

HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT
MN0270900

OFFENSE/INCIDENT
CSC
COMPLAINANT/DEFENDANT

SUPPLEMENTAL
REPORT

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT
6/1/91

SUP APR
Qll
PAGE
1 OF 1


CASE #
91004661

As of this date no identification of the suspect has been made. Pending any further developments in the case and/or identification the investigation will be marked inactive.

REPORTING OFFICER G.Klingbeil/pac DATE 12/26/91

White — File Canary — Investigation Pink — Squad Room

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT
MN0270900**

OFFENSE / INCIDENT CSC	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	SUP APR 
COMPLAINANT / DEFENDANT		DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT

Reference 92006802

On the morning of 1/31/94 I received a phone call from Officer JIM CORNICK of the Orono Police Department, 473-7710. Officer Cornick stated that he is investigating a criminal sexual conduct in his jurisdiction and had developed a suspect by the name of

_____, in St. Louis Park. He had recalled a composite drawing that we had displayed previously and felt possibly it could be related to either one of these two cases. The composites in these two cases have a similar description. Officer Cornick advised he is going to be doing a search warrant on his matter and wanted our material present during his search warrant.

I faxed him the beginning pages of the investigation and also the two composite drawings we have with each one of these case files.

It should be noted that the Hopkins police department has had two contacts with _____ c. Case # 90004514 and # 91009209. One is a warrant arrest, the other is a damage to property where he is a suspect in an incident involving a female's car.

Investigation pending _____ earch warrant.


9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

REPORTING
OFFICER

G.Klingbeil/pac

1/31/94

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT
MN0270900**

OFFENSE / INCIDENT CSC	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	SUP APR 
COMPLAINANT / DEFENDANT		DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT

Reference 92006802

On the afternoon of 2/14/94 I had a conversation with Officer JIM CORNICK of the Orono police department, 473-7710. He stated that he had done a search warrant on the suspect previously named, . They had solved an ATM credit card fraud for their department and also several burglaries for the St. Louis Park police department. They had also secured a confession concerning those crimes in those cities.

Officer Cornick stated that did not admit to any involvement in either of these two cases in Hopkins. They also did not recover any type of incriminating evidence in the search warrant of his residence.

Both these cases will be turned back to the inactive files.

9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

REPORTING
OFFICER

G.Klingbeil/pac

2/14/94

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT
MN0270900**

OFFENSE / INCIDENT CSC	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	SUP APR <i>[Signature]</i>
COMPLAINANT / DEFENDANT	DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT 06/01/91	PAGE OF 1 1

I had received the photograph of _____ from Detective Jan May of the St. Louis Park Police Department. She had also sent along some other photographs to be used as fillers for a six member photo line up. I compiled the photo line up with the following people:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

I had made an appointment with _____ to meet me at the Hopkins Police Department on the afternoon hours of 05/31/94. She arrived at approximately 1454 hours. I told her that I was going to show her a six member photo line up and asked her to tell me if she knew anyone or if she recognized the suspect, to tell me that or if she recognized any of the individuals for any other reason, to tell me that.

She studied the line up for a considerable amount of time and then told me that the suspect number one's eyes looked familiar to her but that she could not identify the suspect from the line up. She did say that she recalled the suspect did have some sort of receding or thinning hair line.

Case file to remain inactive. Note: _____ has a new phone number of _____

REPORTING
OFFICER

Klingbeil/kaa

05/31/94

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT
MN0270900**

OFFENSE / INCIDENT CSC	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	SUP APR <i>Occ 1st</i>
COMPLAINANT / DEFENDANT		DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT 6/1/91

CASE #

9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

On 6/7/95 I attended the Hennepin County Investigator's meeting at the Minnetonka City Hall. There I met with Special Agent STEPHANY GOODE of the BCA, 642-0610. She inquired whether or not I had submitted the specimen samples in the assault case to the BCA to do a DNA against

Agent Goode informed me that they now have DNA on

In returning to the police department I dug out the case file and I did not believe that the DNA had been submitted. Officer Meuwissen located the sexual assault kit in the evidence room refrigerator. I then took custody of that, and placed it in the temporary property refrigerator.

I spoke with CHRISTY WARD, Criminal Intelligence Analyst for the BCA. She stated I could deliver the sexual assault kit to the BCA facility on the morning of 6/9/95.

On the morning of 6/9/95, Officer Meuwissen and I delivered the sexual assault kit to the BCA and met with JAMES DOUGHERTY and CHRISTY WARD.

Analyst Ward gave me a time line of the suspect


Case awaiting analysis by the BCA.

REPORTING
OFFICER

G.Klingbeil/pac

6/9/95

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT
MN0270900**

OFFENSE / INCIDENT CSC	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	SUP APR 
COMPLAINANT / DEFENDANT		DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT

CASE #
9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

Upon reporting for duty on 9/29/95, I found that a sealed box had been received from the Bureau of Criminal Apprehension. I cut open that box and found it to be the sexual assault kit from _____ and also a forensic science laboratory report. In that report it states that they had analyzed the samples and done a DNA profile analysis. A computer search of convicted sex offenders did not detect a match with the DNA obtained from the sample. It states they will do periodic searches of the DNA data base to see if they can come up with a match.

I placed the sexual assault kit back in the temporary evidence frig and will put the laboratory results with the case file.

REPORTING
OFFICER

G.Klingbeil/pac

9/29/95

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT.
MN0270900**

OFFENSE/INCIDENT
1st DEGREE CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT

**SUPPLEMENTAL
REPORT**

SUP APR
RFB

COMPLAINANT/DEFENDANT
ALLEN, GREGORY A. DOB/01-17-1954

DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT
0601-1991

PAGE
1 OF 3

C
A
S
E
#

On 01-04-05, I received an email from Crime Prevention Specialist Katherine Magdal. She had received an email from _____ who was the victim in this case.

_____ email cited this case number and she was wondering if there was a connection between her case and case #02008878. She had seen the television coverage of the suspect in that case being charged.

When I received the email, I pulled the original case record and saw that Sgt. Klingbeil was the assigned investigator at the time. I spoke with him about the case and he stated that he believed a DNA match had been found in the national DNA registry several years ago. He informed me that he would check with the MN BCA to see if this was the case.

Later that day, Sgt. Klingbeil sent me an email indicating that the BCA made a positive DNA match to GREGORY A. ALLEN DOB/01-17-1954 and sent a letter to Sgt. Klingbeil on 11-17-1999. He further stated that he believed he had contacted the victim and informed her of the match and that Allen was sentenced to prison in Wapun, WI until 2030. He did not locate any reports or the letter he sent to the victim.

On 01-05-05, I contacted the victim by telephone. I informed her of what had been found on the case. She told me that she was still interested in pursuing charges in this case. I informed her that we would have to obtain a DNA swab of Allen to do a direct comparison in order to have the case continue any further.

On 04-27-05, I contacted Detective Brian Drumm of the Dodge County Sheriff's Office in Juneau, WI. I advised him of the case and asked if he would assist me in securing a search warrant for the DNA buccal swab since his department had jurisdiction. Detective Drumm stated he would secure a search warrant and asked that I fax copies of the report to him. I faxed him the reports that same day.

9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

REPORTING
OFFICER

R.F. Laudenbach

DATE

05-04-05

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT.
MN0270900**

OFFENSE/INCIDENT 1 st DEGREE CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	SUP APR <i>RFL</i>
COMPLAINANT/DEFENDANT ALLEN, GREGORY A. DOB/01-17-1954	DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT 0601-1991	PAGE 2 OF 3

C
A
S
E
#

On 05-03-05 at 0830 hours, I arrived in Juneau, WI and met with Detective Drumm. Detective Drumm gave me a copy of the warrant in which he was the affiant. The warrant was signed on 05-02-05 by Judge Kloss of the Circuit Court for Dodge County, WI.

9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

Detective Drumm and I went from his office to the Wapun Correctional Institute in Wapun, WI. We arrived at the prison at approximately 0900 hours and proceeded to the security office. The correctional officers had already brought Allen to a holding cell near the security office. Detective Drumm and I went to this cell where Detective Drumm identified himself and me to Allen. I verified Allen's identity visually via his prison identification. Detective Drumm verbally identified Allen and gave him a copy of the search warrant. Using a MN BCA buccal swab known sample kit and following the enclosed instructions, I took a DNA buccal sample from Allen. I secured the sample in the provided envelopes.

After securing the sample, I read Allen his rights per the Miranda decision. I asked Allen if he understood these rights and he stated, "Yes." I asked Allen if he wished to talk to us now and he indicated he did not want to talk. Detective Drumm and I then left the cell without questioning Allen. The reading of rights and Allen's declination to speak to us were digitally recorded and the compact disc of that recording has been forwarded with this report.

On 05-04-05 at 1011 hours, I brought the known sample kit to the MN BCA for analysis. The sample was taken in by Evidence Technician LaVonne Beck of the BCA.

On 05-05-05 at 1045 hours, I spoke with the victim via telephone to advise her of the status of the case.

A copy of the search warrant and Allen's prison file have been forwarded with this report.

Investigation to continue.

REPORTING
OFFICER

R.F. Laudenbach

DATE

05-04-05

**HOPKINS
POLICE DEPT.
MN0270900**

OFFENSE/INCIDENT 1 ST DEGREE CRIMINAL SEXUAL CONDUCT	SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT	SUP APR <i>RFL</i>
COMPLAINANT/DEFENDANT ALLEN, GREGORY A. DOB/01-17-1954	DATE OF ORIGINAL REPORT 06-01-1991	PAGE / OF /

C
A
S
E
#

On 07-05-05, I received via U.S. Mail a BCA Report on the Examination of Physical Evidence form detailing the results of the Nuclear DNA tests in regards to this case. The "Results of Laboratory Examination:" section of the report states, "DNA profiling was performed on semen previously identified on the vaginal swabs (Item 1D) as well as on known samples from (Item 1A) and Gregory A. Allen (Item 2).

9
1
0
0
4
6
6
1

A male DNA profile was obtained from the sperm cell fraction of Item 1D that matches the DNA profile of Gregory A. Allen. This male DNA profile would not be expected to occur more than once among unrelated individuals in the world population."

A copy of the BCA report has been forwarded with this report.

Based on the above fact, I recommend this case be sent to the County Attorney's Office for charging. Since the suspect is in custody in Wisconsin, a warrant complaint will be requested.

REPORTING OFFICER	R.F. Laudenbach	DATE	08-31-05
-------------------	-----------------	------	----------