery?
A. No.
Q. All right. As you sit here today, do you have an opinion as to his guilt or innocence?
A. Actually, no, I don't. Because -- you could -it could go either way.
Q. All right.
A. Maybe she was in love with him and maybe she was trying to break it off, or maybe he, you know, $I$ just -- I don't --
Q. There's too much you don't know.
A. Yeah, just too much.
Q. All right.
A. That's why I didn't want to listen or read it any more.
Q. You didn't want to what?
A. Listen or read.
Q. Oh, listen or read about. I got you. All right. In your responses here, you said, well, yes and no. On the one hand, I think he did it. On the other hand, I don't think he did it. Could you kind of elaborate on your --
A. Well, that's just what I mean. You know, you hear people at work say, well, yeah, he did it, he did it, he did it. But then it's like what evidence do you have.
Q. Right. And the fact that -- In terms of one question, on the one hand, I believe he did it, and on the other hand, then again, I believe he was framed; where does that come from? What opinion is that based on?
A. Um, that's probably what I read.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. So in other words, you are willing to give either side a fair shake, as it were, as the evidence is presented.
A. Yeah, because I have no evidence.
Q. Right. Okay.
A. What I hear is what people say.
Q. Now, importantly, do you think that whatever opinions you have you can set those aside, and if you were selected as a juror, you could decide this case solely on what you hear in the courtroom, and not so much what your husband might say, or any of your friends and colleagues at work, you can decide this case just on what the evidence in the room is?
A. Actually, nobody at our house talks about it, or even at work.
Q. Okay.
A. It's pretty much an old thing now.
Q. All right.
A. Yes, I think I could.
Q. All right. Excuse me. Just so I'm clear, I thought you said that -- okay -- that there was apparently a time where people did talk about the case quite a bit at work, but you are telling us that that's pretty well subsided now?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. That's a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So there's not a great deal of discussion
about it at the current time?
A. I work with a lot of Mexicans.
Q. Okay.
A. So, it's just maybe two of us --
Q. All right.
A. Caucasians.
Q. All right.
A. Nobody talks about it.
Q. Okay. Is that all right with you, that no one is talking about it?
A. Yes, that's fine.
Q. Okay. In terms of being able to set aside your opinion -- I will get to that in a minute. I'm going to follow up with something else here. On one question you indicated that you, yourself, or your family, were victims of a crime; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I think you said you were robbed in 1993?
A. Yes.
Q. And the people were arrested?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were they prosecuted to your knowledge?
A. Yes.
Q. Were they convicted?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the case actually proceed to court, or were you ever a witness, or was it resolved before a formal trial?
A. That was so far -- or such a long time ago. Yes, we did go to court.
Q. Okay. Did you actually give some testimony at one point, in a preliminary hearing maybe, or a trial.
A. My husband sat upfront and I was like in the back a little bit.
Q. Okay.
A. And we just had to point him out, if that was the right person.
Q. Okay. Was that in front of a jury or just a judge?
A. Just a judge.
Q. Okay. What did you think of that process?
A. It was cool.
Q. All right. Well, as a victim of a crime, do you think you were dealt with appropriately, respected, and --
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. And as far as law enforcement's involvement, was that a good experience, bad
experience for you?
A. It was good, they were very good to us.
Q. All right. Is that your only personal experience with law enforcement here in Manitowoc?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that the Sheriff's Department, or Police Department, or who would have been involved in that?
A. County maybe.
Q. County sheriff?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You also indicated you have a friend, or family member, who is a law enforcement officer?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that with the city police, or the county sheriff, or one of the surrounding municipalities?
A. The city.
Q. City police officer. Okay. Is that a son, nephew?
A. It's a son.
Q. Okay. And how long has he been a member of the Manitowoc Police Department.
A. I'm going to say about 10 years, maybe.
Q. All right. Well, let me ask you this question, then, since you have had a good experience with the sheriff, and your son is a police officer, I want to ask you about a question that you filled out on the questionnaire.

And it says the law requires jurors to evaluate the credibility of police officers just as that of any other witness; that is, jurors are prohibited from giving more or less credibility to the testimony of a law enforcement officer simply because the witness is a law officer. If selected as a juror, would you be able to assess the credibility of law officers on this basis, and you answered yes. Is that still your answer today?
A. On the credibility of the law officer?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I think so.
Q. All right. Then the tougher question is, well, given your positive experience with the Manitowoc Sheriff, and your son being a police officer, would you have a tendency -- it seems to me you might have a tendency to weigh their testimony more favorably than you would somebody else; would that be the case?
A. Toward the Manitowoc police officer?
Q. Well, any officer?
A. Well, the evidence has got to be there, you know. You have to not be prejudiced either way, you have to be just focused.
Q. All right. So is that what you mean when you say you would evaluate their believability, their credibility, the same as any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, you also had a prior jury experience, I see; is that correct?
A. That's right.
Q. What kind of case was it, you said it was a criminal case? Do you recall what the issue was?
A. I'm not sure what criminal and the other thing is, but I think it's criminal. The lady said that her purse was stolen.
Q. Right.
A. And whoever stole it wrote checks at various different stores. And as we went through the case, we checked her checks that were written from that day, back a year, to two years, to five years, and the handwriting was all the same.
Q. All right.
A. So it was like only a day.
Q. A day long. So it was kind of like a forging a check or --
A. Yes.
Q. Or uttering or something of that nature?
A. Right.
Q. And was that a good experience, bad experience, for you?
A. It was good.
Q. Yeah. What was good about it? Why did you find it a good experience?
A. Well, I was never involved in anything like that and it was interesting.
Q. Okay. Was there anything about that experience that raises a question in your mind today as to whether you could be a juror and deliberate with your peers and reach a verdict in a case such as this?
A. I just think I'm an honest person and I ain't going to lie about something. I think I could do a good job.
Q. All right. Did you enjoy the deliberation process with your colleagues, the give and take in the jury room?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. One moment. In that case, do you
recall if -- whether or not the defendant testified in that case?
A. She did.
Q. She did. And would it have mattered to you, in retrospect, if the defendant -- if she did not testify, if she invoked her right not to testify?
A. Well, she had a real good case going until we saw her checks.
Q. Well, if -- In this case, if the Court instructed you that the defendant, Mr. Avery, doesn't have to testify, that he has a constitutional right not to testify, would you accept that and not hold that against him?
A. No.
Q. You would not accept it or you would accept it?
A. I would accept it, and I wouldn't hold it against him.
Q. You would not?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So you feel pretty comfortable you could decide this case based on the evidence which is presented and not on any other outside factor?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have for the
witness.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good afternoon. Actually, it's evening now, I guess. My name is Jerome Buting. This is Attorney Dean Strang and Steven Avery. I want to follow up on a few questions, mostly follow up, and I have just a few of my own as well. Let me kind of go in reverse order.

This -- The trial that you were a juror on the last time, it was some sort of a forgery type case. Was there a handwriting comparison expert that was presented to the Court?
A. I can't remember that far back, but I think so.
Q. Okay. When you said that she had a good case going until you looked at the handwriting on the checks --
A. All the checks were put on the table.
Q. In the jury room or in the courtroom?
A. Jury room.
Q. Okay. But I'm wondering was this something that the jurors came up with on their own and said, well, let's look at these, see if we can see if
the handwriting matches or --
A. I think it was put out on the table and they showed it to us, but then they gave it to us to look at closer in our room.
Q. Okay. So you think there was actually someone in court who was an expert, talking about handwriting?
A. Yes.
Q. There's a curve here and that sort of thing? You have to say yes or no.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. That was convincing evidence for you?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, Mr. Fallon asked you about police officers testifying and whether you could -- would hold them to a different standard or whether you could treat them the same as any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think that police officers, if they would take the witness stand, swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth, that they could still lie under oath?
A. Police officer?
Q. Yes, law enforcement officers.
A. I don't see why they --
Q. I'm sorry?
A. No, I don't think they should be able to. I think if they are taking an oath, they should not lie.
Q. Well, do you think that anyone who takes the oath is always going to be telling the truth from the witness stand?
A. Well, they should.
Q. Yes, I understand they should, but do you think everyone will?
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Well, I'm going -- Let me ask it a different way. When $I$ say will, $I$ don't mean predicting in this trial. What $I$ mean is, do you think that people sometimes do get on the witness stand, swear to tell the truth, but yet still lie?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Ordinary people, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you think that police officers may also do that, or do you think that because they are police officers, law enforcement, they are incapable of doing that?
A. They shouldn't, but you're right, they can.
Q. Sometimes there's just bad cops?
A. Yes.
Q. Sometimes police officers feel pressure to do something?
A. Yes.
Q. Sometimes they think they have got the guilty guy, but they want to make sure of it, so they do what it takes to get him?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Sometimes police officers may cross the line in order to get what they believe is the proper ends to justify the means?
A. Well, you know, cops go to school to learn not to do that. And you would think that they wouldn't, but you are right, there are the bad ones.
Q. Okay. Now, you talked about how at the beginning the publicity that you heard in this case was just overwhelming at some point, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm not sure if you said it was mainly from television, or television and news coverage -television and newspaper coverage?
A. It was both.
Q. Okay. So did you read all of the details when the case was first charged back in November, and when she was reported missing, and there was an
arrest, and all that?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you ever read the Criminal Complaint yourself, the actual Criminal Complaint?
A. That was in the paper?
Q. Well, I think it was -- Let me ask it this way, did you ever go on line on the internet?
A. No, we never go on the computer. My son plays with it once in a while. And I'm so computer illiterate that. But I do use the computer at work, but it's only work stuff.
Q. There's been quite a few proceedings that have actually been televised live, or at least large sections of it.
A. Yeah.
Q. Have you seen some of those?
A. Well, like I said, I see it on and I turn the channel.
Q. I understand that's how it is now, but let's go back to when you were first learning about it.
A. Oh, when I was first learning about it?
Q. Yes.
A. It was interesting because I kind of like my son being in the law enforcement. I kind of think it was interesting. Maybe I should have been a law
officer or something. But I think it's very interesting. But you read about it, or it's mostly the TV that draws you. But after awhile, it's just like -- it's like it goes on, and on. It's like boring, an old story.
Q. Sure. But, for instance, the preliminary hearing in this case; do you recall that? Did you watch any of that, or where you actually saw witnesses in court testifying?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Okay. In the Brendan Dassey story, you mentioned some of the things that he -- he made some alleged statements that he was involved, I think is the way you put it, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember any of the details of what his story was?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And -- Well, why don't you tell me what you actually recall of that.
A. He was involved with Steve, sexually assaulting her, and possibly choking her, or stabbing her. I'm not sure. I guess it was done in the bedroom, you know. But then you read that and it's like, you know, not this again. I just
don't like -- It's like a story, you know.
Q. So, did you find those details pretty gruesome when you first heard them? I mean, did they really --
A. Upset me?
Q. Upset you, or make you sick.
A. No. No.
Q. Having heard all of that, do you think you can actually look at Mr. Avery sitting here and actually presume him innocent?
A. Sure.
Q. And why is that?
A. Because I -- I have got to see all the evidence.

I have to see why he did it. And I just -- I got to, you know, like -- everybody is innocent until proven guilty.
Q. Or whether he did it, not just why?
A. Or whether he did it.
Q. So you really want to hear evidence. You don't want to rely on --
A. No, I mean?
Q. -- things you have heard in the media, or anything like that?
A. Newspapers aren't always right, neither is the media.
Q. Now, in your questionnaire, you say that -- one of the questions is asked, if you discussed the case at length with any other person. And you mentioned your husband?
A. Yeah, we talk about everything.
Q. Okay. That's good, but in this particular instance; did you have disputes? Did you guys disagree?
A. I think he thinks the same as I, you know. They have got to prove that he did it, if he did it at all.
Q. Okay. You mentioned, though, that a number of people -- I don't know if it's friends or neighbors -- that all were saying they just think he is guilty, and you said -- you sort of disagreed with that, wait a minute, let's wait and see what the evidence is?
A. Yeah. Yes.
Q. But your husband is not one of those people?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. He's one that thinks he may be guilty, or probably is guilty?
A. Well, he's just like me, he would like to see all the evidence put out on the table, if he did it.
Q. What about your son, that's the police officer;
have you talked to him about this?
A. No.
Q. Not at all?
A. No, not really.
Q. Pardon me?
A. Not really.
Q. Well, let's get past the not really. How about at all?
A. Not at all.
Q. Never once, you have never talked to him about this?
A. No.
Q. Do you know -- I'm sorry, what is your son's name?
A. Jason Koenig.
Q. Could you spell the last name.
A. $K-o-e-n-i-g$.
Q. Do you know if he had any involvement in the investigation of this case, the search, or any of that stuff?
A. I don't believe so, he works second shift.
Q. Okay. He's never told you that he's had any involvement at all?
A. No. I think he keeps his work to himself.
Q. Okay. You also said in your questionnaire that,
you know, as to whether you had formed any opinion, you said, well, on the one hand sometimes -- it's sort of like you are kind of torn. Sometimes I believe he did it. Other times I think, well, wait a minute, I believe he was framed. Is that what you are trying to say?
A. Yeah, you get a group of people at the bar, for instance, they are just going to on, and on, and on. It's like, you know. If you get in the right group of people, they are going to sit there and drag him down. But then you get in another group of people and they say the same as I, you know. You've got to put the facts on the table. You don't just convict somebody because he lives there or because you saw him drive past the house.
Q. So -- But when you say that maybe he was framed; are you thinking framed by whom?
A. It's a possibility it could be anybody. It could be his brother. It could be a police officer. It could be the man down the street. It could be anybody. I'm not saying they did or they didn't. I just.
Q. You are just open to that possibility?
A. I don't know what happened.
Q. But what $I$ would like to know, if you're open to that possibility, I would like to know, because your son is police officer, I would think that you would be the last person who would be open to that possibility.
A. Well, like I said, he keeps his work to himself. He don't talk about nothing, who he stopped, or who he's involved arresting, or nothing like that.
Q. So, has he ever said anything to you that would give you any reason to think that maybe sometimes police officers might not be all on the up and up?
A. No. He is real happy with his job. No, he doesn't say nothing.
Q. I don't mean his particular department, I mean just in general.
A. He doesn't talk about none of his work.
Q. And if you were on this jury, if you were selected for this jury and you came back with a not guilty verdict; would that be a problem with you and your son, do you think?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. I mean, think about that, because you have to -you would have to take an oath to do your duty,
and follow your conscience, and what the evidence says. And I would like to be sure that you are not going to be thinking, oh, my gosh, I can't -I can't do this and then face my son.
A. And to make my son mad at me?
Q. Well, or --
A. He's got to understand what I'm doing.
Q. So that wouldn't be a problem is what you are saying?
A. No.
Q. And that goes for your friends and your husband as well?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Do you know Chuck Avery?
A. Not personally, but when we were in high school, or I was in high school. There was like a bonfire there. And we would go and say -somebody said, oh, that that's him, the person that owns the place. Oh. So I don't really think I talked to him ever, I just know of him. Q. So that would be like how many years ago you're talking?
A. Oh, my God, I don't know, the '70's maybe.
Q. Oh, okay.
A. I'm old.
Q. Not as old as I am.
A. Probably passed you up.
Q. Now, given what you have heard from the alleged statement of Brendan Dassey, the nephew, and all of those details; did you believe them at the time you heard them? Did you think, hey, this is the truth, this is it, this is what happened?
A. I did in the beginning -- or maybe I even still do, because why would anybody volunteer that?
Q. Well, that's a good question. Do you think that people might sometimes admit or confess to things they didn't do? Have you heard of that?
A. No, I never -- Well, maybe to take the burden off another person a little bit.
Q. Okay. Have you ever read of people who, you know, for all kinds of reasons, either because they are pressured by the police, or because of their mental condition, or whatever it might be, that they might, in fact, admit to something that's really not true, that they didn't do?
A. Yeah, it is a possibility. He -- I don't know, for some reason he may have just said it. I don't know. I don't know how to answer that question, or why he said it, or if it happened.
Q. Or if he did it, or if he said it, or whatever.

What if -- What would you do, or how would you go about evaluating, if you heard any evidence of that in this case, and I'm not saying you are going to, but just how would you go about evaluating whether or not it was a true confession or not?
A. I would really have to look at the facts. He doesn't seem to be talking right now, so the facts at the time.
Q. When you say he doesn't seem to be talking right now; what do you mean?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object to this line of inquiry. I think this is beyond the scope of what we need to accomplish here.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. It goes into too much speculation about what the evidence may or may not be.
Q. Are you referring to some news reports you've heard about the boy, Brendan Dassey?
A. Like when he was first arrested, all the gossip that went around and what was in the paper. Is that -- that doesn't seem to be in the paper anymore.
Q. Okay.
A. You don't hear of.
Q. Did you read or hear that he had since retracted the confession and said that it's --
A. I think somebody at work told me that.
Q. Okay. So you would look to see whether there was any underlying evidence that would support the statement before you would determine if it was true or not?
A. Well, you would have to.

ATTORNEY BUTING: All right. Thank you, very much, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you from the courtroom.

Will there be a motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State.
ATTORNEY BUTING: No.
THE COURT: All right. Anything else today, before we adjourn?

ATTORNEY FALLON: What time tomorrow, 8:30?
THE COURT: 8:30. Very well. We'll see you at 8:30.

ATTORNEY BUTING: One last thing, it occurred to me when we could hear the jurors in the back; are they in that jury room?

THE COURT: They are.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. I'm just wondering, since we can hear them, and they're not microphoned, and we are miked, are we sure that they are not, in that location -- I thought they were going to be across the hall in that area.

THE COURT: That's a very good question. First of all today, one of the disadvantages of them making a lot of noise is that they disturbed us a bit, but it also assures that they could not hear us. Actually, before we started using the courtroom a few days ago, I had somebody come out and speak on the -- over the PA system. And I went inside, there is a fan that's on pretty consistently in there, that tends to drum out the noise here. Especially where nobody was raising their voice. So I'm confident that they -- even had they been quiet, which they weren't, I don't think they would have been able to hear the proceedings.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned for today.
(Proceedings concluded.)

STATE OF WISCONSIN ) ) ss COUNTY OF MANITOWOC )

I, Diane Tesheneck, Official Court Reporter for Circuit Court Branch 1 and the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing matter and that the foregoing transcript has been carefully prepared by me with my computerized stenographic notes as taken by me in machine shorthand, and by computer-assisted transcription thereafter transcribed, and that it is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in said matter to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Dated this 9th day of April, 2007.

Diane Tesheneck, RPR Official Court Reporter

| 1 | $\begin{array}{ll} 213[1] & 3 / 4 \\ 215[1] & 3 / 5 \end{array}$ | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '70's [1] 321/23 | 21st[1] 61/14 | 92 [1] 21/10 |
| 'cause [1] 36/14 | 22 [2] 21/9 140/12 | 93 [1] 2/14 |
| 0 | 223[1] 3/7 | 94 [3] 21/10 284/2 284/3 |
| 05[2] 1/5 4/3 | 23 [3] 268/23 269/1 269/15 | $95[1] ~ 21 / 10$ $99[1] ~ 21 / 10$ |
| 1 | 248 [1] 3/11 | 9:00 [1] 226/17 |
| 1 | 25 [2] 140/5 186/19 | 9:30 [3] 203/2 203/5 203/8 |
|  | 257[1] 3/12 | 9th [1] 326/15 |
| 10[11] 12/6 16/23 124/7 139/1 <br> 139/2 139/3 139/7 142/19 151/13 | 26 [2] 2/4 203/18 | A |
| 104[1] 21/10 | 271[1] 3/14 | abbreviated [1] 15/9 |
| 104.5 [1] 260/16 | 282 [1] 3/15 | abide [1] 123/7 |
| 108[1] 21/10 | 29 [2] 20/22 21/9 | abiding [1] 137/8 |
| 10:30 [1] 54/22 | 294[1] 3/18 | ability [5] 91/18 125/12 158/22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11 \text { [6] } 75 / 18203 / 12203 / 16247 / 11 \\ & 260 / 11273 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 2:30[1] 35/21 | 160/20 326/14 |
|  | 2d [1] 158/16 | ABR [3] 225/8 233/13 273/6 |
| 112[1] 21/10 | 3 | absolutely [2] 48/5 137/5 |
| 117[1] 21/10 | 30 [4] 140/5 140/6 140/8 140/8 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { abstraction [2] } & 74 / 5 & 182 / 3\end{array}$ |
|  | 31 [1] 21/9 | abused [1] 186/10 |
| 11:00 at [1] 251/11 | 310[1] 3/19 | accept [15] 181/5 185/1 191/6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12[7] 16 / 2434 / 2543 / 1144 / 6 \\ & 136 / 18136 / 21232 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | 31 st [1] 189/5 | 213/23 218/25 254/5 264/23 265/9 |
|  | 34 [2] 2/5 269/23 | $265 / 12 \text { 281/16 281/22 309/12 }$ |
| $124[1] ~ 21 / 11$$1240[2] ~ 69 / 21 ~ 95 / 21 ~$ | 35 [8] 126/18 137/9 137/22 140/21 | 309/15 309/15 309/16 |
|  | 147/15 148/4 241/2 241/4 | acceptable [5] 5/18 5/21 6/2 73/1 |
| 1240 [2] 69/21 95/21$12: 35[1] ~ 117 / 2$$13[1] 268 / 1$ | 36 [4] 269/1 269/2 269/16 269/17 | 148/12 |
|  | 381[2] 1/5 4/3 | accepts [1] 181/19 |
| $130[1] ~ 21 / 11$$131[1] ~ 2 / 18$ | 4 | access [1] 20/2 3 3 15 |
|  |  | accidental [2] 33/13 33/15 |
| 1360 [1] 95/22 | 40 [2] 21/9 152/23 | accidentally [1] 33/7 |
| 14 [6] 225/1 241/1 268/19 268/23 | 404 [1] 158/16 | accomplish [2] 12/4 323/14 |
| 268/25 269/14 | 42 [2] 21/9 152/24 | accomplished [1] 13/7 |
| 141[1] 21/11 | 43 [3] 21/9 153/11 178/10 | according [1] 198/5 |
| 142 [1] 21/11 | 45 [1] 267/11 | accounting [1] 66/21 |
| 143 [1] 21/11 | 46 [1] 21/9 | accounts [20] 25/18 55/20 61/18 |
| 149 [1] 2/19 | 48 [3] 21/9 101/21 106/12 | 67/2 78/13 83/13 83/21 85/18 |
| 15 [28] 9/12 12/6 14/9 14/10 | 4:30 [1] 203/12 | 86/4 118/8 118/19 122/5 152/21 |
| 14/24 17/6 17/14 17/19 17/25 | 4:30 in [1] 204/4 | 160/22 187/19 222/24 248/6 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}18 / 7 & 18 / 19 & 18 / 2319 / 2 ~ 19 / 19\end{array}$ | 5 | 293/23 294/7 299/12 |
| $19 / 2421 / 858 / 1470 / 671 / 4$ $108 / 18117 / 6139 / 15139 / 15$ |  | accurate [2] 128/7 153/8 |
| 108/18 117/6 139/15 139/15 | 50 [1] 267/11 | accurately [2] 19/7 105/18 |
| 156/11 186/19 250/3 283/2 283/3 | 57 [1] 2/7 | accused [15] 12/21 27/11 33/1 |
| 150 [1] 2/20 | 58 [1] 21/9 | 45/21 67/5 72/21 100/22 159/4 |
| 16 [6] 21/8 58/14 70/6 72/7 72/10 | 5:00 [2] 203/22 238/2 | 173/14 174/19 184/2 196/3 227/11 |
| 268/1 | 5:00 so [1] 225/19 | 280/15 288/23 |
| 161 [1] 2/22 | 6 | acknowledge [1] 17/21 |
| 169 [1] 2/23 |  | acknowledging [1] 155/17 |
| 17 [6] 39/23 212/20 268/19 268/23 | 62 [1] 21/9 | acquaintance [6] 89/20 90/19 |
| 268/25 269/14 | 64 [1] 21/9 | 121/11 121/13 150/16 151/22 |
| 18 [2] 212/17 247/11 | 67 [1] 193/13 | acquaintances [1] 90/9 |
| 188 [1] 3/2 | 68 [1] 2/8 | acres [1] 230/5 |
| 194 [1] 3/3 | 6:00 [4] 203/22 204/9 235/6 | across [3] 121/22 283/8 325/5 |
| 1960 [2] 193/10 193/11 | 235/11 | acrostics [1] 74/25 |
| 1979 [1] 158/17 | 7 | act [3] 102/21 108/14 108/15 |
| 198 [1] 47/6 |  | acting [1] 45/16 |
| 1983 [1] 284/8 | 79 [1] 2/11 | action [1] 153/8 |
| 1985 [6] 47/6 47/6 107/7 182/19 | 7:30[2] 251/12 266/23 | active [3] 59/22 59/25 142/16 |
| 183/22 185/4 | 8 | activities [3] 29/6 67/3 90/7 |
| 1987 [1] 253/9 |  | actual [2] 50/7 314/4 |
| 1993 [1] 303/19 | 80 [2] 21/9 230/5 | actually [47] 5/12 8/8 21/5 31/9 |
| 1:30 [1] 266/24 | 83 [1] 21/9 | 31/9 37/16 43/10 43/24 49/2 49/2 |
| 1st[2] 15/5 16/6 | 84 [1] 21/10 | 62/17 66/13 67/16 72/16 72/20 |
| 2 | 85 [1] 21/10 | 74/23 98/4 106/12 107/1 166/1 |
| 20 [7] 108/18 140/11 258/11 | 88 [1] 21/10 | $178 / 23210 / 22 ~ 215 / 11217 / 14$ $220 / 15$ 220/16 227/18 $230 / 17$ |
| 268/19 268/23 268/25 269/15 | 89 [1] 158/16 | 231/3 245/17 246/24 261/14 283/8 |
| 2002 [2] 189/5 189/6 | 8:30 [4] 266/23 324/19 324/20 | 287/7 288/10 300/17 302/11 304/2 |
| 2005 [2] 142/13 233/14 | 324/21 | 304/7 310/6 311/5 314/13 315/8 |
| 2006 [2] 142/13 144/21 | 8th [1] 232/1 | 315/20 316/9 316/10 325/10 |
| 2007 [2] 1/9 326/15 |  | Adam [1] 208/3 |
| 21 [4] 97/23 193/12 193/16 295/17 |  | add [2] 85/11 155/21 |

addition [6] 14/14 26/2 86/1
118/16 248/14 271/11
additional [3] 9/16 25/7 270/20 address [8] 7/19 21/15 23/10
23/13 110/21 $111 / 10$ 258/3 283/16
addressed [1] 160/12
adequate [5] 5/6 8/14 18/7 18/20
22/1
adequately [1] 13/5
adhere [1] 62/25
adjourn [1] 324/18
adjourned [1] 325/20
administer [8] 24/21 77/20 159/25
186/25 222/4 247/15 270/8 293/6
administration [3] 5/10 6/9 9/2
administrative [1] 155/9
admit [4] 49/9 50/11 322/11
322/19
admitted [3] 49/1 49/23 50/10
Admittedly [1] 219/20
adopt [1] 18/17
advance [1] 183/10
advantages [1] 15/18
adventure [1] 31/21
adverse [1] 93/8
adversely [1] 254/25
advice [1] 185/10
advise [3] 48/8 202/5 281/10
advised [2] 123/5 270/2
advising [1] 265/2
affairs [1] 27/15
affect [12] 20/14 46/18 53/15
53/17 53/20 53/21 149/23 177/5
177/13 197/22 197/24 200/12
affecting [1] 262/10
affirm [1] 136/11
affirmative [1] 111/7
AFSCME [2] 58/20 69/6
after [44] 16/20 16/20 21/18 22/24
25/15 45/12 52/10 78/12 78/25
81/9 85/15 86/14 94/16 94/18
116/25 118/20 137/15 144/14
147/7 150/15 161/11 171/22
171/23 171/23 171/24 188/7
198/24 220/19 223/11 235/6 235/7
241/20 247/12 248/4 248/18
270/24 271/17 284/5 293/20
294/13 298/8 298/20 300/8 315/3
afternoon [28] 11/8 20/22 21/18
22/25 117/5 117/7 119/4 119/5
161/19 161/25 187/7 187/10
188/13 188/14 194/16 194/17
219/11 222/9 223/18 223/19
232/25 248/25 249/7 270/1 271/25
272/1 294/22 310/6
afternoons [1] 60/13
again [23] 7/11 7/22 16/17 22/20
24/9 28/11 30/21 33/25 42/9
42/14 47/21 124/10 125/13 135/18
161/14 225/2 269/12 272/13
288/22 292/15 299/25 301/16
315/25
against [14] 27/12 102/20 142/10 147/10 191/16 202/8 254/19 255/1 262/6 262/7 289/8 289/9 309/13 309/16
age [1] 268/2
agency [3] 30/9 30/16 64/20
agenda [1] 59/4
agent [2] 169/18 169/19
ago [28] 7/21 74/13 90/3 90/5

109/7 120/12 123/15 124/16
137/10 139/2 139/1 1 142/25
144/12 156/11 157/3 164/25
195/24 206/24 214/8 216/14 225/2
243/22 298/13 298/14 298/19
304/5 321/21 325/11
agree [12] 15/12 22/20 24/15
$51 / 18$ 137/3 145/17 173/20 183/13
185/20 218/3 245/11 294/1
agreed [3] 4/22 22/9 22/24
agreeing [1] 8/9
agreement [3] 18/16 22/15 160/21
ahead [2] 112/7 150/21
ain't [2] 288/19 308/18
air [1] 179/25
alleged [4] 289/21 300/4 315/13

## 322/3

allow[10] 12/14 12/19 14/4 18/7
19/15 111/10 148/14 178/2 183/5 186/10
allowed [18] 25/25 56/3 68/25
$78 / 1878 / 1985 / 24118 / 14143 / 22$
161/6 161/9 188/1 223/7 248/13
266/12 271/8 271/10 271/14 294/6
allowing [2] 13/13 161/3
allows [1] 13/6
almost [3] 79/22 80/23 142/9
alone [1] 111/11
along [2] 116/23 233/1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { already [16] } & 25 / 255 / 4 ~ 74 / 7 ~ 77 / 24 ~\end{array}$ 83/22 85/4 $117 / 21$ 160/4 169/25 187/5 222/7 247/18 270/13 292/9 293/11 297/9
although [18] 6/25 11/6 25/10
25/22 55/24 78/16 85/21 118/11
151/21 160/16 161/2 187/22
214/22 221/8 223/3 248/10 271/6 294/3
always [26] 35/6 79/23 80/1 99/6
100/1 102/14 102/15 110/5 127/13 136/3 146/20 202/3 210/15 236/21 246/5 284/10 284/11 285/8 295/1 8 297/18 297/19 298/1 298/3 298/18 312/6 316/24
ambiguity [1] 291/14
Amendment [1] 12/25
America [2] 173/14 288/23
American [1] 181/22
amount [4] 61/21 193/17 195/13
273/20
ample [2] 17/16 155/4
amplify [1] 18/18
and/or [1] 30/17
Angenette [1] 24/2
annual [3] 139/16 139/21 140/12
annually [2] 140/23 151/22
anonymity [1] 70/5
anonymous [1] 70/4
another [9] 47/10 99/25 186/14
196/5 268/4 285/1 299/25 319/12 322/14
another's [1] 11/17
answer [34] 10/5 10/8 11/17 11/25
61/12 77/2 82/25 91/14 91/23
109/22 $111 / 2$ 129/2 136/6 136/7
136/13 146/13 148/13 148/16
149/3 152/22 157/20 163/5 169/2
175/19 178/20 179/2 183/15
199/25 225/10 260/5 276/5 296/13
306/14 322/23
answered [15] 51/1 82/19 91/17
107/25 148/17 149/11 165/18
177/25 178/19 214/9 214/20 259/2
$276 / 5$ 296/18 306/14
answering [1] 127/11
answers [24] 9/18 16/22 17/3
42/16 84/17 84/18 86/6 102/7 110/25 111/3 111/4 149/5 150/2 151/15 152/18 153/22 156/8 157/8 158/7 159/13 159/18 169/1 221/17 221/19
Anthony [1] 269/14
antibiotics [1] 146/18
anticipate [1] 112/2
anticipated [1] 139/10
anybody [12] 52/4 190/8 208/5
213/1 218/20 232/16 253/4 267/2
271/5 319/19 319/22 322/9
anymore [2] 127/5 323/23
anyone [30] 25/18 26/16 28/23
33/7 51/9 55/21 63/9 64/12 64/16 65/2 65/3 78/15 85/20 118/8
122/12 128/12 130/20 136/20
154/14 160/25 163/20 187/21
223/2 235/3 248/9 277/21 278/18 278/19 294/2 312/5
anything [91] 6/20 7/12 8/3 13/17 20/24 23/9 24/14 31/10 32/5 32/6 $32 / 1432 / 1632 / 1732 / 2133 / 23$
34/1 39/14 44/15 46/25 49/12
$54 / 254 / 3$ 58/12 59/19 61/24 64/5
66/9 77/7 78/14 80/6 85/19 88/14
93/9 96/2 96/22 97/2 97/6 97/18
125/10 126/9 134/20 141/25 142/1
143/8 143/17 143/18 160/23
160/23 160/24 167/22 168/13
192/13 192/15 193/5 198/18 212/1
212/4 213/23 216/9 217/15 217/21
219/2 227/6 231/19 234/22 235/14
236/14 244/22 245/7 253/1 253/3
253/4 262/17 262/25 265/24
276/14 280/7 280/8 280/17 281/7
285/12 285/22 287/8 292/20
293/24 293/24 308/11 308/13
316/23 320/10 324/17
anyway [3] 47/14 47/18 146/9
anyways [1] 169/3
anywhere [3] 17/1 223/1 248/8
apart [1] 200/11
apologize [1] 293/9
apparent [1] 182/20
apparently [10] 11/23 33/9 158/3
164/20 198/9 289/18 291/2 291/7
291/9 302/19
appeal [2] 130/9 221/14
Appeals [4] 130/2 130/4 130/9 131/23
appear [1] 86/3
appearances [2] 1/114/6
appeared [4] 1/21 136/10 227/20
227/25
appearing [2] 4/9 4/12
appears [2] 4/7 156/25
Appleton [3] 283/19 283/20 283/24
applies [1] 158/15
apply [4] 158/20 190/18 215/21
243/18
appointed [1] 144/15
appreciate [3] 101/13 211/24
240/16
approach [5] 46/14 82/2 128/24 255/16 279/25
approached [1] 181/14
appropriate [8] 21/5 28/15 70/19
147/17 151/21 154/20 182/1
185/18
appropriately [1] 304/21
appropriateness [1] 27/11
approximately [3] 25/12 142/6 150/3
April [4] 87/9 296/6 296/8 326/15 aptly [1] 153/5
area [19] $12 / 988 / 3111 / 5119 / 16$ 126/14 137/9 137/13 137/17 142/24 143/6 162/5 168/10 170/18 170/21 193/1 207/18 211/25 214/5 325/5
areas [2] 95/8 156/9
argue [2] 128/8 291/12
arguing [1] 156/17
argument [3] 16/1 154/5 154/20
arguments [1] 92/16
around [17] 36/7 49/6 49/7 124/7
170/15 178/5 228/15 231/24 235/5
241/9 241/17 277/8 282/22 283/18
283/21 287/4 323/21
arrest [9] 30/17 64/21 252/13
261/3 261/4 261/5 262/11 300/3 314/1
arrested [10] 97/11 167/9 171/13
171/18 172/1 244/17 261/17 262/2 303/21 323/20
arresting [1] 320/8
Arrow [3] 34/21 34/22 34/24
artful [1] 127/13
artfully [1] 178/24
article [2] 12/24 299/7
Article 1[1] 12/24
articles [2] 94/14 299/4
ascertain [2] 12/15 19/7
aside [20] 41/14 83/2 83/14 84/19
91/16 98/17 101/7 122/15 122/22
149/12 149/21 153/19 153/24
156/15 158/11 159/10 178/15
211/15 302/4 303/12
asked [37] 4/24 9/19 16/10 16/16 33/6 48/20 48/20 51/5 52/10
52/12 54/2 56/10 86/8 91/2 91/25
92/25 128/16 143/19 144/11
156/23 164/9 169/25 177/24
181/14 214/21 220/14 220/17
221/18 230/18 252/20 265/17
273/24 291/16 294/14 296/14
311/14317/2
asking[15] 16/25 28/9 38/22
41/17 70/10 77/2 86/8 109/18
111/20 135/6 138/18 146/25 182/6
243/22 267/15
asks [1] 50/17
aspects [1] 19/16
assaulting [1] 315/21
assemble [1] 249/13
assembled [1] 4/18
assembler [1] 250/8
Assembling [1] 273/19
assembly [6] 249/15 250/9 273/4
273/22 278/16 295/22
assess [4] 110/7 128/5 214/19 306/12
assessment [1] 21/21
assigned [2] 132/1 141/4
assigns [1] 259/25
assistant [14] 4/8 57/3 79/12
86/22 88/7 119/6 123/25 155/9
161/20 188/20 223/20 249/1 272/8 294/23
assisted [1] 326/10
assisting [4] $57 / 8$ 79/13 86/24 188/21
associate [1] 88/7
associated [2] 61/4 68/12
association [5] 108/22 121/9
139/22 139/24 140/11
associations [1] 139/20
assume [14] 7/8 41/3 41/14 50/6 96/5 99/9 122/10 139/16 140/23
147/13 194/19 197/15 245/9 263/1
assuming [7] 81/7 85/17 123/6
234/20 235/1 251/6 261/1
assurance [3] 25/17 55/19 78/12
assurances [1] 164/10
assure [3] 5/6 55/13 161/2
assured [1] 55/22
assures [1] 325/9
attempted [1] 184/8
attended [3] 66/14 66/17 297/21
attending [1] 89/15
attention [13] 49/17 49/17 61/17
66/14 96/21 147/21 147/23 147/24
165/1 175/11 204/14 227/14
252/16
attorney [52] 1/17 1/19 2/4 2/5 2/7
2/8 2/11 2/13 2/14 2/17 2/18 2/20
2/22 2/23 3/2 3/3 3/4 3/7 3/8 3/11
$3 / 123 / 143 / 153 / 183 / 194 / 84 / 9$
$4 / 12$ 57/3 57/6 79/12 79/15 86/22
87/2 90/1 111/23 112/4 112/8
112/8 119/6 119/14 120/7 155/14
159/12 161/20 161/23 188/20
223/20 249/1 272/9 294/23 310/8
Attorney's [1] 155/7
attorneys [20] 19/20 25/6 55/7
78/2 85/8 86/8 100/1 $112 / 10$
117/24 118/24 160/8 161/15 187/9
200/10 202/3 222/11 233/1 247/22
270/16 293/15
Audrey [1] 269/15
August [2] 120/14 121/18
author [2] 61/6 61/6
author's [2] 61/8 61/11
authors [1] 61/8
Auto [5] 32/5 66/6 167/21 256/14 280/3
automobiles [1] 66/7
average [1] 75/25
AVERY [91] 1/6 1/20 4/2 4/13 8/16
13/3 19/6 40/5 40/10 46/16 62/4
72/15 73/19 73/22 83/24 91/1
93/25 94/15 97/8 97/11 98/15
100/12 101/6 104/14 105/12
105/25 107/4 122/3 134/7 134/15
135/7 135/22 142/7 147/10 157/24
171/14 172/5 173/5 173/24 174/12
175/4 177/20 185/4 190/8 190/22
191/7 191/13 194/19 197/25 198/4
199/1 199/9 200/16 200/24 204/18
205/24 206/10 206/16 207/6
207/19 208/1 208/7 212/16 213/22 215/24 227/10 227/20 233/2
234/20 235/1 237/8 241/15 242/3
242/24 243/16 243/19 245/16
245/18 254/3 254/16 257/12
281/16 282/18 282/19 285/13
287/3 300/13 309/10 310/8 316/9 321/14
Avery's [16] 6/11 41/8 61/18 71/21 82/18 99/14 167/2 172/8 178/12 207/24 212/1 237/17 244/22 262/5 262/20 280/17
avoid [1] 11/24
avoided [1] 151/1
aware [10] 49/13 61/22 94/4 107/6 146/24 179/22 227/10 227/12 276/4 292/9
away [9] 132/11 132/12 146/19 232/3 267/25 268/2 292/8 296/9 299/6
awhile [4] 94/18 268/3 300/8 315/3

## awkward [2] 34/8 34/9

## B

back[55] 10/24 52/8 52/9 54/22
54/25 55/17 56/10 71/8 73/20 78/10 81/21 96/24 111/17112/16 116/15 117/1 117/11 118/23 142/13 148/21 160/18 166/4 170/24 171/7 171/8 198/17 207/6 215/16 222/20 223/13 226/22 226/22 229/23 231/21 248/21
257/12 261/24 261/24 266/18
271/19 285/1 285/17 293/21
294/15 295/21 295/23 296/3 296/6
304/10 307/22 310/16 313/24
$314 / 20320 / 20324 / 24$
background [13] 26/8 125/21
167/3 188/9 192/13 212/2 236/1 236/22 244/22 248/21 271/21 280/18 294/17
bad [12] 32/21 66/25 126/23 147/2
193/19 194/7 232/13 253/17
304/25 308/6 312/25 313/14
badge [1] 246/15
bagging [1] 38/18
bailiff [4] 111/15 112/15 117/14 166/5
balance [1] 152/12
bar [16] 82/4 121/8 121/12 139/17 139/19 139/22 139/24 140/11 140/14 144/20 150/4 $157 / 1$ 195/6 202/13 202/21 319/7
BARBARA [1] 3/6
barely [1] 263/18
bargaining [1] 59/19
barn [1] 242/10
base [9] 83/2 91/16 103/2 122/15
149/13 173/7 178/16 190/21 191/3
based [32] $21 / 2122 / 482 / 1683 / 20$
84/16 107/21 122/4 122/10 142/11
149/5 149/22 150/11 150/15
152/18 153/25 154/6 154/24 158/9
158/9 159/18 160/21 163/5 169/1
178/13 183/8 219/15 221/16
252/21 272/5 280/13 301/18 309/21
basic [1] 90/25
basically [3] 21/19 284/17 290/20
basis [5] 12/16 128/6 155/19
214/20 306/13
basketball [3] 195/17 258/25 268/5
batteries [1] 229/2
Bay [5] 23/14 272/15 276/7 284/23 284/24
Beach [1] 79/18
bear [1] 162/3
bearing [5] 11/4 23/20 156/5
172/5 172/12
bears [3] 133/24 174/18 175/5
became [1] 298/18
because [103] 9/24 10/5 14/2 23/17 37/6 40/9 46/4 50/3 52/16 55/18 58/24 65/25 70/13 82/23 83/7 91/6 95/19 98/6 100/17
because... [84] 100/24 102/4 104/2 105/6 105/13 105/17 109/23 117/3 118/6 126/10 127/10 128/3 129/3 137/7 140/2 144/6 144/10 145/7 154/25 163/18 178/25 180/3 181/1 181/11 182/4 187/17 190/3 199/21 200/19 203/3 209/2 209/17 210/10 211/1 211/21 214/17 220/19 222/22 228/18 229/12 232/15 234/23 237/13 237/13 237/15 238/18 241/6 242/9 243/12 244/15 244/24 245/18 246/10 246/15 248/5 251/6 262/15 264/17 274/19 284/23 285/20 287/8 288/19 290/8 290/9 290/15 292/12 293/22 296/19 298/17 299/19 300/17 301/25 306/11 312/21 314/23 316/13 319/14 319/15 320/2 320/24 322/9 322/16 322/17
become [2] 13/2 103/10
bed [2] 203/8 251/13
bedroom [1] 315/24
beef [4] 48/18 170/17 230/9 230/11
before [69] 1/10 4/21 5/15 9/1 12/5 19/25 21/12 23/10 24/20 36/4 46/23 55/16 63/13 67/21 $68 / 578 / 579 / 2485 / 1086 / 886 / 10$ 87/23 95/16 97/14 112/6 112/9 112/11 130/17 133/1 137/12 137/15 144/24 145/5 145/6 165/3 167/9 168/7 169/8 169/13 171/8 173/16 174/21 179/12 179/15 186/15 187/11 215/17215/19 216/10 222/13 233/14 234/5 244/23 247/24 249/25 250/2 250/3 251/1 264/5 268/17 270/7 270/19 281/25 286/10 292/5 298/17 304/3 324/6 324/18 325/10
began [1] 21/12
begin [15] 24/21 $26 / 9$ 78/5 79/4 85/10 86/17 87/5 188/10 223/15 247/24 248/22 270/7 270/19 271/22 272/11
beginning [14] 4/4 68/21 167/6 167/8 199/10 231/13 234/12 234/15 234/18 236/12 257/13
298/8 313/15 322/8
begins [1] 222/13
behalf [12] 1/13 1/15 1/17 1/19 4/12 47/17 47/21 48/1 72/22
106/3 176/2 204/24
behavior [1] 29/6
behind [6] 27/1 27/6 32/21 61/3 61/23 107/22
beholding [1] 154/14
being [47] 5/13 6/6 11/7 11/24 36/9 40/7 43/17 62/22 63/1 63/22 67/5 67/25 68/13 90/6 103/20 103/20 104/9 107/23 109/8 $118 / 7$ 122/1 144/13 146/21 153/15 172/17 180/3 181/14 192/16 198/3 198/4 207/2 $218 / 17$ 223/9 228/10 231/21 237/18 262/5 265/24 267/25 268/10 268/20 290/11 291/3 293/10 303/12 306/21 314/24
belief [6] $43 / 543 / 562 / 2483 / 20$ 110/4 158/12
beliefs [2] 192/14 256/22
believability [3] 191/23 215/2

307/7
believable [1] 106/18
believe[72] 14/19 15/6 40/9 41/24 $42 / 1242 / 1342 / 1942 / 2242 / 24$ 43/4 43/7 46/2 47/12 50/1 50/5
50/21 51/17 62/6 62/8 62/8 63/2
66/12 71/25 73/23 74/12 82/21
84/16 86/9 99/6 99/22 100/5
103/8 106/11 109/9 109/24 117/12 140/9 149/5 149/21 150/5 154/21
155/3 156/19 156/19 156/21
156/22 157/16 158/3 159/22 181/3
182/9 184/20 197/12 203/18 206/9
216/19 218/15 218/16 225/8
226/18 245/21 246/23 261/12
263/25 290/9 301/15 301/16
$313 / 10318 / 21319 / 4319 / 5322 / 5$
believed [2] 50/6 109/23
believer [1] 137/7
believes [1] 181/19
believing [1] 158/8
bell [3] 145/3 171/12 280/15
Belongs [1] 139/19
Bemis [3] 249/21 249/23 259/5
bench [2] 82/2 139/16
benefits [1] 88/18
besides [1] 285/9
best [8] 42/15 52/17 154/18
164/11 214/2 214/3 $215 / 6$ 326/13
bet [1] 47/13
better [6] 61/1 105/3 131/20
142/10 152/13 263/11
between [13] 124/21 $138 / 5$ 139/15
147/3 157/15 236/25 238/7 238/9
238/12 260/11 283/11 283/11
283/13
beyond [21] 40/20 40/25 44/24
75/20 104/23 133/25 149/19
174/19 175/6 177/23 206/17
213/19 216/1 216/10 216/21
216/25 254/4 281/18 287/3 289/3 323/13
bias [27] $12 / 1712 / 1715 / 2317 / 8$ 17/10 17/12 17/13 18/8 21/21
22/7 22/13 151/11 152/17 152/20
154/1 154/5 154/19 154/20 155/3
155/20 155/22 156/4 156/6 156/18
158/18 158/18 158/19
biased [4] 154/23 155/11 157/18 159/20
big [15] 32/1 32/3 35/3 43/4 44/5 65/15 67/23 74/4 122/1 128/23 166/12 255/19 255/24 277/15

## 279/24

bind [1] 297/17
biographies [1] 58/5
bird [1] 283/6
births [1] 71/12
bit [41] 33/12 36/16 47/20 48/21 52/9 57/14 80/2 82/15 83/5 87/5 94/2 99/18 103/19 103/24 103/24 123/13 124/15 135/1 136/5 141/22 146/7 151/19 162/6 163/18 166/6 170/13 171/20 182/11 192/20 208/21 210/16 212/3 227/17 241/10 278/1 295/12 297/20
302/20 304/11 322/14 325/9
bits [2] 235/23 247/25
blank [1] 82/14
bleach [1] 31/1
block [1] 18/20
blood [2] 225/14 225/21
blowing [1] 277/13
|Blue [3] 31/22 168/1 255/13
board [20] 129/15 129/21 129/22
129/23 130/1 130/2 130/4 130/8 130/23 130/25 131/22 131/23 132/10 138/5 138/9 138/11 144/5 144/7 144/13 144/16
boards [1] 129/13
bolts [3] 224/18 233/16 233/16
bonfire [1] 321/17
boob [1] 195/3
book [9] 57/16 57/22 57/24 60/18
61/7 61/9 61/10 71/18 71/18
bookkeeping [1] 162/16
books [10] 27/3 31/14 31/19 57/22
58/1 58/2 65/25 70/12 70/18
144/1 1
borderline [1] 283/15
boring [3] 81/25 92/19 315/5
born [7] 63/20 63/21 193/12
193/14 193/15 257/13 257/16
both [44] 4/9 5/18 7/1 9/10 11/20
12/22 14/3 14/19 19/6 20/1 22/11
24/2 40/10 41/11 43/10 43/19
43/21 44/3 46/10 46/11 48/20
54/5 58/6 58/7 69/1 71/1 91/23 95/8 98/21 130/13 133/13 139/19
147/1 151/10 176/24 183/13 203/1
215/12 263/8 270/16 283/22
286/18 287/20 313/22
bother [5] 97/7 97/25 197/10
230/22 230/24
bottom [4] 177/16 177/19 255/24 279/25
bound [1] 147/10
bowl [1] 204/17
box [1] 185/19
boy [6] 77/5 102/23 147/1 175/8 299/14 323/19
boys [1] 258/24
Brad [1] 269/14
brake [3] 188/25 189/14 192/21
BRANCH [5] $1 / 1$ 193/12 193/15 193/15 326/5
breadwinners [1] 21/25
break [8] 10/22 54/21 81/18 117/1
186/15 186/17 186/19 300/21
breakfast [1] 37/4
Brendan [31] 37/12 39/6 40/7
$41 / 11$ 48/23 71/19 96/5 96/19 97/13 171/9 172/8 172/17172/18 172/25 196/9 196/11 197/25 198/5 198/17 227/23 261/2 261/3 261/25 262/2 262/6 262/17 285/12 285/14 315/11 322/4 323/19
bridal [1] 182/11
brief [7] 10/23 34/24 54/24 150/19 186/2 186/8 276/5
briefer [1] 158/3
briefly [9] $82 / 283 / 5$ 90/22 $111 / 12$
112/11 145/24 152/1 212/1 231/12
Brillion [1] 57/21
bring [11] 23/10 54/4 57/23 77/17 117/5 117/15 175/10 179/13
183/24 198/23 260/8
bring it [1] 198/23
bringing [3] 179/15 205/6 261/11
brings [1] 200/1
broad [1] 134/23
broadcast [1] 204/3
brother [7] 120/24 145/1 165/18 283/19 283/19 283/23 319/20
brother-in-law [1] 165/18
Brothers [2] 250/4 250/5

CARBON [14] $3 / 1 \quad 186 / 21 \quad 186 / 24$
187/5 188/15 188/15 188/16 188/17 188/23 194/16 213/7 213/13 219/7 219/8
care [7] 51/20 87/19 103/7 129/3
211/4 224/10 260/16
career [1] 119/23
carefully [4] 134/10 147/8 153/6 326/8
carried [1] 16/1
carry [1] 80/17
cars [2] 35/9 35/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { case [229] } & 1 / 5 & 4 / 2 & 4 / 22 \\ 5 / 19 & 9 / 25\end{array}$ 10/10 15/12 17/25 18/6 18/14 22/12 25/3 25/12 27/9 27/13 $36 / 2437 / 1537 / 2238 / 1838 / 23$ 39/4 43/6 44/15 45/24 46/19 46/21 47/17 52/12 53/3 53/9 55/5 55/10 55/14 56/4 60/6 61/18 62/23 68/10 69/13 71/19 75/16 77/25 78/8 78/15 78/23 79/13 $82 / 2183 / 1585 / 1385 / 1985 / 20$ 86/2 86/25 91/9 94/3 94/8 94/15 98/25 99/3 99/14 103/17 105/14 107/14 108/4 $111 / 1$ 118/4 118/8 119/9 120/19 120/25 121/3 121/20 122/24 123/14 124/13 124/15 125/7 133/15 135/8 143/7 147/25 150/25 151/16 151/24 151/25 153/9 154/9 155/4 155/5 157/6 157/14 157/24 158/5 158/12 158/14 158/15 158/17 158/23 158/24 160/5 160/10 160/14 160/23 160/25 161/7 161/22 163/8 163/12 164/21 165/14 171/8 171/19 172/12 173/23 174/25 180/14 180/16 182/2 182/19
$182 / 21$ 184/2 $184 / 6184 / 23185 / 4$ 187/6 187/13 $188 / 4188 / 22$ 190/2 190/4 190/11 192/11 193/4 195/19 205/4 208/6 209/8 214/1 214/10 218/7 221/18 222/8 222/16 223/2 223/8 223/9 223/22 226/24 227/8 228/6 231/14 234/12 234/17 235/14 236/5 237/13 237/17 240/8 241/14 241/15 241/22 245/7 247/19 248/2 248/7 248/9 249/3 250/15 251/4 251/18 251/25 252/5 252/8 252/21 253/2 254/17 254/18 254/19 263/6 267/9 270/14 270/21 271/12 272/11 274/1 276/10 276/13 278/2 278/12 281/9 282/6 282/8 286/2 286/19 287/2 289/5 293/12 293/19 294/1 294/8 294/25 296/24 297/22 298/7 298/12
299/12 299/21 302/6 302/9 302/20 304/2 306/25 307/13 307/14 307/21 308/16 308/25 309/2 309/7 309/9 309/21 310/14 310/17
$313 / 16313 / 24315 / 7317 / 3318 / 19$ 323/3
cases [8] 10/3 17/13 19/13 43/9 43/18 183/7 221/13 237/2
cast [1] 130/14
casual [3] 150/6 151/21 156/25
catch [1] 68/21
category [1] 111/1
Catering [1] 224/20
cattle [2] 230/3 230/9
Caucasians [1] 303/6
caught [3] 66/15 74/9 252/15 cause[21] 12/16 12/18 19/8 20/6 20/13 21/3 21/21 22/4 26/5 56/9

78/25 84/21 86/14 121/1 151/10
152/5 159/21 181/17 192/15 220/5 279/6
caused [2] 54/3 77/5
causes [1] 29/17
cave [1] 61/21
celebrity [1] 75/2
celebrity's [1] 75/3
Center [2] 108/24 277/14
certain [4] 15/21 19/23 66/2 69/3
certainly [9] 9/6 12/21 20/19 22/21
127/17 141/18 155/4 181/12
229/22
certify [1] 326/6
CF [2] 1/5 4/3
chair [3] $41 / 841 / 10132 / 10$
chairperson [4] 130/5 130/10
130/15 131/1
challenging [1] 274/20
chambers [2] 15/13 23/13
chance [13] 11/15 46/2 55/8 59/14
68/9 78/2 85/8 $112 / 8117 / 23$
129/17 187/9 219/3 247/22
change [5] 70/24 103/25 123/13
198/18 237/25
Changed [1] 229/2
changing [2] 177/8 285/10
channel [3] 203/12 203/13 314/18
channels [3] 203/10 204/2 276/7
chapter [1] 58/20
characterize [1] 87/15
characters [1] 228/20
charge [9] 28/24 63/10 106/1 126/6 166/7 200/11 200/16 204/19 278/18
charged [15] 37/15 37/19 44/18
44/19 82/22 176/1 195/23 196/2 196/5 200/23 200/25 263/19 289/17 290/18 313/24
charges [7] $47 / 6$ 75/20 147/9
147/11 199/25 262/6 262/7
chat [2] 38/24 284/20
chatter [1] 238/5
check [6] 27/3 61/7 61/11 269/6 295/13 308/2
checked [1] 307/21
checking [1] 76/9
checks [6] 70/15 307/19 307/21 309/8 310/19 310/20
cheerleading [2] $258 / 13258 / 16$
chemicals [1] 31/3
chemistry [3] 82/6 82/9 82/11
CHERRI [2] 2/21 159/23
chickens [2] 230/3 230/12
child [1] 70/17
children [5] 71/2 124/8 137/21
170/25 240/17
Chilton [7] 53/14 57/21 57/22
139/17 257/13 257/15 257/16
chimed [2] 11/1811/20
China [1] 95/3
chitty [2] 38/24 284/20
choice [3] 71/6 134/12 141/4
choking [1] 315/22
choose [3] 100/22 177/2 184/3
chooses [2] 134/10 176/9
chore [1] 235/7
chose [1] 153/6
chosen [1] 153/16
Christmas [1] 233/14
chronic [3] 145/25 146/22 152/9
Chuck [2] 208/1 321/14
church [3] 53/19 258/23 258/24

CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/10 326/5
circumstance [2] 49/13 102/9
circumstances [5] 49/9 62/4
154/24 155/1 $155 / 17$
cities [1] 138/3
citizen [2] 102/6 211/4
citizens [1] 43/3
city[13] 57/14 69/18 131/3 131/4 132/1 138/4 144/6 144/16 148/4
268/7 305/16 305/19 305/20
civics [1] $72 / 19$
civil [2] 53/3 123/14
claim [2] 239/8 289/23
claiming [1] 50/14
clarification [1] 149/3
clarify [1] 213/13
class [1] 286/5
classes [4] 82/10 123/18 123/19 124/1
Classic [1] 260/13
clean [2] 23/8 149/17
cleaning[3] 31/1 31/7 31/10
clear [6] 111/12 112/13 128/9
141/8 153/21 302/17
clearly [5] 8/24 153/4 159/13
162/17184/21
clerical [1] 169/19
Clerk [21] 24/21 56/18 77/20
84/10 84/24 90/15 $116 / 15117 / 17$
132/1 151/5 159/24 179/6 186/25
222/3 247/4 247/15 268/11 270/8
292/22 293/6 324/11
Clerk's [2] 20/21 294/15
client [2] 45/17 202/5
clients [1] 156/1
close [15] 26/19 28/23 63/9 90/8
90/9 112/9 112/12 125/15 131/19
131/20 135/17 169/4 170/16 192/1 230/17
closed [4] 110/20 112/3 112/6 112/17
closely [1] 193/4
closer [2] 148/11 311/4
clumsily [1] 153/12
co [3] 27/10 27/12 48/22
co-defendant [3] 27/10 27/12 48/22
Cody's [1] 258/24
coffee [3] 276/23 277/11 284/20
cold [1] 59/13
colleagues [2] 302/8 308/22
collecting [1] 76/11
college [7] 40/16 40/19 81/8 81/8 81/9 81/10 81/12
combined [1] 138/14
comes [9] 21/19 49/16 75/17 80/4
151/16 151/17 199/9 246/3 252/1
comfortable [5] 121/5 133/12
146/1 297/15 309/20
comfortably [1] 257/2
coming [13] 50/3 61/9 61/13 70/10 79/16 87/2 161/24 180/6 188/17
243/4 249/7 279/12 285/1
commence [1] 4/21
commendable [1] 134/6 commendably [1] 134/6
comment [8] 13/23 16/5 141/15
143/14 152/25 173/6 173/6 173/8
comments [7] 14/19 18/16 22/20
110/11 182/15 183/12 218/2
commit [2] 46/24 47/5
commitment [2] 85/17 187/17 committed [5] 7/24 8/10 8/13 8/19 229/17
committee [2] 59/7 278/25
common [2] 27/4 36/23
communication [1] 150/8
communications [1] 16/12
community [6] 90/7 102/4 108/12 108/20 136/18 147/14
companies [1] 95/6
company [6] 88/5 169/15 192/23
273/12 295/7 297/7
compare [1] 76/21
compared [2] 168/22 170/14
comparison [1] 310/14
Complaint [2] 314/3 314/4
complaints [3] 180/8 232/16 275/11
completed [16] 5/1 5/9 5/14 5/16 20/3 25/3 55/5 77/25 111/16 124/1 160/5 187/6 222/8 247/19 270/14 293/11
completely [3] 20/21 181/9 243/15
component [1] 79/23
compositive [1] 152/6
computer [9] 61/1 95/7 192/5
276/1 276/2 314/8 314/9 314/10 326/10
computer-assisted [1] 326/10
computerized [1] 326/9
conceive [1] 209/23
concept [4] 99/8 133/22 174/20 219/17
concern [8] 11/9 11/12 82/13
92/22 152/10 192/16 219/20 274/5
concerned [7] 7/1 7/17 13/12 17/6 64/22 93/6 98/9
concerning [3] 5/7 23/18 228/3
concerns [11] 14/19 16/13 154/4
180/7 212/23 221/20 225/13
267/23 267/24 279/18 280/24
concluded [2] 149/18 325/22
conclusion [3] 78/11 147/7 168/21
concrete [2] 182/2 $183 / 24$
condition [2] 226/1 322/18
conditioning [1] 180/16
conduct [4] 13/11 18/21 28/14 29/4
conducted [4] 13/19 14/7 15/3 111/22
conference [15] 15/13 39/7 39/15
40/4 40/7 41/11 42/10 48/24
196/16 196/19 200/9 261/6 261/9 261/19 262/13
conferences [4] 11/8 96/19 200/6 261/23
confess [3] 72/5 182/24 322/11 confessed [3] 49/23 71/22 96/25 confesses [1] 72/1
confession [7] 71/22 72/2 72/13
96/23 97/4 323/6 324/2
confessions [2] 172/19 235/4
confident [4] 91/18 135/16 274/8 325/16
confidential [1] 111/8
confidentiality [1] 110/13
confirm [4] 5/17 19/25 20/20
269/12
conflict [1] 136/8
confronting [1] 17/11
confused [3] 213/15 220/9 221/6
confusing [1] 229/10
confusion [1] 128/10
connect [2] 262/6 262/8
connection [5] 145/9 156/24 228/4
237/19 285/23
connections [1] 137/22
conscience [1] 321/1
consensus [1] 12/3
consider [24] 14/13 31/25 50/23
65/13 65/20 77/17 90/8 98/19
121/10 128/22 144/13 155/16
166/11 166/16 176/10 176/20
178/6 210/3 245/18 254/25 255/17
279/20 279/23 280/25
considered [3] 54/20 134/12
154/23
consistent [1] 157/8
consistently [1] 325/13
conspire [2] 30/9 64/17
constable [1] 245/25
constant [1] 299/25
constantly [1] 180/12
Constitution [2] 12/25 13/1
constitutional [2] 12/22 309/11
constitutions [1] 12/23
consumer [1] 295/15
contact [4] 67/21 120/10 151/20 157/17
contamination [1] 15/20
contemplated [1] 164/7
continue [2] 188/6 296/20
contradict [1] 206/25
control [8] 179/23 225/16 225/22
226/8 295/10 295/19 295/24 296/2
conversation [11] 11/19 89/24
90/12 90/24 120/8 121/16 121/19
141/23 145/14 157/13 157/15
conversations [4] 8/22 11/3
121/14 122/12
conversed [1] 21/17
convert [1] 238/14
convict [4] 30/9 64/17 65/3 319/14
convicted [11] 46/23 47/17 66/23
67/6 107/13 183/22 212/10 213/3
213/4 245/17303/25
conviction [2] 30/17 107/7
convince [4] 191/9 205/9 205/13 205/16
convinced [4] 103/10 103/17
107/13 206/16
convincing [1] 311/12
Conway [3] 23/14 23/15 23/21
cooking [1] 277/4
cool [2] 53/22 304/19
Cooperstown [1] 283/17
copied [2] 20/20 23/16
cops [3] 244/16 312/25 313/12
copy [3] 23/8 24/10 111/23
Corporation [12] 87/25 88/4 120/2
126/6 138/22 139/5 139/12 155/25
156/12 225/9 225/10 233/12
correct [35] 27/18 55/2 60/19
79/20 104/15 104/16 110/2 117/13 120/11 122/13 122/19 127/16 132/3 132/5 133/10 141/3 142/4 144/8 $145 / 2$ 149/9 149/25 150/5
159/23 175/10 186/22 186/23 215/19 221/20 237/25 251/6
269/20 281/25 303/17 307/11 326/12
corrected [2] 8/22 171/6
correctly [7] 22/19 23/4 108/1
124/16 124/21 172/7 250/25
correlation [1] 147/3
correspondence [1] 8/9
costs [1] 52/17
could [105] 12/3 33/24 38/23 51/1 $51 / 457 / 1379 / 882 / 283 / 184 / 19$ 91/15 91/22 98/9 99/3 99/5 101/10 101/11 103/1 103/1 103/13 103/21 112/7 121/2 121/14 122/21 139/9 142/5 148/2 149/21 154/11 156/14 156/20 156/21 157/25 158/1 158/11 158/14 162/5 174/7 174/15 174/23 175/9 175/14 175/15 176/17 177/25 178/4 178/6 205/1 205/19 205/23 206/2 206/16 206/21 207/9 210/10 210/16 211/15 213/13216/11218/15 218/16 218/16 218/17219/19 225/22 227/9 236/12 239/12 239/15 246/24 247/14 248/17 249/11 255/20 258/19 264/19 264/22 269/5 279/3 279/6 282/5 285/7 286/15 286/23 296/21 $300 / 17$ 300/1 8 301/8 302/5 302/16 308/15 308/19 309/20 311/15 $311 / 16311 / 22318 / 16319 / 19$ 319/19 319/20 319/21 319/21 324/23 325/9
couldn't [9] 38/20 39/16 149/18 156/15 196/22 205/13 232/9 236/16 243/18
counsel [38] 10/18 14/18 15/16 21/16 22/12 54/13 79/14 82/1 110/11111/10111/19111/19 116/16 116/22 120/2 126/6 138/22 139/5 139/12 $151 / 7152 / 16$ 153/5 155/23 155/25 156/12 179/9 180/6 181/9 185/7 185/10 214/21 215/12 219/2 219/20 220/15 247/5 268/17 269/11
counsel's [3] 6/12 15/12 154/13 count [1] 154/10
counties [1] 138/12
country [10] 40/23 43/1 72/21
94/22 94/24 133/22 174/13 174/18 282/25 283/13
county [57] $1 / 14 / 8$ 29/9 37/19 43/19 46/23 57/7 63/19 67/4 79/15 87/1 120/3 121/8 126/16 129/14 129/20 137/19 137/23 137/25 138/4 138/6 138/7 138/8 138/10 138/11 $138 / 18$ 138/22 139/13 139/18 $139 / 19$ 139/21 140/3 144/8 144/13 155/4 155/7 155/14 155/25 156/3 156/13 161/23 166/9 168/5 193/9 253/8 253/9 275/1 282/23 282/24 283/5 283/7 283/9 283/16 305/9 305/10 305/16 326/2
County/Calumet [1] 138/10 couple [27] 25/9 31/13 49/15 82/5 90/13 110/12 121/13 131/22 146/19 149/3 160/11 161/13 169/24 182/18 195/24 215/10 222/13 238/16 251/3 251/23 272/12 272/21 275/16 277/9 287/1 294/15 297/12
coupled [1] 152/1
course [21] 10/13 22/11 40/12
98/10 108/7 109/19 118/19 121/19 124/20 132/25 140/16 143/12 151/20 161/10 183/21 223/10 281/12 284/23 290/7 294/2 294/9 court [122] 1/1 1/10 1/25 2/19 3/5
$4 / 14 / 245 / 35 / 125 / 147 / 27 / 7$ $7 / 147 / 25$ 8/25 9/1 1 10/4 10/13 11/14 12/6 12/10 13/13 16/8 16/13 16/13 17/15 17/18 18/3 19/1 19/15 19/23 20/4 20/7 20/16 $21 / 12$ 22/18 22/21 23/5 23/15 23/25 25/15 26/8 29/25 42/13 $54 / 5$ 56/1 56/10 64/8 67/10 77/6 77/16 78/17 79/2 83/3 84/17 84/20 85/5 85/22 91/17 104/4 111/9111/13111/21112/1116/13 116/15 116/21 118/23 122/17 122/24 123/5 149/14 151/12 152/11 152/16 153/13 153/25 154/25 155/8 155/15 158/23 158/24 159/20 161/14 163/10 178/17 178/24 180/1 $183 / 4183 / 7$ 184/12 186/16 190/22 191/7 199/10 200/15 213/16 213/16 213/20 219/17 220/14 220/17 223/14 246/3 261/14 261/16 269/21 271/19 287/16 292/17 296/16 298/21 299/11 304/2 304/6 309/9 310/15 311/6 315/9 326/4 326/5 326/19
Court's [11] 6/4 6/13 7/23 8/19 13/24 15/1 18/9 24/10 90/15 111/25 154/12
courthouse [2] 43/22 140/3
courtroom [32] 4/16 4/19 5/11 25/24 54/12 55/1 56/1 77/11 78/18 83/16 85/23 111/12 111/17 112/13 116/16118/13151/6 161/4 179/7 187/24 191/2 223/5 243/13 248/11 261/12 271/8 292/23 294/5 302/7 310/21 324/12 325/10 courts [3] 67/4 158/20 221/14
cousin [1] 33/8
cover [1] 170/3
coverage [19] 122/23 122/25 126/1 153/2 165/14 226/24 227/8 251/5 251/8 252/5 276/7 278/2 278/6 298/7 298/11 299/2 300/11 313/20 313/21
covered [2] 24/12 164/10
crane [1] 169/15
cranes [1] 162/12
craw [1] 181/21
created [1] 127/23
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { credibility [19] } & 28 / 21 & 102 / 19\end{array}$
109/20 110/7 127/5 127/9 127/25
128/2 128/5 128/11 214/13 214/16 214/19 $215 / 1$ 306/7 306/9 306/13 306/16 307/8
credible [1] 109/23
cribbage [2] 195/7 202/12
crime [41] 27/12 29/13 33/1 45/22 46/24 47/8 49/14 63/24 64/3 64/23 72/21 76/15 100/23 101/20 126/21 168/17 170/20 173/14 174/19 176/1 193/21 205/14 205/21 205/23 205/25 207/16 228/10 228/10 229/17 239/17 240/1 240/7 243/15 245/20 253/17 263/20 275/7 288/24 290/19 303/16 304/20
crimes [3] 212/11 237/2 239/4
criminal [16] $32 / 2444 / 15$ 48/6
67/3 $119 / 20133 / 15155 / 10174 / 13$ 184/2 186/4 215/22 307/14 307/15 307/16 314/3 314/4
critical [1] 13/6
cross [5] 65/18 103/18 103/23

205/5 313/9
cross-examination [1] 205/5
crossword [10] 65/10 65/12 74/22
74/23 75/1 75/4 128/21 166/20 274/13 274/15
crosswords [2] 128/22 256/7
CSI [4] 101/15 228/10 229/6
238/21
Cub [1] 95/19
curious [4] 52/15 69/10 70/5
141/21
curl [2] 39/20 40/1
current [2] 158/17303/1
currently [7] 58/17 125/15 125/16
169/5 189/2 224/4 295/6
Curt [1] 89/1
curve [1] 311/9
Custom [1] 88/5
customer [4] 87/12 87/17 87/18 88/2
customer's [1] 87/20
customers [1] 95/4
cut [3] 71/3 92/11 98/25
cute [1] 132/14
D
dad [3] 53/18 288/13 288/16
dairy [2] 230/8 230/9
Dale [1] 155/15
dance [1] 108/15
dangers [1] 279/2
DANIEL [2] 2/3 24/20
darn [1] 207/17
Dassey [30] 37/13 39/6 40/8 48/23
71/20 96/6 96/19 97/13 171/10 172/25 196/8 196/9 196/11 198/1
198/17 227/22 227/23 261/2
261/25 262/3 285/12 285/14
285/17 285/19 285/19 299/14
299/21 315/11 322/4 323/19
Dassey's [1] 198/5
date [2] 1/9 13/19
Dated [1] 326/15
daughter [11] 39/23 61/12 89/15 97/23 98/2 98/9 224/12 240/19 258/14 258/17 258/20
daughters [2] 36/3 37/5
day[51] 1/4 16/1 22/11 22/14
25/15 27/15 27/15 31/8 35/25
40/14 53/11 55/17 67/3 67/3
78/10 80/12 94/13 109/4 160/18
175/8 175/9 187/16 195/2 195/15
202/23 202/25 204/1 222/21
229/20 229/21 229/22 231/20
236/2 236/3 238/14 238/16 248/4
248/20 251/20 251/20 266/19
270/25 274/4 274/17 277/11
293/20 299/19 307/22 307/25
308/1 326/15
day-to-day [3] 27/15 67/3 251/20
days [15] 53/6 53/10 56/10 68/13
79/2 86/15 118/22 146/19 161/13
185/15 223/13 261/20 271/20
294/15 325/11
deal [6] 70/19 180/2 221/11
221/12 265/10 302/25
dealing [8] 29/13 63/24 63/24 112/1 126/21 168/17 193/20 219/24
deals [3] 33/1 105/18 106/13
dealt [2] 254/2 304/21
DEAN [9] $1 / 16$ 4/13 68/24 93/23
194/18 233/1 257/11 282/17310/8

Debbie [1] 89/13
December [2] 15/5 16/6
December 1st [2] 15/5 16/6 decide [18] 47/25 48/12 73/18
73/18 75/16 83/14 105/10 105/13 106/2 122/23 135/22 191/22 263/22 263/25 264/2 302/5 302/9 309/21
decided [2] 92/14 178/5
decides [4] 105/10 106/2 263/14 289/2
deciding [4] 50/21 50/24 182/12 255/2
decision [21] 25/16 83/2 85/17
91/16 98/22 122/16 123/3 133/11 134/22 135/5 142/11 149/13
178/16 202/2 202/4 221/15 231/4
237/21 248/4 286/20 292/17
decisions [1] 291/5
decline [1] 73/5
deeply [1] 111/5
defendant [39] 1/7 1/17 1/19 1/21 9/4 13/10 13/12 27/10 27/12 $41 / 18$ 48/22 100/3 100/4 104/14 149/7 149/16 157/7 158/10 174/13 176/21 182/19 184/15 185/2 185/23 186/6 199/9 205/24 206/3 215/23 217/6 217/14 217/24 220/20 220/24 221/15 263/19 309/1 309/5 309/10 defendant's [3] 177/12 186/7 289/10
defendants [1] 99/11
defending [3] 194/19 257/12 282/19
defense [31] 6/2 13/14 16/8 16/14 18/17 18/21 23/23 24/8 84/6 100/1 104/25 105/5 105/9 105/10 119/20 133/16 134/2 154/5 156/9
156/17 186/7 191/1 199/25 200/5
200/9 200/9 200/14 202/3 215/12
217/13 221/25
defer [1] 93/15
deference [1] 154/4
degree [2] 88/7 145/19
delay [1] 293/9
deliberate [4] 34/1 $214 / 1$ 256/23 308/15
deliberating [2] 73/21 192/19
deliberation [2] 125/10 308/21
delicate [1] 136/16
deliver [2] 57/22 70/18
demeanor [1] 102/21
demeanors [1] 221/16
demonstrate [1] 200/15
Denmark [2] 283/2 283/3
deny [3] 12/10 159/20 200/11
department [26] 29/12 57/4 63/22 86/23 119/7 126/20 161/21 166/9 168/11 168/16 170/15 188/21 193/19 223/21 232/7 249/2 253/12 253/13 253/16 272/9 275/6 294/24 305/6 305/7 305/24 320/16
depending [3] 9/17 16/24 259/24 depends [6] 28/4 59/11 80/12 140/7 228/14 259/22
deputy [1] 165/25
described [3] 7/15 144/21 150/7
description [1] 36/19
deserved [2] 124/22 272/4
design [1] 36/6
designed [1] 253/24
detail [14] 32/1 65/14 65/16 76/10 128/23 166/12 166/14 166/15
197/8 255/18 256/1 256/2 256/3 279/23
detailed [5] 35/4 43/5 76/14 128/25 175/2
details [19] 74/6 96/13 96/15
129/5 135/18 $141 / 8$ 197/3 198/3
198/25 201/1 227/15 235/3 255/25
262/12 300/2 313/23 315/16 316/2
322/5
determination [4] 28/7 153/3
155/19 $218 / 25$
determine [7] 15/2 19/23 55/9
102/19 160/9 $218 / 24324 / 6$
determined [3] 111/3 130/15 184/7
determining [5] 28/6 28/21 183/16 192/19 $211 / 11$
develop [1] 90/18
developed [1] 12/3
diagnosed [1] 226/4
Diane [10] 1/24 2/7 55/1 68/23
129/18 129/19 129/19 186/14
326/4 326/19
Dicky [1] 245/24
died [1] 284/1
difference [5] 100/15 170/8 217/9 217/11 258/1
differences [1] 198/14
different [22] 6/20 7/12 8/3 31/8
34/13 34/15 64/14 67/25 99/1
103/25 127/7 201/13 244/4 244/25
249/14 249/20 259/15 259/19
260/3 307/20 311/16 312/12
differentiation [1] 158/19
differently [1] 201/13
difficult [3] 231/5 231/10 256/23
difficulty [2] 166/3 221/10
dig [1] 127/22
digress [1] 123/23
dinner [8] 121/17 121/23 139/17
139/21 140/14 144/21 150/4 151/24
dinners [1] 121/9
dire [104] $1 / 4$ 4/4 4/20 4/22 4/25 6/4 6/5 6/14 7/2 7/10 7/23 8/15 8/18 9/6 9/12 9/13 9/19 9/23 10/1 10/14 11/11 11/23 12/4 12/7
$12 / 11$ 12/13 $13 / 413 / 5$ 13/7 $13 / 11$
13/14 13/18 $14 / 4$ 14/7 14/10
14/11 14/14 15/11 15/16 15/19
16/4 16/19 16/20 18/21 19/17
19/22 25/4 25/24 26/9 26/10 34/6
55/7 55/25 56/2 56/15 56/24
68/19 78/18 79/6 85/7 85/23
86/18 93/19 109/14 110/19118/13
119/2 131/13 148/25 150/22 152/7
160/7 161/4 161/17 169/22 179/19
179/23 179/24 180/3 181/24 187/8
187/25 188/11 194/14 213/11
215/13 $215 / 17222 / 11223 / 6$
223/16 232/23 247/21 248/12
248/23 257/7 270/16 271/7 271/9
271/23 282/15 293/14 294/4
294/20 310/4
directive [2] 298/21 299/10
directly [2] 40/4 183/16
diring [1] 293/11
disadvantages [2] $215 / 16$ 325/7
disagree [1] 317/8
disagreed [1] 317/16
disappearance [3] 91/4 96/11

158/6
discretion [3] 8/20 12/10 152/4 discretionary [1] 12/9
discuss [9] 78/15 85/20 160/25
187/21 198/23 248/9 271/4 277/10 294/1
discussed [7] 6/22 7/13 13/21 14/1
117/6 213/1 317/2
discussing [2] 82/20 242/12
discussion [10] 11/22 15/15 70/22
120/22 121/20 158/5 158/14
269/10 277/19 302/25
discussions [2] 195/20 280/8
disgusted [1] 236/15
dishonest [1] 218/10
dispute [1] 130/8
disputes [5] 130/6 132/6 132/7
132/17 317/7
disregard [6] 27/22 27/24 28/1
28/1 28/18 28/22
distinguish [1] 23/24
distinguished [1] 140/10
distribution [2] 6/10 87/15
District [9] 4/8 57/6 79/14 87/2
90/1 155/7 155/14 161/23 258/7
disturbed [1] 325/8
Disturbing [1] 40/1
division [1] 295/19
divorce [2] 67/22 67/25
DNA [6] 47/9 76/11 82/7 82/11
106/24 107/16
do [522]
does [55] 11/14 13/9 35/3 35/3 39/23 42/10 46/18 48/4 50/12 54/13 72/14 74/1 84/11 88/4 89/7 97/25 106/15 116/16 119/17 119/20 120/25 130/16 130/24 139/18 146/21 $153 / 13$ 163/8 166/1 166/2 172/4 172/4 172/12 173/4 173/18 176/8 177/21 185/12 195/18 200/12 200/20 202/8 215/23 217/11 229/25 239/20 241/4 241/6 241/10 254/18 259/18 263/11 263/22 280/15 287/4 301/17
doesn't [52] 10/4 13/14 17/16
19/11 69/1 69/2 73/6 73/13 104/14 106/14 134/19 135/14 143/8 143/13 155/22 157/11 180/15 185/2 185/24 191/13 199/25 200/13 202/9 203/9 211/4 213/22 216/6 216/7 216/8 217/14 217/16 217/16 217/18217/19
217/20 217/24 218/1 218/23 221/2
221/5 236/25 246/11 246/15 255/1
257/23 290/4 309/10 320/15
320/18 323/8 323/10 323/22
dog [1] 276/22
doing [48] 29/12 29/14 29/18
29/19 30/6 31/17 35/16 42/15
45/3 49/1 49/10 55/18 58/24
63/23 76/17 78/11 81/20 103/7
108/17 $118 / 6$ 126/20 126/23
126/25 164/3 164/4 168/16 186/15
187/17 193/19 193/22 193/23
211/19 222/22 228/18 229/14
232/12 238/19 244/7 250/1 253/16
259/1 5 270/25 274/17 279/3 284/5
293/21 312/23 321/7
domain [1] 111/6
done [30] 8/12 23/4 49/3 49/5
50/11 61/5 61/16 61/23 107/23
119/22 137/20 143/9 148/21
done...[17] 153/17 224/11 239/10 239/10 239/12 239/15 239/15
243/2 243/9 243/23 244/14 274/2
289/11 289/16 292/5 295/20
315/23
door [2] 64/5 111/14
doors [1] 60/4
DORN [6] 3/10 247/10 247/14 247/18 248/25 268/11
doubt [25] 41/1 44/25 75/20
102/13 104/23 107/9 133/25
149/19 174/20 175/6 177/23
206/17 213/2 213/20 216/2 216/10
216/21 217/1 221/24 254/5 273/25
281/19 282/5 287/3 289/3
doubts [2] 212/23 245/3
down [24] 21/19 71/17 76/17 81/6
94/6 100/10 124/19 141/5 141/7
146/21 148/21 166/6 170/18
184/17 184/20 184/21 231/4
254/14 259/6 266/23 272/23 279/2
319/11 319/21
downtown [1] 155/10
draft [1] 22/25
drag [1] 319/11
draw [1] 183/14
drawn [2] 35/5 152/6
draws [1] 315/3
drew [2] 144/5 144/9
dried [1] 98/25
drive [3] 60/12 $185 / 5$ 319/15
driver [2] 52/3 52/3
driving [3] 44/19 96/2 208/14
dropped [1] 240/22
drum [1] 325/14
Drumm [3] 89/1 89/1 89/21
drunken [1] 208/13
dry [2] 92/12 108/4
ducks [1] 230/4
due [1] 181/15
duration [1] 270/22
during [40] 22/11 25/19 25/24
26/4 55/15 56/2 56/7 78/18 78/23
85/14 85/23 86/3 109/19 118/13
118/19 120/15 120/18 121/19
143/4 146/11 151/23 155/24 161/4
161/9 187/25 195/15 202/25
222/18 223/6 223/10 248/12
253/10 271/8 271/13 271/15 275/4
281/12 294/2 294/4 294/9
duties [2] 59/1 79/24
duty [11] 43/13 68/5 80/2 121/24 141/11147/10 148/19 157/5 296/1 296/3 320/25

E
e-x-c-e-p-t-e-d [1] 24/5
each [27] 7/6 9/12 9/13 14/10
14/12 14/12 23/19 55/8 59/6
78/10 85/8 89/3 90/23 117/24
121/23 138/16 140/17 160/7
160/18 187/9 223/23 247/22 248/3
248/4 267/17 293/14 293/20
ear [2] 66/16 236/23
earlier [2] 7/7 9/16
early [3] 141/1 203/13 237/24
earned [1] 69/1
earnest [1] 298/20
East [1] 272/15
easy [7] 26/15 226/19 288/7 288/8 288/10 288/20 290/3
economic [3] 21/24 22/8 190/12 education [4] 81/6 81/15 81/16 186/8
effect [5] 57/18 158/21 184/16 236/22 265/18
effective [1] 10/12
effects [1] 159/10
efficacy [1] 9/25
eggs [1] 231/24
eight[8] 17/13 117/5 168/6 168/9 185/15 226/3 231/20 249/23
either [43] $6 / 238 / 13$ 10/15 13/18
13/23 19/16 20/18 22/5 22/7 23/10 32/25 44/9 44/13 50/19 54/13 58/14 77/12 84/11 100/17 116/16 120/14 132/15 150/24 151/7 157/10 157/17 160/25 167/20 168/11 179/9 202/1 202/8 222/25 247/5 248/7 268/13 271/3 292/25 300/1 8 301/23 307/4 322/16 324/13
elaborate [1] 301/9
Electric [1] 273/17
elementary [2] 81/14 81/16
eleven [1] 87/9
eliminated [1] 6/6
else [47] 13/17 20/24 20/25 23/9
24/14 25/19 27/21 28/16 39/2
47/25 52/4 58/12 58/24 64/12 64/16 78/15 85/20 118/8 122/12 128/12 136/20 160/25 163/20 190/8 191/4 205/17 206/11 207/16 216/7 218/20 219/2 223/1 223/2 224/19 231/7 234/23 238/19 248/8 248/9 261/25 266/14 271/5 284/25 292/20 303/14 306/24 324/17
email[5] 21/6 22/21 23/1 23/8 111/23
emailing [1] 111/25
embarrass [1] 26/16
emotion [1] 132/18
emphasize [1] 181/10
employed [2] 90/17 295/6
employee [3] 53/18 90/16 155/6
employees [6] 29/6 57/20 80/7
129/21 162/18 163/2
employer [2] 92/25 93/2
employment [4] 87/23 88/9 280/22 296/12
enable [1] 14/12
enclosed [1] 24/10
encounter [2] 155/2 158/2
encountered [2] 179/17 234/7
encounters [7] 120/10 121/12
168/10 194/3 208/10 232/6 253/10 end [16] 12/14 13/8 73/25 75/17
93/16 108/8 143/1 147/6 147/8 150/11 160/17 230/25 255/2
287/24 289/2 290/2
ends [2] 147/1 313/11
enforcement [20] 30/9 30/16 50/22 51/7 64/8 64/20 127/3 165/17
170/17 170/19 194/1 194/4 214/11
214/23 305/4 305/13 306/10
311/24 312/22 314/24
enforcement's [1] 304/24
enforcing [2] 28/25 63/11
engage [1] 124/2
engaged [2] 162/21 169/9
engages [1] 144/1
engine [1] 285/10
engineering [2] 81/13 81/16
enhance [1] 281/4
|enjoy [8] 59/10 81/22 109/2 131/5 131/7 131/8 250/20 308/21
enjoyed [1] 220/21
enjoys [2] 139/16 166/16
enlightening [1] 67/19
enough [14] 13/15 26/15 72/19
124/5 131/10 166/22 190/6 203/3
220/11 221/12 236/10 240/20
247/1 263/5
ensure [1] 296/20
enter [2] 48/7 80/6
entered [1] 24/1
entire [1] 162/23
entirely [4] 6/6 18/15 182/1
245/19
entitled [8] 71/2 76/3 83/11
106/17 154/25 181/12 183/14 186/8
entry [1] 87/19
equal [1] 76/25
equipment [1] 278/23
equipped [3] 75/21 75/21 75/25
Erdman [1] 269/15
error [1] 104/2
escort [8] 54/11 77/10 116/15
151/5 179/7 247/4 292/23 324/12
escorted [2] 124/17 124/22
especially [3] $15 / 22 \quad 183 / 3 \quad 325 / 14$
essence [4] 130/22 181/2 181/6 182/11
essential [1] 19/16
essentially [2] 76/17 157/3
establish [1] 159/7
establishing [1] 20/9
estate [1] 119/18
estimated [1] 222/18
ethical [1] 143/13
evaluate [11] $14 / 6$ 14/16 33/25 127/24 191/23 214/13 215/1
218/19 255/23 306/7 307/7
evaluating [3] 279/21 323/2 323/5
even [30] 16/13 19/12 27/16 38/4
68/4 75/8 83/23 99/15 103/23 106/1 126/4 136/18 136/22 137/2 140/21 154/3 155/11 158/2 165/3 198/23 205/9 208/12 220/19 237/2 237/7 244/9 251/17 302/12 322/8 325/16
evening [10] 22/10 55/16 85/15
133/2 195/15 203/1 203/5 204/6 270/24 310/6
evenings [1] 203/21
event [4] 120/8 137/19 142/3
271/16
events [1] 277/10
eventually [3] 173/12 174/11 289/2
ever [59] 6/19 28/23 29/4 31/22 32/4 32/9 32/13 32/19 33/7 48/25 49/3 61/5 63/9 63/9 68/4 69/23 75/9 81/1 97/1 98/8 101/19 109/8 124/2 126/6 167/20 168/1 168/10 192/22 192/22 195/19 198/12 198/16 207/22 207/24 208/5 209/22 212/5 $218 / 10$ 232/6 235/3 241/14 255/13 256/13 276/12 277/10 278/2 278/18 278/18 278/21 280/2 280/6 285/16 286/12 304/3 314/3 314/7 320/10 321/20 322/15
every [29] 10/8 $15 / 8$ 24/3 25/15 31/8 50/22 55/16 85/15 94/13 108/25 187/16 202/22 222/19
every... [16] 225/18 227/1 228/16 229/16 229/20 229/21 229/22 231/16 235/14 249/14 249/20 259/15 259/19 260/3 270/24 299/19
everybody [7] 111/17 245/10 246/9 266/14 267/14 284/16 316/15
everyone [3] 146/8 184/19 312/10 everything [11] 28/1 28/19 28/19 58/3 99/16 100/6 104/9 144/18 151/1 162/15 317/5
evidence [63] 30/17 64/21 75/23 76/2 76/4 76/6 76/11 76/13 76/13 83/2 83/15 84/2 91/17 92/17
102/20 106/19 108/3 122/17 123/3 147/8 149/13 150/12 153/19 157/22 $178 / 16183 / 3183 / 3184 / 8$ 190/25 231/5 239/17 239/18 240/3 240/6 240/6 240/10 240/12 244/15 244/19 255/5 255/6 262/22 263/1 263/7 263/15 264/17 264/18
290/21 301/13 301/24 301/25 302/10 307/3 309/21 311/12 316/13 316/19 317/17317/24 $321 / 1323 / 2323 / 17324 / 5$
evidently [2] 244/3 244/15
EVM [1] 241/12
exact [1] 50/18
exactly [16] 11/10 23/21 31/5 80/10 121/24 127/21 138/25 142/8 179/25 180/24 181/22 211/8 240/15 243/6 243/8 294/16 examination [52] $2 / 42 / 5$ 2/7 $2 / 8$ 2/11 2/13 2/14 2/17 2/18 2/19 $2 / 20 \quad 2 / 22 \quad 2 / 23$ 3/2 $3 / 3$ 3/4 3/5 3/7 3/8 3/11 3/12 3/14 3/15 3/18 3/19 26/10 34/6 56/24 68/19 79/6 86/18 93/19 109/14 119/2 131/13 148/25 150/22 161/17 169/22 188/11 194/14 205/5 213/11 215/13 223/16 232/23 248/23
257/7 271/23 282/15 294/20 310/4 example [6] 49/4 76/11 183/24
185/7 220/10 245/14
excellent [2] 29/14 126/23
except [2] 111/13 144/18
excepted [2] 23/25 24/4
exception [2] 112/1 180/18
exceptional [2] 163/11 296/17 exceptionally [1] 146/22
excess [1] 9/21
exchange [1] 150/6
excluded [1] 24/12
exclusion [3] 23/25 24/5 24/10
excuse [12] $21 / 3$ 122/25 127/21
141/24 152/19 159/21 181/18
193/3 244/16 254/1 269/22 302/17
excused [9] 20/6 21/20 21/20
21/23 22/4 22/19 152/13 268/20 269/14
excusing [1] 152/4
exempting [1] 24/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { exercise [5] 12/20 } & 14 / 5 & 19 / 8\end{array}$
181/24 182/13
existence [1] 159/2
exonerate [1] 280/14
exonerated [2] 107/7 167/13
expand [1] 136/5
expect [8] 18/18 50/17 105/4 105/4 133/13 135/7 205/24 240/7
expected [3] 25/12 105/19 160/16 expedited [1] 16/18
expense [1] 272/4
experience [35] 11/18 33/20 33/21
33/23 45/2 47/19 48/25 62/20 66/20 119/25 123/14 123/17
124/12 124/25 146/7 192/9 193/18 194/1 208/17 250/21 251/1 256/20 279/8 292/12 292/14 304/25 305/1 305/3 306/2 306/20 307/10 308/6 308/6 308/10 308/13
experienced [2] 226/7 278/2
experiences [3] 194/7 253/11
282/4
expert [2] 310/15 311/6
expertise [1] 193/1
explain [2] 186/8 221/17
explained [3] 155/23 219/18 220/3
explains [1] 36/1
explanation [3] $9 / 3$ 183/1 276/6
explore [6] 17/16 18/8 18/11
187/20 262/16 296/12
explosives [1] 80/6
exposure [2] 251/7 251/25
expressed [2] 16/13 91/1
extensive [1] 10/11
extent [6] 18/18 69/4 80/23 150/7
183/2 300/6
eye [1] 66/15
F
face [3] 27/23 158/1 321/4
faces [3] 188/5 271/14 294/12
facing [3] 62/4 150/14 157/21
fact [32] $23 / 2424 / 8110 / 4118 / 6$
120/24 136/6 136/17 136/20
149/17 154/6 $155 / 5155 / 18163 / 8$
164/8 173/7 176/20 183/21 185/13
185/16 200/8 $211 / 10$ 237/17 239/9
239/14 245/16 251/6 254/2 263/16
264/21 281/16 301/14 322/19
factor [1] 309/22
factors [2] $28 / 20 \quad 148 / 8$
factory [6] 250/8 273/4 273/20
284/6 284/10 284/11
facts [18] 33/25 74/18 125/23
155/1 155/16 158/5 159/1 172/16
180/9 180/18 180/20 180/20
244/10 251/4 278/5 319/13 323/7 323/9
factual [1] 123/1
failed [1] $8 / 23$
fair [38] 25/8 29/15 29/16 29/16
29/18 33/4 46/9 52/21 52/22
52/23 52/24 53/1 55/10 57/24
84/19 98/19 104/22 121/2 124/5
131/10 136/6 166/22 168/18 180/8 190/6 193/17 193/19 195/13 227/7 232/13 232/15 244/18 253/17
273/20 275/7 277/6 287/21 301/23
fair-minded [1] 46/9
fairly [3] 136/25 208/22 297/23
fairness [3] 17/15 93/5 178/23
faith [1] 123/2
fall [6] 33/11 33/12 111/5 141/1 142/9 290/10
FALLON [54] 1/14 2/4 2/7 2/11
2/13 2/17 2/22 3/2 3/4 3/7 3/11
3/14 3/18 4/9 5/19 7/16 11/6
14/21 $21 / 14$ 22/24 23/2 26/9
48/2 1 51/4 54/3 56/15 56/23 57/3
68/16 79/4 79/11 86/17 86/22
118/25 119/6 131/21 143/19

152/14 161/16 161/20 188/10
188/19 213/8 $215 / 12223 / 15$
223/20 248/21 248/25 271/22
272/8 292/20 294/17 294/22
311/14
Fallon's [4] 11/3 18/16 22/20 233/6
falls [4] 250/4 250/6 259/6 290/22
falsely [1] 72/6
familiar [15] 66/5 88/25 89/6 89/25 99/8 $119 / 11120 / 5129 / 17$ 133/19 133/22 166/23 167/15 227/23 256/16 264/3
familiarity [2] 63/22 89/12
family [22] $62 / 17$ 129/6 144/4
145/7 145/21 145/23 161/1 162/17
194/6 224/10 229/25 231/13
231/19 231/21 232/17 258/3
276/14 277/22 283/18 297/16
303/16 305/13
fan [1] 325/13
fancy [1] 74/21
far [21] 6/17 6/25 8/8 9/21 27/19 64/23 $104 / 18$ 126/25 185/21 190/5 227/16 233/18 238/24 243/4
245/15 246/1 246/24 283/10 304/5
304/24 310/16
farm [8] 229/25 230/2 230/8 230/9
230/11 235/5 241/4 268/6
farming [1] 229/24
farther [1] 245/17
fashion [1] 112/3
father [1] 241/5
fault [2] 128/15 175/22
favorably [1] 306/24
Favre [1] 285/1
fax [1] 23/16
FEBRUARY [2] 1/9 23/6
February 2 [1] 23/6
federal [3] 12/23 43/20 70/9
feel [35] 9/17 10/10 13/14 34/9
63/23 70/3 75/20 75/22 75/24
75/25 92/1 98/6 100/17 122/21
126/22 127/6 136/4 136/9 145/19
148/20 152/18 $157 / 20$ 157/25
179/2 201/5 201/13 201/16 208/22
211/1 211/21 214/22 230/21
250/21 309/20 313/2
feeling [5] 76/8 $116 / 25$ 190/14 197/24 219/15
feelings [5] 52/14 52/19 101/7
132/20 211/15
fell [2] 110/25 124/19
fellow [3] 29/6 76/22 125/11
felony [3] 19/13 $155 / 10185 / 16$
felt [5] 52/12 $110 / 24136 / 3158 / 9$ 209/2
fessed [1] 49/4
few [45] 17/22 22/11 22/14 23/24 26/14 28/17 31/3 31/3 55/11
56/10 57/12 78/6 79/2 86/15 87/3
103/25 109/16 $112 / 14118 / 2$
118/22 149/2 156/23 162/1 171/24
185/11 187/12 188/17 206/24
216/14 $218 / 8223 / 13$ 223/23
223/25 233/5 247/25 249/4 249/6
270/17 270/19 293/15 295/3 310/9
310/10 314/12 325/11
fewer [1] 19/2
fib [4] $210 / 16$ 210/17 246/9 246/20
fibbing [1] 246/21
fiction [3] 58/6 58/6 144/19
Fie [1] 296/10

| F | $110 / 12 \text { 121/6 141/22 148/7 148/18 }$ | friends [20] 26/20 62/16 89/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| field [2] 88/10 88/14 | 159/17 162/1 174/7 174/15 174/23 | 195/4 195/8 195/11 195/19 198/22 |
| fighting [2] 253/17 275/7 | 176/11 176/17176/22 177/25 | 198/23 232/17 276/13 277/22 |
| figure [3] 263/3 275/10 288/4 | 181/6 181/17188/18 190/17 | 302/8 317/13 321/11 |
| fill [2] 274/13 274/15 | 191/11 210/7 213/9 213/25 214/6 | friendship [1] 90/18 |
| filled [5] 85/5 110/23 117/21 214/8 | 215/3 215/11216/21 217/22 219/3 | front [6] $21 / 6$ 37/16 48/2 263/2 |
| 306/4 | 219/19 220/4 220/12 221/22 | 291/13 304/15 |
| filling [1] 6/11 | 223/23 233/5 242/19 247/23 249/4 | fulfill [1] 125/13 |
| fills [1] 15/9 | 252/18 253/24 254/9 255/9 272/5 | full [7] 36/18 36/21 81/18 249/24 |
| final [1] 56/11 | 281/13 281/19 287/12 287/24 | 249/25 259/9 260/4 |
| finally [7] 22/3 56/8 78/21 86/13 | 290/6 290/6 290/17 291/17 293/16 | full-time [5] 36/18 36/21 81/18 |
| 158/7 188/2 285/6 | 295/1 303/14 310/9 310/9 321/1 | 249/24 249/25 |
| finances [2] 53/16 170/6 | follow-up [12] 16/19 82/24 121/6 | fully [1] 17/20 |
| financial [4] 170/8 250/16 296/21 | 141/22 149/2 156/23 213/9 214/6 | fun [1] 272/3 |
| 297/17 | 215/11 219/3 247/23 293/16 | function [1] 80/11 |
| financially [3] 68/14 267/18 274/9 | followed [15] 37/21 51/5 59/4 | fundraiser [2] 108/21 108/24 |
| find [38] 18/15 26/24 27/17 47/16 | 61/24 91/13 94/14 167/5 167/17 | fundraisers [1] 89/7 |
| 74/20 92/2 92/8 92/17 92/19 | 190/4 193/4 227/8 252/4 253/5 | Furniture [2] 250/5 250/6 |
| 105/7 123/17 124/25 125/19 | 298/5 298/6 | further [11] 11/22 20/16 26/7 |
| 149/18 155/6 157/12 157/14 | following [14] 23/6 26/6 59/5 | 27/23 56/12 84/4 111/20 112/2 |
| 157/22 158/14 163/22 180/19 | 108/5 112/18 160/19 164/20 | 174/17 268/17276/5 |
| 207/6 207/14 216/20 216/24 217/7 | 171/19 171/22 221/21 226/24 | future [1] 221/11 |
| 231/10 240/3 240/5 240/7 243/15 | 269/13 281/6 290/15 | fuzzy [1] 181/8 |
| 274/20 279/10 289/25 292/5 292/6 | follows [2] 158/25 280/25 | G |
| 308/9 316/2 | fool [1] 45/17 | G |
| finder [1] 136/20 | footage [1] 261/14 | Gagnon [1] 269/15 |
| finding [6] 67/18 136/17 136/21 | football [1] 195/17 | Gahn [5] 7/18 11/14 11/20 57/8 |
| 170/2 239/17 239/18 | footing [1] 12/22 | 119/9 |
| fine [9] 5/23 106/8 122/9 131/16 | force [1] 81/1 | gain [1] 30/14 |
| 208/20 $211 / 24$ 244/7 282/10 | foregoing [2] 326/7 326/7 | game [3] 50/10 235/12 242/18 |
| 303/11 | foreman [3] 44/11 241/12 259/25 | games [1] 258/25 |
| finger [1] 142/5 | foremost[1] 295/5 | garden [1] 144/3 |
| fingernail [1] 229/11 | forensic [2] 106/13 106/16 | gardening [1] 277/4 |
| fingernails [1] 228/25 | foreperson [2] 44/9 125/8 | gas [1] 38/18 |
| finish [1] 75/8 | foresee [1] 18/4 | gas-bagging [1] 38/18 |
| finished [1] 295/13 | forgery [1] 310/13 | gate [2] 80/9 80/14 |
| fire [5] 33/9 33/13 33/14 33/15 | forging [1] 308/1 | gathering [3] 35/13 140/19 157/1 |
| 33/17 | forgive [2] 88/3 119/16 | gatherings [1] 140/4 |
| firearm [2] 80/18 80/24 | forgot [1] 89/19 | gave [9] 148/13 190/18 221/19 |
| firearms [1] 80/6 | form [6] 15/9 125/24 148/12 | 244/15 258/2 258/2 261/19 272/6 |
| firm [3] 23/14 88/11 137/7 | 153/24 275/5 300/11 | 311/3 |
| first [47] 14/22 15/7 18/14 23/11 | formal [3] 69/3 81/6 304/4 | gear [1] 233/17 |
| 24/19 26/19 39/8 47/4 48/5 49/24 | formally [2] 20/7 224/6 | gee [2] 40/13 234/19 |
| 61/16 68/7 74/22 78/7 84/24 | format [2] 22/23 238/1 | geese [1] 230/4 |
| 97/11 110/18 $118 / 3$ 120/12 131/17 | formed [8] 83/22 122/23 178/12 | geez [1] 50/12 |
| 137/12 142/24 144/14 146/19 | 179/1 179/1 252/20 276/9 319/1 | general [40] 4/9 4/24 6/4 6/5 7/18 |
| 153/12 156/10 160/13 164/22 | former [1] 126/5 | 7/23 9/6 9/23 10/1 10/14 11/11 |
| 176/23 180/13 185/15 187/13 | forming [1] 255/16 | 12/11 13/4 13/6 15/8 15/11 15/16 |
| 196/2 198/5 222/15 229/24 233/7 | forth [1] 78/10 | 16/11 21/13 29/11 29/24 30/22 |
| 244/8 247/25 293/8 295/5 313/24 | forward [3] 13/25 23/5 49/16 | 57/4 57/13 79/12 80/7 86/23 |
| 314/20 314/21 316/3 323/20 325/7 | found [10] 44/20 62/6 97/18 | 119/7 119/18 147/23 161/20 |
| fishing [1] 39/1 | 124/14 155/11 167/9 167/11 | 166/22 174/25 179/24 188/20 |
| fit [3] 53/24 54/1 274/16 | 180/14 228/22 289/5 | 223/21 249/1 272/9 294/23 320/17 |
| five[11] 17/2 53/6 57/19 57/20 | four [15] 34/20 35/20 59/9 80/24 | generally [7] 31/19 32/24 132/8 |
| 70/8 79/22 80/23 131/24 189/13 | 89/12 184/20 194/23 194/24 203/4 | 195/2 199/24 277/18 279/22 |
| 284/9 307/22 | 224/13 240/17 240/18 240/19 | generously [1] 19/12 |
| fixer [1] 31/11 | 257/25 297/11 | gentle [1] 226/19 |
| fixing [1] 35/7 | Fourteenth [1] 12/25 | gentleman [5] 124/17 124/19 |
| flag [1] 181/22 | fourth [1] 22/6 | 124/22 $219 / 24$ 220/8 |
| Flex[1] 273/9 | frame [2] 126/19 177/8 | George [1] 71/13 |
| flexibility [1] 183/14 | framed [4] 301/17 319/6 319/17 | Georgia [2] 165/22 165/23 |
| flip [2] 73/4 287/4 | 319/18 | gets [7] 72/24 132/10 229/9 |
| Florida [7] 168/8 168/9 168/22 | framework [1] | 245/15 263/6 267/6 289/4 |
| 168/24 169/9 169/14 170/13 | France [2] 95/2 245/24 | getting [10] 50/9 54/6 134/9 |
| flush [1] 17/7 | frankly [1] 153/8 | 146/21 183/2 183/18 203/19 231/3 |
| focus [2] 94/2 103/11 | free [15] 2/7 24/6 55/1 55/3 55/4 | 293/9 298/9 |
| focused [1] 307/5 | 57/1 66/22 68/22 68/22 77/9 | gibberish [1] 300/7 |
| folks [5] 42/20 131/23 170/15 | 129/18 129/19 129/19 133/7 | girl [4] 238/24 277/5 277/6 285/7 |
| 269/22 283/21 | 212/10 | girlfriend [1] 284/7 |
| follow [75] 7/9 16/19 16/22 17/3 | freedoms [1] 43/2 | girls [3] 276/22 277/7 280/8 |
| $29 / 545 / 751 / 263 / 463 / 667 / 2$ | Friday [4] 11/7 23/6 23/16 36/11 | gist [1] 39/18 |
| $67 / 882 / 2484 / 184 / 10109 / 17$ | friend [2] 121/10 305/12 | give [28] 5/15 10/7 25/4 25/5 |

give... [24] 49/12 50/24 76/25
91/23 92/16 112/8 127/4 127/9 127/18 146/9 175/3 182/2 183/9 184/12 199/2 $211 / 9219 / 3$ 242/17 269/4 274/16 301/23 304/7 308/22 320/11
given [20] 5/8 9/18 19/3 20/2
84/17 123/23 126/4 151/13 152/5 153/21 156/8 $156 / 13157 / 9160 / 8$ 163/6 173/22 178/17 233/22
306/20 322/3
gives [6] 46/2 55/7 83/4 159/8 282/5 290/5
giving [4] 128/2 154/3 214/16 306/9
glean [2] 10/12 10/13
gleaned [3] 9/22 14/13 165/14
glimpse [1] 74/10
goal [1] 66/22
God [1] 321/23
goes [14] 57/17 129/7 143/8
181/23 202/1 226/18 236/23 238/3 283/17 290/22 292/7 315/4 321/11 323/16
going [133] 6/23 8/15 11/15 19/18 19/20 21/14 34/14 41/9 41/25 42/2 42/8 43/7 44/23 46/21 46/22 47/22 48/4 48/13 48/14 50/20 $52 / 852 / 852 / 953 / 1553 / 1753 / 19$ 54/20 55/14 61/10 62/14 71/13 73/19 74/16 76/7 76/19 82/1 82/22 83/6 84/23 94/2 95/7 99/17 105/11 105/16 105/24 107/21 108/2 108/5 110/17 111/10 116/23 117/4 118/25 123/23 131/21 132/11 132/12 134/4 134/16 134/17 134/21 136/3 138/16 147/18 148/14 151/9 159/20 160/6 160/15 163/7 163/14 163/19 169/24 170/7 172/21 175/19 180/24 181/7 181/9 182/22 183/4 186/10 187/14 187/15 202/7
206/12 219/14 220/4 221/9 221/10 221/24 227/16 228/14 229/23 237/3 238/24 242/16 242/17 244/20 247/12 255/21 263/8
264/21 266/16 269/3 270/4 270/15 276/21 278/11 286/18 287/20
290/6 291/6 291/8 291/10 291/17 293/10 297/16 299/22 303/14 305/25 308/19 309/7 310/18 312/6 312/12 319/8 319/10 321/3 323/4 323/12 323/15 325/5
gone [6] 6/17 6/24 37/21 40/20 209/5 283/22
gong [1] 19/20
good [87] 11/24 26/12 26/13 29/15 32/2 1 32/23 33/21 45/2 54/9 57/1 57/2 57/10 62/15 63/24 66/25 66/25 67/1 73/2 74/4 79/8 79/11 86/20 86/21 101/1 104/17 109/3 119/4 119/5 126/23 126/25 127/10 131/4 136/19 161/19 164/15 168/16 168/19 168/23 184/3 188/13 188/14 190/14 190/16 193/17 193/19 194/16 194/17 195/11 208/19 214/21 219/10 223/18 223/19 229/5 230/15 232/12 232/25 239/16 248/25 250/9 253/7 253/16 254/16 266/25 268/9 271/25 272/1 273/24 275/7

277/21 282/22 294/22 304/25
305/2 305/2 306/2 308/6 308/8 308/9 308/10 308/20 309/7 310/6 310/17317/6 322/10 325/6
gosh [3] 244/5 258/10 321/3
gossip [1] 323/20
gotten [4] 36/23 70/8 $215 / 18$ 243/3
government [3] 70/10 133/9 133/23
grab [1] 287/12
grader [2] 29/21 232/2
graduate [1] 66/20
graduated [1] 40/17
grant [1] 221/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { granted [2] } & 132 / 15 & 132 / 16\end{array}$
granting [1] 130/7
graphic [3] 39/22 197/8 198/25
grasping [1] 219/17
great [4] 8/16 43/1 153/14 302/25
greater [2] 106/18 211/9
Green [5] 23/14 272/15 276/7
284/23 284/24
greenhouse [1] 242/9
Greenleaf [2] 282/24 283/16
Greg [1] 23/14
grew [2] 257/18 257/20
Groth [1] 269/16
ground [1] 83/10
group [11] 11/16 22/3 22/6 44/5 108/19 279/13 279/16 280/13
319/7 319/10 319/12
groups [1] 108/24
grow [1] 268/6
grueling [1] 146/7
gruesome [1] 316/2
guess [51] 49/11 50/1 71/1 72/2
80/5 89/22 90/4 92/14 98/7 98/15
99/6 103/12 103/20 104/2 104/10
105/6 105/7 105/23 119/13 121/14 127/8 128/8 129/3 135/10 141/21
142/10 142/22 144/3 145/18 146/6
151/11 152/19 173/3 176/13
177/16 184/18 220/10 227/9
230/17 240/24 259/7 260/4 264/9
270/3 272/11 275/17 289/25 290/4
297/18 310/7 315/23
guessing [3] 18/22 141/10 242/13
Guest [2] 238/11 238/17
guide [2] 19/19 281/11
guideline [1] 28/24
guidelines [8] 63/10 126/7 278/21 278/22 279/13 279/18 280/23 281/1
guil [1] 167/10
guilt [23] 62/4 73/8 82/18 91/2 103/10 105/8 122/22 157/24 159/3 178/12 190/7 190/21 192/19
213/20 216/1 216/21 216/25 217/8
253/1 256/24 262/21 300/12
300/16
guilty [93] 40/25 42/11 42/13
42/21 43/8 44/20 47/14 47/25
62/6 65/2 74/12 82/21 83/12
83/25 98/24 105/13 107/11 122/3
122/8 134/7 134/16 135/25 141/16
142/7 147/11 147/15 149/7 149/18
150/12 150/13 150/14 151/18
152/22 153/4 153/7 153/7 154/9
154/10 157/8 157/25 158/10
167/11 174/5 174/19 175/6 175/8
180/14 199/6 200/16 201/3 206/13
206/16 206/19 207/6 207/14 208/7

208/25 209/1 209/2 209/4 $215 / 25$
215/25 216/11 216/20 216/23
216/24 217/12 217/13 217/21
220/23 220/24 242/3 242/4 243/21
244/11 244/11 254/4 255/2 262/23 263/4 281/18 287/3 287/8 289/5
290/24 290/24 291/1 313/5 316/16
317/15 317/21 317/22 320/21
gut [1] 42/24
guy [23] $32 / 235 / 335 / 436 / 11$ $40 / 240 / 341 / 1541 / 2344 / 18$ 44/23 45/9 47/10 48/6 53/8 69/4 $73 / 12141 / 16175 / 8182 / 5189 / 24$ 238/25 288/4 313/6
guys [14] 35/17 37/2 37/10 38/10
$38 / 15$ 38/25 38/25 45/6 170/4
230/6 258/23 259/9 266/8 317/7
H
habit [1] 259/6
had [97] 8/16 $12 / 6$ 19/22 20/22 23/4 23/9 23/21 28/15 28/18 40/16 40/18 41/10 45/17 47/10 48/6 48/25 49/1 52/13 52/18 53/9
64/3 64/5 67/21 69/12 81/1 82/6 82/9 88/19 88/20 91/2 94/24 101/7 117/6 120/7 120/9 121/13 121/15 121/23 122/3 123/13 136/1 1 142/8 145/22 149/6 149/22 152/9 154/8 157/4 168/10 170/25 174/3 194/3 195/8 196/22 197/23 197/25 198/18 205/3 205/4 208/9 208/12 212/13 214/5 216/15 218/12 219/16 220/1 232/6 232/15 233/18 233/22 233/23 237/19
250/25 251/19 251/25 252/20
253/10 256/19 256/19 261/12 270/2 275/4 282/22 296/8 304/13
306/2 307/10 309/7 310/17 318/18
$318 / 22319 / 1324 / 1325 / 11325 / 16$ 326/13
hadn't [5] 23/3 49/5 50/11 97/18 289/11
hair [2] 39/20 39/25
Halaburda [1] 24/3
Halbach [14] 91/5 96/11 120/6 120/7 120/10 144/20 145/1 152/2
154/15 154/16 $158 / 2$ 196/3 227/11
227/21
Halbach's [2] 158/6 234/22
half [9] 58/14 58/14 70/6 70/6
93/12 116/23 117/9 204/2 295/20
hall [2] 112/14 325/5
hallway [1] 219/7
Hammill [1] 158/15
hampered [1] 180/3
hand [18] $14 / 6$ 14/7 24/23 56/20
60/4 77/21 85/1 117/17 160/1
187/2 247/15 270/10 293/5 301/7
301/8 301/15 301/16 319/2
handle [2] 148/2 257/2
handled [1] 6/10
hands [3] 10/2 10/3 10/7
handwriting [5] 307/23 310/14
310/18311/1311/7
handy [1] 31/11
hang [4] 74/11 162/12 276/22 277/2
Hangzhou [1] 95/2
happen [10] 96/18 98/9 104/3
135/21 136/2 145/22 229/18 234/1
239/4 298/2
happened [13] 47/12 76/22 98/2
happened...[10] 106/21 107/6
231/1 239/17 277/12 299/15 300/1 319/25 322/7 322/24
happening [3] $11 / 5$ 18/6 98/12
happens [3] 51/22 70/14 146/14
Happenstance [1] 141/5
happy [5] 6/5 73/10 73/11 132/11 320/14
hard [8] 199/5 205/15 205/16
206/1 207/17 211/19 219/16

## 231/18

hardly [1] 227/8
hardship [14] 21/24 22/2 22/8 68/11 92/24 93/1 93/2 163/11 163/24 190/11 231/18 250/16 274/6 296/17
harm [3] 8/16 13/3 80/7
Harry [1] 61/9
harsh [3] 32/25 33/2 67/12
HASKELL [9] 2/21 159/23 159/23
160/4 161/19 177/17 177/17179/8 179/14
hasn't [4] 33/16 147/9 179/1
182/24
hate [1] 31/17
hats [1] 53/17
haven't [33] 10/15 19/22 23/16 23/17 31/24 47/10 47/13 62/12 97/2 164/22 167/17 183/1 184/21 190/4 193/3 194/8 196/6 196/18 196/20 196/20 198/15 200/8 203/2 207/25 208/8 210/1 227/7 227/14 232/15 241/17 276/9 282/1 286/10 having [22] 7/17 7/23 8/16 16/11 17/20 37/4 51/21 53/13 104/18 151/15 151/19152/1 166/3 166/5 198/24 $214 / 7$ 272/3 274/6 276/23 279/8 290/12 316/8
havoc [1] 249/10
head [5] 40/5 73/20 166/21 $219 / 5$ 289/13
headway [1] 117/8
health [8] $22 / 5 \quad 22 / 8$ 68/14 145/25 190/12 225/13 231/13 250/16 health-wise [1] 190/12
hear [74] 14/21 16/7 34/13 34/15 37/25 46/7 46/10 46/11 46/21 46/22 49/21 49/21 60/24 61/6 73/22 76/14 78/8 83/17 96/18 100/14 $118 / 4123 / 3133 / 13133 / 15$ 135/7 153/25 171/11 176/15 176/18 176/24 178/7 182/23 183/4 184/14 186/6 190/22 195/20 196/4 196/13 197/10 198/24 199/15
200/2 201/1 220/17 235/3 235/23 236/16 236/17 262/12 262/15 263/1 263/8 263/13 263/14 265/18 275/11 286/18 287/20 290/13 298/25 300/8 300/8 300/9 301/11 302/2 302/6 316/19 323/25 324/1 324/23 325/2 325/9 325/18
heard [82] 9/10 32/13 32/17 32/19 38/4 38/8 41/3 46/25 48/24 49/18 50/15 61/20 66/9 66/12 74/8 76/24 91/6 96/5 97/2 97/7 98/14 99/16 101/8 112/5 112/9 112/11 133/7 142/11 $149 / 6$ 149/23 150/24 152/11 167/6 167/7 172/1 172/3 173/15 173/22 174/21 179/12 183/1 193/6 196/7 197/7 197/14 198/13 198/16 198/21 198/24

199/13 200/8 209/22 212/5 212/13
212/15 218/10 233/7 234/11
234/14 236/10 236/10 236/14
241/15 241/20 242/2 242/20
261/17 262/1 262/11 262/14
262/17 280/6 285/16 313/16 316/3
316/8 316/22 322/3 322/6 322/12
323/2 323/19
hearing [14] 132/25 133/2 133/2
166/4 172/18 $172 / 24$ 196/2 219/22
221/8 234/16 263/7 299/20 304/8
315/6
heart [5] 41/5 108/21 108/22
175/24 181/3
Heart-A-Rama [1] 108/21
held [6] 55/25 59/8 80/21 97/1
102/5 141/18
hello [2] 145/14 150/6
help [15] 11/3 26/17 31/2 45/24
46/6 79/8 87/3 95/4 97/20 125/23
163/22 224/1 235/9 260/9 291/4
helpful [4] 11/1 106/20 158/13
181/4
helps [2] 46/6 212/10
hence [1] 257/21
Herald [3] 71/9 71/16 94/9
hereby [1] 326/6
herself [1] 153/18
hesitations [1] 159/9
hey [8] 44/22 45/5 71/16 195/20
206/10 262/25 264/19 322/6
hi [7] 89/23 90/11 90/24 169/24
257/9 257/10 282/17
hiding [1] 100/10
high [13] 40/17 40/20 49/14 81/8 89/2 89/14 104/23 225/14 257/21 257/23 299/23 321/15 321/16
higher [1] 266/16
highly [1] 147/25
himself [7] 50/8 53/8 73/22 206/6 243/24 318/24 320/6
hired [3] 209/18 209/20 218/5
historical [1] 58/8
hit [3] 163/21 164/8 267/18
hobbies [2] 129/11 274/11
hobby [2] 108/16 109/3
hold [11] 105/24 159/2 177/22 191/16 202/7 206/10 254/19 266/16 309/13 309/16 311/15
hole [1] 127/23
Holstein [5] 57/21 257/17 257/18
257/19 257/24
home [38] $21 / 25$ 25/15 35/15
35/17 53/16 55/16 61/2 63/17
85/15 85/16 124/9 129/6 142/22
160/17 183/25 185/5 187/16
187/16 192/5 203/21 222/19 224/4
224/8 224/15 231/16 231/22 233/9
240/25 241/2 247/12 248/3 250/2
251/12 266/23 270/24 293/21
296/22 297/24
homemaker [2] 52/4 63/15
homes [1] 78/10
homework [2] 81/20 125/22
homosexuality [1] 71/5
Hon [1] $1 / 10$
honest [11] 91/23 98/19 98/21
99/16 102/7 151/14 183/15 207/2 214/23 220/9 308/18
Honestly [1] 83/18
honesty [2] 211/24 215/2
honor [20] $5 / 20$ 11/2 14/23 18/13
20/25 21/16 23/1 41/16 74/16
$149 / 10150 / 5150 / 20151 / 10$
174/15 175/15 209/11 219/4 222/1 247/8 293/10
honorable [1] 153/16
hope [2] 18/14 229/22
Hopefully [2] 26/14 164/16
horrible [2] 98/3 205/14
hour [6] 18/4 71/17 101/21 116/23
117/9 239/20
hours [5] 106/13 231/20 236/1 236/1 274/4
house [10] 204/11 228/15 230/7
232/3 242/11 258/11 296/19
296/25 302/11 319/16
household [1] 170/9
however [3] 5/14 9/20 158/25
huge [1] 170/11
huh [1] 267/22
human [7] 103/20 104/2 184/19
218/17218/17266/14 287/19
humans [1] 109/25
hurt [1] 210/5
husband [32] 53/18 69/4 119/14
119/17 124/3 126/4 137/17 142/16 143/5 144/24 150/25 154/15 156/12 $159 / 12$ 162/4 162/9 162/24 163/15 167/20 169/3 169/9 170/2 230/4 231/23 241/5 241/6 241/13 302/7 304/10 317/4 317/19 321/11
husband's [1] 155/24
hypothetical [3] 183/18 183/23 244/20
hypothetically [1] 147/13
I
I'd [1] 260/17
I'II [4] 105/22 117/8 141/12 169/2
I'm [232] 8/1 8/20 9/3 10/19 19/18
19/19 28/12 31/18 34/14 35/11 35/1 3 35/25 38/22 39/3 40/2 $41 / 1641 / 2542 / 242 / 542 / 842 / 17$ 42/23 43/7 44/23 47/22 48/13 48/14 48/22 49/7 49/13 50/9 51/22 52/8 52/15 52/25 53/15 $54 / 956 / 1757 / 357 / 357 / 1658 / 10$ 58/14 58/24 60/13 61/5 64/18 65/18 67/18 68/24 68/24 69/10 70/5 70/15 70/15 70/16 74/16 75/24 75/25 76/5 76/7 76/19 77/2 79/12 81/7 81/19 82/1 84/23 86/22 86/24 88/3 88/13 94/2 95/15 99/17 103/3 105/12 105/17 105/23 106/7 107/25 109/3 110/17 116/23 119/6 119/8 $119 / 16$ 123/3 123/23 127/10 128/8 129/4 129/8 129/13 129/22 131/20 131/24 132/14 133/14 134/4 134/9 134/21 134/22 135/1 135/16 136/3 136/12 138/10 138/11 138/16 140/20 141/7 141/13 141/21 142/15 143/10 146/4 146/6 146/24 146/25 147/18 147/20 148/14 148/16 151/9 152/16 156/3 156/13 156/17 159/18 161/20 162/4 162/11 163/6 164/24 166/3 166/5 167/10 169/24 170/6 172/21 173/25 175/19
175/21 $175 / 22$ 179/22 $180 / 1$ 182/6 182/6 182/14 184/24 185/5 186/10 188/20 188/21 $189 / 18193 / 13$ 193/14 196/12 197/18 203/8 204/6 204/16 206/11 206/12 219/14 220/4 221/24 223/20 223/22 229/23 234/2 236/11 238/2 238/3 325/15
I've [2] 147/1 179/5
ice [5] 34/19 36/14 39/1 87/6 87/24
idea [12] 32/20 32/21 32/22 32/23 66/25 100/2 104/17 199/7 244/23 285/21 289/7 297/7
ideas [3] 136/23 220/1 279/12 identification [1] 20/5
identified [2] 172/8 248/17
identify [11] 26/1 56/3 78/20
85/25 $118 / 15161 / 6$ 188/1 223/7
248/13 271/10 294/6
identity [1] 294/11
iffy [1] 229/12
ignorant [1] 159/1
ignored [1] 237/8
illiterate [1] 314/10
imagine [3] 72/7 95/10 199/17
imagined [1] 98/11
immediate [1] 161/22
immediately [3] 112/15 144/23 182/20
impact [1] 93/8
impartial [7] 25/8 55/10 84/20
121/2 156/16 158/22 183/17
impartiality [1] 159/6
impediment [1] 123/9
implement [1] 12/12
importance[2] 183/25 230/16
important [6] 8/5 25/20 94/22
181/12 215/22 281/22
importantly [3] 153/10 221/22
302/3
impose [1] 74/17
impossible [1] 159/7
impression [8] 6/23 15/6 15/25
16/3 16/9 16/15 29/24 219/24
impressionable [1] 72/10
impressions [2] 232/11 278/11
improper [1] 41/19
in [819]
in Appleton [1] 283/19
in Calumet [1] 139/18
inadequate [1] 17/7
inadvertent [1] 11/25
inartful [1] 185/10
incapable [1] 312/23
incapacitating [1] 146/17
inclination [3] 157/21 159/8
176/23
include [4] 7/8 22/21 116/22 140/4
included [4] 17/1 17/4 17/24 22/6
including [2] 199/9 221/19
inclusion [1] 5/5
income [3] 22/1 52/18 230/10
incoming [1] 80/14
inconsistent [3] 27/19 27/21
$185 / 14$
inconvenience [1] 54/1
inconvenient [1] 52/16 incorporated [1] 5/4
indicate [10] 14/8 20/12 21/13
25/11 110/17110/24 117/3162/3
249/12 275/17
indicated [37] 9/15 14/2 14/18
$33 / 833 / 1982 / 688 / 2489 / 2591 / 3$
109/25 112/4 120/5 122/14 123/12
127/1 128/6 149/4 152/21 154/7
156/21 157/2 157/10 157/19
158/11 159/13 164/19 165/5
190/16 225/14 228/8 228/22
229/24 274/5 274/12 281/15
303/15 305/12
indicates [1] 159/16
indication [2] 183/10 219/19
individual [49] 4/4 4/20 4/21 6/14
7/2 7/10 8/15 9/11 9/13 9/14 9/18 9/19 11/23 12/4 12/7 12/12 13/5 13/13 14/11 14/14 14/25 15/18 16/4 16/19 18/21 19/22 20/10 26/1 26/23 56/15 60/20 78/20
85/7 85/25 $118 / 15152 / 7$ 160/7
161/6 179/19 179/23 187/8 188/1 217/2 222/10 247/21 248/13
270/16 271/10 293/14
individually [2] 20/13 117/24
individuals [4] $22 / 4 \quad 88 / 23 \quad 125 / 19$ 154/16
indulgence [2] 11/2 18/9
industrial [3] 30/25 162/4 162/6
industry [4] 125/17 126/9 169/6
192/3
infallible [1] 107/19
infection [2] 146/10 147/2
information [49] $9 / 20$ 9/22 10/12
14/4 14/13 14/15 25/10 26/17
27/1 27/19 55/11 60/11 60/21
61/3 69/12 78/6 82/16 85/11
98/21 118/2 125/22 130/17 160/11
162/2 163/6 164/19 178/13 181/13
188/18 188/24 191/22 205/6
222/14 223/24 224/3 234/25
247/25 249/5 252/8 252/22 269/23
270/1 270/20 271/21 272/6 276/8
278/4 293/17 295/2
informed [1] 125/24
initial [2] 21/18 300/10
initially [3] 97/8 197/14 198/10
injection [1] 88/5
injury [1] 124/13
innocence [51] 32/14 46/3 47/2
48/14 62/5 66/10 73/6 82/18 91/3 99/9 104/15 105/8 106/5 133/20 135/15 136/12 159/4 159/14 166/25 178/1 178/13 180/23 181/2 182/4 190/7 190/21 192/19 199/3 200/19 201/8 204/25 205/2 212/4 212/7 212/8 212/9 $213 / 15$ 215/24 216/4 216/15 217/7 217/25 220/21 220/22 242/20 253/1 256/24
262/21 280/9 300/13 300/16
innocent [78] 30/10 40/24 42/6
42/12 42/20 43/8 44/23 64/17
65/3 73/9 73/15 74/1 74/2 83/24 99/12 99/13 99/15 105/6 105/12 105/13 106/1 106/23 107/2 135/11 135/19 151/18 167/10 173/15
173/24 174/4 174/5 174/8 174/14
175/5 177/1 177/22 184/2 184/15
191/8 199/6 199/11 201/17 201/24

204/19 205/9 205/21 206/6 212/24
216/23 221/3 242/24 243/15
243/20 243/20 244/12 245/1 245/4
245/11 245/20 254/3 255/3 262/22
263/1 263/4 264/22 281/17 287/6
287/9 287/23 288/24 289/1 289/4
289/7 289/24 290/11 291/20
316/10 316/15
input [2] 177/13 279/8
inquired [1] 11/14
inquiry [2] 111/19 323/13
ins [1] 274/15
inside [4] 80/10 80/13 87/12
325/12
inspect [1] 233/18
inspected [1] 233/21
inspector's [1] 130/6
instance [10] 27/2 67/11 156/4
180/9 208/1 208/18 281/4 315/6
317/7319/8
instances [1] 107/1
instruct [4] 135/17 173/13 199/8 216/19
instructed [6] 102/17 118/7 151/2
175/4 211/6 309/9
instructing [1] 174/12
instruction [16] 51/2 84/1 136/22
137/2 174/7 174/10 174/14 177/25
178/17215/4 216/22 217/22
220/12 248/5 255/9 281/20
instructions [22] 5/7 5/15 26/7
45/6 56/12 63/4 79/1 83/3 118/22
122/18 123/8 159/15 188/8 190/17
215/18 221/23 242/18 253/5
253/25 254/10 291/18 291/22
instructs [1] 213/16
insufficient [2] 154/3 157/23
insurance [2] 169/17 169/18
intelligent [1] 181/24
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { intelligently }[4] & 12 / 20 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 16\end{array}$ 19/8
intentionally [1] 33/14
interaction [1] 11/17
interest [6] 60/23 60/24 61/24
88/15 190/5 251/18
interested [12] 35/7 35/12 108/5
134/21 134/23 135/1 227/4 234/24
235/19 236/8 238/23 239/3
interesting [30] 26/25 52/20 67/18
68/3 92/2 92/5 92/7 92/9 92/15
92/17 95/10 95/11 108/11 123/18
123/22 125/1 125/2 125/20 131/8
219/21 228/19 239/21 274/12
292/5 292/6 292/13 308/12 314/23
314/25 315/2
interfere [2] 60/7 286/23
interject [1] 180/9
interjecting [1] 180/12
intern [1] 88/11
internal [1] 29/5
international [1] 87/12
internet [15] 26/21 27/5 32/9
$32 / 11$ 70/11 85/20 91/11 125/21
160/24 187/21 223/1 248/8 271/4 293/25 314/7
interrupt [1] 82/1
into [34] $4 / 1935 / 536 / 1941 / 5$ 44/22 48/7 53/16 53/25 54/6 63/13 65/23 70/22 76/11 104/4 108/9 110/25 111/5 111/17134/5 134/15 135/18 140/13 151/16 154/7 154/17 170/6 183/3 183/18 193/10 $210 / 13$ 267/2 284/9 288/19

|  | JOHN [2] 3/1 186/21 | 118/6 118/9 118/15 118/16 123/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | join [2] 84/15 269/5 | 125/11 127/24 128/1 147/21 |
| into... [1] 323/16 | joined [2] 7/18 11/11 | 147/23 159/1 160/14 160/20 |
| introduced [5] 145/6 145/8 152/2 | joint [4] 21/3 21/14 84/12 138/5 | 160/21 161/6 166/6 184/13 184/16 |
| 157/23 184/9 | jointly [4] 20/6 268/20 269/13 | 184/17 184/18 185/3 186/3 187/13 |
| introducing [1] 74/18 | 269/18 | 187/18 188/1 188/3 188/5 214/12 |
| introduction [3] 120/18 145/11 | joke [1] 267/7 | 214/12 214/15 215/17 217/4 |
| 180/18 | jokes [1] 267/10 | 220/11 221/12 223/8 234/6 234/6 |
| intuition [1] 219/15 | Jr [1] 89/14 | 248/1 248/5 248/13 248/16 269/14 |
| invading [1] 180/1 | judge [56] 1/10 37/17 56/16 63/5 | 269/22 270/5 270/23 271/1 271/10 |
| inventory [1] 268/18 | 83/4 83/23 84/7 93/18 101/9 | 271/11 293/18 293/22 293/25 |
| invest [1] 132/18 | 102/17 102/18 104/6 109/11 | 294/7 294/11 306/6 306/8 310/24 |
| investigate [2] 27/1 205/22 | 116/20 122/18 123/7 128/11 | 324/23 |
| investigating [1] 239/5 | 131/11 135/16 136/17 136/19 | jury [133] 1/4 4/5 4/15 4/18 4/23 |
| investigation [4] 33/17 185/15 | 136/22 137/2 143/2 152/15 173/12 | 5/1 5/2 6/3 8/7 9/8 10/7 11/5 |
| 228/11318/19 | 174/10 174/12 174/17 175/4 | 11/10 11/13 14/6 14/15 15/3 15/5 |
| investigations [2] 29/5 103/6 | 178/18 190/18 194/13 199/8 $211 / 6$ | 17/23 20/3 25/2 25/3 26/18 33/20 |
| Invincible [3] 189/9 189/10 192/22 | 211/7211/16 214/25 220/7 231/15 | 43/13 44/11 46/7 46/22 48/6 |
| invite [1] 111/16 | 232/22 242/16 246/12 253/25 | 52/10 53/12 53/23 55/5 55/23 |
| invoked [1] 309/6 | 254/10 263/16 263/18 269/6 | 56/5 56/6 56/7 56/11 62/20 67/15 |
| involve [2] 59/16 121/20 | 269/19 281/9 287/11 288/21 290/5 | 68/5 68/10 68/11 73/17 75/15 |
| involved [31] 91/4 94/7 96/10 | 304/16 304/17 310/3 | 77/25 78/1 78/22 85/7 87/4 91/25 |
| 96/12 98/16 103/6 103/17 108/12 | judge's [4] 159/15 175/10 221/14 | 92/14 93/7117/14118/10 121/24 |
| 109/5 109/9 129/4 129/9 129/11 | 291/18 | 123/14 124/11 135/4 136/21 |
| 146/8 151/24 159/2 172/3 173/2 | judging [1] 41/9 | 141/11 143/1 143/4 147/5 155/10 |
| 192/23 198/4 198/4 235/1 258/23 | judgment [2] 142/10 149/24 | 157/5 160/5 160/6 160/14 161/9 |
| 261/7 299/24 300/5 305/7 308/11 | judicial [2] 105/6 153/3 | 161/11 170/3 173/13174/11 |
| 315/13 315/21 320/8 | JULIE [2] 3/10 247/10 | 176/10 180/16 182/22 183/4 |
| involvement [5] 49/23 212/16 | July [1] 95/2 | 183/17 185/1 186/9 187/7 187/15 |
| 304/25 318/18318/23 | jump [1] 220/5 | 188/3 188/7 191/23 192/9 202/7 |
| involves [1] 295/12 | June [1] 61/14 | 222/8 222/10 222/16 222/18 |
| involving [5] 110/21 158/5 182/19 | juries [1] 52/11 | 222/20 222/23 223/11 224/1 |
| 271/2 299/21 | juror [141] 9/14 12/1 12/16 14/10 | 230/19 230/20 247/19 247/20 |
| irrelevant [1] 184/8 |  | 248/18 249/5 250/19 250/25 254/1 |
| issue [12] 14/24 15/14 54/4 70/9 | 18/5 19/4 19/8 19/22 20/2 20/20 | 256/19 263/7 266/12 267/19 |
| 152/17 156/4 156/18 181/8 181/17 | 23/11 24/19 24/20 24/24 25/8 | 270/15 270/20 271/17 274/3 |
| 219/22 221/1 307/14 | 25/21 33/25 40/14 41/13 41/17 | 281/24 286/10 286/15 288/1 289/1 |
| issues [10] 12/8 13/2 17/10 17/17 | 43/9 43/17 43/25 46/7 52/14 | 290/2 291/6 291/17 292/2 293/4 |
| 18/8 18/11 152/6 159/2 181/11 | 52/19 54/14 54/19 54/23 55/1 | 293/13 294/14 304/15 307/10 |
| 221/8 | 55/10 56/3 56/21 60/6 62/23 63/1 | 308/23 310/21 310/22 320/19 |
| item [1] 27/4 | 68/10 77/13 77/22 78/4 79/3 83/1 | 320/20 324/24 |
| items [1] 78/6 | 84/14 84/17 84/20 84/22 85/2 | justice [10] 32/25 57/4 86/23 |
| itself [6] 26/4 59/25 76/3 118/19 | 91/15 92/24 98/18 100/13 105/19 | 119/8 161/21 188/21 223/21 249/2 |
| $125 / 2161 / 10$ | 110/6 116/25 117/13117/19 | 272/10 294/24 |
| J | 117/21 117/23 117/25 121/3 | justify [1] 313/11 |
|  | 122/16 123/10 125/7 128/4 148/13 | iuvenile [1] 155/8 |
| JACQUALINE [2] 2/16 117/12 | 149/24 152/13 153/23 154/23 |  |
| jail [4] 244/3 244/4 244/23 299/22 | 156/8 158/12 159/8 159/12 159/22 | K |
| January [1] 20/22 | 160/2 160/10 161/8 163/12 163/15 | K-o-e-n-i-g [1] 318/17 |
| January 29 [1] 20/22 | 163/25 166/4 175/7 175/10 178/15 | Kabat [1] 269/14 |
| JASON [3] 2/10 77/17318/15 | 178/24 179/1 179/12 179/13 | Kaysun [7] 87/25 88/4 225/8 225/9 |
| Jeopardy [1] 235/12 | 180/10 181/16 181/18 181/19 | 225/10 233/12 233/24 |
| JEROME [9] 1/18 4/12 93/23 | 181/19 181/21 182/6 183/15 | keep [4] 81/25 109/4 129/6 225/20 |
| 194/18 232/25 257/11 269/16 | 183/25 185/22 185/24 185/25 | keeping [1] 226/8 |
| 282/17 310/7 | 186/21 187/3 190/10 192/13 | keeps [2] 318/24 320/6 |
| Jerry [2] 23/15 73/18 | 192/16 214/18216/11217/2 217/6 | Kellnersville [1] 283/12 |
| jigsaw [5] 65/10 128/20 166/19 | 219/10 221/15 221/24 221/25 | Ken [6] 4/8 57/5 79/14 86/25 |
| 256/7 256/11 | 222/5 223/7 225/22 241/21 247/4 | 140/13 161/22 |
| job [64] 28/13 29/4 29/12 29/15 | 247/9 247/16 250/14 254/1 268/16 | KENNETH [1] 1/12 |
| 29/15 29/15 29/15 29/16 29/18 | 270/11 282/6 282/8 293/7 293/10 | kept [2] 111/6 111/8 |
| 29/20 30/7 30/24 31/2 36/18 | 294/9 296/18 297/4 302/5 306/12 | kick [1] 277/8 |
| 36/20 52/6 63/6 63/24 63/25 | 308/15 310/12 | kicked [3] 43/24 44/1 44/4 |
| 70/14 81/19 81/19 81/22 93/9 | juror's [6] 148/19 154/22 158/22 | kid [1] 35/8 |
| 95/18 109/4 109/24 110/5 126/21 | 159/6 270/8 271/14 | kidding [1] 93/12 |
| 126/23 126/23 126/25 127/10 | jurors [103] 2/2 4/16 4/17 5/8 | kids [7] 49/3 108/25 142/22 235/9 |
| 127/18 131/7 168/17 168/18 | 5/15 6/11 8/15 9/18 10/1 10/3 | 250/3 257/23 267/23 |
| 168/23 170/16 193/19 193/19 | 14/16 15/1 17/21 18/19 20/5 | Kiel [14] 57/21 130/2 130/4 131/2 |
| 193/20 193/20 $211 / 2$ 214/25 | 20/10 20/12 20/14 21/2 21/8 | 131/3 131/22 137/19 137/25 144/6 |
| 232/12 232/13 232/13 249/14 | 21/23 22/19 25/13 25/14 25/17 | 257/20 257/21 257/23 258/6 258/6 |
| 249/20 253/16 253/17 253/17 | 26/1 26/2 45/3 55/13 55/19 55/19 | killed [2] 33/7 33/9 |
| 259/15 259/23 259/24 263/17 | 75/18 78/7 78/9 78/12 78/20 | killer [1] 206/7 |
| 275/7 275/7 275/8 275/9 279/2 | $78 / 2185 / 1385 / 1485 / 1785 / 25$ | killing [2] 50/11 227/11 |
| 308/20 320/14 | $86 / 2 \quad 110 / 23 \quad 111 / 2 \quad 117 / 5118 / 4$ | kind [63] 31/4 31/10 31/19 35/1 |

kind... [59] 52/12 58/2 59/1 65/9 80/4 81/13 81/20 83/22 87/10 89/3 89/6 89/9 91/9 93/11 94/18 99/1 8 101/14 101/20 106/12
107/22 108/11 $119 / 17119 / 18$ 126/7 128/19 128/23 132/7 132/9 132/17 162/7 197/18 199/5 225/4 229/9 229/12 229/25 231/4 236/4 237/3 237/8 237/11 240/22 255/24 256/8 261/3 261/11 265/5 273/1 273/16 274/23 279/13 284/21 301/9 307/13 308/1 310/11 314/23 314/24 319/3
kinds [2] 101/16 322/16
kitchen [1] 204/16
klatsch [2] 276/23 277/11
knocking [1] 64/5
knowing [4] 41/8 143/1 $184 / 19$ 206/19
knowledge [5] 28/17 214/2 251/4 303/23 326/14
knowledgeable [1] 219/25
known [4] 33/7 89/8 89/9 90/6
knows [2] 143/11 143/17
Knox[1] 89/13
Koenig [1] 318/15
KRATZ [27] 1/12 4/8 39/9 57/5
79/14 83/25 86/25 119/10 121/7
121/11 121/15 140/10 140/13
141/16 141/22 150/1 150/15
151/20 154/7 154/15 154/15
156/24 157/2 157/10 157/22 158/1
161/23
Kroll [1] 284/1
Kroll's [3] 272/13 272/15 284/16
Kubichka [1] 269/15
L
lab [3] 47/8 76/15 239/23
labor [1] 59/17
laboring [2] 15/6 16/2
lack [1] 221/7
lady [1] 307/16
Lakeland [2] 82/7 82/8
Lakeshore [2] 162/4 162/6
land [1] 170/3
language [2] 50/19 127/14
large [6] 4/25 11/24 29/14 296/19
296/25 314/13
largely [2] 8/19 149/3
last [61] $5 / 25 / 1118 / 15$ 20/3 25/11 25/12 35/15 37/24 38/4 55/12
70/8 78/7 79/9 85/5 85/12 88/12
93/15 94/16 111/20 117/22 118/3
120/14 121/15 121/17 121/18
131/17 142/9 148/12 150/25
151/13 156/10 160/12 162/2 165/3
187/12 188/19 194/22 203/2
211/25 214/8 223/24 225/3 231/12
240/20 241/24 258/11 270/1 272/6
293/10 295/3 296/5 296/7 296/13
298/10 299/11 299/17 299/18
310/13 318/16 320/4 324/22
lasting [1] 300/12
late [4] 20/22 21/17 117/7 141/1
lately [2] 151/12 164/22
later [7] 20/17 56/13 97/3 97/13 107/7 188/8 196/4
law [73] $1 / 171 / 19$ 22/12 23/14 30/8 30/15 48/18 50/21 51/6 63/4 63/5 64/7 64/9 64/20 66/1 8 73/2
$82 / 23$ 83/7 83/9 88/11 88/14
90/16 101/16 101/20 110/18
119/17 127/2 127/24 128/3 128/4 128/5 134/23 136/21 136/22
136/23 137/2 142/17 148/8 148/18
153/15 155/18 155/24 158/15
165/17 165/18 $170 / 17$ 170/19
194/1 194/3 209/21 $211 / 7$ 214/11
214/11 214/12 214/17 214/18
214/19 214/23 220/1 253/25
291/11 304/24 305/4 305/13 306/6
306/10 306/1 $1306 / 13$ 306/16
$311 / 24312 / 22314 / 24314 / 25$
laws [1] 137/7
lawyer [6] 45/16 45/21 48/7 143/6 145/17 174/10
lawyer's [1] 6/5
lawyers[12] $7 / 1712 / 1113 / 4$ 48/7
100/24 127/13 177/4 177/4 177/9
177/12 265/1 265/5
lay [1] 266/23
lead [3] 79/13 84/10 297/22
leading [2] 124/19 221/18
leads [1] 168/20
leaflets [1] 60/4
learn [2] 74/14 313/12
learned [7] 94/8 96/8 99/6 134/11
151/19 198/9 273/25
learning [4] 135/1 197/2 314/20
314/21
least [29] 9/1 9/6 10/14 12/21
16/18 19/5 48/23 51/23 88/24
119/10 126/5 132/21 139/7140/6
140/24 143/3 152/1 165/4 165/5
173/22 190/2 203/4 228/9 229/21
269/17 270/2 277/9 291/12 314/13
leave [5] 17/18 152/11 221/15
230/15 284/10
led [2] 11/22 91/9
left [8] 57/5 79/14 82/14 86/25 119/9 131/21 161/22 178/9 legal [12] $88 / 788 / 1088 / 1388 / 20$ 92/4 92/5 123/18 123/25 124/1 125/3 181/17 288/14
length [3] 13/13 118/5 317/3
lengthy [1] 5/1
lenient [3] 32/25 33/2 67/12
Leon [1] 95/2
less [14] 64/16 76/3 76/20 101/24
102/1 128/2 130/13 209/10 214/16
225/16 265/25 295/15 299/24 306/9
lessen [1] 15/19
let's [19] 54/21 117/1 117/1
135/18 $147 / 5$ 147/6 147/13 180/19
186/18 229/5 234/11 262/19 263/1
269/7 291/15 310/25 314/19
317/16 318/7
letter[4] 23/19 23/22 24/8 111/24
letting [2] 161/13 248/20
level [2] 43/3 88/25
Levy [1] 24/2
librarian [1] 144/12
libraries [3] 27/2 70/10 70/14
library [21] 57/15 57/18 57/19
57/19 57/23 58/13 61/1 63/14
65/23 66/5 70/7 70/19 129/15
129/20 138/5 $138 / 8138 / 11138 / 12$
144/5 144/7 144/11
license [3] 44/19 45/10 53/9
licensed [1] 80/16
lie [12] 30/1 51/10 51/20 64/10
101/24 102/1 211/22 265/25
$308 / 19311 / 22312 / 4312 / 16$
Liebmann [1] 23/14
lies [1] 137/25
life [8] 29/10 53/25 137/21 275/2
282/4 285/8 286/3 292/8
lifelong [2] 63/23 126/14
lifetime [1] 292/14
lifting [2] 162/10 162/12
light [1] 120/20
liked [1] 65/25
likelihood [2] 15/19 146/10
likely [39] 30/1 30/2 30/2 30/3
30/3 30/4 30/8 30/10 30/10 30/11
$30 / 1130 / 1230 / 1530 / 1830 / 18$
$30 / 1830 / 1930 / 2051 / 651 / 9$
51/12 64/9 64/11 64/16 64/16 64/20 64/24 64/24 65/1 76/20
76/21 101/24 102/1 123/6 177/5
209/10 209/15 239/25 265/25
likes [2] 60/20 256/3
likewise [3] 122/8 122/14 154/2
limit [9] 6/14 9/11 9/12 12/6 14/25
16/2 16/3 17/6 17/14
limited [3] 19/9 157/16 251/8
limits [4] 5/23 7/1 19/5 19/15
Linda [2] 54/11 77/10
line [25] 29/3 31/23 60/15 71/14
103/18 103/23 168/2 177/16
177/19 228/18 238/23 244/9
249/12 249/15 249/18 255/14
255/24 278/16 279/25 283/9
295/14 295/22 313/10 314/7
323/13
lines [1] 124/21
lingering [1] 107/9
linked [4] 12/8 $13 / 2$ 40/5 262/9
list [7] 22/18 23/23 61/11 65/18
65/19 77/17 111/25
listen [42] 55/20 60/15 69/15 75/9
78/13 84/1 85/18 91/22 95/12
95/16 95/20 95/21 95/24 95/25
98/20 102/23 103/2 104/9 130/12
130/20 153/19 160/22 165/11
187/18 $218 / 22$ 226/16 235/10
236/21 237/11 237/23 238/18
242/5 242/8 248/6 253/4 260/15
260/15 260/17 293/22 301/1 301/4 301/5
listened [2] 154/7 227/19
listening [3] 226/20 260/18 260/21
lists [3] 76/8 76/9 76/17
litigation [1] 33/16
little [47] 18/3 18/10 33/12 34/20
47/20 48/21 49/4 51/5 52/9 57/14
74/23 80/2 82/15 83/5 87/5 94/2 99/18 103/19 103/24 103/24 124/15 125/21 135/1 136/5 151/19 162/5 163/18 170/13 171/19 210/16 227/17 227/18 233/17 233/17 238/4 238/4 238/6 268/18 276/7 276/23 278/1 283/6 283/12 295/12 297/20 304/11 322/14
live [16] 51/4 61/20 73/11 73/11 135/2 135/2 135/5 168/7 168/24
182/10 258/3 265/5 265/8 283/11 285/20 314/13
lived [11] 90/5 137/21 147/14 148/4 170/21 193/12 193/15
257/16 275/1 286/3 286/4
lives [1] 319/15
living [3] 28/10 168/22 251/20
load [1] 251/13
local [15] 37/1 37/8 58/20 59/2

| L | 84/12 98/21 99/22 100/15 100/17 <br> 103/24 105/11 105/25 107/19 | meal [2] 235/7 241/19 <br> mean [94] $6 / 207 / 128 / 38 / 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| local... [11] 59/22 59/25 69/8 69/19 | 107/24 116/17 117/8 117/25 123/3 | 11/15 12/9 13/10 38/3 39/11 40/6 |
| /20 88/11 144/12 204/2 204/10 | 128/9 130/22 142/10 152/9 157/17 | 40/16 40/16 40/20 41/22 42/4 |
| 275/5 276/7 | 160/13 173/4 173/18183/12 | 42/6 42/16 44/5 44/5 44/10 45/19 |
| location [1] 325/4 | 185/24 217/2 222/15 223/1 225/11 | 46/9 47/4 47/13 47/16 47/18 48/8 |
| long [59] 29/8 34/18 42/17 53/3 | 230/6 236/5 246/16 256/22 274/9 | 49/3 50/6 51/13 51/18 52/6 52/16 |
| 53/4 55/21 58/13 59/8 63/18 | 286/20 290/18 291/5 292/17 297/1 | 52/24 53/15 53/24 64/22 67/22 |
| 79/21 80/21 87/8 88/12 108/17 | 313/6 316/6 321/5 | 68/13 76/5 83/8 83/10 92/11 93/7 |
| 109/7 120/12 124/5 124/16 126/16 | maker [2] 65/18 134/22 | 96/20 97/25 134/6 143/13 145/16 |
| 126/17 139/11 139/14 142/25 | makes [7] 13/5 33/24 35/9 181/21 | 145/19 171/25 172/7 172/15 174/9 |
| 142/25 143/6 156/13 162/20 | 197/19 197/20 265/25 | 180/16 185/12 185/16 185/25 |
| 164/25 168/4 171/22 175/9 186/2 | making [12] 9/4 28/6 80/5 80/10 | 196/19 204/6 206/2 206/15 213/2 |
| 186/10 189/4 189/12 193/8 195/8 | 133/11 135/5 204/16 230/25 231/4 | 217/11 225/11 245/14 246/11 |
| 238/14 238/16 239/20 249/21 | 238/3 295/14 325/8 | 251/9 251/10 252/18 259/18 262/8 |
| 250/9 253/7 257/15 260/19 267/10 | man [7] 31/11 $135 / 11135 / 19$ | 263/3 263/11 263/12 264/2 266/3 |
| 272/18 273/5 273/6 273/14 295/16 | 184/15 198/9 198/16 319/21 | 267/23 276/22 285/2 285/3 287/4 |
| 296/1 296/4 296/8 298/13 300/11 | manage [2] 93/1 93/4 | 288/18 297/16 301/10 307/6 |
| 304/5 305/23 308/1 | manager [1] 162/7 | 312/13 312/14 316/3 316/21 |
| longer [6] 18/1 34/22 229/19 | managing [2] 226/8 231/21 | 320/16 320/16 320/24 323/11 |
| 239/22 289/4 296/5 | MANITOWOC [42] 1/1 29/9 34/1 | meaning [4] 50/3 55/15 85/14 |
| ked [3] 32/11 141/25 310/18 | 36/14 43/19 46/23 57/15 63/18 | 182/3 |
| looking [14] 26/16 26/16 32/12 | 67/4 67/11 87/6 87/23 90/1 | meaningful [1] 157 |
| 61/23 70/11 71/14 76/12 97/12 | 126/16 126/20 137/19 138/6 138/7 | means [20] 25/14 51/15 57/20 |
| 97/14 97/17 108/8 206/6 279/22 | 138/10 138/13 168/5 168/10 | 78/9 160/15 182/4 182/5 187/14 |
| 285/9 | 169/11 193/9 193/10 202/18 232/5 | 201/9 204/20 216/24 219/16 |
| looks [2] 40/17 174/ | 232/7 232/13 253/8 253/9 253/11 | 220/18 222/11 222/17 248/3 |
| lose [2] 146/10 211/2 | 253/12 275/1 282/23 283/16 295/8 | 270/22 274/3 293/20 313/11 |
| losing [1] 11/24 | 305/4 305/24 306/20 307/1 326/2 | meant[5] 7/20 83/11 106/10 127/8 |
| loss [2] 13/3 13/6 | Manley [1] 269/23 |  |
| lost [1] 52/18 | manner [3] 6/8 15/3 148/10 | mechanics [1] 38 |
| lot [19] 36/13 | manufacturing [4] 164/2 249/2 | media [61] 24/4 24/12 25/18 25/25 |
| 59/23 94/3 127/7 132/18 137/22 | 249/23 278/15 | 26/20 55/20 60/11 61/1762/6 |
| 9/18 144/10 144/11 170/20 | many [10] 5/4 10/2 38/10 38/10 | 62/16 62/17 67/2 78/14 83/13 |
| 20/1 262/15 276/18 276/20 303/2 | 95/17 120/3 131/23 138/25 144/12 | 85/18 85/24 98/23 99/7 111/24 |
| 25/8 | 321/21 | 112/11 118/7 118/14 122/4 122/11 |
| loud [1] 267/6 | March [3] 142/13 295/17 299/18 | 122/23 122/25 142/11 150/24 |
| loused [1] 23/3 | Maribel [1] 283/12 | 152/21 153/1 153/5 16 |
| lousy [2] 29/15 275/7 | mark [3] 90/2 269 | 164/21 165/14 169/6 187/18 192/2 |
| love [4] 58/1 144/2 144/3 300/20 | marked [1] 23/9 | 193/4 198/6 198/8 198/12 222/24 |
| lover [2] 57/25 60/ | market [1] 87/13 | 234/13 234/17 248/6 248/12 251/5 |
| LTC [1] 123/19 | marketing [2] 87/14 250/7 | 251/24 252/8 271/3 271/9 271/14 |
| luck [2] 122/1 141/1 | married [2] 153/15 239/2 | 277/22 277/23 278/1 278/6 293/23 |
| lucky [1] 63/17 | MARY [1] 3/17 | 300/10 316/22 316/25 |
| lunch [3] 116/24 238/3 276/25 | Masquers [1] 109 | meet[2] 145/14 296/21 |
| lying [1] 72/3 | master [1] 75/12 | meeting [2] 59/3 130/18 |
| $\text { Lynn [1] } 90 / 15$ |  | [1] 117/25 |
| M | terially [1] 180/4 [1] 81/14 | member [10] 24/4 53/1853/ |
| a'am | 8/14 |  |
| machine [1] 326/10 | 52/5 54/2 69/1 69/2 71/16 78/14 | members [17] 4/5 4/15 5/2 15/21 |
| Macon [2] 165/23 166/8 | 78/21 111/21 118/17120/19 | 24/11 25/2 59/4 62/17161/5 |
|  | 136/12 143/14 147/21 155/10 | 161/9 184/18 |
| made [17] 6/17 25/16 39/6 39/25 | 156/1 156/9 187/19 199/13 241/14 | 232/17 276/14 277/23 |
| 50/8 85/16 111/7 121/25 141/15 | 271/2 271/4 326/7 326/13 | memory[3] 8/10 8/13 8/23 |
| 172/18 172/25 235/4 236/14 248/4 |  | mental [3] 22/5 22/7 322 |
| 289/23 300/4 315/12 | matters [8] $51 / 24$ 110/21 111/5 | mention [3] 61/15 78/6 233/8 |
| Madison [7] 66/13 66/ | 111/8111/11111/11 192/23 249/6 | mentioned [12] 7/6 40/11 121/22 |
|  | May 31st [1] 189/5 | 129/13 145/24 203/19 233/12 |
| agazine [6] 32/4 66/7 74/20 | maybe [53] $7 / 1812 / 431 / 2136 / 22$ | 241/17 264/4 315/11 317/4 317/12 |
| 89/24 252/2 280/2 | 38/2 42/11 49/14 76/21 89/23 | mentor [1] 95/8 |
| agazines [1] 27/4 | 90/6 99/10 101/1 102/9 103/9 | mere [1] 159/2 |
| main [1] 137/21 | 103/23 103/23 107/10 108/18 | merged [1] 23/22 |
| mainly [4] 59/5 238/13 278/7 | 108/22 108/25 123/1 126/4 127/8 | met [10] 120/7 120/12 120/24 |
| 313/19 | 141/10 142/19 179/2 201/19 205/4 | 144/20 145/4 145/5 145/16 158/4 |
| maintaining [1] 87/22 | 209/23 212/23 221/6 221/7 233/6 | 207/24 218/13 |
| maintenance [7] 28/12 30/24 31/4 | 234/12 242/13 247/12 260/4 291/2 | Mexicans [1] 303/2 |
| 31/6 31/7 35/2 35/5 | 291/7 291/9 300/20 300/20 300/21 | Michael [1] 155/13 |
| major [1] 277/10 | 3/4 304/8 305/9 305/25 314/25 |  |
| $\text { majority [1] } 21 / 20$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| make [57] 6/24 8/20 13/23 20/16 $23 / 2 \text { 25/7 40/3 42/10 46/11 47/19 }$ | 322/13 ${ }_{\text {mayor [1] 144/15 }}$ | microphoned [1] 325/3 microphones [1] 261/10 |
| 50/13 50/15 54/21 59/3 62/8 76/8 | McCann [1] 155/13 | midnight [2] 35/20 35/21 |

might [54] 15/17 47/19 47/25 48/2 48/10 48/12 50/5 60/25 62/25
72/5 90/6 92/12 92/22 92/23 93/8 98/1 99/1 103/18 125/20 125/23 136/19 143/23 157/5 177/2 177/3 177/10 181/4 183/10 183/19 184/3 192/15 197/24 210/5 210/21
221/23 231/4 232/8 237/17 240/7 241/16 242/5 256/22 264/10 265/1 269/5 277/23 296/20 299/18 302/8 306/23 320/12 322/11 322/18 322/19
miked [1] 325/3
mildly [1] 143/15
mile [1] 257/25
miles [2] 283/2 283/3
Milwaukee [7] 57/9 155/4 155/7
155/14 260/13 269/24 270/3
mind [21] 7/16 29/25 30/8 30/15
46/11 48/5 72/12 72/14 102/13
121/1 123/9 125/12 128/10 154/22
172/4 $213 / 3217 / 3$ 230/25 250/23
284/22 308/14
minded [1] 46/9
mine [3] 24/11 179/19 263/18
minor [1] 145/25
minute [17] 12/6 14/24 17/14
18/20 45/5 54/21 76/12 84/24
175/16 179/15 222/3 268/9 269/4
270/17 303/13 317/16 319/5
minutes [20] 9/13 14/9 14/11
$\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 25 & 17 / 6 & 17 / 19 & 17 / 25 & 18 / 7\end{array}$
18/23 19/2 19/19 19/24 112/14
131/22 132/1 186/19 206/24
216/14 267/11 293/15
Mirro [4] 34/22 34/24 273/12
273/16
mischaracterization [1] 291/11
miscommunication [1] 8/2
Mishicot [1] 299/23
misleading [2] 127/15 183/20
missing [4] 97/17 240/8 252/13 313/25
mistake [2] 24/7 24/11
mistakes [2] 107/19 107/24
misunderstood [1] 138/17
mix [1] 22/7
mixed [2] 106/9 155/17
molding [1] 88/5
mom [3] 63/17 288/13 288/16
moment [8] 7/21 10/17 155/6
220/16 237/18 243/22 269/6
308/25
Monday [6] 20/22 36/11 214/9
223/24 270/1 272/6
money [1] 52/17
monitor [1] 19/21
month [3] 60/1 258/24 277/9
monthly [1] 279/16
months [11] $8 / 2138 / 138 / 1 \quad 157 / 3$
165/1 165/4 165/5 171/24 273/8
298/17 298/19
moral [2] 256/21 282/3
morning [33] 4/4 4/18 20/11 23/6 23/13 26/6 26/12 26/13 36/4 37/1 57/1 57/2 57/10 78/1 79/8 79/16 86/20 86/21 87/3 95/16 146/14 160/19 203/6 203/7 203/12 203/20 204/4 225/18 226/17 237/25 251/12 260/12 268/22
most [31] $10 / 1112 / 5 \quad 19 / 13 \quad 27 / 4$
$36 / 2338 / 1239 / 1651 / 1551 / 23$
53/10 58/5 60/10 69/12 73/12
91/8 132/13 144/20 156/25 158/13 180/25 189/19 215/22 221/22
224/8 226/11 231/23 233/8 236/21
239/11 241/6 252/1
mostly [12] 60/12 91/12 91/13
227/20 228/17 230/14 235/21
235/24 238/16 256/11 310/9 315/3
mother [1] 98/10
motion [21] 54/14 54/15 54/18
$77 / 1284 / 1184 / 12116 / 17116 / 18$
116/20 130/23 151/7 151/8 179/9
179/10 179/11 219/13 221/25
247/5 268/13 292/25 324/13
motions [2] 20/17 182/25
motive [1] 49/18
mouth [1] 51/14
move [9] 12/16 84/13 84/21
116/23 151/9 175/20 220/7 269/3 291/15
moved [7] 23/17 137/15 142/24
169/12 170/23 193/10 270/2
movie [5] 31/22 168/1 255/11 255/12 255/13
movies [2] 101/19 167/24
moving[7] 55/6 78/1 85/6 117/22 187/7 222/9 247/20
$\operatorname{Mr}[23] \quad 34 / 454 / 1756 / 2357 / 5$ 62/4 68/16 79/11 86/25 119/10 135/22 154/16 175/4 188/9 190/7 206/10 213/7 213/13 219/8 220/6 232/21 245/16 292/20 316/9 Mr.[172] 4/13 5/19 5/24 5/25 6/11 7/16 7/18 8/16 11/3 11/6 11/14 11/20 13/3 14/21 18/12 18/16 19/6 21/14 21/17 22/17 22/20
22/24 23/2 23/21 24/20 25/1 26/9 26/12 39/9 41/21 46/16 48/21
$51 / 454 / 354 / 1156 / 1557 / 861 / 18$ 68/16 73/22 77/19 77/24 79/4 79/14 82/5 82/18 83/24 83/25 $84 / 984 / 1886 / 1789 / 189 / 189 / 21$ 90/2 91/1 93/17 93/17 97/8 97/11 98/15 99/14 100/12 101/6 104/14 105/12 105/20 105/25 107/4 109/5 109/18 $116 / 19118 / 25$ 120/10 121/7 121/11 121/15 122/3 131/12 131/21 135/7 135/22 138/21
140/10 141/16 141/22 142/7
143/19 147/10 150/1 150/10
150/15 151/20 152/14 154/7
154/15 154/15 154/15 156/24
157/2 157/10 157/22 157/24 158/1
158/6 161/16 161/22 167/2 169/21
173/24 174/12 177/20 177/20
178/12 185/4 186/24 187/5 188/23
190/22 191/7 191/13 194/12
194/16 194/19 199/1 199/9 200/16 200/24 204/18 205/24 206/16
207/6 212/1 212/16 213/8 213/22 215/12 215/15 215/24 219/7
223/15 227/10 227/20 233/2 233/6 234/20 235/1 237/8 237/17 242/3
242/24 243/16 243/19 244/22
245/18 247/7 248/21 254/3 254/16 257/6 264/25 271/22 280/17 281/16 282/13 282/19 294/17 299/21 300/13 309/10 310/2 311/14
Mr. Avery [58] 4/13 8/16 13/3 19/6 46/16 73/22 83/24 91/1 97/8 97/11 98/15 100/12 101/6 104/14

105/12 105/25 107/4 122/3 135/7
142/7 147/10 157/24 173/24
174/12 177/20 185/4 190/22 191/7 191/13 194/19 199/1 199/9 200/16 200/24 204/18 205/24 206/16
207/6 212/16 213/22 $215 / 24$
227/10 227/20 233/2 234/20 235/1
237/8 242/3 242/24 243/16 243/19
245/18 254/3 254/16 281/16
282/19 300/13 309/10
Mr. Avery's [10] 6/11 61/18 82/18 99/14 167/2 178/12 212/1 237/17 244/22 280/17
Mr. Buting [12] 5/24 93/17 105/20 109/18 $116 / 19$ 135/22 177/20
194/12 $215 / 15$ 247/7 264/25 310/2
Mr. Carbon [5] 186/24 187/5
188/23 194/16 219/7
Mr. Conway [1] 23/21
Mr. Curt [1] 89/1
Mr. Dassey [1] 299/21
Mr. Drumm [2] 89/1 89/21
Mr. Fallon [27] 5/19 7/16 11/6
14/21 21/14 22/24 23/2 26/9
$48 / 2151 / 454 / 356 / 15$ 68/16 79/4
86/17 118/25 131/21 143/19
152/14 161/16 213/8 215/12
223/15 248/21 271/22 294/17 311/14
Mr. Fallon's [4] 11/3 18/16 22/20 233/6
Mr. Gahn [3] 7/18 $11 / 14$ 11/20
Mr. Halbach [2] 120/10 154/15
Mr. Halbach's [1] 158/6
Mr. Ken [2] 79/14 161/22
Mr. Kratz [19] 39/9 83/25 121/7 121/11 121/15 140/10 141/16 141/22 150/1 150/15 151/20 154/7 154/15 154/15 156/24 157/2
157/10 157/22 158/1
Mr. Norm [1] 57/8
Mr. Rezash [5] 77/19 77/24 82/5 84/9 84/18
Mr. Rohrer [2] 90/2 109/5
Mr. Slaby [4] 24/20 25/1 26/12
54/1 1
Mr. Strang [10] 5/25 18/12 21/17 22/17 41/21 93/17 131/12 169/21 257/6 282/13
Mr. Strang's [1] 150/10
Mr. Ungrodt [1] 138/21
Mrs [4] 68/22 134/4 177/18 177/19 Mrs.[5] 131/15 147/20 152/18 177/17 284/1
Mrs. Haskell [1] 177/17
Mrs. Kroll [1] 284/1
Mrs. Ungrodt [3] 131/15 147/20 152/18
MS [37] 55/3 55/4 57/1 68/22 77/9
84/23 85/4 89/12 109/16 116/15
116/22 117/16 117/20 131/15 151/10 159/23 160/4 161/19
177/17 179/7 179/14 196/3 222/2
222/7 223/18 227/21 234/21
247/14 247/18 248/25 268/11
270/7 270/13 292/23 293/3 293/5
293/8
Ms Schmidt [1] 223/18
municipal [2] 119/22 133/9
municipalities [1] 305/18
murder [2] 200/23 200/25
murdered [1] 234/20
murderer [1] 207/8
murdering [1] 196/3
music [11] 60/17 226/20 235/21
238/1 238/12 238/15 238/16 260/17 260/18 260/21 260/22 must [10] 197/15 232/15 243/2 243/9 243/23 244/13 245/10 245/10 289/16 289/20
mutilated [1] 197/5
my [122] 8/23 10/20 16/5 17/9 23/17 23/20 23/21 24/9 29/10 35/15 37/5 37/5 39/25 43/15 46/11 48/13 57/5 59/25 61/11 61/12 64/5 65/18 66/3 66/14 66/15 66/15 67/23 68/13 70/14 $71 / 271 / 1873 / 1073 / 1679 / 11$ 79/14 81/20 83/20 86/22 86/25 89/15 92/25 93/15 93/23 95/18 96/25 109/4 119/6 119/9 122/1 124/3 124/10 128/15 139/10 141/11 142/10 144/2 144/3 144/24 153/24 156/23 157/20 161/19 161/22 162/9 173/7 175/22 180/3 180/7 180/25 181/13 184/18 188/19 194/18 198/23 206/8 $211 / 4$ 214/2 $215 / 18219 / 15$ 219/23
220/16 223/20 224/10 225/1 230/4 230/4 230/25 231/23 231/25 232/2 232/25 233/6 238/3 238/11 238/17 241/6 248/25 252/15 258/20 259/2 268/18 269/6 269/12 272/8 276/2 1 284/7 285/8 286/3 288/13 288/16 294/22 304/10 310/7 310/10 314/8 314/23 321/3 321/4 321/5 321/23 326/9 326/13
myself [7] 15/17 18/15 38/14 98/19 127/23 147/2 206/25
Mystery [1] 101/21
N
name [41] 26/1 34/10 34/12 38/9
48/22 56/3 75/3 79/9 79/11 85/25
86/22 93/23 108/20 118/15 119/6
131/17 144/23 161/19 171/12
188/19 194/18 223/20 227/22
227/23 227/25 228/6 232/25 234/3 234/22 248/14 248/25 262/3
271/10 272/8 285/16 285/20 286/4
294/22 310/7 318/14 318/16
namely [1] 157/1
names [7] 21/8 40/11 49/12 78/20
188/2 227/20 294/7
narrow [2] 110/20 111/25
National [1] 75/9
natural [1] 287/19
nature [10] 101/4 106/14 109/24
111/4 124/15 126/5 156/25 184/19
192/15 308/4
near [2] 283/5 283/7
nearby [1] 112/14
nearly [1] 151/23
necessarily [8] 127/9 135/12 137/3 182/22 185/24 220/4 246/4 249/16 necessary [6] 9/17 55/22 179/20 184/6 185/3 201/2
necessity [2] 184/10 251/19 neck [1] 23/18
need [17] 12/5 14/3 81/25 92/10 117/8 $118 / 10152 / 19159 / 15$
179/12 179/25 184/14 235/9
263/12 290/4 291/4 296/20 323/14 needed [4] 73/22 81/18 136/11

295/23
needs [2] 200/14 201/21
negative [3] 29/23 52/13 52/19
negligent [1] 124/14
negotiations [2] 59/17 59/17
neighbor [2] 90/3 90/4
neighborhood [2] 90/4 90/5
neighbors [1] 317/14
neither [2] 159/19 316/24
nephew [12] 37/14 38/6 71/21
171/17 172/10 196/7 262/2 262/2
262/3 262/5 305/21 322/4
nerve [1] 241/7
never [30] 32/9 32/16 43/24 64/3
64/3 67/21 72/9 98/11 143/9
146/13 146/25 196/7 198/21 208/9
208/11 210/1 212/25 212/25
215/18 218/12 218/13 281/24
292/5 292/14 308/11 314/8 318/10 318/10 318/22 322/13
new [15] 47/9 57/21 59/4 61/7 61/9 61/9 89/3 111/20 257/16 257/18 257/18 257/23 286/10 292/12 297/24
news [98] 25/17 25/25 26/1 26/24 26/25 36/23 37/8 39/7 39/14 39/20 40/3 41/11 42/10 48/24
55/20 60/11 60/16 60/24 78/14 78/20 82/20 85/18 91/6 91/8 94/14 95/15 97/16 111/24 112/10 118/7118/14118/15118/18
125/17 125/20 126/1 149/6 158/9
160/22 161/5 164/24 165/6 165/7
169/5 187/18 $188 / 2$ 189/19 189/21 192/3 196/4 198/6 198/8 198/12 199/13 199/16 199/21 204/2 204/2 204/5 204/10 204/11 222/24
226/10 226/11 232/18 235/11
235/24 236/18 237/1 237/24
238/14 248/6 248/12 248/14 261/6 261/9 261/19 271/3 271/9 271/11 271/13 275/17 275/19 275/23
275/24 276/6 276/13 277/3 278/3 278/7 280/7 293/23 294/7 297/23
297/25 299/3 313/20 323/18
newshound [1] 189/17
newspaper [16] 71/15 71/17 91/11
94/9 101/8 165/8 189/23 222/25
248/7 252/2 271/3 275/25 293/25
299/2 299/12 313/21
newspapers [5] 26/21 91/7 187/20 275/18 316/24
newsworthy [1] 277/18
next [34] $25 / 4$ 54/22 55/6 55/17
78/1 79/2 79/3 84/10 84/22 85/6
86/15 86/16 116/25 117/12 117/22
139/10 140/22 159/22 160/6
161/13 166/22 179/13 179/16
186/21 222/9 222/20 232/1 247/13
247/20 270/5 270/15 271/20
291/15 293/13
nice [7] 145/14 145/16 145/18 181/4 220/8 276/18 276/20 night [16] 59/13 60/1 133/1 222/19 226/23 231/16 248/3 249/14 249/20 251/11 259/15 259/19 260/3 260/11 260/19 260/21
nine [1] 231/20
NN [1] 282/24
No. [3] 24/20 152/23 247/11
No. 18 [1] 247/11
No. 3 [1] 24/20

No. 40 [1] 152/23
nobody [5] 38/23 50/10 302/11 303/8 325/15
noise [3] 166/4 325/8 325/14 non [1] 58/6
non-fiction [1] 58/6
none [13] 59/18 167/4 167/5
190/13 190/14 247/6 277/25
278/13 280/19 293/1 293/2 320/18 324/15
Noon [1] 117/10
nope [5] 176/17 178/20 249/16 277/25 280/10
nor [1] 56/6
Norm [2] 57/8 $119 / 9$
normal [2] 9/25 266/25
normally [5] 4/24 9/22 10/13 11/9 266/21
northern [1] 137/18
note [15] 4/14 4/21 9/20 21/2 33/5
62/2 62/19 79/17 81/6 86/5 88/22
124/13 163/4 178/24 250/24
noted [3] 58/16 153/5 188/23
notes [2] 270/20 326/9
noteworthy [1] 164/18
nothing [21] 18/5 20/25 29/19
72/16 74/8 74/8 82/10 105/1
142/3 145/13 151/1 157/11 166/20 191/3 231/7 287/7 292/21 311/21 320/7 320/8 320/15
notice [3] $31 / 1386 / 15111 / 18$
noticed [2] 146/25 147/3
notification [1] 271/18
notified [4] 21/12 86/10 111/23
223/12
notify [5] $85 / 1286 / 8111 / 15$
112/15 294/16
notion [1] 159/3
novels [2] 58/8 144/18
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { November [3] } & 15 / 14 & 142 / 13 & 313 / 24\end{array}$
NPR [1] 75/9
Nuclear [1] 79/18
number [14] 20/5 138/21 140/15
140/24 156/7 156/8 156/19 179/18
184/13 186/3 242/17 253/23
269/23 317/12
numbered [1] 21/8
numbers [4] 21/2 21/7 22/19 269/6

## 0

oath [37] 24/21 30/2 30/6 42/14 51/1051/15 51/2051/2352/1 64/10 77/20 101/24 102/2 102/10 159/25 186/25 209/8 209/1 1 209/19 209/25 210/7 210/14 222/4 246/3 246/6 246/2 1 247/1 5 266/1 266/2 270/8 285/7 291/16 293/6 311/22 312/3 312/5 320/25 obituaries [3] 60/16 71/11 71/14 object [13] 13/14 41/17 42/1 42/7
105/16 $148 / 6$ 148/9 172/21 180/7
180/25 181/10 291/10 323/12
objected [1] 10/15
objecting[1] 8/1
objection [23] 6/8 6/17 6/24 7/14 7/22 9/4 9/8 16/7 20/18 42/3 42/9
74/17 74/19 147/16 147/19 172/23
175/12 175/17 181/13 183/8
183/11 186/13 323/16
objections [10] 6/13 8/7 13/10
16/12 16/14 53/1 179/18 180/2
182/16 183/20
objective [17] 12/17 17/8 17/13 22/13 98/22 103/1 151/11 154/1 154/5 154/19 154/20 155/3 155/19 155/22 156/5 156/18 158/19
objectively [8] 98/20 99/5 103/3 155/11 156/14 156/20 157/18 159/19
objectivity [1] 14/17
obligation [2] 159/16 217/20
obligations [1] 296/21
observations [1] 221/16
observe [2] 222/24 271/2
obtained [1] 15/21
obviously [2] 19/21 42/14
occasion [2] 88/19 120/13
occasional [1] 49/13
occasionally [7] 60/14 61/6 128/18
133/3 143/23 154/17 233/9
occur [3] 15/11 210/4 210/6
occurred [1] 324/23
occurs [1] 191/2
October [2] 95/3 272/19
off [45] 7/13 8/4 8/12 10/18 10/22
11/5 11/8 38/12 43/24 44/1 44/4 49/15 57/17 65/18 70/18 71/3
74/10 76/9 76/22 107/11 131/22 142/21 149/17 150/10 152/13 221/1 227/1 235/15 236/6 236/13 236/19 237/6 237/7 237/13 237/15 237/16 237/16 237/21 240/22 249/1 8 269/7 269/10 295/14
300/21 322/13
offered [1] 142/2
office [12] 20/21 23/17 90/16 143/9 143/12 155/7 155/24 156/3 162/7 162/14 250/7 294/15
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { officer [45] } & 29 / 25 ~ 49 / 22 ~ 50 / 4 ~\end{array}$ 50/22 51/7 52/2 64/7 64/8 79/19 80/16 80/25 102/12 102/13 103/16 127/3 128/3 128/4 166/8 211/2 214/6 214/17214/18218/11 $218 / 15218 / 23$ 246/2 246/5 246/10 246/12 265/19 265/25 305/14 305/20 306/3 306/10 306/1 1
$306 / 16306 / 21307 / 1307 / 2311 / 23$ $315 / 1317 / 25$ 319/20 320/3
officer's [1] 211/8
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { officers [34] } & 102 / 9 & 102 / 18 & 102 / 23\end{array}$
103/5 109/17 109/21 128/6 208/18 209/7 209/24 210/6 210/23 211/10 211/22 214/19 214/23 218/3 218/4 218/8 $218 / 18$ 245/23 246/20
266/10 266/13 306/7 306/13 $311 / 14311 / 19311 / 24312 / 20$ 312/22 313/2 313/9 320/12
official [4] $1 / 25 \quad 127 / 17$ 326/4
326/19
often [9] 46/9 132/24 203/21
203/21 203/24 224/24 228/13 275/19 277/7
oh [34] 11/14 56/17 60/9 90/14 95/4 97/14 107/10 138/1 139/2 141/13 162/13 164/13 170/21 171/5 195/6 195/10 196/8 199/17 204/8 208/13 249/15 255/16 257/18 268/21 276/24 279/1 285/5 301/5 314/21 321/3 321/18 321/19 321/23 321/24
oil [1] 285/10
okay [396]
old [11] 39/23 71/13 72/7 232/2
$241 / 2$ 241/4 284/3 302/14 315/5
321/25 322/1
older [4] 66/2 89/2 231/25 241/10
oldest [1] 232/2
Olejniczak [1] 23/15
Olga [1] 24/2
omitted [1] 16/23
once [23] 46/23 108/23 108/25
120/7 145/8 150/3 154/6 154/17
157/1 158/4 169/10 183/17 195/6
219/17 220/2 227/25 228/19
235/23 246/9 248/14 256/11 314/9
318/10
ones [5] 10/6 17/23 22/9 269/18
313/14
only [38] 10/2 10/6 19/6 23/1
32/18 40/17 60/15 83/2 91/16
101/21 140/2 149/13 153/20
155/21 162/18 176/18178/16
179/19 180/7 197/5 200/10 $213 / 17$
213/18 214/5 216/19 216/23
217/19 230/24 233/24 238/21
239/20 241/1 289/1 297/11 298/3
305/3 307/25 314/11
onto [1] 74/11
open [18] 25/23 55/25 78/17 85/22
110/19 116/13 116/15 118/12
161/3 187/23 223/4 223/4 248/11
271/7 294/3 319/24 320/1 320/4
opening [1] 185/18
openings [1] 284/12
operating [2] 45/10 53/9
operation [1] 259/14
operator [4] 188/25 189/14 192/21
249/12
opinion [46] 27/23 28/2 29/23
30/22 33/2 64/19 67/10 67/13
67/14 82/18 83/22 91/1 91/2
91/10 91/21 122/9 122/22 125/24
126/19 126/24 141/18 142/7
149/21 149/22 151/15 153/19
153/20 153/25 155/15 168/15
168/18 172/6 184/17 190/21 191/3
197/24 206/8 $211 / 5217 / 17$ 253/20
255/17 275/8 300/16 301/18
303/13 319/2
opinions [30] $27 / 1032 / 2062 / 3$
64/6 70/25 71/1 83/1 83/14 84/19
91/16 122/15 149/12 154/8 178/12
178/15 179/1 180/13 190/7 193/25
242/2 242/5 242/14 252/21 252/24
253/15 275/5 276/9 279/21 300/12
302/4
opportunities [2] 12/15 25/5
opportunity [9] 7/19 8/17 17/16
25/5 123/24 160/8 222/12 275/5
293/16
opposed [5] 52/2 83/16 180/19 197/25 231/20
or [635]
orange [2] 261/12 261/12
order [23] 20/9 20/14 23/25 24/11 24/13 24/17 25/7 55/9 59/3 84/20 87/19 101/16 101/20 152/20 160/9
183/14 185/4 201/16 238/12
243/24 247/13 310/11313/10
orders [1] 87/22
ordinarily [1] 180/7
ordinary [7] 209/10 209/17 210/11
210/12 210/21 246/16 312/18
organization [1] 212/15
organized [2] 65/21 68/1
oriented [7] 32/1 65/14 76/9

128/23 166/12 255/18 279/24
original [1] 124/11
originally [3] 17/23 171/2 195/23
Orlando [3] 168/25 170/15 170/18
Oshkosh [1] 137/15
other [151] 5/23 11/6 12/17 13/20
14/7 17/22 21/23 24/3 25/1 25/9
26/25 27/12 28/20 38/14 43/4
43/9 43/1 4 43/18 48/12 49/6 49/7
50/22 50/25 51/13 53/24 54/4
57/7 59/14 61/3 72/24 73/25
$75 / 18$ 76/4 79/24 80/14 89/3
90/13 90/21 90/23 92/13 92/21 101/9 101/24 102/18 102/20 106/19 107/17 109/1 110/8 111/24 119/9 121/23 122/11 123/8 125/5 125/6 127/4 127/4 127/6 127/25 129/8 129/10 129/11 136/13 138/16 140/17 140/22 142/14 148/8 150/6 157/15 163/13 164/17 167/18 171/25 172/12 177/9 177/10 178/7 180/22 182/2 183/7 183/11 183/11 189/16 190/25
191/24 198/13 200/2 202/6 203/15 206/23 211/9 $211 / 16$ 214/5 214/14 215/3 216/6 216/8 222/14 223/25 224/14 228/14 231/3 232/9 235/8 236/18 236/20 236/20 236/23 237/1 237/2 237/2 237/2 244/3
246/13 249/6 251/19 253/20
254/22 255/5 255/6 259/2 259/12
259/16 262/5 262/10 263/23
264/19 265/20 266/1 267/17
274/14 274/23 275/11 275/16
275/24 278/24 291/22 292/13 295/3 301/8 301/16 301/22 306/8
$307 / 8$ 307/15 309/22 311/17 317/3 319/4
others [9] $8 / 118 / 182 / 21110 / 1$
112/5 130/15 185/11 269/17 273/1
otherwise [8] 24/12 33/8 134/18
161/1 191/9 197/17 225/24 243/12
ought [2] 45/7 45/19
our [44] $7 / 258 / 111 / 721 / 1821 / 21$
22/24 38/16 40/23 43/1 48/8 48/8
57/23 70/24 83/9 87/12 90/4 95/6 105/6 124/8 125/3 127/14 130/21 136/16 137/7 144/12 160/20 174/17 185/1 186/9 186/15 $186 / 16$ 186/1 8 204/2 235/7 235/7 260/8 264/5 272/3 274/4 276/23 281/17 293/9 302/11 311/4
ourselves [1] 230/14
Outdoor [1] 31/21
outfit [1] 212/9
outlier [1] 10/19
outset [1] 4/15
outside [14] 6/11 68/13 81/3 83/17 111/14111/22 116/16 129/11
224/15 276/18 276/18 276/20
276/20 309/22
over [18] 20/11 34/20 50/10 60/16
94/8 94/17 95/5 95/6 134/11
136/17 136/21 181/8 237/25
238/14 270/25 283/23 299/6
325/12
Overall [1] 33/21
overheard [1] 38/19
overrule [1] 183/11
overruled [1] 182/17
overtime [3] 36/15 36/15 36/16
overwhelming [2] 298/9 313/17
own [20] 28/17 35/17 38/12 38/16
own... [16] 45/16 47/17 47/20 48/1 72/22 98/2 135/14 156/24 176/2 201/20 204/24 233/6 260/8 282/4 310/10 310/24
owner [3] 124/13 124/18 124/20 owns [1] 321/19
P
PA [1] 325/12
pack [2] 233/22 249/13
Packers [2] 39/1 284/23
packing[3] 224/18 225/6 233/16
PAGE [1] 2/2
paid [5] 53/23 61/17 66/13 227/14 297/4
panel [25] 4/5 4/15 5/2 7/19 8/17 9/24 11/16 11/25 12/2 12/12 14/6 15/20 20/3 25/2 54/20 116/22 118/21 152/5 161/11 184/18 221/16 223/11 271/17 293/4 294/14
panels [1] 21/19
paper [18] 37/8 37/10 37/11 60/14 60/15 74/9 94/13 165/10 165/11 199/17 224/13 240/20 298/3 299/3 299/5 314/5 323/21 323/22
Paragon [2] 273/17 284/7
paralegal [3] 123/19 123/24 124/1
parameters [1] 180/1
Pardon [4] 207/10 243/7 258/15 318/5
part [23] 4/25 6/1 6/5 16/10 35/11
35/11 81/21 97/10 97/13 97/14
102/4 105/5 120/22 124/7 125/4
130/23 137/25 138/4 158/24
170/23 201/14 214/24 294/12
partially [1] 129/8
participate [1] 153/17
participation [1] 60/1
particular [40] 27/8 28/2 30/21
47/19 61/18 62/12 62/24 66/24
81/11 81/24 82/12 91/9 91/21
92/3 92/8 97/25 106/16 106/24
107/17 120/25 123/9 156/18
168/20 190/11 192/11 227/3
228/23 230/20 231/17 250/15
250/16 255/22 255/23 256/21
274/21 278/11 281/3 281/9 317/6 320/16
particularly [3] 135/24 252/9 280/23
parties [40] 4/5 4/22 5/5 5/18 7/1
7/6 7/9 8/9 9/10 9/15 9/16 12/14
12/19 13/18 13/23 14/4 14/9
14/13 14/19 15/24 18/4 18/10
19/24 20/1 20/4 20/9 20/12 20/17
21/12 25/6 55/8 84/11 85/8
$111 / 13183 / 13183 / 18222 / 11$
247/22 269/13 270/17
partner [1] 220/16
parts [1] 249/13
party [21] 6/23 7/6 9/12 10/15
13/23 14/10 14/12 20/18 23/10
54/13 65/2 77/12 84/11 116/17
151/7 179/9 206/19 247/5 268/13 292/25 324/14
pass [8] 25/10 78/5 118/3 160/12 222/14 247/25 260/9 270/19
passable [1] 221/23
passage [1] 156/13
passed [2] 157/2 322/2
passing [2] 20/11 $145 / 11$
passion [3] 128/18 143/22 143/25
past [15] 14/2 19/20 90/22 106/22
138/16 141/1 180/10 180/11
244/22 280/18 288/13 291/7 291/8
318/7319/15
patience [2] 272/2 295/1
patient [3] 18/3 18/10 268/10 Patrick [1] 1/10
Paul [3] 259/1 259/3 285/19
pay [4] 88/16 170/4 204/14 297/12
paying [2] 96/20 164/25
payment [2] 296/19 296/25
pays [1] 297/7
peers [1] 308/16
pencil [1] 287/17
pendency [2] 120/15 151/24
Peninsula [1] 171/5
people [67] 23/23 27/2 27/15 46/9 48/2 49/8 51/15 51/19 51/23 52/17 59/15 64/13 67/5 70/3 70/11 76/14 98/23 100/4 100/9
100/19 100/19 100/24 106/22
107/19 107/24 109/3 132/17
136/18 136/21 140/5 140/15
140/21 140/22 140/22 141/5 148/3
182/9 184/3 210/11 210/12 212/10
228/17 231/24 238/24 243/14
244/3 244/8 244/15 259/19 267/1
267/8 278/24 280/14 289/9 301/11
302/2 302/19 303/21 312/14
312/18 317/13 317/19319/7
319/10 319/12 322/11 322/15
people's [1] 284/19
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { per [6] } & 12 / 7 & 14 / 10 & 16 / 25 & 17 / 6 & 18 / 4\end{array}$ 230/8
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { peremptory }[6] & 12 / 20 & 14 / 5 & 19 / 9\end{array}$
19/12 181/25 182/13
perfect [3] 176/8 291/3 295/15
perfectly [2] 136/6 151/21
perform [3] 28/14 31/2 80/11
performance [1] 64/1
performing [1] 279/5
perhaps [17] 11/23 18/14 18/22
92/4 92/23 104/5 106/19 136/10
138/17 139/14 140/6 153/12 182/25 185/11 $215 / 22219 / 21$ 237/2
period [3] 14/8 229/19 274/9
periodically [2] 81/4 279/17
periods [1] 34/22
perjure [1] 210/22
perjury [3] 210/10 210/19 246/21
permission [1] 86/6
permit [9] 19/5 80/1 8 80/19 80/22 80/24 1 18/24 130/7 187/24 223/14
permits [2] 80/18 80/21
permitted [16] 25/14 25/24 56/1
$56 / 580 / 1785 / 2386 / 2118 / 13$
188/4 223/5 223/9 248/11 251/17 270/24 294/5 294/10
person [69] 1/21 28/2 28/4 28/19
30/10 31/18 31/18 32/1 32/3 50/7 60/25 64/17 65/5 65/14 65/15 70/15 70/16 72/21 74/6 98/19 103/11 128/17 128/23 134/9 145/18 155/12 156/15 164/24 165/6 166/12 166/13 166/15 166/19 170/4 173/14 175/3 176/1 176/7 176/9 177/2 185/8 196/5 209/11 210/21 216/8 233/21
240/10 255/18 255/19 256/2 256/3
256/24 259/23 263/2 266/1 266/25

267/12 276/1 276/16 279/24
279/24 289/4 295/10 304/14
308/18 317/3 320/4 321/18 322/14 person's [1] 28/21
personal [13] 27/16 71/6 110/21
111/5 124/12 163/11 168/12
192/14 231/17 252/21 285/21
296/17 305/3
personality [2] 89/7 137/1
personally [5] 103/6 175/24
245/23 245/24 321/15
personnel [2] 80/15 111/13
persons [1] 210/12
perspective [3] 16/18 $17 / 5$ 18/2
persuade [1] 134/17
pertaining [1] 82/10
Peter [4] 258/25 259/3 285/19 286/5
phase [3] 78/1 85/6 293/13
philosophes [1] 192/14
philosophical [3] 62/24 256/22
282/2
phonetic [1] 245/24
photograph [1] 56/6
photographed [1] 86/3
photos [1] 56/7
phrase [1] 122/8
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { phrased [4] } & 108 / 2 & 147 / 18 & 148 / 9\end{array}$ 179/21
phrasing [5] 74/17 105/17 172/22
181/11 183/8
physical [1] 22/5
pick [6] $37 / 6$ 51/8 71/24 131/21 169/24 200/11
picked [3] 69/12 208/13 299/22
picture [13] 32/1 32/3 35/3 43/5
65/15 74/4 128/24 140/2 166/12
255/19 255/24 279/24 299/5
piece [4] 200/12 200/12 273/22
278/17
pieces [8] 25/9 55/11 76/12 77/1
118/2 160/11 222/14 235/23
pigs [1] 230/3
pill [1] 225/18
pills [1] 226/6
pinch [2] 163/21 164/7
pitched [1] 132/20
place [10] 5/10 8/8 $11 / 4$ 11/6
14/20 224/19 240/2 284/16 290/22
321/19
placed [1] 7/2
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4
planning [1] 119/18
plans [1] 164/7
plant [9] 30/16 31/6 64/20 79/18
79/24 80/3 80/5 80/7 81/19
plating [1] 295/7
platitudes [1] 134/23
play[5] 185/17 195/6 202/11
226/19 237/4
players [2] 203/3 203/4
playing [1] 260/6
plays [2] 258/25 314/8
plea [2] 150/12 157/9
plead [1] 208/25
pleaded [1] 209/1
please [25] 4/6 24/22 24/25 56/19 56/22 72/11 77/19 77/23 82/2 85/3 112/6 117/16 $117 / 20$ 159/24 160/1 160/3 187/1 187/4 207/4 222/2 222/6 247/17 270/10 270/12 293/5
plight [1] 167/18

| P | [3] 6/ | 134/13 134/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| plopped [1] 141/7 |  | 141/25 |
| plumb [1] 49/15 |  | 152/22 153/3 153/7 157/7 158 |
|  |  | 158/13 163/19 165/4 171/24 |
| nt [32] 5/21 9/9 10/14 12/2 | presented [14] 12/1 83/3 | 179/21 186/3 195/16 224/19 |
| 13/11 13/25 19/19 19/21 55/6 | 91/17 92/14 122/17 122/24 149/13 | 229/15 230/24 271/19 299/14 |
| 56/14 79/4 79/18 90/22 110/12 | 178/16 231/1 255/5 301/24 309/22 | 299/17 301/19 317/22 322/2 |
| 122/3 152/4 153/1 156/22 161/14 | 310/15 | problem [28] 12/1 24/16 34/2 |
| 185/5 196/4 201/1 213/13 214/22 | presents [1] 191/2 | 66/24 128/24 143/10 146/1 146/23 |
| 235/13 238/1 255/22 289/23 | preserving [1] 76/13 | 151/16 152/9 154/8 163/14 163/19 |
| 289/24 304/8 304/13 313/17 | president [4] 58/17 59/2 70/21 | 170/11 170/19 |
| police [70] 29/25 49/16 49/22 50/4 | 130/1 | 221/10 225/24 254/7 255/7 255/17 |
| 52/2 64/7 72/9 101/19 101/23 | press | 255/23 275 |
| 102/12 102/18 102/23 103/5 | 187/25 | problems [5] 146/3 150/14 20 |
| 103/16 109/17 109/21 205/22 | 196/16 196/19 200/6 200/8 223/6 | 279/5 279/22 |
| 208/10 209/7 209/15 209/17 | 249/13 249/16 251/20 294/6 | procedural [1] |
| 209/24 210/6 210/23 211/1 211/7 | pressure [5] 10/5 72/8 225/14 | procedure [11] 6/24 7/14 9/3 |
| 211/10 211/21 214/6 218/2 218/3 | 225/21 313/2 | 10/16 12/13 12/13 13/11 13/18 |
| 218/8 218/10 218/18218/23 | pressured [1] | 13/24 15/2 59/6 |
| 239/25 244/16 244/19 245/23 | presumably [3] 151/18 | procedures [3] 8/7 |
| 246/2 246/5 246/10 246/12 246/19 | 251/4 | proceed [6] 56/15 160/6 187/11 |
| 246/24 253/11 265/18 265/25 | presume [12] 41/23 42/5 44/22 | 268/17 294/18 30 |
| 266/10 266/13 305/6 305/16 | 99/15 173/24 174/3 174/8 177/22 | proceeding [4] 4/3 118/20 243/4 |
| 305/20 305/24 306/3 306/7 306/21 | 182/7 182/7 291/1 316/10 | 293/13 |
| 307/1 311/14 311/19 311/23 | presumed [20] 40/24 73/9 73/15 | proceedings [43] 1/239 |
| 312/20 312/22 313/2 313/9 317/25 | 83/24 99/11 99/13 173/14 174/14 | 25/4 25/15 25/23 25/25 26/6 55/7 |
| 319/20 320/3 320/12 322/17 | 175/5 191/7 199/11 242/24 254/3 | 55/25 56/2 78/17 78/19 79/1 85/5 |
| policy [1] 70/24 | 281/16 288/24 289/1 289/4 289/7 | 85/16 85/22 85/24 86/14 110/19 |
| pool [1] 293/4 | 29/24 290/11 | 111/21 112/2 112/6 112/9 112/12 |
| poor [3] 29/20 63/25 126/23 | presumes [1] 110/19 | 112/17 118/12 118/18 120/16 |
| pork [2] 230/13 230/1 | presuming [2] 182/6 291/20 | 161/3 187/23 223/4 223/12 248 |
| portion [5] 7/23 9/8 111/15 112/18 | presumption [13] 48/13 99/9 | 248/19 271/7 271/9 294/3 298/1 |
| 271/13 | 133/19 159/5 159/14 178/1 180/23 | 314/12 325/18 325/22 326/13 |
| portrayed [ | 181/1 182/3 199/2 213/14 220/21 | process [35] 4/23 5/17 5/23 6/2 |
| posed [1] 148/10 | 242/20 | 6/7 6/178/19 10/1 33/25 52/20 |
| position [7] 18/17 | presumptively [1] 143/3 | 60/20 67/18 79/3 105/8 117/23 |
| 184/22 243/16 | pretrial [1] 182/25 | 118/14 125/2 125/10 132/25 |
| positive [2] 29/22 306/20 | pretty[29] 39/20 39/22 45/2 65 | 133/11 152/8 161/5 179/23 |
| positively [1] 239/14 | 88/16 95/9 121/5 126/1 146/18 | 222/10 223/6 231/8 247/21 247/24 |
| possibility [9] 27/8 123/10 192/12 | 168/19 190/14 197/8 198/25 201/5 | 248/12 274/1 279/13 294/4 304/18 |
| 210/4 319/19 319/24 320/2 320/5 | 202/22 226/7 238/22 239/16 | 308/22 |
| 322/21 | 239/18 239/25 266/25 267/6 | producing [3] 278/15 278/24 |
| possible [19] 17/13 25 | 282/22 286/9 302/14 302/21 | 280/24 |
| 49/8 49/11 62/23 103/12 103/13 | 309/20 316/2 325/13 | production [3] 164/5 273/22 281/4 |
| 104/1 104/2 146/24 205/8 205/12 | previous [3] 29/3 44/3 169/1 | products [4] 31/1 278/16 280/24 |
| 206/7 206/15 207/3 207/5 231/14 | previously [6] 13/20 14/9 27/20 | 295/13 |
| 245/13 | 163/9 295/21 296/15 | profession [2] 153/16 153/17 |
| possibly [3] 41/9 297/13 315/22 | prez [1] 58/23 | professional [2] 30/25 75/24 |
| posture [1] 153/9 | primarily [4] 21/24 165/7 199/2 | professionals [1] 280/13 |
| potential [4] 17/8 18/8 22/1 223/7 | $275 / 18$ | program [5] 66/10 66/25 66/25 |
|  | primary [2] 66/22 230 | 67/1 123/19 |
| [1] 61/10 nding [1] 60 | principal [1] 89/14 <br> principle [1] 281/2 | prohibited [4] 128/1 214/1 248/15 306/9 |
|  | principles [1] 281/11 |  |
| [1] $8 / 14$ | print [1] 23/7 |  |
| practice [4] 119/17 119/18 124 | prior [12] 33/20 48/6 62/20 | 212) |
| 142/16 | 123/14 124/11 137/14 192/9 | promise [2] 202/6 202/10 |
| pre [2] 158/21 | 256/19 272/20 299/10 307/10 | promised [1] 20/23 |
| pre-trial [2] 158/21 159/1 | prison [2] 212/11 245/10 | pronounce [3] 34/10 34/12 79/9 |
| ecedes [1] 158/17 | privacy [1] 70/13 | ouncing [1] 131/17 |
| cise [1] 68/1 isely [1] 182/15 | private [3] 80/19 80/22 86/7 | [15] 104/12 104/18 104/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ecisely [1] 182/15 } \\ & \text { econceived [1] } \\ & \hline 159 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}105 / 25 & 133 / 25 & 134 / 2 & 155 / 5 & 1 \\ 177 / 23 & 178 / 1 & 180 / 23 & 213 / 17\end{array}$ |
| $\text { edict [1] } 136 / 3$ | bably [76] 22/10 27/24 28/4 | $213 / 19287 / 2 \text { 290/12 }$ |
| predicting [1] 312/13 68/24 70/4 | 28/22 30/6 32/3 32/11 32/16 | er [2] 179/20 313/11 |
| prefer [6] 68/22 68/23 68/24 70/4 | 32/18 32/23 38/1 38/9 39/16 | proposed [6] 5/5 6/13 13/24 15/4 |
|  | 51/19 68/24 68/25 72/19 77/5 |  |
| reference [1] 189/20 <br> ejudiced [1] $307 / 4$ | 80/22 80/24 83/1 8 84/3 89/23 <br> 90/11 90/24 94/16 95/9 106/15 | proposes [1] 19/15 <br> propositions [1] 181/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { prejuiced } \\ \text { preliminary [2] } & 304 / 8315 / 6\end{array}$ | 108/2 119/13 121/25 122/2 122/8 | propositions [1] <br> prosecuted $[1]$ $303 / 23$ |
| prepared [1] 326/8 | 122/25 124/22 127/12 127/12 | prosecuting [1] 282/18 |
| prerogative [1] 15/2 | 128/25 132/13 133/1 134/7 134/12 | prosecution [9] 73/8 79/13 86/24 |


| P | $77 / 1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prosecution...[6] $119 / 22119 / 23$ <br> 133/16 $133 / 23136 / 11213 / 18$ <br> prosecutor $[9] 1 / 131 / 1549 / 21$ <br> $57 / 657 / 887 / 1155 / 13161 / 24$ <br> $200 / 1$ | 274/23 | 84/25 185/21 187/10 188/18 |
|  | puzzles [26] 31/1731/1765/7 | 215/11 215/17 221/18 222/12 |
|  | 65/9 65/10 65/11 74/24 75/1 | 3/23 224/1 229/24 230/17 233/6 |
|  | 28/17 128/19 128/20 128/20 | 247/23 249/4 251/3 251/24 253/23 |
|  | 28/21 143/20 166/17 166/19 | 254/2 270/18 272/5 272/12 273/25 |
| prosecutors [9] 4/10 119/8 161/21 | 256/4 256/6 256/7 256/7 256/9 | 75/16 293/16 294/18 295/2 295/4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 188 / 22223 / 22 \text { 249/3 } 261 / 19 \\ & 272 / 10294 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 256/10 256/11 274/13 274/13 |  |
|  | 274/14 | quick [1] 239/1 |
| prospect [2] 62/22 164/15 <br> prospective [5] 154/22 159/6 | Q | ] $82 / 6$ |
| 184/18 234/6241/21protect [1] 209/21 |  | quite [9] 36/16 58/15 76/5 153/7 |
|  |  |  |
| protecting [2] 80/5 102/6 protections [1] 43/2 |  |  |
|  | 96/2 | quoted [1] 158/23 |
| protocols [1] 76/16 |  | R |
| /17 73/6 73/8 74/1 75/19 83/21) | 41/21 50/17 50/19 61/16 73/10 | radio [44] |
| 104/15 105/11 106/1 106/5 107/1 | 74/18 82/12 82/14 82/16 82/24 | 60/12 60/25 69/13 69/15 71/8 |
| 135/14 157/23 200/15 200/19 | 86/7 91/14 91/24 105/17 107/20 | 71/25 74/9 75/10 91/10 91/12 |
| 201/2 201/8 201/16 201/24 204/1 | 121/1 124/11 125/12 127/20 | 91/13 95/12 95/16 95/18 95/19 |
| 204/20 204/24 205/1 205/19 | 127/21 128/13 128/15 139/10 | 96/3 96/18 98/14 165/8 165/12 |
| 205/25 206/6 207/7 207/15 213/23 | 143/19 147/17 148/7 148/9 148/10 | 165/15 222/25 226/13 226/14 |
| 215/23 216/1 216/4 216/9 216/15 | 148/12 148/17 149/11 151/3 | 226/25 235/15 235/21 235/25 |
| 216/23 217/7 217/12 217/14 | 152/23 152/24 153/11 154/2 | 236/19 237/4 237/14 237/18 |
| 217/20 217/21 217/25 220/22 | 154/12 154/13 155/18 155/22 | 237/23 238/2 248/7 252/1 260/6 |
| 220/23 220/24 221/2 240/3 254/4 | 157/20 163/8 165/18 169/2 172/22 | 260/11 271/3 293/23 |
| 264/20 264/21 281/18 287/2 287/5 | 175/19 176/16 178/3 178/9 178/11 | radios [1] 260/8 |
| 287/7 287/8 287/9 287/23 317/10 | 180/10 181/5 181/16 181/18 183/9 | raise[15] 10/2 10/3 10/6 24/23 |
| proved [6] 149/19 216/20 217/8 | 184/6 185/7 186/2 207/4 214/9 | 56/19 77/21 85/1 117/17160/1 |
| 244/25 245/1 289/3 | 214/9 214/22 219/21 225/10 | 170/25 187/2 219/21 247/14 |
| proven [9] 42/12 43/8 74/2 92/10 | 225/13 230/15 230/18 231/12 | 270/10 293/5 |
| 106/22 147/9 243/21 262/23 | 256/20 259/2 265/17 276/3 291/15 | raised [6] 11/9 86/7 109/20 137/20 |
| 316/16 | 291/15 296/11 296/13 301/15 | 152/8 156/9 |
| proves [2] 2 | 303/15 306/1 306/4 306/19 308/14 | raises [2] 125/11 308/14 |
| provide [5] 17/16 18/10 55 | 322/10 322/24 325/6 | raising [2] 151/25 325/15 |
| 86/6 191/22 | q |  |
| provided [14] 8/14 13/4 20/4 63/4 | questioned [2] 4/17 72/9 | rang [1] 145/3 |
| 85/11 162/2 164/19 188/19 223/2 | questioning [13] 12/11 14/25 19/3 | range [1] 140/8 |
| 224/3 249/5 252/8 255/6 295/2 | 20/11 111/16 161/12 188/7 188/10 |  |
| providing [1] 27/18 | 205/5 215/15 223/15 271/17 | rate [4] 29/11 51/6 63/25 117/4 |
| proving [3] 72/18 1 | 294/13 | rather[6] 21/7 65/2 93/12 117/5 |
|  |  |  |
| public[19] 29/13 63/25 70/20 | 6/3 6/6 6/9 7/8 7/24 10/8 10/11 | reach [2] 75/18 308/16 |
| 75/10 80/8 95/16 96/3 101/1 | 11/10 15/5 15/8 16/6 16/10 16/11 | react [1] 39/24 |
| /6 111/22 126/22 133/7 143/14 | 16/21 17/24 25/3 33/5 33/19 | reaction [2] 49/24 148/3 |
| 147/25 168/17 187/23 223/5 | 34/21 50/16 52/9 55/5 57/11 | reacts [2] 11/17 181/19 |
| 253/18 271/7 | 58/17 62/19 69/11 72/20 77/25 | read [56] 21/6 22/18 22/22 25/17 |
| publication [6] 32/4 66/6 167/21 | 79/17 82/13 84/18 85/5 86/6 88/6 | 27/3 31/14 31/15 31/20 32/13 |
| 256/13 256/16 280/3 | 88/23 90/14 92/22 111/3 117/21 | 32/16 36/19 37/8 48/25 58/2 61/7 |
| publicity [10] 49/14 94/3 96/5 | 122/7 123/12 127/1 137/23 144/2 | 66/9 69/10 71/9 71/1871/18 |
| 158/21 159/11171/7 190/2 276/4 | 145/25 149/4 152/7 157/9 158/8 | 83/21 94/9 94/12 96/22 98/14 |
| 297/21 313/16 | 160/5 163/4 178/10 187/6 214/7 | 99/16 101/8 122/3 122/11 124/21 |
| publicly [2] 146/2 | 222/8 223/25 228/8 247/19 249/6 | 127/20 144/3 144/10 144/17 149/5 |
| published [2] 130/20133 | ( | 160/23 165/10 172/2 |
| pull [2] 70/18 257/23 | 1/15 293/12 293/17 295/3 | 187/20 253/3 280/6 293/24 295/6 |
| led [2] 70/8 70/22 | 296/14 306/5 317/1 318/25 | 299/7 299/11 301/1 301/4 301/5 |
| 57/17 | questionnaires [12] 5/9 5/10 5/1 | 301/19 313/23 314/3 315/2 315/24 |
| chase [1] 32/6 | 5/16 9/2 9/5 9/21 14/15 20/2 | 322/15 324/1 |
| purpose [2] 16/20 181/23 | 20/20 110/24 184/14 | reading [8] 69/11 70/17 71/2 71/4 |
| purposes [1] 13/7 | questions [101] $4 / 24$ | 82/20 172/18 299/3 299/20 |
| purse [1] 307/17 | 6/4 7/3 7/7 7/25 8/1 9/19 14/1 | ready [1] 244/9 |
| pursue [2] 88/9 123/24 | 15/22 16/9 16/15 16/23 16/25 | real [13] 31/10 92/6 168/22 181/2 |
| rsuit [2] 12/3 88/14 | 17/2 17/3 17/22 18/24 25/7 26/14 | 181/5 239/25 240/1 240/8 260/20 |
| put [29] 38/20 41/14 41/1751/13 | 33/6 47/5 47/7 55/9 57/12 57/13 | 267/24 289/8 309/7 320/14 |
| 77/1 81/6 105/10 105/10 105/14 | 78/3 82/5 84/5 84/6 84/7 85/9 | realistic[3] 228/23 229/7 229/8 |
| 5/14 106/2 106/3 123/2 142/5 | 85/10 86/9 86/10 87/3 90/13 |  |
| 143/14 144/2 156/15 211/15 243/6 | 92/21 93/16 101/14 107/9 109/1 | realize [1] 15/1 |
| 243/8 244/6 244/21 278/8 297/16 | 109/17 109/19 110/13 110/22 | realized [1] 28/17 |
|  | 110/25 117/25 118/24 149/2 1 | really [106] 28/8 29/22 30/1434 |
| 319/13 | 150/11 151/15 156/23 160/9 | 35/4 38/20 38/22 42/15 42/16 |
| puzzle[13] 31/18 31/1865/5 | 161/16 162/1 163/7 166/23 169/25 | 46/20 46/24 49/10 50/11 50/13 |

really... [92] 62/3 64/2 64/18 70/15 82/5 89/6 94/6 94/17 95/17 96/15 98/7 99/19 99/22 101/9 101/11 103/7 104/5 105/24 107/10 107/13 107/20 109/2 127/15 136/12
137/19 140/23 142/8 144/6 146/11 152/18 167/17 $173 / 11$ 174/2 174/5 182/9 195/22 197/16 197/16 197/19 197/21 198/2 199/1 199/4 200/7 201/2 204/21 204/23 206/3 206/14 206/19 207/8 207/12 209/11 $211 / 18211 / 22$ 212/24 221/21 227/7 231/18 237/12
239/10 245/4 245/11 246/21 251/9 251/10 251/16 252/18 259/21 262/12 262/14 263/11 264/10 264/14 276/9 277/2 277/17 278/7 287/23 290/23 295/24 297/16 298/1 298/19 316/4 316/19 318/4 318/6 318/7 321/19 322/20 323/7 reask [1] 163/7
reason [41] 19/7 30/21 30/23 52/1 62/12 62/15 66/13 72/5 81/24 82/22 83/6 91/21 92/3 92/8 135/21 141/9 156/22 158/1 163/9 168/20 170/23 177/20 184/10 184/11 185/23 186/1 220/3 227/3 228/23 232/9 273/24 274/21 281/3 282/2 282/3 282/3 282/5 292/13 296/15 320/11 322/22
reasonable [22] 14/8 40/25 44/25 75/20 104/23 133/25 149/19 154/21 154/23 174/20 175/6 177/23 206/17 $213 / 20$ 216/2 216/10 216/21 216/25 254/5 281/19 287/3 289/3
reasons [10] 21/13 21/24 22/5 48/12 100/21 177/1 184/4 185/8 264/9 322/16
rebut [1] 159/5
recall [28] 6/1 7/15 38/4 61/5
96/20 109/7 120/1 141/24 167/7
182/17 192/8 196/1 196/24 197/2
198/3 212/21 234/16 234/19 252/7
278/3 299/20 300/2 300/6 307/14
309/1 315/7 315/10 315/20
recalls [1] 11/7
recantation [1] 72/13
recanted [2] 71/22 96/23
recants [1] 72/1
receive [10] 26/7 60/20 81/4 86/14 111/18 118/21 122/18 188/7 248/19 271/18
received [5] 23/19 82/17 187/17 190/2 247/10
receiving [3] 78/12 298/21 299/10 recent [4] 144/20 155/3 226/1 252/5
recently [6] 33/10 37/23 106/21 125/16 192/3 296/5
recess [4] 10/23 54/24 117/10 186/20
recognizable [1] 294/12
recognize [2] 112/7 227/22
recollection [1] 10/20
recollections [1] 193/5
recommendation [1] 21/3
recommendations [2] 20/9 21/14
recommended [1] 269/18
recommending [3] 20/6 20/13 269/13
record [28] $4 / 65 / 17$ 6/19 6/22
$7 / 138 / 48 / 68 / 128 / 219 / 110 / 18$
$10 / 22$ 10/25 $11 / 5$ 11/8 $14 / 114 / 20$ 17/9 20/1 $21 / 5$ 22/22 23/8 55/1
117/12 185/16 269/8 269/10
269/11
Red [3] 34/21 34/22 34/24
referred [1] 111/4
referring [3] 156/10 268/19 323/18
regard [3] 179/19 185/21 249/11
regarding [16] 5/22 15/15 15/22
22/12 32/14 33/17 62/3 66/9
152/17 154/5 180/9 180/22 193/25
251/3 296/11 300/3
Regardless [1] 216/18
regimen [1] 225/20
register [1] 236/25
regular [4] 75/4 211/4 239/23
284/16
regularly [3] 94/12 238/22 297/23
regulating [1] 80/4
reiterate [1] 13/25
relate [1] 182/15
related [7] 157/16 171/14 182/18
223/25 249/6 251/24 295/4
relating [1] 78/3
relation [1] 262/3
relationships [1] 27/17
relatively [3] 33/9 139/24 148/3
relatives [5] 26/20 62/16 125/16
169/4 192/2
relaxing [2] 274/20 274/22
released [1] 245/10
relevance [1] 147/16
relevant [3] 158/24 182/21 183/16
reliability [2] 127/19 229/13
reliable [1] 123/1
relieved [1] 17/18
religious [4] 62/24 192/14 256/21
282/3
reluctant [2] 47/20 183/5
rely [4] 48/13 73/5 264/21 316/20
remain [9] 25/20 77/19 159/24
161/11 186/24 222/2 223/11
248/18 294/14
remark [1] 121/25
remember [38] $11 / 3$ 38/8 39/13 39/14 39/16 39/17 44/15 50/18
50/18 53/4 96/20 121/21 121/24
124/16 128/13 138/25 139/11
141/8 142/2 157/12 171/9 172/17
172/24 178/11 190/3 195/23
195/25 197/3 197/6 214/7 227/18
234/22 234/25 250/24 252/18
264/7 310/16 315/16
reminder [1] 299/25
remodel [1] 35/15
render [1] 157/25
rendition [1] 15/12
renewed [1] 151/22
repeat [6] 39/17 71/13 153/12
153/13 207/4 255/20
repeated [1] 152/25
rephrase [4] 41/20 105/22 127/20 209/14
rephrasing [1] 105/21
replace [1] $9 / 5$
replaced [1] 4/25
report [10] 62/2 64/3 103/24 $117 / 1$
$118 / 23$ 190/1 190/16 236/16
248/20 271/19
reported [8] 1/24 153/4 158/16
189/16 221/13 237/18313/25
$326 / 6$
reporter [6] 1/25 71/9 186/16
287/16 326/5 326/19
reporters [1] 23/19
reporting [3] 55/17 78/21 127/18
reports [15] 26/2 59/6 118/16
149/6 158/9 $158 / 10188 / 2$ 196/4
236/4 236/20 237/1 248/14 271/2
271/11 323/18
represent [2] 93/24 213/21
represented [2] 15/16 53/8
representing [6] 40/2 45/20
112/10 126/22 184/22 233/2
request [7] 9/16 57/22 86/5 86/11
111/7159/21 247/10
requested [3] 110/14 268/20 293/17
requests [3] 20/8 57/16 87/22
require [2] 186/9 217/6
required [4] 29/4 158/25 216/4 293/22
requirement [2] 179/22 222/23
requirements [1] 148/7
requires [4] 127/24 214/12 214/12 306/6
requires jurors [1] 214/12
research [1] 107/22
reservations [1] 47/10
resident [8] 29/8 63/18 63/23
126/14 168/4 193/8 253/7 269/24
resolved [1] 304/3
resonate [1] 76/20
respect [19] 9/13 14/20 14/25
48/18 54/14 77/12 143/16 150/1
152/24 153/11 153/14 153/22
154/1 156/24 158/7 181/16 218/2
278/12 298/7
respected [1] 304/22
respond [1] 182/15
responding [1] 200/6
response [6] 154/12 166/21 220/17
220/19 220/22 276/3
responses [2] 15/21 301/6
responsibilities [1] 60/8
responsibility [1] 143/13
rest [2] 45/2 229/11
restaurant [2] 272/16 284/9
rests [1] 176/19
result [7] 17/17 108/8 190/6
239/24 276/8 278/5 300/10
resume [4] 54/22 186/19 229/4 296/3
Reszczyski [1] 269/16
retired [4] 189/2 189/4 194/22 231/23
retracted [1] 324/1
retrospect [1] 309/5
return [9] 25/14 26/8 79/2 86/16
147/22 157/11 161/14 188/8
223/13
returning [1] 124/10
reverse [2] 152/20 310/11
review [2] 17/9 21/18
reviewed [3] 22/12 57/11111/2
revisit [2] 213/14 279/17
REZASH [10] 2/10 77/18 77/19
77/24 79/10 79/11 79/12 82/5 84/9 84/18
Richardson [2] 250/4 250/5
riddles [1] 256/4
right [400]
ring [2] 171/12 280/15
ringings [1] 233/18
$\mathbf{R}$
Rivers[5] 57/21 245/25 273/17 285/20 286/3
road [1] 283/13
robbed [1] 303/19
rock [1] 260/13
Rohrer[3] 90/2 90/2 109/5
role [3] 125/13 162/7 265/5
romance [2] 58/10 $144 / 18$
room [18] 4/18 11/5 11/13 224/13
227/2 235/16 236/19 237/6 240/20
247/4 268/12 298/2 302/10 308/23
310/21 310/22 311/4 324/24
rotating [1] 80/13
round [1] 194/25
rounds [1] 80/10
route [1] 12/4
routine [1] 185/17
row [1] 261/20
RPR [2] 1/24 326/19
rule [11] 73/2 174/23 175/23
$176 / 11176 / 17176 / 22181 / 17$
183/25 185/1 $215 / 23$ 287/24
ruled [3] 20/7 163/10 296/15
rules [18] 158/20 176/6 186/9
215/21 219/18 220/2 242/18
265/13 280/25 281/7 281/11
281/13 287/11 287/12 290/5
290/15 290/16 290/18
ruling [1] 14/21
rulings [1] 20/16
run [11] 129/6 146/21 $162 / 14$
162/17 230/1 230/5 239/22 241/9
249/13 259/9 268/4
running [1] 162/24
runs [3] 154/6 154/17 231/23 Ryan [1] 269/23

## S

safe [2] 98/6 171/1
safety [12] 28/24 28/25 63/10
63/11 126/7 126/8 278/21 278/22
278/25 279/18 280/23 281/4
said [87] 8/4 15/17 17/20 27/20
27/22 39/25 47/9 47/13 60/12
72/16 72/16 72/18 72/18 74/7
74/12 81/7 82/19 83/6 91/3 92/1
96/14 96/15 100/18 101/16 104/10
108/12 121/25 134/12 135/14
137/12 141/10 141/13 141/25
142/19 151/4 153/18 156/10 157/6
157/10 158/4 173/1 175/7 177/25
198/10 208/9 211/16 215/18
216/14 218/3 225/1 234/21 234/23
235/13 236/9 238/21 243/23
252/22 262/17 265/22 274/2 276/6 284/12 284/12 288/19 290/21
297/9 297/24 301/6 302/18 303/19
307/13 307/16 310/17 310/24
313/19 314/17317/15 318/25
$319 / 2$ 320/6 320/10 321/18 322/22 322/24 322/25 324/2 326/13
sales [4] 87/14 162/15 164/1 250/7 Salvage [1] 207/20
same [36] 6/13 7/16 24/7 30/23
$35 / 1$ 37/19 50/23 69/6 69/8 71/3
95/7 109/8 127/3 136/20 137/4
141/2 152/11 152/25 155/12
158/22 169/9 178/6 183/23 191/24
211/8 215/2 234/2 246/13 259/12
264/12 288/3 307/8 307/23 311/17 317/9 319/12
sandwich [1] 204/17
sat [5] 43/10 44/2 $135 / 8 \quad 141 / 5$ 304/10
satisfaction [1] 207/7
satisfied [2] 23/4 159/18
satisfies [1] 181/17
Saturdays [1] 36/13
Saucy's [2] 202/15 202/16
save [3] 21/7 100/7 201/20
saw [10] 76/24 171/7 261/3 261/5 261/14 261/15 299/5 309/7 315/8 319/15
saying [27] 6/20 7/12 7/15 7/20
8/3 44/22 49/22 50/9 51/23 73/21 127/16 135/25 136/7 173/25
178/25 184/25 185/5 201/3 237/12 237/19 285/6 289/13 300/5 317/14 319/22 321/9 323/3
says [13] 49/16 50/12 50/20 99/7
104/1 153/24 174/11 176/17
185/22 221/3 240/10 306/6 321/2
scene [3] 228/11 240/2 240/7
scenes [1] 61/23
schedule [4] 36/6 36/14 249/10 260/3
scheduled [2] 4/3 80/12
scheduling [1] 14/1
SCHMIDT [4] 3/6 222/2 222/7 223/18
school [26] $36 / 5$ 37/5 40/17 40/20 $40 / 21$ 52/3 66/18 81/8 81/18 82/9 89/3 89/4 89/11 89/15 89/16
124/9 235/10 257/21 257/23 258/6 285/17 286/7 299/23 313/12
321/15 321/16
sciatic [1] 241/7
science [6] 106/13 106/17 107/18
107/18 107/24 144/19
scientific [5] 75/22 76/2 76/5
108/3 239/8
scope [1] 323/14
scrape [1] 228/25
scrapings [1] 229/11
se [1] $230 / 8$
sealed [1] 112/18
search [4] 27/5 75/2 97/20 318/19
Searching [1] 99/22
seasonal [1] 146/15
seated [11] 24/25 56/22 77/23
85/3 117/20 141/2 160/3 187/4
222/6 247/17 270/12
seating [1] 141/4
second [9] 16/5 35/18 109/12
175/8 181/23 187/7 232/3 269/8
318/21
Secondly [1] 153/10
secret [1] 147/25
secretary [2] 23/22 129/25
Section [1] 12/24
sections [1] 314/14
secure [2] 30/17 64/21
security [10] 79/19 79/23 80/1
80/2 80/16 80/19 80/22 80/25
81/17 192/23
seeing [2] 82/20 196/24
seek [1] 280/14
seeking [1] 49/17
seem [5] 98/24 206/23 323/8
323/10 323/22
seemed [2] 94/19 145/18
seems [14] 5/23 17/14 17/14 18/6 19/1 91/4 94/7 96/16 98/6 98/13 98/15 106/20 189/20 306/22
seen [15] 23/16 31/22 41/10 66/9
$74 / 9$ 140/2 140/23 168/1 196/20 251/9 252/10 255/13 262/13 280/7 314/16
seldom [4] 71/10 203/14 203/15 235/10
selected [58] 25/20 26/3 43/25
56/4 60/6 68/9 78/8 78/22 82/25 85/13 86/1 91/15 92/24 93/7
98/17 110/6 118/4 118/17121/2 122/16 $125 / 13128 / 4135 / 3158 / 12$ 161/7 163/11 163/15 163/25 164/8 178/14 187/15 188/3 190/10 190/20 192/12 214/1 214/18 222/17 223/8 230/20 241/21 248/2 250/14 250/19 254/1 270/21 271/12 271/16 274/3 281/10 282/8 293/19 294/9 296/17 297/4 302/5 306/12 320/20
selecting [3] $26 / 1787 / 4224 / 1$
selection [15] 4/23 8/7 9/8 15/3
56/11 78/2 79/3 85/7 117/23
160/7 187/8 222/10 247/21 270/15 293/13
sell [6] 32/6 32/9 66/7 167/21
256/14 280/3
send [2] 23/1 23/22
senior [1] 232/1
sense [11] 35/9 50/13 50/15 134/9
146/9 173/18 182/9 197/19 197/20 282/23 290/18
sensitive [2] 110/22 $152 / 16$
sent [3] 24/7 111/23 233/13
September [2] 120/14 121/18
sequence [2] $21 / 2$ 22/19
sequester [3] 55/23 118/10 160/20
sequestered [13] 25/13 55/14 78/9
85/14 $118 / 5$ 143/3 143/4 160/15
187/14 222/17 248/2 270/22
293/19
sequestration [2] 24/13 123/6
serious [4] 19/13 93/12 100/23
200/25
seriously [3] 146/11 159/17 263/12
serve [21] 26/3 56/4 67/14 78/22
83/1 86/1 91/15 $118 / 17129 / 14$ 135/3 155/9 161/7 163/12 178/15 188/3 223/9 266/12 271/12 282/6 294/9 296/18
served [4] 91/25 230/19 230/19 281/24
service [20] 52/10 52/13 53/23
68/11 87/12 87/17 87/18 88/2
92/1 95/4 164/4 224/7 224/18
224/20 224/25 225/4 230/21
233/10 273/3 273/9
services [3] 272/21 273/6 278/14
serving [6] 52/14 52/19 53/2
123/10 139/12 253/18
session [3] 5/12 5/14 160/18
set [25] $9 / 1133 / 1483 / 183 / 10$
83/14 84/19 91/15 93/15 98/17 101/7 122/15 122/21 149/12
149/2 1 153/18 153/24 158/11 159/10 178/15 180/17 244/5 263/2 268/11 302/4 303/12
setting [5] 28/25 63/11 $126 / 7$ 175/3 278/22
seven [10] $17 / 2 \quad 17 / 1319 / 1054 / 21$
81/7 131/24 162/22 162/23 182/13 250/11
several [4] 16/22 17/21 88/23
several... [1] 184/13
sexually [1] 315/21
shade [1] 103/23
shake [1] 301/23
shaken [1] 74/10
Shakes [2] 166/21 219/5
shame [1] 47/12
shared [1] 194/7
sharing [1] 151/24
SHARON [2] 3/13 270/5
she'll [1] 84/10
Sheboygan [2] 226/18 250/6
sheets [1] 268/19
shelf [2] $57 / 1770 / 18$
sheriff [7] 165/19 165/25 261/19
305/10 305/17 306/3 306/21
Sheriff's [14] 29/12 63/22 126/20
156/3 166/9 168/11 168/16 170/14 193/18 232/7 253/12 253/16 275/6 305/6
sheriffs [1] 166/2
shift [9] 35/19 250/10 250/11
250/12 251/7 259/10 260/4 266/6 318/21
shifter [1] 249/8
shifts [2] 250/12 259/16
shipping [1] 87/22
shocking [1] 39/21
shoes [1] 41/18
shop [1] 192/24
short[5] 10/21 23/20 89/24 90/24 240/23
shorthand [1] 326/10
shortly [1] 90/17
Shorts [1] 75/12
should [55] 14/12 21/1 21/1 25/20 45/15 45/23 52/1 54/4 54/6 56/4 77/5 82/25 86/1 86/7 93/6 100/5
100/12 109/4 109/23 110/5 111/18 118/11 119/13 127/14 136/24 141/9 153/23 157/22 161/7 176/2
176/14 178/14 178/24 180/10
184/17 186/6 200/5 201/9 202/4
204/18 213/16 214/23 214/24
240/12 263/15 265/2 271/6 294/5 294/8 296/16 312/2 312/3 312/8 312/9 314/25
shouldn't [9] 45/23 52/5 71/1 180/14 211/23 225/24 263/17 265/2 312/24
show [18] 81/1 101/22 108/23 109/8 129/6 161/9 188/4 206/11 228/10 228/13 228/22 229/15 239/9 239/21 240/14 271/14 294/10 294/11
showed [2] 205/17 311/3
showing [2] 154/3 248/16
shown [2] 223/10 244/10
shows [6] 101/15 101/17 106/12 235/12 238/17 260/20
sic [2] 93/8 95/21
sick [4] 81/14 236/11 236/14 316/6
 82/4 92/12 100/12 117/24 125/5 125/6 130/21 176/5 176/15 176/18 177/10 177/12 186/7 200/2 201/11 301/23
sides [11] 46/10 46/12 54/5 91/23 98/21 130/13 133/13 176/24 263/9 286/18 287/20
signature [2] 23/20 24/9
significant [4] 16/7 153/1 170/7 240/6
Similarly [2] 191/21 254/16
simply [8] 23/4 24/7 128/3 152/10 182/10 183/8 214/17 306/11
since [23] 16/22 $19 / 21 \quad 23 / 835 / 8$
66/4 88/13 97/1 120/9 123/23
176/13 193/3 198/13 198/17 245/9
253/9 256/19 260/4 262/19 272/19
285/8 306/2 324/1 325/2
sing [1] 108/15
single [1] 97/23
$\begin{array}{lllll} \\ \text { sinus [4] } & 146 / 3 & 146 / 3 & 147 / 2 & 152 / 9\end{array}$
sir [39] 188/13 189/4 192/6 193/9
199/4 219/9 224/5 224/8 225/15
225/25 226/9 226/15 227/1 227/9
228/5 228/7 228/12 231/23 232/19
233/11 233/13 233/25 234/4 234/8
234/10 234/14 235/2 235/5 236/7
237/20 237/22 241/3 243/1 243/6
244/1 245/12 245/21 246/7 246/14
sister [2] 95/6 158/6
sister's [1] 120/19
sit [18] 24/6 33/24 41/22 44/3
62/22 71/17 104/25 131/23 131/25 135/24 147/5 160/10 213/2 225/22 256/23 262/21 300/15 319/10
sits [1] 215/24
sitting [9] 40/13 41/7 41/10
121/22 199/1 266/18 285/6 289/10 316/9
situation [8] 61/19 64/7 104/8 167/17 220/10 272/12 280/22 297/3
situations [2] 110/20 209/23
six [26] 17/2 22/1 25/13 53/14
54/7 68/10 143/5 146/6 146/12
160/16 163/8 163/16 165/4 165/5
185/13 222/18 225/2 231/14
267/19 270/23 274/2 274/9 286/16
292/7 292/8 297/10
size [2] 9/24 152/5
skin [2] 100/7 201/20
SLABY [9] 2/3 24/20 24/20 25/1
26/12 34/11 34/15 34/16 54/11
slate [1] 149/17
sleep [4] 36/6 187/16 247/12
266/24
sleeping [1] 266/21
small [5] 90/12 138/4 139/24
140/15 148/3
smaller [1] 22/3
smart [1] 121/25
Smith [1] 155/15
snafu [1] 28/13
snatches [1] $37 / 6$
social [6] 10/5 120/8 120/13
140/19 148/2 285/3
socialize [1] 195/4
socializing [1] 195/18
Socially [1] 121/8
sole [2] 21/25 163/2
solely [3] 122/16 190/22 302/6
solid [1] 300/12
solution [1] 11/24
solve [6] 205/23 205/24 207/15
255/23 256/3 279/21
solved [2] 229/21 229/22
solvents [1] 30/25
solving [2] 128/24 255/17
somebody [28] 39/23 45/15 48/12
49/1 49/15 49/22 49/23 50/12
127/17 163/22 164/9 170/2 177/14

200/24 205/20 205/23 206/7 263/8
263/19 289/20 289/21 289/23
295/23 306/24 319/14 321/18 324/3 325/11
somehow [3] 96/10 96/11 107/11 someone [41] 27/11 $27 / 17$ 27/21
28/16 34/8 40/24 41/13 45/19
47/25 50/11 57/16 60/18 72/1
72/5 90/9 90/11 90/21 92/12
100/21 104/1 107/2 121/11 130/6 132/11 132/11153/15 156/20 164/7 166/16 174/19 184/22
205/17 206/2 216/7 234/19 245/15
259/25 264/9 288/23 289/7 311/5
someone's [1] 103/10
something [94] 26/24 27/20 27/21
31/8 35/6 39/2 42/18 42/25 45/12
46/12 48/25 49/2 49/5 49/10
51/16 53/7 54/5 60/23 60/24 72/6
76/23 76/23 77/4 92/11 98/3 98/8 98/11 99/25 100/10 105/5 109/2
125/20 132/2 133/12 135/23 136/4
139/1 139/17 140/8 141/13 146/1
148/21 165/9 169/10 170/11
170/16 173/9 173/15 173/23 175/9 180/6 181/20 181/21 182/18
182/22 184/7 196/24 200/25
202/22 203/20 204/17 206/3
206/23 218/23 225/17 226/2 236/9
238/11 238/17 238/19 241/18
243/2 243/9 243/24 244/14 244/25
245/1 264/22 265/1 266/22 270/1
285/9 287/17 289/12 289/16 289/20 289/21 303/14 308/4
308/19 310/23 313/3 315/1 322/19
sometimes [31] 52/17 80/13 98/23
103/5 103/9 103/16 103/18 106/13 110/2 132/19 132/24 199/15 204/16 210/6 218/8 221/14 228/25 243/14 244/19 245/15 249/17 256/5 312/15 312/25 313/2 313/5 313/9 319/3 319/4 320/11 322/11
somewhat [7] 30/2 30/10 30/18 119/11 164/18 183/19 228/22
somewhere [1] 171/1
son [20] 66/17 225/1 230/4 231/25
232/3 238/3 240/25 241/10 258/19 305/20 305/22 306/3 306/21 314/8 314/23 317/25 320/3 320/22 321/4 321/5
son's [1] 318/13
sons [7] 66/14 224/12 224/13
240/18 240/19 241/2 241/13
soon [2] 111/15 112/16
sophisticated [1] 219/25
sophistication [1] 221/7
sorry [27] 41/16 56/17 99/24
105/12 106/7 127/10 128/8 131/24
133/14 136/13 138/16 142/15
148/16 162/11 167/10 175/21
193/14 196/12 206/11 240/17
254/15 258/5 276/19 287/16 299/1 312/1 318/13
sort [44] 31/2 36/1 36/6 37/24
38/17 39/18 43/3 49/17 49/18 51/2 52/8 70/24 100/1 107/22 108/4 133/9 136/16 140/12 145/24 145/25 171/6 171/8 171/19 181/23 198/19 231/17 235/25 242/18 256/4 259/6 259/14 260/6 261/9 262/16 262/24 262/24 278/17
283/7 285/2 299/13 310/13 311/9 317/15 319/3

S
sorting [2] 224/18 225/6
sound [3] 121/5 151/23 218/24 sounded [1] 69/10
sounds [4] 36/17 127/7 236/1
285/3
soup [1] 204/17
source [5] 38/24 82/17 178/13
230/10 275/24
sources [3] 26/25 165/7 226/10
sovereign [1] 133/24
spare [3] 31/16 35/14 276/17
speak [6] 19/6 48/2 126/10 130/21
166/7 325/11
speaker [1] 185/10
speaking [3] 62/15 100/25 101/2
special [8] 1/13 1/15 4/10 6/3 57/6
87/1 119/22 161/24
specialty [1] 81/11
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { specific }[9] & 110 / 20 & 110 / 21 & 120 / 3\end{array}$
175/3 178/3 $179 / 11183 / 3183 / 24$
186/1
specifically [8] 11/7 87/10 110/24
121/21 141/25 157/19170/14
252/7
speculation [1] 323/16
spell [1] 318/16
spend [1] 144/1
spent [2] 137/13 212/17
spit [1] 182/11
spite [2] 51/20 148/19
split [1] 138/3
splurge [1] 300/11
spoke [2] 11/21 264/4
sports [1] 202/21
spouses [1] 140/4
spring [2] 94/19 108/21
sprinkle [1] 19/12
sprinkled [1] 22/14
ss [1] 326/1
St [1] 258/25
stabbed [1] 197/4
stabbing [1] 315/22
Staff [1] 273/9
stage [1] 222/9
stake [2] 73/12 100/6
stamp [2] 23/20 24/9
stand [29] 8/22 24/22 48/15 51/8
51/11 56/19 77/10 84/23 100/9
100/16 100/18 100/19 171/6
181/22 187/1 191/14 191/21
201/10 201/25 202/2 204/23 205/2
209/8 209/16 246/13 288/5 311/20
312/7312/15
standard [5] 42/1 105/18 159/7
266/16 311/16
standards [4] 28/15 28/25 63/11

## 126/8

standing [4] 77/19 159/24 186/25 222/3
stands [2] 142/3 165/15
Star [1] 74/20
start[10] 19/25 119/13 183/2
183/18 249/11 251/11 251/15
263/7 288/24 298/5
started [7] 11/19 81/13 169/10 169/12 225/2 263/6 325/10 starting [3] 152/20 176/13 262/25 starts [4] 149/17 178/25 203/2 248/15
state [69] $1 / 1$ 1/3 $1 / 131 / 154 / 2$ 4/5 4/7 10/18 14/24 15/4 15/5

16/5 16/8 17/9 19/2 20/24 40/25
$47 / 854 / 1675 / 1980 / 19104 / 12$
104/18 105/24 106/5 116/18 119/1 133/23 137/9 147/9 149/19 151/8 154/22 155/15 156/2 157/23
158/16 174/18 175/5 176/19
177/22 179/10 179/18 191/1 191/8
213/17213/21 213/22 216/1 216/9
216/20 216/25 217/8 217/12
217/21 219/13 220/23 223/15
247/6 254/4 281/17 286/25 287/1
289/2 290/11 293/1 324/15 326/1
326/5
State's [4] 16/18 18/2 18/23 205/5
statement [5] 50/8 153/8 198/5
322/4 324/6
statements [8] 39/5 40/3 48/23
172/19 172/24 185/14 300/3 315/13
States [2] 13/1 158/24
station [4] 60/17 69/15 95/12
226/18
stations [3] 95/18 95/23 226/16
status [3] 22/16 298/11 299/12
statute [2] 21/22 156/2
statutory [2] 17/10 158/18
stay [6] 63/17 85/16 194/25
242/10 257/15 271/16
stayed [2] 224/8 250/2
stays [1] 215/25
stenographic [1] 326/9
step [10] 25/4 55/6 79/3 86/16
117/22 160/6 187/7 215/16 247/20 270/15
steps [1] 124/18
sterile [1] 179/21
sterility [1] 179/22
Steve [8] 40/5 134/7 134/15 172/5
172/8 257/12 287/2 315/21
Steve Avery [1] 257/12
Steve's [1] 299/5
STEVEN [24] 1/6 1/20 4/2 40/10
41/8 41/12 71/21 72/15 73/19 93/25 94/15 171/14 173/2 173/4
197/25 198/4 208/7 241/15 262/5
262/7 262/20 282/18 285/13 310/8
Steven's [1] 261/4
stick [1] 96/2
sticks [1] 181/20
stigma [1] 148/2
still [25] 13/12 15/6 56/6 88/13
97/16 118/21 139/16 142/16 148/6
171/18 176/19 177/21 240/25
241/2 258/6 263/14 274/8 283/21
292/10 296/24 297/25 306/14
$311 / 22312 / 16322 / 8$
stole [1] 307/19
stolen [1] 307/17
stomach [1] 236/15
stop [9] 60/25 84/10 155/23 164/3
164/25 185/21 231/24 236/25
298/13
stopped [6] 139/12 171/22 232/9
298/19 299/3 320/7
stores [1] 307/20
stories [2] 61/25 101/20
story [30] 26/24 27/1 27/6 27/6 32/18 40/10 46/10 61/2 61/2 61/3 97/6 100/13 125/23 176/15 176/18 186/7 197/8 197/18 198/9 198/14 198/18 198/18 228/18 229/9
238/23 239/3 315/5315/11315/17 316/1
straight [2] 74/25 291/3
STRANG [28] 1/16 2/5 2/8 2/18
2/20 2/23 3/12 3/15 4/13 5/25 18/12 21/17 22/17 34/4 41/21 54/17 68/17 93/17 93/24 131/12
169/21 194/18 233/1 257/6 257/11
282/13 282/17310/8
Strang's [1] 150/10
strange [1] 197/18
strangers [1] 234/9
straw [1] 240/23
street [6] 76/22 89/23 202/19
258/3 283/8 319/21
stressed [1] 146/21
stretch [1] 146/12
stricken [6] 20/13 26/5 56/9 78/25
84/21 86/13
strictly [3] 83/15 122/10 181/13
strike [13] 12/16 19/7 84/13
136/25 151/10 153/14 181/25
220/5 220/7 221/25 242/23 244/20 269/3
strikes [6] $12 / 20 \quad 14 / 5 \quad 19 / 9 \quad 19 / 12$ 154/13 182/13
strong [4] 90/18 136/25 193/5 193/25
strongly [4] 180/17 201/5 211/1
211/21
struggle [2] 100/1 202/4
struggled [1] 99/18
Sts [1] 259/2
stuck [1] 267/18
students [1] 66/12
stuff [24] 35/1 39/21 41/3 47/2
53/24 74/5 94/22 195/17 228/15
229/11 236/22 239/2 239/21
241/10 251/19 261/16 276/25
277/5 277/6 277/17 285/10 287/21
314/11318/20
stuffy [1] 146/4
style [1] 133/11
subbing [1] 273/2
subject [6] 38/24 110/18 151/11 156/9 158/18 182/25
subjective [10] 12/17 15/22 17/8
17/12 21/21 22/7 22/13 152/20 156/4 158/18
subjectively [3] 156/20 157/17 159/19
submissions [1] 133/1
submitted [5] 7/7 8/9 15/4 16/6
17/23
subscribe [2] 60/14 71/10
subsequently [1] 96/23
subsided [1] 302/21
substituting [1] 6/3
such [12] 31/1 32/5 86/9 86/10 111/8 167/21 225/22 248/16
256/13 280/3 304/5 308/16
sued [1] 124/20
suffer [3] 163/10 296/16 297/12
suffice [1] 18/23
sufficient [8] 12/14 14/3 14/12
19/5 19/24 155/19 159/5 221/24
suggest [3] 29/19 185/12 278/22
suggested [1] 12/6
suggesting [2] 156/3 297/2
suggestion [1] 152/17
suggests [2] 129/2 152/4
suits [1] 285/2
sum [1] 290/13
summed [1] 289/14
summer [4] 94/17 141/1 142/23
summer... [1] 165/3
summers [1] 124/8
summoned [3] 121/23 141/11
157/4
sun [1] 51/1
Sunday [1] 165/11
Sunday's [2] 165/10 299/5
supervising [1] 278/18
supper [1] 251/15
supplement [1] 15/7
supplemental [3] 11/10 15/4 16/21
supplements [1] 11/11
support [1] 324/5
suppose [3] 136/18 147/6 272/4
supposed [5] 197/4 212/18 218/4
218/6 229/16
supposedly [6] 39/6 50/7 96/13
261/7 261/7 262/17
Supreme [4] 151/12 152/16 155/15 158/24
surmising [1] 60/19
surprise [2] 18/25 138/24
surrounding [2] 155/1 305/17
suspect [2] 52/23 169/1
suspension [1] 45/12
sustain [7] 42/2 42/8 147/19
172/23 183/11 183/20 323/15
sustained [5] 74/19 175/13 175/16 182/17 183/7
swayed [2] 62/10 62/13
swear [6] 56/18 $117 / 17$ 209/9
210/14 311/20312/15
swears [2] 84/24 246/4
swing [1] 259/14
switched [1] 81/14
sworn [15] 24/24 51/1051/21
$56 / 16$ 56/21 59/5 77/22 85/2
117/19 160/2 187/3 222/5 247/16 270/11 293/7
sympathy [2] 145/19 145/21
system [26] 32/25 43/1 57/19
$57 / 1958 / 1365 / 2466 / 567 / 11$
70/7 73/11 73/12 82/9 92/5 95/8
125/3 129/20 136/16 138/11
138/12 138/15 138/19 143/16
153/15 153/22 288/14 325/12

## T

T.V [1] 165/8
table [9] 18/21 141/2 151/25 241/17 289/11 310/20 311/2 317/24 319/14
take [73] 10/17 10/21 $13 / 914 / 24$ 18/1 37/5 41/5 48/14 48/17 54/21 65/20 86/11 92/16 100/9 100/16 100/18 100/19 $110 / 11$ 116/23 116/24 117/1 124/12 126/1 129/3 129/7 132/1 137/1 144/11 146/19 147/15 150/10 153/23 175/24 180/18 181/3 186/15 186/16 186/18 188/24 189/17 191/14 191/21 198/17 201/10 202/2 202/5 205/2 209/8 209/8 209/16 209/18 210/13 219/6 224/4 225/18 227/11 229/10 239/20 247/13 247/13 250/15 267/18 268/12 268/17 274/1 277/1 286/24 291/16 297/9 308/22 311/20 320/25 322/13 taken [8] 8/8 10/23 54/24 82/4 96/24 117/10 186/20 326/9 takes [7] 159/16 239/22 246/3
$251 / 20$ 292/7 312/5 313/7
taking [10] 87/19 118/25 123/18 152/19 164/1 204/23 224/10 226/6 267/11 312/3
talk[40] 8/17 11/16 25/18 34/8 39/3 55/21 59/15 70/16 75/16 76/15 82/15 83/4 $118 / 8$ 127/22 140/21 143/7 143/8 223/2 234/11 238/13 241/14 241/16 241/18 241/22 241/24 260/20 262/19 276/12 277/3 277/3 277/15 277/24 285/7 286/23 288/1 8 297/20
302/19 317/5 320/7 320/18
talked [8] 39/4 170/2 208/5 284/11 313/15 318/1 318/10 321/20
talking [25] 27/15 39/1 40/10
72/11 95/15 97/10 97/11 107/16
146/2 165/1 182/8 198/22 204/6
207/1 220/15 229/6 238/20 260/16
267/8 267/10 303/10 311/6 321/22 323/8 323/10
talks [2] 302/11 303/8
tamper [2] 30/16 64/21
task[3] 28/15 129/7 257/2
tavern [4] 124/13 124/18 124/20 124/23
team [3] 59/19 213/19 281/17
teamed [1] 38/15
teasing [1] 93/11
technical [2] 40/19 81/12
tedious [1] 92/19
telecasts [1] 275/19
telephone [11] 21/17 22/25 56/12
86/15 $118 / 22$ 161/12 188/8 223/13
248/19 271/18 294/16
telephonic [1] 11/8
televised [1] 314/13
television [32] 26/21 56/5 78/15 85/19 86/4 91/10 101/15 118/18 160/24 165/8 187/19 187/24 189/21 199/19 199/22 203/10 222/25 228/10 248/7 252/1 252/3 252/4 252/9 252/11 271/4 275/18 298/6 298/7 298/11 313/20 313/20 313/21
tell [65] 33/12 40/8 46/1 51/7 57/13 62/14 67/20 77/5 77/6 80/2 83/23 94/16 96/8 99/14 100/12 102/10 110/1 110/6 119/25 124/14 130/4 134/22 142/12 146/4 146/6 162/5 163/18 $173 / 9$ 185/3 187/1 1 187/12 196/1 205/13 209/9 209/1 1 209/19 209/24 210/15 214/24 216/8 $218 / 4218 / 6$ 220/12 220/18 227/17 232/10 234/16 236/17 242/16 246/4 246/5 261/1 261/1 263/1 8 272/13 278/3 279/1 282/21 288/22 291/8 293/18 295/12 311/20 312/16315/19
teller [1] 267/7
telling [15] 50/4 102/14 102/15 102/25 104/6 104/7 134/5 191/7 207/13 209/15 211/11 220/19 264/7 302/20 312/6
tells [7] 28/19 129/2 213/20
213/22 214/25 218/23 287/11
TEMME [9] $2 / 1284 / 2385 / 493 / 21$
93/22 109/16 $116 / 16$ 116/22 234/3 temp [1] 273/3
temporary [8] 224/7 224/17
224/24 225/4 233/10 272/21 273/6 278/14
tempted [2] 103/18 103/21

Ten [1] 87/9
tend [4] 38/15 42/10 132/17 140/5
tendency [9] 27/5 27/22 27/25
28/18 246/9 246/11 289/9 306/22 306/23
tends [1] 325/14
tenet [1] 62/25
Teresa [5] 91/5 96/11 97/12 145/1 227/11
terms [28] 10/19 17/12 29/24
52/18 63/21 126/21 165/17 192/16 193/20 224/3 225/13 229/13
232/14 251/24 253/17 255/1
255/16 255/21 256/6 274/11 278/1
278/4 279/12 279/21 280/22
291/12 301/14 303/12
TERRI [3] 2/12 93/22 234/3
terrible [1] 98/12
terrific [2] 136/7 170/16
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 326/4 326/19
test [1] 154/21
testified [4] 74/14 180/13 183/22 309/2
testifies [5] 29/25 100/5 177/14 246/3 290/3
testify [67] 45/13 45/23 45/25 46/4 47/16 48/1 72/22 73/5 73/24 100/12 100/22 101/6 102/12 104/5 135/11 135/19 176/2 176/7 176/9 176/10 176/14 176/21 177/2 177/21 178/5 180/15 184/1 184/15 184/22 185/2 185/4 185/9 185/23 186/6 191/14 201/10 201/12 201/17 201/19 202/9 217/16 217/19 217/20 218/1 218/18 220/25 221/4 221/5 254/17 254/18 254/19 254/23 255/1 263/12 263/22 264/2 264/10 264/14 264/16 265/3 265/19 288/6 290/4 309/6 309/6 309/11 309/12
testifying [9] 27/12 47/20 64/8 76/23 182/19 205/10 288/3 311/15 315/9
testimony [17] 27/9 50/25 127/2
127/5 127/19 128/2 150/2 178/6
211/8 214/6 214/16 218/20 218/22
265/19 304/7 306/10 306/23
testing [1] 47/9
tests [4] 107/23 239/8 239/9 239/11
thank [39] $11 / 114 / 2318 / 1118 / 13$ 22/1754/10 68/16 68/18 79/5 79/15 109/13 110/10 148/23 152/15 155/20 161/24 164/17 169/24 179/4 194/13 213/5 219/8 219/9 219/10 222/1 232/20 232/22 240/16 246/19 247/2 268/9 272/2 282/14 292/19 292/21 294/19 294/25 310/3 324/9
Thanks [8] 34/5 54/9 77/8 87/2 188/17 219/12 226/10 249/7
that you [1] 108/19
theatre [5] 90/7 108/12 108/20 108/24 108/25
their [56] $4 / 6 \quad 10 / 2 \quad 10 / 3 \quad 10 / 6 \quad 12 / 20$ 14/5 14/16 14/18 21/25 49/23 64/1 78/10 87/22 102/7 102/19 102/21 103/6 103/23 109/24 110/5 110/7 110/25 111/19 118/24 126/21 127/5 127/18 127/19 127/25 128/11 145/23 161/15 176/5 177/3 177/8 184/14 188/1 202/5 214/13 214/24 215/1 215/1
their... [14] 215/2 218/19 235/9 265/19 266/6 275/9 284/22 294/11 306/23 307/7 307/7 310/24 322/18 325/15
their's [1] 130/22
themselves [7] 5/13 9/21 41/18 45/20 210/22 241/16 243/16 there's [42] 8/2 8/6 8/15 27/8 28/13 50/19 54/15 55/11 77/3 94/2 99/25 101/23 125/22 140/21 141/9 146/9 154/2 $155 / 18$ 183/1 221/7 222/13 231/7 238/16 240/1 245/14 247/10 251/15 265/24 267/7 268/4 283/12 283/23 286/22 286/22 291/22 297/18 297/19 300/23 302/25 311/9 312/25 314/12
thereafter [1] 326/11
Therefore [3] 45/17 111/9 159/20
these [27] 18/8 19/13 25/23 42/20 45/7 75/19 77/6 78/17 85/22 112/9 112/12 118/11 120/15 132/20 138/3 140/4 140/5 148/8 182/9 187/23 223/4 248/10 259/9 265/13 271/6 280/24 310/25
they're [3] 229/21 229/22 325/2
Thin [3] 31/22 168/1 255/13
thing [32] $23 / 12$ 38/4 49/19 65/19 72/17 74/22 92/13 108/11 119/19 132/7 132/9 140/12 145/15 145/22 152/12 159/11 181/23 197/5 224/20 225/3 229/9 230/24 261/9 280/20 285/1 285/22 287/19 288/3 302/14 307/15 311/9 324/22
things [67] $27 / 3$ 28/5 31/1 35/7 35/7 39/3 50/23 65/18 66/2 76/9 76/12 76/13 76/18 92/10 99/1 101/4 101/8 101/16 101/20 106/14 106/21 $118 / 25$ 123/1 $127 / 18$ 129/4 129/8 129/10 129/12 129/13 132/13 136/15 153/2 162/7 164/17 170/8 $185 / 11187 / 12189 / 16$ 199/15 199/17 $215 / 11$ 218/6 221/22 224/22 228/14 229/1 229/16 229/18 231/16 233/17 235/8 237/3 252/15 256/4 274/12 277/8 278/17 279/22 284/21
286/22 290/8 290/9 290/11 299/13 315/12 316/22 322/11

## think [340]

thinking [12] 10/4 40/13 64/15 98/1 134/15 147/7 151/17 173/9 173/10 176/14 319/18 321/3
thinks [3] 180/1 317/9 317/21
third [8] 43/15 43/16 249/8 250/10 250/11 250/12 251/7 259/10
Thirty [1] 189/13
Thirty-five [1] 189/13
THOMAS [2] 1/14 269/15
THORNE [6] 3/13 270/6 270/7 270/13 292/24 293/3
those [70] $13 / 6$ 16/15 17/17 18/11 19/16 20/8 20/22 21/20 22/6 22/9 23/24 33/1 34/22 40/3 43/10 63/7 66/23 80/21 83/1 83/14 85/10 91/15 95/23 101/7 101/15 101/17 111/4111/10111/11122/15 125/19 129/10 144/3 149/12 154/24 158/10 178/15 181/11 183/5 183/12 188/2 197/10 204/1 211/15 230/18 238/18 242/19

252/15 253/5 254/2 256/6 269/17
269/22 273/5 273/24 274/13
280/25 281/7 281/13 286/24
287/11 287/12 290/15 290/17
291/20 302/4 314/16 316/2 317/19 322/5
though [17] 5/4 9/9 103/14 107/16 108/6 109/2 136/19 136/23 155/12 198/8 198/25 211/6 236/5 243/22 244/18 289/16 317/12
thought [27] 7/16 8/8 8/21 14/9 52/20 52/21 92/23 108/11 122/2
149/6 150/12 151/14 156/11 157/7 164/14 171/5 178/19 197/23 208/6 209/4 216/14 220/1 274/6 282/22 296/18 302/18 325/4
threatened [1] 173/3
three [14] 35/15 38/1 60/12 74/23
137/15 184/20 194/23 203/3
224/12 241/2 257/25 257/25
271/20 295/20
through [26] 33/24 52/8 67/22
75/19 80/13 80/19 82/8 82/9
105/8 $121 / 8$ 142/11 162/23 198/6
198/12 198/21 205/4 225/8 233/13
239/22 269/17 274/1 274/7 274/9
286/7 288/25 307/20
throughout [2] 22/14 81/5
throw [1] 287/16
Thursday [3] 21/18 22/10 22/24
thus [2] 6/17 8/8
ticket [1] 208/12
tickles [1] 74/20
tied [4] 59/24 68/13 146/22 262/9
tight [1] 233/19
till [1] 94/17
Tim [4] 120/5 144/20 152/2 158/2
Tim Halbach [1] 158/2
time [162] 4/1 4/16 5/16 5/22 7/1
8/14 9/3 9/5 9/7 9/16 12/6 13/13
13/15 13/24 14/8 14/24 15/15
15/24 16/1 16/2 16/3 16/19 17/6
17/14 $18 / 818 / 1118 / 1418 / 20$
19/4 19/14 20/8 20/17 21/6 21/7
$24 / 1831 / 1531 / 1632 / 1834 / 23$
$35 / 1436 / 1836 / 2138 / 438 / 12$
38/21 40/12 43/1 5 43/16 44/8
44/9 44/13 45/9 50/15 54/20
$54 / 2555 / 1556 / 1859 / 2365 / 19$
71/3 71/6 72/8 75/7 75/15 75/17
78/23 81/18 81/21 86/12 86/17 87/23 90/19 94/20 96/17 109/7 110/18 111/9111/13112/7112/13 116/14 117/11 117/16118/23
120/9 121/15 123/20 124/3 124/7 124/16 126/19 132/10 133/1 136/20 139/14 141/19 142/9 142/14 142/25 143/6 144/1 144/15 144/25 146/16 151/6 153/12
155/24 156/14 158/8 171/22 179/7 186/10 189/14 193/6 195/9 196/22 204/1 207/19 208/15 211/19
212/24 219/16 223/14 224/8 227/1 229/19 233/8 233/24 234/2 234/24 235/6 235/7 235/8 235/14 236/21 241/19 245/5 245/8 249/24 249/25 251/16 251/17 253/10 260/7 260/9 275/4 276/12 276/12 276/17 277/24 277/24 292/23 298/10
299/11 299/17 302/19 303/1 304/5 310/13 322/6 323/9 324/19
timely [1] 20/21
timers [1] 273/19
times [16] 43/14 44/1 44/2 44/3 71/9 71/16 72/2 80/14 94/10 108/4 132/21 200/2 277/9 287/1 288/22 319/5
timing [1] 267/11
tinkering [1] 297/25
tired [1] 94/18
today[42] 9/10 20/15 21/12 27/4 $41 / 2255 / 25$ 56/9 79/1 84/17 85/6 99/14 117/22 118/12 157/2 157/4 160/5 161/12 188/7 199/1 213/2 215/24 233/2 234/5 244/14 245/19 247/19 248/10 252/24 258/8 270/14 274/18 293/9 293/11 293/12 294/3 294/13 300/15 306/15 308/14 324/18 325/7 325/21
today's [4] 86/14 118/20 223/12 248/18
today's's [1] 271/17
together [9] 59/14 77/1 172/15
195/5 202/11 262/9 262/9 277/7 284/7
told [34] 28/2 28/16 36/22 42/19
50/20 52/11 63/5 75/15 117/4
122/2 134/19 138/24 143/2 144/24 145/5 157/3 157/6 170/13 172/17
174/3 174/7 174/17 176/10 208/6
220/20 231/15 234/21 253/3
286/25 288/21 290/16 298/14
318/22 324/3
tolerate [1] 250/21
toll [1] 251/21
Tom [11] 4/9 57/3 79/11 86/22
119/6 161/19 188/19 223/20
248/25 272/8 294/22
tomorrow [2] 269/17 324/19
tonight [1] 247/11
took[5] 5/10 81/11 81/18 201/25 240/1
topic [1] 151/25
topics [1] 123/13
torn [1] 319/4
total [2] 139/4 249/23
totally [3] 159/1 237/11 286/21
touch [2] 49/1 $215 / 11$
touched [1] 231/12
tough [4] 29/21 163/17 202/1
264/8
tougher [1] 306/19
tour [1] 296/1
toward [2] 76/10 307/1
town [4] 89/7 131/2 245/25 258/4
Township [1] 283/17
trace [1] 76/12
track [1] 37/24
tracking [1] 221/21
tractors [1] 241/9
trade [2] 66/6 277/14
Trader [5] 32/5 66/6 167/21
256/14 280/3
traffic [1] 80/15
tragic [1] 145/22
trained [1] 81/2
training [8] $81 / 382 / 788 / 20 \quad 92 / 4$
123/25 166/1 166/7 166/8
trainings [1] 81/4
transcribed [1] 326/11
transcript [4] 1/23 221/20 326/8 326/12
transcription [1] 326/11
transferring [1] 95/6
traveling [1] 94/21
treat [2] 263/23 311/17
treated [2] 72/24 208/22
trial [75] 1/4 24/6 25/11 25/18 25/19 26/3 26/4 45/9 45/15 53/4 55/16 55/21 56/7 78/11 78/24 $82 / 2283 / 7$ 83/11 85/14 86/3 86/4 100/3 109/19 118/5 $118 / 19$ 122/1 143/4 146/7 149/16 150/11 158/21 159/10 160/16 161/10 175/8 184/9 186/4 186/5 188/5 199/10 200/1 200/3 208/25 209/5 210/1 210/13 215/19 215/22 218/19 222/19 222/20 222/24 223/10 243/5 245/19 248/4 248/15 262/19 262/20 270/23 270/25 271/13 271/15 281/12 287/22 288/25 288/25 292/7 293/20 294/2 294/10 304/4 304/9 310/12 312/14
trials [4] 44/2 44/3 $215 / 21$ 244/5 trick [1] 17/25
tried [1] 198/17
trouble [3] 240/9 286/12 288/19 truck [1] 52/3
true [21] 48/17 72/13 72/13 184/5 197/12 197/13 197/15 199/12 199/14 199/16 199/18 199/19 216/16 216/17 218/9 259/16 288/21 322/20 323/5 324/7 326/12 truth [30] 51/7 94/16 102/10
102/14 102/16 102/25 104/6 104/7 110/1 110/6 209/9 209/12 209/15 209/19 209/24 210/14 210/15 211/12 214/24 218/4218/7 218/24 246/4 246/5 311/20 311/21 311/21 312/6 312/16 322/7

## truthful [2] 42/17 246/16

try [14] 32/9 34/9 34/14 41/20
75/17 105/20 121/21 137/8 203/9
204/18 238/22 244/7 274/2 284/13
trying [27] 8/20 42/5 42/17 42/23 53/16 61/5 100/7 105/23 132/14 147/20 164/2 170/6 175/23 182/12 201/20 201/24 220/8 238/2 254/14 255/21 255/22 262/16 263/17 267/17 279/21 300/21 319/6
tube [1] 195/3
Tuesday [1] 59/13
tug [1] 136/8
tuned [1] 171/9
turn [13] 60/17 136/21 170/7 176/6 178/5 235/15 236/6 236/13 236/19 237/6 237/7 237/21 314/17 turned [6] 46/24 227/1 237/12 237/15 237/16 299/6
turning [1] 237/15
turns [3] 136/17 269/24 290/20
TV [18] 35/12 35/18 74/10 96/19
195/13 196/14 196/15 196/17
196/22 196/24 203/24 251/7
260/24 275/20 275/23 276/16 293/24315/3
twice [5] 108/23 154/17 208/16 208/17 258/24
twins [2] 258/21 258/22
twisted [1] 177/3
two [49] 11/3 12/14 12/19 13/2 13/6 17/17 19/16 23/18 38/1 38/14 39/1 3 43/9 43/1 8 44/2 53/10 57/20 72/2 80/18 138/12 $138 / 18141 / 1143 / 19144 / 6147 / 4$ 153/2 157/13 157/15 162/18
$163 / 14180 / 7213 / 13230 / 16$
230/1 $8245 / 25$ 248/20 249/23 249/25 252/15 259/12 259/16 259/23 261/20 270/5 271/20 273/17 285/20 286/3 303/4 307/22 type [16] 60/25 72/16 101/22 126/8 128/24 145/15 166/12
224/15 224/20 226/16 228/9
254/14 255/18 272/23 279/24 310/14
types [5] 76/4 106/19 107/17 183/5 274/14
typically [1] 132/9
U
U.P [2] 171/2 171/4
uh [7] 267/22 280/21 280/21 282/7
282/7 286/6 286/6
uh-huh [1] 267/22
Uh-uh [3] 280/21 282/7 286/6
um [6] 97/14 226/3 244/8 295/20
297/24 301/19
unanswered [2] 178/9 230/16
under [32] 6/23 12/22 15/6 15/25
16/2 16/8 16/14 21/22 30/1 30/6
42/14 49/9 51/1 51/10 64/10 72/8
101/24 102/1 102/10 146/11
154/23 209/24 211/7 225/16
225/21 226/8 246/5 246/21 266/1
266/1 285/7 311/22
underlying [1] 324/5
underneath [1] 285/9
understand [47] 6/15 6/16 6/25
7/5 7/24 8/18 9/7 9/9 47/18 93/24
94/9 104/11 104/11 106/10 119/10
133/14 138/9 140/20 149/16 150/1
160/14 174/9 176/16 180/5 180/24
181/9 191/13 194/19 199/24
207/13 216/2 $216 / 12$ 217/8 217/18 217/24 218/7 221/2 233/3 237/1
254/22 255/22 272/3 284/1 287/22
312/9 314/19 321/7
understandably [1] 158/17
understanding [5] 96/25 254/7
268/18 269/12 271/1
understands [1] 159/14
understood [7] 8/24 10/15 106/4
109/22 109/25 148/13 176/23
undue [1] 61/21
unemployed [1] 250/2
unexceptionable [1] 179/20
unfair [1] 22/2
UNGRODT [12] 2/16 117/12 117/16 117/20 131/15 131/15 131/19 134/4 138/21 147/20 151/10 152/18
unhappy [2] 6/16 132/12
uniform [3] 246/15 266/6 266/8
unintelligent [1] 75/25
union [6] 53/19 58/18 59/2 59/22
69/4 70/2 1
United [2] 13/1 158/24
unless [15] 20/17 40/24 42/20
56/9 77/3 83/24 149/18 191/8
205/16 205/17 207/15 215/25
216/24 254/3 281/18
unlikely [3] 64/9 64/12 64/24
unplugged [1] 171/8
unqualified [1] 185/24
unreasonable [1] 17/15
unsure [1] 15/10
until [27] 9/7 40/24 42/12 43/8
46/1 1 60/16 74/2 74/2 75/16

83/24 191/8 193/15 200/1 200/2
226/17 226/23 243/20 244/10
251/12 254/4 262/22 281/17
281/18 286/20 309/7 310/18 316/15
unwanted [2] 147/21 147/24
up [116] $7 / 9$ 13/5 15/14 16/19
16/22 17/3 23/3 23/9 23/13 23/17 27/3 28/15 28/25 29/5 31/11 36/1 1 37/6 38/9 38/15 39/4 46/1 1 46/22 49/5 51/5 51/8 53/12 53/13 54/5 59/24 61/7 61/24 63/11
68/13 69/12 71/24 73/15 73/17 77/10 82/24 86/11 91/13 94/17 102/5 102/24 106/9 109/17 110/12 121/6 126/8 131/21 141/12 141/22 143/1 146/14 149/2 150/20 156/23 160/24 162/1 166/7 169/24 170/24 181/22 185/25 186/5 188/18
195/19 201/12 201/20 202/19 203/13 203/19 208/13 212/25 213/9 214/6 215/11 217/2 217/2 219/3 223/23 225/20 230/25 233/5 235/11 243/4 244/5 247/23 249/4
251/15 257/18 257/20 262/6 268/6 272/5 277/13 278/22 279/12 284/5 284/19 288/1 288/5 289/8 289/9 289/14 290/14 293/16 295/1 299/22 303/14 310/9 310/9 310/24 320/12 320/13 322/2
update [1] 81/4
upfront [1] 304/10
uphold [1] 221/14
upon [3] 22/2 22/15 231/13
Upper [1] 171/5
UPS [1] 266/8
Upset [2] $316 / 5316 / 6$
upstanding [1] 102/5
us [49] $17 / 7$ 17/11 $18 / 7$ 26/17
33/12 36/22 46/9 48/20 50/4 52/1 1 54/5 57/8 57/13 67/20 82/13 87/3 92/22 99/14 102/6 105/11 119/25 124/14 134/5 138/24 146/9 150/7 $151 / 13$ 157/3 163/18 170/13 224/1 227/17 233/22 258/2 264/7 272/2 272/6 278/3 279/1 281/11 295/12 297/2 302/20 303/4 305/2 311/3 311/3 325/8 325/10
use [13] $9 / 5 \quad 10 / 10 \quad 16 / 21 \quad 19 / 19$ 21/7 26/25 30/25 61/1 81/1 81/1 81/2 88/19 314/10
used [13] 7/25 19/14 20/8 32/4
$32 / 9$ 109/7 122/8 158/19 167/20
256/13 280/2 288/14 298/3
using [1] 325/10
usually [22] $27 / 7 \quad 31 / 15 \quad 37 / 3 \quad 38 / 16$ 49/6 49/7 71/11 129/3 130/17
203/10 204/1 204/4 204/11 225/18
229/19 235/7 235/11 238/3 238/19 239/16 242/10 277/18
uttering [1] 308/4
UW [3] 66/14 82/9 108/23
V
vacation [2] 297/9 297/10
vaguely [1] 282/24
valuable [1] 279/10
van [1] 60/13
variance [4] $132 / 6 \quad 132 / 8 \quad 132 / 8$ 132/15
varied [1] 224/17
variety [3] 31/8 182/16 224/22
wait [11] 44/23 45/5 111/14
vast [1] 21/20
vehicle [5] 32/6 32/6 32/12 97/18 280/4
vehicles [1] 80/15
verbal [1] 166/21
verdict [10] 73/21 75/18 147/15
147/22 150/13 154/10 154/11
157/25 308/16 320/21
versa [1] 19/4
versions [1] 198/13
very [91] $4 / 14$ 5/24 16/23 17/22
17/25 23/24 24/14 25/20 30/2
$30 / 230 / 330 / 430 / 1030 / 1130 / 12$
$30 / 1830 / 1830 / 2031 / 331 / 3$
$51 / 1254 / 1956 / 1465 / 2267 / 1$ 67/18 67/19 68/1 68/1 68/3 71/10
71/10 72/10 75/17 76/14 77/16 79/11 90/22 92/2 92/5 95/11 98/19 99/1 99/5 104/22 109/13
116/21 123/22 125/2 125/20 131/4 147/7 153/21 157/16 159/17
190/16 193/4 193/17 203/13
208/19 211/21 213/5 214/21
219/25 220/8 220/14 229/5 230/15
231/14 234/12 234/14 234/17
235/10 247/2 250/9 253/7 254/16
268/16 269/21 273/24 274/1 276/7 277/21 278/10 291/3 292/22 305/2 315/1 324/10 324/20 325/6
vice [2] 19/4 89/14
vicinity [1] 96/17
victim [2] 120/25 304/20
victims [1] 303/16
view [4] 41/13 45/22 106/16 118/7
viewpoint [1] 28/3
views [1] 48/8
Village [1] 131/1
virtue [1] 110/5
voice [3] 73/20 289/13 325/15
voicing [1] 154/8
voir [105] 1/4 4/4 4/20 4/21 4/25
$6 / 46 / 56 / 147 / 27 / 107 / 238 / 15$
8/18 9/6 9/11 9/13 9/19 9/23 10/1
10/14 11/11 11/23 12/4 12/7
12/11 $12 / 12$ 13/4 $13 / 5$ 13/7 $13 / 11$
13/14 13/18 $14 / 4$ 14/7 14/10
14/11 14/14 $15 / 1115 / 1615 / 18$
16/4 16/19 16/20 18/21 19/16
19/22 25/4 25/24 26/9 26/10 34/6
55/7 55/25 56/2 56/15 56/24
68/19 78/18 79/6 85/7 85/23
86/18 93/19 109/14 110/19118/13
119/2 131/13 148/25 150/22 152/7
160/7 161/4 161/17 169/22 179/19
179/23 179/24 180/3 181/24 187/8
187/25 188/11 194/14 213/11
215/13 215/16 222/10 223/6
223/16 232/23 247/21 248/12
248/23 257/7 270/16 271/7 271/8 271/23 282/15 293/11 293/14 294/4 294/20 310/4
voluntary [1] 36/15
volunteer [3] 59/23 97/20 322/9
volunteered [1] 295/24
volunteering [2] 258/13 258/16
vote [5] 130/14 130/23 147/11
216/11 216/19
voted [1] 150/13

112/14 164/14 179/15 289/14
290/13 317/16 317/16319/5
waitress [1] 272/15
waitressing [1] 284/5
walk[3] 235/15 236/19 237/6
walked [1] 227/2
walking [1] 276/21
walks [1] 195/3
wall [1] 140/3
want [69] 10/6 39/19 41/12 46/10 $46 / 11$ 48/2 50/1 51/8 51/8 51/13
55/24 56/16 62/9 65/1 66/2 72/10 78/16 83/4 85/21 100/13 116/24 117/6 128/9 131/20 136/5 147/22
160/13 161/2 172/20 173/9 176/24
181/21 185/9 185/17 185/23
187/22 206/25 211/2 211/25
213/14 222/15 223/3 225/11 233/5
236/5 247/24 248/1 255/25 263/8
268/2 270/19 286/18 286/23
287/20 290/10 290/19 291/5
291/12 292/1 292/10 297/20 300/9
301/1 301/3 306/4 310/8 313/6 316/19 316/20
wanted [16] 9/12 25/10 25/22 57/9
78/5 85/11 99/19 99/21 170/25
187/11 249/3 272/5 284/10 284/11 295/21 296/12
wants [7] 130/7 $130 / 21 \quad 176 / 7$
185/7 201/12 201/21 206/5
warm [1] 181/7
warming [1] 284/19
warranted [1] 150/13
wash [1] 251/13
Washington [1] 202/19
wasn't [17] 40/4 44/14 47/9 74/13
82/8 203/3 207/7 212/17 224/13
227/4 234/23 235/19 236/7 237/10
240/19 252/14 268/22
watch [28] 24/6 39/8 78/14 101/15
101/17 101/21 101/22 106/11
187/19 195/3 195/13 203/11
203/13 228/9 228/13 228/17 229/9
238/22 251/10 253/3 261/18
275/19 275/20 278/7 293/24
297/22 298/9 315/7
watched [4] 101/19 227/19 252/10 298/11
watcher [3] 35/12 255/11 255/12
watchers [1] 35/18
watching [3] 203/20 298/13 298/19
wave [1] 181/22
way [71] 10/12 34/8 34/14 36/23
37/5 42/25 46/14 46/18 49/6 49/7 51/14 66/2 66/3 73/14 83/10 83/13 90/14 98/16 98/24 99/4 100/11 100/17 103/21 105/7 108/1 127/3 127/6 127/22 128/13 128/15 136/15 137/4 141/5 144/1 148/20 170/8 179/21 181/14 187/21
191/24 197/23 202/1 202/6 202/8
203/10 205/1 205/6 208/23 211/8
215/2 229/18 231/1 243/6 243/8
244/21 245/22 253/20 262/7
262/10 266/18 285/3 285/17
288/1 8 288/25 290/14 297/19
300/18 307/4 312/12 314/6 315/14
way you [1] 108/1
ways [4] $34 / 1334 / 1571 / 1136 / 16$
we [204] 4/21 5/22 6/4 6/8 6/12

6/18 6/19 6/21 7/12 7/16 8/14 $10 / 711 / 1512 / 312 / 514 / 115 / 1$ 15/10 15/12 16/2 16/14 17/17 18/2 $18 / 919 / 319 / 21$ 19/25 20/21 21/1 21/1 21/12 22/9 22/14 23/10 24/15 24/16 24/20 31/6 31/7 $34 / 15$ 37/1 1 39/3 39/4 43/2 43/3 44/21 48/20 53/12 55/21 57/9 57/1 1 59/4 59/6 66/4 68/15 73/9 $74 / 1876 / 1078 / 584 / 1585 / 10$ 85/16 87/3 89/3 89/23 90/5 90/23 93/6 93/12 93/24 100/5 105/3 105/7 105/10 105/13 106/2 112/9 112/11 117/6 117/7117/7119/13 121/13 121/22 127/13 127/14 128/9 130/17 130/20 130/22 136/23 137/15 138/16 140/16 140/17 142/24 143/9 146/10 152/5 152/19 153/2 153/23 154/24 161/3 162/9 162/12 163/19 163/22 164/9 164/14 164/14 165/1 169/12 170/1 170/25 170/25 177/13 179/13
179/15 180/2 183/25 184/13 184/16 185/9 185/10 186/15
186/16 187/11 187/17 187/23
195/6 198/23 203/2 $215 / 17$ 219/24 221/11 221/11 223/22 225/11 228/14 229/3 229/5 229/6 229/13 230/2 230/3 230/3 230/5 230/7 231/12 233/22 233/23 235/10 235/23 243/3 244/10 247/24 248/4 249/11 253/3 257/16 260/8 260/15 264/5 268/17 269/25 270/7 270/19 279/2 281/18 284/7 287/5 287/7 287/22 287/23 290/1 290/8 290/8 290/9 290/9 290/10 291/5 293/10 296/12 296/25 297/1 297/24 304/6 304/13 307/20 307/21 309/7 $310 / 25314 / 8317 / 5321 / 15321 / 17$ $323 / 14324 / 18324 / 23325 / 2325 / 3$ 325/3 325/10
we'll [23] 10/21 24/18 54/22 56/14 56/17 77/17 84/13 84/21 111/14 112/13 117/17 118/23 127/22 159/24 161/15 170/1 186/19 247/3 247/13 247/15 287/21 298/5 324/20
we're [48] 10/24 12/9 26/15 26/16 $38 / 1654 / 2054 / 25$ 55/6 55/18
70/5 78/1 78/11 85/6 95/7 103/21
112/1 117/4 117/11 117/22 118/5
138/7 152/3 152/3 160/6 176/13
182/12 185/18 187/7 187/16 207/1
213/15 222/9 222/22 235/5 235/8
243/4 247/20 257/12 267/11 270/4
270/14 270/25 282/18 289/25
293/12 293/21 297/25 325/20
we've [3] 45/6 140/16 $184 / 12$
weapon [1] 81/2
weapons [1] 80/17
wear [2] $53 / 18266 / 6$
weather [6] 59/11 146/11 235/24 278/8 278/8 284/21
websites [1] 61/8
Wednesdays [2] 202/24 202/25
week [32] 5/2 5/11 20/3 25/11
53/6 54/7 55/12 60/13 78/7 85/6 $85 / 12111 / 20117 / 22118 / 3146 / 6$ 146/12 150/25 160/13 162/2
187/12 188/9 188/19 195/6 214/8
224/2 228/16 229/16 241/24 274/7
274/9 295/3 298/14
weekend [2] 266/24 284/1
weekends [3] 36/12 75/9 298/4 weeks [20] 22/1 25/13 53/14 68/10 143/5 160/17 163/8 163/16 203/3 222/18 231/14 267/19 270/23 274/2 286/16 292/7 292/8 297/10 297/11 297/12
weigh [5] 102/19 127/2 135/24
265/19 306/23
weighing [1] 231/5
weight [6] 50/24 76/3 76/3 76/25 106/18211/10
weird [2] 49/1 8 260/20
welcome [3] 22/21 57/9 213/7 welding [1] 169/15
went [11] 53/4 81/21 89/11 95/2 108/9 221/1 276/8 285/17 307/20 323/21 325/12
weren't [3] 44/8 44/11 325/17 west [2] 283/2 283/3
WFRV [2] 23/18111/19
WHALEN [3] 3/17 293/5 293/8
what's [17] 37/21 45/22 49/24 49/24 108/19 108/19 116/25 122/24 130/5 136/8 136/8 146/9 227/16 260/11 278/11 289/14 290/21
whatever [24] 62/8 70/7 106/7 131/16 150/15 172/19 177/19 190/25 191/2 197/24 201/21 201/21 212/20 229/16 231/25 244/9 262/13 263/2 268/5 284/22 299/14 302/3 322/18 322/25
whatsoever [3] 190/13 190/14 243/15
whereas [1] 10/7
wherever [1] 242/10
whether [62] 8/6 10/19 19/23
33/24 42/18 44/24 45/20 45/23
47/5 55/9 64/9 67/10 70/5 72/12
76/19 97/3 100/2 104/7 121/1
135/2 142/12 148/9 149/11 154/21
154/22 156/14 160/9 168/15
175/23 177/13 181/7 181/16
183/10 183/16 198/16 202/2 202/4
202/8 $211 / 11219 / 21$ 221/21 229/6 229/13 232/12 242/3 245/3 252/20 253/15 255/2 265/2 282/5 290/3 291/5 308/15 309/1 311/15311/16 316/17316/18319/1 323/5 324/4 while [18] 5/13 25/11 37/2 39/13 70/5 84/24 $152 / 15$ 164/2 171/18 221/23 227/25 228/19 235/23
246/10 256/11 295/23 298/8 314/9
who's [8] 4/13 27/11 51/10 60/18
67/5 154/14 263/8 288/23
whoever [5] 50/4 72/11 133/24
173/13 307/19
whole [13] $7 / 208 / 1712 / 212 / 12$
15/20 29/10 67/18 92/13 100/2
130/23 208/23 236/16 311/21
whom [3] 52/22 225/7 319/18
whose [1] 159/12
wide [1] 31/7
wife [1] 36/17
wife's [1] 33/8
Williams [1] 4/11
willing [3] 123/7 266/13 301/22
Willis[10] $1 / 1063 / 583 / 4122 / 18$
135/16 174/11 190/18 214/25
231/15 281/9
Willis' [2] 123/8 254/10

Wilson [1] 89/14
wind [9] 36/11 46/21 53/12 53/13 73/17 141/12 284/5 286/15 288/1 winter [3] 146/12 146/16 230/7
Wis [1] 158/16
WISCONSIN [26] $1 / 1$ 1/3 $1 / 131 / 15$ 4/2 12/23 12/24 19/11 32/15 57/4 66/11 80/20 86/24 119/7 137/18 151/12 158/14 166/24 188/21
223/21 249/2 272/9 280/9 294/23
326/1 326/6
wise [2] 68/14 190/12
wisely [2] 19/14 220/14
wish [12] 5/17 7/9 9/10 13/23
14/20 23/12 55/12 110/12 112/11
131/16 219/3 222/14
wished [1] 112/5
wishes [1] 23/10
withdrawn [1] 97/3
within [3] $14 / 786 / 15145 / 22$
without [9] 22/1 44/19 45/10 53/9
159/4 170/11 205/10 206/19 297/12
withstanding [2] 110/4 148/8
witness [50] $23 / 2346 / 1648 / 14$ 49/22 50/23 50/25 51/10 51/11 72/24 73/19 84/5 100/3 101/9 101/25 102/19 110/8 127/4 128/1 128/3 135/23 177/21 178/7 191/24 194/11 201/10 202/2 205/2 209/8 209/16 209/17 211/9 211/17
214/14 214/17215/3 246/13
246/13 246/17 257/5 263/23
265/20 304/3 306/8 306/11 307/8
310/1 311/17311/20 312/7312/15
witnesses [11] 24/8 50/21 105/11
105/14 106/2 106/3 127/6 205/3
205/6 214/10 315/8
WMOT [1] 95/21
woman [4] 153/14 154/14 234/1
285/7
women [1] 277/2
WOMT [5] 69/16 69/16 226/17
226/22 237/24
wonder [2] 33/24 50/13
wondered [1] 285/8
wonderful [1] 183/24
wondering [4] 52/25 288/12
310/23 325/2
wood [1] 230/6
woods [4] 23/18 230/5 230/6 276/21
word [13] 65/11 73/13 75/2 128/20 153/23 166/19 174/10 178/25
256/7 256/9 256/10 274/16 298/25 wording [2] 177/8 181/1
words [20] 43/4 51/13 90/21
103/25 127/4 134/10 135/17 153/6
163/13 177/3 177/9 184/15 190/25
221/5 231/3 254/22 256/12 264/19
275/11 301/22
work[98] 26/20 27/16 29/1 29/3
$31 / 431 / 431 / 1635 / 1835 / 21$
$36 / 1336 / 1637 / 238 / 1038 / 11$
$38 / 13$ 38/18 38/25 53/15 53/21
57/1757/18 57/20 59/23 62/17
65/7 65/9 66/4 79/18 80/9 81/20
83/10 87/6 87/6 87/10 94/21
94/24 95/17 96/2 119/20 119/23
124/5 124/8 126/5 128/16 128/19
130/16 139/18 144/9 153/16 162/3
165/12 189/8 189/12 203/9 224/6
224/15 224/16 224/24 225/5 225/7

232/18 235/10 241/4 241/6 247/11
251/7 251/11 251/11 256/6 260/24
267/21 272/12 272/13 273/1 273/2 273/4 273/5 273/6 273/14 273/16 273/20 273/22 274/23 277/23
278/14 278/17 284/6 301/11 302/9 302/12 302/20 303/2 314/11
$314 / 11318 / 24320 / 6320 / 18324 / 3$
worked [26] 34/18 34/24 35/10
58/13 87/8 87/25 90/21 125/17 142/20 $155 / 12155 / 23169 / 5$
169/15 192/3 224/17 224/19 225/4
233/8 233/9 233/24 234/1 272/18
272/20 273/11 284/7 295/18
worker [1] 28/12
workers [2] 28/14 279/3
working [28] 75/19 90/19119/7
124/3 125/11 131/1 143/20 166/16
169/5 169/6 192/2 224/4 225/2
226/1 230/2 249/17 249/17 249/21
250/10 259/6 259/9 259/18 278/15 278/16 278/23 284/8 295/16 296/20
works [13] 36/21 43/1 77/2 92/6
125/4 129/20 136/17 155/8 241/12 265/15 266/25 267/14 318/21
world [7] 46/14 51/14 70/24 204/4
277/14 279/23 289/8
worried [2] 157/5 157/21
worry [2] 98/10 98/11
worse [1] 146/20
worth [1] 14/11
wouldn't [34] 60/7 92/19 100/17
102/10 104/5 127/4 127/9 135/10
135/19 135/21 137/3 143/11
143/18 $159 / 9185 / 9185 / 23191 / 16$ 210/2 210/19 211/22 220/4 230/22 237/6 243/3 243/3 243/12 250/15 250/23 251/17 254/25 289/11
309/16 313/13 321/8
wreaks [1] 249/10
wrestle [1] 75/22
Wrightstown [1] 283/4
write [2] 137/3 278/21
writing [4] 28/24 63/10 126/7
295/7
written [8] 8/9 26/7 127/21 133/1 184/16 184/20 184/21 307/21
wrong [10] 41/15 41/23 42/16 49/9
103/14 139/9 $182 / 5184 / 24$ 244/14 244/25
wrongfully [2] 66/23 280/14
wrongly [5] 107/13 212/10 213/3 213/4 245/17
wrote [5] 23/15 52/13 127/8 184/14 307/19

## Y

yakking [1] 38/18
Yard [1] 207/20
year [25] 39/23 81/5 89/2 90/3
90/5 108/23 109/1 120/14 121/18 140/22 142/9 150/3 154/6 154/17
154/17 157/1 194/25 232/1 232/2
241/2 241/4 273/10 273/11 296/7 307/22
yearly [1] 140/13
years [68] 34/20 34/25 35/15
58/14 59/9 70/6 70/8 72/7 74/12 79/22 80/23 81/7 87/9 108/18
120/3 123/15 124/7 126/18 134/11
137/9 137/12 137/15 137/22
138/2 1 138/25 139/2 139/3 139/7

|  |
| :---: |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. We're here this morning for the second day of individual voir dire. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: State by Ken Kratz and Tom Fallon, Judge.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Steven Avery in person; Jerome Buting and Dean Strang representing.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, I understand you have some matters you wish to take up before we begin with the first juror today.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I have one thing. I provided Mr. Strang and Buting with a correspondence which includes four images that the State intends to use in its opening. The Court may recall that on January 18th I provided the Court with images that we intended to use.

Some of those images were updated, are changed and I replaced a couple of them with photos rather than diagrams. I don't know that Mr. Buting and Strang are going to object; at some point we need to be heard about this, but I wanted to alert the Court. I will provide your Clerk with those four new images. And Mr. Strang
and Mr. Buting have a copy.
And sometime before the openings, Judge, we'll have to discuss whether or not there is an objection. I just wanted to note that the replacement or the exchange of those I'm proposing today, but we can bring that up sometime before the openings. Thank you, that's all I have.

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I acknowledge receipt of the latter. There won't, I don't expect, be any objection to the substitution of new images one and two. Images three and four raise -- they are from the Tim Austin animation and I'm in agreement that before openings we probably need to have the evidentiary hearing on that entire exhibit, so that Mr. Kratz knows whether he can use it or not in his opening statement. I understand Trooper Austin, we think, is back in the country. I shouldn't speak for Mr. Kratz on that, but that was the sense I had in speaking with him.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I told Mr. Strang I would find out at the break, Judge, so I can alert the Court.

THE COURT: Very well. All right.

Anything else before we bring in the first juror?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, Judge. Counsel and I have had an opportunity late last night and again this morning to discuss the potential of agreeing on additional jurors to be struck for cause. I would indicate, I think we have agreement on three. There may well be a fourth, but the State did want to hear from the prospective juror before we made a final decision on that.

In terms of those that we have agreed to strike for cause, we have agreed that Juror No. 44 would be struck for cause. Upon review of his questionnaire, $I$ think the Court will note that this prospective juror has had discussions with a witness in the case and has some information, thus, that would be available to him, not from the courtroom proceedings. And the parties believe that it would be better not to take a risk relative to that juror.

The other two jurors, the parties have agreed, there is some question as to either side, but the parties have agreed that Juror No. 37 and Juror 39 would be excused. We have a question as to whether Juror 37, we have received some information that she may not have been following
the Court's directive regarding media coverage in the past 7 to 10 days since receiving her notice. And there's also a question of objective bias for that witness.

With respect to No. 39, we're agreeing with the defense request. There may be a subjective bias issue on No. 39, but there's also, looks like a child care hardship issue, if the Court looks. This woman, a grandmother, apparently has care of a 7 year old. And the 7 year old would be lacking in supervision if this woman were selected as a juror. So for those reasons, we would ask that Jurors 37 and 39 be struck for cause as well.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I -- I agree as to all three. And I -- I simply will add that, as to Juror No. 44, there's clearly a pretty firmly held opinion on the merits of the case, in addition to the comments that Mr . Fallon made.

THE COURT: All right. I will review those questionnaires later. But I understand I will review them with the understanding both parties are requesting that those jurors be left out for cause.

With respect to the order today, we're going to begin with Juror 19. I think he was the
last person here yesterday, who asked to be first today since he sat around yesterday.

And then Juror 25 requested to be called earlier because she has to work this afternoon. So after 19, we'll go to 25 , then go back in order. Anything else before we begin?

Oh, one other thing I did want to
mention. I gave to defense counsel -- and I think they were going to pass on a copy to the prosecution -- a draft of the substantive instruction that the Court was going to include in the preliminary instructions to the jurors. I under -- I will be providing the parties and take comment before we begin a complete set of preliminary instructions, which I believe I'm required to do. But $I$ wanted to pass on a copy of the proposed substantive instructions, first, since those are often the -- can be the source of comments from the parties.

If there's nothing else, we will bring in Mr. Nelesen at this time. All right. Mr. Nelesen, at this time the clerk will administer the oath to you.

THE CLERK: Will you raise your right hand, please.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Nelesen, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. This morning we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is individual voir dire. In a minute the attorneys for each of the parties will be able to ask you some follow up questions to the information that you included in your questionnaire.

I will let you know that the jurors selected to hear this case will not be sequestered during the jury; that is, you will be permitted to return home at the end of every court session. And we're able to do that because of a requirement that the jurors not learn anything during the course of the trial from the news media regarding this case.

So the instruction that the jurors are not to read any newspapers, watch any television accounts, listen to the radio, or read anything on the internet, or talk to anyone else about the case will continue throughout the trial.

I also want you to know that although the court proceedings today are open to the public, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom
during voir dire and members of the news media are not permitted to identify the jurors by name at any time.

In addition, should you be selected to serve as a juror, you should know that during the course of the trial the cameras in the courtroom are not permitted to show the faces of the jurors or show anything that would identify the jurors in any way, to any viewers.

In the event you remain as a juror following questioning today, you will get a telephone call within the next few days letting you know when to report back to court.

At this time, then, Mr. Fallon, you may begin your questioning.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Nelesen.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon and I'm an Assistant Attorney General for the State of Wisconsin and I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my left is Mr. Kratz, the lead prosecutor and he's the Calumet County District Attorney. Thank you for coming this morning. Sorry we kept you late
last night.
A. That's all right.
Q. We'll do our best to get you in and out of here this morning. I wanted to start with a few questions from the information you provided in your questionnaire. And, first of all, ask you a couple of questions regarding your employment. And I see you are a mechanic at the transport company?
A. Correct.
Q. How long have you been employed there as a mechanic?
A. Almost two years.
Q. Two years?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to that, any other employment?
A. Yeah, I worked as a mechanic in Appleton for a year. And previously I worked at the same company I do now, for about a little under four years.
Q. Okay. The reason $I$ ask is, if you were to be selected as a jury -- as a juror, excuse me, for the jury, the case may very well take six weeks or so to try, which means you may very well be not reporting to work for six weeks. And I just
wanted to make sure.
On the last question of the questionnaire, you expressed that you didn't think that would be any particular hardship for you. So we wanted to make sure that was the case, that if you were selected as a juror, this wouldn't be any kind of economic hardship. Is that still the case?
A. Well, I think for anybody with -- going without wages for, you know, that period of time, I think that would pose some type of a problem, I would think. You know, my savings account would probably be drained, but I don't think I would -I don't think $I$ would die because of it. I don't think I would starve to death because of it.
Q. All right. But do you -- you do have a concern as to whether or not you would have sufficient income to meet your expenses?
A. Oh, definitely, yeah, I would say so. I have bills like anyone else, loan payments that I do have to make, just like anyone else.
Q. All right.
A. And I would, like I said, I would think, hopefully, that I would have enough in my savings to, you know, be able to make those payments for
that amount of time. But I probably wouldn't have anything left, you know, for some other bills, I wouldn't think.
Q. Have you conferred with your employer as to whether there would be any compensation forthcoming at all if you were?
A. He hasn't got back to me as of yet.
Q. All right. So it's still somewhat of an open question?
A. Yeah. I would think so, yeah.
Q. All right. If per chance in the next couple of days, if you hear anything more on that, would you be so kind as to advise the court personnel of that and we'll certainly take that into consideration.
A. Okay. Sure, I can do that.
Q. Thank you. The next bit of information that you provided of some interest for the parties here is the fact that you are at least somewhat familiar with the Avery Salvage Yard?
A. Yeah, a little bit. I have purchased a couple of car parts from there in the past.
Q. All right. How often would you say you have frequented the yard?
A. I think $I$ was probably there maybe three times.
Q. During these occasions that you were at the salvage yard, did you wander through the yard and the property at all or were you just basically --
A. No, I wasn't allowed to.
Q. You weren't allowed to. You were just at the office --
A. Just went in the front office there, you know, asked for the part that I needed, and they got it and ...
Q. All right.
A. I just bought it and left.
Q. When was the most recent or the last time you were there conducting some business at the salvage yard?
A. I would say probably five or six years ago.
Q. All right. Do you recall meeting any of the people there or recognize any of the names?
A. No, I don't recognize any of the names.
Q. All right. On each of the occasions, your business was limited to the office area?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. With respect to your news sources, could you tell us where you -- if you were to -- you checked a number of potential news sources from radio, to television, newspapers, neighbors and
things of that sort. If you were to think about it now, what would you say is the medium in which you get most of your information?
A. I would probably say the newspaper.
Q. The newspaper. Okay. Do you regularly read the paper?
A. Not regularly, no. I would say two to three times a week.
Q. Okay. Have you been following the -- this particular case with any interest in the newspaper or television?
A. I have read about it, but $I$ haven't really followed it with any interest.
Q. All right. Have you watched any of the television coverage of the case?
A. Yeah, I have seen a little bit of it in the past, you know, just what they had on the news and what not.
Q. On the news?
A. Yeah.
Q. Have you watched any of the actual courtroom proceedings on television or through the internet?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Okay. Now, in the question as to whether you
have formed any opinions based on the information that's been available in the media and elsewhere; you would say, well, from what I have read I would think that he was guilty, but I'm sure that there is more to the case that I don't know about.
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. Is that your heartfelt opinion as you sit here today?
A. I would say from what I have read, I think any --any -- any person would, you know, tend to, you know, think -- think that he were guilty through the information provided in newspapers and what not where $I$ got my information. I think anyone would probably think that.
Q. All right. Now, the next question that was asked in the questionnaire, and I think the one that the Court and the parties are most interested in, is whether any of those opinions that you have formed as a result of information obtained in the media could be set aside, if you were selected as a juror, and you could decide this case just on what information is presented in court?
A. I would like to think that I could. But I would -- I wouldn't guarantee that I could
actually do that.
Q. All right. All right. That's a perfectly understandable and honest response, I think. The question then becomes is, although you are not 100 percent sure is what you wrote here, are you certainly willing to listen to the instructions of the Judge?
A. Oh, definitely.
Q. Excuse me. And if you were instructed that your duty as a juror, as it were, is to decide the case based solely on the information contained or presented at trial, you would make your best effort to do that?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. All right. Is there any other reason, you know, personal philosophy, conscience, religious reason, any other reason that you are aware of that, you know, causes you to doubt whether you could give us your best effort and follow the instruction?
A. I would say a little bit of conscience.
Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about that; what is -- what concern do you have there?
A. Well, it's a big decision to make.
Q. All right.
A. And it's nothing that $I$ would take lightly and it's a very difficult decision.
Q. All right.
A. It's not the fact that -- I wouldn't say it would be that I would be very nervous about it, but it's -- it's just not anything taken lightly. It's not -- somebody didn't just, you know, steal a candy bar from the gas station or anything; that would be taken lightly. This is a serious issue.
Q. All right.
A. And I think anyone would, you know, have a little conscience about it.
Q. All right. So could I infer or could the Court and the parties infer, that because this is a matter of grave importance, a very weighty matter, that you would give us your best effort in following the instructions that were given to you?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You indicated that you do have a friend who is a police officer; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And who would that be?
A. Officer David Flemal.
Q. David?
A. Flemal.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm sorry, Fleming? MR. NELESEN: Flemal.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ All right. And how do you know Officer Flemal?
A. He lives down the street from me.
Q. All right. Do you regularly get together with him and discuss the world's events as it were or just --
A. No, not really. We pretty much just talk motor sports.
Q. About what, sports?
A. Motor sports and stuff like that.
Q. Motor sports. Yes, I see you are a stock car fan; is he as well?
A. I used to race motocross and he is into motocross.
Q. Motocross?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right.
A. So we talk about that a lot.
Q. All right. How often do you get together with Officer Flemal?
A. I would say I talk to him every three weeks to a month.
Q. Okay. Do your conversations ever get to the more serious matters such as a case like this or criminal justice issues in general or anything like --
A. No, that's just his job. We just -- We're just -- We just talk on a friends personal level.
Q. Okay. So do you think that your acquaintance with Officer Flemal would in any way impact your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
A. No, that wouldn't affect it.
Q. What?
A. No, that wouldn't affect it.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you have any close friends or relatives that are currently working in the news industry?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. Okay. Do you own a computer?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. All right. Do you occasionally surf the internet?
A. Yeah, I look for race car stuff on the internet.
Q. All right. Do you ever use the internet to get some more information regarding news stories or
events that are current events?
A. No.
Q. All right. Have you looked at any of the coverage or information regarding this case on the internet?
A. No, I have not.
Q. All right. In terms of this case, can you recall for us, as best you can, what you do remember or think you know about the case from the media coverage, at least as it pertains to Mr. Avery?
A. Um, I can't remember a whole lot. Just let me think about this for a second. Can you be a little more descriptive as to what you are asking?
Q. Well, let's see, do you -- do you remember any press conferences or original arrest reports involving Mr. Avery or any other person's association with?
A. I don't remember any arrest reports or anything like that. I didn't really read that much into it.
Q. Okay.
A. Pretty much just looked at it on the front page, read a little bit of it, wasn't really interested in it --
Q. Okay.
A. -- went on to the next thing.
Q. Would it be fair to say you don't really have any strong opinions about the guilt or innocence?
A. I have my opinions.
Q. Okay. Well, tell us, let's talk about that. What -- what are your opinions?
A. Like I stated in the questionnaire, my opinions, from what I have gotten, from what I have read, would -- I think would tend to -- for anyone to think that he was guilty.
Q. All right.
A. I think that's pretty much just human nature, but that's all that they read.
Q. Okay.
A. Or all that $I$ read, $I$ should say.
Q. All right.
A. I read a little bit up on it as far as, you know, what had actually happened. A little bit of the information about the vehicle and blood found in the vehicle, I had read some about that.
Q. All right.
A. And that he had weapons in his house, things like that.
Q. All right. Any other particular details that
stand out in your mind at the moment?
A. No, not really that stand out in my mind.
Q. All right. And are you familiar at all with the circumstances regarding the arrest of a fellow by the name of Dassey?
A. Yeah, I have heard a little bit about him.
Q. All right. And what do you recall about that?
A. I recall that he was questioned and admitted that he was involved with it and had contributed in the crime as well.
Q. All right. Do you remember any particular details regarding statements that he made?
A. Um, not -- not any real details.
Q. All right.
A. Just that he was pretty much just involved.
Q. All right. Okay. I note from your questionnaire that you don't have any prior jury service, but I did want to ask, is there anything as you sit here today and think about your own philosophy, or conscience, or personal beliefs, or any religious beliefs; is there anything in that area that you think might give you a problem or make it difficult for you to be a juror in this case?
A. I would say for anyone it would be a difficult thing. Just, as I stated before, it's not a
matter to be taken lightly.
Q. All right.
A. And I think it's a very big decision and it would -- it would bother me, yeah.
Q. All right. I think I probably did not artfully ask that question. I understand it would be difficult. I guess what I'm driving at is, is there any firm, personal beliefs that you hold that, for instance, you could not sit in judgment of another person and assess their guilt or innocence based on the information provided?
A. No, I think I could do that.
Q. Okay. In your profession as a mechanic, have you ever been called upon to participate in writing any safety guidelines or enforcing any safety standards for work as a mechanic?
A. Sure, I have. I have to do a lot of safety stuff, make sure people are doing their job in a correct manner and not doing something that's, you know, going to get them or someone else hurt.
Q. All right. Do you enjoy that work?
A. Sure, yes.
Q. And why is that?
A. I enjoy being a mechanic and I enjoy working with others and I enjoy helping people out when they,
you know, need help with something.
Q. Is there any type of satisfaction that you derive from ensuring that you have a safe work
environment for yourself and others?
A. Yeah, I would say so.
Q. Okay. How long have you been a resident of Manitowoc County?
A. All my life.
Q. All right. How would you rate the job that the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department is doing in your community in terms of dealing with crime or servicing the public in other ways; good, bad, fair, excellent?
A. I would say they do a good job.
Q. Okay. Any particular experiences that you have had or anything in particular that helps you reach that opinion?
A. No, I don't really have any reasons why I reached that opinion $I$ just think --
Q. I'm sorry, I couldn't --
A. I don't really have any reasons. I would think that they do a fairly good job.
Q. That's your perception of things?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Since you are a mechanic and interested in
automobiles, have you ever used a publication such as Auto Trader, to buy or sell a car?
A. I used CarSoup to try to sell a car, but it didn't sell. so.
Q. You used what?
A. CarSoup, carsoup.com.
Q. Okay.
A. I used that to try to sell a car, but it didn't sell so, other than that, nothing, no.
Q. Didn't have much luck?
A. No.
Q. Are you familiar with the publication Auto Trader?
A. Yeah, I have seen it.
Q. Okay. In terms of your approach to making a decision or, for instance, writing your safety guidelines, or making such policy decisions like that; would you consider yourself a detail oriented person or a big picture person or?
A. I would say I get into some detail, yeah.
Q. All right. And are you a movie goer?
A. I would say no.
Q. All right. Have you ever seen or heard about a movie called The Thin Blue Line?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Okay. Sir, you have seen us all stand up awkwardly in front of a group, a room full of people, but I'm Dean Strang; this is Jerome Buting; and Steve Avery. We're the defense lawyers.
A. Okay.
Q. How do I pronounce your last name?
A. Nelesen. Nelesen.
Q. Nelesen?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Thanks. The place you are living now, you have been in about seven months?
A. What was that?
Q. The place you are living now, you have been in that house about seven months?
A. Yeah, I would say, yes.
Q. Do you rent or own?
A. Actually, I live with my parents.
Q. Okay.
A. Right now.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. And had you been in a place of your own before that?
A. Yeah, I lived with my girlfriend.
Q. And are you -- I don't need to know reasons or anything, but are you looking to move back into a place of your own soon or?
A. Yes, yes. This is -- Where I'm living right now is a temporary.
Q. Okay. So does that -- where I'm going with that is, really, does this contribute to your concern about building up a savings account a little bit or getting, you know, having --
A. Yeah, I'm in the process of trying to purchase a house right now.
Q. Okay. All right. So let's sort of go from 0 to 100 in about 6 seconds here. It's not just that the trial may last -- well may last six weeks, okay, but at the end of the trial, and we can more or less guess how long the evidence and arguments might go, but at the end of the trial, the people left on the jury have to deliberate?
A. Correct.
Q. And there's no way to know how long that will go?
A. No.
Q. Only those 12 people, you know, and they don't know, because it's a matter of you are not done until you -- ideally, until you all 12 agree on the verdicts for however many charges you are considering.
A. Okay.
Q. So we have no -- none of us have any way of predicting how long that would go. And my concern, since that comes at the very end, is that, you know, would the deliberations and sticking it through and working through the evidence as long as it took, be something you could do when you are already at the end of five or six weeks of being out of work? You see what I'm worried about?
A. I didn't really take that into consideration. I wasn't aware that it was going to be that much of an added time. I had heard and I was told that it would probably take -- it could take up to a month, but I didn't really consider that there was added time at the end of it.
Q. And I have no way of knowing.
A. That makes -- Yeah, that makes matters a little bit different, yeah.
Q. Okay. I'm not trying to suggest that that would, you know, go on indefinitely?
A. It could take a week or six months is what you are saying.
Q. Or 10 minutes, I mean. But, you know, none of us have, and you don't have, any way of knowing.
A. Right.
Q. Because it's, you know, deliberation is about 12 people trying to agree on things that, you know, involve looking back over four or five, six weeks of evidence. And I -- You know, you are very -I have noticed that you are very empathetic in the sense of, you know, that the problems you see you say everybody would have. I mean anybody would feel this is a tough decision and all that.
A. Right.
Q. But right now it is sort of about you and whether, you know, whether you really would be putting yourself in a hole by getting into this?
A. I would, definitely. But if that's what I'm asked to do, then I guess that's what I'm asked to do.
Q. Okay. I wanted to hear a little more about what
you -- what you really like about being a mechanic; what lights you up about it? I mean your hobby ties into that pretty closely with stock car racing?
A. Oh, definitely. And that's one thing that would go down the tubes too, so that's another consideration of mine.
Q. What do you mean by go down the tubes, I'm sorry, Mr. Nelesen?
A. Stock car racing is pretty expensive.
Q. Oh, okay.
A. And I have worked pretty hard to be able to afford it.
Q. Right.
A. And it takes a good percentage of my money to do that.
Q. You actually race?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Are you the driver?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. And, you know, up here, I mean -- meaning up here in the northern part of the country?
A. Yeah.
Q. I associate that with being a seasonal sort of a sport, but do you travel around to places where
it's warmer?
A. No, I don't. It -- Pretty much just regional.
Q. Okay. And how, in terms of the season for that, how -- how much of the expense is coming up in February, March?
A. A great deal of the expense.
Q. Tell me about that.
A. Well, as far as -- to give you an example, in the class that I race, I'm looking at coming up with -- trying to come up with 6 to $\$ 8,000$ for just one engine. So that's a great deal of money for someone, for me, to come up with. And I have been saving up for it for quite a long time. Probably half way through last year and all winter I have been saving up for that.
Q. Is this the time of year where you are in the garage at night?
A. All the time.
Q. Getting the car ready for May?
A. Yeah, exactly.
Q. Okay. So you are spending the money now?
A. Oh, yeah, faster than it's coming in, yeah.
Q. And any purse or any winnings you might get --
A. That all goes right back into the operation.
Q. And those wouldn't come until the warmer weather?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So back to what -- what -- what lights you up about being a mechanic?
A. I just like to work with my hands. It's just something about cars, something about engines that just. I think each person has something that they can just get into, get involved with and forget about all the stress in their lives. And when I'm working on things like that, my stress just goes away.
Q. It goes away?
A. Yeah.
Q. And yet you also like working with people?
A. Yeah, I get along with -- I like to talk a lot.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And I --
Q. Me to.
A. And, yeah, I just like to talk a lot and I like to get along with people. Meet different people.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. It's just -- I don't know if it's right or wrong, it's just part of my personality.
Q. Why would it be right or wrong?
A. I don't know that's --
Q. Yeah.
A. It's just part of my personality.
Q. Okay. So is -- I mean, is it the actual physical labor, having your hands in the, you know --
A. Oh, definitely.
Q. -- that you like, as opposed to, you know, the math of --
A. Oh, no.
Q. -- adjusting a carburetor or whatever?
A. No, I'm terrible at Math.
Q. Okay.
A. But it's just getting in there and trial and error and doing those things. It's a challenge, to see if I can do what needs to be done to go faster. That's pretty much all it is, is a challenge.
Q. Is -- Is this something where sort of cutting and scraping your hands up is a regular part of life for you?
A. Oh, yeah. Definitely, yeah.
Q. You have got bandaids around?
A. Well, I usually don't wear bandaids, just dirt gets in there and I just leave it, keep working. I have pretty much done it my whole life, so I'm used to that.
Q. Okay. The three times you have been out to Avery

Auto Salvage --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- that was just looking for parts for cars you were working on?
A. Yeah, just -- just street driven cars that $I$ had at the time.
Q. And are there -- are there other auto salvage yards in the area you have snooped around, you know, shopped at?
A. Sure. Sure, definitely.
Q. Poked around?
A. Yes.
Q. Pretty much all of them in the area, or is it unusual?
A. Yeah, I would say probably 75 percent of them in the area.
Q. How about Norb's in Denmark?
A. No, not that far away. I haven't gone that far away.
Q. But in like the immediate Manitowoc --
A. Yeah, the immediate Manitowoc area.
Q. And you, I gathered from what you said to Mr. Fallon, that you don't have any memory of who it was you dealt with at the Avery yard?
A. No, I don't. I don't know who it was.
Q. Or even --
A. Just somebody that worked there. I don't know. I just came in and picked up a part --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- and left.
Q. Okay. Or even if it was the same guy the three times you have been there?
A. No, I couldn't really tell you that.
Q. Okay. Tell me what you know -- and I don't want to do that to you. Tell me what you -- what you have read, or what you have learned, what you may know about Brendan Dassey, from the newspaper or the TV.
A. For the most part, just that he was involved -involved in the crime. I didn't really read a whole lot on it. I pretty much just go through the sports section and stuff like that. But, you know, I read over, you know, read a paragraph or so. Then just, like I said, turn the page with just a loss of interest. But $I$ would say just mostly that he was just involved --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- in the entire thing.
Q. Did you get -- did you get a sense of any of the gruesome details?
A. Not a lot, no.
Q. Okay. And what is -- What does Dassey have to do with Steven Avery's case, in your mind? What's the connection if any?
A. Well, if he was -- I would say if he was involved in the crime, I would say he would have everything to do with it.
Q. If he, who he?
A. I would say -- what is his name, Dassey?
Q. Yeah.
A. Yeah, I'm not sure -- I'm not sure what his first name was.
Q. Brendan.
A. Brendan, yeah. I would say if -- well, if from what I have read in the newspaper that he was involved, I would say he would be involved with the entire crime, from what $I$ have known.
Q. Yeah. No, I know. I mean, I understand you are getting this from the papers and the TV. I-- I got that. And -- but do I hear you saying that, based on what has been reported to you about Brendan, that it's more likely that Steven Avery is guilty?
A. I would say one would tend to believe that, yes.
Q. And why -- why would you tend to believe that?
A. Because I would say that's pretty much what they had said in the newspaper.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. That's what they had -- how can I say this -- he admitted to participating in the crime and that they were both involved in it.
Q. Do you have a sense that, you know, a 16 or 17 year old like Brendan Dassey would not have admitted to the crime if he didn't do it?
A. I wouldn't see why he would admit to it if he didn't do it.
Q. If -- if you heard evidence about, or -- or, you know, the lawyers made arguments about why someone might confess to something he didn't do; is that something you would be able to listen to fairly, consider?
A. I would say I could listen to it fairly, yes.
Q. But it sort of strikes you as ...
A. It strikes me as odd.
Q. Odd, yeah.
A. Yes.
Q. Sure. What do you make of the fact that Steven Avery is here, you know, that he is sitting in the defendant's chair?
A. He was asked to be here. I don't really make
anything of it, I would expect him to be here.
Q. Does it suggest he probably did something wrong?
A. I would say people think that he did something wrong. I wouldn't want to go and say that he did, that is not really my decision and shouldn't be my opinion right now. But $I$ would say he is here for a reason.
Q. Yeah. And, I mean, it is sort of your opinion that we're after now. And it's -- the good thing about this is -- I mean, you are being really candid about that and that's helpful. And the -you will -- you would be told, if you were a juror, that Steven Avery is presumed innocent.
A. Exactly.
Q. Starts presumed innocent and he remains presumed innocent unless and until the State could prove him guilty?
A. And that's a very difficult thing to do, yes.
Q. Yeah, it is. It is a hard thing to do. And given, you know, what you have read and thought about the case, you are not 100 percent sure that you could do that, but you would try?
A. I would say that would be, yes. I would say that would be the case, yes.
Q. And I feel like I'm putting words in your mouth
so I want to stop doing that, but.
A. No, that's all right.
Q. Okay. Have you seen or heard anything really recently in the paper or on the --
A. No, I haven't.
Q. -- the TV about this?
A. No.
Q. Why?
A. I was told to not read the newspaper, not watch the news if it pertained to that.
Q. Okay. And before you were told that, were you still tuning in?
A. If something was on, I probably watched a couple minutes of it, but not to my knowledge I haven't really gone out and ran to the TV to watch it or anything like that.
Q. Rented a TV.
A. Yeah. I haven't really followed it strongly.
Q. Yeah.
A. It's just something that was on.
Q. And since you were told not to, you have obeyed that?
A. Since I was told not to, I didn't have any -didn't read the newspaper or anything like that or didn't watch the news.
Q. Mm-hmm. Let me get a feel for when -- you know, when it is you stopped. You -- have you heard anything about a blood vial?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's got some role?
A. Yeah, something about the -- it was planted and this and that. I forgot all about that.
Q. Okay. But that --
A. That did strike -- did jog my memory, yeah.
Q. Okay. A related topic on whether -- you know, whether you really can presume Steven Avery innocent, would be the choice whether he testifies or not. And, I mean, is it -- do you think, basically, most fair people want to hear both sides of a story?
A. Definitely they want to hear both sides.
Q. And how about you?
A. Yes, I would definitely want to hear both sides.
Q. Okay. Do you -- Do you understand that in America, if you are charged with a crime, you can do either of two things; you could choose to testify and then you would sort of be like any other witness?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. We do have a right to testify when we're accused of a crime in this country, even though we're the person who's got to, you know --
A. Okay.
Q. -- might want to be saving our own skin. And then also, you have a right not to testify. And the jurors get told that they can't consider that in any way in deciding whether the State's proved the person guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt. Were you familiar with those two basic notions?
A. No.
Q. Okay. If the Judge were to tell you at the end of the case, you know, and I don't know what words he would use, but if he were to tell you that you may not consider the fact that Mr. Avery did not testify, as any evidence of guilt or in deciding the case; is that an instruction you could follow?
A. I would do what he asked me to do, yes.
Q. And would you do it happily or willingly as opposed to, you know, well, I have to?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object to that question.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it.
MR. NELESEN: Could you repeat that?
Q. Sure. Would you do that, you know, willingly and
without reluctance, or would you do it because, I'm doing it because this is my duty to do it?
A. I would say there would be some reluctance.
Q. Okay. Do you think if -- Do you think it would be an easy decision for a person accused to make, whether to testify or not in his own behalf?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Why not?
A. I'm not exactly sure. I just don't think it would be a difficult.
Q. You don't think it would be difficult, or you don't think it would be easy?
A. I don't think it would be difficult, but I don't really understand what would all be involved.
Q. Mm-hmm. Do you figure that Mr. Buting and I might have some input on that? Opinions that we would offer him?
A. I would say, yeah, that's your job.
Q. Right. And let me see if you would agree with this, you know, if you are the defendant and you take the witness stand, some people on the jury may be saying, well, of course he's denying it, he doesn't -- you know, he doesn't want to get convicted. He's the one with everything at stake. And on the other hand, if you don't take
the witness stand, jurors might be saying, well, if he didn't do anything wrong, why doesn't he just get up and tell us, you know, an innocent guy would have nothing to hide. Do you see the sort of dilemma --
A. Exactly.
Q. -- there? And in the end, you know, because you are not going to be able to get into his head or my head in that decision making process --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- I would need to be comfortable that you really would and could live with the Judge's instruction that; if he testifies, he's like any other witness; if he doesn't testify, you can't consider that as any evidence of his guilt?
A. I would say I could do that, yes.
Q. You told us in your jury questionnaire that -- I forget exactly how you put it, but it was pretty good, that -- oh, I'm terrible at math?
A. Yeah, I am.
Q. That's exactly how you put it. This case, on both sides, may involve a certain amount of scientific evidence and testimony about scientific evidence, you know, collection of evidence, testing of evidence in certain ways.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. People who do that for a living and have some expertise in, you know, whatever, ballistics, or DNA, or whatever it might be.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And I don't mean to suggest that that would require a jury full of mathematicians, but is that sort of testimony something that you anticipate as finding boring, or interesting, or what do you think?
A. I would say it would be interesting.
Q. Why?
A. Probably for the fact that it's something that I don't know about and I like to learn new things.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. Whether I'm good at them or not, I like to learn new things and I think it would be interesting.
Q. And what if there were, let's say, two or more experts and they weren't in complete agreement, or they were even in disagreement about the meaning of certain things, or what certain findings were; how would you sort that out if this is all new to you?
A. I would say that each person has there own opinion, their own views, of how it would be.

And I can't really answer how I would actually sort that out.
Q. But you would listen to both?
A. Oh, definitely, yes.
Q. And then weigh them and --
A. And make a decision, I guess, yes.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. Let me just check with Mr. Buting and see if he thinks $I$ have missed anything?
A. Okay.
Q. I did miss something.
A. Okay.
Q. I realize David Flemal is just your friend --
A. Yes.
Q. -- down the street, but $I$ want to explore police officers a little bit more with you. There will be a number of police officers or law enforcement officers who testify in this case from different agencies and departments?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And there was a question on the questionnaire about this: You will probably be told that a law enforcement officer who testifies is considered like any other witness.
A. Right.
Q. And do you -- do you think in your experience and
in your belief, is a police officer less likely to lie under oath than other people might be?
A. I would say, yeah.
Q. Why?
A. I would say they are more involved with the law and I think they probably would take it more seriously than an average person.
Q. Okay. And that said, setting aside robo cop movies, do you agree that law enforcement officers are human, like the rest of us?
A. Definitely, yes.
Q. Imperfect, I guess, like the rest of us?
A. Yes.
Q. Make mistakes like the rest of us?
A. Yes.
Q. Have personal motives or wants and wishes, just like the rest of us?
A. Yes.
Q. So, I don't hear you saying that a police officer never could lie under oath, you just think it's probably less likely than comparing him or her to the average joe on the street?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you at least consider the possibility, be open to and listening for the possibility, that a
police officer, a law enforcement officer, might have a reason to shade the truth or not remember something accurately?
A. If that's what $I$ was asked to do, then that would be what I would have to do.
Q. Okay. There would be some resistance to that idea or ...
A. I would say yes.
Q. And in putting together all the evidence that you would hear, since Mr. Avery is saying he is not guilty --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- do you think that he, or we for that matter, ought to have to show you who did kill Teresa Halbach?
A. I would think that would be a good thing, yeah.
Q. Okay. Sure it would be a good thing. Do we have to do that in order to convince you that Steven Avery didn't?
A. I would say yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: All right. I think I'm done, thank you, very much.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Mr. Nelesen, I have got some follow-up questions
for you. I take it from a number of your answers that you don't come into court today being familiar with many of the rules that apply in a criminal case.
A. No, I don't.
Q. I want to follow up, for example, on Mr. Strang's last series of questions?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. For one thing, in a criminal case, the defendant is not obligated to prove his guilt or his innocence, the State has to prove that he is guilty before a jury can return a guilty verdict?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you understand that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. That means that a defendant that -- does not have to convince the jury who might have done it, if it wasn't the defendant; the State has to prove that the defendant did it and prove that, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Now I understand, yes.
Q. Likewise, I think you testified that you had read some things about this case and based on what you had read, you thought it appeared the defendant was guilty, but that if the Court instructed you
that you had to put those feelings aside, you could follow that instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. And that, in fact, is -- would be the Court's instruction. Over the course of a six week trial, the jury is going to hear a lot more about this case than has been in the news media. Sometimes information in the news media isn't accurate.
A. Yes.
Q. But in any event, the jury that is selected will have to agree to base the decision only on the evidence in court and ignore anything else. If you're selected as a juror, do you feel you can do that?
A. I would like to think that I could do that. Yes or no answer, that's tough. I would say yes.
Q. Is there something specific that gives you pause in answering that question?
A. Definitely, everything that I have read.
Q. And when you say everything that you have read, you will probably hear some of the things that you have read in court, but you are going to hear a number of those items disputed as well.
A. That's true.
Q. Will you be able to evaluate the evidence as it comes into court, as it is introduced at the trial, in making your decision?
A. Yes.
Q. And will you be able to base your decision only on that evidence and not on anything you might have read before?
A. Yes.
Q. You feel if $I$ instruct you to do that you can do that?
A. Yes.

> THE COURT: All right. At this time we'll have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom. ATTORNEY STRANG: May I ask -THE COURT: All right. Just a second. Go ahead.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't know if counsel has a follow up, but $I$ do have one.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Putting it altogether, do you want to be on this jury?
A. Do I want to be? No.
Q. Why?
A. It's a very big decision. Whatever the decision
would be, is a lot for a person to live with. That, in fact, financial issues is a difficult situation to deal with as well. So to answer your question, do I want to be, no.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, do you have anything else?

ATTORNEY FALLON: (No verbal response.)
THE COURT: Very well. Linda, you may escort Mr. Nelesen from the courtroom.
(Wherein the juror is excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, does either party have any motion? Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, the State does not; $I$ think the juror is acceptable.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I really hate to do this and I don't expect that we'll have to do it again, or at least not often, but $I$ think we need three minutes to talk. I really do, about this one. Just, I mean, Mr. Buting and I and Mr. Avery.

THE COURT: All right. I will give you exactly three minutes and then we'll come back out. ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you. (Brief recess.)

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you, very much. The defense is not making a motion to strike.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court will accept this juror. And bring the next one in and that will be Nicole Knier. Ms Knier, if you can remain standing the Clerk will administer the oath.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Knier, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. This morning we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is known as individual voir dire. Each of the attorneys, or the attorneys for each of the parties will have an opportunity to ask you some questions that relate to your qualifications as a juror and follow up questions to the information on the questionnaire.

I did want you to know that should you be selected as a juror in this case, the jurors will not be sequestered; that means that at the end of the trial every day you will be able to go home and then come back to court the next day.

I also want you to know that although
today's proceedings are open to the public, during individual voir dire we do not permit cameras in the courtroom and the news media is not permitted to give the names of the jurors to the public. Also, during the trial itself the cameras are not permitted to show the jurors in any way such that any of the individual jurors can be identified.

After today's proceedings, if you are still deemed to be a member of the jury panel, you will get a phone call in the next day or two telling you when to report. It will be sometime this week.

With that background, Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General for the State of Wisconsin. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the lead prosecutor and he is the District Attorney in Calumet County. We're helping out Manitowoc County. Let me first ask, are we pronouncing your last name correctly; it's Knier?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Very good. One of the first questions that I would like to ask is your employment situation, as it relates to the possibility of your being selected as a juror. All right. In your questionnaire, you told us that you are presently employed by a Jim Skarda, a linebacker?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us what kind of --
A. It's a bar.
Q. Okay. And so you are a bartender by trade?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And how long have you worked at that location?
A. About six years.
Q. All right. And I understand you are also a student?
A. Yup.
Q. And where do you attend school?
A. I'm going to Blue Sky; it's in Green Bay; it's a massage school.
Q. All right. And how often or when is school held?
A. It is held one day a week, every Thursday.
Q. Every Thursday?
A. Correct.
Q. And where are you in relation to the curriculum as it were; is this like a regular semester schedule?
A. We are set to graduate March 8th, but they are making special circumstances so I could be home-schooled for the rest of it.
Q. All right. So it wouldn't -- if you were selected as a juror, it wouldn't mess up your --
A. No.
Q. -- your massage training?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm far enough along.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I said I'm far enough along.
Q. Okay. Very good. How about your job as a bartender and your ability to support yourself in the interim; is that okay?
A. Yeah, it should be.
Q. All right. So you are not too concerned for any economic hardship if you were selected?
A. Not overly.
Q. Okay. You also indicated in your questionnaire that you have at least some information or some knowledge in the field of medicine. Could you
tell us a little bit about that. Is that the massage training?
A. Yeah, with the massage training, it's actually medical massage and medical terminology is what I'm good at.
Q. Well, tell us a little bit about that.
A. Just from watching different programs and stuff, I can pick out what they are not saying correctly; what they are saying correctly; that sort of a thing.
Q. Okay. And how long have you been at least studying the medical angle as it relates to the massage therapy business?
A. For about a year. I started last March. And before that $I$ was also a certified nurses assistant.
Q. All right. And where were you a certified nursing assistant?
A. Different nursing homes in the area.
Q. All right. And how long did you do that work?
A. About two years.
Q. All right. I take it that work brought you in contact with RNs and physicians?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Would you consider yourself the
curious type as it were and would ask questions about medical --
A. Yes.
Q. -- procedures and things?
A. Mm-hmm. Yes, I like to know things.
Q. All right. Occasionally I have got to make a few notes.
A. That's okay.
Q. All right. Now, you indicated that you knew a few people of interest, I think, to the Court and the parties here. So I wanted to ask you a little bit about your familiarity with them. You indicated you are familiar with a Debbie Knox, and you believe that Debbie Knox to be a junior high principal; is that correct?
A. She was, while $I$ was in junior high.
Q. She was the principal when you were there?
A. Well, assistant principal but, yeah.
Q. All right.
A. She was my neighbor growing up as well.
Q. She was your neighbor growing up. Okay. How well do you know her?
A. I guess pretty well, I went to school with one of her sons too. I graduated with him.
Q. And how long ago was that?
A. I graduated in 2001, so five years ago.
Q. You said that she was a neighbor; literally the person next door or just down the street?
A. Just down the street.
Q. All right. Since you lived on the same street, did you, other than the school setting, did you have much opportunity to interact with each other or just with her son?
A. No, just more acquaintances.
Q. Just acquaintances. Okay. Have you ever been to her house or anything for gatherings or anything?
A. No.
Q. All right. Are you still friendly with her son?
A. No, I believe he's going to school somewhere.
Q. Okay. When you -- I take it she was the assistant principal at the high school?
A. Junior High.
Q. Junior High. When you were a student, did you have any occasion to have any discussions or contacts with her during your time as a junior high student?
A. I tried not too.
Q. Okay. Was she kind of the disciplinarian?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. So you were successful in not having
any run-ins, as it were?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. So, I mean other than that occasion or for that reason, you really didn't have any contact with her at the school?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Well, I would like to talk a little bit about the news business and a little bit about the coverage of this case. Where would you say that you get most of your news from; the newspapers, radio, television, internet?
A. Usually like the $T V$ and newspapers, if $I$ get a chance to read them.
Q. All right. And how often do you watch the news coverage on TV?
A. Not very often.
Q. Not very often.
A. I have a two year old son; I don't get a chance to watch TV.
Q. All right. How about when you are tending bar; is it --
A. No, it's mostly ESPN or something like that.
Q. All right. So it's a sports bar that you are working at?
A. Right.
Q. So there's not a lot of news coverage there other than sports coverage?
A. A lot of people talking about it but ...
Q. All right. Well, I noticed that you did mention that, that you sometimes -- things of word of mouth or you might have talked to people, patrons of the tavern. Was there any extensive discussion on your part of these proceedings or the case, or just casual stuff that you overhear from the public?
A. Just casual. I'm doing a job. I'm not there to really get into in depth conversations with people.
Q. All right. Have you followed the coverage of this case much at all?
A. I try not to.
Q. All right. Any particular reason why you try not to?
A. I try not to listen to the bad things I guess.
Q. To bad things. Okay. Do you recall, as you sit here today, any of the coverage or any of the details of what Mr. Avery is supposed to have done?
A. Yeah.
Q. Well, tell us about what you remember hearing.
A. Just what, I guess, Fox 11 is the only station that they have ever watched at the bar, if they do watch a newscast. And that's about it.
Q. All right.
A. I guess when the accusations or whatever you want to call them, when they were first on the news, that was the first time that $I$ really watched anything about it.
Q. All right. So if you have seen any news coverage is when you were tending bar, not at home?
A. Not really.
Q. All right.
A. I usually watch PBS or something.
Q. Okay. Now, you kind of gestured toward Mr. Kratz; do you remember seeing him on television?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And that was several months ago?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. That's a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. You have to --
A. Sorry.
Q. Okay. What do you remember about his appearance on television?
A. Just what he was -- I guess when he was saying what happened.
Q. Okay. Do you recall any of the details that -that he may have provided?
A. Yeah, because they were pretty graphic.
Q. All right. And was this coverage in relationship to a fellow by the name of Brendan Dassey?
A. It was both.
Q. Both. All right.
A. Both parties, I believe. I can't remember, it was a couple months ago.
Q. All right. Now, you said it was pretty graphic, do you recall any particular details regarding?
A. Just what they said that had happened.
Q. All right.
A. That is public knowledge, I guess.
Q. Okay. Well, if you could tell us, I know it may not be easy for you, but we want to make sure that we have an understanding of what you think you may know about the case and that will help Mr. Strang and I.
A. I guess just what they said had happened to Teresa, the series of events that had been published in the news, like with her being tied up and that sort of a thing.
Q. Okay. What else do you remember that is supposed to have happened to her?
A. Yeah. That she had gotten burned in a burn barrel or something like that.
Q. All right.
A. Like I said, I don't remember exact quotes so.
Q. Okay. All right. Now -- Now, I don't mean to put words in your mouth so tell me if I'm wrong, but I'm getting this feeling that, because it was somewhat graphic in terms of how she is supposed to have died; is that one of the reasons why you haven't paid much attention, or not?
A. No, I just don't watch the news.
Q. You just don't watch the news, okay.
A. Too many bad things happening in the world to worry about.
Q. All right. As a result of your, you know, tending bar and seeing some of the news coverage, including some of the coverage of Mr. Kratz, have you formed any opinions at all as to whether Mr. Gave -- Mr. Avery is guilty or innocent of this?
A. I think it could go either way.
Q. All right. And that -- So --
A. To me, you have to prove it either way.
Q. All right. In other words, the State has to prove he's guilty?
A. Yup.
Q. All right. Now, do you think the defense has to prove anything?
A. In a way, yeah.
Q. Why do you feel that?
A. Because if -- why would someone just make something up, I guess.
Q. You mean, why would the State make something up?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. But if the Court were to instruct you that Mr. Avery and his lawyers, and they will talk with you at some length about this, but if the Court were to tell you that, you know, they don't have to prove anything --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- not one blessed thing. They don't have to call one witness, put in one piece of evidence, not a thing. They can sit there like bumps on a log. And unless the State has proved to you, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he is guilty, you would have to find him not the guilty; do you understand that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you think you could do that?
A. Yeah, but you would like to think that they would have to find holes in here, in theories. Because I can't just believe everything out of your mouth is true either.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I didn't catch the answer, I'm sorry.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Could you read it back. THE COURT: We'll have the reporter read it back.
(Last answer read back.)
Q. Well, what if the only effort, or you could say evidence, presented by the defense, came in the cross-examination of the witnesses that Mr. Kratz and I called to the stand and they vigorously challenged the assertions of those witnesses. Under some circumstances, do you think that would be enough to raise a doubt in your mind, a reasonable doubt in your mind of his guilt?
A. Yeah, but not beyond all other things that could be brought up.
Q. All right. Well, if the Court were to tell you that the burden of proof here is beyond a reasonable doubt, not all doubt; you would be able to accept that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And if the Court were to tell you that the State is the only one with the burden of proof and Mr. Avery, as he sits here today, is presumed innocent, can you accept that?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. That's a yes?
A. Like I -- Yeah. Like I said, it can -- it could go either way.
Q. Okay. I'm not quite sure. We're having a little confusion as to when you say it could go either way. If you could kind of explain that a little bit more for us.
A. I guess if your -- your thought process is good enough that it's beyond a reasonable doubt, then I'm going to go with you. But if their thought process is it's beyond a reasonable doubt, then it's not.
Q. In other words, if their process raises a doubt, you would find him not guilty?
A. Correct.
Q. Raises a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.
A. And if yours does I would find him.
Q. All right.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, just so that I'm clear, you answered that you yourself have not formed any opinions at all?
A. No.
Q. All right. And do you think that you would be able to make a decision as to his guilt or innocence solely on the evidence which is presented during the course of the trial?
A. Yeah. Yes.
Q. Is there any question in your mind about that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. You also had some interesting comments regarding television shows like CSI and Bones, having medical terminology courses, some of the words they used do not make sense to what is going on in the scene?
A. Yeah.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. Like if you watch shows, even ER, some of the medical terminology is just crazy. It's not even relevant to what is happening. Like they will be talking about someone's, like, PSA levels and that's a prostate thing, when they are working on
their lungs. They just use it to sound like they are smart, I guess.
Q. All right. And that opinion comes from your experience as a certified nursing assistant and now in the massage therapy?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. As part of your massage therapy, are you taking any physical therapy courses?
A. It's, well, all of massage therapy is kind of physical $I$ guess, but it's not -- it's not the stretching and stuff --
Q. All right.
A. -- that a physical therapist would do.
Q. But it's not the same curriculum as you would have as -- if you were to go to school for a physical therapist --
A. No.
Q. -- you would agree? Okay. You also indicated that you are at least familiar with a member of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
A. Correct.
Q. Kelli Tice?
A. Yup.
Q. How do you know Kelli Tice?
A. She is my boyfriend's aunt.
Q. All right. How well do you know her?
A. Fairly well, we go over to her house often.
Q. All right. In your visits to her, do you discuss the events of the news and things of that sort?
A. The news, yes, but she's very -- she knows what she does as a job; she takes it as being important and she doesn't say anything.
Q. All right. In other words, she doesn't discuss her work with you at all?
A. No, she's very adamant on that.
Q. Okay. Does -- Has this case ever come up in discussion?
A. It's come up, but not to any extent.
Q. All right. Tell us about that?
A. Just, what have you heard on the news today. This is what $I$ have heard on the news. That sort of thing.
Q. All right. And so whatever is discussed is just whatever happened to be the headline of the day?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And she hasn't discussed any inside information that she may have or anything?
A. No.
Q. No. She doesn't do that?
A. No. She's a sergeant in the jail. So she
doesn't see a lot of this side of it I guess --
Q. Okay.
A. -- or she hasn't at least discussed it with me.
Q. All right. You also indicated that you did know someone who was killed and that there was a prosecution. Can you tell us a little bit about that?
A. It was two of my friends, actually. One of them -- They both had left the party at the same time and one had gone the opposite way down the road and they collided. And one of them was killed and the other one was a person who ran into them.
Q. Okay. So both of them were at the same party and they --
A. Correct.
Q. They left and went different directions but then somehow --
A. Yup, it's a circle drive and --
Q. I see.
A. -- and one had gone out and T-boned --
Q. All right.
A. -- the other one.
Q. Okay. And there was a prosecution. Was there alcohol related in this?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything about that experience that makes you wonder whether you could be fair and impartial as a juror in -- on a case like this?
A. No, they are completely unrelated.
Q. Okay.
A. It was a vehicle accident.
Q. Okay. What do you like to do for your hobbies in your spare time?
A. Go horseback riding, that sort of thing, go four-wheeling.
Q. Horseback riding and four-wheeling?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you -- any other pursuits? Do you like to -well, work -- read books, work on puzzles, boardgames, or play cards or any of those things?
A. We usually play cards, but I would like -- I would like to do puzzles but, like I said, I have a two year old and it's just not possible.
Q. All right. Does the two year old just take your time --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or just take over the puzzle?
A. Both.
Q. All right. If you were selected as a juror, is there any concerns for the care of your two year old if you are here for eight or nine hours a day?
A. My boyfriend is laid off of work right now.
Q. All right.
A. And so -- he -- and he lives with -- we live together.
Q. All right. So he's comfortable being the dad for a while?
A. Not happy about it but, yeah.
Q. All right. Are you worried about it, though?
A. Not really.
Q. Okay. Do you own a computer?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you use the internet frequently?
A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Sorry.
Q. Okay. Do you use the internet to read up on information, or stories, or anything that's of particular interest to you?
A. No, I use it to pay my bills and do my banking.
Q. All right. So you are a very practical user of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Business only and not that much entertainment
from the computer?
A. No, don't have time.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have for this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. So, I'm Dean Strang and this is Jerome Buting and Steven Avery. We stood up in front of you, I'm sure, last week, but. Mr. Buting and I are defending Mr. Avery. And sort of in deciding what to make of you here, I want to hear more about your work hours at Linebackers?
A. It varies every week. I'm not on a set schedule. It's pretty much my only job so he puts me on whenever -- whenever he feels like it, I guess.
Q. Is it always evening hours?
A. For the most part. There are some days that I work, too.
Q. Okay.
A. They are willing to work around it, I guess. They are willing to work around my hours here, I guess.
Q. Okay. So you're -- What, you would continue to work at Linebackers?
A. I would like to.
Q. Are they open until bar time?
A. Yeah.
Q. And do you -- When you work the evening, do you go to bar time?
A. Yes, for the most part, if there's not people there, you close early.
Q. Okay. Sure. But for the most part, they would be open until last call?
A. Yeah.
Q. And is that a full-time job? I mean, usually when you are not sitting on juries and doing things like that?
A. It's about, I don't know, I would say 15 to 20 hours a week.
Q. Okay.
A. Which is full -- I guess full-time for me but -or full-time for a bartending job, too, I guess.
Q. And you have got -- You are going to school obviously and you have also got a job at Family Video?
A. Correct.
Q. What do you do there?
A. Just customer service, just checking people out I guess.
Q. Video rental --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- store? How many hours a week is that usually.
A. Maybe five. It's just whenever they need someone.
Q. Okay. Are you going to continue to do that if you are on the jury?
A. They don't have me on the schedule right now because they are waiting to see what's going on.
Q. Okay. And the school, they made arrangements for you to finish up at home?
A. Yeah, because I told them of the possibility of this and I didn't want to miss out, I guess, on graduation.
Q. Right. And -- But are there some course requirements that you will have to show them that you have completed at home?
A. Yeah. We are done with the majority of our classes. We have had final exams. It's pretty much you just finish up what we've been doing. And we're starting one new class and that's what they're kind of worried about. Because they don't know how I'm going to get that class in.

But if worse comes to worse, they told me I can take an extension and graduate with the August class.
Q. Okay. Would they be checking up with you at home to make sure you are doing the class?
A. No. I don't know how it would work, but they had just said that, don't worry about it, we'll take care of it. I'm a straight A student there, they are not worried about me failing anything.
Q. Okay. Do you think that you would try to keep your hours at Linebackers from being cut back during the trial?
A. I don't think that's possible because, like I said, I work maybe one or two days a week. And like during the day, and that $I$ would just have to give them up, I guess.
Q. Okay. How long has your boyfriend been laid off?
A. He's been laid off since the beginning of January.
Q. So what sort of -- I mean, how bad is the financial hit going to be?
A. Not very, we just got our tax returns.
Q. Okay. So you can kind of coast on that for -- if you have to?
A. I would not like to, but I have to.
Q. And he would take care of your son during the day?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that leave you enough time with your son if you were busy five days a week in trial?
A. Yeah, I don't have to spend every second with him, I guess.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And he usually stays up to 11:00 at night anyway.
Q. Okay. Do you want to be on the jury?
A. It doesn't matter to me.
Q. Why doesn't it matter to you?
A. Because, in a way it makes me nervous, I guess. And in a way, it would be kind of interesting, I don't know.
Q. Tell me what -- Let's start, first things first, you said in a way it makes you nervous; tell me why it makes you nervous.
A. Because it's intimidating. It's a big trial. You know, you are deciding the fate of someone's life; it's not something to be taken lightly.
Q. And what would be interesting about it?
A. Just to actually hear it first hand instead of hearing it through patrons or through, like, newscasts or something and to be able to form
your own opinion instead of listening to the media.
Q. So, let's say you end up on the jury and then you are tending bar and you are working eight to close one night during the week; TV is on over the bar?
A. Yes.
Q. And is this -- This is a sports bar?
A. Correct.
Q. And now you have got regulars at Linebackers?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I mean, it's not like next to a Holiday Inn or something?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So it's mostly a regular crowd?
A. Yeah.
Q. These guys are going to know you are on the jury, aren't they?
A. More than likely.
Q. Okay. Bar talk being bar talk, how in the world would you insulate yourself from everybody talking about what the TV is playing?
A. The same way that $I$ have done it this far; just -- I don't listen to them. You serve their drinks and you walk away, or you don't
participate in the conversation. That's just like talking about politics, you never participate in it.
Q. But don't you have to -- I mean, don't you have to chat people up a little bit to get decent tips?
A. Make different conversation. Happened last night, we just started talking about ATV-ing instead.
Q. Okay. Somebody brought up this trial with you --
A. Yes.
Q. -- last night? What did they -- What were they saying?
A. Just, they were starting to talk about the jury thing and I'm like, I already know, you don't have to tell me and I don't want to talk about it and we brought up a different subject.
Q. And -- But they knew you were on the panel here?
A. I don't know if they knew. I just said I didn't want to talk about it. That's how I am about most things. If there's like a murder in a different county or, whatever, I usually -- I don't like to talk about it.
Q. Okay.
A. Most of the talk is about the stock market or the
war in Iraq anyway, so it's not like -- I'm sure it will change now that it's actually going to trial, but.
Q. Fox 11 is the channel that's -- I'm assuming ESPN and stuff --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- like that is on, but Fox 11 is the news channel on at the bar?
A. It tends to be, like if a customer wants to watch -- It usually happens about 5:00 in the afternoon, someone wants to watch the news, just to see the weather.
Q. Sure.
A. Either that or we'll have the weather channel on.
Q. Did you -- Did you happen to see a two part series last May that FOX 11 did; it included the Manitowoc County sheriff at the time?
A. No, I don't think I did.
Q. Okay. It was about the Steven Avery case or about him?
A. No.
Q. That doesn't ring a bell?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I think you said the news conferences that Mr. Kratz had, those two, you watched that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Were you at work --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- during those?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. And what do you -- how did you react to, I think you called them graphic details, how did you react to that, hearing those?
A. Well, if it happened or not, I guess it's horrible that someone would do that.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. That's how I reacted to it and you just kind of (witness made sound) and walk away.
Q. Yeah, I mean, it's one of these --
A. Yeah, that's just like when you hear moms --
Q. -- bone chilling things?
A. -- that stab their kids, it makes you sick.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. But it's not your direct life, so you move on.
Q. Right. Okay. But -- I mean, it's one of these -- I mean no murder is good, but this is one of these that made you particularly sick?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that -- Are those details something you have ever been able to put out of your mind?
A. Yeah, it's not something I think of every day. It doesn't govern my life.
Q. No. No. And I'm not -- I'm not suggesting it does, but you, for example, you remembered here today the image of Teresa Halbach being tied up?
A. Correct.
Q. And her body being burned?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. What other images have stuck with you?
A. Like the fly by of the house. I don't know. (Court reporter couldn't hear.)
A. The fly by, like the helicopter or whatever it was that was over the top of their house.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. That sort of a thing.
Q. And were you -- Are you aware that Brendan Dassey's statements have a lot to do with --
A. Yeah, that was part of the news conference that $I$ listened to.
Q. Okay. What do you think of those statements? Did you believe them; did you not believe them; what did you think?
A. I don't know, if they are true, I guess that puts a complete hole in your case.
Q. In my case?
A. Yeah.
Q. You know, I don't represent Brendan Dassey?
A. I know. I'm just saying, if his testimony --
Q. Sure.
A. -- is the absolute, honest to God truth, well, then, that's the case right there, I guess.
Q. What would you do if the State did not call Brendan Dassey in this case?
A. I think that would be stupid. They would have a reason to call him, I guess. I don't know.

There's a lot of ifs.
Q. Yeah, in other words, you heard all this terrible stuff, but do you still expect to hear it here?
A. Yeah.
Q. I mean, the Judge, I think, will tell you that the only thing you can consider is --
A. What's --
Q. -- the evidence you hear in the courtroom?
A. Yes.
Q. But how would you -- How would you put that image of this tied up young woman out of your mind?
A. I don't think about it. It's like when my friend got killed I didn't -- after I went to the funeral and stuff, you don't -- you don't tend to think of bad things because then it just puts you
in depression. That's why half the world is on Prozac.
Q. Let me chase -- Let me -- Prozac reminded me of -- of massage, which is a good way to deal with stress and depression, I assume, right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. How -- You may have said this and I just zoned out, didn't catch it. But how -- how long -- If you go straight through massage school, how long does it take?
A. It's a year course.
Q. And that's if you are a full-time student, so to speak?
A. Yeah. It's one 12 hour day --
Q. A week?
A. -- which is considered full-time to them.
Q. Right. And is one of the things you learn about, in the massage therapy program, the human skeleton?
A. Yes.
Q. What kind of detail do you learn about the human skeleton?
A. We had to learn and memorize every bone, every muscle, origins, insertions, that sort of a thing.
Q. Mm-hmm. And so are you familiar with looking at skeletons, or models of skeletons?
A. Yes. And we went to a cadaver lab up at NWTC.
Q. To see the muscle and the connection to bone or --
A. Yup, to see what a person looks like when they are dissected, I guess.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. Was that helpful in learning massage technique?
A. Yes and no. I mean, you kind of figure out how big muscles are. It's easy to see a picture of it, but when you see it in real life, you learn how it actually looks.
Q. How big -- how big it actually is. Okay. And do you -- Do you think that you know a great deal more about the human skeleton than the average person on the street?
A. I would like to think that, but I know from doctors, I don't know more than them.
Q. No, but the -- a doctor isn't the average person on the street, right?
A. Right.
Q. Most streets anyway. How about the -- you obviously had some schooling to be a certified nursing assistant, too?
A. Yes.
Q. How long was that?
A. I think like eight weeks. It wasn't very much.
Q. Okay. Also some training there about the human skeleton?
A. Yes and no. It was more about like different pathologies and stuff you would come in contact with in the actual field.
Q. Okay. So most of your training about the skeletal system has been in the massage --
A. Correct.
Q. -- school? I'm going to go -- I'm going to go back now to the news conferences following Brendan Dassey's arrest. That was about -- give or take, about 11 months ago now, I guess. And so after 11 months of that, do you have an opinion today about whether Mr. Avery probably is guilty, might be guilty?
A. Yes and no. I guess, I don't -- like I said, I would like to believe that someone can prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, either way.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. I would like, you know, if they can prove it, well then it's proven, you know. Nothing is fact until it is proven.
Q. Right. And if I understood your answers before, the same would apply to us?
A. Yes.
Q. We would have to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he did not do it?
A. Well, I'm not -- Yes, I guess that's the best way of saying it. I understand that it's not your job to prove that he's innocent, but it's your job to prove that he is not guilty.
Q. Okay. And would that include proving, to your satisfaction, who did do it if Mr. Avery didn't?
A. No. It's -- It's -- It's his case; it's not anyone else's case.
Q. Okay. And would it include Mr. Avery testifying in his own behalf?
A. That's your job; I don't know.
Q. That's my job?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Do you think that someone who really didn't do a crime he was charged with would testify?
A. I don't know. It depends on if it's to his benefit or not, if he can bring something to the case that is going to get him off I guess, then why wouldn't you. If you're worried about
tripping up, then you wouldn't go on the stand either.
Q. Mm-hmm. And if you're worried about tripping up, does that mean you are guilty, or there are other reasons you might be worried about tripping up?
A. Either way, you could, you know -- You could just get nervous and say the wrong thing.
Q. Mm-hmm. Do you think if you (sic) took the witness stand, some people on the jury might be saying, well, of course he's denying it, you know, he wants to save his skin?
A. Yeah, but then if he's denying it and they can prove that he's lying, well, then, they have to prove it.
Q. Right. Okay. In the end, I think the Judge would tell you -- If Mr. Avery testified, I think the Court would tell you that you weigh his testimony just like you would weigh any other witness, consider whether you believe it or not, in the same way you make that decision as to any other witness?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Can you follow that?
A. Yeah, that would just be like if Brendan would testify, then, it would be the same, you wouldn't
weigh his testimony over anyone else's.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. And if Mr. Avery decided not to testify or if we, with him, decided that, I think the Court would tell you, in so many words, that a defendant has an absolute right not to testify and that you may not consider that in any way as evidence of guilt, or consider it at all in deciding whether the State has proven Mr. Avery guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. I understand that.
Q. Is that an instruction --
A. It makes sense.
Q. It would make sense to you?
A. Yeah.
Q. Why?
A. Because why -- Just because, like, if I didn't want to go out and talk to you today, doesn't mean that $I$ have anything wrong with the case; it's just that $I$ don't want to talk.
Q. Gosh, even if this is the time to talk, now or never, it's your trial?
A. I don't know. It depends on, does he have something to hide. Is that --
Q. Well, and that's the question, would you be sitting there wondering, after the trial was over
and you were trying to decide on your verdict, gosh, does he have something to hide, is that why he didn't testify; is that something you would be wondering?
A. It's something in the back of everyone's mind, I guess.
Q. In addition to the, you know, the publicity about Brendan Dassey and Steven Avery back in early March last year, have you heard anything else about Mr. Avery's background?
A. Well, it's public knowledge. It was published how many years ago and it was published when he got out of prison.
Q. What?
A. Well, when he got out of prison, they said, you know, the reason he was in prison and whatever. And now when they convicted him, of course, you know, that's the first thing they bring up, is all these other convictions that he had, that have nothing to do with the case. I don't understand why. That's just like if you get pulled over for drunk driving they bring up all, like your drug paraphernalia and stuff that doesn't have anything to do with it.
Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm -- one of the things

I'm interested in is, have you heard that Mr. Avery was let out of prison because some DNA tests showed that someone else, not him, committed that crime?
A. Yeah, it was big news. I don't think there's really anyone that hasn't heard it.
Q. Uh-huh. And do you have any doubt about that?
A. No. If they proved it with DNA evidence, that's kind of scientific, that's your proof right there.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. And do you think -- Do you think that experience that he had, being convicted of something he didn't do and then getting out of prison later, do you think that has any bearing on this case?
A. It's completely different events.
Q. So no bearing one way or the other, or what do you think?
A. I don't know. I guess there's -- there is some bearing I guess, because you have to consider the mind state that you are in when you get done with prison. You have to consider that now he's going after the county for however many dollars or whatever. There's different ways to look at it.
Q. You say going after the county, you are talking
about this lawsuit?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What have you heard about the lawsuit?
A. Just that he's -- a wrongful conviction lawsuit or something, $I$ think it is.
Q. And you heard of that on the TV?
A. Yeah. Well, when he was let out, they had said shortly thereafter that he was going to be doing that. Probably do the same thing, you can't blame him.
Q. Right. Okay. What have you heard recently about this case --
A. Nothing really.
Q. -- on the news?
A. Just because it said however many -- or two months ago or whatever when we got that questionnaire, not to watch anything, so I have actually tried to stick by that.
Q. Okay. Have you heard anything about a vial of blood?
A. No, not really. I maybe heard something about it with people talking in the bar, but not really anything I paid attention to.
Q. What did you hear?
A. Just that there's a vial of blood that was opened
and it was his blood. I don't know. That was about it.
Q. Where was the vial of blood?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Okay.
A. I didn't pay attention. I don't like to.
Q. Okay. But I mean, so you know a little bit about that, not too much?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Anything else at all that you can remember hearing, bar talk or on the TV?
A. No. People say a lot of things in the bar; it doesn't mean they are true.
Q. People do say a lot of things in a bar. Your -Kelli Tice is both a friend of yours, according to your questionnaire?
A. Basically.
Q. And your boyfriend's aunt?
A. Yeah.
Q. Right. You guys are over there a lot you said. And I'm wondering --
A. Well, we go over there for birthday parties. And we used to go over there once or twice a week and just hang out, watch American Idol, whatever.
Q. Yeah, just hang out. Your boyfriend is close to
his aunt --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in other words? Do you still see her once a week or something like that?
A. I haven't seen her much lately. Her son just got out of the hospital for a brain tumor.
Q. Okay. So she's pretty --
A. Yeah. So she's pretty --
Q. Tied up.
A. -- pre-occupied.
Q. Yeah. And when -- when he is better and she's back in the swing of things, do you expect you will continue to see a lot of her?
A. Well, she's relation, you can't exactly just not go see her.
Q. Yeah. No, I know. I mean, I'm just -- This is a bad period she's going through, but you guys --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- will be spending time together again?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And I'm -- I have no idea whether she would be a witness here. I have no reason to think she will or won't. But, in general, if we just step away from Kelli; do you have any view on whether law enforcement folks, you know,
police officers, deputy sheriffs, those kind of people, are more likely or less likely to tell the truth under oath, than Joe Blow, the man on the street?
A. You would hope so.
Q. Why?
A. Because anyone can lie to you at any point in time; it doesn't mean anything if they are a police officer or not.
Q. So why -- so why do you say you would hope so?
A. You would hope that if you take an oath you actually mean it.
Q. Oh, everyone?
A. Yes.
Q. Whether you are a police officer or not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so, I guess if I understand you, you would hope everybody would tell the truth under oath?
A. Yeah, that's kind of like why I didn't lie on my thing. There's no point in me lying; I don't want to be thrown in jail.
Q. That's sensible. And you are just, if I understand you, you are saying a police officer is no more or less likely, because of his or her
job, to tell the truth or not tell the truth, than anyone else?
A. No, like I said, everyone should tell the truth. If not, then that just -- I don't know. I guess I like to be pleasantly naive that everyone tells the truth when they are under oath.
Q. Okay. What if you have to make tough decisions, though, because one person is saying one thing under oath and another person is saying the opposite, let's say under oath, what do you do?
A. You take whoever has the most backing behind them. Like if you are saying one thing and I'm saying the other, if there's more people that are saying the same thing that $I$ am, well, then, you would almost have to think that $I$ would be telling the truth versus you.
Q. If the State, here in this trial, called more witnesses than the defense called, you know, just by counting noses if the State called more witnesses than the defense, would that suggest by itself to you that the State had more proof?
A. Not necessarily. They all could be up here saying different things. Like if you have a police officer versus one of his family members or something, they are going to say different
things.
If you pull every member up in his
family, they are all going to say -- they'll all have different views on the story. They are not all going to be -- they didn't -- they weren't all there? I don't know.
Q. I'm -- and who's the family, whose family?
A. Steven's family. If you -- Like, let's say they would call up every member of his family, not all of them were sitting in the same place at the same time. They all have different views on it. They are all going to say something different.
Q. Okay. Same with police officers?
A. Yeah, like if you pull a police -- two police officers up here, one of them is going to say, like, if they were here two different days, one of them is going to say one thing and the other one is going to say basically the same thing, but in a different way.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm all done with you. Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Ms Knier, I have a few follow-up questions to ask you about some of the answers that you gave. One of the disadvantages of voir dire is we put the jurors in your situation before they have heard the instructions from the Court. And I just want to make sure that -- that you are in a position to follow those instructions if you're selected as a juror.

One of your statements was, and I believe it was based on the press conference that you recalled, that you would expect the State to call Mr. Dassey to the stand because your recollection of the press conferences, he would have useful information for them.
A. Yes.
Q. One of the instructions the Court will give you is that you have to base your decision only on the evidence that's introduced at the trial. Mr. Dassey may or may not testify at the trial, for a variety of reasons.

And examples of why we don't allow jurors to base their decision on things outside the courtroom is, if Mr. Dassey testified, the jury won't know whether maybe he didn't make the statements that were reported; maybe the State decided the statements weren't true and decided not to call him; maybe the State decides not to
call him for some other reason.
If he doesn't testify, the jurors won't know, but the jury will be instructed and have to agree to make their decision only on the evidence that comes in at the trial. Will you be able to do that if you are selected as a juror?
A. Yeah, that's what I'm saying. It's to their advantage to pull him up here, if what he's saying is correct. And I don't know what he's all said, but if he has all this knowledge or whatever, it would be to their advantage.
Q. All right. But if they don't call him to the stand, you have to base your decision --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- on what you do hear at trial? You can't speculate on what he might have said?
A. Yeah.
Q. You can --
A. Yeah, I understand that.
Q. -- agree to do that? Okay. Finally, I know you indicated in answer to a burden of proof question, that as a juror, correct me if I'm wrong here, I think you were hoping that one side's case or the other would be proved, beyond a reasonable doubt, so that would make your
decision easier to make?
A. Yes.
Q. One of the instructions you will get is that it's the State's burden to show that Mr. Avery is guilty of any particular charge, beyond a reasonable doubt, before you find him guilty. If you find that the State's met its burden, beyond a reasonable doubt, then you can vote guilty. And, likewise, if you're convinced after hearing the evidence, that you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt he is not guilty, then it's easy, you vote him not guilty.

But there's other things that could happen in between. You might hear the evidence as a juror and think, well, you know, based on the evidence, I think there's a chance he is guilty; it's not proof beyond a reasonable doubt that he's innocent, but $I$ don't think, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the proof shows he is guilty either. And if you wind up feeling like that, you have to vote not guilty. Do you understand that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that something you can do if you're selected as a juror?
A. Yes.
Q. The Court will also instruct the jurors at the end of the trial -- and at this point we don't know if Mr. Avery will testify or not, but let's say that he doesn't testify -- if he doesn't testify, the jury will be instructed that he is not obligated to testify and the jury can't hold that against the defense. That means, as I said before, the jury will have to make its decision just based on the evidence that did come in.

And you can't be speculating about saying, well, you know, I don't think the State proved their case, but I sure would have liked to have heard from the defendant, so I don't know. If he -- If he doesn't testify, you can only base your decision on what you did hear; can you do that if you are selected as a juror?
A. Yeah, that makes sense.
Q. Okay. And the last thing is, and this related to some questions that I believe were asked later pertaining to the credibility of witnesses. And I think I understood you correctly, but I want to make sure that I did.

There will be another instruction that will say, that in evaluating the credibility of
the witnesses and who you believe, that you can't base it solely on the number of witnesses that each side puts on the witness stand. Because, as the juror, you have the right, if you wish, to say, I find this witness or that witness more credible than even four or five witnesses from the other side. And if you believe that that's the case, then you should follow your own conclusions. Can you do that if you are selected as a juror?
A. Mm-hmm. Yes. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom at this time.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Excuse me, your Honor, I have a couple follow-ups --

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY FALLON: -- if I may.
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Ms Knier, I wanted to follow up on something that Mr. Strang and Judge Willis asked you, if I may. If Mr. Dassey does not appear as a witness, then
you seem to have an opinion that he would be more favorable to the State than to the defense.

So let me ask this, if he did not appear as a witness in the case, for whatever reason, would you hold that against the State in evaluating the rest of the case --
A. No.
Q. -- in determining whether there was a proof beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. No, you base that on what you hear in court.
Q. All right. So the fact that he -- So we wouldn't, then, be stupid, as it were, for not calling them.
A. Well, the reason $I$ said that is, if you have all that evidence, then why wouldn't you present it. But that's your own business; I'm not a lawyer.
Q. All right.
A. But you said that you have to base it on what you hear in court and that's what you base it on.
Q. Right. So whether -- If we don't call him or the defense doesn't call Mr . Dassey as a witness, you are not going to hold it against either side if he does not appear --

ATTORNEY STRANG: I object to that. I object to that suggestion.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Then I'll -- It's another way of asking the general question.
Q. If he doesn't appear as a witness, you are not going to hold it against --
A. No, I just said that.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. That's fine.
THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you out of the courtroom.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, any motion from either party? Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think we both are agreeing that the juror should be struck for cause. THE COURT: Should be struck for cause? ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I will hear both of you.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm in agreement. I think she knows too much from out of court. She's -- Her exposure, at least to the March 1, March 2 news conferences, or one or the other at least, was fairly intense. We got confusing and somewhat conflicting responses on basic questions here.

And, although, I don't think any of us were trying to put words in her mouth, she was very ready to agree with the drift of the question from all of us. But when we -- when we got more open-ended answers, I think they invariably suggested at least cause to strike for an inability to understand and live with the presumption of innocence, the burden of proof, deciding a case only on the evidence presented.

Beyond that, as a practical matter, too, I will add that I have real concern about a juror here who would be burning the candle at both ends, as this woman clearly would with employment; in addition, employment in a place where she's going to be barraged with discussion about the trial.

Now, I'm not saying a bartender or anybody else here by profession in this instance falls into statutory bias, or even objective bias, but the reality here, with this young woman, is that in the aggregate there are just a great number of risk factors and I think more than adequate to add up to cause to strike.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. My concern is a
little more specific dealing with the interjection of the Dassey issue. I was not comfortable with the witness' responses both orally and in demeanor wise.

I was also concerned by the fact that in terms of the reasons why Mr. Dassey may or may not appear as a witness. Chief among them is the fact that he stands accused of this crime and he has a Fifth Amendment right not to testify.

The question or the suggestion that whether the State believes his testimony or not or whether the defense believes his testimony or not, the fact that he is listed on both witness lists; I'm not comfortable at all with the manner in which that issue was dealt with and more importantly and more to the point, with her responses on that. And for that reason, we would ask that she be struck for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Based on the
concerns regarding the juror's familiarity with the factual background, I'm going to strike her for cause, based on the recommendation of both parties. You know, I would indicate, as far as burden of proof and those types of questions, we're bringing jurors in here who haven't been instructed yet. I didn't find fault with her in that area.

ATTORNEY FALLON: No. And I'm glad you brought that up, I was just wondering and I hadn't had a chance to mention this to counsel and I'm beginning to wonder if, before we start our questioning of the jury, as to whether or not we might want to tell them what the general rules are before we do this. It's just an idea. That may speed the process or at least eliminate some potential confusion.

It is rather interesting, after all these years, and perhaps I have just been in the practice of law too long and take much too much for granted, but it's quite clear that many of our jurors, hard working, decent, law abiding citizens, unfortunately, don't really have a very good command of the principles in this.

And you know, I'm wondering if the manner in which we're going about this is -- is creating more confusion than resolving confusion. So I just throw a suggestion out there. Maybe we might want to tell them what some of these general principles are before we start.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not -- To this point, we're in individual voir dire. I'm not going to go through lengthy instructions before each
juror. I would suggest that counsel can assist in this regard by not kind of deliberately leading them down a wrong path or an open path, or leading them to believe that they have the right to use other rules to decide the case.

But I think it's, you know, to have -to get a prospective juror who isn't familiar with the rules that they have to follow, to start saying, well, yeah, I would like to hear from the defendant. Well, that's not unusual for someone who's not familiar with the rules of court.

In many cases, the jurors have to be instructed that the defendant doesn't have to testify and they are not permitted to draw an adverse inference from that. And I'm not sure how productive it is to ask them a bunch of questions about it before they know what the rule is.

It's important that they understand the rule to be sure, but I'm not sure that it necessarily raises significant red flags to have them, when they are unfamiliar with the rule, to start out feeling otherwise.

At any rate, let's take our morning break at this time and we'll come back and start
with the next juror.
ATTORNEY STRANG: When do you want us back?
THE COURT: Let's say 10 minutes after 11. We'll have a 13 minute break.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: All right. At this time we'll bring the next juror, Gerald Shedal, into the courtroom. Go ahead, Linda. Please raise your right hand and we'll have the Clerk administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: All right. Is it Mr. Shedal?
MR. SHEDAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Shedal, you have already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is the individual voir dire process. The attorneys for each of the parties will have a chance, this morning, to ask some follow-up questions to the questions that are on the questionnaire.

I can tell you that should you be selected as a juror in this case, the jurors will not be sequestered during the trial; that means
you will be able to return home every evening after the proceedings are done for the day. We will continue to require the jurors not gain any information about the case through any of the news media; that is, newspaper, radio, television, or the internet; and that jurors not talk to anyone about the case.

I can also tell you, that although the proceedings today are open to the public, we do not allow cameras in the courtroom during the voir dire process. And the news media is prohibited from disclosing the names of jurors during the course of the trial.

In addition, I can tell you that the jurors who are selected to hear this case will not be on camera at any point during the trial itself.

If you are still part of the jury panel after the questioning today, you will get a telephone notice as to when to report back to court, later this week. Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Shedal.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon, I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice and I'm one of the prosecutors on this case. To my left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the District Attorney from Calumet County and lead prosecutor here. Good morning and thank you for coming.

There were a couple of things in your questionnaire that has peaked the interest of Court and counsel and we would like to talk with you about them, to assist us in selecting a jury today. I guess the matter of primary interest, at least for the moment, is that you have expressed that you are at least somewhat familiar with several members of Mr. Avery's family; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And you work at a place called Federal Mogul here in Manitowoc?
A. Correct.
Q. Forgive me, but I'm not familiar with that business, can you tell me what it is?
A. Sure. It's a factory that we make piston rings for the automotive market.
Q. You make what?
A. Piston rings for the automotive market.
Q. Oh, piston rings. Okay. So is your association with members of his family because of they are in the salvage business and you are in the automotive parts; is that the connection?
A. No, that is not. There's members of his family that work by us.
Q. That work with you. Okay. And which members of his family work with Federal Mogul?
A. There's Marie Avery. She used to work for me, but now she just moved to the weekend, to the second shift. And then there's Bobby Dassey.
Q. Okay.
A. He works the weekend shift there. He was working for me in the past. And then there is one other one, sorry, I can't remember her name. She works at the other plant down the road.
Q. The other plant is -- you say you couldn't remember the name. Carla?
A. Carla. Right.
Q. All right. Did you at some time work with her directly?
A. No, I did not.
Q. All right. Do you work with, I think you said Marie it was?
A. Right.
Q. And with Bobby?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And you have worked directly with them?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Do you know them fairly well?
A. No, no, not really.
Q. All right.
A. They got hired. They put in their -- like their probationary period on my shift and then they went -- they moved on to the next shift that they got hired for.
(Loud noise.)
THE COURT: We have got a battery. That's
what happens when the battery goes in the microphone. Don't be alarmed.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ As a result of your familiarity with these three family members -Well, let me ask a couple of first preliminary questions. Have you had any discussions at all regarding this case, with them?
A. No, I have not and they never talked about it. I just kind of put two and two together.
Q. All right. And meaning that you figured out that
they were actually related to him; is that what you are saying?
A. Right.
Q. Okay.
A. Well, the one -- the one I didn't know he was related to until $I$ filled out that questionnaire. Marie, $I$ didn't know if she was related for sure or not until I filled that out, then $I$ seen her name on there, then $I$ knew it was.
Q. All right. And now you actually worked with Marie and Bobby; is that correct?
A. Right.
Q. Now, during the -- How long did you work with them?
A. Well, I'm a supervisor there and throughout the day, I would say maybe 15 minutes a day.
Q. All right.
A. Not a real lot but, yes, I did.
Q. Did you get to know them fairly well?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any personal conversations with them other than, you know, beyond the point of the business, as it was?
A. No.
Q. All right. All right. Now, in answer to
question -- toward the end of the questionnaire, you were asked: Is there anything or any reason at all, however personal or private, that makes you feel you should not serve as a juror on this case, or that if selected to serve you could not be fair and impartial? And you first said, no, you crossed that out and answered yes. And you added, I work with Steven's relatives and then you said the number three, presumably that means that's the three relatives you work with?
A. Right.
Q. All right. Tell us why, is that your opinion, your feeling today?
A. Yeah, pretty much.
Q. All right. Let's talk a little bit about that. Why would that make you uncomfortable --
A. Well --
Q. -- what concerns does it raise?
A. Well, I don't know -- I don't know what to -Just say if it would, whatever way it went, I don't know how they would take it toward me.
Q. Okay.
A. I guess that's about the only reason.
Q. Well, you think that they might feel -- some animosity for you --
A. Right.
Q. -- some anger?
A. That's pretty much what I'm saying right now.
Q. All right. I mean, do you have any fears of any type of family retribution?
A. No, no.
Q. Okay.
A. Not at all.
Q. All right. Do you work with them regularly?
A. No, they are -- they no longer work for me.
Q. All right. So they are entirely in different shifts with different projects and things?
A. Correct. Right.
Q. All right. Now, if any of them were to appear as witnesses in this case, would that create some problems for you?
A. Uh-uh, I don't feel it would.
Q. All right. Why would that not create any problems?
A. I guess I don't know how to answer that. I don't know.
Q. All right. But if you were to -- So, it's not so much that you know them from work, that if you feel you could evaluate their testimony the same as you would any other witness?
A. Sure.
Q. All right. So your sole concern here is you are a little bit worried about how they may feel about you should, for instance, you were to enter a verdict of guilty?
A. Right.
Q. Do you have that feeling if you were to enter a verdict of not guilty?
A. Yeah, I would have to say the same.
Q. All right.
A. Both ways.
Q. Could you kind of tell us a little bit why you have that feeling?
A. Well, I have been -- I just heard this through the grapevine at work, that other people, that someone else did talk to Bobby in the past and they -- they filled me in on a couple of things. And . . .
Q. All right. I want to make sure that I can follow you here. You are saying that someone you know has spoken to Bobby Dassey?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Presumably about something related to the case?
A. Right. And then I heard --
Q. And then --
A. -- from that person.
Q. And it was passed on to you?
A. Right.
Q. Do you recall today what that was?
A. Geez, basically that -- that -- that his brother, Brendan --
Q. Okay.
A. -- wasn't involved.
Q. Was or was not?
A. Was not.
Q. All right. And anything else that this person otherwise had informed you of?
A. No, I don't think.
Q. All right.
A. Uh-uh.
Q. One second.
(Discussion between attorneys.)
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Is there any -- any other information that you have from an outside source regarding what may or may not have happened in this case involving either Steven Avery or Brendan Dassey?
A. No. I don't know of anything else, no.
Q. All right. So what you are suggesting, then, is that you had this one conversation with, I take
it a fellow employee?
A. Right.
Q. Who supposedly talked to Bobby Dassey, at least about some aspect of this case. And the one bit of information that you recall coming to your attention was what you have told us?
A. Right.
Q. All right. Anything else at all, any other bit of information?
A. Uh-uh, nothing.
Q. Nothing. All right. Okay. How long have you worked at Federal Mogul?
A. Twenty-four years.
Q. And I see that you are a supervisor of approximately 30 employees?
A. Correct.
Q. How long have you been in a supervisory role?
A. Eighteen, nineteen years.
Q. Okay. And I take it you are a -- Are you a life long resident of Manitowoc?
A. Well, I actually live in Newton.
Q. I mean, Manitowoc County.
A. Manitowoc County, yes, I am.
Q. Yeah, okay. Okay. Have you -- Let me first ask, you checked your news sources as radio,
television and newspapers as your primary sources of information. Of those three, where do you get most of your news?
A. I would have to say probably the TV.
Q. All right. And any particular news channels that you watch?
A. Channel 5.
Q. You watch Channel 5. All right. Have you watched the coverage of this case on Channel 5?
A. Bits and pieces of it. The majority of the time when I do get a chance to watch it is usually like on a Friday morning.
Q. All right.
A. Other than that, not really, only because I'm at work at that time. I start work at 4:30 in the morning.
Q. I was just going to ask, what are your hours?
A. I work from 4:30 to 4:00, 12 and a half hours.
Q. Okay. And how many days a week?
A. Four days a week, Monday through Thursday.
Q. Okay. When -- Do you watch the evening news at all after you get home?
A. No, most of the time when I get home I hit the couch and I take a nap.
Q. All right. Have you followed any of the news
coverage, any of the newspaper coverage of the case, Sunday papers maybe or ...
A. Yeah, here and there $I$ pick up bits and pieces of it, yes.
Q. All right. When was the last time you recall either reading something in the newspaper or listening to a news broadcast involving the case?
A. This morning I looked at the Herald-Times Reporter. I seen the headlines from yesterday.
Q. All right.
A. That's what $I$ seen.
Q. You saw the headlines. Did you read any of the article?
A. Yeah, that -- basically that it's in the process of -- probably about within the first paragraph.
Q. All right.
A. Yup.
Q. And did you read anything more than the paragraph?
A. No.
Q. All right. Have you listened to anything on the television recently about the case?
A. No.
Q. What was the last thing -- Other than what you saw from the headline in that first paragraph
this morning; what was the last thing you recall hearing about the case or reading about the case before this morning?
A. I would have to say it goes back to last week, Tuesday, after the jury selection has been started.
Q. Okay.
A. I did read that.
Q. You mean after you came in and filled out the questionnaire?
A. Right.
Q. So the next morning you read the paper or listened to the news broadcast?
A. No, I did read the paper.
Q. You did read the paper on that Tuesday about the selection process?
A. Yeah.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Fallon.

Counsel, can you approach.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.
(Side bar taken.)
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I don't have any more questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Shedal, at this time the Clerk will escort you out.

MR. SHEDAL: Okay.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: I will indicate for the record at this time $I$ did not ask Mr. Strang if he had any questions because when I called counsel to the bench I was informed that the parties would be jointly requesting to excuse this juror.

And based on the answers given, both the failure to follow the Court's admonition about not reading anything further about this case and the answers concerning the defendant's contacts with members of the defendant's family at work, plus hearing things about this case, I believe there's more than an adequate basis for excusing the juror. And I believe that's the joint recommendation of the parties as well. Correct, counsel?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct, Judge, from the State.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's correct.
ATTORNEY FALLON: We wanted to flush that out.

THE COURT: Very well, the Court will order this juror excused and we will move on to Nathan Klein.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Judge, just so you know, the way we're doing it here is I do two, he does two, I do two, he does two. So he's got the next two.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Klein, please remain standing and the Clerk will administer the oath to you.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Klein, you have previously completed a juror questionnaire in this case. This morning we're moving on to the next phase of the jury selection process which is known as voir dire. The attorneys will have a chance to individually ask you some follow-up questions to the information on the questionnaire.

I can tell you that the jurors selected to hear this case will not be sequestered; that means the jurors will be permitted to return home each day and spend the rest of the day at home. We're doing that because of the order to the jurors not to follow any news media accounts concerning this case and not to discuss it with anyone during the course of the trial.

I can also inform you that although the voir dire proceedings today are open, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom today and the news media is prohibited from disclosing the names of the jurors in news media accounts of this matter.

Finally, should you be selected to serve as a juror in this case, the cameras are not permitted to take shots that identify who the jurors are at the trial itself.

If you are continued for jury duty after this morning's proceedings, you will receive a telephone call later this week letting you know when to report back to Court. Mr. Fallon, at this time you may begin.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, your Honor.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Klein.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon and I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the District Attorney from Calumet County and the lead prosecutor in this case. Good morning and
thanks for coming.
We would like to ask a few follow-up questions about some of the information you provided last week in the questionnaire. And after I'm done, Mr. Buting will ask a few questions of you and then perhaps the Court.

I would like to begin a little bit, I think first off, with your employment. I see that you are currently employed at the Burger Boat Company?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And you are a carpenter?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And how long have you been a carpenter?
A. Two years at Burger Boat.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Two years at Burger Boat.
Q. Two years. And so would you say your vocation as it were is woodworking then?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long have you been involved in that pursuit?
A. Since high school.
Q. All right. And how long would that be, 7, 8
years?
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, am I correct, I wanted to follow up with one of your other activities, some may call it employment, some may call it volunteer work. But I see that there's a notation here that you do some volunteer firefighting?
A. That is correct.
Q. With the Two -- Town of Two Rivers?
A. Yup.
Q. How long have you been involved in that?
A. The past four years.
Q. Four years. And have you actually had occasion to go out and use those skills and fight some fires?
A. Yes, many, and accidents.
Q. All right. And what other duties as a volunteer fireman are you occasionally called upon to do, other than fight fires or respond to accidents?
A. Sometimes helping out with the community with searches such as this case.
Q. Right.
A. Do volunteering for Salvation Army to ring the bells at local establishments.
Q. Okay.
A. That's about it.
Q. All right. Do you enjoy that work?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. The reason $I$ ask is, the question you gave and as you have just indicated now, volunteer searches much like were employed in this case. So let me ask you a little bit about that. You indicated in your questionnaire that you had planned on assisting in the search for Teresa Halbach since apparently other members of your department did participate?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And if I may, what happened that resulted in your not participating?
A. It happened to fall on a weekday, the day that we were gathering to go and I was not able to. You have enough vacation to use to go out and do the search.
Q. So you had your other work responsibilities?
A. Correct, for Burger Boat Company.
Q. At the Burger Boat, is that ...
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Since you missed out on that opportunity, if you -- if it had fallen on let's say a vacation day or a holiday of sorts; would you
have participated then?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any discussions or -- with any of those of your colleagues who did participate in the search?
A. Briefly, yes, as to what, at this time I don't really recall.
Q. Okay. You don't recall any discussion or anything you had with them regarding what they may have seen, or done, or heard, or any of that?
A. Just one story of a possum or some type of animal that was inside a vehicle that one of the guys stirred up and got startled by it, but.
Q. All right. So --
A. More or less small talk and nothing of, you know, major.
Q. Small talk and humorous anecdotes?
A. Right.
Q. And not much substance?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. Okay. And how many of those conversations do you think you had?
A. A few, less than five $I$ will say.
Q. Less than five?
A. Yes.
Q. And when was the last time you would have talked with any of your colleagues about that search or about their role in the search for Teresa Halbach?
A. Talking was probably done a week after it happened.
Q. All right.
A. Everything settled down at that time.
Q. You haven't had any recent discussions with any of those colleagues about the case?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Was there anything about the -- even the humor part of it, was there anything about that that makes you wonder or doubt in your mind whether you could be fair and impartial in this case, if you were selected as a juror?
A. Not necessarily, no.
Q. I'm sorry, not necessarily?
A. No. No.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, I would like to talk a little bit about the media coverage of this case and your familiarity with it. Where would you say you get most of your news from; radio, television?
A. Radio.
Q. Radio?
A. Yes.
Q. And why would that be?
A. Radio is played all day at work, every day.
Q. And what hours do you normally work?
A. 7 till 3:30.
Q. And is that a five day a week job?
A. Yes.
Q. Monday through Friday?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. When you get home from work, do you watch television, or do you do chores, or have some fun, or what do you do in your off hours?
A. I do chores.
Q. All right. And while the chores are being done, do you listen to the radio or listen to the television while you are doing things or not?
A. Usually the television is on, yes.
Q. All right. Is it on news channel, sports channel or what generally is on in the background as it were?
A. Cops, actually.
Q. Cops.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. All right. What can you recall now about
the coverage of this case? Is there any particular information, particular story or fact that kind of, you know, sits in your mind right now.
A. Burning barrel.
Q. The burning barrel?
A. Yup.
Q. All right. What else sticks in your mind?
A. The camera, the burning barrel, the vehicle, the nephew.
Q. Okay. What fact or what information about the nephew sticks in your -- in your memory right now?
A. The -- His admittance to part of this.
Q. All right. Are you -- Can you recall any of the details or any of the information that he -- that is attributed to him?
A. Meaning as what he admitted to?
Q. Right.
A. The cutting of hair, taking part of the actions.
Q. Okay. Do you remember what he supposedly had done?
A. I believe $I$ remember him saying that he had cut portions of her hair.
Q. Okay.
A. Would you like me to go into more detail.
Q. Well, it would be helpful for us, we're trying to have an idea as to how familiar are you may or may not be with some of the facts in the case.
A. Taking part in the rape of her.
Q. Okay. Anything else that you recall?
A. Them are the ones that stand out the most to me.
Q. Okay.
A. Oh, and the school bus driver seeing her after 3:30.
Q. Okay. And is the TV the primary source of that information, or is that the radio, or is it fuzzy in your head?
A. I couldn't tell you exactly which was for what.
Q. Okay.
A. But I would say 75 percent radio, 25 percent television.
Q. Okay. Now, as a result of that information, you were asked some questions in the questionnaire as to whether or not you formed any opinion based on the information that was available in the media. And you answered: It's hard to say, the news is so one-sided. One should hear both sides. And the nephew's confession doesn't help him. When you say, it doesn't help him, are
you referring to Mr. Dassey or Mr. Avery?
A. Mr. Avery.
Q. All right.
(Court reporter asked him to repeat.)
A. Mr. Avery.
Q. Now, can you elaborate on that, when you say the news is so one-sided, what do you mean by that?
A. A lot of times when the news would start, you would see the picture of Steven Avery with the black and white striped jail suit.
Q. Right.
A. Almost implying that he's guilty already, before the Court has done their thing.
Q. Okay. So what did you think about that, is that fair or unfair?
A. Very unfair, I believe.
Q. Okay. Well, tell us about that, why is that unfair?
A. Because it's giving the public the presumption that he is already guilty.
Q. All right. And he's entitled to the presumption of innocence, correct?
A. Right. Entitled to a fair trial.
Q. Right. And he remains innocent until the State proves him guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt; is
that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And if you were selected as a jury person in this case, would you be able to accept that principle and apply it as the Judge instructed you?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, do you expect Mr. Avery to prove anything in this case?
A. Yes. Yeah, I would.
Q. All right. And what would that be? What do you think he needs to prove?
A. To prove that he is not the guilty one of this as to --
Q. Okay. If the Court were to tell you and I would imagine, in fact, I'm quite confident the Court will tell you that Mr. Avery doesn't have to prove anything and that only the State, the only party with a burden of proof is the State. And the only thing that has to be proved is whether he is guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand that?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And if, for instance, Mr. Avery chose not to present any evidence and just simply
picked apart the State's case, if you were not satisfied, beyond a reasonable doubt, of his guilt, would you vote for not guilty? Even if he did not put on any case, he just criticized the State's case.
A. It would be difficult to not hear his side of the story if he was --
Q. All right.
A. -- not to.
Q. And if the Court instructed you that they don't have to present any evidence, that they may just simply criticize the State's case and that would be it; would you still be able to follow that direction?
A. As long as the solid evidence is brought forward and can be proven.
Q. All right. So in other words, if the State does prove to you, beyond a reasonable doubt, you would vote that he was guilty?
A. I guess, yes. Yes.
Q. Okay. In answer to a question regarding scientific evidence, do you have any special interest in any type of scientific analysis such as fingerprint, hair, or DNA; you indicated yes. And then in your description, you said not to
pursue it, but in court it is very dependable. Can you tell us what you mean by that?
A. It's factual. It's 100 percent correct. If you can scientifically prove it as to what it is, where it is, when it happened, that's cold, hard evidence. In my opinion, that can make or break a case. It will tell you whether you are guilty or not.
Q. All right. So are you suggesting that as someone who would be asked to evaluate and weigh the evidence, you would give greater weight to the scientific evidence because you think it's more accurate than say testimony from witnesses?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What about the people who are presenting the evidence, the scientific evidence; do you find them more believable than someone say who is not a scientist or a doctor?
A. Yes.
Q. Why would that be?
A. More credible. They have more at risk.
Q. And is that because they have more information regarding the topic they are talking about or just because they are a scientist?
A. Because they know more about it in general, as a
whole. I mean, it's -- it's what they do.
Q. All right. Well, what would happen, in your mind, if you had two scientists who disagreed? They'd run the same test and one scientist says, well, I think it's A and the other guy says, no, I think it's B; what do you do with that?
A. Get some more scientists.
Q. I'm sorry.
A. Get some more scientists.
Q. Get some more scientists. Well, that's a good answer, but let's assume for the sake of our discussion that resources are limited and we can't just run out and get another scientist, so how would you evaluate their testimony?
A. I guess you would have to go to the next best thing, a step below --
Q. Okay. And what do you think?
A. -- go from there.
Q. What would that be?
A. Any other type of evidence or eyewitness, whatever the case may be, I mean, the next best.
Q. Well, I guess what I'm driving at here is, would you be able to evaluate the scientist's testimony the same way you evaluate the testimony of the lay witnesses to make a determination as to who
is more credible or whose theory carries the day?
A. Yes. I mean, one will obviously make more sense to one person than another.
Q. All right. You indicated also in your questionnaire that on one occasion you witnessed a hit-and-run accident and filed a report; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Are you aware as to how that may or may not have turned out?
A. No.
Q. All right. You never received any more information or any feed back on your ...
A. Nope.
Q. Okay. How did you feel about that?
A. Kind of hurt in a way, actually, that a citizen takes time out of his day to pursue a suspect that struck a school bus and kept going.
Q. Okay.
A. And I followed the individual to their residence or wherever they ended up parking and the police did come and I filed a report with them and that was the last $I$ ever heard of it.
Q. All right. So you don't know if the particular person was ever prosecuted and convicted, or
whatever?
A. Nope, I have no idea.
Q. Well, since you don't know the outcome, let me ask this; the fact that no one advised you as to what was happening or what occurred as a result of your report, does that create some concern for you in terms of dealing with law enforcement or other witnesses that may appear in this case?
A. No, I don't believe it has any bearing on this.
Q. All right. Now, you indicated you have a cousin who's a law enforcement officer?
A. Yeah, Allouez or Green Bay or ...
Q. I'm sorry?
A. In Green Bay, Brown County.
Q. Brown County?
A. Something like that.
Q. You gave us his name here, is he a Brown County sheriff or is he a police officer in one of the municipalities?
A. I think he is a sheriff. I'm not 100 percent on it.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't have regular contact with him.
Q. All right. So he wouldn't necessarily -- He is not family, or is he?
A. He's -- he's a cousin of mine.
Q. A cousin.
A. But we're not, you know, real close that we see each other, anything like that.
Q. Okay.
A. Once a year.
Q. Special holidays you might run into him?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. So you don't have occasion to talk with him about his work?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, you also expressed some familiarity with a case involving a co-worker who apparently was convicted of involuntary manslaughter?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. How long ago did that happen?
A. I worked with this individual probably six, seven years ago. And I'm sure this case happened well before that, probably when he was younger.
Q. Oh.
A. It's nothing that was recent, but that's the closest thing I could think of to this question.
Q. Okay. So it was not like that it happened when you were working together and he had to serve some time?
A. No.
Q. This was something he told you about after the fact?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. All right. Did that have any particular lasting impression on you in terms of the justice system at all?
A. No.
Q. Did he think he was treated fairly?
A. I never really asked him --
Q. All right.
A. -- or nor was it spoke of too much, you know.
Q. All right. Did you have any impression from him as to what -- how he might feel about that experience, based on the discussion you had with him?
A. Like I say, I felt sorry for him more than anything.
Q. All right. Any chance in your mind that that experience, as related to you by the co-worker, would have any affect on your ability to be a juror in this case?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any friends, or relatives, or anybody that works in the news business?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you own a computer?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Do you use the internet for a news source for you?
A. No. No.
Q. All right. And what do you use your computer for?
A. Searching for items, information and email.
Q. Okay. Do you ever use it to buy and sell anything?
A. No. No.
Q. Pay bills or anything?
A. Rarely.
Q. Okay. Have you ever heard of a publication called the Auto Trader Magazine?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you read it or use it from time to time?
A. Yes --
Q. You do?
A. Yes --
Q. I'm sorry, I don't mean to --
A. Yes, from time to time.
Q. All right. Have you ever purchased a vehicle, or sold a vehicle, or anything with the use of Auto

Trader?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. All right. Have you used it to find, perhaps, a vehicle that might be of interest to you?
A. Yes and compare prices and milage.
Q. Okay. Do you know any of the people that work for that magazine by any chance?
A. No.
Q. Okay. As a carpenter and a woodworker at the company, I would imagine that shop safety and equipment safety might be important considerations for you?
A. On a daily basis, yes.
Q. All right. In your capacity as a carpenter have you ever participated in writing up any safety standards or guidelines, or things of that sort, to help manage the production of products?
A. No, never to write up anything or put into effect.
Q. All right. Have your opinions ever been sought by anyone who was putting together some kind of safety guideline or policy for say the use of a piece of equipment, or the creation of $a$ particular product?
A. Yes, yes. I'm on the safety committee at Burger

Boat Company.
Q. You are.
A. We meet monthly and always discussion on what we can do better or how to make things safer.
Q. Do you enjoy participating in that type of work?
A. Yes, it reflects of my volunteer firefighting and first responder training.
Q. Okay. Do you watch movies at all in your spare time or?
A. Not many.
Q. Not many, okay. Do you consider yourself a detail oriented guy or more of a big picture person?
A. Very detail oriented.
Q. Okay. Any particular examples you can give us?
A. My job.
Q. All right. Are you -- Let's talk about that, are you a rough carpenter or a finish carpenter?
A. Finish carpenter.
Q. Okay. And your carpentry work has been primarily as a finish carpenter?
A. Yes. I had rough carpentry experience.
Q. Sure.
A. Plenty of that, yes, but ...
Q. Okay. And the carpentry work that you are doing,
is it -- can you give us some examples of the types of work you do?
A. I'm a level three carpenter at Burger Boat, top level. And right now I am running the pilot house on one of the boats that we're putting out. It's kind of a one person room where the boat is controlled from. So I'm in the process of doing that entire room by myself.
Q. Okay.
A. Which is a --
Q. It's a big project?
A. I have a big responsibility.
Q. Sure. Now, do you occasionally supervise other help or is this pretty much your show?
A. I supervise other help, yes.
Q. You do.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you enjoy the supervisory role?
A. Yes, makes me feel good that I'm teaching somebody else something.
Q. All right. So you like that instructor aspect of it?
A. To a point, yes.
Q. A little frustrating I imagine from time to time?
A. It can be, yes.
Q. Do you have a tendency to exact the same standards of professionalism from those you supervise as you do from yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. As I recall, you do not have any prior jury experience; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Is there anything about the jury process or being a juror who's asked to deliberate on guilt or innocence of another person, is there anything about that process you think might be a problem for you, that you might not be able to perform that duty?
A. That duty in itself, if I were to be selected, it wouldn't be a problem.
Q. Okay.
A. I mean, I would step up and do it but, overall, I prefer not to.
Q. Not to have to do it?
A. Yes.
Q. But if you were called upon to perform the service, you would be able to do it?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. Okay. That's fair enough.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will pass the juror.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Mr. Klein, I'm Jerome Buting. This is Attorney Dean Strang. And we're representing Mr. Avery. Okay. You probably saw us last week briefly, but this is our first chance to talk. I see you have got a six week old little girl?
A. Yes, that's correct, seven now.
Q. Are you getting any sleep?
A. A couple hours a night, yes.
Q. She's still keeping you up quite a bit?
A. Yeah.
Q. Would that be a problem if you were selected on the jury, do you think?
A. It could be for my wife's sake more, without me there being able to help as much or throughout the day.
Q. But do you think you would be able to at least get a night's sleep?
A. I could get enough sleep to function, yes, I would not be sleeping --
Q. Okay.
A. -- during the day.
Q. A lot of us have been through that and it's sometimes very difficult to get enough sleep to be functioning during the day, but you think you could do that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Let me ask you, I'm going to go over a number of things that Mr. Fallon already did, but I have some others as well. The information that you have learned from the media, radio or whatever, you also mentioned, though, that you have learned information -- you get news from co-workers?
A. Correct.
Q. What kind of information about this case have you gotten from them, do you think?
A. Nothing, you know, greater than what was expressed on the news the day before, just small talk at work, the next day, after the news was published.
Q. Do people sort of speculate about various theories of what happened?
A. Yes. There's speculation of guilty and not guilty. Kind of depends on the day or what was last broadcast on the news.
Q. Right. And have you been exposed to people who
have got theories that he is guilty, and if so, what are those? What are you hearing?
A. Just like I said, yeah, some people say he is guilty and some don't. What more are you looking for?
Q. Is it more $50 / 50$ or is it more people thinking he is guilty than not guilty, or $I$ mean are you hearing both equally?
A. It depends on the day.
Q. Okay.
A. What was last on the news.
Q. Okay. Speaking of what was last on the news, how much -- how recent have you heard anything on the news or heard co-workers talking about anything on the news?
A. At work, it's hard, you can't get away from a radio at work. You try to stay away from it, but you can't, there's radios everywhere and they are on constantly. So it would have been Monday morning.
Q. This week?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you try and avoid listening to?
A. Yes. Yes, been to different areas, and sometimes you come across it. But $I$ have tried to avoid
the radio, but have not been able to the entire time.
Q. Okay. Did you hear information, some discussion in the news about a blood vial?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you -- What, can you give me a summary of what you heard about that?
A. They were going to test it for the chemical in the blood, to see if it was in fact blood from the vial that was stored in the Manitowoc Courthouse.
Q. Okay. And so you learned it was stored -- I mean, you heard that whole story, basically?
A. Yes.
Q. So you have heard information about some of what the defense is as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you formed any opinions about that, that this is a crazy defense or it's a possible defense?
A. It's very possible.
Q. Okay.
A. The tests will -- should tell us.
Q. Okay. What if the tests can't tell you? What if in this instance science won't be able to prove,
one way or the other, the source?
A. It's on to the next.
Q. Then you look at something else.
A. Correct.
Q. You also mentioned that you had heard really quite a bit about Brendan Dassey's statements?
A. Yes.
Q. Initial statements, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you also heard of other reports where he recanted that statement?
A. Yes.
Q. Where he's denied it and said that it was false and all of that?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you heard information about how evidence may or may not support or corroborate that?
A. Not in detail, by any means. And I can't really say if $I$ have ever heard that or not.
Q. Okay. That's fair. You do -- you put quite a bit of faith in science, $I$ understand, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you like it -- is that because you'd like -it's cut and dried, or you hope that it's cut and dried?
A. I would hope that it's cut and dried, yes.
Q. What about if there's a lack of science, lack of evidence that can be scientifically proved where you might think there should be?
A. I would think we could do more to obtain it. I would think that there are ways of getting it, unless there would be a time restriction upon it.
Q. Okay. Well, what if -- you expect science to be able to help corroborate what someone says?
A. Yes, I would hope that it can, to put more faith in what that person has said.
Q. Okay. And if in fact it seems to disprove it or doesn't corroborate what someone says; does that -- what effect does that have?
A. That would lead me to believe that that person has got the wrong information that they are telling us.
Q. Okay. Either inaccurate or just false?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. You said that you -- I forgot how you put it, people presenting the evidence, whether they are actual scientists or perhaps technicians, you would tend to think or view as more credible?
A. Correct.
Q. Because they have more at risk; what did you mean
by that?
A. It's -- It's their life. It's their livelihood. It's -- It's their job. It's what they depend on. It's what they do everyday.
Q. Do you think that they can make mistakes?
A. Everybody makes mistakes, yes.
Q. Okay. And that sometimes the results, the science they produce, might be mistaken because they make mistakes?
A. Yes, it can be.
Q. And are you capable of looking at the whole picture to see whether or not -- have you ever heard the phrase "garbage in garbage out"?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So you have to look very carefully and listen to the witnesses very carefully to understand if the results are the kind of science that you hope it would be?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, given what you have heard, all you have heard about this nephew's story, in the news media; what if you didn't hear any of that at the trial, would you be able to put all that aside?
A. It would be difficult but -- to put it aside -it can be done.
Q. So what if the State didn't call Brendan Dassey at all and you never heard from him?
A. I guess we would have to go on to the next and try to prove it in another way. But it seemed like it was major, major information that could be used one way or another.
Q. And would you -- would you hold that against the State, that somehow, well, if they are not putting on that evidence they must not, you know, they are hiding something or they don't have -maybe it's not true, or would you be speculating about that?
A. I don't know if $I$ would hold it against them either way, if they put him on or if they took him off. I just know that this information probably would be critical to the case.
Q. Okay. But if the Judge instructed you that you have to really put aside all of that and forget all of that and really decide this case just on the evidence that comes into court and that you can consider maybe lack of evidence that comes in, but not focusing and speculating about other things you have heard; would you be able to follow that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you -- If you have heard about how Brendan Dassey has recanted at some point, have you ever encountered or heard of people who have confessed falsely?
A. No.
Q. Can you think of any reasons why someone would confess to something they didn't do when they are being talked to by the police?
A. No, I can't possibly think why somebody would confess to something that they have not done.
Q. Have you ever -- You have never heard of any situations where people have actually falsely confessed and then turns out not to be true?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So that would be a completely new experience for you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think it's impossible that someone would do that or could do that?
A. I'm sure there are people that do it, but like I said, I don't see why anybody would, or for what reason.
Q. Okay. Do you think it's possible that sometimes people feel pressured by the police to say things that they didn't -- they wouldn't otherwise?
A. I could never see myself doing it, you know. I guess there may be a possibility and I'm sure there is a possibility because I'm sure people have done it, but I don't see any reason why or to what pressure the police could put on you to make you confess to something.
Q. So -- But would you be able to listen to witnesses that talk about -- not how you or what you would do, not evaluate it in terms of whether you would do something --
A. Right.
Q. -- but whether or not someone else would falsely confess?
A. I would be able to listen to it, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, your cousin is a deputy sheriff, you believe?
A. Yeah.
Q. And you work in -- As a volunteer fireman, you probably work with some law enforcement --
A. Correct.
Q. -- officers as well?
A. Yup.
Q. Do you ever work with Manitowoc County sheriffs?
A. Yes.
Q. Which ones?
A. Specific names?
Q. Do you know?
A. I don't know the specific name, but there is usually a certain police officer individual that's kind of in our territory, that usually gets to the same accident calls that we're at, or blocks down roads for house fires, but I don't know his name, no.
Q. Okay. So it's not -- is it not -- is it necessarily the same person every time?
A. No, not every time, but a lot of the time he is the same one, usually there.
Q. And is your only encounter with that deputy when you are at, like, the scene of an accident or something like that?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Traffic control or whatever?
A. Yup.
Q. So, you don't really discuss his or her work?
A. No.
Q. Do you think that police officers who would come into court and take the oath and testify are necessarily more truthful than the ordinary average witness?
A. Yeah, I would say they are a little more
truthful, yes.
Q. Okay. Why?
A. They have a higher job and a higher duty to withhold and stand up for.
Q. Okay. Do you think that -- So you think, then, the police are less likely to lie about something under oath than the ordinary person?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think that they would -- Does that mean that they would not ever?
A. No, everybody -- anybody can lie. I'm not saying a police officer does not lie.
Q. Okay.
A. So.
Q. Do you think sometimes police get personally involved in an investigation and convince themselves that --
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. -- convince themselves that this person is guilty and they got to make sure that he's convicted?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think sometimes police would cross the line in order to try and make sure that -- that they think the right person is?
A. I would hope that's not how it works but, yeah,
it can happen.
Q. Okay.
A. It could happen.
Q. All right. The -- Let me go back for just one minute. Your wife, is she off work, I assume, on maternity leave?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you be financially -- have financial hardship if you were selected for the jury, then?
A. It would make things extremely tight. I'm aware now that we're eligible for unemployment, but it is not up to what I currently make. There wouldn't be a lot of extra things going on at my household.
Q. But you think you could get by for six weeks?
A. Prefer not to but, yes, it could be done.
Q. If it's your duty and you are selected you could do it?
A. Yes, I would step up.
Q. Okay. I appreciate that. You work with your hands?
A. Yup.
Q. And I know you try to follow safety procedures, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But do you find you often get little cuts and things on your hands, when you are working with your hands?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Mr. Fallon talked about some things or asked you about whether you would expect to hear or would like to hear Mr. Avery's side of the case; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And that brings up a quandary for any defense attorney because you have already -- you have got this sort of catch-22, when you are trying to advise your client, should you testify or not testify.

If the defendant takes the stand, some people will maybe think he's just trying to save his own skin, he is self-interested, why should we believe what he says. And yet he's a witness, or she's a witness in the case, whatever it is; would you be able to judge Mr . Avery as a witness, if he testifies, just like any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. And not discount what he says just because he's a defendant and has an interest in the case?
A. Yes.
Q. If the Judge instructed you, could you follow that kind of an instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. And, then, on the other hand, if he didn't testify, that's the other fear that defense attorneys sometimes have, well, is the jury going to think he has something to hide, you know, why doesn't he testify. A lot of people want to hear both sides.
A. I would want to hear both sides as well.
Q. And can you think of reasons, though, why sometimes a defendant may not testify, that has nothing to with whether they are guilty or have anything to hide?
A. I could not think of a reason why one would not want to testify for himself or herself.
Q. Well, can you conceive of people who maybe are -don't speak well in public, or aren't articulate, get confused, things like that that they are concerned about?
A. I don't think that would be something that should prevent one from doing that.
Q. And what about, you know, what if -- what if their attorney says I don't think you should
testify, I mean, that's a factor if you have to consider?
A. Yes. Repeat what you are asking.
Q. Well, let me ask you this. If Mr. Avery didn't testify, would that affect you and your ability to be fair and render a proper verdict?
A. I would continue with getting to the verdict. I guess it would have some bearing on it, that he would not speak for his own defense.
Q. Okay. But now, you will hear, or you may hear the Judge instruct you, if that would occur, that every person has a constitutional right not to testify and part of that because it's not the defendant's burden to prove that he's innocent, right?
A. Okay.
Q. And if the Judge instructs you that you have to look at the State's case and the defendant
doesn't have to take -- to testify and assume any kind of burden of proof and that you have to, therefore, not consider or speculate why he did or didn't testify; would you be able to follow that kind of an instruction?
A. Yes, if that were the instruction from the Judge and that's what we had to follow and that's what
we had to do, that's where we would go from it.
Q. Okay. Now, in this case, though, you know, I'm concerned because of all the theories that people talk about, that you have heard. And I'm concerned that you may say, well, if Mr. Avery didn't do this, who did?
A. Correct.
Q. I mean, that's only natural, right?
A. That's exactly what $I$ would think.
Q. But you understand that, if the Judge instructs you that you can't require Mr. Avery to prove anything, are you still going to say, hey, you know, unless he can prove to me who actually did this crime, I can't find him not guilty?
A. I would have that feeling, but I would still make up my decision for the Court at the time. I would still be wondering that after the case has been settled, yes.
Q. And I can tell, because of your interest in the -- how certain science can be, that you like to have something cut and dried, you like an answer?
A. Yes.
Q. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. But you have to understand that if you're selected on this jury, the question you are not going to be told -- Well, I take that back.

You may find yourself in a situation where you have heard all the evidence from the State and you are just not convinced, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Mr . Avery did it, but you also won't know, necessarily, who did if -commit this crime if not him; is that going to trouble you or will you be able to render a verdict of not guilty if you really have reasonable doubt that he did it?
A. I will be able to render a verdict one way or the other, you know, at the time.
Q. Okay.
A. And then I would definitely look forward to hearing more in the future as to where the case has gone from there.
Q. Okay. So after hearing all of this, do you think this is a case that you want to be a jury on?
A. No --
Q. Juror on?
A. -- I do not want to be a juror on.
Q. But you would do so if it's your duty?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you wouldn't have regrets and would you be willing to stick it out and do whatever it takes, no matter how long it requires deliberating, after the case is over?
A. It's my duty and that's what $I$ would do. I would have regrets of lost time and lost wages and so on and so forth of that sort and hope that it wouldn't drag out beyond the estimated six weeks. But, yeah, there could be regrets of things that I missed, the money that I missed out on, but I could step up and do it, yes.
Q. All right.
A. Not top on my list of things to do.
Q. I don't think it's on top of most people's list, but it is a civic duty and we appreciate you coming here today even and taking time out. So, thank you, sir.
A. Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Mr. Klein, I have just one follow up. The -- One disadvantage of the jurors in your position is you haven't heard the instructions yet, so you don't know what the rules are that the Court is going to give you. But $I$ can tell you that one
of them is, that if you're selected as a juror, that the jurors have to base their decision only on the evidence that they hear.
A. Correct.
Q. You have indicated both that you have some familiarity with Mr. Dassey's confession and also the recantation of that. We have a trial, you may or may not hear any testimony about that for a variety of reasons, that may or may not have anything to do with the credibility or believability of anything Mr. Dassey has said.

If no evidence comes in, will you be able to base your decision only on the evidence that comes in at the trial and not speculate about what other evidence might have been?
A. I would do my best, but not to say that that wouldn't still be in the back of my mind, whether it would make -- make me lean one way or the other at the final verdict, $I$ really can't say. I would hope that it wouldn't under your advisory. But I would definitely do my best and ...
Q. Do you believe, as you sit here today, that you can do that?
A. Ninety percent, yes. And there's still a little
bit of me that $I$ would hope $I$ would be able to.
Q. Well, if something doesn't come in, you really don't know what you are missing, right? You don't know how it would cut?
A. Correct.
Q. Can I ask you, what is it that gives you -- what gives you concern? Why do you feel you -- why are you only at 90 percent?
A. Due to the media and then the drastic -- drastic events that were brought up, you know. I guess that doesn't necessarily give me an opinion, but it gives me faith that there can be some closure to this.
Q. Well, if you are a juror you are going to hear a lot more evidence --
A. Correct.
Q. -- than there's been --
A. Correct.
Q. -- in the press? And I will instruct you at the end of the trial, if you're selected as a juror, that you can only base your decision on the evidence that you hear. Can you do that?
A. Yes. Yes, I would put everything else aside and do that.

THE COURT: All right. I will have the
clerk escort you from the courtroom.
(Juror not present).
THE COURT: Counsel, is there any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the state. ATTORNEY BUTING: Could we have a couple minutes to confer on this one, too, your Honor, please?

THE COURT: Yes, you may. I will be back in two minutes.
(Brief recess.)
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, we would move to strike this juror for cause. I'm concerned that he's unable to really commit to follow the Court's instructions. He's obviously been exposed to great detail about Mr. Dassey's confession, which may or may not come in. And he seemed to have -- although he said some positive things too and I think he was being very honest, trying to tell us how he feels. Overall, I don't think he's really committed to set aside his prior knowledge and decide it only on the evidence in this court.

There is the other issue $I$ frankly meant to follow up with him and forgot, which is the Court's instruction not to listen or hear
anything about the case. And he's, even just yesterday, already been hearing things on the radio. And I don't think deliberately, but as he described it, it seems like it's almost impossible for him not to be exposed to media reports about what's going on in the case. And probably as this case develops and as there may be significant, even sensational developments, who knows, it's going to be all over his work place. He says he tries to avoid it. He goes here, he goes there and the radio is on everywhere. His co-workers are going to be talking to him. It's almost --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. If he is on the jury, how is he going to hear these things?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, if he's -THE COURT: He's not going to be at work, is he?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, he -- I guess it wasn't clear. He did say he was working first shift, didn't he? So maybe that's true, maybe while the case is going on he won't. But he has already -- Despite the Court's instruction, he has already been exposed and listened to radio. And whether it was intentional or not, I think it's --
you know, it's indicative of problems he may well have.

But the biggest problem is -- is that he's just honestly saying that he doesn't think -- he is not committing to the Court that he really can set aside whatever prior information he's learned and decide this only on the evidence in the court. So I think he should be struck for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, Judge. This is one where we would disagree with the defense. I think that Mr. Klein did his level best to answer the questions as best he could.

I take him at his word when he says -- I think his last answer was probably the most telling in his -- and capsulizes his summary of his presentation today. And that is simply this, that while he may not want to do something or he may not fully embrace everything here, he is willing to, as he said, step up, meet his civic duty. He is willing to follow the instructions of the Court. He indicated he would do his best to do so.

And the fact that he may only be

90 percent certain that he can follow the instructions is, quite frankly, right there. That's what you would expect. I think if you would ask anybody in this room, are you 100 percent sure you could put this out of your mind, are you 100 percent sure you can do this and that, and I would venture to guess that most people in this room wouldn't tell you I'm 100 percent sure of anything in this world.

And I think, as an example, there is a case, it's not directly on point, but when you get these somewhat equivocal answers, not 100 percent certainty that they can follow the instruction or not 100 percent sure of that, the courts have held that any witness who expresses that level of understanding, that level of commitment, should be deemed an adequate juror.

You might want to take a look at State vs. Erickson, for instance, a particular juror in there was talking about the victimization that she may have experienced herself. She was a sexual abuse victim many years earlier. And in responding to a couple of questions she said, well, no, I don't think so. When the court asked her if she could be fair and impartial, she said

I think so.
I mean, those are equivocal responses but, you know what, they are honest responses from the heart and I think that's what Mr. Klein gave us. He indicated he would be willing to give us his level best. And I think that his presentation is, quite frankly, what you would expect.

THE COURT: All right. The --
ATTORNEY BUTING: Just a brief response.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY BUTING: The -- I don't think that these are -- I don't think that these answers were equivocal, but convincing that he could still set everything aside. I think he was being honest and in that regard he was trying to do his best.

But it's not enough for a juror just to say I'll try. They don't have to say I'm 100 percent but they have got to make some sort of a commitment. And he was very clear, even with the Court's efforts to talk with him, that he says it's in the back of his mind, he can't -he doesn't really think he's going to be able to put all this aside. So, for those reasons, I think he should be stricken.

THE COURT: All right. This is a situation where the Court understands the objection to be that the juror is subjectively biased; that is, that he is unable, based on his exposure to this pre-trial publicity, to put it behind him.

This is also a situation where the Court's observation of the juror; that is, his demeanor as he was giving his answers comes into play. Yesterday, I struck a juror based on the fact that he gave answers that were -- seemed to be acceptable, but his demeanor didn't strike me as indicating that -- that I could just take his answers at face value.

In this case, the Court's observations of the demeanor of this witness, I believe that he is very aware of his duty and very conscious of the need to follow that duty. And he is aware -- well, he's been aware of publicity relating to an alleged inculpatory statement that Mr. Dassey gave, but is also aware that Mr. Dassey has recanted it. And he is also -- so he knows there's some dispute. And I believe, based on his answer, that he is committed to putting that behind him, if no evidence is introduced on that issue at trial.

I believe also, based on his demeanor, that he has the commitment and the willingness to do so. And his 90 percent, if you will, argument or statement before he finally said just yes at the end, is based on the fact that he recognizes that he's human and he believes he could put it behind him, but like anyone else, nobody can say for sure until the time actually comes.

I'm satisfied, based on my observations of this juror, that he could be a fair juror in this case. And, therefore, the Court is not going to strike him for cause.

Counsel, we'll take our noon break at this time and resume at 10 after one, because we're going to have to make a little better headway than we have been making thus far. So I will see you at 10 after 1.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Could we make that just 1:15, your Honor, an extra five minutes.

THE COURT: All right. I will make it 1:15, but I have to say, I was hoping not to go later today, but we may have to. I will see you at 1:15.
(Noon recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we're back on the
record. Counsel, before we bring in the next juror, I did, in my explanation on Mr. Klein, forgot to address on the record the radio issue. My recollection of his statement was that he -- because of the radio being on at his place of employment, that it was impossible to completely avoid it, but that he has, since he received the instruction from the Court, attempted to avoid listening to reports on the radio and left the area, or I forgot exactly what his words were. But it appeared to the Court from whatever his exact words were, that he was attempting to comply with the Court order and had not been exposed to any meaningful publicity since he has been instructed to avoid publicity. For that reason, I did not choose to excuse him on that basis.

All right. At this point, we'll bring in Mr. Saari, who is I believe Juror 26, the next juror on the list. Mr. Saari, at this time the Clerk will administer the oath to you.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Saari, you have already completed a written questionnaire in this case. And
today we're moving on to the next phase of the jury selection process which is individual voir dire. The attorneys for each of the parties will have an opportunity to ask you some follow-up questions to the answers you gave in your questionnaire.

Before we begin with those questions, I want to make sure that you know that the jurors who are selected to serve in this case will not be sequestered. That means that at the end of the court proceedings every day the jurors will be brought back here to Manitowoc and permitted to go home.

The Court will continue throughout these proceedings to require that the jurors not be exposed to any news media accounts concerning this case; that is, radio, television, newspaper or the internet.

And I also want to let you know that although these proceedings are open today, the Court does not allow cameras in the courtroom during jury voir dire and the media is prohibited from disclosing the names of the jurors during the course of the trial.

And, finally, if you are selected to serve on a jury -- on the jury in this case, you
should know that the cameras are not permitted to show the faces or any other identifying characteristics of the jurors during the trial.

After the questioning today, if you remain on the panel, you will get a phone call in a day or two telling you when to report back, sometime later this week.

At this time, Mr. Fallon, you may begin questioning for the State.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Saari.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. And I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my left here is Mr. Ken Kratz. He's the lead prosecutor in this case and he's the District Attorney in Calumet County. Good afternoon and thanks for being here.

The Court and counsel here would like to follow up with some questions of you, based on the information you provided last week in the questionnaire. So I would like to begin with a little bit about your employment. If you can
tell me how long you have worked for the company.
A. Two years.
Q. And have you performed -- What is a material handler?
A. Forklift driver, operate a forklift.
Q. All right. And have you been employed doing that particular duty for the two year period?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Have you performed any other functions for Northern Labs?
A. I worked in the office for a little while.
Q. You will have to speak up just a little bit.
A. I worked in the office for a little while doing computer work.
Q. Doing computer work.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. For like a month.
Q. What kind of computer work did you do?
A. Data entry.
Q. All right. Do you consider yourself fairly proficient in the use of the computer?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right.
A. I would think so.
Q. And do you have one at home?
A. Yeah.
Q. And do you use it frequently?
A. Mainly for playing games.
Q. For playing games.
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you get any news or any information from the internet that way?
A. Not really.
Q. Okay. How long did you do the data entry work at Northern Labs?
A. For like a week.
Q. What kind of material or what kind of product do you work with at Northern Labs?
A. Car waxes, car soaps. What else do they do? Kaboom, mostly Orange Glo products.
Q. I'm sorry, mostly?
A. Orange Glo. Orange Glo.
Q. Okay. And do you work with any industrial solvents or cleaners, or things of that sort?
A. No, I'm in distribution warehouse. Everything that's hazardous is in the other warehouse.
Q. Okay. So you are just in the actual usable product division as it were?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. Finished goods.
Q. All right. Forgive me, but I was -- I was unsure as to how to read your -- or interpret an answer that you gave. So let me kind of read it back to you, if you can kind of explain it to me. In the question: Please list all groups or organizations in which you participate or are a member; and you write --
A. DCI.
Q. Right. What is that?
A. Doesn't have a name any more, but just like a card tournament for playing cards.
Q. Oh, okay.
A. For a card game.
Q. Is it kind of like a club?
A. Yeah, like a social group.
Q. Okay. What kind of card games do you like to play?
A. It's Magic Gathering. It's like a Pokémon game kind of, but more challenging.
Q. Like Pokémon, you said?
A. Yeah, kind of like that, but more challenging.
Q. Forgive me, but age has caught up with me I'm afraid. Can you tell me a little bit about the
type of game. I mean, what kind of game is it? Is it a game of skill, chance, or what kind of game?
A. Everything like skill, chance, strategy. Your mental ability to watch everything.
Q. All right. In terms of something perhaps that I'm a little more familiar with, is it anything like poker or anything like Texas Hold 'em, or bridge, or penuchle, or ... Is it anything like any of those games?
A. I would say it's kind of like poker; you have got to have the poker face kind of when you are playing.
Q. All right. So it's as much as playing the cards as it is the person who is holding the cards?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Is there a fair amount of bluffing associated with that game?
A. Yeah, I would think so.
Q. What is it about the game that you find interesting or appealing?
A. The amount of people that show up at, like, tournaments when you have it.
Q. All right.
A. Last one $I$ went to was in Illinois. There was
like 3,000 people --
Q. Really?
A. -- 2,000 people.
Q. All right. How often do you travel for the tournaments?
A. Maybe three times a year.
Q. All right. And the last one was in Illinois; when was that?
A. About two weeks ago.
Q. And where, Chicago area?
A. Yeah, outskirts of Chicago. I can't remember what the name of the city was.
Q. And -- Well, I have got to ask; how did you do?
A. Not very good.
Q. No?
A. No.
Q. Did you make it through the first couple of cuts or?
A. They do like a bunch of mini tournaments. I got like 4th in one of them.
Q. All right.
A. There was like 35 people in it so.
Q. All right. Now, are there prizes or is this money or ...
A. First, second and third place get prizes.
Q. They get prizes.
A. They get cards.
Q. Okay. And do the cards have a value?
A. Yeah, each card -- There's cards that came out when the game started and it's -- they can go up to like $\$ 2,000, \$ 10,000$. It can be expensive.
Q. All right. Is there an entry fee associated with the tournament?
A. Yeah, it's usually like each pack of cards costs between 3 and $\$ 4$. And you get 3 packs to build a deck.
Q. Okay.
A. And then you play with that. So it's like -- I think they charge $\$ 20$ and then they use the remaining money to give out prizes.
Q. Okay. And so the last tournament had as many as 3,000 participants?
A. Yeah, throughout the whole day.
Q. All right. In terms of sources for your news, you checked the newspapers and television; which one of those would you say you get most of your news from?
A. I would say television.
Q. All right. And what kind of -- or -- Well, first of all, what time of day would you ordinarily
listen to television newscasts?
A. In the mornings, usually before I go to work --
Q. All right.
A. -- around 5:00.
Q. All right. And what are your hours?
A. For work?
Q. Yup.
A. Six to two.
Q. Six to two. So what do you do when you get home from work?
A. Depends on what I have planned. Usually either watch TV, make something to eat, go to the Y , go down to the card shop, play cards. That's basically it.
Q. All right. And newspapers, how often do you read the newspapers?
A. I usually get the Sunday paper.
Q. All right. And maybe, what, one other day of the week maybe, or just Sunday?
A. Just the Sunday paper.
Q. All right. Considering the television and the Sunday papers, have you followed this particular case at all through the media?
A. I haven't really seen it in the paper. No, I would say I haven't followed it.
Q. Okay. When do you recall last seeing an article in the paper or last hearing a television broadcast regarding the events of this case?
A. I can't say that I have.
Q. Anything -- Well, let's just -- anything in the last month for instance?
A. I usually don't look at the front page. I usually just look at classifieds.
Q. Okay. While we're there, anything in particular that you're looking for in the classified section?
A. Apartments.
Q. Okay.
A. Anything for sale in the classifieds like couches, dressers.
Q. Okay. Are you living at home now?
A. No, I live in an apartment.
Q. In an apartment. So you're thinking of moving up, as it were, a better apartment?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. How long have you been living on your own?
A. Like five years.
Q. Okay. All right. What, if anything, can you tell us that you remember hearing or reading
about this case in either the television, news, or the Sunday paper?
A. I remember somebody talking about at work that there was a box of blood that was tampered with or something.
Q. Okay.
A. Something like that.
Q. And when do you recall hearing that?
A. That was maybe -- I can't remember when $I$ heard that. I just remember it at work, somebody talking about it.
Q. Would that have been fairly recently or was that some time ago?
A. I would say it was pretty recent.
Q. Okay. So that was not from the media, but just one of your co-workers.
A. Yeah, just somebody talking about it.
Q. All right. Were they talking directly to you or were they talking, you know, in a conversation with somebody else and you just happened to be standing by or nearby?
A. They were talking between each other and I walked past them.
Q. Okay.
A. I heard about it.
Q. Okay. Did you participate or join in in the conversation at all?
A. No.
Q. Did you continue on your way or did you stop and listen to some of the conversation or ...
A. I was talking to the other two that were next to him.
Q. Okay. So you were talking to two other people --
A. While they were talking about something.
Q. -- in close proximity to these two having a discussion?
A. Yeah.
Q. And do you recall any more of the conversation that the other two were having regarding this box of blood?
A. That's basically all they were talking about was that it was either tampered with or somebody put it there. I don't remember.
Q. I'm sorry, I couldn't quite hear you.
A. They said it was tampered with or somebody placed it somewhere. I can't remember what they were -I wasn't really listening to what they were saying.
Q. Okay. So you remember hearing those words --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- but you don't have a context in which to place them?
A. Yeah.
Q. What else, if anything, do you remember hearing about the case, not necessarily from that conversation, but any other conversation or any other news coverage of it?
A. I can't say that $I$-- That's the only thing $I$ have really heard.
Q. All right. Do you ever remember reading or hearing anything about a fellow by the name of Dassey?
A. Dassey, Dassey. There was a guy at work that talked about Dassey.
Q. Okay. What do you recall hearing about that fellow?
A. Um, that he -- What did he do? Um, something about him getting off a school bus and -- What was he doing? I just remember him -- that he was getting off a school bus and he was going -Where was he going? I can't remember where he was going.
Q. Okay.
A. But ...
Q. Anything else stick in your mind about after he
got off the school bus as to what might have happened or what he might have said happened?
A. That he was -- I'm trying to think.
Q. If you can't remember, that's fine. We're just trying to see what might be up in your head there. That's all.
A. I just -- It was hearsay. I don't know what they were -- They talked about that one a lot at work. And I just don't really -- I didn't really pay attention too much to them.
Q. Okay. They talk about it a lot at work but you apparently have not been interested in the discussion?
A. I usually talk with -- There's two people that are usually talking about it and I don't really talk to them too much.
Q. Okay. Do you have any opinion at all regarding Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence, based on anything that you heard from the news or anything that anybody at work has been saying or talking?
A. I really don't know, either way.
Q. Okay. Do you watch much TV, I mean, regular television shows?
A. Regular television, yeah.
Q. Now, you indicated that in your way of thinking
that shows like Crime Scene Investigation and Bones are not very realistic. Can you tell us a little bit why you think that.
A. Most of them aren't really based off of true stories or ...
Q. Do you watch those shows frequently?
A. I watch -- what is it -- crime scene special victim's unit $I$ think it's called, maybe twice a week.
Q. Okay. So even though you don't find it very realistic, is there a particular reason why you watch it once or twice a week?
A. It's interesting. My girlfriend likes watching it.
Q. All right. All right. Now, you said you had a couple of interesting experiences, I just wanted to ask you about. You said at one time your dad was accused or arrested for spanking your younger brothers, but the case never went anywhere. Can you tell us a little bit about that experience.
A. Yeah, he was -- What happened was, my two little brothers, they -- there was flies in our bedroom from because it's hot out in the summer. They had took everything out from underneath the cupboard, bleach, Drano, and just put it all over
our floor in our bedroom.
So my dad spanked them because they weren't supposed to go underneath there. My mom took them to the hospital, and she told them they had bruises. The police came to my dad's work and took my dad.

And after I think it was a month or two, it got thrown out for -- I don't remember. It was something with the judge. I don't remember what it was.
Q. How old were your little brothers at the time?
A. I want to say five and seven.
Q. Okay. So, as I -- as I understand it, they were trying to get some cleaning materials and in their youthful exuberance kind of made a mess of things in the bedroom?
A. Yeah. A really big mess. There was a thick goop on the floor.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. There was a thick goop on the floor.
Q. All right. So in their effort to clean things up they kind of made things worse?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. So that did not set well with your dad?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So he spanked them and your mom took them in for some medical treatment?
A. Yeah, to see if they had poisoning from anything that was under the sink.
Q. Oh, from all the chemicals that they were playing with?
A. Yeah.
Q. And then they had to explain how they got the bruises?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Did that experience -- Does that, or would that have any affect on your ability to be a juror in this case, your encounter? I assume that the police were involved in that?
A. Yeah, there was two police officers.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know, they seemed -- they seemed okay. Didn't seem to -- They just came in and asked us questions, like, if he ever did anything to us.
Q. Okay.
A. But it was -- it was a bad experience, but it wasn't a bad experience with the police officers.
Q. Okay. Just kind of embarrassing for the family in other words?
A. Right.
Q. In terms of how law enforcement handled themselves, you were not -- it was not a bad experience from that perspective?
A. I think if they would have, you know, took into consideration what was going on, it wouldn't have even went that far, but ...
Q. So, in other words, you might disagree with their judgment to make an arrest?
A. Yes.
Q. But in terms of, otherwise, how they dealt with you and your mom they seemed fair otherwise?
A. Yeah, I would say so.
Q. Okay. Now, you also had an experience with law enforcement. If you can tell us a little bit about that. You said you were with -- in your friend's car and looks like you were involved in an accident of some sort. Tell us about that experience.
A. We were driving down Dewey Street by Wal-Mart. And there was a car that was stopped, but he didn't have his blinker on. And then he turned his blinker on and then we hit the back of him. And we slid a little bit. And then when we got out of the car, the police -- there was a lady
police officer, woman police officer, and then later on a guy showed up. And then the woman was trying to question me and she just walked away, basically.
Q. Okay. Were you the driver of the car?
A. No, I was sitting in the back seat. I was trying to explain to her. You know, she asked me what happened and then she kind of just walked away.
Q. Okay. That is the -- And that was a Manitowoc Police Department?
A. I think so. That was right by Wal-Mart. I think she was a Manitowoc police.
Q. Well, did she have a blue law enforcement outfit or a brown one?
A. God, that was -- I think it was a brown one.
Q. Brown?
A. Yeah, I think so.
Q. Okay. Have you had any other encounters with law enforcement?
A. Not that I can think of --
Q. Okay.
A. -- off the top of my head.
Q. Did your friend get a ticket out of that incident?
A. He got a ticket but then he went to the
courthouse and he got it cut in half, I think it was.
Q. So he got a reduced fine?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. How did that experience with the police officer -- how did that sit with you?
A. Not very well.
Q. Okay. Well, tell us why. Tell us about that.
A. She didn't listen to what I had to say.
Q. Okay.
A. That's -- That's about it.
Q. Did she take some time to interview your friend who was driving and get his version of what happened?
A. The other officer took me and my -- the other guy who was in the car, took him to school and took me home.
Q. Okay. Took him to school and took you home. How long ago was this?
A. I would say two years, maybe a little longer.
Q. Well, the reason $I$ ask these questions is there will probably be a fair amount of testimony from law enforcement officers, some police officers, some sheriffs officers, some state agents, maybe some state patrol individuals. So is there
anything about your two encounters with law enforcement that makes you doubt whether you can evaluate their testimony the same way you would any other witness?
A. I don't know. It -- I guess it would depend on how they answer questions or --
Q. Okay.
A. -- how they act. I don't know.
Q. All right. Depending on how they answer the questions or how they act; that's a good answer. Anything in particular you might be looking for in terms of giving their testimony a fair shake?
A. I don't know. I ...
Q. Okay. Well, if the Court instructs you that you are to evaluate their credibility, their believability, their honesty, the same way you would any other witness, would you be able to follow that instruction, even though you have had these two other experiences in your youth?
A. I think I could.
Q. All right. You would give it your best shot?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And in that regard, in the terms of evaluating the evidence in this case, if you were selected as a juror, do you think you could
decide the guilt or innocence of Mr. Avery, based solely on the evidence that is presented in court?
A. I think so, yeah.
Q. All right. Is there anything about your previous experiences with law enforcement that really makes you wonder, or really have a doubt in the back of your head whether you could do that?
A. Personally, I think it was just that one lady, she seemed like she was having a bad day.
Q. Okay. And we all have bad days, don't we?
A. Yeah.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. That's all I have for this witness. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good afternoon. My name is Jerome Buting. And I, along with Dean Strang here, are the attorneys for Steven Avery. I assume you understand that.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. I have some follow-up questions and a few others for you, sir. You say that you play computer games?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you also have Xbox?
A. No.
Q. Or play stations?
A. I have a game cube that my friend gave me that I never play.
Q. Okay. Do you ever play games like Grand Theft Auto?
A. I played it once.
Q. Okay. That game and maybe some other games you have had the opportunity to play; do they involve games where people can sort of -- fantasy games where people can pretend to do things they couldn't really do?
A. Yeah, I would say so.
Q. And in Grand Theft Auto they are actually pretending to do crimes?
A. Yeah.
Q. Some of them pretty bloody crimes, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Including sexual assaults, shootings?
A. I think you can do shootings in Grand Theft Auto, but $I$ don't know about sexual assaults.
Q. Okay. Any of these other games that you have seen that you play that people can do those kinds of things?
A. Just the Grand Theft Auto, I think.
Q. Do you know some people who just seem to be -- I don't know if obsessed is the word, but they play so many of these games that it's almost like their life; do you know people like that?
A. I know one kid like that, yeah.
Q. Yeah. Okay. The name of this tournament, did you call it Magic Gathering or Magic --
A. Magic, The Gathering.
Q. Magic, The Gathering.
A. Yeah.
Q. And is that probably your, like, number one hobby would you say, or number one outside interest?
A. Yeah, it used to be. I kind of slowed down a little bit with it since $I$ started working.
Q. How long have you been involved with that particular thing?
A. Oh, probably like seven years, I would say.
Q. Oh, okay, so it's -- Are people still doing Pokémon or has that kind of died out?
A. That's kind of died out.
Q. This has -- but this has had longer staying power it sounds like?
A. Yeah, this has been since I think 1990, I think
or -- no.
Q. Okay.
A. Around there somewhere.
Q. Somewhere like that.
A. Yeah.
Q. And these -- these cards have acquired, like, collector's value, particularly the early ones that come out?
A. Yeah.
Q. These prior incidents that you have had with police, so your father was actually charged with a crime for simply trying to discipline his children, right?
A. It's been a while, I think so, yeah, I'm pretty sure.
Q. He came to court?
A. I wasn't at court, so I don't ...
Q. Okay. But as far as you know he had to -- he had to -- did he have to get a lawyer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I think so.
Q. And the case proceeded for a couple of months you said?
A. Yeah, it was. Yeah.
Q. And eventually a judge or somebody threw the case out?
A. Yeah.
Q. So your father got lucky, basically, right?
A. Well, I don't know if it was lucky. I think it was just something that -- I don't know how to explain that.
Q. Well, okay. I understand. Were you surprised it even went that far before it finally got dropped?
A. Yeah, I was kind of surprised I would say.
Q. In fact, I think you said that -- I mean, that was a serious charge, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Some sort of felony child abuse probably, right?
A. I think it was child abuse that he was charged with.
Q. Okay. And you said that you thought if -- maybe if the police, at the very beginning, had maybe taken into consideration all the circumstances, it never would have gone that far, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. And then you had another incident with apparently a Manitowoc County sheriff deputy. If she was wearing brown; was it in Manitowoc County?
A. Yeah, it was in Manitowoc. It was right by

Wal-Mart where it happened.
Q. Okay. Where you were concerned, again, that officer wasn't listening to your side and wasn't listening to all of the circumstances, right?
A. Right.
Q. So if you had a couple of experience then where you noticed that officers can sometimes, you know, almost have tunnel vision and just focus on one aspect of what's going on and ignore some other things that are going on around the outside?
A. Um, that would -- yeah, that would kind of seem like what it was.
Q. Okay. In fact, in your case where the officer didn't even want to hear another witness who was sitting right there, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you think that that's -- you said that that officer was just maybe having a bad day you thought?
A. That's what it seemed like, I don't know.
Q. Okay. On the other hand, your father experienced something similar, right?
A. Yeah, that was in Michigan, I think it was.
Q. Okay. But do you think that -- that police
officers can -- can do that, that they can sometimes get too focused on one part of the case or one part of their investigation and just kind of, you know, full speed ahead down this road and that's it?
A. It seems like it, yeah, with the two that I encountered.
Q. Okay. Is that the only two times that you have encountered police?
A. That I can -- that I can remember, yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, do you think that police officers if they come -- actually come into court and testify and take an oath to swear the truth; do you think that they are more or less likely to tell the truth than the ordinary citizen who comes into court and takes the very same oath? Or the same? (Court reporter couldn't hear.)
Q. Or the same, in other words, are they more likely, less likely, or the same likely?
A. I would say the same.
Q. Okay. So there's -- You don't think there's anything about officers that makes them more believable or more trustworthy when they take the oath than an ordinary citizen, right?
A. Yeah, I would say that's right.
Q. Okay. Do you think it's possible a police officer could lie under oath?
A. Yeah, I think it's possible.
Q. Just like anyone else?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Do you think it's possible that police officers would get so invested in their case that they want to get somebody they think is guilty and maybe cross the line in doing so?
A. I don't know.
Q. Have you ever heard of that happening?
A. I have heard of that like on TV, but I don't know if ...
Q. Okay. So you have seen it on TV where sometimes police officers cross the line, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. The CSI shows and, you know, they get real personally involved. And of course in those shows there's usually no doubt about who the guilty person is, right?
A. Right.
Q. And the officers are usually portrayed as heroes because they are going after the guilty guy and really getting him, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. But you can -- Can you see that if that happens in real life and they happen to be focused on the wrong person, that that could be a serious problem?
A. Yeah, that would be a problem.
Q. Okay. And if you're selected as a juror in this case, will you be able to evaluate all the evidence and consider the possibility that maybe they have focused on the wrong guy?
A. If that's part of the evidence ... Yeah, I would think so, if it's part of what $I$ have to look at.
Q. All right. What do you know about Mr. Avery's background?
A. Runs or owns a junkyard.
Q. Okay. Do you know anything about a situation where he spent a long time in prison and was exonerated by DNA?
A. Um, yeah, I heard about that. He was -- That's basically all $I$ heard, that he was in prison and that he was left out because of DNA.
Q. That he was wrongly convicted actually, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. And you heard that from media, or from your co-workers, or what?
A. He was -- My dad was talking about it.
Q. And was your dad -- There was no doubt in your mind or your dad's mind that Mr. Avery was, in fact, wrongly convicted and spent all that time in prison, right?
A. Right.
Q. So, as he sits here today, given what you have heard from your co-workers and what little information you have had, can you presume that he is innocent of these charges?
A. I haven't really heard too much. I don't really know what's ...
Q. Well, do you think the fact that he is here today and that this case has progressed as far as it has, right up to a trial here; do you think that that means that he must have done something wrong?
A. Yeah, must mean, yeah, he done something wrong. I don't know.
Q. Why would you think that?
A. Because he is charged for -- is it the murder of Teresa, $I$ think it was.
Q. Okay. Well, your father was also charged?
A. Yeah.
Q. And you know Mr. Avery was also charged and convicted once, wrongly, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you know that that case went all the way to a trial as well?
A. I didn't know that, no.
Q. Okay. So do you think, then, the mere fact that someone, not just Mr. Avery, the mere fact that someone gets this far in the process and has to have a jury to decide, means that it's more likely than not that they have done something wrong or they wouldn't have gotten as far -gotten themselves in this situation, or they wouldn't have found themselves in this situation in the first place?
A. Um ...
Q. You're not sure about that?
A. Yeah, I don't know.
Q. Is that because you are having a hard time seeing how somebody could be innocent and be sitting here?
A. Well, my dad was kind of in the same situation so, or in that situation where he was innocent and he was still sitting there.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. So I could see how an innocent person could still be.
Q. Okay. I appreciate that. And so, I mean, given that knowledge and the Judge will instruct you that you will have to presume Mr . Avery innocent.
A. Yeah.
Q. And -- But I want to be sure that that's not just something that you are going to mouth back or parrot back, yes, $I$ can do that. Do you really believe that you can do that, that you can presume Steven Avery innocent and unless and until, or if the State can prove otherwise, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yeah, I think -- I'm positive I can do that, that he's innocent before.
Q. Okay. Now, along with that there's sort of a complicated quandary that any defendant finds himself in and that is the question of whether or not the defendant should testify at the trial.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you know that a defendant has a constitutional right not to testify?
A. Yeah, I know that.
Q. You have learned that, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. On the other hand, a defendant may choose to testify and Mr. Avery may choose to testify, but that some people might tend to discount whatever he says because he's the defendant, he's in trouble, he will say whatever he needs to say and not listen to him like any other witness; can you see that problem?
A. Yeah, I can see that problem.
Q. So, when Mr. Strang and I are talking amongst each other, trying to decide whether Mr. Avery should take the stand, we have to know if you can promise that you won't -- that you will follow the Judge's instruction, that if he doesn't testify, you can't use that against him, can't consider it in fact in any way, or if he does testify, you have to consider him like any other witness; can you promise to do that?
A. Yeah, I can promise to do that.
Q. Do you think, though, that if he doesn't testify that he's hiding something?
A. I couldn't really say. I don't know if -- I don't think he would be hiding anything if he doesn't testify, I don't know.
Q. Why, because there's other reasons that somebody might not testify besides the fact that they are
actually guilty; I mean, they could be completely innocent and choose not to? Do you think that?
A. Yeah, they could. I don't know. I couldn't -- I don't know why, but I think -- I think they could. I don't know.
Q. You are going to hear a lot of testimony, or a lot of evidence about a crime. And if -- if Mr. Avery says he didn't do it, you may wonder, well, then who did, right?
A. Right.
Q. Human nature. Are you going to expect that Mr. Avery is going to have to convince you who did it, if he didn't?
A. Depends, I don't know if he was there when it happened or when.
Q. Well, if -- in other words, if -- are you going to say, look, if -- if the defendant -- if the defense can't tell us who really did kill this woman, then it must be him and I'm going to find him guilty?
A. So you are, like, saying if he didn't do it somebody else did, but nobody knows who, right?
Q. Yeah, that may well be the way the evidence comes in. And if you -- Go ahead.
A. I just drew a blank right when you started
talking.
Q. Okay. If you -- I guess what I'm asking then is, if the State puts on its case and you have a reasonable doubt that Mr . Avery may not have committed this crime, but you start thinking and you're talking among other jurors and you say, well, yeah, but if he didn't do it then who did. Come on, I mean, somebody did it. It's got to be him, we haven't heard anything else. We don't know anybody else.

But if you still have a doubt, from the State's case, that Mr. Avery did it, will that be enough for you to render a verdict of not guilty, or will you be still searching and holding the defendant out to some burden of convincing you who really did this crime?
A. I would be instructed to go by the evidence, so I would have to, you know, whatever is shown, I don't know.
Q. You have to what?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm sorry --
A. Go by whatever is -- I don't know how to put that.
Q. Let me put it this way, do you think that -- do you understand that Mr . Avery does not have any
burden of proof at all in this case?
A. No. No, I didn't know that.
Q. Oh, you didn't know that. Okay. Well, the Judge will tell you that the State has the entire burden of proof in any criminal case. And that the defendant can just sit here, in fact, and do nothing. And if the state doesn't present a strong enough case, you have to find not guilty?
A. Oh, okay.
Q. Or the defendant could, you know, progressively challenge, cross-examine all the State's witnesses and not choose to put on any of his own witnesses or her own witnesses. And if -- if the State didn't convince you, beyond a reasonable doubt, you would have to find not guilty, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. So I just want to be sure that if the Judge tells you that Mr. Avery does not have to prove his innocence, that he is presumed innocence -innocent, that you are going to be able to follow that instruction and not fall back on what's kind of human nature, which is, hey, well, who did it if he didn't?
A. Yeah.
Q. You will follow the instructions?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. What about if you -- if you felt that way, that is, if you felt that the State had not convinced you, beyond a reasonable doubt, and thought about, therefore, voting for a verdict of not guilty; would you be concerned about what your family or friends might think about you?
A. Yeah. A little bit, yeah, I would say I would.
Q. Because most of your family, or friends, or circle of people you know have strong opinions already?
A. The people at work, most of them have strong opinions.
Q. And that opinion is what?
A. They both -- they go either way. Some say he is guilty, some say he is innocent.
Q. Okay. So if you became convinced that a not guilty verdict was the appropriate one, you would still have some fear --
A. Yeah, there would still be a -- what would you call it -- there would still be people who would probably be upset or whatever.
Q. And would that bother you? Sounds like it would bother you a little bit?
A. Yeah, I would say it would bother me a little bit
that they would be upset, but ...
Q. Do you think you would be -- that concern that you would have would be enough that it might change your mind and cause you not to vote not guilty?
A. It could go either way so that, you know, because there's people there that think he's innocent, or he is guilty, or so it could go either way.
Q. So if it came back guilty, there would be people upset too?
A. Yeah.
Q. So what I'm asking, then, is aware of the fact that you may get some sort of a reaction either way, would you be able to put that aside when you are in the jury room and deliberating on what the proper verdict should be?
A. Yeah, I believe I could.
Q. Well, having heard everything you had today, let me just ask you one last question. Do you -- Do you feel like you want to be on this jury?
A. Not really.
Q. Is it something you would feel like you would do, though, if it was your civic duty, if you had to?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Thank you, very much.
A. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. At this time the clerk will -- Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: There is one matter that I just noticed, neither counsel followed up on and it was a question related to possible hardship that was not answered on the questionnaire. Do we want to ask about that, Question 76 went unanswered?

THE COURT: I will ask it.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Mr. Saari, there was a question on the questionnaire that asked, because the trial could take approximately six weeks, whether or not that would pose any type of hardship for you if you're selected to serve on the jury. You did not answer that question; does that mean that it would not be a hardship for you to serve?
A. There wasn't anything going on.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. At this time the Clerk will escort you from the courtroom.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Is there a motion from either
party regarding this juror?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Not from the State.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Not from the defense, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Very well, Mr. Saari is in.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, $I$ do have a further comment, though, on the last juror, Mr. Klein.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Based on -- Following up with the Court's comments right after lunch that you thought that although he had -- there was some question about his exposure to information and media after he was told not to, the Court said that it didn't seem like he had been exposed to anything significant. But, in fact, I think he has.

He testified that he specifically knew about an order to test this blood vial that would supposedly show one way or another whether it was a source of planting. Now, that decision was made by this Court in this court at $3: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on Friday, a full five days after the jurors had come in here and were told to avoid any publicity or anything significant. And that evidence may
not even be admissible, even if it is tested, this Court has said.

So now we've got somebody who has been exposed to significant information that may not be admissible and contrary to what the Court had ordered. And that's right, probably not at work because he got off work at $3: 30$, so he wouldn't have heard about that decision at work. It must have been some time after that, perhaps on the weekend, or on the radio there, or somehow he had been exposed to that information, which wasn't ruled on by this Court until 3:30 Friday afternoon.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, I see counsel's point, but I'm not sure that it's all that perfectly clear that that is the case. Because the issue of the blood vial and whether there should be an adjournment, whether it should be tested, whether it should be excluded, has been the object of quite a bit of litigation since January 4th.

And there are a number of people that when you talk to who think they may have understood the news correctly and have not, you know, understood the news correctly. In fact,
just a personal anecdote, I had people ask me, so the Judge has decided to keep the vial out. And at that point in the proceeding the only thing that had been filed was the motion to exclude it. So the people have heard something.

But I'm not entirely sure that Mr. Klein was of that -- in other words, that what he thought he heard about the blood vial must have come after 3:30 on Friday afternoon, I'm not convinced the record reveals that at all. And I defer to the Court's original ruling. I think you had it right, he is doing his absolute level best to avoid any of that. He just happened to hear something about a blood vial on the radio and testing it.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I will try to take a look this evening at that part of the transcript again, but I didn't -- I suppose there are a number of possible explanations for any comment he would have made regarding the blood vial. It has been in the news. The motions regarding the blood vial have been pending and have been heard for the last month and a half or so.

I just -- My primary impression from him was that he had attempted to avoid being exposed
to publicity, but recognized that because of the place where he works it was impossible to completely avoid exposure because of the fact that the radio is on at his place of employment.

But I will take Mr. Buting's comments into consideration, take another look at the transcript and address it again tomorrow if $I$ feel there is a need for the Court to reconsider its decision.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, your Honor.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I would also offer, there's nothing that says we couldn't bring him back and clarify that point either if absolutely necessary, if there's any doubt in the transcript.

THE COURT: All right. At this point, then, we'll move on to Mr. Stonebraker. Mr. Saari is in.

Okay. Mr. Stonebraker, please just stand there a minute.

THE COURT: All right. At this time, Mr. Stonebraker, the Clerk will administer the oath.

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Stonebraker, you have
already completed a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of juror selection which is the individual voir dire process. The attorneys for the parties will have a chance this afternoon to ask some follow-up questions to you concerning answers that you gave in your questionnaire.

Before they begin, I want to make sure that you understand that the jurors who are selected in this case will not be sequestered; that is, at the end of trial each day they will be permitted to go home. And with respect to today's proceedings; although these are open to the public, during the voir dire process we do not permit cameras in the courtroom and members of the media are prohibited from disclosing your name in any media reports of these proceedings. In addition, should you be selected to serve on the jury, cameras will not be permitted to take any shots at the trial that disclose the identity of the jurors.

In the event you are still on the panel after today's questioning, you will get a phone call in the next day or two letting you know when you have to report back to court. Mr. Fallon, at this time you may begin your questioning.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Stonebraker. My name is Tom Fallon, I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. And to my left is Mr. Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and lead prosecutor in this case. Good afternoon and thanks for returning to help us out this week.

I would like to first begin with you telling us a little bit about your duties and responsibilities at we energies, at the power plant. If you would, tell us a little bit about what you do there.
A. I'm an instrument control technician, which is part of the maintenance department. We service the instrumentation of the plant, the automatic control systems, and we do what they call surveillance tests, which is on the reactor, and make sure everything is working right and all the safety systems are operating correctly.
Q. All right. And how long have you been employed
at the plant doing that type of work?
A. In May, it will be 18 years.
Q. Have you done any other duties or have you had any other responsibilities at the plant other than the control technician?
A. Yeah, the first two years $I$ was there $I$ was an auxiliary operator. Which that's the guys that -- they run the plant, out in the plant itself, not the ones in the control room.
Q. Okay.
A. Although a lot of that time was in training; it takes awhile to train for that.
Q. I'm at a loss because I'm not very familiar with nuclear stuff; what -- what is an auxiliary operator?
A. They would be the guys that go out and turn valves, take logs on equipment.
Q. Okay.
A. That kind of thing.
Q. All right. So you did that for two years and then for the past, what, 16 or 18 you --
A. Sixteen.
Q. Sixteen, an instrument control tech -- control technician?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And prior to that, you served in the United States Navy?
A. Yeah, I did have a job in between, the questionnaire said three years, though, so.
Q. Okay.
A. But, yes, $I$ was in the Navy.
Q. Sure. What did you do in between then?
A. I was a Rad Con Technician at Maryland Naval Shipyard, which I believe is closed now.
Q. All right.
A. That was in Vallejo, California, near San Francisco.
Q. Oh, sure. What kind of work did you do there?
A. I was a Radiation Control Technician. We -- We did surveys to make sure that there wasn't radiation getting outside of the -- It was a shipyard.
Q. Okay.
A. So we're just making sure we do radiation surveys and contamination surveys. Make sure all the radiation and contamination was where it was supposed to be.
Q. And what type of duties did you have in the United States Navy?
A. I was an Electronics Technician for the nuclear
field, which meant that I worked -- I was on the USS Enterprise. And I worked in the engineering spaces where the reactors were, propulsion reactors.

And I was an electronics technician. We were the -- We would operate the plant. They have a control panel and we would do that. There was some other watch stations too where you would just monitor the equipment. And, you know, we did maintenance and stuff too.
Q. Okay. And how long did you -- Well, let's see, I see you were six years in the Navy?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Were you based primarily here in the United States or did you get to travel a bit?
A. We were based in the United States, but we traveled. I did two oversea deployments.
Q. Okay. Where did you go?
A. Philippines, Hong Kong, Singapore, Pakistan, Australia, France and Italy.
Q. All right. That's moving around pretty good. How much time in Australia?
A. About a week.
Q. On your questionnaire, you indicated you consider yourself at least somewhat knowledgable in the
area of chemistry?
A. We had -- Yeah, I wouldn't consider myself an expert at all, but we had a fair amount of training on chemistry.
Q. All right. And is that training something that you rely upon today to assist you in performing the duties as a instrument control technician?
A. No.
Q. All right. Have you -- Have you used any of that chemistry background training in your current responsibility at all?
A. No, the chemistry training $I$ got was in the Navy. And it was just part of a well-rounded background for being a nuclear operator.
Q. All right. In terms of nuclear reactors and the science behind them, were you called upon to learn how they actually work and --
A. Absolutely.
Q. -- and -- All right. And how much science would you say you had been exposed to; in other words, classroom type of work or ...
A. Depends on how you want me to quantify it. We had quite a bit. It would be somewhat equivalent to a college degree.
Q. All right. Was that -- That was in -- training
that was mandated for the type of work you were doing --
A. Correct.
Q. -- in other words?
A. Right.
Q. And so you had a fair amount of it, a couple years worth of training; on-the-job training, I would I imagine.
A. There was -- Well, first I had training in electronics because I was going to be an electronics technician. And then $I$ went to nuclear power school, which was all classroom. And, then, after that there was prototype training I went to in Idaho and that was hands on. And each of those was about six months.
Q. Okay. All right. So how do you like being a manager of a softball team?
A. Oh, it's -- I like softball a lot. I play on two different teams. It's fun. And I forgot to put on there I'm on the Manitowoc County -- or Manitowoc Rec Department Softball Commission.
Q. All right.
A. So, I like softball a lot; I enjoy it.
Q. What do you do for the Rec Commission; what kind of responsibilities or --
A. Well --
Q. -- what's your level of participation?
A. Yeah, we haven't had any meetings for a while so I'm not sure what's going on with that, but when we did have meetings we would talk about ways to improve the diamonds in Manitowoc, in the city.
Q. All right.
A. Like we had discussions about, some of the fields needed new benches. We would talk about rules, improvements, you know, things like that. Bat -We had things for checking bats to make sure people weren't using illegal bats, things like that.
Q. All right. No cork bats?
A. Right. Well, the equivalent in softball would be a titanium bat so.
Q. All right. Do you like managing people?
A. I don't really do it that much. I don't mind it. I mean, a little, sure.
Q. All right. Did you have supervisory responsibilities when you were in the Navy?
A. No. Um, some people, the rank I was at did, but I didn't.
Q. All right. Were you -- Did you work mostly alone or were you on, like, a team of technicians; how did you ...
A. In the Navy?
Q. Yeah.
A. We -- The Enterprise had four different plants. They had two reactors each and they would assign us to one particular plant.
Q. Right.
A. So -- And then it would be divided by jobs, you know, like the mechanic or electrician types, they would be different. So we had -- it's been a long time but, we had 12 or so guys that I would work directly with.
Q. All right. Do you work with a team right now or are you pretty much on your own as an instrument control technician?
A. We have a shop of about 20 guys, not counting supervisors.
Q. All right. You are not one of the supervisors?
A. No.
Q. All right. In your role as an instrument control technician, have you been involved in writing of safety guidelines or setting up or enforcing safety standards for working with the reactors and other technical equipment at the plant.
A. We have procedures for virtually everything we do
and I have -- I did spend some time doing procedure writing, so, yes.
Q. All right. Did you enjoy having a hand in that?
A. It was interesting. It's nice to do something a little different every once in a while. The deal was that $I$ was just temporarily assigned to it for a couple years. You know, they kind of rotate people through.
Q. Right.
A. So.
Q. All right. Very good. Well, I would like to talk to you a little bit now about some of the information or what you think you may know about our particular case. And I would like to begin with the news media and coverage of the case. You indicate you get most of your news from the radio and the internet?
A. Yeah, mostly the internet.
Q. All right. You are not much of a television guy, then?
A. I never watch, or almost never watch, the local news. And I don't care to watch the national news all that much either.
Q. Okay. What kind of radio or what radio stations do you regularly listen to?
A. Either a Christian radio stations or like talk radio.
Q. Okay.
A. And sports, sports talk also.
Q. Okay. What type of talk radio, any particular station or --
A. Well --
Q. -- broadcaster that you find interesting?
A. Yeah, I live so close to where $I$ work and the only time $I$ ever listen to it is when I'm in the car for my 12 minute drive in. But when I -- the little bit $I$ hear is, like, sometimes I will hear the end of Rush Limbaugh or Mark Belling out of Milwaukee.
Q. Okay. But then these are, as you say, short listens as it were?
A. Very short. And if I -- if I go home and I drive into Manitowoc to do a shopping trip or something, I might listen to it too. But I -If, like, if you were to ask me my total time in a week, I would say a couple hours, maybe.
Q. Okay. Of all the time that you are in the car?
A. Right. And even then, sometimes I will listen to a CD or sports --
Q. Right.
A. -- radio, whatever, so.
Q. What kind of workweek do you have at the plant, I mean, is it regular 40 hour week, or less, more?
A. Normally, we work five 8 hour days. Then for refueling outages we work six 12 hour days.
Q. I'm sorry, during what?
A. Six 12 hour days, that's starting a refueling outage.
Q. Oh.
A. Each unit, we have one of those every 18 months. It's a two unit plant.
Q. Gotcha. All right. In terms of your internet experience, what kinds of things do you use the internet for; do you get your news there, or any other purpose, or ...
A. Well, I don't generally go to a news site like cnn.com or abc.com, or any of that kind of stuff. I mostly read blogs and columns.
Q. Okay.
A. And then I'll -- There's a few TV shows I watch. And I like to read on, you know, shows -- sites that talk about those. For a couple of them, not all of them, but ...
Q. Right. All right. Given that background, in terms of your exposure to coverage of this
particular case, where would you say you have heard or experienced most of the news from; the radio, the internet, or some other source?
A. I haven't read anything on the internet. The radio, a lot of times when I'm driving it will be -- if its on the hour $I$ will hear the six or seven minute newscast they have.
Q. Right.
A. And a couple times I heard something about it. When the first -- When it first happened I did see some stuff, they put up a TV in our shop to show the -- I can't even remember exactly which event it was. I think it was when they announced they were filing charges. And we watched that for like 10 minutes. And really hasn't been that much.
Q. So you only remember that one time, seeing a televised newscast?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
A. Did you say anything about newspapers; I can't remember?
Q. That's coming up.
A. Okay.
Q. We're still on the internet and the radio and the
one television newscast?
A. Okay.
Q. So the radio, you might have, if it's the top of the hour --
A. Right.
Q. -- you catch whatever they throw at you?
A. A little blurb, yeah.
Q. Right. And nothing on the internet; you don't use that to read up on the case, for instance?
A. Right. Right. I don't go, like, to the heraldtimesreporter.com. I have gone there a few times.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. Never for that case.
Q. Okay.
A. It would always be something specific I might have an interest in.
Q. All right.
A. You know.
Q. Do you recall, then, seeing any newspaper articles on the case?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Anything in the last month?
A. Well, my in-laws, my wife's parents, live with us and they subscribe to the Herald-Times Reporter.

I never read it cover to cover because it just doesn't interest me that much. Typically, what I will do is $I$ will scan the cover, see any big goings on that $I$ didn't hear about. And so I did see an article recently about charges being dropped.
Q. Okay.
A. Which I sort of knew any way.
Q. Right.
A. But $I$ didn't read it.
Q. You didn't read it?
A. No.
Q. So you just looked at the headline and moved on?
A. Right. Oh, there was another article right next to it that talked about jury selection and because $I$ was in the process, $I$ was kind of interested. And I started reading it and it -- I saw that it didn't pertain to the facts of the case so $I$ went ahead and read that article.
Q. You did or did not?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And that just talked about this process you are involved in now?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Did you read any other articles or any
other coverage of the case, or any discussion of what might or might not be evidence in the case?
A. The only things $I$ ever read in the newspaper, other than what $I$ just mentioned, was when it first happened, I remember reading an article and I would read part of it and then they would start talking about things that $I$ didn't really care about or didn't care to read about, so I, you know, just basically enough to get a general idea what was going on. I wasn't interested in the particular details.
Q. Okay. And that was many months ago, maybe more than a year?
A. Yeah, whatever it was. Yeah.
Q. All right. Well, based on what you have seen and read and heard on the radio, have you formed any opinion as to whether Mr. Avery is guilty or innocent of the offenses for which he is charged?
A. Well, I know that, judging by what I do hear, the little bit I hear and by what people talk about, they all seem to think he's guilty.
Q. Right. But on the questionnaire, when asked specifically if you formed any opinions based on the information from any source --
A. Right.
Q. -- you said you had no opinion yourself?
A. Yeah, I really haven't followed it close enough. I mean --
Q. Okay.
A. -- you know, I know what other people think. If you backed me into a corner and threatened me and made me say one way or the other, I would probably go with what everybody else seems to think, but $I$ don't know that much about it.
Q. Right. You haven't followed it really enough to form -- make an informed --
A. No, I don't follow that kind of news.
Q. Okay. You also said that whatever information you do have you would be able to set aside and decide this case solely on whatever the evidence is presented, if you were selected from a jury?
A. Correct.
Q. As a juror. Do you think you can still do that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Is there any -- anything that you have experienced that really raises a question in your mind as to whether you could follow the Court's instruction and simply decide this case based on what the evidence is in the courtroom and not on any of this other stuff?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. All right. Okay. I just have one last couple questions I wanted to ask you about. I see from some of the information in your questionnaire that either you, or someone very close to you, was a victim of a robbery many years ago?
A. I was.
Q. You were. All right. And as far as you know, the suspects were never located?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you still in the Navy at that time or was it just --
A. It was when I was in high school.
Q. Oh.
A. I worked at a fast food place in Fresno, California and that's when it happened.
Q. All right. So that's not anywhere -- that was in California?
A. Correct.
Q. I forgot to check, are you -- you are from the San Francisco area?
A. I was born there. I lived in California until 1989 when I moved here to work at Point Beach.
Q. Okay.
A. Not counting Navy time.
Q. Right. So is there anything about that experience, having been a victim 26 years ago, that makes you question whether or not you could be fair and impartial in this case?
A. No.
Q. All right. Were -- Did you have an opportunity to interact with the police in --
A. Yes.
Q. All right.
A. They took me downtown to the police station and I looked through mug shot books and never saw the people so. And that was the last I ever heard of it.
Q. All right. Very good. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. You probably saw us all stand up briefly and awkwardly last week, but --
A. Oh, yes.
Q. I'm Dean Strang and Jerome Buting and Steven Avery. The two of us are defending Mr. Avery.
A. Right.
Q. Some -- Some simple questions initially just about the 18 years you have had at Point Beach.
A. Sure.
Q. During your time there, have you met a woman named Lori Dassey?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you met a guy named Jason Rezash?
A. No.
Q. Okay. How did you meet Lori Dassey?
A. Oh, let's see, about 10 years ago, 10 or 11 years ago, like I mentioned, with the procedure or anything, occasionally you would get temporarily assigned to another area and I was assigned to corrective actions for awhile and she was one of the clerks there.
Q. And how long were you in that area, in corrective actions?
A. It's been a long time, but six to nine months.
Q. So you worked with her during that period of time?
A. She was in the area; I didn't work closely with her. As a matter of fact, she wasn't even in corrective actions. She -- Her cubical was in -nearby. So I didn't really directly work with her.
Q. And is this -- is this a large enough staff at this place that if you're not assigned to
somebody's area you just don't --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- see them?
A. There's -- There's a lot of people there that I see their face and I know who they are and a lot -- so many times when I would get introduced to somebody and, oh, you know, I have heard of that person, but I had no idea the name and the face kind of thing.
Q. So how do you remember Lori's name?
A. One reason is because there was somebody at my church that had the same last name. And then, I talked to her a few times, you know, and just as a courtesy, like, if $I$ were to meet her somewhere, like run into her at Wal-Mart or something and $I$ would say hi, might talk for 20 seconds, how are the kids kind of thing. But other than that --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- you know, never -- sort of was never friends with her, never did anything with her outside work or even at work, other than, you know, professional duty kind of thing.
Q. What's her connection to this case?
A. Somebody told me that she used to be married to
the defendant, but I didn't even know that at the time.
Q. Okay. And when is the last time you have spoken to Ms Dassey?
A. I ran into her at Wal-Mart about a month ago, like I said, I had talked to her like 30 seconds, you know, hi, how's it going kind of thing.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. Do you know anything about Steven Avery through her?
A. No.
Q. Or by people talking about her?
A. That's kind of how I found out she had been married to him was just by, you know, gossip kind of thing.
Q. Right. And what did they tell you other than she --
A. They just told me that she was, like, his ex-wife, you know. That's all I remember them ever saying.
Q. Not anything more?
A. No.
Q. Kids together or?
A. No idea.
Q. Okay.
A. And I didn't put that on the questionnaire
because it was just a few days ago that $I$ even found this out so.
Q. That's fine. No. That's fine. Because you are at Point Beach I thought --
A. Right. Right.
Q. -- I would ask. Actually, I have several questions about Point Beach?
A. Sure.
Q. And no particular order, Mr. Stonebraker, but are you -- are you -- I understand you are a member, but are you active in the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers?
A. Oh, the union?
Q. Yeah.
A. No, I'm kind of a middle-of-the-road guy on unions.
Q. What does that mean?
A. I tend to be more conservative politically, but -- and unions are very left wing, but I'm glad we have a union. I will say it that way, because $I$ have seen the company do things that $I$ wouldn't agree with. And I'm glad the union keeps them from doing it. There's, like, management people that aren't in the union.
Q. Right.
A. But on the other hand, I think unions sometimes have too much power. So, you know, it's -- I think it's good that they exist, but I don't think they should have too much power.
Q. Yeah. And when you say too much power, over the individual member or over the --
A. No, I mean --
Q. -- operation?
A. No, over the company.
Q. The company. Okay. Is it a closed shop, were you required to join?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Or a union shop I should say?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And is -- I don't know the answer to this, but is Point Beach one of the nuclear plants in this nation that, since sometime shortly after September 11, 2001, I think, everybody has been issued a red card, or no?
A. I don't have a red card.
Q. Everybody is empowered to shut the place down if there are unsafe conditions?
A. If anybody has a safety concern, they would -they are encouraged to bring it up, yeah.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. But there's no specific mechanism
for that?
A. Well, there's -- It's a little like the military, there's -- it's similar to a chain of command, you know. We bring up the concerns, they would investigate it first, obviously, you know, so they don't just always shut the plant down --
Q. Right.
A. -- and find out, well, that was dumb.
Q. Right.
A. So, yeah.
Q. Okay. While you were in the Navy, let's see, you -- obviously you volunteered probably close to right out of high school?
A. That's correct, I got out of high school in June and I went in the Navy in September. But I was -- I don't remember what they call it any more. I signed up, like, in January of that year, I believe.
Q. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. And had the summer off and then went in --
A. Yeah, I purposely waited. I figured I wanted to have one more summer off before $I$ went in.
Q. Right. And at that point you were still out in the Fresno area?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Was the USS Enterprise your only shipboard assignment?
A. Correct.
Q. So you were land based for the rest of your two tours, or whatever?
A. It's only one tour to be in the nuclear program, because of so much training, we were required to sign up for six years.
Q. Okay.
A. So I was in the Navy for two years and four months before $I$ even made it to the ship.
Q. And how long were you on the Enterprise?
A. That would have been three years and about eight months.
Q. So really the balance of --
A. Oh, that's correct, yes.
Q. -- of your tour?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you -- I think, essentially, you have answered this next question, that you were never submarine based?
A. No, I volunteered, but they had too many volunteers, so I ended up on a carrier.
Q. Okay. Did the volunteering to be submarine based involve anything more than simply volunteering?

Was there a battery of tests or ...
A. No. No, they encouraged you because -- I guess because a lot of times they -- they were short of people for submarines, so we were kind of encouraged. And there was a monetary --
Q. Incentive?
A. -- like one hundred dollars a month. It wasn't really all that much, but on the money you are making in the Navy it was a good amount. And plus that was 25 years ago.
Q. Right. And were you particularly interested in serving on a submarine, or it was just a money thing?
A. All the people that $I$ was in contact, like the recruiters and stuff -- not -- well, not all the recruiters are nuclear, obviously. I'm guessing that maybe they had some -- I don't know. They just kind of encouraged me the whole way along. It sounded interesting. I remember thinking that the last thing $I$ wanted to do was be on a carrier. And then that's what $I$ ended up on; although $I$ was glad in retrospect, but ...
Q. Why?
A. Well, I get motion sickness. And submarines, once they get under water and down a ways they
don't have any trouble. But when they are near the surface, modern submarines are designed to be under water not on the surface. Where as in World War II it was the other way around. So they rock and roll a lot on the surface and I would have been miserable.
Q. Me too. You obviously got to travel the world a bit, thanks to the U.S. Navy. And one thing that stood out on your questionnaire here is an interest in geopolitics. Could you tell us just a little bit more --
A. Sure.
Q. -- about that?
A. That relates to something I forgot to put on hobbies, which would be I like war games, basically historical games.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And my interest in geopolitics kind of grew out of that. I'm very interested in World War II and all the interactions between the countries and stuff that went on and also in the modern world. I just find it fascinating, the interaction between countries and why they do this and that.
Q. And at the same time you -- I think the words you used were, I don't care to listen much to the
national news, at least on TV?
A. Right.
Q. What's -- Where's the cleft there or the distinction that you draw between this area of great interest and then not caring to watch the national news on TV?
A. You're just saying because they would cover that kind of thing?
Q. Well, I don't know that they cover it very well, but I'm just curious --
A. Well --
Q. -- where the shift in the interest is?
A. Well, I don't -- I don't think they -- First of all, I don't think the people that cover it on TV that you can understand it very well. And I don't -- I don't think they give accurate information, partly due to ignorance, but also they -- I guess if you are talking about foreign policy, I would be what you might call a hawk. I -- I wouldn't say an extreme hawk, but I -- I -- I strongly believe this country should stand up for itself. And the news media tend to not feel that way.
Q. Okay.
A. So that would be, I guess, be the main thing.
Q. The interest in war games, this would not be so much of the reenactment variety, I'm taking, you know, civil war or revolutionary war
reenactment --
A. No.
Q. But rather the --
A. Board games --
Q. -- geopolitics --
A. Or computer.
Q. Okay. And that's right, you mentioned that you subscribe to Games For Windows Magazine?
A. Yeah, actually, they send it to me. I used to be a subscriber. I let it run out and they have been sending it to me for like seven years. It says it expires in '09 and I never paid for that but they -- I don't know why but ...
Q. But in any event, you are interested in board games or computer based --
A. Yeah --
Q. -- games?
A. I like strategy games. I don't really get into the first person shooters.
Q. Okay.
A. Like Doom, that kind of games. They are very violent. And I don't want my kids to play those
kind of games. And also I get motion sick, so even if $I$ liked them, it would just make me sick to play them so.
Q. But the strategy?
A. Yeah, I really like strategy games, yeah.
Q. And you mentioned an interest in blogs and getting -- getting, I guess, some news, if you will, from blogs. Are you drawn to more specialized blogs with people with a deeper expertise in these areas.
A. There's only one blog $I$ read regularly that would be that kind of thing. There was some others I used to read that were about TV shows, you know, like --
Q. What are the blogs you read --
A. Um --
Q. --regularly or semi --
A. Well, the --
Q. -- regularly?
A. -- main one $I$ read that is not a TV base -- or talking about $T V$ is called voxx populi.
Q. Voxx Populi?
A. Yeah.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And it's -- I don't really think the guy is
really a nice guy, if you know what $I$ mean. And I don't -- I just read him quite a bit, but he is pretty sharp and in general I tend to agree with his views. And I don't know, I don't even remember how $I$ found it. I think it was linked from something else. Oh, I know what it is, he has a column on worldnetdaily.com. And I was reading his column once and it said they have a blog so I checked it out and I have been reading it for a couple years.
Q. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. And what other blogs?
A. One is -- I don't know if it's exactly a blog, it's about the TV show Lost. There's one about the TV show Battlestar Galactica. Occasionally the Voxx one will have a link to a different one and I will check it out, but it's nothing I have kept reading regularly.
Q. Do you read Lakeshore Laments here in --
A. Never heard of it.
Q. -- you know, the Manitowoc area. It's a Manitowoc area blog. Okay. And moving to the radio, for the 12 minutes in the car, to and from work.
A. Okay.
Q. Sounds like given your work hours you kind of
catch the end of Limbaugh sometimes, some of Mark Belling --
A. Right.
Q. -- maybe? Out of what, WISN?
A. WISN, yes.
Q. And are you someone who ever calls into these shows?
A. I called into Belling's show once or twice, but that's when $I$ first started listening. That would be like in 1993 time frame.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And it wasn't a pleasant experience; he's kind of a jerk. So, no, typically I'm not.
Q. Okay. Is he a jerk when you agree with him?
A. You don't have to be a nice guy to be smart about things.
Q. Okay.
A. In fact, my wife hates his show because of how he is, so.
Q. I wanted to go to your wife just in the financial context here. She's -- You have listed her as a homemaker.
A. Correct.
Q. And I counted eight children --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you have been blessed with? If you end up in this jury, you know, for five, six weeks, or whatever it turns out to be; is this going to create a, I mean, a serious --
A. Not at all.
Q. -- financial hardship?
A. Because we energies will pay me.
Q. So you are covered --
A. Right.
Q. -- financially. How about otherwise, in terms of family hardship?
A. From what I can gather, since it's not going to be sequestered, at least from what I hear, I don't think $I$ would be gone a whole lot longer than I am normally. I don't know for sure exactly but, you know, they don't run court until 8:00 at night, as far as $I$ know.
Q. I hope not.

THE COURT: Not when the jury is here, but we may today.
Q. And the specific comment you made, I wanted to follow up on from Mr. Fallon's questions. You described somebody, management or somebody setting up a TV in the shop?
A. Oh, yeah. Every big news event that's happened
since I worked there, when we -- in the first Gulf War they set up a TV; for the OJ trial they set up a TV; for 911 they set up a TV. So for this, like I said, I think it was the announcement of the filing of charges, they set it up.
Q. Who's they?
A. Just guys at the shop. We have a TV if, like, if we have a safety meeting, they will have a video we watch. So just wheel the TV out. And, you know, being electronics kind of guys and stuff, they have rigged up an antenna going into the shop. You know, management tolerates it for big news events, but it's not a normal thing.
Q. I got it. And I just want to nail down, if at all possible, you said it was, you think when it was first charged. And there were two possible first charged sort of events here. One would be November of 2005, when Steven Avery was first charged. And the other would be, probably March of 2006, when Brendan Dassey was first charged.
A. Is it that long ago? I didn't realize it was that long ago. I believe it was Mr. Avery.
Q. The first of those, way back?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Do you remember any news conferences when Brendan Dassey was charged, later?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And the TV, was that up one day or was that a series of days?
A. One day for, oh, it was probably a couple hours because, we turned it on and they said, oh, coming, you know, coming right up, we're going to have this news conference, you know, an hour later they finally get started so.
Q. What do you remember of the news conference?
A. Not much. I remember -- the main thing I remember was they -- that was interesting, was that the, $I$ believe it was Calumet County was heavily involved in it. But I -- I don't know. I couldn't give you a whole lot of details. They were announcing, you know, murder charges, that kind of thing, but ... And the, you know, the victim's name, that kind of thing.
Q. Sure. Later, in March of 2006, do you recall anything about what Brendan Dassey supposedly said?
A. I have heard some stuff here and there about him; nothing I can remember concretely. Something about, I thought -- well, I don't know if $I$ even
heard what he said. My knowledge about him is that, I guess, he might have helped supposedly in the commission of the crime but, I don't know that much about him.
Q. Okay. And where that goes is, you know, you heard, I guess in a news conference or something, when Steven Avery was charged, you know, a little bit about Brendan supposedly being involved --
A. Right.
Q. -- in the event. And you were a little surprised to hear that much time had passed. So, here we are, a trial starting, as you look across the room at the guy two seats over from me, in your mind do you presume him innocent? Do you think he's probably guilty? Do you have no opinion? What's your sense of it?
A. Well, like I said before, I mean, if you -- if I had to guess one way or the other, I would say guilty. But I don't know enough of the facts to, you know, if you wanted a solid opinion I would say, well, show me some stuff, you know, I mean.
Q. Which is a natural reaction. And let me ask you, though, first, when the Judge instructs you that you are to presume Mr. Avery innocent --
A. Correct.
Q. -- is that an instruction you can and will follow?
A. Yes.
Q. Willingly?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay.
A. I think he should get a fair trial. I mean, I would never want to send a guilty man to prison. And I would, you know --
Q. An innocent man to prison?
A. I'm sorry, yeah. Sorry.
Q. That's okay. That's all right. I think I knew what you meant, $I$ think.
A. Yeah.
Q. And as a matter of a fair trial and proving stuff, are you aware of the rule in America that in a criminal case the government, the State, whoever the sovereign is, alone, bears the burden of proving someone guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Which is to say, and I think you will hear this from the Court, that the defendant has no burden of proving anything?
A. Correct.
Q. Does that make sense to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Why should one side not have to do anything and the other side carry all the load?
A. That would be because, if you have committed a crime, they should have to prove that you did it. You shouldn't have to prove that you didn't. You shouldn't have to prove that you didn't do it. Just because that's a lot harder I think than proving that you --
Q. Proving a negative?
A. Right. Exactly.
Q. And in the same vein, $I$ guess, if we're not able, in the end, to prove who did kill Teresa Halbach, if Steven Avery didn't; will you hold that against us or, again, is this something where, if you are not satisfied the State proves Steven Avery guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and you would love to know who did kill Teresa Halbach, but you are not satisfied it was him, are you willing to return a not guilty verdict?
A. Yes, if that's what the evidence shows.
Q. Without requiring us to prove who did kill her, if he didn't?
A. That's irrelevant.
Q. And, you know, I'm not -- I'm not saying that I'm necessarily, or Mr. Buting, or for that matter Mr. Avery, are going to sit here like a bump on a log throughout the trial, although we could, under the law, and just rely on the State having the burden of proof. But one of the decisions that ultimately we will have to make at this table is whether Mr. Avery takes the witness stand and testifies. Do you want to hear from him, if you are a juror?
A. I would say yes.
Q. And can you accept and live with and respect and honor a system that says he does not have to testify if he chooses not to?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And, indeed, if he doesn't testify, that's no evidence of guilt and can't be considered, I think the Court will tell you.
A. Right, I understand that.
Q. And can you follow that?
A. Yes.
Q. By the same token, if at this table we should decide that he does testify, the law, as I think the Judge will tell you, is that he is entitled to have his testimony considered like any other
witness. All the same considerations in deciding what weight to give to a witness' testimony apply to the accused, if he testifies. Is that also a rule you can live with?
A. Yeah, I don't see anything unreasonable about it at all. I'm not even sure why you are asking, actually, I mean --
Q. Well --
A. -- what else would you do?
Q. You know, because we're asking people to be honest and some people have a problem with the --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- with the rule?
A. Yeah.
Q. You know, it's -- I understand it's human nature to want to hear both sides?
A. Right.
Q. You may not hear all of one side here. You may just have to live with that and -- and be asked to return a not guilty verdict all the same. And so it's important to know whether, you know, whether you can do that?
A. Right.
Q. Have you heard anything recently about a blood vial?
A. Only hearsay at work.
Q. What?
A. One of the guys at the shop said something one time about it, but I couldn't tell you any specifics.
Q. Okay.
A. Especially since $I$ got a notice $I$, you know, they start talking about it, I just go away.
Q. Sure. Okay.
A. And even if $I$ knew details, what $I$ hear from one of the guys in the shop doesn't mean anything. I'm smart enough to know that.
Q. Depends on who, probably, in the shop, right?
A. Um, no, not when it comes to if I'm going to decide if he's guilty or not.
Q. Sure, on something big, I understand. Last sort of question, or two, or three $I$ have for you. Are you -- Are you a very active member at Lighthouse Assembly of God?
A. What do you mean by active? We go there every Sunday, if that's what you want to know.
Q. Are you involved? Are you a deacon, or on the church --
A. No, I'm not on the board or anything. (Court reporter couldn't hear.)
A. I'm not on the board.
Q. I'm sorry, we're probably talking over each other. That's my fault, not yours; I'm supposed to know better.

Did your church do anything with respect to the disappearance or death of Teresa Halbach?
A. Not that I know of. I -- I highly doubt it. I was -- I was going there at the time and I don't remember anything.
Q. Okay. Good.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's what I have got for you. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you out of the courtroom at this time. (Wherein the juror was excused.)

THE COURT: Any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Not from the State.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I do. Without being punctilious about, you know, the Court's order on avoiding the media, this is someone who, I guess, took his in-laws' newspaper, they live with him so the paper comes to the house, and read part of one and all of a second article well after he was told to avoid exactly that kind of thing on -- on this case. Probably the next day.

In some cases I wouldn't raise that. In this one, with the pervasive pre-trial publicity we have and this juror, of course, saw some of it apparently in November, 2005, where this at some level got equated in terms of bringing a TV into the shop with September 11 and other really, you know, very significant events.

I-- I think we have to be very tight about enforcing the Court's order on avoiding the publicity, so I move to strike for cause. I don't have a broader reason than that as to Mr. Stonebraker.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I can appreciate the concern for following court rules and guides, but as Mr. Stonebraker indicated, the only thing he looked at was information regarding the jury selection process. And on balance, based upon all of his other responses, and I think counterbalanced by the fact of all the other, $I$ think, relatively, I don't want to say extraordinary, but relatively comprehensive efforts on his part to avoid discussions at work, to avoid other ancillary forms of information or sources of information, I don't think there is a basis to strike him at all.

THE COURT: All right. If there was a violation of the Court's order here, I think it was very minimal. He seemed to be aware of it at the time he picked up the paper. He stopped reading the other article about the case, indicated he did read the jury selection article.

My impression was that because he felt that particular article not dealing with the facts of the case, but just jury selection, with which he was already involved, wasn't encompassed by the Court's order.

At this stage of the game, the Court hasn't fully had the opportunity to directly address the jurors in detail about the Court's restriction. They have been told on somewhat cursory terms about avoiding the media. And I think in his other answers he indicated he recognized that and avoided any discussions at work where discussions had taken place before.

So I think it was a di minimus
violation, if any at all. And based on all his other answers, I'm more than satisfied that he can be fair and impartial. So the Court is going to deny the motion to strike this juror for cause and keep him on the panel.

We're going to take our afternoon break at this time. I did want to say something to the attorneys. I have been giving the attorneys some latitude in the time limit that $I$ set originally. But I want to let both parties know that if there's too much questioning on things like Pokémon like games that have no real direct relevance to the selection of the jury, I'm going to interpose my own objection.

I don't like to do that because I realize it can be somewhat embarrassing to the attorneys, but $I$ think we have to stick on track a little bit if we're going to make some headway here. Stick to more directly relevant questions. So I will see you at 3:25.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Counsel, I'm informed that Juror 33, Ms Abendroth, although she was going to come here at one, has been here since this morning. So we're going to take her out of order.

ATTORNEY STRANG: She's someone we -- She's someone we have a motion to strike on.

THE COURT: We do?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I do. I don't think the State joins it, but ...

THE COURT: Prior to voir dire?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I think we should.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, I will hear your motion then at this time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: The Court may want to pull the questionnaire.

THE COURT: I have it in front of me.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The -- I think the main issue arises in the answer to No. 74 -- Question No. 74.

THE COURT: I'm going to take a look.
ATTORNEY STRANG: And then we have also -I mean, she has got a specific connection; she -she works at a credit union, I gather at which the Avery family banks, and is concerned about facing them in an employment context where presumably she would feel, you know, that she had an employment duty not to avoid the contact.

Further the answers to Questions 40, 41 and 42, well, especially --
(Court reporter couldn't hear.)
ATTORNEY STRANG: Questions 40 and 42, you know, explain the manner in which she's pre-judged the case. And although she says in Question 43 that she can set that aside, she appears to have both a
pretty well-formed prior opinion about the case and an understandable concern about social stigma or consequences. And I think there's probably more than adequate reason to strike for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I can see counsel's concern. We briefly talked about it before. But as I indicated, I thought at least we should hear her out and get an idea as to really whether there is a heartfelt or deepfelt fear, or just a reasoned concern, or what have you.

As noted, with respect to Question 43, she believes she could set aside whatever opinions she may have as a result of media coverage, the question -- or the answer, I don't think, as framed, is really no different than, I think that he might be guilty, based on the coverage.

I don't see any distinction between that and the guilt issue, unless one wants to say, well, it's more directly related to a defense of the case. But the bottom line is, it goes to guilt. I don't see any difference there. And she goes on to say, I can set that opinion aside.

So in terms of just on the paper, it
seems to me we ought to at least hear the woman out. And it may turn out that she would be an -unsuitable for jury service. If counsel wants, they can go first if they think they can get to that point faster, that's fine. Seems to me on the paper, we ought to hear her out.

THE COURT: All right. Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to bring the juror in, I'm going to ask questions first relating to question No. 74. If I feel, based on those answers, that I'm going to grant the defense's motion, I'm going to simply excuse the juror.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
THE COURT: If not, I will turn her over to the parties.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
THE COURT: Ms Abendroth, please raise your right hand and the Clerk will administer the oath to you.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Abendroth, you have already completed a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection, which is voir dire. We're going to ask
you some questions relating to your qualifications as a juror.

I will notify you that the jury in this case will not be sequestered, that is, the jurors will be permitted to return home after each day of trial. The jurors will continually be instructed not to listen to any news media accounts of this case, or read anything in the newspaper, watch anything on television, or from any other source.

Today's proceedings are open to the public, but the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings and the media is not permitted to disclose the names of the jurors in the media reports of today's proceedings.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. I do have a question for you at the outset relating to your answer to Question 74 in the questionnaire. To refresh your memory, the question was: Is there anything, or any reason at all, however personal or private, that makes you feel you should not serve as a juror in this case, or that if selected to serve, you could not
be a fair and impartial juror?
You answered yes and indicated I would be uncomfortable returning to work after the trial knowing that many of his family members that I wait on would have been in the courtroom and recognized me from the jury.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt, but maybe we could approach, sidebar, just very briefly. I apologize.

THE COURT: Okay. (Side bar taken.)
Q. (By The Court) ~ Couple things. You did indicate that, later on your questionnaire, that this was something you wished to address in private. The Court is somewhat limited in personal matters that it can address in that fashion. The law does not permit me to address this particular one in private. You are employed where?
A. Shoreline Credit Union.
Q. Okay. And do I understand from your answer that members of the defendant's family are customers at that credit union?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And I think I understand your answer, but can you explain in a little more detail what you
mean.
A. Um, I don't know if I can.
Q. Do I take it from your answer that you -- your concern is that if you were a juror and if the jury reached a guilty verdict, that you would feel very uncomfortable after returning to work because of the situation you would be in.
A. I think, yeah, that's what $I$ was getting at.
Q. And just to confirm what $I$ think is my reading of your answer, you feel that, that subliminally or not, it could have an affect on your ability to be fair and impartial.
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Do you still feel that way today?
A. Yes, I do.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: All right. Counsel, the Court is satisfied, based on the elaboration from the answer in the questionnaire and from my own observation of the demeanor of the juror, that objectively she would have a rational basis for her concern and $I$ believe her concern is sincere. So

I'm going to grant the defense motion to excuse this juror for cause.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We have no objection.
THE COURT: The next juror we'll hear from then will be John Lawrence. All right. You are Mr. Lawrence?

MR. LAWRENCE: Yup.
THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, please raise your right hand and I'm going to have the Clerk administer the juror's oath to you. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Lawrence, you have already completed a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of the jury selection process which is individual voir dire.

The attorneys for each of the parties will have an opportunity this afternoon to ask you some questions that relate to your qualifications as a juror.

Before I have them begin those questions, $I$ want to make sure you understand that the jury in this case will not be sequestered. That means that after each day of trial the jurors will be able to go home and
spend the time at home until the next day of the trial.

The jurors will continue to be
instructed, as they already have, that the jurors are not to watch any news media accounts of this case, either on television, listen to anything on the radio, read anything in the newspapers or the internet, or communicate in any way with anyone else about the case, outside the courtroom.

I also want to make sure you understand that while these are court proceedings today, meaning they are open to the public, the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings and members of the press are not permitted to use your name in any news accounts of today's proceedings.

In addition, should you be selected to serve on the jury, the camera operators are not permitted to show the jury during the trial, in any fashion, that allows anyone to identify who the jurors are.

If you remain on the jury panel after questioning today, you will get a phone call in the next day or two that will tell you when to come back for the next step in the jury selection
process. Mr. Fallon, you may begin your questions at this time.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lawrence.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice and one of the prosecutors in the case. To my immediate left is Mr . Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and the lead prosecutor in this matter. Thanks for coming back this afternoon. We have just a few questions for you to follow up on some of the information provided by you in last week's questionnaire.

I see from your questionnaire you are 20
years of age?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you are currently employed at the Piggly Wiggly Store here in Manitowoc?
A. Correct.
Q. And how long have you worked for them?
A. For three years.
Q. For three years. All right. So I take it you worked for them while you were still in school?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And you graduated from Manitowoc Lutheran High School?
A. Correct.
Q. That was just a year or so ago?
A. Yup.
Q. All right. All right. At this time are you still living at home or are you on your own?
A. I'm living at home.
Q. All right. The reason $I$ ask is I wanted to inquire as to, if you were to be asked to serve as a juror in this case, we may tie up six weeks or perhaps a little bit more of your time; would that cause a problem for you with your employment for instance?
A. No.
Q. All right. As far as you know, you would still have a job when you returned?
A. Right.
Q. You have taken care of that or at least explored that with your employer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Very good. All right. I see that you also list music and computers as one of your hobbies. What do you do with computers or what
do you like to do with them?
A. Just surf the internet.
Q. All right. Is there any particular types of things that you look for when you surf the internet; news, entertainment, sports, whatever?
A. Usually music related material.
Q. All right. Any particular type of music that you are interested in?
A. Everything, basically.
Q. All right. Do you download a fair amount of music?
A. Once in a while.
Q. All right. Do you use your computer, then, to play the music for you? Is that --
A. Yes.
Q. All right. How much time do you spend of your free time listening to music?
A. Whenever I'm free, there's music always playing.
Q. All right. Do you ever use your computer or the internet to assist in getting you news?
A. Not really.
Q. All right. Where do you get most of your news from?
A. Word of mouth.
Q. Word of mouth. Do you listen to radio at all?
A. Not really.
Q. All right. How about television?
A. Like, I watch -- What do you mean?
Q. Do you regularly watch, for instance, Fox news or Channel 5 news out of Green Bay or, like --
A. I don't really watch the news too much.
Q. No. Do you read the local paper?
A. Occasionally.
Q. Once a week, less than that?
A. Less than that.
Q. If you do read a paper, is it the Sunday paper for instance or ...
A. Probably the Sunday paper.
Q. Probably the Sunday paper. All right. You indicated you get some news by word of mouth. And from whom, then, would that be? Is that friends, or people at work, or what?
A. Just whoever, usually my mother.
Q. Okay. Within that framework, what can you tell us about this particular case that you remember hearing either from your mom, or from television, or any other source? What do you know of the case?
A. I have actually requested my mother not to say anything.
Q. All right. And why did you make that request?
A. Because I'm supposed to.
Q. That's from the letter from the Court?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So you have honored that request?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And how long ago did you receive that request from the Court?
A. I'm not too sure exactly, maybe a month or so ago.
Q. All right. Let me ask you a little bit about what you may remember or what you may have been exposed to prior to receiving the directive from the Court. Do you have any particular recollection of any newscasts, or press conferences, or any information regarding the circumstances of Mr. Avery's arrest and charging?
A. I never really followed it much.
Q. All right. What about a fellow by the name of Brendan Dassey, have you ever followed the events regarding his arrest?
A. I have never heard of him.
Q. Never heard of him. All right. As you sit here today, can you recall any information that you learned from either the television, the radio, or
some other source?
A. No.
Q. Not a thing?
A. I never followed it really.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I never really followed it.
Q. All right. Okay. So is it fair to say, then, you really have formed no opinion regarding the circumstances --
A. That's right.
Q. -- here. That would be correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. I see here that you have never served as a juror before?
A. No.
Q. All right. Is there any reason you can think now, you know, your own personal philosophy, or maybe some directive from your conscience, or some religious belief, perhaps, that makes you question whether you could follow the rules of the Court and actually be a juror in this case?
A. No.
Q. Would you like to be a juror in this case?
A. It doesn't bother me either way.
Q. Doesn't bother you either way. If you were
selected as a juror, do you think you would find it interesting?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Can you -- Any idea why you think it might be interesting?
A. A new experience.
Q. All right. Any other reason?
A. Not really.
Q. Is there anything about the case or what you think the issues might be which you find interesting?
A. Not really.
Q. I'm a little bit at a loss. Is there any reason why you -- if you don't really know anything about it, is there -- why you would find it interesting?
A. I have never been involved with something like this before, so I thought it would be interesting.
Q. Okay. Meaning the court process itself?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, it doesn't appear from the information provided on your questionnaire, but I thought I would ask anyways; have you had any encounters with the police?
A. Myself?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. All right. Anyone in your family have any encounters with the police?
A. I'm pretty sure my father has, but I haven't really heard much about it before.
Q. Okay. Has he ever discussed those -- that encounter or those circumstances with you?
A. Not at all.
Q. Do you have any -- either you or he, have any particular opinions as to, you know, how good or how bad a job the local police department is doing?
A. Not at all.
Q. How about the sheriff's department?
A. Not at all.
Q. No discussion?
A. No.
Q. No. All right. Now, I see that you have a friend or family member who is a police officer; you have an aunt?
A. Yes.
Q. In Chicago?
A. Yes.
Q. And is she with the Chicago Police Department?
A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. All right. Do you know what she does for them?
A. I'm not too sure.
Q. All right. You don't know if she works patrol, or is in investigations, or ...
A. I'm not sure at all.
Q. You don't know. You don't see her very often?
A. Not too often.
Q. Okay. Have you ever discussed her job with her when you saw her?
A. No.
Q. All right. One of the questions you answered yes to and I wanted to follow up a little bit on your thinking as to how you arrived at that answer. And this case will involve, pretty likely, quite a few police officers or law enforcement officers who would testify in court. All right.

And the question was that the jurors would be instructed that you are not to give any more weight or less weight to the credibility of the police officer's testimony than you would to any other witness. And you thought that you could follow that instruction and you would find that to be true; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about that. Do you think a police officer is more or less likely to lie on the stand than any other person would be, or would they be the same as any other person?
A. They would be the same to me.
Q. Okay. And why would that be?
A. I don't see a difference really --
Q. All right.
A. -- about people.
Q. There's no difference by virtue of their oath of office or their duty as police?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And to you they are just regular folk who are doing a job?
A. Yes.
Q. And so they have all the same strengths and weaknesses as anyone else?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have any familiarity with the criminal justice system at all?
A. Not really.
Q. All right. Did you study it when you were in high school at all?
A. Yeah, a little bit. Law, politics.
Q. All right. Are you aware that as Mr. Avery sits here today he's presumed innocent?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you -- Therefore, if you were to -- in order to find, for instance, him guilty of this offense, the State would have to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he was involved in the crime for which he is charged?
A. Yes.
Q. You understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And he doesn't have to do anything; you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Would you expect him to have to prove something in court?
A. No.
Q. All right. And why not?
A. Because the evidence has to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt.
Q. All right. All right. Does the law require him to do anything?
A. No.
Q. All right. And you think you can follow those rules?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will pass the juror. THE COURT: Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you. I'm having a little bit of trouble hearing because of the background noise. So maybe, Mr. Lawrence, if you -if you could just try to speak up a little bit.

MR. LAWRENCE: All right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: That would help. Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. So, I'm Dean Strang, Jerome Buting, Steven Avery. You probably saw us stand up last Monday in court. Mr. Buting and I are defending Mr. Avery, so we're the defense lawyers. And I wanted to pick up at the very beginning for you, okay. You told us that you were born in Illinois?
A. Yes.
Q. How long did you live in Illinois?
A. A little less than a year.
Q. And then where to?
A. To Manitowoc.
Q. So, by the time you were just a little bitty --
A. Yes.
Q. -- kid you were up here? And when you say Manitowoc, you mean the City of Manitowoc?
A. Yes.
Q. So you spent, basically, your whole life --
A. Yes.
Q. -- here? How often do you see your aunt or other -- other relatives, if there are any, in Chicago?
A. I saw them maybe once every six years. I barely see them.
Q. Okay. And were you born in Chicago, or near there, or some --
A. In Evanston, Illinois.
Q. -- other place in Illinois? In Evanston, Illinois. Okay. And what, I mean sort of just moving up through your life, what were you -what were you good at or interested in at Manitowoc Lutheran?
A. Well, could you rephrase that question.
Q. What were your favorite subjects or what did you -- what did you like about --
A. Music class, I enjoyed, religion class.
Q. Mm-hmm. Did you pick up an instrument? Do you play one or more instruments?
A. I picked up the guitar a little bit.
Q. When?
A. I would say maybe six years ago.
Q. Mm-hmm. So that would have been, like, beginning of high school?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you still play?
A. From time to time.
Q. And anything else?
A. Not really.
Q. So the interest in music, listening, singing, playing?
A. Everything.
Q. All of it?
A. I enjoy it, yes.
Q. And are you a good singer?
A. I'm okay.
Q. In other words, were you in choir, or chorus, or that kind of thing?
A. No, I never did that.
Q. Okay. At high school at least?
A. Yeah.
Q. How about academic subjects, what lifted you up and what didn't?
A. I enjoy sciences.
Q. Biology, physics?
A. Yeah, biology.
Q. Okay. What about biology attracted you?
A. I don't know. I just enjoyed the teacher and the way he taught it. I enjoyed it.
Q. And did you start at Piggly Wiggly while you were still in high school?
A. Yes.
Q. As a stocker?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you do that full-time now?
A. No, I'm just part-time.
Q. What do you do with the rest of your time?
A. Um, listen to music. I don't know. Go to concerts, hang out with my friends.
Q. What sort of concerts?
A. Everything. I listen to every type of music.
Q. Or what's the last one you recall going to?
A. Spud Monkey in Milwaukee.
(Court reporter asked him to repeat the name.)
A. Spud Monkey, S-p-u-d.
Q. At the place on Farwell?
A. In Milwaukee?
Q. Yeah.
A. It was at the Miramar Theater.
Q. At the Miramar, okay. And what -- what -- I'm sorry. What does part-time mean at Piggly Wiggly; how many hours?
A. Well, they usually have me like 40 hours because I work pretty hard.
Q. But they are still treating you as a part-timer?
A. Yeah, because I don't want to work there all my life. I'm trying to get into welding.
Q. Oh, okay. At LTC?
A. Yeah, I took a class at LTC.
Q. And what sort of welding?
A. Every type of welding, tag, wire welding.
Q. When you say you are trying get into that, how are you going about trying to get into welding?
A. I help out on my friend's farm once in a while with their -- I weld random things.
Q. Mm-hmm. And then would you be looking to catch on with a company here in --
A. Probably work with my friend. He is thinking about starting a business in a couple years.
Q. Okay. What shift do you tend to work at Piggly Wiggly, or does it --
A. Morning.
Q. -- change around? Morning?
A. Yes.
Q. Which means what?
A. Eight to five.
Q. Monday through Friday or do you get weekend hours too?
A. It varies.
Q. They will hold your job, but would you have any income coming in if you were on this jury?
A. They said whatever days I'm free I can just call and go in. They said they would pretty much work around me.
Q. Okay. But they are not intending to pay you --
A. No.
Q. -- as if you were working while you are on jury duty?
A. No.
Q. And can you take the financial hit?
A. I think I could handle it.
Q. When you say you think you could handle it, do you have some --
A. Well, I have bills to pay.
Q. -- reservation about that? You have bills to pay?
A. Yeah, insurance.
Q. Sure. But do you have an idea about how you can manage that?
A. Yeah, I have a good amount of money saved up.
Q. Okay. The issue on that is really -- just so you know, the issue is we all think the trial may go six weeks, but at the end of the trial, whenever that is, whether that's five or six weeks, or whatever, at the end of trial the jury gets the case to deliberate and try to reach a verdict. And there's no way for you or any of us to know how long that process will take because it's just until 12 people can agree, if they can agree, they try to. So there's no way to know how long that is. And I want to make sure that you are not going to be in deciding Steven Avery's fate and worried instead about, you know, boy, I got bills due that I can't pay.
A. I'm not really worried at all.
Q. Okay. And, I mean, we're -- I know this is awkward to be discussing with a stranger, but are we clear on that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You said a few minutes ago that the name Brendan Dassey did not ring a bell with you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Have you heard about anybody supposedly confessing in this case?
A. No.
Q. Heard anything at all about who's been arrested or when?
A. No.
Q. Okay. But there's only one guy sitting here in the defendant's chair, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Why would you come in presuming him innocent if he is here and he's the guy on trial?
A. Because we have to prove that it's beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty, so he is innocent right now.
Q. And who's got to prove that?
A. Prove that he is guilty?
Q. Right.
A. These guys.
Q. That's true. What do I have to prove?
A. That he is innocent or remain -- I don't know.
Q. No, you're doing fine.
A. He is still innocent; you have to defend that.
Q. Yeah, I'm defending him. And, actually, I think the Judge will tell you that the defense, in a criminal case, doesn't have to prove anything. There is no, you know, what lawyers and judges call burden of proof, on the defendant in a
criminal case. So I'm sure we will take an active role in the trial, but we wouldn't have to, technically.
A. Yeah.
Q. We could just rely on, as you say, these guys have to prove it. Can you -- Can you honor and respect and follow the rules in the system that says only one side has to prove anything and the other side gets to --
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. -- sit there?
A. Yes.
Q. Why shouldn't we have to prove him innocent?
A. Because he is innocent until he is proven guilty.
Q. How about, you know, the issue -- one of the issues at this table is, should Mr. Avery testify? And that's tough because if he takes the stand and he testifies, you know, people may be saying, well, he's the one person in this room who's got everything at stake, so of course he's going to get up and say he did not do it. But if he doesn't get up and testify, people may be saying, well, what's he got to hide. If he's innocent, why not just get up and tell us he's innocent. So you see the problem?
A. Yeah.
Q. And the way that American courts deal with that is to say the defendant has a right to testify if he wants to. And if he testifies, he gets treated like every other witness; the juror is supposed to consider him just like everyone else, you know, weigh and decide whether they believe him or not.

And if he decides not to testify, that's his absolute constitutional right. And in that case, American judges tell juries everywhere in this country, that the defendant's decision not to testify is his right and that there's -that's no evidence of guilt. And, in fact, it can't even be considered by the jury in deciding what verdicts to bring back. Are those rules you can follow?
A. Yes.
Q. Do they make sense to you?
A. Yes.
Q. You talked -- You talked a little bit a few minutes ago, too, about saying, look -- and I'm, you know, I'm just -- as I heard it, you correct me if I'm wrong, cops are human like everybody else. Police officers, you hope they don't lie
under oath, but you hope everybody doesn't lie under oath and police aren't more or less likely to violate that oath than anyone else; did I understand you --
A. Yes.
Q. -- right? Are there things, though, just like a defendant has a stake in a criminal case, can you see how the police officers who spend weeks or months investigating the case, might also develop a stake in the outcome of that case?
A. Depends on their personality. I can't really tell.
Q. Yeah, that's actually a good answer. I mean, is it just a job or how intense are they; is that where you are going with that?
A. Yeah.
Q. But would you at least be open to looking at, gee, what motivations might a police officer have to shade his testimony? Even if he doesn't know he's doing it, you know, just bias kind of creeping in. Are you open to considering that kind of ...
A. Yeah, I suppose. But I would hope I wouldn't have to. I would hope I could take him for his word.
Q. Right. I mean, we all hope we can take a witness for their word, but are you willing to dig a little deeper if you have to --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- with any witness?
A. Yeah.
Q. I don't mean just police officers; I mean any witness. Are you willing to dig a little bit --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- to see what -- what might make this witness tick, what sort of biases they might have?
A. Sure.
Q. At least consider that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you think there are circumstances where some law enforcement officers might find themselves doing something they otherwise never would do in terms of, you know, planting evidence, or misstating what they found, or where they found it, or -- I don't know, I mean, just sort of crossing over a line?
A. Could you restate that.
Q. Are there circumstances where a police officer, like anyone else, might be tempted to do that kind of thing?
A. Yeah, I'm sure.
Q. And I don't know whether you will decide they did or didn't or you will even hear evidence one way or the other of that, but if you do, are you open to considering it?
A. Yes.
Q. In the end, I guess the question is, are you willing to consider all the evidence that you hear, weigh all of it, decide what you believe and make up your mind, based on the evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. And I guess, you know, we're all lucky, you included, that you come into this not knowing a lot about this case, right?
A. Yup.
Q. Is there anything at all you do know about this case that bothers you or that just causes you to say, eh?
A. Nothing at all.
Q. And you said if you wind up on the jury that would be okay with you, as I heard you?
A. Yes.
Q. Because it will be interesting, be something new. And at the end of the case, though, in addition to being interesting and new and a learning
experience, you would be asked to do about the most serious thing we ask citizens to do and that's decide whether someone is guilty or not guilty of some very serious accusations.

The accusation here starts with a young woman being murdered. And I don't know that it's interesting to make that decision. How do you think you would deal, at age 20, or 21 , whenever your next birthday is, with that kind of responsibility?
A. I suppose it would be a little tough, but it's my duty, I guess; I will stick it through.
Q. Have you ever known of someone or, you know, read of someone, heard of someone, confessing to a crime that he actually did not commit?
A. What do you mean?
Q. Well --
A. Who --
Q. Hmm?
A. Could you restate that.
Q. Have you ever heard of that, of someone doing that, confessing to a crime that he really didn't do?
A. Not really.
Q. Can you think of any reason someone might do
that, admit a crime he didn't commit?
A. I don't know why you would do that. I don't know.
Q. Someone who's very young, impressionable and could be lead around, for example, promised something or, you know, kind of ...
A. I don't know. I don't understand why anyone would do that.
Q. How about someone who was trying to protect someone else, taking the fall himself?
A. I still don't see a way -- a reason why he would do that.
Q. How about -- and I'm not suggesting this -- that it would be here, but how about someone who's just flat out crazy, mentally ill?
A. Then, I suppose, I guess.
Q. If you hear testimony about why human beings occasionally do confess to something they didn't do, will you -- will you hear that testimony, will you consider it?
A. Yes.
Q. Just like all the other evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. You liked biology in school and science in general; if you sit on this jury, are you going
to be looking for scientific evidence?
A. Depends what it is, probably, maybe.
Q. And why would you want scientific evidence as opposed to, you know, an eyewitness, or a police officer, or other kinds of evidence?
A. I'm looking for every evidence and it's just not scientific alone.
Q. Is there anything special about scientific evidence that --
A. No.
Q. -- really, you think, makes it especially valuable?
A. No.
Q. If there's -- If there turns out not to be scientific evidence on certain things that you would expect, how are you going to react to that?
A. Well, depends. I would have to actually be through it and see what happens.
Q. Come at it with an open mind --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and decide that? Okay.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I think that's it for me. Thank you.

MR. LAWRENCE: You're welcome. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lawrence, the

Clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time. Excuse me, Mr. Lawrence -- you are right that's the easiest way to get out.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY STRANG: No, not on Mr. Lawrence.
I think -- Are we approaching, next, one of the jurors the parties had agreed --

THE COURT: The next one $I$ have got listed is Juror 35, August Schuette.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Oh, okay, then we're one away.

THE COURT: So we'll have Mr. Schuette come in and Mr. Lawrence is in.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just to alert you,
Judge, I will be questioning Mr. Schuette.
THE COURT: Very well.
ATTORNEY FALLON: How many jurors do we have left back there?

THE COURT: Um -- Mr. Schuette, please raise your right hand and the clerk will administer the oath to you.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Schuette, you have already
completed a written questionnaire in this case.

MR. SCHUETTE: Right.

THE COURT: This afternoon we're moving on to the next phase of the jury selection which is the voir dire part of the process. In a minute the attorneys will each be given an opportunity to ask you some questions that are follow-ups to the information you provided in your questionnaire.

Before we get to that, I can inform you that the jurors selected to hear this case will not be sequestered; that is, at the end of trial each day the jurors will be brought back to Manitowoc and permitted to go home.

The rule that the Court has already imposed, prohibiting the jurors from having any exposure to any news media accounts of this case, will continue. And the jurors are also prohibited from discussing the case with anyone until the case is over.

Although today's proceedings are open to the public, during voir dire we do not permit cameras in the courtroom and the members of the media are prohibited from identifying any of the jurors by name in their news reports.

You should also be aware, that in the
event you are selected to serve on the jury, cameras are not permitted to identify the jurors in any way during the course of the trial.

If you are selected to remain on the jury panel after today's questioning, you will receive a telephone call in the next day or two letting you know when to report back to court. At this time, then, I will permit the attorneys to ask their questions. Mr. Kratz, you may proceed.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Mr. Schuette, good afternoon. My name is Ken Kratz, I'm the Calumet County District Attorney. I'm serving as a Special Prosecutor in this case. Joining me this afternoon is Tom Fallon. Mr. Fallon is an Assistant Attorney General. He is also a Special Prosecutor on this case. And we will not only be asking you questions this afternoon, but we'll be presenting the case together, if you are selected to serve on that. This part of the jury selection process is meant to follow up individually with questions that you have answered on a questionnaire. Let
me assure you that it's not meant to unnecessarily pry into your personal life or to embarrass you. We're simply trying to get the most impartial jury that we can and so there are a couple follow-up questions that we do need to ask of you.

Let me first start, Mr. Schuette, with your prior employment. I understand that you are a retired gentleman, but had served and had worked, what looks like most of your life, in the retail store business; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You were president and manager of a department store; was that here in Manitowoc?
A. Yes, it was, sir.
Q. Could you describe the nature of that store, please; what kind of goods was it that you sold?
A. It was a general department store, established as a family department store, established in 1849, a long time. And our family ran it for about 145 years. And it sold just a general classification of merchandise: Men's and women's wear, home furnishings, appliances and, at one time, groceries.
Q. When was it, sir, that you retired from that
business?
A. 1992 .
Q. And upon your retirement, was the business sold or was it carried on by other family members or other concerns?
A. The business was acquired by my stepson-in-law, Peter Burbach, and he ran it for two years and then he closed it in 1994.
Q. I understand also from your questionnaire that you have some higher education including college and graduate school; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The area of graduate studies, was that in business and management or was that in some other kind of area?
A. It was a work study course at the City College in New York. I worked in a department store and went to classes.
Q. All right. So what would now be called an internship or something like that --
A. Yes.
Q. -- but you also received some kind of educational credit for that; is that right?
A. Correct. Work and educational credit.
Q. All right. Also indicates in your questionnaire
that you served in our armed forces; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. You served in Germany at least through 1946 and attained, as $I$ understand it, the rank of sergeant?
A. Correct.
Q. As a sergeant, I believe you were stationed in Germany at the time?
A. Right.
Q. Did that require you to have supervisory responsibility over other soldiers?
A. Yes, I obtained the rank of sergeant and I had, I think, six under men -- six other men that worked with me. We were in charge of some of the arm -armors, some of the weapons and ammunition and that type of thing and several ammunition dumps in Berlin.
Q. As a member of the armed services, Mr. Schuette, did you ever have the situation of being involved in any investigative proceedings or court martial proceedings or anything where you may have either been called upon as a witness or some other manner participated in those kind of proceedings?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Have you ever been a witness in a case before in a criminal or a civil case in court?
A. I have not.
Q. I note from your questionnaire that you are pretty active in obtaining information. Let me ask you, Mr. Schuette, where do you think you obtain most of your news from; would it be the newspaper, or television, or the internet, or some combination?
A. Newspaper, primarily, and television news reports. I also -- we also follow that.
Q. Do you subscribe to the local newspaper here?
A. Correct, I do.
Q. And are you a regular reader of that?
A. I feel I am, yes.
Q. And do you watch your television, at least the news portion of television, regularly?
A. Generally, yes, I do.
Q. With that having been said, have you some background information of this case that is the reason that you have been called in today?
A. Well, I think anybody who does read the newspapers, local papers, and watches the television stations certainly has to have some feeling about news in general coming out of this
community.
Q. Right.
A. So I certainly have -- I wouldn't say I have intently watched or read about this case, but I certainly have done some. I have some awareness of it.
Q. Let me go back about 15 months, to November of 2005; do you remember some of the early reports of a young photographer, a young woman, Ms Halbach, being missing, that there was a search for her and some law enforcement efforts to find her? Do you remember those stories?
A. Yes. I was aware of some of them, yes.
Q. Were you also made aware, sometime thereafter, of Mr. Steven Avery's involvement and eventually his arrest or being implicated in Ms Halbach's disappearance?
A. Yes, I would have been aware of that.
Q. Let me ask you, Mr. Schuette, after being aware early on in this case, at any time, did you form an opinion as to Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence, or are you the kind of person, generally and specifically in this case, did you reserve judgment on that to wait to hear more about the case?
A. I would be inclined to want to hear more about it.
Q. Now, I asked kind of a two part question; I apologize for that. Is that generally your philosophy; that is, about things that you read in not only the newspaper or hear on television, but any information that you might glean from some source, you are the kind of person that will want to check into the details yourself before forming an opinion about them?
A. I would feel I would. I think in my business career I was not quick to jump to conclusion. I think I liked to -- I'm not an impulsive type person, so I think I'm inclined to try and get all the facts in business or in other news.
Q. Now, running a business for, I think you said 45 years, if I'm --
A. Worked there 45, ran it 35.
Q. If I'm reading this correctly, that required, I suspect, a great deal of not only hard work in the amount of hours, but also attention to detail. Would that be a fair assumption on my part?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. How many employees, at any given time, did you
have under you?
A. Oh, full and part time, 40, approximately 40, 30 or 40.
Q. And I suspect by the nature of the retail business, some of those individuals came and went; in other words, it wasn't the same 40 people, there were --
A. No, it wasn't.
Q. -- there were individuals in retail sales that would move on to other employment --
A. Correct.
Q. -- is that right? I note in your questionnaire that you are familiar with the internet. Let me ask you if you're an active user of the internet and how often and what kinds of purposes you might use the computer for?
A. I'm really not too active in the internet. I do have a computer. I do have availability to the internet. Seems though I use my computer mainly for word processing, spread sheets, email. I really don't delve into the internet too much except maybe for informational purposes.
Q. All right.
A. Not news necessarily. Not news but ...
Q. I have to ask, Mr. Schuette, the spread sheets,
data basis or things like that, are you still employed now or what -- what is it that you are making data basis of at this point?
A. Data spread sheets and letter writing, that type of thing.
Q. All right.
A. And I use it mainly for tax information, checkbook information, that type of thing.
Q. I understand. All right.
A. I don't really --
Q. So you keep some of your more detailed records on the computer.
A. Sorry.
Q. I note from your response that you have many children, all of which, if I read correctly, have either college or advanced degrees. One of them, it looks like is in the radio or media business; am I reading that correctly?
A. Correct.
Q. Can you tell me what that child does and where they're -- where they're employed?
A. Yes, he is a stepson. I have a second marriage. My wife was a widow and I was a widower. We have been married for 26 years. Her oldest child, David Kollath (phonetic) is a general manager of
a public radio station in Kenosha, Wisconsin.
Q. All right. Have you and your stepson either discussed this case, specifically, or do you discuss the media business, generally, with him?
A. No, we really don't. I don't recall that we have discussed this case. I know we have not recently and I don't even recall if he might have casually mentioned it a year, year and a half ago. And we don't see David that often, so we don't discuss the radio business.
Q. All right. I understand. Being a very long time member of the Manitowoc community, have you formed an opinion about the Sheriff's Department and what kind of job you feel that the Sheriff's Department here in Manitowoc is doing? I guess, generally, in fighting crime or just in their day-to-day operations; have you formed such an opinion?
A. Well, my opinion would be positive. As far as I know, I think they are doing a commendable job. I'm not aware that they are doing anything but that, so it would be positive.
Q. You may, in fact, if you are called to testify (sic) in this case, you will hear from law enforcement officers who will be asked to
testify. Let me ask you, Mr. Schuette, since law enforcement officers are people like -- like anybody else and subjected to the same biases or prejudices as anybody else; are you able, despite your positive general reaction towards officers, to consider a law enforcement officer's testimony like that of any other witness?
A. Like what?
Q. Like that of any other witness that might testify. Are you able to consider their testimony and not give them more credit or less credit just because they are a police officer?
A. Well, I think $I$ would accept whatever they say in a general mode. I respect the police officers. I think that they should be thorough and investigate things well, so $I$ would certainly respect what they have to say.
Q. Is there anything that you have learned from this case, specifically, that you have a question either about the nature of the investigation, the thoroughness of it, or anything that's come to light, that as you sit here today you think that we should know going into this case?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Mr. Schuette, have you ever used or are you aware of a publication known as Auto Trader Magazine?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. Have you ever heard of a project in the State of Wisconsin which is called Project Innocence, a project which is -- or has at least as it's primary goal, the exoneration of those individuals who have been wrongfully accused or convicted?
A. Yes, I am aware of that program.
Q. Do you believe that is a positive, that is a good program?
A. I would think that would be positive, yes.
Q. Are you aware of Mr. Avery's past connection with Project Innocence?
A. Correct, I am.
Q. Can you tell me what you recall of Mr . Avery -and not just the Project Innocence, but what you may know of his past, generally, that may help us in some of our future questions?
A. Well, I'm aware of his conviction and a prior offense. I'm aware of the fact that he served a substantial amount of time in prison. And I'm aware that Project Innocence pursued this and he was released from prison; it was determined another person committed the crime for which

Steven Avery was convicted.
Q. And he was exonerated, that is, he was freed as a result of --
A. Correct.
Q. -- of that project?
A. I'm aware of that, yes.
Q. Were you aware of the nature of the exoneration; that is, it was based upon DNA or scientific evidence?
A. Yes, I think I was aware of that. That was the way in which he was exonerated.
Q. I'm going to ask you just generally, Mr. Schuette, are you a believer in the sciences, specifically in DNA evidence, and from what you have heard or read, do you believe that to be an accurate form of identification, especially in criminal cases?
A. From what I understand, yes. I am aware of that and seems -- seems as though it is -- is a true scientific project.
Q. The last question I have of you, Mr. Schuette, is as you have thought about sitting on this case, as you have thought about one of the 12 members of your community that may have to sit in judgment of Mr. Avery; do you believe that would
be a positive experience for you, individually, or is that something that you would not be looking forward to?
A. I'm sure it would be a positive experience. I can't honestly say $I$ would look forward to it, but in the event that $I$ would be chosen. I think I could render a fair and carefully deliberate reasoning to arrive at whatever result would be arrived at.
Q. And you would be willing to follow whatever instructions the Judge may have on the law in this case?
A. Yes, I would.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all the questions I have of this prospective juror. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Mr. Buting. MR. BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. BUTING:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Schuette. My name is Jerome Buting. This is Dean Strang. And this is, obviously, Steven Avery. And you recognize that we are representing him today?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. I have some questions about some things
that Mr. Kratz hasn't talked about. And I also have some follow-up on some things that he has. Okay.

You have obviously been a member of this community a long time. And as president of a department store -- I apologize, but I'm not from here, so I'm not familiar with the store -- but as a result of that position in the community, were you on leadership councils or Chambers of Commerce, or things of that nature?
A. Yes. I was involved with a number of organizations, yes.
Q. Could you just tell me what those were, in leadership positions?
A. Well, the Chamber of Commerce, I was on the Board of Directors; Junior Chamber of Commerce, I was on the Board of Directors. There's a foundation called the West Foundation of which I was the vice president. I was involved in the Manitowoc County Historical Society on the Board of Directors.

I'm trying to think if there were any more, but ... I have been a downtown Manitowoc -- Retail Trade Commission of Downtown Manitowoc, Chamber of Commerce. I've just been
pretty much involved with a lot of the community affairs. I'm on the Rotary Club right now.
Q. You are on the Rotary Club?
A. I'm a Rotarian, correct.
Q. And do you attend regularly, what, once a month?
A. Well, hopefully, weekly.
Q. Once a week, okay.
A. It's a weekly meeting, yes.
Q. Okay. And in that context, do you -- do you ever hear people talking about this case?
A. On occasion but, you know, I don't hear a lot of it. I suppose there will be more now. But, no, I do not hear a lot of that discussed.
Q. Okay. You still play tennis?
A. I still play tennis.
Q. Good for you. And you mention volunteer work; what kind of volunteer work do you do?
A. I do Meals-on-Wheels --
Q. Okay.
A. -- too. And I volunteer at the Historical Society in their building. And they have a very nice historical village out in the country. I help transport some people who need some help, some stroke victims, mainly stroke victims, who need to get to an exercise place.

I used to help an elderly gentleman with most of his grocery shopping and doctors and that type of thing. He is no longer with us. But I do that -- that type of volunteer work.
Q. So it sounds like you keep pretty busy?
A. I keep quite busy.
Q. And do you also travel out of town for long periods of time or are you primarily here year round?
A. We have a small place up in Door County so summers, long weekends we spend up there.
Q. Okay.
A. We no longer make long travel trips out of the state or out of the country. We did at one time, but not too much any more. We kind of stick around home.
Q. Okay. You don't try and go some place warmer in the winter?
A. Oh, I love Manitowoc. I love blowing snow.
Q. Okay. Now, you have six children or step children?
A. Correct.
Q. Grandchildren?
A. Ten.
Q. Ten, okay. And granddaughters? All boys or
girls?
A. Let's see, I should know. Two granddaughters and -- no, three granddaughters. So would be seven grandsons.
Q. Okay. And what's the range of ages from the -of all your grandchildren?
A. The oldest is -- must be 24 and the youngest is seven.
Q. Okay. And what age are your granddaughters?
A. 16,14 , and 12 .
Q. Okay. So not in the middle 20 's, not close to --
A. No.
Q. -- Teresa Halbach's age or anything of that sort?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Did -- When you heard about this case, did you identify it with your granddaughters at all, what would it be like if this happened to my granddaughters, anything of that nature?
A. No, I did not.
Q. In your questionnaire, you mention -- Well, let me get to that in a second. Sounds like you keep pretty current on all the news from a number of different sources, right?
A. I try to.
Q. Do you have an opinion about how accurate the
media is in reporting on, particularly, criminal cases?
A. Sometimes I feel that they don't get the whole story. I'm sure they aren't really complete in all of the facts. I feel -- I think they do the best they can and sometimes the facts aren't really easily available and maybe they shortcut some of them.
Q. Do you find yourself watching the local news more than the cable, like CNN or Fox news outlets, or do you watch those too?
A. We watch those also. We pretty much watch the local news, Channel 2, or 5. You know, the 5 clock news, or 6 o'clock news, or whatever fits our time schedule.
Q. Do you tend to watch a particular programs in the evening like, you know, the Bill O'Reilly show, or Larry King, or any of those kinds of things?
A. We watch some of those, Reilly or Larry king.
Q. Anyone that's a particular favorite?
A. Not really. I think as far as Larry King is concerned, depends on who he's having on. Sometimes it's interesting information, sometimes it's pretty boring, so we skip around.
Q. And how about radio news; do you listen to the
radio much?
A. Probably WOMT, the local news station, maybe at the early -- the early broadcast, the 7 a.m. broadcast.
Q. Now, does that -- that station does have some call in shows, doesn't it?
A. Sure.
Q. Do you -- Have you ever called into any of the radio stations?
A. No, I have not. I don't listen to those too much.
Q. Now, you have been in this community for ...
A. All my life.
Q. All your life.
A. Right.
Q. And you have never had jury duty before?
A. I have not, no.
Q. You ever talk to the jury clerk about that?
A. No, I have not.
Q. How that ever happened?
A. No, my wife has been called four times.
Q. Has she really?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Has she ever served?
A. On one case, I believe.
Q. Speaking of your wife, you mention her, that's Shirley, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. That -- One of the questions we ask, No. 41, was whether you have talked with any people, at length, about this particular case and you said, yes, your spouse.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. What sort of things have you talked about or what have you talked with her about?
A. Well, I think just a general opinion about the whole situation. And I would think it's pretty hard for anybody who keeps a little bit abreast of the news here to overlook this whole case. I would think --
Q. Sure.
A. -- it would have to be. And so just, you know, maybe what -- what happened today or when the news comes on, we might casually discuss it.
Q. Mm-hmm. And I don't mean to imply there's anything at all wrong with talking to your spouse about it.
A. No, I'm sure it's pretty normal for --
Q. Sure.
A. It would be a little hard for somebody not to
talk.
Q. Sure. Especially something like this.
A. Sure.
Q. But, obviously, I assume you probably respect your spouse's opinion on things as well, right?
A. In most cases, yes.
Q. Not necessarily all, but ...
A. Correct, not all.
Q. Does she have a particular opinion about whether or not Mr. Avery is guilty or innocent?
A. Well, for some reason she kind of questions his guilt.
Q. Okay.
A. She just ...
Q. And does she -- Have you talked with her further about that to see if you agree or disagree with those doubts that she still has?
A. No, not really. This is her opinion. She just doesn't feel that she's convinced that this is a guilty situation.
Q. Okay. And what about you, do you have any?
A. I don't -- I don't strong -- At this point, I think as I mentioned, $I$ don't strongly disagree with her. I think there are probably a lot of questions to be answered. And so I'm not totally
convinced that the case has been presented totally. And I think if I served on the jury I would like to see -- and I'm sure both sides would be presented.
Q. Mm-hmm. And you --
A. So I'm not adamant as to what -- what the guilt or not, innocence is.
Q. So you understand that at this point Mr. Avery is presumed innocent, though, right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And despite all the -- Would it be fair to say that the media presentation that you have seen, you have seen a lot of it, has skewed more towards making him look guilty?
A. Probably, yes.
Q. Okay. And despite all of that, do you think that you can still presume him innocent?
A. I feel I could, yes.
Q. Is that because you realize they are probably not giving you the whole story?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And I recognize that -- Well, what's the most recent thing you can recall hearing reported on the news about this particular case?
A. I really haven't been following it much in the
last two or three weeks as requested by the Judge. But very frankly, it's pretty hard to avoid headlines.
Q. Sure.
A. Sunday's Herald-Times had a very big section about the whole case and chronological dates. I did not read it, but $I$ did not miss the headline.
Q. Some -- I have discovered some restrooms that you go into, you're standing there and you can't miss it, it's posted on the wall.
A. That could be, that's right. It's pretty hard, or the 5 o'clock news on Channel 2, it's our lead story is and the name Avery comes up. And generally I will either leave the room or turn it off or something. And I'm not a purist, but I'm trying to at least follow the Judge's recommendations. I'm trying to think what the most recent thing would be. Last month.
Q. Do you recall discussions about a blood vial?
A. Yes. Yes. There was something about that, I didn't pursue the whole thing. It was something about DNA evidence and there was a blood vial some place. It was used -- Well, I think it was used to exonerate him from his original conviction.
Q. And that it was located in the Clerk's Office?
A. Yeah, I'm not sure quite how that whole thing happened, how they found it.
Q. Now, I'm going to get back to something on that in a minute. But before $I$ forget, $I$ want to ask you, you mentioned your awareness that Mr. Avery was wrongly convicted --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and spent a substantial period of time in prison?
A. Correct.
Q. And, in fact, it was determined that another person had committed that crime?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you have any doubts of your own about whether or not that's true, that he really was wrongly committed?
A. No.
Q. Convicted?
A. I did not have any doubt about that.
Q. And how do you feel about -- or how did you feel when you learned that somebody from your community in Manitowoc, where you have grown up all your life, had been wrongly convicted?
A. It's a tragedy. It's a shame. What else can you
say.
Q. Sure.
A. A man's -- A young man's -- 20 years of his life was wasted.
Q. Do you -- You are also aware a civil lawsuit was filed?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Are you aware of the individuals that were directly accused in the lawsuit of misconduct leading to his wrongful conviction?
A. Not all of them. I remember the sheriff, Tom Kocourek, I think was involved in that. I'm not sure, I don't know who the district attorney was at that time, so I suppose he was.
Q. Well, I noticed in your questionnaire you
mentioned when we asked about people that -- long list of names that you might know, you mentioned Thomas Kocourek.
A. Correct.
Q. And how do you know him?
A. Very casually.
Q. Okay.
A. The business $I$ was in we met -- maybe $I$ sold Tom a pair of socks or a suit or something sometime. So I have known him on a very casual basis, a
name recognition and --
Q. Okay. I see.
A. -- that's about all.
Q. So no personal knowledge of him?
A. Not at all. No.
Q. Did you ever talk to him about the Steven Avery wrongful conviction?
A. No.
Q. Nothing of that sort?
A. No, not at all.
Q. And you also mentioned Curt Drumm.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. How do you know him?
A. He's been a friend of the family. I think he's my daughter's age. I have known him since kindergarten and $I$ have known his father and mother for a long time.
Q. Now, if he were to testify -- and I don't know that he will -- You recognize a lot of these people on this list are not necessarily all going to testify, thankfully, but would you be able to be objective and judge what he would testify about?
A. You mean Curt Drumm?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I certainly could accept his forthrightness and honesty, yes.
Q. Well, could you also judge him like any other witness, or would you -- would you be maybe too sympathetic towards him because it's somebody you know, that you would assume he is not going to lie, I won't go beyond that?
A. No, I don't think I would have any personal interest in his testimony or consider him differently than any other witness.
Q. Okay. And I assume that applies to Thomas Kocourek too?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, one of the things Mr. Kratz asked you about was police officers and whether you would be willing to consider their testimony as witnesses like any other witness; do you recall that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you think, though, that because police officers are, you know, they -- they are sworn to serve and protect, enforce the law, that if they were to take the witness stand and take the oath that they, therefore, would be more likely to tell the truth than an ordinary citizen, or would it be the same?
A. Hmm. Well, I would hope more likely. I would hope.
Q. Okay. But, now, if the Judge gave you an instruction that said, despite what you may hope, they are the same as any other witness and they are human beings, that you must judge their credibility, their truthfulness or non-truthfulness the same as any other witness, would you be able to do that?
A. Oh, sure.
Q. Okay. You did say, I think -- and maybe I just misunderstood you -- you said, I think I would respect what they have to say. But if you got that kind of an instruction from the Judge, I assume you would be able to also question what they say, just as any other witness; is that right or not? Or would you just respect and accept what they say, unquestionably?
A. Well, I suppose if what they respond, their response seems to be logical, I would accept it as being truthful. But, no, I would certainly -I don't know if $I$ would give a police officer any more credibility than another witness.
Q. Okay. Because as a juror, if you're selected, you will have to do that, you will have to -- you
may hear -- I'm not saying necessarily police officers, but you may hear different things from different witnesses. And you may have to judge, which one am I going to believe. And it could be police officers, it may not be, but that's a task you may find yourself having to do.
A. I would understand that, yes.
Q. Okay. You also said that you expected police to be thorough, right?
A. In general, I would expect them to, yes.
Q. Okay. And if you hear evidence that questions or challenges that, challenges whether or not the police were very thorough in this case in looking at all of the evidence and all of the options and possibilities, would you be able to consider that?
A. Sure. Yes.
Q. Do you think the police sometimes get so engaged, personally, in an investigation that they may tend to go down one tunnel and, you know, focus on one area rather than being as objective as possible and covering all the bases? Do you think that's possible?
A. I think if I would get contrary information that seems logical I would have to weigh this and
accept it, if that would be the case.
Q. Okay. I appreciate that. And if you also heard evidence or reason to think that maybe the police went even farther in this case and crossed the line and, you know, were doing things that were improper and possibly even illegal; would you be able to consider that?
A. I would be able to, if the evidence so indicates, yes.
Q. Now, since you -- I don't remember if -- No, I don't think Mr. Kratz did ask you about this. You talked about the initial sort of flood of information when Teresa Halbach was missing and then Mr. Avery was arrested; do you also, though, recall the whole Brendan Dassey aspect of the case?
A. I'm aware of some of the media coverage of him, yes.
Q. Okay. Did you see the -- any of the press conferences when those charges were brought --
A. No, I did not.
Q. -- back in March? Okay.
A. No.
Q. Can you just tell us what you recall hearing about Brendan Dassey's involvement in the matter?
A. My understanding is that Brendan Dassey is Steven Avery's nephew. And it must have been October 31st, or November 1st, or something, he approached Steven Avery's cabin, home, whatever it was, and came into the property. And Teresa Halbach was there. And his uncle -- I did not get all the details, but for some reason $I$ think there was a sexual assault that took place. And after that she was murdered. This is all the information that I understand. And the body disposed of.
Q. Okay. And did you also hear -- Well, let me ask you this, what if -- I assume that you would consider that pretty damning evidence for Mr. Avery, right? Against Mr. Avery?
A. If this in fact happened, yes, I certainly would have to.
Q. Well, what if the State never called Brendan Dassey to the trial and you never heard that story from him, would you be able to put that out of your mind and focus just on what evidence they do present?
A. I think I would. As I understand, if we are instructed to be objective in our observation and observe and use only the evidence presented, I
think that's what would have to be decided.
Q. So you wouldn't assume necessarily that maybe that there is other evidence out there and maybe that is what happened but they just are not telling me; you wouldn't -- you wouldn't look at it that way?
A. I think as a jury you have to base your conclusions on the evidence presented.
Q. Okay.
A. And I think I would do that.
Q. Okay. Hard as it may be, you think you could?
A. Yes, I think I could.
Q. Okay. Did -- Do you also recall, then, that -that Brendan Dassey later recanted that confession, that is, took it back?
A. Yes, I'm aware of that, correct.
Q. And were you aware of any -- Well, strike that. Can you think of any reasons why somebody would falsely confess, confess to something -- admit that they did something like this when they really didn't?
A. I can't think of any reason, but it seems as though I understand that there are some rather severe interrogation procedures sometimes done by investigators. I don't know if a person could be
coerced into something like this or not.
Q. Okay. That's something that you would be open to considering, though, if it -- if you did hear from Mr. Dassey, or young Brendan Dassey; is that right?
A. I think I could be open to do that, sure.
Q. Would you also consider whether or not any other evidence corroborated a story like that, physical evidence, or lack of physical evidence; is that something you would consider?
A. The story of Brendan Dassey's involvement?
Q. Yes.
A. If there was other evidence, I would certainly consider it.
Q. And if there wasn't evidence that would corroborate it, in fact would tend not to?
A. That has to be considered, I would assume, yes.
Q. Yes. Well, if you have never been to a trial before -- I know you have never been on a jury, but have you ever sat through a trial before --
A. No, I have not.
Q. -- as a spectator? Do you ever watch Court TV?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Well, you may or may not know, but a defendant has a constitutional right not to testify in
their own case.
A. I'm aware of that, yes.
Q. Okay. And a defense attorney always has sort of a difficult decision to make, which is whether or not they should call the defendant in the trial, whether they should testify or not testify. Because there's always a concern that if a defendant does testify and takes the witness stand, that a jury may not believe him anyway because they will think, well, he's the person with the most at stake, why should we believe him, right?
A. Correct.
Q. On the other hand, if they -- if he doesn't testify, then there's concern that some jurors may think, well, what's he hiding; why doesn't he tell his side of the story; we want to hear both sides. What about you; do you -- would you have any concerns like that?
A. I don't think so. I guess I could understand where, as you pointed out, a defendant would have a hard time being very forthright about the situation. I would think it would be difficult in these cases for them to testify.
Q. By forthright, you mean convincing?
A. Or honest, truthful.
Q. You think a defendant would have a hard time being truthful or just being believably truthful?
A. Either one.
Q. Well, see, that's one of the concerns is that, you know, if a defendant does testify and the jury just thinks, well --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I'm going to interpose an objection. I don't know if Mr. Buting is asking a question here or giving his closing argument. He can ask the question, Judge. He's gotten an answer and now it's speech time. And I'm interposing an objection, telling this witness (sic) what the next problem is with his answer.

THE COURT: Well, the subject matter is legitimate, why don't you rephrase the question, Mr . Buting.

MR. BUTING: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Buting)~ Would you -- Would you be able -- If Mr. Avery did, with our advice, if we suggested that he take the witness stand and he testified in this case, would you tend not to believe him just because he's the defendant in a case?
A. I suppose it would depend on the evidence
presented prior to his testimony. And -- All right. Frankly if -- and I'm sure he would not admit to the crime, if there's other evidence that would indicate -- and I don't know what it could be -- that it did happen and he was guilty of it, then I suppose a person would be a little inclined to doubt his denial.
Q. Okay. But my question is, looking at his testimony alone first, with the mere fact that because he is charged in this case and decides to testify, would you hold that against him and not -- not -- I mean, let's say another -- any other witness testifies and the evidence that you are talking about that comes in earlier tends to contradict that witness, I assume you would have that same concern, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So, can you give Mr. Avery -- if he does testify, can you give him the same benefit and the same consideration of it as any other witness who would testify; that is, consideration of whatever his motives would be to falsify, the consistency, or -- you would get a jury instruction for any witness, to judge the credibility, and you would have to apply it the same for the defendant.

Could you do that?
A. Yes, I think I could. Yes.
Q. And, on the other hand, if Mr. Avery didn't testify, would you be sitting there thinking, aha, well, you know why not, he must be guilty, or what's he hiding?
A. No, I don't think I would. I don't think that would make that much difference.
Q. Okay. And, in fact, the Judge would instruct you that you are not to consider it in any way --
A. Right.
Q. -- and you would be okay with that?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You have to say yes or no.
A. Yes, I think I could. Yes.

MR. BUTING: All right. Well, thank you, very much, sir. MR. SCHUETTE: You're welcome. MR. BUTING: I appreciate it.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Mr. Schuette, I just want to clear up a couple things because I think some of the later questions were pretty long. And I want to make sure I understand the answer.

As Mr. Buting indicated, one thing the Court will instruct the jury in this case is that if the defendant should choose not to testify, the jury cannot draw any adverse inference from that, that that somehow indicates the defendant's guilt. If the defendant doesn't testify, the burden is still on the State to prove his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt, and you would have to base your decision on the other evidence that came in. Could you follow that instruction?
A. Yes, I could.
Q. And by the same token, if the defendant does testify, there's another instruction that I cannot remember verbatim but, essentially, you have to treat him like any other witness and base your decision on his credibility, on the same factors you use for other witnesses, taking all the other evidence into account and other considerations and decide whether you think he's telling the truth, just like any other witness. Can you do that?
A. Yes, I could.

THE COURT: Thank you. The Clerk will escort you from the courtroom. MR. SCHUETTE: Thank you.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, does either party have a motion with respect to this juror?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not by the State, your Honor.

MR. BUTING: Not by the defense, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Schuette is in. I can -- I can inform the court at this time that there had been four remaining jurors back there. I had the clerk send two of them home. So I think we're left with Marian Flint and Daniel Petermann as the next two on the list. It would be my inclination, to keep my court reporter from falling over, to take a break at this time. But I would like to get those last two jurors in.

MR. BUTING: What happened with No. 36?
ATTORNEY FALLON: We agreed to that already.

THE COURT: Yes, I had previously been informed that that was --

MR. BUTING: That's a cause, okay.
THE COURT: Right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: And we still have the other three that we jointly proposed for cause, I
think that the Court has not ruled on?
ATTORNEY FALLON: I think he did.
THE COURT: I will -- I'm going to talk to the Clerk before we start tomorrow morning and go back and make sure anybody I haven't excused for cause is addressed. We'll take a break at this time.

MR. BUTING: I'm sorry, what were the two -- what were the two, Flint and --

THE COURT: The two remaining are No. 41, Marian Flint and No. 45, Daniel Petermann.

MR. BUTING: All right. Thank you.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, when would you like us back, I'm sorry, 5, 10 minutes?

THE COURT: You make the call, Diane. How much time do you want?

COURT REPORTER: If we're doing two more, I would say 15 minutes.

THE COURT: Fifteen?
COURT REPORTER: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay, 15 minutes, 5:20. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: At this time we're back on the record. And the next juror is Marian Flint.

Ms Flint, please raise your right hand
and the Clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Flint, you have already submitted a written questionnaire in this case. At this point we're going on to the next phase of juror selection which is individual voir dire. The attorneys for each of the parties will have a chance to ask you some questions to follow up on the answers that you gave in your questionnaire.

Before that, I can tell you that the juror that is selected in this case will not be sequestered. That means at the end of each court day you will be able to go back home.

I can also tell you that although the proceedings today are open to the public. There are no cameras allowed in the courtroom during individual voir dire and the media is not allowed to disclose the names of the jurors in their reports to the public.

In addition, if you are selected to serve on the jury in this case, the cameras will not be permitted to show the jurors at the trial.

Even after today, I will remind you if you are still on the jury panel, that you are to
continue not reading anything about this case, watching anything on television, listening to anything on the radio, or discussing the case in any manner with anyone.

Mr. Fallon, are you going to be handling this one? You may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mrs. Flint, my name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. And I'm one of the prosecutors in the case. To my left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney. And Mr. Kratz here is the lead prosecutor of this case involving Mr. Avery. Good afternoon. Thank you, very much, for your patience. I know it's a long wait as we long-winded lawyers chat out here with some of your peers.

I wanted to follow up with a few questions regarding some of the information you provided last week in your questionnaire to help us in selecting a jury for this case. Let me first begin, I note that for your principal occupation you note homemaker, but somewhere I
have this feeling that perhaps you might have had some experience as a teacher, or a teachers aide, Or --
A. My daughter is a teacher's aide.
Q. Your daughter is?
A. Mm-hmm. And my son is a teacher.
Q. All right. Did you have some teacher training?
A. Yes.
Q. I see. Okay. But chose to raise a family instead?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Very well. Have you ever worked outside of your home?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Okay. And by the way, I looked at your questionnaire and, please, accept all of our condolences for the passing of your father.
A. Thank you.
Q. I understand that took a fair amount of your time.
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Well, now that that has passed, what do you think you might like to do with some of your extra time there?
A. I think I would probably donate some of my time,
volunteer at the facility where my dad was for the last seven years.
Q. Okay.
A. Because they were really good to him.
Q. All right.
A. They can always use an extra hand.
Q. I bet that's true. Do you like to read or travel or what do you like do?
A. I love to read.
Q. You do.
A. I've tried traveling in the past 15 years; and I'm not much of a traveler.
Q. Not much of a traveler. So, like fine wine, you don't travel very well?
A. No.
Q. All right. What kind of books or things do you like to read?
A. Well, I like romance novels.
Q. All right.
A. I like a good mystery. I like Nicholas Sparks books.
Q. Okay. What is it about his books that you like?
A. Well, they're easy to read. He sounds like a very loving husband and father.
Q. Okay. And is there a general theme or part of
his books that you find particularly attractive that brings you back to his writing?
A. Well, Message In a Bottle was one to speak of. I like Clive Cussler's books too. As a matter of fact, I'm going to start reading one of those.
Q. All right. I note you have done some other volunteer work. Can you tell us something about your volunteer work, the Order of the Eastern Star Shrine Auxiliary, St. James Church. Tell us about some of your volunteer work.
A. Order of Eastern Star, I was a Star Point. We raised a lot of money for charity. Shrine Auxiliary, I was the treasurer for that group. And there, again, we raised a lot of money for the Children's Hospitals.
Q. Right. How long were you associated with the Shrine group?
A. I would say about four or five years and then it folded.
Q. I see. Were you the treasurer during that time frame?
A. Yes. Mm-hmm.
Q. So, with you managing the purse strings, you did well?
A. I hope so.
Q. Very good. And how about Memorial Hospital?
A. I was working for the auxiliary there, patient service, mail, guiding patients around.
Q. All right. And senior ice skating, tell us about that.
A. Yes, I did ice skate for six years with the senior group at Expo. We brought our own music, our kind of music, and had camaraderie in the group. Was fun.
Q. Do you still skate?
A. No, two years ago I thought my balance wasn't quite right and I didn't think it was best if I break an arm.
Q. All right. So you passed on it?
A. Yes.
Q. How long did you skate?
A. Six years.
Q. All right.
A. With the seniors.
Q. Now, interesting, did you take up skating in your senior years or did you skate when you were younger?
A. I skated as a child; my dad taught all three of us kids to skate.
Q. All right. And this is figure skating, dance?
A. Yes.
Q. Excellent. All right. Well, I would like to talk to you a little bit about, first of all, some of the people that may appear during the course of this case and I see one of the individuals you identified as Mr. Drumm.
A. If it's the Curtis Drumm that's a pilot, that would be the one; $I$ know his mother.
Q. He's the one. If -- We're not really sure whether he will be a witness in this case or not, but if he were, do you think you could evaluate his testimony the same way you would evaluate anyone else's, even though you know his mom pretty well?
A. Oh, sure, I think so, yes.
Q. In other words, you wouldn't give his testimony any more weight or any less weight than anybody else?
A. No.
Q. Okay. All right. One of the things of great interest to all of us here is a lot of the publicity that's attended to this particular case. And I wanted to ask you a few questions about that. In terms of the news that you get for day-to-day, you -- you seem to be of --
somewhat of an avid reader and somewhat informed; radio, newspapers, television and magazines. If -- Looking at those, where would you say the greatest source of your news comes from?
A. Probably the radio, early in the morning.
Q. All right. What kind of radio do you listen to, stations or ...
A. Basically WOMT.
Q. The local station?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. And how often do read the newspapers?
A. Well, I don't get a newspaper, but my brother saves them for me and so I may read a paper three times a week.
Q. Okay. All right. And television, how often do you watch television?
A. I would say every evening, watching Jeopardy, lot of public TV. I like the programming on public TV.
Q. Okay. Do you watch much of the newscast on the local public television stations?
A. Well, I used to, but I haven't ever since I got the notice that $I$ was going to be on a jury. And I received that letter back in June of 2006. And

I thought there was a possibility that maybe I would be called so, therefore, I was extremely careful not to watch things.
Q. Okay. And so you think that was at least -- so you stopped listening to the news coverage last summer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I watched the national news.
Q. Sure.
A. You know, with Tom Brokaw, when he was on, and his replacement Brian Williams. Those I do watch.
Q. Okay. In terms of local media coverage, you haven't followed any of the recent events regarding the case?
A. Nothing recent, no.
Q. Okay. Based on up to that point of time where you had -- I assume you at least followed the case somewhat?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And in your questionnaire you indicated you haven't really formed any opinions about this case?
A. No. No, I haven't.
Q. And would that be because you just don't have any information upon which to form an opinion or just hasn't been all that interesting?
A. I think that there's always two sides to every story.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, one of the questions that the -- that's set forth in the questionnaire is, would you be able to decide this case solely on the information which is presented during the trial and not on any of the information that you may have gotten from the media or any other opinions that you may have had?
A. That's right. Just from the information we get here.
Q. Right. And you are pretty comfortable you can -and confident you can follow that directive?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. All right. You have never served as a juror before?
A. No.
Q. Ever been called to jury duty?
A. No.
Q. So just a little bit of a surprise?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. All right. Just your luck, right?
A. Well, it's a good learning experience.
Q. All right. Do you think that based on any of your background, any -- anything that you have, any philosophy, or conscience, or any religious beliefs that makes you doubt whether you could sit and determine the guilt or innocence of someone, based on the evidence which is presented in court?
A. I'm sorry, I didn't understand that.
Q. Yeah, is there anything -- Do you have any personal philosophy, personal beliefs, or conscience, or any other reason you think you would not be able to sit and be a juror to determine guilt or innocence?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't have any more for this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good evening.
A. Good evening.
Q. May I call you Mrs. Flint, is that what ...
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. My name is Jerome Buting. And myself, along with Dean Strang, are defending Steven Avery. I assume you understand that.
A. Yes.
Q. And I have some, a few follow-up questions from your questionnaire and then some of my own that maybe you haven't thought much about yet. Because you -- you said you used to watch quite a bit of news until like the past summer?
A. Right.
Q. So I assume you saw the news when Mr. Avery was first arrested?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you see the news conferences that Mr. Kratz was involved in?
A. Yes.
Q. That would have been in November, when he was first announcing an arrest?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did you also learn later that -- First of all, do you know the name Brendan Dassey?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Avery's nephew?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you, did you also see the news conferences for that?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you assume that, therefore, the case was solved and we now know what happened?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. As I said, there's always two sides to a story. And I'm not always sure that when the news media is saying things, that they are saying them right.
Q. Sure. But now, in this instance, you actually saw a news conference, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Which went on for a half hour or something like that, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. So, you actually heard Mr. Kratz, sitting over here, describing what -- what he now believed --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the evidence would show?
A. Right.
Q. After hearing a prosecutor, a special prosecutor make those kinds of statements, wouldn't you then be inclined to say, okay, I guess I believe this
is -- this is really what happened?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, $I$ know he saw it that way, but that doesn't mean that I have to see it that way.
Q. Okay. Very good. So you recognize that lawyers are advocates for their position?
A. Yes.
Q. And that maybe they might be presenting something in one way that supports their position, but maybe you might see it different?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But now when you -- when you heard the story of -- that Brendan Dassey allegedly gave, or said, or was -- supposedly said, what -- what did that make you feel about this case?
A. Sad, shocked.
Q. Mm-hmm. The details were pretty graphic?
A. Very.
Q. Kind of make your hair curl?
A. Very. As a mother, when you have children.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. I can imagine. What I'm wondering, though, is after hearing that and having that reaction,
which is perfectly natural and okay, I'm not criticizing that, and then you see my client, Mr. Avery, right here; how can you look at him and say I -- at this time I presume him innocent?
A. He hasn't been proved guilty, though, at this point. He has to be proven guilty and I don't have those details.
Q. Well, is that just a matter of a mere formality, you know, we have just got to go through the trial and then -- and then it will be all over, or do you think there's more to it?
A. There could be more to it.
Q. Did you -- So looking at him, you are telling me that despite what you heard on that day at that press conference, you don't think that you have been so affected by it that you can't be a fair jury -- juror in this trial?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And why?
A. I think I have to have more proof.
Q. Okay. Now, did you also learn in the news media that this young man, Brendan Dassey, 16 year old, has since recanted that confession?
A. No, I didn't hear that.
Q. You didn't.
A. No.
Q. Did you hear that there was -- that there were different stories that he was giving, changing things?
A. No, I didn't hear that either.
Q. Didn't hear any of that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Can you think of any reason why someone would confess to something that they didn't do?
A. That's a tough one. No, I really can't.
Q. Do you think -- Have you ever heard of people that have falsely confessed to something and then obviously been proven that they didn't do it?
A. Not offhand, no.
Q. Okay. If you heard from -- If you heard any evidence or testimony about why that might happen, why people might be inclined or coerced even to say things that aren't true, is that something you could consider?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think it's possible that that could happen?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you heard testimony about certain techniques that police investigators and
detectives use when they question people, that might -- might risk the possibility that people would do something like say something that isn't true that they didn't do; is that something you would consider?
A. Yes, it's possible.
Q. Now, when you said that you want to hear more proof in this case before you make up your mind -- By the way, let me go back for a second about what you might have heard. Have you heard anything, any kind of news reports in the paper or anything about a blood vial?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You did hear that?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you hear about that?
A. I just heard it was found in the Clerk of Court's Office.
Q. Okay. And did you hear anything else that you recall about it, or why that matters or doesn't matter, or ...
A. I really don't know.
Q. Okay. All right. That's fine. Now, do you know where you would have heard that from, if you haven't been watching the news?
A. I probably heard it on the radio.
Q. Okay. So you have heard some things about the case since June, but it's been more on the radio, not the TV?
A. And I haven't been sitting down and studying it.
Q. Right. Right. And I know in your questionnaire you said that you are in a widow's group?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that exactly?
A. Actually, it came out of a bereavement class.
Q. Okay.
A. And we enjoyed each other's company so much that we started going out to dinner once a month, to a different restaurant every Friday night.
Q. Okay. And you mentioned that you think you might have talked about this case?
A. With some of the gals, yeah.
Q. With some of the gals?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you know what was said or what kind of opinions were expressed?
A. No, not opinions. I think they were just expressing what they had or what we all had heard either on the radio or on $T V$.
Q. Did anything about their discussions influence
your opinion about --
A. Not at all.
Q. -- the case or what you thought?
A. Not at all.
Q. Okay. Now, I assume -- It doesn't seem like you have had much contact with the police over your life?
A. No, never.
Q. Do you watch police shows, cop shows, crime shows?
A. Not really, that's not my favorite.
Q. Okay. But you have seen them over the years?
A. Not to watch them for a whole hour, though.
Q. Okay. Do you think that police officers, simply because they are police officers and they have a badge and they swear an oath to protect and obey the law and enforce the law, do you think that when they come into court and testify on the witness stand, if they do, and take the oath, that -- that they necessarily are more believable than the ordinary witness who comes in and takes that oath?
A. Well, I think for somebody my age, we were taught to respect the police and definitely believe what they said.
Q. Sure. And so do you think that because of that teaching and that belief, that it would be hard for you to judge them differently, I mean, to question whether or not what they are telling is really the truth?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. You don't. Okay. Why not? If you have been taught to believe the police; why not?
A. I just believe that they would get up there and tell the truth; if they are sworn to tell the truth, they would tell the truth.
Q. Okay. So -- So what I'm asking you then is, because of that, you don't think -- I mean it would be difficult for you to question whether they were telling the truth or not, you would just assume that they are; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Well, what if the Judge -- if the Judge instructed you, though, that you really can't do that, that police officers are just like any other witness and they are human beings and they have the same failings, the same motives or biases as anybody else and that you have to judge their credibility the same way that you would any other witness?
A. I think I would be able to do that.
Q. Okay. Why, if you believe what you said a few minutes ago, why would you be able to do that?
A. You got me there. I'm not sure how to answer that. I'm assuming somebody is on the witness stand and they are -- they were at the scene and investigating early and wouldn't they -- to my mind, they would not put anything false in their report. I would think they would be honest enough to write down exactly what they saw and found.
Q. Okay. We would hope so. But my question is, if -- if you hear evidence and questions that are put to the police officers that maybe challenges that belief and maybe makes you question, look a little deeper and think, maybe they weren't being quite as honest as you thought.
A. That is possible.
Q. And if the Judge instructed you that you -- you have to look beyond just their badge and their role and look at them as human beings who are the same as any other witness who might have reasons to lie --
A. Okay. Yeah. All right.
Q. -- do you think you could do that?
A. I think so.
Q. It seems like it would be tough?
A. I think every instance is a little different too, it depends on what they would be talking about.
Q. Sure, I understand that. And I don't mean to just put you on the spot with some hypotheticals, but do you think sometimes that police officers might get, you know, personally invested in their job or in their investigation such that they -well, let's say, perhaps, even to think that the person is guilty and they want to make sure that the person is convicted because they think he's guilty?
A. Well, I would hope not.
Q. You don't think so?
A. I would hope not.
Q. Okay. Well, we would all hope not, but if you're sitting on this jury you are going to have to make some decisions about which witnesses to believe and whether they are really telling the truth, the whole truth.
A. Yes.
Q. And some of those witnesses may very well be police officers?
A. Okay.
Q. And so, you know, as Mr. Avery's lawyer, I need to know whether you can promise the Court that you will be able to do that in this case or whether this -- this case is maybe just too hard for you to do that in, to really be able to look at the police officers the same as anybody else, use the -- follow the Court's instruction and apply that instruction to them and not just assume, because when you hope that they wouldn't be dishonest that, therefore, they couldn't be. Do you think you could do that?
A. Well, if -- How do I want to say this. If an officer was out there and he wasn't telling the truth, I'm assuming somebody was going to say something else, perhaps one of you two gentleman, that would make it look like he wasn't telling the truth. So I think you would have to be in that instance to be able to question --
Q. Sure.
A. -- was that testimony true or was it false.
Q. And if -- And if you are in that situation and if those questions were put --
A. I would think about that.
Q. You would think about that?
A. Yes.
Q. You would seriously give consideration to the possibility that, despite what you hope, maybe that officer has not been telling the truth?
A. Sure. Yes.
Q. And would that go so far as to even believe the possibility that the police were doing more than just coming in and not telling the truth, but maybe even crossing the line and changing words in reports of what witnesses said, or even planting evidence against somebody because they wanted to make sure that that person was convicted?
A. That is also possible.
Q. Okay. Well, I understand you haven't heard any evidence right now, so I'm not trying to put you on the spot that way.
A. No.
Q. All I want to know, is if you are open to consider all of the evidence, including evidence that might look that way --
A. Yes.
Q. -- despite your upbringing and your hopes and everyone's hopes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. That's fair. Thank you. Do you think
that if you did hear all the evidence in this case and you really had a reasonable doubt that the State had proven one or more of these charges, beyond a reasonable doubt, in other words, you really had reasonable doubt about whether Mr. Avery was guilty, you would be able to come back to your community and your widow's group and say, I voted not guilty?
A. Yes.
Q. And they would be okay with that?
A. Yes.
Q. You would be okay with it?
A. Yes.
Q. So there wouldn't be any -- any fear of retribution that you might get?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I didn't think --
A. I'm counting on the other people too, this isn't just my decision. It would be their decision -the other jurors' decision as well as mine.
Q. Sure. There's 12 people, right.
A. Yup.
Q. And we talked a little bit about presumption of innocence. You recognize, also, that the State is the one that has the entire burden of proof?
A. Yes. Mm-hmm.
Q. And that they have to convince you, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes. That's what the Judge told us last week, Monday .
Q. Okay. I wonder, though, in this particular case, you know, people -- might be perfectly
understandable for you to think, well, you know, if Mr. Avery is not guilty of this, if he didn't kill that poor young woman, then who did? Right? I mean --
A. Yes, I have thought of that.
Q. Okay. Would you expect -- In order to be able to come back with a verdict of not guilty in this case, would you expect that Mr . Avery would have to convince you who really did kill her?
A. From the little information that I have right now, I don't think I can answer that.
Q. Well --
A. I don't know enough about Mr. Dassey.
Q. I'm not asking you to answer who else would have?
A. Okay.
Q. I'm not asking that. Maybe that's what you think?
A. Yes.
Q. What I'm asking is, would you expect that Mr. Avery would have to convince you not only that he didn't do it but here's the name of person who did?
A. He might not know.
Q. That's right, he might not know.
A. No.
Q. And so, therefore, how could he, right?
A. Right.
Q. So it's -- If I understand you, then, if he's unable to present evidence in court that convinces you that somebody else is guilty of this crime, you are not going to say, well, therefore, he has to be guilty --
A. No.
Q. -- and it must be him? You will look at the State's case and their burden, right, because Mr. Avery doesn't have to prove himself innocent; is that right?
A. He is innocent until he is proven guilty.
Q. Okay. And if he -- if he is not -- He also isn't -- doesn't have to prove his own innocence either, right?
A. Oh, yes, he does.
Q. He does?
A. I think so.
Q. Why?
A. Well, if he knows something that he is not saying, that would make somebody else guilty, he has to share that too.
Q. Well, what if he doesn't know, how could he?
A. Well, then he couldn't.
Q. You see what I'm saying, though?
A. Yes.
Q. You know, I have to know whether or not you are going to say, look, I think it's terrible that this poor young woman was killed and somebody has to pay for that. And since I don't know who else, I'm going to have to say that Mr. Avery is the one who's guilty.
A. No.
Q. You won't do that, will you?
A. No.
Q. And along those lines, you just said something about, well, if he knows something, he should tell us. There's -- There's always the question in any criminal case, for a defense attorney, which is whether or not the defendant should testify, or not testify.
A. I understand that, yes.
Q. And would you think that in order for you to find him, Mr. Avery, not guilty, that you would want to hear from him first?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, I think if he wanted to say something, I think he would tell you.
Q. Okay. And you understand that we, Mr. Strang and I, have, you know, input here and would advise him whether or not to testify, right?
A. Right, yes.
Q. Well, the Judge will give you an instruction that a criminal defendant, it's one of the rights we have in our constitution, not only do they not have to prove their innocence, but they also do not -- they have a right not to testify, if that's what they choose to do?
A. Okay.
Q. And that you can't -- If Mr. Avery does choose not to testify, you can't consider that in any way against him?
A. No.
Q. You can't hold that against him?
A. I understand that.
Q. On the other hand, if Mr. Avery does choose to
testify, do you think you would be able to listen to his testimony just like any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. And consider it?
A. Yes.
Q. You wouldn't think just because he's a defendant, you know, I just can't believe anything he says?
A. No.
Q. You're sure of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Just one last question, do you think you want to be on this jury?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Why?
A. I think it's a good learning experience. If he would be guilty, for the family of Teresa Halbach, he should be found guilty beyond that reasonable doubt. And if he is not guilty, he won't be found guilty.
Q. And you want to be one of the 12 people who make that kind of decision?
A. That's a tough call. I realize that's a big responsibility. And I told the people out in the outer room, that when I came in here last Monday I told God, I can't do this on my own. But I
know that he will know and he will help me do this.
Q. So you -- you realize what a serious, serious responsibility --
A. Absolutely.
Q. $\quad-\quad$ this is?
A. Very much so.
Q. But you think you could be fair and undertake that responsibility?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, very much, ma'am. I appreciate it.

MRS. FLINT: You're welcome.
THE COURT: I just wanted to ask a couple follow-up questions.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. I think that it was clarified at the end, but the question of police officers as witnesses --
A. Yes.
Q. -- I think you indicated at the beginning that you would expect them to tell the truth because that's part of their duty as a police officer?
A. Right.
Q. And that is true. But as Mr. Buting indicated and there was a question on the questionnaire about this, actually. And the question read as follows: Some of the witnesses in this case will be members of law enforcement. The law requires jurors to evaluate their credibility just as that of any other witness; that is, the jurors are prohibited from giving any more or less credibility to the testimony of a law officer simply because the witness is a law officer.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you believe that you can follow such an instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. There will be another instruction at the trial given to the jurors that sets out the factors that the jurors are to consider in evaluating the credibility; that is, the believability of each witness. And they are the same whether the witness is a police officer or not.

They include whether the witness has an interest or lack of interest in the result of the trial; the conduct, appearance and demeanor of the witness on the witness stand; the clearness or lack of clearness of the witness'
recollections; the reasonableness of the witness' testimony; and bias or prejudice, if any has been shown.

Those are among the things you are to consider. And if you are a juror you will have to assess the credibility of all witnesses, including police officers, on that basis. That means you have to look at each of them and make a determination whether they are telling the truth or not. Do you think you can do that if you're a juror?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you apply the same standards to police officer witnesses as you do to other witnesses?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. The Clerk will take you and escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State. ATTORNEY BUTING: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Very well, Ms Flint will be in the jury pool.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Judge, counsel pointed out, I thought you had made a ruling on Nos. 37 and 39, that we had asked to be excused for cause; did you make that ruling or ...

THE COURT: I think I indicated earlier, actually I'm not sure those are the only two for which I have not made a ruling yet. I was going to have the Clerk inventory the jurors before we start tomorrow morning and go over all of those.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Oh. All right. Very good.

THE COURT: So we have got one juror left today and $I$ believe it is Mr. Petermann. All right. Mr. Petermann, if you will please raise your right hand, the Clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Petermann, first let me apologize. By luck of the draw, you have the honor of being the last juror we're going to be questioning today. You may recall you already filled out a written questionnaire in this case. MR. PETERMANN: Correct.

THE COURT: Today we're proceeding to the next step of the jury selection process which is
voir dire. It gives the attorneys an opportunity to ask you some follow-up questions to information that you provided on your questionnaire.

Before we begin with the attorney's questioning, $I$ wanted to notify you of a few things. First of all, the jury in this case will not be sequestered. That means that if you are selected to the juror -- jury, you will be able to -- you will be permitted to return home each day at the end of the proceedings for that day. The jurors will continue to be governed by the Court's order on not paying any attention to any news media accounts of this case whether on radio, television, the newspaper, or talking with anyone else.

These voir dire proceedings today are held in open court, but the Court does not allow cameras in the court during voir dire proceedings. And you should also know that members of the news media, while they are permitted to be here, cannot use your name in any news reports as a juror.

And in addition, if you are selected to serve on the jury, the camera will not be able to identify you in anyway during the trial itself.

In the event you remain on the jury panel after we conclude today, you will be given a telephone call in the next few days letting you know when to report back to court.

Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good evening, Mr. Petermann, my name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General for the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. I wanted to ask you a few questions about the information you provided last week and perhaps a few other odds and ends questions as well. Thank you for being so patient with us as we attempt to go through this process.

I guess I would like to begin with a little bit about your work at the Brillion Iron Works. How long have you worked for them?
A. Thirty-five years.
Q. Thirty-five years. And what type of work do you do for them?
A. General laborer, pour iron, shake out, run a machine, kind of everything really.
Q. Okay. What kind of machine is it, a press or ...
A. It's a molding machine.
Q. Molding.
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. All right. Enjoy your work?
A. It pays pretty good. Yeah, it's a good job.
Q. That raises an interesting question. If you are selected as a juror in this case, I think you have been advised that the case may go on for approximately six weeks or so and then followed by some deliberation time. We don't know how long that would be. Would the selection of yourself as a juror, would that create any economic hardship on you at all in terms of your work or anything?
A. No, I'm not really.
Q. Okay. So you will -- you would be paid or you will be --
A. Yeah, we get jury pay.
Q. Okay. Very good. I see from your questionnaire you have hunting and racing as your -- some of your hobbies or pastime activities; what kind of hunting do you like to do?
A. Mostly deer.
Q. Deer. All right. And what kind of racing?
A. Stock car.
Q. Stock car?
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, do you actually race a bit yourself or are you just a fan of the racers?
A. No, I race myself.
Q. Do you? Very good. What kind of car do you race?
A. '99 Monte Carlo.
Q. All right. Let's see. How long have you been involved in the racing business?
A. Since 1981 -- 1980, actually. I didn't race in '80, but $I$ helped another guy out.
Q. And how often do you race each year?
A. Um, this year, I think we're scheduled for 16 events.
Q. Sixteen events. Now, is this a bit of a costly expenditure for you or just a --
A. It can be.
Q. -- casual hobby?
A. It can be. You can spend whatever you want, really, but for me, $I$ don't really do that.
Q. You don't spend a great deal of money?
A. No. And nobody gives me a lot either so.
Q. All right. When does the season start up for you?
A. The second last Thursday in May, I think, is when we're starting this year.
Q. All right. So have -- are you in the preparation time frame now for racing?
A. Yeah. Oh, yeah. Yeah.
Q. Do you do all your own work on your car?
A. Most of it, yeah.
Q. All right. Any modifications or is this just your basic stock 99?
A. No, it's pretty much a race car.
Q. It is.
A. Yeah. Oh, yeah.
Q. Are you pretty much a solo operator or do you have like a team or a couple guys that help you out?
A. My sister helps me out and there's another guy helps me out. But really, the work on the car, I mostly do it myself.
Q. All right.
A. It's mostly on race days when they come help me.
Q. Okay. And what kind of work does your sister help you with?
A. Changing tires.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. So she's pretty handy?
A. Yeah, just more somebody around, you know.
Q. All right. Okay. Okay. I see you belong to a couple -- a hunting club and this Fox River Racing; is that the club that you belong to --
A. Right.
Q. -- for stock car racing?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And Holy Family Church. Do you have any -- How long have you been involved with the hunting club?
A. Oh, 25 years probably.
Q. Longer than your racing or about the same?
A. No, I think it was longer with that.
Q. Okay. And I take it you are somewhat active in your church?
A. I usher a little bit and my son helps me with that.
Q. Very good. Now, I note from your questionnaire you circled one name, a person that you might know, a William Brandes, but you had a question mark. The William Brandes that you know, what does he do for a living?
A. He works at Manitowoc here, the foundry, the Aluminum Foundry.
Q. The foundry?
A. Yeah. And he is from Brillion.
Q. He is from Brillion.
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you know if he's a volunteer firefighter?
A. Not really.
Q. Okay. It's hard to say whether -- Let me ask this, it's a better way of asking. Have you followed this particular case much in the media?
A. I usually watch the news, you know, 6:00 news or 5:00 news --
Q. All right.
A. -- in the evening, but ...
Q. All right. Have you watched the news recently?
A. No, not too much.
Q. All right. Did you get a notice from the Court about watching any news coverage of this case?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And have you been able to follow that directive?
A. It's hard but, yeah, kind of walk away on it, yeah.
Q. Okay. Where do you get most of your news from, the television or newspaper?
A. More television.
Q. All right. Would you consider yourself a regular watcher of the TV news?
A. Yeah, I would.
Q. Any particular channels you watch or you watch them all about the same?
A. When I'm out in the shop I maybe have 11 on, but in the house, $I$ probably have Channel 2 on but.
Q. All right. So you occasionally have the opportunity of seeing television at the shop?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Is that frequently that that happens or is that just on occasion?
A. That's more on occasion out there.
Q. Okay.
A. Usually if I'm working out there, I can't even pay attention to it.
Q. Sure. Are you -- You don't regularly read the newspaper I take it?
A. No, I used to get it, but I don't no more.
Q. Okay. What, if anything, do you remember hearing about this case from the television or radio broadcast?
A. The search, when they were searching.
Q. Searching when she was a missing person?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Anything else?
A. Um . . .
Q. Anything about his arrest or being charged?
A. Well, yeah. Um, yeah, it's mostly that. You know, it's general -- generally what was on TV, really.
Q. All right. Do you recall hearing about a fellow by the name of Brendan Dassey at all?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Did you watch any of the coverage regarding his arrest?
A. Just that he was arrested, you know, and that was about it, really.
Q. Do you remember any of the details that were surrounding his arrest? Did you watch any press conferences or any particular media coverage on the details of his arrest, of what he might have said or didn't say?
A. Just that there was a rape involved and stuff. They disposed of the body, I guess.
Q. All right. Was there any connection in your mind between Mr. Dassey's arrest and that of Mr. Avery?
A. I suppose it could be, yeah.
Q. Could be, but you don't have any clear connection
in your head?
A. Well, it seems that way, but it's, you know, is that the way it is, I don't know.
Q. All right. In any event, based on the information that you have, have you formed any opinions at all regarding this particular case?
A. Not really, no.
Q. All right. You answered no on the questionnaire. I wanted to make sure that that's still your view at this time. Is there any recent media coverage that may have changed your opinion, that you are aware of?
A. No.
Q. All right. As you sit here today, do you have any opinion at all as to whether Mr. Avery is guilty or not guilty of the offenses charged?
A. No.
Q. You don't have any opinion at all?
A. No.
Q. Is there any question in your mind that you could set aside this outside information that you have received from the television and radio broadcast and decide Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence which is presented during the trial, if you are selected as a juror?
A. I believe I could.
Q. All right. Are you a lifelong resident of Manitowoc County?
A. No, I lived in Calumet County for about five or six years.
Q. Calumet County for a few years.
A. Yeah.
Q. And I see you were born in Brown County?
A. Yeah, that was just the birth place.
Q. Just the birth place?
A. Yeah.
Q. Hospital?
A. Yeah.
Q. When did you live in Calumet County, sir?
A. Probably about like '87 to '92, somewhere around there.
Q. All right. And then you moved to your current address in Brillion?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And was that for work purposes or just a better house deal?
A. Well, we bought a house.
Q. Okay. Good. During your time in Calumet County, did you ever have occasion to meet with, or talk to, or interact with any Calumet County Sheriff's
officers or police officers?
A. I knew Larry Pagel.
Q. You knew Sheriff Pagel?
A. Larry Pagel, not Sheriff Pagel.
Q. Oh, Larry Pagel.
A. Yeah, he was an investigator I think.
Q. He was an investigator.
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Anyone else that you had any contact with?
A. There was Yoder, I can't remember his first name but ...
Q. All right. Okay. Were they just friends, acquaintances or ...
A. Um, I don't remember how I got to know Yoder, but Pagel, he had a cottage down by my dad's land, he rented.
Q. Oh, I see.
A. And that's kind of how I knew him. He was in sportsmen's club too.
Q. Okay. All right. Would you say you were good friends or just casual acquaintances?
A. Casual acquaintance.
Q. All right. Wouldn't see him that often?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now how about here, since you moved to Manitowoc County, have you had occasion to have any law enforcement contacts or become friendly with any of the officers or anything like that?
A. No, not really.
Q. Any of the firefighters in your area?
A. I know some of the firefighters from Brillion.
Q. Who do you know?
A. Police chief. There's Dale Gailoff (phonetic).
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. There's really a bunch of them. There's a couple Behnkes.
Q. Okay.
A. Some of -- most of them I work with, you know.
Q. Okay.
A. That I know so.
Q. Now, because you work with some of those who have connection to law enforcement, do you think if
you were selected as a juror that you could
evaluate the testimony of a law enforcement
officer the same as you would evaluate the testimony of any other witness who appeared in the case?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So just because they are a law
enforcement officer and because you happen to know a few law enforcement officers, you wouldn't weigh their testimony more credibly than you would someone else's?
A. No.
Q. All right. You feel pretty comfortable and confident that you could do that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Now, there was one last couple questions I had for you here. Apparently you had a sister who was killed in an automobile accident?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Was that a long time ago or recently?
A. No, 1967.
Q. Oh, quite a while ago.
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Was it simply just an accident or was there some, you know, perhaps some criminal malfeasance there?
A. I remember my folks going to court in with it, but $I$ don't remember what the outcome, I didn't really get nothing out of it $I$ don't think.
Q. Okay. You don't remember whether there was just a civil suit or a criminal case about how she --
A. I thought -- I think it was civil.
Q. Okay.
A. Okay.
Q. All right. Okay. Do you -- Did you have any personal experience in that in terms of --
A. No.
Q. -- being a witness or talking to any --
A. No.
Q. -- body?
A. I went to school. We never went to court proceedings, nothing.
Q. Okay. All right. So you were just a teenager at the time then?
A. 15.
Q. Right.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Anything about that experience that makes you wonder whether it would be a good idea or a bad idea for you to be a juror in this case?
A. From that, no.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Hi, Dean Strang, Jerome Buting, Steven Avery. You probably saw us last week, briefly. Where -Where did you live in Calumet County when you were there from '87 to '92.
A. Just a little bit north of Brillion.
Q. A little north of Brillion?
A. Yeah, it was on $W 580$ Harvestor Road was the actual address.
Q. And the mailing address?
A. W580 Harvestor Road.
Q. No, no. I mean -- I'm sorry, the post office, the town?
A. Oh, Brillion.
Q. Brillion?
A. Yeah.
Q. Yeah. And, actually, part of Brillion lies in Manitowoc County and part in Calumet, I think, right?
A. The city?
Q. Or is that not so?
A. Not the city.
Q. The city is all in Manitowoc?
A. No, in Calumet.
Q. Oh. Okay.
A. Okay. But if you seen, my address is Brillion
too.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. But that's in Manitowoc.
Q. You are on the Manitowoc side?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. So you really didn't move very far?
A. Mm, I think it's about 6 miles.
Q. Yeah. And you told -- One of the things you told us in the questionnaire is that you're acquainted with Teresa Halbach's brother?
A. Just slightly.
Q. Which one?
A. I'm not even sure of his first name. My mother had died like a year and a half ago.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And now my dad is transferring some land and we went to this attorney to try to take care of this.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And my dad signed the land over to me and my siblings and we put it into a LLC.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And Mr. Halbach, I think he dotted the i's and the t's for that paper.
Q. Sure.
A. And he also did a lease agreement because some of the land is rental and he drew those papers up on that.
Q. Okay.
A. But when $I$ was there, $I$ didn't -- I didn't know
it was him. I knew it like a day later, my sister told me. I think they only used like first name, but like I say, I don't remember.
Q. Sure. Tim?
A. That could be it.
Q. Tim Halbach. Okay. And about how long ago was this?
A. I think it was the 25th; it was a Thursday, in January.
Q. Oh. Like --
A. Just briefly.
Q. -- a month ago?
A. Yeah.
Q. So it -- Was it your siblings who set up this LLC?
A. Yeah, me and my siblings. Yeah.
Q. And, then, the LLC is the technical owner of the land that your dad sold?
A. Right. Right.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah, he gave it to us. He transferred it, really.
Q. Yeah. And, then, is it a -- is it a working farm or it has a business purpose, commercial purpose?
A. It's farm and it's, like, lake property rental.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. Okay. And there's cottages there that rent. And the lawyer wanted us to actually have a signed lease, because my dad never did before.
Q. Laurie wanted? Oh, the lawyer.
A. Yeah.
Q. The lawyer did. Okay. And so this is -- How many siblings do you have?
A. Besides me?
Q. Yeah.
A. Four.
Q. All five of you went in on this?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And the five of you, or the LLC, are paying the lawyer?
A. We didn't pay nobody yet. I think my dad is going to take care of it.
Q. Okay.
A. But I didn't pay nothing yet.
Q. And do you know, did the lawyer set up the LLC?
A. I don't really know.
(Changing battery in the microphone.)
Q. That's what happens when the battery dies.

THE COURT: She just shuts that off. As soon as they put a battery in, everything will be fine.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ All right. So are you and your siblings, or at least some of the five of you, officers of this LLC?
A. My sister is.
Q. And directors, that kind of thing?
A. We just, actually just set it up.
Q. Right.
A. And my sister is the head of it. My sister is the head of it. She's taking care of it.
Q. Okay. And then the LLC will rent out these cabins or cottages?
A. Right.
Q. Like a summer vacation sort of --
A. That's what it is, yeah.
Q. And do you all plan to continue running the LLC and getting some income out of it?
A. For the near future, yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. And then the lawyer, this Mr. Halbach --
A. Well, I don't know if he's a lawyer. It was Twohig somebody and Schneider.
Q. Right, Twohig, Rietbrock, da, da, da, in downtown Chilton?
A. Yeah.
Q. But you met Mr. Halbach there?
A. Yeah.
Q. Doing legal work for the LLC?
A. Right.
Q. And he's the one who set up the LLC?
A. I believe so, because he explained it to us.
Q. Right.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And then do you plan to have him involved in the tax documents and the annual report that the LLC will file?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Okay. All right. What you know is you are not doing that, I assume, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. You -- You mentioned that you had stopped getting the newspaper and I wanted to pick up on that. Why did you cancel your newspaper?
A. Um, racing takes a lot of time and $I$ just found $I$
was -- wasn't keeping up, you know, reading so it didn't feel like it paid to get it anymore.
Q. Okay. It wasn't that you got ticked off?
A. No. No.
Q. Okay. Let's go back to the, you know, the details you know about this case or at least the publicity you heard about the case and let's sort of explore that a little bit more. What did you think about, you know, when you heard that a young woman went missing and turns up dead and maybe her body has been burned? What did you think about all that?
A. Yeah, it's, you know, it's tragic. You know, you wonder who would do something like that, you know, but ...
Q. Not exactly what you want happening in your neighbor.
A. No, I have two daughters, too.
Q. Yeah. Did you -- Did you -- Did that occur to you when you first heard about this, the ages aren't all that different, actually?
A. No. Yeah, you worry about that, you know. I think any father would.
Q. So do I. And that's why I'm wondering why you don't have an opinion about it. Because I do
think any father would worry about that.
A. You mean, when I wrote the paper? When I wrote on that paper, you mean?
Q. Yeah. Yeah. Or you said -- How can you not have an opinion about this?
A. I -- Yeah, I guess you would really.
Q. I mean, I'm not trying to set you up.
A. Yeah.
Q. I'm defending Steven Avery, but I really need to know, you know, sort of what we're up against, and get at that. I mean, it's -- you are human, I want to know what the opinion is.
A. Yeah, I do, you know, worry about her. I'm worried about my kids.
Q. Yeah, and this is the guy they charged?
A. Yeah.
Q. So, is he probably guilty, probably done something really horrible?
A. The Court has to prove that, I guess.
Q. Actually, they have to prove it.
A. Well, yeah, I'm sorry. Yeah.
Q. Yeah, right. I mean, it comes out in court and that's all good, you know, that's all good American civics stuff. But $I$ want to get at, you know, where I'm starting with you.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, could counsel approach the bench?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Side bar taken.)
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Petermann, at this time I'm going to allow you to leave the courtroom with the Clerk.

MR. PETERMANN: Okay.
THE COURT: I will let you know, Mr. Petermann, because of the -- and this has nothing to do with anything wrong you did, but because of your relationship to the --

MR PETERMANN: Okay.
THE COURT: -- the legal --
MR. PETERMANN: That's fine.
THE COURT: -- the legal relationship to the brother of the victim and the fact that he is an attorney for your organization, that makes you disqualified as a juror.

MR. PETERMANN: That's fine.
THE COURT: So the Clerk will escort you from the courtroom.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, for the record, it's my understanding that both parties are jointly
requesting that this juror be excused for cause?
ATTORNEY STRANG: We certainly have no objection to it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will make the motion. I think we have to. I realize that there is a question here as to whether or not there's been developed a solid objective bias case under the standards. But even in other cases, most notably the Lindell case. There's an opportunity that -- In fact, the language is as follows: We caution and encourage a circuit court to strike prospective jurors for cause when the circuit courts reasonably suspect that juror bias exists.

They go on to say: To err on the side of striking prospective jurors who appear to be biased, even if perhaps the appellate court would not reverse their determination of an impartiality. And, again, I'm not 100 percent convinced, based on his limited involvement in the family's LLC; nonetheless, I think in fairness, there is that appearance and that he should be struck for cause.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm convinced. We will join the motion.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will
grant the parties joint motion and strike that juror for cause.

We're going to resume at 8:30 tomorrow. Couple of things before we leave. I allowed members of the news media to have laptops in these proceedings, but that was done with the understanding that they wouldn't be making noises. I believe they are equipped with features to keep them from beeping. So please address that before anyone returns tomorrow. And I believe that's all I have got today, so counsel I will see you at 8:30 tomorrow. (Proceedings concluded.)
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260/3 262/5 262/24 263/11 263/24
264/5 264/9 271/8 271/9 271/22
275/6 275/7 279/25 282/9 282/14
286/12 286/22 289/9 294/2 294/23
295/8 299/16 302/8 308/22 314/21
anything...[23] 315/18 315/21
322/13 322/18 325/21 347/1 347/2 347/3 356/3 356/10 362/11 362/12 362/19 363/25 366/8 375/7 382/1 4 $387 / 20$ 388/1 388/3 392/4 394/16 403/11
anyway [5] 78/9 81/1 86/23 339/9 380/25
anyways [1] 282/24
anywhere [2] 192/19 239/17
apart [1] 137/1
apartment [3] 187/17 187/18 187/19
Apartments [1] 187/12
apologize [4] 272/9 311/4 319/6 379/19
apparently [7] 7/10 129/10 142/13
191/12 203/22 265/4 393/10
appealing [1] 183/21
appear [10] 103/25 104/3 104/23
105/4 107/6 117/14 141/8 282/22 352/4 404/15
appearance [3] 62/24 377/23 404/21
appearances [2] 1/11 4/5
appeared [4] 1/21 49/24 177/10
392/22
appears [1] 268/25
appellate [1] 404/16
Appleton [1] 11/17
appliances [1] 306/23
applies [1] 332/11
apply[7] 49/3 88/2 136/5 262/2 341/25 368/8 378/13
appreciate [7] 161/20 167/15
210/3 265/14 335/2 342/19 376/13
approach [4] 26/15 123/19 272/8 403/2
approached [1] 336/4
approaching [1] 303/7
appropriate [1] 215/18
approximately [4] 120/15 217/15 312/2 382/9
are a [1] 120/19
area [24] 14/20 23/21 35/8 35/13
35/16 35/21 57/19 107/25 177/9
184/10 227/1 239/21 241/11
241/14 241/19 242/1 246/24 250/4
253/20 253/21 307/13 307/15
334/21 392/6
areas [2] 151/24 252/10
argument [2] 176/3 340/11
arguments [2] 28/24 38/13
arises [1] 268/9
arm [2] 308/15 351/13
armed [2] 308/1 308/19
armors [1] 308/16
Army [1] 128/23
around [20] 8/2 31/25 34/20 35/8
35/11 74/23 74/24 186/4 202/3
204/10 226/21 249/4 291/24
292/10 301/5 321/16 323/24 351/3
385/2 390/15
arrangements [1] 76/12
arrest [14] 21/16 21/19 23/4 87/14 195/9 280/17 280/21 310/16
$357 / 19388 / 3388 / 11388 / 15$
388/17 388/22
arrested [5] 192/18 294/2 335/14
357/13 388/12
arrive [1] 318/8
arrived [2] 284/15 318/9
artfully [1] 24/5
article [10] 122/13 187/1 236/5 236/14 236/19 237/5 264/23 266/5 266/6 266/8
articles [2] 235/21 236/25
articulate [1] 163/19
aside [17] 16/21 47/8 50/1 155/23
155/24 156/18 169/23 170/21
172/6 174/15 174/24 216/14
238/14 268/25 269/13 269/24
389/21
asked [31] 8/1 14/8 16/16 30/23
30/23 38/25 42/18 48/4 102/20
103/24 116/2 134/19 135/4 138/10
143/10 148/9 162/6 173/24 194/19
196/7 217/14 237/22 262/19
277/11 290/20 300/1 311/3 314/25
330/16 332/14 379/3
asking [14] $21 / 14$ 105/3 164/3
213/2 216/12 262/6 262/10 305/20
340/10 365/12 371/21 371/23
372/1 386/8
aspect [4] 120/4 147/21 204/9 335/15
assault [1] 336/8
assaults [2] 200/21 200/23
Assembly [1] 263/19
assertions [1] 66/16
assess [2] $24 / 10378 / 6$
assign [1] 230/5
assigned [4] 231/6 241/11 241/11 241/25
assignment [1] 247/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { assist [4] } & 109 / 1112 / 11 & 227 / 6\end{array}$ 278/20
assistant [16] 10/20 54/19 57/16
$57 / 1858 / 18$ 59/16 69/4 86/25
112/2 126/20 179/14 223/6 276/7
305/18 347/10 381/9
assisted [1] 406/10
assisting [1] 129/9
associate [1] 31/24
associated [3] 183/18 185/7 350/16
association [2] 21/18 113/2
assume [22] $85 / 5$ 139/11 161/5
164/19 194/14 199/21 326/4 332/6
$332 / 11$ 333/15 336/13 337/2
$338 / 17341 / 15354 / 19357 / 4$
$357 / 12358 / 4364 / 5365 / 16368 / 9$
400/20
assuming [3] 81/4 366/5 368/14
assumption [1] 311/22
assure [1] 306/1
attained [1] 308/5
attempt [1] 381/15
attempted [2] 177/8 220/25
attempting [1] 177/12
attend [2] 55/19 320/5
attended [1] 352/22
attention [8] 64/12 93/23 94/6 120/6 191/10 311/21 380/12 387/16
attorney [51] 1/16 1/18 2/4 2/5 2/7
2/10 2/11 2/13 2/15 2/18 2/19
2/22 2/23 3/2 3/3 3/8 3/9 3/1 1 $3 / 123 / 163 / 173 / 203 / 2110 / 21$ 10/2454/19 54/22 112/2 112/5
126/21 126/24 149/5 162/11
163/25 179/14 179/19 223/6 223/9
276/7 276/11 303/15 305/15
305/18 330/13 339/3 347/10

403/18
347/14 373/22 381/9 396/17
attorney's [1] 380/4
attorneys [18] 9/7 53/15 53/15
110/19 119/17 125/15 163/7 178/3
199/20 222/4 267/3 267/3 267/12
274/17 304/6 305/9 346/8 380/1
attracted [1] 290/3
attractive [1] 350/1
attributed [1] 133/17
ATV [1] 80/8
ATV-ing [1] 80/8
AUGUST [4] 3/10 77/2 303/10 406/15
aunt [5] 69/25 94/18 95/1 283/22
288/7
Austin [2] 5/14 5/18
Australia [2] 226/20 226/22
auto [11] 26/2 26/12 35/1 35/7
144/16 144/25 200/8 200/16
200/22 201/2 316/1
automatic [1] 223/20
automobile [1] 393/11
automobiles [1] 26/1
automotive [3] 112/24 113/1 113/5
auxiliary [5] 224/7 224/14 350/9
350/13 351/2
availability [1] 312/18
available [4] 6/16 16/2 134/21
323/7
average [5] 47/7 47/22 86/16 86/20 159/24
AVERY[114] 1/6 1/20 4/2 4/8
13/20 21/10 21/17 27/11 34/25 35/24 37/22 38/23 39/13 41/11 42/14 48/10 48/19 52/21 61/22 64/21 65/13 67/4 74/11 74/13 81/19 87/17 88/11 88/14 89/16 90/2 90/8 91/8 92/2 101/4 102/4 113/10 119/21 135/1 135/2 135/5 135/9 136/8 $136 / 17$ 136/24 149/6 162/20 164/4 165/5 165/11 166/7 199/1 199/21 208/2 208/24 209/8 210/5 210/11 211/2 211/10212/8
212/12 213/4 213/12 213/25
214/18 237/17 240/22 240/22
243/9 256/19 256/23 258/7 258/24
260/15 260/18 261/3 261/8 268/15
286/1 287/14 287/16 295/16
316/16 317/1 317/25 318/22
$326 / 10327 / 8328 / 13329 / 6331 / 6$
$335 / 14336 / 15336 / 15340 / 20$
$341 / 18342 / 3347 / 15357 / 4357 / 12$
360/3 370/6 371/9 371/15 372/2
$372 / 18373 / 14374 / 2374 / 19$
374/25 388/23 389/15 395/1 402/9
Avery's [16] 37/3 91/10 112/15
162/7 191/18 207/12 280/17
293/14 310/15 310/21 316/13
336/2 336/4 357/24 368/1 389/23
avid [1] 353/1
avoid [15] 151/23 151/25 171/10 177/6 177/8 177/14 218/24 220/13 220/25 221/3 264/24 265/22
265/23 268/18 328/3
avoided [1] 266/18
avoiding [3] 264/20 265/9 266/16 aware [38] 17/17 20/18 29/20
83/16 140/9 161/10 175/16 175/18
175/18 175/20 216/12 239/1
259/16 266/3 286/1 304/25 310/13
$310 / 14310 / 18310 / 19314 / 21$
$315 / 25316 / 9316 / 13316 / 20$
aware... [13] 316/21 316/23 317/6 $317 / 7317 / 10317 / 18330 / 5330 / 8$ 335/17 337/16 337/17 339/2 389/12
awareness [2] 310/5 329/6 away[14] 33/10 33/11 35/18 35/19 79/25 82/13 95/24 151/16 151/17
196/3 196/8 263/8 303/12 386/21
awhile [2] 224/12 241/12
awkward [1] 293/18
awkwardly [2] 27/9 240/19

## B

back [65] 5/19 8/5 10/13 13/7 28/9 30/12 32/24 33/2 52/23 53/24 66/8 66/10 66/11 77/11 87/13 91/5 91/8 95/12 109/25 110/2 111/20 123/4 126/13 140/13 161/4 166/3 168/17 170/9 174/22 176/25 178/11 179/6 182/5 195/23 196/6 199/8 $210 / 8210 / 9214 / 21216 / 9$ 221/12 222/25 256/24 275/25 276/12 296/16 303/19 304/12 305/7 310/7 329/4 335/22 337/15 344/10 345/5 345/14 345/23 346/14 350/2 353/25 362/9 370/7 371/14 381/4 401/5
backed [1] 238/6
background [11] 54/14 91/10
107/20 132/20 207/13 227/10
227/13 233/24 287/7 309/20 356/3
backing [1] 97/11
bad [15] 25/12 61/19 61/20 64/15 77/20 84/25 95/17 194/22 194/23 195/3 199/10 199/11 204/19 283/13 394/18
badge [2] 364/16 366/20
balance [3] 247/15 265/18 351/11
ballistics [1] 45/3
bandaids [2] 34/20 34/21
banking [1] 73/21
banks [1] 268/15
bar [22] 18/8 55/10 60/20 60/23 62/2 62/10 64/18 75/4 75/7 79/4 79/6 79/8 79/20 79/20 81/8 93/22 94/11 94/12 94/14 123/21 272/11 403/4
barely [1] 288/10
barraged [1] 106/15
barrel [4] 64/4 133/5 133/6 133/9 bartender [3] 55/11 56/17 106/17 bartending [1] 75/20
base [17] 50/12 51/5 99/15 99/20
100/13 102/15 103/2 104/10
104/18 104/19 168/2 168/13
169/21 252/20 337/7 343/9 343/15
based [45] 16/1 17/11 24/11 37/21 49/23 99/8 101/15 102/10 107/18 107/21 124/8 134/20 143/15 175/4 175/9 175/23 176/1 176/5 176/9 179/22 191/18 192/4 199/1 218/11 226/14 226/16 237/15 237/23 238/24 247/4 247/21 247/24 251/18 265/18 266/21 269/17 270/10 273/21 299/10 317/8 354/1 8 356/2 356/7 389/4 404/19
bases [1] 334/22
basic [3] 42/9 105/24 384/9
basically [17] 14/3 41/14 94/17 98/18 $119 / 5$ 122/14 152/13 186/14 189/16 196/4 203/4 207/19 237/9

249/16 278/9 288/5 353/8 basis [9] 124/14 145/13 177/16 265/25 273/24 313/1 313/3 330/25 378/7
bat [2] 229/10 229/16
bats [3] 229/11 229/12 229/14 battery [6] 114/15 114/16 248/1 399/2 399/3 399/5
Battlestar [1] 253/14
Bay [4] 55/20 141/12 141/14 279/5 Beach [5] 239/23 240/25 244/4 244/7 245/16
bearing [5] 92/14 92/17 92/20 141/9 164/8
bears [1] 259/18
became [1] 215/17
because [130] 8/4 9/14 12/14
12/15 18/15 29/5 30/10 38/1 43/1 43/2 44/7 63/5 64/9 65/8 66/3
$76 / 1176 / 1476 / 2477 / 1378 / 13$ 78/19 84/25 90/16 90/16 92/2 92/20 93/15 96/7 96/25 97/8 99/10 103/3 113/3 121/14 124/5 125/22 135/19 138/12 138/22 138/24 138/25 153/23 154/25
155/8 158/3 162/11 162/24 164/13 165/3 165/19 176/14 177/4 192/23 193/2 206/23 207/20 208/20
209/19 211/4 211/24 215/9 216/6 217/14 219/7 219/17 221/1 221/3 224/13 228/10 236/1 236/16 242/11 244/1 244/3 244/21 247/7 248/2 248/3 250/7 254/18 255/7 257/7 260/5 260/9 262/10 266/7 267/10 273/7 280/2 286/19 287/6 291/4 291/7 293/9 294/10 295/14 295/17 299/23 315/12 327/19 $332 / 5$ 332/19 333/24 339/7 339/10 340/23 341/10 342/23 349/4 355/1 357/9 364/15 365/1 365/13 367/12 368/9 369/10 372/17 375/6 376/23 377/10 392/17 392/25 393/1 397/1 398/9 400/12 401/25 403/10 403/12
because of [1] 403/10
become [1] 392/3
becomes [1] 17/4
bedroom [3] 192/22 193/1 193/16 beeping [1] 405/9
before [60] 1/9 4/12 5/2 5/7 5/15 6/1 6/8 8/6 8/14 23/25 28/5 40/11 49/12 51/7 57/15 88/1 99/2 101/6 102/9 108/4 108/7 108/22 108/25 109/17 123/3 135/12 142/19 150/17 176/4 177/1 178/6 186/2 203/9 210/15 222/8 246/22 247/11 258/17 266/19 269/7 274/21 $281 / 14$ 282/1 8 283/7 304/9 309/1 311/9 324/16 329/5 338/19 338/20 $345 / 4346 / 11355 / 19362 / 8$ 379/8 380/4 398/9 405/4 405/10
begin [23] 4/12 7/25 8/6 8/14 10/15 54/15 $111 / 22$ 126/14 127/7 178/6 179/8 $179 / 24$ 222/8 223/1 223/13 231/14 274/21 276/1 347/6 347/24 380/4 381/5 381/17
beginning[6] 77/18 108/4 203/18 287/18 289/4 376/22
behalf [6] 1/13 1/15 1/17 1/19 43/6 88/15
behind [5] 97/11 175/5 175/24
176/7 227/16
Behnkes [1] 392/12
being [48] 24/24 29/17 31/1 31/24 33/3 39/10 49/2 55/5 63/24 70/6 73/9 77/11 79/20 83/5 83/7 92/12 132/15 148/9 149/18 157/8 170/19 174/15 177/5 179/20 220/25 227/14 228/16 236/5 256/11 258/8 264/1 8 299/25 300/6 308/20 310/10 310/16 310/19 314/11 $333 / 21334 / 21339 / 22340 / 3340 / 3$ 366/16 379/20 381/14 388/3 394/6 beings [4] 301/17 333/6 365/21 366/21
belief [4] 47/1 281/19 365/2 366/15
beliefs [5] 23/20 23/21 24/8 356/5 356/11
believability [3] 168/11 198/16 377/18
believable [3] 138/17 205/23 364/20
believably [1] 340/3
believe [65] 6/18 8/1 5 37/24 37/25 58/14 59/14 63/10 66/4 83/21 83/2 1 87/20 89/19 99/8 102/20 103/1 103/7 109/4 124/13 124/15 133/23 135/16 141/9 145/2 154/15 158/16 162/18 168/23 175/15
175/22 176/1 177/18 210/10
216/17 225/9 246/18 250/21
256/23 257/14 273/25 284/2 296/7 299/9 308/8 316/10 317/15 317/25 324/25 334/4 339/9 339/1 1 340/23 358/25 364/24 365/8 365/9 366/2 $367 / 20369 / 5375 / 7377 / 12379 / 13$ 390/1 400/12 405/8 405/11
believed [1] 358/19
believer [1] 317/13
believes [4] 107/10 107/11 176/6
269/13
bell [2] 81/22 293/22
Belling [2] 232/13 254/2
Belling's [1] 254/8
bells [1] 128/24
belong [2] 385/3 385/5
below [1] 139/16
bench [2] 124/5 403/2
benches [1] 229/9
benefit [2] 88/23 341/19
bereavement [1] 363/10
Berlin [1] 308/18
besides [2] $211 / 25$ 398/14
best [20] 11/3 17/12 17/19 18/17 21/8 88/6 139/15 139/21 168/16 168/21 172/13 172/14 172/23
174/6 174/16 198/21 220/13 323/6 351/12 406/13
bet [1] 349/7
better [8] 6/18 95/11 146/4 176/15 187/19 264/4 386/8 390/21
between [11] 101/14119/17 185/10 188/22 225/3 225/7 249/20 249/23 250/4 269/19 388/22
beyond [39] 42/8 49/19 65/22
66/20 66/23 67/15 67/17 87/21 88/4 90/9 100/24 101/5 101/7 101/10 101/17 101/18 104/9 106/10 $115 / 22$ 135/25 136/21 137/2 137/18 166/6 167/8 210/13 214/14 $215 / 4$ 259/19 260/18 286/7 286/19 294/10 332/7 343/8 366/20 370/4 371/2 375/17
bias [8] 7/3 7/7 106/19 106/20
297/20 378/2 404/7 404/13
biased [2] 175/3 404/16
biases [3] 298/11 315/3 365/23 big [19] 17/24 24/3 26/19 51/25 78/19 86/11 86/14 86/14 92/5 146/12 147/11 147/12 193/17 236/3 255/25 256/13 263/16 328/5 375/22
biggest [1] 172/3
Bill [1] 323/17
bills [7] 12/20 13/3 73/21 144/13
292/20 292/21 293/15
biology [4] 290/1 290/2 290/3 301/24
birth [2] 390/9 390/10
birthday [2] 94/22 300/9
bit [78] 13/17 13/21 15/16 17/21
17/22 21/24 22/18 22/19 23/6
28/15 30/2 46/15 57/1 57/6 58/12
60/7 60/8 67/13 71/6 80/5 94/7
116/15 118/3 118/12 120/4 120/8
127/7 129/7 131/21 149/13 153/6
153/21 169/1 179/25 180/12
182/25 192/3 192/20 195/15
195/24 201/16 $215 / 8215 / 24$
215/25 219/21 223/14 223/16
226/15 227/23 231/12 232/12
237/20 249/8 249/11 253/2 258/8
267/13 277/13 280/11 282/13
284/14 285/25 287/6 287/8 289/1
296/21 298/8 325/13 352/3 355/23
$357 / 10370 / 23381 / 18383 / 3$
383/16 385/17 395/5 401/8
bits [2] 121/10 122/3
bitty [1] 287/25
black [1] 135/10
blame [1] 93/10
blank [1] 212/25
bleach [1] 192/25
blessed [2] 65/18 255/1
blinker [2] 195/22 195/23
blocks [1] 159/7
blog [4] 252/11 253/9 253/12 253/21
blogs [6] 233/18 252/6 252/8
252/9 252/15 253/11
blood [21] 22/20 41/3 93/20 93/25
94/1 94/3 152/4 152/9 152/9
188/4 189/15 $218 / 19219 / 18$ 220/8
220/14 220/20 220/22 262/24
328/19 328/22 362/12
bloody [1] 200/19
Blow [1] 96/3
blowing [1] 321/19
blue [3] 26/24 55/20 196/13
bluffing [1] 183/17
blurb [1] 235/7
board [7] 251/7 251/17 263/24
264/1 319/15 319/17319/20
boardgames [1] 72/17
boat [8] 127/10 127/16 127/18
129/20 129/21 146/1 147/3 147/6
boats [1] 147/5
Bobby[6] 113/12 114/2 115/11 118/16118/21 120/3
body [5] 83/7 336/10 388/20 394/8 401/11
bone [3] 82/16 85/23 86/4
boned [1] 71/21
Bones [2] 68/15 192/2
books [7] 72/16 240/11 349/16 349/2 1 349/22 350/1 350/4
boring [2] 45/9 323/24
born [4] 239/22 287/19 288/12 390/8
both [34] 7/22 38/6 41/15 41/16 41/18 44/22 46/3 63/8 63/9 63/10 71/9 71/14 72/25 94/15 105/13
105/17 106/12 107/3 107/12
107/21 $118 / 11$ 124/8 134/23 151/8 163/10 163/11 168/5 $215 / 15$
262/16 267/5 268/25 327/3 339/17
403/25
bother [6] 24/4 $215 / 23215 / 24$
215/25 281/24 281/25
bothers [1] 299/17
Bottle [1] 350/3
bottom [1] 269/22
bought [2] 14/11 390/22
box [2] 188/4 189/14
boy [1] 293/15
boyfriend [3] 73/5 77/17 94/25
boyfriend's [2] 69/25 94/18
boys [1] 321/25
brain [1] 95/6
BRANCH [2] $1 / 1406 / 5$
Brandes [2] 385/21 385/22
break [9] 5/23 109/25 110/4 138/6
176/13 267/1 344/15 345/6 351/13
Brendan [34] 36/12 37/13 37/14
$37 / 22$ 38/8 63/7 83/16 84/2 84/8
87/14 89/24 91/8 $119 / 6119 / 22$
153/6 156/1 157/2 256/21 257/2
257/21 258/8 280/20 293/22
$335 / 15$ 335/25 336/1 336/18
$337 / 14338 / 4338 / 11357 / 22$
359/14 360/22 388/8
Brian [1] 354/12
bridge [1] 183/9
brief [3] 52/25 170/11 174/10
briefly [7] 130/6 149/7 240/18
269/7 272/9 395/2 397/16
Brillion [11] 381/18 386/2 386/3
390/1 8 392/7 395/5 395/6 395/13
395/14 395/16 395/25
bring [15] 5/6 6/1 8/20 53/5 88/23 91/18 91/22 110/7 177/1 177/17
221/12 245/24 246/4 270/8 296/16
bringing [2] 107/23 265/5
brings [2] 162/10 350/2
broadcast [8] 122/7 123/13 150/24
187/3 324/3 324/4 387/22 389/22
broadcaster [1] 232/8
broader [1] 265/11
Brokaw [1] 354/11
brother [4] 119/5 353/13 396/10 403/17
Brotherhood [1] 244/12
brothers [3] 192/19 192/22 193/11
brought [11] 57/22 66/21 80/10
80/17 108/2 137/15 169/10 178/11 304/12 335/20 351/7
brown [8] 141/14 141/15 141/17
196/14 196/15 196/16 203/24
390/8
bruises [2] 193/5 194/10
build [1] 185/10
building [2] 28/15 320/21
bump [1] 261/3
bumps [1] 65/20
bunch [3] 109/16 184/19 392/11
Burbach [1] 307/7
burden [20] 66/23 67/3 100/21
101/4 101/7 106/8 107/22 136/19 164/14 164/20 213/15 214/1 214/5

259/18 259/23 261/6 294/25 343/7
370/25 372/17
Burger [7] 127/9 127/16 127/18 129/20 129/21 145/25 147/3
burn [1] 64/3
burned [3] 64/3 83/7 401/11
burning [4] 106/12 $133 / 5$ 133/6 133/9
bus [5] 134/9 140/18 190/18 190/20 191/1
business [26] 14/13 14/20 57/13 60/8 73/25 104/16 112/22 113/4 115/23 143/25 291/20 306/11 $307 / 1307 / 3307 / 6307 / 14311 / 11$
$311 / 15311 / 16312 / 5313 / 17314 / 4$ 314/10 330/23 383/10 398/4
busy [3] 78/5 321/5 321/6
BUTING[33] $1 / 182 / 192 / 233 / 12$ 3/17 4/9 4/14 4/22 5/1 27/11 $43 / 15$ 46/7 52/21 74/10 74/12 127/5 149/1 149/5 199/15 199/19 240/21 261/2 287/14 287/16 $318 / 16318 / 21340 / 9340 / 17343 / 1$ 356/19 357/2 377/1 395/1
Buting's [1] 221/5
buy [2] 26/2 144/10
C
cabin [1] 336/4
cabins [1] 399/17
cable [1] 323/10
cadaver [1] 86/3
California [4] 225/11 239/16 239/18 239/22
called [28] 8/3 24/14 26/24 66/15 82/7 97/17 97/18 97/19112/18 124/5 128/18 144/16 148/21 192/8 227/16 252/21 254/8 307/19 308/23 309/21 314/23 316/4 $319 / 18324 / 8324 / 21336 / 18354 / 2$ 355/21
calling [1] 104/13
calls [3] 4/1 159/6 254/6
Calumet [18] 10/24 54/23 112/6 126/24 179/19 223/9 257/14 276/10 305/15 347/13 390/4 390/6 390/14 390/23 390/25 395/3 395/17 395/23
camaraderie [1] 351/8
camera [4] 111/16 133/9 275/18 380/24
cameras [18] 9/25 10/6 54/3 54/6 111/10 126/2 126/7 178/20 179/1 222/15 222/19 271/12 275/13 304/22 305/2 346/17 346/22 380/18
cancel [1] 400/24
candid [1] 39/11
candle [1] 106/12
candy [1] 18/8
cannot [3] 343/4 343/14 380/21
capable [1] 155/11
capacity [1] 145/14
capsulizes [1] 172/17
car [26] 13/22 19/16 20/23 26/2
26/3 26/8 31/4 31/10 32/19
181/15 181/15 195/17 195/21
195/25 196/5 197/16 232/11
232/22 253/22 382/25 383/1 383/6
384/6 384/10 384/17 385/7
carburetor [1] 34/8
card [7] 182/13 182/15 182/18
185/4 186/13 245/19 245/20
cards [11] 72/17 72/18 182/13
183/14 183/15 185/2 185/3 185/4 185/9 186/13 202/6
care [13] 7/8 7/10 73/2 77/8 78/1
231/22 237/7 237/8 249/25 277/20
396/17 398/22 399/15
career [1] 311/12
careful [1] 354/3
carefully [4] 155/15 155/16 318/7 406/8
caring [1] 250/5
Carla [2] 113/19 113/20
Carlo [1] 383/8
carpenter [9] 127/12 127/15 145/9
145/14 146/18 146/18 146/19
146/21 147/3
carpentry [3] 146/20 146/22 146/25
carried [1] 307/4
carrier [2] 247/23 248/21
carries [1] 140/1
carry [1] 260/4
cars [3] 33/5 35/3 35/5
CarSoup [2] 26/3 26/6
carsoup.com [1] 26/6
case [289] $1 / 5$ 4/2 6/15 7/18 9/4
9/11 9/17 9/22 10/22 11/23 12/6
12/8 15/10 15/15 16/5 16/22
17/11 20/4 20/11 21/4 21/7 21/9
23/23 37/3 39/21 39/24 42/12
42/16 44/21 46/17 49/4 49/9
49/23 50/7 53/12 53/21 54/21
60/9 61/9 61/15 63/20 70/11 72/4 81/19 83/24 83/25 84/6 84/8 88/12 88/13 88/24 90/18 91/20 92/15 93/12 100/24 102/13 103/8 104/4 104/6 106/9 109/5 110/16 110/24 111/4 111/7 111/15 112/4 114/22 116/5 117/15 118/23
119/21 120/4 121/9 122/2 122/7
122/22 123/2 123/2 124/10 124/13
125/12 125/19 125/24 126/7
126/23 126/25 128/21 129/7
131/10 131/16 131/21 133/1 134/4 136/4 136/9 137/1 137/4 137/5 137/12 138/7 139/21 141/8 142/13 142/18 143/22 150/14 156/16 156/19 162/8 162/19 162/25 164/18 165/2 165/17 166/17 166/20 167/4 171/1 171/6 171/7 171/22 173/11 175/14 176/11 177/25 178/8 178/16 178/25
179/16 $179 / 18$ 186/23 187/3 188/1 190/5 192/19 194/14 198/24 202/23 203/1 204/14 205/2 206/8 207/7 208/13 209/4 213/3 213/12 214/1 214/5 214/8 219/17 222/2 222/10 223/8 223/10 231/14 231/15 234/1 235/9 235/14 235/21 236/19 237/1 237/2 238/15 238/23 240/4 242/24 259/17 264/25 266/5 266/9 268/24 269/1 269/22 270/23 271/4 271/8 271/25 274/14 274/23 275/6 275/9 276/9 277/12 279/20 279/23 281/21 281/23 282/9
284/16 293/7 293/25 294/23 295/1 296/11 297/7 297/9 297/10 299/14 299/17 299/24 304/1 304/10 304/16 304/18 304/19 305/16
305/19 305/21 309/1 309/2 309/20 $310 / 4310 / 20310 / 23310 / 25314 / 3$
$314 / 6314 / 24315 / 19315 / 23$
$317 / 22318 / 12320 / 10322 / 15$
324/25 325/6 325/14 327/1 327/24 $328 / 6334 / 13335 / 1335 / 4335 / 16$ $339 / 1$ 340/22 340/24 341/10 343/2 $346 / 5346 / 12346 / 22347 / 1347 / 3$ 347/12 347/15 347/23 352/5
$352 / 10352 / 23$ 354/16 354/20
354/24 355/8 358/4 359/16 362/8
$363 / 3$ 363/16 364/3 368/3 368/4
370/2 371/6 371/15 372/17 373/22
377/4 379/22 380/6 380/13 381/11
382/7 382/8 386/9 386/17 387/21
389/6 392/23 393/24 394/18 401/6
401/7 404/7 404/9
cases [7] 109/12 265/1 317/17
323/2 326/6 339/24 404/8
casual [6] 61/9 61/11 330/25
383/19 391/22 391/23
casually [3] $314 / 7$ 325/19 330/21
catch [6] 66/6 85/8 162/12 235/6
254/1 291/17
catch-22 [1] 162/12
caught [1] 182/24
cause [28] 6/5 6/11 6/12 7/14 7/23 105/14 105/15 106/6 106/23
107/17 107/21 170/13 172/9
176/12 216/4 265/10 266/24 269/4
274/2 277/14 344/22 344/25 345/6
379/3 404/1 404/12 404/22 405/2
causes [2] 17/18 299/17
caution [1] 404/10
CD [1] 232/24
certain [9] 44/22 44/25 45/21
45/21 159/4 165/20 173/1 302/15 361/24
certainly [11] 13/14 17/6 309/24
310/3 310/5 315/16 332/1 333/21 336/16 338/13 404/2
certainty [1] 173/13
certified [4] 57/15 57/17 69/4 86/24
certify [1] 406/6
CF [2] 1/5 4/2
chain [1] 246/3
chair [2] 38/24 294/6
challenge [3] 34/12 34/15 214/11
challenged [1] 66/16
challenges [3] 334/12 334/12 366/14
challenging [2] 182/21 182/23
Chamber [3] 319/15 319/16 319/25 Chambers [1] 319/9
chance [15] 13/11 60/13 60/18
101/16 108/3 110/20 121/11
125/15 143/19 145/7 149/8 183/2
183/4 222/5 346/8
change [3] 81/2 216/4 291/24
changed [2] 4/20 389/11
changing [4] 361/3 369/8 384/23 399/2
channel [12] 81/4 81/8 81/14
121/7 121/8 121/9 132/19 132/19
279/5 323/13 328/12 387/7
channels [2] $121 / 5$ 387/4
characteristics [1] 179/3
charge [4] 101/5 185/14 203/12 308/15
charged [19] 41/20 88/20 202/11
203/15 208/20 208/22 208/24
237/18 256/17 256/18 256/20
256/21 257/2 258/7 286/8 341/10
388/3 389/16 402/15
charges[8] 29/7 208/9 234/14
236/5 256/5 257/17 335/20 370/4
charging [1] 280/17
charity [1] 350/12
chase [1] $85 / 3$
chat [2] $80 / 5347 / 18$
check [4] 46/7 239/20 253/16 311/9
checkbook [1] 313/8
checked [4] 14/24 120/25 185/20
253/9
checking [3] 76/1 77/4 229/11
chemical [1] 152/8
chemicals [1] 194/6
chemistry [4] 227/1 227/4 227/10 227/12
Chicago [6] 184/10 184/11 283/24 284/1 288/9 288/12
chief [2] 107/6 392/9
child [6] 7/8 203/14 203/15 313/20
313/24 351/23
children [6] 202/13 254/24 313/15
321/20 321/21 359/21
Children's [1] 350/15
chilling [1] 82/16
Chilton [1] 400/5
choice [1] 41/12
choir [1] 289/18
choose [10] 41/21 177/15 211/1
$211 / 2$ 212/2 214/12 343/3 374/17
374/19 374/25
chooses [1] 261/14
chores [3] 132/12 132/14 132/15
chorus [1] 289/18
chose [2] 136/24 348/9
chosen [1] 318/6
Christian [1] 232/1
chronological [1] 328/6
church [6] 242/12 263/23 264/5
350/9 385/9 385/16
circle [2] 71/19 215/10
circled [1] 385/20
circuit [5] 1/1 1/10 404/11 404/12 406/5
circumstances [10] 23/4 56/5
66/17 203/19 204/4 280/17 281/9
283/9 298/15 298/23
citizen [4] 140/16 205/15 205/24 332/24
citizens [2] 108/15 300/2
city [7] 184/12 229/6 288/3 307/16
395/19 395/21 395/22
civic [3] 167/15 172/21 $216 / 23$
civics [1] 402/24
civil [5] $251 / 3$ 309/2 330/5 393/24 393/25
clarified [1] 376/19
clarify [1] 221/13
class [9] 32/9 76/23 76/25 77/3
77/5 288/23 288/23 291/10 363/10
classes [2] 76/21 307/18
classification [1] 306/21
classified [1] 187/10
classifieds [2] 187/8 187/14
classroom [2] 227/21 228/12
clean [1] 193/21
cleaners [1] 181/20
cleaning [1] 193/14
clear [8] 68/3 108/13 171/20
174/20 219/17 293/19 342/22
388/25
clearly [2] 7/17 106/13
clearness [2] 377/24 377/25
cleft [1] 250/3
clerk[31] 4/25 8/22 51/13 53/7
103/13 105/8 110/9 123/25 125/6
170/1 177/20 217/3 217/22 221/21
264/13 270/18 273/17 274/9 303/1
303/21 324/18 343/23 344/11
345/4 346/1 362/17 378/16 379/8
379/15 403/7 403/21
Clerk's [1] 329/1
clerks [1] 241/13
client [2] 162/13 360/2
Clive [1] 350/4
clock [1] 323/14
close [11] 20/15 75/9 79/5 94/25
142/3 189/10 232/9 238/2 239/5
246/12 322/11
closed [3] 225/9 245/10 307/8
closely [2] 31/3 241/19
closest [1] 142/22
closing [1] 340/10
closure [1] 169/12
club [7] 182/16 320/2 320/3 385/4
385/5 385/11 391/20
CNN [1] 323/10
cnn.com [1] 233/17
co [8] 142/13 143/20 150/12
151/14 171/12 188/16 207/24
208/7
co-worker [2] 142/13 143/20
co-workers [6] 150/12 151/14
171/12 188/16 207/24 208/7
coast [1] 77/23
coerced [2] 338/1 361/17
cold [1] 138/5
colleagues [3] 130/4 131/2 131/10
collection [1] 44/24
collector's [1] 202/7
college [4] 227/24 307/10 307/16 313/16
collided [1] 71/11
column [2] 253/7 253/8
columns [1] 233/18
combination [1] 309/9
comes [21] 29/12 51/2 69/3 77/1
100/5 156/20 156/21 168/12
168/14 175/8 176/8 205/15 212/23
263/14 264/22 325/19 328/13
341/14 353/4 364/21 402/22
comfortable [6] 44/11 73/9 107/2
107/13 355/15 393/6
coming [15] 10/25 32/4 32/9 32/22
112/7 120/5 127/1 167/16 234/23
257/8 257/8 276/12 292/7 309/25
369/7
command [2] 108/16 246/3
commendable [1] 314/20
comment [4] 8/14 218/8 220/20
255/21
comments [5] 7/19 8/19 68/14
218/12 221/5
Commerce [4] 319/10 319/15
319/16 319/25
commercial [1] 398/4
commission [4] 228/21 228/24
258/3 319/24
commit [4] 166/9 170/14 300/15 301/1
commitment [3] 173/17 174/20 176/2
committed [8] 92/4 170/20 175/23 213/5 260/5 316/25 329/13 329/17
committee [1] 145/25
committing [1] 172/5
communicate [1] 275/8
community [11] 25/11 128/20
310/1 314/12 317/24 319/5 319/8
320/1 324/12 329/23 370/7
company [12] 11/9 11/19 127/10
129/20 145/10 146/1 180/1 244/21
245/9 245/10 291/18 363/12
compare [1] 145/5
comparing [1] 47/21
compensation [1] 13/5
complete [4] 8/14 45/19 83/24 323/4
completed [10] 9/4 53/12 76/19
110/16 125/12 177/25 222/1
270/23 274/14 304/1
completely [6] 72/6 92/16 157/15
177/6 212/1 221/3
complicated [1] 210/17
comply [1] 177/12
comprehensive [1] 265/22
computer [19] 20/19 73/14 74/1
144/2 144/7 180/14 180/15 180/19
180/22 199/24 251/9 251/18
278/13 278/19 312/16 312/18
312/19 313/12 406/10
computer-assisted [1] 406/10
computerized [1] 406/9
computers [2] 277/24 277/25
Con [1] 225/8
conceive [1] 163/18
concern [21] 12/16 17/23 28/14
29/12 106/11 106/25 118/2 141/6
169/7 $216 / 2$ 245/23 265/15 269/2
269/7 269/11 273/4 273/25 273/25
339/7 339/15 341/16
concerned [10] 56/20 107/4 163/21
165/3 165/5 170/13 204/2 $215 / 6$
268/15 323/22
concerning [4] 124/11 125/24
178/15 222/6
concerns [7] 73/2 107/19 116/18
246/4 307/5 339/19 340/5
concerts [2] 290/15 290/16
conclude [1] 381/2
concluded [1] 405/13
conclusion [1] 311/12
conclusions [2] 103/9 337/8
concretely [1] 257/24
conditions [1] 245/22
condolences [1] 348/17
conduct [1] 377/23
conducting [1] 14/13
confer [1] 170/7
conference [7] 83/18 99/8 257/9
257/11 258/6 358/13 360/15
conferences [11] 21/16 81/24
87/13 99/11 105/22 257/1 280/16
335/20 357/15 358/2 388/16
conferred [1] 13/4
confess [9] 38/14 157/7 157/10 158/6 158/13 301/18 337/19 337/19 361/9
confessed [3] 157/4 157/13 361/12
confessing [3] 293/25 300/14
300/22
confession [5] 134/24 168/6
170/16 337/15 360/23
confident [3] 136/16 355/16 393/7
confirm [1] 273/9
conflicting [1] 105/24
confused [1] 163/20
confusing [1] 105/23
confusion [4] 67/11 108/9 108/19 108/19
connection [9] 37/4 86/4 113/5
242/24 268/13 316/13 388/21
388/25 392/18
conscience [7] 17/16 17/21 $18 / 13$
23/20 281/18 356/4 356/12
conscious [1] 175/16
consequences [1] 269/3
conservative [1] 244/18
consider [47] 26/18 29/23 38/16
42/6 42/14 44/15 47/24 57/25
84/16 89/19 90/6 90/7 92/20
92/22 146/11 $156 / 21$ 164/2 164/21
180/21 207/8 211/15 211/16
226/24 227/2 296/6 298/13 299/8
301/20 315/6 315/10 332/9 332/16
$334 / 15$ 335/7 336/14 338/7 338/10
$338 / 14342 / 10361 / 19362 / 5$
$369 / 19374 / 20375 / 4377 / 17378 / 5$
387/1
consideration [9] 13/15 29/19 31/7
195/6 203/19 221/6 341/20 341/21
369/1
considerations [3] 145/12 262/1
343/19
considered [6] 46/22 85/16 261/17
261/25 296/15 338/17
considering [5] 29/8 186/21
297/21 299/5 338/3
consistency [1] 341/22
constantly [1] 151/19
constitution [1] 374/14
constitutional [4] 164/12 210/21
296/10 338/25
contact [8] 57/23 60/5 87/7 141/23
248/14 268/18 364/6 391/9
contacts [3] 59/20 124/11 392/3
contained [1] 17/11
contamination [2] 225/20 225/21
context [4] 190/1 254/21 268/16
320/9
continually [1] 271/6
continue [13] 9/22 75/1 76/8 95/13
111/3 164/7 178/13 189/4 275/3
304/17 347/1 380/11 399/21
continued [1] 126/10
contradict [1] 341/15
contrary [2] 219/5 334/24
contribute [1] 28/14
contributed [1] 23/9
control [12] 159/17 223/18 223/21
224/5 224/9 224/23 224/23 225/14
226/7 227/7 230/15 230/20
controlled [1] 147/7
conversation [9] 80/1 80/7 119/25
188/19 189/2 189/5 189/13 190/6 190/6
conversations [4] 20/3 61/12 115/21 130/21
convicted [16] 43/24 91/17 92/12
140/25 142/14 160/20 207/21
208/3 208/25 316/8 317/1 329/7
329/19 329/24 367/12 369/12
conviction [5] 93/4 316/20 328/25
330/10 331/7
convictions [1] 91/19
convince [9] 48/18 49/17 160/16
160/19 212/12 214/14 371/2
371/16 372/2
convinced [10] 101/9 101/10 166/6
215/4 215/17 220/10 326/19 327/1
convinced... [2] 404/19 404/23 convinces [1] 372/12 convincing [3] 174/14 213/15 339/25
cop [2] 47/8 364/9
cops [3] 132/22 132/23 296/24
copy [3] 5/1 8/9 8/16
cork [1] 229/14
corner [1] 238/6
correct [115] 11/10 16/7 18/22
18/23 24/19 29/1 33/1 49/13
55/25 57/24 58/15 60/2 67/21
69/21 71/16 75/24 79/9 83/6
87/11 100/9 100/22 112/16 112/17 112/20 115/11 117/13 120/16 124/16 124/18 124/20 127/11 127/13 128/3 128/8 129/12 129/20 130/20 132/10 135/22 136/2 138/3 140/7 140/8 148/6 148/7 149/10 150/13 153/4 154/19 154/24
158/20 165/7 166/25 168/4 169/5 169/16 169/1 8 209/2 209/3 224/25 226/13 228/3 234/19 236/24 238/17 239/10 239/19 242/2 245/14 246/14 246/25 247/3 247/16 254/23 258/25 259/25 272/23 273/13 276/21 277/1 277/4 281/11 284/25 296/23 306/11 306/12 307/1 $1307 / 12$ 307/24 308/3 308/7 309/13 311/24 312/11 $313 / 19316 / 15317 / 4318 / 24320 / 4$ $321 / 22$ 322/14 325/3 326/8 327/21 329/1 $1330 / 19332 / 13$ 337/16 339/13 341/17 348/11 379/23 390/19 393/12 406/12
corrective [3] 241/12 241/14 241/21
correctly [10] 54/25 57/9 57/9 102/22 219/24 219/25 223/24
311/19313/15 313/18
correspondence [1] 4/15
corroborate [4] 153/17 154/9
154/13 338/16
corroborated [1] 338/8
costly [1] 383/16
costs [1] 185/9
cottage [1] 391/16
cottages [2] 398/7 399/17
couch [1] 121/24
couches [1] 187/15
could [143] 14/22 16/21 16/22
16/24 16/25 17/19 18/14 18/14 24/9 24/12 29/16 29/22 30/5 38/17 39/16 39/22 41/21 42/17 42/24 44/12 44/16 47/20 50/2 50/16 56/5 56/25 63/17 64/23 66/1 66/8 66/12 66/20 67/8 67/11 67/12 72/3 89/6 89/6 97/22 101/13 116/5 117/24 118/12 131/15 142/22 149/17 149/22 150/4 154/5 156/5 157/19 158/1 158/5 161/3 161/15 161/16 161/17 163/2 163/16 167/9 167/11 170/6 172/14 173/5 173/25 174/14 175/12 176/6 176/10 176/18 198/20 198/25 199/8 206/2 207/3 209/20 210/1 $210 / 1212 / 1212 / 3$ 212/5 214/10 216/6 216/8 216/17 217/14 238/22 240/3 249/10 261/4 269/13 271/25 272/8 273/11 281/20 284/24 287/8 288/20

292/17 292/18 295/5 297/24
298/22 300/20 301/5 306/16 318/7 $319 / 13327 / 18328 / 11332 / 1332 / 3$ $334 / 4337 / 11337 / 12337 / 25338 / 6$ 339/20 341/5 342/1 342/2 342/15 $343 / 10343 / 11343 / 22352 / 11$ $356 / 5360 / 12361 / 19361 / 21$ $366 / 25368 / 11372 / 8373 / 6376 / 8$ 388/24 388/25 389/20 390/1 392/19 393/7 397/10 403/1 couldn't [17] 25/20 36/8 83/11 113/18 134/14 189/19 200/14 205/17 211/21 212/3 221/12 257/16 263/4 263/25 268/21 368/10 373/7
councils [1] 319/9
counsel [26] 4/10 6/2 8/8 51/17 52/12 105/11 108/3 109/1 112/10 123/19 124/5 124/17 170/3 176/13 177/1 179/21 217/5 267/17 270/3 273/20 344/2 378/20 379/1 403/1 403/24 405/1 1
counsel's [2] 219/15 269/6
counted [1] 254/24
counterbalanced [1] 265/19
counting [4] 97/19 230/16 239/25 370/18
countries [2] 249/20 249/23
country [7] 5/19 31/22 42/1
250/21 296/12 320/22 321/14
county [41] 1/1 10/24 25/7 25/10 54/23 54/24 69/20 80/22 81/17 92/23 92/25 112/6 120/22 120/23 126/24 141/14 141/15 141/17 158/23 179/19 203/23 203/24 223/9 228/20 257/14 276/11 305/15 319/20 321/10 347/13 $390 / 3$ 390/4 390/6 390/8 390/14 390/23 390/25 392/2 395/3 395/17 406/2
couple [35] 4/20 $11 / 7$ 13/11 13/21 40/13 63/11 103/16 112/8 114/20 118/17 149/12 170/6 173/23 184/17 192/16 202/23 204/6 228/6 231/7 232/21 233/22 234/9 239/2 253/10 257/6 272/12 291/20 306/5 342/22 376/15 384/14 385/4 392/11 393/9 405/4
course [18] 9/16 10/6 43/22 50/5 68/10 76/17 85/11 89/10 91/17 111/13 125/25 178/23 206/18 265/3 295/20 305/3 307/16 352/5 courses [2] 68/16 69/8
court [145] 1/1 1/10 1/25 2/6 2/12 $2 / 202 / 243 / 53 / 133 / 184 / 14 / 16$ 4/17 4/24 5/24 6/13 7/9 8/11 9/14 9/24 10/13 13/13 16/18 16/23 18/14 49/2 49/25 50/13 50/23 $51 / 253 / 453 / 2458 / 1065 / 12$ 65/15 66/22 67/2 83/11 89/17 90/4 99/3 99/14 102/2 104/10 104/19 105/20 109/11 111/21 112/10 124/23 126/13 127/6 135/4 135/13 136/15 136/16 137/10 138/1 156/20 159/22 165/16 167/24 170/22 172/5 172/8 172/23 173/24 175/2 176/11 177/8 177/10 177/12 178/10 178/13 178/20 179/21 198/14 199/3 202/16 202/17 205/12 205/16 205/17 218/15 $218 / 22218 / 22219 / 2$ 219/5 219/12 221/8 222/25 255/16 259/23 261/18 263/25 265/15

266/12 266/23 268/5 268/21
271/12 272/15 273/20 275/11
275/12 280/3 280/8 280/14 281/21 282/20 284/18 286/16 287/16 290/20 304/14 305/7 308/21 309/2 338/22 343/2 344/9 344/14 345/1 $345 / 17345 / 20346 / 13356 / 8$ $364 / 18368 / 2372 / 11380 / 17$ 380/17 380/18 381/4 386/16 393/20 394/9 402/19 402/22 404/11 404/16 404/25 406/4 406/5 406/19
Court's [20] 7/1 50/4 124/9 170/14 170/25 171/23 174/21 175/7
175/14 218/12 220/11 238/23
264/19 265/9 266/2 266/1 $1266 / 14$
362/17 368/7 380/12
courtesy [1] 242/14
courthouse [2] 152/11 197/1 courtroom [32] 6/17 9/25 10/6 15/21 51/13 52/10 54/3 84/18 99/21 103/13 105/9 110/8 111/10
126/3 170/1 178/20 217/23 222/15 238/24 264/14 271/13 272/5 273/17 275/9 275/13 303/1 304/22 343/24 346/17 378/17 403/7 403/22
courts [3] 173/15 296/2 404/12
cousin [4] 141/10 142/1 142/2
158/15
cover [6] 236/1 236/1 236/3 250/7 250/9 250/14
coverage [32] $7 / 1 \quad 15 / 15$ 21/4 21/10 60/9 60/15 61/1 61/2 61/14 61/21 62/9 63/6 64/18 64/19 121/9 122/1 122/1 131/21 133/1 190/7 231/15 233/25 237/1 269/15 269/1 $8335 / 17354 / 5354 / 14$ $386 / 17$ 388/10 388/16 389/10 covered [1] 255/8
covering [1] 334/22
crazy [3] 68/22 152/19 301/15 create [5] 117/15 117/18 141/6 255/4 382/12
creating [1] 108/19
creation [1] 145/23
credibility [14] 102/21 102/25
168/10 198/15 284/21 333/7
333/23 341/24 343/16 365/24
377/6 377/9 377/18 378/6
credible [4] 103/6 138/21 140/1 154/23
credibly [1] 393/3
credit [7] 268/14 272/19 272/22
307/23 307/24 315/11 315/12
creeping [1] 297/21
crime [32] $23 / 1025 / 1136 / 1537 / 6$ 37/17 38/5 38/9 41/20 42/1 88/20 92/4 107/7 165/14 166/9 192/1
192/7 202/12 212/7 213/5 213/16
258/3 260/6 286/8 300/15 300/22
$301 / 1314 / 16316 / 25329 / 13341 / 3$ 364/9 372/13
crimes [2] 200/17 200/19
criminal [16] 20/5 49/4 49/9 214/5
259/17 285/21 294/23 295/1 297/7
309/2 317/17 323/1 373/22 374/13
393/18 393/24
critical [1] 156/16
criticize [1] 137/12
criticized [1] 137/4
criticizing [1] 360/2
cross [5] 66/14 160/22 206/9

C
cross... [2] 206/15 214/11
cross-examination [1] 66/14
cross-examine [1] 214/11
crossed [2] 116/7 335/4
crossing [2] 298/21 369/8
crowd [1] 79/15
CSI [2] 68/15 206/17
cube [1] 200/5
cubical [1] 241/21
cupboard [1] 192/25
curious [2] 58/1 250/10
curl [1] 359/20
current [4] 21/1 227/10 322/22
390/17
currently [4] 20/16 127/9 161/12
276/19
curriculum [2] 56/1 69/14
cursory [1] 266/16
Curt [2] 331/11 331/24
Curtis [1] 352/7
Cussler's [1] 350/4
customer [2] 76/1 81/9
customers [1] 272/21
cut [8] 77/11 133/23 153/24
153/24 154/1 165/21 169/4 197/1 cuts [2] 162/1 184/17
cutting[2] 34/16 133/20

## D

da [3] 400/4 400/4 400/4
dad [15] 73/9 192/17 193/2 193/6
193/25 207/25 208/1 209/22 349/1
351/23 396/16 396/20 397/23
398/9 398/21
dad's [3] 193/5 208/2 391/16
daily [1] 145/13
Dale [1] 392/9
damning [1] 336/14
dance [1] 351/25
DANIEL [3] 3/19 344/12 345/11
Dassey [49] 23/5 36/12 37/2 37/9
38/8 63/7 84/2 84/8 91/8 99/10
99/17 99/21 103/25 104/21 107/2
107/5 113/12 118/21 119/22 120/3
135/1 156/1 157/2 168/11 175/20
175/21 190/12 190/13 190/13
190/14 241/3 241/7 243/4 256/21
257/2 257/21 280/20 293/22
$335 / 15336 / 1336 / 19337 / 14338 / 4$
338/4 357/22 359/14 360/22
371/20 388/8
Dassey's [8] 83/17 87/14 153/6 168/6 170/16 335/25 338/11 388/22
data[5] 180/20 181/10 313/1
313/3 313/4
DATE [1] 1/8
Dated [1] 406/15
dates [1] 328/6
daughter [2] 348/4 348/5
daughter's [1] 331/15
daughters [1] 401/18
David [5] 18/25 19/1 46/12 313/25 314/9
day [59] $1 / 44 / 353 / 2353 / 2454 / 11$ 55/23 70/19 73/4 77/15 78/2 83/1 85/14 111/2 115/16115/16125/21 125/21 129/15 129/25 132/4 132/4 132/7 140/1 140/17 149/19 149/25 150/3 150/17 150/18 150/23 151/9 178/10 179/6 185/18 185/25

186/1 8 199/10 204/19 222/11 222/24 257/4 257/6 264/25 271/5 274/24 275/1 275/24 304/12 305/6 314/17314/17346/14 352/25 352/25 360/14 380/10 380/10 397/6 406/15
day-to-day [2] 314/17 352/25
days [19] $7 / 2$ 10/12 $13 / 12$ 74/20
77/14 78/5 98/16 121/19 121/20
199/11 218/23 233/4 233/5 233/7 244/1 257/5 292/8 381/3 384/20
DCI [1] 182/10
deacon [1] 263/22
dead [1] 401/10
deal [11] 32/6 32/11 52/3 85/4 86/15 231/5 296/2 300/8 311/20 383/22 390/21
dealing [4] 25/11 107/1 141/7 266/8
dealt [3] 35/24 107/14 195/11
DEAN [11] 1/16 4/9 27/10 74/10 149/6 199/20 240/2 1 287/14 318/21 357/3 395/1
death [2] 12/15 264/6
Debbie [2] 58/13 58/14
decent [2] 80/5 108/14
decide [22] 16/22 17/10 91/1 109/5 156/19 170/21 172/7 199/1 209/10 $211 / 10238 / 15$ 238/23 261/23 263/15 296/7 299/2 299/9 $300 / 3$ 302/21 343/19 355/8 389/23 decided [6] 90/2 90/3 99/24 99/24 220/2 337/1
decides [3] 99/25 296/9 341/10 deciding [9] 42/7 42/16 74/13 78/20 90/8 106/9 262/1 293/13 296/15
decision [40] 6/9 17/24 18/2 24/3 26/16 30/17 39/5 43/5 44/9 46/6 $50 / 1251 / 351 / 551 / 2551 / 2568 / 8$ 89/20 99/15 99/20 100/4 100/13 101/1 102/9 102/16 165/16 168/2 168/13 169/21 218/21 219/8 221/9 296/12 300/7 339/4 343/9 343/16 370/19 370/19 370/20 375/21
decisions [4] 26/17 97/7 261/6 367/19
deck [1] 185/11
deemed [2] 54/10 173/17
deeper [3] 252/9 298/3 366/16
deepfelt [1] 269/10
deer [2] 382/23 382/24
defend [1] 294/20
defendant [46] 1/7 1/17 1/19 1/20 43/20 49/9 49/16 49/18 49/19 49/24 90/5 102/14 109/10 109/13 162/15 162/25 163/13 164/18 210/17210/19210/21 211/1 211/4 212/17 213/15 214/6 214/10 243/1 259/23 294/25 296/3 297/7 338/24 $339 / 5339 / 8339 / 21340 / 2340 / 6$ $340 / 23341 / 25343 / 3$ 343/6 343/12 373/23 374/13 375/6
defendant's [8] 38/24 124/11
124/12 164/14 272/21 294/6
296/12 343/5
defending [6] 74/13 240/22 287/16 294/21 357/3 402/9
defense [28] 7/6 8/8 27/11 53/3 65/4 66/13 97/18 97/20 102/8 104/2 104/21 107/11 152/16
152/19 152/20 162/10 163/6 164/9 172/12 212/18 218/3 269/21 274/1

287/17 294/22 339/3 344/6 373/22
defense's [1] 270/11
defer [1] 220/11
definitely [15] 12/19 17/8 30/22
31/5 34/4 34/19 35/10 41/16 $41 / 1846 / 447 / 1150 / 20166 / 16$ 168/21 364/24
degree [1] 227/24
degrees [1] 313/16
deliberate [4] 28/25 148/10 293/7
318/7
deliberately [2] 109/2 171/3
deliberating [2] 167/3 216/15
deliberation [2] 30/10 382/10
deliberations [1] 29/13
delve [1] 312/21
demeanor [7] 107/3 175/8 175/11
175/15 176/1 273/23 377/23
denial [1] 341/7
denied [1] 153/13
Denmark [1] 35/17
deny [1] 266/24
denying [3] 43/22 89/10 89/12
department [23] 25/10 69/20
112/3 126/21 129/11 179/15
196/10 223/7 223/19 228/21 276/8
283/13 283/16 284/1 306/13
$306 / 18306 / 19307 / 17314 / 13$
314/15 319/6 347/11 381/10
departments [1] 46/18
depend [3] $155 / 3$ 198/5 340/25
dependable [1] 138/1
Depending [1] 198/9
depends [13] 88/22 90/22 150/23
151/9 186/11 212/14 227/22
263/13 297/11 302/2 302/17
323/22 367/4
deployments [1] 226/17
depression [2] 85/1 85/5
depth [1] 61/12
deputy [4] 96/1 158/15 159/13
203/23
derive [1] 25/2
describe [1] 306/16
described [2] 171/4 255/23
describing [1] 358/19
description [1] 137/25
descriptive [1] 21/13
designed [1] 249/2
despite [8] 171/23 315/4 327/11
327/16 333/4 360/14 369/2 369/22
detail [11] 26/18 26/20 85/21
134/1 146/12 146/14 153/18
170/16 266/14 272/25 311/22
detailed [1] 313/11
details [20] 22/25 23/12 23/13
36/25 61/22 63/3 63/13 82/7
82/24 133/16 237/1 1 257/16
263/10 311/9 336/7 359/18 360/7
388/14 388/17 401/6
detectives [1] 362/1
determination [3] 139/25 378/9
404/17
determine [2] 356/6 356/14
determined [2] 316/24 329/12
determining [1] 104/8
develop [1] 297/9
developed [1] 404/7
developments [1] 171/9
develops [1] 171/7
Dewey [1] 195/20
di [1] 266/20
diagrams [1] 4/21
diamonds [1] 229/6
Diane [4] 1/24 345/15 406/4 406/19
die [1] 12/14
died [4] 64/11 201/21 201/22 396/14
dies [1] 399/3
difference [4] 269/23 285/8 285/11 342/8
different [36] 30/2 33/19 46/17
57/7 57/19 71/17 80/7 80/17
80/22 87/6 92/16 92/24 97/23
97/25 98/4 98/11 98/12 98/16
98/19 117/11 117/12 151/24
228/19 230/4 230/10 231/5 253/15
269/16 322/23 334/2 334/3 359/1 1 361/3 363/14 367/3 401/21
differently [2] 332/10 365/3
difficult [15] 18/2 23/23 23/24
24/7 39/18 43/10 43/11 43/13
52/2 137/6 150/2 155/24 339/4
339/23 365/14
dig [2] 298/2 298/8
dilemma [1] 44/5
dinner [1] 363/13
dire [56] $1 / 44 / 4$ 9/6 10/1 $10 / 16$
27/6 48/23 51/19 53/14 54/2
54/16 74/8 98/22 99/1 103/21
108/24 110/18 $111 / 11111 / 23$
125/14 126/2 126/16 149/3 167/19
178/2 178/21 179/10 199/17
217/11 222/3 222/14 223/3 240/16
268/1 270/25 271/13 271/17
274/16 275/14 276/3 287/12 304/5
304/21 305/12 318/18 342/20
346/7 346/1 8 347/7 356/21 376/17
380/1 380/16 380/1 8 381/6 394/24
direct [2] 82/19 267/7
direction [1] 137/14
directions [1] 71/17
directive [5] 7/1 280/13 281/18
355/16 386/20
directly [10] 113/22 114/4 173/11
188/18 230/12 241/22 266/13
267/14 269/21 330/9
directors [4] 319/16 319/17 319/21

## 399/11

dirt [1] 34/21
disadvantage [1] 167/22
disadvantages [1] 99/1
disagree [4] 172/12 195/8 326/16

## 326/23

disagreed [1] 139/3
disagreement [1] 45/20
disappearance [2] 264/6 310/17
disciplinarian [1] 59/23
discipline [1] 202/12
disclose [3] 222/20 271/14 346/19
disclosing [4] 111/12 126/4 178/22
222/16
discount [2] 162/24 211/3
discovered [1] 328/8
discuss [10] $5 / 3$ 6/4 19/10 70/3
70/8 125/24 159/19 314/4 314/9 325/19
discussed [8] 70/18 70/21 71/3
283/8 284/10 314/3 314/6 320/13
discussing [3] 293/18 304/18

## 347/3

discussion [13] 61/8 70/12 106/15 119/17 130/8 139/12 143/15 146/3

152/3 189/11 191/13 237/1 283/18
discussions [11] 6/14 59/19
114/21 130/3 131/9 229/8 265/23 266/18 266/19 328/19 363/25
dishonest [1] 368/10
disposed [2] 336/11 388/20
disprove [1] 154/12
dispute [1] 175/22
disputed [1] 50/24
disqualified [1] 403/19
dissected [1] 86/7
distinction [2] 250/4 269/19
distribution [1] 181/21
district [10] 10/24 54/22 112/5
126/24 179/18 223/9 276/11
305/15 330/13 347/13
divided [1] 230/8
division [1] 181/24
DNA [9] 45/4 92/2 92/8 137/24
207/17 207/20 317/8 317/14 328/22
do [619]
doctor [2] 86/20 138/18
doctors [2] 86/19 321/2
documents [1] 400/16
does [63] 28/13 28/14 37/2 39/2 49/16 52/12 52/15 67/25 70/6 70/11 72/21 83/4 85/10 89/4 90/22 91/2 103/25 104/23 116/18
125/2 125/3 137/17 141/6 154/13
154/14 160/9 160/12 178/20
194/12 $211 / 15$ 213/25 214/18
217/18 244/17 260/1 261/13
261/23 271/12 272/17 275/13
284/3 286/21 291/2 291/22 309/22
$313 / 20324 / 5324 / 5326 / 9326 / 15$
$339 / 8340 / 6341 / 18343 / 12344 / 2$
372/24 372/25 374/19 374/25
380/17 383/24 384/21 385/23
doesn't [60] 43/23 43/23 44/2
44/14 70/7 70/8 70/24 71/1 78/11
78/12 81/22 83/2 90/17 91/24
94/13 96/8 100/2 102/5 102/5
102/15 104/21 105/4 109/13
134/24 134/25 136/17 154/13
163/9 164/19 169/2 169/11 172/4
174/23 182/12 211/13 211/19
211/23 214/7 236/2 261/16 263/11
281/24 281/25 282/22 286/12
294/23 295/22 297/1 297/19 324/6
326/19 339/14 339/16 343/6 359/4
$362 / 20364 / 5372 / 18372 / 22373 / 6$
doing [43] 24/18 24/19 25/10
34/12 40/1 43/2 61/11 75/14
76/22 77/5 93/8 125/2 125/22
132/17 146/25 147/7 158/1 163/23
180/6 180/13 180/15 190/19
201/20 206/9 220/12 224/1 228/2
231/1 244/23 283/14 285/15
294/19 297/20 298/17 300/21
$314 / 15314 / 20314 / 21335 / 5$
345/17 369/6 400/9 400/20
dollars [2] 92/23 248/7
donate [1] 348/25
done [30] 29/5 34/13 34/23 48/22 49/1761/23 76/20 79/23 92/21
98/20 111/2 127/5 130/10 131/5
132/15 133/22 135/13 155/25
157/10 158/4 161/16 208/15
208/17 209/11 224/3 310/5 337/24
350/6 402/17 405/6
Doom [1] 251/24
door [2] 59/3 321/10
dotted [1] 396/23
doubt [55] 17/18 42/8 49/20 65/22
66/18 66/19 66/24 66/24 67/15 67/17 67/19 67/22 87/21 88/5 90/9 92/7 100/25 101/6 101/8 101/11 101/17 101/19 104/9 131/14 135/25 136/21 137/2 137/18 166/7 166/12 198/2 199/7 206/19 208/1 210/13 213/4 213/11 214/15 215/4 221/14 259/20 260/1 8 264/7 286/7 286/20 294/1 1 329/20 341/7 343/8 356/5 370/2 370/4 370/5 371/3 375/18
doubts [2] 326/17 329/15
down [23] 19/8 31/6 31/8 46/14 59/3 59/4 71/10 109/3 $113 / 17$ 131/8 159/7 186/13 195/20 201/15 205/4 245/21 246/6 248/25 256/15 334/20 363/5 366/10 391/16
download [1] 278/10
downtown [4] 240/10 319/23
319/24 400/4
draft [1] 8/10
drag [1] 167/8
drained [1] 12/13
Drano [1] 192/25
drastic [2] 169/9 169/9
draw [4] 109/14 250/4 343/4 379/19
drawn [1] 252/8
dressers [1] 187/15
drew [2] 212/25 397/2
dried [4] 153/24 153/25 154/1
165/21
drift [1] 106/3
drinks [1] 79/25
drive [3] 71/19 232/11 232/17
driven [1] 35/5
driver [4] 31/19 134/9 180/5 $196 / 5$
driving [6] 24/7 91/22 139/22
195/20 197/13 234/5
dropped [2] 203/9 236/6
drug [1] 91/23
Drumm [4] 331/11 331/24 352/6 352/7
drunk [1] 91/22
due [3] 169/9 250/17 293/15
dumb [1] 246/8
dumps [1] 308/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { during [42] } 9 / 129 / 16 ~ 10 / 1 & 10 / 5\end{array}$ 14/1 54/2 54/5 59/20 68/10 77/12 77/15 78/1 79/5 82/4 110/25
$111 / 10111 / 13111 / 16115 / 13$ 125/25 149/25 150/3 178/21 178/22 179/3 222/14 233/6 241/2 241/17 271/13 275/13 275/19 $304 / 21305 / 3346 / 17350 / 20352 / 4$ 355/9 380/1 8 380/25 389/24 390/23
duties [5] 128/17 223/14 224/3 225/23 227/7
duty [23] 17/10 43/2 126/10 148/13 148/14 160/3 161/17 166/24 167/5 167/15 172/22 175/16 175/17 180/7 216/23 242/23 268/1 8 285/12 292/14 $300 / 12324 / 16355 / 21376 / 24$ E
each [34] 9/7 14/19 33/6 45/24
53/15 53/15 59/7 103/3 108/25 110/19 125/21 142/4 178/3 185/4 185/9 188/22 $211 / 10222 / 11$
each...[16] 228/15 230/5 233/10 264/2 271/5 274/17 274/24 304/6 304/12 346/8 346/13 363/12 $377 / 18378 / 8380 / 9383 / 13$ earlier [4] 8/4 173/22 341/14 379/5
early [9] 75/9 91/8 202/7 310/8
310/20 324/3 324/3 353/5 366/7
easier [1] 101/1
easiest [1] 303/3
easily [1] 323/7
Eastern [2] 350/8 350/11
easy [6] $43 / 543 / 1263 / 18$ 86/1 1
101/12 349/23
eat [1] 186/12
economic [3] 12/7 56/21 382/13
education [1] 307/10
educational [2] 307/22 307/24
effect [2] 145/19 154/14
effort [5] 17/13 17/19 18/17 66/12 193/21
efforts [3] 174/21 265/22 310/11
eh [1] 299/18
eight [6] 73/3 79/4 87/3 247/13
254/24 292/2
Eighteen [1] 120/18
either [53] 6/21 41/21 52/12 64/23
64/25 66/5 67/9 67/11 81/14
87/21 89/2 89/6 101/20 104/22
105/11 119/21 122/6 154/18
156/14 170/4 186/11 188/1 189/17
191/21 $215 / 15$ 216/6 216/8 216/13
217/25 221/13 231/23 232/1 239/5
264/16 275/6 279/21 280/25
281/24 281/25 283/11 303/5
308/22 313/16 314/2 315/20
328/14 340/4 344/2 361/5 363/24
372/23 378/20 383/23
elaborate [1] 135/6
elaboration [1] 273/21
elderly [1] 321/1
Electrical [1] 244/12
electrician [1] 230/9
electronics [5] 225/25 226/5
228/10 228/11 256/11
eligible [1] 161/11
eliminate [1] 108/8
else [60] $6 / 1$ 8/6 8/20 9/21 $12 / 20$
12/21 24/20 50/13 52/7 64/1 91/9
92/3 94/10 97/2 106/18 118/16
119/11 119/23 120/8 133/8 134/6
147/20 153/3 158/12 169/23 176/7
181/15 188/20 190/4 190/25 206/4 212/22 213/9 213/10 238/8 253/6 262/9 275/9 285/18 289/9 296/6
296/25 297/3 298/24 301/10 315/3
315/4 329/25 352/18 362/19
365/23 368/6 368/15 371/21
$372 / 12373 / 4373 / 14380 / 15388 / 1$ 391/9
else's [4] 88/13 90/1 352/13 393/4 elsewhere [1] 16/2
email [2] 144/9 312/20
embarrass [1] 306/3
embarrassing [2] 194/24 267/11
embrace [1] 172/20
empathetic [1] 30/14
employed [10] 11/11 55/7 127/9
129/6 180/6 223/25 272/18 276/19
313/2 313/21
employee [1] 120/1
employees [2] 120/15 311/25 employer [2] 13/4 277/21
employment[15] 11/7 11/16 55/3 106/14 106/14 127/8 128/5 177/5 179/25 221/4 268/16 268/17
277/14 306/8 312/10
empowered [1] 245/21
encompassed [1] 266/10
encounter [3] 159/13 194/14 283/9
encountered [3] 157/3 205/7 205/9
encounters [4] 196/18 198/1
282/25 283/5
encourage [1] 404/11
encouraged [4] 245/24 248/2
248/5 248/18
end [29] 9/13 28/22 28/24 29/12
29/16 29/24 42/11 44/7 53/23
79/3 89/15 102/3 116/1 169/20
176/5 178/9 222/11 232/13 254/1
255/1 260/14 293/4 293/6 299/7
299/24 304/11 346/13 376/19
380/10
ended [4] 106/5 140/21 247/23 248/21
ends [2] 106/13 381/14
energies [2] 223/15 255/7
enforce [2] 332/21 364/17
enforcement [27] 46/16 46/22 47/9
48/1 95/25 141/7 141/11 158/19
195/2 195/15 196/13 196/19
197/23 198/2 199/6 284/17 298/16
310/11 314/25 315/2 315/6 377/5
392/3 392/18 392/20 393/1 393/2
enforcing [3] 24/15 230/22 265/9
engaged [1] $334 / 18$
engine [1] 32/11
engineering [1] 226/2
engines [1] 33/5
enjoy [12] 24/21 24/24 24/24
24/25 129/2 146/5 147/18 228/23
231/3 289/15 289/25 382/4
enjoyed [4] 288/23 290/4 290/5 363/12
enough [22] $12 / 2456 / 1356 / 15$
66/18 67/15 78/4 129/17 148/24
149/22 150/2 174/17 213/13 214/8 216/3 237/9 238/2 238/10 241/24
258/19 263/12 366/10 371/20
ensuring [1] 25/3
enter [2] 118/4 118/7
Enterprise [4] 226/2 230/4 247/1
247/12
entertainment [2] 73/25 278/5
entire [7] 5/16 36/23 37/17 147/8
152/1 $214 / 4370 / 25$
entirely [2] 117/11 220/6
entitled [3] 135/21 135/23 261/24
entry [3] 180/20 181/10 185/7
environment [1] 25/4
equally [1] 151/8
equated [1] 265/5
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { equipment }[5] & 145 / 11 & 145 / 23\end{array}$
224/17 226/9 230/24
equipped [1] 405/8
equivalent [2] 227/23 229/15
equivocal [3] 173/12 174/2 174/14
ER [1] 68/21
Erickson [1] 173/19
err [1] 404/14
error [1] 34/12
escort [13] 51/13 52/10 103/13
105/9 123/25 170/1 217/22 264/14
273/17 303/1 343/24 378/17

403/21
especially [5] 263/7 268/20 302/11 317/16 326/2
ESPN [2] 60/22 81/4
essentially [2] 247/19 343/14
established [2] 306/18 306/19
establishments [1] 128/24
estimated [1] 167/8
evaluate [15] 51/1 117/24 138/10
139/14 139/23 139/24 158/9 198/3 198/15 207/7 352/11 352/12 377/6 392/20 392/21
evaluating [4] 102/25 104/6 198/24 377/17
Evanston [2] 288/14 288/15
even [51] 36/1 36/6 42/1 45/20
68/21 68/22 90/20 103/6 106/19 131/12 137/3 167/16 171/1 171/8 174/20 192/10 195/7 198/18 203/9 204/15 219/1 $219 / 1$ 232/23 234/12 241/20 242/22 243/1 244/1 247/11 252/2 253/4 257/25 262/6 263/10 296/15 297/19 299/3 314/7 335/4 335/6 346/24 352/13 361/18
$367 / 10369 / 5369 / 8$ 369/9 387/15 396/13 404/8 404/16
evening [11] 74/19 75/6 111/1
$121 / 21220 / 17323 / 17353 / 18$ $356 / 23356 / 24381 / 8386 / 13$
event [11] 10/10 50/11 222/22 234/13 251/17 255/25 258/10 305/1 318/6 381/1 389/4
events [15] 19/10 $21 / 121 / 1$ 63/23
70/4 92/16 169/10 187/3 256/14
256/18 265/7 280/20 354/15 383/15 383/16
eventually [2] 203/1 310/15
ever[54] 20/3 20/24 24/14 26/1
26/23 59/10 62/2 70/11 82/25 140/23 140/25 144/10 144/15 144/24 145/15 145/20 153/19 155/12 157/3 157/11 158/23 160/10 190/10 194/20 200/7 206/11 232/10 237/3 240/12
243/19 254/6 278/19 280/20 283/8 284/10 300/13 300/21 308/20 309/1 $315 / 25316 / 3320 / 9$ 324/8 $324 / 18$ 324/20 324/24 331/6 $338 / 20338 / 22348 / 12353 / 23$ 355/21 361/11 390/24
every [31] 9/13 20/1 53/23 55/23
55/24 74/16 78/6 83/1 85/23
85/23 98/2 98/9 $111 / 1$ 132/4 159/10 159/11 164/12 178/10 231/5 233/10 255/25 263/20 288/10 290/17 291/12 296/5 302/6 353/18 355/4 363/14 367/3 everybody [10] 30/16 79/21 96/18 155/6 160/11 238/8 245/18 245/21 296/24 297/1
everyday [1] 155/4
everyone [4] 96/13 97/3 97/5 296/6
everyone's [2] 91/5 369/23
everything [22] 37/7 43/24 50/20
50/21 66/4 131/8 169/23 172/20
174/15 181/21 183/4 183/5 192/24
216/18 223/23 230/25 278/9
289/13 290/17 295/20 381/24
399/5
everywhere [3] 151/18 171/12 296/11
evidence [113] 28/23 29/15 30/13
evidence...[110] 38/12 42/15 44/15 44/23 44/24 44/25 44/25 48/9
50/13 51/1 51/6 65/19 66/13 68/9 84/1 8 90/7 92/8 99/16 100/4 101/10 101/14 101/16 102/10 104/15 106/9 136/25 137/11 137/15 137/22 138/6 138/11 138/12 138/16 138/16 139/20 153/16 154/3 154/21 156/9 156/20 156/21 166/5 168/3 168/12 168/13 168/15 169/15 169/22 170/22 172/8 175/24 198/24 199/2 207/8 207/10 212/7 212/23 213/17 218/25 237/2 238/15 238/24 260/22 261/17 286/19 296/14 298/1 8 299/3 299/8 299/10 301/22 $302 / 1302 / 3$ 302/5 302/6 302/9 302/15 317/9 317/14 328/22 $334 / 11$ 334/14 335/3 335/8 336/14 $336 / 21336 / 25337 / 3337 / 8338 / 8$ 338/9 338/9 338/13 338/15 340/25 $341 / 3341 / 13343 / 9343 / 18356 / 7$ 358/21 361/16 366/13 369/10 369/15 369/19 369/19 370/1
372/11 389/24
evidentiary [1] 5/16
ex[1] 243/18
ex-wife [1] 243/18
exact [3] 64/6 148/1 177/11
exactly [20] 32/20 39/14 43/9 44/6 44/18 44/21 52/23 95/14 134/14 165/9 177/9 234/12 253/12 255/16
260/12 264/24 280/9 363/9 366/10 401/16
examination [57] $2 / 42 / 5$ 2/6 2/7
2/10 2/11 2/12 2/13 2/15 2/18
2/19 2/20 2/22 2/23 2/24 3/2 3/3
$3 / 53 / 83 / 93 / 113 / 123 / 133 / 16$
$3 / 173 / 183 / 203 / 2110 / 16$ 27/6 48/23 51/19 54/16 66/14 74/8
98/22 103/21 111/23 126/16 149/3 167/19 179/10 199/17 217/11
223/3 240/16 271/17 276/3 287/12
305/12 318/18 342/20 347/7
356/21 376/17 381/6 394/24
examine [1] 214/11
example [5] 32/8 49/6 83/4 173/10 301/5
examples [3] 99/19 146/15 147/1
exams [1] 76/21
excellent [2] 25/13 352/2
except [1] 312/22
exchange [1] $5 / 5$
exclude [1] 220/4
excluded [1] 219/20
excuse [9] 11/22 17/9 103/15
123/18 124/7 177/15 270/12 274/1 303/2
excused [15] 6/23 52/11 105/10
124/2 124/24 217/24 264/15
273/19 303/4 344/1 345/5 378/19
379/3 403/23 404/1
excusing [1] 124/14
exercise [1] 320/25
exhibit [1] 5/16
exist [1] 245/3
exists [1] 404/13
exonerate [1] 328/24
exonerated [3] 207/17 317/2 317/11
exoneration [2] 316/6 317/7
expect [19] $5 / 1139 / 152 / 18$ 84/13
95/12 99/9 136/8 154/8 162/6
173/3 174/8 212/11 286/15 302/16 $334 / 10371 / 13371 / 15372 / 1$ 376/23
expected [1] 334/8
expenditure [1] 383/17
expense [2] 32/4 32/6
expenses [1] 12/18
expensive [2] 31/10 185/6
experience [30] 46/25 69/4 72/2
92/12 143/15 143/20 146/22 148/6
157/16 192/20 194/12 194/22
194/23 195/4 195/14 195/19 197/5
204/6 233/13 240/2 254/12 282/6
300/1 318/1 318/4 348/2 356/1
375/15 394/4 394/16
experienced [4] 173/21 204/22
234/2 238/21
experiences [4] 25/15 192/16
198/19 199/6
expert [1] 227/3
expertise [2] 45/3 252/10
experts [1] 45/19
expires [1] 251/15
explain [7] 67/12 182/6 194/9
196/7 203/7 268/23 272/25
explained [1] 400/12
explanation [1] 177/2
explanations [1] 220/19
explore [2] 46/14 401/8
explored [1] 277/20
Expo [1] 351/7
exposed [12] 150/25 170/15 171/5
171/24 177/13 178/15 218/16
219/4 219/11 220/25 227/20
280/13
exposure [6] 105/21 175/4 218/14 221/3 233/25 304/16
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { expressed [5] } & 12 / 3 & 112 / 14 & 142 / 12\end{array}$
150/17363/21
expresses [1] 173/15
expressing [1] 363/23
extension [1] 77/2
extensive [1] 61/7
extent [1] 70/13
extra [4] 161/13 176/19 348/23 349/6
extraordinary [1] 265/21
extreme [1] 250/20
extremely [2] 161/10 354/2
exuberance [1] 193/15
eyewitness [2] 139/20 302/4
F
face [4] 175/13 183/12 242/5 242/8
faces [2] 10/7 179/2
facility [1] 349/1
facing [1] 268/15
fact [49] $13 / 1918 / 438 / 2242 / 14$
45/13 50/4 52/2 87/24 104/11
107/4 107/7 107/12 133/2 133/11
136/16 141/4 143/3 152/9 154/12
172/25 175/10 176/5 203/11
204/14 208/3 208/12 209/7 209/8
211/15 211/25 214/6 216/12
218/17 219/25 221/3 241/20
254/18 265/20 296/14 314/23
$316 / 21329 / 12336 / 16338 / 16$
341/9 342/9 350/5 403/17 404/10
factor [1] 164/1
factors [3] 106/22 343/17 377/16
factory [1] 112/23
facts [7] 134/4 236/18 258/19
266/9 311/15 323/5 323/6
factual [2] 107/20 138/3
failing [1] 77/9
failings [1] 365/22
failure [1] 124/9
fair [35] 20/11 22/3 25/13 41/14
72/3 116/6 131/15 135/15 135/23 148/24 153/20 164/6 173/25 176/10 183/17 195/12 197/22 198/12 227/3 228/6 240/4 259/7 259/15 266/23 272/1 273/12 278/10 281/7 $311 / 22318 / 7327 / 11$ 348/19 360/16 369/25 376/8 fairly [10] $25 / 2238 / 1638 / 17$ 70/2
105/23 114/7 $115 / 19$ 143/9 180/21 188/12
fairness [1] 404/21
faith [3] 153/21 154/10 169/12
fall [3] 129/15 214/21 301/10
fallen [1] 129/24
falling [1] 344/14
FALLON [47] $1 / 142 / 42 / 102 / 13$
2/15 2/18 2/22 3/2 3/8 3/16 3/20 4/7 7/19 10/14 10/20 35/23 52/6 52/13 54/14 54/18 103/19 105/12 106/24 111/21 112/2 123/18 126/13 126/20 150/7 162/5 172/10 179/8 179/14 217/3 219/14 223/1 223/6 265/13 269/5 276/1 276/7 305/17 305/18 347/5 347/10 381/5 381/9
Fallon's [1] 255/22
falls [1] 106/19
false [4] 153/13 154/18 366/8 368/20
falsely [5] 157/4 157/12 158/12 337/19 361/12
falsify [1] 341/22
familiar [17] 13/19 23/3 26/12 42/9 49/3 58/13 69/19 86/1 109/7 109/11 $112 / 14112 / 21$ 134/3 183/7 224/13 312/13 319/7
familiarity [7] 58/12 107/19
114/19 131/22 142/12 168/6 285/20
family [31] 75/22 97/24 98/3 98/7
98/7 98/8 98/9 112/15 113/3
113/6 113/9 114/19 117/5 124/12
141/25 194/24 215/7 215/9 255/11
268/15 272/4 272/21 283/4 283/21
306/19 306/20 307/4 331/14 348/9
375/16 385/9
family's [1] 404/20
fan [2] 19/17 383/4
fantasy [1] 200/12
far [23] $22 / 1832 / 835 / 1835 / 18$
56/13 56/15 79/23 107/22 176/16
195/7 202/18 203/9 203/20 208/13
209/9 209/12 239/8 255/17 277/17
314/19 323/21 369/5 396/6
farm [3] 291/15 398/3 398/5
farther [1] 335/4
Farwell [1] 290/22
fascinating [1] 249/22
fashion [2] 272/16 275/20
fast [1] 239/15
faster [3] 32/22 34/14 270/5
fate [2] 78/20 293/14
father [10] 202/11 203/4 204/22
208/22 283/6 331/16 348/17
349/24 401/23 402/1

| F | $14$ | following[8] $6 / 25$ 10/11 $15 / 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fault [2] 107/25 264/3 | firefighter [1] 386/5 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 / 1887 / 13218 / 11265 / 15327 / 25 \\ & \text { follows [2] } 377 / 4404 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| favorable [1] 104/2 | firefighters [2] 392/6 392/7 | food [1] 239/15 |
| favorite [3] 288/21 323/20 364/11 | firefighting [2] 128/7 146/6 | 1] 308/1 |
| fear [4] 163/6 215/19 269/10 | fireman [2] 128/18 158/18 | foregoing [2] 406/7 406/7 |
| 370/14 | fires [3] 128/15 128/19 159/7 | foreign [1] 250/18 |
| fears [1] 117/4 | firm [1] 24/8 | forget [4] 33/8 44/18 156/18329/5 |
| features [1] 405/9 | firmly [1] 7/17 | Forgive [3] 112/21 182/3 182/24 |
| FEBRUARY [2] 1/8 32/5 | first [60] 4/12 6/1 8/1 8/17 11/6 | forgot [8] 41/7 154/20 170/24 |
| Federal [3] 112/19 113/9 120/12 | 37/11 54/24 55/2 62/6 62/7 78/16 | 177/2 177/9 228/19 239/20 24 |
| fee [1] 185/7 | 78/16 78/23 91/18 114/20 116/6 | forklift [2] 180/5 180/5 |
| feed [1] 140/13 | 120/24 122/15 122/25 127/8 146/7 | form [5] 78/25 238/11 310/20 |
| feel [38] 30/17 39/25 41/1 50/14 | 149/8 171/20 184/17 184/25 | 317/16 355/2 |
| 51/9 65/7 116/4 116/24 117/17 | 185/24 209/15 223/13 224/6 228/9 | formality [1] 360/8 |
| 117/24 118/3 140/15 143/14 | 234/10 234/10 237/5 246/5 250/13 | formed [14] 16/1 16/20 64/20 68/4 |
| 147/19 157/24 169/7 216/20 | 251/22 254/9 256/1 256/17 256/18 | 134/20 152/18 237/16 237/23 |
| 216/22 221/8 250/23 268/17 | 256/19 256/21 256/24 258/23 | 269/1 281/8 314/13 314/17 354/23 |
| 270/10 271/24 273/6 273/10 | 270/4 270/9 306/7 341/9 347/24 | 389/5 |
| 273/14 309/15 311/11314/14 | 352/3 357/13 357/19 357/21 374/3 | forming [1] 311/10 |
| $323 / 3$ 323/5 326/19 327/18 329/21 | 379/18 380/6 391/11 396/13 397/8 | forms [1] 265/23 |
| 329/21 359/16 393/6 401/2 | 401/20 | forth [2] 167/7 355/7 |
| feeling [9] 64/9 101/20 109/23 | fits [1] 323/14 | forthcoming [1] 13/6 |
| 116/13 118/7 118/13 165/15 | five [25] 14/15 29/16 30/12 59/1 | forthright [2] 339/22 339/25 |
| 309/25 348/1 | 76/6 78/5 103/6 130/23 130/24 | forthrightness [1] 332/1 |
| feelings [1] 50/1 | 132/7 176/19 187/23 193/12 | forward [4] 137/15 166/16 318/3 |
| feels [2] 74/18 170/19 | 218/23 233/4 255/2 292/2 293/5 | 318/5 |
| fellow [7] 23/4 63/7 120/1 190/11 | 350/18381/20 381/21 390/4 | found [13] 22/20 209/14 243/12 |
| 190/16 280/19 388/7 | 398/17 398/19 399/8 | 244/2 253/5 298/19 298/19 329/3 |
| felony [1] 203/14 | flags [1] 109/21 | 362/17366/11 375/17375/19 |
| felt [4] 143/17 215/2 215/3 266/7 | flat [1] 301/15 | 400/25 |
| few [27] 10/12 11/4 58/6 58/10 | Flemal [7] 18/25 | foundation |
| 98/24 127/2 127/5 130/23 199/23 | 19/25 20/10 46/12 | foundry [3] 385/24 385/25 386/1 |
| 233/20 235/11 242/13 244/1 | Fleming [1] 19/4 | four [18] 4/15 4/25 5/13 11/19 |
| 276/13 284/17 293/21 296/21 | flies [1] 192/22 | 30/12 72/12 72/13 103/6 120/13 |
| 347/20 352/23 357/6 366/2 380/5 | FLINT [11] 3/15 344/12 345/9 | 121/20 128/12 128/13 230/4 |
| 381/3 381/12 381/13 390/6 393/2 | 345/11 345/24 345/25 346/4 347/9 | 247/10 324/21 344/10 350/18 |
| field [3] 56/25 87/8 226/1 | 356/25 376/14 378/24 | 398/16 |
| fields [1] 229/8 | flood [1] 335/12 | four-wheeling [2] 72/12 72/13 |
| Fifteen [1] 345/19 | floor [3] 193/1 193/18 193/20 | fourth [1] 6/7 |
| Fifth [1] 107/8 | flush [1] 124/21 | Fox[7] 62/1 81/4 81/7 81/16 279/4 |
| fight [2] 128/14 128/19 | fly [2] 83/10 83/12 | 323/10 385/4 |
| fighting [1] 314/16 | focus [3] 204/8 334/20 336/21 | frame [3] 254/10 350/21 384/4 |
| figure [3] 43/15 86/10 351/25 | focused [3] 205/2 207/2 207/9 | framed [1] 269/16 |
| figured [2] 114/25 246/21 | focusing [1] 156/22 | framework [1] 279/19 |
| file [1] 400/17 | folded [1] 350/19 | France [1] 226/20 |
| filed [4] 140/6 140/22 220/4 330/6 | folk [1] 285/14 | Francisco [2] 225/12 239/21 |
| filing [2] 234/14 256/5 | folks [2] 95/25 393/20 | frankly [5] 170/23 173/2 174/7 |
| filled [5] 115/6 115/8 118/17 123/9 | follow [72] 9/8 17/19 42/17 48/25 | 328/2 341/2 |
| 379/22 | 49/6 50/2 51/18 53/18 89/23 | free [3] 278/17 278/18 292/8 |
| final [3] 6/8 76/21 168/19 | 98/24 99/5 103/8 103/16 103/23 | freed [1] 317/2 |
| finally [6] 100/20 126/6 176/4 | 109/8 110/20 118/19 124/9 125/16 | frequented [1] 13/24 |
| 178/24 203/9 257/10 | 125/23 127/2 128/3 137/13 156/24 | frequently [4] 73/16 181/3 192/6 |
| financial [6] 52/2 77/21 161/8 | 161/23 163/2 164/22 164/25 | 387/11 |
| 254/20 255/6 292/16 | 167/21 170/14 170/24 172/22 | Fresno [2] 239/15 246/24 |
| financially [2] 161/8 255/10 | 173/1 173/13 175/17 178/4 179/22 | Friday [7] 121/12 132/9 218/23 |
| find [33] 5/23 65/23 66/3 67/20 | 198/18 199/23 211/12 214/20 | 219/12 220/9 292/3 363/14 |
| 67/25 101/6 101/7 103/5 107/25 | 214/25 222/5 238/12 238/22 | friend [10] 18/22 46/12 84/22 |
| 138/17 145/3 162/1 165/14 166/4 | 255/22 259/2 261/20 276/14 | 94/15 196/23 197/12 200/5 283/20 |
| 183/20 192/10 212/19 214/8 | 281/20 284/14 284/24 286/24 | 291/19 331/14 |
| 214/15 232/8 246/8 249/22 282/1 | 295/7 296/17 304/7 305/24 306/5 | friend's [2] 195/17 291/15 |
| 282/10 282/15 284/24 286/5 | 309/11 318/10 319/2 328/16 | friend's farm [1] 291/15 |
| 298/16 310/11 323/9 334/6 350/1 | 343/10 346/9 347/20 355/16 357/6 | friendly [2] 59/13 392/3 |
| 374/1 | 368/7 376/16 377/12 380/2 386/19 | friends [11] 20/8 20/15 71/8 |
| finding [1] 45/9 | follow-up [13] $48 / 25$ 98/24 110/20 | 143/24 215/7 215/9 242/20 279/17 |
| findings [1] 45/22 | 125/16 127/2 178/4 199/23 222/5 | 290/15 391/13 391/22 |
| finds [1] 210/17 | 306/5 319/2 357/6 376/16 380/2 | front[6] 14/7 21/23 27/9 74/11 |
| fine [14] 105/7 191/4 197/3 244/3 | follow-ups [2] 103/16 304/7 | 187/7 268/7 |
| 244/3 270/5 270/13 270/16 294/19 | followed [18] 15/13 40/1861/14 | frustrating [1] 147/24 |
| 349/13 362/23 399/6 403/15 | 121/25 140/20 186/22 186/25 | full [12] 27/9 45/7 75/13 75/19 |
| 403/20 | 217/5 238/2 238/10 280/18 280/20 | 75/19 75/20 85/12 85/16 205/4 |
|  | 281/4 281/6 354/15 354/19 382/9 | 218/23 290/11312/2 |
| finish [5] 76/13 76/22 146/18 | 386/9 | full-time [6] 75/13 75/19 75/20 |


| F | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 250 / 16357 / 16262 / 2284 / 20 \\ 315 / 11333 / 22341 / 18341 / 19 \\ 352 / 16369 / 1374 / 12 \end{array}\right.$ | gossip [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| full-time... [3] 85/12 85/16 |  |  |
| fully [2] 172/20 266/13 | given [12] 18/18 39/20 124/8 | $09 / 12209 / 13340 / 12355$ |
| fun [3] 132/13 228/19 351/9 | /20 208/6 210/3 233/24 253/25 | govern [1] 83/2 |
| nction [1] 149/22 | 304/6 311/25 377/16 381/2 | governed [1] 380 |
| functioning [1] 150/3 | gives [6] 50/18 169/6 169/7 | government [1] 259/17 |
| functions [1] 180/10 | 169/12 380/1 383/23 | [4] 56/4 77/2 3 |
| funeral [1] 84/24 | 175 | 307/13 |
| furnishings [1] 306/23 | 267/3 327/20 340/10 361/3 377/8 | graduated [3] 58/24 59/1 277/2 |
| further [4] 124/10 218/8 2 | glad [4] 108/1 244/20 244/22 | [1] 76/16 |
| 326/15 |  | Grand [4] 200/7 200/16 |
| future [3] 166/17 316/19 399/23 | glean [1] 311/7 | 201/2 |
| fuzzy [1] 134/12 | Glo [3] 181/16 181/18 181/1 |  |
| G | goal [1] 316/6 <br> God [4] 84/5 196/15 263/19 375/25 | granddaughters [6] 321/25 <br> 322/3 322/9 322/16 322/18 |
| Gailoff [1] 392/9 | goer [1] 26/21 |  |
| gain [1] 111/3 | goes [10] 32/24 | grandsons [1] 322/4 |
| Galactica [1] 253/ | 114/16 123/4 171/11 171/11 258/5 | grant [3] 270/11 274/1 405/1 |
| [2] 363/17 363/82/ | 269/22 269/24 |  |
| game [12] 182/15 182/20 183/1 | going [138] 4/2 | grapevine [1] 118/15 |
| 183/1 183/2 183/3 183/18 183/20 | 12/9 24/20 28/13 29/20 42/21 | graphic [5] 63/5 63/12 64/10 82/7 |
| 185/5 200/5 200/10 266/12 | 42/23 44/8 50/6 50/23 55/20 | 359/18 |
| games [23] 181/4 181/5 182/18 | 59/14 67/16 68/1875/21 76/8 | grave [1] |
| 183/10 199/25 200/7 200/10 | 76/11 76/25 77/21 79/17 81/2 | great [9] 32/6 32/11 86/15 106/22 |
| 200/12 200/12 200/24 201/5 | 87/12 87/12 88/24 92/22 92/25 | 170/15 250/5 311/20 352/20 |
| 249/15 249/16 251/1 251/7 251/1 | 93/8 95/17 97/25 98/3 98/5 98/12 | 383/22 |
| 251/18 251/20 251/21 251/24 | 98/15 98/17 98/18 103/12 104/22 | greater [2] 138/11 150/16 |
| 252/1 252/5 267/7 | 105/5 106/15 107/20 108/18 | greatest [1] 353/4 |
| garage [1] 32/17 | 108/24 121/17 140/18 150/6 152/8 | Green [4] 55/20 |
| garbage [2] 155/13 155/13 | 161/13 163/7 165/12 166/3 166/9 | 279/5 |
| gas [1] 18/8 | 167/25 169/14 171/6 171/9 171/12 | grew [1] 249/1 |
| gather [2] 255/12 268/14 | 171/15 171/17 171/22 174/23 | groceries [1] 306/24 |
| gathered [1] 35/22 | 176/12 176/15 190/20 190/21 | grocery [1] 321/2 |
| gathering [5] 129/16 182/20 201/9 | 190/22 195/6 204/9 204/10 206/23 | group [8] 27/9 182/17 350/13 |
| 201/10 201/11 | 210/8 212/6 212/11 212/12 212/16 | 350/17 351/7 351/9 363/7 370/8 |
| gatherings [1] 59/1 | 212/19 214/20 217/20 228/10 | groups [1] 182/7 |
| gave [16] 8/8 64/21 98/25 129/5 | 229/4 237/10 243/7 255/3 255/12 | growing [2] 58/20 58/21 |
| 141/17 174/5 175/10 175/20 178/5 | 256/12 257/8 261/3 263/14 264/8 | grown [1] 329/23 |
| 182/5 200/5 222/6 333/3 346/10 | 266/23 267/1 267/8 267/13 267/18 | gruesome [1] 36/25 |
| 359/14 398/1 | 267/20 268/11 270/8 270/8 270/9 | guarantee [1] 16/25 |
| gee [1] 297/18 | 270/11 270/11 270/25 273/16 | guess [76] 24/7 28/23 30/23 46/6 |
| Geez [1] 119/5 | 274/1 274/9 290/18 291/14 293/13 | 47/12 58/23 61/19 62/1 62/5 63/1 |
| general [30] 10/21 20/5 54/19 | 295/21 297/15 301/25 302/16 | 63/16 63/22 65/9 67/14 69/2 |
| 95/23 105/3 108/6 108/22 112/3 | 315/23 317/12 329/4 331/20 332/6 | 69/10 71/1 74/18 74/23 74/25 |
| 126/21 138/25 179/15 223/6 237/9 | 334/4 340/8 345/3 346/6 347/5 | 75/19 75/20 76/2 76/15 77/16 |
| 253/3 276/8 301/25 305/18 306/18 | 350/5 353/24 363/13 367/18 | 78/7 78/13 82/9 83/23 84/6 84/10 |
| 306/21 309/25 313/25 315/5 | 368/14 372/13 373/11 373/14 | 86/7 87/15 87/19 88/6 88/24 91/6 |
| 315/14 325/11 334/10 347/10 | 379/7 379/20 393/20 398/22 403/6 | 91/25 92/19 92/20 96/17 97/4 |
| 349/25 381/9 381/23 388/5 | 405/3 | 112/12 116/23 117/20 137/20 |
| generally [11] 132/20 233/16 | goings [1] 236/4 | 139/15 139/22 156/3 158/2 164/8 |
| 309/18 310/22 311/4 314/4 314/16 | gone [8] 35/18 40/15 71/10 71/21 | 169/10 171/19 173/7 198/5 213/2 |
| 316/18317/12 328/14 388/5 | 166/18 203/20 235/11 255/14 | 248/2 250/18 250/25 252/7 258/2 |
| gentleman [3] 306/9 321/1 368/15 | good [75] 10/18 10/19 25/12 25/14 | 258/6 258/18 260/13 264/20 299/7 |
| geopolitics [3] 249/10 249/18 | 25/22 31/15 39/9 44/19 45/16 | 299/12 300/12 301/16 314/15 |
| 251/8 | 48/16 48/17 54/18 55/2 56/16 | 339/20 358/25 381/17 388/20 |
| GERALD [2] 2/14 110/7 | 57/5 67/14 82/21 85/4 108/16 | 402/6 402/19 |
| Germany [2] 308/4 308/9 | 111/25 112/1 112/7 126/18 126/19 | guessing [1] 248/16 |
| gestured [1] 62/14 | 126/25 139/10 147/19 179/12 | guideline [1] 145/22 |
| gets [6] 34/22 159/6 209/9 293/6 | 179/13 179/19 184/14 198/10 | guidelines [4] 24/15 26/17 145/1 |
| 295/9 296/4 | 199/19 223/5 223/10 226/21 | 230/22 |
| getting [20] 28/16 30/21 32/19 | 231/11 240/14 245/3 248/9 264/10 | guides [1] 265/15 |
| 4/11 37/19 64/9 92/13 149/11 | 276/5 276/6 277/23 283/12 288/18 | guiding [1] 351/3 |
| 154/6 164/7 190/18 190/20 206/24 | 289/16 293/1 297/13 305/14 | guilt [24] 22/4 24/10 42/15 44/15 |
| 225/16 252/7 252/7 273/8 278/20 | 316/10 318/20 320/16 347/9 | 49/10 66/19 68/8 90/7 137/3 |
| 399/22 400/23 | 347/16 349/4 349/20 351/1 356/1 | 148/10 191/18 199/1 261/17 |
| girl [1] 149/9 | 356/23 356/24 359/6 375/15 | 269/20 269/23 296/14 310/21 |
| girlfriend [2] 28/7 192/13 | 379/11 381/8 382/5 382/5 382/19 | 326/12 327/6 343/6 343/7 356/6 |
| girls [1] 322/1 | 383/6 385/19 390/23 391/21 | 356/14 389/23 |
| give [29] 17/19 18/17 23/22 32/8 | 394/17 402/23 402/23 | guilty [106] 16/4 16/12 22/11 |
| 52/22 54/4 77/16 87/14 99/14 | goods [2] 182/2 306/17 | $37 / 23$ 39/17 42/8 48/11 49/12 |
| 138/11 146/15 147/1 152/6 167/25 | goop [2] 193/17 193/20 | 49/12 49/25 64/21 65/2 65/22 |
| 169/11 174/6 185/15 198/21 | gosh [2] 90/20 91/2 | 65/23 67/20 87/18 87/18 88/9 |

guilty... [88] 89/4 90/9 101/5 101/6 101/8 101/11 101/12 101/17
101/20 101/21 118/5 118/8 135/12 135/20 135/25 136/13 136/21 137/3 137/19 138/7 150/22 150/23 151/1 151/4 151/7151/7160/19 163/14 165/14 166/11 206/9 206/20 206/23 212/1 212/20 213/13 214/8 214/15 215/6 215/16 215/18 216/5 216/8 216/9 237/17 237/21 258/15 258/19 259/8 259/19 260/18 260/21 262/20 263/15 269/17 273/5 286/5 294/11 294/14 295/14 300/3 300/4 326/10 326/20 327/14 341/5 342/5 360/5 360/6 367/11 367/13 370/6 370/8 371/9 371/14 372/12 372/14
$372 / 20373 / 4373 / 15374 / 2375 / 16$ 375/17 375/18 375/19 389/16
389/16 402/17
guitar [1] 289/1
Gulf [1] 256/2
guy [21] 36/6 44/4 139/5 146/12
190/13 196/2 197/15 206/23 207/9
231/19 241/5 244/15 252/25 253/1
254/15 258/13 294/5 294/9 383/12 384/16 402/15
guys [15] 79/17 94/20 95/17
130/12 224/7 224/16 230/11
230/16 256/8 256/11 263/3 263/11 294/16 295/5 384/14

## H

had [148] 5/20 6/3 6/14 15/17 22/19 22/21 22/23 23/9 25/16 28/5 29/21 35/5 38/2 38/4 49/22 49/24 50/1 63/14 63/22 63/23 64/3 68/14 71/9 71/10 71/21
76/21 77/6 81/25 85/23 86/24 91/19 92/12 93/7 97/21 108/3 114/21 119/12 119/25 124/4 128/13 129/9 129/19 129/24 130/9 130/22 131/9 133/21 133/23 139/3 142/24 143/15 146/22 153/5 164/25 165/1 177/12 185/16 192/15 192/24 193/5 194/4 194/9 195/14 196/18 197/9 198/18 200/11 201/23 202/10 202/18 202/18 203/18 203/22 204/6 208/8 215/3 216/18 216/23 218/13 218/16 $218 / 23219 / 5219 / 10220 / 1$ 220/4 220/12 220/25 224/3 227/2 227/3 227/20 227/23 228/6 228/9 229/3 229/8 229/1 1 230/4 230/5 230/10 230/11 238/1 240/25 242/8 242/12 243/6 243/12 246/19 247/22 248/17 258/11 258/18 266/13 266/19 268/17 282/24 303/8 306/9 306/9 308/13 324/16 328/5 329/13 329/24 344/10 $344 / 11344 / 20348 / 1351 / 8354 / 19$ $355 / 12363 / 23363 / 23364 / 6$ 370/2 $370 / 3$ 370/5 379/2 379/3 385/21 391/9 391/16 392/2 393/9 393/10 396/14 400/22 406/13
hadn't [1] 108/2
hair [4] 133/20 133/24 137/24 359/20
Halbach [15] 48/15 83/5 129/10 131/4 260/14 260/19 264/6 310/10 $335 / 13336 / 6375 / 17396 / 23$
$397 / 11$ 400/1 400/7
Halbach's [3] 310/16 322/13
396/10
half [8] $32 / 1485 / 1 \quad 121 / 18197 / 1$
220/23 314/8 358/15 396/14
hand [22] $8 / 2443 / 25$ 53/8 78/23
110/9 125/8 163/5 177/21 204/22
211/1 221/22 231/3 245/1 270/18
274/9 303/21 339/14 342/3 345/25
349/6 374/25 379/15
handle [2] 292/17 292/18
handled [1] 195/2
handler [1] 180/4
handling [1] 347/5
hands [7] 33/4 34/3 34/17 161/21
162/2 162/3 228/14
handy [1] 385/1
hang [3] 94/24 94/25 290/15
happen [11] 81/15 101/14 139/2
142/16 161/1 161/3 207/2 341/5
361/17 361/22 393/1
happened [38] 22/19 63/2 63/14 63/22 64/2 70/19 80/7 82/9
119/20 129/13 129/15 131/6 138/5
142/18 142/23 150/21 188/20
191/2 191/2 192/21 196/8 197/14
204/1 212/15 220/13 234/10 237/5 239/16 255/25 322/17 324/20
325/18 329/3 336/16 337/4 344/17 358/5 359/1
happening[5] 64/15 68/23 141/5 206/11 401/16
happens[6] 81/10 114/16 207/1
302/18 387/11 399/3
happily [1] 42/19
happy [1] 73/11
hard [20] 31/12 39/19 108/14
134/22 138/5 151/16 209/19 291/5
$311 / 20325 / 13$ 325/25 328/2
328/11 337/11 339/22 340/2 365/2
368/4 386/7 386/21
harder [1] 260/9
hardship [11] $7 / 8$ 12/4 12/7 56/21
161/9 217/6 217/16 217/19 255/6
255/11 382/13
Harvestor [2] 395/7 395/10
hasn't [9] 13/7 70/21 71/3 92/6
234/15 266/13 319/1 355/3 360/5
hate [1] 52/17
hates [1] 254/18
haven't [31] 15/12 26/25 35/18 40/5 40/14 40/18 64/12 95/5
107/24 131/9 167/23 186/24
186/25 208/10 213/9 229/3 234/4
238/2 238/10 283/6 327/25 345/5
348/14 353/23 354/15 354/23
354/25 357/8 362/25 363/5 369/14
having [20] $28 / 1634 / 359 / 25$
67/10 68/16 189/10 189/14 199/10
204/19 209/19 216/18 231/3 240/2
261/5 287/5 304/15 309/19 323/22
334/6 359/25
hawk [2] 250/19 250/20
hazardous [1] 181/22
head [9] 44/8 44/9 134/13 191/5
196/22 199/8 389/1 399/14 399/1 5
headline [4] 70/19 122/25 236/13 328/7
headlines [3] 122/9 122/12 328/3
headway [2] 176/16 267/13
hear [99] 6/7 9/11 $13 / 12$ 30/25
37/20 41/14 41/16 41/18 47/19
48/10 50/6 50/22 50/23 74/14
$78 / 2382 / 1583 / 1184 / 1384 / 18$
93/24 100/15 101/14 102/16
104/10 104/19 105/17 109/9 111/15 125/19 134/23 137/6 152/3 155/22 162/7 162/7 163/9 163/11
164/10 164/10 168/3 168/8 169/14
169/22 170/25 171/15 189/19
204/15 205/17 212/6 220/14
232/12 232/12 234/6 236/4 237/19
237/20 255/13 258/11 259/22
261/9 262/16 262/18 263/10
263/25 268/4 268/21 269/8 270/1
270/6 274/4 299/3 299/9 301/17
$301 / 19304 / 10310 / 24311 / 1311 / 6$
314/24 320/10 320/11 320/13
$334 / 1334 / 2334 / 11336 / 12338 / 3$
$339 / 17360 / 24361 / 2361 / 5361 / 6$
362/7 362/14 362/16 362/19
366/13 370/1 374/3
heard [104] 4/23 23/6 26/23 29/21
38/12 40/3 41/2 70/15 70/16
84/12 91/9 92/1 92/6 93/3 93/6
93/11 93/19 93/21 99/2 102/14
118/14 118/24 130/10 140/23
144/15 151/13 151/14 152/7
152/13 152/15 153/5 153/10
153/16 153/19 155/13 155/20
155/21 156/2 156/23 157/1 157/3
157/11 165/4 166/5 167/23 188/9
188/25 190/9 191/19 206/11 206/12 207/18 207/19 207/23 208/7 208/10 $213 / 9216 / 18219 / 8$ 220/5 220/8 220/22 234/2 234/9 237/16 240/12 242/7 253/19 257/23 258/1 258/6 262/24 280/22 280/23 283/7 293/24 294/2 296/23 299/21 300/14 300/21 316/3 $317 / 15322 / 15335 / 2336 / 19$ 358/1 $8359 / 13$ 360/14 361/11 361/15 361/15 361/24 362/10 $362 / 10362 / 17362 / 24363 / 1363 / 2$ 363/23 369/14 401/7 401/9 401/20 hearing [28] 5/16 61/25 78/24 82/8 94/11 101/9 123/2 124/13 151/2 151/8 166/17 166/19 171/2 187/2 187/25 188/8 189/24 190/4 190/11 190/15 279/21 287/6 327/23 335/24 358/23 359/25 387/20 388/7
hearsay [2] 191/7 263/1
heart [1] 174/4
heartfelt [2] 16/8 269/10 heavily [1] 257/15
held [5] 7/17 55/22 55/23 173/15 380/17
helicopter [1] 83/12
help [21] 25/1 63/20 134/24
134/25 145/17 147/14 147/15
149/1 8 154/9 223/11 287/10
291/15 316/18 320/23 320/24
$321 / 1$ 347/22 376/1 384/14 384/20
384/22
helped [2] 258/2 383/12
helpful [3] 39/11 86/8 134/2
helping [3] 24/25 54/23 128/20
helps [4] $25 / 16384 / 16384 / 17$
385/17
Herald [3] 122/8 235/25 328/5
Herald-Times [3] 122/8 235/25 328/5
heraldtimesreporter.com [1] 235/11
here's [2] 270/7 372/3
hereby [1] 406/6
heroes [1] 206/22
herself [2] 163/17 173/21
hey [2] 165/12 214/22
hi [3] 242/16 243/7 395/1
hide [6] $44 / 4$ 90/23 91/2 163/8 163/15 295/23
hiding [5] 156/10 211/20 211/22 339/16 342/6
high [15] 58/15 58/16 59/16 59/17 59/18 59/21 127/24 239/13 246/13 246/14 277/3 285/24 289/5 289/21 290/7
higher [3] 160/3 160/3 307/10 highly [1] 264/7
himself [4] 163/17 210/18 301/10 372/18
hired [2] 114/10114/13
historical [4] 249/16 319/20
320/20 320/22
hit [5] 77/21 121/23 140/6 195/23 292/16
hit-and-run [1] 140/6
hobbies [4] 72/9 249/15 277/25
382/21
hobby [3] 31/3 201/13 383/19
hold [12] 24/8 102/7 104/5 104/22
105/5 156/7 $156 / 13183 / 8$ 260/15
292/6 341/11 374/23
holding [2] 183/15 213/14
hole [2] 30/21 83/24
holes [1] 66/3
holiday [2] 79/12 129/25
holidays [1] 142/7
Holy [1] 385/9
home [34] 9/13 53/24 56/6 62/10
$76 / 13$ 76/19 77/4 111/1 121/22
121/23 125/20 125/21 132/11
178/12 181/1 186/9 187/16 197/17
197/18 222/12 232/17 271/5
274/25 275/1 277/8 277/9 304/13
306/22 321/16 336/4 344/11
346/14 348/13 380/9
home-schooled [1] 56/6
homemaker [2] 254/22 347/25
homes [1] 57/19
Hon [1] 1/9
honest [9] $17 / 3$ 84/5 170/19 174/3 174/15 262/11 340/1 366/9 366/17
honestly [2] 172/4 318/5
honesty [2] 198/16 332/2
Hong [1] 226/19
honor[15] 52/14 103/15 123/22
126/15 170/7 176/19 221/10
261/13 272/7 295/6 344/5 344/7
378/23 379/19 403/1
honored [1] 280/5
hope [28] 96/5 96/10 96/11 96/18
153/24 154/1 154/10 155/18
160/25 167/7 168/20 169/1 255/18
296/25 297/1 297/23 297/24 298/1 $333 / 1333 / 2333 / 4350 / 25366 / 12$
$367 / 14367 / 16$ 367/17 368/9 369/2
hopefully [2] 12/24 320/6
hopes [2] 369/22 369/23
hoping [2] 100/23 176/21
horrible [2] 82/10 402/18
horseback [2] 72/11 72/13
hospital [4] 95/6 193/4 351/1 390/12
Hospitals [1] 350/15
hot [1] 192/23
hour [10] 85/14 233/3 233/4 233/5 233/7 234/6 235/4 257/9 358/15 364/13
hours [20] 73/3 74/15 74/19 74/24 75/1776/5 77/11 121/17121/18 132/5 132/13 149/12 186/5 232/21 253/25 257/6 291/3 291/4 292/3 311/21
house [13] 22/23 27/22 28/18
59/11 70/2 83/10 83/13 147/5
159/7 264/22 387/7 390/21 390/22
household [1] 161/14
how's [1] 243/7
however[5] 29/7 92/23 93/15
116/3 271/23
huh [1] 92/7
human [16] $22 / 1347 / 1085 / 18$
85/21 86/16 87/4 176/6 212/11
214/22 262/15 296/24 301/17
333/6 365/21 366/21 402/11
humor [1] 131/13
humorous [1] 130/17
hundred [1] 248/7
hunting [4] 382/20 382/22 385/4 385/10
hurt [2] 24/20 140/16
husband [1] 349/24
hypotheticals [1] 367/6
I
I'II [3] 105/2 174/18 233/20
I'm [285] 5/5 5/14 8/15 10/20
10/22 16/4 19/4 20/18 24/7 25/20
27/10 28/11 28/13 28/17 29/18
$30 / 330 / 2230 / 2331 / 832 / 933 / 9$ 34/9 34/23 37/11 37/11 39/25 42/21 42/23 43/2 43/9 44/19 45/16 48/21 54/18 54/20 55/20 56/13 56/14 56/15 57/5 61/11 61/11 64/8 64/9 66/7 67/10 67/16 68/3 74/10 74/11 74/16 76/25 $77 / 8$ 80/1 5 81/1 81/4 83/3 83/3 $84 / 387 / 1287 / 1288 / 691 / 2592 / 1$ 94/21 95/16 95/21 97/12 98/7 98/20 100/7 100/22 103/11 104/16 105/19 106/17 107/13 107/20 108/1 108/3 108/17 108/23 108/24 109/15 109/20 112/2 112/4 112/21 115/15 117/3 121/14 126/20 126/22 127/5 127/17 131/18
136/16 139/8 139/22 141/13
141/20 142/18 144/22 145/25
147/3 147/7 147/19 149/5 150/6
157/20 158/2 158/3 160/11 161/10 165/2 165/4 170/13 173/8 174/18 176/9 179/14 179/16 181/17
181/21 182/24 183/7 189/19 191/3 193/19 202/14 210/14 212/19 213/2 213/21 216/12 219/16 220/6 220/9 223/6 223/7 223/18 224/13
224/13 228/20 229/4 232/10 233/6 234/5 239/1 240/21 244/15 244/19 244/22 248/16 249/19 250/10 251/2 254/13 259/11 261/1 261/1 261/1 262/6 263/12 263/14 263/24 264/1 264/2 264/3 266/22 267/8 267/17 268/11 270/7 270/8 270/8 270/10 270/11 272/7 273/16 274/1 274/9 276/7 277/9 278/18 280/2 280/9 281/5 282/13 283/6 284/4 284/7 287/5 287/14 289/17 290/12 291/1 291/8 292/8 293/16 294/21

295/1 296/22 296/23 296/24
301/13 302/6 305/15 305/16
$311 / 13311 / 14311 / 17311 / 19$
312/17314/21 316/2 316/20
316/21 316/22 317/6 317/12 318/4 $319 / 6319 / 7319 / 22320 / 2320 / 4$ 323/4 325/23 326/25 327/3 327/6
$328 / 15$ 328/15 328/17 329/2 329/4
$330 / 12334 / 1335 / 17337 / 16339 / 2$
$340 / 8$ 340/12 341/2 345/3 345/8 345/14 347/10 347/11 349/12 350/5 356/9 358/9 359/24 360/1
$365 / 12366 / 4366 / 5368 / 14369 / 15$
$370 / 18371 / 21371 / 23372 / 1373 / 8$ 373/14 379/6 381/9 381/10 382/15
$387 / 6$ 387/15 395/11 396/13
401/24 402/7 402/9 402/13 402/21
402/25 403/6 404/18 404/23
i's [1] 396/23
I've [2] 319/25 349/11
ice [2] 351/4 351/6
Idaho [1] 228/14
idea [15] 48/7 94/4 95/21 108/7
134/3 141/2 237/9 242/8 243/23
269/9 282/4 292/24 394/17 394/18
400/18
ideally [1] 29/6
identification [1] 317/16
identified [2] 54/8 352/6
identify [7] 10/2 10/8 126/8
275/20 305/2 322/16 380/25
identifying [2] 179/2 304/23
identity [1] 222/21
Idol [1] 94/24
ifs [1] 84/11
ignorance [1] 250/17
ignore [2] 50/13 204/9
II [2] 249/4 249/19
ill [1] 301/15
illegal [2] 229/12 335/6
Illinois [7] 183/25 184/7 287/19
287/21 288/14 288/15 288/16
image [2] 83/5 84/20
images [7] 4/15 4/18 4/19 4/25
5/12 5/13 83/9
imagine [5] 136/16 145/10 147/24
228/8 359/24
immediate [4] 35/20 35/21 126/23
276/10
impact [1] 20/10
impartial [10] 20/11 72/4 116/6
131/15 173/25 240/4 266/23 272/1
273/12 306/4
impartiality [1] 404/18
Imperfect [1] 47/12
implicated [1] 310/16
imply [1] 325/20
implying [1] 135/12
importance [1] 18/16
important [4] 70/7 109/19 145/11
262/21
importantly [1] 107/15
imposed [1] 304/15
impossible [4] 157/18 171/5 177/6
221/2
impression [4] 143/6 143/13
220/24 266/7
impressionable [1] 301/4
improper [1] 335/6
improve [1] 229/6
improvements [1] 229/10
impulsive [1] 311/13
in [962]

|  | initially [1] 240/24 | interested [13] 16/18 21/24 25/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in Calumet [1] 390/14 | innocence [23] 22/4 24/11 49/11 |  |
| in-laws [1] 235/24 | 68/9 106/8 135/22 148/10 191/18 | 288/18 |
| in-laws' [1] 264/21 | 199/1 214/19 214/19 310/21 316/4 | interesting [26] 45/9 45/11 45/ |
| inability [1] 106/7 | 316/14 316/17 316/23 327/7 356/6 | 68/14 78/14 78/22 108/10 183/21 |
| inaccurate [1] 154/18 | 356/14 370/24 372/22 374/15 | 192/13 192/16 231/4 232/8 248/19 |
| Incentive [1] 248/6 | 389/23 | 257/13 282/2 282/5 282/11 282/16 |
| incident [2] 196/24 203/2 | innocent [41] 39/13 39/15 39/16 | 282/19 299/23 299/25 300/7 |
| incidents [1] 202/10 | 41/12 44/3 64/21 67/5 88/8 | 323/23 351/20 355/3 382/6 |
| inclination [1] 344/14 | 101/18 135/24 164/14 208/9 | interim [1] 56/18 |
| inclined [5] 311/1 311/14 341/7 | 209/20 209/23 210/1 210/5 210/11 | interjection [1] 107/1 |
| 358/25 361/17 | 210/15 212/2 214/20 215/16 216/7 | International [1] 244/11 |
| include [4] 8/11 88/10 88/14 | 237/18 258/14 258/24 259/10 | internet [31] 9/21 15/23 20/22 |
| 377/21 | 286/2 294/8 294/12 294/18 294/20 | 20/23 20/24 21/5 60/11 73/16 |
| included [3] 9/9 81/16 299/13 | 295/13 295/14 295/24 295/25 | 73/18 111/6 144/4 178/17 181/8 |
| includes [1] 4/15 | 326/10 327/9 327/17 360/4 372/18 | 231/17 231/18 233/12 233/14 |
| including [5] 64/19 200/21 307/10 | 372/20 | 234/3 234/4 234/25 235/8 275/8 |
| 369/19 378/7 | input [2] 43/16 374/9 | 278/2 278/5 278/20 309/8 312/13 |
| income [3] 12/18 292/7 399/22 | inquire [1] 277 | 312/14 312/17312/19 312/21 |
| inculpatory [1] 175/19 | ins [1] 60/1 | internship [1] 307/20 |
| indeed [1] 261/16 | insertions [1] 85/24 | interpose [2] 267/9 340/9 |
| indefinitely [1] 30/4 | inside [2] 70/21 130/12 | interposing [1] 340/13 |
| indicate [6] 6/6 107/22 124/3 | instance [16] 24/9 26/16 106/18 | interpret [1] 182/4 |
| 231/16 272/12 341/4 | 118/4 136/24 152/25 173/19 187/6 | interrogation [1] 337/24 |
| indicated [28] 18/21 56/23 58/9 | 235/9 277/15 279/4 279/12 286/5 | interrupt [1] 272/8 |
| 58/13 69/18 71/4 100/21 129/5 | 358/12 367/3 368/18 | interview [1] 197/12 |
| 129/8 137/24 140/4 141/10 168/5 | instead [5] 78/23 79/1 80/9 293/14 | intimidating [1] 78/19 |
| 172/23 174/5 191/25 226/24 | 348/10 | into[52] 13/14 19/18 21/20 26/20 |
| 265/16 266/5 266/17 269/8 272/2 | instruct [8] 51/9 65/12 102/2 | 28/9 29/19 30/21 31/3 32/24 33/7 |
| 279/15 343/1 354/23 376/22 377/1 | 164/11 169/19 210/4 342/9 343/2 | 44/8 49/2 51/2 61/12 71/13 |
| 379/5 | instructed [18] 17/9 49/25 100/3 | 106/19 110/7 134/1 142/7 145/18 |
| indicates [3] 307/25 335/8 343/5 | 102/6 107/24 109/13 136/6 137/10 | 156/20 159/22 175/8 195/5 203/19 |
| indicating [1] 175/12 | 156/17 163/2 177/14 213/17 271/7 | 205/12 205/15 221/6 232/18 238/6 |
| indicative [1] 172/1 | 275/4 284/20 336/24 365/19 | 242/15 243/5 251/21 254/6 254/8 |
| individual [16] 4/4 9/6 53/14 54/2 | 366/19 | 256/12 265/5 291/8 291/13 291/14 |
| 54/7 108/24 110/18 140/20 142/17 | instruction [32] 8/11 9/18 17/20 | 299/13 306/2 311/9 312/21 315/23 |
| 159/4 178/2 222/3 245/6 274/16 | 42/16 44/12 50/2 50/5 90/11 | 324/8 328/9 336/5 338/1 343/18 |
| 346/7 346/18 | 102/24 163/3 164/23 164/24 | 364/18 396/21 |
| individually [3] 125/15 305/24 | 170/25 171/23 173/14 177/7 | introduced [4] 51/2 99/16 175/25 |
|  | 198/18 211/13 214/21 238/23 | 242/6 |
| individuals [6] 197/25 312/5 312/9 | 259/1 284/24 333/4 333/14 341/23 | invariably [1] 106/6 |
| 316/7 330/8 352/6 | 343/10 343/13 368/7 368/8 374/12 | inventory [1] 379/8 |
| industrial [1] 181/19 | 377/13 377/15 | invested [2] 206/7 367/8 |
| industry [1] 20/17 | instructions[16] 8/12 8/15 8/17 | investigate [2] 246/5 315/16 |
| infer [2] 18/14 18/15 | 17/6 18/18 99/3 99/5 99/14 101/3 | investigating [2] 297/9 366/7 |
| inference [2] 109/15 343/4 | 108/25 167/23 170/15 172/22 | investigation [6] 160/16 192/1 |
| influence [1] 363/25 | 173/2 214/25 318/11 | 205/3 315/20 334/19 367/9 |
| inform [3] 126/1 304/9 344/9 | instructor [1] 147/21 | investigations [1] 284/6 |
| information [86] 6/15 6/25 9/9 | instructs [4] 164/17 165/10 198/14 | investigative [1] 308/21 |
| 1/5 13/17 $15 / 316 / 116 / 1316 / 1$ | 258/23 | investigator [2] 391/6 391/7 |
| 16/20 16/23 17/11 20/25 21/4 | instrument [6] 223/18 224/23 | investigators [2] 337/25 361/25 |
| 22/20 24/11 50/8 53/1856/24 | 227/7 230/14 230/20 288/24 | involuntary [1] 142/14 |
| 70/22 73/19 99/12 111/4 119/19 | instrumentation [1] 223/20 | involve [5] 30/12 44/22 200/11 |
| 120/5 120/9 121/2 125/16 127/3 | instruments [1] 288/25 | 247/25 284/16 |
| 133/2 133/11 133/16 134/12 | insulate [1] 79/21 | involved [38] 23/9 23/15 33/7 |
| 134/18 134/21 138/22 140/13 | insurance [1] 292/23 | 36/14 36/15 36/21 37/5 37/16 |
| 144/9 150/8 150/11 150/14 152/3 | intended [1] 4/18 | 37/16 38/6 43/14 47/5 119/8 |
| 152/15 153/16 154/16 156/5 | intending [1] 292/11 | 127/22 128/11 160/16 194/15 |
| 156/15 172/7 179/23 181/7 208/8 | intends [1] 4/16 | 195/17 201/17 206/18 230/21 |
| 218/14 219/4 219/11 231/13 | intense [2] 105/23 297/14 | 236/23 257/15 258/8 263/22 |
| 237/24 238/13 239/4 250/17 | intentional [1] 171/25 | 266/10 282/17 286/7 308/20 |
| 265/17 265/24 265/24 276/14 | intently [1] 310/4 | 319/11 319/19 320/1 330/12 |
| 280/16 280/24 282/23 304/8 309/5 | interact [3] 59/7 240/7 390/25 | 357/16 383/10 385/10 388/19 |
| 309/20 311/7 313/7 313/8 323/23 | interaction [1] 249/22 | 400/15 |
| 334/24 335/13 336/10 347/21 | interactions [1] 249/20 | involvement [4] 310/15 335/25 |
| 355/2 355/9 355/10 355/13 371/17 | interest [26] 13/18 15/10 15/13 | 338/11 404/19 |
| 380/2 381/12 389/5 389/21 | 36/20 58/10 73/20 112/9 112/12 | involving [5] 21/17 119/21 122/7 |
| informational [1] 312/22 | 137/23 145/4 162/25 165/19 | 142/13 347/15 |
| informed [6] 119/12 124/6 238/11 | 201/14 235/17 236/2 249/10 | Iraq [1] 81/1 |
| 267/17 344/21 353/1 | 249/18 250/5 250/12 251/1 252/6 | iron [2] 381/18381/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ing [1] 80/8 } \\ & \text { initial [2] } 153 / 8335 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | 289/11 332/9 352/21 377/22 377/22 | irrelevant [1] 260/25 <br> issue [14] 7/7 7/8 18/10 107/2 |


| 1 | $12 / 6$ 16/22 $17 / 10$ 23/23 39/13 50/14 52/11 52/15 53/5 53/9 | K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| issue... [10] 107/14 170/23 175/25 | 53/18 53/21 55/5 56/8 72/4 73/1 | Kaboom [1] 181/16 |
| 177/3 219/17 268/9 269/20 293/2 | 99/6 100/6 100/22 101/15 101/25 | KAY [1] 3/4 |
| 293/3 295/15 | 102/17 103/4 103/10 105/10 | keep [10] 34/22 77/10 220/2 |
| issued [1] 245/19 | 105/14 106/11 109/1 109/7 110/1 | 266/25 313/11 321/5 321/6 322/21 |
| issues [4] 20/5 52/2 282/10 295/16 | 110/7 110/11 110/24 116/4 124/2 | 344/14 405/9 |
| Italy [1] 226/20 | 124/7 124/15 124/24 125/9 125/12 | keeping [2] 149/13 401/1 |
| items [2] 50/24 144/9 | 126/7 131/16 143/22 148/9 148/25 | keeps [2] 244/23 325/13 |
| itself [9] 54/5 97/21 111/17 126/9 | 166/22 166/23 168/1 169/14 | Kelli [4] 69/22 69/24 94/15 95/24 |
| 148/14 224/9 250/22 282/20 | 169/20 170/2 170/13 173/17 | Ken [8] 4/6 54/21 112/5 126/23 |
| 380/25 | 173/19 174/17175/3 175/7 175/9 | 179/17 276/10 305/14 347/13 |
| J | 176/10 176/10 177/1 177/18 | KENNETH [1] 1/12 |
|  | 177/19 177/22 194/14 198/25 | Kenosha [1] 314/1 |
| jail [3] 70/25 96/22 135/10 | 207/6 217/24 218/1 218/8 221/23 | kept [3] 10/25 140/18 253/17 |
| James [1] 350/9 | 222/3 238/18 261/10 264/15 265/3 | kid [2] 201/7 288/2 |
| January [5] 4/17 77/19 219/21 | 266/24 267/18 270/8 270/12 | kids [6] 82/17 242/17 243/22 |
| 246/17 397/14 | 270/20 271/2 271/24 272/1 273/4 | 251/25 351/24 402/14 |
| January 18th [1] 4/17 | 273/19 273/23 274/2 274/4 274/11 | kill [7] 48/14 212/18 260/14 |
| January 4th [1] 219/21 | 274/20 277/12 281/14 281/21 | 260/19 260/23 371/10 371/16 |
| Jason [1] 241/5 | 281/23 282/1 287/3 296/5 303/4 | killed [5] 71/5 71/12 84/23 373/12 |
| Jeopardy [1] 353/18 | 303/10 303/23 318/15 333/24 | 393/11 |
| jerk [2] 254/13 254/14 | 344/1 344/3 345/24 346/2 346/6 | kind [119] 12/7 13/13 55/9 59/23 |
| JEROME [11] 1/18 4/9 27/10 74/10 | 346/12 355/18 356/13 360/17 | 62/14 67/12 69/9 76/24 77/23 |
| 149/5 199/19 240/21 287/14 | 378/5 378/11 378/19 379/12 | 78/14 82/12 85/21 86/10 92/9 |
| 318/20 357/2 395/1 | 379/16 379/20 380/8 380/22 382/7 | 96/1 96/20 109/2 114/24 118/12 |
| Jim [1] 55/7 | 382/12 389/25 392/19 394/18 | 133/3 140/16 145/21 147/6 150/14 |
| job [34] 20/7 24/18 25/9 25/14 | 403/19 403/23 404/1 404/13 405/1 | 150/23 155/17 159/5 163/3 164/20 |
| 25/22 43/18 56/16 61/11 70/6 | juror's [2] 107/19 274/10 | 164/23 180/19 181/13 181/13 |
| 74/17 75/13 75/20 75/22 88/8 | jurors [76] 2/2 6/5 6/20 7/13 7/23 | 182/5 182/6 182/16 182/18 182/21 |
| 88/9 88/16 88/17 97/1 132/7 | 8/12 9/10 9/15 9/18 10/2 10/7 | 182/23 183/1 183/2 183/11 183/12 |
| 146/16 155/3 160/3 225/3 228/7 | 10/8 42/6 44/1 53/21 54/4 54/6 | 185/24 193/15 193/22 194/24 |
| 277/18 283/13 284/10 285/15 | 54/7 99/2 99/20 100/2 102/2 | 196/8 201/15 201/21 201/22 |
| 292/6 297/14 314/14 314/20 367/9 | 107/24 108/14 109/12 110/24 | 203/10 204/12 205/3 209/22 |
| 382/5 | 111/3111/6111/12 111/15 125/18 | 214/21 224/19 225/13 228/24 |
| jobs [1] 230/8 | 125/20 125/23 126/5 126/9 167/22 | 231/7 231/24 233/2 233/17 236/16 |
| joe [2] 47/22 96/3 | 168/2 178/7 178/10 178/14 178/22 | 238/12 242/9 242/17 242/23 243/7 |
| jog [1] 41/9 | 179/3 213/6 $218 / 23$ 222/9 222/21 | 243/12 243/13 244/15 248/4 |
| JOHN [2] 3/7 274/5 | 266/14 271/4 271/6 271/15 274/25 | 248/18 249/18 250/8 251/24 252/1 |
| join [3] 189/1 245/11 404/24 | 275/3 275/4 275/21 284/19 303/8 | 252/12 253/25 254/12 256/11 |
| Joining [1] 305/17 | 303/18 304/10 304/12 304/15 | 257/18 257/19 264/24 289/19 |
| joins [1] 267/25 | 304/17 304/24 305/2 339/15 | 297/20 297/22 298/25 300/9 301/6 |
| joint [2] 124/15 405/1 | 344/10 344/16 346/19 346/23 | 306/17 307/15 307/22 308/24 |
| jointly [3] 124/6 344/25 403/25 | 377/6 377/7 377/16 377/17 379/8 | 310/22 311/3 311/8 314/14 320/17 |
| judge [62] 1/10 4/7 4/13 5/2 5/23 | 380/11 404/12 404/15 | 321/15 326/11 333/14 349/16 |
| 6/2 17/7 42/11 84/15 89/15 | jurors' [1] 370/20 | 351/8 353/6 359/20 362/11 363/20 |
| 103/24 124/18 125/1 136/5 149/2 | jury [111] 1/4 9/4 9/5 9/12 11/22 | 375/21 381/24 381/25 382/21 |
| 156/17 162/20 163/2 164/11 | $11 / 23$ 23/17 28/25 43/21 44/17 | 382/24 383/6 384/21 386/21 |
| 164/17 164/24 165/10 170/12 | 45/7 49/12 49/17 50/6 50/11 | 391/19 399/11 |
| 172/11 193/9 199/16 203/1 210/4 | 51/22 53/12 53/13 54/10 76/9 | kindergarten [1] 331/16 |
| 214/3 214/17218/4 218/7 220/2 | 78/10 79/3 79/17 80/14 89/9 | kinds [6] 200/25 233/13 302/5 |
| 258/23 261/24 294/22 303/16 | 99/22 100/3 102/6 102/7 102/9 | 312/15 323/18 358/24 |
| 305/11 318/11 318/15 318/17 | 108/5 110/16 110/17111/18 | king [3] 323/18 323/19 323/21 |
| 328/2 331/22 332/3 333/3 333/6 | 112/11 123/5 125/14 126/10 136/3 | KLEIN [12] 2/17 124/25 125/5 |
| 333/14 334/3 340/8 340/11 341/24 | 148/6 148/8 149/16 161/9 163/7 | 125/11 126/18 149/5 167/21 |
| 342/9 345/13 356/20 365/3 365/18 | 166/2 166/20 171/15 178/1 178/21 | 172/13 174/4 177/2 218/9 220/6 |
| 365/19 365/23 366/19 371/4 | 178/25 178/25 209/10 216/15 | KNIER [7] 2/9 53/6 53/6 53/11 |
| 374/12 379/1 | 216/20 217/17 222/19 236/15 | 54/25 98/24 103/23 |
| Judge's [3] 44/12 211/13 328/16 | 238/16 255/2 255/19 265/17 266/6 | knowing [4] 29/25 30/8 272/4 |
| judged [1] 268/23 | 266/9 267/8 270/3 270/24 271/3 | 299/13 |
| judges [2] 294/24 296/11 | 272/6 273/5 274/15 274/23 275/18 | knowledgable [1] 226/25 |
| judging [1] 237/19 | 275/19 275/22 275/25 292/7 | knowledge [10] 40/14 56/25 63/16 |
| judgment [4] 24/9 195/9 310/24 | 292/13 293/6 296/15 299/20 | 91/11 100/10 170/21 210/4 258/1 |
| 317/25 | 301/25 304/4 305/1 305/5 305/23 | 331/4 406/14 |
| jump [1] 311/12 | 306/4 324/16 324/18 327/2 337/7 | known [8] 37/17 53/14 125/14 |
| June [3] 246/14 353/25 363/3 | 338/19 339/9 340/7 341/23 343/2 | 300/13 316/1 330/25 331/15 |
| junior [6] 58/14 58/16 59/17 59/18 | 343/4 346/22 346/25 347/23 | 331/16 |
| 59/20 319/16 | $353 / 24355 / 21360 / 17367 / 18$ | knows [8] 5/17 70/5 105/20 171/9 |
| junkyard [1] 207/14 | $375 / 12378 / 25379 / 25$ 380/6 380/8 | $175 / 22212 / 22373 / 3373 / 20$ |
| juries [2] 75/14 296/11 | 380/24 381/1 382/18 | Knox[2] 58/13 58/14 |
| juror[147] 4/12 6/1 6/8 6/11 6/14 | justice [10] 20/5 112/3 126/22 | Kocourek [3] 330/12 330/18 |
| $6 / 196 / 226 / 236 / 247 / 127 / 16$ | 143/6 179/15 223/7 276/8 285/21 | 332/12 |
| $7 / 25 \quad 8 / 39 / 1 \quad 10 / 5 \quad 10 / 1011 / 22$ | 347/11 381/10 | Kollath [1] 313/25 |

Kong [1] 226/19
KRATZ [26] 1/12 3/11 4/6 5/17
5/20 10/23 54/21 62/15 64/19 66/14 81/25 112/5 126/23 179/17 223/9 276/10 303/15 305/9 305/15 319/1 332/14 335/11 347/13 347/14 357/15 358/18
L
lab [1] 86/3
labor [1] 34/3
laborer [1] 381/23
Labs [3] 180/10 181/11 181/14
lack [6] 154/2 154/2 156/21 338/9
377/22 377/25
lacking [1] 7/11
lady [2] 195/25 199/9
laid [3] 73/5 77/17 77/18
lake [1] 398/5
Lakeshore [1] 253/18
Laments [1] 253/18
land [6] 247/4 391/16 396/16
396/20 397/2 397/23
language [1] 404/10
laptops [1] 405/5
large [1] 241/24
Larry [6] 323/18 323/19 323/21
391/2 391/4 391/5
last[68] $6 / 38 / 111 / 112 / 214 / 12$
27/14 28/21 28/21 32/14 49/7
$54 / 2557 / 1466 / 1174 / 1275 / 11$
80/7 80/12 81/16 91/9 102/19
122/5 122/24 123/1 123/4 127/4
131/1 140/23 149/7 150/24 151/11
151/12 172/16 179/23 183/25
184/7 185/16 187/1 187/2 187/6
216/19 218/8 220/23 235/23 239/2
240/12 240/19 242/12 243/3
248/20 263/16 276/15 287/15
290/18 317/21 328/1 328/18
$344 / 16$ 347/22 349/2 354/5 371/4 375/11 375/24 379/20 381/13
384/1 393/9 395/2
lasting [1] 143/5
late [2] 6/3 10/25
lately [1] 95/5
later [16] 7/21 92/14 102/20
111/21 126/12 176/22 179/7 196/2
257/2 257/10 257/20 272/13
$337 / 14$ 342/23 357/21 397/6
latitude [1] 267/4
latter [1] 5/11
Laurie [1] 398/10
law [45] $1 / 161 / 1846 / 1646 / 21$
47/5 47/9 48/1 95/25 108/12
108/14 141/7 141/11 158/19 195/2 195/14 196/13 196/18 197/23 198/1 199/6 261/5 261/23 272/16 284/17 285/25 286/21 298/16
$307 / 6310 / 11314 / 24315 / 1315 / 6$ 318/11 332/21 364/17 364/17 $377 / 5$ 377/5 377/9 377/10 392/3 392/18 392/20 392/25 393/2
LAWRENCE [14] 3/7 274/5 274/6
274/7 274/8 274/13 276/5 287/7 287/9 302/24 302/25 303/2 303/6 303/14
laws [1] 235/24
laws' [1] 264/21
lawsuit [5] 93/1 93/3 93/4 330/5 330/9
lawyer [10] 104/16 202/19 368/1
398/8 398/10 398/12 398/20
398/25 400/1 400/2
lawyers [7] 27/12 38/13 65/13
287/17 294/24 347/18 359/6 lay [1] 139/25
lead [11] 10/23 54/21 112/6 126/25 154/15 179/17 223/10 276/11 301/5 328/12 347/14 leadership [2] 319/9 319/14 leading [3] 109/2 109/3 330/10 lean [1] 168/18
learn [10] 9/15 45/14 45/16 85/17 85/21 85/23 86/12 227/17 357/21 360/21
learned [9] 36/11 150/9 150/11
152/12 172/7 210/24 280/25 315/18 329/22
learning [4] 86/8 299/25 356/1 375/15
lease [2] 397/1 398/9
least [33] 13/19 21/10 47/24 52/19
56/24 57/11 69/19 71/3 105/21
105/23 106/6 108/8 $112 / 13112 / 14$
120/3 149/20 226/25 250/1 255/13
269/8 270/1 277/20 289/21 297/17
298/13 308/4 309/16 316/5 328/16
354/4 354/19 399/8 401/6
leave [6] 34/22 78/4 161/6 328/14 403/6 405/4
left [21] $7 / 2310 / 2313 / 2 \quad 14 / 11$ 28/25 36/5 54/21 71/9 71/17 112/5 126/23 177/9 179/17 207/20 223/8 244/19 276/10 303/19
344/12 347/12 379/12
legal [3] 400/9 403/14 403/16
legitimate [1] 340/16
length [2] 65/14 325/6
lengthy [1] 108/25
less [21] 28/23 47/1 47/21 96/2
96/25 130/15 130/23 130/24 160/6
205/14 205/19 233/3 279/9 279/10
284/21 285/3 287/22 297/2 315/11
352/17 377/8
let's [26] 17/22 21/15 22/6 28/19
45/18 78/16 79/3 97/10 98/8
102/4 109/24 110/3 116/15 129/24
139/11 146/17 187/5 226/11 241/8
246/11 322/2 341/12 367/10 383/9
401/5 401/7
letter [3] 280/3 313/4 353/25
letting [5] 10/12 126/12 222/24 305/7 381/3
level [10] 20/8 $147 / 3$ 147/4 $172 / 13$
173/16 173/16 174/6 220/12 229/2 265/5
levels [1] 68/24
lie [13] 47/2 47/20 96/7 96/20
160/6 160/11 160/12 206/2 285/3
296/25 297/1 332/7 366/23
lies [1] 395/16
life [21] $25 / 8$ 34/17 34/23 78/21
82/19 83/2 86/12 120/19 155/2
201/6 207/2 288/5 288/17 291/8
306/2 306/10 324/13 324/14
329/24 330/3 364/7
lifelong [1] 390/2
lifted [1] 289/23
light [1] 315/22
Lighthouse [1] 263/19
lightly [5] 18/1 18/6 18/9 24/1 78/2 1
lights [2] 31/2 33/2
like about [1] 288/22
liked [4] 102/13 252/2 301/24 311/13
likely [18] 37/22 47/1 47/21 79/19
96/2 96/2 96/25 160/6 205/14
205/19 205/19 205/19 209/11
284/16 285/3 297/2 332/23 333/1
likes [1] 192/13
likewise [2] 49/22 101/9
Limbaugh [2] 232/13 254/1
limit [1] 267/4
limited [4] 14/20 139/12 272/15 404/19
Linda [2] 52/9 110/8
Lindell [1] 404/9
line [8] 26/24 160/23 206/9 206/15
269/22 298/21 335/5 369/8
linebacker [1] 55/7
Linebackers [4] 74/15 75/2 77/11 79/10
lines [1] 373/19
link [1] 253/15
linked [1] 253/5
list [8] 167/13 167/14 177/19
182/7 277/24 330/17 331/20
344/13
listed [3] 107/12 254/21 303/9
listen [31] $9 / 2017 / 638 / 1538 / 17$ 46/3 61/19 79/24 132/16 132/16 155/16 158/7 158/14 170/25 186/1 189/5 197/9 211/6 231/25 232/10 232/19 232/23 249/25 271/7 275/6 278/25 290/14 290/17 323/25
324/10 353/6 375/1
listened [4] 83/19 122/21 123/13 171/24
listening [13] 47/25 79/1 122/7
151/23 177/8 189/22 204/3 204/4
254/9 278/17 289/11 347/2 354/5
listens [1] 232/16
lists [1] 107/13
literally [1] 59/2
litigation [1] 219/21
little [98] 11/19 13/21 15/16 17/21
17/22 18/12 21/13 21/24 22/18 22/19 23/6 28/15 30/1 30/25 46/15 57/1 57/6 58/12 60/7 60/8 67/10 67/12 71/6 80/5 94/7 107/1 116/15 $118 / 3118 / 12$ 127/7 129/7 131/21 147/24 149/9 159/25 162/1 168/25 176/15 179/25 180/11 180/12 180/13 182/25 183/7 192/3 192/20 192/21 193/11 195/15 195/24 197/20 201/16 208/7 215/8 215/24 $215 / 25$ 223/14 223/16 229/19 231/5 231/12 232/12 235/7 237/20 246/2 249/1 1 258/7 258/10 267/13 272/25 277/13 280/11 282/13 284/14 285/25 287/6 287/8 287/22 287/25 289/1 296/21 298/3 298/8 300/11 325/13 325/25 341/6 $352 / 3355 / 23366 / 16367 / 3370 / 23$ $371 / 17381 / 18385 / 17395 / 5395 / 6$ 401/8
live [16] 27/25 44/12 52/1 73/7
106/7 120/21 187/17 232/9 235/24
261/12 262/4 262/19 264/21
287/21 390/14 395/3
lived [4] 28/7 59/5 239/22 390/4
livelihood [1] 155/2
lives [3] 19/8 33/8 73/7
living [9] 27/18 27/21 28/11 45/2
187/16 187/21 277/8 277/9 385/23

LLC [12] 396/21 397/20 397/22
398/19 398/25 399/9 399/16
399/21 400/9 400/11 400/17 404/20
load [1] 260/4
loan [1] 12/20
local [12] 128/24 231/21 279/7
283/13 309/12 309/23 323/9
323/13 324/2 353/9 353/22 354/14
located [2] 239/9 329/1
location [1] 55/14
$\log$ [2] 65/21 261/4
logical [2] 333/20 334/25
logs [1] 224/17
long [65] $11 / 11$ 25/6 28/23 29/2 29/11 29/15 32/13 55/13 57/11 57/20 58/25 77/17 85/8 85/9 87/2 108/12 115/13 120/11 120/17 120/20 127/14 127/22 127/25 128/11 137/15 142/16 167/3 180/1 181/10 187/21 197/19 201/17 207/16 223/25 226/11 230/11 241/14 241/16 247/12 256/22 256/23 276/22 280/7 287/21 293/9 293/12 306/20 314/11 319/5 321/7 321/11 321/13 330/16 331/17 342/24 347/17 347/18 350/16 $351 / 16$ 381/19 382/1 $1383 / 9$ 385/10 393/13 397/11
long-winded [1] 347/18
longer [8] 117/10 197/20 201/23
255/14 321/3 321/13 385/13 385/14
looked [7] 21/3 21/23 122/8 236/13 240/11 265/16 348/15 looking [18] 28/9 30/12 32/9 35/3 86/1 151/4 155/11 187/10 198/11 291/17 297/17 302/1 302/6 318/3 334/13 341/8 353/3 360/13
looks [7] 7/8 7/9 86/6 86/13 195/17 306/10 313/17
Lori [2] 241/3 241/7
Lori's [1] 242/10
loss [3] 36/20 224/13 282/13
lost [3] 167/6 167/6 253/13
lot [53] 19/23 21/11 24/17 33/14 33/18 36/16 37/1 50/6 52/1 61/1 61/3 71/1 83/17 84/11 94/12 94/14 94/20 95/13 115/18 135/8 150/1 159/11 161/13 163/9 169/15 191/8 191/11 212/6 212/7 224/11 228/18 228/23 234/5 242/4 242/5 248/3 249/5 255/14 257/16 260/9 299/14 320/1 320/11 320/13 326/24 327/13 331/19 350/12 350/14 352/21 353/18 383/23 400/25
Loud [1] 114/14
love [4] 260/19 321/19 321/19 349/9
loving [1] 349/24
LTC [2] 291/9 291/10
luck [3] 26/10 355/25 379/19
lucky [3] 203/4 203/5 299/12
lunch [1] 218/12
lungs [1] 69/1
Lutheran [2] 277/3 288/19
lying [2] 89/13 96/21

## M

ma'am [1] 376/13
machine [4] 381/24 381/25 382/1 406/10
made [17] 6/8 7/19 23/12 38/13
76/12 82/13 82/22 193/15 193/22 218/22 220/20 238/7 247/11
255/21 310/14 379/2 379/7
magazine [4] 144/16 145/7 251/11 316/1
magazines [1] 353/2
Magic[5] 182/20 201/9 201/9 201/10 201/11
mail [1] 351/3
mailing [1] 395/9
main [4] 250/25 252/20 257/12 268/8
mainly [4] 181/4 312/19 313/7
320/24
maintenance [2] 223/19 226/10
major [3] 130/16 156/5 156/5
majority [2] 76/20 121/10
make [96] 12/1 $12 / 5$ 12/21 $12 / 25$
17/12 17/24 23/22 24/18 38/22
38/25 43/5 46/6 47/14 58/6 63/18 65/8 65/10 68/8 68/17 74/14 77/5 80/7 89/20 90/13 97/7 99/4 99/22 100/4 100/25 101/1 102/9 102/23 112/23 112/25 116/16 $118 / 19$ 138/6 139/25 140/2 146/4 155/5 155/9 $158 / 6$ 160/20 160/23 161/10 161/12 165/15 168/18 168/18 174/19 176/15 176/18 176/20 178/7 184/17 186/12 195/9 222/8 223/23 225/15 225/20 229/11
238/11 252/2 260/1 261/7 267/13 274/22 275/10 280/1 293/12 296/19 298/10 299/10 300/7 $321 / 13$ 339/4 342/8 342/24 345/5 345/15 358/24 359/16 359/20 $362 / 8367 / 11367 / 19368 / 16$ $369 / 11$ 373/4 375/20 378/8 379/4 389/9 404/4
makes [24] 30/1 30/1 72/3 78/13 78/17 78/18 82/1790/12 102/18 116/3 131/14 147/19 155/6 198/2 199/7 205/22 240/3 271/23 281/19 302/11 356/5 366/15 394/16 403/1 8
making [12] $26 / 15$ 26/17 44/9 51/3 53/3 56/5 176/16 225/19 248/9 313/3 327/14 405/7
malfeasance [1] 393/19
man [4] 96/3 259/8 259/10 360/22
man's [2] 330/3 330/3
manage [2] 145/17 292/25
management [4] 244/24 255/23 256/13 307/14
manager [3] 228/17 306/13 313/25
managing [2] 229/17 350/23
mandated [1] 228/1
MANITOWOC [49] 1/1 25/7 25/10
35/20 35/21 54/23 69/20 81/17
112/19 120/20 120/22 120/23
152/10 158/23 178/11 196/9
196/12 203/23 203/24 203/25
228/20 228/21 229/6 232/18
253/20 253/21 276/20 277/2
287/24 288/3 288/3 288/19 304/13
306/14 314/12 314/15 319/19
319/24 319/25 321/19 329/23
$385 / 24390 / 3$ 392/2 395/17 395/22 396/3 396/4 406/2
manner [6] 24/19 107/13 108/18
268/23 308/24 347/4
manslaughter [1] 142/14
many [28] 29/7 49/3 64/15 76/5
90/4 91/12 92/23 93/15 108/13 109/12 121/19 128/16 130/21 146/10 146/11 173/22 185/16 201/5 237/12 239/6 242/6 247/22 272/4 291/3 303/18311/25 313/14 398/13
March [9] 32/5 56/4 57/14 91/9 105/21 105/22 256/20 257/20 335/22
March 1 [1] 105/21
March 2 [1] 105/22
March 8th [1] 56/4
MARIAN [4] 3/15 344/12 345/11 345/24
Marie [4] 113/10 113/25 115/7 115/11
mark [3] 232/13 254/1 385/22 market [3] 80/25 112/24 113/1 marriage [1] 313/22
married [3] 242/25 243/13 313/24
Mart [5] 195/20 196/11 204/1 242/15 243/5
martial [1] 308/21
Maryland [1] 225/8
massage [14] 55/21 56/10 57/2
57/3 57/4 57/13 69/5 69/7 69/9 85/4 85/9 85/18 86/9 87/10
material [3] 180/3 181/13 278/6 materials [1] 193/14
maternity [1] 161/6
math [3] 34/6 34/9 44/19
mathematicians [1] 45/7
matter [23] 18/16 $18 / 17$ 24/1 29/5 48/13 78/11 78/12 106/10 112/12 126/5 167/3 217/4 241/20 259/15 261/2 276/12 335/25 340/15 350/4 360/8 362/21 406/7 406/13
matters [5] 4/11 20/4 30/1 272/15 362/20
maybe [63] 13/25 76/6 77/14
93/21 99/22 99/23 99/25 108/20 115/16 122/2 156/11 156/21 162/16 163/18 171/21 171/21 184/6 186/18 $186 / 19188 / 9192 / 8$ 197/20 197/24 200/10 203/17 203/1 8 204/19 206/9 207/8 232/21 237/12 248/17 254/4 272/8 280/9 281/18 287/7 288/10 289/3 302/2 312/22 323/7 324/2 325/18 330/23 $332 / 4333 / 11335 / 3337 / 2337 / 3$ $354 / 1357 / 8359 / 9359 / 11366 / 14$ $366 / 15$ 366/16 368/4 369/2 369/8 371/23 387/6 401/11
Meals [1] 320/18
Meals-on-Wheels [1] 320/18 mean [97] 30/7 30/16 31/2 31/8 $31 / 2134 / 237 / 1839 / 839 / 10$ $41 / 13$ 45/6 52/21 60/3 64/7 65/10 $75 / 13$ 77/20 79/12 80/4 82/14 82/20 82/21 84/15 86/10 89/4 90/1 8 94/7 94/13 95/16 96/8 96/12 $117 / 4$ 120/22 123/9 135/7 138/2 139/1 139/21 140/2 144/22 148/17 151/7 152/13 154/25 160/9 164/1 165/8 174/2 183/1 191/22 203/11 208/17 210/3 212/1 213/8 217/18 229/19 233/3 238/3 244/17 245/7 253/1 255/4 258/17 258/21 259/7 262/7 263/11 263/20 268/13 273/1 279/3 288/3 288/16 291/2 293/17 297/13 298/1 298/7 298/7
mean... [17] 298/20 300/16 325/20 $331 / 24339 / 25341 / 12359 / 5365 / 3$ 365/13 367/5 371/11 395/11 402/2 402/3 402/7 402/11 402/22
meaning [6] 31/21 45/21 114/25 133/18275/12 282/20
meaningful [1] 177/13
means [16] 11/24 49/16 53/22
102/8 110/25 116/9 125/20 153/18 178/9 208/15 209/10 274/24 292/1 346/13 378/8 380/7
meant [5] 170/23 226/1 259/13 305/24 306/1
mechanic[10] 11/8 11/12 11/17
24/13 24/16 24/24 25/25 31/2 33/3 230/9
mechanism [1] 245/25
media [56] 7/1 9/17 10/1 16/2 16/21 21/9 50/7 50/8 54/3 79/2 111/5 111/11 125/23 126/4 126/5 131/21 134/21 150/9 155/22 169/9 171/5 178/15 178/21 186/23
188/15 207/23 218/14 222/16 222/17 231/15 250/22 264/20 266/16 269/14 271/7 271/14 271/15 275/5 304/16 304/23 313/17314/4 323/1 327/12 335/17 $346 / 18354 / 14355 / 11358 / 9$
$360 / 21380 / 13380 / 20386 / 9$
388/16 389/10 405/5
medical [7] 57/4 57/4 57/12 58/2 68/16 68/22 194/3
medicine [1] 56/25
medium [1] 15/2
meet [7] 12/18 33/19 146/3 172/21
241/7 242/14 390/24
meeting [3] 14/16 256/9 320/8
meetings [2] 229/3 229/5
member [12] 54/10 69/19 98/2
98/9 182/9 244/10 245/6 263/18 283/21 308/19 314/12 319/4
members [19] 10/1 97/24 112/15 113/3 113/6113/8 114/19124/12 129/10 222/15 272/4 272/21
275/14 304/22 307/4 317/23 377/5 380/20 405/5
Memorial [1] 351/1
memorize [1] 85/23
memory [4] 35/23 41/9 133/12 271/21
men [2] 308/14 308/14
Men's [1] 306/22
mental [1] 183/5
mentally [1] 301/15
mention [6] 8/8 61/4 108/3 320/16 322/20 325/1
mentioned [14] 150/10 153/5
237/4 241/9 251/10 252/6 314/8
326/23 329/6 330/16 330/17
331/11 363/15 400/22
merchandise [1] 306/22
mere [4] 209/7 209/8 341/9 360/8
merits [1] 7/18
mess [3] 56/8 193/15 193/17
Message [1] 350/3
met [5] 101/7 241/2 241/5 330/23
400/7
MICHAEL [1] 3/1
Michigan [1] 204/24
microphone [2] 114/17399/2
middle [2] 244/15 322/11
middle-of-the-road [1] 244/15
might [83] 23/22 28/24 32/23
38/14 42/4 43/16 44/1 45/4 47/2 48/1 49/17 51/6 61/6 87/18 89/5 89/9 100/16 101/14 108/6 108/21 116/24 142/7 143/14 145/4 145/11 148/12 148/12 154/4 155/8 168/15 173/18 191/1 191/2 191/5 195/8 198/11 211/3 211/25 215/7 216/3 232/19 235/3 235/16 237/2 237/2 242/16 250/19 258/2 269/17 282/5 282/10 297/9 297/1 8 298/10
298/11 298/16 298/24 300/25
$311 / 7312 / 16314 / 7315 / 9325 / 19$
330/17 348/1 348/23 359/9 359/11 $361 / 16361 / 17362 / 2362 / 2362 / 10$ 363/15 366/22 367/8 369/20
$370 / 15$ 371/7 372/5 372/6 385/20 388/17
milage [1] $145 / 5$
miles [1] 396/7
military [1] 246/2
Milwaukee [3] 232/14 290/19 290/23
mind [32] $23 / 123 / 237 / 366 / 18$ 66/19 68/12 82/25 84/21 91/5 92/21 131/14 133/3 133/8 139/3
143/19 168/17 173/6 174/22
190/25 208/2 208/2 216/4 229/18
238/22 258/14 299/10 302/19
$336 / 21$ 362/9 366/8 388/21 389/20
mine [3] 31/7 142/1 370/20
mini [1] 184/19
minimal [1] 266/3
minimus[1] 266/20
minute [9] 9/7 110/4 161/5 171/14
221/19 232/11 234/7 304/5 329/5
minutes [17] 30/7 40/14 52/20
52/23 110/3 115/16 170/7 170/10
176/19 234/15 253/22 293/21
296/22 345/14 345/18 345/21
366/3
Miramar [2] 290/25 291/1
misconduct [1] 330/9
miserable [1] 249/6
miss [4] 46/10 76/15 328/7 328/9
missed [4] 46/8 129/23 167/10 167/10
missing [5] 169/3 310/10 335/13 387/24 401/10
misstating [1] 298/19
mistaken [1] 155/8
mistakes [4] 47/14 155/5 155/6
155/9
misunderstood [1] 333/12
mode [1] 315/14
models [1] 86/2
modern [2] 249/2 249/21
modifications [1] 384/8
Mogul [3] 112/19 113/9 120/12
molding [2] 382/1 $382 / 2$
mom [5] 193/3 194/2 195/12
279/21 352/13
moment [2] 23/1 112/13
moms [1] 82/15
Monday [7] 121/20 132/9 151/19
287/15 292/3 371/5 375/24
monetary [1] 248/5
money [12] 31/15 32/11 32/21
167/10 184/24 185/15 248/8
248/12 293/1 350/12 350/14
383/22
monitor [1] 226/9
|Monkey [2] 290/19 290/21
Monte [1] 383/8
month [14] 20/2 29/23 180/18
187/6 193/7 220/23 235/23 243/5
248/7 280/9 320/5 328/18 363/13

## 397/17

monthly [1] 146/3
months [17] 27/19 27/22 30/5
62/18 63/11 87/15 87/16 93/16
202/23 228/15 233/10 237/12
241/16 247/11 247/14 297/9 310/7
morning [31] 4/3 6/4 9/5 10/18
10/19 10/25 11/4 53/13 54/18
109/24 110/20 111/25 112/1 112/7
121/12 121/16 122/8 123/1 123/3
123/12 125/13 126/18 126/19
126/25 151/20 267/19 291/23
291/24 345/4 353/5 379/9
morning's [1] 126/11
mornings [1] 186/2
most [41] 14/12 15/3 16/18 36/14
41/14 60/10 74/20 75/8 75/10
80/21 80/25 86/23 87/9 97/11
121/3 121/23 131/23 134/7 167/14
172/16 173/7 185/21 192/4 215/9
215/12 231/16 234/2 278/22 300/2
306/4 306/10 309/7 321/2 326/6
327/23 328/18 339/1 1 384/7
386/23 392/14 404/8
mostly [12] 36/21 60/22 79/15 181/16 181/17 229/24 231/18 233/18 382/23 384/18 384/20 388/4
mother [6] 279/18 279/24 331/17 352/8 359/21 396/13
motion [20] 52/13 53/3 105/11
170/3 217/25 220/4 248/24 252/1 264/16 266/24 267/22 268/4 270/11 274/1 303/5 344/3 378/20 404/4 404/24 405/1
motions [1] 220/21
motivations [1] 297/18
motives [3] 47/16 341/22 365/22
motocross [3] 19/18 19/19 19/20
motor [3] 19/12 19/15 19/16
mouth [9] 39/25 61/6 64/8 66/4
106/2 210/8 278/24 278/25 279/15
move [8] 28/9 82/19 124/24
170/12 221/16 265/10 312/10 396/6
moved [6] 113/11 114/12 236/13
239/23 390/17 392/1
movie [2] 26/21 26/24
movies [2] 47/9 146/8
moving [13] 9/5 53/13 110/17
125/13 178/1 187/18 222/2 226/21
253/21 270/24 274/15 288/17 304/3
Mr [30] 4/14 10/18 19/5 31/9 42/24 54/21 64/21 88/14 110/14 124/1 135/5 191/18 221/5 223/9 274/7 287/9 302/24 304/2 308/19 $318 / 20342 / 18343 / 1343 / 25$ $372 / 17$ 379/23 400/1 403/8 403/13 403/15 403/20
Mr. [245] 4/22 4/25 5/1 5/17 5/20 5/22 7/19 8/21 8/22 9/3 10/14 10/23 21/10 $21 / 17$ 27/4 35/23 $42 / 14$ 43/15 46/7 48/10 48/25 49/6 52/6 52/10 52/13 52/16 52/21 52/21 53/1 54/14 61/22 62/15 63/21 64/19 64/21 65/13 66/14 67/4 74/6 74/12 74/13

Mr.... [204] 81/25 87/17 88/11 89/16 90/2 90/8 91/10 92/2 99/10 99/17 99/21 101/4 102/4 103/19 103/24 103/25 104/21 105/12 106/24 107/5 110/13 110/15 111/21 111/25 112/5 112/15 123/18 123/24 124/4 125/5 125/11 126/13 126/18 126/23 127/5 135/1 135/1 135/2 136/8 136/17 136/24 149/1 149/5 149/6 $150 / 7$ 162/5 162/7 162/20 164/4 165/5 165/11 166/7 167/21 168/6 168/11 170/16 172/10 172/13 174/4 175/20 175/21 177/2 177/18 177/19 177/24 179/8 179/12 179/17 199/1 199/15 207/12 208/2 208/24 209/8 210/5 211/2 211/9211/10212/8 $212 / 12213 / 4213 / 12213 / 25$ 214/18 217/3 217/13 218/6 218/9 219/14 220/6 221/16 221/16 221/18 221/21 221/25 223/1 223/5 237/17 240/15 240/22 244/9 255/22 256/23 258/24 261/2 261/3 261/8 265/12 265/13 265/16 268/3 269/5 274/6 274/8 274/13 276/1 276/5 276/10 280/17 286/1 287/4 287/7 287/16 287/16 295/16 302/25 303/2 303/6 303/13 303/14 303/16 303/20 303/25 305/9 305/14 305/18 306/7 309/6 310/15 310/19 310/21 312/25 315/1 315/25 316/13 316/16 317/13 $317 / 21317 / 25318 / 16319 / 1$ $326 / 10327 / 8$ 329/6 332/14 335/11 $335 / 14336 / 15$ 336/1 5 338/4 340/9 340/17 340/20 341/18 342/3
$342 / 22344 / 8347 / 5347 / 13347 / 14$ 347/15 352/6 356/19 357/12 $357 / 15$ 357/24 358/18 360/3 368/1 370/6 371/9 371/15 371/20 372/2 $373 / 14374 / 2374 / 8374 / 19374 / 25$ $377 / 1379 / 13379 / 14379 / 18381 / 5$ 381/8 388/22 388/23 389/15 389/23 394/23 396/23 400/7 403/5 403/10
Mr. Avery [74] 21/10 21/17 42/14 48/10 52/21 61/22 65/13 67/4 $74 / 13$ 87/17 88/11 89/16 90/2 90/8 92/2 101/4 102/4 135/1 135/2 136/8 $136 / 17136 / 24149 / 6$ 162/20 164/4 165/5 165/11 166/7 199/1 208/2 208/24 209/8 210/5 211/2 211/10 212/8 212/12 213/4 213/12 213/25 214/18 237/17 240/22 256/23 258/24 261/3 261/8 286/1 287/16 295/16 316/16 $317 / 25$ 326/10 327/8 329/6 335/14 $336 / 15336 / 15340 / 20341 / 18$ 342/3 347/1 5 357/12 360/3 370/6 $371 / 9371 / 15372 / 2$ 373/14 374/2 374/19 374/25 388/23 389/15
Mr. Avery's [10] 91/10 112/15 162/7 207/12 280/17 310/21 316/13 357/24 368/1 389/23
Mr. Buting [16] 4/22 5/1 43/15 46/7 52/21 74/12 127/5 149/1 199/15 261/2 287/16 318/16 340/9 340/17 356/19 377/1
Mr. Dassey [12] 99/10 99/17 99/21
103/25 104/21 107/5 135/1 $168 / 11$ 175/20 175/21 338/4 371/20

Mr. Dassey's [3] 168/6 170/16 388/22
Mr. Drumm [1] 352/6
Mr. Fallon [25] 7/19 10/14 35/23 52/6 52/13 54/14 103/19 105/12 106/24 111/21 123/18 126/13 150/7 162/5 172/10 179/8 217/3 219/14 223/1 265/13 269/5 276/1 305/18 347/5 381/5
Mr. Fallon's [1] 255/22
Mr. Gave [1] 64/21
Mr. Halbach [2] 396/23 400/7
Mr. Ken [5] 112/5 126/23 179/17 276/10 347/13
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Mr. Klein } & {[10]} & 125 / 5 & 125 / 11 & 126 / 18\end{array}$ 149/5 167/21 172/13 174/4 177/2 218/9 220/6
Mr. Kratz [14] 5/17 5/20 10/23 62/15 64/19 66/14 81/25 305/9 319/1 332/14 335/11 347/14 357/15 358/18
Mr. Lawrence [9] 274/6 274/8 274/13 276/5 287/7 302/25 303/2 303/6 303/14
Mr. Nelesen [5] 8/21 8/22 9/3
48/25 52/10
Mr. Petermann [6] 379/13 379/14 379/18 381/8 403/5 403/10
Mr. Saari [7] 177/18 177/19 177/24
179/12 217/13218/6 221/16
Mr. Schuette [15] 303/13 303/16 303/20 303/25 305/14 306/7 309/6 310/19 312/25 315/1 315/25
317/13 317/21 342/22 344/8
Mr. Shedal [4] 110/13 110/15 111/25 123/24
Mr. Steven [1] 310/15
Mr. Stonebraker [8] 221/16 221/18 221/21 221/25 223/5 244/9 265/12 265/16
Mr. Strang [15] 4/25 5/22 27/4 52/16 53/1 63/21 74/6 103/24 124/4 $211 / 9240 / 15$ 268/3 287/4 374/8 394/23
Mr. Strang's [1] 49/6
Mrs [2] 347/9 376/14
Mrs. [1] 356/25
Mrs. Flint [1] 356/25
Ms [13] 53/6 53/11 98/24 103/23
243/4 267/18 270/17 270/22 310/9
$310 / 16$ 345/25 346/4 378/24
mug [1] 240/11
municipalities [1] 141/19
murder [4] 80/21 82/21 208/20 257/17
murdered [2] 300/6 336/9
muscle [2] 85/24 86/4
muscles [1] 86/11
music [13] 277/24 278/6 278/7
278/11 278/14 278/17 278/18
288/23 289/11 290/14 290/17 351/7 351/8
must [11] 156/9 208/15 208/17 212/19 219/8 220/8 322/7 333/6 $336 / 2$ 342/5 372/16
my [155] 10/20 10/22 12/12 12/24 16/14 22/5 22/8 23/2 25/8 27/25 28/7 29/11 $31 / 15$ 33/4 33/9 33/22 34/1 34/23 39/5 39/6 40/14 41/9 43/2 44/9 54/18 54/21 58/20 69/25 71/8 73/5 73/21 73/21 74/17 74/24 83/2 83/25 84/22 88/17 96/20 106/25 112/2 112/5

114/11 126/20 126/23 138/6 146/6
146/16 149/17 161/13 165/16
167/5 167/13 168/16 168/17
168/21 176/9 177/2 177/3 179/14
179/17 192/13 192/21 193/2 193/3 193/5 193/6 196/22 197/15 199/19 200/5 207/25 209/22 220/24 223/5 223/8 232/11 232/20 235/24 235/24 242/11 249/18 251/25 254/18 258/1 264/3 266/7 267/9 273/9 273/22 276/7 276/9 279/18 279/24 283/6 290/15 291/7 291/15 291/19 300/1 1 305/14 307/6 $311 / 11311 / 22312 / 19313 / 23$ $314 / 19318 / 20322 / 17324 / 13$ $324 / 21331 / 15$ 336/1 341/8 344/13 344/1 4 347/9 347/12 348/4 348/6 348/25 349/1 351/11 351/23 $353 / 13$ 357/2 357/7 360/2 364/1 1 364/23 366/7 366/12 370/19 375/25 381/8 384/16 385/17 391/16 393/20 395/25 396/13 396/16 396/20 396/20 397/6 397/21 398/9 398/21 399/10 399/1 4 399/14 402/14 403/25 406/9 406/13
myself [7] 147/8 158/1 227/2 283/1 357/2 383/5 384/18
mystery [1] 349/20
N
nail [1] 256/15
naive [1] 97/5
name [50] 10/2 10/20 23/5 27/14
37/9 37/12 54/18 54/25 63/7
112/2 113/16113/19115/9 126/20 141/17 159/3 159/8 179/14 182/12 184/12 190/11 199/19 201/8 222/17 223/5 242/8 242/10 242/12 257/19 275/15 276/7 280/19 290/20 293/21 304/24 305/14 $318 / 20328 / 13331 / 1$ 347/9 357/2 $357 / 22372 / 3380 / 21381 / 8385 / 20$ 388/8 391/11 396/13 397/8
named [2] 241/3 241/5
names [10] 14/17 14/18 54/4
111/12 126/4 159/1 178/22 271/14 330/17 346/19
nap [1] 121/24
NATHAN [2] $2 / 17$ 124/24
nation [1] 245/17
national [4] 231/22 250/1 250/6 354/9
natural [3] 165/8 258/22 360/1
nature [10] 22/13 212/11 214/22
262/15 306/16 312/4 315/20 317/7 319/10 322/18
Naval [1] 225/8
Navy [14] 225/2 225/6 225/24 226/12 227/12 229/21 230/2 239/11 239/25 246/11 246/15 247/10 248/9 249/8
near [4] 225/11 249/1 288/12 399/23
nearby [2] 188/21 241/22
necessarily [18] 97/22 109/21
$131 / 17$ 131/18 141/24 159/10 159/23 166/8 169/11 190/5 261/2 $312 / 24326 / 7331 / 20334 / 1337 / 2$ 364/20 374/4
necessary [1] 221/14
need [14] 4/23 5/15 25/1 28/8
44/11 52/19 76/6 175/17 221/8
need... [5] 306/5 320/23 320/25 368/1 402/9
needed [2] 14/8 229/9
needs [3] 34/13 136/12 211/5
negative [1] 260/11
neighbor [4] 58/20 58/21 59/2 401/17
neighbors [1] 14/25
neither [1] 217/5
NELESEN [13] $2 / 3$ 8/21 $8 / 22$ 9/3
10/18 19/5 27/15 27/15 27/16 31/9 42/24 48/25 52/10
nephew [4] 133/10 133/12 336/2 357/24
nephew's [2] 134/24 155/21
nervous [5] 18/5 78/13 78/17 78/18 89/7
never [44] 47/20 80/2 90/21
114/23 140/12 143/10 145/18
156/2 157/11 158/1 192/19 200/6
203/20 231/21 231/21 235/14
236/1 239/9 240/1 1 242/20 242/20 242/21 247/20 251/15 253/19
259/8 280/18 280/22 280/23 281/4 281/6 281/13 282/17 289/20 298/17 324/16 336/18 336/19 338/18 338/19 355/18 364/8 394/9 398/9
new [12] 4/25 5/12 45/14 45/17 45/23 76/23 157/15 229/9 282/6 299/23 299/25 307/17
news [147] 9/17 10/1 14/22 14/24
15/17 15/19 20/17 20/25 40/10
40/25 50/7 50/8 54/3 60/8 60/10
60/14 61/1 62/6 62/9 63/24 64/13
64/14 64/18 70/4 70/5 70/15
70/16 81/7 81/11 81/24 83/18
87/13 92/5 93/14 105/22 111/5
111/11 120/25 121/3 121/5 121/21 121/25 122/7 123/13 125/23 126/3 126/5 131/23 132/19 134/22 135/7 135/8 143/25 144/4 150/11 150/17 150/18 150/24 151/11 151/12 151/14 151/15 152/4 155/21 178/15 181/7 185/19 185/22 188/1 190/7 191/19 219/24 219/25
220/21 231/15 231/16 231/22 231/23 233/14 233/16 234/2 238/12 250/1 250/6 250/22 252/7 255/25 256/14 257/1 257/9 257/11 258/6 271/7 275/5 275/15 278/5 278/20 278/22 279/4 279/5 279/6 279/15 304/16 304/24 309/7 309/10 309/17 309/25 311/15 312/24 312/24 322/22 323/9 $323 / 10323 / 13323 / 14323 / 14$ 323/25 324/2 325/14 325/19 327/24 328/12 352/24 353/4 354/5 354/9 357/10 357/12 357/15 358/1 358/9 358/13 360/21 362/11 362/25 380/13 380/20 380/22 386/1 0 386/1 $0386 / 11386 / 14$ 386/17 386/23 387/2 405/5
newscast [5] 62/3 234/7 234/18 235/1 353/21
newscasts [3] 78/25 186/1 280/15 newspaper [26] 15/4 15/5 15/11 36/12 37/15 38/2 40/9 40/24 111/5 122/1 122/6 178/16 235/20 237/3 264/21 271/9 309/8 309/10 309/12 311/6 353/13 380/14
$386 / 24387 / 18400 / 23400 / 24$
newspapers [14] 9/19 14/25 16/13 $60 / 1160 / 12121 / 1185 / 20186 / 15$ 186/16 234/21 275/7 309/23 353/2 353/12
Newton [1] 120/21
next [50] $9 / 5$ 10/12 $13 / 1113 / 17$
16/16 22/2 53/5 53/13 53/24
54/11 59/3 79/12 110/1 110/7
110/17 114/12 123/12 125/3 125/13 139/15 139/21 150/18 153/2 156/3 177/1 177/18 178/1 189/6 222/2 222/24 236/14 247/20 264/25 270/24 274/4 274/15 275/1 275/24 275/25 300/9 303/7 303/9
304/4 305/6 340/14 344/13 345/24
346/6 379/25 381/3
nice [4] 231/4 253/1 254/15 320/22
Nicholas [1] 349/20
NICOLE [2] 2/9 53/6
night [10] 6/3 $11 / 132 / 1778 / 9$ 79/5 80/8 80/12 149/12 255/17 363/14
night's [1] 149/21
nine [2] 73/3 241/16
nineteen [1] 120/18
Ninety [1] 168/25
No. [8] 6/22 7/5 7/7 7/17 268/10 270/10 325/5 345/11
No. 37 [1] 6/22
No. 39 [2] 7/5 7/7
No. 41 [1] 325/5
No. 44 [1] $7 / 17$
No. 45 [1] 345/11
No. 74 [2] 268/10 270/10
nobody [4] 176/7 212/22 383/23 398/21
noise [2] 114/14 287/7
noises [1] 405/8
non [1] 333/8
non-truthfulness [1] 333/8
none [4] 29/10 30/7 170/5 378/22
nonetheless [1] 404/20
noon [2] $176 / 13176 / 24$
Nope [2] 140/14 141/2
nor [1] 143/12
Norb's [1] 35/17
normal [2] 256/14 325/23
normally [3] 132/5 233/4 255/15
north [2] 395/5 395/6
northern [4] 31/22 180/10 181/11 181/14
Nos [1] 379/2
noses [1] 97/19
notably [1] 404/8
notation [1] 128/6
note [10] 5/4 6/13 23/16 309/4
312/12 313/14 347/24 347/25
350/6 385/19
noted [1] 269/12
notes [2] 58/7 406/9
nothing [25] $8 / 2018 / 126 / 944 / 4$ 87/24 91/20 93/13 120/10 120/11 130/15 142/21 150/16 163/14 214/7 221/12 235/8 253/16 257/24
299/19 331/9 354/17 393/22
394/10 398/24 403/11
notice [5] 7/2 $111 / 20$ 263/7 353/24 386/16
noticed [5] 30/14 61/4 204/7 217/5 330/1 5
notify [2] 271/3 380/5
notions [1] 42/9
novels [1] 349/18
November [5] 256/19 265/4 310/7 336/3 357/18
November 1st [1] 336/3
nuclear [8] 224/14 225/25 227/14
227/15 228/12 245/16 247/6
248/16
number [14] 14/24 46/16 49/1
50/24 103/2 106/22 116/9 150/7
201/13 201/14 219/22 220/19
319/11 322/22
nurses [1] 57/15
nursing [4] 57/18 57/19 69/4 86/25
NWTC [1] 86/3

## 0

o'clock [2] 323/14 328/12
O'Reilly [1] 323/17
oath [33] 8/23 47/2 47/20 53/7
96/3 96/11 96/19 97/6 97/9 97/10
110/10 125/7 159/22 160/7 177/20
205/13 205/16 205/24 206/2
221/21 270/18 274/10 285/11
297/1 297/2 297/3 303/22 332/22
346/1 364/16 364/19 364/22
379/1 5
obey [1] 364/16
obeyed [1] 40/21
object [5] 4/22 42/21 104/24
104/25 219/20
objection [9] 5/4 5/12 105/1 175/2
267/9 274/3 340/9 340/13 404/3
objective [6] 7/3 106/19 331/22
334/21 336/24 404/7
objectively [1] 273/24
obligated [2] 49/10 102/7
observation [3] 175/7 273/23
336/24
observations [2] 175/14 176/9
observe [1] 336/25
obsessed [1] 201/4
obtain [2] 154/5 309/7
obtained [2] 16/20 308/13
obtaining [1] 309/5
obviously [12] 75/22 86/24 140/2
170/15 246/5 246/12 248/16 249/7
318/22 319/4 326/4 361/13
occasion [10] 59/19 60/3 128/13
140/5 142/9 320/11 387/12 387/13
390/24 392/2
occasionally [9] 20/21 58/6 128/18
147/13 241/10 253/14 279/8
301/18 387/8
occasions[2] 14/1 14/19
occupation [1] 347/25
occupied [1] 95/10
occur [2] 164/11 401/19
occurred [1] 141/5
October [1] 336/2
odd [2] 38/19 38/20
odds [1] 381/13
off [19] 73/5 77/17 77/18 88/24
127/8 132/13 156/15 161/5 190/18 190/20 191/1 192/4 196/22 219/7
246/19 246/22 328/15 399/4 401/3
offense [2] 286/6 316/21
offenses [2] 237/18 389/16
offer [2] 43/17 221/11
offhand [1] 361/14
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { office }[9] & 14 / 6 & 14 / 7 & 14 / 20 & 180 / 11\end{array}$
180/13 285/12 329/1 362/18
office... [1] 395/11
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { officer [42] } & 18 / 22 & 18 / 25 & 19 / 7\end{array}$
19/25 20/10 46/22 47/1 47/19 48/1 48/1 96/9 96/15 96/24 97/24 141/11 141/18 159/4 160/12 196/1 196/1 197/6 197/15 204/3 204/14 204/19 206/2 283/21 285/3 297/18 298/23 302/5 315/12 333/22
368/13 369/3 376/24 377/9 377/10 377/20 378/14 392/21 393/1
officer's [2] 284/22 315/6
officers [49] 46/15 46/16 46/17
47/10 96/1 98/13 98/15 158/21
159/21 194/16 194/23 197/23
197/23 197/24 204/7 205/1 205/11
205/22 206/7 206/15 206/22
284/17 284/17 296/25 297/8 298/7
298/16 314/25 315/2 315/5 315/14 $332 / 15$ 332/20 334/2 334/5 364/14 364/15 365/20 366/14 367/7 367/24 368/6 376/20 378/7 391/1 391/1 392/4 393/2 399/9
Official [3] $1 / 25$ 406/4 406/19
often [21] $8 / 18$ 13/23 19/24 52/19
55/22 60/14 60/16 60/17 70/2
162/1 184/4 186/15 284/8 284/9
288/7 312/15 314/9 353/11 353/16 383/13 391/24
oh [55] 8/7 12/19 17/8 31/5 31/11 32/22 34/4 34/7 34/19 44/19 46/4 96/13 113/2 134/9 142/20 182/14 194/6 201/19 201/20 214/3 214/9 225/13 228/18 233/9 236/14 239/14 240/20 241/8 242/7 244/13 247/16 253/6 255/25 257/6 257/7 291/9 295/10 303/11 312/2 321/19 $333 / 10352 / 15355 / 17372 / 24$
$379 / 10384 / 5384 / 12385 / 12391 / 5$ 391/18 393/15 395/13 395/24 397/15 398/10
OJ [1] 256/2
okay [543]
old [10] $7 / 107 / 1138 / 860 / 18$ 72/20 72/21 73/3 149/9 193/11 360/22
oldest [2] 313/24 322/7
on-the-job [1] 228/7
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { once [17] } & 94 / 23 & 95 / 3 & 142 / 6 & 192 / 12\end{array}$ 200/9 208/25 231/5 248/25 253/8 254/8 278/12 279/9 288/10 291/15 320/5 320/7 363/13
one-sided [2] 134/23 135/7
ones [4] 134/7 $158 / 25$ 202/7 224/9
only [48] 29/4 50/12 51/5 62/1
66/12 67/3 73/25 74/17 84/16
99/15 100/4 102/15 106/9 116/23 121/14 136/18 136/18 136/20 159/13 165/8 168/2 168/13 169/8 169/21 170/21 172/7 172/25 190/8 205/8 220/3 232/10 234/17 237/3 247/1 247/6 252/11 263/1 265/16 294/5 295/8 305/20 311/6 311/20 336/25 372/2 374/14 379/6 397/7 open [24] 9/24 13/8 47/25 54/1 75/4 75/11 106/5 109/3 111/9 126/2 178/19 222/13 271/11 275/12 297/17 297/21 299/4 302/19 304/20 338/2 338/6 346/16 369/1 8 380/17
open-ended [1] 106/5
opened [1] 93/25
opening [2] $4 / 165 / 18$
openings [3] 5/2 5/7 5/15
operate [2] 180/5 226/6
operating [1] 223/24
operation [2] 32/24 245/8
operations [1] 314/17
operator [4] 224/7 224/15 227/14
384/13
operators [1] 275/18
opinion [42] 7/17 16/8 25/17 25/19 39/6 39/8 45/25 69/3 79/1 87/17 104/1 $116 / 12$ 134/20 138/6 169/11 191/17 215/14 237/17 238/1 258/15 258/20 269/1 269/24 281/8 310/21 311/10314/13 $314 / 18314 / 19322 / 25325 / 11$ $326 / 5$ 326/9 326/18 355/2 364/1 389/1 1 389/15 389/18 401/25 402/5 402/12
opinions [21] 16/1 16/19 22/4 22/5 22/7 22/8 43/16 64/20 68/4
145/20 152/18215/10215/13
237/23 269/14 283/12 354/23
355/12 363/21 363/22 389/6
opportunity [13] 6/3 53/16 59/7
129/23 178/4 200/1 1 240/6 266/13
274/18 304/6 380/1 387/9 404/9
opposed [3] 34/5 42/20 302/4
opposite [2] 71/10 97/10
options [1] 334/14
or [737]
orally [1] 107/3
Orange [3] 181/16 181/18 181/18
order [20] $7 / 248 / 648 / 18$ 124/23
125/22 160/23 177/12 218/19
244/9 264/19 265/9 266/2 266/11
267/20 286/5 350/8 350/11 371/13
374/1 380/12
ordered [1] 219/6
ordinarily [1] 185/25
ordinary [6] 159/23 160/7 205/15
205/24 332/24 364/21
organization [1] 403/18
organizations [2] 182/8 319/12
oriented [3] $26 / 19146 / 12146 / 14$
original [3] 21/16 220/11 328/24
originally [1] 267/4
origins [1] 85/24
other [204] 6/20 8/7 11/16 13/2
17/15 17/17 21/17 22/25 25/12 26/9 35/7 41/23 43/25 44/13 46/23 47/2 59/6 59/7 60/3 61/1 65/1 66/20 67/19 70/8 71/12 71/23 72/15 83/9 84/12 89/4 89/1 8 89/21 91/19 92/1795/3 97/13 98/17 100/1 100/24 101/13 103/7 105/22 109/4 113/15 113/17 113/18115/22 117/25 118/15 119/18 120/8 121/14 122/24 128/4 128/17 128/19 129/10 129/19 137/17 139/5 139/20 141/8 142/4 147/13 147/15 153/1 153/10 156/22 162/21 163/5 163/6 166/14 168/15 168/19 170/23 179/2 180/9 181/22 186/18 188/22 189/6 189/8 189/14 190/6 190/7 194/25 195/8 196/18 197/15 197/15 198/4 198/17 198/19 200/10 200/24 204/10 204/22 205/18 211/1 211/6 211/10 211/16 211/24 212/16 213/6 220/7 224/3 224/4 224/4 226/8 227/20 228/4 230/24 233/15 234/3 236/25 237/1 237/4 238/5

238/7 238/25 242/18 242/22
243/15 245/1 249/4 253/11 256/20 258/18 260/4 261/25 264/3 265/6 265/19 265/20 265/23 266/5 266/17 266/22 271/10 279/22 281/1 282/7 284/23 285/4 285/5 288/8 288/8 288/15 289/18 295/9 296/5 299/4 301/22 302/5 307/4 307/5 307/14 308/12 308/14 308/23 311/15 312/6 312/10 315/7 315/9 332/3 332/10 332/17 333/5 $333 / 8333 / 16337 / 3338 / 7338 / 13$
$339 / 14341 / 3$ 341/13 341/20 342/3 $343 / 9343 / 15343 / 17343 / 18$ $343 / 18343 / 20344 / 25350 / 6$ 352/16 355/11 356/12 365/21 $365 / 25$ 366/22 370/4 370/18 $370 / 20374 / 25$ 375/2 377/7 378/14 381/13 392/22 404/8
other's [1] 363/12
others [5] 24/25 25/4 150/8
199/23 252/12
otherwise [8] 109/23 119/12
157/25 195/11 195/12 210/12
255/10 298/17
ought [3] 48/14 270/1 270/6
our [28] 11/3 42/4 76/20 77/22
108/4 108/14 109/24 139/11 149/8 159/5 176/13 192/22 193/1 193/1
231/14 234/11 267/1 306/20 308/1
316/19 323/15 328/12 336/24
$340 / 20348 / 16351 / 7351 / 8374 / 14$
outage [1] 233/8
outages [1] 233/5
outcome [3] 141/3 297/10 393/21
outer [1] 375/24
outfit [1] 196/13
outlets [1] 323/10
outset [1] 271/19
outside [9] 99/20 119/19 201/14 204/11 225/16 242/21 275/9 348/12 389/21
outskirts [1] 184/11
over [33] 30/12 36/18 50/5 70/2 $72 / 24$ 79/5 83/13 90/1 90/25 91/22 94/20 94/22 94/23 150/6 167/4 171/10 192/25 245/5 245/6 245/9 258/13 264/2 270/14 298/21 304/19 308/12 344/15 358/18 360/10 364/6 364/12 379/9 396/20
overall [2] 148/17 170/20
overhear [1] 61/9
overlook [1] 325/14
overly [1] 56/22
oversea [1] 226/17
own[32] 20/19 23/19 27/24 28/5
28/10 42/4 43/6 45/24 45/25
73/14 79/1 88/15 103/8 104/16
144/2 162/17 164/9 187/22 214/12
214/13 230/14 267/9 273/22 277/8
281/17 329/15 339/1 351/7 357/7
372/22 375/25 384/6
owner [1] 397/22
owns [1] 207/14
$\mathbf{P}$
p.m [1] 218/22
pack [1] 185/9
packs [1] 185/10
page [4] $2 / 2$ 21/23 36/19 187/7
Pagel [6] 391/2 391/3 391/4 391/4
391/5 391/16
paid [5] 64/12 93/23 251/15

| P | 2] $109 / 3109 / 3$ | 24/10 26/19 26/19 33/6 42/2 42/8 <br> 43/5 45/2447/7 52/159/3 71/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| paid... [2] 382/16 401/2 | pathologies  <br> patience [1] $347 / 17$ | 86/6 86/17 86/20 97/8 97/9 119/1 |
| pair [1] 330/24 | patient [2] 351/2 381/15 | 119/11 136/4 140/3 140/25 146/13 |
| Pakistan [1] 226/19 | patients [1] 351/3 | 147/6 148/11154/11 154/15 |
| panel [11] 54/10 80/18111/18 | Patrick [1] 1/9 | 159/10 160/7 160/19 160/24 |
| 179/5 222/22 226/7 266/25 275/22 | patrol [2] 197/25 284/5 | 164/12 183/15 206/20 207/3 210/1 |
| 305/5 346/25 381/2 | patrons [2] 61/6 78/24 | 242/8 251/22 285/4 285/5 295/19 |
| paper [24] 15/6 40/4 123/12 | PAUL [1] 2/3 | 310/22 311/8 311/14 316/25 |
| 123/14 123/15 186/17 186/20 | pause [1] 50/18 | 329/13 337/25 339/10 341/6 |
| 186/24 187/2 188/2 264/22 266/4 | pay [14] 73/21 94/6 144/13 19 | 367/11 367/12 369/11 372/4 |
| 269/25 270/6 279/7 279/11 279/11 | 255/7 292/11 292/20 292/22 | 385/20 387/24 |
| 279/13 279/14 353/14 362/11 | 293/15 373/13 382/18387/16 | person's [1] 21/17 |
| 396/24 402/2 402/3 | 398/21 398/24 | personal [17] 17/16 20/8 23/20 |
| papers [5] 37/19 122/2 186/22 | paying [2] 380/120 | 24/8 47/16 115/21 116/3 220/1 |
| 309/23 397/2 | payments [2] 12/20 12/25 | 271/23 272/15 281/17 306/2 331/4 |
| paragraph [4] 36/18 122/15 122/19 | pays [1] 382/5 | 332/8 356/11 356/11 394/4 |
| 122/25 | PBS [1] 62/13 | personality [3] 33/22 34/1 297/1 |
| paraphernalia | peaked [1] 112/9 | personally [5] 160/15 199/9 |
| parents [2] 27/25 235/2 | peers [1] 347/19 | 206/18334/19 367/8 |
| parking [1] 140/21 | pending [1] 220/2 | personnel [1] 13/13 |
| parrot [1] 210/9 | penuchle [1] 183/9 | perspective [1] 195/4 |
| part [42] 14/8 31/22 33/22 34/1 | people [105] 14/17 24/18 24/25 | pertain [1] 236/18 |
| 34/17 36/3 36/14 61/8 69/7 74/20 | 27/10 28/25 29/4 30/11 33/13 | pertained [1] 40/10 |
| 75/8 75/10 81/15 83/18111/18 | 33/19 33/19 39/3 41/14 43/21 | pertaining [1] 102/21 |
| 131/13 133/14 133/20 134/5 | 45/2 47/2 58/10 61/3 61/6 61/13 | pertains [1] 21/10 |
| 164/13 205/2 205/3 207/10 207/11 | 75/8 76/1 80/5 89/9 93/22 94/12 | pervasive [1] 265/2 |
| 220/17 223/19 227/13 237/6 | 94/14 96/2 97/13 118/15 138/15 | Peter [1] 307/7 |
| 264/22 265/22 290/12 291/2 291/6 | 145/6 150/20 150/25 151/3 151/6 | PETERMANN [14] 3/19 344/12 |
| 304/5 305/23 311/3 311/23 312/2 | 154/21 157/3 157/12 157/20 | 345/11 379/13 379/14 379/18 |
| 349/25 376/24 395/16 395/17 | 157/24 158/3 162/16 163/9 163/18 | 379/23 381/8 403/5 403/8 403/10 |
| part-time [2] 290/12 291/2 | 165/3 173/8 183/22 184/1 184/3 | 403/13 403/15 403/20 |
| part-timer [1] 291/6 | 184/22 189/8 191/14 200/12 | phase [10] 9/5 53/13 110/2 |
| participants [1] 185/17 | 200/13 200/25 201/3 201/6 201/20 | 125/13 178/1 222/2 270/24 274/15 |
| participate [7] 24/14 80/1 80/3 | 211/3 215/10 215/12 215/21216/7 | 304/4 346/6 |
| 129/11 130/4 182/8 189/1 | 216/9 219/22 220/1 220/5 229/12 | Philippines [1] 226/1 |
| participated [3] 130/1 145/15 | 229/17 229/22 231/8 237/20 238/5 | philosophy [6] 17/16 23/19 281/17 |
| 308/24 | 240/12 242/4 243/11 244/24 248/4 | 311/5 356/4 356/11 |
| participating [3] 38/5 129/14 | 248/14 250/14 252/9 262/10 | phone [4] 54/11179/5 |
| 146/5 | 262/11 279/17 285/10 293/10 | 275/23 |
| participation [1] 229/2 | 295/18 295/22 312/7 315/2 320/10 | phonetic [2] 313/25 392/9 |
| particular [48] 12/4 15/10 22/25 | 320/23 325/6 330/16 331/20 352/4 | photographer [1] 310/9 |
| 23/11 25/15 25/16 61/17 63/13 | 361/11 361/17362/1 362/2 370/18 | photos [1] 4/21 |
| 73/20 101/5 121/5 133/2 133/2 | 370/21 371/7 375/20 375/23 | phrase [1] 155/13 |
| 140/24 143/5 145/24 146/15 | people's [1] 167/1 | physical [7] 34/2 69/8 69/10 69/1 |
| 173/19 180/7 186/22 187/9 192/11 | per [1] 13/11 | 69/16 338/8 338/9 |
| 198/11 201/18 230/6 231/14 232/5 | percent [18] 17/5 35/15 39/21 | physicians [1] 57/23 |
| 234/1 237/11 244/9 266/8 272/17 | 134/16 134/16 138/3 141/20 | physics [1] 290/1 |
| 278/3 278/7 279/20 280/14 283/12 | 168/25 169/8 173/1 173/5 173/6 | pick [5] 57/8 122/3 287/18 288/24 |
| 323/16 323/20 325/6 326/9 327/24 | 173/9 173/13 173/14 174/19 176/3 | 400/23 |
| 352/22 371/6 386/9 387/4 388/16 | 404/18 | picked [4] 36/3 137/1 266/4 2 |
| 389/6 | percentage [1] 31/15 | picture [5] 26/19 86/11 135/9 |
| particularly [5] 82/22 202/7 | perception [1] 25/23 | 146/12 155/12 |
| lell $\begin{aligned} & 248 / 11323 / 1350 / 1 \\ & \text { parties [28] } 4 / 46 / 176 / 206 / 22\end{aligned}$ | perfectly [4] 17/2 219/16 | piece [2] 65/19 |
| parties [28] $4 / 46 / 176 / 206 / 22$ | 371/7 | pieces [2] 121/10 122/3 |
| $7 / 228 / 138 / 199 / 713 / 1816 / 18$ $18 / 1553 / 1658 / 1163 / 1094 / 22$ | perform [2] 148/13 | Piggly [4] 276/20 290/6 291/2 |
| $18 / 1553 / 1658 / 1163 / 1094 / 22$ $107 / 21110 / 19124 / 6124 / 16178 / 3$ | performed [2] 180/3 180/9 | 291/21 |
| 107/21 110/19 124/6 124/16 178/3 | performing [1] 227/6 | pilot [2] 147/4 352/7 |
| 222/4 267/5 270/15 274/17 303/8 | perhaps [14] 108/11 127/6 145/3 | piston [3] 112/23 113/1 113/2 |
| 346/8 403/25 405/1 | 154/22 183/6 219/9 277/13 281/19 | place [27] 27/18 27/21 28/5 28/10 |
| partly [1] 250/17 | 348/1 367/10 368/15 381/13 | 98/10 106/14 112/18 171/10 177/5 |
| parts [3] 13/22 35/3 | 393/18 404/16 | 184/25 190/1 209/15 221/2 221/4 |
| party [11] 52/12 71/9 71/14 | period [6] 12/10 95/17114/11 | 239/15 241/25 245/21 266/19 |
| 105/12 136/19 170/4 218/1 264/16 | 180/7 241/17 329/9 | 288/15 290/22 320/25 321/10 |
| 303/5 344/2 378/21 | periods [1] $321 / 8$ | 321/17 328/23 336/8 390/9 390/10 |
| pass [4] 8/9 8/16 148/25 287/3 | permit [7] $54 / 2$ 222/15 271/1 | placed [1] 189/20 |
| passed [4] 119/2 258/11 348/22 | 272/17 275/13 304/21 305/8 | places [1] 31/25 |
| 351/14 | permitted [23] 9/13 9/25 10/2 10/7 | PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4 |
| passing [1] | 54/4 54/6 109/14 125/20 126/3 | plan [2] 399/21 400/15 |
| past [12] 7/2 13/22 15/16 113/15 | 126/8 178/11 179/1 222/12 222/19 |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}  \\ 118 / 16 & 128 / 12188 / 23 \\ 224 / 21 \end{array}\right.$ | 271/5 271/14 275/15 275/19 | plant [14] 113/17 113/18 223/16 |
| 316/13 316/18 349/11 357/10 | 304/13 305/2 346/23 380/9 380/21 |  |
| pastime [1] 382/21 | person [59] $1 / 214 / 88 / 116 / 11$ | 226/6 230/6 230/24 233/2 233/11 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | $314 / 22315 / 5316 / 10316 / 12318 / 1$ | 393/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| plant... [1] 246/6 | possibilities | previous [1] 199/5 |
| planted [1] 41/6 | possibility [11] 47/24 47/25 55/4 | previously [3] 11/18 125/11 |
| planting [3] 218/21 298/18 369/10 |  |  |
| plants [2] 230/4 245/16 |  | prices [1] |
| play [21] 72/17 72/18 175/9 | possible [18] 72/20 77/13 152/19 | primarily [4] 146/20 226/14 |
| 182/19 185/13 186/13 199/24 | 152/21 157/23 206/1 206/3 206/6 | 309/10 321/8 |
| 200/4 200/6 200/7 200/11 200/25 | 217/6 220/19 256/16 256/17 | primary [5] 112/12 121/1 134/11 |
| 201/4 228/18 251/25 252/3 278/14 | 334/22 334/23 361/21 362/6 | 220/24 316/6 |
| 288/25 289/7 320/14 320/15 | 366/18 369/13 | principal [5] 58 |
| 2] 132/4 200/9 | possibly [2] | 59 |
| playing [9] 79/22 181/4 181/5 | possum [1] 130/1 | principle [1] 136/5 |
| 182/13 183/13 183/14 194/6 | post [1] 395/11 | principles [2] 108/16 108/22 |
| 188/18 289/12 |  |  |
| pleasant [1] 254/ | potential [3] 6/4 14/24 108/9 | 170/21 172/6 202/10 225/1 268/1 |
| pleasantly [1] | pour [1] 381/23 | 269/1 280/13 |
| please [28] $4 / 58 / 259 / 253 / 10$ | power [6] 201/23 22 | prison [14] 91/13 91/15 91/16 |
| 110/8 110/12 125/5 125/8 125/10 | 245/2 245/4 245/5 | 92/2 92/14 92/22 207/16 207/19 |
| 170/8 177/23 182/7 221/18 221/22 | practical [2] 73/22 106/10 | 208/4 259/8 259/10 316/22 316/2 |
| 221/24 270/17 270/21 274/8 | practice [1] 108/12 | 329/10 |
| 274/12 303/20 303/24 306/17 | pre [4] 95/10 175/4 265/2 268/23 | private [4] 116/3 271/23 272/14 |
| 345/25 346/3 348/16 379/14 | pre-judged [1] 26 | 272/18 |
| 379/17 405/9 | pre-occupied [1] 95/10 | prizes [4] 184/23 184/25 185/1 |
| Plenty [1] 146/24 | pre-trial [2] 175/4 265/2 | 185/15 |
| plus [2] 124/13 248/10 | predicting [1] 29/11 | probably [65] 5/15 12/13 13/1 |
| point [30] 4/23 96/7 96/21 102/3 | prefer [2] 148/1816 | 13/25 14/15 15/4 16/15 24/5 |
| 107/15 108/24 111/16 115/22 | prejudice [1] 378/2 | 29/22 32/14 35/15 39/2 40/13 |
| 147/23 157/2 173/11177/17 | prejudices [1] 315/4 | 45/13 46/21 47/6 47/21 50/22 |
| 219/16 220/3 221/13 221/15 | preliminary[3] 8/12 8/15 114/20 | 87/17 93/9 121/4 122/15 131/5 |
| 239/23 240/25 244/4 244/7 245/16 | preparation [1] 384/3 | 142/17 142/19 149/7 156/16 |
| 246/23 270/5 313/3 326/22 327/8 | prepared [1] 406/8 | 158/19 171/7 172/16 197/22 |
| 346/6 350/11 354/18360/6 | present [7] 104/15 136/25 137/11 | 201/13 201/19 203/14 215/22 |
| pointed [2] 339/21 379/1 | 170/2 214/7 336/22 372/11 | 219/6 238/8 240/18 246/12 256/20 |
| poisoning [1] 194/4 | presentation [3] 172/18 174/7 | 257/6 258/15 263/13 264/2 264/25 |
| Poked [1] 35/11 | 327/12 | 269/3 279/13 279/14 287/15 |
| poker [3] 183/8 183/11 183/12 | presented [15] 16/23 17/12 66/13 | 291/19 302/2 324/2 326/4 326/24 |
| Pokémon [4] | 68/10 106/9 199/2 238/16 327/1 | 327/15 327/19 348/25 353/5 363/1 |
| 201/21 267/7 | 327/4 336/25 337/8 341/1 355/9 | 385/12 387/7 390/15 395/2 402/1 |
| police [93] 18/22 46/14 46/16 47/1 | 356/7 389/24 | 402/17 |
| 47/19 48/1 96/1 96/9 96/15 96/24 | presenting [4] 138/15 154/21 | probationary [1] 114/11 |
| 97/24 98/13 98/14 98/14 140/21 | 305/21 359/9 | problem [14] 12/11 23/22 148/12 |
| 141/18 157/8 157/24 158/5 159/4 | presently [1] 55/7 | 148/15 149/15 172/3 207/4 207/5 |
| 159/21 160/6 160/12 160/15 | president [3] 306/13 319/5 319/19 | 211/7 211/8 262/11 277/14 295/25 |
| 160/22 193/5 194/15 194/16 | press [10] 21/16 99/8 99/11 | 340/14 |
| 194/23 195/25 196/1 196/1 196/10 | 169/19 275/14 280/15 335/19 | problems [4] 30/15 117/16 117/1 |
| 196/12 197/5 197/23 202/11 | 360/15 381/25 388/1 | 172/1 |
| 203/18 204/25 205/9 205/11 206/1 | pressure [1] 158/5 | procedure [2] 231/2 |
| 206/7 206/15 240/7 240/10 282/25 | pressured [1] 157/24 | procedures [4] 58/4 161/23 230/25 |
| 283/5 283/13 283/21 284/1 284/17 | presumably [3] 116/9 118/23 | 337/24 |
| 284/22 285/2 285/12 296/25 297/2 | 268/16 | proceed [1] 305/10 |
| 297/8 297/18 298/7 298/23 302/4 | presume [8] 41/11 208/8 210/5 | proceeded [1] 202/23 |
| 315/12 315/14 332/15332/19 | 210/11 258/14 258/24 327/17 | proceeding [2] 220/3 379/24 |
| $333 / 22334 / 1334 / 5334 / 8$ 334/13 | 360/4 | proceedings [34] 1/23 6/17 9/24 |
| 334/18 335/3 361/25 364/6 364/9 | presumed [7] 39/13 39/15 39/15 | 15/22 54/1 54/9 61/8 111/2 111/9 |
| 364/14 364/15 364/24 365/8 | 67/4 214/19 286/2 327/9 | 126/2 126/11 178/10 178/14 |
| $365 / 20366 / 14367 / 7$ 367/24 368/6 | presuming [1] 294/8 | 178/19 222/13 222/17 271/11 |
| 369/6 376/20 376/24 377/20 378/7 | presumption [4] 106/8 135/19 | 271/13 271/16 275/11 275/14 |
| 378/13 391/1 392/9 | 135/21 370/23 | 275/16 304/20 308/21 308/22 |
| policy [3] 26/17 145/22 250/1 | pretend [1] 200/1 | 308/24 346/16 380/10 380/16 |
| politically [1] 244/18 | pretending [1] 200/17 | 380/19 394/10 405/6 405/13 |
| politics [2] 80/2 285/25 | pretty [56] 7/17 19/12 21/23 22/1 | $406 / 13$ |
| pool [1] 378/25 | 23/15 31/3 31/10 31/12 32/2 | process [29] 28/17 44/9 67/14 |
| poor [2] 371/10 | 34/14 34/23 35/13 36/16 38/1 | 67/17 67/19 108/8 110/18 111/11 |
| populi [2] 252/21 252/22 | 44/18 58/23 63/5 63/12 74/17 | 122/14 123/16 125/14 147/7 148/9 |
| portion [1] 309/17 | 76/21 95/7 95/8 116/14 117/3 | 148/11 178/2 209/9 222/4 222/1 |
| portions [1] 133/24 | 147/14 188/14 200/19 202/14 | 236/16 236/22 265/18 274/16 |
| portrayed [1] 206/22 | 226/21 230/14 253/3 269/1 283/6 | 276/1 282/20 293/9 304/5 305/2 |
| pose [2] 12/11 217/16 | 284/16 291/5 292/9 309/5 320/1 | $379 / 25381 / 16$ |
| position [5] 99/4 167/22 319/8 | 321/5 322/22 323/12 323/24 | processing [1] 312/20 |
| 359/7 359/10 | 325/12 325/23 328/2 328/11 | produce [1] 155/8 |
| positions [1] 319/14 | 336/14 342/24 352/14 355/15 | product [3] 145/24 181/13 181/24 |
| positive [9] 170/18 210/14 314/19 | 359/18 382/5 384/10 384/13 385/1 | production [1] 145/17 |


|  | proving [6] 88/10 259/15 259/19 259/24 260/10 260/11 | 108/5 111/19 179/4 179/9 222/23 <br> 223/2 267/6 275/23 303/16 305/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| productive [1] 109/16 | $\begin{aligned} & 259 / 24260 / 10260 / 11 \\ & \text { proximity [1] } 189 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| products [2] 145/17 181/16 profession [2] 24/13 106/18 | Prozac [2] 85/2 85/3 | 379/21 380/5 |
|  |  |  |
| professional [1] 242/23 |  | 50/12 53/19 55/6 56/23 |
| professionalism [1] 148/2 proficient [1] 180/22 | lic [20] 9/25 | 20 53/12 53/19 55/6 56/23 |
|  | 61/10 63/16 91/11111/9135/19 | 93/17 94/16 110/16 110/22 112/9 |
| program [4] 85/18 247/6 316/9 | 63/19 222/14 271/12 275/12 | 115/6 116/1 123/10 125/12 125/17 |
| 316/11 | /21 314/1 346/16 346/20 | 27/4 129/8 134/19 140/5 177/25 |
| programming [1] 353/19 | 353/19 353/19 353/22 | 178/5 179/24 217/7 217/14 222/1 |
| programs [2] 57/7 323/16 progressed [1] 208/13 | publication [4] 26/1 26/12 | 222/7 225/4 226/24 237/22 239/4 |
|  | 316/1 | 243/25 249/9 268/6 270/23 271/21 |
| progressively [1] 214/10 <br> prohibited [7] 111/12 126/4 |  |  |
|  | 177/13 177/14 218/24 221/1 265/2 | 276/16 282/23 304/1 304/8 305/25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { prohibited }[7] \quad 111 / 12126 / 4 \\ & 178 / 21222 / 16304 / 18304 / 23 \\ & 377 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 265/10 352/22 401/7 | 307/9 307/25 309/4 312/12 322/20 |
|  |  |  |
| prohibiting [1] 304/15 | 150/19 | 34 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { project }[9] 147 / 111616 / 3316 / 4 \\ & 316 / 5316 / 14316 / 17316 / 23317 / 5 \\ & 317 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | pull [4] 98/2 98/14 100/8 268/6 | 377/2 379/22 380/3 382/19 385/19 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| projects [1] 117/12 <br> promise [4] 211/12 211/17211/18 | purchase [1] 28/1 | 9/8 11/5 11/7 48/25 |
|  |  |  |
| promise [4] 211/12 211/17 211/18 $368 / 2$ |  | 102/20 105/24 107/23 109/17 |
| promised [1] 301/5pronounce [1] $27 / 14$ | purpose [3] 233/15 398/4 398/4 | 110/21 110/21 114/21 123/23 |
|  | purposely [1] 246/2 | 12 |
| pronounce [1] 27/14pronouncing [1]proof [19]p6/23 | purposes [3] 312/1 | 78/6 |
|  | 390/20 | 20 197/21 198/6 198/10 |
| 100/21 101/17 101/19 104/8 106/8 | purse [2] 32/23 | 23 222/6 239/3 240/24 24 |
| 107/23 136/19 164/20 214/1 214/5 261/6 294/25 360/20 362/8 370/25 | pursue [3] 138/1 140/17 328/21 | 255/22 267/14 268/19 268/22 |
|  | pursued [1] 316/23 | 270/9 271/1 274/19 274/22 276/20 |
|  | pursuit [1] 127/23 | 276/13 284/13 304/7 305/9 305/20 |
| proper [2] 164/6 216/16 <br> property [3] 14/3 336/5 398/5 | pursuits [1] 72/15 | 305/24 306/5 316/19 318/14 |
| proposed [2] 8/17 344/25 <br> proposing [1] 5/6 | put [44] 44/18 44/21 50/1 64/8 | 318/25 325/4 326/11 326/25 |
|  | 65/19 82/25 84/20 99/1 106/2 | 334/11 342/24 346/9 347/21 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { proposing [1] } \\ \text { propulsion [1] } & 5 / 6 \\ \text { prosecuted [1] } & 226 / 3\end{array}$ | 114/10 114/24 137/4 145/18 | 352/23 355/6 357/6 366/13 368/22 |
|  | 153/20 154/10 154/20 155/23 | 376/16 380/2 381/12 381/14 393/9 |
| prosecution [3] 8/10 71/6 71/24 | 155/24 156/14 156/18158/5 | quick [1] 311/12 |
| prosecutor [14] 1/12 1/14 10/23 <br> 54/22 112/6 126/25 179/18 223/10 | 169/23 173/5 174/24 175/5 176/6 | quite [20] 32/13 6 |
|  | 189/17 192/25 213/22 213/24 | 136/16 149/13 153/6 153/20 173/2 |
|  | 214/12 216/14 228/19 234/11 | 174/7 189/19 219/20 227/23 253/2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 276 / 11305 / 163 \\ & 358 / 23358 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 243/25 249/14 336/20 366/8 | 284/16 321/6 329 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { prosecutors } \\ 126 / 26 & 10 / 22 & 54 / 20 & 112 / 4 \\ 389 / 16 & 223 / 8 & 276 / 9 & 347 / 12\end{array}$ | 366/14 367/6 368/22 369/15 | 366/17 393/15 |
|  | 396/21 399/ | qu |
| 381/11 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ [6] 6/8 6/14109/7 | puts [5] 74/ | R |
| prospective [6] 6/8 6/14 109/7 |  |  |
|  | put | rac |
| prostate [1] 68/25protect [3] 301/9 332/21 364/16 |  | 383 |
|  |  |  |
| protect [3] 301/9 332/21 364/16 prototype [1] 228/13 |  |  |
| prove [50] 39/16 49/10 49/11 49/18 49/19 64/25 65/2 65/5 | Q |  |
| 65/16 87/20 87/23 88/4 88/8 88/9 | qualifications [3] | 385/13 400/25 |
| 89/13 89/14 136/8 136/12 136/13 | 274/20 | Rad [1] 225/8 |
|  | quandary [2] 162/10 | radiation [4] 225 |
| 164/14 165/11 165/13 210/12 | q | 225/21 |
| 214/18 260/6 260/7 260/8 260/14260/23 286/6 286/15 294/10 | question [72] 6/21 6/23 7/3 12/2 | radio [57] 9/20 14/25 |
|  | 3/9 15/25 16/16 17/4 24/6 42/22 | 120/25 131/23 131/25 132/1 132/4 |
| 294/13 294/14 294/17 294/23 | 46/20 50/19 52/4 68/12 90/24 | 132/16 134/12 134/16 150/9 |
| 295/6 295/8 295/13 343/7 372/18 <br> 372/22 374/15 402/19 402/20 | 100/22 105/3 106/4 107/9 116/1 | 151/17 152/1 171/3 171/11 171/24 |
|  | 129/4 137/21 142/22 166/2 182/7 | 177/3 177/5 177/9 178/16 219/10 |
| proved [9] 42/7 65/21 92/8100/24 | 196/3 210/18 216/19 217/6 217/8 | 220/14 221/4 231/17 231/24 |
|  | 217/13 217/18 218/14 238/21 | 231/24 232/1 232/2 232/5 233/1 |
| proven [9] 87/24 87/25 90/8 | 240/3 247/20 263/17 268/9 268/24 | 234/3 234/5 234/25 235/3 237/16 |
| 137/16 295/14 360/6 361/13 370/3 372/20 | 269/12 269/15 270/9 271/19 |  |
|  | 271/20 271/22 281/20 284/19 | 313/17 314/1 314/10 323/25 324/1 |
| proves [2] 135/25 260/17 <br> provide [1] 4/24 | 288/20 299/7 311/3 315/19 317/2 | 324/9 347/3 |
|  |  |  |
| provided [15] $4 / 144 / 1711 / 5$13/18 $16 / 13$$14 / 1163 / 4127 / 4$ | + | 389/22 |
|  | 68/18373/2 | radios [1] 15 |
| $13 / 1816 / 1324 / 1163 / 4127 / 4$ <br> $179 / 23276 / 14282 / 23 ~ 304 / 8$ | 20 |  |
| $347 / 22380 / 3381 / 13$providing [1] $8 / 13$ | 389/20 404/6 | 110/8 116/18 125/8 177/21 221/22 |
|  | questioned [1] 23/8 |  |
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310/12 330/11 335/10 343/14
387/20 388/14 391/11 391/15
393/20 393/21 393/23 397/8
remembered [1] 83/4
remind [1] 346/24
reminded [1] 85/3
render [5] 164/6 166/10 166/13
213/13 318/7
rent [3] 27/24 398/7 399/16
rental [3] 76/3 397/2 398/5
rented [2] 40/17 391/17
repeat [4] 42/24 135/4 164/3 290/20
rephrase [2] 288/20 340/16 replaced [1] 4/20
replacement [2] 5/5 354/12
report [13] 10/13 54/12 111/20 126/13 140/6 140/22 141/6 179/6 222/25 305/7 366/9 381/4 400/16 reported [5] 1/24 37/21 99/23 327/23 406/6
reporter [15] 1/25 66/9 83/11
122/9 135/4 205/17 235/25 263/25
268/21 290/20 344/14 345/17
345/20 406/5 406/19
reporting [2] 11/25 323/1
reports [14] 21/16 21/19 153/10
171/6 177/8 222/17 271/15 304/24 309/11 310/8 346/20 362/11 369/9 380/22
represent [1] 84/2
representing [3] 4/9 149/6 318/23
request [4] 7/6 280/1 280/5 280/8
requested [3] 8/3 279/24 328/1
requesting [3] 7/23 124/7 404/1
require [6] 45/7 111/3 165/11
178/14 286/21 308/11
required [4] 8/16 245/11 247/7
311/19
requirement [1] 9/15
requirements [1] 76/18
requires [2] 167/3 377/5
requiring [1] 260/23
reservation [1] 292/21
reserve [1] 310/23
residence [1] 140/20
resident [3] 25/6 120/20 390/2
resistance [1] 48/6
resolving [1] 108/19
resources [1] 139/12
respect [15] 7/5 7/24 14/22 222/12
261/12 264/5 269/12 295/7 315/14
315/17 326/4 333/13 333/17 344/3 364/24
respond [2] 128/19 333/19
responder [1] 146/7
responding [1] 173/23
response [5] 17/3 52/8 174/10 313/14333/20
responses [6] 105/24 107/3 107/16 174/2 174/3 265/19
responsibilities [5] 129/19 223/15 224/4 228/25 229/21
responsibility [7] 147/12 227/11
300/10 308/12 375/23 376/4 376/9 rest [9] 47/10 47/12 47/14 47/17

56/6 104/6 125/21 247/4 290/13
restate [2] 298/22 300/20
restaurant [1] 363/14
restriction [2] 154/7 266/15
restrooms [1] 328/8
result [10] 16/20 64/17 114/18
134/18 141/5 269/14 317/3 318/8 319/8 377/22
resulted [1] 129/14
results [2] 155/7 155/17
resume [2] 176/14 405/3
retail [4] 306/11 312/4 312/9
319/24
retired [2] 306/9 306/25
retirement [1] 307/3
retribution [2] 117/5 370/15
retrospect [1] 248/22
return [8] 9/13 49/12 111/1 125/20
260/21 262/20 271/5 380/9
returned [1] 277/18
returning [3] 223/11 272/3 273/6
returns [2] 77/22 405/10
reveals [1] 220/10
reverse [1] 404/17
review [3] 6/12 7/20 7/22
revolutionary [1] 251/3
Rezash [1] 241/5
riding [2] 72/11 72/13
Rietbrock [1] 400/4
rigged [1] 256/12
right [658]
rights [1] 374/13
ring [3] $81 / 22$ 128/23 293/22
rings [3] 112/23 113/1 113/2
risk[5] 6/19 106/22 138/21 154/25
362/2
River [1] 385/4
Rivers [1] 128/9
RNs [1] 57/23
road [6] $71 / 11113 / 17$ 205/4
244/15 395/7 395/10
roads [1] 159/7
robbery [1] 239/6
robo [1] 47/8
rock [1] 249/5
role [7] 41/5 120/17 131/3 147/18
230/20 295/2 366/21
roll [1] 249/5
romance [1] 349/18
room [11] 27/9 147/6 147/8 173/4
173/8 216/15 224/9 258/13 295/19
328/14 375/24
Rotarian [1] 320/4
Rotary [2] 320/2 320/3
rotate [1] 231/8
rough [2] 146/18 146/22
round [1] 321/9
rounded [1] 227/13
RPR [2] 1/24 406/19
rule [7] 109/17 109/20 109/22
259/16 262/4 262/13 304/14
ruled [2] 219/12 345/1
rules [12] 49/3 108/6 109/5 109/8
109/11 167/24 229/9 265/15
281/20 286/25 295/7 296/16
ruling [4] 220/11 379/2 379/4
379/7
run [10] 60/1 $139 / 4139 / 13140 / 6$
142/7 224/8 242/15 251/13 255/16
381/23
run-ins [1] 60/1
running [3] 147/4 311/16 399/21
Runs [1] 207/14

Rush [1] 232/13

## S

S-p-u-d [1] 290/21
SAARI [8] 2/21 177/18 177/19 177/24 179/12 217/13 218/6 221/16
Sad [1] 359/17
safe [1] 25/3
safer [1] 146/4
safety [15] 24/15 24/15 24/17
26/16 145/10 145/11 145/15 145/22 145/25 161/23 223/24 230/22 230/23 245/23 256/9 said [94] 12/23 35/22 36/19 38/2 47/8 56/15 59/2 63/12 63/14 63/22 64/6 67/8 72/19 77/7 77/14
78/17 80/19 81/24 85/7 87/19
91/15 93/7 93/15 94/20 97/3
100/10 100/16 102/8 104/14 104/18 105/6 113/24 116/6 116/9 137/25 151/3 153/13 154/11 154/20 157/21 168/11 170/18 172/21 173/23 173/25 176/4
182/22 189/20 191/2 192/15
192/17 195/16 202/24 203/11 203/17 204/18 218/15 219/2 225/4 238/1 238/13 243/6 253/8 256/4 256/16 257/7 257/22 258/1 258/17
263/3 292/8 292/9 293/21 299/20
$309 / 19311 / 16325 / 7333 / 4333 / 12$ $334 / 8357 / 9358 / 8359 / 15359 / 15$ $362 / 7363 / 7363 / 20364 / 25366 / 2$
369/9 373/19 388/1 8 402/4 406/13
sake [2] 139/11 149/17
sale [1] 187/14
sales [1] 312/9
salvage [6] $13 / 20$ 14/2 $14 / 1435 / 1$
35/7 $113 / 4$
Salvation [1] 128/23
same [63] $11 / 1836 / 6$ 59/5 69/14
71/9 71/14 79/23 88/2 89/20
89/25 93/9 97/14 98/10 98/11
98/13 98/18 $117 / 24118 / 9$ 139/4
139/24 148/1 159/6 159/10 159/12 198/3 198/16 205/16 205/16 205/18 205/19 205/20 209/22 242/12 249/24 260/13 261/22 262/1 262/20 285/5 285/6 285/17 312/6 315/3 332/25 333/5 333/8 341/16 341/19 341/19341/25 $343 / 12343 / 16352 / 12365 / 22$ 365/22 365/24 366/22 368/6 377/19 378/13 385/13 387/5 392/21
San [2] 225/11 239/21
sat [2] $8 / 2$ 338/20
satisfaction [2] 25/2 88/11
satisfied [6] 137/2 176/9 260/17
260/20 266/22 273/21
save [2] 89/11 162/16
saved [1] 293/1
saves [1] 353/14
saving [3] 32/13 32/15 42/4 savings [3] $12 / 12$ 12/24 28/15 saw [15] 122/12 122/25 149/7 236/1 8 240/11 240/18 265/3 284/11 287/15 288/10 357/12 $358 / 13$ 359/4 366/10 395/2 say if [1] 37/14
saying [45] 30/6 37/20 43/22 44/1 47/19 48/10 57/8 57/9 63/1 80/13 84/3 88/7 89/10 96/24 97/8 97/9
saying... [29] 97/12 97/13 97/14 97/23 100/7 100/9 102/12 106/17 109/9 115/2 117/3 118/20 133/23 160/11 172/4 189/23 191/20
212/21 243/19 250/7 261/1 295/19 295/23 296/22 334/1 358/10 358/10 373/4 373/8
says [18] 139/4 139/5 154/9 154/13 162/18 162/24 163/25 171/10 172/15 174/22 211/4 212/8 221/12 251/15 261/13 268/24 295/8 375/7
scan [1] 236/3
scene [5] 68/18 159/14 192/1 192/7 366/6
schedule [4] 56/3 74/16 76/10 323/15
scheduled [1] 383/14
Schneider [1] 400/3
school [34] 55/19 55/21 55/22 58/23 59/6 59/14 59/16 60/5 69/15 75/21 76/12 85/9 87/12 127/24 134/9 140/18 190/18 190/20 191/1 197/16 197/18 228/12 239/13 246/13 246/14 276/25 277/3 285/24 289/5 289/21 290/7 301/24 307/11 394/9
schooled [1] 56/6
schooling [1] 86/24
SCHUETTE [22] 3/10 303/10
303/13 303/16 303/20 303/25
304/2 305/14 306/7 308/19 309/6
310/19 312/25 315/1 315/25
317/13 317/21 318/20 342/18
342/22 343/25 344/8
science [10] $152 / 25153 / 21 \quad 154 / 2$
154/8 155/8 155/17 165/20 227/16 227/19 301/24
sciences [2] 289/25 317/13
scientific [14] 44/23 44/24 92/9
137/22 137/23 138/12 138/16
302/1 302/3 302/7 302/8 302/15 317/8 317/20
scientifically [2] 138/4 154/3
scientist [4] 138/18 138/24 139/4 139/13
scientist's [1] 139/23
scientists [5] 139/3 139/7 139/9 139/10 154/22
scraping [1] $34 / 17$
search [7] 129/9 129/18 130/5
131/2 131/3 310/10 387/23
searches [2] 128/21 129/6
searching [4] 144/9 213/14 387/23 387/24
season [2] 32/3 383/24
seasonal [1] 31/24
seat [1] 196/6
seated [11] 9/2 53/10 110/12
125/10 177/23 221/24 270/21
274/12 303/24 346/3 379/17
seats [1] 258/13
second [12] 4/3 21/12 51/15 78/6
113/12 119/16 184/25 264/23
313/22 322/21 362/9 384/1
seconds [3] $28 / 20242 / 17243 / 6$
section [3] 36/17 187/11 328/5
seeing [8] 62/15 64/18 134/9
187/1 209/19 234/17 235/20 387/9
seem [8] 104/1 194/19 201/3
204/12 218/16 237/21 352/25
$364 / 5$
seemed [9] 156/4 170/17 175/10 194/18 194/18 195/12 199/10 204/21 266/3
seems [14] 154/12 171/4 205/6 238/8 270/1 270/5 312/19 317/19 $317 / 19333 / 20334 / 25337 / 22$ 367/2 389/2
seen [20] 15/16 26/14 26/23 27/8 40/3 62/9 95/5 115/8 122/9
122/11 130/10 186/24 200/25
206/14 237/15 244/21 327/12
327/13 364/12 395/25
selected [55] 7/12 9/11 10/4 11/22 12/6 16/21 50/11 50/14 53/21 55/5 56/8 56/21 73/1 99/5 100/6 101/24 102/17 103/9 110/24
111/15 116/5 125/18 126/6 131/16 136/3 148/14 149/15 161/9 161/17
166/2 168/1 169/20 178/8 178/24
198/25 207/6 217/17 222/10 222/18 238/16 271/25 275/17
282/1 304/10 305/1 305/4 305/22 333/24 346/12 346/21 380/8
380/23 382/7 389/25 392/19
selecting [2] 112/11 347/23
selection [21] 9/6 53/14 110/17
123/5 123/16 125/14 178/2 222/3 236/15 265/17 266/6 266/9 267/8 270/25 274/16 275/25 304/4
$305 / 23$ 346/7 379/25 382/11
self [1] 162/17
self-interested [1] 162/17
sell [6] 26/2 26/3 26/4 26/8 26/9 144/10
semester [1] 56/2
semi [1] 252/17
send [3] $251 / 12$ 259/8 344/11
sending [1] 251/14
senior [3] 351/4 351/7 351/21
seniors [1] 351/19
sensational [1] 171/8
sense [12] $5 / 2030 / 1536 / 2438 / 7$
68/17 90/12 90/13 102/18 140/2
258/16 260/1 296/19
sensible [1] 96/23
September [3] 245/18 246/15 265/6
September 11 [1] 245/18
sequestered [12] 9/12 53/22
110/25 125/19 178/9 222/10
255/13 271/4 274/24 304/11
346/13 380/7
sergeant [4] 70/25 308/6 308/8 308/13
series [4] 49/7 63/23 81/16 257/5
serious [9] 18/9 20/4 203/12 207/3
255/4 300/2 300/4 376/3 376/3
seriously [2] 47/7 369/1
serve [20] 10/5 79/24 116/4 116/5
126/6 142/24 178/8 178/25 217/17
217/19 222/19 271/24 271/25
275/18 277/11 305/1 305/22
332/21 346/22 380/24
served [9] 225/1 281/13 306/9
$308 / 1308 / 4316 / 21324 / 24327 / 2$ 355/18
service [6] 23/17 76/1 148/22
223/19 270/3 351/3
services [1] 308/19
servicing [1] 25/12
serving [2] 248/12 305/16
session [1] 9/14
set [24] $8 / 1416 / 2156 / 474 / 16$
170/20 172/6 174/14 193/24
238/14 256/2 256/3 256/3 256/5
267/4 268/25 269/13 269/24 355/7
389/21 397/19 398/25 399/12
400/1 1 402/7
sets [1] 377/16
setting [4] 47/8 59/6 230/22 255/24
settled [2] 131/8 165/18
seven [11] 27/19 27/22 142/17
149/10 193/12 201/19 234/7
251/14 322/4 322/8 349/2
several [4] 62/18 $112 / 15$ 244/6 308/17
severe [1] 337/24
sexual [4] 173/22 200/21 200/23
336/8
shade [2] 48/2 297/19
shake [2] 198/12 381/23
shame [1] 329/25
share [1] 373/5
sharp [1] 253/3
SHEDAL [8] 2/14 110/7 110/13 110/14 110/15 111/25 123/24 124/1
sheets [3] 312/20 312/25 313/4
sheriff [8] 81/17 141/18 141/20
158/15 203/23 330/11 391/3 391/4
sheriff's [6] 25/10 69/20 283/16
314/13 314/14 390/25
sheriffs [3] 96/1 158/23 197/24
shift [7] 113/12 113/14 114/11
114/12 171/21 250/12 291/21
shifts [1] 117/12
ship [1] 247/11
shipboard [1] 247/1
shipyard [2] 225/9 225/17
Shirley [1] 325/2
shocked [1] 359/17
shooters [1] 251/22
shootings [2] 200/21 200/22
shop [15] 145/10 186/13 230/16
234/1 1 245/10 245/13 255/24
256/8 256/13 263/3 263/11 263/13
265/6 387/6 387/9
shopped [1] 35/9
shopping [2] 232/18 321/2
Shoreline [1] 272/19
short [3] 232/15 232/17 248/3
shortcut [1] 323/7
shorthand [1] 406/10
shortly [2] 93/8 245/17
shot [2] 198/21 240/11
shots [2] 126/8 222/20
should [56] 10/4 10/5 22/16 53/20
56/19 97/3 103/8 105/14 105/15 110/23 116/4 118/4 126/6 134/23
152/23 154/4 162/13 162/17
163/22 163/25 172/8 173/17
174/25 179/1 210/19 211/11
216/16 219/18 219/19 219/20 222/1 8 245/4 245/13 250/21 259/7
260/3 260/6 261/22 268/2 269/8
271/24 275/17 295/16 304/25
$315 / 15315 / 23322 / 2339 / 5339 / 6$
$339 / 11343 / 3373 / 20373 / 23$
375/17 380/19 404/22
shouldn't [5] 5/19 39/5 260/7
260/8 295/13
show [20] 10/7 10/8 48/14 54/6
76/18 101/4 147/14 179/2 183/22
218/20 234/12 253/13 253/14
show... [7] 254/8 254/18 258/21
275/19 323/17 346/23 358/21
showed [2] 92/3 196/2
shown [2] 213/18 378/3
shows [17] 68/15 68/21 101/19
191/23 192/1 192/6 206/17 206/19 233/20 233/21 252/13 254/7
260/22 324/6 364/9 364/9 364/10
Shrine [3] 350/9 350/12 350/17
shut [2] 245/21 246/6
shuts [1] 399/4
siblings [5] 396/21 397/19 397/21 398/13 399/8
sic [3] 89/8 314/24 340/13
sick[4] 82/17 82/22 252/1 252/2
sickness [1] 248/24
side [19] 6/21 71/1 103/3 103/7
104/22 123/21 137/6 162/7 204/3
260/3 260/4 262/18 272/11 295/8
295/9 339/17 396/4 403/4 404/14
side's [1] 100/24
sidebar [1] 272/8
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { sided [2] } & 134 / 23 & 135 / 7\end{array}$
sides [12] $41 / 1541 / 1641 / 18$
44/22 134/23 163/10 163/11
262/16 327/3 339/18 355/4 358/8
sign [1] 247/8
signed [3] 246/17 396/20 398/8
significant [6] 109/21 171/8
218/17 218/25 219/4 265/7
similar [2] 204/23 246/3
simple [1] 240/24
simply [12] 7/16 136/25 137/12
172/18 202/12 238/23 247/25
270/12 306/3 364/14 377/10 393/17
since [33] $7 / 28 / 28 / 1825 / 25$
29/12 40/21 40/23 48/10 59/5
77/18 127/24 129/10 129/23 141/3
177/7 177/13 201/16 201/25
219/21 245/17 255/12 256/1 263/7
267/19 315/1 331/15 335/10
353/23 360/23 363/3 373/13
383/11 392/1
sincere [1] 273/25
Singapore [1] 226/19
singer [1] 289/16
singing [1] 289/11
sink [1] 194/5
sir [8] 27/8 167/17 199/24 240/14 306/15 306/25 342/17 390/14
sister [7] 384/16 384/21 393/10
397/7 399/10 399/14 399/14
sit [17] 16/9 23/18 24/9 61/20
65/20 168/23 197/6 214/6 261/3
280/23 295/11 301/25 315/22
317/24 356/6 356/13 389/14
site [1] 233/16
sites [1] 233/21
sits [4] 67/4 133/3 208/6 286/1
sitting [14] 38/23 75/14 90/25
98/10 196/6 204/16 209/20 209/24 294/5 317/22 342/4 358/18 363/5 367/18
situation [17] 52/3 55/4 99/2
166/4 175/1 175/6 207/15 209/13 209/14 209/22 209/23 273/7
308/20 325/12 326/20 339/23

## 368/21

situations [1] 157/12
six [36] 11/23 11/25 14/15 28/21

29/17 30/5 30/12 50/5 55/15
142/17 149/9 161/15 167/8 186/8
186/9 217/15 226/12 228/15 233/5
233/7 234/6 241/16 247/8 255/2
277/12 288/10 289/3 293/4 293/5
308/14 308/14 321/20 351/6
351/17 382/9 390/5
Sixteen [3] 224/22 224/23 383/16
Skarda [1] 55/7
skate [5] 351/6 351/10 351/16
351/21 351/24
skated [1] 351/23
skating[3] 351/4 351/20 351/25
skeletal [1] 87/10
skeleton [4] 85/19 85/22 86/16
87/5
skeletons [2] 86/2 86/2
skewed [1] 327/13
skill [2] 183/2 183/4
skills [1] 128/14
skin [3] 42/4 89/11 162/17
skip [1] 323/24
Sky [1] 55/20
sleep [4] 149/11 149/21 149/22
150/2
sleeping [1] 149/23
slid [1] 195/24
slightly [1] 396/11
slowed [1] 201/15
small [4] 130/15 130/17 150/17
321/10
smart [3] 69/2 254/15 263/12
snooped [1] 35/8
snow [1] 321/19
soaps [1] 181/15
social [2] 182/17 269/2
Society [2] 319/20 320/21
socks [1] 330/24
softball [5] 228/17 228/18 228/21
228/23 229/15
sold [6] 144/25 306/17 306/21
307/3 330/23 397/23
soldiers [1] 308/12
sole [1] 118/2
solely[7] 17/11 68/9 103/2 199/2
238/15 355/8 389/23
solid [3] 137/15 258/20 404/7
solo [1] 384/13
solved [1] 358/5
solvents [1] 181/20
somebody [36] 18/7 36/2 80/10
147/20 157/9 188/3 188/10 188/17
188/20 $189 / 17189 / 20$ 203/1 206/8
209/20 $211 / 24$ 212/22 213/8 219/3
242/7 242/11 242/25 255/23
255/23 325/25 329/22 332/5
337/18 364/23 366/5 368/14
369/10 372/12 373/4 373/12 385/2 400/3
somebody's [1] 242/1
somehow [4] 71/18 156/8 219/10
343/5
someone [42] 24/20 32/12 38/14
65/8 71/5 76/7 81/11 82/10 87/20 88/19 92/3 109/10 118/16118/20 138/9 138/17 154/9 154/13 157/6 157/18 158/12 209/8 209/9 239/5 254/6 259/19 264/20 267/21
267/22 300/3 300/13 300/14
$300 / 14300 / 21300 / 25301 / 4301 / 9$
301/10 301/14 356/7 361/8 393/4
someone's [2] 68/24 78/20
something [128] 24/19 25/1 29/15
$33 / 533 / 533 / 634 / 1638 / 1438 / 15$
39/2 39/3 40/13 40/20 41/6 45/8
$45 / 13$ 46/10 48/3 50/18 60/22
62/13 64/4 65/9 65/10 78/21
78/25 79/13 82/24 83/1 88/23
90/23 91/2 91/3 91/5 92/13 93/5
93/21 95/4 97/25 98/12 101/24
103/23 118/23 122/6 141/16 143/2
147/20 153/3 156/10 157/7 157/10 158/6 158/10 $159 / 15$ 160/6 163/8 163/22 165/21 169/2 172/19 183/6 186/12 188/5 188/7 189/9 190/17
193/9 203/6 204/23 208/15 208/17
209/11 210/8 $211 / 20$ 216/22 220/5 220/14 227/5 231/4 232/19 234/9 235/16 242/16 249/14 253/6 257/24 258/6 260/16 263/3 263/16 267/2 272/14 282/17 286/16 298/17 299/23 301/6 301/18 307/20 318/2 326/2 328/15 328/20 328/21 329/4 330/24 336/3 337/19 337/20 338/1 338/2 338/10 350/7 $358 / 15$ 359/9 361/9 361/12 361/19 $362 / 3$ 362/3 362/4 368/15 373/3 $373 / 19373 / 20374 / 6401 / 14$ 402/18
sometime [7] 5/2 5/7 54/12 179/7 245/17 310/14 330/24
sometimes [25] 50/8 61/5 128/20
150/2 151/24 155/7 157/23 160/15 160/22 163/7 163/13 204/7 205/2 206/14 232/12 232/23 245/1 254/1 $323 / 3$ 323/6 323/23 323/23 334/18 337/24 367/7
somewhat [15] 13/8 13/19 64/10
105/24 112/14 173/12 226/25 227/23 266/15 267/11 272/15 353/1 353/1 354/20 385/15
somewhere [7] 59/14 189/21 202/3
202/4 242/15 347/25 390/15
son [8] 59/8 59/13 60/18 78/1 78/4
95/5 348/6 385/17
sons [1] 58/24
soon [2] 28/10 399/5
sorry [33] 10/25 19/4 25/20 31/8 56/14 62/23 66/7 73/17 103/11 113/16 127/17 131/18 139/8 141/13 143/17 144/22 181/17 189/19 193/19 213/21 233/6 259/11 259/11 264/2 272/7 281/5 291/2 313/13 345/8 345/14 356/9 395/11 402/21
sort [49] $15 / 128 / 1930 / 1931 / 24$
34/16 38/18 39/8 41/22 44/5 45/8
45/22 46/2 57/10 63/25 70/4
70/16 72/11 74/13 77/20 83/15
85/24 145/16 150/20 162/12 167/7 174/19 181/20 195/18 200/12
203/14 210/16 216/13 236/8
242/20 256/18 263/16 288/16
290/16 291/11 298/11 298/20
322/13 325/9 331/9 335/12 339/3
399/19 401/7 402/10
sorts [1] 129/25
sought [1] 145/20
sound [2] 69/1 82/13
sounded [1] 248/19
sounds [6] 201/24 $215 / 23$ 253/25
321/5 322/21 349/23
source [13] 8/18 $119 / 19134 / 11$ $144 / 5153 / 1218 / 21$ 234/3 237/24 271/10 279/22 281/1 311/8 353/4
sources [7] 14/22 14/24 120/25
sources... [4] 121/1 185/19 265/24 322/23
sovereign [1] 259/18
spaces [1] 226/3
spanked [2] 193/2 194/2
spanking[1] 192/18
spare [2] 72/10 146/8
Sparks [1] 349/20
speak[7] $5 / 1985 / 13163 / 19164 / 9$ 180/12 287/8 350/3
speaking[3] 5/21 151/12 325/1
special [10] $1 / 121 / 14$ 56/5 137/22
142/7 192/7 302/8 305/16 305/19
358/23
specialized [1] 252/9
specific [8] 50/18 107/1 159/1
159/3 235/16 245/25 255/21
268/13
specifically [6] 218/18 237/23
310/23 314/3 315/19 317/14
specifics [1] 263/5
spectator [1] 338/22
speculate [4] 100/16 150/20 164/21 168/14
speculating [3] 102/11 156/11 156/22
speculation [1] 150/22
speech [1] 340/12
speed [2] 108/8 205/4
spend [9] 78/6 125/21 231/1 275/1 278/16 297/8 321/11 383/20 383/22
spending [2] 32/21 95/19
spent [4] 207/16 208/3 288/5
329/9
spoke [1] 143/12
spoken [2] 118/21 243/3
sport [1] 31/25
sports [13] 19/13 19/14 19/15
19/16 36/17 60/23 61/2 79/8
$132 / 19$ 232/4 232/4 232/24 278/5
sportsmen's [1] 391/20
spot [2] 367/6 369/16
spouse [2] 325/7 325/21
spouse's [1] 326/5
spread [3] 312/20 312/25 313/4
Spud [2] 290/19 290/21
ss [1] 406/1
St [1] 350/9
stab [1] 82/17
staff [1] 241/24
stage [1] 266/12
stake [5] 43/25 295/20 297/7 297/10 339/11
stand [28] 23/1 23/2 27/8 43/21
44/1 66/15 89/1 89/9 99/10
100/13 103/3 134/7 160/4 162/15
211/11 221/19 240/18 250/21
261/9 285/4 287/15 295/18 332/22
339/9 340/21 364/19 366/6 377/24
standards [6] 24/16 145/16 148/2
230/23 378/13 404/8
standing [4] 53/7 125/6 188/21 328/9
stands [1] 107/7
Star [3] 350/9 350/11 350/11
start [18] 11/4 78/16 108/4 108/22
109/8 109/23 109/25 121/15 135/8
213/5 237/6 263/8 290/6 306/7
345/4 350/5 379/8 383/24
started [10] 57/14 80/8 123/6
$185 / 5$ 201/16 212/25 236/17 254/9 257/10 363/13
starting [7] 76/23 80/14 233/7
258/12 291/20 384/2 402/25
startled [1] 130/13
starts [2] 39/15 300/5
starve [1] 12/15

4/4 4/6 4/16 6/7 10/21 39/16
49/11 49/18 52/14 54/19 65/1
65/10 65/21 67/3 84/7 90/8 92/21
97/17 97/19 97/21 99/9 99/23
99/25 102/12 104/2 104/5 107/10 124/19 135/24 136/18 136/19 137/17 156/1 156/8 166/6 170/5 173/18 179/9 197/24 197/25 210/12 213/3 214/4 214/7 214/14 215/3 218/2 259/17 260/17 261/5 264/17 267/25 286/6 316/3 321/14 $336 / 18343 / 7344 / 4370 / 3$ 370/24 378/22 406/1 406/5
State's [10] 42/7 101/4 101/7
137/1 137/5 137/12 164/18 213/12 214/11372/17
stated [2] 22/8 23/25
statement [5] 5/18 153/11175/19 176/4 177/4
statements [9] $23 / 1283 / 1783 / 20$ 99/7 99/23 99/24 153/6 153/8 358/24
States [4] 225/2 225/24 226/15 226/16
station [8] 18/8 62/1 232/6 240/10
314/1 324/2 324/5 353/9
stationed [1] 308/8
stations [8] 200/4 226/8 231/24
232/1 309/24 324/9 353/7 353/22
statutory [1] 106/19
stay [1] 151/17
staying [1] 201/23
stays [1] 78/9
steal [1] 18/7
stenographic [1] 406/9
step [9] 95/24 139/16 148/17
161/19 167/11 172/21 275/25 321/20 379/25
stepson [3] 307/6 313/22 314/2
stepson-in-law [1] 307/6
Steve [1] 27/11
STEVEN [34] 1/6 1/20 4/2 4/8 37/3
37/22 38/22 39/13 41/11 48/18
74/11 81/19 91/8 119/21 135/9
199/21 210/11 240/21 243/8
256/19 258/7 260/15 260/17
287/14 293/13 310/15 317/1
$318 / 22$ 331/6 336/1 336/4 357/3
395/1 402/9
Steven's [2] 98/8 116/8
stick[7] 93/18 167/2 190/25
267/12 267/14 300/12 321/15
sticking [1] 29/14
sticks [2] 133/8 133/12
stigma [1] 269/2
still [48] 12/8 $13 / 840 / 1254 / 10$
59/13 84/13 95/3 111/18 137/13
149/13 165/12 165/15 165/17
168/17 168/25 174/14 201/20
209/24 210/1 $213 / 11213 / 14$
215/19 215/20 215/21 222/22
234/25 238/18 239/11 246/23
273/14 276/25 277/8 277/17 289/7
290/7 291/6 294/20 301/11 313/1
$320 / 14320 / 15326 / 17327 / 17$

343/7 344/24 346/25 351/10 389/9
stirred [1] 130/13
stock [8] 19/16 31/4 31/10 80/25 382/25 383/1 384/9 385/7
stocker [1] 290/9
STONEBRAKER [9] 3/1 221/16
221/18 221/21 221/25 223/5 244/9
265/12 265/16
stood [2] 74/11 249/9
stop [2] 40/1 189/4
stopped [5] 41/2 195/21 266/4 354/5 400/22
store [10] 76/5 276/20 306/11
306/14 306/16 306/18 306/19
307/17 319/6 319/7
stored [2] 152/10 152/12
stories [5] 20/25 73/19 192/5 310/12 361/3
story [17] 41/15 98/4 130/11 133/2 137/7 152/13 155/21 323/4 327/20 $328 / 13$ 336/20 338/8 338/11
339/17 355/5 358/8 359/14
straight [2] 77/8 85/9
STRANG [34] $1 / 16$ 2/5 2/7 $2 / 113 / 3$
3/9 3/21 4/9 4/14 4/22 4/25 5/22
27/4 27/10 52/16 53/1 63/21 74/6
74/10 103/24 124/4 149/6 199/20
$211 / 9240 / 15$ 240/21 268/3 287/4
287/14 318/21 357/3 374/8 394/23
395/1
Strang's [1] 49/6
stranger [1] 293/18
strategy [4] 183/4 251/21 252/4 252/5
street [11] 19/8 35/5 46/14 47/22 59/3 59/4 59/5 86/17 86/21 96/4 195/20
streets [1] 86/23
strengths [1] 285/17
stress [3] 33/8 33/10 85/5
stretching [1] 69/11
stricken [1] 174/25
strike [17] 6/11 41/9 53/3 106/6
106/23 107/20 170/13 175/11
176/12 265/10 265/25 266/24
267/22 269/4 337/17 404/11 405/1
strikes [2] 38/18 38/19
striking [1] 404/15
strings [1] 350/23
striped [1] 135/10
stroke [2] 320/24 320/24
strong [5] 22/4 214/8 215/10
215/12 326/22
strongly [3] 40/18 250/21 326/23
struck [10] 6/5 6/12 7/14 105/14 105/15 107/17 140/18 172/9 175/9 404/22
stuck [1] 83/9
student[5] 55/17 59/18 59/21
77/8 85/12
studies [1] 307/13
study [2] 285/23 307/16
studying [2] 57/12 363/5
stuff [25] 19/15 20/23 24/18 36/17
57/7 61/9 69/11 81/5 84/13 84/24
87/7 91/23 224/14 226/10 233/17
234/11 238/25 248/15 249/21
256/11 257/23 258/21 259/16
388/19 402/24
stupid [2] 84/9 104/12
subject [2] 80/17 340/15
subjected [1] 315/3
subjective [1] 7/7
subjectively [1] 175/3
subjects [2] 288/21 289/23
subliminally [1] 273/10
submarine [3] 247/21 247/24
248/12
submarines [3] 248/4 248/24 249/2
submitted [1] 346/5
subscribe [3] 235/25 251/11
309/12
subscriber [1] 251/13
substance [1] 130/19
substantial [2] 316/22 329/9
substantive [2] 8/10 8/17
substitution [1] 5/12
successful [1] 59/25
such [9] 20/4 26/2 26/17 54/7 128/21 137/23 314/17 367/9 377/12
sufficient [1] 12/17
suggest [5] 30/3 39/2 45/6 97/20 109/1
suggested [2] 106/6 340/21
suggesting [4] 83/3 119/24 138/9
301/13
suggestion [3] 104/25 107/9
108/20
suit [3] 135/10 330/24 393/24
summary [2] 152/6 172/17
summer [6] 192/23 246/19 246/22
354/6 357/10 399/19
summers [1] 321/11
Sunday [10] 122/2 186/17 186/19
186/20 186/22 188/2 263/21
279/11 279/13 279/14
Sunday's [1] 328/5
supervise [3] 147/13 147/15 148/3
supervision [1] 7/11
supervisor [2] 115/15 120/14
supervisors [2] 230/17 230/18
supervisory [4] 120/17 147/18
229/20 308/11
support [2] 56/17 153/17
supports [1] 359/10
suppose [10] 220/18 297/23
$300 / 11301 / 16320 / 12330 / 14$
$333 / 19$ 340/25 341/6 388/24
supposed [8] 61/22 64/1 64/10
193/3 225/22 264/3 280/2 296/6
supposedly [8] 120/3 133/21
218/20 257/21 258/2 258/8 293/24 359/15
surf [3] 20/21 278/2 278/4
surface [3] 249/2 249/3 249/5
surprise [1] 355/23
surprised [3] 203/8 203/10 258/10
surrounding [1] 388/15
surveillance [1] 223/22
surveys [3] 225/15 225/19 225/20
suspect [4] 140/17 311/20 312/4
404/13
suspects [1] 239/9
sustain [1] 105/1
swear [2] 205/13 364/16
swing [1] 95/12
sworn [13] 9/1 53/9 110/11 125/9
177/22 221/23 270/20 274/11
303/23 332/20 346/2 365/10
379/16
sympathetic [1] 332/5
system [5] 87/10 143/6 261/13
$285 / 21$ 295/7
systems [2] 223/21 223/24
T
t's [1] 396/24
T-boned [1] 71/21
table [3] 261/8 261/22 295/16
tag [1] 291/12
take [71] $4 / 11$ 6/18 8/13 11/23
13/14 18/1 29/19 29/22 29/22
30/5 43/21 43/25 47/6 49/1 57/22
59/15 72/21 72/24 77/2 77/7 78/1
85/10 87/15 96/11 97/11 108/12
109/24 116/21 119/25 120/19
121/24 126/8 159/22 164/19 166/3 172/15 173/18 175/12 176/13
197/12 205/13 205/23 211/11
217/15 220/17 221/5 221/6 222/20
224/17 267/1 267/20 268/11 273/3
276/24 292/16 293/9 295/1 297/24
298/1 332/22 332/22 340/21
344/15 345/6 351/20 364/19
$378 / 17385 / 15387 / 18396 / 17$
398/22
taken [15] 18/6 18/9 24/1 78/21
110/5 123/21 176/24 203/19
266/19 267/16 272/11 277/20 345/22 403/4 406/9
takes [12] 31/15 70/6 140/17
162/15 167/2 205/16 224/12 261/8
295/17 339/8 364/21 400/25
taking [8] 69/8 133/20 134/5
167/16 251/2 301/10 343/17
399/15
talk[56] 9/21 17/22 19/12 19/23
20/1 20/8 22/6 33/14 33/18 52/20 60/7 65/14 79/20 79/20 80/14 80/16 80/20 80/23 80/25 90/17 90/19 90/20 94/11 111/7112/10 116/15 118/16 130/15 130/17 131/20 142/9 146/17 149/8 150/18 158/8 165/4 174/21 191/11 191/14 191/16 219/23 229/5 229/9 231/12 232/1 232/4 232/5 233/22 237/20 242/16 324/18 326/1 331/6 345/3 352/3 390/24
talked [23] 61/6 114/23 120/3 131/1 157/8 162/5 190/14 191/8 236/15 236/22 242/13 243/6 269/7 296/21 296/21 319/1 325/5 325/9 325/10 326/15 335/12 363/16 370/23
talking [40] 61/3 68/24 79/22 80/2 80/8 92/25 93/22 131/5 138/23 151/14 171/13 173/20 188/3 188/11 188/17 188/18 188/19 188/22 189/6 189/8 189/9 189/16 191/15 191/20 207/25 211/9 213/1 213/6 237/7 243/11 250/18 252/21 263/8 264/2 320/10 325/21 341/14 367/4 380/14 394/6
tampered [3] 188/4 189/17 189/20 task [1] 334/5
taught [4] 290/5 351/23 364/23 365/8
tavern [1] 61/7
tax [3] 77/22 313/7 400/16
teacher [4] 290/4 348/2 348/6
348/7
teacher's [1] 348/4
teachers [1] 348/2
teaching [2] 147/19 365/2
team [4] 228/17 229/25 230/13

384/14
teams [1] 228/19
tech [1] 224/23
technical [2] 230/24 397/22
technically [1] 295/3
technician [11] 223/18 224/5
224/24 225/8 225/14 225/25 226/5
227/7 228/11 230/15 230/21
technicians [2] 154/22 229/25
technique [1] 86/9
techniques [1] 361/25
teenager [1] 394/11
telephone [5] 10/12 111/20 126/12
305/6 381/3
televised [1] 234/18
television [50] 9/19 14/25 15/11
15/15 15/22 60/11 62/16 62/25
68/15 111/6 121/1 122/22 131/24
132/12 132/17 132/18 134/17 178/16 185/20 185/23 186/1
186/21 187/2 188/1 191/23 191/24
231/19 235/1 271/9 275/6 279/2
279/21 280/25 309/8 309/10
309/16 309/17 309/24 311/6 347/2
353/2 353/16 353/17 353/22
$380 / 14$ 386/24 386/25 387/9
387/21 389/22
tell [96] 14/23 22/6 32/7 36/8 36/9
$36 / 1042 / 1142 / 1344 / 355 / 957 / 1$
57/6 61/25 63/17 64/8 65/15
66/22 67/2 68/20 70/14 71/6
78/16 78/17 80/16 84/15 89/16
89/17 90/4 96/2 96/18 97/1 97/1
97/3 108/6 108/21 110/23 111/8
111/14112/22 116/12 $118 / 12$ 125/18 134/14 135/17136/15 136/17 138/2 138/7 152/23 152/24 165/19 167/25 170/19 173/8 180/1 182/25 187/25 192/2 192/20
195/15 195/18 197/8 197/8 205/14 212/18 214/4 223/16 243/15 249/10 261/18 261/24 263/4 275/24 279/19 285/2 294/22
295/24 296/11 297/12 313/20
316/16 319/13 332/24 335/24
$339 / 17346 / 11346 / 15350 / 7$ 350/9
351/4 365/10 365/10 365/11
373/21 374/7 376/23
telling [18] 54/12 97/16 154/17
$172 / 17179 / 6$ 223/14 337/5 340/13 343/20 360/13 365/4 365/15
$367 / 20$ 368/13 368/16 369/3 369/7 378/9
tells [2] 97/5 214/17
temporarily [2] 231/6 241/10
temporary [1] 28/12
tempted [1] 298/24
Ten [2] 321/24 321/25
tend [15] 16/11 22/10 37/24 37/25
84/24 154/23 $211 / 3$ 244/18 250/22
253/3 291/21 323/16 334/20
338/16 340/22
tendency [1] 148/1
tending [4] 60/20 62/10 64/18 79/4
tends [2] 81/9 341/14
tennis [2] 320/14 320/15
Teresa [14] 48/14 63/23 83/5
129/10 131/3 208/21 260/14
260/19 264/6 322/13 335/13 336/5 375/16 396/10
terminology [3] 57/4 68/16 68/22
terms [28] 6/10 21/7 25/11 26/15
terms... [24] 32/3 64/10 107/5 141/7 143/6 158/9 183/6 185/19 195/2 195/11 198/12 198/23 227/15 233/12 233/25 255/10 265/5 266/16 269/25 298/18 352/24 354/14 382/13 394/4 terrible [4] 34/9 44/19 84/12 373/11
territory [1] 159/5
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 406/4 406/19
test [3] 139/4 152/8 218/19
tested [2] 219/1 219/19
testified [5] 49/22 89/16 99/21 218/18340/22
testifies [9] 41/13 44/13 46/22
162/21 261/9 262/3 295/18 296/4 341/13
testify [79] 41/22 41/25 42/5 42/15 43/6 44/14 46/17 88/21 89/25 90/3 90/5 91/3 99/17 100/2 102/4 102/5 102/6 102/7 102/15 107/8 109/14 159/22 162/13 162/14 163/6 163/9 163/13 163/17 164/1 164/5 164/13 164/19 164/22 205/12 210/19 210/22 211/2 211/2 211/14211/16211/19211/23 211/25 261/14 261/16 261/23 284/18 295/17 295/22 296/3 296/9 296/13 314/23 315/1 315/10 331/18 331/21 331/22 338/25 339/6 339/6 339/8 339/15 339/24 $340 / 6341 / 11341 / 18341 / 21342 / 4$ $343 / 3$ 343/6 343/13 364/18 373/24 373/24 374/10 374/16 374/20 375/1
testifying [1] 88/14
testimony [40] 44/23 45/8 84/3
89/18 90/1 107/10 107/11 117/24 138/13 139/14 139/23 139/24 168/8 197/22 198/3 198/12 212/6 261/25 262/2 284/22 297/19
301/17 301/19 315/6 315/11 332/9 $332 / 16$ 341/1 341/9 352/12 352/16 361/16 361/24 368/20 375/2 377/9
378/2 392/20 392/22 393/3
testing [2] 44/25 220/15
tests [5] 92/3 152/23 152/24 223/22 248/1
Texas [1] 183/8
thank [43] 5/7 10/24 13/17 20/15 27/2 27/5 48/22 52/5 52/24 53/2 74/7 98/21 103/20 112/7 126/15 149/2 167/17 167/18 172/11 199/14 199/16 216/25 217/1 217/21 221/10 240/14 264/12 287/5 287/10 302/23 305/11 318/15 318/17342/16 343/23 343/25 345/12 347/16 348/18 356/20 369/25 376/12 381/14 thankfully [1] 331/21
thanks [6] 27/18 127/1 179/20 223/11 249/8 276/12
Theater [1] 290/25
Theft [4] 200/7 200/16 200/22 201/2
their [72] 4/4 24/10 24/18 33/8 45/25 67/16 67/19 69/1 79/24 82/17 83/13 99/20 100/4 100/7 100/11 102/13 114/10 114/10 117/24 131/3 135/13 139/14 140/20 155/2 155/2 155/3 163/25

168/2 193/15 193/21 195/8 198/3 198/12 198/15 198/15 198/16 201/6 205/3 206/7 242/5 285/11 285/12 291/16 297/11 298/2 304/24 305/9 314/16 315/10 $320 / 21332 / 16333 / 6333 / 7333 / 19$ 339/1 346/19 359/7 359/10 363/25 365/24 366/8 366/20 366/20 367/8 367/9 370/19 372/17 374/15 376/24 377/6 393/3 404/17 theme [1] 349/25
themselves [6] 160/17 160/19 195/3 209/13 209/14 298/16 theories [4] 66/3 150/21 151/1 165/3
theory [1] 140/1
therapist [2] 69/13 69/16
therapy [6] 57/13 69/5 69/7 69/8 69/9 85/18
there's [75] 7/3 7/7 7/17 8/20 29/2 61/1 75/8 80/21 84/11 92/5 92/19 92/24 93/25 96/21 97/13 101/13 101/16 113/6 113/10 113/12 124/14 128/6 150/22 $151 / 18$ 154/2 168/25 169/17 175/22 185/4 191/14 205/21 205/21 206/19 210/16 211/24 216/7 221/12 221/14 233/20 242/4 242/4 244/23 245/25 246/2 246/3 252/11 253/13 267/6 269/3 278/18 285/11 293/8 293/11 294/5 296/13 302/14 $319 / 17325 / 20339 / 7339 / 15341 / 3$ $343 / 13$ 355/4 358/8 360/11 370/21 $373 / 21373 / 21384 / 16392 / 9$
392/11 392/11 398/7 404/6 404/9
thereafter [3] 93/8 310/14 406/11
therefore [10] 164/21 176/11
215/5 286/4 332/23 354/2 358/4 368/10 372/8 372/14
these [39] 14/1 61/8 79/17 82/14 82/21 82/22 91/19 108/11 108/21 114/19 171/15 173/12 174/13 174/13 178/13 178/19 189/10 197/21 198/19 200/24 201/5 202/6 202/6 202/10 208/9 222/13 222/17 232/15 252/10 254/6 275/1 1 294/16 295/5 331/19 339/24 370/3 380/16 399/16 405/6
They'd [1] 139/4
they'll [1] 98/3
they're [4] 76/24 313/21 313/21 349/23
thick [2] 193/17 193/20
Thin [1] 26/24
thing [74] 4/13 8/7 22/2 23/25
$31 / 5$ 36/23 39/9 39/18 39/19 48/16 48/17 49/9 57/10 63/25 65/18 65/20 68/25 70/17 72/11 $80 / 15$ 83/15 84/16 85/25 89/7 91/1 8 93/9 96/21 97/8 97/12 97/14 98/17 98/18 102/19 122/24 123/1 135/13 136/20 139/16 142/22 190/8 201/1 8 220/3 224/19 242/9 242/17 242/23 243/7 243/14 248/13 248/20 249/8 250/8 250/25 252/12 256/14 257/12 257/18 257/19 264/24 265/16 281/3 289/19 298/25 300/2 308/17 313/5 313/8 321/3 327/23 328/18 328/21 329/2 343/1 399/11
things [100] 15/1 22/23 25/23 30/11 33/9 34/12 41/21 45/14 45/17 45/21 49/23 50/22 58/4

58/5 61/5 61/19 61/20 64/15 66/20 70/4 72/17 75/15 78/16 $80 / 2182 / 16$ 84/25 85/17 91/25 94/12 94/14 95/12 97/23 98/1 99/20 101/13 112/8 117/12118/17 124/13 132/17 145/16 146/4 150/7 156/23 157/24 161/10 161/13 162/2 162/5 163/20 167/9 167/13 170/18 171/2 171/15 181/20 193/16 193/21 193/22 200/13 201/1 204/10 229/10 229/11 229/12 233/13 237/3 237/7 244/21 254/16 267/6 272/12 278/4 291/16 297/6 302/15 311/5 313/1 315/16 $318 / 25319 / 2319 / 10323 / 18325 / 9$ $326 / 5332 / 14334 / 2335 / 5342 / 23$ $349 / 16352 / 20354 / 3358 / 10361 / 4$ 361/1 8 363/2 378/4 380/6 396/8 405/4
think [429]
thinking [8] 151/6 187/18 191/25
213/5 248/20 284/15 291/19 342/4
thinks [2] 46/8 340/7
third [1] 184/25
Thirty [2] 381/20 381/21
Thirty-five [2] 381/20 381/21
THOMAS [3] 1/14 330/18 332/11
thorough [3] 315/15 334/9 334/13
thoroughness [1] 315/21
those [79] 4/19 4/25 5/5 6/10 7/12 7/20 7/23 8/18 12/25 16/19 29/4 $32 / 25$ 34/12 42/9 50/1 50/24
66/16 72/17 81/25 82/4 82/8 82/24 83/20 96/1 99/5 107/23 121/2 128/14 130/4 130/21 131/10 148/2 $151 / 2$ 174/2 174/24 178/6 183/10 185/21 189/24 192/6
200/25 206/18 228/15 233/10 233/22 251/25 256/24 270/10 274/21 283/8 283/9 286/24 296/16 $308 / 24310 / 12312 / 5316 / 6319 / 13$ $323 / 11323 / 12323 / 18323 / 19$ $324 / 10326 / 17335 / 20344 / 16$ $350 / 5353 / 3$ 354/12 358/24 360/7 $367 / 23$ 368/22 373/19 378/4 379/6 379/9 392/17 397/2
though [29] 42/1 73/12 97/8 150/10 163/12 165/2 192/10 198/18 $211 / 19$ 216/23 218/8 225/4 258/23 297/6 299/24 312/19 317/19 327/9 332/19 335/14 $337 / 23$ 338/3 352/13 359/24 360/5 364/13 365/19 371/6 373/8
thought [25] 39/20 49/24 67/14 67/16 203/17 204/20 215/5 218/13 220/8 244/4 257/25 269/8 282/18 282/24 284/23 317/22 317/23 $351 / 11354 / 1357 / 8364 / 3$ 366/17 371/12 379/2 393/25
threatened [1] 238/6
three [26] 5/13 6/6 7/16 13/25
15/7 20/1 34/25 36/6 52/19 52/23 114/19 116/9 116/10 121/2 147/3 184/6 225/4 247/13 263/17 276/23 276/24 322/3 328/1 344/25 351/23 353/14
threw [1] 203/1
through [30] 14/2 15/22 16/12 29/14 29/14 32/14 36/16 78/24 78/24 85/9 95/17 108/25 111/4 118/14 121/20 132/9 150/1 184/17 186/23 231/8 240/11 243/9 288/17 292/3 300/12 302/1 8 308/4 338/20
through... [2] 360/9 381/15
throughout [6] 9/22 $115 / 15$ 149/18
178/13 185/18 261/4
throw [2] 108/20 235/6
thrown [2] 96/22 193/8
Thursday [5] 55/23 55/24 121/20 384/1 397/13
thus [2] 6/16 176/16
Tice [3] 69/22 69/24 94/15
tick [1] 298/11
ticked [1] 401/3
ticket [2] 196/23 196/25
tie [1] 277/12
tied [4] 63/24 83/5 84/21 95/9
ties [1] 31/3
tight [2] 161/10 265/8
till [1] 132/6
Tim [3] 5/14 397/9 397/11
time [163] 4/1 8/21 8/22 10/3
10/14 12/10 13/1 14/12 29/21
29/24 32/13 32/16 32/18 35/6
51/12 59/20 62/7 71/10 72/10
72/22 74/2 75/4 75/7 75/13 75/19 75/20 78/4 81/17 85/12 85/16 90/20 95/19 96/8 98/11 103/14 109/25 110/6 113/21 121/10 121/15 121/23 122/5 123/24 124/4 126/14 130/6 131/1 131/8 140/17 142/25 144/18 $144 / 18$ 144/23
144/23 146/9 147/24 147/24 152/2 154/7 159/10 159/11 159/11
165/16 166/14 167/6 167/16 176/8
176/14 176/25 177/19 179/8
185/25 188/13 192/17 193/11
197/12 207/16 208/3 209/19 217/2 217/22 219/9 221/20 223/1 224/11 226/22 230/11 231/1 232/10 232/20 232/22 234/17 239/11 239/25 241/2 241/16 241/18 243/2 243/3 249/24 254/10 258/11 263/4 264/8 264/14 266/4 267/2 267/4 268/4 273/18 275/1 276/2 277/7 277/13 278/16 278/17 287/25 289/8 289/8 290/1 1 290/12 290/13 291/2 303/2 305/8 306/20 306/23 308/9 310/20 311/25 312/2 314/11 $316 / 22319 / 5321 / 8321 / 14323 / 15$ 329/9 330/14 331/17 339/22 340/2 $340 / 12344 / 9344 / 15345 / 7$ 345/16 345/23 348/20 348/23 348/25
350/20 354/18 360/4 378/18
$382 / 10384 / 4389 / 10390 / 23$
393/13 394/12 400/25 403/6
timer [1] 291/6
times [18] 13/25 15/8 34/25 36/7 122/8 135/8 184/6 205/8 234/5 234/9 235/12 235/25 242/6 242/13 248/3 324/21 328/5 353/15
tips [1] 80/6
tires [1] 384/23
titanium [1] 229/16
to consider [1] 332/16
today [56] 4/12 5/6 7/24 8/2 9/24 10/1 1 16/9 23/19 49/2 61/21 67/4 70/15 83/5 87/17 90/17 110/16 111/9 111/19 112/12 116/13119/4 126/2 126/3 167/16 168/23 172/18 176/22 178/1 178/19 179/4 208/6 208/12 216/18 222/2 227/6 255/20 270/24 273/14 274/15 275/11 275/23 280/24 286/2 309/21
$315 / 22318 / 23325 / 18346 / 16$
$346 / 24379 / 13379 / 21379 / 24$
380/16 381/2 389/14 405/11
today's [9] 54/1 54/9 222/13
222/23 271/11 271/15 275/16 304/20 305/5
together [10] 19/9 19/24 48/9 73/8
95/19 114/24 142/24 145/21
243/22 305/22
token [2] 261/22 343/12
told [30] 5/22 29/21 39/12 40/9
40/1 1 40/21 40/23 42/6 44/17
46/21 55/6 76/14 77/1 120/6
143/2 166/3 193/4 218/15 218/24
242/25 243/17 264/23 266/15
287/19 371/4 375/23 375/25 396/8
396/8 397/7
tolerates [1] 256/13
Tom [14] 4/6 10/20 54/18 112/2
126/20 179/14 223/5 276/7 305/17
330/11 330/23 347/9 354/11 381/8
tomorrow [6] 221/7 345/4 379/9
405/3 405/10 405/12
took [19] 29/15 89/8 156/14
192/24 193/4 193/6 194/2 195/5
197/15 197/16 197/16 197/18
197/18 240/10 264/21 291/10
336/8 337/15 348/19
top [6] 83/13 147/3 167/13 167/14
196/22 235/3
topic [2] 41/10 138/23
total [1] 232/20
totally [2] 326/25 327/2
tough [8] 30/17 50/17 97/7 295/17
300/11 361/10 367/2 375/22
tour [2] 247/6 247/17
tournament [4] 182/13 185/8
185/16 201/8
tournaments [3] 183/23 184/5
184/19
tours [1] 247/5
toward [3] 62/14 116/1 116/21
towards [3] 315/5 327/14 332/5
town [3] 128/9 321/7 395/12
track [1] 267/12
trade [2] 55/11 319/24
Trader [5] 26/2 26/13 144/16
145/1 316/1
Traffic [1] 159/17
tragedy [1] 329/25
tragic [1] 401/13
train [1] 224/12
training [18] 56/10 57/2 57/3 87/4
87/9 146/7 224/11 227/4 227/5
227/10 227/12 227/25 228/7 228/7
228/9 228/14 247/7 348/7
transcribed [1] 406/11
transcript [6] 1/23 220/18 221/7
221/14 406/8 406/12
transcription [1] 406/11
transferred [1] 398/1
transferring [1] 396/16
transport [2] 11/8 320/23
travel [8] 31/25 184/4 226/15
249/7 321/7 321/13 349/7 349/14
traveled [1] 226/17
traveler [2] 349/12 349/13
traveling [1] 349/11
treasurer [2] 350/13 350/20
treat [1] 343/15
treated [2] 143/9 296/5
treating [1] 291/6
treatment [1] 194/3
trial [78] $1 / 49 / 16$ 9/22 10/6 17/12 28/21 28/22 28/24 34/11 50/6 $51 / 353 / 23$ 54/5 68/10 77/12 78/5 78/19 80/10 81/3 90/21 90/25 97/17 99/16 99/17 100/5 100/15 102/3 106/16 110/25 111/13 111/16 125/25 126/9 135/23 155/23 168/7 168/14 169/20 175/4 175/25 178/23 179/3 208/14 209/5 210/19 217/14 222/11 222/20 256/2 258/12 259/7 259/15 261/4 265/2 271/6 272/4 274/25 275/2 275/19 293/3 293/4 293/6 294/9 295/2 304/11 305/3 336/19 338/18 $338 / 20339 / 5346 / 23355 / 10$ 360/10 360/17 377/15 377/23 380/25 389/25
tried [4] 59/22 93/18 151/25 349/11
tries [1] 171/10
trip [1] 232/18
tripping [3] 89/1 89/3 89/5
trips [1] 321/13
Trooper [1] 5/18
trouble [4] 166/10 211/5 249/1 287/6
true [19] 50/25 66/5 83/23 94/13
99/24 156/11 157/13 171/21 192/4 284/25 294/17 317/19 329/16 $349 / 7$ 361/1 8 362/4 368/20 377/1 406/12
trustworthy [1] 205/23
truth [26] 48/2 84/5 96/3 96/18 97/1 97/1 97/3 97/6 97/16 205/13 205/15 332/24 343/20 365/5 365/10 365/11 365/11 365/15 $367 / 21367 / 21368 / 14368 / 17$ 369/3 369/7 376/23 378/9
truthful [6] 159/23 160/1 333/21 340/1 340/3 340/3
truthfulness [2] 333/7 333/8
try [22] 11/24 26/3 26/8 39/22 61/16 61/1761/19 77/10 151/17 151/23 156/4 160/23 161/23 174/18 220/16 287/8 293/7 293/11 311/14 321/17 322/24 396/17 trying [28] 28/17 30/3 30/11 32/10 91/1 106/2 134/2 162/12 162/16 170/19 174/16 191/3 191/5 193/14 196/3 196/6 202/12 211/10 291/8 291/13 291/14 301/9 306/3 319/22 328/16 328/17 369/15 402/7
tubes [2] 31/6 31/8
Tuesday [2] 123/5 123/15
tumor [1] 95/6
tuning [1] 40/12
tunnel [2] 204/8 334/20
turn [5] 36/19 224/16 270/2 270/14 328/14
turned [3] 140/10 195/22 257/7
turns [4] 157/13 255/3 302/14
401/10
TV [43] 36/13 37/19 40/6 40/15 $40 / 17$ 60/12 60/15 60/19 79/5 79/22 93/6 94/11 121/4 134/11 186/12 191/22 206/12 206/14 233/20 234/11 250/1 250/6 250/14 252/13 252/20 252/21 253/13 253/14 255/24 256/2 256/3 256/3 256/8 256/10 257/4 265/5 338/22 $353 / 19$ 353/20 363/4 363/24 387/2 388/5
Twenty [1] 120/13

Twenty-four [1] 120/13
twice [4] 94/23 192/8 192/12 254/8 two [86] 5/13 6/20 11/13 11/14 15/741/21 42/9 45/18 54/11 57/21 60/18 71/8 72/20 72/21 73/2 77/14 81/15 81/25 93/15 98/14 98/16 $114 / 24$ 114/24 125/2 125/3 125/3 125/3 125/4 127/16 127/18 127/19 128/9 128/9 139/3 170/10 179/6 180/2 180/7 184/9 186/8 186/9 189/6 189/8 189/10 189/14 191/14 192/21 193/7 194/16 197/20 198/1 198/19 205/6 205/8 222/24 224/6 224/20 226/17 228/18 230/5 233/11 240/22 247/4 247/10 256/17 258/13 263/17 275/24 305/6 307/7 311/3 322/2 $328 / 1344 / 11344 / 13344 / 16345 / 8$ 345/9 345/10 345/17 351/11 355/4 358/8 368/1 5 379/6 401/18
Twohig [2] 400/3 400/4
type [25] 12/11 25/2 58/1 117/5 130/11 137/23 139/20 146/5 183/1 $217 / 16$ 224/1 225/23 227/21 228/1 232/5 278/7 290/17 291/12 308/17 $311 / 13313 / 4313 / 8321 / 3321 / 4$ 381/21
types [4] 107/23 147/2 230/9 278/3
typically [2] 236/2 254/13
U
U.S [1] 249/8
uh [7] 92/7 117/17 117/17 119/15 119/15 120/10 120/10
Uh-huh [1] 92/7
Uh-uh [3] 117/17 119/15 120/10 ultimately [1] 261/7
Um [18] 21/11 23/13 190/17
190/17 204/12 207/18 209/16 229/22 252/16 263/14 273/2
290/14 303/20 383/14 388/2 388/4 391/15 400/25
unable [3] 170/14 175/4 372/11 unanswered [1] 217/8 uncle [1] 336/6
uncomfortable [3] 116/16 272/3 273/6
under [22] 8/13 11/19 47/2 47/20
66/17 96/3 96/18 97/6 97/9 97/10
160/7 168/20 194/5 206/2 248/25
249/3 261/5 297/1 297/2 308/14 312/1 404/7
underneath [2] 192/24 193/3
understand [65] 4/11 5/18 7/21 24/6 37/18 41/19 43/14 49/14 49/21 55/16 65/24 88/7 90/10 91/21 96/17 96/24 100/19 101/22 106/7 109/19 136/22 153/21 155/17 165/10 166/1 193/13 199/21 203/8 213/25 222/9 244/10 250/15 261/19 262/15 263/16 272/20 272/24 274/22 275/10 286/10 286/13 297/4 301/7 306/8 307/9 308/5 313/9 314/11 317/18 327/8 334/7 336/10 336/23 337/23 339/20 342/25 348/19 356/9 357/4 $367 / 5$ 369/14 372/10 373/25 374/8 374/24
understandable [3] 17/3 269/2 371/8
understanding [6] 7/22 63/19
173/16 336/1 403/25 405/7
understands [1] 175/2
understood [4] 88/1 102/22 219/24 219/25
undertake [1] 376/8
unemployment [1] 161/11
unfair [3] 135/15 135/16 135/18
unfamiliar [1] 109/22
unfortunately [1] 108/15
union [8] 244/13 244/20 244/22
244/24 245/13 268/14 272/19
272/22
unions [3] 244/16 244/19 245/1
unit [3] 192/8 233/10 233/11
United [4] 225/2 225/24 226/15
226/16
unless [6] 39/16 65/21 154/7
165/13 210/11 269/20
unnecessarily [1] 306/2
unquestionably [1] 333/18
unreasonable [1] 262/5
unrelated [1] 72/6
unsafe [1] 245/22
unsuitable [1] 270/3
unsure [1] 182/3
until [21] 29/6 29/6 32/25 39/16
75/4 75/11 87/25 115/6 115/8
135/24 176/8 210/12 219/12
239/22 255/16 275/1 293/10
295/14 304/19 357/10 372/20
unusual [2] 35/14 109/10
up [167] $4 / 115 / 69 / 822 / 1827 / 8$
28/15 29/22 31/2 31/21 31/22
$32 / 432 / 932 / 10 \quad 32 / 1232 / 13$
$32 / 1533 / 3$ 34/17 36/3 44/3 48/25 49/6 51/18 53/18 56/8 58/20
58/21 63/25 65/9 65/10 66/21
$70 / 1170 / 1373 / 1874 / 1176 / 13$
76/22 77/4 77/16 78/9 79/3 80/5
$80 / 1080 / 1783 / 584 / 2186 / 389 / 1$
89/3 89/5 91/18 91/22 95/9 97/22
98/2 98/9 98/15 98/24 100/8
101/20 103/23 106/23 108/2
110/20 122/3 125/16 127/2 128/3
130/13 140/21 145/15 145/18
148/17 149/13 160/4 161/12
161/19 162/10 165/16 167/11
167/21 169/10 170/24 172/21
178/4 179/22 180/12 182/24
183/22 185/5 187/19 191/5 193/21
196/2 199/23 208/14 217/5 218/11
222/5 230/22 234/11 234/23 235/9
240/18 245/24 246/4 246/17 247/8
247/23 248/21 250/22 255/1
255/22 255/24 256/2 256/3 256/3
256/6 256/12 257/4 257/8 266/4
276/14 277/12 284/14 287/8
287/15 287/18 288/2 288/17
288/24 289/1 289/23 293/1 295/21 295/22 295/24 299/10 299/20
305/24 306/5 319/2 321/10 321/11
328/13 329/23 342/22 346/9
$347 / 20351 / 20354 / 18357 / 6362 / 8$
365/9 376/16 380/2 383/24 397/2
397/19 398/25 399/12 400/11
400/23 401/1 401/10 402/7 402/10
upbringing [1] 369/22
updated [1] $4 / 19$
upon [12] 6/12 24/14 128/18
148/21 154/7 227/6 227/16 265/18
307/3 308/23 317/8 355/2
ups [2] 103/16 304/7
upset [3] $215 / 22216 / 1216 / 10$
us [89] 14/23 17/19 18/17 21/8 22/6 27/8 29/10 30/7 44/3 44/17 47/10 47/12 47/14 47/17 55/6 $55 / 957 / 157 / 661 / 2563 / 1767 / 13$ 68/20 70/14 71/6 88/2 106/1 106/4 110/2 $112 / 11113 / 7116 / 12$ $118 / 12$ 120/6 134/2 $135 / 17138 / 2$ 141/17 146/15 147/1 149/7 150/1 152/23 154/17 170/19 174/5 174/6 187/25 192/2 192/20 194/19 194/20 195/15 195/18 197/8 197/8 212/18 223/11 223/14 223/16 230/6 235/24 240/18 240/22
249/10 260/16 260/23 279/20 287/15 287/19 293/8 295/24 316/18 321/3 335/24 345/14 $347 / 23$ 350/7 350/9 351/4 351/24 352/21 371/4 373/21 381/15 395/2 396/9 398/1 398/8 400/12
usable [1] 181/23
use [36] 4/16 4/18 5/17 20/24 $42 / 1369 / 173 / 1673 / 1873 / 21$ 109/4 128/14 129/17 144/4 144/7 144/10 144/18 144/25 145/22 180/22 181/3 185/14 211/14 233/13 235/9 275/15 278/13 278/19 312/16 312/19 313/7 336/25 343/17 349/6 362/1 368/7 380/21
used [25] 19/18 26/1 26/3 26/5 26/8 34/24 68/17 94/23 113/10 145/3 156/6 201/15 227/9 242/25 249/25 251/12 252/13 315/25 $321 / 1328 / 23328 / 24353 / 23357 / 9$ 387/19 397/7
useful [1] 99/12
user [2] 73/22 312/14
usher [1] 385/17
using [1] 229/12
USS [2] 226/2 247/1
usually [29] $34 / 21$ 60/12 62/13
72/18 75/13 76/5 78/9 80/22 81/10 121/11 132/18 159/4 159/5
159/12 185/9 186/2 186/11 186/17 187/7 187/8 191/14 191/15 206/19 206/22 278/6 279/18 291/4 386/10 387/15

## V

vacation [3] 129/17 129/25 399/19
Vallejo [1] 225/11
valuable [1] 302/12
value [3] 175/13 $185 / 3$ 202/7
valves [1] 224/17
varies [2] 74/16 292/5
variety [3] 99/18 168/9 251/2
various [1] 150/20
vehicle [8] 22/20 22/21 72/8
130/12 $133 / 9144 / 24144 / 25 \quad 145 / 4$
vein [1] 260/13
venture [1] 173/7
verbal [1] 52/8
verbatim [1] 343/14
verdict [18] 49/12 91/1 $118 / 5$
118/8 164/6 164/7 166/11 166/13
168/19 213/13 215/5 215/18
216/16 260/21 262/20 273/5 293/7 371/14
verdicts [2] 29/7 296/16
version [1] 197/13
versus [2] 97/16 97/24
very [96] $5 / 25$ 11/23 $11 / 2418 / 2$

| V | W | \| $347 / 2$ 353/1 $8362 / 25386 / 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| very... [92] 18/5 18/16 24/3 29/12 | W580 [2] 395/7 395/10 | waxes [1] 181/15 |
| 30/13 30/14 39/18 48/22 51/25 | wages [2] 12/1 | way [90] 10/9 20/10 |
| 2/9 53/2 53/4 55/2 56/16 60/16 | t [4] 171/14 272/5 3 | 9/25 30/8 32/14 42/7 54/7 64/23 |
| 60/17 70/5 70/10 73/22 77/22 | 347/17 | 64/25 65/6 67/9 67/12 71/10 |
| 87/3 106/3 108/15 124/23 135/16 | waited [1] 246/21 | 78/13 78/14 78/17 79/23 85/4 |
| 138/1 146/14 150/2 152/21 155/15 | waiting [1] 76/11 | 87/21 88/6 89/6 89/20 90/6 92/17 |
| 155/16 170/19 174/20 175/16 | Wal [5] 195/20 196/11 204/1 | 98/19 105/3 116/20 125/2 139/24 |
| 175/16 184/14 192/2 192/10 197/7 | 242/15 243/5 | 140/16 153/1 156/4 156/6 156/14 |
| 203/18 205/16 216/25 218/5 | Wal-Mart [5] 195/20 196/11 204/1 | 166/13 168/18 181/8 189/4 191/21 |
| 224/13 231/11 232/17 239/5 | 242/15 243/5 | 191/25 198/3 198/16 209/4 211/15 |
| 240/14 244/19 249/19 250/9 | walk [3] 79/25 82/13 386/21 | 212/23 213/24 215/3 215/15 216/6 |
| 250/15 251/24 263/18 265/7 265/8 | walked [3] 188/22 196/3 196/8 | 216/8 216/14 218/20 236/8 238/7 |
| 266/3 272/9 273/6 277/23 284/8 | wall [1] 328/10 | 244/20 248/18 249/4 250/23 |
| 287/18 300/4 301/4 303/17 314/11 | wander [1] 14/2 | 256/24 258/18 273/14 275/8 |
| 320/21 328/2 328/5 330/21 330/25 | want [86] 6/7 8/7 9/23 23/18 36/9 | 281/24 281/25 290/5 293/8 293/11 |
| 334/13 339/22 342/17 344/8 | 39/4 40/1 41/14 41/16 41/18 42/4 | 296/2 299/3 301/11 303/3 305/3 |
| 347/16 348/12 349/14 349/24 | 43/23 46/14 49/6 51/21 51/23 | 317/11 337/6 342/10 348/15 |
| 351/1 359/6 359/19 359/21 367/23 | 52/4 53/20 53/25 62/5 63/18 | 352/12 359/4 359/5 359/10 362/9 |
| 376/7 376/12 378/24 379/10 | 74/14 76/15 78/10 80/16 80/20 | 365/24 369/16 369/20 374/21 |
| 382/19 383/6 385/19 396/6 | 90/17 90/19 96/22 99/3 102/22 | 386/8 389/2 389/3 |
| Very casually [1] 330/21 | 108/6 108/21 110/2 118/19 163/9 | 7] 25/12 44/25 |
| vial [17] 41/3 93/19 93/25 94/3 | 163/11 163/17 166/20 166/23 | 154/6 229/5 248/25 |
| 152/4 152/10 218/19 219/18 220/2 | 172/19 173/17 178/7 178/18 | we [254] 4/12 4/18 4/23 5/6 5/15 |
| 220/8 220/14 220/20 220/22 | 193/12 204/15 206/8 210/7 214/17 | 5/18 6/1 6/6 6/8 6/10 6/11 6/23 |
| 262/25 328/19 328/22 362/12 | 216/20 217/7 222/8 227/22 251/25 | 6/24 7/13 8/6 8/14 8/20 10/25 |
| vice [1] 319/19 | 256/15 259/8 261/9 262/16 263/21 | 12/5 19/12 19/23 20/7 20/8 28/22 |
| victim [4] 173/22 239/6 240/2 | 265/21 267/2 267/5 268/5 274/22 | 29/10 41/25 43/16 48/13 48/17 |
| 403/17 | 275/10 291/7 293/12 302/3 311/1 | 52/19 54/2 54/24 56/4 63/18 |
| victim's [2] 192/8 257/19 | 311/9 329/5 339/17 342/22 342/24 | 63/19 70/2 72/18 73/7 74/11 |
| victimization [1] 173/20 | 345/16 362/7 367/11 368/12 | 76/20 76/21 77/22 80/8 80/17 |
| victims [2] 320/24 320/25 | 369/18 374/2 375/12 375/20 | 85/23 86/3 88/4 90/3 93/16 94/22 |
| video [3] 75/23 76/3 256/9 | 383/20 401/16 402/12 402/24 | 94/23 95/23 99/1 99/19 102/3 |
| view [3] 95/24 154/23 389/9 | wanted [32] 4/24 5/4 8/16 11/4 | 104/11 104/20 105/13 105/23 |
| viewers [1] 10/9 | 12/1 12/5 30/25 58/11 103/23 | 106/4 106/4 107/16 108/4 108/5 |
| views [4] 45/25 98/4 98/11 253/4 | 124/21 128/3 192/16 239/3 246/21 | 108/7 108/20 108/22 111/2 111/9 |
| vigorously [1] 66/15 | 248/20 254/20 255/21 258/20 | 112/10 112/23 114/15 124/21 |
| village [1] 320/22 | 277/10 284/14 287/17 347/20 | 124/24 127/2 129/15 139/12 142/3 |
| violate [1] 297/3 | 352/23 369/11 374/6 376/15 380/5 | 146/3 146/3 154/5 156/3 162/18 |
| violation [2] 266/2 | 381/11 389/9 398/8 398/10 400/23 | 164/25 165/1 165/1 167/15 168/7 |
| violent [1] 251/25 | wants [7] 47/16 81/9 81/11 89/11 | 170/6 170/12 172/12 176/1 |
| virtually [1] 230/25 | 269/20 270/3 296/4 | 176/18 176/22 177/1 178/6 195/20 |
| rtue [1] 285/11 | war [8] 81/1 249/4 249/15 |  |
| vision [1] 204/8 | 251/1 251/3 251/3 256/2 | 199/11211/11 213/9 213/9 217/7 |
| visits [1] 70/3 | warehouse [2] 181/21 181/22 | 221/12 222/14 223/15 223/19 |
| vocation [1] 127/19 | warmer [3] 32/1 32/25 321/17 | 223/21 225/14 225/14 225/19 |
| voir [56] 1/4 4/4 9/6 10/1 10/16 | wasn't [28] 14/4 21/24 29/20 49/18 | 226/5 226/6 226/7 226/9 226/16 |
| 27/6 48/23 51/19 53/14 54/2 | 87/3 119/8 171/20 189/22 194/23 | 226/16 227/2 227/3 227/22 229/3 |
| 54/16 74/8 98/22 99/1 103/21 | 202/17 204/3 204/3 217/20 219/11 | 229/5 229/5 229/8 229/9 229/11 |
| 108/24 110/18 111/11 111/23 | 225/15 237/10 241/20 248/7 | 230/4 230/10 230/11 230/16 |
| 125/14 126/2 126/16 149/3 167/19 | 254/12 266/10 312/6 312/8 338/15 | 230/25 230/25 233/4 233/5 233/10 |
| 178/2 178/21 179/10 199/17 | 351/11 368/13 368/16 401/1 401/3 | 234/14 244/20 246/4 247/7 248/4 |
| 217/11 222/3 222/14 223/3 240/16 | wasted [1] 330/4 | 255/7 255/20 256/1 256/8 256/9 |
| 268/1 270/25 271/13 271/17 | watch [58] 9/19 40/9 40/15 40/25 | 256/10 257/7 258/11 261/4 261/7 |
| 274/16 275/14 276/3 287/12 304/5 | 60/14 60/19 62/3 62/13 64/13 | 261/22 263/20 265/3 265/8 267/12 |
| 304/21 305/12 318/18342/20 | 64/14 68/21 81/10 81/11 93/17 | 267/21 267/22 267/23 268/2 |
| 346/7 346/18 347/7 356/21 376/17 | 94/24 121/6 121/8 121/11 121/21 | 268/12 269/7 269/8 270/1 270/6 |
| 380/1 380/16 380/18 381/6 394/24 | 132/11 146/8 183/5 186/12 191/22 | 272/8 274/3 276/13 277/12 293/3 |
| volunteer [15] 128/5 128/7 128/17 | 192/6 192/7 192/12 226/8 231/21 | 293/19 294/10 295/1 295/2 295/5 |
| 129/6 146/6 158/18 320/16 320/17 | 231/21 231/22 233/20 250/5 | 295/13 298/1 298/1 300/2 303/7 |
| 320/20 321/4 349/1 350/7 350/8 | 256/10 271/9 275/5 279/3 279/4 | 303/18 304/9 304/21 305/20 306/4 |
| 350/10 386/5 | 279/6 309/16 323/11 323/12 | 306/5 308/15 309/11 313/23 314/5 |
| volunteered [2] 246/12 247/22 | 323/12 323/16 323/19 338/22 | $314 / 5314 / 6314 / 8314 / 9315 / 23$ |
| volunteering [3] 128/23 247/24 | 353/17 353/21 354/3 354/13 357/9 | 318/23 321/10 321/11 321/13 |
| 247/25 | 364/9 364/13 386/10 387/4 387/4 | 323/12 323/12 |
| volunteers [1] 247/23 | 388/10 388/15 | 323/19 323/24 325/4 325/19 |
| vote [6] 101/8 101/12 10 | watched [11] 15/14 15/21 40/1 | $30 / 16330 / 23336 / 23339 / 11$ |
| 137/3 137/19 216/4 | 62/2 62/7 81/25 121/9 234/14 | 339/17 340/20 344/18 344/24 |
| voted [1] 370/8 | 310/4 354/9 386/14 | 344/25 345/4 347/17 350/11 |
| voting [1] 215/5 | watcher [1] 387/2 | 350/14 351/7 355/13 358/5 360/9 |
| voxx[3] 252/21 252/22 253/15 | watches [1] 309/23 <br> watching [7] 57/7 192/13 323/9 | 363/12 363/13 363/23 364/23 $366 / 12367 / 17$ 370/23 374/8 |

we... [20] 374/13 379/3 379/8
379/12 380/4 381/2 381/15 382/10 382/18 390/22 394/9 396/16
396/21 398/21 399/12 404/2 404/5 404/10 404/23 405/4
we'll [21] 5/3 8/5 11/3 13/14 51/12 52/18 52/23 66/9 77/7 81/14 109/25 110/4 110/6 110/9 176/13 177/17 221/16 274/4 303/13 305/21 345/6
we're [63] $4 / 37 / 57 / 249 / 59 / 14$
20/7 27/11 39/9 41/25 42/1 53/13
54/23 67/10 76/23 103/12 107/23
108/18 108/24 $110 / 17$ 125/2
125/13 125/22 134/2 142/3 147/5
149/6 159/6 161/11 176/15 176/25
178/1 187/9 191/4 222/2 225/19
234/25 257/8 260/13 262/10 264/2 267/1 267/13 267/20 270/24
270/25 274/15 287/17 293/17
299/12 303/11 304/3 306/3 344/12
$345 / 17345 / 23$ 346/6 352/9 379/20
379/24 383/14 384/2 402/10 405/3
we've [2] 76/22 219/3
weaknesses [1] 285/18
weapons [2] 22/23 308/16
wear [2] 34/21 306/22
wearing [1] 203/24
weather [3] $32 / 2581 / 1281 / 14$ week [44] 15/8 30/5 50/5 54/13 55/23 74/12 74/16 75/17 76/5 77/14 78/5 79/5 85/15 94/23 95/4 111/21 121/19 121/20 123/4 126/12 $127 / 4$ 131/5 132/7 149/7 149/9 151/21 179/7 179/23 181/12 186/19 192/9 192/12 223/12
226/23 232/21 $233 / 3$ 240/19 279/9 320/7 347/22 353/15 371/4 381/13 395/2
week's [1] 276/15
weekday [1] 129/15
weekend [4] 113/11 113/14 219/10 292/3
weekends [1] 321/11
weekly [2] 320/6 320/8
weeks [18] 11/23 11/25 20/1 28/21 29/17 30/12 87/3 161/15 167/8 184/9 217/15 255/2 277/12 293/4 293/5 297/8 328/1 382/9
weigh [9] 46/5 89/17 89/18 90/1 138/10 296/7 299/9 334/25 393/3
weight [6] 138/11 262/2 284/21
284/21 352/17 352/17
weighty [1] 18/16
welcome [3] 302/24 342/18 376/14 weld [1] 291/16
welding [5] 291/8 291/11 291/12 291/12 291/14
well-formed [1] 269/1
well-rounded [1] 227/13
went [31] 14/7 22/2 58/23 71/17 84/23 86/3 114/12 116/20 183/25 192/19 195/7 196/25 203/9 209/4 217/8 228/11 228/14 236/19
246/15 246/19 246/22 249/21
307/1 8 312/6 335/4 358/15 394/9
394/9 396/17 398/17 401/10
weren't [7] 14/5 45/19 98/5 99/24
193/3 229/12 366/16
West [1] 319/18
what's [18] 37/3 76/11 84/17 171/6

204/9 208/11 $214 / 21$ 229/2 229/4
242/24 250/3 258/16 290/18
295/23 322/5 327/22 339/16 342/6
whatever [47] 34/8 45/3 45/4
51/25 62/5 70/18 70/19 80/22
83/12 91/16 92/24 93/16 94/24
100/11 104/4 116/20 139/21 141/1
150/10 159/17 162/19 167/2 172/6 177/11 211/4 211/5 213/18 213/22 215/22 233/1 235/6 237/14 238/13 238/15 247/5 255/3 269/13 278/5 292/8 293/6 315/13 318/8 318/10
323/14 336/4 341/21 383/20
wheel [1] 256/10
wheeling [2] 72/12 72/13
Wheels [1] 320/18
whenever [6] 74/18 74/18 76/6
278/18 293/4 300/8
Where's [1] 250/3
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Wherein [10] } & 52 / 11 & 105 / 10124 / 2\end{array}$
217/24 264/15 273/19 303/4 344/1
378/19 403/23
wherever [1] 140/21
whether [92] 5/3 5/17 6/24 12/17
13/5 15/25 16/19 17/18 30/20
30/20 41/10 41/11 41/12 42/7
43/6 45/16 64/20 72/3 87/17
89/19 90/8 95/21 95/25 96/15
99/22 104/8 104/20 107/10 107/11
108/5 131/15 134/20 136/20 138/7
154/21 155/12 158/9 158/12 162/6
163/14 168/17 171/25 198/2 199/8
210/18 211/10 217/15 218/20
219/18 219/19 219/19 237/17
238/22 240/3 261/8 262/21 262/22
269/9 281/20 293/5 296/7 299/2
300/3 325/5 326/9 329/16 332/15
334/12 338/7 339/4 339/6 343/19
$352 / 10356 / 5365 / 4365 / 14367 / 20$
$368 / 2368 / 4370 / 6373 / 10373 / 23$
$374 / 10377 / 19377 / 21378 / 9$
380/13 386/7 389/15 393/23
394/17 404/6
while [22] 58/16 73/10 132/15
132/17 171/21 172/19 180/11
180/13 187/9 189/9 202/14 229/3
231/5 246/11 275/11 276/25
278/12 290/6 291/15 292/13
380/20 393/15
white [1] 135/10
who's [12] 42/2 98/7 109/11
141/11 148/9 256/7 294/2 294/13 295/20 301/4 301/14 373/15
whoever [3] 97/11 259/18 279/18
whole [21] $21 / 1134 / 2336 / 16$
139/1 152/13 155/11 185/18
248/18 255/14 257/16 288/5 323/3
$325 / 12325 / 14327 / 20328 / 6$
328/21 329/2 335/15 364/13
367/21
whom [1] 279/16
whose [2] 98/7 140/1
widow [1] 313/23
widow's [2] 363/7 370/7
widower [1] 313/23
wife [7] 161/5 243/18 254/18
254/20 313/23 324/21 325/1
wife's [2] 149/17 235/24
Wiggly [4] 276/20 290/6 291/3
291/22
William [2] 385/21 385/22
Williams [1] 354/12
willing [13] 17/6 74/23 74/24
$167 / 2$ 172/21 172/22 174/5 260/21
298/2 298/8 299/8 318/10 332/16
willingly [3] 42/19 42/25 259/4
willingness [1] 176/2
Willis [2] 1/9 103/24
wind [2] 101/20 299/20
winded [1] 347/18
Windows [1] 251/11
wine [1] 349/13
wing [1] 244/19
winnings [1] 32/23
winter [2] $32 / 15321 / 18$
wire [1] 291/12
WISCONSIN [18] $1 / 1$ 1/3 $1 / 131 / 15$ 4/2 10/21 54/20 112/3 126/21
179/15 223/7 276/8 314/1 316/4
347/11 381/10 406/1 406/6
wise [1] 107/3
wish [2] 4/11 103/4
wished [1] 272/14
wishes [1] 47/16
WISN [2] 254/4 254/5
withhold [1] 160/4
within [3] 10/12 122/15 279/19
without [5] 12/9 43/1 149/17
260/23 264/18
witness [84] 6/15 7/4 41/23 43/21
44/1 44/14 46/23 65/19 74/5
82/13 89/9 89/19 89/21 95/22
103/3 103/5 103/5 103/25 104/4
104/21 105/4 107/6 107/12 117/25
159/24 162/18 162/19 162/21
162/22 173/15 175/15 198/4
198/17 199/14 204/15 211/6
$211 / 17$ 261/8 262/1 284/23 296/5
298/1 298/5 298/8 298/10 308/23
309/1 315/7315/9332/4 332/10
$332 / 17332 / 22333 / 5333 / 8333 / 16$ $333 / 23$ 339/8 340/13 340/21
341/13 341/15 341/20 341/24 343/15 343/20 352/10 356/18 364/19 364/21 365/21 365/25 366/5 366/22 375/2 377/7 377/10 377/19 377/20 377/21 377/24
377/24 392/22 394/6
witness' [4] 107/3 262/2 377/25 378/1
witnessed [1] 140/5
witnesses [28] 66/14 66/16 97/18
97/20 102/21 103/1 103/2 103/6 117/15 138/13 139/25 141/8
155/16 158/8 214/12 214/13
214/13 332/16 334/3 343/17
$367 / 19367 / 23369 / 9376 / 20377 / 4$
378/6 378/14 378/14
woman [15] 7/9 7/12 84/21 106/13
106/21 196/1 196/2 212/19 241/2
270/1 300/6 310/9 371/10 373/12 401/10
women's [1] 306/22
WOMT [2] 324/2 353/8
wonder [8] $72 / 3$ 108/4 131/14 199/7 212/8 371/6 394/17 401/14
wondering [8] 90/25 91/4 94/21
108/2 108/17 165/17 359/24 401/24
woodworker [1] 145/9
woodworking [1] 127/20
word [9] 61/5 172/15 201/4 278/24
278/25 279/15 297/25 298/2
312/20
words [28] 39/25 42/13 64/8 65/1
67/19 68/17 70/8 84/12 90/4 95/3
words... [18] 106/2 137/17 177/10 177/11 189/24 194/25 195/8
205/18 212/16 220/7 227/20 228/4 249/24 289/18 312/6 352/16 369/8 370/5
work[132] 8/4 11/25 24/16 24/21 25/3 29/17 33/4 57/20 57/22 70/9 72/16 72/16 73/5 74/15 74/21
74/23 74/24 75/2 75/6 77/6 77/14 82/2 112/18 $113 / 7113 / 8113 / 9$ 113/10 113/21 113/24 115/13 116/8 116/10 117/9 117/10 117/23 118/15 121/15 121/15 121/18 124/12 128/5 129/2 129/19 132/4 132/5 132/11 142/10 145/6 146/5 146/20 146/25 147/2 150/18 151/16 151/17 158/18 158/19 158/23 159/19 161/5 161/20 171/10 171/17 180/14 180/15 180/19 181/10 181/14 181/19 186/2 186/6 186/10 188/3 188/10 190/13 191/8 191/11 191/20 193/5 215/12 219/6 219/7 219/8 224/1 225/13 227/17 227/21 228/1
229/24 230/12 230/13 232/9 233/4 233/5 239/23 241/19 241/22 242/22 242/22 253/23 253/25 263/1 265/23 266/19 272/3 273/6 279/17 291/5 291/7 291/19 291/21 292/9 307/16 307/24 311/20 320/16 320/17 321/4 350/7 350/8 350/10 381/18 381/21 382/4
382/14 384/6 384/17 384/21
390/20 392/14 392/17 400/9
worked [25] 11/17 11/18 31/12
$36 / 255 / 13114 / 4115 / 10120 / 12$
142/17 180/1 180/11 180/13 226/1
226/2 239/15 241/17 256/1 276/22
276/25 306/10 307/17 308/14
311/18 348/12 381/19
worker [2] 142/13 143/20
workers [7] 150/12 151/14 171/12
188/16 207/24 208/7 244/12
working [22] 20/16 24/24 29/14
33/9 33/13 34/22 35/4 60/24
68/25 79/4 108/14 113/14 142/24
162/2 171/20 201/16 223/23
230/23 292/13 351/2 387/15 398/3
works [9] 113/14 113/16 143/25
160/25 221/2 268/14 284/5 381/19 385/24
workweek [1] 233/2
world [8] 64/15 79/20 85/1 173/9 249/4 249/7 249/19 249/21
world's [1] 19/10
worldnetdaily.com [1] 253/7
worried [11] 29/18 73/12 76/24
77/9 88/25 89/3 89/5 118/3
293/14 293/16 402/14
worry [5] 64/16 77/7 401/22 402/1 402/13
worse [3] 77/1 77/1 193/22
worth [1] 228/7
wouldn't [49] 12/7 $13 / 1$ 13/3 16/25
18/4 20/12 20/14 32/25 38/10
39/4 56/7 56/8 88/25 89/1 89/25
104/12 104/15 141/24 148/15
157/25 161/13 167/1 167/8 168/17 168/20 173/8 195/6 209/12 209/14 219/7 227/2 244/22 250/20 265/1 295/2 297/23 310/3 337/2 337/5
$337 / 5352 / 16358 / 24366 / 7368 / 9$
370/14 375/6 391/24 393/2 405/7
write [3] 145/18 $182 / 9366 / 10$
writing [7] 24/14 26/16 145/15
230/21 231/2 313/4 350/2
written [7] 177/25 222/1 270/23
274/14 304/1 346/5 379/22
wrong [19] 33/21 33/23 39/2 39/4
44/2 64/8 89/7 90/1 8 100/23
109/3 154/16 207/3 207/9 208/16
208/17 209/12 296/24 325/21
403/11
wrongful [3] 93/4 330/10 331/7
wrongfully [1] 316/7
wrongly [6] 207/21 208/3 208/25
329/7 329/17 329/24
wrote [3] 17/5 402/2 402/2
X
Xbox [1] 200/2
Y
yard [6] 13/20 13/24 14/2 14/2
14/1435/24
yards [1] 35/8
year [28] 7/10 7/11 11/18 32/14
32/16 38/8 57/14 60/18 72/20
72/21 73/2 85/11 91/9 142/6
180/7 184/6 237/13 246/18 277/5
287/22 314/8 314/8 321/8 360/22
$383 / 13383 / 14384 / 2396 / 14$
years [65] 11/13 11/14 11/20
14/15 55/15 57/21 59/1 91/12
108/11 120/13 120/18 127/16
127/18 127/19 128/1 128/12
128/13 142/18 173/22 180/2
187/23 197/20 201/19 224/2 224/6
224/20 225/4 226/12 228/7 231/7
239/6 240/2 240/25 241/8 241/8
247/8 247/10 247/13 248/10
251/14 253/10 276/17 276/23
276/24 288/10 289/3 291/20
306/21 307/7 311/17313/24 330/3
349/2 349/11 350/18 351/6 351/11
$351 / 17351 / 21364 / 12381 / 20$
381/21 385/12 390/5 390/6
yesterday [5] 8/1 8/2 122/9 171/2
175/9
yet [9] $13 / 7 \quad 33 / 13107 / 24162 / 18$
167/23 357/8 379/7 398/21 398/24
Yoder [2] 391/11 391/15
York [1] 307/17
you consider [1] 387/1
you'd [1] 153/23
you're [29] 50/14 75/1 88/25 89/3
99/5 101/9 101/24 166/1 168/1
169/20 187/10 187/18 207/6
209/17 213/6 $217 / 16$ 241/25 250/7
294/19 302/24 312/14 328/9
$333 / 24342 / 18367 / 17375 / 9$
376/14 378/10 396/9
young [12] 84/21 106/20 300/5
301/4 310/9 310/9 330/3 338/4
360/22 371/10 373/12 401/10
younger [3] 142/19 192/18 351/22
youngest [1] 322/7
yours [3] 67/25 94/15 264/3
yourself [19] 25/4 26/18 30/21
56/17 57/25 68/4 79/21 146/11
148/3 166/4 180/21 226/25 238/1
311/9 323/9 334/6 382/12 383/3
387/1
youth [1] 198/19
youthful [1] 193/15
Yup [16] 55/18 65/3 69/23 71/19
86/6 122/17 128/10 133/7 158/22
159/18 161/22 186/7 274/7 277/6
299/15 370/22
Z
zoned [1] 85/7
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. We're here this morning to begin the third day of individual voir dire. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Good morning, your Honor, may it please the Court. The State appears by Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon and special prosecutor, along with special prosecutor Ken Kratz.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Steven Avery is here in person; Jerome Buting represents him, as does Dean Strang.

THE COURT: All right. There's a few matters we have to clear up from yesterday before we call out the first juror. First, at this time I had a chance to look at the jury questionnaires; there were three jurors yesterday that the parties jointly recommended be stricken for cause and I believe the Clerk has the names and numbers.

THE CLERK: It was Juror No. 44, Kevin Cayemberg; Juror No. 37, Mary Lukes; and Juror No. 39, Betsy Roedig.

THE COURT: Does that square with the party's recollection?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, that's correct, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. I have reviewed the questionnaires in those cases and I agree with the party's recommendations; those three jurors will be stricken for cause. I also indicated to the parties before we began today that -- Well, first of all, at the outset, the Court had indicated it wished to place a 15 minute limit on each party for individual voir dire of the jurors.

The parties were both heard on the record and felt that time would be too short. I indicated, I believe, that I would monitor the situation and somewhat loosely apply the limit.

Having heard the voir dire of the jurors who have been questioned to date, the Court believes that if unnecessary visiting with the juror questions are eliminated and repetitive questions are eliminated, I'm not sure that I have heard any juror for which 20 minutes per side would not have been sufficient. So I'm going to impose a 20 minute limit on each side for questioning any particular juror.

I do have a timer here that $I$ will be using. The way it's set up, and I don't think I
can overrule it, but perhaps it's helpful. It gives a short little beep at every five minute interval. So the beep you hear today will not be the laptops of the media, but will be coming from the timer.

And when the last one goes off, if the parties wish, $I$ will give you just a brief warning that you have got five minutes left. I won't give it, if the parties don't wish me to, but if you find it of assistance, I will. Counsel.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I understand the Court's reasoning and we'll do our best to abide by the time limit and see how it goes. But as I think about the last couple of days, quite frankly, not withstanding media perception, I don't think we're doing all that badly or going all that slowly.

Actually, it feels almost about right, a little tedious yesterday. But our concern is having adequate time to explore the issues. And like I said, the State will do its best to abide by the 20 minute time limit.

But as I think about it, I don't think on average we have spent more than 40 to 45 minutes on average per juror. And as the Court
is aware, the parties have agreed to strike for cause somewhere in the vicinity of 50 jurors. Thereby saving a great deal of time. But in terms of right now, we'll see how it goes and we may renew our request for extended time depending on how it goes.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I do object to the time limit for a number of reasons, acknowledging that the Court has broad discretion in controlling voir dire and superintending the process of jury selection. But the overriding concern here as the Court itself acknowledges -- and I know the Court understands this -- is that we're trying a case in which a man -- the rest of his life hangs in the balance.

And for the other side of the courtroom in which the public has an enormous and legitimate interest and one family in particular has a very deep personal interest, picking 16 fair jurors who come to this case after 15 months of publicity that has been unusual in its pervasiveness and in its consistency, without ascribing or characterizing it further, there's been an enormous amount of publicity in this case.

And it is not at all unreasonable here for the parties to explore in voir dire well beyond the bases for cause in striking a juror, but explore further the information that will allow each side intelligently to exercise the few peremptory strikes the Wisconsin law allows each side.

In two long days of voir dire I -- I and Mr. Buting collectively have made exactly one objection to a question posed by the State. And as this Court knows, I'm not shy about making objections and neither is Mr. Buting. So we made one objection because we thought there was one objectionable question in two days.

We have not posed a single question between the two of us that we thought objectionable. We don't intend discourtesy to the Court and we don't intend to do improper things.

The State has made a few, several, although not a great number of objections to our questions, some of which the Court has sustained and some of which it has overruled. But in the main, $I$ expect that counsel at the next table
would join me in agreeing that neither side has wandered off into inappropriate material.

Now, when the Court times each side, on each juror, and a little chime is going off, we're chilling not just the lawyers, but more importantly, the person who is trying to open up in an alien environment and give us some information that's very personal to a bunch of strangers, about themselves, about their attitudes and about their deepest held convictions.

And with a chime going off every five minutes, I don't expect the same level of candor from jurors who are already uncomfortable and in an alien environment surrounded by strangers who do very different things with their working lives than they do.

So if -- if the Court is of a mind to set some time limits and I certainly understand, I share the desire to be out of here before 6 or 6:30 at night, because of all the work we have to do after we leave court. But if the Court was going to do that, I would ask the Court, instead, to set something like a three and a half hour a day limit on each side and let us apportion that
time as we will.
Because it is -- One of the other problems with a 20 minute limit per side per juror is that not all potential jurors are created equal. Some of them -- some of them can be accepted, or for that matter excused, in five or 10 minutes total.

Some of them are well worth an hours total exploration. And if that happens to be 40 minutes by the State and 20 minutes by the defense on one juror and, you know, 30 minutes by each side on the next; that's just the way it is, in my view. Each one of these people is individual and presents individual issues for the respective sides in this lawsuit.

So, we're in an area of the Court's discretion; $I$ understand and respect that. I'm asking the Court to exercise it a little bit differently in hope here of flushing out, not just cause to excuse a juror, but all the information we reasonably can hope to have so that we might exercise, intelligently, our peremptory strikes when there's everything at stake at the defense table and enormous legitimate interests at stake at the State table.

THE COURT: All right. I want to -- in light of those comments I want to make a few additional ones of my own to make sure that the Court's ruling here is not misconstrued. First of all, $I$ will state for the record that the Court is very appreciative of the efforts that counsel for both sides in this case have made to thoroughly review the written questionnaires that were used and agree to strike, before individual voir dire, those jurors whose answers demonstrate that they would have a great difficulty meeting the qualifications of a jury in this case.

I am not imposing the time limit as a punishment for the conduct of the parties. I believe that the attorneys have been very responsible. When it comes to whether questions on voir dire are objectionable, it's often more of an art than a science.

It's not so much that a particular question is or is not objectionable and certainly in this case the Court recognizes that a legitimate area of inquiry from both parties on voir dire is the extent to which jurors have been exposed to pre-trial publicity and the effect that that might -- that that publicity might have
on their actions as a juror. And if it appears that any particular juror has been so exposed that 20 minutes is simply not enough, I'm not saying the Court would not entertain a request for longer time.

However, there are some other questions that have been asked, many of which is, you know, taking the first five minutes to ask the juror something about their background, whether it be employment, or hobbies, or whatever, that isn't particularly germane to the qualifications of the juror. And I'm looking with the time limit to get the parties past that and into the meat of voir dire a little bit quicker.

If $I$ felt that by imposing a 20 minute limit either party would be prejudiced to the point that they couldn't get the information that they need to evaluate jurors, I wouldn't set the time limit. I'm setting it based on my observations of what's happened so far. And while it's not the primary consideration, I'm also trying to give a little consideration to the jurors who are waiting to be called out here.

So, with that background, before we begin, then, there was also a question -- and I
will stick the timer on the floor in order to muffle, to the extent possible, the noise it makes. I think you will find it's not as loud as what we were hearing from some of the laptops yesterday.

There was one other remaining issue from yesterday relating to the juror, Nathan Klein, and his -- the answers he gave to questions relating to his exposure to radio at work and the fact that he heard something within the last week, I believe it was on Monday, about blood vial evidence. I have reviewed -- and have the parties received this page and a half?

ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
ATTORNEY FALLON: No.
THE COURT: Why don't you come up and take a look at it now. My review of the answers that he gave to the questions was consistent with my recollection at the time; that is, he indicated he's at work; the radio is always on at work; he did hear news last Monday about the blood vial and the State's request to test it.

He was candid in describing that he had heard that; although, he indicated he tries to avoid listening to the radio while at work, but
had -- did admit that he heard this particular story. He indicated that he thought that -- that the planting of the blood vial evidence was a possible defense in this case. He was hoping that there would be some results from the tests, but if there weren't, he said that, then, he would look at something else.

First of all, I would note, I believe he -- based on the answers he gave, he has attempted to comply with the court order as best he can. Obviously, should he be selected as a juror in this case, he won't have to worry about being exposed to the radio at work because he won't be at work.

Secondly, I would note that, in the scheme of things, the danger that pre-trial publicity would prejudice a juror in this case is far greater with respect, for example, to what they heard or remember about Mr. Dassey's statements, which may or may not come in at trial.

I think that this is not such a major item that it could be expected to have a dominant effect or foreclose him from indicating as he did, that he could put his exposure to pre-trial
publicity behind him and become a fair juror and base his decision only on the evidence introduced at trial. So I don't believe there is a basis for excusing Mr. Klein and he will remain as part of the jury panel in this case.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Just a couple things to make the record clear on that, your Honor. The transcript excerpt the Court invited us to look at when we approached the sidebar were pages 139 and 140 of the February 6, 2007 transcript of proceedings. (Court reporter note: Those page numbers may not match after the transcript is completely transcribed.)

And I think the ruling concerning scientific testing to which the juror -- or Mr. Klein, the prospective juror, referred concerned the Court's February 2, 2007 ruling on allowing testing; although, the Court already has ruled or previously has ruled that the results of such tests at present are inadmissible and may not -- and the possibility of doing EDTA testing may not be mentioned by either side.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, is that a fair summary from your perspective?

ATtORNEY FALLON: Not quite. It is with
respect to a ruling the Court issued I believe in January relative to the presence or absence of EDTA; however, it does not encompass other potential scientific testing.

Secondly, if not -- and it hasn't been directly or -- filed or stated in court, but that, I think, decision is subject to review and a motion to reconsider since that determination was held without evidentiary hearing, without facts, without argument and was based upon, to my recollection and knowledge, an unpublished Federal District Court opinion out of California dealing with a post-conviction motion. So with those caveats, I accept counsel's representation. But the State's position is that the ruling relative to Juror Klein is appropriate.

THE COURT: All right. Well, what the Court did rule is the Court was going to allow, and has allowed, the State to conduct tests on the blood vial from the Clerk's Office. I have also provided that sufficient sample be set aside to allow the defense to do the same. And I specifically reserved ruling on the admissibility of any test results should any test results be obtained. Otherwise, I believe Mr. Strang's summary of things is accurate.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. Am I correct that the current state of rulings of the law of the case is that EDTA testing is not admissible and as things stand that that was the Court's January something order and that neither side may mention the possibility of doing EDTA testing to the jury?

THE COURT: Well, those are two different things. First of all, the Court did not rule that EDTA test results are not admissible. The Court ruled, in essence, if $I$ can summarize my ruling, that they have not been proven to be of such reliability that they justified an adjournment of the trial in this case to await the results of such testing.

And I did that based on the information that the parties provided me, which both parties represented was the best information on the state-of-the-art of such testing; namely, the California decision to which Mr. Fallon referred. I do not believe I was asked to rule on the admissibility of EDTA test results, I have not done so at this time. There are no EDTA test results.

As to whether either party could mention them in an opening statement or otherwise, I
think the rule would be the same as it is with other evidence. I don't recall being asked to make any such ruling, nor do I recall that I made such a ruling.

ATTORNEY STRANG: We probably both should go back and look at the written ruling, then, because maybe my recollection is faulty.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, if I may make one comment on the voir dire process, just to clear up the record and to make a point. We accept the Court's ruling, but I would like the record to reflect that what many perceive as five minutes of chit chat questions by the State, or the defense for that matter, as they begin there voir dire process, while we agree that it does not go directly to the potential of a strike for cause, it has two very valid purposes.

One, information obtained during that introductory questioning is quite valuable in determining how one would exercise their peremptory challenges.

And secondly, picking up on a point that Mr. Strang made earlier, it's incredibly difficult to start a conversation with somebody
in saying, well, good morning, sir, I understand you were a victim of a crime and how do you feel about that.

It seems to me that there ought to be at least some time for a person to walk into a courtroom such as this, with all these people looking at them where they are clearly the fish in the bowl, and make some human effort to relax them and engage them such that -- so that they can honestly answer the questions.

So that's the reason for that question, for those types of questions. And I just want the record to be clear about it. It's not like we're wasting time or trying to be buddy-buddy with jurors.

THE COURT: Well, that's one of the reasons I'm setting a time limit rather than objecting to when the Court may believe that the number of visiting questions is excessive. I think the best way to police it is to simply set a time limit.

All right. If there's nothing else, we'll bring in Jean Koch.

Ms Koch, if you will raise your right hand, the Clerk will administer an oath to you. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: I know that people with the spelling of your last name sometimes pronounce it differently; how do you pronounce it?

MS KOCH: Koch.
THE COURT: Ms Koch. All right. You have already filled out a written questionnaire in this case. This morning we're moving on to the next step of the jury selection process which is individual voir dire. Each of the attorneys will have a chance to ask you some questions and for the most part as a follow-up to answers that you gave on your written questionnaire.

Before they begin, I can tell you that at this time the jurors who are selected to serve on the jury in this case will not be sequestered; that is, they will be permitted to return home at the end of court proceedings each day, before reporting back to court the following day.

We're doing this with the understanding that the jurors will not attempt to learn anything about this case and not be exposed to any media coverage of it, either in the newspapers, television, radio, or the internet and that the jurors will not discuss the case
with anyone, including other jurors or family members, until the case is concluded.

I can also tell you, that although the proceedings today are open to the public, we do not permit cameras in the courtroom during jury voir dire. And members of the media are not permitted to disclose the names of the jurors in their reports.

In addition, you should know that should you be selected as a juror in this case, any cameras that cover the trial are not permitted to show the jury in any way that would identify individual members of the juror -- of the jury.

Finally, if you are still on the panel after today's proceedings, you will receive a telephone call in the next day or two letting you know when to report back to court for the next part of the process.

Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Ms Koch.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice.

I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the lead prosecutor. He is currently the Calumet County District Attorney. Again, good morning and thanks for coming in this morning.

We have a few questions, both myself and the defense, Mr. Strang I believe, of you regarding some of the information you provided last week to help us in selecting a jury.

First, I'm sorry, I'm not from the area, but what kind of business is Two Rivers Improvement; is it home improvement?
A. Twin Rivers Improvement, it's a home improvement business. We do residential and commercial buildings, windows, doors, siding, roofing, remodeling.
Q. Okay. How long have you been actively engaged in that business?
A. Since 1988.
Q. All right. Very good. Thank you. I also noticed from your questionnaire, that you are familiar with our Clerk of Court?
A. Yes.
Q. Janet. All right. Your familiarity with her, does that raise any question in your mind as to
your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
A. No. I don't know if it's the same Janet.
Q. Okay.
A. Because I do know one, but it might be somebody else.
Q. Do you know this young lady?
A. No. So is it -- I know a different Janet.
Q. All right. Very good. That solves one mystery. Thank you. The next area $I$ would like to ask you about is some of the pre-trial publicity that's attended to this case. And you indicated you are at least familiar with some of that publicity. And in your questionnaire you indicated you get your news sources from radio, television and the internet. So if we could start there. If you could tell me a little bit; of those three, where do you get the majority of your news?
A. From TV.
Q. From the television?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And is it the regular evening newscasts or ...
A. Yeah, the regular evening newscasts, yeah.
Q. Do you watch any special news programs at all or just the regular news?
A. I watch a lot of Court TV.
Q. Court TV?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Okay. In terms of the radio, how much news do you get from the radio sources?
A. Just in the mornings when I'm getting ready for work; I will have the radio on. And that's all I hear, is just the morning news.
Q. All right. And in terms of your business practice, I mean, generally, what hours do you keep?
A. I usually work from like 8 until 1 or 2 in the afternoon.
Q. All right.
A. It depends on how busy we are, otherwise if someone is at the office, then they will sit in for me and then I just answer the business phone at home.
Q. I see. All right. When you are at home, do you have the $T V$ or radio on in the background, or not?
A. I have Court TV on.
Q. You have Court TV on. All right. Very good. In terms of the internet, how much news or what kind of news do you obtain from the internet?
A. Not much.
Q. Not much?
A. No. No.
Q. That's more for --
A. I just check the Herald-Times Reporter on the internet --
Q. Right.
A. -- once in a while, but $I$ really don't go into it that much.
Q. So it's not a major news source?
A. No. No.
Q. Have you watched any of the proceedings associated with this case on the internet, for instance?
A. No.
Q. All right. Have you watched any of the proceedings as reported on television?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And tell us a little bit about that; how much would you say you have observed?
A. Well, in the evening news, I usually watch what's coming up, or what's going to be going on in the next week or so. I had seen a couple weeks ago, it was in regards to the Dassey --
Q. Right.
A. -- trial. But I really don't watch that much of it, you know. If it's on, I will listen to it, but otherwise it's --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. It's been on the news for so long that, you know, it just -- I don't know.
Q. All right. Did you receive an advisory from the Court asking that you refrain from watching any of the newscasts?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And have you been able to abide by that request?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. With respect to the information you have, you did offer an opinion; have you formed any personal opinions about this case, based on the publicity? And you used the phrase, I know he's guilty.

But then, specifically, with respect to the question: Have you yourself formed any opinion, based on the information from any source, as to his guilt or innocence, you said no. So I wanted to talk to you a little bit about that.

Based on the information that you have
in your head, right now, do you have an opinion, do you think you know enough, do you have an opinion as to whether he is guilty or not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what is your opinion?
A. Right now $I$ would say he is guilty.
Q. All right. And that is based on the information that you received from the media?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And you realize you have not received any other information, either from the State directly or perhaps from the defense? Is that correct?
A. Right, yes.
Q. All right. Now, if the Court were to instruct you, and the Judge will in fact instruct you if you were selected as a juror, that you would be required to set that information aside and be asked to decide Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence strictly on the evidence presented in the courtroom?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. In other words, you would have more access to more information as a juror than you would listening to the media. Do you think that you
could set aside the information that you have and decide this case strictly on the evidence?
A. Oh, yes, just listening to both sides I would -I would need a lot more detail into, you know ...
Q. All right.
A. So I would --
Q. Go ahead, I'm sorry.
A. So I would love to hear both sides, you know, their ...
Q. All right. Well, let's talk a little bit about that. You understand that the State is the only one that has something to prove in the case; in other words, we have to prove his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt, otherwise you must find him not guilty; do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. And could you accept that ruling --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that principle from the Court?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And do you understand that Mr. Avery and his attorneys, they don't have to present any evidence at all? Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And as a matter of fact, if they
chose not to do anything but just sit there --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- you may have to decide this case solely on information provided by the State. And if it does not reach -- if it does not convince you, beyond a reasonable doubt, you must acquit him; do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. You -- Could you follow those instructions, if that's what the Judge instructed you --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to do?
A. Yes, I could.
Q. Is there any question in your mind that you could follow those instructions?
A. No.
Q. Let's talk a little bit about that. Why? You seem pretty confident in your ability to do that even though you have all this exposure to the media coverage.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Why do you think that you would be able to honor the Judge's request and decide this strictly on what's presented in court?
A. Um, I don't know how to answer that.
Q. Okay. Well, take your time and if you can think about it, please tell us why you feel so confident. There may be lots of reasons. You may be one who has a belief in our system. You may find instructions from the Court something that is your duty. There could be lots of reasons. I'm just wondering, what seems to strike a chord in the back of your head saying, you know, I could do that. Any thoughts?
A. I just don't know what to say. I'm nervous. I'm very nervous.
Q. I see that. And I don't mean to really put you on the spot that much. But, it's -- Because it's a matter of grave importance to both the State and the defense as to whether or not you would be able to do that and that's why we ask. All right.

Another question that follows up on that, on that presumption of innocence, is this question: You would be instructed, if you were a juror, that Mr . Avery is presumed to be innocent throughout the trial and he cannot be found guilty of any offense, unless and until the State has proven each element of that offense, beyond a reasonable doubt. Should you be selected as a
juror, would you be able to follow the instruction in reaching a verdict?

And you didn't answer yes or no, but you did go on to write: I feel right now that he is guilty. I know we just kind of touched upon that, but as the Court will instruct you, he is presumed innocent as he sits here today.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you have any problem with that principle?
A. No.
Q. Do you accept that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And do you feel that you could honor that presumption if the Court instructed you?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any other reason, as you think about this particular case? I see you have not been a juror before; is there anything about the process, or the prospect of being a juror, that makes you wonder whether you could fulfill that role, whether you could honor these principles?
A. No.
Q. Another point, and counsel will ask a great deal about it, but $I$ wanted to touch upon it as well. You seem to have no problem with the fact that

Mr. Avery does not have to testify in this case if he doesn't want to. And if, as a juror, you could not and must not hold that against him. And you indicated you could follow that principle?
A. Right.
Q. You accept that as --
A. Yes.
Q. -- a basic tenet of our law?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And -- But what if he did testify, would you be able to evaluate his believability, his credibility, his honesty, the same way you would any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.
A. Yeah.
Q. And the fact that he happens to be accused of a pretty serious crime, you wouldn't weigh his testimony any more or any less than anyone else's?
A. No. No.
Q. All right. You feel pretty comfortable with that?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Yes.
Q. That you could follow that instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And one last point, you did -- you did feel that there was so much evidence provided against Mr. Avery in the media that it would be difficult to set aside your opinions. Do you feel that -- still feel that way, or do you think that you would be able to set those opinions aside?
A. I would probably be able to set them aside.
Q. All right. In other words, you would give it your best effort?
A. Right, I would, to listen to what everybody has to say.
Q. And -- Because it's most important to us that you be able to decide this case strictly on the evidence that's presented in court?
A. Yes.
Q. And you can do that?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Pass the juror.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Dean Strang, Jerome Buting, Steven Avery. We're the two lawyers defending Steven, in case you missed us when we stood up and sat down last Monday. Look, I'm a little nervous too, so.
A. Good. I'm more nervous than you.
Q. You probably are. I mean, I'm probably more used to sitting in courtrooms. But this is
nerve-racking for everyone. And beyond that, you know, it -- it takes a lot of candor and a certain amount of courage on your part to answer questions honestly and say, look, I have an opinion, whether it's about guilt or innocence. And I -- You know, the questionnaire you filled out was signed under penalty of perjury. You did your best --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- I'm sure, to be honest on that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you wrote in response to Question No. 40: I know he -- I think you mean, I know he's guilty?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that yes?
A. Yes. I'm sorry.
Q. No. No. The court reporter will get on me --
A. Okay.
Q. -- if I don't remind you about that.
A. Yes.
Q. And then in response to Question 43, you wrote: There's so much evidence against him that it would be difficult to change my mind. You wrote that?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that -- That was true at the time?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Still true today?
A. It's -- It would be difficult to change my mind.
Q. Do you still know he's guilty?
A. Do I still know he's guilty?
Q. As you did last Monday?
A. Ah, yes.
Q. Do you still feel right now that he is guilty, as you wrote in response to Question 69?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Counsel, I think I'm going to stop your questioning at this time. Ms Koch, at this time the Clerk will escort you from the courtroom.

MS KOCH: Okay.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: You may be seated. Without
argument, Mr. Strang, does the defense have a motion at this time?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I move to strike the juror for cause.

THE COURT: Any response from the State?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No.
THE COURT: All right. I think this is a juror who would try her best to follow the instructions for the Court, but based on her candid answers on the questionnaire and what she reiterated today, I think she would have too much difficulty in doing so and, therefore, I believe there is basis to strike her for cause and the order will -- the Court will order that she be stricken for cause. The next juror is James Diedrich.

Mr. Diedrich, would you raise your right hand, please, and the Clerk will administer your oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Diedrich, you have already completed a questionnaire in this case, which the attorneys have had a chance to review. This morning we're moving on to the next phase of the jury selection process which is known as voir dire. The
attorneys will have an opportunity to ask you some follow-up questions to the information that you provided on your questionnaire.

Before we proceed with their questions, I want to make sure you understand that the jurors in this case will not be sequestered. That means the jurors will be permitted to return home each day after the court proceedings. And we're doing that based on the obligation of the jurors not to be exposed to any news media accounts of this case during the trial, whether it be through television, radio, the newspaper, the internet, or any other source. The jurors will also be prohibited from discussing the case with anyone, including other jurors or family members, during the course of the trial.

You should know, also, that although these proceedings are open to the public today, the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during the voir dire process and members of the media are not allowed to identify you by name when reporting on today's proceedings.

In addition, the jurors who are selected to serve in this case, will not be on camera at
any time during the trial itself. In the event that you continue to be a member of the jury panel after today, you will get a phone call in the next day or two, letting you know when to report back to court.

Mr. Fallon, you may begin at this time.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Diedrich.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. And I'm an Assistant Attorney General and -- with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and lead special prosecutor.

We wanted to follow up with a few questions from the information from your questionnaire. Then there is one area that's of great importance to us and I suspect to you as well. And what I wanted to do is start with your employment situation. I see that you are a plumber by trade.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you work for a company or are you on your own?
A. I work for a company.
Q. All right. And how long have you worked for them?
A. This company, eight years.
Q. All right. Now, the matter that we wanted to explore with you was the answer to the very last question in the questionnaire that you provided. This may very well be a six week trial. And as a result of which, you would be here probably nine hours a day and not at your employment, your work situation.

Now, if you were selected as a juror, would that present a hardship to you? And you seem to indicate that it would. Wanted to talk to you a little bit about that. Tell us, if you were selected, would you have income still coming in?
A. My employer will not reimburse me --
Q. He will not.
A. -- for the time off.
Q. All right. And -- And I take it from the rest of the information provided you're -- you are the main bread winner in your family?
A. My wife is also employed.
Q. She's employed, but I take it that it would be
extremely difficult for your family to continue if you did not have income coming in?
A. It would be difficult. I wouldn't say extremely difficult.
Q. All right.
A. It would present problems.
Q. All right. Well, that's what we're trying to figure out on the hardship, just how much of a hardship it would be. I mean, if it's going to really severely impact your ability to provide for your family, then, you know, you will be excused. But if you think you can manage through this, then we'll continue, but. Tell us, honestly, if you think this is going to put you in such a financial hole, then ...
A. I don't believe it will put us in such a financial hold as, like, we would be living on the street or ... I think we could get through it.
Q. All right. Then, my last question on this area is, do you think that you will be worried more about making ends meet at home without a paycheck coming in, than you would about listening to the evidence in the case?
A. No. I'm under the assumption that we can collect
unemployment during that period also.
Q. All right. Then, will you have a job when you -If you were selected, would you have a job when the case -- when your jury duty was over? Would you be able to go back to the same plumbing company?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you have assurances of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. The -- This probably is a good way to introduce the topic of publicity and impact. There was one other question that you answered that was of somewhat of a concern for you. And the question was that you as a juror would be instructed not to read, watch, or listen to any news accounts of this trial, whatsoever, until it was over and not to talk with anyone about the case, not even to one another, until you retire to deliberate on its verdict. And the Court said this rule would be strictly enforced. And you said would you find it difficult to follow such an instruction. And you said you would find it difficult. Can you tell us about that?
A. I think I was more, between when we filled the
questionnaire out till this point, just because of the nature of my work, I listen to the radio all day and you are just going to pick things up from people talking or.
Q. Sure. Well, if you were selected as a juror, you understand that you would be here and not at work?
A. Correct.
Q. So your radio time --
A. Correct.
Q. -- would be eliminated from that perspective? But the question is aimed at, you know, when you are not here as a juror and you head home and, you know, perhaps on the weekends you might be out to dinner with your wife or something and, you know, somebody may recognize or know that you are one of the jurors and they might want to talk to you; do you think you could be able to say, I'm sorry, I can't talk about it?
A. I would be able to say that.
Q. Right.
A. I still feel you are going to be able to pick up people talking.
Q. Right.
A. You are not going to be able to shut it out
totally.
Q. All right. Would you be able to just walk away, then, from those situations?
A. I believe I could.
Q. All right. And -- So the question is, we understand it might be difficult, the question is: Would you do your absolute level best to follow that directive from the Court?
A. I believe I could, yes.
Q. All right. And on that note, with respect to publicity, let's talk a little bit about the publicity that you have been exposed to. I take it almost from our discussion here that most of the news you get might very well come from the radio?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. As I surmise, that's because it's always on, whether you are in the truck or at the shop, you are listening to the radio --
A. Exactly.
Q. -- most of the time? All right. Before we hit to the radio, do you -- you do say you get some of your news from the television?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And how much of your news do you get
from the television?
A. Um, about 25 percent, 30 percent.
Q. All right. And so am I guessing here that you might just turn on the evening news before you go to bed?
A. After work.
Q. After work. All right. And in terms of the publicity which is attended to this case, is most of the publicity that -- related to this case, come from the radio or the television for you?
A. More from the television.
Q. All right. And, specifically, what do you recall -- Well, before $I$ even get to that point -- When you originally were notified that you could or might possibly be a juror in this case, I believe the Court sent you a letter asking that you refrain from, as best you could, media coverage of this case; did you get that correspondence?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And have you made it a conscious effort to refrain from being exposed to the ...
A. As best as I could.
Q. All right. Could you tell us a little bit about what you have heard recently about the
proceedings, if anything?
A. I heard some charges were dropped.
Q. All right.
A. And that the neph -- Dassey will not be giving any testimony in this case.
Q. Will not or may not?
A. May not.
Q. Okay. And what else do you recall?
A. That's about it.
Q. Anything else?
A. Not that $I$ can recall.
Q. All right. Now, thinking back, going back a couple of months, many months ago, do you recall watching any news coverage of Mr. Dassey's arrest or involvement?
A. I recall some of it.
Q. All right. What do you recall?
A. That he admitted to doing the crime.
Q. All right.
A. With Steven Avery.
Q. All right. Any details stick out in your head?
A. Not any particular details.
Q. All right. Now, if for instance Mr. Dassey did not appear in this trial, did not testify, for who knows what reason, do you think that if you
were selected as a juror you could decide this case solely on the evidence which is introduced in the trial of Mr. Avery and not on what you heard in the media?
A. I would think that in my mind it would always be there.
Q. All right.
A. I don't know if $I$ could totally block it out.
Q. All right. If the Court were to instruct you that as a juror that would be your duty, to make any and all reasonable possible effort to block it out and decide this case solely on the information presented, do you think you could do that?
A. Being honest, I always think that that would be in the back of my mind.
Q. All right. So you don't think that you would be able to follow that directive and decide this case because of the pre-trial publicity?
A. I don't think I could.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't have any more questions.

THE COURT: The defense have any questions? Let me ask this, is there going to be a joint
recommendation to the Court here?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I think so, if I understand --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Probably.
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- eyes and winks.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Diedrich, we're going to have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I think we have to concede the obvious here, Judge. It appears that he's been quite frank and doesn't think he can set that information aside and to me it's probably too much of a risk.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Yeah, I agree. One of the ironies of picking a jury is, I think this was, on my honesty scale, probably the most forthcoming person we have had walk in here, or at least among them, but I think we have got to let him go for cause.

THE COURT: All right. The Court agrees, Mr. Diedrich will be stricken for cause. Next we'll hear from Mr. Brotski.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Could I have a minute to make a motion before that?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Only in part, I mean in part, but only in part, because of the 20 minute time limitation the Court has imposed this morning, I'm going to move to strike, prospectively here, every -- every juror who's heard a news conference about Brendan Dassey or Steven Avery from March 2 back.

I mean nothing -- I'm not worried about anything after March 2, but from March 2 back, you know, if they have heard those news conferences and remember them, for all of the reasons I have argued in this case from the motion for sanctions that I filed last spring or summer up through the motion to dismiss a couple of the counts and the request for curative steps by the Court.

And in light of the limitations now on the time to explore and possibly rehabilitate someone who has got that kind of gripping information about Mr. Dassey or Mr. Avery, I'm just going to move to strike for cause all of them who saw that -- those news conferences
through March 2 or any of them.
I realize that we have got a number of people in the qualified group of 16 who did see that, but there comes a point where there's a tipping point. And the Court, so far, has not taken the curative steps that we have requested. This -- This is an alternative curative measure that I'm suggesting. And, again, the urgency for it went up a bit this morning with the time limitations on voir dire.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, $I$ take it, Counsel, you are asking for those who saw conferences from November 9th through March 2nd; is that the time frame or is it from March 2nd to now?

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, no, no. November 5 to March --

ATTORNEY FALLON: November --
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- 2005, through March 2, 2006. I'm not worried about anything after that. And I'm not going back and moving to strike any of the 16 we have already qualified.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I guess my take on that, Judge, is for obvious reasons we would object to that. First and foremost, the first thing that
comes to my mind is that that's a premature and it's an insufficient record upon which to make a class action objection, based on perceived or anticipated bias.

Secondly, it seems to me that much of those concerns have already been addressed as best we could by this Court and the steps that we have taken in this case since May. And those include an adjournment of the trial from beginning of September to, then, the middle of October, until now. So it is 15 months since the date of the crime and 11 months since any news conference at all, from the State, relative to the events of the crime.

Secondly, we have engaged in a jury questionnaire process, which $I$ think was directly aimed at flushing out those who may have too much of a bias or at least think they have too much of a bias based on the pre-trial publicity.

And we have expected a fair process and we have expected that we would have to exclude a fair number, a large number of jurors. In fact, we have 50 or so more. Not all of them for subjective bias, some for economic hardship. But I think the process that we are engaged in is
working.
And third, I guess I would note that it is the defendant's constitutional right. He had the opportunity to waive that right and ask for a jury from another county, but instead wanted to, for a lack of a better term, take his chances with the juror -- jury of his peers here in Manitowoc. That is his right that is his choice.

As a result, we're obviously going to do a lot more sifting and winnowing from the prospective jurors, those who do not have such a bias, to participate in the case. So I think we have a take, as counsel eloquently argued about an hour ago, each juror is different. Each one takes more time. Each one has a different set of issues, a different set of perspectives, interests and biases which need to be explored.

And I don't think that we can sit here and just simply say, because you have seen two news conferences, you are out. I think that's unfair to them. It's unfair to the State. And quite frankly, oddly enough, $I$ think it's unfair to Mr . Avery, so we would oppose the request.

THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court is going to deny the motion to, on a wholesale basis,
disqualify any juror who may have seen these news conferences. The Court believes that while a juror who has seen the news conferences certainly raises some concerns about the juror's ability to be fair and impartial if selected in this case. The questioning to date has proved that there are some people who are capable of doing that and both parties have agreed about that.

There are a number of mitigating factors in terms of causing prejudice to the jurors. The news conferences took place nearly a year ago. A number of the jurors were aware that, since the initial news conferences, Mr. Dassey has recanted at least parts of his earlier statements. In some cases the jurors have been exposed to information about blood being planted and -- and the jurors are aware of defenses as well as the initial reports from the State.

Finally, there are a number of jurors who are capable of setting aside that information. They have been asked questions by the defense to suggest that there may be -- if the Dassey confession evidence comes in, there may be witnesses who explain why, even a confession, if it was made, may not be true.

The Court has allowed a variety of questions and I think that it's just simply unfair to say that any juror who saw the news conferences cannot possibly be fair and impartial. A number of them were, a number of them have been stricken, but some of them weren't. And I think the process to this point has worked fairly and I don't see a reason to believe it won't continue to do so.

All right. At this point --
ATTORNEY STRANG: Um --
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Should we just -- should we just take up one other matter in the hope of speeding it up while we're pausing. The next juror is Kevin Brotski and I don't know of any advance issue about him. But the one after that is David Holschbach, No. 51. This was one that I had asked counsel to look at and I -- I don't think it's even going to be a close call to be honest.

He knows Lieutenant James Lenk. He not only knows former Sheriff Kenneth Peterson, but he appears to be a fairly good friend of the Peterson's. And his answers to Questions 42 and 74 make me think he's just got firmly held
opinions that we're not going to put aside entirely. I'm not sure it's time well spent to bring him in.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm aware of counsel's concerns. I had check marked this prospective juror as one, for lack of better terms, on the bubble. But my reason for not immediately agreeing to a stipulation for cause is the juror's answer to Question 43 was, yes, that whatever opinions he had he could set aside.

He does know, apparently, the retired sheriff. It seems to me that there were some things that were worth exploring here. Now, if the Court wants to review the questionnaire and thinks that there's, on balance, too much and it's not worth the effort at all, then that's fine. If you want to dismiss him for cause, that's fine.

But my way of thinking is, if a juror -taking the man at their word thinks that, at least based on what they knew at the time they filled out the questionnaire, that they could be fair, not withstanding that they know some of the potential witnesses; although, I look at these
and I don't know how many of them are really significant witnesses, other than Mr. Lenk.

We don't know how he knows Lieutenant Lenk or what level of friendship, if any, they have. And that's my reason for saying, well, maybe we should just talk to him and see, you know, what the situation is. And if it turns out that he's intimately acquainted with these gentlemen then, of course, we will probably have to excuse him.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I respect that prerogative and I'm willing to talk to anyone. But the problem questions here are $42,59,60,71$ and 74. And the acquaintance with -- it's not just Lenk and Peterson, there's a number of other potential witnesses who $I$ view as less significant. This just seems cumulatively like someone who is not on the bubble, but -- but likely to be stricken for cause.

If the State and the Court want to spend some time with him, I understand that.

THE COURT: I --
ATTORNEY FALLON: Maybe the Court might want to ask a couple of questions of that prospective juror.

THE COURT: Well, if it was just an
acquaintance with Mr. Lenk and Mr. Peterson and -- I might be inclined to do it, but when I couple that with the answer to Question 74, any other information, it's getting difficult for me to perceive what answers this juror is going to give that are going to make him qualify to be a juror. ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. THE COURT: So, does the State have any objection to the Court striking him for cause? ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't have any objection.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will order, then, that Mr. Holschbach be stricken for cause. And we'll bring in Mr. Brotski at this time. Mr. Brotski, will you raise your right hand, please, and the Clerk will administer an oath to you.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Brotski, you have already completed a questionnaire in this case. This morning we're proceeding to the next step of voir dire which is allowing the parties to ask you some questions as a follow-up to the information you gave on your questionnaire.

You should know that the jurors in this case will not be sequestered during the trial; that is, they will be permitted to return home each day. And we're doing that because the jurors are being ordered not to learn anything about the case further through the news media, whether it be newspaper, television, radio, internet or anything else. The jurors will also be prohibited from discussing the case with anyone, including any family members or other jurors, until the case is concluded.

Today's proceedings are open to the public; however, the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during voir dire. And the media is not allowed to identify individual jurors by name in any news reports.

In addition, the jurors who are selected to serve in this case will not be on camera during the trial.

If you are permitted to continue as a juror in this case, you will receive notice as to when to report back to court again.

Mr. Fallon, at this you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Brotski.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice, one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and lead special prosecutor for this matter. Good morning and thanks for coming in.

We wanted to begin with asking you some questions, some follow-up questions regarding your questionnaire in terms of determining your suitability for jury service in this case. First and foremost, $I$ see you are a mason. How long have you been engaged in that practice?
A. Nine years.
Q. All right. And have you spent all that time with the same company?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And do you hold any particular levels of mason certification or -- like a master --
A. No.
Q. All right. If you were selected as a juror in this case, you understand that this case may very well last six weeks or so?
A. Yes.
Q. And as a result of which, you would be here and not doing some mason work. Just want to make sure that that would not cause you any economic hardship, either directly or maintaining your family and things of that sort?
A. No.
Q. All right. So you are comfortable that you will have either income and/or a job waiting for you when you are done with your jury service?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Very well. I take it from the information provided that you are not one who follows the news regularly?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And where do you get your news from primarily; radio, television?
A. Primarily magazines.
Q. All right.
A. I like watching ABC World News.
Q. Okay.
A. I like watching the bigger news.
Q. All right. So you don't pay that close attention to the local news, but you do pay more attention to the national or world news?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you read the local newspapers at all?
A. No, I do not get it.
Q. All right. Do you own a computer?
A. No.
Q. All right. So you don't use the internet at all?
A. No.
Q. Not even at work?
A. No.
Q. Okay. In terms of the radio, do you listen to the radio when you are at work?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. With respect to the radio, is it on constantly or is it just at certain times of the day that you have time to listen to the radio?
A. Certain times.
Q. All right. And do you catch many newscasts?
A. No.
Q. You do not?
A. No, not really.
Q. All right. Then, I guess my question for you, sir, is, in terms of the publicity associated with this case, are you familiar with much of it, or any of it, or ...
A. A little bit of it.
Q. All right. Can you tell us a little bit what you -- what you know about the case from the information you have heard in the media or the guy next door?
A. I know Steven Avery is charged with murder.
Q. Okay. Any -- any of the details in your head as to, you know, the circumstances surrounding the crime or anything like that?
A. No, not really.
Q. All right.
A. I just know he is charged with murder and that's really about it.
Q. Okay. How about a fellow by the name of Brendan Dassey; have you heard anything about him?
A. I have heard of his name before.
Q. All right.
A. He's his cousin, or nephew, or uncle, or something like that.
Q. Okay. Do you know any of the circumstances regarding his arrest or his potential involvement?
A. No, I do not.
Q. All right. You haven't seen or listened to any media or news conferences regarding that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Just so I'm clear, you didn't answer one particular question but -- and it may be because you haven't formed any opinion, but I just wanted to clarify this for us. If you were selected to serve as a juror in this case, do you think you could decide this case solely, simply, on the information that you hear in the courtroom and not from what you may hear at work, or the guy on the street, or anywhere else?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Is there any -- any doubt in your mind about that?
A. No, no doubt.
Q. All right. Okay. The other area of -- that I wanted to talk with you about, is the fact that there will be a fair number of police officers and police testimony in this case. And it's -- I take it from your questionnaire that you haven't had any police contacts in your life?
A. No.
Q. Never had any -- never filed a complaint or been in any, you know, jams where you were ticketed, or arrested, or anything?
A. I have had a speeding ticket.
Q. Okay. But nothing else?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And how did that encounter -- was that a local police officer, like a Manitowoc police officer, or county sheriff, or ...
A. County sheriff.
Q. All right. And was there anything about that experience -- Well, first of all, let me ask you this; do you feel you were treated fairly?
A. Yeah. Yes.
Q. So you don't have any complaints about how you were treated by the sheriff or -- regarding the matter?
A. No, I was speeding and I got a ticket.
Q. All right. And you paid your fine and that was it?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Do you think, then -- I just wanted to make sure, you answered the question yes, but I wanted to talk a little bit about it with you that in terms of evaluating police officer testimony in this case, you would be required to evaluate their believability, their honesty, their credibility, the same way you would evaluate the testimony of any other witness; do you think you could do that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Very good. Last group of questions I had for you is, you realize that -- and you seem to have a good command of this in your questionnaire -- but I wanted to make sure that -- that we're all on the same page here, that Mr. Avery is presumed innocent as he sits here today?
A. Yes.
Q. And that he remains innocent unless and until we can convince you, as a juror, of his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you understand that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And you accept that principle?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And you can follow that?
A. Yes, I can.
Q. All right. And you realize that if we fail to convince you, beyond a reasonable doubt, that you would have to acquit Mr . Avery, you would have to find him not guilty?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Even if perhaps there is some
question as to whether the real murderer is ever located; do you understand that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. All right. And you understand that he has a right not to present a defense at all? In other words, they may do nothing and the only evidence that's presented is that presented by the State, and if we fail to convince you, you still must acquit: do you understand that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. All right. And do you think you might have any problem following that instruction?
A. No, I don't.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Pass -- Hold on.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ My colleague here reminded me of one last question $I$ forgot to ask. Do you have an interest in serving on this jury?
A. No. If I'm chosen, that's my right, or if I'm not. That's my duty, you know, that's ...
Q. So it doesn't matter to you whether you are selected as a juror or not but -- so in other words, if selected you will serve, if not, you won't?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. Yup. You're welcome.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, thank you, Judge. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good morning, sir.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Jerome Buting, this is Dean Strang and we are defending Steven Avery. I would like to follow up on just a few issues and then touch on some others that we haven't talked about. Your mason experience, are you -- do you belong to a union?
A. No, I do not.
Q. No. Do you belong to any organizations at all?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you like attend a church or belong to a church or anything like that where you -- you -- Do you go to any meetings with groups of people?
A. No.
Q. What do you do for -- for spare time when you get home?
A. Play with my children. I have a two year old and a five year old. That's really about it.
Q. Okay.
A. Pretty boring.
Q. Well, that's takes up a lot of time, I know. That takes up a lot of time. Your wife is -Does your wife work outside the home, I think you said she did?
A. Yes, she's a nurse at Aurora.
Q. Oh, okay. And does she have -- Does she work everyday or just part time?
A. Weekends.
Q. Weekends.
A. Weekend nights.
Q. Okay. Do you, you know, between taking care of the kids and job duties and all that, do you talk to your wife about current events or things that are in the news?
A. Well, yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. Does -- Has she ever talked to you at all about what she's heard or watched on TV or anything about the Steven Avery case?
A. Well, $I$ was told in the first letter that we got that $I$ wasn't supposed to discuss it with anyone, so we haven't been talking about anything that
she might know or that I might know.
Q. Okay. And when did you get that letter?
A. That was the first letter to come to the 29 th, or come for the questionnaire.
Q. So that was, like, you got that sometime in December or November; do you recall?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Up to that point, though, had you -- You know, there's a lot of publicity about the case in the early stages, particularly last November -- or not last November, November of '05 up through March, April, in that time period; did you -- did the two of you talk about it at that time?
A. No, not really.
Q. She didn't express any opinions to you all about what she thought?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Okay. And co-workers?
A. No, we just -- we get to work and we work.
Q. Okay.
A. We have got our own jobs.
Q. Okay. So, even -- even highly publicized local crimes don't really interest you at all?
A. Not really.
Q. Much more focused, you did say you like world news?
A. Yes.
Q. You have a more global view, is that it --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or national?
A. National.
Q. Okay. All right. Now, you mentioned that you had heard of Brendan Dassey, you knew the name?
A. Yeah, I know the name.
Q. And you knew that he was somehow connected with Mr. Avery at least in the media portrayals?
A. I know he's his uncle or cousin.
Q. Okay.
A. Cousin, I believe.
Q. And beyond that, what is -- why is he newsworthy?
A. Just that he is in -- I know he's somebody -- he has something to do with this, that the name is really familiar to me.
Q. Okay.
A. He's connected with some -- some of this or ...
Q. Allegedly, right?
A. Yeah, or something.
Q. Do you know whether -- Do you recall any
information about whether he has given any statements or admissions, or denials, or anything of that sort?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And in the last week, after you came and filled out the questionnaires, did you, even inadvertently, hear anything about the case, anything that was going on or coming up, anything of that sort?
A. No, I haven't been watching the news.
Q. Do your friends and co-workers know that you have been summoned as a potential juror?
A. Yes, they know, my boss does.
Q. He does?
A. Yes.
Q. And have they talked to you about the case?
A. No, I'm -- I'm laid off right now.
Q. Oh, you are?
A. It's a seasonal job.
Q. I see.
A. Yes.
Q. I was going to ask you, if you work in the cold like this it must be ...
A. No. No, I'm laid off right now.
Q. Okay. Do you work on, what, residential or
commercial buildings?
A. Residential.
Q. Residential. So it's just kind of a slow time for that anyway?
A. Yes.
Q. So you work with your hands every day?
A. Yes.
Q. Get dirty, get your hands dirty, cut your hands?
A. Yes.
Q. Pretty frequent part of the occupation?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But you can deal with it, you just -- you just live that way, live with your hands always kind of beat up; is that it?
A. Right, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know any police officers?
A. My dad is an ex-police officer.
Q. Oh, he is?
A. Yes.
Q. Where did he work?
A. Two Rivers.
Q. Okay. Did he -- Is he retired or did he take a different job?
A. Retired.
Q. Retired. How long was he an officer there?
A. About 10 years or maybe 15.
Q. Okay. Do you know what his rank was when he retired?
A. A lieutenant.
Q. He was a lieutenant, okay.
A. Yes.
Q. So did he talk about his work at all?
A. No.
Q. Did he like being a police officer?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, I imagine that, with that experience, with your dad being a police officer, a lieutenant even, you learned to respect police, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Natural, I mean we're all taught to respect authority of police officers, right?
A. Yes, right.
Q. How long ago did he actually retire?
A. Has to be four or five years now.
Q. Okay. So not too long ago?
A. No, not too long ago.
Q. But you were out of the house then, right, or were you still living at home?
A. No, I was out of the house.
Q. You have been married how long?
A. Four years.
Q. Okay. Now, I wonder if a police officer comes into court and testifies and you will hear from a number of them, this is a criminal case, obviously police are involved, right?
A. Right.
Q. Do you think that because they are police officers and, you know, sworn, with badges and, you know, to serve and protect and uphold the law, that they are less likely to lie under oath than the ordinary person?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. That they will lie or won't? Sorry, I don't understand the question.
Q. Let me rephrase it so you do.
A. Sorry.
Q. Do you think that because police officers are who they are and they are a sworn occupation, that just because of that they are necessarily less likely to lie under oath than the ordinary person?
A. A police officer is human, anyone can lie, you know.
Q. Okay. And do you think that people, humans, are
capable of lying under oath?
A. Yes.
Q. That just because they take the witness stand and take the oath you can't necessarily assume everything coming out of there mouth is going to be the truth?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So would you be able to judge a police officer's testimony, just the same way, then, as an ordinary person's testimony, to decide whether that officer is really telling the truth or not?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think that if you sat on this jury and listened to the evidence and had a reasonable doubt that Mr . Avery was guilty and, therefore, found him not guilty, do you think you would get any flak from your father or that, you know, any -- any other family or friends would think less of you, how could you do that?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Why not?
A. Because $I$ just don't, I don't think that that would happen.
Q. What if in the course of the testimony you also came to the opinion that there was at least a
reasonable suspicion that police officers really did some bad things here, maybe even planted evidence, would you be concerned about, you know, what your father might think, you know, how could you -- how could you think that about officers like I was? Wouldn't it be hard?
A. No, he wouldn't, my father is not like that.
Q. Your father would --
A. He would understand and -- how I feel and he respects my opinions.
Q. Do you think that that's -- that's possible in life, that police officers could do such a thing?
A. Sure, anyone can do such a thing, I feel.
Q. Now, was your dad a detective at times too?
A. No, I don't think so. He didn't talk much about his work.
Q. Okay.
A. I just knew his rank and he was a police officer.
Q. Okay. Do you think sometimes that officers, because they are human, they get, you know, personally involved in their case and their work, maybe even to the point where they form an opinion about somebody and they think that person is guilty and they work towards that -- with that in mind?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Okay. Do you think that police officers may, sometimes because they get personally involved in a case, they may come to a conclusion that this person is guilty and maybe shade things a little bit in order to try and ensure that -- that they get the guy?
A. It's happened before --
Q. Okay.
A. -- I'm sure.
Q. Okay. And you would be open to consider all the possibilities that that may have happened in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know anyone who has ever been arrested by the police; friends, or co-workers, or anything?
A. No.
Q. Do you watch any cop shows on TV?
A. No.
Q. None. Do you ever -- Maybe in movies, have you ever seen any depictions of the way police officers can interrogate, particularly detectives, interrogate witnesses or suspects to try and get them to talk?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think that sometimes people may actually confess to something that's not true?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Pressure.
Q. Okay. From the police?
A. Yes.
Q. And how do you go about judging whether or not something somebody says is true or not.
A. I don't understand, again.
Q. How would you -- If you heard witnesses testify about something, how would you go about judging whether what they were saying was the truth? Would you listen to -- Would you look at their demeanor while they are saying it?
A. I would listen to what they have to say and judge by that.
Q. Okay. And look to see if there's any other corroboration for what they say?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you think of -- What do you think of scientific evidence?
A. I don't know much about it.
Q. Okay. Don't know much about DNA, for instance? Have you heard much about it?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you -- Will you be interested in listening to witnesses who are just describing technical scientific type of things?
A. I think it would be interesting.
Q. Okay. Would you listen to witnesses who come in and do that, expert witnesses, in fact, who are specialists in that area, and judge their -- what they say, the same as any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. Or would you just assume, well, they know more than I do, they must be right?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, you do know from the questionnaire and probably just from civics courses and all that, that the -- that a defendant has no burden of proof; is that right?
A. Right.
Q. So that Mr. Avery doesn't have to prove that he is innocent of this crime?
A. Right.
Q. But do you really feel that way? Do you really think that, in your heart-of-hearts, you are not going to require Mr . Avery, if he is not guilty of this crime, are you going to think, well,
then, who did? If he can't show me who else did, then it must be him?
A. I don't understand, again.
Q. Well --
A. I feel every man is innocent until they can prove to me otherwise.
Q. Okay.
A. That's how I feel.
Q. All right. Well --
A. If that's the question you are asking.
Q. That's not exactly, but $I$ appreciate that. In this case, though, you are going to -- I think it will be natural human nature to wonder who really did do this crime if it's not Mr. Avery. Okay? I mean, somebody did and that will be a given. You are nodding yes; are you following me?
A. Yes, I'm following you.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And what I'm wondering is, if you will be able to put aside the natural feeling that, well, you know, we haven't heard who else could have done it, I mean it must be him because we don't know who else. I want you to be clear that you can do that -- that you won't do that, I should
say, that you won't ask us to prove to you who did this crime; can you do that?
A. Yes, I can.
Q. It's their job to do that; do you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you decide that they haven't proved, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Mr. Avery is guilty of this crime, then you can't worry about who did, other than hope that they follow up and do some further investigation and get the right person, right?
A. Right.
Q. And you are confident that you are going to be able to do that; you are not going to put any burden on him?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, along those lines, how would you feel if he didn't testify?
A. That's his right.
Q. Okay. And that goes along with his right and his constitutional right not to have to prove his case, right?
A. Right.
Q. Prove his innocence. On the other hand, this is something that defense attorneys have to struggle
with, deciding whether to put their witness -their client on the witness stand. What if he does testify, are you going to think, just because he's a defendant that, you know, I can't really believe what he's going to say, he's trying to save his own skin?
A. No.
Q. You will be able to judge him just like any other witness?
A. Yes, I will.
Q. And if he doesn't testify, you are not going to hold that against him, you will be able to follow the judge's instruction?
A. Yes, I will.
Q. Okay. As part of what you have heard, just generally, about the case, have you heard anything about a vial of blood?
A. I heard something about it. I know a vial is going to be introduced or something.
Q. Okay. And that -- Have you heard anything about the defense, of why that's important to the defense, what it may or may not mean?
A. (No verbal response.)
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY STRANG: You better get an answer.
Q. You have to say yes or no?
A. No.
Q. Okay. The court reporter has to take down the answers.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's all I have. Thank you, sir.

MR. BROTSKI: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time, Mr. Brotski.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: None.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Brotski is in. All right. Just a minute. All right. Let's take a break at this time. We'll resume at 20 minutes to 11.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I wonder if you could -It was the reason for the exchange of a note. Can you tell us when you sent that letter to the jurors asking them to refrain. I'm not sure we got a copy and right now that date escapes me.

THE COURT: Okay. I will find that out. ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay.

THE COURT: I know there was one juror who referred back to a letter like in June of last year; that was a letter -- and I think I informed counsel of this off the record -- that was sent to the members of the panel, but did not indicate what the case was. And I think in the juror's answer she said, kind of read into it -- I don't remember if it was a he or a she -- Well, I figured it was this case, so I stopped paying attention, but that letter did not mention the case or say anything about publicity; it was only the later letter. And I will find out when that was sent.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Thank you. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Counsel, in response to your question just before we went on the break, the letters are dated January 17th and they went out either that day or perhaps the following day.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Let's see. I think the next juror we have up is Mr. Mahler.

THE CLERK: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Mahler, if you can raise your right hand, the Clerk will administer
the oath to you.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Mahler, you have already filled out a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is voir dire. The attorneys will have a chance, in a few minutes, to ask you some follow-up questions to the information that you provided in your questionnaire.

Before we get to that I want to make sure you know that if you are selected as a juror in this case the jurors will not be sequestered; that means the jurors will be permitted to return home at the end of court proceedings each day.

The rule that the jurors are to learn nothing about this case from the news media or anyone else will continue, so that means you have to avoid any reporting of the case on the radio, television, the newspaper, the internet, anywhere else and not discuss the case with anyone, including other jurors or even members of your own family.

In addition, $I$ can tell you that, although today's proceedings are open to the
public, the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings and members of the news media are not permitted to identify jurors by name in their news reports. If you are selected to serve as a juror in this case, you should know that the cameras that cover the trial will not be permitted to show the jurors in the manner which identifies them as well.

If you are permitted to stay on the jury, after this part of the proceedings, you will be given a notice in the next few days, by telephone, as to when to report back to court. Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning. Is it Mahler or Mahler?
A. It's Mahler.
Q. Mahler. Good morning, sir. My name is Tom Fallon, I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, Calumet County District Attorney and lead special prosecutor. Thanks for coming in this morning and thank you for your
patience.
Both sides have some questions we would like to ask you regarding some of the information contained in your questionnaire and perhaps cover a few areas not covered by the questionnaire. I think a matter of great interest, and you might detect a bit of envy on our part, but I note from your questionnaire that you manage to be retired at what appears to be a rather young age. What did you do before retiring?
A. Um, I was in the cleaning business. And I have been a musician, as a hobby.
Q. And how long were you in the cleaning business?
A. Ten years.
Q. Ten years.
A. Twelve years.
Q. Now, you were an employee or did you own or run a business?
A. I worked with the gentleman who owned the business for about eight years and then he was dying of stomach cancer and I ...
Q. -- took over the business?
A. My wife purchased the business from him.
Q. Okay. All right. And do you still keep your hand in that business?
A. Yeah, something to keep busy. I work like six hours a week --
Q. All right.
A. -- on my time.
Q. Okay. Tell me about the music business. How long have you been involved in music?
A. Thirty-two years.
Q. All right.
A. Or 23 years, I'm sorry.
Q. Twenty-three years.
A. Twenty-three years, right.
Q. All right.
A. Since I was 16.
Q. And is it a business that's more of a hobby or do you actually use it to earn income?
A. Well, I do earn income from it.
Q. All right.
A. Not very much. I mean, if you weigh out what you spend on the equipment and all the other stuff, I don't make any money at it.
Q. All right. What type of music are you involved with?
A. A variety.
Q. All right.
A. I do variety. I'm the lead singer and bass
player, used to be a guitar player.
Q. Lead singer and you play base and lead guitar.
A. Rhythm guitar. Rhythm.
Q. Okay. This -- excuse me -- this group you are involved with, how many are involved in that?
A. It was five, now it's just me, my wife and lead guitar player, until we can find a drummer.
Q. And how many gigs a month do you normally do?
A. It varies.
Q. Okay. All right. I see, also, from your hobbies, that you like computers?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What particular tasks or how do you use the computer, for what purpose?
A. My mixing down music, recording music, writing out lyrics, typing out lyrics, that kind of stuff.
Q. Do you use the computer at all for information purposes or to get news?
A. No, I don't.
Q. All right. In that regard, I would like to talk a little bit about your familiarity or lack there of of media coverage with respect to this case. And let me first ask you, you indicated you get your news from three sources; radio, television
and newspaper?
A. Right.
Q. Of those three, where would you say you get the lion's share of your news information?
A. Usually the Sheboygan Press.
Q. Sheboygan Press?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. So you are a regular reader of that?
A. Yeah, pretty much so.
Q. How about television and radio?
A. I'm not much into television because there's nothing really on there $I$ care to see.
Q. All right.
A. And radio, I listen to the music, that's about it.
Q. Okay. So you don't listen to any news shows or talk radio? It's just for the music?
A. No, I don't really care for it.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. I don't really care for news.
Q. All right. Just haven't shown an interest in it?
A. No, I'm more into the music and stuff like that.
Q. All right. If you could do us a favor and maybe lean forward a little bit and talk into that microphone?
A. I can't move the chair so.
Q. I understand, it's a little difficult, but --
A. All right.
Q. -- we do need to hear your responses. Thanks.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. In terms of the media coverage attended to this case, have you seen any of the newscasts or media coverage of this case at all?
A. No. At the beginning of -- I mean, I remember my wife saying something about -- that Teresa was missing. I remember that part. And then she told me something later about some fire pit or something, but that's about all I know.
Q. All right. Excuse me, you haven't followed any of the newscasts, then, or any of the information that's been developed other than the original breaking of the story?
A. Right.
Q. All right. You have listened or heard nothing on the radio recently or nothing on the television recently?
A. No, I haven't really listened to the radio at all.
Q. All right. And from the information you provided in your questionnaire you indicated you haven't
formed any opinions based on the small amount of information you currently have?
A. No, I have no opinion.
Q. All right.
A. Because $I$ don't know -- I don't know any of the evidence or anything.
Q. Okay. Well, then, let me just conclude this aspect of the questioning with this question, if you were selected as a juror in this case, do you think that you would be able to decide the guilt or innocence of Mr. Avery solely on what you hear in the courtroom; in other words, the evidence which is presented and on no other source?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. You have no problem with that?
A. No.
Q. All right. Since you are into music and those types of endeavors, I thought I would ask, do you watch old movies or are you a movie guy?
A. I like the old Jerry Lewis, Dean Martin movies.
Q. All right. Do you ever watch any documentaries, anything on law enforcement, or anything like that?
A. I think one of my favorite shows I watched on TV was Law and Order, but that's --
Q. All right.
A. -- probably the only thing that I see that was worth watching on television.
Q. Okay. Did you ever see a documentary called the Thin Blue Line?
A. I have heard of the name, but I have never.
Q. You have never seen it?
A. No.
Q. Okay. The next area of inquiry that I have for you is any encounters that you or your family may or may not have had with the local police department or the sheriff's department. Have you yourself had any reason to call upon them for services or had any interaction with them?
A. Not at all.
Q. All right. Any other members of your family have any encounters with the police or law enforcement?
A. My son -- my stepson, I mean.
Q. Okay.
A. This was about a year ago, he wrote out prescriptions for himself, which was kind of --
Q. Okay. Any --
A. -- dumb on his part.
Q. -- and he didn't have any -- and he shouldn't
have been doing that, right?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And was he arrested for that?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. Okay. Based on your experience for that, or with that, did you have any direct contact with the police or the sheriff's department in that incident?
A. No, since he's not my son, my wife --
Q. Okay.
A. -- you know, I stay out of it.
Q. Sure.
A. It's better if I stay out of it.
Q. All right. Well, let me ask you this, then, I imagine you might have had at least some discussion of that happening, with your wife?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. She doesn't like me getting involved in --
Q. -- in the family business?
A. It's like get out of here, stay out--
Q. All right.
A. -- it's none of your business.
Q. So you didn't have any discussions as to the appropriateness of the law enforcement activity
or involvement in that.
A. No.
Q. Okay. So you don't have any particular opinion regarding how the case was handled or anything like that?
A. No, I don't know anything about it.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. In terms of how you go about approaching solving a problem, or even in your interacting with other people, in discussing and formulating opinions, would you consider yourself a detail oriented type of guy or are you more of a big picture perspective, bottom line approach to things?
A. I try to piece together things.
Q. Okay. So, do I interpret that to mean you do kind of look at the details?
A. Right. I'm articulate --
Q. Okay.
A. -- when it comes to things like that.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear that.
A. I'm articulate when it comes to, you know, like my music, picking things apart and trying to put it all together.
Q. All right. So the nature of your -- your
interest, your hobby in music, makes you tend to be more of a detail oriented approach in terms of putting together music, or scoring it, or writing it?
A. Right. To get it to where it's just ...
Q. So the words fit the music?
A. Right.
Q. Okay.
A. And the feeling is there and everything comes together.
Q. Okay. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Jerome Buting. This is Dean Strang another Jerry -- Dean and Jerry combination, but not like the movies that you have seen. We represent Steven Avery. And I want to follow up on a few things that -- that Mr. Fallon has asked you, but also get into some different issues that maybe will come up and you are going to have to
consider. All right?
A. Okay.
Q. First, just in more general background, your name -- it may be just a coincidence, but are you related in any way to the Peter Mahler that has a cleaning -- office cleaning business in the Milwaukee area?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Just a coincidence, I guess. You have two -- you list two female children at age 17; are those twins or are they from different marriages?
A. My daughter was from my first marriage, one of them, and she's living with her mother. And the other one is living with us and she's my stepdaughter.
Q. I see. Okay. I thought maybe she was a stepdaughter, but you didn't mention your stepson in here so maybe that's --
A. Yeah, he's in -- He's like 23 or something like that.
Q. So he's out on his own?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And your wife is retired also?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, when you say retired, it wasn't clear to me, you have -- you still have the cleaning business?
A. I do it to keep busy.
Q. Okay.
A. My wife has a trust fund out of Milwaukee.
Q. Your wife has what?
A. A trust fund out of Milwaukee; she gets dividends every month.
Q. Oh, I see. Okay. So that's your primary source of income and you don't have to worry so much about the cleaning business?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. But is your wife, your current wife -- how long have you been married to your current wife?
A. Ten years.
Q. Okay. And she's in the band too?
A. Right.
Q. What does she do?
A. She plays the piano.
Q. Okay. And you play around Manitowoc County, Sheboygan, what's your area?
A. Manitowoc, Sheboygan, Plymouth, tri-county pretty much.
Q. Okay. And you mentioned you have actually sung
the National Anthem at Road America?
A. Right, for all the races I do.
Q. Okay. That's something you have done for quite a while?
A. The past seven years.
Q. Well, okay. Are you interested in racing too or ...
A. Yeah, I said if my voice ever went, I would get into racing.
Q. So, do you work on cars yourself?
A. No, I just have a love for the racing thing.
Q. So you have a love for the racing, but you are not -- you are not really mechanically inclined or ...
A. No, I never really got totally into it. I just like watching --
Q. Okay.
A. -- especially the motorcycle races.
Q. You would like to be the driver and not the one who -- not the mechanic?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Now, in terms of knowledge that you gained about this case, it sounds like your wife is really the one that was the source of any information?
A. Yeah, she -- I guess she's been keeping up on it, watching the news on it.
Q. Okay. So she does. She shows an interest in it?
A. Right.
Q. And she likes to talk with you about it on occasion apparently, right?
A. Right.
Q. Sometimes it's hard to remember when someone says, you know, tell us what it is you remember about -- remember hearing. And sometimes you don't -- doesn't come to mind, certain things, but you actually really did hear them, you just don't recall at this moment. So, I just want to maybe explore that a little bit, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. For instance, Brendan Dassey, have you heard of him?
A. I have never, no.
Q. Have you heard anything about a nephew of Mr. Avery?
A. I have heard about the nephew, but I don't remember names or anything.
Q. Didn't connect the name. Okay. What have you heard about the nephew as has been reported?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Okay. Do you recall any -- anything either you heard on the news or that you talked about with your wife about the nephew that involved his alleged confession or admission to certain things?
A. No, she never discussed anything about the nephew.
Q. Or anything about his later recanting of those confessions and --
A. No.
Q. -- denying it?
A. I did not hear anything about that.
Q. Okay. Did you -- In talking with your wife, did you ever hear anything about, you know, what it is that Mr. Avery was supposedly supposed to have done here?
A. I remember that Teresa was missing. And that's about all I remember of it. And supposedly he was accused of her disappearance, but that's about what I know.
Q. Okay. And when you say, Teresa, did you know her at all?
A. No, just by my wife and some of the things I have seen in the paper.
Q. And what kind of things do you recall having seen
about her or learned about her?
A. I know she was from -- I don't even remember where she lived.
Q. Do you know what she did, you know, how and why she was missing or involved in this at all?
A. I have no clue.
Q. Okay. Other than your stepson, which you probably wisely stayed out of, have you had any -- ever had any exposure to the police yourself?
A. Eleven, twelve years ago, a Manitowoc Sheriff picked me up because of a computer mistake in Sheboygan with Child Support.
Q. Okay.
A. Said I was behind on my child support, but I wasn't.
Q. And when you say picked you up, did they actually take you down and book you and all that?
A. Yeah, and brought me to Manitowoc County Jail.
Q. And how long did you sit in jail?
A. I was -- I think in Manitowoc for one day and then I was transferred to Sheboygan until Monday morning, because they picked me up on a Friday which was kind of weird. Then I sat for the weekend because there was nothing they could do.

So Monday morning my wife came in, paid a little bit on my child support, just to get me out and take care of that situation. But, you know, I never missed a payment, but it was a computer glitch that --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- happened.
Q. So -- So you had to spend a whole weekend in jail for something really that was just a mistake? You didn't --
A. Right.
Q. Wasn't your fault.
A. That is correct.
Q. Well, how did you feel about the system when that happened?
A. I mean, mistakes happen. You know, there was nothing $I$ could do about it, so I just -- I accepted it.
Q. Okay. What do you know about Mr. Avery's background?
A. I know he owned some kind of business out here somewhere.
Q. Do you know anything about the -- all the information about how he was wrongly imprisoned for many years and was later exonerated when DNA
tests proved he didn't do the crime?
A. Yeah, I seen one news report when he got out, you know, out of jail the first time.
Q. Yeah, I have seen that many times; he looks -looks quite a bit different today, doesn't he?
A. Yeah, a little shorter in person.
Q. That beard that he had was quite a bit different too. But, you know, in that environment you have to adjust, I suppose. Did you also read anything or hear anything about how he had a lawsuit against Manitowoc County ...
A. I might have heard bits and pieces about it, but I don't know much about it.
Q. ... because of that whole experience? Did you -Do you have any opinion about whether or not it was -- that was a good idea or a bad thing for him to try and sue because of his wrongful conviction?
A. I don't have any opinion on that.
Q. Okay. Other than that one encounter with -- that was a Manitowoc County sheriff you said?
A. That's correct.
Q. Any other contacts with the police even when you were growing up as a teenager or, you know, out after curfew, any kind of -- not arrest but times
when you have encountered the police?
A. Yes, speeding tickets, things like that.
Q. Do you think that the police officers, because they take an oath, get a badge, you know, that they are supposed to serve and protect, that -that somehow if they come into court and testify, that -- that they are always going to tell the truth?
A. I think they would.
Q. Well, you think they would or you'd hope they would?
A. Well, as their, you know, oath, if they took the oath, I feel they should.
Q. Okay. That's fine. But do you think that simply because of that, because of their position and, you know, we're taught to respect police officers, of course?
A. That's correct.
Q. That if you heard testimony from them, that you might give that greater weight and think that they are more reliable and trustworthy than other ordinary citizens you may hear from?
(Cellphone rang.)
A. No. Okay. That distracted me. Okay. Could you repeat the question.
Q. Sure, I'm sorry about that. Do you think that -that because police are police, that if they come into court and testify, that what they say, that you will look at that as being more reliable and more trustworthy than maybe you would something that you hear from the witness stand that comes from an ordinary citizen?
A. No, I don't, because the police are just telling their side of the story, just like all the other witnesses.
Q. And that they are human too, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that -- Do you think it's possible, then, that police may also be mistaken about things?
A. Well, you know, just because it's coming from an officer doesn't mean it's the facts and totally the facts on the case.
Q. Okay. Do you think it's possible that police get, you know, personally involved in a case where they are invested and they really care about the outcome, they are not just sort of, just the facts, ma'am, that kind of thing?
A. Well, $I$ think they are just, you know, like $I$ said, they are just telling what they discovered from the case, you know. And it's -- I have --
you know, it has to be all weighed out.
Q. If you heard evidence that might indicate that they -- that police officers in this case maybe were a little more personally involved in things related to Mr. Avery, would you be able to consider whether those -- that kind of involvement or motive might affect what they are saying on the witness stand?
A. What do you mean by "personally involved"?
Q. Well, I don't want to get into all the evidence here. I mean, if you're selected, you will hear some of it, but ...
A. That's correct.
Q. But the Judge will give you an instruction that police officers are to be judged as witnesses just the same as any other witness; that is, that they have no special aura about them that makes them more honest or trustworthy than any other witness.

You have to judge their demeanor, what they say, what the facts are, if there's any corroboration or conflicting information. And what I want to understand is if you can do that?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you do it even if it means more than just
that maybe the police are shading or -- or even lying about something. Can you do that and consider whether or not the police crossed the line in this case and went -- went way beyond what simple investigating is?
A. Well, I judge their evidence just as I would any other witness, the same, you know. They don't have -- You know, they are just telling what they know and the other people are testifying -- are telling what they know. And there's no difference between an officer and somebody else who is testifying.
Q. All right. Well, you say they are just telling what they know, but do you understand that sometimes witnesses come into court and even under oath, that they tell lies?
A. Right. I do.
Q. And if you are selected on this jury, you are going to have make those kinds of judgments and judgment calls as to whether a person is really telling the truth or not?
A. Yes, I understand that.
Q. Okay. And you can do that for police officers just as the same as others?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, you may also -- You have a lot of -- Well, I don't know if you have or not. Did you have musical training or did you kind of teach yourself?
A. I took a half a year of lessons and then $I$ got tired of lessons so I learned on my own and pretty much play by ear. I mean, I know the chords and stuff, but I play by ear.
Q. Did you ever take any music theory or learn about any music theory?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So, in terms of your knowledge of science, do you have any particular background of that or mathematics?
A. No.
Q. Well, you may hear some scientific evidence in this case that will come from expert witnesses and will you be able to listen to their testimony and judge what they say with the same kind of standards as any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. In other words, just because they --
A. -- are professionals.
Q. -- are experts in their field, what they say may or may not be completely accurate; you have to
listen to all -- all of what they say.
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Let me go back for just one minute. The -- In terms of what you have heard maybe from your wife or just picked up; have you heard anything at all about a blood vial?
A. Um, no, I haven't heard anything about that.
Q. Nothing about a blood vial.
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, you like to -- Well, let me ask you this, one of the questions talked about, a defendant's right not to testify. And I think you understood that in your questionnaire, at least you answered, yes, you could follow that.
A. Right.
Q. But do you think that if you didn't hear directly from Mr. Avery testifying at this trial that that would be a problem for you?
A. Not at all.
Q. You wouldn't be concerned about why he didn't testify, why haven't $I$ heard his side?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because, you know, I would listen to every, you know, everybody's input on what they had to say
about the case and I would make my decision on that.
Q. Well, on the other hand, if he did take the witness stand and testify, would you think that, well, you know, I'm not going to really believe much of what he says because he's the defendant in the case, he has got everything at stake?
A. No, I would listen to his side of the story also.
Q. You could treat him the same as any other witness you are saying?
A. That's correct.
Q. So, either way, you are not going to hold it against him or us, his attorneys obviously will be talking with him about that decision. You will be able to make sure that doesn't affect your decision in the case?
A. That's correct, either way.
Q. And if at the end of this case you believe that a crime was committed, a very serious crime was committed, but you have a reasonable doubt whether Mr. Avery did the crime, will you be able to return a verdict of not guilty even if he, or we, are unable to show who is, in fact, the guilty party?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Well, if you hear the evidence and you decide that, yes, in fact, a very serious crime, a murder, occurred here; it's maybe human nature to think, well, if Mr. Avery didn't do it, then who did, right? I mean, can you -- do you -- Can you see that?
A. Yeah, I can understand that.
Q. But, what you have to understand is that the defense doesn't have a burden of proof. And that -- What I want to know is whether you are going to be thinking, hey, you know, somebody did this and we have got to convict somebody of this crime, and if it's not Avery, he hasn't shown us who it is, who did do it, so we're just going to go ahead and find him guilty?
A. No, I wouldn't.
Q. Why not?
A. Because it would be wrong.
Q. Okay. So you really will look at the State's case to see whether the State's proven to you, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Mr. Avery is the guilty party?
A. That's correct, I will look at both sides.
Q. And even if coming out of that you say, well, this is really sad, I wish -- Somebody did this.

I know somebody did this. And the defense hasn't proven to me who did, but the State also hasn't proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Mr . Avery did, you will be able to return a not guilty verdict?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mahler, the Clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Any motion from either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State.
ATTORNEY BUTING: No, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting, you must have good timing in your head, you concluded exactly on --

ATTORNEY BUTING: I heard that. And I thought --

THE COURT: You beat the clock, though.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I didn't know if that was the extra five minutes over or not.

THE COURT: All right. We'll bring in Ms Gosz at this point.

Ms Gosz, if you can raise your right
hand, the Clerk will administer the oath to you. (Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms Gosz, you have already completed a written questionnaire in this matter. Today we're moving on to the next step in the jury selection process which is known as voir dire. The attorneys for each of the parties will have an opportunity to ask you some questions today that relate to your qualifications as a juror.

Before they begin, I can tell you that if you are selected as a juror in this case, the jury will not be sequestered. That means the jurors will be permitted to go home each day at the end of the court's proceedings for that day.

The jurors will continue to be required not to obtain any information about the case from any news media; that would be radio, television, newspaper, the internet, or anything else. And the jurors will also continue to be prohibited from talking to anyone about the case, including family members or other members of the jury.

The proceedings today are held in open court, but during the voir dire process the Court does not allow cameras in the court. And the
news media is not permitted to identify the jurors by name in any news reports of today's proceedings.

In addition, if you are selected to serve on the jury, you should know that at the trial itself, the cameras which may be present are not permitted to focus on the jurors or identify them in any way.

If you are to remain on the jury panel after today's questioning, you will be notified in the next day or two when to report back to court.

I believe Mr. Kratz is going to be questioning you now on behalf of the State.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Ms Gosz, good morning. My name is Ken Kratz, I'm the Calumet County D.A., and special prosecutor in this case, lead counsel in this case. Mr. Tom Fallon who is with me is an Assistant Attorney General. He will be assisting in the prosecution as well.

As the Judge told you, this is the time for some follow-up questions. We all received
your written responses in your questionnaire. This process is not mean -- is not meant to embarrass you or to pry into your personal life, at least unnecessarily. We're trying to get the most impartial jury --
A. Okay.
Q. -- that we can.
A. I understand.
Q. And that's why we're doing this. Your employment with Natural Ovens Bakery as a packaging supervisor, you described that as packaging bakery items; is that the whole gambit of bakery items or is this just bread or something?
A. Bread, buns, bagels, muffins, cookies.
Q. All the good stuff, right?
A. All the good stuff, yeah.
Q. All right. Ms Gosz, interestingly you noted that you don't watch TV or the news very much. You don't read the newspapers.
A. No.
Q. You don't gather news from any other source except I think you mentioned your neighbors. I was interested in that response; what does that mean?
A. Well, I pretty much get up in the morning, get
the kids off to school. Then I get to work. And being in charge of the department, I never know what time I'm going to get done. Sometimes I'm lucky enough where it's 8 hours, but more times than not it's usually 9 or 10 .

So that means I don't usually get home until 6. Then I'm trying to get the kids some supper, homework. And I don't really -- I don't get the newspaper. I just -- I know my friends laugh at me because I really never know what's going on, but my life pretty much revolves around my job and my family and that's about it.
Q. I understand. The information that you do receive from your neighbors, did any of that include the case for which you have been called?
A. No, there's a lady that lives across the street that every once in a while if $I$ go out and get the mail or whatever, you know, will stop and talk and, oh, did you hear this, did you hear that. I will be, like, not in regard to this, but just general stuff, and I'll be like, no. And she always laughs at me, you know, but, so, yeah, I'm pretty boring I guess.
Q. Let me ask you just directly, Ms Gosz; do you know anything about the Steven Avery prosecution?
A. I mean, truthfully, I have heard his name, her name, and -- and any more full details, not really, because $I$ really don't pay attention to.
Q. By her name, who are you talking about?
A. Teresa.
Q. Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you know what Mr . Avery has been charged with?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And did you receive that, again, just by kind of hearing things around town or around your community?
A. Yeah.
Q. You mentioned in your questionnaire that you have not formed an opinion as to Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence. I assume that remains today; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And if the Judge was to instruct you that you should decide the case only on what you heard in the courtroom; that is, by witnesses or exhibits, or other kinds of evidence, will you be able to do that?
A. Definitely.
Q. Now, the State has the burden of proof. It's beyond a reasonable doubt. But the defendant doesn't have to prove anything in this case. I think you understood that in your questionnaire --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- are you willing, then, to presume, as Mr. Avery sits here today, that he's innocent and that will remain until and unless the State can prove, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yup.
Q. You are willing to do that?
A. Definitely.
Q. And that's something, not just generally, but specifically in this case, that you are able to do?
A. That's what I believe.
Q. Have you yourself, Ms Gosz, had the misfortune of having contact with law enforcement officials ever in your life?
A. No.
Q. Are you familiar at all with the Manitowoc County law enforcement community? Or let me ask you this question, because this is something that you might have an opinion on. Do you have an opinion
as to how the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department is generally doing regarding crime prevention, or how they handle criminal investigations?
A. I really don't know one way or another. I mean, I don't have an opinion one way or the other, because I have never really had any personal things to do with the law, I guess.
Q. All right. Have any family, or friends, or anything like that ever had run-ins with the law or have complained about the Sheriff's Department or anything else in our law enforcement community here?
A. No. No, actually, you know what, I did call one time, just to question. And this is probably, I don't know if it's even worth saying, but my daughter had stayed overnight by a friend's house. And my sister works second shift and was coming home from work and saw her out with her friends at like quarter to 12 at night. And I'm, like, I thought we had some kind of --
Q. Curfew.
A. Curfew. So I just called inquiring about that and they're, like, yeah, it's midnight. And I'm, like, it was 10 to, these are, like, 15 year old
girls, why didn't they at least stop and question them and they said, because they weren't breaking the law. And I'm, like, okay, makes sense.
Q. Until after midnight.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. So $I$ just took care of it myself.
Q. Other than taking care of your children and working and $I$ understand that you are a married lady; is that right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And I suspect your husband takes some of your time as well?
A. Yup.
Q. Other than that, what do you do for fun or for hobbies?
A. Scrap booking is basically the thing I do a lot of.
Q. All right. Do you enjoy puzzles or anything like that?
A. Uh-uh.
Q. Have you ever?
A. No.
Q. Or you just don't now?
A. Not particularly.
Q. You are a high school graduate, I note, and was wondering if in high school you had any interest in any particular subjects?
A. Not really.
Q. You have no educational background after high school; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. You ever watch any shows on $T V$ that deal with science, either scientific evidence or the sciences generally?
A. Well, I watch Law and Order occasionally. Sometimes forensic files is on either before or after, I'm not quite sure.
Q. Those shows typically deal with a area of science that's called DNA analysis.
A. Yup.
Q. Are you familiar with that at all?
A. Yup.
Q. Do you generally accept that as a accurate way to make identifications, at least from a forensic stand --
A. I believe so.
Q. -- or aspect? What I'm getting at, Ms Gosz, is there isn't anything that you have heard or read or in your history that brings DNA analysis into
question for you or anything like that?
A. Uh-uh.
Q. All right.

THE COURT: Ms Gosz, if you can answer yes or no to the questions -MS GOSZ: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: -- it will make life easier for the court reporter. Thank you.

MS GOSZ: It's easier to spell yes than mm-hmm. Okay.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: It is.
MS GOSZ: Sorry.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ Are you aware of Mr. Avery's history or a project in Wisconsin called Project Innocence?
A. No.
Q. All right. Ms Gosz, I understand that you at least provide some income to your home; your husband is employed as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Would being on a jury for what is estimated to be about six weeks; would that create a financial hardship for you?
A. No.
Q. Can you tell me why?
A. Because Natural Ovens supports the community on the basis that if do you have to report for jury duty, they will supplement my income.
Q. That's nice. That's good.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You have had to, at least since you got the notice about this case, thought of the possibility of being a juror on the Steven Avery case?
A. Mm-hmm. I'm sorry. Yes.
Q. Yes. Is that something that you believe would be interesting? Is that something that you would look forward to doing or, quite honestly, would prefer not to do?
A. I don't know if look forward is the appropriate way to say, but I definitely believe that being a juror is part of your civic duty and I certainly would be there to do that if you guys called upon me to be there.
Q. You would agree to serve and if called by the Court or by the attorneys to be one of those jurors asked for this very important responsibility, you would step up and do that; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. The last area of inquiry I have and the Judge has told you that you will be able to go home at night after each day of jury service. But it looks like you are involved, in some respects, with your son's Cub Scouts --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- is that right? Do you have any contact with any of their parents? Are you a den mother or something --
A. No.
Q. -- like that?
A. No, I just take him to the meetings because my husband works second shift so that makes me the Cub Scout parent.
Q. All right. Kind of like a soccer mom, just with -- with Cub Scouts, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. You would be able, then, to avoid contact with other citizens and avoid contacting --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- other people and talking about this case; is that right?
A. Definitely.
Q. If I could have just a moment.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I think that's all we
have, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. I'm Dean Strang and this is Jerry, or Jerome, Buting and Steven Avery. We're the two lawyers defending Mr. Avery. Let's start with your husband a little bit, if $I$ may.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Roman is a sanitation supervisor?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is that with a municipality or is it with a private company?
A. No, it's -- Natural Ovens has their own sanitation crew, that's on Natural Oven's payroll.
Q. Okay. So he's actually at Natural Ovens --
A. Yup.
Q. --too?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And he works second shift?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Which means 4 to midnight or ...
A. He works 1:30 to 9:30.
Q. All right. Are his hours consistent or does he --
A. Yes.
Q. -- get called in for snow plowing or ...
A. No. No. It's very consistent.
Q. Okay. So, if you -- if you were tied up in a jury trial for let's just say six weeks approximately and having to go to Chilton every morning and come back every evening --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- so adding on to the day at both ends; someone is able to get the kids off to school or ...
A. Yeah, see, right now a neighbor takes my daughter because he's got a daughter that's the same age that goes to the same school and he's on his way to work anyway.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And my son, I drop off by that same neighbor because they have kids that are his age, also, that takes him to school. And that same individual picks both my kids up from school, so I'm not responsible for any of that at this point.
Q. You are covered --
A. Yup.
Q. -- and I suppose your daughter is old enough to sort of keep an eye on your son?
A. Yeah, she's basically the babysitter until I get home. So she does that every day now for me.
Q. Okay. Has your husband talked about this case at all?
A. No.
Q. Have any opinions on it?
A. No.
Q. When you first heard that Teresa Halbach -Halbach went missing and, you know, they decided she had been killed --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- what was your reaction to that?
A. Well, you have concern because if it can happen to her it can happen to anybody.
Q. Including your daughter?
A. Yeah.
Q. I mean, did you react as a mom?
A. Probably.
Q. When you say probably, I mean, do you --
A. Well, that's probably the way -- that's probably the thinking I had because I'm very strict with
my daughter and always wanting to know where she is.
Q. I picked up on that.
A. Yeah.
Q. Were you meaning to call the police on her or you were just checking?
A. Well, I wanted to inquire about the curfew because I just thought that it was kind of weird that there would be a police -- and I guess the reason I called, I didn't state that before is, my sister had stated to me that there was a patrol officer, like, in the vicinity.
Q. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. So I just was kind of curious why they wouldn't -- and, no, the curfew wasn't in effect as far as they weren't breaking any laws in essence, but still, three 15 year old girls out at that time of night, $I$ just thought that maybe he would at least question, say, hey, you know, it's getting close to that time, do you guys think you should head home? Do you need a ride? You know, so I guess I was just inquiring on that nature.
Q. Okay. Sure. So you're protective, you reacted to this as something horrible and if it could
happen to her, it could happen to anyone.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Were you glad to hear that they caught the guy?
A. I guess, in my opinion, it remains to be seen if they have really caught the person.
Q. Okay. And that's sort of where I'm going. I mean, are you concerned that they have not caught the guy?
A. I guess I have really drawn no conclusion yet because I don't really listen to what is said, one way or another.
Q. Mm-hmm. And I -- We all would need to know but, you know, let's -- let's be honest about it, at this table, we have got a real immediate interest in knowing that, if you sit on Mr. Avery's jury, you can come into this presuming him innocent.
A. Definitely.
Q. You know, and I was glad to hear, I don't know that they have the guy.
A. I mean, that's truly what this is all about right, to make sure that the right person is given the punishment needed for the crime that's been committed. But the fact remains that it's the right person and that's what everybody's job here is to prove or not prove.
Q. Okay. And let's pick up on that. I want to pick up on that because you say everybody's job here is to prove or not prove. You know, to get -- to get past sort of legal jargon --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- it's not everybody's job here to prove that. It's not the Judge's job to prove that and it's actually not our job at all to prove that.
A. And I probably shouldn't generalize in saying everybody. It's just everybody has their part in the trial.
Q. Right.
A. You know what I'm saying?
Q. And I'm not picking on you.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. I don't mean to pick on you, it's just -that's why I'm trying to, you know, let's get into a discussion about this.
A. I understand.
Q. The job to prove guilt lies with the State and the State here happens to be represented by the two gentleman, the two lawyers to my left.
A. Correct.
Q. And then our job is to defend Mr. Avery, but those are very different jobs; do you understand
that?
A. Oh, definitely.
Q. In the sense that we don't have to prove anything --
A. Right.
Q. -- to you?
A. I understand.
Q. Doesn't mean we're going to sit here like a bump on a log. I mean, I'm talking to you now --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- which technically Mr. Avery doesn't have to have a lawyer do.
A. Right.
Q. But in the end, we don't have to prove anything to you or convince you of anything; do you accept that?
A. I understand that, yes.
Q. Can you -- Can you follow the rules in the system that works like that?
A. I believe so.
Q. I mean, because $I$ guess at some level it's natural, obviously, to say there are two sides to every story and I would like to hear both sides --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- before I make a decision?
A. Right.
Q. Do you react that way too?
A. I think so.
Q. I mean, just in everyday life?
A. Right.
Q. But when it comes to law in America and burdens of proof, these folks do have the only burden.
A. Correct.
Q. They have to prove it, beyond a reasonable doubt. If -- if he were to decide anyway to testify in his own behalf, I think the Court would tell you, the Judge would tell you, that you would weigh his testimony just like any other witness.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes. Sorry.
Q. Okay. And, you know, just decide like you would any other witness, whether I believe it, or I don't believe it, or how much of it $I$ believe, or how much weight I give it?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Can you do that?
A. I believe I can.
Q. Even knowing that he's the one person in the
courtroom with sort of everything at stake?
A. I think so.
Q. He also -- The flip side of this is that he has a right under the American constitution and Wisconsin constitution, for that matter, to say I'm not going to testify. I'm not -- I'm not going to testify. I'm going to keep my silence.
A. Right.
Q. What are some of the reasons somebody might do that if he was accused of a crime?
A. I'm not really sure.
Q. Advice from lawyers?
A. I guess could be a possibility.
Q. A sense that he could get tripped up by lawyers on the other side?
A. I suppose that could also be a possibility.
Q. Fear the jurors might not believe him because he does have a lot at stake?
A. I suppose there's a lot of outstanding circumstances that could make someone want to or not want too. I guess it's up to the individual.
Q. Right. And the point of all that, I mean, you are exactly right I think. And the point is, that's why in the end, if a defendant does not testify, a Court will tell you you can't even
consider it.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. It's not evidence of guilt. It cannot be considered by you. And the question is always did the State prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Right.
Q. Precisely so people aren't engaging in guessing about why he didn't testify if he does not.
A. Right.
Q. Is that a rule you can follow?
A. I believe so.
Q. When you say you believe so, talk to me about -you're qualifying it just a little bit. I want to hear about that.
A. I guess I understand that each person has different feelings on, you know, how they say things or the body language they use that maybe they decide that they don't want to say something because they don't want something to be misread.

I mean, $I$ know for a fact, being a supervisor where $I$ work, $I$ have been training a new person to assist me and people say, well, $I$ don't like the body language she uses. And she means totally nothing by it, but they interpret
it the wrong way.
Q. Right.
A. So I have to go back and clarify that with them in saying, you know, she totally didn't mean anything by that, that's just her personality, that's just the way she expresses herself. And once you get to know her, you will understand that that's how she is. And then they get past that.
Q. Right.
A. It can be interpreted the wrong way.
Q. Sure. And courtrooms are actually very, very tough places to get to know someone.
A. Exactly.
Q. It's pretty formal and --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Yeah, so that's -- I mean, that's very helpful to hear. And so, you said I believe so, that I can follow that rule; and are you -- have you sort of finished explaining to me why you think the rule does make sense --
A. Right.
Q. -- and you would follow it if the Judge gave it to you?
A. Right, because some things could be
misinterpreted.
Q. Okay. And you'll follow it if the Judge --
A. Correct.
Q. -- tells you that? Okay.
A. Correct.
Q. So understanding how you reacted and how you felt, how pretty much everybody felt, when the announcement came out about Teresa Halbach's death and someone being arrested for it; what was your reaction a few months later when you heard that Brendan Dassey, a 16 year old boy, had also been arrested?
A. I guess I really don't -- I really didn't hear. That was probably even less than the previous things. I really didn't hear anything about it. Again, maybe in passing somebody mentioned that a relative had also been arrested, but that's basically all I heard.
Q. So --
A. And I didn't inquire any more. You know, I just -- I just do my thing and I just really don't pay attention to those things.
Q. Sure. Tell me about -- Tell me about work in that respect. How many people are you supervising?
A. About 30.
Q. Really? Okay. And are they on a line or are they moving around?
A. We're all basically -- Well, I shouldn't say all. Basically two different rooms depending on if it's packaging or at the end of the day when we're loading the semis. I interact with a handful of them, basically working on a line next to them, depending on the day. Like today, if $I$ had been at work I had meetings all day. So then that really limits the amount of people that I'm with.
Q. Right.
A. Usually if $I$ have meetings all day today, that means tomorrow I have got tons of paperwork to do in my office. So then $I$ really don't see a lot of people in regards. That's where I have my assistants to help me out running the floor.
Q. And I was going to ask you about that. Are there -- Among these 30 people you supervise, are there team leaders --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or foremen?
A. Yeah, I have an assistant supervisor that assists me. I have a lead person that runs the floor
when I need to call her off on other duties. And then I have another supervisor that works our morning shift because we have a shift that starts at 2 a.m. in the morning. And then $I$ have another supervisor that runs basically our loading crew in the afternoon and she has an assistant as well.
Q. And all those people report to you?
A. Correct.
Q. So you have an office away from --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the two rooms?
A. Yes.
Q. But also spend some time out in the room?
A. Yes.
Q. And are many of the 30 people you supervise folks you actually worked shoulder to shoulder with before you were promoted?
A. I would say a handful of them because in the last few years we have had some turn over.
Q. Mm-hmm. Is -- Is -- Is there a packing machine running --
A. Oh, yes.
Q. -- in one of those rooms?
A. Yes. It's loud in our room.
Q. Okay.
A. Oh, yeah. The inspector actually just came in and we have to mandate ear plugs now because it's too loud in there.
Q. Okay. So chitty chat is difficult for --
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. -- a number of reasons?
A. Me giving out directions a lot of times is difficult, you have got to scream because they can't hear you or I have to walk across the room, or if somebody is heading that way, hey, do me a favor -- tell so and so, you know --
Q. Tap them on the shoulder?
A. Yeah.
Q. That kind of thing?
A. Yeah.
Q. And then so, likewise, in that work place, radios aren't something people can do to help pass time?
A. They want them on, but you can't hear them anyway. Like on Sundays, when the football is on, let's turn the game on, it's like all you can hear is, oi, oi, oi. It's just more annoying to me than anything so I'm just like I would rather we didn't.
Q. Okay. Yeah, and where I was going was just, I
want to get a better feel for why, you know, there's not water cooler talk, so to speak --
A. Oh.
Q. -- about this case --
A. Yeah, no.
Q. -- where you work?
A. No. And we do have a lunchroom that employees can go in for their breaks, but my husband doesn't drive, so I usually go and pick him up for work. So my lunchtime is spent driving to go get him and coming back. So I don't have the off -- you know, like on break interaction with my employees that most people do.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. You may -- You may, if you sit on this jury, hear something about a confession or, you know, statements that Brendan Dassey made, or you may not. But if you do, there will be an issue about whether, you know, he made those statements and then took them back --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- later. And there will be an issue about which is it. Did he really do it like he said at one time or did he really not do like he said at other times. And so the question for lawyers is, you know, the false confession, the possibility
of a false confession?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And I'm wondering whether anything in your experience has opened you up to the possibility that sometimes people, for any number of reasons, admit doing something they really didn't do?
A. I guess I don't have any particular incident that's happened to me personally, in regards to that.
Q. When you are in there, when you have got your disciplinary hat on --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or, you know, work rule enforcement hat on as a supervisor --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- have you ever had one employee take the fall for another one?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Okay. Do you watch out for that?
A. I guess it's something that's never really happened, so $I$ guess $I$ really can't say I do.
Q. Okay.
A. Usually, we'll hear both sides, you know, and usually it's one saying one way. And usually the person at fault will come around and say, hey, I
made a mistake. And, you know, because the end result is hopefully getting them back on a level where they have to work with each other for 8 hours or more so, you know, a day.
Q. Right.
A. So at least get them civil.
Q. Right. Yeah, exactly. And if you hear evidence here in this case about what factors, what things might cause people under the right circumstances to admit something they didn't do, will you be open to hearing that sort of testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. You mentioned girlfriends, neighbors. And I think you said girlfriends, or maybe you just said friends. I don't know. But do you have a group of friends who you tend to see regularly?
A. Not really.
Q. You mentioned this when you were saying people always tease you because you don't know what's going on.
A. Oh, co-workers.
Q. Oh, okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. Just about not knowing what's going on?
A. Yeah.
Q. If you sat on this jury, at the end, you and 11 other people are going to have a huge responsibility, which is what?
A. To decide if he's guilty or innocent.
Q. That's right. Guilty or not guilty, in any event. And big decision either way it goes, right?
A. Definitely.
Q. Regardless of what you do, are you worried at all about the next workday when you come back after this long trial is over and having everybody, you know, all your co-workers who do know what's going on, saying why did you find him guilty, or why did you find him not guilty?
A. Am I worried about it, no. Are they going to do it, probably. Because they're already, oh, did you get selected, did you get selected. And I'm, like, don't know any more than you do. And if it happens it happens, if it doesn't, it doesn't. You know, you just play it one day at a time.
Q. Mm-hmm. Can you stand up to that?
A. Oh, definitely, because people have already at work, you know, if -- now that they know that I potentially could be a juror, they're like, well, da, da. I'll be like, no, I don't want to hear
your opinion. The Judge has instructed that I'm not to listen to anything and that includes you. Well, it's just my opinion. I said, but he said I still can't take your opinion. Granted, what it means to me is probably nothing more than their opinion, but it was still something that was told we're not supposed to do.
Q. Do you want to be on this jury?
A. I have always wanted to be a juror, maybe not necessarily for a trial of this magnitude but, yeah, I have always wanted to do it.
Q. Why?
A. Because I find the whole court process to be intriguing. It's -- It would be interesting. Basically that's what, you know, the country is founded on. You know, it's just, I guess, in that degree.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. Thank you.
MS GOSZ: You're welcome.
THE COURT: All right. At this time, Ms Gosz, we'll have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom.

## (Wherein the juror was excused.)

THE COURT: Any motion from either party? ATTORNEY FALLON: No.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
THE COURT: All right. This juror is in. At this time we're going to take our lunch break. We'll report back at quarter to one. I would like to see counsel for just 30 seconds in my chambers before you leave.
(Noon recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we will go back -oh -- I will go back on the record. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Good afternoon, your Honor, the State continues in its appearance by Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon and Ken Kratz, special prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good afternoon. Steven Avery in person; Jerome Buting and Dean Strang on his behalf. And we have some further joint motions if the Court wishes to entertain those now.

THE COURT: That would be fine.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, the parties had a chance to more closely inspect the questionnaires of four additional jurors. I think we have reached agreement that these four jurors could likewise be struck for cause; most of them
subjective bias primary reason, although I think No. 73 could be both subjective and objective bias, perhaps even a statutory bias argument could be made.

But in any event Jurors 61, 63, 70, I think we're in agreement should be struck for subjective bias reasons. And No. 73, I think an argument could be made touching upon all three of the forms of bias, statutory, objective and subjective. And in any event, I believe there is a joint motion to excuse those jurors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That is a joint motion for the reasons that Mr . Fallon has explained.

THE COURT: All right. The Court then will excuse -- let's see -- it's Dean Schneider, James Wagner, Andrew Wimmer and Jesse Gibas.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Gibas, right.
THE COURT: The Court will order, then, that those jurors be excused. Counsel, I think the next juror that's up is William Mohr.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's correct, Judge. I wanted to address one other matter and just for convenience purposes or expediency, I'm not sure which is the best terminology here, but the Court asked us to look at No. 74.

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Ordinarily I'm not sure that we would get to that juror today on our list, but if need be we could. I have shared our copy of the questionnaire with the defense. And on paper, he looks fine. There's only one matter that is of some importance that would require an inquiry. So I don't know, you said he was already here, even though we may not get to him, so I don't know how you wanted to deal with him.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll simply leave him here today then.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I think he's going to wind up going, but I tend -- I tend to agree with Mr. Fallon in the sense, if it's just on paper; I don't know that cause appears --

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- on the face of the paper.

THE COURT: I would agree with that.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I think we're going to lose him but ...

THE COURT: I will also let you know, and I don't know that this appears on paper either, but the next juror, William Mohr, his spouse, Alice

Mohr, is a recently retired employee of the Clerk of Court's Office who is, during the pendency of this trial, back working at the Clerk of Court's Office to help fill in for the staff shortage down there during the trial. That may speed things along for your questioning. And -- But I did want to make the parties aware of that fact.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Could we have a moment just to --

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: -- contemplate the meaning of all that?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: We'll proceed.
THE COURT: Then we'll bring William Mohr out as the next juror.

Mr. Mohr, please raise your right hand and the Clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Mohr, you have already completed a written questionnaire in this case. This afternoon we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is voir dire.

The attorneys for each of the parties,
in a few minutes, will have a chance to ask you some questions relating to your qualifications as a juror. You should know that if you are selected to serve as a juror in this case, that the jury will not be sequestered. That means you will be able to report home each day after the trial proceedings are completed for that day.

The jurors will continue to be prohibited from learning anything about this case through the media; be it radio, television, newspaper, the internet, or any other source. And the jurors will be prohibited from discussing the case, either among themselves, or with anyone else, including members of their family.

The proceedings today are open, but during voir dire we do not allow cameras in the courtroom and the members of the media are not permitted to disclose the names of potential jurors or jurors in their news accounts of these proceedings. In addition, if you're selected to serve as a juror, the cameras will not be permitted to show the jury during the trial.

At this time we will proceed to voir dire questioning. Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mohr.
A. Yes.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon and I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz. He's a Calumet County District Attorney and special prosecutor as well.

Thank you for coming in this afternoon. The point of the afternoon session here is to follow up on some of the information that you provided in your questionnaire last Monday. And that's where we'll begin.

Seems to me you have a few relationships with which are of interest to the parties here. And the Court has just advised us, we're under the impression that your wife is an employee of the Clerk of Court's Office?
A. Yes, a temporary employee.
Q. All right. And temporary at the current time as I understand?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And she previously worked at the

Clerk of Court's Office?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Has she officially retired and is just coming back to help out?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Could you tell us when she retired?
A. Um, June of '05, I believe.
Q. June of '05. All right.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And how long did she work in the office; do you recall?
A. 13 or 14 years.
Q. All right. Had she had other county employment before she was in the Clerk's Office?
A. No.
Q. All right. And her current duties in the Clerk's Office, if you know, are what?
A. Just the Clerk of Court.
Q. All right. Very good. And you said temporary, is it for a period of time longer than the expected length of this trial or is it ...
A. No, just about the six week period.
Q. Just to help out for the overload as a result of the trial?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. More to the point, how well do you know any of your wife's co-workers?
A. That was one of the questions I wanted to ask about.
Q. All right.
A. I believe the question stated, do you personally know, and then they went quite a lengthy list of names.
Q. Right.
A. And that the word personally was, the more I thought about it after I filled this out, I wondered what they meant by personally.
Q. Okay.
A. I have been to parties with one or two individuals on that list, like a graduation party, a Christmas party, things of that nature.
Q. All right. Well, let's -- let's change the adjective from personally or the -- and go to, of the individuals in the office say, for instance, do you know the woman here, Janet Bonin?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Would you say that you are a friend, a close friend, close acquaintance, casual acquaintance; how would you describe your knowledge of her?
A. Casual acquaintance.
Q. Okay.
A. By that I mean, once a year maybe I see her --
Q. All right.
A. -- out.
Q. In a setting other than the courthouse?
A. Right.
Q. All right. Who else in the office would you say
is in that category, casual acquaintance,
somebody that you would know?
A. Probably Mary Jo Murray.
Q. Mary Jo Murray?
A. Murray.
Q. Okay.
A. And under the same casual acquaintance.
Q. Sure. All right. Do you know the Clerk of Court, Lynn Zigmunt?
A. About the same.
Q. Okay.
A. Acquaintance.
Q. Anyone else that you can think of that you have at least some kind of casual relationship with?
A. Probably Brenda, she's been ... Brenda Smith.
Q. All right.
A. Actually, several of them, I mean they have all
been to the same Christmas party --
Q. Right.
A. -- or birthday or graduation party.
Q. Parties, right.
A. So, Nicole. I don't remember a lot of the other names.
Q. All right. Are any of them -- Would you consider any of them close friends, you know, somebody that you see more often, more socially, than these traditional Christmas gatherings or special occasion events?
A. Brenda, we had a -- what you call a -- AA meetings, alcohol --
Q. Right. Sure.
A. We used to gather, but we don't even do that any more.
Q. All right.
A. That was like a once a month thing and we just did that --
Q. Sure.
A. -- over the winter.
Q. Okay.
A. And we haven't done that this winter --
Q. All right.
A. So --
Q. Okay. Well, the reason we ask is, there's a possibility that some of those individuals may show up as witness in this case; it's still yet to be determined if that occurs. And so the question is, since your wife has worked in that office for a number of years and is now temporarily filling in, if that were to occur would you have a problem, would you be uncomfortable as a juror trying to assess the credibility of these witnesses?
A. No.
Q. All right. You feel that you could evaluate their testimony the same as you would any other witness that might appear in the case?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You feel pretty confident about that.
A. Yes.
Q. Even though your wife is now back working in the office as a temporary clerk and should you be selected as a juror in this case; do you have any worries or concerns about the affect or any feedback you would get from a verdict you reach, whether it's a guilty verdict or a not guilty verdict? Do you think that might cause some problems at home, or any other issues for you and
your wife?
A. No, certainly not.
Q. All right. Okay. Now, there was one other relationship that $I$ wanted to clarify. In answer to the question, do you know or are you acquainted with any member of Steven Avery's family or any of his relatives, you answered yes. I think you said my wife's brother's wife is a cousin. Would that be your sister-in-law is a cousin of Mr. Avery?
A. My wife's sister-in-law.
Q. Your wife's sister --
A. Through marriage this is.
Q. Okay.
A. And it would be a distant cousin, like a third or possibly a fourth --
Q. Okay.
A. -- cousin.
Q. You are sure that it's third or fourth degree cousin?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Not anything closer than that?
A. No.
Q. Do you have occasion at all to see the sister-in-law at all?
A. Once a year maybe --
Q. All right.
A. -- Christmas time --
Q. All right.
A. -- possibly.
Q. Have you had any contact with her or any member of her family since this case has grabbed the headlines, as it were?
A. No.
Q. All right. The fact that you have this relationship, does that make it uncomfortable for you to be a juror in this case and to have to render a verdict of either guilty or not guilty, based on the evidence?
A. No.
Q. All right. You feel pretty confident that you would be able to decide this case solely on the evidence that's presented in the trial and without deference to any association through the -- your -- through marriage or through your wife's work?
A. Yeah, that wouldn't have any impact on it.
Q. All right. And you are pretty confident of that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Okay. There's been a, as you are
probably aware, a fair amount of publicity about this case, so I want to start with that. And there's several questions of interest to both parties, relative to the publicity. Now, when you were asked the question in your questionnaire, you indicated you haven't formed any opinions based on the publicity; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And you say, have you discussed this case at length with any other persons, you answered yes. And in your explanation, you said you have maintained that Mr. Avery could be innocent; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. With whom would you have had that discussion?
A. Oh, my brothers and sisters and also on my wife's side, her brothers and sisters.
Q. Okay. All right. So you have had some family discussion regarding this particular case?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. In terms of that discussion, during the course of it, was any of the expected or anticipated evidence in this case part of the discussion, the arguments, or the give and take?
A. What do you mean by expected?
Q. Well, for instance, when you discussed the case with your brothers and sisters and your wife's brothers and sisters, I would imagine, you know, there would be some, well, I think he's guilty because of $X, Y$ and $Z$; somebody else say, well, you know, I'm not so sure about that because of $A, B$ and $C . \quad I$ mean is that the kind of discussion that you are having?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. All right. So my question, then, to you, sir, is what information do you have or that was presented to you during the course of these discussions; what kind of information did the media provide you that was fueling the discussion as it were?
A. That the evidence was found at the salvage yard, the body, and it was in the burn barrel; there was keys found in the trailer, that belonged to the car, her car.
Q. Right. Any discussion regarding a fellow by the name of Brendan Dassey and what he may or may not have said as part of the family discussion?
A. Yes, his name was brought up. And it was just kind of, yes, he could have been there; no, he
couldn't have been there. Just, nothing definite. Nothing definitive. It was just ...
Q. All right. Was there any discussion from any of the family members regarding the details of what Mr. Dassey is reported to have described happened?
A. If I remember right, just something said about, he was physically there at the time it happened.
Q. All right. Do you recall any other details that are attributed to Mr. Dassey's description of the events?
A. None.
Q. All right. In terms of the coverage of this case, did you recently receive a letter from Judge Willis asking you to refrain from reading and watching the news relative to this case?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And have you been able to abide by that?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the last thing you remember seeing, or in the news -- or hearing about in the news, regarding this case, before you stopped paying attention altogether?
A. Just that the trial was going to take place this
week --
Q. All right.
A. -- as soon as they had the jurors picked.
Q. All right. So you haven't paid attention to any of the recent news articles or any of the issues that the lawyers have been arguing in court about or any of that?
A. No.
Q. All right. And you are not familiar with any discussion of any blood, or blood evidence, or anything like that?
A. There was some vial, or blood vial found.
Q. Okay. What do you recall or remember about that?
A. It was supposedly tampered with.
Q. All right.
A. It was unsecured or in an unsecured area.
Q. All right. And do you have any recollection as to where that area would be or any other details about that?
A. I believe it was in the Clerk of Court Office.
Q. Okay. All right. And the fact that there's the possibility of some evidence that's associated with the police, where your wife works; is that going to present any problems for you?
A. No.
Q. All right.
A. No, I believe that happened while she was not employed there.
Q. All right. And what -- what do you believe happened while she was not employed there?
A. I -- I don't know.
Q. Okay. I was just curious as to your choice of words, when you said -- why you chose that choice of words, in terms of whatever happened, or you believe it happened when she wasn't employed there. So I'm thinking that you must have something in your head that something must have happened?
A. Well, they said that blood had been tampered with.
Q. Okay.
A. And I'm saying that must have happened sometime prior to her going back there as a temporary.
Q. Okay.
A. That's all.
Q. Now, why do you say that?
A. I belive that evidence came out about -- it was before she started there as a temporary. That evidence must be a couple weeks old, for sure.
Q. All right.
A. And she just started working there this last Monday.
Q. All right. Well, if it came to pass that the evidence was in the possession of the Clerk's Office for 10 years, would that change your opinion and make it perhaps difficult for you to sit on this case?
A. No.
Q. It would not?
A. It would not make it.
Q. All right. And why wouldn't it?
A. She didn't have accessibility to the sample.
Q. Okay.
A. So I feel it didn't affect her.
Q. All right. And how would you know that?
A. She told me that.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Could I have a moment, your Honor.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Just a couple more questions. So I take it there's been at least some discussion in your family, with your wife, about this blood vial?
A. That was the only discussion --
Q. All right.
A. -- that she did not have accessibility to the sample.
Q. Okay. Any other discussion as to who may have had access to the blood vial?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Are you reasonably sure or just nothing that comes to your mind right now?
A. Nothing comes to my mind now.
Q. All right. Now, you indicated that -- that in the discussions with the family members you maintain that it's possible that Mr. Avery could be innocent; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And what was your thinking or how did that come to pass?
A. Well, I believe every person is innocent until proven guilty. And I will look at the evidence presented and come up with the -- hopefully a fair and just judgment on this.
Q. Okay. You feel pretty confident in your ability to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Also, I note from your questionnaire that it looks like you had an encounter with an individual who was not all that pleasant and was
attempting to fight with you; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you made a complaint to the police department?
A. Well, we were both taken into custody that evening.
Q. All right.
A. And I never saw this person before in my life. And in fact $I$ tried avoiding him and when I turned my back on him, he jumped me and that's when the police showed up. It was right at the intersection of Washington and 8th Street. So it was a pretty prominent intersection in town.
Q. Sure.
A. And good thing they came along when they did because they pulled him off of me and they kept asking him what $I$ done to make him want to fight with me. And they asked -- they asked him that three times and $I$ wondered, does -- is there a relationship there; why would they put it that way.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And they asked me, do you know this person and why do you want to fight with him. And I says, I told you already, I don't want to fight with him.

I refused to fight. And when I turned my back, that's when he jumped me --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- and that's when you people showed up.
Q. Okay. So it was just happenstance that they showed up right at that moment?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. And did you know the guy?
A. No, never saw him in my life.
Q. Never saw him before?
A. No.
Q. All right. So it was a mystery to everyone as to why he decided to pick a fight with you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And apparently there was no follow-up report filed, or no charges filed, or any of that sort?
A. No, the police said they would, after they released us the next morning --
Q. Right.
A. -- they said that they would call to find out, or to let us know if they are going to press charges or not. And they never called.
Q. All right. Now, was that -- Did -- That other guy had -- can you think of any reason, I mean,
did he have too much to drink? I mean, did he mistake you for somebody else? Did -- Any idea?
A. I think he maybe thought my car should have been going faster than what it was, because he was right on my bumper.
Q. I see.
A. I had a '68 Camaro Rally Sport. It was a nice looking vehicle.
Q. All right.
A. And he stayed right on my bumper. And it was foolish of me to pull into the Pizza Garden parking lot. I should have went right to the police station.
Q. I see. So he was a traffic vigilante as we say. All right.
A. Yeah, I couldn't shake him. I tried a couple -going from north of town to the Pizza Garden, which was right downtown. I tried a couple of side streets and I couldn't shake him. I thought I was in his way, you know.
Q. All right.
A. But he just kept right on me.
Q. Okay. Well, how did you feel about the fact that the police didn't ask the D.A. to press charges?
A. I felt, at the time, that they didn't do their
job. But thinking back on it, I thought, well, it was his word against my word and they just came upon two people fighting, so I suppose I could see their side of it too.
Q. All right. In retrospect, do you think it was handled fairly or unfairly?
A. I would have to say fairly --
Q. All right.
A. -- just going by their evidence and what -- what I told hem.
Q. All right. So, with the passage of time, you have had the ability to reflect on it and have a somewhat, I suspect, different perspective than you had that night when it happened?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, the reason that's of some importance to all of us here is that there's going to be fair amount of testimony from law enforcement officers of all sizes and shapes and departments and what have you, police and sheriff and the like. And one of the things as a juror you will be asked to do is to evaluate the credibility of witnesses.

And the Court will be instructing you that you should evaluate the credibility, the
honesty, the believability of all of the witnesses the same. In other words, just because they are a police officer, or an expert witness, or even a defendant, for that matter, you should evaluate their testimony the same as you would anyone else. Do you think you could follow that instruction?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. All right. Is there any doubt in your mind, based on this, you know, encounter with this crazy guy that, you know, although you might have wanted to see charges pressed years ago; is there any way that would affect your ability to evaluate the testimony of the officers the same as you would any other witness?
A. No, that wouldn't affect it.
Q. All right. Now, apparently you have also had, I take it, some good experiences with the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department. You mentioned some work they do with the fire department?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you an association with the fire department at all?
A. No, I'm a volunteer fireman.
Q. Oh, you are a volunteer, that was what I was
getting at.
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So tell me about your encounters working with the Sheriff's Department as a volunteer fireman?
A. On certain accident scenes out in the country, we would need traffic control. And at times they would block intersections or block off parts of the road, whatever we needed, when they had personnel available to do that. But, now, most of the time, it's just the fire departments themselves that are doing the traffic control.
Q. I see. So when you -- So, did you have any direct contact with members of the sheriff's department for traffic control or firefighting duties, as a volunteer.
A. I would have to say no.
Q. Okay. All right. But -- So your opinion or your impression then comes from just your volunteering and being on the scene. And it -- I take it, it generally seemed to you that everything was working smoothly and people were doing what they were supposed to and getting along?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, as a volunteer fireman, have you ever participated, for instance, in any missing person searches or anything like that?
A. A number of years ago there was a search in the Town of Two Creeks, where I am a volunteer in, of a missing person.
Q. In the town of -- I'm sorry?
A. Two Creeks.
Q. Two Creeks, okay. And what kind of role did you have assisting in that?
A. We walked down the road, Highway 42 , looking in culverts for a possible body.
Q. Okay.
A. And places where a body could be dumped.
Q. Sure. Was there any -- was the person located at all?
A. No.
Q. All right. How long were you involved in the search?
A. Oh, part of an afternoon, I will say two, three hours.
Q. All right. Were you part of a -- an overall team or organized plan of searching, or were you just more or less on your own?
A. Yes. It was through the fire department. It was -- most of the department was involved.
Q. Okay. Who was coordinating the search, by the way, overall; the sheriff or a local police, or who was helping orchestrate the search, or was it just some private citizens?
A. I believe it was under direction of the county traffic department.
Q. County traffic department, okay.
A. They are the ones who page us out for any fire calls or anything, so, I'm thinking that direction would have had to come through them.
Q. Okay. Did you find that -- How did you like that experience of participating in a missing person search, only to find no person?
A. I was glad it turned out that way.
Q. All right. And why was that, almost afraid that you would find somebody deceased?
A. Yeah. And wondered what the -- what the condition would be --
Q. Right.
A. -- of that body.
Q. Now, in terms of the big picture perspective here, was that person ever located, to your knowledge?
A. I don't remember. That's quite a number of years ago.
Q. Okay. Now, since this case, you might, if selected as a juror, hear evidence that's how this case started. The fact that you have participated in one of those searches, does that raise any question in your mind as to whether you would be able to listen to the evidence in this case and decide this strictly on what's presented in this case?
A. That wouldn't bother me.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: One moment.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ I just have a couple last questions. I see you served on a jury once before.
A. Yes.
Q. Was that a -- a civil case or a criminal case? And the reason I ask is you checked civil, but you said you found a verdict of guilty, so I'm -what kind of -- if you could tell us a little bit about the case?
A. Yeah, I'm confused as far as civil or criminal, it was a drunken driving charge.
Q. Oh, I see. Okay. All right. Well, that could be either one. All right. So how long did the trial last?
A. It was just one day.
Q. All right. And were you asked to make a determination, based on reasonable doubt, or was the burden for the prosecutor clear and convincing evidence?
A. It was beyond a reasonable doubt.
Q. Okay. How long did the deliberations go? An hour, two hours, three?
A. I'm going to say an hour.
Q. All right. Were you the foreperson?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Was there anything about the experience of working with your peers, other community members, in evaluating the evidence and debating the pros and cons of each side's arguments; was there anything about that experience that causes or -any question in your mind as to whether you could perform that role again, even in this case, where the stakes are much higher?
A. No, that wouldn't bother me.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. I will pass the juror for now.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mohr.
A. Yes, good afternoon.
Q. I'm Dean Strang and this is Jerome Buting and Steven Avery. We're the defense lawyers defending Mr. Avery. Let me go to this incident where the fellow jumped on you?
A. Okay.
Q. That was, I think you said that was right here; it wound up at the corner of 8 th and Washington?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it the Manitowoc Police Department who happened on you?
A. Yes.
Q. It had nothing to do with the sheriff's department?
A. Right.
Q. And if $I$ under -- if $I$ understood you correctly, you come here today presuming Mr. Avery innocent?
A. Right.
Q. And you haven't made any further decision or opinion about the case other than he, like anyone charged with a crime, is presumed innocent?
A. Correct.
Q. What I -- What I need to know is that, then, if
you are asked in the end to be one of the people who actually serve on the jury, that you would consider any evidence that we offer just as you would consider any evidence the State offers?
A. Right.
Q. That you would be willing to consider both sides?
A. Right.
Q. If we offer evidence?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. You understand, first of all, that we don't have any burden of proof, the accused in this country has no burden to prove himself innocent; rather the State or the government has the burden to prove him guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's a rule you can follow?
A. Yup.
Q. However, if we would call witnesses for the defense, maybe, for example, a witness to explain why someone might confess to something he really didn't do, if that became an issue in the case, would you listen to that sort of testimony from the defense, just as you would listen to the State's testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. If we offered evidence tending to suggest that law enforcement officers had a bias in the case, or reasons to get out of line, cross a line, would you at least listen to that evidence with an open mind?
A. Yes.
Q. One of the things that's difficult in any criminal case, both for the man or woman accused and for the defense lawyers is to decide whether the accused should testify in his own defense or not testify. Do you understand that in this country, a person accused of a crime has the right to choose to do either; that is, to testify or to decline to testify?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a rule that you can live with if the Court instructs you on it?
A. Yes.
Q. You can follow that?
A. Yes.
Q. And if Mr. Avery, with our advice, were to decide to take the stand and testify, could you consider his testimony just as that of any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. You, of course, don't know, you can't know, whether you would believe him or not believe him, just like you couldn't know whether you would believe or not believe any other witness?
A. That's correct.
Q. But you would hear him and consider the same factors in deciding whether to believe him or not?
A. Yes.
Q. Same with a police officer; regardless whether we called the police officer or the State called him?
A. Yes.
Q. What if Mr. Avery, again, on our advice, decided not to testify, would you be able and willing, you know, really to follow and embrace the rule from the Court that the defendant's decision not to testify is something you cannot consider as any evidence of guilt and, in fact, cannot consider at all in deciding whether the State has proven him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt; could you follow that rule?
A. Could you explain that again.
Q. It got long, I'm sorry. If he decides not to testify --
A. Okay.
Q. -- in this trial, he does not take the witness stand --
A. I wouldn't have a problem with that.
Q. -- could you follow a rule that says you can't even consider it, cannot even consider that fact in weighing the evidence and deciding your verdict?
A. Yes, I could.
Q. Can you see, or do you agree, I guess I will ask you, that the defendant faces the risk, if he does testify, that people will think he's up there going to say anything to try to save his skin? Can you see how that might be a concern if you are the defendant?
A. Yes, but I wouldn't -- that thought wouldn't have entered my mind.
Q. Okay. Your mind, but you can see how it might enter the accused's mind?
A. Right.
Q. And at the same time, can you see how the accused might say, boy, if $I$ don't testify, will the jurors think I'm hiding something, or that I must be guilty, otherwise I would get up and say I was innocent? Can you see how he might -- he might
feel that way?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Yes, no?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But in the end, you are willing to live by rules that say you can't speculate on those reasons, you are just here to consider the evidence and decide whether the State has proved the case, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. That's correct.
Q. Suppose that, at the end of all the evidence from either side or both, you were left with the sense that the defendant could be guilty, but that you were not convinced of it, beyond a reasonable doubt, and yet you didn't know who did kill this young woman, if he didn't. Could you vote not guilty if you just were not convinced, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Mr . Avery was the one who killed Teresa Halbach?
A. Yes, I could.
Q. And live with the uncertainty about who did it?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's all I have. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Anything else? If not, Mr.

Mohr, we'll have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, is there a motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: From the State there will be, your Honor. I didn't move right away, I wanted to hear Mr. Mohr's response to the rest of my questions and to Mr. Strang's as well and think about this. And I have come to the conclusion that we believe that Mr. Mohr should be struck for cause and would so move.

My concern is wholly related to the potential that witnesses from the Clerk's Office will be called to the stand in this case. I am concerned by the fact that if he were selected as a juror, he would have knowledge about the workings of the office which may extend beyond that which is introduced as evidence.

He's already had a discussion with his wife regarding what she knows or does not know of the existence of this vial of blood and whether she had access to it. And based on what the parties know, in terms of the circumstances of the evidence, I'm not sure that he has all the
information.
Nonetheless, I think that what we have here is an individual that -- I'm not sure if it's best articulated as an objective bias or a statutory bias, most likely objective bias for his -- by his association with potential witnesses. Admittedly, they are casual acquaintances, but then again, his wife worked in that office during much of the time in which that vial of blood was part of a previous proceeding and he's had those discussions. And quite frankly, I think the possibility of -- of -- of information extraneous to what is introduced is too high to take that chance. And we move that he be struck for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you, your Honor. There is not cause to strike Mr. Mohr. Let's go back, for starters, to the case that Mr. Fallon cited yesterday arising out of District 1, District 1 Court of Appeals, in which someone who actually was an employee of the District Attorney's Office of Milwaukee County, albeit in the Juvenile Section, not downtown, herself served on a juror (sic).

And the law of Wisconsin was that that
was not cause for a strike, where she said that, although employed by the same agency that was prosecuting the criminal case, she could be fair in the case. This is at least two steps removed from that situation.

One, the Manitowoc County Clerk's Office is not involved in this case, either as a prosecuting party, a defending party, or an investigating party.

Second, there is no claim by the defense, certainly no claim by the State, of which I'm aware, of any wrong doing by anyone in the Clerk's Office to the extent that some member of the Clerk's Office may be a witness in the case. It would not be where his or her own conduct is at issue at all. It would be to establish a physical location of a box or a file and what was known about it's condition if anything.

So the casual acquaintance with Janet Bonin, for example, who I think we have all agreed we aren't going to call as a witness so that she can continue to serve as the Clerk, really would have no bearing at all on any claim, defense, prosecution theory being offered in the case.

And the familiarity with, let's say, Lynn Zigmunt, who conceivably could be a witness, a casual acquaintance, one time a year, maybe at the Christmas party or the graduation party, sounds to me ever so much like Jacqualine Ungrodt's acquaintance with Mr. Kratz, the woman who is married to the former Corporation Counsel of Calumet County and sees Mr. Kratz annually at the bar dinner, the bench bar dinner, whatever it is.

Indeed, that sounds like a longer standing annual renewal and more recently sharing the same table over dinner during the pendency of this case. It sounded like a closer connection and that was not cause to strike Mrs. Ungrodt, in the Court's view. So we're removed from that, I think, considerably here with Mr. Mohr.

And I can't -- I can't think of any other reason, nor have I heard one, that would be offered to strike him for cause. So --

THE COURT: Let me help focus the argument --

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.
THE COURT: -- for the parties a bit here.

Neither party is arguing, and I agree in terms of subjective bias, it appears this would be a very good juror. He seems to have a concept of what a juror's duty is and be willing to fill it. And in terms of familiarity with members of the Clerk's Office, I'm not sure that that alone would give the Court too much concern; although, I don't know what evidence the parties intend to introduce.

What bothers me a bit is that because -he mentioned in one answer that he spoke to his wife and learned that she would not have access to the -- to the disputed evidence, the blood vial, that in the course of deliberations he may know something about the operation of the Clerk's Office that wasn't brought in in evidence, by virtue of his wife having worked there. And the -- if some juror asks a question, in the course of their deliberations, the difficulty he may have in disclosing, discussing, or taking into consideration, just for his own deliberations, knowledge about the operations of that office that were not part of the evidence in this case. That $I$ view as a concern.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And here is why I think it's not a concern. This Court was very clear in
it's written ruling on the admissibility of evidence concerning the vial of blood that the only period of time we're allowed to talk about is November 3, November 4 and November 5, 2005.

Now, that's clearly a period of time when his wife was not employed at the Clerk's Office, either as a full-time person, because she had retired some months earlier, or in the temporary position that apparently she assumed just -- I wasn't clear whether it was Monday, February 5 or Monday, January 29, when he said last Monday. But I took it as one or the other, that she began her temporary employment here in 2007.

So given the limitation that the Court has placed on evidence concerning possible access to the blood vial, he just would not be in a position to know anything from his wife.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that, but what about the questions about how difficult it is to get into the office, where those files are typically kept, that type of thing. I mean, she could have some background information that isn't necessarily date specific that could --

And, again, I'm operating at a
disadvantage here; $I$ don't know what evidence you folks are going to be introducing. But it seems to me that, my biggest concern, as I evaluate his qualifications as a juror are, would be information that he may possess that may be difficult to point a finger to now, because nobody knows the significance of it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, that's right. And, you know, the record we have, none of that was brought out, but beyond that, you know, we had a young woman yesterday whose boyfriend's aunt is Kelli Tice with the sheriff's department. Now, might she know something about the operation of the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department that would cause her to be considering that information in weighing testimony about the operation of that department, sure, but, you know, this is --

THE COURT: This is a little closer than that, though, he's said he's already talked to her about it, his wife, and she said that she didn't have access to it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: She said that she had no access to it, as I understood him, that's correct.

THE COURT: Yeah.
ATTORNEY STRANG: And I'm not saying it's a
perfect match. I'm just saying that we're going to have these connections and we're going to have to rely, in the end, on the instruction the Court will give the jurors that they are to decide the case only on the evidence before them.

I mean, goodness knows, we have been concerned about that and up to this point the Court hasn't been willing to do anything curative beyond that. So I -- And, you know, I don't think anything more would be necessary here. This is someone who, at least I took him to be comfortable following the rules, so to speak, as the Court gives them.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you. Just for the record, the case to which I referred to the other day and to which counsel refers again today is State vs. Dale Smith, common spelling, 2006, Wisconsin Opinion No. 74. It's a Supreme Court opinion.

I think I do see a difference between the Smith case and the judicial -- or excuse me, the administrative assistant who worked for the District Attorney's Office out in juvenile court who was then seated as a juror for a felony court case downtown.

And the distinction is that she had no other connection whatsoever with the case that she sat as a juror on, other than through the ranks, she worked for the same person as the prosecutor, downtown. And the prosecutor, in fact, if $I$ recall correctly, did not know this person well, if at all.

In the case at hand, we have a possible juror here who knows several people in the office. He has, again, as I said, asked questions of his wife regarding this vial of blood, which may or may not be introduced and may or may not be a big factor or central focus of the case. Its future remains to be seen.

But having said that, the Court made the comments that I would make now, and that is, there are other bits of information and that includes access to the Clerk's Office who had -who may or may not have had keys, who may or may not have access to the cipher lock to get through the second security door and the like.

And there's a variety of possible bits of evidence which could be introduced, or for whatever reason are omitted by the parties. And that evidence, it may be omitted by error because
we overlooked it or there may be an intentional-well, $I$ don't want to ask that question.

And the possibility that that juror may know the answer or could find out the answer, raises a question relative to the possibility of objective bias existing and/or developing during the course of the trial. And that's why I think it is different. And if we take the general proviso of the Lindell case, I think the appearance or the prospect of bias occurring is at least real enough to justify an excuse for cause. Thanks.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, although, by my eye on the clock, Mr. Fallon's voir dire went well over the 20 minutes the Court had described, the juror is still here; I mean, we can -- we can ask these questions. There's just nothing here suggesting that he's got any extra knowledge of the procedures of that office or any way to know what the access was or what the procedures were --

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- during the period of time.

THE COURT: I think that's a valid suggestion. I will note the defense that time
didn't come close to using their 20 minutes, so that's a fair suggestion. Let's bring the juror back in. I will give both parties an opportunity. ATTORNEY STRANG: Does the Court want to start.

THE COURT: I will let you start.
You may be seated Mr. Mohr. The parties have a few more questions they would like to address to you. I will let -- Mr. Strang, you may continue.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Lucky you. Was your wife, Alice Mohr, employed in any fashion at the Clerk of Court's Office between November 3 and November 5, 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. I -- I'm sorry, I -- I understood you to say she retired in June of 2005 was I wrong about that.
A. Maybe, Janet -- Can you help me with that, Janet? I thought it was June 2005.

THE CLERK: I know the answer.
THE COURT: I'm told the Clerk knows the answer. I don't know if the parties want that -- I mean the juror --

ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't have any problem
with her providing the answer. We're just trying to figure out the parameters of where we are.

THE COURT: It's not a question of a witness at a trial so.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No, let's get the answer. THE CLERK: No, she wasn't.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. All right.
Q. (By The Court) ~ Is that good enough for you?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. Have you ever -- In connection with this case, have you ever discussed with your wife the specifics of who had access to what areas of the Clerk's Office.
A. No.
Q. Was the discussion with her more simply that she did not have access to whatever this file was with the vial of blood?
A. Correct.
Q. Did she tell you anything at all about whether it was possible or not possible for someone outside the Clerk's Office to have obtained access to the vial of blood between November 3 and November 5, 2005?
A. I don't remember if she did or not, if it was. I don't know if it's possible.
Q. But in any event, I guess she wasn't working there during those three days?
A. Which three days?
Q. November 3 to November 5, 2005.
A. Correct.
Q. And if -- if the Court instructs you, in the end, if you serve on the jury, the Court instructs you to decide this case only on the evidence you hear in the courtroom, not anything you may know or think you know from the media, or from your wife, or from a neighbor, or any other source than outside this courtroom; can you follow the instruction scrupulously to decide this case only on the evidence you heard in court?
A. Yes.
Q. Including if that evidence were to conflict with something you think your wife might say if you asked her; can you follow the Court's rule to decide the case, only on the evidence you actually hear in this courtroom?
A. Yes.
Q. Any question at all about that?
A. I wouldn't be asking my wife because if $I$ would be on the jury, I would be prohibited to talk to her about it.
Q. And would you live with that rule too?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Mr. Mohr, when did you have the discussion with your wife regarding the possibility of her having access or not having access to the vial of blood? When did that occur?
A. I'm not real good on dates here.
Q. Within the last month?
A. I would say yes.
Q. All right. Was there anyone else present other than just the two of you?
A. No.
Q. As best you can, can you tell us what was discussed. What did she tell you, everything that you can recall of that conversation?
A. Just that the vial was in the office and that it was in an unsecure area -- or maybe I picked that up off the TV, I'm not sure now.
Q. Okay.
A. But that's all I remember.
Q. Okay. Did she describe to you how it was not possible for her, or how she could not have had access to the vial?
A. No.
Q. She just said, Bill, I didn't have any access to it. Did you ask the question or did she volunteer it to you?
A. She volunteered it to me.
Q. Okay. Any particular reason how that came up in the conversation?
A. Probably since we heard it on the news.
Q. Okay. Do you recall what you heard on the news?
A. Just basically that, that it was a vial of blood, old -- old sample of blood.
Q. Okay.
A. And that it had been tampered with. And I believe the TV showed a security tape or something that you could see was ripped or something off of that sample.
Q. And how do you know -- Do you know if it's been tampered with or is that just an impression you have, or where does that come from?
A. Just took the media's word for it.
Q. Okay. Why would you take the media's word for that?
A. That's the only source. And don't get me wrong, I take everything that the media says with a grain of salt.
Q. All right.
A. I don't necessarily believe it or not believe it.
Q. All right. So do you have an opinion right now as to whether that sample was tampered?
A. No.
Q. All right. So you are just using that phrase because that's how it was portrayed by the media?
A. Right.
Q. All right. So, it's your recollection that your wife just volunteered that information?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. One second. Where did your wife tell you the vial was located, such that she did not have access to it?
A. She just -- If I recall the words, it was in a file. That's all she said.
Q. In a file.
A. In a file.
Q. So you don't know if it was in a secured or unsecured area?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And if it's in an unsecure area, she would have
had access to it?
A. I suppose if she would have known that it was there, maybe she didn't even know it was there. I have no idea.
Q. All right. So you are just taking her at her word when she says, I didn't have access to it?
A. Correct. Yeah. I don't know the office myself. I don't know the layout, so $I$ wouldn't even know where it's stored. It was a surprise to me to find out that something like that would be stored in that office.
Q. Right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Mr. Mohr, do you recall getting the letter from the Court a month or so ago notifying you about your service in this trial and asking you not to talk to anybody about it or watch any more news media coverage?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. The letter?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if -- Did this discussion with your wife; do you know if it happened before or after
you got the letter?
A. I really can't say for sure.
Q. Have you had any other discussions about this case with your wife other than on that one occasion.
A. No.
Q. And this question may have been asked already, but just to clarify, did you, other than remembering your wife's statement that she didn't have access to this file, was there any other discussion you had with her about this case and the evidence in the Clerk's Office?
A. No.

THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Any further brief argument from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Quite frankly, I think the responses helped the State both on this argument and in -- with respect to the potential evidence of this case. I think the information obtained -possessed by Mr. Mohr is very helpful to the state and it would be great to have him on the jury, quite frankly. But $I$ don't want to take a chance that
this conviction is reversed as a result of information that he has that no other juror may have.

And can you just possibly imagine the discussion, let's just say, for instance, the vial of blood was a central aspect of the case, because we still don't know if it is. But let's assume that it is. And let's assume there was a question about who had access, or who would have known where it was.

Can you imagine the discussion, I mean after the arguments of counsel, and Mr. Mohr were to say, well, geez, my wife works there and she didn't even know where it was, how can we expect one of these officers to perhaps have snuck in there and gotten it.

I mean, it's powerful, great stuff, helps us, but I don't want to take a chance of this conviction being reversed because of a juror has knowledge of the internal workings and the viable possibilities or impossibilities of access to that office. And as further evidence, he can't separate right now whether it's in a secure or unsecure area and he doesn't even know if he got that from his wife or the media. In
fairness, this person should not sit as a juror.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I disagree. I mean there's no risk of the conviction being reversed on this; we're the ones who are opposing the motion to strike him for cause. And by the time -- Just if the Court's rulings remain as they are and are not reconsidered in any way, the jury in the end will know a lot more from the evidence about where this vial was, who may have had access to it, than Mr. Mohr presently knows from the TV. And that, what he knows from the television or the media, sounds to me like more than he knows from Mrs. Mohr.

THE COURT: All right. The Court's impression from Mr. Mohr when he came back is that he does not remember -- well, he doesn't remember much detail about what he was told. He doesn't really remember whether the information he does have, limited as it may be, came from his wife or from the news media.

I -- I don't -- I don't believe he claims or would claim to possess any specific enough information that would impact this case one way or another. He only had one discussion with his wife and it appears to relate to just
her feelings, whether she had access to the evidence.

And it does not appear to have been in great detail, nor do $I$ believe that it happened after the Court's letter went out. It appears to -- because it doesn't mention any testing of the blood, but rather the existence of the blood evidence, I believe that relates back to the December hearing over in Chilton. So, I'm going to accept Mr. Mohr as a juror in this case.

Counsel, because of the fact that we were concerned about running short on jurors this morning, they called some in slightly out of order. I think these are jurors who would be called in any event, so I'm looking at No. 66 as the next juror to call, if you have got that questionnaire ready. Because of the length of time the jurors have been waiting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: So the other ones coming up are not here yet?

THE COURT: No, they are here, but they got here later than these. As a courtesy to the jurors.

The other thing I would note is, at the break, I would like to address Juror 74, because he falls into the same category, been here since
this morning; actually, I think it was earlier. But let's take Juror 66, Mr. Pederson at this time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Let me -- Let me just give the Court a heads up. We only grabbed the questionnaires through No. 72, thinking we were safe because only 12 were coming here.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll -- I will give you a copy to look at at the break.

ATTORNEY BUTING: We have looked at 74 already, though.

THE COURT: You have?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Right, but --
ATTORNEY BUTING: We're ready for that one.
ATTORNEY STRANG: But in terms of being ready, we --

THE COURT: I hope that's the last one. But we'll take Juror 66 at this time.

Mr. Keehan, please raise your right hand and the clerk will swear you in.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Keehan, you have already filled out a questionnaire in this case, today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which
is voir dire. The attorneys for the parties will have a chance in a few minutes to ask you some questions regarding the case.

Before we get to that, I want to let you know that the jurors in this case will not be sequestered. That means at the conclusion of court proceedings each day the jurors will be permitted to return home.

We're able to do that because of the requirement that the jurors not learn anything about this case from the media during the course of the trial; either radio, television, newspaper, internet, or anything else. And that the jurors continue to be prohibited from discussing the case with anyone, including other jurors and members of their families during the course of the trial.

Although the proceedings today are open, no cameras are allowed in the courtroom during voir dire and the media is prohibited from disclosing the identity of jurors in reports of these proceedings.

In addition, should you be selected to serve as a juror, the cameras are not permitted to show the jurors during the trial. In the
event you continue on in this case, you will be notified in a day or two when you are to return to court.

At this time, Mr. Fallon will ask you some questions.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, sir.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, Calumet County District Attorney and lead prosecutor in this matter. Good afternoon and thank you for coming back this afternoon.

Court and counsel, we have some questions for you to follow up on some of the information you provided in your questionnaire to assist us in selecting a jury in this case.

I guess I would like to begin with, just briefly, a little bit about your employment. How long have you worked at your current paper company?
A. 15 years.
Q. All right. And what duties have you performed for the company?
A. I have been driving roll clamp truck for the last 11 years and then I was, like, general helping between different jobs --
Q. Right.
A. -- wherever they needed me, until I took this job that I'm on now.
Q. All right. All right. And one of the primary purposes for inquiring about your work situation is, as you have probably figured out from the questionnaire and perhaps other sources, this may be a rather lengthy trial.
A. Yeah.
Q. Might run six weeks?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is there any concern in your mind as to whether you would be able to have sufficient income to support yourself and your family if you were selected as a jury -- juror during this time?
A. I don't think so. The only thing that would be is because I run a small part-time business. We would have weekends off, right?
Q. Right.
A. Okay. No, I wouldn't have no problem. My
company does pick up part of the -- of the money for jury duty, so ...
Q. Okay. What kind of part time business do you run on the weekends?
A. I chain saw carve part-time -- or everyday, I should say. That's what $I$ was doing this morning when they called me.
Q. All right. I saw that from your questionnaire. What kind of carvings do you do?
A. Basically everything you can think of. We have done from bears, eagles, gnomes. The nativity scene in Two Rivers by the park across from the courthouse --
Q. Right.
A. -- I have done that.
Q. Okay.
A. The only other one would be along Memorial Drive, that big bear by the Honey Pot and then the sign I carved.
Q. All right. So you are pretty active with that business?
A. Yeah, it's -- it's -- right now it's starting to pick up again for spring and then into summer. Fall, mostly is my busiest time.
Q. All right. Now is that the business that your
wife is involved in as well?
A. Yeah, she does most of the staining or painting on it.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. Any other employees that might be dependent upon you and your wife for that work, that you might be letting down?
A. No, we just go by, basically by orders. People call me. It's by word of mouth. No advertising, no web pages or nothing like that, so ...
Q. Okay. Very well. Next thing I wanted to talk about is your familiarity with some of the potential witnesses in this particular case. Apparently you recognize the names of Brendan and Brian Dassey?
A. Yes. My -- I had noted on there too, that my son went to school -- grade school at Reedsville.
Q. All right.
A. I don't know the exact date when it was. It was -- God, I would have to say maybe third, second grade, somewhere in that area.
Q. All right. And your son went to school with which one?
A. Brandan (sic), actually, is the same age as my
son.
Q. Okay. All right. And how about Brian Dassey?
A. I wasn't quite sure on the age differences. I know both boys did go to Reedsville at one time, many, many years back. I -- Like I said, I don't know for sure what year. I was thinking maybe it was 15, 20, 17 years ago, something like that.
Q. Okay.
A. No, it would have to be less. It would have to be maybe 10, maybe 12 years ago. I don't know the exact date. I would have to ask my son. He would know for sure, but $I$ know it was grade school.
Q. All right. Did you yourself have any interactions with either Brendan or Brian?
A. No, never knew the kids.
Q. All right. Were they close friends of your son, do you think?
A. No, no, he had them in maybe a class and that was it.
Q. All right.
A. Like I said, he only knew him for maybe a year or two and that was it.
Q. So, they weren't, like, close friends --
A. No.
Q. -- or acquaintances --
A. No.
Q. -- they just happened to be in the same classroom?
A. Yeah, same class.
Q. All right. Well, since you happen to know them and there's a possibility that one or both of them may appear, it's still a very open question as to that; the fact that they may appear as witnesses in this particular case, would that cause you any concern as to whether you could be a juror in this case?
A. No.
Q. All right. Do you think you could evaluate their testimony, their credibility, their believability, their honesty, the same as you would any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. As you are no doubt aware and from some of the comments that you made in your questionnaire, this case has received a pretty fair amount of publicity?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So we want to talk a little bit about that, if we could. You indicated that you get
your news from a variety of sources; radio, television, newspapers, neighbors, etcetera. Given those sources, which one would you say provides you with the greatest information regarding this?
A. I would have to say the morning news. It's basically I watch it for about an hour in the morning until $I$ get back outside; 6:30 get my kid up for school, my son. And then it's probably until about 7:30 when 1 flip it off, so ... And then it's sporadic. It's when I'm here, while I'm getting my son ready for school and getting my stuff going for the day, so...
Q. So, it's on as background noise as it were --
A. Yeah, more or less. Yeah.
Q. -- for that first hour in the morning while everybody is getting ready?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you read the newspapers regularly?
A. No, very seldom. It's maybe once or twice a week. And if it is, it's at work and it's usually a day late paper that we get --
Q. Okay.
A. -- that somebody just tosses on the table, so...
Q. Okay. So that's not a regular source of news for
you?
A. No. No. Usually weekend paper and that's about it.
Q. All right. Weekend, Sunday paper?
A. Yeah, Sentinel, Press Gazette.
Q. Okay. Sentinel, meaning the Milwaukee Sentinel?
A. Yes, I'm sorry, yes, Milwaukee sentinel.
Q. And the Green Bay Press Gazette?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, you also mentioned radio as a possible source for your news. Tell us a little bit about that, how much of that?
A. APL, I listen to, so it's very seldom. They have a news thing in the morning, maybe five minutes. And that's about it. And they really don't talk a lot about it.
Q. So it's just a real encapsulated --
A. Yeah. Yeah.
Q. -- headline news for the day.
A. Yeah. I try to listen to more music than news, so ...
Q. Okay. All right. All right. Given that -- And how about your neighbors?
A. No, I don't. We live about a quarter mile off the highway where I live now and our nearest
neighbor is about two miles away --
Q. All right.
A. So, there's -- And it's just an elderly women -woman that we -- that is our neighbor, so ...
Q. And with this weather, I'm sure it's a long walk up that driveway?
A. Oh, yeah. Just a little bit.
Q. All right. Based on that -- those sources of information, what do you recall hearing about this case? I mean, we're interested in knowing how much you have heard about it.

What's your first -- Well, we'll start
with your first recollection of the case?
A. I knew two of the guys that were on the Kellnersville Fire Department that were in the search. But $I$ really didn't talk to them. My son goes to school with their kids. They had said a few things about it.

Then, mostly from the newspaper,
television. When we would go out you talk to people, people talked about it --
Q. Right.
A. -- stuff like that.
Q. So that's kind of the word of mouth source?
A. Yeah, it's -- that's basically the way it is.

Like at work, it's a lot of guys that work second shift. We don't see a lot of news because everybody is doing things in the morning before you get to work. And then that's how, you sit around the lunch table and a few people talk and that's about it.
Q. All right.
A. So ...
Q. Have there ever been any extensive discussions regarding the media coverage or the information provided by the media?
A. No, just bits and pieces as people talk about it, but that's about it.
Q. All right.
A. You know, other than, just general stuff, yeah.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Just general stuff.
Q. All right.
A. Yeah.
Q. What's the next thing you remember after the initial search and all that; what's the next media bit of information you recall?
A. Just the arrest and stuff that, they had found the body --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and things like of that nature.
Q. Okay. Any details stick out in your mind from that earlier coverage?
A. No, not really. I really don't, anything on, you know, top off my head, no, I can't think of anything. Like I said, the way the finding and then the arrest and stuff like that. But I really didn't pay much attention to it. I'm just finishing up building a log home, so I'm working on that besides my other stuff, so, that's what we've been doing.
Q. Just a little busy.
A. Yeah, I really don't pay a lot of attention to the news --
Q. All right.
A. -- or politics, or the last election that's been, so ...
Q. All right.
A. Try to turn it off so I don't have to listen to it.
Q. All right. That's fair enough. There is one thing that's of some interest, since your son went to school with one or both of the Dassey boys; did you pay any more attention to the case when Mr. Dassey was arrested?
A. No, actually, I didn't even know about it until my son had said that he had knew Brendan from school. And we didn't even know he was at Reedsville at that time.

Well, then close friends of ours that do live in Reedsville, their -- would be his brother -- friend of ours brother was on the school board and then they were talking about it. And that was the only thing that $I$ knew about it. So I never even knew that they were living in the area at that time. So that was the only time that was ever brought up.
Q. So you have -- you did not watch any of the media coverage or -- regarding his arrest or any of his involvement in the case?
A. No, just what people talk about at work. So I did not -- I saw a little bit of it, but I really didn't pay much attention to it.
Q. Okay. In terms of what people talked about at work, can you recall any of that conversation at this time?
A. No, just basically what they had heard on the news, you know, like I said, when the arrest was made and the other stuff that they had found, the search that was going on. But that was about it,
the normal stuff people would usually talk about, you know, when it's going on.

I mean, they are still talking about it now, but like I said, $I$ don't pay a lot of attention to it. Because we take breaks at different times. It's when you get a break or when you can, that's when you go. And sometimes there may be one person or there may be five in there and there might be nobody in there at all, so ...
Q. In the break room you are talking about?
A. Yes, in the break room, I'm sorry.
Q. Sure. Are there any details at all that stick in your head from any of that break room conversation?
A. When they had found the body I believe -- I know there was a number of people that were talking about it.
Q. Okay.
A. And then how they had found it. And then I know a guy had said that he had talked to a friend or a brother of his that was in the search and then that, you know, that they were checking the cars and stuff like that, but that was about it.
Q. All right. No other details as to how she died,
or what happened, or any of the those details, none of that sticks in your head?
A. No, nothing. I know they had said something about there was a rape, I believe.
Q. Okay.
A. But that was, you know ...
Q. That's only from what you heard in the break room --
A. Yeah, that's only from what I heard, yeah, from other guys talking and people, but nothing. I don't remember reading anything about it. I know I heard it on the TV. I know Channel 11 was broadcasting it, but that was -- that was about it.
Q. So it's all pretty fuzzy?
A. It's not fuzzy. I mean, I know what had happened or what they had reported on TV.
Q. Well, that's what I'm trying to get at, if you could tell us what you remember there?
A. I know they were searching for the body because it's -- or searching for her. Then it went to what I remember next is that they had found her at the junkyard. And then the coverage on TV, I know they had showed it from an aerial view, roped off, where they found -- they thought they
found the body.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And then how they were coming up with some of the evidence and stuff like that, so ... Like I said, it was bits and pieces. It's not that I was paying attention to it the whole time so ...
Q. Okay. All right. Well, we would like to ask you some questions about, you know, as a result of these various sources of information. You know the break room chatter and some of the coverage you saw on TV and the like. Right now, I mean, as Mr. Avery sits here, do you have any opinion as to whether you think he's guilty or not?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. What is your opinion?
A. That he is probably guilty, yes.
Q. Probably?
A. Yeah, probably guilty. But like I said, we were told by the Judge before and then in the letters, that we have to keep an open mind and, you know, until the evidence is brought in front --
Q. Right.
A. -- so...
Q. So that's just an impression you have based on the break room chatter --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and what the media coverage is?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, you -- Are you saying that's -you have a real strong opinion on that, or that's just the impression that is held by you or the community?
A. Yeah, held by the community, the impression the way people are talking.
Q. Right.
A. For me personally, I have no opinion right now, you know.
Q. You don't know?
A. I don't know. I don't know the whole -- the whole story. Like I said, I haven't been really keeping up with everything. I do have other things besides the TV, newspapers, so ...
Q. Right. So, in terms of whatever that information -- or not whatever, but the information that you have been exposed to; do you feel pretty confident you can set that aside and follow the Judge's advice and --
A. Yeah, I think so.
Q. -- if you were selected as a juror, you could decide this case just based on what you hear in the courtroom?
A. I think so, yes.
Q. All right. Now, you realize that Mr. Avery is presumed innocent?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And that he remains innocent unless and until we can convince you with solid evidence that he is guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you accept that --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that he doesn't have to do anything?
A. No.
Q. All right.
A. Right.
Q. And that the only one with a burden of proof or responsibility in this courtroom is the State?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will pass the juror.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. And thank you. I want to start by asking you how to pronounce your last name.
A. Keehan.
Q. Keehan?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And I'm Dean Strang, Jerome Buting, Steven Avery. Jerry Buting and I are defending Mr. Avery. Did I -- Did I catch, and I'm not sure I did, but did I -- did I understand you correctly that you work second shift at Weyerhauser?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. So when does that shift run.
A. From 2 until 10. And usually by the time I get home, it's 10:30. And then from 10:30, I have a shop, so I work outside either on carvings or I'm working on a Jeep right now, so ...
Q. You're not carving a jeep, presumably?
A. No, no, I'm doing fabrication work on it.
Q. Okay. You -- You asked a question about whether we were going to go weekends?
A. Yeah.
Q. And I'm not so sure the lawyers really can answer that.
A. Okay.
Q. It would be for the Court to answer, but let me ask you a little bit about whether weekends would change the balance for you on the hardship question?
A. No, just that it would give me more time to do my sideline job, Saturday it would be. I mean, I do carve Saturdays now, because $I$ work second. But usually mornings is when I do carving to keep up with my orders.
Q. Right. It was pretty clear, correct me if I'm wrong --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- it was pretty clear to me that you produce some income from these carvings.
A. Yes.
Q. It's not just pure hobby.
A. No. No. Actually, there was a meeting I had gone to -- well, we were supposed to go to two weeks ago in Wisconsin Dells. I do most of the Chapters of Wisconsin Water Foul. I don't know if you're familiar with that. And then I sell them at cost, carvings, and then they auction them off at their banquets for --
Q. Sure.
A. -- money itself. And then I have a few churches I have to do, Roncalli High School, I have an order to do for their auction coming up, so ...
Q. So these are orders with firm delivery deadlines?
A. Yeah, I have April, March, I believe, is where I have a few that I have. I have some stuff already done and built up for inventory, so to speak.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. So ...
Q. Okay. I think this is going to be okay, but you are the guy that has to say. And certainly at the end of the case there would -- when all the evidence is in and the lawyers, we have all had our say, the jury gets the case to decide, obviously?
A. Yeah.
Q. And at that point, it's entirely possible that, you know, that it would not be an 8:30 to 5 kind of --
A. Yeah, I understand that. Yeah.
Q. For however long the jury deliberations went on.
A. Right.
Q. Which none of us can control and you can't even predict because that's up to the 12 .
A. Yeah, I understand that. I'm just saying if there wouldn't be working weekends kind of a -So ...
Q. Okay. I mean --
A. Yeah.
Q. Look, there's no shame in saying this is a problem.
A. Yeah.
Q. We just need to know now --
A. No, no.
Q. If it will --
A. It will not be a problem.
Q. Okay.
A. I will make it work. It is not a problem.
Q. All right. What high school does your son go to?
A. Reedsville.
Q. And you -- The connection to Brendan and Brian Dassey is really just through your son?
A. Yes. And then, like I said, friends of ours that do live in Reedsville and his brother was on the school board at that time, they had mentioned it a few times.

But like I said, I have never known Brendan and never met Brendan or his brother. Like I said, the only time $I$ knew they were there
is when my son had said that Brendan had gone to school with him, or he was in the same class. And I don't know what grade it was, I really didn't ask him, so ...
Q. What else did your son tell you about Brendan?
A. He was in the slow part of classes. He wasn't in their class, but he had his own teacher --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- with a few others kids so that -- He wasn't -I don't know how to explain there. How do you say that, not handicapped, but he was just a slow learner.
Q. Right. Right.
A. So that was all that he had said about it. He didn't know Brendan -- He knew Brendan, but he didn't hang around with him. He wasn't friends with him, so ...
Q. Okay. I mean, so did your son tell you that Brendan was in some of our regular classes with us --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and some of them he would be taken out --
A. Correct.
Q. -- with a small --
A. Yes.
Q. -- group of --
A. Yes.
Q. -- other kids?
A. Yeah.
Q. Just to help the slower learner?
A. Yes. Like I think it was Math class and -- I don't what their class room was, but their was two classes that he was in a different class for, or with them, so ...
Q. Okay. All right. Anything else at all that he told you about -- about, you know, more recent stuff --
A. No.
Q. -- or what he thought about the allegations?
A. No, nothing.
Q. Okay. And in answering Mr. Fallon on something when he was asking you about publicity after Brendan got charged, you may remember that Steven was charged back --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in November of 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. And then Brendan Dassey gets charged in -- right at the beginning of March, 2006.
A. Yeah. I kind of remember a little bit on the TV
about it. But that was -- his involvement, I really don't remember what was said about -- I just know that he was arrested. My son had brought it up and said that he went to school with him, but that was basically about it.
Q. Okay. And you said you saw a little bit and I understand you don't remember, necessarily, all the details of that. But do you remember, was it a news conference you saw, or a 6 o'clock news report, or a court proceeding, since some of those have been televised? Do you remember kind of what it was you saw?
A. If it was anything, probably be in the morning during the news at $6: 30,7: 00$. Just that they were bringing him in to -- I remember seeing him on TV, he was in handcuffs and then a jumper suit and that they were taking him here at the courthouse or the jail and then they were transferring him to Sheboygan, I believe.
Q. Okay.
A. So that was about it and then that they were charging him with helping out with the murder, something to that affect.
Q. So probably more like a news report?
A. Yeah.
Q. Not so much a news conference?
A. No.
Q. Did you see Mr. Kratz, the gentleman closest to you, in any, you know, news appearances or news conferences?
A. No, seen his face on TV, but that was about it.
Q. Okay. All right. WAPL, that's your station so to speak?
A. Yeah, I've listened to that and sometimes the Razor on 94.
Q. Out of Milwaukee?
A. I believe so. I don't know for sure. It's occasionally, my son flips my channels on me all of the time so it's kind of whatever, if it gets too head bang music, $I$ have got to turn it off.
Q. Okay.
A. So ...
Q. And that was where $I$ was going is WAPL is sort of a classic rock --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or '70s rock --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- format?
A. Yeah, it's -- And that's occasionally I listen to it in the morning.
Q. Are you a talk radio guy at all?
A. No, no, I really don't listen to any.
Q. Or call in?
A. No.
Q. Any of that?
A. No.
Q. Did your -- When your son told you this little bit about Brendan, did he, that is your son, did he, you know, sort of characterize this in any way for you, like that he was surprised, or he wasn't surprised, or he didn't think Brendan could do something like this, or he could see just how he could do it, any of that kind of subjective stuff, if you know what I mean?
A. No, the only thing he had said, that he was surprised that he seen Brendan on the TV and that the kids at school were talking about it.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. As to anything else, no he never said nothing.
Q. Are you -- You are, obviously, a member of the paper workers, which is an AFL-CIO affiliate?
A. Yeah, we just joined steel workers through the AFL-CIO.
Q. Okay. And you're in a union shop where you had to join the union?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And are you active in the union?
A. No, I'm not. Just a member, because where I work, I have to be a member of the union.
Q. Right.
A. So that's -- that's ...
Q. You pay your dues?
A. That's right, yeah.
Q. Okay. Is that -- Is that something that you are happy about, or sticks in your craw, or a little of both depending on --
A. No, the union is good in some ways, and some ways not, but the only thing is they just upped our dues again, so, I'm not real happy about that.
Q. Okay. And you are no longer a foreman, but you were for a time a foreman on the second shift?
A. Yes, that was at Valders' Stone and Marble when I used to work there. I was there for nine and a half years and I was a shift general foreman on second, so ...
Q. Okay.
A. I didn't know if that pertained to anything so I just wrote it down.
Q. Sure, that's good. And I'm not going to go deeply into this, $I$ have a specific reason for
asking this; $I$ don't know what a clamp truck is?
A. In the paper mill I work it's -- we make cardboard boxes, mostly tissue boxes, like you see your Viva --
Q. Sure.
A. -- or stuff like that. And what I do is I bring in 8,000 pound rolls of paper and it's a huge forklift with a V-6 Chevy engine in it. And it clamps the paper. And I bring it in, I lay it on the floor, they run one off the roll and then $I$ take it back out.
Q. Okay. But it's an open cab --
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. -- and not something with a radio in it?
A. No, no. It's in the plant.
Q. Got it. I was -- I ended up a little bit confused, which is probably me not you. But on -- on opinions of Mr. Avery's guilt, or possible, probable guilt, is this something that you tend to think at this moment he's guilty or your sense is that other people tend to think he is guilty?
A. No. I kind of think he is, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And a lot of people, I believe they do think he
is.
Q. And do you hear -- I mean, of the folks you are hanging around with, do you hear anybody taking the contrary position sort of saying, oh, you know, I think -- I think he didn't do it or ...
A. Nothing -- nobody that $I$ work with. My wife had said that there were a few people she works with in Green Bay that had talked about that they figured he was set up in a way, so ...
Q. Where does Lois work?
A. De Leers Millwork in Green Bay. She's a cabinet maker.
Q. Okay. So she's getting some chitty chat about this too?
A. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah.
Q. And then you and she talk about it some.
A. Not too often, usually when I talk to her it's at night, usually on my break I will call her from the cell phone and then I talk to her. Because by the time I get home, she's already in bed. She's up at 4 to be at work at 5 .
Q. She's a first shift?
A. Yeah, so we -- the only time we talk during the week is on the phone or write a note.
Q. Okay. So where she's at, she tells you that
maybe there's some split opinion, where the people you're around, they all think he is guilty?
A. Yeah, pretty much. Yeah, Green Bay, they are kind of different talk.
Q. Okay. Or apparently, that's your wife's experience.
A. Yeah.
Q. And, you know, it looks like you don't have a daughter or anything?
A. Yeah, she's -- actually goes to school in Fox Valley and she works and lives in Fox -- in the Fox Valley -- in Appleton I should say. She's 19.
Q. Oh, okay, so you do have --
A. Yeah, I have an older daughter and then my son is 17.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry, you didn't list the daughter, is that just because she's out of the house?
A. Yeah, I didn't know if it pertained because she's over 18, so I didn't know and she's pretty much on her own.
Q. Sure. Sure. And I'm sorry, she's in the Fox Valley somewhere?
A. Yeah, she goes to Fox Valley Tech. She lives in

Appleton, on the east side, so ...
Q. Right. She's a full-time student or something?
A. Full-time student and then she works part-time?
Q. And not married?
A. No, not yet, I hope.
Q. Well, I mean, so she's not that much younger than Teresa Halbach was. And when this -- when this all broke, so to speak, or came out, was this part of your reaction as a dad?
A. More when she went missing, yeah, you know, it kind of puts it in the back of your head, I would believe, but, yeah, a little bit.
Q. Sure.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. So, I mean, I can ask this a number of ways, but why would you not think Steven Avery is guilty, given what everybody around you is saying and the media and, you know, your daughter.
A. Why wouldn't I not think he is not guilty?
Q. Yeah, why would you not think he is guilty?
A. Yeah, I mean, automatically, it was the first thought, but until $I$ was given the letter by the Judge that we should consider this a different way, well, then it was, you know, trying to think of it that way, so ...
Q. Right, I mean --
A. You know.
Q. -- everybody -- everybody gets to have their opinion, but $I$ guess if you are a juror, then you have to take on a different role?
A. Yes, that's the way $I$ had taken it. Yeah, and then so trying to think of it as a different way, I believe, so ...
Q. Yeah, and -- and I really -- I really do appreciate that. I think that's -- that's right. And by the same token, I need your -- I really need your honesty in telling me whether you have any questions about your ability to set aside, you know, the perfectly understandable opinions you have formed over a period of time, as you come into the prospect, at least, of serving on this jury. If you have any doubts about that, you should tell us about those now.
A. If I have doubts of being on the jury or ...
Q. Your ability to set aside those opinions entirely.
A. No, I think I can. Like I said, since before I had not really thought about it until now, when I'm actually -- I have to think about it. And I have been thinking about it. And it's -- it's a
lot -- a lot of pressure, so to speak. But I think $I$ can if $I$ was selected as a jury (sic). So ...
Q. And where do you feel the pressure; what is exactly the pressure you are feeling on that?
A. God, I don't know. It's more, if I'm going to be on the jury and then having to -- if $I$ have to, can put somebody behind bars or, you know, that -- that way, I guess.
Q. Sure.
A. I mean, in talking to people at work that have found out that $I$ am being selected for this, telling me that, you know, we should be doing the right thing. It's like, you know, I'm not listening to what you guys are telling me, so it's pretty much trying to keep an open mind --
Q. Okay.
A. -- is what I'm saying.
Q. Okay. But you are getting some pressure, in other words --
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. -- from people saying --
A. Yes.
Q. -- convict him, do the right thing?
A. Oh, yeah. Oh yeah.
Q. Yeah.
A. Yes.
Q. So that's one kind of pressure you could be feeling. Are you also feeling pressure about, you know, stuffing down or quieting down the little voice in your own head that says, gee, I think -- I think he probably is guilty?
A. Yeah. Oh, yeah. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And I think I heard you say that in any really serious case, you would probably feel a lot of pressure about actually making a decision that's a thumbs up or a thumbs down. It has a huge impact on people.
A. Yeah, probably somewhat, yeah. Like I said, I told them that it would be, as the evidence was brought forth, I think then I could keep an open mind on that, you know.
Q. Okay. So let's say you serve on the jury and you go all the way through and, you know, you just are not convinced, based on the evidence, that the State has proven this, beyond a reasonable doubt.

And I'm going to make it harder on you. I'm going to ask you to assume that you say, you know, he might have done it. Strang and Buting,
they didn't convince me that he didn't do it. He might have done it, but I'm just not convinced, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he did it. So, under the law, I have to vote not guilty.

If you came to that, $I$ don't know if you will or won't, but if you did come to that, can you take what you are going to get the next morning or the next afternoon at work?
A. Yeah, I think so.
Q. Why?
A. It's something I would have to live with, not them. So, I mean, whatever they say it's -- I mean, I only work with these guys for eight hours. It's not like $I$ have to live with them, so ... Yeah, I ...
Q. Well, they are going to make -- they might make you feel like they have to live with it, if you hear comments like, oh, you let him back out on the street.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Can you do that?
A. Yeah, I don't see why not. Yeah. Yeah, I have no problem with that.
Q. One thing that we'll have to decide at this table, the three of us, is whether Mr. Avery
would testify in his own defense. And that's a tough call because, you know, on the one hand, if the defendant testifies, somebody could say, well, of course he's going to get up and deny it, you know, he's trying to save his skin. If he decides not to testify, then people may say, what's he got to hide.
(Loud noise.)
THE COURT: That's our signal that the battery is going on the microphone. So, we'll take a short break. It's very subtle.

Mr. Strang, you may continue.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ If you -- So, if he doesn't testify, you know, has he -- has he got something to hide or, you know, geez, if I was charged and I didn't do it, I would get up and say that I didn't do it. Are you likely to have either one of those feelings or both?
A. I don't think so. Isn't it basically on what the evidence you guys bring to us, that we have to decide.
Q. What those guys bring.
A. I'm sorry, what they have to.
Q. Yeah. Now, that's not to say that we won't
cross-examine people or we may even call witnesses. But the real bottom line on it is the Judge, the Judge's instructions, which will be, I think, to this effect: One, if Mr. Avery testifies, you have to consider him like any other witness. Consider the same things you would in deciding whether you believe anyone else.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Okay.
Q. Okay. He is just another witness. Or, two, I think the Judge will tell you, in effect, if Mr. Avery does not testify, you can't even consider that. You can't speculate on why he didn't. You can't consider it evidence of guilt. You can't consider it at all in deciding whether the State proved him guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt. Can you follow those instructions?
A. Yes, I think I could.
Q. You may hear -- While we're talking about witnesses, you may hear evidence tending to suggest that police officers are not telling the truth, one or more police officers are not telling the truth in reports or on the witness stand.

That can be a hard pill for people to
swallow. The police officers are sworn to uphold the law. They generally do. They are people we look up to often. But in the end, you have to consider them as any other witness, too, and weigh, do I believe this? What are the reasons? Does this testimony make sense or not make sense to me? Can you do that?
A. I think, so.
Q. Can you envision a circumstance in which a police officer might lie under oath?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Can you envision a circumstance where any witness might lie under oath?
A. Possibly, I don't know, it's ...
Q. Okay. And that's where I'm going at?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you think the oath is so important to people that no one ever violates an oath?
A. I would hope so. I would hope so, that it would be.
Q. Well, we all hope so.
A. Yeah, but is it, $I$ don't know.
Q. Okay. And other than if you have seen a robo cop movie, have you ever met a police officer who wasn't human?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So if the question really is, can you let them be human too and weigh their testimony just like you would weigh the testimony of any other witness?
A. Yeah, I suppose I could.
Q. It sounded like -- If you have questions about that, please just say so. There's no wrong answer here.
A. No, I don't have any questions about that. I'm -- I mean, if they swear the oath, then I'm hoping that they are telling the truth.
Q. So am I.
A. Yes.
Q. But in the end, if testimony conflicts and you have to make a choice ...
A. Can I make that choice?
Q. Yeah.
A. I think I can.
Q. You will probably hear a good deal of scientific evidence in this case as well. And then you may hear evidence about the absence of scientific evidence. Is scientific evidence something that you think you can pay attention to?
A. I think so, yes.
Q. How do you think it rates as compared to other types of evidence, eyewitness testimony or other witnesses?
A. I really can't answer that, I don't know the difference. You mean, if somebody is telling me, or if they are showing me on a slide show, is that what you are saying?
Q. Yeah, it's a clumsy question. Do you put any special stock in science as being especially certain or one hundred percent black/white kind of thing or no?
A. You mean like as in DNA evidence or stuff like that?
Q. Sure.
A. I would think so, yes, it should be.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. That is, if the science is good?
A. Yes. I would, yeah.
Q. But even if it's -- even if it's an expert who's testifying to some specialized scientific knowledge; do you understand that witness you also have to weigh as just another witness?
A. Yes. Are you asking me to -- if I could understand it, I would think so, yes.
Q. Okay. What do you know, if anything, about Mr. Avery's background with being let out of prison because of DNA showed that someone else committed the crime he was convicted for?
A. It's what $I$ know or what $I$ heard?
Q. Heard.
A. What I heard. Basically a group of college students had found something with the DNA, that's about it. And that he was released after 18 years, I believe, or 13.
Q. Long time?
A. Yeah, it was a long time.
Q. Yes. Do you have any questions about whether he really was innocent of that, it was a mistaken conviction?
A. No, I have no questions about that.
Q. My last question, although I may ask you why, but really my last question is, do you want to be on this jury?
A. Kind of teetering, yes and no.
Q. So tell me why?
A. I don't know. It more is the length of the trial, $I$ believe, would be one of them. But I don't know. I have never done it before, so I -I'm kind of -- I'm kind of not sure if $I$ want to
be. So ... It's hard to explain.
Q. Yeah, but you are doing all right. I mean, you have never done it before, is that a pro or a con?
A. Yeah. Yeah, I guess it would be a pro.
Q. Like a learning experience?
A. Yes. Yeah. People I have talked to that have been on jury duty and said they were the same way, but once they did it, it was -- it was a good experience.
Q. Okay. And the length of the trial is something new that I'm sort of hearing from you, I think. That sounded like a minus.
A. No, just that it's -- I mean, I can do it. It's not a problem, it's just that, you know, the length of it, being off of work and stuff like that, so, I mean, but it's not a problem. It's -- If I have to, I have to; if I don't, I don't. It's not ...
Q. Okay.
A. So, yeah, I don't know how else to explain it. You know, it's just ...
Q. Nobody wants to be drafted?
A. Yeah. That's it, yeah, there you go. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Thanks.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. Mr. Keehan, just a follow-up. I think I understood most of your answers, but you indicated that, based on what you have heard and read so far in the media and from other people, you think the defendant is guilty?
A. Yes.
Q. Based on what you --
A. Based on what I have heard and seen, yeah.
Q. But you also indicated that if you were selected for the jury you could follow the Court's instructions and base your decision only on the evidence?
A. I believe I could, yes.
Q. You understand, if you're on the jury and we go to trial, everybody starts from zero.
A. Yes.
Q. That's essentially what it means. Nothing's proven, except the evidence that comes in at trial, the State starts from scratch. You can only base your decision on the evidence that comes in. Even in light of what you heard before today, do you feel that you can base your decision on the evidence at trial if you're
selected to serve?
A. I think I could, yes.

THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time. (Wherein the juror was excused.)

THE COURT: Counsel, any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I do. I do, and I realize this is a tough one because it's -- so much of it is -- is demeanor and a sense of ambivalence of this gentleman. And I think you -- I would have to cast it as subjective bias. I mean, he was candid about telling us the opinion he is coming into with. And I also took him at his word when he said he, you know, he really would try to follow the rules and set that aside.

So, you know, this is tough, because I don't get to make the demeanor and the credibility call, the Court does. But I -- I'm left with a real sense of unease about the understandable bias that he comes into.

And one thing that was -- that really sort of crystalized this for me was his -- his volunteered comment that he's getting some
pressure from co-workers saying, you got to do the right thing here and convict this guy. I mean, those weren't his exactly his words, but that was -- that's what he -- he said in his own words.

So this is one of these tough ones. I understand that it's close and so much of it turns on things that won't appear in black and white on the paper. But I think there's cause to let him go and we're hearing that with the reluctance about the length of the trial and weekends and feeling pressure in different ways. So I'm going to move to strike him and I understand why the State is not and not joining that, but that's my motion.

THE COURT: All right. I also agree this is a situation, as in many cases, where the Court's decision is based on the demeanor of the witness. However, I come to a different conclusion than the defense did. I did ask a follow-up question or two because I was concerned, based on the witness' statement -- or the juror's statements, which I believe were quite honest and forthright.

He frankly admitted, based on what he's read and heard so far, essentially, if he had to
say something today, he would say, based on what he knows, that the defendant is guilty. But he also seemed to express a clear understanding of the fact that if selected as a juror he would have to set those opinions aside. I believe he can.

He did not go into extensive detail of any reasons why I think he could not set his opinions aside; that is, he hasn't been following the case so closely that his -- his mind is made up. I also sat back and took notice when he gave the answer to the question about, well, I, you know, get pressure from my co-workers and they are telling me to do the right thing.

But I was more impressed with his answer where he said that, yes, if I didn't think the State met its burden I would vote not guilty and that's because I have to live with myself more than I'm concerned about living with them.

That showed me that this is a juror who I believe has the courage of his convictions and I believe him when he says he can set his opinions aside and follow the evidence at trial. So I'm going to retain him as a juror in this case.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Maybe the Court solved the problem, but the Court was cutting in and out on the microphone and it may have gotten better after the Court adjusted the mike.

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not sure, since I'm not battery powered I'm not sure where the problem is coming from. But I may have to take a look at it.

At any rate, let's take our break at this time. Counsel, what $I$ would like you to do is take a break and stop in at chambers at $3: 15$. We still have a significant number of jurors back there. I would like to cut some of them loose. So we'll be talking about how far we're going to go today.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: All right. At this time we're back on the record, outside the presence of any members of the jury panel. Mr. Strang, you indicated you had a matter you wished to bring up.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I do, very briefly. I wanted to clarify my comments about the demeanor of Patrick Keehan, the last juror we spoke with. Just out of fairness to him and -- and so that I really
am clear, it was a demeanor issue, but it was not dishonesty I was hearing. It was discomfort that I was hearing with him. I didn't have any honesty issue at all. I just -- The ambivalence and the discomfort was the demeanor point that I was raising.

THE COURT: Okay. That's the way I understood it as well.

We're dealing here with information from jurors, rather than testimony. And I don't know quite how to approach this, but I feel compelled to tell the parties that there was a reference in the statements from one of the jurors to AA meetings and I only wish to point out to the parties that AA doesn't always mean the first thing that necessarily comes to your mind.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Oh, we need to know that. Do we know what it does mean?

THE COURT: Well, Janet Bonin, the Clerk, is the one that brought it to my attention. As we know, I think some questions were asked of her because she knew the juror. Janet, go ahead.

THE CLERK: They call them attitude adjustment meetings, that's what the AA means.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. That's great,
because we did jump to the -- not about Janet or anybody else but ...

THE COURT: I don't think any questions were asked that referred to Alcoholics Anonymous, which is perhaps the most common usage. And so I don't know at this point what to make of it or not make of it, but it's also the type of information that many times jurors would be asked to be kept confidential.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right.
THE COURT: And I don't want anybody to draw any inferences from just the fact that letters were used without any information about what it was the juror was talking about. Obviously, at this point, the juror is no longer here so there's nothing further we can do about it.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, speaking of confidentiality, you realize that this next juror, Question No. 75, he did answer yes. I don't know that it's the kind of material or subject that you would want or think should be discussed privately, but he did ask.

THE COURT: All right. This is
Mr. Pederson.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.

THE COURT: Let me briefly review that. I think I can probably address his concern in my opening comments as part of it. Thank you for pointing that out to the Court, however.

All right. At this point we're going to take one juror out of order who has been here quite a while today. That is, Mr. Pederson, Juror 66. So we will have him come in at this time.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'll be examining him, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pederson, please raise your right hand and the Clerk will administer the oath to you.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Pederson, you pronounce your name that way?

MR. PEDERSON: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Pederson, you have already filled out a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of the jury selection process which is known as voir dire.

During this portion of the process, the attorneys for the parties can ask you questions
in order to follow up on some of your answers in your questionnaire that address your qualifications to serve as a juror in this case. Before we get to those questions, I have some information to pass on to you. First of all, the jurors selected in this case will not be sequestered. That means that at the end of the court proceedings every day the jurors will be permitted to return home. The prohibition on any exposure to news media accounts of the case will continue.

That means that the jurors will not be permitted to obtain information about the case from any newspaper, television, radio, or internet sources and the jurors will continue to be required not to discuss the matter, including with any other jurors or with any family members during the course of the trial.

I can also tell you that although the proceedings today are open to the public, during voir dire we do not allow cameras in the courtroom and the jurors are not permitted to be photographed.

I can also tell you that the law does not allow the media to identify jurors in news
reports of these matters. And also, should you be selected as a juror in this case, cameras are not permitted to show the faces of the jurors, such that any individual jurors can be identified.

If you are retained on the jury panel after questioning today, you will receive a telephone call in the next day or two notifying you when to report back to court.

I note, before I allow Mr. Kratz to begin questioning, in your answer to Question 75 of the questionnaire, you indicated matters that you regarded as personal and I think they related to your possible identification as a juror. I hope I have identified your concerns.

MR. PEDERSON: Yes, you have.
THE COURT: Thank you. All right.
Mr. Kratz, you may proceed.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Mr. Pederson, as the Judge has eluded, my name is Ken Kratz. I'm the Calumet County D.A. and the special prosecutor in this case, lead counsel. Seated with me this afternoon is Tom Fallon. He
is an Assistant Attorney General who will be helping not only in this process, but through the presentation of the case. I would like to welcome you for this part of the process.

Mr. Pederson, you have filled out a lengthy questionnaire and we do have some follow-up questions on that questionnaire. I understand, based upon your response, that you have learned quite a bit about this case through various sources. Let me ask you first, would you say that most of your news comes from radio, or internet, or $T V$, or what source?
A. Yeah, I haven't followed it very closely. I have seen headlines in some newspapers and there was one article I skimmed in a newspaper. And I have seen some headlines on TV and I have heard a few things on the radio.
Q. When you say you haven't followed it very closely; do you mean lately you haven't or even back from when this case first started?
A. Even back. I can tell you the facts I know or -well, shouldn't be considered facts at this point, but I can tell you what I think I know. Q. At least the news reports, what it is that you have heard from news reports, why don't you go
ahead and start there and we'll have some follow-ups.
A. Well, what I heard reported was that the body was found on Mr. Avery's property. And I read some comments Mr. Avery made about his nephew. And there was a headline or something to the effect of -- well, there was something about a vial of blood and that's about what $I$ know.
Q. All right.
A. So ...
Q. Mr. Pederson, based upon those news reports, your questionnaire indicates that you may have formed an opinion, or at least the media reports may have directed you one way or another regarding the guilt or innocence of Mr. Avery; is that correct?
A. Yes, at this time $I$ do have an opinion.
Q. And I think your opinion is that Mr. Avery may in fact be guilty of the charges for which he faces; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You also indicate, however, in your questionnaire, that if instructed by the Court to set aside those opinions, which the Court undoubtedly would do, that you believe that you
would be able to do that; is that still your opinion today?
A. I believe I would be able do that.
Q. All right. Why don't we start there since that is a extremely important topic. If the Judge instructs you that that's what you have to do; in other words, if the defendant sits in this courtroom presumed innocent --
A. Correct.
Q. -- would you be able to follow that instruction?
A. I believe so.
Q. If the Judge instructs you that the only decision that he wants any juror to make is based upon information that comes from evidence, that is, from witnesses, or documents, or other admitted evidence in the case; would you be able to base your decision solely upon that evidence and set aside, perhaps, what you may have read, or what you may have heard, or what you may have thought that you knew coming into the case?
A. I believe so.
Q. All right. If the Judge instructs that you must do that, Mr. Pederson, can you give us your assurance that that is in fact what you will do as a juror?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You understand, I suspect, that the difference between news reports, that is, what the news may report some people may have said or what may have been found and what actually might be introduced in evidence of a case?
A. Correct, I do.
Q. Are you familiar with Mr. Avery himself, or his history, or his connection with something called the Project Innocence in Wisconsin?
A. Well, I understand that he had been previously, apparently, falsely accused and convicted. And based on DNA evidence, he was exonerated eventually.
Q. All right.
A. So -- And I understand also that -- that there was a civil case that he won against the County of Manitowoc.
Q. All right. Would knowledge of those facts or, at least what you think you know of Mr. Avery's past, would that affect your decision making in this case, or would you be able, again, to set that aside and base your decision solely on the evidence in this case?
A. I could set that aside.
Q. In your questionnaire, you mentioned that you have some background in DNA evidence, at least some general knowledge of it, and more specifically in the area of chemistry; is that right?
A. Well, yeah, I would say, perhaps some very general knowledge. I have some knowledge of chemistry. I'm by no means an expert, but I do have some general knowledge.
Q. Let me ask you this, Mr. Pederson, do you hold an opinion, at least generally, that DNA evidence is reliable in what you have heard or what you have come to understand about DNA analysis, that it provide courts or provides other investigators a good basis for making positive identifications?
A. Yes, I believe it's reliable. I understand it is.
Q. In your questionnaire, you mention that you had some knowledge of a woman by the name of Lori Dassey. Can you tell me about that, please.
A. Well, I understand that she was the wife of Mr. Avery and that's about all I know. And I work with her.
Q. Do you work with her on a daily basis?
A. No.
Q. How well do you know Ms Dassey?
A. Just at a professional level. I have never discussed anything about --
Q. Do you work in the same department or the same area?
A. No, we work in separate buildings and in different departments.
Q. Do you ever have occasion to speak with her either about personal matters or anything other than work related topics?
A. Not to date.
Q. Has Ms Dassey ever had an occasion to talk to you either about Steven Avery, about her marriage, or about any part of this case?
A. Not to date.
Q. If instructed by the Court, which I'm sure it would occur if you were a juror in this case, could you assure us that you would have no such conversations with Ms Dassey, or anybody for that matter, regarding the facts and circumstances surrounding this case?
A. Yes, I could.
Q. Mr. Pederson, you appear to be the primary bread winner within your home, would working for -excuse me -- would serving for a six week trial
create a financial hardship for you or your family?
A. No, it would not.
Q. Is there a reason for that?
A. Well, the policy at my place of employment, I understand, is they would continue paying me my nominal salary through the course of a jury trial.
Q. All right. Have you had any contacts at all with Manitowoc County law enforcement? I notice that you mentioned there was a vandalism case that you had reported. But did you -- have you had any other more specific contacts with law enforcement agencies?
A. There's one $I$ forgot to mention on there, I have a neighbor who is a police officer and I don't know his name.
Q. Is he a Manitowoc County sheriff's deputy or work for some municipality?
A. I believe he works for the Manitowoc City Police, I believe.
Q. All right. Have you had any discussions with your neighbor about this case or about the facts or circumstances surrounding this case?
A. No, I have not.
Q. You say that you have an overall positive opinion of the job that the Manitowoc County law enforcement community is doing?
A. Yes, I do. I have no reason to not have that, I guess.
Q. Mr. Pederson, is there any reason that I may not have asked you that you believe disqualifies you to sit on this case, or anything that we haven't asked either in writing or from me orally today, that you believe we should know that would disqualify you as a potential juror?
A. None that I can think of.
Q. All right.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all the questions I have of this particular juror, Judge. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting. ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Jerome Buting and I, along with Dean Strang, are defending Steven Avery here today.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. I have, first, some background questions,
if you don't mind. Do you have a degree in engineering?
A. Yes.
Q. What kind of engineering?
A. Well, I have a degree in electrical engineering and also a degree in physics.
Q. Okay. And both of those are from the University of North Dakota?
A. Correct.
Q. And you have four children?
A. Yup.
Q. And your wife is not employed outside the home?
A. Correct.
Q. And you are not originally from this area; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. You are from Missouri?
A. Well, I was born in Missouri and then I also lived there during high school for two years.
Q. Your father move around; your family move around?
A. No, I moved to Missouri and lived with my
grandparents for -- there's family issues, so I lived with my grandparents for two years --
Q. I see.
A. -- during high school.
Q. During high school?
A. Yup.
Q. And where were you before that?
A. Before that, $I$ was in North Dakota.
Q. Okay. So you were born in Missouri, then grew up mostly in North Dakota?
A. Born in Missouri and $I$ understand about six months of age we moved to Connecticut and then when I was probably second grade, moved to North Dakota.
Q. Okay.
A. So ...
Q. Missouri kind of has the nickname of the "show me state", right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you live there long enough to adopt that yourself, that motto?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Well, in this case, you are going to have to, if you're a juror. You are going to have to adopt that motto that the State has to show you.
A. Okay. I understand what you are saying.
Q. Okay.
A. They have the -- They -- The burden is upon them to present the evidence is what you are saying.
Q. Right. Now, as long as we're talking about that, the defense may or may not present any evidence. You know, in any criminal trial, we could just sit here and do nothing and let them fail to convince you, beyond a reasonable doubt. We're not going to do that here. I will let you know; we're not going to just sit here. We will cross-examine their witnesses and we'll probably put on some of our own.
A. Okay.
Q. Maybe, maybe not.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. But if we do that, if we do put on any witnesses and do put on a defense, are you going to say to us, show me that Mr. Avery is innocent?
A. No, that's -- Yeah, I can see where you are going, that can be -- I can see where that could be a tough distinction to draw.
Q. It is. It is kind of unnatural for jurors because --
A. Right.
Q. And sometimes, you know, they teach defense attorneys, sometimes the best defense is to put on no defense, because it forces the jury to look at the State's evidence and the State's evidence
only. And if they --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- are unable to convince you, then that's it, you don't do any weighing. Now, on the other hand, when the defendant puts on any evidence, the natural tendency is, you kind of weigh one side against the other.
A. Right.
Q. And you say, well, which one is more believable, or which one is more credible and that tends to get jurors away from their real function which is to first decide, has the State convinced me, beyond a reasonable doubt. That's what you would have to do here. And it's really, I think, kind of an unnatural way of doing things and probably for a scientist it's very unnatural. But do you think you could do that?
A. I believe so.
Q. Along those lines -- might as well deal with this right now --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- is the question of whether or not a defendant testifies at a trial. And for some of the same reasons attorneys -- defense attorneys may advise their clients not to take the stand, because they
are concerned maybe that the jurors are going to start thinking, well, has he convinced me that he's innocent.

And that's a real risk. And so sometimes, that's one reason maybe defense attorneys say, don't put the client on. There's other reasons, the concern that if a defendant does testify that jurors may not listen to what he says, they may not believe him or her because after all, they are the defendant.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. They got everything -- They have got reasons and motives to lie, right? Can you understand that concern?
A. Yes, I can, yup.
Q. But if you're selected for this jury, the Judge will, I'm sure, instruct you that if the defendant testifies, you have to take his testimony just like any other witness. You have to weigh his testimony the same way as anybody else. You can't just discount his testimony by -- because of his status as a defendant. Can you do that?
A. Yes.
Q. And on the other hand, if he -- if he does not
testify, the Judge will also instruct you that you cannot consider that in any way. You can't speculate on why he didn't testify. You can't hold it against him. You can't think he must have had something to hide, or whatever. You really have to put that completely out of your mind.
A. I understand.
Q. Will that be hard for you to do? I mean, are you the kind of person that likes to hear both sides?
A. Well, generally, I think I am the kind of person that likes to hear both sides.
Q. I think most people are.
A. So ... Yup.
Q. So, again, it's kind of an unnatural thing that we ask jurors to do.
A. Right.
Q. But it's based on hundreds of years of law and practice. And there are very, very good reasons for it. And if you are selected for the jury, you have to promise -- you have to promise the Court and the attorneys and everybody that you really will follow that instruction; do you think you can?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I think I can. It's a -- Yup.
Q. It's something you really have to just keep in your mind and, you know, whenever those other thoughts come in, you have got to say, wait a minute, I have to -- I'm not supposed to do that. Okay?
A. Right.
Q. Now, as a scientist, I mean, you are familiar with the scientific method, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is, among other things, involves testing, replicating those tests, having a peer review of those tests?
A. Yup.
Q. And that until somebody is able to do that, just because someone may -- Well, strike that. Let me ask it this way. Have you ever heard of junk science?
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. Do you think there is a lot of that out there?
A. There is.
Q. What is -- In your mind, what is that?
A. Well, people posit theories and -- and they -they may, you know, back it up with evidence, but
they really haven't tested it and it's not repeatable. And, typically, when they do that, the facts, or supposed facts, sometimes they are facts and true, but you don't see the whole picture.

So they are telling you facts and
framing them in a way that supports a theory. And they are not showing you other things that aren't consistent with that.
Q. Okay. And -- So when -- when a -- sometimes actual scientists with degrees come forward and have those opinions and express those --
A. Correct.
Q. -- opinions. And -- But you, as a scientist, can recognize that they are not always true?
A. Yes.
Q. They are theories. They may be true, but until they have been replicated and studied by others and reported on, they may not be, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You have to say yes or no for the court reporter.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, forensic science is a little bit in a world of its own when it comes to that. And I wonder how much knowledge you have about forensic
science and how much has been tested and replicated and how much is not. Do you have any knowledge about that?
A. Very little.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't think I have much knowledge of that.
Q. Okay. Well, in this case, you may or may not hear some challenges to the basis of opinions that are presented. That is, not -- not necessarily the underlying science, but maybe the -- the interpretations, the conclusions that you can draw from things. Okay? Is that something you would be able to listen to and discriminate and consider?
A. I believe so.
Q. If -- So if an expert testifies here in this trial and expresses an opinion, because that person is an expert or scientist at some level, are you going to just accept it more than you would any other opinion?
A. Not necessarily. Even in junk science, in the press, there's experts with sometimes widely differing opinions, even in real science for that matter.
Q. Okay. And even in -- in forensic science, crime
labs, have you heard of problems where crime labs have been shown not to be reliable results -- or not to have reliable results?
A. I haven't heard of that, but I can imagine that happening.
Q. Have you heard even the FBI lab was examined in a number of instances because of problems that they were having with reliability with their results? Had you heard that?
A. I hadn't heard that.
Q. You hadn't, okay. You mentioned that DNA, you believe DNA is -- is reliable, right?
A. Yeah, generally. Yup.
Q. Is it infallible?
A. No, it is not infallible.
Q. Okay. And more importantly, do you look beyond just the result, or will you look beyond just the result to find out how the result was obtained in the scientific process?
A. Yeah. That's what you -- Yes.
Q. Okay. And you have probably heard garbage in garbage out?
A. Yes.
Q. And you are familiar with that?
A. Yup.
Q. And so, for instance, if evidence is not collected properly and it's contaminated right then and there --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- no matter what the result is later, it's garbage in, it's going to be garbage out?
A. Yeah. Typically, yeah, that's the way it is.
Q. Okay.
A. So ...
Q. Do you follow protocols in your ...
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay.
A. In my place of employment --
Q. Yes.
A. -- I think you're ...
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Scientific protocols that are set out for testing or whatever?
A. Yeah, I think it would be fair to call them scientific, yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. You could look at it that way.
Q. Well, are you familiar -- I mean, in general, with laboratory or scientific tests, certain
protocols are followed, are designed to, you know, through the process.
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. Okay. And if someone doesn't follow that protocol, deviates from that protocol; is that something you would consider in terms of whether to accept the reliability of the results?
A. It is a reason to question the reliability of the results, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you ever watch CSI shows, those kinds of things?
A. No.
Q. Cold Case, or any of those?
A. Uh-uh. I have seen -- I don't know if I have even seen a whole episode, but I have seen them on -- seen them on television. I don't even know if I have sat through a whole episode, but ...
Q. Okay. All right. Let me switch here for a minute, topics entirely, and go back to something that Mr. Kratz asked you. Your contact with Lori Dassey, sounds like you haven't really talked personally with her about her marriage or her relationship with Mr. Avery?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Have you heard talk around the plant or, you
know, of other people maybe who have?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And have you heard any negative things about that relationship or about Mr. Avery?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Could you please tell us what kinds of things you have heard.
A. Well, I heard that she was divorced from him because -- well, the words I heard were to the effect that he wasn't a very nice individual. That's what I remember hearing.
Q. Do you remember any details about what allegations perhaps were made?
A. Yeah, actually there was -- recently I was walking by a cubicle at my office and they were chatting about the case. There's a number of people who were up for jury duty where $I$ work, or potential jurors.
Q. Yeah, we have noticed that, seems to be a productive pool, but go ahead.
A. Anyway, but -- but one thing I did overhear was that he had sent some kind of threats or something when he was incarcerated or something to that affect.
Q. Were those other people who were -- who had also
gotten, you know, a summons like you and were going to be in the pool?
A. No, they weren't. As far as I know, they weren't people who had gotten summons.
Q. You -- You know people who have gone through messy divorces?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you know sometimes a lot of negative, bitter --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- talk goes on?
A. Yeah.
Q. And can you imagine that someone who is wrongly convicted and imprisoned and then also taken away from his wife and kids and has his visitation cut off might have some pretty strong feelings?
A. I can.
Q. Might even say some pretty nasty things.
A. Yeah, I can understand that.
Q. Would you be able to put -- put -- set aside any of those -- any information you may have heard outside of court about whatever bitterness or, you know, nasty things there might have been in that relationship?
A. Yes.
Q. And not let it influence your verdict at all here?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did anybody at the place where you work, do they know that you were also one of the ones who had gotten the summons?
A. Yes.
Q. And did anybody come up to you and talk to you about it or ask you any questions?
A. Yeah, they have -- they have -- they have asked me questions and mostly with regard to where they are at in the jury selection process. Mostly about that.
Q. Has anybody tried to talk to you about the case or the facts?
A. Um, yeah, people have kind of ribbed me about it. And I walked away a few times when I felt like it was getting -- you know, things were being said that I shouldn't hear.
Q. Sure.
A. Because I'm --
Q. When --
A. A potential juror.
Q. -- you say ribbed you, that's kind of like ...
A. Rib. Ribbed.
Q. Okay.
A. Like prod me, joke around kind of.
Q. What kind of things were they saying?
A. Well, some people would, you know, joke around and say I'm going to let him off. Other people would say go in there and tell them you're going to hang him and you will get off the jury pool and things like this, so ...
Q. So, you're getting some advice on how to get out of this --
A. Ha, ha, ha, yeah.
Q. -- responsibility. Did any -- Did you take any of that to heart?
A. No.
Q. Any concerns that no matter which way your verdict is that maybe when you come back that you might be -- you might get more ribbing or something more serious, that people will be angry at you if you voted one way as opposed to another?
A. Well, that's crossed my mind. It's crossed my mind. I haven't been terribly concerned about it but, you know, I mean, it's a potential ramification for a juror.
Q. Sure, in a high publicity case like this
especially, right?
A. Right.
Q. And do you think that you would be more likely to get those kinds of comments if you returned a not guilty verdict, than if you returned a guilty verdict?
A. I think if $I$ return a not guilty verdict, I may be more likely to get those comments; that's my opinion.
Q. And how does that make you feel, then, in terms of whether you can really be a fair and honest jury -- juror in this case?
A. Well, I believe I can be an honest juror in this case. If $I$ return a not guilty verdict and get flak, then, I'd probably get kind of upset. But, I mean, I -- I don't think it will influence my decision.
Q. Can you ensure us, not just that you don't think; can you say, I promise it's not going to influence?
A. Yes, I can. I promise.
Q. Okay.
A. It won't influence my decision.
Q. Because you will have to live with it one way or the other, they won't.
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. You also mentioned some knowledge about Mr. Avery's wrongful conviction. I think you used the word, apparently he was wrongly convicted; was there any doubt in your mind that he was --
A. Well, based on what was said in the press, it's pretty obvious he was wrongfully convicted.
Q. Right.
A. And that based on DNA evidence.
Q. Right.
A. I mean, that's the big thing there.
Q. And that it also matched someone else who was actually a suspect all along?
A. Oh, I hadn't heard that part of it.
Q. You hadn't heard that part of it?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. But that's good that that -- anyway --
Q. Okay.
A. -- whatever. It's immaterial, I guess, in this case, but ...
Q. It's immaterial unless there's some harboring -unless you harbored some doubts like maybe he somehow --
A. Okay.
Q. -- got off on a technicality or something like that. If you had any doubts like that, then I would like to know that.
A. Well, in my mind if the DNA evidence didn't match Mr. Avery, then it's pretty certain that he wasn't the perpetrator of the crime, so ...
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting, you are going to have to wrap it up.
Q. Well, I -- I really appreciate your candor, sir, but there is something that is a little bit concerning and that's mainly that you -- what you wrote in your questionnaire, that at this time I believe he's guilty, but I don't know this beyond a reasonable doubt; is that still the way you feel?
A. Yes.
Q. You see, if you're selected as a juror here, you've got to -- you've got to change that opinion. You can't have that opinion because you have to presume that he is innocent --
A. Correct.
Q. -- right now?
A. I understand that.
Q. And that's different than saying I think he's guilty, but I'm just not at a reasonable doubt yet. You can't start off that way?
A. I understand, presumed innocent.
Q. And do you really think -- And, you know, I really appreciate your honesty and the Court and counsel does too. Do you really think that you can put aside those feelings, because it's hard for anybody to, and really give Mr. Avery the benefit of the presumption of innocence, if you are selected as a juror?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pederson, at this time I'm going to have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom.

MR. PEDERSON: Okay. Thank you.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Are there any motions from either party?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not from the State, your Honor.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No motion, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Pederson will be in the jury panel. Next is Mr. Dao.

Please raise your right hand, Mr. Dao.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Dao, you are welcome to keep your jacket on if you wish, but if you want to take it off, you can as well.

Mr. Dao, you have already completed a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is the voir dire process.

Each of the attorneys will have an opportunity to ask you some questions, most of them will probably be follow-ups to information that you gave on your written questionnaire.

Before we get to that point, I want you to be aware of a few things. First of all, the jury in this case will not be sequestered during the trial. That means that at the end of the trial proceedings each day, the jurors will be permitted to return home for the evening and then report back the next day for jury service.

The Court will continue the prohibition on learning anything about the case through the news media. That means that jurors cannot listen to reports on the radio, read things in the newspaper, watch television, check the internet,
or do anything like that to get information about the case during the trial. And the jurors are also not allowed to talk about the case with my one, including other jurors or members of their families.

The proceedings today are open to the public, but we do not allow cameras in the courtroom during voir dire, so the news media is not here to film you. In addition, members of the media cannot use your name in their reports of the proceedings in this case. And, finally, if you are selected as a juror, the cameras in the courtroom at that time will not be permitted to show the faces of the jurors.

If you are retained as a juror after the questioning today, you will be given a telephone call in the next day or two to let you know when to report back to court.

Will it be Mr. Kratz or Mr. Fallon? Mr. Fallon, you may proceed.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, sir. How are you?
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Could do you pronounce your name for us?
A. Hoang.
Q. And you're -- Very good. Mr. Hoang?
A. Yes.
Q. Very good. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General. I work for the State of Wisconsin in Madison. And I'm one of prosecutors, that's one of the persons attempting to prove this gentleman's guilt, Mr. Avery.

Working with me, right to my left, is Mr. Kratz. He's the District Attorney in the nearby county, Calumet County. And he is also the lead special prosecutor in this matter. So, again, good afternoon and welcome.

This is part of our jury selection process and the attorneys, myself and Mr. Kratz and Mr. Strang and Mr. Buting have a few questions to follow up on some of the information you provided in the questionnaire.

I guess I would like to begin with your questionnaire and ask you a question about your comfort in participating in the process, since I guess English is not your first language, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Let me just first say that I -- I --

I thought your responses to the questions were -were accurate. They made sense, so. We -- I just wanted to explore your answer as to how comfortable you are with English. All right?
A. Okay.
Q. How long have you been in our country?
A. Um, in May will be 13.
Q. 13 years?
A. Wait, hold on. Let me think, let's see. I came in U.S. May 22, 1992, so, actually, going on 15 years.
Q. Fifteen years. All right. So you would have been about 10 years old at the time.
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So your education has been here in America?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And how long have you been speaking English pretty comfortably?
A. I would say starting, probably, junior high --
Q. All right.
A. -- and high school years.
Q. And how far, again, did you go in school, sir?
A. I went to LTC for a year I was going to finish it, but I guess work kind of interfered with my
education, so I kind of stop awhile.
Q. All right. So you take a little break from education to make some money to work?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. What were you studying at Lake (sic)?
A. I study accounting.
Q. Anything else in particular that struck you as interesting?
A. Mostically (phonetic) just business, computer, technology, things like that.
Q. Very good. Were you doing good in school?
A. I would say somewhat.
Q. Very good. Now, at any point in the proceedings this afternoon, if $I$ ask a question, or the Judge, or either of Mr . Strang or Mr . Buting, if whoever asks a question and you are not real sure what we're asking, you know, please, tell us, you know.
A. Okay.
Q. And believe me, it's more likely going to be our fault than yours, the way the question is asked, it could be just us lawyers goofing it up, so bear with us. All right?
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. One of the important questions that we
wanted to ask you about is, this case has received a great deal of publicity. Lots of news coverage about it. And we just wanted to ask you a few questions about what you may have seen on the television, or heard on the radio, or read in the newspaper. All right?
A. (No verbal answer.)
Q. I see you don't spend a lot of time watching the news on television; is that...
A. No.
Q. All right. And would it be fair to say that you get most of your information from the newspaper?
A. I would say somewhat --
Q. All right.
A. -- newspaper.
Q. Okay. And where else do you get, you know, some news as to what's going on?
A. Sometime I run into, like, article on internet --
Q. All right.
A. -- if I got free time to search the web.
Q. Okay. So you do use -- you have a computer at home?
A. No, I use the computer at work --
Q. All right.
A. -- or else library sometime.
Q. Okay. And what are some of the things that you like to read up on, using the computer?
A. Honestly, anything, I guess.
Q. All right. Well, it could be sports; it might be something about accounting or bookkeeping; it might be news; world events; maybe what's going on back in your home country; any of those things?
A. I read, somewhat, sports, little bit news.
Q. Okay.
A. On how the world is going.
Q. Okay. Do you remember reading, for instance, we'll start with the internet. Do you remember reading anything about this case on the internet?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. All right. Have you seen anything on the television at all about this case?
A. No.
Q. No, not any of the newscasts or anything?
A. Starting last week, we came here to do the questionnaires. I did later, I went by my girlfriend's workplace. And I accidentally saw, like, you know, a title of article there, but I didn't actually read it.
Q. Okay.
A. So other than that $I$ have no idea.
Q. Okay. So you are not really familiar with any of the facts about this case?
A. No.
Q. Okay. All right. Good. There's one other question that $I$ wanted to just touch base with you on and that was toward the end of the questionnaire. And then $I$ will finish up with some general principles of how our system works to make sure that you understand those.

But one of the things that you did say in the back of your questionnaire, in response to the question, do you hold any religious or philosophical beliefs that forbid you from rendering judgment or, you know, basically determining guilt or innocence; determining whether somebody actually committed a crime or not.

And you answered yes. And I was wondering if you could explain a little bit further your Buddhism and how that may impact your ability to be a juror for us.
A. Well, in my family, we have very strong belief in Buddhism.
Q. Okay.
A. And part of that is, like, we not supposed to judge other people, or any objects, or person.
Q. Okay.
A. Also, it's always believe that if somebody done something, we give them another chance to make it right.
Q. Mm-hmm. Okay. Well, let me ask about that judgment. Now, is that a judgment in the sense of a spiritual judgment, or -- or does it also include trying to decide whether something happened or not, or whether somebody did something or did not do something? Can you tell me a little bit more about the judgment which is contemplated in that Buddhist tenet.
A. From what I known and learned, mostically from what we seen and what is appearing, somewhat spiritual, but mostically, just, don't judge anybody and until, you know, knowed all the facts.
Q. Okay.
A. But majority of it, just -- just that we don't judge anybody, no either they did something right or wrong.
Q. Okay. Well, one of the purposes for a trial like this in the United States is to try to determine
whether somebody actually committed a crime, did something wrong. And that determination is usually left to 12 people like yourself; 12 citizens who sit in the jury box and they listen to all the evidence, as much facts as the lawyers, that's lawyers here and at that table, choose to present to the jurors. And they put all that information in there and then the jury decides whether the person committed the crime or not?
A. Okay.
Q. Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, given that process, is this something that you could do with your religious belief, or do you think your Buddhism would say, you know, that's not something we should do?
A. Honestly, from my point of view, I would think it's more like probably not be able to do it.
Q. You don't think you would be able to do it?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. I'm going to stop there.

THE COURT: Any questions from the defense? ATTORNEY STRANG: I do.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. I'm sorry. Mr. Hoang, my name is Dean Strang and this is Jerome Buting and Steven Avery. We're the defense. And let me just pick up where Mr. Fallon left off with you, if that's all right.

In your country, here in your country, jurors are finders of facts. The Judge is the finder of law, jurors are finders of facts, I think you will learn. And 12 people in the end will determine here what the facts are, at least whether the State has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, the facts as it alleges. Is the process of deciding facts something that you do in your everyday life?
A. I will say, yes.
Q. I mean, in some sense, I suppose we all have to decide facts, is the price on a gallon of orange juice at Pick and Save lower than the price on the orange juice at Copps, or something. But, of course, the facts here are more serious than that, but in the end, that's what you will be asked to do, is to find facts and to apply a burden of proof. And you understand that in your
country here, the burden of proof, to prove the accused person guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt, lies with the State, not with the defense?
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Sure, let me try it again. Mr. Avery is charged with some crimes?
A. Okay.
Q. Right. Here, in America, in the country you and I share, the government has the burden of proving its accusations, beyond a reasonable doubt. They have to prove him guilty under the facts and the law. He does not have to prove himself innocent. Is that something you understand and can accept?
A. Yes.
Q. If the Judge instructs you that the State has the burden to prove guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt, could you follow that instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. If the Court instructs you that, here in your country, a person accused of a crime is presumed to be innocent, unless and until the State can prove him guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt; could you follow that instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. In collaboration with 11 other jurors, if you
serve on this jury, do you think you can try to reach a consensus about the facts and whether the accusations here are proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, or not?
A. I think I can.
Q. Can you follow the Judge's instruction on the law of the United States of America and the State of Wisconsin in doing that?
A. Yes.
Q. As he sits here now, do you have any opinion at all about whether Mr. Avery is guilty or not guilty of the charges he faces? Can you hold on to that neutrality, that evenness, not having an opinion one way or the other, until you have heard all of the evidence in this case and have a chance to decide the facts?
A. I think I can.
Q. Would you listen to any witnesses the State called and give their testimony your fair and honest attention?
A. Yes.
Q. And when one of the two defense lawyers asks questions of those witnesses on cross-examination, will you also listen to that testimony and give it your fair and honest
consideration?
A. Yes.
Q. If we decide to present witnesses, and we don't have to do that, but if we did decide to present witnesses, would you listen to our witnesses and give them the same fair and honest consideration that you would give the State's witnesses?
A. Yes.
Q. Including when the prosecutors are asking questions of our witnesses?
A. Yes.
Q. If Mr. Avery decides to testify, could you consider him in the same way you would consider every other witness in deciding the facts?
A. Yes.
Q. What if he decides not to testify, knowing that he does not have to prove himself innocent and he simply, with our advice, decides not to testify; could you follow the Court's instruction that you may not consider that as a mark against Mr. Avery, or as any evidence of his guilt, indeed, you may not consider that at all in deciding the facts or whether the State has proven guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. I guess I can do that.
Q. If this was asked, I apologize, and just stop me, because I missed it if it was asked. But if you had the privilege and the duty of serving on this jury, which could go six weeks or something like that, would it cause you or your family any financial hardship so extreme that the Court ought to consider that?
A. Honestly, at this time, yes, it would be.
Q. And tell me a little bit about that Mr. Hoang, if you would?
A. Well, as of a year ago, I did had problem with credit cards, so I filed bankruptcy and that. And I still be able to keep my car and my truck and continue payments on that. And plus, right now, $I$ been working at this one company for a year.
Q. Yes.
A. Mostly all my earning incomes go toward the cars, the insurance, somewhat toward the food and rents. Right now I stay with my girlfriends.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And in household, she got three kids, so mostly we're trying to help each other out.
Q. Right. And you work at Great Lakes Technical?
A. Technology.
Q. Technology. Do you know whether they will continue to pay your salary if you are on jury duty or not?
A. I did speak to the human resource about couple days ago and notified them that I might be selected for this. And according to the handbook is only saying that it will pay up to eight hours a day, or the max is 10 days. So that's why I ask them, what happen if this trial take, you know, six weeks or more. And I didn't hear any answer back yet, according to that.
Q. Okay. They are checking on that or do you expect an answer back on that?
A. Yeah, I expect an answer back from that.
Q. But you don't have it yet?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And it looks like you don't have a second part-time job?
A. No.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Just one moment, if I may, Mr. Hoang and your Honor.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ Do you have any way of knowing when you may have an answer from your employer on whether they would continue to pay
your -- your salary, beyond 10 days?
A. Honestly, I don't know, because the headquarters in Plymouth, that's where everything is down there. And here -- We have HR here, but everything that $I$ go to her, she have to confirm with the owners down there.
Q. Okay. So, you don't know when --
A. So, honestly I don't know when.
Q. -- you'll have an answer. Okay. I think -- I think those are all the questions I have. Thank you.
A. Okay.

THE COURT: I have got a couple.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. I want to refer back to your answers about how your religion might affect your ability to serve as a juror in this case.
A. Okay.
Q. If you are selected for the jury, you and the other 11 jurors will have to determine whether the State has proven, by facts beyond a reasonable doubt, that the defendant is guilty of this charge. And if the jury finds the State has met its burden, then they are instructed to find
the defendant guilty. But if the jurors find that the State has not met the burden, then they find the defendant not guilty. Will your
religious beliefs affect your ability to make that decision?
A. I would say no.
Q. That they won't affect it?
A. From what I learned, you know, we not supposed to do it, but since I have been here long enough and I did kind of understand somewhat the law, so I would say I can put somewhat aside and make that decision myself.

THE COURT: Okay. I just -- The legal system doesn't make people give up their religious beliefs in order to be jurors.
A. Okay.
Q. That's what $I$ want to make sure of. I don't want to be -- I want to make sure that the legal system isn't putting pressure on you to do something that you don't want to do. We -- The legal system wants to honor your conscience and let you exercise it as you wish.

Do you feel that in some way you would have to sacrifice your beliefs, or do you just feel comfortable that you could -- you could
serve as a juror and it wouldn't force you to compromise your religious beliefs in any way?
A. Honestly, right now, I would say half and half.
Q. I can only ask you to give an honest answer. If that's the most honest answer you have, I will accept that answer. That's the way you feel?
A. Honestly, I don't think I should be able to do it due to what I somewhat have very strong belief in.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. The Clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.) THE COURT: Is there a motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think, reluctantly, otherwise, I think the juror is suitable, although the economic hardship issue developed by Mr. Strang at the end is also a consideration. But there's something about his approach that suggests to me he really wants to participate in that process since he's now in this country and wants to be part of the system.

But I guess I was not entirely convinced that he is comfortable with that, given his
religious upbringing. And I think we ought to honor that. And even if he has some doubt and is half and half, I just don't think we should put him in that situation.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: This is a very, very tough call. The Court's questions were appropriate. And I understand the possible financial hardship issue. And I understand the conscience issue. And where civic duty collides with conscience, as people express that through obligations to family, or obligations to faith, it gets very tough.

And what I -- what I really would like to do here and propose, is that the Court give him an instruction that we want to hear, by tomorrow night or by Friday morning, what the employer's position is, if he's heard, he can't force it, but if he's heard, on the wage replacement issue.

If he has no assurance that he will be paid beyond 10 days, or he's been told he won't be, then I equally, reluctantly, would join the motion and acknowledge he has to be struck for cause. If the wage issue goes away, the hardship issue goes away, I think it's worth asking him
there whether that affects the half and half answer he gave on this conflict between duty and privilege as a naturalized citizen of this country to participate in the institutions of his new country and honoring his faith. And so, in a sense, I'm asking the Court to hold the motion in abeyance; $I$ may have to join it in the end. THE COURT: I agree that if the only outstanding issue were the financial issue $I$ would be inclined to consider the defense recommendation here. But my primary concern and this is another one of those demeanor cases, when Mr. Fallon finished his questions the -- I got the impression that the juror just felt uncomfortable and following up what he wrote in his written questionnaire did not feel he would be able to serve in this case. When Mr. Strang asked a number of questions specifically related to the duty of $a$ juror, he was giving answers that indicated he could serve. And because of that ambiguity, I asked him a few follow-up questions which I tried to tailor as specifically I could to recognize the balance between a juror who's interested in serving with getting the opportunity to do so and yet not asking a juror to sacrifice his religious
beliefs in order to be a juror.
I believe that the juror understood the nature of the questions and my observation of his demeanor suggested that he held, I guess, a wrestling match in his own conscience with whether or not he felt he could reconcile his religious beliefs with the duties that would be imposed upon a juror. And based on the last answers that he gave, I felt that he genuinely felt that his service as a juror would compromise his religious beliefs.

He indicated he holds those near and dear to him. And that serving as a juror could well compromise those beliefs. And I think while we ask a lot of jurors in terms of time, in terms of attention and in terms of sacrifice, one thing we don't ask them to do is give up religious beliefs to serve as a juror. And for that reason, I'm going to excuse this juror.

THE COURT: The next juror is Mr. Lafond.
All right. Mr. Lafond, please raise your right hand and the clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.

THE COURT: Mr. Lafond, you have already completed a written jury questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is the voir dire process.

In a few minutes the attorneys will have an opportunity to ask you some questions that relate to your qualifications as a juror. Many of them will follow up on answers that you gave in your questionnaire.

Before we get to that, I can inform you that the jury in this case will not be sequestered. That means that members of the jury will be permitted to return home each day after court and then come back the next morning.

Because of that fact, we will continue the requirement that the jurors not be exposed to any information about this case from any news media including newspapers, television, radio and the internet. And that the jurors not converse about the case with anyone including members of their families or other jurors until it's time to deliberate at the close of the case.

These proceedings today are open to the public, but we do not permit cameras in the courtroom during the voir dire process. And the
media is not allowed to disclose the names of jurors in news reporting of this case.

In addition, if you are selected to serve on the jury, cameras are not permitted to film the jurors during the trial process itself.

If you remain as a juror after
questioning today, you will get telephone instructions within the next day or two letting you know when it's time to return to court.

Mr. Fallon.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon. Is it Mr. Lafond?
A. Lafond, yes.
Q. Very good. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm a prosecutor in this particular case. I'm working with Mr. Ken Kratz. He is the gentleman to my left, the District Attorney in Calumet County. And he's the lead prosecutor in this prosecution.

We're here this afternoon to follow up and ask some additional questions of you, based on your responses that you provided in the questionnaire last Monday. I wanted to begin
briefly, rather quickly, with a few questions regarding your employment. I see by trade you are a tool and die maker?
A. I was until Paragon Electric moved out of town.
Q. All right.
A. I have been a machinist for the last seven years.
Q. Okay. And you are currently with HMF Innovations?
A. Yes.
Q. If you would help me out, I'm not from the area, so what kind of business is that?
A. We make specialty machinery for people all over the country, a lot of house testing equipment, a lot of jobs for Caterpillar, a lot of jobs for right around town here.
Q. Okay. So is it heavy equipment that you are involved in producing?
A. It can be anything from real tiny stuff up to machinery that's 100 and 150 feet long.
Q. All right. Very good. And how long have you been with the new company here?
A. Seven years.
Q. Seven years, I see, okay. All right. Now, the other thing $I$ wanted to verify with you is a response that you gave us to the very last
question in the questionnaire.
Recognizing the fact that this case may very well go six weeks, in which case from most likely Monday through Friday, for about nine hours a day or so, you will be tied up involved in the court proceedings if you are selected as a juror. Would that cause any financial hardship on you and/or your family if you were away from work that long?
A. No.
Q. All right. So you have, I take it, some assurances that you will be able to at least have sufficient income during this period if you were selected as a juror?
A. My company will pay for it, he said.
Q. All right. Very good. Okay. I see for some of the civic groups that you are involved in you are involved with the Knights of Columbus at your local church?
A. Mm-hmm, yes.
Q. All right. And chairman of the spaghetti dinner and the church bingo and the Men's Club and those are all associated with your church?
A. Basically, yes, mm-hmm.
Q. And is -- The church that you belong to is St.

Peter, the Fisherman?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. Very good. All right. Have you been a long time member of those various organizations?
A. Pretty much all my life, 25, 30 years, yeah.
Q. All right. Are you -- I take it, then, you are a life long resident of this area, Manitowoc County?
A. Yes. Yes, mm-hmm.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, a matter of concern to all of us in selecting the jurors for this case is how much they may have heard about the case in the media and whether that's led to any really strongly held or felt opinions about the case and things of that sort. So that's what $I$ would like to talk about at this moment. All right?
A. Sure.
Q. First, it looks like you get your news sources from a variety of sources, or radio, television, and the newspapers and that you do read the newspaper daily; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you also apparently watch, fairly regularly, the news broadcasts?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, do you get your news from any other source, like say the internet perhaps; do you have a computer at home that you might use, or ...
A. We have got a computer, but $I$ don't -- I don't use it for news.
Q. Okay. Okay. Did you receive a directive from the Court, oh, about three weeks or so ago, asking that since you might be a juror in this particular case that you would -- it would be appreciated if you would refrain from reviewing or listening to any of the news commentary regarding this case? Did you get that?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. All right. And have you been able to honor that request?
A. Not totally, the newspaper is the easy part, but the place that I'm employed at is a small machine shop and we have radios playing all day long in every corner. The news is on all day long and so I have heard some of it at work, you know --
Q. Okay.
A. -- different things. Mostly about jury selection has been this week.
Q. All right. Well, let me ask you, then, just
figuring in the last three weeks, we'll work with that bit of information. What do you recall hearing either on the radio or from any other news source about the case?
A. Well, I remember something last Friday about some blood that's going to be tested, whether or not it's got this preservative in or something.
Q. Okay.
A. That's the only thing that really pops into my mind.
Q. Okay. What about anything involving the charges in the case, or anything involving a fellow by the name of Brendan Dassey, any of that ring a chord with you?
A. Well, yeah, I wasn't real sure if it was in the last three weeks, but I believe he's -- he had confessed to helping out and now he's recanted that story --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and taken it back.
Q. All right. Anything else that you recall now, just from that in the last three weeks, that you ...
A. No.
Q. All right. How about when the case first broke,
when the news story first broke about this woman, Teresa Halbach's disappearance and within the week, the arrest of the defendant here,

Mr. Avery. Did you follow those telecasts?
A. Very closely.
Q. You did?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Yes.
Q. All right. And did you follow the media coverage with respect to the arrest of Mr . Dassey and -and his statements regarding his involvement from about 11 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- 11 months ago?
A. Sure. Yes, I did.
Q. All right. And do you recall any of the details from either one of those media coverage?
A. Of his arrest, or of the reasons for his arrest?
Q. Yeah, whatever you can tell us that you recall.
A. Well, just that he was arrested. And then I remember seeing pictures of a red house trailer. And they had pictures of a burn pit and stuff, where supposedly her body had been burned. And they had found bone fragments. I'm sure -- I can't think of a lot of things right now. I'm sure if you jog my memory, there will be a lot
more things.
Q. Okay.
A. But $I$ watched pretty much all of it.
Q. All right.
A. We were pretty much glued to the TV.
Q. Okay. So it would be fair to say that you followed the case fairly closely up until you got the directive from the Court?
A. Yes, mm-hmm.
Q. All right. Well, here's the reason we ask these questions. And in the questionnaire, in response to one question you said, have you formed any personal opinions based on the publicity. And you said, yes, based on the publicity, that he may be guilty.

And then the next question was -- or the two questions later, you were asked, have you formed any opinion yourself, based on the information that you had from any source, of his guilt or innocence. And you said, yes and you said, again, he may be guilty.

You followed that up with an answer to a question that the Court will advise you that, if you are selected as a juror, you would be required to set aside that information that you
heard in the media and decide this case only on the evidence which is presented during the trial.

And you answered that case -- or that question yes. Do you feel -- still feel you would be able to do that, or do you feel that your opinion is pretty well set, based on all the information that you have from following the case so closely?
A. I guess I would have to say that my opinion is pretty well set but, you know, if there was earth shaking type evidence that would change my mind, sure. But whether or not that happens, I don't know, but my mind is pretty well -- pretty well made up, sure.
Q. Yeah. So in other words, you know -- so your answer to the question might be different, then, today from last week; in other words, you don't think you would be able to set aside that information?
A. Like I said, if it was something that was -- that really changed my mind, yeah. I mean, it would have to be something really substantial that everything that I have heard right now in through the media, $I$ formed an opinion that is fairly strong and it would not be easy to change it,
but . . .
Q. All right. Even though Mr. Avery is presumed innocent?
A. Even though.
Q. Okay. One second, please.

ATTORNEY FALLON: We don't have any more questions for the witness.

THE COURT: All right. The Clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, do you have a joint motion to make?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think it's quite apparent the witness is -- or excuse me, the juror is fairly well set in his ways; although his questionnaire didn't seem to read that way, I think we have to take his comments at his word. And I would just as soon see if there's -- I think there's one last juror there we might be able to talk to, but it didn't seem that this would be all that productive. No, I think he would need to be struck for cause.

THE COURT: Does the defense agree?
ATTORNEY STRANG: On this one, I have to agree.

THE COURT: The Court agrees as well. We will -- The Court will order the juror stricken for cause and we'll take Tim Holsen as the last juror today.

Mr. Holsen, please raise your right hand and the Clerk will swear you in.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
MR. HOLSEN: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Holsen, you have already completed a written questionnaire in this case. At this time we're moving on to the next stage of voir dire -- or the next stage of the jury selection process which is known as voir dire.

The attorneys have a chance to ask you some questions as follow-up to the information you provided on your questionnaire.

Before we get to that, I have got a few pieces of information to pass on to you. First of all, the jury in this case will not be sequestered. That means that the jurors will be permitted to return home each day after the trial and return the next morning.

The prohibition on learning anything from the news media will continue throughout the
trial; that is, the jurors cannot consult the television, radio, newspapers, the internet, or anything else to learn anything about the case, other than the evidence presented in court. And the jurors are prohibited from discussing the case with anyone, including family members and other jurors until it's time to deliberate.

Although the proceedings today are open, the Court does not allow cameras in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings. And the news media is not permitted to disclose the names of jurors.

In addition, if you are selected to serve on the jury, any cameras at the trial will not be permitted to show the jurors in anyway that identifies who they are.

If you are continued as a juror after proceedings today, you will receive telephone instructions in the next day or two letting you know when to return to court.

Mr. Fallon, will you be asking questions for the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, thank you.
THE COURT: Very well.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Holsen, my name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice and I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. I'm based in Madison, helping out here. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the District Attorney for Calumet County. He's also a special prosecutor and the lead prosecutor in this matter.

This is our opportunity, as attorneys, to follow up and ask a few additional questions of you, based on some of the information you provided last week, to help us in selecting a jury for this case.

First, had a couple of general questions regarding your employment. As I understand it, you are currently with the Kohler Company?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what do you do for them? You are into supervising but ...
A. I'm a supervisor of materials, management, shipping; actually about four departments.
Q. Okay. And how long have you been with that company?
A. Twenty-two years.
Q. Just wanted to verify, one of the responses you
gave is a consideration for all of us here. On the very last question of the questionnaire, there is -- or there was a question regarding if this case were, in fact, to take six weeks, as to whether that would cause some particular hardship for you.

And there's -- kind of looks like there might be two angles here for us to explore. So let me, first, take the obvious one. Would you be allowed to take leave of absence, as it were, from the Kohler Company to sit as a juror if you were selected?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. Okay. So you would be able to have sufficient income coming in?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the second part of it is, one of the things that was mentioned on page three of your questionnaire and again at the end, and you're involved in some online schooling?
A. Yes, I'm going to UW Stout for my Bachelor's Degree, online. So I'm taking classes currently.
Q. All right. And this is all distance learning, I guess --
A. Right.
Q. -- as they say?
A. Correct.
Q. How much time does that take of your day to participate in that?
A. Twelve hours a week. Approximately twelve hours a week.
Q. Twelve hours a week. And is that something where you are actually participating in a class that's live online, or is it something that's -- you download the course assignments, for instance, work on them and then complete them? How does it work.
A. Correct. There's quizzes online and then papers due online.
Q. All right.
A. So online time is probably, you know, three to four hours a week; the rest is all study.
Q. Study, okay.
A. Correct.
Q. And when do you normally do that work?
A. Usually on weekends.
Q. Okay. All right. So, if -- Technically speaking, then, if the Court were not in session on the weekends and you got home at say 6 o'clock every night, would you still be able to continue
with the online work?
A. I think so, yeah.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, the other matter of concern to us is the -- any opinions or thoughts you may have about the case as a result of quite a bit of publicity. And it seems that at least you are familiar with some of that publicity. You get news from both radio and television; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Of the two, which one would you say you get most of your news from?
A. Probably the television.
Q. All right. And let's start there. How often do you watch the local TV news?
A. Nightly.
Q. Nightly?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. So my next question, then, is have you at all been following this case since it's inception?
A. Since it's inception, pretty much, yeah. See, it's close to home. I live real close to Mishicot, so it's close to home. Yes, I have been following it.
Q. All right. And have you lived in Mishicot for a substantial period of time?
A. Yes, since 2000 .
Q. Since 2000. So you have been there the last seven years and you were born in Manitowoc, in --
A. Right.
Q. -- the city here?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you live in the city before you moved to Mishicot?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, you have got a little bit of a commute down to Kohler?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. All right. I will get back to the television in just a second. I also see that you read the newspapers fairly regularly?
A. Correct.
Q. Which papers do you read?
A. Mostly online, Herald-Times, Sheboygan Press and sometimes the Green Bay Press Gazette.
Q. All right. Do you read all three papers pretty regularly or is it one week you might read one and the next week --
A. Yeah, it's intermittently --
Q. Okay.
A. -- during the week, yeah.
Q. Whatever seems to strike your interest?
A. Following sports a little bit and business.
Q. Would it be fair to say if one paper has a story that kind of strikes your interest you might follow that story in the paper for a couple days --
A. Correct.
Q. -- and then switch back to one of the other papers?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. All right. Now, with respect to this particular case, what coverage do you remember -Well, first of all, I'm going to do this in reverse order. A couple weeks ago, I believe you may have received or should have received a letter from the Court advising you that the possibility of being a juror was rather imminent?
A. Correct.
Q. And that you were asked not to watch or pay attention to any more media whenever you could avoid it?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And did you receive the letter?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Have you been able to follow the Court's advice?
A. For the most part. I mean, it's still on just about every news channel every time you turn it on. But I make an effort to leave the room when it comes on, so.
Q. Okay. All right. In terms of any coverage of the case, in say the last three weeks or four weeks, within the last month; what, if anything, or what do you remember seeing or hearing about the coverage of the case during that period?
A. Basically, just some of the charges that were brought against Mr. Avery.
Q. Okay.
A. That was a big thing. And, then, of course, a little bit about the jury selection, until I received that letter.
Q. All right. Any particular details of any of the court proceedings in the last three or four weeks? Anything strike your fancy, or catch your interest, or do you happen to overhear at work, or anything?
A. You know, it's pretty hard, everybody talks about it everywhere. So I try to ignore it and not,
you know, make conversation about it. So I would have to answer that nothing in particular strikes me at this time.
Q. All right. All right. Well, let's take about the -- the -- the one year period before that. Would you say you followed this case closely or ...
A. Yeah, I would say closely, yes.
Q. All right. And have you watched any of the -any news conferences or just the news stories?
A. Mostly just the news stories.
Q. All right.
A. And the big part is when it was developing, you know, everybody wanted to know what was going on, what was happening. And being a small community, it was, you know, of interest.
Q. So in November, December of 2005 and January, February, March of 2006, you paid pretty close attention?
A. Yes, very close.
Q. All right. How about through this past summer?
A. Tapered off quite a bit.
Q. All right.
A. The media got a hold of it and it became less interesting, I guess.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. The mediate -- media became more interested. It became more widespread and I guess my interest, I withdrew a little bit.
Q. All right. Starting when?
A. Probably this summer.
Q. Okay.
A. This past summer.
Q. All right. Well, we wanted to talk to you about some of the opinions you may or may not have formed as a result of all that media coverage and ask you this. I mean, you, first of all, understand that as Mr. Avery sits here today, the law presumes him innocent?
A. Correct.
Q. You understand that?
A. I understand that.
Q. All right. And do you accept that principle?
A. I do accept that, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, I know there's been an awful lot of media coverage here, so we'll get right to the point. Have you formed any opinion that he is guilty, based strictly on what the media has told you of the facts?
A. No.
Q. All right. Are you concerned about the manner -Well -- All right. Let me ask it this way. Is there anything about Mr. Avery, or his family, or his past that has led to forming any kind of opinions about his guilt or innocence?
A. I don't know the family.
Q. Okay.
A. But being from a small area, you do hear rumors so, you know, I have heard some of those.
Q. All right. All right. Now, these rumors, can I assume you are taking them for just what they are, rumors?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And has -- has that information all led to any opinions of his guilt, based on rumor?
A. You know, let me give you a little background. Coming from Manitowoc, it's just a little bit bigger than where I live now, Francis creek. And when you move to a small community like Francis Creek, everybody knows everybody and everybody talks. And a lot of that is just rumors. I try not to get caught up in that; I really do.
Q. All right. So you don't pay much attention to that.
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. Well, so just that I'm clear, as he sits here today, you don't have any particular opinion of his guilt or innocence?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. And the Court did ask, in the questionnaire here, is if you did have any opinions or any thoughts regarding the crime or his involvement or whatever, that if you were selected as a juror you would be asked to set that aside and decide his guilt or innocence solely on the evidence which we present in the courtroom?
A. To the best of my ability, correct.
Q. Right. And you answered yes?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you still feel that way --
A. Yes, I do.
Q. -- today? Okay.
A. Yup.
Q. I wanted to also follow up with a couple of other questions regarding some experiences that you have had with law enforcement, if I may?
A. Sure.
Q. I'm just struggling just a bit, as the light goes down and my age goes up, my ability to read
without my reading glasses diminishes ever so slightly. But it looks like you have had some experiences with some of the county law enforcement officers. So tell us a little bit about that.
A. Sure. I was good friends with Tom Jansen, who was a county officer for a number of years. And our kids were very close, a lot of sporting activities, camping, things like that. He has since moved to the western part of the state and really haven't had much contact with him.
Q. All right. And how long ago did he move away?
A. That was probably a year and a half ago.
Q. All right. But you were pretty good friends up to that point?
A. Yes, we were, yeah.
Q. Okay. Did he ever talk about the business of law enforcement with you?
A. No.
Q. All right.
A. No, we kept that separate. We really didn't talk work, we just had more fun with family and friends.
Q. Okay. So no plumbing and no law enforcement?
A. Exactly. No toilets and --
Q. Work and -- All right. Very good.
A. $\quad--$ no law enforcement.
Q. Now, you also indicated that you did have -- was it a friend or a friend's son was killed on an ATV accident?
A. That is correct, yeah.
Q. Now, was that something that was a pure accident --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or was there something --
A. Pure accident.
Q. Okay. So there was no reason for any law enforcement investigation?
A. No.
Q. Just a sad occurrence?
A. Exactly.
Q. Okay. All right. Now, because you were such good friends with this former officer, Tom Jansen, do you think your friendship with him would have any impact on your ability to evaluate a law enforcement officer's testimony the same way you would evaluate any other person's testimony?
A. I would still hold it in high regard, so.
Q. All right. All right. That's fair, you would
expect them to be credible, right?
A. Very credible, yes.
Q. Okay. However, when it comes to a court of law, there's an instruction the Court will provide to all the jurors and it tells you that we take all witnesses as they are and that those witnesses should be evaluated on the same standard; in other words, treated the same. You look at them and you determine their believability, their honesty, their credibility, the same as you would any other person; do you think you could do that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will pass the juror. THE COURT: Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. My name is Jerome Buting and I, along with Attorney Dean Strang, defend Mr. Avery here, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Now, Mr. Holsen, you were here last week and filled out one of these questionnaires, right?
A. That's correct, sir.
Q. And you took your time and carefully read all the questions?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And you answered them really from the heart?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And, in fact, you signed it, swearing that it was true; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Under penalty of perjury?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And last week, you were asked if there was any reason that you could not be a fair and impartial juror?
A. Correct.
Q. And you said, yes, there was. And you said that this is so close to home and I have kids that go to Mishicot and we have heard so much about it, if I were selected I would do my best to be fair?
A. That's correct. I still feel the same way, yes.
Q. But, then, you also said, in answer to a question Mr. Fallon asked, if you had any opinions that had been formed --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- today. And I don't know if you forgot what you said last week, but when you were asked that
question, if you had formed any personal opinions about the case based on publicity, last week you said, yes, you had; do you remember?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had said that -- one of your opinions was that the Avery family has problems?
A. That's, again, you know, what we hear as rumors and it's hard when you are a small community like we are, you hear everything.
Q. Sure, I know you do.
A. And, you know, I guess I'm just trying to balance that, sir, so.
Q. Sure, but that's an opinion --
A. I understand.
Q. -- that's an opinion that last week, when you filled this out, you had said you had formed, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And you also expressed some real concern about the knowledge that you had gotten from the coverage, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you said that, when asked to describe what you remember about it, you used these terms about how sad and how savage it was and that it was so
close to home, that my kids -- and that my kids saw it and that it -- it had ties with Mishicot school with Branden?
A. Correct.
Q. Is that where your kids go?
A. Correct.
Q. They go to the same high school as Brendan Dassey?
A. Correct.
Q. Were they in the same grade as him?
A. I believe -- Eric is 15 and my daughter is 13, so they are a year younger, I believe.
Q. Okay. And you also said that you were concerned, or you asked if you discussed this case at length with any other persons and you said, yes, my wife, as we are concerned about our kids?
A. Correct.
Q. As they go to the same school as some Avery's and how close to home it is.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Correct.
Q. Right?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. So, you're concerned that there could be some -maybe some fall out if ...
A. Correct. It's a small community.
Q. It's a small community and if you -- if you voted to -- for not guilty -- or if you voted Mr. Avery guilty, you would be concerned about some retribution?
A. Either way.
Q. Either way.
A. Either way.
Q. And it is a small community. And that's a pretty hard thing to put aside, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And we're not asking you to be super human here. I mean, you are a human being like everybody else and, you know, you are not expected to be a perfect juror in every case, right?
A. Understood, correct.
Q. You may be a perfectly fine juror in some cases and others maybe not?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in this case, you also talked about how, if you had formed any opinion whatsoever about Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence and, again, last week you said, yes, you had?
A. Correct.
Q. And that you mentioned the past history of Mr. Avery and the gore of it, as covered by TV
news, papers, and radio, right?
A. Correct. Let me explain a little bit. Most of this was directed at my children, you know, as any parent, very concerned about them. So, that's -- that's the answer.
Q. And did you -- Did you see a news conference where Mr. Kratz, in fact, warned that any children under the age of 15 should probably not listen to it?
A. I do not recall.
Q. Or did your wife see that and talk to you about it?
A. That's possible. I don't think I saw it. I have heard about it a little bit but. I mean, it's just -- it's a -- it's a -- Again, let me put it this way, it's a sad case and you don't ever want your children exposed to things like that that happen in the world, unfortunately.
Q. Right. And so I'm wondering, you know, given all of that and it's closeness to home and the way that it's really -- really affected your feelings and your -- about your children and your wife's concerns --
A. Right.
Q. -- if you really think that you would be a good
juror for this case?
A. I would say no, but if -- if I was called to do so, I would do so.
Q. Okay. Well, I mean, you are called to try and be -- you're called to be a fair jury -- juror. And if, in a particular case, if that's asking too much of you, there's nothing to be ashamed of, you understand that?
A. Understood.
Q. And that if this is really something that you just think would be too difficult, there's no shame whatsoever in telling the Court that you really think you wouldn't be a good juror in this case?
A. Okay. And I -- Actually, I probably wouldn't be, being it's so close to home and that's why I wrote it.
Q. Okay. I appreciate that. I just wanted to clarify it --
A. Sure.
Q. -- because today it seemed like -- and sometimes when jurors come in to Court, they feel like they don't want to say that they can't be a fair juror because that reflects on them. And it's not really true. I mean, we all have different life
experiences and this one obviously hit very close to you.
A. Correct.
Q. So I want you to -- It's important because, Mr. Avery, despite what all you have heard, Mr. Avery -- you haven't heard it all. And he deserves the presumption of innocence and deserves to start with jurors who have a clean slate and can start, really, from zero?
A. Okay.
Q. And if you are not somebody who can do that, then in all honesty, I wish you would please tell us that.
A. Okay. I already have.
Q. That you can't?
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you. I appreciate that, sir.
A. No problem.

THE COURT: All right. We'll have the Clerk escort you from the courtroom at this time. (Wherein the juror was excused.)

THE COURT: Mr. Buting, does the defense have a motion?

ATTORNEY BUTING: I do. I move to strike.
THE COURT: Anything from the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No, we'll join, based on the last set of responses; I think it's evident. THE COURT: The Court agrees. The Court will order that Mr. Holsen be stricken for cause. And I will see you at 8:30 tomorrow morning. Anything before we go?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Just a quick question, Judge, how far down the list should we prepare to --in other words, how many do you think you will be calling in tomorrow, so that we'll have all of the information?

THE COURT: I would say, well, to be safe 14; hopefully, it won't take us that many. ATTORNEY STRANG: And Mrs. Gonia is the first one. THE COURT: She is the next one, correct. ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay. Thank you. ATTORNEY FALLON: That comes up to, by my count, Juror No. 87.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Pretty much finishes that second page completely.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Right. That would take us through the second page.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
ATTORNEY FALLON: That's what I had come up
with.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.
THE COURT: The last juror is what number?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Eighty-seven maybe.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Juror No. 87 would be 14.
THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: That's what I come up with.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's do that, prepare up to 87.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right.
THE COURT: All right. Just a second, here's the jurors I have got left. I got No. 60 .

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Sixty-five.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Correct.
THE COURT: Sixty-seven.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Sixty-eight.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Seventy-one.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Correct.
THE COURT: Seventy-two.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Seventy-four.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Seventy-five.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Seventy-five is excused.
THE COURT: Seventy-five is out.
ATTORNEY FALLON: They are excused.
THE COURT: Okay. Seventy-six.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: Seventy-seven.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Seventy-seven, oh, that's the one that we kicked. That was already out.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Did you?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I didn't have that written down.

THE COURT: All right. So 78, 79, 81.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Right.
THE COURT: Eighty-six.
ATTORNEY FALLON: And 87.
THE COURT: Let's include 88. Oops, 88 --
ATTORNEY BUTING: Eighty-eight is gone. I don't have 77 off.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That was --
THE COURT: To be safe, let's go through 91.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. So that's 90 and 91. That's fine. (Proceedings concluded.)
THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. So that's 90 and
91. That's fine.
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242/24 251/20 296/20 305/16
324/22 326/19 328/23
attitude [1] 251/23
attitudes [1] 9/10
Attorney [47] 1/16 1/18 2/4 2/5
$2 / 82 / 102 / 112 / 142 / 152 / 172 / 18$ $2 / 21$ 2/22 $2 / 23$ 2/24 3/3 3/4 3/8 $3 / 93 / 113 / 123 / 163 / 183 / 194 / 8$ 21/24 22/4 38/12 38/16 58/3 58/7 85/20 85/24 114/21 145/14 150/6 150/9 204/10 204/14 256/1 286/5 286/10 307/16 307/20 319/2 319/6 332/20
Attorney's [2] 182/22 188/23
attorneys [23] 11/15 20/10 28/22
36/23 37/1 80/25 84/7 110/13
113/8 123/21 148/25 203/1 253/25
266/23 267/24 267/24 268/6
269/22 284/10 286/15 306/5
317/15 319/9
attributed [1] 160/10
ATV [1] 331/5
auction [2] 222/23 223/3
aunt [1] 187/11
aura [1] 106/17
Aurora[1] 67/7
authority [1] 72/16
automatically [1] 234/21
available [1] 170/10
average [2] 6/24 6/25
AVERY [91] 1/6 1/20 4/2 4/10
28/21 30/21 32/1 33/5 34/1 45/20 46/3 48/9 48/23 51/23 61/5 64/7 64/22 66/10 67/22 69/13 74/15 78/19 78/24 79/14 80/7 91/11 95/22 99/20 100/15 106/5 109/17 110/21 111/4 111/13111/21112/3
116/25 117/8 $118 / 8$ 123/8 125/9 125/10 130/24 131/11 145/17
$156 / 10158 / 13164 / 11175 / 5175 / 6$
175/19 177/22 178/14 180/18
218/12 220/5 221/8 221/9 234/16
238/25 240/4 240/12 257/5 257/15 257/18 259/8 260/22 261/13
263/23 266/15 275/23 276/4 282/6 283/9 286/8 294/4 295/5 296/11
297/12 297/21 313/4 316/2 325/14
$327 / 13328 / 3332 / 20334 / 6336 / 2$
336/25 339/5 339/6
Avery's [13] 27/19 102/19 117/16
122/13 129/15 156/6 231/18 244/2
257/4 259/20 281/3 335/18 336/21
avoid [5] 13/25 84/19 124/18
124/19 324/23
avoiding [1] 165/9
await [1] 17/13
aware [11] $7 / 152 / 1252 / 1754 / 5$
122/13 141/18 148/7 158/1 183/12 209/19 284/15
away[11] 43/2 138/10 181/7 212/1 267/11 277/14 278/17 303/24
303/25 309/8 330/12
awful [1] 327/20
awhile [1] 288/1

## B

babysitter [1] 127/5
Bachelor's [1] 320/21
back[65] 18/6 20/19 21/17 30/8
38/5 41/5 45/12 45/12 46/16
48/10 48/12 49/21 57/22 83/2 85/13 109/3 $114 / 11126 / 11135 / 3$ 140/11 140/19 142/2 143/10 145/4 145/8 145/9 148/3 151/4 155/18 162/18 165/10 166/1 168/1 182/19 191/3 200/15 201/8 204/16 208/5 210/8 226/19 231/11 234/11
238/18 249/11 250/12 250/19
255/9 256/20 256/21 270/25
275/19 279/16 284/20 285/18
290/7 291/12 299/11 299/13
299/14 300/16 306/14 312/20
323/15 324/10
background [13] $12 / 9$ 12/24 24/20
96/3 102/20 108/13 121/5 186/23
210/14 244/2 260/2 263/25 328/16
bad [2] 75/2 103/16
badge [1] 104/4
badges [1] 73/8
badly [1] 6/17
bagels [1] 115/14
bakery[3] 115/10 115/12 115/12
balance [5] 7/16 54/16 222/4
304/23 334/11
band [1] 97/17
bang [1] 228/15
bankruptcy [1] 298/12
banquets [1] 222/24
bar [2] 184/10 184/10
barrel [1] 159/18
bars [1] 236/8
base [8] 15/2 88/2 246/13 246/22
246/24 258/16 259/23 291/6
based [50] 12/19 14/9 16/10 17/15 26/16 26/21 26/25 27/7 36/9 37/9 50/3 50/19 54/22 91/1 93/5
157/14 158/7 169/10 174/3 181/23 212/8 219/1 220/2 237/20 246/5 246/9 246/10 248/18 248/21
248/24 249/1 256/8 257/11 258/13 259/13 269/18 281/7 281/10 305/8 307/23 314/13 314/14 314/18
behind [3] 15/1 101/15 236/8 being [32] 14/13 18/2 31/19 44/22 46/15 52/16 57/5 72/9 72/12 105/4 116/2 122/21 123/8 123/16 134/21 136/9 170/20 183/25 199/19 200/4 202/15 235/19 236/12 243/9 244/2 245/16 278/18 $324 / 19$ 326/15 328/8 336/12 338/16
belief [4] 30/4 291/23 293/15 302/8
beliefs[10] 291/14 301/4 301/15 301/24 302/2 305/1 305/7 305/11 305/14 305/18
believability[5] 32/12 63/22 169/1 209/16 332/9
believable [1] 267/9
believe [96] $4 / 185 / 1311 / 1513 / 11$ 14/8 $15 / 3$ 16/1 16/25 17/20 19/18 22/7 36/12 40/16 43/4 43/9 44/16 53/9 69/16 81/5 110/5 110/18 114/13 118/17 121/22 123/11 123/16 131/20 132/19 132/20 132/20 132/24 133/17 134/12
134/13 135/18 146/10 151/7 152/6 161/20 162/2 162/4 162/10 164/5 164/16 172/5 178/2 178/2 178/4 178/4 178/7 181/11 195/17196/5 196/5 200/21 201/4 201/8 216/16 217/4 223/5 227/19 228/12 231/25 234/12 235/8 240/7 241/5 244/10 244/23 246/15 248/23 249/5
249/21 249/22 257/25 258/3
258/11 258/21 260/16 262/20
262/21 263/7 263/10 267/18 268/9 272/15 273/12 280/13 282/15 288/20 292/4 305/2 312/16 324/16 335/11 335/12
believes [2] 5/17 52/2
belive [1] 162/22
belong [4] 66/13 66/16 66/18
309/25
belonged [1] 159/19
bench [1] 184/10
benefit [1] 283/10
besides [2] 214/10 219/19
best [19] 6/13 6/21 14/10 17/17 19/19 33/12 34/15 36/8 43/7 $44 / 17$ 44/23 50/7 146/24 182/4 194/18 266/23 329/13 333/18 344/13
Betsy [1] 4/22
better [6] 51/6 54/7 81/25 93/13 140/1 250/3
between [11] $8 / 1741 / 2567 / 13$ 107/11 188/20 191/15 192/22 205/5 259/3 304/2 304/23
beyond [44] 8/4 28/13 29/6 30/24 34/8 64/12 64/21 69/17 80/7 $107 / 4111 / 21112 / 3118 / 2118 / 10$ 132/10 134/5 174/6 176/14 178/21 180/9 180/14 180/17 181/18
187/10 188/9 220/10 237/21 238/3
240/16 266/5 267/13 273/16
273/17 282/15 294/13 295/2
295/10 295/16 295/22 296/3
297/24 300/1 300/22 303/21
bias [19] 50/4 50/18 50/19 50/24 51/12 146/1 146/2 146/3 146/7 146/9 $177 / 3182 / 4182 / 5182 / 5$ 185/2 190/6 190/10 247/13 247/22 biases [1] 51/17
big [8] 94/12 143/6 172/21 189/13

206/18 281/12 325/16 326/13
bigger [2] 59/22 328/18
biggest [1] 187/3
Bill [1] 195/5
bingo [1] 309/22
birthday [1] 154/3
bit [58] 10/18 12/14 23/17 25/19
26/23 28/10 29/17 39/15 43/11 44/24 49/9 60/25 61/1 63/19 76/6 86/7 88/22 89/24 99/14 102/2
103/5 103/7 125/11 134/14 173/19 184/25 185/9 204/22 209/24
211/12 212/7 213/22 215/17 222/3 226/25 227/6 229/8 231/16 234/12 256/9 271/23 282/12 290/9 291/20 292/13 298/9 312/2 322/6 323/12
324/4 325/17 326/22 327/4 328/17
329/24 330/4 337/2 337/14
bits [5] 103/12 189/17 189/22
213/12 218/5
bitter [1] 277/9
bitterness [1] 277/22
black [2] 243/10 248/8
black/white [1] 243/10
block [4] $46 / 846 / 11170 / 8170 / 8$ blood [30] $13 / 1113 / 2114 / 316 / 19$ 52/16 81/17 109/6 109/8 161/10 161/10 161/12 162/14 163/23 164/4 181/22 182/10 185/12 186/2 186/17 189/12 192/17 192/22 194/10 195/13 195/14 199/6 201/7 201/7 257/8 312/6
Blue [1] 92/5
board [2] 215/7 224/21
body [12] 134/18 134/24 159/18 171/11 171/13 172/20 213/24 216/16 217/20 218/1 257/3 313/22
bone [1] 313/23
Bonin [3] 152/20 183/21 251/19
book [1] 101/18
booking [1] 120/17
bookkeeping [1] 290/5
boring [2] 67/2 116/23
born [4] 264/18 265/5 265/7 323/5
boss [1] 70/13
both [33] 5/11 11/7 11/22 17/16 18/5 22/6 28/3 28/8 30/14 52/7 86/2 $111 / 23$ 126/13 126/23 131/23 141/23 146/2 158/3 165/5 176/6 177/9 180/12 191/3 198/20 208/4 209/7 $214 / 23$ 230/1 1 239/19 264/7 269/10 269/12 322/8
bother [2] 173/9 174/20
bothers [1] 185/9
bottom [2] 94/12 240/2
bowl [1] 19/8
box[2] 183/17 293/4
boxes [2] 231/3 231/3
boy [2] 136/11 179/22
boyfriend's [1] 187/11
boys [2] 208/4 214/24
BRANCH [2] 1/1 344/5
Brandan [1] 207/25
Branden [1] 335/3
bread [4] 39/23 115/13 115/14 261/23
break [18] 82/17 83/16 140/12 145/3 201/24 202/9 216/6 216/11 216/12 216/14 217/7 218/10 219/2 232/18 239/11 250/9 250/11 288/2
breaking [3] 90/17 120/2 128/16
breaks [2] 140/8 216/5
Brenda [3] 153/23 153/23 154/12

Brendan [25] 48/9 61/13 69/10 99/16 136/1 1 140/16 159/22
207/15 208/15 215/2 224/17
224/24 224/24 225/1 225/5 225/15
225/15 225/19 226/18 226/23
229/8 229/11 229/16 312/13 335/7
Brian [4] 207/16 208/2 208/15 224/17
brief [2] 6/7 198/17
briefly [4] 204/22 250/22 253/1 308/1
bring [11] 19/22 54/3 56/14
112/23 148/15 191/2 231/6 231/9
239/21 239/23 250/21
bringing [1] 227/15
brings [1] 121/25
broad [1] 7/10
broadcasting [1] 217/13
broadcasts [1] 310/24
broke [3] 234/8 312/25 313/1
brother [5] 215/6 215/7 216/22
224/20 224/24
brother's [1] 156/8
brothers [4] 158/17 158/18 159/3
159/4
BROTSKI [10] 2/9 47/25 53/16
56/14 56/15 56/20 58/1 82/7
82/10 82/15
brought [10] 101/19 159/24
185/15 187/10215/12 218/23
227/4 237/16 251/20 325/14
bubble [2] 54/755/18
Buddhism [3] 291/21 291/24
293/16
Buddhist [1] 292/14
buddy [2] 19/14 19/14
buddy-buddy [1] 19/14
building [1] 214/9
buildings [3] 22/15 71/1 261/6
built [1] 223/7
bump [1] 131/8
bumper [2] 167/5 167/10
bunch [1] 9/8
buns [1] 115/14
burden [18] 78/16 80/15 111/9
118/1 132/8 174/4 176/11 176/12
176/14 220/18 249/17 265/24
294/25 295/1 295/9 295/16 300/25
301/2
burdens [1] 132/7
burn [2] 159/18 313/21
burned [1] 313/22
busiest [1] 206/24
business [28] 22/11 22/14 22/18
24/9 24/17 86/11 86/13 86/18 86/20 86/22 86/23 86/25 87/5 87/14 93/20 93/23 96/6 97/3 97/12 102/21 205/22 206/3 206/21 206/25 288/9 308/11 324/4 330/17
busy [4] 24/15 87/1 97/4 214/12 BUTING [29] $1 / 182 / 112 / 153 / 9$ 3/19 4/11 8/10 8/13 34/1 66/3 66/9 95/13 95/19 112/16 125/9 145/17 175/4 221/7 221/8 237/25 263/16 263/22 282/9 286/16 288/15 294/4 332/15 332/19 339/22

## C

cab [1] 231/12
cabinet [1] 232/11

California [2] 16/12 17/19
called [22] 12/23 92/4 116/15
119/23 121/15 122/14 123/18 123/20 126/6 128/10 166/23 178/11 178/11 181/15 201/13 201/15 206/7 259/9 296/19 338/2 338/4 338/5
calling [1] 340/10
calls [3] 4/1 107/20 172/9
Calumet [12] 22/3 38/15 58/6
85/23 114/19 150/9 184/9 204/13 255/23 286/11 307/20 319/7
Camaro [1] 167/7
camera [2] 37/25 57/18
cameras [20] $21 / 5 \quad 21 / 11 \quad 37 / 19$ 57/14 85/1 85/6 113/25 114/6 149/16 149/21 203/19 203/24
254/21 255/2 285/7 285/12 306/24 307/4 318/9 318/13
camping [1] 330/9
cancer [1] 86/21
candid [3] 13/23 36/9 247/14
candor [3] 9/13 34/9 282/11
cannot [10] 30/22 53/4 134/3
178/18 178/19 179/6 269/2 284/23 285/10 318/1
capable [3] 52/7 52/20 74/1
car [4] 159/20 159/20 167/3
298/13
cardboard [1] 231/3
cards [1] 298/12
care [8] 67/13 89/12 89/18 89/20
102/3 105/20 120/7 120/8
carefully [2] 333/1 344/8
cars [3] 98/10 216/23 298/18
carve [2] 206/5 222/8
carved [1] 206/19
carving [2] 221/19 222/9
carvings [4] 206/9 221/17 222/15 222/23
case [294] $1 / 54 / 27 / 147 / 218 / 1$ 11/711/12 11/21 14/4 14/12 14/17 15/5 17/2 17/13 20/8 20/16 20/22 20/25 21/2 21/10 22/1 23/2 23/12 25/13 26/16 28/2 28/12
29/3 31/17 32/1 33/16 34/2 36/22 $37 / 6$ 37/11 37/14 37/25 38/14 40/24 $41 / 4$ 41/1 $844 / 844 / 944 / 16$ 44/1 8 45/5 46/2 46/12 46/19
$48 / 15$ 50/8 $51 / 12$ 52/5 56/21 57/2 57/6 57/9 57/11 57/18 57/21 58/5 58/13 58/24 58/24 60/23 61/2 62/5 62/6 62/17 63/21 67/22 68/1 $170 / 7$ 70/16 73/4 75/21 76/4 76/13 79/12 80/22 81/16 83/6 $83 / 983 / 1084 / 584 / 1384 / 17$ 84/19 84/21 85/6 85/22 88/23 90/7 90/8 91/9 94/4 98/23 105/17 105/19 105/25 106/3 107/4 108/17 110/1 110/7110/16110/18111/20 113/12 113/17113/21 114/20 114/20 116/15 117/21 118/3 118/15 123/7 123/9 124/21 127/7 134/5 140/4 142/8 $148 / 22$ 149/4 149/9 149/13 150/8 $155 / 3155 / 14$ 155/20 157/7 157/12 157/17158/2 158/11 158/20 158/24 159/2
160/14 160/16 160/23 163/7 173/1 173/3 173/7 173/8 173/16 173/16 173/20 174/18 175/22 176/22
177/3 177/9 180/9 181/15 182/19 183/3 183/4 183/7 183/15 184/1 184/15 185/23 188/4 188/16

188/21 188/25 189/2 189/8 189/14
190/9 192/11 193/8 193/13 193/19 198/4 198/11 198/22 199/6 200/23 201/10 202/24 203/3 203/5 203/1 1 203/15 204/1 204/12 204/20 207/14 209/10 209/12 209/21 212/10 212/13 214/24215/15 220/2 223/13 223/15 237/10 242/21 249/10 249/25 253/21 254/3 254/6 254/10 254/13 255/2 255/24 256/3 256/9 256/20 258/16 258/20 259/6 259/17 259/22
259/24 261/14 261/17 261/21
262/11 262/23 262/24 263/8
265/19 272/7 275/13 276/16 278/14 279/25 280/12 280/14 281/22 284/7 284/16 284/22 285/2 285/3 285/11 289/1 290/14 290/17 291/3 296/15 300/18 304/16 306/2 306/1 $1306 / 17$ 306/20 306/22 $307 / 2307 / 18309 / 2309 / 3310 / 11$ 310/12 310/14 311/10311/13 $312 / 4312 / 12312 / 25314 / 7315 / 1$ 315/3 315/7 317/11 317/20 318/3 $318 / 6319 / 4319 / 13320 / 4322 / 5$ $322 / 20324 / 14325 / 9325 / 12326 / 6$ 334/2 335/14 336/14 336/19 337/16 338/1 338/6 338/14
cases [5] 5/4 52/15 248/17 304/12 336/16
cast [1] 247/13
casual [8] 152/23 153/1 153/9
153/15 153/22 $182 / 7$ 183/20 184/4
catch [3] 60/17 221/9 325/21
category [2] 153/9 201/25
Caterpillar [1] 308/14
caught [4] 129/3 129/5 129/7 328/22
cause [40] 4/18 5/6 7/2 8/4 10/20
18/17 36/4 36/13 36/14 47/22 $47 / 2448 / 2454 / 954 / 1855 / 18$ 56/9 56/14 59/4 142/9 145/25 147/16 155/24 181/11 182/15 182/1 8 183/1 $184 / 16$ 184/21 187/14 190/12 200/6 209/11 248/9 298/5 303/24 309/7 316/22 317/3 $320 / 5$ 340/4
causes [1] 174/16
causing [1] 52/10
caveats [1] 16/14
Cayemberg [1] 4/21
cell [1] 232/19
Cellphone [1] 104/23
central [2] 189/13 199/6
certain [9] 34/10 60/14 60/16
99/1 1 100/4 170/6 243/10 274/25 282/6
certainly [7] 9/19 11/20 52/3
123/17 156/2 183/11 223/12
certification [1] 58/21
certify [1] 344/6
CF [2] 1/5 4/2
chain [1] 206/5
chair [1] 90/1
chairman [1] 309/21
challenges [2] 18/22 272/8
chambers [2] 145/5 250/11
chance [13] 4/16 20/10 36/23 84/8
145/22 149/1 182/14 198/25
199/1 8 203/2 292/5 296/16 317/15
chances [1] 51/6
change [8] $35 / 5 \quad 35 / 11152 / 17$
163/5 222/4 282/20 315/11315/25
changed [1] 315/21
channel [2] 217/12 325/5
channels [1] 228/13
Chapters [1] 222/21
characterize [1] 229/9
characterizing [1] 7/24
charge [3] 116/2 173/22 300/24
charged [9] 61/5 61/11 117/9
175/23 226/18 226/19 226/23
239/16 295/5
charges [9] 45/2 166/16 166/22
167/24 169/12 257/19 296/12
312/11 325/13
charging [1] 227/22
chat [3] 18/14 139/5 232/13
chatter [2] 218/10 219/2
chatting [1] 276/16
check [3] 25/5 54/6 284/25
checked [1] 173/17
checking [3] 128/6 216/23 299/12
chemistry [2] 260/4 260/8
Chevy [1] 231/8
child [3] 101/13 101/15 102/2
children [8] 66/24 96/10 120/8
264/10 337/3 337/8 337/17 337/22
chilling [1] $9 / 5$
Chilton [2] 126/10 201/9
chime [2] 9/4 9/12
chit [1] 18/14
chitty [2] 139/5 232/13
choice [5] 51/8 162/7 162/8 242/16
242/17
choose [2] 177/14 293/7
chord [2] 30/8 312/14
chords [1] 108/8
chose [2] 29/1 162/8
chosen [1] 65/19
Christmas [5] 152/16 154/1 154/10 157/3 184/5
church [6] 66/18 66/18 309/19
309/22 309/23 309/25
churches [1] 223/1
CIO [2] 229/21 229/23
cipher [1] 189/20
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/10 344/5
circumstance [2] 241/9 241/12
circumstances [7] 61/7 61/19
133/20 142/9 181/24 261/20
262/24
cited [1] 182/20
citizen [2] 105/7 304/3
citizens [4] 104/22 124/19 172/4 293/4
city [3] 262/20 323/7 323/9
civic [3] 123/17 303/10 309/17
civics [1] 78/15
civil [5] 142/6 173/16 173/17
173/21 259/17
claim [4] 183/10 $183 / 11183 / 24$
200/22
claims [1] 200/22
clamp [2] 205/3 231/1
clamps [1] 231/9
clarify [6] 62/4 $135 / 3$ 156/4 198/8
250/23 338/19
class [9] 50/2 208/19 209/5 225/2
225/7 226/6 226/7 226/8 321/8
classes [4] 225/6 225/19 226/8
320/22
classic [1] 228/19
classroom [1] 209/4
clean [1] 339/8
cleaning [6] 86/11 86/13 96/6 96/6 97/2 97/12
clear [15] 4/14 15/7 18/10 19/13 62/1 79/24 97/1 174/4 185/25
186/10 222/11 222/14 249/3 251/1 329/1
clearly [2] 19/7 186/5
clerk [36] 4/19 19/24 22/22 35/21
36/17 47/7 56/16 82/8 83/25
112/10 113/1 144/21 148/1 148/3
148/18 150/20 151/1 151/18
153/16 155/19 161/20 181/1
183/23 191/14 191/22 198/14
202/20 247/3 251/19 253/13
283/14 302/11 305/22 316/8 317/6 339/20
Clerk's [15] 16/20 151/14 151/16
163/4 181/14 183/6 183/13 183/14
185/5 185/14 186/6 189/18 192/13
192/21 198/12
client [2] 81/2 268/6
clients [1] 267/25
clock [2] 112/20 190/14
close [23] 53/20 59/23 128/20
152/23 152/23 154/8 191/1 208/17
208/24 $215 / 5$ 248/7 306/22 322/23
322/23 322/24 326/18 326/20
330/8 333/16 335/1 335/19 338/16 339/1
closely [9] 145/22 249/10 256/13
256/19 313/5 314/7 315/8 326/6 326/8
closeness [1] 337/20
closer [3] 156/22 184/15 187/18
closest [1] 228/3
Club [1] 309/22
clue [1] 101/6
clumsy [1] 243/8
co [8] 68/20 70/11 76/16 142/21 143/12 152/2 248/1 249/13
co-workers [8] 68/20 70/11 76/16
142/21 143/12 152/2 248/1 249/13
coincidence [2] 96/4 96/9
cold [2] 70/22 275/13
collaboration [1] 295/25
colleague [1] 65/16
collect [1] 40/25
collected [1] 274/2
collectively [1] 8/10
college [1] 244/7
collides [1] 303/10
Columbus [1] 309/18
combination [1] 95/20
comes [23] 11/16 49/4 50/1 52/23 73/2 94/19 94/22 95/9 105/6
132/7 164/7 164/8 170/19 246/20 246/23 247/22 251/16 256/11
258/14 271/24 325/7 332/3 340/18
comfort [1] 286/21
comfortable [6] 32/23 59/8 188/12 287/4 301/25 302/25
comfortably [1] 287/19
coming [26] 6/4 22/5 25/22 39/16
40/2 40/23 58/9 70/8 74/5 85/25
105/15 111/24 119/19 140/11
150/11 151/4 201/19 202/7 204/15
218/3 223/3 247/14 250/7 258/20
320/15 328/17
command [1] 64/4
comment [2] 18/10 247/25
commentary [1] 311/12
comments [10] 11/2 189/16

209/20 238/18 250/23 253/3 257/5
280/4 280/8 316/17
commercial [2] 22/14 71/1
committed [7] 110/19 110/20
129/23 244/4 291/17 293/1 293/9
common [2] 188/18 252/5
community[14] 117/13118/23
$119 / 12$ 123/1 174/13 219/9 219/10 263/3 326/15 328/19 334/8 335/25 336/1 336/8
commute [1] 323/12
company [15] 38/25 39/1 39/4
41/6 58/18 125/16 204/24 205/2
206/1 298/15 308/21 309/15
319/16 319/23 320/11
compared [1] 243/1
compelled [1] 251/11
complained [1] 119/11
complaint [2] 62/21 165/3
complaints [1] 63/10
complete [1] 321/11
completed [8] 36/22 56/21 $113 / 5$
148/22 149/7 284/6 306/2 317/11
completely [4] 15/13 108/25 269/6
340/21
comply [1] 14/10
compromise [3] 302/2 305/10 305/14
computer [12] 60/4 88/14 88/18
101/12 102/4 288/9 289/21 289/23
290/2 311/3 311/5 344/10
computer-assisted [1] 344/10
computerized [1] 344/9
computers [1] 88/11
con [1] 245/4
concede [1] 47/12
conceivably [1] 184/3
concept [1] 185/3
concern [19] 6/19 7/12 41/13
127/17 179/14 181/13 185/7
185/23 185/25 187/3 205/17
209/11 253/2 268/7 268/14 304/1 1 310/10 322/4 334/19
concerned [17] 15/17 75/3 109/20
129/7 181/16 188/7 201/12 248/21
249/19 268/1 279/22 328/1 335/13
335/16 335/23 336/3 337/4
concerning [4] $15 / 14186 / 2 \quad 186 / 16$ 282/13
concerns [7] 50/6 52/4 54/6
155/21 255/15 279/15 337/23
conclude [1] 91/7
concluded [4] 21/2 57/11 112/17 343/4
conclusion [5] 76/4 129/9 181/10 203/6 248/19
conclusions [1] 272/11
condition [2] 172/18 183/18
conduct [3] 11/14 16/19 183/16
conference [5] 48/8 50/13 227/9 228/1 337/6
conferences [12] 48/14 48/25
49/13 51/20 52/2 52/3 52/11
52/13 53/4 61/24 228/5 326/10
confess [2] 77/2 176/21
confessed [1] 312/17
confession [6] 52/23 52/25 100/4
140/15 140/25 141/1
confessions [1] 100/9
confident [8] 29/18 30/3 80/13
155/16 157/16 157/23 164/20
219/23
confidential [1] 252/9

Confidentiality [1] 252/18
confirm [1] 300/5
conflict [2] 193/16 304/2
conflicting [1] 106/22
conflicts [1] 242/15
confused [2] 173/21 231/17
connect [1] 99/23
connected [2] 69/12 69/22
Connecticut [1] 265/8
connection [5] 184/15 189/2
192/10 224/17 259/9
connections [1] 188/2
cons [1] 174/15
conscience [4] 301/21 303/9
303/10 305/5
conscious [1] 44/21
consensus [1] 296/2
consider [33] 76/1 1 94/10 96/1
106/6 107/3 134/1 154/7 176/3
176/4 176/6 177/23 178/6 178/18
178/20 179/6 179/6 180/7 234/23
240/5 240/6 240/13 240/14 240/15
241/4 269/2 272/14 275/6 297/13
297/13 297/20 297/22 298/7
304/10
consider that [1] 179/6
considerably [1] 184/18
consideration [7] 12/21 12/22
185/20 297/1 297/6 302/19 320/1
considered [2] 134/4 256/22
considering [1] 187/15
consistency [1] 7/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { consistent [4] } & 13 / 18 & 126 / 3 & 126 / 7\end{array}$ 271/9
constantly [1] 60/14
constitution [2] 133/4 133/5
constitutional [2] 51/3 80/21
consult [1] 318/1
contact [8] 93/6 118/19 124/7
124/18 157/6 170/14 275/20 330/11
contacting [1] 124/19
contacts [4] 62/19 103/23 262/9
262/13
contained [1] 86/4
contaminated [1] 274/2
contemplate [1] 148/11
contemplated [1] 292/14
continue [24] 38/2 40/1 40/13 53/9
57/20 84/18 $113 / 16113 / 20149 / 8$
183/23 191/10 203/14 204/1
239/12 254/11 254/15 262/6
284/21 298/14 299/2 299/25
306/15 317/25 321/25
continued [1] 318/16
continues [1] 145/13
contrary [1] 232/4
control [4] 170/7 170/12 170/15 223/24
controlling [1] 7/10
convenience [1] 146/23
conversation [6] 18/25 194/20
195/10 215/20 216/15 326/1
conversations [1] 261/19
converse [1] 306/19
convict [3] 111/12 236/24 248/2
convicted [5] 244/4 259/12 277/14 281/5 281/8
conviction [7] 16/13 103/18 199/1
199/19 200/4 244/15 281/3
convictions [2] 9/11 249/21
convince [9] $29 / 564 / 1164 / 2165 / 8$ 131/15 220/9 238/1 266/5 267/3 convinced [7] 180/14 180/17
237/20 238/2 267/12 268/2 302/24 convincing [1] 174/5
cookies [1] 115/14
cooler [1] 140/2
coordinating [1] 172/1
cop [2] 76/18 241/23
Copps [1] 294/21
copy [3] 82/22 147/4 202/9
corner [2] 175/10 311/20
Corporation [1] 184/8
correct [104] 5/1 17/1 27/13 42/8 42/10 43/16 43/24 59/15 91/14 96/23 97/13 102/13 103/22 104/18 105/12 106/13 107/25 110/11 110/17 111/23 112/6 117/18 117/19 121/7 130/23 132/9 136/3 136/5 138/9 146/21 151/5 158/8 158/9 158/14 164/12 164/13 165/1 165/2 170/24 175/24 176/16 178/5 180/10 187/23 192/18 193/5 197/7 222/11 225/23 257/16 257/20
257/21 258/9 259/7 264/9 264/13 264/16 271/13 281/1 282/23 286/23 286/24 310/21 310/22 319/17 321/2 321/13 321/19 $322 / 10323 / 8323 / 18324 / 9324 / 12$ 324/20 324/24 327/15 328/13 $329 / 13$ 329/15 331/6 332/25 333/8 $333 / 14333 / 19334 / 17334 / 18$ $334 / 22335 / 4335 / 6335 / 9335 / 17$ 335/20 335/25 336/10 336/15
$336 / 18$ 336/23 337/2 339/3 339/16 340/16 341/16 341/22 344/12 correctly [3] 175/18 189/6 221/11 correspondence [1] 44/19 corroboration [2] 77/19 106/22 cost [1] 222/23
could [137] 14/23 14/25 17/24 23/16 23/17 28/1 28/17 29/9 29/13 29/14 30/6 30/9 31/13 $31 / 2031 / 2132 / 332 / 433 / 140 / 18$ 42/18 43/4 43/9 44/15 44/17 44/23 44/24 46/1 46/8 46/13 46/20 48/1 50/7 54/1 1 54/23 62/6 63/25 74/19 75/4 75/5 75/12 79/22 82/19 89/23 101/25 102/17 104/24 109/14 110/9 124/24 128/25 129/1 133/13 133/14 133/16 133/20 135/25 143/24 $145 / 25 \quad 146 / 2 \quad 146 / 3146 / 8 \quad 147 / 4$ 148/8 $151 / 6$ 155/12 158/13 159/25 163/18 164/11 168/4 169/6 171/13 173/19 173/23 174/17 177/23
178/22 $178 / 23179 / 5179 / 9180 / 13$ 180/16 $180 / 20$ 183/3 184/3 186/23 186/24 189/23 190/4 195/2 195/18 209/11 209/14 209/25 217/19 220/1 229/12 229/12 229/13 237/3 237/16 239/3 240/18 242/6 243/24 246/12 246/15 247/2 249/8 259/25 261/18 261/22 266/3 266/17 267/17 274/23 276/6 285/25 288/22 290/4 291/20 293/15 295/17 295/23 297/12 297/19 298/4 301/25 301/25 304/20 304/22 305/6 305/13 324/22
$332 / 11$ 333/12 335/23
couldn't [6] 12/17 94/20 160/1 167/16 167/19 178/3
counsel [25] 6/11 8/25 11/6 31/23

35/19 47/10 49/12 51/13 53/19
$83 / 3$ 83/15 $114 / 20145 / 5$ 146/19
181/4 184/8 $188 / 17199 / 12$ 201/11
204/17 247/6 250/10 255/24 283/7 316/11
counsel's [2] 16/14 54/5
count [1] 340/19
country [15] 144/15 170/6 176/12 177/13 287/6 290/7 294/8 294/8 295/1 295/8 295/20 302/22 304/4 304/5 308/13
counts [1] 48/18
county [37] $1 / 122 / 3 \quad 38 / 15$ 51/5
58/7 63/4 63/5 85/23 97/21 97/23
101/19 103/11 103/21 114/19 118/22 119/1 150/9 151/13 172/5 172/7 182/23 183/6 184/9 204/13 255/23 259/17 262/10 262/18 263/2 286/11 286/11 307/20 310/8 319/7 330/3 330/7 344/2
couple [18] 6/15 15/6 25/23 45/13 48/17 55/23 56/2 162/24 163/20 167/16 167/18 173/12 299/4 300/13 319/14 324/7 324/16 329/20
courage [2] 34/10 249/21
course [18] 37/16 55/9 74/24
104/17 158/23 159/13 178/1
185/13 185/18 190/7 203/11
203/17 239/4 254/18 262/7 294/22 321/10 325/16
courses [1] 78/15
court [176] $1 / 11 / 101 / 25 ~ 2 / 25 ~ 3 / 5 ~$ 3/13 4/1 4/7 5/8 5/16 6/25 7/10 7/13 7/13 8/12 8/19 8/23 9/3 9/18 9/22 9/22 9/23 10/18 11/5 11/21 12/4 14/10 $15 / 8$ 15/11 $15 / 18$ 16/1
16/6 16/12 16/18 16/18 17/8 17/9 19/1 8 20/18 20/19 21/17 22/22 24/1 24/2 24/22 24/23 26/8 27/15 28/19 29/24 30/5 31/6 31/14 $33 / 17$ 34/24 36/9 36/13 37/8 37/19 38/5 41/20 43/8 44/16 46/9 47/1 47/23 48/6 48/19 49/5 50/7
51/24 52/2 53/1 54/15 55/19 55/22 56/9 56/12 57/13 57/22 73/3 82/3 84/15 85/1 85/13 104/6 105/3 107/15 113/24 113/24 113/25 114/12 122/8 123/21 132/12 133/25 144/13 145/19 146/14 146/18 146/24 150/18 151/18 153/17 161/6 161/20 168/24 177/18 178/17 182/21 185/7 185/25 $186 / 15$ 188/3 $188 / 8$ 188/13 188/19 188/23 188/24 189/15 190/15 191/4 193/6 193/7 193/14 197/17 202/5 203/7 204/3 204/17 222/2 227/10 247/20 250/1 250/2 250/4 253/4 254/8 255/9 257/23 257/24 261/16 269/22 271/21 277/22 283/6 284/21 285/1 8 295/19 298/6 303/14 304/6 306/14 307/9 309/6 311/8 314/8 $314 / 23317 / 1317 / 2318 / 4318 / 9$ $318 / 19321 / 23324 / 18325 / 20$ $329 / 5332 / 3332 / 4338 / 12338 / 22$ $340 / 3$ 340/3 344/4 344/5 344/19 court's [22] 6/12 10/16 11/4 15/17 17/4 18/12 $113 / 15$ 148/2 148/3 150/20 151/1 184/17 191/14 193/1 8 200/7 200/14 201/5 246/12 248/17 297/19 303/7 325/2 courtesy [1] 201/22
courthouse [3] 153/6 206/13 227/18
courtroom [37] 7/17 19/6 21/5 27/21 35/22 37/20 47/8 57/14 62/7 82/9 85/2 91/12 112/10
117/22 133/1 144/22 149/17 181/2 193/9 193/12 193/20 198/15
203/19 220/3 220/19 247/4 254/22 258/8 283/15 285/8 285/13 302/11 306/25 316/9 318/9 329/12 339/20 courtrooms [2] 34/7 135/12
courts [1] 260/14
cousin [8] 61/17 69/14 69/16
156/9 156/10 156/15 156/18 156/20
Cover [3] 21/11 85/7 86/4
coverage [24] 20/23 29/20 44/18
45/14 88/23 90/6 90/8 160/13
197/20 213/10 214/3 215/14
217/23 218/10 219/4 289/3 313/8
$313 / 16$ 324/14 325/8 325/12
327/11 327/21 334/21
covered [3] 86/5 127/1 336/25
craw [1] 230/10
crazy [1] 169/11
create [2] 122/22 262/1
created [1] 10/5
credibility [8] 32/13 63/23 155/10
168/23 168/25 209/15 247/20 332/10
credible [3] 267/10 332/1 332/2
credit [1] 298/12
creek [2] 328/18 328/20
Creeks [3] 171/4 171/7 171/8
crew [2] 125/18 138/6
crime [31] 19/2 32/19 45/18 50/12
50/14 61/8 78/20 78/25 79/14
80/2 80/8 103/1 110/19 110/19
110/21 111/2 111/13 119/2 129/22
133/10 175/23 177/13 244/4
272/25 273/1 282/7 291/17 293/1
293/9 295/20 329/7
crimes [2] 68/25 295/6
criminal [7] 73/4 119/3 173/16
173/21 177/9 183/3 266/3
cross [4] 177/4 240/1 266/8
296/24
cross-examination [1] 296/24
cross-examine [2] 240/1 266/8
crossed [3] 107/3 279/21 279/21
crystalized [1] 247/24
CSI [1] 275/10
Cub[3] 124/5 124/14 124/16
cubicle [1] 276/15
culverts [1] 171/11
cumulatively [1] 55/17
curative [4] 48/18 49/6 49/7 188/8
curfew [5] 103/25 119/22 119/23
128/7 128/15
curious [2] 128/14 162/7
current [7] 17/2 67/15 97/14 97/15
150/22 151/16 204/23
currently [5] 22/3 91/2 308/7
319/16 320/22
custody [1] 165/5
cut [3] 71/8 250/13 277/15
cutting [1] 250/2
D
D.A [3] 114/19 167/24 255/23
da [2] 143/25 143/25
dad [4] $71 / 17$ 72/12 75/14 234/9
daily [2] 260/24 310/21
Dakota [4] 264/8 265/4 265/6 265/10
Dale [1] 188/18
danger [1] 14/16
DAO [5] 3/10 283/24 283/25 284/3 284/6
Dassey [28] 25/24 45/4 45/23 48/9 48/23 52/13 52/23 61/14 69/10 99/16 $136 / 11140 / 16$ 159/22 160/5 207/16 208/2 214/23 214/25 224/18 226/23 260/20 261/1 261/12 261/19 275/21 312/13 313/9 335/8
Dassey's [3] 14/19 45/14 160/10 date [10] 1/8 5/16 50/12 52/6 82/23 186/24 207/20 208/11 261/11 261/15
dated [2] 83/17 344/15
dates [1] 194/12
daughter [12] 96/13 $119 / 17$ 126/15 126/16 127/3 127/19 128/1 233/10 233/16 233/18 234/18 335/11
David [1] 53/17
day[53] 1/4 4/3 9/25 20/18 20/19 $21 / 1637 / 838 / 439 / 1042 / 357 / 4$ 60/15 71/6 83/18 83/18 84/15 101/21 113/14113/15 114/11 124/3 126/13 127/6 137/6 137/9 137/10 137/14 142/4 143/20 149/6 149/7 174/1 188/17 203/7 204/2 210/13 210/22 211/19 254/8 255/8 284/18 284/20 285/17 299/8
306/13 307/8 309/5 311/19311/20 317/22 318/18 321/3 344/15
days [11] 6/15 8/9 8/15 85/12 193/2 193/3 299/5 299/8 300/1 303/21 324/8
De [1] 232/11
deadlines [1] 223/4
deal [9] $7 / 3$ 31/23 71/12 121/8 121/14 147/10 242/20 267/19 289/2
dealing [2] 16/13 251/9
DEAN [15] 1/16 4/11 34/1 66/9 91/20 95/19 95/20 125/8 145/17
146/15 175/4 221/7 263/22 294/3 332/20
dear [1] 305/13
death [1] 136/9
debating [1] 174/14
deceased [1] 172/16
December [3] 68/6 201/9 326/17
decide [39] 27/19 28/2 29/3 29/23
33/16 46/1 46/12 46/18 62/6
74/10 80/6 91/10 111/1 117/21
132/11 132/18 134/19 143/4
157/17 173/7 177/10 177/22 180/8
188/4 193/8 193/13 193/19 220/2
223/15 238/24 239/22 267/12
292/10 294/19 296/16 297/3 297/4
315/1 329/10
decided [3] 127/13 166/13 178/14
decides [6] 178/24 239/6 293/9
297/12 297/16 297/18
deciding [9] 81/1 178/7 178/20 179/7 240/7 240/15 294/15 297/14 297/23
decision [23] 15/2 16/7 17/19 110/1 110/14 $110 / 16$ 132/1 143/6 175/21 178/17 237/11 246/13
246/22 246/25 248/18 258/12
$258 / 17$ 259/21 259/23 280/17
280/23 301/5 301/12
decline [1] 177/15
deep [1] 7/20
deepest [1] 9/10
deeply [1] 230/25
defend [2] 130/24 332/20
defendant [27] $1 / 7$ 1/17 1/19 1/20 78/16 81/4 110/6 $118 / 2$ 133/24 169/4 179/11 179/15 180/13 239/3 246/7 249/2 258/7 267/5 267/22 268/7 268/10 268/1 8 268/22
300/23 301/1 301/3 313/3
defendant's [3] 51/3 109/12
178/17
defending [7] 34/2 66/10 125/10
175/6 183/8 221/8 263/23
defense [42] $10 / 11 \quad 10 / 2414 / 4$
16/22 18/14 22/7 27/12 30/15
36/1 46/24 52/22 65/5 80/25
81/21 81/22 111/9 112/1 147/5
175/5 176/20 176/24 177/10
177/11 183/11 183/25 190/25
239/1 248/20 266/2 266/14 266/22
266/23 266/24 267/24 268/5
293/24 294/5 295/3 296/22 304/10
316/23 339/22
defenses [1] 52/17
deference [1] 157/19
definite [1] 160/2
definitely [8] 117/25 118/13
123/16 124/23 129/17 131/2 143/8
143/22
definitive [1] 160/2
degree [6] 144/17 156/19 264/1
264/5 264/6 320/22
degrees [1] 271/11
deliberate [3] 41/19 306/22 318/7
deliberations [5] 174/7 185/13
185/18 185/21 223/22
delivery [1] 223/4
Dells [1] 222/20
demeanor [10] 77/15 106/20
247/11 247/19 248/18 250/23
251/1 251/5 304/12 305/4
demonstrate [1] 11/10
den [1] 124/8
denials [1] 70/2
deny [2] 51/25 239/4
denying [1] 100/11
department [31] $21 / 25$ 38/13 58/4
85/21 92/12 92/12 93/7 116/2
119/2 119/11 150/6 165/4 169/19 169/20 169/22 170/4 170/15
171/24 171/25 172/6 172/7 175/12
175/16 187/12 187/14 187/16
204/11 212/15 261/4 307/17319/3
departments [4] 168/20 170/11
261/7 319/21
dependent [1] 207/6
depending [4] 7/5 137/5 137/9
230/11
depends [1] 24/15
depictions [1] 76/21
deputy [1] $262 / 18$
describe [3] 152/24 195/1 334/23
described [3] 115/11 160/5 190/15
describing [2] 13/23 78/3
description [1] 160/10
deserves [2] 339/7 339/8
designed [1] 275/1
desire [1] $9 / 20$
despite [1] 339/5
detail [6] 28/4 94/11 95/2 200/17 201/4 249/7
details [16] 45/21 45/22 61/6 94/16 117/2 160/4 160/9 161/18 214/2 216/13 216/25 217/1 227/8 276/12 313/15 325/19
detect [1] 86/7
detective [1] 75/14
detectives [1] 76/23
determination [3] 16/8 174/3 293/2
determine [4] 292/25 294/12
300/21 332/9
determined [1] 155/4
determining [4] 18/21 58/12
291/16 291/16
developed [2] 90/16 302/18
developing [2] 190/6 326/13
deviates [1] 275/5
Diane [3] 1/24 344/4 344/19
die [1] 308/3
died [1] 216/25
DIEDRICH [7] 2/7 36/15 36/16
36/21 38/9 47/6 47/24
difference [4] 107/11 188/20 243/5 259/3
differences [1] 208/3
different [30] 9/16 17/7 23/8
51/1451/15 51/16 71/23 95/24 96/1 1 103/5 103/7 130/25 134/17 137/5 168/13 190/8 205/5 216/6 226/8 233/5 234/23 235/5 235/7 248/12 248/19 261/7 283/1 311/23 315/16 338/25
differently [2] 10/19 20/4
differing [1] 272/23
difficult [19] 18/25 33/6 35/5
$35 / 1140 / 140 / 340 / 441 / 2141 / 23$
43/6 56/4 90/2 139/5 139/9 163/6
177/8 186/20 187/6 338/11
difficulty [3] $11 / 11 \begin{array}{llll}36 / 11 & 185 / 18\end{array}$
diminishes [1] 330/1
dinner [5] 42/15 184/10 184/10 184/14309/21
dire [64] 1/4 4/4 5/10 5/15 7/11
8/3 8/9 11/9 11/17 11/23 12/14
18/10 18/15 20/10 21/6 21/20
33/24 36/25 37/20 38/7 49/10
56/23 57/14 57/24 66/5 84/7 85/2 85/15 95/15 113/7 113/24 114/16 125/4 148/24 149/16 149/24 150/1 174/25 190/14 191/11 194/6
197/14 203/1 203/20 204/6 220/25
246/1 253/23 254/21 255/20
263/1 8 284/9 285/8 285/21 294/1
300/14 306/4 306/25 307/11
317/13 317/14 318/10318/24 332/17
direct [2] 93/6 170/14
directed [2] 257/14 337/3
direction [2] 172/5 172/10
directions [1] 139/8
directive [4] 43/8 46/18 311/7 314/8
directly [7] 16/6 18/16 27/12 50/16 59/5 109/16 116/24
dirty [2] 71/8 71/8
disadvantage [1] 187/1
disagree [1] 200/3
disappearance [2] 100/19 313/2
disciplinary [1] 141/11
disclose [4] $21 / 7149 / 18307 / 1$ 318/11
disclosing [2] 185/19 203/21
discomfort [2] 251/2 251/5
discount [1] 268/21
discourtesy [1] 8/18
discovered [1] 105/24
discretion [2] 7/10 10/17
discriminate [1] 272/13
discuss [4] 20/25 67/24 84/21 254/16
discussed [8] 100/6 158/10 159/2
192/11 194/19 252/21 261/3 335/14
discussing [7] 37/14 57/9 94/9
149/12 185/19 203/15 318/5
discussion [24] 43/13 93/16
130/18 $158 / 16$ 158/20 158/22
158/25 159/9 159/15 159/21
159/23 160/3 161/10 163/22
163/24 164/3 181/20 192/15 194/8
197/24 198/11 199/5 199/11
200/24
discussions [7] 93/24 159/14
164/10 182/11 198/3 213/9 262/22
dishonesty [1] 251/2
dismiss [2] 48/1754/18
disputed [1] 185/12
disqualifies [1] 263/7
disqualify [2] 52/1 263/11
distance [1] 320/23
distant [1] 156/15
distinction [2] 189/1 266/18
distracted [1] 104/24
District [14] 16/12 22/4 38/15 58/7
85/23 150/9 182/20 182/20 182/22
188/23 204/14 286/10 307/19
319/6
dividends [1] 97/8
divorced [1] 276/8
divorces [1] 277/6
DNA [15] 77/24 102/25 121/15
121/25 243/12 244/3 244/8 259/13
260/2 260/11 260/13 273/11
273/12 281/10 282/5
do [463]
documentaries [1] 91/21
documentary [1] 92/4
documents [1] 258/15
does [64] 4/11 4/23 16/3 18/16
22/25 29/5 29/5 32/1 36/1 37/19 54/12 56/8 57/13 67/5 67/8 67/8
67/20 70/13 70/14 81/3 85/1
97/19 99/3 $113 / 25115 / 23$ 126/3
127/6 133/18 133/24 134/9 135/21
157/11 165/19 173/4 179/2 179/12
181/21 191/4 195/22 200/16
200/1 8 201/3 206/1 207/2 221/14
224/15 232/10 240/12 241/6
247/20 251/18 254/24 268/8
268/25 280/10 283/7 292/9 295/12
297/17 316/23 318/9 321/3 321/11 339/22
doesn't [26] 32/2 47/13 65/21
78/19 81/11 93/19 99/11 103/5
105/16 110/15 111/9118/3131/8
131/11 140/9 143/19 143/19
199/24 200/16 200/17 201/6
220/14 239/14 251/15 275/4
301/14
doing [28] 6/16 $15 / 21$ 17/6 20/20
36/12 37/9 45/18 52/7 57/4 59/3
93/1 $115 / 9119 / 2123 / 13141 / 6$

170/12 170/22 183/12 206/6 213/3
214/11 221/20 236/13 245/2 263/3
267/15 288/11 296/8
dominant [1] 14/23
done [16] 17/22 59/10 79/23 98/3
100/16 116/3 154/23 165/17
206/11 206/15 223/7 237/25 238/2
244/24 245/3 292/4
door [2] 61/4 189/21
doors [1] 22/15
doubt [43] 28/14 29/6 30/25 62/11 62/13 64/12 64/21 74/15 80/7
110/20 111/21 112/3 118/2 118/10 132/10 134/6 169/9 174/3 174/6 176/15 178/21 180/9 180/15
180/1 8 209/19 220/10 237/22
238/3 240/17 266/5 267/13 281/5
282/16 283/2 294/14 295/2 295/10
295/16 295/22 296/4 297/24
300/23 303/2
doubts [4] 235/17 235/19 281/24
282/3
down [17] 34/3 82/3 88/15 101/18
148/4 171/10 207/8 230/23 237/5
237/5 237/12 300/3 300/6 323/13
329/25 340/8 342/14
download [1] 321/10
downtown [4] 167/18 182/24
188/25 189/5
drafted [1] 245/23
draw [3] 252/12 266/18 272/12
drawn [1] 129/9
drink [1] 167/1
drive [2] 140/9 206/17
driver [1] 98/19
driveway [1] 212/6
driving [3] 140/10 173/22 205/3
drop [1] 126/20
dropped [1] 45/2
drummer [1] 88/7
drunken [1] 173/22
due [2] 302/8 321/14
dues [2] 230/7 230/14
dumb [1] 92/24
dumped [1] 171/13
during [46] 18/19 21/5 37/11
$37 / 1637 / 2038 / 141 / 157 / 257 / 14$
57/19 85/2 113/24 148/2 148/5
149/16 149/22 158/22 159/13
182/9 184/14 190/6 190/22 193/2
203/11 203/16 203/19 203/25
205/20 227/14 232/23 253/24
254/18 254/20 264/19 264/25
265/1 284/16 285/2 285/8 306/25
307/5 309/13 315/2 318/10 324/2 325/12
duties [6] 67/14 138/1 151/16 170/16 205/1 305/7
duty [15] 30/6 41/4 46/10 65/20
123/3 123/17 185/4 206/2 245/8 276/17 298/3 299/3 303/10 304/2 304/18
dying [1] 86/21
E
each [32] 5/9 5/22 8/6 8/7 9/3 9/4 9/25 10/12 10/13 20/10 20/18 $30 / 2437 / 851 / 1451 / 1451 / 15$ 57/4 84/15 113/8 113/14 124/3 134/16 142/3 148/25 149/6 174/15 203/7 284/10 284/18 298/23
306/13 317/22
eagles [1] 206/11
ear [3] 108/7 108/8 139/3
earlier [5] 18/24 52/14 186/8
202/1 214/3
early [1] 68/12
earn [2] 87/15 87/16
earning [1] 298/18
earth [1] 315/10
easier [2] 122/7 122/9
east [1] 234/1
easy [2] 311/17315/25
economic [3] 50/24 59/4 302/18
EDTA [7] 15/21 16/2 17/3 17/6
17/9 17/21 17/22
education [3] 287/15 288/1 288/3
educational [1] 121/5
effect [7] 11/24 14/24 128/15
240/4 240/11 257/6 276/10
effort [6] 19/8 33/12 44/22 46/11
54/17325/6
efforts [1] 11/6
eight [6] 39/4 86/20 238/13 299/7 341/19342/20
Eighty [3] 341/4 342/17 342/20
Eighty-eight [1] 342/20
Eighty-seven [1] 341/4
Eighty-six [1] 342/17
either [44] 12/16 15/22 17/24
20/23 27/11 59/5 59/9 82/12
83/18 100/1 $110 / 12110 / 17112 / 13$ 121/9 121/12 143/6 144/24 147/24 149/13 157/13 173/24 177/14 180/12 181/5 183/7 186/7 198/18 203/12 208/15 221/17 239/18
247/6 261/9 261/13 263/9 283/19
288/15 292/22 302/14 312/3
313/16 336/5 336/6 336/7
elderly [1] 212/3
election [1] 214/16
Electric [1] 308/4
electrical [1] 264/5
element [1] 30/24
Eleven [1] 101/11
eliminated [3] 5/18 5/19 42/11 eloquently [1] 51/13
else [40] 14/7 19/21 $23 / 645 / 8$
45/10 57/8 62/9 62/25 79/1 79/22
79/24 84/18 84/21 107/11 113/19
119/12 149/14 153/8 153/21 159/6 167/2 169/6 180/25 194/15 203/13
225/5 226/10 229/19 240/8 244/3
245/21 252/2 268/21 281/13 288/7
289/16 289/25 312/21 318/3
336/12
else's [1] 32/21
eluded [1] 255/22
embarrass [1] 115/3
embrace [1] 178/16
employed [11] 39/24 39/25 122/19
162/3 162/5 162/10 183/2 186/6
191/13 264/12 311/18
employee [6] 86/17 141/16 148/1
150/19 150/21 182/22
employees [3] 140/7 140/13 207/6
employer [2] 39/18 299/25
employer's [1] 303/17
employment [11] 12/10 38/22
39/10 $115 / 9151 / 13186 / 13$ 204/22
262/5 274/13 308/2 319/15
encapsulated [1] 211/17
encompass [1] 16/3
encounter [4] 63/2 103/20 164/24

169/10
encountered [1] 104/1
encounters [3] 92/10 92/17 170/3
end [26] 20/18 84/15 110/18
113/15 131/14 133/24 137/6 142/1 143/1 176/1 180/5 180/11 188/3
193/6 200/8 223/13 241/3 242/15
254/7 284/17 291/7 294/11 294/23
302/19 304/7 320/19
endeavors [1] 91/18
ended [1] 231/16
ends [2] 40/22 126/13
enforced [1] 41/20
enforcement [19] 91/22 92/18
93/25 118/19 118/23 119/12
141/13 168/19 177/3 262/10
262/13 263/3 329/22 330/4 330/18
330/24 331/2 331/13 331/21
engage [1] 19/9
engaged [4] $22 / 17$ 50/15 50/25
58/15
engaging [1] 134/8
engine [1] 231/8
engineering [3] 264/2 264/4 264/5
English [3] 286/22 287/4 287/19
enjoy [1] 120/19
enormous [3] 7/18 7/25 10/24
enough [12] 12/3 27/2 51/22 94/7
116/4 127/3 190/11 192/8 200/23
214/21 265/16 301/9
ensure [2] 76/6 280/18
enter [1] 179/19
entered [1] 179/17
entertain [2] 12/4 145/19
entirely [5] 54/2 223/18 235/21
275/19 302/24
environment [3] 9/7 9/15 103/8
envision [2] 241/9 241/12
envy [1] 86/7
episode [2] 275/15 275/17
equal [1] 10/5
equally [1] 303/22
equipment [3] $87 / 19$ 308/13
308/16
Eric [1] 335/11
error [1] 189/25
escapes [1] 82/23
escort [12] 35/21 47/7 82/9 112/10 144/21 181/1 198/15 247/4 283/14 302/1 1 316/9 339/20
especially [3] 98/18 243/9 280/1
essence [2] 17/10 128/17
essentially [2] 246/19 248/25
establish [1] 183/17
estimated [1] 122/21
etcetera [1] 210/2
evaluate [13] 12/18 32/12 63/22
63/24 $155 / 12$ 168/22 168/25 169/5
169/14 187/3 209/14 331/20
331/22
evaluated [1] 332/7
evaluating [2] 63/20 174/14
even [59] 29/19 41/18 44/13 52/24 53/19 60/8 64/25 68/24 68/24
70/6 72/13 75/2 75/22 84/22 94/8 101/2 103/23 106/25 107/1 107/15 110/22 111/24 119/16 132/25
133/25 136/14 146/3 147/8 154/15 155/18 169/4 174/18 179/6 179/6 197/3 197/8 199/14 199/24 215/1 215/3 215/10 223/24 240/1 240/12
243/20 243/20 246/23 256/19
256/21 272/21 272/23 272/25

273/6 275/15 275/16 277/18 303/2 316/2 316/4
evening [7] 23/22 23/23 25/21
44/4 126/11 165/6 284/19
evenness [1] 296/13
event [7] 38/1 $143 / 6$ 146/5 146/10
193/1 201/15 204/1
events [5] 50/14 67/15 154/11 160/11 290/6
eventually [1] 259/14
ever [32] 65/1 67/20 76/15 76/20
76/21 91/21 92/4 98/8 100/14
101/9 108/9 118/20 119/10 120/22
121/8 141/16 170/25 172/22 184/6
192/10 192/11 213/9 215/12
241/18 241/24 261/8 261/12
270/18 275/10 330/1 330/17 337/16
every [21] 6/2 9/12 48/8 48/8 71/6
79/5 97/9 109/24 116/17 126/10 126/11 127/6 131/23 164/16 254/8 297/14 $311 / 20321 / 25325 / 5325 / 5$ 336/14
everybody [18] 33/13 130/10
$130 / 10136 / 7143 / 11210 / 17213 / 3$
234/17 235/3 235/3 246/17 269/22
325/24 326/14 328/20 328/20
328/20 336/12
everybody's [4] 109/25 129/24
130/2 130/6
everyday [4] 67/9 132/5 206/5
294/16
everyone [2] 34/8 166/12
everything [15] 10/23 74/5 95/9 110/7 133/1 170/21 194/19 196/2 206/10 219/18 268/12 300/3 300/5 315/23 334/9
everywhere [1] 325/25
evidence [118] 13/12 14/3 15/2
18/2 27/20 28/2 28/23 33/4 33/17 35/4 40/24 46/2 52/23 65/6 74/14 75/3 77/22 91/6 91/12 106/2 106/10 107/6 108/16 111/1 117/23 121/9 134/3 142/7 157/14 157/18 158/24 159/17 161/10 161/22 162/22 162/24 163/4 164/17 168/9 173/2 173/6 174/5 174/14 176/3 176/4 176/8 177/2 177/5 178/19 179/7 180/8 180/11 181/19 181/25 185/8 $185 / 12185 / 15185 / 22186 / 1$ 186/16 $187 / 1$ 188/5 189/23 189/25 193/8 193/14 193/16 193/19 198/12 198/21 199/22 200/9 201/2 201/8 218/4 218/23 220/9 223/14 237/15 237/20 239/21 240/14
240/20 242/21 242/22 242/23
242/23 243/2 243/12 246/14
246/20 246/22 246/25 249/23
258/14 258/16 258/17 259/6
259/13 259/24 260/2 260/11
265/25 266/2 266/25 266/25 267/5
270/25 274/1 281/10 282/5 293/5
296/15 297/21 315/2 315/11318/4
329/11
evident [1] 340/2
evidentiary [1] 16/9
ex[1] 71/17
ex-police [1] 71/17
exact [2] 207/20 208/11
exactly [11] 8/10 43/20 79/11
112/17 133/23 135/14 142/7 236/5
248/3 330/25 331/16
examination [51] 2/4 2/5 2/8 2/10
examination...[47] 2/11 2/14 2/15 2/17 2/18 2/21 2/22 2/23 2/24
2/25 3/3 3/4 3/5 3/8 3/9 3/1 1 3/12 3/13 3/16 3/18 3/19 21/20 33/24 38/7 57/24 66/5 85/15 95/15 114/16 125/4 150/1 174/25 191/11 194/6 197/14 204/6 220/25 246/1 255/20 263/18 285/21 294/1 296/24 300/14 307/11 318/24 332/17
examine [2] 240/1 266/8
examined [1] 273/6
examining [1] 253/10
example [3] 14/18 176/20 183/21
except [2] 115/22 246/20
excerpt [1] 15/8
excessive [1] 19/19
exchange [1] $82 / 20$
exclude [1] 50/21
excuse [11] 10/20 55/10 88/4
90/14 146/11 146/15 188/21
190/11 261/25 305/19 316/14
excused [17] 10/6 35/24 40/12
47/9 82/11 $112 / 12$ 144/23 146/19
181/3 198/16 247/5 283/17 302/13
$316 / 10339 / 21342 / 3342 / 5$
excusing [1] 15/4
exercise[5] 8/6 10/18 10/22 18/21
301/22
exhibits [1] 117/22
existence [2] 181/22 201/7
existing [1] 190/6
exonerated [2] 102/25 259/13
expect [6] 8/25 9/13 199/14
299/12 299/14 332/1
expected [7] 14/23 50/20 50/21
151/21 158/23 159/1 336/13
expediency [1] 146/23
experience [12] 63/7 66/13 72/11
93/5 103/14 141/4 172/12 174/12
174/16 233/7 245/6 245/10
experiences [4] 169/18 329/21
330/3 339/1
expert [7] 78/7 108/17 169/3
243/20 260/8 272/16 272/18
experts [2] 108/24 272/22
explain [8] 52/24 176/20 178/23
225/10 245/1 245/21 291/20 337/2
explained [1] 146/13
explaining [1] 135/20
explanation [1] 158/12
exploration [1] 10/9
explore [8] $6 / 208 / 38 / 539 / 6$
48/21 99/14 287/3 320/8
explored [1] 51/17
exploring [1] 54/14
exposed [11] 11/24 12/2 14/13
20/22 37/10 43/12 44/22 52/16 219/22 306/16 337/17
exposure [5] 13/9 14/25 29/19 101/9 254/10
express [4] 68/17 249/3 271/12 303/11
expressed [1] 334/19
expresses [2] 135/6 272/17
extend [1] 181/18
extended [1] 7/5
extensive [2] 213/9 249/7
extent [3] 11/23 13/2 183/13
extra[2] 112/22 190/18
extraneous [1] 182/13
extreme [1] 298/6
extremely [3] 40/1 40/3 258/5
eye [2] 127/4 190/13
eyes [1] 47/5
eyewitness [1] 243/2
F
fabrication [1] 221/20
face [2] 147/18 228/6
faces [5] 179/11 255/3 257/19
285/14 296/12
fact [33] 13/10 27/16 28/25 31/25
32/18 50/22 62/15 78/7 110/23
111/2 129/23 134/21 148/7 157/10
161/21 165/9 167/23 173/3 178/19
179/6 181/16 189/6 201/11 209/9
249/4 252/12 257/19 258/24
306/15 309/2 320/4 333/6 337/7
factor [1] 189/13
factors [3] 52/9 142/8 178/7
facts [33] 16/10 105/16 105/17
105/22 106/21 256/21 256/22
259/19 261/20 262/23 271/3 271/3
271/4 271/6 278/15 291/3 292/19
293/5 294/9 294/10 294/12 294/14
294/15 294/19 294/22 294/24
295/11 296/2 296/16 297/14
297/23 300/22 327/24
fail [3] 64/20 65/8 266/4
fair [31] $7 / 21 \quad 15 / 1 \quad 15 / 23 \quad 23 / 1$
50/20 50/22 52/4 53/4 54/24
62/16 94/7 158/1 164/19 168/18
183/3 191/2 209/22 214/21 274/20
280/11 289/11 296/19 296/25
297/6 314/6 324/5 331/25 333/12
333/18 338/5 338/23
fairly [10] 53/8 53/23 63/8 168/6
168/7 310/23 314/7 315/24 316/15
323/17
fairness [2] 200/1 250/25
faith [2] 303/12 304/5
fall [3] 141/16 206/24 335/24
FALLON [48] $1 / 142 / 42 / 82 / 10$
2/14 2/21 2/24 3/3 3/11 3/16 3/18
4/8 15/23 17/19 21/19 21/24 38/6
38/11 49/11 54/4 57/23 58/3
85/14 85/20 95/23 114/21 145/14
146/13 147/15 149/24 150/5
182/19 188/14 194/4 204/4 204/10
226/16 255/25 285/19 285/20
286/4 294/6 304/12 307/10 307/15
318/20 319/2 333/21
Fallon's [1] 190/14
falls [1] 201/25
false [2] 140/25 141/1
falsely [1] 259/12
familiar [14] 22/22 23/13 60/23
69/20 118/22 121/17 161/9 222/22
259/8 270/9 273/24 274/24 291/2
322/7
familiarity [5] 22/24 88/22 184/2
185/5 207/13
families [3] 203/16 285/5 306/21
family [38] 7/19 21/1 37/15 39/23
40/1 40/1 1 57/10 59/6 74/18
84/23 92/10 92/16 93/20 113/22
116/12 119/9 149/14 156/7 157/7
158/19 159/23 160/4 163/22
164/10 205/19 254/17 262/2
264/20 264/22 291/23 298/5
303/1 1 309/8 318/6 328/3 328/6
330/22 334/6
fancy [1] 325/21
far [11] 12/20 14/18 49/5 128/16
173/21 246/6 248/25 250/14 277/3
287/23 340/8
fashion [1] 191/14
faster [1] 167/4
father [5] 74/17 75/4 75/7 75/8 264/20
fault [3] 102/12 141/25 288/21
faulty [1] 18/7
favor [2] 89/23 139/12
favorite [1] 91/24
FBI [1] 273/6
Fear [1] 133/17
FEBRUARY [5] 1/8 15/10 15/17
186/11 326/18
February 2 [1] 15/17
February 5 [1] 186/11
February 6 [1] 15/10
Federal [1] 16/12
feedback [1] 155/22
feel [45] 19/2 30/2 31/4 31/13
$32 / 2333 / 433 / 733 / 735 / 1642 / 22$ 63/8 75/9 75/13 78/22 79/5 79/8
80/17 102/14 104/13 140/1 155/12
155/16 157/16 163/14 164/20
167/23 180/1 $219 / 22$ 236/4 237/10
238/17 246/24 251/11 280/10 282/17 301/23 301/25 302/6 304/16 315/4 315/4 315/5 329/16 333/19 338/22
feeling [6] 79/21 95/9 236/5 237/4 237/4 248/12
feelings [6] 134/17 201/1 239/19 277/16 283/8 337/21
feels [1] 6/18
feet [1] 308/19
fellow [4] 61/13 159/21 175/7 312/12
felony [1] 188/24
felt [11] 5/12 12/15 136/7 136/7
167/25 278/17 304/14 305/6 305/9
305/10 310/14
female [1] 96/10
few [34] 4/13 8/6 8/21 11/2 22/6 38/17 66/1 1 84/8 85/12 86/5
95/23 136/10 138/20 149/1 150/16
191/8 203/2 212/18 213/5 223/1
223/6 224/22 225/9 232/7 256/16
278/17 284/15 286/16 289/4
304/21 306/5 308/1 317/18 319/10
field [1] 108/24
Fifteen [1] 287/12
fight [6] 165/1 165/17 165/24
165/25 166/1 166/13
fighting [1] 168/3
figure [2] 40/8 192/2
figured [3] 83/8 205/11 232/9
figuring [1] 312/1
file [6] 183/17 192/16 196/19
196/20 196/21 198/10
filed [6] 16/6 48/16 62/21 166/16 166/16 298/12
files [2] 121/12 186/21
fill [2] 148/4 185/4
filled [12] $20 / 7$ 34/13 41/25 54/23
70/6 84/5 152/11 202/24 253/21
256/5 332/24 334/16
filling [1] 155/7
film [2] 285/9 307/5
finally [3] 21/14 52/19 285/11
financial [8] 40/15 40/17 122/22
262/1 298/6 303/8 304/9 309/7
find [25] 6/10 $13 / 3$ 28/14 30/5

| F | $79 / 1783 / 18188 / 12249 / 9304 / 14$ 315/7 322/20 322/25 324/4 | full-time[3] 186/7 234/2 234/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| find... $[21] 41 / 2141 / 2364 / 23$ <br> $82 / 2483 / 2888 / 7111 / 15143 / 13$ <br> $143 / 14144 / 13166 / 21172 / 11$ <br> $172 / 13172 / 6190 / 4197 / 0$ <br> $273 / 18294 / 24300 / 25301 / 1301 / 3$ | follows [2] 30/18 59/13 | ction [1] 267 |
|  | food [1] 298/19 | fund [2] 97/6 97/8 |
|  | foolish [1] 167/11 | further [10] 7/24 8/5 57/6 80 |
|  | football [1] 139/20 | 145/18175/21 198/17 199/22 |
|  | forbid [1] 291/14 | 252/16 291/21 |
| finder [1] 294/10 | force [2] 302/1 303/18 | future [1] 189/1 |
| finders [2] 294/9 294/10 finding [1] 214/6 | forces [1] 266/24 | fuzzy [2] 217/15 217/16 |
| finding [1] 214/6 | foreclose [1] 14/24 | G |
| finds [1] 300/24 | foregoing [2] 344/7 344/7 |  |
| fine [8] 54/18 54/19 63/14 104/14 | foreman [3] 230/15 230/16 230/19 | gained [1] 98/22 |
| 145/20 147/6 336/16 343/3 | foremen [1] 137/23 | gallon [1] 294/19 |
| finger [1] 187/6 | foremost [2] 49/25 58/14 | gambit [1] 115/12 |
| finish [2] 287/24 291/8 | forensic[5] 121/12 121/20 271/23 | game [1] 139/21 |
| finished [2] 135/20 304/13 | 271/25 272/25 | garbage [4] 273/21 273/22 274/6 |
| finishes [1] 340/20 | foreperson [1] 174/10 | 274/6 |
| finishing [1] 214/9 | forgot [3] 65/17 262/15 333/24 | Garden [2] 167/11 167/17 |
| fire [7] 90/12 169/20 169/22 | forklift [1] 231/8 | gather [2] 115/21 154/15 |
| 170/11 171/24 172/8 212/15 | form [1] 75/22 | erings [1] 15 |
| firefighting [1] 170/15 | formal [1] 135/15 | gave [13] 13/8 13/18 14/9 20/12 |
| fireman [3] 169/24 170/5 170/25 | format [1] 228/23 | 56/24 135/23 249/11 284/13 304/2 |
| firm [1] 223/4 | formed [17] 26/15 26/20 62/3 91/1 | 305/9 306/8 308/25 320/1 |
| firmly [1] 53/25 | 117/16 158/6 235/15 257/12 | Gazette [3] 211/5 211/8 323/21 |
| first [43] 4/15 4/15 5/7 11/4 12/8 | 314/12 314/18 315/24 327/11 | gee [1] 237/6 |
| 14/8 17/8 22/10 49/25 49/25 | 327/22 333/22 334/1 334/16 | geez[2] 199/13 239/16 |
| 58/13 63/7 67/23 68/3 88/24 96/3 | 336/20 | general [26] 4/8 21/25 38/12 58/4 |
| 96/13 103/3 127/12 176/10 210/16 | former [3] 53/22 184/8 331/18 | 85/20 96/3 114/22 116/21 145/14 |
| 212/12 212/13 232/22 234/21 | forming [1] 328/4 | 150/6 190/8 204/11 205/4 213/15 |
| 251/15 254/5 256/10 256/20 | forms [1] 146/9 | 213/17 230/19 256/1 260/3 260/7 |
| 263/25 267/12 284/15 286/22 | formulating [1] 94/10 | 260/9 274/24 286/5 291/9 307/16 |
| 286/25 310/18 312/25 313/1 | forth [1] 237/16 | 319/2 319/14 |
| 317/19 319/14 320/9 324/15 | forthcoming [1] 47/ | generalize [1] 130/9 |
| 327/12 340/15 | forthright [1] 248/23 | generally [11] 24/10 81/16 118/14 |
| fish [1] 19/7 | forward [4] 89/24 123/13 123/15 | 119/2 121/10 121/19 170/21 241/2 |
| Fisherman [1] 310/1 | 271/11 | 260/11 269/11 273/13 |
| fit [1] 95/6 | Foul [1] 222/21 | gentleman [5] 86/19 130/22 228/3 |
| five [16] 6/2 6/8 9/12 10/6 12/8 | found [18] 30/22 74/16 159/17 | 247/12 307/19 |
| 18/13 66/25 72/19 88/6 112/22 | 159/19 161/12 173/18 213/23 | gentleman's [1] 286/8 |
| 211/14 216/8 341/15 342/2 342/3 | 215/24 216/16 216/20 217/22 | gentlemen [1] 55/9 |
| 342/4 | 217/25 218/1 236/12 244/8 257/4 | genuinely [1] 305/9 |
| flak[2] 74/17 280/15 | 259/5 313/23 | germane [1] 12/11 |
| flip [2] 133/3 210/10 | founded [1] 144/16 | gets [6] 97/8 223/15 226/23 |
| flips [1] 228/13 | four [10] 72/19 73/1 145/23 | 228/14 235/3 |
| floor [4] 13/1 137/18 137/25 | 145/24 264/10 319/21 321/17 | getting [18] 24/6 56/4 93/19 |
| 231/10 | 325/9 325/20 341/25 | 121/23 128/20 142/2 170/1 170/23 |
| flushing [2] 10/ | fourth [2] 156/16 156/19 | 197/16 210/12 210/12 210/17 |
| focus [3] 114/7 184/22 189/13 | Fox[5] 233/11 233/12 233/13 | 232/13 236/19 247/25 278/18 |
| focused [1] 69/2 | 233/23 233/25 | 279/9 304/24 |
| folks [4] 132/8 138/16 187/2 232/2 | fragments [1] 313/23 | Gibas [2] 146/16 146/17 |
| follow [68] 20/12 29/9 29/15 31/1 | frame [1] 49/15 | gigs [1] 88/8 |
| $32 / 433 / 136 / 837 / 2$ 38/17 41/22 | framing [1] 271/7 | girifriend's [1] 290/22 |
| 43/8 46/18 56/24 58/11 64/18 | Francis [2] 328/18 328/19 | girlfriends [3] 142/13 142/1 |
| 66/11 80/9 81/12 84/9 95/22 | frank [1] 47/13 | 298/20 |
| 109/14 114/25 131/18 134/11 | frankly [6] 6/15 51/22 182/12 | girls [2] 120/1 128/17 |
| 135/19 135/23 136/2 150/13 | 198/19 198/25 248/24 | give [28] 6/7 6/9 9/7 12/22 33/11 |
| 166/15 169/6 176/17 177/20 | free [1] 289/20 | 56/5 104/20 106/14 132/21 158/25 |
| 178/16 178/22 179/5 193/12 | frequent [1] 71/10 | 185/6 188/4 191/3 202/5 202/8 |
| 193/18 204/18 219/23 240/17 | Friday [4] 101/23 303/16 309/4 | 222/6 258/23 283/9 292/5 296/19 |
| 246/3 246/12 247/16 248/20 | 312/5 | 296/25 297/6 297/7 301/14 302/4 |
| 249/23 254/1 256/7 257/2 258/10 | friend [6] 53/23 152/22 152/23 | 303/14 305/17 328/16 |
| 269/23 274/10 275/4 284/12 | 215/7 216/21 331/4 | given [13] 70/1 79/15 85/12 |
| 286/17 295/17 295/23 296/6 | friend's [2] 119/17 331/4 | 129/22 186/15 210/3 211/22 |
| 297/19 304/21 306/8 307/22 313/4 | friends [18] 70/11 74/18 76/16 | 234/17 234/22 285/16 293/14 |
| 313/8 317/16 319/10 324/7 325/2 | 116/9 119/9 119/20 142/15 142/16 | 302/25 337/19 |
| 329/20 | 154/8 208/17 208/24 215/5 224/19 | gives [2] 6/2 |
| follow-up [12] 20/12 37/2 56/24 | 225/16 330/6 330/14 330/23 | giving [3] $45 / 4139 / 8304 / 19$ |
| 58/11 84/9 114/25 166/15 246/3 | 331/18 | glad [3] 129/3 129/18 172/14 |
| 248/20 256/7 304/21 317/16 | friendship [2] 55/4 | glasses [1] 330/ |
| follow-ups [2] 257/2 284/12 | front [1] 218/23 | glitch [1] 102/5 |
| followed [7] 90/14 256/13 256/18 | fueling [1] 159/15 | global [1] 69/5 |
| 275/1 314/7 314/22 326/6 | fulfill [1] $31 / 20$ | glued [1] 314/5 |
| following [12] 20/19 65/12 79/16 | full [4] 117/2 186/7 234/2 234/3 | gnomes [1] 206/11 |

God [2] 207/21 236/6
goes [15] 6/6 6/14 7/4 7/6 80/20
126/17 143/6 212/17 233/11
233/25 277/11 303/24 303/25 329/24 329/25
going [125] 5/22 6/17 9/4 9/12 9/23 16/18 25/22 25/22 35/19 40/9 40/14 42/3 42/22 42/25 45/12 46/25 47/7 48/7 48/24 49/21 51/9 51/25 53/20 54/1 56/5 $56 / 670 / 870 / 2274 / 578 / 2478 / 25$ 79/12 80/13 80/14 81/3 81/5 81/11 81/19 95/25 104/7 107/19 110/5 110/12 111/11 111/14 114/13 116/3 116/11 129/6 131/8 133/6 133/7 133/7 137/19 139/25 142/20 142/24 143/2 143/13 143/15 145/3 147/13 147/14 147/21 160/25 161/24 162/18 166/22 167/4 167/17 168/9 168/18 174/9 179/13 183/22 187/2 188/1 188/2 201/9 210/13 $215 / 25$ 216/2 221/22 223/11 228/18 230/24 236/6 237/23 237/24 238/7 238/16 239/4 239/10 241/15 248/13 249/24 250/14 253/5 265/19 265/20 266/6 266/7 266/14 266/17 268/1 272/19 274/6 277/2 279/5 279/6 280/19 282/9 283/14 287/10 287/24 288/20 289/17 290/6 290/11 293/22 305/19 312/6 320/21 324/15 326/14
gone [4] 222/19 225/1 277/5 342/20
Gonia [1] 340/14
good [77] 4/6 19/1 21/22 21/23 22/4 22/20 23/9 24/23 34/5 38/9 38/10 41/10 53/23 58/1 58/2 58/8 64/2 64/4 66/7 66/8 85/17 85/19 95/17 95/18 103/16 112/16 114/18 115/15 115/16 123/4 125/6 125/7 145/12 145/16 150/3 151/19 165/15 169/18 175/2 175/3 185/3 192/8 194/12 204/8 204/9 204/15 230/12 230/24 242/20 243/18 245/10 260/15 263/20 263/21 269/19 281/19 285/23 285/24 286/2 286/4 286/13 288/11 288/11 288/13 291/5 307/13 307/15 308/20 309/16 310/3 319/1 330/6 330/14 331/1 331/18 337/25
338/13
goodness [1] 188/6
goofing [1] 288/22
gore [1] 336/25
GOSZ [16] 2/16 112/24 112/25 113/4 114/18 115/17 116/24
118/18 121/23 122/4 122/6 122/9 122/12 122/17 144/19 144/21
gotten [6] 199/16 250/3 277/1 277/4 278/6 334/20 government [2] 176/13 295/9 grabbed [2] 157/7 202/5
grade [6] 207/18 207/22 208/12 225/3 265/9 335/10 graduate [1] 121/1 graduation [3] 152/15 $154 / 3$ 184/5 grain [1] 196/3
grandparents [2] 264/22 264/23
Granted [1] 144/4
grave [1] 30/14
great [12] $7 / 38 / 2211 / 1131 / 23$ 38/20 86/6 198/24 199/17 201/4 251/25 289/2 298/24
greater [2] 14/18 104/20
greatest [1] 210/4
Green [5] 211/8 232/8 232/11
233/4 323/21
grew [1] 265/5
gripping [1] 48/22
group [6] 49/3 64/2 88/4 142/16 226/1 244/7
groups [2] 66/20 309/17
growing [1] 103/24
guess [40] 49/23 51/2 60/21 96/9 99/1 116/23 119/8 128/9 128/22
129/4 129/9 131/21 133/13 133/21 134/16 136/13 141/7 141/20
141/21 144/16 179/10 193/1
204/21 235/4 236/9 245/5 263/5
281/21 286/19 286/22 287/25
290/3 297/25 302/24 305/4 315/9 320/24 326/25 327/3 334/11
guessing [2] 44/3 134/8
guilt [25] 26/22 27/19 28/13 34/12 64/11 91/10 117/16 130/20 134/3 178/19 231/18 231/19 240/14 257/15 286/8 291/16 295/16 297/21 297/24 314/20 328/5 328/15 329/3 329/10 336/21 guilty [75] 26/18 27/3 27/6 28/15 30/23 31/5 34/20 35/12 35/13 35/16 64/23 74/15 74/16 75/24 $76 / 578 / 2480 / 8110 / 22110 / 24$ 111/15 111/22 112/4 143/4 143/5 143/5 143/13 143/14 155/23 155/23 157/13 157/13 159/5 164/17 173/18 176/14 178/21 179/24 180/13 180/17 218/13 218/18 $218 / 20220 / 10231 / 20$ 231/22 233/3 234/17 234/19 234/20 237/7 238/4 240/16 246/7 249/2 249/17 257/19 280/5 280/5 280/7 280/14 282/15 283/2 295/2 295/11 295/22 296/11 296/12 300/23 301/1 301/3 314/15 314/21 327/23 336/2 336/3
guitar [4] 88/1 88/2 88/3 88/7 guy [15] 61/4 62/8 76/7 91/19 94/11 129/3 129/8 129/19 166/8 166/25 169/11 216/21 223/12 229/1 248/2
guy had [1] 166/25
guys [9] 123/18 128/20 212/14 213/1 217/10 236/15 238/13 239/21 239/23

## H

ha [3] 279/11 279/11 279/11 had [157] $4 / 15$ 5/8 $13 / 2314 / 1$ 25/23 36/23 47/20 51/3 53/18 54/6 54/10 62/19 62/21 62/24 64/3 68/10 69/10 74/14 92/11 92/13 92/14 93/15 101/8 101/9 102/8 103/7 103/10 109/25 118/18 119/7 119/10 119/17119/21 121/2 123/6 127/14 127/25 128/11 136/11 136/17 137/10 137/10 138/20 141/16 145/22 151/13 151/13 154/12 157/6 158/16 158/19 161/3 162/14 164/4 164/24 166/25 167/7 168/12 168/14
169/17 170/9 172/10 175/15 177/3 181/20 181/23 182/11 186/8

187/10 187/22 189/1 189/18
189/19 190/15 192/12 195/2
195/16 197/1 198/3 198/11 199/9 200/10 200/24 201/1 207/17 208/19 212/17213/23 215/2 215/2 215/22 $215 / 24216 / 16216 / 20$ 216/21 216/21 217/3 217/16 217/17 217/22 217/24 222/18 223/14 224/21 225/1 225/1 225/7 225/14 227/3 229/15 229/24 232/6 232/8 235/6 235/23 244/8 248/25
250/21 259/11 260/18 261/12
262/9 262/12 262/12 262/22 269/5
273/9 276/22 276/25 277/4 278/6
282/3 298/3 298/11 312/16 313/21
313/22 313/23 314/19319/14
329/22 330/2 330/11 330/22
$333 / 21$ 333/22 334/1 334/3 334/5
334/16 334/16 334/20 335/2
336/20 336/22 340/25 344/13
hadn't [4] 273/10 273/11 281/15
281/16
Halbach [5] 117/6 127/12 127/13 180/19 234/7
Halbach's [2] 136/8 313/2
half[11] $9 / 2413 / 13108 / 5$ 230/19
302/3 302/3 303/3 303/3 304/1 304/1 330/13
hand [18] 19/24 36/17 56/16 80/24 83/25 86/25 110/3 113/1 148/17 189/8 202/19 239/2 253/13 267/5 268/25 283/25 305/22 317/5
handbook [1] 299/6
handcuffs [1] 227/16
handful [2] 137/8 138/19
handicapped [1] 225/11
handle [1] 119/3
handled [2] 94/4 168/6
hands [4] 71/6 71/8 71/8 71/13
hang [2] 225/16 279/7
hanging [1] 232/3
hangs [1] 7/15
happen [10] 74/23 102/16 127/17
127/18 129/1 129/1 209/6 299/9 325/22 337/18
happened [23] 12/20 76/8 76/12 102/7 102/15 141/8 141/21 160/6 160/8 162/2 162/5 162/9 162/10 162/13 162/17 168/14 175/13 197/25 201/4 209/3 217/1 217/16 292/11
happening [3] 93/16 273/5 326/15
happens [6] 10/9 32/18 130/21
143/19 143/19 315/12
happenstance [1] 166/5
happy [2] 230/10 230/14
harbored [1] 281/24
harboring [1] 281/23
hard [9] 75/6 99/8 240/25 245/1 269/9 283/8 325/24 334/8 336/9
harder [1] 237/23
hardship [14] 39/13 40/8 40/9 50/24 59/5 122/23 222/4 262/1 298/6 302/18 303/8 303/24 309/7 320/5
hasn't [6] 16/5 $111 / 13112 / 1$ 112/2 188/8 249/9
hat [2] 141/11 141/13
haven't [31] 61/23 62/3 62/18 66/12 67/25 70/10 79/22 80/6 89/21 90/14 90/22 90/25 109/7 109/21 154/23 158/6 161/4 175/21 219/17 256/13 256/18 256/19
haven't... [9] 263/8 271/1 273/4
275/21 275/24 279/22 290/15 330/11 339/6
having [15] 5/15 6/20 100/25 118/19 126/10 143/11 159/9 185/16 189/15 194/9 194/10 236/7 270/13 273/8 296/13
head [14] 27/1 30/8 42/13 45/21 61/6 112/17128/21 162/12 214/5 216/14 217/2 228/15 234/11 237/6 heading [1] 139/11
headline [2] 211/19 257/6
headlines [3] 157/8 256/14 256/16 headquarters [1] 300/2
heads [1] 202/5
hear [60] 6/3 13/20 24/8 28/8 47/25 62/7 62/8 70/7 73/3 90/4 91/11 94/21 99/12 100/12 100/14 103/10 104/22 105/6 106/11 108/16 109/16 $111 / 1$ 116/19 116/19 129/3 129/18 131/23 134/15 135/18 136/13 136/15 139/10 139/19 139/22 140/15 141/23 142/7 143/25 173/2 178/6 181/8 193/8 193/20 220/2 232/2 232/3 238/18 240/19 240/20 242/20 242/22 269/10 269/12 272/8 278/19 299/10 303/15 328/8 334/7 334/9
heard [95] 5/11 5/15 5/20 13/10 13/24 14/1 $14 / 19$ 44/25 45/2 46/4 48/8 48/13 61/3 61/14 61/15 67/21 69/10 77/11 77/25 79/22 81/15 81/16 81/18 81/20 90/19 92/6 99/16 99/19 99/21 99/24 100/2 103/12 104/19 106/2 109/4 109/5 109/7 109/21 $112 / 18117 / 1$ 117/21 121/24 127/12 136/10 136/18 184/20 193/14 195/11 195/12 212/11 215/22 217/7 217/9 217/12 237/9 244/5 244/6 244/7 246/5 246/10 246/23 248/25 256/16 256/25 257/3 258/19 260/12 270/18 273/1 273/4 273/6 273/9 273/10 273/21 275/25 276/3 276/7 276/8 276/9 277/21 281/15 281/16 289/5 296/15 303/17 303/18 310/12 311/21 315/1 315/23 328/9 333/17 337/14 339/5 339/6
hearing [15] 13/4 16/9 99/10
117/12 142/11 160/22 201/9 212/9 245/12 248/10 251/2 251/3 276/11 312/3 325/11
heart [3] 78/23 279/13 333/4
heart-of-hearts [1] 78/23
hearts [1] 78/23
heavy [1] 308/16
held [8] 9/10 16/9 53/25 113/23
219/8 219/10 305/4 310/14 help [12] 22/9 137/18 139/18
148/4 151/4 151/23 184/22 191/19
226/5 298/23 308/10 319/12
helped [1] 198/20
helpful [3] 6/1 135/17 198/23
helping [6] 172/3 205/4 227/22
256/2 312/17 319/5
helps [1] 199/18
hem [1] 168/10
Herald [2] 25/5 323/20
Herald-Times [2] 25/5 323/20
here's [2] 314/10 341/13
hereby [1] 344/6
herself [2] 135/6 182/24
hey[4] $111 / 11$ 128/19 139/11
141/25
hide [3] 239/7 239/16 269/5
hiding [1] 179/23
high [14] 121/1 121/2 121/5
182/14 223/2 224/15 264/19
264/25 265/1 279/25 287/20
287/22 331/24 335/7
higher [1] 174/19
highly [1] 68/24
highway [2] 171/10 211/25
himself[5] 92/22 176/12 259/8 295/12 297/17
history [4] 121/25 122/14 259/9 336/24
hit [2] 43/21 339/1
HMF [1] 308/7
HOANG [6] 3/10 286/1 286/2 294/3 298/9 299/22
hobbies [3] 12/10 88/11 120/16
hobby [4] 86/12 87/14 95/1 222/17
hold [14] 32/3 40/17 58/20 65/14 81/12 110/12 260/10 269/4 287/9 291/13 296/12 304/6 326/24
331/24
holds [1] 305/12
hole [1] 40/15
Holschbach [2] 53/18 56/13
HOLSEN [8] 3/17317/3 317/5
317/9 317/10 319/1 332/23 340/4
home [43] 20/17 22/12 22/13
24/18 24/19 37/8 40/22 42/13 $57 / 366 / 2367 / 572 / 2384 / 15$ 113/14 116/6 119/19 122/18 124/3 127/6 128/21 149/6 155/25 203/8 214/9 221/16 232/20 254/9 261/24
264/12 284/19 289/22 290/7
306/13 311/3 317/22 321/24
322/23 322/24 333/16 335/1
335/19 337/20 338/16
homework [1] 116/8
Hon [1] 1/9
honest [13] 34/17 46/15 53/20
106/1 8 129/13 248/23 280/11
280/13 296/20 296/25 297/6 302/4 302/5
honestly [11] 19/10 34/11 40/14
123/13 290/3 293/18 298/8 300/2
300/8 302/3 302/7
honesty [10] 32/13 47/19 63/22
169/1 209/16 235/12 251/3 283/6 332/10 339/12
Honey [1] 206/18
honor [17] 4/6 $15 / 7$ 18/9 29/22 31/13 31/21 145/13 145/21 163/19 181/7 182/17 283/21 283/22 299/22 301/21 303/2 311/15
honoring [1] 304/5
hope [11] 10/19 10/21 53/14 80/9 104/10 202/17 234/5 241/19 241/19 241/21 255/15
hopefully [3] 142/2 164/18 340/13
hoping [2] 14/4 242/12
horrible [1] 128/25
hour [6] 9/24 51/14 174/8 174/9 210/7 210/16
hours [16] 10/8 24/10 39/10 87/2
116/4 126/3 142/4 171/20 174/8
238/14 299/7 309/5 321/5 321/5
321/7 321/17
house [6] $72 / 2272 / 24119 / 18$
233/19 308/13 313/20
household [1] 298/22
however [9] 12/6 16/3 57/13
176/19 223/22 248/19 253/4
257/22 332/3
HR [1] 300/4
huge [3] 143/2 231/7 237/13 huh [2] 141/15 267/21
human [11] 19/8 73/23 75/20 79/13 105/11 111/3 241/25 242/3 299/4 336/1 $1336 / 12$
humans [1] 73/25
hundred [1] 243/10
hundreds [1] 269/18
husband [6] 120/12 122/19 124/13 125/11 127/7 140/8

## I

I under [1] 175/18
I'd [1] 280/15
I'Il [3] 116/21 143/25 253/10 I'm [201] 5/19 5/21 8/12 10/17 12/3 12/12 12/19 12/21 19/17 21/24 22/1 22/10 22/10 24/6 28/7 $30 / 730 / 1030 / 1034 / 434 / 534 / 6$ $34 / 1734 / 2335 / 1938 / 1138 / 13$ 40/25 42/19 48/7 48/1 $148 / 23$ 49/8 49/20 49/21 54/2 54/5 55/12 58/3 62/1 65/19 65/19 68/9 70/17 70/17 70/24 76/10 79/17 79/20 82/22 85/20 85/21 87/9 87/25 89/11 89/19 89/22 94/17 94/20 94/22 105/1 $110 / 5114 / 18116 / 3$ 116/3 116/7 116/23 119/20 119/24 120/3 121/13 121/23 122/6 123/10 125/8 126/24 127/25 129/6 130/13 130/14 130/17 131/9 133/6 133/6 133/6 133/7 133/11 137/11 139/23 141/3 141/18 143/17 144/1 146/23 147/2 150/5 150/7 159/7 162/1 1 162/17 169/24 171/6 172/9 173/18 173/21 174/9 175/4 178/24 179/23 181/25 182/3 183/12 185/6 186/25 187/25 188/1 191/17 191/22 194/12 194/23 201/9 201/15 204/10 204/12 205/8 210/11 210/12 211/7212/5 213/16 214/8 214/9 216/12 217/18 221/7 221/9 221/17 221/20 221/24 222/11 224/1 230/3 230/14 230/24 233/18 233/23 235/24 236/6 236/14 236/18 237/23 237/24 238/2 239/24 241/15 242/11 242/11 244/25 244/25 245/12 247/20 248/13 249/19 249/24 250/5 250/5 250/6 255/23 260/8 261/16 268/17 270/6 278/21 279/5 283/2 283/14 286/4 286/6 293/22 294/3 295/4 304/6 305/19 307/15 307/17
307/18 308/10 311/18 313/23
313/24 319/2 319/3 319/4 319/20
320/21 320/22 324/15 327/1 329/1
329/24 334/11 337/19
I've [1] 228/9
idea[4] 103/16 167/2 197/4 291/1
identification [1] 255/14
identifications [2] 121/20 260/15
identified [2] 255/5 255/15
identifies [2] 85/8 318/15
identify [7] 21/12 37/21 57/15
85/4 114/1 114/8 254/25
identity [1] 203/21

|  | 12/17 17/15 17/17 18/19 22/8 | 129/14 150/17 158/3 214/22 324/3 <br> 324/6 325/22 326/16 327/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ignore [1] 325/25 | 27/18 27/24 28/1 29/4 37/2 38/18 | interest you [1] 324/6 |
| imagine [7] 72/11 93/15 159/4 | 39/22 46/13 47/14 48/23 52/16 | interested [6] 78/2 98/6 115/23 |
| 199/4 199/11 273/4 277/13 | 52/21 56/4 56/24 59/12 61/3 62/7 | 212/10 304/23 327/2 |
| immaterial [2] 281/21 281/23 | 70/1 84/9 86/3 88/18 89/4 90/15 | interesting [5] 78/5 123/12 |
| immediate [8] 22/2 38/14 58/6 | 90/24 91/2 98/25 102/24 106/22 | 288/8 326/25 |
| 85/22 129/14 150/8 204/13 319/5 | 113/17 116/13 150/13 159/12 | interestingly [1] 115/17 |
| immediately [1] 54/8 | 159/14 182/1 182/13 186/23 187/5 | interests [2] 10/25 51/17 |
| imminent [1] 324/19 | 187/15 189/17 196/13 198/22 | interfered [1] 287/25 |
| impact [7] 40/10 41/12 157/22 | 199/2 200/18 200/23 204/19 210/4 | intermittently [1] 323/25 |
| 200/23 237/13 291/21 331/20 | 212/9 213/10 213/22 218/9 219/20 | internal [1] 199/20 |
| impartial [5] 23/1 52/5 53/5 115/5 | 219/21 251/9 252/7 252/13 254/5 | internet [22] 20/24 23/16 24/24 |
| 333/12 | 254/13 258/14 277/21 284/12 | 24/25 25/6 25/13 37/13 57/8 60/6 |
| importance [4] 30/14 38/20 147/7 | 285/1 286/17 289/12 293/8 306/17 | 84/20 113/19 149/11 203/13 |
| 168/17 | 312/2 314/19 314/25 315/7315/19 | 254/15 256/12 284/25 289/18 |
| important [7] | 317/16 317/19 319/11 328/14 | 290/13 |
| 241/17 258/5 288/25 339/4 | 340/11 | interpret [2] 94/15 134/25 |
| importantly [2] 9/6 273/16 | informed | interpretations [1] 272/11 |
| impose [1] 5/22 | initial [3] 52/13 52/18 213/21 | interpreted [1] 135/11 |
| imposed [2] 48/6 305/8 | innocence [18] 26/22 27/19 30/19 | interrogate [2] 76/22 76/23 |
| imposing [2] 11/13 12/15 | 34/12 80/24 91/11 117/17 122/15 | intersection [2] 165/12 165/13 |
| impossibilities [1] 199/21 | 257/15 259/10 283/10 291/16 | intersections [1] 170/8 |
| impressed [1] 249/15 | 314/20 328/5 329/3 329/10 336/21 | interval [1] 6/3 |
| impression [8] 150/19 170/19 | 339/7 | intimately [1] 55/8 |
| 195/21 200/15 219/1 219/8 219/10 | innocent [29] 30/21 31/7 64/7 | into [37] 9/2 12/13 19/5 25/8 |
| 304/13 | 64/10 78/20 79/5 118/8 129/16 | 73/3 83/7 89/11 89/22 89/24 |
| imprisoned [2] 102/24 277/14 | 143/4 158/14 164/12 164/16 | 91/17 95/24 98/9 98/15 104/6 |
| improper [1] 8/19 | 175/19 175/23 176/13 179/25 | 105/3 106/10 107/15 115/3 121/2 |
| improvement [4] 22/12 22/12 | 220/6 220/8 244/14 258/8 266/15 | 129/16 130/18165/5 167/11 |
| 22/13 22/13 | 268/3 282/22 283/4 295/12 295/21 | 185/20 186/21 201/25 206/23 |
| in [846] | 297/17 316/3 327/14 | 230/25 235/16 247/15 247/22 |
| inadmissible [1] 15/20 | Innovations [1] 308/8 | 249/7 258/20 289/18 312/9 319/1 |
| inadvertently [1] 70/7 | input [1] 109/25 | intriguing [1] 144/14 |
| inappropriate [1] 9/2 | inquire [2] 128/713 | introduce [2] 41/11 185/8 |
| incarcerated [1] 276/23 | inquiring [3] 119/23 128/22 | introduced [8] 15/2 46/2 81/19 |
| inception [2] 322/21 322/22 | 205/10 | 181/19 182/13 189/12 189/23 |
| incident [3] 93/8 141/7 175/6 | inquiry [4] 11/22 92/9 124/1 147/7 | 259/6 |
| inclined [3] 56/2 98/13 304/10 | ins [1] 119/10 | introducing [1] 187/2 |
| include [4] 50/9 116/15 292/10 | inspect [1] 145/22 | introductory [1] 18/20 |
| 342/19 | inspector [1] 139/2 | inventory [1] 223/7 |
| includes [2] 144/2 189/18 | instance [11] 25/14 45/23 77/24 | invested [1] 105/20 |
| including [15] 21/1 37/15 57/10 | 99/16 152/19 159/2 171/1 199/5 | investigating [2] 107/5 183/9 |
| 84/22 113/21 127/19 149/14 | 274/1 290/12 321/10 | investigation [2] 80/10 331/13 |
| 193/16 203/15 254/16 285/4 297/9 | instances [1] 273/7 | investigations [1] 119/4 |
| 306/18 306/20 318/6 | instead [2] 9/23 51/5 | investigators [1] 260/14 |
| income [12] 39/16 40/2 59/9 87/15 | institutions [1] 304/4 | invited [1] 15/8 |
| 87/16 97/11 122/18 123/3 205/18 | instruct [7] 27/15 27/16 31/6 46/9 | involved [23] 73/5 75/21 76/3 87/6 |
| 222/15 309/13 320/15 | 117/20 268/17 269/1 | 87/21 88/5 88/5 93/19 100/3 |
| incomes [1] 298/18 | instructed [8] 29/10 30/20 31/14 | 101/5 105/19 106/4 106/9 124/4 |
| incredibly [1] 18/24 | 41/15 144/1 257/23 261/16 300/25 | 171/17 171/25 183/7 207/1 308/17 |
| indeed [2] 184/12 297/22 | instructing [1] 168/24 | 309/5 309/17 309/18 320/20 |
| indicate [4] 39/14 83/5 106/2 | instruction [17] 31/2 33/1 41/22 | involvement [8] 45/15 61/21 94/1 |
| 257/22 | 65/12 81/13 106/14 169/7 188/3 | 106/7 215/15 227/1 313/10 329/8 |
| indicated [21] 5/6 5/8 5/13 13/1 | 193/13 258/10 269/23 295/17 | involves [1] 270/12 |
| 13/24 14/2 23/12 23/14 32/4 | 295/23 296/6 297/19 303/15 332/4 | involving [2] 312/11 312/12 |
| 88/24 90/25 158/6 164/9 209/2 | instructions [9] 29/9 29/15 30/5 | ironies [1] 47/18 |
| 246/5 246/11 250/21 255/12 | 36/9 240/3 240/17 246/13 307/8 | issue [16] 13/6 53/17 140/18 |
| 304/19 305/12 331/3 | 318/18 | 140/21 176/22 183/16 251/1 251/4 |
| indicates [1] 257/12 | instructs [8] 177/18 193/6 193/7 | 302/18 303/9 303/9 303/19 303/24 |
| indicating [1] 14/24 | 258/6 258/12 258/22 295/15 | 303/25 304/9 304/9 |
| individual [14] 4/4 5/9 10/14 | 295/19 | issued [1] 16/1 |
| 10/14 11/9 20/9 21/13 57/15 | insufficient [1] 50/2 | issues [8] 6/20 10/14 51/16 66/11 |
| 126/23 133/21 164/25 182/3 255/4 | insurance [1] 298/19 | 95/24 155/25 161/5 264/22 |
| 276/10 | intelligently [2] 8/6 10/22 | item [1] 14/23 |
| individuals [3] 152/15 152/19 | intend [3] 8/18 8/19 185/8 | items [2] 115/12 115/13 |
| 155/2 | intentional [1] 190/1 | itself [5] 7/13 38/1 114/6 223/1 |
| infallible [2] 273/142 | interact [1] 137/7 | $307 / 5$ |
| inferences [1] 252/12 | interacting [1] 94/9 | J |
|  |  | jacket [1] 284/4 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \begin{array}{l} \text { inform }[1] \\ \text { information } \end{array} \text { [88] } 806 / 10 & 8 / 8 & 10 / 21 \end{array}$ | interest [18] 7/19 7/20 65/18 $68 / 2586 / 689 / 21 ~ 95 / 1 ~ 99 / 3 ~ 121 / 2 ~$ | Jacqualine [1] 184/6 <br> jail [5] 101/19 101/20 102/8 103/3 |

jail... [1] 227/18
JAMES [5] 2/7 3/15 36/15 53/21 146/15
jams [1] 62/22
Janet [10] 22/24 23/3 23/8 152/20
183/20 191/19 191/19 251/19
251/22 252/1
Jansen [2] 330/6 331/19
January [5] 16/2 17/4 83/17
186/11 326/17
January 17th [1] 83/17
jargon [1] 130/4
JEAN [2] 2/3 19/22
jeep [2] 221/18 221/19
JEROME [12] 1/18 4/11 34/1 66/9 95/19 125/8 145/17 175/4 221/7 263/22 294/4 332/19
Jerry [5] 91/20 95/20 95/20 125/8 221/8
Jesse [1] 146/16
Jo [2] 153/11 153/12
job [20] 41/2 41/3 59/9 67/14
70/19 71/23 80/4 116/12 129/24
130/2 130/6 130/7 130/8 130/20
130/24 168/1 205/7 222/7 263/2
299/18
jobs [5] 68/23 130/25 205/5
308/14 308/14
jog [1] 313/25
join [5] 9/1 229/25 303/22 304/7
340/1
joined [1] 229/22
joining [1] 248/14
joint [5] 46/25 145/18 146/11
146/12 316/11
jointly [1] 4/17
joke [2] 279/2 279/4
judge [52] 1/10 5/2 27/16 29/10 47/12 49/24 66/4 74/8 77/16 78/8 81/8 82/14 83/19 95/14 106/14 106/20 107/6 108/19 112/15
114/15 114/24 117/20 124/2 125/1
132/13 135/23 136/2 144/1 146/21
160/15 218/21 234/23 240/3
240/11 252/17 253/11 255/22
258/5 258/12 258/22 263/15
263/17 268/16 269/1 288/15 292/2
292/17 292/22 294/9 295/15
332/16 340/8
judge's [6] 29/23 81/13 130/7
219/24 240/3 296/6
judged [1] 106/15
judging [2] 77/8 77/12
judgment [7] 107/20 164/19
291/15 292/8 292/8 292/9 292/13
judgments [1] 107/19
judicial [1] 188/21
juice [2] 294/20 294/21
jump [1] 252/1
jumped [3] 165/10 166/2 175/7
jumper [1] 227/16
June[5] 83/2 151/7 151/8 191/18 191/20
junior [1] 287/20
junk [2] 270/18 272/21
junkyard [1] 217/23
juror [205] 4/15 4/20 4/21 4/21
5/18 5/20 5/23 6/25 8/4 9/4 10/4
10/11 10/20 12/1 12/2 12/8 12/12
13/7 14/12 14/17 15/1 15/15 15/16 16/16 19/25 21/10 21/13

23/1 27/17 27/24 30/21 31/1 31/18 31/19 32/2 33/21 35/24 36/4 36/8 36/15 36/19 39/12 41/14 42/5 42/13 44/15 46/1 46/10 47/9 48/8 51/7 51/14 52/1
52/2 53/3 53/15 54/6 54/20 55/24
56/5 56/6 56/18 57/21 58/23 62/5
64/11 65/22 70/12 82/11 83/1
83/21 84/2 84/12 85/5 91/9
112/12 113/2 113/10 113/12 123/8 123/17 143/24 144/9 144/23 145/2 146/20 147/3 147/25 148/16
148/19 149/3 149/4 149/21 155/9 155/20 157/12 168/21 173/2
174/22 181/3 181/17 182/24 185/3 185/17 187/4 188/24 189/3 189/9 190/3 190/15 191/2 191/24 198/16 199/2 199/19 200/1 201/10 201/16 201/24 202/2 202/18 202/21
203/24 205/20 209/12 220/1
220/22 235/4 247/5 249/4 249/20 249/24 250/24 251/22 252/14
252/15 252/18 253/6 253/8 253/15
254/3 255/2 255/14 258/13 258/25
261/17 263/11 263/15 265/20
278/23 279/24 280/12 280/13
282/19 283/11 283/17 284/1
285/12 285/15 291/22 300/18
302/1 302/13 302/17 304/14
304/19 304/23 304/25 305/1 305/2 305/8 305/10 305/13 305/18
305/19 305/20 305/24 306/7 307/6 309/7 309/14 311/9 314/24 316/10 316/14 316/19 317/2 317/3 317/7
318/16 320/11 324/19 329/9
$332 / 14333 / 13336 / 14336 / 16$
$338 / 1$ 338/5 338/13 338/23 339/21
340/19 341/3 341/5
juror's [5] 52/4 54/9 83/6 185/4 248/22
jurors [125] 2/2 3/1 4/17 5/5 5/10 5/15 7/2 7/21 9/14 10/4 11/10 11/23 12/18 12/23 19/15 20/15 20/21 20/25 21/1 21/7 37/6 37/7 37/10 37/13 37/15 37/24 42/17 50/22 51/11 52/10 52/12 52/15 52/17 52/19 57/1 57/5 57/8 57/11 57/16 57/17 82/21 84/13 84/14 84/16 84/22 85/4 85/8 113/14 113/16 113/20 114/2 114/7 123/22 133/17 145/23 145/24 146/5 146/11 146/19 149/8 149/12 149/19 149/19 161/3 179/23 188/4 201/12 201/14 201/18 201/22 203/5 203/7 203/10 203/14 203/16 203/21 203/25 250/12 251/10 251/13 252/8 254/6 254/8 254/12 254/15 254/17 254/22 254/25 255/3 255/4 266/19 267/11 268/1 268/8 269/16 276/18 284/18 284/23 285/2 285/4 285/14 293/7 294/9 294/10 295/25 300/21 301/1 301/15 305/15 306/16 306/19 306/21 307/2 307/5 310/11 317/21 318/1 318/5 318/7318/11 318/14 332/5 338/22 339/8 341/13
jury [99] 1/4 4/16 7/11 11/12 15/5 17/6 20/9 20/16 21/5 21/12 21/13 22/9 36/24 38/2 41/4 47/18 50/15 51/5 51/7 58/13 59/10 65/18 74/13 84/6 85/11 107/18 113/6 113/13 113/22 114/5 114/9 115/5 122/21 123/2 124/3 126/9 129/15

140/15 143/1 144/8 148/24 149/5
149/22 173/13 176/2 193/7 193/24 198/24 200/8 202/25 204/20 205/20 206/2 223/15 223/22 235/17 235/19 236/2 236/7 237/18 244/19 245/8 246/12 246/16 250/20 253/22 255/6 262/7 266/24 268/16 269/20 276/17 278/12 279/7 280/12 283/24 284/8 284/16 284/20 286/14 293/4 293/8 296/1 298/4 299/2 300/20 300/24 306/2
306/3 306/11 306/12 307/4 311/23 317/13 317/20 318/13 319/13 325/17338/5
Justice [8] 21/25 38/13 58/4 85/21 150/7 204/11 $307 / 17319 / 3$
justified [1] 17/12
justify [1] 190/11
iuvenile [2] 182/23 188/23
K
KEEHAN [7] 3/2 202/19 202/23 221/4 221/5 246/3 250/24
keep [13] 24/11 86/24 87/1 97/4
127/4 133/7 218/22 222/9 236/16 237/16 270/3 284/4 298/13
keeping [2] 99/1 219/18
Kelli [1] 187/12
Kellnersville [1] 212/15
Ken [12] 4/9 22/2 38/15 58/6
85/23 114/18 145/14 150/8 204/13
255/23 307/18 319/6
KENNETH [2] 1/12 53/22
kept [5] 165/16 167/22 186/22
252/8 330/21
KEVIN [3] 2/9 4/20 53/16
keys [2] 159/19 189/19
kicked [1] 342/10
kid [1] 210/8
kids[19] 67/14 116/1 116/7
126/14 126/21 126/23 208/16
212/17 225/9 226/3 229/17 277/15 298/22 330/8 333/16 335/1 335/1 335/5 335/16
kill [1] 180/15
killed [3] 127/14 180/19 331/4
kind [69] 22/11 24/24 31/5 48/22 71/3 71/14 83/7 88/16 92/22 94/16 100/25 101/24 102/21 103/25 105/22 106/6 108/3 108/19 117/11 119/21 124/15 128/8 128/14 139/15 153/22 159/8 159/14 159/25 171/8 173/19 206/3 206/9 212/24 223/19 224/2 226/25 227/11 228/14 229/13 231/23 233/5 234/11 237/3 243/10 244/20 244/25 244/25 252/20 264/4 265/13 266/19 267/6 267/14
269/10 269/1 $1269 / 15$ 276/22
278/16 278/24 279/2 279/3 280/15
287/25 288/1 301/10 308/11 320/7
324/6 328/4
kinds [5] 107/19 117/23 275/10 276/6 280/4
Klein [4] $13 / 7$ 15/4 $15 / 16$ 16/16
Knights [1] 309/18
knowed [1] 292/18
knowing [6] 129/15 132/25 142/24
212/10 297/16 299/24
knowledge [22] 16/11 98/22
108/12 152/25 172/23 181/17
185/21 190/18 199/20 243/22
259/19 260/3 260/7 260/7 260/9
knowledge... [7] 260/19 271/25 272/3 272/6 281/2 334/20 344/14 known [9] 36/25 113/7 183/18 197/2 199/10 224/23 253/23 292/15 317/14
knows [15] 8/12 45/25 53/21
53/22 55/3 181/21 187/7 188/6
189/9 191/22 200/11 200/12
200/13 249/2 328/20
KOCH [9] 2/3 19/22 19/23 20/5 20/5 20/6 21/22 35/20 35/23 Kohler [3] 319/16 320/11 323/13 KRATZ [26] 1/12 2/17 3/8 4/9 22/2 38/15 58/6 85/23 114/13114/18 145/14 150/8 184/7 184/9 204/13 228/3 255/10 255/18 255/23 275/20 285/19 286/10 286/15 307/18 319/6 337/7
L
lab [1] 273/6
laboratory [1] 274/25
labs [2] 273/1 273/1
lack [3] 51/6 54/7 88/22
lady [3] 23/7 116/16 120/10
LAFOND [6] 3/15 305/20 305/21
306/1 307/13 307/14
laid [2] 70/17 70/24
Lake [1] 288/5
Lakes [1] 298/24
language [3] 134/18 134/24
286/22
laptops [2] 6/4 13/4
large [1] 50/22
last [61] 6/6 6/15 13/10 13/21 20/3
22/9 33/3 34/3 35/14 39/6 40/20
48/16 58/25 64/2 65/17 68/12
68/13 70/5 83/2 124/1 138/19
150/14 160/21 163/1 173/12
173/25 186/12 194/13 202/17
205/3 214/16 221/3 244/17 244/18
250/24 290/20 305/8 307/25 308/6
$308 / 25312 / 1312 / 5312 / 16312 / 22$
315/17316/19 317/3 319/12 320/2
323/4 325/9 325/10 325/20 332/23
$333 / 11333 / 25334 / 2334 / 15$
$336 / 21340 / 2341 / 3$
late [1] 210/22
lately [1] 256/19
later[10] 83/11 90/12 100/8
102/25 136/10 140/21 201/22
274/5 290/21 314/17
laugh [1] 116/10
laughs [1] 116/22
law [44] 1/16 1/18 8/7 17/2 32/9
73/10 91/22 91/25 92/17 93/25
118/19 118/23 119/8 119/10
119/12 120/3 121/11 132/7 156/9
156/11 156/25 168/18 177/3
182/25 238/4 241/2 254/24 262/10
262/13 263/2 269/1 8 294/10
295/12 296/6 301/10 327/14
$329 / 22330 / 3$ 330/17 330/24 331/2
331/12 331/21 332/3
laws [1] 128/16
lawsuit [2] 10/15 103/10
lawyer [1] 131/12
lawyers [16] 9/5 34/2 125/9
130/22 133/12 133/14 140/24 161/6 175/5 177/10 221/24 223/14 288/22 293/6 293/6 296/22
lay [1] 231/9
layout [1] 197/8
lead [15] 22/2 38/16 58/7 85/24 87/25 88/2 88/2 88/6 114/20 137/25 204/14 255/24 286/12 307/20 319/8
leaders [1] 137/21
lean [1] 89/24
learn [7] 20/21 57/5 84/16 108/9 203/10 294/11318/3
learned [7] 72/13 101/1 108/6
185/11 256/9 292/15 301/8
learner [2] 225/12 226/5
learning [5] 149/9 245/6 284/22
317/24 320/23
least [32] 19/5 23/13 47/20 50/18 52/1454/22 69/13 74/25 93/15 109/14 115/4 120/1 121/20 122/18 123/6 128/19 142/6 153/22 163/21 177/5 183/4 188/11 190/11 235/16 256/24 257/13 259/20 260/2
260/11 294/12 309/12 322/6
leave [5] 9/22 145/6 147/12 320/10 325/6
led [3] 310/13 328/4 328/15
Leers [1] 232/11
left [16] 6/8 22/2 38/14 58/6 85/23
130/22 150/8 180/12 204/13
247/21 286/9 293/3 294/6 307/19
319/5 341/13
legal [4] 130/4 301/13 301/18
301/21
legitimate [3] 7/19 10/25 11/22
length [8] 151/21 158/11 201/17
244/22 245/11 245/16 248/11
335/14
lengthy [3] 152/7 205/13 256/6
Lenk[5] 53/21 55/2 55/4 55/14 56/1
less [10] 32/20 55/16 73/10 73/20
74/18 136/14 171/23 208/9 210/15
326/24
lessons [2] 108/5 108/6
let's [31] 28/10 29/17 43/11 82/16 83/20 125/10 126/9 129/13 129/13 130/1 130/17 139/21 146/15
152/17 152/17 182/18 184/2 191/2 192/5 199/5 199/7 199/8 202/2 237/18 250/9 287/9 322/14 326/4 341/9 342/19 342/23
letter [18] 44/16 67/23 68/2 68/3 $82 / 2183 / 2$ 83/3 83/9 83/1 1 160/14 197/16 197/22 198/1 201/5 234/22 324/18 324/25 325/18
letters [3] 83/17 218/21 252/12
letting [5] $21 / 16$ 38/4 207/8 307/8 318/18
level [7] 9/13 43/7 55/4 131/21 142/2 261/2 272/18
levels [1] 58/20
Lewis [1] 91/20
library [1] 289/25
lie [7] 73/10 73/14 73/21 73/23 241/10 241/13 268/13
lies [3] 107/16 130/20 295/3
lieutenant [5] 53/21 55/3 72/4 72/5 72/12
life [14] 7/15 62/19 75/12 115/3
116/11118/20 122/7 132/5 165/8 166/9 294/16 310/5 310/7 338/25
light [4] 11/2 48/20 246/23 329/24
likely [9] 55/18 73/10 73/21 182/5 239/18 280/3 280/8 288/20 309/4
|likes [3] 99/5 269/10 269/12
likewise [2] 139/17 145/25
limit [14] 5/9 5/14 5/22 6/14 6/22
7/9 9/25 10/3 11/13 12/12 12/16 12/19 19/17 19/20
limitation [2] 48/6 186/15
limitations [2] 48/20 49/10
limited [1] 200/19
limits [2] 9/19 137/11
Lindell [1] 190/9
line [8] 92/5 94/12 107/4 137/2 137/8 177/4 177/4 240/2
lines [2] 80/17 267/19
lion's [1] 89/4
list [6] 96/10 147/3 152/7 152/15 233/18 340/8
listen [34] 26/2 33/13 41/15 42/2 60/10 60/15 77/14 77/16 78/6 89/14 89/16 108/18 109/1 109/24 110/8 129/10 144/2 173/6 176/23 176/24 177/5 211/13 211/20 214/19 228/24 229/2 268/8 272/13 284/23 293/4 296/1 8 296/24 297/5 337/9
listened [5] 61/23 74/14 90/19 90/22 228/9
listening [8] 13/25 27/25 28/3
$40 / 23$ 43/19 78/3 236/15 311/12
little [61] 6/2 6/19 9/4 10/18 12/14 12/22 23/17 25/19 26/23 28/10 29/17 34/4 39/15 43/11 44/24 60/25 61/1 63/19 76/5 88/22 89/24 90/2 99/14 102/1 103/6 106/4 125/11 134/14 173/19 187/18 204/22 209/24 211/11 212/7 214/12 $215 / 17$ 222/3 226/25 227/6 229/7 230/10 231/16 234/12 237/6 271/23 272/4 282/12 288/2 290/9 291/20 292/13 298/9 323/12 324/4 325/17 327/4 328/16 328/17 330/4 337/2 337/14
live [20] $71 / 13$ 71/13 $177 / 17$ 180/5
180/21 194/1 $211 / 24211 / 25215 / 6$ 224/20 238/11 238/14 238/17 249/18 265/16 280/24 321/9 322/23 323/9 328/18
lived [5] 101/3 264/19 264/21
264/23 323/1
lives [4] 9/16 116/16 233/12 233/25
living [6] 40/17 72/23 96/14 96/15
215/10 249/19
loading [2] 137/7 138/6
local [8] 59/24 60/2 63/3 68/24
92/1 1 172/2 309/19 322/15
located [4] 65/2 171/14 172/22 196/16
location [1] 183/17
lock [1] 189/20
$\log [2] 131 / 9214 / 9$
Lois [1] 232/10
long [39] $8 / 9$ 22/17 26/5 39/2
58/14 71/25 72/18 72/20 72/21
72/25 86/13 87/6 97/15 101/20
143/11 151/10 171/17173/24
174/7 178/24 204/23 212/5 223/22
244/11 244/12 265/16 266/1 287/6 287/1 8 301/9 308/19 308/20 309/9 310/4 310/7 311/19 311/20 319/22 330/12
longer [5] 12/5 151/20 184/12
230/15 252/15
looked [1] 202/10
$259 / 21260 / 15$
man [4] 7/15 54/21 79/5 177/9 manage [2] 40/12 86/8
management [1] 319/20
mandate [1] 139/3
MANITOWOC [25] 1/1 51/8 63/3
97/21 97/23 101/11 101/19 101/21
103/11 103/21 118/22 119/1
169/18 175/12 183/6 187/14
259/18 262/10 262/18 262/20
263/2 310/7 323/5 328/17 344/2
manner [2] 85/8 328/1
many[18] 12/7 18/13 45/13 55/1
60/17 88/5 88/8 102/25 103/4
136/24 138/16 208/5 208/5 248/17
252/8 306/7 340/9 340/13
Marble [1] 230/17
March [12] 48/9 48/12 48/12 49/1 49/14 49/15 49/17 49/19 68/14 223/5 226/24 326/18
March 2 [4] 48/9 48/12 48/12 49/19
March 2nd [2] 49/14 49/15
mark [1] 297/20
marked [1] 54/6
marriage [5] 96/13 156/13 157/20 261/13 275/22
marriages [1] 96/12
married [5] 72/25 97/15 120/9
184/8 234/4
Martin [1] 91/20
Mary [3] 4/21 153/11 153/12
mason [4] 58/14 58/21 59/3 66/13
master [1] 58/21
match [4] 15/12 188/1 282/5 305/5
matched [1] 281/13
material [2] 9/2 252/20
materials [1] 319/20
Math [1] 226/6
mathematics [1] 108/14
matter [28] 10/6 18/15 28/25
30/14 39/5 53/14 58/8 63/12
65/21 86/6 $113 / 5$ 133/5 146/22
147/6 169/4 204/15 250/21 254/16
261/20 272/24 274/5 279/15
286/12 310/10 319/8 322/3 344/7 344/13
matters [4] 4/14 255/1 255/12
261/9
$\max [1] 299 / 8$
maybe [53] 18/7 55/6 55/22 72/1
75/2 75/22 76/5 76/20 89/23
95/25 96/17 96/19 99/14 105/5
106/3 107/1 109/4 111/3 128/18
134/18 136/16 142/14 144/9 153/3
157/1 167/3 176/20 184/4 191/19
194/22 197/3 207/21 208/6 208/10
208/10 208/19 208/22 210/20
211/14 233/1 250/1 266/11 266/11
268/1 268/5 272/10 276/1 279/16
281/24 290/6 335/24 336/17 341/4
mean [92] 24/10 30/12 34/6 34/20 40/9 48/4 48/11 72/15 79/15
79/23 81/22 87/18 90/9 92/19
94/15 102/16 105/16 106/9 106/11 108/7 $111 / 5115 / 2115 / 24117 / 1$ 119/5 127/21 127/23 129/7 129/20 130/16 131/8 131/9 131/21 132/5 133/22 134/21 135/4 135/17 153/3 153/25 159/1 159/8 166/25 167/1 186/22 188/6 190/16 191/24
199/11 199/17 200/3 212/10 216/3
217/16 218/11 222/7 224/4 225/18

229/14 232/2 234/6 234/15 234/21
235/1 236/11 238/12 238/13
242/11 243/5 243/12 245/2 245/14
245/17 247/13 248/3 251/15
251/18 256/19 269/9 270/9 274/24
279/23 280/16 281/12 294/18
$315 / 21325 / 4327 / 12336 / 12$
337/14 338/4 338/25
meaning [3] 128/5 148/12 211/6
means [21] $37 / 784 / 1484 / 18$
106/25 $113 / 13116 / 6$ 126/1 134/25
137/15 144/5 149/5 203/6 246/19
251/24 254/7 254/12 260/8 284/17
284/23 306/12 317/21
meant [2] 115/2 152/12
measure [1] 49/7
meat [1] 12/13
mechanic [1] 98/20
mechanically [1] 98/13
media [64] 6/4 6/16 20/23 21/6 27/8 27/25 29/20 33/5 37/10 37/21 44/18 46/4 57/6 57/15 61/3 61/24 69/13 84/17 85/3 88/23 90/6 90/7 $113 / 18114 / 1$ 149/10 149/17 159/15 193/10 196/2 196/10 197/20 199/25 200/12 200/20 203/11 203/20 213/10 213/11 213/22 215/13 219/4 234/1 8 246/6 254/10 254/25
257/13 284/23 285/8 285/10
306/18 307/1 310/13 313/8 313/16
315/1 315/24 317/25 318/10
324/22 326/24 327/2 327/11
327/21 327/23
media's [2] 195/23 195/24
mediate [1] 327/2
meet [1] 40/22
meeting [2] 11/11 222/18
meetings [7] 66/20 124/12 137/10
137/14 154/13 251/14 251/24
MELVIN [1] 3/7
member [8] 38/2 156/6 157/6 183/13 229/20 230/3 230/4 310/4
members [27] 21/2 21/6 21/13 37/16 37/21 57/10 83/5 84/22 85/3 92/16 113/22 113/22 149/14 149/17 160/4 164/10 170/14 174/13 185/5 203/16 250/20 254/17 285/4 285/9 306/12 306/20 318/6
Memorial [1] 206/17
memory [1] 313/25
Men's [1] 309/22
mention [7] 17/5 17/24 83/10
96/18 201/6 260/18 262/15
mentioned [18] 15/22 69/9 97/25
115/22 117/15 136/16 142/13 142/18 169/19 185/10 211/10 224/21 260/1 262/11 273/11 281/2 320/18 336/24
messy [1] 277/6
met [5] 224/24 241/24 249/17 300/25 301/2
method [1] 270/10
microphone [3] 89/25 239/10 250/3
middle [1] 50/10
midnight [3] 119/24 120/4 126/1
might [65] 10/22 11/25 11/25 23/5
$42 / 1442 / 1743 / 643 / 1444 / 4$
44/15 55/22 56/2 65/11 68/1 68/1
75/4 86/6 93/15 103/12 104/20
106/2 106/7 $118 / 25133 / 9133 / 17$
might... [40] 142/9 155/14 155/24 169/11 173/1 176/21 179/14 179/18 179/22 179/25 179/25
187/13 193/17 205/15 207/6 207/7 216/9 237/25 238/2 238/16 241/10 241/13 259/5 267/19 277/16 277/18 277/23 279/17 279/17 290/4 290/6 299/5 300/17311/3 $311 / 9315 / 16316 / 19320 / 8323 / 23$ 324/6
mike [1] 250/4
mile [1] 211/24
miles [1] 212/1
mill [1] 231/2
Millwork [1] 232/11
Milwaukee [7] 96/7 97/6 97/8 182/23 211/6 211/7 228/11 mind [39] 9/18 22/25 29/14 35/5 35/11 46/5 46/16 50/1 62/12 75/25 99/11 164/7 164/8 169/9 173/5 174/17 177/6 179/17179/18 179/19 205/17 214/2 218/22 236/16 237/17 249/10 251/16 264/1 269/7 270/4 270/23 279/21 279/22 281/5 282/5 312/10 315/11 315/13 315/21
minus [1] 245/13
minute [12] 5/9 5/22 6/2 6/22 10/3 12/15 48/1 48/5 82/16 109/3 270/6 275/19
minutes [20] 5/20 6/8 6/25 9/13
10/7 10/10 10/10 10/11 12/3 12/8 18/13 82/17 84/8 112/22 149/1 190/15 191/1 203/2 211/14 306/5
misconstrued [1] 11/4
misfortune [1] 118/18
Mishicot [5] 322/24 323/1 323/10 333/17 335/2
misinterpreted [1] 136/1
misread [1] 134/20
missed [3] 34/3 102/4 298/2
missing [8] 90/11 100/17 101/5
127/13 171/1 171/5 172/12 234/10
Missouri [6] 264/17 264/18 264/21
265/5 265/7 265/13
mistake [4] 101/12 102/9 142/1
167/2
mistaken [2] 105/14 244/14
mistakes [1] 102/16
mitigating [1] 52/9
mixing [1] 88/15
MOHR [23] 2/20 146/20 147/25
148/1 148/15 148/17 148/21 150/3 175/2 181/1 181/11 182/18 184/18 191/7 191/13 194/8 197/16 198/23 199/12 200/11 200/13 200/15 201/10
Mohr's [1] 181/8
mom [2] 124/15 127/21
moment [9] 99/13 124/24 148/8 163/18 166/6 173/11 231/20 299/21 310/16
Monday [13] 13/11 $13 / 21$ 34/4 35/14 101/22 102/1 150/14 163/2 186/10 186/11 186/12 307/25 309/4
money [4] 87/20 206/1 223/1 288/3
monitor [1] 5/13
month [6] 88/8 97/9 154/18 194/13 197/17 325/10
months [9] $7 / 2145 / 1345 / 13$ 50/11|
50/12 136/10 186/8 265/8 313/13
morning [50] $4 / 34 / 6$ 19/1 20/8
21/22 21/23 22/4 22/5 24/8 36/23 38/9 38/10 48/6 49/9 56/22 58/1
58/2 58/8 66/7 66/8 85/17 85/19 85/25 95/17 95/18 101/23 102/1 114/18 $115 / 25125 / 6$ 125/7 126/11 138/3 138/4 166/19 201/13 202/1 206/6 210/6 210/8 210/16 211/14 213/3 227/13 228/25 238/8 303/16 306/14 317/23 340/5
mornings [2] 24/6 222/9
most [25] 20/11 33/15 43/13 43/21 44/8 47/19 115/5 140/13 145/25 170/10 171/25 182/5 207/2 222/20 246/4 252/5 256/11 269/13 284/11 289/12 302/5 309/3 322/11 325/4 337/2
mostically [3] 288/9 292/15 292/17 mostly [11] 206/24 212/19 231/3
265/6 278/11 278/12 298/18
298/22 311/23 323/20 326/11
mother [2] 96/14 124/8
motion [22] 16/8 16/13 36/1 48/2 48/16 48/17 51/25 82/12 112/13
144/24 146/11 146/12 181/4 200/5
247/6 248/15 283/22 302/14
303/23 304/6 316/12 339/23
motions [2] 145/18 283/18
motive [1] 106/7
motives [1] 268/13
motorcycle [1] 98/18
motto [2] 265/17 265/21
mouth [3] 74/5 207/10 212/24 move [13] 36/3 48/7 48/24 90/1 181/7 181/12 182/14 248/13 264/20 264/20 328/19 330/12 339/24
moved [6] 264/21 265/8 265/9 308/4 323/9 330/10
movie [2] 91/19 241/24
movies [4] 76/20 91/19 91/20
95/21
moving [12] 20/8 36/24 49/21 84/6 113/6 137/3 148/23 202/25 253/22 284/8 306/3 317/12
Mr [22] 58/6 82/7 85/23 112/16
114/13 130/24 149/24 180/25
184/7 191/7 202/19 202/23 253/19
255/16 255/22 260/10 283/16 283/25 285/19 317/9 319/6 327/13 Mr. [222] 7/7 8/10 8/13 14/19 15/4
15/16 15/23 16/25 17/19 18/24 21/19 22/2 22/7 27/19 28/21
30/21 32/1 33/5 33/22 36/1 36/16 $36 / 2138 / 638 / 938 / 1545 / 14$
45/23 46/3 47/6 47/16 47/24 47/25 48/23 48/23 49/11 51/23
$52 / 13$ 54/4 55/2 56/1 56/1 56/13 56/14 56/15 56/20 57/23 58/1 64/7 64/22 66/3 69/13 74/15
78/19 78/24 79/14 80/7 82/10
$82 / 15$ 83/21 83/24 84/4 85/14
$91 / 11$ 95/13 95/23 99/20 100/15
102/19 106/5 109/17 110/21 111/4
111/21 112/3 112/9 114/20 117/8
117/16 118/8 122/13 125/2 125/10
129/15 131/11 146/13 147/15
148/17 148/21 150/3 150/8 $156 / 10$
158/13 160/5 160/10 164/11
174/23 175/2 175/6 175/19 177/22
178/14 180/18 181/8 181/9 181/11

182/16 182/18 182/19 184/9
184/18 8 188/14 190/14 191/9 194/4 194/8 197/16 198/23 199/12 200/2 200/11 200/15 201/10 202/2 204/4 204/13 214/25 218/12 220/5 220/23 221/9 226/16 228/3 231/18 238/25 239/12 240/4 240/12 244/2 246/3 250/20 252/24 253/7 253/12 253/17 253/20 255/10 255/18 256/5 257/4 257/5 257/11 257/15 257/18 258/23 259/8 259/20
260/22 261/23 263/6 263/16
266/15 275/20 275/23 276/4 281/3 282/6 282/9 283/9 283/13 283/23
283/24 284/3 284/6 285/19 285/19 286/2 286/8 286/10 286/15 286/16 286/16 288/15 288/15 294/3 294/6 295/5 296/11 297/12 297/21 298/9 299/22 302/18 303/5 304/12
304/17 305/20 305/21 306/1 $307 / 10307 / 13307 / 18313 / 4313 / 9$ 316/2 317/5 317/10 318/20 319/1 325/14 328/3 332/15 332/20 332/23 333/21 336/2 336/21 $336 / 25$ 337/7 339/5 339/6 339/22 340/4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Mr. Avery }[66] & 28 / 21 & 30 / 21 & 32 / 1\end{array}$ 33/5 46/3 48/23 51/23 64/7 64/22 69/1 3 74/15 78/19 78/24 79/14 80/7 91/11 99/20 100/15 106/5 109/17 110/21 111/4 111/21 112/3 117/8 118/8 125/10 131/11156/10 158/13 164/11 175/6 175/19 177/22 178/14 180/18 218/12 220/5 221/9 238/25 240/4 240/12 257/5 257/15 257/18 259/8 260/22 266/15 275/23 276/4 282/6 283/9 286/8 295/5 296/11 297/12 297/21 $313 / 4316 / 2325 / 14328 / 3332 / 20$ 336/2 336/25 339/5 339/6
Mr. Avery's [1 1] 27/19 102/19 117/16 122/13 129/15 231/18 244/2 257/4 259/20 281/3 336/21
Mr. Brotski [7] 47/25 56/14 56/15
56/20 58/1 82/10 82/15
Mr. Buting [10] $8 / 108 / 1366 / 3$ 95/13 263/16 282/9 286/16 288/15 332/15 339/22
Mr. Dao [3] 283/24 284/3 284/6
Mr. Dassey [6] 45/23 48/23 52/13 160/5 214/25 313/9
Mr. Dassey's [3] 14/19 45/14 160/10
Mr. Diedrich [5] 36/16 36/21 38/9 47/6 47/24
Mr. Fallon [22] 15/23 17/19 21/19 38/6 49/11 54/4 57/23 85/14 95/23 146/13 147/15 182/19 188/14 194/4 204/4 226/16 285/19 294/6 304/12 307/10 318/20 333/21
Mr. Fallon's [1] 190/14
Mr. Hoang [4] 286/2 294/3 298/9 299/22
Mr. Holschbach [1] 56/13
Mr. Holsen [5] 317/5 317/10 319/1
332/23 340/4
Mr. Keehan [1] 246/3
Mr. Ken [5] 22/2 38/15 150/8 204/13 307/18
Mr. Klein [2] 15/4 15/16
Mr. Kratz [9] 184/9 228/3 255/10 255/18 275/20 285/19 286/10

Mr. Kratz... [2] 286/15 337/7
Mr. Lafond [4] 305/20 305/21
306/1 307/13
Mr. Lenk [2] 55/2 56/1
Mr. Mahler [4] 83/21 83/24 84/4 112/9
Mr. Mohr [14] 148/17 148/21 150/3 175/2 181/11 182/18 184/18 194/8 197/16 198/23 199/12 200/11 200/15 201/10
Mr. Mohr's [1] 181/8
Mr. Pederson [13] 202/2 252/24
253/7 253/12 253/17 253/20 256/5 257/11 258/23 261/23 263/6 283/13 283/23
Mr. Peterson [1] 56/1
Mr. Strang [19] 7/7 18/24 22/7
33/22 36/1 47/16 125/2 174/23
182/16 191/9 200/2 220/23 239/12 250/20 286/16 288/15 302/18 303/5 304/17
Mr. Strang's [2] 16/25 181/9
Mr. Tom [1] 114/20
Mrs [2] 184/16 340/14
Mrs. [1] 200/13
Mrs. Mohr [1] 200/13
Ms [24] 19/23 20/5 20/6 21/22 35/20 35/23 112/23 112/25 113/4 114/18115/17116/24118/18 121/23 122/4 122/6 122/9 122/12 122/17 144/19 144/20 261/1 261/12 261/19
Ms Dassey [1] 261/12
muffins [1] 115/14
muffle [1] 13/2
municipality [2] $125 / 15$ 262/19
murder [4] 61/5 61/11111/3 227/22
murderer [1] 65/1
Murray [3] 153/11153/12 153/13 music[17] 87/5 87/6 87/21 88/15 $88 / 15$ 89/14 89/17 89/22 91/17 94/23 95/1 95/3 95/6 108/9 108/10 211/20 228/15
musical [1] 108/3
musician [1] 86/12
must [16] 28/14 29/6 32/3 65/8
70/23 78/12 79/2 79/23 112/16 162/11 162/12 162/17 162/24 179/23 258/22 269/4
my [200] $10 / 1311 / 3 \quad 12 / 1913 / 17$ 13/18 16/10 17/10 18/7 21/24 22/1 35/5 35/11 38/11 38/14 39/1 8 39/24 40/20 42/2 46/5 46/16 47/19 49/23 50/1 54/8 54/20 55/5 58/3 58/5 60/21 65/16 $65 / 19$ 65/20 66/9 66/24 70/13 71/17 75/7 75/10 85/19 85/22 86/23 87/4 88/6 88/15 90/9 91/24 92/19 92/19 93/9 93/9 94/23 95/19 96/13 96/13 96/15 97/6 98/8 100/23 101/15 102/1 102/2 108/6 110/1 114/18 $116 / 9116 / 11$ 116/12 116/12 119/16119/18 123/3 124/12 126/15 126/20 126/23 $128 / 1$ 128/11 $129 / 4$ 130/22 133/7 136/21 137/16 137/17 140/8 140/10 140/13 144/3 145/5 150/5 150/8 156/8 156/11 158/17 158/17 159/11 164/8 165/8 165/10 166/1 166/9 167/3 167/5 167/10 168/2

179/17 181/8 181/13 187/3 190/13
193/23 199/13 204/10 204/12 205/25 206/24 207/17 207/17 207/25 208/11 210/8 210/9 210/12 210/13 212/16 214/5 214/10215/2 222/6 222/10 225/1 227/3 228/13 228/13 232/6 232/18 233/16
244/17 244/18 248/15 249/13
250/23 251/20 253/2 255/22 262/5 262/6 263/22 264/21 264/23 274/13 276/15 279/21 279/21 280/8 280/16 280/23 282/5 285/3 286/4 286/9 287/25 290/21 291/23 293/18 294/3 298/13 298/13 298/1 8 298/20 304/11 305/3 307/15 307/19 309/15 310/5 312/9 313/25 315/9315/11 315/13 $315 / 21319 / 1319 / 5320 / 21322 / 19$ $327 / 3$ 329/13 329/25 329/25 330/1 $332 / 19333 / 18335 / 1335 / 1335 / 11$ $335 / 15337 / 3340 / 18344 / 9344 / 13$ myself [6] 22/6 120/7 197/7 249/18 286/15 301/12
mystery [2] 23/9 166/12

## N

name [41] 20/3 21/24 37/22 38/11
57/16 58/3 61/13 61/15 66/9
69/10 69/11 69/19 85/4 85/19
92/6 95/19 96/4 99/23 114/2
114/18 117/1 117/2 117/4 150/5
159/22 159/24 204/10 221/3
253/18 255/22 260/19 262/17
263/22 285/10 285/25 286/4 294/3
307/15 312/13 319/1 332/19
namely [1] 17/18
names [9] 4/19 21/7 99/22 149/18
152/8 $154 / 6$ 207/15 307/1 318/11
nasty [2] 277/18 277/23
Nathan [1] 13/7
national [4] 59/25 69/7 69/8 98/1
nativity [1] 206/11
natural [10] 72/15 79/13 79/21
115/10 123/1 125/17 125/18
125/20 131/22 267/6
naturalized [1] 304/3
nature [8] 42/2 79/13 94/25 111/3
128/23 152/16 214/1 305/3
near [1] 305/12
nearby [1] 286/11
nearest [1] 211/25
nearly [1] 52/11
necessarily [9] 73/20 74/4 144/10
186/24 196/5 227/7 251/16 272/10 272/21
necessary [1] 188/10
need [15] 12/18 28/4 51/17 90/4
128/21 129/12 138/1 147/4 170/7
175/25 224/9 235/11 235/12
251/17316/21
needed [3] 129/22 170/9 205/7
negative [2] 276/3 277/8
neighbor [7] 126/15 126/20 193/11
212/1 212/4 262/16 262/23
neighbors [5] 115/22 116/14
142/13 210/2 211/23
neither [4] $8 / 13$ 9/1 $17 / 5 \quad 185 / 1$
neph [1] 45/4
nephew [7] 61/17 99/19 99/21
99/24 100/3 100/7 257/5
nerve [1] 34/8
nerve-racking [1] 34/8
nervous [4] 30/10 30/11 34/4 34/5
neutrality [1] 296/13
never [24] 62/21 62/21 92/6 92/7
98/15 99/18 100/6 102/4 116/2 116/10 119/7 141/20 165/8 166/9 166/10 166/23 208/16 $215 / 10$ 224/23 224/24 229/19 244/24 245/3 261/2
new [4] 134/23 245/12 304/5 308/21
news [119] 13/21 23/15 23/18 23/24 23/25 24/5 24/8 24/24 24/25 25/10 25/21 26/5 37/10 41/16 43/14 43/23 43/25 44/4 $45 / 1448 / 848 / 1348 / 25$ 50/12 51/20 52/1 52/3 52/11 52/13 53/3 57/6 57/16 59/14 59/16 59/20 59/22 59/24 59/25 61/24 67/16 69/3 70/10 84/17 85/3 85/4 88/19 88/25 89/4 89/16 89/20 99/2 100/2 103/2 $113 / 18114 / 1114 / 2$ 115/18 115/21 149/19 160/16 160/22 160/22 161/5 195/11 195/12 197/19 200/20 210/1 210/6 210/25 211/11 211/14211/19 211/20 213/2 214/14 215/23 227/9 227/9 227/14 227/24 228/1 228/4 228/4 254/10 254/25 256/11 256/24 256/25 257/11 259/3 259/4 284/23 285/8 289/2 289/9 289/17 290/6 290/9 306/17 307/2 310/18 $310 / 24311 / 1311 / 6311 / 12311 / 20$ $312 / 4313 / 1317 / 25318 / 10322 / 8$ 322/12 322/15 325/5 326/10 326/10 326/11 337/1 337/6
newscasts [7] 23/22 23/23 26/9 60/17 90/7 90/15 290/19
newspaper [17] 37/12 57/7 84/20
89/1 $113 / 19116 / 9149 / 111203 / 13$
212/19 254/14 256/15 284/25
289/6 289/12 289/15 310/21 311/17
newspapers [11] 20/24 60/2
115/19 210/2 210/19 219/19
256/14 306/18 310/20 318/2 323/17
newsworthy [1] 69/17
next [54] 8/25 10/12 20/8 21/16 21/17 23/10 25/23 36/14 36/24 38/4 47/24 53/15 56/22 61/4 83/20 84/6 85/12 92/9 113/6 114/11 137/8 143/10 146/20 147/25 148/16 148/23 166/19 201/16 202/25 207/12 213/20 213/21 217/22 238/7 238/8 252/18 253/22 255/8 283/24 284/8 284/20 285/17 305/20 306/3 306/14 307/8 314/16 317/12 317/13 317/23 $318 / 18322 / 19323 / 24340 / 16$
nice [3] 123/4 167/7 276/10
nickname [1] 265/13
Nicole [1] 154/5
night [8] 9/21 119/20 124/3 128/18 168/14 232/18 303/16 321/25
Nightly [2] 322/16 322/17
nights [1] 67/12
nine [4] 39/9 58/16 230/18 309/4
No. [8] 4/22 34/19 53/18 146/2
146/7 188/19 340/19 341/5
No. 39 [1] 4/22
No. 40 [1] 34/19
No. 51 [1] 53/18
No. 73 [2] 146/2 146/7
$N$
No. 74 [1] 188/19
No. 87 [2] 340/19 341/5
nobody [4] 187/7 216/9 232/6 245/23
nodding [1] 79/16
noise [3] 13/2 210/14 239/8
nominal [1] 262/7
none [10] 76/20 82/13 93/23
112/14 160/12 187/9 217/2 223/24
247/8 263/12
Nonetheless [1] 182/2
Noon [1] 145/7
nor [3] 18/3 184/20 201/4
normal [1] 216/1
normally [2] 88/8 321/20
north [5] 167/17 264/8 265/4 265/6 265/9
note [13] $14 / 8$ 14/15 $15 / 1143 / 10$ 51/2 82/20 86/7 121/1 164/23
190/25 201/23 232/24 255/10
noted [2] $115 / 17$ 207/17
notes [1] 344/9
nothing [29] 19/21 48/11 62/25
65/6 84/17 89/12 90/19 90/20 101/25 102/17 109/8 134/25 144/5 160/1 160/2 164/6 164/8 $175 / 15$ 190/17 207/11 217/3 217/10
226/15 229/19 232/6 252/16 266/4 326/2 338/7
Nothing's [1] 246/19
notice [5] 57/21 85/12 123/7
249/11 262/10
noticed [2] 22/21 276/19
notified [4] 44/14 114/10 204/2 299/5
notifying [2] 197/17 255/8
November [18] 49/14 49/16 49/18
68/6 68/12 68/13 68/13 186/3
186/4 186/4 191/15 191/15 192/22
192/22 193/4 193/4 226/21 326/17
November 3 [2] 191/15 192/22
November 4 [1] 186/4
November 5 [5] 49/16 186/4
191/15 192/22 193/4
November 9th [1] 49/14
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Number [27] } & 7 / 9 & 8 / 22 & 19 / 18 \\ \text { ne }\end{array}$
50/22 50/22 52/9 52/12 52/19
53/5 53/5 55/15 62/16 73/4 139/7
141/5 155/6 171/3 172/24 216/17
234/15 250/12 273/7 276/16
304/17 330/7 341/3
numbers [2] 4/19 15/12
nurse [1] 67/7

## 0

o'clock [2] 227/9 321/24
oath [21] 19/24 36/18 56/17 73/10
73/21 74/1 74/4 84/1 104/4
104/12 104/13 107/16 113/1
148/18 241/10 241/13 241/17
241/18 242/11 253/14 305/23
object [2] 7/8 49/24
objecting [1] 19/17
objection [5] 8/11 8/14 50/3 56/9 56/11
objectionable [4] 8/15 8/18 11/17 11/20
objections [2] 8/13 8/22
objective [5] 146/2 146/9 182/4 182/5 190/6
objects [1] 292/2
obligation [1] 37/9
obligations [2] 303/11 303/12
observation [1] 305/3
observations [1] 12/20
observed [1] 25/20
obtain [3] 24/25 113/17 254/13
obtained [5] 16/24 18/19 192/21
198/22 273/18
obvious [4] 47/12 49/24 281/8 320/9
obviously [9] 14/11 51/9 73/5
110/13 131/22 223/16 229/20 252/14 339/1
occasion [6] 99/6 154/11 156/24
198/5 261/8 261/12
occasionally [3] 121/11 228/13
228/24
occupation [2] 71/10 73/19
occur [3] 155/7 194/11 261/17
occurred [1] 111/3
occurrence [1] 331/15
occurring [1] 190/10
occurs [1] 155/4
October [1] 50/11
oddly [1] 51/22
off [36] 6/6 9/2 9/4 9/12 39/20 70/17 70/24 83/4 116/1 126/14 126/20 138/1 140/12 165/16 170/8 194/23 195/19 205/23 210/10 211/24 214/5 214/19 217/25 222/24 228/15 231/10 245/16 277/16 279/5 279/7 282/2 283/3 284/5 294/6 326/22 342/21
offense [2] 30/23 30/24
offer [3] 26/15 176/3 176/8
offered [3] 177/2 183/25 184/21
offers [1] 176/4
office [43] 16/20 24/16 96/6
137/16 138/10 148/2 148/3 150/20
151/1 151/10151/14151/17
152/19 153/8 155/6 155/19 161/20 163/5 181/14 181/18 182/9 182/22 183/6 183/13 $183 / 14$ 185/6 185/15 185/22 186/7 186/21 188/23
189/10 189/18 190/19 191/14 192/13 192/21 194/21 197/7 197/11 198/12 199/22 276/15
officer [22] 63/3 63/4 63/20 71/17
71/25 72/9 72/12 73/2 73/23
$74 / 1175 / 18105 / 16$ 107/11 128/12
169/3 178/10 178/11 241/10
241/24 262/16 330/7 331/18
officer's [2] 74/9 331/21
officers [24] 62/16 71/16 72/16
$73 / 873 / 1875 / 175 / 575 / 1275 / 19$ 76/2 76/22 104/3 104/17 106/3 106/15 107/23 168/19 169/14 177/3 199/15 240/21 240/22 241/1 330/4
Official [3] 1/25 344/4 344/19
officially [1] 151/3
officials [1] 118/19
often [5] 11/17 154/9 232/17 241/3 322/14
oh [33] $28 / 367 / 870 / 1871 / 18$ 97/10 $116 / 19$ 131/2 138/23 139/2 139/6 140/3 142/21 142/22 143/16 143/22 145/9 158/17 169/25 171/19 173/23 212/7 232/4 233/15
236/21 236/25 236/25 237/8
238/18 251/17 270/20 281/15
311/8 342/9
oi [3] 139/22 139/22 139/22
okay [393]
old [12] 66/24 66/25 91/19 91/20 119/25 127/3 128/17 136/11 162/24 195/14 195/14 287/13
older [1] 233/16
omitted [2] 189/24 189/25
once [9] 25/8 $116 / 17135 / 7$ 153/3
154/18 157/1 173/13 210/20 245/9
ones [6] 11/3 172/8 200/5 201/19
248/6 278/6
online [8] 320/20 320/22 321/9
321/13 321/14 321/16 322/1
323/20
only [46] 15/2 28/11 48/4 48/5
53/22 65/6 83/11 92/2 117/21 $132 / 8$ 147/6 163/24 172/13 186/2 188/5 193/8 193/13 193/19 196/1
200/24 202/5 202/7 205/21 206/17
208/22 $215 / 9215 / 11217 / 7217 / 9$
220/18 224/25 229/15 230/13
232/23 238/13 246/13 246/22
251/14 256/2 258/12 267/1 299/7
302/4 304/8 312/9 315/1
Oops [1] 342/19
open [20] 9/6 21/4 37/18 57/12
$76 / 1184 / 25113 / 23142 / 11149 / 15$
177/6 203/18 209/8 218/22 231/12 236/16 237/16 254/20 285/6
306/23 318/8
opened [1] 141/4
opening [2] 17/25 253/3
operating [1] 186/25
operation [3] 185/14 187/13 187/16
operations [1] 185/21
opinion [58] 16/12 26/15 26/21
27/1 27/3 27/5 34/12 62/3 74/25
75/23 91/3 94/3 103/15 103/19
117/16 118/25 118/25 119/6 129/4
144/1 144/3 144/4 144/6 163/6 170/18 175/22 188/19 188/19 196/6 $218 / 12218 / 17219 / 7219 / 13$ 233/1 235/4 247/14 257/13 257/17 257/18 258/2 260/11 263/1 272/17 272/20 280/9 282/21 282/21
296/10 296/14 314/18315/6 315/9
315/24 327/22 329/3 334/13
334/15 336/20
opinions [32] 26/16 33/6 33/8 54/1
54/10 68/17 75/10 91/1 94/10
127/10 158/7 231/18 235/14
235/20 249/5 249/9 249/23 257/24
271/12 271/14 272/8 272/23
$310 / 14314 / 13322 / 4327 / 10328 / 5$
$328 / 15329 / 7333 / 21334 / 1334 / 5$
opportunity [8] 37/1 51/4 113/9
191/3 284/11 304/24 306/6 319/9
oppose [1] 51/23
opposed [1] 279/19
opposing [1] 200/5
or [621]
orally [1] 263/9
orange [2] 294/19 294/21
orchestrate [1] 172/3
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { order [19] } & 13 / 1 & 14 / 10 & 17 / 5 & 36 / 13\end{array}$
36/14 56/13 76/6 91/25 121/11
$146 / 18201 / 14223 / 3253 / 6254 / 1$
$301 / 15$ 305/1 317/2 324/16 340/4
ordered [1] 57/5
orders [3] 207/9 222/10 223/4
Ordinarily [1] 147/2
ordinary [5] 73/11 73/21 74/10 104/22 105/7
organizations [2] 66/16 310/4 organized [1] 171/22
oriented [2] 94/11 95/2
original [1] 90/16
originally [2] 44/14 264/14 other [166] 7/17 10/2 12/6 13/6
16/3 18/2 21/1 27/11 27/23 28/13
$31 / 1632 / 1433 / 1137 / 1337 / 15$ 41/12 53/14 55/2 55/15 56/3 57/10 62/14 63/24 65/5 65/22 74/1 8 77/1 8 78/9 80/9 80/24 81/8 84/22 87/19 90/16 91/12 91/13 92/16 94/9 96/15 101/7 103/20 103/23 104/21 105/9 106/16 106/18 107/7 107/9 108/20 108/22 110/3 110/9 113/22 115/21 117/23 119/6 120/8 120/15 124/19 124/21 132/14 132/19 133/15 138/1 140/24 142/3 143/2 146/22 149/11 151/13 153/6 154/5 155/13 155/25 156/3 158/11 160/9 161/18 164/3 166/24 169/2 169/15 174/13 175/22 177/24 178/4 184/20 186/12 $188 / 16$ 189/2 $189 / 3189 / 17$ 193/11 194/15 198/3 198/4 198/8 198/10 199/2 201/19 201/23 203/15 205/12 206/17 207/6 209/17 213/15 214/10 215/24 216/25 217/10 219/18 226/3 231/21 236/20 240/6 241/4 241/23 242/4 243/1 243/2 246/6 254/17 258/7 258/15 260/14 261/9 262/13 267/4 267/7 268/7 268/19 268/25 270/4 270/12 271/8 272/20 276/1 276/25 279/5 280/25 285/4 291/1 291/5 292/2 295/25 296/14 297/14 298/23 300/21 306/21 308/24 $311 / 1312 / 3315 / 15315 / 17318 / 4$ 318/7 322/3 324/10 329/20 331/22 $332 / 8332 / 11335 / 15340 / 9$ others [5] 66/12 107/24 225/9 271/18336/17
otherwise [8] 16/24 17/25 24/15 26/3 28/14 79/6 179/24 302/17
ought [3] 19/4 298/7 303/1
our [40] 6/13 6/19 7/5 8/22 10/22
22/22 30/4 32/9 43/13 68/23 86/7
119/12 130/8 130/24 138/2 138/5
138/25 145/3 147/3 147/4 177/22
178/14 211/25 212/4 223/15
225/19 230/13 239/9 250/9 266/9
286/14 287/6 288/20 291/9 297/5
297/10 297/18 319/9 330/8 335/16
ours [3] 215/5 215/7 224/19
outcome [1] 105/21
outset [1] 5/8
outside [8] 67/5 192/20 193/12 210/8 221/17 250/19 264/12 277/22
outstanding [2] 133/19 304/9 Oven's [1] 125/18
Ovens[4] 115/10 123/1 125/17 125/20
over [13] 41/4 41/17 86/22 112/22
138/20 143/11 154/21 184/14 190/14 201/9 233/21 235/15 308/12
overall [3] 171/21 172/2 263/1
overhear [2] 276/21 325/22
overload [1] 151/23
overlooked [1] 190/1
overnight [1] 119/17
overriding [1] 7/12
overrule [1] 6/1
overruled [1] 8/24
own [23] 11/3 38/25 60/4 68/23 81/6 84/23 86/17 96/22 108/6 125/17 132/12 171/23 177/11 183/15 185/20 225/7 233/22 237/6 239/1 248/4 266/9 271/24 305/5 owned [2] 86/19 102/21 owners [1] 300/6

## P

packaging[3] 115/10 $115 / 11$ 137/6 packing [1] 138/21
page [9] $2 / 23 / 1 \quad 13 / 1315 / 11$ 64/6 172/8 320/18 340/21 340/23
pages [2] 15/9 207/11
paid [5] 63/14 102/1 161/4 303/21 326/18
painting [1] 207/2
panel [8] 15/5 21/14 38/3 83/5
114/9 250/20 255/6 283/24
paper [16] 100/24 147/5 147/15
147/19 147/24 204/23 210/22
$211 / 2$ 211/4 229/21 231/2 231/7 231/9 248/9 324/5 324/7
papers [5] 321/13 323/19 323/22
324/11 337/1
paperwork [1] 137/15
Paragon [1] 308/4
parameters [1] 192/2
parent [2] 124/14 337/4
parents [1] 124/8
park [1] 206/12
parking [1] 167/12
part [43] 15/4 20/11 $21 / 1834 / 10$
48/4 48/5 48/5 67/9 71/10 81/15
85/11 86/7 90/11 92/24 123/17
130/10 158/24 159/23 171/19
171/21 182/10 185/22 205/22
206/1 206/3 206/5 225/6 234/3
234/9 253/3 256/4 261/14 281/15
281/16 286/14 292/1 299/18
302/22 311/17320/17325/4
326/13 330/10
part-time [4] 205/22 206/5 234/3 299/18
participate [4] 51/12 302/21 304/4 321/4
participated [2] 171/1 173/4
participating [3] 172/12 286/21
321/8
particular [28] 5/23 7/19 11/19
12/2 14/1 31/17 45/22 58/20 62/2 88/13 94/3 108/13 121/3 141/7 158/20 195/9 207/14 209/10
263/15 288/7 307/18 311/10 320/5 $324 / 14325 / 19326 / 2329 / 2338 / 6$
particularly [4] 12/11 68/12 76/22 120/25
parties [36] 4/4 4/17 5/6 5/11 6/7 6/9 7/1 8/3 11/14 11/22 12/13 13/13 17/16 17/16 52/8 56/23
113/8 145/10 145/21 148/7148/25 150/17 152/14 154/4 158/4 181/24
184/25 185/8 189/24 191/3 191/7
191/23 203/1 251/12 251/15
253/25
parties want [1] 191/23
parts [2] 52/14 170/8
party [23] 5/9 12/16 17/24 82/12
110/24 111/22 112/13 144/24

152/16 152/16 154/1 154/3 181/5
183/8 183/8 183/9 184/5 184/5
185/1 198/18 247/7 283/19 302/15 party's [2] 4/24 5/5
pass [10] 33/21 65/14 139/18
163/3 164/15 174/21 220/22 254/5 317/19 332/14
passage [1] 168/11
passing [1] 136/16
past [9] $12 / 13$ 98/5 130/4 135/8
259/21 326/21 327/8 328/4 336/24
patience [1] 86/1
Patrick [3] 1/9 3/2 250/24
patrol [1] 128/12
pausing [1] 53/15
pay [17] 59/23 59/24 117/3 136/21
214/8 214/13 214/24 215/18 216/4
230/7 242/24 299/2 299/7 299/25
309/15 324/21 328/23
paycheck [1] 40/22
paying [4] 83/9 160/23 218/6
262/6
payment [1] 102/4
payments [1] 298/14
payroll [1] 125/19
PEDERSEN [1] 3/7
Pederson [18] 202/2 252/24 253/7
253/12 253/17 253/19 253/20
255/16 255/22 256/5 257/11
258/23 260/10 261/23 263/6
283/13 283/16 283/23
peer [1] 270/13
peers [2] 51/7 174/13
penalty [2] 34/14 333/9
pendency [2] 148/2 184/14
people [77] 10/13 19/6 20/2 42/4
42/23 49/3 52/7 66/20 73/25 77/1
94/9 107/9 124/21 134/8 134/23
136/24 137/11 137/17 137/20
138/8 138/16 139/18 140/13 141/5 142/9 142/18 143/2 143/22 166/4
168/3 170/22 176/1 $179 / 12$ 189/9
207/9 212/21 212/21 213/5 213/12
215/16215/19216/1 216/17
217/10219/11 231/21 231/25
232/7 233/2 236/1 1 236/22 237/13
239/6 240/1 240/25 241/2 241/17
245/7 246/6 259/4 269/13 270/24
276/1 276/17 276/25 277/4 277/5
278/16 279/4 279/5 279/18 292/2
293/3 294/11 301/14 303/10
308/12
per [4] 5/20 6/25 10/3 10/3
perceive [2] 18/13 56/5
perceived [1] 50/3
percent [3] 44/2 44/2 243/10
perception [1] 6/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { peremptory }[3] & 8 / 7 & 10 / 23 & 18 / 22\end{array}$
perfect [2] 188/1 336/14
perfectly [2] 235/14 336/16
perform [1] 174/18
performed [1] 205/1
perhaps [15] 6/1 27/12 42/14
64/25 83/18 86/4 146/3 163/6
199/15 205/12 252/5 258/18 260/6 276/13 311/2
period [12] 41/1 68/14 151/20
151/22 186/2 186/5 190/22 235/15
309/13 323/2 325/12 326/5
perjury [2] 34/14 333/9
permit [5] $21 / 5$ 37/19 57/13 85/1
306/24
permitted [28] 20/17 21/7 21/11

| P | play [6] 66/24 88/2 97/21 108/7 | potential [16] $10 / 4$ 16/3 18/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| permitted... [25] 37/7 57/3 57/20 | player [3] 88/1 88/1 88/7 | 81/14 182/6 198/21 207/14 |
| 84/14 85/3 85/7 85/10 113/14 | playing [1] 311/19 | 263/11 276/18 278/23 279/23 |
| 114/1 114/7 149/18 149/22 203/8 | plays [1] 97/20 | entially [1] 143/24 |
| 203/24 254/9 254/13 254/22 255/3 | pleasant [1] 164/25 | pound [1] 231/7 |
| 284/19 285/13 306/13 307/4 | please [29] $4 / 5$ 4/7 20/1 30/2 | powered [1] 250/6 |
| 317/22 318/11 318/14 | 36/17 36/20 56/16 56/19 84/3 | powerful [1] 199/17 |
| perpetrator [1] 282/7 | 113/3 145/11148/17 148/20 | practice [3] 24/10 58/15 269/19 |
| person [44] 1/21 4/11 9/6 19/5 | 202/19 202/22 242/8 253/12 | pre [6] 11/24 14/16 14/25 23/11 |
| 47/20 73/11 73/22 75/23 76/5 | 253/16 260/20 276/6 283/25 284/2 | 46/19 50/19 |
| 80/11 103/6 107/20 129/5 129/21 | 288/17 305/21 305/25 316/5 317/5 | pre-trial [6] 11/24 14/16 14/25 |
| 129/24 132/25 134/16 134/23 | 317/8 339/12 | 23/11 46/19 50/19 |
| 137/25 141/25 145/17164/16 | plowing [1] 126/6 | Precisely [1] 134/8 |
| 165/8 165/23 171/1 171/5 171/14 | plugs [1] 139/3 | predict [1] 223/25 |
| 172/12 172/13 172/22 177/13 | plumber [1] 38/23 | prefer [1] 123/14 |
| 186/7 189/4 189/7 200/1 216/8 | plumbing [2] 41/5 330/2 | prejudice [2] 14/175 |
| 269/10 269/11 272/18 292/2 293/9 | plus [1] 298/14 | prejudiced [1] 12/16 |
| 295/2 295/20 332/11 | Plymouth [2] 97/23 300/3 | premature [1] 50/1 |
| person's [2] 74/10 331/220 | point [33] 12/17 18/11 18/23 | prepare [2] 340/8 34 |
| personal [9] 7/20 9/8 26/16 115/3 | 31/23 33/3 42/1 44/14 49/4 49/5 | prepared [1] 344/8 |
| 119/7 255/13 261/9 314/13 334/1 | 53/7 53/10 68/10 75/22 112/24 | prerogative [1] 55/12 |
| personality [1] 135/5 | 126/25 133/22 133/23 150/12 | criptions [1] 92/22 |
| personally [12] 75/21 76/3 105/19 | 152/1 187/6 188/7 223/18 251/5 | presence [2] 16/2 250/19 |
| 106/4 106/9 141/8 152/6 152/10 | 251/14 252/6 252/15 253/5 256/23 | present [14] 15/20 28/22 39/13 |
| 152/12 152/18 219/13 275/22 | 284/14 288/13 293/18 327/22 | 40/6 65/5 114/6 161/24 194/15 |
| personnel [1] 170/10 | 330/15 | 265/25 266/2 293/7 297/3 297/ |
| persons [3] 158/11 286/7 335/15 | pointing [1] 253/4 | 329/11 |
| perspective [5] 15/24 42/11 94/12 | police [65] 19/20 62/10 | presentation [1] 256 |
| 168/13 172/21 | 62/19 63/3 63/3 63/20 71/16 | presented [14] 27/20 29/24 33/17 |
| perspectives [1] | 71/17 72/9 72/12 72/13 72/16 | 46/13 65/7 65/7 91/13 157/18 |
| pertained [2] 230/22 233/20 | 73/2 73/5 73/7 73/1873/23 74/8 | 159/13 164/18 173/7 272/9 315/2 |
| pervasiveness [1] 7/23 | 75/1 75/12 75/18 76/2 76/16 | 318/4 |
| Peter [2] 96/5 310/1 | 76/21 77/6 92/11 92/17 93/7 | presently [1] 200/11 |
| Peterson [3] 53/22 55/15 56/1 | 101/9 103/23 104/1 104/3 104/16 | presents [1] 10/14 |
| Peterson's [1] 53/24 | 105/2 105/2 105/8 105/14 105/18 | preservative [1] 312/7 |
| phase [7] 36/24 84/6 148/23 | 106/3 106/15 107/1 107/3 107/23 | press [10] 89/5 89/6 166/22 |
| 202/25 253/22 284/8 306/3 | 128/5 128/9 161/23 165/3 165/11 | 167/24 211/5 211/8 272/22 281/7 |
| philosophical [1] 291/14 | 166/18 167/13 167/24 168/20 | 323/20 323/21 |
| phone [4] 24/17 38/3 232/19 | 169/3 172/2 175/12 178/10 178/11 | pressed [1] 169/12 |
| 232/24 | 240/21 240/22 241/1 241/9 241/24 | pressure [12] 77/5 236/1 236/4 |
| phonetic [1] 288/9 | 262/16 262/20 | 236/5 236/19 237/3 237/4 237/ |
| photographed [1] 254/23 | policy [1] 262/5 | 248/1 248/12 249/13 301/19 |
| phrase [2] 26/17 196/9 | politics [1] 2 | presumably [1] 221/19 |
| 年hysical [1] 183/17 | pool [3] 276/20 277/2 279/7 | presume [2] 118/7 282/22 |
| physically [1] 160/8 | pops [1] 312/9 | presumed [9] 30/21 31/7 64/7 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { physics [1] } \\ \text { piano [1] } & 264 / 6\end{array}$ | portion [1] 253/24 | 175/23 220/6 258/8 283/4 295/20 |
|  | portrayals [1] 69/13 | 316/2 |
|  | portrayed [1] 196/10 | presumes [1] 327/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 130 / 16140 / 9166 / 13206 / 1 \\ & 294 / 5 \\ & 294 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | posed [2] 8/11 8/16 | presuming [2] 129/16 175/19 |
| picked [7] 101/12 101/17 101/2 | posit [1] 270/24 | presumption [4] 30/19 31/14 |
| picked [7] 101/12 101/17 101/2 <br> 109/5 128/3 161/3 194/22 | position [6] 16/15 104/15 186/9 186/18 232/4 303/17 | 283/10 339/7 pretty [46] 29/18 32/19 32/23 |
| picking [5] 7/20 18/23 47/18 94/23 | positive [2] 260/15 263/1 | 71/10 89/9 97/23 108/7 115/25 |
| 130/14 | possess [2] 187/5 200/2 | 116/11 116/23 135/15 136/7 |
| picks [1] 126/23 | possessed [1] 198/23 | 155/16 157/16 157/23 164/20 |
| picture [3] 94/12 172/21 271/5 | possession [1] 163/4 | 165/13 206/20 209/21 217/15 |
| pictures [2] 313/20 313/21 | possibilities [2] 76/12 199/21 | 219/22 222/11 222/14 233/4 |
| piece [1] 94/14 | possibility [15] 15/21 17/6 123/8 | 233/21 236/16 277/16 277/18 |
| pieces [4] 103/12 213/12 218/5 | 133/13 133/16 140/25 141/4 155/2 | 281/8 282/6 287/19 310/5 314/3 |
| 317/19 | 161/22 182/12 190/3 190/5 194/9 | 314/5 315/6 315/10 315/13 315/13 |
| pill [1] 240/25 | 209/7 324/19 | 322/22 323/22 325/24 326/18 |
| pit [2] 90/12 313/21 | possible [21] 13/2 14/4 46/11 | $330 / 14336 / 8340 / 20$ |
| Pizza [2] 167/11 167/17 | 75/11 105/13 105/18 164/11 | prevention [1] 119/3 |
| place [8] 5/9 52/11 139/17 160/25 | 171/11 186/16 189/8 189/22 | previous [2] 136/14 182/10 |
| 262/5 274/13 278/4 311/18 | 192/20 192/20 192/25 195/2 | previously [3] 15/19 150/25 |
| placed [1] 186/16 | 211/11 223/18 231/19 255/14 | 259/11 |
| places [2] 135/13 171/13 | 303/8 337/13 | price [2] 294/19 294/20 |
| PLAINTIFF [1] $1 / 4$ | possibly [7] 44/15 48/21 53/4 | primarily [2] 59/17 59/18 |
| plan [1] 171/22 | 156/16 157/5 199/4 241/14 | primary [6] 12/21 97/10 146/1 |
| plant [2] 231/15 275/25 | post [1] 16/13 | 205/9 261/23 304/11 |
| planted [2] 52/16 75/2 | post-conviction [1] 16/13 | principle [5] 28/19 31/9 32/5 |
| planting [1] 14/3 | Pot [1] 206/18 | $64 / 16327 / 18$ |


|  | property [1] 257/4 propose [1] 303/14 | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| principles [2] 31/21 291/9 | pros [1] 174/14 | qualifications [7] 11/11 12 |
| prior [1] 162/18 | prosecuting [2] 183/3 183/8 | 113/10 149/2 187/4 254/3 306/7 |
| prison [1] 244 | prosecution [4] 114/22 116/25 | lied [2] |
| private [2] 125/16 |  | [1] 56/6 |
| privilege [2] 298/3 304/3 | $\begin{array}{lll}  \\ 22 / 3 & 38 / 16 & 58 / 8 \\ 85 / 24 & 114 / 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ng } \\ & {[3]} \\ & \hline 1] \\ & \hline 19 \end{aligned} 192$ |
| pro [2] 245/3 245/5 | 150/10 17 | 88] 8/11 |
| probabl | 255/24 286/ | 12/25 19/11 22/25 26/20 29/14 |
| probably [53] 18/5 33/10 34/6 | 319/7 319/8 | 30/18 30/20 34/19 35/3 35/17 |
| 34/6 39/9 41/10 47/4 47/14 47/1 | prosecutors [10] | 39/7 40/20 41/12 41/14 42/12 |
| 55/9 78/15 92/2 101/8 119/15 | 85/22 145/15 150/7 204/12 286/7 | 43/5 43/6 54/10 56/3 60/21 62/2 |
| 127/22 127/23 127/24 127/24 | 297/9 319/4 | 63/18 65/1 65/17 73/15 76/1 |
| 130/9 136/14 143/16 144/5 153/11 | prospect [3] 31/19 190/10 235/16 | 79/10 83/16 91/8 104/25 110/25 |
| 153/23 158/1 195/11 205/11 210/9 | prospective [4] 15/16 51/11 54/6 | 118/24 119/15 120/1 122/1 128/19 |
| 218/18 218/19 218/20 227/13 | 55/24 | 134/4 140/24 152/6 155/5 156/5 |
| 227/24 231/17 237/7 237/10 | prospectively [1] 48/7 | 158/5 159/11 173/5 174/17 185/17 |
| 237/14 242/20 253/2 265/9 266/8 | protect [2] 73/9 104/5 | 190/2 190/5 192/3 193/22 195/6 |
| 267/15 273/21 280/15 284/12 | protective [1] 128/24 | 198/7 199/9 209/8 221/21 222/5 |
| 287/20 293/19 321/16 322/13 | protocol [2] 275/5 275/5 | 242/2 243/8 244/17 244/18 248/20 |
| 327/6 330/13 337/8 338/15 | protocols [3] 274/10 274/18 275/1 | 249/12 252/19 255/11 267/22 |
| problem [21] 31/9 31/25 55/13 | prove [30] 28/12 28/13 78/19 79/5 | 275/8 286/20 288/14 288/16 |
| 65/12 91/15 94/8 109/18 155/8 | 80/1 80/21 80/24 118/3 118/10 | 288/21 291/6 291/13 309/1 314/12 |
| 179/4 191/25 205/25 224/7 224/12 | 129/25 129/25 130/3 130/3 130/6 | 314/16 314/23 315/4 315/16 320/2 |
| 224/14 238/23 245/15 245/17 | 130/7 130/8 130/20 131/3 131/14 | 320/3 322/19 333/20 334/1 340/7 |
| 250/2 250/6 298/11 339/18 | 132/10 134/5 176/12 176/14 286/8 | questioned [1] 5/16 |
| problems [7] 10/3 40/6 155/25 | 295/1 295/11 295/12 295/16 | questioning [13] 5/23 18/20 35/20 |
| 161/24 273/1 273/7 334/6 | 295/22 297/17 | 52/6 91/8 114/10 |
| procedures [2] 190/18 190/20 | proved [5] 52/6 80/6 103/1 180/8 | 149/24 255/7 255/11 285/16 3 |
| proceed [5] 37/4 148/14 149/23 | 240/16 | questionnaire [71] 20/7 20/13 |
| 255/18 285/20 | proven [13] 17/11 30/24 111/20 | 22/21 23/14 34/13 36/10 36/22 |
| ${ }_{\text {proceeding }}^{227 / 10}$ [3] 56/22 | 112/2 112/3 164/17 178/21 237/21 | 37/3 38/19 39/7 42/1 50/16 54/1 |
| 227/10 | 246/20 294/13 296/3 297/24 | 54/23 56/21 56/25 58/12 62/18 |
| proceedings [39] 1/23 15/11 20/18 | 300/22 | 64/5 68/4 78/14 84/5 84/10 86/4 |
| 21/4 21/15 25/12 25/17 37/8 | provide [5] 40/10 | 86/5 86/8 90/25 109/13 113/5 |
| 37/18 37/23 45/1 57/12 84/15 | 260/14 332/4 | 115/1 117/15 118/5 147/5 148/22 |
| 84/25 85/2 85/11113/15 113/23 | provided [18] 16/20 17/16 22/8 | 150/14 158/6 164/23 201/17 |
| 114/3 149/7 149/15 149/20 203/7 | 29/4 33/4 37/3 39/7 39/22 59/13 | 202/24 204/19 205/12 206/8 |
| 203/18 203/22 254/8 254/20 | 84/10 90/24 150/14 204/19 213/11 | 209/21 253/21 254/2 255/12 256/6 |
| 284/18 285/6 285/11 288/13 | 286/18 307/24 317/17 319/12 | 256/7 257/12 257/23 260/1 260/18 |
| 306/23 309/6 318/8 318/10 318/17 | provides [2] 210/4 260/14 | 282/14 284/7 284/13 286/18 |
| 325/20 343/4 344/13 | providing [1] 192/1 | 286/20 291/8 291/12 304/15 306/2 |
| process [33] 7/11 18/10 18/15 | proving [1] 295/9 | 306/9 307/25 309/1 314/11 316/16 |
| 20/9 21/18 31/19 36/25 37/20 | proviso [1] 190/9 | 317/11 317/17 320/2 320/19 329/6 |
| 50/16 50/20 50/25 53/7 113/7 | pry [1] 115/3 | questionnaires [8] $4 / 16$ 5/4 11/8 |
| 1415/2 144/13 253/23 | public[8] 7/18 21/4 37/18 57/13 | 70/6 145/23 202/6 290/21 332/24 |
| $3 / 24$ 256/2 256/4 273/19 275/2 | 85/1 254/20 285/7 306/24 | questions [88] 5/185/19 8/23 |
| 278/12 284/9 286/15 286/21 | publicity [31] 7/22 7/25 11/24 | 11/16 12/6 13/8 13/18 18/14 |
| 293/14 294/14 302/21 306/4 | 11/25 14/17 15/1 23/11 23/13 | 19/10 19/12 19/19 20/11 22/6 |
| 306/25 307/5 317/14 | 26/1741/11 43/11 43/12 44/8 | 34/11 37/2 37/4 38/18 46/23 |
| prod [1] 279/2 | 44/9 46/19 50/19 60/22 68/11 | 46/24 52/21 53/2 53/24 55/13 |
| [1] 22 | 83/11 158/1 158/4 158/7 209/22 | 56/2458/11 58/1164/2 |
| ducing [1] 308/17 | 226/17 279/25 289/2 314/13 | 84/9 86/2 109/11 113/9 114/25 |
| productive [2] 276/20 316/21 | 314/14 322/6 322/7 334/2 | 122/5 149/2 152/3 158/3 163/21 |
| professional [1] 261/2 | publicized [1] 68/24 | 173/13 181/9 186/20 189/11 |
| professionals [1] 108/23 | pull [1] 167/11 | 190/17 191/8 203/3 204/5 204/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { programs [1] [1] } 23 / 24 \\ & \text { prohibited [9] } 37 / 1457 / 9113 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | pulled [1] 165/16 <br> punishment [2] 11/14 129/22 | 218/8 235/13 242/7 242/10 244/13 244/16 251/21 252/3 253/25 254/4 |
| 149/9 149/12 193/24 203/14 | purchased [1] 86/23 | 256/7 263/14 263/25 278/9 2 |
| 203/20 318/5 | pure[3] 222/17 331/7 | 284/11 286/17 287/1 288/25 28 |
| prohibition [3] 254/9 2 | purpose [1] 88/14 | 293/24 296/23 297/10 300/10 |
| 7/24 | purposes[5] 18/18 88/19 146/23 | 303/7 304/13 304/18 304/21 305/3 |
| project [3] 122/14 122/14 259/10 | 205/10 292/24 | 306/6 307/23 308/1 314/11 314/17 |
| prominent [1] 165/13 | put [26] 14/25 30/12 40/14 40/16 | 316/7317/16 318/20 319/10 |
|  | $54 / 179 / 2180 / 1481 / 194 / 23$ $165 / 20236 / 8243 / 8266 / 9266 / 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 319 / 14329 / 21333 / 2 \\ & \text { quick [1] } 340 / 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| promoted [1] 138/18 | 266/14 266/23 268/6 269/6 277/20 | quicker [1] 12/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { pronounce[5] 20/3 20/4 221/3 } \\ & 253 / 17285 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 277/20 283/8 293/7 301/11 303/3 336/9 337/15 | quickly [1] 308/1 <br> quieting [1] 237/5 |
| proof[8] 78/17 111/9 118/1 132/8 <br> 176/11 220/18 294/25 295/1 | puts [2] 234/11 267/5 putting [2] 95/3 301/19 | quite [23] 6/15 15/25 18/20 47/13 51/22 98/3 103/5 103/7 121/13 |

quite... [10] 198/19 198/24 208/3
248/23 251/11 253/7 256/9 316/13 322/5 326/22
quizzes [1] 321/13

## R

races [2] 98/2 98/18
racing [4] 98/6 98/9 98/11 98/12 racking [1] 34/8
radio [48] 13/9 13/20 13/25 14/13
20/24 23/15 24/4 24/5 24/7 24/20
37/12 42/2 42/9 43/15 43/19
43/22 44/10 57/7 59/17 60/10
60/1 1 60/13 60/15 84/19 88/25
89/10 89/14 89/17 90/20 90/22
113/18 149/10 203/12 210/1
211/10 229/1 231/14 254/14
256/11 256/17 284/24 289/5
306/18 310/19 312/3 318/2 322/8 337/1
radios [2] 139/17 311/19
raise [13] 19/23 22/25 36/16 56/15
83/25 112/25 148/17 173/5 202/19
253/13 283/25 305/21 317/5
raises [2] 52/3 190/5
raising [1] 251/6
Rally [1] 167/7
ramification [1] 279/24
rang [1] 104/23
rank [2] 72/2 75/18
ranks [1] 189/4
rape [1] 217/4
rate [1] 250/9
rates [1] 243/1
rather [9] 19/17 86/9 139/23
176/13 201/7 205/13 251/10 308/1 324/19
Razor [1] 228/10
reach [3] 29/5 155/22 296/2
reached [1] 145/24
reaching [1] 31/2
react [2] 127/21 132/3
reacted [2] 128/24 136/6
reaction [3] 127/16 136/10 234/9
read [24] 41/15 60/2 83/7 103/9
115/19 121/24 210/19 246/6
248/25 257/4 258/18 284/24 289/5
290/2 290/9 290/24 310/20 316/16
323/17 323/19 323/22 323/23
329/25 333/1
reader [1] 89/8
reading [5] 160/15 217/11 290/12
290/14 330/1
ready [6] 24/6 201/17 202/14
202/16 210/12 210/17
real [17] 65/1 129/14 190/11
194/12 $211 / 17219 / 7$ 230/14 240/2
247/21 267/11 268/4 272/23
288/16 308/18 312/15 322/23 334/19
realize [7] 27/10 49/2 64/3 64/20
220/5 247/10 252/18
really [117] $25 / 8 \quad 26 / 130 / 1240 / 10$ 55/1 60/20 61/9 61/12 66/25 68/16 68/25 69/1 69/20 74/11 75/1 78/22 78/22 79/13 81/5 89/12 89/1 8 89/20 90/22 98/13 98/15 98/24 99/12 102/9 105/20 107/20 110/5 111/19 111/25 116/8 116/10 117/3 117/3 119/5 119/7 121/4 129/5 129/9 129/10 133/11

136/13 136/13 136/15 136/21
137/2 137/11 137/16 140/22
140/23 141/6 141/20 141/21
142/17 176/21 178/16 183/24 198/2 200/18 $211 / 15$ 212/16 214/4 214/4 214/8 214/13 215/17 219/17 221/24 224/18 225/3 227/2 229/2 235/9 235/9 235/11 235/23 237/10 242/2 243/4 244/14 244/18 247/16 247/23 250/25 267/14 269/6
269/23 270/3 271/1 275/21 280/11 282/1 1 283/5 283/6 283/7 283/9
291/2 302/21 303/13 310/13 312/9
315/21 315/22 328/22 330/11
$330 / 21333 / 4337 / 21337 / 21$
$337 / 25338 / 10338 / 13338 / 25$
339/9
reason [27] 19/11 31/16 45/25
53/8 54/8 55/5 82/20 92/13
128/10 146/1 155/1 166/25 168/16 173/17 184/20 189/24 195/9 230/25 262/4 263/4 263/6 268/5
275/8 305/19 314/10 331/12
333/12
reasonable [39] 28/14 29/6 30/25
46/11 64/12 64/21 74/14 75/1
80/7 110/20 111/21 112/3 118/2
118/10 132/10 134/5 174/3 174/6
176/15 178/21 180/9 180/14
180/1 8 220/10 237/21 238/3
240/16 266/5 267/13 282/16 283/2
294/13 295/2 295/10 295/16
295/22 296/3 297/24 300/23
reasonably [2] 10/21 164/6
reasoning [1] 6/13
reasons [20] 7/9 19/16 30/3 30/7
48/15 49/24 133/9 139/7 141/5
146/7 146/13 177/4 180/7 241/5
249/8 267/24 268/7 268/12 269/19 313/17
recall [32] 18/2 18/3 44/13 45/8
$45 / 1145 / 1345 / 1645 / 1768 / 6$
68/7 68/19 69/25 99/13 99/25
100/1 100/25 151/11 160/9 161/13
189/6 194/20 195/12 196/18
197/16 212/9 213/22 215/20 312/2
312/21 313/15 313/18 337/10
recanted [2] 52/13 312/17
recanting [1] 100/8
receive [10] $21 / 15$ 26/7 57/21
116/14 117/11 160/14 255/7 311/7
318/17324/25
received [9] 13/13 27/8 27/10
114/25 209/21 289/2 324/17
324/17325/18
recent [2] 161/5 226/11
recently [7] 44/25 90/20 90/21
148/1 160/14 184/13 276/14
recess [3] 83/14 145/7 250/17
recognize [4] 42/16 207/15 271/15
304/22
recognizes [1] 11/21
Recognizing [1] 309/2
recollection [7] 4/24 13/19 16/11
18/7 161/17 196/12 212/13
recommendation [2] 47/1 304/10
recommendations [1] 5/5
recommended [1] 4/18
reconcile [1] 305/6
reconsider [1] 16/8
reconsidered [1] 200/8
record [14] 4/5 5/12 11/5 15/7
18/11 18/12 19/13 50/2 83/4

145/9 145/10 187/9 188/16 250/19
recording [1] 88/15
red [1] 313/20
Reedsville [6] 207/18 208/4 $215 / 4$
215/6 224/16 224/20
refer [1] 300/16
reference [1] 251/12
referred [5] 15/16 17/19 83/2
188/16 252/4
refers [1] 188/17
reflect [2] 18/13 168/12
reflects [1] 338/24
refrain [6] 26/8 44/17 44/22 82/22
160/15311/11
refused [1] 166/1
regard [4] 88/21 116/20 278/11 331/24
regarded [1] 255/13
regarding [28] 22/8 58/11 61/20
61/24 63/11 86/3 94/4 119/2 158/20 159/21 160/4 160/23 181/21 189/11 194/9 203/3 210/5 213/10 $215 / 14257 / 14$ 261/20 308/2 311/13 313/10 319/15 320/3 329/7 329/21
regardless [2] 143/9 178/10
regards [3] 25/24 137/17 141/8
regular [6] 23/22 23/23 23/25 89/8 210/25 225/19
regularly [6] 59/14 142/16 210/19
$310 / 23323 / 17323 / 23$
rehabilitate [1] 48/21
reimburse [1] 39/18
reiterated [1] 36/10
relate [3] 113/10 200/25 306/7
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { related [7] } & 44 / 9 & 96 / 5 & 106 / 5 \quad 181 / 13\end{array}$
255/13 261/10 304/18
relates [1] 201/8
relating [3] $13 / 7$ 13/9 149/2
relationship [7] 153/22 156/4
157/11 165/20 275/23 276/4 277/24
relationships [1] 150/16
relative [7] 16/2 16/16 50/13
136/17 158/4 160/16 190/5
relatives [1] 156/7
relax [1] 19/8
released [2] 166/19 244/9
reliability [4] 17/12 273/8 275/7 275/8
reliable [7] 104/21 105/4 260/12
260/16 273/2 273/3 273/12
religion [1] 300/17
religious [10] 291/13 293/15 301/4
301/14 302/2 303/1 304/25 305/7
305/11 305/17
reluctance [1] 248/11
reluctantly [2] 302/16 303/22
rely [1] 188/3
remain [5] $15 / 4114 / 9118 / 9$ 200/7
307/6
remaining [1] 13/6
remains [6] 64/10 117/17 129/4 129/23 189/14 220/8
remember [43] 14/19 48/14 83/7
90/9 90/1 1 99/8 99/9 99/10 99/22
100/17 100/18 101/2 154/5 160/7
160/21 161/13 172/24 192/24
194/25 200/16 200/16 200/18
213/20 217/11 217/19 217/22
226/1 $8226 / 25$ 227/2 227/7 227/8
227/11 227/15 276/11 276/12
290/12 290/13 312/5 313/20
remember... [4] 324/14 325/11
334/3 334/24
remembering [1] 198/9
remind [1] 35/1
reminded [1] 65/16
remodeling [1] 22/16
removed [2] 183/4 184/17
render [1] 157/13
rendering [1] 291/15
renew [1] 7/5
renewal [1] 184/13
rents [1] 298/20
repeat [1] 104/25
repeatable [1] 271/2
repetitive [1] 5/18
rephrase [1] 73/16
replacement [1] 303/19
replicated [2] 271/18 272/2
replicating [1] 270/13
report [17] 21/17 38/5 57/22 85/13 103/2 $114 / 11123 / 2138 / 8145 / 4$ 149/6 166/16 227/10 227/24 255/9 259/4 284/20 285/18
reported [9] 1/24 25/17 99/24
160/5 217/17 257/3 262/12 271/19 344/6
reporter [9] 1/25 15/11 25/5 34/24
82/3 122/8 271/21 344/5 344/19
reporting [4] 20/19 37/22 84/19 307/2
reports [15] 21/8 52/18 57/16 85/4 114/2 203/21 240/23 255/1 256/24 256/25 257/11 257/13 259/3 284/24 285/10
represent [1] 95/22
representation [1] 16/14
represented [2] 17/17 130/21
represents [1] 4/11
request [8] $7 / 5$ 12/4 13/22 26/12
29/23 48/1851/23 311/16
requested [1] 49/6
require [2] 78/24 147/7
required [5] 27/18 63/21 113/16 254/16 314/25
requirement [2] 203/10 306/16
reserved [1] 16/22
resident [1] 310/7
residential [4] 22/14 70/25 71/2 71/3
resource [1] 299/4
respect [16] 10/17 14/18 16/1 26/14 26/19 43/10 55/11 60/13 72/13 72/15 88/23 104/16 136/24 198/21 313/9 324/13
respective [1] 10/15
respects [2] 75/10 124/5
response [12] 34/19 35/3 35/17
36/5 81/23 83/15 115/23 181/8
256/8 291/12 308/25 314/11
responses [7] 90/4 $115 / 1$ 198/20 287/1 307/24 319/25 340/2 responsibility [4] 123/23 143/3 220/19 279/12
responsible [2] 11/16 126/24
rest [4] $7 / 15$ 39/21 181/8 321/17
result [13] 39/9 51/9 59/2 142/2
151/23 199/1 218/8 273/17 273/18 273/18 274/5 322/5 327/11
results [13] 14/5 15/19 16/23
16/24 17/9 17/13 17/21 17/23
273/2 273/3 273/8 275/7 275/9
resume [1] 82/17
retain [1] 249/24
retained [2] 255/6 285/15
retire [2] $41 / 1972 / 18$
retired [13] 54/12 71/22 71/24
$71 / 25$ 72/3 86/8 96/24 97/1 $148 / 1$
151/3 151/6 186/8 191/18
retiring [1] 86/10
retribution [1] 336/4
retrospect [1] 168/5
return [17] 20/17 37/7 57/3 84/14
110/22 112/4 203/8 204/2 254/9
280/7 280/14 284/19 306/13 307/9
317/22 317/23 318/19
returned [2] 280/4 280/5
reverse [1] 324/16
reversed [3] 199/1 199/19 200/4
review [7] 11/8 13/17 16/7 36/23
54/15 253/1 270/13
reviewed [2] 5/3 13/12
reviewing [1] 311/11
revolves [1] 116/11
Rhythm [2] 88/3 88/3
Rib [1] 278/25
ribbed [3] 278/16 278/24 278/25
ribbing [1] 279/17
RICHARD [1] 2/13
ride [1] 128/21
right [588]
ring [1] 312/13
ripped [1] 195/18
risk [4] 47/15 179/11 200/4 268/4
Rivers [4] 22/11 22/13 71/21
206/12
road [3] 98/1 170/9 171/10
robo [1] 241/23
rock [2] 228/19 228/21
Roedig [1] 4/22
role [4] 31/21 171/8 174/18 235/5
roll [2] 205/3 231/10
rolls [1] 231/7
Roman [1] 125/13
Roncalli [1] 223/2
roofing [1] 22/15
room [11] $138 / 14$ 138/25 139/10
216/11 216/12 216/14217/8
218/10 219/2 226/7 325/6
rooms [3] 137/5 138/12 138/24
roped [1] 217/25
RPR [2] 1/24 344/19
rule [17] 16/18 $17 / 8$ 17/20 18/1
41/20 84/16 134/11 135/19 135/20
141/13 176/17 177/17 178/16
178/22 179/5 193/18 194/1
ruled [3] 15/19 15/19 17/10
rules [4] 131/18 180/6 188/12
247/17
ruling [13] 11/4 15/14 15/17 16/1
$\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 15 & 16 / 23 & 17 / 10 & 18 / 3 & 18 / 4 & 18 / 6\end{array}$
18/12 28/17 186/1
rulings [2] 17/2 200/7
rumor [1] 328/15
rumors [5] 328/8 328/10 328/12
328/21 334/7
run [8] 86/17 $119 / 10$ 205/15
205/22 206/3 221/14 231/10
289/18
run-ins [1] 119/10
running [3] 137/18 138/22 201/12
runs [2] 137/25 138/5
S
sacrifice [3] 301/24 304/25 305/16
sad [4] $111 / 25331 / 15334 / 25$ 337/16
safe [3] 202/6 340/12 342/23
said [92] 6/21 14/6 26/22 41/20
41/21 41/22 67/6 83/7 98/8
101/15 103/21 105/24 120/2
129/10 135/18 140/22 140/23
142/14 142/15 144/3 144/3 147/8
151/19 156/8 158/12 159/23 160/7 162/8 162/14 166/18 166/21
173/18 175/9 183/1 186/11 187/19 187/20 187/22 189/10 189/15
195/5 196/19 208/5 208/22 212/18 214/6 215/2 $215 / 23216 / 4216 / 21$
217/3 218/5 $218 / 20$ 219/17 224/19
224/23 224/25 225/1 225/14 227/2
227/4 227/6 229/15 229/19 232/7
235/22 237/14 245/8 247/16 248/4
249/16 259/4 278/18 281/7 309/15
$314 / 12314 / 14314 / 20314 / 21$
$315 / 20333 / 15333 / 15333 / 20$
$333 / 25$ 334/3 334/5 334/16 334/23
335/13 335/15 336/22 344/13
salary [3] 262/7 299/2 300/1
salt [1] 196/3
salvage [1] 159/17
same [56] $9 / 1316 / 2218 / 1 \quad 23 / 3$
32/13 41/5 58/18 63/23 64/6 74/9
78/9 106/16 107/7 107/24 108/19
110/9 126/16 126/17 126/20
126/22 153/15 153/18 $154 / 1$ 155/13 169/2 169/5 169/14 178/6 178/10 $179 / 21 \quad 183 / 2 \quad 184 / 14189 / 4$ 201/25 207/25 209/3 209/5 209/16 225/2 235/11 240/6 245/8 261/4 261/4 267/23 268/20 297/6 297/13 $331 / 21332 / 7332 / 8332 / 10333 / 19$ 335/7 335/10 335/18
sample [6] 16/21 163/12 164/2
195/14 195/19 196/7
sanctions [1] 48/16
sanitation [2] 125/13 125/18
sat [7] 34/3 74/13 101/24 143/1
189/3 249/11 275/17
Saturday [1] 222/7
Saturdays [1] 222/8
savage [1] 334/25
save [4] 81/6 179/13 239/5 294/20
saving [1] 7/3
saw [17] 48/25 49/13 53/3 $119 / 19$
165/8 166/9 166/10 206/5 206/8
215/17 218/11 227/6 227/9 227/12 290/22 335/2 337/13
saying [33] $12 / 419 / 130 / 8$ 55/5
77/13 77/15 90/10 106/8 110/10
119/16 130/9 130/13 135/4 141/24 142/18 143/13 162/17 187/25 188/1 219/6 224/1 224/6 232/4 234/17 236/1 8 236/22 243/7 248/1 265/22 265/25 279/3 283/1 299/7
says [10] 77/9 99/9 110/6 165/24
179/5 196/2 197/6 237/6 249/22
268/9
scale [1] 47/19
scene [2] 170/20 206/12
scenes [1] 170/6
scheme [1] 14/16
Schneider [1] 146/15
school [34] 116/1 121/1 121/2
121/6 126/14 126/17 126/22
126/23 207/18 207/18 207/23
208/13 210/9 210/12 212/17
214/23 215/3 215/7 223/2 224/15
school... [14] 224/21 225/2 227/4 229/17 233/11 264/19 264/25
265/1 287/22 287/23 288/11 335/3 335/7 335/18
schooling [1] 320/20
science [13] 11/18 108/12 121/9
121/14 243/9 243/18 270/19
271/23 272/1 272/10 272/21
272/23 272/25
sciences [1] 121/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { scientific [15] } & 15 / 15 \quad 16 / 477 / 22\end{array}$
78/4 108/16 121/9 242/20 242/22
242/23 243/21 270/10 273/19
274/18 274/21 274/25
scientist [4] 267/16 270/9 271/14 272/18
scientists [1] 271/11
scoring [1] 95/3
Scout [1] 124/14
Scouts [2] 124/5 124/16
Scrap [1] 120/17
scratch [1] 246/21
scream [1] 139/9
scrupulously [1] 193/13
search [10] 171/3 171/18 172/1
172/3 172/13 212/16 213/21
215/25 216/22 289/20
searches [2] 171/2 173/4
searching [3] 171/22 217/20
217/21
seasonal [1] 70/19
seated [15] 20/1 35/25 36/20
56/19 84/3 $113 / 3$ 148/20 188/24
191/7 202/22 253/16 255/25 284/2
305/25 317/8
second [20] 119/18 124/13 125/24 183/10 189/21 196/15 207/21
213/1 221/11 222/8 230/16 230/20 265/9 299/17 316/5 320/17 323/16
340/21 340/23 341/12
secondly [5] $14 / 15$ 16/5 $18 / 23$
50/5 50/15
seconds [1] 145/5
Section [1] 182/23
secure [1] 199/23
secured [1] 196/22
security [2] 189/21 195/17
seeing [4] 160/21 227/15 313/20 325/11
seem [6] 29/18 31/25 39/14 64/3 316/16 316/20
seemed [3] 170/21 249/3 338/21
seems [11] 19/4 30/7 50/5 54/13
55/17 150/16 185/3 187/2 276/19 322/6 324/3
seen [28] $25 / 2351 / 1952 / 152 / 3$
61/23 76/21 90/7 92/7 95/21
100/24 100/25 103/2 103/4 129/4 189/14 228/6 229/16 241/23
246/10 256/14 256/16 275/14 275/15 275/15 275/16 289/4 290/16 292/16
sees [1] 184/9
seldom [2] 210/20 211/13
selected [56] 14/11 20/15 21/10 27/17 30/25 37/24 39/12 39/16 41/3 42/5 46/1 52/5 57/17 58/23 62/4 65/22 65/23 84/12 85/5 91/9 106/11 107/18 $113 / 12$ 114/4 143/17 143/17 149/4 149/20 155/20 173/2 181/16 203/23

205/20 220/1 236/2 236/12 246/11
247/1 249/4 254/6 255/2 268/16
269/20 282/19 283/11 285/12
299/6 300/20 307/3 309/6 309/14 314/24 318/12 320/12 329/9 333/18
selecting [4] 22/9 204/20 310/11 319/12
selection [15] 7/12 20/9 36/25
84/7 113/7 148/24 202/25 253/23
278/12 284/8 286/14 306/4 311/23 317/13 325/17
sell [1] 222/22
semis [1] 137/7
sense [15] 120/3 131/3 133/14
135/21 147/15 180/12 231/21
241/6 241/6 247/11 247/21 287/2
292/8 294/18 304/6
sent [5] 44/16 82/21 83/4 83/12
276/22
sentinel [4] 211/5 211/6 211/6 211/7
separate [3] 199/23 261/6 330/21
September [2] 50/10 344/15
sequestered [11] 20/16 37/6 57/2 84/13 $113 / 13149 / 5$ 203/6 254/7 284/16 306/12 317/21
serious [6] $32 / 19$ 110/19 111/2 237/10 279/18 294/22
serve [27] 20/15 37/25 57/18 62/5 65/23 73/9 85/5 104/5 114/5 123/20 149/4 149/21 176/2 183/23 193/7 203/24 237/18 247/1 254/3 296/1 300/17 302/1 304/16 304/20 305/18 307/4 318/13
served [2] 173/13 182/24
service [6] 58/13 59/10 $124 / 3$
197/18 284/20 305/10
services [1] 92/14
serving [6] 65/18 235/16 261/25
298/3 304/24 305/13
session [2] 150/12 321/23
set [36] $5 / 25$ 9/19 9/24 12/18
16/21 19/20 27/18 28/1 33/6 33/8
33/10 47/13 51/15 51/16 54/11
219/23 232/9 235/13 235/20
247/17 249/5 249/8 249/22 257/24
258/17 259/22 259/25 274/18
277/20 314/25 315/6 315/10
315/18 316/15 329/9 340/2
setting [4] 12/19 19/17 52/20
153/6
seven [9] 98/5 308/6 308/22
308/23 323/5 341/4 341/17 342/8
342/9
Seventy [9] 341/21 341/23 341/25
$342 / 2342 / 3$ 342/4 342/6 342/8
342/9
Seventy-five [3] 342/2 342/3 342/4
Seventy-four [1] 341/25
Seventy-one [1] 341/21
Seventy-seven [2] 342/8 342/9
Seventy-six [1] 342/6
Seventy-two [1] 341/23
several [4] 8/21 $153 / 25 \quad 158 / 3$
189/9
severely [1] 40/10
shade [1] 76/5
shading [1] 107/1
shake [2] 167/16 167/19
shaking [1] 315/11
shame [2] 224/6 338/12
shapes [1] 168/19
share [3] 9/20 89/4 295/9
shared [1] 147/4
sharing [1] 184/13
Sheboygan [8] 89/5 89/6 97/22
97/23 101/13 101/22 227/19 323/20
sheriff [9] 53/22 54/13 63/4 63/5
63/11 101/11 103/21 168/20 172/2
sheriff's [11] 92/12 93/7 119/1
119/11 169/19 170/4 170/14
175/15 187/12 187/14 262/18
shift [11] 119/18 124/13 125/24
138/3 138/3 213/2 221/11 221/14
230/16 230/19 232/22
shipping [1] 319/21
shop [4] 43/19 221/17 229/24
311/19
short [4] 5/12 6/2 201/12 239/11
shortage [1] 148/4
shorter [1] 103/6
shorthand [1] 344/10
should [44] 14/11 16/24 18/5 $21 / 9$
21/9 30/25 37/17 53/13 53/13
55/6 57/1 79/25 85/6 104/13
114/5 117/21 128/21 146/6 149/3
155/19 167/3 167/12 168/25 169/4
177/11 181/11 200/1 203/23 206/6
233/13 234/23 235/18 236/13
243/15 252/21 255/1 263/10
293/17 302/7 303/3 324/17 332/7 337/8 340/8
shoulder [3] 138/17 138/17 139/13
shouldn't [5] 92/25 130/9 137/4
256/22 278/19
show [14] $21 / 12$ 79/1 85/8 110/23
149/22 155/3 203/25 243/6 255/3
265/13 265/21 266/15 285/14
318/14
showed [7] 165/11 166/4 166/6
195/17 217/24 244/3 249/20
showing [2] 243/6 271/8
shown [3] 89/21 111/13 273/2
shows [7] 76/18 89/16 91/24 99/3
121/8 121/14 275/10
shut [1] 42/25
shy [1] $8 / 12$
sic [4] 182/24 207/25 236/2 288/5
side [23] 5/21 5/22 7/17 8/6 8/8
$\begin{array}{lll}9 / 1 & 9 / 3 & 9 / 25 \\ 10 / 3 & 10 / 12 & 15 / 22\end{array}$
17/5 105/9 109/21 110/8 133/3
133/15 158/18 167/19 168/4
180/12 234/1 267/7
side's [1] 174/15
sidebar [1] 15/9
sideline [1] 222/7
sides [12] $10 / 15 ~ 11 / 7 ~ 28 / 3 ~ 28 / 8 ~$
86/2 111/23 131/22 131/24 141/23
176/6 269/10 269/12
siding [1] 22/15
sifting [1] 51/10
sign [1] 206/18
signal [1] 239/9
signed [2] 34/14 333/6
significance [1] 187/7
significant [3] 55/2 55/16 250/12
silence [1] 133/7
simple [1] 107/5
simply [9] 12/3 19/20 51/19 53/2
62/6 104/14 147/11 192/15 297/18
since [30] 16/8 22/19 50/8 50/11
50/12 52/12 87/13 91/17 93/9
123/6 155/5 157/7 173/1 195/11
201/25 209/6 214/22 227/10

S
since... [12] 235/22 250/5 258/4
286/21 301/9 302/21 311/9 322/20
322/22 323/3 323/4 330/10
singer [2] $87 / 2588 / 2$
single [1] 8/16
sir[21] 19/1 60/22 66/7 82/6
85/19 88/12 90/5 96/8 112/8
159/11 204/8 230/1 282/11 285/23
287/23 328/25 329/4 332/25
333/10 334/12 339/17
sister [6] 119/18 128/11 156/9
156/11 156/12 156/25
sister-in-law [3] 156/9 156/11 156/25
sisters [4] 158/17 158/18 159/3 159/4
sit [15] 24/16 29/1 51/18 101/20
129/15 131/8 140/14 163/7 200/1
213/4 263/8 266/4 266/7 293/4
320/11
sits [8] 31/7 64/7 118/8 218/12
258/7 296/10 327/13 329/2
sitting [1] 34/7
situation [9] 5/14 38/22 39/11
55/7 102/3 183/5 205/10 248/17 303/4
situations [1] 43/3
six[15] 39/8 58/25 87/1 122/22
126/9 151/22 205/15 261/25 265/7
298/4 299/10 309/3 320/4 342/6
342/17
Sixty [3] 341/15 341/17 341/19
Sixty-eight [1] 341/19
Sixty-five [1] 341/15
Sixty-seven [1] 341/17
sizes [1] 168/19
skimmed [1] 256/15
skin [3] 81/6 179/14 239/5
slate [1] 339/9
slide [1] 243/6
slightly [2] 201/13 330/2
slow [3] 71/3 225/6 225/11
slower [1] 226/5
slowly [1] 6/17
small [11] 91/1 205/22 225/24
$311 / 18326 / 15328 / 8328 / 19334 / 8$
335/25 336/1 336/8
Smith [3] 153/23 188/18 188/21
smoothly [1] 170/22
snow [1] 126/6
snuck [1] 199/15
soccer [1] 124/15
socially [1] 154/9
solely [9] 29/3 46/2 46/12 62/6
91/11 157/17 258/17 259/23
329/11
solid [1] 220/9
solved [1] 250/1
solves [1] 23/9
solving [1] 94/8
somebody [30] 18/25 23/5 42/16
69/18 75/23 77/9 79/15 107/11
111/11 111/12 111/25 112/1 133/9
136/16 139/11 153/10 154/8 159/6
167/2 172/16 210/24 236/8 239/3
243/5 270/16 291/17 292/4 292/11
293/1 339/11
somehow [3] 69/12 104/6 281/25
someone [17] 24/16 48/22 55/17
99/8 126/13 133/20 135/13 136/9 176/21 182/21 188/11 192/20

244/3 270/17 275/4 277/13 281/13||114/19 145/15 $150 / 10154 / 10$ something [105] 9/24 12/9 13/10 14/7 17/4 28/12 30/5 42/15 61/18 69/19 69/24 77/2 77/9 77/12 80/25 81/18 81/19 87/1 90/10 90/12 90/13 96/20 98/3 102/9 105/5 107/2 $115 / 13118 / 14118 / 24$
123/11 123/12 124/9 128/25 134/19 134/20 139/18 140/15 141/6 141/20 142/10 144/6 160/7 162/12 162/12 176/21 178/18 179/23 185/14 187/13 193/17 195/18 195/19 197/10 208/7 217/3 226/16 227/23 229/12 230/9 231/14 231/19 234/2 238/11 239/15 242/23 244/8 245/11 249/1 257/6 257/7 259/9 269/5 270/3 272/12 275/6 275/19 276/23
276/23 279/18 282/2 282/12 290/5 292/5 292/10 292/12 292/12 292/22 293/2 293/14 293/17 294/15 294/21 295/13 298/4 301/20 302/20 312/5 312/7 315/20 315/22 321/7 321/9 331/7 331/10 338/10
sometime [4] 68/5 162/17 289/18 289/25
sometimes [21] 20/3 75/19 76/3
77/1 99/8 99/10 107/15 116/3
121/12 141/5 216/7 228/9 266/22
266/23 268/5 271/3 271/10 272/22
277/8 323/21 338/21
somewhat [12] 5/14 41/13 168/13
237/14 288/12 289/13 290/9
292/16 298/19 301/10 301/11
302/8
somewhere [4] 7/2 102/22 207/22 233/24
son [25] 92/19 93/9 126/20 127/4
207/17 207/23 208/1 208/11
208/17 210/9 210/12 212/17
214/22 $215 / 2$ 224/15 224/18 225/1
225/5 225/18 227/3 228/13 229/7
229/8 233/16 331/4
son's [1] 124/5
soon [2] 161/3 316/18
sorry [27] 22/10 28/7 34/23 42/19 68/9 73/14 73/17 87/9 89/19 94/20 105/1 122/6 122/12 123/10 132/17 171/6 178/24 191/17 211/7 213/16 216/12 233/18 233/23 239/24 294/3 295/4 327/1
sort [18] 59/6 70/3 70/9 105/21 127/4 129/6 130/4 133/1 135/19 142/11 166/17 176/23 228/18 229/9 232/4 245/12 247/24 310/15 sounded [3] 184/15 242/7 245/13 sounds [5] 98/23 184/6 184/12 200/13 275/21
source [17] 25/10 26/22 37/13
91/13 97/10 98/24 115/21 149/11 193/11 196/1 210/25 211/11 212/24 256/12 311/2 312/4 314/19 sources [12] 23/15 24/5 88/25 $205 / 12210 / 1210 / 3212 / 8218 / 9$ 254/15 256/10 310/18 310/19
spaghetti [1] 309/21
spare [1] 66/22
speak [8] 140/2 188/12 223/8
228/8 234/8 236/1 261/8 299/4
speaking [3] 252/17 287/18 321/23 special [17] 1/12 1/14 4/8 4/9 23/24 38/16 58/7 85/24 106/17

243/9 255/24 286/12 319/7
specialists [1] 78/8
specialized [1] 243/21
specialty [1] 308/12
specific [4] 186/24 200/22 230/25
262/13
specifically [7] 16/22 26/19 44/12 $118 / 15$ 260/4 304/1 8 304/22
specifics [1] 192/12
speculate [3] 180/6 240/13 269/3
speed [1] 148/5
speeding [4] 53/15 62/24 63/13
104/2
spell [1] 122/9
spelling [2] 20/3 188/18
spend [5] 55/19 87/19 102/8 138/14 289/8
spent [4] 6/24 54/2 58/17 140/10
spiritual [2] 292/9 292/17
split [1] 233/1
spoke [2] 185/10 250/24
sporadic [1] 210/11
Sport [1] 167/7
sporting [1] 330/8
sports [3] 290/4 290/9 324/4
spot [1] 30/13
spouse [1] 147/25
spring [2] 48/16 206/23
square [1] $4 / 23$
ss [1] 344/1
St [1] 309/25
staff [1] 148/4
stage [2] 317/12 317/13
stages [1] 68/12
staining [1] 207/2
stake [5] 10/24 10/25 110/7 133/1
133/18
stakes [1] 174/19
stand [13] 17/4 74/3 81/2 105/6
106/8 110/4 121/21 143/21 177/23 179/3 181/15 240/24 267/25
standard [1] 332/7
standards [1] 108/20
standing [1] 184/13
start [17] 18/25 23/16 38/21
125/10 158/2 191/5 191/6 212/12
221/2 257/1 258/4 268/2 283/3
290/13 322/14 339/8 339/9
started [4] 162/23 163/1 $173 / 3$ 256/20
starters [1] 182/19
starting [4] 206/22 287/20 290/20 327/5
starts [3] 138/3 246/17 246/21
state [77] $1 / 11 / 31 / 131 / 154 / 2$ 4/4 4/7 6/21 8/1 1 8/21 10/10 10/25 11/5 16/19 17/2 17/18 18/14 27/11 28/11 29/4 30/14 30/23 36/5 50/13 51/21 52/18 55/19 56/8 65/7 112/2 112/14 114/14 118/1 118/9 128/10 130/20 130/21 134/5 145/10 145/13 176/4 176/13 $178 / 11$ 178/20 180/8 181/6 183/11 188/17 198/20 198/23 220/19 237/21 240/16 246/21 247/8 248/14 249/17 265/14 265/21 267/12 283/20 286/5 294/13 295/3 295/15 295/21 296/7 296/1 8 297/23 300/22 300/24 $301 / 2318 / 21330 / 10339 / 25344 / 1$ 344/5
State's [8] 13/22 16/15 111/19

State's... [5] 111/20 176/25 266/25 266/25 297/7
state-of-the-art [1] 17/18
stated [3] 16/6 128/11 152/6
statement [3] 17/25 198/9 248/22
statements [8] 14/20 52/14 70/2
140/16 140/19 248/22 251/13 313/10
States [2] 292/25 296/7
station [2] 167/13 228/7
status [1] 268/22
statutory [3] 146/3 146/9 182/5
stay [5] 85/10 93/11 93/13 93/21
298/20
stayed [3] 101/8 119/17 167/10 steel [1] 229/22
stenographic [1] 344/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { step [4] } 20 / 8 & 56 / 22 & 113 / 6 & 123 / 23\end{array}$ stepdaughter [2] 96/16 96/18 steps [4] 48/18 49/6 50/7 183/4 stepson [3] 92/19 96/18 101/7
STEVEN [24] 1/6 1/20 4/2 4/10
34/1 34/2 45/20 48/9 61/5 66/10 67/22 95/22 $116 / 25$ 123/8 125/9 145/16 156/6 175/5 221/7 226/18 234/16 261/13 263/23 294/4
stick [4] 13/1 45/21 214/2 216/13 sticks [2] 217/2 230/10
still [30] 21/14 33/7 35/10 35/12
35/13 35/16 39/16 42/22 65/8
72/23 86/24 97/2 128/17 144/4
144/6 155/3 190/16 199/7 209/8
216/3 250/12 258/1 282/16 298/13 315/4 321/25 325/4 329/16 331/24 333/19
stipulation [1] 54/9
stock [1] 243/9
stomach [1] 86/21
Stone [1] 230/17
stood [1] 34/3
stop [7] $35 / 20$ 116/18 120/1
250/11 288/1 293/22 298/1
stopped [2] 83/9 160/23
stored [2] 197/9 197/10
stories [2] 326/10 326/11
story [10] 14/2 90/17 105/9 110/8
131/23 219/17 312/18 313/1 324/5 324/7
Stout [1] 320/21
STRANG[38] 1/16 2/5 2/18 2/22
2/23 3/4 3/12 4/12 7/7 18/24 22/7 33/22 34/1 36/1 47/16 66/9 95/19 125/2 125/8 145/17 174/23 175/4 182/16 191/9 200/2 220/23 221/7
237/25 239/12 250/20 263/23
286/16 288/15 294/3 302/18 303/5
304/17 332/20
Strang's [2] 16/25 181/9
strangers [2] 9/9 9/15
street [5] 40/18 62/9 116/16 165/12 238/19
streets [1] 167/19
stricken [9] 4/18 5/6 36/14 47/24 53/6 55/18 56/13 317/2 340/4 strict [1] 127/25
strictly [7] 27/20 28/2 29/23 33/16 41/20 173/7 327/23
strike [19] 7/1 11/9 18/17 30/8
$36 / 3$ 36/13 48/7 48/24 49/21
182/18 183/1 184/16 184/21 200/6 248/13 270/17 324/3 325/21
$339 / 24$
strikes [4] 8/7 10/23 324/6 326/2
striking [2] 8/4 56/9
strong [5] 219/7 277/16 291/23
302/8 315/25
strongly [1] 310/14
struck [7] 145/25 146/6 181/11
182/15 288/7 303/23 316/21
struggle [1] 80/25
struggling [1] 329/24
student [2] 234/2 234/3
students [1] 244/8
studied [1] 271/18
study [3] 288/6 321/17 321/18
studying [1] 288/5
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { stuff [27] } 87 / 1988 / 17 & 89 / 22 \quad 108 / 8\end{array}$
115/15 115/16 116/21 199/17
210/13 212/23 213/15 213/17
213/23 214/7 214/10 215/24 216/1
216/24 218/4 223/6 226/12 229/14
231/6 243/12 245/16 308/18
313/21
stuffing [1] 237/5
subject [2] 16/7 252/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { subjective [8] } & 50 / 24 & 146 / 1 & 146 / 2\end{array}$
146/7 146/10 185/2 229/14 247/13
subjects [1] 121/3
substantial [2] 315/22 323/2
subtle [1] 239/11
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { such [19] } & 14 / 22 & 15 / 20 & 17 / 11 & 17 / 13\end{array}$
17/18 18/3 18/4 19/6 19/9 40/15
40/16 41/22 51/11 75/12 75/13
196/16 255/4 261/18 331/17
sue [1] 103/17
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { sufficient }[5] & 5 / 21 & 16 / 21 & 205 / 18\end{array}$
309/13 320/14
suggest [3] 52/22 177/2 240/21
suggested [1] 305/4
suggesting [2] 49/8 190/17
suggestion [2] 190/25 191/2
suggests [1] 302/20
suit [1] 227/16
suitability [1] 58/13
suitable [1] 302/17
summarize [1] 17/10
summary [2] 15/24 16/25
summer [5] 48/17 206/23 326/21
327/6 327/8
summoned [1] 70/12
summons [3] 277/1 277/4 278/6
Sunday [1] 211/4
Sundays [1] 139/20
sung [1] 97/25
super [1] 336/11
superintending [1] 7/11
supervise [2] 137/20 138/16
supervising [2] 136/25 319/19
supervisor [8] 115/11 125/13
134/22 137/24 138/2 138/5 141/14
319/20
supper [1] 116/8
supplement [1] 123/3
support [4] 101/13 101/15 102/2
205/19
supports [2] 123/1 271/7
suppose [9] 103/9 127/3 133/16
133/19 168/3 180/11 197/2 242/6 294/18
supposed [10] 67/24 100/15 104/5
144/7 170/23 222/19 270/6 271/3 292/1 301/8
supposedly [4] 100/15 100/18
161/14 313/22

Supreme [1] 188/19
surmise [1] 43/17
surprise [1] 197/9
surprised [3] 229/10 229/11
229/16
surrounded [1] 9/15
surrounding [3] 61/7 261/21 262/24
suspect [5] 38/20 120/12 168/13
259/2 281/14
suspects [1] 76/23
suspicion [1] 75/1
sustained [1] 8/23
swallow [1] 241/1
swear [3] 202/20 242/11 317/6
swearing [1] 333/6
switch [2] 275/18 324/10
sworn [14] 19/25 36/19 56/18 73/8
73/19 84/2 113/2 148/19 202/21
241/1 253/15 284/1 305/24 317/7
system [8] 30/4 102/14 131/18
291/9 301/14 301/19 301/21
302/23

## T

table [9] 8/25 10/24 10/25 129/14
184/14 210/24 213/5 238/25 293/6

## tailor [1] 304/22

take [68] 13/16 30/1 39/21 39/25
43/12 49/12 49/23 51/6 51/13 53/14 59/12 62/18 71/22 74/3 74/4 82/3 82/16 101/18 102/3 104/4 108/9 110/3 124/12 141/16 144/4 145/3 158/25 160/25 163/21 169/18 170/20 177/23 179/2 182/14 190/8 195/24 196/2 198/25 199/1 8 202/2 202/18 216/5 231/11 235/5 238/7 239/10 250/7 250/9 250/11 253/6 267/25 268/18 279/12 284/5 288/2 299/9 309/11 $310 / 6316 / 17317 / 3320 / 4320 / 9$ $320 / 10321 / 3326 / 4332 / 5340 / 13$ 340/22
taken [11] 49/6 50/8 83/14 145/7
165/5 225/22 235/6 250/17 277/14 312/20 344/9
takes [7] 34/9 51/15 67/3 67/4 120/12 126/15 126/22
taking [10] 12/8 54/21 67/13 120/8 185/19 197/5 227/17 232/3 320/22 328/1 1
talk [54] 26/23 28/10 29/17 39/14 $41 / 1742 / 1742 / 1943 / 1155 / 6$
55/12 62/15 63/19 67/14 68/15
72/7 75/15 76/24 88/21 89/17
89/24 99/5 $116 / 19$ 134/13 140/2
186/3 193/24 197/19 207/12
209/24 $211 / 15212 / 16212 / 20$
213/5 213/12 $215 / 16216 / 1$ 229/1
232/16 232/17 232/19 232/23
233/5 261/12 275/25 277/11 278/8
278/14 285/3 310/16 316/19 327/9 330/17 330/21 337/11
talked [14] 66/12 67/20 70/16
100/2 109/11 127/7 187/19 212/21
215/19 216/21 232/8 245/7 275/21 336/19
talking [21] 42/4 42/23 67/25
100/13110/14113/21117/4 124/21 131/9 215/8 216/3 216/11 216/17 217/10 219/11 229/17 236/11 240/19 250/14 252/14 266/1
talks [2] 325/24 328/21
TAMI [1] 2/16
tampered [5] 161/14 162/14
195/16 195/21 196/7
Tap [1] 139/13
tape [1] 195/17
Tapered [1] 326/22
tasks [1] 88/13
taught [2] 72/15 104/16
teach [2] 108/3 266/22
teacher [1] 225/7
team [2] 137/21 171/21
tease [1] 142/19
Tech [1] 233/25
technical [2] 78/4 298/24
technicality [1] 282/2
technically [2] 131/11 321/22
technology [3] 288/10 298/25 299/1
tedious [1] 6/19
teenager [1] 103/24
teetering [1] 244/20
telecasts [1] 313/4
telephone [6] $21 / 1685 / 13255 / 8$
285/16 307/7 318/17
televised [1] 227/11
television [35] 20/24 23/15 23/20
25/17 37/12 43/23 44/1 44/10 44/11 57/7 59/17 84/20 88/25 89/10 89/11 90/20 92/3 113/18 149/10 200/12 203/12 210/2 212/20 254/14 275/16 284/25 289/5 289/9 290/17 306/18 310/19 318/2 322/8 322/13 323/16 tell [52] 20/14 21/3 23/17 25/19 30/2 39/15 40/13 41/23 44/24 61/1 82/21 84/24 87/5 99/9 104/7 107/16 113/11 122/25 132/12 132/13 133/25 136/23 136/23 139/12 151/6 170/3 173/19 192/19 194/18 194/19 196/15 211/11 217/19 225/5 225/18 235/18 240/11 244/21 251/12 254/19 254/24 256/21 256/23 260/20 276/6 279/6 288/17 292/12 298/9 313/18 330/4 339/12
telling [18] 74/11 105/8 105/24 107/8 107/10 107/13 107/21 235/12 236/13 236/15 240/21 240/23 242/12 243/5 247/14 249/14 271/6 338/12
tells [3] 136/4 232/25 332/5
temporarily [1] 155/7
temporary [8] 150/21 150/22
151/19 155/19 162/18 162/23 186/9 186/13
Ten [3] 86/14 86/15 97/16
tend [6] 95/1 142/16 147/14
147/14 231/20 231/21
tendency [1] 267/6
tending [2] 177/2 240/20
tends [1] 267/10
tenet [2] 32/9 292/14
Teresa [10] 90/10 100/17 100/21
117/5 117/6 127/12 136/8 180/19 234/7 313/2
term [1] 51/6
terminology [1] 146/24
terms [34] 7/4 24/4 24/9 24/24
44/7 52/10 54/7 58/12 60/10
60/22 63/20 90/6 94/7 95/2 98/22

108/12 109/4 158/22 160/13 162/9
172/21 181/24 185/1 185/5 202/15
215/19 219/20 275/6 280/10
305/15 305/15 305/16 325/8
334/24
terribly [1] 279/22
Tesheneck [3] 1/24 344/4 344/19
test [6] 13/22 16/23 16/24 17/9 17/21 17/22
tested [3] 271/1 272/1 312/6 testifies [6] 73/3 239/3 240/5 267/23 268/18 272/16
testify [37] 32/1 32/11 45/24
77/11 80/18 81/3 81/11 104/6
105/3 109/12 109/21 110/4 132/11
133/6 133/7 133/25 134/9 177/11
177/12 177/14 177/15 177/23
178/15 178/18 178/25 179/12
179/22 239/1 239/6 239/15 240/12
268/8 269/1 269/3 297/12 297/16 297/18
testifying [4] 107/9 107/12 109/17 243/21
testimony [34] 32/20 45/5 62/17
63/21 63/24 74/9 74/10 74/24
104/19 108/18 132/14 142/11
155/13 168/18 169/5 169/14
176/23 176/25 177/24 187/16
209/15 241/6 242/3 242/4 242/15
243/2 251/10 268/19 268/20
268/21 296/19 296/25 331/21
331/23
testing [12] 15/15 15/18 15/21
16/4 17/3 17/6 17/14 17/18 201/6 270/12 274/18 308/13
tests [7] 14/5 15/20 16/19 103/1
270/13 270/14 274/25
thank [43] 22/20 23/10 33/23 66/1
66/4 82/5 82/7 83/13 83/19 85/25 95/14 112/8 114/15 122/8 125/1 125/3 144/18 149/25 150/11 174/24 180/23 182/17 188/15 194/3 194/5 204/15 220/24 221/2 239/13 250/16 253/3 255/17
255/19 263/15 263/17 283/16
300/10 302/10 317/9 318/22
332/16 339/17 340/17
thanks [6] 22/5 58/9 85/24 90/4
190/12 245/25
their [70] 4/5 9/9 9/10 9/16 12/1 12/9 18/21 $21 / 8$ 28/9 37/4 54/21 63/22 63/22 63/23 75/21 75/21 77/14 78/8 80/4 81/1 81/2 85/4 104/12 104/15 105/9 106/20 107/6 108/18 108/24 124/8 125/17
130/10 140/8 144/6 145/10 149/14 149/19 155/13 167/25 168/4 168/9 169/5 185/18 191/1 203/16 209/14 209/15 209/15 209/16 212/17 215/6 222/24 223/3 225/7 226/7 226/7 235/3 242/3 266/8 267/11 267/25 273/8 285/4 285/10 296/19 301/14 306/21 332/9 332/9 332/10 themselves [3] 9/9 149/13 170/12
theories [2] 270/24 271/17
theory [4] 108/9 108/10 183/25 271/7
there's [49] 4/13 7/24 10/23 19/21 35/4 49/4 54/16 55/15 68/11
$77 / 18$ 89/11 106/21 107/10 116/16 133/19 140/2 147/6 155/1 157/25
158/3 161/21 163/21 168/17
189/22 190/17 200/4 209/7 212/3

224/6 233/1 242/8 248/9 252/15
262/15 264/22 268/6 272/22
276/16 281/23 291/5 302/19 $316 / 18316 / 18320 / 7321 / 13$ 327/20 332/4 338/7 338/11
thereafter [1] 344/11
Thereby [1] 7/3
therefore [2] $36 / 1274 / 15$
these [32] 10/13 19/6 31/21 37/18
$52 / 1$ 54/25 55/8 119/25 132/8 137/20 145/24 149/19 154/10 155/10 159/13 188/2 190/16 199/15 201/14 201/22 203/22 218/9 222/15 223/4 238/13 248/6 255/1 306/23 314/10 328/10 332/24 334/24
they're [3] 119/24 143/16 143/24
Thin [1] 92/5
thing [39] 49/25 75/12 75/13 92/2
98/11 103/16 105/22 120/17
136/21 139/15 154/18 160/21
165/15 186/22 201/23 205/21
207/12 211/14 213/20 214/22
215/9 229/15 230/13 236/14
236/24 238/24 243/11 247/23 248/2 249/14 251/16 269/15 276/21 281/12 305/16 308/24 312/9 325/16 336/9
things [69] 8/20 9/16 14/16 15/6
16/25 17/3 17/8 42/3 54/14 59/6 67/15 75/2 76/5 78/4 94/13 94/14 94/19 94/23 95/23 99/11 100/5 100/23 100/25 104/2 105/14 106/4 117/12 119/8 134/18 135/25 136/15 136/22 142/8 148/5 152/16 168/21 177/8 212/18 213/3 214/1 219/19 240/6 248/8 256/17 267/15 270/12 271/8 272/12 275/11 276/3 276/6 277/18 277/23 278/18 279/3 279/8 284/15 284/24 288/10 290/1 290/8 291/11 310/15 311/23 $313 / 24314 / 1320 / 18330 / 9337 / 17$ think [256] $5 / 25$ 6/14 6/16 6/23 6/23 13/3 14/22 15/14 16/7 18/1 19/19 27/2 27/25 29/22 30/1 31/16 33/7 34/20 35/19 36/7 36/11 40/12 40/14 40/18 40/21 41/25 42/18 45/25 46/5 46/13 $46 / 15$ 46/17 46/20 47/2 47/11 47/13 47/18 47/21 50/16 50/18 50/25 51/12 51/1851/2051/22 53/2 53/7 53/19 53/25 62/5 63/17 63/25 65/11 67/5 73/7 73/18 73/25 74/13 74/16 74/18 74/20 74/22 75/4 75/5 75/11 75/15 75/19 75/23 76/2 77/1 77/21 77/21 78/5 78/23 78/25 79/12 81/3 83/3 83/6 83/20 86/6 91/10 91/24 101/21 104/3 104/9 104/10 104/14 104/20 105/1 105/13 105/18 105/23 109/12 109/16 110/4 111/4 115/22 118/4 124/25 128/21 132/4 132/12 133/2 133/23 135/20 142/14 145/23 146/1 146/6 146/7 146/19 147/13 147/21 153/21 155/24 156/8 159/5 166/25 167/3 168/5 169/6 175/9 179/12 179/23 181/9 182/2 182/12 183/21 184/18 184/19 185/24 188/10 188/20 190/7 190/9 190/24 193/10 193/17 198/19 198/22 201/14 202/1 205/21 206/10 208/18 209/14 214/5 218/13 219/25 220/4
think...[105] 223/11 226/6 229/11 231/20 231/21 231/23 231/25
232/5 232/5 233/2 234/16 234/19 234/20 234/24 235/7 235/10 235/22 235/24 236/2 237/7 237/7 237/9 237/16 238/9 239/20 240/4 240/11 240/18 241/8 241/17 242/19 242/24 242/25 243/1 243/15 243/25 245/12 246/3 246/7 247/2 247/12 248/9 249/8 249/16 251/21 252/3 252/21 253/2 255/13 256/23 257/18 259/20 263/12 265/18 267/14 267/17 269/4 269/11 269/13 269/23 270/2 270/21 272/6 274/15 274/20 280/3 280/7 280/16 280/18 281/3 283/1 283/5 283/7 287/9 293/16 293/18 293/20 294/11 296/1 296/5 296/17 300/9 300/1 0 302/7 302/16 302/17 $303 / 1303 / 3303 / 25305 / 14313 / 24$ $315 / 18316 / 13316 / 16316 / 18$ 316/21 322/2 331/19 332/11 $337 / 13337 / 25338 / 11338 / 13$ 340/2 340/9
thinking [12] 45/12 54/20 111/11 127/25 162/11 164/14 168/1 172/9 202/6 208/6 235/25 268/2
thinks [2] 54/16 54/21
third [5] 4/3 51/2 156/15 156/19 207/21
Thirty [1] 87/7
Thirty-two [1] 87/7
THOMAS [1] 1/14
thoroughly [1] 11/7
those [85] 5/4 5/5 11/2 11/9 15/11 16/14 17/7 19/12 23/17 29/9
29/1 5 33/8 43/3 48/13 48/25
49/13 50/6 50/8 50/17 51/11
80/17 89/3 91/17 96/11 100/8
106/6 107/19 121/14 123/21
130/25 136/22 $138 / 8$ 138/24
140/18 145/19 146/11 146/19 155/2 173/4 180/6 $182 / 11186 / 21$ 193/2 210/3 212/8 217/1 227/11 235/18 235/20 239/19 239/23 240/17 248/3 249/5 254/4 257/11 257/24 259/19 264/7 267/19 270/4 270/13 270/14 271/12 271/12 275/10 275/13 276/25 277/21 280/4 280/8 283/8 290/7 291/10 296/23 300/10 304/12 305/12 305/14 309/22 310/4 313/4 313/16 328/9 332/6
though [10] 29/19 68/10 79/12 112/20 147/9 155/18 187/19 202/11 316/2 316/4
thought [23] 8/14 8/17 14/2 68/18 91/18 96/17 112/19 119/21 123/7 128/8 128/18 152/11 167/3 167/19
168/1 179/16 191/20 217/25
226/14 234/22 235/23 258/19 287/1
thoughts [4] 30/9 270/5 322/4 329/7
threats [1] 276/22
three [26] 4/17 5/5 9/24 23/17 87/10 87/11 88/25 89/3 128/17 146/8 165/19 171/19 174/8 193/2 193/3 238/25 298/22 311/8 312/1 312/16 312/22 320/18 321/16 $323 / 22$ 325/9 325/20
through [35] $37 / 12$ 40/12 40/18 48/17 49/1 49/14 49/19 57/6 68/13 149/10 156/13 157/19 157/20 157/20 171/24 172/10 189/3 189/20 202/6 224/18 229/22 237/19 256/2 256/9 262/7 275/2 275/17 277/5 284/22 303/11 309/4 315/23 326/21 340/23 342/23
throughout [2] 30/22 317/25
thumbs [2] 237/12 237/12
Tice [1] 187/12
ticket [2] 62/24 63/13
ticketed [1] 62/22
tickets [1] 104/2
tied [2] 126/8 309/5
ties [1] 335/2
till [1] 42/1
Tim [1] 317/3
time[145] 4/1 4/15 5/12 6/13 6/20
6/22 7/3 7/5 7/8 9/19 10/1 11/13
12/5 12/12 12/19 13/19 17/22 19/5 19/14 19/17 19/20 20/15 $30 / 135 / 835 / 2035 / 2136 / 238 / 1$ 38/6 39/20 42/9 43/21 47/8 48/6 48/21 49/9 49/14 51/15 54/2 54/22 55/20 56/14 58/17 60/15 66/22 67/3 67/4 67/9 68/14 68/15 71/3 82/9 82/17 87/4 103/3 112/11 114/24 116/3119/15 120/13 128/18 128/20 138/14 139/1 8 140/23 143/20 144/20 145/3 145/8 149/23 150/22 151/20 157/3 160/8 167/25 168/11 170/11 179/21 181/2 182/9 184/4 186/3
186/5 186/7 190/23 190/25 198/15 200/6 201/18 202/3 202/18 204/4 205/20 205/22 206/3 206/5 206/24 208/4 215/4 $215 / 11215 / 11215 / 21$ 218/6 221/15 222/6 224/21 224/25 228/14 230/16 232/20 232/23 234/2 234/3 234/3 235/15 244/11 244/12 247/4 250/10 250/18 253/9 257/17 282/14 283/14 285/13
287/13 289/8 289/20 298/8 299/18 302/12 305/15 306/21 307/9 310/4 316/9 317/12 318/7 321/3 321/16 323/2 325/5 326/3 333/1 339/20
timer [3] 5/24 6/5 13/1
times [17] 9/3 25/5 60/14 60/16 $75 / 14$ 103/4 103/25 116/4 139/8
140/24 165/19 170/7 216/6 224/22 252/8 278/17 323/20
timing [1] 112/17
TIMOTHY [1] 3/17
tiny [1] 308/18
tipping [1] 49/5
tired [1] 108/6
tissue [1] 231/3
title [1] 290/23
today [50] 5/7 6/3 21/4 31/7 35/10 36/1 $137 / 18$ 38/3 64/8 84/6 103/5 113/6 113/9 113/23 117/17118/8 137/9 137/14 147/3 147/12 149/15 175/19 188/17 202/24 203/18
246/24 249/1 250/15 253/7 253/22 254/20 255/7 258/2 263/9 263/23 284/7 285/6 285/16 306/3 306/23 307/7 315/17 317/4 318/8 318/17 327/13 329/2 329/18 333/24
338/21
today's [6] $21 / 15$ 37/22 57/12
84/25 114/2 114/10
together [4] 94/14 94/24 95/3
$95 / 10$
toilets [1] 330/25
token [1] 235/11
told [16] 67/23 90/12 114/24 124/2 144/7 163/16 165/25 168/10 191/22 200/17 218/21 226/11 229/7 237/15 303/21 327/23
Tom [15] 4/8 21/24 38/11 58/3 85/19 114/20 145/14 150/5 204/10 255/25 286/4 307/15 319/1 330/6 331/18
tomorrow [4] 137/15 303/16 340/5 340/10
tons [1] 137/15
took [13] 52/11 86/22 104/12
108/5 120/7 140/19 186/12 188/11
195/23 205/7 247/15 249/11 333/1
tool [1] 308/3
top [1] 214/5
topic [2] 41/11 258/5
topics [2] 261/10 275/19
tosses [1] 210/24
total [2] 10/7 10/9
totally [7] 43/1 46/8 98/15 105/16
134/25 135/4 311/17
touch [3] 31/24 66/11 291/6
touched [1] 31/5
touching [1] 146/8
tough [8] 135/13 239/2 247/10
247/18 248/6 266/18 303/7 303/12
toward [3] 291/7 298/18 298/19
towards [1] 75/24
town [7] 117/12 165/13 167/17 171/4 171/6 308/4 308/15
trade [2] 38/23 308/2
traditional [1] 154/10
traffic [6] 167/14 170/7 170/12 170/15 172/6 172/7
trailer [2] 159/19 313/20
training [2] 108/3 134/22
transcribed [2] 15/13 344/11
transcript [6] 1/23 15/8 15/10 15/12 344/8 344/12
transcription [1] 344/11
transferred [1] 101/22
transferring [1] 227/19
treat [1] 110/9
treated [3] 63/8 63/11 332/8
tri [1] 97/23
tri-county [1] 97/23
trial [70] $1 / 4$ 11/24 14/16 14/21
14/25 15/3 17/13 21/11 23/11 26/1 30/22 37/11 37/16 38/1 39/8 41/16 45/24 46/3 46/19 50/9
50/19 57/2 57/19 85/7 109/17
114/6 126/9 130/11 143/11 144/10 148/3 148/5 149/7 149/22 151/21 151/24 157/18 160/25 173/25 179/2 190/7 192/4 197/18 203/12 203/17 203/25 205/13 244/23 245/11 246/17 246/21 246/25 248/11 249/23 254/18 261/25 262/8 266/3 267/23 272/17 284/17 284/18 285/2 292/24 299/9 307/5
315/2 317/22 318/1 318/13
tried [5] 165/9 167/16 167/18 278/14 304/21
tries [1] 13/24
tripped [1] 133/14
truck [4] 43/18 205/3 231/1 298/13
true [11] 35/8 35/10 52/25 77/2
77/9 271/4 271/15 271/17 333/7
true... [2] 338/25 344/12
truly [1] 129/20
trust [2] 97/6 97/8
trustworthy [3] 104/21 105/5 106/18
truth [8] 74/6 74/11 77/13 104/8 107/21 240/22 240/23 242/12 truthfully [1] 117/1
try [15] 36/8 76/6 76/24 94/14
103/17 179/13 211/20 214/19
247/16 292/25 295/5 296/1 325/25 328/21 338/4
trying [20] 7/14 9/6 12/22 19/14 40/7 81/6 94/23 115/4 116/7 130/17 155/9 192/1 217/18 234/24 235/7 236/16 239/5 292/10 298/23 334/11
turn [6] 44/4 138/20 139/21
214/19 228/15 325/5
turned [3] 165/10 166/1 172/14
turns [2] 55/7 248/8
TV [28] 23/19 24/1 24/2 24/20
24/22 24/23 67/21 76/18 91/24
115/18 121/8 194/23 195/17
200/11 217/12 217/17 217/23
218/11 219/19 226/25 227/16
228/6 229/16 256/12 256/16 314/5 322/15 336/25
twelve [5] 86/16 101/11 321/5 321/5 321/7
Twenty [3] 87/10 87/11 319/24
Twenty-three [2] 87/10 87/11
Twenty-two [1] 319/24
twice [1] 210/20
Twin [1] 22/13
twins [1] 96/11
two [53] 8/9 8/15 8/17 17/7 18/17 21/16 22/11 34/2 38/4 51/19 66/24 68/15 71/21 87/7 96/10 96/10 $114 / 11125 / 9$ 130/22 130/22 131/22 137/5 138/12 152/14 168/3 171/4 171/7 171/8 171/19 174/8 183/4 194/16 204/2 206/12 208/23 212/1 212/14 222/19 226/8 240/10 248/20 255/8 264/19 264/23
285/17 296/22 307/8 314/17
318/18 319/24 320/8 322/11 341/23
type [6] 78/4 87/21 94/11 186/22 252/7 315/11
types [3] 19/12 91/18 243/2
typically [4] 121/14 186/22 271/2 274/7
typing[1] 88/16
U
U.S [1] 287/10
uh [8] 120/21 $120 / 21 \quad 122 / 2$ 122/2 141/15 267/21 275/14 275/14
Uh-huh [2] 141/15 267/21
Uh-uh [3] 120/21 122/2 275/14
Um [8] 29/25 44/2 53/11 86/11
109/7 151/7 278/16 287/7
unable [2] 110/23 267/3
uncertainty [1] 180/21
uncle [2] 61/17 69/14
uncomfortable [4] 9/14 155/9 157/11 304/14
under [18] 34/14 40/25 73/10
73/21 74/1 107/16 133/4 142/9
150/18 153/15 172/5 175/18 238/4

241/10 241/13 295/11 333/9 337/8
underlying [1] 272/10
understand [83] 6/12 9/19 10/17
19/1 28/11 28/15 28/21 28/23
29/7 37/5 42/6 43/6 47/3 55/20
58/24 64/14 65/2 65/4 65/9 73/15
75/9 76/1 77/10 79/3 80/4 90/2
106/23 107/14 107/22 110/25
111/7 111/8 115/8 116/13 120/9
122/17 130/19 130/25 131/7
131/17 134/16 135/7 150/23
176/10 177/12 186/19 221/10
223/21 224/1 227/7 243/22 243/25
246/16 248/7 248/14 256/8 259/2
259/11 259/16 260/13 260/16
260/21 262/6 265/7 265/22 268/13
269/8 277/19 282/25 283/4 291/10
293/12 294/25 295/13 301/10
303/8 303/9 319/15 327/13 327/16
327/17 334/14 338/8
understandable [2] 235/14 247/22
understanding [3] 20/20 136/6 249/3
understands [1] 7/14
understood [10] 109/13 118/4
175/18 187/23 191/17 246/4 251/8
305/2 336/15 338/9
undoubtedly [1] 257/25
unease [1] 247/21
unemployment [1] 41/1
unfair [4] 51/21 51/21 51/22 53/3
unfairly [1] 168/6
unfortunately [1] 337/18
Ungrodt [1] 184/16
Ungrodt's [1] 184/7
union [6] 66/14 229/24 229/25
230/2 230/4 230/12
United [2] 292/25 296/7
University [1] 264/7
unless [7] 30/23 64/10 118/9
220/8 281/23 281/24 295/21
unnatural [4] 266/19 267/15
267/16 269/15
unnecessarily [1] 115/4
unnecessary [1] 5/17
unpublished [1] 16/11
unreasonable [1] 8/2
unsecure [3] 194/22 196/25
199/24
unsecured [3] 161/16 161/16
196/23
until [35] $21 / 2$ 24/12 30/23 41/17 41/18 50/11 57/11 64/10 79/5 88/7 101/22 $116 / 7118 / 9120 / 4$ 127/5 164/16 205/7 210/8 210/10 215/1 218/23 220/9 221/15 234/22 235/23 270/16 271/17 292/18 295/21 296/14 306/21 308/4 314/7 318/7 325/17
unusual [1] 7/22
up [128] $4 / 145 / 25$ 9/6 13/16
18/11 18/23 20/12 25/22 30/18
34/3 37/2 38/17 42/3 42/22 48/17 49/9 53/14 53/15 56/24 58/11
66/1 1 67/3 67/4 68/10 68/13 70/8 71/14 80/9 83/21 84/9 95/22
95/25 99/1 101/12 101/17 101/23
103/24 109/5 $114 / 25115 / 25$
123/23 126/8 $126 / 23$ 128/3 130/1
130/2 133/14 133/21 140/9 141/4
143/21 146/20 147/14 150/13
155/3 159/24 164/18 165/11 166/4
166/6 166/15 175/10 179/12

179/24 188/7 194/23 195/9 201/20
202/5 204/18 206/1 206/23 210/9 212/6 214/9 215/12 218/3 219/18 222/9 223/3 223/7 223/25 227/4 231/16 232/9 232/21 237/12 239/4 239/17 241/3 246/3 248/20 249/11 250/21 254/1 256/7 265/5 270/25 276/17 278/8 282/10 286/17 288/22 290/2 291/8 294/5 299/7 301/14 304/15 304/21 305/17 $306 / 8307 / 22308 / 18309 / 5314 / 7$ $314 / 22315 / 14317 / 16319 / 10$ $328 / 22329 / 20329 / 25330 / 14$ $340 / 18$ 340/25 341/7 341/10 upbringing [1] 303/1
uphold [2] 73/9 241/1
upon [15] 16/10 31/5 31/24 50/2
92/13 $123 / 18146 / 8$ 168/3 207/6
256/8 257/11 258/13 258/17
265/24 305/8
upped [1] 230/13
ups [2] $257 / 2$ 284/12
upset [1] 280/15
urgency [1] 49/8
us [64] 8/17 9/7 9/25 15/8 22/9 25/19 30/2 33/15 34/3 38/20 39/15 40/13 40/16 41/23 44/24 61/1 62/4 80/1 82/21 89/23 96/15
99/9 110/13 $111 / 13$ 146/25 150/18 151/6 166/19 166/22 168/17 172/8 173/19 194/18 199/18 204/20 211/11 217/19 223/24 225/20 235/18 238/25 239/21 247/14 258/23 261/18 266/15 276/6
280/18 285/25 288/17 288/22
288/23 291/22 308/25 310/11
$313 / 18319 / 12320 / 1320 / 8322 / 4$
330/4 339/12 340/13 340/23
usage [1] 252/5
use [10] 60/6 87/15 88/13 88/18 134/18 285/10 289/21 289/23 311/3 311/6
used [9] 11/8 26/17 34/6 88/1
154/15 230/18 252/13 281/4 334/24
uses [1] 134/24
using [4] 5/25 191/1 196/9 290/2
usually [19] 24/12 25/21 89/5
116/5 116/6 137/14 140/9 141/23
141/24 141/24 210/22 211/2 216/1
221/15 222/9 232/17 232/18 293/3
321/21
UW [1] 320/21
V
V-6 [1] 231/8
Valders' [1] 230/17
valid [2] 18/18 190/24
Valley [4] 233/12 233/13 233/24 233/25
valuable [1] 18/20
vandalism [1] 262/11
varies [1] 88/9
variety [6] 53/1 87/23 87/25
189/22 210/1 310/19
various [3] 218/9 256/10 310/4
vehicle [1] 167/8
verbal [2] 81/23 289/7
verdict[16] 31/2 41/19 110/22
112/5 155/22 155/23 155/24
157/13 173/18 179/8 278/1 279/16
280/5 280/6 280/7 280/14
verify [2] 308/24 319/25
very[71] 7/20 9/8 9/16 11/6 11/15 18/17 22/20 23/9 24/23 30/11 39/6 39/8 43/14 58/24 59/12 64/2 83/24 87/18 $110 / 19111 / 2115 / 18$ 123/22 126/7 127/25 130/25
135/12 135/12 135/17 151/19
180/24 185/2 185/25 198/23
207/12 209/8 210/20 211/13 239/11 250/22 256/13 256/18
260/6 267/16 269/19 269/19 272/4 276/10 286/2 286/4 288/11 288/13 291/23 302/8 303/6 303/6 303/12 307/15 308/20 308/25 309/3 309/16 310/3 313/5 318/23 320/2 $326 / 20330 / 8331 / 1332 / 2337 / 4$ 339/1
viable [1] 199/21
vial $[28] \quad 13 / 12 \quad 13 / 21 \quad 14 / 3 \quad 16 / 20$ 81/17 81/18 109/6 109/8 161/12
161/12 163/23 164/4 181/22
182/10 185/13 186/2 $186 / 17$
189/11 192/17 192/22 194/10
194/21 195/3 195/13 196/16 199/6 200/10 257/7
vicinity [2] 7/2 128/12
victim [1] 19/2
view [7] 10/13 55/16 69/5 184/17
185/23 217/24 293/18
vigilante [1] 167/14
violates [1] 241/18
virtue [1] 185/16
visitation [1] 277/15
visiting [2] 5/17 19/19
Viva [1] 231/4
voice [2] 98/8 237/6
voir [64] 1/4 4/4 5/10 5/15 7/10 $8 / 3$ 8/9 11/9 11/17 11/23 12/14 18/10 18/15 20/10 21/6 21/20 $33 / 2436 / 2537 / 2038 / 749 / 10$ $56 / 22$ 57/14 57/24 66/5 84/7 85/2 85/15 95/15 $113 / 7113 / 24114 / 16$ 125/4 148/24 149/16 149/23 150/1 174/25 190/14 191/11 194/6 197/14 203/1 203/20 204/6 220/25 246/1 253/23 254/21 255/20 263/1 8 284/9 285/8 285/21 294/1 300/14 306/4 306/25 307/11 317/12 317/14 318/10318/24 332/17
volunteer [7] 169/24 169/25 170/5 170/16 170/25 171/4 195/7
volunteered [3] 195/8 196/13 247/25
volunteering [1] 170/19
vote [3] 180/16 238/4 249/17
voted [3] 279/19 336/1 336/2

## W

wage [2] 303/18 303/24
Wagner [1] 146/16
wait [2] 270/5 287/9
waiting [3] 12/23 59/9 201/18
waive [1] 51/4
walk[5] 19/5 43/2 47/20 139/10 212/5
walked [2] 171/10 278/17
walking [1] 276/15
wandered [1] 9/2
want [55] 11/1 11/2 19/12 32/2
37/5 42/17 54/18 55/19 55/23
59/3 79/24 84/11 95/22 99/13

106/10 106/23 111/10 130/1
133/20 133/21 $134 / 14134 / 19$ 134/20 139/19 140/1 143/25 144/8 148/6 158/2 165/17 165/24 165/25 190/2 191/4 191/23 198/25 199/18 203/4 209/24 221/2 244/18 244/25 252/11 252/21 284/4 284/14 $300 / 16301 / 17301 / 17301 / 18$ 301/20 303/15 337/16 338/23 339/4
wanted [35] 26/23 31/24 38/17 38/21 39/5 39/14 51/5 58/10 62/3 62/15 63/17 63/19 64/5 128/7 144/9 144/11 146/22 147/10 152/3 156/4 169/12 181/7 207/12 250/23 287/3 289/1 289/3 291/6 307/25 308/24 319/25 326/14 327/9 329/20 338/18
wanting [1] 128/1
wants [6] 54/15 245/23 258/13
301/21 302/21 302/22
WAPL [2] 228/7 228/18
warned [1] 337/7
warning [1] 6/8
was 15 [1] 208/7
Washington [2] 165/12 175/10
wasn't [19] 67/24 97/1 101/16
102/12 128/15 162/10 185/15
186/10 192/6 193/1 208/3 225/6
225/9 225/16 229/11 241/25
276/10 282/7 312/15
wasting [1] 19/14
watch [20] $23 / 24$ 24/1 $25 / 21$ 26/1 41/15 76/18 91/19 91/21 115/18 121/8 121/11 141/19 197/19 210/7 215/13 275/10 284/25 310/23 322/15 324/21
watched [6] $25 / 12$ 25/16 67/21 91/24 314/3 326/9
watching [10] $26 / 845 / 14$ 59/20 59/22 70/10 92/3 98/16 99/2 160/16 289/8
water [2] 140/2 222/21
way [85] 5/25 10/12 19/20 21/12
32/13 33/7 41/11 54/20 63/23 $71 / 13$ 74/9 76/21 78/22 96/5 107/4 110/12 $110 / 17114 / 8119 / 5$ 119/6 121/19 123/16 126/17 127/24 129/11 132/3 135/1 135/6
135/11 139/11 141/24 143/6
165/21 167/20 169/13 172/2
172/14 180/1 190/19 200/8 200/24 212/25 214/6 $219 / 11$ 229/10 232/9 234/24 234/25 235/6 235/7 236/9 237/19 245/9 251/7 253/18 257/14 267/15 268/20 269/2 270/18 271/7 274/7 274/23 279/15 279/19 280/24 282/16 283/3 288/21 296/14 297/13 299/23 301/23 $302 / 2302 / 6316 / 16328 / 2329 / 16$ $331 / 22333 / 19336 / 5336 / 6336 / 7$ 337/16 337/20
ways [5] 230/12 230/12 234/16 248/12 316/15
we [256] 4/14 4/14 5/7 6/24 7/4 8/13 8/14 8/16 8/17 8/18 8/19 $\begin{array}{llll}9 / 21 & 9 / 22 & 10 / 1 & 10 / 21 \\ 10 / 22 & 12 / 24\end{array}$ 13/4 $15 / 9$ 18/5 $18 / 1118 / 1621 / 4$ 22/6 22/14 23/16 24/15 28/13 $30 / 1631 / 534 / 3$ 37/4 38/17 39/5 40/17 40/18 40/25 41/25 43/5 43/21 47/11 47/20 47/21 49/2 49/6 49/22 49/24 50/7 50/7 50/15
$50 / 2050 / 21$ 50/21 50/23 50/25
$51 / 1251 / 1851 / 2353 / 1353 / 14$
55/3 55/6 55/9 58/10 64/10 64/20 65/8 66/10 66/12 67/23 67/25
68/21 68/21 68/21 68/23 79/22 79/23 82/22 83/16 83/21 84/11 86/2 88/7 90/4 95/21 110/23 111/12 114/25 115/7119/21 124/25 129/12 129/14 131/3 131/14 138/3 138/20 139/3 139/24 140/7 145/8 145/18 145/24 147/3 147/4 147/9 148/8 149/16 149/23
154/12 154/15 154/15 154/18 154/23 155/1 165/5 167/14 170/6 170/9 171/10 176/3 176/8 176/10 176/19 177/2 178/10 181/11 182/2 182/14 183/21 183/22 187/9 187/10 188/6 189/8 190/1 190/8 190/16 190/16 192/2 195/11 199/7 199/14 201/11 202/5 202/6 202/10 202/16 203/4 204/17 205/22 206/10 207/9 209/24 209/25 210/22 $211 / 24212 / 4$ 212/20 213/2 215/3 216/5 218/7 $218 / 20218 / 22$ 220/9 221/22 222/19 223/14 224/9 229/22 231/2 232/23 232/23 234/23 236/13 239/21 239/25 240/1 241/2 241/21 246/16 250/12 250/24 251/17251/18 251/20 252/1 252/16 253/8 254/4 254/21 256/6 258/4 261/6 263/8 263/10 265/8 266/3 266/7 266/13 266/13 269/16 276/19 284/14 285/7 287/2 288/25 289/3 290/20 291/23 292/1 292/5 292/16 292/21 293/17 294/18 297/3 297/3 297/4 300/4 $301 / 8301 / 20303 / 1303 / 3303 / 15$ 305/15 305/17 306/10 306/15 $306 / 24308 / 12311 / 5311 / 19314 / 5$ $314 / 10316 / 6316 / 17316 / 19317 / 1$ $317 / 18327 / 9329 / 11330 / 16$ $330 / 21330 / 21330 / 22332 / 5$ $333 / 17334 / 7334 / 9335 / 16338 / 25$ 340/6 340/8 342/10
we'll [31] 6/13 7/4 19/22 40/13 47/24 56/14 82/17 112/23 141/23 144/21 145/4 147/11 148/14 148/15 $150 / 15181 / 1$ 202/8 202/18 212/12 238/24 239/10 250/14 257/1 266/8 290/13 312/1 317/3 327/21 339/19 340/1 340/10 we're [65] 4/3 6/16 7/14 9/5 10/16 19/14 20/8 20/20 34/1 36/24 37/9 40/7 47/6 51/9 53/15 54/1 56/22 57/4 64/6 72/15 84/6 104/16 111/14 $113 / 6115 / 4115 / 9125 / 9$ 131/8 137/4 137/7 144/7 145/3 146/6 147/21 $148 / 23$ 150/18 175/5 184/17 186/3 188/1 $188 / 2$ 192/1 200/5 202/14 202/24 203/9 212/10 240/19 248/10 250/14 250/18 251/9 253/5 253/22 266/1 266/5 266/7 284/7 288/17 294/4 298/23 306/3 307/22 317/12 336/11
we've [1] 214/11
weather [1] 212/5
web [2] 207/11 289/20
week[29] $13 / 1122 / 9$ 25/23 39/8
70/5 87/2 151/22 161/1 210/21 232/24 261/25 290/20 311/24
313/3 315/17 319/12 321/5 321/6 $321 / 7321 / 17323 / 23323 / 24324 / 2$ $332 / 23333 / 11333 / 25334 / 2$
week...[2] 334/15 336/22
weekend [5] 67/12 101/25 102/8 211/2 211/4
weekends [11] 42/14 67/10 67/11 205/23 206/4 221/22 222/3 224/2 248/12 321/21 321/24
weeks [19] 25/23 58/25 122/22
126/9 162/24 205/15 222/20 298/4 299/10 309/3 311/8 312/1 312/16
312/22 320/4 324/16 325/9 325/10 325/21
weigh [9] $32 / 1987 / 18132 / 13$
241/5 242/3 242/4 243/23 267/6 268/20
weighed [1] 106/1
weighing [3] 179/7 187/15 267/4
weight [2] 104/20 132/21
weird [2] 101/24 128/8
welcome [5] 66/2 144/19 256/4 284/3 286/13
went [20] 49/9 83/16 83/17 98/8
107/4 107/4 127/13 152/7 167/12
190/14 201/5 207/18 207/23
214/23 217/21 223/22 227/4
234/10 287/24 290/21
weren't [8] 14/6 53/7 120/2 $128 / 16$
208/24 248/3 277/3 277/3
western [1] 330/10
Weyerhauser [1] 221/12
what's [17] 12/20 25/21 25/22
29/24 97/22 $116 / 10$ 142/19 142/24 143/12 160/21 173/7 212/12
213/20 $213 / 21$ 239/7 289/17 290/6
whatever [19] 12/10 54/10 116/18
162/9 170/9 184/10 189/24 192/16
219/20 219/21 228/14 238/12
269/5 274/19 277/22 281/21
313/18 324/3 329/8
whatsoever [4] 41/16 189/2 336/20
338/12
whenever [2] 270/4 324/22
Wherein [12] 35/24 47/9 82/11
112/12 144/23 181/3 198/16 247/5 283/17 302/13 316/10 339/21
wherever [1] 205/7
whether [77] 11/16 12/9 17/24
27/3 30/15 31/20 31/21 34/12
37/11 43/18 57/7 65/1 65/21
69/25 70/1 74/10 77/8 77/13 81/1
103/15 106/6 107/3 107/20 110/21 111/10 111/20 132/19 140/18 141/3 155/23 173/5 174/17 177/10 178/2 178/3 178/7 178/10 178/20
180/8 181/22 186/10 192/19 196/7
199/23 200/18 201/1 205/17
209/11 $218 / 13$ 221/21 222/3
235/12 238/25 240/7 240/15
244/13 267/22 275/6 280/11
291/17 292/10 292/11 293/1 293/9
294/13 296/2 296/11 297/23 299/1
299/25 300/21 304/1 305/6 310/13
312/6 315/12 320/5
 52/2 53/15 77/15 98/4 116/17
162/2 162/5 $210 / 11210 / 16$ 240/19 253/7 305/14
white [2] 243/10 248/9
who's [3] 48/8 243/20 304/23
whoever [1] 288/16
whole [10] 102/8 103/14 115/12
144/13218/6219/16219/17271/4
$275 / 15$ 275/17
wholesale [1] 51/25
wholly [1] 181/13
whom [1] 158/16
whose [2] 11/10 187/11
widely [1] 272/22
widespread [1] 327/3
wife [59] 39/24 42/15 67/4 67/5 67/15 86/23 88/6 90/10 93/9 93/16 96/24 97/6 97/7 97/14 97/14 97/15 98/23 100/3 100/13 100/23 102/1 109/5 $150 / 19155 / 5$ 155/18 156/1 156/8 161/23 163/22 181/21 182/8 185/11 185/16 186/6 186/18 187/20 189/11 191/13
192/11 193/10 193/17 193/23
194/9 196/13 196/15 197/25 198/4
199/13 199/25 200/19 200/25
207/1 207/7 232/6 260/21 264/12
277/15 335/16 337/11
wife's [10] 152/2 $156 / 8 \quad 156 / 11$
156/12 157/21 158/17 159/3 198/9 233/6 337/22
WILLIAM [4] 2/20 146/20 147/25 148/15
willing [8] 55/12 118/7 118/12
176/6 178/15 180/5 185/4 188/8
Willis [2] 1/9 160/15
Wimmer [1] 146/16
wind [1] 147/14
windows [1] 22/15
winks [1] 47/5
winner [2] 39/23 261/24
winnowing [1] 51/10
winter [2] 154/21 154/23
WISCONSIN [25] $1 / 11 / 31 / 131 / 15$ 4/2 8/7 21/25 38/12 58/4 85/21 122/14 133/5 150/6 182/25 188/18 204/11 222/20 222/21 259/10 286/6 296/8 307/16 319/3 344/1 344/6
wisely [1] 101/8
wish [7] 6/7 6/9 111/25 251/14
284/4 301/22 339/12
wished [2] 5/8 250/21
wishes [1] 145/19
withdrew [1] 327/4
within [6] 13/10 194/13 261/24
307/8 313/2 325/10
without [9] $7 / 23$ 16/9 16/9 16/10 35/25 40/22 157/19 252/13 330/1 withstanding [2] 6/15 54/24
witness [43] $32 / 1463 / 2474 / 3$
78/9 81/1 81/2 81/9 105/6 106/8
106/16 106/19 107/7 108/20 110/4 110/9 132/14 132/19 155/3 155/14 169/3 169/15 176/20 177/24 178/4 179/2 183/14 183/22 184/3 192/4 209/1 7 240/6 240/10 240/23 241/4 241/12 242/5 243/22 243/23 248/1 8 268/19 297/14 316/7 316/14
witness' [1] 248/21
witnesses [37] 52/24 54/25 55/2
55/16 76/23 77/11 78/3 78/6 78/7 105/10 106/15 107/15 108/17
117/22 155/10 168/23 169/2
176/19 181/14 182/7 207/14
209/10 240/2 240/20 243/3 258/15
266/8 266/13 296/18 296/23 297/3
297/5 297/5 297/7 297/10 332/6
332/6
woman [8] 152/20 177/9 180/16
$184 / 7187 / 11212 / 4$ 260/19 313/1
women [1] 212/3
won [1] 259/17
wonder [5] 31/20 73/2 79/13 82/19 271/25

## wondered [3] 152/12 165/19

 172/17wondering [6] 30/7 79/20 121/2 141/3 291/20 337/19
word [12] 54/21 152/10 168/2
168/2 195/23 195/24 197/6 207/10 212/24 247/15 281/4 316/17
words [21] 27/23 28/13 33/11 65/6 65/23 91/12 95/6 108/22 162/8 162/9 169/2 196/18 236/20 248/3 248/5 258/7 276/9 315/15 315/17 332/8 340/9
work[95] 9/21 $13 / 9$ 13/20 13/20 13/25 14/13 14/14 24/7 24/12 38/25 39/1 39/10 42/2 42/7 44/6 44/7 59/3 60/8 60/1 1 62/8 67/5 67/8 68/21 68/21 70/22 70/25 71/6 71/20 72/7 75/16 75/21 75/24 87/1 98/10 116/1 119/19 126/18 134/22 136/23 137/10 139/17 140/6 140/10 141/13 142/3 143/23 151/10 157/21 169/19 205/10 207/7 210/21 213/1 213/1 213/4 $215 / 16215 / 20221 / 11$ 221/17 221/20 222/8 224/14 230/4 230/18 231/2 232/6 232/10 232/21 236/11 238/8 238/13 245/16 260/23 260/24 261/4 261/6 261/10 262/18 276/17 278/5 286/5 287/25 288/3 289/23 298/24 309/9 311/21 $312 / 1321 / 11321 / 12321 / 20322 / 1$ 325/22 330/22 331/1
workday [1] 143/10
worked [11] 39/2 53/8 86/19
138/17 150/25 155/5 182/8 185/16 188/22 189/4 204/23
workers [10] 68/20 70/11 76/16 142/21 143/12 152/2 229/21 229/22 248/1 249/13
working [18] 9/16 51/1 120/9 137/8 148/3 155/18 163/1 170/4 170/22 174/13 193/1 214/9 221/18 224/2 261/24 286/9 298/15 307/18
workings [2] 181/18 199/20
workplace [1] 290/22
works [13] 119/18 124/13 125/24
126/2 131/19 138/2 161/23 199/13
232/7 233/12 234/3 262/20 291/9
world [7] 59/20 59/25 69/2 271/24
290/6 290/11 337/18
worried [5] 40/21 48/11 49/20
143/9 143/15
worries [1] 155/21
worry [3] 14/12 80/8 97/11
worth [6] 10/8 54/14 54/17 92/3 119/16303/25
wouldn't [24] 12/18 32/19 40/3
75/6 75/7 109/20 111/16 128/15
157/22 163/11 169/16 173/9
174/20 179/4 179/16 179/16
193/23 197/8 205/25 224/2 234/19
302/1 338/13 338/15
wound [1] 175/10
wrap [1] 282/10
wrestling [1] 305/5
write [2] 31/4 232/24
writing [3] 88/15 95/3 263/9
written [16] $11 / 8$ 18/6 20/7 20/12
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery. It's Case No. 05 CF 381. Uh, we are here today continuing with the process of jury selection. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Good morning, Your Honor. May it please the Court, State appears by Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon, and Ken Kratz, special prosecutors.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Morning, Your Honor. Jerome Buting and Dean Strang appearing with Mr. Avery.

THE COURT: All right. Before we resume, uh, voir dire this morning, uh, I think yesterday the Court was informed that the -- I'm not sure that my notes are specific enough here, but I had jurors 75 and 77 listed as possibilities that the parties would be, uh, jointly requesting that they be excused for cause.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Um, I had Juror No. 75 as being previously excused by the Court and, uh, 77, I -- I thought that that may have been discussed either Monday or Tuesday.

THE COURT: That does ring a bell about

Juror 75. I will, um, try to verify that with my judicial assistant. Um, I don't have the reason committed to memory but, um -- All right. We'll address those issues later and bring in the first juror at this time, which is Juror No. 60, Judith Kania.

Ms. Kania, at this time I'll ask you to raise your right and the clerk will administer the oath.

## (Juror sworn)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Uh, Ms. Kania, you've already completed a jury questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next step of jury selection process which is called voir dire. Uh, in this stage each of the attorneys, or the attorneys for each side, will be permitted to ask you some additional questions that relate to your qualifications as a juror.

Uh, before we get to their questions, I want to pass some information on to you. First of all, if you are selected as a juror in this case, I want you to know that the jurors will not be sequestered. That means that the jurors will be permitted to return home each day, uh, after
that day's proceedings in the trial, and, uh, because of that fact, the Court will continue the restriction that the jurors not be exposed to any type of media information about the case, be it radio, television, newspaper, the internet or any other source, and jurors will continue to be prohibited from discussing the case, uh, with anyone, including members of their family or other jurors until all of the evidence has been received.

Uh, you should also know that, although these proceedings today are open to the public, the Court does not allow cameras in the courtroom during individual voir dire and the media is not permitted to identify the jurors by name in any media reports of these proceedings.

In addition, should you be selected as a juror, you should know that, uh, while cameras are permitted in the courtroom during the trial, they're not permitted to, uh, show the members of the jury.

Um, in the event you, uh, continue on the jury panel after today, uh, you'll get a telephone call probably sometime today letting you know when you will be reporting back for the
next step in the process.

Mr. Fallon? All right at this time Mr. Fallon, uh, will ask you questions.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q Good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Um, my name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice and I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and lead prosecutor.

We're here this morning to follow up with some, uh, questions regarding the information you provided last week, uh, to help us in, uh, selecting a jury for this case.

Um, first of all, is your last name pronounced Kania?

A Kania. Right.
Q All right. Very good. Um, and do you prefer Miss? Missis?

A Missis is good.
Q Very good. All right. Um, very good. Uh, you're currently employed at the, uh, uh, Forder
(phonetic) Needle Company here in Manitowoc?
A Foster.
Q Or -- sorry?
A Foster Needle.
Q Foster? Okay. Very good. And, uh, how long
have you been employed there?
A Twenty years.
Q Uh, and you're a furnace operator?
A Yes.
Q What, exactly, does that entail?
A I take needles out of a box and put them on a
conveyor belt that goes into a furnace to heat them
up.
Q Oh, I see. Okay. And, uh, previously you worked
at, uh, the Mirro Aluminum Company?
A Um-hmm.
Q And what did you do for them?
A I worked in the Teflon department, spraying the
Teflon on the --
Q All right.
A -- pans.
Q And, um, how long did you, uh, work for them?
A I think it was four years.
Q Very good. Now, um, if you were, uh, selected as
a juror in this case, um, you've previously
indicated that, even if the case were to take six weeks or, perhaps, a tad longer, uh, that wouldn't, um, cause any financial hardship as near as you can figure?

A I don't think so.
Q All right. So you feel pretty comfortable about your ability to serve, uh, for that extended period?

A I think so.
Q Okay. All right. Um, I want to talk, now, if I may, about, uh, the, uh, pretrial publicity that this case has generated. From my review of the information you provided, it -- it appears that you, um, at least watch the news somewhat regularly?

A Um-hmm. Yes.
Q All right. And, um, you indicated you get your news from the newspapers, television and, uh, discussions with your neighbors?

A Right.
Q All right. Um, excuse me, of those, where would you say you get the majority of your news?

A Television.
Q From television?
A Um-hmm.

Q All right. Uh, in terms of the television coverage, how often do you watch the -- the news on television?

A Every day.
Q All right. And, um, in addition to the -- the news programs, do you watch any special news events or special news channels?

A No.
Q All right. Um, with respect to the coverage of this particular case, did you follow it closely, somewhat closely or not at all?

A Somewhat.
Q Somewhat. Uh, about three weeks ago, uh, the Court sent out a letter to prospective jurors, uh, asking them to refrain from, um, reading or listening to any of the coverage about this case. Have -- Did you get that letter?

A I think I did. Yes.
Q All right. And have you been able to honor that request?

A Not exactly. No.
Q Okay. Well, we'll talk a little bit about that. And let's just start, say, from the last three weeks or so. Uh, approximately -- well, tell us what news coverage of the -- of this case that
you recall being, uh, exposed to.
A Well, I guess, general, most of the details, you know. What all happened.

Q Okay. Well, tell us about that. Whatever you can remember hearing about the case in the last couple of weeks.

A Last couple weeks, huh? Okay. Um, oh, boy. I guess the van, the way it was covered up in -- in the -- in the salvage yard.

Q Okay.
A And the key found in the house.
Q All right.
A And I guess the nephew confessing that he helped Mr. Avery kill, if he did, um, um --

Q All right. Any -- anything else stick in your mind? Anything about blood evidence? Anything about --

A Yeah. There was some blood evidence that --
Q What do you recall hearing about that?
A That it was put in the van, somehow. It was in the van. Put it that way.

Q All right. Now, did that come from, um -- that information, did that come from, uh, television, from reading the newspaper, or your husband, or friends, or how do you think you came upon that?

A I think maybe the newspaper.
Q From the newspaper?
A Uh-huh.
Q All right. Do you read the newspaper regularly?
A Yes. Uh-huh.
Q And, um, daily?
A Yes.
Q All right. Uh, have you been reading the news coverage about this case, um, in the last three or four weeks?

A Sometimes, yes.
Q Sometimes. All right. Um, well, based on the information that you have read in the paper, you, um, answered a question, um, first, that the only person you really talk about this with, I take it, is your husband?

A Um-hmm.
Q That's a yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Um, when asked if you had any specific opinion as to Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence based on what you've seen and heard, uh, you indicated, uh, while just in general the news media about the evidence found in the home and the junk yard, and you seemed to indicate earlier
that, um, most of the evidence seems to point to Mr. Avery but that's just what you heard.

A Right.
Q All right. Is -- is that your impression still as you sit here today?

A I guess I still do feel he's guilty.
Q All right.
A From what I heard.
Q From what you heard?
A Right.
Q All right. Now, you do understand, um -- Well, let me ask you this, because there are a couple of questions that you, uh, forgot to answer here and we want to ask about those. Uh, one of the questions is, um, if you have formed any opinions as to Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence, based on information from any source, would you be able, should you be selected as a juror, to set aside those opinions and base your decision only on the evidence presented in court?

A It would be quite hard.
Q All right. And why would that be?
A Because $I$ guess $I$ feel in my gut that he's guilty.
Q Okay. Even though you understand he is presumed innocent?

A Right.
Q All right. You don't think you could accept any ext -- instruction from the Court that you should honor that presumption of innocence and decide his guilt only on the evidence presented?

A I'm not really sure, but I don't think so.
Q You don't think so?
A No.
Q All right.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I have nothing else.
THE COURT: All right. Um, Mr. Strang, are you willing to forgo your right to question this -ATTORNEY STRANG: I -- I am. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Uh, at this time, Ms. Kania, we'll have the clerk escort you from the courtroom.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: The parties have a joint
recommendation with respect to this juror?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Uh, yes. I think the answers are rather clear, and her inability to, um, uh, refrain from the latest coverage I think make her, uh, unsuited for this service. THE COURT: Does the defense concur?

ATTORNEY STRANG: The motion's joint.
THE COURT: Uh, the Court feels there's clear -- a clear basis here to excuse this juror for cause and the Court will do so.

Uh, next bring in Mr. Mueller. Uh, Mr. Mueller, please raise your right hand and the clerk will administer the oath to you.
(Juror sworn)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Uh, Mr. Mueller, you've already completed a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next step in the jury selection process which is known as voir dire. Uh, during this process, the attorneys for each of the parties will have a chance to ask you some follow-up questions to the information that you provided in your questionnaire. Before that questioning begins, uh, there are a few pieces of information $I$ wish to pass onto you.

First of all, if you are selected as a juror in this case, you should know the jury will not be sequestered. That means you will be permitted to return home at the end of the court proceedings, uh, each day and then report back the following day. Uh, because of that fact,
we're going to continue to require that the jurors not, uh, be exposed to any news media coverage concerning the case, whether it be from radio, television, newspapers, or the internet.

In addition, the jurors are not permitted to discuss the case with anyone during the trial, including, uh, other jurors or members of the jurors' families.

The proceedings today are open, but during voir dire, the Court does not allow cameras in the courtroom and you should also know that members of the media are prohibited from identifying the jurors by name in any news reports concerning this matter.

Finally, if you are selected on the jury, you should also know that the cameras, while they're permitted in the courtroom during the trial, are not permitted to show the jurors in any way that identifies them.

Uh, in the event you continue to be eligible as a juror after the questioning this morning, you'll get a telephone call later today letting you know when to report for the next step in the process.

Mr. Fallon, go ahead.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q Good morning. My name is Tom Fallon and I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, uh, Calumet County District Attorney and special prosecutor as well. Good morning and, uh, thanks for coming in, and is it pronounced Mueller or Miller?

A Mueller.
Q Mueller. Uh, Mr. Mueller, we have a -- a few, uh, additional questions for you based on some of the information you provided last week in the questionnaire and like to follow up on that if we could.

Um, first, I'd like to simply ask, um, you currently, uh, work at Riesterer and, uh, Schnell?

A Yes.
Q All right. Uh, service technician, I take it?
A Yes.
Q And how long have you been with them?
A Seven years.
Q Um, and, uh, previous place you worked at, um,
Siemers Holsteins?

A Yes.

Q Is that a -- a dairy, uh --
A Dairy farm.
Q -- operation?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q All right. What did you do for them?
A Um, maintenance.
Q Okay. And how long did you work for them?
A Four years. And I still work part-time for them.
Q You still work -- I'm sorry?
A I work part-time in summer for them.
Q Part-time? Okay. If you could just speak up just a little bit more because we're having a little trouble hearing you, okay? Thanks. Um, you've had some, uh, technical college experience?

A Yes.

Q All right. And, uh, accounting and bookkeeping?
A No.

Q Hmm?
A No.

Q No? Um, let's see. Uh, you indicated, uh, in a question, have you ever taken courses in or do you consider yourself knowledgeable in any of the
areas, you checked accounting. Was that a -- an error?

A Uh, no. With -- I do part-time farm work.
Q Okay.
A And I do run a small cash crop operation. So I do do my books --

Q Ah.
A -- in my accounting that way.
Q Okay. So just, uh, the nature of your work --
A Yes.
Q -- gets you a little bit into that field.
A Yes.
Q Keeping track of the money and all.
A Yes.
Q Okay. I gotcha. Okay. Uh, the next, uh -Well, let me ask one, uh, question related to your employment. Uh, the last question of the questionnaire asks, uh, a question about, um, whether your selection as a juror would cause any type of economic hardship or something for you, and you indicated that, uh, no, that it would not, even if the case were to last, say, six weeks or, perhaps, a little bit longer. Uh, is that still the case today?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So you -- you feel reasonably comfortable you'll have sufficient income or you have sufficient savings set aside to carry you through the -- the six-week period?

A I should, yes.
Q Okay. All right. Well, I'd like to talk a little bit about some of the pretrial publicity that this case has, um, generated, and, uh, I note, uh, from your questionnaire that, um, you have a variety of news sources?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Um, radio, television, newspapers, neighbors, and, um, you say other from conversations at taverns or other social events, I take it?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Well, when you look at all those sources, where would you say you get most of your news from?

A Mostly be from the newspaper and TV.
Q Newspaper and television? All right. And, um, you read the newspaper pretty regularly?

A Yes.
Q All right. And television news you watch --
A Pretty much every night.

Q -- every night? Do you watch the, um, five o'clock, six o'clock, ten o'clock news or --

A Mostly ten o'clock.
Q Ten o'clock? And, um -- and you do listen to the radio?

A Yes.
Q All right. And, uh, is the radio on at work wherever you're working?

A Yes.
Q All right. And what kind of station? Is there a -- is it a talk radio? A news station? Or music that's mostly --

A Pretty much music.
Q All right. Uh, so you don't listen to a news station network that much?

A No.
Q No?
A No.
Q Okay. Um, you were asked, uh -- Well, let me ask you this: Uh, about, well, maybe three weeks or so ago, uh, did you get a letter from the Court asking, uh -- letting you know that you were a possible prospective juror and, uh, to refrain from reading any of the newspaper coverage or listening to any of the television coverage of
the case?

A Yes.
Q All right. And were you able to comply with that?

A Not fully.
Q Okay. Well, what happened or was it --
A Basically being in a bar. You know, they have the news on.

Q Okay.
A See it. Um, you know, just stopping by my folks and they had a -- the paper out and you'd see something.

Q Right. Um, I realize that you would -- you know, it's pretty hard to avoid headlines and something coming over the -- the airways, but I guess the -- uh, the question probably is more aptly asked is, did you pay close attention to the story on the television or did you read any of the stories in the newspaper?

A Yes.
Q You did. All right. And, uh, what do you recall, um, uh, seeing on the television or learning about the case, say, just in the last three weeks?

A Uh, about the vial of blood.
Q Okay. And what do you recall hearing or seeing
about that?
A That it was possibly tampered with.
Q All right. Uh, what else?
A Um, that was pretty much the last of it that $I$ heard.
Q All right. Um, any details about that stick in your head?

A Not really.
Q Okay. Um, how about the time period, say, in the year before that? You know, uh, before you got the letter going back to January or December. January of '06 or December of '05, uh, November of '05. Do you remember any of the coverage when the case first broke?

A Yes.
Q All right. And, um, would you say you followed the events as reported in the news closely or not too closely?

A Uh, I followed them pretty closely.
Q You did. Um, how about, um, from, um, March, uh, of last year through the summer and into this fall? Were you still following the case closely or not closely?

A Uh, I was following it pretty close.
Q Okay. All right. Well, um, the reason I ask is that, uh, you indicated, uh, in answer to a
question, um, have you formed any personal opinions about this case based on the publicity, and you said, no. Is that your -- is that still accurate today?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And, um, the other question was, uh, have you formed any opinions whatsoever of -- of Mr. Avery's guilt or innocence based on anything you read or heard in the -- in the paper, the television, or the tavern, or anywhere else, and you indicated, no.

A Yes.
Q All right. Is -- is that still your, uh, opinion today? You don't really have an opinion on his guilt or innocence?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, do you -- The Court will, uh, instruct you that, you know, just as Mr. Avery sits here today, he's presumed innocent. He's presumed not to have done one blessed thing. And do you understand that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And the Court will ask you to accept that proposition and decide this case solely on the evidence that's presented in the courtroom.

A Yes.
Q Do you think you can do that?
A Yes.
Q All right. Is there any question or doubt in your mind that you would be able to do that?

A Little bit.
Q Okay. Well, let's talk a little bit. What raises some concern in your mind?

A Well, there's a lot of talk there was, uh -- with the police tampering, possibly.

Q Right.
A And that other stuff, um --
Q What's the other stuff?
A Uh, they had something that the cops or the police had mishandled some evidence that was found in -- you know, it's just hard to meet the -- you don't know what was all tampered with or --

Q Or not?
A Or not.
Q All right. All right. So, in other words, you really don't know what happened in the case as you sit here today?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So the fact that you don't know about any of the facts or what the evidence will be, um, do
you think that would make it easier for you to accept the proposition that Mr. Avery is innocent until he's proven guilty, or harder?

A Probably make it harder.
Q All right. Not knowing anything would make it harder?

A Yeah.

Q Why would that be?
A Because you wouldn't know -- You're making a judgment on, you know, stuff that he -- you don't know what was all --

Q I'm sorry?
A Don't know what was all messed with.
Q Okay.
A Or what was -- what was there and what was added, and so I got a feeling it would be hard for me to make a decision that way.

Q Okay. Well, as you go through, um, your experiences in life you make decisions every day based on the information that you have in front of you; right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So if, um, the attorneys here, if we, um, are presenting the evidence over the course of the -- the trial -- and especially the State,
we're the ones that have the burden of proof, not Mr. Avery, you understand that?

A Yes.
Q And we have to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and -- and if we don't, then you must find him not guilty. Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q All right. So if after, um -- do you realize that after six weeks of, uh, sitting as a juror, you will have a -- a -- a far greater bit of knowledge than you do right now?

A Yes.

Q All right. And, uh, because right now, I think you said you really don't know what happened or who did what; correct?

A Yes.
Q All right. So if we're both -- if the State is starting from zero, from ground level, um, wouldn't it seem easier for you to accept the -the possibility that Mr. Avery is -- is innocent until proven guilty?

A Yes.
Q I'm sorry?
A Yes.

Q Okay. All right. Okay. Now, you indicated that, um -- I take it you watch some television shows like, uh, Crime Scene Investigation or Bones or --

A Yes.
Q Law enforcement type shows?
A Yes.
Q And you indicated that you found them, um, very realistic?

A Yes.
Q All right. Tell us about why you think they're realistic. Very realistic.

A Only pretty much kind of just sum up how the crime scene processing and how just following evidence, um, looking at different things that can factor in a -in a case.

Q Okay. Um, do you think everything that you see on the -- on these television shows, that the, um, investigators can do on television, that those things can be done in real life?

A Yes.
Q All of them?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you think it can be done as quickly as it is done on the television shows?

A No.
Q I'm sorry?
A No.
Q No? Okay. Um, what else is, um, not as accurately portrayed in those shows as, uh -- as your understanding of what happens in the real world on crime scene investigations?

A Uh, just the time, basically, that it takes for them to, uh, I don't know, look at the evidence and all that.

Q All right. Well, you don't really believe that law enforcement has the ability to flash back to when the crime occurred and try to figure out who did what, do you?

A Uh, no.
Q Okay. So that would be a little somewhat unrealistic?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And, um, do you think that there could be mistakes made?

A As to?
Q Well, during the investigative process. I mean, they don't seem to make any mistakes on the television, do they?

A I'd like to think they don't.

Q Okay. You'd like to think who doesn't; the television or the real world?

A The real world.
Q Okay. Um, are mistakes possible in your mind?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Okay. Um, I also noted from your, uh, report that, uh, you, uh, at least know, I think, uh, Deputy Jost?

A Yes.
Q All right. And how do you know Deputy Jost?
A Um, he was one of the officers at a traffic accident I was at. And he is also related to one of my friends.

Q He's related to one of your friends? Um, how often do you, uh, speak with Officer Jost?

A Uh, maybe once a year.
Q Okay. So he's not really a close friend or an acquaintance?

A Not to me, no.
Q Okay.
A Just through --
Q You just --
A -- friends I know.
Q Okay. You just know who he is.
A Yes.

Q Okay. So you haven't had any discussions of, uh -- about law enforcement or investigations or anything like that with him?

A No.
Q Okay. Now, you said he was at some, um, accident scene that you were, uh --

A Yes.
Q -- at? Tell us about that.
A Uh, basically, it was a girl that was going to pull out into the intersection and stopped kind of halfway through it and I rear-ended her with my truck.

Q Okay. All right. And, um, he was the one that responded?

A He was the one that responded, yes.
Q Okay. And, um, how did that -- how did that go? Was that -- do you think it was all handled fairly and appropriately?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A It was --
Q Was there any complaints? Did you think anything was not handled right or that, perhaps, you weren't sure you did fairly or anything like that?

A No.

Q No. Okay. But apparently you have had some contacts, or you're at least aware of some contacts where um, um, things did not go so well with law enforcement?

A Yes.
Q All right. Well, tell us about that.
A Uh, my friend, who had a DWI probably six months ago, was, um -- had a law enforcement that was supposedly dropping off, uh -- I don't know if it was some tickets or citation or something, and once in a while there would be a police car sitting on our road. Um, he would not call my friend telling him that he was going to drop off the papers. He'd just more or less pop in or stop in randomly.

Q Okay.
A Um, but he would be sitting on the road couple of -uh, probably, say, three or four times just with the car shut off, no lights on, just sitting.

Q Okay.
A Um, and once in a while he wouldn't even stop in. He'd just be parked out there and neighbors would drive through and see a police car sitting with no lights on or nothing.

Q Just sitting -- just sitting on the side of the road?

A Yeah. Parked. Waiting.
Q So, um, did you consider that some form of harassment just because the officer was sitting on the road?

A Yeah. We felt -- or at least I felt -- because it was really -- he wasn't making any attempt to contact my friend just to say, I'm going to be here, I'll stop in at this time, or are you available at this time to receive these citations or tickets, and more or less just kind of felt like he was waiting or trying to find something that was --

Q Something else wrong?
A -- that was wrong, yeah, or --
Q Okay. Um, is it possible that the officer was monitoring traffic or -- or, perhaps, investigating some other matter in addition to dropping off these citations for your friend?

A Not on a small country road I don't think.
Q I'm sorry?
A We live on a small country road so it's not a --
Q Not very likely?
A All -- all the neighbors know pretty much everybody.
Q Okay.
A And --
Q All right. Well, given that experience, um, do
you think that, um, if there were a lot of, uh, police officers and sheriff's deputies testifying in this case, that you could evaluate their testimony the same as you would any other witness or do you think you would be much harder on them?

A I would --
Q I'm sorry?
A What do you mean by that? I'm --
Q Well, the -- the Court will -- will give you an instruction, if you're selected as a juror, as to how to evaluate the credibility, the believability, the honesty of witnesses. And the instruction the Court will give you will tell you that you should evaluate all witnesses the same way. You know, you consider how they look and how they act on the stand, and how they talk, and whether they're consistent or not consistent with a previous statement.

In other words, you would evaluate all the witnesses the same and you wouldn't hold anything against one police officer, or a -- or a scientist, or, uh, even Mr. Avery, if he were to testify, and yet you would evaluate their believability according to the same standard?

A Yes.

Q Do you think you could do that or do you think you'd be harder on the police?

A No. I believe I could do that.
Q Okay. There's no doubt in your mind about that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Um, my last question is, um, the Court will be instructing you, uh, not to read, watch, or listen to any accounts of the trial if you were selected as a juror. Uh, and, uh, the Court, uh, did note in the questionnaire here that the rule would be strictly enforced. And when asked if you would find it difficult to follow such an instruction you indicated, yes. Can -- can you tell us why you would have a hard time following that?

A No. I -- like I said, you go out. I live a sociable life, too, and if they got it on at a bar, or people are talking about it, ain't going to go out of my way to talk about it, but it will be still following or seeing what's going on.

Q Well, do you think you'd be able to say to them, hey, look, you know, I'm on this jury, I -- I -we just can't talk about this. Do you think you'd be able to tell your friends that?

A No.

Q You couldn't do that?
A No, I couldn't.
Q Um, do you think you'd be able to just turn off the television and walk out of a room?

A Uh, probably be kind of hard. I live with three other guys, so --

Q All right. So you don't -- you don't think you could abide by that Court's instruction?

A It would be hard to.
Q All right.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Um, I don't have any other questions for this witness, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang?
Mr. Strang.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q Hi. Uh, Dean Strang, Jerome Buting, Steven Avery. Um, this, uh, Deputy Jason Jost, um, do you like the guy? Not like the guy?

A Yes. I have respect for him, yes.
Q Okay. Um, how about police officers in general, just to cut right through it, do they -- do they ever lie?

A I've never really dealt with any of them.
Q Do you think they might lie on oath just like
any -- under oath just like anyone else or no?

A Could be, yes.
Q Same as any other witness? Or more likely? Less likely?

A Maybe less likely.
Q Okay. Um, I think that's all I have. Thanks. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q Um, Mr. Mueller, the, uh -- some questions were asked about, uh, news accounts. You indicate that if the Court told you not to, uh, see any news accounts of this case that you could, uh -you'd not be of -- you'd not be able to follow that direction?

A It would be hard to, yes.
Q And tell me why again?
A Well, basically, I like going -- I go out like everyone else, and, you know, if you're standing, talking and there's a news flash or something, um, you know, you got to respect other people for wanting to see it. But -- I don't know. It's -- it's going to be all around. It's going to be hard not to notice it.

Q When you talk about when you go out, what -going out where?

A Uh, I usually go out to taverns. Um, you know, I like my fire -- Friday night fish fry. And through the fire department, you know, a lot of people talk. We usually get done with a meeting or a drill we -downstairs we turn the news on, um, you know, see what local fires have happened and what else is all on.

Q Okay.

## THE COURT: Any --

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q When -- when -- when people talk or watch the -the TV on this case around you, um, they talk about whether Avery's guilty or not guilty?

A Yes.
Q What do they tend to think?
A Is -- If he's guilty or not?
Q Yeah.
A Um, majority of people think he's guilty.
Q And how about you?
A I'm undecided on it.
Q So to stay undecided, as -- as you would need to be for a few weeks, can you stay away from that kind of conversation with a court ordering you to do it?

A I guess I'd have to if the Court's ordering me to do it.

Q The Court would be ordering you.
A Yes.
Q Um, it would be just a few weeks. After the trial was over, of course, you could do whatever you wanted. But during this trial with a court order, can you stay away from that kind of stuff?

A It's going to be hard. Probably not.
Q Okay. Thanks.
THE COURT: All right. The clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Counsel, does either party have a motion?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Uh, yes, Your Honor, I -- I do. I think, um, the juror must be struck for cause. Uh, one, he indicated that he really didn't think he could abide by the Court's instruction to refrain from media coverage and discussing the matter and $I$ think we have to take him at his word, especially in light of the fact that he continued to monitor news reports even after receiving the Court's letter instructing him otherwise.

And, um, I think based on that information he has to be excused. It's just too much of a risk.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Uh, I -- I can't honestly oppose that.

THE COURT: Okay. Uh, the Court agrees. The juror will be ordered stricken for cause. We'll move on to the next juror which $I$ believe is, uh, let's see, Mr. Gray. Mr. Gray, please raise your right hand and the clerk will administer the oath to you.

## (Juror sworn)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Uh, Mr. Gray, you've already completed a written jury questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of the jury selection process which is known as voir dire.

The attorneys for each of the parties will have an opportunity to ask you some followup questions relating mostly to information that you provided on the questionnaire. Uh, before we begin that process, uh, there's a few pieces of information $I$ wish to pass on to you.

First, the jury in this case will not be
sequestered. That means the jurors will be permitted to return home at the end of each day following the conclusion of court proceedings. Uh, because of that fact, we will continue to require that the jurors not be exposed to any media accounts of the case; whether it be by television, radio, newspaper, internet, or otherwise, and the jurors will continue to be prohibited from discussing the case with anyone, including the other jurors, during the trial, or any family members.

You should know that although these court proceedings today are open to the public, Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during the jury selection process, and the media is prohibited from disclosing the names of the jurors in any media accounts.

In addition, should you be selected to serve on the jury, uh, while cameras are allowed to cover the trial, they're not permitted to, uh, show the jurors during the trial.

If you remain on the jury panel after questioning today, you'll receive a telephone call later today to let you know when to return to court. Mr. Fallon.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q Good morning, sir.
A Morning.
Q My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm a prosecutor in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, uh, the Calumet County District Attorney and special prosecutor.

Uh, good morning and thanks for returning, helping us out. Um, the attorneys have a few questions for you to follow up on some of the information you provided last week in your questionnaire, and, uh, we appreciate your help.

I see, Mr. Gray, that you're currently retired from, uh, GTE?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And, uh, you were there 35 years?
A That's correct.
Q What -- what position or what did you do for GTE?
A A number of positions. Um, primarily in the, uh, central office equipment, large systems. Um, I installed, maintained, modified, changed.

Q Okay. All right. During your, uh, time there -Excuse me. During your time there, did you have
any supervisory responsibilities --

A Yes.

Q -- or run any departments or anything?
A Yes.

Q Tell us about that.

A Uh, I was first level, um, um, supervisor at, uh, Slinger -- in the Slinger area for awhile, uh, and then transferred back up to Two Rivers from Slinger.

Q Okay. And how many people did you supervise or oversee?

A I believe 11.
Q Okay. And how long did -- did you do the supervisory -- or how long were you a supervisor?

A Couple years.
Q Couple years?
A Uh-huh.
Q Did you enjoy it?
A Yes.

Q You did. Okay. Uh, I also see that, uh, you, um, served in the, uh, Air Force?

A Yes.

Q Uh, and what did you do in the Air Force?
A Communications.
Q And what did that involve? What type of communication work were you involved in?

A Uh, communications at, uh, radar sites.
Q Okay.
A Uh, that would be tying a -- a -- radar units together for communications to talk to each other and that sort of thing.

Q Connecting a system, in other words, so that everybody could talk?

A Yes.
Q I see. And you did that for, uh, four years did
I see?
A I served four years, yes.
Q Four years? All right. And what rank did you achieve before retiring from that?

A $\quad \mathrm{E}-4$.
Q And -- what -- what is that?
A Well, that would be Airman First.
Q Airman First. Okay. Did you enjoy your, um, time in the Air Force?

A Yes.
Q Yeah?
A Yeah. It was good.
Q Well, you seemed to hesitate a little. I just
thought I'd ask. You know, sometimes --
A Good and bad.
Q Yeah, I would imagine. All right. Um, okay. I,
um, see that, uh, you do, uh -- you like to travel in your spare time. Um, what kind of traveling do you like to do? Is that around here? This country? Or do you travel overseas much? Or --

A No, not overseas. Um, my family lives in Texas. We go there a lot.

Q Okay.
A Um, and we -- we visit national parks and that sort of thing.

Q I see. Okay. All right. Well, one of the things of concern to, um, all of us here that we'd like to talk to you about is, um, the news coverage which has attended this trial, or the prospect of this trial, and ask you some questions about that.

And it appears that you obtain your news from a variety of sources; radio, newspaper, television, and magazines. And let me first ask, where would you say you get the -- the vast majority of your news? Or is it evenly split?

A The vast majority would be newspaper.
Q From the newspaper.
A Yeah.

Q All right.

A Various newspapers.
Q Okay. What papers, uh, do you read?
A Uh, the Milwaukee Sentinel, the Green Bay Press, and the, uh, Manitowoc Herald Times.

Q All right. And do you read, uh, them, uh, daily or regularly?

A Pretty much. Not all three but, um, I -- I read the paper almost every day.

Q Okay. So you're reading one -- at least one of those papers every day?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And, uh, how about, uh, television news?
A Very little.
Q Very little. All right. Um, and, uh, magazines. What kind of magazines do you regularly read?

A National Geographic, that sort of thing.
Q All right. Uh, any news magazines like U.S. World Report, or Forbes, or anything like that?

A Many years ago but not anymore.
Q Okay. All right. Okay. I see from your questionnaire that once you received your notification from the Court you made a conscious effort to avoid any news, um, about the case; is that correct?

A That's very true.
Q All right. And, uh, as you sit here today you can tell us that in the last three weeks you've managed to pretty much avoid the news coverage?

A It's impossible to avoid all that --
Q Sure.
A -- but, yes.
Q Okay.
A Yeah.
Q You haven't read any detailed articles or --
A No.
Q -- anything? All right.
A No. I had my wife sensor the paper for me.
Q All right. And, um, any -- did you see anything on television or hear anything on the radio?

A No. On television, not at all.
Q Okay.
A Um, the radio, just short blurbs.
Q Sure. Um, and as I see your, um, questionnaire, you indicate you haven't formed any opinions at all one way or another about this particular case?

A No.
Q So as you sit here today you don't have any opinion at all as to whether Mr. Avery is guilty
or innocent of what he's been charged with?

A No. That's what the trial is for.
Q Okay. So you have no problem at this time presuming him innocent until or unless he's proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

A No.
Q Okay. And you think you can follow that and accept that principle, uh, as this trial would begin if you were selected as a juror?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q Okay. All right. I just have one, uh, last question: You did indicate that you did have some concern about possibly serving as a jury -uh, as a juror. I understand your mother is, uh, ill down in San Antonio?

A That's correct.
Q All right. Um, what -- how is she doing lately?
A I talked to my sister Tuesday and she's a little better. Her attitude and, uh, will to survive is -is much improved.

Q She's on the uptake, more or less, at least for the time being?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Um, is she at home or is she hospitalized?
A Uh, she's -- hospital, therapy and nursing home for
the last four, five months.

Q Okay. But she seems to be relatively stable at the current time?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A Her, um -- she had an operation on her hip and it --
Q Sure.
A -- got infected and that sort of thing, and, uh, the last time $I$ talked to my sister, then she said the wound was, quote, healing. Finally.

Q All right. So things seem to be getting better.
A Better, yes.
Q Okay. All right. Um, thanks. That's all I have.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'll pass the juror. THE COURT: Mr. Strang?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you, Your Honor.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:

Q Um, good morning.
A Morning.
Q Uh, Dean -- Dean Strang, Jerome Buting, Steven Avery. Um, Mr. Buting and I, uh, are defending Mr. Avery. Um, and I -- I thought I would, um, start by going back, um, just a little bit with
you. Um, you mentioned that you had been parish president, or maybe you still are, um, parish president.

A Yeah, that was '70's and '80's.
Q Okay.
A A long time ago.
Q And how long did you do that? You said two terms, but $I$ don't know how long the terms were.

A Each was a year.
Q Each was one year?
A $\quad \mathrm{Um}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q Okay. And then sat on the parish school board as well?

A For several years.
Q Uh, again, in the '70's and '80's?
A Yes.
Q When your -- when your boys were growing up?
A That's correct.
Q Are you still active in the parish?
A Um, not as much as I used to be but, yeah, I still do some volunteer work.

Q And as -- as we come a little bit closer in time, now, um, I'm -- I'm just sort of curious, um, how much you and your wife followed, um, the disappearance of Teresa Halbach, and then, um,
the discoveries, um, you know, on what people have been calling the Avery property?

A Yes.

Q Uh, and then the arrest of Steven Avery?
A Yes.
Q Did you follow that pretty closely, initially?
A In the early days, yes.
Q Okay. And, um, what do you mean by "the early days", Mr. Gray?

A In the first, uh, month, two months. That -- that time period through there. Yeah.

Q Okay. And, uh, followed it pretty closely how?
A With the -- the news, the paper, that sort of thing, but I -- I wouldn't say I followed it closely. I knew it was going on, but, uh, I had other things too.

Q Sure. Okay. And -- and even back then, um, I guess where I'm going is were you -- were you picking up most of what you did through the newspaper --

A Yes.
Q -- as opposed to watching TV in the middle of the day or something?

A Yes. I never watch television in the day.
Q Okay. Um, do you -- do you re -- remember a
young man named Brendan Dassey?
A The name, yes, um-hmm.
Q And what do you know about, um, young Brendan Dassey?

A Personally, nothing.
Q Well, I mean, know from the paper or --
A Oh. That he was -- he's being -- he's implicated.
Q Okay. And any -- any understanding of how or why he's implicated or --

A Yes. Uh, that was -- that came out very early.
Q Tell -- tell me a little bit about that. What -what you've learned from the paper or TV or wherever.

A That he was, uh -- was accused, arrested, uh, questioned, that sort of thing. And, uh, that, uh, he gave some sort of confession.

Q Um-hmm. Did you hear that he later took that confession back or recanted?

A Yes, I did.
Q Um, do you remember how you heard about the confession and then the recantation?

A Probably in the paper.
Q What do you suppose all of that has to do with Steven Avery?

A I'm not sure.

Q Um, how about your wife? Have you -- you and your wife discussed the case back in the early days?

A No. The -- back then, yeah, we did to some extent. Yes. Um-hmm.

Q Did -- does she have any opinion about whether Mr. Avery did it, or didn't do it, or --

A She said she definitely wouldn't want to be on the jury.

Q Okay. What did -- what did that mean?
A That she couldn't, uh, get the -- the details out of her mind.

Q Oh. Okay.
A Um-hmm.
Q Uh, just that they -- all of the allegations and --

A Yes.
Q -- the ugliness of it --
A Yes.
Q -- all? How about you? I mean, these are ugly allegations. Um, uh, how -- how has that affected you?

A I can, um, erase things in my mind so that they -you know, if it -- they're not important, I pay no attention to them.

Q And, I guess, are these important? Is it important when a young woman goes missing and then apparently --

A I'm not talking about the -- the act. I'm talking about since $I$ was in the, uh, jury pool.

Q Okay. And -- but I am talking about the --
A Is there a picture still in my mind?
Q Yes.

A Yes. Yes.
Q What -- what's the picture?
A That, uh, a young woman shouldn't be violated.
Q Um, violated meaning raped, or killed, or --
A Any --
Q -- her body mutilated or --
A Any of the above.
Q Any of that? Okay. Um, and do you react to that in specific as a young woman shouldn't be or that shouldn't happen to anyone?

A To a human being.
Q A human being? Um, do you -- do you have grandkids?

A Yes.
Q And is there a level at which you're reacting to this as a grandfather with grandkids who may be about that age or in their $20^{\prime} s ?$

A No. No.
Q Okay. Um, what do you know about Mr. Avery's background before that? Specifically, um --

A I'd --
Q (Unintelligible) -- or conviction.
A I -- I remember, uh, the first time Mr. Avery was -was convicted, but, uh, had long since not thought anything at all about it.

Q You actually remember the first trial involving Mr. Avery?

A I remembered the first, uh, incidents and, uh, conviction.

Q Okay.
A As far as the trial details, no.
Q Right. But the -- but the conviction, and then do you -- do --

A That it occurred.
Q -- do you remember what eventually happened with that conviction --

A Yes, I do.
Q -- many years later?
A Yes, I do.
Q What?
A It was thrown out. Um --
Q And do you have -- do you -- do you know why?

I'm just --
A Yes. Because of the DNA.
Q Okay. And what -- what was your reaction to that when that conviction gets thrown out because of the DNA?

A Honestly, I thought the Sheriff's Department bungled the job.

Q Um, and that the State Crime Lab got it right with the -- the DNA was -- Gregory Allen was the guy who committed the rape and the assault, not Steven Avery?

A That's my understanding, yes.
Q And do -- um, did -- did you then hear about the lawsuit?

A Yes.
Q What was your reaction to that?
A I thought he had a right to it.
Q Um, now, you know, all of us, obviously, bring the little bits and pieces of what we know and think with us, and when you assemble all of that together and then make --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- you know, whatever efforts --
A Um-hmm.
Q -- you can to take on the role of a juror --

A Yes.
Q -- um, are you quite comfortable that if you served here, uh, you could view Mr. Avery as starting with a -- a clean slate all together?

A Yes. Yes.
Q Uh, why?
A Because $I$ feel that everybody has the right to a fair hearing.

Q Um-hmm. Wouldn't -- mustn't he have done something here if he's in the courtroom sitting in that chair?

A My position is accused doesn't mean guilty.
Q Not even maybe guilty or probably guilty?
A No. Maybe, but not until after the trial.
Q Okay. And, um, who would you expect to prove him guilty or innocent here?

A Mr. Kratz.
Q Or the people at this table at least?
(No verbal response)
Q Okay. Uh, beyond a reasonable doubt? Could you hold them to that standard?

A Yes.
Q If the Judge instructed that was the standard?
A Correct.
Q Do you expect Mr. Buting and I to prove him
innocent?

A No. Just to refute their evidence.
Q Right. And -- and I expect we probably will, um, attack or -- or try to show another side to some of their evidence. Some of their evidence we probably won't have any -- any dispute with. But whatever we do, can you bear in mind and honor the rule that we don't have to do anything? We don't have to prove a blessed thing to you?

A Yeah.
Q Um, that is, Mr. Avery or any -- you know, his lawyers acting for him --

A Yeah.
Q -- do not have to prove his innocence?
A Yes.
Q Is that something you can accept?
A Yes.
Q So the only burden of proof lies with the
State --
A Yes.
Q -- and its lawyers, um, to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

A Yes.
Q Now, uh, as I've said, I'm not -- we're not going to sit here like bumps on a log. That's just not
what we do. Um, and if we cross-examine some witnesses, you would listen to that evidence just as you would to the direct testimony?

A Yes.

Q Or the direct examination of those witnesses?
A Yes.
Q Um, one of the decisions we would have to make at some point would be whether to call witnesses of our own in what's called the defense case --

A $\quad \mathrm{Um}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q -- whether to put on a defense case at all.
A Yeah.

Q Um, if we put on a defense case, will you give our witnesses, Mr. Avery's witnesses, the same fair consideration you would give the State's witnesses?

A Yeah. Yes.

Q Um, the toughest call in that respect is whether to call Mr. Avery as a witness or not. Um, if -if he's innocent, would you expect him to testify?

A Not if he doesn't want to.
Q Why not?
A Because that's his right.
Q I understand. And that's -- you know, as a
matter of the American Constitution and, uh, our -- our core values here, that's -- you know, that's the correct answer everyone would say.

But, um, it's tougher to follow that sometimes in real life. Why do you think you could follow the Judge's instruction that Mr. Avery has a right not to testify and you can't consider that as any evidence at all?

A That's what $I$ believe.
Q That is -- that is what you believe.
A What I believe. That's it.
Q That's why you spent your time in the Air Force?
A Well, no. It's just what I believe.
Q Yeah. Okay. Um, how about if he does testify? If he were to testify, um, I would think it might be natural for a juror to say, well, of course he denies doing it. You know, he's not going to get up and admit it. He's trying to save himself. Um, would you come at it with that attitude?

A I don't know if you'd call it an attitude, but it's true, he -- he doesn't want to -- he doesn't plead guilty, he doesn't want to be guilty, so, yeah.

Q Right. Right. But, um --
A Uh, neither. I wouldn't take it either way.
Q Okay. And -- and, really, in the end, I think
that the -- the law that the Court probably would give you is if he testified --

A Um-hmm.

Q -- um, he would be considered like any other witness?

A I suppose.
Q You would weigh his testimony, listen carefully, and decide whether you believe it or not believe it --

A Yeah.
Q -- just like you would anybody else --
A Yes.
Q -- testifying?
A Yes.
Q Could you do that?
A Yeah.
Q Um, there are other witnesses, um, who present similar problems for some people in evaluating, but this is sort of the flip side from -- from -at least for many people. Um, law enforcement officers will testify here. Some of them will be in uniform.

A $\quad \mathrm{Um}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q Um, and, um, when you hear a law enforcement officer testify, are -- are you willing and able
to look at him or her as just another human being and just another witness?

A Yeah, I think so. Um-hmm. He's sworn an oath. Q Who's like any witness who's taken an oath --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- to tell the truth. But what I'm getting at is do you think there's anything about, um, going into the profession of law enforcement that makes you more likely or less likely than someone in any other calling in life to tell the truth under oath?

A No, I don't think so.
Q Um, you -- you would be able to listen to a law enforcement officer's testimony and weigh it just as you would Mr. Avery's or, you know, um, any other citizen or witness who might be called?

A Yeah, I think so. Um-hmm.
Q Um, you sound just a little bit tentative about that. Maybe you could -- maybe you could talk --

A No. A -- a witness is a witness. They're sworn. Uh, they're -- they're not supposed to lie.

Q Right.
A So I would accept what they say.
Q But -- and -- and that's what we all want to do. The -- the messy reality of life in trials is
that sometimes, um, in fact, not infrequently, one witness is saying black and another witness is saying white --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- on some point that matters.
A Um-hmm.

Q So they both can't be correct.
A Yeah.
Q Um, and the issue is whether you come into this with some bias for or against --

A No.
Q -- a witness just because of the clothes they're wearing or the job they have?

A No. No.
Q In the end, you may have to sort out conflicting testimony?

A Correct.

Q And can you consider all witnesses evenly and equally from the starting point in doing that?

A Yeah.
Q Did your, um, telephone installation, or, you know, I guess it was larger systems you were working with, did the installation and maintenance of those systems require of you, um, better than an average grasp of electrical
engineering or --

A Yes.

Q -- at least of electronics?
A Yes.

Q Did you acquire that in the Air Force or at least get training in that?

A Started the air, but, uh, continued going to school afterward. But, uh, through the company and that -that sort of thing.

Q Okay. Um, did that, um, strike a cord with you, um, in -- in terms of an interest in science at
all or --
A Uh, very much. Yeah.
Q Yeah.
A In electronics, um-hmm.
Q In electronics in --
A Yes.

Q -- specific?
A Um-hmm.
Q Okay. Um, do you -- and I'm -- I'm curious what you bring of that interest or that bent, um, to the courtroom in looking at or expecting scientific evidence?

A Easy. It's, um, logic. Math, electronics is pure logic.

Q Okay. And would you extend that to other, um, physical sciences? Like the -- like biological science?

A Um, yeah, somewhat. Uh, no. No. Uh, electronics is math and math is straight. Yeah. It's pure logic. No, um, biological -- you mean, human, animal. Yeah. No, there are too many variations there.

Q Um, variations in terms of how the specimens are collected, or preserved, or tested?

A Oh, specimens. Oh. No. That -- that I -- I -- I don't know. I have no idea.

Q Okay. Um, but, again, what I'm -- what I'm interested in is if somebody comes in and they've got, let's say, expertise in ballistics --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- do you understand what I mean by --
A Yes.
Q -- ballistics? Um, looking under a microscope at, uh, bullets, or fragments of bullets, or cartridge casings --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- um, sometimes the boring of, uh, short or long barrels on firearms. Um, so they come in with this specialized expertise.

A Right.

Q Um, are you likely to give them much more credit simply because of that expertise?

A Yes.
Q Uh, tell me a little bit about why?
A As an expert, they -- theoretically, they know what they're doing. It's a science. It's what they do.

Q Um-hmm.
A So -- so, yeah, I respect -- I respect that.
Q Okay. And -- and are you willing and able to probe on whether they've done good science in a particular case?

A If the subject comes up, sure.
Q Sure. Okay. So even if the principles are sound --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- you'd be willing to look at, well, was the human part of -- of the process also sound?

A The human part of the evidence gathering? Is that what you're saying?

Q Evidence gathering, evidence maintaining, evidence testing, that kind of thing?

A I would want to make sure that there was a -- uh, an unbroken train.

Q Look at the whole -- the whole series of events --

A Um-hmm.

Q -- that lead to the scientific testimony?
A Yeah.
Q Okay. While we're on that, um, in more recent weeks, let's say in the last, oh, eight weeks, roughly, seven, eight weeks, um, have you heard anything about a blood vial that --

A I heard --
Q -- referred to this case?
A I've heard about a blood vial, but $I$ don't know what they were talking about.

Q What the status is or what role, if any, it plays?

A That's right.
Q Um, how did you hear about that?
A Before I could get out of the room when the television was on.

Q Okay. All right. No. That -- Yeah. No.
I'm -- I hope that didn't sound accusatory --
A No.
Q -- you know. Um, do you remember about when? Was it before or after Christmas?

A I think it was after Christmas.
Q Okay. Did that cause you to form any opinion at all about the case?

A I wondered what they were talking about, but that's all.

Q So more wondering than having an opinion?
A Beg your pardon?
Q More wondering than actually having an opinion?
A Yeah.
Q Um, last -- last area. Um, do you want to serve on the jury in this case?

A Want to? No. Will? Yes.
Q Okay. Um, will, because it's a -- a civic duty?
A Yeah.
Q And why not want to?
A I would just as soon not. I would prefer not to. I can't tell you why. I just would prefer not to. Q Okay. And, um, I'm just -- I'm going to explore that just a little bit, and if it gets uncomfortable, tell me. All right?

THE COURT: I'm -- I'm sorry, Mr. Strang. Time's up.

JUROR GRAY: Beg your pardon?
THE COURT: At this time, we'll have the clerk escort you from the courtroom.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Is there $a$, um, motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: There's no motion from the State, thank you.

ATTORNEY STRANG: No motion.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gray is accepted. Um, I think before we take our break, the -- I know the next juror -- I'm not sure of the reason why, but the next juror on the list was scheduled to come in this afternoon. Perhaps we can take Mr. Wardman, who might be a shorter questioning than the -- the rest of them, and I know he waited yesterday, so I'd like to get him in today.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I -- I will object, um, Your Honor, to the truncation of my voir dire of the preceding witness. Although I -- I did not have a motion for cause, um, I had two, or three, or four, or five minutes left of, um, important voir dire of that juror about his reservations, if any, about undertaking the responsibility of deliberations.

THE COURT: All right. Your objection's noted. Uh, Mr. Wardman, please raise your right hand and the clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Uh, Mr. Wardman, you've
already filled out a, uh, juror -- written juror questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next step of the proceedings, which is known as voir dire. The attorneys will each have a chance to ask you some questions, which, for the most part, will follow up on information that you provided in your questionnaire.

Before we get to those questions, um, I want to make sure you understand that the jurors selected in this case will not be sequestered. That means the jurors will be -- be permitted to return home each day at the end of court proceedings for that day. And because of that, the jurors will continue to be subject to the rule that, uh, they cannot have any media exposure to this case either in radio, television, newspaper, the internet.

Uh, and jurors will not be permitted to discuss the case with anyone, including family members or other jurors until it's time to deliberate.

The proceedings in this case are open, but during voir dire, the Court does not allow cameras in the courtroom, and, uh, members of the media are prohibited from using your name in any
court reports about -- or any news media reports about this case.

If you are selected to serve as a juror, uh, you should know that, uh, while cameras may be in the courtroom for the trial, they're not permitted to show the jurors.

Uh, in the event you remain on the jury panel after today's proceedings, you'll get another call, probably sometime later today, telling you when to report back.

Mr. Fallon, you may begin.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q Good morning, Mr. Wardman.
A Morning.
Q My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr . Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney, and lead special prosecutor in this matter.

Um, thanks for coming in this morning. We had a few questions we wanted to, uh, follow up with, uh, based on the information you provided last week. Sorry we didn't get to you
yesterday. I know you were here.
Um, I have a couple of, uh, preliminary questions, then $I$ just wanted to talk about one area of concern, uh, to all of us here.

Um, my first question is, if you can help me out because I'm not from the area, um, you indicated you're not currently employed and your principle occupation used the designation $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}$ ?

A Yeah. Eck Foundry.
Q Oh, Eck Foundry.
A Yeah.
Q Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. What kind of, um, work did you do at the foundry?

A I was a lead man on the -- and worked on the bench in the clean air room.

Q Lead man?
A Lead man.
Q Okay. Can you tell me a little bit about -- I'm not quite familiar with that. What -- what does that person do?

A It was my job to see that the castings were cleaned right and shipped out.

Q Okay. Um, did that involve any supervisory responsibilities?

A Yes, some.
Q Okay. Tell us about that.
A I had to watch the guys and -- and train them and everything --

Q I see.
A -- so they knew what they were doing.
Q Okay. And how long were you a supervisor of sorts?

A Um, I believe it was 21 years.
Q Twenty-one years. Okay. And how long did you work at the, uh, factory?

A Twenty-eight.
Q Twenty-eight. So 21 out of the 28 years you were in some responsibility as a supervisor for others?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Okay. Um, you indicated in terms of, uh, volunteer work two things of interest to us. Uh, Union 301? That's associated with the -- the foundries work --

A Yes.
Q -- that you did?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And what, uh, specific, uh --
A Oh, I -- I don't do -- dealing with the, uh, union no
more.
Q Okay.
A I didn't know if you meant now or --
Q Well --
A -- if ever.
Q Well, thanks for clearing that -- I was going to, uh, get to that. But you're no longer in the, uh --

A Union, no.
Q Okay. No -- Okay. So you don't even do any volunteer work or currently --

A I do volunteer work but not for the union.
Q Not for the union. Okay. Very good. Um, how long were you in the union?

A Twenty-eight years.
Q All right. So even as -- even though you had some supervisory responsibilities, you were still, uh, a member of the union at the foundry?

A Yes.
Q I see. Okay. Did you have any, uh, special responsibilities as a member of the union like maybe being a steward or anything --

A Yes.
Q -- like that?
A I was a steward.

Q You were. Okay. And how long were you a union steward?

A Three years.
Q Okay. Uh, was that toward the end of your time before retirement or was that earlier on in your career?

A Earlier on.
Q Okay. Did you enjoy that union work?
A Yes.
Q You did. Okay. Were you -- were, uh, you involved in representing any of your colleagues in any, uh, labor disputes or, um, management labor issues of any kind?

A One.
Q Just one. All right. And how was that process?
A Uh, he got some, uh, disciplinary action.
Q Okay. Um, do you think it was overall on balance appropriately handled?

A Yes, it was appropriately handled.
Q Okay. Um, in your, um, work at the foundry, um, were you responsible for drawing up any security guidelines or, uh, safety guidelines for work at the foundry of the people you were supervising?

A Uh, well, we had some insurance guys there that one time, and we did -- yeah, a little bit.

Q A little bit. But it wasn't a big part of what you were doing?

A No.
Q Okay. Um, in terms of problem-solving and -- and dealing with, um, uh -- or -- or formulating opinions, or speaking with people, trying to understand a point of view, would you consider yourself a -- a big picture person or more of a detail-oriented guy?

A Detail, I think.
Q Okay. Um -- Okay. Tell me about the volunteer work you do at the Sheriff's Department.

A Uh, we go on -- uh, out on patrol and we check, uh, houses and that, if people have went on vacation, and abandoned cars, and just keep our eyes open.

Q And how often do you do that?
A Uh, once a week.
Q Now, you're not a, um, licensed law enforcement officer --

A No.
Q -- correct? All right. And you're not carrying a weapon when you're assisting?

A No.
Q Okay. Um, but you do ride in the squad car with other deputies?

A No.
Q Oh, you don't. Okay. Well, tell us how you work then?

A It's both -- uh, both of us are volunteers and we -we get four hour shifts.

Q Oh. So there's just you and one other guy who help out?

A Correct. There's always two.
Q Okay. How do you get your assignments? Or how do you know what to do?

A Uh, we have a, um -- I forget, now, what they -- how they say it, but it's -- it's a book, and it tells us which, uh -- where to go.

Q Okay. And these are people who may be out of town and have apparently asked the Sheriff's

Department to keep an eye on things to make sure that no one breaks in?

A Correct.
Q I see. Okay. So do you use a -- a county vehicle or do you use your own car?

A County.
Q Okay. How long have you been doing that, sir?
A Uh, since November last year.
Q Okay. And, um, when did you retire, by the way, from the foundry?

A Uh, '99. I crushed three vertebras in my back. I'm on disability.

Q Okay. I'll come back to the volunteer work in a minute. But $I$ just want to make sure, uh, because of your disability and your back injury, um, would serving on a juror -- on a jury, and, you know, it might require sitting for an hour and a half or two hours at a time, um, before you get a break, would that cause any problems for you in be -- as being a juror or do you think --

A No. If I get too much pain or something I just take a pill.

Q Okay. And, um, does that affect your ability to think and remember things if you have to take any pain medication?

A No.
Q Okay. So it's pretty well under control then?
A Yes.
Q Okay. All right. Um, in terms of the, um -- the volunteer work, then, for the Sheriff's

Department, during, uh -- I take it you're still doing that today?

A Yes.
Q And once a week for four hours?
A Yes.

Q Okay. Um, while engaging in that work, have you had an opportunity to, uh, meet or become familiar with various members of the, uh, Sheriff's Department?

A Not -- not right now, no.
Q Okay.
A Because I just started.
Q Oh, just started. So --
A Well, since November.
Q Was that November of ' 06 or November --
A Six.
Q Oh. So this is just for the last three months?
A Yes.
Q I see. Okay. All right. Um, I believe you said in your questionnaire you do have, um, one son that is a member of the Sheriff's Department?

A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Now, um, how long has he been a member of the Sheriff's Department?

A Oh, five -- five years I think.
Q All right. And, uh, do you ever have occasion to talk shop with your son about law enforcement work in general?

A Not much, no. He won't tell me much.
Q All right. Um, very good. Well, let me ask, uh,
because this is a -- a matter of interest to all of us here, um, if you were selected as a juror in this case, and since your son is a member of the Manitowoc Sheriff's Department, and since there will be a pretty fair number of police officers, and sheriff's deputies, and state patrol officers, and other law enforcement people testifying, do you think you could evaluate their testimony the same as you would any other witness?

A Yes, I believe I could.
Q Okay. In other words, you don't think you'd be, you know, more favorably disposed to believing them than you would over any other witness?

A No.
Q Okay. And you realize they're human just like anybody else? They can make a mistake? And they might even lie as much as the next guy?

A Yes.
Q All right. So as a juror, your job is to listen and evaluate their testimony the same as you would any other witness?

A Yes.
Q All right. And you think you could do that?
A Yes.

Q You feel pretty confident about that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Um, um, just one last couple of questions. Uh, have you ever had any other law enforcement experience other than just the past three months of -- of helping out?

A No.
Q All right. Uh, any law enforcement training at all?

A No. None.
Q Okay. And -- well, do you think that you could accept, uh, an instruction from the Judge that if you were selected as a juror in this case, you could presume that Mr . Avery is innocent unless and until the State can prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

A Yes.
Q All right. You accept that basic proposition in our law?

A Yes, I do.
Q All right. And you feel comfortable that you can abide by that?

A Yes.
Q All right. And, um, I take it from your questionnaire that you don't have any opinions of

Mr. Avery's, um, guilt or innocence at -- at all at this time?

A No.
Q All right. Um, so you feel that you can give both the State and Mr. Avery a fair shake in this trial?

A Yes, I believe I can.
Q And that you would decide his guilt or innocence only on what the evidence is that is presented during the course of the trial?

A Yes.
Q All right. Is there any concern in your mind that if, you know, you thought and you listened to all the evidence, you say, geez, I just don't think the State, uh, convinced me. Do you think you could, uh, be comfortable rendering a verdict of not guilty?

A Yes.
Q All right. And do you think that would cause any concern or any problems with, perhaps, talking to your son who's a law enforcement officer? Do you think that might hinder your relationship at all?

A No.
Q All right.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I'll pass the juror.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q Good morning.
A Good morning.
Q My name's Jerome Buting, and this is Attorney Dean Strang, and we represent Steven Avery. Okay? I'd like to, uh, pick up on some things that Mr., uh -- Mr. Fallon spoke about, but also a few other things. But -- but let me first ask, your volunteer work that you do with the Sheriff, um, can you tell me why you decided to do that?

A I wanted something to do.
Q Okay. But, you know, there's lot of -- lots of things you can volunteer for. Why that particular --

A I kind of wanted to see what they -- what they did.
Q Okay. But why? I mean --
A I was curious.
Q Do you have a particular interest in law enforcement though?

A No.
Q I mean, you could volunteer for, you know, Habitat for Humanity or the --

A I don't have to do that much walking.
Q Okay. Um, your -- was it your son's involvement with the Department maybe that piqued your interest a little bit?

A Yeah, that was a little bit, too.
Q Had you ever wanted to be in law enforcement?
Thought, you know, be kind of interesting to do?
A No, not really.
Q How did the opportunity arise for you to do this?
A I heard that you could, uh, volunteer.
Q Um, and so who did you meet and what did you do?
A Uh, Peggy Holmes. I met her, and talked to her, and she signed me up. They did a background check on me and I got in.

Q Okay. And you -- you actually have a squad car that you drive around?

A Yeah. It says "volunteer" on the side.
Q Okay. Um, and you work with another gentleman?
A Yes.
Q Or woman?
A Sometimes, yes.
Q And your son is -- what is his rank or what --
A He's a sergeant.
Q He's a sergeant?
A Yes.

Q Same last name?
A Yes.

Q Does he -- has he had any involvement in the Steven Avery case?

A Yeah. He's standing outside the door.
Q Okay. So, um -- so he's had du -- duties here
in -- in the courthouse; right?
A Yes.
Q Um, did you ever talk to him about this at all?
A No.

Q Ever talk to him about the Avery case?
A No. But he had to take training or something for some kind of gizmo they've used. Other than that, no.

Q Stun belt?
A Yeah.
Q Okay. So he talked to you about the training he had to do for that?

A Yeah. He mentioned it. That was about it. Because I asked him why he had to go to school.

Q Do you think that, um -- do you think the police officers would come into court and lie?

A Yeah.
Q Under oath?
A Yeah.

Q Why?
A Because they can get away with it.
Q How do they get away with it?
A Some judges believe them.
Q Okay. Um, and juries as well?
A Yeah.
Q Do you think that they have any more inclination or likelihood of lying than -- than anoth -- any other witness?

A No.
Q Would you think that they feel like they can get away with it more easily than, say, another -any other witness?

A It depends on the situation.
Q Do you think sometimes they're -- they're good at lying under oath?

A Yeah.
Q Better than the average person?
A No.
Q So why do you think they're good?
A They get away with it.
Q Have you ever heard of that happening?
A Yeah.
Q When or where?
A When I went to court.

Q Okay. And you went to court on -- on a OWI case?

A Yeah.
Q Can you tell me a little bit about what happened there?

A Yeah. They arrested me. I had one beer. I wasn't drunk. But the reason $I$ got into it with them is $I$ always keep three bucks over my sun adviser and they took it. And I knew if I brought it up in court that they would, you know, laugh it off and -- and think I was pulling something. But $I$ never said, boo. The officer brought it up that I said I rob -- uh, that he robbed me.

Q Okay.
A And the judge believed him.
Q Okay. So you were pulled over. Was this a first offense OWI?

A Yes.
Q How long ago was this?
A Ten years.
Q And was this the Sheriff's Department?
A No. Police. I don't even think he's an officer anymore.

Q Okay. Do you know what department?
A No.
Q Manit --

A Just city.
Q Manitowoc though?
A Yeah.
Q Okay. And you're saying that when you were arrested, you had -- this -- this officer took three dollars cash that you had in --

A Yeah.
Q -- your car? Um, and did you bring that up in court?

A No.
Q But he brought it up and said that you claimed he -- that he stole something from you?

A That he took the money, yeah.
Q Okay. And then did you respond to that in court and say, no, it's -- I mean, he did, yes, officer -- yes --

A I didn't say nothing because it ain't going to do me no good.

Q So you actually sat there and saw him lie under oath?

A Yes.
Q Right in front of your face?
A Yes.
Q And the judge believed him?
A Yeah.

Q In your opinion anyway. Um, how did that make you feel?

A Mad, but there ain't nothing I can do about it.
Q You think it happens to others, too?
A I don't know. That I couldn't tell you.
Q Do you, uh -- do you think it's possible that in this case, the Steven Avery case, that officers would come in -- into court and lie?

A I don't know. I'd have to hear them.
Q Do you think officers could do -- or deputies, or -- or any law enforcement officer, could do more than just lie under oath, but maybe even cross the line and falsify a report?

A Yeah.
Q What about crossing the line and actually planting evidence or altering evidence in some way?

A Depends if he didn't like him.
Q Depends what?
ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm sorry?
THE WITNESS: Depends if he didn't like him.

Q (By Attorney Buting) Meaning the defendant?
A Yeah.
Q So if an officer just really didn't like a
particular defendant, you could see situations where they might actually go to the -- to the length of planting evidence or tampering it with evidence in a case?

A Maybe.
Q Have you ever heard of that happening?
A No.
Q Do you have any reason to believe that people in the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department would be capable of doing such a thing?

A I wouldn't think so.
Q Do you have any reason to believe that -- that they could not? I mean, in other words, because your son is there, and you're volunteering there, do you think that it's just not possible that anybody in that Department would do such a thing?

A It's possible.
Q What if you came to the conclusion that that happened in this case, um, that somebody in the very department that you volunteer for would do such a thing such as tampering with evidence or planting evidence? How would that make you feel?

A Mad.
Q Mad?
A Yes.

Q Would that affect your -- your verdict?
A Yes.
Q How?
A I don't know. I -- I would plead not guilty for him.
Q Do you think that there would be any repercussions afterwards for you?

A No, I don't think so.
Q Do you think the people in the department that you volunteer for would be unhappy with you?

A I don't think so.
Q If this involved, in this case, very high ranking members of the department you work for, sergeants, lieutenants, things of that nature, do you think that, uh, they would be unhappy if you would return a not guilty verdict?

A I don't think so, no.
Q Well, now your son is a sergeant. Um, what if you came to the conclusion that another sergeant, or even someone above him, had committed misconduct in this case? Would you be capable of rendering a not guilty verdict?

A Yes.
Q Do you think your son would be unhappy with that?
A No.
Q Why not?

A Because it's -- I'm being honest.
Q Has he ever told you anything about the Sheriff's Department that would lead you to, um, think that this, um -- that this kind of misconduct could be possible?

A No. He don't talk about his work.
Q All right. Could you tell me what you know about this case from publicity, media?

A They say he killed her and burned her up. That's about it.

Q And what -- what's your view about that?
A I -- I hate to say it, but I ain't really got no view.

Q Well, do you think --
A It's wrong, but --
Q Why do you say you hate to say it?
A I don't know. I just don't know what to tell you.
Q Well, do you think that the media's portrayal of Mr. -- the things you hear in the media about Mr. Avery, uh, makes it look like he's probably guilty or probably innocent?

A I'm undecided with that, because I didn't get to see that much and then he told me -- the Judge told me not to watch. So I -- I ain't seen nothing.

Q Okay. Did you see any press conferences in this
case? By the --

A No.
Q -- the Sheriff?

A No.

Q Prosecutor?

A No. I seen it way in the beginning and that was about it.

Q And can you remember any details about what you -- you heard or saw?

A No. They just showed it -- I think it was his farm and, um, trailer house, and the tape around the barrel, and that was about it.

Q Okay. Do you know the name Brendan Dassey?
A No.

Q Do -- or if $I$ refer to him as -- as Mr. Avery's nephew, do you recall any reports about him?

A Uh, yeah. Uh, not really. Well, I knew he was involved but that was it. I didn't real -- I really don't watch the news that much I'm sorry to say.

Q Okay. Well, you -- you say you knew that he was involved. Did you hear any news reports that he had made any kind of statements admitting that he was involved or --

A No.

Q Did you ever hear any reports that he had, uh,
later denied his involvement and recanting the confession? Withdrawing the confession? Do you --

A $\quad$ No.
Q -- remember -- you hadn't heard anything about that at all?

A No.

Q Do you think that's possible that somebody might confess to something that's -- that they didn't really do?

A Yes.
Q Why?
A Under duress.
Q Pressure from the police?
A Well, anybody.
Q Okay.
A Family or something.
Q Um, did you hear anything in the news reports
about, um -- about a blood vial?
A $\quad$ No.

Q Have you heard anything at all about whether there might be any kind of tampering with
evidence or planting of evidence?
A $\quad$ No.
Q Do you remember Mr. Avery's statements when he
was first arrested in which he denied any involvement and claimed somebody was trying to frame him?

A Yeah. Yeah, I think I did.
Q What's your thought about that?
A Nothing.
Q Do you -- um, do you think that -- I mean, as you -- as you look at this case and what you've heard about this case, do you think Steven

Avery's being framed?
A I don't know.
Q Think it's possible that he is?
A I -- I don't know. I can't answer that.
Q Okay.
A Couldn't even tell you.
Q You don't -- you don't know one way or the other; right?

A Right.
Q You don't know whether he's guilty either?
A Correct.
Q What do you know about his past? Can you tell me what you know about Mr. Avery?

A I seen it when he got out.
Q Out of prison?
A Yes.

Q Okay. So you knew that he was wrongly convicted?
A Yes. And I was surprised to hear him on the next time on the news about him.

Q About him suddenly being charged with something?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Any doubt in your mind that he was wrongly convicted and spent all that time in prison?

A The first time?
Q Yeah.
A Yeah. They were wrong.
Q They were wrong?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And, uh, how did you feel about that?
A I don't know how to say that. He's just -- be --
they were wrong, but $I$ know it happens.
Q You know it happens?
A Yes.
Q Okay. How do you know it happens?
A They did it to him.
Q Okay. Um, were you also aware of a lawsuit that he filed?

A Yeah.
Q Against the county?
A Yes.
Q Do you have any thoughts or opinions about that?

A I think he should get the money.
Q Okay. Let me just brief -- very briefly go over a couple of other things here. Did you, uh -- in your OWI case, uh, it sounds like you actually had a hearing?

A Yes.
Q Um, did you go to a trial?
A Yes.
Q Did you have a lawyer?
A Yes.
Q Did you testify?
A No.
Q You didn't take the witness stand?
A No, I don't think I did.
Q Okay. Um, did your lawyer -- or did you understand that you had a constitutional right not to testify?

A No.
Q Uh, is there some particular reason why you did not? Or you --

A I just didn't know. I didn't know until now.
Q That you had a right not to?
A Yes.
Q Um, did, uh --
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm going
to -- can we approach the bench?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Discussion off the record)
Q (By Attorney Buting) The, uh -- the questionnaire that you filled out I think, uh, had a question that -- that told you about a -- I don't recall the number right now, but $I$ think it told you that the defendant has a right -- a constitutional right not to testify if he -- he and his lawyer so choose?

A If you say so. I don't remember.
Q You don't remember?
A Sorry to say, no. I just filled it out to get out.
Q Okay. Um, well, just to quickly go over with you, the -- the Judge will instruct you that if Mr. Avery chooses not to testify under the -- you know, if it's our advice that he not testify, that you can't consider that against him in any way. Um, in other words, some people might think if a defendant doesn't testify, you know, he must have something to hide?

A Yes.
Q Is that something you might be concerned about?
A No.
Q So if Mr. Avery chooses not to testify, will that
affect you in any way in thinking that -- that he's still innocent?

A No.
Q And if he does testify, uh, will you be able to consider his testimony just the same as any other witness?

A Yes.
Q Or will you think that he's more likely to lie just because he's the defendant in the case and he's trying to get off?

A Possible.
Q Well, will you be able to consider his testimony in the same way as any other witness though?

A Oh, yes.
Q Okay. You show -- you watch, uh, C.S.I. shows, I think; right?

A Yes.

Q And, uh, it's your opinion that they are very realistic?

A Some of them. Some of it, yes.
Q Do you think that the -- for instance, the evidence that they can find at crime scenes is -is -- all those tests, that that's pretty realistic?

A Pretty much.

Q Maybe that --
A Surprised at what they find, yeah.
Q Okay. Maybe they can't do them as quickly as they show on TV, but the tests, themselves, you'd -- you tend to think they're realistic?

A Yes.
Q And so, uh -- I mean, most crime scenes you'd expect there would be lots of evidence available?

A Yes.
Q And if there wasn't -- if there was a lack of physical evidence at a crime scene, is that something that would give you concern about whether or not there might be reasonable doubt?

A Yes.
Q Let me ask you this final question: Uh, do you want to be on this jury or do you not want to be on this jury?

A It's a tossup. Maybe. I don't want to be.
Q You don't want to -- you prefer not to be?
A Yeah.
Q Why?
A I, um -- I like what $I$ do for the Sheriff's Department. I hate to miss it.

Q And -- and you think -- you really think that you'd be able to sit back there in that jury room
and, um, not be in some way biased against Mr. Avery just be -- I mean, because you work so closely with that Sheriff's Department?

A No.

Q All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. We'll have the clerk escort you from the courtroom at this time, Mr. Wardman.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Um, Counsel, is there a motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Uh, Mr. Wardman will be considered in. And, um, we'll take a break at this time. Report back at 5 minutes to 11.
(Recess had at 10:42 a.m.)
(Reconvened at 10:58 a.m.)

THE COURT: Before we bring in the next juror, $I$ just wanted to, uh, make a follow-up response to defense counsel's objection on the, uh -- uh, my imposition of the 20 -minute time limit on the questioning of Mr. Gray. Uh, as I think I indicated yesterday, I allowed at least each of the parties once to exceed the 20 -minute time limit
because I thought the nature of the answers being given by the juror warranted it.

In the case of, uh, Mr. Gray, uh, I did not detect any answers that he gave to questions along the way that would have indicated the questions could not be handled within 20 minutes and that is why I terminated the questioning.

Uh, I believe our next juror is, uh, No. 71, Nancy Steinmetz.

Uh, Ms. Steinmetz, please raise your right hand and the clerk will administer the oath to you.
(Juror sworn)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Uh, Ms. Steinmetz, you've already completed a written jury questionnaire in this case. Uh, this morning we're going to move on to the next step of the jury selection process which is known as voir dire.

The attorneys for each of the parties will have a chance to ask you some questions relating to your qualifications of a juror. For the most part they will follow up on answers that you gave in your jury questionnaire.

Before we begin those questions, I want
you to know that the jurors in this case will not be sequestered. That means at the end of court proceedings each day the jurors will be permitted to return home.

Uh, because of that fact, we will continue to require that the jurors not be exposed to any publicity concerning this case during the trial, whether it be from radio, television, newspapers, the internet, or any other source. And, in addition, the jurors will be prohibited from, uh, communicating with anyone about the case, including other jurors or members of the jurors' family.

These proceedings today are open to the public, but the Court does not allow cameras in the courtroom during jury voir dire proceedings, and the members of the media are not permitted to use the names of the jurors in their reporting of this matter.

In addition, uh, should you be selected to serve as a juror, you should know that while there may be cameras in the courtroom during the trial, they're not permitted to focus on the jurors in a way that discloses the identity of the jurors.

Uh, finally, if you remain on the jury panel after today's proceedings, you'll be notified when to record -- report back to court again. Uh, it will probably be a notification sometime today.

JUROR STEINMETZ: Okay.
THE COURT: At this time, I believe Mr. Kratz will be, uh, asking you questions on behalf of the State.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:

Q Ms. Steinmetz, good morning. My name is Ken Kratz. I'm the Calumet County District Attorney. I'm the lead prosecutor in this case. With me this morning is Tom Fallon --

A Morning.
Q -- from the Department of Justice, Attorney General's Office. He'll be assisting not only this morning but also throughout the, uh -- the case.

Uh, I note, uh, Ms. Steinmetz that you currently work at the American Club; is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And you are involved in, uh, some kind of, um, a secretarial position? At least answering phones; is that right?

A Telecommunications.
Q Okay. Could you describe that a little more in detail? What is it that you do there?

A Um, I take the incoming calls and, uh, direct them to the correct place of business.

Q It's a rather large facility so I suspect there's a lot of incoming calls or places throughout them; is that --

A Correct.
Q -- is that correct? You've done it for 22 years; is that right?

A No, I've done that for about, uh, four years. About, um, ten years prior to that $I$ was still at the American Club and I was in a supervisory position in the, uh, banquet area.

Q Ms. Steinmetz, uh, where would you say that you get most of your news from; the television, newspapers, or some other sources?

A Um, not much of anywhere. Um, I was working night shift so I didn't watch the news. Um, don't get a newspaper. We do get a Newsweek which I read a little bit once in a while, but that's about it.

Q Is -- could be more of a national or world publication?

A It's a -- a world news. If we do see something, um, I take my 20 -minute break up in the break room and they do have a TV, but it's set at world news, and then I guess the Newsweek is kind of like more of a world news.

Q Do I understand that you're still working, uh, second shift, or a later shift, or has that gone, uh, to a different shift?

A About six months ago I -- my -- my hours changed. I do three days during the day and then I do two -- two night shifts.

Q All right. Uh, your husband is involved in farming?

A Correct.
Q And, uh, has that been for most of his life?
A All of his life.
Q All right. Ms. Steinmetz, if you do receive any local news because of where you work, would it be fair to assume that it, um, may be more centered in the Sheboygan County area rather than Manitowoc or is that not necessarily true?

A To be honest with you, I don't know much news about anything I guess.

Q Not much about either, huh?
A No.
Q All right.
A No.
Q Have you, um, heard of, uh, the case for which you are summoned here as a potential juror; the Steven Avery case?

A About, oh, over a year ago maybe, um, around Halloween time, um, just very little bit of it. Not much of anything. And then day goes on as -- as normal. I -- I didn't --

Q Are you gen --
A -- pay attention to it.
Q I'm sorry to interrupt you. Are you generally aware of the allegations against Mr. Avery?

A I am at this point, yes.
Q Did you become aware of those because of your involvement in this case and the instructions by the Judge, or was that from, uh, either a news source or out in the community somewhere?

A Um, that, um -- when $I$ got my first questionnaire, um, in October, and it said from this period to this period, and I'm thinking, well, my husband just got his jury duty, and it's only a month, and he has to call in. And I've never been asked before, and I'm
thinking, well, this is a little strange.
And I mentioned it to, um, one of my, uh, associates, and she says, oh, I bet I know what it is, and she went on the computer, and she says, I bet it's the, uh, Avery case, and I said, no way. And that was about all that I got involved on that, because then if it was, I shouldn't be trying to see what I could find out about it or anything.

Q About three weeks ago, or perhaps even a little more, you received a letter from the Judge, uh, instructing you not to watch any news accounts or not to read anything about this case; is that right?

A Correct.
Q Have you been able to do that?
A No. I see a flash, my ears close, my -- my eyes close. I plug my ears, count to 50. So, no, I have seen absolutely nothing.

Q All right. So you've done your absolute best --
A My absolute best.
Q -- to avoid any, uh, any influence. That's good. Ms. Steinmetz, uh, do you watch, uh, much television, uh, at home in the evenings or, specifically, shows that, uh, may be a
crime-related C.S.I. or anything like that?
A Um, on occasion we will watch, um, C.S.I. Not very often. Not very often at all. Um --

Q Let me just stop you there. Does that kind of show or that topic, if you will, of show, does that interest you?

A It -- not -- it's a little bit interesting but, um, you know, I -- I -- it's not something that I have to turn on to watch. It's just something that we turn on because there's nothing else on at the time or we've, uh -- just don't have anything else to do. We just flip the stations and once in a while we -- we watch it.

Q Do you watch any other, um, shows that are not necessarily, uh, only entertainment but perhaps more of a documentary nature? Forensic Files or anything that --

A No.
Q -- are -- are, uh, depictions of what is supposed to be real life kinds of cases?

A No. We watch, um, a lot of the Discovery Channel, how do you make this, or how is this made. Um, I watch, um, Gray's Anatomy. I like that. I watch Ugly Betty. And that's about as late as I stay up. And by the time you get home from work, and make
dinner, and clean up, you know, there's only a little bit of time that we might sit in front of the tube. And I'm in bed by nine.

Q All right. I suspect from Gray's Anatomy or any of those kind of shows, uh, you don't necessarily believe that you have a good grasp, of, uh, the medical field or anything like that, do you?

A No. It's just entertainment. Kind of who's doing what with who. A little soap opera.

Q Are you familiar with a -- a kind of evidence called DNA evidence?

A Yes.
Q Tell me what you know about that.
A From what I know, it's just that DNA can be matched up to fit another person's identity. That it's like, um, a fingerprint where only one person has the specific little things that show up in the DNA. There are --

Q That it's unique to one -- one --
A Exactly.
Q -- person and nobody else has the same DNA?
A Right. It's like --
Q All right.
A -- a fingerprint. It can't be related to anyone else.

Q And from what you've known or heard about that on, uh -- on TV, would you suspect that to be, um, relatively accurate kinds of information? In other words, that that is an accurate way to, uh, identify either evidence or individuals from which DNA came?

A I would think it would be pretty darn close to accurate.

Q All right. What I'm asking, though, is, is there anything that you know about DNA evidence that causes you pause or concern about that kind of analysis or that kind of evidence?

A No, I don't think so.
Q Have you had any, uh, run-ins -- I -- I -- I note that your answer was, no, but let me ask you this a little broader. Experiences, perhaps, with the Manitowoc County law enforcement, uh, officials that in some way questions you, uh, either about their integrity or about the job that they're doing?

A No.
Q You have a generally positive or negative view about how the Manitowoc law enforcement community is -- is doing their job?

A I've only been in Manitowoc maybe a handful of times.

Q I meant the county. The --
A The county.
Q -- entire county law enforcement community. Do you know -- uh, do you have an opinion about how they're doing their job?

A None whatsoever.
Q Do you feel safe in your community?
A Yes.

Q Okay. Um, Ms. Steinmetz, uh, would you consider yourself a detailed-oriented person that likes to make lists and things like that, or do you consider yourself more of a big picture kind of a person?

A Uh, detail orientated. In fact, we just had a little appreciation thing with all the employers two weeks ago and $I$ got a little plaque saying that the detail-orientated, you know, team worker. That type of thing.

Q All right. That's nice. Do you like puzzles?
A Somewhat. Not real difficult ones, but --
Q What kind of puzzles do you like?
A Um, I like the kind where you can just kind of like find the words within. Um --

Q Word search?
A Yes. That's -- otherwise I don't do too much for
puzzles.
Q All right. Uh, not a jigsaw puzzle person or anything like that?

A No.
Q Ms. Steinmetz, if you had to serve on this, uh, perhaps six-week jury process, would that cause any personal or financial hardship for you?

A No.
Q Let me ask you this, Ms. Steinmetz: Once you, even several months ago, thought that you might possibly be on this important jury --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- did you look forward to that? Do you look forward to being a member of this jury or would you kind of, uh, feel awkward or concerned about that?

A I don't feel awkward. Um, I -- I -- it's probably like a 50-50. Um, I -- I would, um, take it very seriously. It would kind of -- if I knew that I was part of it, it's like, okay, um, I'm -- was selected, I'm a fairly smart person, I think, but it would still have -- it's -- it's a major decision that would be -- you'd have to be really sure --

Q Sure.
A -- about, you know. So it -- it's kind of like a

50-50.
Q So you would take your responsibilities very seriously and you'd be able to listen to the instructions that the Court would give you in deciding this case; is that what --

A Yes.
Q -- you're telling us?
A Yes.
Q All right. And although, um, maybe not looking forward to it, if asked to serve, you'd certainly do that; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Now, you have all sons; is that right?
A Yes, I do.
Q No daughters?
A No daughters.
Q Um, are any of your sons married?
A One is married. My oldest.
Q And do you have any grandchildren?
A No.
Q Have either of your sons or your daughter-in-law spoken to you about the Steven Avery case?

A No.
Q Do you know if they're aware of it?
A I think so, because when I, um, got my first notice,

I had it up on the refrigerator, and when they would come home, you know, that it might be, but we haven't talked about anything with it.

Q Okay. You've told us in your questionnaire -and -- and -- and I'm almost through here -- but you've told us in your questionnaire that, uh, you have not formed an opinion about Mr. Avery's, uh, guilt or innocence, and any opinion that you may have, you'd be able to set aside deciding this case solely on the evidence as presented. Is that still true today?

A Very much so.
Q As Mr. Avery sits here, uh, today he is presumed, uh, innocent, uh, and may or may not, um, testify in, uh, this case. Uh, are you familiar at all with those legal principles that we have in this country?

A Uh, it's my understanding that a person's innocent until proven, without a question, guilty.

Q And when you hear evidence, either from law enforcement officers, scientists, or -- or citizens, would you be able to listen to everybody's testimony, giving that testimony such weight as you believe it's entitled to receive?

A Yes.

Q Is there anything, Mrs. Steinmetz, that I haven't asked you? Uh, any pause that you have about, uh, sitting on this jury, or something, uh, that, uh, perhaps wasn't in the questionnaire that you feel would disqualify you as a potential juror in this case?

A No.
Q All right.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: With that, that's all I have, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q Good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Um, how do you pronounce your name?
A Steinmetz.
Q Steinmetz. Okay. Spelled a little differently sometimes.

A It is. I -- my first four years of marriage I spelled it wrong. Until mother-in-law pointed it out.

Q Well, my name's Jerome Buting, this is Dean Strang, and we represent Steven Avery.

A All right.
Q Uh, we really appreciate you coming here today, and, uh, I can tell you really, um, take this seriously. You have, uh -- well, I'll talk about your sons in just a minute, but your --

A Okay.
Q -- your husband's a -- a farmer. So you live -what kind of a farm is it? Dairy farm?

A It's a -- a dairy farm. He's, uh -- he was raised as a farmer. Um, he had his, um, own business. He was an AI technician. And then, um, one of the farms that he would do his breeding at, they were enlarging and they had persuaded him to give his business up and relocate to this other farm. And it's a very large dairy farm, and he just -- he's just a farmhand worker.

Q Oh, I see. So it's, uh -- it's not his farm --
A No, it is not. No.
Q Okay. Yeah, because $I$ was wondering why none of your sons decided to go into farming or work with him, but $I$ guess that explains it.

A One was going to college for veterinarian medicine, but he made the decision, well, it -- it wasn't for me, and I have to be happy, and so he went into a different field.

Q I see one of them's in the Peace Corps.
A That's the one that was going to be a veterinarian, yes.

Q Is he overseas then or --
A Him and his wife are in, um, Costa Rica.
Q Okay. So do you get to see them, uh, very often or --

A No. I haven't seen them since they left in, uh, first part of June.

Q Okay. Now, I know you've, uh -- sounds like you've done a good job of trying to shield yourself from any -- any information in the news, but, um, every once in a while some blurb will come on the radio, perhaps, or do you listen to the radio when you drive?

A I have a $C D$ in the car.
Q Okay. So let me just ask you: Are you familiar with the name Brendan Dassey?

A No.
Q Okay. Have you heard anything about a blood vial?

A No.
Q Okay. You've done very well.
A Thank you. I've tried really hard.
Q Um, you said that you've had no real, uh,
exposure to police, or you didn't -- you didn't have any opinion one way or the other about kind of job Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department is doing?

A No. I just get aggravated when solicitation calls from them, and they identify themself, and I'm thinking, oh, my God, what happened? Someone get hurt in the family? And it's just a solicitation for donation and --

Q Yeah.
A -- I just ask them, please don't call back.
Q Okay.
A That's about as close as I come to them.
Q Okay. Do you think that, um -- you're going to be hearing from -- testimony from police officers in this case --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- and one of the things you -- you have to do when you're a juror, if you're selected, is to determine the truthfulness or untruthfulness of what people testify about. Do you think that if somebody takes the witness stand and takes the oath, anybody, that they're always going to tell the truth?

A I would like to think they do.

Q Okay. But do you think -- in reality, do you think they always do?

A Yes. I think so. I think if -- Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Well, what if in this case, as a juror, you hear testimony from one witness that does not seem to be true, that seems to be inconsistent with what another witness has said, or maybe even directly contrary to what they, them -themselves, said at a different time --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- how are you going to deal with that?
A I'd have to think that somewhere someone wasn't being completely honest and we would have to, um, probably just continue to hear what others have to say, and be a matter of putting the, uh, pieces together so that if the person that wasn't being truthful would end up somehow stumbling over things, because if you keep saying untruths, you end up getting caught up into something, and something would probably show up more definite that they weren't truthful.

Okay. Um, what I'm getting at is that -- that, you know, if you're selected as a jury -- juror on this case, you're -- you're going to have to do more than just assume or -- that everything that's said on that witness stand is the truth.

A Um-hmm.
Q You're going to have to -- the Judge will
instruct you how to determine the credibility of witnesses and what -- you look at their demeanor, and what they say, and when -- what they said in the past, and all of those factors you consider, um, to resolve any -- any question about whether the person's telling the truth. Do you think you can do that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. And, in particular, if law enforcement officers, sheriff's deputies, or police officers come up and take the witness stand, the Judge is going to tell you that you have to also treat them the same way as any other witness and judge their credibility the same way. Do you think you can do that?

A Yes.
Q You don't think that just because they're police officers there's just no way they would ever lie under oath?

A Um, I don't know. They probably could. They're just like anybody else. If -- if a normal person lies under oath, I mean -- but I -- I -- again, I would, I don't know, be -- I would like to think they
wouldn't.
Q Sure. You -- you'd hope not. We'd all hope that --

A Exactly.
Q -- of everybody. But, um, you may have to make those kinds of determinations in this case, and I want to be sure that you're -- you feel able to do that.

A $\quad$ do.
Q Okay.
A I do.
Q Okay. Do you think that if you heard evidence that -- that gave you reason to -- to be concerned that, perhaps, the police did even a little bit more than lie under oath, but that maybe even they -- they became so involved and convinced that the person was guilty that they crossed the line and either would alter their reports or maybe even plant evidence against a person, do you -- would you consider that?

A Well, it -- it would be -- wouldn't it be difficult to know if they planted evidence or whatever for me to assume that until several other people and everything's coincided together?

Q Sure.

A I mean, I wouldn't be able to make that decision knowing someone says, well, this, this, this. I have nothing to base that --

Q Sure.
A -- that isn't the truth until, perhaps, other things come together on it.

Q Right. And -- and, obviously, you've heard no evidence at this point, so I'm not asking you to -- to make any kind of decisions in -- at this point, but I'm just wondering if you will be open to listen to all of the evidence and to consider, um, whether, you know, if -- if some evidence tends to point that way --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- um, whether you would consider that as a possibility or whether, because of some of your -- your beliefs that you bring into the case, you would just say, no, there's just no way. I can't even accept that would ever happen.

A No. Um, I'm -- I'm completely open-minded. Um -Q Okay.

A -- very open-minded.
Q Okay. I -- I -- I can see that. I appreciate that. Um, and the, uh -- one of the questions in the questionnaire, um, goes along with a -- an
instruction sometimes the judges give, and it talks about a defendant's constitutional right not to testify?

A Um-hmm.
Q And sometimes that's hard for people because they -- they want to, you know, in their -- their daily lives, when you try and find out -- make decisions, you like to hear both sides. Are you one of those kinds of people that like to hear both sides before you make a decision?

A Um, yes. However, if, um, let's say Mr. Avery's, um, side has all these witnesses and you feel comfortable in that, I -- why would, um -- if -- and if Mr. Avery isn't comfortable with going up there and having someone try to tear him down a little bit, and it wouldn't be to his benefit if he felt that the other witnesses were positive for you, why would he go up and possibly have it be a negative for himself?

Q Okay. And so -- so you could follow the -- the Court's instruction that you're not supposed to consider that decision against him in some way, or speculate?

A Correct.
Q And, uh, because of the -- because the State has the -- the burden of proof, um, if the defense
did put on any witnesses, would you hold us up to some burden and say, well, you haven't proven your case?

A I don't know.
Q Because what -- what you have to do is you have to look only at -- at -- means you have to look at the State's case and see if the State has convinced you beyond a reasonable doubt.

A Correct.
Q And I just want to be sure that you -- you're not going to impose some burden on Mr . Avery to prove that he's innocent.

A No.
Q You can do that?
A I can do that.
Q Okay. All right. Thank you very much, ma'am. Appreciate it.

A All right.
THE COURT: At this time, uh, the clerk will escort you from the courtroom.

JUROR STEINMETZ: I think I need a glass of water. Thank you, everyone.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Counsel, any, uh, motion from
either party?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: I've never had a juror thank me before, Judge, but, uh, there's no motion by the State.

ATTORNEY BUTING: No motion by the defense, and, uh, for the record, if you would note, $I$ only took about 12 minutes, $I$ think.

THE COURT: Right. I note that. Perhaps that was why she was thanking you. I'm not sure. But at any rate, we'll keep her on the panel and move on to the next juror which is Mary Lou Salomon.

Uh, Ms. Salomon, I'll ask you at this time to raise your right hand, please. The clerk will administer the oath.

## (Juror sworn)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Ms. Salomon, you've already completed a written jury questionnaire in this case. Uh, this morning we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is known as voir dire.

During this part of the process, the attorneys for each of the parties will be permitted to ask you some questions that relate to your qualifications as a juror. For the most
part, they'll be follow-up questions to information that you provided with your questionnaire.

Before we get to those questions, I can inform you that the, uh, jurors who are selected in this case will not be sequestered. That is, they will be permitted to return home each day at the conclusion of each day of the court proceedings and then return the next day.

Because of that fact, we'll continue to require that the jurors, uh, not be exposed to any news media accounts of the case, whether it be from television, radio, newspaper, or the internet, or any other source, and, in addition, the jurors will be prohibited from, uh, talking to anyone else, or communicating in any way about the case. That would include other jurors or members of the jurors' families.

The proceedings this morning are open to the public, but the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during the jury selection process. Uh, and the, uh, news media are not permitted to use the names of jurors in any news accounts of the proceedings today.

If you are selected as a juror, you
should also know that while there may be cameras in the courtroom during the trial, they are not permitted to, uh, focus on or identify the jurors in any way.

If you remain on the jury panel after the questioning today, you'll get a call probably later this afternoon, uh, letting you know when to report back to court.

Um, Mr. Fallon, are you handling this one?
(No verbal response)
THE COURT: Very well.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q Good morning, Ms. Salomon.
A Good morning.
Q My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the, uh, Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in the case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, uh, the Calumet County District Attorney and lead special prosecutor for this matter.

Good morning and, uh, thank you for coming in today and helping us out. I'd like to begin with a -- a few questions, um, about your,
um -- I guess your former work. Uh, you were, uh, editor of a community newspaper?

A Yes.

Q All right. And, what kind of publication was that? Is it weekly? Daily?

A It was monthly.
Q A monthly?
A Right. And, uh, it was basically homeowner's board notes. Um, the sheriff would submit a report.

Q Okay.
A Library -- local library.
Q All right.
A That type of information.
Q Did you cover anything, as some people would say, some of the hard news stories of the -- of the time?

A No.
Q Okay. So this was just more, uh, community? What's going on in the community?

A Right. Right.
Q Okay. Um, did you enjoy that work?
A Very much.
Q Yeah. And how long did you do it?
A Twenty-nine years.
Q Were you the editor for the entire time?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Uh, would it be fair to say you enjoyed writing or was it more the whole --

A I didn't have to do a lot of --
Q All right.
A -- the writing.
Q Okay.
A You know, it was kind of an assembly process.
Q Oh, so you enjoyed putting the paper together?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
A Um-hmm.
Q I gotcha.
A But for want of a title, that's what they called me.
Q Fair enough. Uh, did you get to choose the headline as the editor or did you leave that to the writer of the article?

A No, it was all mine.
Q Okay. All right. Well, um, I also have to ask, because I was struck by, um, two things, uh, hobbies of yours that looked interesting. Um, Civil War, uh, and blue grass music. Interesting mix. I -- to me they wouldn't seem to go together. Um, what is it about, uh, the Civil War that you find interesting?

A Uh, there's a lot of family history --
Q Okay.
A -- with the county, which is basically what brought my son and I here. Um --

Q Okay.
A The monument that's at the corner of the courthouse property is ancestors and --

Q Oh, very good. All right. And, um, I see, yes, you're originally from Lincoln, Nebraska, but you spent some time in the state of Washington?

A A lot of time, yes.
Q All right. And then how long have you been in Manitowoc County then?

A Two years.
Q I see. Oh, all right. So you're recent to this area?

A Yep.
Q Um, so you have, um -- through, uh, generations you have a family connection to this county then?

A Yes, um-hmm.
Q I see. Uh, is that -- that's directly connected to, uh, Civil War service?

A Yes. All of it. Um-hmm.
Q All right. Uh, and, um, how about, uh, blue grass music? What -- what do you find
interesting about that?
A Um, just the rhythm, I guess. I --
Q Uh, have you been a fan of blue grass for quite some time?

A Uh, no, not really. Not really. Basically, we got involved in the Two Rivers shows that -- that come monthly and --

Q All right.
A -- find that it's, uh, very enjoyable.
Q Very well. Well, let me ask -- um, I see, from your other pursuits you're a Sunrise Optimist and, of course, the Civil War Roundtable, uh, and other historical, uh, events. Um, in -- in your involvement in those cases have you, uh, been called upon to, um, offer, uh, opinions or directions for the organization at all? I mean, any kind of, uh, supervisory advise -- advising that you might do with those volunteer groups?

A Not advisory, no.
Q Okay. All right. Well, let me ask then, as a person who was, um, at least in the media, at least in the print media business for, uh, some time, um, do you, um, regularly get your news from, uh, the newspaper, or television, or where would you say you got most of your news from?

A Probably television.
Q All right. And, uh, how often do you watch, uh, televised news casts?

A Basically, I have CNN on for company during the day.
Q All right.
A But, watch it, um, I can't say that $I$ do.
Q So it's background information?
A Right.
Q Um, in terms of your news casts, do you prefer, uh, a more, uh, national or global perspective than the local news? Is that what I'm detecting?

A Yes.
Q All right. Um, have you paid -- Uh, I see you get the local paper here just on the weekends?

A Right.
Q All right. Now, um, the reason we ask is we want to get at least an understanding of -- of what you may be familiar with or how much you -- you have heard about the case through both, uh, the newspaper and television coverage of it.

And, um, about three weeks ago or so, uh, you, I believe, received a letter from the Judge advising you that you were a prospective juror in this matter, and I think it, uh, asked, that, uh, among other things, that you refrain
and make any reasonable effort not to watch any of the news coverage or the -- read any of the newspapers and -- and the like. Did you get that letter?

A Yes, I did.
Q All right. And have you been able to abide by it?

A Yes, I have.
Q All right. Uh, prior to getting that letter, um, had you followed the case at all in the media coverage?

A Uh, I was aware of it, but, uh, not as a daily thing or a -- or a -- no.

Q There wasn't anything particularly interesting about it that -- that had you follow it closely?

A Uh, no, I don't think so.
Q All right. Um, well, one of the reasons we ask these questions is that we're hopeful that prospective jurors come in with -- without any opinions about the case at all, and -- and that's what we'd like to ask. Um, based on your familiarity with, uh, the media coverage that you have, uh, seen and/or read, do you have any opinion today at all as to whether Mr. Avery is guilty or innocent of the charges leveled against
him?
A Um, no, I wouldn't think that I do.
Q All right. Well, you -- you -- you answered no last week, and I did detect a little bit of a hesitancy. Um, do you -- do you have an opinion as to whether you think he's guilty or not, or --

A No, I don't.
Q Okay.
A My hesitancy was basically for media coverage in general, I guess.

Q Okay. So, in other words, you have some reason to doubt whether you have all of the information?

A Yes.
Q All right. Fair enough. Um, you understand, uh, that in this particular case Mr. Avery is presumed innocent unless and until the State can convince the jury beyond a reasonable doubt of his guilt?

A Yes.
Q All right. And, uh, you would be instructed on that principle by the Court here, and do you have any problem in accepting it and following it?

A No, I do not.
Q All right. Um -- okay. Now, I just wanted to touch base on, uh, one other, um, matter. Well,
two matters. Um, one of the last questions that you were asked last week is, um, you were advised that there's a possibility, in fact a pretty good possibility, this case may last six weeks.

And, uh, we wanted to make sure that all of the prospective jurors in this case wouldn't suffer any kind of emotional or financial hardship if they were selected to, uh, serve as a juror. And you indicated, uh, at that time that you didn't think that would pose any problem for you. Is that still your opinion today?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Um, in terms of, uh, evaluating, uh, witnesses and testimony, uh, that will be presented during the course of the trial, uh, you'll be instructed that you would evaluate the believability, the honesty, or the credibility of -- of witnesses according to the same standard. In other words, you would look at and treat all witnesses alike and evaluate them for their truthfulness, their honesty, the same as you would any other person, do you understand?

A Um-hmm.
Q All right. And that includes police officers? So even if a police officer were, uh, to take the
stand, and there'll be quite a bit of law enforcement testimony in this case, you would be required to evaluate their truthfulness the same as you would any other person regardless of whether they were a police officer, or a scientist, or a -- or a truck driver, you would treat them all alike in evaluating their believability. Do you think you can do that?

A I think I can.
Q All right. All right. Um, and, lastly, I just had a question, uh, would you like to serve on this jury or would you rather not?

A I don't really think $I$ have a --
Q An opinion?
A -- an opinion on that.
Q Okay.
A I --
Q So you're a fatalist. Whatever happens, happens?
A Well, I don't know about fatalist, but --
Q Very good. Thanks very much.
THE COURT: All right. Uh, Mr. Strang?

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q Morning.
A Morning.

Q I'm Dean Strang, and this is Jerome Buting, and Steven Avery. We're -- we're defending Mr. Avery. That is, Jerry Buting and I are. Um, by starting in 1850 and moving forward, I don't mean to suggest I'm going to take all that much time with you, but, um, the, uh -- the, uh, mid 19th Century connections to this county, were they on your husband's side of the family or on your side of the family?

A My husband's side.
Q Okay. And, um, how long did you spend in Lincoln, Nebraska as a little girl?

A Uh, probably year-and-a-half.
Q And then off to the state of Washington?
A Yes.
Q Um, is it Burien? Is -- I'm not sure I'm reading your handwriting correctly on the town. I see Reynold?

A Where I went to school.
Q Yes.
A Burien, um-hmm.
Q Burien. Um, is that western Washington or eastern Washington? I don't -- I'm not familiar with Burien.

A It's, uh -- it's basically south of Seattle.

Q Okay.
A Yeah.
Q Just south of Tacoma or well south?
A No, not south. No. It's -- it's closer to Seattle than Tacoma.

Q Oh, okay.
A Yeah. It's not --
Q So it's --
A -- even halfway.
Q -- it's in the metropolitan area?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Um, and did you, um -- did you move -- two years ago, did you move directly to Manitowoc with your son from western Washington?

A No. Um, my husband had passed away about 12 years ago --

Q Um-hmm.
A -- but, um, I had a big house that I got rid of, got rid of my business, and, um, he said, my -- my son was living in Appleton at the time, and --

Q Oh.
A -- he said, well, why don't you try Wisconsin? So I said, well, I'd try a couple of winters here, because they are different than the winters I think I was used to.

Q Um-hmm.
A And, um, I think they were rather mild winters. So that's -- basically, I've been in the state for four years, but --

Q Okay. Um, you, um, paused a little bit and confessed some hesitation, um, a few moments ago and said that that was about media coverage. I want to hear a little bit more about your hesitation with respect to media coverage.

A Well, since I get almost all of my information from, basically, television, I, uh -- I don't know, I guess maybe I view it all as being edited to pull your chain. Um --

Q Okay. Um --
A I know the programming is. That's the way I feel, but I think sometimes the news is that way also.

Q Well, they're in a business?
A Right.
Q Right? I mean, it's -- most of the media are for profit; right?

A Yes.
Q Um, was -- was the community newspaper that you, you know, ran, published, edited, was that, um, a for profit -- profit thing or was -- was that a not for profit?

A It was a for profit run by a non-profit organization.
Q Was it part of a little chain of community newspapers?

A No. No.
Q Independent?
A Yes.
Q Um, let's -- let's get into some stuff that, in general, concerns the -- the relationship of the government to the citizen, okay? A little bit? Um, you'll be told, I think, by the Judge that the lawyers at that table over there representing the state of Wisconsin bear the burden of proof in this case. Um, and that the lawyers at this table, or Mr. Avery for that matter, do not bear any burden of proof. Is that a system within which you can function well? Rules you can accept?

A I think I probably can, yes. I -- I have not had any experience before with --

Q On a jury?
A On a jury, right.
Q Right. Um, but this is -- this is a -- a criminal accusation rather than a civil accusation, so in civil case each side may have a burden of proof on this point or that point.

Here, only the State has the burden of proof the Judge will tell you. And it's a very high burden. Uh, it's proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Um, is there anything about that scheme, if you will, that set of legal rules -- I don't mean anything bad by scheme -- but that set of legal rules, um, that you could not work with him?

A I don't believe so.
Q Um, we may take an active role in the case. We're -- we're entitled to do that, and I expect that Mr. Buting and I won't sit like bumps on a log, but, um, can you promise today that you would not hold us to proving Steven Avery's innocence?

A Yes.
Q Rather, you would hold them to proving his guilt?
A Yes.
Q Um, if we offer testimony or exhibits, um, will you consider the defense offerings just the same as you would consider the State offerings?

A Yes, I would.
Q One of the toughest questions in offering evidence or not for the people at this table is
whether Mr. Avery should testify in his own defense. Um, are you familiar with the rule in America that a defendant has a privilege not to testify in his trial if he chooses?

A Yes.
Q Um, are you also aware under American law and our constitution that the defendant has an absolute right to testify in his own defense if he chooses?

A I would assume that, yes.
Q If Mr. Avery, in the end, testified, um, would you be able to treat him just like any other witness in deciding whether you believe him?

A I think I could, yes.
Q And if -- if the decision at this table was that he was not going to testify, um, would you want to know why?

A Possibly.
Q I -- I'm going to confess that was a bit of a trick question, because you won't learn why, and, uh, if he makes that decision, you'll actually be told, I think, by the Judge, that you simply cannot consider, um, the fact that the defendant did not testify in deciding whether the State proved him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Um, but I -- it was a trick question. I don't mean to be tricking you. Do you -- can you understand why there might be a number of reasons that someone who says he's innocent, nevertheless does not take the witness stand and testify in his own defense?

A Yes, I think so.
Q Um, I -- you mentioned some of them, I think, that may be worried about being tripped up by lawyers on the other side? That -- that may be one reason?

A I don't know that that crossed my mind.
Q What does cross your mind?
A Well, basically, I guess the only case that I've ever paid any attention to was the O. J. Simpson case.

Q Um-hmm.
A And whether that was also edited for the public, I don't know.

Q And how do you link that up to a defendant not testifying? As I recall, Mr. Simpson did not testify --

A He did not. Right.
Q -- in that case, and what -- what connection are you drawing there?

A Um, only that that's my only, I guess, frame of
reference --
Q Um-hmm.
A -- um, as to why a -- a defendant would or would not choose to testify.

Q Well, and in the end, because there are so many reasons that may go into it, some of them having to do more with the defense lawyers than -- than the accused himself, in -- because there are so many reasons, you'll simply be told you -- you can't speculate about that or even consider, um, the fact that a defendant did not testify if that's the choice in the end. Um, and I just need to know whether you can follow that rule if the Court instructs you that way?

A I think I could, yes.
Q You come here, um, without any opinion at all, which is about guilt or innocence, which is what a potential juror should be, um, saying sitting today in your chair, um, and I -- I simply need a commitment from you, if you -- if you can offer it to me, that when the Judge instructs you that the presumption of innocence stays with Mr. Avery, not just today but through the entire trial, right up to the time the jury begins to deliberate its verdict at the very end of the
case, that presumption of innocence never waivers, never changes, until you begin your deliberations, and then you decide guilt or innocence. Is that an instruction you can follow?

A Yes, I can.
Q Some of the agents of the State, um, who may testify -- uh, will testify, I'm sure, are law enforcement officers from a variety of agencies. You'll see law enforcement officers testifying here. You've already answered in your questionnaire that you could follow an instruction that you would consider their testimony just like the testimony of any other witness who may be from any other calling or vocation under the sun.

Um, and I'm -- I'm going to explore that a little bit. Do you -- do you accept that under the right circumstances a law enforcement officer, just like anyone else, might violate the oath and testify to something untruthful even though sworn to tell the truth?

A Yes.
Q You don't think law enforcement officers have any special proclivity to tell the truth under oath
or, for that matter, to lie under oath, do you?
A Uh, no.
Q Uh, you accept that they're human just like everyone else who would take the witness stand?

A Yes.
Q Um, have you had any experience where you thought a law enforcement officer was not honest? Whether you've seen it on CNN or experienced it in your personal life?

A No, I have no personal experience with it.
Q But you're open to considering their motives to testify truthfully or falsely, um, their potential biases or prejudices, their weaknesses of recollection, just like anyone else's?

A Like anyone else's.
Q You're relatively new to -- to this area, and, actually, just four years in Wisconsin. Have you picked up anything along the way about Mr. Avery's more distant past conviction in 1985, um, that later, uh, was overturned when DNA established that another man actually committed the crime, not Mr . Avery?

A I -- I heard that story, yes.
Q Okay. Where did you pick that up?
A Uh, basically from television.

Q Okay. Um, do you -- do you have any questions about that? Any -- any lingering doubt that maybe Mr. Avery was guilty, or any belief that because he wasn't guilty, he was really innocent, that that somehow affects how you would look at this case?

A No. I didn't have any other feelings on it either way.

Q Well, did you hear about the lawsuit that followed his exoneration and release?

A Yes.
Q What -- what were your opinions about that lawsuit as a Manitowoc County taxpayer?

A Um, I think at the time I hadn't been in the state for very long and, um, I don't know that I gave that a whole bit of consideration either.

Q One -- one way or the other?
A Right.
Q Okay. I think that's all I have for you. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. I have one question for the juror.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:

Q Um, I'm just curious about your reference to the O. J. Simpson case. Does that have any impact on you as you sit and possibly end up as a juror in this case?

A I don't think so. No, I haven't.
Q I mean, do you have any opinion about that case or anything that might, uh, affect your deliberations here?

A Other than I'm probably one of the few that still thinks that he might be innocent.

Q Okay. All right. Um, in terms of evaluating this particular, um, case here, you would decide this case, though, solely on what the information is that we present?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Thanks.
THE COURT: All right. The, uh, clerk will now escort you from the courtroom.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Counsel, any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State. ATTORNEY STRANG: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very well. Uh, Ms. Salomon
will be, uh, in the jury panel. We'll take our, uh, lunch break at this time. Uh, how about 12:45 report back?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine. Thank you, Judge.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Uh, Judge, did you check on those two jurors? The, uh -- I think it was 75 and 77? I had 75 already excused and --

THE COURT: Seventy-five was excused by the Court. There was an illness question, actually. I don't think that juror even completed the questionnaire.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. Right.
THE COURT: Um, seventy-seven, my, um, uh, court reporter informs me that she could find nothing in her notes except a mention late yesterday about the fact that there was a question but nothing to show that, uh --

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. I thought
that was included in one of our previous discussions. Thanks.
(Recess had at 11:58 a.m.)
(Reconvened at 12:48 p.m.)
THE COURT: At this time we'll go back on the record. Um, Mr. Strang, I believe there was a
matter you wished to bring up before we call the first juror?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes, thank you. I received a letter by hand-delivery this morning from the Manitowoc County District Attorney who's, uh, named on the defense witness list, and I think the, um, sensible thing to do here is just to excuse him altogether from the sequestration order.

I -- I don't think there's any realistic concern that the order would be necessary in his instance, so I suggest we simply exempt him from it.

THE COURT: Any objection from anyone?
ATTORNEY FALLON: No.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No. Thank you.
ATTORNEY FALLON: No objection.
THE COURT: All right. The Court will, uh, grant that request and excuse the district -Manitowoc County District Attorney from the exclusion order for witnesses in this case.

Um, Counsel, I was going to call the, uh -- one of the next jurors out of order. There's a Mr. -- I'm not sure how he pronounces his name. It's No. 87, David Guckeisen or

Guckeisen. He's the only juror who's been waiting around since this morning.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right.
THE COURT: Um, I'll give you a couple minutes to, uh, take a look at his questionnaire before we call him in.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you.
THE COURT: Please raise your right hand, sir.
(Juror sworn)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: And it's Mr. Guckeisen; is that correct?

JUROR GUCKEISEN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Guckeisen, you've already filled out a written questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection which is, uh, individual voir dire. Each of the attorneys, uh, will have an opportunity to ask you some, uh, questions, relating to your qualifications as a juror. In most cases, the questions will be follow-ups to information you provided in your written questionnaire.

Before we get to that, uh, part of the proceedings, I can tell you that the jurors in this case who are selected will not be sequestered. That is, they will be able to return, uh, home each day after the court proceedings for that day.

Uh, and that although the proceedings, uh, today in court are open to the public, the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during voir dire proceedings.

Uh, in addition, the media is not allowed to disclose the names of the jurors in reports of these proceedings.

Finally, if you are selected to serve as a juror, the cameras that cover the trial will not be able to, uh, show the jurors in any way that allows identification of who they are.

Uh, I can tell you that if you remain eligible as a juror after the questioning today, uh, you'll get another telephone call from the Clerk's Office probably later today letting you know when to report back to court.

Mr. Fallon, are you going to handle this one?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.

THE COURT: Very well.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Guckeisen.
A Good afternoon.
Q Um, my name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice, and I'm one of the special prosecutors in this case. Uh, to my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and lead prosecutor. Um, thanks for, uh, coming back this afternoon.

I wanted to ask you a few questions about some of the information you provided in your questionnaire last week, and, uh, if I may, like to begin with, uh, a little bit about your, um, employment history.

I see that you're, um -- I take it you're currently working at, um, KMC Stamping and Laser Form?

A Correct.
Q All right. What kind of business is that?
A It's a metal stamping-type business. Um, mostly metals. Uh, parts for cars, tractors, trucks. Q And what position do you hold with them?

A I am the CAD-CAM programmer. That's Computer-aided Design, Computer-aided Manufacturing.

Q So what does it do?
A Actually, what $I$ do is I'll take a formed up object and metal and lay it out in the flat and then program one of the three laser machines to cut it out.

Q I see. And how long have you been doing that work, sir?

A Since 19 -- around 1978.
Q Okay. So quite sometime, 26, 7, 8 years?
A Approximately, right.
Q And that's in, um, Port Washington, Wisconsin?
A That's correct.
Q So you've got a little bit of a commute, do you?
A Yeah. Forty-four miles one way, every day.
Q Every day. And how many days a week? Five?
A Five. Sometimes six, but usually it's five.
Q Okay. And, uh, you've previously worked at a location in, uh, Mequon?

A Um-hmm.
Q And, uh, what did you do for -- is it, uh,
Biersach and Neidermeyer?
A Right. It was the same thing.
Q Oh, same kind of work?
A Same kind of work, correct.

Q And, uh, I see, also, you did, uh, some work for the, uh, Kiel Police Department?

A Right. Um-hmm.
Q And what kind of work is that, sir?
A I was there for approximately 11 years. That was, uh, approximately seven years part-time, four years full time.

Q Uh, seven full and four part? Or did I have that the other way around? Seven part --

A Seven part-time and four full time.
Q Okay. And what did you do for them?
A I was a police officer.
Q Okay.
A And --
Q You were.
A The seven years part-time was reserve police, and then part-time police officer.

Q All right. Now, what kind of duty did you have, uh, when you were at the Kiel, uh, Department?

A Uh, just your normal patrolman-type of duty. Checking doors, uh, making arrests, uh, speeding, you know, domestics, which -- whatever came up, that's what we would do.

Q All right. Now, I see, also, from your, um, questionnaire you have some, uh, experience as a
fingerprint technician?
A Yes. That's when $I$ was on the force.
Q Oh, you were.
A Um-hmm.
Q Okay. Well, tell us about that.
A I came down and had classes, uh, down in the basement, across the street $I$ believe it was, and to learn how to categorize and to take fingerprints -fingerprints the proper way, cat -- classify them, and then make out the documents to send them in for, you know, registration or classification.

And then try to, uh, take a fingerprint and check on the parts we -- or the prints we had at the time to see if there was any type of matches with the certain criteria that was, uh -at that time. Now they don't do that much anymore but --

Q Okay.
A -- you know, when you did it physically --
Q Right.
A -- one at a time, and -- and try to find out --
Q Right. And are you referring to today the -- lot of it is automated; is that --

A Right. Yeah. Today most of it is auto -- automated with the checking to find out, you know, rather than
five or six different, uh, cards, now they just kind of go through the database and find any matches and then work that way.

Q All right. And then you start and check that from there?

A Right.
Q Okay. Now, when you were with the Kiel Department, was there anyone else on the Department who, uh, shared those duties or were you, uh, the fingerprint guy?

A Um, actually there was another which would be, um, Rick Sloan. He was the chief at -- a few years back and he did some fingerprinting, too. But, usually, because of my shift being, uh, three to eleven in the afternoon, evening, that's when the fingerprinting was done for people that were going to go, like, uh, for, serv -- uh, into, uh, service, or people that were coming to be nationalized and go through their procedures to become a American citizen, we'd have to fingerprint them.

Q Sure.
A Children, so that in case they got lost we could find them again.

Q Okay. Um, I take it from your history, then, that you did that work, uh, or were doing that
job the same time you were doing your metal
stamping work?
A No, that --
Q No?
A -- was prior to metal --
Q Oh, that was prior to --
A Prior to metal --
Q I see.
A -- stamping. Correct.
Q So your, uh, uh, law enforcement experience, uh,
preceded your experience in the metal stamping
business?
A That's correct. Um-hmm.
Q So it's been, then, 28 years or so since you were
in law enforcement?

A Yeah, just about. Um-hmm. Approximately.
Q Uh, as a fingerprint analyst, did you have occasion to go to crime scenes and -- and attempt to lift prints from scenes?

A Yes. In fact, uh, there was a couple. One I recall was a, um, car theft.

Q Okay.
A And we lifted the prints. Eventually going through and sending them into the state of Wisconsin, the crime lab for the FBI, we did find out whose they
were because they weren't on any records we had, of course.

Q Right. Right. Uh, did you, uh, have occasion to work any homicides, uh, for use with fingerprinting?

A No. No.
Q Okay.
A Nothing in that. We've had, you know, dead body-types things, or expired people calls, but this was no homicides. These were natural deaths on all of them.

Q I see. All right. Now, as a result of having worked in law enforcement, I would imagine you have, uh, some friends who are still in law enforcement?

A Right. That's --
Q And --
A -- correct.
Q And --
A They are actually retired.
Q They are all retired?
A They're all retired, right.
Q However, I note from the questionnaire that you are at least familiar with Investigator, uh, Dedering?

A I'm not sure. That's why I circled it. What would his first name be? Because $I$ do know one. And I don't know --

Q This is a John.
A -- if it's the right one. John?
Q This is a John Dedering, Calumet County?
A Yes, I would know who he was, but that's 20,30 years ago.

Q So you can't say that you're like close friends or --

A No.
Q -- acquaintances --
A No.
Q You just recog --
A Not at all. No.
Q You just recognize the name?
A I just recognize the name. That's why.
Q All right. Who else, um, do you, um -- well, who would you consider a close friend or a close acquaintance, um, that is in law enforcement now or has retired from law enforcement?

A I would say, uh, a retired detective from Manitowoc County, which would be Larry Conrad, and, also, uh, retired chief of police of the city of Manitowoc. I mean, we get together couple times a year, but that's
not where once a week we're together or, you know, we talk once a week. It's three or four times a year. Basically, it's going to car swap meets. That's about it.

Q All right. And what would, uh, that person -the retired, uh, chief's name be?

A Rich Brey. Rich Brey.
Q All right.
A B-r-e-y.
Q Oh.
A Richard.
Q Thank you.
A Okay.
Q All right. Now, um, as you might -- as you might imagine, there's, uh, going to be a -- a fair amount of, um, law enforcement testimony associated with a case like this.

A Um-hmm.
Q And, uh, the question that we all have in our minds, uh, is that since you were a former law enforcement officer, uh, do you think that you could evaluate the testimony of a law enforcement officer the same as you would any other witness in determining whether they were telling the truth or not?

A Yeah. That's the way I was brought up. I -- I would have to answer yes to that.

Q All right. So you wouldn't give them any more slack or any less slack than you would any other witness in evaluating their credibility?

A No, I don't believe so.
Q All right. Now, I did want to touch base with one, um, health-related issue, uh, just in case you were, uh, selected as a juror. You mention you -- uh, you have, um, some high blood pressure which is, uh, treated by medication?

A Um-hmm.
Q Um --
A Exactly.
Q Okay. Uh, is that more or less under control such --

A Oh, yes. Yes. It actually isn't really that high, but they thought just as, you know, kind of a preventive-type thing I take the medication, so --

Q And otherwise then your health is good?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Now, uh, I'm sure you recognize from reading the last, uh, uh, question, uh, that, um, this trial may very well go for, um, six weeks or so. And I believe the Court has already answered
one primary question of yours, and that is the -whether the jury would be sequestered?

A Correct. Yes.
Q Well, since -- assuming that the jurors are able to follow the Judge's instructions that's not likely to happen, um, is there any other reason why you think you might not be able to serve as a juror if you were selected?

A No, absolutely not.
Q All right. Um, the other -- or area of, uh, two -- two other incidental questions, uh, considering your law enforcement experience and that you work with computers and in the stamping business, would you consider yourself a -- a detail-oriented person or more of a big picture guy when it comes to forming opinions or solving problems or the like?

A Probably more of a detail-type person.
Q Okay. Um, and, uh, you hate working on puzzles or is that all right?

A I work on puzzles every day, I guess, so, yeah, I do like it.

Q Okay. Um, very good. Let's talk a little bit about, um, publicity, uh, with this, uh, case. It looks like you get most of your news, uh, from
the television?
A Actually -- Excuse me. Actually, I very rarely watch news.

Q Okay. Um, have you been following the events of this case very much at all?

A Uh, when it first started, you know, when everything came about, then I watched it, but, like I say, I very seldom watch any news or anything. With working full-time, and then $I$ have two part-time, uh, uh, you could say jobs, or whatever, and, uh, businesses, you might say, so I very seldom get the chance to watch news, so $I$ haven't been watching anything in a long time now.

Q All right. Um, did you recently receive a letter from the Court about three weeks ago advising you that there was a possibility you could be a juror in this case and asking that you refrain from watching or reading about any of the coverage of this case?

A Yes, I did.
Q All right. And were you able to abide by that request?

A Yes, I was. Uh-huh.
Q All right. All right. Um, so based on the information that you had early on, you know,
going back a year or so ago, have you formed any opinions about whether Mr. Avery is guilty or innocent of the charge for which he's been, um --

A Actually, I have not.
Q No opinion?
A Because I didn't see both sides of the question or the other side of the coin.

Q Right.
A In other words, like, you only hear one thing, you don't hear both, so --

Q All right. So you're saying that there's just not enough information for you based on your little exposure to it to make any opinion list.

A Absolutely right. Um-hmm.
Q All right. Now, you understand from your previous work, I would imagine, as a law enforcement officer, that Mr. Avery is, uh, presumed innocent unless and until the state can prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

A Yes.

Q Now, as law a law enforcement officer, do you accept that proposition?

A Yes.
Q All right. And, uh, when the Court instructs you on that, that you would follow that instruction?

A Yes.
Q All right. And you understand, of course, that, uh, as part of that whole process, Mr. Avery doesn't have to present a defense at all?

A That's what his Honor told us, uh, when we -- about a week or so ago. He expressed that to us.

Q All right.
A Um-hmm.
Q So you're aware of that and you realize that if they presented no evidence at all, it's entirely -- you'd have to evaluate his guilt or innocence solely on the evidence that Mr. Kratz and I would present to you?

A That would be correct.
Q All right.
A Um-hmm.
Q And if we didn't convince you beyond a reasonable doubt, you'd have to find him not guilty even if he didn't say a word?

A Yes.
Q All right. And do you think --
A That's correct.
Q Do you think you could do that?
A I believe I could.
Q All right. That's all I have.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q Good afternoon.
A Good afternoon.
Q My name's Jerome Buting and this is Dean Strang. We're the attorneys defending Steven Avery.

A Okay.
Q You mentioned that you had two part-time jobs in addition to your job as a, uh, CAD programmer?

A Correct. These are actually two part-time businesses. One is, um, auto cleaning and detailing products, and I've just started a part-time locksmith business.

Q Okay. And those part-time, uh -- the -- the auto detailing thing, is that something you do in the evenings?

A Actually, I don't do the detailing. I sell the products. And it's -- this time of the year you don't sell much of it because of being cold. But in the summer $I$ go to swap meets and sell it there. So usually just products. I don't do the service, actually, myself.

Q I see. Are these things like Armor All and -or -- or similar types of products?

A It's a similar type, yes.
Q Not that name brand though?
A Right. Not that name brand.
Q And the locksmith, uh, business is something that's, uh, recent?

A Right. I been doing it for about three years. But I actually started a business as such just a little over two years ago.

Q And how did you learn how to work on locks?
A I went to a class at, uh, Fox Valley Tech in Appleton. It was a -- over a week class and we became certified.

Q Okay. You have to have some kind of state certification for that or --

A No, you don't, actually. And not in this state.
Q Okay. And so that's just something you do in the evenings or weekends or --

A Correct. That's exactly right.
Q Your, uh, groups or organizations that you mentioned in your questionnaire, um, Associated Locksmiths of America? What -- what is that exactly?

A That's actually a large group of locksmiths. You
belong to that and they give you information. You can buy books or manuals through it. Um, they used to have an online chat line where you could ask questions, but they took care of that, so we can't do that anymore. But it's a lot for information that you might not know or lot of, uh, older locksmiths will put on that you, you know, gain knowledge doing it that way.

Q Okay. You also mention Wisconsin Law Enforcement Officers Association? Are you still a member of that?

A Yeah. I'm an inactive member. But I've been in that since I was in the, uh, police department in Kiel, which was 1977, around in that area.

Q Okay. So do you go -- go to any meetings or anything like that?

A No.
Q Um, by being inactive, I mean, what -- what do you -- do you get publications mailed to you or what --

A Right.
Q -- do you do?
A We -- we do get a -- a publication, but it's -- they call it "inactive." In other words, they'll send you publications, but you don't go to meetings or
anything as such. You know, just -- I give them the money and they send me $a$, uh -- a magazine once in a while or, uh, you know, some information, but that's about as far as that would go.

Q Now, how did you make the transition from being a police officer to a CAD programmer?

A I was -- I started off just working with the sheet metal after I had resigned from the Kiel Department, and, uh, at that point everything was done manually, and they started getting more updated technology with computers, and I gradually worked into that. And that's how I started, and -- and just continued on going into this type of work.

Q So it wasn't something that -- that you started before you left, uh, the police department? It was --

A No.
Q -- something after?
A No.
Q May I ask why you resigned from the police department?

A Uh, was a lot of small town politics. That's, uh, what -- I got to the point where, finally, I didn't believe I was doing the people or the citizens of Kiel justice because I couldn't do my job because of
these politics that were going on. And I felt that, uh, there was nothing I could do, so I ended up resigning.

Q Were there, um, problems that -- that you were having in -- internally in the police department with supervisors or anything of that sort?

A Not so much internally but, uh, it had to do with the city government you might say.

Q I mean, I don't mean to pry, really. It's -it's, uh -- but I -- I need to know a little bit about your background and how you have -- how you come into the -- the situation you might find yourself.

Were -- were they, uh -- I mean,
complaints of this -- that they were -- they had against -- about -- about your job performance or something that --

A It wasn't so much as a job performance. It was like, uh, nit-picky little things like fuzz on your -- the hammer of your gun. And we had cloth seats in the squad car, so you're bound to get that.

But, um, they were to the point where they would walk or ride their bikes at two, three o'clock in the morning, and following me around to see if $I$ was checking doors, of what $I$ was
doing, and it just got to the point where, you know, it was more of a harassment-type thing. It wasn't, they could say, well, you're not doing your job, or you're not doing it well enough, but all this other culmination of nit-picky things and --

Q Um-hmm.
A -- I just --
Q Sure.
A -- didn't feel that $I$ was serving the community to the best I could.

Q And were these, um -- you said city government. Was this like the city Mayor or the --

A Mayor and the -- and some of the councilmen.
Q Um, and were they harassing just you or others in the department as well?

A Actually, it was me, because when I was hired, there were two on the city council that wanted a relation of their's hired at that time, and because he wasn't, that's what started this all off.

Q I see.
A And it just kept getting worse so --
Q Sure. Okay. I understand. You also mentioned, uh, that you knew a Mike Klaeser on the witness list?

A What Mike Klaeser would that be? Because I do know a Mike Klaeser, but if it's the right one or wrong one I don't know.

Q I believe he's the Calumet, uh, coroner?
A No. No. The one $I$ know is, uh -- runs a, uh, bait shop in Kiel. That's Mike Klaeser, so --

Q Okay.
A Okay.
Q Thank you. Well, I don't think we'll be hearing from him. Um, so you have an interest in, uh -in terms of magazines, you seem to have an interest in vehicles; Vintage Trucks, Model T, Tow Times, those --

A Um-hmm. I -- I like older cars. I can't afford them, but I like them.

Q Ever work on them? Uh, you know, kits or doing mechanical work with engines?

A Yeah, on my own vehicles. I've got a -- an '88 Ford Bronco, and that's a show truck, so I do all my own work on that, but --

Q Sort of a hobby you like to do? Work on --
A When I --
Q -- with your hands?
A -- get a chance. Right.
Q Okay. Now, you said you remember some -- from --
well, if -- if -- in terms of your source of knowledge of news, would it be more TV or, um, newspapers, or internet, or what?

A Actually, if $I$ do see any news, it's on the internet. But I don't subscribe to a paper, I don't watch much television, except maybe like the history channel, or discovery, or learning. That's because a lot of times I get home too late to be able to watch news anyway, so --

Q Sure.
A -- it's something that you can get up for the next day going back to work, so --

Q So when you say, "internet," what kind of cites are -- do you get your news from on the internet?

A Actually, it would be like from the Manitowoc Herald Times and --

Q Okay.
A -- Sheboygan Press. Just kind of local news.
Q Okay. So you do get some local news. It's just through the -- the internet instead of --

A Right. And it's very, very seldom, unless there's something that $I$ really wanted to see or find out about. But --

Q You remember when this case first arose, though, is that what you said?

A Yeah.
Q You do recall?
A Yes. Last year sometime. I couldn't tell you when, but --

Q And what do -- what do you recall about it?
A The only thing that $I$ can recall about it was that there was a lady that was missing, and that there was being search -- or she was being searched for, and that who was possibly being accused of this, and where it was, which I have no idea where that was taken place but -- and that's about as far as it went, you know.

Q Do you recall seeing any news conferences or seg -- excerpts from news conferences with Mr. Kratz or Sheriff Pagel?

A No, I don't recall ever seeing that.
Q Does the name Brendan Dassey mean anything to you?

A No, sir.
Q Um, do you know -- remember hearing anything about the nephew of Mr. Avery?

A I've heard about a nephew, but I couldn't say what the name was.

Q Well, can you tell me what you heard about that nephew?

A Um, that he might have had something to do with it. That's what $I$ saw on the news and that's as far as it went.

Q Okay. So you recall something about, um, uh, statements that he may have made initially admitting involvement?

A That's the only thing I do remember.
Q Any of the details of that story that he first told?

A Not really. No, sir.
Q And did -- did you also learn about his later recantations of that confession?

A No, sir, I did not.
Q Okay. Um, have you heard anything about a blood vial involved in this case, potentially?

A No, sir, I have not.
Q Have you talked to any -- I'm sorry. Are you, uh -- you're single? Okay. Um, do you have a significant other that you confide in and talk to --

A No, sir.
Q -- those things? Have you talked to other people in the community about this case at all? Heard their opinions?

A That would have been months ago. Lately, not. I --

I -- since we got the, uh, letter from the Judge, I try to stay out of any conversations or anything like that. If they start, I just tell them I ain't going to talk about it as such, and that's --

Q Sure.
A -- about it.
Q Well, that's good. I appreciate that. But months ago, when you would talk to people, what -- what sort of opinions were you hearing? That he was -- Mr. Avery was probably guilty? Or that he was probably being framed? Or what were you hearing?

A Actually, just about what you were saying. It's both. That he was being framed; no, he was guilty; um, no, he wasn't guilty. And this was personal opinions from people. I have no idea, you know, where they were basing them off of. I would assume TV and rad -- and radio, or whatever, but, you know, I have no clue where they were getting their information.

Q And did you ever -- I mean, did you have any leaning either way yourself when you would hear and talk to these people?

A Not actually, because I don't watch that much about it, and I didn't at the time either, so I really
wasn't up on it to say, yeah, okay, he was, or he is guilty, or, no, he's not guilty, he's being framed, and why he's being framed, I would have no clue, so --

Q Okay. Do you know anything about his background?
A The only thing that $I$ know about a background is possibly because he have a junk dealership or junk yard. That's about it.

Q Well, do you that -- do you know anything about the wrongful conviction that he had? He was in -- where he was in prison and there was, um -exonerated when -- by DNA that matched somebody else for the real crime?

A That I heard about.
Q You did hear about that?
A But I just heard, you know, bits and pieces that that's what had happened, but that's as far as it -it went with that, too.

Q And what do you think about that?
A It's hard to say. If that's the truth, okay, then. But I haven't heard both sides of that story either. And I kind of am one of these detail-type people. Unless you tell me both sides, $I$ can't make an opinion on it.

Q So do you -- so you're not -- you're not sure, in
fact, whether he was actually innocent even of that case?

A I don't know, because I don't know the circumstances. Like you were saying, um, he was exonerated because of something. Well, that's all $I$ know about it. I don't know what it was or what it -- you know, what it entailed. That's just the part that you had mentioned.

Q Do you also recall anything about a lawsuit that was filed after that?

A No, sir.
Q You, uh -- just a few more things here. You -you mentioned, uh, court TV, and then you put, parentheses, "Cops." What -- what does that mean?

A That's a show that they show once in a while on court TV, uh --

Q Oh, I see.
A -- called Cops and --
Q So, you don't -- you watch that particular show. Do you watch trials or any segments of trials?

A No. The only thing that -- and -- and I put it on there, but $I$ can't think the exact name of it. It's got something to do with 48 Hours, where it's homicide, and then they go out and they think they
got the party. It's supposed to be a live-type thing. Lot of times you get the wrong person because of what you're expect -- expecting or suspecting, and when it -- the real stuff comes out, then they wind up that that's not the person anyway. But I get to watch those maybe once, twice a month or so.

Q You mentioned that, uh -- actually, as I look at the question, maybe it wasn't the best phrase. It asks whether you've had any good or bad experiences with Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department. You checked, yes. But then your answer -- I can't tell whether that's good or bad experiences?

A Oh, it was good experiences, because at that time I was, um, in law enforcement. So we would bring people over to the -- the facility across the street, or we'd have to come over here for court. But that was only when $I$ was on the police department. Other than that, I've never had any bad experiences with any law enforcement agency.

Q Either while you were a -- an officer or, uh -either within your own department, or your experience maybe with others, that -- have you ever encountered a situation where police officers maybe shade the truth a little bit?

A I hate to say this, but, yes, I did at one point.
Q To -- now, I'm not going to ask you to name any names or anything of that sort, but did it involve, uh, actually coming into court and testifying under oath?

A It never got that far.
Q Okay.
A It never came to court.
Q Did it involve changing reports or something of that nature, or monkeying around with the evidence, or --

A Doctoring the report a little bit as to times.
Q Okay. And, uh, did anybody ever find out about it?

A Uh, if I'm not mistaken, the police chief did find out about it.

Q Okay. Now, would you be able to listen to police officers testifying here and, uh, consider the possibility that maybe something like that or worse happened in this case?

A It's possible. You know, it, uh -- everybody's human, and, you know, everybody can make a mistake now and then or, you know, change their opinion of something. So I can't say, you know, for certain that because a law enforcement officer walked up here
right now and said, this is what happened, that that's a hundred percent true.

Q But, realistically, uh, if you -- if you search your heart of hearts, you know, as a former police officer still involved with the association and having that affinity to them, do you really think that you would maybe be a little bit partial towards officers coming in and testifying?

A I'm sure, somewhat, you know, being human as I am, I somewhat have that, but you have to listen to the evidence and weigh that evidence of what is going on at the particular time.

Q So it would be hard for you to, uh, consider the possibility that -- that one or more officers in this case may have even planted evidence?

Something that serious?
A I'd hate to think so, but, um, it could be possible. Anything's possible nowadays.

Q And you'd be able to consider that?
A If it came to it, yes.
Q Would you --
A Or there was evidence as such.
Q Okay. And would you require that Mr., uh -Mr. Avery actually prove that to you in order to
find him not guilty?
A I'd have to go with what, uh, the evidence did show. If there was evidence that what this officer said was true, then $I$ would have to worry about somebody saying, no, it isn't true, and explaining it to me why it isn't. In other words, the two sides of that story.

Q Well, if you, um -- if you heard evidence that -that gave you a, um, sus -- reasonable doubt, real concerns, maybe some suspicions that, perhaps, this was done, but not conclusive videotape evidence proving that this -- some evidence was planted in this case, would you -would you consider that as part of the overall evaluation of the evidence of whether or not there's reasonable doubt?

A Oh, certainly. Certainly, I would.
Q Or would you require that Mr. Avery actually prove that conclusively to you before you could even consider it?

A Excuse me. If I had doubts about something that I heard, I would not have to have him prove elsewise. I would, uh, weigh that with the rest of the testimony that would come out and work it from there. In other words, um, it would be maybe he
can't prove that it's wrong, but maybe I have a real good suspicion it is. And then I would have to weigh that with the rest of the things that are being said and shown, etc.

Q Okay. And, uh, you mentioned last week his Honor told you that you, um -- that Mr. Avery has a -a right not to even testify or present any defense at all; right?

A That's correct.
Q Is that a new concept for you?
A I don't think it is. I'd heard of it before. I've never been involved in it, of course. But I've heard of it.

Q Well, you mentioned that you'd -- you're -- you'd like to hear both sides. Several times you've said that in -- in other contexts. So you're the kind of person who likes to hear both sides before you decide something?

A I would like to, but if there is an overwhelming doubt in my mind to where $I$ could not say guilty or innocent right there and then, I could make a judgment then at that point, and then there would not be a reasonable doubt to convict Mr . Avery.

Q Then there would not be or --
A There would be a reasonable doubt not to convict him.

That's what $I$ was trying to say.
Q Okay.
A Not to convict.
Q And would you hold those two lawyers over there to the entire burden of proof? Make them prove Mr. Avery guilty beyond a reasonable doubt and not require Mr . Avery to do anything?

A Well, as far as the Judge had mentioned, I guess that's what is supposed to happen. We have to prove him -- or I shouldn't say myself. But he has to be proven to be guilty as he's assumed innocent right now.

Q I understand the Judge is going to instruct you of that. What I just want to understand is can you -- I mean, for some people that's hard. Not everybody is a perfect jury for every case.

Some cases are -- you know, you may be a very good juror in one case, but not in another.

A Um-hmm.
Q And sometimes people come into a case and say -and in their heart of hearts they think, you know, I really just don't think this is right for me. Um, I would have to hear from Mr. Avery first, for instance. Is that something that -that you might worry about or might have concerns
about?
A That would be hard to say, because I'm not in the situation right now where Mr. Avery is not going to testify or whatever. But, I'd still have to go with the premise that he is innocent until he's actually proven guilty. So if he doesn't want to testify, that's his, uh, choice and his position.

Q Well, do you realize that there could be a lot of reasons why someone -- a defendant may not testify? In other words, can you imagine someone to be innocent and still not testify?

A I can imagine it could be.
Q Okay. And so if the Judge instructs you, you can't consider that if that happens, you have to -- you'll be able to follow that?

A Right. Exactly.
Q And, on the other hand, if he does testify, and he might, there's a whole lot of things that -to be decided, would you be able to listen to his testimony just like any other witness?

A Sure. Exactly. I would have no problem with that.
Q So having thought about all of this, and understanding some of the issues, not a lot of it is -- well, is this really -- is this really a jury you think you can or should sit on?

A I believe I should. I believe I really should, because --

Q Why?
A -- I don't have a bias one way or the other right now. Um, I can't say whether he's guilty or innocent because $I$ don't know anything about the trial as such, or about the accusations, or anything else, being that $I$ don't see very much news, etc., I don't watch it, and, um, so I would be a -- a biased person and have to weigh the evidence on both sides to find out which was which, and whether he would be, in my eyes, guilty or he would be innocent.

Q All right. Well, thank you, sir. Appreciate it.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Guckeisen. The, uh, clerk will escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Counsel, any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, $I$ do have a motion, actually, and it's not to -- to strike this juror, yet, but it's to defer a decision on it, because -- and I -- I -- as I look at the computer-generated random list, um, taking jurors
out of order hasn't been a problem to now, but as I look at it now, we're getting close to the end, and it's possible that, um, there may be four other jurors in line that we would end up seating, or should end up seating before this juror.

And, in fairness, to make sure that there's completely random and that both sides have a fair opportunity, I think we should go back to that and, uh, if -- you know, if we need to talk to Mr. Defore (phonetic), for instance, out of line, he might -- let's see. I -- I see that there's, um -- he would be the fourth in line anyway, so, you know, we might be able to do that, but, you know, it may very well be that those next four would be seated as proper jurors, in which case we would never get to this one.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Um, in -- interesting argument, but we're in the same boat, I guess. If we have a juror who passes, I think we ought to seat them. Um, it -- sure, we have concerns regarding the next, uh, several jurors being called in as well. Uh, in fact, um, I have my doubts as to whether a couple of them will make
the grade as it were.
Um, if he -- if he, uh, passes, he passes. He should be seated. Um, the fact that he happened to be here early or whatever, is -is fine. Uh, you know, it works both ways as the next several jurors will soon --

THE COURT: All right.
ATTORNEY FALLON: -- demonstrate here.
THE COURT: Well, um, it's probably a reason for, uh, not taking any jurors, after Mr. Guckeisen, on the list from here on out until we've got them. Um, I think there's something to be said for the defense's argument.

What I'm going to do at this time is include him, or at least on a contin -conditional basis, include him as a member of the jury given the instruction that he may be called back.

Uh, if we somehow do wind up with enough jurors in front of him, uh, then, uh, we'll take the ones in order in front of him.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: So, next we're -- Uh, yes, we'll take Mr. Defere next. And after that, we go back in order.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, Judge, what -you know, the -- the very same argument will come up with respect to this prospective juror as well, whether it's the defense who makes the motion or we make the motion.

ATTORNEY BUTING: He's No. 4, I believe and -- or am -- am I missing -- miscounting this? Oh, I'm skipping Wichlacz -- oh, so maybe --

THE COURT: We're going to take Mr. Defere, then I'll go back exactly in order.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right.
THE COURT: Uh, Mr. Defere, please raise your right hand and the clerk will swear you in.

THE CLERK: Please be seated. (Juror sworn)

THE COURT: Um, Mr. Defere, you've already completed a written jury questionnaire in this case. Uh, today we're moving on to the next step of the jury selection process which is voir dire.

The attorneys for each of the parties will have a chance to ask you some questions today that relate to your qualifications as a juror. In many cases, there'll be follow-up questions to the answers that you gave in your written questionnaire.

Uh, before we begin with the questioning, $I$ can tell you that the jury in this case is not going to be sequestered. That means that at the end of the trial each day the jurors will be permitted to go home. Um, we're able to do that because there'll be a continuing obligation on the part of the jurors not to learn anything from the news media about the case. That is, not to, uh, learn anything from radio, television, newspapers, or the internet about the case, and, also, not to discuss -- make sure you don't discuss the case with anyone, including any other members of the jury, until it's time to deliberate at the close of the trial, uh, or any -- anyone else, including members of your family, during the trial.

These proceedings are open to the public today, but the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during the jury selection process. Uh, in addition, the media is not allowed to disclose the name of the jurors, uh, as we con -conduct this process.

And if you are selected for the jury, uh, you should know that during the trial there may be cameras in the courtroom, but they're not
permitted to focus on the jurors in any manner that individually identifies them.

Uh, if you, uh, remain on the jury panel, you'll receive instructions before you leave today, uh, as to, uh, when you may be called back.

Mr. Fallon, are you handling this one for the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I am.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Defere.
A Good afternoon.
Q My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice, uh, and I am one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr. Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney and lead special prosecutor in this prosecution.

Um, thanks for coming in this afternoon. Uh, we have some, uh, questions to ask, um, of you based on the information you provided in your questionnaire last week, all right?

A I understand.
Q All right. And if you could speak clearly so our
reporter here can take down what you're saying, that would really help us out. Um, I want to begin with, uh, you are currently not employed; is that correct?

A Yes. I'm a student at LTC.
Q And what are you studying at LTC?
A My GED.
Q I'm sorry?
A My GED.
Q Okay. And that's, uh -- just so I'm clear, you're working for your, uh, graduate equivalency degree? Your high school degree?

A Yes, sir.
Q All right. How long have you been employed in that capacity? Or, excuse me, going back to school in other words?

A Since I've been laid off in late October.
Q And --
A Using time wisely.
Q I'm sorry?
A Use the time wisely.
Q All right. Where were you laid off from?
A Tower Tech.
Q Okay. And what did you do for them?
A Yard man.

Q How long were you employed by them?
A Three-and-a-half-months.
Q And why were you laid off?
A I believe they got slow. They -- they never really gave me an answer. They laid several -- several of us off at the same time.

Q Okay. They never gave you an explanation?
A No, not really. They left me a voicemail one morning and just said they didn't need us no more.

Q Okay. Did you, uh, happen to call in and ask, hey, what's up, or what's going on?

A Yes. And most $I$ got from it was slow or nothing really direct.

Q Are you collecting any unemployment?
A Yes, I am.
Q And how long have you been collecting unemployment?

A Since, I believe, November 1.
Q All right. Uh, and your, uh, wife works I take it?

A Yes.
Q All right. Now, um, if you were, uh, selected as a juror in this particular case, there's a, uh, good possibility that your service would last for a period of six weeks. Um, would that cause any
economic hardship on you and/or your wife, uh, during that time where you're, uh, here, uh --

A No, not really.
Q All right. You think you'd have adequate funds to, uh, get you through this time frame?

A Yes.
Q All right. Very good. Prior to working at, um, Tower Tech, where did you -- where were you employed?

A Labor Ready.
Q And what did you do for them?
A That was a temporary service. I worked at Northern Labs for awhile.

Q And what did you do in that capacity?
A Forklift driver.
Q All right. And how long did you work there?
A Um, probably about three months until I got hired at Tower Tech.

Q All right. And, uh, prior to that, where were you employed?

A Um, probably through Labor Ready, couple other temporary services. Um, I couldn't tell you names offhand right now. They bounced me around a little bit.

Q All right. Well, tell us about the job that
you've held the longest.
A Mirro.
Q And how long did you work for them?
A Little over six years.
Q All right. And what did you do for them?
A Material handler, forklift driver.
Q And, um, how come you are not with that company?
A The place shut down and moved to Mexico.
Q Okay. All right. So has it been a little tough
finding steady work since then?
A Yes. Um, for about a year we moved down to Waukesha,
and there $I$ went to school for ATS. That's how I
ended up back in Manitowoc, but --
Q All right.
A -- it was my goal. I want a house up here, too.
Q Now, uh, I understand you belong to one, uh -- a
motorcycle club?
A Yes, I do.
Q And what's the name of that club?
A Immortals --
Q All right. And what's --
A -- Motorcycle Club.
Q I'm sorry?
A Immortals Motorcycle Club.
Q And what type of club -- club is that?

A It's a motorcycle club. Just bunch of guys get together. They ride together. We have charities, a Wal-Mart charity. And just hang out.

Q All right. Now, is it, uh -- does it have a good reputation? This motorcycle club?

A To be honest, some people have a bad reputation with it, some people are hard workers and have families, or --

Q Uh-hmm.
A -- upright citizens.
Q Uh, do some consider it a -- a gang, for lack of a better term?

A I don't.
Q Well, I mean, how about others?
A I don't think so. We're considered a club.
Q All right. Uh, as a club, have you had any, uh, encounters with law enforcement officers?

A Um, not me directly. As a club, you know -- club, you know, oriented, but I'm sure there's other members that have been.

Q All right. But has, uh, law enforcement had, uh, problems with the club? The organization as itself?

A Um, trying to think. Probably before $I$ was in. Q All right. How long have you been a member of
this club?
A Um, about four years.
Q And when did you join?
A Was it -- maybe 2001, maybe. I couldn't tell you offhand.

Q All right. What were the initiation, uh, responsibilities to gain admittance to the club?

A Um, just be around. Be a good member. Help people out. Somebody was moving or something, they call you up, go give them a hand.

Q Okay. All right. Now, in terms of your, um, association with this club, uh, have you ever had any direct contact with members of law enforcement?

A Not with the club, but I have separately.
Q All right. And, in fact, you've had a number of -- of contacts with law enforcement; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q All right. Um, uh, a number of arrests; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q All right. Uh, tell us about those, please?
A Where do you want me to start?
Q Uh, well, the beginning is always a good spot.

A I had a problem with drinking for a long time.
Q Okay.
A I've got several drunk drivings.
Q All right.
A I got a few disorderly conducts. I couldn't tell you how many.

Q Okay. Um, how about a battery complaint?
A Yeah, I probably got one of them.
Q All right. And, uh, how many drunk drivings do you think you've had?

A Three. Not proud of them.
Q All right. And how many disorderly conducts?
A I probably had a couple.
Q All right.
A You probably know better than me.
Q So you've had a -- a fair amount of contact with the law enforcement system; is that correct?

A Yes, I do.
Q And when was the last time you were arrested and convicted of an offense, sir?

A Um, December, maybe.
Q December of --
A Sheboygan County. December of this last year.
Q All right. December of '06?
A Yep.

Q All right. And what was that for?
A Um, I believe it was battery. It was altercation in a bar.

Q Excuse me. Is, um -- is that matter still pending, sir?

A No, that's closed.
Q It is? All right. Were you convicted of battery?

A Yes.
Q Are you on probation?
A No.
Q Uh, what -- what was the penalty?
A I believe it was just a fine.
Q You believe or it was?
A That's all I paid. I was -- I didn't get nothing else out of it.

Q I'm sorry?
A I didn't get nothing else out of it. It was just a fine.

Q All right. So you've had a number of disorderly conducts, at least two batteries, and three OWI convictions?

A Yes.
Q All right. So, would it be fair to say that you had, um, significant contact with law enforcement
officers in your life?

A Yes.
Q All right. And, uh, you are, uh, just so I'm clear, 34 years of age?

A Yes.
Q Now, let's talk about your, uh, contact with law enforcement. In those cases were you treated fairly?

A Yes.
Q All right. You have no problem with the activities of the law enforcement officers?

A Nope.
Q All right. Let's -- let's take those, uh, OWI, uh, matters. Um, did you plead guilty to those offenses or did you take any of those to trial?

A The last one I took to court.
Q All right.
A To trial.
Q All right. And, uh, where was that, sir?
A Uh, Manitowoc County.
Q All right. And, um, do you know, uh, which branch of court or who your judge was?

A I think it was this courtroom.
Q All right.
A I couldn't tell you which judge it was anymore.

Q All right. And, uh, during the course of that, uh, uh, trial, was there testimony from the law enforcement officers?

A I believe so. Yes.
Q All right. You believe so?
A I -- it's been five years, Your Honor. Yes, I believe -- or District Attorney. I'm nervous. Yes, there was testimony.

Q All right. And, uh, how many officers testified in that case?

A One, two. I couldn't remember. There was a couple.
Q All right. Uh, in -- in your own defense, were any witnesses called?

A I believe so. I -- I don't remember.
Q You don't remember.
A I -- I believe so, but I don't remember.
Q Did you testify?
A I think -- yeah, yeah, I did. I did.
Q All right. And, uh, you were convicted?
A Yes, I was.
Q All right. Did the law enforcement officers tell the truth?

A Best of their abilities, yes. Best of their ability, you know, yes.

Q All right. And did you tell the truth?

A Yes.
Q All right. Um, if they were telling the truth and you were telling the truth, both of you can't be right, can they?

A Um, how do I explain? I was wrong for the drunk driving. I did it. But circumstances happened weren't quite accurate.

Q As reflected how, sir?
A The way things went. That -- when he entered my house, when it all went down, I think there was confusion. It was dark. I don't think things went down the right -- everything was seemed.

Q All right. Well, what does that have to do with whether you were driving under the influence or not?

A My attorney, I think, was trying to get me off on a technicality.

Q All right.
A And -- and that's where $I$ think he was coming around where the whole -- the whole situation wasn't right.

Q Well, did the officers, uh, testify truthfully
regarding your driving ability that night?
A Um, I wasn't in the car. I was in my house.
Q All right. But were you driving?
A Yes, but no one seen me.

Q Okay. Were you -- had you been drinking when you were driving?

A Yes.
Q All right. And you were under the influence when you were driving?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you -- and you testified that you were not under the influence?

A I never said that. It -- it's -- what it was, was the way they entered my house. It's not -- it's not really about the officers being right/wrong. They testified. I did. But some laws my lawyer believed were broken when they entered my house.

Q All right.
A That's -- that was the -- what my lawyer had -- was going across trying to get to the bottom of.

Q All right.
A It's been over, like, five years. Like I said, I don't remember everything right now.

Q All right. Well, how about in this, uh, very last case? The one you -- where you were convicted of battery? Uh, what happened in that case?

A We were out. I just got out of school -- AT -- ATS School, Sun Prairie. Friend of mine wanted to take
me out. I got back, so we went out. He brought another --

COURT REPORTER: Slow down, please.
JUROR DEFERE: Oh, okay. I apologize. And he wanted to take me out for a couple of drinks. We met up with a friend of his. Um, he got in some trouble at -- at a bar, and we told him, leave. Get away from us.

So we went on our merry way and did what we had to do. And then we ran into him little bit later on in the evening. We walked in. He was -- he's a very mouthy person. He was getting beat on about -- by about three, four people. I noticed it right away and $I$ went over to his defense. I regret going over to his defense because he never thanked me afterwards. And then we all -- we got arrested.

Q (By Attorney Fallon) All right. And, uh, you were convicted of battery?

A Yep.
Q All right.
A I didn't fight it. I -- I knew I was wrong. I should have turned my head and let him get beat on because he -- he had it coming.

Q Right.

A Maybe it sounds bad but it's true.
Q All right. Now, let me go back to something for a minute. Um, how about your other, uh, drunk driving matters? Uh, were the -- did you review the police reports associated with your arrests?

A The other two drunk drivings?
Q Yes.
A Um, right. Them were like probably '94, '95. I haven't look at them in years, but $I$ was driving. I got -- I was arrested, drunk drivings. No excuse, but, actually, going into remembering the details of the police report, I don't remember none of that no more.

Q All right. Well, were you treated fairly by the officers?

A Yes.
Q All right. And, uh, uh, they were truthful in their representations in the reports?

A I would think so. Yes, I would think so. It's been so many years.

Q All right. But you don't know?
A I don't remember.
Q All right.
A That's probably the correct way of saying it.
Q Well, there's going to be a fair amount of law
enforcement testimony associated with this case, Mr. Defere, and, uh, the question of, uh, concern to all of us, and especially the State here, is whether or not you can evaluate the law enforcement officers' testimony, uh, fairly and impartially, and given your, uh, criminal history, do you think you can do that?

A Yes, because if $I$ can't, because this is a big case, there's a lot of people on the line here, if I feel that I can't be honest, I would tell you right now I can't be honest about it.

Q All right. And what makes you think that you will be, uh, comfortable in evaluating the testimony of the police officers in this case?

A If I feel uncomfortable about anything that goes on here, I will let whoever I need to know that I cannot be on the jury no more.

Q All right.
A If I feel impartial towards anybody, or I don't understand or anything, I'm going to come forward because this is -- this is not a joke. This is not a drunk driving case.

Q All right. All right. Appreciate that. Now, have you been following this case at all?

A No, not really. We don't have local channels. We
got Sat -- Dish Network and we got no basic channels. My wife tells me a little bit about it, but that's about it. I told -- not since I had to come in here, I -- anybody's talked to me, I told them, I don't want to know anything about it.

Q All right. What have you heard about the case?
A Mr. Avery's -- Mr. Avery's been arrested for murder. There's some blood stuff in a folder or something, and didn't -- going to court back and forth. Like, I haven't really been following this seriously.

Q All right. What else do you, uh, recall hearing about the publicity in this case?

A I don't -- you can't believe everything you hear.
Q Right.
A It's --
Q Well, have you heard -- have you heard anything about a -- a guy by the name of Dassey?

A Oh, the broth -- the cousin or -- the cousin of it? Cousin of Mr. Avery? Yeah, I've heard -- yeah, he's -- he's -- yeah, he's accused of the murder, too.

Q All right. What do you remember hearing about his involvement?

A He's party to a crime.
Q All right.

A I don't -- I don't know a whole great deal about it.
Q Well, do you recall any of the details which were, uh, supposedly, um, described by Mr. Dassey upon his arrest?

A No, I don't remember. And I've been purposely not watching the news or paying any attention to it. I -- before I really paid much attention to it. I got enough things in my own life to really care about anybody else.

Q I won't argue with that.
A I don't drink no more. If you're thinking of drunk drivings.

Q My, um, question, um, is that, uh, can you tell us a little bit about what your wife told you about the case in your discussions with her?

A That's been well over a week ago. She follows it. I don't.

Q She follows the case?
A Yeah. I don't.
Q Does she follow it pretty closely?
A Not really. She -- she hears something on the news before she goes to bed. That's about it.

Q Well, then how would you know if she's been following the case?

A She watches TV. She watches TV at night. I know she
watches it.

Q How do you know she watches it?
A She watched -- Well, she goes to bed at night, I'm in the living room watching my shows, and she goes in the bedroom and watches her TV every night.

Q Okay.
A So --
Q I guess if you haven't talked that much to her, I'm just curious as to how you would know that she's watching the coverage of this as opposed to anything else?

A She'll watch the news in general. She watch -whatever comes on, she watches it.

Q Okay. Including this?
A Yeah. See, in -- let me explain. In our bedroom, we have no satellite, and she has a little TV, black and white TV, that's where she watches our local stuff. I sit in my living room, my 40-inch -- 40-inch TV and I watch my shows. My sci-fi, my history channels, that's what $I$ get into. Public news and local stuff like that don't trip my trigger. Okay. All right. But, uh, so you've at least had some discussions, I take it, with your wife about what's going on with this case?

A Before I was -- I had to come in here.

Q All right?
A But not a whole lot, because don't -- stuff like this -- this murder cases, or anything with -- or forensics doesn't trip my trigger.

Q All right.
A It does my wife. I'll be honest about that.
Q Okay. Just a couple, uh, questions and I'll be done. Do you have any opinion at all, Mr., uh, Defere, as to whether, uh, Mr. Avery is guilty of the offense for which he's charged?

A I can't make that -- I can't make assumption.
Q All right. Why not?
A The trial's not done.
Q Right.
A Haven't heard all the facts.
Q Right. And what if the only facts you hear in the case are those presented by the State, and he chooses to present no facts at all?

A Told me it's up to just go by the facts. Whatever is presented to us is all we got to go on.

Q All right. And if the State fails to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt, would you acquit Mr. Avery?

A Would that be the -- would that be the -- what we'd have to do? I don't understand what you're saying
right now.
Q All right. Well, you -- you've had, uh, a case of your own where the jury was instructed that if they were going to convict you of drunk driving, they had to be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that you were, uh, driving under the influence; right?

A Yeah.
Q All right.
A So -- so you're basically saying if the facts are stated that if you brought the facts out stating that he was guilty? Is that what you're saying?

Q Right. Well, what if -- what if we didn't quite convince you beyond a reasonable doubt, but yet you didn't hear anything from the, uh -- from Mr. Avery and his lawyers? Would you find him guilty or would you find him not guilty?

A I don't know what $I$ would do.
Q You don't know what you'd do?
A I don't -- I don't know what I would do. I -- I don't.

Q Well, if the score -- Court were to instruct you that you don't have to, um, uh -- you can't hold it against him if he chose not to put any evidence in, if he chose not --

A Okay. Okay.
Q -- to testify?
A I follow you. Um, so basically is saying if he's -if -- I guess I'd have to go with the evidence. If -- if there's not enough to convict him, then that's the way it would have to be.

Q All right. So what would you determine -- would you vote guilty or not guilty then?

A Probably not guilty.
Q All right.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Um, I notice that my time's about -- I'll pass this juror.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting?

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q Good afternoon, sir. Um, my name's Jerome Buting, and this is Dean Strang, and we're both the attorneys representing Steven Avery here today. I appreciate your coming here and being so forthright.

A I'm nervous.
Q I can understand that. Almost everybody who sits up there is. Really. You're not alone.

Um, Mr., uh, Fallon went through great detail your background and your contact with the
police, but I take it that you can put aside any of those instances and not let that affect you in evaluating the testimony or the evidence in this case; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And, in fact, in, uh, most of those instances it seems like, uh, you admit you were in the wrong?

A I'm the -- yes, I'm no angel.
Q Okay. You've had some problem with alcohol and you've been honest about that. We appreciate that. Really. And you're trying to work on that right now?

A Since I've been married and my wife don't drink, I don't. Very seldom. I'll admit, I drink maybe once a month, but it's better than what it used to be, four times a week, but --

Q Okay.
A I got a good woman.
Q I'm glad to hear that. And you, um -- you feel confident that if selected for this jury, you could follow the Judge's instructions?

A Yes.
Q And that you would bring whatever life experiences you have to this case just like any other jury -- juror; right?

A Could you, um, explain what you mean?
Q All right. Let -- that may be a bad question. But you're, uh -- despite whatever contacts you may have had with the police, you're not going to be un -- unduly favorable or sympathetic to the defense; right?

A What's in my past has got no part with this.
Q Has nothing to do with Mr. Avery at all?
A No. This -- this is between right or wrong and -and what happened. If I -- if anything that's my life is going to affect me or affect anything going on here, I -- I'd tell you -- I'd tell you right now, I'm not the person to pick.

Q I appreciate that, sir. I -- I really do. Um, I think you understand this is very serious matter for both sides.

A It's -- it's also going to be a burden on all of us jurors. It's going to be a burden on -- on us. We got to put our life on hold for six weeks. It's going to affect me looking for a job and, you know, so on and so on, my wife, and daily -- daily things to do around the house and --

Q Sure. But --
A It's not a joke.
Q And knowing all that, you're still willing to --
to serve and do your civic duty?
A It's my duty, just like there -- our soldiers are overseas fighting for us. If we can't be proud Americans like they're being proud over there, what good are they -- are they fighting for? Got to be that way.

Q All right. Thank you very much, sir. Appreciate it.

THE COURT: All right. Uh, Mr. Defere, the, uh, clerk will escort you from the courtroom.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Counsel? Mr. Fallon?
ATTORNEY FALLON: May I confer?
THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Obviously, this juror causes the State some concern, so we're deliberating as to whether we want to make a motion to strike for cause. And I guess on balance, we are going to make that request. Um, it's a close question in some respects, and in others, a rather clear one.

We're going to move that the juror be struck for cause on the, uh, theory of -- of objective bias. Um, although the juror's actual answers seemed adequate, some would say more than
adequate, to, uh, justify seating the juror, I'm concerned that given the big picture here, that those answers may not very well be credible.

We have an individual, um -- and he'd like us to believe that he's turning his life around and he's, uh, straightening himself out, uh, apparently recently getting married, etc., but I guess even that does not ring true. After all, he has a December, 2006 conviction for battery in Sheboygan County, and by my account, that is only, uh, six weeks ago, seven. Uh, this is an individual with, uh, three OWI convictions, several disorderly conducts, and another additional battery conviction, with numerous law enforcement contacts.

I also, uh, have a question, uh, to doubt the, uh, circumstances surrounding his affiliation with the motorcycle club as being entirely on the up and up.

I think that applying the objective bias standard, uh, could a reasonable person hearing all they have heard about Mr. Defere come to a reasoned conclusion that he could give the State a fair shake? I think one would have to say, not
withstanding his answers, that a reasonable person would not come to that conclusion. And, uh, as a result, given the extensive criminal history and the recency of it, uh, 2005 and 2006, uh, we'd ask the Court to strike this juror for cause.

Um, like I said, the, uh -- the words seemed good, but they don't match, I don't think, the background and the recent history, and I -I'm unconvinced by his demeanor. Uh, he strikes me as somebody who's a little too anxious and a little too willing to waive the flag.

And I know that may sound harsh, um, but that's our assessment of this juror and would ask that he be struck for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, we object to
that. There's no -- no grounds for cause for this juror. Yes, I can understand why the State doesn't want him on the panel. Obviously, the State will intend to use a peremptory given the rather grueling cross-examination Mr. Fallon gave this young man, but the fact of the matter is not only did he answer the questions appropriately, the -- the explanations were appropriate and his
demeanor was appropriate.
This is a man who, yes, he's had some difficulties with the law and he freely admitted it, but I didn't hear him blaming the State for any of them. He's blamed himself. He admits he had an alcohol problem. Even the most recent one, he admits he was trying to help a friend and he should have stayed out of it. He's not blaming the State.

He's not somebody coming in here and saying, you know, I've got a vendetta against the police or against prosecutors. It's a young man who's had difficulties, but there's no reason to think that he can't put those aside like any other juror who's -- former police officers, if we want to believe his answers.

All jurors bring their life experiences with them collectively to the jury, and this gentleman has every bit as much a right to do his civic duty, to sit on this jury, as anyone else. So I -- I -- I think there's absolutely no record to justify striking this juror for cause, and I would move to deny the State's motion.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I -- I
understand why the, uh, State would be initially,
uh, concerned, given the juror -- or the juror's, uh, record, um, but I have to say that based on not only the content of his answers, but his demeanor, uh, I found him to believable -- be believable. It would have been, um -- I'm sure it was difficult, in a courtroom of a number of people, for him to, uh, discuss and admit his criminal past, although it's misdemeanors and, um, uh, drunk driving, and it didn't involve felonies, but I -- I thought he was believable and forthright.

He acknowledged his culpability, I think, with respect to virtually every conviction that he had. It's true that he did contest, uh, his -- I believe it was his most recent OWI, but he, frankly, indicated that it was based more not on his own feeling that he was not guilty, but on a theory of defense his attorney recommended, and he pursued it.

He said on a couple of it -- and a -- a couple of occasions he believed that he had been treated fairly by officers, and I just didn't detect anything in his answers to, um, suggest that, uh, he was not being forthright with those answers.

Um, he also seemed to have a solid grasp on the fact that this case involves charges more serious than any of the ones he was involved with himself. And, um, I -- I think he is -- he is aware of the fact, he recognizes the responsibility, and, uh, has indicated that he could, um, uh, safely face that responsibility and be a part of the jury in this case. So I'm going to deny the motion and make him part of the jury panel.

Uh, let's see. Jenny, how are you doing? Do you want a break or do you want to take one?

COURT REPORTER: Let's do one more.
THE COURT: Okay. How about Mr.
Wichlacz?
THE CLERK: We have --
THE COURT: Well, no, we're taking them in order now. Oh, oh, is he here yet?

THE CLERK: I don't know. There were only three ladies in there when I was there.

THE COURT: Okay. How about -- well --
ATTORNEY FALLON: He's not -- No. 68 was, uh -- or is he not here yet either?

THE COURT: Well, for --

THE CLERK: I'll go check.
THE COURT: Yeah. See if he's here. Let's start taking them in order.

Ms. Barber, please raise your right hand and the -- the clerk will administer the oath. (Juror sworn)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Uh, Ms. Barber, you've already filled out a written jury questionnaire in this case last week. Today we're moving on to the next phase of jury selection, which is the voir dire process.

The attorneys for both sides will have an opportunity to ask you some questions that relate to your qualifications to serve as a juror. In many cases those questions will relate back to, um, answers that you gave on your written questionnaire.

Before we begin the questioning, I can let you know that the, uh, jurors selected to hear this case will not be sequestered. That means the jurors will be permitted to go home each night after the day's proceedings. And, uh, because of that fact, there will be a continuing uh, ban on any juror exposure to news media accounts of the case, whether it be on
television, radio, the newspapers, the internet, or anywhere else.

In addition, the jurors will be prohibited from discussing the case with anyone during the course of the trial, including any family members, or even the other jurors, until all of the evidence in the case has been received.

Today's proceedings are, uh, not closed to the public, but during voir dires, uh, proceedings, the Court does not allow cameras in the courtroom. In addition, the members of the news media are not permitted to disclose the names of the jurors in their reports of the court proceedings today.

Uh, finally, you should know that if you're selected to serve as a juror in this case, there may be cameras in the courtroom, but they're not permitted to show the faces of the jurors.

Uh, in the event that you remain on the jury panel after questioning today, you'll receive further instructions, probably by a telephone call later today, as to when to report back to court. Mr. Kratz, you may begin.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Barber.
A Good afternoon.
Q I'm Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney, who will be serving as lead prosecutor in this case. With me this afternoon is Tom Fallon. Mr. Fallon's an Assistant Attorney General. He works for the Department of Justice. He'll be assisting me not just this afternoon, but also through the presentation of -- of this trial.

As the Judge has explained, there are some follow-up questions to your answers given, uh, in your questionnaire, uh, that we need to ask of you.

Um, first of all, you, um, still work at, uh, Worthington Cylinders; is that correct?

A Yes, I do.
Q And that's in Chilton --
A Yes.
Q -- is that correct? All right. How long have you, uh, worked at that position?

A Twenty-eight years. I had to try and think.

Q All right. You've indicated on the, um, questionnaire that you had previous employment at Chilton Products; is that correct?

A Yes. Um, Worthington Cylinders took over the Chilton Products half of the cylinders, so altogether in that company building I've been there 28 years. Worthington -- I've been there with Worthington for four, because they bought out --

Q All right. I know that, but probably nobody else in this room does.

A Okay.
Q That -- that's why I -- I asked that, Ms. Barber.
A Okay.
Q The, um, connection with, uh, Chilton, that is the connection with Calumet County, also, uh, causes you to have some familiarity with Mr. Pagel; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Can you describe that?
A Actually, Jerry and I went to school together.
Q All right.
A And that's how I know him. I know him enough to go to him and say, hi, Jer, how's things, and -All right. Have you had any discussions with Mr. Pagel about this particular case?

A No, I haven't.
Q You were aware, however, early on of Mr. Pagel's, uh, involvement in the investigation of this case?

A Actually, not. The last time $I$ saw him, none of this had happened, so --

Q What I'm saying is, you realized early on in this investigation --

A Oh.
Q -- that Mr . Pagel was involved in the investigation?

A Yes. That's why I put it down.
Q And did you also realize early on that my office, that is the Calumet County D.A.'s Office, uh, had taken over responsibility of the prosecution in the case?

A No, not really.
Q All right. Ms. Barber, where is it that you, uh, most often receive your news?

A Most often, uh, television.
Q And, uh, had you recalled, and as you sit here this afternoon, do you recall, uh, television news stories regarding this case?

A Yes.
Q Let's go way back to the beginning of this case
in early November of 2005. Did you remember reports of, uh, Teresa Halbach having been missing and there was some missing persons or search efforts for her?

A Yes.
Q You didn't participate in the search for Ms. Halbach at all, did you?

A No, I didn't.
Q Do you know Ms. Halbach's family or, uh, anybody that might be related to her?

A No.
Q You live in Valders; is that right?
A Yes.
Q Which would be just over the Calumet County/Manitowoc County border; is that right?

A About seven miles in, yes.
Q All right. Now, uh, you don't know Investigator Mark Wiegert?

A No, I don't.
Q Ms. Barber, uh, you mentioned in your report that you've had some contact with law enforcement, uh, officials. Uh, we're going to talk about your son in -- in -- in just a moment, but --

A Okay.
Q -- um, have you personally had any contact with
law enforcement officials that in any way has left a bad taste in your mouth about police officers generally?

A No.
Q Have you had any contacts with law enforcement that, uh, have been positive in nature? They've helped you either, um, solve a crime for which you may have been a victim or, uh, maybe just helped on -- on some citizen call that you might have made?

A I have to answer no to that. Um, if I could preface some of it?

Q Sure. Go ahead.
A I'm a member of the Calumet County Fair Board. Every Labor Day weekend I was in charge of cleaning the restrooms for the fair. The police officers patrol the grounds all night. My contact with some of them have been through the course of the evening, sitting and having a cup of coffee at three o'clock in the morning when we were finished.

Q Okay.
A You know, all of those are positive things.
Q Would that be Calumet County Sheriff's, uh, Officers, or city of Chilton officers, or both that --

A Both.
Q -- would help with that? Are you familiar with, uh, the former chief, uh, Mr. Albedyll, from Chilton?

A Yes, I am.
Q And would those, uh, contacts have been positive as well?

A Definitely.
Q Now, Ms. Barber, you had, uh, mentioned quite candidly in your responses that your son had had, uh, a legal problem or run-in with the law?

A Okay. I had two different sons.
Q Two different sons?
A Two different counties.
Q Two different counties, two different run-ins?
A Yes.
Q Let's talk about, uh, the one that you mentioned here. There was a -- a burglary, uh, conviction? Uh, which, uh --

A That was my youngest son.
Q Your youngest? And about how long ago did that happen?

A Oh, I'm going to say 18 years.
Q So quite a long time ago?
A Yeah. He was -- he just turned 18 and you know how
stupid they can be at --
Q I do.
A -- 18.
Q I have a --
A Me, too.
Q -- 19-year-old son. What, uh -- what county did
that occur in?
A Calumet.
Q And the, um, prosecution, um, since it was 18
years ago, um, may have been actually just before
I became district attorney, Mr. Poppy was
probably the D.A. then?
A Yes, he was.
Q Is there anything about that prosecution, uh,
that you felt your son was treated unfairly or
anything -- anything like that?
A Um, well, I felt the kid that was in it -- it with
him should have gotten a little harsher. The kid
with him was only 17 and he got slapped on the hand
and --
Q Well, since I wasn't involved, I'm going to ask
you a very, uh, direct question. The fact that,
um, my predecessor, the former district attorney,
was involved in that case, would you in any way
hold that prosecution against our case here?
That is, against either me --

A No, not at all.
Q -- or our --
A I didn't hold it against the Court either. You know, he -- he deserved what he got. I just felt it should have gone a little further.

Q I understand. There was also, um, at least after his conviction, you mentioned some, uh, misunderstanding or some problem with his receiving, um, Huber or --

A No.
Q -- work release privileges?
A Other son.
Q Oh, we're on the different case?
A Exactly.
Q All right. Let me just finish up with your youngest son. Was there --

A All right.
Q -- anything else -- you'll have to wait until I'm done talking. She can't take down both of us at the same time.

A Okay.
Q Is there anything about your youngest son's conviction, um, that, uh, was either handled inappropriately, uh, or after his, um,
supervision or contact with law enforcement that you feel was handled inappropriately?

A No, I don't.
Q All right. You said that there was another son that was involved in something?

A Yes my --
Q And --
A -- second. That was Manitowoc County.
Q What kind of case was that?
A That was, um, drunken driving, fleeing.
Q About how long ago was that, Ms. Barber?
A Fifteen years.
Q Did that result in a conviction?
A Yes.
Q And did it result in any kind of a punishment for your second son?

A He -- nine months in jail.
Q Is there anything about that investigation or prosecution that you believe was inappropriately handled?

A $\quad$ No.
Q By the way, each of these sons, have they, um, for lack of a better turn -- uh, term, straightened themselves out and doing well now? A Yes, they have.

Q Okay. Any other law enforcement contacts either positive or negative that you think that we should know about to consider whether or not you should serve on this jury?

A That would be the only --
Q Um, you consider yourself a -- a detail-oriented person? Do you make a lot of lists?

A No.
Q So you're more of a big picture --
A Apparently.
Q Ms. Barber, are you aware -- and I think that you've mentioned that you are -- at least generally familiar with some TV shows that deal with, uh, crime scene investigations; C.S.I., or, um, shows like that; is that correct?

A Right.
Q Do you enjoy that kind of topic?
A Yes, I do.
Q You must be familiar, then, with a kind of forensic identification which is called DNA evidence; is that right?

A Right.
Q From what you've read, or what you've known, or what you've seen on TV, do you believe that to be generally a, uh -- a -- a --
scientifically-accepted principle? In other words, you think that that's an accurate way for identification in crime scene-type cases?

A Yes, I do.
Q Anything that you've learned about DNA analysis, uh, or DNA testing that you at all question those results?

A No.
Q Are you familiar with Mr. Avery at all, and his past?

A Um, from originally, that would be about it. Um, when it first -- when he first got let out of jail and --

Q Tell me about that. What do you remember?
A That, um, he was wrongfully convicted, spent 18 years in prison, and then, finally, was able to be let out. I'm not sure I remember what came up that -- I think the person who identified him said he was the wrong person or something. I'm not real familiar with -- I just remember him going on the Channel 11's makeover, and getting his hair cut, and --

Q All right.
A -- spruced up.
Q Do you remember that, uh, wrongful conviction, or his exoneration, that is, his release in that
case, was the result of DNA evidence?

A Now that you mention it, yes.
Q All right. And, generally, at least that concept of, uh, exonerating people that are wrongfully convicted, do you believe that to be a good thing?

A Yes, I do.
Q Are you familiar with something called the Innocence Project?

A That's the group out of Madison that investigates certain crimes?

Q Investigates, uh, people that they believe have been wrongfully accused or convicted?

A Okay. Yes. I -- I have heard of it.
Q All right. Ms. Barber, since this would be a six-week trial, do you have any concerns that sitting on this jury would cause you some financial or other kind of hardship?

A No.
Q Uh, would your company continue to pay you if you had to sit on this jury?

A Oh, yes.
Q Last question, and this is, I guess, more a -- of a general question than something specific, but is this something -- something that you want to
do? Is this a jury you'd like to serve on?
A Yes, I would.

Q Can you tell me why?
A I just feel I'm open-minded enough, um, to hear all the facts. I -- I feel I was fair with pretty much everything I do.

Q So you believe that you'd be able to evaluate both sides, you'd be able to evaluate the evidence, listen to the witnesses' testimony, attribute whatever weight you think it deserved, and make a reasonable decision?

A Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all the
questions I have, Judge. Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:

Q And thank you for coming in. My name is Dean
Strang, and --
A Hi, there.
Q -- this is Jerome Buting -- and --
A Hi.
Q -- Steven Avery, uh, after his makeover. Um --
A Yeah.

Q And, uh, I -- I, too, appreciate you coming in, probably sitting around for a good bit of time waiting for us.

Um, easy one first, and I'm probably being way too cautious on this, but you're on the Manitowoc side of the county line?

A Yes.
Q You live in Manitowoc County?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Um, and -- and yet, you're -- you -you're clearly very involved, still, in civic life in Chilton, uh, Calumet --

A Yes.
Q -- County? Uh, tell me a little bit about that. How that came -- comes to be?

A The Calumet County Fair Board?
Q Um-hmm.
A Well, all you had to be was a stockholder in the fair association to become on the board of directors, and I just felt it was something I enjoyed doing. Taking part in the fair, and --

Q Sure.
A -- putting my input in where I could -- I actually thought I did a good job.

Q Okay. Any -- any particular responsibility on
the board for one aspect of the annual fair or not?

A Well, $I$ was in charge of the restroom cleaning.
Q Uh-huh.
A And, if -- my judge of going to other fairs, and everybody has to use the restroom, half the time you don't want to walk into them. I made it my point that people weren't going to shy away because it was not clean. So --

Q You got it. Um, and you graduated from Chilton High School?

A Yes, I did.
Q How long did you spend living in the Chilton area?

A I moved into the Manitowoc/Valders area in 189, so up until --

Q Up until then --
A Right.
Q -- did you live right in Chilton or --
A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. Um, do you have any grandkids?
A Seven.
Q How old is the oldest?
A Nineteen.
Q And the youngest?

A She was a year in June.
Q Okay. Uh, is -- is the 19-year-old a -- a young man or young woman?

A Young woman.
Q Uh, in the area? All -- all seven of them?
A She goes to, um, cosmetology school in Appleton.
Q Uh-huh. And, um, you noted here that your, um -your husband has a chronic health condition that, you know, often can be controlled by medication or diet?

A Right.
Q Um, does that give you any concern about being away from the home eight hours a day or nine hours a day?

A No. No. In fact, Sunday he leaves for South Carolina for a week. He's a construction millwright --

Q Oh.
A -- so they send him all over the country. He pretty much is okay.

Q Oh.
A Yeah.
Q Okay. I -- I just -- I just wanted to be sure.
A I'm sorry. I --
Q You know, we -- this can be -- I mean, being on
a -- on a jury is something of a hardship, but there should be a limit to --

A Right.
Q -- just how much of a hardship. Um, active in your union --

A Yes.
Q -- obvious, I think?
A Well, I was an officer in my union. I still am a union member.

Q Um-hmm. Have you ever been a steward?
A I was the financial officer, and on the bargaining committee, and safety committee, and $I$ was -- well, being on the bargaining committee, $I$ was a steward, so -- same --

Q One -- one in the same. Yeah. Okay. Um, so when -- when this all first came up, when Teresa Halbach disappeared, and they were looking for her, and then they arrested Steven Avery, um, did -- did you follow this pretty closely at the time?

A No.
Q Okay.
A Not really. I -- the names were unfamiliar --
Q Sure.
A -- and I guess it wasn't something that $I$ really took
an interest in.
Q Okay. And that -- that's sort of what I'm exploring. I mean, some people might react to this as a mom, or as a grandmother, others not react to it at all if it's not connected, and I'm just -- I'm just trying to gauge how much you sort of plugged into -- into this?

A Well, pretty much not much.
Q Um-hmm.
A I mean, un -- unless it's, I guess, something that directly involves me, I guess I -- I can hear it and just -- no, it's -- it's nothing that I followed that close.

Q Okay.
A I mean, I didn't go out of my way to grab a newspaper article and read it or make it a point that that's where I had to be, in front of the television, and -Q Got it.

A -- usually had something better.
Q Okay. No, I -- I've got it. I just --
A Okay.
Q Um, now, by the same token, you know, here it is more than a year later, he's here, we're in court, do you assume he's probably guilty? Do you assume he's probably innocent? Do you have
any --
A I really have no judgment on that at all. I -- I never really looked at it in the way -- one way or another. It's -- it's something that I guess needed to be proved first.

Q Well, why is he here if he didn't do something wrong?

A Well, that's what I'd like to know.
Q Okay. And, in finding that out, I mean, you know, of course you'd like to know that --

A Right.
Q -- and finding that out, whose job is that to show you? Their's? Mine? Both?

A I would think both. I -- I feel both sides really should present everything.

Q Um-hmm. Um, very natural feeling. And you're -now, you're walking into a legal system that has some different rules for nearly ancient or at least five or six hundred years worth of reasons, I guess, um, and I think Judge Willis will tell you, and I'm quite confident he'll tell you, that in a criminal case like this it's actually just the state that has the burden of proof. The lawyers at this table. They -- they have the only burden of proving anything, and they have to
prove Mr. Avery guilty beyond a reasonable doubt if they can.

A Okay.
Q Um, we don't have to prove him innocent.
A Okay.
Q Can you accept that and live with those rules?
A Sure. It's a rule.
Q It -- it is a rule. And the question is whether you would resist that or, in the end, not be able to follow that for --

A No, I feel that's -- if that's the rule, and that's what needs to be done, that's what should be done then.

Q Right. And I'm -- I'm only predicting what the Court's going to tell you. I mean, in the end, the Court's going to give you the rules, not me.

A Okay.
Q Um, just trying to predict, since I've been doing this for awhile. Um, and, um, you know, another rule in that respect that we would have to know that you can live with, and I think you've already told me you -- you can and you do, is that he's presumed innocent. That is, Steve Avery is.

A Right.

Q Not just today, actually, but through this whole trial, right up until the end when -- when you folks, the jury, starts to deliberate.

A Right.
Q Okay. Only then do you decide what happened, but you've got to presume him innocent right up until you walk into the jury room and start deliberating.

A Exactly.
Q Okay. Um, now, you know, there are two sides here. He does have a couple of lawyers, and we're not going to just sit here, although we could, under the law. Um, so if we cross-examine the State's witnesses, will you listen to their answers when Jerry Buting or $I$ are asking them questions just as when the prosecutors are?

A Yes.
Q Weigh it the same?
A Yes.
Q How about if we actually call witnesses of our own as defense witnesses? Will you consider Mr. Avery's evidence just as you would the State's?

A Yes, I would.
Q Um, and the same would be true if they
cross-examine our witnesses? Will you listen to the answers given when they ask questions?

A Yes.
Q Okay. The toughest call on -- on a witness would be Mr. Avery, himself. Uh, and here's, again, an area where there's specific rules. Um, because there are a whole variety of reasons, even an innocent person might not testify. Um, if Mr. Avery decided not to testify, I think the Court will tell you that you can't consider that at all as any evidence of guilt or even consider it at all in deciding whether the State has proven him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Can you do that?

A Yes.
Q Even if you don't hear the other side of the story straight from the horse's mouth, so to speak?

A Yes.
Q Um, do you understand that there -- there may be a variety of reasons why an innocent person would not testify in his own defense?

A Yes, I do. I -- because I watch C.S.I. --
Q Um-hmm.
A -- any, really, court dramas, um, like S.H.A.R.K., I
mean, he's a -- portrayed as an excellent D.A. They bring out the fact that defendants do not need to talk --

Q Um-hmm.
A -- or say anything. And I guess that's -- I accept that.

Q Okay. Um -- And I don't -- I don't watch a lot of these shows, actually, but the one $I$ tune into once in a while is Law and Order, and it strikes me, and see if you share the same experience, basically the storylines in Law and Order are either the guilty guy gets convicted or the guilty guy gets off somehow?

A Yeah.
Q I don't see a lot of storylines with innocent
guys. Um, I don't know about C.S.I. or --
A Well, the -- C.S.I. never goes into court. I was trying --

Q Sure.

A -- to pick one out that I watch.
Q Ab -- absolutely. And I -- I just -- I guess is there room in your mind for an innocent man being wrongly charged?

A Oh, sure.
Q And in that regard, you paused for a little bit
when Mr. Kratz asked you, um, whether you think exonerating wrongfully convicted people is a good thing or not. You paused and you gave --

A Well, I had to think about that.
Q Yeah.
A You know, it's -- it's one of those, hmm, and then you think about it a minute, and, yes. That's --

Q Is -- is -- I mean, would the concern be that somebody not get out on just a technicality?

A Oh, definitely. It's --
Q But if -- if someone was truly, actually innocent, I -- I take it you would not want them sitting in prison --

A Right.
Q -- for a crime they actually didn't do?
A Exactly.
Q So, I mean, in his situation where the DNA proved that a specific other man actually did the rape, not him, it excluded him and showed who did do the rape --

A Right.
Q -- you would want somebody like that, I assume, to get out of prison that day; right?

A Yes.
Q Okay.

A And I -- actually, when it came out, I was -- I was glad to hear it, you know, that everything was justified, that they were able to do something like this for him.

Q Right. Okay. And did -- did you hear about the lawsuit that he filed after getting out?

A I -- I heard something about it. I -- it's probably like the rest of the -- I wasn't involved. The name's not familiar.

Q But did the -- did the sympathy stick with him or go away when you found out that he filed a lawsuit to try to get some money for that?

A Well, to me it would be only fair, that if he was wrongly convicted of something, to -- to lose 18 years of pay. It's only fair that he gets the chance to make it up.

Q I hear the union steward.
A I'm sorry.
Q No. No. Um, not at all. Um, um, you'll hear a lot of law enforcement officers, um, testify here, um, maybe for both sides, but -- but regardless who calls them, you know, there are a lot of law enforcement officers testify. I will ask, and I think the Court will instruct you, that in weighing the testimony of a police
officer or a law enforcement officer, um, to consider that person's testimony just like you would any other human being who took the witness stand. Can you do that?

A Oh, yes. I mean they're human. They're human beings.

Q Right. I --
A Okay.
Q Exactly.
A That's --
Q Any -- any witness here will be, and, um, I -you would hope that every witness would tell the truth under oath?

A Yes.
Q Um, but is a law enforcement officer any more likely to honor that oath than anyone else who does some other line of work?

A It shouldn't be. Everyone who takes an oath to tell the truth should be telling the truth --

Q Right.
A -- no matter who they are.
Q And in -- can you look beyond the badge and --
A Oh, yes.
Q -- and decide, do I believe this? Does it make sense? Is there a reason this person might or
might not lie? And consider every witness in that way, including police officers?

A Oh, yes.
Q What -- what, if anything, have you heard about a blood vial? A vial of blood that may be at issue in this case?

A That -- if it came up, it came up after $I$ got my paper from the courthouse, and I -- I have a mute button on my TV that's getting a real healthy workout.

Q Okay. So you -- the answer is you just don't know anything at all about it?

A No, I don't.
Q Okay. All right. Um, last sort of question or two, um, you work with a number of people at Worthington?

A Yes, I do.

Q Um, whatever your verdict would be, if you -- if you serve on this jury, when you go back to work, my guess is there are going to people -- be people who think you did the right thing, and people who are not very happy with you.

A Right.
Q How are you going to deal with that?
A Well, I'll put it this way, I work the fifth shift at

Worthington. That's ten p.m. Friday night to ten a.m. Saturday, and then ten p.m. Saturday night to ten a.m. Sunday. There aren't a lot of people I work with during those hours. On, um, Saturday nights there's usually three of us in the plant.

Q Okay. But what about the Calumet County Fair Board, when that comes around late next summer?

A I have pretty broad shoulders. I've been known to -I have people that like me and they don't like me. That's the way it is. No matter what I do, they, um, I have -- I have people that just don't like me for whatever reason. I don't understand why, but -- and then I -- I have good friends, and my good friends will support whatever I do.

Q That's all I need to know. Thank you.
A All right.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q Ms. Barber, I have just one question. Uh, you mentioned earlier that you knew, uh, Jerry Pagel --

A Yes.
Q -- from school. Uh, should he be called as a witness in this case you'd have to judge his testimony just as any other witness and not be
either favorably inclined or unfavorably inclined based on your acquaintance with him --

A Right.
Q -- which I gather is not close, but you know who he is?

A Right.
Q Uh, if you're selected as a juror, would you give any more or less weight to his testimony should he be called to testify?

A I wouldn't give more or less.
THE COURT: Thank you. The, uh, clerk
will --
ATTORNEY STRANG: I -- I'm sorry. I --
I -- I had one followup --
THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY STRANG: -- on that.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:

Q I think you just moved to Valders in 1989?
A Yes.
Q Did you -- did you know former Police Chief Wiegert?

A No.
Q Okay.
A No. Actually, I don't know my neighbors either.

Q Okay.
THE COURT: Um, Linda will escort you from the courtroom at this time.
(Wherein juror is escorted out)
THE COURT: Counsel, any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. If not, the Court will accept Ms. Barber as a juror. We'll take our afternoon break at this time and resume at, uh, five after three. Uh, in two minutes I'd like to see the counsel in my chambers.

ATTORNEY BUTING: What time af -- after
three?
THE COURT: Five after.
(Recess had at 2:45 p.m.)
(Conclusion of reporting by Jennifer Hau)
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67/17 84/10 97/20 105/20 122/14 129/1
131/19 141/16 144/6 145/17 157/1 157/24
162/23 171/9 174/23 178/11 181/9 193/11
217/17 219/17 223/1 226/12 227/15 228/13 233/7
alter [1] 123/18
altercation [1] 202/2
altering [1] 90/16
although [8] 7/11 42/12 70/14 115/9 154/7
218/24 222/8 246/12
altogether [2] 152/8 227/5
Aluminum [1] 9/15
always [5] 78/8 88/7 120/23 121/2 200/25
am [13] 15/13 55/6 108/16 156/1 180/22
184/10 192/7 192/7 194/9 194/16 196/15 231/5 242/8
America [2] 144/3 170/23
American [5] 61/1 105/23 106/17 144/6 159/19
Americans [1] 218/4
among [1] 134/25
amount [3] 163/16 201/16 208/25
analysis [2] 112/12 236/5
analyst [1] 160/17
Anatomy [2] 110/23 111/4
ancestors [1] 132/7
ancient [1] 244/18
and/or [2] 135/23 197/1
angel [1] 216/8
animal [1] 66/6
annual [1] 240/1
anoth [1] 87/8
another [20] 48/21 59/4 63/1 63/2 64/2 72/9
85/18 87/12 92/18 111/15 121/7 148/21
154/20 159/11 187/18 207/2 219/14 234/4 244/4 245/19
answer [11] 14/13 24/25 61/3 96/13 112/15
164/2 182/12 196/5 220/24 230/11 252/11
answered [4] 13/14 136/3 147/11 164/25
answering [1] 106/2
answers [16] 15/22 103/1 103/4 103/23
192/24 218/25 219/3 220/1 221/16 222/3
222/23 222/25 224/16 226/15 246/15 247/2
Antonio [1] 49/15
anxious [1] 220/11
any [240] 7/3 7/5 7/15 10/3 11/6 11/16 12/15 13/20 14/15 14/17 15/2 17/2 17/13 17/19 19/25 20/19 22/24 22/25 23/17 24/5 24/12 25/1 25/7 26/4 26/24 30/23 32/1 32/21 34/6 35/4 36/8 37/11 37/24 38/1 38/3 38/11 39/9 42/5 42/11 42/17 44/1 44/3 47/17 47/24 48/10 48/14 48/20 48/24 53/8 53/8 54/6 55/13 55/15 55/16 59/6 59/6 59/11 61/8 62/4 63/4 63/10 63/15 68/12 68/24 70/18 71/15 71/25 72/1 73/24 75/10 75/20 76/11 76/12 76/13 76/21 79/9 79/14 81/9 81/14 81/22 82/4 82/8 82/25 83/12 83/19 83/20 86/3 87/7 87/8 87/13 90/11 91/8 91/12 92/5 93/25 94/8 94/16 94/21 94/22 94/25 95/22 96/1 97/6 97/25 99/18 100/1 100/5 100/13 103/4 104/7 104/9 107/19 109/12 109/22 109/22 110/14 111/4 112/14 114/7 115/17 115/19 116/8 117/2 119/12 119/12 120/2 122/7 122/7 122/15 124/9 126/1 126/25 127/10 128/12 128/14 128/16 128/23 129/4 133/17 135/1 135/1 135/2 135/19 135/23 136/22 137/7
137/10 137/22 138/4 142/15 142/18 144/12 145/15 146/16 147/14 147/15 147/24 148/6 149/1 149/2 149/2 149/3 149/7 150/2 150/6 150/20 152/10 152/14 154/16 158/14 159/2 161/1 161/4 163/23 164/3 164/4 164/4 165/6 166/8 166/18 167/1 167/13 171/15 176/4 177/13 178/8 178/17 179/2 179/21 181/21 182/9 182/19 182/20 183/2 186/7 188/20 189/18 191/10 193/12 193/15 194/1 196/14 196/25 199/16 200/13 203/15 204/13 211/2 211/6 213/8 214/24 216/1 216/24 221/5 221/14 223/3 224/24 225/5 227/24 229/25 230/1 230/5 232/24 234/15 235/1 237/16 239/25 239/25 240/21 241/12 244/1 247/11 247/25 251/3 251/11 251/11 251/15 253/25 254/8 255/5
anybody [10] 62/11 81/17 91/16 95/15 120/23 122/23 183/13 209/19 211/9 229/9 anybody's [1] 210/4
anymore [5] 47/20 88/22 158/17 171/5 203/25
anyone [19] 7/8 17/6 38/1 42/9 55/18 71/19 104/11 111/24 128/16 147/20 148/14 148/15 152/14 159/8 193/12 193/15 221/20 225/4 251/16
anything [81] 12/15 12/16 12/16 25/8 27/5 32/3 32/21 32/23 35/21 44/3 47/18 48/12 48/14 48/15 56/8 59/8 63/7 68/7 75/22 93/2 95/5 95/18 95/21 107/25 108/10 109/9 109/13 110/1 110/11 110/17 111/7 112/10 114/3 116/3 117/1 119/20 130/14 135/14 143/5 143/7 148/18 150/7 166/8 166/12 171/16 172/1 173/6 177/17 177/20 178/14 179/2 180/5 180/9 181/9 183/3 187/7 189/6

189/7 193/8 193/9 209/15 209/20 210/5
210/16 212/11 213/3 214/15 217/10 217/11
222/23 232/14 232/16 232/16 233/19 233/23
234/18 236/5 244/25 248/5 252/4 252/12
Anything's [1] 184/19
anyway [4] 90/1 176/9 182/5 190/14
anywhere [3] 25/10 106/22 225/2
apologize [1] 207/4
apparently [5] 33/1 55/3 78/15 219/7 235/10
appearances [2] 1/13 5/5
Appeared [1] 1/25
appearing [1] 5/11
appears [3] 5/7 10/13 46/17
Appleton [3] 140/20 170/13 241/6
applying [1] 219/21
appreciate [12] 43/14 118/2 124/23 126/17
179/7 189/13 209/23 215/19 216/10 217/14
218/7 239/1
appreciation [1] 113/15
approach [1] 99/1
appropriate [2] 220/25 221/1
appropriately [4] 32/17 76/18 76/19 220/24
approximately [5] 11/24 156/11 157/5 157/6
160/16
aptly [1] 23/15
are [128] 5/3 5/16 6/22 7/12 7/19 14/12
15/11 15/22 16/18 16/20 17/5 17/9 17/12
17/15 17/18 27/24 31/4 34/8 36/18 42/13
42/19 50/23 51/2 51/19 54/20 55/1 58/2
62/17 62/25 62/25 66/7 66/8 67/1 67/9 67/13 71/22 71/25 72/3 78/4 78/14 100/18 104/14
104/17 106/1 108/6 108/12 108/14 110/14
110/19 110/19 111/10 111/18 115/17 116/15
119/5 119/17 121/11 125/8 128/5 128/19
128/22 128/25 129/2 129/9 139/3 140/24
141/19 144/2 144/6 145/23 146/5 146/8
147/8 154/3 154/8 154/14 154/17 154/23
158/22 161/14 161/20 161/21 161/24 165/4
169/13 170/1 171/10 176/14 178/17 186/4
187/17 193/17 193/23 194/7 195/3 195/6
196/14 198/7 199/7 202/10 203/3 213/17
214/10 218/2 218/5 218/5 218/19 223/11
225/9 225/13 226/14 230/22 231/2 235/11
235/12 236/9 237/4 237/8 246/10 246/15
246/16 247/7 248/11 250/22 251/21 252/20
252/22 252/24
area [15] 44/7 69/77 73/4 73/6 106/18 107/22
132/16 140/10 148/16 165/10 171/14 240/14
240/15 241/5 247/6
areas [1] 20/1
aren't [1] 253/3
argue [1] 211/10
argument [3] 190/20 191/13 192/2
arise [1] 85/9
Armor [1] 170/1
arose [1] 176/24
around [19] 38/22 39/13 46/3 85/16 94/11
108/8 153/2 156/9 157/9 171/14 173/24
183/10 197/23 200/8 205/19 217/22 219/6
239/2 253/7
arrest [2] 52/4 211/4
arrested [9] 53/14 88/5 89/5 96/1 201/19
207/17 208/10 210/7 242/18
arrests [3] 157/21 200/20 208/5
article [2] 131/17 243/16
articles [1] 48/10
as [210] 5/17 5/22 6/19 6/22 7/17 9/24 10/3 10/4 13/21 14/5 14/16 14/18 16/13 16/20 17/21 18/8 20/19 24/16 25/18 26/21 27/18 28/10 29/24 29/24 30/4 30/5 30/5 30/21 35/4 35/10 35/10 36/9 38/3 39/22 39/22 41/18 48/2 48/19 48/24 48/25 49/8 49/9 49/13 49/14 51/12 51/20 51/20 51/22 51/22 52/22
as... [160] 55/17 55/24 56/14 56/14 58/3 59/24 60/3 60/19 60/25 61/8 63/1 63/15 67/5 69/13 71/4 72/3 74/14 75/16 75/21 79/10 81/2 81/9 81/18 81/18 81/20 81/21 82/13 87/5 91/21 94/15 94/15 96/7 96/8 100/5 100/13 101/3 101/3 102/23 103/19 104/21 108/6 108/10 108/10 110/24 110/24 116/10 116/13 116/24 117/5 118/9 120/13 120/13 121/4 121/22 122/15 124/15 127/20 127/25 128/25 130/14 131/16 133/20 135/12 135/24 136/6 137/8 137/21 138/4 139/12 141/12 143/22 145/20 146/3 149/13 150/3 150/3 153/22 154/14 154/19 157/25 160/17 161/12 163/14 163/14 163/23 164/9 164/18 165/7 167/16 167/21 168/3 169/12 170/9 172/1 172/4 172/4 173/18 174/16 177/11 177/11 178/2 178/2 179/4 180/17 180/17 182/7 183/12 184/4 184/10 184/23 185/14 187/8 187/8 187/11 189/6 189/24 190/1 190/16 190/24 190/25 191/1 191/5 191/16 192/3 192/22 193/21 194/5 196/22 199/16 199/18 199/22 205/8 212/9 212/10 213/9 218/17 219/19 220/3 220/11 221/19 221/20 224/14 225/17 225/24 226/7 226/14 228/21 231/7 243/4 243/4 246/16 246/21 246/22 247/11 248/1 253/23 253/25 254/7 255/10 256/9 aside [5] 14/18 21/3 116/9 216/1 221/14 ask [47] 6/7 6/17 8/3 14/12 14/14 16/15 18/17 20/16 22/19 24/24 25/23 41/20 45/23 46/15 46/19 71/5 80/25 84/11 101/15 103/21 112/15 114/9 119/17 120/11 127/12 127/24 131/19 133/10 133/20 134/16 135/17 135/21 153/21 155/13 171/3 172/20 183/2 192/21
194/21 196/10 220/5 220/14 224/13 226/17 232/21 247/2 250/24
asked [14] 13/20 22/19 23/16 36/12 38/10
78/15 86/20 108/25 115/10 117/2 134/24
137/2 227/12 249/1
asking [7] 11/15 22/22 105/8 112/9 124/8
166/17 246/15
asks [2] 20/18 182/9
aspect [1] 240/1
assault [1] 57/10
assemble [1] 57/20
assembly [1] 131/8
assessment [1] 220/14
assignments [1] 78/9
assistant [10] 5/8 6/2 8/8 18/4 43/5 72/16
129/17 155/6 194/14 226/9
assisted [1] 256/10
assisting [3] 77/22 105/19 226/11
associated [5] 74/19 163/17 170/22 208/5 209/1
associates [1] 109/3
association [4] 171/10 184/6 200/12 239/19
assume [8] 107/21 121/24 123/23 144/10
179/17 243/24 243/25 249/22
assumed [1] 187/11
assuming [1] 165/4
assumption [1] 213/11
ATS [2] 198/12 206/24
attack [1] 59/4
attempt [2] 34/6 160/18
attended [1] 46/14
attention [6] 23/16 54/25 108/13 145/15
211/6 211/7
attitude [3] 49/19 61/19 61/20
attorney [134] 1/20 1/22 3/4 3/7 3/8 3/12
3/13 3/15 3/16 3/19 3/20 3/22 3/24 4/2 4/3
4/5 4/6 4/9 4/10 5/6 5/8 5/10 5/21 8/5 8/9
8/12 15/10 15/13 15/21 16/1 18/2 18/4 18/8

37/11 37/16 39/11 40/16 41/5 43/2 43/5 43/9
50/15 50/17 50/19 70/1 70/3 70/12 72/13
72/16 72/20 83/25 84/2 84/4 84/7 90/20 90/23 98/25 99/4 102/12 102/13 105/10 105/12 105/14 105/18 117/9 117/12 117/14 126/23 127/2 127/5 129/14 129/17 129/21 138/23 149/22 149/25 150/22 150/23 151/4 151/6 151/13 151/19 152/3 152/5 152/15 152/16 152/17 152/20 153/3 153/7 154/25 155/3 155/7 155/11 169/1 169/3 169/5 189/20 189/21 190/19 191/8 191/22 192/1 192/6 192/11 194/9 194/11 194/14 194/18 204/7 205/16 207/18 215/11 215/15 218/13 218/15 220/17 222/18 223/23 226/1 226/3 226/7 226/9 232/11 232/23 238/13 238/16 238/18 254/13 254/16 254/18 255/7 255/8 255/14
attorneys [14] 6/16 6/17 16/14 27/23 41/19 43/11 71/4 103/20 127/23 153/20 169/9
192/20 215/18 224/12
attribute [1] 238/10
auto [3] 158/24 169/14 169/17
automated [2] 158/23 158/24
available [2] 34/8 101/8
average [2] 64/25 87/18
AVERY [82] 1/7 1/24 5/2 5/12 12/14 14/2 25/18 27/2 28/2 28/21 35/22 37/18 48/25 50/23 50/24 52/2 52/4 53/24 54/7 56/6 56/10 57/11 58/3 59/11 60/19 61/7 82/14 83/5 84/8 86/4 86/11 90/7 93/20 96/22 99/16 99/25 102/2 108/7 108/15 109/5 115/22 116/13 117/25 125/13 126/11 135/24 136/15 139/2 139/3 142/14 144/1 144/11 146/23 148/22 149/3 167/2 167/17 168/3 169/9 177/21 179/10 184/25 185/18 186/6 186/23 187/6 187/7 187/23 188/3 210/19 213/9 213/23 214/16 215/18 217/8 236/9 238/24 242/18 245/1 245/24 247/5 247/9
Avery's [18] 13/21 14/16 25/8 39/14 56/2 60/14 63/15 83/1 94/15 95/25 96/10 116/7 125/11 143/15 148/19 210/7 210/7 246/22 avoid [5] 23/13 47/24 48/4 48/5 109/22 aware [11] 33/2 97/20 108/15 108/17 115/24 135/12 144/6 168/9 223/5 228/2 235/11 away [12] 39/23 40/8 87/2 87/3 87/12 87/21 140/15 207/8 207/14 240/8 241/13 250/11 awhile [3] 44/7 197/13 245/19
awkward [2] 114/15 114/17

## B

B-r-e-y [1] 163/9
back [40] 7/25 16/24 24/10 30/12 44/8 50/25 52/17 53/18 54/2 54/4 72/10 79/1 79/3 79/5 101/25 102/16 105/3 120/11 129/8 151/3 151/24 154/22 155/12 159/12 167/1 176/12 190/10 191/18 191/25 192/10 194/6 195/15 198/13 207/1 208/2 210/9 224/16 225/25 228/25 252/19
background [8] 56/3 85/13 134/7 173/11
180/5 180/6 215/25 220/9
bad [9] 45/24 143/7 182/9 182/12 182/19
199/6 208/1 217/2 230/2
badge [1] 251/22
bait [1] 175/5
balance [2] 76/17 218/19
ballistics [2] 66/14 66/18
ban [1] 224/24
banquet [1] 106/18
bar [4] 23/7 36/17 202/3 207/7
BARBER [13] 4/8 224/4 224/8 226/4 227/12
228/18 229/20 231/9 234/11 235/11 237/15 253/19 255/10
bargaining [2] 242/11 242/13
barrel [1] 94/12
barrels [1] 66/23
base [4] 14/19 124/3 136/25 164/7
based [16] 13/12 13/22 14/16 18/13 25/2
25/8 27/20 41/1 72/24 135/21 166/24 167/12
194/22 222/2 222/16 254/2
basement [1] 158/7
basic [2] 82/18 210/1
basically [18] 23/7 30/8 32/9 38/17 130/8
132/3 133/5 134/4 136/9 139/25 141/3 141/11 145/14 148/25 163/3 214/10 215/3 248/11
basing [1] 179/17
basis [2] 16/3 191/16
batteries [1] 202/21
battery [7] 201/7 202/2 202/8 206/22 207/19
219/10 219/14
Bay [1] 47/3
be [331]
bear [3] 59/7 142/12 142/14
beat [2] 207/13 207/23
became [3] 123/16 170/14 232/11
because [86] 7/2 14/12 14/23 16/25 19/14
27/9 28/14 34/3 34/5 42/4 57/2 57/4 58/7 60/24 64/12 67/2 69/10 71/13 73/6 79/5 80/7 81/1 86/19 87/2 89/17 91/13 93/1 93/22 100/9 102/2 103/1 104/5 107/20 108/17 109/7 110/10 115/25 118/19 121/17 122/19 124/16 125/5 125/24 125/24 126/5 128/10 131/20 140/23 144/20 146/5 146/8 149/4 159/14 161/1 162/2 167/6 169/22 172/25 172/25 174/17 174/19 175/1 176/7 179/24 180/7 181/3 181/4 182/2 182/14 183/25 188/2 189/2 189/6 189/24 193/6 207/16 207/24 209/8 209/8 209/21 213/2 224/23 227/8 240/8 247/6 247/23
become [4] 80/2 108/17 159/19 239/19 bed [3] 111/3 211/22 212/3
bedroom [2] 212/5 212/15
been [66] 5/23 7/9 9/6 11/19 13/8 18/23 49/1 51/1 52/2 78/22 80/18 107/17 108/25 109/16 112/25 132/12 133/3 133/14 135/6 141/3 149/14 153/1 156/7 160/14 166/4 166/12 167/3 170/8 171/12 178/25 186/12 190/1 195/14 195/17 196/16 198/9 199/20 199/25 204/6 206/1 206/18 208/19 209/24 210/7 210/10 211/5 211/16 211/23 216/10 216/13 222/5 222/21 225/7 227/6 227/7 229/2 230/6 230/8 230/18 231/6 232/10 237/13 242/10 245/18 253/8 256/8 beer [1] 88/5
before [38] 1/11 5/13 6/20 16/17 24/9 24/9 41/22 45/13 56/3 68/16 68/22 70/5 71/8 76/5 79/8 102/19 103/25 108/25 125/10 127/3 128/4 142/19 152/1 153/6 154/1 172/15 185/19 186/11 186/18 190/5 193/1 194/4 199/24 211/7 211/22 212/25 224/18 232/10 Beg [2] 69/4 69/20
begin [11] 41/23 49/9 72/11 103/25 129/25 147/2 155/16 193/1 195/3 224/18 225/25 beginning [3] 94/6 200/25 228/25 begins [2] 16/17 146/24
behalf [6] $1 / 15$ 1/17 1/19 1/21 $1 / 23105 / 9$
being [45] 5/22 12/1 23/7 49/22 53/7 55/19
55/20 63/1 75/22 79/10 93/1 96/10 97/4
103/1 114/14 121/12 121/16 141/12 145/9
159/14 169/22 171/18 172/5 177/8 177/8
177/9 179/11 179/14 180/2 180/3 184/10
186/4 189/8 190/23 206/11 215/19 218/4
219/19 222/24 239/5 241/12 241/25 242/13
248/22 251/3
beings [1] 251/6
belief [1] 149/3
beliefs [1] 124/17
believability [4] 35/12 35/24 137/17 138/8
believable [3] 222/4 222/4 222/10
believe [55] 30/11 36/3 41/9 44/11 49/10
61/9 61/10 61/11 61/13 62/8 62/8 74/9 80/14
81/11 83/7 87/4 91/8 91/12 103/8 105/7
111/6 116/24 134/22 143/10 144/13 151/25
158/7 164/6 164/25 168/24 172/24 175/4
189/1 189/1 192/6 196/4 196/18 202/2
202/13 202/14 204/4 204/5 204/7 204/14
204/16 210/13 219/5 221/16 222/15 234/19
235/24 237/5 237/12 238/7 251/24
believed [4] 88/14 89/24 206/12 222/21
believing [1] 81/13
bell [1] 5/25
belong [2] 171/1 198/16
belt [2] 9/12 86/15
bench [2] 73/15 99/1
benefit [1] 125/16
bent [1] 65/21
best [7] 109/20 109/21 174/11 182/8 204/23
204/23 256/13
bet [2] 109/3 109/5
better [10] 49/19 50/11 50/12 64/25 87/18
199/12 201/15 216/15 234/23 243/19
Betty [1] 110/24
between [1] 217/9
beyond [18] 28/4 49/5 58/20 59/22 82/15
126/8 136/17 143/3 144/25 167/19 168/17
187/6 213/22 214/5 214/14 245/1 247/13
251/22
bias [4] 64/10 189/4 218/24 219/21
biased [2] 102/1 189/9
biases [1] 148/13
Biersach [1] 156/22
big [8] 77/1 77/8 113/12 140/18 165/15
209/8 219/2 235/9
bikes [1] 173/23
biological [2] 66/2 66/6
bit [49] 11/22 19/14 20/11 20/23 21/7 26/6
26/7 28/11 50/25 51/22 53/11 63/18 67/4
69/16 73/19 76/25 77/1 85/4 85/5 88/3
106/25 108/9 110/7 111/2 123/15 125/15
136/4 138/1 141/5 141/8 142/9 144/19
147/18 149/16 155/16 156/14 165/23 173/10
182/25 183/12 184/8 197/24 207/11 210/2
211/14 221/19 239/2 239/14 248/25
bits [2] 57/19 180/16
black [2] 64/2 212/16
blamed [1] 221/5
blaming [2] 221/4 221/9
blessed [2] 25/20 59/9
blood [12] 12/16 12/18 23/24 68/7 68/10
95/19 119/20 164/10 178/14 210/8 252/5 252/5
blue [3] 131/22 132/24 133/3
blurb [1] 119/13
blurbs [1] 48/18
board [7] 51/12 130/8 230/14 239/16 239/19
240/1 253/7
boat [1] 190/20
body [2] 55/14 161/9
body-types [1] 161/9
Bones [1] 29/4
boo [1] 88/10
book [1] 78/12
bookkeeping [1] 19/19
books [2] 20/6 171/2
border [1] 229/15
boring [1] 66/22
both [30] 28/18 64/7 78/4 78/4 83/5 125/8

125/10 134/19 167/6 167/10 179/14 180/21
180/23 186/15 186/17 189/10 190/8 191/5
205/3 215/17 217/16 224/12 230/24 231/1
233/20 238/8 244/13 244/14 244/14 250/21
bottom [1] 206/16
bought [1] 227/8
bounced [1] 197/23
bound [1] 173/21
box [1] 9/11
boy [1] 12/7
boys [1] 51/17
branch [3] 1/1 203/22 256/5
brand [2] 170/4 170/5
break [8] 70/5 79/9 102/15 107/4 107/4
151/2 223/12 255/11
breaks [1] 78/17
breeding [1] 118/12
Brendan [5] 53/1 53/3 94/13 119/18 177/17
Brey [2] 163/7 163/7
brief [1] 98/2
briefly [1] 98/2
bring [12] 6/4 16/5 57/18 65/21 89/8 102/19
124/17 152/1 182/15 216/23 221/17 248/2
broad [1] 253/8
broader [1] 112/16
broke [1] 24/13
broken [1] 206/13
Bronco [1] 175/19
broth [1] 210/18
brought [7] 88/8 88/11 89/11 132/3 164/1
207/1 214/11
bucks [1] 88/7
building [1] 227/6
bullets [2] 66/19 66/19
bumps [2] 59/25 143/13
bunch [1] 199/1
bungled [1] 57/6
burden [15] 28/1 59/18 125/25 126/2 126/11 142/12 142/15 142/25 143/1 143/3 187/5
217/17 217/18 244/23 244/25
burglary [1] 231/18
Burien [4] 139/16 139/21 139/22 139/24
burned [1] 93/9
business [13] 106/8 118/10 118/13 133/22
140/19 141/17 155/22 155/23 160/12 165/14 169/16 170/6 170/9
businesses [2] 166/10 169/14
BUTING [42] 1/22 3/16 3/20 4/3 4/6 5/10
5/11 37/17 50/22 50/23 58/25 84/1 84/2 84/4
84/7 90/23 99/4 102/13 117/11 117/12
117/14 117/24 126/23 127/5 139/1 139/3
143/13 169/2 169/3 169/5 169/8 189/21
191/22 192/6 215/13 215/15 215/17 220/16
220/17 238/22 246/15 255/14
button [1] 252/9
buy [1] 171/2
C
C.S.I [7] 100/15 110/1 110/2 235/14 247/23 248/16 248/17
CAD [3] 156/1 169/12 172/6
CAD-CAM [1] 156/1
call [23] 7/24 17/22 33/12 42/24 60/8 60/18 60/19 61/20 72/9 108/25 120/11 129/6 152/1
152/22 153/6 154/20 171/24 196/10 200/9
225/24 230/9 246/20 247/4
called [15] 6/15 60/9 63/16 111/11 131/14
133/15 181/19 190/24 191/17 194/6 204/13
235/20 237/8 253/23 254/9
calling [3] 52/2 63/10 147/15
calls [6] 5/1 106/7 106/10 120/5 161/9 250/22
Calumet [20] 8/12 18/7 43/8 72/19 105/14

129/21 155/10 162/6 175/4 194/18 226/6
227/15 228/14 229/14 230/14 230/23 232/8 239/12 239/16 253/6
CAM [1] 156/1
came [16] 12/25 53/10 91/18 92/18 112/6
157/22 158/6 166/7 183/8 184/21 236/17
239/15 242/16 250/1 252/7 252/7
cameras [18] 7/13 7/18 17/11 17/16 42/14
42/19 71/24 72/4 104/15 104/22 128/20
129/1 154/9 154/15 193/18 193/25 225/11 225/18
can [92] 10/4 12/5 26/2 29/15 29/19 29/20
29/24 36/14 36/14 39/23 40/8 48/3 49/7
54/23 57/25 59/7 59/16 64/18 70/8 73/5
73/19 81/17 82/15 82/21 83/4 83/7 84/13
84/16 87/2 87/11 88/3 90/3 94/8 96/21 99/1
100/22 111/14 113/22 118/3 122/9 122/17
124/23 126/14 126/15 128/4 136/16 138/8 138/9 142/16 142/16 142/18 143/14 145/2
146/13 146/20 147/4 147/6 154/2 154/18
167/18 171/2 176/11 177/6 177/24 183/22
187/14 188/10 188/12 188/25 193/2 195/1
205/4 209/4 209/7 211/13 215/22 216/1
220/19 224/18 227/19 232/1 238/3 241/9
241/25 243/11 245/2 245/6 245/21 245/22 247/13 251/4 251/22
can't [34] 36/23 41/5 61/8 64/7 69/14 96/13
99/18 101/3 111/24 124/19 134/6 146/10
162/9 171/4 175/14 180/23 181/23 182/12
183/24 186/1 188/14 189/5 205/3 209/8
209/10 209/11 210/13 213/11 213/11 214/23
218/3 221/14 233/20 247/10
candidly [1] 231/10
cannot [3] 71/15 144/23 209/16
capable [2] 91/10 92/20
capacity [2] 195/15 197/14
$\operatorname{car}$ [12] 33/11 33/18 33/22 77/24 78/20
85/15 89/8 119/16 160/21 163/3 173/21
205/23
cards [1] 159/1
care [2] 171/4 211/8
career [1] 76/6
carefully [2] 62/7 256/8
CARL [1] 3/14
Carolina [1] 241/16
carry [1] 21/3
carrying [1] 77/21
cars [3] 77/15 155/24 175/14
cartridge [1] 66/20
case [192] 1/6 5/2 6/14 6/23 7/4 7/7 8/11
8/17 9/25 10/1 10/12 11/10 11/16 11/25 12/5
13/9 16/11 16/21 17/3 17/6 18/6 20/22 20/24
21/8 23/1 23/22 24/13 24/21 25/2 25/24
26/21 29/16 35/3 38/12 39/13 41/16 41/25
42/6 42/9 43/7 47/24 48/22 54/2 60/9 60/11 60/13 67/11 68/9 68/25 69/8 71/2 71/10
71/16 71/19 71/22 72/2 72/18 81/3 82/13
86/4 86/11 88/1 90/7 90/7 91/4 91/19 92/11 92/20 93/8 94/1 96/8 96/9 98/4 100/9 103/3 103/17 104/1 104/7 104/12 105/15 105/21 108/5 108/7 108/18 109/5 109/13 115/5 115/22 116/10 116/15 117/6 120/16 121/4 121/23 123/6 124/18 126/3 126/7 127/19 128/6 128/12 128/17 129/19 134/19 135/10 135/20 136/15 137/4 137/6 138/2 142/13 142/24 143/11 145/14 145/15 145/23 147/1
149/6 150/2 150/4 150/6 150/12 150/13 152/21 153/18 154/3 155/9 159/22 163/17 164/8 165/24 166/5 166/17 166/19 176/24
178/15 178/23 181/2 183/20 184/16 185/13 187/16 187/18 187/20 190/17 192/17 193/3 193/8 193/11 193/12 194/16 196/23 204/10 206/21 206/23 209/1 209/8 209/14 209/22
case... [33] 209/24 210/6 210/12 211/15 211/18 211/24 212/24 213/17 214/2 216/4 216/24 223/2 223/8 224/9 224/20 224/25 225/4 225/7 225/17 226/8 227/25 228/4 228/16 228/23 228/25 232/24 232/25 233/14 234/9 237/1 244/22 252/6 253/24 cases [9] 110/20 133/14 153/23 187/17
192/23 203/7 213/3 224/15 236/3
cash [2] 20/5 89/6
casings [1] 66/20
castings [1] 73/22
casts [2] 134/3 134/9
cat [1] 158/9
categorize [1] 158/8
caught [1] 121/18
cause [19] 5/20 10/3 16/4 20/19 40/18 41/8
68/24 70/15 79/9 83/19 114/6 196/25 218/18
218/23 220/6 220/15 220/18 221/22 237/17
causes [3] 112/11 218/16 227/16
cautious [1] 239/5
CD [1] 119/16
centered [1] 107/21
central [1] 43/22
Century [1] 139/7
certain [3] 158/15 183/24 237/11
certainly [3] 115/10 185/17 185/17
certification [1] 170/16
certified [1] 170/14
certify [1] 256/6
CF [2] 1/6 5/3
chain [2] 141/13 142/2
chair [2] 58/11 146/19
chambers [1] 255/13
chance [7] 16/15 71/5 103/21 166/11 175/24
192/21 250/15
change [1] 183/23
changed [2] 43/23 107/11
changes [1] 147/2
changing [1] 183/9
channel [3] 110/21 176/6 236/20
channels [4] 11/7 209/25 210/1 212/19
charge [3] 167/3 230/15 240/3
charged [4] 49/1 97/4 213/10 248/23
charges [2] 135/25 223/2
charities [1] 199/2
charity [1] 199/3
chat [1] 171/3
check [6] 77/13 85/13 151/7 158/13 159/4
224/1
checked [2] 20/1 182/11
checking [3] 157/21 158/25 173/25
chief [5] 159/12 162/24 183/15 231/3 254/21
chief's [1] 163/6
Children [1] 159/22
Chilton [10] 226/21 227/3 227/4 227/14
230/24 231/4 239/12 240/10 240/13 240/19
choice [2] 146/12 188/7
choose [3] 99/10 131/15 146/4
chooses [5] 99/16 99/25 144/4 144/9 213/18
chose [2] 214/24 214/25
Christmas [2] 68/22 68/23
chronic [1] 241/8
circled [1] 162/1
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/12 256/5
circumstances [4] 147/19 181/3 205/6

## 219/18

citation [1] 33/10
citations [2] 34/9 34/17
cites [1] 176/13
citizen [4] 63/16 142/9 159/19 230/9
citizens [3] 116/22 172/24 199/10
city [7] 89/1 162/24 173/8 174/12 174/13
174/18 230/24
civic [4] 69/10 218/1 221/20 239/11
civil [6] 131/22 131/24 132/22 133/12
142/23 142/24
claimed [2] 89/11 96/2
class [2] 170/12 170/13
classes [1] 158/6
classification [1] 158/11
classify [1] 158/9
clean [4] 58/4 73/16 111/1 240/9
cleaned [1] 73/22
cleaning [3] 169/14 230/15 240/3
clear [6] 15/22 16/3 16/3 195/10 203/4
218/21
clearing [1] 75/6
clearly [2] 194/25 239/11
clerk [22] 6/8 15/16 16/7 40/11 41/11 69/22
70/22 102/7 103/11 103/14 126/19 127/13
150/17 189/15 192/13 192/14 218/10 223/17 223/20 224/1 224/5 254/11
Clerk's [1] 154/21
close [15] 23/16 24/23 31/17 109/17 109/18 112/7 120/13 162/9 162/19 162/19 190/2
193/14 218/20 243/13 254/4
closed [2] 202/6 225/9
closely [14] 11/10 11/11 24/16 24/17 24/18
24/21 24/22 52/6 52/12 52/14 102/3 135/15
211/20 242/19
closer [2] 51/22 140/4
cloth [1] 173/20
clothes [1] 64/12
club [20] 105/23 106/17 198/17 198/19
198/22 198/24 198/25 198/25 199/1 199/5
199/15 199/16 199/18 199/18 199/22 200/1
200/7 200/12 200/15 219/19
clue [2] 179/19 180/3
CNN [2] 134/4 148/8
coffee [1] 230/19
coin [1] 167/7
coincided [1] 123/24
cold [1] 169/22
colleagues [1] 76/11
collected [1] 66/9
collecting [2] 196/14 196/16
collectively [1] 221/18
college [2] 19/16 118/22
come [29] 12/22 12/23 51/22 61/19 64/9
66/23 70/8 79/3 86/22 90/8 116/2 119/14
120/13 122/13 124/6 133/6 135/19 146/16
173/12 182/17 185/24 187/20 192/2 198/7
209/20 210/3 212/25 219/23 220/2
comes [7] 66/13 67/12 165/16 182/4 212/13 239/15 253/7
comfortable [8] 10/6 21/1 58/2 82/21 83/16 125/12 125/14 209/13
coming [16] 18/9 23/14 72/22 118/2 129/24
155/12 159/18 183/4 184/8 194/20 205/19
207/24 215/19 221/10 238/19 239/1
commitment [1] 146/20
committed [4] 6/3 57/10 92/19 148/21
committee [3] 242/12 242/12 242/13
communicating [2] 104/11 128/16
communication [1] 44/25
communications [3] 44/23 45/1 45/4
community [11] 108/20 112/23 113/3 113/7
130/2 130/18 130/19 141/22 142/2 174/10 178/23
commute [1] 156/14
company [7] 9/1 9/15 65/8 134/4 198/7
227/6 237/20
complaint [1] 201/7
complaints [2] 32/21 173/15
completed [7] 6/13 16/11 41/16 103/16
127/18 151/11 192/17
completely [3] 121/13 124/20 190/8
comply [1] 23/3
computer [5] 109/4 156/1 156/2 189/25
256/10
Computer-aided [2] 156/1 156/2
computer-assisted [1] 256/10
computer-generated [1] 189/25
computerized [1] 256/9
computers [2] 165/13 172/11
con [1] 193/21
concept [2] 186/10 237/3
concern [13] 26/8 46/12 49/13 73/4 83/12
83/20 101/12 112/11 152/11 209/2 218/16

## 241/12 249/8

concerned [5] 99/23 114/15 123/14 219/2 222/1
concerning [3] 17/3 17/14 104/7
concerns [5] 142/8 185/10 187/25 190/22
237/16
conclusion [7] 42/3 91/18 92/18 128/8
219/24 220/2 255/18
conclusive [1] 185/11
conclusively [1] 185/19
concur [1] 15/25
condition [1] 241/8
conditional [1] 191/16
conduct [1] 193/22
conducts [4] 201/5 201/12 202/21 219/14
confer [1] 218/13
conferences [3] 93/25 177/13 177/14
confess [2] 95/9 144/19
confessed [1] 141/6
confessing [1] 12/13
confession [6] 53/16 53/18 53/21 95/2 95/2
178/12
confide [1] 178/19
confident [3] 82/1 216/20 244/21
conflicting [1] 64/15
confusion [1] 205/11
connected [2] 132/21 243/5
Connecting [1] 45/6
connection [4] 132/19 145/23 227/14 227/15
connections [1] 139/7
Conrad [1] 162/23
conscious [1] 47/23
consider [37] 19/25 34/2 35/15 61/8 64/18
77/7 99/18 100/5 100/12 113/9 113/12 122/6
123/20 124/11 124/15 125/21 143/21 143/22
144/23 146/10 147/13 162/19 165/14 183/18
184/14 184/20 185/14 185/20 188/14 199/11
235/3 235/6 246/21 247/10 247/11 251/2
252/1
consideration [2] 60/15 149/16
considered [3] 62/4 102/15 199/15
considering [2] 148/11 165/12
consistent [2] 35/17 35/17
constitution [2] 61/1 144/7
constitutional [3] 98/16 99/9 125/2
construction [1] 241/16
contact [10] 34/6 200/13 201/16 202/25
203/6 215/25 229/21 229/25 230/17 234/1
contacts [8] 33/2 33/3 200/17 217/3 219/16
230/5 231/6 235/1
content [1] 222/3
contest [1] 222/14
contexts [1] 186/16
contin [1] 191/15
continue [12] 7/2 7/6 7/22 17/1 17/20 42/4
42/8 71/14 104/6 121/14 128/10 237/20
continued [3] 40/23 65/7 172/12
continuing [3] 5/3 193/6 224/23
contrary [1] 121/8
control [2] 79/17 164/15
controlled [1] 241/9
conversation [1] 39/24
conversations [2] 21/14 179/2
conveyor [1] 9/12
convict [5] 186/23 186/25 187/3 214/4 215/5 convicted [14] 56/7 97/1 97/7 201/20 202/7
204/19 206/22 207/19 236/15 237/5 237/13
248/12 249/2 250/14
conviction [15] 56/5 56/12 56/15 56/19 57/4
148/19 180/10 219/9 219/15 222/13 231/18
233/8 233/24 234/13 236/24
convictions [2] 202/22 219/13
convince [4] 136/17 168/17 213/21 214/14
convinced [4] 83/15 123/17 126/8 214/5
cops [3] 26/14 181/14 181/19
cord [1] 65/10
core [1] 61/2
corner [1] 132/6
coroner [1] 175/4
Corps [1] 119/1
correct [49] 19/6 28/16 43/17 43/19 47/25
49/16 51/18 58/24 61/3 64/7 64/17 77/21
78/8 78/18 96/20 105/24 105/25 106/8 106/12 106/13 107/16 109/15 125/23 126/9 153/13 153/14 155/21 156/13 156/25 160/9 160/13 161/18 164/21 165/3 168/14 168/22 169/13 170/20 186/9 195/4 200/18 200/21
201/17 208/24 226/19 226/23 227/3 235/15 256/12
correctly [1] 139/17
cosmetology [1] 241/6
Costa [1] 119/5
could [70] 15/2 18/16 19/13 30/19 35/3 36/1 36/3 37/8 38/2 38/12 40/6 40/19 45/7 58/3
58/20 61/6 62/15 63/19 63/19 68/16 81/8
81/11 81/24 82/11 82/14 83/16 84/24 85/10 90/10 90/11 91/1 91/13 93/4 93/7 103/6 106/5 107/1 109/8 122/22 125/19 143/8
144/14 146/15 147/12 151/15 159/22 163/22 166/10 166/16 168/23 168/24 171/3 173/2 174/3 174/11 184/18 185/19 186/20 186/21 188/8 188/12 194/25 216/21 217/1 219/22 219/24 223/7 230/11 239/23 246/13 couldn't [13] 37/1 37/2 54/11 90/5 96/15
172/25 177/3 177/22 197/22 200/4 201/5 203/25 204/11
council [1] 174/18
councilmen [1] 174/14
counsel [9] 40/14 102/10 126/25 150/20
152/22 189/18 218/12 255/5 255/13
counsel's [1] 102/21
count [1] 109/18
counties [2] 231/14 231/15
country [5] 34/18 34/20 46/4 116/17 241/19
county [47] 1/1 8/12 18/7 43/8 72/20 78/19
78/21 91/9 97/23 105/14 107/22 112/17
113/1 113/2 113/3 120/3 129/21 132/3
132/13 132/19 139/7 149/13 152/5 152/20
155/10 162/6 162/23 182/10 194/18 201/23
203/20 219/10 226/6 227/15 228/14 229/15
229/15 230/14 230/23 232/6 234/8 239/6
239/8 239/14 239/16 253/6 256/2
County/Manitowoc [1] 229/15
couple [22] 12/6 12/7 14/12 33/16 44/14
44/15 73/2 82/3 98/3 140/23 153/4 160/20 162/25 190/25 197/21 201/13 204/11 207/5 213/7 222/20 222/21 246/11
course [13] 27/24 40/6 61/16 83/10 133/12 137/15 161/2 168/2 186/12 204/1 225/5

230/18 244/10
courses [1] 19/24
court [119] 1/1 1/12 2/3 3/9 4/11 5/1 5/7
5/13 5/15 5/22 6/12 7/2 7/13 11/14 14/20
15/3 15/19 15/25 16/2 16/2 16/4 16/23 17/10
22/21 25/17 25/23 35/9 35/13 36/6 36/10
38/8 38/11 39/9 39/24 40/3 40/7 40/14 41/7
42/3 42/13 42/14 42/25 47/23 62/1 69/21
69/24 70/25 71/12 71/23 72/1 86/22 87/25
88/1 88/8 89/9 89/14 90/8 102/10 104/2
104/15 105/3 115/4 127/17 128/8 128/20
129/8 129/12 136/21 146/14 150/20 151/10
151/15 152/14 152/18 153/12 153/16 154/5
154/8 154/9 154/22 164/25 166/15 167/24
181/13 181/16 182/17 183/4 183/8 191/7
193/18 203/16 203/22 207/3 210/9 214/22
218/12 220/5 223/14 223/15 223/18 223/22
223/25 224/2 225/11 225/14 225/25 233/4
243/24 247/10 247/25 248/17 250/24 253/18
254/15 255/9 255/16 256/4 256/5 256/19
Court's [7] 37/8 40/1 40/19 40/24 125/20
245/15 245/16
courthouse [3] 86/7 132/6 252/8
courtroom [30] 7/13 7/19 15/17 17/11 17/17
25/25 40/12 42/14 58/10 65/22 69/22 71/24
72/5 102/7 104/16 104/22 126/20 128/21
129/2 150/18 154/9 189/16 193/19 193/25
203/23 218/10 222/6 225/12 225/18 255/3
cousin [3] 210/18 210/18 210/19
cover [3] 42/20 130/14 154/15
coverage [22] 11/2 11/9 11/16 11/25 13/9
15/23 17/3 22/24 22/25 24/12 40/20 46/14
48/4 134/20 135/2 135/11 135/22 136/9
141/7 141/9 166/18 212/10
covered [1] 12/8
credibility [5] 35/11 122/3 122/16 137/17
164/5
credible [1] 219/3
credit [1] 67/1
crime [18] 29/3 29/13 30/7 30/13 57/8
100/22 101/7 101/11 110/1 148/22 160/18
160/25 180/13 210/24 230/7 235/14 236/3 249/15
crime-related [1] 110/1
crimes [1] 237/11
criminal [5] 142/23 209/6 220/3 222/8
244/22
criteria [1] 158/15
crop [1] 20/5
cross [6] 60/1 90/13 145/13 220/22 246/13 247/1
cross-examination [1] 220/22
cross-examine [3] 60/1 246/13 247/1
crossed [2] 123/18 145/12
crossing [1] 90/15
crushed [1] 79/1
culmination [1] 174/5
culpability [1] 222/12
cup [1] 230/19
curious [5] 51/23 65/20 84/20 150/1 212/9
current [1] 50/3
currently [8] 8/25 18/18 43/15 73/7 75/11
105/23 155/19 195/3
cut [3] 37/22 156/6 236/21
cylinders [3] 226/19 227/4 227/5
D
D.A [2] 232/12 248/1
D.A.'s [1] 228/14
daily [7] $13 / 6$ 47/5 125/7 130/5 135/12 217/21 217/21
dairy [5] 19/3 19/4 118/8 118/9 118/15
dark [1] 205/11
darn [1] $112 / 7$
Dassey [7] 53/1 53/4 94/13 119/18 177/17
210/17 211/3
database [1] 159/2
DATE [1] 1/10
Dated [1] 256/15
daughter [1] 115/21
daughter-in-law [1] 115/21
daughters [2] 115/15 115/16
DAVID [2] 4/1 152/25
day [32] $1 / 5$ 6/25 11/4 16/24 16/25 27/19
42/2 47/8 47/10 52/23 52/24 71/12 71/13
104/3 107/12 108/10 128/7 128/8 128/9
134/4 154/5 154/6 156/15 156/16 165/21
176/12 193/4 230/15 241/13 241/14 249/23
256/15
day's [2] 7/1 224/22
days [5] 52/7 52/9 54/3 107/12 156/16
dead [1] 161/8
deal [4] 121/11 211/1 235/13 252/24
dealership [1] 180/7
dealing [2] 74/25 77/5
dealt [1] 37/24
DEAN [11] 1/20 5/11 37/17 50/22 50/22
84/8 117/24 139/1 169/8 215/17 238/19 deaths [1] 161/10
December [7] 24/10 24/11 201/21 201/22
201/23 201/24 219/9
decide [9] 15/4 25/24 62/8 83/8 147/3 150/12
186/18 246/5 251/24
decided [4] 84/13 118/20 188/19 247/9
deciding [5] 115/5 116/9 144/13 144/24

## 247/12

decision [11] 14/19 27/17 114/22 118/23
124/1 125/10 125/21 144/15 144/21 189/23
238/11
decisions [4] 27/19 60/7 124/9 125/8
Dedering [2] 161/25 162/6
defendant [16] $1 / 81 / 211 / 231 / 2490 / 23$
91/1 99/8 99/20 100/9 144/3 144/7 144/23
145/19 146/3 146/11 188/9
defendant's [1] 125/2
defendants [1] 248/2
defending [3] 50/23 139/2 169/9
defense [23] 15/25 60/9 60/11 60/13 102/21
125/25 127/6 143/21 144/2 144/8 145/6
146/7 152/6 168/4 186/8 192/4 204/12
207/15 207/15 217/6 222/18 246/21 247/22
defense's [1] 191/13
defer [1] 189/23
DEFERE [11] 4/4 191/24 192/9 192/12
192/16 194/12 207/4 209/2 213/9 218/9
219/23
definite [1] 121/20
definitely [3] 54/8 231/8 249/10
Defore [1] 190/11
degree [2] 195/12 195/12
deliberate [4] 71/21 146/25 193/14 246/3
deliberating [2] 218/17 246/8
deliberations [3] 70/19 147/3 150/8
delivery [1] 152/4
demeanor [4] 122/4 220/10 221/1 222/3
demonstrate [1] 191/8
denied [2] 95/1 96/1
denies [1] 61/17
deny [2] 221/23 223/9
department [44] 8/9 9/18 18/5 39/3 43/6 57/6 72/17 77/12 78/16 79/21 80/4 80/16 80/19 81/4 85/3 88/20 88/23 91/9 91/16
91/20 92/8 92/12 93/3 101/23 102/3 105/18 120/3 129/18 155/7 157/2 157/19 159/8
159/9 171/13 172/8 172/15 172/21 173/5
174/16 182/11 182/18 182/22 194/15 226/10
departments [1] 44/3
depends [4] 87/14 90/18 90/19 90/21
depictions [1] 110/19
deputies [5] 35/2 77/25 81/6 90/10 122/12
Deputy [3] 31/8 31/10 37/18
describe [2] 106/5 227/19
described [1] 211/3
deserved [2] 233/5 238/10
Design [1] 156/2
designation [1] 73/8
despite [1] 217/3
detail [10] 77/9 77/10 106/6 113/14 113/17
165/15 165/18 180/22 215/25 235/6
detail-orientated [1] 113/17
detail-oriented [3] 77/9 165/15 235/6
detail-type [2] 165/18 180/22
detailed [2] 48/10 113/10
detailed-oriented [1] 113/10
detailing [3] 169/14 169/18 169/20
details [8] 12/2 24/5 54/11 56/14 94/8 178/8
208/11 211/2
detect [3] 103/4 136/4 222/23
detecting [1] 134/11
detective [1] 162/22
determinations [1] 123/6
determine [3] 120/20 122/3 215/7
determining [1] 163/24
did [193] 9/17 9/22 11/10 11/17 11/18 12/14
12/22 12/23 19/7 19/9 22/21 23/16 23/17
23/20 24/19 28/16 30/14 32/15 32/15 32/21
32/23 33/3 34/2 36/10 43/20 43/25 44/9
44/12 44/12 44/17 44/19 44/22 44/24 45/9
45/9 45/12 45/17 48/14 49/12 49/12 51/7
52/6 52/19 53/17 53/19 54/4 54/6 54/7 54/10
54/10 57/13 57/13 64/21 64/23 65/5 65/10
68/15 68/24 70/14 73/13 73/24 74/10 74/22
75/20 76/8 76/10 76/25 78/24 84/18 85/9
85/11 85/11 85/13 86/9 89/8 89/14 89/15
90/1 93/25 94/21 94/25 95/18 96/4 97/13
97/19 98/3 98/7 98/9 98/11 98/14 98/15
98/15 98/19 98/24 103/3 108/17 114/13
123/14 126/1 130/14 130/21 130/23 131/15
131/16 135/3 135/5 136/4 139/11 140/12
140/12 140/13 144/24 145/20 145/22 146/11 148/24 149/9 151/6 156/21 157/1 157/8 157/11 157/18 158/19 159/13 159/25 160/17 160/25 161/3 164/7 166/14 166/20 170/11 172/5 178/11 178/11 178/13 179/21 179/21 180/15 183/1 183/3 183/9 183/13 183/15
185/2 195/24 196/10 197/8 197/11 197/14
197/16 198/3 198/5 200/3 203/14 203/15
204/17 204/18 204/18 204/21 204/25 205/6
205/21 206/7 206/12 207/9 208/4 220/24
222/14 228/13 229/1 229/7 231/21 232/6
234/13 234/15 239/24 240/12 240/13 240/19
240/20 242/19 242/19 249/18 249/19 250/5
250/5 250/10 250/10 252/21 254/21 254/21
didn't [44] 40/19 54/7 68/19 72/25 75/3
89/17 90/18 90/21 90/25 93/22 94/18 95/9
98/13 98/21 98/21 106/23 108/11 120/1
120/1 131/4 137/10 149/7 167/6 168/17
168/19 172/23 174/10 179/25 196/9 202/15
202/18 207/22 210/9 214/13 214/15 221/4
222/9 222/22 229/6 229/8 233/4 243/15
244/6 249/15
diet [1] 241/10
different [13] 29/15 107/10 118/25 121/9
140/24 159/1 231/12 231/13 231/14 231/15
231/15 233/14 244/18
differently [1] 117/19
difficult [4] 36/12 113/20 123/21 222/6
difficulties [2] 221/3 221/13
dinner [1] 111/1
dire [59] 1/5 3/4 3/7 3/8 3/9 3/12 3/13 3/15 3/16 3/19 3/20 3/22 3/24 4/2 4/3 4/5 4/6 4/9 4/10 4/11 5/14 6/15 7/14 8/4 16/13 17/10 18/1 37/15 38/7 39/10 41/18 43/1 50/18 70/13 70/17 71/4 71/23 72/12 84/3 103/19
104/16 105/11 117/13 127/21 129/13 138/22 149/24 153/20 154/10 155/2 169/4 192/19
194/10 215/14 224/11 226/2 238/17 253/17 254/17
direct [6] 60/3 60/5 106/7 196/13 200/13 232/22
direction [1] 38/14
directions [1] 133/16
directly [5] 121/8 132/21 140/13 199/18
243/11
directors [1] 239/19
dires [1] 225/10
disability [2] 79/2 79/5
disappearance [1] 51/25
disappeared [1] 242/17
disciplinary [1] 76/16
disclose [3] 154/12 193/21 225/13
discloses [1] 104/24
disclosing [1] 42/16
discoveries [1] 52/1
discovery [2] 110/21 176/7
discuss [5] 17/6 71/19 193/11 193/12 222/7
discussed [2] 5/24 54/2
discussing [4] 7/7 40/21 42/9 225/4
Discussion [1] 99/3
discussions [6] 10/19 32/1 151/21 211/15
212/23 227/24
Dish [1] 210/1
disorderly [4] 201/5 201/12 202/20 219/13
disposed [1] 81/13
dispute [1] 59/6
disputes [1] 76/12
disqualify [1] 117/5
distant [1] 148/19
district [15] 8/12 18/7 43/9 72/20 105/14 129/21 152/5 152/19 152/20 155/10 194/18 204/7 226/6 232/11 232/23
DNA [16] 57/2 57/5 57/9 111/11 111/14
111/17 111/21 112/6 112/10 148/20 180/12
235/20 236/5 236/6 237/1 249/17
do [396]
Doctoring [1] 183/12
documentary [1] 110/16
documents [1] 158/10
does [39] 5/25 7/13 9/10 15/25 17/10 40/14
42/14 54/6 61/14 71/23 73/20 79/13 86/3
100/4 104/15 110/4 110/5 121/5 128/20
145/5 145/13 150/2 154/9 156/3 177/17
181/14 188/17 193/18 199/4 205/13 211/20
213/6 219/8 225/11 227/10 241/12 246/11 251/17 251/24
doesn't [11] 31/1 58/12 60/22 61/21 61/21
61/22 99/20 168/4 188/6 213/4 220/20
doing [28] 49/17 61/17 64/19 67/6 74/6 77/2
78/22 79/22 91/10 111/8 112/20 112/24
113/5 120/4 156/7 159/25 160/1 170/8 171/7
172/24 174/1 174/4 174/4 175/16 223/12
234/24 239/20 245/18
dollars [1] 89/6
domestics [1] 157/22
don't [169] 6/2 10/5 15/2 15/6 15/7 22/14 25/14 26/16 26/21 26/24 27/10 27/13 28/5
28/15 30/9 30/11 30/23 30/25 33/9 34/18
37/7 37/7 37/11 38/21 48/24 51/8 59/8 59/9
61/20 63/12 66/11 68/10 74/25 75/10 78/2
81/12 82/25 83/14 85/1 88/21 90/5 90/9 92/4

92/7 92/10 92/16 93/6 93/17 93/17 94/19 96/11 96/13 96/16 96/16 96/19 97/14 98/14 99/7 99/11 99/12 101/18 101/19 106/23 107/24 110/11 111/5 112/13 113/25 114/17 120/11 122/19 122/22 122/25 126/4 135/16 136/7 138/13 138/19 139/4 139/23 140/22 141/11 143/6 143/10 145/2 145/12 145/18 147/24 149/15 150/5 151/11 152/10 158/16 162/3 164/6 167/10 169/20 169/22 169/24 170/17 171/25 173/9 175/3 175/9 176/5 176/5 177/16 179/24 181/3 181/3 181/6 181/20 186/11 187/22 189/4 189/6 189/8 189/8 193/12 199/13 199/15 204/14 204/15 204/16 205/11 206/19 208/12 208/21 208/22 209/19 209/25 210/4 210/13 211/1 211/1 211/5 211/11 211/17 211/19 212/21 213/2 213/25 214/18 214/19 214/20 214/20 214/21 214/23 216/13 216/14 220/8 220/8 223/20 229/17 229/19 234/3 240/7 245/4 247/16
248/7 248/7 248/15 248/16 252/11 252/13 253/9 253/11 253/12 254/25 donation [1] 120/9
done [20] 25/20 29/20 29/24 29/25 39/4 58/9 67/10 106/13 106/15 109/20 119/11 119/23 159/16 172/9 185/11 213/8 213/13 233/20 245/12 245/12
door [1] 86/5
doors [2] 157/21 173/25
doubt [29] 26/4 28/5 36/4 49/5 58/20 59/22
82/16 97/6 101/13 126/8 136/12 136/17
143/4 144/25 149/2 167/19 168/18 185/9
185/16 186/20 186/23 186/25 187/6 213/22
214/6 214/14 219/18 245/1 247/13
doubts [2] 185/21 190/25
down [12] 49/15 125/15 158/6 158/6 195/1
198/8 198/11 205/10 205/12 207/3 228/12
233/20
downstairs [1] 39/5
dramas [1] 247/25
drawing [2] 76/21 145/24
drill [1] 39/4
drink [3] 211/11 216/13 216/14
drinking [2] 201/1 206/1
drinks [1] 207/6
drive [3] 33/22 85/16 119/15
driver [3] 138/6 197/15 198/6
driving [13] 205/6 205/14 205/22 205/24
206/2 206/5 208/4 208/9 209/22 214/4 214/6
222/9 234/10
drivings [5] 201/3 201/9 208/6 208/10
211/12
drop [1] 33/13
dropping [2] 33/9 34/17
drunk [11] 88/6 201/3 201/9 205/5 208/3
208/6 208/10 209/22 211/11 214/4 222/9
drunken [1] 234/10
du [1] 86/6
duress [1] 95/13
during [34] 7/14 7/19 16/14 17/6 17/10
17/17 30/22 40/7 42/10 42/15 42/21 43/24 43/25 71/23 79/21 83/10 104/8 104/16
104/22 107/12 127/22 128/21 129/2 134/4 137/15 154/10 193/16 193/19 193/24 197/2 204/1 225/5 225/10 253/4
duties [2] 86/6 159/9
duty [7] 69/10 108/24 157/18 157/20 218/1
218/2 221/20
DWI [1] 33/7

## E

E-4 [1] 45/14
E-C-K [1] 73/9
each [25] 6/16 6/17 6/25 16/14 16/24 41/19

| E | entail [1] 9/10 | 3/15 3/16 3/19 3/20 3/22 3/24 4/2 4/3 4/5 $4 / 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| each... [19] 42/2 45/4 51/9 51/10 71/4 71/12 | entered [3] 205/9 206/10 206/13 | 0/18 60/5 72/12 84/3 105/11 117/13 129/13 |
| 102/24 103/20 104/3 127/23 128/7 128/8 | entertainment [2] 110/15 111/8 | 138/22 149/24 155/2 169/4 194/10 215/14 |
| 142/24 153/20 154/5 192/20 193/4 224/22 | entire [4] 113/3 130/25 146/23 187/5 | 220/22 226/2 238/17 253/17 254/1 |
| 234/22 | entirely [2] 168/11 219/20 | examine [3] 60/1 246/13 247/1 |
| earlier [4] 13/25 76/5 76/7 253/20 | entitled [2] 116/24 143/12 | exceed [1] 102/25 |
| early [10] 52/7 52/8 53/10 54/2 166/25 191/4 | equally [1] 64/19 | excellent [1] 248/1 |
| 228/2 228/7 228/13 229/1 | equipment [1] 43/22 | except [2] 151/16 176/6 |
| ears [2] 109/17 109/18 | equivalency [1] 195/1 | excerpts [1] 177/14 |
| easier [2] 27/1 28/20 | erase [1] 54/23 | excluded [1] 249/19 |
| easily [1] 87/12 | ERIC [1] 3/6 | exclusion [1] 152/21 |
| eastern [1] 139/23 | error [1] 20/2 | excuse [10] 10/21 16/3 43/25 152/8 152/19 |
| easy [2] 65/24 239/4 | escort [9] 15/16 40/12 69/22 10 | 166/2 185/21 195/15 202/4 208/10 |
| Eck [2] 73/10 73/11 | 150/18 189/15 218/10 255/2 | excused [5] 5/19 5/22 41/2 151/8 |
| economic [2] 20/20 197/1 | escorted [9] 15/18 40/13 69/23 102/9 126/24 | exempt [1] 152/12 |
| edited [3] 141/12 141/23 145/1 | 150/19 189/17 218/11 255/4 | exhibits [1] 143/20 |
| editor [3] 130/2 130/25 131/16 | especially [3] 27/25 40/22 209/3 | exonerated [2] 180/12 181/4 |
| effort [2] 47/24 135/1 | established [1] 148/21 | exonerating [2] 237/4 249/2 |
| efforts [2] 57/23 229/4 | etc [3] 186/4 189/8 219/7 | exoneration [2] 149/10 236/25 |
| eight [7] 68/5 68/6 74/12 74/13 75/15 226/25 | evaluate [15] 35/3 35/11 35/14 35/19 35/2 | expect [7] 58/15 58/25 59/3 60/20 101/8 |
| 241/13 | 81/8 81/21 137/16 137/20 138/3 163/22 | 143/12 182/3 |
| either [34] 5/24 40/14 61/24 69/25 71/16 | 168/11 209/4 238/7 238/8 | expecting [2] 65/22 182/3 |
| 96/19 102/11 108/1 108/19 112/5 112/18 | evaluating [7] 62/18 137/13 138/7 150/1 | experience [12] 19/17 34/25 82/5 142/19 |
| 115/21 116/20 123/18 127/1 149/7 149/16 | 164/5 209/13 216/3 | 148/6 148/10 157/25 160/10 160/11 165/12 |
| 150/21 179/22 179/25 180/21 182/21 182/22 | evaluation [1] 185/15 | 182/23 248/10 |
| 189/18 223/24 230/7 233/1 233/4 233/24 | even [40] 10/1 14/24 20/22 33/20 | experienced [1] 148/8 |
| 235/1 248/12 254/1 254/25 255/5 | 40/23 52/17 58/13 67/13 75/10 75/16 75/16 | experiences [8] 27/19 112/16 182/10 182/13 |
| electrical [1] 64/25 | 81/18 88/21 90/12 92/19 96/15 109/10 | 182/14 182/19 216/24 221/17 |
| electronics [5] 65/3 65/15 65/16 65/24 66/4 | 114/10 121/7 123/14 123/16 123/19 124/19 | expert [1] 67/5 |
| eleven [1] 159/14 | 137/25 140/9 146/10 147/21 151/11 168/18 | expertise [3] 66/14 66/24 67/2 |
| eligible [2] 17/21 154/19 | 181/1 184/16 185/20 186/7 219/8 221/6 | expired [1] 161/9 |
| else [34] 12/15 15/10 24/3 25/10 30/4 34/12 | 225/6 247/7 247/11 247/16 | explain [3] 205/5 212/15 217/1 |
| 38/1 38/18 39/6 62/11 81/17 110/10 110 | evening [3] 159/15 207/11 230/18 | explained [1] 226/14 |
| 111/21 111/25 122/23 128/16 147/20 148/4 | evenings [3] 109/24 169/19 170/19 | explaining [1] 185/5 |
| 159/8 162/18 180/13 189/7 193/15 202/16 | evenly [2] 46/21 64/18 | explains [1] 118/21 |
| 202/18 210/11 211/9 212/11 221/20 225/2 | event [4] 7/22 17 | explanation [1] 196/7 |
| 227/9 233/19 251/16 | events [6] 11/7 21/14 24/16 67/25 133/13 | explanations [1] 220/25 |
| else's [2] 148/14 148/15 | 166/4 | explore [2] 69/15 147/17 |
| elsewise [1] 185/22 | eventually [2] | exploring [1] 243/3 |
| emotional [1] 137/7 | ever [22] 19/24 37/23 75/5 80/21 82/4 85/6 | exposed [6] 7/3 12/1 17/2 42/5 104/7 128/11 |
| employed [8] 8/25 9/6 73/7 195/3 195/14 | 86/9 86/11 87/22 91/6 93/2 94/25 122/20 | exposure [4] 71/16 120/1 167/13 224/24 |
| 196/1 197/9 197/20 | 124/19 145/14 175/16 177/16 179/21 182/2 | expressed [1] 168/6 |
| employers [1] 113/15 | 183/13 200/12 242/10 | ext [1] 15/3 |
| employment [3] 20/17 155/17 227/2 | every [17] 11/4 21/25 22/1 27/19 47/8 47/10 | extend [1] 66/1 |
| encountered [1] 182/24 | 119/13 156/15 156/16 165/21 187/16 212/5 | extended [1] 10/7 |
| encounters [1] 199/17 | 221/19 222/13 230/14 251/12 252/1 | extensive [1] 220/3 |
| end [21] 16/23 42/2 61/25 64/15 71/12 76/4 | everybody [8] 34/22 45/7 58/7 123/5 183/22 | extent [1] 54/4 |
| 104/2 121/16 121/18 144/11 146/5 146/12 | 187/16 215/22 240/6 | eye [1] 78/16 |
| 146/25 150/3 190/2 190/4 190/5 193/4 245/9 | everybody's [2] 116/2 | eves [3] 77/15 109/17 189/12 |
| 32 | everyone [5] 38/18 61/3 126/22 | F |
| ended [3] 32/11 173/2 |  |  |
| enforced [1] 36/11 | everything [11] 29/17 74/4 121/24 166/6 | face [2] 89/22 223/7 |
| enforcement [67] 29/6 30/12 32/2 33/4 33/8 | 172/9 205/12 206/19 210/13 238/6 244/15 | faces [1] 225/19 |
| 62/20 62/24 63/8 63/14 77/18 80/22 81/7 | 250/2 | facility [2] 106/9 182/16 |
| 82/4 82/8 83/21 84/22 85/6 90/11 112/17 | everything's [1] 123/24 | fact [26] 7/2 16/25 26/24 40/22 42/4 64/1 |
| 112/23 113/3 116/21 122/11 138/2 147/9 | evidence [73] 7/9 12/16 12/18 13/24 14/1 | 104/5 113/14 128/10 137/3 144/23 146/11 |
| 147/10 147/19 147/24 148/7 160/10 160/15 | 14/20 15/5 25/25 26/15 26/25 27/24 29/14 | 151/17 160/20 181/1 190/24 191/3 200/16 |
| 161/13 161/15 162/20 162/21 163/16 163/21 | 30/9 59/2 59/5 59/5 60/2 61/8 65/23 67/18 | 216/6 220/23 223/2 223/5 224/23 232/22 |
| 163/22 165/12 167/17 167/21 171/9 182/15 | 67/20 67/20 67/21 83/9 83/14 90/16 90/16 | 241/15 248/2 |
| 182/20 183/25 199/17 199/21 200/14 200/17 | 91/3 91/4 91/21 91/22 95/23 95/23 100/22 | factor [1] 29/15 |
| 201/17 202/25 203/7 203/11 204/3 204/21 | 101/8 101/11 111/10 111/11 112/5 112/10 | factors [1] 122/6 |
| 209/1 209/5 219/15 229/21 230/1 230/5 | 112/12 116/10 116/20 123/12 123/19 123/22 | factory [1] 74/11 |
| 234/1 235/1 250/20 250/23 251/1 251/15 | 124/8 124/11 124/12 143/25 168/10 168/12 | facts [8] 26/25 213/15 213/16 213/18 213/19 |
| engaging [1] 80/1 | 183/11 184/12 184/12 184/16 184/23 185/2 | 214/10 214/11 238/5 |
| engineering [1] 65/1 | 185/3 185/8 185/12 185/13 185/15 189/10 | fails [1] 213/21 |
| engines [1] 175/17 | 214/25 215/4 216/3 225/7 235/21 237/1 | fair [24] 58/7 60/15 81/5 83/5 107/21 131/2 |
| enjoy [5] 44/17 45/17 76/8 130/21 235/17 | 238/9 246/22 247/11 | 131/15 136/14 163/15 190/9 201/16 202/24 |
| enjoyable [1] 133/9 | exact [1] 181/23 | 208/25 219/25 230/14 230/16 238/5 239/16 |
| enjoyed [3] 131/2 131/9 239/20 | exactly [14] 9/10 11/21 111/20 123/4 164/14 | 239/18 239/21 240/1 250/13 250/15 253/6 |
| enlarging [1] 118/12 | 170/20 170/24 188/16 188/21 192/10 233/15 | fairly [7] 32/17 32/23 114/21 203/8 208/14 |
| enough [10] 5/16 131/15 136/14 167/12 | 246/9 249/16 251/9 | 209/5 222/22 |
| 174/5 191/19 211/8 215/5 227/22 238/4 | examination [43] 3/4 3/7 3/8 3/9 3/12 3/13 | fairness [1] 190/7 |

finding [3] 198/10 244/9 244/12
fine [4] 151/4 191/5 202/13 202/19
fingerprint [7] 111/16 111/24 158/1 158/12 159/10 159/20 160/17
fingerprinting [3] 159/13 159/15 161/5
fingerprints [2] 158/8 158/9
finish [1] 233/16
finished [1] 230/20
fire [2] 39/2 39/3
firearms [1] 66/23
fires [1] 39/6
first [37] 6/4 6/21 8/18 13/14 16/20 18/17
24/13 41/25 44/6 45/16 45/17 46/19 52/10
56/6 56/9 56/11 73/5 84/11 88/15 96/1 97/8
108/21 115/25 117/21 119/9 152/2 162/2
166/6 176/24 178/8 187/24 226/18 236/12
236/12 239/4 242/16 244/5
fish [1] 39/2
fit [1] 111/15
five [15] 22/1 50/1 70/16 80/20 80/20 151/9
156/16 156/17 156/17 159/1 204/6 206/18
244/19 255/11 255/16
flag [1] 220/12
flash [3] 30/12 38/19 109/17
flat [1] 156/5
fleeing [1] 234/10
flip [2] 62/19 110/12
focus [3] 104/23 129/3 194/1
folder [1] 210/8
folks [2] 23/10 246/3
follow [33] 8/14 11/10 16/15 18/15 36/13
38/13 41/20 43/12 49/7 52/6 61/4 61/6 71/6
72/23 102/20 103/23 125/19 128/1 135/15
146/13 147/5 147/12 153/23 165/5 167/25
188/15 192/23 211/20 215/3 216/21 226/15 242/19 245/10
follow-up [5] 16/15 102/20 128/1 192/23 226/15
follow-ups [1] 153/23
followed [8] 24/15 24/18 51/24 52/12 52/14 135/10 149/10 243/12
following [13] 16/25 24/21 24/23 29/14
36/15 36/19 42/3 136/22 166/4 173/24
209/24 210/10 211/24
follows [2] 211/16 211/18
followup [1] 254/14
Forbes [1] 47/18
force [6] 44/20 44/22 45/18 61/12 65/5 158/2
Ford [1] 175/18
Forder [1] 8/25
foregoing [2] 256/7 256/7
forensic [2] 110/16 235/20
forensics [1] 213/4
forget [1] 78/11
forgo [1] 15/12
forgot [1] 14/13
forklift [2] 197/15 198/6
form [3] 34/2 68/24 155/20
formed [7] 14/15 25/1 25/7 48/20 116/7 156/4 167/1
former [7] 130/1 163/20 184/4 221/15 231/3 232/23 254/21
forming [1] 165/16
formulating [1] 77/5
forth [1] 210/9
forthright [3] 215/20 222/11 222/24
Forty [1] 156/15
Forty-four [1] 156/15
forward [5] 114/13 114/14 115/10 139/4 209/20
Foster [3] 9/2 9/4 9/5
found [6] 12/11 13/24 26/15 29/8 222/4 250/11
foundries [1] 74/20
foundry [7] 73/10 73/11 73/14 75/18 76/20
76/23 78/25
four [26] 9/23 13/10 19/10 33/17 45/9 45/11
45/12 50/1 70/16 78/5 79/24 106/15 117/21
141/3 148/17 156/15 157/6 157/8 157/10
163/2 190/3 190/16 200/2 207/13 216/16
227/8
fourth [1] 190/13
Fox [1] 170/12
fragments [1] 66/19
frame [3] 96/3 145/25 197/5
framed [5] 96/10 179/11 179/14 180/2 180/3
frankly [1] 222/16
freely [1] 221/3
Friday [2] 39/2 253/1
friend [9] 31/17 33/7 33/12 34/7 34/17
162/19 206/25 207/6 221/7
friends [9] 12/25 31/13 31/14 31/23 36/24
161/14 162/9 253/13 253/13
front [6] 27/20 89/22 111/2 191/20 191/21
243/17
fry [1] 39/2
full [4] 157/7 157/8 157/10 166/9
full-time [1] 166/9
fully [1] 23/5
function [1] 142/16
funds [1] 197/4
furnace [2] 9/8 9/12
further [2] 225/23 233/6
fuzz [1] 173/19
G
GAHN [1] 1/18
gain [2] 171/7 200/7
gang [1] 199/11
gather [1] 254/4
gathering [2] 67/18 67/20
gauge [1] 243/6
gave [12] 53/16 103/4 103/24 123/13 149/15
185/9 192/24 196/5 196/7 220/22 224/16 249/3
GED [2] 195/7 195/9
geez [1] 83/14
gen [1] 108/12
general [17] 5/8 8/9 12/2 13/23 18/4 37/21
43/6 72/17 80/23 129/18 136/10 142/8 155/7
194/15 212/12 226/10 237/24
General's [1] 105/19
generally [6] 108/14 112/22 230/3 235/13 235/25 237/3
generated [3] 10/12 21/8 189/25
generations [1] 132/18
gentleman [2] 85/18 221/19
Geographic [1] 47/16
get [75] 6/20 7/23 10/17 10/22 11/17 17/22
21/18 22/21 39/4 46/20 54/11 61/17 65/6
68/16 70/11 71/8 72/8 72/25 75/7 78/5 78/9
79/9 79/11 87/2 87/3 87/11 87/21 93/22 98/1
99/13 100/10 106/20 106/23 106/24 110/25
119/6 120/5 120/7 128/4 129/6 131/15
133/23 134/14 134/17 135/3 141/10 142/7
154/1 154/20 162/25 165/25 166/11 171/19
171/23 173/21 175/24 176/8 176/11 176/14
176/19 182/2 182/5 190/17 197/5 199/1
202/15 202/18 205/16 206/16 207/8 207/23
212/20 249/9 249/23 250/12
gets [6] 20/11 57/4 69/16 248/12 248/13
250/15
getting [14] 50/11 63/6 121/18 121/21 135/9
172/10 174/22 179/19 190/2 207/12 219/7
236/21 250/6 252/9
girl [2] 32/9 139/12
give [21] 35/9 35/13 60/13 60/15 62/2 67/1 83/4 101/12 115/4 118/13 125/1 153/4 164/3 171/1 172/1 200/10 219/24 241/12 245/16 254/7 254/10
given [10] 34/25 103/2 191/17 209/6 219/2
220/3 220/21 222/1 226/15 247/2
giving [1] 116/23
gizmo [1] 86/13
glad [2] 216/19 250/2
glass [1] 126/21
global [1] 134/10
go [53] 17/25 27/18 32/15 33/3 36/16 36/18
38/17 38/24 39/1 46/7 77/13 78/13 86/20
91/2 98/2 98/7 99/14 118/20 125/17 131/23
146/6 151/24 159/2 159/16 159/18 160/18
164/24 169/23 171/15 171/15 171/25 172/4
181/25 185/2 188/4 190/9 191/24 192/10
193/5 200/10 208/2 213/19 213/20 215/4
218/14 224/1 224/21 227/22 228/25 230/13
243/15 250/11 252/19
goal [1] 198/15
God [1] 120/7
goes [10] 9/12 55/2 108/10 124/25 209/15 211/22 212/3 212/4 241/6 248/17
going [87] 17/1 24/10 32/9 33/13 34/7 36/18 36/20 38/17 38/21 38/22 38/25 40/9 50/25 52/15 52/18 59/24 61/17 63/7 65/7 69/15 75/6 89/17 98/25 103/17 118/22 119/2 120/14 120/23 121/11 121/23 122/2 122/14 125/14 126/11 130/19 139/5 144/16 144/19 147/17 152/22 154/23 159/16 160/23 163/3 163/15 167/1 172/13 173/1 176/12 179/3
183/2 184/12 187/13 188/3 191/14 192/9
193/3 195/15 196/11 206/16 207/15 208/11
208/25 209/20 210/9 212/24 214/4 217/4
217/11 217/11 217/17 217/18 217/20 218/19
218/22 223/9 229/22 231/23 232/21 236/20
240/5 240/8 245/15 245/16 246/12 252/20 252/24
gone [2] 107/9 233/6
good [68] 5/6 8/6 8/7 8/21 8/23 8/24 8/24 9/5
9/24 18/3 18/8 43/3 43/10 45/21 45/24 50/20
67/10 72/14 75/13 80/25 84/5 84/6 87/15
87/20 89/18 105/13 109/22 111/6 117/15
117/16 119/11 129/15 129/16 129/23 132/8 137/3 138/20 155/4 155/5 164/20 165/23 169/6 169/7 179/7 182/9 182/12 182/14 186/2 187/18 194/12 194/13 196/24 197/7 199/4 200/8 200/25 215/16 216/18 218/5 220/8 226/4 226/5 237/5 239/2 239/24 249/2 253/13 253/13
got [59] 24/9 27/16 36/17 38/20 50/8 57/8 66/14 76/16 85/14 88/6 93/12 96/23 108/21 108/23 109/6 113/16 115/25 133/5 133/25 140/18 140/18 156/14 159/22 172/23 174/1 175/18 179/1 181/24 182/1 183/6 191/12 196/4 196/12 197/17 201/3 201/5 201/8 206/24 207/1 207/7 207/17 208/10 210/1 210/1 211/8 213/20 216/18 217/7 217/19 218/5 221/11 232/19 233/5 236/12 240/10 243/18 243/20 246/6 252/7
gotcha [2] 20/15 131/13
gotten [1] 232/18
government [3] 142/9 173/8 174/12
grab [1] 243/15
grade [1] 191/1
gradually [1] 172/11
graduate [1] 195/11
graduated [1] 240/10
grandchildren [1] 115/19
grandfather [1] 55/24
grandkids [3] 55/21 55/24 240/21
grandmother [1] 243/4
grant [1] 152/19
grasp [3] 64/25 111/6 223/1
grass [3] 131/22 132/25 133/3
GRAY [10] 3/11 41/10 41/10 41/15 43/15
52/9 69/20 70/4 102/23 103/3
Gray's [2] 110/23 111/4
great [2] 211/1 215/24
greater [1] 28/11
Green [1] 47/3
Gregory [1] 57/9
ground [1] 28/19
grounds [2] 220/18 230/17
group [2] 170/25 237/10
groups [2] 133/18 170/21
growing [1] 51/17
grueling [1] 220/22
GTE [2] 43/16 43/20
GUCKEISEN [9] 4/1 152/25 153/1 153/12 153/14 153/16 155/4 189/15 191/11
guess [35] 12/2 12/7 12/13 14/6 14/23 23/14
40/1 52/18 55/1 64/22 107/6 107/25 118/21
130/1 133/2 136/10 141/11 145/14 145/25
165/21 187/8 190/20 212/8 215/4 218/18
219/8 237/23 242/25 243/10 243/11 244/4
244/20 248/5 248/21 252/20
guidelines [2] 76/22 76/22
guilt [14] 13/21 14/16 15/5 25/8 25/15 83/1 83/8 116/8 136/18 143/18 146/17 147/3 168/11 247/11
guilty [62] 14/6 14/23 27/3 28/4 28/6 28/22 39/14 39/14 39/17 39/19 48/25 49/5 58/12 58/13 58/13 58/16 59/21 61/22 61/22 82/15 83/17 92/4 92/15 92/21 93/21 96/19 116/19 123/17 135/25 136/6 144/25 149/3 149/4 167/2 167/19 168/18 179/10 179/14 179/15 180/2 180/2 185/1 186/20 187/6 187/11 188/6 189/5 189/12 203/14 213/9 214/12 214/16 214/17 215/8 215/8 215/9 222/17 243/24 245/1 247/13 248/12 248/13 gun [1] 173/20
gut [1] 14/23
guy [11] 37/19 37/19 57/10 77/9 78/6 81/18 159/10 165/16 210/17 248/12 248/13 guys [5] 37/6 74/3 76/24 199/1 248/16

## H

Habitat [1] 84/25
had [123] 5/17 5/21 13/20 19/16 23/11 26/14 26/15 32/1 33/1 33/7 33/8 48/13 50/6 51/1 52/15 56/7 57/17 70/15 72/23 74/3 75/16 76/24 80/2 82/4 85/6 86/3 86/6 86/12 86/18 86/20 88/5 89/5 89/6 92/19 94/22 94/25 98/5 98/16 98/22 99/6 102/17 112/14 113/14 114/5 116/1 118/10 118/13 119/25 127/2 135/10 135/15 138/11 140/15 140/18 142/18 148/6 151/8 151/22 158/6 158/13 161/1 161/8 166/25 169/11 172/8 173/7 173/15 173/20 178/1 180/10 180/17 181/7 182/9 182/19 185/21 187/8 199/16 199/21 200/12 200/16 201/1 201/10 201/13 201/16 202/20 202/25 206/1 206/15 207/10 207/24 210/3 212/23 212/25 214/2 214/5 216/9 217/4 221/2 221/6 221/13 222/14 222/21 226/25 227/2 227/24 228/6 228/14 228/21 229/21 229/25 230/5 231/9 231/10 231/10 231/12 237/21 239/18 243/17 243/19 249/4 254/14 255/17 256/13
hadn't [2] 95/5 149/14
hair [1] 236/21
Halbach [4] 51/25 229/2 229/7 242/17
Halbach's [1] 229/9
half [5] 79/8 139/13 196/2 227/5 240/6
halfway [2] 32/10 140/9
Halloween [1] 108/9
hammer [1] 173/20
hand [12] 16/6 41/11 70/22 103/11 127/13
152/4 153/8 188/17 192/13 200/10 224/4

## 232/19

hand-delivery [1] 152/4
handful [1] 112/25
handle [1] 154/23
handled [8] 32/16 32/22 76/18 76/19 103/6
233/24 234/2 234/20
handler [1] 198/6
handling [2] 129/9 194/7
hands [1] 175/23
handwriting [1] 139/17
hang [1] 199/3
happen [6] 55/18 124/19 165/6 187/9 196/10 231/22
happened [18] 12/3 23/6 26/21 28/15 39/6
56/18 88/3 91/19 120/7 180/17 183/20 184/1
191/4 205/6 206/22 217/10 228/6 246/5
happening [2] 87/22 91/6
happens [8] 30/6 90/4 97/15 97/16 97/18
138/18 138/18 188/14
happy [2] 118/24 252/22
harassing [1] 174/15
harassment [2] 34/3 174/2
harassment-type [1] 174/2
hard [18] 14/21 23/13 26/16 27/16 36/14
37/5 37/9 38/15 38/22 40/9 119/24 125/5
130/15 180/20 184/14 187/15 188/2 199/7 harder [5] 27/3 27/4 27/6 35/5 36/2
hardship [8] 10/3 20/20 114/7 137/8 197/1
237/18 242/1 242/4
harsh [1] 220/13
harsher [1] 232/18
has [47] 7/9 10/12 21/8 30/12 41/2 46/14 53/23 54/21 58/7 61/7 80/18 86/3 93/2 99/8 107/9 107/17 108/24 111/16 111/21 121/7 125/12 125/24 126/7 143/1 144/3 144/7 162/21 164/25 186/6 187/10 198/9 199/21 212/16 217/7 217/8 219/9 221/19 223/6 225/7 226/14 230/1 240/6 241/8 244/17 244/23 247/12 256/8
hasn't [1] 190/1
hate [6] 93/12 93/16 101/23 165/19 183/1 184/18
Hau [4] 2/2 255/18 256/4 256/19
have [304]
haven't [15] 32/1 48/10 48/20 116/2 117/1 119/8 126/2 150/5 166/12 180/21 208/9 210/10 212/8 213/15 228/1
having [11] 19/14 69/3 69/5 125/14 146/6 161/12 173/5 184/6 188/22 229/2 230/19 he [210] 12/13 12/14 14/24 27/10 31/11 31/12 31/24 32/5 32/12 32/14 33/12 33/12 33/16 33/20 34/6 34/10 35/22 40/18 40/18 40/19 40/23 41/2 53/7 53/14 53/16 53/17 57/17 58/9 60/22 61/14 61/15 61/16 61/21 61/21 61/21 61/22 62/2 62/4 70/10 76/16 80/18 80/24 86/3 86/3 86/12 86/17 86/17 86/19 86/20 88/12 89/11 89/12 89/12 89/13 89/15 90/18 90/21 93/2 93/6 93/9 93/23 94/17 94/20 94/21 94/22 94/25 95/25 96/1 96/12 96/23 97/1 97/6 97/21 98/1 99/9 99/9 99/17 99/20 100/4 103/4 108/24 116/13 118/9 118/10 118/10 118/12 118/15 118/23 118/24 119/4 125/16 125/17 140/19 140/22 144/4 144/8 144/16 144/21 145/22 149/4 149/4 150/10 152/24 159/12 159/13 162/7 168/6 168/19 174/19 178/1 178/5 178/8 179/10 179/11 179/14 179/14 179/15 180/1
he... [92] 180/1 180/7 180/10 180/10 180/11 181/1 181/4 185/25 187/10 188/5 188/6 188/17 188/18 189/11 189/12 190/12 190/13 191/2 191/2 191/2 191/3 191/4 191/17 205/9 205/19 207/1 207/5 207/6 207/11 207/12 207/16 207/24 207/24 213/17 214/12 214/24 214/25 219/9 219/24 220/10 220/15 220/24 221/3 221/5 221/5 221/7 221/7 221/8 221/14 222/10 222/12 222/14 222/14 222/16 222/17 222/19 222/20 222/21 222/21 222/24 223/1 223/3 223/4 223/4 223/5 223/6 223/19 223/24 226/10 231/25 231/25 232/13 232/19 233/5 233/5 233/5 234/17 236/12 236/15 236/18 241/15 241/19 244/6 244/6 246/11 250/6 250/11 250/13 250/15 253/23 254/5 254/9
he'd [3] 33/13 33/21 219/4
he'll [3] 105/19 226/11 244/21
he's [67] 14/6 14/23 25/19 25/19 27/3 31/14
31/17 39/17 39/19 49/1 49/4 53/7 53/7 53/9
58/10 60/20 61/17 61/18 63/3 85/23 85/24
86/5 86/6 88/21 93/20 96/19 97/14 100/2
100/8 100/9 100/10 118/9 118/15 126/12
136/6 145/4 153/1 167/3 175/4 180/2 180/2
180/3 187/11 188/5 189/5 192/6 207/12
210/20 210/20 210/20 210/24 213/10 215/3
219/5 219/6 221/2 221/5 221/8 221/10
223/23 224/2 241/16 243/23 243/24 243/25 245/23 248/1
head [2] 24/6 207/23
headline [1] 131/16
headlines [1] 23/13
healing [1] 50/10
health [3] 164/8 164/20 241/8
health-related [1] 164/8
healthy [1] 252/9
hear [38] 48/15 53/17 57/13 62/24 68/15
90/9 93/19 94/21 94/25 95/18 97/2 116/20 121/5 121/14 125/8 125/9 141/8 149/9 167/9 167/10 179/22 180/15 186/15 186/17 187/23 210/13 213/16 214/15 216/19 221/4 224/20 238/4 243/11 247/16 250/2 250/5 250/17 250/19
heard [44] 13/22 14/2 14/8 14/9 24/4 25/9
53/20 68/6 68/8 68/10 85/10 87/22 91/6 94/9 95/5 95/21 96/9 108/5 112/1 119/20 123/12
124/7 134/19 148/23 177/22 177/24 178/14
178/23 180/14 180/16 180/21 185/8 185/22
186/11 186/12 210/6 210/16 210/16 210/19
213/15 219/23 237/14 250/7 252/4
hearing [14] 12/5 12/19 19/15 23/25 58/8
98/5 120/15 175/9 177/20 179/9 179/12
210/11 210/22 219/22
hears [1] 211/21
heart [2] 184/4 187/21
hearts [2] 184/4 187/21
heat [1] 9/12
held [1] 198/1
help [8] 8/16 43/14 73/6 78/7 195/2 200/8 221/7 231/2
helped [3] 12/13 230/7 230/9
helping [3] 43/11 82/6 129/24
HENRY [1] 3/11
her [21] 15/22 15/24 32/11 49/19 50/6 50/6 54/12 55/14 63/1 85/12 85/12 93/9 93/9 127/10 151/16 211/15 212/5 212/8 229/4 229/10 242/18
Herald [2] 47/4 176/15
here [75] 5/3 5/16 8/14 9/1 14/5 14/13 16/3
25/19 26/22 27/23 34/7 36/10 46/4 46/12 48/2 48/24 58/3 58/10 58/16 59/25 61/2

62/21 73/1 73/4 81/2 86/6 98/3 108/6 116/5
116/13 118/2 132/4 134/14 136/21 140/23
143/1 146/16 147/11 150/8 150/12 152/7
181/12 182/17 183/18 183/25 191/4 191/8 191/11 195/1 197/2 198/15 209/3 209/9
209/16 210/3 212/25 215/18 215/19 217/12
219/2 221/10 223/19 223/24 224/2 228/21
231/18 232/25 241/7 243/22 243/23 244/6
246/11 246/12 250/21 251/11
here's [1] 247/5
hereby [1] 256/6
hesitancy [2] 136/5 136/9
hesitate [1] 45/22
hesitation [2] 141/6 141/9
hey [2] 36/22 196/11
hi [4] 37/17 227/23 238/21 238/23
hide [1] 99/21
high [6] 92/11 143/2 164/10 164/17 195/12 240/11
him [87] 28/4 28/6 32/3 33/12 37/20 40/22 40/25 49/4 58/15 58/25 59/12 59/21 60/20 63/1 70/11 82/15 86/9 86/11 86/20 88/14
89/19 89/24 90/18 90/22 92/4 92/19 94/15 94/16 96/3 97/2 97/3 97/4 97/19 99/18
118/13 118/21 119/5 125/15 125/21 136/1 143/9 144/12 144/13 144/25 152/8 152/12 153/6 167/19 168/18 175/10 185/1 185/22 186/25 187/10 191/15 191/16 191/20 191/21 207/8 207/10 207/23 214/16 214/17 214/24 215/5 220/20 221/4 222/4 222/7 223/9
227/22 227/22 227/23 228/5 232/18 232/19 236/18 236/20 241/19 245/4 246/6 247/13 249/19 249/19 250/4 250/10 254/2 himself [7] 61/18 125/18 146/8 219/6 221/5 223/4 247/5
hinder [1] 83/22
hip [1] 50/6
hired [3] 174/17 174/19 197/17
his [79] 15/5 25/14 40/22 59/11 59/14 60/24 62/7 70/17 83/8 85/22 93/6 94/10 95/1 96/21 99/10 100/5 100/12 107/17 107/18 108/24
118/10 118/12 118/13 118/17 119/5 125/16 136/18 143/18 144/1 144/4 144/8 145/6 149/10 152/11 152/25 153/5 162/2 168/5 168/11 178/11 180/5 186/5 188/7 188/7
188/19 207/6 207/14 207/15 210/23 211/4 214/16 219/5 219/18 220/1 220/10 220/25 221/16 221/19 222/3 222/3 222/7 222/12 222/15 222/15 222/17 222/18 222/23 233/8 233/9 233/25 236/9 236/21 236/25 236/25 238/24 247/22 249/17 253/24 254/8 historical [1] 133/13
history [8] 132/1 155/17 159/24 176/6 209/7 212/19 220/4 220/9
hmm [64] 9/16 10/16 10/25 13/17 19/21 51/11 53/2 53/17 54/5 54/14 57/22 57/24 58/9 60/10 62/3 62/23 63/3 63/5 63/17 64/4 64/6 65/15 65/19 66/15 66/21 67/7 67/15 68/1 114/12 120/17 121/10 122/1 124/14 125/4 131/12 132/20 132/23 137/23 139/21 140/17 141/1 145/16 146/2 156/20 157/3 158/4 160/13 160/16 163/18 164/12 167/14 168/8 168/16 174/7 175/14 187/19 199/9 239/17 242/10 243/9 244/16 247/24 248/4 249/6
hobbies [1] 131/21
hobby [1] 175/21
hold [11] 35/20 58/21 126/1 143/15 143/18 155/25 187/4 214/23 217/19 232/25 233/4
Holmes [1] 85/12
Holsteins [1] 19/1
home [17] 6/25 13/24 16/23 42/2 49/24
49/25 71/12 104/4 109/24 110/25 116/2

128/7 154/5 176/8 193/5 224/21 241/13 homeowner's [1] 130/8
homicide [1] 181/25
homicides [2] 161/4 161/10
HON [1] 1/11
honest [9] 93/1 107/24 121/13 148/7 199/6 209/10 209/11 213/6 216/10
honestly [2] 41/6 57/6
honesty [3] 35/12 137/17 137/21
honor [18] 5/7 5/10 11/19 15/4 15/14 40/16
50/17 59/7 70/13 98/25 102/13 150/23
153/15 168/5 186/5 204/6 251/16 255/8
hope [4] 68/19 123/2 123/2 251/12
hopeful [1] 135/18
horse's [1] 247/17
hospital [1] 49/25
hospitalized [1] 49/24
hour [2] 78/5 79/7
hours [7] 79/8 79/24 107/11 181/24 241/13 241/14 253/4
house [9] 12/11 94/11 140/18 198/15 205/10 205/23 206/10 206/13 217/22
houses [1] 77/14
how [121] 9/5 9/22 11/2 12/25 18/23 19/9
24/8 24/19 29/13 29/14 31/10 31/14 32/15 32/15 35/11 35/15 35/16 35/16 37/21 39/20 44/9 44/12 44/13 47/12 49/17 51/7 51/8 51/23 52/12 53/8 53/20 54/1 54/20 54/21 54/21 61/14 66/8 68/15 74/7 74/10 75/13 76/1 76/15 77/16 78/2 78/9 78/9 78/11 78/22 80/18 85/9 87/3 88/18 90/1 91/22 92/3 97/13 97/14 97/18 110/22 110/22 112/23 113/4 117/17 121/11 122/3 130/23 132/12 132/24 134/2 134/18 139/11 145/19 149/5 151/2 152/24 156/7 156/16 158/8 170/11 170/11 172/5 172/12 173/11 173/11 195/14 196/1 196/16 197/16 198/3 198/7 198/12 199/14 199/25 201/6 201/7 201/9 201/12 204/9 205/5 205/8 206/20 208/3 211/23 212/2 212/9 223/11 223/15 223/22 226/23 227/22 231/21 231/25 234/11 239/15 240/13 240/23 242/4 243/6 246/20 252/24
how's [1] 227/23
however [3] 125/11 161/23 228/2
Huber [1] 233/10
huh [8] 12/7 13/3 13/5 44/16 108/1 166/23 240/4 241/7
human [13] 55/19 55/20 63/1 66/6 67/17
67/18 81/16 148/3 183/22 184/10 251/3
251/5 251/5
Humanity [1] 84/25
hundred [2] 184/2 244/19
hurt [1] 120/8
husband [6] 12/24 13/16 107/14 108/23 140/15 241/8
husband's [3] 118/7 139/8 139/10

## I

I'd [21] 18/17 21/6 30/25 40/1 45/23 56/4 70/11 84/9 90/9 121/12 129/24 140/23 184/18 185/2 186/11 188/4 215/4 217/12 217/12 244/8 255/12
I'll [17] 6/7 34/7 50/15 79/3 83/25 118/4 127/12 153/4 156/4 169/1 192/10 213/6 213/7 215/12 216/14 224/1 252/25 I'm [133] 5/15 8/8 8/10 15/6 18/3 18/5 19/11 27/12 28/24 30/2 34/7 34/19 35/7 35/8 36/22 39/21 43/5 43/7 51/23 51/23 52/18 53/25 55/4 55/4 57/1 59/24 63/6 65/20 65/20 66/12 66/12 68/19 69/15 69/15 69/18 69/18 70/6 72/16 72/18 73/6 73/13 73/19 79/1 90/20 93/1 93/22 94/19 98/25 105/14 105/15 108/14 108/23 108/25 111/3 112/9 114/20

I'm... [77] 114/21 116/5 120/6 121/21 124/8 124/10 124/20 124/20 127/9 129/17 129/19 134/11 139/1 139/5 139/16 139/16 139/23 144/19 147/8 147/17 147/17 150/1 150/9 152/24 155/6 155/8 162/1 164/22 171/12 178/17 183/2 183/15 184/10 188/2 191/14 192/8 194/14 195/5 195/8 195/10 195/20 198/23 199/19 202/17 203/3 204/7 209/20 212/3 212/9 215/21 216/8 216/8 216/19 217/13 219/1 220/10 222/5 223/8 226/6 228/7 230/14 231/23 232/21 233/19 236/17 236/19 238/4 239/4 241/24 243/2 243/5 243/6 244/21 245/14 245/14 250/18 254/13 I've [28] 37/24 59/24 68/10 106/15 108/25 112/25 119/24 127/2 141/3 145/14 169/15 171/12 175/18 177/22 182/19 186/11 186/12 195/17 201/3 210/19 211/5 216/13 221/11 227/6 227/7 243/20 245/18 253/8
idea [3] 66/11 177/10 179/16
identification [3] 154/17 235/20 236/3 identified [1] 236/18
identifies [2] 17/19 194/2
identify [4] 7/15 112/5 120/6 129/3
identifying [1] 17/13
identity [2] 104/24 111/15
ill [1] 49/15
illness [1] 151/10
imagine [6] 45/25 161/13 163/15 167/16
188/10 188/12
immediate [7] 8/11 18/6 43/7 72/19 129/20
155/9 194/17
Immortals [2] 198/20 198/24
impact [1] 150/2
impartial [1] 209/19
impartially [1] 209/6
implicated [2] 53/7 53/9
important [5] 54/24 55/1 55/2 70/17 114/11
impose [1] 126/11
imposition [1] 102/22
impossible [1] 48/5
impression [1] 14/4
improved [1] 49/20
inability [1] $15 / 22$
inactive [3] 171/12 171/18 171/24
inappropriately [3] 233/25 234/2 234/19
inch [2] 212/18 212/18
incidental [1] 165/11
incidents [1] 56/11
inclination [1] 87/7
inclined [2] 254/1 254/1
include [3] 128/17 191/15 191/16
included [1] 151/20
includes [1] 137/24
including [10] 7/8 17/7 42/10 71/19 104/12
193/12 193/15 212/14 225/5 252/2
income [1] 21/2
incoming [2] 106/7 106/10
inconsistent [1] 121/6
Independent [1] 142/5
indicate [4] 13/25 38/10 48/20 49/12
indicated [19] 10/1 10/17 13/23 19/23 20/21
24/25 25/11 29/1 29/8 36/13 40/18 73/7
74/17 102/24 103/5 137/9 222/16 223/6 227/1
individual [4] 7/14 153/19 219/4 219/12 individually [1] 194/2
individuals [1] 112/5
infected [1] 50/8
influence [5] 109/22 205/14 206/4 206/8 214/7
inform [1] 128/5
information [34] 6/21 7/4 8/16 10/13 12/23
13/13 14/17 16/16 16/18 18/14 27/20 41/2
41/21 41/24 43/13 71/6 72/24 112/3 119/12 128/2 130/13 134/7 136/12 141/10 150/13
153/24 155/14 166/25 167/12 171/1 171/5
172/3 179/20 194/22
informed [1] $5 / 15$
informs [1] 151/15
infrequently [1] 64/1
initially [3] 52/6 178/5 221/25
initiation [1] 200/6
injury [1] 79/5
innocence [16] 13/21 14/16 15/4 25/8 25/15
59/14 83/1 83/8 116/8 143/16 146/17 146/22
147/1 147/4 168/12 237/9
innocent [38] 14/25 25/19 27/2 28/21 49/1
49/4 58/16 59/1 60/20 82/14 93/21 100/2
116/14 116/18 126/12 135/25 136/16 145/4
149/4 150/10 167/3 167/18 181/1 186/21
187/11 188/5 188/11 189/5 189/12 243/25
245/4 245/23 246/6 247/8 247/21 248/15
248/22 249/12
input [1] 239/23
ins [2] 112/14 231/15
installation [2] 64/21 64/23
installed [1] 43/23
instance [4] 100/21 152/12 187/24 190/11
instances [2] 216/2 216/6
instead [1] 176/20
instruct [6] 25/18 99/15 122/3 187/13
214/22 250/24
instructed [4] 58/23 136/20 137/16 214/3
instructing [3] 36/7 40/24 109/12
instruction [14] 15/3 35/10 35/13 36/13 37/8
40/20 61/6 82/12 125/1 125/20 147/4 147/13
167/25 191/17
instructions [6] 108/18 115/4 165/5 194/4
216/21 225/23
instructs [4] 146/14 146/21 167/24 188/13
insurance [1] 76/24
integrity [1] 112/19
intend [1] 220/21
interest [10] 65/11 65/21 74/18 81/1 84/21
85/4 110/6 175/10 175/12 243/1
interested [1] 66/13
interesting [8] 85/7 110/7 131/21 131/22
131/25 133/1 135/14 190/19
internally [2] 173/5 173/7
internet [13] 7/5 17/4 42/7 71/17 104/9
128/14 176/3 176/4 176/13 176/14 176/20 193/10 225/1
interrupt [1] 108/14
intersection [1] 32/10
investigates [2] 237/10 237/12
investigating [1] 34/16
investigation [5] 29/3 228/3 228/8 228/11 234/18
investigations [3] 30/7 32/2 235/14
investigative [1] 30/22
Investigator [2] 161/24 229/17
investigators [1] 29/19
involve [5] 44/24 73/24 183/4 183/9 222/9
involved [21] 44/25 76/11 92/11 94/18 94/21
94/23 106/1 107/14 109/7 123/16 133/6
178/15 184/5 186/12 223/3 228/10 232/21
232/24 234/5 239/11 250/8
involvement [9] 85/2 86/3 95/1 96/2 108/18
133/14 178/6 210/23 228/3
involves [2] 223/2 243/11
involving [1] 56/9
is [343]
isn't [5] 124/5 125/14 164/17 185/5 185/6 issue [3] 64/9 164/8 252/5
issues [3] 6/4 76/13 188/23
it [421]
it's [137] 5/2 23/13 26/16 34/20 38/21 38/21
38/22 40/9 41/2 48/5 61/4 61/13 61/20 65/24 66/5 67/6 67/6 69/10 71/20 78/4 78/12 78/12 79/17 89/15 90/6 91/15 91/17 93/1 93/15 96/12 99/17 100/18 101/18 106/9 107/3
107/5 108/24 109/5 110/7 110/8 110/9 111/8 111/14 111/15 111/19 111/22 114/17 114/20
114/22 114/22 114/25 116/18 116/24 118/9
118/14 118/17 118/17 120/8 133/9 134/7
139/25 139/25 140/4 140/4 140/7 140/8
140/10 141/19 143/2 143/3 152/25 153/12 155/23 156/17 160/14 162/5 163/2 163/3 168/10 169/21 170/3 171/5 171/23 173/9 173/10 175/2 176/4 176/11 176/19 176/21 179/13 180/20 181/23 181/24 182/1 183/21 186/1 189/22 189/23 190/3 191/9 192/4 193/13 199/1 204/6 206/9 206/10 206/10 206/18 208/1 208/19 210/15 213/19 216/15 217/17 217/17 217/18 217/19 217/24 218/2 218/20 221/12 222/8 222/14 243/5 243/10 243/12 243/12 244/4 244/4 244/22 245/7 249/6 249/6 249/10 250/7 250/15 its [2] 59/21 146/25
itself [1] 199/23

## J

jail [2] 234/17 236/12
January [2] 24/10 24/11
Jason [1] 37/18
Jennifer [4] 2/2 255/18 256/4 256/19
Jenny [1] 223/11
Jer [1] 227/23
JEROME [10] 1/22 5/11 37/17 50/22 84/7
117/24 139/1 169/8 215/16 238/22
Jerry [4] 139/3 227/20 246/15 253/20
jigsaw [1] 114/2
job [19] 57/7 64/13 73/22 81/20 112/19
112/24 113/5 119/11 120/3 160/1 169/12
172/25 173/16 173/18 174/4 197/25 217/20
239/24 244/12
jobs [2] 166/10 169/11
John [3] 162/4 162/5 162/6
join [1] 200/3
joint [2] 15/19 16/1
jointly [1] 5/19
joke [2] 209/21 217/24
Jost [4] 31/8 31/10 31/15 37/18
$\begin{array}{llll} \\ \text { judge [40] } & 1 / 12 & 37 / 12 & 58 / 23 \\ 82 / 12 & 88 / 14\end{array}$
89/24 93/23 99/15 105/10 108/19 109/11
117/10 117/12 122/2 122/13 122/15 127/3
134/23 142/10 143/2 144/22 146/21 151/5
151/6 169/3 179/1 187/8 187/13 188/13
189/21 192/1 203/22 203/25 220/17 226/1
226/14 238/14 240/5 244/20 253/24
Judge's [3] 61/6 165/5 216/21
judges [2] 87/4 125/1
judgment [3] 27/9 186/22 244/2
judicial [1] 6/2
JUDITH [2] 3/3 6/5
June [2] 119/9 241/1
junk [3] 13/25 180/7 180/7
juries [1] 87/5
juror [112] 5/21 6/1 6/5 6/5 6/10 6/19 6/22
7/18 9/25 14/18 15/18 15/20 16/3 16/8 16/21
17/21 20/19 22/23 28/10 35/10 36/9 40/13
40/17 41/8 41/9 41/13 49/9 49/14 50/15
57/25 61/16 69/20 69/23 70/6 70/7 70/17
70/23 71/1 71/1 72/3 79/6 79/10 81/2 81/20
82/13 83/25 102/9 102/20 103/2 103/8
103/13 103/22 104/21 105/6 108/6 117/5
120/19 121/4 121/22 126/21 126/24 127/2
juror... [50] 127/11 127/15 127/25 128/25 134/24 137/9 146/18 149/23 150/3 150/19 151/11 152/2 153/1 153/10 153/14 153/22 154/15 154/19 164/9 165/8 166/16 187/18 189/17 189/23 190/6 190/21 192/3 192/15 192/23 196/23 207/4 215/12 216/25 218/11 218/15 218/22 219/1 220/5 220/14 220/19 221/15 221/22 222/1 224/6 224/15 224/24 225/17 254/7 255/4 255/10
juror's [2] 218/24 222/1
jurors [67] 3/2 5/17 6/23 6/24 7/3 7/6 7/9 7/15 11/14 17/2 17/5 17/7 17/13 17/18 42/1 42/5 42/8 42/10 42/17 42/21 71/9 71/11 71/14 71/18 71/20 72/6 104/1 104/3 104/6 104/10 104/12 104/18 104/24 104/25 128/5 128/11 128/15 128/17 128/23 129/3 135/19 137/6 151/7 152/23 154/2 154/12 154/16 165/4 189/25 190/4 190/16 190/23 191/6 191/10 191/20 193/4 193/7 193/21 194/1 217/18 221/17 224/19 224/21 225/3 225/6 225/14 225/20
jurors' [3] 17/8 104/13 128/18
jury [78] 1/4 5/4 6/13 6/15 7/21 7/23 8/17 16/12 16/21 17/16 36/22 41/16 41/17 41/25 42/15 42/19 42/22 49/13 54/9 55/5 69/8 72/7 79/6 101/16 101/17 101/25 103/16 103/18 103/24 104/16 105/1 108/24 114/6 114/11 114/14 117/3 121/22 127/18 127/20 128/21 129/5 136/17 138/12 142/20 142/21 146/24 151/1 153/19 165/2 187/16 188/25 191/17 192/17 192/19 193/2 193/13 193/19 193/23 194/3 209/17 214/3 216/20 216/25 221/18 221/20 223/8 223/10 224/9 224/11 225/22 235/4 237/17 237/21 238/1 242/1 246/3 246/7 252/19
just [201] 11/23 13/23 14/2 19/13 19/14 20/9 23/10 23/22 25/18 26/16 29/13 29/14 30/8 31/21 31/22 31/24 33/13 33/17 33/18 33/21 33/24 33/24 34/3 34/7 34/10 36/23 37/3 37/22 37/25 38/1 40/5 41/2 45/22 48/18 49/11 50/25 51/23 54/15 57/1 59/2 59/25 60/2 61/13 62/11 63/1 63/2 63/14 63/18 64/12 69/13 69/14 69/15 69/16 73/3 76/15 77/15 78/6 79/4 79/11 80/7 80/8 80/12 81/16 82/3 82/5 83/14 89/1 90/12 90/25 91/15 93/17 94/10 97/14 98/2 98/21 99/13 99/14 100/5 100/9 102/2 102/20 108/9 108/23 110/4 110/9 110/11 110/12 111/8 111/14 113/14 113/22 118/5 118/15 118/15 119/17 120/5 120/8 120/11 121/14 121/24 122/19 122/20 122/22 124/10 124/18 124/18 126/10 130/18 133/2 134/14 136/24 138/10 140/3 143/21 144/12 146/12 146/23 147/14 147/20 148/3 148/14 148/17 150/1 152/8 157/20 159/1 160/16 162/14 162/16 162/17 164/8 164/18 167/11 169/15 169/24 170/9 170/18 172/1 172/7 172/12 174/1 174/8 174/15 174/22 176/18 176/19 179/3 179/13 180/16 181/7 181/12 187/14 187/22 188/20 195/10 196/9 199/1 199/3 200/8 202/13 202/18 203/3 206/24 212/9 213/7 213/19 216/24 218/2 222/22 226/11 229/14 229/23 230/8 231/25 232/10 233/5 233/16 236/20 238/4 239/20 241/23 241/23 242/4 243/6 243/6 243/12 243/20 244/22 245/18 246/1 246/12 246/16 246/22 248/21 249/9 251/2 252/11 253/11 253/19 253/25 254/19 justice [10] 8/10 18/5 43/6 72/17 105/18 129/19 155/8 172/25 194/15 226/10 justified [1] 250/3 justify [2] 219/1 221/22

K
KANIA [7] 3/3 6/6 6/7 6/12 8/19 8/20 15/16 keep [5] 77/15 78/16 88/7 121/17 127/10 Keeping [1] 20/13
Ken [10] 5/8 8/11 18/7 43/8 72/19 105/13 129/20 155/10 194/17 226/6
KENNETH [1] 1/14
kept [1] 174/22
key [1] 12/11
kid [2] 232/17 232/18
Kiel [7] 157/2 157/19 159/7 171/13 172/8
172/25 175/6
kill [1] 12/14
killed [2] 55/12 93/9
kind [57] 22/10 29/13 32/10 34/10 37/5 39/24 40/8 46/2 47/15 67/21 73/13 76/13 84/18 85/7 86/13 93/4 94/22 95/22 106/1 107/6 110/4 111/5 111/8 111/10 112/11
112/12 113/12 113/21 113/22 113/22 114/15 114/19 114/25 118/8 120/2 124/9 130/4 131/8 133/17 137/7 155/22 156/24 156/25 157/4 157/18 159/1 164/18 170/15 176/13 176/18 180/22 186/17 234/9 234/15 235/17 235/19 237/18
kinds [4] 110/20 112/3 123/6 125/9 kits [1] 175/16
Klaeser [4] 174/24 175/1 175/2 175/6 KMC [1] 155/19
knew [10] 52/15 74/6 88/8 94/17 94/20 97/1 114/19 174/24 207/22 253/20 know [232] 6/23 7/11 7/18 7/25 12/3 16/21 17/11 17/16 17/23 22/22 23/7 23/10 23/12 24/9 25/18 26/16 26/16 26/21 26/24 27/9 27/10 27/10 27/13 28/15 30/9 31/7 31/10 31/23 31/24 33/9 34/22 35/15 36/22 38/18 38/20 38/21 39/1 39/3 39/5 42/12 42/24 45/23 51/8 52/1 53/3 53/6 54/24 56/2 56/25 57/18 57/19 57/23 59/11 60/25 61/2 61/17 61/20 63/15 64/22 66/11 67/5 68/10 68/21 70/6 70/10 72/4 73/1 75/3 78/10 79/7 81/13 83/13 84/15 84/24 85/7 88/9 88/23 90/5 90/9 92/4 93/7 93/17 93/17 94/13 96/11 96/13 96/16 96/19 96/21 96/22 97/14 97/15 97/16 97/18 98/21 98/21 99/17 99/20 104/1 104/21 107/24 109/3 110/8 111/1 111/13 111/14 112/10 113/4 113/17 114/25 115/24 116/2 119/10 121/22 122/22 122/25 123/22 124/12 125/6 126/4 129/1 129/7 131/8 138/19 141/11 141/15 141/23 144/17 145/12 145/18 146/13 149/15 154/22 157/22 158/11 158/19 158/25 161/8 162/2 162/3 162/7 163/1 164/18 166/6 166/25 171/6 171/7 172/1 172/3 173/10 174/2 175/1 175/3 175/5 175/16 177/12 177/20 179/16 179/18 180/5 180/6 180/9 180/16 181/3 181/3 181/5 181/6 181/6 183/21 183/22 183/23 183/24 184/4 184/10 187/17 187/22 189/6 190/10 190/14 190/15 191/5 192/2 193/24 199/18 199/19 201/15 203/21 204/24 208/21 209/16 210/5 211/1 211/23 211/25 212/2 212/9 214/18 214/19 214/20 217/20 220/13 221/11 223/20 224/19 225/16 227/9 227/22 227/22 229/9 229/17 230/22 231/25 233/4 235/3 241/9 241/25 243/22 244/8 244/10 244/10 245/19 245/20 246/10 248/16 249/6 250/2 250/22 252/12 253/15 254/4 254/21 254/25 knowing [3] 27/5 124/2 217/25 knowledge [4] 28/12 171/7 176/2 256/14 knowledgeable [1] 19/25
known [8] 16/13 41/18 71/4 103/19 112/1 127/20 235/23 253/8
KRATZ [30] 1/14 3/19 4/9 5/9 8/11 18/7

43/8 58/17 72/19 105/8 105/10 105/12 105/14 117/9 127/2 129/20 151/4 153/3 153/7 155/10 168/12 177/15 194/17 225/25 226/1 226/3 226/6 238/13 249/1 255/7

## L

lab [2] 57/8 160/25
labor [5] 76/12 76/13 197/10 197/21 230/15
Labs [1] 197/13
lack [3] 101/10 199/11 234/23
ladies [1] 223/21
lady [1] 177/7
laid [4] 195/17 195/22 196/3 196/5
large [4] 43/22 106/9 118/15 170/25
larger [1] 64/22
Larry [1] 162/23
laser [2] 155/20 156/6
last [44] 8/16 8/18 11/23 12/5 12/7 13/9
18/14 20/17 20/22 23/22 24/4 24/20 36/6 43/13 48/3 49/11 50/1 50/9 68/5 69/7 69/7 72/25 78/23 80/12 82/3 86/1 136/4 137/1 137/2 137/4 155/15 164/23 177/3 186/5 194/23 196/24 201/19 201/23 203/16 206/21 224/10 228/5 237/23 252/14
lastly [1] 138/10
late [5] 110/24 151/16 176/8 195/17 253/7 lately [2] 49/17 178/25
later [15] 6/4 17/22 42/24 53/17 56/21 72/9
95/1 107/9 129/7 148/20 154/21 178/11
207/11 225/24 243/23
latest [1] 15/23
laugh [1] 88/9
LAURA [1] 4/8
law [80] 1/20 1/22 29/6 30/12 32/2 33/4 33/8 62/1 62/20 62/24 63/8 63/13 77/18 80/22 81/7 82/4 82/8 82/19 83/21 84/21 85/6 90/11 112/17 112/23 113/3 115/21 116/20 117/22 122/11 138/1 144/6 147/8 147/10 147/19 147/24 148/7 160/10 160/15 161/13 161/14 162/20 162/21 163/16 163/20 163/22 165/12 167/16 167/21 167/21 171/9 182/15 182/20 183/25 199/17 199/21 200/13 200/17 201/17 202/25 203/6 203/11 204/2 204/21 208/25
209/4 219/15 221/3 229/21 230/1 230/5
231/11 234/1 235/1 246/13 248/9 248/11 250/20 250/23 251/1 251/15
laws [1] 206/12
lawsuit [7] 57/14 97/20 149/9 149/13 181/9 250/6 250/12
lawyer [5] 98/9 98/15 99/10 206/12 206/15 lawyers [10] 59/12 59/21 142/11 142/13
145/10 146/7 187/4 214/16 244/24 246/11 lay [1] 156/5
lead [12] 8/12 68/2 72/20 73/15 73/17 73/18 93/3 105/15 129/21 155/11 194/18 226/7 leaning [1] 179/22
learn [6] 144/20 158/8 170/11 178/11 193/7 193/9
learned [2] 53/12 236/5
learning [2] 23/22 176/7
least [23] 10/14 31/7 33/2 34/5 47/9 49/21
58/18 62/20 65/3 65/5 102/24 106/2 133/21 133/22 134/17 161/24 191/15 202/21 212/22 233/7 235/12 237/3 244/19
leave [3] 131/16 194/5 207/8
leaves [1] 241/15
left [12] 8/11 18/6 43/8 70/16 72/19 119/8 129/20 155/9 172/15 194/17 196/8 230/2 legal [5] 116/16 143/6 143/8 231/11 244/17 length [1] 91/3
less [10] 33/13 34/10 38/3 38/5 49/21 63/9
164/4 164/15 254/8 254/10
let [25] 14/12 20/16 22/19 42/24 46/19 80/25
$\mathbf{L}$
let... [19] 84/11 98/2 101/15 110/4 112/15 114/9 119/17 133/10 133/20 207/23 208/2 209/16 212/15 216/2 217/2 224/19 233/16 236/12 236/16
let's [19] 11/23 19/23 26/7 41/10 66/14 68/5 125/11 142/7 142/7 165/23 190/12 203/6 203/13 203/13 223/11 223/14 224/3 228/25 231/17
letter [12] 11/14 11/17 22/21 24/10 40/24 109/11 134/22 135/4 135/9 152/4 166/14 179/1
letting [5] 7/24 17/23 22/22 129/7 154/21
level [3] 28/19 44/6 55/23
leveled [1] 135/25
library [2] 130/11 130/11
licensed [1] 77/18
lie [13] 37/23 37/25 63/21 81/18 86/22 89/19
90/8 90/12 100/8 122/20 123/15 148/1 252/1
lies [2] 59/18 122/23
lieutenants [1] 92/13
life [18] 27/19 29/20 36/17 61/5 63/10 63/25 107/17 107/18 110/20 148/9 203/1 211/8
216/23 217/11 217/19 219/5 221/17 239/12 lift [1] 160/19
lifted [1] 160/23
light [1] 40/22
lights [2] 33/18 33/23
like [125] 18/15 18/17 21/6 29/3 30/25 31/1 32/3 32/23 34/10 36/16 37/19 37/19 37/25
38/1 38/17 38/17 39/2 46/1 46/3 46/13 47/17
47/18 59/25 62/4 62/11 63/4 66/2 66/2 70/11 75/21 75/24 81/16 84/9 87/11 90/18 90/21
90/25 93/20 98/4 101/22 107/6 110/1 110/23
111/7 111/15 111/22 113/11 113/19 113/21
113/22 113/22 114/3 114/18 114/20 114/25
119/10 120/25 122/23 122/25 125/8 125/9
129/24 135/3 135/21 138/11 143/13 144/12
147/14 147/20 148/3 148/14 148/15 155/16
159/16 162/9 163/17 165/17 165/22 165/25 166/7 167/9 170/1 171/16 173/18 173/19 174/13 175/14 175/15 175/21 176/6 176/15 179/2 181/4 183/19 186/15 186/19 188/20 206/18 206/18 208/8 210/9 212/21 213/2 216/7 216/24 218/2 218/4 219/5 220/7 221/14 232/16 235/15 238/1 244/8 244/10 244/22 247/25 249/22 250/3 250/8 251/2 253/9 253/9 253/11 255/12
likelihood [1] 87/8
likely [10] 34/21 38/3 38/4 38/5 63/9 63/9
67/1 100/8 165/6 251/16
likes [2] 113/10 186/17
limit [3] 102/22 102/25 242/2
Lincoln [2] 132/9 139/12
Linda [1] 255/2
line [10] 90/13 90/15 123/18 171/3 190/4
190/12 190/14 209/9 239/6 251/17
lingering [1] 149/2
link [1] 145/19
list [6] 70/7 152/6 167/13 174/25 189/25 191/11
listed [1] 5/17
listen [17] 22/4 22/14 36/8 60/2 62/7 63/13
81/20 115/3 116/22 119/14 124/11 183/17
184/11 188/19 238/9 246/14 247/1
listened [1] 83/13
listening [2] 11/16 22/25
lists [2] 113/11 235/7
little [71] 11/22 19/14 19/15 20/11 20/23 21/7 26/6 26/7 30/16 45/22 47/13 47/14 49/18 50/25 51/22 53/11 57/19 63/18 67/4 69/16 73/19 76/25 77/1 85/4 85/5 88/3 106/5

106/25 108/9 109/1 109/10 110/7 111/1
111/9 111/17 112/16 113/14 113/16 117/19
123/15 125/15 136/4 139/12 141/5 141/8 142/2 142/9 147/18 155/16 156/14 165/23 167/13 170/9 173/10 173/19 182/25 183/12 184/7 197/23 198/4 198/9 207/10 210/2
211/14 212/16 220/11 220/12 232/18 233/6 239/14 248/25
live [10] 34/20 36/16 37/5 118/7 182/1
229/12 239/8 240/19 245/6 245/21
live-type [1] 182/1
lives [2] 46/6 125/7
living [4] 140/20 212/4 212/18 240/13
local [10] 39/6 107/20 130/11 134/11 134/14
176/18 176/19 209/25 212/17 212/20
location [1] 156/19
locks [1] 170/11
locksmith [2] 169/15 170/6
locksmiths [3] 170/23 170/25 171/6 $\log$ [2] 59/25 143/14
logic [3] 65/24 65/25 66/5
long [36] 9/5 9/22 18/23 19/9 44/12 44/13
51/6 51/7 51/8 56/7 66/22 74/7 74/10 75/14 76/1 78/22 80/18 88/18 130/23 132/12 139/11 149/15 156/7 166/12 195/14 196/1 196/16 197/16 198/3 199/25 201/1 226/23
231/21 231/24 234/11 240/13
longer [3] 10/2 20/23 75/7
longest [1] 198/1
look [22] 21/17 30/9 35/15 36/22 63/1 67/16
67/24 93/20 96/8 114/13 114/13 122/4 126/6 126/6 137/19 149/5 153/5 182/7 189/24
190/2 208/9 251/22
looked [2] 131/21 244/3
looking [6] 29/15 65/22 66/18 115/9 217/20 242/17
looks [1] 165/25
lose [1] 250/14
lost [1] 159/22
lot [27] 26/9 35/1 39/3 46/7 84/15 106/10
110/21 131/4 132/1 132/11 158/22 171/5
171/6 172/22 176/7 182/2 188/8 188/18
188/23 209/9 213/2 235/7 248/7 248/15
250/20 250/23 253/3
lots [2] 84/15 101/8
LOU [2] 3/21 127/11
LTC [2] 195/5 195/6
lunch [1] 151/2
lying [2] 87/8 87/16

## M

ma'am [1] 126/16
machine [1] 256/10
machines [1] 156/6
Mad [3] 90/3 91/23 91/24
made [8] 30/20 47/23 94/22 110/22 118/23
178/5 230/10 240/7
Madison [1] 237/10
magazine [1] 172/2
magazines [5] 46/19 47/14 47/15 47/17
175/11
mailed [1] 171/19
maintained [1] 43/23
maintaining [1] 67/20
maintenance [2] 19/8 64/24
major [1] 114/22
majority [4] 10/22 39/19 46/21 46/22
make [48] 15/24 27/1 27/4 27/5 27/16 27/19
30/23 57/21 60/7 67/22 71/9 78/16 79/4 81/17 90/1 91/22 102/20 110/22 110/25 113/11 123/5 124/1 124/9 125/7 125/10 135/1 137/5 158/10 167/13 172/5 180/23 183/22 186/21 187/5 190/7 190/25 192/5

193/11 213/11 213/11 218/17 218/19 223/9
235/7 238/11 243/16 250/16 251/24
makeover [2] 236/20 238/24
makes [5] 63/8 93/20 144/21 192/4 209/12
making [3] 27/9 34/6 157/21
$\operatorname{man}[12]$ 53/1 73/15 73/17 73/18 148/21
195/25 220/23 221/2 221/12 241/3 248/22 249/18
managed [1] 48/4
management [1] 76/12
Manit [1] 88/25
MANITOWOC[28] 1/1 9/1 47/4 81/4 89/2
91/9 107/23 112/17 112/23 112/25 120/3
132/13 140/13 149/13 152/5 152/20 162/22
162/24 176/15 182/10 198/13 203/20 229/15
234/8 239/6 239/8 240/15 256/2
Manitowoc/Valders [1] 240/15
manner [1] 194/1
manually [1] 172/9
manuals [1] 171/2
Manufacturing [1] 156/2
many [15] 44/9 47/20 56/21 62/20 66/7
146/5 146/9 156/16 192/23 201/6 201/9
201/12 204/9 208/20 224/15
March [1] 24/19
Mark [1] 229/18
marriage [1] 117/21
married [4] 115/17 115/18 216/13 219/7
Mart [1] 199/3
MARY [2] 3/21 127/11
match [1] 220/8
matched [2] 111/14 180/12
matches [2] 158/15 159/2
Material [1] 198/6
math [3] 65/24 66/5 66/5
matter [21] 17/14 34/16 40/21 61/1 72/21
81/1 104/19 121/15 129/22 134/24 136/25
142/14 148/1 152/1 202/4 217/15 220/23
251/21 253/10 256/7 256/13
matters [4] 64/5 137/1 203/14 208/4
may [48] 5/7 5/23 10/11 55/24 64/15 72/4
72/11 78/14 104/22 107/21 109/25 116/9
116/14 116/14 123/5 129/1 134/18 137/4
142/24 143/11 145/9 145/10 146/6 147/7
147/15 155/15 164/24 172/20 178/5 184/16
187/17 188/9 190/3 190/15 191/17 193/25
194/5 217/2 217/4 218/13 219/3 220/13 225/18 225/25 230/8 232/10 247/20 252/5
maybe [42] 13/1 22/20 31/16 38/5 51/2
58/13 58/14 63/19 63/19 75/22 85/3 90/12 91/5 101/1 101/3 101/18 108/8 112/25 115/9
121/7 123/16 123/19 141/12 149/3 176/6
182/6 182/8 182/23 182/25 183/19 184/7
185/10 185/25 186/1 192/8 200/4 200/4
201/21 208/1 216/14 230/8 250/21
Mayor [2] 174/13 174/14
me [97] 10/21 14/12 20/16 22/19 27/16
31/19 38/16 40/1 43/25 46/19 48/13 53/11
67/4 69/17 73/6 73/19 77/11 80/24 80/25
83/15 84/11 84/13 85/13 85/13 88/3 88/5
88/12 89/17 93/7 93/23 93/23 96/21 98/2
101/15 105/15 110/4 111/13 112/15 114/9
118/24 119/17 123/22 127/3 131/14 131/23
133/10 133/20 146/21 151/15 166/2 172/2
173/24 174/17 177/24 180/23 185/5 185/21
187/23 195/15 196/5 196/8 197/23 199/18
200/24 201/15 202/4 205/16 205/25 207/1
207/5 207/16 208/2 210/2 210/4 212/15
213/19 217/11 217/20 220/11 226/8 226/11
232/5 233/1 233/16 236/14 238/3 239/14
243/11 245/16 245/22 248/10 250/13 253/9
253/9 253/11 256/8 256/9
mean [45] 30/22 35/8 52/8 53/6 54/10 54/20
mean... [39] 58/12 66/6 66/16 84/19 84/24 89/15 91/13 96/7 101/7 102/2 122/24 124/1 133/16 139/5 141/19 143/7 145/2 150/6 162/25 171/18 173/9 173/9 173/14 177/17 179/21 181/15 187/15 199/14 217/1 241/25 243/3 243/10 243/15 244/9 245/15 248/1 249/8 249/17 251/5
meaning [2] 55/12 90/23
means [8] 6/24 16/22 42/1 71/11 104/2 126/6

## 193/3 224/21

meant [2] 75/3 113/1
mechanical [1] 175/17
media [31] 7/4 7/14 7/16 13/24 17/2 17/12
40/20 42/6 42/15 42/17 71/15 71/25 72/1
93/8 93/19 104/17 128/12 128/22 133/21
133/22 135/10 135/22 136/9 141/7 141/9
141/19 154/11 193/8 193/20 224/24 225/13
media's [1] 93/18
medical [1] 111/7
medication [4] 79/15 164/11 164/19 241/9
medicine [1] 118/22
meet [3] 26/16 80/2 85/11
meeting [1] 39/4
meetings [2] 171/15 171/25
meets [2] 163/3 169/23
member [13] 75/18 75/21 80/16 80/18 81/3
114/14 171/10 171/12 191/16 199/25 200/8
230/14 242/9
members [18] 7/8 7/20 17/7 17/12 42/11
71/20 71/24 80/3 92/12 104/12 104/17
128/18 193/13 193/15 199/20 200/13 225/6
225/12
memory [1] 6/3
mention [4] 151/16 164/9 171/9 237/2
mentioned [19] 51/1 86/19 109/2 145/8
169/11 170/22 174/23 181/8 181/13 182/7
186/5 186/14 187/8 229/20 231/9 231/17
233/8 235/12 253/20
Mequon [1] 156/19
merry [1] 207/9
messed [1] 27/13
messy [1] 63/25
met [2] 85/12 207/6
metal [7] 155/23 156/5 160/1 160/5 160/7
160/11 172/8
metals [1] 155/24
metropolitan [1] 140/10
Mexico [1] 198/8
microscope [1] 66/18
mid [1] 139/6
middle [1] 52/22
might [40] 37/25 61/15 63/16 70/9 79/7
81/18 83/22 91/2 95/8 95/22 99/19 99/23
101/13 111/2 114/10 116/2 133/18 145/3
147/20 150/7 150/10 163/14 163/14 165/7
166/11 171/6 173/8 173/12 178/1 187/25
187/25 188/18 190/12 190/14 229/10 230/9
243/3 247/8 251/25 252/1
Mike [4] 174/24 175/1 175/2 175/6
mild [1] 141/2
miles [2] 156/15 229/16
Miller [1] 18/10
millwright [1] 241/17
Milwaukee [1] 47/3
mind [15] 12/16 26/5 26/8 31/4 36/4 54/12
54/23 55/7 59/7 83/12 97/6 145/12 145/13

## 186/20 248/22

minded [3] 124/20 124/22 238/4
minds [1] 163/20
mine [3] 131/18 206/25 244/13
minute [7] 79/4 102/22 102/25 107/4 118/5

208/3 249/7
minutes [6] 70/16 102/16 103/6 127/7 153/5
255/12
Mirro [2] 9/15 198/2
misconduct [2] 92/20 93/4
miscounting [1] 192/7
misdemeanors [1] 222/8
mishandled [1] 26/15
miss [2] 8/22 101/23
missing [5] 55/2 177/7 192/7 229/3 229/3
Missis [2] 8/22 8/23
mistake [2] 81/17 183/22
mistaken [1] 183/15
mistakes [3] 30/20 30/23 31/4
misunderstanding [1] 233/9
mix [1] 131/23
Model [1] 175/12
modified [1] 43/23
mom [1] 243/4
moment [1] 229/23
moments [1] 141/6
Monday [1] 5/24
money [5] 20/13 89/13 98/1 172/2 250/12
monitor [1] 40/23
monitoring [1] 34/15
monkeying [1] 183/10
month [4] 52/10 108/24 182/6 216/15
monthly [3] 130/6 130/7 133/7
months [12] 33/7 50/1 52/10 80/12 82/5
107/11 114/10 178/25 179/8 196/2 197/17
234/17
monument [1] 132/6
more [57] 19/14 23/15 33/13 34/9 38/3 49/21
63/9 67/1 68/4 69/3 69/5 75/1 77/8 81/13 87/7 87/12 90/12 100/8 106/5 107/1 107/6 107/21 109/11 110/16 113/12 121/19 121/24 123/15 130/18 131/3 134/10 141/8 146/7 148/19 164/3 164/15 165/15 165/18 172/10 174/2 176/2 181/12 184/15 196/9 208/13 209/17 211/11 218/25 222/16 223/2 223/14 235/9 237/23 243/23 251/15 254/8 254/10 morning [38] 5/6 5/10 5/14 8/6 8/7 8/14 17/22 18/3 18/9 43/3 43/4 43/10 50/20 50/21 72/14 72/15 72/22 84/5 84/6 103/17 105/13 105/16 105/17 105/20 117/15 117/16 127/19 128/19 129/15 129/16 129/23 138/24 138/25 152/4 153/2 173/24 196/8 230/20 most [21] 12/2 14/1 21/18 52/19 71/6 101/7 103/23 106/20 107/17 127/25 133/25 141/19
153/23 158/24 165/25 196/12 216/6 221/6 222/15 228/19 228/20
mostly [5] 21/20 22/3 22/12 41/21 155/23
mother [2] 49/14 117/22
mother-in-law [1] 117/22
motion [18] 40/15 69/24 70/1 70/3 70/15
102/11 126/25 127/4 127/5 150/20 189/18
189/22 192/5 192/5 218/18 221/23 223/9
255/5
motion's [1] 16/1
motives [1] 148/11
motorcycle [6] 198/17 198/22 198/24 199/1 199/5 219/19
mouth [2] 230/2 247/17
mouthy [1] 207/12
move [7] 41/9 103/17 127/11 140/12 140/13 218/22 221/23
moved [4] 198/8 198/11 240/15 254/19 moving [10] 6/14 16/12 41/17 71/2 127/19
139/4 153/18 192/18 200/9 224/10
Mr [21] 16/5 43/8 48/25 84/1 84/10 93/19
96/22 99/16 116/13 125/13 152/24 184/24
187/23 191/24 213/8 214/15 215/13 215/24
223/15 226/9 238/15

Mr. [145] 5/12 8/2 8/3 8/11 12/14 13/21 14/2 14/16 15/11 16/5 16/10 17/25 18/7 18/12 25/8 25/18 27/2 28/2 28/21 35/22 37/13 37/14 38/9 41/4 41/10 41/10 41/15 42/25 43/15 50/16 50/23 50/24 52/9 54/7 56/2 56/6 56/10 58/3 58/17 58/25 59/11 60/14 60/19 61/7 63/15 69/18 70/4 70/9 70/21 70/25 72/11 72/14 72/19 82/14 83/1 83/5 84/10 93/20 94/15 95/25 99/25 102/2 102/8 102/14 102/23 103/3 105/8 108/15 116/7 117/11 125/11 126/11 129/9 129/20 135/24 136/15 138/21 139/3 142/14 143/13 144/1 144/11 145/20 146/23 148/19 148/22 149/3 149/21 151/25 153/12 153/16 154/23 155/4 155/10 167/2 167/17 168/3 168/12 169/2 177/15 177/21 179/10 184/25 185/18 186/6 186/23 187/6 187/7 188/3 189/15 190/11 190/18 191/11 192/9 192/12 192/16 194/7 194/12 194/17 209/2 210/7 210/7 210/19 211/3 213/9 213/23 217/8 218/9 218/12 219/23 220/16 220/22 225/25 227/17 227/25 228/2 228/10 231/3 232/11 236/9 245/1 246/22 247/5 247/9 249/1
Mr. Albedyll [1] 231/3
Mr. Avery [52] 5/12 12/14 14/2 25/18 27/2 28/2 28/21 35/22 50/24 54/7 56/6 56/10 58/3 59/11 60/19 61/7 82/14 83/5 93/20 99/25
102/2 108/15 126/11 135/24 136/15 139/3
142/14 144/1 144/11 146/23 148/22 149/3
167/2 167/17 168/3 177/21 179/10 184/25
185/18 186/6 186/23 187/6 187/7 188/3
210/19 213/9 213/23 217/8 236/9 245/1 247/5 247/9
Mr. Avery's [15] 13/21 14/16 25/8 56/2 60/14 63/15 83/1 94/15 95/25 116/7 125/11 148/19 210/7 210/7 246/22
Mr. Buting [6] 50/23 58/25 117/11 143/13 169/2 220/16
Mr. Dassey [1] 211/3
Mr. Defere [7] 192/9 192/12 192/16 194/12 209/2 218/9 219/23
Mr. Defore [1] 190/11
Mr. Fallon [13] 8/2 8/3 17/25 42/25 72/11 84/10 129/9 149/21 154/23 190/18 194/7

## 218/12 220/22

Mr. Gray [8] 41/10 41/10 41/15 43/15 52/9 70/4 102/23 103/3
Mr. Guckeisen [5] 153/12 153/16 155/4 189/15 191/11
Mr. Ken [6] 8/11 18/7 72/19 129/20 155/10 194/17
Mr. Kratz [6] 58/17 105/8 168/12 177/15 225/25 249/1
Mr. Mueller [4] 16/5 16/10 18/12 38/9
Mr. Pagel [3] 227/17 227/25 228/10
Mr. Pagel's [1] 228/2
Mr. Poppy [1] 232/11
Mr. Simpson [1] 145/20
Mr. Strang [8] 15/11 37/13 37/14 41/4 50/16
69/18 138/21 151/25
Mr. Wardman [6] 70/9 70/21 70/25 72/14 102/8 102/14
Mrs. [1] 117/1
Mrs. Steinmetz [1] 117/1
Ms [29] 6/7 6/12 15/16 103/10 103/15
105/13 105/22 106/19 107/19 109/23 113/9
114/5 114/9 127/12 127/17 129/15 150/25 224/8 226/4 227/12 228/18 229/6 229/9
231/9 234/11 235/11 237/15 253/19 255/10
Ms. [2] 224/4 229/20
Ms. Barber [2] 224/4 229/20
much [55] 21/25 22/13 22/15 24/4 29/13
34/22 35/5 41/3 46/5 47/7 48/4 49/20 51/20
much... [42] 51/24 65/13 67/1 79/11 80/24
80/24 81/18 85/1 93/23 94/19 100/25 106/22
107/24 108/1 108/10 109/23 113/25 116/12
126/16 130/22 134/18 138/20 139/5 158/16
166/5 169/22 173/7 173/18 176/5 179/24
189/8 191/22 211/7 212/8 218/7 221/19
238/5 241/20 242/4 243/6 243/8 243/8
MUELLER [9] 3/6 16/5 16/6 16/10 18/10
18/11 18/12 18/12 38/9
murder [3] 210/7 210/20 213/3
music [4] 22/12 22/13 131/22 132/25
must [4] 28/6 40/17 99/20 235/19
mustn't [1] 58/9
mute [1] 252/8
mutilated [1] 55/14
my [131] 5/16 6/1 8/8 8/11 10/12 14/23 18/3
18/6 20/6 20/8 23/10 31/12 32/11 33/7 33/12
34/7 36/6 36/18 39/2 43/5 43/7 46/6 48/13
49/18 50/9 54/23 55/7 57/12 58/12 70/13
72/16 72/18 73/5 73/22 79/1 84/7 88/7
102/22 105/13 107/4 107/11 107/11 108/21
108/23 109/2 109/17 109/17 109/17 109/18
109/21 115/18 115/25 116/18 117/21 117/24 120/7 129/17 129/20 132/4 136/9 139/10
140/15 140/19 140/19 140/19 141/10 145/12 145/25 151/14 155/6 155/9 159/14 169/8 172/25 175/18 175/19 186/20 189/11 190/24 194/14 194/17 195/7 195/9 198/15 205/9 205/16 205/23 206/10 206/12 206/13 206/15 207/23 210/2 211/8 211/13 212/4 212/18 212/18 212/19 212/19 212/19 212/21 213/4 213/6 215/11 215/16 216/13 217/7 217/10 217/21 218/2 219/10 228/13 230/17 231/20 232/23 234/6 238/19 239/23 240/5 240/7 242/8 243/15 252/7 252/9 252/20 253/13 254/25 255/13 256/9 256/13
myself [2] 169/25 187/10

## N

name [32] 7/15 8/8 8/18 17/13 18/3 43/5 53/2 71/25 72/16 86/1 94/13 105/13 117/17 119/18 129/17 152/25 155/6 162/2 162/16 162/17 163/6 170/4 170/5 177/17 177/23
181/23 183/2 193/21 194/14 198/19 210/17 238/19
name's [5] 84/7 117/24 169/8 215/16 250/9 named [2] 53/1 152/6
names [8] 42/16 104/18 128/23 154/12 183/3
197/22 225/14 242/23
NANCY [2] 3/18 103/9
national [4] 46/9 47/16 107/1 134/10
nationalized [1] 159/18
natural [3] 61/16 161/10 244/16
nature [6] 20/9 92/13 103/1 110/16 183/10 230/6
near [1] 10/4
nearly [1] 244/18
Nebraska [2] 132/9 139/12
necessarily [3] 107/23 110/15 111/5
necessary [1] 152/11
need [11] 39/22 126/21 146/13 146/19
173/10 190/10 196/9 209/16 226/16 248/2
253/15
needed [1] 244/4
Needle [2] 9/1 9/4
needles [1] 9/11
needs [1] 245/12
negative [3] 112/22 125/18 235/2
Neidermeyer [1] 156/22
neighbors [5] 10/19 21/13 33/21 34/22
254/25
neither [1] 61/24
nephew [5] 12/13 94/16 177/21 177/22 177/25
nervous [2] 204/7 215/21
network [2] 22/15 210/1
never [18] 37/24 52/24 88/10 108/25 127/2
147/1 147/2 182/19 183/6 183/8 186/12
190/17 196/4 196/7 206/9 207/16 244/3 248/17
nevertheless [1] 145/4
new [2] 148/16 186/10
news [82] 10/14 10/18 10/22 11/2 11/6 11/6 11/7 11/25 13/8 13/23 17/2 17/13 21/10 21/18 21/24 22/2 22/11 22/14 23/8 24/16 38/10 38/12 38/19 39/5 40/23 46/13 46/17 46/21 47/12 47/17 47/24 48/4 52/13 72/1 94/19 94/21 95/18 97/3 106/20 106/23 107/3 107/5 107/7 107/20 107/24 108/19 109/12 119/12 128/12 128/22 128/23 130/15 133/23 133/25 134/3 134/9 134/11 135/2 141/16 165/25 166/3 166/8 166/12 176/2 176/4 176/8 176/14 176/18 176/19 177/13 177/14
178/2 189/8 193/8 211/6 211/21 212/12 212/20 224/24 225/13 228/19 228/23 newspaper [23] 7/5 12/24 13/1 13/2 13/4 21/20 21/21 21/22 22/24 23/18 42/7 46/18 46/22 46/23 52/20 71/17 106/24 128/13 130/2 133/24 134/20 141/22 243/15 newspapers [11] 10/18 17/4 21/12 47/1 104/9 106/21 135/3 142/3 176/3 193/10 225/1
Newsweek [2] 106/24 107/6
next [30] 6/14 8/1 16/5 16/12 17/23 20/15
41/9 41/17 70/6 70/7 71/3 81/18 97/2 102/19
103/8 103/18 127/11 127/20 128/9 152/23
153/18 176/11 190/16 190/23 191/6 191/23
191/24 192/18 224/10 253/7
nice [1] 113/19
night [13] 21/25 22/1 39/2 106/22 107/13
205/22 211/25 212/3 212/5 224/22 230/17 253/1 253/2
nights [1] 253/4
nine [4] 111/3 130/24 234/17 241/13
Nineteen [1] 240/24
nit [2] 173/19 174/6
nit-picky [2] 173/19 174/6
no [273]
nobody [2] 111/21 227/9
non [1] 142/1
non-profit [1] 142/1
none [8] 82/10 102/12 113/6 118/19 150/22
189/20 208/12 228/5
Nope [1] 203/12
normal [3] 108/11 122/23 157/20
NORMAN [1] $1 / 18$
Northern [1] 197/12
not [323]
note [7] 21/9 36/10 105/22 112/14 127/7
127/8 161/23
noted [3] 31/6 70/21 241/7
notes [4] 5/16 130/9 151/16 256/9
nothing [19] 15/10 33/23 53/5 89/17 90/3
93/24 96/6 109/19 110/10 124/3 151/16
151/17 161/8 173/2 196/12 202/15 202/18
217/8 243/12
notice [3] 38/23 115/25 215/11
noticed [1] 207/14
notification [2] 47/23 105/4
notified [1] 105/3
November [7] 24/11 78/23 80/9 80/10 80/10 196/18 229/1
November 1 [1] 196/18
now [70] 9/24 10/10 12/22 14/11 25/17

28/12 28/14 29/1 32/5 51/23 57/18 59/24 75/3 77/18 78/11 80/5 80/18 92/17 98/21 99/7 115/13 119/10 134/16 136/24 150/18 157/18 157/24 158/16 159/1 159/7 161/12 162/20 163/14 164/7 164/22 166/13 167/15 167/21 172/5 175/25 183/2 183/17 183/23 184/1 187/12 188/3 189/5 190/1 190/2
196/22 197/23 198/16 199/4 200/11 203/6 206/19 208/2 209/10 209/23 214/1 216/12
217/12 223/19 229/17 231/9 234/24 237/2 243/22 244/17 246/10
nowadays [1] 184/19
number [9] 43/21 81/5 99/7 145/3 200/16
200/20 202/20 222/6 252/15
numerous [1] 219/15
nursing [1] 49/25
0
o'clock [7] 22/2 22/2 22/2 22/3 22/4 173/24 230/19
oath [27] 6/9 16/7 37/25 38/1 41/11 63/3
63/4 63/11 70/22 86/24 87/16 89/20 90/12
103/11 120/23 122/21 122/24 123/15 127/14
147/21 147/25 148/1 183/5 224/5 251/13
251/16 251/18
object [3] 70/12 156/4 220/17
objection [3] 102/21 152/14 152/17
objection's [1] 70/20
objective [2] 218/24 219/21
obligation [1] 193/7
obtain [1] 46/17
obvious [1] 242/7
obviously [4] 57/18 124/7 218/15 220/20
occasion [4] 80/21 110/2 160/18 161/3
occasions [1] 222/21
occupation [1] 73/8
occur [1] 232/7
occurred [2] 30/13 56/17
October [2] 108/22 195/17
off [18] 33/9 33/13 33/18 34/17 37/3 88/9
99/3 100/10 139/14 172/7 174/20 179/17
195/17 195/22 196/3 196/6 205/16 248/13
offense [3] 88/16 201/20 213/10
offenses [1] 203/15
offer [3] 133/15 143/20 146/20
offering [1] 143/24
offerings [2] 143/21 143/22
offhand [2] 197/23 200/5
office [5] 43/22 105/19 154/21 228/13

## 228/14

officer [33] 31/15 34/3 34/14 35/21 62/25
77/19 83/21 88/11 88/21 89/5 89/16 90/11
90/25 137/25 138/5 147/20 148/7 157/12
157/17 163/21 163/23 167/17 167/21 172/6
182/21 183/25 184/5 185/3 242/8 242/11
251/1 251/1 251/15
officer's [1] 63/14
officers [42] 31/11 35/2 37/21 62/21 81/6
81/7 86/22 90/7 90/10 116/21 120/15 122/12
122/12 122/20 137/24 147/9 147/10 147/24
171/10 182/25 183/18 184/8 184/15 199/17
203/1 203/11 204/3 204/9 204/21 205/21
206/11 208/15 209/14 221/15 222/22 230/3
230/16 230/24 230/24 250/20 250/23 252/2
officers' [1] 209/5
Official [3] 2/3 256/4 256/19
officials [3] 112/17 229/22 230/1
often [10] 11/2 31/15 77/16 110/3 110/3
119/6 134/2 228/19 228/20 241/9
oh [50] 9/14 12/7 53/7 54/13 66/10 66/10
68/5 73/11 73/13 74/25 78/2 78/6 80/8 80/12
80/20 100/14 108/8 109/3 118/17 120/7
131/9 132/8 132/15 140/6 140/21 156/24
oh... [24] 158/3 160/6 163/10 164/17 181/18 182/14 185/17 192/8 192/8 207/4 210/18 223/19 223/19 228/9 231/23 233/14 237/22 241/18 241/21 248/24 249/10 251/5 251/23 252/3
okay [342]
old [3] 232/6 240/23 241/2
older [2] 171/6 175/14
oldest [2] 115/18 240/23
once [18] 31/16 33/10 33/20 47/22 77/17 79/24 102/25 106/25 110/12 114/9 119/13 163/1 163/2 172/2 181/16 182/6 216/14 248/9
one [105] 8/10 14/14 18/5 20/16 25/20 31/11
31/12 31/14 32/12 32/14 35/21 40/18 46/11
47/9 47/9 48/21 49/11 51/10 60/7 64/2 72/18 73/3 74/10 76/14 76/15 76/24 78/6 78/17 80/15 82/3 88/5 96/16 109/2 111/16 111/19 111/19 115/18 118/11 118/22 119/1 119/2 120/2 120/18 121/5 124/24 125/9 129/10 129/19 135/17 136/25 137/1 143/24 145/11 149/17 149/17 149/22 150/9 151/20 152/23 154/24 155/8 156/6 156/15 158/21 160/20 162/2 162/5 164/8 165/1 167/9 169/14 175/2 175/2 175/5 180/22 183/1 184/15 187/18 189/4 190/17 194/7 194/16 196/8 198/16 201/8 203/16 204/11 205/25 206/21 218/21 219/25 221/7 223/13 223/14 231/17 239/4 240/1 242/15 242/15 244/3 248/8 248/20 249/6 253/19 254/14
ones [4] 28/1 113/20 191/21 223/3
online [1] 171/3
only [37] 13/14 14/19 15/5 29/13 59/18 83/9 105/19 108/24 110/15 111/1 111/16 112/25
126/6 127/7 143/1 145/14 145/25 145/25
153/1 167/9 177/6 178/7 180/6 181/22
182/18 213/16 219/11 220/24 222/3 223/21
232/19 235/5 244/25 245/14 246/5 250/13 250/15
open [14] 7/12 17/9 42/13 71/22 77/15
104/14 124/10 124/20 124/22 128/19 148/11

## 154/8 193/17 238/4

open-minded [3] 124/20 124/22 238/4 opera [1] 111/9
operation [3] 19/5 20/5 50/6
operator [1] 9/8
opinion [26] 13/21 25/13 25/14 48/25 54/6
68/24 69/3 69/5 90/1 100/18 113/4 116/7
116/8 120/2 135/24 136/5 137/11 138/14
138/15 146/16 150/6 167/5 167/13 180/24
183/23 213/8
opinions [16] 14/15 14/19 25/2 25/7 48/20
77/6 82/25 97/25 133/15 135/20 149/12
165/16 167/2 178/24 179/9 179/16
opportunity [6] 41/20 80/2 85/9 153/21
190/9 224/13
oppose [1] 41/6
opposed [2] 52/22 212/10
Optimist [1] 133/11
order [14] 40/8 152/9 152/11 152/21 152/23
184/25 190/1 191/21 191/25 192/10 223/19
224/3 248/9 248/11
ordered [1] 41/8
ordering [3] 39/24 40/1 40/3
organization [3] 133/16 142/1 199/22
organizations [1] 170/21
orientated [2] 113/14 113/17
oriented [5] 77/9 113/10 165/15 199/19
235/6
originally [2] 132/9 236/11
other [113] 7/6 7/9 17/7 21/13 21/14 25/6

26/12 26/13 26/20 34/16 35/4 35/19 37/6
37/12 38/3 38/20 42/10 45/4 45/6 52/15 62/4 62/17 63/10 63/16 66/1 71/20 77/25 78/6 81/7 81/9 81/12 81/14 81/22 82/4 82/5 84/11 86/13 87/9 87/13 91/13 96/16 98/3 99/19 100/5 100/13 104/10 104/12 106/21 110/14 112/4 118/14 120/2 122/15 123/23 124/5
125/16 128/14 128/17 133/11 133/13 134/25
136/11 136/25 137/19 137/22 138/4 144/12
145/10 147/14 147/15 149/7 149/17 150/9
157/9 163/23 164/4 165/6 165/10 165/11
167/7 167/9 171/24 174/5 178/19 178/22
182/18 185/6 185/25 186/16 188/10 188/17
188/20 189/4 190/4 193/13 195/16 197/21
199/19 208/3 208/6 216/25 221/15 225/6 233/13 235/1 236/1 237/18 240/5 247/16 249/18 251/3 251/17 253/25
others [8] 74/15 90/4 121/14 174/15 182/23 199/14 218/21 243/4
otherwise [4] 40/25 42/8 113/25 164/20 ought [1] 190/21
our [26] 33/11 60/9 60/14 61/2 61/2 70/5
77/15 82/19 99/17 103/8 144/6 151/1 151/20
163/19 194/25 207/9 212/15 212/17 217/19
218/2 220/14 232/25 233/3 246/20 247/1 255/10
out [95] 9/11 11/14 15/18 23/11 30/13 32/10
33/21 36/16 36/18 37/4 38/17 38/24 38/25
39/1 40/13 43/11 53/10 54/11 56/24 57/4
64/15 68/16 69/23 71/1 73/6 73/23 74/13
77/13 78/7 78/14 82/6 96/23 96/24 99/5
99/13 99/13 102/9 108/20 109/8 117/23
125/7 126/24 129/24 150/19 152/23 153/17
156/5 156/6 158/10 158/21 158/25 160/25
176/22 179/2 181/25 182/4 183/13 183/16
185/24 189/11 189/17 190/1 190/12 191/11
195/2 199/3 200/9 202/16 202/18 206/24
206/24 207/1 207/1 207/5 214/11 218/11
219/6 221/8 224/9 227/8 234/24 236/12
236/16 237/10 243/15 244/9 244/12 248/2
248/20 249/9 249/23 250/1 250/6 250/11 255/4
outside [1] 86/5
over [26] 23/14 27/24 40/6 81/14 88/7 88/15
98/2 99/14 108/8 121/17 142/11 170/10 170/13 182/16 182/17 187/4 198/4 206/18
207/14 207/15 211/16 218/4 227/4 228/15
229/14 241/19
overall [2] 76/17 185/14
overseas [4] 46/4 46/6 119/4 218/3
oversee [1] 44/10
overturned [1] 148/20
overwhelming [1] 186/19
OWI [7] 88/1 88/16 98/4 202/21 203/13 219/13 222/15
own [15] 60/9 78/20 118/10 144/1 144/8
145/6 175/18 175/19 182/22 204/12 211/8 214/3 222/17 246/21 247/22
P
p.m [4] 151/23 253/1 253/2 255/17

PAGE [1] 3/2
Pagel [5] 177/15 227/17 227/25 228/10 253/21
Pagel's [1] 228/2
paid [4] 134/13 145/15 202/15 211/7
pain [2] 79/11 79/15
panel [11] 7/23 42/22 72/8 105/2 127/10
129/5 151/1 194/4 220/20 223/10 225/22 pans [1] 9/21
paper [13] 13/13 23/11 25/9 47/8 48/13
52/13 53/6 53/12 53/22 131/9 134/14 176/5 252/8
papers [3] 33/13 47/2 47/10
pardon [2] 69/4 69/20
parentheses [1] 181/14
parish [4] 51/1 51/2 51/12 51/19
parked [2] 33/21 34/1
parks [1] 46/9
part [34] 19/10 19/12 19/13 20/3 67/17
67/18 71/6 77/1 103/23 114/20 119/9 127/22 128/1 142/2 154/1 157/6 157/8 157/9 157/10 157/16 157/17 166/9 168/3 169/11 169/13 169/15 169/17 181/7 185/14 193/7 217/7 223/8 223/9 239/21
part-time [13] 19/10 19/12 19/13 20/3 157/6
157/10 157/16 157/17 166/9 169/11 169/13
169/15 169/17
partial [2] 2/1 184/8
participate [1] 229/6
particular [15] 11/10 48/21 67/11 84/17
84/21 91/1 98/19 122/11 136/15 150/12
181/20 184/13 196/23 227/25 239/25
particularly [1] 135/14
parties [9] 5/4 5/18 15/19 16/15 41/19
102/25 103/20 127/23 192/20
parts [2] 155/24 158/13
party [9] 40/14 69/25 102/11 127/1 150/21
182/1 189/19 210/24 255/6
pass [7] 6/21 16/19 41/24 50/15 83/25 169/1 215/12
passed [1] 140/15
passes [3] 190/21 191/2 191/3
past [7] 82/5 96/21 122/6 148/19 217/7

## 222/8 236/10

PATRICK [1] 1/11
patrol [3] 77/13 81/7 230/16
patrolman [1] 157/20
patrolman-type [1] 157/20
pause [2] 112/11 117/2
paused [3] 141/5 248/25 249/3
pay [5] 23/16 54/24 108/13 237/20 250/15
paying [1] 211/6
Peace [1] 119/1
Peggy [1] 85/12
penalty [1] 202/12
pending [1] 202/5
people [54] 36/17 38/20 39/3 39/12 39/19
44/9 52/1 58/18 62/18 62/20 76/23 77/6
77/14 78/14 81/7 91/8 92/8 99/19 120/21
123/23 125/5 125/9 130/14 143/25 159/16
159/17 161/9 172/24 178/22 179/8 179/16
179/23 180/22 182/16 187/15 187/20 199/6
199/7 200/8 207/13 209/9 222/7 237/4
237/12 240/8 243/3 249/2 252/15 252/20
252/21 252/22 253/3 253/9 253/11
percent [1] 184/2
peremptory [1] 220/21
perfect [1] 187/16
performance [2] 173/16 173/18
perhaps [16] 10/2 20/23 32/22 34/15 70/8
83/20 109/10 110/15 112/16 114/6 117/4
119/14 123/14 124/5 127/8 185/11
period [7] 10/8 21/4 24/8 52/11 108/22
108/23 196/25
permit [4] 42/14 128/20 154/9 193/18 permitted [26] 6/17 6/25 7/15 7/19 7/20
16/23 17/6 17/17 17/18 42/2 42/20 71/11 71/18 72/6 104/3 104/17 104/23 127/24
128/7 128/23 129/3 193/5 194/1 224/21 225/13 225/19
person [35] 1/25 13/15 73/21 77/8 87/18
111/16 111/21 113/10 113/13 114/2 114/21
121/16 122/23 123/17 123/20 133/21 137/22
138/4 163/5 165/15 165/18 182/2 182/5
186/17 189/9 207/12 217/13 219/22 220/2
person... [6] 235/7 236/18 236/19 247/8 247/21 251/25
person's [4] 111/15 116/18 122/8 251/2
personal [5] 25/1 114/7 148/9 148/10 179/15
personally [2] 53/5 229/25
persons [1] 229/3
perspective [1] 134/10
persuaded [1] 118/13
phase [4] 41/17 127/20 153/19 224/10
phones [1] 106/2
phonetic [2] 9/1 190/11
phrase [1] 182/8
physical [2] 66/2 101/11
physically [1] 158/19
pick [4] 84/9 148/24 217/13 248/20
picked [1] 148/18
picking [1] 52/19
picky [2] 173/19 174/6
picture [7] 55/7 55/10 77/8 113/12 165/15
219/2 235/9
pieces [5] 16/18 41/23 57/19 121/15 180/16
pill [1] 79/12
piqued [1] 85/3
place [4] 18/25 106/8 177/11 198/8
places [1] 106/10
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4
plant [2] 123/19 253/5
planted [3] 123/22 184/16 185/13
planting [4] 90/16 91/3 91/22 95/23
plaque [1] 113/16
plays [1] 68/13
plead [3] 61/21 92/4 203/14
please [22] 5/5 5/7 6/11 16/6 16/9 41/10
41/14 70/21 70/24 103/10 103/14 120/11
127/13 127/16 153/8 153/11 192/12 192/14
200/23 207/3 224/4 224/7
plug [1] 109/18
plugged [1] 243/7
point [19] 14/1 60/8 64/5 64/19 77/7 108/16
124/8 124/10 124/13 142/25 142/25 172/9
172/23 173/22 174/1 183/1 186/22 240/7

## 243/16

pointed [1] 117/22
police [47] 26/10 26/14 33/11 33/22 35/2
35/21 36/2 37/21 81/5 86/21 88/21 95/14
120/1 120/15 122/12 122/19 123/14 137/24
137/25 138/5 157/2 157/12 157/16 157/17
162/24 171/13 172/6 172/15 172/20 173/5
182/18 182/24 183/15 183/17 184/5 208/5
208/12 209/14 216/1 217/4 221/12 221/15
230/2 230/16 250/25 252/2 254/21
politics [2] 172/22 173/1
pool [1] 55/5
pop [1] 33/14
Poppy [1] 232/11
Port [1] 156/12
portrayal [1] 93/18
portrayed [2] 30/5 248/1
pose [1] 137/10
position [7] 43/20 58/12 106/2 106/17
155/25 188/7 226/24
positions [1] 43/21
positive [6] 112/22 125/17 230/6 230/22 231/6 235/2
possibilities [1] 5/18
possibility [8] 28/21 124/16 137/3 137/4 166/16 183/19 184/15 196/24
possible [14] 22/23 31/4 34/14 90/6 91/15
91/17 93/5 95/8 96/12 100/11 183/21 184/18
184/19 190/3
possibly [9] 24/2 26/10 49/13 114/11 125/18

144/18 150/3 177/9 180/7
potential [4] 108/6 117/5 146/18 148/13
potentially [1] 178/15
Prairie [1] 206/25
preceded [1] 160/11
preceding [1] 70/14
predecessor [1] 232/23
predict [1] 245/18
predicting [1] 245/14
preface [1] 230/11
prefer [5] 8/21 69/13 69/14 101/19 134/9
prejudices [1] 148/13
preliminary [1] 73/2
premise [1] 188/5
prepared [1] 256/8
present [7] 62/17 150/14 168/4 168/13 186/7 213/18 244/15
presentation [1] 226/12
presented [9] 14/20 15/5 25/25 83/9 116/10
137/15 168/10 213/17 213/20
presenting [1] 27/24
preserved [1] 66/9
president [2] 51/2 51/3
press [3] 47/3 93/25 176/18
pressure [2] 95/14 164/10
presume [2] 82/14 246/6
presumed [7] 14/24 25/19 25/20 116/13
136/16 167/18 245/23
presuming [1] 49/4
presumption [3] 15/4 146/22 147/1
pretrial [2] 10/11 21/7
pretty [27] 10/6 21/22 21/25 22/13 23/13
24/4 24/18 24/23 29/13 34/22 47/7 48/4 52/6
52/12 79/17 81/5 82/1 100/23 100/25 112/7
137/3 211/20 238/5 241/19 242/19 243/8 253/8
preventive [1] 164/19
preventive-type [1] 164/19
previous [5] 18/25 35/18 151/20 167/16 227/2
previously [4] 5/22 9/14 9/25 156/18
primarily [1] 43/21
primary [1] 165/1
principle [4] 49/8 73/8 136/21 236/1
principles [2] 67/13 116/16
print [1] 133/22
prints [3] 158/13 160/19 160/23
prior [7] 106/16 135/9 160/5 160/6 160/7
197/7 197/19
prison [6] 96/24 97/7 180/11 236/16 249/13 249/23
privilege [1] 144/3
privileges [1] 233/12
probably [47] 7/24 23/15 27/4 33/7 33/17
37/5 40/9 53/22 58/13 59/3 59/6 62/1 72/9
93/20 93/21 105/4 114/17 121/13 121/19
122/22 129/6 134/1 139/13 142/18 150/9
154/21 165/18 179/10 179/11 191/9 197/17
197/21 199/24 201/8 201/13 201/15 208/8
208/24 215/9 225/23 227/9 232/12 239/2
239/4 243/24 243/25 250/7
probation [1] 202/10
probe [1] 67/10
problem [12] 49/3 77/4 136/22 137/10
188/21 190/1 201/1 203/10 216/9 221/6
231/11 233/9
problem-solving [1] 77/4
problems [6] 62/18 79/9 83/20 165/17 173/4 199/22
procedures [1] 159/19
proceedings [30] 2/1 7/1 7/12 7/16 16/24
17/9 42/3 42/13 71/3 71/13 71/22 72/8 104/3
104/14 104/16 105/2 128/9 128/19 128/24

154/2 154/6 154/7 154/10 154/13 193/17
224/22 225/9 225/11 225/15 256/13
process [22] 5/4 6/15 8/1 16/13 16/14 17/24
30/22 41/18 41/23 42/15 67/17 76/15 103/18
114/6 127/22 128/22 131/8 168/3 192/19
193/19 193/22 224/11
processing [1] 29/14
proclivity [1] 147/25
products [6] 169/15 169/21 169/24 170/2 227/3 227/5
profession [1] 63/8
profit [6] 141/20 141/24 141/24 141/25
142/1 142/1
program [1] 156/5
programmer [3] 156/1 169/12 172/6
programming [1] 141/15
programs [1] 11/6
prohibited [8] 7/7 17/12 42/9 42/16 71/25
104/11 128/15 225/4
Project [1] 237/9
promise [1] 143/14
pronounce [1] 117/17
pronounced [2] 8/19 18/10
pronounces [1] 152/24
proof [10] 28/1 59/18 125/25 142/12 142/15
142/25 143/1 143/3 187/5 244/23
proper [2] 158/9 190/16
property [2] 52/2 132/7
proposition [4] 25/24 27/2 82/18 167/22
prosecution [6] 194/19 228/15 232/9 232/14
232/25 234/19
prosecutor [14] 1/14 1/16 1/18 8/13 18/8
43/7 43/9 72/21 94/5 105/15 129/22 155/11 194/19 226/7
prosecutors [9] 5/9 8/10 18/6 72/18 129/19
155/8 194/16 221/12 246/16
prospect [1] 46/15
prospective [6] 11/14 22/23 134/23 135/19 137/6 192/3
proud [3] 201/11 218/3 218/4
prove [17] 28/4 58/15 58/25 59/9 59/14
59/21 82/15 126/11 167/19 184/25 185/19
185/22 186/1 187/5 187/9 245/1 245/4
proved [3] 144/25 244/5 249/17
proven [8] 27/3 28/22 49/5 116/19 126/2
187/11 188/6 247/13
provided [12] 8/16 10/13 16/16 18/14 41/22
43/13 71/7 72/25 128/2 153/24 155/14
194/22
proving [4] 143/15 143/18 185/12 244/25
pry [1] 173/9
public [9] 7/12 42/13 104/15 128/20 145/17
154/8 193/17 212/20 225/10
publication [3] 107/2 130/4 171/23
publications [2] 171/19 171/25
publicity [7] 10/11 21/7 25/2 93/8 104/7
165/24 210/12
published [1] 141/23
pull [2] 32/9 141/12
pulled [1] 88/15
pulling [1] 88/10
punishment [1] 234/15
pure [2] 65/24 66/5
purposely [1] 211/5
pursued [1] 222/19
pursuits [1] 133/11
put [15] 9/11 12/20 12/21 60/11 60/13 126/1
171/7 181/13 181/22 214/24 216/1 217/19
221/14 228/12 252/25
putting [3] 121/15 131/9 239/23
puzzle [1] 114/2
puzzles [5] 113/19 113/21 114/1 165/19 165/21
qualifications [6] 6/19 103/22 127/25 153/22 192/22 224/14
question [40] 13/14 15/12 19/24 20/16 20/17
20/18 23/15 25/1 25/6 26/4 36/6 49/12 73/5
99/6 101/15 116/19 122/7 138/11 144/20
145/1 149/23 151/10 151/17 163/19 164/23
165/1 167/6 182/8 209/2 211/13 217/2
218/20 219/17 232/22 236/6 237/23 237/24
245/8 252/14 253/19
questioned [1] 53/15
questioning [11] 16/17 17/21 42/23 70/9
102/23 103/7 129/6 154/19 193/2 224/18 225/22
questionnaire [42] 6/13 16/11 16/17 18/15
20/18 21/9 36/10 41/16 41/22 43/14 47/22
48/19 71/2 71/7 80/15 82/25 99/5 103/16
103/24 108/21 116/4 116/6 117/4 124/25
127/18 128/3 147/12 151/12 153/5 153/17
153/25 155/15 157/25 161/23 170/22 192/17
192/25 194/23 224/9 224/17 226/16 227/2 questions [49] 6/18 6/20 8/3 8/15 14/13
14/15 16/16 18/13 37/12 38/9 41/21 43/12
46/16 71/5 71/8 72/23 73/3 82/3 103/4 103/6 103/21 103/25 105/8 112/18 124/24 127/24
128/1 128/4 129/25 135/18 137/1 143/24
149/1 153/21 153/23 155/13 165/11 171/4
192/21 192/24 194/21 213/7 220/24 224/13
224/15 226/15 238/14 246/16 247/2
quickly [3] 29/24 99/14 101/3
quite [11] 14/21 58/2 73/20 133/3 138/1
156/10 205/7 214/13 231/9 231/24 244/21
quote [1] 50/10
R
rad [1] 179/18
radar [2] 45/1 45/3
radio [18] 7/5 17/4 21/12 22/5 22/7 22/11
42/7 46/18 48/15 48/18 71/16 104/8 119/14
119/15 128/13 179/18 193/9 225/1
raise [9] 6/8 16/6 41/10 70/21 103/10 127/13
153/8 192/12 224/4
raised [1] 118/9
raises [1] 26/8
ran [2] 141/23 207/10
random [2] 189/25 190/8
randomly [1] 33/14
rank [2] 45/12 85/22
ranking [1] 92/11
rape [3] 57/10 249/18 249/20
raped [1] 55/12
rarely [1] 166/2
rate [1] 127/10
rather [10] $15 / 22$ 106/9 107/22 138/12 141/2
142/23 143/18 158/25 218/21 220/22
re [1] 52/25
react [3] 55/16 243/3 243/5
reacting [1] 55/23
reaction [2] 57/3 57/16
read [17] 13/4 13/13 21/22 23/17 25/9 36/7
47/2 47/5 47/7 47/15 48/10 106/24 109/13
135/2 135/23 235/23 243/16
reading [8] 11/15 12/24 13/8 22/24 47/9
139/16 164/23 166/18
Ready [2] 197/10 197/21
real [15] 29/20 30/6 31/2 31/3 61/5 94/18
110/20 113/20 119/25 180/13 182/4 185/10
186/2 236/19 252/9
realistic [7] 29/9 29/12 29/12 100/19 100/24
101/5 152/10
realistically [1] 184/3
reality [2] 63/25 121/1
realize [6] 23/12 28/9 81/16 168/9 188/8 228/13
realized [1] 228/7
really [58] 13/15 15/6 24/7 25/14 26/21 28/15 30/11 31/17 34/6 37/24 40/18 61/25 85/8 90/25 93/12 94/17 94/18 95/10 101/24 114/23 118/2 118/3 119/24 133/5 133/5 138/13 149/4 164/17 173/9 176/22 178/10 179/25 184/7 187/22 188/24 188/24 189/1
195/2 196/4 196/8 196/13 197/3 206/11 209/25 210/10 211/7 211/8 211/21 215/23 216/11 217/14 228/17 242/23 242/25 244/2
244/3 244/14 247/25
rear [1] 32/11
rear-ended [1] 32/11
reason [16] 6/2 24/24 70/7 88/6 91/8 91/12 98/19 123/13 134/16 136/11 145/11 165/6 191/10 221/13 251/25 253/12
reasonable [26] 28/5 49/5 58/20 59/22 82/16
101/13 126/8 135/1 136/17 143/3 144/25
167/19 168/17 185/9 185/16 186/23 186/25
187/6 213/22 214/5 214/14 219/22 220/1
238/11 245/1 247/13
reasonably [1] 21/1
reasoned [1] 219/24
reasons [8] 135/17 145/3 146/6 146/9 188/9
244/19 247/7 247/21
recall [18] 12/1 12/19 23/21 23/25 94/16
99/7 145/20 160/20 177/2 177/5 177/6
177/13 177/16 178/4 181/9 210/11 211/2
228/22
recalled [1] 228/21
recantation [1] 53/21
recantations [1] 178/12
recanted [1] 53/18
recanting [1] 95/1
receive [8] 34/9 42/23 107/19 116/24 166/14
194/4 225/23 228/19
received [6] 7/10 47/22 109/11 134/22 152/4
225/8
receiving [2] 40/24 233/10
recency [1] 220/4
recent [6] 68/4 132/15 170/7 220/9 221/6 222/15
recently [2] 166/14 219/7
Recess [3] 102/17 151/22 255/17
$\operatorname{recog}[1] ~ 162 / 14$
recognize [3] 162/16 162/17 164/22
recognizes [1] 223/5
recollection [1] 148/14
recommendation [1] 15/20
recommended [1] 222/18
Reconvened [2] 102/18 151/23
record [7] 5/5 99/3 105/3 127/6 151/25
221/21 222/2
records [1] 161/1
refer [1] 94/15
reference [2] 146/1 150/1
referred [1] 68/9
referring [1] 158/22
reflected [1] 205/8
refrain [6] 11/15 15/23 22/23 40/20 134/25
166/17
refrigerator [1] 116/1
refute [1] 59/2
regard [1] 248/25
regarding [4] 8/15 190/23 205/22 228/23
regardless [2] 138/4 250/22
registration [1] 158/11
regret [1] 207/15
regularly [6] 10/15 13/4 21/22 47/6 47/15 133/23
relate [5] 6/18 127/24 192/22 224/14 224/15
related [7] 20/16 31/12 31/14 110/1 111/24
164/8 229/10
relating [3] 41/21 103/22 153/22
relation [1] 174/18
relationship [2] 83/22 142/8
relatively [3] 50/2 112/3 148/16
release [3] 149/10 233/12 236/25
relocate [1] 118/14
remain [7] 42/22 72/7 105/1 129/5 154/18 194/3 225/21
remember [32] 12/5 24/12 52/25 53/20 56/6
56/9 56/18 68/21 79/14 94/8 95/5 95/25
99/11 99/12 175/25 176/24 177/20 178/7
204/11 204/14 204/15 204/16 206/19 208/12
208/22 210/22 211/5 229/1 236/14 236/17
236/20 236/24
remembered [1] 56/11
remembering [1] 208/11
rendering [2] 83/16 92/21
repercussions [1] 92/6
report [16] 16/24 17/23 31/7 47/18 72/10
90/13 102/16 105/3 129/8 130/9 151/3
154/22 183/12 208/12 225/24 229/20
reported [3] 2/2 24/16 256/6
reporter [7] 2/3 151/15 195/1 207/3 223/14
256/5 256/19
reporting [3] 7/25 104/18 255/18
reports [16] 7/16 17/14 40/23 72/1 72/1
94/16 94/21 94/25 95/18 123/19 154/13
183/9 208/5 208/18 225/14 229/2
represent [2] 84/8 117/25
representations [1] 208/18
representing [3] 76/11 142/11 215/18
reputation [2] 199/5 199/6
request [4] 11/20 152/19 166/22 218/19
requesting [1] 5/19
require [9] 17/1 42/5 64/24 79/7 104/6
128/11 184/24 185/18 187/7
required [1] 138/3
reservations [1] 70/18
reserve [1] 157/16
resigned [2] 172/8 172/20
resigning [1] 173/3
resist [1] 245/9
resolve [1] 122/7
respect [11] 11/9 15/20 37/20 38/20 60/18
67/8 67/8 141/9 192/3 222/13 245/20
respects [1] 218/20
respond [1] 89/14
responded [2] 32/13 32/14
response [3] 58/19 102/21 129/11
responses [1] 231/10
responsibilities [6] 44/1 73/25 75/17 75/21
115/2 200/7
responsibility [6] 70/19 74/14 223/6 223/7
228/15 239/25
responsible [1] 76/21
rest [4] 70/10 185/23 186/3 250/8
restriction [1] 7/3
restroom [2] 240/3 240/6
restrooms [1] 230/16
result [5] 161/12 220/3 234/13 234/15 237/1
results [1] 236/7
resume [2] 5/14 255/11
retire [1] 78/24
retired [8] 43/16 161/20 161/21 161/22
162/21 162/22 162/24 163/6
retirement [1] 76/5
retiring [1] 45/13
return [10] 6/25 16/23 42/2 42/24 71/12
92/15 104/4 128/7 128/9 154/5
returning [1] 43/11
review [2] 10/12 208/4
$\mathbf{R}$
Reynold [1] 139/18
rhythm [1] 133/2
Rica [1] 119/5
Rich [2] 163/7 163/7
Richard [1] 163/11
Rick [1] 159/12
rid [2] 140/18 140/19
ride [3] 77/24 173/23 199/2
Riesterer [1] 18/18
right [427]
right/wrong [1] 206/11
ring [2] 5/25 219/8
risk [1] 41/3
Rivers [2] 44/8 133/6
road [6] 33/11 33/16 33/25 34/4 34/18 34/20
rob [1] 88/11
robbed [1] 88/12
role [3] 57/25 68/12 143/11
room [10] 37/4 68/16 73/16 101/25 107/4
212/4 212/18 227/10 246/7 248/22
roughly [1] 68/6
Roundtable [1] 133/12
RPR [2] 2/2 256/19
rule [9] 36/11 59/8 71/15 144/2 146/13 245/7
245/8 245/11 245/20
rules [7] 142/16 143/6 143/8 244/18 245/6
245/16 247/6
run [6] 20/5 44/3 112/14 142/1 231/11
231/15
run-in [1] 231/11
run-ins [2] 112/14 231/15
runs [1] 175/5

## S

S.H.A.R.K [1] 247/25
safe [1] 113/7
safely [1] 223/7
safety [2] 76/22 242/12
said [39] 25/3 28/15 32/5 36/16 50/9 51/7
54/8 59/24 80/14 88/10 88/11 89/11 108/22
109/5 119/25 121/7 121/9 121/25 122/5
140/19 140/22 140/23 141/7 174/12 175/25
176/25 184/1 185/3 186/4 186/16 191/13
196/9 206/9 206/18 220/7 222/20 234/4
236/18 256/13
SALOMON [6] 3/21 127/11 127/12 127/17
129/15 150/25
salvage [1] $12 / 9$
same [33] 35/4 35/14 35/20 35/24 38/3 60/14
81/9 81/21 86/1 100/5 100/13 111/21 122/15
122/16 137/18 137/21 138/3 143/21 156/23
156/24 156/25 160/1 163/23 190/20 192/2
196/6 233/21 242/14 242/15 243/22 246/18
246/25 248/10
San [1] 49/15
sat [3] 51/12 89/19 210/1
satellite [1] 212/16
Saturday [3] 253/2 253/2 253/4
save [1] 61/18
savings [1] 21/3
saw [4] 89/19 94/9 178/2 228/5
say [69] 10/22 11/23 20/22 21/13 21/18
23/22 24/8 24/15 33/17 34/7 36/21 46/20
52/14 61/3 61/16 63/23 66/14 68/5 78/12
83/14 87/12 89/15 89/17 93/9 93/12 93/16 93/16 94/19 94/20 97/14 99/11 99/13 106/19 121/14 122/5 124/18 125/11 126/2 130/14 131/2 133/25 134/6 162/9 162/22 166/7 166/10 166/11 168/19 173/8 174/3 176/13 177/22 180/1 180/20 183/1 183/24 186/20 187/1 187/10 187/20 188/2 189/5 202/24

218/25 219/25 222/2 227/23 231/23 248/5 saying [19] 64/2 64/3 67/19 89/4 113/16
121/18 146/18 167/11 179/13 181/4 185/5 195/1 208/24 213/25 214/10 214/12 215/3 221/11 228/7
says [5] 85/17 109/3 109/5 124/2 145/4
scene [7] 29/3 29/14 30/7 32/6 101/11
235/14 236/3
scene-type [1] 236/3
scenes [4] 100/22 101/7 160/18 160/19
scheduled [1] 70/8
scheme [2] 143/5 143/7
Schnell [1] 18/19
school [13] 51/12 65/7 86/20 139/19 195/12
195/16 198/12 206/24 206/25 227/20 240/11
241/6 253/23
sci [1] 212/19
sci-fi [1] 212/19
science [4] 65/11 66/3 67/6 67/10
sciences [1] 66/2
scientific [2] 65/23 68/2
scientifically [1] 236/1
scientifically-accepted [1] 236/1
scientist [2] 35/22 138/6
scientists [1] 116/21
score [1] 214/22
SCOTT [1] 4/4
search [5] 113/24 177/8 184/3 229/4 229/6
searched [1] $177 / 8$
seat [1] 190/22
seated [11] 6/11 16/9 41/14 70/24 103/14
127/16 153/11 190/16 191/3 192/14 224/7
seating [3] 190/5 190/5 219/1
seats [1] 173/20
Seattle [2] 139/25 140/4
second [3] 107/9 234/8 234/16
secretarial [1] 106/2
security [1] 76/21
see [66] 9/14 19/23 23/10 23/11 29/17 33/22
38/11 38/21 39/5 41/10 43/15 44/19 45/9
45/10 46/1 46/11 47/21 48/14 48/19 73/22
74/5 75/20 78/19 80/14 84/18 91/1 93/22
93/25 107/3 109/8 109/17 118/17 119/1
119/6 124/23 126/7 132/8 132/15 132/21
133/10 134/13 139/17 147/10 155/18 156/7
157/1 157/24 158/14 160/8 161/12 167/6
170/1 173/25 174/21 176/4 176/22 181/18
189/8 190/12 190/12 212/15 223/11 224/2
248/10 248/15 255/12
seeing [5] 23/21 23/25 36/20 177/13 177/16
seem [6] 28/20 30/23 50/11 121/6 131/23
175/11
seemed [6] 13/25 45/22 205/12 218/25 220/8 223/1
seems [4] 14/1 50/2 121/6 216/7
seen [10] 13/22 93/24 94/6 96/23 109/19
119/8 135/23 148/8 205/25 235/24
seg [1] 177/14
segments [1] 181/21
seldom [4] 166/8 166/11 176/21 216/14
selected [31] 6/22 7/17 9/24 14/18 16/20
17/15 35/10 36/9 42/18 49/9 71/10 72/3 81/2 82/13 104/20 114/20 120/19 121/22 128/5
128/25 137/8 154/3 154/14 164/9 165/8
193/23 196/22 216/20 224/19 225/17 254/7
selecting [1] 8/17
selection [13] 5/4 6/15 16/13 20/19 41/18
42/15 103/18 127/20 128/21 153/19 192/19
193/19 224/11
sell [3] 169/20 169/22 169/23
send [4] 158/10 171/24 172/2 241/19
sending [1] 160/24
sense [1] 251/25
sensible [1] 152/7
sensor [1] 48/13
sent [1] 11/14
Sentinel [1] 47/3
separately [1] 200/15
sequestered [10] 6/24 16/22 42/1 71/10
104/2 128/6 154/4 165/2 193/3 224/20
sequestration [1] 152/9
sergeant [4] 85/23 85/24 92/17 92/18
sergeants [1] 92/13
series [1] 67/24
serious [3] 184/17 217/15 223/3
seriously [4] 114/19 115/3 118/4 210/10
serv [1] 159/17
serve [17] 10/7 42/19 69/7 72/3 104/21 114/5
115/10 137/8 138/11 154/14 165/7 218/1
224/14 225/17 235/4 238/1 252/19
served [3] 44/20 45/11 58/3
service [7] 15/24 18/21 132/22 159/17
169/24 196/24 197/12
services [1] 197/22
serving [4] 49/13 79/6 174/10 226/7
set [6] 14/18 21/3 107/5 116/9 143/6 143/7
seven [12] 18/24 68/6 151/14 157/6 157/8
157/9 157/10 157/16 219/11 229/16 240/22
241/5
seventy [2] 151/9 151/14
Seventy-five [1] 151/9
seventy-seven [1] 151/14
several [10] 51/14 114/10 123/23 186/15
190/23 191/6 196/5 196/5 201/3 219/13
shade [1] 182/25
shake [2] 83/5 219/25
share [1] 248/10
shared [1] 159/9
she [37] 49/17 49/24 49/24 50/2 50/6 50/9
54/6 54/8 54/8 54/11 85/13 109/3 109/4
109/4 127/9 151/15 177/8 211/16 211/18
211/20 211/21 211/21 211/22 211/25 211/25
211/25 212/2 212/3 212/3 212/4 212/12
212/13 212/16 212/17 233/20 241/1 241/6
She'll [1] 212/12
she's [5] 49/18 49/21 49/25 211/23 212/10
Sheboygan [4] 107/22 176/18 201/23 219/10
sheet [1] 172/7
sheriff [4] 84/12 94/3 130/9 177/15
sheriff's [19] 35/2 57/6 77/12 78/15 79/20
80/4 80/16 80/19 81/4 81/6 88/20 91/9 93/2
101/22 102/3 120/3 122/12 182/10 230/23
shield [1] 119/11
shift [6] 106/23 107/9 107/9 107/10 159/14
252/25
shifts [2] 78/5 107/13
shipped [1] 73/23
shop [2] 80/22 175/6
short [2] 48/18 66/22
shorter [1] 70/9
shorthand [1] 256/10
should [39] 7/11 7/17 7/18 14/18 15/3 16/21
17/11 17/16 21/5 35/14 42/12 42/18 72/4
98/1 104/20 104/21 129/1 144/1 146/18
188/25 189/1 189/1 190/5 190/9 191/3
193/24 207/23 221/8 225/16 232/18 233/5
235/3 235/4 242/2 244/15 245/12 251/19
253/23 254/8
shoulders [1] 253/8
shouldn't [6] 55/11 55/17 55/18 109/8
187/10 251/18
show [20] 7/20 17/18 42/21 59/4 72/6 100/15
101/4 110/5 110/5 111/17 121/19 151/18
154/16 175/19 181/16 181/16 181/20 185/2
225/19 244/13
showed [2] 94/10 249/19
shown [1] 186/4
shows [15] 29/3 29/6 29/18 29/25 30/5
100/15 109/25 110/14 111/5 133/6 212/4
212/19 235/13 235/15 248/8
shut [2] 33/18 198/8
shy [1] 240/8
side [14] 6/17 33/24 59/4 62/19 85/17 125/12
139/8 139/9 139/10 142/24 145/10 167/7 239/6 247/16
sides [16] 125/8 125/10 167/6 180/21 180/23
185/6 186/15 186/17 189/10 190/8 217/16
224/12 238/8 244/14 246/10 250/21
Siemers [1] 19/1
signed [1] 85/13
significant [2] 178/19 202/25
similar [3] 62/18 170/2 170/3
simply [6] 18/17 67/2 144/22 146/9 146/19 152/12
Simpson [3] 145/15 145/20 150/2
since [24] 55/5 56/7 78/23 80/9 81/3 81/4
119/8 141/10 153/2 156/9 160/14 163/20
165/4 171/13 179/1 195/17 196/18 198/10
210/3 216/13 232/9 232/21 237/15 245/18 single [1] 178/18
sir [20] 43/3 78/22 153/9 156/8 157/4 177/19
178/10 178/13 178/16 178/21 181/11 189/13
195/13 201/20 202/5 203/19 205/8 215/16 217/14 218/7
sister [2] 49/18 50/9
sit [15] 14/5 26/22 48/2 48/24 59/25 101/25
111/2 143/13 150/3 188/25 212/18 221/20
228/21 237/21 246/12
sites [1] 45/1
sits [3] 25/19 116/13 215/22
sitting [16] 28/10 33/11 33/16 33/18 33/22
33/24 33/24 34/3 58/10 79/7 117/3 146/18
230/18 237/17 239/2 249/13
situation [6] 87/14 173/12 182/24 188/3
205/20 249/17
situations [1] 91/1
six [19] 10/1 20/22 21/4 22/2 28/10 33/7
80/11 107/11 114/6 137/4 156/17 159/1
164/24 196/25 198/4 217/19 219/11 237/16 244/19
six-week [3] 21/4 114/6 237/16
skipping [1] 192/8
slack [2] 164/4 164/4
slapped [1] 232/19
slate [1] 58/4
Slinger [3] 44/7 44/7 44/8
Sloan [1] 159/12
slow [3] 196/4 196/12 207/3
small [4] 20/5 34/18 34/20 172/22
smart [1] 114/21
so [217] 10/5 10/6 10/9 11/24 15/6 15/7 16/4 20/5 20/9 21/1 22/14 22/21 26/20 26/24 27/16 27/23 28/9 28/18 30/16 31/17 32/1 33/3 34/2 34/20 37/6 37/7 39/22 45/6 47/9 48/24 49/3 49/10 50/11 54/23 59/18 61/22 63/3 63/12 63/17 63/23 64/7 66/23 67/8 67/8 67/13 69/3 70/11 74/6 74/13 75/10 75/16 78/6 78/19 79/17 80/8 80/12 81/20 83/4 85/11 86/6 86/6 86/17 87/20 88/15 89/19 90/25 91/11 92/7 92/10 92/16 93/24 97/1 99/10 99/11 99/25 101/7 102/2 106/9 106/23 109/18 109/20 112/13 114/25 115/2 115/25
116/12 118/7 118/17 118/24 119/6 119/17 121/3 121/15 123/16 124/8 125/19 125/19 130/18 131/9 132/15 132/18 134/7 134/21 135/16 136/11 137/25 138/18 140/8 140/22 141/2 142/24 143/10 145/7 146/5 146/8

150/5 152/12 156/3 156/10 156/14 159/22 160/10 160/14 160/14 162/9 164/3 164/6 164/19 164/25 165/21 166/11 166/12 166/24 167/1 167/10 167/11 168/6 168/9 169/23 170/18 171/4 171/15 172/14 173/2 173/7 173/18 173/21 174/22 175/6 175/10 175/19 176/9 176/12 176/13 176/19 178/4 179/25 180/4 180/25 180/25 181/20 182/6 182/15 183/24 184/14 184/18 186/16 188/6 188/13 188/22 189/9 190/14 191/23 192/8 194/25 195/10 198/9 199/15 201/16 202/20 202/24 203/3 204/4 204/5 204/14 204/16 207/1 207/9 208/19 208/19 208/20 212/7 212/22 214/10 214/10 215/3 215/7 215/20 217/21 217/21 218/16 221/21 223/8 227/5 228/6 231/24 235/9 238/7 240/9 240/15 241/19 242/14 242/15 246/13 247/17 249/17 252/11 soap [1] 111/9
sociable [1] 36/16
social [1] 21/14
soldiers [1] 218/2
solely [4] 25/24 116/10 150/13 168/12
solicitation [2] 120/5 120/8
solid [1] 223/1
solve [1] 230/7
solving [2] 77/4 165/16
some [117] 6/18 6/21 8/15 12/18 16/15 18/13 19/16 21/7 26/8 26/15 29/2 32/5 33/1 33/2 33/9 34/2 34/16 38/9 41/20 43/12 46/15 49/13 51/21 53/16 54/4 59/4 59/5 60/1 60/8 62/18 62/21 64/5 64/10 71/5 74/1 74/14 75/17 76/16 76/24 84/9 86/13 87/4 90/16 98/19 99/19 100/20 100/20 102/1 103/21 106/1 106/21 112/18 119/13 124/12 124/16 125/21 126/2 126/11 127/24 130/14 130/15 132/10 133/4 133/22 136/11 141/6 142/7 145/8 146/6 147/7 153/21 155/14 157/1 157/25 159/13 161/14 164/10 170/15 172/3 174/14 175/25 176/19 185/10 185/12 187/15 187/17 188/23 192/21 194/21 199/6 199/7 199/11 206/12 207/7 210/8 212/23 216/9 218/16 218/20 218/25 221/2 224/13 226/15 227/16 229/3 229/21 230/9 230/12 230/17 233/8 233/9 235/13 237/17 243/3 244/18 250/12 251/17
somebody [12] 66/13 91/19 95/8 96/2
120/22 180/12 185/4 200/9 220/11 221/10 249/9 249/22
somehow [5] 12/20 121/17 149/5 191/19 248/13
someone [10] 63/9 92/19 120/7 121/12 124/2 125/15 145/4 188/9 188/10 249/11 something [69] 20/20 23/11 23/13 26/14 33/10 34/11 34/12 38/19 52/23 58/10 59/16 79/11 84/14 86/12 88/10 89/12 95/9 95/17 97/4 99/21 99/23 101/12 107/3 110/8 110/9 117/3 121/19 121/19 147/21 169/18 170/6 170/18 172/14 172/18 173/17 176/11 176/22 178/1 178/4 181/5 181/24 183/9 183/19 183/24 184/17 185/21 186/18 187/24 191/12 200/9 208/2 210/8 211/21 234/5 236/19 237/8 237/24 237/25 237/25 239/20 242/1 242/25 243/10 243/19 244/4 244/6 250/3 250/7 250/14
sometime [5] 7/24 72/9 105/5 156/10 177/3 sometimes [14] 13/11 13/12 45/23 61/5 64/1 66/22 85/21 87/15 117/20 125/1 125/5 141/16 156/17 187/20
somewhat [9] 10/14 11/11 11/12 11/13
30/16 66/4 113/20 184/10 184/11 somewhere [2] 108/20 121/12 son [20] 80/15 80/22 81/3 83/21 85/22 91/14 92/17 92/23 132/4 140/14 140/19 229/23

231/10 231/20 232/6 232/15 233/13 233/17 234/4 234/16
son's [2] 85/2 233/23
sons [8] 115/13 115/17 115/21 118/5 118/20 231/12 231/13 234/22
soon [2] 69/13 191/6
sorry [22] 9/3 19/11 27/12 28/24 30/2 34/19
35/7 69/18 72/25 73/13 90/20 94/19 99/13
108/14 178/17 195/8 195/20 198/23 202/17 241/24 250/18 254/13
sort [18] 45/5 46/9 47/16 50/8 51/23 52/13
53/15 53/16 62/19 64/15 65/9 173/6 175/21
179/9 183/3 243/2 243/7 252/14
sorts [1] 74/8
sound [5] 63/18 67/14 67/17 68/19 220/13
sounds [3] 98/4 119/10 208/1
source [6] 7/6 14/17 104/10 108/20 128/14 176/1
sources [4] 21/10 21/17 46/18 106/21
south [5] 139/25 140/3 140/3 140/4 241/15 spare [1] 46/2
speak [4] 19/13 31/15 194/25 247/18
speaking [1] 77/6
special [14] 1/14 1/16 1/18 5/9 11/6 11/7
18/8 43/9 72/20 75/20 129/21 147/25 155/8

## 194/18

specialized [1] 66/24
specific [9] 5/16 13/20 55/17 65/18 74/24
111/17 237/24 247/6 249/18
specifically [2] 56/3 109/25
specimens [2] 66/8 66/10
speculate [2] 125/22 146/10
speeding [1] 157/21
spelled [2] 117/19 117/22
spend [2] 139/11 240/13
spent [4] 61/12 97/7 132/10 236/15
split [1] 46/21
spoke [1] 84/10
spoken [1] 115/22
spot [1] 200/25
spraying [1] 9/18
spruced [1] 236/23
squad [3] 77/24 85/15 173/21
SS [1] 256/1
stable [1] 50/2
stage [1] 6/16
stamping [6] 155/19 155/23 160/2 160/9
160/11 165/13
stamping-type [1] 155/23
stand [9] 35/16 98/13 120/22 121/25 122/13
138/1 145/5 148/4 251/4
standard [5] 35/24 58/21 58/23 137/19 219/22
standing [2] 38/18 86/5
start [7] 11/23 50/25 159/4 179/3 200/24 224/3 246/7
started [11] 65/7 80/7 80/8 166/6 169/15 170/9 172/7 172/10 172/12 172/14 174/20 starting [4] 28/19 58/4 64/19 139/4
starts [1] 246/3
state [53] $1 / 11 / 31 / 151 / 171 / 195 / 25 / 55 / 7$
27/25 28/18 57/8 59/19 70/2 81/6 82/15 83/5
83/15 102/12 105/9 125/24 126/7 127/4
132/10 136/16 139/14 141/3 142/12 143/1
143/22 144/24 147/7 149/14 150/22 160/24
167/18 170/15 170/17 189/20 194/8 209/3
213/17 213/21 218/16 219/24 220/19 220/21
221/4 221/9 221/25 244/23 247/12 256/1
256/5
State's [5] 60/15 126/7 221/23 246/14
246/23
stated [1] 214/11
statement [1] 35/18

| S | suffer [1] 137/7 | talking [10] 36/18 38/19 55/4 55/4 55/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| statements [3] 94/22 95/25 178/5 | suggest [3] 139/5 152/12 222/23 | $\text { talks [1] } 125 / 2$ |
| stating [1] 214/11 | sum [1] 29/13 | tampered [2] 24/2 26/17 |
| station [3] 22/10 22/11 22/15 | summer [4] 19/12 24/20 169/23 253/7 | tampering [4] 26/10 91/3 91/21 95/22 |
| stations [1] 110/12 | summoned [1] 108/6 | tape [1] 94/11 |
| status [1] 68/12 | sun [3] 88/7 147/16 206/25 | taste [1] 230/2 |
| stay [5] 39/22 39/23 40/8 110/24 179/2 | Sunday [2] 241/15 253/3 | tavern [1] 25/10 |
| stayed [1] 221/8 | Sunrise [1] 133/11 | taverns [2] 21/14 39/1 |
| stays [1] 146/22 | supervise [1] 44/9 | taxpayer [1] 149/13 |
| steady [1] 198/10 | supervising [1] 76/23 | team [1] 113/17 |
| STEINMETZ [17] 3/18 103/9 103/10 | supervision [1] 234/1 | tear [1] 125/15 |
| 103/15 105/6 105/13 105/22 106/19 107/1 | supervisor [4] 44/6 44/13 74/7 74/14 | Tech [4] 170/12 195/23 197/8 197/18 |
| 109/23 113/9 114/5 114/9 117/1 117/18 | supervisors [1] 173/6 | technical [1] 19/16 |
| 117/19 126/21 | supervisory [6] 44/1 44/13 73/24 75/17 | technicality [2] 205/1 |
| stenographic [1] 256/9 | 106/17 133/17 | technician [3] 18/21 118/11 158/1 |
| step [7] 6/14 8/1 16/12 17/23 71/3 103/18 | support [1] 253/14 | technology [1] 172/10 |
| 192/18 | suppose [2] 53/23 62/6 | Teflon [2] 9/18 9/19 |
| Steve [1] 245/23 | supposed [5] 63/21 110/19 125/20 182/1 | Telecommunications [1] 106/4 |
| STEVEN [21] 1/7 1/24 5/2 37/17 50/22 52/4 | 187/9 | telephone [6] 7/24 17/22 42/23 64/21 154/20 |
| 53/24 57/11 84/8 86/4 90/7 96/9 108/7 | supposedly [2] | 225/24 |
| 115/22 117/25 139/2 143/15 169/9 215/18 | sure [52] 5/16 15/6 32/23 48/6 48/19 50/7 | televised [1] 134/3 |
| 238/24 242/18 | 52/17 53/25 67/12 67/13 67/22 70/6 71/9 | television [46] 7/5 10/18 10/23 10/24 11/1 |
| steward [6] 75/22 75/25 76/2 242/10 242/13 | 78/16 79/4 99/2 114/23 114/24 123/2 123/7 | 11/3 12/23 17/4 21/12 21/21 21/24 22/25 |
| 250/17 | 123/25 124/4 126/10 127/9 137/5 139/16 | 23/17 23/21 25/10 29/2 29/18 29/19 29/25 |
| stick [3] 12/15 24/5 250/10 | 147/8 152/24 159/21 162/1 164/22 174/9 | 30/24 31/2 37/4 42/7 46/19 47/12 48/15 |
| still [32] 14/4 14/6 19/10 19/11 20/24 24/21 | 174/23 176/10 179/5 180/25 184/10 188/21 | 48/16 52/24 68/17 71/17 104/9 106/20 |
| 25/3 25/13 36/19 51/2 51/19 51/20 55/7 | 190/7 190/22 193/11 199/19 217/23 222/5 | 109/24 128/13 133/24 134/1 134/20 141/11 |
| 75/18 79/21 100/2 106/16 107/8 114/22 | 230/13 236/17 239/22 241/23 242/24 245/7 | 148/25 166/1 176/6 193/10 225/1 228/20 |
| 116/11 137/11 150/9 161/14 171/10 184/5 | 248/19 248/24 | 228/22 243/17 |
| 188/4 188/11 202/4 217/25 226/18 239/11 | surprised [2] 97/2 | tell [67] 11/24 12/4 29/11 32/8 33/6 35/13 |
| 242/8 | surrounding [1] 219/18 | 36/14 36/24 38/16 44/5 48/3 53/11 53/11 |
| stockholder [1] 239/18 | survive [1] 49/19 | 63/6 63/10 67/4 69/14 69/17 73/19 74/2 |
| stole [1] 89/12 | sus [1] 185/9 | 77/11 78/2 80/24 84/13 88/3 90/5 93/7 93/17 |
| stop [4] 33/14 33/20 34/8 110 | suspect [3] 106/9 111/4 112 | 96/15 96/21 111/13 118/3 120/23 122/14 |
| stopped [1] 32/10 | suspecting [1] 182/3 | 143/2 147/22 147/25 154/2 154/18 158/5 |
| stopping [1] 23/10 | suspicion [1] 186/2 | 177/3 177/24 179/3 180/23 182/12 193/2 |
| stories [3] 23/18 130/15 228/23 | suspicions [1] 185/10 | 197/22 197/25 200/4 200/23 201/5 203/25 |
| story [6] 23/17 148/23 178/8 180/21 185/7 | swap [2] 163/3 169/23 | 4/21 204/25 209/10 211/13 217/12 217/12 |
| 247/17 | swear [1] 192/13 | 236/14 238/3 239/14 244/20 244/21 245/15 |
| storylines [2] | sworn [12] 6/10 16/8 41/13 63/3 63/20 70/23 | 247/10 251/12 251/18 |
| straight [2] 66/5 247 | 103/13 127/15 147/22 153/10 192/15 224/6 | telling [8] 33/12 72/10 115/7 122/8 163/24 |
| straightened [1] 2 | sympathetic [1] $217 / 5$ | 205/2 205/3 251/19 |
| straightening [1] 219/6 | sympathy [1] 250/10 | tells [2] 78/12 210/2 |
| STRANG [42] 1/20 3/8 3/13 3/24 4/10 5/11 | system [4] 45/6 142/15 201/17 244/17 | temporary [2] 197/12 197/22 |
| 15/11 15/13 16/1 37/13 37/14 37/16 37/17 | systems [3] 43/22 64/22 64/24 | ten [9] 22/2 22/3 22/4 88/19 106/16 253/1 |
| 39/11 41/4 41/5 50/16 50/17 50/19 50/22 <br> 69/18 70/3 70/12 84/8 117/25 138/21 138/23 | T | $\begin{aligned} & 253 / 1253 / 2 \\ & \text { tend }[2] \quad 39 / 16101 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| /150/23 151/25 152/3 152/16 169/8 | table [6] 58/18 142/11 142/14 143/25 144/15 | tends [1] 124/13 |
| 215/17 238/15 238/16 238/18 238/20 254/13 | 244/24 | tentative [1] 63/18 |
| 254/16 254/18 255/8 | Tacoma [2] | Teresa [3] 51/25 229/2 242/16 |
| strange [1] 109/1 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a d }}$ [1] 10/2 | term [2] 199/12 234/23 |
| street [2] 158/7 182 | take [52] 9/11 10/1 13/15 18/21 21/15 29/ | terminated [1] 103/7 |
| stricken [1] 41/8 | 40/21 57/25 61/24 70/5 70/9 79/11 79/14 | terms [14] 11/1 51/8 51/8 65/11 66/8 74/17 |
| strictly [1] 36/11 | 79/21 82/24 86/12 98/13 102/15 106/7 107/4 | 77/4 79/19 134/9 137/13 150/11 175/11 |
| strike [4] 65/10 189/22 218/18 220/5 | 114/18 115/2 118/3 122/13 137/25 139/5 | 176/1 200/11 |
| strikes [2] 220/10 248/9 | 143/11 145/5 148/4 151/1 153/5 155/18 | tested [1] 66/9 |
| striking [1] 221/22 | 156/4 158/8 158/12 159/24 164/19 191/2 | testified [5] 62/2 144/11 204/9 206/7 206/12 |
| struck [4] 40/17 131/20 218/23 220/15 | 191/24 192/9 195/1 196/19 203/13 203/15 | testify [46] 35/23 60/21 61/7 61/14 61/15 |
| student [1] 195/5 | 206/25 207/5 212/23 216/1 223/13 233/20 | 62/21 62/25 98/11 98/17 99/9 99/16 99/17 |
| studying [1] 195/6 | 249/12 255/10 | 99/20 99/25 100/4 116/14 120/21 125/3 |
| stuff [10] 26/12 26/13 27/10 40/8 142/7 | taken [5] 19/24 63/4 177/11 228/15 256/9 | 144/1 144/4 144/8 144/16 144/24 145/5 |
| 182/4 210/8 212/17 212/20 213/2 | takes [4] 30/8 120/22 120/22 251/18 | 145/21 146/4 146/11 147/8 147/8 147/21 |
| stumbling [1] 121/17 | taking [5] 189/25 191/10 223/18 224/3 | 148/12 186/7 188/4 188/6 188/10 188/11 |
| Stun [1] 86/15 | 239/20 | 188/17 204/17 205/21 215/2 247/8 247/9 |
| stupid [1] 232/1 | talk [35] 10/10 11/22 13/15 21/6 22/11 26/7 | 247/22 250/20 250/23 254/ |
| subject [2] 67/12 71/14 | 26/9 35/16 36/19 36/23 38/24 39/3 39/12 | testifying [8] 35/2 62/13 81/8 145/20 147/10 |
| submit [1] 130/9 | 39/13 45/4 45/7 46/13 63/19 73/3 80/22 86/9 | 183/5 183/18 184/9 |
| subscribe [1] 176/5 | 86/11 93/6 118/4 163/2 165/23 178/19 179/4 | testimony [34] 35/4 60/3 62/7 63/14 64/16 |
| such [12] 36/13 91/10 91/16 91/21 91/21 | 179/8 179/23 190/11 203/6 229/22 231/17 | 68/2 81/9 81/21 100/5 100/12 116/23 116/23 |
| 116/23 164/16 170/9 172/1 179/4 184/23 | 248/3 | 120/15 121/5 137/14 138/2 143/20 147/14 |
| 189/7 | talked [9] 49/18 50/9 85/12 86/17 116/3 178/17 178/22 210/4 212/8 | 147/14 163/16 163/22 185/24 188/20 204/2 204/8 209/1 209/5 209/14 216/3 238/9 |
| suddenly [1] 97/4 |  |  |

testimony... [4] 250/25 251/2 253/25 254/8 testing [2] 67/21 236/6
tests [2] 100/23 101/4
Texas [1] 46/6
than [34] 28/12 63/9 64/25 69/3 69/5 70/10 81/14 82/5 86/13 87/8 87/8 87/12 87/18 90/12 107/22 121/24 123/15 134/11 140/5 140/24 142/23 146/7 146/7 150/9 158/25 164/4 182/19 201/15 216/15 218/25 223/3 237/24 243/23 251/16 thank [33] 15/13 50/17 70/2 84/2 102/5 105/10 117/10 117/12 119/24 126/16 126/22 126/23 127/3 129/23 149/19 150/23 151/4 152/3 152/16 153/7 163/12 169/3 175/9 189/13 189/14 191/22 218/7 226/1 238/14 238/16 238/19 253/15 254/11
thanked [1] 207/16
thanking [1] 127/9
thanks [13] 18/9 19/15 38/6 40/10 43/10 50/13 72/22 75/6 138/20 150/16 151/21 155/11 194/20
that [1158]
that's [137] 13/18 14/2 19/6 22/12 25/25 38/6 43/17 43/19 48/1 49/2 49/16 50/13 51/18 57/12 59/25 60/24 60/25 61/2 61/3 61/9 61/11 61/12 63/24 68/14 69/1 74/19 93/9 95/8 95/9 100/23 106/25 109/22 110/24 113/19 113/25 117/9 119/2 120/13 121/25 125/5 131/14 132/6 132/21 135/20 141/3 141/15 145/25 146/12 149/19 151/4 153/14 156/1 156/12 156/13 157/22 158/2 159/15 160/13 161/16 162/1 162/7 162/17 162/25 163/3 164/1 165/5 168/5 168/22 168/25 170/7 170/18 170/20 170/25 172/3 172/12 172/22 174/20 175/6 175/19 176/7 177/11 178/2 178/2 178/7 179/4 179/7 180/8 180/17 180/17 180/20 181/5 181/7 181/16 182/5 182/12 184/2 186/9 187/1 187/9 187/15 188/7 195/10 198/12 202/6 202/15 205/19 206/15 208/24 210/2 211/16 211/22 212/17 212/20 215/6 217/10 220/14 226/21 227/12 227/22 228/12 236/2 237/10 238/13 243/2 243/16 244/8 245/11 245/11 245/11 245/12 248/5 249/7 251/10 252/9 253/1 253/10 253/15
theft [1] 160/21
their [41] 5/5 6/20 7/8 35/3 35/23 55/25 59/2
59/5 59/5 81/8 81/21 104/18 112/19 112/24
113/5 122/4 122/16 123/18 125/6 125/6
137/21 137/21 138/3 138/7 147/13 148/11
148/12 148/13 159/18 164/5 173/23 178/24
179/19 183/23 187/21 204/23 204/23 208/18 221/17 225/14 246/14
their's [2] 174/19 244/13
them [87] 9/11 9/12 9/17 9/22 11/15 17/19 18/23 19/7 19/9 19/10 19/12 24/18 29/8 29/22 30/8 35/5 36/21 37/24 47/5 54/25 58/21 62/21 67/1 70/10 74/3 81/14 87/4 88/6 90/9 100/20 101/3 106/7 106/11 119/6 119/8 120/6 120/11 120/13 121/8 122/15 137/20 138/7 143/18 145/8 146/6 155/25 157/11 158/9 158/10 159/20 159/23 160/24 161/11 164/3 172/1 175/15 175/15 175/16 179/3 179/17 184/6 187/5 190/22 190/25 191/12 194/2 195/24 196/1 197/11 198/3 198/5 200/10 201/8 201/11 208/8 208/9 210/4 221/5 221/18 223/18 224/3 230/17 240/7 241/5 246/15 249/12 250/22
them's [1] 119/1
themself [1] 120/6
themselves [3] 101/4 121/9 234/24
then [74] 16/24 28/5 44/8 50/9 51/12 51/25 52/4 52/17 53/21 54/4 55/3 56/15 57/13 57/21 73/3 78/3 79/17 79/20 89/14 93/23 107/6 107/12 108/10 109/7 118/11 119/4 128/9 132/12 132/13 132/19 133/20 139/14 147/3 156/5 157/17 158/10 158/12 159/3 159/4 159/24 160/14 164/20 166/7 166/9 180/20 181/13 181/25 182/4 182/11 183/23 185/4 186/2 186/21 186/22 186/22 186/24 191/20 192/10 198/10 207/10 207/16 211/23 215/5 215/8 232/12 235/19 236/16 240/17 242/18 245/13 246/5 249/6 253/2 253/13 theoretically [1] $67 / 5$
theory [2] 218/23 222/18
therapy [1] 49/25
there [124] 9/6 12/18 14/12 16/18 22/10 26/4 26/9 27/15 30/19 32/21 33/11 33/21 35/1 43/18 43/24 43/25 46/7 52/11 55/7 55/23 62/17 66/7 66/7 67/22 69/24 76/24 81/5 83/12 88/4 89/19 90/3 91/14 91/14 92/5 95/22 98/19 101/8 101/10 101/10 101/13 101/25 102/10 104/22 106/6 110/4 111/18 112/9 117/1 125/14 129/1 135/14 142/11 143/5 145/3 145/24 146/5 146/8 151/10 151/17 151/25 157/5 158/14 159/5 159/8 159/11 160/20 165/6 166/16 169/23 173/2 173/4 174/17 177/7 177/7 180/11 181/23 184/23 185/3 185/24 186/19 186/21 186/22 186/24 186/25 187/4 188/8 190/3 193/24 197/16 198/12 204/2 204/8 204/11 205/10 215/23 218/2 218/4 223/20 223/21 223/21 224/23 225/18 226/14 227/6 227/7 229/3 231/18 232/14 233/7 233/17 233/23 234/4 234/18 238/21 242/2 246/10 247/7 247/20 247/20 248/22 250/22 251/25 252/20 253/3 there'll [3] 138/1 192/23 193/6
there's [39] 16/2 26/9 36/4 38/19 41/23 63/7 70/1 78/6 78/8 84/15 106/9 110/10 111/1 122/20 124/18 127/3 132/1 137/3 152/10 152/24 163/15 167/11 176/21 185/16 188/18 190/8 190/13 191/12 196/23 199/19 208/25 209/9 210/8 215/5 220/18 221/13 221/21 247/6 253/5
thereafter [1] 256/11
these [23] 7/12 7/16 29/18 34/9 34/17 42/12 54/20 55/1 78/14 104/14 125/12 135/18 154/13 161/10 169/13 170/1 173/1 174/12 179/23 180/22 193/17 234/22 248/8 they [168] 5/19 23/7 23/11 26/14 30/23 30/24 30/25 35/15 35/16 35/16 36/17 37/22 37/22 37/25 39/13 39/16 54/15 54/23 63/23 64/7 64/13 66/23 67/5 67/5 67/6 68/11 69/1 71/15 74/6 74/6 78/11 78/12 81/17 81/17 84/18 84/18 85/13 87/2 87/3 87/7 87/11 87/11 87/21 88/5 88/7 88/9 91/2 91/13 92/14 93/9 94/10 95/9 97/10 97/11 97/15 97/19 100/18 100/22 101/2 101/3 101/4 103/23 107/5 116/1 118/12 118/13 119/8 120/6 120/25 121/2 121/8 121/20 122/5 122/5 122/20 122/22 122/25 123/16 123/16 123/17 123/22 125/6 125/6 128/7 129/2 131/14 131/23 137/8 138/5 139/8 140/24 141/2 154/4 154/17 158/16 159/1 159/22 160/25 161/1 161/20 161/21 163/24 164/18 168/10 171/1 171/2 171/4 171/23 172/2 172/10 173/14 173/15 173/15 173/22 173/23 174/3 174/15 179/3 179/17 179/19 181/16 181/25 181/25 181/25 182/4 187/21 196/4 196/4 196/4 196/5 196/7 196/8 196/9 197/23 199/2 200/9 205/2 205/4 206/10 206/11 206/13 208/17 214/4 214/5 218/5 218/5 219/23 220/8 227/8 232/1 234/22 234/25 237/12 241/19 242/17 242/18 244/24 244/24 244/25

245/2 246/25 247/2 248/1 249/15 250/3 251/21 253/9 253/10
they'll [2] 128/1 171/24
they're [32] 7/20 17/17 29/11 35/17 42/20 54/24 63/20 63/21 63/21 64/12 67/6 72/5 81/16 87/15 87/15 87/20 101/5 104/23 112/19 113/5 115/24 120/23 122/19 122/22 141/17 148/3 161/22 193/25 218/4 225/19 251/5 251/5
they've [4] 66/13 67/10 86/13 230/6 thing [31] 25/20 45/5 46/10 47/16 50/8 52/13 53/15 59/9 65/9 67/21 91/10 91/16 91/21 113/15 113/18 135/12 141/24 152/7 156/23 164/19 167/9 169/18 174/2 177/6 178/7 180/6 181/22 182/2 237/6 249/3 252/21 things [37] 29/15 29/20 33/3 46/12 50/11 52/15 54/23 74/18 78/16 79/14 84/9 84/11 84/16 92/13 93/19 98/3 111/17 113/11 120/18 121/17 124/5 131/20 134/25 161/9 170/1 173/19 174/6 178/22 181/12 186/3 188/18 205/9 205/11 211/8 217/21 227/23 230/22
think [207] 5/14 9/23 10/5 10/9 11/18 12/25 13/1 15/2 15/6 15/7 15/21 15/23 26/2 27/1 28/14 29/11 29/17 29/24 30/19 30/25 31/1 31/7 32/16 32/21 34/18 35/1 35/5 36/1 36/1 36/21 36/23 37/3 37/7 37/25 38/6 39/16 39/19 40/17 40/19 40/21 41/1 49/7 57/20 61/5 61/15 61/25 63/3 63/7 63/12 63/17 68/23 70/5 76/17 77/10 79/10 79/14 80/20 81/8 81/12 81/24 82/11 83/15 83/15 83/19 83/22 86/21 86/21 87/7 87/11 87/15 87/20 88/9 88/21 90/4 90/6 90/10 91/11 91/15 92/5 92/7 92/8 92/10 92/14 92/16 92/23 93/3 93/14 93/18 94/10 95/8 96/4 96/7 96/9 96/12 98/1 98/14 99/5 99/7 99/19 100/8 100/16 100/21 101/5 101/24 101/24 102/23 112/7 112/13 114/21 115/25 120/14 120/21 120/25 121/1 121/2 121/3 121/3 121/12 122/8 122/16 122/19 122/25 123/12 126/21 127/7 134/24 135/16 136/2 136/6 137/10 138/8 138/9 138/13 140/24 141/2 141/16 142/10 142/18 144/14 144/22 145/7 145/8 146/15 147/24 149/14 149/19 150/5 151/7 151/11 152/7 152/10 163/21 165/7 168/21 168/23 175/9 180/19 181/23 181/25 184/7 184/18 186/11 187/21 187/22 188/25 190/9 190/21 191/12 197/4 199/15 199/24 201/10 203/23 204/18 205/10 205/11 205/16 205/19 208/19 208/19 209/7 209/12 217/15 219/21 219/25 220/8 221/14 221/21 222/13 223/4 226/25 235/2 235/11 236/2 236/17 238/10 242/7 244/14 244/20 245/21 247/9 249/1 249/4 249/7 250/24 252/21 254/19
thinking [5] 100/1 108/23 109/1 120/7 211/11
thinks [1] 150/10
this [319]
THOMAS [1] 1/16
those [48] 6/4 10/21 14/14 14/19 21/17 29/20
30/5 47/10 60/5 64/24 71/8 100/23 103/25 108/17 111/5 116/16 122/6 123/6 125/9 128/4 133/14 133/18 151/7 159/9 169/17 175/13 178/22 182/6 187/4 190/16 200/23 203/7 203/13 203/14 203/15 213/17 216/2 216/6 219/3 221/14 222/24 224/15 230/22 231/6 236/6 245/6 249/6 253/4 though [10] 14/24 75/16 84/22 89/2 100/13 112/9 147/22 150/13 170/4 176/24 thought [17] 5/23 45/23 50/24 56/7 57/6 57/17 83/13 85/7 96/5 103/1 114/10 148/6 151/19 164/18 188/22 222/10 239/24 thoughts [1] 97/25
three [36] 11/13 11/23 13/9 22/20 23/23 33/17 37/5 47/7 48/3 70/16 76/3 79/1 80/12 82/5 88/7 89/6 107/12 109/10 134/21 156/6 159/14 163/2 166/15 170/8 173/23 196/2 197/17 201/11 202/21 207/13 219/13 223/21 230/19 253/5 255/12 255/15
Three-and-a-half-months [1] 196/2 through [26] 21/3 24/20 27/18 31/21 32/11 33/22 37/22 39/2 52/11 52/19 65/8 116/5 132/18 134/19 146/23 159/2 159/18 160/23 171/2 176/20 197/5 197/21 215/24 226/12 230/18 246/1
throughout [2] 105/20 106/10
thrown [2] 56/24 57/4
tickets [2] 33/10 34/9
time [103] 5/1 6/5 6/7 8/2 15/15 19/10 19/12
19/13 20/3 24/8 30/8 34/8 34/9 36/15 40/12
43/24 43/25 45/18 46/2 49/3 49/22 50/3 50/9
51/6 51/22 52/11 56/6 61/12 69/21 71/20
76/4 76/25 79/8 83/2 97/3 97/7 97/8 102/7
102/16 102/22 102/25 105/7 108/9 110/10
110/25 111/2 121/9 126/19 127/13 130/16
130/25 132/10 132/11 133/4 133/23 137/9
139/6 140/20 146/24 149/14 151/2 151/24
157/6 157/7 157/10 157/10 157/16 157/17
158/14 158/16 158/21 160/1 166/9 166/9 166/13 169/11 169/13 169/15 169/17 169/21 174/19 179/25 182/14 184/13 189/16 191/14 193/13 195/19 195/21 196/6 197/2 197/5 201/1 201/19 228/5 231/24 233/21 239/2 240/6 242/20 255/3 255/11 255/14 time's [2] 69/19 215/12
times [12] 33/17 47/4 112/25 162/25 163/2 175/13 176/8 176/16 182/2 183/12 186/15 216/16
title [1] 131/14
today [53] 5/3 6/14 7/12 7/23 7/24 14/5
16/12 17/9 17/22 20/24 25/4 25/14 25/19
26/22 41/17 42/13 42/23 42/24 48/2 48/24
70/11 71/2 72/9 79/22 104/14 105/5 116/11
116/13 118/2 128/24 129/6 129/24 135/24
137/11 143/14 146/19 146/23 153/18 154/8
154/19 154/21 158/22 158/24 192/18 192/22
193/18 194/5 215/19 224/10 225/15 225/22
225/24 246/1
today's [3] 72/8 105/2 225/9
together [13] 45/4 57/21 58/4 121/15 123/24
124/6 131/9 131/24 162/25 163/1 199/2
199/2 227/20
token [1] 243/22
told [20] 38/11 93/2 93/23 93/23 99/6 99/8
116/4 116/6 142/10 144/22 146/9 168/5
178/9 186/6 207/7 210/3 210/4 211/14 213/19 245/22
Tom [10] 5/8 8/8 18/3 43/5 72/16 105/16 129/17 155/6 194/14 226/8
too [19] 24/17 36/17 41/2 52/16 66/7 79/11
85/5 90/4 113/25 159/13 176/8 180/18
198/15 210/21 220/11 220/12 232/5 239/1
239/5
took [10] 53/17 88/8 89/5 89/13 127/7 171/4
203/16 227/4 242/25 251/3
topic [2] 110/5 235/17
tossup [1] 101/18
touch [2] 136/25 164/7
tough [1] 198/9
tougher [1] 61/4
toughest [3] 60/18 143/24 247/4
Tow [1] 175/13
toward [1] 76/4
towards [2] 184/8 209/19

Tower [3] 195/23 197/8 197/18
town [3] 78/15 139/17 172/22
track [1] 20/13
tractors [1] 155/24
traffic [2] 31/11 34/15
trailer [1] 94/11
train [2] 67/23 74/3
training [4] 65/6 82/8 86/12 86/17
transcribed [1] 256/11
transcript [3] 2/1 256/8 256/12
transcription [1] 256/11
transferred [1] 44/8
transition [1] 172/5
travel [2] 46/2 46/4
traveling [1] 46/3
treat [4] 122/14 137/20 138/7 144/12
treated [5] 164/11 203/7 208/14 222/22
232/15
trial [43] 1/4 7/1 7/19 17/7 17/18 27/25 36/8
40/6 40/7 42/10 42/20 42/21 46/14 46/15
49/2 49/8 56/9 56/14 58/14 72/5 83/6 83/10
98/7 104/8 104/23 129/2 137/15 144/4
146/24 154/15 164/24 189/6 193/4 193/14
193/16 193/24 203/15 203/18 204/2 225/5
226/13 237/16 246/2
trial's [1] 213/13
trials [3] 63/25 181/21 181/21
trick [2] 144/20 145/1
tricking [1] 145/2
tried [1] 119/24
trigger [2] 212/21 213/4
trip [2] 212/21 213/4
tripped [1] 145/9
trouble [2] 19/15 207/7
truck [3] 32/11 138/6 175/19
trucks [2] 155/24 175/12
true [13] 48/1 61/21 107/23 116/11 121/6
184/2 185/4 185/5 208/1 219/8 222/14
246/25 256/12
truly [1] 249/11
truncation [1] 70/13
truth [18] 63/6 63/10 120/24 121/25 122/8
124/5 147/22 147/25 163/25 180/20 182/25
204/22 204/25 205/2 205/3 251/13 251/19
251/19
truthful [3] 121/16 121/20 208/17
truthfully [2] 148/12 205/21
truthfulness [3] 120/20 137/21 138/3
try [12] 6/1 30/13 59/4 125/7 125/15 140/22
140/23 158/12 158/21 179/2 226/25 250/12
trying [16] 34/11 61/18 77/6 96/2 100/10
109/8 119/11 187/1 199/24 205/16 206/16
216/11 221/7 243/6 245/18 248/18
tube [1] 111/2
Tuesday [2] 5/24 49/18
tune [1] 248/8
turn [5] 37/3 39/5 110/9 110/9 234/23
turned [2] 207/23 231/25
turning [1] 219/5
TV [20] 21/20 39/13 52/22 53/12 101/4 107/5 112/2 176/2 179/18 181/13 181/17
211/25 211/25 212/5 212/16 212/17 212/18 235/13 235/24 252/9
Twenty [7] 9/7 74/10 74/12 74/13 75/15 130/24 226/25
Twenty-eight [4] 74/12 74/13 75/15 226/25
Twenty-nine [1] 130/24
Twenty-one [1] 74/10
twice [1] 182/6
two [37] 44/8 51/7 52/10 70/15 74/18 78/8 79/8 107/12 107/12 113/15 131/20 132/14 133/6 137/1 140/12 151/7 165/11 165/11 166/9 169/11 169/13 170/10 173/23 174/18

185/6 187/4 202/21 204/11 208/6
231/13 231/14 231/15 231/15 246/10 252/15 255/12
tying [1] 45/3
type [18] 7/4 20/20 29/6 44/24 113/17
130/13 155/23 157/20 158/14 164/19 165/18
170/3 172/13 174/2 180/22 182/1 198/25 236/3
types [2] 161/9 170/2
U
U.S. [1] 47/18
U.S. World [1] 47/18
ugliness [1] 54/18
ugly [2] 54/20 110/24
uh [803]
Uh-hmm [1] 199/9
Uh-huh [6] 13/3 13/5 44/16 166/23 240/4
241/7
um [702]
um-hmm [61] 9/16 10/16 10/25 13/17 51/11
53/2 53/17 54/5 54/14 57/22 57/24 58/9
60/10 62/3 62/23 63/3 63/5 63/17 64/4 64/6
65/15 65/19 66/15 66/21 67/7 67/15 68/1
114/12 120/17 121/10 122/1 124/14 125/4
131/12 132/20 132/23 137/23 139/21 140/17
141/1 145/16 146/2 156/20 157/3 158/4
160/13 160/16 163/18 164/12 167/14 168/8
168/16 174/7 175/14 187/19 239/17 242/10
243/9 244/16 247/24 248/4
un [2] 217/5 243/10
unbroken [1] 67/23
uncomfortable [2] 69/17 209/15
unconvinced [1] 220/10
undecided [3] 39/21 39/22 93/22
under [26] 38/1 63/10 66/18 79/17 86/24
87/16 89/19 90/12 95/13 99/16 122/21
122/24 123/15 144/6 147/16 147/18 147/25
148/1 164/15 183/5 205/14 206/4 206/8
214/6 246/13 251/13
understand [31] 14/11 14/24 25/21 28/2
28/6 49/14 60/25 66/16 71/9 77/7 98/16
107/8 136/14 137/22 145/3 167/15 168/2
174/23 187/13 187/14 194/24 198/16 209/20
213/25 215/22 217/15 220/19 221/25 233/7
247/20 253/12
understanding [6] 30/6 53/8 57/12 116/18
134/17 188/23
undertaking [1] 70/18
unduly [1] $217 / 5$
unemployment [2] 196/14 196/17
unfairly [1] 232/15
unfamiliar [1] 242/23
unfavorably [1] 254/1
unhappy [3] 92/9 92/14 92/23
uniform [1] 62/22
Unintelligible [1] 56/5
union [14] 74/19 74/25 75/9 75/12 75/13
75/14 75/18 75/21 76/1 76/8 242/5 242/8
242/9 250/17
unique [1] 111/19
units [1] 45/3
unless [7] 49/4 82/14 136/16 167/18 176/21
180/23 243/10
unrealistic [1] 30/17
unsuited [1] 15/24
until [25] 7/9 27/3 28/22 49/4 58/14 71/20
82/15 98/21 116/19 117/22 123/23 124/5
136/16 147/2 167/18 188/5 191/11 193/13
197/17 225/6 233/19 240/16 240/17 246/2
246/6
untruthful [1] 147/21
untruthfulness [1] 120/20
untruths [1] 121/18
up [84] 8/14 9/13 12/8 16/15 18/15 19/13 29/13 41/21 43/12 44/8 51/17 52/19 61/18 67/12 69/19 71/6 72/24 76/21 84/9 85/13 88/8 88/11 89/8 89/11 93/9 102/20 103/23 107/4 110/24 111/1 111/15 111/17 116/1 118/13 121/16 121/18 121/18 121/19 122/13 125/14 125/17 126/1 128/1 145/9 145/19 146/24 148/18 148/24 150/3 152/1 156/4 157/22 164/1 173/2 176/11 180/1 182/5
183/25 190/4 190/5 191/19 192/3 192/23 196/11 198/13 198/15 200/10 207/6 213/19
215/23 219/20 219/20 226/15 233/16 236/17 236/23 240/15 240/17 242/16 246/2 246/6
250/16 252/7 252/7
updated [1] 172/10
upon [3] 12/25 133/15 211/4
upright [1] 199/10
ups [1] 153/23
uptake [1] 49/21
us [45] 8/17 11/24 12/4 29/11 32/8 33/6 36/14 43/11 44/5 46/12 48/3 57/18 57/20 73/4 74/2 74/18 78/2 78/4 78/12 81/2 115/7 116/4 116/6 126/1 129/24 143/15 158/5 168/5 168/6 195/2 196/6 196/9 197/25 200/23 207/8 209/3 211/14 213/20 217/17 217/18 218/3 219/5 233/20 239/3 253/5 use [8] 78/19 78/20 104/18 128/23 161/4 195/21 220/21 240/6 used [6] 51/20 73/8 86/13 140/25 171/2 216/15
using [2] 71/25 195/19
usually [7] 39/1 39/4 156/17 159/13 169/24 243/19 253/5
v
vacation [1] 77/14
Valders [3] 229/12 240/15 254/19
Valley [1] 170/12
values [1] 61/2
$\operatorname{van}[3]$ 12/8 12/20 12/21
variations [2] 66/7 66/8
variety [5] 21/10 46/18 147/9 247/7 247/21
various [2] 47/1 80/3
vast [2] 46/20 46/22
vehicle [1] 78/20
vehicles [2] 175/12 175/18
vendetta [1] 221/11
verbal [2] 58/19 129/11
verdict [6] 83/16 92/1 92/15 92/21 146/25 252/18
verify [1] 6/1
vertebras [1] 79/1
very [66] 8/21 8/24 8/24 9/5 9/24 29/8 29/12
34/21 47/13 47/14 48/1 53/10 65/13 75/13
80/25 91/20 92/11 98/2 100/18 108/9 110/2
110/3 114/18 115/2 116/12 118/14 119/6 119/23 124/22 126/16 129/12 130/22 132/8 133/9 133/10 138/20 138/20 143/2 146/25 149/15 150/25 155/1 164/24 165/23 166/2 166/5 166/8 166/11 176/21 176/21 187/18 189/8 190/15 191/22 192/2 197/7 206/20 207/12 216/14 217/15 218/7 219/3 232/22 239/11 244/16 252/22 veterinarian [2] 118/22 119/2 vial [8] 23/24 68/7 68/10 95/19 119/21 178/15 252/5 252/5
victim [1] 230/8
videotape [1] 185/12
view [6] 58/3 77/7 93/11 93/13 112/22 141/12

Vintage [1] 175/12
violate [1] 147/20
violated [2] 55/11 55/12
virtually [1] 222/13
visit [1] 46/9
vocation [1] 147/16
voicemail [1] 196/8
voir $\left[\begin{array}{llllll}60 & 1 / 5 & 3 / 4 & 3 / 7 & 3 / 8 & 3 / 9 \\ 3 / 12 & 3 / 13 & 3 / 15\end{array}\right.$ 3/16 3/19 3/20 3/22 3/24 4/2 4/3 4/5 4/6 4/9 4/10 4/11 5/14 6/15 7/14 8/4 16/13 17/10 18/1 37/15 38/7 39/10 41/18 43/1 50/18 70/13 70/17 71/4 71/23 72/12 84/3 103/19
104/16 105/11 117/13 127/21 129/13 138/22 149/24 153/20 154/10 155/2 169/4 192/19
194/10 215/14 224/11 225/10 226/2 238/17 253/17 254/17
volunteer [15] 51/21 74/18 75/11 75/12
77/11 79/3 79/20 84/12 84/16 84/24 85/10 85/17 91/20 92/9 133/18
volunteering [1] 91/14
volunteers [1] 78/4
vote [1] 215/8
W
wait [1] 233/19
waited [1] 70/10
waiting [4] 34/1 34/10 153/2 239/3
waive [1] 220/12
waivers [1] 147/2
Wal [1] 199/3
Wal-Mart [1] 199/3
walk [4] 37/4 173/23 240/7 246/7
walked [2] 183/25 207/11
walking [2] 85/1 244/17
want [43] 6/21 6/23 10/10 14/14 54/8 60/22 61/21 61/22 63/24 67/22 69/7 69/9 69/12 71/9 79/4 101/16 101/16 101/18 101/19 103/25 123/7 125/6 126/10 131/14 134/16 141/8 144/16 164/7 187/14 188/6 195/2 198/15 200/24 210/5 218/17 220/20 221/16 223/12 223/12 237/25 240/7 249/12 249/22 wanted [15] 40/7 72/23 73/3 84/14 84/18 85/6 102/20 136/24 137/5 155/13 174/18 176/22 206/25 207/5 241/23
wanting [1] 38/20
War [4] 131/22 131/25 132/22 133/12
WARDMAN [7] 3/14 70/9 70/21 70/25
72/14 102/8 102/14
warranted [1] 103/2
was [327]
Washington [6] 132/10 139/14 139/22 139/23 140/14 156/12
wasn't [22] 34/6 77/1 88/5 101/10 117/4
118/23 121/12 121/16 135/14 149/4 172/14
173/18 174/3 174/19 179/15 180/1 182/8
205/20 205/23 232/21 242/25 250/8
watch [42] 10/14 11/2 11/6 21/24 22/1 29/2
36/7 39/12 52/24 74/3 93/24 94/19 100/15
106/23 109/12 109/23 110/2 110/9 110/13
110/14 110/21 110/23 110/23 134/2 134/6 135/1 166/2 166/8 166/11 176/5 176/8 179/24 181/20 181/21 182/6 189/9 212/12 212/12 212/19 247/23 248/7 248/20 watched [2] 166/7 212/3
watches [7] 211/25 211/25 212/1 212/2 212/5 212/13 212/17
watching [6] 52/22 166/12 166/18 211/6 212/4 212/10
water [1] 126/22
Waukesha [1] 198/11
way [64] 12/8 12/21 17/19 20/8 27/17 35/15
36/18 48/21 61/24 78/24 90/17 94/6 96/16 99/19 100/1 100/13 102/1 103/5 104/24
| $109 / 6$ 112/4 112/18 120/2 122/15 122/16
122/20 124/13 124/19 125/21 128/16 129/4
141/15 141/16 146/14 148/18 149/8 149/17
154/16 156/15 157/9 158/9 159/3 164/1
171/8 179/22 189/4 205/9 206/10 207/9
208/24 215/6 218/6 228/25 230/1 232/24
234/22 236/2 239/5 243/15 244/3 244/3
252/2 252/25 253/10
ways [1] 191/5
we [152] 5/3 5/13 6/20 14/14 18/12 18/15 27/23 28/4 28/5 34/5 34/20 36/23 39/4 39/4 39/5 40/21 41/22 42/4 43/14 46/6 46/9 46/9 51/22 54/4 57/19 59/3 59/5 59/7 59/8 59/8 60/1 60/1 60/7 60/13 63/24 70/5 70/8 71/8 72/23 72/23 72/25 76/24 76/25 77/13 77/13 78/4 78/5 78/11 84/8 99/1 102/19 103/25 104/5 106/24 107/3 110/2 110/9 110/11 110/12 110/12 110/21 111/2 113/14 116/2 116/16 117/25 118/2 121/13 128/4 133/5 134/16 134/16 135/17 137/5 143/11 143/20 150/14 152/1 152/12 153/6 154/1 157/23 158/13 158/13 159/22 160/23 160/25 161/1 162/25 163/1 163/19 168/5 168/17 170/13 171/4 171/23 171/23 173/20 179/1 182/15 187/9 190/4 190/9 190/10 190/14 190/17 190/21 190/21 190/22 191/19 191/24 192/5 193/1 193/21 194/21 198/11 199/2 206/24 207/1 207/6 207/7 207/9 207/10 207/10 207/11 207/17 207/17 209/25 209/25 210/1 212/15 213/20 214/13 216/10 217/18 218/3 218/17 218/19 219/4 220/17 221/16 223/17 224/18 226/16 230/20 235/2 241/25 245/4 245/20 246/12 246/13 246/20
we'd [7] 46/13 123/2 135/21 159/19 182/17 213/24 220/5
we'll [15] 6/3 11/22 15/16 41/8 69/21 102/6 102/15 127/10 128/10 151/1 151/24 175/9 191/20 191/24 255/10
we're [37] 6/14 8/14 16/12 17/1 19/14 28/1
28/18 41/17 59/24 68/4 71/2 103/17 127/19 135/18 139/2 139/2 143/12 143/12 153/18 163/1 169/9 190/2 190/20 191/23 192/9 192/18 193/5 199/15 215/17 218/16 218/22 223/18 224/10 229/22 233/14 243/23 246/12 we've [3] 110/11 161/8 191/12
weaknesses [1] 148/13
weapon [1] 77/22
wearing [1] 64/13
week [23] 8/16 18/14 21/4 43/13 72/25 77/17 79/24 114/6 136/4 137/2 155/15 156/16 163/1 163/2 168/6 170/13 186/5 194/23
211/16 216/16 224/10 237/16 241/16
weekend [1] 230/15
weekends [2] 134/14 170/19
weekly [1] 130/5
weeks [25] 10/2 11/13 11/24 12/6 12/7 13/10
20/23 22/20 23/23 28/10 39/23 40/5 48/3
68/5 68/5 68/6 109/10 113/15 134/21 137/4
164/24 166/15 196/25 217/19 219/11
weigh [7] 62/7 63/14 184/12 185/23 186/3 189/10 246/18
weighing [1] 250/25
weight [3] 116/24 238/10 254/8
well [140] 11/22 11/24 12/2 12/4 13/12 14/11
18/8 20/16 21/6 21/17 22/19 22/20 23/6 24/24 26/7 26/9 27/18 30/11 30/22 33/3 33/6 34/25 35/9 36/21 38/17 45/16 45/22 46/11 51/13 53/6 61/13 61/16 67/16 75/4 75/6 76/24 78/2 79/17 80/9 80/25 82/11 87/5 92/17 93/14 93/18 94/17 94/20 95/15 99/14 100/12 108/23 109/1 117/24 118/4 118/23 119/23 121/4 123/21 124/2 126/2 129/12 131/19 133/10 133/10 133/20 135/17 136/3
well... [73] 136/25 138/19 140/3 140/22 140/23 141/10 141/17 142/16 145/14 146/5 149/9 150/25 155/1 158/5 162/18 164/24 165/4 174/3 174/4 174/16 175/9 176/1 177/24 179/7 180/9 181/5 185/8 186/14 187/8 188/8 188/24 189/13 190/15 190/24 191/9 192/1 192/4 197/25 199/14 200/25 205/13 205/21 206/20 208/14 208/25 210/16 211/2 211/16 211/23 212/3 214/2 214/13 214/22 219/3 221/24 223/18 223/22 223/25 231/7 232/17 232/21 234/24 239/18 240/3 242/8 242/12 243/8 244/6 244/8 248/17 249/4 250/13 252/25
went [19] 77/14 87/25 88/1 109/4 118/24 139/19 170/12 177/12 178/3 180/18 198/12 205/9 205/10 205/11 207/1 207/9 207/14 215/24 227/20
were [135] 9/24 10/1 20/22 22/19 22/22 23/3 24/21 32/6 35/1 35/22 36/9 38/9 43/18 44/13 44/25 49/9 51/8 51/17 52/18 52/18 61/15 64/22 68/11 69/1 73/1 73/22 74/6 74/7 74/13 75/14 75/17 76/1 76/1 76/10 76/10 76/21 76/23 77/2 81/2 82/13 88/15 89/4 97/10 97/11 97/15 97/20 118/12 125/17 130/1 130/25 134/23 137/2 137/2 137/8 137/25 138/5 139/7 141/2 149/12 157/15 157/19 158/3 159/7 159/9 159/16 159/18 159/25 160/1 160/14 161/1 161/10 163/20 163/24 164/9 165/8 166/21 173/1 173/4 173/4 173/14 173/14 173/15 173/22 174/12 174/15 174/18 179/9 179/11 179/13 179/17 179/19 181/4 182/21 191/1 195/22 196/1 196/3 196/22 197/8 197/19 200/6 201/19 202/7 203/7 204/12 204/19 205/2 205/3 205/14 205/24 206/1 206/2 206/4 206/5 206/7 206/13 206/21 206/24 207/19 208/4 208/8 208/14 208/17 211/3 214/4 214/6 214/22 216/7 220/25 223/20 228/2 230/20 242/17 242/23 250/3
weren't [5] 32/23 121/20 161/1 205/7 240/8 western [2] 139/22 140/14
what [261]
what's [13] 26/13 36/20 55/10 60/9 93/11
96/5 130/19 196/11 196/11 198/19 198/21 212/24 217/7
whatever [19] 12/4 40/6 57/23 59/7 123/22
138/18 157/22 166/10 179/18 188/4 191/4
212/13 213/19 216/23 217/3 238/10 252/18 253/12 253/14
whatsoever [2] 25/7 113/6
when [89] 7/25 13/20 17/23 21/17 24/12
30/13 36/12 38/24 38/24 39/12 39/12 39/12
42/24 51/17 51/17 55/2 57/4 57/20 62/24
68/16 68/21 72/10 77/22 78/24 87/24 87/25 89/4 95/25 96/23 105/3 108/21 115/25 116/1 116/20 119/15 120/5 120/19 122/5 125/7 129/7 146/21 148/20 154/22 157/19 158/2 158/19 159/7 159/15 165/16 166/6 166/6 167/24 168/5 174/17 175/22 176/13 176/24 177/3 179/8 179/22 180/12 182/4 182/18 194/5 200/3 201/19 205/9 205/10 206/1 206/4 206/13 223/21 225/24 230/20 236/12 236/12 242/16 242/16 242/16 246/2 246/2 246/15 246/16 247/2 249/1 250/1 250/11 252/19 253/7
where [48] 10/21 21/18 33/3 38/25 46/20 52/18 78/13 87/24 91/2 106/19 107/20 111/16 113/22 133/24 139/19 148/6 148/24 163/1 171/3 172/23 173/22 174/1 177/10 177/10 179/17 179/19 180/11 181/24 182/24 186/20 188/3 195/22 197/2 197/8 197/8

197/19 200/24 203/19 205/19 205/20 206/21 212/17 214/3 228/18 239/23 243/17 247/6 249/17
Wherein [9] 15/18 40/13 69/23 102/9 126/24 150/19 189/17 218/11 255/4
wherever [2] 22/8 53/13
whether [52] 17/3 20/19 35/17 39/14 42/6 48/25 54/6 60/8 60/11 60/18 62/8 64/9 67/10 95/21 96/19 101/13 104/8 122/7 124/12 124/15 124/16 128/12 135/24 136/6 136/12 138/5 144/1 144/13 144/24 145/17 146/13 148/8 163/24 165/2 167/2 181/1 182/9 182/12 185/15 189/5 189/11 190/25 192/4 205/14 209/4 213/9 218/17 224/25 235/3 245/8 247/12 249/1
which [43] 6/5 6/15 16/13 41/9 41/18 46/14 55/23 71/3 71/5 78/13 96/1 103/18 106/24 108/5 112/6 127/11 127/20 132/3 142/16
146/17 146/17 153/19 157/22 159/11 162/23 164/11 167/3 171/14 177/10 189/11 189/11 190/17 192/19 203/21 203/25 211/2 213/10 224/11 229/14 230/7 231/19 235/20 254/4 while [18] 7/18 13/23 17/17 33/10 33/20 42/19 68/4 72/4 80/1 104/21 106/25 110/12 119/13 129/1 172/3 181/16 182/21 248/9 white [2] 64/3 212/17
who [46] 28/16 30/13 31/1 31/24 33/7 55/24 57/10 58/15 62/17 63/16 70/9 78/6 78/14 85/11 111/9 128/5 133/21 145/4 147/7 147/15 148/4 154/3 154/17 159/9 161/14 162/7 162/18 162/18 177/9 186/17 190/21 192/4 203/22 215/22 221/2 226/7 236/18 249/19 250/22 251/3 251/16 251/18 251/21 252/21 252/22 254/4
who's [9] 63/4 63/4 83/21 111/8 152/6 153/1 220/11 221/13 221/15
whoever [1] 209/16
whole [12] 67/24 67/24 131/3 149/16 168/3 188/18 205/20 205/20 211/1 213/2 246/1 247/7
whose [2] 160/25 244/12
why [54] 14/22 27/8 29/11 36/14 38/16 53/8 56/25 58/6 60/23 61/5 61/12 67/4 69/12 69/14 70/7 84/13 84/16 84/19 86/20 87/1 87/20 92/25 93/16 95/12 98/19 101/21 103/7 118/19 125/13 125/17 127/9 140/22 144/17 144/20 145/3 146/3 162/1 162/17 165/7 172/20 180/3 185/6 188/9 189/3 196/3 213/12 220/19 221/25 227/12 228/12 238/3 244/6 247/21 253/12
Wichlacz [2] 192/8 223/16
Wiegert [2] 229/18 254/22
wife [13] 48/13 51/24 54/1 54/2 119/5
196/19 197/1 210/2 211/14 212/23 213/6 216/13 217/21
will [120] 5/4 6/1 6/8 6/17 6/23 6/24 7/2 7/6 7/25 8/3 16/4 16/7 16/15 16/21 16/22 25/17 25/23 26/25 28/11 35/9 35/9 35/13 35/13 36/7 36/19 40/11 41/8 41/11 41/20 41/25 42/1 42/4 42/8 49/19 59/3 60/13 62/21 62/21 69/9 69/10 70/12 70/22 71/4 71/6 71/10 71/11 71/14 71/18 81/5 99/15 99/25 100/4 100/8 100/12 102/15 103/11 103/21 103/23 104/1 104/3 104/5 104/10 105/4 105/8 110/2 110/5 119/13 122/2 124/10 126/20 127/14 127/23 128/6 128/7 128/15 137/14 143/2 143/6 143/20 147/8 150/17 151/1 152/18 153/20 153/23 154/3 154/4 154/15 171/7 189/15 190/25 191/6 192/2 192/13 192/21 193/5 209/13 209/16 218/10 220/21 224/5 224/12 224/15 224/20 224/21 224/23 225/3 226/7 244/20 246/14 246/21 247/1 247/10 250/23 250/24 251/11 253/14 254/12 255/2

255/10
willing [6] 15/12 62/25 67/9 67/16 217/25 220/12
WILLIS [2] 1/11 244/20
wind [2] 182/4 191/19
winters [3] 140/23 140/24 141/2
WISCONSIN [21] $1 / 11 / 31 / 151 / 171 / 19$
5/2 8/9 18/4 43/6 72/17 129/18 140/22
142/12 148/17 155/7 156/12 160/24 171/9 194/15 256/1 256/6
wisely [2] 195/19 195/21
wish [2] 16/18 41/24
wished [1] 152/1
Withdrawing [1] 95/2 within [4] 103/6 113/23 142/15 182/22 without [3] 116/19 135/19 146/16 withstanding [1] 220/1
witness [45] 35/4 37/12 38/3 60/19 62/5 63/2 63/4 63/16 63/20 63/20 64/2 64/2 64/12 70/14 81/10 81/14 81/22 87/9 87/13 90/21 98/13 100/6 100/13 120/22 121/5 121/7 121/25 122/13 122/15 144/13 145/5 147/15 148/4 152/6 163/23 164/5 174/24 188/20 247/4 251/3 251/11 251/12 252/1 253/24 253/25
witnesses [24] 35/12 35/14 35/20 60/2 60/5 60/8 60/14 60/14 60/16 62/17 64/18 122/4 125/12 125/17 126/1 137/14 137/18 137/20 152/21 204/13 246/14 246/20 246/21 247/1 witnesses' [1] 238/9
woman [7] 55/2 55/11 55/17 85/20 216/18 241/3 241/4
won't [5] 59/6 80/24 143/13 144/20 211/10 wondered [1] 69/1
wondering [4] 69/3 69/5 118/19 124/10 word [3] 40/22 113/24 168/19
words [18] 26/20 35/19 45/6 81/12 91/13
99/19 112/4 113/23 136/11 137/19 167/9
171/24 185/6 185/25 188/10 195/16 220/7 236/2
work [69] 9/22 18/18 19/9 19/10 19/11 19/12 20/3 20/9 22/7 44/25 51/21 73/13 74/11 74/18 74/20 75/11 75/12 76/8 76/20 76/22 77/12 78/2 79/3 79/20 80/1 80/23 84/12 85/18 92/12 93/6 102/2 105/23 107/20 110/25 118/20 130/1 130/21 143/8 156/8 156/24 156/25 157/1 157/4 159/3 159/25 160/2 161/4 165/13 165/21 167/16 170/11 172/13 175/16 175/17 175/20 175/21 176/12 185/24 197/16 198/3 198/10 216/11 226/18 233/12 251/17 252/15 252/19 252/25 253/3 worked [9] 9/14 9/18 18/25 73/15 156/18 161/13 172/11 197/12 226/24
worker [2] 113/17 118/16
workers [1] 199/7
working [10] 22/8 64/23 106/22 107/8 155/19 165/19 166/8 172/7 195/11 197/7 workout [1] 252/10
works [3] 191/5 196/19 226/10
world [8] 30/7 31/2 31/3 47/18 107/1 107/3 107/5 107/7
worried [1] 145/9
worry [2] 185/4 187/25
worse [2] 174/22 183/20
worth [1] 244/19
Worthington [6] 226/19 227/4 227/7 227/7 252/16 253/1
would [269]
wouldn't [19] 10/3 27/9 28/20 33/20 35/20
52/14 54/8 58/9 61/24 91/11 123/1 123/21
124/1 125/16 131/23 136/2 137/6 164/3
254/10
wound [1] 50/10

## W

writer [1] 131/17
writing [2] 131/3 131/6
written [11] 16/11 41/16 71/1 103/16 127/18
153/17 153/24 192/17 192/25 224/9 224/17
wrong [17] 34/12 34/13 93/15 97/10 97/11
97/15 117/22 175/2 182/2 186/1 205/5
206/11 207/22 216/7 217/9 236/18 244/7
wrongful [2] 180/10 236/24
wrongfully [4] 236/15 237/4 237/13 249/2
wrongly [4] 97/1 97/6 248/23 250/14
Y
yard [4] 12/9 13/25 180/8 195/25
yeah [96] 12/18 27/7 34/1 34/5 34/13 39/18
45/20 45/21 45/25 46/24 48/9 51/4 51/20
52/11 54/4 59/10 59/13 60/12 60/17 61/14
61/22 62/10 62/16 63/3 63/17 64/8 64/20 65/13 65/14 66/4 66/5 66/6 67/8 68/3 68/18 69/6 69/11 73/10 73/12 76/25 85/5 85/17 86/5 86/16 86/19 86/23 86/25 87/6 87/17 87/23 88/2 88/5 89/3 89/7 89/13 89/25 90/14 90/24 94/17 96/4 96/4 97/9 97/10 97/22 101/2 101/20 118/19 120/10 130/23 140/2 140/7 156/15 158/24 160/16 164/1 165/21 171/12 175/18 177/1 180/1 201/8 204/18 204/18 210/19 210/19 210/20 211/19 212/15 214/8 224/2 231/25 238/25 241/22 242/15 248/14 249/5
year [19] 24/9 24/20 31/16 51/9 51/10 78/23 108/8 139/13 162/25 163/2 167/1 169/21 177/3 198/11 201/23 232/6 241/1 241/2 243/23
year-and-a-half [1] 139/13
years [55] 9/7 9/23 18/24 19/10 43/18 44/14
44/15 45/9 45/11 45/12 47/20 51/14 56/21
74/9 74/10 74/13 75/15 76/3 80/20 88/19
106/13 106/15 106/16 117/21 130/24 132/14
140/13 140/15 141/4 148/17 156/10 157/5
157/6 157/6 157/16 159/12 160/14 162/7
170/8 170/10 198/4 200/2 203/4 204/6
206/18 208/9 208/20 226/25 227/6 231/23
232/10 234/12 236/15 244/19 250/15
Yep [3] 132/17 201/25 207/20
yes [323]
yesterday [5] 5/15 70/11 73/1 102/24 151/16 yet [6] 35/23 189/23 214/14 223/19 223/24 239/10
you [1519]
you'd [31] 23/11 31/1 36/2 36/21 36/24 37/3
38/13 38/13 61/20 67/16 81/12 101/5 101/7 101/25 114/23 115/3 115/10 116/9 123/2 168/11 168/18 184/20 186/14 186/14 197/4 214/19 238/1 238/7 238/8 244/10 253/24 you'll [18] 7/23 17/22 21/2 42/23 72/8 105/2 129/6 137/16 142/10 144/21 146/9 147/10 154/20 188/15 194/4 225/22 233/19 250/19 you're [71] 8/25 9/8 22/8 27/9 33/2 35/10 38/18 43/15 47/9 55/23 67/19 73/7 75/7 77/18 77/21 77/22 79/21 89/4 91/14 107/8 115/7 120/14 120/19 120/19 121/22 121/23 121/23 122/2 123/7 125/20 126/10 132/9 132/15 133/11 138/18 148/11 148/16 155/18 155/19 162/9 167/11 168/9 173/21 174/3 174/4 178/18 180/25 180/25 182/3 186/14 186/16 195/1 195/11 197/2 211/11 213/25 214/10 214/12 215/23 216/11 217/3 217/4 217/25 225/17 235/9 239/5 239/10 239/11 244/16 244/17 254/7
you've [49] 6/12 9/25 13/22 16/10 19/16 41/15 48/3 53/12 70/25 96/8 103/15 106/13 109/20 112/1 116/4 116/6 119/10 119/11

119/23 119/25 124/7 127/17 147/11 148/8 153/16 156/14 156/18 182/9 186/15 192/16 198/1 200/16 201/10 201/16 202/20 212/22 214/2 216/9 216/10 224/8 227/1 229/21 235/12 235/23 235/23 235/24 236/5 245/21 246/6
young [10] 53/1 53/3 55/2 55/11 55/17
220/23 221/12 241/2 241/3 241/4
youngest [5] 231/20 231/21 233/17 233/23 240/25
your [240] 5/6 5/10 6/8 6/18 8/18 10/7 10/17 10/19 10/22 12/15 12/24 13/16 14/4 14/19 15/12 15/14 16/6 16/17 20/9 20/17 20/19 21/9 21/18 24/6 25/3 25/13 26/5 26/8 27/18 30/6 31/4 31/6 31/14 34/17 36/4 36/24 40/16 41/10 43/13 43/14 43/24 43/25 45/17 46/2 46/17 46/21 47/21 47/22 48/19 49/14 50/17 51/17 51/17 51/24 54/1 54/2 57/3 57/16 61/12 64/21 69/4 69/20 70/13 70/20 70/21 71/7 71/25 73/8 76/4 76/5 76/11 76/20 78/9 78/20 79/5 79/5 79/13 80/15 80/22 81/3 81/20 82/24 83/12 83/21 83/22 84/12 85/2 85/2 85/3 85/22 89/8 89/22 90/1 91/14 92/1 92/1 92/17 92/23 93/11 96/5 97/6 98/4 98/15 98/25 100/18 102/13 103/10 103/22 103/24 106/20 107/14 108/17 109/20 112/15 113/7 115/2 115/17 115/21 115/21 116/4 116/6 117/17 118/5 118/5 118/7 118/20 124/17 124/17 126/3 127/13 127/25 128/2 129/25 130/1 133/11 133/13 133/23 133/25 134/9 135/21 137/11 139/8 139/9 139/17 140/14 141/8 141/12 145/13 146/19 147/2 147/11 148/9 149/12 150/1 150/7 150/23 153/8 153/14 153/22 153/24 155/15 155/16 157/20 157/24 159/24 160/1 160/10 160/11 164/20 165/12 165/25 167/12 167/15 169/12 170/21 170/22 173/11 173/16 173/19 173/20 174/4 175/23 176/1 176/14 182/11 182/22 182/22 184/4 192/13 192/22 192/24 193/15 194/22 195/11 195/12 196/19 196/24 197/1 200/11 203/1 203/6 203/22 204/6 204/12 205/22 208/3 208/5 209/6 211/14 211/15 212/23 214/3 215/19 215/25 215/25 218/1 224/4 224/14 224/16 226/15 226/16 228/19 229/20 229/22 230/2 231/10 231/10 231/21 232/15 233/16 233/23 234/16 237/20 241/7 241/8 242/5 248/22 252/18 254/2 255/8
yours [2] 131/21 165/1
yourself [9] 19/25 77/8 113/10 113/12
119/12 165/14 173/13 179/22 235/6
$\mathbf{Z}$
zero [1] 28/19
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(Continued proceedings reported by Diane Tesheneck.)
THE COURT: All right. At this time we're back on the record without any jurors present in the courtroom. During the break, counsel informed me they were -- they would be talking about one juror for which there may be a motion.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Right. There may be one other one that may roll into that, may be able to take care of two here.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Apparently, your Honor, the parties have reached an agreement that two of the upcoming jurors will be excused for cause. That would be Juror No. 77 and Juror No. 78. Juror No. 77 for statutory and objective bias reasons and Juror No. 78 for subjective bias, based on the answers to the questionnaire on Juror No. 78. And on Juror No. 77, based on status of current arrest history.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, is that correct?

ATTORNEY STRANG: It is a joint motion as counsel said.

THE COURT: All right. Based on my review of the questionnaires in each of those cases, $I$
believe there's a basis for the party's motion. So the Court will order that Jurors 77 and 78 be excused for cause.

Janet, are there any other jurors up till this point, in the number order, that have not been called, but have not been excused either? Were there any other jurors unaccounted for, either questioned and excused or questioned and accepted, that are open?

THE CLERK: No, not that I'm aware of.
THE COURT: Very well, then we'll bring in Mr. Wichlacz. Just a second, Linda, hold on. Yes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: The parties have been talking about the Juror No. 8, I think it was, from Monday.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Has developed a recent issue regarding her potential hardship.

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: We thought before she became No. 30 we should deal with that issue so that there isn't a question as to --

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That we did have somebody in abeyance, if you will.

THE COURT: Yes, this is --Well, the 8th juror selected, Juror No. 13 on the list.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: I can indicate for the parties that this is Mary Whalen, that she was originally, I believe on the first day --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, she was the last one seated on Monday.

THE COURT: Yes. Seated on Monday. Indicated at the time that she initially claimed hardship for a couple of reasons, financial and also transportation responsibilities with respect to her family. She, it turns out, is the sole source of transportation for her husband. And recognized, only after she left court, that while she could take him to court, there was no one to bring him home. And they live out in the country where there really wasn't anybody else to provide transportation. So she has requested that she be excused because of the hardship on her family.

Does either party have any objection to the Court granting her request?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang?
ATTORNEY STRANG: No, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. The Court, then, will excuse Ms Whalen. And, then, we will at this time move on to questioning Mr. Wichlacz, Juror 68.

ATTORNEY STRANG: As I have Mary Whalen, she's No. 13 not No. 8.

THE COURT: Well, she was the 8 th juror selected and No. 13 in the order.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sorry.
THE CLERK: Actually, she was the 9th juror --

THE COURT: Oh, was she?
THE CLERK: -- is what I had her at.
THE COURT: Let me see.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yeah, but we had taken somebody out of order.

THE COURT: Oh, that could be. Yes, that's right, she was actually the 9th one selected, but the 8th in order. Okay. All right. Are we ready for Mr. Wichlacz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We are and this will be my examination, Judge.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Wichlacz, please raise your right hand and the Clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Wichlacz, first of all, am I pronouncing your name correct?

MR WICKLASS: Wichlacz.
THE COURT: Wichlacz. Very well. You have already filled out a written jury questionnaire in this case. Today we are moving on to the next stage of the jury selection process which is referred to as voir dire.

In this stage, the attorneys for each of the parties have an opportunity to ask you questions that bear on your ability to serve as a juror in this case. Many of the questions will be follow-up questions to information provided in the questionnaire.

Before we get to the attorney's questions, I can tell you that the jurors who are selected to serve in this case will not be sequestered; that is, at the end of the trial proceedings each day, they will be permitted to return home.

Because of that fact there will continue to be a prohibition on any exposure of the jurors to information about the case in any form of news media; be it radio, television, newspapers,
internet, or any other sources. And in addition, the jurors will be prohibited from speaking to anyone about the case. That would include family members, as well as other members of the jury, until it is time to deliberate.

Although the court proceedings today are held in open court, no cameras are permitted in the courtroom today during these voir dire proceedings and the members of the news media are not permitted to use the names of jurors in any news reports.

If you are selected to serve on the jury in this case, you should also know that while cameras may cover the trial, they are not permitted to show the jury in any way that identifies any members of the jury.

In the event you are retained on the jury panel after the proceedings today, you will receive a notice, probably sometime later today, letting you know when to report back to court.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang, at this point you may begin your questioning.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Mr. Kratz, but I will, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Sorry, Mr. Kratz.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Mr. Wichlacz, good afternoon. My name is Ken Kratz, I'm the Calumet County District Attorney. I represent the State in this case and will be the lead prosecutor. Seated with me this afternoon is Tom Fallon. Mr. Fallon is a attorney with the Department of Justice, Assistant Attorney General, will be assisting not only today but also through the entire trial. Good afternoon.

As the Judge explained this is our opportunity to ask you some additional questions and follow up on some responses that you gave in your written questionnaire. Let me first start with your most recent employment. I understand that your principal occupation was manager of a grocery store; is that correct?
A. Well, the grocery department at the -- well, it was Sentry, now it's Piggly Wiggly.
Q. All right. And for how long had you engaged in that employment?
A. Well, I'm still there part-time. It's going on about 45 years.
Q. I understand, Mr. Wichlacz, that you have two
children, a son and a daughter; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Your son is a member of the City of Manitowoc Police Department; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And your daughter is an attorney?
A. Yes.
Q. Could I ask your son's name, please?
A. Rob Barbier.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Rob Barbier.
Q. And your daughter?
A. Katie Brown.
Q. Let's start with the obvious, your son being a Manitowoc County law enforcement officer. Have you had an occasion to speak with your son about this case?
A. Just briefly. It was just that he was involved in the search of the property.
Q. All right. Did your son --
A. That's about all he said.
Q. Did your son tell you what was engaged in those search efforts?
A. Pardon?
Q. Did your son tell you what he did in those search
efforts?
A. Just -- just that they were looking around and that's about all he said. He didn't say they found anything or -- I guess that covers it.
Q. Your son is not on the witness list, but like several other law enforcement and other volunteers, he was involved in the search for Ms Halbach; is that right?
A. For the car.
Q. All right.
A. Yes.
Q. Was he also involved after the car was found; do you know if he was on the property?
A. I don't think so. I think he was just, once they found the car I think he was done.
Q. All right. Now, Mr. Wichlacz, I'm going to move directly to a -- an answer of interest, if not a concern; that is, that based upon the publicity, you had come into this case believing that Mr. Avery was guilty, or at least the publicity pointed in that direction. Do you still hold that opinion?
A. Yes.
Q. You also indicate, however, more importantly, that despite that initial opinion, that you would
be able to set that aside and base your decision solely on the evidence in this case; do you still hold that opinion?
A. Well, yes, to a point.
Q. Well, you better explain that. What does that mean?
A. Well, from the news coverage, I would guess that Mr. Avery is guilty. In fact, I would say he is guilty from the coverage, from what I have heard. Now, I guess if a bolt of lightening came down and told me he was innocent, I might believe it, but it's just kind of overwhelming.
Q. I understand that.
A. I'm sure from what I have heard from you, that you passed out to the press, and I'm sure you have more that we haven't heard about, so ...
Q. And --
A. I would -- I would -- I guess at this point I would find it very difficult.
Q. And so as Mr. Avery sits here, although legally he may be presumed innocent; that is, the Court may instruct you that he is presumed innocent --
A. Yes.
Q. -- you don't come into this case feeling that at all; is that right?
A. Right. I know that's backwards. I know he should be innocent, but ...
Q. But you wouldn't be able to set that aside?
A. I don't believe so.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all the questions I have for this witness, Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any questions for the defense? ATTORNEY BUTING: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wichlacz, at this point Linda will escort you from the courtroom. (Wherein the juror was excused.) THE COURT: Do the parties have a joint motion on this juror?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes, Judge, the State would acknowledge that this witness should be struck for cause.

ATTORNEY BUTING: I join in that.
THE COURT: All right. Based on the witness' answers, the Court will excuse Mr. Wichlacz as a juror for cause in this case.

The next juror would be Donald Kickland. ATTORNEY FALLON: Who is the next one? THE COURT: Donald Kickland, Juror 81. Mr. Kickland, will you stand and raise your right hand, please.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
THE COURT: Mr. Kickland, you have already completed a written juror questionnaire in this case.

MR. KICKLAND: Yes.
THE COURT: This afternoon we're moving on to the next stage of the jury selection process which is called voir dire. The attorneys for each of the parties will have a chance to ask you some questions that bear upon your qualifications as a juror. For the most part, they will be follow-up questions to the information that you provided on the questionnaire.

Before we get to those questions, I can tell you that the jurors selected to serve in this case will not be sequestered during the trial. That means at the end of court proceedings each day the jurors will be permitted to return home and then report back to duty the next morning. Because of that fact, the Court is continuing the prohibition on any exposure of the jurors to any publicity regarding this case, whether it be from television, radio, newspaper, internet, or any other source.

And in addition, the jurors are prohibited from discussing the case with anyone, including members of the juror's family, or even other jurors, until all the evidence has been received.

Although the proceedings in court are open to the public today, because this is part of the jury selection process, the Court does not permit any cameras in the courtroom today. And the members of the media are not permitted to disclose the identity of the jurors in any news reports.

If you are selected to serve as a juror in this case, $I$ can also tell you that while there may be cameras in the courtroom covering the trial, they are not permitted to show the jurors.

In the event you are chosen to continue on the jury panel after questioning today, you will receive instructions as to when to report to court again. Mr. Fallon, you are handling this one?

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon Mr. Kickland.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. To my immediate left is Mr , Ken Kratz, the Calumet County District Attorney. He's the lead prosecutor. Again, good afternoon and thanks for returning.
A. Okay.
Q. The attorneys have a few questions regarding some of the information you provided in your questionnaire last week and wanted to follow up on those if we may. First issue, just somewhat of a routine one. You indicate that you do wear a hearing aide so --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that -- am I -- Do you hear me comfortably?
A. I hear you well.
Q. All right. And when Judge Willis was talking to you you --
A. Yes.
Q. -- could hear him well?
A. Yes. Mm-hmm.
Q. All right. I'm going to turn off my microphone and see how that sounds.
A. Okay.
Q. I have a couple of questions for you?
A. You have a couple questions for me.
Q. All right. So you hear that just fine.
A. I hear that just fine. Just like wearing glasses, I can't read without them, but it helps.
Q. I'm familiar with that. All right. Okay. You are a retired barber by trade?
A. Yes, I barbered from 1954 until 1983.
Q. Okay.
A. Got out of school in '54.
Q. And from 1983 to 1990, Department of -- was that Regulation and Licensing?
A. Yes, I worked for the Department of Regulation. I was assigned to the Barber and Cosmetology Academy Board and also the Pharmacy Examining Board.
Q. I see. So -- Okay. So you kind of kept your hand in the business, more or less.
A. Yeah. Yeah.
Q. All right. Did you enjoy that work?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. What did you like about it?
A. Well, when these people submit their application for barber shop, or beauty shop, or school,
electrolysis, or drugstore, they needed to send in also a floor plan. And my duties were to go to these locations and inspect them and give them their location license.
Q. All right. Did you -- Was that -- Were you limited to the Manitowoc County area or did you.
A. No.
Q. -- range further?
A. At that time, in 1983, there were three of us. We were given territories. My territory was -- I had about 80 percent of Milwaukee County, Racine County, Kenosha County, Rock County; in other words, all the way to Prairie Du Chien. Grant County, Madison. I had most -- I had the City of Madison, and therefore south.
Q. So you had the whole south part of the state?
A. Yes.
Q. I imagine that kept you pretty busy?
A. Yes, it was a nice job.
Q. All right. And as a result of that, I see that either from your work and your schooling, you had some background in accounting and bookkeeping?
A. Yes, being a barber, $I$ guess, at the time when $I$ was barbering, this was in the '70s I took some night courses. Help with my doing my own book
work and also to know people, adjustment, psychology, human behavior. I was interested in that.
Q. Yeah, I was going to ask you how you got from barbering to psychology, but the more I think about it, it's probably not that great a jump, is it?
A. No, you do listen to people.
Q. Right.
A. It helps with communication, gives you an understanding.
Q. All right. And how long did you study your psychology or human behavior?
A. Those were evening courses, like one semester of the psychology and human behavior. And the accounting was there for the same, one semester.
Q. Very good.
A. It was not to be a career. It was just something I guess I wanted to do.
Q. All right. And I see for your spare time you like to play golf and play cards?
A. Yes.
Q. Among other pursuits?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, how are you hitting 'em these days?
A. Well, it's kind of cold now, but last summer -- I do quite well --
Q. All right. Good for you.
A. -- in golfing. And in the wintertime I go to the senior center and play cards.
Q. All right. And what card games do you like to play?
A. This morning we played some sheepedhead (sic).
Q. All right.
A. And occasionally some cribbage. I like the numbers.
Q. All right. And you, I take it for some of the organizations you belong to, in addition to the church and bowling, you are a volunteer driver for Red Cross?
A. Yes, I did that from 1992 until they terminated, at December 31st of 2006. So I gave them over 14 years.
Q. Very well. And something, a Mason, past member, tell me little bit about your Mason experience?
A. That's as a Mason, I'm an active Mason now.
Q. All right.
A. I'm a past member of maybe a Fish and Game and Isaac Walton league.
Q. Oh, all right. I misread that.
A. Yeah, I was involved with Isaac Walton League for many, many years.
Q. I see.
A. And past president, 1974. State director a few years. And let's see, Fish and Game, just more of a friendship.
Q. All right. Well, $I$ would like to talk to you a little bit more now about some of the things that are more directly related to the business at hand. And I see from your questionnaire, you know a few people that may or may not appear as witnesses in this case. You know Mr. Curt Drumm?
A. Okay. My wife is -- It's through my -- Basically she's -- she's a teacher with the -- out at Woodland Dunes. And I know he's a member there. And they had a retirement party over here at the Maritime Inn at that time for Bernie when he retired. It's more -- more due to a social thing. I have never went out with him or eat with him, or this and that. Just know who he is.
Q. You just know who he is. Okay. Well, how about Lieutenant Todd Hermann; how do you know him?
A. From 19 -- let's see 1992, approximately 15 years, Tom Kocourek came to the Isaac Walton League soliciting for some money so they have a
place for rifles and that stuff here at the county, across the street here at the County Jail here.
Q. Okay.
A. I guess he was soliciting money. And we gave him some money for that. And he also brought up that they are having a volunteer program. And when I heard that, I approached him on it at that meeting, that I would be interested in volunteering. And he told me I should contact his secretary, Leist. I believe her name is Leist. I don't quite remember exactly. So I got a hold of her the next day. And I registered.

And then I think Mike Bushman and Rick Torrington were the two people that trained a few of us to be volunteers with the Sheriff's Department. Basically, we took the cars to get them washed, take them to like Maritime Ford and to garages to have them repairs, take them to Quick-Lube for oil changes. Did some escort for funerals.

Oh, yeah, then we escorted a building to the Historical Village. Deliver supplies. It had nothing to do with enforcement or investigation. Strictly -- It's mostly with the
cars and transportation escorts.
Q. Background support?
A. Yeah, that's basically it.
Q. Sure. And that's -- so that's your only acquaintance?
A. Yeah, basically, yes. There were times maybe Mike Bushman wasn't there, then Hermann was there. He just told us to take this car and that car. So nothing -- nothing outside of the volunteer time.
Q. Sure. And how about Tom Kocourek?
A. Well, he was sheriff at the time.
Q. All right.
A. So there was always an appreciation. And there was some certificates given out for volunteer hours and, of course, you get to shake his hand. He thanked us.
Q. All right.
A. In other words, nothing to do with investigation or enforcement.
Q. All right. So it's just -- in other words, you don't really know him well, you just met him through your volunteer work and a couple of hand shakes?
A. Yes. And then I do volunteer at Holy Family

Hospital for their network and occasionally I see him walking through and we say hi.
Q. All right.
A. But that's about it.
Q. Just a casual acquaintance, then?
A. They do that with everybody as a courtesy.
Q. Casual acquaintance, then?
A. I -- If you asked me how many children he has, I don't know.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. All right. Well, as you might suspect, with a case like this, there's been a fair amount of publicity. And in your questionnaire, you report that you are at least aware of some of the publicity associated with this case?
A. Until I got the letter from Judge Willis' office approximately January, I believe around the middle of January, the 15th, I will say, not to watch television, read the paper and things like that and I abide by it.
Q. All right. And so, well, prior to receiving that letter, were you at least aware of some of the publicity in the case?
A. I read the paper.
Q. All right. So you have a general idea of what's
going on?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And did you read the paper regularly, daily?
A. Well, I subscribe to the Manitowoc Herald-Times and I read it every day.
Q. Okay.
A. Except until the last three or four weeks now, I kind of omit the trial, which we were asked to do. So I had not read that. When I see the headlines, that's as far as it goes.
Q. All right. Well, you were asked a question and this is one of great interest to all of us here and that is whether, based on all the information that you had available to you, prior to receiving the Judge's letter, whether you had any opinion as to whether Mr. Avery is guilty or innocent. And you answered no.
A. I learned one thing, you have to learn what the facts are and see what the evidence are.
Q. All right. So you formed no opinion as you sit here today?
A. I have no opinion.
Q. All right. Well, associated with that is, do you think that if you were selected as a juror in
this case you could decide the guilt or innocence of Mr . Avery solely on the information which was presented during the course of these proceedings?
A. I will listen to all, everything. I will listen to everything first and then after, when it's done, I would then make my decision.
Q. Okay. And you are willing to work with your other jurors in reaching that decision, I assume?
A. I will do my best.
Q. All right. Now, you realize that the State, that's Mr. Kratz and myself and one of our other colleagues, we're the ones with the burden of proof; in other words, we have to prove that Mr. Avery is guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt. And if we fail to do that, then you must return a verdict of not guilty; do you understand that?
A. Yes, I understand that.
Q. All right. And as part of that, Mr. Avery doesn't have to say or do anything. The only burden in this courtroom is on the State, the prosecution, to try and convince the jurors that he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt; do you understand that?
A. I would listen to both sides and make my evaluation from that.
Q. But if they chose not to put on any case and the only evidence you had was the evidence that was presented by the State, and if after you saw it and you thought and thought and thought and you talked with your fellow jurors and you just didn't think the State had proven his guilt, beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote not guilty?
A. Yes, not guilty.
Q. All right. Now, I see you did serve on a jury once before; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Can you tell me a little bit about the case, as best you can remember, looks like it was a while ago?
A. I don't remember the exact year. Seems to me 6, 8 years, late '90's, maybe 2000. I just don't -I don't -- I didn't write it down, so. I -- I was called in a couple times. And the time that I sat on a jury, it -- it -- what the case was about was forgery. It was a forgery and they had the evidence. And they had -- it involved a bank and all the proof was there.
Q. Okay.
A. They had witness forgery. It was a forgery case.

We reached a verdict on that case.
Q. And you found the person guilty?
A. Yes, the jury found the person guilty.
Q. All right. Now, during that case, did the person who was accused of committing the forgery, did that person take the witness stand or choose not to, or do you recall?
A. I -- I will be honest with you, I don't remember. I remember the witnesses being there. I do not know if she was on -- no, I just -- just don't remember if she was on the witness stand or not.
Q. Well, we ask that because that's of some interest to all of us here and want to make sure that you understand that a defendant need not take the stand, doesn't have to take the stand, doesn't have to say anything. And as the rules are, you can't hold that against him or consider their failure to take the stand in deciding whether they are guilty or not guilty; do you understand that?
A. Yes, I understand that.
Q. And you accept that principle?
A. When a person makes a decision not to go on the stand that's -- that's -- that's their affair and I understand that.
Q. Okay. So, and you can decide this case without paying any mind to that?
A. Yes, I can do that.
Q. All right. Now, just a couple of other questions. Based on your, you know, experience in regulation and licensing and your experience as a juror and just your general experiences in life, as you go about solving a problem, as you go about formulating an opinion or an idea, do you consider yourself kind of a picture person or more of a detail oriented guy?
A. I was a -- Myself and what I am and what I do, to me is, I'm not a big picture person. I'm more down to earth. I don't have a problem helping people across the street, that's what we do with the Red Cross. You need patience, you need to be able to open up doors for other people, show a little courtesy. It doesn't matter who it is, if it's young or old.
Q. Right. Well, in terms of do you -- are you one who pays close attention to details or not so much?
A. Well, to help and assist other people you have to be very tentative. You have to be able to pick up what they -- without being asked to do, to go
in and pitch in and help them out. You can see that.
Q. Sure.
A. Yes, you do pay attention to other people.
Q. Okay.
A. It's very, very important.
Q. In your spare time, do you ever work on puzzles? Do you like to work on puzzles, or do you not?
A. Puzzles?
Q. Yeah.
A. No, I'm a reader.
Q. You're a reader.
A. Yeah, I'm reading Michener right now, Chesapeake. It's a bay out on the east coast.
Q. James Michener novel?
A. I like novels.
Q. You said you were reading Chesapeake?
A. Yes, by Michener.
Q. By Michener.
A. That's what I'm reading now. I like to read. I rather do that than set puzzles.
Q. All right. Do you dislike puzzles or just don't --
A. No, I don't mind puzzles. I just rather read. It's just a matter of choice.
Q. All right. I see that you do watch a show, like, called Crime Scene Investigation, CSI?
A. I kind of watch it on Thursday night, yeah. I got interested in it a couple of years ago and I have been following that one.
Q. So, and you found that show somewhat realistic?
A. It's interesting. It's fun, some appears to be real, yes.
Q. And some not so realistic?
A. Well, I'm not sure.
Q. Okay.

> ATTORNEY FALLON: I'll pass the juror.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. Dean Strang, Jerome Buting, Steven Avery.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Collectively the defense. And I want to go back just a little bit on some background that Mr. Fallon touched on. How long have you been a Mason?
A. Late '70s.
Q. And --
A. 1970, late '70s.
Q. If you don't mind my asking, what degree have you attained?
A. Well, I -- I took the ark (phonetic) right, so I ended up at the Triple I Shrine down in Milwaukee. Then, being out of town a lot and then $I$ took a demit from it so. From all the bodies except a Mason. I'm retired so I took demit from the Shrine.
Q. Okay. And you retired now?
A. I'm going to -- I'm 71. I will be 72 in April, 29th.
Q. The -- The work you did for the Department of Regulation and Licensing, that was paid work, I think, right, or were you volunteering there too?
A. Oh, no, I was employed by the Department of Regulation and Licensing. I think I was about 48 years old when I made the change from my own business as a barber here in Manitowoc. And through a friend that encouraged me to apply for it, they were looking for two people at that time. He thought I would make a good State inspector?
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- and investigator for the Cosmetology and Barber Examining Board at that time. And I took
the test and got a pretty good score and got the job.
Q. What -- Did you think of that in a sense as law enforcement?
A. When I applied for it, it was the understanding that $I$ would investigate their complaints.
Q. Right.
A. And do their inspection for a new location and do unannounced inspections.
Q. Right. Right.
A. And their complaints would involve like unlicensed practice.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And also you need a little knowledge about hair. People do, as far as being competent, people do get burns in their skin from the perm burns --
Q. Right.
A. -- and stuff like that.
Q. Right.
A. And heat. And unsanitary practice like soiled towels and things. You need to change towels on every patient or customer, things like that.
Q. So what drew you to this sort of law enforcement aspect of that work?
A. The law enforcement, well, they have the Barber

Cosmetology Examining Board. And I also, later on, I did the Pharmacy Examining Board. They do have Administrative Code, which was set up by their examining board, which needs to be enforced.
Q. But what -- And my question is, what drew you to want to do that sort of law enforcement work?
A. Okay. There's a fellow by the name of Mr. Hansen and he worked for social service. He encouraged me to take this up. It was his idea. Actually, I turned him down. And he approached me again on it and asked me if $I$ sent in that application. I said, no, he said I have one with me, would you sign it. I said, yes. So it was actually an encouragement through another person.
Q. Okay. And, then, when you were looking for some volunteer work, you have done a lot of volunteer work over --
A. Yeah, fire department, Silver Creek Fire Department, spent some years there. Yes, I did.
Q. Isaac Walton, Holy Family Hospital.
A. Yes, active right today. In fact, I was scheduled at Harbortown from 12 to 4. And I left there at 2:00 to meet my appointment with you people.
Q. So what was the -- Since you have so many volunteer activities and opportunities, what was the attraction to doing volunteer work for the sheriff's department in particular?
A. Oh, okay. As I explained, in 1992, it was in the wintertime, like about this time of the year. The sheriff, Tom Kocourek, came to the Isaac Walton League and also he was there to raise some funds to have a rifle range over here at the jail.
Q. Right. Yeah, and I don't mean to interrupt. I don't mean to interrupt. I heard the story --
A. Okay.
Q. -- of how you heard about it.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. But what was attractive about doing that? Why did you --
A. When I asked him --
Q. -- choose to do that?
A. When I asked him what it involved with, he told me about the cars and transportation, escorting, things like that. I said, good, that gets me out in the county, get a chance to take things to Mishicot. We made a couple trips to the nuclear plant. Then he said, funeral things, well, I
like people, I like to get out.
Q. Okay.
A. Kind of get to know your surrounding area here in Manitowoc County.
Q. And interact with people?
A. Yes, I like people best.
Q. Okay. When you first heard about the crimes charged here, did they -- did they shock you? Did they horrify you? What was your reaction?
A. You mean the crime, like?
Q. The crimes charged here, the accusations against Mr. Avery?
A. I think any crime that $I$ hear, as well as Mr. Avery's, it's -- it's -- it's a shock.
Q. And did you react to that here? I mean this isn't any crime. I mean, a young woman going missing and then being found dead and someone being arrested is unusual here?
A. Well, I don't know either one, but to me it is -I have feelings for people.
Q. Right.
A. All people. And when something tragedy happens, I mean, it's sad. I mean, it's something that needs to be checked out, found out and just see what's going on. Let's get the facts.
Q. Right. And here he is, this is the man the State of Wisconsin has hailed into court, so to speak. Do you think he's probably done something wrong otherwise he wouldn't be here?
A. I don't know. I really don't know if he did something wrong or not. I have -- It's what one party says and what another party says.
Q. Okay.
A. I have to sort that out.
Q. Let's follow that up a little bit. You explained to -- when Mr. Fallon was questioning you, that you would listen to everything --
A. Right.
Q. -- here before deciding, making a decision only at the end. And that's good, but I need -- we need to go one step further on that. The -- the essential further step is in hearing and listening to everything here and deciding the case after you have heard everything here, can you also put aside everything you heard before you came to the courtroom?
A. I was -- I was -- I should be able to handle that. I think I can do that.
Q. So, in other words, I think the Court will tell you, will instruct you at the end, that you get
to decide the case only on the evidence you have heard in court?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that something you can do?
A. That $I$ can do.
Q. Now, he will tell you you don't set your common sense aside or leave that at the door, but in terms of evidence, you don't get to consider what you heard before you came to court; do you understand that?
A. Anything in the past is in the past, we start today.
Q. Right. And you would not consider what you heard in the past?
A. No, we will not use the past.
Q. Okay.
A. Only from today on, whatever we hear, that's what we would make our decision on.
Q. Okay. And there's a natural inclination to want to hear both sides of the story, I suppose, right, to make a fair decision?
A. Yes.
Q. But you may not hear both sides, or both sides equally, in a criminal case. Because only the State has any burden of proving anything to you.

Do you understand that?
A. Yes, I understand that.
Q. And while we don't sit like bumps on a log, neither will we necessarily try to prove to you anything. In other words, I may not try to prove that Steven Avery is innocent. I may concentrate on showing that they have not proved him guilty.
A. Okay. I understand that.
Q. You understand the difference?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And let's get at that a little bit. Let's suppose at the end of all of the evidence, you have heard their witnesses, maybe we'll call some witnesses, maybe not, but you have heard all the testimony, you have heard the arguments of the lawyers on both sides.

Now you are back with 11 other people and you are going to decide, okay. And let's say you, personally, come to the decision that, you know, Mr. Avery might be guilty. Maybe he -maybe I even think he is probably guilty. But I'm not convinced, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he is guilty. I don't know if he's innocent, because they didn't prove to me he is innocent either. I think he may be guilty. He
is probably guilty. But I don't believe it beyond a reasonable doubt. What verdict would you vote to return if that's how you weighed out all the evidence?
A. What verdict, would I give? If you don't give me unreasonable doubt, then he would be not guilty.
Q. Okay. In other words, if he is maybe guilty, your verdict would be not guilty; did I understand you?
A. Well, I know, the way you explained it to me, you have to prove that he is guilty. If he's not proven, then he's not guilty.
Q. Beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Reasonable doubt, correct.
Q. Okay. All right. Let's -- let's consider the possibility that he does not testify. And I think you said, well, that's his own affair?
A. Right.
Q. In some ways, though, it's your affair if you are on the jury. And I think the Court would tell you, if Mr. Avery chose not to testify, that you simply could not consider that. It's not evidence of guilt. It's not evidence of anything. It's not evidence at all. Could you work within that rule?
A. Yes, I can work with that rule.
Q. Now, suppose he does testify, let's suppose, for whatever reason, we all here decide that he is going to testify and he gets up on the stand. Are you going to be sitting there saying, well, how can I believe what he says, he is, you know, he is the guy in the hot seat. He's the guy with everything to lose. Are you going to say that or will you be able to listen to him just like any other witness?
A. I would listen to him. Because in the past, when I went to a beauty shop or a barber shop, I listened to everybody.
Q. Okay. Probably 29 years as a barber, my guess is you heard a whole lot of gossip.
A. Well, everybody has things to tell me.
Q. Some of it turns out to be right?
A. And some is very interesting too.
Q. Right. Okay. And that's why we do what we do in courtrooms?
A. I understand.
Q. To try to weed out some of the stuff that just might be very interesting, but not so red hot in it's reliability. That you can do?
A. Yes, I can do that.
Q. Okay. Having now worked in law enforcement with the Department of Regulation and Licensing and volunteered for six years or something at the sheriff's department; do you tend to think that law enforcement officers, people with a badge, are more likely to be truthful than all of the rest of us?
A. Okay. When I was with the sheriff's department that was -- that's almost nine years ago since $I$ left them. And I would -- I would say the people that I associated with, with the State of Wisconsin, I will go back it up there with them first. I worked with other -- other investigators and therefore and inspectors and I respect them.

And the same thing here, if it's a voluntary thing, you go there in the morning and they send you out with the cars and stuff so you really don't get in depth with them too much. They are not there to mislead us, just take the car, do this, drop this and that off. So it was very -- it was very -- congenial, I mean.
Q. Right.
A. It was more or less a fun thing. They made it fun and made it easy for us. Our opinion, they
were very thoughtful of us volunteers.
Q. And my question is, with those warm feelings for good police officers --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- does that carry over to where you would say, you know, I trust police officers and their word more than I trust other people, just because of the job they have?
A. Well, I'm basing it on my experience with them, yes. Same as at Holy Family Hospital. I respect the coordinator of volunteer services.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. You respect them and you trust them.
Q. But the rules here will be, I think as the Court will tell you --
A. I understand.
Q. -- that all witnesses come on equal. It doesn't matter what clothing they wear or whether they have a badge?
A. No, doesn't matter.
Q. They are all human. You consider their testimony all in the same sorts of ways?
A. Right. Yeah, being a Mason, you have to respect everybody and your leaders.
Q. Okay. And that's something you can do here?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think it's possible that a law enforcement officer could lie under oath?
A. Yes, they can lie.
Q. Even under oath?
A. Some do.
Q. How do you know that?
A. Reading books.
Q. Okay.
A. Reading books, reading somebody's non-fictions.
Q. And I suppose other witnesses can lie under oath, too, can't they?
A. It can happen, yeah. That's something that doesn't end.
Q. What if it -- What if you heard evidence that went beyond that and went to planting evidence?
A. I have to listen to it, I have to know what the facts are.
Q. Okay. You are not just going to rule that out, say that's ridiculous, could never happen?
A. No, no, no, I would not rule that out. I would -- I like to hear about it. I like to know what I'm talking about.
Q. Okay. Have you heard anything about a blood vial, a tube of blood in this case?
A. That's about it. I know of it and then of course I haven't followed up on it in the last almost four weeks.
Q. And that's because of the letter you got from the court or some other reason?
A. Well, I think it was -- I think it was on news before $I$ got the letter from the court.
Q. Right. And what did you learn about this tube of blood?
A. Nothing, I don't know.
Q. Okay. In terms of planting evidence, how much proof is it that you would want before you decided something was or was not planted?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I object to that question.

THE COURT: That objection is sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ What -- What kinds of things other than Mr. Michener and his books do you like to read?
A. Oh, I read Liberia (sic).
Q. Other -- other than -- other than James Michener?
A. Oh, I see. Others. I was thinking of other books that he wrote, I'm sorry.
Q. No, no. What kind --
A. My mistake, you said it right. I kind of like

Clancy, some of his non-fictions are pretty good. Don (sic) Clancy, he wrote some nice -especially General Horner, he was pretty interesting over there, back in the '90s there. Ended up running to space, pretty interesting. Stuff like that.
Q. Okay. Two more quick things. What do you know, if anything, about Mr. Avery's past?
A. None. Oh, well, I shouldn't say none. I don't know him as a person, except that he was released from prison. He was found innocent of a rape charge.
Q. And is that something you accept?
A. Why, sure. It's public record. I mean, it shows that he was not guilty. I except that fact.
Q. And last question, or maybe two if there's a follow-up. But do you want to serve on this jury?
A. I would be willing to do that.
Q. But do you want to?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Well, when $I$ was a young fellow, I was drafted. This was at the end of the Korean War, 1953. I graduated, the Korean War ended shortly after I
was drafted. I wanted to serve my country. Of course, they didn't have hearing aids like they do now.

But anyway, they told me that, we're not going to draft you. We're going to give you 4 F because you have a hearing problem. And I might miss an assignment and cause some lives. Those fellows served two, three years for their country and more. And this gives me a chance to serve my county, my community.
Q. And your country?
A. I want to do that. It gives me a chance to do something. I'm going to be 72 and I would be willing to do that.
Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kickland, Linda will escort you from the courtroom at this time.

MR. KICKLAND: Thank you.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Counsel, any motion from either party?

ATTORNEY FALLON: No motion from the State.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't have a motion, but because $I$ got burned a few years ago in a case
in Richland County, I do have some residual questions about his hearing. With both lawyers there were some answers that were not responsive to the questions. It was okay when we were up very close to the microphones.

But I do have some concern about that. And I also have a strong feeling that people with a hearing disability ought to be able to serve on juries, but as I say, I got burned on that. I made that mistake before.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. Calumet County is blessed with a system which allows for and encourages individuals with hearing problems to serve. We have hearing assistance devices for jurors. And should Mr. Kickland be invited to serve on this case, that would be available to him in Calumet County.

THE COURT: All right. My own impression was, I know Mr. Fallon turned his microphone off and spoke to the juror and I had trouble hearing a little bit. I mean could hear what Mr. Fallon was saying, but $I$ think without the benefit of the microphone it was not that loud and I thought that the juror heard it pretty well.

I think certainly with the benefit of a hearing assistance device, which I also use in my courtroom periodically, I think it should work. And I also try to watch the witnesses myself to make sure they get up to the microphone and invite any juror who can't hear any answer to raise their hand during the course of the trial whether they have a hearing problem or not and ask them to repeat it. So I agree that can be a problem in some cases, but I thought he -- he did well.

ATTORNEY STRANG: As long as we all keep an eye on it, I think he would be fine.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I know the parties mentioned they may have some motions regarding other jurors; how about Juror 86, she's next on the list, are there any objections to her?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well --
THE COURT: If she --
ATTORNEY BUTING: Question 74 and 69.
Question 74 and 69 are the --
THE COURT: Okay. Let me check.
ATTORNEY STRANG: The context would be --
ATTORNEY BUTING: And 43.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm looking at

Question 74; what were the other numbered questions?
ATTORNEY BUTING: 43 and 69 .
ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay. I'm sorry, the questions for the next --

ATTORNEY STRANG: The questions for Debra Nicholson.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Do we have one juror after No. 86?

THE COURT: We have three more, actually. Next juror would be 90.

ATTORNEY FALLON: 91.
THE COURT: Oh, 90 and 91.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Oh, yes. Okay.
ATTORNEY STRANG: We have some concern about 91; although, I think that looks on paper like a better bet than 86 -- No. 86.

THE COURT: Is the defense asking to have 86 excused for cause before questioning?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I would if it will speed things up. I also -- I understand that this is an on the bubble situation.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If you were to look off paper, No. 90 might be the surest bet, but it all depends on whether you want to deviate from the order.

THE COURT: Well, we have 86, 90, 91, and 93 here.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I think two out of four look pretty good. You might have three out four depending on how you want to do it.

THE COURT: We need one by my count.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Right.
THE COURT: Will the parties stipulate to passing over 86 and moving on to 90 ?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure, not striking her but passing over her?

THE COURT: And if she gets selected, she would be No. 30.

ATTORNEY FALLON: This next one would be the last --

ATTORNEY STRANG: But does that include Mr. Guckeisen?

THE COURT: Yes. He is in in any event now, even if 86 -- 86 if accepted would be 29 and Mr. Guckeisen is 87, he would be 30.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I see, okay. No, then I don't have any problem with going to No. 90.

THE COURT: All right. So the parties are not going to ask the Court to excuse 86 at this time, but are you going to stipulate to taking the
juror out of random order and questioning Juror 90, first?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I think to be very technical, I am moving to excuse No. 86 for subjective bias, but $I$ have no problem if the Court wants to hold that in abeyance and moot it out. THE COURT: All right. With the understanding that if 90 is in, the game is over. ATTORNEY STRANG: If that's the count, right.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. Let's have Ms Piaskowski in, then.

Ms Piaskowski, if you will please raise your right hand, the Clerk will administer the oath.
(Juror sworn.)
THE CLERK: Please be seated.

THE COURT: Ms Piaskowski, you have already completed a written jury questionnaire in this case. Today we're moving on to the next step in the jury selection process which is voir dire.

The attorneys for each of the parties will have an opportunity to ask you some questions pertaining to your qualifications as a juror. For the most part, they will be follow-up
questions to the information that you provided on your questionnaire.

Before we get to those questions, I can tell you that the jury selected in this case will not be sequestered; that means that after each day of the trial the jurors will be permitted to return home. Because we're allowing the jurors to return home, the prohibition on any exposure to news media coverage will continue. That means the jurors will be prohibited from being exposed to any information about this case on the television, radio, newspaper, internet, or any other source.

And in addition, the jurors are prohibited from discussing the case with anyone, including members of the juror's family and even the other jurors until it's time to deliberate at the close of the case.

The court proceedings today are being held in open session, but the Court does not permit cameras in the courtroom during the voir dire proceedings and the news media is not permitted to disclose the identity of the jurors in their news reports.

In addition, should you be selected as a
juror in this case, you should know that while there may be cameras in the courtroom covering the trial, they are not permitted to show the jurors.

In the event you remain on the jury panel after questioning today, you will be notified shortly when you are to report back to court. Mr. Fallon, at this time you may proceed.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms Piaskowski.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. How are you?
A. I'm fine.
Q. My name is Tom Fallon. I'm an Assistant Attorney General with the Wisconsin Department of Justice. I'm one of the prosecutors in this case. And I would like to ask a few questions to follow up on some of the information you provided last week.
A. Okay.
Q. By the way, thank you for your patience. I'm sure we have probably interrupted your afternoon's activities. Let me first begin by asking just a couple of questions. You currently work at Lakeshore --
A. Cap.
Q. What kind of business is that?
A. It's Community Action Program.
Q. How long have you worked for them?
A. It will be two years. It was two years.
Q. And what kind of work do you do for --
A. I am --
Q. -- that program?
A. -- sub-contracted out at Human Services in Manitowoc.
Q. All right.
A. I work in Child Protective Services.
Q. Okay. And since you are familiar with Child Protective Services, what aspect of that do you do?
A. I'm a family support worker.
Q. I see. Okay. Have you always been a family support worker?
A. No, I haven't. I have worked as a nurse's aide and still do casually. Also worked at a Montessori School.
Q. Did you teach or were you just an aide?
A. Assistant teacher.
Q. Assistant teacher. Very good. How long -- Do you still keep your hand in that, did I hear you
say?
A. No. No. They keep me pretty busy where I am.
Q. Okay. All right. Now, in terms of a number of interests, or at least some schooling you have had, you have checked off a number. I suspect now from what you have told us that makes sense, you have some background in law, some psychology, some medicine, some corrections. Is that as a result of the Community Action Program and the people that you work with in that system?
A. Yeah, there was certain courses we had to take and follow up on. My role at my job right now is going into homes and helping parents to get their children back. They are all usually under 10 years old.
Q. All right. So this activity, it's not so much the investigative work as to determine whether services are needed, but your job is to go back in and help put the family back together and get everybody back on track?
A. Exactly.
Q. All right. Have you ever been involved in the investigative angle to determine whether or not services are needed in the first place?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So you have been strictly a service provider almost?
A. Right. I can make referrals if I walk into a home and feel something is unsafe. Yes.
Q. All right. Okay. Can you tell me a little bit about one of your hobbies, what is the Mississippi Exchange Program?
A. It's a long story. I'll make it very short. It's bringing up black children from the south and they stay with a Wisconsin family from anywhere, 10 days to the whole summer. And the point of the whole program is to have black children interact with white children. Where we go is the Delta. Mississippi burning took place there.
Q. Sure.
A. The Klan is still very prominent down there. So it's -- a lot of children down there have never touched white skin.
Q. So, this is a program to promote racial harmony?
A. Right. Exactly.
Q. Do you yourself get to travel down to the Delta area?
A. All the time.
Q. You do?
A. Fourteen times I have been down there.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Fourteen.
Q. Okay. How often do you get down there, once or twice a year?
A. I go twice a year.
Q. Okay. I'm going to guess that you probably find that pretty rewarding?
A. Oh, I love it, yes.
Q. What's the most -- the most fun about it? What really trips your trigger? What really -- What did you like?
A. Um, the friendships, you know. It's an eye-opening experience, truly. Whites do not talk to me when I'm down there.
Q. Really?
A. No, I get called a "nigger lover". I get called lots of things. So, it's not Wisconsin, that's for sure. It's like visiting family again. You know, we had the same boy for nine years now. So when $I$ go down there, $I$ live with his family for the amount of time I stay there.
Q. Okay. And how often are you down there for, a week or two at a time?
A. Usually -- Well, I just started going down
longer.
Q. Okay.
A. This year it will be two weeks I stay down there.
Q. All right. So I imagine you have developed a fair amount of confidence in yourself and a fair amount of thick skin.
A. Oh, yes, very much so.
Q. Very good. I would like to ask you a few questions, if we may, about what you might know about this case. You may or may not know there's been a fair amount of publicity associated with it. And we would like to ask you a little bit about that. In terms of the news, where do you get most of your news from; radio, television, newspapers?
A. I will turn the radio on in the morning, usually, before I get the kids up, so WOMT. It will be on for maybe a half an hour or so. And then if time permits I read the paper at night. But I do not watch the news at night at all. I don't have time. Usually my cases are at night.
Q. Right.
A. The kids are -- The cases I have, the children are in school usually, so my visits are at night. Q. All right. So do you work like a 3 to 10 kind of
hours?
A. I don't have set hours, it depends. Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday I have one family that I pick the kids up from school and supervise the visit with mom until 6:30.
Q. Right.
A. Something like that. Then I return them to foster care. So I don't get home until 7, 8 --
Q. All right.
A. -- and then I spend time with my family and ...
Q. Hit the sack?
A. Hit the sack.
Q. All right. In terms of publicity, associated with this particular case, do you have any recollection at all; do you know much about what's going on?
A. Well, of course, you know.
Q. You are aware he's been charged with murder --
A. Yes.
Q. -- right?
A. Yes.
Q. But beyond that, are any of the details -- do you know much about any of the details?
A. Not the nitty gritty details, no. Of course, when it first happened, you know, everybody was,
you know. But $I$ was not by any means glued to the TV watching it.
Q. Right.
A. I was at work. Steven Avery, as far as I know, has never been involved with Human Services or anything. I have never heard the name before all of this happened so.
Q. Okay. Never heard the name before any of this case came up?
A. No.
Q. All right. We'll get back to that in just a moment. But I would guess about maybe three, four weeks ago, you might have gotten a letter from the Court advising you that you were a prospective juror and asking that you refrain from any of the media coverage that might be attending to the trial. Did you get that letter?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you able to comply with its request.
A. Um, you're going to think this is -- Well, what happened was, I didn't even read that bottom paragraph.
Q. Right.
A. And so I just saw the top part if it and everybody is saying, are you sure it's not this
case. I go, I don't think so. No, I didn't get a separate letter. And, then, maybe a week ago I read -- or read the bottom. I went, oh, oh, yeah, it is -- it is Steven Avery's case.

So, no, I did not discuss it or talk about it, because I didn't even finish reading the whole letter, unfortunately. I read when I needed to be here and what time and that was it.
Q. Okay. Did you read any -- see any of the news coverage lately on the case?
A. Uh-uh.
Q. Not a thing?
A. I don't watch the news at night --
Q. Okay.
A. -- so. If it's in the paper, I happen to see it. And, of course, I do a jail visit here, so I do see the cameras out here --
Q. Right.
A. -- quite a bit.
Q. And according to the information you provided, you have no opinion, whatsoever, about anything in this case. In other words, you don't have any opinion as to whether he is guilty or innocent at this particular time?
A. Truthfully, I think I did until, like, I did hear
about one instance that $I$ kind of changed my mind a little bit so kind of put me more neutral.
Q. Okay. Well, tell us -- Well, let's start with -We'll start with how you feel right now. I mean, do you have an opinion?
A. Now I'm more neutral than $I$ was in the beginning, yes.
Q. Okay. All right. And so when you say neutral, does that mean you have no opinion or it could go either way or what do you think?
A. I think it could go either way.
Q. Okay. What was the event that brought you to neutral or ground zero as it were?
A. I started out at neutral, too. But at first I thought, no way, no, he couldn't have did this. He just got out. There's no way.
Q. Right.
A. And then the specific event that made me kind of -- after I heard all -- hearing what people are saying and what not, I think, oh, my gosh, yes, he did. And then the vial of blood that they were talking about.
Q. What did you hear about that?
A. Just that it was unlocked or available to the public.
Q. Okay.
A. Something to that sort.
Q. Is that something from the media or just you picked up?
A. Word. Word. Word of mouth.
Q. Word of mouth. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Okay. Excuse me, one moment, I managed to run out of ink. And when did that come to your attention?
A. Probably was shortly when it came out in the news. I mean, I don't know exactly.
Q. All right. Recently or way back when?
A. Couple weeks ago, talking about it.
Q. All right. And that somehow brought you back to neutral?
A. I don't want to say neutral but, you know, placed some doubt in my mind, you know.
Q. Okay. So would it be fair to say you don't really have a strong opinion either way as to his guilt or his innocence, you just don't know?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. Well, one of the things that you will be instructed here by the Court -- and defense counsel will talk a little bit about this as
well, but you will be asked, if you were selected as a juror in this case, to decide this case solely on the evidence which is presented during the course of the trial and not based on anything anybody would have told you at work or any other friend, or family member, or anything like that; that any decision reached by the jury in this case would have to be just on the evidence presented in court. Do you understand that?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. All right. And is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you think you could do that, follow that rule?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is there any doubt in your mind that you would be able to base your decision solely on the evidence that's presented?
A. I truly hope so, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, a part of that, also, is the fact that the State has the burden of proof here. I would imagine you are familiar with that from your work in protective services. But the State is the one who has to prove that someone is
guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt. Correct?
A. Right.
Q. And if they can't do that, then the jury must come to the decision of not guilty?
A. (No verbal response. Nods head.)
Q. All right. You accept that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And that would include, even if for instance Mr. Avery and his attorneys chose not to put any evidence in at all and the only evidence that you had to consider was that presented by the State. And if they didn't convince you, if we didn't convince you, beyond a reasonable doubt, of his guilt, you would have to vote not guilty?
A. (No verbal response. Nods head.)
Q. All right. And you would -- you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you could do that if that's what you felt in your heart-of-hearts, after all the evidence is in you say, geez, maybe he is, or he might be, but I'm not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, so I have got to vote not guilty?
A. Yes.
Q. You understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you could do that if that was what you -- the decision you came to?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. Math is never a favorite subject.
A. I was going to say what are you laughing at.
Q. Well, I share your pain.
A. Yes, my children do too.
Q. You have a friend who's a detective in the Juvenile division, I see, or not?
A. Yes, I do, but he got a promotion so -- and I never got a chance to even -- can I say his name?
Q. Oh, sure, please. We were going to ask who he might be.
A. Oh, Larry Ledvina.
Q. Larry --
A. Ledvina.
Q. Ledvina. Okay. Does he work for the sheriff's department, police department or ...
A. He's with the -- I have to look at somebody for help.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Sheriff.
A. Sheriff. Is that where he is? You can tell we don't talk work when we do go out. His son and
my son are very, very good friends.
Q. Are good friends.
A. And I work with his wife so.
Q. Okay. But you don't talk any law enforcement shop as it were?
A. No, no. I get told I don't park in the white lines sometimes, you know, in front of the building, but no we don't talk shop.
Q. So you don't talk -- So you have a deal, you don't talk child support services and he doesn't talk law enforcement?
A. No, not too often. When he was with juveniles and we did respite, I would say, do you know this kid, oh, yeah, you know, that kind of thing, but otherwise, no, we don't.
Q. Okay.
A. We stick to basketball, football.
Q. All right. Well, it may turn out, in fact, I'm pretty sure, that there will be a fair amount of law enforcement witnesses who will be asked to testify in this particular case. And one of the jobs of the jurors in this case will be to evaluate their credibility.

And having been at least somewhat involved in the system, do you think you can
evaluate the credibility of a law enforcement officer or -- the same way you would evaluate the credibility of any other witness?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. In other words, you wouldn't hold them to any higher standard, or any lesser standard than you would any other witness that would appear?
A. No, no. I would expect them to tell the truth.
Q. All right. Well, we would all hope so. But you may be called upon to make a decision as to whether some officers are being truthful or untruthful during the course of the trial; do you think you could do that?
A. Yes.
Q. Sure. All right. Now, I just want to verify a couple of things. If you were selected as a juror, the trial may very well go six weeks, maybe a little longer, maybe a little less. Kind of hard to guess, as you might know. Is there any hardship that you think that might cause? You answered no last week, but we just wanted to touch base with you on that issue, make sure there wouldn't be any problem if you were selected as a juror.
A. Not that $I$ can foresee, no.
Q. Okay. Just a related question. I forgot to ask about your work. In working with families in need and providing services, do you consider yourself kind of a big picture person, bottom line approach to things, or are you more of a detail oriented, step-by-step approach to problem solving or opinion forming?
A. People I work with, I -- I do have formed my opinions on them, until I get to know them. We work -- We do work step-by-step. It is a step-by-step process for some of my families --
Q. Okay.
A. -- you know, to get unsupervised visits --
Q. Sure.
A. -- to get their children back.
Q. Right. So when you are trying to orchestrate that and you're trying to put a family back together, are you one who really pays a lot of attention to the details, or are you thinking, you know, big picture, what's going to work best for this group? How do you go about it? If you were to assess your own approach.
A. Well, right now, I'm in the middle of doing that with a family. And we got the oldest child; we
have a date to go home. And we are doing the step-by-step process. We are looking into the future.
Q. Sure.
A. You know, we do a little bit of both, I guess.
Q. Okay.
A. It's a very hard question.
Q. Well, may not be an easy answer.
A. Depends, yeah, depends what kind of case I have at the moment or ... There's was, you know, little baby steps --
Q. Sure.
A. -- that grew bigger.
Q. All right. That may be it. Just one moment. Oh, yes. The one area I forgot to follow up on, you were asked if you had any positions of leadership and you indicated you were on the Board of Directors for Project Self Help and Awareness?
A. That's the Mississippi program, yes.
Q. Oh, that's the Mississippi program.
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. I see. So you have a fairly prominent role in setting up the program and setting some of the policies?
A. Yes, yes. Right now we're doing newspapers and sending articles out to get more families, so.
Q. Sure.
A. That would be my hardship, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. At home, but.
Q. So you might have to put a little of that on the back burner for awhile?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you do that during the daytime or is that something you do at night after you get home?
A. I don't do much of anything at night when $I$ get home, I'm pretty tired so.
Q. Okay. Fair enough.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I guess that's it. I
will pass the juror. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY BUTING:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Hello.
Q. It's almost evening.
A. Yes.
Q. My name is Jerome Buting. This is Dean Strang.

And we're, obviously, the defense attorneys for Mr. Avery here today. I appreciate your candor. And your questionnaire had some very interesting background things, most of which Mr. Fallon has covered.

But I want to talk a little bit about this Mississippi Exchange Program. Maybe some day I will be able to talk to you more about it, but $I$ won't get into it in great detail today. But it seems like a very interesting program I have never heard of. And I wonder some of the lessons you may have learned from that, how you apply that in your job and in your life and how you may come to this jury with that.

You talked about how when you go down there many -- or some of the whites really ostracize you. Apparently don't like you, or maybe even the young black kids that you are trying to help?
A. It's not necessarily just the children. I could be walking with the grandmother of the boy that stays with us and I have gotten told to walk behind him.
Q. You have gotten told by someone else?
A. A white man.
Q. Okay. So, I mean, that's a pretty --
A. Because I'm walking with a black woman, yes.
Q. That's a pretty snap judgment that somebody is making, right?
A. Oh, yeah, yeah.
Q. And I guess so you have had some real hard, sometimes cruel examples of what happens when people judge too quickly?
A. Yes.
Q. And that, you know, if sometimes they don't take the time to look deeper and more carefully at a situation, they can completely misread you or the situation entirely?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Unfortunately, way too many people in this day and age still have closed minds I guess, right?
A. Yes, especially, yeah.
Q. Do you think there's people up here in Wisconsin like that too?
A. I don't notice it so much in Manitowoc because so many people know my family and know Eric. We did have one issue with, actually, a law officer. We have a lake and Eric and my husband were putting the pier in -- or the raft in, which is something that floats so many feet from your pier. And my
husband had yelled, go get the wrench, or whatever, so Eric just hopped on the paddle boat and took it over there. And the DNR was out and saw him on the paddle boat without a life jacket. And the first thing the law officer said was where's that's black boy, find that black boy. So needless to say, they both got tickets for $\$ 250$ for not having ... But when we brought up the black boy situation, we did get it dropped. So I do find that, yes, you know.
Q. Even up here, sure.
A. Yeah.
Q. That, of course, is sort of a racial bias --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- or snap judgment. But there could be other ways that people are biased as well and make snap judgments and, you know, make the wrong judgments, right?
A. Of course.
Q. Now, going to your knowledge or the information that you got when you -- it wasn't clear to me, I think you said it wasn't really the news so much that you -- the TV news that you so much heard about, it was what came on the radio and word of mouth, is that more how you have heard about this
case?
A. Yes.
Q. And --
A. Of course, the beginning of the case, like I said, was on the news constantly. I mean, if the TV was on, it was interrupted or something by --
Q. Right.
A. -- by that, but ...
Q. And the news conferences, did you see those?
A. I saw a few of them, I believe.
Q. Okay. Now, it sounds like, though, even when you first heard it come up -- come out, did you also hear any of Mr. Avery on the news or saying that he was framed, this isn't true?
A. I don't believe ever hearing him speak. I have seen pictures of him being released.
Q. Okay. What about, did you hear from word of mouth from other people that, the other side, he was saying right from the beginning, that I was framed or I'm being framed?
A. Yes, I have heard that.
Q. Okay. And it sounds like, at least initially, your sort of gut reaction was maybe that's right because I can't imagine him doing this, he just got out, right?
A. Right.
Q. And then as you heard a little bit more evidence that was being leaked out into the media, you started to question that?
A. Exactly.
Q. Okay. But it's only recently, now, that you started to reconsider that, now that you heard, well, hey, there is a blood vial of some sort, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$, yes.
Q. Okay. So, are you even open to the possibility that maybe he is being set up or was set up, framed?
A. I don't know. I don't know how to answer that. I don't know enough.
Q. It's not something that you completely wouldn't even consider, or is it?
A. Possibly. I mean, I guess, that's what put that little bit of doubt in my mind --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- you know, when $I$ first heard it, yes.
Q. Perhaps, if somebody was so biased against him that they would go to such a step?
A. I hate to think that would happen but ...
Q. Mm-hmm. Now, you -- you actually work in the
court system; it wasn't entirely clear to me what? You work with CHIPS cases, is that it; child in need of protection and services?
A. Some of them are.
Q. Okay. Some are, some aren't, some you get in without actual ...
A. The majority of them are CHIPS.
Q. Okay.
A. Some like us so much that are voluntary, they want that.
Q. Okay. But it sounds like you have had some exposure to the court -- a courtroom setting and the rules of court and all of that?
A. I do not go to court.
Q. Oh, you don't.
A. No, very rarely, unless a client wants me there for support. I will get a court order that says they need to comply with their weekly visits with me and follow through with, you know, whatever the judge may say at the time, you know.

I have had to -- I was court ordered to go to every doctor appointment, that kind of thing. But not necessarily do I come to the courtroom, no.
Q. Okay. But you do understand, it sounds like,
some of the basic principles of burden of proof?
A. Yes.
Q. And beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And that the State has to prove everything?
A. Yes.
Q. If -- On the other hand, if the defense -Mr. Fallon asked you, if -- if we just did nothing and didn't put on any kind of case or evidence at all, would you be able to look at the State's case and still decide if you have a reasonable doubt and if they haven't proved it to you, beyond a reasonable doubt, come back not guilty, right?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. Yes.
Q. Okay. If, on the other hand, we -- we did present some evidence, some witnesses, or cross-examination of the State's witnesses, presenting evidence to you, would you start thinking, well, okay, now I've got to see whether they have convinced me, whether the defense has proven to me that he is innocent?
A. Of course, yes, I mean --
Q. See --
A. I would have to take --
Q. Okay.
A. Am I reading you wrong when you ...
Q. No, I think what you're doing is perfectly natural. Most people want to hear both sides.
A. Right.
Q. And want to kind of weigh one against the other.
A. Right.
Q. But when you come into court, the instructions tell you -- the Judge will tell you that the State has the entire burden of proof and that even if we present any evidence, you don't shift the burden to us and say, oh, okay, now did the defense prove their case?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. You still have to keep your focus on, you know, your eye on the ball, which is, did the State prove their case, beyond a reasonable doubt --
A. Right.
Q. -- while considering the defense evidence, but not actually requiring us to prove anything?
A. Right.
Q. It's a little bit hard to do, but do you think you can do it?
A. I believe I could.
Q. Okay. Let me ask it this way. If -- If

Mr. Avery, with us, through us, was not able to prove who did this crime, would you still be able to find him not guilty, if you had a reasonable doubt whether he did it?
A. I believe that to find him not guilty $I$ would have to have no doubts in my mind at all.
Q. Okay. But to find him not guilty --
A. You would have to prove I would have no doubt. I would have to be 100 percent --
Q. Okay.
A. -- without a doubt.
Q. And that's even if -- even if we couldn't show who did kill her, let's say, even if we couldn't show the real person who did this crime, as long as you -- you still had doubts about whether he did it, you could -- you would come back not guilty?
A. If I had doubts that he still -- I guess I'm still not understanding.
Q. That's my fault. I guess I'm not being clear. Go ahead.
A. The State would have to prove to me that there is no doubt in my mind that he did not do it; that is what I feel. I'm not, like, maybe, maybe not, you know, that kind of person. But you would
have to, also, prove to me that there's no way he did it.
Q. We would have to prove that?
A. Well, yeah. I would like, you know, by your testimony, or whatever you show me, I would like to be able to walk away with a good conscience thinking Steven did not do this, or Mr. Avery did not do this.
Q. Okay. Well, let's --
A. I believe in my heart either he was set up, someone else did it, or something.
Q. So what if --
A. I'm not asking -- I'm not thinking you would have to give me a name and an address, that kind of thing. If that's what you mean.
Q. Yes, of the real killer.
A. Right. Right.
Q. Okay. All right. So what if after hearing all the evidence, including the defense evidence --
A. Okay.
Q. -- you thought, well, gosh, I don't know, maybe he did it, maybe probably Steven Avery did it but, gosh, $I$ just don't know, there's these real serious doubts I have. I'm not 100 percent convinced that he is innocent, on the other hand,

I have some reasonable doubts about whether he is guilty. Do you think you could come back with a not guilty verdict if you felt that way?
A. I guess it's hard to answer that right now. I would like to think that, in my heart, if I was 100 percent.
Q. See, what you -- what you have to focus on is --
A. The facts, I know. And, you know, I would have to be fairly confident in my answer. I mean, it's a -- it's a man's life --
Q. Right.
A. -- on the line. I would do my best, yes, I guess.
Q. Okay. So, if the Judge instructed you that what you really have to focus on is to be sure you have no doubt --
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- whether he is guilty; the focus is not whether you have no doubt whether he's innocent?
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you understand the difference?
A. Yes.
Q. Because otherwise you would be making him prove that he's innocent.
A. $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Do you see the difference?
A. Right.
Q. And you can do that?
A. I can try.
Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Now, since you have a friend in the sheriff's department, although, you didn't even know he was in the sheriff's department?
A. Well, I -- shows how much we talk work, yeah. I knew he was in the sheriff's department and he was in juvenile -- he worked with the juveniles. I did not know where his promotion led him to. I know where his office is, I know how to get to him, but $I$ don't know.
Q. Okay. All right. Do you -- Do you think you would have trouble facing him at your son's next basketball or football game if you came back not guilty?
A. No.
Q. Even if part of the defense was that somebody in his department crossed the line and was really trying to frame or set up Steven Avery?
A. No. I don't know whose in his department. No.
Q. That would not bother you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, I can tell that you are very dedicated to your job and your work, that's very important, right?
A. It is, yes.
Q. And that's fine. Can you look at your jury service the same way, that for the next six weeks this would be -- if selected, this would be your job and you would want to do just as good a job and just as thorough a job at this responsibility as your own job?
A. I would like to think so, yes.
Q. Okay. I'm almost done, but there's one last thing --
A. That's fine.
Q. -- I forgot, on what your prior knowledge would have been. You mentioned hearing a news conference when the case was first charged; do you -- do you know the name Brendan Dassey?
A. Yes.
Q. The nephew?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And have you heard some information about him and can you tell me briefly what that is?
A. What $I$ know about him?
Q. Yes.
A. Is that what you are asking me?
Q. Yes.
A. I know, probably what everybody else knows that, you know, he said he got off the bus. He heard some noise. He went to Mr. Avery's trailer, that part. And that he participated in Teresa's death.
Q. Okay.
A. Then a few weeks later, I heard that he said he did not do that.
Q. Okay. Can you -- Are you familiar with the -with anybody who has ever falsely confessed to something they didn't do, before?
A. No. No, usually it zaps them. The people I work with.
Q. Sure. Can you conceive of any reasons why somebody might, a 16 year old in particular?
A. I probably -- and this wasn't really touched on with you, sir, but he -- Branden?
Q. Brendan.
A. Yeah, my son is 16 so, of course, you know he knows friends from Mishicot. And when all this happened he said, someone he knew said that he was so quiet they couldn't imagine him doing that. He was just a quiet boy that they couldn't
imagine him doing that. And I can vividly remember my son coming home and saying that.
Q. Okay. What if -- what if the State didn't call Brendan Dassey at all in this case; would that be a problem for you?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Object to that question.
THE COURT: Based on the form of the question, $I$ will sustain the objection.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. Let me rephrase this.
Q. (By Attorney Buting) ~ What if, since you have heard that whole story, what if --
A. Which whole story are you talking about?
Q. The one you --
A. My son, or the first one.
Q. The one that you said you heard Brendan Dassey say about getting off the bus --
A. Right.
Q. -- and all of that?
A. Okay.
Q. Since you have heard all of that, what if you had -- had to sit through this trial and never hear any of that, never hear that story at all; would you be able to put that out of your mind and decide the case only on the facts here?
A. Apparently I would have to if it wasn't brought up. If it wasn't --
Q. If for some reason he didn't testify in this case and you never heard that story and any of it, or you heard some -- some of it, but maybe not all of it, whatever, the point being, could you block out any of that outside information you had heard and focus only on the evidence that you hear in court?
A. I would do my best.
Q. Okay. Well, knowing all of that, is this a case you think you would like to be on the jury? Do you want to be on this jury?
A. I don't know if anybody wants to be on a jury. I guess I'm very -- I'm like -- my friends are asking me that too. I'm very much, if it's meant to be, it's meant to be, you know.
Q. Okay.
A. I will do my best to abide by the law and, you know, do what I have to do. But, no, I don't want to give up going to State. I'm sure Roncalli is going to State this year. No, I would rather be doing that with my family, if that's what you are asking me. But if I get picked to serve, $I$ will serve and do it to the
best of my ability, yes.
Q. Okay. That's fine. Thank you. Very much.

## THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Yes, I want to clarify something.
A. Okay.
Q. And I don't want you to have the wrong impression.
A. Okay.
Q. And I'm not sure what you have. You keep saying if I'm not 100 percent sure, or the State would have to prove -- you said the State would have to prove that there's no doubt of his guilt?
A. Right.
Q. All right. Now, you understand that the State's burden of proof is to prove beyond a reasonable doubt?
A. Reasonable doubt.
Q. Not beyond all doubt.
A. Okay.
Q. Not to the exclusion of any doubt. It's not a hundred percent.
A. Okay.
Q. So, in other words, can you give the State a fair
shake. I don't want you to hold the State to a standard that the Court is going to instruct you is --
A. I think I'm thinking more in my mind, you know. I want to be -- be able to sleep at night too.
Q. Right.
A. You know, and -- but...
Q. Well, do you understand there's a --
A. Yes.
Q. A difference --
A. A reasonable doubt.
Q. Beyond all doubt --
A. Yes.
Q. -- no doubt and a reasonable doubt?
A. Right.
Q. All right. And you have that squarely in your head. And, well, if Judge Willis were to say, when it came time to start deliberations, you would have to determine whether he is guilty, beyond a reasonable doubt. In other words, you are not going to hold the State to a standard higher than that?
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. Do you accept that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have an idea in your head where that all lies out?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. The Court will describe it much -- in more detail later on but ...
A. And that's a lot of what, you know, I have never been on a jury before so some of that you will have to pinpoint for me and clarify.
Q. The Judge will take care of that.
A. That's his job?

THE COURT: I'm actually going to do some of that right now. I have a few questions to ask you.

## MS PIASKOWSKI: Okay.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:
Q. I'm going the try to phrase the questions as best I can, along the lines of the instructions that the jury would get at the close of the trial.
A. Okay.
Q. First, and the parties on voir dire, as they are permitted to do, you know, ask you for attitudes. But one of the things that -- one of the commitments we have to get out of jurors is that they are able to follow the instructions given by
the Court even if they might judge things differently in the absence of any instructions.

So, first of all, with respect to the burden of proof, the burden of proof is for the State to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Mr. Avery is guilty of any of these particular charges. Mr. Avery does not have a burden to prove anything. And at the end of the trial, the Court will instruct you that you can only find Mr. Avery guilty of any charge if you are convinced, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he's guilty. Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that an instruction you think you can follow?
A. Yes.
Q. And that means, for example, if you get in the jury room and you are thinking to yourself, well, you know, the State introduced some evidence to show that he is guilty. And, you know, I think there's a chance that Mr. Avery is guilty, but I have also got some serious doubts.

And while I know in your answers you said you would like to be 100 percent sure, you might not be 100 percent sure, either of guilty or not guilty. But unless you are sure, beyond a
reasonable doubt, that he is guilty, you would have to vote not guilty; is that an instruction you can follow?
A. Yes.
Q. If I instruct you at the end of the case that those are the rules, can you follow those?
A. Yes.
Q. One other thing I wanted to mention related to, you, like many of the jurors, have been exposed to some publicity concerning this matter. And as Mr. Buting explained, if you're selected to serve as a juror, another important instruction will be that you have to base your decision only on the evidence that you hear in court.

Some of the information that's been on the news may not be brought forward as evidence at court. And you can't be speculating, well, did that not come in because it didn't happen, or because of some other reason.

You just have to base your decision only on what you hear in the courtroom. And you can't wonder why other evidence that you may have thought you were going to hear didn't come in. And you can't speculate about why it didn't come in.

I know you have indicated today that you have heard some things in the news that tend to make you feel both ways. But if you're selected as a juror, you have to commit to make your decision only on the evidence that does come in and what you do hear; that is, what you do hear in the courtroom. Do you feel that you can do that if you are selected as a juror?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Do you have any questions about it that you want to ask?
A. No. No. I have a 12 year old that is very good about, if it's on the radio, he will turn it off. He says, mom can't hear that, or the paper, gets rid of that ever since, so.

THE COURT: All right. At this time, Linda -- we'll have Linda escort you out of the courtroom.

MS PIASKOWSKI: Okay. Thank you.
(Wherein the juror was excused.)
THE COURT: Now, counsel any motions from
either party?
ATTORNEY FALLON: None from the State. ATTORNEY BUTING: None from the defense. THE COURT: All right. Ms Piaskowski will
be made a part of the jury panel. And I believe that brings us to 30; is that the count of everyone?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's what I have.
THE COURT: Janet, $I$ will ask you first.
THE CLERK: That's what I have.
THE COURT: Okay. Now, with respect to proceedings from this point forward, the parties made a suggestion earlier today to the Court that was on a tentative basis, I believe, depending on events today, which we have done that; we have 30 jurors. The parties would be prepared to exercise their peremptory challenges tomorrow morning at 9:00; is that correct?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes.
THE COURT: That works for both parties?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I think 9:00 is fine if we're out of here pretty soon.

THE COURT: Well, we're going to be out of here pretty soon.

ATTORNEY STRANG: That's fine.
THE COURT: We're not dealing with anything else today. So ... All right. We'll meet back in this courtroom, then, at 9:00 tomorrow for peremptory challenges.

And it's also my understanding, the
parties suggested to the Court and as I think about it, I think it's a good suggestion, that we address the defense motion regarding the State's demonstrative exhibits that it wishes to make part of its opening statement, tomorrow afternoon in Chilton. 1:00?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.
THE COURT: Does that work for the parties?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure.
ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes.
THE COURT: I also would like to address any comments that the parties have regarding my opening instructions, at that time.

ATTORNEY STRANG: In Chilton?

THE COURT: In Chilton. And I will ask the parties at this time, is there anything else they feel should be on the agenda for tomorrow afternoon?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Just a little
clarification, are we doing the peremptories with the jurors here in the courtroom or are we doing that --

THE COURT: No, they will be here in the courtroom.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Okay. They will be here in the courtroom and then we'll be back and forth
and when we get -- we have exercised all of them, the Clerk will read them off and the rest will go.

THE COURT: Right. Normally, the attorneys sit at counsel table and pass the sheet back and forth.

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine.
ATTORNEY BUTING: I just wanted to be sure we're still doing it that way.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Right. That was my concern as well, to make sure that all 30 were here.

THE COURT: They will be here.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay.
THE COURT: Now, because of seating, some of them will be in the front row or the front two rows of the audience behind you. We can't fit 30 jurors in the jury box. But I know the parties like to be able to see their faces, so you will be able to do that.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Good.
THE COURT: Anything else on the agenda for tomorrow afternoon?

ATTORNEY STRANG: No. And it's at least possible that $I$ may be flying solo at that hearing; we haven't decided that entirely.

THE COURT: Okay. For the benefit of the
news media here, that means that the no camera rule will still be in effect in the morning for the jury selection. However, I think the parties, and perhaps the media as well, may want to use tomorrow afternoon's proceedings in Chilton as a dry run. Those proceedings will be open to the public and cameras are permitted. So I think that addresses media concerns. Anything else before we adjourn today?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I can't think of anything, but for whatever reason I just -- I seem to be -- I just have this gnawing feeling that there is something we're omitting, but not of any great consequence that we can't deal with tomorrow.

THE COURT: All right. We will see you at 9:00 tomorrow.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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bring [2] 4/11 5/16
bringing [1] 57/9
brings [1] 95/2
brought [6] 22/6 63/12 64/15 75/9 88/1 93/16
Brown [1] 10/13
bubble [1] 50/21
building [2] $22 / 22$ 68/8
bumps [1] 39/3
burden [11] 26/12 26/20 38/25
65/22 79/1 80/10 80/12 89/17
92/4 92/4 92/7
burned [2] 47/25 48/9
burner [1] 72/8
burning [1] 57/14
burns [2] 33/16 33/16
bus [2] 86/4 87/17
Bushman [2] 22/14 23/7
business [4] 17/19 21/9 32/18
$55 / 2$
busy [2] 18/18 56/2
BUTING [6] $1 / 182 / 1131 / 1772 / 17$ 72/25 93/11

## C

called [7] 4/6 14/9 27/19 31/2
58/1758/1769/11
Calumet [4] 9/4 16/5 48/12 48/18
camera [1] 98/1
cameras [8] 8/7 8/14 15/9 15/15
53/21 54/2 62/17 98/7
candor [1] 73/2
Cap [1] 55/1
car [6] $11 / 9$ 11/12 $11 / 15$ 23/8 23/9
42/21
card [1] 20/6
cards [2] 19/21 20/5
care [3] 3/9 60/8 91/9
career [1] 19/18
carefully [2] 74/11 99/8
carry [1] 43/5
cars [4] 22/17 23/1 35/21 42/18
case [69] 1/5 7/7 7/13 7/18 7/24
8/3 8/13 9/5 10/17 11/19 12/2
12/24 13/20 14/5 14/17 14/23
15/2 15/14 16/4 21/12 24/11
24/15 24/23 26/1 27/1 27/14
27/20 27/25 28/1 28/4 29/1 37/19
38/1 38/24 44/25 47/25 48/17
52/19 53/4 53/11 53/15 53/18 54/1 54/17 59/10 60/14 61/9 62/1 62/4 62/10 62/22 65/2 65/2 65/8 68/21 68/22 71/9 76/1 76/4 79/9
79/11 80/13 80/17 85/17 87/4
87/25 88/3 88/11 93/5
cases [5] 3/25 49/10 59/21 59/23
78/2
casual [2] 24/5 24/7
casually [1] 55/20
cause [7] 3/13 4/3 13/16 13/20
47/7 50/18 69/21
center [1] 20/5
certain [1] 56/11
certainly [1] 49/1
certificates [1] 23/15
certify [1] 99/6
CF [1] $1 / 5$
challenges [2] 95/12 95/24
chance [6] 14/10 35/23 47/9 47/12
67/13 92/20
change [2] 32/17 33/21
changed [1] 63/1
changes [1] 22/20
charge [2] 46/12 92/10
charged [4] 36/8 36/11 60/18
85/17
charges [1] 92/7
check [1] 49/22
checked [2] 36/24 56/5
Chesapeake [2] 30/13 30/17
Chien [1] 18/13
child [5] 55/12 55/13 68/10 70/25
78/3
children [11] 10/1 24/8 56/14 57/9
57/13 57/13 57/18 59/23 67/9
70/16 73/20
Chilton [4] 96/6 96/14 96/15 98/5
CHIPS [2] 78/2 78/7
choice [1] 30/25
choose [2] 28/6 35/19
chose [3] 27/1 40/21 66/9
chosen [1] 15/18
church [1] 20/14
CIRCUIT [3] 1/1 1/10 99/5
City [2] 10/3 18/14
claimed [1] 5/10
Clancy [2] 46/1 46/2
clarification [1] 96/19
clarify [2] 89/6 91/8
clear [3] 75/21 78/1 81/20
Clerk [3] 6/23 52/14 97/2
client [1] 78/16
close [4] 29/21 48/5 53/18 91/19
closed [1] 74/16
clothing [1] 43/18
coast [1] 30/14
Code [1] 34/3
cold [1] 20/1
colleagues [1] 26/12
Collectively [1] 31/19
comfortably [1] 16/17
coming [1] 87/2
comments [1] 96/12
commit [1] 94/4
commitments [1] 91/24
committing [1] 28/5
common [1] 38/6
communication [1] 19/10
community [3] 47/10 55/3 56/9
competent [1] 33/15
complaints [2] 33/6 33/11
completed [2] 14/4 52/19
completely [2] 74/12 77/16
comply [2] 61/19 78/18
computer [1] 99/10
computer-assisted [1] 99/10
computerized [1] 99/9
conceive [1] 86/16
concentrate [1] 39/6
concern [4] 11/18 48/6 50/14
97/10
concerning [1] 93/10
concerns [1] 98/8
concluded [1] 98/17
conference [1] 85/17
conferences [1] 76/9
confessed [1] 86/12
confidence [1] 59/5
confident [1] 83/9
congenial [1] 42/22
conscience [1] 82/6
consequence [1] 98/14
consider [10] 28/17 29/10 38/8
38/13 40/15 40/22 43/21 66/11 70/4 77/17
considering [1] 80/19
constantly [1] 76/5
contact [1] 22/10
context [1] 49/23
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { continue [3] } 7 / 22 & 15 / 18 & 53 / 9\end{array}$
Continued [1] 3/1
continuing [1] 14/22
contracted [1] 55/9
convince [3] 26/21 66/12 66/13
convinced [5] 39/22 66/22 79/21
82/25 92/11
coordinator [1] 43/11
correct [13] 3/21 7/3 9/18 10/1
10/2 10/5 27/11 38/3 40/14 66/1
74/14 95/13 99/12
corrections [1] 56/8
Cosmetology [3] 17/15 32/24 34/1
could [22] 5/15 6/16 10/8 16/22

26/1 40/22 40/24 44/3 44/20
48/22 63/9 63/11 65/15 66/19
67/2 69/14 73/20 75/15 80/24
81/16 83/2 88/6
couldn't [5] 63/15 81/12 81/13 86/24 86/25
counsel [6] 3/4 3/23 47/21 64/25 94/21 97/4
count [3] 51/6 52/9 95/2
country [4] 5/17 47/1 47/8 47/11
county [19] $1 / 19 / 410 / 15$ 16/6
18/6 18/11 18/12 18/12 18/12
18/14 22/2 22/2 35/23 36/4 47/10 48/1 48/13 48/18 99/2
couple [11] 5/11 17/2 17/3 23/23
27/19 29/4 31/4 35/24 54/24 64/14 69/17
course [15] 23/16 26/3 45/1 47/2
49/7 60/17 60/24 62/16 65/4
69/13 75/13 75/19 76/4 79/23
86/21
courses [3] 18/25 19/14 56/11
court [54] 1/1 1/10 1/25 2/13 4/2
$5 / 15$ 5/16 5/22 6/1 8/6 8/7 8/20
12/21 13/19 14/18 14/21 15/6
15/8 15/21 37/2 37/24 38/2 38/9
$40 / 2043 / 1445 / 545 / 751 / 2452 / 5$
53/19 53/20 54/8 61/14 64/24
65/9 78/1 78/12 78/13 78/14
78/17 78/21 80/8 88/9 90/2 91/4
92/1 92/9 93/14 93/17 95/8 96/1
99/4 99/5 99/19
courtesy [2] 24/6 29/18
courtroom [20] 3/4 8/8 13/10 15/9
$15 / 15$ 26/20 37/21 47/18 49/3
53/21 54/2 78/12 78/24 93/21
94/7 94/1 8 95/23 96/20 96/23
96/25
courtrooms [1] 41/20
cover [1] 8/14
coverage [5] 12/7 12/9 53/9 61/16 62/10
covered [1] 73/5
covering [2] 15/15 54/2
covers [1] 11/4
credibility [3] 68/23 69/1 69/3
Creek [1] 34/19
cribbage [1] 20/10
crime [6] 31/2 36/10 36/13 36/16 81/2 81/14
crimes [2] 36/7 36/11
criminal [1] 38/24
cross [3] 20/15 29/16 79/18
cross-examination [1] 79/18
crossed [1] 84/21
cruel [1] 74/7
CSI [1] 31/2
current [1] 3/18
currently [1] 54/24
Curt [1] 21/12
customer [1] 33/22

## D

daily [1] 25/4
Dassey[3] 85/18 87/4 87/16
date [2] 1/871/1
Dated [1] 99/15
daughter [3] 10/1 10/6 10/12
day[10] 1/4 5/6 7/20 14/19 22/13
25/6 53/6 73/8 74/15 99/15
days [2] 19/25 57/11
daytime [1] 72/10
dead [1] 36/17
deal [3] 4/21 68/9 98/14
dealing [1] 95/21
DEAN [3] 1/16 31/17 72/25
death [1] 86/7
Debra [1] 50/5
December [1] 20/17
December 31st [1] 20/17
decide [8] 26/1 $29 / 138 / 139 / 18$
41/3 65/2 79/11 87/25
decided [2] 45/13 97/24
deciding[3] 28/18 37/14 37/18
decision [16] 12/1 26/6 26/8 28/23
37/14 38/18 38/21 39/19 65/7
65/18 66/4 67/3 69/11 93/13
93/20 94/5
dedicated [1] $85 / 2$
deeper [1] 74/11
defendant [5] 1/7 1/17 1/19 1/20
28/14
defense [13] 13/7 31/19 50/17
64/24 73/1 79/7 79/21 80/13
80/19 82/19 84/20 94/24 96/3
degree [1] 32/1
deliberate [2] 8/5 53/17
deliberations [1] 90/18
Deliver [1] 22/23
Delta [2] 57/14 57/22
demit [2] 32/6 32/8
demonstrative [1] 96/4
department [23] 9/8 9/19 10/4
16/3 17/12 17/14 22/17 32/12
$32 / 15$ 34/19 34/20 35/4 42/2 42/4
42/8 54/16 67/20 67/20 84/6 84/8
84/10 84/21 84/23
Department of [1] 17/12
depending [2] 51/5 95/9
depends [4] 50/24 60/2 71/9 71/9
depth [1] $42 / 19$
describe [1] 91/4
despite [1] 11/25
detail [4] 29/11 70/7 73/9 91/5
details [5] 29/21 60/22 60/23
60/24 70/20
detective [1] 67/10
determine [3] 56/17 56/23 90/19
developed [2] 4/17 59/4
deviate [1] 50/24
device [1] 49/2
devices [1] 48/15
Diane [4] 1/24 3/1 99/4 99/19
difference [4] 39/9 83/21 84/1
90/10
differently [1] 92/2
difficult [1] 12/19
dire [14] $1 / 47 / 98 / 89 / 114 / 9$
15/23 31/15 52/21 53/22 54/9
72/19 89/4 91/15 91/21
direction [1] 11/21
directly [2] 11/17 21/9
director [1] 21/4
Directors [1] 71/18
disability [1] 48/8
disclose [2] 15/11 53/23
discuss [1] 62/5
discussing[2] 15/2 53/15
dislike [1] 30/22
District [2] 9/4 16/6
division [1] 67/11
DNR [1] 75/3
do [160]
doctor [1] 78/22
does [13] 5/21 12/5 15/8 40/16
$41 / 243 / 551 / 16$ 53/20 63/9 67/19

| D | en | eye-opening[1] 58/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| does... [3] 92/7 94/5 96/8 | enough [3] 24/10 72/14 77/15 | F |
| doesn't [8] 26/19 28/15 28/15 | entire [2] 9/10 80/10 | 97/17 |
| 29/18 43/17 43/20 44/14 68/10 | entirely [3] 74/13 78/1 97/24 | facing [1] 84/16 |
| doing [14] 18/25 35/3 35/16 70/24 | equal [1] 43/17 | fact [7] 7/22 12/8 14/21 34/22 |
| 71/1 72/1 76/24 80/3 86/24 87/1 | equally [1] 38/24 | 46/15 65/21 68/18 |
| 88/23 96/19 96/20 97/8 | Eric [3] 74/21 74/23 75/2 | facts [5] 25/20 36/25 44/18 83/8 |
| Don [1] 46/2 | escort [4] 13/10 22/20 47/17 94/17 | 87/25 |
| DONALD [3] 2/6 13/21 13/23 | escorted [1] 22/22 | fail [1] 26/15 |
| done [6] 11/15 26/6 34/17 37/3 | escorting [1] 35/21 | failure [1] 28/18 |
| 85/12 95/10 | escorts [1] 23/1 | fair [10] 24/10 24/12 38/21 59/5 |
| door [1] 38/7 | especially [2] 46/3 74/1 | 59/5 59/11 64/19 68/1972 |
| doors [1] 29/17 | essential [1] 37/17 | $89 / 25$ |
| doubt [37] 26/14 26/22 27/7 39/22 | evaluate [3] 68/23 69/1 69/2 | $\text { fairly [2] } 71 / 23 \quad 83 / 9$ |
| 40/2 40/6 40/13 40/14 64/18 | evaluation [1] 26/25 | FALLON [17] 1/14 2/7 2/10 2/12 |
| 65/17 66/1 66/14 66/22 77/19 | even [21] 15/3 39/21 44/5 51/19 | 9/7 9/7 15/21 16/2 31/21 37/11 |
| 79/3 79/12 79/13 80/17 81/4 81/8 | 53/16 61/21 62/6 66/8 67/13 | 48/20 48/22 54/8 54/15 73/4 79/8 |
| 81/11 81/23 83/16 83/19 89/14 | 73/18 75/1176/11 77/11 77/17 | 89/3 |
| 89/18 89/19 89/20 89/22 90/11 | 80/11 81/12 81/12 81/13 84/7 | falsely [1] 86/12 |
| 90/12 90/14 90/14 90/20 92/5 | 84/20 92/1 | familiar [4] 17/7 55/13 65/23 |
| 92/11 93/1 | evening [2] 19/14 72/23 | 86/11 |
| doubts [6] 81/6 81/15 81/18 82/24 | event [6] 8/17 15/18 51/18 54/5 | families [3] 70/3 70/12 72/2 |
| 83/1 92/21 | 63/12 63/18 | family [21] 5/13 5/20 8/3 15/3 |
| down [16] 12/10 27/18 29/14 32/4 | events [1] 95/10 | 23/25 34/21 43/10 53/16 55/16 |
| 34/11 57/17 57/18 57/22 58/1 | ever [5] 30/7 56/22 76/15 86/12 | 55/17 56/19 57/10 58/19 58/21 |
| 58/4 58/15 58/21 58/23 58/25 | 94/15 | 60/3 60/10 65/6 70/18 70/25 |
| 59/3 73/15 | every [3] 25/6 33/22 78/22 | 74/21 88/23 |
| draft [1] 47/5 | everybody [8] 24/6 41/13 41/16 | far [3] 25/11 33/15 61/4 |
| drafted [2] 46/2 | 43/24 56/20 60/25 61/25 86/3 | fault [1] 81/20 |
| drew [2] 33/23 34/ | everyone [1] 95/2 | favorite [1] 67/5 |
| driver [1] 20/14 | everything [8] 26/4 26/5 37/12 | FEBRUARY [1] 1/8 |
| drop [1] 42/21 | 37/18 37/19 37/20 41/8 79/5 | feel [6] 57/4 63/4 81/24 94/3 94/7 |
| dropped [1] 75/ | evidence [36] 12/2 15/4 25/20 27/2 | 96/17 |
| drugstore [1] 18/1 | 27/2 27/22 38/1 38/8 39/12 40/4 | feeling [3] 12/24 48/7 98/12 |
| Drumm [1] 21/12 | 40/23 40/23 40/24 44/15 44/16 | feelings [2] 36/20 43/2 |
| dry [1] 98/5 | 45/11 65/3 65/8 65/19 66/10 | feet [1] 74/25 |
| Du [1] 18/13 | 66/10 66/20 77/2 79/10 79/17 | fellow [3] 27/5 34/8 46/23 |
| due [1] 21/18 | 79/19 80/11 80/19 82/19 82/19 | fellows [1] 47/8 |
| Dunes [1] 21/15 | 88/8 92/18 93/14 93/16 93/22 | felt [2] 66/19 83/3 |
| during [10] 3/4 8/8 14/17 26/3 | $94 / 5$ | few [10] 16/10 21/4 21/11 22/15 |
| 28/4 49/7 53/21 65/3 69/13 72/10 | exact [1] 27/16 | 47/25 54/18 59/8 76/10 86/9 |
| duties [1] 18/2 | exactly [5] 22/12 56/21 57/21 | 91/12 |
| duty [1] 14/20 | 64/12 77/5 | fictions [2] 44/10 46/1 |
| E | examination [16] 2/4 2/7 2/8 2/10 | filled [1] 7/6 |
|  | 2/11 2/12 2/13 6/21 9/1 15/23 | financial [1] 5/11 |
| each [7] 3/25 7/10 7/20 14/9 14/19 | 31/15 54/9 72/19 79/18 89/4 | find [8] 12/19 58/7 75/6 75/10 |
| 52/22 53/5 | 91/15 | 81/3 81/5 81/7 92/9 |
| earlier [1] 95 | examining [5] 17/16 32/25 34/1 | fine [10] 17/4 17/5 49/13 54/14 |
| earth [1] 29/14 | 34/2 34/4 | 85/5 85/14 89/2 95/16 95/20 97/6 |
| east [1] 30/14 | example [1] | finish [1] 62/6 |
| easy [2] 42/25 71/8 | examples [1] 74/7 | fire [2] $34 / 1934 / 19$ |
| eat [1] 21/19 | except [4] 25/8 32/7 46/10 46/15 | first [20] 5/6 7/2 9/15 16/13 26/5 |
| effect [1] 98/2 | Exchange [2] 57/7 73/7 | 36/7 42/13 52/2 54/23 56/24 |
| efforts [2] 10/23 11/1 | exclusion [1] 89/22 | 60/25 63/14 75/5 76/12 77/21 |
| either[13] 4/7 4/8 5/21 18/21 | excuse [5] 6/2 13/19 51/24 52/4 | 85/17 87/15 91/21 92/3 95/4 |
| 36/19 39/25 47/21 63/10 63/11 | 64/8 | Fish [2] 20/23 21/5 |
| 64/20 82/10 92/24 94/22 | excused [9] 3/13 4/3 4/6 4/8 5/19 | fit [1] 97/15 |
| electrolysis [1] 18/1 | 13/11 47/20 50/18 94/20 | floats [1] 74/25 |
| else [8] 5/18 73/24 82/11 86/3 | exercise [1] 95/11 | floor [1] 18/2 |
| 95/22 96/16 97/20 98/8 | exercised [1] 97/1 | flying [1] 97/23 |
| employed [1] 32/15 | exhibits [1] 96/4 | focus [5] 80/15 83/7 83/15 83/18 |
| employment [2] 9/16 9/22 | expect [1] 69/9 | 88/8 |
| encouraged [2] 32/19 34/9 | experience [5] 20/20 29/5 29/6 | follow [16] 7/14 9/14 14/12 16/12 |
| encouragement [1] 34/15 | 43/9 58/14 | 37/10 46/17 52/25 54/18 56/12 |
| encourages [1] 48/14 | experiences [1] 29/7 | 65/15 71/15 78/19 91/25 92/14 |
| end [9] 7/19 14/18 37/15 37/25 | explain [1] 12/5 | 93/3 93/6 |
| 39/12 44/14 46/24 92/8 93/5 | explained [5] 9/12 35/5 37/10 | follow-up [4] 7/14 14/12 46/17 |
| ended [3] 32/4 46/5 46/25 | $40 / 1093 / 11$ | $52 / 25$ |
| enforced [1] 34/5 | exposed [2] 53/10 93/9 | followed [1] 45/2 |
| enforcement [15] 10/15 11/6 22/24 | exposure [4] 7/23 14/22 53/8 | following [1] 31/5 |
| 23/20 33/4 33/23 33/25 34/7 42/1 | $78 / 12$ | football [2] 68/17 84/17 |
| 42/5 44/2 68/4 68/1 1 68/20 69/1 | eye [3] 49/13 58/14 80/16 | Ford [1] 22/18 |


| F | great [4] 19/6 25/13 73/9 98/13 | 61/6 61/8 63/19 73/11 75/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { foregoing [2] } 99 / 7 \text { 99/7 } \\ \text { foresee [1] } 70 / 1 \\ \text { forgery [5] } 27 / 2127 / 21 \quad 27 / 25 \\ 27 / 2528 / 5 \end{array}, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | ry [2] 9/ | 16 87/21 88/4 88/5 88/7 |
|  | [1] 63 | hearing [16] 16/15 37/17 47/2 |
|  | 70/22 | 48/2 48/8 48/14 48/ |
|  | Guckeisen [2] 51/1751/20 | 49/2 49/8 63/19 76/15 82/18 |
| forgot [3] 70/2 71/15 85/15 form [2] 7/24 87/7 | guess [21] 11/4 12/7 12/10 | 85/16 97/23 |
|  | 9/19 22/5 41/14 58/7 | heart [3] 66/20 82/10 |
| formed [2] 25/21 70/9 forming [1] 70/8 | 69/20 71/5 72/15 74/6 | -of-hearts [1] 66/20 |
| formulating [1] 29/9 | /16 77/18 81/18 81/20 83/4 | ts [1] $66 / 20$ |
| forth[2] $96 / 2597 / 5$forward [2] 93/16 95/7 | $388 / 15$ | heat [1] 33/20 |
|  | ilt [6] 26/1 27/6 40/23 64/21 | held [2] 8/7 53/20 |
|  | /14 89/14 | Hello [1] 72/22 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { foster }[1] \quad 60 / 8 \\ & \text { found }[9] 11 / 111 / 1211 / 1528 / 2 \\ & 28 / 331 / 636 / 1736 / 2446 / 11 \end{aligned}\right.$ | guilty [49] 11/20 | help [7] 18/25 29/23 30/1 56/19 |
|  | 26/14 26/16 26/22 27/8 27/9 28/2 | 67/22 71/18 73/19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28 / 331 / 636 / 1736 / 2446 / 11 \\ & \text { four }[5] 25 / 845 / 351 / 351 / 461 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | 28/3 28/19 28/19 39/7 39/20 | helping [2] 29/14 56/ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { four }[5] \text { 25/8 } 45 / 351 / 351 / 461 / 13 \\ & \text { Fourteen }[2] 58 / 158 / 3 \\ & \text { frame }[1] 84 / 22 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 39/21 39/23 39/25 40/1 40/6 40 | helps [2] 17/6 19/10 |
|  | 40/8 40/11 40/12 46/15 62/23 | Herald [1] 25/5 |
| frame [1] 84/22 | 66/1 66/4 66/15 66/23 79/14 | Herald-Times [1] |
| framed [4] 76/14 76/20 76/20 | 81/5 81/7 81/17 83/2 83/3 83/1 | hereby [1] 99/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { friend [4] [4] } & 32 / 19 & 65 / 6 & 67 / 10 & 84 / 6 \\ \text { friends [4] } & 68 / 1 & 68 / 2 & 86 / 22 & 88 / 15\end{array}$ | 84/18 90/19 92/6 92/10 92/12 | Hermann [2] 21/22 23/7 |
|  |  | hey [1] 77/8 |
| frenfriendship [1] $21 / 6$friendships [1] 58/13 |  | hi [1] 24/2 |
|  | gut [1] | higher [2] 69/6 90/22 |
| friendships [1] $58 / 13$ front [3] 68/7 97/14 97/1 | guy [3] 29/11 41/7 41/7 | Historical [1] 22/2 |
| fun [4] $31 / 7$ 42/24 42/25 58/10 funds [1] $35 / 9$ | H | history [1] 3/19 |
| funeral [1] 35/25 | had [38] 6/12 6/14 9/21 10/16 | hitting [1] 19 |
|  | 8/16 | hobbies [1] 57/6 |
| further [3] 18/837/1 | (21 21/16 22/24 25/10 25/1 | hold [9] 4/12 11/21 |
| future [1] 71/3 | 25/16 27/2 27/6 27/21 27/22 | dr |
| G | 俦 25 48/21 56/5 56/11 58/20 | Holy |
|  |  | 5/16 |
| ```game [4] 20/23 21/5 52/8 84/17 games [1] 20/6 garages [1] 22/19 gave [3] 9/14 20/17 22/5 geez [1] 66/21 general [6] \(9 / 9\) 16/3 24/25 29/7 46/3 54/16 gets [4] 35/22 41/4 51/12 94/14 getting [1] 87/17 give [7] 18/3 40/5 40/5 47/5 82/14 88/21 89/25``` | 21 |  |
|  | 87/22 88/7 99/13 | $72 / 1387 / 2$ |
|  | hair [1] 33/ | Hon [1] 1/9 |
|  | Halbach [1] 11/8 | honest [1] 28/8 |
|  | half [1] 59/18 | Honor [2] 3/11 13/8 |
|  | hand [12] 6/23 13/25 17/18 21/10 |  |
|  | 23/16 23/23 49/7 52/14 55/25 | hopped [1] 75/2 |
|  | 79/7 79/16 82/25 | Horner [1] 46/3 |
|  |  | horrify [1] 36/9 |
|  | handling [1] 15/2 | Hospital [3] 24/1 34/21 43/1 |
| given [3] 18/10 23/15 91/25gives [3] 19/10 47/9 47/12 | Hansen [1] $34 / 8$ | hot [2] 41/7 41/23 |
|  | happen [5] 44/13 | hour [1] 59/18 |
| gives [3] 19/10 | 77/24 93/18 | hours [3] 23/16 60/1 60/2 |
|  | happened [4] 60/25 61/7 61/21 | however [2] 11/24 98/3 |
| gnawing [1] $98 / 12$goes [1] $25 / 11$ |  | human [6] 19/2 19/13 19/15 43 |
|  | ha | 55/9 61/5 |
| going [36] $9 / 2311 / 1616 / 2419 / 4$goin25/1 $32 / 1036 / 1636 / 2539 / 18 / 4$ | Harbortown [1] 34/23 | hundred [1] 89/23 |
|  | hard [5] 69/20 71/7 74/6 80/22 | husband [3] 5/14 74/23 75/1 |
| 41/4 41/5 41/8 44/19 47/5 47/5$47 / 1351 / 2251 / 2451 / 2556 / 13$ | 83/4 |  |
|  |  | I'Il [2] 31/12 |
| $67 / 14$ 70/21 75/20 88/21 88/22 90/2 90/21 91/11 91/17 93/23 | harmon | I'm [61] 4/10 9/4 9/23 10/10 11/16 |
|  | hate [1] 77/24 | 12/14 12/15 16/2 16/4 16/24 17/7 |
| 95/18 | haven't [5] 12/16 | 20/21 20/23 29/13 29/13 30/11 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { golf [1] } & 19 / 21 \\ \text { golfing [1] } & 20 / 4\end{array}$ | 97/24 | 30/13 30/20 31/10 32/7 32/10 |
|  | having [4] 22/7 42/1 68/24 75/8 | 32/10 39/22 43/9 44/23 45/23 |
| good [27] 9/3 9/11 15/25 16/1 <br> 16/7 19/17 20/3 31/18 32/21 33/1 | head [4] 66/5 66/16 | 47/13 49/25 49/25 50/3 54/14 |
|  | headlines [1] 25/11 | 54/15 54/17 54/21 55/16 58/2 |
|  | hear [27] 16/17 16/18 16/22 17/4 | 58/7 58/15 63/6 66/22 68/18 |
|  | 17/5 36/13 38/17 38/20 38/23 | 70/24 72/13 74/2 76/20 81/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54 / 1255 / 2459 / 868 / 168 / 272 / 21 \\ & 82 / 685 / 894 / 1296 / 297 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | 44/22 48/22 49/6 55/25 62/25 | 81/20 81/24 82/13 82/13 82/24 |
| gosh[3] 63/20 82/21 82/23 | 63/23 76/13 76/17 80/4 87/22 | 85/12 88/15 88/15 88/16 88/21 |
| gossip [1] 41/15 <br> gotten [3] 61/13 73/22 73/24 | 93/23 | 89/11 89/12 90/4 91/11 91/17 |
|  |  | I've [1] 79/20 |
| graduated [1] 46/25 <br> grandmother [1] 73/21 | [40] 12/9 | idea [4] 24/25 29/9 34/10 91/1 |
|  | 35/12 35/14 36/7 37/19 37/20 | identifies [1] $8 / 16$ |
| Grant [1] 18/13 | 38/2 38/9 38/13 39/13 39/14 | lidentity [2] 15/11 53/23 |
|  | 39/15 41/15 44/15 44/24 48/25 | imagine [6] 18/1859/4 65/23 |


|  | Jerome [3] $1 / 1831 / 17$ 72/25 job [12] 18/19 33/2 43/8 56/12 | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| imagine... [3] 76/24 86/24 87/1 | 56/18 73/13 85/2 85/8 85/8 85/9 | lake [1] 74/23 |
| immediate [1] 16/5 | 85/10 91/10 | Lakeshore [1] 54/25 |
| important [3] 30/6 85/3 93/12 | jobs [1] 68/22 | Larry [2] 67/16 67/17 |
| importantly [1] 11/24 | join [1] 13/17 | last [10] 5/7 16/12 20/1 25/8 45/2 |
| impression [2] 48/19 89/9 | joint [2] 3/22 13/12 | 46/16 51/15 54/19 69/22 85/12 |
| in [232] | judge [18] 1/10 5/23 5/25 6/2 | late [3] 27/17 31/23 31/25 |
| inclination [1] 38/19 | 8/24 9/12 13/6 13/14 16/19 24/16 | lately [1] 62/10 |
| include [3] 8/3 51/16 66/8 | 48/12 74/8 78/20 80/9 83/14 | later [4] 8/19 34/1 86/9 91/5 |
| including [3] 15/3 53/16 82/19 | 90/17 91/9 92/1 | laughing [1] 67/7 |
| indicate [3] 5/4 11/24 16/14 | Judge's [1] 25/16 | law [19] 1/16 1/18 10/15 11/6 33/3 |
| indicated [3] 5/10 71/17 94/1 | judgment [2] 74/3 75/15 | 33/23 33/25 34/7 42/1 42/5 44/2 |
| individuals [1] 48/14 | judgments [2] 75/17 75/18 | 56/7 68/4 68/11 68/20 69/1 74/22 |
| information [14] 7/14 7/24 14/13 | jump [1] 19/6 | 75/5 88/19 |
| 16/11 25/14 26/2 53/1 53/11 | juries [1] 48/9 | lawyers [2] 39/16 48/2 |
| 54/19 62/20 75/20 85/22 88/7 | juror [48] 3/5 3/14 3/14 3/14 3/16 | lead [2] 9/6 16/6 |
| 93/15 | 3/173/18 4/14 5/2 5/2 6/3 6/6 | leaders [1] 43/24 |
| informed [1] 3/4 | 6/10 6/25 7/13 13/11 13/13 13/20 | leadership [1] 71/17 |
| initial [1] 11/25 | 13/21 13/23 14/1 14/4 14/12 | league [4] 20/24 21/1 21/25 35/8 |
| initially [2] 5/10 76/22 | 15/13 25/25 29/7 31/12 47/20 | leaked [1] 77/3 |
| ink [1] 64/9 | 48/21 48/25 49/6 49/16 50/7 | learn [2] 25/19 45/8 |
| Inn [1] 21/17 | 50/10 52/1 52/1 52/16 52/25 54/1 | learned [2] 25/19 73/12 |
| innocence [2] 26/1 64/21 | 61/15 65/2 69/18 69/25 72/16 | least [7] 11/20 24/13 24/22 56/4 |
| innocent [14] 12/11 12/21 12/22 | 93/12 94/4 94/8 94/20 | 68/24 76/22 97/22 |
| 13/2 25/17 39/6 39/24 39/25 | juror's [2] 15/3 53/16 | leave [1] 38/7 |
| 46/11 62/23 79/22 82/25 83/19 | jurors [35] 2/2 3/3 3/13 4/2 4/4 | led [1] 84/12 |
| 83/24 | 4/7 7/17 7/23 8/2 8/10 14/16 | Ledvina [3] 67/16 67/18 67/19 |
| inspect [1] 18/3 | 14/19 14/23 15/1 15/4 15/11 | left [4] 5/15 16/5 34/23 42/10 |
| inspection [1] 33/8 | 15/17 26/8 26/21 27/5 48/16 | legally [1] 12/20 |
| inspections [1] 33/9 | 49/16 53/6 53/7 53/10 53/14 | Leist [2] 22/11 22/12 |
| inspector [1] 32/22 | 53/17 53/23 54/4 68/22 91/24 | less [3] 17/19 42/24 69/19 |
| inspectors [1] 42/14 | 93/9 95/11 96/20 97/16 | lesser [1] 69/6 |
| instance [2] 63/1 66/9 | jury[33] 1/4 7/6 7/8 8/4 8/12 8/15 | lessons [1] 73/12 |
| instruct [5] 12/22 37/25 90/2 92/9 | 8/16 8/18 14/8 15/8 15/19 27/10 | let's [15] 10/14 21/5 21/23 36/25 |
| 93/5 | 27/20 28/3 40/20 46/18 52/19 | 37/10 39/11 39/11 39/18 40/15 |
| instructed [2] 64/24 83/14 | 52/20 53/4 54/5 65/7 66/3 73/14 | 40/15 41/2 52/12 63/3 81/13 82/9 |
| instruction [3] 92/14 93/2 93/12 | 85/5 88/12 88/13 88/14 91/7 | letter [9] 24/16 24/22 25/16 45/4 |
| instructions [6] 15/20 80/8 91/18 | 91/19 92/17 95/1 97/16 98/2 | 45/7 61/13 61/17 62/2 62/7 |
| 91/25 92/2 96/13 | Justice [3] 9/8 16/3 54/16 | letting [1] $8 / 20$ |
| interact [2] 36/5 57/13 | juvenile [2] 67/11 84/11 | Liberia [1] 45/20 |
| interest [3] 11/1725/13 28/12 | juveniles[2] 68/12 84/11 | license [1] 18/4 |
| interested [3] 19/2 22/9 31/4 | K | licensing [5] 17/13 29/6 32/13 |
| interesting [7] 31/7 41/18 41/23 |  | 32/16 42/2 |
| 46/4 46/5 73/3 73/10 | Katie [1] 10/13 | lie [3] 44/3 44/4 44/1 |
| interests [1] 56/4 | keep [5] 49/12 55/25 56/2 80/15 | lies [1] 91/2 |
| internet [3] 8/1 14/25 53/12 | 89/11 | Lieutenant [1] 21/22 |
| interrupt [2] 35/11 35/12 | Ken [2] 9/3 16/5 | life [4] 29/8 73/13 75/4 83/10 |
| interrupted [2] 54/22 76/6 | KENNETH [1] 1/12 | lightening [1] 12/10 |
| into [10] 3/8 11/19 12/24 37/2 | Kenosha [1] 18/12 | likely [1] 42/6 |
| 56/13 57/3 71/2 73/9 77/3 80/8 | kept [2] 17/18 18/18 | limited [1] 18/6 |
| introduced [1] 92/18 | KICKLAND [10] $2 / 6$ 13/21 13/23 | Linda [5] 4/12 13/10 47/17 94/17 |
| investigate [1] 33/6 | 13/24 14/3 14/6 15/25 47/17 | 94/17 |
| investigation [3] 22/25 23/19 31/2 | 47/19 48/16 | line [3] 70/6 83/12 84/21 |
| investigative [2] 56/17 56/23 | kid [1] 68/14 | lines [2] 68/7 91/18 |
| investigator [1] 32/24 | kids [4] 59/17 59/23 60/4 73/18 | list [3] 5/2 11/5 49/17 |
| investigators [1] 42/14 | kill [1] 81/13 | listen [8] 19/8 26/4 26/4 26/24 |
| invite [1] 49/6 | killer [1] 82/16 | 37/12 41/9 41/11 44/17 |
| invited [1] 48/16 | kind [24] 12/12 17/18 20/1 25/9 | listened [1] 41/13 |
| involve [1] 33/11 | 29/10 31/3 36/3 45/24 45/25 55/2 | listening [1] 37/18 |
| involved [9] 10/18 11/7 11/12 21/1 | 55/6 59/25 63/1 63/2 63/1868/14 | little[23] 20/20 21/8 27/13 29/18 |
| 27/22 35/20 56/22 61/5 68/25 | 69/19 70/5 71/9 78/22 79/9 80/6 | 31/20 33/14 37/10 39/11 48/22 |
| Isaac[5] 20/24 21/1 21/24 34/21 | 81/25 82/14 | 57/5 59/12 63/2 64/25 69/19 |
| 35/7 | kinds [1] 45/17 | 69/19 71/5 71/11 72/7 73/6 77/2 |
| issue [5] 4/184/21 16/13 69/23 | Klan [1] 57/17 | 77/19 80/22 96/18 |
| 74/22 | knowing [1] 88/11 | live [2] 5/17 58/21 |
| J | knowledge [4] 33/14 75/20 85/15 | lives [1] 47/7 |
| jacket [1] 75/4 |  |  |
| jail [3] 22/2 35/10 62/16 | Kocourek [3] 21/24 23/11 35/7 | $\log$ [1] 39/3 |
| JAMES [3] $2 / 3$ 30/15 45/21 | Korean [2] 46/24 46/25 | long [8] 9/21 19/12 31/21 49/12 |
| Janet [2] 4/4 95/4 <br> January [2] 24/17 24/18 | KRATZ [8] 1/12 2/4 8/23 8/25 9/4 16/5 26/11 48/11 | 55/4 55/24 57/8 81/14 |

 71/2
looks [2] 27/14 50/15
lose [1] 41/8
lot [6] $32 / 5$ 34/17 41/15 57/18
70/19 91/6
lots [1] 58/18
loud [1] 48/24
love [1] 58/9
lover [1] 58/17
Lube [1] 22/20

## M

machine [1] 99/10
made [8] 32/17 35/24 42/24 42/25
48/10 63/18 95/1 95/8
Madison [2] 18/14 18/15
majority [1] 78/7
make [17] 26/6 26/24 28/13 32/21
38/18 38/21 49/5 57/3 57/8 69/11 69/23 75/16 75/17 94/3 94/4 96/4 97/10
makes [2] 28/23 56/6
making [3] 37/14 74/4 83/23
man [2] 37/1 73/25
man's [1] 83/10
managed [1] 64/8
manager [1] 9/17
MANITOWOC [10] 1/1 10/3 10/15
18/6 25/5 32/18 36/4 55/10 74/20 99/2
many[10] 7/13 21/2 21/2 24/8
35/1 73/16 74/15 74/21 74/25
93/9
Maritime [2] 21/17 22/18
Mary [2] 5/5 6/4
Mason [7] 20/19 20/20 20/21
20/21 31/22 32/7 43/23
Math [1] 67/5
matter [7] 29/18 30/25 43/18 43/20 93/10 99/7 99/13
maybe [24] 20/23 23/6 27/17
39/13 39/14 39/20 39/21 40/7
46/16 59/18 61/12 62/2 66/21
69/19 69/19 73/7 73/18 76/23
77/12 81/24 81/24 82/21 82/22
88/5
mean [20] 12/6 35/11 35/12 36/10
36/15 36/16 36/23 36/23 42/22
46/14 48/22 63/4 63/9 64/12 74/1
76/5 77/18 79/23 82/15 83/9
means [6] 14/18 53/5 53/9 61/1 92/16 98/1
meant [2] 88/16 88/17
media [11] 7/25 8/9 15/10 53/9
53/22 61/16 64/3 77/3 98/1 98/4 98/8
medicine [1] 56/8
meet [2] 34/24 95/22
meeting [1] 22/9
member [5] 10/3 20/19 20/23
21/15 65/6
members [7] 8/4 8/4 8/9 8/16 $15 / 3$ 15/1053/16
mention [1] 93/8
mentioned [2] 49/15 85/16
met [1] 23/22
Michener [6] 30/13 30/15 30/18 30/19 45/18 45/21
microphone [4] 16/24 48/20 48/24 49/5
microphones [1] 48/5
middle [2] 24/18 70/24
might [18] 12/11 $24 / 1139 / 20$
41/23 47/6 50/23 51/4 59/9 61/13 61/16 66/21 67/15 69/20 69/21
72/7 86/17 92/1 92/24
Mike [2] 22/14 23/7
Milwaukee [2] 18/11 32/5
mind [11] 29/2 30/24 32/1 63/1
64/18 65/17 77/19 81/6 81/23
87/24 90/4
minds [1] 74/16
Mishicot [2] 35/24 86/22
mislead [1] 42/20
misread [2] 20/25 74/12
miss [1] 47/7
missing [1] 36/17
Mississippi [5] 57/7 57/14 71/20
71/21 73/7
mistake [2] 45/25 48/10
mom [2] 60/5 94/14
moment [4] 61/12 64/8 71/10 71/14
Monday [3] 4/15 5/8 5/9
money [3] 21/25 22/5 22/6
Montessori [1] 55/21
moot [1] 52/6
morning [6] 14/21 20/8 42/17
59/16 95/12 98/2
most [9] $9 / 16$ 14/12 $18 / 14$ 52/25
58/10 58/10 59/14 73/4 80/4
mostly [1] 22/25
motion [8] 3/6 3/22 4/1 13/13
47/21 47/23 47/24 96/3
motions [2] 49/15 94/21
mouth [4] 64/5 64/6 75/25 76/18
move [2] 6/3 11/16
moving [5] 7/7 14/7 51/9 52/4 52/20
MR [9] $7 / 48 / 25$ 9/3 $14 / 6$ 16/5 47/19 48/11 48/22 92/6
Mr. [57] 3/20 4/12 5/24 6/3 6/19 6/22 7/2 8/21 8/23 9/7 9/25 11/16 11/20 12/8 12/20 13/9 13/19 13/24 14/3 $15 / 21 \quad 15 / 25 \quad 21 / 12$ 25/17 26/2 26/11 26/14 26/18 $31 / 1331 / 2134 / 836 / 1236 / 14$ $37 / 1139 / 2040 / 2145 / 1846 / 8$ 47/17 48/16 48/20 51/1751/20 54/8 66/9 72/17 73/2 73/4 76/13 79/8 81/1 82/7 86/5 89/3 92/7 92/10 92/20 93/11
Mr. Avery [18] 11/20 12/8 12/20 25/17 26/2 26/14 26/18 36/12 39/20 40/21 66/9 73/2 76/13 81/1 82/7 92/7 92/10 92/20
Mr. Avery's [3] 36/14 46/8 86/5
Mr. Buting [2] 72/17 93/11
Mr. Curt [1] 21/12
Mr. Fallon [9] 9/7 15/21 31/21
37/11 48/20 54/8 73/4 79/8 89/3
Mr. Guckeisen [2] 51/17 51/20
Mr. Hansen [1] 34/8
Mr. Kickland [5] 13/24 14/3 15/25 47/1748/16
Mr. Kratz [2] 8/23 26/11
Mr. Michener [1] 45/18
Mr. Strang [4] 3/20 5/24 8/21
31/13
Mr. Wichlacz [9] 4/12 6/3 6/19
6/22 7/2 9/25 11/16 13/9 13/19
Ms [9] 6/2 11/7 52/12 52/13 52/18
54/11 91/14 94/19 94/25
murder [1] 60/18
must [2] 26/15 66/3
my [69] 3/24 6/20 9/3 16/2 16/4 16/24 18/2 18/10 18/25 18/25 21/13 21/13 26/6 26/9 26/24 32/1 $32 / 17$ 34/6 34/24 41/14 43/2 43/9 45/25 47/1 47/9 47/10 48/19 49/2
$51 / 654 / 15$ 56/12 56/12 59/21
59/24 60/10 63/1 63/20 64/18 67/9 68/1 70/9 70/12 72/4 72/25
74/21 74/23 74/25 77/19 81/6
81/20 81/23 82/10 83/5 83/9
$83 / 12$ 86/21 87/2 87/15 88/10
88/15 88/19 88/23 89/1 90/4
95/25 96/12 97/9 99/9 99/13
myself[3] 26/11 29/12 49/4
N
name [13] 7/3 9/3 10/8 16/2 22/11 $34 / 854 / 1561 / 661 / 867 / 13$ 72/25 82/14 85/18
names [1] 8/10
natural [2] 38/19 80/4
necessarily [3] 39/4 73/20 78/23
need [11] 28/14 29/16 29/16 33/14
33/21 37/15 37/1651/6 70/4 78/3 78/18
needed [4] 18/1 56/18 56/24 62/8
needless [1] 75/7
needs [2] 34/4 36/24
neither [1] 39/4
nephew [1] 85/20
network [1] 24/1
neutral [7] 63/2 63/6 63/8 63/13
63/14 64/16 64/17
never [13] $21 / 1944 / 2057 / 1861 / 5$
61/6 61/8 67/5 67/13 73/11 87/22
87/23 88/4 91/6
new [1] 33/8
news [24] 7/24 8/9 8/11 12/7
15/11 45/6 53/9 53/22 53/24
59/13 59/14 59/20 62/9 62/13
64/12 75/22 75/23 76/5 76/9
76/13 85/16 93/16 94/2 98/1
newspaper [2] 14/24 53/12
newspapers [3] 7/25 59/15 72/1
next [13] 7/7 13/21 13/22 14/8
14/21 22/13 49/17 50/4 50/10
51/14 52/20 84/16 85/6
nice [2] 18/19 46/2
Nicholson [1] 50/6
nigger [1] 58/17
night [10] 18/25 31/3 59/19 59/20
59/21 59/24 62/13 72/11 72/12
90/5
nine [2] 42/9 58/20
nitty [1] 60/24
No. [14] 3/14 3/15 3/16 3/17 3/18
4/14 4/21 6/5 6/5 50/8 50/16
50/23 51/1351/22
No. 13 [1] 6/5
No. 30 [2] $4 / 2151 / 13$
No. 77 [2] 3/15 3/18
No. 78 [3] 3/14 3/16 3/17
No. 8 [2] $4 / 146 / 5$
No. 86 [2] 50/8 50/16
No. 90 [2] 50/23 51/22
Nods [2] 66/5 66/16
noise [1] 86/5
non [2] 44/10 46/1
non-fictions [2] 44/10 46/1
none [4] 46/9 46/9 94/23 94/24
Normally [1] 97/3
notes [1] 99/9
nothing [6] 22/24 23/9 23/9 23/19
45/10 79/9
notice [2] 8/19 74/20
notified [1] 54/7
novel [1] 30/15
novels [1] 30/16
nuclear [1] 35/24
number [3] 4/5 56/3 56/5
numbered [1] 50/1
numbers [1] 20/11
nurse's [1] 55/19

## 0

oath [5] 6/24 44/3 44/5 44/11
52/15
object [2] 45/14 87/6
objection [3] 5/21 45/16 87/8
objections [1] 49/17
objective [1] 3/15
obvious [1] 10/14
obviously [1] 73/1
occasion [1] 10/16
occasionally [2] 20/10 24/1
occupation [1] 9/17
off [9] 16/24 42/21 48/20 50/22
56/5 86/4 87/17 94/13 97/2
office [2] 24/16 84/13
officer [5] 10/15 44/3 69/2 74/22 75/5
officers [4] $42 / 543 / 343 / 669 / 12$
Official [3] 1/25 99/4 99/19
often [3] 58/4 58/23 68/12
oh [24] 6/11 6/16 20/25 22/22
32/15 35/5 45/20 45/22 46/9
$50 / 12$ 50/13 58/9 59/7 62/3 62/3
63/20 67/14 67/16 68/14 71/15
71/21 74/5 78/15 80/12
oil [1] 22/20
okay [132]
old [5] 29/19 32/17 56/15 86/17
94/12
oldest [1] 70/25
omit [1] 25/9
omitting [1] 98/13
once [3] 11/14 27/11 58/4
ones [1] 26/12
only [17] 5/15 9/10 23/4 26/19
27/2 37/14 38/1 38/17 38/24
66/10 77/6 87/25 88/8 92/9 93/13
93/20 94/5
open [7] 4/9 8/7 $15 / 7$ 29/17 53/20 77/11 98/6
opening[3] 58/14 96/5 96/13
opinion [14] 11/22 11/25 12/3
25/16 25/21 25/23 29/9 42/25
62/21 62/23 63/5 63/9 64/20 70/8
opinions [1] 70/10
opportunities [1] 35/2
opportunity [3] 7/11 9/13 52/23
or [106]
orchestrate [1] 70/17
order [8] 4/2 4/5 6/7 6/15 6/18
50/25 52/1 78/17
ordered [1] 78/21
organizations [1] 20/13
oriented [2] 29/11 70/7
originally [1] 5/5
ostracize [1] 73/17
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { other [56] } 3 / 84 / 4 ~ 4 / 7 ~ 8 / 1 ~ 8 / 4 ~ & 1 / 6\end{array}$ 11/6 14/25 15/4 18/12 19/23
$23 / 19$ 23/21 26/8 26/1 $126 / 13$
29/4 29/17 29/23 30/4 37/24 39/5
39/17 40/7 41/10 42/13 42/13 $43 / 744 / 1145 / 545 / 1845 / 21$ 45/21 45/21 45/22 49/16 50/1 53/13 53/17 62/22 65/5 69/3 69/5 69/7 75/15 76/18 76/18 79/7
79/16 80/6 82/25 89/25 90/20
93/8 93/19 93/22
Others [1] 45/22
otherwise [3] 37/4 68/15 83/23
ought [1] 48/8
our [4] $9 / 12$ 26/11 38/18 42/25
outside [2] 23/9 88/7
over[10] 20/17 21/16 34/18 35/9 43/5 46/4 51/9 51/11 52/8 75/3
overwhelming [1] 12/12
own [6] 18/25 32/17 40/17 48/19 70/23 85/10
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paddle [2] 75/2 75/4
PAGE [1] $2 / 2$
paid [1] 32/13
pain [1] 67/8
panel [4] 8/18 15/19 54/6 95/1
paper [8] 24/19 24/24 25/3 50/15
50/23 59/19 62/15 94/14
paragraph [1] 61/22
Pardon [1] 10/24
parents [1] 56/13
park [1] 68/6
part [12] 9/23 14/12 15/7 18/16
26/18 52/25 61/24 65/21 84/20
86/6 95/1 96/5
part-time [1] 9/23
PARTIAL [1] 1/23
participated [1] 86/6
particular [6] 35/4 60/14 62/24
68/21 86/17 92/6
parties [20] 3/12 4/13 5/4 7/11
13/12 14/10 49/15 51/8 51/23
52/22 91/21 95/7 95/11 95/15
96/1 96/8 96/12 96/16 97/16 98/3
party [6] 5/21 21/16 37/7 37/7
47/22 94/22
party's[1] 4/1
pass [3] 31/12 72/16 97/4
passed [1] 12/15
passing[2] 51/9 51/11
past [9] 20/19 20/23 21/4 38/11
38/11 38/14 38/15 41/11 46/8
patience [2] 29/16 54/21
patient [1] 33/22
PATRICIA [1] $2 / 9$
Patrick [1] 1/9
pay [1] 30/4
paying [1] 29/2
pays [2] 29/21 70/19
people [34] 17/24 19/1 19/8 21/11
22/15 29/15 29/17 29/23 30/4
$32 / 2033 / 15$ 33/1 5 34/25 36/1
36/5 36/6 36/20 36/22 39/17 42/5
42/10 43/7 48/7 56/10 63/19 70/9
$74 / 874 / 1574 / 1874 / 2175 / 16$
76/18 80/4 86/14
percent [8] 18/11 81/9 82/24 83/6
89/12 89/23 92/23 92/24
peremptories [1] 96/19
peremptory [2] 95/12 95/24
perfectly [1] 80/3
perhaps [2] 77/22 98/4
periodically [1] 49/3
perm [1] 33/16
permit [2] 15/9 53/21
permits [1] 59/19
permitted [12] 7/20 8/7 8/10 8/15
14/19 15/10 $15 / 16$ 53/6 53/23
54/3 91/22 98/7
person [13] 1/21 28/2 28/3 28/4
28/6 28/23 29/10 29/13 34/15
46/10 70/5 81/14 81/25
personally [1] 39/19
pertaining [1] 52/24
Pharmacy [2] 17/16 34/2
phonetic [1] 32/3
phrase [1] 91/17
PIASKOWSKI [8] 2/9 52/12 52/13
52/18 54/11 91/14 94/19 94/25
pick [2] 29/24 60/3
picked [2] 64/4 88/25
picture [4] 29/10 29/13 70/5 70/21
pictures [1] 76/16
pier [2] 74/24 74/25
Piggly [1] 9/20
pinpoint [1] 91/8
pitch [1] 30/1
place [3] 22/1 56/24 57/14
placed [1] 64/17
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4
plan [1] 18/2
plant [1] 35/25
planted [1] 45/13
planting[2] 44/16 45/11
play [4] 19/21 19/21 20/5 20/7
played [1] 20/8
please [8] 6/23 7/1 10/8 13/25
14/2 52/13 52/17 67/14
point [8] $4 / 5$ 8/21 $12 / 4$ 12/18
13/10 57/12 88/6 95/7
pointed [1] 11/21
police [4] 10/4 43/3 43/6 67/20
policies [1] 71/25
positions [1] 71/16
possibility [2] 40/16 77/11
possible [2] 44/2 97/23
Possibly [1] 77/18
potential [1] 4/18
practice [2] 33/12 33/20
Prairie [1] 18/13
prepared [2] 95/11 99/8
present [3] 3/3 79/17 80/11
presented [6] 26/3 27/3 65/3 65/9 65/19 66/1 1
presenting [1] 79/19
president [1] 21/4
press [1] 12/15
presumed [2] 12/21 12/22
pretty [15] 18/18 33/1 46/1 46/3
46/5 48/25 51/4 56/2 58/8 68/19
72/13 74/1 74/3 95/17 95/19
principal [1] 9/17
principle [1] 28/22
principles [1] 79/1
prior [3] 24/21 25/15 85/15
prison [1] 46/11
probably [12] 8/19 19/6 37/3
39/21 40/1 41/14 54/22 58/7
64/11 82/22 86/3 86/18
problem [10] 29/8 29/14 47/6 49/8
49/10 51/22 52/5 69/24 70/7 87/5
problems [1] 48/14
proceed [1] 54/8
proceedings [16] $1 / 233 / 17 / 208 / 6$
8/9 8/18 14/19 15/6 26/3 53/19
53/22 95/7 98/5 98/6 98/17 99/13
process [6] 7/8 14/8 15/8 52/21
70/12 71/2
program [12] 22/7 55/3 55/8 56/9 57/7 57/12 57/20 71/20 71/21
71/24 73/7 73/10
prohibited [4] 8/2 15/2 53/10
53/15
prohibition [3] 7/23 14/22 53/8
Project [1] 71/18
prominent [2] 57/17 71/23
promote [1] 57/20
promotion [2] 67/12 84/12
pronouncing [1] 7/3
proof [9] 26/13 27/23 45/12 65/22
79/1 80/10 89/17 92/4 92/4
property[2] 10/19 11/13
prosecution [1] 26/21
prosecutor [4] 1/12 1/14 9/6 16/7
prosecutors [2] 16/4 54/17
prospective [1] 61/15
protection [1] 78/3
protective [3] 55/12 55/14 65/24
prove [21] 26/13 39/4 39/5 39/24
40/1 1 65/25 79/5 80/13 80/17
80/20 81/2 81/8 81/22 82/1 82/3
83/23 89/13 89/14 89/17 92/5
92/8
proved [2] 39/7 79/12
proven [3] 27/6 40/12 79/22
provide [1] 5/18
provided [6] 7/14 14/13 16/11
53/1 54/19 62/20
provider [1] 57/2
providing [1] 70/4
proving [1] 38/25
psychology [5] 19/2 19/5 19/13 19/15 56/7
public [4] 15/7 46/14 63/25 98/6
publicity [9] 11/1811/20 14/23
24/12 24/14 24/23 59/11 60/13 93/10
pursuits [1] 19/23
put [10] $27 / 137 / 2056 / 1963 / 2$
66/10 70/18 72/7 77/18 79/9 87/24
putting [1] 74/23
puzzles [6] 30/7 30/8 30/9 30/21 30/22 30/24

## Q

qualifications [2] 14/11 52/24 question [14] 4/22 25/12 34/6 43/2 45/15 46/16 49/20 49/21 50/1 70/2 71/7 77/4 87/6 87/8
questioned [2] $4 / 84 / 8$
questioning [7] 6/3 8/22 15/19
37/11 50/18 52/1 54/6
questionnaire [12] 3/17 7/67/15
9/15 14/4 14/14 16/12 21/10
24/13 52/19 53/2 73/3
questionnaires [1] 3/25
questions [28] 7/12 7/13 7/14 7/17
9/13 13/5 13/7 $14 / 1114 / 1314 / 15$ 16/10 17/2 $17 / 3$ 29/5 48/2 48/4
50/1 50/4 50/5 52/24 53/1 53/3
54/18 54/24 59/9 91/12 91/17 94/10
quick [2] 22/20 46/7
Quick-Lube [1] 22/20
quickly [1] 74/8
quiet [2] 86/24 86/25
quite [3] $20 / 2 \quad 22 / 1262 / 19$

## R

racial [2] 57/20 75/13
Racine [1] 18/11
radio [7] 7/25 14/24 53/12 59/14
59/16 75/24 94/13
raft [1] 74/24
raise [5] $6 / 23 \quad 13 / 24 \quad 35 / 849 / 7$
52/13
random [1] 52/1
range [2] 18/8 35/9
rape [1] 46/11
rarely [1] 78/16
rather [3] 30/21 30/24 88/23
reached [3] 3/12 28/1 65/7
reaching [1] 26/8
react [1] 36/15
reaction [2] 36/9 76/23
read [17] 17/6 24/19 24/24 25/3
25/6 25/10 30/20 30/24 45/19
45/20 59/19 61/21 62/3 62/3 62/7 62/9 97/2
reader [2] 30/11 30/12
reading [8] 30/13 30/17 30/20
44/8 44/10 44/10 62/6 80/2
ready [1] 6/18
real [5] 31/8 74/6 81/14 82/16
82/23
realistic [2] 31/6 31/9
realize [1] 26/10
really [14] 5/17 23/22 37/5 42/19
58/11 58/11 58/16 64/20 70/19
73/16 75/22 83/15 84/21 86/18
reason [5] 41/3 45/5 88/3 93/19
98/1 1
reasonable [24] 26/14 26/22 27/7
39/22 40/2 40/13 40/14 66/1
66/1 3 66/22 79/3 79/12 79/13
80/17 81/3 83/1 89/17 89/19
90/11 90/14 90/20 92/5 92/11
93/1
reasons [3] 3/15 5/11 86/16
recall [1] 28/7
receive [2] 8/19 15/20
received [1] 15/5
receiving [2] 24/21 25/15
recent [2] 4/17 9/16
recently [2] 64/13 77/6
recognized [1] 5/14
recollection [1] 60/15
reconsider [1] 77/7
record [2] 3/3 46/14
red [3] 20/15 29/16 41/23
referrals [1] 57/3
referred [1] 7/8
refrain [1] 61/15
regarding [6] $4 / 18$ 14/23 16/10
49/16 96/3 96/12
registered [1] 22/13
regularly [1] 25/3
regulation [6] 17/13 17/14 29/6
32/13 32/16 42/2
related [3] 21/9 70/2 93/8
released [2] 46/10 76/16
reliability [1] 41/24
remain [1] 54/5
remember [7] 22/12 27/14 27/16
28/8 28/9 28/11 87/2
repairs [1] 22/19
repeat [1] 49/9
rephrase [1] 87/9
report [5] 8/20 14/20 15/20 24/13

54/7
reported [3] 1/24 3/1 99/6
Reporter [3] 1/25 99/5 99/19
reports [3] 8/11 15/12 53/24
represent [1] 9/5
request [2] 5/22 61/19
requested [1] 5/19
requiring [1] 80/20
residual [1] 48/1
respect [7] 5/12 42/15 43/10 43/13
43/23 92/3 95/6
respite [1] 68/13
response [2] 66/5 66/16
responses[1] 9/14
responsibilities [1] 5/12
responsibility [1] 85/9
responsive [1] 48/3
rest [2] 42/7 97/2
result [2] 18/20 56/9
retained [1] 8/17
retired [4] 17/8 21/18 32/7 32/9
retirement [1] 21/16
return [7] 7/21 14/20 26/15 40/3
53/7 53/8 60/7
returning [1] 16/8
review [1] 3/24
rewarding [1] 58/8
Richland [1] 48/1
Rick [1] 22/14
rid [1] 94/15
ridiculous [1] 44/20
rifle [1] 35/9
rifles [1] 22/1
right [165]
Rob [2] 10/9 10/11
Rock [1] 18/12
role [2] 56/12 71/23
roll [1] 3/8
Roncalli [1] 88/22
room [1] 92/17
routine [1] 16/14
row [1] 97/14
rows [1] 97/15
RPR [2] 1/24 99/19
rule [6] 40/25 41/1 44/19 44/21 65/15 98/1
rules [4] 28/16 43/14 78/13 93/6 run [2] 64/9 98/5
running [1] 46/5
S
sack [2] 60/11 60/12
sad [1] 36/23
said [22] 3/23 10/21 11/3 30/17
34/13 34/13 34/14 35/22 35/25
40/17 45/25 75/5 75/22 76/5 86/4
86/9 86/23 86/23 87/16 89/13
92/23 99/13
same [7] 19/16 42/16 43/10 43/22
58/20 69/2 85/6
sat [1] 27/20
saw [4] $27 / 361 / 2475 / 476 / 10$
saying [8] $41 / 5$ 48/23 61/25 63/20
76/13 76/19 87/2 89/11
says [5] 37/7 37/7 41/6 78/17 94/14
Scene [1] 31/2
scheduled [1] 34/23
school [5] 17/11 17/25 55/21
59/24 60/4
schooling [2] 18/21 56/4
score [1] 33/1
search [4] 10/19 10/23 10/25 11/7
seat [1] 41/7
seated [6] 5/8 5/9 7/1 9/6 14/2
52/17
seating [1] 97/13
second [1] 4/12
secretary [1] 22/11
seem [1] 98/11
seems [2] 27/16 73/10
seen [1] 76/16
selected [18] 5/2 6/7 6/17 7/18
8/12 14/16 15/13 25/25 51/12
53/4 53/25 65/1 69/17 69/25 85/7
93/11 94/3 94/8
selection [5] 7/8 14/8 15/8 52/21 98/3
Self [1] 71/18
semester [2] 19/14 19/16
send [2] 18/1 42/18
sending[1] 72/2
senior [1] 20/5
sense [3] $33 / 3$ 38/7 56/6
sent [1] 34/12
Sentry [1] 9/20
separate [1] 62/2
September [1] 99/15
sequestered [3] 7/19 14/17 53/5
serious [2] 82/24 92/21
serve [15] 7/12 7/18 8/12 14/16
15/13 27/10 46/17 47/1 47/9 48/8
48/15 48/16 88/25 88/25 93/11
served [1] 47/8
service [3] 34/9 57/1 85/6
services [11] 43/11 55/9 55/12
55/14 56/18 56/24 61/5 65/24
68/10 70/4 78/3
session [1] 53/20
set [10] $12 / 1 \quad 13 / 330 / 2134 / 338 / 6$
60/2 77/12 77/12 82/10 84/22
setting [3] 71/24 71/24 78/12
several [1] 11/6
shake [2] 23/16 90/1
shakes [1] 23/24
share [1] 67/8
sheepedhead [1] 20/8
sheet [1] 97/4
sheriff [4] 23/12 35/7 67/23 67/24
sheriff's [8] 22/16 35/4 42/4 42/8
67/19 84/6 84/7 84/10
shift [1] 80/11
shock [2] 36/8 36/14
shop [6] 17/25 17/25 41/12 41/12
68/5 68/8
short [1] 57/8
shorthand [1] 99/10
shortly [3] 46/25 54/7 64/11
should [11] 4/21 8/13 13/2 13/15
22/10 37/22 48/16 49/3 53/25
54/1 96/17
shouldn't [1] 46/9
show [10] 8/15 15/16 29/17 31/1
$31 / 654 / 381 / 1281 / 1482 / 592 / 19$
showing [1] 39/7
shows [2] 46/14 84/9
Shrine [2] 32/4 32/8
sic [3] 20/8 45/20 46/2
side [1] 76/18
sides [6] 26/24 38/20 38/23 38/23
39/16 80/4
sign [1] 34/14
Silver [1] 34/19
simply [1] 40/22
since [7] 35/1 42/9 55/13 84/5
87/11 87/21 94/15
sir [1] 86/19
sit [4] 25/21 39/3 87/22 97/4
sits [1] 12/20
sitting [1] $41 / 5$
situation [4] 50/21 74/12 74/13 75/9
six [3] $42 / 369 / 1885 / 6$
skin [3] 33/16 57/19 59/6
sleep [1] 90/5
snap [3] 74/3 75/15 75/16
social [2] 21/18 34/9
soiled [1] 33/20
sole [1] 5/13
solely [4] 12/2 26/2 65/3 65/18
soliciting [2] 21/25 22/5
solo [1] 97/23
solving [2] 29/8 70/8
somebody [7] 4/24 6/15 67/21
74/3 77/22 84/20 86/17
somebody's [1] 44/10
somehow [1] 64/15
someone [5] 36/17 65/25 73/24
82/11 86/23
something [25] 19/18 20/19 36/22
$36 / 2337 / 337 / 638 / 442 / 343 / 25$ $44 / 13$ 45/13 46/13 47/13 57/4 60/7 64/2 64/3 72/11 74/24 76/6 77/16 82/11 86/13 89/6 98/13
sometime [1] 8/19
sometimes [3] 68/7 74/7 74/10
somewhat [3] 16/13 31/6 68/24
son [13] $10 / 1$ 10/3 $10 / 14$ 10/16
10/20 10/22 10/25 11/5 67/25
68/1 86/21 87/2 87/15
son's [2] 10/8 84/16
soon [2] 95/17 95/19
sorry [7] 6/8 8/25 10/10 45/23
49/25 50/3 58/2
sort [7] 33/23 34/7 37/9 64/2
75/13 76/23 77/8
sorts [1] 43/22
sounds [5] 16/25 76/11 76/22
78/11 78/25
source [3] 5/13 14/25 53/13
sources [1] 8/1
south [3] 18/15 18/16 57/9
space [1] 46/5
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { spare [2] } & 19 / 20 \quad 30 / 7\end{array}$
speak [3] 10/16 37/2 76/15
speaking [1] $8 / 2$
Special [2] 1/12 1/14
specific [1] 63/18
speculate [1] 93/24
speculating [1] 93/17
speed [1] 50/19
spend [1] 60/10
spent [1] 34/20
spoke [1] 48/21
squarely [1] 90/16
ss [1] 99/1
stage [3] 7/7 7/10 14/8
stand [8] 13/24 28/6 28/11 28/15
28/15 28/18 28/24 41/4
standard [4] 69/6 69/7 90/2 90/21
start [7] 9/15 10/14 38/11 63/3 63/4 79/19 90/18
started [4] 58/25 63/14 77/4 77/7

| state [37] | $1 / 1$ | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 13$ | $1 / 15$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

13/14 18/16 21/4 26/10 26/20
27/3 27/6 32/21 37/1 38/25 42/11
47/23 65/22 65/24 66/12 79/5
$80 / 10$ 80/16 81/22 87/3 88/21
88/22 89/12 89/13 89/25 90/1
90/21 92/5 92/18 94/23 99/1 99/5
State's [4] 79/11 79/18 89/16 96/3
statement [1] 96/5
status [1] $3 / 18$
statutory [1] 3/15
stay [3] 57/10 58/22 59/3
stays [1] 73/22
stenographic [1] 99/9
step [12] 37/16 37/17 52/20 70/7
70/7 70/11 70/11 70/12 70/12
71/2 71/2 77/23
step-by-step [4] 70/7 70/11 70/12 71/2
steps [1] 71/11
STEVEN [9] 1/6 1/20 31/17 39/6
61/4 62/4 82/7 82/22 84/22
stick [1] 68/17
still [15] 9/23 11/21 12/2 55/20
55/25 57/17 74/16 79/11 80/15
81/2 81/15 81/18 81/19 97/8 98/2
stipulate [2] 51/8 51/25
store [1] 9/18
story [7] 35/12 38/20 57/8 87/12
87/13 87/23 88/4
STRANG[8] 1/16 2/8 3/20 5/24
8/21 31/13 31/1772/25
street [2] 22/2 29/15
strictly [2] 22/25 57/1
striking [1] 51/10
strong [2] 48/7 64/20
struck [1] 13/15
study [1] 19/12
stuff[5] 22/1 33/18 41/22 42/18
46/6
sub [1] 55/9
sub-contracted [1] 55/9
subject [1] 67/6
subjective [2] $3 / 1652 / 5$
submit [1] 17/24
subscribe [1] 25/5
such [1] 77/23
suggested [1] 96/1
suggestion [2] 95/8 96/2
summer [2] 20/1 57/11
supervise [1] 60/4
supplies [1] 22/23
support [5] 23/2 55/16 55/18
68/10 78/17
suppose [5] 38/20 39/12 41/2 41/2
44/11
surest [1] 50/23
surrounding [1] 36/3
suspect [2] 24/11 56/5
sustain [1] $87 / 8$
sustained [1] 45/16
sworn [3] 6/25 14/1 52/16
system [4] 48/13 56/10 68/25 78/1

## T

table [1] 97/4
take[17] 3/9 5/15 20/12 22/18
22/19 23/8 28/6 28/14 28/15
28/1 8 34/10 35/23 42/20 56/11
74/10 79/25 91/9
taken [2] 6/14 99/9
taking [1] 51/25
talk[13] 21/7 58/15 62/5 64/25
67/25 68/4 68/8 68/9 68/10 68/11
73/6 73/8 84/9
talked [2] 27/5 73/15
talking [7] 3/5 4/14 16/19 44/23

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 32 / 21 \text { 48/24 49/10 63/15 82/21 } \\ & 93 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { TV [3] } 61 / 275 / 2376 / 6$ $\text { twice [2] } 58 / 558 / 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```talking... [3] 63/22 64/14 87/13 teach [1] 55/22 teacher [3] 21/14 55/23 55/24``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thoughtful }[1] \quad 43 / 1 \\ & \text { three }[6] \text { 18/9 } 25 / 847 / 8 \quad 50 / 951 / 4 \\ & 61 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { two [14] } 3 / 93 / 129 / 2522 / 1532 / 20 \\ & 46 / 746 / 1647 / 851 / 355 / 555 / 5 \\ & 58 / 2459 / 397 / 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| technical [1] 52/4 | through | U |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { television [5] } 7 / 25 \\ & 53 / 1259 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $32 / 1934 / 1578 / 1981 / 18$ Thursday [2] 31/360/3 | uh [2] 62/11 $62 / 11$ |
| tell [21] 7/17 10/22 10/25 14/16 | tickets [1] 75/8 | Uh-uh [1] 62/11 |
| 15/14 20/20 27/13 37/24 38/6 | till [1] 4/5 | Um [2] 58/13 61/20 |
| 40/20 41/16 43/15 53/4 57/5 63/3 | time [34] 3/2 5/10 6/3 8/5 9/23 | ccounted [1] 4/7 |
| 67/24 69/9 80/9 80/9 85/1 85/23 | 18/9 18/23 19/20 21/17 23/10 | nannounced [1] 33/9 |
| tend [2] 42/4 94/2 | 23/12 27/19 30/7 32/21 32/25 | der [4] 44/3 44/5 44/11 56/14 |
| tentative [2] 29/24 95/9 | 35/6 47/18 51/25 53/1754/8 | understand [27] 9/16 9/25 12/13 |
| Teresa's [1] 86/6 | 57/24 58/22 58/24 59/18 59/21 | 26/16 26/17 26/23 28/14 28/19 |
| terminated [1] 20/16 | 60/10 62/8 62/24 74/11 78/20 | 28/21 28/25 38/10 39/1 39/2 39/8 |
| terms [6] 29/20 38/8 45/11 56/3 | 90/18 94/16 96/13 96/16 | 39/9 40/9 41/21 43/16 50/20 |
| 59/13 60/13 | times [4] 23/6 25/5 27/19 58/1 | 66/17 66/25 78/25 83/21 89/16 |
| territories [1] 18/10 | tired [1] 72/13 | 90/8 92/12 |
| territory [1] 18/10 | today [23] 7/7 8/6 8/8 8/18 8/19 | understanding [5] 19/11 33/5 52/8 |
| Tesheneck[4] 1/24 3/1 99/4 99/19 | 9/10 15/7 15/9 15/19 25/22 34/22 | 81/19 95/25 |
| test [1] 33/1 | 38/12 38/17 52/20 53/19 54/6 | unfortunately [2] 62/7 74/15 |
| testify [6] 40/16 40/21 41/2 41/4 | 73/2 73/9 94/1 95/8 95/10 95/22 | unless [2] 78/16 92/25 |
| 68/21 88/3 | 98/9 | unlicensed [1] 33/12 |
| testimony [3] 39/15 43/21 82/5 | Todd [1] 21/22 | unlocked [1] 63/24 |
| thank [12] 13/6 31/14 47/15 47/16 | together [2] 56/19 70/19 | unreasonable [1] 40/6 |
| 47/19 48/12 49/14 54/21 72/16 | told [10] 12/11 22/10 23/8 35/20 | unsafe [1] 57/4 |
| 72/18 89/2 94/19 | 47/4 56/6 65/5 68/6 73/22 73/24 | unsanitary [1] 33/20 |
| thanked [1] 23/17 | Tom [6] 9/7 16/2 21/24 23/11 35/7 | unsupervised [1] 70/14 |
| thanks [1] 16/7 | 54/15 | until[11] 8/5 15/4 17/9 $20 / 1$ |
| the 15th [1] 24/18 | tomorrow [8] 95/12 95/23 96/5 | 24/16 25/8 53/17 60/5 60/8 62/25 |
| their [24] 17/24 18/4 24/1 28/17 | 96/17 97/21 98/4 98/14 98/16 | 70/10 |
| 28/24 33/6 33/8 33/11 33/16 34/4 | took[8] 18/24 22/17 32/3 32/6 | untruthful [1] 69/13 |
| 39/13 43/6 43/21 47/8 49/7 53/24 | 32/7 32/25 57/14 75/3 | unusual [1] 36/18 |
| 56/13 68/23 70/16 78/1880/13 | top [1] 61/24 | up [40] 4/4 7/14 9/14 14/12 16/12 |
| 80/17 95/12 97/17 | Torrington [1] 22 | 22/6 29/17 29/25 32/4 34/3 34/10 |
| there's [15] 4/1 24/11 34/8 38/19 | touch [1] 69/23 | 37/10 41/4 42/12 45/2 46/5 46/17 |
| 46/16 59/10 63/16 71/10 74/18 | touched [3] 31/21 57/19 86/18 | 48/4 49/5 50/20 52/25 54/18 |
| 82/1 82/23 85/12 89/14 90/8 | towels [2] 33/21 33/21 | 56/12 57/9 59/17 60/4 61/9 64/4 |
| 92/20 | town [1] 32/5 | 71/15 71/24 74/18 75/9 75/11 |
| thereafter [1] | track [1] 56/20 | 76/12 77/12 77/12 82/10 84/22 |
| therefore [2] 18/15 42/14 | trade [1] 17/8 | 88/2 88/21 |
| these [7] 8/8 17/24 18/3 19/25 | tragedy [1] 36/2 | upcoming [1] 3/13 |
| 26/3 82/23 92/6 | trailer [1] 86/5 | up |
| thick [1] 59/6 | trained [1] 22/15 | us [19] 18/9 22/16 23/8 23/17 |
| thing [12] 21/19 25/19 42/16 | transcribed [1] 99/11 | 25/13 28/13 42/7 42/20 42/25 |
| 42/17 42/24 62/12 68/14 75/5 | transcript [3] 1/23 99/8 99/12 | 43/1 56/6 63/3 73/22 78/9 80/1 |
| 78/23 82/15 85/13 93/8 | transcription [1] 99/11 | 80/20 81/1 81/1 95/2 |
| things [19] 21/8 24/19 33/21 | transportation [5] 5/12 5/14 5/18 | use [4] 8/10 38/15 49/2 98/4 |
| 33/22 35/22 35/23 35/25 41/16 | 23/1 35/21 | usually [6] 56/14 58/25 59/16 |
| 45/18 46/7 50/20 58/18 64/23 | travel [1] 57/22 | 59/21 59/24 86/14 |
| 69/17 70/6 73/4 91/23 92/1 94/2 | trial [17] 1/4 7/19 8/14 9/10 14/18 | V |
| think [60] 4/14 11/14 11/14 11/15 | 15/16 25/9 49/7 53/6 54/3 61/1 |  |
| 19/5 22/14 25/25 27/6 32/14 | 65/4 69/13 69/18 87/22 91/19 | verbal [2] 66/5 66/16 |
| 32/16 33/3 36/13 37/3 37/23 | 92/8 | verdict[6] 26/16 28/1 40/2 40/5 |
| 37/24 39/21 39/25 40/17 40/20 | trigger [1] 58/1 | 40/8 83/3 |
| 42/4 43/14 44/2 45/6 45/6 48/23 | Triple [1] 32/4 | verify [1] 69/16 |
| 49/1 49/3 49/13 50/15 51/3 52/3 | trips [2] 35/24 58/11 | very [34] 4/11 6/22 7/5 12/19 |
| 61/20 62/1 62/25 63/10 63/11 | trouble [2] 48/21 84/1 | 19/17 20/19 29/24 30/6 30/6 |
| 63/20 65/15 68/25 69/14 69/21 | true [2] 76/14 99/12 | 41/18 41/23 42/22 42/22 43/1 |
| 74/18 75/22 77/24 80/3 80/22 | truly [2] 58/14 65/20 | 48/4 52/3 55/24 57/8 57/17 59/7 |
| 83/2 83/5 84/15 85/11 88/12 90/4 | trust [3] 43/6 43/7 43/13 | 59/8 68/1 68/1 69/18 71/7 73/3 |
| 92/14 92/19 95/16 96/1 96/2 98/3 | truth [1] 69/9 | 73/10 78/16 85/1 85/2 88/15 |
| 98/7 98/10 | truthful [2] 42/6 69/12 | 88/16 89/2 94/12 |
| thinking [7] 45/22 70/20 79/20 | Truthfully [1] 62/25 | vial [3] 44/25 63/21 77/8 |
| 82/7 82/13 90/4 92/17 | try [7] 26/21 39/4 39/5 41/22 49/4 | Village [1] 22/23 |
| THOMAS [1] 1/14 | 84/4 91/17 | visit [2] 60/4 62/16 |
| thorough [1] 85/9 | trying [4] 70/17 70/18 73/19 84/22 | visiting [1] 58/19 |
| those [13] 3/25 10/22 10/25 14/15 16/13 19/14 43/2 47/7 53/3 76/9 | tube [2] 44/25 45/8 <br> Tuesday [1] 60/2 | visits [3] 59/24 70/14 78/18 vividly [1] $87 / 1$ |
| 93/6 93/6 98/6 <br> though [2] 40/19 76/11 | turn [4] 16/24 59/16 68/18 94/13 turned [2] 34/11 48/20 | voir [14] 1/4 7/9 8/8 9/1 14/9 15/23 31/15 52/21 53/21 54/9 |


| V | $\begin{aligned} & 62 / 386 / 5 \\ & \text { Whalen [3] } 5 / 5 \text { 6/2 } 6 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 89 / 8 \\ & \text { wrote [2] } 45 / 2346 / 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```voluntary [2] 42/17 78/9 volunteer [11] 20/14 22/7 23/10 23/15 23/23 23/25 34/17 34/17 35/2 35/3 43/11 volunteered [1] 42/3 volunteering [2] 22/10 32/14 volunteers [3] 11/7 22/16 43/1 vote [5] 27/7 40/3 66/14 66/23 93/2``` | what's [5] 24/25 36/25 58/10 60/16 | Y |
|  | whatever [7] 38/17 41/3 75/2 | year[8] 27/16 35/6 58/5 58/6 59/3 |
|  | 78/19 82/5 88/6 98/11 | 86/17 88/22 94/12 |
|  | whatsoever [1] 62/21 | years[18] 9/24 20/18 21/2 21/5 |
|  | where's [1] 75/6 | 21/24 27/1731/4 32/1734/20 |
|  | Wherein [3] 13/11 47/20 94/20 | 41/14 42/3 42/9 47/8 47/25 55/5 |
|  | whether [21] 14/24 25/14 25/16 | 55/5 56/15 58/20 |
|  | 25/17 28/18 43/18 49/8 50/24 | yelled [1] 75/1 |
| W | 56/17 56/23 62/23 69/12 79/20 | you're [6] 30/12 61/20 70/18 80/3 |
| walk[3] 57/3 73/22 82/6walking [3] $24 / 273 / 2174 / 2$Walton [5] $20 / 2421 / 121 / 2434 / 21$$35 / 8$ | 83/18 83/19 90/19 | young [4] 29/19 36/16 46/23 73/18 |
|  | while [8] 5/15 8/13 15/14 27/15 | yourself [5] 29/10 57/22 59/5 70/5 |
|  | 39/3 54/1 80/19 92/22 | 92/17 |
|  | white [4] 57/13 57/19 68/6 73/25 | Z |
| want [25] 28/13 31/19 34/7 38/19 | whites [2] 58/14 73/16 |  |
| 45/12 46/17 46/20 47/12 50/24 | who's [1] 67/10 | zaps [1] 86/14 |
| 51/5 64/17 69/16 73/6 78/10 80/4 | whole [7] 18/16 41/15 57/11 57/12 | zero [1] 63/13 |
| 80/6 85/8 88/13 88/21 89/6 89/8 | 62/7 87/12 87/13 |  |
| 90/1 90/5 94/10 98/4 | whose [1] 84/23 |  |
| wanted [6] 16/12 19/19 47/1 69/22 | WICHLACZ [13] 2/3 4/12 6/3 6/19 |  |
| 93/8 97/7 | 6/22 7/2 7/4 7/5 9/3 9/25 11/16 |  |
| wants [3] 52/6 78/16 88/14 | 13/9 13/19 |  |
| War [2] 46/24 46/25 | WICKLASS [1] 7/4 |  |
| warm [1] 43/2 | wife [2] 21/13 68/3 |  |
| washed [1] 22/18 | Wiggly [1] 9/20 |  |
| wasn't [8] 5/18 23/7 75/21 75/22 | willing [3] 26/7 46/19 47/14 |  |
| 78/1 86/18 88/1 88/2 | Willis [3] 1/9 16/19 90/17 |  |
| watch [6] $24 / 1931 / 131 / 3$ 49/4 | Willis' [1] 24/16 |  |
| 59/20 62/13 | wintertime [2] 20/4 35/6 |  |
| watching [1] 61/2 | WISCONSIN[13] 1/1 1/3 1/13 1/15 |  |
| way [17] $8 / 15$ 18/13 40/10 54/21 | 16/3 37/2 42/12 54/16 57/10 |  |
| 63/10 63/11 63/15 63/16 64/13 | 58/18 74/18 99/1 99/6 |  |
| 64/20 69/2 74/15 80/25 82/1 83/3 | wishes [1] 96/4 |  |
| 85/6 97/8 | within [1] 40/25 |  |
| ways [4] 40/19 43/22 75/16 94/3 | without [8] 3/3 17/6 29/1 29/25 |  |
| we [92] 4/20 4/21 4/24 6/2 6/14 | 48/23 75/4 78/6 81/11 |  |
| 6/18 6/20 7/7 7/16 12/16 14/15 | witness [9] $11 / 5$ 13/6 13/15 27/25 |  |
| 16/13 18/10 20/8 22/5 22/17 | 28/6 28/11 41/10 69/3 69/7 |  |
| 22/22 24/2 25/9 26/13 26/15 28/1 | witness' [1] 13/19 |  |
| 28/12 29/15 35/24 37/15 38/11 | witnesses [10] 21/12 28/9 39/13 |  |
| 38/15 38/17 38/18 39/3 39/4 41/3 | 39/14 43/17 44/11 49/4 68/20 |  |
| 41/19 41/19 48/4 48/15 49/12 | 79/17 79/18 |  |
| 50/7 50/9 50/14 51/1 51/6 53/3 | woman [2] 36/16 74/2 |  |
| 54/22 56/11 57/13 58/20 59/9 | WOMT [1] 59/17 |  |
| 59/12 66/13 67/14 67/24 67/25 | wonder [2] 73/11 93/22 |  |
| 68/8 68/13 68/15 68/17 69/10 | Woodland [1] 21/15 |  |
| 69/22 70/10 70/11 70/25 70/25 | word [7] 43/6 64/5 64/5 64/5 64/6 |  |
| 71/1 71/2 71/5 74/21 74/22 75/8 | 75/24 76/17 |  |
| 75/9 79/8 79/16 79/16 80/11 | words [11] 18/13 23/19 23/21 |  |
| 81/12 81/13 82/3 84/9 91/24 | 26/13 37/24 39/5 40/7 62/22 69/5 |  |
| 95/10 95/10 96/2 96/19 96/20 | 89/25 90/20 |  |
| 97/1 97/1 97/15 97/24 98/8 98/14 | work [40] $17 / 2118 / 2119 / 123 / 23$ |  |
| 98/15 | 26/7 30/7 30/8 32/12 32/13 33/24 |  |
| we'll [7] 4/11 39/13 61/11 63/4 | 34/7 34/17 34/18 35/3 40/25 41/1 |  |
| 94/17 95/22 96/25 | 49/3 54/25 55/6 55/12 56/10 |  |
| we're [14] 3/2 14/7 26/12 47/4 | 56/17 59/25 61/4 65/5 65/24 |  |
| 47/5 52/20 53/7 72/1 73/1 95/17 | 67/19 67/25 68/3 70/3 70/9 70/11 |  |
| 95/18 95/21 97/8 98/13 | 70/11 70/21 77/25 78/2 84/9 85/2 |  |
| wear [2] 16/14 43/18 | 86/14 96/8 |  |
| wearing [1] 17/5 | worked [8] 17/14 34/9 42/1 42/13 |  |
| Wednesday [1] 60/3 | 55/4 55/19 55/20 84/11 |  |
| weed [1] 41/22 | worker [2] 55/16 55/18 |  |
| week [5] 16/12 54/19 58/24 62/2 | working [1] 70/3 |  |
| 69/22 | works [1] 95/15 |  |
| weekly [1] 78/18 | wouldn't [5] 13/3 37/4 69/5 69/24 |  |
| weeks [8] $25 / 845 / 3$ 59/3 61/13 | $77 / 16$ |  |
| 64/14 69/1885/6 86/9 | wrench [1] 75/1 |  |
| weigh [1] 80/6 | write [1] 27/18 |  |
| weighed [1] 40/3 | written [4] 7/6 9/15 14/4 52/19 |  |
| went [6] 21/19 41/12 44/16 44/16 | wrong [5] 37/3 37/6 75/17 80/2 |  |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls the case of State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good morning, your Honor. The State of Wisconsin appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz appearing as lead special prosecutor in this case. Also appearing on behalf of the State is Tom Fallon, Assistant Attorney General with the Department of Justice and Norman Gahn, Assistant District Attorney from Milwaukee County, also appearing as special prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good morning, Steven Avery is here in person, your Honor. Jerome F. Buting represents him, to my right, and Dean A. Strang.

THE COURT: Very well, we are here this morning to complete the process of jury selection. The remaining members of the jury panel are now in the courtroom. At this time the Clerk will call the jury panel members by name and the jury bailiff will show the panel members where to be seated.

THE CLERK: Daniel Slaby, Diane Free, Terri Temme, Jacqualine Ungrodt, Cherri Haskell, Barbara

Schmidt, Sharon Thorne, Julie Dorn, Paul Nelesen, Nathan Klein, Philip Saari, Michael Stonebraker, John Lawrence, August Schuette, Marian Flint, Kevin Brotski, Richard Mahler, Tami Gosz, William Mohr, Patrick Keehan, Melvin Pedersen, Henry Gray, Nancy Stienmetz, Mary Lou Salomon, Carl Wardman, Laura Barber, Scott Defere, Donald Kickland, David Guckeisen, Patricia Piaskowski.

THE COURT: Members of the jury panel, as I explained last week, now that 30 qualified jurors have been identified, the parties will be exercising what are known as peremptory challenges. Each side, on an alternating basis, is permitted to strike seven members of the jury panel.

The 16 remaining jurors will hear the evidence in this case. At the conclusion of the trial, the names of the alternate jurors will be drawn by lot and 12 jurors will deliberate and render verdicts in this case. The parties will now begin the process of exercising their peremptory strikes. The courtroom is to remain quiet until that process has been completed.
(Peremptory strikes made.)
THE COURT: At this time the Court will read the names of the persons who have been selected
to serve on the jury in this case. When you hear your name read, please stand.

Daniel Slaby, Diane Free, Terri Temme, Barbara Schmidt, Sharon Thorne, Julie Dorn, Paul Nelesen, Nathan Klein, August Schuette, Marian Flint, Richard Mahler, William Mohr, Henry Gray, Nancy Steinmetz, Carl Wardman, Laura Barber.

Those of you who are still seated will not be serving on the jury in this case and your jury service in this matter is complete. On behalf of Manitowoc County, I want to thank you for your service. I do have one final instruction to read to you before excusing you this morning.

Your service in this case is completed. You do not have to answer questions about the case from anyone other than the Court. There is no requirement that you maintain secrecy concerning your participation in this case, but you do not have to discuss the case with anyone or answer any questions about it.

At this time the Court will ask that the jurors who are currently seated -- those of you who are standing can sit; the rest of you can stand at this time and the bailiff will escort
you to the jury room.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, there will be a motion that should be addressed before anyone is excused.

THE COURT: Do you wish to do that in the presence of the jurors?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I do not.
THE COURT: Pardon me?
ATTORNEY STRANG: I do not.
THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Take them to the Branch 1 jury room on the other side. You can follow the bailiff.
(Excused jurors taken to the Branch 1 jury room.)
THE COURT: All right. As soon as the bailiff gets back we will have the other jurors retire to this room.

At this time those jurors who have been selected to serve on the jury in this case may rise and the bailiff will escort you to this jury room. They will be coming back out, Shirley. JURY BAILIFF: Okay.
(Chosen jurors taken to Branch 2 jury room.)
THE COURT: The Court will note for the record that the jurors are now in the jury room, outside of the courtroom. Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you, your Honor. Outside the presence of the jury now, given the demographics of those approximately 90 jurors we saw physically from the panel and the State's use of one of its seven peremptory strikes to strike Mr. Lawrence, $I$ feel bound to make a motion to reverse that State peremptory strike under -- on authority of Batsen vs. Kentucky and cases that follow.

I will make the following record as a matter of prima facie showing. The highest sequenced numbered juror whom we examined was No. 90 , by my count. Of course, there were a number of jurors struck by joint motion before we got to 90 , so we didn't see 90 human beings here.

But of those we did see over the last four days one and one only appeared to be at least partly of African/American heritage, and that was Mr. Lawrence. I noticed one other person who appeared clearly, at least to my eyes, to be not of European ancestry and that was Huang (sic) Dao, first name, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{g}$, last name D-a-o.

Of -- Of those two people, only
Mr. Lawrence was in the final pool of 30 . As $I$
say, I can't comment, I don't know his ethnicity or his parentage, but to the eye he looks to have at least one parent of -- ultimately African heritage, describe him as a light-skinned black man with a relaxed curl for his hairdo.

The other jurors in the final 30 appear to me to be of -- what I will call European ancestry; that is, Caucasian or white-skinned, in the vernacular. So I -- I think there's a prima facie showing.

I note as well that although the Court ultimately found cause for striking Huang Dao, Mr. Huang was a juror we sought to keep and, indeed, I think I wanted him held in abeyance if nothing more. The motion to strike Mr. Dao was the State's.

Again, that's a different matter in the sense that the Court found cause. And I believe I acknowledged that, you know, there were -there was a basis for the State's motion for cause and I recognize the potential issues there.

I add that only for the full context in moving to set aside the State's use of its peremptory strike against Mr . Lawrence as a matter of due process under the Fourteenth

Amendment to the United States Constitution and the correlative provisions of Article 1 of the Wisconsin Constitution.

THE COURT: Who will be responding on behalf of the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Thank you. Actually, $I$ find the motion rather stunning from counsel very accomplished as Mr. Strang. Nonetheless, I have several responses, both legal, practical, and the like.

First and foremost, the first step in any Batsen challenge is that the defendant must show that he or she is a member of a cognizable group and that the prosecutor has exercised peremptory strikes to remove members of the defendants race from the venire. So unless counsel is suggesting that Mr. Avery is of African/American descent that would be a new revelation to the State.

And even if that were the case, I would indicate for the Court that we seriously thought yesterday that we were going to move to strike Mr. Lawrence for cause. We were not -- We
weren't as quite certain as we are this morning, but we believe that Mr. Lawrence lied on his questionnaire.

In fact, if the questionnaire is to mean anything, it's a significant question. We were uncertain because we wanted to verify as best we could and we think we have, although nothing is 100 percent certain. But he, in answer to question, $I$ think it is Question 54, Have you, any members -- any family members or anyone close to you ever been a suspect and arrested for or charged with a criminal offense?

First, we thought it was Mr. Lawrence himself who had been arrested and has a pending drug offense. But it turns out there is a John O. Lawrence, Sr., age 44, whom we believe to be this juror's father, with pending offenses; in fact, he has a number of pending drug offenses.

And he indicated no. And I believe he indicated there was no contacts with law enforcement of any kind. And that caused us some great concern. We were, last evening, working on the CCAP program and trying to verify as much as we can. But we believe this John O. Lawrence, Jr. to be the son of the John O. Lawrence, Sr.
with a criminal history.
Would also indicate for the Court that another matter concerned the State and that is the youth of this man. And, obviously, at the young age of 20 , it's very hard for someone of that age to distinguish themselves in the community, but he did not impress us with a significant work history, for any of that to suggest to us that he had a claim or a sufficient stake in the community relative to the deliberation process.

But primarily, we are under the impression, based upon our information, that he was not truthful in his questionnaire and, quite frankly, he should have been struck for cause. We wanted to do our best to try to verify as best we can. Lots of phone calls late into last night. And we believe that he is, in fact, related, as best we can, given the name and same middle initial and the junior and what have you.

As a result of which, so we have a legal basis, this is not a Batsen issue. Two, we have a juror who we believe lied. And three, we have the demographics of he did not distinguish himself; he did not look to us like he would be a
responsible dedicated juror, not withstanding the responses he gave. And those are our reasons. Hold on. Is there a concession that Mr. Avery is not a member of the cognizable class?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Mr. Avery, is not African/American. And it has been established since 1990 or 1991, by the United States Supreme Court, in Powers v. Ohio, that one need not be a member of the same ethnic group or other cognizable class as the struck juror to raise a Batsen challenge; Powers vs. Ohio, United States Supreme Court, if my memory serves even closely.

So I think that lays to rest entirely the first defense the State offers, which is the legal one. As to the factual defense, the jury questionnaires will be part of the record, but I recall nothing in which Mr . Lawrence offered his middle initial or his middle name.

Now, whether there's a John O. Lawrence, Sr., who is in fact his father, I don't know. My recollection is the juror made a comment about not knowing much about his father or not having much contact. And I don't really -- don't remember exactly what he said and I could be a mile wide there. But the transcript would --
would bear that out. I do recall him listing Jr. on his questionnaire. I do not recall a middle initial.

THE COURT: All right. The Court does not have the Batsen test committed to memory; it's not something that gets raised very often. Mr. Fallon.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, there is a recent Wisconsin Supreme Court case. I'm aware of Powers. There is a 2003 Wisconsin Supreme Court case State vs. Lamon, $L-a-m-o-n$, setting forth the three step process, as well, that could be examined.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take a short recess. And then we'll go back on the record.

Before I do that, let me ask, as long as we're on the record, something I meant to ask earlier: Subject to the objection raised by the defense, are the 16 jurors that the Court has identified, the jury that each party selected based on their peremptory strikes.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe the panel left reflects the -- accurately those which were struck by the State.

ATTORNEY STRANG: So do I.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(Brief recess taken.)

THE COURT: At this time we are back on the record outside the presence of both the at least tentatively excused jurors and the jurors who have been tentatively selected to serve on the jury panel. The defense has made a motion challenging the State's decision to exercise one peremptory challenge for the purpose of removing a juror who appears to be of a minority race in this case.

I'm not sure that that fact is disputed; that is, I believe that both parties recognize Mr. Lawrence would fall into the category of somewhat of a minority race.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I -- I think so, but I also -- I was going to add one further factual agreement that we were able to come to, I think, during the break. I think when we went back and checked, Mr. Lawrence did not list his middle initial on his handwritten questionnaire, but the middle initial O. is listed on the computer printed voir dire list in its various sorts from the Court. That's -- the one the parties have is dated January 26, 2007.

So the name as given on the questionnaire is John Lawrence, Jr. The name on the computer voir dire list is John O. Lawrence,
without a Jr. or Sr. designation and that's at least agreed on the defense part.

THE COURT: All right. The first issue is whether or not a defendant wishing to raise a Batsen challenge has to be a member of a minority class himself in order to do so. Based on the Court's reading of the case law, specifically, the Powers case, to which the Court was referred and which is actually cited in a footnote in the Lamon case at page 762, where the Wisconsin Supreme Court recognizes that a defendant of whatever race is entitled to a jury selected without discrimination by the authority of Powers.

So I don't believe the fact that Mr. Avery himself may not be a minority -- may not be a member of a minority race is sufficient to preclude the defendant raising a Batsen challenge to the dismissal of Mr. -- or the striking of Mr. Lawrence in this case. The Court believes, then, that it is required to apply the Batsen analysis to this case.

The first step of a defendant raising a Batsen challenge is to make a prima facie showing that the prosecution has exercised a peremptory challenge on the basis of race. As I indicated a
minute ago, I don't believe that there's a dispute in this case that that part of the test has been met. Mr. Lawrence appears to be the only remaining minority member on the panel. And the State did exercise a peremptory challenge to remove him from the panel.

The next part of the test goes on to provide that if the defendant satisfies this threshold, the burden then shifts to the prosecution to articulate a race neutral justification for the disputed challenges, or in this case, the challenge. In this case, the State has offered two explanations, as I understand it, for the removal.

The first one and the primary one is that the State believes that the juror was not truthful on the juror questionnaire, specifically Question 54 relating to whether or not -Actually, I don't have a questionnaire in front of me; can somebody read me, for the record, the exact question?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Sure. Have you, any family members, or anyone close to you ever been a suspect in, arrested for, or charged with a criminal offense? He checked no.

THE COURT: Okay. So the question required the juror to indicate not only whether the juror himself fell into that category, but whether or not any family member fell into that category. The prosecutor, Mr. Fallon, indicates that the defendant -- or the juror answered that question no. That is not disputed.

It does also not appear to be disputed that a gentleman with the same name, except Sr., as the juror in this case who is identified as Jr. on his questionnaire, has a record of a number of convictions, in addition to a pending charge at this time. The State indicated that they attempted to conclusively determine whether or not the John Lawrence with the criminal record was John Lawrence, Jr.'s father.

The age appears to match. And we now know that the middle initial also appears to match. The fact that one is a Sr . and one is a Jr. adds additional support to the argument that it appears he may well be the father.

And because of that fact, the State argues it had a valid reason to -- non-race related to exercise a strike against Mr. Lawrence. The State also argues that, based
on his young age and lack of employment that his commitment to the community may also be an issue in this case. So the Court is satisfied that the State has articulated a legitimate race neutral reason for challenging Mr. Lawrence.

The application of the third part of the test was a point of dispute in Lamon. There were some dissents in that case, or least one that I know for sure, by the Chief Justice. And I attempted, during the break, to review not only the majority decision, but the dissent as well. And the Court is satisfied that under the approach of either the majority or the dissent in Lamon, that the State in this case has met its burden.

Specifically, I believe that while there is not conclusive evidence, or the State did not come up with conclusive evidence, it came up with some fairly compelling evidence to strongly suggest that the juror in this case was the -- or is the son of the John Lawrence, Sr. who has the criminal record and that the answer given by the juror may well not have been truthful. The Court cannot say conclusively it was not truthful, but there was certainly a good faith reason for
coming to that conclusion that is not related to the race of the juror.

Part of the test outlined by the dissent, which would apply -- require courts to engage in more thorough analysis or a stricter test, however you like to phrase it, indicates that the part of the Court's duty is to assess the credibility of the prosecutor and the reasons given for the striking of the juror.

In this case, I can find nothing, based on what the Court recalls to be the demeanor of the State in questioning the juror, or the reason given for the strike, that would suggest that anything was motivated by race. I believe that the reasons given by the State, under the totality of the circumstances, and I'm not really aware of any other circumstances that would call their decision into question here.

While it's true that under the Powers decision the -- a defendant who's not even a minority can raise the challenge, it's a little difficult to see, applying the totality of the circumstances, why the race of the juror in this case would have any special significance. There is no reason why, to the extent a minority juror
would be more sympathetic to a minority defendant, that that would be a reason -- an improper reason for the State to attempt to remove him from the jury. We don't have a minority defendant here.

But I'm certainly not basing my decision entirely on that. As I recognize -- or as the case law dictates, the defense can raise the issue here. But to the Court, it adds additional credibility to the State's argument that it made the request it did, or made the decision it did, on a race neutral basis.

I believe in the State's argument it emphasized the criminal record element of the father more than the second reason, but that's an additional reason which the State could have used. I'm focusing more in my decision on the reasonable grounds to suspect untruthfulness on the questionnaire.

So, the Court will deny the defense motion in this case. With that, is there anything else either party wishes to raise before bringing the jurors back?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Judge, just -- just one thing, real quickly, I want to put on record. In
speaking with Mr. Gahn today, it appears there may be some confusion over what the Court's order on the test -- the test of the samples for this EDTA, or whatever. My understanding was that the State would preserve sufficient sample of the vial of blood for any defense testing and that we would get sufficient sample of the actual RAV 4 stains that were being tested by the FBI.

Mr. Gahn was under the impression that any other stains in the RAV 4 that had not been tested or that would be -- would satisfy that part of it. I just want to put on the record that I disagree with that. I think the defense needs to have half of the actual stains that are being tested -- actual stains from the RAV 4 that are being tested and about which any opinion might be given by the FBI.

And I believe that was what we discussed in court. It's not clear in the hand -- or the written order, which was handed to me in the middle of jury selection, but I think that's what we anticipated.

THE COURT: Mr. Gahn.
ATTORNEY GAHN: I will just state that I don't know if I'm disagreeing with Mr. Buting at
this point or not. All $I$ know is that $I$ do recall that we talked about splitting the blood vial evidence. But there are five blood stains from the RAV 4.

Three of them were -- And of those 5, DNA testing was done and each of the five showed to be the blood of Steven Avery. Three of those five have been sent to the FBI. The FBI may be taking one of those and I will find that out hopefully before noon. They may be consuming one of them. They don't know.

But I do know that my understanding of the order was we would preserve sufficient sample of blood stains from the RAV 4. I know for sure we have got four that are preserved. Whether one of them maybe consumed, that's kind of up to the tester. So I, whatever, if it is, then I will ask them to find a larger stain, see if we can cut it in half and then $I$ will ask them to test that one too. I mean, I don't know if there is disagreement here yet or not.

THE COURT: The focus at the argument was on splitting the blood vial sample; $I$ recall that. ATTORNEY GAHN: Right. THE COURT: I -- All I will say is, I think
it's important if this line of examination is pursued, for the defense to also have an opportunity to perform testing on blood that was found in the vehicle. Sitting here as the judge and not an expert in the analysis of blood, I'm not going to be issuing orders about whether or not a blood stain can be split -- a particular blood stain can be split because, frankly, $I$ don't know if that's scientifically possible.

The Court's ultimate concern would be the element of fairness. And I think its important that in some scientific fashion that both parties get a chance to analyze the blood sample in the car to the extent that's feasible.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Well, Judge, I just want to be very clear on the record, because it is my understanding and I think it was clear before, that in order for fairness to be preserved here, we -- it is not enough to say we get some other sample. We need half of these stains that the FBI is going to be testing, because the EDTA levels will vary depending upon where in the car, what the substrate is, fabric, medal, whatever. And that was a big issue in the Cooper case and so that's why I want to make sure that that's clear that that's a concern
for us here.
THE COURT: All right. Let's do this. Mr. Gahn, you check with your folks at the FBI and see if it's feasible to split a sample of a stain or stains in the vehicle. If the parties still have an agreement, you can come back to court, but I just don't feel I have enough information in front of me to address a difference of opinion if there is one.

Is there anything else before we bring back the jurors who have been selected? And I take it, that based on the Court's decision denying the defendant's Batsen motion, the jurors who are not selected can now be released?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Very well. Janet, can you have them bring in the jurors? You can let Linda know the other jurors can be released.

THE CLERK: They are not going to be in any order.

THE COURT: They don't have to, we can put 14 in the box and then the extra two in front.
(Wherein the jury panel was brought in.)
THE COURT: You may be seated. Members of the jury panel, and I'm going to address you that way because you have not been sworn as jurors yet,
that will happen on Monday. I will be giving you some preliminary instructions on Monday and one of them will include what you just experienced, which is, from time to time the jurors may be excused from the courtroom for the Court to hear arguments from the parties.

For purposes of this morning's proceedings, in just a minute the Court is going to excuse you for the day. But while you are back in the jury room you will be receiving instructions concerning transportation arrangements for Monday, when the trial is scheduled to begin. If you have any questions concerning any of those arrangements, please pass them on to the bailiff. And if necessary, they will be addressed by the Court.

Before I excuse you today, I want to again stress that you are to make certain that you have no exposure to any media coverage of the trial until you reach your verdicts in this case. As I have previously informed you, the jury will not be sequestered during this trial, but that decision is dependent on your commitment that you will not listen to, watch, or read any news accounts of the case during trial, nor discuss
the case with anyone, including members of your family or other jurors.

For these reasons, I'm going to order that for the duration of the trial, you simply not watch the local news on television. Do not listen to the local news on the radio. And do not read the newspaper unless you first have someone remove any articles about this case from the paper. That is of vital importance.

In addition, and I think one of the jurors brought this up in voir dire, using the mute button should a promo or something come on TV while you are watching another show or anything regarding this case. Please take those type of steps to consciously avoid any exposure to the case that may inadvertently be presented to you during the course of the trial.

If you are inadvertently exposed to any information about this case during the trial, please notify the jury bailiff. At this time, I'm going to excuse you for today, subject to the transportation instructions you will be receiving shortly.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, one other reminder about the internet access as well.

THE COURT: Oh, I did not include internet access, but that would also be exposure to the case which is prohibited. Do not look on the internet for any information about this case. Thank you, Mr. Fallon.
(Jury panel not present.)
THE COURT: Counsel, is there anything else before we adjourn to Chilton this afternoon.

ATTORNEY STRANG: One brief thing, which is, given how long this ran this morning, I'm wondering if we could push back to 1:30 this afternoon; $I$ have to get the materials for that hearing back in Appleton.

THE COURT: Okay. I do have one request; do the parties have any idea how long they think the proceedings may take this afternoon? I know -- I assume there's going to be -- or I was led to believe there would be some evidence regarding the motion about the materials the State wishes to present during the opening.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's the smallest part, Judge, the admissibility hearing on the demonstrative evidence. And Mr. Austin from the State Patrol will be available for live testimony in that regard.

We do have some other matters that -both as to opening statements and some other evidentiary matters. With -- with my best guess, Judge, we should be out of there by, if we start at $1: 30$, by $3: 30$ or $4: 00$, if that would please the Court.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm guessing a little bit longer. I would have guessed the computer generated animation hearing, between testimony and argument might go an hour and a half or even two, conceivably. And there will be some substantial discussion on the preliminary jury instructions.

And I will try to catch up with counsel for the State before -- if I can, before we get to that, just to see whether there's areas of agreement on the substantive part of the preliminary jury instructions.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll see you at 1:30 in Chilton.
(Noon recess.)
(Proceedings reconvened at Calumet County Courthouse.)
THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, it's Case No. 05 CF 381. This matter is scheduled for a motion hearing this afternoon. Will the parties state
their appearances for the record, please.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, also by Tom Fallon with the Department of Justice.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good afternoon. Steven Avery in person and Dean Strang on his behalf. THE COURT: All right. And we're here this afternoon I believe, first, to hear a motion filed by the defendant to exclude the use of computer generated animations in the State's opening statement, for purposes of today; is that correct? ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, the issue is the admissibility of the animations, generally. There is a second issue that needs to be decided regarding images that would be used in opening statements both by the State and the defense. They are related in a sense, but the admissibility hearing regarding the demonstrative evidence generally, and the computer generated scene images, as well as animation, specifically, will need to be ruled on by the Court this afternoon.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, it's your motion $I$ will let you add to that if you wish. ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, I agree with Mr. Kratz and, indeed, it is simpler than that.

What we ought to deal with is just the admissibility of the computer generated animations. Because if, or to the extent they are admissible, then, of course, there is no objection to Mr. Kratz using images from them in his opening statement.

I say of course, I mean, I guess that doesn't necessarily follow, but it is a fact here that if the underlying animations are admissible, I don't have a quarrel with him using a few slides from them in his opening statement. And he already has shown me those that he wishes to use.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry, Judge, just to complete that point, there is the more global question, though, if there are any other objections to images that $I$ have proposed in openings, I just simply wanted to make a record of that before Monday, otherwise we're ready to proceed.

THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I have heard at least from one member of my staff that at least the Court's microphone isn't picking up very well in the house. This is probably a good opportunity to set volume levels and the like. We can do that during the hearing, of course, but $I$ at least wanted to
alert the Court that it's a little quiet, at least for the house, the people behind us, not being able to hear very much.

THE COURT: My recollection is that somewhere there is a remote control device around here that allows me to control the volume, but I'm not sure where it is.

SHERIFF PAGEL: One should be yours, two, the clerks, three is the -- four and five should be --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: One is the bench.
THE COURT: Number one is the bench.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's much better already.

THE COURT: Is that satisfactory?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Perfect.
THE COURT: Wonderful.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. The State will call Tim Austin to the stand.

ATTORNEY STRANG: While Mr. Kratz is setting up, there was an issue Mr . Fallon suggested, which is keeping media cameras off of the laptop screens of counsel for both sides. I don't know if that's already covered by the media order or if we need to address it.

THE COURT: I don't have a copy of the media order in front of me, but I know it referred to materials that counsel use on their table. And I will indicate today that if the order as written does not specify images on laptop computers it's meant to apply to all materials on the table, and that specifically includes laptop computers.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Tim.
THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
TROOPER TIMOTHY AUSTIN, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Timothy Austin, A-u-s-t-i-n.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Mr. Austin, if you could pull the microphone down towards you. Would you once again state your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. My name is Timothy Austin.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:
Q. Mr. Austin, how are you employed?
A. I'm employed as a trooper with the Wisconsin

State Patrol.
Q. How long have you been a state trooper?
A. I have been with the patrol since July of 1996, about $101 / 2$ years.
Q. And do you have any specific responsibilities with the State patrol?
A. Yes, I'm assigned to the Wisconsin State Patrol Academy, to the Technical Reconstruction Unit.
Q. Mr. Austin, have you brought with you here a document which is known as a curriculum vitae?
A. Yes, sir, I have.
Q. And for lack of a better term, is the common knowledge of this kind of a document called a resume, or something like that?
A. Yes, they are. Essentially outlines my training and experience in the field of reconstruction activities.
Q. I have handed you what's been marked for identification as Exhibit No. 1; could you tell us what that is, please?
A. Yes, sir, this is the document you referred to. It's my curriculum vitae that goes over my education, training, certification.
Q. And at least for purposes of this hearing, if you could just briefly indicate whether or not you
have a specific education, training, and experience that permits you, on behalf of the State Patrol, to create images for use not only for investigative purposes, but for use in court proceedings?
A. Yes, sir. I hold certification as an instructor in the field of forensic mapping and the use of Total Station technology for collecting measurements at scenes.
Q. Mr. Austin, in this case, were you asked on behalf of the State of Wisconsin to assist in creation of images at or near a property known as the Avery Salvage Yard?
A. Yes, sir. I was contacted to provide forensic mapping services ultimately leading to the creation of scale diagrams and three dimensional models.
Q. When did you first become involved in this case?
A. Without referencing my notes, I believe it was November 5th, 2005.
Q. The same day that the law enforcement personnel took control of the Avery property, executed search warrants, and otherwise began their search efforts; is that right?
A. Yes, sir. That's correct. It was late in the
afternoon on that day when $I$ was contacted.
Q. Mr. Austin, did you then spend time on the Avery salvage property itself and did you in fact take some measurements and perform other duties which allowed you to create these images?
A. Yes, sir. For the next approximately seven days, myself and a team of law officers forensically mapped and measured the entire Avery Salvage Yard property.
Q. To assist the Court and counsel in providing your testimony today, I'm going to have you refer to the image that has been portrayed on the screen. Can you tell us what we're looking at, please?
A. What you are looking at is an aerial photograph that I believe was taken by State Patrol Pilot, Trooper Dennis McConnell. It shows the Avery salvage yard property referred to before and some of the surrounding properties and landscape.
Q. Now, so the Court understands where some of these images are going to be coming from, you provided counsel, that is the prosecution and the defense, as well as a copy for the Court, of some figures or images that ultimately have made it's way into a binder of yours; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir, that's correct. This is one of many
images that $I$ put in a document entitled a Forensic Mapping and Scenery Construction Report.
Q. To complete the record, Mr. Austin, I have handed you what's been marked as Exhibit No. 2. Can you tell us what that is, please.
A. Exhibit 2 is a DVD containing my narrative report, digital photographs taken by myself and other State Patrol Officers, and other logs documented by the State Patrol. Essentially, the binder I described before is what's on this DVD.
Q. All right. Did you bring that binder with you?
A. Yes, sir, I have a black and white copy.
Q. Can you just hold it up for us and show us what you are talking about.
A. This binder here is the one I'm referring to.
Q. So Exhibit No. 2 is a electronic version of all the information that's included in that binder. And again, the relevant portions, other than some of the measurements and the like, but at least the figures that you will be referring to have all been provided to counsel and the Court; is that your understanding?
A. Yes, sir, that's correct.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Okay. Just to - so that I don't forget, more than anything else, Judge, I am
going to move for the admission of Exhibits 1 and 2 at this time?

ATTORNEY STRANG: No objection.
THE COURT: All right. Those exhibits are admitted. I have one question, has -- or maybe it's still coming -- has the image that we're looking at on the screen been identified in some fashion yet?

AtTORNEY KRATZ: It has not, Judge. It is about to be.

THE COURT: Very well. Go ahead.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Mr. Strang indicates, Judge, that my mike should be up a little bit as well as Mr. Austin's. This is probably the time -SHERIFF PAGEL: I think three would be for Mr. Austin.

THE COURT: And State should be 4 or 5 . ATTORNEY KRATZ: Mine says mike 4 underneath, Judge.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Mine is mike 5.
THE COURT: I'm hearing some type of buzzing periodically. I don't know if it's coming over the sound system or where, but if it's not bothering the parties, we'll proceed.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I think what happens, Judge, with this sound system, when you talk, as you
might know, our microphones cut out. When the Court talks, they don't want anybody else talking at that time, which is probably a good idea. But I think the system then picks up yours, if we overlap a little bit. And I think that's what's causing that, but we'll move forward.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ Mr. Austin, are you able then to -- With the image that we're referring to here, are you able to find that figure and could you please identify that in your materials?
A. Yes, sir. May I reference my binder?
Q. Please do.
A. If I may, sir.
Q. Go ahead.
A. That photograph is Figure 3, which appears on Page 10 of my narrative report.
Q. So at that scene that you have identified as the Avery salvage property, then, I think you began telling us that you took some measurements. Can you tell us about that.
A. Yes, sir. The bulk of the measurements were taken using an electronic device called a Total Station, that's made by a company called Geodimeter?
Q. Can you spell that for us.
A. Yes, sir, G-e-o-d-i-m-e-t-e-r.
Q. What is Total Station?
A. Total Station essentially is an electronic device. It measures distance and angles to document an objects position in 3D space, basically measures along an $X, Y, Z$ access on $a$ standard coordinate system.
Q. What is Total Station typically used for in your line of work, if you understand what I'm asking you?
A. The Total Station, we utilize that in the reconstruction unit for taking measurements at both crash and crime scenes.
Q. You mentioned that Total Station assists in taking measurements, can you very briefly tell us how that occurs.
A. Are you asking me how the instrument works, or how it records measurements?
Q. Sure.
A. Essentially, the instrument locates itself, if you will, in 3D space. And then, if I'm taking a measurement to you, Mr. Kratz, the instrument recognizes that it's going say -- turning 90 degrees towards you, an elevation of maybe down two degrees. The instrument recognizes that
change in elevation or change in angle and then assigns or calculates what your coordinates would be in relationship to me.
Q. I see. So, it isn't just simply a tape measure, me to you, that would be one access, if you will, but it's actually a three dimensional measurement that is being taken; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
Q. So, in lay terms, is that even more accurate than a tape measurer or a one dimensional measuring device?
A. When you look at adding in the operator factor, if you will, yes, the Total Station is going to be more accurate than if we had strung out, you know, a thousand foot of tape at the Avery salvage lot.
Q. All right. How many days were you involved in taking measurements at the Avery property?
A. May I, again, reference my notes.
Q. Sure.

ATTORNEY STRANG: The witness ought to feel
free to look at whatever he needs, whenever he needs.

THE COURT: Very well.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
A. We began the mapping on November 5th and finished the mapping at the Avery property on November 12th.
Q. So that was really the entire time that law enforcement had control of the property; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You mentioned that during that time frame you were involved in taking these measurements individually. How many of those days were you personally out there, if you remember?
A. I believe that I was there for six of those seven days.
Q. And during that time, Trooper Austin, do you know how many individual measurements were taken through and by use of this Total Station process?
A. Yes, sir, there were over 4100 measurements taken at the Avery Salvage Yard.
Q. I assume, based upon what you know of Total

Station and your use and the certifications for that piece of equipment, you have an opinion as to its accuracy?
A. Yes, sir. My opinion is that Total Station is obviously very accurate. In fact, the maximum induced error that an instrument gives us is only
about three seconds.
To explain, a circle is divided into 360 degrees. Each degree is divided into 60 minutes. Each minute is divided into 60 seconds. The Total Station is accurate to within three seconds.

Essentially, in this particular case, our longest shot is about 1200 feet. That comes out to be an accuracy of about less than half an inch.
Q. After the measurements are obtained by you, and after the data is compiled, could you tell me the first step in the creation of images. And let's first talk about two dimensional images.
A. Certainly. The Total Station stores it's data internally in its on board data collector. We download the data from the Total Station and we bring it into a computer aided drawing program. In this case, we used a software program called CAD Zone to begin processing that information.
Q. I'm going to, once again, direct your attention to the in-house screen. We have to come up with a better name for that as we go through the trial. If you could tell me what figure we're looking at here and if this is an image that may
better describe a two dimensional drawing or representation that's created.
A. Yes, the particular picture, if you will, you have on the board is Figure 4 from my narrative report. What this shows is the two dimensional view, meaning it is straight or completely orthogonal, looking straight down on this portion of the Avery Salvage Yard?
Q. I'm sorry, do you have a laser pointer with you?
A. No, sir, I do not.
Q. I'm going to give you mine.
A. Thank you.
Q. Trooper Austin, please feel free to use that as you are describing things so we can better understand what you are talking about. Go ahead.
A. Sir, if I -- if I may, do you have Figure 5? It might be easier to help explain this.
Q. I'm sure I have everything.
A. What I have asked you to do is essentially zoom out and look at the entire Avery property that was diagrammed and mapped. The portion you showed us before, which was Figure 4, is a closeup of this upper northeast corner, which is the primary business buildings, if you will, for the Avery Salvage Yard.

So if you want to go back to Figure 4, at least we know now what portion of the main diagram it is from. So, now, we essentially zoom in on that northeast corner and we can see this would be the main road coming in. This is a gravel driveway, if you will, that runs east and west.

Here is a storage building, the main shop, and here's a private residence. So we have taken a small portion of that area that was mapped and, now, looking at our diagram, straight from above, looking down at a specific corner of it.
Q. Now, diagrams like this, two dimensional diagrams, is that what are commonly used in trials or when there are triers of fact, to help juries or judges understand evidence?
A. Yes, sir. We very commonly use two dimensional diagrams such as this to help show the scene or show where objects are or distances of particular items.
Q. Although this is much nicer, the concept really isn't any different than a blackboard or a chalkboard that might be used to help describe, or help understand some evidence; is that fair?
A. As technology has evolved, we have moved from the chalkboard, to the easel, to two dimensional diagrams. And as technology continues to improve, we're going to move ahead also.
Q. Let's move ahead today, then. After your two dimensional images are created, are you able to, then, with the Total Station and the measurements that are gained therefrom, create three dimensional modeling?
A. What I discussed, the Total Station, a few questions ago, I mentioned that the Total Station measures in 3D space. That's the $X$ coordinate, $Y$ coordinate, and now the $Z$ coordinate, which gives us height or elevation to objects.

So we have the information there, just that we now bring that data into a second computer program. This one is called forensic 3D, which allows us to draw or create diagrams in 3D space, so we can add that height, elevation element to objects.
Q. I'm going to, once again, direct your attention to the screen, ask if you can tell us what this figure is, what it shows, and how it helps explain your process?
A. This is Figure 8 from my narrative report. It's
on Page 14. This shows an unrendered three dimensional image. When I say unrendered, what I mean is, it does not have any textures applied to three dimensional surfaces. As you can see, our garage building, our vehicles are white. They essentially haven't been painted, if you will. I haven't applied any type of textures to those objects.
Q. The application of the texture, then, how is that process created?
A. In many cases, the software will allow us to take a photograph of a particular texture. What I mean by that, if $I$ was diagramming this desk, $I$ could take a photograph of the wood and paste that wood, that photo of the wood, on the model. In this case, in this particular garage, we photographed the siding on that garage so that we could apply it to our three dimensional model.
Q. And so you aren't only guessing at what the different colors and the different textures of objects are, but you take them from images that you retrieve from the property; is that right?
A. In most cases. I don't like the word guessing, because there are some that I did apply textures from a personal library. For example, the paint
on the truck, I did not photograph the paint on that particular truck. I applied a general black car paint texture to it. What we do see on the garage --
Q. By the way, what figure are you referring to now?
A. I'm sorry, this is Figure 9. It's the same image; however, it has now been rendered in the software. Again, what we do see here, however, is that texture mapping process on the garage building.
Q. Your three dimensional modeling, could you describe, not just in this case, but in other cases that you have been asked to testify and other cases that your colleagues have been asked to testify, what is the advantage of 3 D modeling versus the two dimensional images that we saw, as a jury or a trier of fact may consider?
A. When we look at two dimensional image, again, we're just looking straight down on that particular area. What's difficult for us to appreciate, any type of spacial relationship or geometric perspective, if you will.

In other words, we don't know, or it's more difficult to actually visualize how that scene looked, or how objects are related to each
other. When we now take that into a three dimensional model, we can get a better understanding of how that scene looked or how objects are, again, in relationship to each other.
Q. All right. You were asked, then, by the State, by the prosecution, to take these 4100 plus measurements, all of the data, including all the photographs that you both obtained, and viewed, and created some modeling for us; is that right?
A. Yes, sir. I have to add, though, we did not model the entire Avery property in 3D.
Q. Why not?
A. I think I would still be working on it today if $I$ modeled that in 3D.
Q. All right.
A. Also, the work that $I$ did was under the direct supervision of the lead investigators or yourself. And it was determined that we probably really don't need the entire property done in three dimensions.
Q. Now, because this is a adversary system, in other words, because there's the defense, and the prosecution, is it typical for one side or another to ask you or direct you to create images
for use at trial?
A. Yes, when you look at the work that I did, and I know we're going to get deeper into this, but there are evidentiary areas that I don't necessarily know about. And I need that guidance, for persons to tell me what is important, and what is not important, in a diagram.

It's very typical for one side to tell me what they would like to see. Many of these exhibits I designed to assist others, in explaining to you, or to a jury, what it is that they did out there at the scene.
Q. Let me just talk about that just briefly. So, other than you showing, if you will, or being able to show the jury the scene itself, did we ask you to provide or create these images to allow investigators or law enforcement officers that found evidence, or even other experts, to use those images to better explain or describe the evidence that they may have found, or their testimony.
A. Yes, sir. It's one thing to be able to, if you will, sit in this box and verbally explain where something was positioned. But to be able to
physically show that geometric relationship; in other words, where it is compared to other objects, helps persons to better understand where these items are located, where they are in relationship to other items.
Q. Let's talk about some interiors first, all right. And you were asked to do some modeling, not just in the yard itself, or in the exterior -- or is it easier to talk about exteriors first?
A. It's your option, sir.
Q. Doesn't matter to you, I'm sure. All right. Let's talk about some interiors. I'm going to show you Figure No. 31. Let's talk about what we're looking at here, first.
A. Sir, this is Figure 31, found on Page 32 of my narrative report. What we're looking at here is an overview of a residence that was on the salvage yard property. And what I have done with this particular model is, I have hidden the roof. In other words, if the roof is a layer, I turned the roof off, so that we can see all of the rooms together.
Q. Let me just stop you there. I'm sure Mr. Strang and I at some point will establish the foundation, but Exhibit 31, we're looking at

Steven Avery's residence?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. Go ahead.
A. Again, what $I$ have done is taken the roof off, or rather, hidden the roof from the model so that we can look at all the various rooms that are inside of his residence. If you think of -- remember the old overhead projectors, you could lay one piece of plastic over it, and another, and another, essentially we have taken one off so that we can see what's underneath the roof in this case.
Q. Now, Figure No. 31 is an unlabeled, or a clean, if you will, image. Were you also asked, and did you provide labels, if the Court allows, and if the State, or whatever party actually wishes to present them, believes it would be helpful for the jury?
A. I provided you with two separate images, one being unlabeled, which we just saw on the screen, and I also provided you with one having labels, in other words, there's text and leader arrows identifying various rooms.
Q. What are we looking at now?
A. This is the image I just described. It is the
same one you had previously; however, this one has text and leader arrows identifying various rooms in the residence.
Q. And through all the images -- in fact, I'm going to have you look at, I think it's Exhibit No. 4. You have a packet of images up there, could you tell us what Exhibit 4 is.
A. Yes, sir, I believe these are those images that $I$ provided you with. They are 4 by 6 prints of these rendered models. And there should be label versions and unlabeled versions in these envelopes.
Q. And for assistance of the Court and really trying to anticipate what the Court's direction may be, to me, to the State, in use of some of these images, you have created a hard copy, or a set of all of your figures, both labeled and unlabeled, so that a record can be made, that is, if some of the documents -- some of the images might be introduced and some may not, we're able to just put in the ones that are acceptable to the Court, and perhaps to counsel, as well; is that right?
A. Yes, sir, that's correct.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Just to complete the record, Judge, although we will have originals, the
entire packet which has been provided to the Court in a 8 1/2 by 11 form, all those images are in Exhibit No. 4. I would ask the Court receive those for purposes of the record at this time.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY STRANG: None.
THE COURT: Those are received.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz) ~ Now, other than the buildings including Mr. Avery's trailer, were you asked to do some interior renderings of Mr. Avery's garage?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And could you tell me, if we look at one of those figures, direct me to one that might be helpful. Exhibit 41?
A. Yes, sir, Exhibit 41.
Q. I'm showing you what's been labeled, then, as Exhibit 41; what are we looking at?
A. Sir, Figure 41 is an overview of the garage that you asked about. Again, I hid or turned off the roof layer so we could see what's inside of that garage.
Q. I'm sorry, this is Figure 41, it might be from Exhibit No. 2, if I'm remembering correctly. Why don't you take the laser pointer and just very
briefly tell us the items that you have been asked to place inside of that garage?
A. Inside of the garage, there's a Suzuki Samurai vehicle that was in the garage when I completed the forensic mapping of that location. Next to that is a snowmobile. There's also a snowmobile on the opposite side of the Suzuki Samurai.

And then around the border, around the walls here, we see various tools, if you will. There's a tool chest in the back. There's an air compressor. Next to that is a welder. There's a freezer and a filing cabinet in here. Various larger items that we can use to locate anything else we need to find in the garage.
Q. Let me ask you, Trooper Austin, without the assistance of this computer generated image, would a jury or a trier of fact ever be able to see something like this?

ATTORNEY STRANG: That really is not a proper question.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I can ask it a different way perhaps, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
Q. (By Attorney Kratz)~ The computer generated images, does it allow anybody, not just a trier
of fact, but anybody in the courtroom, to visualize a scene from an angle or from a perspective that the human eye could not?
A. That's why I believe that the three dimensional models are important. In this case, you know, we would never be able to have an overview of the garage interior without removing -- excuse me -removing the roof as we did in the three dimensional model.

The same with the house. And we can then move about in this model to gain other perspectives so that we can, you know, perhaps from a different viewpoint, look at those spatial relationships we discussed earlier.
Q. When talking about three dimensional, it is that relationship, that is, the relationship between a piece of evidence to a fixed object, or to a known location that's important; is that what your testimony was?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. Let's talk about exteriors for just a moment. I'm going to have you -- have you look at Figure No. 10 and tell us what we're looking at?
A. Figure No. 10, Mr. Kratz, is an overview of the
entire area that I did model, in three dimensions.
Q. Does that include what would be known as the Steven area -- excuse me -- Steven Avery residence and curtilage, as well as the Barb Janda and Dassey residence and area surrounding?
A. In previous slides, we looked at a residence, in the interior, and that Steven Avery residence is over here. The garage we looked at is next to that residence.

In terms of a directional relationship, in this particular view, north would be to the bottom of the screen. And, yes, I'm sorry, to finish your question, sir, the Barb Janda residence is over here on the left side of your screen, and her garage.
Q. I'm going to have you look at just a couple of other images, Image No. 16.
A. Yes, sir. Figure No. 16 is also from my report. Essentially, we have moved our camera to get a different perspective of the Steven Avery property. This allows us to see both the residence, the garage, as well as some other items I was asked to include in the model.
Q. Were one of those items, or two of those items
that we're going to talk about, first of all, the van, the Dodge Caravan, I believe; is that shown in this image?
A. Yes, sir. The Dodge Caravan is this red colored vehicle down here in the lower left portion of your screen.
Q. For the Court's information and just as by way of offer of proof, the evidence in this case will be that was the vehicle that Teresa Halbach was asked to come and take a picture of. Were you also asked to include a burn barrel that was found, or that you took dimensions of, and measurements of, on this property?
A. Yes, sir. Both the mini-van and the burn barrel were in place when $I$ did the forensic mapping, so they were located with the Total Station. The burn barrel you are referring to is over here on the right side of your screen.
Q. And not going into any detail, because that's what the trial is for, but was it your understanding that there is some evidence that was recovered from the interior of that burn barrel?
A. That is my understanding, sir.
Q. Now, as I understand, you're able to move the
camera around, if you will. Figure No. 20 is an example of that. Can you tell us what we're looking at.
A. Sir, that is indeed Figure No. 20 from my narrative report. What we have done from that previous slide you had up is we have moved our position further to the south. And we're now looking to the northwest, to the back of the trailer -- I'm sorry -- residence we discussed before, and the back side of the garage, and items that were identified to me as being of evidentiary value, behind that garage.
Q. Although Figure 20 shows some of the same items that Figure No. 16 would be, this is a different angle and, again, something that the human eye would not be able to accomplish; is that correct?
A. And we're moving in closer from that last point, which allows us to see items in better or greater detail as they have been modeled here. So, yes, I do agree with you.
Q. Last example that I'm going to give you, and again, these are just by way of example, Figure No. 23, tell me what we're looking at.
A. Sir, this is Figure No. 23 from my narrative report. We have moved further to the southeast,
where we were before, and looking at the back side of Steven Avery's residence. We're now looking at the backside of the Barbara Janda residence, and some other items back here that I was asked to include in the model.
Q. All right. Trooper Austin, a little bit out of your area of expertise by crash reconstruction and crime scene reconstruction, were you asked to assist another expert, an anthropologist in this case, in the creation some other images?
A. Yes, sir. I was asked to work closely and under Dr. Leslie Eisenberg, to create additional model images.
Q. Were you able to perform that task?
A. Yes, I physically met with Dr. Eisenberg in Madison and had lengthy communications with her, after meeting with her person, to create model images that would help her to explain her findings in this case.
Q. Now, I don't expect -- in fact, let me just ask you, much of what you were asked to create, did you know what it was that you were creating? I'm not sure how to ask that. Why don't you tell us how that process culminated.
A. Yes, I was asked to create the model, which is my
area of expertise. However, what she wanted me to assist her with was creating skeletal models so that we could point out locations of various bones. That is certainly outside of my area of expertise, that's why I worked closely with her, and directly under her, so she could explain, $I$ would like a leader arrow pointing to this bone, and this bone is called $a$, and the name of that bone.
Q. All right. I have a figure on the screen, it's just the -- a picture of a female skeleton. We're not going to show these because that will be Dr. Eisenberg's area of expertise, but could you just briefly describe how these skeletal models were created?
A. The base skeletal model, that would be the one without any type of textures to it, I obtained from the FBI in Quantico, Virginia. They sent me a CD containing various skeletal models.

I chose the female skeleton as was most appropriate in this case. I then applied a bone texture to it, received approval from Dr. Eisenberg, and then met her in person to again show close ups or different bone locations on that model.
Q. All right. And, again, those have been created, provided to the Court and to counsel -- excuse me -- and are included in your images, both Exhibit No. 4, as well as Exhibit No. 2; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir. They are on the DVD I provided to you and they should be in the photographs also -- or the 4 by 6 images rather.
Q. All right. Let's leave the scene mapping or modeling then and let's talk a little bit about animations. Were you asked to create animations as well?
A. Yes, sir, I was.
Q. And could you tell us about that process, please.
A. To create motion, essentially we need numerous still images of those still renders. In fact, we need about 30 of them for every second of motion that we want to create. If you were to think back perhaps to a child's toy where we would have something in the corner and we flip through those pages and we see that object changing or going into motion, that's kind of what we're doing here. We're putting image, after image, after image to create that effect of moving through a scene.
Q. Now, in lieu of, or instead of taking the jury to the Avery salvage property in the middle of February, did I ask you to create a walk through, if you will, of the Steven Avery property, the Barb Janda property, and the surrounding curtilage?
A. Yes, sir, you did.
Q. And I know that we showed the Court your first draft of that, it was probably last week sometime; have you made some improvements to that?
A. Yes, sir, I have made some minor changes to that.
Q. I have given you another exhibit, I think it's Exhibit 3 ; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Tell us what that is?
A. Exhibit 3 is the disk I gave you maybe an hour or so ago with the final version of the animations.

THE COURT: And for the record, Judge, I have given Mr. Strang his own version of that. And I will be asking that the Court accept Exhibits 3 -have I moved Exhibit 4, Janet, do you know? THE CLERK: Yes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yeah, Exhibit 3, then, I would offer at this point to complete the record.

THE COURT: Any objection?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Not for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: That exhibit is admitted.
Q. As I play this animation, Mr. Austin -- or let me ask this first question, what improvements did you make, and how long did it take to create, and what kind of process was involved?
A. The version I gave to you last week, my draft version, was completed at 15 frames per second, meaning there were 15 images for every second of animation. I felt it looked somewhat choppy. I therefore re-rendered it at 30 frames per second, which gives it a much more fluid sense of motion, if you will.

I also felt that in that draft the gravel did not appear as it should in the animation. Because the way the software looks at the reflection of light, the gravel essentially appeared to sparkle. I, therefore, changed the gravel texture so it doesn't have that reflective capability to it.
Q. Once again, this animation, as well as all of your other still images, do you believe that they will assist, not only the trier of fact, that's
the jury, but other witnesses in explaining evidence that's found or the relationship between that evidence and fixed objects?
A. Mr. Kratz, as we put these 5200 pictures into motion, or make them give that impression of motion, that's going to help myself, you, jury members, to be able to, again, see where items are located to each other. As we go from one end of the scene to the other, or then back around to the backside, if you will, we're going to get a better understanding of what exactly the geometry is of that particular property.
Q. I'm going to play this DVD that's been created and just invite you to chime in, if you will, when that becomes appropriate.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: The record should reflect, Judge, that this is Exhibit No. 3. And I have asked Mr. Austin to -- to narrate as we go through.
Q. Go ahead, Mr. Austin.
A. Mr. Kratz, what $I$ have done is, I started at the southeastern portion of that property, if you will. And we're going to come in by those -that Dodge Caravan, which as we talked about before, was identified to me as being of evidentiary value. We are then going to pause in
front of that Caravan and identify it using a beader, and that is text and arrow.
Q. Between this rendering and the one last week, did you also remove some things?
A. I did change some of the text and I will bring that up when we get to that point, what was changed from the previous version.
Q. All right.
A. Forgive me, I did forget to tell you this earlier, sir, another change I made is, I slowed down the camera as we pan across the top of the residence, so you will see it slightly slower as we move from room to room.

Moving from the Caravan to the Steven Avery residence, what we'll do is we'll fade that roof out so we can see the interior of that residence. Now, as we move between these rooms, this is one of the changes I made. It's a little bit slower than what you saw last week.
Q. As we're looking at all these images, how many separate images are we actually seeing?
A. There's over -- For the entire animation sequence, there's over 5200 images that were rendered to create this.
Q. How long did it take to create this?
A. I utilized three separate computers to try to speed up the process. I started last Friday and I finished last night.
Q. So any delay wasn't intentional on your part?
A. No, sir. Moving from the residence to the garage, similar to the still image you showed us before, Mr. Kratz, we're going to fade the roof out so we can see the inside of the garage.
Q. If you haven't added any images, all these things that we're seeing were there while you were taking the measurements; is that correct?
A. That's correct. From here, Mr. Kratz, we're moving from the overview of the garage. We put the roof back on, if you will, and now we're looking at items behind the garage. And I have added -- these were in last week's version. I have added labels identifying Steven Avery residence and we see we are now at the Steven Avery garage.
Q. The darker area to the left, would that be what is known as the burn area, or where some other very important items, including some bone fragments were found?
A. Yes, sir, that's my understanding. We're now moving to the southeast. We're going to go
behind the Barb Janda property and we're going to identify that residence, as well as the burn barrels that were positioned behind that house.

Those burn barrels were there. I did the forensic mapping, so those have been -- their location was mapped. This is one of the changes in the text. Previous to today that said, Janda/Dassey burn barrels. I have taken the names off, so it just says burn barrels there.
Q. Some other witness may identify who actually had control of those burn barrels; was that the reason for that change?
A. Yes. From those burn barrels we're now moving back to the front of the Steven Avery property and we're going to show the geometric location of the burn barrel position there. And, again, when we show the text here, this previously stated Steven Avery burn barrel, it now just states burn barrel when she show that header.

And this is, essentially, the end of the animation generated here. We have seen those images I discussed before, those 5,000 images, and we're fading out to close off the animation. Q. Now, this afternoon we're not going to show the skeletal animations, but was roughly the same
process used to assist the jury, ultimately, and probably through the narration of either an anthropologist or a pathologist with what they believe is some important evidence found in this case?
A. Yes, the second animation you are referring to was generated in exactly the same manner. It's not as long, there's only slightly over 600 images to generate that one. And I don't know if you viewed that last week, if you did, there are no changes to it at all. That one was not altered in any way.
Q. Finally, Mr. Austin, asked a little different way and perhaps in more technical jargon, are the images that you created, these computer generated images, true and accurate depictions of the items that are portrayed within them, at least to the best of your ability to create them?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. For purposes of this admissible hearing, that's all I have of Mr. Austin. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY STRANG:
Q. And thank you, Mr. Austin. I just very briefly want to understand the Total Station a little bit better. When you say that device locates itself in space, is this through the assistance of a global positioning satellite?
A. No, sir. May I try to reexplain?
Q. Sure. Yes.
A. If I can. When I set the Total Station up, if you take a point directly underneath that instrument, essentially, that's our -- we call it a zero point. If you're to think back to say high school geometry, we have our X axis and we have our Y axis, and we also have our $Z$ axis, which is our height. So that point directly below the instrument, that we have created is 000. It will then will take the angle and distance to you, sir, and then it would recognize your position, then, both horizontally and vertically.
Q. Okay. And does it do that by laser?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So, it's sending laser beam and then measuring the time back to a reflective surface or receptor on the Total Station?
A. We have a -- it's a two-man operation, if you will, sir. If the piece or the item we were measuring was over by you, or if you were standing by that, I would give you a prism, which is on staff, and you would hold that directly on that item, or directly over it, and, yes, it would reflect back from that prism.
Q. So, what it's measuring then is not the item, but the prism that someone is holding on or near the item you are trying to map?
A. Correct. The Total Station knows, or we tell it how tall it is by measuring it. We also tell it how tall the prism is so it mathematically calculates to compensate for that height. So it still -- it's measuring to the prism, but what it is determining is the coordinate to the item at the bottom of the staff.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And I may need to have the kind assistance of Mr. Kratz. May I call on that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Please.
ATTORNEY STRANG: If we -- For example, if we went to something with the four burn barrels behind Barb Janda's trailer.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Give me the figure number, Mr. Strang.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I don't have the foggiest.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Kratz, can we look at Figure 23.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: You certainly can.
THE WITNESS: It's on Page 24, would that work, sir?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Beautiful, just fine.
Q. (By Attorney Strang) ~ The barrels themselves, as they are modeled here, look like they are supposed to have holes in them; is that right?
A. I applied a rust colored texture to them. I don't believe it is supposed to depict holes. It is supposed to depict just a rusty color.
Q. Just something you picked off the digital pallet that the program provides?
A. There's a library, if you will, that's with it. And I did pick a rust color to give the impression that it's a rusty barrel.
Q. Okay. I thought when we were having our virtual flyer one that I saw dark spots that I took to be holes in the barrels. Maybe -- Maybe it was my imagination of what the image was supposed to be.
A. If there were dark spots on there, and I think I do believe there are, it's designed just to -just to show an old barrel, not designed to show any type of hole.
Q. So, one who is looking at this and says, boy, gee, there look like a lot of holes in those burn barrels, is seeing something that's simply supplied by your imagination, or the computer's choice of replication of a background, or a color that you have selected?
A. For the barrel, could someone get that impression, from what you are telling me, yes.
Q. Okay. And I'm not trying to tell you, I'm just asking you. I mean if it looks like a hole, that doesn't mean there was a hole in the barrel?
A. Right. You said you got that impression so, obviously, somebody could, yes.
Q. Or I could have serious mental problems, I suppose. But setting that aside, if it looks like a hole, that doesn't mean there is a hole there?
A. In that case, again, that was a texture from a library designed to show -- in fact, I believe it was for -- simply for a burn barrel that I utilized.
Q. Excuse me?
A. The texture I assigned it was from a library for a burn barrel.
Q. Oh, okay. And just -- I'm just trying to get a better feel for the -- I'm particularly interested in the three dimensional process. You consistently use the term model to describe the images that you have created for the State. Why do you use the term model?
A. That's the term that's mostly used in the industry, if you will, the software program I'm using is generally used in the jewelry or marine or both design industries. And these are generally referred to as models. I could just the same refer to them as a three dimensional scaled diagram, perhaps it's just a term that's been instilled in me from when I received the training.
Q. Sure, but one thing you mean to denote by choosing the word model is that this is not a photograph in the sense that people have understood that term for the last 150, 160 years or so?
A. That's correct. No, I would never try to state this is a photograph of the scene. It's not.
Q. It's not a photographic depiction in the sense of something that is as accurate as a photograph might be?
A. I don't know if I agree with you on accurate, the geometry here, everything is accurate.
Q. And I'm with you on that. I'm with you on geometry and spatial relationships, okay. You and I don't have any quarrel at all, at least for now, about that, and I don't know that we ever will.

But in terms of the details that a human eye might take in, a hole in a metal burn barrel, chipped paint on the side of the garage, graffiti on the side -- I'm not suggesting there was graffiti -- but graffiti on the side of a garage, a broken window pane, a lone leaf left on a tree, those sorts of visual details here, the model doesn't even purport to capture?
A. That's correct. No, you would see those in your crime scene photographs.
Q. So what the model is useful for, among other things I guess, but primarily, is showing us relationships in space, of one item to another, for example, true?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And does the model -- or does the Total Station, which you eventually download to the forensic 3D software, does the Total Station do a good job, for example, of capturing the circumference of the opening at the top of a burn barrel?
A. To map location of the burn barrel, each particular one, what $I$ would do is, I would take three points on there. And I can use those three points in the CAD software to create a perfect circle, if you will. So, you know, I don't actually go around and go over every half inch with the prism. Three points, because that's what I need to create a circle.
Q. Okay. So if we look at the four burn barrels, what you have done with your partner who's holding the prism for you on the stick, is you have gone to three separate points on the top of each one of those four burn barrels?
A. Correct. There would be three points on each barrel, for those four there.
Q. Okay. And then the computer says, I know what to do now, I will create a perfect circle?
A. Well, I have to tell it to do that. You know, I will, essentially, in the software I have got
various options, editing, drawing tools, etcetera. I will tell it, I'm going to draw a circle and I'm going to give you three points. I then identify those three points and my circle is generated.
Q. Got it. So, again, within the limitations here, if what we were interested in knowing is, you know, how far from the side door on Barb Janda's trailer is the cluster of four burn barrels, this would be a very good tool for doing that, correct?
A. If I wanted to -- Are you asking me if $I$ wanted to physically measure that distance using the CAD environment, or to get a perspective view?
Q. A perspective view.
A. Then, yes, I agree, this would be the tool.
Q. Okay. And, indeed, I suppose you could use the software to spit out the exact -- not spit out, but to tell you, if you were to run a cord line from the middle of the four burn barrels to the door knob on the side door of the trailer, we could get down to a fraction of an inch the distance of that cord line, correct?
A. Yes, we could.
Q. But as to what the burn barrels actually look
like to a human eye, or would look like in a photograph, we shouldn't be relying on the model to give us?
A. I agree.
Q. Now, if we go back, with Mr. Kratz's assistance, to Figure 9, is that possible?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Sure.
Q. Okay. Now -- And I'm also going to be interested in Figure 10 eventually. But on Figure 9, I see what looks to me like rather dramatic shadows of two lovely leafy trees, casting across the bottom half of that picture.
A. Yes.
Q. Is that what that looks like?
A. Yes.
Q. And the measurements here were taken between November 5 and November 12, 2005?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If I have my directions about right, should I be alarmed by a catastrophic change in the planet earth's orbit and tilt that I now have a strong sun shining out of the northwest, in early November, 2005, in the northern hemisphere?
A. If I can put this particular item in perspective, and perhaps I should have done that with

Mr. Kratz. The page that this is on, on Page 14 of my report, is talking about the forensic 3D software package. And the image right before this one is one of the unrendered garage. And this one is shown in my report directly underneath it as showing a rendered version.

And my point here, the point is in the report was to show how we can generate these models with the various textures on them. This is in no way -- This particular view is not -- or with the shadows, is not shown any later in the report when I'm talking about the scene models. And these are actually not leafy trees, these are the pine type trees that are up near the residence that you are seeing. So I would not try to purport that this is how it looked on November 5th, 6th, 7th through the 12th, 2005. This was to show the software.
Q. So how do the lovely long shadows of the pine trees get in there.
A. I turned the sun on in this particular case to show, again, the software's capabilities. You will see in the other renderings, the sun $I$ actually have off. You will see some shadowing, but the sun giving directionality has not been
turned on. I did not intend to depict any time of day, specific time of day, in any of the models you are seeing, you know, that are designed to show you the actual scene or any animation.
Q. Or to suggest the orientation of sun to the scene?
A. No, I did not do that or did I have any intention of doing that.
Q. Okay. And when we see trees in your models, particularly the ones that are leafless, as they might be in early November around here, they all look the same to me. Are these simply trees that the computer generates for the purpose of suggesting that there is a tree in that spot in space.
A. This software package allows me to actually specify different types of trees and to specify different seasons. You would see your apple blossoms in the spring and apples in the summer. I, for the purpose of being demonstrative of their being a tree, $I$ did not vary the trees at all. So you are very correct in that all of the leafless trees are the same. The only difference is in their size.
Q. Okay. And in general, then, there's a certain amount of artistry, if you will, on your part or on the part of the operator turning the sun on or off, selecting color palet, that kind of thing, to help make this an attractive model, if you will.
A. The intent is not to be attractive, if you will. It's to show that there is a tree in this position, that this item is a barrel. But do we have some liberty, if you will, of picking what tree is going to go in there, or what texture is going to be there. I suppose. I do the best I can to try to make that as close as possible.
Q. No. And please don't take this as an attack. And by attractive, I understand you are not planning to send this home so mom can put it on the refrigerator. But it's designed to give us a sense of reality, or the illusion of reality of a real scene, correct?
A. The design is to give you an idea. And I mentioned these buzz words before about geometric perspective and spacial relationships.
Q. Right.
A. But to give you an idea of how that scene is laid out. It's not, as you mentioned before, it is
not designed to give you a photograph, or a photographic image of what that scene looks like.
Q. So whether to color the garage, or what color to make it, whether to turn on the sun, or leave the sun turned off, you know, which direction the shadows should fall, whether there should be shadows, how to color the gravel, these are all just decisions you have to make, in good faith. I'm not suggesting anything to the contrary. But these are just artistic decisions, for want of a better word, in presenting something that looks other than just shades of gray?
A. Yes, those are decisions that I made.
Q. Okay. And then there's, you know, you don't have to buy into the label artistic, I don't mean anything by it. I don't mean to pick a fight by that. But in addition to those kinds of decisions that you made, in creating your exhibits here, there are also some decisions that were made either by Mr. Kratz, or by Mr. Wiegert, or Mr. Fassbender, primarily, correct?
A. As to the colors that were used?
Q. No, no, other decisions as to the depictions; specifically, what items would be included?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Okay. And those decisions simply were made by an advocate, or people on one side of this lawsuit, for demonstrative purposes?
A. Can you say that one more time. I did not understand the lawsuit part.
Q. Sure. Some of the decisions about what to include, simply were made by either Mr. Kratz, as one of the lawyers for the State, or one of the two lead investigators on the case, or their purposes of showing or demonstrating what they would like to show or demonstrate.
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, I'm quite certain, knowing these gentleman somewhat, that they didn't ask you to include anything that was made up, made up out of faux pas. And you also were on the scene, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So, did you satisfy yourself that the things you were asked to include in fact were things present at least at some time between November 5 and November 12th?
A. If I understand your question correctly, are you asking if I'm satisfied everything I have depicted was at that scene during that time?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Nothing was added in. In other words, if we -- if we go to Figure 41, again, with Mr. Kratz's help.
A. Overview of the garage, sir?
Q. Yes. Yes, with the roof peeled off. So, if we go to that, there, in fact, was, looks like a John Deere lawn mower or tractor, present in the scene at some point while you were there?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. There were two snowmobiles, each a flank of the Suzuki Samurai, not just one?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But now, to go in the other direction, or the converse of this, there also were items present that have been omitted from these models, correct?
A. Yes. Omitted or not measured. There were a lot of debris, for lack of a better word, in that garage.
Q. Okay. So while we haven't added anything in that wasn't there, we have taken out some things that were there?
A. Taken out or they weren't measured when $I$ was
there.
Q. And if they weren't measured, they cannot be included?
A. If they weren't measured, then you are not going to see them in there, denoted as being in a specific location.
Q. So someone looking at this Figure 41, for example, unless he or she was able to look at a photograph taken at about the same time, would not understand that the garage, in fact, contained a whole lot more items and clutter than it appears to in the model?
A. I believe I understand your question. Like if I were to look at the -- there's a table back here denoted in silver or grayish color. There were items on that table, if you will. There were -I can even tell you what they are: Parts, or boxes, or other items. No, I denoted the location of that table, but not everything that was on it.
Q. Right. In fact, your recollection is that the table top was all but covered with junk and miscellaneous things.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Likewise, the garage floor was not
covered, but quite cluttered with all kinds of parts and miscellaneous stuff?
A. There were more items in that garage than what were depicted in this particular perspective.
Q. Perhaps the most striking example of this, if you went to Figure 31.
A. The residence over here?
Q. Yes.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Is your Honor able to follow along?

THE COURT: Yes, I am following along. I have hard copies of the exhibits and I'm looking at them.
Q. Okay.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Do you need something from me?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Figure 31 would be great, just for the spectators.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: With or without arrows?
ATTORNEY STRANG: Right now, either is okay. Very well.
Q. If the uninitiated took the model in Figure 31 as an entirely accurate representation of the way Mr. Avery's home looked, one would conclude that at least as to his living room and dining room,
he had a fairly minimalist philosophy of interior design.
A. In fact, $I$ documented that in my narrative too, sir, that items in those rooms were not measured, you know, what the furniture that was there. Yes, without -- if they weren't shown a crime scene photograph, or they weren't told that this is designed just to show relationships of certain objects, yeah, they would not probably have an understanding that there were other items there.
Q. Things like couches, and chairs, and fairly large pieces of furniture?
A. I remember two chairs. I don't remember having a couch.
Q. Okay. But in other words, there's some significant pieces of furniture that just aren't here?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so the things that are included reflect some editorial judgment on the part of the State in this instance?
A. Or items that I, like I say, I didn't actually get to the chairs that you were referring to there by the time our warrant ran out that day. So, yeah, there's also some judgment on my part
when I was in there as to what items I could get to in the time allotted.
Q. Fair enough. Fair enough. And throughout making these slides, in a number of ways, you were guided by the requests of, let's just say agents of the State, in directing you on which of the available items that actually were there should be included and which should be omitted from the image or the model?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Now, in explaining that such direction is common in your work, you told the Court that this is common to rely on one side or the other in a lawsuit, for that sort of direction?
A. The bulk of the work that $I$ do, as -- as Mr. Kratz pointed out, is -- is in crash reconstruction.
Q. Yes.
A. And a lot of the diagrams that $I$ do for crash reconstruction, we don't show everything in those aspects either. We'll actually usually collect more information than we need, or on the flip side, we can't show every single crack that's in the pavement. So that's what I meant by it's
relatively common to only show certain aspects or for certain things to be omitted. You know, I'm never going to intentionally omit something of an evidentiary value for either side, but I think it would be impossible to show everything.
Q. And that's not where I'm going. What I'm saying is, you are getting your direction from one side?
A. Yes.
Q. Not from two sides?
A. That's correct.
Q. And because you are employed by the Wisconsin State Patrol, when would the defense ever be, in a criminal case, the defense ever be suggesting what should be included and what not included in a model?
A. Typically, that has not happened. I did offer to Mr. Kratz, you know, that we could add additional items if there was something that you particularly wanted displayed or shown in there. I haven't heard anything back on that yet. But, no, typically we don't call the defense attorney up and say what do you want in the diagram. Or, you know, I guess I haven't had a chance where they have approached me and said can you add this. I have been asked in court to draw in
where something was on a diagram but, no, not the scenario that you are portraying. That's not happened to me.
Q. It's the first I'm hearing of it too. And, you know, they are entitled to create their own demonstrative exhibits. They just are, just the same way I am.

The point is, you are someone at the technical reconstruction unit of the Wisconsin State Patrol Academy more typically would assist the prosecution in preparing such exhibits?
A. That's correct and that's how it was in this case, as you point out, yes.
Q. Here we can use this just as well as anything, the -- the items that are shown, all of them were mapped with the forensic station or the Total Station?
A. No, a lot of these items were manually -- the measurements were manually recorded. It would be possible, but very difficult, to set the instrument up in a small bedroom, as you will, that we had here in the residence. It was quicker just to manually, if you will, measure those positions.
Q. Fair enough. Fair enough. And those
measurements may have been taken at different times, by different people?
A. No, I took -- the measurements that you are seeing here were taken by me on the last date. So, obviously, they are going to be at different times, but $I$ was in there at one, you know, all at one time frame, if you will.
Q. Okay. And, again, don't -- I'm just using this demonstratively so to speak, okay, so don't get carried away with just the image of, but in general, the images we have seen, the mapping, whether done by the Total Station, or done manually, was done at different times?
A. Oh, I'm sorry, I misunderstood your question. Yes, they were all done, you know, in the course of one day. Then we would call it a day, go home, come back the following day, reset up, or do a different area, or finish that location.
Q. And during the time you were on scene, doing the mapping, there were 50 or 100 other law enforcement officers also on the scene, executing at least a couple of search warrants; you were aware of that?
A. Yes. They were never in the immediate area we were working in. It's a very large area,
obviously.
Q. Right.
A. A lot of the searchers were down in the -- where the vehicles were in the salvage yard. But, yeah, $I$ do know, for example, that there were probably 60 of my co-workers that were out there doing searches.
Q. Okay. And you have no way of knowing what items law enforcement officers may have moved before you got around to mapping the dimensions and location of those items?
A. If that happened, I wouldn't know.
Q. I would like to go briefly to the skeleton model. Just an unlabeled image. There we go. Great. That works fine. This thing actually came off a CD that the FBI sent to you?
A. Yeah, I contacted their -- was it their Structural Imaging Unit -- I would have to look at the particulars, and requested a -- Structural Design Unit of the FBI and requested a skeletal model in a standard CAD, be in a drafting format. They sent me what's called a DXF file, which means drawing exchange file, that my software could also read. So, yes, I did obtain this from the FBI.
Q. Okay. And was the -- was the depiction on the FBI's CD or DVD, whatever it was, a photograph, or was that image itself a computer generated image of some kind?
A. What they gave me was, you know, for lack of a better term, we discussed it before, was a three dimensional model. It was actually the CAD file, if you will, would have been similar to my final overall scene of the Avery property. This was just a file, if you will, of a female skeleton, which then $I$ can move around in $3 D$ space.
Q. Sure.
A. Or put the texture, or label accordingly. So it wasn't a picture they gave me, was the actual model itself.
Q. Again computer generated?
A. Yeah, a computer electronic file. Yes.
Q. Yes. And as to whether it was a female skeleton, you simply you relied on the label assigned to the file?
A. I trusted the FBI. And I trusted Dr. Eisenberg to confirm, yes, this is female.
Q. Okay. And if you know, do we have a height on this skeletal model?
A. On the model itself?
Q. Yes.
A. I think -- I think I have it right around 5 feet. I would have to go back and look, sir.
Q. But in any event, that's a number you could give us, or dimension you could give us as height?
A. Of the skeleton?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I could go back and see what that was. Although, everything -- if $I$ were to adjust that model's height, everything would be proportionate, meaning if $I$ made it 5 feet tall or if $I$ made it 20 feet tall, the relative size and proportion would remain the same.
Q. Okay. So this can't be taken to depict any particular person, in other words?
A. No, that's not my intention here at all. It was to help Dr. Eisenberg to point out specific bone locations.
Q. Right. The knee bone connects to the shin bone, and one can see where the knee bone would be in relationship to the shin bone?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. That's fine. So let me go to some specific questions. And here it will be useful, not so much to use the screen, but just the
binder we have here, we all have the same one.
A. Okay.
Q. Now, we have been describing three dimensional models. But of course, none of them are, right? We're looking at the flat pieces of two dimensional paper, or flat images on a screen?
A. Yes, it's a flat screen.
Q. The illusion of the third dimension is perspective provided by mathematical algorithm?
A. Yes.
Q. The design of the software itself, or the design of the algorithms that create the illusion of three dimensional space are not something that are your work product?
A. That's correct.
Q. Not something in which you are expert?
A. No, I'm not a programmer.
Q. So this is -- this is a commercially available, or proprietary software package that somebody in the Wisconsin State Patrol Academy purchased and you use.
A. The first part of your question is correct. The Wisconsin State Patrol Academy did not purchase this. This is something that, as a trainer of the software, that I have from them. So this is
not something the State Patrol has purchased yet.
Q. Sure. Okay. And that will teach me to ask compound questions. If we go to Figure 17?
A. The exterior of the trailer, sir, or the residence, rather.
Q. Yes, looks like that to me. You have no idea what, if anything, duck tape may have to do -duck tape under the porch may have to do with anything in this case, do you?
A. No.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Do we have a labeled version of that?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Sure.
Q. Okay. But somebody asked you to include some duct tape --
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. -- in this figure. And didn't give you exactly where the duct tape was supposed to be?
A. No, that's based off of a photograph.
Q. So, quite honestly, you simply tell us you here that are doing an approximation?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, whether duct tape has anything to do with anything, you have no idea?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Likewise, in Figure 18, you refer to a number of items, a vehicle bench seat, a mallet, tire cords, a rake, and here, again, you tell us placement is to be deemed as being approximate, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So this is something that you just decided where to put these items in the model?
A. I tried to do the best I could to explain in the narrative here as to how the items were placed. The one you pointed out here, yeah, they had been moved before I forensically mapped that location. I relied on photographs taken by the State Patrol Trooper Jim Reese, to put those items in place. So I did do what I could to note which items were mapped and which were based on photographic evidence.
Q. But we could look at the photographs, if he wanted to know where the items were.
A. Which I did in this case. But now our view here, we've moved up to -- I don't know what our elevation is here, looks like somewhere around 50 feet, looking down, so we can see the entire area.
Q. So if we wanted to pretend we were 55 feet tall,
now we can do that.
A. I don't think the intention would be for play acting, just to get a good overview.
Q. Right. But that's the point of view so to speak.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Strang. Let me ask just one question. The approximate location, based off of the photos, is that a number of photos, or one photo that showed all these items?

THE WITNESS: There were several photos I was able to utilize. I can't tell you, your Honor, how many I had at that point. Trooper Reese did take several shots behind there with the camera. And for some of these, if it was possible, I also utilized aerial photographs so that we can see the vehicle bench seat in one of those. But if I could use -- the more I could use, obviously, the better.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Strang.
Q. There were a number of area photographs taken, some of which you used to assist you?
A. Yes.
Q. Were any of those done with a zoom lens?
A. I would have to look at my notes to see what Trooper McConnell did or what type of camera he
had, or focal lens. I guess I don't know because I wasn't involved in those.
Q. And it's not worth the time. Did you look at them digitally?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So we know they were digital photographs at least?
A. Yes.
Q. And, therefore, we could use Photoshop, or something on the computer, to enlarge or minimize the view of part of those photographs.
A. That is correct. There were also some non-digital pictures that $I$ believe were arranged to be taken by DCI, which showed items also. Those were not digital, but you could look at them and see.
Q. Okay. If you go to Figure 32 -ATTORNEY KRATZ: Labeled? ATTORNEY STRANG: Either way.
Q. -- this is the bathroom?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. There's a bathroom door, but it looks like the doorway has disappeared?
A. The material on the -- I'm going to use a laser pointer here, sir.
Q. Sure.
A. The material on this wall is the same as the material on this wall. And you see this, this is a shadow from this wall coming down. It's going to be in the rendering or perhaps how we're displayed here was printed.

There is an opening here, but because the wall behind it is identical in texture, it gives us the illusion, if you will, in that picture, that it's a solid wall. But we do see a shadow from this back wall in here, which shows us that there really is an opening there. If I would have made this wall darker or this wall darker you would see a difference.
Q. I would see a doorway?
A. Yes. Do you see what I mean about the shadow that's back here? The shadow is actually on that hallway wall, so that's why we're seeing it inside, by looking through the door.
Q. Okay. So, it's not a situation where there was an error in measurement or something that caused the computer to think there was no doorway into the bathroom?
A. No, it's the fact that $I$ have the same texture on that wall as I do on the other wall and that in
this particular render gives us that -- I don't want to say optical illusion, for lack of a better term, it appears to us that that door is missing.
Q. Here, again, this isn't another example where you, quite forthrightly, in the report, told us that you are approximating the location of the guns?
A. That's correct. And you're right, that is mentioned in the report.
Q. If we go to Figure 36-A now, you may not know enough about this case to understand this, but this sort of model is something that the lawyers have been probably spending a fair amount of time looking at. And I have seen photographs depicting the same basic area.

So just for the Court's benefit, there are a very noticeable pair of men's slippers just to the left of the key in the photographs, of the same area that you have modeled here. Have you seen those photographs too?
A. Yes, I was given a singular photograph in this case to show me the location of that key. And I was asked to put that key in. I am aware of the slippers they are talking about.
Q. And the outlet on the wall?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So is that the kind of thing that you are simply told, don't bother about the outlet on the wall, don't bother about the slippers, just show us the approximate location of the key?
A. I was asked about the outlet on the wall by the prosecution, and that one I would have had difficulty putting in without having measurements. I did not measure the outlets or light switches when I was there. I felt comfortable putting the key on the carpeting on the floor, but I did not feel comfortable in putting the outlet in.
Q. An earlier draft of this -- and I understand it was just a draft -- had no key fob on the key correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. No little blue or purple strap. Were you asked to add that back in?
A. That didn't exist at that point, in the previous draft. I believe you are referring to the one I had delivered to you back in December, what was in there was a generic key, if you will. It was not the actual key or a model of the key that was
found.
After that version came out, I was asked to put in, if you will, the actual key. So I was given access to it. I took measurements of that key and, hence, you see it in this particular version.
Q. Okay. And as we go through, we don't need to stop particularly on each one of these, but Figures 37 and 38, you have got cross-hatched areas, shows approximate locations of things; is that again based on photographs, or just somebody's description to you of where bleach or duct tape was found?
A. Yes, and yes. There were photographs of both of these items. In fact, looking at my photographs that I took when I was in the residence, the bleach you are referring to in Figure 37 was in place. But, yes, those are based on requests they be in and/or based on statements and photographs.
Q. Go to Figure 44 , if you would.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, here some color has been added for highlighting, specifically, blue color, correct?
A. You are referring to the truss. I apologize, my
version is black and white.
Q. Do you have the -- Do you have your little -your writing at the top, your captioning?
A. Yes, you are referring to the mark, I can see it in the one Mr. Kratz put up. Yeah, I thought that was a blood print?
Q. Now, the blue coloring is something added by you?
A. Yes.
Q. Just to highlight an area?
A. Yes. I believe as I wrote here, it was shaded blue to make the area differ from the remainder of the other sections of the trusses. I did that to show a location.
Q. The location is where at least someone told you there were some marks on a ceiling truss?
A. I actually, when we surveyed -- This part, we did use the Total Station for and myself and other officers involved saw those marks ourselves. So that actual location is correct on these. As to do they have any value, I don't know. But we mapped out that location and we put -- I put them in there.
Q. Whether the marks themselves that you have highlighted have anything to do with anything, you have no idea?
A. That's correct, sir.
Q. The next slide, Figure 45 -- Are we using, in Figure 45, the scene as it looked in March, 2006 or the scene as it looked in November, 2005, as a starting point for the model?
A. The original model -- and if I'm not following you, please, please stop me.
Q. Oh, sure.
A. The original model that's here is based on forensic mapping that I did back in November of 2005. Now, what my intention to depict here is items that were denoted by investigators in March of 2006 .

Apparently they had gone back in and found some items of evidentiary value. And that's what this and the following photograph are designed to -- excuse me -- I mean photograph image, are designed to depict, is items that they noted during that examination.
Q. But the items themselves were among those that were mapped in November, 2005, or were they simply added in, based on new information in March, 2006?
A. The paint thinner, I think, as I talked about before, I didn't map the items that were on top of that particular bench. I did observe, after reading the reports and looking back at my photographs that $I$ took when $I$ was in the garage, the paint thinner was in place. I can't tell you exactly, say it's 3 inches over, 4 inches back. I can't do that.
Q. Sure.
A. That's why it says approximate.
Q. Was the blackjack creeper?
A. The blackjack creeper was in place when I was there. And that one I could put in based on the items around it. It's right up against that air compressor and by the welder, so I had no difficulty in putting that in.
Q. Although, you had not mapped it?
A. No, the location of the blackjack creeper was not noted; however, the compressor behind it, there is a lawn mower in front of it, a waste paper basket, and a welder right there. So that was -I was very confident in putting that in place.
Q. All right. Very briefly, we don't even -- I don't think we need the images because they don't have figure numbers, but there are some close up views of the skeletal model showing defects, what you have described as defects, or probably Dr.

Eisenberg described as defects, in the mental foramen and in the parietal bone, true?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And on those you simply picked, or Dr. Eisenberg has picked at random, which side of the head to use as in modeling those defects?
A. I believe that -- I guess I don't feel comfortable discussing that. I was directed that it's the left side of the head at this particular location of the parietal bone. Again, as Mr. Kratz pointed out, that's well outside of my area of expertise. I generated these models under her supervision and for Dr. Eisenberg.
Q. Okay. I'm interested in the text then, I guess, just to nail that down on page 56.
A. I'm there, sir.
Q. You write, in part, however, it is unknown from which side of the mandible the fragment originated. For demonstration purposes only the model shown below depicts the left side of the head.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I'm sorry, the mandible is the jaw.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Right. Yes, it is. ATTORNEY KRATZ: Dr. Eisenberg will testify
exactly where the defect is on the cranial defect. But other than that, your Honor, the text is what it is.

THE COURT: I must have a different Page 56, because my Page 56 doesn't show a jaw.
A. I'm sorry, Mr. Strang, could you repeat the question.
Q. Well, the question was, am I right that simply for purposes of illustration here, what you are saying at least is, you are using the left side of the mandible.
A. I believe, and thank you for refreshing my memory on this one, what $I$ did in that case, that I showed one of the mental foramen which, again, well outside my area of expertise, my understanding is it's a opening for a blood vessel in the jaw. There's blood on each side of the jaw. I depicted one of them. I believe the text says after that, that the mental foramen on the right side of the jaw is similarly located.
Q. Very well. Technically, if you were asked, would it be possible to start with, you know, Figure 31, for example, and then add in one arrow or label at a time, like a PowerPoint presentation, to use something much simpler, with which I'm
familiar with?
A. You are asking that could be done?
Q. Yes, could that be done technically?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Without an unreasonable amount of work?
A. No, it would not be unreasonable at all.
Q. Okay. What you have given us here are all or nothing. All the labels, or none of the labels, on the figures that -- for which we can choose labeled or unlabeled?
A. Yes. What I did was I gave -- obviously, I couldn't do that in the report. Essentially, as you said, it's all or nothing here.
Q. Right.
A. What I gave Mr. Kratz was 4 X 6 prints and electronic versions of either, yes, all or nothing. But, no, it would not be any difficult amount of work to separate those out, or to put them into a PowerPoint presentation.
Q. There's nothing magic about PowerPoint, but my point -- my point simply was, if some of the labels were acceptable to the Court or the parties, and not others, is that something you could do without a great deal of trouble?
A. If that were the decision, and either you or

Mr. Kratz were to direct me as to what has to be done, yes, we could make that happen.
Q. Okay. And similarly, no big deal to take out words in some of the labels, if that was the decision? So, in order words, it could be garage, rather than Steven Avery's garage, just for example?
A. That's correct. And just to go a step further if I may, sir.
Q. Sure.
A. In the animation, that would be relatively easy also.
Q. Okay.
A. The difficulty would be if we had to re-render some of those approaches, that would take a lengthy amount of time. But the textural parts in there can be altered rather simply.
Q. All right. And I think my last question is, the new DVD that $I$ was just given this afternoon, do you think that, without any purchase of proprietary software, I could pop that in a laptop and run the virtual tour, or stop it, or sort of use the exhibit as well?
A. Yes, there's nothing proprietary about it. It's written to an M-PEG 2 Format, meaning any DVD
player can read that.
Q. Okay. And that can be stopped or backed up, that kind of thing, by the person running the laptop?
A. Yes, just as if you were going to put a regular movie in there, you could pause it, or reverse, or fast forward.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Thanks. That's all I have.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Nothing for this hearing, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Your witness is excused. We'll take our break at this time, give the reporter a rest. Come back in 15 minutes.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, on this issue we don't anticipate any more testimony; do you want a brief argument?

THE COURT: I will hear the argument after we get back.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: What time do you want us back?

THE COURT: Fifteen minutes, quarter to four.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: At this time we're back on the record, $I$ will hear argument on the defendant's
motion regarding the animations. I think, Mr. Strang, we'll let you go first, I'm sure you know exactly what the objections are.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure. I think we can narrow the scope considerably. In the end, I don't think there will be any real problem at all with the skeletal model. And I can work through with Mr. Kratz or his colleagues any minor issues that remain.

But I think -- I think where we're heading on that is that they have already removed color highlights on bones, which was good. And we're probably heading toward unlabeled images, first, and then adding labels as a witness describes, you know, whatever it is that the arrow would come in for. And I don't think that editorial content of the labels on the skeletal model would be any issue at all. They are just really using formal names for bones. So I think that will wash out.

I will talk a little bit about proportion and, you know, get a better understanding of that now that $I$ have a foundation from Trooper Austin on that. But I don't expect that the Court will need to address
that and we probably would withdraw the motion so far as the skeletal models go -- or model.

The Avery property, you know, this northwest corner of the 40 acre parcel will present some difficulty, perhaps, and just to describe what it is for the Court. I don't have a good vocabulary for this because, at least to me, not being involved in, you know, air crash cases or personal injury cases, where a great deal of money is at stake, computer generated animations are new to me, even though they are not new to the profession, particularly.

But the concern, if $I$ can articulate it, is that the models come so close to a realistic or photographic quality that it's easy to forget that they just are not. The photographic eye of a video camera picks up everything within it's field of focus and there's no opportunity for somebody to say, well, I want this flower pot in, but the watering can over there, I want that taken out.

Now, obviously, with digital photographs we actually can do that kind of thing now. But in the traditional sense, a video recording or a photograph gives a true depiction. These do not.

But what's included is so deceptively good -- and I don't mean in a pejorative sense perceptively, but it's so realistic appearing that it's easy, I think, for a juror to forget that he or she is looking at a collection of pixels that reflects editorial judgment on everything other than the geometry.

And I will simply take the geometry and the spatial relationships off the table here. I'm not concerned about the accuracy of the algorithm. I'm not concerned about the accuracy of measurements, whether they are manual or done with the Total Station device. That can be developed easily enough and understood by way of foundational questions on direct, or a few simple questions on cross-examination. And jurors then can understand that, well, you know, there may be some slight human error in spatial relationships. Not worried about that, or the geometry, if the Court will.

Where I get worried is things like holes in burn barrels. That kind of thing, it is very easy to assume that there really must be a hole in the burn barrel if it looks like there's a hole in the burn barrel.

Or easy to assume that, you know, the dog was standing out at the end of his leash, if he's standing out at the end of his leash in the depiction here. It's all that much easier to lose track of the fact that we're not depicting anything as it would have been, necessarily, on October 31, 2005.

We just -- There's no way of knowing. These are later creations based on later measurements. We'll also have to work some on labeling, but my expectation would be that collaboratively the State and the defense could come to some agreement on labeling as to the trailer and the Janda trailer and the surrounding yard, for want of a better word.

We may even get -- We may, we're not yet today, but we may get to some agreement on something like the image that has a rake and tire cords and a mallet and a number of items shown in approximate locations. It may be that if the State introduces photographs taken during the same week, that photographically show the scene, it may be that I'm a lot less concerned about a demonstrative representation once the jury understands, here are the photographs and here's
the diagram, which, you know, which is really intended just for argument or illustration and not for pictographic accuracy. We're not there yet, we may be able to get there on that topic.

The greatest concern and the one on which we probably do need the Court's help is the garage. The garage, as depicted in the models here, really is materially different than the garage was in real life. And I say to myself, here, this is where the differences are so material that, you know, an actual jury view of the garage would be better than a virtual tour of the garage.

And the problem may be exasperated by the fact that for whatever reason, at least the photographs that Mr. Buting and I have seen of the garage, aren't particularly good and they are not particularly numerous. So I don't know, here, that -- there may be photographs I have not seen, not that they haven't been given to me, but just that there's such a mass of photographs, I'm not sure I have looked at every photograph we have.

But it may be that there are photographs I have not seen or things that could be blown up
that would allow the jury to see the garage as it actually was. Because the differences are material and they may be material to arguments that the State wants to make. They could potentially become misleading if one is relying on the -- on the computer model only as to the garage.

Could something fit in the garage; could something have been laid down in the garage; the truss marks; the marks on the truss. I have no reason to think that the State will be able to show the relevance here at all of marks on a truss, so to have an image that takes the roof off, gives the juror a view that, as the witness said, probably is not humanly possible to have.

And then on top of it, highlights something that may have nothing at all to do with anything, in fact, as far as $I$ know does have nothing at all to do with anything relevant or probative here. It becomes unfair. So that -that -- the garage really is where most of the points of contention lie. And whether we can get there with photographs that would allow the jury to take them proper in a fair context, a demonstrative model of the garage, I don't know.

And, you know, as I say, the trailer, would I be much happier with just a video camera walk through, which may or may not have been done at the scene, $I$ don't know. I think it would be more accurate, but there probably are enough photographs in the end, or there may be enough photographs of the trailer that we can live with modeling as long as the Court gives a good instruction on what a demonstrative exhibit may or may not be used for properly.

THE COURT: Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. I appreciate Mr. Strang's concessions regarding admissibility. And that's what this hearing is. It's not to decide the weight that a jury may give to these items, but simply whether or not they are admissible.

We're left with, then, the standards of admissibility for demonstrative evidence, which is one of the simplest formulas for a Court to apply in admissibility hearings. And it's simply whether it will assist the jury and whether the probative value may be outweighed by countervailing factors, the 904.03 analysis.

Demonstrative evidence in and of itself
is certainly admissible. As Mr. Austin testified, if a citizen can come down from a witness stand and draw an intersection on a chalk board without one measurement -- without it being at all to scale and that's admissible, there shouldn't be any question regarding admissibility of these kinds of images; that is, with in excess of 4100 measurements and being perfectly, not only to scale, but of geometric proportions.

Here's a case, Judge, State vs.
Peterson, the 1998 decision in Wisconsin, just cite it just for the record, at 222 Wis. 2d, 449 which describes the thing that I'm talking about; that is, the determination of admissibility requires this Court consider the degree of accuracy in the recreation; the complexity and duration of the demonstration; whether there is other available means of proving the same facts; and those risk factors that I talked about, that is the risk that may impact on the fairness of the trial.

This Court has heard from Mr. Austin and I think there's no question as to the accuracy of these images. And I also think there is no question that it will assist the trier of fact.

The only real objection that $I$ can envision would be in the area or the point where we talk about it being cumulative; that is, the State even recognizes that we do reach a tipping point that there may be a time when too many demonstrative exhibits are being offered.

But to reach that level, Judge, we're talking about so far down the path of relevance and so many exhibits, that we really aren't talking about a relevancy issue anymore; we're talking about the same exhibit being shown over and over. The State certainly doesn't intend to do that.

That's why these are State exhibits. That's why these are animations rather than simulations. And let me just parenthetically, Judge, describe for the Court and argue that we aren't talking simulations we're talking animations, which are simply representations of objects that are shown within them and that includes the animation.

Simulations, Judge, are when a computer is asked to draw conclusions from data. Those are the reconstructions and the like and that isn't what we're talking about here. This Court
need not approach any analysis about the science that's involved since that appears to be unconverted.

And so for this hearing, Judge, I am asking the Court rule on admissibility of the scene images, both interior and exterior, as being of the accuracy contemplated by a trial court. They will assist the trier of fact and there is no risk of a fairness problem. Mr. Strang is free to describe or supplement any of these animations or computer -- excuse me, we're talking about computer images, with photographs.

We are not prohibiting the defense, nor have we ever, of the creation of their own diagrams or their own renderings. These are State exhibits and the jury will certainly be told that. So we're asking the Court, again, rule on the admissibility of the -- both the interior and exterior property images.

As far as the skeletal images, I appreciate, again, Mr. Strang's concession and withdrawal of his objection. Dr. Eisenberg, I'm sure, will talk about the bones and those all appear accurate representations.

And then, finally, Judge, as to the animations, that is the moving images, the 5200 images that are placed side by side, in lieu of, or instead of, a jury view; I don't know what better way we could have created something to show the jury spatial relationships, relationships of evidence to known and fixed objects.

There's nothing that $I$ believe that is unfair or prejudicial in what we have seen. And so, not just for opening statements, your Honor, but when other witnesses may be asked to use these images, I will ask leave of the Court to be allowed to do that.

Let me also assure the Court and Mr. Strang that I expect that we'll still have to deal with relevance or materiality issues, especially with things like the garage, or trusses, or the like. But as far as whether or not these images are admissible, the unbalance and Exhibit 4 are all of the images that have been provided to the Court. With the testimony, which I would ask the Court to adopt, of Mr. Austin, we'll ask the Court accept those as demonstrative evidence in this case. That's all

I have.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I'm in full agreement with Mr. Kratz that animation presents no separate problem; that is, if the image is fair and not materially misleading and, therefore, potentially helpful to the jury and the ability to move or change the point of view in the image is not objectionable.

And I disagree that there's no simulation involved here, but there certainly is no dynamic simulation in the sense we don't have a 747 taking off and then a depiction of a catastrophic failure and resulting explosion, for example.

The simulation we do have is the removal of the rooftops, which is a simulation. Now, that isn't so much my problem as it is things like highlighting parts of a truss or, you know, labeling things in an editorial and potentially misleading kind of way. So I don't know, although there is some simulation here, I don't know that it's a problem in and of itself.

The real problem where this stops becoming helpful to a jury is where it tends to
mislead a jury into believing that the scene looked very different than it actually looked. And that's primarily an issue of the garage, which if it can't be offset by good photographs of the garage as it actually was during the evening of November 5, I think there we do have something that's not helpful to the jury because it confuses or misleads the jury.

And the Court either would have to exclude that or be very careful about both the cautionary instruction with it and any labeling. I would ask the Court to exclude altogether any highlighting as really not helpful and, indeed, affirmatively unhelpful.

THE COURT: All right. The parties have both cited to the Court to the case of State vs. Peterson, 1998 Court of Appeals case. And although that case deals with a videotape that was taken later, the Court agrees that much of the rationale and the explanation of the law in that case appears to apply here.

The Court in that case indicated that before a videotape demonstration could be admitted, it would have to be demonstrated that it was conducted under conditions reasonably
similar to the conditions existing at the actual event. The same standard I think will apply here; that is, the animations, are they reasonably similar to what the appearance was at the scene at the time.

The case goes on to provide, even if this foundation is established, the trial court may, in its discretion, include the videotape demonstration upon a finding that the probative value of the tape is outweighed by its prejudicial affect. As I understand the defense, that may be part of its argument with respect to the garage.

At this point in time, the parties have asked for guidance and I'm going to attempt to give some guidance. It's difficult. I'm not in a position to address the motion to the extent it asks me to specifically exclude something, because I don't have enough foundation.

For example, the garage. At this point, I don't know enough about the evidence to know what the significance of clutter in the garage is going to be. I would say at this point, based on the defense's concern, $I$ would want to see $a$ foundation from the State laid before that
particular image would be allowed in. That's an image that shouldn't be used as part of an opening statement presentation. With respect to some of the other concerns expressed by the parties, for example, the concern that the jury might feel that the animation shows holes in the burn barrels; there's further language in Peterson where the Court says, if enough of the obviously important factors in the case are duplicated in the experiment and if the failure to control other possibly relevant variables as explained and if the jury is aided, the Court should let the evidence in.

As I viewed that particular exhibit, I
wasn't struck by the fact that it looks like there's holes in the burn barrel, but I agree from looking at it that perhaps that's a possible conclusion the juror could come to. In the Court's mind, that type of thing can be fairly easily explained away by simply saying that that type of detail is not attempted to be shown by this exhibit. In many cases, I suspect there's going to be photographs that do provide more detail and can certainly help put any animation
into perspective for the jury.
With respect to the concerns about any labeling that is disputed, it seems to me that's easily enough addressed by requiring a witness to lay a foundation before any labeling comes in, unless it is agreed by the parties ahead of time. It certainly wouldn't be unusual for a witness to get up to a blackboard at a trial and draw a diagram and say here is where $I$ found the key or whatever it was. And as long as there is a witness that testifies to the location of something like that, it seems to me that the computer aided images can be a useful means of showing the jury what it is the witness is testifying to.

Something like the truss marks in the garage, which I have to confess at this point I have no idea what the significance of them might be, that type of thing would require foundation before an exhibit showing -- pointing an arrow to truss marks, would be admissible.

There would have to be a prior showing that there's a foundation that a witness found something there and also the Court would have to hear any objections as to relevance. I don't
know what relevance marks on a truss might have.
With respect to the exhibits showing approximate locations, the labels would depend on, No. 1, the significance of a precise location. If there's a rake or a mallet in a yard and whether it's one foot one way or the other doesn't make a tremendous difference. Approximate location may well be enough. If its location is vital and its precise location can not be determined, that could be a different story.

I'm not sure, based on the evidence presented and what I know at this point that I can provide the parties with much more guidance. It appears that the defense is willing to acknowledge the concept of the relevance of some of these computer exhibits and that there's -objections are only going to be raised to certain exhibits. That's all the guidance I can give the parties at this point.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I can help a little bit more too, just to clean things up. Mr. Kratz has some actual photographs that he intends to use as PowerPoint slides in his opening and the photographs are not objectionable in the opening presentation.

THE COURT: Okay. Obviously, the opening has to be addressed at this point because the opening comes before there is any evidence. Are there -- Is there a dispute as to any computer generated exhibits that the State wishes to use in its opening that the defense objects to?

ATTORNEY STRANG: I have seen them. I'm trying to remember if the garage is one of the slides and I can't. I just don't remember.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: There is one image, Judge, of the interior of the garage. Let me -- I had offered it because this is where two bullet fragments were found. I can replace this, probably, with a photo, evidence tent 9 and 23A. And until that foundation is reached, if this will satisfy Mr. Strang and with leave of the Court, I will simply replace this with a photo. There's nothing special about this, Judge, that I have to --

THE COURT: Well, again, for further guidance of the parties and I -- I have some reservations, because at this point Mr. Strang is indicating there may not be any photos that show what it really looked like. But if you had a photo that showed how cluttered the garage was and it was followed immediately by an exhibit such as this,
just to show the location of the evidence, the jury would then have both an idea -- a clear idea from this exhibit of where the evidence was found and a clear exhibit -- idea from the photo of exactly what the garage looked like and I would probably admit both of them.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I intended to do that. And, in fact, Judge, you have this photo of the garage. And, in fact, in my opening, it is intended to put the computer animation right after this photo. This is a March 1st photo. Does show the clutter. Shows tent 9, does not show tent No. 23, which, for the Court's information, it would be -or the second bullet.

THE COURT: Is the defense satisfied that if the other exhibit immediately follows this one that it's not objectionable? I'm assuming this is what the defense means by clutter; it would qualify as clutter in my book.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yeah, and there was -- a whole vehicle is missing here, of course. This is in March. But -- Well, let me say this, I mean, I have no objection to the use of this photograph in the opening statement. This is something that's going to be admissible and, indeed, we have
stipulated the foundation or authenticity -authenticity I should say of I think every photograph the State wants to offer. This would be relevant.

THE COURT: Well, for purposes of ruling on the opening, I will, since I'm seeing both exhibits now, if this exhibit is part of the opening and if the objection to the garage photo is that it doesn't accurately depict the amount of clutter in the garage, if the computer exhibit immediately follows this one, I think it's allowable. To me, the combination of the two adequately informs the jury of other relevant variables that have to be explained and this photo certainly appears to explain those variables.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Very well, thank you, Judge. Do I understand, then, that the balance of the images that have been provided both to counsel and to the Court will be met without objection?

THE COURT: Well, I think Mr. Strang objected to a series of labeling items. Possibly --

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, for opening, Judge.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Oh, for opening.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: For my opening images, that's what we're talking about now, I thought.

ATTORNEY STRANG: What other images?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: There's one of the house, this one.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I didn't think there was any problem with that image.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Very well, thank you.
THE COURT: I would say this, if you are showing that in the opening and if there's a series of pieces of furniture that are missing and I see this one appears to have more furniture than the other one I looked at, but it would be helpful in the opening to at least make a mention to the jury of limits that apply to the animation. Since they will be seeing it at a time when they haven't received any evidence.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Very well, thank you. That actually takes care of two of our motions today.

THE COURT: Are there any other items related to the computer generated animations that require addressing at this time?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I will -- And I appreciate the Court's direction and general statement as to admissibility. I will continue to work with Mr. Strang between now and next week, when offered.

I suspect that Trooper Austin will give a version of what he did today and perhaps the non-objectionable images can be shown. And, then, before and until those foundations are laid, we can address those more objectionable images as the trial unfolds. That seems to be the most reasonable approach. But, once again, I will discuss that with Mr. Strang.

THE COURT: All right. There is one other issue on the agenda today and that was the original instructions or the preliminary instructions to be given to the jury prior to trial. I have provided the parties previously with a draft of instructions the Court was proposing to give.

The statute that covers this provides that, if the Court gives additional instructions beyond the ones specified in the statute, they shall be disclosed to the parties before they are given. And either party may object to any specific instruction or proposed instructions of its own to be given prior to trial. It's my understanding at least the defense had instructions it wished to submit; I don't know about the State.

But given the hour and the fact I'm not sure what the parties will be doing this weekend,
but I know I will be in my office, let me suggest this, if either party wishes to either object to instructions I have included or propose additional instructions that it requests be given, you can put those in a Word document and email them to me. I will get them this weekend and on Sunday I will email back to you the proposed opening instructions that I will give, after consideration of any suggestions from either party. Will that work?

ATTORNEY GAHN: I just have one quick one that I think would solve it all if we could do it, if you want to just hear about it. One thing that I propose through it, under the 110, under your first degree intentional homicide, when you have elements of the crime, $I$ think that it states here that before the jury can find the defendant guilty -says the defendant or Brendan Dassey. I think that's troublesome because $I$ think we need to cross out Brendan Dassey. We have to focus on -- because the jury could find Brendan Dassey committed this and by reading this also find Steven Avery guilty. THE COURT: Well, just wait a minute. The State is the party charging party to the crime here. And I believe that, granted, you wouldn't get,
reading from just that sentence alone, what you are asking for, but if you move on to the jury's instruction portion, you have to find you have to be satisfied by a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed both elements of the crime or that he intentionally aided and abetted.

If you're going by the party to the crime theory, the first element is that someone else in this case, Mr. Dassey, committed the crime. And then you have to go on to find that Mr. Avery aided and abetted. I think that's taken from the form instruction.

ATTORNEY GAHN: I read it as if, if they were to find Mr. Dassey committed the offense that they could find the defendant -- I just think it would be clearer if we left the name out of Brendan Dassey and just said the defendant comma and either do one of four things: Either put as a party to a crime, or put as a principle, or as an aider and abettor, or put as a person concerned with the commission of a crime, or fourth, as a person who is a party.

I have seen it done all those four different, or in concert with another, and leave the name Brendan Dassey out. I wonder what

Mr. Strang thinks of that because I don't think it's beneficial to Mr. Avery.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: I do have my own objections to it from a different angle. And I like -- I like the Court's idea of putting this in writing. And if I -- I think Word comes as part of the Windows Office Suite, so it's probably on this box somewhere. I always use Word Perfect.

THE COURT: I think even if you send it in Word Perfect I could open it.

ATTORNEY STRANG: In other words, the Court does not want it in PDF because that would require retyping.

THE COURT: Right.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Okay.
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Let me figure -- I will try -- I will try to figure out how to get into Word and address it. But -- But as to a preview, I think the Court has created a duplicity problem by -- by joining together the first degree intentional homicide and the mutilation of a corpse counts.

And I, too, have some concerns about the way that Brendan Dassey is added to the
substantive elements under 1010. Although, I mean, Brendan Dassey is the person as to whom Steven Avery is supposed to be the party to the crime. I mean, that clearly is the State's theory, so I don't know that Mr. Dassey ought to come out altogether.

THE COURT: If both parties prefer to -- I joined them because they are both charged as a party to the crime. If both parties want completely separate instructions for each one, I will honor that request.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Let me work on it. We'll obviously copy each other on any emails. What I can do, the Court has a note, the pages aren't numbered, but the Court asked, does the defense wish that I notify the jury of the agreement that Mr. Avery had an unreversed felony conviction, on the felon in possession charge?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: And I do, I think we ought to be just upfront with the jury about that.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't know that that's -- I think I have been informed that it was the intention of the parties to place that on the record, but $I$ don't know that it's been done yet.

ATTORNEY STRANG: My intention is to stipulate away the second element of felon in possession of a gun. We will not challenge the second element. The jury should consider it proven; that is, that the defendant had been convicted of a felony before November 5, 2005.

THE COURT: As long as I have your comments by 7:00 Sunday morning, that will be fine.

ATTORNEY STRANG: We can exchange email addresses off the record.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else this afternoon?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We'll probably be submitting ours this afternoon yet, Judge, but if I could just have a moment.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, there is one other matter that I would -- I think the Court is aware, that $I$ will be submitting correspondence to the Court and Mr . Strang will respond at some time. Hopefully we can get that done by Sunday, but that may be a tall order, as well. But there's that one other matter that I will be sending correspondence on.

THE COURT: I understand. And I understand that sometime before Monday morning I'm going to
receive something on that; that's my understanding. ATTORNEY STRANG: Maybe -- The jury is coming at -- or we're starting at 9:00 with the jury on Monday?

THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Maybe we ought to be here at 8:00.

THE COURT: I think that would be -- well, at least by 8:30.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We'll be here at 8:30; that's fine, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned for today.

```
                                    (Proceedings concluded.)
```
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| 23A [1] 129/14 | acceptable [2] 53/21 109/22 | 41/19 43/21 46/21 48/8 48/18 |
| 24[1] 72/8 | access [5] 27/25 28/2 40/6 41/5 | 49/4 52/4 54/20 59/15 59/22 |
| 26 [1] 15/22 | 103/4 | $61 / 2462 / 164 / 2365 / 768 / 16$ |
| 2d [1] 119/12 | accomplish [1] 59 | 73/22 77/6 79/22 84/4 91/8 93/16 |
| 3 | accomplished [1] 10/10 accordingly [1] 93/13 | 96/23 97/3 101/5 103/11 107/10 |
| 30 [6] 3/7 5/10 8/25 9/6 62/17 | accounts [1] 26/25 | $133 / 7$ |
| 64/13 | accuracy [8] 42/22 43/9 114/10 | against [3] 9/24 18/24 106/12 |
| 31[9] 51/13 51/15 51/25 52/13 | 114/11116/3119/16119/23121/7 | age [5] 11/16 12/5 12/6 18/17 19/1 |
| 86/6 86/17 86/22 108/23 115/7 | accurate [11] 41/9 41/14 42/24 | agenda [1] 133/9 |
| 32 [2] 51/15 99/17 | 43/5 69/16 75/2 75/4 75/5 86/23 | agents [1] 88/5 |
| 33 [1] 3/11 | 118/5 121/25 | ago [3] 17/1 46/11 63/18 |
| 36-A [1] 101/1 | accurately [2] 14/21 131/9 | agree [6] 30/24 59/20 75/4 77/16 |
| 360 [1] 43/2 | acknowledge [1] 128/16 | 78/4 126/17 |
| 37 [2] 103/9 103/17 | acknowledged [1] 9/19 | agreed [2] 16/2 127/6 |
| 38 [1] 103/9 | acre [1] 113/4 | agreement [7] 15/15 25/6 29/16 |
| 381 [3] 1/6 4/3 29/24 | across [2] 66/11 78/11 | 115/13 115/17 123/3 137/16 |
| 3:30 [1] 29/5 | acting [1] 98/3 | agrees [1] 124/19 |
| 3D [11] 40/5 40/21 46/12 46/18 | activities [1] 34/17 | ahead [10] 38/10 39/14 44/15 46/4 |
| 46/19 48/15 49/12 49/15 76/3 | actual [11] 22/7 22/14 22/15 80/4 | $46 / 552 / 355 / 2365 / 1998 / 19$ |
| 79/2 93/11 | 93/14 102/25 103/3 104/19 116/11 | 127/6 |
| 4 | 125/1 128/23 | aided [5] 43/18 126/13 127/13 |
| 40 [1] 113/4 | 48/24 52/16 66/21 68/10 76/12 | aider [1] 135/19 |
| 41 [7] 54/15 54/16 54/18 54/19 | 77/25 79/13 79/24 80/17 87/22 | air [3] 55/10 106/12 113/8 |
| 54/23 84/4 85/7 | 88/7 88/21 92/15 93/7 100/17 | alarmed [1] 78/20 |
| 4100[3] 42/17 49/7 $119 / 8$ | 104/16 113/23 117/2 124/2 124/5 | alert [1] 32/1 |
| 44 [2] 11/16 103/21 | 132/17 | algorithm [2] 95/9 114/1 |
| 449 [1] 119/12 | add [9] 9/22 15/14 30/23 46/19 | algorithms [1] 95/12 |
| 45 [2] 105/2 105/3 | 49/11 89/17 89/24 102/20 108/23 |  |
| 4:00 [1] 29/5 | added [9] 67/9 67/16 67/17 84/3 | allow [5] 47/11 50/18 55/25 117/1 |

allow... [1] 117/23
allowable [1] 131/11
allowed [3] 36/5 122/14 126/1
allows [6] 32/6 46/18 52/15 57/22
59/18 80/17
alone [1] 135/1
along [3] 40/6 86/10 86/11
already [4] 31/11 32/14 32/24
112/11
altered [2] 69/12 110/17
alternate [1] 5/17
alternating [1] 5/13
although [10] 9/11 11/7 45/22
53/25 59/13 94/9 106/15 123/22
124/17 137/1
altogether [2] 124/12 137/6
always [1] 136/9
Amendment [1] 10/1
American [3] 8/18 10/20 13/6 among [2] 75/21 105/20
amount [6] 81/2 101/14 109/5
109/18 110/16 131/9
analysis [5] 16/21 20/5 24/5
118/24 121/1
analyze [1] 24/13
ancestry[2] 8/21 9/8
and/or [1] 103/19
angle [5] 41/1 56/2 59/15 70/17 136/5
angles [1] 40/4
animation [18] 29/9 30/19 64/5
64/12 64/1 8 64/23 66/22 68/21
68/23 69/6 80/5 110/11 120/21
123/4 126/7 126/25 130/10 132/13
animations [16] 30/10 30/13 31/2
31/8 62/11 62/11 63/1868/25
112/1 113/11 120/15 120/19
121/11 122/2 125/3 132/20
another [11] 12/3 27/13 49/25 52/9 52/10 60/9 63/13 66/10 75/23 101/5 135/24
answer [4] 6/16 6/21 $11 / 8$ 19/22
answered [1] 18/6
anthropologist [2] 60/9 69/3
anticipate [2] 53/14 111/15
anticipated [1] 22/22
anybody [3] 39/2 55/25 56/1
anymore [1] 120/10
anyone [6] 6/17 6/20 7/3 11/10
17/23 27/1
anything [24] 11/5 20/14 21/22
25/9 27/14 28/7 37/25 55/13 82/9
$82 / 16$ 83/15 84/22 89/20 90/14
96/7 96/9 96/23 96/24 104/24
104/24 $115 / 6117 / 18117 / 19$ 138/11
apologize [1] 103/25
Apparently [1] 105/14
Appeals [1] 124/17
appear [4] 9/6 18/8 64/17 121/25
appearance [1] 125/4
appearances [3] 1/12 4/4 30/1
appeared [4] $1 / 23$ 8/17 8/20 64/20
appearing [4] 4/7 4/8 4/12 114/3
appears [16] 4/6 15/8 17/3 18/17 18/18 18/21 22/1 30/2 39/15
85/12 101/3 121/2 124/20 128/15
131/14 132/10
apple [1] 80/19
apples [1] 80/20
Appleton [1] 28/13
application [2] 19/6 47/9
applied [5] 47/3 47/7 48/2 61/21 72/14
apply [9] 16/20 20/4 33/6 47/18
47/24 118/21 124/21 125/2 132/13
applying [1] 20/22
appreciate [4] 48/21 118/13
121/22 132/22
approach [3] 19/13 121/1 133/6
approached [1] 89/24
approaches [1] 110/15
appropriate [2] 61/21 65/15
approval [1] 61/22
approximate [8] 97/4 98/8 102/6
103/10 106/8 $115 / 20$ 128/3 128/8
approximately [2] 8/3 36/6
approximating [1] 101/7
approximation [1] 96/21
area [23] 45/10 48/20 57/1 57/4
57/6 60/7 61/1 61/4 61/13 67/20
67/21 91/18 91/24 91/25 97/24
98/20 101/16 101/20 104/9 104/11
107/12 108/15 120/2
areas [3] 29/15 50/4 103/10
argue [1] 120/17
argues [2] 18/23 18/25
argument [10] 18/20 21/10 21/13 23/22 29/9 111/16111/17111/25 116/2 125/12
arguments [3] $3 / 1426 / 5117 / 3$
around [12] $32 / 5$ 55/8 55/8 59/1
65/9 76/12 80/12 92/10 93/11
94/2 97/22 106/12
arranged [1] 99/13
arrangements [2] 26/12 26/14
arrested [3] 11/11 11/14 17/24
arrow [5] 61/7 66/2 108/23 112/16 127/20
arrows [3] 52/22 53/2 86/19
Article [1] 10/2
articles [1] 27/8
articulate [2] 17/10 113/13
articulated [1] 19/4
artistic [2] 82/10 82/15
artistry [1] 81/2
aside [2] 9/23 73/19
asked [33] 35/10 44/19 48/13
48/14 49/6 51/7 52/14 54/10
54/20 55/2 57/24 58/10 58/11
60/5 60/8 60/11 60/21 60/25
62/11 65/17 69/13 83/20 89/25
96/14 101/24 102/7 102/19 103/2
108/21 120/23 122/12 125/15
137/15
asking [10] 40/9 40/1763/21
73/14 77/12 83/24 109/2 121/5
121/18 135/2
asks [1] 125/18
aspects [2] 88/21 89/1
assess [1] 20/7
assigned [3] 34/7 74/2 93/19
assigns [1] 41/2
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { assist [12] } & 35 / 11 & 36 / 1050 / 11 & 60 / 9\end{array}$
61/2 64/25 69/1 90/10 98/21
118/22 119/25 121/8
assistance [5] 53/13 55/16 70/5 71/20 78/5
Assistant [2] 4/9 4/11
assisted [1] 140/10
assists [1] 40/14
assume [4] 28/17 42/19 114/23 115/1
assuming [1] 130/17
|assure [1] 122/15
attack [1] 81/14
attempt [2] 21/3 125/15
attempted [3] 18/14 19/10 126/22
attention [2] 43/21 46/21
attorney [9] $1 / 181 / 203 / 113 / 12$
4/7 4/1 0 4/11 30/3 89/21
attractive [3] 81/5 81/7 81/15
August [2] 5/3 6/5
AUSTIN [29] 3/10 28/23 32/19
33/10 33/15 33/17 33/21 33/24
$34 / 935 / 1036 / 237 / 338 / 1539 / 7$
42/14 44/13 55/15 60/6 64/5
65/18 65/19 69/13 69/21 70/2
112/24 119/1 119/22 122/24 133/1
Austin's [1] 38/13
authenticity [2] 131/1 131/2
authority [2] 8/8 16/13
available [4] 28/24 88/7 95/18 119/18
AVERY[42] 1/7 1/22 4/2 4/15
10/19 $13 / 3$ 13/5 $16 / 15$ 23/7 29/23 $30 / 635 / 1335 / 2236 / 236 / 836 / 16$ $39 / 1841 / 1541 / 1842 / 242 / 18$ 44/8 44/20 44/25 49/12 57/4 57/8 57/21 63/2 63/4 66/15 67/17 67/19 68/14 68/18 93/9 113/3
134/22 135/11 136/2 137/3 137/16
Avery's [6] 52/1 54/9 54/11 60/2 86/24 110/6
avoid [1] 27/15
aware [5] 14/8 20/17 91/23 101/24 138/1 8
away [3] 91/10 126/21 138/2
axis [3] 70/13 70/14 70/14

## B

back [43] 7/15 7/20 14/13 15/1
15/16 21/23 25/6 25/10 26/10
28/11 28/13 45/1 55/10 59/8
59/10 60/1 60/4 62/19 65/9 67/14
68/14 70/12 70/24 71/7 78/5
85/14 89/20 91/17 94/3 94/8
100/11 100/17 102/20 102/23
105/10 105/14 106/2 106/5 111/13
111/18111/20111/24134/7
backed [1] 111/2
background [1] 73/9
backside [2] 60/3 65/10
bailiff [7] 4/22 6/25 7/12 7/15 7/19 26/15 27/20
balance [1] 131/17
Barb [6] 57/5 57/14 63/5 68/1
71/25 77/8
Barbara [3] 4/25 6/4 60/3
Barber [2] 5/7 6/7
barrel [21] 58/11 58/14 58/17
58/23 68/16 68/18 68/19 72/21
$73 / 373 / 1173 / 1573 / 2474 / 3$
75/12 76/6 76/7 76/21 81/9
114/24 114/25 126/17
barrels [17] 68/3 68/4 68/8 68/9 68/11 68/13 71/24 72/11 72/24 73/7 76/15 76/19 77/9 77/20
77/25 114/22 126/7
base [1] 61/16
based [19] $12 / 1314 / 1916 / 618 / 25$
20/10 25/11 42/19 96/19 97/16
98/9 103/1 1 103/18 103/19 105/9
105/22 106/11 115/9 125/23 128/12
basic [1] 101/16
basically [1] 40/6

37/15 37/17 39/11 95/1
bit [9] 29/7 38/12 39/5 60/6 62/10
66/19 70/3 112/21 128/21
black[4] 9/4 37/12 48/2 104/1
blackboard [2] 45/23 127/8
blackjack [3] 106/9 106/10 106/16
bleach [2] 103/12 103/17
blood [14] 22/5 23/2 23/3 23/7
23/14 23/23 24/3 24/5 24/6 24/7
24/13 104/6 108/16 108/17
blossoms [1] 80/20
blown [1] 116/25
blue [4] 102/19 103/24 104/7 104/11
board [3] 43/16 44/4 119/4
bone [13] 61/7 61/8 61/9 61/21
61/24 67/22 94/17 94/19 94/19
94/20 94/21 107/2 107/10
bones [4] 61/4 112/12 112/19 121/24
book [1] 130/19
border [1] 55/8
both [28] 10/11 15/2 15/10 24/13
29/2 30/15 32/23 40/13 49/9
53/17 57/22 58/14 62/3 70/19
$74 / 13$ 103/14 121/6 121/19 124/10
124/16 130/2 130/6 131/6 131/18
135/5 137/7 137/8 137/9
bother [2] 102/4 102/5
bothering [1] 38/23
bottom [3] 57/13 71/17 78/11
bound [1] $8 / 6$
box[3] 25/21 50/24 136/9
boxes [1] $85 / 18$
boy [1] 73/5
BRANCH [5] 1/1 7/11 7/13 7/22 140/5
break[3] 15/16 19/10 111/12
Brendan [7] 134/18 134/20 134/21
135/16 135/25 136/25 137/2
brief [3] 14/25 28/9 111/16
briefly [8] 34/25 40/15 50/14 55/1 61/14 70/2 92/13 106/21
bring [6] 25/9 25/16 37/11 43/18 46/16 66/5
bringing [1] 21/23
broken [1] 75/16
Brotski [1] 5/4
brought [3] 25/22 27/11 34/9
building [3] $45 / 847 / 548 / 10$
buildings [2] 44/24 54/9
bulk [2] 39/21 88/15
bullet [2] 129/12 130/14
burden [2] 17/9 19/15
burn [31] 58/11 58/14 58/17 58/22
67/21 68/2 68/4 68/8 68/9 68/11 68/13 68/16 68/18 68/18 71/24 73/6 73/24 74/3 75/12 76/5 76/7 76/15 76/19 77/9 77/20 77/25 114/22 114/24 114/25 126/7 126/17
business [1] 44/24
BUTING [4] 1/20 4/16 22/25 116/16
BUTING-Present [1] 1/20
button [1] 27/12
buy [1] $82 / 15$
buzz [1] 81/21
buzzing [1] 38/21

## C

cabinet [1] 55/12
CAD [5] 43/20 76/10 77/13 92/21 93/7
calculates [2] 41/2 71/14
called [8] 33/10 34/13 39/22 39/23
43/19 46/17 61/8 92/22
calls [3] 4/1 12/17 29/22
CALUMET [5] 1/5 3/6 4/6 29/21
30/2
camera [7] 57/20 59/1 66/11 98/14
98/25 113/17118/2
cameras [1] 32/22
cannot [2] 19/24 85/2
capabilities [1] 79/22
capability [1] 64/22
captioning [1] 104/3
capture [1] 75/18
capturing [1] 76/4
car [3] 24/14 24/22 48/3
Caravan [5] 58/2 58/4 65/23 66/1
66/14
care [1] 132/17
careful [1] 124/10
carefully [1] 140/8
Carl [2] 5/6 6/7
carpeting [1] 102/12
carried [1] 91/10
case [78] 1/6 4/2 4/3 4/8 5/16 5/19 6/1 6/9 6/15 6/17 6/19 6/20 7/18 10/22 14/8 14/9 15/8 16/7 16/8 16/9 16/19 16/21 17/2 17/12 17/12 18/10 19/3 19/8 19/14 19/20 20/10 20/24 21/8 21/21 24/24 26/20 26/25 27/1 27/8 27/14 27/16 27/19 28/2 28/4 29/23 35/10 35/18 43/7 43/19 47/16 48/12 52/12 56/5 58/8 60/10 60/19 61/21 69/5 73/22 79/21 83/9 89/13 90/13 96/9 97/20 101/12 101/23 108/13 119/10 122/25 124/16 124/17 124/1 8 124/20 124/22 125/6 126/10 135/9
cases [8] 8/8 47/11 47/23 48/13 48/14 113/9 113/9 126/23 casting [1] 78/11
catastrophic [2] 78/20 123/14 catch [1] 29/13
category [3] $15 / 1118 / 318 / 4$
Caucasian [1] 9/8
cause [5] 9/12 9/18 9/21 10/25 12/15
caused [2] 11/21 100/21
causing [1] 39/5
cautionary [1] 124/11
CCAP [1] 11/23
CD[3] 61/19 92/16 93/2
ceiling [1] 104/15
certain [9] $11 / 111 / 826 / 1881 / 1$
83/13 87/8 89/1 89/2 128/18
certainly [12] 19/25 21/6 43/15
61/4 72/7 119/1 120/12 121/17
123/11 126/25 127/7 131/14
certification [2] 34/23 35/6
certifications [1] 42/20
certify [1] 140/6
CF [3] $1 / 64 / 3$ 29/24
chairs [3] 87/11 87/13 87/23
chalk [1] 119/3
chalkboard [2] 45/24 46/2
challenge [11] 10/14 13/10 15/7
16/5 16/18 16/23 16/25 17/5 17/12 20/21 138/3
challenges [2] 5/12 17/11
challenging [2] 15/5 19/5
chance [2] 24/13 89/23
change [7] 41/1 41/1 66/5 66/10
68/12 78/20 123/8
changed [2] 64/20 66/7
changes [4] 63/12 66/18 68/6
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changing [1] 62/21
charge [2] 18/13 137/18
charged [3] 11/12 17/24 137/8
charging [1] 134/24
check [1] 25/3
checked [2] 15/17 17/25
Cherri [1] 4/25
chest [1] 55/10
Chief [1] 19/9
child's [1] 62/19
Chilton [2] 28/8 29/19
chime [1] 65/14
chipped [1] 75/13
choice [1] 73/9
choose [1] 109/9
choosing [1] 74/20
choppy [1] 64/12
chose [1] 61/20
Chosen [1] 7/22
circle [6] 43/2 76/11 76/14 76/23 77/3 77/4
CIRCUIT [3] $1 / 1$ 1/11140/5
circumference [1] 76/5
circumstances [3] 20/16 20/17 20/23
cite [1] 119/12
cited [2] 16/9 124/16
citizen [1] 119/2
claim [1] 12/9
class [3] 13/4 13/9 16/5
clean [2] 52/13 128/22
clear [6] 22/19 24/16 24/17 24/25 130/2 130/4
clearer [1] 135/16
clearly [2] 8/20 137/4
Clerk [1] 4/21
clerks [1] 32/9
close [7] 11/10 17/23 61/24 68/23
81/13 106/23 $113 / 14$
closely [3] 13/12 60/11 61/5
closer [1] 59/17
closeup [1] 44/23
cluster [1] 77/9
clutter [6] 85/11 125/22 130/12
130/18 130/19 131/9
cluttered [2] 86/1 129/24
co [1] 92/6
co-workers [1] 92/6
cognizable [3] 10/15 13/4 13/9
collaboratively [1] 115/12
colleagues [2] 48/14 112/8
collect [1] 88/21
collecting [1] 35/8
collection [1] 114/5
collector [1] 43/16
color [11] 72/16 72/20 73/9 81/4
82/3 82/3 82/7 85/15 103/23
103/24 112/12
colored [2] 58/4 72/14
coloring [1] 104/7
colors [2] 47/20 82/22
combination [1] 131/12
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { comes [4] } 43 / 8 & 127 / 5 & 129 / 3 & 136 / 7\end{array}$
comfortable [3] 102/12 102/13 107/8
coming [8] 7/20 20/1 36/20 38/6

38/21 45/5 100/4 139/3
comma [1] 135/17
comment [2] 9/1 13/21
comments [1] 138/7
commercially [1] 95/18
commission [1] 135/21
commitment [2] 19/2 26/23
committed [5] 14/5 134/21 $135 / 5$
135/9 135/14
common [4] 34/12 88/11 88/13
89/1
commonly [2] 45/15 45/18
communications [1] 60/16
community [3] 12/7 12/10 19/2
company [1] 39/23
compared [1] 51/2
compelling [1] 19/19
compensate [1] 71/14
compiled [1] 43/12
complete [6] 4/19 6/10 31/14 37/3
53/24 63/25
completed [4] 5/22 6/15 55/4 64/10
completely [2] 44/6 137/9
complexity [1] 119/16
compound [1] 96/3
compressor [3] 55/11 106/13 106/17
computer [30] 15/19 15/25 29/8
30/9 30/18 31/2 43/18 46/17
55/16 55/24 69/15 76/22 80/14
93/3 93/16 93/17 99/10 100/22
113/10 117/6 120/22 121/11
121/12 127/13 128/17 129/4
130/10 131/10 132/20 140/10
computer's [1] 73/8
computer-assisted [1] 140/10
computerized [1] 140/9
computers [3] 33/5 33/7 67/1
conceivably [1] 29/11
concept [2] 45/22 128/16
concern [7] 11/22 24/10 24/25
113/13 116/5 125/24 126/6
concerned [5] 12/3 114/10 114/11
115/23 135/20
concerning [3] 6/19 26/11 26/14
concerns [3] 126/5 127/2 136/24
concert [1] 135/24
concession [2] 13/3 121/22
concessions [1] 118/13
conclude [1] 86/24
concluded [1] 139/16
conclusion [3] 5/16 20/1 126/19
conclusions [1] 120/23
conclusive [2] 19/17 19/18
conclusively [2] 18/14 19/24
conditions [2] 124/25 125/1
conducted [1] 124/25
confess [1] 127/17
confident [1] 106/20
confirm [1] 93/22
confuses [1] 124/8
confusion [1] 22/2
connects [1] 94/19
consciously [1] 27/15
consider [3] 48/17 119/15 138/4
considerably [1] 112/5
consideration [1] 134/9
consistently [1] 74/7
Constitution [2] 10/1 10/3
Construction [1] 37/2
consumed [1] 23/16
consuming [1] 23/10
contact [1] 13/23
contacted [3] 35/14 36/1 92/17
contacts [1] 11/20
contained [1] 85/11
containing [2] 37/6 61/19
contemplated [1] 121/7
content [1] 112/17
contention [1] 117/22
context [2] 9/22 117/24
continue [1] 132/24
continues [1] 46/3
contrary [1] 82/9
control [6] 32/5 32/6 35/22 42/5 68/11 126/11
converse [1] 84/16
convicted [1] 138/5
conviction [1] 137/17
convictions[1] 18/12
Cooper [1] 24/24
coordinate [5] 40/7 46/12 46/13
46/13 71/16
coordinates [1] 41/2
copies [1] 86/12
copy [5] 33/1 36/22 37/12 53/16 137/13
cord [2] 77/19 77/23
cords [2] 97/3 115/19
corner [5] 44/23 45/4 45/12 62/20
113/4
corpse [1] 136/23
correct [43] 30/11 35/25 36/24
36/25 37/23 41/7 41/8 53/23
59/16 62/5 67/11 67/12 71/11
$74 / 2475 / 1976 / 2077 / 1177 / 23$
$80 / 2381 / 1982 / 2183 / 1283 / 17$
84/1 8 87/1 $888 / 10$ 89/10 90/12
95/15 95/22 96/16 96/25 97/5
99/12 101/9 102/2 102/17 102/18
103/24 104/19 105/1 110/8 140/12
correctly [2] 54/24 83/23
correlative [1] 10/2
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { correspondence [2] } & 138 / 18138 / 22\end{array}$
couch [1] 87/14
couches [1] 87/11
could [66] 11/7 13/24 14/11 21/16
28/11 33/17 34/19 34/25 39/9
$43 / 12$ 43/24 47/14 47/18 48/11
$52 / 853 / 654 / 1354 / 2156 / 361 / 3$
61/6 61/13 62/14 73/11 73/17
73/18 74/14 77/17 77/22 77/24
88/1 89/17 92/24 94/4 94/5 94/8
97/9 97/15 97/18 98/17 98/18
99/9 99/15 106/11 108/6 109/2
109/3 109/24 $110 / 2$ 110/5 110/21
111/5 115/12 116/25 117/4 117/8
117/8 122/5 124/23 126/19 128/10 134/12 134/21 135/15 136/11 138/15
couldn't [1] 109/12
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { counsel [12] } & 10 / 10 & 10 / 19 \\ 28 / 7\end{array}$
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Forgive [1] 66/9
form [2] 54/2 135/12
formal [1] 112/19
format [2] 92/21 110/25
formulas [1] 118/20
forth [1] $14 / 10$
forthrightly [1] 101/6
forward [2] 39/6 111/6
found [17] 9/12 9/18 24/3 50/19
50/21 51/15 58/12 65/2 67/23
69/4 103/1 103/13 105/15 127/9 127/23 129/13 130/3
foundation [10] 51/25 112/24
125/7 125/19 125/25 127/5 127/19

| F | 111/12 118/15 125/16 128/19 | $24$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| foundation... [3] 127/23 129/15 | given [21] 8/2 12/19 15/23 19/22 | hard [3] 12/5 53/16 86/12 |
| 131/1 | 20/9 20/13 20/15 22/17 28/10 | Haskell [1] 4/25 |
| foundational [1] 114/15 | 63/13 63/20 101/22 103/4 109/7 | hatched [1] 103/9 |
| foundations [1] 133/4 | 110/19 116/20 133/11 133/18 | haven't [8] 47/6 47/7 67/9 84/22 |
| four [12] 8/17 23/15 32/9 71/24 | 133/20 133/24 134/5 | 89/20 89/23 116/20 132/14 |
| 76/15 76/19 76/21 77/9 77/20 | gives [9] $42 / 25$ 46/13 64/14 100/9 | having [6] 13/22 33/11 52/21 |
| 111/22 135/18 135/23 | 101/1 113/25 117/14 118/8 133/15 | 72/22 87/13 102/9 |
| Fourteenth [1] 9/25 | giving [2] 26/1 79/25 | head [3] 107/5 107/9 107/21 |
| fourth [1] 135/21 | global [2] 31/14 70/6 | header [1] 68/19 |
| fraction [1] 77/22 | goes [3] 17/7 34/22 125/6 | heading [2] 112/11 112/13 |
| fragment [1] 107/18 | going [59] 10/24 14/12 15/14 24/5 | hear [9] 5/15 6/1 26/5 30/8 32/3 |
| fragments [2] 67/23 129/13 | 24/20 25/18 25/24 26/8 27/3 | 111/17111/25 127/25 134/13 |
| frame [2] 42/8 91/7 | 27/21 28/17 36/11 36/20 38/1 | heard [3] 31/20 89/20 119/22 |
| frames [2] 64/10 64/13 | 40/23 41/13 43/21 44/11 46/4 | hearing [18] 1/5 1/5 3/5 3/7 28/13 |
| frankly [2] 12/15 24/8 | 46/21 50/3 51/12 53/4 56/22 | 28/22 29/9 29/25 30/1731/25 |
| free [5] 4/24 6/3 41/22 44/13 | 57/17 58/1 58/19 59/21 61/12 | 34/24 38/20 64/3 69/21 90/4 |
| 121/10 | 62/21 65/6 65/10 65/13 65/22 | 111/9 118/14121/4 |
| freezer [1] 55/12 | 65/25 67/7 67/25 68/1 68/15 | hearings [1] 118/21 |
| Friday [1] 67/2 | 68/24 77/2 77/3 78/8 81/11 81/12 | height [7] 46/14 46/19 70/15 |
| front [7] 17/19 25/7 25/21 33/2 | 85/4 89/3 89/6 91/5 99/24 100/4 | 71/14 93/23 94/5 94/10 |
| 66/1 68/14 106/18 | 111/4 125/15 125/23 126/24 | held [1] 9/14 |
| full [2] 9/22 123/3 | 128/18 130/25 135/7 138/25 | help [13] 44/17 45/16 45/19 45/24 |
| furniture [5] 87/5 87/12 87/16 | gone [2] 76/18 105/14 | 45/25 60/18 65/6 81/5 84/5 94/17 |
| 132/9 132/10 | good [16] 4/5 4/14 19/25 30/5 | 116/6 126/25 128/21 |
| further [6] 15/14 59/7 59/25 110/8 | 31/23 39/3 76/4 77/10 82/8 98/3 | helpful [7] 52/17 54/14 123/7 |
| 126/8 129/19 | 112/12 113/7 114/1 116/17118/8 | 123/25 124/7 124/13 132/11 |
| G | 124/4 | helps [2] 46/23 51/3 |
| G-e-o-d-i-m | graffiti [3] 7 | [1] 103/5 |
| GAHN [6] 1/16 4/11 22/1 22/9 | granted [1] 134/25 | Henry [2] 5/5 6/6 |
| 22/23 25/3 | gravel [5] 45/6 64/17 64/19 64/21 | here's [3] 45/9 115/25 119/10 |
| GAHN-Present [1] 1/16 | 82/7 | hereby [1] 140/6 |
| gain [1] 56/11 | gray [3] 5/5 6/6 82/12 | herein [1] 33/11 |
| gained [1] 46/8 | grayish [1] 85/15 | heritage [2] 8/18 9/4 |
| garage [61] 47/5 47/16 47/17 48/4 | great [5] 11/22 86/17 92/14 | hid [1] 54/20 |
| 48/9 54/11 54/19 54/22 55/2 55/3 | 109/24 113/9 | hidden [2] 51/19 52/5 |
| 55/4 55/14 56/7 57/9 57/16 57/23 | greater [1] 59/18 | high [1] 70/13 |
| 59/10 59/12 67/6 67/8 67/13 | greatest [1] 116/5 | highest [1] 8/11 |
| 67/15 67/19 75/13 75/15 79/4 | grounds [1] 21/18 | highlight [1] 104/9 |
| 82/3 84/6 84/21 85/10 85/25 86/3 | group [2] 10/16 13/9 | highlighted [1] 104/24 |
| 106/3 110/6 110/6 116/7 116/7 | Guckeisen [1] 5/8 | highlighting [3] 103/24 123/19 |
| 116/9 116/12 116/13 116/17117/1 | guess [7] 29/3 31/6 75/22 89/23 | $124 / 13$ |
| 117/7 117/8 117/9 117/21 117/25 | 99/1 107/7 107/14 | highlights [2] 112/12 117/16 |
| 122/18 124/3 124/5 125/13 125/20 | guessed [1] 29/8 | himself [5] 11/14 12/25 16/6 16/15 |
| 125/22 127/17 129/8 129/11 | guessing [3] 29/7 47/19 47/23 | $18 / 3$ |
| 129/24 130/5 130/9 131/8 131/10 | guidance [6] 50/6 125/15 125/16 | history [2] 12/1 12/8 |
| gave [7] 13/2 63/17 64/9 93/5 | 128/14 128/19 129/20 | hold [4] 13/3 35/6 37/13 71/5 |
| 93/14 109/11 109/15 | guided [1] 88/5 | holding [2] 71/9 76/17 |
| gee [1] 73/6 | guilty [2] 134/17 134/22 | hole [8] 73/4 73/14 73/15 73/20 |
| general [5] 4/10 48/2 81/1 91/11 | gun [1] 138/3 | $73 / 2075 / 12 \quad 114 / 23114 / 25$ |
| 132/23 | guns [1] 101/8 | holes [7] 72/13 72/15 72/24 73/6 |
| $\underset{74 / 14}{\text { generally [4] } 30 / 1330 / 1874 / 12}$ | H | $114 / 21126 / 7126 / 17$ |
| generate [2] 69/9 79/8 | H-u-a-n-g [1] 8/22 | homicide [2] 134/15 136/23 |
| generated [16] 29/8 30/10 30/19 | had [29] 11/14 12/9 18/23 22/10 | Hon [1] 1/10 |
| 31/2 55/16 55/24 68/21 69/7 | 41/14 42/5 53/1 59/6 60/16 68/10 | honestly [1] 96/20 |
| 69/15 77/5 93/3 93/16 107/12 | 87/1 89/23 90/22 97/11 98/13 | honor [12] 4/5 4/15 7/2 8/1 10/6 |
| 113/10 129/5 132/20 | 99/1 102/8 102/16 102/23 105/14 | 27/24 86/9 98/12 108/2 122/11 |
| generates [1] 80/14 | 106/13 106/15 110/14 129/11 | 137/10 138/16 |
| generic [1] 102/24 | 129/23 133/21 137/16 138/5 | hopefully [2] 23/10 138/20 |
| gentleman [2] 18/9 83/14 | 140/13 | horizontally [1] 70/19 |
| Geodimeter [1] 39/24 | hairdo [1] 9/5 | hour [3] 29/10 63/17 133/24 |
| geometric [5] 48/22 51/1 68/15 | Halbach [1] 58/9 | house [6] 31/23 32/2 43/22 56/10 |
| 81/21 119/9 | half [7] 22/14 23/19 24/20 29/10 | 68/3 132/2 |
| geometry [7] 65/11 70/13 75/5 | 43/9 76/12 78/12 | however [7] 20/6 48/7 48/8 53/1 |
| 75/7 114/7 114/8 114/19 | hallway [1] 100/18 | 61/1 106/17107/17 |
| gets [2] 7/15 14/6 | hand [2] 22/19 33/9 | Huang [3] 8/22 9/12 9/13 |
| getting [1] 89/7 | handed [3] 22/20 34/18 37/3 | human [6] 8/15 56/3 59/15 75/1 |
| give [22] $44 / 11$ 59/21 65/5 71/4 | handwritten [1] 15/18 | 78/1 114/18 |
| 72/1 72/20 77/3 78/3 81/17 81/20 | happen [2] 26/1 110/2 | humanly [1] 117/15 |
| 81/24 82/1 94/4 94/5 96/17 | happened [3] 89/16 90/3 92/12 |  |

96/6 96/24 104/25 127/18 130/2
130/2 130/4 136/6
identical [1] 100/8
identification [1] 34/19
identified [7] $5 / 1114 / 18$ 18/10 38/7 39/17 59/11 65/24
identify [5] 39/10 66/1 68/2 68/10 77/4
identifying [3] 52/23 53/2 67/17
illusion [5] 81/18 95/8 95/12 100/9 101/2
illustration [2] 108/9 116/2
image [33] 36/12 38/6 39/8 43/25
47/2 48/7 48/18 52/14 52/25
55/16 57/18 58/3 62/23 62/23
62/24 67/6 72/25 79/3 82/2 88/9
91/10 92/14 93/3 93/4 105/18
115/18 117/13 123/5 123/8 126/1 126/2 129/10 132/5
images [67] 30/15 30/19 31/5
$31 / 1633 / 535 / 335 / 1236 / 536 / 20$
36/23 37/1 43/13 43/14 46/6
47/21 48/16 49/25 50/17 50/20
52/19 53/4 53/6 53/8 53/16 53/19
$54 / 255 / 2557 / 1860 / 1060 / 13$
60/1 8 62/3 62/8 62/16 64/11
64/24 66/20 66/21 66/23 67/9
68/22 68/22 69/9 69/15 69/16
74/8 91/11 95/6 106/22 112/13
119/7 119/24 121/6 121/12 121/20
121/21 122/2 122/3 122/13 122/20
122/21 127/13 131/18 131/24 132/1 133/3 133/5
imagination [2] 72/25 73/8
Imaging [1] 92/18
immediate [1] 91/24
immediately [3] 129/25 130/16 131/10
impact [1] 119/20
importance [1] 27/9
important [9] 24/1 24/12 50/7 50/7
56/5 56/18 67/22 69/4 126/9
impossible [1] 89/5
impress [1] 12/7
impression [6] 12/13 22/9 65/5
72/21 73/12 73/16
improper [1] 21/3
improve [1] 46/4
improvements [2] 63/10 64/6 in [464]
in-house [1] 43/22
inadvertently [2] 27/16 27/18
inch [3] 43/10 76/12 77/22
inches [2] 106/5 106/5
include [11] $26 / 3$ 28/1 57/3 57/24
58/11 60/5 83/7 83/15 83/20
96/14 125/8
included [10] $37 / 17$ 62/3 82/24
85/3 87/19 88/8 89/14 89/14 114/1 134/3
includes [2] 33/7 120/21
including [4] 27/1 49/8 54/9 67/22
indeed [6] 9/14 30/25 59/4 77/17
124/13 130/25
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { indicate [5] } & 10 / 23 & 12 / 2 & 18 / 2 & 33 / 4\end{array}$ 34/25
indicated [5] 11/19 11/20 16/25
18/13 124/22
indicates [3] 18/5 20/6 38/11
indicating [1] 129/22
individually [1] 42/10
induced [1] 42/25
individual [1] 42/15
industries [1] 74/13
industry [1] 74/11
information [11] 12/13 25/7 27/19
28/4 37/17 43/20 46/15 58/7
88/22 105/22 130/13
informed [2] 26/21 137/23
informs [1] 131/12
initial [6] $12 / 20$ 13/18 $14 / 315 / 18$
15/19 18/18
injury [1] 113/9
inside [6] 52/6 54/21 55/2 55/3
67/8 100/19
instance [1] 87/21
instead [2] 63/1 122/4
instilled [1] 74/17
instruction [6] 6/13 118/9 124/11
133/19 135/3 135/12
instructions [15] 26/2 26/11 27/22
29/12 29/17 133/10 133/10 133/12
133/15 133/19 133/22 134/3 134/4
134/8 137/10
instructor [1] 35/6
instrument [8] 40/17 40/20 40/22
40/25 42/25 70/11 70/16 90/21
intend [2] 80/1 120/12
intended [3] 116/2 $130 / 7130 / 9$
intends [1] 128/23
intent [1] 81/7
intention [6] 80/8 94/16 98/2
105/11 137/24 138/1
intentional [3] 67/4 134/15 136/22
intentionally [2] 89/3 135/6
interested [4] 74/6 77/7 78/8 107/14
interior [9] 54/10 56/7 57/8 58/22
66/16 87/1 121/6 121/20 129/11
interiors [2] 51/6 51/12
internally [1] 43/16
internet [3] 27/25 28/1 28/3
intersection [1] 119/3
into [22] $12 / 17 \begin{array}{llllll} & 15 / 11 & 18 / 3 & 18 / 4\end{array}$ 20/18 36/23 43/2 43/3 43/4 43/18 46/16 49/1 50/3 58/19 62/22 65/4 82/15 100/22 109/19 124/1 127/1 136/19
introduced [1] 53/20
introduces [1] 115/21
investigative [1] 35/4
investigators [4] 49/18 50/18 83/9 105/12
invite [1] 65/14
involved [9] $35 / 1841 / 17$ 42/9 64/8 99/2 104/18 113/8 121/2 123/11 issue [13] 12/22 16/3 19/2 21/9 24/24 30/12 30/14 32/21 111/14 112/18 120/10 124/3 133/9
issues [3] $9 / 21112 / 8 \quad 122 / 17$
issuing [1] 24/6
item [8] 71/2 71/6 71/8 71/10 71/16 75/23 78/24 81/9
items [51] 45/21 51/4 51/5 55/1
55/13 57/24 57/25 57/25 59/11 59/13 59/18 60/4 65/7 67/15 67/22 69/16 82/24 84/16 85/11 85/16 85/1 $886 / 387 / 487 / 10$ 87/22 88/1 88/7 89/18 90/15 90/18 92/8 92/11 97/2 97/8 97/10 97/14 97/15 97/19 98/10 99/14 103/15 105/12 105/15 105/18 105/20 105/25 106/12 $115 / 19$

118/16 131/21 132/19
itself [11] 36/3 40/20 50/16 51/8 70/4 93/3 93/15 93/25 95/11 118/25 123/23
J
Jacqualine [1] 4/25
Janda [7] 57/6 57/14 60/3 63/5
68/1 68/8 $115 / 14$
Janda's [2] 71/25 77/8
Janda/Dassey [1] 68/8
Janet [2] 25/15 63/22
January [1] 15/22
January 26 [1] 15/22
jargon [1] 69/14
jaw [5] 107/23 108/5 108/17
108/18 108/20
JEROME [2] $1 / 204 / 15$
jewelry [1] 74/12
Jim [1] 97/14
job [1] 76/4
John [11] $5 / 3$ 11/15 11/24 11/25 13/19 15/24 15/25 18/15 18/16 19/21 84/9
joined [1] 137/8
joining [1] 136/22
joint [1] 8/14
Jr [6] $11 / 25$ 14/1 $15 / 2416 / 118 / 11$ 18/20
Jr.'s [1] 18/16
judge [37] 1/11 21/24 24/4 24/15
28/22 29/4 30/12 31/13 31/20
$32 / 1837 / 2538 / 838 / 1238 / 18$
38/25 53/25 55/22 63/19 65/17
69/22 107/22 111/10 111/14
118/12 119/10 120/7 120/17
120/22 121/4 122/1 129/10 129/18
130/8 131/17 131/22 138/14 139/1 1
judges [1] 45/17
judgment [3] 87/20 87/25 114/6
Julie [2] 5/1 6/4
July [1] 34/3
junior [1] 12/20
junk [1] 85/22
juries [1] 45/17
juror [23] 8/12 9/13 12/23 13/1
13/10 13/21 15/7 17/16 17/17
18/2 $18 / 2$ 18/6 $18 / 10$ 19/20 19/23
20/2 20/9 20/12 20/23 20/25
114/4 117/14 126/19
juror's [1] 11/17
jurors [27] 5/10 5/15 5/17 5/18
6/23 7/6 7/13 7/15 7/17 7/22 7/24 8/3 8/14 9/6 14/17 15/3 15/3 21/23 25/10 25/12 25/16 25/17 25/25 26/4 27/2 27/11 114/16 jury [70] 1/4 4/19 4/20 4/22 4/22 5/9 5/14 6/1 6/9 6/10 7/1 7/11 7/13 7/18 7/19 7/22 7/24 8/2 13/15 14/18 15/4 16/12 21/4 22/21 25/22 25/24 26/10 26/21 27/20 28/6 29/12 29/17 48/17 50/12 50/16 52/1855/1763/1 65/1 65/6 69/1 $115 / 24116 / 11$ 117/1 117/23 118/15 118/22 121/17 122/4 122/6 123/7 123/25 124/1 124/7 124/8 126/6 126/13 127/1 127/14 130/1 131/12 132/12 133/11 134/17 134/21 137/16 137/21 138/4 139/2 139/3
jury's [1] 135/2
Justice [3] 4/10 19/9 30/4

| J | 9/24 10/25 11/2 11/13 1/16 | $8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iustification [1] 17/11 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| K |  | 64/7 66/25 69/8 79/19 118/8 |
| Keehan [1] 5/5 | lawyers [2] 83/8 101/13 | longer [1] 29/8 |
| keep [1] 9/13 | lay [3] 41/9 52/8 127/5 | longest [1] 43/8 |
| keeping [1] 32/22 | layer [2] 51/20 54/21 | looked [15] 48/25 49/3 57/7 57/9 |
| Ken [2] 4/7 30/3 | lays [1] 13/13 | 64/12 79/16 86/24 105/3 105/4 |
| KENNETH [1] 1/12 | lead [3] 4/7 49/18 83/9 | 116/22 124/2 124/2 129/23 130/5 |
| Kentucky [1] 8/8 | leader [3] 52/22 53/2 61/7 | 132/11 |
| Kevin [1] 5/3 | leading [1] 35/15 | looking [32] 36/13 36/14 38/6 |
| key[13] 101/19 101/23 101/24 | leaf [1] 75/16 | 43/25 44/7 45/11 45/12 48/19 |
| 102/6 102/12 102/16 102/16 | leafless [2] 80/11 80/24 | 51/14 51/16 51/25 52/24 54/18 |
| 102/24 102/25 102/25 103/3 103/5 | leafy [2] 78/11 79/13 | 56/23 59/3 59/8 59/23 60/1 60/3 |
| 127/9 | leash [2] 115/2 115/3 | 66/20 67/15 73/5 85/7 86/12 95/5 |
| Kickland [1] 5/7 | least [26] 8/18 8/20 9/3 15/2 16/2 | 97/23 100/19 101/15 103/15 106/2 |
| kind [13] 11/21 23/16 34/13 62/22 | 19/8 31/21 31/21 31/25 32/1 | 114/5 126/18 |
| 64/8 71/20 81/4 93/4 102/3 111/3 | 34/24 37/19 45/2 69/17 75/8 | looks[14] 9/2 64/18 73/14 73/19 |
| 113/23 114/22 123/21 | 83/21 86/25 91/22 99/7 104/14 | 78/10 78/14 82/2 82/11 84/8 96/6 |
| kinds [3] 82/17 86/1 119/7 | 108/10 113/7 116/15 132/12 | 97/22 99/22 114/24 126/16 |
| Klein [2] 5/2 6/5 | 133/21 139/9 | lose [1] 115/5 |
| knee [2] 94/19 94/20 | leave [5] 62/9 82/4 122/13 129/16 | lot [9] 5/18 41/16 73/6 84/19 |
| knob [1] 77/21 | 135/24 | 85/11 88/19 90/18 92/3 115/23 |
| knowing [5] 13/22 77/7 83/13 92/8 | led [1] 28/17 | Lots [1] 12/17 |
| 115/8 | left [11] 14/20 57/15 58/5 67/20 | Lou [1] 5/6 |
| knowledge [2] 34/13 140/14 | 75/16 101/19 107/9 107/20 108/10 | lovely [2] 78/11 79/19 |
| known [7] 5/12 34/10 35/12 56/18 | 118/18135/16 | lower [1] 58/5 |
| 57/3 67/21 122/7 | legal [3] 10/11 12/21 13/15 | M |
| knows [1] 71/11 | legitimate [1] 19/4 | M |
| KRATZ [28] 1/12 3/11 4/7 30/3 | lengthy [2] 60/16 110/16 | M-PEG [1] 110/25 |
| 30/25 31/4 32/20 40/22 56/25 | lens [2] 98/23 99/1 | machine [1] 140/10 |
| 65/4 65/20 67/7 67/12 71/20 72/5 | Leslie [1] 60/12 | made [22] 5/23 13/21 15/5 21/10 |
| 79/1 82/20 83/7 88/16 89/17 | less [2] 43/9 $115 / 23$ | 21/11 36/23 39/23 53/18 63/10 |
| 104/5 107/11 109/15 110/1 112/8 | let's [10] $25 / 2$ 43/13 46/5 51/6 | 63/12 66/10 66/18 82/13 82/18 |
| 118/11 123/4 128/22 | 51/12 51/13 56/21 62/9 62/10 | 82/20 83/1 83/7 83/15 83/15 |
| Kratz's [2] 78/5 84/5 | 88/5 | 94/11 94/12 100/13 |
| L | level [1] 120/7 | Madison [1] 60/16 |
|  | Is [2] 24/21 31/24 | magic [1] 109/20 |
| label [5] $53 / 1082 / 15$ 93/13 93 | liberty [1] 81/10 | Mahler [2] 5/4 6/6 |
| 108/24 | lie [1] 117/22 | maintain [1] 6/18 |
| labeled [5] 53/17 54/17 96/11 | lied [2] 11/2 12/23 | majority [2] 19/11 19/13 |
| 99/18 109/10 | lieu [2] 63/1 122/3 | make [17] 8/6 8/10 16/23 24/25 |
| labeling [7] 115/11115/13 123/20 | life [1] 116/9 | 26/18 31/17 64/7 65/5 81/5 81/13 |
| 124/11 127/3 127/5 131/21 | light [3] 9/4 64/19 102/11 | 82/4 82/8 104/11 110/2 117/4 |
| labels [10] 52/15 52/21 67/17 | light-skinned [1] 9/4 | 128/7 132/12 |
| 109/8 109/8 109/22 110/4 112/14 | Likewise [2] 85/25 97/1 | making [1] 88/3 |
| 112/17 128/3 | limitations [1] 77/6 | mallet[3] 97/2 115/19 128/5 |
| lack[5] 19/1 34/12 84/20 93/5 | limits [1] 132/13 | man [3] 9/5 12/4 71/1 |
| 101/2 | Linda [1] 25/17 | mandible [3] 107/18 107/23 |
| laid [4] 81/24 117/9 125/25 133/4 | line [4] 24/1 40/9 77/19 77/23 | 108/11 |
| Lamon [4] 14/10 16/9 19/7 19/14 | list [3] 15/17 15/20 15/25 | MANITOWOC[7] 1/1 1/5 1/16 1/20 |
| landscape [1] 36/18 | listed [1] 15/19 | 3/3 6/11 140/2 |
| language [1] 126/8 | listen [2] 26/24 27/6 | manner [1] 69/7 |
| laptop [5] 32/22 33/5 33/7 110/22 | listing [1] 14/1 | manual [1] 114/12 |
| 111/3 | little [14] 20/21 29/7 32/1 38/12 | manually [4] 90/18 90/19 90/23 |
| large [2] 87/11 91/25 | 39/5 60/6 62/10 66/18 69/13 70/3 | 91/13 |
| larger [2] 23/18 55/13 | 102/19 104/2 112/21 128/21 | many [11] 36/25 41/17 42/10 |
| laser [5] 44/9 54/25 70/21 70/23 | live [2] 28/24 118/7 | 42/15 47/11 50/10 66/20 98/13 |
| 99/24 | living [1] 86/25 | 120/5 120/9 126/23 |
| last[19] 5/10 8/16 8/22 11/22 | local [2] 27/5 27/6 | map [3] 71/10 76/7 105/25 |
| 12/17 33/14 59/17 59/21 63/9 | locate [1] 55/13 | mapped [10] 36/8 44/21 45/11 |
| 64/9 66/3 66/19 67/2 67/3 67/16 | located [4] 51/4 58/16 65/8 108/20 | 68/6 90/16 97/12 97/16 104/21 |
| 69/10 74/22 91/4 110/18 | locates [2] 40/20 70/4 | 105/21 106/15 |
| late [2] 12/17 35/25 | location [26] 55/5 56/18 68/6 | mapping [14] 35/7 35/15 37/2 |
| later [4] 79/11 115/9 115/9 124/19 | 68/15 76/7 85/6 85/19 91/18 | 42/1 42/2 48/9 55/5 58/15 62/9 |
| Laura [2] 5/6 6/7 | 92/11 97/12 98/8 101/7 101/23 | 68/5 91/11 91/20 92/10 105/10 |
| law [12] $1 / 181 / 2011 / 2016 / 721 / 8$ | 102/6 104/13 104/14 104/19 | March [5] 105/3 105/12 105/23 |
| 35/21 36/7 42/4 50/18 91/20 92/9 | 104/21 106/16 107/10 127/11 | 130/1 1 130/22 |
| 124/20 | 128/5 128/8 128/9 128/9 130/1 | March 1st [1] 130/11 |
| lawn [2] 84/9 106/18 | locations [6] 61/3 61/24 94/18 | Marian [2] 5/3 6/5 |
| Lawrence [24] 5/3 8/6 8/19 8/25 | 103/10 $115 / 20128 / 3$ | marine [1] 74/12 |

mark [1] 104/4
marked [2] 34/18 37/4 marks [9] 104/15 104/18 104/23
117/10 117/10 117/12 127/16
127/21 128/1
Mary [1] 5/6
mass [1] 116/21
match [2] 18/17 18/19
material [6] 99/24 100/2 100/3
116/11117/3117/3
materiality [1] 122/17
materially [2] 116/8 123/6
materials [5] 28/12 28/19 33/3 33/6 39/10
mathematical [1] 95/9
mathematically [1] 71/13
matter [11] 6/10 8/11 9/17 9/25
12/3 29/24 51/11 138/17 138/22 140/7 140/13
matters [2] 29/1 29/3
maximum [1] 42/24
maybe [8] 23/16 38/5 40/24 63/17
72/24 72/24 139/2 139/6
McConnell [2] 36/16 98/25
mean [17] 11/4 23/20 31/6 47/3
47/13 73/14 73/15 73/20 74/19
82/15 82/16 100/16 105/17 114/2
130/22 137/2 137/4
meaning [4] 44/6 64/11 94/11 110/25
means [4] 92/23 119/18 127/13 130/18
meant [3] 14/15 33/6 88/25
measure [4] 41/4 77/13 90/23 102/10
measured [6] 36/8 84/19 84/25
85/2 85/4 87/4
measurement [4] 40/22 41/6 100/21 119/4
measurements [26] 35/9 36/4
37/19 39/19 39/21 40/12 40/15
40/18 41/18 42/9 42/15 42/17
43/11 46/7 49/8 58/13 67/11
78/16 90/19 91/1 91/3 102/10
103/4 114/12 $115 / 10119 / 8$
measurer [1] 41/10
measures [3] 40/4 40/6 46/12
measuring [6] 41/10 70/23 71/3
71/8 71/12 71/15
medal [1] 24/23
media [4] 26/19 32/22 32/24 33/2
meeting [1] 60/17
Melvin [1] 5/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { member [8] } & 10 / 15 & 13 / 4 & 13 / 8 & 16 / 5\end{array}$
16/16 17/4 18/4 31/21
members [12] 4/20 4/22 4/23 5/9
5/14 10/17 11/10 11/10 17/23
25/23 27/1 65/7
memory [3] 13/11 14/5 108/12
men's [1] 101/18
mental [4] 73/18 107/1 108/14 108/19
mention [1] 132/12
mentioned [6] 40/14 42/8 46/11
81/21 81/25 101/10
met [5] 17/3 19/14 60/15 61/23
131/19
metal [1] 75/12
Michael [1] 5/2
microphone [2] 31/22 33/18
microphones [1] 39/1
middle [10] $12 / 20$ 13/18 $13 / 18$
14/2 15/17 15/19 18/18 22/21
63/2 77/20
might [14] 22/17 29/10 39/1 44/17 45/24 53/19 54/14 54/23 75/3
75/12 80/12 126/6 127/18 128/1
mike [3] 38/12 38/17 38/19
mile [1] 13/25
Milwaukee [1] 4/12
mind [1] 126/20
mine [3] 38/17 38/19 44/11
mini [1] 58/14
mini-van [1] 58/14
minimalist [1] $87 / 1$
minimize [1] 99/10
minor [2] 63/12 112/8
minority [10] 15/8 15/12 16/5
16/15 16/16 $17 / 4$ 20/21 20/25
21/1 21/5
minute [4] 17/1 26/8 43/4 134/23
minutes [3] 43/3 111/13 111/21
miscellaneous [2] 85/23 86/2
mislead [1] 124/1
misleading [3] 117/5 123/6 123/21
misleads [1] 124/8
missing [3] 101/4 130/21 132/9
misunderstood [1] 91/14
model [47] 47/15 47/18 49/2 49/12 51/19 52/5 56/9 56/11 57/1 57/24 60/5 60/12 60/17 60/25 61/16 61/25 74/7 74/9 74/20 75/17 75/21 76/1 78/2 81/5 85/12 86/22 88/9 89/15 92/13 92/21 93/7 93/15 93/24 93/25 97/8 101/13
102/25 105/5 105/6 105/9 106/24 107/20 112/7 112/18 113/2 117/6 117/25
model's [1] 94/10
modeled [4] 49/15 59/19 72/12 101/20
modeling [8] 46/9 48/11 48/15 49/10 51/7 62/10 107/6 118/8 models [17] 35/17 53/10 56/5 61/2 61/15 61/19 74/14 79/9 79/12
80/3 80/10 84/17 95/4 107/12
113/2 113/14116/7
Mohr [2] 5/4 6/6
mom [1] 81/16
moment [2] 56/22 138/15
Monday [6] 26/1 26/2 26/12 31/18 138/25 139/4
money [1] 113/10
morning [8] 4/5 4/14 4/19 6/14
11/1 28/10 138/8 138/25
morning's [1] 26/7
most [5] 47/23 61/20 86/5 117/21
133/6
mostly [1] 74/10
motion [27] $1 / 5 \quad 1 / 5 \quad 3 / 5 \quad 3 / 7 \quad 3 / 14$
7/3 8/6 8/14 9/15 9/20 10/9 15/5
21/21 25/12 28/19 29/24 30/8
30/23 62/15 62/17 62/22 64/14
65/5 65/6 $112 / 1$ 113/1 125/17
motions [1] 132/17
motivated [1] 20/14
move [12] 10/24 38/1 39/6 46/4
46/5 56/11 58/25 66/13 66/17
93/11 123/7 135/2
moved [8] 46/1 57/20 59/6 59/25
63/22 92/9 97/12 97/21
movie [1] 111/5
moving [9] 9/23 59/17 62/24 66/14 67/5 67/13 67/25 68/13 122/2
mower [2] 84/9 106/18
Mr [7] 16/18 28/4 33/24 65/20
72/2 82/21 128/22
Mr. [106] $7 / 258 / 68 / 198 / 259 / 13$ 9/15 9/24 10/7 10/10 10/19 10/25 11/2 $11 / 1313 / 313 / 513 / 1714 / 6$ 15/11 15/17 16/15 16/19 17/3
18/5 18/25 19/5 22/1 22/9 22/23
22/25 25/3 28/23 30/22 30/25 31/4 32/20 32/21 33/17 34/9 $35 / 1036 / 237 / 3$ 38/11 38/13 38/1 5 39/7 40/22 51/23 54/9 54/1 1 56/25 63/20 64/5 65/4 65/18 65/19 67/7 67/12 69/13 69/21 69/23 70/2 71/20 72/5 78/5 79/1 82/20 82/20 83/7 84/5 86/24 88/16 89/17 98/7 98/19 104/5 107/11 108/6 109/15 110/1 112/2 112/8 116/16118/11118/13119/1 119/22 121/10 121/22 122/16 122/24 123/2 123/4 129/16 129/21 131/20 132/25 133/7 135/9 135/11 135/14 136/1 136/2 136/3 137/5 137/16 138/19
Mr. Austin [17] 28/23 33/17 34/9 35/10 36/2 37/3 38/15 39/7 64/5 65/18 65/19 69/13 69/21 70/2
119/1 119/22 122/24
Mr. Austin's [1] 38/13
Mr. Avery [7] 10/19 13/3 13/5 16/15 135/11 136/2 137/16
Mr. Avery's [3] 54/9 54/11 86/24
Mr. Buting [2] 22/25 116/16
Mr. Dao [1] 9/15
Mr. Dassey [3] 135/9 135/14 137/5
Mr. Fallon [4] 10/7 14/6 18/5 32/21
Mr. Gahn [4] 22/1 22/9 22/23 25/3
Mr. Huang [1] 9/13
Mr. Kratz [22] 30/25 31/4 32/20
40/22 56/25 65/4 67/7 67/12
$71 / 2072 / 579 / 182 / 2083 / 788 / 16$
89/17 104/5 107/11 109/15 110/1
112/8 118/11 123/4
Mr. Kratz's [2] 78/5 84/5
Mr. Lawrence [14] 8/6 8/19 8/25
9/24 10/25 11/2 11/13 13/17
15/11 15/17 16/19 17/3 18/25 19/5
Mr. Strang [22] 7/25 10/10 30/22
38/11 51/23 63/20 69/23 98/7
98/19 108/6 112/2 121/10 122/16 123/2 129/16 129/21 131/20
132/25 133/7 136/1 136/3 138/19
Mr. Strang's [2] 118/13 121/22
Mr. Wiegert [1] 82/20
must [3] 10/14 108/4 114/23 mute [1] 27/12

## mutilation [1] 136/23

my [73] 4/16 8/13 8/20 13/11 13/20 21/6 21/17 22/4 23/12 24/16 29/3 31/21 32/4 33/15 33/20 34/15 34/22 34/22 35/19 37/6 38/12 39/11 39/16 41/19 42/23 44/4 46/25 51/15 57/19 58/24 59/4 59/24 60/25 61/4 64/9 67/24 72/24 77/4 78/19 79/2 79/5 79/7 87/3 87/25 92/6 92/23 93/8 94/16 98/24 103/15 103/25 105/11 106/2 107/11 108/5 108/12 108/15 108/15 109/20 109/21 110/18 115/11 123/18 130/9 130/19 131/24 133/20 134/1 136/4 138/1 139/1 140/9 140/13

| M | noticeable [1] 101/18 | $/ 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \left.\hline \begin{array}{l} \text { myself [5] } \\ 116 / 9 \end{array}\right] 36 / 737 / 765 / 6104 / 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | notify [2] 27/20 137/16 November [15] 35/20 42 | omitted [4] $84 / 1784 / 1988 / 889 / 2$ |
| N | 78/17 78/17 78/23 79/17 80/12 | 115/24 133/7 |
| nail [1] 107/15 | /6 138/6 | 2 |
| name [18] 4/22 6/2 8/22 8/22 | November 12 [1] 78/17 | 17/4 18/2 19/10 35/3 42/25 47/19 |
| 12/19 13/18 15/23 15/24 18/9 | November 12th [2] 42/3 83/22 | 64/25 69/8 80/24 89/1 107/19 |
| $33 / 1433 / 1433 / 15$ 33/19 33/20 | November 5 [3] 83/21 124/6 138/6 | 117/6 119/9 120/1 128/18 |
| 43/23 61/8 135/16 135/25 | November 5th [1] 35/20 | open [1] 136/11 |
| names [4] 5/17 5/25 68/9 112/19 | number[11] 8/14 11/18 18/12 | opening [27] 28/20 29/2 30/10 |
| Nancy [2] 5/5 6/7 | 32/12 72/1 88/4 94/4 97/2 98/9 | 30/15 31/5 31/10 76/5 100/7 |
| narrate [1] 65/18 | 98/20 $115 / 19$ | 100/12 108/16 $122 / 11126 / 3$ |
| narration [1] 69/2 | numbered [2] 8/12 137/14 | 128/24 128/25 129/1 129/3 129/6 |
| narrative [9] 37/6 39/16 44/4 | numbers [1] 106/23 | 130/9 130/24 131/6 131/7 131/22 |
| 46/25 51/16 59/5 59/24 87/3 | numerous[2] 62/15 116/18 | 131/23 131/24 132/8 132/12 134/8 |
| $97 / 10$ | 0 | openings [1] 31/16 |
| Nathan [2] 5/2 6/5 | object [4] 56/17 62/21 133/18 |  |
| near [3] 35/12 71/9 79/14 | 134/2 | opinion [4] 22/16 25/8 42/21 |
| necessarily [3] 31/7 50/5 115/6 | objected | 42/23 |
| necessary [1] 26/15 | objection [10] 14/16 31/4 38/3 | opportunity [3] 24/2 31/23 113/18 |
| need [19] 13/8 24/20 30/20 32/25 | 54/5 64/1 120/1 121/23 130/23 | opposite [1] 55/7 |
| 49/20 50/5 55/14 62/15 62/17 | 131/8131/19 | optical [1] 101/2 |
| 71/19 76/14 86/15 88/22 103/7 | objectionable [5] 123/9 128/25 | option [1] 51/10 |
| 106/22 112/25 116/6 121/1 134/19 | 130/17 133/2 133/5 | options [1] 77/1 |
| needs [4] 22/14 30/14 41/22 41/23 | objections[5] 31/15 112/3 127/25 | or [250] |
| Nelesen [2] 5/1 6/5 | 128/18 136/5 | orbit [1] 78/21 |
| neutral [3] 17/10 19/4 21/12 | objects [14] 40/5 45/20 46/14 | order[12] 16/6 22/2 22/20 23/13 |
| never [4] 56/6 74/24 89/3 91/24 | 46/20 47/8 47/21 48/25 49/4 51/3 | 24/18 25/19 27/3 32/24 33/2 33/4 |
| new [5] 10/20 105/22 110/19 | 65/3 87/9 120/20 122/8 129/6 | 110/5 138/21 |
| 113/11 113/12 | observe [1] 106/1 | orders [1] 24/6 |
| news [3] 26/24 27/5 27/6 | obtain [1] 92/24 | orientation [1] 80/6 |
| newspaper [1] 27/7 | obtained [3] 43/11 49/9 61/17 | original [3] 105/6 105/9 133/9 |
| next [7] 17/7 36/6 55/5 55/11 57/9 | obviously[11] 12/4 42/24 73/17 | originals [1] 53/25 |
| 105/2 132/25 | 91/5 92/1 98/18 109/11 113/22 | originated [1] 107/19 |
| nicer [1] 45/22 | 126/9 129/1 137/13 | orthogonal [1] 44/7 |
| night [2] 12/18 67/3 | occurs [1] 40/16 | other [76] 6/17 7/11 7/15 8/19 9/6 |
| No. [20] 8/13 34/19 37/4 37/16 | October [1] 115/7 | 13/9 20/17 22/10 24/19 25/17 |
| 51/13 54/3 54/24 56/23 56/25 | October 31 [1] 115/7 | 27/2 27/24 29/1 29/2 31/15 36/4 |
| 57/18 57/19 59/1 59/4 59/14 | off [19] 32/22 51/21 52/4 52/10 | 37/8 37/8 37/18 48/12 48/14 |
| 59/23 59/24 62/4 62/4 128/4 | 54/20 68/9 68/23 72/17 79/24 | 48/23 49/1 49/5 49/22 50/15 |
| 130/12 | 81/4 82/5 84/7 92/15 96/19 98/9 | 50/19 51/2 51/2 51/5 51/20 52/22 |
| No. 1 [2] 34/19 128/4 | 114/9 117/14 123/13 138/10 | 54/8 56/11 57/18 57/23 60/4 |
| No. 10 [2] 56/23 56/25 | offense [4] 11/12 11/15 17/25 | 60/10 64/24 65/1 65/8 65/9 67/21 |
| No. 16 [3] 57/18 57/19 59/14 | 135/14 | 68/10 75/21 79/23 82/12 82/23 |
| No. 2 [4] 37/4 37/16 54/24 62/4 | offenses [2] 11/17 11/18 | 84/3 84/15 85/18 87/10 87/15 |
| No. 20 [2] 59/1 59/4 | offer [4] 58/8 63/25 89/16 131/3 | 88/13 91/20 94/15 100/25 104/12 |
| No. 23 [3] 59/23 59/24 130/12 | offered [5] 13/17 17/13 120/6 | 104/17 108/2 114/6 119/18 122/12 |
| No. 31 [1] 51/13 | 129/12 132/25 | 126/4 126/11 128/7 130/16 131/13 |
| No. 4 [2] 54/3 62/4 | offers [1] 13/14 | 132/1 132/11 132/19 133/8 136/12 |
| No. 90 [1] 8/13 | office [2] 134/1 136/8 | 137/13 138/17 138/22 |
| non [3] 18/23 99/13 133/2 | officers [6] 36/7 37/8 50/18 91/21 | others [2] 50/11 109/23 |
| non-digital [1] 99/13 | 92/9 104/18 | otherwise [2] 31/18 35/23 |
| non-objectionable [1] 133/2 | Official [3] 2/2 140/4 140/19 | ought [5] 31/1 41/21 137/5 137/21 |
| non-race [1] 18/23 | offset [1] 124/4 | 139/6 |
| none [3] 54/6 95/4 109/8 | often [1] 14/6 | our [22] 12/13 12/16 13/2 39/1 |
| Nonetheless [1] 10/11 | Oh [8] 7/10 28/1 74/4 82/25 91/14 | 43/8 45/11 47/4 47/5 47/18 57/20 |
| noon [2] 23/10 29/20 | 105/8 109/4 131/23 | 59/6 70/11 70/13 70/14 70/14 |
| nor [2] 26/25 121/14 | Ohio [2] 13/8 13/11 | 70/15 72/22 87/24 97/20 97/21 |
| NORMAN [2] 1/16 4/11 | okay [60] 7/10 7/21 18/1 25/15 | 111/12 132/17 |
| north [1] 57/12 | 28/14 29/18 37/24 70/21 71/18 | ours [1] 138/14 |
| northeast [2] 44/23 45/4 | 72/22 73/13 74/4 75/7 76/1 76/15 | ourselves [1] 104/18 |
| northern [1] 78/23 | 76/22 77/17 78/8 78/19 80/10 | outlet [4] 102/1 102/4 102/7 |
| northwest [3] 59/8 78/22 113/4 | 81/1 82/14 83/1 83/13 84/3 84/15 | 102/14 |
| note [4] 7/23 9/11 97/15 137/14 | 84/22 85/25 86/14 86/21 87/15 | outlets [1] 102/10 |
| noted [2] 105/19 106/17 | 87/19 91/8 91/9 92/8 93/1 93/23 | outlined [1] 20/3 |
| notes [4] 35/19 41/19 98/24 140/9 | 94/14 94/23 95/2 96/2 96/14 97/1 | outlines [1] 34/15 |
| nothing [15] 9/15 11/7 13/17 | 98/6 99/6 99/17 99/22 100/20 | outside [6] $7 / 25$ 8/2 15/2 61/4 |
| 20/10 84/3 109/8 109/13 109/17 | 102/3 103/7 107/14 109/7 110/3 | 107/11 108/15 |
| 109/20 110/24 111/9 117/17 | 110/13 111/2 129/1 136/16 137/22 | outweighed [2] 118/23 125/10 |
| 117/19 122/9 129/17 | 138/11 139/12 | over [20] 8/16 22/2 34/22 38/22 |

over... [16] 42/17 52/9 57/9 57/15 58/17 66/22 66/23 69/8 71/3 71/6 76/12 86/7 106/5 113/20 120/11 120/12
overall [1] 93/9
overhead [1] 52/8
overlap [1] 39/4
overview [7] 51/17 54/19 56/6
56/25 67/13 84/6 98/3
own [6] 63/20 90/5 121/15 121/16 133/20 136/4

## P

package [3] 79/3 80/17 95/19
packet [2] 53/6 54/1
page [11] 3/2 16/10 39/16 47/1
51/15 72/8 79/1 79/1 107/15
108/4 108/5
pages [2] 62/21 137/14
paint [6] 47/25 48/1 48/3 75/13
105/24 106/4
painted [1] 47/6
pair [1] 101/18
palet [1] 81/4
pallet [1] 72/17
pan [1] 66/11
pane [1] 75/16
panel [13] 4/20 4/22 4/23 5/9 5/14 8/4 14/20 $15 / 5$ 17/4 17/6 25/22 25/24 28/6
paper [3] 27/9 95/6 106/18
parcel [1] 113/4
Pardon [1] 7/8
parent [1] 9/3
parentage [1] 9/2
parenthetically [1] 120/16
parietal [2] 107/2 107/10
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { part [24] } & 13 / 16 & 16 / 2 & 17 / 2 & 17 / 7\end{array}$
19/6 20/3 20/7 22/12 28/21 29/16 67/4 81/2 81/3 83/5 87/20 87/25
95/22 99/11 104/16 107/17 125/12 126/2 131/7 136/7
participation [1] 6/19
particular [23] 24/7 43/7 44/3
45/20 47/12 47/16 48/2 48/20
51/19 57/12 65/12 76/8 78/24
79/10 79/21 86/4 94/15 101/1
103/5 106/1 107/9 126/1 126/15
particularly [7] 74/5 80/11 89/19
103/8 113/12 116/17116/18
particulars [1] 92/19
parties [25] 4/3 5/11 5/19 15/10
15/21 24/13 25/5 26/6 28/15
29/25 38/23 109/23 124/15 125/14
126/5 127/6 128/14 128/20 129/20
133/12 133/17 133/25 137/7 137/9
137/24
partly [1] 8/18
partner [1] 76/16
parts [4] 85/17 86/2 110/16 123/19
party [13] 14/18 21/22 52/16
133/18 134/2 134/10 134/24
134/24 135/7 135/18 135/22 137/3 137/8
pas [1] 83/16
pass [1] 26/14
paste [1] 47/14
path [1] 120/8
pathologist [1] 69/3
Patricia [1] 5/8
Patrick [2] 1/10 5/5
patrol [15] 28/24 34/1 34/3 34/6
$34 / 735 / 336 / 1537 / 837 / 989 / 12$
90/10 95/20 95/23 96/1 97/13
Paul [2] 5/1 6/4
pause [2] 65/25 111/5
pavement [1] 88/24
PDF [1] 136/13
Pedersen [1] 5/5
peeled [1] 84/7
PEG [1] 110/25
pejorative [1] 114/2
pending [4] 11/14 11/17 11/18 18/12
people [5] 8/24 32/2 74/21 83/2 91/2
per [2] 64/10 64/13
percent [1] 11/8
perceptively [1] 114/2
peremptory[13] $1 / 43 / 45 / 125 / 21$
5/23 8/5 8/7 9/24 10/17 14/19
15/6 16/24 17/5
perfect [5] 32/16 76/10 76/23
136/9 136/11
perfectly [1] 119/8
perform [3] 24/3 36/4 60/14
perhaps [12] 53/22 55/22 56/12
62/19 69/14 74/16 78/25 86/5
100/5 113/5 126/18 133/2
periodically [1] 38/21
permits [1] 35/2
permitted [1] 5/13
person [11] 1/23 4/15 8/20 30/6 60/17 61/23 94/15 111/3 135/20 135/21 137/2
personal [2] 47/25 113/9
personally [1] 42/11
personnel [1] 35/21
persons [3] 5/25 50/6 51/3
perspective [10] 48/22 56/3 57/21
77/14 77/15 78/24 81/22 86/4 95/9 127/1
perspectives [1] 56/12
Peterson [3] $119 / 11124 / 17126 / 8$
Philip [1] 5/2
philosophy [1] 87/1
phone [1] 12/17
photo [11] 47/15 98/10 129/14
129/17 129/23 130/4 130/8 130/11 130/11 131/8 131/14
photograph [21] 36/14 39/15
47/12 47/14 48/1 74/21 74/25 75/2 78/2 82/1 85/9 87/7 93/2
96/19 101/22 105/16 105/17
113/25 116/22 130/23 131/3
photographed [1] 47/17
photographic[5] 75/1 82/2 97/16
113/15 113/16
photographically [1] 115/22
photographs [33] 37/7 49/9 62/7
75/20 97/13 97/18 98/16 98/20
99/6 99/11 101/15 101/19 101/21
103/11 103/14 103/15 103/20
106/3 113/22 115/21 115/25
116/16 116/19 116/21 116/24
117/23 118/6 118/7121/13124/4
126/24 128/23 128/24
photos [4] 98/9 98/9 98/11 129/22
Photoshop [1] 99/9
phrase [1] 20/6
physically [4] 8/4 51/1 60/15
77/13
Piaskowski [1] 5/8
pick [2] 72/20 82/16
picked [3] 72/17 107/4 107/5
picking [2] 31/22 81/10
picks [2] 39/4 113/17
pictographic [1] 116/3
picture [6] 44/3 58/10 61/11 78/12
93/14 100/10
pictures [2] 65/4 99/13
piece [4] 42/21 52/9 56/17 71/2
pieces [4] 87/12 87/16 95/5 132/9
Pilot [1] 36/15
pine [2] 79/14 79/19
pixels [1] 114/5
place [8] 55/2 58/15 97/14 103/18
106/4 106/10 106/20 137/24
placed [2] 97/10 122/3
placement [1] 97/4
PLAINTIFF [1] 1/4
planet [1] 78/21
planning [1] 81/16
plastic [1] 52/9
play [3] 64/5 65/13 98/2
player [1] 111/1
please [20] 4/4 6/2 26/14 27/14
27/20 29/5 30/1 33/13 33/13
34/20 36/13 37/5 39/10 39/12
44/13 62/14 71/22 81/14 105/7 105/7
plus [1] 49/7
point [34] 19/7 23/1 31/14 51/24
59/1761/3 63/25 66/6 70/10 70/12 70/15 79/7 79/7 84/10 90/8
90/13 94/17 98/4 98/13 102/21
105/5 109/21 109/21 120/2 120/4
123/8 125/14 125/20 125/23
127/17 128/13 128/20 129/2
129/21
pointed [3] 88/16 97/11 107/11
pointer [3] 44/9 54/25 99/25
pointing[2] 61/7 127/20
points [8] 76/9 76/10 76/13 76/18
$76 / 2077 / 377 / 4117 / 22$
pool [1] 8/25
pop [1] 110/21
porch [1] 96/8
portion [7] 44/7 44/21 45/2 45/10
58/5 65/21 135/3
portions [1] 37/18
portrayed [2] 36/12 69/17
portraying [1] 90/2
position [6] 40/5 59/7 68/16 70/19
81/9 125/17
positioned [2] 50/25 68/3
positioning [1] 70/6
positions [1] 90/24
possession [2] 137/18 138/3
possible [8] 24/9 78/6 81/13 90/20
98/15 108/22 117/15 126/18
possibly[2] 126/12 131/21
pot [1] 113/19
potential [1] 9/21
potentially [3] 117/5 123/6 123/20
PowerPoint [4] 108/24 109/19
109/20 128/24
Powers [6] 13/8 $13 / 1014 / 8$ 16/7 16/13 20/19
practical [1] 10/12
precise [2] 128/4 128/9
preclude [1] 16/17
prefer [1] 137/7
prejudicial [2] 122/10 125/11
preliminary [4] 26/2 29/12 29/17 133/10
prepared [1] 140/8

|  | $\text { 3] } 9$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| preparing [1] $90 / 11$ <br> presence $[3] \quad 7 / 68 / 215 / 2$ <br> present $[9] 1 / 161 / 2028 / 628 / 20$ <br> $52 / 1783 / 2084 / 984 / 1713 / 5$ <br> presentation [4] $108 / 24109 / 19$ | 36/2 | $r$ [8] 10 |
|  | 込 | 110/6 110/17 120/15 |
|  |  | nale [1] |
|  | 0/8 | V[5] 22/7 22/10 22/15 23/4 |
|  | 1 |  |
| presentation [4] 126/3 128/25 108/24 109/19 | proven [1] 138/4 | [2] 64/13 110/ |
| presented [2] 27/16 128/13presenting [1] $82 / 11$ | provide [7] 17/8 | render [1] 110/14 |
|  |  | dered [1] 64/13 |
|  |  | 0/4 |
| preserve [2] 22/5 23/13 preserved [2] 23/15 24/18 | 52/21 53/9 54/1 62/2 62/6 95 |  |
|  |  | read [9] $5 / 25$ 6/2 6/13 17/20 26/24 |
| preserved [2] 23/15 24/18 <br> pretend [1] 97/25 |  |  |
| preview [1] $136 / 20$   <br> previous $[5]$ $57 / 7$ $59 / 6$ <br> $66 / 7$ $68 / 7$   | pr | 106/2 134/ |
|  | pr |  |
| previou <br> previously [4] 26/21 53/1 68/17 |  | 21/25 81/1 |
| previously [4] 26/21 53/1 68/17 133/12 prima [3] 8/11 9/9 16/23 primarily [4] 12/12 75/22 82/21 | purchase [2] | 23/24 |
|  | purchased [2] | [2] |
|  |  |  |
| 124/3 ${ }_{\text {primary [2] }}$ 17/15 44/24 | pu | ally [16] 13/23 |
|  | pu | 16/8 117 |
| primary [2] [2] $17 / 1544 / 24$ principle [1] 135/19 print [1] 104/6 | purp | $16 / 8117$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { print [1] } 104 / 6 \\ & \text { printed }[2] \quad 15 / 19 \quad 100 / 6 \\ & \text { prints [2] } \\ & 53 / 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned} 09 / 15$ |  |  |
| prints [2] 53/9 109/15 <br> prior [3] 127/22 133/11 133/20 | $111$ |  |
| prism [7] 71/4 71/7 71/9 71/13 <br> 71/15 76/13 76/17 <br> private [1] 45/9 | put [26] $21 / 25$ 22/1 |  |
|  | 7/13 78/24 81/16 | bly [2] 124/25 |
|  | /24 103/3 | ons [4] 13/2 20/8 20/15 27/3 |
| private [1] 45/9 probably [19] 31/23 38/13 39/3 | 106/1 |  |
| 49/19 63/9 69/2 87/9 92/6 101/14 106/25 112/13 113/1 116/6 117/15 | 109/18 111/4 126/25 130/10 1 | 23/23 |
|  | 135/18 135/19 135/20 | recalls [1] |
|  |  | receive [2] 54/3 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}118 / 5 & 129 / 13 & 130 / 5 & 136 / 8 \\ \text { probative }[3] & 117 / 20 / 13 & 118 / 23 & 125 / 9\end{array}$ problem [9] 112/6 116/14 121/9 | 10 | 4] 54/7 61/22 74/17 |
|  | Q |  |
|  | qualified [1] 5 | /7 |
|  | qu |  |
| problems [1] 73/18 <br> proceed [3] $31 / 1831 / 1938 / 23$ <br> proceedings [9] $1 / 253 / 33 / 626 / 8$ | quality [1] 113/15 | 14/13 14/25 |
|  | Quantico [1] 61/18 | 111/23 |
|  | quarrel [2] 31/9 75/8 | recognize [4] 9/21 15/10 21/7 |
|  |  |  |
| process [16] 4/19 5/20 5/22 9/25 12/11 14/11 42/16 46/24 47/10 48/9 60/24 62/14 64/8 67/2 69/1 | question [24] 11/5 11/9 11/9 17/18 | recognizes [4] 16/11 40/23 40/25 |
|  | 17/21 18/1 18/6 20/1831/15 38/5 | 120/4 |
|  | - | recollection [3] 13/21 |
| leressing [1] 43/20 | (108/8 | reconstruction [8] 34/8 34/16 |
|  | 110/18 119/6 119/23 119/25 | 40/12 60/7 60/8 88/17 88/20 90/9 |
| profession [1] 113/12 | questioning [1] 20/12 | reconstructions [1] 12 |
|  | questionnaire [10] 11/3 11/4 12/14 | reconv [301 4/4 7/24 |
|  | 14/2 15/18 15/24 17/17 17/19 | cord [30] 4/47/24 |
| ler $\begin{aligned} & \text { programmer [1] 95/17 } \\ & \text { prohibited [1] 28/3 }\end{aligned}$ |  | $18$ |
|  |  |  |
| prohibiting [1] 121/14 | 46/11 94/24 96/3 114/15 114/1 | 3/18 53/25 54/4 |
|  |  | 65/16 111/25 119/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { promo [1] } 27 / 8 \text { proof [1] } 58 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | quicker [1] $90 / 23$ | 10 |
| proper [2] 55/20 117/24properly [1] 1118/10properties [1] 36/18 | 2] $5 / 2232 /$ |  |
|  | quite [6] $11 / 112 / 1483 / 1386 / 1$ | records [1] 40/18 |
| property [26] 35/12 35/22 36/3 36/9 36/17 39/1841/18 42/2 42/5 44/20 47/22 49/12 49/20 51/18 | 96/20 101/6 | [1] 58/22 |
|  | R | ation [1] 119/1 |
|  |  |  |
| 65/21 68/1 68/14 93/9 113/3 |  20/2 20/14 20/23 21/12 | Reese [2] 97/14 98/13 reexplain [1] 70/7 refer [3] 36/11 74/15 9 |
| proportion [2] 94/13 112/22 <br> proportionate [1] 94/11 <br> proportions [1] 119/9 <br> propose [2] 134/3 134/14 <br> proposed [3] 31/16 133/19 134/8 <br> proposing [1] 133/13 | radio [1] $27 / 6$ | [2] 39/1 |
|  | raise [6] 13/10 16/4 20/21 $21 / 8$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 21 / 2233 / 9 \end{array}$ | 16/8 33/2 34/2 |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { raised [3] } 14 / 6 \text { 14/16 } 128 / 1 \\ & \text { raising[[2] } 16 / 1716 / 22 \\ & \text { rake[3] } 97 / 3115 / 18128 / 5 \end{aligned}\right.$ | referring [11] 37/15 37/20 39/8 48/5 58/17 69/6 87/23 102/22 |

$\mathbf{R}$
referring... [3] 103/17 103/25
104/4
reflect [3] 65/16 71/7 87/20
reflection [1] 64/19
reflective [2] 64/21 70/24
reflects [2] 14/21 114/5
refreshing [1] 108/12
refrigerator [1] 81/17
regard [1] 28/25
regarding [7] 27/14 28/18 30/14
30/17 112/1118/13119/6
regular [1] 111/4
related [6] 12/19 18/24 20/1 30/16
48/25 132/20
relating [1] 17/18
relationship [10] 41/3 48/21 49/4
51/1 51/5 56/16 56/16 57/11 65/2 94/21
relationships [9] 56/14 75/7 75/23
81/22 87/8 $114 / 9114 / 18122 / 6$
122/7
relative [2] 12/10 94/12
relatively [2] 89/1 110/11
relaxed [1] 9/5
released [2] 25/13 25/17
relevance [6] $117 / 12$ 120/8 $122 / 17$
127/25 128/1 128/16
relevancy [1] 120/10
relevant [5] 37/18 $117 / 19$ 126/12
131/4 131/13
relied [2] 93/19 97/13
rely [1] 88/13
relying [2] 78/2 117/5
remain [3] 5/21 94/13 112/9
remainder [1] 104/11
remaining [3] 4/20 5/15 17/4
remember[7] 13/24 42/11 52/7
87/13 87/13 129/8 129/9
remembering [1] 54/24
reminder [1] 27/25
remote [1] 32/5
removal [2] 17/14 123/16
remove [5] 10/17 17/6 21/4 27/8
66/4
removed [1] 112/11
removing [3] 15/7 56/7 56/8
render [3] 5/19 101/1 110/14
rendered [5] 48/7 53/10 64/13
66/24 79/6
rendering [2] 66/3 100/5
renderings [3] 54/10 79/23 121/16
renders [1] 62/16
repeat [1] 108/6
replace [2] 129/13 129/17
replication [1] 73/9
report [16] 37/2 37/7 39/16 44/5
46/25 51/16 57/19 59/5 59/25
79/2 79/5 79/8 79/12 101/6
101/10 109/12
reported [2] 2/1 140/6
reporter [4] 2/2 111/13 140/5
140/19
reports [1] 106/2
representation [3] 44/2 86/23
115/24
representations [2] 120/19 121/25 represents [1] 4/16
request [3] 21/11 28/14 137/11
requested [2] 92/19 92/20
requests [3] 88/5 103/18 $134 / 4$
require [4] 20/4 127/19 132/21
$136 / 13$
required [2] 16/20 18/1
requirement [1] 6/18
requires [1] 119/15
requiring [1] 127/4
reservations [1] 129/21
reset [1] 91/17
residence [26] 45/9 51/17 52/1
52/7 53/3 57/5 57/6 57/7 57/8
57/10 57/15 57/23 59/9 60/2 60/4
66/12 66/15 66/17 67/5 67/18
68/2 79/15 86/7 90/22 96/5
103/16
respect [4] 125/12 126/4 127/2
128/2
respond [1] 138/19
responding [1] 10/4
responses [2] 10/11 13/2
responsibilities [1] 34/5
responsible [1] 13/1
rest [3] 6/24 13/13 111/13
result [1] 12/21
resulting [1] 123/14
resume [1] 34/14
retire [1] 7/16
retrieve [1] 47/22
retyping [1] 136/14
revelation [1] 10/21
reverse [2] 8/7 111/5
review [1] 19/10
Richard [2] 5/4 6/6
right [62] $4 / 167 / 107 / 1414 / 4$
14/12 16/3 23/24 25/2 29/18 30/7
30/22 31/19 33/9 35/24 37/11
38/4 41/17 42/6 47/22 49/6 49/10 49/16 51/6 51/11 52/3 53/22
56/21 58/18 60/6 61/10 62/1 62/9
63/14 66/8 69/20 72/13 73/16
78/19 79/3 81/23 85/21 86/20
92/2 94/2 94/19 95/4 98/4 101/9
106/12 106/19 106/21 107/24
108/8 108/20 109/14 110/18
111/11 124/15 130/10 133/8
136/15 139/14
rise [1] $7 / 19$
risk [3] 119/19 119/20 121/9
road [1] 45/5
roof [13] 51/1951/20 51/21 52/4
52/5 52/11 54/21 56/8 66/16 67/7
67/14 84/7 117/13
rooftops [1] 123/17
room [12] 7/1 7/11 7/13 7/16 7/20
7/22 7/24 26/10 66/13 66/13
86/25 86/25
rooms [6] 51/21 52/6 52/23 53/3 66/17 87/4
roughly [1] 68/25
RPR [2] 2/1 140/19
rule [2] 121/5 121/19
ruled [1] 30/20
ruling [1] 131/5
run [2] 77/19 110/22
running [1] 111/3
runs [1] 45/6
rust [2] 72/14 72/20
rusty [2] 72/16 72/21

## S

Saari [1] 5/2
said [8] $13 / 24$ 68/7 73/16 89/24
109/13 117/15 135/17140/13
Salomon [1] 5/6
salvage [12] $35 / 1336 / 336 / 836 / 17$
$39 / 1841 / 1642 / 1844 / 844 / 25$
51/18 63/2 92/4
same [25] 12/19 13/9 18/9 35/21
48/6 53/1 56/10 59/13 68/25 69/7 74/15 80/13 80/24 85/9 90/7
94/13 95/1 100/2 100/24 101/16
101/20 115/22 119/18120/11 125/2
sample [7] 22/5 22/7 23/13 23/23
24/14 24/19 25/4
samples [1] $22 / 3$
Samurai [3] 55/3 55/7 84/13
satellite [1] 70/6
satisfactory [1] 32/15
satisfied [5] 19/3 19/12 83/24 130/15 135/4
satisfies [1] 17/8
satisfy [3] $22 / 1183 / 19129 / 15$
saw [6] $8 / 348 / 16$ 52/20 66/19
72/23 104/18
saying [3] 89/6 108/10 126/21
says [8] 38/17 68/9 73/5 76/22
106/8 108/19 126/9 134/18
scale [3] 35/16 $119 / 5$ 119/9
scaled [1] 74/16
scenario [1] 90/2
scene [34] 30/19 39/17 45/19 48/25 49/3 50/13 50/16 56/2 60/8 62/9 62/25 65/9 74/25 75/20
79/12 80/4 80/7 81/19 81/24 82/2
83/16 83/25 84/10 87/7 91/19
91/2 1 93/9 105/3 105/4 115/22 118/4 121/6 124/1 125/5
Scenery [1] 37/2
scenes [2] 35/9 40/13
scheduled [2] 26/13 29/24
Schmidt [2] 5/1 6/4
school [1] 70/13
Schuette [2] 5/3 6/5
science [1] 121/1
scientific [1] 24/12
scientifically [1] 24/9
scope [1] 112/5
Scott [1] 5/7
screen [13] 36/12 38/7 43/22
46/22 52/20 57/13 57/16 58/6
58/18 61/10 94/25 95/6 95/7
screens [1] 32/23
search [3] 35/23 35/23 91/22
searchers [1] 92/3
searches [1] $92 / 7$
seasons [1] 80/19
seat [2] $97 / 298 / 17$
seated [5] 4/23 6/8 6/23 25/23 33/13
second [11] $21 / 15$ 30/14 46/16 62/17 64/10 64/11 64/13 69/6 130/14 138/2 138/4
seconds [3] 43/1 43/4 43/6
secrecy [1] 6/18
sections [1] 104/12
seeing [9] 66/21 67/10 73/7 79/15
80/3 91/4 100/18 131/6 132/14
seems [3] 127/3 127/12 133/6
seen [10] 68/21 91/11 101/15
101/21 116/16 116/20 116/25 122/10 129/7 135/23
selected [8] 5/25 7/18 14/18 $15 / 4$
16/12 25/10 25/13 73/10
selecting [1] 81/4
selection [2] 4/19 22/21
send [2] 81/16 136/10
sending [2] 70/23 138/22
sense [9] 9/18 30/17 64/14 74/21
75/1 81/18113/24114/2 123/12
sent [4] 23/8 61/18 92/16 92/22
sentence [1] 135/1
separate [7] 52/19 66/21 67/1
76/18 109/18 123/4 137/10
September [1] 140/15
sequence [1] 66/23
sequenced [1] $8 / 12$
sequestered [1] 26/22
series [2] 131/21 132/8
serious [1] 73/18
seriously [1] 10/23
serve [3] 6/1 7/18 15/4
serves [1] 13/12
service [3] 6/10 6/12 6/15
services [1] 35/15
serving [1] 6/9
set [5] 9/23 31/23 53/16 70/9 90/20
setting [3] 14/10 32/21 73/19
seven [4] 5/14 8/5 36/6 42/12
several [3] 10/11 98/11 98/14
shaded [1] 104/10
shades [1] 82/12
shadow [4] 100/4 100/11 100/16
100/17
shadowing [1] 79/24
shadows [5] 78/10 79/11 79/19
82/6 82/7
shall [1] 133/17
Sharon [2] 5/1 6/4
shifts [1] 17/9
shin [2] 94/19 94/21
shining [1] 78/22
Shirley [1] 7/20
shop [1] 45/9
short [1] 14/13
shorthand [1] 140/10
shortly [1] 27/23
shot [1] $43 / 8$
shots [1] 98/14
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { should [22] } 7 / 3 & 12 / 15 & 27 / 12 & 29 / 4\end{array}$
$32 / 832 / 938 / 1238 / 1653 / 1062 / 7$
64/17 65/16 78/20 78/25 82/6
82/6 88/7 88/8 89/14 126/13

## 131/2 138/4

shouldn't [3] 78/2 119/6 126/2
show [40] $4 / 23$ 10/15 27/13 37/13
45/19 45/20 50/1651/1 51/13 61/12 61/24 68/15 68/17 68/19 68/24 73/3 73/3 73/23 79/8 79/18 79/22 80/4 81/8 83/11 87/8 88/20 88/23 89/1 89/5 101/23 102/5
104/13 108/5 $115 / 22$ 117/12 122/6 129/22 130/1 130/11 130/12
showed [8] 23/6 44/22 63/8 67/6
98/10 99/14 108/14 129/24
showing [14] 8/11 9/10 16/23
50/15 54/17 75/22 79/6 83/10
106/24 127/14 127/20 127/22

## 128/2 132/8

shown [13] 31/11 58/2 79/5 79/11
87/6 89/19 90/15 107/20 115/19
120/11 120/20 126/22 133/3
shows [9] $36 / 1644 / 546 / 2347 / 1$
59/13 100/11 103/10 126/7 130/12 sic [1] 8/22
side [28] 5/12 7/11 49/24 50/9 55/7 57/15 58/18 59/10 60/2 75/13 75/14 75/15 77/877/21
$83 / 288 / 1388 / 2389 / 489 / 7107 / 5$
107/9 107/18 107/20 108/10
108/17 108/20 122/3 122/3
sides [2] 32/23 89/9
siding [1] 47/17
significance [4] 20/24 125/22
127/18 128/4
significant [3] 11/5 12/8 87/16
silver [1] 85/15
similar [4] 67/6 93/8 $125 / 1$ 125/4
similarly [2] 108/20 110/3
simple [1] 114/15
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { simpler [2] } & 30 / 25 \quad 108 / 25\end{array}$
simplest [1] 118/20
simply [22] 27/4 31/17 41/4 73/7
73/24 80/13 83/1 83/7 93/19
96/20 102/4 105/22 107/4 108/8
109/21 110/17114/8118/16
118/21 120/19 126/21 129/17
simulation [5] 123/11 123/12
123/16 123/17 123/22
simulations [3] 120/16 120/18
120/22
since [5] 13/6 34/3 121/2 131/6
132/13
single [1] 88/23
singular [1] 101/22
sir [66] 33/20 34/11 34/21 35/6
$35 / 1435 / 25$ 36/6 36/25 37/12
37/23 39/11 39/13 39/21 40/1
41/8 41/25 42/7 42/17 42/23
44/10 44/16 45/18 49/11 50/23
51/10 51/15 52/2 53/8 53/23
$54 / 1254 / 1654 / 1956 / 2057 / 14$
57/19 58/4 58/14 58/24 59/4
59/24 60/11 62/6 62/13 63/7
63/12 63/15 66/10 67/5 67/24
69/19 70/7 70/18 70/22 71/2 72/9
84/6 84/11 87/4 94/3 96/4 97/6
99/25 105/1 107/3 107/16 110/9
sit [2] 6/24 50/24
Sitting [1] 24/4
situation [1] 100/20
six [1] 42/12
size [2] 80/25 94/12
skeletal [12] 61/2 61/14 61/16 61/19 68/25 92/20 93/24 106/24 112/7112/17113/2 121/21
skeleton [6] 61/11 61/20 92/13
93/10 93/18 94/6
skinned [2] 9/4 9/8
Slaby [2] 4/24 6/3
slide [2] 59/6 105/2
slides [5] 31/10 57/7 88/4 128/24 129/9
slight [1] 114/18
slightly [2] 66/12 69/8
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { slippers [3] } & 101 / 18 & 101 / 25 & 102 / 5\end{array}$
slowed [1] 66/10
slower [2] 66/12 66/19
small [2] 45/10 90/21
smallest [1] 28/21
snowmobile [2] 55/6 55/6
snowmobiles [1] 84/12
software [17] 43/19 47/11 48/8
64/18 74/11 76/3 76/10 76/25
77/18 79/3 79/18 80/17 92/23
95/11 95/19 95/25 110/21
software's [1] 79/22
solid [1] 100/10
solve [1] 134/12
somebody [5] 17/20 73/17 95/19 96/14 113/19
somebody's [1] 103/12
someone [8] 12/5 27/8 71/9 73/11
85/7 90/8 104/14 135/8
something [40] 14/6 14/15 27/12
34/1450/25 55/18 59/15 62/20
71/24 72/17 73/7 75/2 82/11
86/1 5 89/3 89/1 8 90/1 95/13
95/16 95/24 96/1 97/7 99/10
100/21 101/13 104/7 108/25
109/23 $115 / 18$ 117/8 117/9 117/17
122/5 124/7 125/18 127/12 127/16
127/24 130/24 139/1
sometime [2] 63/10 138/25
somewhat [3] 15/12 64/12 83/14
somewhere [3] 32/5 97/22 136/9
son [2] 11/25 19/21
soon [1] 7/14
sorry [9] 31/13 44/9 48/6 54/23
57/13 59/9 91/14 107/22 108/6
sort [3] 88/14 101/13 110/23
sorts [2] 15/20 75/17
sought [1] 9/13
sound [2] 38/22 38/25
south [1] 59/7
southeast [2] 59/25 67/25
southeastern [1] 65/21
space [9] 40/5 40/21 46/12 46/19
70/5 75/23 80/16 93/11 95/13
spacial [2] 48/21 81/22
sparkle [1] 64/20
spatial [5] 56/13 75/7 114/9
114/18 122/6
speak [2] 91/9 98/4
speaking [1] 22/1
special [7] $1 / 12$ 1/14 1/16 4/8 4/12 20/24 129/18
specific [8] 34/5 35/1 45/12 80/2
85/6 94/17 94/24 133/19
specifically [8] 16/7 17/17 19/16
30/20 33/7 82/24 103/24 125/18
specified [1] 133/16
specify[3] $33 / 580 / 1880 / 18$
spectators [1] 86/18
speed [1] 67/2
spell [2] 33/14 39/25
spend [1] 36/2
spending [1] 101/14
spit [2] 77/18 77/18
split [3] 24/7 24/8 25/4
splitting [2] 23/2 23/23
spot [1] 80/15
spots [2] 72/23 73/1
spring [1] 80/20
$\begin{array}{llllll}\operatorname{Sr}[7] & 11 / 16 & 11 / 25 & 13 / 20 & 16 / 1 & 18 / 9\end{array}$
18/19 19/21
ss [1] 140/1
staff [3] 31/21 71/5 71/17
stain [4] 23/1 8 24/6 24/7 25/4
stains [8] 22/7 22/10 22/14 22/15
23/3 23/14 24/20 25/5
stake [2] 12/10 113/10
stand [4] 6/2 6/25 32/19 119/3
standard [3] 40/7 92/21 125/2
standards [1] 118/18
standing [4] 6/24 71/4 115/2 115/3
start [2] 29/4 108/22
started [2] 65/20 67/2
starting [2] 105/5 139/3
state [82] $1 / 11 / 31 / 131 / 151 / 17$
4/2 4/3 4/6 4/9 8/7 10/5 10/21
12/3 13/14 14/9 14/22 17/5 17/13
17/16 18/13 18/22 18/25 19/4
19/14 19/17 20/12 20/15 21/3
state...[54] 21/16 22/4 22/24 28/19 28/24 29/14 29/23 29/25 30/2 30/16 32/19 33/13 33/19 34/1 $34 / 234 / 634 / 735 / 335 / 1136 / 15$ 37/8 37/9 38/16 49/6 52/16 53/15 74/8 74/24 83/8 87/21 88/6 89/12 90/10 95/20 95/23 96/1 97/13 115/12 115/21 117/4 117/11 119/10 120/3 120/12 120/14 121/17 124/16 125/25 129/5 131/3 133/23 134/24 140/1 140/5
State's [9] 8/4 9/16 9/20 9/23 15/6 21/10 21/13 30/10 137/4 stated [1] 68/17
statement [6] 30/11 31/5 31/10
126/3 130/24 132/23
statements [4] 29/2 30/15 103/19 122/11
states [5] 10/1 13/7 13/11 68/18 134/16
station [29] 35/8 39/23 40/2 40/3
40/8 40/11 40/14 41/13 42/16
42/20 42/23 43/5 43/15 43/17
46/7 46/10 46/11 58/16 70/3 70/9
70/25 71/11 76/2 76/3 90/16
90/17 91/12 104/17114/13
statute [2] 133/14 133/16
Steinmetz[1] 6/7
stenographic [1] 140/9
step [5] 10/13 14/10 16/22 43/13 110/8
steps [1] 27/15
STEVEN [22] 1/7 1/22 4/2 4/14 23/7 29/23 30/5 52/1 57/4 57/4 57/8 57/21 60/2 63/4 66/14 67/17 67/18 68/14 68/18 110/6 134/22 137/3
stick [1] 76/17
Stienmetz [1] 5/6
still [10] 6/8 25/5 38/6 49/14 62/16 62/16 64/24 67/6 71/15 122/16
stipulate [1] 138/2
stipulated [1] 131/1
Stonebraker [1] 5/2
stop [4] 51/23 103/8 105/7 110/22
stopped [1] 111/2
stops [1] 123/24
storage [1] 45/8
stores [1] 43/15
story [1] 128/11
straight [4] 44/6 44/7 45/11 48/19
STRANG [27] $1 / 183 / 124 / 177 / 25$
10/10 30/6 30/22 38/11 51/23
63/20 69/23 72/2 98/7 98/19
108/6 112/2 121/10 122/16 123/2
129/16 129/21 131/20 132/25
133/7 136/1 136/3 138/19
Strang's [2] 118/13 121/22
strap [1] 102/19
stress [1] 26/18
stricter [1] 20/5
strike [8] 5/13 8/5 8/7 9/15 9/24
10/24 18/24 20/13
strikes [7] 1/4 3/4 5/21 5/23 8/5 10/17 14/19
striking [4] 9/12 16/19 20/9 86/5 strong [1] 78/22
strongly [1] 19/19
struck [5] 8/14 12/15 13/10 14/21 126/16

Structural [2] 92/18 92/19
strung [1] 41/14
stuff [1] 86/2
stunning [1] 10/9
subject [2] 14/16 27/21
submit [1] 133/22
submitting [2] 138/14 138/18
substantial [1] 29/11
substantive [2] 29/16 137/1
substrate [1] 24/22
such [5] $45 / 1988 / 1190 / 11116 / 21$
129/25
sufficient [5] 12/9 16/16 22/5 22/6 23/13
suggest [5] 12/9 19/20 20/13 80/6 134/1
suggested [1] 32/21
suggesting[5] 10/19 75/14 80/15 82/9 89/13
suggestions [1] 134/9
Suite [1] 136/8
summer [1] 80/20
sun [8] 78/22 79/21 79/23 79/25
80/6 81/3 82/4 82/5
Sunday [3] 134/7 138/8 138/20
supervision [2] 49/18 107/13
supplement [1] 121/10
supplied [1] 73/8
support [1] 18/20
suppose [3] 73/19 77/17 81/12
supposed [6] 72/13 72/15 72/16
72/25 96/18 137/3
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Supreme [5] } & 13 / 7 & 13 / 11 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 9\end{array}$ 16/10
surface [1] 70/24
surfaces [1] 47/4
surrounding [4] 36/18 57/6 63/5
115/14
surveyed [1] 104/16
suspect [5] 11/11 17/24 21/18
126/23 133/1
Suzuki [3] 55/3 55/7 84/13
switches [1] 102/11
sworn [2] 25/25 33/11
sympathetic [1] 21/1
system [5] 38/22 38/25 39/4 40/7 49/22
table [7] 33/3 33/6 85/14 85/16 85/19 85/22 $114 / 9$
take [26] 7/10 14/12 25/11 27/14
28/16 36/3 47/11 47/14 47/21
49/1 49/7 54/25 58/10 64/7 66/25
70/10 70/17 75/12 76/8 81/14
98/14 110/3 110/15 111/12 114/8 117/24
taken [29] 7/13 7/22 14/25 36/15
37/7 39/22 41/7 42/15 42/17 45/10 52/4 52/10 68/8 78/16 84/23 84/25 85/9 91/1 91/4 94/14 97/13 98/20 99/14 111/23 113/21 115/21 124/18 135/12 140/9
takes [2] 117/13 132/17
taking [9] 23/9 40/12 40/15 40/21
41/18 42/9 63/1 67/11 123/13
talk [13] 38/25 43/14 50/14 51/6 51/951/1251/13 56/21 58/1 62/10 112/21 120/2 121/24
talked [4] 23/2 65/23 105/24
119/19
talking [16] 37/14 39/2 44/15
56/15 79/2 79/12 101/25 119/13

120/8 120/10 120/11 120/18
120/18 120/25 121/12 131/25
talks [1] 39/2
tall [6] 71/12 71/13 94/11 94/12 97/25 138/21
Tami [1] 5/4
tape [10] 41/4 41/10 41/15 96/7
96/8 96/15 96/18 96/23 103/13 125/10
task [1] 60/14
teach [1] 96/2
team [1] 36/7
technical [3] 34/8 69/14 90/9
technically [2] 108/21 109/3
technology [3] 35/8 46/1 46/3
television [1] 27/5
tell [31] 34/19 36/13 37/5 39/20 40/15 43/12 43/24 46/22 50/6 50/9 53/7 54/13 55/1 56/23 59/2
59/23 60/23 62/14 63/16 66/9 71/11 71/12 73/13 76/24 77/2 77/19 85/17 96/20 97/3 98/12 106/4
telling [2] 39/19 73/12
Temme [2] 4/25 6/3
tends [1] 123/25
tent [3] 129/14 130/12 130/12
tentatively [2] 15/3 15/4
Teresa [1] 58/9
term [8] 34/12 74/7 74/9 74/10
74/16 74/22 93/6 101/3
terms [3] 41/9 57/11 75/11
Terri [2] 4/24 6/3
Tesheneck [3] 2/1 140/4 140/19
test [9] 14/5 17/2 17/7 19/7 20/3
20/6 22/3 22/3 23/19
tested [4] 22/8 22/11 22/15 22/16
tester [1] 23/17
testified [2] 33/12 119/2
testifies [1] 127/11
testify [3] 48/13 48/15 107/25
testifying [1] 127/15
testimony [7] 28/24 29/9 36/11
50/22 56/19 111/15 122/22
testing [4] 22/6 23/6 24/3 24/21
text [9] 52/22 53/2 66/2 66/5 68/7
68/17 107/14 108/2 108/19
textural [1] 110/16
texture [13] 47/9 47/12 48/3 48/9
61/22 64/21 72/14 73/22 74/2
81/11 93/13 100/8 100/24
textures [6] 47/3 47/7 47/20 47/24
61/1779/9
thank [17] 6/11 8/1 10/8 14/24
28/4 32/18 $41 / 2544 / 1269 / 21$
69/24 70/2 108/12 118/12 131/16 132/6 132/16 139/13
Thanks [1] 111/7
their [17] 4/3 5/20 14/19 20/18
30/1 33/3 35/23 50/21 68/5 80/22
80/25 83/9 90/5 92/17 92/17 121/15 121/16
them were [1] 23/5
themselves [4] 12/6 72/11 104/23 105/20
theory [2] 135/8 137/5
there's [42] 9/9 13/19 17/1 28/17 29/1 5 49/23 52/22 55/3 55/6 55/10 55/10 55/11 66/22 66/23 69/8 72/19 81/1 82/14 85/14 87/15 87/25 99/22 108/17 109/20 110/24 113/18 114/24 115/8 116/21 119/23 122/9 123/10 126/8
there's... [9] 126/17 126/23 127/23 128/5 128/17 129/17 132/2 132/8 138/21
thereafter [1] 140/11
therefore [4] 64/13 64/20 99/9 123/6
therefrom [1] 46/8
these [53] 24/20 27/3 36/5 36/19 42/9 49/7 50/10 50/17 51/4 53/8 53/10 53/11 53/15 59/22 61/12 61/14 65/4 66/17 66/20 67/9 67/16 69/15 74/13 79/8 79/13 79/13 80/13 81/21 82/7 82/10 83/13 84/17 88/4 90/18 97/8 98/10 98/15 103/8 103/15 104/19 107/12 113/25 115/9 118/16 119/7 119/24 120/14 120/15 121/11 121/16 122/13 122/20 128/17
thing [14] 21/25 28/9 50/23 74/19 81/4 92/15 102/3 111/3 113/23 114/22 119/13 126/20 127/19 134/13
things [19] 44/14 66/4 67/9 75/22 83/19 83/20 84/23 85/23 87/11 87/19 89/2 103/10 114/21 116/25 122/18 123/18 123/20 128/22 135/18
think[68] 9/9 9/14 11/7 11/9 13/13 15/13 15/15 15/16 22/13 22/21 23/25 24/11 24/17 27/10 28/15 38/14 38/24 39/3 39/5 39/1 8 49/14 52/7 53/5 62/18 63/13 70/12 73/1 89/4 94/2 94/2 98/2 100/22 105/24 106/22 110/18 110/20 112/1 112/4 112/6 112/10 112/10 112/16 112/19 114/4 117/11 118/4 119/23 119/24 124/6 125/2 131/2 131/11 131/20 132/4 134/12 134/16 134/18 134/19 135/11 135/15 136/1 136/7 136/10 136/20 137/20 137/23 138/17 139/8
thinks [1] 136/1
thinner [2] 105/24 106/4
third [2] 19/6 95/8
THOMAS [1] 1/14
Thorne [2] 5/1 6/4
thorough [1] 20/5
those [75] 6/8 6/23 7/17 8/3 8/16
8/24 13/2 14/21 23/5 23/7 23/9
26/14 27/14 31/11 38/4 42/10 $42 / 12$ 47/7 50/20 53/8 54/2 54/3 54/7 54/13 56/13 57/25 57/25 62/1 62/16 62/20 65/22 68/4 68/5 68/11 68/13 68/21 68/22 73/6 75/17 75/19 76/9 76/19 76/21 $77 / 482 / 1382 / 1783 / 187 / 488 / 20$ 90/24 90/25 92/11 97/14 98/17 98/23 99/2 99/11 99/15 101/21 103/18 104/18 105/20 107/4 107/6 109/18 $110 / 15119 / 19$ 120/23 121/24 122/24 131/15 133/4 133/4 134/5 135/23
though [3] 31/15 49/11 113/11 thought [5] 10/23 11/13 72/22 104/5 131/25
thousand [1] 41/15
three [34] 12/23 14/10 23/5 23/7 32/9 35/16 38/14 41/6 43/1 43/5 $46 / 847 / 147 / 447 / 1848 / 1149 / 1$ 49/21 56/4 56/8 56/15 57/1 67/1
$74 / 674 / 1576 / 976 / 976 / 1376 / 18$
76/20 77/3 77/4 93/6 95/3 95/13
threshold [1] 17/9
through [15] 42/16 43/23 53/4
62/20 62/24 63/3 65/18 69/2 70/5
79/17 100/19 103/7 112/7 118/3
134/14
throughout [1] 88/3
tilt [1] 78/21
TIM [3] 3/10 32/19 33/8
time [45] 4/1 4/21 5/24 6/22 6/25
7/17 15/1 18/13 26/4 26/4 27/20
29/22 36/2 38/2 38/13 39/3 42/4
$42 / 842 / 1454 / 4$ 70/24 80/1 80/2
83/4 83/21 83/25 85/9 87/24 88/2
91/7 91/19 99/3 101/14 108/24
110/16 111/12 111/19111/24
120/5 125/5 125/14 127/6 132/14
132/21 138/19
times [3] 91/2 91/6 91/13
TIMOTHY [3] 33/10 33/15 33/20
tipping [1] 120/4
tire [2] 97/3 115/18
today [14] 22/1 26/17 27/21 30/11
33/4 36/11 46/5 49/14 68/7
115/17 132/18 133/2 133/9 139/15
together [2] 51/22 136/22
told [6] 87/7 88/12 101/6 102/4
104/14 121/18
Tom [2] 4/9 30/3
took [9] 35/22 39/19 58/12 72/23
86/22 91/3 103/4 103/16 106/3
tool [3] 55/10 77/10 77/16
tools [2] 55/9 77/1
top [7] 66/11 76/5 76/18 85/22
104/3 105/25 117/16
topic [1] 116/4
Total [28] 35/8 39/22 40/2 40/3
40/8 40/11 40/14 41/13 42/16
42/19 42/23 43/5 43/15 43/17
46/7 46/10 46/11 58/16 70/3 70/9
70/25 71/11 76/1 76/3 90/16
91/12 104/17114/13
totality [2] 20/16 20/22
tour [2] 110/22 116/12
toward [1] 112/13
towards [2] 33/18 40/24
toy [1] 62/19
track [1] 115/5
tractor [1] 84/9
traditional [1] 113/24
trailer [10] 54/9 59/9 71/25 77/9
77/21 96/4 115/14115/14118/1
118/7
trainer [1] 95/24
training [4] 34/15 34/23 35/1 74/18
transcribed [1] 140/11
transcript [4] 1/25 13/25 140/8 140/12
transcription [1] 140/11
transportation [2] 26/11 27/22
tree [5] 75/16 80/15 80/22 81/8
81/11
trees [9] 78/11 79/13 79/14 79/20 80/10 80/13 80/1 8 80/22 80/24
tremendous [1] 128/7
trial [19] $1 / 4$ 5/17 26/12 26/20
26/22 26/25 27/4 27/17 27/19
43/24 50/1 58/20 $119 / 21$ 121/7
125/7 127/8 133/5 133/11 133/20
trials [1] $45 / 16$
tried [1] 97/9
trier [6] $48 / 1755 / 1755 / 25$ 64/25
119/25 121/8
triers [1] 45/16
trooper [14] 3/10 33/10 33/25 34/2
36/16 42/14 44/13 55/15 60/6
97/14 98/13 98/25 112/24 133/1
trouble [1] 109/24
troublesome [1] 134/19
truck [2] 48/1 48/2
true [6] 20/19 69/16 75/24 107/2 113/25 140/12
truss [9] 103/25 104/15 $117 / 10$ 117/10117/13 123/19 127/16 127/21 128/1
trusses [2] 104/12 122/19
trusted [2] 93/21 93/21
truthful [4] 12/14 17/17 19/23 19/24
try [9] $12 / 16$ 29/13 67/1 70/7
74/24 79/16 81/13 136/19 136/19
trying [6] 11/23 53/13 71/10 73/13
74/4 129/8
turn [1] 82/4
turned [5] 51/20 54/20 79/21 80/1 82/5
turning [2] 40/23 81/3
turns [1] 11/15
TV [1] 27/13
two [28] 8/24 12/22 17/13 25/21
29/10 32/8 40/25 43/14 44/1 44/5 45/14 45/18 46/2 46/5 48/16 48/18 52/19 57/25 71/1 78/11 83/9 84/12 87/13 89/9 95/5
129/12 131/12 132/17
two-man [1] 71/1
type [11] 27/15 38/20 47/7 48/21
61/17 73/4 79/14 98/25 126/20 126/22 127/19
types [1] 80/18
typical [2] 49/24 50/9
typically [4] 40/8 89/16 89/21 90/10
U
ultimate [1] 24/10
ultimately [5] 9/3 9/12 35/15
36/23 69/1
unbalance [1] 122/20
uncertain [1] 11/6
unconverted [1] 121/3
under [16] 8/7 9/25 12/12 19/12
20/15 20/19 22/9 49/17 60/11 61/6 96/8 107/13 124/25 134/14 134/14 137/1
underlying [1] 31/8
underneath [4] 38/18 52/11 70/10 79/6
understand [20] 17/14 40/9 44/15
45/17 45/25 51/3 58/25 70/3 $81 / 15$ 83/5 83/23 85/10 85/13 101/12 102/15 114/17 125/11 131/17 138/24 138/24
understanding [14] 22/4 23/12
24/17 37/22 49/3 58/21 58/24
65/11 67/24 87/10 108/16112/23 133/21 139/1
understands [2] 36/19 115/25
understood [2] 74/22 114/14
unfair [2] 117/20 122/10
unfolds [1] 133/5
Ungrodt [1] 4/25
unhelpful [1] 124/14
uninitiated [1] 86/22
unit [5] 34/8 40/12 90/9 92/18 92/20
United [3] 10/1 13/7 $13 / 11$ unknown [1] 107/17
unlabeled [7] 52/13 52/20 53/11
53/17 92/14 109/10 112/13
unless [4] 10/18 27/7 85/8 127/6
unreasonable [2] 109/5 109/6 unrendered [3] 47/1 47/2 79/4 unreversed [1] 137/17
until [4] 5/22 26/20 129/14 133/3
untruthfulness[1] 21/18
unusual [1] 127/7
up [31] 19/18 19/18 23/16 27/11 29/13 31/22 32/21 37/13 38/12
39/4 43/22 53/6 59/6 66/6 67/2
70/9 79/14 83/15 83/15 89/22
90/21 91/17 97/21 104/5 106/12
106/23 111/2 113/17116/25 127/8 128/22
upfront [1] 137/21
upon [4] 12/13 24/22 42/19 125/9 upper [1] 44/23 ups [1] 61/24
us [48] 11/21 12/7 12/9 12/25 25/1 $32 / 234 / 2036 / 1337 / 537 / 13$ 37/13 39/19 39/20 39/25 40/15
42/25 44/22 46/14 46/18 46/22
47/1 1 48/20 49/10 53/7 55/1
56/23 57/22 59/2 59/18 60/23
62/14 63/16 67/6 75/22 78/3 81/17 94/5 94/5 96/20 97/3 100/9 100/12 101/1 101/3 101/6 102/6 109/7 111/19
use [36] 8/4 9/23 30/9 31/12 33/3
35/3 35/4 35/7 42/16 42/20 44/13 $45 / 1850 / 150 / 2053 / 15$ 55/13
74/7 74/9 76/9 77/17 90/14 94/25 95/21 98/18 98/18 99/9 99/24 104/17 107/6 108/25 110/23
122/12 128/23 129/5 130/23 136/9
used [13] $21 / 17$ 30/15 40/8 43/19
45/15 45/24 69/1 74/10 74/12
82/22 98/21 118/10 126/2
useful [3] 75/21 94/24 127/13
using [11] 27/11 31/4 31/9 39/22
66/1 74/12 77/13 91/8 105/2
108/10 112/19
usually [1] 88/21
utilize [2] $40 / 11$ 98/12
utilized [3] 67/1 73/25 98/16

## V

valid [1] 18/23
value [7] 59/12 65/25 89/4 104/20
105/15 118/23 125/10
van [2] 58/2 58/14
variables [3] 126/12 131/13 131/15
various [10] 15/20 52/6 52/23 53/2
55/9 55/12 61/3 61/19 77/1 79/9
vary [2] 24/21 80/22
vehicle [8] 24/4 25/5 55/4 58/5
58/9 97/2 98/17 130/21
vehicles [2] 47/5 92/4
venire [1] 10/18
verbally [1] 50/24
verdicts [2] 5/19 26/20
verify [3] 11/6 11/23 12/16
vernacular [1] 9/9
version [13] 37/16 63/18 63/20
64/9 64/10 66/7 67/16 79/6 96/12

103/2 103/6 104/1 133/1
versions [3] 53/11 53/11 109/16
versus [1] 48/16
vertically [1] 70/20
very [32] $4 / 18$ 10/10 $12 / 5$ 14/6
24/16 25/15 31/22 32/3 38/10
40/1 5 41/24 42/24 45/18 50/9
54/25 67/22 70/2 77/10 80/23
86/21 90/20 91/25 101/18 106/20
106/21 108/21 114/22 124/2
124/10 131/16 132/6 132/16
vessel [1] 108/17
vial [3] $22 / 5$ 23/2 23/23
video [3] 113/17 113/24 118/2
videotape [3] 124/18 124/23 125/8
view [12] 44/6 57/12 77/14 77/15
79/10 97/20 98/4 99/11 116/11
117/14 122/4 123/8
viewed [3] 49/9 69/10 126/15
viewpoint [1] 56/13
views [1] 106/24
Virginia [1] 61/18
virtual [3] 72/22 110/22 116/12
visual [1] 75/17
visualize [2] 48/24 56/2
vitae [2] 34/10 34/22
vital [2] 27/9 128/9
vocabulary [1] 113/7
voir [3] 15/20 15/25 27/11
volume [2] 31/24 32/6
W
wait [1] 134/23
walk [2] 63/3 118/3
wall [14] 100/2 100/3 100/4 100/8 100/10 100/11 100/13 100/13 100/18 100/25 100/25 102/1 102/5 102/7
walls [1] 55/9
want [22] 6/11 21/25 22/12 24/15 24/24 26/17 39/2 45/1 62/18 70/3 82/10 89/22 101/2 111/15 111/19 113/19 113/20 115/15 125/24 134/13 136/13 137/9
wanted [11] 9/14 11/6 12/16 31/17 31/25 61/1 77/12 77/12 89/19 97/19 97/25
wants [2] 117/4 131/3
Wardman [2] 5/6 6/7
warrant [1] 87/24
warrants [2] 35/23 91/22
wash [1] 112/20
wasn't [5] 67/4 84/23 93/14 99/2 126/16
waste [1] 106/18
watch [2] 26/24 27/5
watching [1] 27/13
watering [1] 113/20
way [20] $25 / 25 \quad 36 / 23 \quad 48 / 5 \quad 55 / 22$
58/7 59/22 64/18 69/12 69/13
79/10 86/23 90/7 92/8 99/19
$\begin{array}{lllll}114 / 14 & 115 / 8 & 122 / 5 & 123 / 21 & 128 / 6\end{array}$ 136/25
ways [1] 88/4
we [245]
we'll [15] 14/13 29/18 38/23 39/6 66/15 66/15 88/21 111/12 112/2 115/10 122/16 122/24 137/12 138/13 139/10
we're [57] 14/15 30/7 31/18 36/13
38/6 39/8 43/24 46/4 48/19 50/3
$51 / 1451 / 1651 / 2553 / 2056 / 23$
58/1 59/2 59/7 59/17 59/23 60/2

61/12 62/22 62/23 65/10 65/22
66/20 67/7 67/10 67/12 67/14
67/24 67/25 68/1 68/13 68/15
68/23 68/24 95/5 100/5 100/18 111/24 112/10112/13115/5 115/16 116/3118/18120/7120/10 120/18 120/25 121/12 121/18 131/25 139/3 139/14
we've [1] 97/21
week [8] 5/10 63/9 64/9 66/3
66/19 69/10 115/22 132/25
week's [1] 67/16
weekend [2] 133/25 134/6
weight [1] 118/15
welder [3] $55 / 11106 / 13106 / 19$ went [3] 15/16 71/24 86/6
weren't [6] $11 / 184 / 2585 / 285 / 4$ 87/6 87/7
west [1] 45/7
what's [10] 34/18 37/4 37/10 39/5 48/20 52/11 54/17 54/21 92/22 114/1
whatever [10] 16/11 22/4 23/17
24/23 41/22 52/16 93/2 112/15 116/15 127/10
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. We are here this morning -- or this afternoon to hear the defendant's motion for a new trial. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good afternoon, Judge, the State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz, Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon, Assistant District Attorney Norm Gahn, appearing as special prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Steven Avery is present in person; he is in custody. Jerome Buting and Dean Strang appear on his behalf.

THE COURT: All right. I will indicate for the record I have received and reviewed the defendant's written motion for a new trial with arguments that consist of 39 pages. I also received the State's response to defendant's motion for new trial, specifically addressing issue number one.

I have read, more than once, each of those documents. But if either party desires to supplement the written argument with anything additional today, I will give the parties an opportunity to do so. Mr. Strang, on behalf of
the defendant, since it's your motion.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Well, I'm happy to do that. I think probably since the briefing on our side is reasonably lengthy and the arguments many, it would be, in all likelihood, more helpful to the Court if $I$ responded to questions, or if there's an area that the Court wants me to address, I'm happy to do that.

THE COURT: Well, from your perspective, I guess I was looking primarily at anything you might want to say in response to the submission I received from the State yesterday.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Sure. The State and I are agreed on the basic rule in Wisconsin in a criminal case, which is that inconsistent verdicts alone don't require, in and of themselves, a new trial in a criminal case.

It's a very different rule in a civil case, of course, and that -- that's troubling just considering the -- the interests at stake in civil and criminal cases, why the law would be more tolerant of -- of inconsistent verdicts in the criminal setting with liberty at stake, than in the civil with a shifting of money or an allocation of damages for loss being at stake.

But the rule is as it is. I have tried to explain here why the verdicts necessarily are inconsistent. And the State, I think, in arguing there is no necessary inconsistency, misses the fact that the testimony, which was undisputed, in the end, about bullet holes to the skull, two particular areas of the head, either of which the State's testimony suggested would have been fatal, itself was a disfigurement for a mutilation of a corpse, for purposes of the first element of the mutilating a corpse charge.

So it won't do here to say that a jury might have found that Mr. Avery killed Ms Halbach, but not been persuaded, beyond a reasonable doubt, that he burned her body. The burning wouldn't have been necessary to establish mutilation of a corpse.

Beyond that, I think there is a necessary inconsistency and that the challenge $I$ offer to the Court is to rethink the a priori assumption that Court's seem to apply that it's the acquittal that's not warranted under law, when that happens, rather than the conviction.

At least behind the veil, so to speak, or without knowing more, there would be no reason
to go into a case with an assumption that a jury would nullify in the defendant's favor, rather than in the State's favor. It seems to me those two possibilities are in equipoise and there's no reason, no good justification, then, for allowing inconsistent verdicts to stand on the unproven and, I think, illogical assumption that the defendant has gotten the benefit of the inconsistent verdict, rather than the State.

Here, I thought it made sense to address another possible reason justifying the difference in treatment between civil cases, where there is very little tolerance for inconsistent verdicts, and criminal cases where there is much greater tolerance for them.

Addressing a point on the criminal side that it seems to me could augur in favor of the rule, as it stands, and the State's position here, which is the State, because of the double jeopardy clause, arguably would bear all the burden of a retrial if one were granted for inconsistent verdicts.

The defendant could stand on his acquittal and demand a retrial, only on the count of conviction, thereby putting the State in a
position where it couldn't get the benefit of multiple counts. And I, you know, whatever may -- may be said in support of the double jeopardy bar of retrial, that strikes me as having some logical appeal, in terms of tolerating the inconsistent verdict, rather than prejudicing the State on a retrial in that fashion.

And so what Steven Avery has offered to do is to waive jeopardy, which indeed is waivable under the Fifth Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and correlative provision of the Wisconsin Constitution to waive jeopardy as to the mutilating a corpse count, so that both he and the State are back at square one, or in equivalent positions on a retrial.

Moreover, the inconsistent verdict problem doesn't go to the third count here, felon in possession of a firearm at all. On that basis, we have not sought to set aside the guilty verdict on the felon in possession count. So the parties can be put back where they were, ex ante here, by virtue of Mr. Avery's willingness to waive jeopardy on a grant of a new trial on the
homicide, to waive jeopardy on the mutilating a corpse count so that that may be retried in tandem.

That's, I think, the thrust of our argument. It rests, in the end, on due process and fairness and not treating a criminal defendant disadvantageously as compared to a civil party, also, again, challenging what is to me a logically unsupportable a priori assumption that in a case of inconsistent verdicts, it's always the defendant who's gotten the benefit of the jury's compromise.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kratz.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, I do ask the Court consider our written position. It's clear, at least to the prosecution, that the State of Wisconsin law is that this Court is not permitted, by the theory of inconsistent verdicts, to set aside this -- this verdict and would ask the Court follow existing Wisconsin case law and not make new law, or not upset the precedential value that Mr. Strang asked this Court to do. And on that issue, then, Judge, I would ask the Court adopt our position and deny the motion. That's all. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Strang,
anything else?
ATTORNEY STRANG: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: The Court will address each of the bases raised by the defense in its motion for new trial. The first one more extensively than the others, because the others have already been the subject of prior Court rulings.

On the issue of inconsistent verdicts, I will note, first, that the Court has not been able to locate, and I don't believe I have been cited to any reported Wisconsin criminal case in which a conviction has been reversed because of verdicts that were alleged to be inconsistent. I do agree with the State that the leading Wisconsin case on the issue appears to be State vs. Thomas, a Court of Appeals case and what appears to be the most recent authority on the subject.

I will note at the outset, that's a case that, in the Court's opinion, provides a more extreme example, if you will, of verdicts that were inconsistent because the charge on which the defendant in that case was found not guilty was armed robbery. And that charge was a predicate for the charge in which the defendant was
convicted; specifically, intimidation of a victim.

The charge of intimidation of a victim required, as one of its elements, that the defendant in the case had committed a crime. And the only crime that was really the subject of the testimony or argument was the armed robbery charge on which the defendant was acquitted.

The following excerpts from that opinion, in the Court's mind, are significant here. The Court ruled in Thomas that juries have always had the inherent and fundamental power to return a verdict of not guilty, irrespective of the evidence.

The Court went on to hold that the jury here was instructed, that if it was satisfied that the State had proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, all of the elements of armed robbery, it should find the defendant guilty of armed robbery. But that if it was not so satisfied, then it must find the defendant not guilty of armed robbery. This distinction between must and should in criminal law is long standing in American jurisprudence.

The Court went on to hold, the fact that
a not guilty verdict is inconsistent with another verdict finding the defendant guilty, does not require, or by itself permit, reversal of a judgment entered on the finding of guilt, since there is no way of knowing whether the inconsistency was the result of leniency, mistake, or compromise.

The defense candidly and I think properly, in its argument, acknowledges that the State of Wisconsin law is such that it is difficult, if not impossible, to have a verdict on a particular count reversed on the basis that it's inconsistent. I, as a circuit judge, do not have the power to second guess the law as it has developed in this case in the Wisconsin Supreme Court and the Courts of Appeal. These arguments are probably more properly addressed to the Court of Appeals should this matter be appealed.

I would note, finally, that the defense in this case did introduce independent evidence challenging the State's contention of the burn site location. And it's possible that the jury could have doubts on that particular charge, which it did not have on the homicide charge.

Verdicts are not necessarily entirely
consistent or entirely inconsistent. And it appears to the Court that the verdicts in this case, to the extent there is a sense of degree, or at least is not inconsistent, as the verdicts were in the Thomas case.

But in conclusion, I do not believe there is a basis, in Wisconsin law, to question the jury's verdict on a homicide charge, on the basis of inconsistency with the verdict on the mutilation charge.

The defense in this case sets forth other reasons why the Court should consider granting a new trial. The next one in order deals with the three counts which were -- well, two of which were dismissed before the trial started, and one of which the Court dismissed before the case went to the jury. The Court has already addressed that argument in prior rulings, specifically, elements of the argument that is made in the brief, and I'm not going to do so in detail here.

I would note that in this pleading and in prior pleadings, there was a reference to the statements of Mr. Dassey as being inadmissible. In the Court's mind, I have always viewed them as
being potentially inadmissible, but not necessarily inadmissible. Mr. Dassey was never offered as a witness.

We don't know if he would have asserted a right to his Fifth Amendment right to remain silent, whether there would have been an order for him to -- if the State had requested it, how the Court would have ruled. And I don't think, as part of the defense argument, that the Court would agree that the State never had any admissible evidence to proceed on those counts, because it was a bit early in the game to characterize any statements Mr. Dassey may have made as inadmissible.

The defense also reiterates its disagreement with the Court's decision not to strike a juror for cause during the course of the trial; specifically, a juror who some six or seven years earlier had sat in as a juror in a civil case in which one of the State's witnesses, Detective David Remiker, was a plaintiff.

The Court has previously ruled, or did rule during the course of the trial, that there was not sufficient grounds to strike that juror for cause. The Court stands by that ruling. I
would note, in addition, today, that the juror in question was removed from the jury -- from the jury as one of the alternates who did not deliberate. So the juror in question did not actually deliberate on the verdicts.

I also note, in reference to the two cases relied on by the defense in the argument, that there are significant factual differences between those cases and the juror in this case.

The first case cited by the defendant was State vs. Delgado. In that case, the juror in question was asked, as were other jurors on voir dire, whether they had any history or personal experience with sexual assaults. The juror did not answer the question at the time, but disclosed during deliberations that the juror, in fact, had been a sexual assault victim herself. And the juror's statement during the deliberations demonstrated that her history did affect her service as a juror in that case.

In this case, there is no indication, that the Court can see, that the juror was not candid during voir dire. I went back and read her written questionnaire in which she did disclose that she was, in fact, a juror in a
civil case some five to six years earlier. She did not name the parties in that case. I don't believe she remembered who -- what those names were. She was not asked during oral examination further details about the case.

She, in the Court's mind, candidly disclosed to the Court, without being invited to do so, during the course of the trial, that once she saw Mr. Remiker on the stand, she recognized him as the plaintiff in the case in which she had deliberated. She indicated she did not have a recollection as to whether or not he testified in that case. And $I$ saw no reason, and continue to see no reason, to doubt her recollection in that regard. It's not unusual to forget, after six or seven years, what the details were of a particular case, even if you sat on it as a juror.

The Court also believes that the facts in this case are distinguishable in a number of ways from the Faucher case, a second case cited by the defense. The juror in that case indicated that the juror recognized one of the witnesses as a former next door neighbor. And the juror indicated that in her opinion the witness was a
girl of integrity who wouldn't lie.
That's significantly different from this case where the only contact between the juror and Detective Remiker was the exposure of Detective Remiker to the juror in the course of a trial some six or seven years earlier. And the juror had no opinion as to Mr. Remiker's credibility because the juror could not even remember if Mr. Remiker had testified in the case. So I think there's significant differences between this case and the case in which jurors were ruled to have been jurors who should have been stricken in the past.

The next item raised by the defendant is the Court's denial of the defendant's Batsen Challenge to a minority juror who was stricken by the State. The Court is not going to elaborate on its prior decision sustaining that strike. As the Court noted at the time, and as the defense points out, the fact that the defendant in this case is not himself a member of a minority group did not prevent him from raising the Batsen challenge. But the Court finds that under the rule of Batsen, the decision to strike the juror was not improper.

The defense also argues that the Court erred in excluding the testimony of Manitowoc County Coroner, Debra Kakatsch. The Court excluded the testimony during the course of the trial under Section 904.03 because the Court determined that the probative value of the offered testimony was significantly outweighed by a potential confusion of the issues and considerations of undue delay and waste of time. To elaborate on the Court's earlier decision, at the outset of the investigation of this case, once the police became involved, responsibility for the investigation of the case was turned over by the Manitowoc County District Attorney to the Calumet County District Attorney. And the Wisconsin Department of Criminal Investigation was brought in almost immediately. The decision was made because of Mr. Avery's pending lawsuit against Manitowoc County. And I believe it's important to keep in mind that while it was the actions of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department that no doubt formed the basis of the lawsuit, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department is not an independent entity that was the subject of the
suit, it's Manitowoc County. And Coroner Kakatsch was also an employee of Manitowoc County.

While it's true that representatives of the sheriff's department participated in the investigation, the supervisory role was ceded to Calumet County and the State of Wisconsin. And Coroner Kakatsch would have had a supervisory role had she participated.

More significantly and directly involved, as far as her testimony would have gone, she could only offer testimony of what she would have done had authority not been turned over to Calumet County and the State. She had no significantly relevant testimony or probative evidence to offer on factual matters related to the crime.

The Court gave the defense more than adequate opportunity to highlight the motives that members of the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department conceivably could have had against the defendant. In the Court's judgment, it would have been a waste of time to make a five week trial even longer by allowing the testimony of what a witness might have done had the witness
participated in the investigation.
The Court does acknowledge that the defendant certainly had a right to introduce evidence critical of the State's handling of the forensic cremains evidence in this case. The defendant was given adequate opportunity to do so in the form of cross-examination of the State's witnesses and the testimony of Dr. Scott Fairgrieve, its own witness.

That evidence was directly probative and more than sufficient to address this particular part of the defense case. The Court concludes that Coroner Kakatsch had no particular expertise that would have added anything to the defense argument.

The defendant also argues that the Court erred in allowing Mark LeBeau's testimony. He was the FBI expert that testified about EDTA test results. Again, this issue was thoroughly addressed during the trial. I'm not going to repeat everything again. But given the learning curve, if you will, of the Court, with respect to EDTA evidence, both before the trial and during the course of the trial, $I$ would make the following observations:

The Court is not being critical of either party for not conducting EDTA tests earlier. Each party was free to make whatever strategic decision it wished to make on this point, that is, to conduct EDTA testing or not testing.

With respect to the scientific state of EDTA testing itself, the Court, based on the testimony at the trial, and the pre-trial briefs that were submitted by the parties earlier, comes to the following conclusions:

At least at this point there is no one standardized procedure for testing the presence of EDTA in blood samples, primarily because of a lack of demand for such testing.

The Court also concludes, however, that testing for the presence or absence of EDTA appears to be scientifically possible. Certainly the FBI expert, Mr. LeBeau, who testified, believes it is.

And as the Court understood the testimony of defense witness, Janine Arvizu, while she was critical of some of the methods employed by the FBI and the conclusions that were drawn from the methods employed, I do not recall
anything in her testimony to suggest that EDTA is something that cannot be measured in blood samples with proper testing protocols.

While it's true that the FBI at this point may have more experience in this area than private labs, the Court does not believe there is anything special about the FBI's experience or equipment that would make the FBI uniquely qualified to test for EDTA. In fact, Ms Arvizu's testimony suggested that a private lab may well have utilized alternative procedures to do a better job.

Finally, I would note that the defense has argued alternatively during the latter stages of the pre-trial proceedings and the trial itself, either that EDTA testing is unavailable or unreliable, but, then, at the same time, argued that the Court should have continued the trial in this case to permit the defendant to conduct EDTA testing.

Given the defense experts criticism of the methods employed by the FBI, the Court believes that the defendant could just as easily have conducted EDTA testing before the trial as at this time. The decision not to test, the

Court believes, was the defendant's decision and cannot form the basis of an argument for a new trial at this point.

Finally, the defense alleged that there were other errors committed by the Court, including rulings on the searches, the admissibility of the bullet on which the victim's DNA was found and other motions that the Court ruled on during the course of these proceedings. In all likelihood, many of the Court's rulings may be the subject of challenge in an appeal of this matter, but the Court finds no reason at this time to reconsider those rulings.

For all those reasons, the Court is going to deny the defendant's motion for a new trial at this time. And we will proceed to sentencing which is scheduled for 1:30 on June 1st.

I will inform counsel that I had my judicial assistant contact the PSI writer. I understand it's expected to be available Thursday, that is, two days from today. Is there anything further from either party this afternoon?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Did the Court want me to
draft an order?
THE COURT: Yes, I would like you to draft an order, please.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Two things, one, I think the Court misspoke factually on the third issue concerning the juror we contend should have been stricken for objective bias. It is true the juror did not serve, but that was not because she was an alternate who was excused. We used the extra peremptory strike that we agreed, with the State, the parties would have to remove her because the Court had not removed her for cause.

THE COURT: Well, the Court understands that to be a method that the parties agreed to, to select the alternate jurors who would not serve, but I do agree that that was the procedure that the parties agreed to.

ATTORNEY STRANG: And, secondly, does the Court know whether the PSI will be mailed to counsel, or is it to be picked up or ...

THE COURT: I would suggest that the parties contact the PSI writer directly for that. And if there are problems with getting it in a timely fashion, notify the Court. I'm trying to think, this Thursday would be --

ATTORNEY STRANG: The 24th.
THE COURT: -- the 24 th. And that would be eight days before the scheduled sentencing date.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Would the Court have any objection if it's faxed. I have received -- some counties will do that. I don't know whether it's --

THE COURT: Let's do this, after we go off the record, let's go back in my chambers and contact the PSI writer and attempt to resolve this.

Anything else on the record today?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: No.
ATTORNEY STRANG: No.
THE COURT: Very well, we're adjourned for this afternoon.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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| anything [8] $2 / 233 /$ | beyond [3] 4/14 4/189/17 bias [1] $22 / 7$ | icted [1] 9/ |
| anything [8] 2/23 3/10 8/1 18/1 |  | conviction [4] 1/4 4/23 5/25 |
| 20/1 20/7 21/23 23/10 | bit [1] 12/12 | Coroner [4] 16/3 17/117/8 18/13corpse [5] $4 / 104 / 114 / 176 / 157 / 2$ |
| appeal [3] 6/5 10/16 21/1 | blood [2] 19/14 20/2 body [1] 4/15 |  |
| appealed [1] 10/18 Appeals [2] $8 / 1610 / 18$ |  | correct [1] 24/12 <br> correlative [1] 6/13 |
| Appeals [2] $8 / 16$ 10/18 appear [1] 2/14 | lell ${ }^{\text {both [2] }} \mathbf{6 / 1 5} 18 / 23$ |  |
| appear ${ }^{\text {appearances }}[2]$ [2/11 $12 / 5$ | brief [1] 11/20 | could [7] 5/17 5/23 10/23 15/8 $17 / 1217 / 2120 / 23$ |
| Appeared [1] 1/22 | lele $\begin{aligned} & \text { briefing [1] } 3 / 3 \\ & \text { briefs [1] 19/9 }\end{aligned}$ |  |
| appearing [1] 2/10 |  |  |

count [6] 5/24 6/15 6/19 6/22 7/2 10/12
counties [1] 23/6
counts [3] 6/2 11/14 12/11
COUNTY [14] 1/1 2/8 16/3 16/14
16/15 16/20 16/22 16/24 17/1
17/3 17/7 17/14 17/20 24/2
course [8] 3/19 12/17 12/23 14/8
15/5 16/4 18/24 21/9
COURT [65]
Court's [10] 4/21 8/20 9/10 11/25
12/16 14/6 15/15 16/10 17/22 21/10
Courts [1] 10/16
credibility [1] 15/7
cremains [1] 18/5
crime [3] 9/5 9/6 17/17
criminal [10] 3/15 3/17 3/21 3/23
5/14 5/16 7/6 8/11 9/23 16/16
critical [3] 18/4 19/1 19/23
criticism [1] 20/21
cross [1] 18/7
cross-examination [1] 18/7 curve [1] 18/22 custody [1] 2/13
D
damages [1] 3/25
Dassey [3] 11/24 12/2 12/13
date [2] 1/8 23/3
Dated [1] 24/15
David [1] 12/21
day [1] 24/15
days [2] 21/22 23/3
deals [1] 11/14
DEAN [2] 1/17 2/13
Debra [1] 16/3
decision [8] 12/16 15/18 15/24
16/11 16/18 19/4 20/25 21/1
defendant [25]
defendant's [7] 2/4 2/17 2/19 5/2
15/15 21/1 21/15
defense [17] 8/4 10/8 10/19 11/11
12/9 12/15 13/7 14/22 15/19 16/1
17/18 18/12 18/14 19/22 20/13
20/21 21/4
degree [1] 11/3
delay [1] 16/9
Delgado [1] 13/11
deliberate [2] 13/4 13/5
deliberated [1] 14/11
deliberations [2] 13/16 13/19
demand [2] 5/24 19/15
demonstrated [1] 13/19
denial [1] 15/15
deny [2] 7/23 21/15
department [5] 16/16 16/22 16/24 17/5 17/21
desires [1] 2/22
detail [1] 11/21
details [2] 14/5 14/16
Detective [3] 12/21 15/4 15/4
determined [1] 16/6
developed [1] 10/15
Diane [3] $1 / 24$ 24/4 24/19
difference [1] 5/11
differences [2] 13/8 15/10
different [2] $3 / 18$ 15/2
difficult [1] 10/11
dire [2] 13/13 13/23
directly [3] 17/10 18/10 22/22
disadvantageously [1] 7/7
disagreement [1] 12/16
disclose [1] 13/25
disclosed [2] 13/16 14/7
disfigurement [1] 4/9
dismissed [2] 11/15 11/16
distinction [1] 9/22
distinguishable [1] 14/20
District [4] 2/8 2/10 16/14 16/15
DNA [1] 21/8
documents [1] 2/22
doesn't [1] 6/19
done [2] 17/13 17/25
door [1] 14/24
double [2] 5/19 6/3
doubt [4] $4 / 15$ 9/18 $14 / 14$ 16/23
doubts [1] 10/23
Dr. [1] 18/8
Dr. Scott [1] 18/8
draft [2] 22/1 22/2
drawn [1] 19/25
due [1] 7/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { during [12] } & 12 / 17 & 12 / 23 & 13 / 16\end{array}$
13/18 $13 / 23$ 14/4 14/8 16/4 18/20
18/23 20/14 21/9

## E

each [3] 2/21 8/3 19/3
earlier [6] 12/19 14/1 15/6 16/10
19/3 19/10
early [1] 12/12
easily [1] 20/23
EDTA [12] $18 / 18$ 18/23 $19 / 219 / 5$
19/8 19/14 19/17 20/1 20/9 20/16
20/20 20/24
eight [1] 23/3
either [5] 2/22 4/7 19/2 20/16 21/23
elaborate [2] 15/17 16/10
element [1] 4/11
elements [3] 9/4 9/18 11/19
else [2] $8 / 123 / 10$
employed [3] 19/24 19/25 20/22
employee [1] 17/2
end [2] 4/6 7/5
entered [1] 10/4
entirely [2] 10/25 11/1
entity [1] 16/25
equipment [1] 20/8
equipoise [1] 5/4
equivalent [1] 6/17
erred [2] 16/2 18/17
errors [1] 21/5
establish [1] 4/16
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { even [3] } & 14 / 17 & 15 / 8 & 17 / 24\end{array}$
everything [1] 18/21
evidence [8] 9/14 10/20 12/11
17/16 $18 / 4$ 18/5 18/10 $18 / 23$
ex[1] 6/23
examination [2] 14/4 18/7
example [1] 8/21
excerpts [1] 9/9
excluded [1] 16/4
excluding[1] 16/2
excused [1] 22/9
existing [1] 7/19
expected [1] 21/21
experience [3] 13/14 20/5 20/7
expert [2] 18/18 19/19
expertise [1] 18/13
experts [1] 20/21
explain [1] $4 / 2$
exposure [1] 15/4
extensively [1] 8/5
extent [1] 11/3
extra [1] 22/9
extreme [1] 8/21

## F

fact [6] 4/5 9/25 13/17 13/25
15/20 20/9
facts [1] 14/19
factual [2] 13/8 17/16
factually [1] 22/5
Fairgrieve [1] 18/9
fairness [1] 7/6
FALLON [2] 1/13 2/9
far [1] 17/11
fashion [2] 6/8 22/24
fatal [1] 4/9
Faucher [1] 14/21
favor [3] 5/2 5/3 5/17
faxed [1] 23/5
FBI [6] 18/18 19/19 19/24 20/4
20/8 20/22
FBI's [1] 20/7
felon [2] 6/19 6/22
Fifth [2] 6/11 12/5
finally [3] 10/19 20/13 21/4
find [2] 9/19 9/21
finding [2] $10 / 2$ 10/4
finds [2] 15/23 21/12
firearm [1] 6/20
first [4] $4 / 108 / 58 / 9 \quad 13 / 10$
five [2] 14/1 17/23
follow [1] 7/19
following [3] 9/9 18/25 19/11
foregoing [2] 24/7 24/7
forensic [1] 18/5
forget [1] 14/15
form [2] 18/7 21/2
formed [1] 16/23
former [1] 14/24
forth [1] 11/11
found [3] 4/13 8/23 21/8
Fourteenth [1] 6/11
free [1] 19/3
fundamental [1] 9/12
further[2] 14/5 21/23
G
GAHN [2] 1/15 2/10
game [1] 12/12
gave [1] 17/18
General [1] 2/9
getting [1] 22/23
girl [1] 15/1
give [1] $2 / 24$
given [3] 18/6 18/21 20/21
going [4] 11/20 15/17 18/20 21/15
gone [1] 17/12
good [2] 2/7 5/5
gotten [2] 5/8 7/11
grant [1] 6/25
granted [1] 5/21
granting [1] 11/13
greater [1] 5/14
grounds [1] 12/24
group [1] 15/21
guess [2] 3/10 10/14
guilt [1] 10/4
guilty [7] 6/21 8/23 9/13 9/19 9/21
10/1 10/2

## H

Halbach [1] 4/14

| H | juries [1] 9/11 iurisnrudence [1] 9/2 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { mistake [1] 10/7 } \\ & \text { monev [1] }\end{aligned}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| handling [1] 18/4 | jurisprudence [1] 9/2 | money [1] 3/24 <br> Moreover [1] $6 / 18$ |
| happens [1] $4 / 23$ | juror's [1] 13/18 | morning [1] 2/3 |
| happy [2] 3/2 3/7 | jurors [4] 13/12 15/11 15/12 22/15 | most [1] 8/17 |
| having [1] 6/5 | jury [7] 4/12 5/1 9/15 10/22 11/17 | motion [8] 1/4 2/4 2/17 2/19 3/1 |
| head [1] 4/7 | 13/2 13/3 | 7/24 8/4 21/15 |
| hear [1] 2/4 | jury's [2] 7/12 11/8 | motions [1] 21/8 |
| helpful[1] 3/5 | justification [1] 5/5 | motives [1] 17/19 |
| hereby [1] 24/6 | justifying [1] 5/11 | Mr.[14] 2/25 4/13 6/24 7/13 7/21 |
| herself [1] 13/18 | K | $\begin{array}{ll}7 / 25 & 11 / 24 \\ 15 / 9 & 12 / 2 \\ 1\end{array} 12 / 1314 / 915 / 7$ |
|  |  | 15/9 16/19 19/19 Mr. Avery [1] $4 / 13$ |
| history [2] 13/13 13/19 | keep [1] 16/20 | Mr. Avery's [2] 6/24 16/19 |
| hold [2] 9/15 9/25 | Ken [1] 2/9 | Mr. Dassey [3] 11/24 12/2 12/13 |
| holes [1] 4/6 | KENNETH [1] 1/11 | Mr. Kratz [1] 7/13 |
| homicide [3] 7/1 10/24 11/8 | killed [1] 4/13 | Mr. LeBeau [1] 19/19 |
| Hon [1] 1/9 | knowing [2] 4/25 10/5 | Mr. Remiker [2] 14/9 15/9 |
| Honor [1] 8/2 | knowledge [1] 24/14 | Mr. Remiker's [1] 15/7 |
| however[1] 19/16 | KRATZ [3] 1/11 2/9 7/13 | Mr. Strang [3] 2/25 7/21 7/25 |
| I | L | Ms [2] 4/13 20/9 |
| I'm [5] 3/2 3/7 11/20 18/20 22/24 | lab [1] 20/10 | must [2] 9/21 9/22 |
| illogical [1] 5/7 | labs [1] 20/6 | mutilating [3] 4/11 6/15 7/1 |
| immediately [1] 16/17 | lack [1] 19/15 | mutilation [3] 4/10 4/17 11/10 |
| important [1] 16/20 | latter [1] 20/14 | N |
| impossible [1] 10/11 | law[11] 1/17 1/19 3/21 4/22 7/16 | N |
| improper [1] 15/25 | 7/20 7/20 9/23 10/10 10/14 11/7 | name [1] 14/2 |
| in [110] | lawsuit [2] 16/19 16/23 | names [1] 14/3 |
| inadmissible [4] 11/24 12/1 12/2 | leading [1] 8/14 | necessarily [3] 4/2 10/25 12/2 |
| 12/14 | learning [1] 18/21 | necessary [3] 4/4 4/16 4/19 |
| including [1] 21/6 | least [4] 4/24 7/15 11/4 19/12 | neighbor [1] 14/24 |
| inconsistency [4] 4/4 4/19 10/6 | LeBeau [1] 19/19 | never [2] 12/2 12/10 |
| 11/9 | LeBeau's [1] 18/17 | new [10] 2/4 2/17 2/19 3/16 6/25 |
| inconsistent [18] 3/15 3/22 4/3 5/6 | lengthy [1] 3/4 | 7/20 8/5 11/13 21/2 21/15 |
| 5/9 5/13 5/22 6/6 6/18 7/10 7/18 | leniency [1] 10/6 | next[3] 11/13 14/24 15/14 |
| 8/8 8/13 8/22 10/1 10/13 11/1 | let's [2] 23/7 23/8 | Norm [1] 2/10 |
| 11/4 | liberty [1] 3/23 | NORMAN [1] 1/15 |
| indeed [1] 6/10 | lie [1] 15/1 | note [7] 8/9 8/19 10/19 11/22 13/1 |
| independent [2] 10/20 16/25 | likelihood [2] 3/5 21/10 | 13/6 20/13 |
| indicate [1] 2/15 | little [1] 5/13 | noted [1] 15/19 |
| indicated [3] 14/11 14/22 14/25 | locate [1] 8/10 | notes [1] 24/9 |
| indication [1] 13/21 | location [1] 10/22 | notify [1] 22/24 |
| inform [1] 21/19 | logical [1] 6/5 | nullify [1] 5/2 |
| inherent [1] 9/12 | logically [1] 7/9 | number [2] 2/20 14/20 |
| instructed [1] 9/16 | long [1] 9/23 | 0 |
| integrity [1] 15/1 | longer [1] 17/24 | 0 |
| interests [1] 3/20 | looking [1] 3/10 | objection [1] 23/5 |
| intimidation [2] 9/1 9/3 | loss [1] 3/25 | objective [1] 22/7 |
| introduce [2] 10/20 18/3 | M | observations [1] 18/25 |
| investigation [5] 16/11 16/13 $16 / 1717 / 618 / 1$ | machine [1] 24/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { off [1] [3] } \\ \text { offer [3] } 4 / 20 & 17 / 1217 / 16\end{array}$ |
| invited [1] 14/7 | made [4] 5/10 11/20 12/14 16/18 | offered [3] 6/9 12/3 16/7 |
| involved [2] 16/12 17/11 | mailed [1] 22/19 | Official [3] 1/25 24/4 24/19 |
| irrespective [1] 9/13 | make [6] 7/20 17/23 18/24 19/3 | once [3] $2 / 2114 / 8$ 16/12 |
| issue [6] 2/20 7/22 8/8 8/15 18/19 | 19/4 20/8 | only [4] 5/24 9/6 15/3 17/12 |
| 22/5 | MANITOWOC [10] 1/1 16/2 16/14 | opinion [4] 8/20 9/10 14/25 15/7 |
| issues [1] 16/8 | 16/19 16/22 16/24 17/1 17/2 | opportunity [3] 2/25 17/19 18/6 |
| item [1] 15/14 | 17/20 24/2 | oral [1] 14/4 |
| itself[4] 4/9 10/3 19/8 20/16 | many [2] 3/4 21/10 | order [4] 11/13 12/6 22/1 22/3 |
| J | Mark [1] 18/17 <br> matter [4] 10/18 21/12 24/7 24/13 | other [4] 11/12 13/12 21/5 21/8 others [2] 8/6 8/6 |
| Janine [1] 19/22 | matters [1] 17/16 | our [4] 3/3 7/4 7/15 7/23 |
| January [1] 24/15 | measured [1] 20/2 | outset [2] 8/19 16/11 |
| jeopardy [6] 5/20 6/4 6/10 6/14 | member [1] 15/21 | outweighed [1] 16/7 |
| 6/25 7/1 | members [1] 17/20 | over [2] 16/14 17/14 |
| JEROME [2] 1/19 2/13 | method [1] 22/14 | own [1] 18/9 |
| job [1] 20/12 | methods [3] 19/23 19/25 20/22 | P |
| judge [5] 1/10 2/7 7/14 7/22 10/13 | might [3] 3/10 4/13 17/25 |  |
| judgment [2] 10/4 17/22 | mind [4] 9/10 $11 / 25$ 14/6 16/21 | pages [1] 2/18 |
| judicial [1] 21/20 | minority [2] 15/16 15/21 | part [2] 12/9 18/12 |
| June [1] 21/18 | misses [1] 4/4 | participated [3] 17/5 17/9 18/1 |
| June 1st [1] 21/18 | misspoke[1] 22/5 | particular [6] 4/7 10/12 10/23 |



| S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { turned [2] } 16 / 1417 / 13 \\ & \text { two [6] } 4 / 65 / 411 / 1513 / 621 / 22 \\ & 22 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | yesterday [1] 3/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | U |  |
|  | unavailable [1] 20/16 |  |
|  | under [4] 4/22 6/11 15/23 16/5 |  |
|  | understand [1] 21/21 |  |
|  | understands [1] 22/13 |  |
|  | understood [1] 19/21 |  |
|  | undisputed [1] 4/5 |  |
|  | undue [1] 16/9 |  |
|  | uniquely [1] 20/8 |  |
|  | United [1] 6/12 |  |
|  | unproven [1] 5/6 |  |
|  | unreliable [1] 20/17 |  |
|  | unsupportable [1] 7/9 |  |
|  | unusual [1] 14/15 |  |
| T | upset [1] 7/21 <br> used [1] 22/9 |  |
| taken [1] 24/9 tandem [1] 7/3 terms [1] 6/5 | utilized [1] 20/11 |  |
|  | V |  |
| ```Tesheneck [3] 1/24 24/4 24/19 test[3] 18/18 20/9 20/25 testified [4] 14/12 15/9 18/18 19/19``` | value [2] 7/21 16/6 |  |
|  | veil [1] 4/24 |  |
|  | verdict [11] 5/9 6/6 6/18 6/22 7/19 |  |
|  | 9/13 10/1 10/2 10/11 11/8 11/9 |  |
| testimony [16] 4/5 4/8 9/7 16/2 <br> 16/4 16/7 17/11 17/12 17/15 | verdicts [15] 3/15 3/22 4/2 5/6 |  |
|  | 5/13 5/22 7/10 7/188/8 8/13 8/21 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll} 17 / 24 & 18 / 8 & 18 / 17 & 19 / 9 & \\ 20 / 10 \end{array}$ | 10/25 11/2 11/4 13/5 |  |
|  | very [4] 3/18 5/13 7/25 23/13 |  |
| testing [10] 19/5 19/6 19/8 19/13 | victim [3] 9/2 9/3 13/17 |  |
|  | victim's [1] 21/7 |  |
| 19/15 19/17 20/3 20/16 20/20 20/24 | viewed [1] 11/25 |  |
| tests [1] 19/2 | virtue [1] 6/24 |  |
| Thank [1] 7/24 | voir [2] 13/13 13/23 |  |
| their [1] 2/5 | W |  |
| themselves [1] 3/16 theory [1] 7/17 | waivable [1] 6/10 |  |
| there's [3] 3/6 5/4 15/10 | waive [4] 6/10 6/14 6/25 7/1 |  |
| thereafter [1] 24/11 | want [2] 3/11 21/25 |  |
| thereby [1] 5/25 | wants [1] 3/7 |  |
| these [2] 10/16 21/9 | warranted [1] 4/22 |  |
| things [1] 22/4 | waste [2] 16/9 17/23 |  |
| think [10] 3/3 4/3 4/18 5/7 7/4 | way [1] 10/5 |  |
| 10/8 12/8 15/10 22/4 22/25 | ways [1] 14/21 |  |
| third [2] 6/19 22/5 | we [8] $2 / 3$ 6/21 12/4 21/16 22/6 |  |
| THOMAS [4] $1 / 138 / 16$ 9/11 11/5 | 22/9 22/10 23/7 |  |
| thoroughly [1] 18/19 | we're [1] 23/13 |  |
| those [7] $2 / 22$ 5/3 12/11 13/9 14/3 | week [1] 17/23 |  |
| 21/13 21/14 | went [4] 9/15 9/25 11/17 13/23 |  |
| thought [1] 5/10 | whatever [2] 6/2 19/3 |  |
| three [1] 11/14 | whether [6] $10 / 5$ 12/6 13/13 14/12 |  |
| thrust [1] 7/4 | 22/19 23/6 |  |
| Thursday [2] 21/22 22/25 | while [4] 16/21 17/4 19/23 20/4 |  |
| time [9] $2 / 1$ 13/15 15/19 16/9 | who's [1] 7/11 |  |
| 17/23 20/17 20/25 21/13 21/16 | willingness [1] 6/24 |  |
| timely [1] 22/24 | Willis [1] 1/9 |  |
| today [4] 2/24 13/1 21/22 23/10 | WISCONSIN [19] 1/1 1/3 1/12 1/14 |  |
| tolerance [2] 5/13 5/15 | 1/16 2/2 3/14 6/13 7/16 7/20 8/11 |  |
| tolerant [1] 3/22 | 8/15 10/10 10/15 11/7 16/16 17/7 |  |
| tolerating [1] 6/6 | 24/1 24/6 |  |
| Tom [1] 2/9 | wished [1] 19/4 |  |
| transcribed [1] 24/11 | without [2] 4/25 14/7 |  |
| transcript [3] 1/23 24/8 24/12 | witness [6] 12/3 14/25 17/25 |  |
| transcription [1] 24/11 | 17/25 18/9 19/22 |  |
| treating [1] 7/6 | witnesses [3] $12 / 2014 / 2318 / 8$ |  |
| treatment [1] 5/12 | wouldn't [2] 4/16 15/1 |  |
| trial [25] | writer [3] 21/20 22/22 23/9 |  |
| tried [1] 4/1 | written [4] 2/17 2/23 7/15 13/24 |  |
| troubling [1] 3/19 <br> true [4] 17/4 20/4 22/7 24/12 <br> trying [1] 22/24 | Y |  |
|  | years [4] 12/19 14/1 14/16 15/6 |  |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. We are here this afternoon for the sentencing hearing in this case. Will the parties state their appearances for the record, please.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good afternoon, Judge, the State appears by Calumet County District Attorney Ken Kratz and Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon, both appearing as Special Prosecutors.

ATTORNEY STRANG: Good afternoon, as well. Steven Avery is here in person, in custody; Jerome Buting of Buting and Williams on his behalf, as well as Dean Strang of Hurley, Burish and Stanton.

THE COURT: Okay. I will indicate for the record that the defendant was found guilty and convicted, following a jury trial, on March 18, 2007, of first degree intentional homicide and felon in possession of a firearm. The crime of first degree intentional homicide is a Class A felony which -- which carries a mandatory penalty of life in prison.

However, the Court is required to make a determination as to if, and when, the defendant is eligible for extended supervision. The choices are: Eligibility after 20 years of
incarceration, eligibility after a date set by the Court which can be no earlier than 20 years of incarceration, or the Court can determine that the defendant is not eligible for release to extended supervision.

The charge of felon in possession of a firearm is a Class $G$ felony which is punishable by a fine of up to 25,000 or up to 10 years in prison consisting of no more than 5 years initial confinement and no more than 5 years of extended supervision.

The Court has previously ordered and has been provided with a copy of the Presentence Investigation Report in this case. I believe the writer of that document, Ms Czechanski, is present somewhere in Court. Have both of the parties received a copy of the Presentence Investigation Report? Mr. Kratz?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: We have, Judge.
THE COURT: And Mr. Buting?
ATTORNEY BUTING: We have.
THE COURT: Mr. Buting, have you had a chance to review the Presentence Investigation Report with your client?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: I understand from a previous submission I received last week, involving statements given by Brendan Dassey, that the Defense disputes background information presented in the presentence attributed to Mr. Dassey. I suppose before we proceed further I should allow you to elaborate on that.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you, Judge. Before I do, let me say, also, there's -- there's other background information about Mr. Avery's family, education, those sorts of things, we don't have any objection to, or claim that there are any significant inaccuracies in that portion of the presentence.

However, the presentence describes the description of the offense as well as her recommendation for disposition. Clearly, those sections rely heavily on the one statement of Brendan Dassey that was introduced at his trial; that is, a portion of the March 1st, 2006, interrogation. We absolutely do object to any consideration or reliance by the Court at sentencing on any of those facts.

We believe they are untruthful, inaccurate, false, and misleading. And as the

Court knows, a defendant has a due process constitutional right to be sentenced only upon accurate information.

Cite just a couple of cases, Townsend vs. Burke, 334 U.S. 736, and U.S. vs. Tucker, 404 U.S. 443. Also some Wisconsin cases, State vs. Mosley, 201 Wis. 2d, 36, that's a Court of Appeals 1996 case. And more recently, State vs. Groth, G-r-o-t-h, 258 Wis. 2d, 889, that's a Court of Appeals 2002 decision in which the sentencing was reversed.

And, basically, what those cases hold is that it is paramount that the Court base its sentence on accurate information, not false, or inaccurate, or misleading information.

In the Groth case, there was allegations made that the defendant, in the past, had beaten pregnant women, I think was the facts in that case. And it was later determined that the district attorney was relying on inaccurate information and that was enough for the Court to actually reverse the sentence.

Here, Mr. Dassey, I'm confident from the submission that we sent, which is 58 pages long, a memorandum, as well as all of the transcripts
and all of the DVDs, the version of Mr. Dassey's numerous stories that was presented at his trial, is only one of a number of versions. And it's misleading for the presentence writer, or this Court, to consider that version of events as reliable and accurate when, in fact, it's not. I should note, also, that, unfortunately, that version which has been, we believe in our case, largely disproved, factually, by the lack of physical evidence to corroborate it, that version describes a very cruel death for Teresa Halbach, a torture, more or less, for which there is no factual support, other than the one version given by Brendan Dassey, a 16 year old young man with limited mental facilities, who we believe was imposed upon by the psychological police interrogation techniques that we set forth in the attachment.

The only evidence of how Teresa Halbach died was presented at our trial, which was that there was a gunshot to the head. It was unclear, at least it was unproven, in my mind, whether that even occurred while she was alive or dead. I don't think that was possible to have been proven.

But to assume that what she suffered at the hands of anyone, much less Mr. Avery or Mr . Dassey, is the version of events that were presented in the March 1st confession is -- is a stretch because there's no evidence to support it whatsoever. And all of the physical facts tended to disprove that version.

It's unfortunate that that's the -- the image that the Halbach family and friends are left with, as an example, or a picture of what she went through when, in fact, that very well -she may have gone through nothing at all like that.

Mr. Avery and his attorneys do not know how Teresa Halbach died. That she did die is -was proven by the State in this case, but how she died was not. And, certainly, no evidence, no reliable, accurate information, was presented that this Court can rely on, that she suffered before she died, that she was tortured, that she was begging for her life, any of that, which not only pervades the presentence report itself, but also the attached letters from the family and friends, who -- some of whom highlight that very issue; that is, it's not just that she died, but
it's the manner in which she died that they take such offense at, and understandably so.

But, again, what I'm asking this Court to do is twofold. One, to take no consideration and to rely -- to not rely on, at all, anything in the presentence report that describes Teresa Halbach's death in the manner in which Brendan Dassey, on that one portion of the interview, said it happened.

And two, because these presentence reports are not used just today, these also go into the offender's correctional file, with the Department of Corrections, I'm asking that the Court order that this be rewritten and that all references to that Brendan Dassey version be stricken.

I don't think it's enough to just order on the record that you are not considering it. I think the Court also has to have this rewritten and resubmitted, absent all of those disputed facts, because they are disputed, they are inaccurate, and they would be a violation of Mr. Avery's due process rights for the Court to consider them.

THE COURT: All right. I will ask the

State, at this time, if they have any response to that particular request before I, Mr. Buting, go back to you about any other matters in the PSI which may be of concern.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Are you asking for a response as to Mr. Buting's confidence in the unreliability of the statements, or that it should be rewritten or resubmitted, or both?

THE COURT: Well, both.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right. Mr. Buting's personal confidence in the unreliability of Mr. Dassey's statements is of very little help for this Court. Mr. Buting can have his own personal opinion as to the reliability, as Mr. Dassey's jury could have an opinion as to the reliability of his statements. I will note for this Court that the law permits this Court consider any version of the events which are supported by evidence; in fact, to consider uncharged, unproven offenses, even conduct for which a defendant has been acquitted. State vs. Leitner, L-e-i-t-n-e-r, and other cases, stand for that proposition.

I will, however, Judge, just so that we can move on with the real purpose of today's hearing, that is the sentencing, indicate that
the State's position, although obviously disagreeing with virtually everything that Mr. Buting has just told the Court, indicate that Mr. Avery was convicted in this case, with what the State believes was overwhelming circumstantial and scientific evidence.

Although the Defense has attempted, at this point, to complain about evidence which was not introduced nor needed to convict Mr. Avery, and which may be relevant for another sentencing, or even for some other hearings, it is not relevant for today's purposes.

The State does intend and the State will be asking the Court sentence Mr. Avery, based upon the evidence presented in this case, on the defendant's own criminal history, on the victim's character, on the victim impact that is to be introduced, and not as to Mr. Dassey's statement, or at least not to give or intend undue reliance upon those admissions.

Finally, Judge, it is the State's
position that this Court has no authority to direct the presentence writer to rewrite or resubmit a PSI because Mr. Buting may think that the facts or versions stated therein are
disputed, or Mr. Buting thinks that they are unreliable. The Court can give the version of events and the weight to that, what the Court deems appropriate.

This Court is in a very good position since it has sat through this entire case for the last 18 months. Certainly, I'm sure, individually, has developed a version of events for which a sentence can be derived and we would ask the Court do that. That's all we have today, Judge, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting, are there any other parts of the PSI which the defendant wishes to suggest corrections to?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Factually, no, but if I could just respond to the last portion of Mr. Kratz's comments. It's not a matter of just personal opinion, whether I believe Brendan Dassey's March 1st story or not.

What we're talking about is a constitutional right to due process. Yes, the Court can consider facts that even -- that come from uncharged offenses, or even cases for which there was an acquittal.

But there has to be -- The information,
the Court has to find, is accurate and reliable in order to rely upon them. And what we're saying is, to Brendan Dassey's statements, none of his statements are accurate or reliable enough for this Court to consider at sentencing.

And if the State disputes that, then we'll be happy to have a hearing and present all of the evidence that we have presented through live witnesses, right here in this packet that we submitted to the Court. If not, if the State wishes to move on, then the Court cannot consider them, or rely upon them, is the actual language from the case law.

The Court may not rely on inaccurate facts. And if they are disputed facts, they have to be resolved in some way. And if the Court chooses to resolve them by relying on them, then the Court has to so state.

As far as the Court's authority on the presentence, certainly the Court has the authority to order the presentence to be modified or amended, the Court is the one that orders the presentence. The presentence writer is supposed to be independent to the Court.

And if -- if the -- I believe it's the

Mosley case, Mosely and Groth talk about the problems when there are inaccurate -- when there is inaccurate information in the presentence itself; and that those are the facts that are being disputed, that's what needs to be addressed.

And because they do go to the Department of Corrections, the Court should order, and can order, that that be deleted, if the Court chooses not to rely on it. If the Court wants to rely on those at a sentencing, then this material can stay. But, obviously, that's an issue, then, that can be addressed on post-conviction, with Mr. Avery, later. But what we're asking is that the Court not consider or rely upon any of Mr. Dassey's statements and that they be deleted from the presentence as well.

THE COURT: It's my understanding, unless I misunderstood the State, that with respect to the circumstances surrounding the charges in this case themselves and the conviction, that the State is going to ask the Court to rely simply on the evidence that was introduced at the trial, which was certainly thorough, covered five weeks, I was there. Mr. Kratz, am I speaking correctly?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That is correct, Judge, yes.

THE COURT: So the State is not going to ask -- be asking the Court to consider the extra statements from Mr. Dassey that are described in the PSI?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's right.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting, is there anything else about the PSI that you wish to bring up at this time? I'm talking now by way of the factual background provided.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Sure, but let me just point out that the PSI or -- it's not entirely clear to me whether the State intends to rely on -- the State did mention they want the Court to sentence on victim impact.

And if there are statements in the PSI, letters that are attached and they may perhaps be repeated or similarly stated in court today with live statements that refer specifically to the manner in which Teresa Halbach died, as a particular impact on the victim, and if those -again, that coming solely from the one Brendan Dassey version on March 1st; and if that is going to be relied on by the State in justification of
their recommendation or the Court in justification of its sentence, we have the same problem, I think.

THE COURT: Well, I think you are talking about two different concepts. The victims have a right to express their feelings, whatever they are, and express their beliefs, whatever they may be. And I don't think the Court is in a position to tell them they can't feel a certain way, or believe certain facts.

But as far as the facts that the Court will be using to assess the severity and scope of the offense, maybe I'm wrong, but I understood that both parties were going to be asking the Court to consider the evidence that was introduced at the trial in this case. Now, if I'm missing something, let me know, but that's my understanding.

ATTORNEY BUTING: That's fine.
THE COURT: Mr. Kratz?
ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's fine, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Buting, anything else?

ATTORNEY BUTING: Other than what the Court chooses to do about the presentence, which you could
do at the end if you wish or you could --
THE COURT: I understand that you are making a separate request. At this time I will simply note for the record that the defendant disputes the account of what happened as it's attributed to Brendan Dassey in the PSI; and that neither of the parties are going to be asking the Court, in their sentencing arguments, to rely on that information; and that the defendant is making a separate request for further action from the Court as to the content of the PSI itself. That's never been requested of me before and I'm not prepared to answer that from the bench today, but I will take your request under advisement.

ATTORNEY BUTING: Thank you.
ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, if I could have just a moment. We're prepared, Judge, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. At this time I will indicate that the Court has read the attachments to the PSI, which include a -- one letter in support of the defendant, the defendant's own statement, and a significant number of written statements from victims and members of the victim's family.

I will, in a few minutes, offer any victims a chance to make statements to the Court.

But before I get to that, I would like to ask the parties if there is any other testimony from anyone, or any other statements from anyone, that either party wishes to present to the Court today, other than victim impact statements.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Not by the State, your Honor.

ATTORNEY STRANG: We anticipate no testimony, but $I$ expect that Mr. Avery may wish to exercise his right to allocution.

THE COURT: And I will certainly grant him that right, after I hear the arguments of the parties. With that, then, we'll proceed to Victim Impact Statements. Mr. Kratz.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, before proceeding to that, it is a responsibility of this Court, at the time of sentencing, to inquire of the State whether all provisions of Chapter 950 have been complied with. I will tell the Court that they certainly have in this case. This course -- excuse me -- this Court understands that the victim's family has been in attendance throughout these proceedings, and the sentencing hearing is no exception thereto.

Written impact statements have been
provided. There is no restitution request, as noted in the presentence, and I think the Court needs to make a specific finding of that. And with that, Judge, we are prepared, then, to hear from five relatives of Teresa Halbach. And when the Court is ready to hear those statements, I can introduce them at that time.

THE COURT: You may do that at this time. I'm going to ask you to share your microphone at the podium with anyone who chooses to make a statement.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: What I'm going to do, Judge, is I'm going to have the individuals identify themselves, their relation to Teresa, and then provide their statement. I will also tell the Court, as the Court understands, at the conclusion of the five impact statements, we do have a DVD video to play, which is approximately three minutes in length. I will introduce that before it is played. The first statement will be given by Maria Halbach. Maria.

MARIA HALBACH: Good afternoon, Judge Willis.

I have always been taught that everything happens for a reason. This belief has been challenged in the last year and a half. I
continue to struggle with why or what reason there could be behind the suffering Teresa went through, but $I$ guess some things are bigger than we can understand.

Life seemed so simple on October 29th, 2005, when I was with Teresa. Little did I know that this would be the last time I would see her, that at that moment, when we're out living life and having some fun, someone in the world was plotting to take Teresa's life.

I was brought up in the same small town as Teresa. I got to know Teresa in middle school. We made many great memories throughout our friendship.

We camped out in high school. We went to dances. We went through boyfriends. We had fights with girlfriends. Skydived. She was there to see each of my children as they were starting their lives. Through it all, Teresa was always there with a smile and had something lighthearted to say.

I was blessed to fall in love with a Halbach and join this amazing family. What you see is what you get. Teresa, and everyone who surrounded her, are full of faith, love, and true
kindness.
One of the ways that Teresa's murder has affected me is in the feeling, the emotion that is completely foreign to me, and that is anger. Anger is not something I'm used to feeling. I did not understand what it felt like to truly feel angry with another person until the events of Teresa's death began to unfold.

Just when we thought things could not get any more horrifying, it did. I would get a phone call, an email, or read the newspaper and another detail was displayed that was beyond the realm of our imagination.

I was raised to forgive those who sin against me or someone that $I$ love. The statement sounds great and it is easily said; however, it has been put to the test. Forgiving someone who does not take responsibility for their actions is not an easy task.

The events that took place on October 31st, 2005, have not only affected me, but they have affected my children. I have three children. Two of my children were near and dear to Teresa. And my third child never even got the chance to meet her. Zachary was born one month
after Teresa's death.
My children have had to learn more about death and the horrifying acts that other people are capable of, at a very young age. A piece of their innocence and their childhood was taken from them when Teresa was so brutally taken from us.

My husband and I are raising our children in the same way Teresa was raised, to trust in the greater good that exists in people. We were raised to trust and be optimistic. Teresa's murder shook this trust and temporarily set in place a sense of insecurity and skepticism.

However, I'm not going to allow Steven Avery to take my life away from me, as he did Teresa. I'm going to continue to live life like Teresa. Teach my children to do the same. They still look to the stars at night to find the brightest one. This is the star that represents Teresa.

The course of events surrounding Teresa's death do not overshadow her life. We may not know the reason, but life is too short to focus on this. My faith has led me to believe
that this crime is horrific and unthinkable; however, our place is not vengeance, our place is justice.

Justice for Teresa is to be assured that the people who hurt her and humiliated her are never allowed the opportunity to hurt someone like this again. It is in your hands, Judge Willis, to hold Steven Avery accountable for his actions and not let him hurt again. He needs to be put behind bars for the rest of his life, until he has to answer to the ultimate judge. Thank you.

KERI LOWE: Good afternoon, Judge. A part of a parent's role is many --

THE COURT: Excuse me, can you state your name for the record.

KERI LOWE: I'm Keri Lowe; I'm Teresa's cousin.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
KERI LOWE: A part of a parent's role is many different things: First, to protect her from all; protect from harm, evil and bad influences in society.

Secondly, you create a positive environment for children to observe and grow.

Furthermore, you instill values that only hope -that you only hope will carry on with them through life.

Finally, you raise them to be confident, strong, outgoing, and full of life.

A parent is a provider of strength; physically, emotionally and intellectually. Because of the evils in society, my role as a mother is different. I still protect. I still do the things moms do. When something tragic happens to your family your world changes.

I'm a Halbach family member with three young girls. I'm now dealing with issues and situations I never foresaw. Explaining to my girls how an innocent woman's life was taken, for no apparent reason, leads to many unanswered questions.

Keeping faith, being strong and being positive are difficult attributes to maintain after an incident as this. I hope the outcome will help me as a parent show all, especially young children such as my three girls, how society will protect us from evil.

Life goes on; however, we have a void that will never be replaced or forgotten. Please
help my role as a parent and show me that we will all be protected. Judge Willis, for our sake and our children's, please put Steven Avery away for life without parole. Thank you.

THE COURT: Would you state your name and your relationship to Teresa, please.

RHONDA BEHNKE: My name is Rhonda Behnke and I'm Teresa's cousin.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
RHONDA BEHNKE: Dear Judge Willis, How do I start a letter to tell someone how much a person meant to me, because it so personal and heartfelt that I have never had to let all those kinds of emotions and feelings known to public.

To know what $I$ lost losing Teresa in my life affects me and my family very much. I couldn't list everything that she has meant to me and all she's been to me. I know what $I$ feel is a loss of a friend; a cousin; a brilliant, artistic, creative person; someone who could imagine so deep; a person who could find good in everything.

I have lost my daughters only now knowing or hearing of the good that Teresa did, and not seeing or experiencing it firsthand.

Teresa's laugh, hearing it once, you would think that you would never be able to forget it. You would never imagine not being able to not hear it again. It's not that you want to forget it, but it's very distant now.

Her love was one in a million, unconditional love, not judging, never think twice kind of love. We weren't ready for Teresa to be taken away from us. We weren't ready to say our goodbyes and we never really did get to say goodbye.

The talent the world can't see through her eyes anymore, the beautiful depth of her pictures, the true things she could only bring out in people, the love no child can feel from her, the love of a husband and of being a mom, the laugh we will never hear again, and the loss we feel every day.

Like I said, how do you tell a stranger the love and hurt you feel with losing someone as wonderful and beautiful as Teresa. Saying this isn't even half of what my heart feels every day. So, I am not a judge and I am not -- and I know I don't have say into what happens to Mr. Avery. All I know is that I do have an
opinion. I wish to see Mr. Avery serve life in prison with no parole.

Why do I feel this way, because Teresa's life was taken with no good reason. She did not want to leave us this way. She did not ask for this to happen to her.

And I feel we're here to defend her life and her death. We're here to make sure that Mr. Avery gets what he deserves for taking not only Teresa's life, but also her future and our futures with her.

So, please, Judge Willis, look into the hearts of this Teresa Halbach family. Look at us, in our hearts, and see what is missing. See what Mr. Avery took from us; a beautiful, wonderful young lady who meant the world to us and who we will miss every day. Thank you.

MIKE HALBACH: Mike Halbach, Teresa's younger brother. Honorable Judge Willis, my family and I would never choose to be involved in a situation like this. We never chose to feel heartbreak, to feel loss.

But Steven Avery chose my sister,
Teresa. He thought only of himself. He chose to end her life. He chose to retain his sins inside
himself and to point blame at everyone else. He chose not to hold himself accountable and ask for forgiveness, but to make a jury of 12 of his peers decide if he was accountable or not.

They did that, and in doing so, have put you in the position you are in now. As God guided Teresa's life, let him guide your decision now. It's your choice. I ask that you sentence Steven Avery to life in prison without parole. Thank you.

TIM HALBACH: Tim Halbach, Teresa's older brother. Judge Willis, at first I wasn't going to speak today because, quite honestly, I didn't think you'd need any more convincing that Steven Avery should get life without parole.

He killed my sister. And in doing that, he took the life of someone that he barely knew and completely disregarded the ramifications that his actions would have, not only ending Teresa's life, but the affects it would have on her family, her friends, and this community. And for that alone, he deserves to spend the rest of his life in prison.

But putting that aside for the moment, and after thinking about more whether or not to
speak today, I felt compelled to come here and speak to you, because, as Teresa's big brother, I instinctively always try to look out for her. And although there is nothing I can do for her now, what $I$ can do, and what $I$ am doing, is standing here today and respectfully asking this Court to send Steven Avery to prison, without the possibility of parole, to ensure that he never does this again, to someone else's sister.

Finally, for the past 19 months, we hope that -- my family and I have hoped that our love for Teresa was shown in our words and our actions. Judge Willis, we want you to see this type -- we want you to see the type of person Teresa was and why we'll always -- why we will always carry her spirit with us.

We have a presentation that Teresa made when she was -- videos that Teresa made when she was 22 years old. And this -- we want you to see who Steven Avery took from us. Thank you.
(Video playing.)
TERESA HALBACH: I love hugs. I love -I have a theory that you need nine hugs a day in order to feel loved.

I love being happy.

I love knowing that $I$ have nothing to complain about.

I love knowing that my family is healthy, that $I$ have a lot of friends.

I love making people laugh. I love laughing.

I love when people compliment me.
I love the Beatles.

I love God.

I love knowing that $I$ like who $I$ am.
I love taking pictures. I love holding a camera in my hand.

I love kids. I love babies.
I love traveling. I love talking about traveling.

I love being myself with everyone I know.

I love No Doubt and Gwen Steffani.
I love my sisters, my mom, my whole family, of course. I don't hate anyone. I love a lot of people. I feel loved.

Um, so let's say I die before I'm 31.
Let's say I die tomorrow. I don't think I will, I think $I$ have a lot more to do. $I$ just want people $I$ love to know that whenever $I$ do die, $I$
just want people to know that whenever I die, that I was happy, that I'm happy with what I did with my life.

The only thing, is if it happened now, is that I wish I could have became a mother, because that's the one thing I have always known that I want, to be a mom, so... But there's a reason for everything. And I will be a good mom one day. I will. As long as I'm happy.

Okay. That's enough.
THE COURT: Is there anything else from either party before closing argument?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge. I would ask that the Court, having previously received the video, the DVD that has been shown, include the Court's copy as part of the official file and official record of these proceedings. Other than that, Judge, the State only has argument. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any objection from the Defense?
ATTORNEY STRANG: No, the State's request should be granted.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will do so. All right. Mr. Kratz, I will hear from you first.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. The only decision that this Court has pursuant to Section 973.014 is whether the defendant should be eligible for extended supervision. This still requires the Court, like any sentencing, to consider the three primary sentencing factors; that is, the gravity of the offense, the character of the offender, the need to protect the public.

And my comments this afternoon will track those three primary factors; the first being gravity of the offense. Obviously, there is no more serious offense in Wisconsin than first degree intentional homicide, that for which the defendant has been convicted. The PSI writer and the evidence, in fact, recognizes that this particular homicide was premeditated; that is, it was planned. And Mr. Avery targeted Ms Halbach as his victim and this became not just a senseless, but what the State argues to be a sadistic crime.

The Defense, as you heard today, complained about the versions as to the manner of death and, certainly, what lead up to it. But whatever version this Court adopts, there is no sanitized or polite way to describe and discuss
how this lovely young woman was killed.
The community's worst nightmare, the State argues, is violence perpetrated against somebody, either random or targeted, for no apparent reason. And when considering, then, the gravity of this particular offense, we're asking the Court consider the violence that was used, as well as the surrounding circumstances.

The character of the defendant is perhaps easiest to discuss when looking at the defendant's past. Whenever Mr. Avery was not incarcerated, that is, when he was not in prison or jail and when he was allowed to make his own kinds of decisions, we look at the kind of behaviors that he engaged in. It's clear, Judge, that Mr . Avery chose criminal behavior as the preferred type of behavior, since he was a very young man.

Page 6 of the presentence report chronicles Mr. Avery's criminal history, which this Court knows includes several burglary convictions for which he was placed on probation. His probation was revoked and, thereafter, sent to prison.

It includes a horrific story of torture
of an animal committed by Mr. Avery in 1982.
And, finally, in 1985, includes endangering the safety of a young woman by forcing her off the roadway, pointing a gun at her and ordering her into his vehicle. This pattern of behavior certainly goes to the character of the defendant and is all behavior while Mr. Avery was not in a locked up or confined setting.

The presentence also chronicles how Mr. Avery behaved after his release in 2003, and most particularly, includes the statements of his then girlfriend, Jodi Stachowski, where she describes Mr. Avery's bad temper, describes him as being abusive, describes threats to kill him and, in fact, claims by Mr. Avery that he could get away with it if he chose to kill Ms Stachowski.

I didn't read anywhere, Judge, in this presentence report, nor in the volumes of information that, certainly, $I$ had available to me in preparing for the trial, that would suggest any mitigating or redeeming social qualities that Mr. Avery brings before this court. Certainly no remorse has been showed, no acceptance of
responsibility, which in and of itself is not a significant factor, but when considering the character of the defendant, it is certainly something that this Court can and should consider.

Lastly, Judge, the need to protect the public perhaps is the most important of the three primary factors for the Court to consider. And this Court has the opportunity, as you heard not only in oral statements today but in written submissions, to ensure that this kind of crime never happens, at least by Mr. Avery's hand.

The presentence writer describes Mr. Avery as being a very high risk to reoffend. And in the presentence writer's words, suggests that this Court guarantee that Mr. Avery never see the light of day again, outside of the prison walls.

The victim's family, which is important and appropriate for this Court to consider, all suggest that Mr . Avery have no possibility of release, not only piece of mind for the family, but, again, considering his recidivism or risk to reoffend.

And, finally, Judge, I think it appropriate for this Court to consider those
values and those considerations that the community has. Although, certainly not something that is well publicized, this is a small community, something difficult for the State to imagine a community being more impacted by a crime than that committed by Mr. Avery.

Assurance for the community, that is, assurance that Mr. Avery will not be available to commit these other crimes, is something appropriate for this Court to consider.

That brings me, then, Judge, to our recommendations and brings me to that point in this trial that is a very important part of the trial; that is, of course, the sentencing and the State's recommendations.

The Halbach family has been forced not only to come to terms with the loss of a loved one, but also to consider her last moments on earth, at the hands of Mr. Avery. This Court, through the past 18 months, has also heard, that despite the high quality and professional investigation that was performed, that law enforcement officers have been subjected to both professional and personal attack throughout, including allegations of criminal wrongdoing
themselves.
In fact, Judge, the entire community, that is, Northeast Wisconsin, has been required to endure a year and a half of a combination of very sad news, a combination of witnessing what $I$ will characterize as legal gamesmanship, in one version or another, of the term "poor Steven Avery".

Well, today, Judge, is the day that the Halbach family and law enforcement and the entire community has waited for. It's the day when justice will reign down upon this cold-blooded killer. When this community, through this court, and through this Judge, tells Steven Avery that your evil deeds will be punished, that you will be held accountable for your cowardly, for your senseless acts, the State then believes, and only then, justice is going to be served.

The Court has seen a videotape today and the Court has heard from many of Ms Halbach's family and friends and knows now, or at least should know, that Teresa Halbach was a genuinely lovely young woman. Her whole life was ahead of her. She was a talented photographer; loved by her family and by her friends; was active in the
community; and her loss continues to be felt by all of those individuals who knew her and who loved her.

It isn't just how she died that has caused pain and has horrified this family, but the memory of how she lived and the memory of the kind of person that she could have become, that is so painful.

Judge, I'm asking, on behalf of the State of Wisconsin, that this Court consider the gravity of the offense, the character of the defendant, the need to protect the public and sentence this man to life imprisonment without the possibility of release; that is, without the possibility of an extended supervision time.

There is, Judge, a second count; that is, possession of a firearm by a felon, which I guess necessarily isn't discussed in great detail in this case, but the State will argue that Mr. Avery receive a consecutive period of confinement. What the State has available is 5 years initial confinement, 5 years extended supervision. We're asking the Court include that as a consecutive sentence to whatever it is that the Court decides as to the homicide count.

That's all the comments we have, Judge.
I will remind the Court, which I'm sure the Court already knows, Mr. Avery will have the opportunity to have some credit applied to whatever sentence the Court imposes. That would be from, I believe, the 9th of November, 2005, when he was first taken into custody. That's all Judge. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, I believe you are going to argue for the Defense.

ATTORNEY STRANG: I am, but I'm going to turn to Steven Avery now, first, because I think if he is to speak, he should do that first. And I expect that what he has to say will not be easy for anyone sitting behind me and it won't be easy for him, but I at least would invite him to speak now if he wishes.

THE COURT: Mr. Avery, do you -- You have the right to allocution. If you wish, I would let you speak after the attorneys have made their arguments, but $I$ will also grant your attorney's wish and let you speak now, if you wish to speak now.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Strang, has the order been
discussed with Mr. Avery? Does he understand he could speak after you are done if he wishes?

ATTORNEY STRANG: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Avery, I will hear from you at this time.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, your Honor, I'm sorry for the Halbach's family, Teresa Halbach's family, what they are going through, the pain, the hate they got. There's nothing else going to bring her back, you know. And my family, what they are going through, and everybody's friends, and the community, it's hurting everybody.

And for myself, Teresa Halbach I didn't kill. I am innocent of what all of this. And I figure later on, I will prove myself innocent. I will take it from there. That's all I got. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang.
ATTORNEY STRANG: Thank you, your Honor. One of the things we're left to do in every human endeavor is to take each other, one another, just as we are and just as we find ourselves today. We aren't given impressions, none of us are given the ability, entirely, to shape even our own future let alone the future of a world we would imagine or want
to live in as perfected.
If your Honor or anyone else in this courtroom had a way to prevent one more murder from ever happening in this human family, anywhere in the world or in the State of Wisconsin as one small corner of the world, I willingly would follow your honor or anyone else to the ends of that world in pursuit of ending the time when human beings kill one another. It's a subject on which I feel deeply. And what I feel doesn't much matter here, but I would do that.

If I could get governments to stop killing people; if I could get men to stop killing women; if I could get parents to stop killing their kids; if $I$ could stop human killing, I would follow anyone who had the solution for that.

We never have been able to stop it for as long as human beings have lived in society. Since -- I guess since homo erectus yielded to homo sapiens, we have acted without much sapientiae -- to use the Latin in our specie's name -- far too often and we have killed one another.

Since I despair of ever stopping murder, I would say this, that my wish, my secondary wish short of stopping murder, would be that every, every person who has the misfortune of being murdered might be grieved as Teresa Halbach has been grieved. Everyone of them, I wish they could be grieved by as many loved ones, by a family and a group of friends who have shown as much dignity, who have shown as much decency, who have as much bedrock faith in human beings to rely upon when it gets as bad as it can get. I would wish that for anyone. If there has to be another murder somewhere today, I would wish that for the victim.

And it brings your Honor, unfortunately, to what to do. And, understandably, the people to my left, who are themselves loyal workers within one of the institutions of the state, one of the institutions of criminal justice as we attempt to administer it as human beings; and the people behind them who, as law enforcement officers, also are members of a state institution dedicated to criminal justice; and in the community behind them, who, because we live in a community, want to have faith in our state
institutions and in the hope of justice in criminal cases.

I understand, I think well after 20 years of doing this work and even thinking about it from time to time, why there is the need and the desire and the rightful wish to rely on those institutions of criminal justice. They are the front end institutions of criminal justice with whom and with which we have the most direct contact.

It is the police who respond to the missing person. It is the State, through its police and district attorney, who investigate the murder, who seek to bring the perpetrator to justice; the district attorney who must file charges, prosecute them in court.

Your Honor belongs to one of the institutions of criminal justice that work at the front end of our search for justice, presides over a trial, imposes sentence. It is natural and right to want to rely on these institutions.

What I ask today, concretely, is that we leave room to rely, as well, on the back end institutions of the same state, the same system of pursuing criminal justice. At the back end,
the state institutions that we find are the Department of Corrections and the Parole Commission which continues to exist in that name, although it is extended supervision that the law now describes for the Parole Commission's consideration.

There is reason not to exclude those back end institutions from the State's effort to pursue criminal justice as well. They serve useful purposes and, indeed, today marks the end of the role of the front end institutions of criminal justice.

The circuit court completes its final act today, or shortly after, with the entry of a final judgment. The police have completed their work, for functional purposes. The district attorney, finally, is relieved of the burden of this case; and if there's to be an appeal, passes it to still another institution in the assemblage of our state institutions that pursue criminal justice.

So today, after about 19 months, just over a year and a half, the front end institutions have completed their work and done their best. The back end institutions, if this

Court were to do as I will ask the Court, will not begin to make decisions about Steven Avery until they have had 20 years of experience with him, rather than 19 months.

They won't begin to consider what should be done with him until they have had 20 years direct experience with Steven Avery and, I hope, 20 years of experience with the Halbach family and with the community who loved Teresa Halbach.

Concretely, my plea today is simply to place the same faith in the back end institutions of criminal justice that we repose on the front end institutions. So mine, your Honor, is a plea to preserve the prospects of progress.

We find, today, someone who is unremorseful, who is not prepared to ask for forgiveness, who asserts innocence. That's who we find today. It is beyond our power to know, in any godlike way, whether the claim of innocence is false or true; and if false, why it is made. It simply is beyond our power.

What we can do and what we must do, because we're human, is rely on the good functioning of the front end institutions of criminal justice, interlocking as they are, but
each with their own character: Court, jury -- an important front end institution in our system of justice; prosecution; police. We have to rely on them.

We cannot pretend to have an omniscient knowledge about what's in his heart or what he did. And we are right to rely on these institutions. It's the best we can do today. But if we are to do our best, then we will leave open the prospects for progress. And we will repose the same reliance and faith in the back end institutions that our state provides in its pursuit of criminal justice.

Progress is not just possible, it's unavoidable for everything that lives. I think progress for Steven Avery is not just possible, it's unavoidable. Progress for the Halbach family, and all those in the community who loved Teresa Halbach, is not just possible, it's inevitable. Every living thing progresses. And progress, indeed, for the state's own institutions of criminal justice, is not just possible, it is inevitable.

I speak of the truth, that everything that lives, that is vital, progresses; only death
is static. Life, inevitably, invariably, is dynamic; only death is static. I take these as truths.

And in saying that, I ask your Honor, today, to impose a life sentence and not a death sentence. I ask your Honor to impose a dynamic, vital sentence of life that will leave the full role for the other institutions of criminal justice into whose hands you will tender Steven Avery today and whose work will be longer, more intimate than the work of the front end institutions can be and who themselves will have the benefit of progress.

To pretermit the work of the Parole Commission and the Department of Corrections today is to render static the rest of Steven Avery's biological life; to render static the participation of the Halbach's in the horror that brings them here; and to render static, as to Steven Avery at least, every further and human improvement that may come to our institutions. It is to impose a death sentence with only the indeterminate and uncontrollable factor of the cessation of biological functioning to intervene. It is to cut off all prospects of
progress, to deny parole eligibility.
Now, people who are a lot smarter than I am argue about whether progress is necessarily regenerative or whether it's degenerative; whether progress necessarily, in the end, means expansion or collapse; whether progress necessarily is good or whether sometimes the progress of human life and the world is bad.

But we don't have to get into that debate because there is always progress in life. It moves forward. It's dynamic. And when the institutions are left in place and left the powers that they can exercise, it will be dynamic and not static. Progress is good. Whether it leads to collapse or expansion, whether it is regenerative or degenerative, it's good.

Steven Avery not only may change, he necessarily will change, over time. It may give him a chance, some day, to ask for the forgiveness that the Halbach's wish to hear him solicit. And he would have a reason to do it, here, among us, the human family, rather than on knee, bent in prayer, if indeed the prospect of eligibility for extended supervision is left open as a prospect for progress.

The Halbach's will change, because they will live. By the year 2025, I urge your Honor to give them a chance to speak again, to speak to the Parole Commission, to tell the parole commission what, then, in 2025, is in their hearts and in their minds. And I expect that they would have that opportunity again in 2030, or 2035, in 2045, perhaps.

Don't expect parole or extended supervision to be granted at its first opportunity, if ever. And as part of why I say we don't have to decide what progress means in the end, because the outcome may be the same. Steven Avery may die of natural causes tomorrow. He may die of natural causes when he is 85. And it may well be, if your Honor imposes the life sentence that $I$ ask, that he dies in prison at age 85. That well may be.

Eligibility for extended supervision is no promise of its grant. And if in 2025, or 2035, or 2045, the Halbachs view Mr. Avery's proper place as remaining behind prison walls, then the Parole Commission ought to hear that. And they ought to have a chance to say it. And if they feel something differently,
which of course I can't predict, no one with any humility could predict, then the Parole Commission ought to hear that as well.

All $I$ can say with any confidence, the one narrow prediction $I$ can make with any confidence at all, is that if, for example, Mike Halbach is given a chance to speak again, 25 years from now or 30 years from now, my confident prediction is that he will not photocopy the eloquent written statement he submitted with the presentence report. He will not photocopy the already different and progressed eloquent written statement he gave here today.

He will not stand on those words alone at 45, eloquent as they were for a 25 year old today. He will have progressed. There will be new nuance, new life experience, new perception and understanding that will have been acquired. And it may lead him to say that Steven Avery should remain in prison. So be it. It's something worth hearing and it's a prospect for progress we ought not cut off.

The state institutions of criminal justice themselves will change and grow and progress over the 20 years before Mr. Avery even
would be eligible for consideration of extended supervision, under the best of circumstances.

Thank heavens that in 1985 progress was not pretermitted as to one of Mr . Avery's prior convictions. Thank heavens progress was possible within our institutions of criminal justice. I do thank heaven for that.

And if this conviction is rightly
obtained and a guilty man is behind bars, then we will know that better in 20 years, or 30 years, or 40 years, or 50 years. We will know that with more certainty than we know it today. It's the nature of progress and the refinement of human institutions.

So, whatever the outcome, whether he never is released on extended supervision in his lifetime or whether he is, there will have been growth, greater understanding, a hope of reconciliation or even redemption. And all of that will come with progress.

To pretermit that prospect of progress today by saying that the back end institutions of criminal justice have no role to play, there will be no consideration of eligibility, or no eligibility and no consideration for extended
supervision, is to draw a line in time after which progress cannot benefit or affect Steven Avery, or the Halbach family, or as to him, the institutions of criminal justice.

Now, your Honor, in no other field of human endeavor known to me do we cut off the prospect of progress. If 10 years from now Mr. Avery comes down with some dread disease, comes down with cancer and he has to be treated in the Department of Corrections and he is turned over to those who practice medicine instead of practicing law; they will not say we can and will treat you only with the methods of diagnosis and treatment and cure known in 2007. If the cancer occurs in 2017, they will treat him with the benefits of human progress through the day in which he's diagnosed.

If some psychological need develops for him or for anyone else in the Department of Corrections and we turn from law to psychology, that psychologist will not say, you were incarcerated in 2005 and, therefore, I will treat you only on the basis of the body of knowledge that we had in 2005, although the calendar today says 2030. It would be unthinkable in any other
field of human endeavor.
Historians, for example, do not feel themselves bound to assess Abraham Lincoln's life by what was known about it in 1865. In every other area of human endeavor we rely on and draw the benefits from progress. Law should do the same and it can.

We have institutions at the back end of our system of criminal justice just as competent, just as dedicated, as those at the front end. And those institutions will be able to make contemporaneous judgments and judgments on the basis of 20 , or 25 , or 30 , or 40 , or 50 years experience, not the year and a half under which all of us labor today.

Finally, I want to say that if your Honor accepts the invitation to foreclose consideration for extended supervision today, your Honor, in doing so, and I don't -- I understand that these are the wishes of most of the people in this room, certainly those to your Honor's right, behind me.

But I say, all the same, that if your Honor takes up that invitation, then from this day forward, as a matter of criminal justice,
quite apart from the role she will continue to play in the hearts of her friends and her family and her community, but as a matter of criminal justice, if your Honor takes up that invitation, Teresa Halbach becomes an abstraction and one quickly forgotten, because there are a lot of murders in our human family.

But if the Halbachs and the community have the chance to speak and be heard by the institutions of criminal justice that work at the back end, their words will be actuated by their memories of Teresa Halbach's values, by their memories of her life, by the memories of what she believed in. Their words will be actuated, in part, by that.

And 20 years from now, those who loved her best will speak for Teresa Halbach and speak accurately of what she valued and loved. Thirty years from now they will do that. And 20 and 30 and 40 years from now, the Parole Commission will have to consider Teresa Halbach, not as an abstraction, but as somebody who's part of progress and, therefore, vital in the way that I have described it.

And if the words that people speak at
that point, actuated by love and memory of Teresa Halbach, are words without mercy, words without reconciliation, words of fear for the community safety, then so be it. Then so be it. He should hear it, the Parole Commission should hear it, we all should hear it. And if they are something else, then so be that. We can't know what will happen between now and then. I ask your honor only to let it happen.

I'm asking the Court to take the harder course, the one that is not easy, but also the one that is not pointless. I'm asking the Court to show as much faith in the back end institutions of criminal justice as in its front end institutions. I'm asking the Court to preserve the prospects of progress.

In making that request, $I$ want to add that I agree entirely with those who loved Teresa Halbach, about one point they made unanimously in particular; that is this, that there are many lessons to learn from the life of Teresa Halbach, many lessons that can be learned from the life of Teresa Halbach. Unfortunately, I know of only one lesson that can be learned from Teresa Halbach's death; and that is, that imposing death
on another human being is easy and it's pointless and it's wrong.

And in the hope that we have all learned that one lesson from her death, I'm asking this Court not to take the easy course, not to take the pointless course, but to preserve the prospects of progress. And with progress, to allow the lessons of Teresa Halbach's life themselves to live.

I'm asking the Court to exercise its discretion under Section 973.014 (1g)(a), 1 and to leave Mr. Avery eligible, eligible for consideration for extended supervision in 20 years. I have not the slightest expectation, today, that he will walk out of prison in his lifetime. But $I$ have a hope and a faith in progress, including his. And so, in a real sense, I'm asking for the life sentence, not the death sentence.

THE COURT: Does the State have anything in rebuttal?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Mr. Avery, although I have already heard from you, traditionally, the Court allows the defendant to have the last word, if you
will, and I'm going to give you another chance to say anything additional, if you wish. I will let you speak with your attorneys for a few minutes, first, if you want. And if there's any other statement you wish to make to the Court, I will allow you to make it at this time.

ATTORNEY BUTING: He is fine.
THE COURT: Very well. I'm going to take a recess at this time to review the arguments of the parties, the statements of the victims, and other information that's been presented. The Court will be back out with a sentence in about 20 minutes. (Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Before the Court announces its sentence in this case, I want to remind all those present that this is a court of law. The Court recognizes the emotional nature of this case and its importance to all parties involved; however, any vocal outburst or displays of emotion will not be tolerated. Any violation will result in removal from the courtroom and possible arrest.

At the outset, I wish to express the Court's sympathy to Mr. and Mrs. Halbach and the other members of the Teresa Halbach family. During the course of these proceedings, I have
had the opportunity to observe how your family has handled an extremely difficult situation with dignity and poise.

I'm sure that your ability as a family to focus on the joy and happiness of Teresa's life, rather than the tragic circumstances of her death, has been a source of strength for your family as well as an inspiration to other families who have experienced personal tragedies.

The Court is highly aware that in many ways this case is unique, even among homicide cases which, fortunately, are rare in this county. This was the longest criminal trial in the history of Manitowoc County. The case has received more publicity than any other trial in the counties history. But, nevertheless, the rules that the Court is to apply in sentencing are the same as they are for other criminal cases.

At the conclusion of the trial, the Court instructed the jury that the jurors were not to be swayed by sympathy, prejudice, or passion. The State, the defendant, and the victim are all entitled to the same consideration from the Court in imposing a fair and just
sentence in this case.
With respect to sentencing recommendations on the homicide charge, the State has recommended that the Court impose the mandatory sentence of life in prison without the possibility of extended supervision.

The Defense has recommended that the Court impose a sentence of life in prison, but authorize eligibility for extended supervision after 20 years.

The PSI writer recommends that the Court impose a sentence which will not allow for the defendant's release from prison.

Those are the recommendations presented to the Court today.

The law in Wisconsin directs the Court to consider three primary factors; specifically, the severity of the offense, the character of the offender and the needs of society. The law also requires the Court to impose the minimum amount of custody or confinement which is consistent with these factors.

Although in a case of first degree intentional homicide the Court is required to impose a life sentence, the Court understands
this directive to apply to its determination of when and if a defendant, sentenced to life in prison, is eligible for extended supervision.

First of all, with respect to the gravity of the offense, the charge of first degree intentional homicide is the most serious crime known to the law. The evidence in this case, although largely circumstantial, was more than sufficient, in the Court's mind, to sustain the jury's verdict and the Court so ruled earlier in this case.

We know that the victim in this case, Teresa Halbach, suffered at least two gunshot wounds to her head. Because of the condition of the body, it could not be conclusively stated whether the gunshots were the cause of her death or whether other means were used and the gunshots were post mortem.

The blood evidence demonstrated that at some point after the death, Mr. Avery, the defendant, transported Teresa Halbach's body in the back of her vehicle.

There was also evidence introduced at trial that the defendant lured Teresa Halbach to his home on that day.

There was evidence that he specifically requested that she be the person sent to take the photo of the vehicle for sale.

There was also evidence that there were two cell phone calls he made to her on that day on which he blocked his number indicating a desire to conceal his identity.

Because of the condition of the body that was discovered, there were many things about the homicide that, necessarily, are not known. Among those are the defendant's precise motivation for committing this crime. However, while the motivation cannot be known with certainty, the circumstantial and scientific evidence was strong that the defendant's actions in killing Teresa Halbach were premeditated.

The effects of this crime on the victim's family will be permanent. Teresa Halbach's vibrant life was brutally taken from her at a very young age. Her parents, siblings, and other family members have lost a loved one forever, as emotionally detailed in their statements to the Court. This is not a case in which any tool of restorative justice can repair the devastating and permanent damage caused by

Mr. Avery's actions.
With respect to the other charge, the felon in possession of a firearm charge, the severity of that charge is generally determined by the circumstances in which the weapon was possessed. In this case, those circumstances are aggravated because the weapon was used in connection with an intentional homicide.

Moreover, there's no evidence to suggest that the homicide in this case was the result of any loss of temper or anything in that area, but rather was the result of a calculated murder. In addition, the victim in this case had no prior meaningful relationship of any kind with the defendant, Steven Avery.

The Court is also directed to consider the character of the offender. That background is contained largely in the Presentence Investigation Report. Mr. Avery was 43 years old at the time the crimes in this case were committed.

The record shows that, while he is somewhat below average in intelligence, he is not mentally impaired in any sense. There's no evidence of any serious health problems to speak
of.
Mr. Avery was previously married and had four children. He was divorced while serving a 17 and a half year prison sentence for felony convictions in two cases. First, for endangering safety by conduct regardless of life as a repeater, and felon in possession of a firearm in Case 85 FE 3.

The second sentence arose out of what everyone now acknowledges were wrongful convictions for attempted first degree intentional homicide and related charges in Case 85 FE 118.

The record contained in the PSI demonstrates that Mr. Avery has been regularly involved in criminal activity during those portions of his adult life when he was not incarcerated.

At age 18 he was convicted of his first two felonies, two burglary counts for Manitowoc County. He was originally placed on probation. That probation was subsequently revoked for other criminal violations and he was sentenced to two years in prison.

At about the same time, he was convicted
on three misdemeanor theft counts that were amended down from three burglary counts, for crimes committed in Marinette County.

At age 20, he was again convicted of a burglary in Marinette County and received a 15 month prison sentence, served concurrently with his first prison sentence.

Approximately a month after the Marinette County burglary conviction, Mr. Avery was convicted in Manitowoc County of cruelty to animals, a charge which arose out of an incident in which he poured gasoline on a cat and threw the cat into a bonfire. After the cat escaped the fire and ran into the yard, Mr. Avery reportedly poured more gasoline on the cat, so that it would burn to death.

The Court has -- or did exclude evidence of this crime and other crimes committed by Mr. Avery, during the trial, because of their highly prejudicial effect. However, at sentencing, these crimes become relevant as evidence of the defendant's character.

While the incident involving the cat occurred many years ago, it does demonstrate a level of cruelty that the Court finds difficult
to rationalize simply as a mistake of youth. In 1985, at age 22, Mr. Avery committed his most serious felonies, before the charges in this case. He was convicted of endangering safety by conduct regardless of life as a repeater and felon in possession of a firearm as a repeater. For those charges, he received a 6 year prison sentence.

The Complaint in that case indicated that at 5:30 in the morning, on January 3rd, 1985, Sandra Morris was driving to drop off her infant daughter at her grandparents before reporting to work. On County Highway Y, a vehicle driven by Mr. Avery came up from behind, pulled alongside of her vehicle and struck the side of it forcing her to stop.

Mr. Avery got out of the car. She recognized him when he got out and approached her vehicle, while he was pointing a rifle at her. He originally ordered her to get into his vehicle, but when she pointed out that her infant child was in the car and it would freeze if left alone, Mr. Avery allowed her to leave.

By the time he was 24 years old, when he was sentenced to the prison sentences that
included the sentence on the wrongful conviction, Mr. Avery had been convicted of five felonies and four misdemeanors.

He did not commit any crimes, needless to say, during the next 17 and a half years that he spent in prison. However, while in prison, he sent written correspondence and an audiotape threatening to kill his wife. The threats were considered serious enough that his former wife obtained an order prohibiting him from contact with his children.

His only convictions following his release from prison in September, 2003, are the convictions in this case.

While the majority of Mr. Avery's adult life has been spent in prison, and a significant portion of that time was for a crime he did not commit, the fact remains that, during his time out of prison as an adult, Mr. Avery has regularly committed crimes, including serious felonies.

Before leaving the character of the offender issue, I want to make one point. The Court gives no weight, whatsoever, to Mr. Avery's refusal to admit guilt in this case. The Court
believes it would be improper to consider that as an aggravating factor. It is Mr. Avery's absolute right to maintain his innocence, even in the face of the jury's verdicts in this case. One need only look at Mr. Avery's wrongful convictions in the 1986 case to understand the rationale for this rule.

While the defendant's acknowledgment of guilt can be considered as a mitigating factor, and that is not present here, nevertheless, the converse of the rule is not true. A refusal to admit guilt is not an aggravating factor.

The most important factors, from the Court's perspective, on the issues of severity of the offense and character of the offender are, first, the vicious nature of the crime in this case. The indications that Mr . Avery consciously selected the victim in this case, the fact that there was no rational reason for the crime, no prior relationship to the victim, this wasn't a domestic dispute; it appeared to be simply a calculated, premeditated murder.

There were probably other background explanations for what happened, but the Court is not going to speculate as to what those might be,
or go beyond the evidence that was introduced at the trial.

With respect to the character of the offender, the Court finds it to be most significant that Mr. Avery has been involved in serious criminal activity, on a regular basis, during those times of his adult life when he was not incarcerated. Significantly, while most criminals become more law abiding as they get older, the record demonstrates Mr. Avery's crimes have gotten more serious as he's gotten older, culminating with the homicide of Teresa Halbach in this case.

The final consideration for the Court is -- or are, the needs of society. In the Court's opinion, those needs are the most important factor in this case. I have to say, Mr. Avery, that what particularly strikes the Court as I was preparing for today's proceedings, as the -- is the continuing danger that you pose to those around you, evidenced not only by the homicide in this case, but by its timing in your life.

Whatever crimes may have been a part of your past, at the time you committed this
homicide, everything suggested that your life was poised to take a turn for the better. By October 31 of 2005, you had legitimately become a standard barer for the success of the Wisconsin Innocence Project. Nobody doubted that you were innocent of the crimes for which you were exonerated, and that project was instrumental in securing your freedom.

The fact that everyone acknowledged that you had been wrongly convicted made you a subject of sympathy, not only in this community, but across the State. The State legislature introduced legislation which bore your name, extending greater protections to those accused of crimes in order to reduce the future potential for wrongful convictions. Legislators were questioning whether the State shouldn't be increasing the maximum amount of compensation which can be awarded to persons who were wrongfully incarcerated.

You were also the plaintiff in a civil suit against Manitowoc County, which promised to bring you significant compensation for your lengthy period of wrongful incarceration. Even with the specter of the homicide charges in this
case hanging over your head, your attorneys were able to settle that case for $\$ 400,000$. However, despite having the widespread sympathy of the public, and the prospects for a significant financial award, you committed the horrible crime that brings you here to be sentenced today.

All the evidence suggests that this was not a crime of passion, but rather of planned premeditation. There is no indication, that I saw in the trial, that you were under the influence of alcohol or drugs at the time. The victim was not a acquaintance with which you had any particular relationship that could have given rise to any type of domestic disturbance, but was a mere business acquaintance. Moreover, the crime was not the result of a youthful lapse of judgment; you were 43 years old at the time you committed the crime.

In terms of assessing your danger to society, the evidence forces me to conclude that you are probably the most dangerous individual ever to set foot in this courtroom.

Your attorney has argued eloquently that the Court should make you eligible for release at some point in the future. But from what I see,
nothing in your life suggests that society would ever be safe from your behavior.

One of the things that strikes me the most is that, as you have grown older, your crimes have increased in severity. This crime was committed at a time when you were 43 years old. Given the trend of your crimes, society has a legitimate right to be concerned that there is a serious risk you would reoffend and commit serious offenses if you were ever permitted to be released from prison.

The moral need for punishment as a result of the gruesome murder in this case, coupled with the danger that the Court believes you pose to society based on your prior history and the premeditated and senseless nature of the homicide in this case, compels the Court to conclude you should not be free again.

For the foregoing reasons, on the first degree intentional homicide charge, the Court sentences you to life in prison and determines that you will not be eligible for release to extended supervision.

On the felon in possession of a firearm charge, the Court sentences you to a penalty of

10 years in prison, consisting of 5 years initial confinement, followed by 5 years extended supervision; that sentence to run concurrent with your sentence on the first degree intentional homicide charge.

In light of the Court's sentence on the first degree intentional homicide charge, the Court is not going to set any specific conditions of extended supervision on the possession of a firearm charge.

The Court has been notified that, in terms of sentence credit, you are entitled to credit of 568 days, that being the period of time from November 9 of 2005, to the present.

I'm required to notify you that you will be losing your voting rights as a result of your conviction in this case. We have a paper that explains those rights for you.

I also have for you a Written Explanation of Indeterminate Sentence, which the Court is required to prepare on the firearms charge. I will hand that to you before you leave today.

I will also notify you that you do have the right to seek post conviction relief in this
case. You have 20 days in which to file a notice of motion. We have a form your attorneys will go over with you before you leave today. Is there anything further from either party?

ATTORNEY KRATZ: No, Judge. THE COURT: Mr. Strang. ATTORNEY STRANG: No. THE COURT: If not, these proceedings are adjourned.
(Proceedings concluded.)
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die [7] 8/15 30/22 30/23 30/25
31/1 49/14 49/15
died [8] 7/20 8/15 8/17 8/20 8/25
9/1 15/21 38/4
dies [1] 49/17
different [4] 16/5 23/21 24/9
50/12
differently [1] 49/25
difficult [4] 24/19 36/4 58/2 64/25
dignity [2] $42 / 9$ 58/3
direct [3] 11/23 43/9 45/7
directed [1] 62/16
directive [1] 60/1
directs [1] 59/16
disagreeing [1] 11/2
discovered [1] 61/9
discretion [1] 56/11
discuss [2] 32/25 33/10
discussed [2] 38/18 40/1
disease [1] 52/8
displayed [1] 21/12
displays [1] 57/19
disposition [1] 5/17
disprove [1] 8/7
disproved [1] 7/9
dispute [1] 67/21
disputed [5] 9/20 9/21 $12 / 1$ 13/15 14/5
disputes [3] 5/4 13/6 17/5
disregarded [1] 28/18
distant [1] 26/5
district [5] 3/7 6/20 43/13 43/15
44/16
disturbance [1] 70/14
divorced [1] 63/3
document [1] 4/15
doesn't [1] 41/11
doing [5] 28/5 28/16 29/5 43/4 53/19
domestic [2] 67/21 70/14
done [3] 40/2 44/24 45/6
Doubt [1] 30/18
doubted [1] 69/5
down [4] 37/12 52/8 52/9 64/2
draw [2] 52/1 53/5
dread [1] 52/8
driven [1] 65/14
driving [1] 65/11
drop [1] 65/11
drugs [1] 70/11
due [3] 6/1 9/23 12/21
during [6] 57/25 63/16 64/19 66/5 66/1 8 68/7
DVD [2] 19/16 31/15
DVDs [1] $7 / 1$
dynamic [4] $47 / 247 / 648 / 1148 / 13$
E
each [3] 20/18 40/21 46/1
earlier [2] 4/2 60/10
earth [1] 36/19
easiest [1] 33/10
easily [1] 21/16
easy [6] $21 / 19$ 39/14 39/15 55/11
56/1 56/5
education [1] 5/11
effect [1] 64/20
effects [1] 61/17
effort [1] 44/8
either [4] 18/4 31/12 33/4 73/4
elaborate [1] 5/7
eligibility [8] 3/25 4/1 48/1 48/24
49/1951/2451/25 59/9
eligible [9] 3/24 4/4 32/4 51/1
56/12 56/12 60/3 70/24 71/22
eloquent [3] 50/10 50/12 50/15
eloquently [1] 70/23
else [9] 15/9 16/23 28/1 31/11
40/9 41/2 41/7 52/19 55/7
else's [1] 29/9
email [1] 21/11
emotion [2] 21/3 57/19
emotional [1] 57/17
emotionally[2] 24/7 61/22
emotions [1] 25/14
end [25] 17/1 27/25 43/8 43/19
43/23 43/25 44/8 44/10 44/11
44/23 44/25 45/11 45/13 45/24
46/2 46/12 47/11 48/5 49/13
$51 / 2253 / 853 / 1054 / 1155 / 13$

## 55/15

endangering [3] 34/3 63/5 65/4
endeavor [4] 40/21 52/6 53/1 53/5
ending [2] 28/19 41/8
ends [1] 41/8
endure [1] 37/4
enforcement [3] 36/23 37/10 42/21
engaged [1] 33/15
enough [5] 6/21 9/17 13/4 31/10
66/9
ensure [2] 29/8 35/11
entire [3] 12/6 37/2 37/10
entirely [3] 15/13 40/24 55/18
entitled [2] 58/24 72/12
entry [1] 44/14
environment [1] 23/25
erectus [1] 41/21
escaped [1] 64/13
especially [1] 24/21
even [14] 7/23 10/19 11/11 12/22
12/23 21/24 26/22 40/24 43/4
50/25 51/19 58/11 67/3 69/24
events [8] $7 / 5$ 8/3 $10 / 18$ 12/3 $12 / 8$
21/7 21/20 22/22
ever [6] $41 / 442 / 149 / 11$ 70/22
71/2 71/10
every [9] $26 / 1826 / 2227 / 1740 / 20$
42/3 42/4 46/20 47/20 53/4
everybody [1] 40/12
everybody's [1] 40/11
everyone [6] 20/24 28/1 30/16
42/6 63/10 69/9
everything [8] 11/2 19/24 25/17
| $25 / 2231 / 846 / 1546 / 24$ 69/1
evidence [25] 7/10 7/19 8/5 8/17
10/1811/611/811/1513/814/23
16/15 32/15 60/7 60/19 60/23
61/1 61/4 61/15 62/9 62/25 64/17
64/22 68/1 70/7 70/20
evidenced [1] 68/21
evil [3] 23/22 24/23 37/15
evils [1] 24/8
example [3] $8 / 1050 / 653 / 2$
exception [1] 18/24
exclude [2] 44/7 64/17
excuse [2] 18/20 23/15
exercise [3] 18/10 48/13 56/10
exist [1] 44/3
exists [1] 22/10
exonerated [1] 69/7
expansion [2] 48/6 48/15
expect [4] 18/9 39/14 49/6 49/9
expectation [1] 56/14
experience [5] 45/3 45/7 45/8
50/1753/14
experienced [1] 58/9
experiencing [1] 25/25
Explaining[1] 24/14
explains [1] 72/18
Explanation [1] 72/20
explanations [1] 67/24
express [3] 16/6 16/7 57/22
extended [21] 3/24 4/5 4/10 32/4
38/15 38/22 44/4 48/24 49/9
49/19 51/1 51/16 51/25 53/18
56/13 59/6 59/9 60/3 71/23 72/2
72/9
extending [1] 69/14
extra [1] 15/4
extremely [1] 58/2
eyes [1] 26/13
F
face [1] 67/4
facilities [1] 7/16
fact [9] $7 / 68 / 1110 / 1832 / 15$
34/16 37/2 66/1 8 67/18 69/9
factor [6] 35/2 47/23 67/2 67/9 67/12 68/17
factors [6] 32/6 32/10 35/8 59/17
59/22 67/13
facts [11] 5/23 6/18 8/6 9/21 $11 / 25$
12/22 $13 / 15$ 13/15 14/4 16/10 16/11
factual [2] 7/13 15/11
factually [2] 7/10 12/15
fair [1] 58/25
faith [9] 20/25 22/25 24/18 42/10
42/25 45/11 46/11 55/13 56/16
fall [1] 20/22
FALLON [2] $1 / 13$ 3/8
false [4] 5/25 6/14 45/20 45/20
families [1] 58/9
family[39] $5 / 10$ 8/9 8/23 17/23
18/22 20/23 24/11 24/12 25/16
27/13 27/20 28/21 29/11 30/3
$30 / 2035 / 1835 / 2136 / 1637 / 10$
37/2 $137 / 25$ 38/5 40/7 40/7 40/10
$41 / 442 / 845 / 846 / 1848 / 2252 / 3$
54/2 54/7 57/24 58/1 58/4 58/8 61/1861/21
far [3] 13/19 16/11 41/24
FE [2] 63/8 63/13
fear [1] 55/3
feel [16] 16/9 21/7 25/18 26/15
26/1 8 26/20 27/3 27/7 27/21

| F | fun [1] 20/9 | $13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```feel... [7] 27/22 29/24 30/21 41/10 41/11 49/25 53/2 feeling [2] 21/3 21/5 feelings [2] 16/6 25/14 feels [1] 26/22 felon [7] 3/17 4/6 38/17 62/3 63/7 65/6 71/24 felonies [4] 63/20 65/3 66/2 66/21``` | functioning [2] 45/24 47/24 | 52/3 54/5 54/17 54/21 55/2 55/19 |
|  | further [4] 5/6 17/10 47/20 73/4 | 55/21 55/23 57/23 57/24 60/13 |
|  | Furthermore [1] 24/1 | 60/24 61/16 68/12 |
|  | future [5] 27/10 40/24 40/25 69/15 | Halbach's [12] 9/7 37/20 40/7 40/7 |
|  | 70/25 | 47/18 48/20 49/1 54/12 55/25 |
|  | futures [1] 27/11 | 56/8 60/21 61/19 |
|  | G | Halbachs [2] 49/21 54/8 |
|  | G | half [7] 19/25 26/22 37/4 44/23 |
| felony [3] 3/19 4/7 63/4 | G-r-o-t-h [1] 6/9 | 53/14 63/4 66/5 |
| felt [3] 21/6 29/1 38/1 | GAHN [1] 1/15 | hand [3] 30/12 35/12 72/22 |
| few [2] 17/24 57/3 | gamesmanship [1] 37/6 | handled [1] 58/2 |
| field [2] 52/5 53/1 | gasoline [2] 64/12 64/15 | hands [4] 8/2 23/7 36/19 47/9 |
| fights [1] 20/17 | gave [1] 50/13 | hanging [1] 70/1 |
| figure [1] 40/15 | General [1] 3/8 | happen [3] 27/6 55/8 55/9 |
| file [4] 9/12 31/16 43/15 73/1 | generally [1] 62/4 | happened [4] 9/9 17/5 31/4 67/24 |
| final [3] 44/13 44/15 68/14 | genuinely [1] 37/22 | happening [1] 41/4 |
| finally [7] 11/21 24/4 29/10 34/2 | gets [2] 27/9 42/11 | happens [4] 19/24 24/11 26/24 |
| 35/24 44/17 53/16 | girlfriend [1] 34/13 | 35/12 |
| financial [1] 70/5 | girlfriends [1] 20/17 | happiness [1] 58/5 |
| find [7] 13/1 22/19 25/21 40/22 | girls [3] 24/13 24/15 24/22 | happy [5] 13/7 29/25 31/2 31/2 |
| 44/1 45/15 45/18 | give[5] 11/19 12/2 48/18 49/3 | 31/9 |
| finding [1] 19/3 | 57/1 | harder [1] 55/10 |
| finds [2] 64/25 68/4 | given [8] 5/3 7/14 19/19 40/23 | harm [1] 23/22 |
| fine [4] 4/8 16/19 16/21 57/7 | 40/23 50/7 70/13 71/7 | hate [2] 30/20 40/8 |
| fire [1] 64/14 | gives [1] 66/24 | having [3] 20/9 31/14 70/3 |
| firearm [8] 3/18 4/7 38/17 62/3 | God [2] 28/6 30/9 | head [3] 7/21 60/14 70/1 |
| 63/7 65/6 71/24 72/10 | godlike [1] 45/19 | health [1] 62/25 |
| firearms [1] 72/21 | goes [2] 24/24 34/6 | healthy [1] 30/4 |
| first [24] 3/173/18 19/19 23/21 | going [21] 14/22 15/3 15/24 16/14 | hear [13] 18/12 19/4 19/6 26/4 |
| 28/12 31/25 32/10 32/13 39/7 | 17/7 19/9 19/11 19/12 22/15 | 26/17 31/24 40/5 48/20 49/23 |
| 39/12 39/13 49/10 57/4 59/23 | 22/17 28/13 37/18 39/10 39/11 | 50/3 55/5 55/5 55/6 |
| 60/4 60/5 63/5 63/11 63/19 64/7 | 40/8 40/9 40/10 57/1 57/8 67/25 | heard [6] 32/21 35/9 36/20 37/20 |
| 67/16 71/19 72/4 72/7 | 72/8 | 54/9 56/24 |
| firsthand [1] 25/25 | gone [1] 8/12 | hearing [8] 1/4 3/4 10/25 13/7 |
| five [4] 14/24 19/5 19/16 66/2 | good [14] 3/6 3/10 12/5 19/21 | 18/23 25/24 26/1 50/21 |
| focus [2] 22/25 58/5 | 22/10 23/13 25/21 25/24 27/4 | hearings [1] 11/11 |
| follow [2] 41/7 41/17 | 31/8 45/23 48/7 48/14 48/16 | heart[2] 26/22 46/6 |
| followed [1] 72/2 | goodbye [1] 26/11 | heartbreak [1] 27/22 |
| following [2] 3/16 66/ | goodbyes [1] 26/10 | heartfelt [1] 25/13 |
| foot [1] 70/22 | gotten [2] 68/11 68/11 | hearts [4] 27/13 27/14 49/6 54/2 |
| forced [1] 36/16 | governments [1] 41/13 | heaven [1] 51/7 |
| forces [1] 70/20 | grandparents [1] 65/12 | heavens [2] 51/3 51/5 |
| forcing [2] 34/4 65/16 | grant [3] 18/11 39/21 49/20 | heavily [1] 5/18 |
| foreclose [1] 53/17 | granted [2] 31/22 49/10 | held [1] 37/16 |
| foregoing [3] 71/19 74/7 74/ | gravity [5] 32/7 32/11 33/6 38/11 | help [3] 10/12 24/21 25/1 |
| foreign [1] 21/4 | 60/5 | hereby [1] 74/6 |
| foresaw [1] 24/14 | great [3] 20/13 21/16 38/18 | high [3] 20/15 35/14 36/21 |
| forever [1] 61/22 | greater [3] 22/10 51/1869/14 | highlight [1] 8/24 |
| forget [2] 26/3 26/5 | grieved [3] 42/5 42/6 42/7 | highly [2] 58/10 64/20 |
| forgive [1] 21/14 | Groth [3] 6/9 6/16 14/1 | Highway[1] 65/13 |
| forgiveness [3] 28/3 45/17 48/20 | group [1] 42/8 | himself [3] 27/24 28/1 28/2 |
| Forgiving [1] 21/17 | grow [2] 23/25 50/24 | Historians [1] 53/2 |
| forgotten [2] 24/25 54/6 | grown [1] 71/4 | history[5] 11/16 33/20 58/14 |
| form [1] 73/2 | growth [1] 51/18 | 58/16 71/15 |
| former [1] 66/9 | gruesome [1] 71/13 | hold [3] 6/12 23/8 28/2 |
| forth [1] 7/18 | guarantee [1] 35/16 | holding [1] 30/11 |
| fortunately [1] 58/12 | guess [3] 20/3 38/1841/21 | home [1] 60/25 |
| forward [2] 48/11 53/25 | guide [1] 28/7 | homicide [21] 3/17 3/19 32/13 |
| found [1] 3/15 | guided [1] 28/7 | 32/16 38/25 58/11 59/3 59/24 |
| four [2] 63/3 66/3 | guilt [3] 66/25 67/9 67/12 | 60/6 61/10 62/8 62/10 63/12 |
| free [1] 71/18 | guilty [2] 3/15 51/9 | 68/12 68/22 69/1 69/25 71/17 |
| freedom [1] 69/8 | gun [1] 34/4 | 71/20 72/5 72/7 |
| freeze [1] 65/22 | gunshot [2] 7/21 60/13 | homo [2] 41/21 41/22 |
| friend [1] 25/19 | gunshots [2] 60/16 60/17 | Hon [1] 1/9 |
| friends [9] 8/9 8/24 28/21 30/4 | Gwen [1] 30/18 | honestly [1] 28/13 |
| 37/21 37/25 40/11 42/8 54/2 | H | honor [19] 18/7 39/24 40/6 40/19 |
| friendship [1] 20/14 |  | 41/2 41/7 42/15 43/17 45/13 47/4 |
| front [10] 43/8 43/19 44/11 44/23 | HALBACH [45] 2/3 2/8 2/9 2/10 | 47/6 49/2 49/16 52/5 53/17 53/19 |
| 45/12 45/24 46/2 47/11 53/10 | 7/12 7/19 8/9 8/15 15/21 19/5 | 53/24 54/4 55/8 |
| 55/14 | 19/20 19/21 20/23 24/12 27/13 | Honor's [1] 53/22 |
| full [3] 20/25 24/5 47/7 | 27/18 27/18 28/11 28/11 29/22 | Honorable [1] 27/19 |


| H | indicated [1] 65/9 | \|judge [42] $1 / 103 / 64 / 195 / 810 / 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hope [9] 24/1 24/2 24/20 29/10 | indication [1] 70/9 | 17/17 18/15 19/4 19/12 19/21 |
| 43/1 45/7 51/18 56/3 56/16 | indications [1] 67/17 | 23/7 23/11 23/13 25/2 25/10 |
| hoped [1] 29/11 | individual [1] 70/21 | 26/23 27/12 27/19 28/12 29/13 |
| horrible [1] 70/5 | individually [1] 12/8 | $31 / 13$ 31/18 32/1 33/15 34/19 |
| horrific [2] 23/1 33/25 | individuals [2] 19/12 38/2 | 35/6 35/24 36/1 $137 / 2$ 37/9 37/14 |
| horrified [1] 38/5 | inevitable [2] 46/20 46/23 | 38/9 38/16 39/1 39/8 56/22 73/5 |
| horrifying [2] 21/10 22/3 | inevitably [1] 47/1 | JUDGE'S [1] 2/15 |
| horror [1] 47/18 | infant [2] 65/12 65/21 | judging [1] 26/7 |
| however [12] 3/22 5/15 10/23 | influence [1] 70/11 | judgment [2] 44/15 70/17 |
| 21/16 22/15 23/2 24/24 57/18 | influences [1] 23/23 | judgments [2] 53/12 53/12 |
| 61/12 64/20 66/6 70/2 | information [12] 5/4 5/10 6/3 6/14 | July [1] 74/15 |
| hugs [2] 29/22 29/23 | 6/15 6/21 8/18 12/25 14/3 17/9 | JUNE [1] 1/8 |
| human [18] 40/20 41/4 41/9 41/16 | 34/21 57/11 | jurors [1] 58/21 |
| 41/20 42/10 42/20 45/23 47/20 | initial [3] 4/9 38/22 72/1 | jury [5] 3/16 10/14 28/3 46/1 |
| 48/8 48/22 51/13 52/6 52/16 53/1 | innocence [5] 22/5 45/17 45/20 | 58/21 |
| 53/5 54/7 56/1 | 67/3 69/5 | jury's [2] 60/10 67/4 |
| humiliated [1] 23/5 | innocent [4] 24/15 40/14 40/15 | justice [32] 23/3 23/4 37/12 37/18 |
| humility [1] 50/2 | 69/6 | 42/19 42/23 43/1 43/7 43/8 43/15 |
| Hurley [1] 3/13 | inquire [1] 18/17 | 43/18 43/19 43/25 44/9 44/12 |
| hurt [4] 23/5 23/6 23/9 26/20 | insecurity [1] 22/13 | 44/21 45/12 45/25 46/3 46/13 |
| hurting [1] 40/12 | inside [1] 27/25 | 46/22 47/9 50/24 51/6 51/23 52/4 |
| husband [2] 22/8 26/16 | inspiration [1] 58/8 | 53/9 53/25 54/4 54/10 55/14 |
| I | instead [1] 52/11 | 61/24 |
|  | instill [1] 24/1 | iustification[2] 15/25 16/2 |
| $\begin{array}{\|llll} \operatorname{I'm}[36] & 6 / 23 & 9 / 3 & 9 / 13 \\ 16 / 12 / 715 / 10 \\ 16 / 13 / 17 & 17 / 1219 / 919 / 11 \end{array}$ | instinctively [1] 29/3 | K |
| 19/12 21/5 22/15 22/17 23/17 | institutions [34] 42/18 42/19 43/1 | Keeping [1] 24/18 |
| 23/17 24/12 24/13 25/8 30/22 | 43/7 43/8 43/18 43/21 43/24 44/1 | Ken [1] 3/8 |
| 31/2 31/9 38/9 39/2 39/11 40/6 | 44/8 44/11 44/20 44/24 44/25 | KENNETH [1] 1/11 |
| 55/10 55/12 55/15 56/4 56/10 | 45/11 45/13 45/24 46/8 46/12 | KERI[5] 2/5 23/13 23/17 23/17 |
| 56/18 57/1 57/8 58/4 72/15 | 46/22 47/8 47/12 47/21 48/12 | 23/20 |
| identify [1] 19/12 | 50/23 51/6 51/14 51/22 52/4 53/8 | kids [2] 30/13 41/16 |
| identity [1] 61/7 | 53/11 54/10 55/14 55/15 | kill [5] 34/15 34/17 40/14 41/9 |
| image [1] 8/9 | instructed [1] 58/21 | 66/8 |
| imagination [1] 21/13 | instrumental [1] 69/7 | killed [3] 28/16 33/1 41/24 |
| imagine [4] 25/21 26/3 36/5 40/25 | intellectually [1] 24/7 | killer [1] 37/13 |
| impact [7] 11/17 15/16 15/22 18/5 | intelligence [1] 62/23 | killing [5] 41/14 41/15 41/16 |
| 18/14 18/25 19/16 | intend [2] 11/13 11/19 | 41/1761/16 |
| impacted [1] 36/5 | intends [1] 15/14 | kind [5] 26/8 33/14 35/11 38/7 |
| impaired [1] 62/24 | intentional [10] 3/17 3/19 32/13 | 62/14 |
| importance [1] 57/18 | 59/24 60/6 62/8 63/12 71/20 72/4 | kindness [1] 21/1 |
| important [6] 35/7 35/18 36/13 | 72/7 | kinds [2] 25/14 33/14 |
| 46/2 67/13 68/17 | interlocking [1] 45/25 | knee [1] 48/23 |
| impose [8] 47/5 47/6 47/22 59/4 | interrogation [2] 5/21 7/17 | knowing [4] 25/24 30/1 30/3 30/10 |
| 59/8 59/12 59/20 59/25 | intervene [1] 47/25 | knowledge [3] 46/6 52/23 74/14 |
| imposed [1] 7/16 | interview [1] 9/8 | known [8] 25/14 31/6 52/6 52/14 |
| imposes [3] 39/5 43/20 49/16 | intimate [1] 47/11 | 53/4 60/7 61/10 61/13 |
| imposing [2] 55/25 58/25 | introduce [2] 19/7 19/18 | knows [4] 6/1 33/21 37/21 39/3 |
| impressions [1] 40/23 | introduced [8] 5/19 11/9 11/18 | KRATZ [8] 1/11 2/13 3/8 4/18 |
| imprisonment [1] 38/13 | 14/23 16/16 60/23 68/1 69/13 | 14/25 16/20 18/14 31/24 |
| improper [1] 67/1 | invariably [1] 47/1 | Kratz's [1] 12/17 |
| improvement [1] 47/21 | investigate [1] 43/13 | L |
|  | investigation [5] 4/14 4/18 4/23 |  |
| inaccurate [7] 5/25 6/15 6/20 9/22 | 36/22 62/19 | labor [1] 53/1 |
| $13 / 1414 / 2 \quad 14 / 3$ | invite [1] 39/16 | lack [1] 7/10 |
| incarcerated [5] 33/12 52/22 63/18 | involved [4] 27/20 57/18 63/16 | lady [1] 27/16 |
| 68/8 69/20 | 68/5 | language [1] 13/12 |
| incarceration [3] 4/1 4/3 69/24 | involving [2] 5/2 64/23 | lapse [1] 70/16 |
| incident [3] 24/20 64/11 64/23 | issue [3] 8/25 14/12 66/23 | largely [3] 7/9 60/8 62/18 |
| include [3] 17/20 31/15 38/23 | issues [2] 24/13 67/14 | last[7] 5/2 12/7 12/16 19/25 20/7 |
| included [1] 66/1 | itself[4] $8 / 22$ 14/4 17/11 35/1 | 36/18 56/25 |
| includes [4] 33/21 33/25 34/2 | J | Lastly [1] 35/6 |
| including [3] 36/25 56/17 66/20 | jail [1] 33/13 | Latin [1] 41/23 |
| increased [1] 71/5 | January [1] 65/10 | laugh [3] 26/1 26/17 30/5 |
| increasing [1] 69/18 | January 3rd [1] 65/10 | laughing [1] 30/6 |
| indeed [3] 44/10 46/21 48/23 | JEROME [2] 1/19 3/11 | law [16] 1/17 1/19 10/16 13/13 |
| independent [1] 13/24 | Jodi [1] 34/13 | 36/22 37/10 42/21 44/4 52/12 |
| indeterminate [2] 47/23 72/20 | join [1] 20/23 | 52/20 53/6 57/16 59/16 59/19 |
| indicate [4] 3/14 10/25 11/3 17/19 | joy [1] 58/5 | 60/7 68/9 |


| L | $54 / 1855 / 1861 / 21$ | miss [1] 27/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lead [2] 32/23 50/19 | LOWE [5] 2/5 23/13 23/17 23/17 | mistake [1] 65/1 |
| leads [2] 24/16 48/15 | 23/20 | misunderstood [1] 14/19 |
| learn [2] 22/2 55/21 | loyal [1] 42/17 | mitigating [2] 34/23 67/9 |
| learned [3] 55/22 55/24 56/3 | lured [1] 60/24 | modified [1] 13/21 |
| least [7] 7/22 11/19 35/12 37/21 39/16 47/20 60/13 | M | mom [4] 26/16 30/19 31/7 31/8 moment [3] 17/17 20/8 28/24 |
| leave [8] $27 / 5$ 43/23 46/9 47/7 | machine [1] 74/10 | moments [1] 36/18 |
| 56/12 65/23 72/22 73/3 | made [9] 6/17 20/13 29/17 29/18 | moms [1] 24/10 |
| leaving [1] 66/22 | 39/20 45/21 55/19 61/5 69/10 | month [3] 21/25 64/6 64/8 |
| led [1] 22/25 | maintain [2] 24/19 67/3 | months [5] 12/7 29/10 36/20 44/22 |
| left [7] 8/10 40/20 42/17 48/12 | majority [1] 66/15 | 45/4 |
| 48/12 48/24 65/22 | make [14] 3/22 17/25 19/3 19/10 | moral [1] 71/12 |
| legal [1] 37/6 | 27/8 28/3 33/13 45/2 50/5 53/11 | Moreover [2] 62/9 70/15 |
| legislation [1] 69/13 | 57/5 57/6 66/23 70/24 | morning [1] 65/10 |
| Legislators [1] 69/16 | making [4] 17/3 17/9 30/5 55/17 | Morris [1] 65/11 |
| legislature [1] 69/12 | man [4] 7/15 33/18 38/13 51/9 | mortem [1] 60/18 |
| legitimate [1] 71/8 | mandatory [2] 3/20 59/5 | Mosely [1] 14/1 |
| legitimately [1] 69/3 | MANITOWOC [6] 1/1 58/14 63/20 | Mosley [2] 6/7 14/1 |
| Leitner [1] 10/21 | 64/10 69/22 74/2 | most [12] 34/12 35/7 43/9 53/20 |
| length [1] 19/18 | manner [4] 9/1 9/7 15/21 32/22 | 60/6 65/3 67/13 68/4 68/8 68/16 |
| lengthy [1] 69/24 | many [11] 20/13 23/14 23/21 | 70/21 71/4 |
| less [2] 7/13 8/2 | 24/16 37/20 42/7 55/20 55/22 | mother [2] 24/9 31/5 |
| lesson [2] 55/24 56/4 | 58/10 61/9 64/24 | motion [1] 73/2 |
| lessons [3] 55/21 55/22 56/8 | March [5] 3/16 5/20 8/4 12/19 | motivation [2] 61/12 61/13 |
| let's [2] 30/22 30/23 | 15/24 | move [2] 10/24 13/11 |
| letter [2] 17/20 25/11 | March 18 [1] 3/16 | moves [1] 48/11 |
| letters [2] 8/23 15/18 | March 1st [4] 5/20 8/4 12/19 15/24 | Mr [6] 8/2 10/10 11/24 35/13 39/9 |
| level [1] 64/25 | MARIA [4] 2/3 19/19 19/20 19/21 | 65/17 |
| life [59] 3/20 8/21 20/5 20/8 20/10 | Marinette [3] 64/3 64/5 64/9 | Mr. [89] |
| 22/16 22/17 22/23 22/24 23/10 | marks [1] 44/10 | Mr. and [1] 57/23 |
| 24/3 24/5 24/15 24/24 25/4 25/16 | married [1] 63/2 | Mr. Avery [48] 8/2 8/14 11/4 11/9 |
| 27/1 27/4 27/7 27/10 27/25 28/7 | material [1] 14/11 | 11/14 14/14 18/9 26/25 27/1 27/9 |
| 28/9 28/15 28/17 28/20 28/23 | matter [6] 12/17 41/11 53/25 54/3 | 27/15 32/1733/11 33/16 34/1 |
| 31/3 37/23 38/13 47/1 47/5 47/7 | 74/7 74/13 | 34/8 34/11 34/16 34/24 35/16 |
| 47/17 48/8 48/10 49/16 50/17 | matters [1] 10/3 | 35/20 36/6 36/8 36/19 38/20 39/3 |
| 53/3 54/13 55/21 55/22 56/8 | maximum [1] 69/18 | 39/18 40/1 40/4 50/25 52/8 56/12 |
| 56/18 58/6 59/5 59/8 59/25 60/2 | maybe [1] 16/13 | 56/23 60/20 62/19 63/2 63/15 |
| 61/19 63/6 63/17 65/5 66/16 68/7 | meaningful [1] 62/14 | 64/9 64/14 64/19 65/2 65/14 |
| 68/23 69/1 71/1 71/21 | means [3] 48/5 49/12 60/17 | 65/23 66/2 66/19 67/17 68/5 |
| lifetime [2] 51/17 56/16 | meant [3] 25/12 25/17 27/16 | 68/18 |
| light [2] 35/17 72/6 | medicine [1] 52/11 | Mr. Avery's [13] 5/10 9/23 33/20 |
| lighthearted [1] 20/21 | meet [1] 21/25 | 34/14 35/12 49/21 51/4 62/1 |
| limited [1] 7/15 | member [1] 24/12 | 66/15 66/24 67/2 67/5 68/10 |
| Lincoln's [1] 53/3 | members [4] 17/23 42/22 57/24 | Mr. Buting [9] 4/20 4/22 10/2 |
| line [1] 52/1 | 61/21 | 10/13 11/3 12/1 12/12 15/8 16/22 |
| list [1] 25/17 | memorandum [1] 6/25 | Mr. Buting's [1] 10/6 |
| little [2] 10/12 20/6 | memories [4] 20/13 54/12 54/13 | Mr. Dassey [3] 5/5 6/23 15/5 |
| live [7] 13/9 15/20 22/17 41/1 | 54/13 | Mr. Dassey's [5] 7/1 10/12 10/14 |
| 42/24 49/2 56/9 | memory [3] 38/6 38/6 55/1 | 11/1814/16 |
| lived [2] 38/6 41/20 | men [1] 41/14 | Mr. Kratz [5] 4/18 14/25 16/20 |
| lives [3] 20/19 46/15 46/25 | mental [1] 7/16 | 18/1431/24 |
| living [2] 20/8 46/20 | mentally [1] 62/24 | Mr. Kratz's [1] 12/17 |
| locked [1] 34/8 | mention [1] 15/15 | Mr. Strang [3] 39/25 40/18 73/6 |
| long [3] 6/24 31/9 41/20 | mercy [1] 55/2 | Mrs. [1] 57/23 |
| longer [1] 47/10 | mere [1] 70/15 | Mrs. Halbach [1] 57/23 |
| longest [1] 58/13 | methods [1] 52/13 | Ms [4] 4/15 32/17 34/17 37/20 |
| looking [1] 33/10 | microphone [1] 19/9 | murder [10] 21/2 22/12 41/3 42/1 |
| losing [3] 25/15 26/20 72/16 | middle [1] 20/12 | 42/3 42/13 43/14 62/12 67/22 |
| loss [6] 25/19 26/17 27/22 36/17 | might [2] 42/5 67/25 | 71/13 |
| 38/1 62/11 | MIKE [4] 2/8 27/18 27/18 50/6 | murdered [1] 42/5 |
| lost [3] 25/15 25/23 61/21 | million [1] 26/6 | murders [1] 54/7 |
| lot[5] 30/4 30/21 30/24 48/2 54/6 | mind [3] 7/22 35/21 60/9 | must [2] 43/15 45/22 |
| love [33] 20/22 20/25 21/15 26/6 | minds [1] 49/6 | myself [3] 30/16 40/13 40/15 |
| 26/7 26/8 26/15 26/16 26/20 | mine [1] 45/13 | N |
| $30 / 3$ 30/5 30/5 30/7 30/8 30/9 | minutes [4] 17/24 19/17 57/3 | name[6] 23/16 25/5 25/7 41/24 |
| $30 / 1030 / 1130 / 1130 / 1330 / 13$ | 57/12 | 44/3 69/13 |
| 30/14 30/14 30/16 30/18 30/19 | misdemeanor [1] 64/1 | narrow [1] 50/5 |
| 30/20 30/25 55/1 | misdemeanors [1] 66/3 | natural [3] 43/20 49/14 49/15 |
| $\begin{array}{\|lll} \text { loved [12] } 29 / 24 ~ 30 / 21 ~ 36 / 17 \\ 37 / 24 ~ 38 / 3 ~ 42 / 7 ~ 45 / 9 ~ 46 / 18 ~ 54 / 16 ~ \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { misfortune [1] } & 42 / 4 \\ \text { misleading [3] } & 5 / 25 & 6 / 15 \\ 7 / 4 \end{array}\right.$ |  |
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55/1 55/19 60/20 66/23 70/25
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polite [1] 32/25
poor [1] 37/7
portion [5] 5/13 5/20 9/8 12/16 66/17
portions [1] 63/17
pose [2] 68/20 71/15
 28/6
positive [2] 23/24 24/19
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| P | 2] $46 / 2046 / 25$ | really [1] realm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| possible... [4] 46/19 46/23 51/5 | [2] 69/5 69/7 | son [10] 19/24 20/1 22/24 |
|  | e [1] 49/20 | /6 27/4 31/8 33/5 44/7 48/21 |
| post[3] 14/13 60/18 72/25 | /2 | 67/19 |
| post-conviction [1] $14 / 13$ | 49/22 | reasons [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { potential [1] } 69 / 15 \\ & \text { poured }[2] 64 / 1264 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | n [1] 10/2 | buttal [1] 56/21 |
|  | prosecute [1] 43/16 | ve [1] 38/20 |
| power [2] 45/1845/21 | [1] 46/3 | ved [6] 4/17 5/2 31/145 |
| powers [1] 48/13 |  | 64/5 65/7 |
| practice [1] 52/11 <br> practicing [1] 52/12 | Prosecutors [1] $3 / 9$ | recently [1] 6/8 recess [2] 57/957/ |
| prayer [1] 48/23 | $\underset{\substack{\text { prospect [5] } \\ 51 / 2152 / 7}}{ }$ 48/23 48/25 50/21 | cess [2] 57/9 57/13 cidivism [1] 35/22 |
|  |  |  |
| precise [1] $61 / 11$ predict [2] 50/1 $50 / 2$ | 55/16 56/7 | cognizes [2] |
| prediction [2] 50/5 50/9 | protect [7] 23/21 23/22 | recommendation [2] 5/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { preferred [1] } & 33 / 17 \\ \text { pregnant [1] } & 6 / 18\end{array}$ |  | 9/1 |
|  |  | 9/1 |
|  |  | mmended [2] 59/4 |
|  |  |  |
| prejudicial [1] 64/20 premeditated [4] 32/16 61/16 <br> premeditated [4] 32/16 61/16 <br> 67/22 71/16 | [2] 7/25 8/ | conciliation [2] 51/19 55/3 |
| ( ${ }^{\text {premeditated }} \mathbf{6 7 / 2 2} 71 / 16$ | provide [1] 19/14 | record [9] 3/5 3/15 9/1817/4 |
|  | provided [3] 4/13 15/11 19/1 | 23/16 31/17 62/22 63/14 68/10 |
| premeditation [1] 70/9 <br> prepare [1] 72/21 <br> prepared [5] 17/12 17/17 19/4 | provider [1] 24/6 <br> provides [1] $46 / 12$ | redeeming [1] $34 / 23$ |
|  | provisions [1] 18/18 | uce [1] 69/15 |
| $45 / 1674 / 8$ | PSI [13] 10/3 11/24 | fer [1] $15 / 20$ |
| ```preparing [2] 34/22 68/19 present [6] 4/16 13/7 18/4 57/16 67/10 72/14``` | 5/17 | ferences [1] 9/15 |
|  | [2] | ement [1] 51/13 |
| presentation [1] $29 / 17$presented [9][/4 7/2 | psychological [2] | fusal [2] 66/25 67/11 |
|  | psychologist [1] 52/2 | gardless [2] 63/6 65/5 |
| 8/18 11/15 13/8 57/11 59/14 <br> presentence [26] 4/13 4/17 4/23 | psychology [1] $52 / 20$ public [5] $25 / 1432 / 835 / 738 / 120$ | regenerative [2] 48/4 48/ regular [1] 68/6 |
| presentence [26] 4/13 4/17 4/23 <br> 5/5 5/14 5/15 7/4 8/22 9/6 9/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { public [5] } 25 / 1432 / 835 / \\ & 70 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | regular [1] 68/6 regularly [2] 63/15 66/20 |
|  |  |  |
| 11/23 13/20 13/21 13/23 13/23$14 / 314 / 1716 / 2519 / 233 / 19$$34 / 1034 / 2035 / 1335 / 1550 / 11$ | publicized [1] 36/3 | related [1] 63/12 |
|  | pulled [1] 65/15 | lation [1] 19/13 |
| 62/18 | punishable [1] 4/7 | relationship [4] 25/6 62/14 67/20 |
| preserve [3] 45/14 55/16 56/6 presides [1] 43/19 | punished [1] 37/15 punishment [1] 71 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 70 / 13 \\ & \text { relatives [1] } \\ & 19 / 5 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
|  | purpose [1] 10/2 |  |
| 1] $46 /$ | purposes [3] 11/12 | 9/13 66/13 70/24 71/22 |
|  | pursuant [1] 32/2 | leased [2] 51/16 71/11 |
| prevent [1] $41 / 3$previous [1] $5 / 1$ | pursue [2] 44/9 44/20 | relevant[3] 11/10 11/12 64/21 |
|  | pursuing [1] 43/25 | reliability [2] 10/14 10/15 |
| previously [3] 4/12 31/14 63/2 <br> primary [4] $32 / 632 / 1035 / 8$ 59/17 <br> prior [4] 51/4 62/13 67/20 71/15 | pursuit [2] 41/8 46/13 <br> put [4] 21/17 23/10 25/3 28/5 | reliable [4] 7/6 8/18 13/1 1 |
|  | putting [1] 28/24 | lied [1] $15 / 25$ |
| prison [31] 3/21 4/9 27/2 28/9 | Q | [1] $72 / 25$ |
| 49/17 49/22 50/20 56/15 59/5$59 / 859 / 1360 / 363 / 463 / 2464 / 6$ | litie | rely [21] $5 / 188 / 19$ 9/5 9/5 |
|  | quality [1] $36 / 21$ | 13/12 13/14 14/10 14/10 14/15 |
| 64/7 65/8 65/25 66/6 66/6 66/13 | questioning [1] 69/1 | 14/22 15/14 17/8 42/11 43/6 |
|  | questions [1] 24/17 | 43/21 43/23 45/23 46/3 46/7 |
| 66/16 66/19 71/11 71/21 72/1 probably [2] 67/23 70/21 probation [4] 33/22 33/23 63/21 | quickly [1] 54/6 | relying [2] 6/20 13/17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 63 / 22 \\ & \text { problem [1] } 16 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | R | ning [1] $66 / 18$ |
| problems [2] 14/2 $62 / 25$proceed [2] $5 / 618 / 13$ | raise [1] | [2] 39/2 57/1 |
|  | raised [3] 21/14 22/9 22/1 | rse [1] 34/25 |
| proceeding [1] 18/15 | raising [1] 22/8 | moval [1] 57/20 |
| proceedings [8] 1/23 18/23 31/17 57/25 68/19 73/873/10 74/13 | ramifications [1] 28/ | nder [3] 47/16 47/17 47/1 |
|  | ran [1] 64/14 | offend [3] 35/14 35/23 71/9 |
| process [3] 6/1 9/23 12/21 <br> professional [2] 36/21 36/24 |  | repair [1] 61/24 |
|  |  | peated [1] 15 |
| pros/16 46/17 46/21 47/13 48/1 | 70 |  |
| 48/3 48/5 48/6 48/8 48/10 48/14 48/25 49/12 50/22 50/25 51/3 51/5 51/13 51/20 51/21 52/2 52/7 | ra | 24 8/2 |
|  | rationale [1] 67/7 | 33/19 34/20 50/17 62/6 |
|  | rationalize [1] 65/1 | reported [2] 1/24 74/6 |
| ```52/16 53/6 54/23 55/16 56/7 56/7 56/17 progressed [2] 50/12 50/16``` | read [3] 17/19 21/11 34/9 | , |
|  | ready [3] 19/6 26/8 26/9 | Reporter [3] 1/25 74/5 74/19 |
|  | real [2] 10/2 | reporting [1] 65 |
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THE COURT: At this time the Court calls State of Wisconsin vs. Steven A. Avery, Case No. 05 CF 381. Will the parties present state their appearances for the record.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Good morning, your Honor, may it please the Court, the State appears by Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon and District Attorney Ken Kratz as Special Prosecutors.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Steven Avery appears in person with his attorney, Suzanne Hagopian, and Martha Askins.

THE COURT: Very well, we are here this morning on the defendant's post-conviction motion. I will note for the record the defendant has filed a post-conviction motion seeking a new trial on two separate grounds.

The defendant alleges, as the Court understands the motion, that the trial court committed error by excluding evidence of third party liability at the trial; and second, the defendant alleges the trial court committed error by improperly excusing a juror with a claimed family emergency, following the first day of deliberations. I will stop here, Attorney Hagopian, and ask if you want to rephrase that.

I briefly summarized it, but.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: No, that's fine.
THE COURT: Very well. One note, at the outset, the Court did grant the defendant's request to submit a portion of the post-conviction motion, that is, documents relating to the claimed error in excusing the juror, under seal. I did that with the understanding that, following the evidentiary portion of today's hearing, those documents would be released. And I will issue an order, following the hearing today, releasing all of the documents that have been filed in connection with the post-conviction motion, from their sealed status.

I will also indicate that, before today's hearing, the parties filed briefs on the issue of whether or not the juror who was excused, who is the subject of that portion of the post-conviction motion, would be permitted to testify at today's hearing.

The parties indicated to the Court they took opposite positions on that question and the Court permitted the parties to file briefs with the Court. Those briefs are included in the documents which will be -- which were originally admitted under seal, but which will be released
at the conclusion of today's hearing.
The State challenges the defendant's offer of that testimony both on grounds of relevance and as being prohibited by Section 906.06 of the Wisconsin Statutes. With respect to the relevance of the proffered testimony, the defense agrees, and the Court agrees, that as it relates to some of the defendant's arguments, the testimony would be irrelevant. That is, for example, to the extent the defendant alleges that the Court committed structural error in the procedure that was used to exclude the juror, the evidence would not be relevant.

However, the defendant argues that, under other of its theories, specifically the possibility that an appeals court would require that the defendant show prejudice as a result of the Court's actions, the evidence could have relevance. And the Court agrees that both as it relates to the defendant's allegations of prejudice and the claim of ineffective assistance of counsel -- Whoever has the child in the back of the room is going to have to leave the courtroom immediately. To the extent it relates to the prejudice claim and the ineffective
assistance of counsel claim, the evidence could have relevance and the Court will, therefore, allow the excused juror to testify.

In addition, there's an issue of whether or not the evidence is prohibited under Section 906.06 (2), which is a statute that in general prohibits testimony from a juror when it's used to impeach a verdict. Specifically, the introductory portion of the statute reads as follows: Upon an inquiry into the validity of a verdict or indictment, a juror may not testify as to any manner or statement occurring during the course of the jury's deliberations.

The Court accepts the defendant's arguments that while the type of testimony described in the statute is of the type that would be prohibited should the verdict be questioned, in this case the evidence is offered for a different purpose, that is, as it relates to the procedure used to excusing the juror and, therefore, the statute does not prohibit the juror from testifying.

That is not to say that anything the juror might say would be relevant, but the Court concludes that the juror is not prohibited from
testifying in any respect, for those reasons. Unless there's something further from either party at this time, Attorney Hagopian, you may call your first witness.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Very well, thank you. THE COURT: Just a second.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, thank the Court for the ruling. We do have a motion to sequester expected witnesses.

THE COURT: Any objection from the defense?
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: No.
THE COURT: Very well, any other witnesses who will be testifying should be excused from the courtroom at this time. I see Attorney Strang leaving the courtroom. Are there any other witnesses in the courtroom who the defendant intends to call?

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Our investigator, I think, is going to leave.

THE COURT: Very well.
ATTORNEY FALLON: We're not going to oppose if the investigator wishes to stay, because Officer Wiegert is here on our behalf as well. So we don't have any objection if their investigator wishes to remain here, because we intend to have Officer

Wiegert present, not anticipating calling him, but one would never know.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. That's acceptable to the defense then, so the defense investigator and Officer Wiegert may stay in the courtroom. Attorney Hagopian, you may call your witness.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: I would call Richard Mahler.

ATTORNEY FALLON: While we're waiting for Mr. Mahler, if the record would reflect that Attorney Gahn has joined the prosecution table.

THE COURT: So noted.
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
RICHARD R. MAHLER, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Richard R. Mahler, M-a-h-l-e-r.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN:
Q. Mr. Mahler, how old are you?
A. Forty-four.
Q. Are you employed?
A. Yes.
Q. And where are you employed?
A. I work in retail.
Q. Are you married?
A. Yes.
Q. How long have you and your wife been married?
A. Thirteen years.
Q. Is it correct that you were selected to serve as a juror in this case, that being State vs. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that in early February, 2007?
A. That's correct.
Q. Would you agree that this was a very high profile case?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Had you ever before served on a jury?
A. No, I did not.
Q. How did you feel about being selected to serve on this jury?
A. I thought it would be an honor to look at evidence and base a decision on the evidence.
Q. How did your wife feel about the fact that you had been chosen to serve on the jury?
A. She was kind of excited because it was such a
high profile case and, I mean, she thought it would be interesting for me to be on a jury.
Q. Had your wife been upset about something that had been reported in the media at the time the jury was selected?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Do you recall what it was in the press report that had upset your wife?
A. That $I$ was a musician living off my wife's money.
Q. Now, your name didn't appear in that media report did it?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. What about your wife's name, did that appear in the press account?
A. No, it did not.
Q. But your wife was able to figure out who they were talking about?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was your wife upset about that report to the point that she did not want you to serve on the jury?
A. No.
Q. When you began your jury service, were you and your wife having marriage problems?
A. No, we were not.
Q. And as a juror in this case, as the trial got under way, were you present in court for the lawyers' opening statements?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Were you present in court for all of the witness' testimony?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And were you also there in court for the lawyers' closing arguments?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. That whole process took a number of weeks, didn't it?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you remember about how long you were here listening to the case?
A. Five weeks, roughly.
Q. Now, during those five weeks when you were in court, right up to the very end of trial, were you permitted to go home each night?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Were you permitted to go home on the weekends?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you, in fact, go home to your family each night and on the weekends, while this trial was going on?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Was there a point in the trial when the jury was not allowed to go home?
A. That was during deliberations.
Q. During about the time of deliberations?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, if I, when questioning you, use the word sequestered, do you know what I'm talking about?
A. It means lock down.
Q. Lock down.
A. Can't go home, can't do nothing, no TV, sit in your room and do nothing.
Q. Now, is it correct that you were among the 12 jurors who were given the case and began deliberations?
A. Yes.
Q. And when that began, when the deliberations began, do you recall how many nights you had spent away from home?
A. I believe it was one night.
Q. Where did the jurors stay while you were sequestered?
A. The Best Western in Chilton.
Q. And now, at that point, when you're sequestered, five weeks, six weeks after you were selected to
serve on this jury, at that point were you and your wife having marriage problems?
A. No.
Q. Did you feel that your wife was still generally supportive of your jury service?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. I would like to direct your attention, specifically, to the day that deliberations began, which was March 15 of 2007; do you remember that day?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you recall approximately how long the jury deliberated that day?
A. We deliberated for four hours.
Q. At some point, were the deliberations completed for the night?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Was it your expectation that deliberations would begin again the next day?
A. That is correct.
Q. What was your mood as deliberations ended for the night, on March 15th?
A. I was exhausted but, really, it was done for the day.
Q. What was your feeling, in just a general sense,
as to how things had gone during deliberations that day?
A. I was frustrated.
Q. And why was that?
A. Because of some comments in the deliberations.
Q. And what sort of comments, just generally?
A. One of the jurors made a statement before looking at the evidence.
Q. And that made you feel frustrated?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. What did you do after deliberations were done for the day?
A. We were escorted to the bus, and from the bus we went to Seven Angels Restaurant.
Q. And did all of the jurors go out to eat together?
A. Yes, we all did.
Q. And you mentioned you were transported there by a bus?
A. That's correct.
Q. And were you in the company of bailiffs, or officers, or who was with you?
A. Bailiffs and state patrol officers. And I'm not sure if there was county, but I know there were plenty of state patrol officers around.
Q. So then you're at dinner at Seven Angels; was
there anyone present during the dinner, other than the jurors?
A. The bailiff and, like I said, the other officers, state patrolmen.
Q. At any point during the dinner did Sheriff Pagel arrive?
A. Some time during dinner, yes, he did.
Q. And do you recall what was the occasion for him to arrive at the restaurant?
A. Some of the jurors felt that they wanted to have an alcoholic drink and $I$ guess Sheriff Pagel stated that the judge said it was okay.
Q. And in this restaurant, were the jurors seated in some sort of separate room, away from the public?
A. Yes, we were all in like a side banquet room, with the doors closed.
Q. And when Sheriff Pagel arrived, did he come into that banquet room where the jurors were?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did he then leave after telling the jurors that you could have a drink?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Could you describe the seating arrangement at the restaurant?
A. It was in a -- tables were in kind of a $U$ shape,

I believe, with a couple tables in the center, that's what I remember.
Q. And who were you sitting next to?
A. I was sitting next to Juror Carl.
Q. And do you recall his last name?
A. Yes, Wardman.
Q. Now, Carl Wardman, he was another juror serving on the case?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you speak with Mr . Wardman at dinner that night?
A. I didn't catch the question.
Q. Did you speak with Mr . Wardman at dinner that night?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what did you say to him?
A. I told him that $I$ was frustrated with deliberations.
Q. And did Mr. Wardman have a response for you?
A. Yeah, if you can't handle it, why don't you just leave.
Q. I'm sorry, I'm having a little trouble hearing you. Could you speak up.
A. If you can't handle it, why don't you tell them and just leave.
Q. Could you describe Mr. Wardman's tone of voice when he made that comment?
A. Pretty much the way I stated it. You know, if you can't handle it, then, you know, tell them and just leave. Just kind of sarcastic tone of voice.
Q. And how did you interpret Mr. Wardman's comment to you?
A. Verbally threatening.
Q. Did you feel physically threatened by him?
A. No.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm sorry, I can't hear the witness.
A. No, ma'am.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian) ~ When you said you felt verbally threatened, what do you mean by that?
A. It was just his tone of voice and demeanor when he said it.
Q. And how did your exchange with Mr. Wardman leave you feeling?
A. I felt threatened and upset.
Q. You had earlier testified to even before going to dinner that night to feeling somewhat frustrated by the deliberations?
A. That's correct.
Q. Was Mr. Wardman at all involved in your feeling of frustration from the deliberations?
A. Yes, he is the one who made the statement as we walked into the jury room.
Q. What was the statement that was made?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance and hearsay.

THE COURT: Counsel.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: As to hearsay, we're not offering it for its truth, but merely for the effect on the listener. And the relevance is in terms of his explanation as to why Mr. Mahler was feeling the way he was.

THE COURT: I will allow it.
A. He said he's --
(Court reporter couldn't hear.)
A. He said, he's fucking guilty.
Q. And was that very early in the deliberations?
A. That was right as we got into deliberations.
Q. Had there been a preliminary vote taken by the jury that day during deliberations?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. What was your vote?
A. I voted not guilty, based on I wanted to look at all the evidence and make a decision based on
that evidence.
Q. And do you know what Mr. Wardman's vote was?
A. No, I can just guess. I have no clue what his vote was.
Q. And when you say guessing, would it be correct to say that you are relying on the comment that he made --
A. Yes.
Q. -- basically arriving in the deliberation room?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So, after dinner, did you then return to the motel with the other jurors?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I assume you were taken back to the motel on a bus?
A. Yes.
Q. All of the jurors together?
A. With state patrolmen --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- all over the place.
Q. What did you do when you got back to the motel?
A. We were escorted to our rooms.
Q. Did -- Were you aware, were jurors all staying in their rooms or did some other things happen?
A. At first, we were all pretty much in our rooms.
Q. Was there an area the jurors were allowed to congregate?
A. Yes, in the evening, they had a television with VCR tapes or movies that we could watch.
Q. Because I assume you didn't have a TV in your individual room, did you?
A. No.
Q. And you didn't have a telephone in your room?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. At some point, then, after you're back from dinner, back in your motel room, did you decide to call home?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what did you -- So you didn't have a phone in your room; what did you have to do to make arrangements to call home?
A. Well, there was -- I noticed a couple other jurors calling home from a phone that the bailiff had, a cell phone.
Q. Were you aware, prior to your arrival back to -at the motel that evening, that jurors were allowed to call home?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. But you saw other jurors doing that?
A. Yes.
Q. And why did you decide to call home?
A. I decided to call home just to check in, to let her know everything was okay.
Q. And when you refer to "check in and let her know", are you referring to your wife?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Did you have any information at that point that your wife was trying to reach you?
A. No, not at all.
Q. Did you have any information that your wife had called a bailiff, or anyone else at the motel, to report a family emergency?
A. No.
Q. Were you able to speak with your wife?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And you were doing this on the bailiff's cell phone, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Were you in your private room or somewhere else in the motel?
A. We were in the group TV room that we were watching movies in.
Q. Did you tell your wife how you were feeling?
A. No.
Q. In that conversation, did your wife tell you
something about your stepdaughter?
A. Yeah, she said that there was an accident.
Q. How old was your stepdaughter at that time?
A. Seventeen.
Q. Now, the mention of an accident, did that come up immediately in the conversation?
A. No.
Q. So you and your wife had conversed about other matters before there was any mention of an accident?
A. Just how $I$ was doing. I told her $I$ was doing all right.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm sorry, I'm having a real hard --
A. I told her that $I$ was doing okay, that $I$ was all right.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian) ~ And it was then, after some discussion, that there was mention of an accident?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did your wife tell you that your stepdaughter was in the hospital?
A. No, she did not.
Q. Did she tell you that your stepdaughter was injured in any way?
A. No, she did not.
Q. Did your wife tell you that you needed to come home?
A. No.
Q. Did you sense that your wife was upset that evening?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you know why?
A. No.
Q. Did you think your wife would divorce you if you did not come home that night?
A. No, not at all.
Q. When you then ended the conversation with your wife, did you hand the cell phone back to the bailiff?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. As you handed the cell phone back to the bailiff, did you tell the bailiff that you had a family emergency?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. What did you do?
A. I went back to my room.
Q. And what were your thoughts at that point?
A. After sitting in my room for awhile, I was uncertain what was happening at home.
Q. You were uncertain about what was going on at home?
A. That's correct.
Q. Were you also feeling frustrated?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And what was the source of that frustration?
A. That conversation at dinner.
Q. So were you still upset about your exchange at dinner that night, with Mr. Wardman?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Were you still upset about how things were going in deliberations?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do next?
A. I believe I talked to one of the state patrolmen, outside my door.
Q. What did you tell the state patrol officer?
A. And I told him that I needed to talk to the bailiff.
Q. And what was the officer's response?
A. He said he would get the bailiff to my room.
Q. And what happened next?
A. As I recall, the bailiff came in and said he would get ahold of Sheriff Pagel.
Q. Did you provide any information to the bailiff at
that point?
A. Yes, that there was a family emergency I had to deal with at home.
Q. And that was the extent of the conversation, as you recall?
A. As I recall, yes.
Q. So the bailiff said he would get Sheriff Pagel. Did Sheriff Pagel arrive, then, at some point?
A. Yes, he did, with the bailiff.
Q. And where were you when Sheriff Pagel arrived?
A. I was in my hotel room.
Q. And you had been in your motel room this entire time, from after when you spoke to the state patrolman, until Sheriff Pagel arrived?
A. Yes.
Q. And when Sheriff Pagel arrived, did he actually come inside the motel room with you?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Was there anyone present in that room, other than you and Sheriff Pagel?
A. I believe the bailiff was there with him.
Q. And did you speak with Sheriff Pagel?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did Sheriff Pagel say anything to you?
A. Yes, I guess he was asking what was going on.
Q. And what did you tell Sheriff Pagel?
A. That there was some kind of an accident at home, family emergency.
Q. Did you tell him anything else?
A. Just that I felt I needed to go home.
Q. Do you recall if you told Sheriff Pagel that your stepdaughter's car had been totaled?
A. No, I don't recall saying anything like that.
Q. What did Sheriff Pagel do when you told him that you needed to go home?
A. He said he would get ahold of the judge.
Q. Were you present when Sheriff Pagel spoke with the judge?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Do you remember where that occurred?
A. That was in my hotel room.
Q. So it's you and Sheriff Pagel in your room, possibly the bailiff as well?
A. Right.
Q. And Sheriff Pagel was on the phone with the judge; is that correct?
A. As I recall, yes.
Q. And is Sheriff Pagel using his own cell phone?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. Could you hear what the sheriff was saying to the
judge?
A. I remember him talking to the judge, but I don't remember what he was saying.
Q. Now, at some point after this encounter with Sheriff Pagel and the call to the judge, did you yourself speak with Judge Willis?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And whose phone were you using?
A. Sheriff Pagel's.
Q. And where were you when this conversation with the judge took place?
A. In my hotel room.
Q. Was Sheriff Pagel present while you were speaking with the judge?
A. Yes, he was.
Q. Do you recall where he was in relation to you, the distance?
A. Couple feet.
Q. Would he have been able to hear what you were saying?
A. Yes.
Q. Approximately how long did your conversation with the judge last?
A. Two minutes.
Q. What, to the best of your recollection, did you
tell the judge?
A. I told him that there was a family emergency at home. And I recall him asking if I needed -sure I needed to go home.
Q. So you told the judge there was a family emergency and you needed to go home?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you say anything else?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did the judge ask you if your stepdaughter was in the hospital?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Did the judge ask you if your stepdaughter was injured?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Did you tell the judge, in that conversation, that your marriage was in trouble?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you tell the judge that you and your wife were having trouble before the trial had even begun?
A. No.
Q. What happened next?
A. I handed the phone back to Sheriff Pagel and then I waited in my room and got my stuff together.
Q. Did the judge say anything to you at the end of that conversation?
A. He said I was free to go.
Q. Had you told the judge the full story about why you wanted off the jury that night?
A. No, I did not.
Q. What did you not tell the judge?
A. About my conversation with Mr. Wardman.
Q. Had your stepdaughter actually been in an accident?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. What had happened to her?
A. Her car was having troubles. She just had car troubles.
Q. When did you find that out?
A. Upon my arrival at home.
Q. And once you were home, what were your feelings about having gotten off the jury?
A. I was frustrated and angry with myself.
Q. Did you feel like you had let yourself down?
A. I felt like I left myself down and all parties involved.
Q. When did you start to feel that way?
A. A few hours after I got home.
Q. So you felt that way even before the jury had come back with a verdict?
A. That's correct.
Q. Does this still bother you today?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: Attorney Hagopian.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: I think it just may offer some further explanation as to why he's here today.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian)~Mr. Mahler, the way you have spoken in your testimony today, is that your typical manner of speaking?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. What is your typical manner?
A. I'm usually pretty happy. Pretty -- I don't feel as nervous --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- as I do right now.
Q. The way you are speaking today, is that how you would speak when you are feeling upset about something?
A. Yes, ma'am.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: That's all I have. Thank you.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Mahler.
A. Good morning.
Q. Would you say you have a pretty fair recollection of the events of March 15th, 2007?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Very well. What time did you begin deliberations on March 15th, 2007?
A. It was in the afternoon, like one -- around 1:00.
Q. And what time did you call it a day,
deliberating?
A. It was like 4, 4:30 roughly.
Q. At the end of deliberations that day, what was the first stop the jurors made when they left the courthouse? Did they go to the hotel? Did they go to the restaurant? What did they do first?
A. We went to the restaurant.
Q. And you went to Seven Angels?
A. That is correct.
Q. And there were the 12 jurors, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And there were bailiffs, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. How many bailiffs?
A. I believe one.
Q. And there were some law enforcement officers providing security detail, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. There were some sheriffs deputies?
A. As I recall.
Q. A state patrol officer or two?
A. Yeah, there were plenty of state patrol officers.
Q. All right. And you got to the restaurant on the bus that was provided by the county, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Who did you sit with on the bus?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone on the bus, on the way to dinner?
A. No, sir.
Q. You testified that you had an unpleasant exchange with Mr. Wardman at dinner. Prior to that dinner conversation, you had no other conversation with Mr. Wardman, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And thus, the only time that you had any exchange with Mr. Wardman was at this brief dinner
exchange, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. What did you have for dinner?
A. I believe it was some kind of -- like a French dip type of sandwich.
Q. How did it come to pass that you were sitting next to Mr. Wardman?
A. I just -- I don't know, I just sat down.
Q. Just coincidence that you ended up sitting next to Mr. Wardman?
A. I don't know why, I just sat down.
Q. So it was a coincidence?
A. Pretty much so.
Q. All right. How long were you at the dinner -excuse me -- How long were you at dinner or having dinner at Seven Angels?
A. About an hour or so, as I recall.
Q. Not a long dinner; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. What did Mr. Wardman have?
A. I don't recall.
Q. And from your questioning by counsel, I take it that you only had one exchange with Mr. Wardman, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So you had no other small talk, as it were, with Mr. Wardman, whatsoever?
A. No.
Q. And so at some point, was this before dinner, during dinner, or after dinner, that you had this exchange with him?
A. It was during dinner.
Q. And so out of the blue, without having any discussion beforehand, you turned to him and told him you were frustrated about the deliberations that afternoon?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And he said, well, if you can't handle it, then you should just tell them that you want to leave, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. There was no other exchange between the two of you, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. No, sir.
Q. Thank you. Did you find participating in the trial itself, as a juror, to be stressful?
A. Yes, sir. I believe we all did.
Q. And it was stressful, why?
A. Because to me it was because of certain things that were said during deliberations.
Q. Well, I'm not getting to deliberations. I'm talking about the trial itself, listening to the evidence for the five weeks before deliberations, was that stressful?
A. No, sir, I didn't feel it was.
Q. Okay. So it would be fair to say that the first time you felt stress was during the initial four hours of deliberations; is that correct?
A. That is correct.

ATTORNEY FALLON: May I have a moment, please.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ You found the deliberations stressful because you attribute a cavalier comment to one of the jurors, Mr. Wardman, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. What other stress, other than that one comment, now I'm talking during deliberations, caused you stress?
A. There was a couple of different jurors that made statements, but his stood out the most to me.
Q. All right. So there was the one statement that he made and a statement or two attributed to other jurors, seemingly suggesting too cavalier approach for your liking; is that it?
A. To me, it just seemed they were more willing to look at the evidence.
Q. I'm sorry, they were what?
A. To me it seemed that they weren't willing to sit down and look at the evidence.
Q. So, there was a comment from Mr. Wardman and a comment from how many other jurors?
A. Two others.
Q. All right. So there were three jurors that you didn't think were taking this seriously enough?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And that made you stressful?
A. As far as doing my civil duty, yes.
Q. All right. Were you upset about that?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Were you distraught?
A. I was angry.
Q. You were angry?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And who did you express your anger to?
A. I basically held.
Q. All right. So you didn't respond to Mr. Wardman when he made his first comment during deliberations?
A. I did not.
Q. You did not respond to the other two jurors either, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. So you didn't impress upon them the serious nature of the deliberations, correct?
A. I did mention too that during the deliberations, that we're here to look at the evidence. I didn't direct it to the three jurors, but I said to everybody, that we should look at the evidence.
Q. All right. All right. So there were those three comments that were made by three different jurors during the initial deliberations stage?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. There were no other comments, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. The rest of the time was actually spent looking at the evidence and talking about things, as jurors do, correct?
A. The majority of us, yes.
Q. All right. So that night, you had no prior conversation with Mr. Wardman, whatsoever, before you leaned over to him during dinner and told him you were frustrated by that afternoon's events?
A. No, I did not.
Q. And you had no further conversation with him, after he made what you termed to be a sarcastic comment about, then you should just leave?
A. No, I had no other comment -- or no other conversations with him.
Q. All right. And you had no conversation with any other juror about your frustrations, correct?
A. No, not during --
Q. Dinner?
A. -- dinner.
Q. Correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. On the bus ride back to the hotel, who did you ride with?
A. I don't recall. I don't know.
Q. Did you have -- You didn't have any conversation with anyone on the bus regarding the day's events, your frustrations, I mean, correct?
A. No, sir. We were bound to not talk about it.
Q. All right. You got back to the hotel or motel;
what time was it?
A. I believe it was like 6, 6:30, something like that.
Q. What was the first thing you did?
A. We were escorted to our hotel rooms.
Q. You were escorted to your room?
A. That's correct.
Q. Who escorted you to your room?
A. The sheriff -- The state patrol.
Q. A state patrol officer?
A. Yes. They walked us up the steps.
Q. How many bailiffs were there?
A. I do recall there was one.
Q. All right. Do you recall the bailiff's name?
A. No, I don't.
Q. He had been a bailiff with this jury for quite some time, had he not?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. You do not recall his name at this time?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you have conversation with anyone else at dinner, about family, about work, music?
A. No, not during the meal.
Q. Not during dinner?
A. No.
Q. On the bus ride back to the hotel?
A. No.
Q. When you got to the hotel, your first stop was immediately to your room?
A. Yes.
Q. How long were you in your room?
A. Three, four hours, as I recall.
Q. Three or four hours.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What did you do during the three or four hours?
A. I believe I went to sleep.
Q. So you had no conversation with anyone --
A. Not until --
Q. -- after returning to the motel?
A. Not until I found, you know, I found out there was movies.
Q. What time was that?
A. That was probably around 9:30, 10:00.
Q. Well, if I were to tell you that court records reflect that you had a conversation with Judge Willis about your need to leave, about 9:00, would that be incorrect?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You have no recollection of that?
A. I don't recall what time it was.
Q. All right. So, just so I'm clear, you went back to your room and stayed in your room for a period of time, you think you took -- you went to sleep?
A. As I recall, yes.
Q. So up to this point, you were frustrated?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. You were angry?
A. Yes.
Q. You were distraught?
A. I -- Yes.
Q. All right. And all as a result of your exchange at dinner with one other juror; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. That exchange at dinner lasted 10 seconds?
A. Yes.
Q. And as a result of that exchange, you felt threatened; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. You were -- Did you feel -- Well, let's talk about that. Why did you feel threatened?
A. It was by the way he said it and his comment at the beginning of deliberations.
Q. All right. And why did you feel threatened by
that, his tone of voice?
A. His demeanor, the way he said it.
Q. Did you feel it was going to seriously jeopardize your ability to be a juror?
A. I don't believe it did.
Q. You don't believe that it did, correct?
A. I felt -- No, that $I$ didn't feel that it would.
Q. I'm sorry, you are going to have to be clearer, Mr. Mahler.
A. I didn't feel it would affect my judgment as a juror, no.
Q. All right. So, in other words, being a juror, you were going to more or less stick to your guns and review the evidence and then decide, after you reviewed everything, as you thought the evidence merited, correct?
A. That is my -- That was my intention.
Q. That was your intention. And even though you had this unpleasant exchange with Mr. Wardman, that was still your intention when you went to your room that night, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And it obviously wasn't that threatening because you mentioned it to no one, correct?
A. I'm not the kind that usually tells. You know, I sometimes hold a lot of things in. I don't express my anger.
Q. All right. But you didn't mention anything to the bailiff, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. And you didn't mention any of this concern or threatening behavior to any of the troopers, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. And you didn't mention it to the sheriff's deputies who were assisting in the security detail, correct?
(Court reporter couldn't hear.)
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. Now, at some point you woke up and you proceeded to this common room?
A. That's correct.
Q. And when you got to that room, who was present?
A. The bailiff and a bunch of other jurors.
Q. All right. And what was going on in the common room when you arrived?
A. There were jurors watching television and I noticed that other jurors were talking on the cell phone with their spouses.
Q. All right. And the bailiff was there, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And what did you do?
A. After -- Well, when I noticed that other jurors were calling their spouses, I asked if I could call home.
Q. All right. And you asked the bailiff this, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You asked if you could use his phone, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And he agreed to allow you to call home, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And he stood nearby as you called home, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And you called home and spoke to your wife?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And you have just told us that your relations with your wife were just fine, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. You have a warm, loving relationship, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And you feel secure and safe in
telling her things that you may not tell other individuals, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And you have been married for how many years, 15 years?
A. Thirteen.
Q. Thirteen years. All right. And often times you have told her and expressed things to her that you pretty much keep to yourself and don't tell others, right?
A. Yeah, I try.
Q. And in this particular case, you were so upset and so distraught, but you didn't mention anything to your wife about the stress of the deliberations, correct?
A. No, sir, because I was sworn not to say anything to her about anything in deliberations.
Q. All right. Now, in your conversations with your wife, she told you your stepdaughter had been in an accident?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. She was still upset about the report that appeared in the press, during the initial selection process, about you living on the proceeds of a trust fund that she had, correct?
A. Didn't seem that's why she was upset that evening.
Q. I'm coming to that. But at the time of the deliberations, even after five or six weeks, that was still a sore point with her, right?
A. I believe it was, yes.
Q. All right. Now, in the phone conversation that night, March 15th, there was no discussion of that press report, correct?
A. Not at all.
Q. All right. Did she tell you that your stepdaughter's car was totaled?
A. No.
Q. She did not tell you that?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. She was upset that your stepdaughter was in an accident?
A. I didn't know why she was upset.
Q. But she told you that your stepdaughter was in an accident?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And you assumed that she was upset because of the accident?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. You never asked if she was hurt,
right?
A. No, sir.
Q. And your wife never told you if your stepdaughter was hurt, or did she?
A. No, she did not.
Q. She didn't tell you, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. She also could have been upset because you weren't there for family support, because of the accident, correct?
A. I suppose that could be.
Q. That could be part of the --
A. Part of it, right.
Q. -- of the reason for her seeming upset to you?
A. I believe it could be, yes.
Q. All right. And how would you characterize her demeanor on the phone?
A. She just seemed upset.
Q. Seemed upset. How did she seem upset to you?
A. Her tone of voice.
Q. All right. Was she crying?
A. I couldn't tell.
Q. Did she raise her voice?
A. No.
Q. Did she lower her voice too much?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. What was it about the tone of her voice that led you to believe that she was upset?
A. It was the way she was talking to me, she just seemed kind of like I'm talking to you now, you know, kind of upset, nervous, whatever. I couldn't -- It was the way she was talking.
Q. All right.
A. She wasn't talking like she usually talks to me.
Q. Did she tell you she wanted you to come home?
A. No, sir.
Q. She didn't tell you that?
A. No, sir.
Q. But you felt that you should go home?
A. I felt under the circumstances, yes.
Q. That you should go home?
A. Yes.
Q. Because you thought that there was a crisis at home, that you should be there to help out?
A. I didn't know what was going on and I was worried about what was happening, yes.
Q. How long did the conversation last between you and your wife?
A. About five minutes.
Q. And that was in the common room?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. With the bailiff nearby?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he was there close enough to make sure that there was no discussion regarding the status of deliberations or the case, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So he could hear what you were saying?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. What did you say to the bailiff when you were done speaking with your wife?
A. I just handed him the phone.
Q. You didn't say anything?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. You didn't say anything about the nature of your conversation with your wife?
A. No, not that I --
Q. You didn't tell him that your wife seemed upset?
A. No.
Q. You didn't say anything that maybe you needed to go home?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. All right. So after the conversation, you left the common room and you went back to your room?
A. That is correct.
Q. Were you escorted back to your room, or did you walk alone?
A. There were state patrolmen that escorted us or were down the halls to ...
Q. So did they escort you, or were they just well placed in the hallway to observe your movements?
A. They were -- I remember $I$ was escorted to my room.
Q. All right. And was your room nearby, or was it a longer walk?
A. It was a longer walk.
Q. All right. You got to your room, the trooper watched you walk into your room; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you went into your room and you were there alone?
A. That's right.
Q. All right. And you were in your room how long, before you decided you needed to speak to someone?
A. I don't recall how long I was there.
Q. What was your mood at that time?
A. I was upset, worried.
Q. You were worried about what was going on at home.
A. That's correct.
Q. You didn't really know what was going on at home; is that what you are telling us?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So there was this reference to a car accident, but the reason for your concern was more that you had no idea what was going on; is that correct?
A. The uncertainty, yes.
Q. The uncertainty of the situation made you upset?
A. That's correct.
Q. And it caused stress?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you were distraught?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And primarily, as a result of the tone of voice that your wife was talking to you about?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. What did you talk about in that conversation?
A. We talked about how things were going, you know, how I was doing, how I was feeling.
Q. All right. How you were doing, how you were feeling. And you told her you were doing, okay?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So far so good, as it were; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You didn't complain to her that you were feeling down, correct?
A. I don't know.
Q. You didn't tell her you certainly weren't feeling threatened, right?
A. I don't remember saying anything like that.
Q. Basically, you tried to put her at ease and tell her everything was all right with you, correct?
A. Yes, sir, without going into details of deliberations or anything.
Q. Right. But generally, your mood, you said you talked about how each of you were feeling and you told her you were fine, right?
A. (Witness nods.)
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Thank you. All right. After some period of time in your room, you decided that you need to speak to someone. How much time would you say elapsed before you, after mulling these things over, you stepped into the hallway, right?
A. Yes. I don't remember.
Q. All right. And who did you speak to in the hallway?
A. State patrol officer.
Q. All right. And you didn't tell him anything other than what?
A. That I needed to talk to a bailiff.
Q. All right. And he said he would get the bailiff?
A. Yes, sir, as I recall.
Q. All right. So he told you to remain in your room, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. So you went to your room -- back in your room?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And he went and got the bailiff?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Now, if I told you that bailiff's name was Oscar, would that ring a bell?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That was the bailiff, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. So Oscar came to your door, right?
A. As I recall, yes.
Q. All right. Did he come into your room?
A. I believe he did.
Q. All right. All right. What did you tell Oscar?
A. That there was a family emergency and I felt I
had to go home.
Q. Did you give him any details on the family emergency?
A. No.
Q. Did you tell him your stepdaughter was in an accident?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did you tell him your wife was upset?
A. Not that I remember.
Q. You didn't tell him it was the uncertainty of the situation which led you to believe you should go home?
A. Not that $I$ recall, no.
Q. All right. So Oscar told you that he would get the sheriff, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. It's your testimony that you talked to Sheriff Pagel first, correct?
A. After $I$ talked to the bailiff --
Q. Right.
A. -- I believe, yes.
Q. The first person you talked to after Oscar was Sheriff Pagel?
A. That's correct.
Q. You told Sheriff Pagel that your stepdaughter was
in an accident?
A. That's correct.
Q. You told him that the vehicle was totaled?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. You told him that your wife was very upset about the accident?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall saying that?
A. No, I was pretty upset at the time.
Q. You were upset at the time?
A. I was upset with --
Q. And you were upset at the time because you couldn't figure out why your wife was upset?
A. That's correct.
Q. You also told him that your wife was still upset because of the media reports regarding this trust fund issue, correct?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. You don't recall telling him that?
A. No, sir.
Q. Could you have told him that?
A. I might have.
Q. All right. Could you have told him that your wife was upset about the accident; could you have told him that?
A. It's probable, possible.
Q. It's probable, possible. All right. You told him that your wife was upset by the amount of time that you were away from the home because of the length of this trial, right?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall. You could have told him that?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall. You would characterize your demeanor in your conversation with Mr. Pagel as being upset?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Distraught?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. Really concerned about what was going on at home?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. You certainly suggested or implied that you had some marital difficulties with your wife, with Mr. Pagel, correct?
A. I don't recall saying anything like that.
Q. You didn't imply or impress upon him that it was vital for your marriage that you be excused and go home and attend to those family issues?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall?
A. No, sir.
Q. Specifically, and exactly, what did you tell Sheriff Pagel when he came to your room?
A. As I recall, that $I$ told him there was a family emergency that I had to attend to at home.
Q. That was it?
A. That's what $I$ recall telling him.
Q. That's what you recall.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And he said he would contact the judge?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. He left your presence?
A. I believe he did.
Q. All right. And he contacted someone, or did something, he was gone?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. For a few minutes?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. He returned?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And when he returned, he had a cell phone?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And at that particular point, he dialed the cell phone and you believed him to be contacting Judge Willis?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And he and Judge Willis had a brief conversation?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. How long?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Seconds?
A. He just put me on the phone.
Q. He put you on the phone right away?
A. Pretty much so, yes.
Q. All right. So you didn't overhear any conversation, at that particular point, between Sheriff Pagel and Judge Willis?
A. I don't recall much of a conversation.
Q. You recall no conversation?
A. Much of a conversation, right.
Q. And your impression was it was very brief and he then handed you the phone so that you could speak to the judge?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And at that particular point, you spoke to the judge?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. At that particular point, when you spoke to the judge, you were upset?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You were distraught?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You were concerned about what was going on at home?
A. That's correct.
Q. In fact, you were concerned primarily because you had no idea what was going on at home?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. You told the judge that your daughter, your stepdaughter, was in an accident?
A. That's correct.
Q. You told him that the vehicle had been totaled?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You told the judge that your wife was very upset about the accident?
A. I believe that's what I told him, yes.
Q. All right. You also told him that your wife was upset about the amount of time that you were spending away from home because of the requirements of this trial?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. You don't have any recollection of that?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. You could have told the judge that?
A. I don't recall if $I$ did or not.
Q. You told the judge that the family and friends and your wife were still somewhat embarrassed by those news reports about the trust fund issue, right?
A. I don't recall saying that.
Q. You don't have any recollection of saying that to the judge?
A. No, sir.
Q. You told the judge you were having marital difficulties, correct?
A. I don't recall saying that to him.
Q. You have no recollection of that?
A. No, sir.
Q. You impressed upon the judge that it was vital that you go home to preserve your marriage, because you were concerned about that, correct?
A. I don't recall saying that.
Q. When you were speaking with the judge, you spoke very quietly, right? That's your demeanor?
A. I believe I sounded upset.
Q. All right. And your tone of voice, you spoke
quietly?
A. I don't -- I believe I was talking, you know, like I am right now.
Q. Slowly?
A. That's correct, like I was upset --
Q. Somewhat --
A. -- and nervous.
Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.
A. Well, I was talking like I am, basically, now.
Q. Somewhat monotone?
A. Correct.
Q. You told the judge that the trial was putting a strain on your marriage, didn't you?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. You have no recollection of that?
A. No, sir, none at all.
Q. What impression were you trying to create with the judge, in this conversation with him?
A. I was upset.
Q. All right. What other impression? What did you want the judge to conclude, after his discussion with you?
A. That I should be let go.
Q. That you should be allowed to return home, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So your conversation with him was designed to accomplish that objective, right?
A. Under the circumstances, yes.
Q. All right. You certainly left the judge with the impression that your marriage might very well be at stake because --

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Objection, that would be beyond the scope of his knowledge.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I will rephrase.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ It was your intent to create the impression that your marriage was at stake?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Your conversation with the judge lasted less than five minutes, right?
A. About two, three minutes, yeah.
Q. And Sheriff Pagel was present the entire time of that conversation, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And he was just a few feet away from you during the course of that conversation, right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. At the end of the conversation, the
judge told you you could be excused?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And he told you that he would not publicly disclose the reasonings, on the record, correct?
A. As I believe, yes, that's what he said.
Q. All right. And you thanked him for that, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You were concerned about your family privacy in those issues, at the time, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Mr. Mahler, in your conversation with Sheriff Pagel, before the call with Judge Willis, you never mentioned anything about your concerns of being verbally threatened by another juror, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. You never mentioned anything to the bailiff, Oscar, that this was a concern, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. And the bailiff was the one who was actually in charge of the juror's well-being, correct?
A. Yeah, I believe so.
Q. That was his job, as far as you could tell?
A. Mm-hmm. Yes, sir.
Q. And you never mentioned anything about your concerns with the jury or, more particularly, this incident at the restaurant, correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. All right. And in your conversation with Judge Willis, you did not tell him about that?
A. No, I was pretty much concerned about what was happening at home.
Q. All right. And the real reason you wanted to go home was what was occurring at home, or what you didn't know, but certainly was concerning to you, at home?
A. Yes, that was.
Q. That was the reason you wanted to go?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So if -- In an effort to get home, you told the judge that you were having marital problems, didn't you?

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Objection, asked several times and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ Mr. Mahler, the preservation of your marriage was more important
than your duty as a juror, correct?
A. I don't believe, no.
Q. You don't believe so?
A. It had nothing to do with my marriage.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. It had nothing to do with my marriage.
Q. But you told the judge that it did?
A. I don't recall --

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Objection.
A. -- saying that.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Covering the same ground.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ All right. Did you intend to deceive the judge?
A. I don't recall saying --
Q. You don't recall saying any of those things I asked you about, is that correct?
A. About my marriage being in trouble, no. And my intention wasn't to deceive the judge.
Q. Your intention was to get home?
A. Under the circumstances, yes, right.
Q. And those circumstances were the problems at home?
A. The uncertainty of what the accident, or whatever, what $I$ thought was an accident.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Pass the witness.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Yes, please.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN:
Q. Mr. Mahler, you made reference that you had entered into deliberations with the plan of how you wanted to proceed; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what was your thought about what you wanted to do in deliberations?
A. My object was --

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, beyond the scope of cross.

THE COURT: Counsel.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: There had been testimony, at some length, elicited on cross, as to his reaction and things that were actually said during deliberations. And that's what I am leading to, following up on that.

ATTORNEY FALLON: The question is his state of mind and what occurred that evening, not what he was planning to do the next day. going to sustain the objection.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian)~ You testified about some comments that were made by the jurors in this first day of deliberations; do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And how did those comments leave you feeling?
A. I felt hopeless. I felt angry. I was angry and I felt hopeless.
Q. And one of the jurors who made the comment, as you described, quite immediately, that he is guilty, that's one of the comments you are referring to that left you feeling frustrated?
A. Yes. There were similar comments from two other jurors.
Q. So then, at dinner, when you were seated next to Mr. Wardman, and you made the comment that you were feeling frustrated; in your own mind, what were you referring to?
A. I was referring to his comment, without directly pointing the finger at him.
Q. And it was after you made the comment, in your own mind referring to his behavior during deliberations, that he then responded to you, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And your testimony was that his -- his -- his comment was that you should try to get off the jury?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you take that comment?
A. As a verbal threat.
Q. And I would like you to explain what you mean by a verbal threat. In your own mind, at that time, what did you think he was trying to tell you?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian)~ You have testified, that when you spoke with the judge, that you were feeling frustrated and you were upset. Part of that frustration was your family situation?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there some other thoughts in your mind at that time that were troubling you?
A. It was a mixture of what was said during deliberations, at lunch, and then all of a sudden the family emergency hit.
Q. And when you refer to the deliberations, what
specifically are you referring to?
A. To the comment that Carl and two other jurors had made.
Q. And are you also, then, referring to the comment that was made by Mr. Wardman at dinner?
A. Yes.
Q. So when you spoke to the judge, you did want off the jury; is that right?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, leading.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian) ~ When you spoke to the judge, what were you hoping to accomplish?
A. To go home and find out what was really going on.
Q. Had you been instructed by the Court about what you could and couldn't talk about, in terms of the deliberations?
A. Pretty much anything about the trial, we weren't allowed to talk to anybody about.
Q. And was it your understanding that you should not be talking to other people about what was going on in deliberations?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did you think it would, in any way, be improper for you to talk with your wife about what happened in deliberations that day?
A. Yes, it would have been improper.
Q. Would it have -- Also, in your own mind, would you have thought maybe it wasn't proper to talk about that with the bailiff?
A. I felt it was improper to talk about it with anybody.
Q. When you spoke with your wife that evening, and there was mention of an accident, did your wife provide you with any details about this accident?
A. Not at all.
Q. Did you ask her specific questions about the accident?
A. No, I didn't ask her.
Q. So there's mention of an accident and then what happens in the conversation?
A. I just said, well, I got to get going, I will talk to you later.
Q. You were questioned some about this press report about a trust fund that $I$ would like to ask if you remember a day, I'm referring to March 12th of 2007, that would have been a few days before the case went to the jury. Do you remember being brought in and questioned by the judge, each juror, one by one?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. You specifically remember that day and you
remember, were you in the courtroom or somewhere else?
A. We were in a back room.
Q. And do you recall at that time some reference being made to that press report?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to take a look at the transcript of that? I have here --

THE COURT: Just a minute. Do you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Yes, sir.
THE COURT: What is your answer, to whether or not looking at the transcript would refresh your recollection?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it would help.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I'm going to object as to the relevance of the transcript during the jury selection process as it pertains to his state of mind on the night the decision to excuse him.

THE COURT: It's my understanding we're not talking about the jury selection process here, but rather a questioning of the jury that occurred a few days before these events. I don't actually know the substance of what's being referred to. Why don't
you show Mr. Fallon the transcript.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Certainly. (Off record discussion.)

ATTORNEY FALLON: That's fine, Judge, I will withdraw the objection.

THE COURT: Very well.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian)~ Mr. Mahler, I'm showing you a transcript dated March 12, 2007. I'm on page 32. I'm actually going to ask you to start reading at line 24 . It refers to officer as being the speaker, however, I believe Mr. Fallon will agree that that must be a typographical error and that was actually you speaking.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Is that correct, Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: That would be my understanding of the general context of the discussion, it appears to be a misnomer.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian) ~ I would ask you then to start reading on line 24 , continue on to the next page and read through line 16?

THE COURT: And you are asking him to read
it to himself?
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Yes, please.
A. Okay.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian) ~ Okay. Have you completed reading that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. I will take that back then. And I'm going to ask you, then, in that questioning by the Court, did you raise with the Court the incident where there had been a press report that had caused some upset for your wife?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And were you asked whether that would affect you now, at this point in the trial, shortly before deliberations were to begin?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you feel at that point that this was going to affect your ability the serve as a juror?
A. Not at all.
Q. And as far as you can recall, Mr. Mahler, in your -- whatever you told Sheriff Pagel when he came into your motel room, you do not recall mentioning your wife's upset about this press report?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And to the best of your recollection, you do not recall mentioning that in your conversation with the judge?
A. No.
Q. Is it fair -- If the judge, when you spoke with him by phone that evening, had asked you for details about the accident, would you have been able to provide them?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. And when you refer to your upset about your family situation, did you feel -- what was your feelings in terms of what you have described as the uncertainties?
A. I just wasn't sure what was happening at home. And I was upset, like anyone would probably be, with uncertainty of the situation.
Q. And your lack of knowledge about the accident, about what was going on at home, these would be matters that could be clarified with some further follow up with your family?
A. Yes.
Q. But that never happened, right?
A. No, ma'am.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: That's all I have.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, anything?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing.
THE COURT: Very well. You are excused. We'll take our morning break at this time and resume at 5 minutes to 11.
(Recess taken.)
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, before we proceed, I think counsel and I would like to introduce Exhibit No. 1, which is the Court's memorandum on the issue we just talked about.

THE COURT: The Clerk will mark it. It is marked. Very well.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Very well. Thank you.
THE COURT: And is it stipulated that it's being admitted, or you are simply marking it?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I believe admitted.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Admitted. Although could I just look at the second page of that, just to make sure?

ATTORNEY FALLON: It's got the addendum.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: It does. Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yup.
THE COURT: Very well, Exhibit 1 is admitted. Attorney Hagopian, you may call your next
witness.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: We call Dean Strang.
ATTORNEY DEAN A. STRANG, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Dean A. Strang, $S-t-r-a-n-g$.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN:
Q. Is it correct, Mr. Strang, that you and Attorney Jerry Bruting (sic) were trial counsel for Mr. Avery in this case?
A. Not initially, but eventually, yes.
Q. And you were -- you or your law firm was retained by Mr. Avery?
A. Initially, I and my law firm were retained, and then Jerry Buting was retained after that.
Q. And you were the first attorney to represent Mr. Avery in this case, were you?
A. No.
Q. Is it correct that his first attorneys were appointed by the Public Defender's Office?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. And the defendant must be found indigent in order
to qualify for public defender appointment; isn't that right?
A. At least past an initial appearance, that's my understanding.
Q. How was Mr. Avery able to afford to retain you?
A. He settled the civil action he had pending under 42 U.S.C. 1983 and all -- or substantially all of those proceeds went to retaining counsel.
Q. And that lawsuit, the civil action, was related to his wrongful conviction; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. When you agreed to represent Mr. Avery, did you or your law firm enter into a written retainer agreement with Mr. Avery?
A. The firm did, yes.
Q. Did that agreement require Mr. Avery to pay a specific fee to retain your law firm?
A. Yes. And my recollection is that it was to be a flat fee. So, yes, he had to pay a specific fee to retain us and that was all we were going to get.
Q. The record shows that you were retained in about February of 2006 and the trial began a year later, February of 2007. When the trial began, how much of the fee had been expended for

Mr. Avery's representation?
A. I cannot be certain of that, but if forced to guess, I would say all of it, and then some, before trial began.
Q. To your knowledge at that time, did Mr. Avery have additional funds to put towards his legal representation?
A. No, nothing significant. There may have been an old car somewhere, or snowmobile, but nothing that was worth the trouble to try to ask him to sell or give to us.
Q. Did the retainer agreement specify at what point your representation of Mr . Avery would end?
A. Going by memory here, I think we agreed to represent him through a first trial and sentencing, if necessary, but not on a retrial or any post-conviction or appeal proceedings. That's memory, but that's my recollection.
Q. So if a mistrial had been declared under the terms of the retainer agreement, would you or your law firm have been obligated to represent Mr. Avery at a second trial?
A. As I recall the agreement, no.
Q. I'm now going to direct your attention toward the end of trial; and specifically, I'm referring to

March 15 of 2007, that was the day when closing arguments were completed and the jury began deliberations. Do you have a recollection of that day?
A. Sure, in a general sense.
Q. That evening of March 15 th, were you informed at some point that the jury had stopped deliberating for the day?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you learn that?
A. I think by -- you know, I'm sure by a phone call. And whether that came into my cell phone or to -I think I had a working telephone in the apartment that $I$ was renting, but in any event it would have been a telephone call.
Q. And do you recall approximately what time that was?
A. No, is the short answer to that. It was either getting toward or well into maybe time for dinner.
Q. When you received the news that the jury had stopped for the day, was it your understanding that the jury would resume deliberations the next day?
A. Yes.
Q. After having been told that the jury was done for the night, what did you do?
A. I know approximately, I may be compressing time here because $I$ just don't remember exactly when $I$ was told we could stand down for the evening, but at least at some point after that what $I$ remember doing is collecting Mr. Buting and suggesting that we go have dinner and a beer.
Q. Now, you had been in trial for, what, about six weeks at that point?
A. I think at least or, you know, something right around there, yeah.
Q. How were you feeling that evening?
A. Exhausted. I mean, if I'm recalling this correctly, I had given my portion of the defense closing argument the same day as Mr. Kratz's rebuttal and the judge's instructions. And that's the day we're talking about, I think, right?
Q. You had completed your arguments, correct.
A. Okay. So I was having the sort of let down you have after a closing argument.
Q. Did you have a drink with dinner that night?
A. I remember we went out for Mexican food. It was in a strip mall somewhere not far from the
southeast corner of the city of Appleton, where Jerry and I both were staying.

Couldn't possibly tell you the restaurant or exactly where it was, but it was a Mexican restaurant in a strip mall. And when I have Mexican food I have a negra modelo beer. And at no other time do I drink negra modelo beer, so, yes, I had a negra modelo beer very shortly after getting to the restaurant and getting somebody's attention.
Q. Okay. And do you recall, were you feeling the effects of the alcohol while you were at the restaurant?
A. Yes, I was. I think I only had one beer. I'm sure I would have been legal to drive --
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. -- but I could feel the beer.
Q. At some point that evening did you receive a telephone call from Judge Willis?
A. Yes.
Q. Did this occur while you were still at the restaurant?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any recollection as to the time?
A. In my head, it was about 8:30. That could be --
that could be off one way or the other, but that's -- that's my recollection. And I think the phone call came into my cell phone, as opposed to Jerry's. That's my recollection.
Q. So the phone call comes on your cell phone; I assume you were the one who answered it?
A. That's how I recall it.
Q. And did you speak directly with Judge Willis?
A. Yes.
Q. To your knowledge, was there anyone else on the line, aside from you and Judge Willis?
A. I can't believe the phone call would have happened without someone from the prosecution either already on the line or being added immediately. But, honestly, the only one I remember speaking was Judge Willis. And, you know, I remember I spoke a little bit. But -so, no, I can't tell you for sure that someone from the prosecution team was on there, but I would be quite surprised if one or more of the prosecutors were not.
Q. But at least as you are sitting here today, you don't have any recollection of having heard, for example, District Attorney Kratz say anything during the conversation?
A. I really don't. It doesn't mean he wasn't on the phone, $I$ just don't remember anyone other than the judge speaking and, you know, my reacting.
Q. So you are on the phone, Judge Willis is on the phone, that much you recall?
A. That's what I recall.
Q. Was Attorney Buting on during this call at all?
A. I don't think so, because if -- I think it came into my cell phone, and if that cell phone had a speaker phone feature, I surely never figured out how to use it or had any interest in using it. And so, you know, I think that what was -- I know Jerry was there and I remember him being there, but I think that $I$ was probably relaying tidbits to him.
Q. What did Judge Willis tell you in that phone conversation?
A. Well, approximately, as I recall --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- what he said is that he had been notified maybe by the sheriff's department, $I$ don't know that I was given a name, but by the sheriff's department, that a situation had arisen with a juror. And my recollection is that it was presented to me as being urgent and serious. And

I'm distinguishing, I mean, that it was a serious situation, something important, but that it was also emergent or urgent.
Q. I'm sorry, could you -- I didn't quite understand the last.
A. It was not just serious, it was something urgent, something that needed to be tended to immediately, was the impression $I$ got during that conversation.
Q. In that conversation, do you recall, did the judge tell you which juror was seeking to be excused?
A. I'm sure he did. I don't recall that, but $I$ know which juror we were talking about. I can't attribute it to that first conversation with 100 percent certainty, but he probably told me.
Q. And which juror are we talking about, in your mind?
A. Mr. Mahler.
Q. Yes. Okay. And in that phone conversation, again, this is at the restaurant, with Judge Willis, was there any discussion about how to handle the situation?
A. Yes.
Q. What was proposed?
A. I don't remember what was proposed. What I think I remember is what was agreed upon, or settled, which is that the judge would make his own inquiry of the juror. And if the facts presented to him, or suggested to him by someone in the sheriff's department, were born out by the judge's inquiry, that the juror would be excused, would be relieved of further duty on the case.
Q. Your recollection is that that was something that was agreed upon in the conversation?
A. Agreed, acquiesced to, yes. I mean, I didn't -I don't recall my squawking about that or objecting.
Q. Do you recall if you were the one who had proposed that?
A. No, I don't, but maybe I did. I mean, I -- It's, you know, I just have an impressionistic recollection that the judge was sort of driving the suggestion, or the resolution.
Q. So that in that phone conversation, did you agree that the judge should speak with the juror?
A. Yes, or I mean, agreed or didn't object to that course. My own recollection is that that was the best -- that was the best that was going to happen at that point.
Q. And when you agreed, or acquiesced, was it your understanding that the judge would be speaking with the juror, without either you or Mr. Buting present?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it your understanding that Mr . Avery would also not be present?
A. Yes.
Q. And as part of that, again, that conversation, did you agree or acquiesce that the judge should also remove the juror?
A. Yes, if -- if the facts were as they had been presented to the judge, you know, were as represented by the sheriff's department or something very close to that, yes. And I really don't mean to be splitting hairs on agreeing or acquiescing. This was -- I don't remember who proposed.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. I don't remember the full discussion, but I think by the end there was a consensus that this was the course of action the judge would take.
Q. And at that time, when that decision was made, did you have a recollection of who this juror was, which juror was Richard Mahler?
A. Oh, sure.
Q. Okay. What did you think of him?
A. Focus me a little bit on that.
Q. Well, during the course of trial, were you paying some attention to the jurors, as the trial went on?
A. Not that much. It's one of my shortcomings, as a trial lawyer, to be honest. But $I$ was aware of who he was.

In voir dire, I had this sense that he would not be a follower necessarily, that this was someone who, you know, wasn't a standard government issue human being. He was -- had maybe his own drummer, so to speak. I'm not trying to make a joke about being a musician, but he was someone who was likely to come to his own views of the case, I thought, and I didn't have any sense where he would end up.

Was he more likely a prosecution juror or defense juror, that $I$ had no sense. But I had the sense that he would probably prove to be a relatively strong juror.
Q. And that the characteristics that you had ascertained from the individual voir dire, that he would be able to come at his own decision,
would that be something you would view as favorable, favorable quality in a juror?
A. Not necessarily. You know, this isn't something I ascertained, it's something I guessed, surmised, intuited, from the sort of unhelpful process that is voir dire.
Q. That night, when the situation arose, did you have a strategic reason for trying to get Richard Mahler off the jury.
A. No. No, I mean not -- No, I didn't want this man off the juror -- off the jury, on his merits. I certainly did have a concern that if he was distracted by a family tragedy, or something that was weighing heavily on him, that he might be someone who would be inclined not to deliberate fully or with a, you know, an exclusive focus on the case. But that wasn't a concern I had before the phone call. I wasn't out to get rid of this juror.
Q. In the conversation with Judge Willis that evening, was there any discussion of the Wisconsin Supreme Court's decision in State vs. Lehman?
A. In the phone call while we were --
Q. In the phone call at the restaurant.
A. -- at the Mexican restaurant. Not that I recall, no.
Q. Were you familiar with that decision as you spoke with Judge Willis?
A. No, and that's why I think it didn't come up. I remember not knowing exactly what our options were at that point, if the juror got excused.
Q. Did you become aware, familiar with that decision at some point after that conversation?
A. Later on, yes, later on that evening. I'm quite certain there was not a second beer. I think dinner was either gulped down or cut short. And I went back and got on Westlaw, at some point earlier than I would have preferred to.
Q. When you agreed to have the Court speak with the juror and remove him if the information was confirmed, did you consider whether Mr. Avery and his attorneys had a right to be present during that inquiry?
A. I knew we did. He had a right, personally, to be present if the Court was speaking to a juror and he certainly also had what $I$ see as an independent right to have counsel present during such conversation with a juror.
Q. Did you consult with Mr. Avery about whether he
wanted to be present during the Court's inquiry with the juror?
A. No, I couldn't, he was in the Calumet County Jail.
Q. So you were also not able to consult with him about whether he wanted this particular juror taken off the jury?
A. No.
Q. In your mind at that time, again you are still at the restaurant, in this conversation, what did you think would happen if you had objected to the procedure that was discussed, the Court talking to the juror and excusing him? What if you had objected to that and told the Court that Mr. Avery and his attorneys had a right to be present?
A. What would have happened is necessarily speculative. But I -- right or wrong, I had the impression that this was serious enough and urgent enough that some contact between the judge and the juror just was very likely to happen that night, at best, or that the juror would be let go on the say so of the sheriff's department.

I can't say the judge said that, I'm just -- that was the sense I had, was that sort
of the best safeguard we were going to get was to have the judge talk to the juror. I don't recall any conversation about reconvening that night in court. Maybe we had it, $I$ just don't recall any conversation like that, or even I don't recall talking about doing this in the morning. It was -- I felt like at the time -- I mean, bluntly, my sense at the time was this was not a time for temporizing or worrying too much about legal niceties. That was just my sense.
Q. Well, when you agreed to the juror's removal, did you have any information about an accident?
A. Yes, I think what we were told, and judge was attributing this to the sheriff's department, was that maybe a stepdaughter of the juror had been in a car accident; that neither she nor anyone else had been killed, as far as anyone knew; but whether she was injured or in the hospital, or whether others were injured or in the hospital, seemed unknown at that point; and that in a related way the juror's wife had about had it with his absence, or his service on the jury; and that this car accident was sort of a last straw and she was threatening to walk out of the marriage. That was, as I recall, what was
presented, secondhand, by the judge, to us.
Q. Mm-hmm. Did you have any information that, for example, the stepdaughter was hospitalized?
A. No, my recollection is that we were told that was unknown, that no one had died, but I don't think we knew whether anyone was in the hospital or not.
Q. And you refer to this information as having come from the sheriff's department; is that correct, as far as you knew?
A. I think that's what the judge said.
Q. Was there any, to your recollection, any specific mention that the information came from Sheriff Pagel?
A. I don't remember that now. Is it possible, yes, but $I$ don't remember it being attributed to any particular employee of the sheriff's department.
Q. When you agreed that the judge could speak with the juror and remove him if information was confirmed, were you aware that Sheriff Pagel had spoken with Mr. Mahler?
A. I don't think $I$ was. I don't recall that. I can't exclude it entirely.
Q. Would it have concerned you, had you known that the sheriff was speaking with the juror?
A. Yes, but $I$ think it would have concerned Mr. Buting more.
Q. And why is that, why do say that?
A. He was more suspicious of Sheriff Pagel. And I tried to maintain a line of communication there, a cordial working relationship with the sheriff.
Q. And when you authorized, or agreed for the Court to speak with the juror, did you expect that Sheriff Pagel would be involved in that communication?
A. I don't know that I had an expectation one way or the other.
Q. Were you provided, again, in this conversation with the judge, were you provided any information as to how this situation about the accident had come to the juror's attention?
A. Might have been, I don't remember -- I don't remember being told that, I might have been. May have been that the jurors all had been allowed to call home. I guess I'm guessing. I don't recall specifically how this came to the juror's attention.
Q. You didn't have any specific information that the wife had been calling in to report a problem?
A. If I did, I don't remember it now, that's
possible, but $I$ don't remember it.
Q. So, there's a conversation with the judge, all this is occurring at the restaurant, there's an understanding of how the judge should handle it. And what happened next in terms of your knowledge of the situation?
A. Well, I think there was a second phone call that Mr. Buting placed to Judge Willis. But I only think that because I have seen a page of Mr. Buting's cell phone record more recently. I didn't remember --
Q. Okay.
A. -- that Jerry Buting had placed a call to the judge. Without that cell phone record, my recollection would be that at some point that night we were told that it was fait accompli, that the juror had been interviewed by the judge and the judge had excused the juror. I think I knew that before $I$ went to bed that night.
Q. Okay. So, when you got back to your apartment, your best recollection is that you knew at that point that Juror Mahler was off?
A. I don't know if it was before I got back to the apartment, but some -- some time before I went to bed. And it probably was either before I got to
the apartment or very soon thereafter. Because, as I say, I did go on Westlaw and start doing some research to see if I could determine what our options were then.
Q. And that's when you discovered the Lehman decision?
A. Yes, I found Lehman.
Q. So then I turn your attention to the next day, it's March 16, 2007; was there a meeting in chambers that morning with Judge Willis and the attorneys?
A. Yes.
Q. Was Mr. Avery present during that in chambers conference?
A. No. No, the initial meeting with the judge and the lawyers in chambers, Mr. Avery was not present for that.
Q. And by the time of that in chambers conference, had you spoken to Mr. Avery about the juror's removal?
A. I don't think so.
Q. So as far as you knew, when you went into that in chambers conference, your understanding would have been that Mr. Avery still had no knowledge of the fact that a juror had been taken off the
jury?
A. My recollection is that we had not, that Mr. Buting and I had not spoken to him before going to chambers the morning of March 16. So, if he knew, that didn't come from us.
Q. Was there some discussion of the Lehman decision in that conference?
A. Yes, I mean, as I recall it, more or less simultaneously, the judge and I discovered that we each had found Lehman and we had copies of it.
Q. And in part, relying on that decision, was there some discussion of the options available now that a deliberating juror had been removed?
A. Yup. Yes.
Q. And what options were discussed?
A. Well, I think -- I think all the lawyers and the Court were in agreement that there were three options. One, you could continue with 11 jurors; two, you could declare a mistrial; three, you could insert an alternate juror, if one had been retained, into the group, to restore it to 12. And that if you did that, the jurors would have to be instructed to begin deliberations anew.

And I think there also was consensus that each of the two sides had an absolute veto
in the sense that any -- either of the two options would have allowed deliberations to go forward, required the assent of both sides. Could have forced a mistrial, to put it succinctly, either side could have.
Q. And it was the understanding at that point that indeed there was an alternate available?
A. We had retained an alternate, yes.
Q. And while you were still in that in chambers conference, did the parties reach an agreement of which of the options to take?
A. My recollection is, no, that at some point $I$ or Jerry, or both of us, said we have got to go talk to Steven.
Q. But was there some at least tentative agreement among the attorneys and the Court as to which option would be taken?
A. I think that we were all leaning toward plugging in the retained alternate juror and continuing deliberations with 12, although deliberations would have to start afresh. I think we were leaning that way by the time Mr . Buting and I left chambers to go down and speak with Mr. Avery in the jail.
Q. And even in that conference, may there have been
some discussion of drafting the appropriate instruction to give the jury, if the sub -- if the alternate were put in?
A. I can't place the exact time at which that discussion occurred, but at some point, yes, we talked about an instruction and settled, I think, on the wording of an instruction. I can't place that at the initial meeting in chambers before Mr. Buting and I spoke to Mr. Avery. Maybe, maybe not.
Q. When the decision ultimately was made to substitute in the alternate, was it your understanding that Lehman would allow the parties to consent to putting in an alternate during deliberations?
A. As long -- excuse me -- as long as deliberations started over, yes.
Q. And you have testified that you had found Lehman the night before and were familiar with that by the time of the in chambers conference. Had you also researched whether there had been any changes to the relevant statute since Lehman was decided?
A. I did not.
Q. So, did you ultimately agree to the option of
substituting in the alternate with the belief that that was an option permitted under Wisconsin law?
A. Yes.
Q. So after this in chambers conference, then, you went to meet with Mr. Avery?
A. Yes.
Q. And that took place at the jail; is that right?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Who was present?
A. Jerry Buting, Steven Avery, and I.
Q. How long did that meeting last?
A. Something under 20 minutes, close to 20 minutes.
Q. That 20 minutes would have been from the time you arrived at the jail until the time you left?
A. I have looked at that page from my trial notes, and as I recall, the notes say 8:45 to 9:05. And I have a habit about keeping time with conferences with the detained or incarcerated clients.

And I note the time when I'm walking through the security door, the last locked door, and then I note the time when I leave the secure area of the jail or prison. I don't -- I don't -- you know, I don't write down the time
when the client walks in the interview room, or the booth, or wherever we're meeting. Because that's unpredictable, how long that's going to take the jail personnel to get the client there. And usually what I'm doing at that point is writing down the things we're going to discuss.
Q. So, based on your note showing that time of a 20 minute time frame, that would be the absolute longest the conversation with Mr. Avery took?
A. Right. The conversation with Mr. Avery would have been a little bit shorter than that. The Calumet County Jail was very prompt about getting Mr. Avery into the interview room that we used. I think because -- I think because he was the only inmate in the adjoining pod, but we never had to wait long.
Q. And what was discussed in that meeting with Mr. Avery?
A. The fact that we lost a juror, the night before, and what the three options were at this point, going forward.
Q. And those would have been the three options you just described in your testimony?
A. Right. And -- And I also would have explained -I did explain to Mr. Avery that, in the end,
which of these three options to choose was his choice.
Q. And so as part of this discussion, did you tell Mr. Avery he could have a mistrial?
A. I did.
Q. Did you give him any advice about taking a mistrial?
A. I advised that he not take a mistrial. I steered him toward introducing the alternate juror into the deliberations.
Q. And in part of your discussion with him about whether to take a mistrial, did you talk to him about whether you would be representing him at a second trial?
A. We must have then, and I remember more than one such conversation with Mr. Avery. And one of those must have been then, in this meeting on the 16th.
Q. And what did you tell him?
A. If you have a mistrial, which you have a right to do, they will try you again. This isn't a case where they are going to, you know, make a meaningful offer for a lesser disposition. The case will go to trial again and neither Jerry nor I will be able to represent you, financially. We
just can't and won't do that, through a second trial.
Q. At any point in that discussion of the options, did you advise Mr. Avery that he should proceed with 11 jurors?
A. No.
Q. Why did you not recommend that?
A. Because I never would.
Q. Why?
A. Twelve is better than eleven. I mean, you know, look, you want to win the case, but placing, so to speak, is a mistrial, in my business. And you have got one more chance to get a mistrial with 12 jurors than you do with 11. The dynamic is different.
Q. If substitution of a juror, during deliberations, was not a legal option, would you have advised Mr. Avery to proceed with 11?
A. I'm sorry, I zoned out a moment.
Q. Sure. You had talked about mistrial and you recommended substituting, correct?
A. Yup.
Q. You did not recommend going with eleven?
A. I recommended that we not go with 11.
Q. If --
A. Strongly.
Q. -- substitution of a juror was not an option allowed under law, would you then have advised Mr. Avery to proceed with 11 jurors?
A. No.
Q. If the choices allowed by law would have been go with 11 or have a mistrial, which option would you have recommended?
A. Well, I don't know, and here's why I don't know, because I would have been saying mistrial and I'm not sure Mr. Buting would have. That's something, had we known that there were only two options, that's something that would have required a real discussion, between me and Mr. Buting, to reach agreement ourselves, before we spoke to Steven, because we weren't going to go into a client and, you know, have his two lawyers be giving him directly conflicting advice or bickering about what we thought he ought to do, in front of him.
Q. So, if I understand your testimony, you personally, if given the choices of 11 jurors or a mistrial, would have preferred the mistrial, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But you are unsure whether Attorney Buting would have preferred 11 or a mistrial?
A. To this day, I'm unsure of that. But, you know, we had -- we had made an agreement which became sort of solemn over time. We had made an agreement, the day Jerry Buting agreed to get into the case, that we would not play it for the fumbles, that we were going to try to win this trial. We wanted, you know -- we wanted not guilty verdicts down the line. And Jerry had secured my agreement before he agreed to come in as co-counsel.

And that agreement, interestingly enough in this trial, was one we had to come back to and reaffirm at a number of different junctures. This was one of those. And if the choices had been two, not the three that we thought, that would have been a juncture that would have required a real serious conversation about, you know, do we go for broke or do we take a mistrial.
Q. But just to make sure then I understand, the declining of a mistrial was with the understanding that the option that was chosen, substituting in the alternate, was a legally
permissible option?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. I'm going to show you a court memo that is dated March 16th, 2007, and has been marked as an exhibit. And I just want to ask if you are familiar with that.
A. Yes.
Q. You have seen that before?
A. I have.
Q. How did you become aware of that memo?
A. Judge Willis told us, I think the morning of March 16, that he either had or was going to prepare a memo like this, just to memorialize what had happened the night before.
Q. And so you were told about it, do you recall, though, when you actually saw it?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you think it was that same day?
A. I don't, but I honestly, in my mind, I saw this after trial, for the first time. But I could be wrong about that, it could have been that day, it could have been the next day. In my head, for whatever reason, $I$ think $I$ didn't see this until after trial. Perfectly possible I'm wrong about that.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: I will take that back. And then I think that's all I have for now. Thank you.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Judge, at this point, we would turn our attention to the other issue and should I just go ahead and proceed with Mr. Strang, or what did you want to do in terms of the Court's schedule today?

THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and get started.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Okay. Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTD

BY ATTORNEY ASKINS:
Q. Mr. Strang, I would like to change subjects here and ask you about some other matters relating to Mr. Avery. Now, prior to the trial in this case there were a number of motions filed by each side to resolve certain potential trial problems; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, there was lots of pre-trial litigation, lots of pre-trial motions, correct?
A. You know, both sides filed a number of motions and briefs.
Q. And prior to the trial, do you recall filing with
the Court a request to be able to introduce evidence at the trial of alternative persons who may have been responsible for Mr -- for Ms Halbach's death?
A. Yes. And at least one brief supporting that motion.
Q. And, ultimately, the Court issued a ruling on that; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall that the Court ordered that you could not present evidence that a third party, other than Brendan Dassey participated in the commission of these crimes; is that also correct?
A. Yes, generally. Let me tell you what $I$ remember about that, and I haven't gone back and looked. But my recollection is that the judge ruled that Denny applied. And that under Denny we had not made a sufficient showing as to anyone, other than Brendan Dassey, and the State, I think, gave us Brendan Dassey. I think they conceded Brendan Dassey, for Denny purposes. But I think beyond Brendan Dassey, the Court ruled that we had not made the necessary showing that would allow us to argue specific third party liability.
Q. Now, a minute ago you used a phrase that you and

Mr. Buting -- and I'm not going to get it exactly right -- had an agreement not to play for fumbles, but for the win, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm wondering why you made that agreement; what was the purpose for that sort of agreement between you and Jerry, or the rationale behind it?
A. And it's -- I realize it sounds funny because I don't take any case planning to lose, you know. You try to win everything that you think is going to trial. But this was a matter of specific discussion. And Jerry brought it up, in our first meeting in my office, when he was considering entering an appearance.

And, you know, it was, look, Dean, if I'm going to get in, I'm not interested in a mistrial. I'm not interested in appellate issues, you know, for their own sake. I'm interested in trying to win this case.

And while I don't remember the details of that conversation, it had to do, and the reason we struck that agreement, or really, you know, reached an accord deeper than ordinary professional obligation, was that this was Steven

Avery we were talking about. This was somebody who had spent 18 years in a cage for a crime he didn't commit.

And I'm an officer of the court. I work in the system. I have given my professional life to our system of justice and I didn't want that. And I think, to his credit, the first one who decided he didn't want that happening again, on his watch, was Jerry Buting. And that's the gist of the conversation that we had. So if we're going to do this, we are going to try to win this case.
Q. Now, the Judge's ruling on third party liability, and I will either refer to it as the Denny ruling or the ruling on third party liability; did that affect your trial strategy?
A. Sure.
Q. I would like to direct your attention to the opening statement. You presented the opening statement on the part of the defense, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You have tried many cases in your career?
A. Maybe not as many as you think, but.
Q. As an experienced defense attorney, however, you would agree that you are an experienced defense
attorney?
A. I guess, relatively speaking, I'm getting more experience by the day, but.
Q. As a defense attorney, do you have a specific way that you approach an opening statement to a jury? Is there something that you are trying to accomplish?
A. Grab their attention, give them a coherent narrative that embraces and advances the theory of defense.
Q. And that was true in Mr. Avery's case as well?
A. That's what I tried to do.
Q. In light of the Court's ruling, then, did the Court's third party liability ruling affect your approach to the opening statement?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance, the opening --

ATTORNEY ASKINS: I'm sorry, I can't hear the objection.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes, the opening
statement is not evidence and nor is it argument. It's a statement of what is expected to occur. It's an oratorical device. It is not argument. It is
not evidence. And, therefore, is irrelevant to the specific and narrow focus of this post-conviction motion.

THE COURT: Attorney Askins.
ATTORNEY ASKINS: Judge, ultimately the question is going to be raised about what effect the -- or what prejudice, what effect there was on this case, due to the Court's third party liability ruling. And I think the opening statement being part of the whole defense case is certainly relevant to how this case was handled differently.

THE COURT: Well, I largely agree with Attorney Fallon on this one. I will let you ask a couple questions as an introduction into the evidence portion of the case and certainly the closing argument, but.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Very well, thank you.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
Q. (By Attorney Askins)~ Would you like me to repeat the question?
A. No, I think I have it in my mind. Had the Denny ruling gone our way, we would have settled on one or more people as to whom we thought we had the best case, that they had committed the crime. And I would have presented a theory of defense in
my opening statement that identified that person or those persons that stopped short of, and explain to the jury why $I$ was not taking on the burden of persuasion in the end of proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

But the theory of defense would have been shaped around the person we thought probably committed the crime. And I would have had a chance in that opening statement to blunt the thrust of the prosecution argument that I expected, which was, if you are saying the police planted evidence to frame Mr. Avery, or to make it appear that Mr . Avery committed the crime, if you're saying that, then you must also be saying that the police killed Ms Halbach, which we weren't saying.

But unable to point to the person we think did, we were -- we were wide open on the flank to that prosecution attack. And I would have shaped -- tried to shape an opening statement that took that opportunity for attack away from the State.
Q. Let's turn now to the actual evidence at the trial. You were able to illicit testimony that Teresa Halbach was good at getting so-called
hustle shots; is that correct?
A. Yes. Can't tell you from whom, but I know that came in.
Q. Did the trial court's third party liability ruling affect your ability, in your mind, to elicit other testimony relating to hustle shots? And what I mean by -- I should back up. When I say other testimony, testimony other than what you did elicit.
A. That I don't -- That I don't remember, tying the Denny ruling to hustle shots.
Q. All right.
A. Honestly, Jerry was more focused on the hustle shots than was I, but I don't remember that.
Q. Now, another -- or one important piece of evidence in the State's case was the blood inside of Ms Halbach's vehicle, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the trial court's ruling on third party liability affect how you would respond to that evidence at the trial?
A. It took away the ability to suggest that persons other than law enforcement officers had access to bloody bandages, bloody towels, blood drips that came from Steven Avery. He had cut his finger
badly some time I think shortly before Ms Halbach disappeared, or right after, somewhere in there.
Q. Another blood source, you have stated, how would that matter?
A. Well, if his blood was found in her car, as the people from -- or, you know, Sherri Culhane was going to testify, that's a big problem for the defense. How did it get there, if it wasn't Steven Avery who bled in the car. The Denny ruling left us only the police as the possible source of that blood, if it wasn't directly from Steven Avery.

The people who were on that property regularly, though, would have had, presumably, access to Steven's trailer or to places where he disposed of bandages, things he had bled on.
Q. As a defense attorney, have you previously defended a client on a theory that the -- that client was framed in some fashion by the police?
A. Certainly not in the first chair, at least not that $I$ remember in the first chair. It is an enormously unappealing defense, for obvious reasons.

And I think I second chaired Jim Shellow in a trial in which that was essentially the
argument as to an FBI agent who had elicited a statement from the client. That is, I know I second chaired Jim. And I remember the trial and I think Mr. Shellow's argument in that was that the FBI agent had set up the client in some fashion. That's the only thing that even comes close, that $I$ remember as I sit here.
Q. And I think you stated, is that a difficult or an easy argument to make to a jury?
A. You know, it's not an argument that most jurors, most jurors, come in prepared to accept. You know, there are some who just don't like or trust law enforcement. But, you know, the norm, so far as in my experience with jurors, is that they presuppose the good faith, competence, and honesty of law enforcement officers as a group.
Q. I'm going to refer to the State's rebuttal closing argument in this case, in it and this is a quote from the transcript and I'm going to ask you a question following that.

The quote is this, Despite Mr. Buting trying to sell you on the fact that we're not saying the cops did it, that's exactly what they are saying. That's exactly what they are arguing to you and you have to be prepared to go there.

Closed quote.
In light of the trial court's Denny ruling, did this argument from the State surprise you or did you expect that argument?
A. Expected that from the get go. That's the argument $I$ would have been making if $I$ were Mr. Kratz. I think I tried, you know -- I think -- I think, in opening statement, I told the jury, we're not saying the police killed Ms Halbach.
Q. Did the trial court's third party liability ruling affect how you would handle this expected argument from the State?
A. Yes. I think I could have taken it away, had I been able to say, this probably is who killed Ms Halbach and this is why they had a motive to put it on Steven. And in doing so, they found a very receptive audience in law enforcement, who were happy to believe him guilty.
Q. All right. I would like to turn your attention now to Bobby Dassey, one of the State's witnesses; you recall his testimony at trial, in general?
A. In general.
Q. And do you recall that he was one of the
individuals you identified in your third party liability briefs as a potential alternative suspect?
A. Yes. Yes, I think -- I think we identified all of the Dassey brothers who lived with their mother as, I think, as potential third party perpetrators.
Q. And now you did the cross-examination of Bobby, do you recall doing the cross-examination?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And did the trial court's third party ruling affect your cross-examination of Bobby Dassey?
A. Yes, I think there is a very, very good likelihood. I can't say this for sure, because we're talking about a ruling we never got, you know, but had the Denny ruling gone the other way, I think there's a very good possibility that Bobby Dassey would have been cross-examined by me as someone who potentially was a murderer.
Q. Just drawing your attention to a couple of -- and I'm not going to go into a great amount of detail about this, but were there areas that you thought would be fruitful to cross-examine Bobby on, Bobby Dassey?
A. The mutual and mutually exclusive alibi that he and Scott Tadych offered each other would have been the one that comes to mind.
Q. Had you looked at Mr. Dassey's, Bobby Dassey's chronology that day, his timeline for where he was and when?
A. Well, I'm sure that I had. We had all the interviews of law enforcement with Bobby Dassey.
Q. Would you have treated Mr. Dassey differently about the so-called joke that Mr. Avery had supposedly made to him?
A. Almost surely.
Q. How so?
A. That could have been handled as a blame shifting effort by someone who himself was culpable, rather than having to handle it as, oops, you made a mistake, you didn't really mean to suggest that Mr. Avery was serious about that.
Q. Now --
A. Could have been handled as something, you know, that he never heard.
Q. Excuse me?
A. It could have been handled as something that Bobby Dassey never heard and was saying to point an accusatory finger at his uncle.
Q. Now, another individual was Scott Tadych, who was the State's witness; do you recall Mr. Tadych?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. And you did the cross-examination of him as well?
A. I did.
Q. And do you recall that he was also identified as a possible third party suspect?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the Court's Denny ruling affect your cross-examination of Mr. Tadych?
A. Almost surely.
Q. Can you give some examples of how the Court's ruling affected your handling of Mr. Tadych, or more specifically, your cross-examination?
A. I expect that I would have projected to Mr. Tadych, or to the jury, in my attitude toward Mr. Tadych, my tone of voice, the manner of my questioning, the view that he was a probable murderer.
Q. You mentioned the mutual alibi with Mr. Bobby Dassey; would that have been a source of cross-examination as well?
A. It was anyway. I would have tried to develop that at greater length, including the improbability of the whole notion that these two
guys going hunting, you know, Dassey at Tadych's place, and Tadych somewhere past Dassey's place.

You know, the improbability of that could have been developed on cross of both of them, including, you know, Bobby Dassey's claim that he took a shower before going hunting, not something a bow hunter likely would do.
Q. How about other witnesses, and I understand that the ruling did not go your way; however, had the ruling gone your way, would you have considered calling other witnesses in Mr. Avery's defense?
A. Potentially, if allowed, witnesses to Mr. Tadych's temper; witnesses to Mr. Tadych's attempt to sell a . 22 caliber long rifle, shortly after this; a witness to Mr. Tadych bolting out of work, ashen faced, shortly after this, when he heard that one of the Dassey boys either had been arrested or was being questioned by the police.
Q. Other than Mr. Tadych, any other types of evidence or witnesses who you might have called, I mean other than witnesses relating to Mr. Tadych? Anybody else who you think you might have called?
A. That -- Now, we're beyond my ability to sort of reconstruct this. The ruling did not go our way
so we tried a different case than we would have tried had the ruling gone our way. That's just the nature of pre-trial rulings, significant ones in any event.
Q. Fair enough. So now we move on to the closing argument and both you and Mr. Buting made closing arguments, correct?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I'm going to impose an objection. I have listened now for about the last 30 minutes on this line of questioning, and it occurs to me that this line of questioning is entirely irrelevant to the specific focus of the post-conviction motion, which was, in effect, did the Court err in making the ruling that you made at that time, based on the argument presented by the defense and the arguments presented by the State, as to the existence of the evidence at the time.

And this last 35 minutes here of what is in effect speculation as to what might have occurred, could have occurred, we would have done this, or we might have done that, doesn't really shed any light whatsoever on whether or not the Court was wrong, or committed an error in deciding whether the evidence of third party liability should have gone the other way. I
don't see its relevance.
THE COURT: Attorney Askins.
ATTORNEY ASKINS: Your Honor, it is relevant because, as I mentioned earlier, ultimately this question is going to be addressed in terms of prejudice, and what we're establishing today is prejudice. And the other response that I would have, first of all, is that we're now closing in on the last two questions that $I$ have for Mr. Strang and so the objection is a little late in this process.

But this is also information that the Court did not have at the time that it made the Denny ruling. This information that we're eliciting today is information that you had not heard prior to today's date. And so I think it's useful for you to have that, to understand what is part of our motion relating to Denny.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If I may respond.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: We haven't heard any evidence. What would the evidence have been, that is where the essence of the prejudice argument, assuming for the sake of this brief discussion that prejudice must be shown under these circumstances.

But the real question is, what is the evidence, not what counsel's strategy would have been, or how counsel might have changed his opening, or how counsel might have cross-examined Mr. Dassey differently or Mr. Tadych differently. The question is, what would the evidence have been if elicited. There is no evidence.

THE COURT: All right. Well, this is a post-conviction motion hearing rather than a trial, I'm not going to anticipatorily decide that the defendant doesn't have an argument to make based on this line of questioning. I will let the State make that argument in its written brief. Attorney Askins, I'm going to permit you to continue. ATTORNEY ASKINS: Thank you, your Honor.
Q. (By Attorney Askins) ~ Turning to the closing argument, as I was asking you before, did the trial court's ruling affect your closing argument to the jury?
A. Yes, I -- there's a specific instance that I can recall in the -- I -- The defense split the closing argument, Mr. Buting went first, I went second. He said something suggesting that someone else may have been the culprit, during his closing argument. I don't remember the
details now, but $I$ think it probably was Mr. Kratz who objected and wanted to be heard.

And my recollection is that at some point in chambers, so maybe it was at the end of the day, or at some break, before I started my closing argument, I think I was told, you know, Strang, you better go back, you better go out and clean up the mess that Buting made or, you know, sort of pull back from the position he took, otherwise there may be a curative instruction by the Court, which as I recall is what Mr. Kratz wanted.
Q. I had asked earlier if you have a theory of how you approach an opening statement to a jury. Do you also have a kind of a theory of how to approach a jury in your closing argument?
A. Again, with a narrative that $I$ hope reaffirms the facts that $I$ expected to elicit for the jury and that reaffirms the theory of defense as consistent with the evidence the jury heard, and that, if possible, inspires the jury to hold firm to the rules by which we try cases in this country, and offers them a narrative in a theory that fits better with the facts than the competing narrative that the State offers.
Q. Did you understand the trial court's ruling to prohibit you from offering a coherent theory that some specific other individual did this?
A. I couldn't argue that anyone other than Brendan did it, that was the nature of the Court's ruling as I understood it.

And I think $I$ went back and tried to retract or smooth over whatever it is Jerry had argued in his portion of the closing argument that had resulted in the objection and the colloquy, whether that was in the courtroom or in chambers.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: I have no other questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to take our noon break at this time. Counsel, I will leave it up to you whether we resume at 1:00 or 1:15. I would like to try and get the evidence in today.

ATTORNEY FALLON: 1:15 is fine.
THE COURT: 1:15.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: That's fine.
THE COURT: Very well, we'll see you back at 1:15.
(Noon recess taken.)
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Strang, you may
be seated. At this time we're back on the record. Attorney Askins, I believe you completed your direct, correct?

ATTORNEY ASKINS: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fallon. ATTORNEY FALLON: Good afternoon, counsel. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. If we could take a moment or two to get a little information regarding your background, I think that would be beneficial. As I understand it, Mr. Strang, you have extensive practice in both federal and state courts here in Wisconsin?
A. Sure. I mean, I won't quibble with the adjective, but yes, both federal and state court.
Q. All right. In fact, at one time, you were the federal defender, I believe, in the eastern district, was it?
A. I was the first federal defender in Wisconsin, I was initially the eastern district of Wisconsin and then three or four years in we added the western district of Wisconsin.
Q. So at some point you were the -- Well, first of
all, tell us what the federal defender is?
A. It's a public defender position, but representing the indigent only in federal court on federal prosecutions.
Q. And how long did you hold that position?
A. Five years, almost to the day.
Q. And I believe you were just telling us at some point your responsibilities included the whole of Wisconsin?
A. Both districts, yes, which encompassed the whole of Wisconsin together.
Q. All right. And prior to that time, you had experience as a criminal defense attorney, correct?
A. Yes, about 11 and a half, 12 years of criminal defense experience before I became the federal defender.
Q. All right. And how long ago or how long has it been since you stepped down from the position as the federal defender?
A. I stepped down on August 1, 2005.
Q. So, in terms of experience, you have roughly 20 years of criminal defense practice experience?
A. Twenty-one.
Q. All right. And as I understand it, you have, at
least on two occasions, argued cases before the United States Supreme Court?
A. The second time $I$ was only the co-author of the brief and at counsel table I didn't argue Booker, but I argued a case in the U.S. Supreme Court before that.
Q. All right. And on that -- particularly on that Booker case, that was a pretty significant piece of legislation -- of case law, was it not?
A. In the little world of federal --
Q. Sentencing.
A. -- criminal law and sentencing, yes, it was a significant case.
Q. And you were successful in arguing that the federal sentencing scheme was unconstitutional?

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Objection, relevance.
And I think there is some relevance to the general experience, but this sort of level of detail, $I$ just don't think it's relevant.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, if one of the allegations here, Judge, is that counsel was ineffective, we're certainly entitled to know of his experience.

THE COURT: I hope we're not going to be spending a lot more time on it, but I will allow you
to ask a couple questions.
ATTORNEY FALLON: I have about four or five more questions.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ You are or have been an adjunct professor of law at Marquette University Law School?
A. Yes.
Q. You similarly have been or are an adjunct professor of law at the University of Wisconsin Law School?
A. That's also true.
Q. And you currently have that position at the University of Wisconsin, do you not?
A. Yes, and at Marquette, although I'm not teaching a class this semester at Marquette.
Q. In fact, in classes that you teach, you have taught classes on federal law, federal crimes?
A. Well, I taught a course for three years, or four years, or something, at Marquette Law School called Federal Crimes and Federal Criminal Procedure, one course.
Q. And you have taught courses on evidence?
A. I have taught evidence once, last spring, at UW and expect to do it again next spring.
Q. All right. And was that state rules of evidence
or federal?
A. Both, because of the diploma privilege, but a focus on the federal rules of evidence.
Q. And you have also taught on Fourth Amendment Search and Seizure Law?
A. I teach -- With Marcus Berghahn, I teach a seminar on the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments, at -- again, at the University of Wisconsin Law School.
Q. And the Fifth Amendment talks about both interrogation law and even fair trials and double jeopardy. You cover those topics?
A. We don't -- We actually don't cover double jeopardy, but you have got the right amendment.
Q. All right. And you are also on faculty for the National Criminal Defense College in Macon, Georgia, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have been on that faculty for about 10 years?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's a school designed to educate and teach trial skills to defense attorneys from around the country?
A. Yes. And to some extent outside the country.

Criminal defense lawyers only, that's correct.
Q. You have authored a number of law review articles?
A. Co-authored one, authored and published two, and then another one is coming out this fall, later this fall.
Q. All right. And this one coming out this fall is entitled what?
A. Becoming What We Pretend To Be, Casual Rhetoric In American Criminal Justice. Get it while it's hot.
Q. And you have also have been involved in the anti death penalty movement, correct?
A. Not so actively now, but yes, very actively in the mid-nineties to late-nineties.
Q. Lectured, debated, wrote on the issue?
A. Yes, to all three.
Q. All right. In this particular case, I believe you already expressed this, but I want to be clear, the ultimate goal in this case, at least as you and Mr. Buting set out, was to obtain an acquittal?
A. Yes.
Q. And that, in this case, would have been three not guilty verdicts, correct?
A. As the case went to the jury, that's right.
Q. All right. Now, you would agree with me, counsel, that a trial lawyer's decisions throughout the course of handling a case like this are an effort to achieve that objective, an acquittal; you make decisions with that goal in mind?
A. In this case?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes. And I'm sorry, I mean to the extent that it's a case where the client is saying I'm innocent, I didn't do it --
Q. Right.
A. -- and I want to go to trial, then I could speak more generally and agree with you, yes.
Q. All right. That would be the point, your point is well taken. And certainly in this particular case, a not guilty verdict on a charge of homicide for Teresa Halbach was definitely in Mr. Avery's best interest, right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, a criminal defense attorney, in representing a client and representing their interest, has what often is referred to in the law as a fiduciary obligation, right?
A. I view it that way. I don't know how often I have seen fiduciary duty apply to the criminal defense role, but $I$ view it that way.
Q. All right. And would you express, then, to us, your understanding of what that obligation is, that fiduciary obligation?
A. Well, as I understand it, it would be to put the client's interest first and to act in a way that advances his legitimate interests so far as possible, while obeying the ethical and other societal rules that bind lawyers, and in general bind all of us.
Q. All right. And with that in mind, with that obligation in mind, you make decisions which you believe are in the best interest of your client, directed toward the goal of an acquittal?
A. Yes, some decisions are the clients to make, others are committed to the lawyer, but I think no matter who's the ultimate decision maker, I would agree with you that $I$ try to come to a course or a decision that is in the client's legitimate best interest.
Q. And you would agree that sometimes, whether it's a decision or a recommendation to a client for their decision, sometimes that's a very difficult
process, the decision making process?
A. Oh, sure.
Q. Or deciding on a recommendation?
A. Yes, I do agree with that.
Q. All right. And sometimes it's unpleasant?
A. Yes.
Q. Sometimes contentious?
A. Rarely, but yes, that has happened.
Q. And sometimes you may make an argument or a recommendation to the Court because your client thinks that's what should be done?
A. Now, you are speaking generally, beyond this case?
Q. Generally, yes. I mean, you may not always agree with your client, and they may want -- but if it's their decision --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and if it's legal and appropriate, you have to go that way?
A. If you can't dissuade them from a poor but permissible choice, then, yes, unless it's -unless it's a matter that's so clearly committed to my judgment, yes, I would go that way or ask to withdraw.
Q. All right. Now, returning again to decisions,
sometimes, and I'm talking generally now, sometimes decisions are made jointly with you and the client together?
A. Ideally, yes.
Q. Right.
A. And, yes, I mean I try to work toward that on every decision, to the extent $I$ can, with a client.
Q. The goal being to forge a consensus between you and your client?
A. An understanding, a mutual reliance, trust. And it's a good way to check in to see that we're -you know, we still view the case the same way.
Q. Right. And, however, as I think you have just said, sometimes decisions are those that are solely for the client?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And sometimes there are just other decisions which are best made and solely the purview of the lawyer?
A. At least by law committed to the lawyer's judgment, that's right.
Q. Certainly. But good practice is to at least kick those things around with your client before you decide on a course of action?
A. That's -- that's the best practice. You -- I think most people, or at least $I$ will speak for myself, $I$ fall short of that sometimes, but that is certainly what $I$ view as the best practice.
Q. Regardless of the situation, whether it's a joint decision, the client's decision, or you as the lawyer's decision, the goal is to make a decision which is in the best interest of the client?
A. That is the goal.
Q. All right. As to the night of March 15th, you first became aware there was a problem when you received a call from Judge Willis, correct?
A. Yes, that's my recollection.
Q. And now, as recalled, the jury went out about 1:00 that afternoon, on March 15th?
A. Well, I don't know, I recall things as being a little later in the day, but it was some time in the afternoon.
Q. And they quit around 5:30?
A. There, again, I thought it was later, but it's not like I have a specific recollection or a note or anything.
Q. When the call came from the Court, I believe you have already told us you were having dinner at a Mexican restaurant and Mr. Buting was with you?
A. Yes, I was awaiting food. We had ordered, but the food had not arrived when the call came.
Q. And when the call came in, you were the one doing the talking for the defense team primarily, with the judge, correct.
A. That's how I recall it, yes.
Q. And your best recollection is is that Mr. Buting was nearby and you were relaying the comments that were made to you by the Court?
A. Yes. And that -- and that Mr. Buting could overhear my end of the conversation.
Q. Certainly. And you don't recall Mr. Kratz being in on the conversation, but you fully expect he was?
A. That's true.
Q. All right. And he said -- The reason you don't remember him, probably, is that he said very little during that conversation, correct?
A. That would explain it, I mean, that would be one plausible explanation.
Q. All right. Now, in terms of your recollection as to the information you were receiving, the Court advised you that it had received some information from Sheriff Pagel concerning Juror Mahler, correct?
A. I don't remember Sheriff Pagel being identified personally. That doesn't mean that Judge Willis didn't say it, $I$ just don't recall it being attributed specifically to Jerry Pagel or any other person by name.
Q. But you do recall the Court saying, I have been made aware or $I$ have been told this information.
A. Yes. And beyond that, I remember the Court attributing it to the sheriff's department, someone. I knew the source was the sheriff or someone in his employ.
Q. In other words, the impression you were left with is that it was an, for lack of a better term, an official source of information?
A. For lack of a better term.
Q. All right. And the Court advised you that Mr. Mahler apparently was advised that his stepdaughter was in a car accident earlier that evening, correct?
A. That was part of what I recall, right.
Q. And there was some information relayed to the Court that the vehicle which she was driving was totaled, heavily damaged?
A. That well could have been relayed to me. I don't remember that specifically. My recollection is
no one was killed, extent of injuries or property damage, unknown.
Q. Unknown?
A. That's my recollection.
Q. However, there was other information that his -that the juror's wife was very upset by the accident and the amount of time that he had been away from the family?
A. As I recall it, the sense was this was the last straw, with the juror's wife. This just, last straw, put it over the edge.
Q. And part of the reason for that last straw impression was, some of the media had attributed to the information that he revealed during voir dire that apparently a large source of his income was coming from his wife's trust fund apparently?
A. I believe that now. I don't know whether I heard that in the first phone call, or when that piece of explanation came about. But I understand that came to be part of the story.
Q. All right. So as you think about it now, it's easier for you to connect those two pieces than maybe it was that night?
A. My memory just is not fresh on this phone call.
Q. All right. The Court provided you with
information. I believe you testified on direct examination that you -- you were certainly impressed with the apparent urgency of the situation?
A. And, again, that's his objective impression that I remember best as opposed to --
Q. Specific --
A. -- objective events or words.
Q. All right. As opposed to specific details, you remember being impressed that this is an urgent or very important situation?
A. Serious and urgent.
Q. And as a result, you were then impressed with the fact that it needed to be addressed, somehow, sooner rather than later?
A. That's what I thought the Court was communicating. I mean, that's the sense I got from what Judge Willis was saying, yes.
Q. All right. Part of that sense of urgency came from the fact that the juror's marital state was certainly becoming an issue. In other words, there was a sense that maybe his marriage was on the rocks and that helped contribute to the urgent impression you were left with?
A. The information the judge was relaying to me,
that was a piece of it, you know, that the wife might walk out or something terrible might happen as a matter of the juror's marriage.
Q. Right. All right. So with that information, did you ask the Court to hold for a moment and you and Mr. Buting discuss, well, what do you think we should do, or was it kind of a free flow evolving discussion as to what do you think we should to?
A. I don't remember. As a matter of reconstruction, because I have seen one page of Mr. Buting's cell phone records, as a matter of reconstruction, I surmise that I probably said, your Honor, let us get back to you in a few minutes. Let us talk about this and call you back soon. Because it appears that, if I'm remembering right, like 8:59 p.m. Mr. Buting places a call on his cell phone to the judge.
Q. All right.
A. And I had -- My cell phone was sort of old and the battery was dying. It didn't -- It didn't last very long and all I had was a car charger when I was up trying Mr. Avery's case. So it would make sense that we would switch to Jerry's better cell phone.
Q. All right. So your recollection is that there must have been some discussion between you and Mr. Buting as to how you should proceed, or what your recommendation to the Court would be as to how you guys should proceed?
A. This is something that I would have wanted to talk to Jerry about and that we would have viewed as co-counsel as being a collaboratively made decision, if circumstances permitted that.
Q. And while we're on that topic, is it fair to say that the responsibility for handling this case was split equally, or were you more lead counsel?
A. Both. The agreement was that $I$ was lead counsel and would be the tie breaker, so to speak, if we needed one, but that we really would try to operate as equals and share responsibilities. And I think the division of labor was as close to equal as you can get.
Q. All right. In any event, after discussion or consulting with Mr. Buting to assess the defense strategy here, for your part of the conversation, it was ultimately agreed that the Court should investigate this report further before any final decision could be made as to what to do with the juror, right?
A. Right. I mean, if you really want my subjective recollection or sense of this, it was convincing Judge Willis to talk to the juror before acting and before removing the juror, was sort of the best we were going to get. That's how I recall it, rightly or wrongly.
Q. And both you and Mr. Buting were in agreement with that plan, that we should at least verify whatever this report is before we make any decisions?
A. Yes.
Q. And as --
A. Well, we in the sense of having at least the judge do that.
Q. Right.
A. Right.
Q. And as a result, with the agreement of Mr. Kratz and Mr. Buting, you advised the Court to go ahead and attempt to ascertain whether the report of this juror's problem was in fact accurate?
A. I doubt that I advised the Court to do anything, and I can only assume Mr. Kratz agreed. I don't remember in the end, anyone objecting.
Q. That was my next question. No one objected to the Court's inquiry?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. And you certainly had plenty of opportunity to do so?
A. Well, sure, informally, we were -- I don't think there was a court reporter. I don't think we were on the record or being recorded. So in some sense, we had no opportunity to object in the way lawyers use that term. Informally, in terms of expressing an opinion, no one was cutting us off.
Q. Right. And there was no opinion expressed during the phone conversation that this would be a bad idea?
A. I don't -- Yeah, I don't recall anyone expressing, you know, affirmatively, this would be a bad idea.
Q. Now, at the time that the decision was made that the Court should inquire further, well, that decision at that time, you believed, was in the best interest of Mr. Avery, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And -- And one of the reasons were that you would certainly be concerned that if there -- that if Mr. Mahler's mental state, you -- would somehow become an issue if he were remaining on the panel as well, correct?
A. Well, sure, that he would be a distracted juror. Now, I want to make clear, that although I thought, given the range of possibilities that I perceived, having the judge talk to the juror was in Mr. Avery's best interest, yes.

As I said, I also thought that I was acting, in some ways, in a broader moral sense, in the juror's best interest, or in the interest of his family. And as I suggested on direct, this just felt like a time where you -- where I shouldn't stand on legal niceties. That may have been a mistake, but that's how I -- that's how I took it and I was, at least in part, considering the juror and his family.
Q. And as a matter of fact, during that conversation, several of the individuals did express concern for his emotional state, for his well-being, the juror's? I mean that -- the feeling that you just expressed, you were not the only one to express some concern for Mr. Mahler's well-being?
A. I remember the judge expressing that.
Q. Right.
A. Yes. And Mr. Kratz may have, I don't remember him speaking, but.
Q. And you would also be concerned, as a lawyer, if Mr. Mahler had remained on the panel, that his mental state could affect the deliberations, generally, of other jurors?
A. I don't think I agree. I think the specific concern that I had was that if he remained on the jury, and whatever the events were at home were weighing heavily on him, that he might be inclined to rush through deliberations or not hold to a sincerely held belief about the weight of the evidence.
Q. All right. And -- But you have had enough cases where you are experienced to realize that one juror with a problem like that can have an affect on the overall panel's deliberations, right?
A. I can't draw on my own experience on that one, I have never had anything really very close to this arise before.
Q. But you have never had a particular juror who was distracted or any -- had any other problems such that that juror's demeanor and mental state affected the deliberations of the panel as a whole?
A. Well, I have certainly seen, you know, jurors come out with a hung jury or a verdict where
someone appeared to be in tears or in some emotional distress, but $I$ really don't think $I$ had ever lost a juror during deliberations before. That's why I did not know what the options were. That's why I had to get on Westlaw that night.
Q. In any event, you did tell the Court that if the Court were able to verify the preliminary report that it received regarding the problems he was experiencing, it would be appropriate to excuse him?
A. Whether I said it or I told anybody that in the end, I was part of that consensus, as I recall.
Q. And Mr. Buting was in agreement with that?
A. Yes, he must have been. I don't remember us, you know -- I didn't overrule him, so to speak, on that.
Q. And you would agree that the decision to excuse that juror, under the circumstances as you believed them, was in the best interest of Mr. Avery?
A. Given the information I had and the range of possibilities as I perceived them, yes, understanding that $I$ was also thinking about the juror's interests.
Q. So, at some point you did become aware that the Court did excuse Mr. Mahler?
A. I'm sure I knew that before I went to bed that night. I don't think we learned that the next morning in chambers.
Q. And one of the reasons you have that belief is you, as you said, hit the books, or as we say today, hit the computer and did some research?
A. Right. Yes, that is part of why I -- and, you know, just in general, I recall knowing that the night of the 15th, I guess, when this came up.
Q. All right. And so when you went to bed that night, you and Mr. Buting -- Well, let me not assume that. After you found the Lehman case, I'm assuming that you had some discussions with Mr. Buting as to what you thought that case meant, on its own, and then what it meant for your decision as it pertains to Mr. Avery's case?
A. Here's what $I$ think happened. His apartment was right below mine and I think I printed off

Lehman, decided there was nothing newer than that, that was something like a 1982 case, thereabout.
Q. Right.
A. Printed it off. Took it down, I think, to

Jerry's apartment. My recollection is that he was going to call Kathy, who is his wife and law partner, and bounce it off her and see if she had ever bumped into this situation or could help. But I think it wasn't very long before I got down there and said, you know, I think I have the case.
Q. All right. And so you and he then discussed what you thought the case meant and how it might affect Mr. Avery's case?
A. Right. It was -- As I recall, it was a Justice Abrahamson opinion, so it took a while to read, but, yes.
Q. Another time. When you went to bed that night, then you were aware of the fact that, at least as you believed them, there were three options available to you, and more particularly, to Mr. Avery? When I say you I mean you and Mr. Buting.
A. Right. I think we knew those three options when we retired.
Q. And as you talked about, the one option was proceed with 11?
A. Yes.
Q. Another option was a mistrial?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the third option was, let's go with the substitute juror?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And as I understood your comment, you have a firm personal philosophy of not advising to go with 11 jurors?
A. Right. And that would be consistent with what I learned from the people who mentored me.
Q. And one of the reasons for that is, that regardless, if there is to be a conviction, it's going to take 12 and not 11 , so there's an extra person there?
A. Yup.
Q. And the other option is, if there's a 12th juror, then there's at least -- I'm not going to get into statistics, but there's at least one more opportunity that you might have a juror that's not convinced and you are going to have a mistrial?
A. Yes.
Q. Thus there would be no conviction for your client?
A. That's right.
Q. And even though its not a conviction -- or excuse
me -- even though it's not an acquittal, it's not a conviction, so it's still in your client's interest?
A. He lives to fight another day.
Q. He lives to fight another day.
A. That's right. That's right.
Q. All right. So with the benefit of the 12 th juror, the substitute juror, then it would have been true that by substituting that juror, you kept your client's right to a 12 person jury firm?
A. I don't want to quibble with you on firm, but $I$ -- but I will -- I will agree that, yes, in the end he had 12 jurors who returned the verdicts.
Q. Returned the verdicts. And by having a 12th juror, you then also had that -- you still had that additional option that maybe a mistrial would result, because there was still one more person the State had to convince before a conviction could be obtained, right?
A. Well, we had no way of knowing which way the jury was split or leaning but, yes, there were 12 people who had to agree unanimously, one way or the other at that point, not 11.
Q. So in this sense, the opportunity for a mistrial
still existed?
A. Sure, I mean, they hadn't returned a verdict, so there's always a possibility that they will deadlock, I suppose.
Q. Right. Now, you told us about the fee agreement and the handshake with Mr. Buting about -- that you would go all out for an acquittal in this particular case. In terms of assessing this case, you also felt fairly good about how the case went in for the defense, did you not?
A. At trial's end?
Q. I'm sorry?
A. At trial's end?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, I thought that it had gone in about as well as it could, taken as a whole.
Q. Right. All right. And so when it came down to discuss these three options with your client, you had to make a recommendation to him as to which option you thought was in his best interest, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And how much time did you spend with him discussing the option of proceeding with just the remaining 11 jurors?
A. Almost none. I would have -- I would have made very clear I thought that folly.
Q. And as I understood your testimony, that was -there was clear agreement by Mr . Buting on that point, with you?
A. Right, no disagreement, certainly.
Q. So you told him about the option, but you really made short shrift of any discussion as to whether that was in his best interest?
A. That's fair.
Q. All right. So, in effect, then, the time spent with him was the discussion of should we mistry this case or should we go with the substitute juror. The balance of your time with him was discussing those possibilities?
A. At least the balance of the substantive discussion, I agree.
Q. All right. And with respect to assessing this case, you recommended, $I$ believe you said, against mistrial?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Buting agreed with that recommendation?
A. Yes, I think we were speaking jointly, not at the same time, but I think we agreed, yes, we'll take the 13th juror, the alternate, put her in, start
deliberations over.
Q. All right. And you would agree with me, would you not, that the parties, meaning yourself and Mr. Buting, and the three of us, Mr. Gahn, Mr. Kratz and myself, had contemplated the very possibility that we would have need for a substitute juror?
A. Yes. Who's idea that was, I don't have any recollection now, but, yeah, we kept a 13th. I think excused two alternates and kept one for the purpose of having a spare, so to speak, if we ran into trouble.
Q. And as a matter of fact that was the result of a somewhat extensive process, was it not?
A. What I remember, that I could describe fairly as extensive, is that I think we horse traded so that it was -- it was really sort of wired who the one remaining alternate would be.
Q. As a matter of fact, it was you, was it not, who suggested that the parties exchange one additional peremptory strike of the three alternates, thereby leaving one alternate left?
A. It certainly could have been. I mean that level of detail, $I$ don't remember, but that sounds like me. I mean, that --
Q. Yes.
A. That sounds right.
Q. All right. And as a matter of fact, you had one particular juror in mind that you wanted to strike because a previous motion to strike for cause was denied?
A. Yes, that's right. That's right. I think there had been a motion to strike for cause.
Q. All right. And as result of some familiarity with one of the witnesses?
A. I don't remember why. I remember there was one I really wanted to see go.
Q. All right. And the State also removed one juror that was a concern to all parties as a result of some activities that occurred shortly before the end of the trial?
A. I think so, something that had to do with maybe brandy Manhattans, at a fish fry.
Q. Something about a juror being a little too talkative at a fish fry.
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. So the parties horse traded, as it were, thereby we left one alternate juror on the panel.
A. That's how I recall it.
Q. And then we took the additional step of agreeing that that juror would be sequestered at the hotel, all by herself?
A. Yeah, I think that's probably right. Do I recall that specifically, no, but that sounds right.
Q. All right. Because we didn't want to run the risk of her somehow being contaminated by any news accounts or discussions with family, correct?
A. I'm sure. I'm not disagreeing if you have a better recollection than that.
Q. So when it came time to actually be faced with the decision here, the parties had contemplated and prepared for this eventuality?
A. The lawyers, at least on the defense side, it was just the lawyers. We had not included Mr. Avery, probably, in any of that.
Q. In any of that.
A. Probably not.
Q. In your view, that was -- these are the kinds of questions that lawyers routinely make, based on their experience?
A. Yes and no. This is something I should have discussed with Mr. Avery, but because he was not admitted to bail, or he was unable to make the
bail the Court had set, and I think Sergeant Wiegert in particular, or Detective Wiegert, was listening assiduously to his phone calls at the end of each week; we just didn't share things with Mr. Avery that we should have.
Q. But the question is, with respect to the lawyers in this case agreed that -- to this option being available?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. When you recommended against mistrial to Mr. Avery, what other reasons did you give him? You talked about the situation, the agreement you had with Mr. Buting, but what other reasons, what other thoughts, crossed your mind?
A. Well, it crossed my lips. I mean, I told Mr. Avery, you know, if this case gets mistried, it's not going away, you know.

It's a very serious case. They are going to reprosecute this. You know, you are going to face another jury trial and you won't have us as your lawyers. We tried to say that nicely and gently, but he was entitled to that truth. He was not going to have us as his lawyers.

And as a practical matter, what that
meant is that he would have to rely on counsel appointed by the State Public Defender. That was information we had -- I thought, had to share with him.

I think I'm really pretty certain that I told him, hey, the case went in, you know, about as well as it could have. You know, we won some, we lost some but, you know, overall, you take this on the whole, it went in about as well as it could have, for the defense. That I'm quite sure I told him.
Q. And you believe that you and Mr. Buting did the best you could on this case?
A. Oh, geez, that's a -- that's a God question, in some ways. I mean --
Q. Well, you worked very hard on this case?
A. We worked very hard on the case, that's true.
Q. And you believe that you said that it went in as well as it could, and we can't account for everything in trial work, can you?
A. Right. I mean, about as well as we could have. The calculus really was, is this case likely to go in better for the defense the second time, and I thought probably not.
Q. Right. And one of the reasons is, you thought
that you and Mr. Buting gave him his best chance at an acquittal, based on the record you made?
A. There's probably some arrogance in that but, yes.
Q. And you knew at a retrial the prosecution would have an opportunity to dissect whatever defense strategy there was and attempt to deal with it on a retrial?
A. Yes, that's a two way street but, of course, yes.
Q. And certain evidentiary developments that occurred during the course of the trial would have affected any possible retrial of this case, specifically, reference the EDTA issue?
A. Yes. I think that was part of the calculation, or should have been and rightly was part of the calculation.
Q. As to what might likely occur on any type of retrial?
A. Right. One could assume that there would be some months between the Court declaring a mistrial and then impaneling a new jury.
Q. And that --
A. With the change of counsel, there well could have been a year.
Q. And that was my next question, could have been at least nine months and probably more?
A. It well could have been.
Q. And that would have been 9 or 10 or 12 more months in the local county jail for your client?
A. As matters stood, I mean, one would renew a bail motion, but he was not able to come close -- he and his family could not come close to posting the bail that the Court set.
Q. Right. And finally, you had a pretty good idea -- Well, let me rephrase that. You at least had some idea who the alternate juror would be when she was substituted in?
A. I knew her name.
Q. You knew the name.
A. Sure.
Q. And it wasn't someone that you moved to strike either for cause or for any peremptory challenge earlier on, right?
A. I'm not answering that one because, you know, A, there will be a transcript of what I did or didn't do. And, B, I have no recollection of whether I moved to strike that juror at any point.
Q. All right. But in terms of whatever impression you had, you were left with the impression that she was at least an acceptable or an okay juror
to assume the role as the 12 th juror?
A. Specifically, what my opinion was, that she was the best of the three alternates. You know, if we were going to have an alternate retained, during deliberations, this woman was the one to pick.
Q. But we didn't know who the alternates were until the names came out of the tumbler, right?
A. I think that's right, but it was after that point we knew there were three.
Q. Three.
A. And my view was, of those three this is the one I would pick --
Q. If you had to --
A. -- if I had to choose, right.
Q. Now, in your discussions with Mr. Avery, regarding whether he should follow your advice or not, he certainly didn't insist on proceeding with 11 jurors, did he?
A. No.
Q. And he accepted your recommendation that a mistrial was probably not the best choice?
A. Yeah, he accepted it. I really think I steered this decision --
Q. Right.
A. -- to the outcome.
Q. But that's not the first time you have had a discussion with a client and recommended strongly, or softly, or to use your phrase, steered a client toward a decision which you believed was in their best interest?
A. Oh, it's not the first time at all.
Q. No. In fact, it's somewhat -- it's often quite routine?
A. I do it commonly.
Q. All right.
A. I think it's the first time I ever told a client to turn down a mistrial.

ATTORNEY FALLON: May I approach?
THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ Counsel, I'm showing you what has been received as Exhibit No. 1, I believe you have previously identified that?
A. Yes.
Q. The Court provided us with that memo on the 16th, did it not?
A. I don't recall that. I'm not denying it. It's dated the 16th. In my mind, I first saw this after trial, which of course is impossible, if it was given to me on the 16th. I would have looked
at it.
Q. Do you want to take a moment to look it over, specifically page two? Read page two to yourself.
A. The second paragraph --
Q. Yes.
A. -- is the one you are trying to invite --
Q. Yes.
A. -- me to consider? Yeah, I read it, but I don't know if the judge is saying he prepared the memo this morning or prepared the memo to elaborate the comments on the record this morning.
Q. But we had a discussion in chambers at 8:30 as well?
A. Right, probably was 8:30.
Q. All right. But you would agree that the Court did provide you with that memorandum?
A. Oh, yes, absolutely.
Q. All right.
A. I don't know if when is a big deal --
Q. Right.
A. -- but.
Q. As you read it over now, there's nothing in there that strikes you as being inconsistent with your memory of those events, is there?
A. I didn't read the whole thing. If you want me to answer that I'm going to read the whole thing then.
Q. Sure.
A. You know, the only thing I really -- I recall differently is, I think the first call from the judge to my cell phone happened, you know, before 9:00 p.m. I would have -- you know, I think it more like 8:30.
Q. Quarter to nine, something like that?
A. Yeah, but I mean, earlier than that. And then the rest of it, you know, is consistent with my recollection, to the extent I have one. And this sort of prompts me to recall, but $I$ think in the first conversation there was some discussion which the judge was expressing reluctance to go too far into the details of the marital difficulties, or not, you know, not wanting to embarrass or pry unnecessarily. And, you know, that I agreed that some deftness there was appropriate.
Q. In other words, the Court was struggling to balance the competing objectives that were at play here?
A. That was my sense.
Q. All right. All right. Thank you. As a result of, then, Mr. Avery accepting your recommendation to proceed with 12, that then put into play a series of events regarding just how we were going to do that, in terms of integrating a brand new juror, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And I believe that the parties were in agreement. And I think based on some of the research the parties had done, is that they would have to be instructed as a group again, to start over?
A. Right.
Q. And that they should be told to start over?
A. That much I got from Lehman, or that's -- you know, I read Lehman to require that.
Q. And as a matter of fact, the first step we took in the process was to invite the new juror in and make sure that she had obeyed her responsibility not to listen to any media accounts, or anything of that sort; in other words, we wanted to make sure that her knowledge base hadn't been tainted by outside information? We had a colloquy with the juror, correct?
A. I really don't remember that. I'm sure it's a
matter of record. I'm not disputing whatever the transcript shows.
Q. And we did bring the jury back in as a whole and have them reinstructed, and the jury was instructed to accept the new juror and to begin deliberations anew?
A. Yes, I remember being in the courtroom, all the lawyers, Mr. Avery. I remember the jury coming back in and an instruction to that effect being given. I have no specific recollection of details.

ATTORNEY FALLON: May I?
THE COURT: Yes.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ I'm showing you what has been marked as Exhibit 2?
(Witness asks for a break.)

THE COURT: We'll take a short break at this time, resume in five minutes.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon, you may continue.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Just a few more questions on this issue, counsel.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon) ~ I provided you what has been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit No. 2; do you recognize it?
A. This must be the instruction the jurors were given after the alternate joined them.
Q. And there was no objection from you or Mr. Buting as to the content of that instruction, correct?
A. I don't want to be quarrelsome, I don't remember. I'm going to let the record speak on that, if that's acceptable.
Q. Very well. All right. Let's change gears a little bit and ask, if $I$ may, a few questions on the third party liability question. We have referred to it as the Court's Denny ruling, so I will use that terminology, if that's acceptable?
A. Sure.
Q. The Court's ruling did not preclude you from pointing a finger at Brendan Dassey, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And in this particular case, you chose not to introduce any evidence or point the finger that way?
A. That's right.
Q. The Court's ruling still allowed you to suggest, and you so argued, that some unknown, some other person, committed this murder?
A. Yes. I mean, we certainly were allowed a reasonable doubt argument and I think your
request, generally, was fair.
Q. And so the impact of the ruling specifically was that you couldn't point a particular finger at a particular suspect?
A. Or suspects, yes.
Q. Or suspects, or more than one. All right. The ruling still permitted you to argue and cross-examine witnesses with the idea in mind that the police framed Mr. Avery?
A. Yes, I mean, at least in the sense of suggesting the police may have planted evidence, yes. And I paused because there may have been -- I think there was a separate motion argument and ruling on that issue, if my memory serves.
Q. Well, there was the blood vial issue, right?
A. I thought even apart from that. I may be wrong, but the short answer to your question was, yes, we were able to argue planting of evidence.
Q. And you were then able to choose, as a lawyer, which bits of evidence you would actually argue were planted by the police?
A. Yes.
Q. In other words, you had your choice of suggesting that the blood was planted?
A. In the Toyota?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. You had the opportunity to argue that the police planted the key?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, you also argued that the bones, the fragments identified as Teresa Halbach, were actually moved from some place else to the fire pit behind his house, correct?
A. That argument was not directed toward the police. That's not on the topic we're discussing. The blood, yes. The key, we had -- we were able to develop an evidentiary basis to make those arguments.
Q. And you chose not to make the argument with respect to the bones in the fire pit, specifically?
A. Chose not to argue that the police moved the bones?
Q. Yes.
A. I didn't argue that the police moved the bones and, you know ...
Q. That some unknown person did?
A. The perpetrator, $I$ think, was the gist of my argument and the police were not the perpetrator.
Q. Now, you realized that the prosecution was going to argue that the way the frame up theory was positive, that a reasonable conclusion, in fact, an almost irrefutable conclusion, was that they would have had to have been involved in the crime. You knew that argument was coming?
A. I didn't know it, I anticipated that you would make what $I$ sort of think of as a false premise argument, that if we argued that the police were involved in planting evidence, to believe that you would have to believe that the police also committed the underlying crime.
Q. In fact, I made that argument in the suppression -- in the motion hearing two weeks before trial.
A. I don't recall that, but I certainly anticipated it and, you know, probably anticipated it before -- two weeks before the trial, if you made that argument then.
Q. Right.
A. It didn't take a genius to anticipate that argument.
Q. Exactly. Now, there was quite a bit of evidence in this particular case that was available for you to poke holes at or question its reliability?
A. I don't know how to agree or disagree with that. I mean, the Court's rulings circumscribed the available evidence in some ways, opened it up in other ways.

You know, there was a whole series of rulings, obviously, that had an affect on both the evidence the parties would have available to them and the arguments they might make based on that evidence, or the inferences they might ask the jury to draw, so.
Q. That's my point, the rulings that while they may close some doors, they open other doors that previously weren't there, as you just said.
A. Right. And I'm not going to --
Q. My next question is, can you give us an example of that?
A. Of what?
Q. Of a Court's ruling opening an avenue or opening a door you hadn't previously thought of?
A. No, you know, a door the Court did not close, for example, would have been -- I know there were some doors the Court left open and I can't come up --

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Judge, excuse me, I would like to interpose an objection. I'm not sure what
the relevance is of this is.
THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: The relevance is that they have spent some time talking about trial strategy and supposed impact of the Court's ruling in this particular case. My argument is that the Court's ruling, or I'm trying to get counsel to talk about the fact that this ruling does, for whatever, there's a cause and there's effect. There's a liability and there's an availability of other arguments and other approaches to the evidence. And that's what I'm probing here, this relative to the prejudice issue. I will rephrase the question.

THE COURT: Okay.
ATTORNEY FALLON: That's probably the best way to go here.
Q. (By Attorney Fallon)~ All right. You indicated on examination that you would have approached the handling of witness, Bobby Dassey, differently; is that correct?
A. It's reconstructive necessarily, but, yes, I think I probably would have approached Bobby Dassey differently if the Denny ruling had gone our way, rather than against us.
Q. All right. And I think you said you would have
treated him more as a murderer?
A. As a potential murderer, I probably would have projected that attitude, you know, taken that position as a foundation for my cross. If that makes sense to you.
Q. What evidence did you have that Bobby Dassey killed Teresa Halbach?
A. He potentially is the last one to admit seeing her. His only alibi is Scott Tadych. His story about deer hunting is improbable, at least because of the showering. His recollection of time frames is different than the bus driver.

And I think we had a good argument that she was, because of the habit and the sort of likelihood that she's getting to each stop along her school bus route at the same time, five days a week, that she was a more reliable witness in that respect.

He has access to Steven's trailer. He has access to the guns in his own home.

And, you know, if you're asking for direct evidence, no, I didn't have a confession. We could have presented a circumstantial evidence, in much the same way the State did here, against Mr. Avery.
Q. But you would agree there was no direct evidence connecting Mr. Dassey to the murder?
A. I don't know that $I$ can agree or disagree, as I sit here now, at that level of detail, I'm sorry.
Q. There's no physical evidence?
A. No -- No forensic evidence, no trace evidence?
Q. Right.
A. None that I'm aware of, that's right.
Q. No blood?
A. Of Bobby Dassey?
Q. Yup.
A. None that I know.
Q. And none of Teresa Halbach's was apparently located anywhere inside the Dassey trailer, correct?
A. Or the Avery trailer.
Q. Or the Avery trailer, which makes it rather unusual if somebody was trying to frame him, wouldn't they put evidence there?
A. Arguably they did leave a gun there.
Q. Well, they left a gun.
A. With masking tape, saying Steve's gun, or something like it.
Q. Only it wasn't Steve's gun, was it?
A. I don't remember whose -- the masking tape is
what I remember, and I think I -- I think I all but gave the jury the felon in possession count in closing argument, if memory serves.
Q. But that's not my question, what you did in closing argument.
A. No, I'm sorry.
Q. The question is the evidence. So you would have taken a different tone of voice?
A. Attitude, I mean, the cross would have been outlined differently and the projected attitude to the witness, I think, would have been different, yes.
Q. All right. But that's not evidence, correct?
A. No, that's -- No, it's part of the courtroom mosaic. It's considered by juries. It's not within the definition of evidence.
Q. And you were able to cross-examine Mr. Dassey about the so-called joke, the Court's ruling did not preclude you from crossing him on the joke, correct?
A. That's correct. That's correct.
Q. And you would agree, as trial counsel, there are several ways for lawyers to deal with issues such as that. There's not just one way to cross-examine a witness when something happens
like that.
A. Oh, I agree with that. I think the point is that one's theory of defense drives the cross-examinations, just as its drives the direct examinations and the decisions about who to call, if anyone, in a defense case, if you're defending the case, and the theory -- your theory drives all of those decisions. So I think, in a sense, I'm agreeing with you.
Q. And so the ruling did not preclude you from cross-examining them on the joke?
A. No, I was allowed to cross-examine him.
Q. What evidence did you have that Scott Tadych murdered Teresa Halbach?
A. Just circumstances that would have allowed the inference. I ticked through some of them on direct examination. I'm not going to sit here and pretend to recall all of it.

But I think his foreman, or his boss, had some helpful potential testimony about his violent nature, his angry nature, the day he runs out of work ashen faced when one of the Dassey boys is picked up by the police, or talked to by the police. Mutual alibi with Bobby Dassey. Changes in his story about when he sees flames,
how high the flames are, how big the fire is. I mean, this is -- this is someone who, as I recall, testified differently on a number of points, than the statements he had made to Calumet County sheriff's officers earlier.
Q. And you pointed out those inconsistencies in the cross-examination of Mr . Tadych, didn't you?
A. Some of them, I did, yes, times, height of flames.
Q. Height of flames, the passing of Mr. Dassey on the roadway?
A. I didn't do much with that.
Q. But you cross-examined him, you had that opportunity?
A. Oh, sure, I had the opportunity to cross-examine Mr. Tadych, agreed.
Q. And you knew that afternoon Mr. Tadych was nowhere near that property, was he?
A. I don't know that at all.
Q. He was up visiting his mother in the hospital; there were numerous witnesses to that, correct?
A. Well, as a matter of fact, I think he said there were none. And no one testified they had seen him visit his mother at Bellin. But that was his story, that he had been up visiting his mother at

Bellin hospital, as I recall it.
Q. And there are witnesses that could have been called to substantiate that, had it been necessary?
A. I don't know that at all.
Q. You and $I$ both know that in trying a particular case you pick and choose what evidence is going to be delivered to the jury during the course of a trial, correct?
A. Sure.
Q. And you make decisions on whether or not the evidence is needed or necessary at the time before it's presented to the jury for consideration?
A. If I were you, I would have decided no such evidence to corroborate Mr . Tadych was necessary because he wasn't available to us as a Denny suspect.
Q. And that's because there was no evidence that directly connected him to that crime?
A. I mean, we're getting back to arguing the briefing.
Q. What evidence did you have that he was trying to frame his brother-in-law, or soon to be brother-in-law?
A. What evidence did I have? Changing the story, I guess, and putting Steven out by a bonfire at the side of a garage, different times depending on when you asked him.
Q. But he wasn't the only one to put him by a bonfire, was he?

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Objection, your Honor, I think this goes beyond the scope of our direct. THE COURT: Well, cross-examination isn't limited by direct.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Then I guess I would have to question the relevance, your Honor.

ATTORNEY FALLON: They are the ones saying
that the record is such that they have been prejudiced by the Court's ruling. I'm looking for what the law requires as introduction of evidence upon which a court makes a ruling. And we're probing for the evidentiary underpinnings of the argument they are now advancing.

THE COURT: All right. I did rule in the defense favor before on the theory that some showing of prejudice should be allowed, so I'm going to allow your questions to proceed as well.
A. I paused as I did, and I will defer to all of you who have read the transcript presumably, and I
have not, of the trial. But I can't remember another witness who put Steven Avery -- at trial, who put Steven Avery at a bonfire. Maybe I'm forgetting someone, but $I$ don't remember any other trial witness who did that. I think Mr. Fabian talked about seeing a fire in the one burn barrel to the south and east maybe of, or north and east of Mr. Avery's trailer, but I -again, I will defer to all of you who have read the transcript.
Q. My point is, if you had evidence, it was presented in your motion to the Court in an effort to get the Court to rule in your favor and permit this type of -- permit this type of argument?
A. I think I did the best I could in that brief to lay out the available evidence that I thought bore on making the Denny showing. That I agree.
Q. And going back to the point $I$ was making earlier, you and I both know, as trial counsel, that there is what is in the record and what is actually used by the lawyers in their case. And then there's a whole other set of facts and other information which is available, that the lawyers, for whatever reason, tactical or otherwise, chose
not to use or introduce in evidence?
A. That's generally true. That's right.
Q. And in this particular case, there was a substantial amount of police investigation that was developed?
A. Yeah. I'm not -- I mean, I'm not going to quarrel with the adjective.
Q. But the point being is that there easily could have been other witnesses to many events, they just weren't called as witnesses, because for one party or the other decided they didn't need to use them.
A. I can agree with that, in general.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Your Honor, excuse me. I just want to interject because -- and this is a little unusual because, of course, Mr. Fallon participated at the trial, but he is prefacing many of his so-called questions with, my point is. And I would ask that the attorney be instructed that we're going at questions now, not points that he is attempting to establish with this witness, or with this witness.

THE COURT: I understand his usage of the phrase to mean the point of my question is.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: All right.

THE COURT: That's the way I'm taking it.
ATTORNEY ASKINS: Thank you, your Honor.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Going to pass the witness.

THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Yes, please.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN:
Q. Mr. Strang, I would like to direct your attention back, again, to the conversation you had with Judge Willis on March 15th, while you were at the restaurant. When the judge explained to you the problem with the juror, was it your understanding that at that point the judge had not personally spoken with the juror?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it your understanding that the information that was being imparted to you was, at best, secondhand?
A. Yes, someone in the sheriff's department, to judge, to me.
Q. Did you, in fact, know that someone in the sheriff's department had spoken with the juror?
A. I think I understood that from what the judge was telling me. The information the judge got was
coming from the sheriff's department, so I inferred that someone in the sheriff's department had spoken to the juror.
Q. So, was it your understanding that at the time this information was imparted to you, that Judge Willis had had no opportunity to observe the demeanor of the juror?
A. That was my understanding. I don't know if he said this or not, but $I$ had the sense that the judge was calling me from his home.
Q. So the judge would have had no opportunity to personally assess whether this juror was upset or distraught?
A. At the time of the first call to me?
Q. Yes.
A. So I assumed.
Q. And in that conversation, did you know, did you have any information that this juror, Mr. Mahler, may have had some concerns about how some other jurors were approaching the deliberations?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any information that perhaps Mr. Mahler was having some problem with another juror?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any information that Mr. Mahler had felt verbally threatened by another juror?
A. No.
Q. And in this conversation and when you authorized the Court to speak with and then to remove the juror, it's correct that you also, personally, had no opportunity to assess the demeanor of the witness?
A. That's true.
Q. Excuse me, not the witness, but the juror.
A. The juror, Mr. Mahler, no, that's true.
Q. There was some indication that this juror may have been upset, or a part of his emotional status was due to his wife's upset about some press report; do you recall that?
A. Do I recall hearing that in that first telephone conversation?
Q. Yeah, in that conversation.
A. I can't place it there. I know I heard it at some point, it well could have been in that first conversation.
Q. You could have heard that in the conversation?
A. Yeah, I could have, yes.
Q. And that wasn't the first time you had heard something about his wife being upset about a
press report, correct?
A. Gosh, off the top of my head, I don't remember an earlier time, but.
Q. Do you remember a few days earlier, was on March 12th, when the Court conducted an individual voir dire of each of the jurors?
A. Yes. I couldn't have told you the date, but in a little conference room down the hall from -- from Judge Poppy's chambers in Calumet County, I think.
Q. And Richard Mahler was among the jurors who was individually questioned; do you recall that?
A. I think we went through all of them, I think, that day.
Q. And do you recall in that individual voir dire whether Mr. Mahler had referred to his wife's upset about a press report at the time of jury selection?
A. That -- that rings a bell. That rings a bell with me, but $I$ couldn't give you details or even --
Q. Would it --
A. -- really assert to you with complete confidence that he said it in that individual voir dire.
Q. Would it refresh your recollection to take a look
at the transcript of the individual voir dire?
A. Sure, and I'm not disputing the transcript, I mean it does ring a bell, but.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: May I approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: Go ahead.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian) ~ I'm going to ask you to take a look at the transcript from March 12, 2007. And page 32, beginning at line 24 , there's a reference here, actually, to officer speaking and I believe the State has agreed that that must be a typographical error and actually was referring to Mahler. And if you would begin reading there and on to the next page?
A. I remember the gist of this.
Q. Okay. So is it, then, your recollection -- Did you read through line 14 on page 33?
A. No, I read through line 24 --
Q. Okay.
A. -- on page 33.
Q. Okay. So in that individual voir dire, then, Mr. Mahler raised the issue of his wife's upset?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was referring back to the time of jury selection?
A. Yes.
Q. And the Court asked Mr. Mahler if that was going to affect his ability to continue serving on this jury?
A. Right, and in effect he said, no, that he could deal with that.
Q. And he could handle that. And so you -- you were present for that individual voir dire on the 12th?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And you would have been familiar with that when you received the call from the Court on March 15th indicating a problem with this juror?
A. Yes. And I would hazard a guess that I would have remembered it, three days later, unlike now.
Q. You have testified that you were concerned that perhaps the best you could accomplish that night, in that conversation with Judge Willis, was to have the judge talk to the juror before excusing him. Is that -- Do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. So, was it your concern that if somehow you objected to this process, objected to the Court talking to the juror, that the judge might just excuse Mr. Mahler without even speaking with him?
A. That was my concern. Now, I can't attribute that to anyone other than myself. I mean, that was just an impression, was that the best we were going to get was having the judge speak directly to the juror.
Q. But is it also your recollection that when -that at some point you told the judge you needed to confer with Mr. Buting about this and you would get back to him?
A. It's a -- That's a reconstructed recollection, in the sense I know I would have wanted to talk to Mr. Buting, arrive at an agreement. We, like the day before, or two days before, very recently before this, Jerry Buting and I had had a set to over a decision $I$ had made without consulting him. It was probably two days before. Because I didn't consult him, he was home preparing his part of the closing argument and I was here -- or I was at the courthouse in Chilton.

We had had a real disagreement over that for, first real conflict. So I know I would have been attuned, the night of the 15 th, to bringing Jerry into the loop and making a collaborative decision on this. And I now know there was a phone call. I didn't remember that phone call
until you and Ms Askins showed me the page from the cell phone records, but there was a cell phone call from Mr. Buting's phone to the judge's telephone number, I think. So, I'm reconstructing what I believe must have happened.
Q. So your best recollection, though reconstructed at this point, is that you did ask for time to confer, although perhaps not a lot of time, but that the judge did permit you to confer and get back to him?
A. Right, that's my -- that's my best recollection as I sit here.
Q. Now, there was reference to the -- what was agreed to was that the Court could speak with Mr. Mahler, and if the information was verified -- is that a fair word to use, verified?
A. Right.
Q. That he could then dismiss the juror.
A. Right. And I want to -- I'm sorry not --
Q. Let me just ask.
A. Sure.
Q. By verified, you took that to mean that the Court would be speaking with Mr. Mahler, correct?
A. Right.
Q. And that that would be occurring off the record?
A. Yes.
Q. And there wasn't any plan, as part of this agreement, that the judge would report back to you about what he learned from Mr. Mahler before excusing him?
A. You know, I don't -- I don't remember. I think we had given him the authority to go ahead and excuse the juror if he decided that the report from the sheriff's department was verified. I think we had, you know, that we had agreed that that's what the judge would do.
Q. So would it be fair to say that the verification to you didn't come, really, until the next morning, when --
A. I think I knew that night.
Q. You knew the juror was removed?
A. I think so. I really do. I think so.
Q. But any information that you obtained about what Mr. Mahler told the judge would have come after Mr. Mahler was removed from the jury, correct?
A. Yes, I think that's right. In other words, I think when I heard it, it had happened, the Court had excused Mr. Mahler. And then I think we were told the details that the judge got and where the judge stopped short rather than pushing the
juror, and the judge's impression that the juror was very -- you know, was distraught, or in some emotional distress.
Q. There -- You were asked on cross-examination that, well, you know, even though you didn't take a mistrial you still held out the possibility that you could have had a hung jury; do you remember that --
A. Yes.
Q. -- questioning? But you -- That morning, on March $16 t h$, you didn't have any doubt in your mind you could have had a mistrial at that point?
A. Yes, I had a right to have a mistrial that morning, or Steven did, Steven Avery did.
Q. And that was your reading of Lehman?
A. Yes. And I think had I told Steven Avery we should have taken the mistrial, he would have said, okay, and we would have had a mistrial.
Q. It was equally clear in your mind that you were not going to be the attorney representing him in the second trial?
A. I was not.
Q. And that was made clear to Mr. Avery as well?
A. Yes, not just in the fee agreement, but the morning of the 16 th .
Q. Now, had this case gone to a second trial, would you have cooperated with successor counsel?
A. Sure.
Q. You would have shared your discovery that you have obtained?
A. Well, sure.
Q. That next attorney could have obtained the transcripts of the first trial?
A. Sure. Probably would have shared my trial notes.
Q. You testified that you had steered Mr. Avery to not take the mistrial?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it correct that that steering away from a mistrial was with the belief that what you were steering him to, substituting the alternate, was allowable under the law?
A. Yes.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: That's all I have. That's on the juror issue. In fact, I think that's all we have for you.

THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing from the State.
THE COURT: Witness is excused. Counsel, you may call your next witness.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Call Attorney Jerry

Buting.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Judge, while we're waiting for the witness, could counsel and I approach?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Off record discussion.)
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
ATTORNEY JEROME BUTING, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Jerome Buting, B-u-t-i-n-g.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN:
Q. Mr. Buting, is it correct that you, along with Attorney Dean Strang, were trial counsel for Mr. Avery in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. I would like to direct your attention to near the end of trial and, specifically, the date is March 15, 2007. That's the day on which closing arguments were completed and the jury began deliberations; do you have some recollection of that day?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And, specifically, that evening, did you and Attorney Strang go out to dinner that night?
A. Yes, we did. I believe it was some time after 8. We were told the jury had quit for the day, that they were going for dinner themselves and that we could sort of stand down, so to speak.
Q. And would you just, please, briefly describe your mood at dinner that night?
A. Well, it was the end of a six week trial, we were obviously exhausted. And it was always a release when you finish the closing arguments. You're still on -- you're still tense, waiting for the jury verdict, as we were in this particular case, but once we were told that the jury had retired for the night, we were able to relax a little bit, and we were able to have a couple beers for -- have dinner, and retire early, probably was the plan.
Q. And is it fair to say that you felt that your work was over for the night?
A. Yes.
Q. And then at some point that evening did you or Mr. Strang receive a telephone call from Judge Willis?
A. We did.
Q. And that was still while you were at the restaurant?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whether you spoke directly to Judge Willis in that conversation when the judge called?
A. Sure. My recollection, it's been two and a half years, so it's a little unclear to me, but as I pieced it together, my recollection is the first call probably came in to my partner, Dean Strang's cell phone. I don't know whether he held it up to my ear, or whether -- I think more likely he just spoke to the judge himself and then relayed to me what information he was getting, in that first call.
Q. And in that first call, at least as the information was relayed to you, did you learn that there was a problem with a juror?
A. Yes, I did. My understanding was that there was -- there was a serious problem with one of the jurors, a crisis, an emergency sort of situation, that the juror's daughter or stepdaughter had been in a serious car accident. I don't recall whether -- I know that
the impression I had was not that she was seriously injured. I don't recall whether she was injured at all, but $I$ knew that it was a very serious accident. Maybe the car totaled, something like that, and that the juror's wife was upset at him that -- at how much of the time during the trial he was apparently unavailable to her.

And the situation was sort of like, here it is, you know, now I'm alone, I have to deal with this crisis. And there was apparently some kind of reference to their marital problems and that this was sort of the last straw, and he wanted off. He had felt like he had to get off. The impression $I$ got was that the juror was just really sort of falling apart and asking to be excused.
Q. Now, do you recall, this information is imparted, it's relayed to you, was there any discussion at that point about how to handle the situation?
A. I think -- I don't recall whether the first phone conversation, or whether it was the second one, but I believe that at some point during that first call Dean said, well, let me talk -- We'll talk about it and we will get back to you
shortly.
And the impression was that we had to talk quickly and get back to him within a few minutes, 15, 20 minutes, something like that. I don't know whether in that first call the option came up that the judge could make some contact with the juror himself, or whether that came up as a way of dealing with it in the second call.
Q. What is your recollection about a second call, how that came about?
A. I believe, and actually I checked my cell phone records for this because $I$ just couldn't recall exactly the sequence, and just before 9:00 I apparently made a phone call. I must have called a number the judge gave me, and had, I believe it was a 12 minute phone call.

The judge must have conferenced in Mr. Kratz, because I don't think I knew how to do that with my phone. At least I don't recall doing that. But I'm sure he must have been on, somehow involved in the conversation.

At some point, in one of those two calls, the decision was made that the judge would -- My impression was that this information had come to the judge from one of the bailiffs,
that this juror was falling apart and that there was this crisis. I had no indication that there was any contact between the sheriff and the juror or anything like that. I don't think I knew that until much later.

But at any rate, my recollection is that the judge suggested that perhaps he could contact the juror, speak to the juror directly, and at least verify that the information he was getting was correct, that the juror was really distraught.

And I don't recall exactly, my recollection was that that was the judge's suggestion, that he came up with. I don't recall, certainly, suggesting it, but I can't be sure who did.
Q. And so part of what was ultimately decided, if I understand your testimony, is that the judge would speak with the juror; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there also an agreement that the judge would be permitted, or you would agree that the judge should remove the juror if the information was confirmed or verified?
A. Yes. And the agreement was that that could be
done right then, that night. For some reason it never occurred to any of us, I guess, to reconvene in court.

The impression $I$ got was that this was the sort of crisis that needed to be resolved immediately. And at the time, that seemed to be the most efficient way to deal with it.
Q. So that night, then, as you are at the restaurant, as the information comes in, as you are making a decision what to do and the decision is made, was it your understanding that the judge would be speaking to the juror without either you or Attorney Strang present?
A. That's correct.
Q. And was it your understanding that Mr. Avery would also not be present?
A. That's correct.
Q. As this situation with the juror was discussed at the restaurant, did you know which juror was having the difficulty?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And were you able to place that juror, you knew which --
A. Oh, yes.
Q. -- juror was being talked about?
A. Yes.
Q. Had you formed an opinion about him over the course of the trial?
A. Yes, I thought he was a favorable juror for the defense. At the beginning of the trial he was sort of a wild card, I would say. He struck me as somebody who kind of traveled to the beat of his own drum, so to speak, which in this sort of a case I thought could cut either way. But as the case went on, you know, sometimes it's difficult to read from jurors the kind of feedback you get during the trial.

But I was not pleased that he was one of the ones who -- or the one that this happened to. There was two or three others that I would have been very pleased if I had heard they were -wanted to be discharged, but certainly not this one.
Q. So that night when you were forced into making a decision on how to proceed, did you have a strategic reason for wanting to get Richard Mahler off the jury?
A. No, I had no -- no such reason to get him off; I would have preferred he stay.
Q. You have been a criminal defense lawyer for a
number of years; isn't that right?
A. Twenty-eight.
Q. Twenty-eight?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, before Mr. Avery's case, had you ever encountered a situation like this, where a question rose during deliberations about a juror's ability to continue to serve?
A. No.
Q. Had you previously had the need to research the legal question about the procedure to follow when a juror is asking to be removed?
A. No. I think that if this had happened during the day, when we had been standing by waiting for juror questions, or things of that nature, no matter what the crisis or emergency might have been, I think it would have been much easier. My instinct would have been, well, let's convene in court. Let's talk to the juror. But this happening at whatever it was 8:30, 9:00 at night, it did not occur to me that we should have done that. Apparently nobody thought of that.
Q. So it's fair to say that when the situation came up, while you were at the restaurant, you were not familiar, for example, with the Supreme

Court's decision in State vs. Lehman?
A. Oh, no, absolutely not, when we got that call, I had never -- never -- well, if I had read the case, it would have been 20 years ago or something when it first came out, but I had never had the need to apply it before.
Q. And you testified here that you and Mr. Strang did have some opportunity, although perhaps not very long, but to confer about the information you received --
A. Yes.
Q. -- talk about what to do. Do you recall in that time you had for conferring, was there any discussion between you two about whether Mr. Avery had a right to be present during the Court's questioning of the juror?
A. No.
Q. When you agreed that the Court should be able to speak with Juror Mahler, and remove him if the information was confirmed, were you aware of case law indicating that Mr. Avery had a right to be present, with his attorneys, when the Court questioned the deliberating juror?
A. You know, I don't know if $I$ was aware of specific case law on that point, but $I$ think, again, if
this had happened during the day, my instincts certainly would have been that -- I did know that, generally, a judge is not supposed to confer with a deliberating juror without the attorneys present.

That's why any questions that there might be go back and forth in writing. The judge would then convene the attorneys and say the juror has a question and that sort of thing. And then if it's answered in anyway other than a written response, the juror is presented in court with the defendant present.

I knew all of that, but somehow this circumstance, this crisis emergency that seemed to be presented just did not -- it didn't seem to fit that same scenario.
Q. When you agreed to have the Court speak with Mr. Mahler, had you consulted with Mr. Avery about whether he wanted to be present?
A. No, we did not.
Q. And when you agreed that the Court could remove this juror, had you consulted with Mr. Avery about whether he wanted to have Mr. Mahler taken off the jury?
A. No, we did not.
Q. That evening, when this information was conveyed to Attorney Strang and then relayed to you, was there any information passed along about how the problem at home had come to the juror's attention?
A. I don't actually recall. The impression I got was that his wife had called. I think the information was that his wife had called and somehow got through to him, which I think was sort of the other reason I thought that this was really a crisis, an emergency, because I didn't think that the jurors would be able to have any contact, that the rules would not allow them to have contact with somebody from home, unless it was an emergency.
Q. And, again, at the time when you agreed that the judge could speak with and remove Juror Mahler, were you aware that Sheriff Pagel had spoken with Mr. Mahler?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. Would it have concerned you, had you known Sheriff Pagel was involved in the communications with Juror Mahler that evening?
A. Very much.
Q. Why is that?
A. Sheriff Pagel was in no way anybody that I would consider uninterested in the case, just the opposite. He was the supervisor of the primary investigators in the case, at least the Calumet County part of it.

I was -- He was not sworn as a bailiff. My understanding was that the only ones who would be in contact with the jurors directly would be the bailiffs. And my understanding of what happened that night was that the bailiff, and the bailiff only, spoke to the juror and handed the phone -- or spoke to the judge directly. That all communication went from the juror, to the bailiff, to the judge, without any go between.

And, certainly, had I known that Sheriff Pagel had any direct contact with any of those jurors, not just Mr. Mahler but anybody, I would have objected and probably moved for a mistrial.
Q. When you agreed to have the Court speak with the juror, did you expect that Sheriff Pagel would in any way be involved in that communication?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. That, for example, Sheriff Pagel would be standing nearby when Richard Mahler spoke with the judge?
A. Absolutely not. You know, I later learned that, that he was nearby, I thought in the parking lot or something like that, which struck me as kind of odd. Even that struck me as sort of odd. You know, maybe the nature of this case, where we had to raise a police frame-up defense, made me especially suspicious of any of the investigating officers. So I would have been especially attuned to any objection had I known that.
Q. So you didn't have any information that, in fact, Sheriff Pagel had spoken with Juror Mahler inside Mr. Mahler's private motel room?
A. No, I had no such information.
Q. If you had known that the information was going from Mr. Mahler to Sheriff Pagel, to the judge, how would you have responded?
A. I would have objected, as I said.
Q. And by objecting, is there anything you would have insisted upon?
A. Probably a mistrial at that point. I don't know that any remedy short of that would have satisfied me. I obviously would have spoken to my co-counsel about it, but from my own personal view, there would have been nothing short of a mistrial at that point because we just don't know
what kind of communication there might have been with Mr. Pagel.

And I know, for instance, that we made a big deal in our pre-trial motions about there not being even any Manitowoc County deputies involved as bailiffs in the case. And then when we learned that the Court had two retired individuals that were bailiffs and that had done this before that were not employed by the Calumet County Sheriff's Department at that point, or Manitowoc, it was -- that was a comforting arrangement that we were agreeable to.
Q. So just so the record is clear here, you knew that Sheriff Pagel was the sheriff in Calumet County?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. And that several of his employees had testified as witnesses for the State in this case?
A. Certainly.
Q. Then, I would like to turn your attention to the next day, March $16 t h$, and specifically the record shows that there was an in chambers conference that morning with Judge Willis and the attorneys; do you recall that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Was Mr. Avery present during that in chambers conference?
A. No.
Q. By the time of that in chambers conference, had you spoken with Mr. Avery about the juror's removal?
A. No, we had not.
Q. Did you know, by that point, that Mr. Mahler had, in fact, been taken off the jury?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there some discussion in that in chambers conference of what options were available, given that the deliberating juror had been removed?
A. Yes. I believe -- My recollection is there must have been a third call, a brief call, perhaps to Mr. Strang's phone later that night that told us that the judge did, in fact, speak with the juror and had confirmed it and excused him. But somehow or another we knew that clearly.

We then were, $I$ believe, told let's discuss what we're going to do the next day and that was kind of left at that. We went back to our apartments where we were living. Mr. Strang did some research, found the case of State vs. Lehman. I believe I read it that night. We came
into the chambers the next day. We thought that that case applied.

I think the judge might have also found that case as well and presented it as what we thought at the time were three options, which was either a mistrial -- three options when a deliberating juror is removed for cause, either a mistrial; a proceeding with just 11 jurors; or the defendant, and only if the defendant, agreed, the third option would be to substitute in one of the alternates to begin deliberations anew.

That's what the -- at the time of that case, as I understood the case, State vs. Lehman, the statute was silent on whether an alternate juror could be brought in in the middle of deliberations. And in that case the defendant had objected and the Court reversed and ordered a new trial.

In our case, I thought that was still the status of the statute. I didn't do any independent research. And Mr. Strang hadn't discovered, apparently neither had the Court or the prosecutor discovered, that the statute was changed after the Lehman case.

The Lehman case was never reversed by
another court case. We knew that. We had checked that. But somehow that the statute had been changed and that the procedure for alternate jurors had been changed, by statute, to require them to be dismissed when the case goes to the jury.
Q. So, in that conference that morning with the Court and prosecutors, was there -- among those three options was there some agreement reached as to how the parties would like this to proceed?
A. In the chambers, before we spoke with Mr. Avery, I don't know that we committed to anything. I think we discussed the three options and everybody seemed to think those were what was available. And then we were, then, to go speak with our client and discuss the options with him.
Q. Okay. So then you met with Mr. Avery at the jail that morning?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would have been after the in chambers conference?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was the first time that you had spoken with him that day, correct?
A. Can $I$ just clarify that the attorney/client
privilege has been waived for this portion of the -- so that I can discuss the --
Q. Yes, my understanding is that by having made the allegations that we did, that the attorney/client privilege is waived to the extent of the allegations made in the motion.
A. Sure. Yes, we did, then, have a discussion with Mr. Avery.
Q. And do you recall approximately how long that meeting lasted?
A. I would say 10 to 20 minutes, something like that.
Q. Do you remember who did most of the talking, you or Mr. Strang?
A. Probably Mr. Strang. I think he took the lead.
Q. And in that meeting, was that the time when Mr. Avery first learned that a deliberating juror had been removed?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you, in that meeting, tell Mr. Avery that he could have a mistrial?
A. Yes, I'm sure we did.
Q. What advice did you give him about a mistrial?
A. I'm sure we talked about all three options. And the one that was off the table from the
beginning, was to proceed with just 11 jurors. That was never anything that I would have advised, or Mr. Strang, and I think we told Mr. Avery that.

So really, it came down to the two remaining ones, which is a mistrial or substitute in the alternate juror. And I think it was my advice, ultimately, but $I$ think we told him it was his choice, but ultimately we were telling him, both of us I think were telling him, that probably we should proceed with the alternate juror.

And, unfortunately, part of that calculus, so to speak, involved the simple financial economic reality that neither one of us would be available on a retrial, if the case had been mistried, and would have to start all over, another six weeks, six months down the road, or whatever, that realistically we were not going to be able to be his attorneys.

And that was in the fee agreement, that was something I felt bad about at the end, as I walked out, $I$ felt like it was kind of a Hobbesian choice, so to speak, for Mr. Avery, a difficult choice, that he either had to accept an
alternate juror, or he had to agree to a mistrial, where he would no longer have his attorneys, at the second trial, that he would be left with a court -- or public defender or public defender appointed counsel.
Q. So that was specifically something discussed with Mr. Avery, in that --
A. It was.
Q. -- 10 to 20 minute meeting?
A. It was.
Q. And when you discussed with him the option of substituting in the alternate, was that presented as an option that was permitted by law?
A. Yes, we thought it was.
Q. By that next morning, you were familiar with the Lehman decision you testified, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But is it also correct, if $I$ understand your testimony, that you had not gone the step of checking whether there had been any statutory changes since Lehman?
A. That's correct.
Q. You also testified that going with 11,11 jurors, was off the table, so I take that to mean that was something you expressly advised Mr. Avery
against; is that correct?
A. Yes, I'm sure we did. I don't even remember more than a brief discussion. That was not really even an option. I think we were pretty clear that neither one of us would ever have agreed to losing one addition -- you know, one -- one of -one 12 th of the minds required for a jury. So we didn't really delve into that very much with him, but it certainly was not presented to him as something we would recommend.
Q. If the choices that actually had been allowed by law would have been to proceed with 11 or to have a mistrial, which of those two options would you have recommended to Mr . Avery?
A. We would have recommended a mistrial, even with the fact that we would not have been his attorneys, unless somebody could have figured out a way to pay us so that we could take care of our responsibilities to our employees and the financial requirements that we have as law firms. Even given that, we would not have recommended proceeding with 11 jurors.
Q. And based upon your experience representing Mr. Avery over those many months, do you believe that he would have taken your advice had you
recommended a mistrial?
A. He would have.
Q. On the morning of March 16, when a decision was made not to take a mistrial, had you at that point become aware that Sheriff Pagel had spoken with Juror Mahler the night before?
A. No.
Q. Had you known that, would it have affected your thinking about whether to take a mistrial?
A. It would have. It would have. I would have immediately said this is a mistrial.
Q. I just want to, so the record is clear, show you Exhibit 1 and ask if this is something that you have seen before.
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And what I'm showing you is the Court's memo dated March 16, 2007?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you recall how you became aware of the memo?
A. I don't clearly remember when we got this. I think it was after the trial, after the verdict, but I don't know for sure. My recollection was that it was -- Well, it says right here that the Court prepared this memo to elaborate on comments I made on the record this morning, so that the
record concerning why the juror was excused would be complete.

So it sounds like it was written after we had had the in chambers conference, after we had met with Mr. Avery, after we had gone back reported what the decision was, and after we had convened in court and announced the issue, and colloqued Mr. Avery. And then it was -- We had gone through all of that before this memo was even prepared. And that would be consistent with my recollection, that it was some time after all of that.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: I do not have anything further.

THE COURT: All right. I think, Counsel, we'll take our afternoon break at this time and resume at quarter to four.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Thank you, your Honor.
ATTORNEY ASKINS: Thank you.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Attorney Askins, are you going to be taking this part of the direct examination?

ATTORNEY ASKINS: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: You may proceed.
DIRECT EXAMINATION, CONTD.

BY ATTORNEY ASKINS:
Q. Mr. Buting, I'm going to change direction here and talk about some different subjects. Prior to Mr. Avery's trial, there were a number of motions filed by each side to outline or resolve potential trial problems; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And to resolve evidentiary or anticipate evidentiary problems; would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And one of the areas in which the parties hashed out this sort of thing was relating to so-called Denny evidence; is that right?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And do you recall that the Court ultimately ordered the defense to be prohibited from presenting evidence that any third party, other than Mr. Brendan Dassey, participated in the commission of the crimes against Ms Halbach?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, did the Court's ruling have an affect on your trial strategy?
A. Yes, it did, very much. The way -- the way we analyzed it -- Let me just speak for myself. The way I analyzed it, because of the pre-trial
publicity, specifically the press conference that Mr. Kratz had when Brendan Dassey was arrested, that presented a version of events that were so horrific that we knew there was a great deal of prejudice against Mr. Avery in the community, and we knew that that version was simply false, we knew that that version would not be presented by the State at the trial because we could very easily disprove it with all of the physical evidence.

I felt that this could not be just a reasonable doubt case, where you would pick apart the State's case and leave all these unanswered questions, that it was my feeling from early on, that we really needed to win this case. We really needed to be able to point the finger at another suspect.

And that was -- We thought there were several other suspects and that we -- I felt that the -- that this became a sort of an O.J. Simpson case where it was, you know, if O.J. Simpson didn't do it, then who did, is what everybody thinks. I felt that if it was the same way with Steven Avery, that we would have a very hard time getting a not guilty. Maybe a hung jury, but
certainly not a not guilty, and that's what we were trying for.

So we really wanted to show the jury that not only was he not guilty, but here's another person there who could have been guilty, or could be guilty, so that they could have some sort of comfort level in returning a not guilty verdict.
Q. Now, understanding that the ruling did not go the defense way, might you have called other witnesses, in addition to the witnesses you did call?
A. Yes. It affected us two ways: One, in the way that we would cross-examine the witnesses that the State called. And also in the way that -the decisions we had as to what witnesses to call.
Q. Without going into a great amount of detail, can you explain in what way cross-examination would have been different?
A. Well, with two of the people that we believed were prime suspects in the case, two of them were State's witnesses, one of whom, in fact, was quote, unquote, the star witness that the State relied on a great deal, and that was Bobby Dassey.

Bobby Dassey and Scott Tadych were -had no alibi other than each other. We knew that there was -- we believed that we could show that Bobby Dassey was lying, that we had an independent, disinterested witness in the school bus driver, who could place Ms Halbach on the Avery property an hour later than he had said.

But, we were not able to cross-examine him, and in fact, in Mr. Kratz's closing argument and perhaps the rebuttal -- must have been the rebuttal, because I don't think the school bus driver was mentioned in his first -- he weighed the two against each other and said, you know, Bobby Dassey's more credible than the school bus driver.

Well, one reason Bobby Dassey might have appeared more credible than the school bus driver on the timing of all of this, is because we weren't able to cross-examine Bobby Dassey as a potential perpetrator. He was a witness, neutral witness, unbiased. And yet, we had ways of cross-exam -- or we would have used ways to cross-examine that would have presented both him and Mr. Tadych as potential suspects that the
jury should consider as perpetrators.
Q. Although Attorney Strang was able to cross-examine Bobby Dassey, correct?
A. Sure. Actually, I believe he -- Mr. Strang cross-examined both Tadych and Bobby Dassey. But the way you cross-examine somebody when they are an interested witness who is trying to save their own skin, because they could be a guilty party, is very different than the way you cross-examine a witness when your hands are tied and you are not allowed to do that.

So, you know, you may be able to present inconsistencies in the versions -- various versions of a witness, from one time to the next, and I think he did that, but without showing a motive for the witness to fabricate, you leave the jury with, and you leave the State with the ability to just argue, well, these are minor inconsistencies. They don't matter. This is an otherwise uninterested party.

Very different than you would if there was, for instance, if it's a snitch in a case, an informant, or somebody who is a suspect who, therefore, has a motive, that a neutral witness wouldn't.
Q. Now, you did the primary closing argument in this case; do you recall your closing argument?
A. I do.
Q. Did the trial court's Denny ruling affect your closing argument?
A. Very much.
Q. As an experienced defense attorney, what do you try to accomplish in a closing argument?
A. Well, certainly reasonable doubt is where you start. As I said, in this case, though, I wanted to be able to do more. I wanted to be able to give the jury not just reasons to doubt the evidence the State had massed against Mr. Avery, but also to consider that there were other suspects, other people with opportunity, access, and as much motive as Mr. Avery, which is to say really none that anybody could divine. But that was our argument, before the trial, about why we thought we should be allowed to do that.

I believe at one point I was interrupted, or there was an objection to my cross-examination, by Mr. Kratz, when I had -What I tried to do throughout the trial, in order to try and get around, as best we could, the Denny ruling, of course, the Denny ruling, we
knew there was Kyles vs. Whitley, U.S. Supreme Court case that said that we could explore the bias, investigative bias of the officers. And so as a very weak substitute to being able to point the finger at another suspect, we tried to show that there were other suspects that the police just didn't investigate.

We weren't able to cross-examine the suspects themselves that way, but we could -- we could point out to the jury that so and so didn't have an alibi and wasn't asked, or claimed to have an alibi and the police didn't follow up, and that sort of thing. So I think I was at a point in my closing where $I$ was trying to contrast Bobby Dassey's testimony with Lisa Buchner, the bus driver.

And at one point I said, made reference to Mr. Kratz's claim that Bobby Dassey was the last person to see Teresa Halbach alive, and I said, well, that may be true if he was the killer, or something like that, and was objected to.

And as I recall we -- I was allowed to proceed to the end of the closing. We took a break, and then the -- there was discussion about

Mr. Kratz wanted the jury to be instructed about -- that $I$ was -- I don't know, I don't remember exactly how it was, the record would show that. But somehow or another I was basically squashed in my ability to try and paint somebody else as a suspect, which I thought I really couldn't do any way.

That, frankly, was just a slip of the phrasing. I hadn't actually intended to go into that in my closing, but clearly that was the line, the line the State was drawing, and they weren't going to let me go past it.
Q. Your understanding was you could not name particular individuals in your closing argument as alternative suspects?
A. The most I could do was argue that the State failed to investigate other possible suspects. I couldn't name any. I couldn't explain why someone would lie because I believed they were a suspect. I couldn't do any of that.
Q. Now, you were able, it seemed, to talk a little bit about the so-called hustle shot; is that correct, during the course of the trial?
A. Yes.
Q. And if I recall the testimony correctly, I think
maybe the receptionist at Auto Trader, or one of the other individuals there, testified to what a hustle shot is. Is that your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. And you obviously were there, I'm reading the transcript, I'm thinking that you were able to get a little bit of information in about the so-called hustle shot theory of the defense. Is that -- Am I reading too much into that transcript, or was there something there about the hustle shot?
A. Well, one of the -- one of the theories that we were working on was that the real killer was somebody that she had done a hustle shot with, so to speak, and that it seemed absurd that you would call the office, leave a paper trail, you know, here, come on out to Avery Road, and that you would then kill that person, when you had such an easy link.

But that I knew that hustle shots were arrangements that the individual photographers made on their own, rather than a referral for a job from the front office. So, as I understood this process, the only record of when one of the photographers would hustle their own business, or
their own business, the only record of that and who that person was would come after the fact, when the photographer would then submit a bill to Auto Trader and get paid double what they would otherwise.

So, to me that made a lot more sense, as the sort of scenario where somebody would have killed her, if it was somebody in a situation like that. I'm not sure when I first started getting into that information, the State was -knew where I was going with it, and whether, you know, whether they knew that $I$ was trying to develop a possible other suspect theory without really getting into who or naming anybody.

But that much I was able to get into, and I argued that to the jury, that she had a history of that, just within the last month. I forget what it was, 10 or 12 cases like that where she had hustled. She was good at her job, obviously, and she was able to get that kind of business. But I couldn't point the finger at any particular individual as a suspect who did that.
Q. The trial court's ruling, then, did have an affect on your development of the hustle shot theory; is that correct?
A. Yes. If I could just explain how.
Q. Sure.
A. Specifically, we thought that she had -- was on her way out from the Avery property, having completed her job with Steven Avery, when one of these other suspects flagged her down and suggested that she take another picture, hey, I've got another car over here, or truck, or something, and that they, in fact, were the ones that were the perpetrator, after that.
Q. And did you feel that you could have developed that theory of other possible suspects had the Court ruled for the defense in the Denny area?
A. Yes, I did.

ATTORNEY ASKINS: I have no further questions for this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
Q. Mr. Buting, as I understand it, you have practiced primarily criminal defense law for approximately 28 years?
A. That's correct.
Q. The extent or the vast majority of your practice
is in criminal defense?
A. Almost exclusively.
Q. All right. And you have been in your own business, your own law practice, for several years now, correct?
A. Yes, it's 16 years.
Q. And prior to that time you worked at a couple of different law firms; is that correct?
A. I worked at the Public Defender's Office, Milwaukee Trial, for the first nine years of my career, and then $I$ was in a private firm for about three or four years, and then I opened my own practice.
Q. Then you opened your practice?
A. Right.
Q. And your practice is primarily state court practice, or do you do some federal criminal defense work as well?
A. I do some federal, but the great bulk is state court.
Q. All right. You have argued cases before the Wisconsin Court of Appeals?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have argued cases in front of the Wisconsin Supreme Court, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I believe you have also been admitted to the U.S. Supreme Court bar?
A. Yes.
Q. Argued a case there?
A. I have not.
Q. You have not yet.
A. I have filed briefs and petitions, opposed petitions, but not had a case actually accepted in the Supreme Court.
Q. All right. And you have tried a significant number of cases as a defense attorney, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Over a hundred?
A. Probably not. I was trying to think about that the other night, probably somewhere in the area of 50. I had a much higher volume when I was a public defender.
Q. Public defender than private practice?
A. Right.
Q. Right. All right. I'm going to -- We'll start with the juror issue, all right?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. There's some background stuff that $I$ just want to talk about. We have kind of walked all
around it today, but we don't have anything on the record. We'll get into more detail, but just generally, at the time the call came in, as near as you recollect or believed, you and Mr. Strang were in the Appleton area having dinner?
A. Yes, I believe it was.
Q. And Mr. Kratz, as far as you knew, was at home?
A. I had no idea where he was.
Q. And he lives in the Appleton area, right?
A. I'm not sure $I$ even knew that, but.
Q. All right. And since the jury had retired, you knew that Judge Willis, or at least believed that Judge Willis and his staff had returned here to Manitowoc?
A. I assume so.
Q. All right. And that Mr . Gahn and I were elsewhere, Chilton, or someplace else?
A. I had no idea exactly where you were.
Q. The point being is that when this all occurred on the night of March 15th, we were all spread out in different locations in the area?
A. That's fair to say, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, we have had some discussion, I think from co-counsel and from yourself, that you had a fee agreement to represent Mr . Avery through the
initial trial and sentencing; would that be fair?
A. Through the initial trial and in the event there was a sentencing, we hoped there wasn't, obviously, but, yes, $I$ believe it went that far.
Q. And you obtained from him a fee for those services, a flat fee agreement $I$ believe it's called?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And the flat fee in this case that was -- was split between you equally?
A. It wasn't exactly equal. There was -- I took a lesser amount initially, with the understanding that Mr. Strang's firm would be responsible for any costs that exceeded the amount that they put into a trust account as a reserve for costs.
Q. All right. And what was the amount that the fee agreement was for?
A. I believe -- He had settled his civil rights case for 400,000 . By the time the -- those civil rights attorneys took their share of it and the costs, I think he came out with about 240,000 . And I took 100, Mr. Strang took -- I don't remember exactly how much. I think there was 20 in reserve, so he was probably 120.

We knew even then, though, with the
amount of work that this -- although it initially sounded like a lot, that when you break it down to the amount of work we were going to have to do on this case, we knew it wasn't going to be enough, so there -- enough certainly to go through it twice. And so that's why we put in the fee agreement that this was going to be a one trial only.
Q. One trial only deal. And so some of that money had to be set aside for expenses associated with the investigation and preparation for the ...
A. Correct.
Q. Not just your attorney time?
A. That's right.
Q. Right. Okay. All right. So let's back up. And as I understand it, when you originally came on in this particular case, the agreement was that you and Mr. Strang would -- would do your darnedest to obtain an acquittal in this case? I think he used the phrase you weren't going to just play for the fumbles, you were going to go for the win.
A. That's right. And that was -- that was my view all along, was that we were -- you know, that we were going to try and win this with a not guilty
verdict, not just a hung jury or a mistrial, because we thought that Mr. Avery was innocent and that he had suffered 18 years of wrongful conviction and that he deserved a resolution in this one trial.

And that was our strategy all along. That was one of the reasons why we were working on the whole idea of trying to offer the jury some other suspect.
Q. All right. And you had the feeling going in that he deserved the best -- the very best defense that could be mounted?
A. That's right.
Q. All right.
A. And I think we gave him the very best effort that we could.
Q. All right. And you would agree that when you have decisions to make as a lawyer in this particular case, that some decisions are made in conjunction with the client and his wishes?
A. That's right.
Q. All right. Some wishes -- some decisions are made solely by the client?
A. That's right.
Q. All right. And in those situations, you do your
best to make a recommendation to them, and that recommendation is based on what you think is in his best interest?
A. That's right. And -- But we do try and present the options fairly, and -- and, you know, present to him what we think the law allows or what it doesn't allow. And in the particular instance of this alternate juror substitution in we, frankly, got that wrong, I think. I think everybody did.
Q. And while we're on that point, but what it turns out is, it did give you and Mr. -- you, meaning you and Mr. Strang and Mr. Avery, it did give you a third option that may or may not have been there otherwise?
A. The Lehman case?
Q. Well, in terms of how we came to this decision in this case, there was the third option of proceeding with a substitute juror?
A. Right. We thought that that was still available by law and that was what we recommended to him.
Q. All right. And you recommended it to him because that third option was based on everything that you knew at the time, that that was in Mr. Avery's best interest to go with that option rather than the other -- either one of the other
two?
A. We felt that, yes.
Q. All right. And that's because the -- I take it from your comments on direct examination you are not a fan, as it were, of proceeding with 11 jurors?
A. That's right.
Q. All right. And why not?
A. I don't think -- I don't think it's ever a good idea to give up one 12 th of your mind, collective mind of a jury. Twelve people, that one extra person can bring a perspective that the other 11 don't have. And I think there's a reason we have got 12, we have always had 12. And I have never agreed to a situation where we proceed with 11.
Q. And in this particular case, viewing that you had three options, you did not believe that a motion for mistrial, based on what you knew at the time, was in the best interest, all things considered.
A. That was a very difficult choice because we knew that he could -- could have gotten a mistrial and that that would have been, frankly, a guaranteed mistrial. And what made it so difficult is that, you know, in most first degree homicide cases, if you get to the point where you have a chance to
get a guaranteed mistrial, $I$ think most of the time I advise a client to do that.

In this case, though, we had the difficult calculus that we knew that if we did that he would have other counsel, and we had to explain that to him. I think it would have been unfair to say, okay, let's get a mistrial, and say, oh, by the way, we're not going to be your lawyers.

I didn't feel good about doing it because I had a responsibility to Mr. Avery, and we did our best. But we also had economic realities of our own law firms, and our own employees. And we had to, you know -- we couldn't -- we already spent an entire year on the case, probably were making $\$ 10$ an hour by then. And so that made it difficult in this case, and I don't know that I ever encountered that dilemma before.
Q. And I think, as you said earlier, you thought that you had done, under all circumstances, the very best that you could with the presentation of the case?
A. I did.
Q. All right. And it would be fair to say, looking
at it from your perspective, you meaning the defense attorney's perspective, that the case went in about as well as one could expect, all things considered?
A. I did, with perhaps the one exception being the EDTA FBI test, that was sort of sprung on us in the middle of the trial, when we didn't have a chance to redo our own test.

But otherwise, I thought that the -that and the fact that the State, I think wisely, decided not to call Brendan Dassey as a witness, because I think the case might have gone in better had that actually -- if we had just brought in that elephant in the room and we had just dealt with him, showed the jurors how that information that they may have gotten elsewhere and was hard to unring the bell that they had heard, we were hoping that you would call Brendan Dassey so we could expose the confessions as false. And then that would have made the case go in better. But absent that, I think the case went in about as well as we could hope.
Q. And you realize, of course, you had no control over what prosecution strategy would be selected and implemented?
A. We didn't. Although we did consider calling Brendan Dassey ourselves, and thought that that was just too risky to do, so we did not.
Q. So the point being, is that all things considered, evaluating, you were reasonably comfortable with the status of the evidence that the jury was now considering?
A. Sure.
Q. All right. And as you presented these options to Mr. Avery, based on the effort that you have put in and the amount of work, you believe that you and Mr. Strang presented him with his best opportunity at obtaining an acquittal, or perhaps a mistrial if the jury came to that point?
A. Given the constraints that we had with the Denny motion, and the EDTA test, yes.
Q. All right. And if, in fact, there had been a retrial, then both sides would have the opportunity to reevaluate each other's trial strategies and adjust accordingly?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And as it pertains to Mr. Avery's situation, all things considered, you thought it was in his best interest for the current jury to continue to deliberate on the status of the
evidence that they had been presented?
A. Given the information I had, yes.
Q. All right. In fact, the parties had contemplated the very possibility that there would be a need, or there might be a need for a substitute juror by the manner in which the alternates were removed from the panel, correct?
A. You know, I was trying to recall what sort of discussions we had, and I haven't seen the whole transcript myself, but I don't know whether it was on the record or whether these were in chambers discussions, what sort of discussions we had as a group on what to do with the alternates. And I don't think we ever -- I know none of us ever saw the statute that says that they should be dismissed once the case is submitted to the jury. And I don't recall what discussions were made for how that alternate juror would be housed.
Q. All right. Let me try to jog your recollection here. Is it not true that Mr. Strang, your colleague, suggested that rather than removal of -- rather than determining the identity of the alternates by -- let me rephrase that. Once the identity of the alternates was determined by lot,
he suggested that the parties each take one peremptory challenge to remove the alternate, such that there would be one left?
A. That's right.
Q. Right. And in that particular case, the defense had their eyes on one particular juror who turned out to be an alternate, that they did want removed from the panel, correct?
A. That we did want removed?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, there was one particular juror that we thought had been talking about the case, should have been removed in a voir dire that I think that we had in chambers, and wasn't. I think we're talking about the same one.
Q. And there was one other juror who was somehow -in the middle of trial remembered some prior association with a witness?
A. That's right.
Q. Right.
A. Yes.
Q. And so each of those jurors were removed in this process of one peremptory for each team?
A. Correct. But as I recall, that discussion, I actually wasn't initially party to that. I think
we had some conflict in chambers when I -Mr. Strang had made an agreement that I wasn't quite as comfortable with when we talked about it.

But that was before the closings had even begun. And that was back, I think the day before the closings, when counsel was working on -- with the Court on jury instructions. And I think that's the way that came about.
Q. In any event, the lone remaining alternate was agreeable to both sides?
A. Yes. And, actually, I thought that if -- if we had to have her as a juror, that she would be an all right juror for the defense. That was the sense that I had.
Q. And the parties agreed that she would be sequestered in the -- in the hotel, but in an entirely different area from the rest of the jurors, just in case a need did arise, correct?
A. You know that's the part I do not recall. I don't know if $I$ was absent for that part of the discussion, but I -- for instance, I was trying to consider what arrangements were made for her security.

There was only two bailiffs that had
been sworn, and I don't know how exactly it was agreed that that juror would be kept separate, which -- who would eat dinner with her, would there only be one bailiff with the other 12. I just don't remember any of those kinds of discussions, and so I don't know that -- that I was actually part of that discussion or not. I just can't recall.
Q. All right. In any event, when you came in the next day, you were aware that the Court had already excused Mr. Mahler?
A. I believe we learned that that night before, yes.
Q. Right. So you had the night to at least sleep on it, as it were, and contemplate what was likely to occur the next day?
A. Yes.
Q. And you did a little research, reviewed the Lehman case?
A. I did none of the -- I did no independent research. I know Mr. Strang did find -- did some research, found Lehman. He Sheppardized it, as they say, found that it wasn't overruled, it was still good law, case law anyway. And so -- And then when we came into chambers, I believe the Court had also found that case, or maybe yourself
as well, so that was the basic extent.
Q. And the Court at that time, also in that early morning conference in chambers, before you went to talk with Mr. Avery, the Court filled us in as to the -- more of the events of the night before?
A. I don't know what more of the events there were that we were filled in, I don't recall that.
Q. Nothing?
A. I don't.
Q. At that particular point, then, you asked for time to go consult with Mr. Avery, as to the likely course of events?
A. Yes.
Q. And that particular time, you realized that Mr. Avery, and only Mr. Avery, held the mistrial key in his hand?
A. That's right.
Q. And whether or not the case was going to be mistried, go with 11, or go with the substitute, that decision was going to be his?
A. With our advice.
Q. With your advice?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.
A. Although I will say --
Q. Well, let me ask a question.
A. Okay. Go ahead.
Q. You also agree that the prosecution had really no say in what any of those three options were, that was entirely a defense call?
A. The prosecution's only say would have been to present the new statute that said one of those options wasn't on the table. And none of us caught that, and so we presented the wrong set of options to Mr. Avery.
Q. I understand your point of view. My question was, on the options that you believe you had, and the ones you presented to Mr. Avery, the prosecution had no choice; it was entirely his choice as to which of those three options?
A. The prosecution's choice could have been, excuse me, Judge, the only option here is mistrial or 11. Other than that, $I$ don't think the prosecution could have controlled the decision, but I think the prosecution could have corrected it --
Q. And that's assuming, for the sake of argument, that anyone knew of that particular change?
A. That's right.
Q. Based on the information that was presented,
however, that morning, the choice was

Mr. Avery's?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And when you sat down with Mr. Avery, you recommended, rather quickly, against proceeding with 11?
A. That's right.
Q. And the discussion then centered on, well, should we mistry it or should we go with 12 ?
A. That's right.
Q. And, ultimately, you recommended to him that you proceed with the substitute juror?
A. That's right.
Q. And at that particular time, and under the circumstances as they existed, you believed that that was in his best interest?
A. Yes.
Q. And he was agreeable with your recommendation?
A. He was. He was agreeable with virtually all of our recommendations throughout the trial.
Q. He trusted you?
A. He did.
Q. All right. He believed that you were operating in his best interests?
A. He did.
Q. And you were operating in his best interests?
A. We tried. We missed this particular point, but we tried.

ATTORNEY FALLON: If I may have a moment, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
ATTORNEY FALLON: Pass the witness.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Just a very few questions, please.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN:
Q. Now, you have testified that, in your view, the alternate who was left was generally an agreeable juror, from your perspective?
A. Yes.
Q. But before the situation arose on the night of March 15th, you also viewed Mr. Mahler as an agreeable juror, from your perspective?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection, asked and answered. He already commented on what he thought was Mr. Mahler's suitability.

THE COURT: He did. I guess I viewed the question as foundational for another question. So contingently, at least, I'm going to allow it.
Q. (By Attorney Hagopian)~ And in fact, you had testified that you viewed Mr. Mahler as perhaps favorable to the defense?
A. I did. And I think Mr. Avery preferred him. The one thing that Mr . Avery was able to provide us assistant with -- assistance with in the trial was to try and -- that sort of -- the body language that the jurors were showing, something only a defendant can tell is, are you getting good vibes or good feelings from particular jurors.

They are not always accurate because sometimes jurors can't be read that easily, but I do recall that he was disappointed when we told him, in the morning, that Mr. Mahler was gone, because he thought that he was a juror that he was comfortable with or was -- I don't remember, specifically, if he was getting really good vibes from him, but he thought that he was a favorable witness -- or, I'm sorry, a favorable juror.
Q. You have also testified that you entered into this case with Mr. Strang with the strategy that you were trying for a not guilty verdict?
A. That's right.
Q. You wanted to win?
A. That's right.
Q. But would you also say, and I gleaned from your testimony that there would be some instances where you felt that you could no longer pursue that goal, that a mistrial would be necessary?
A. Certainly. In fact, I think we made several motions for mistrial during the process of the case. Renewed them at the end, especially involving the EDTA testing and that sort of thing.

So we moved for mistrial. There were some circumstances where we felt a mistrial would be necessary, but it wasn't a goal sometimes you shoot for as, you know, playing for the fumble, or whatever it might be, to try and -- Sometimes in a case, if you get a mistrial, there's a potential for negotiations, better negotiations than you had the first time. I never thought that that was realistic in this case, so that wasn't a strategy we were shooting for.
Q. Was it your thought that in the instances where the goal of a not guilty verdict would have to yield to the necessity for a mistrial, would be when there was some error that you thought was so grave that it really impacted the case --
A. Yes.
Q. -- severely?
A. And that would be true in the instance of any contact between a juror and Mr. -- and Sheriff Pagel, as I gave an example. I would have, even though I wanted to win, and even though I thought the case had come in well, had I known that, I would have viewed that as so serious. Again, I was hypervigilant, suspicious, conspiratorial, whatever, about police involvement in this case, because of what we -- the way we thought it developed.

And if there had been any inkling that Sheriff Pagel was having direct contact with deliberating jurors, everything we -- I said about wanting to win this case would have gone out the door and I would have recommended a mistrial. That's one example.
Q. You have also referred to your understanding of the law about substituting in an alternate as a mistaken view, correct?
A. I believe so now that I have looked at the statute that was analyzed in Lehman, which was silent on the issue, and then shortly thereafter, the statute that was enacted which specifically
says that jurors -- alternates shall be dismissed, $I$ forget the exact phraseology, but when the case is submitted to the jury.

I don't think if $I$ had seen that statute, and if $I$ had known of it, I would have suggested to Mr. Avery that he had that third option.
Q. So that would have been another example of an instance where your desire for a not guilty verdict would have had to yield to the need for a mistrial?
A. It would because, as $I$ said, the 11 jury -- 11 juror option was never something I would consider. And then he would have been left with just mistrial or 11 jurors, and I would have recommended mistrial.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: That completes our questioning.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Fallon?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Tempting, but no.
THE COURT: All right. You are excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you. Am I no longer sequestered?

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Yes, that would be my view.

THE COURT: Yes, and free to leave as well?
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Yes, free to leave.
Your Honor, may I request about a 10 minute recess.
THE COURT: Yes.
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Thank you.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Attorney Hagopian, are there any further witnesses for the defense?

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: No, there are not.
THE COURT: Are there any witnesses for the State?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, the State has decided not to pursue rebuttal witnesses on this matter. However, we would ask the Court to engage in a colloquy with Mr. Avery regarding his decision not to testify in this post-conviction matter.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that's new ground for me. I'm not sure what his rights are in a post-conviction hearing. But Mr. Avery, assuming that your rights are similar to what they are in a criminal hearing, do you understand that --

Frankly, I'm hesitant to say that you have a constitutional right to testify at this hearing, because I don't know if you do. Do the attorneys have any authority for such a right
existing?
ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I think there is one by implication, similar to the trial right, because this does involve evidentiary testimony. It involves decision making that affected the outcome in the case, and it is his case. And I think he would have the right to testify or not to testify, similar to a trial.

Secondly, and also by implication, there is a creature in the common law known as ineffective assistance of appellate counsel. And I want to make sure -- we have just had that experience -- they have conferred with him. And I think prudence suggests that we go over the matter with him. Because it is, in fact, his case and his decisions, again, based on the recommendations of counsel. And I would like the record to reflect that they have been adequately considered.

THE COURT: Very well. I'm certainly willing to engage in a colloquy that $I$ believe $I$ can word such as to cover the situation where there is or is not a constitutional right.

Mr. Avery, let me ask you, first, it's my understanding that you have just had some time
during the recent break to confer with your attorneys about whether or not you would be testifying at today's post-conviction motion hearing; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
THE COURT: Do you feel that you have had adequate opportunity to confer with your attorneys about your decision whether or not to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
THE COURT: Do you understand that you may, at least, have a constitutional right to testify at this hearing, even if you didn't agree with your attorneys, should they have advised you not to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And let me ask you this, is it your decision, even independent of any advice you may have received from your attorneys, not to testify at today's hearing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you make that decision with the understanding that if you disagreed with them and wanted to testify you may well have a right to do so?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you feel you have had adequate time to make your decision in this case? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you have any questions you wish to ask at this time of either me or your attorneys about your right to testify at this hearing?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I have no questions. THE COURT: Very well, the Court will -- is satisfied that, as I indicated, whether or not you have a constitutional right to testify at this hearing, that you have independently made the determination, along with in consultation with your attorneys, not to testify.

Otherwise, at this point, Counsel, my intention would be to set a briefing schedule. And I will ask the defense, first, how much time you need to submit a brief in support of your post-conviction motion?

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Our preference, your Honor, would be to write the brief, at least, and have it in final form, once we have the transcript from today's hearing.

THE COURT: That's a fair question. I actually had a note to myself, which I neglected to
read, asking when we might have a transcript. Diane, what do you think?

COURT REPORTER: I would say two weeks.
THE COURT: Okay. That puts us to -- let's see -- October 12th.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Then $I$ would ask that we would have two weeks, then, upon receipt of the transcript, to submit our brief.

THE COURT: All right. Let's say, assuming that the transcript is to you by October 12 th , we'll set it at October 26 th, with the understanding that if for some reason the transcript is delayed, you have the right to request an extension of that date. Mr. Fallon, how much time for the State to respond?

ATTORNEY FALLON: I guess I was under the impression, based on previous discussions, that there was going to be a simultaneous briefing, so that the Court would have adequate time to decide the case and meet the December 1st deadline.

Counsel is now suggesting they want additional briefing and reply brief --

THE COURT: Well, I'm perfectly willing to -- What if we had both of you submit briefs by the 26 th and then replies by the -- how about the 4th of November, to give me -- that's about 10 days
to give me an opportunity to get it, because I think I'm going to issue a written decision in this case and I don't know that it's going to be short.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: I have no objection to the simultaneous briefing, but I would ask the Court if we could have, and then the State as well, but we really feel we would need two weeks to file a response brief. And the reason, your Honor, is that we have basically had our argument out here, available to the State, for some time. And, they understandably, have not submitted anything in writing as to their position.

THE COURT: All right. So October 26 th for initial briefs and November 9 for replies? ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: That would be very good.

THE COURT: Does that work for the State? ATTORNEY FALLON: It's not very good for my schedule, but $I$ will just have to make it work.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything further either party believes needs addressing today?

ATTORNEY FALLON: What day is November 9th, if I could ask?

THE COURT: These are both Mondays. If
anybody has a different day request, now is the time to make it.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Could I have until Friday of that week?

THE COURT: All right. Why don't I do -Let's do both of these then. Let's make it -- Just to avoid the need for any adjournments, I'm going to give you Friday, the 30th, for your initial briefs. And I sure hope I'm looking at a 2009 calendar here, this isn't my bench -- but, yes. I'm sorry, just a second. Okay. The 30th -- Friday, the 30th, for initial briefs, and Friday November 13th for replies.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
THE COURT: And if I have to request one of the parties to ask for another extension from the Court of Appeals, I will let you know.

ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: Certainly.
THE COURT: Anything else?
ATTORNEY HAGOPIAN: No.
THE COURT: Very well, we're adjourned for today.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you. (Proceedings concluded.)
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