# Exhibit 16

Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 1 of 76 Document 120-16

United States District Court Eastern District of Wisconsin

# Avery v. Manitowoc County 04 C 986



Video Deposition of **Kenneth Petersen** 

Recorded 10/13/2005 in Manitowoc, WI 12:04 pm - 1:29 pm, 84 mins. elapsed

# Magne-Script

(414) 352-5450

15843 Standard transcript

Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 2 of 76 Document 120-16

```
Page 1
Witness
Kenneth Petersen
Thursday 10/13/2005 at 09:00 by: Jeff Joseph
Nash, Spinlder, Grimstad & McCracken
201 East Waldo Boulevard
Manitowoc, WI
Caption: Avery v. Manitowoc County
Case No.: 04 C 986
Venue: United States District Court
         Eastern District of Wisconsin
```

```
Page 2
 1
                           A P P E A R A N C E S
 2
      Walter F. Kelly
 3
      Walter F. Kelly, S.C.
 4
      700 W. Michigan St. #500
 5
      Milwaukee, WI 53233
      On behalf of the Plaintiff
 6
 7
 8
      Stephen M. Glynn
 9
      Glynn, Fitzgerald & Albee, S.C.
10
      526 E. Wisconsin Ave.
11
      Milwaukee, WI 53202
12
      On behalf of the Plaintiff
13
14
      Claude J. Covelli
15
      Boardman, Suhr, Curry & Field
16
      1 S. Pinckney St. #410, PO Box 927
17
      Madison, WI 53701-0927
18
      On behalf of Denis Vogel and Manitowoc County
19
20
      Timothy A. Bascom
21
      Bascom, Budish & Ceman, S.C.
22
      2600 N. Mayfair Rd. #1140
23
      Wauwatosa, WI 53226-1308
24
      On behalf of Manitowoc County
25
```

Page 3 Raymond J. Pollen Crivello, Carlson & Mentkowski, S.C. 710 N. Plankinton Ave. #500 Milwaukee, WI 53203 On behalf of Tom Kocourek and Manitowoc County John F. Mayer Nash, Spindler, Grimstad & McCracken 201 East Waldo Boulevard Manitowoc, WI 54220 On behalf of Tom Kocourek Also Present: Steven Avery 

Page 4 1 INDEX 2 EXAMINATION BY PAGE NO. 3 Mr. Glynn . 4 4 EXHIBIT NO. PAGE NO. 5 138 - Statement of Andrew Colborn . . . . 29 6 139 - Debra Strauss DOJ report re Mr. Petersen. . 37 7 140 - Memo 45 8 (The exhibits were retained by Mr. Kelly) 9 (The sealed original transcript was sent to Mr. Kelly) 10 \_\_\_\_\_ 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. GLYNN: 13 Q Sheriff Petersen, we've met before, but just to remind 14 you, my name is Steve Glynn. I'll be asking you some 15 questions. I'll first take you through some 16 background, then we'll cover a few specific times in 17 history. Okay? One back in the 1985 time range, one 18 in the 1995 time range, and then we'll be moving to 19 more current things. If you don't understand any of 20 the questions that I'm asking, I'm sure you'll let me 21 Fair enough? know. 22 Α Yes. 23 Let's start out with the easiest question. How Ο Okay. 24 do you spell your last name? Does it end up with an 25 e-n or an o-n?

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters

414-352-5450

Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 6 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 5  |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | A | It's P-e-t-e-r-s-e-n.                                 |
| 2  | Q | Okay. It shows up different ways in different         |
| 3  |   | documents. That's why                                 |
| 4  | A | That's correct.                                       |
| 5  | Q | I was asking. Can you tell me a little bit about      |
| 6  |   | your educational background?                          |
| 7  | A | Well, I was born and raised in Manitowoc, educated    |
| 8  |   | local parochial school. Graduated Lincoln High School |
| 9  |   | in '67, Lake Shore Technical College in '69 with an   |
| 10 |   | associate degree in marketing, and 1988, I graduated  |
| 11 |   | from Mount Senario College with a Bachelor of Science |
| 12 |   | in criminal justice.                                  |
| 13 | Q | Okay. So when did you get interested in law           |
| 14 |   | enforcement work?                                     |
| 15 | A | The early seventies.                                  |
| 16 | Q | And did you become employed in law enforcement around |
| 17 |   | then?   |
| 18 | А | Yes. 1975, May 15th.                                  |
| 19 | Q | And what did you do then?                             |
| 20 | A | I was hired by the Manitowoc County as a traffic      |
| 21 |   | officer.  |
| 22 | Q | Okay. So that was at a time, if I recall correctly,   |
| 23 |   | when the traffic section was separate from the        |
| 24 |   | sheriff's department; is that right?                  |
| 25 | A | That's correct.                                       |
| 1  |   |   |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 7 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 6  |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | Q | Okay. And refresh me, please. When did they merge?    |
| 2  | A | January of 1979.                                      |
| 3  | Q | Okay. And in January of '79, did you go along with    |
| 4  |   | the merger? I mean, did you stay a member of that law |
| 5  |   | enforcement unit?                                     |
| 6  | A | Yes.  |
| 7  | Q | And were you still involved with traffic, or did your |
| 8  |   | duties change at some point?                          |
| 9  | A | No, basically we did the same thing. Our titles just  |
| 10 |   | changed.  |
| 11 | Q | Okay. Is there a point when your job description and  |
| 12 |   | duties changed from traffic?                          |
| 13 | A | I would say in 1979, primarily a traffic officer      |
| 14 |   | handled on-road complaints. We responded to criminal; |
| 15 |   | however, once the situation was stabilized, then a    |
| 16 |   | sheriff's department detective would take over.       |
| 17 | Q | Okay. And you're obviously now the sheriff.           |
| 18 | A | Correct.  |
| 19 | Q | When did you become sheriff?                          |
| 20 | A | In 2001, I was sworn in.                              |
| 21 | Q | Up to 2001, was your background staying in the what   |
| 22 |   | I guess I would call the traffic portion of the       |
| 23 |   | sheriff's department?                                 |
| 24 | A | No.   |
| 25 | Q | When did you change from that?                        |
| 1  |   |   |

|    |   | Page 7   |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | А | In 1982, I was promoted to sergeant on patrol. I       |
| 2  |   | stayed in that capacity through '87. In '88, I became  |
| 3  |   | deputy inspector of operations and I oversaw the       |
| 4  |   | patrol division and the detective division, and then   |
| 5  |   | in '89, I was promoted to inspector and under-sheriff, |
| 6  |   | and that position I held until I was elected sheriff.  |
| 7  | Q | Okay. And are you married, sir?                        |
| 8  | A | Yes.   |
| 9  | Q | To whom?   |
| 10 | А | Pardon?  |
| 11 | Q | To whom?   |
| 12 | А | My wife Mary.  |
| 13 | Q | Okay. Is she involved in law enforcement at all?       |
| 14 | А | No.  |
| 15 | Q | Do you have siblings?                                  |
| 16 | A | Yes, three.  |
| 17 | Q | Any of them involved in law enforcement?               |
| 18 | А | My daughter is a security sergeant for the University  |
| 19 |   | of Washington Medical College.                         |
| 20 | Q | Okay. Brothers or sisters involved in law              |
| 21 |   | enforcement?   |
| 22 | А | Oh, yes. I have one that's a reserve deputy.           |
| 23 | Q | And who's that? Is it Keith?                           |
| 24 | A | Keith.   |
| 25 | Q | Okay. And Keith is married to whom?                    |
| 1  |   |  |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 9 of 76 Document 120-16

Г

|    |   | Page 8   |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | Brenda Petersen.                                       |
| 2  | Q | And that's the same Brenda Petersen who worked in the  |
| 3  |   | victim/witness unit in the district attorney's office, |
| 4  |   | correct?   |
| 5  | A | That's correct.  |
| 6  | Q | Okay. As a general matter, do you socialize much with  |
| 7  |   | Keith?   |
| 8  | A | No.  |
| 9  | Q | Are you guys over at each other's houses very often?   |
| 10 | A | No.  |
| 11 | Q | Okay. How about discussions with Brenda? Are those     |
| 12 |   | common, or were those common back in, say, the period  |
| 13 |   | from 1985 to, I don't know, to 2003?                   |
| 14 | A | No.  |
| 15 | Q | Why not? I mean, I don't want to get into personal     |
| 16 |   | stuff.   |
| 17 | A | Oh.  |
| 18 | Q | But, I mean, is it just is it just a matter that it    |
| 19 |   | just simply doesn't happen?                            |
| 20 | A | No. You know, since they've been married, I think      |
| 21 |   | I've been to their house three times, and I think      |
| 22 |   | they've been married about four or five years, so we   |
| 23 |   | just don't socialize that much.                        |
| 24 | Q | Yeah. Okay.  |
| 25 | А | And I don't have much                                  |
|    |   |  |

# Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 10 of 76 Document 120-16

Г

|   |    |   | Page 9   |
|---|----|---|--|
|   | 1  | Q | Yeah. Fair enough.                                     |
|   | 2  | А | I don't dislike them or anything. I just don't have    |
|   | 3  |   | any  |
|   | 4  | Q | It just doesn't happen.                                |
|   | 5  | A | Right.   |
|   | 6  | Q | Yeah. With respect to on-the-job time, would you run   |
|   | 7  |   | into Brenda Petersen in connection with your job?      |
|   | 8  | A | Very rare.   |
|   | 9  | Q | And can you give me a time and frequency rate on that? |
|   | 10 |   | I mean, are we talking about once every couple years   |
|   | 11 |   | or once every couple of weeks?                         |
|   | 12 | А | Probably about once every two years.                   |
|   | 13 | Q | Okay. All right. Well, let me take you back to, say,   |
|   | 14 |   | let's start in July of 1985. As I understand, what     |
|   | 15 |   | you've told me up to this point, you would have been   |
|   | 16 |   | involved as a sergeant. You would have been involved   |
|   | 17 |   | still with patrol. Is that true?                       |
|   | 18 | А | That's correct.  |
|   | 19 | Q | Okay. And as a sergeant with patrol responsibilities,  |
|   | 20 |   | would you have had anything to do with the operation   |
|   | 21 |   | of the jail?   |
|   | 22 | А | No.  |
|   | 23 | Q | Did you have familiarity with how the jail was         |
|   | 24 |   | operated, whether it was within your duties or not?    |
|   | 25 | A | Basically, yes.  |
| 1 |    |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 11 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 10   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | Q | Okay. How about transportation of people arrested and |
| 2  |   | taking people who have been arrested into the jail    |
| 3  |   | setting? Would you do that occasionally?              |
| 4  | A | Yes.  |
| 5  | Q | Would you have an opportunity to observe how it is    |
| 6  |   | that a person is booked in and a record made of that  |
| 7  |   | person's booking at the jail?                         |
| 8  | А | Yes.  |
| 9  | Q | Can you describe that for us, please?                 |
| 10 | A | This is in the old setting back in '85.               |
| 11 | Q | Yes, please.  |
| 12 | A | They would be brought up to second floor on the       |
| 13 |   | elevator that would have come right directly down     |
| 14 |   | from the sally port, the north garage. Once at the    |
| 15 |   | booking counter, they would have done everything, you |
| 16 |   | know, paper manual, asked questions and write         |
| 17 |   | everything down. There was no computer entry or       |
| 18 |   | anything on that order. And of course they asked the  |
| 19 |   | usual questions, like name, date of birth             |
| 20 | Q | Sure.   |
| 21 | A | religion, next of kin, where you work, insurance,     |
| 22 |   | that type of thing. And from there, they would go to  |
| 23 |   | photo and prints and then holding for the first 12    |
| 24 |   | hours and then into the cell block after.             |
| 25 | Q | Part of the process that you've just described you    |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 12 of 76 Document 120-16 414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 11   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  |   | refer to as photo and prints. I take it that means    |
| 2  |   | what might commonly be called a mug shot, a front and |
| 3  |   | a profile view of the offender?                       |
| 4  | A | Correct.  |
| 5  | Q | And do you know what happened in terms of the storage |
| 6  |   | of those photographs?                                 |
| 7  | A | There was a file system up in the photo room. That's  |
| 8  |   | all I know. And they sat in folders or not            |
| 9  |   | folders, but  |
| 10 | Q | Holders of some sort?                                 |
| 11 | A | Like envelopes. Envelopes of some sort.               |
| 12 | Q | Okay. It sounds as though that was not anything that  |
| 13 |   | was ever within your responsibility; is that correct? |
| 14 | А | No. No.   |
| 15 | Q | That is, you didn't take the photographs, you didn't  |
| 16 |   | store the photographs, you didn't organize the        |
| 17 |   | photographs.  |
| 18 | А | No.   |
| 19 | Q | All true?   |
| 20 | А | Correct.  |
| 21 | Q | Okay. Do you recall whether or not you had any        |
| 22 |   | involvement at all in the arrest of Steven Avery or   |
| 23 |   | the gathering of evidence in his case or the          |
| 24 |   | processing of evidence in his case; in short, any     |
| 25 |   | involvement at all in the case of Steven Avery in     |

|    |   | Page 12  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | which he was charged with a sexual assault of Penny    |
| 2  |   | Beerntsen back in July of 1985?                        |
| 3  | A | I believe yes.   |
| 4  | Q | Okay. And obviously I was asking multiple questions    |
| 5  |   | there and trying to be broad because I just wanted to  |
| 6  |   | cover the area first. Can you break out for me your    |
| 7  |   | involvement and start, if you can, with the earliest   |
| 8  |   | involvement and take me right on through all of it?    |
| 9  | А | You mean leading up to the arrest or the arrest?       |
| 10 | Q | All of it.   |
| 11 | A | Okay. That evening, I would have received a phone      |
| 12 |   | call from Sheriff Kocourek informing me to arrest      |
| 13 |   | Steve Avery, to see if he was at home and arrest him   |
| 14 |   | for attempted first-degree homicide.                   |
| 15 | Q | Okay.  |
| 16 | A | As the shift commander, I would have enlisted the help |
| 17 |   | of other patrol officers. I contacted James Froelich   |
| 18 |   | and Mike Bushman, and then I did make a call to Arland |
| 19 |   | Avery to make sure Steve still lived where I thought   |
| 20 |   | he lived. And Arland had some concerns, so he asked    |
| 21 |   | us if we'd meet at his house and talk to him. So we    |
| 22 |   | did, and Arland expressed concern that if Steve        |
| 23 |   | resisted, there would you know, somebody would get     |
| 24 |   | hurt, and he asked if I would let him come along so    |
| 25 |   | that he could try and talk to Steve so that, you know, |

414-352-5450

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 14 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 13  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | everything would go smoothly.                          |
| 2  | Q | Let me interrupt you there.                            |
| 3  | А | Right.   |
| 4  | Q | Okay? And I think it'll probably be easier if we take  |
| 5  |   | this sort of chunk by chunk                            |
| 6  | A | Sure.  |
| 7  | Q | as we're going along. Let's start out with the         |
| 8  |   | telephone call from Sheriff Kocourek. Can you tell me  |
| 9  |   | what you recall of him what he said to you?            |
| 10 | A | Just that I was to go and check Steve Avery's          |
| 11 |   | residence, and if he was there to arrest him for       |
| 12 |   | attempted first-degree homicide. That's all I recall.  |
| 13 | Q | Did he tell you of whom or what the case involved?     |
| 14 |   | Did he tell you it involved, for example, a sexual     |
| 15 |   | assault? Did he mention                                |
| 16 | А | No. No.  |
| 17 | Q | the Beerntsen name, do you recall?                     |
| 18 | А | I don't know. I should have I don't know for sure,     |
| 19 |   | but I should have known that from the afternoon shift. |
| 20 | Q | Okay. And tell me why you say that, that is why you    |
| 21 |   | should have known that.                                |
| 22 | А | Because the prior shift commander would tell me what   |
| 23 |   | took place on the afternoon shift.                     |
| 24 | Q | And that would be because of your position as sergeant |
| 25 |   | on patrol?   |
| 1  |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 15 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 14  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | Right. Right.  |
| 2  | Q | So do you have any recollection as to the time of day, |
| 3  |   | roughly, when you got the call from Sheriff Kocourek?  |
| 4  | A | Oh, somewhere between 8:30 and 9:30, somewhere in      |
| 5  |   | there.   |
| 6  | Q | In the evening?  |
| 7  | A | Yes.   |
| 8  | Q | And were you still on duty then or were you at home?   |
| 9  | A | Yes. I start at 8:00 p.m.                              |
| 10 | Q | Started at 8:00 p.m. Okay. So do you know where you    |
| 11 |   | were physically?                                       |
| 12 | A | No. Don't recall.                                      |
| 13 | Q | Don't know if you were out in a car somewhere else as  |
| 14 |   | opposed to at the sheriff's department, for example?   |
| 15 | A | Correct.   |
| 16 | Q | But at some point, you do get this call. Does he tell  |
| 17 |   | you anything about any other officers that are         |
| 18 |   | involved or anything that he particularly wants done   |
| 19 |   | except the arrest of Mr. Avery? I mean, is he asking   |
| 20 |   | you to gather evidence? Is he asking you to look for   |
| 21 |   | any particular evidence?                               |
| 22 |   | MR. POLLEN: In that call.                              |
| 23 |   | MR. GLYNN: In that call.                               |
| 24 | A | No, not that I'm aware of.                             |
| 25 | Q | All right. And again, I mean, the he's not asking      |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 16 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 15   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  |   | you, for example, to gather photographs, I take it?   |
| 2  | A | No.   |
| 3  | Q | Did you ever have anything to do with gathering       |
| 4  |   | photographs from the jail for a photo showup in this  |
| 5  |   | case?   |
| 6  | А | No.   |
| 7  | Q | Did you ever hear anything about anybody who did have |
| 8  |   | that obligation?                                      |
| 9  | A | No.   |
| 10 | Q | So as you sit here today, you have no knowledge as to |
| 11 |   | who it is who gathered photographs, if that's what    |
| 12 |   | happened, from the jail to prepare a photo showup for |
| 13 |   | the victim in that case.                              |
| 14 | A | I would have no idea.                                 |
| 15 | Q | Okay. When you decide that you need to get some other |
| 16 |   | officers to help, you mentioned James freh-lik, frey- |
| 17 |   | lik [Froelich]?                                       |
| 18 | A | Froelich [frey-lik].                                  |
| 19 | Q | Could you spell that, please?                         |
| 20 | А | F-r-o-e-l-i-c-h.                                      |
| 21 | Q | Okay. And you named one other officer, and my writing |
| 22 |   | is so bad I can't even read what I wrote down.        |
| 23 | А | Michael Bushman.                                      |
| 24 | Q | Bushman. I guess that looks like Bushman on my page.  |
| 25 |   | Are these other people in the patrol division?        |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 17 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 16  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | А | They were, yes.  |
| 2  | Q | And any special reason you'd choose them?              |
| 3  | А | No.  |
| 4  | Q | Just people that were available?                       |
| 5  | A | Yes.   |
| 6  | Q | And again, you say you decided to get in touch with    |
| 7  |   | Arland Avery. And for the record, Arland Avery was     |
| 8  |   | also associated with the Manitowoc County Sheriff's    |
| 9  |   | Department, correct?                                   |
| 10 | А | Yes. He was also a patrolman on the night shift.       |
| 11 | Q | And you knew him, obviously?                           |
| 12 | А | Oh, yes.   |
| 13 | Q | And you also knew him to be a relative of Steve Avery. |
| 14 | А | Yes.   |
| 15 | Q | And that's the reason that you called him.             |
| 16 | A | Right.   |
| 17 | Q | So you had taken us through going over and talking to  |
| 18 |   | him and concerns expressed about not wanting anybody   |
| 19 |   | to get hurt in this process, and so you made a         |
| 20 |   | decision that it would make sense to have Arland Avery |
| 21 |   | come along; is that correct?                           |
| 22 | А | Yes.   |
| 23 | Q | All right. Can you pick it up from there?              |
| 24 | A | Okay. We did proceed to Steve's house, which would     |
| 25 |   | have been north of where Arland had been living. Mike  |
| 1  |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 18 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 17  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | Bushman was a canine officer, so he went to the west   |
| 2  |   | side of the residence. Jim Froelich would have         |
| 3  |   | accompanied Arland to the door, which I believe was on |
| 4  |   | the east side of the house. And I stood off to the     |
| 5  |   | well, what would I call it the northeast. And then     |
| 6  |   | we would have a view of all four sides between us. I   |
| 7  |   | know Arland knocked on the door and somebody asked who |
| 8  |   | it was.  |
| 9  | Q | Let me interrupt you, because I'm not going to I'm     |
| 10 |   | not going to take you through all the details          |
| 11 | A | Okay.  |
| 12 | Q | of that arrest. I mean, was there were there           |
| 13 |   | any other law enforcement folks there that             |
| 14 | A | No.  |
| 15 | Q | besides the folks you've identified?                   |
| 16 | А | No.  |
| 17 | Q | In particular, was Judy Dvorak at the house at any     |
| 18 |   | time when you were there?                              |
| 19 | А | No.  |
| 20 | Q | And the arrest of Mr. Avery occurred?                  |
| 21 | А | Yes.   |
| 22 | Q | He was taken into custody and excuse me, and taken     |
| 23 |   | to the jail; is that correct?                          |
| 24 | А | That's correct.  |
| 25 | Q | Were you with him when he was taken to the jail?       |
|    |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 19 of 76 Document 120-16 414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 18   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | A | I took him to the jail.                               |
| 2  | Q | You did personally.                                   |
| 3  | A | Yes.  |
| 4  | Q | And so the process that you described earlier about   |
| 5  |   | what happens when somebody is taken to the jail under |
| 6  |   | arrest, is that the process that was followed then?   |
| 7  | A | Yes.  |
| 8  | Q | Photograph taken of Mr. Avery at the time?            |
| 9  | А | Not while I was there.                                |
| 10 | Q | Okay. At what point did you turn him over to the jail |
| 11 |   | people and go somewhere else?                         |
| 12 | A | We had to collect hair pubic hair samples and         |
| 13 |   | combings, and I did that and processed that. And      |
| 14 |   | after that, I left and they finished the booking      |
| 15 |   | process.  |
| 16 | Q | Okay. When you say you had to collect these           |
| 17 | A | Yeah.   |
| 18 | Q | who told you to do that?                              |
| 19 | A | That would have come from the sheriff at some point   |
| 20 |   | also.   |
| 21 | Q | Were you in That sort of gives rise to the next       |
| 22 |   | group of questions. Were you in contact with the      |
| 23 |   | sheriff from the time of the original call to go make |
| 24 |   | the arrest until the time that you brought Mr. Avery  |
| 25 |   | to the jail?  |
|    |   |   |

# Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 20 of 76 Document 120-16

414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 19  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | No.  |
| 2  | Q | No communication in either direction?                  |
| 3  | A | Not that I recall.                                     |
| 4  | Q | Okay. How about communication by him with anyone else  |
| 5  |   | that was part of your team and                         |
| 6  | A | No.  |
| 7  | Q | the sheriff's directions being conveyed to you?        |
| 8  | A | No.  |
| 9  | Q | So when's the next time you talked to the sheriff or   |
| 10 |   | someone else talked to the sheriff and conveyed his    |
| 11 |   | comments to you?                                       |
| 12 | A | I don't recall specifically.                           |
| 13 | Q | But it obviously was sometime in connection with the   |
| 14 |   | jail for the obtaining of the                          |
| 15 | A | Yes.   |
| 16 | Q | of the hair samples, right?                            |
| 17 | A | Yes.   |
| 18 | Q | Do you recall that conversation, or do you just simply |
| 19 |   | know it must have happened because you know you        |
| 20 |   | gathered samples?                                      |
| 21 | A | It would have happened it had happened; otherwise,     |
| 22 |   | I wouldn't have gathered samples.                      |
| 23 | Q | Okay. Are you Again, I don't want to be too picky      |
| 24 |   | about this, but I'm trying to nail down the sheriff    |
| 25 |   | versus someone else. Do you know that that direction   |

414-352-5450

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 21 of 76 Document 120-16

Г

|    |   | Page 20  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | would have come from Sheriff Kocourek as opposed to,   |
| 2  |   | for example, I don't know, Kusche or anybody else that |
| 3  |   | was involved?  |
| 4  | A | That night, the only person I had any contact with was |
| 5  |   | the sheriff. There was no one else that I was aware    |
| 6  |   | of.  |
| 7  | Q | Okay. Did you ever go to the hospital where Ms.        |
| 8  |   | Beerntsen was?   |
| 9  | A | No.  |
| 10 | Q | While she was there?                                   |
| 11 | A | No.  |
| 12 | Q | Were you ever there while the sheriff was there?       |
| 13 | A | No.  |
| 14 | Q | That night, that is the night of July 29th or the      |
| 15 |   | morning of the 30th, were you ever at the hospital?    |
| 16 | A | No.  |
| 17 | Q | Okay. After you've turned Mr. Avery over to the        |
| 18 |   | jailers, what's the next thing you had to do in        |
| 19 |   | connection with this case?                             |
| 20 | A | I would go downstairs and dictate my report as to what |
| 21 |   | took place.  |
| 22 | Q | And you did that?                                      |
| 23 | А | Yes.   |
| 24 | Q | And that's done on what kind of system back in '85, do |
| 25 |   | you know?  |
| 1  |   |  |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 22 of 76 Document 120-16

414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 21   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | A | Those hand-held recorders.                            |
| 2  | Q | And then you just turn a tape over to somebody for    |
| 3  |   | transcription?  |
| 4  | A | To the secretary that does the transcribing, yes.     |
| 5  | Q | Okay. After that, what did you do?                    |
| 6  | A | I would have gone back on patrol.                     |
| 7  | Q | Anything else to do with the Avery case?              |
| 8  | A | I testified at his trial. That was all.               |
| 9  | Q | About the arrest.                                     |
| 10 | A | Yeah.   |
| 11 | Q | I'm sorry?  |
| 12 | A | I test yeah, I testified about the arrest             |
| 13 | Q | Right.  |
| 14 | A | at the trial and that was all. Yes.                   |
| 15 | Q | Yes. Having looked at your trial testimony            |
| 16 |   | transcript, I didn't see much beyond the arrest. Is   |
| 17 |   | that a fair statement in terms of your involvement in |
| 18 |   | this case?  |
| 19 | A | That's correct.                                       |
| 20 | Q | Did you have anything to do with execution of the     |
| 21 |   | search warrant?                                       |
| 22 | A | No.   |
| 23 | Q | And you weren't involved in a lineup?                 |
| 24 | A | No.   |
| 25 | Q | The let's see. Okay. Now, let's talk about            |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 23 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 22  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | instead of your own involvement in the case your       |
| 2  |   | conversation with others concerning the case back in   |
| 3  |   | the time frame of well, let's say late July of 1985    |
| 4  |   | through the trial and sentencing into early '86.       |
| 5  |   | Obviously, you would have had some conversation with   |
| 6  |   | people in the district attorney's office in            |
| 7  |   | preparation for testimony on the case, true?           |
| 8  | A | I don't recall talking to them at all.                 |
| 9  | Q | Really?  |
| 10 | A | Yeah.  |
| 11 | Q | I mean, you think you just got put on the stand cold?  |
| 12 | A | I don't know. I just don't remember talking to them    |
| 13 |   | at all.  |
| 14 | Q | All right. I take it you have testified and had        |
| 15 |   | testified in a courtroom prior to 1985 in your         |
| 16 | A | Yes. Yes.  |
| 17 | Q | position as a law enforcement officer, correct?        |
| 18 | A | Yes.   |
| 19 | Q | And was it typically the practice of Mr. Vogel at that |
| 20 |   | time to have a preparation session with a witness?     |
| 21 |   | MR. MAYER: Form of the question and                    |
| 22 |   | foundation.  |
| 23 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 24 | Q | You can answer.  |
| 25 | A | Okay. Sometimes yes, sometimes no.                     |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 24 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 23  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | So   |
| 2  | A | It would depend on the case.                           |
| 3  | Q | But as you're sitting here today, you just don't have  |
| 4  |   | any recollection of conversations with Mr. Vogel about |
| 5  |   | this case?   |
| 6  | A | Yeah, I don't have any recollection of meeting with    |
| 7  |   | him.   |
| 8  | Q | On any subject that is associated with the case?       |
| 9  | A | Right. Right.  |
| 10 | Q | That was not a good question. Let me try to narrow it  |
| 11 |   | down a little bit. You didn't talk to him in any       |
| 12 |   | effort to prepare you as a witness for your testimony  |
| 13 |   | in the trial, correct?                                 |
| 14 | A | No, I don't know.                                      |
| 15 | Q | At least you don't recall.                             |
| 16 | A | I don't recall it.                                     |
| 17 | Q | And you also don't recall and this is the part I       |
| 18 |   | want to make sure I'm understanding. You also don't    |
| 19 |   | recall any other conversations with District Attorney  |
| 20 |   | Vogel on any other aspect of the Avery/Beerntsen case; |
| 21 |   | is that true?  |
| 22 | A | That's correct.  |
| 23 | Q | Okay. Now, how about Sheriff Kocourek? Did you have    |
| 24 |   | conversations with him concerning the well, I'm        |
| 25 |   | going to refer to it as the Avery case, but it's the   |
|    |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 25 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 24  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | one that we've been discussing.                        |
| 2  | A | In what time frame?                                    |
| 3  | Q | Well, right now I'm limiting it to the up to the       |
| 4  |   | time of sentencing, so 1985 into early 1986.           |
| 5  | A | Other than, you know, the report that I dictated and   |
| 6  |   | came you know, was presented to him, no, nothing       |
| 7  |   | more than that.  |
| 8  | Q | When you say it was presented to him, was that because |
| 9  |   | he was essentially acting as the lead officer on the   |
| 10 |   | case, or was it presented to him simply because he was |
| 11 |   | sheriff and all reports went by the sheriff?           |
| 12 | A | No, it was because he was the investigator.            |
| 13 | Q | And based on your own experience in that department,   |
| 14 |   | was that a common occurrence for the sheriff to be the |
| 15 |   | lead investigator?                                     |
| 16 |   | MR. MAYER: Form of the question.                       |
| 17 | A | Occasionally.  |
| 18 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 19 | Q | Can you give me an estimate as to how many times prior |
| 20 |   | to the Avery case you had seen Mr. Kocourek act as a   |
| 21 |   | chief investigator?                                    |
| 22 | A | There were just a few times, no more than three.       |
| 23 | Q | But you think there were some times prior to that case |
| 24 |   | when that occurred?                                    |
| 25 | A | Yeah. I was only there, you know, eight hours a day.   |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 26 of 76 Document 120-16 414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 25  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | Right.   |
| 2  | A | You know, so what he did in those other hours, I don't |
| 3  |   | know.  |
| 4  | Q | But again, are you able to identify those cases for me |
| 5  |   | in any way?  |
| 6  | A | No. Not off the top of my head I can't.                |
| 7  | Q | Is there something that you have access to that would  |
| 8  |   | refresh your recollection as to what those cases were  |
| 9  |   | and in particular when they were?                      |
| 10 | A | Not that I'm aware of.                                 |
| 11 | Q | Did it strike you as unusual for the chief             |
| 12 |   | investigator on the case to be Mr. Kocourek?           |
| 13 | A | I think due to his detective background with the city, |
| 14 |   | he involved himself more. Normally, in my if I was     |
| 15 |   | to compare himself to myself, I wouldn't be involved.  |
| 16 | Q | The Make sure I understand this. It was not            |
| 17 |   | common, it was instead rare for him to be involved as  |
| 18 |   | chief investigator of a crime investigation prior to   |
| 19 |   | July 1985; is that correct?                            |
| 20 | A | That I don't know. You mean rare?                      |
| 21 | Q | Yeah. I think that's a term you used.                  |
| 22 | A | Yeah, I would say rare.                                |
| 23 | Q | Okay. But you do have a recollection that it           |
| 24 |   | happened, and it could have happened two or three      |
| 25 |   | times?   |

|    |   | Page 26  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | That's poss yes.                                       |
| 2  | Q | Prior to July of 1985?                                 |
| 3  | A | Yes.   |
| 4  | Q | But you can't remember the names of the cases or the   |
| 5  |   | particulars of any cases.                              |
| 6  | A | That's correct.  |
| 7  | Q | Can you tell me anything about the types of cases? I   |
| 8  |   | mean, were they crimes of violence as opposed to white |
| 9  | I | collar fraud types of crimes or forgery kinds of       |
| 10 |   | crimes?  |
| 11 | A | No   |
| 12 | Q | Any distinguishing factors about him?                  |
| 13 | A | No. He would I think depending on what he was          |
| 14 |   | doing at the time, you know, he'd pick and choose.     |
| 15 | Q | And that's just based on your own observations.        |
| 16 | A | Right.   |
| 17 | Q | That's not based on a conversation with him?           |
| 18 | A | I know you know, I know sheriffs today that will       |
| 19 |   | that'll do the same thing.                             |
| 20 | Q | Sure.  |
| 21 | A | But I know others that, you know, have a total hands   |
| 22 |   | off but, you know, that's a personal prerogative.      |
| 23 | Q | And in 1985, did you have any conversation with        |
| 24 |   | Sheriff Kocourek as to why it is that he was involved  |
| 25 |   | in the Avery/Beerntsen case?                           |
|    |   |  |

414-352-5450

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 28 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 27  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | No.  |
| 2  | Q | So he never expressed to you a reason for being        |
| 3  |   | involved in it.  |
| 4  | A | No.  |
| 5  | Q | Let me move the time frame up a little bit, and let's  |
| 6  |   | go into the 1995-1996 range. You are aware, are you    |
| 7  |   | not, that there were postconviction efforts brought by |
| 8  |   | Mr. Avery?   |
| 9  | A | Yes.   |
| 10 | Q | And that among the issues raised in the postconviction |
| 11 |   | motions was the possibility that some other person     |
| 12 |   | than he had been involved in the offense and in        |
| 13 |   | particular a person identified, at that stage anyway,  |
| 14 |   | only as someone coming from Sheboygan? You know about  |
| 15 |   | that?  |
| 16 | A | No.  |
| 17 | Q | Are you aware of officers in the sheriff's department  |
| 18 |   | You were sheriff by then, right?                       |
| 19 | A | No.  |
| 20 | Q | When I'm sorry.  |
| 21 | A | 2000.  |
| 22 | Q | In nine  |
| 23 | A | 2000 I was elected, took office 2001.                  |
| 24 | Q | Yeah. In '95, '96, what was your role?                 |
| 25 | A | I was the ins  |
|    |   |  |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 29 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 28  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | Were you under-sheriff then?                           |
| 2  | A | The inspector and under-sheriff.                       |
| 3  | Q | Okay. And in that role, you were essentially second    |
| 4  |   | in command of the department; is that true?            |
| 5  | A | Correct.   |
| 6  | Q | You know a detective named Kusche?                     |
| 7  | A | Yes.   |
| 8  | Q | Were you aware that Detective Kusche was gathering     |
| 9  |   | statements from a number of other detectives           |
| 10 |   | concerning their knowledge of a Sheboygan County       |
| 11 |   | suspect in the Avery matter?                           |
| 12 | A | No.  |
| 13 | Q | Did you have any involvement in the postconviction     |
| 14 |   | investigations that were going on in '95 or '96 about  |
| 15 |   | the Avery case?  |
| 16 | А | No.  |
| 17 | Q | In particular I'm going to jump ahead, but I'll        |
| 18 |   | come back to '95. You recall in 2003 when you are      |
| 19 |   | sheriff learning that there had been contact with your |
| 20 |   | sheriff's department by another law enforcement        |
| 21 |   | agency, probably the Brown County Sheriff's            |
| 22 |   | Department, concerning a person who had been arrested  |
| 23 |   | by that other law enforcement agency and contacting    |
| 24 |   | your agency? You know what I'm talking about?          |
| 25 | A | Yes, I believe so.                                     |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-352-5450 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 30 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 29  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | Okay. And this at some stage gets to involve a couple  |
| 2  |   | of other couple of other deputies I'm sorry.           |
| 3  |   | Yeah. A couple of other deputies who made written      |
| 4  |   | statements about their contact                         |
| 5  | А | Correct.   |
| 6  | Q | back in 1995, and they made those statements in        |
| 7  |   | 2003, correct?   |
| 8  | А | I believe so.  |
| 9  | Q | And I just want you, with that in mind, to let me know |
| 10 |   | whether you had any knowledge of that going on in      |
| 11 |   | 1995.  |
| 12 | А | No.  |
| 13 | Q | Are you aware of ever hearing anybody discuss that in  |
| 14 |   | 1995?  |
| 15 | A | No.  |
| 16 | Q | Now, you've seen, I take it Well, let me just show     |
| 17 |   | you what's been marked in this case as Exhibit 124 and |
| 18 |   | just ask you to take a minute and read that over.      |
| 19 | А | Okay.  |
| 20 | Q | And may I also show you what were marked earlier in    |
| 21 |   | this as Exhibit 125 and another document that has not  |
| 22 |   | yet been marked as an exhibit, which I'll give to the  |
| 23 |   | reporter.  |
| 24 |   | MR. BASCOM: And what is that, Steve?                   |
| 25 |   | (Exhibit 138 identified)                               |
|    |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 31 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 30  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | MR. GLYNN: It'll be 138 and it'll be Bates             |
| 2  |   | 5250, and it's the statement of Andrew Colborn.        |
| 3  | A | Okay.  |
| 4  | Q | And, Sheriff, I'm going to also give you what we've    |
| 5  |   | just had marked as Exhibit 138, ask you to take a      |
| 6  |   | quick look at that.                                    |
| 7  | A | Okay.  |
| 8  | Q | You recognize Exhibit 124 as a memo prepared by Doug   |
| 9  |   | Jones, an assistant D.A.?                              |
| 10 |   | MR. POLLEN: I'm going to object.                       |
| 11 |   | Foundation. I mean, it is what it is. That's           |
| 12 |   | what it says.  |
| 13 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 14 | Q | Is that what you recognize that as?                    |
| 15 | A | It would appear so.                                    |
| 16 | Q | Okay. When's the first time you saw this memo?         |
| 17 | A | Right now.   |
| 18 | Q | Okay. So let's turn to 125. What do you recognize      |
| 19 |   | that as being?   |
| 20 | A | That's one of the sheriff's department statement       |
| 21 |   | forms, and it looks like James Lenk's signature on it. |
| 22 | Q | Okay. And have you seen this document before?          |
| 23 | A | No.  |
| 24 | Q | Okay. And how about 138, which is the well, you        |
| 25 |   | tell me what it is.                                    |
| 1  |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 32 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 31  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | Yeah. That's another one of our statement forms.       |
| 2  |   | Looks like it was filled out by Andrew Colborn.        |
| 3  | Q | And again, have you seen that document before today?   |
| 4  | A | No.  |
| 5  | Q | Okay. The documents that you've looked at here, 138,   |
| 6  |   | 125, 124, all relate to the same matter, do they not?  |
| 7  | A | I believe so.  |
| 8  | Q | I mean, you've seen them now?                          |
| 9  | A | Yes.   |
| 10 | Q | And that matter has to do with the subject that we     |
| 11 |   | were discussing very briefly a few minutes ago; that   |
| 12 |   | is, a telephone call coming into the sheriff's         |
| 13 |   | department from a detective employed by a law          |
| 14 |   | enforcement agency outside Manitowoc, correct?         |
| 15 | A | Correct.   |
| 16 | Q | And that was in the '95 general time range?            |
| 17 | A | I believe so.  |
| 18 | Q | As reflected in these documents?                       |
| 19 | A | Yes.   |
| 20 | Q | Now, you had conversations in 2003 about that subject, |
| 21 |   | did you not?   |
| 22 | A | Right.   |
| 23 | Q | And you talked at the time with Sergeant Colborn?      |
| 24 | A | Yes.   |
| 25 | Q | And you talked at the time with is it just Deputy      |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 33 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 32  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | Lenk?  |
| 2  | A | It's Lieutenant Lenk.                                  |
| 3  | Q | Lieutenant Lenk. I'm sorry.                            |
| 4  | A | Yes.   |
| 5  | Q | And they told you about these events that had occurred |
| 6  |   | in 1995 as they recalled them, correct?                |
| 7  | A | Yes.   |
| 8  | Q | Do you remember who else was involved in those         |
| 9  |   | conversations between you and well, let's start        |
| 10 |   | with Mr. Colborn?                                      |
| 11 | А | No, I believe both Andy Colborn and James Lenk came to |
| 12 |   | my office at the same time.                            |
| 13 | Q | And with no one else?                                  |
| 14 | А | Correct.   |
| 15 | Q | And you were with no one else?                         |
| 16 | A | Correct.   |
| 17 | Q | So it was just the three of you?                       |
| 18 | А | Yes.   |
| 19 | Q | Okay. And you had talked about this matter of the      |
| 20 |   | 1995 telephone contact from an outside agency to the   |
| 21 |   | Manitowoc County Sheriff, correct?                     |
| 22 | A | Yes.   |
| 23 | Q | And what did they tell you? Let's start with Sergeant  |
| 24 |   | Colborn. What did he tell you had occurred?            |
| 25 | A | He said when he was working in the jail, he had        |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 34 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 33   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  |   | received a phone call I believe from a detective in   |
| 2  |   | Brown County, that he had a suspect who said that he  |
| 3  |   | had assaulted a person in Manitowoc County and        |
| 4  |   | somebody else was in prison. And that's about it. He  |
| 5  |   | said he referred it to a detective and heard nothing  |
| 6  |   | of it after that.                                     |
| 7  | Q | And I take it that that was something that you        |
| 8  |   | considered to be significant material, correct?       |
| 9  | A | Yes.  |
| 10 |   | MR. MAYER: Form of the question.                      |
| 11 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:   |
| 12 | Q | You recognize that if in fact the statement that was  |
| 13 |   | being reported by the detective in Brown County was   |
| 14 |   | accurate that someone may have been wrongfully        |
| 15 |   | convicted of something in Manitowoc County, correct?  |
| 16 | A | Correct.  |
| 17 |   | MR. COVELLI: Objection. Calls no                      |
| 18 |   | foundation.   |
| 19 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:   |
| 20 | Q | You also recognize that since neither well, since     |
| 21 |   | let's just deal with Sergeant Colborn. Since          |
| 22 |   | Sergeant Colborn had not memorialized that telephone  |
| 23 |   | call in any way, that is he had not prepared a report |
| 24 |   | concerning it, that he should now attempt to do that, |
| 25 |   | correct?  |
| I  |   |   |

|    |   | Page 34  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | I did tell him to fill out a statement and we'd put it |
| 2  |   | with the case file.                                    |
| 3  | Q | And you directed him to prepare a written report,      |
| 4  |   | right?   |
| 5  | A | Yes.   |
| 6  | Q | And do you believe that Exhibit 138 is that report?    |
| 7  | A | Yes.   |
| 8  | Q | Have you seen any other report from Sergeant Colborn   |
| 9  |   | relating to the matter that we've been discussing,     |
| 10 |   | that is the 1995 telephone call?                       |
| 11 | А | No, I haven't.   |
| 12 | Q | So, again, that's, I take it, a reason that you        |
| 13 |   | believe that Exhibit 138 is that report?               |
| 14 | А | Correct.   |
| 15 | Q | And it was more than just prepare the report. You      |
| 16 |   | wanted the report to be given back to you, correct?    |
| 17 | A | Not to me personally. It would be At that point in     |
| 18 |   | time, it would be stored in a vault, and it would have |
| 19 |   | to go back into that vault.                            |
| 20 | Q | Okay. Where is the vault?                              |
| 21 | A | First floor, right off the secretarial offices.        |
| 22 | Q | And is that a vault to which you have access?          |
| 23 | A | Oh, yes.   |
| 24 | Q | And is it a vault to which others have access?         |
| 25 | A | Yes.   |
| 1  |   |  |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 36 of 76 Document 120-16 414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 35  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | Who are the others that have access to that vault?     |
| 2  | A | Bill Beck, the records custodian; and Cathy Leist, the |
| 3  |   | business operations manager.                           |
| 4  | Q | Okay. For purposes of sort of punctuation here, is     |
| 5  |   | that Bill Beck, comma, who is the records custodian?   |
| 6  | A | Yes.   |
| 7  | Q | Okay. And this woman whose name you gave us, who is    |
| 8  |   | what's her position again?                             |
| 9  | A | She's the business operations manager.                 |
| 10 | Q | And what does she have to do with the vault?           |
| 11 | A | Well, the vault holds a number of things, you know,    |
| 12 |   | some personnel files from separated employees, that    |
| 13 |   | type of thing, and                                     |
| 14 | Q | Financial records of the department, that kind of      |
| 15 |   | thing?   |
| 16 | А | Sure. And tapes from different county departments      |
| 17 |   | that are stored in two locations, that type of stuff.  |
| 18 | Q | Okay. So other than the three of you, that is this     |
| 19 |   | person dealing with operations, this person dealing    |
| 20 |   | with is it Beck?                                       |
| 21 | A | Yes.   |
| 22 | Q | And yourself. Is there anybody else that has access    |
| 23 |   | to the safe?   |
| 24 | A | The under-sheriff.                                     |
| 25 | Q | Okay. Anybody else?                                    |
| 1  |   |  |

|    |   | Page 36  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | No, not that I believe.                                |
| 2  | Q | So it would have had to have been one of those four    |
| 3  |   | persons that would have received Sergeant Colborn's    |
| 4  |   | report to put it into the vault?                       |
| 5  | A | Correct.   |
| 6  | Q | Do you recall whether that was you?                    |
| 7  | A | No.  |
| 8  | Q | That is, you don't recall                              |
| 9  | A | I don't  |
| 10 | Q | or you know it was not you?                            |
| 11 | А | No, I don't recall.                                    |
| 12 | Q | So it may have been you is I guess what you're saying. |
| 13 | А | It could have been.                                    |
| 14 | Q | And if I were to ask you the same series of questions  |
| 15 |   | about Lieutenant Lenk's report, would your answers be  |
| 16 |   | the same?  |
| 17 | А | Correct.   |
| 18 | Q | That is, Exhibit 125 appears to be his report of that  |
| 19 |   | event that we've been discussing?                      |
| 20 | A | Yes.   |
| 21 | Q | You asked him to make a report?                        |
| 22 | A | Yes.   |
| 23 | Q | And that report ended up in the vault.                 |
| 24 | А | Yes.   |
| 25 | Q | And you know it ended up in the vault because at some  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 38 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 37  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | later point, on or about September 22, 2003, you were  |
| 2  |   | interviewed by Special Agent Debra Strauss of the      |
| 3  |   | Wisconsin Division of Criminal Investigation, correct? |
| 4  | A | I wasn't interviewed by her.                           |
| 5  | Q | Well, let me Let me get this marked.                   |
| 6  | A | I've seen that. They haven't they never                |
| 7  |   | interviewed me.  |
| 8  | Q | They didn't.   |
| 9  | A | No.  |
| 10 | Q | Okay.  |
| 11 | A | They did talk to me.                                   |
| 12 | Q | Okay.  |
| 13 | A | And they informed me what they were doing and asked    |
| 14 |   | for a report.  |
| 15 | Q | Fair enough.   |
| 16 | А | But no but no interview.                               |
| 17 | Q | Let me get this marked. Okay. Let me show you what's   |
| 18 |   | been marked  |
| 19 | А | Sure.  |
| 20 | Q | as Exhibit 139. And can you tell us what that is?      |
| 21 |   | (Exhibit 139 identified)                               |
| 22 | A | It looks like notes from Debra Strauss and yeah,       |
| 23 |   | Debra Strauss.   |
| 24 | Q | And have you seen that before?                         |
| 25 | A | Yes.   |
| 1  |   |  |

Г

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 39 of 76 Document 120-16

Г

|    |   | Page 38   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | Q | And when were you shown that and by whom?             |
| 2  | A | Yesterday. Amy Doyle.                                 |
| 3  | Q | Okay. So let me grab it back. When you're meeting     |
| 4  |   | with Amy Doyle, is she showing you this document, or  |
| 5  |   | did you have this document?                           |
| 6  | A | No, she showed me.                                    |
| 7  | Q | This document purports to be a memorialization of a   |
| 8  |   | meeting that took place between yourself and Debra    |
| 9  |   | Strauss, correct?                                     |
| 10 | A | Correct.  |
| 11 | Q | And during that meeting, she told you certain things, |
| 12 |   | correct?  |
| 13 | А | Yes.  |
| 14 | Q | She asked you certain things?                         |
| 15 | A | No, she didn't ask me anything.                       |
| 16 | Q | Well  |
| 17 | А | She mostly told she told me.                          |
| 18 | Q | She asked you for copies of documents?                |
| 19 | А | Yes.  |
| 20 | Q | Okay. You said you'd be willing to produce documents? |
| 21 | А | Yes.  |
| 22 | Q | And eventually did so?                                |
| 23 | А | Yes.  |
| 24 | Q | And this report includes a list of the documents that |
| 25 |   | you provided to her on that date, correct?            |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 40 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 39  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | А | I don't think it came to her on that date, no.         |
| 2  | Q | Whenever it is that you gave them to her.              |
| 3  | A | Correct.   |
| 4  | Q | You went over the documents that were in the vault     |
| 5  |   | with her on the date reflected in the report, correct? |
| 6  | А | I didn't go over them.                                 |
| 7  | Q | You didn't.  |
| 8  | А | No.  |
| 9  | Q | Did you take them out and show them to her then?       |
| 10 | А | No, the reports could have been pulled out at that     |
| 11 |   | point and she would have had access to look at them;   |
| 12 |   | however, before she we would have had to copy them     |
| 13 |   | if she was going to take a copy.                       |
| 14 | Q | Right.   |
| 15 | A | Yeah.  |
| 16 | Q | But my point is  |
| 17 | A | Yeah.  |
| 18 | Q | that she was allowed access to the documents in        |
| 19 |   | terms of being able to review them on the day that she |
| 20 |   | met with you, correct?                                 |
| 21 | А | I'm not sure.  |
| 22 |   | REPORTER: [Changing audiotape] Excuse me.              |
| 23 |   | Briefly pause. Please continue.                        |
| 24 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 25 | Q | If she didn't get access to them on that day, when     |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 41 of 76 Document 120-16

Г

|    |   | Page 40  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | would she have gotten access?                          |
| 2  | A | Whenever they were copied.                             |
| 3  | Q | And what was done with the copies?                     |
| 4  | A | They were given to her, whatever, sent to her. That    |
| 5  |   | you'd have to ask Bill Beck. That would have been      |
| 6  |   | something he did.                                      |
| 7  | Q | Okay. So you went to the location in the sheriff's     |
| 8  |   | office where the vault is and removed the case file    |
| 9  |   | from it; is that true?                                 |
| 10 | A | That's possible, yes.                                  |
| 11 | Q | And indicated at the time that you would be willing to |
| 12 |   | give her a copy of the documents but you'd have to get |
| 13 |   | the copies made?                                       |
| 14 | A | Correct.   |
| 15 | Q | When you gave her copies of documents, among the       |
| 16 |   | documents that were provided were the statements of    |
| 17 |   | Mr. Lenk and Mr. Colborn, correct?                     |
| 18 | A | That I wouldn't know. You'd have to ask Bill Beck.     |
| 19 | Q | Are you able to tell me what documents from the case   |
| 20 |   | file were kept in the vault?                           |
| 21 | A | Specifically, no.                                      |
| 22 | Q | Why was a decision made to keep any part of that case  |
| 23 |   | file in a vault?                                       |
| 24 | A | Because we figured we'd be retrieving it more          |
| 25 |   | frequently. Otherwise, they're kept in the basement,   |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 42 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 41  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | down in the records room.                              |
| 2  | Q | And  |
| 3  | A | That's farther away.                                   |
| 4  | Q | When was it placed in the vault?                       |
| 5  | A | Don't know. We'd have to ask Bill Beck.                |
| 6  | Q | So you yourself have no knowledge at all as to when    |
| 7  |   | that file went into the vault.                         |
| 8  | А | No.  |
| 9  | Q | And you yourself have no recollection of putting       |
| 10 |   | anything into that case file?                          |
| 11 | A | No.  |
| 12 | Q | Is that true?  |
| 13 | A | That's correct.  |
| 14 | Q | What all do you remember as being in the case file     |
| 15 |   | relating to the Avery matter that was in that vault?   |
| 16 |   | MR. POLLEN: At what time?                              |
| 17 |   | MR. GLYNN: Today.                                      |
| 18 |   | MR. POLLEN: Okay.                                      |
| 19 | A | Just the investigative reports, the envelopes of hair  |
| 20 |   | samples that were left, statements, lab reports.       |
| 21 |   | That's about all.                                      |
| 22 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 23 | Q | Is it your understanding that the entire case file was |
| 24 |   | in the vault?  |
| 25 | A | I believe so, yes.                                     |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 43 of 76 Document 120-16

414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 42  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | That is, you had never been led to believe that there  |
| 2  |   | was another part of the file that was not in the       |
| 3  |   | vault. Is that a fair statement?                       |
| 4  | A | Correct.   |
| 5  | Q | Did you ever direct anybody to put anything into the   |
| 6  |   | vault?   |
| 7  | A | In the vault?  |
| 8  | Q | Mm-hmm.  |
| 9  | A | The statements, for one thing, yeah. There was a       |
| 10 |   | letter from some inmate from Brown County Correctional |
| 11 |   | I believe that went in there.                          |
| 12 | Q | Right.   |
| 13 | A | I don't know who. But he said that Steve admitted to   |
| 14 |   | admitted to the crime, and I know the sheriff had      |
| 15 |   | left that when he left office.                         |
| 16 | Q | That is, Sheriff Kocourek had left that?               |
| 17 | A | Yes.   |
| 18 | Q | And left it where?                                     |
| 19 | A | There's a small safe in his former office that would   |
| 20 |   | have had that.   |
| 21 | Q | Well, when you became sheriff, did you find items in   |
| 22 |   | the small safe in what was now your office that        |
| 23 |   | related to the Avery matter?                           |
| 24 | А | Just that letter.                                      |
| 25 | Q | And that's the only thing that was there?              |
|    |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 44 of 76 Document 120-16 414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 43  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | А | Yes.   |
| 2  | Q | And did you then cause that letter to go from that     |
| 3  |   | safe to the larger safe where the whole file was kept? |
| 4  | A | Yes.   |
| 5  | Q | When's the first time you recall seeing any of that    |
| 6  |   | file being in the vault?                               |
| 7  | A | After Steve was released.                              |
| 8  | Q | Had you been in the vault yourself prior to that time? |
| 9  | A | Occasionally, yes.                                     |
| 10 | Q | And I take it that at that time, you did not see the   |
| 11 |   | file in the vault?                                     |
| 12 | A | No, I wasn't but I wasn't looking for it either.       |
| 13 | Q | I understand. But, I mean, it's a fairly sizeable      |
| 14 |   | file, right?   |
| 15 | A | Yeah, and it's a fairly sizeable vault.                |
| 16 | Q | Yeah, that's what I'm going to get to. I mean, is the  |
| 17 |   | vault, you know, capable of holding multiple bankers   |
| 18 |   | boxes, for example?                                    |
| 19 | A | Oh, yes.   |
| 20 | Q | Can you give me the dimensions, roughly?               |
| 21 | A | Probably twelve feet by about four feet.               |
| 22 | Q | And 12 is, what, depth?                                |
| 23 | A | Length. Or width.                                      |
| 24 | Q | Okay.  |
| 25 | A | And depth is about four feet.                          |
|    |   |  |

Г

|    |   | Page 44  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | And height?  |
| 2  | A | About eight feet.                                      |
| 3  | Q | So this is a big operation.                            |
| 4  | A | Yes.   |
| 5  | Q | And do you know in what format the Avery file was kept |
| 6  |   | at that stage? Was it in boxes or plastic bags, or     |
| 7  |   | what?  |
| 8  | A | It would have been in boxes. Probably banker boxes.    |
| 9  | Q | Okay. So you don't yourself have any knowledge as to   |
| 10 |   | whether that file was there before Mr. Avery's         |
| 11 |   | exoneration in 2003 or not.                            |
| 12 | A | No.  |
| 13 | Q | Is that a fair statement?                              |
| 14 | A | Correct.   |
| 15 | Q | All right. Then since 2003, the file was maintained    |
| 16 |   | in the vault?  |
| 17 | A | Yes.   |
| 18 | Q | At anybody's direction, or just because that's where   |
| 19 |   | it was and it was just kept there?                     |
| 20 | A | I think it's because of where it was and the           |
| 21 |   | likelihood of, you know, multiple requests on it.      |
| 22 | Q | Now, the same day that Lieutenant Lenk's report is     |
| 23 |   | prepared, which appears to be September 12th, 2003     |
| 24 | А | Mm-hmm.  |
| 25 | Q | and the same day that Sergeant Colborn's report is     |

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-352-5450 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 46 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 45  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | prepared, which again appears to be September 12th,  |
| 2  |   | 2003, is the date that you issued a memo, which I'll |
| 3  |   | have marked in a moment, but that indicated that     |
| 4  |   | employees were to make no comments concerning the    |
| 5  |   | Steven Avery case.                                   |
| 6  | A | That's correct.                                      |
| 7  | Q | Correct?   |
| 8  |   | MR. GLYNN: Can you mark this, Jeff?                  |
| 9  |   | REPORTER: Sure.                                      |
| 10 |   | MR. GLYNN: Thanks.                                   |
| 11 |   | (Exhibit 140 identified)                             |
| 12 | Q | Okay. Let me show you what's marked as Exhibit 140.  |
| 13 |   | It's a two-sentence statement. You've seen that      |
| 14 |   | before, correct?                                     |
| 15 | А | Correct.   |
| 16 | Q | Is that something you went over yesterday with Ms.   |
| 17 |   | Doyle?   |
| 18 | А | No.  |
| 19 | Q | By the way, what documents did you go over with Ms.  |
| 20 |   | Doyle yesterday?                                     |
| 21 | A | My testimony at his trial.                           |
| 22 | Q | Anything else?                                       |
| 23 | A | Not that I'm aware of, no.                           |
| 24 | Q | Yeah. How about how about                            |
| 25 | A | That other thing you showed me from                  |

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 47 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 46  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | How about this DCI report?                             |
| 2  | A | That. Yeah, that would be it.                          |
| 3  | Q | Okay. So the only two things that you went over with   |
| 4  |   | her were a transcript of your trial testimony and a    |
| 5  |   | copy of the Exhibit 139, which is the DCI report,      |
| 6  |   | correct?   |
| 7  | A | Correct.   |
| 8  | Q | So can you tell me the circumstances that led up to    |
| 9  |   | the making of 140?                                     |
| 10 | A | Corp counsel advised us not to talk about any of those |
| 11 |   | things.  |
| 12 | Q | When did that happen?                                  |
| 13 | A | That would have been about the time Steve was          |
| 14 |   | released. So if this was September 12th, it would      |
| 15 |   | have been that day or so.                              |
| 16 | Q | The document was not prepared, then, in any connection |
| 17 |   | at all with Exhibits 125 and 138?                      |
| 18 | A | No.  |
| 19 | Q | Just coincidence in terms of timing?                   |
| 20 | A | Correct.   |
| 21 | Q | And did you get a written direction from the corp      |
| 22 |   | counsel on that?                                       |
| 23 | А | No.  |
| 24 | Q | Just an oral comment?                                  |
| 25 | A | Right.   |
|    |   |  |

# Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 48 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 47   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | Q | And was this his decision, that is that news releases |
| 2  |   | are going to be issued either by you or by Inspector  |
| 3  |   | Hermann, or was that your decision?                   |
| 4  | A | No, that would be my decision, if there were any.     |
| 5  | Q | Okay. That is, you're not suggesting that you were    |
| 6  |   | directed by corp counsel to make that statement.      |
| 7  | A | No.   |
| 8  | Q | Let's talk about other conversations concerning the   |
| 9  |   | Avery/Beerntsen matter with Tom Kocourek. When I      |
| 10 |   | asked you earlier about such conversations, you asked |
| 11 |   | me what time frame, and I said, well, we were talking |
| 12 |   | about then back in 1985. Now I want to expand that    |
| 13 |   | time frame to include any time. Have you had          |
| 14 |   | conversations about the Avery/Beerntsen matter with   |
| 15 |   | Tom Kocourek?   |
| 16 | A | Yes.  |
| 17 | Q | Can you tell me when and what the subject matters     |
| 18 |   | were?   |
| 19 | А | Well, the other time would have been when he was      |
| 20 |   | leaving office and he told me that statement was in   |
| 21 |   | the one safe. And then after the lawsuit started.     |
| 22 | Q | This lawsuit?   |
| 23 | A | Yes.  |
| 24 | Q | Mm-hmm.   |
| 25 | A | We had brief conversation on if Bergner had told him  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 49 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 48  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | anything, and he told me he didn't remember talking to |
| 2  |   | Bergner and that Bergner he didn't recall Bergner      |
| 3  |   | talking to him, and that's about that was about it.    |
| 4  |   | There wasn't a whole lot more.                         |
| 5  | Q | So it sounds like there are two conversations after    |
| 6  |   | 1985 between yourself and Mr. Kocourek concerning the  |
| 7  |   | Avery matter. Am I right about that?                   |
| 8  | A | Right. I think he he mentioned also about the          |
| 9  |   | appeals that had failed, and I think that's about all. |
| 10 | Q | Do you know when that statement was made about the     |
| 11 |   | appeals that had failed?                               |
| 12 | A | About the same time that he had talked about the       |
| 13 |   | Bergner statements.                                    |
| 14 | Q | And that's when he's leaving office?                   |
| 15 | A | No, that was   |
| 16 | Q | Oh, I'm sorry. That's when the civil case was filed.   |
| 17 | A | That was after That was after the civil case was       |
| 18 |   | filed.   |
| 19 | Q | Okay. Well, so at the time the civil case is filed,    |
| 20 |   | that's Well, actually, let me back up. Let's go to     |
| 21 |   | the first comments when he's leaving office. He tells  |
| 22 |   | you about what's in the sheriff's safe in his office,  |
| 23 |   | now your office.                                       |
| 24 | A | Correct.   |
| 25 | Q | Is that right?   |
| 1  |   |  |

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-352-5450 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 50 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 49   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | A | Right.  |
| 2  | Q | Anything else about that that you recall?             |
| 3  | A | No.   |
| 4  | Q | Any other conversation about the Avery matter?        |
| 5  | A | No.   |
| 6  | Q | Did he tell you why he had it in the vault?           |
| 7  | A | No, he said somebody from some inmate from Brown      |
| 8  |   | County sent it to him. That's all I know.             |
| 9  | Q | So he said it came to him directly from an inmate?    |
| 10 | A | No, he didn't. I don't know where it if it came       |
| 11 |   | direct or where it came from. All I know is it was    |
| 12 |   | there.  |
| 13 | Q | Okay. So he didn't tell you how he got it.            |
| 14 | A | No.   |
| 15 | Q | Or why it was there as opposed to being in the vault. |
| 16 | A | Correct.  |
| 17 | Q | That is, the bigger vault.                            |
| 18 | A | Correct.  |
| 19 | Q | Did he tell you that it had been shared with anybody? |
| 20 | A | I think Beerntsens.                                   |
| 21 | Q | And this is this is certainly before Mr. Avery had    |
| 22 |   | been exonerated in this case.                         |
| 23 | A | Correct.  |
| 24 | Q | Do you know if it was before the motions to get a new |
| 25 |   | DNA test had been filed?                              |

Г

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 51 of 76 Document 120-16

414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 50  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | I believe.   |
| 2  | Q | That is, you think it was before that?                 |
| 3  | А | Yes.   |
| 4  | Q | So to your knowledge, was there any legal action       |
| 5  |   | pending relating to the Avery case at the time that    |
| 6  |   | Sheriff Kocourek and you or ex-Sheriff Kocourek and    |
| 7  |   | you had the conversation about the inmate document     |
| 8  |   | that was in that little vault?                         |
| 9  | A | Not that I'm aware of.                                 |
| 10 | Q | Did you take a look at the document, the document from |
| 11 |   | the inmate?  |
| 12 | A | I believe I did.                                       |
| 13 | Q | And if it'll refresh your recollection, let me give    |
| 14 |   | you back Exhibit 139 and invite your attention to the  |
| 15 |   | second paragraph from the bottom that begins by saying |
| 16 |   | "The first document."                                  |
| 17 | A | Okay. That would be                                    |
| 18 | Q | You think that's it?                                   |
| 19 | A | It could be. It's in the right time frame.             |
| 20 | Q | Okay. You're not aware of anything more than one       |
| 21 |   | affidavit from some well, you know the term            |
| 22 |   | "jailhouse snitch"?                                    |
| 23 | A | Mm-hmm.  |
| 24 | Q | You ever heard that term? That's a fair term for Mr.   |
| 25 |   | Lucero?  |

|    |   | 1  |
|----|---|--|
|    |   | Page 51  |
| 1  |   | MR. MAYER: Form of the question.                       |
| 2  |   | MR. COVELLI: Objection. No foundation.                 |
| 3  |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 4  | Q | Correct?   |
| 5  | A | I suppose.   |
| 6  | Q | Okay. And this is not the first docu the first         |
| 7  |   | accusation you've ever heard made by a jailhouse       |
| 8  |   | snitch?  |
| 9  | A | Correct.   |
| 10 |   | MR. BASCOM: Object to the form.                        |
| 11 |   | MR. MAYER: Object to relevance.                        |
| 12 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 13 | Q | And is this the first is this the only affidavit       |
| 14 |   | that you're aware of that comes from a person in the   |
| 15 |   | category of being a jailhouse snitch about Mr. Avery?  |
| 16 |   | MR. MAYER: Form of the question.                       |
| 17 |   | MR. COVELLI: Objection to form.                        |
| 18 | A | Yes.   |
| 19 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 20 | Q | Okay. So there is no other similar affidavit that you  |
| 21 |   | ever saw that relates to Mr. Avery, correct?           |
| 22 | A | Correct.   |
| 23 | Q | And obviously, you now know from the DNA analysis and  |
| 24 |   | the order of the court that the particular allegations |
| 25 |   | made by this person are just plain false, correct?     |
|    |   |  |

414-352-5450

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 53 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 52  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | MR. POLLEN: Object to the form.                        |
| 2  |   | Foundation. From this witness, speculation. Go         |
| 3  |   | ahead, sir, if you know.                               |
| 4  | A | I don't know.  |
| 5  |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 6  | Q | You don't know. That is, you think it's possible that  |
| 7  |   | Mr. Avery committed I'm sorry, said he committed       |
| 8  |   | the offense when he didn't.                            |
| 9  |   | MR. COVELLI: Objection to form.                        |
| 10 | A | I'd have no idea.                                      |
| 11 | Q | That is because you weren't there when it happened.    |
| 12 | A | Right.   |
| 13 | Q | So you don't have firsthand knowledge. But as          |
| 14 |   | somebody with the law enforcement experience that you  |
| 15 |   | have, do you have any reason at all to believe that    |
| 16 |   | there is any truth whatsoever in the statement made by |
| 17 |   | Mr. Lucero?  |
| 18 |   | MR. COVELLI: Objection. Foundation.                    |
| 19 |   | MR. MAYER: Yeah.                                       |
| 20 |   | MR. COVELLI: And form of the question.                 |
| 21 |   | MR. MAYER: Asking him to speculate.                    |
| 22 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 23 | Q | You're allowed to answer the question.                 |
| 24 | A | No.  |
| 25 | Q | Okay. Now, with respect to the second conversations    |
|    |   |  |

|    |   | Page 53  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | with Sheriff Kocourek, again at that stage ex-Sheriff  |
| 2  |   | Kocourek, these happened in conjunction with, well,    |
| 3  |   | the early stages of the civil case in which you're a   |
| 4  |   | witness here today; is that right?                     |
| 5  | A | Correct.   |
| 6  | Q | And are you able to pin it down any more than that?    |
| 7  | A | No.  |
| 8  | Q | Did you make any memos about it or take any notes or   |
| 9  |   | have a calendar that says "meeting with Tom Kocourek"  |
| 10 |   | or anything like that?                                 |
| 11 | A | No.  |
| 12 | Q | What causes you to relate it to the civil case filing? |
| 13 | A | Well, he would have stopped in the office and the      |
| 14 |   | subject would have come up.                            |
| 15 | Q | Was that the first time he had stopped in the office   |
| 16 |   | since he left?   |
| 17 | А | Oh, no. No, he's been in numerous times.               |
| 18 | Q | And had he ever discussed the Avery case on those      |
| 19 |   | stoppings-in at the office?                            |
| 20 | A | No. No. Not with me.                                   |
| 21 | Q | So this is the first time that he's back in the        |
| 22 |   | sheriff's department discussing the Avery case with    |
| 23 |   | you, true?   |
| 24 | A | I don't believe he came to discuss the Avery case. He  |
| 25 |   | was there for some reason, but the subject came up but |

414-352-5450

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 55 of 76 Document 120-16

### Page 54 1 that wasn't the main purpose of his visit. 2 No, but he was in your office discussing the Avery Q 3 case. 4 Α Yes. 5 And that was the first time that it happened, correct? Ο 6 Since he left office. 7 I believe so, yes. Α 8 And when he had this discussion with you, what can you Ο 9 recall him saying? 10 А I believe it was mostly referring to Bergner and his 11 statement that he had called him and told him about 12 Allen, and Sheriff said he had no recollection of a 13 call from Bergner and he didn't know of Allen. 14 Did he say that this was something that was being Q 15 discussed in the media? I mean, did you know who Greq 16 Allen was then? 17 Α No. 18 Did you know what he was talking about, what Kocourek Q 19 was talking about? 20 I knew who Allen was at the time of the conversation. А 21 The first --22 That is, whene-- in -- whenever the conversation was Q 23 that you're now describing. 24 Did I know who Allen was. Α 25 By that point, you knew who Greg Allen was. Q

### Video Deposition of Kenneth Petersen 10/13/05

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters

Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 56 of 76 Document 120-16

414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 55  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | Yes.   |
| 2  | Q | I take it you knew who Greg Allen was at least as far  |
| 3  |   | back as September of 2003, correct, which is when the  |
| 4  |   | exoneration took place?                                |
| 5  | A | That's when I knew about Greg Allen, yes.              |
| 6  | Q | And prior to that time, had you known anything about   |
| 7  |   | Gregory Allen?   |
| 8  | A | Never heard of him.                                    |
| 9  | Q | Ever heard the name, ever had any interaction with him |
| 10 |   | that you know of?                                      |
| 11 | A | No.  |
| 12 | Q | So in 2003, you learned that one of the things that's  |
| 13 |   | happened is that not only did Mr. Avery not commit the |
| 14 |   | crime that he had been convicted of and incarcerated   |
| 15 |   | for but there was pretty solid evidence that somebody  |
| 16 |   | else had done it, correct, and in particular Gregory   |
| 17 |   | Allen had done it, true?                               |
| 18 | A | Correct.   |
| 19 | Q | And did you at that stage do anything in your          |
| 20 |   | department to try to figure out how that had happened? |
| 21 | A | No.  |
| 22 | Q | Did you conduct any internal investigation of your own |
| 23 |   | department to see how this had taken place?            |
| 24 | A | No. None of the people that were involved in the case  |
| 25 |   | in the investigative part were there.                  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 57 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 56  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | So does that mean that you had considered conducting   |
| 2  |   | an investigation, but then decided after you had       |
| 3  |   | identified the people that were involved and learned   |
| 4  |   | that they were no longer there that there would be no  |
| 5  |   | point in the investigation?                            |
| 6  | A | Correct.   |
| 7  | Q | But that is a mental process you went through; that    |
| 8  |   | is, you considered conducting an investigation, you    |
| 9  |   | determined the identities of the people who were       |
| 10 |   | involved, you determined their present connections     |
| 11 |   | with the sheriff's department, you learned that they   |
| 12 |   | were no longer with the sheriff's department, and then |
| 13 |   | decided there would be no investigation.               |
| 14 |   | MR. MAYER: Form of the question.                       |
| 15 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 16 | Q | Is that true?  |
| 17 | A | Correct.   |
| 18 | Q | When did you go through that process?                  |
| 19 | A | It would have been about the same time the district    |
| 20 |   | attorney told me that Steve was being released.        |
| 21 | Q | And did you discuss that with District Attorney        |
| 22 |   | Rohrer?  |
| 23 | А | No.  |
| 24 | Q | He's the district attorney who told you that, I take   |
| 25 |   | it, correct?   |
|    |   |  |

|    |   | Page 57  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | Correct.   |
| 2  | Q | Did you discuss it with anybody in the district        |
| 3  |   | attorney's office?                                     |
| 4  | A | No.  |
| 5  | Q | Did you discuss it with anybody on the Manitowoc       |
| 6  |   | County Board?  |
| 7  | A | No.  |
| 8  | Q | Discuss it with anybody in the world?                  |
| 9  | A | No.  |
| 10 | Q | Make any memos about it?                               |
| 11 | А | No.  |
| 12 | Q | Okay. So now we're a little later in time, a civil     |
| 13 |   | case has been filed, and we're back on the scene of    |
| 14 |   | Tom Kocourek being in your office discussing with you  |
| 15 |   | the Avery case for the first time since he left        |
| 16 |   | office. Right?   |
| 17 | A | Correct.   |
| 18 | Q | And at that time, he brings up the name of Tom Bergner |
| 19 |   | and the name of Gregory Allen; is that right?          |
| 20 | А | I believe so.  |
| 21 | Q | I mean, or did you ask him about it? Did you bring up  |
| 22 |   | the Bergner thing?                                     |
| 23 | А | No. Don't recall how it came up.                       |
| 24 | Q | You were aware by that point in time that a person     |
| 25 |   | from the Manitowoc Police Department named Bergner had |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 59 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 58  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | stated that he had gone to the sheriff's office and    |
| 2  |   | talked with Mr. Kocourek about Gregory Allen, correct? |
| 3  |   | MR. COVELLI: Objection to the form.                    |
| 4  | A | I learned that through the grapevine. I didn't hear    |
| 5  |   | that directly from Bergner.                            |
| 6  |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 7  | Q | Okay. I mean, but I take it the grapevine would        |
| 8  |   | include, for example, the attorney general's report of |
| 9  |   | its investigation concerning this case, true?          |
| 10 | A | Yes.   |
| 11 | Q | Because certainly you read that report, right?         |
| 12 | А | Yes.   |
| 13 | Q | And you're aware that that report reflects the fact    |
| 14 |   | that Mr. Bergner stated that he had gone to Mr.        |
| 15 |   | Kocourek and talked to him.                            |
| 16 | А | Right.   |
| 17 | Q | About Mr. Allen, and in particular Mr. Allen being a   |
| 18 |   | live and likely suspect in the Beerntsen assault,      |
| 19 |   | correct?   |
| 20 | А | Correct.   |
| 21 | Q | Okay. So when Mr. Kocourek had this conversation with  |
| 22 |   | you about Mr. Allen in your office, this wasn't news   |
| 23 |   | to you; that is, this wasn't the first time you had    |
| 24 |   | ever heard Mr. Allen being identified as a suspect or  |
| 25 |   | the assertion that Mr. Bergner said he had talked to   |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 60 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 59   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  |   | Mr. Kocourek, right?                                  |
| 2  | A | Correct.  |
| 3  | Q | Did you ask Mr. Kocourek whether Mr. Bergner had ever |
| 4  |   | come to talk to him?                                  |
| 5  | A | No, he  |
| 6  | Q | Or did he just  |
| 7  | A | That's what he told me.                               |
| 8  | Q | say that to you?                                      |
| 9  | А | Correct.  |
| 10 | Q | Okay. He brought up the subject, and he said it       |
| 11 |   | didn't happen.  |
| 12 | А | I don't know  |
| 13 | Q | At least that he didn't recall it happening.          |
| 14 | А | I don't know who brought up the subject, but he       |
| 15 |   | doesn't remember talking to Bergner, or he didn't.    |
| 16 | Q | Well, when you say you don't know who brought up the  |
| 17 |   | subject, did you ask him whether he had had the       |
| 18 |   | conversation with Bergner?                            |
| 19 | А | I don't know. I don't recall.                         |
| 20 | Q | So you may have.                                      |
| 21 | А | It's possible.  |
| 22 | Q | And when he said that, what was his demeanor?         |
| 23 |   | MR. BASCOM: Object to the form.                       |
| 24 | А | He was fine, normal.                                  |
| 25 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:   |

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 61 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 60  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | Just matter of fact?                                   |
| 2  | А | Yes.   |
| 3  | Q | This has been said, but it didn't happen?              |
| 4  |   | MR. POLLEN: Object to form.                            |
| 5  |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 6  | Q | That's all?  |
| 7  | А | Correct.   |
| 8  | Q | And did you tell him he should do anything about that? |
| 9  | А | No.  |
| 10 | Q | Did you suggest that he might want to take some action |
| 11 |   | based on that?   |
| 12 | А | No.  |
| 13 | Q | Did you ask him if he had told anybody else that he    |
| 14 |   | had not had any recollection of Mr. Bergner talking to |
| 15 |   | him?   |
| 16 | А | No.  |
| 17 | Q | Had you seen any public statements attributed to Tom   |
| 18 |   | Kocourek in which he said he had said that he had      |
| 19 |   | no recollection of Mr. Bergner speaking with him prior |
| 20 |   | to your conversation with him?                         |
| 21 | А | Not that I recall.                                     |
| 22 | Q | Did you at any time after your conversation with Mr.   |
| 23 |   | Kocourek see anything in the media in which Mr.        |
| 24 |   | Kocourek asserted that he had not spoken with Mr.      |
| 25 |   | Bergner about Mr. Allen?                               |

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-352-5450 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 62 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 61  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | Not that I recall.                                     |
| 2  | Q | So did you do anything in response to that statement   |
| 3  |   | by Mr. Kocourek?                                       |
| 4  | A | No.  |
| 5  | Q | Again, did you memorialize it in any way?              |
| 6  | A | No.  |
| 7  | Q | Did you report it to anyone?                           |
| 8  | A | No.  |
| 9  | Q | Did you, for example, tell District Attorney Rohrer    |
| 10 |   | that that's what had been told to you by Mr. Kocourek? |
| 11 | A | No.  |
| 12 | Q | Anyone else in his office?                             |
| 13 | A | No.  |
| 14 | Q | Did you tell anybody at all in the world, that         |
| 15 | A | No.  |
| 16 | Q | you recall? I'm sorry?                                 |
| 17 | A | No.  |
| 18 | Q | Okay. So in Again, did you have any conversation       |
| 19 |   | yourself with Mr. Bergner on this subject?             |
| 20 | A | He did call me on the phone one day.                   |
| 21 | Q | Okay. And can you tell me when that was?               |
| 22 | А | No, it would have been sometime after the fact.        |
| 23 | Q | After which fact?                                      |
| 24 | А | After all this.  |
| 25 | Q | After the conversation with Mr. Kocourek               |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 63 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 62  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | А | Right.   |
| 2  | Q | for example?   |
| 3  | A | Right.   |
| 4  | Q | That we've just been discussing?                       |
| 5  | А | Yeah.  |
| 6  | Q | Okay. Can you tell me what he said when he called?     |
| 7  | A | He said that there were some statements being          |
| 8  |   | attributed to himself that he didn't make.             |
| 9  | Q | Okay.  |
| 10 | А | But he didn't elaborate on what they were.             |
| 11 | Q | Did you ask him to elaborate?                          |
| 12 | А | No.  |
| 13 | Q | Did he say anything more about it?                     |
| 14 | А | No.  |
| 15 | Q | Did you ask him anything more about it?                |
| 16 | А | No.  |
| 17 | Q | Did he say that these were statements relating even to |
| 18 |   | the Avery case?  |
| 19 | А | They related to Allen, Gregory Allen.                  |
| 20 | Q | Okay. So he calls you and says that there are some     |
| 21 |   | statements being attributed to him that relate to      |
| 22 |   | Gregory Allen, and he didn't make                      |
| 23 | A | He said  |
| 24 | Q | any of those statements?                               |
| 25 | A | He said he no, he just said he didn't make them.       |
|    |   |  |

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 64 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 63  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | So   |
| 2  | Q | He didn't make them.                                   |
| 3  | A | But when you deal with the news media, that's not      |
| 4  |   | unusual.   |
| 5  | Q | And did he identify the statements for you in any      |
| 6  |   | regard at all?   |
| 7  | A | No.  |
| 8  | Q | And you didn't ask him                                 |
| 9  | A | No.  |
| 10 | Q | what statements.                                       |
| 11 | A | No.  |
| 12 | Q | Did you ask him why he was calling you?                |
| 13 | A | No.  |
| 14 | Q | Did he say why he was calling you?                     |
| 15 | A | I think he was questioning the statements. I think     |
| 16 |   | there was a news report out sometime prior that he was |
| 17 |   | upset about.   |
| 18 | Q | And did he identify that news report?                  |
| 19 | A | No.  |
| 20 | Q | So you believe that he was upset about the news        |
| 21 |   | report, even though he didn't say that.                |
| 22 | A | Yes.   |
| 23 | Q | What caused you to have that belief?                   |
| 24 | A | Because he denied saying whatever the news report was  |
| 25 |   | attributing to him.                                    |

|    |   | Page 64  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | And you never asked him why it is that he felt a need  |
| 2  |   | to talk to you about that.                             |
| 3  | A | No.  |
| 4  | Q | As opposed to someone else.                            |
| 5  | A | No.  |
| 6  | Q | Did you ever talk to him in person                     |
| 7  | A | No.  |
| 8  | Q | about that subject?                                    |
| 9  | A | No.  |
| 10 | Q | When you spoke with him by phone, do you know where he |
| 11 |   | was calling you from? Did he say anything?             |
| 12 | A | No.  |
| 13 | Q | Did he indicate that he'd like to get together and     |
| 14 |   | talk about this?                                       |
| 15 | А | No.  |
| 16 | Q | Did you indicate that?                                 |
| 17 | A | No. No.  |
| 18 | Q | So the Did he say anything, by the way, at that        |
| 19 |   | time about whether or not he had yet been interviewed  |
| 20 |   | by agents from the Wisconsin Department of Justice?    |
| 21 | А | No, he didn't.   |
| 22 | Q | Did he say that he had been interviewed by a newspaper |
| 23 |   | reporter from the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel?          |
| 24 | А | No.  |
| 25 | Q | Did he by any chance mention a reporter whose first    |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 66 of 76 Document 120-16

### Page 65 1 name is Tom? Well, did he name any reporter at all? 2 А No. No. 3 Okay. Name Kircher ring any bells with you --Ο 4 А No. 5 -- as being something that he had discussed? 0 6 А No. 7 Did he say anything about having had conversations Q 8 with other people including people in the district 9 attorney's office? 10 А No. 11 Have you now exhausted your recollection of everything Ο 12 he said to you and you said to him during this 13 conversation concerning Gregory Allen? 14 Yes. А 15 (Counsel conferring off the record 1:15:58 - 1:16:08) 16 You've had conversations, I take it, with Mike 0 17 Griesbach about the Avery case, correct? 18 Not that I recall. А 19 Q How about with Mark Rohrer? 20 А Yes. 21 Do you recall Mike Griesbach being present when you Q 22 had conversations with Mark Rohrer? 23 No, he may have been with him, but I'm not sure. Α 24 Tell me what you can recall about your first Q 25 conversation with Mark Rohrer relating to the Avery

### Video Deposition of Kenneth Petersen 10/13/05

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters

Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 67 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 66   |
|----|---|---|
| 1  |   | case.   |
| 2  | A | Well, Mark came to my office and told me that DNA     |
| 3  |   | evidence had come back and showed that the DNA        |
| 4  |   | belonged to a Gregory Allen, and that he was going to |
| 5  |   | contact the courts and see about getting Steve        |
| 6  |   | released.   |
| 7  | Q | And that was before, obviously, Steve was released,   |
| 8  |   | true?   |
| 9  | А | That's correct.                                       |
| 10 | Q | And it was before the court order for his release?    |
| 11 | A | Mm-hmm.   |
| 12 | Q | What he was expressing to you was I guess what could  |
| 13 |   | be called inside information; that is, it had not yet |
| 14 |   | been placed on the public record?                     |
| 15 | А | Correct.  |
| 16 | Q | Letting you know that something was coming and you    |
| 17 |   | should be aware of it.                                |
| 18 | A | Right.  |
| 19 | Q | What was your reaction to that?                       |
| 20 | A | Well, if he's innocent, let him out.                  |
| 21 | Q | Okay. And did you and Mr. Rohrer have any discussions |
| 22 |   | about what would happen within your department        |
| 23 |   | relating to the case?                                 |
| 24 | A | No.   |
| 25 | Q | Did you have any discussion with Mr. Rohrer about the |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 68 of 76 Document 120-16 414-352-5450

|    |   | Page 67  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | timing of what was to follow?                          |
| 2  | A | No.  |
| 3  | Q | And how long it would take for the court process to go |
| 4  |   | on or when he'd be out or anything like that?          |
| 5  | A | No.  |
| 6  | Q | Any discussion at that point about comments with the   |
| 7  |   | media?   |
| 8  | A | No.  |
| 9  | Q | Was this a face-to-face conversation?                  |
| 10 | A | Yes.   |
| 11 | Q | And what did you understand from the comments made to  |
| 12 |   | you by Mr. Rohrer to be the purpose of that            |
| 13 | A | To let me know   |
| 14 | Q | face-to-face meeting?                                  |
| 15 | A | To let me know it was coming, that this was coming     |
| 16 |   | down the pike and that there would probably be news    |
| 17 |   | media knocking at the door.                            |
| 18 | Q | About how long was the whole conversation? Couple      |
| 19 |   | minutes, or was it longer?                             |
| 20 | A | Not much more. Five, ten minutes.                      |
| 21 | Q | How about after that? Did you have other               |
| 22 |   | conversations with Mr. Rohrer concerning the Avery     |
| 23 |   | case?  |
| 24 | A | Not that I can recall.                                 |
| 25 | Q | So except for this one conversation that was, you      |

|    |   | Page 68  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | know, five to ten minutes long, you don't recall any   |
| 2  |   | conversations with him concerning the Avery case.      |
| 3  | A | No.  |
| 4  | Q | Is that so?  |
| 5  | A | Correct.   |
| 6  | Q | And do you not recall, then, any conversations with    |
| 7  |   | him concerning, for example, this business with        |
| 8  |   | Sergeant Colborn and Lieutenant Lenk?                  |
| 9  | A | I don't recall that.                                   |
| 10 | Q | Do you recall any conversations with Mr. Rohrer or Mr. |
| 11 |   | Griesbach about Mr. Allen?                             |
| 12 | A | Other than the D.A. telling me that the DNA was        |
| 13 |   | attributed to Gregory Allen, that would be it.         |
| 14 | Q | In that very first conversation.                       |
| 15 | А | Right.   |
| 16 | Q | The only one that you can recall now.                  |
| 17 | А | Right.   |
| 18 | Q | Okay. So as you're sitting here and as we've gone      |
| 19 |   | through this discussion, you have no recollection of   |
| 20 |   | any meetings or conversations, either face to face or  |
| 21 |   | telephone or through any other format, between         |
| 22 |   | yourself and Mr. Rohrer except the one that you've     |
| 23 |   | described that occurred before Mr. Avery was released. |
| 24 |   | Is that true?  |
| 25 | А | Correct.   |

|    |   | Page 69  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | Q | And the same is true with respect to Mr. Griesbach?    |
| 2  | A | Correct.   |
| 3  | Q | Let's turn to Denis Vogel for a moment. Do you recall  |
| 4  |   | having any conversations with him from well, for       |
| 5  |   | the moment, let's say from September of 2003 to the    |
| 6  |   | present?   |
| 7  | A | I haven't  |
| 8  | Q | Concerning the Avery case.                             |
| 9  | A | I haven't talked to Denis Vogel since he left office.  |
| 10 | Q | Okay. How about before he left office? You indicated   |
| 11 |   | you do not recall being prepped before your testimony  |
| 12 |   | with him. Do you recall any conversation with him at   |
| 13 |   | all  |
| 14 | A | None.  |
| 15 | Q | about this case?                                       |
| 16 | A | None whatsoever.                                       |
| 17 | Q | Did you ever have any conversation with anybody in his |
| 18 |   | office concerning a belief about Gregory Allen being   |
| 19 |   | the suspect, being the person who actually assaulted   |
| 20 |   | Ms. Beerntsen?   |
| 21 | A | No.  |
| 22 |   | (Counsel conferring off the record 1:21:17 - 1:21:48)  |
| 23 |   | REPORTER: Go off the record briefly?                   |
| 24 |   | MR. GLYNN: Yeah. Please.                               |
| 25 |   | REPORTER: Off the record.                              |
|    |   |  |

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 71 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 70  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | (Off the record 1:21 - 1:23)                           |
| 2  |   | REPORTER: Back on the record.                          |
| 3  |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 4  | Q | Okay. Let me follow up on a few things. Steve Avery    |
| 5  |   | is taken to the jail, turned over for prints and       |
| 6  |   | pictures. Do you know to whom?                         |
| 7  | A | I believe Vic Buretta.                                 |
| 8  | Q | And can you spell that name?                           |
| 9  | A | B-u-r-e-t-t-a.   |
| 10 | Q | Okay. And he was a jailer at that time?                |
| 11 | А | Correct.   |
| 12 | Q | Second, you also indicated that you had to collect     |
| 13 |   | pubic hair and other evidentiary samples. I take it    |
| 14 |   | that was just from Mr. Avery?                          |
| 15 | A | Yes.   |
| 16 | Q | Again, that was nothing that was not anything that     |
| 17 |   | had you involved with Ms. Beerntsen.                   |
| 18 | А | Correct.   |
| 19 | Q | Next, there was a prior shift commander who had given  |
| 20 |   | you some information, you believe, on July 29th, 2005. |
| 21 |   | Would that have been Mr. Beilke?                       |
| 22 | A | No, Mr. Beck.  |
| 23 | Q | Mr. Beck. Okay.  |
| 24 |   | MR. POLLEN: You can even read his writing.             |
| 25 |   | I'm impressed [re Mr. Glynn reading Mr. Kelly's        |

414-352-5450

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 72 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 71  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | notes].  |
| 2  |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 3  | Q | There was a point at which in your conversations with  |
| 4  |   | Mr. Lenk and Sergeant Colborn they indicated that      |
| 5  |   | well, actually, I guess to be more precise, it's       |
| 6  |   | Sergeant Colborn who indicated that he had directed    |
| 7  |   | the Brown County caller to a detective. Do you recall  |
| 8  |   | who that detective was?                                |
| 9  | A | No idea.   |
| 10 | Q | And the You made a comment during the examination      |
| 11 |   | about learning about the Bergner statement to Kocourek |
| 12 |   | through what you described as the grapevine. Can you   |
| 13 |   | be any more specific than that? I mean, do you know    |
| 14 |   | who it is that made a comment, or is it from the news  |
| 15 |   | media or just what your source of information was?     |
| 16 | A | Believe the news media.                                |
| 17 | Q | And are you somebody who is a news hound and covers    |
| 18 |   | print media and electronic media and radio and all     |
| 19 |   | that stuff, or do you get all your news information    |
| 20 |   | from one source generally?                             |
| 21 | A | Oh, no.  |
| 22 | Q | Okay. What would be your media sources back in 2003?   |
| 23 | A | Newspaper, radio, and TV.                              |
| 24 | Q | So I take it you're not able to tell me which of those |
| 25 |   | it would have been?                                    |

|    |   | Page 72  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | A | No.  |
| 2  | Q | It could have been any or all.                         |
| 3  | A | Yes.   |
| 4  | Q | Let me take a look at my note here. In your very       |
| 5  |   | first conversation with Tom Kocourek about this matter |
| 6  |   | back in July of 1985, you indicated that you were told |
| 7  |   | to arrest Steve Avery for attempted first-degree       |
| 8  |   | homicide; is that right?                               |
| 9  | А | Correct.   |
| 10 | Q | There's no reference of sexual assault?                |
| 11 | A | Not at that point.                                     |
| 12 | Q | None. I mean, you had no idea what the circumstances   |
| 13 |   | were of the attempted first-degree homicide, whether   |
| 14 |   | there was a weapon, whether this guy should be         |
| 15 |   | considered armed, I mean, anything like that?          |
| 16 |   | MR. MAYER: Form of the question.                       |
| 17 | A | No.  |
| 18 |   | BY MR. GLYNN:  |
| 19 | Q | And you had no idea who the victim was?                |
| 20 | A | Oh, I knew the victim.                                 |
| 21 | Q | At that time?  |
| 22 | A | I knew the victim.                                     |
| 23 | Q | You did.   |
| 24 | A | I knew who she was.                                    |
| 25 | Q | Okay. So you knew he was The information you had       |

### Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-352-5450 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 74 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 73  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | gotten from the prior shift commander, would that have |
| 2  |   | involved sexual assault?                               |
| 3  | A | It could have.   |
| 4  | Q | But again, your directions from Kocourek have nothing  |
| 5  |   | to do with sexual assault in the first conversation,   |
| 6  |   | it's all about homicide.                               |
| 7  | A | Correct.   |
| 8  | Q | And later, when you're at the jail, or at least after  |
| 9  |   | you've been after you've arrived at the jail, you      |
| 10 |   | have a conversation again with Kocourek in which he is |
| 11 |   | talking about getting these samples, correct?          |
| 12 | A | Correct.   |
| 13 | Q | And the samples include pubic hair, right?             |
| 14 | А | Correct.   |
| 15 | Q | And based on your experience, you realize that you     |
| 16 |   | don't ordinarily obtain pubic hair samples unless      |
| 17 |   | there's an allegation of some form of sexual contact   |
| 18 |   | or sexual assault, true?                               |
| 19 | A | Correct.   |
| 20 | Q | Do you recall whether or not Tom Kocourek said         |
| 21 |   | anything in that second conversation about sexual      |
| 22 |   | assault?   |
| 23 | А | I don't recall anything specific, no.                  |
| 24 |   | MR. GLYNN: Okay. That's all I have.                    |
| 25 |   | MR. KELLY: One more.                                   |
| 1  |   |  |

## Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4 Case 1:19-cv-00484-PP Filed 03/03/20 Page 75 of 76 Document 120-16

|    |   | Page 74  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  |   | MR. GLYNN: Oh. You got some? Okay.                     |
| 2  | Q | You turned Mr. Avery over to Mr. Buretta at the jail?  |
| 3  | A | Correct.   |
| 4  | Q | Do you recall seeing anybody else on duty at the jail? |
| 5  | A | No, the only one I dealt with was Vic.                 |
| 6  | Q | Okay. Did you specifically do you remember seeing      |
| 7  |   | Dave Dvorak there at the jail?                         |
| 8  | A | No.  |
| 9  |   | MR. GLYNN: Okay. Thanks. That's all.                   |
| 10 |   | REPORTER: Okay. There being no further                 |
| 11 |   | questions, this deposition is concluded at 1:29        |
| 12 |   | p.m. Off the record.                                   |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |
|    |   |  |